Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2001-08 - 2001-10

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2001-08-01 through 2001-10-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 6,753

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 00:08:20 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0107311608.4a0ac8d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message news:<996090539.175387@ns1.gct21.net>...
> "Bob Wexelbaum" <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:6fa2d22d.0107241918.3ca39619@posting.google.com...
> > "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:<995961555.347318@ns1.gct21.net>...
> > > "Bob Wexelbaum" <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:6fa2d22d.0107231209.17d0ef9@posting.google.com...
> > > > <<< SNIP >>>
> > > > 73,
> > > > Bob Wex, w2ilp (IQ Lacking Presidents?)
> > > > Member of Mensa
> > > *?
> > If you don't know what Mensa is; It is an organization of people whose IQ
> ranks
> > among the top 5% nationally. Like ham radio most people have to pass
> tests to
> > become a member.
>
> I know full well what Mensa is. That's why I bothered to point out your
> error.

I don't need to use a spell checker. Smart people like you can
recognize typos that a spell checker might not be able to
detect....besides my errors might make you read my sentences more
carefully so as to understand the concepts that I am attempting to
explain. Smarter people than me use editors and proof readers to
correct their many mistakes. We don't have that sort of professional
editing in NGs. We thus must be a bit more tolerant of spelling and
typos here and realize that most of the stuff we read here was written
in a tme that was limited by stopping for water, food, sleep or
answering to the XYL. I'd rather spend time writting a long message
than devoting time to spell checking. Concepts are learned by
thinking. Spelling and grammar are learned by rote.

Hams need more license classes.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignore Lacking Processing)

Lots of good jokes are lost in translation here because
words that have two different meanings may sound the same
but are often spelled differently.
--w2ilp--

2. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 00:27:09 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0107311627.246b28c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0107251410.3f4a5dc4@posting.google.com>...
> > If you don't know what Mensa is; It is an organization of people whose IQ ranks
> > among the top 5% nationally.
> >
> Top two percent, you didn't even get that right.
> >
> >Like ham radio most people have to pass tests to
> > become a member
> >
> I know what Mensa is Bobber Poo. I got stupid and latched up with a
> blonde who has more degrees and boobs than common sense by a long
> shot, she convinced me to go to a Mensa meeting and take the test at
> the Ethical Society in Philly. Which is where Jefferson and Franklin
> plotted the Declaration of Independence and I think also the
> Constitution.
> >
> I took the stupid test and whacked it cold and sat down for the
> meeting. At the break I told the blonde that the bullshit was over for
> me, the idiot content was more than I could waste any more time with.
> I wuz outta there, "Come with me Honey or take the train home." She
> caught up with a half block later.
> >
> Whatta bunch of pointless useless puffs, I have yet to find anything
> which indicates that Mensa has accomplished anything beyond bestowing
> bragging rights nobody else cares about. At least even an Extra Lite
> gives ya some select bandwith *and* bragging rights.
> >
> w3rv

I might be wrong but the above story sounds like BS. This is because
when Mensa proctors IQ tests, you do not get the results until several
weeks later. This is unlike Ham tests where you know that you passed
or failed on the day that you take the tests. Mensa tests are not
given at meetings...even in Philadelphia.

I'm not exactly bragging about my IQ. I'm complaining. I am the first
to admit that it pays to be ignorant and most people don't like wise
guys. How many managers or bosses want to hire somebody who is
smarter than they are? If they need a brilliant guy they hire one who
works for less money in Japan, China or India and can't ever get a
chance to become the boss or replace them. There need be no high IQ
at HQ.

BTW all blondes are not dumb. Some act that way because they are smart actresses. If Marilyn Monroe was stupid she would probably be alive today.

Hams need more license classes.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Intelligent Locks Peroxided?)

3. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 00:35:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010731203550.02710.00000966@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 16246

In article <d22b54c3.0107310916.6dc80d68@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> The real reason for this idea is that the "faith based" organizations
>> are perceived to operate with less overhead, so the total dollars
>> spent would be less. Maybe.
>>
>It's another boondoggle waiting to be legislated into existence. A
>monstrous new gummint buraureacracy would appear which would
>"administer" the program.

Exactly. There would be a bureaucracy to define what "faith based" organizations qualify, what services they provide, how the money is spent, etc., etc., etc. More levels of "management", not fewer.

But it might look good on paper, regardless of the services that actually reach the people who need them.

> Like the tens of thousands of Social
>Security cube dwellers we have. Which cost us something like 30 cents
>on a buck's worth of payments. Then comes the army of scam aritists
>which would pop up, instant preachers who take their cuts.

Like Flip Wilson's "Church Of What's Happening Now!".
>>
>What Dubya is doing is furiously chasing the Conservative holy grail
>of reduced federal headcounts by moving the headcounts from the
>gummint sector into the private sector. The Conservative Moses Reagan
>did that wholesale in other fields and when he left office the federal
>body count had grown 10% during his reign and he moved us into deficit
>spending.

Quadrupled the national debt.
>>
>Benefits which might be available in, for instance Philly where some
>specific religeous or other group exists and passes out specific
>bennies but that group and it's same bennies are not available in
>Chicago. That's unconstitutional.

Yup. Lawsuits all over the place.
>>
>Who would get the job of providing family planning services? The
>Catholic Church?
>>
In some areas, there would be a very strong push to do just that. And if enough voters want it, could actually happen.

Be afraid. Be VERY afraid.

>The whole piece of idiocy smacks of a gross violation of the principle
>of separation of church and state and needs to be killed right now.

Church/state separation was set up to prevent exactly this sort of thing.

Let's see - we got Kim, Hans, me and you (W3RV), plus an unknown number of
others in agreement that this is a BAD idea. Looks like a rrap first!
>>
>George W. Bush was asked how he felt about Roe vs. Wade.
>>
>He said it was the most important decision George Washington had to
>make before crossing the Delaware.

HAWWW!!!
>>>

73 de Jim, N2EY

4. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 01:01:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghcybq2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16248

On 31 Jul 2001 16:08:35 -0700, Brandi wrote:

>How does one go about (or do they really care) reporting a group of
>people who are routinely using Marine radio frequencies to operate
>land based equipment?
>
>Can you informally let the FCC know? Or is there some other
>mechanism?

Call the FCC Call Center - 1-888-322-2255 - but be prepared to give
full information.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5. W2ILP returns to r.r.a.p

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 01:01:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghcyfi3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16249

On 31 Jul 2001 15:25:42 -0700, Bob Wexelbaum wrote:

>I leave the "o's out in my typing of G-d because it is customery for
>those who do not want to offend H-m to do so.

You obviously did not read the teshuva (religious finding) that
holds that this is not necessary on computers.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
Peretz Moshe Kanafi (4X4UQ)

6. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:03:20 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IrJ97.22603$LP2.1409669@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16250

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010731203550.02710.00000966@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0107310916.6dc80d68@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> The real reason for this idea is that the "faith based" organizations
> >> are perceived to operate with less overhead, so the total dollars
> >> spent would be less. Maybe.
> >>
> >It's another boondoggle waiting to be legislated into existence. A
> >monstrous new gummint buraureacracy would appear which would
> >"administer" the program.
>
> Exactly. There would be a bureaucracy to define what "faith based"
> organizations qualify, what services they provide, how the money is
spent,
> etc., etc., etc. More levels of "management", not fewer.
>
> But it might look good on paper, regardless of the services that actually
reach
> the people who need them.
>
> > Like the tens of thousands of Social
> >Security cube dwellers we have. Which cost us something like 30 cents
> >on a buck's worth of payments. Then comes the army of scam aritists
> >which would pop up, instant preachers who take their cuts.
>
> Like Flip Wilson's "Church Of What's Happening Now!".
> >>
> >What Dubya is doing is furiously chasing the Conservative holy grail
> >of reduced federal headcounts by moving the headcounts from the
> >gummint sector into the private sector. The Conservative Moses Reagan
> >did that wholesale in other fields and when he left office the federal
> >body count had grown 10% during his reign and he moved us into deficit
> >spending.
>
> Quadrupled the national debt.
> >>
> >Benefits which might be available in, for instance Philly where some
> >specific religeous or other group exists and passes out specific
> >bennies but that group and it's same bennies are not available in
> >Chicago. That's unconstitutional.
>

> Yup. Lawsuits all over the place.
> >>
> >Who would get the job of providing family planning services? The
> >Catholic Church?
> >>
> In some areas, there would be a very strong push to do just that. And if
enough
> voters want it, could actually happen.
>
> Be afraid. Be VERY afraid.
>
> >The whole piece of idiocy smacks of a gross violation of the principle
> >of separation of church and state and needs to be killed right now.
>
> Church/state separation was set up to prevent exactly this sort of thing.
>
> Let's see - we got Kim, Hans, me and you (W3RV), plus an unknown number of
> others in agreement that this is a BAD idea. Looks like a rrap first!
> >>
> >George W. Bush was asked how he felt about Roe vs. Wade.
> >>
> >He said it was the most important decision George Washington had to
> >make before crossing the Delaware.
>
> HAWWW!!!
> >>>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Does anyone remember that one of Clinton's pardons was of a Hassidic character who managed to run a scam with a religious school that never existed. Kind of a Billy Sol Estes with forelocks.

Dick NBK

7. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:08:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010731220851.08639.00004830@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 16351

In article <3B654A89.DC8EE6CB@home.com>, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
writes:

>
>I suspect most people don't give him a 'C'. Most either love or hate him and
>vote acordingly.
>

Gary:

I don't disagree with that at all. And among those who "hate" Dubbaya
are a lot of Conservative Republicans, who now feel cheated! The
problem President Bush has is that he began his re-election campaign
the day he took office -- and is trying to gain ground by being seen as
a "moderate." "Moderates" being the flavor-of-the-month in Washington
these days. Unfortunately, Bush is losing this conservative base, who
will probably be more than willing to fall into line behind a true
Conservative, like Steve Forbes or Alan Keyes, in ought four. In the
meantime, I am getting letters on a weekly basis from the Republican
National Committee, whining that I haven't sent in my annual contribution
yet! ROFLMAO!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

8. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:16:45 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10108010215590.5803-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 16352

On 31 Jul 2001, Brandi wrote:
>How does one go about (or do they really care) reporting a group of
>people who are routinely using Marine radio frequencies to operate
>land based equipment?
>
>Can you informally let the FCC know? Or is there some other
>mechanism?

No, I can't. I don't know about such things because I didn't hear it.

However, anyone who did hear it can contact the nearest FCC office and let them know.

9. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:31:28 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b676c07_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 16353

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B64DED6.74456D2F@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > What about "religions" who worship
> > deities in contrast to the principles
> > in Christian philosophies? Things
> > such as Satanic worship, Pagan, etc.?
>
> Not recognized religions under the US tax code.
>

Give it time, give it time--especially if there's that much gain to be had.

>
> > And, what about non-traditional
> > religions such as Hinduism, Buddihsm,
> > etc.?
>
> With several hundred million, or more, people around the world
> belonging to each of these religions, it is a little absurd to call
> them "non-traditional." As long as we throw open our borders to these
> people (and that seems to be what everyone is willing to let the
> government do), then we also have to throw open our borders to their
> religions also.
>

We aren't speaking of "around the world," we are speaking in terms of
religions/ous groups in the United States; and I think most North Americans
would consider the above and other religions as "non-traditional."

>
> > Yes, such thoughts that our tax dollars
> > could go to groups like that should
> > cause us all to pause. "That's not a
> > real, true organized religion", you
> > say? Who will be defining what is and
> > what is not religion? Who will be
> > defining what is and what is not
> > "worthy" of being included in "civic"
> > or "charitable" organizations?
>
> About a hundred years of US tax code, and the unknown millions who
> worked on that tax code over the years, will decide.
>

I feel much more comfortable with my pen and paper letting my
representatives know that this is *NOT* a good thing.

>
> > Religion, religious organizations, nor
> > charitable organizations outside the
> > government, belong with subsidies from
> > the taxpayers. It should be left to
> > nothing but governmental social
> > organizations, and we should all be
> > thinking of and offering ways in which
> > to decrease the reliance of many people
> > upon such funding as a way of existence.
>
>
> For the first hundred and fifty years of this country's history,
> almost all of this nation's welfare was provided by the various
> churches and private organizations. Sadly, they didn't have the
> finances from the people to make it through situations like the
> depression (since the people were suffering during the depression,
> money from those people was not going to those organizations to help
> those suffering from the depression - a vicious catch-22).
>

Dwight, c'mon, for the first 150 years of this country's history our
religions and beliefs were quite restrained and limited by fewer people and
a much more community-based lifestyle. I bet for many of those years the
greater of the population were regular church-goers also. And, I bet the
greater of the population would not hear of having anything other than
Catholic, Baptist, Pentacostal, Episcopalian, Methodist, and whatever other
English borne church might have come over here--certainly *NOT* any other
religions. Not at least without scorn and disdain.

>
> The government stepped in with federal money, but set up huge
> government agencies to manage that money. These agencies cost money
> themselves - money that could be going to the people instead. The goal
> now is to move away from those large government agencies - back to the
> churches and private organizations.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

And, who shall pay the administrative costs for these religions and
(possibly) charitable organizations to "distribute our tax dollars"!!!???
Eh?

Keep church and state separate, as it should be--or more of these types of
arguments will burden the attention of the US Congress, the US people, etc.

Kim W5TIT

10. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:34:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b676cb1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 16354

You guys really let debate get to that point, huh?

Shame, shame.

Kim W5TIT

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010730160920.14430.00000034@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 7/30/01 10:38 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >I didn't realize what the game was until I got your threatening email.
>
> There's nothig "threatening" about asking you if you can defend
calling
> someone a liar and a cheat over and over again in a public forum.
>
> Obviously you considered the facts and could not. Good for you.
>
> >I choose not to be involved in your slimeball bully game.
>
> Oh...I SEE Cecil. You can assert over and over that I either cheated
or
> was lying and that's NOT a "slimeball bully game"...But I can ask you if
you
> can defend that in a court of law in a PRIVATE E mail and that is
> "slimeball"...?!?!
>
> YOU need to re-open that dictionary you are so proud of, Cecil, and
start
> refamiliarizing yourself with words like "slimeball" and "bully".
>
> THEN take a look in the mirror.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

11. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:39:37 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b676dee_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 16356

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010731203550.02710.00000966@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0107310916.6dc80d68@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> The real reason for this idea is that the "faith based" organizations
> >> are perceived to operate with less overhead, so the total dollars
> >> spent would be less. Maybe.
> >>
> >It's another boondoggle waiting to be legislated into existence. A
> >monstrous new gummint buraureacracy would appear which would
> >"administer" the program.
>
> Exactly. There would be a bureaucracy to define what "faith based"
> organizations qualify, what services they provide, how the money is
spent,
> etc., etc., etc. More levels of "management", not fewer.
>
> But it might look good on paper, regardless of the services that actually
reach
> the people who need them.
>
> > Like the tens of thousands of Social
> >Security cube dwellers we have. Which cost us something like 30 cents
> >on a buck's worth of payments. Then comes the army of scam aritists
> >which would pop up, instant preachers who take their cuts.
>
> Like Flip Wilson's "Church Of What's Happening Now!".
> >>
> >What Dubya is doing is furiously chasing the Conservative holy grail
> >of reduced federal headcounts by moving the headcounts from the
> >gummint sector into the private sector. The Conservative Moses Reagan
> >did that wholesale in other fields and when he left office the federal
> >body count had grown 10% during his reign and he moved us into deficit
> >spending.
>
> Quadrupled the national debt.
> >>
> >Benefits which might be available in, for instance Philly where some
> >specific religeous or other group exists and passes out specific
> >bennies but that group and it's same bennies are not available in
> >Chicago. That's unconstitutional.
>

> Yup. Lawsuits all over the place.
> >>
> >Who would get the job of providing family planning services? The
> >Catholic Church?
> >>
> In some areas, there would be a very strong push to do just that. And if
enough
> voters want it, could actually happen.
>
> Be afraid. Be VERY afraid.
>
> >The whole piece of idiocy smacks of a gross violation of the principle
> >of separation of church and state and needs to be killed right now.
>
> Church/state separation was set up to prevent exactly this sort of thing.
>
> Let's see - we got Kim, Hans, me and you (W3RV), plus an unknown number of
> others in agreement that this is a BAD idea. Looks like a rrap first!
> >>
> >George W. Bush was asked how he felt about Roe vs. Wade.
> >>
> >He said it was the most important decision George Washington had to
> >make before crossing the Delaware.
>
> HAWWW!!!
> >>>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

ABSOLUTELY!!!! A definite RRAP first, and proof that there are always
common bonds to be farmed... :)

BAD, BAD, BAD idea = letting the government have religions and even
"charitable" organizations receive our tax dollars!!! Hrrrmpphhhhhh,

Kim W5TIT

12. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:51:33 UTC
From: Anonymous <unit17@threeboxes.mail.net>
Message-ID: <S6K97.1196$jf6.42057@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 16355

Around here, the Marine radios are more
popular than CB radios. Everyone has them. Been
using them for years. Who cares?

"Brandi" <brandi_n_la@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ba29b216.0107311508.6257e365@posting.google.com...
> How does one go about (or do they really care) reporting a group of
> people who are routinely using Marine radio frequencies to operate
> land based equipment?
>
> Can you informally let the FCC know? Or is there some other
> mechanism?
>
> Thanks,

13. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:55:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b6771be_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 16358

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0107300844.335a8579@posting.google.com...
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:<3b645a21_1@newsa.ev1.net>...
> > What about "religions" who worship deities in contrast to the principles
in
> > Christian philosophies? Things such as Satanic worship, Pagan, etc.?
And,
> > what about non-traditional religions such as Hinduism, Buddihsm, etc.?
>
> EXACTLY, Kim! Right on target. Who gets to decide?
> >
> > Yes, such thoughts that our tax dollars could go to groups like that
should
> > cause us all to pause. "That's not a real, true organized religion",
you
> > say? Who will be defining what is and what is not religion? Who will
be
> > defining what is and what is not "worthy" of being included in "civic"
or
> > "charitable" organizations? This is a stretch but, just for
supposition,
> > what if the local Satanic club is the charitable organization that has
the
> > largest food bank in a town?
>
> Yup. And the most voters, too.
>
> This is why the founders designed separation of church and state. They
> saw the problems of establishing a state religion. And it works both
> ways: if my tax money and yours go to religious organizations whose
> decision making processes are not beholden to the govt., then those
> organizations have a measure of control over the government. If their
> decision making processes ARE beholden to the govt., we have govt.
> control over religion. Both are unacceptable.
> >

That, right there ^^^^^ is so the crux of the matter, for me anyway. That which you stated is so very, very scarey to me. Really is, and I wish more people would just stop and think long enough to realize it. We don't need religion to control government and we don't need government to control religion--either way.

We've already got way more than enough big-business interest in government that will never go away; let's not add religions/charitable organizations on

top of that!

Kim W5TIT

> > Religion, religious organizations, nor charitable organizations outside
the
> > government, belong with subsidies from the taxpayers. It should be left
to
> > nothing but governmental social organizations, and we should all be
thinking
> > of and offering ways in which to decrease the reliance of many people
upon
> > such funding as a way of existence.
>
> All depends on why the dependence exists in the first place. Some
> dependencies can be changed, others can't.
> >
> > Even if we could get the idea of tax dollars going to strictly religious
> > organizations out of the equation and, say, legislate that tax dollars
would
> > go to established charitable and civic organizations; what if I don't
like
> > the United Way; what if I don't like the American Red Cross, etc.? It's
bad
> > enough our tax dollars already go to so many things that are ridiculous
at
> > best and loathsome at worst. We don't need another venue for this to
> > happen.
>
> The real reason for this idea is that the "faith based" organizations
> are perceived to operate with less overhead, so the total dollars
> spent would be less. Maybe.
>
> We need more "logic based" organizations....
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

14. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 02:58:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010731225800.12858.00004438@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 16357

In article <3B66C288.6D071CE3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>If you were the Republican President, exactly how would you go about
>keeping the religious right happy enough to vote for you in 2004? As
>a politician, Bush is between the proverbial rock and a hard place.

Cecil:

I would keep the Religious Right happy by assuring them that the
federal government would definitely NOT interfere with the doctrine
or policies of their churches. However, I would not institute "faith-
based" initiatives, which would direct taxpayer dollars at religious
institutions. In order to proactively make points with the religious
right, I'd probably reduce the growth in federal spending on AIDS
research, and use those funds to increase pay and benefits to
military personnel. Any Republican president who did that would
be re-elected in a landslide!

73 de Larry, K3LT

15. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 08:02:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B67B775.AE92378C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16359

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dwight sneers and says...
>
> > Yes, you've mentioned that before
> > (in the same vague manner).

There was no sneer with that, Hans. Phil said that he has problems with government involvement with religious organizations ("it goes back many centuries, even millennia"), without offering any further details whatsoever. What else would you call that other than vague?

> The Feds are not nearly so polite.
> In order to 'qualify' to participate
> in federally funded programs, these
> organizations will have to jump
> through IRS, EEO, OSHA, HEW, and
> who knows what other political hoops,
> some of which may conflict with their
> religious doctrine. This is a glaring
> opportunity for the Federal government
> to get it's nose in the tent and
> interfere in the doctrinal affairs of
> these organizations. Dubya knows it
> too, and he knows that Americans are
> suspicious of such interference, so
> his spin doctors don't even use the
> "R" word ("Religion") but rather the
> sanitized phrase "faith based".

First of all, as far as I know, Republicans were not the ones who came up with the phrase "faith based." Clinton used that phrase many months ago when he first suggested this whole thing ("faith based initiatives"). Republicans have since widely adopted the phrase, but I don't think they came up with it. However, you are right that it is intended to lessen the association with religion - something Clinton wanted to downplay at the time.

As for government interference with the internal affairs of these religions, there is no evidence of an intent in that regard. These organizations will have to account for how the money will be spent, and how it actually was spent, but there is nothing that will require these organizations to account for their religious practices or beliefs.

Furthermore, any specific group can back out of the program at any time (or not get involved at all if they're not comfortable with the

stipulations). Therefore, there is no way for the government to interfere with these groups without their willing cooperation.

> Some organizations without fund-raising
> expertise might see this program as
>'found money' and might be influenced
> into some creative accounting practices
> to get their 'fair share' of my tax
> dollars as expenses (to finance their
> religious activities).

Certainly that already exists with some of the many organizations already receiving federal money for assistance programs. I see no reason to believe that this would be any more common with religious groups. Therefore, while this may be an argument to end the programs entirely, or to urge greater accountability, it is not an argument to exclude others from participation.

> Or they might be divinely inspired to
> show doctrinal favortism in distributing
> my tax dollars to their favorite flavor
> of 'needy'.

Again, I'm sure that already exists with some of the many organizations already receiving federal money for assistance programs. So, again, while this may be an argument to end the programs entirely, or to urge greater accountability, it is not an argument to exclude others from participation.

> The whole goofy notion is a dump huck
> idea, and the religious right wing
> authors ought to be ashamed of it.

Again, you're trying to dump this onto Republicans. The current proposals to fund faith based initiatives for community assistance programs were first advocated by the Clinton administration, not the Bush administration.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

16. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 08:14:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108010014.3c84c24c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

> I might be wrong but the above story sounds like BS.
>
It's not bullshit Bobby, it happened about 15 years ago just like I said it did. Yeah, I got my "official notification" about passing the stupid test weeks later abd they did give it to me going into that meeting, the nitwit who gave it to me told me I'd nailed it. What the hell, the only reason Mensa exists is to give tests. Now go dial up their website and take another test Bobber.
>
>
>
> BTW all blondes are not dumb.
>
I didn't say this broad is dumb, she's brilliant but she can't walk & chew gum.

> Hams need more license classes.
>
> 73,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (Intelligent Locks Peroxided?)
>
w3rv

17. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 08:52:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108010052.714d0ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16361

> >
> > >The whole piece of idiocy smacks of a gross violation of the principle
> > >of separation of church and state and needs to be killed right now.
> >
> > Church/state separation was set up to prevent exactly this sort of thing.
> >
> > Let's see - we got Kim, Hans, me and you (W3RV), plus an unknown number of
> > others in agreement that this is a BAD idea. Looks like a rrap first!
> > >>
> George W. Bush was asked how he felt about Roe vs. Wade.
> > >>
> > >He said it was the most important decision George Washington had to
> > >make before crossing the Delaware.
> >
> > HAWWW!!!
> > >>>
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
>
> ABSOLUTELY!!!! A definite RRAP first, and proof that there are always
> common bonds to be farmed... :)
>
> BAD, BAD, BAD idea = letting the government have religions and even
> "charitable" organizations receive our tax dollars!!! Hrrrmpphhhhhh,
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
I think Phil got it right days ago in this NG. If Dubya is too
dim-witted to understand the rationale behind the principle of
separation of church & state the major religious & charitable
organizations themselves will impose reality on him by telling him
they *are* gonna abide by that principle and refuse to get anywhere
near this crap en mass. They need phlanxes of beltway goons meddling
in their affairs like they need chicken pox.
>
w3rv

18. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 09:17:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108010117.12cfea5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16362

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B66C288.6D071CE3@IEEE.org>...
> Gary Danaher wrote:
> > Ok. We've generated a nice list of quasi-idiot proposals from the
> > president.
>
> If you were the Republican President, exactly how would you go about
> keeping the religious right happy enough to vote for you in 2004? As
> a politician, Bush is between the proverbial rock and a hard place.
>
Which he did to himself right outta the chute when he first landed in
the Oval Office. The Religeous Right did not put him in office by a
long shot, they don't have anywhere near enough votes to do any such
thing, they're only a very noisy minority.
>
If Dubya continues to believe that he has anything even close to a
mandate to impose hardcore right-wing policies on this country he
absolutely will get neutered in the midterms by the huge silent
centrist majority which did put him in office.
>
His option.
>
w3rv

19. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 09:41:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B67CEB5.AA54BC73@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16363

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Who would get the job of providing
> family planning services? The
> Catholic Church?

The exact same people who are doing that now. There is no effort to change existing programs.

> The whole piece of idiocy smacks of
> a gross violation of the principle
> of separation of church and state
> and needs to be killed right now.

How so? How does this violate the separation of church and state? Several people have made that claim, but I've yet to see a single word to back that up. Separation of church and state was never designed to exclude religion - it's intent was to exclude government endorsement of a religion. No specific religion is being singled out here. And no legitimate religion is being excluded. Therefore, this does not constitute an endorsement of a religion under the separation of church and state premise.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

20. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 10:25:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B67D8EF.D37DEE34@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16364

Bob Wexelbaum wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> > And that somehow changes something?
> > You seem to be saying that it wasn't
> > Clinton's fault - it was Monica's for
> > not keeping her mouth shut or getting
> > her dress cleaned.
>
> I may seem to be saying that to you
> bercause you don't fully understand what
> I am saying. I am not talking about
> morality here. (snip)

I'm not talking about morality either. I'm talking about honesty,
integrity, and the overall public character of the man.

> > Sorry, but that is not where Monica
> > made her mistake. Her mistake was
> > having sex with a married man. Clinton's
> > mistake, other than the lies after the
> > fact, was his choice to have sex with
> > another woman while he was married - sex
> > with a much younger woman that he had
> > power and authority over. And please don't
> > give me this crap that everyone is doing
> > it. I've been married for over twenty
> > years and I'm not doing it. And neither
> > is any other decent human being that
> > truly cares for his or her spouse.
>
> That may be true for your standards, but it
> may not be true for someone elses.

It is a human standard - found throughout the world. A decent man
who truly cares about his wife would not go around having oral sex
with another women, nor would he lie to his wife about it afterwards.

> Sexual relations can be the cause of
> pregnancy...A B.J. can not! I am looking
> at the biological difference not the
> moral difference- the romantic difference
> ....It would be different if Monica's
> panties were stained by the DNA...It was
> higher up on the dress. {Monica didn't

> swallow).

The definition of "sexual relations" remains the same whether you're talking about biology or morality. And I have yet to find a definition that includes the word "pregnancy." Since oral sex involves a sexual organ, oral sex is indeed sex. Therefore, if you do that with another person, you are having sexual relations with that person.

Crossposted newsgroups deleted.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

21. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 10:50:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B67DEAE.DE167699@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16365

CAM wrote:
>
> The Southern Baptists also prohibit
> consumption of alcohol. Again, I
> follow the teachings of Christ. Why
> did He turn water into wine if he
> didn't want anybody to drink it? :-)

Oh, a question I asked at church when I was about five years old. As I understand it (going way back in my memory on this one), it has to do with the intent of the act - to drink wine as a simple beverage is not a sin, but to drink it to become intoxicated is. However, since other beverages are so widely available, and alcohol so easily leads to intoxication, many churches oppose the consumption of all alcohol (including wine). In other words, it is often a church standard, not a "sin" as defined by the bible (only excessive drink is). Don't ask me why excessive drink is a sin. There are a number of bible passages on the subject, but I certainly don't remember them after all these years.

Cross-posted newsgroups deleted.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

22. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 11:35:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B67E922.90609066@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16366

Anonymous wrote:
>
> Around here, the Marine radios
> are more popular than CB radios.
> Everyone has them. Been using
> them for years. Who cares?

You know, I simply cannot understand the mentality behind this (improper use of a marine radio). For many boaters, that radio is the only link to weather information, navigation assistance, safety communications with other boaters, and help during an emergency. Why would people risk putting those boaters in potential danger by improperly using a marine radio? I guess this is just another one of those examples of how little people in this society care about others.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

23. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 13:49:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6808EB.FC7F34D4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16367

Brian Kelly wrote:
> The Religeous Right did not put him in office by a long shot, ...

Take away the votes of the religious right and Bush would not be
president. IMO, the same thing is likely to hold true in 2004.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

24. 12 Commandments of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 13:50:43 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <9FU97.110$MS3.71252@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 16371

THE 12 COMMANDMENTS OF POSTING ON RRAP

1. Make things up about your opponent : It's important to make your lies sound true. For that "righteous" effect, preface your argument with the word "clearly". Eg. "Clearly, Major Bozo is a no-coder, and a beeper to boot."

2. Be an armchair psychologist : You're a smart person. You've heard of Freud. You took a psychology course in college. Clearly, you're qualified to psychoanalyse your opponent. "Robert Wexelbaum (God Bless You!), by using the word 'disingenous' in his posting, shows he has a bad case of....."

3. Cross-post your flames: Everyone on the net is just waiting for the next literary masterpiece to leave your terminal. From POLICY to DX to CB to BOATANCHORS, they're all holding their breaths until your next flame. Therefore, post everywhere.

4. Conspiracies abound: If everyone disagrees with you, the reason can't *possibly* be that your're wrong. There's obviously a conspiracy against you, and you will be doing the entire net a favor by exposing it.

5. Lawsuit threats: This is the reverse of Rule #4 (sort of like the Yin and Yang of flaming). Threatening a lawsuit is always considered to be in good form. "By saying that I've posted to the wrong group, Robeson has libelled me, slandered me, and sodomized me. See you in court, Steve."

6. Force them to document their claims: Even if Guido Milano states outright that he likes CW, you should demand documentation. If Pasternak hasn't written an article on Guido's mode preferences, then Guido is obviously lying. If Pasternak _did_ write such an article, then Pasternak is lying, so the documentation is void anyhow. If asked to document your own claim, quote a dead amateur operator. It is not necessary that the dead amateur actually said what you quoted, or the quote can be totall out of context, but in that case the dead amateur must have been a notable official in his era.

7. Use foreign phrases : French is good, but Latin is the lingua franca of flaming. You should use the words "ad hominem" at least three times per article. Other favourite Latin phrases are "ad nauseum," "vini, vidi, vici," "fellatio alfredo."

8. Tell 'em how smart you are : Why use intelligent arguments to convince them you're smart when all you have to do is tell them?

State that you're a member of Mensa or Mega or Dorks of America or FISTS. Tell them the name of a big electronics firm you once worked for as an engineer. (Don't tell them you were a sanitary engineer.) Tell them how long ago (before they were licensed) you had an army MOS and read about crypto equipment. Old knowledge is always better than new knowledge.

9. Accuse your opponent of censorship: It is your right as an American citizen to post whatever the hell you want to the net (as guaranteed by the 37th Amendment, I think). Anyone who tries to limit your cross-posting or move a flame war to Netusers is either a communist, a fascist, or both.

10. Doubt their existence : You've never actually seen your opponent , have you? And since you're the center of the Universe, you should have seen them by now, shouldn't you? Therefore, THEY DON'T EXIST! This is the beauty of flamer's logic. If you MUST admit they exist, always refer to them by their last name. "Smith again shows his ignorance about ITU regulations by....." Ask why you cannot find their call in QRZ (even if you can -- what the heck, you will impress everyone else who didn't actually check themselves who will now believe that your opponent is a bootlegger).

11. Lie, cheat, steal, obfuscate, and leave the toilet seat up.

12. When in doubt, insult : If you forget the other 11 rules, remember this one. At some point during your wonderful career as a flamer you will undoubtedly end up in a flame war with someone who is better than you.

With all good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

25. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 14:16:19 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <TaU97.13826$ar1.43960@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16368

In article <3B67B775.AE92378C@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart says...

>
> As for government interference with the internal affairs of these
> religions, there is no evidence of an intent in that regard. These
> organizations will have to account for how the money will be spent,
> and how it actually was spent, but there is nothing that will require
> these organizations to account for their religious practices or beliefs.
>

Check again! Just for one example, in order to qualify for funds,
the organization would need to comply with various Federal laws regarding
hiring practices, based on religious or sexual orientation. Since some
religious have explicit doctrine on such matters, this constitutes
explicit Federal interference in their doctrine. Can you imagine, for
instance, the Southern Baptists giving 'equal opportunity' to a gay
Hindu applicant to the job of managing their distribution of your and my
tax dollars? The applicant would be rejected outright on for reasons
related to 'doctrine' and the Federal EEO pressure would amount to
government interference/influence over doctrinal matters of the Southern
Baptist church.

That's only one very obvious example!

With all good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

26. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 14:34:11 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <sq4gmt4krb08m7996mbb96ocal8ro0qn59@4ax.com>
Source record: 16369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 14:30:15 -0400, OldBiker <ka3dww@arrl.org> wrote:

>radiosigs wrote:
>>
>> It is UN-CHRISTIAN and UN-AMERICAN to discriminate.
>
>On the contrary, it is VERY Christian and American to discriminate.
>Discrimination against sin is, and always has been the essence of
>Christianity. If a Christian sect believes certain activities are sinful
>then their history demands they excommunicate or even murder those who
>sin. Have we forgotten the Inquisition and the Salem Witches? You or I
>may not approve but discrimination is a historic hallmark of
>Christianity.

All Christians should be baptised by full immersion for ten minutes.

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

27. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 14:34:39 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <5r4gmtc8nhecfoma4fu65vpk05v3q17hme@4ax.com>
Source record: 16370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 18:20:38 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>OldBiker wrote:
>> If a Christian sect believes certain activities are sinful
>> then their history demands they excommunicate or even murder those who
>> sin.
>
>Can you call that "Christian" if Christ didn't teach it and would not
>have done it Himself?

Christ did nothing good. Find yourself a better role model.

>Seems to me, the Crusades and such were the work
>of the Devil.

The Devil was created to give your God an out. Most likely the Devil
and God are one and the same with God/Devil being the Devil on the
rare occasion it does something good and God otherwise.

>> If the Catholic Church can prohibit all sexuality among its priests and
>> nuns then the Baptists are well within their rights to prohibit
>> homosexuality among its members.
>
>The Southern Baptists also prohibit consumption of alcohol. Again, I
>follow the teachings of Christ. Why did He turn water into wine if
>he didn't want anybody to drink it? :-)

The people who invented Jesus were not good at making up stories.
If the earth is not flat with a solid dome why does the Bible say it is?

>--
>cheers, Cecil

You should go back to the mythology groups Thecil.

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

28. Re: Hetrophobic Southern Baptists .....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 15:05:35 UTC
From: Old Biker <vito@xlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B681ABF.62E36624@xlink.net>
Source record: 16372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

CAM wrote:
>
> OldBiker wrote:
> > If a Christian sect believes certain activities are sinful
> > then their history demands they excommunicate or even murder those who
> > sin.
>
> Can you call that "Christian" if Christ didn't teach it and would not
> have done it Himself? Seems to me, the Crusades and such were the work
> of the Devil.
>

It's a matter of semantics. On the one hand we have the man, Yeshuah, who the Romans called Jesus but who was never referred as "Christ"; and on the other we have the Christian Church, founded by Saul (Paul) who never met nor even saw Yeshuah - the man Yeshua's friends tried to stone for heresy - yet who claimed to speak for him. Work of the devil? Could be ..:o) To me "Christian" refers to Paul's church, not necessarily to the man we call Jesus.

Food for thot. In the original text a group of god-like beings (Elohim) created everything including Adam and Lillith as equals. Later, the Elohim we call God created Eden and invited them in but Lillith refused. God forbid Adam and Eve both the knowledge of good and evil and eternal life. Another Elohim (Satan) convinced them to "steal" the former forcing God to evict them, not as punishment but for fear that they'd also "steal" eternal life and thus "become like us (Elohim)". That raises two questions: what happened to Lillith and which Elohim is our benefactor? I obviously don't know. If I did, I'd be a rich televangilist playing in my fabulous hamshack vs hanging out here on reecy.radio...policy ... right?

Enjoy!
KA3DWW

29. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 16:00:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108010800.2c338535@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16373

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B67CEB5.AA54BC73@sccoast.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > Who would get the job of providing
> > family planning services? The
> > Catholic Church?
>
>
> The exact same people who are doing that now. There is no effort to
> change existing programs.
>
>
> > The whole piece of idiocy smacks of
> > a gross violation of the principle
> > of separation of church and state
> > and needs to be killed right now.
>
>
> How so? How does this violate the separation of church and state?
> Several people have made that claim, but I've yet to see a single word
> to back that up.
>
Common sense and the lessons of history don't need to be "defended".
>
> Separation of church and state was never designed to
> exclude religion - it's intent was to exclude government endorsement
> of a religion. No specific religion is being singled out here. And no
> legitimate religion is being excluded.
>
Right there is a massive political brawl, who gets to differetiate
between "legitimate" and "non-legitimate" religions??
>
>Therefore, this does not
> constitute an endorsement of a religion under the separation of church
> and state premise.
>
There is no way in hell that the gov't is gonna dump tax money into
any private organization religeous or otherwise without having any
control over how those funds are used. Which obviously translates into
gov't exercising cotrol via endorsing or not endorsing the policies
and practices of the affected organizations as they relate to the use
of gov't-supplied funds. That Mr. Stewart would be a gross vilolation
of the principle of separation of church & state.

>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
w3rv

30. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 16:26:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108010826.1454ca31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16374

>
> Based on what evidence are the numbers "cooked"? The fact that you
> don't like the results? Sorry, that's not science.
>
> All that the Netscape poll shows is this: Of the people who knew about
> the poll and bothered to answer it, 37% chose A or B and 56% chose D
> or F, based on their FEELINGS about the President at the time. Nothing
> more.
>
> Such polls are notoriously inaccurate when compared to scientific ones
> because the methods are not scientific. Since most of us here in rrap
> are hams, you'd think we'd have a grasp of basic science vs.
> pseudoscience.
>
A July third USAToday/CNN/Gallup poll indicated that Dubya had a 52%
approval rating. A similarly rigorous poll taken in the past week gave
him a 47% approval rating but I can't find that article.
>
Doesn't really matter, his daddy had an 89% approval rating coming out
of Desert Storm, the highest approval rating ever recorded but Clinton
thumped him in the following election.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

31. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 16:30:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108010830.49327b4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16375

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B67CEB5.AA54BC73@sccoast.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > Who would get the job of providing
> > family planning services? The
> > Catholic Church?
>
> The exact same people who are doing that now. There is no effort to
> change existing programs.
>
Then where does the money come from? Are we talking about spending
more tax dollars on new social programs?
>
> > The whole piece of idiocy smacks of
> > a gross violation of the principle
> > of separation of church and state
> > and needs to be killed right now.
>
> How so? How does this violate the separation of church and state?

Simple. As soon as the govt. starts handing tax dollars to religious
organizations, the separation is violated.

> Several people have made that claim, but I've yet to see a single word
> to back that up.

See above.

> Separation of church and state was never designed to
> exclude religion - it's intent was to exclude government endorsement
> of a religion.

If the govt. gives tax dollars to some religious groups but not
others, that's endorsement.

> No specific religion is being singled out here.

But that would have to happen in the real world. Somebody, somewhere,
would have to decide which groups get what money, and which groups
don't. And that somebody is gonna be a govt. official.

> And no
> legitimate religion is being excluded.

What constitutes a "legitimate" religion? Is Scientology one? How
about pagans and Wiccans? The members of those groups say they are

legitimate. I say they are all legitimate religions, even though I find some of their ideas to be unbelievable. They are certainly "faith based" groups.

> Therefore, this does not
> constitute an endorsement of a religion under the separation of church
> and state premise.

If the govt. gives tax dollars to some religious groups but not others, that's endorsement. Only ways out are to give nothing, or give every group whatever they ask for. Otherwise, there's endorsement.

If this goes through, the first order of business is to put the Book of Bokonon on the required reading list in all schools.

73 de Jim, N2EY

32. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 16:43:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108010843.4bd53a74@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16376

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3b6771be_1@newsa.ev1.net>...

(old stuff rremoved)

(N2EY wrote):
> >
> > This is why the founders designed separation of church and state. They
> > saw the problems of establishing a state religion. And it works both
> > ways: if my tax money and yours go to religious organizations whose
> > decision making processes are not beholden to the govt., then those
> > organizations have a measure of control over the government. If their
> > decision making processes ARE beholden to the govt., we have govt.
> > control over religion. Both are unacceptable.
> > >
>
> That, right there ^^^^^ is so the crux of the matter, for me anyway.

For a lot of us, Kim. There are lots of other details, but the above
is the biggie. It's bad for govt. AND religions.

> That
> which you stated is so very, very scarey to me.

I wasn't kidding when I wrote "Be afraid. Be VERY afraid."

> Really is, and I wish more
> people would just stop and think long enough to realize it. We don't need
> religion to control government and we don't need government to control
> religion--either way.

Yup. Unless somebody can explain how such a system would work without
any influence either way, somebody is gonna control somebody.

For example, the Catholic church is against abortion, almost all forms
of contraception, and non-marital sex of any kind. That's their
official policy, and they have every right to promote it.

Other religions have different views. Some are diametrically opposite
to the Catholic view on all of these issues. That's their official
policy, and they have every right to promote it, too.

Suppose the Catholic church asks for govt. money for family planning
services, and so do some of the churches who have opposite views. Who
gets the dough? If the Catholics get it, the government is endorsing
their views. If the Catholics don't get it, the govt. is endorsing the
opposing view. Either way, somebody gets endorsed and somebody else
gets snubbed.

>
> We've already got way more than enough big-business interest in government
> that will never go away; let's not add religions/charitable organizations on
> top of that!
>
BINGO!!! Another EXCELLENT point!

We need more "logic based" organizations....

73 de Jim, N2EY

33. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 16:58:08 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <AyW97.14013$ar1.46401@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16378

In article <3B67CEB5.AA54BC73@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart says...

> And no legitimate religion is being excluded.

Define 'legitimate religion' without giving us your worn "tax code"
definition. The IRS isn't qualified to decide theological matters.

With all good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

34. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 17:00:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108010900.3f9d498f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16377

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3b676c07_1@newsa.ev1.net>...
>
> We aren't speaking of "around the world," we are speaking in terms of
> religions/ous groups in the United States; and I think most North Americans
> would consider the above and other religions as "non-traditional."

Let's not argue over semantics. Call them "non-Western European" or
"non mainstream" or whatever, Kim's point is still valid. WHO
determines what is a "legitimate" religion?
> >
> > > Yes, such thoughts that our tax dollars
> > > could go to groups like that should
> > > cause us all to pause. "That's not a
> > > real, true organized religion", you
> > > say? Who will be defining what is and
> > > what is not religion? Who will be
> > > defining what is and what is not
> > > "worthy" of being included in "civic"
> > > or "charitable" organizations?
> >
> > About a hundred years of US tax code, and the unknown millions who
> > worked on that tax code over the years, will decide.
> >
The tax code recognizes all sorts of groups as "religions".

> I feel much more comfortable with my pen and paper letting my
> representatives know that this is *NOT* a good thing.

Good idea.
> >
> > > Religion, religious organizations, nor
> > > charitable organizations outside the
> > > government, belong with subsidies from
> > > the taxpayers. It should be left to
> > > nothing but governmental social
> > > organizations, and we should all be
> > > thinking of and offering ways in which
> > > to decrease the reliance of many people
> > > upon such funding as a way of existence.
> >
> > For the first hundred and fifty years of this country's history,
> > almost all of this nation's welfare was provided by the various
> > churches and private organizations.

Yup. And people starved, died of avoidable diseases, sent their
children to orphanages, etc., etc.

> > Sadly, they didn't have the
> > finances from the people to make it through situations like the
> > depression (since the people were suffering during the depression,
> > money from those people was not going to those organizations to help
> > those suffering from the depression - a vicious catch-22).

The Great Depression wasn't the first economic trouble in the US, just
the biggest. Ever read anything by Charles Dickens? The USA wasn't
much different.
>
> Dwight, c'mon, for the first 150 years of this country's history our
> religions and beliefs were quite restrained and limited by fewer people and
> a much more community-based lifestyle.

I'm not sure what you mean by this, Kim. Please elaborate.

> I bet for many of those years the
> greater of the population were regular church-goers also. And, I bet the
> greater of the population would not hear of having anything other than
> Catholic, Baptist, Pentacostal, Episcopalian, Methodist, and whatever other
> English borne church might have come over here--certainly *NOT* any other
> religions. Not at least without scorn and disdain.

Many of the original British colonies were founded by religious groups
fleeing persecution. The Congregationalists wound up in New England,
the Catholics in Maryland, the Quakers, Amish and Mennonites in
Pennsylvania. Lots of other examples. Pennsylvania was William Penn's
"Holy Experiment", where different religions would be tolerated. That
idea spread to the Constitution. It's a good idea.
>
> > The government stepped in with federal money, but set up huge
> > government agencies to manage that money. These agencies cost money
> > themselves - money that could be going to the people instead.

How? SOMEBODY has to manage the money. There is always overhead.

> > The goal
> > now is to move away from those large government agencies - back to the
> > churches and private organizations.

WHY? What purpose does it serve, other than trying to curry votes of
certain groups?
>
> And, who shall pay the administrative costs for these religions and
> (possibly) charitable organizations to "distribute our tax dollars"!!!???
> Eh?

You know the answer to that one.
>
> Keep church and state separate, as it should be--or more of these types of
> arguments will burden the attention of the US Congress, the US people, etc.

The lawyers, Kim, the lawyers. Just imagine the lawsuits when Group A gets millions and Group B gets nothing. Or vice versa.

Wonder what The Supremes would rule on such a case?

73 de Jim, N2EY

35. Re: Real Nazis in the Republican Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 17:13:53 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9k9dbn$6qi$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ain't that just amazing???

Dan/W4NTI

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B673B34.F2C59706@IEEE.org...
> Offbreed wrote:
> > Well, obviously, guncontrol does not work. Maybe mandating gun
> > possession by all citizens will.
>
> I'm reading a book titled, "More Guns, Less Crime". Seems everywhere
> the law-abiding civilian populace is armed, crime goes down. Imagine
> that, criminals deciding not to commit crimes because they might get shot.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

36. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 17:20:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B683A5E.8149CDA7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16380

Brian Kelly wrote:
> There is no way in hell that the gov't is gonna dump tax money into
> any private organization religeous or otherwise without having any
> control over how those funds are used. Which obviously translates into
> gov't exercising cotrol via endorsing or not endorsing the policies
> and practices of the affected organizations as they relate to the use
> of gov't-supplied funds. That Mr. Stewart would be a gross vilolation
> of the principle of separation of church & state.

But how else can the one world government folk turn us all
into a homogeneous mass?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

37. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 17:24:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B683B38.24B0CCD4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16381

N2EY wrote:
> Either way, somebody gets endorsed and somebody else gets snubbed.

Of course, it's called social engineering. How else are we ever
going to get one world government?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

38. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 17:45:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B684029.4610D532@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Barry OGrady wrote:
> Christ did nothing good. Find yourself a better role model.

He's not my role model. I'm more of an "eye-for-an-eye" type.
The only other cheek I turn is when I moon someone.

> The Devil was created to give your God an out.

Since Jesus was predestined to be crucified, seems Judas,
Pilot, and the Romans were simply doing God's will. If Pilot had
decided not to crucify Jesus who then died of old age, what would
my Southern Baptist preacher be preaching about now? If God knows
exactly what is going to happen every second until the end of time,
is it possible for anything else to happen? If not, everything is
predestined and we are simply puppets in God's puppet show.

There is an extreme contradiction between an omniscient, omnipotent
God and man's free will. My preacher says, "God knows what choices
you are going to make using your free will even before you do."

> If the earth is not flat with a solid dome why does the Bible say it is?

Because Copernicus and Galileo had not been born yet?

> You should go back to the mythology groups Thecil.

I challenge them to prove that a supernatural even exists so they
don't like me. Is a smidgen of proof too much to ask?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

39. Re: Hetrophobic Southern Baptists .....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 18:22:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6848DE.B9AC5CB5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Old Biker wrote:
> Food for thot. In the original text a group of god-like beings
> (Elohim) created everything including Adam and Lillith as
> equals. Later, the Elohim we call God created Eden and invited
> them in but Lillith refused. God forbid Adam and Eve both the
> knowledge of good and evil and eternal life. Another Elohim
> (Satan) convinced them to "steal" the former forcing God to
> evict them, not as punishment but for fear that they'd also
> "steal" eternal life and thus "become like us (Elohim)". That
> raises two questions: what happened to Lillith and which Elohim
> is our benefactor? I obviously don't know. If I did, I'd be a
> rich televangilist playing in my fabulous hamshack vs hanging
> out here on reecy.radio...policy ... right?

Have you read Zecharia Sitchin's books, the latest of which is
"The Cosmic Code"? The "Adam" was the name for the new *race* of
genetically engineered beings. The first ones were domesticated
hybrids and sterile (like a mule). Subsequent trials resulted in
beings capable of becoming self sufficient and reproducing
themselves. Leaving Eden was leaving the Elohim providers,
like returning domesticated wolves to the wild. Incidentally,
Elohim is plural which can be inferred from the Bible itself.

Some of our ancestors got a double dose of Elohim genetics and
were know as the Nefilim, the offspring of earth women and the
"sons of God". (Genesis 6:2) All this is covered in a Sumerian
text called "Atra Hasis" which predates The Bible. Lillith
(Lullu - mixed one) was the first generation sterile hybrid(s).
Eve was the later fertile one(s). Nachash, the "serpent", can
also be translated, "He who knows/possesses secrets", and
was really Enki, the genetic engineer and son of Anu, the
leader of the Elohim. Enki (Nachash) got into trouble for
performing the second genetic manipulation without his
father's knowledge or consent. It's all there in the
Sumerian clay tablets written long before the Bible.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

40. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 18:23:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B68493C.3A14DBC0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16384

Brian Kelly wrote:
> Doesn't really matter, his daddy had an 89% approval rating coming out
> of Desert Storm, the highest approval rating ever recorded but Clinton
> thumped him in the following election.

All because of three little words - "Read my lips." :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

41. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 19:12:32 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <AwY97.14199$ar1.47783@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <3B684029.4610D532@IEEE.org>, CAM says...

>I challenge them to prove that a supernatural even exists so they
>don't like me. Is a smidgen of proof too much to ask?

People believe what they choose to, in spite of the evidence.

With all best wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

42. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 19:44:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108011144.4629dd5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16386

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B6808EB.FC7F34D4@IEEE.org>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > The Religeous Right did not put him in office by a long shot, ...
>
> Take away the votes of the religious right and Bush would not be
> president.
>
Take away the votes he got from any recognizable bloc and he wouldn't
be president, centrist Republicans AND Democrats in particular.
>
IMO, the same thing is likely to hold true in 2004.
>
Not if there are many Republicans like myself who were ambivalent
about him when he was running but whom he has alienated since then.
Everything I'm seeing indicates that I'm far from being alone. We
won't have to wait until 2004, the midterms in 2002 will tell the
tale.
>
w3rv

43. Re: Hetrophobic Southern Baptists .....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 22:30:08 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9k9vs2uqu1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

You're all nuts because Lillith was the wife of Dr. Fraser Crane in
"Cheers."

In article <3B6848DE.B9AC5CB5@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Old Biker wrote:
>> Food for thot. In the original text a group of god-like beings
>> (Elohim) created everything including Adam and Lillith as
>> equals. Later, the Elohim we call God created Eden and invited
>> them in but Lillith refused. God forbid Adam and Eve both the
>> knowledge of good and evil and eternal life. Another Elohim
>> (Satan) convinced them to "steal" the former forcing God to
>> evict them, not as punishment but for fear that they'd also
>> "steal" eternal life and thus "become like us (Elohim)". That
>> raises two questions: what happened to Lillith and which Elohim
>> is our benefactor? I obviously don't know. If I did, I'd be a
>> rich televangilist playing in my fabulous hamshack vs hanging
>> out here on reecy.radio...policy ... right?
>
> Have you read Zecharia Sitchin's books, the latest of which is
> "The Cosmic Code"? The "Adam" was the name for the new *race* of
> genetically engineered beings. The first ones were domesticated
> hybrids and sterile (like a mule). Subsequent trials resulted in
> beings capable of becoming self sufficient and reproducing
> themselves. Leaving Eden was leaving the Elohim providers,
> like returning domesticated wolves to the wild. Incidentally,
> Elohim is plural which can be inferred from the Bible itself.
>
> Some of our ancestors got a double dose of Elohim genetics and
> were know as the Nefilim, the offspring of earth women and the
> "sons of God". (Genesis 6:2) All this is covered in a Sumerian
> text called "Atra Hasis" which predates The Bible. Lillith
> (Lullu - mixed one) was the first generation sterile hybrid(s).
> Eve was the later fertile one(s). Nachash, the "serpent", can
> also be translated, "He who knows/possesses secrets", and
> was really Enki, the genetic engineer and son of Anu, the
> leader of the Elohim. Enki (Nachash) got into trouble for
> performing the second genetic manipulation without his
> father's knowledge or consent. It's all there in the
> Sumerian clay tablets written long before the Bible.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

44. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 22:36:45 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ka08cjnb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Dunk 'em again. They have B.O.

In article <3B66EA77.EEFBABC9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> radiosigs wrote:
>> Bigoted Baptists ought to go dunk themselves!
>
> They've already been dunked.
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

45. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 23:13:48 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ka2e3$59k$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <3B66F937.2BAF7C1A@arrl.org>, OldBiker <ka3dww@arrl.org> wrote:
> radiosigs wrote:
>>
>> It is UN-CHRISTIAN and UN-AMERICAN to discriminate.
>
> On the contrary, it is VERY Christian and American to discriminate.
> Discrimination against sin is, and always has been the essence of
> Christianity. If a Christian sect believes certain activities are sinful
> then their history demands they excommunicate or even murder those who
> sin. Have we forgotten the Inquisition and the Salem Witches? You or I
> may not approve but discrimination is a historic hallmark of
> Christianity.

>>>>Quite interesting. On one hand we have a religion, although he never knew
it, founded by a Jewish preaching carpenter; a man who took just about
everything he preached about from Jewish law. The man preached loving
everyone, putting down weapons, ending hate, mockery and defamation, turning
the other cheek and a lot more "pansies" and now we have a first rate
schmuck here by the pseudonym of Old Biker, a Christian yet, who states that
his faith has the right to murder those whom THEY CONSIDER as sinners. He
belongs to an infallible faith having the "duty" to murder. Ain't that a
beaut? And we wonder why so many have been murdered in the name of the
Prince of Peace? Because of the mindset of a lot of Old Bikers.<<<<<<<<

>
> Second, discrimination is even condoned by our Constitution. Again, you
> and I may wish it were otherwise but the Constitution permitted the
> continued import of slaves until 1808 and look at the way Mormons were
> and some still are treated. Are laws against polygamy, public nudity,
> sex on TV, et al "Hetrophobic"?

>>>Stupid beyond comprehension. The law of the land states that no one shall be
discriminated against on the grounds of race, religion, nationality, color
and sexual preference. Furthermore the Constitution was amended prohibiting
slavery. And I just looked up "hetrophobic" in the dictionary. It's missing
just like Old Biker's brain.<<<<<<

>
> If the Catholic Church can prohibit all sexuality among its priests and
> nuns then the Baptists are well within their rights to prohibit
> homosexuality among its members. If you don't like it do as I do - don't
> go to church.

>>>>Jesus never forbade marriage or recreational sex. It was all concocted by the Catholic church long after he died and was buried. Their leaders had to be married to Jesus and only Jesus yet isn't something so absurd akin to homosexuality? And did you know that the Mormons swear he was in America too? Talk about screwballs. Now about Baptists having the right to ban homosexuality among its members. Perhaps but keep in mind that these Baptists are the bums in Born Again clothing trying to subvert our Constitution while "Jesusizing" the USA. If they would keep to themselves then let them practice exclusion but as long as they are trying to take over the country, to hell with them. They are ugly and demeaning beyond belief and DANGEROUS too. They are no better than the mobs in Salem who burned innocents at the stake for being witches, no less, therefore I hope half their congregations turn gay. And keep in mind that one will never find in a single Jewish temple anywhere in this world any congregation that would even remotely turn away or publicly admonish or embarrass a homosexual and lesbian. Why not? Because Jews are insistent upon respecting the dignity and rights of all men which is hell of lot more than I can say about Baptists. And something else. WE MIND OUR OWN BUSINESS. Baptists ought to try it instead of meddling everywhere.>>>>>>>
Doc,AF2Y
>
> 73 KA3DWW

46. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 23:18:44 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ka2naril1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <3B684029.4610D532@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Since Jesus was predestined to be crucified, seems Judas,
> Pilot, and the Romans were simply doing God's will. If Pilot had
> decided not to crucify Jesus who then died of old age, what would
> my Southern Baptist preacher be preaching about now?

He'd be out at a train station doing business with his shoeshine stand which
is a heck more appropriate and suitable instead of filling heads with
unproven nonsensical bullcrap!

47. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 23:27:31 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ka37kkpb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

The water into wine shtick was copied from the OT tale about Moses showing Pharoh how Moses, through Yahweh, had the power to perform the type of miracles to scare Pharoh into letting the Hebrews go to then trek through the desert for 40 years eating matzohs to become so constipated they wished they never left in the first place. I can't eat 4 matzohs without getting clogged no less than how many daily for 40 years? You should understand that the Greeks, who wrote the NT, conveniently decided to place Christian holidays on top of Hebrew ones to supplant the latter. And the idea of Jesus's miracles were offshoots of those performed by Hebrew patriarchs in the OT. Now they have Viagra to raise things from the dead.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <3B673D46.8DC0DEB7@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> The Southern Baptists also prohibit consumption of alcohol. Again, I
> follow the teachings of Christ. Why did He turn water into wine if
> he didn't want anybody to drink it? :-)
> --
> cheers, Cecil

48. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-01 23:57:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B689786.27149902@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <3B684029.4610D532@IEEE.org>, CAM says...
> >I challenge them to prove that a supernatural even exists so they
> >don't like me. Is a smidgen of proof too much to ask?
>
> People believe what they choose to, in spite of the evidence.

It's pretty hard not to believe in a tree.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

49. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 00:27:35 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <tmh7j37km4gqa1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

James,

FYI, no where in the Constitution does it say "separation of Church and State". totally fabricated by a misguided Supreme Court.

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Dz497.59234$C81.5053603@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, my only point was there is no point. Seperation of Church and State
> says it all. Doesn't matter if you're liberal or conservative - or
> reactionary or off the wall either. Whether you or I agree, seperation of
> Church and State basically says none of us has a voice in it (and we
> shouldn't). It doesn't matter what we think, the Southern Baptists ARE free
> to run their religion as they see fit (thank heavens). Yes, I agree with
> what you are saying - I am simply pointing out that these threads are moot
> since the churches are (at least so far - I hope the courts don't try and
> rewrite the Constitution) afforded protection from the State. Frankly, I
> tend to be liberal on many issues - but, doggone it, there ARE a few things
> in the Constitution of the United States that CANNOT (and should not) be
> ignored. (hmmm ... I am also conservative on some issues - but I will
> admit, I may not have enjoyed Vietnam, but this is EXACTLY why we serve - so
> ALL of us have a right to speak our minds, even if we disagree).
>
> Thanks again for letting me vent (just a bit :-)
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Roger Is A Beefeater" <MommasBoy@WV.col> wrote in message
> news:9jvuhu$os1$0@pita.alt.net...
> > james
> > the way I read it was that he thinks the govt. and gays should stay out of
> > church affairs, as well as the boy scouts and others, too.
> > it is tiresome what these liberals are doing. maddening, too.
> > I think that was his point.
> >
> > "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:tGK87.24930$gj1.2324937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > They should have the right to hire whom they want? I don't understand
> > this
> > > thread (other than starting this mess up again). There IS a guarantee
> of

> > > seperation of Church and State. PERIOD. The State (and ALL
outsiders)
> > > should keep their noses out of Church business. Although I do not use
> > guns
> > > (I shot trap and skeet years ago), I can see where this mess is
leading
> > us.
> > > I WILL stand behind the NRA - AND the various churches. If the
> > Constitution
> > > of the United States is to be rewritten, it will require a whole lot
of
> > > votes to add an amendment. I did NOT serve in Vietnam just to watch
the
> > > courts try and rewrite the Constitution - that is NOT their job! This
> > > thread is moot.
> > >
> > > sorry for the rant & 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> > > "Trilobite" <Forever@anon.nep> wrote in message
> > > news:9jvpuq$5u5$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > Wanna see some extremists at work? Go to the Baptist site
> > > > www.godhatesfags.com
> >
> >
> >
>
>

50. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 00:29:45 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <tmh7n9ie4q6me3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be placed there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not a belief.

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message news:AwY97.14199$ar1.47783@www.newsranger.com...
> In article <3B684029.4610D532@IEEE.org>, CAM says...
>
> >I challenge them to prove that a supernatural even exists so they
> >don't like me. Is a smidgen of proof too much to ask?
>
> People believe what they choose to, in spite of the evidence.
>
> With all best wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

51. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 01:33:33 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <N52a7.14542$ar1.49745@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

I said...

>> People believe what they choose to, in spite of the evidence.

CAM says...

>It's pretty hard not to believe in a tree.

"I shed my leaves for your sins. Believe in me, worship me, and verily thou shalt be saved." -- Majestic Maple

With all good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

52. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 02:31:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108011831.62c336f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16396

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B683A5E.8149CDA7@IEEE.org>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > There is no way in hell that the gov't is gonna dump tax money into
> > any private organization religeous or otherwise without having any
> > control over how those funds are used. Which obviously translates into
> > gov't exercising cotrol via endorsing or not endorsing the policies
> > and practices of the affected organizations as they relate to the use
> > of gov't-supplied funds. That Mr. Stewart would be a gross vilolation
> > of the principle of separation of church & state.
>
> But how else can the one world government folk turn us all
> into a homogeneous mass?
>
The same way got homgenginized into one big happy family in the code test war.

53. Re: Real Nazis in the Republican Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 02:41:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010801224131.14008.00005509@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16400

Dan:

What a concept! Criminals being afraid of their victims taking direct action to defend themselves, therefore, they don't do the crime! There's only one problem with this -- it would bring about an actual SOLUTION to the crime problem, a solution which would leave citizens much less dependent on government at all levels! And, as we all know, big-government liberals don't want solutions -- they want issues that never go away, so they can keep themselves in power by promising to create the solutions that never come.

Do you ever wonder why you NEVER see anything in the mass media about citizens who actually use firearms to successfully defend themselves or third parties against violent criminals? About the only place we see any such stories is in the "The Armed Citizen" column in the NRA magazines. Why aren't Dan Rather, Peter Jennings, and Tom Brokow giving out this news on prime-time TV?

73 de Larry, K3LT

In article <9k9dbn$6qi$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>
>Ain't that just amazing???
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3B673B34.F2C59706@IEEE.org...
>> Offbreed wrote:
>> > Well, obviously, guncontrol does not work. Maybe mandating gun
>> > possession by all citizens will.
>>
>> I'm reading a book titled, "More Guns, Less Crime". Seems everywhere
>> the law-abiding civilian populace is armed, crime goes down. Imagine
>> that, criminals deciding not to commit crimes because they might get shot.
>> --
>> cheers, Cecil

54. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 02:41:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010801224132.14008.00005511@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16398

In article <AyW97.14013$ar1.46401@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>
>> And no legitimate religion is being excluded.
>
>Define 'legitimate religion' without giving us your worn "tax code"
>definition. The IRS isn't qualified to decide theological matters.
>
>With all good wishes,
>
>de Hans, K0HB

I would define a "legitimate religion" as one which is practiced by a
significant portion of the population of a nation, does not involve
practices which are inherently dangerous or anti-social in nature, and
generally leads it's congregation in a direction of brotherhood, humanity,
personal peace, and spiritual fulfillment. It would help if one of their
favorite prayers wasn't "Death to the Infidel!"

73 de Larry, K3LT

55. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 02:41:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010801224132.14008.00005510@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16399

In article <3B67E922.90609066@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> You know, I simply cannot understand the mentality behind this
>(improper use of a marine radio). For many boaters, that radio is the
>only link to weather information, navigation assistance, safety
>communications with other boaters, and help during an emergency. Why
>would people risk putting those boaters in potential danger by
>improperly using a marine radio? I guess this is just another one of
>those examples of how little people in this society care about others.
>

Dwight:

It's all about ham-radio wannabes getting the "Microphone Monkey"
off their backs any way they can! Around my town, I see lots of vehicles
(usually pickups and SUV's) with very obvious VHF marine antennas
attached to them. A look inside reveals a bright, white radio, complete
with white microphone, somewhere close to the drivers position! So,
who are they talking to? How is it legitimate to have a Marine VHF
rig installed in a land-based vehicle?

I wonder if civil forfeiture laws apply to bootleg users of critical radio
services? Maybe if Uncle Charley could seize your car, your house,
and anything else you own when you improperly use a Marine VHF
radio, the problem would go away! Of course, that hasn't stopped
drug trafficking...

73 de Larry, K3LT

56. One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 02:41:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010801224133.14008.00005512@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16397

In article <3B683A5E.8149CDA7@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>But how else can the one world government folk turn us all
>into a homogeneous mass?

Cecil:

Sometimes I stop and ask myself, "Self, what do the 'One Worlder's'
see in a One World Government? What do they have against
Freedom and Independence? What part of national sovreignty is it
that bothers them so much?"

If you, or anyone else in the NG, knows the answers to these or any
other questions about the One World Order, please let me in on it.
I don't get it -- and I'm not sure I want to!

73 de Larry, K3LT

57. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 03:27:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B68C8A1.55C7C6AF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16301

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If you, or anyone else in the NG, knows the answers to these or any
> other questions about the One World Order, please let me in on it.

That's easy, Larry. If there is only one nation, there will
be no wars between nations. Under One World Order, all wars would
be civil wars, by definition. Now there's an oxymoron - a "civil"
war. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

58. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 08:41:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B69120E.96DD8076@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16302

K0HB wrote:
>
> Check again! Just for one example, in
> order to qualify for funds, the
> organization would need to comply with
> various Federal laws regarding hiring
> practices, based on religious or sexual
> orientation. (snip)

Where did you see that? There is no such requirement for faith-based organizations currently receiving federal funding for existing programs. As an example, Catholic Charities USA, United Jewish Communities, and National Council of Churches, all receive federal funding under the Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program (CFDA-83.523). Yet, after checking with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)...

http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/

...and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA)...

http://aspe.os.dhhs.gov/cfda/index.htm

...I was not able to find any eligibility requirement relating to the hiring practices of these organizations.

Since the new faith based initiatives would also be handled by the Office of Management and Budget, there would be no reason for different requirements when it comes to these programs. And I've seen no effort to change those requirements.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

59. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 09:02:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6916F2.785F8BAD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16303

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Common sense and the lessons of history
> don't need to be "defended".

I have the same common sense, and took the same history lessons, as you, and I'm still waiting for an explanation (not a defense, an explanation). How does this (faith based initiatives to provide additional funding for public assistance programs) violate the separation of church and state?

> Right there is a massive political
> brawl, who gets to differetiate
> between "legitimate" and "non-
> legitimate" religions??

When it comes to federal money, the IRS tax code.

> There is no way in hell that the gov't
> is gonna dump tax money into any
> private organization religeous or
> otherwise without having any control
> over how those funds are used. Which
> obviously translates into gov't
> exercising cotrol via endorsing or
> not endorsing the policies and practices
> of the affected organizations as they
> relate to the use of gov't-supplied
> funds.

My friend, there is a huge difference between exercising control over how federal money is spent by an organization and exercising control over the policies and practices of that organization. As I have already said in a previous message (posted just a few minutes ago), a number of religious organizations already receive federal money for various programs, yet the government has made no effort to control the policies and practices of those organizations.

> That Mr. Stewart would be a gross
> vilolation of the principle of
> separation of church & state.

How so? The government is not exercising control over the church's money, nor is it exercising control over the policies and practices of that church. The only control that I have seen associated with these initiatives is how the government's money would be spent - nothing

more and nothing less. That does not violate the separation of church and state premise.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

60. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 10:05:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6925AF.3BA8712B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16304

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Sometimes I stop and ask myself,
> "Self, what do the 'One Worlder's'
> see in a One World Government?
> What do they have against Freedom
> and Independence? What part of
> national sovreignty is it that
> bothers them so much?"
>
> If you, or anyone else in the NG,
> knows the answers to these or any
> other questions about the One
> World Order, please let me in on it.
> I don't get it -- and I'm not sure
> I want to!

In my opinion, it's is the same thing that has driven world conquest for centuries - power and control. In other words, for your answer, just look back at Genghis Kahn, Adolf Hitler, and others, to see what drove them. However, instead of swords or tanks, today's elite use banks, wages, and similar economic power, to do their conquests (the conquered submit more easily when offered crappy wages instead of death).

And, like previous people fighting the battles of world conquest, there is a certain amount of jealousy or hatred thrown in. For example, many entering this country today are from countries with a long history of hatred towards the United States (for whatever reason). Obviously, they're thrilled that they can come here and take a job away from, or otherwise harm, an "American."

But those coming into the country today are probably the least of our worries. America's biggest threats are right here in this country now. The elite is getting more wealthy (losing touch with everything this country represents in the process), minorities are slowly gaining power (with hatred driving their ambition), and so many have swallowed the liberal concepts of the new world order (ending any possibility of stopping it). Because of that, the writing is on the wall, my friend. And I really don't think I need to read it to you.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

61. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 10:20:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B69294A.5CC07480@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16305

N2EY wrote:
>
> Then where does the money come
> from? Are we talking about spending
> more tax dollars on new social
> programs?

No, the goal is to move existing money from existing government programs into more efficient local programs. There is no goal to change the existing local programs (such as the family planning service programs mentioned).

> Simple. As soon as the govt. starts
> handing tax dollars to religious
> organizations, the separation is
> violated.

The government is not "handing" tax dollars to religious organizations. Those organizations don't get to keep the money. Instead, the government would provide money to distribute to others.

> If the govt. gives tax dollars to
> some religious groups but not
> others, that's endorsement.

Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read the previous messages and lets move on.

> But that would have to happen in
> the real world. Somebody, somewhere,
> would have to decide which groups
> get what money, and which groups
> don't. And that somebody is gonna
> be a govt. official.

Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read the previous messages and lets move on.

> What constitutes a "legitimate" religion? (snip)

Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read the previous messages and lets move on.

> If the govt. gives tax dollars to some
> religious groups but not others, that's
> endorsement. (snip)

Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read the previous messages and lets move on.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

62. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 10:40:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B692DD3.78608F2C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16306

K0HB wrote:
>
> Define 'legitimate religion' without
> giving us your worn "tax code" definition.
> The IRS isn't qualified to decide
> theological matters.

Hans, the definition of a "legitimate religion" on a theological basis is not relevant to the topic of this discussion. When it comes to government money for faith based initiatives (the topic of this discussion), the IRS tax codes will always be the deciding factor. Beyond that, I have no desire to get dragged into a debate about what is, or is not, a legitimate religion.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

63. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 11:15:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B69362A.676F6934@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16307

N2EY wrote:
>
> For example, the Catholic church is
> against abortion, almost all forms
> of contraception, and non-marital
> sex of any kind. That's their
> official policy, and they have
> every right to promote it. (snip)
> Suppose the Catholic church asks
> for govt. money for family planning
> services, and so do some of the
> churches who have opposite views.
> If the Catholics don't get it, the
> govt. is endorsing the opposing
> view. Either way, somebody gets
> endorsed and somebody else gets
> snubbed.

Sorry, but that argument simply does not fit the facts, Jim. The faith based initiatives in question are not based on the policies, beliefs, or traditions, of the various organizations.

For example, Catholic Charities USA already receives federal funding under the Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program (CFDA-83.523). At the same time, the Catholic Church has not been required to change their policies about abortion, contraception, or anything else. In fact, they have not even been asked about their policies in relationship to this program.

The same is true for the United Jewish Communities and National Council of Churches (both of which also receive funding under the same program). And the same is true for the many other organizations who receive funding under this program, including a good number of non-religious groups.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

64. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 11:51:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B693E6A.B40A561E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16308

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> It's all about ham-radio wannabes
> getting the "Microphone Monkey"
> off their backs any way they can!
> Around my town, I see lots of vehicles
> (usually pickups and SUV's) with very
> obvious VHF marine antennas attached
> to them. A look inside reveals a
> bright, white radio, complete with
> white microphone, somewhere close
> to the drivers position! So, who
> are they talking to? How is it
> legitimate to have a Marine VHF
> rig installed in a land-based
> vehicle?

That really sucks, Larry. I'm so very glad that hasn't caught on in my area yet. I would hate to imagine that I might not be able to use my radio because some clown is running his mouth on the way to Burger King (nothing against Burger King here).

By the way, there are a few legal uses for a VHF marine radio on land - operating a service to boaters (marinas, etc), marine radio telephone services, navigation (locks, draw bridges, light houses, etc), and commercial fleet operations. All require a license and all equipment used must meet certain restrictions. If the boater wants something other than vessel-to-vessel communications (or the communications just mentioned), one of the other radio services must be used.

> I wonder if civil forfeiture laws
> apply to bootleg users of critical
> radio services? Maybe if Uncle
> Charley could seize your car, your
> house, and anything else you own
> when you improperly use a Marine
> VHF radio, the problem would go
> away!

I wish. Heck, I wish there was a way just to seize the radio. I have never understood the decision to get rid of the license for VHF marine radio. Didn't the FCC learn anything from the CB madness?

> Of course, that hasn't stopped drug
> trafficking...

LOL. You're right. Lets just shoot them instead. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

65. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 11:58:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108020358.6173787d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16309

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B683B38.24B0CCD4@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Either way, somebody gets endorsed and somebody else gets snubbed.
>
> Of course, it's called social engineering.

What is? Somebody getting endorsed?

> How else are we ever
> going to get one world government?

Is one world government necessarily a bad thing?

73 de Jim, N2EY

66. Re: Real Nazis in the Republican Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 12:08:46 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B694286.FFA0EFC6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16310

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> What a concept! Criminals being
> afraid of their victims taking
> direct action to defend themselves,
> therefore, they don't do the crime!

I like it. Now if I can only figure out a way to defend my home when I'm not around. I wonder how much those FBI robots with the machine guns would cost (and I wonder if it can be programmed to shoot immediately when it doesn't receive the proper password or code)?

> There's only one problem with this-
> it would bring about an actual
> SOLUTION to the crime problem, a
> solution which would leave citizens
> much less dependent on government
> at all levels! And, as we all know,
> big-government liberals don't want
> solutions -- they want issues that
> never go away, so they can keep
> themselves in power by promising to
> create the solutions that never
> come.

Watch out, Larry. You know too much. You've removed the rose colored glasses and can actually see. They are going to come get you if you're not careful. ;-)

> Do you ever wonder why you NEVER see
> anything in the mass media about
> citizens who actually use firearms
> to successfully defend themselves or
> third parties against violent criminals?
> About the only place we see any such
> stories is in the "The Armed Citizen"
> column in the NRA magazines. Why aren't
> Dan Rather, Peter Jennings, and Tom
> Brokow giving out this news on prime-
> time TV?

Since you're talking about Dan Rather, Peter Jennings, and Tom Brokow, that's kind of a rhetorical question, isn't it? These guys, and their networks, are so liberal, they insist Clinton is a conservative.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

67. Re: Real Nazis in the Republican Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 14:40:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B69664F.AB8F15D8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16311

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Since you're talking about Dan Rather, Peter Jennings, and Tom
> Brokow, that's kind of a rhetorical question, isn't it? These guys,
> and their networks, are so liberal, they insist Clinton is a conservative.

They've taken a lesson from the Bible's Tower of Babel.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

68. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 14:46:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6967DB.9C3D86F3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16312

N2EY wrote:
> > How else are we ever going to get one world government?
>
> Is one world government necessarily a bad thing?

One world government has the advantage that all wars would be
"civil". :-)

With the presently defined US poverty status and welfare programs,
a one world government would mean that every person would be living
in poverty including you and me. Don't know about you but I don't
cotton to poverty.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

69. One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 15:04:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010802110444.20221.00005487@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 16313

In article <9f4bfe89.0108020358.6173787d@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>> How else are we ever
>> going to get one world government?
>
>Is one world government necessarily a bad thing?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

"Comrade" Jim:

Please enlighten us -- what do you think would possibly be *good* about a "one world" government?

Also, answer a more important question -- If you think a one world government is not necessarily a bad thing, are you willing to risk your life to defend that concept? What are you going to do when people start shooting at you because they want to prevent a one world government from denying them their freedom and independence?

BTW -- you live in much too big a house. I think you should share it with these other three families who are just as deserving of it as you are! And what are you doing with all that radio equipment?

73 de Larry, K3LT

70. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 15:12:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B696D77.8232CD48@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16314

N2EY wrote:
>
> Is one world government necessarily
> a bad thing?

Good question, Jim. First of all, how do we know that government is going to be anything at all like what we have in this country today? Liberals love to envision a paradise with people around the world living in peace, all led by a benevolent world government. What if Hitler controls that government instead? Today, if all else fails, we have the ultimate freedom to just leave the country if we don't like the government. That option will be gone with one government throughout the world.

Are we willing to give up our freedom of speech, freedom of religion, freedom to associate, other freedoms under the Bill of Rights, the Constitution, or perhaps even the right to vote, to have that one world government? None of those are guaranteed to be part of that new world government. Or, if they are included, will remain part of that government with billions of people around the world voting (each person's vote will be only one in several billion).

Do we want some guy in Ethiopia voting for the laws in our hometowns? Are we willing to have police officers from Iraq patrolling the streets of our neighborhoods? Are we prepared for a president from China (they have far more voters then we do)?

Who are the people creating this government? What are their goals and agendas? What are they getting out of this (you can bet your bottom dollar that they're getting something)? Will they allow any one of us to be part of that government? Do they even like us?

Liberals love to promote the idea of diversity. Why reduce that diversity with a single world government? A one world government is the worst idea that liberals have ever come up with. And, in my opinion, if liberals in this country attempt to put such a government in power, there should be an immediate civil war to stop it (and I'm not joking)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

71. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 15:35:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0108020735.23b43bc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16315

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B665DC3.D5F41B49@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Do you think this NG is representative
> > of anything on a larger scale? I don't.
>
>
> Hey, give us a break, Jim. We are at least representative of the
> people in newsgroups, aren't we? :-)

Nope. Not without scientific sampling criteria being met.

73 de Jim, N2EY

72. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 16:26:10 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B698039.DD4CE59C@gte.net>
Source record: 16316
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> > Simple, the 2nd amendment was about
> > every male, if fit, serving.
>
> No, it isn't. There was no requirement, or expectation, for every
> male to serve when that amendment was proposed and ratified.
>

What they expected at that time was that every
male would drill as a community, much like the
Swiss did. And for a time they did so.

> > What we have today is a mercenary force.
>
> What we have today is a professional volunteer military. I don't
> allow the views of liberals, or yuppies, to cloud my vision of today's military.

We do not want a professional army, or police force.
What is needed is for all to serve and protect their
communities.

73. Re: Hetrophobic Southern Baptists .....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 16:37:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108020837.6dcec147@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<9k9vs2uqu1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> You're all nuts because Lillith was the wife of Dr. Fraser Crane in
> "Cheers."

Exactly. And although rather severe in manner, she was able to have
kids, as proven by the birth of Frederick.

On the other hand, I'm not so sure about Maris.

73 de Jim, N2EY

74. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 17:17:27 UTC
From: Leonard <louis@mail.snider.net>
Message-ID: <vl2jmt8l7egor3e5du3duljmdcc9phks9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 16318
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Our dispatch center has ham HF equipment as well as 2 meter and packet
equipment set up for RACES operators to use during emergencies.

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 02:05:05 +1000, Barry OGrady
<billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> CNN NETWORKS:
>>
>>
>>
>>Calling all ham radio operators
>>
>>July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>>
>>By Dan Verton
>>
>>(IDG) -- The System Administration
>>Networking and Security (SANS)
>>Institute is recruiting amateur radio
>>operators to take part in an
>>emergency communications network
>>that it said could be used by disaster
>>relief personnel in the event of a
>>catastrophic failure of
>>telecommunications systems,
>>including the Internet.
>
>Give us a break!
>Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
>They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>
>-Barry
>========
>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

75. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 17:29:43 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <4B1DD941B27C64A7.6FE4CDAA08E62743.393E70582A81D7D0@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 16319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in
<tmh7n9ie4q6me3@corp.supernews.com>:

>The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human
>waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be placed
>there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not a belief.

So should we line up all the doctors that have ever prescribed
suppositories, and have them shot?

76. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 17:36:29 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kc321$j06$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16320

I must disagree Dwight. You seem to think that we all need to bend over and kiss it goodby. There are many out here that will not do that. They will fight.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3B6925AF.3BA8712B@sccoast.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > Sometimes I stop and ask myself,
> > "Self, what do the 'One Worlder's'
> > see in a One World Government?
> > What do they have against Freedom
> > and Independence? What part of
> > national sovreignty is it that
> > bothers them so much?"
> >
> > If you, or anyone else in the NG,
> > knows the answers to these or any
> > other questions about the One
> > World Order, please let me in on it.
> > I don't get it -- and I'm not sure
> > I want to!
>
>
> In my opinion, it's is the same thing that has driven world conquest
> for centuries - power and control. In other words, for your answer,
> just look back at Genghis Kahn, Adolf Hitler, and others, to see what
> drove them. However, instead of swords or tanks, today's elite use
> banks, wages, and similar economic power, to do their conquests (the
> conquered submit more easily when offered crappy wages instead of death).
>
> And, like previous people fighting the battles of world conquest,
> there is a certain amount of jealousy or hatred thrown in. For
> example, many entering this country today are from countries with a
> long history of hatred towards the United States (for whatever
> reason). Obviously, they're thrilled that they can come here and take
> a job away from, or otherwise harm, an "American."
>
> But those coming into the country today are probably the least of
> our worries. America's biggest threats are right here in this country
> now. The elite is getting more wealthy (losing touch with everything
> this country represents in the process), minorities are slowly gaining
> power (with hatred driving their ambition), and so many have swallowed

> the liberal concepts of the new world order (ending any possibility of
> stopping it). Because of that, the writing is on the wall, my friend.
> And I really don't think I need to read it to you.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

77. Re: Hetrophobic Southern Baptists .....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 18:01:39 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kc4gj$81q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Maris? Roger? Whatcha talking about?

In article <9f4bfe89.0108020837.6dcec147@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<9k9vs2uqu1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> You're all nuts because Lillith was the wife of Dr. Fraser Crane in
>> "Cheers."
>
> Exactly. And although rather severe in manner, she was able to have
> kids, as proven by the birth of Frederick.
>
> On the other hand, I'm not so sure about Maris.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

78. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 20:53:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108021252.167fc3c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16322

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B6967DB.9C3D86F3@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > > How else are we ever going to get one world government?
> > >
> > > Is one world government necessarily a bad thing?
> >
> > One world government has the advantage that all wars would be
> > "civil". :-)

Yup.

> > With the presently defined US poverty status and welfare programs,
> > a one world government would mean that every person would be living
> > in poverty including you and me.

How do you figure that, Cecil? Nobody said what the world government would be like.

73 de Jim, N2EY

79. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 21:08:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802170828.10174.00002585@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 16323

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 07/30/2001 4:23 PM Central Daylight Time

>THE 12 COMMANDMENTS OF POSTING ON RRAP

As always, Hans, very cute coming from you.

>12. When in doubt, insult : If you forget the other 11 rules, remember
>this one. At some point during your wonderful career as a flamer you
>will undoubtedly end up in a flame war with someone who is better
>than you.

I see you have this one down pat.

Steve

80. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 21:10:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802171056.10174.00002586@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 16324

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 07/30/2001 4:37 PM Central Daylight Time

>I didn't call anybody a liar or cheat, Steve. I am too much of a Texas
>gentleman to do that. *YOU* said you were not forced to submit to the
>force of law embodied in the FCC requirements. I didn't say that, *YOU*
>did. I merely furnished the obvious concluding 'then' portion of the
>conditional logic statement.

Untrue. You repeated the "cheat" and "liar" comments over and over. On at
least two occassions you stated that if I did not conform to YOUR definitions,
then >>I<< must have cheated or was lying.

>I wasn't going to post anything else on the subject, but you continued
>to defame my character even when I wasn't posting.

I said nothing of your character, Cecil. Another untruth.

81. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 21:18:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802171835.10174.00002588@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 16325

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 07/31/2001 2:17 AM Central Daylight Time

> Nobody called you a liar or a cheat, Steve.

You missed some, Dwight.

>Cecil's premise is that
>"if" you didn't meet the requirements to get a license, then you had
>to have cheated or you're lying about being forced to meet the
>requirements.

(1) I did meet the requirements to get a license. Five times. Novice through Extra.

(2) I did not cheat, nor did anyone do any illegal or improper thing on my behalf.

(3) I was not forced to do it.

It really is THAT simple.

> So, in the end, your steadfast refusal to accept a legitimate
>definition for a word is what drives this discussion, Steve.

I don't accept his particular definition, Dwight. I was not forced. Period.

>You have
>the power to end the discussion by simply not responding. If you fail
>to do that, then you're equally responsible for everything that
>happens after that (you're not an innocent victim).

You have missed the WHOLE point, Dwight. This is all about NOT being a "victim". Cecil's premise is that everyone is "forced" to do something. By BEING "forced", they can then salve themselves of any responsibility of supporting an otherwise controversial point of view.

>And THAT is the simple truth, my friend.

Yes, it is.

73

Steve, K4YZ

82. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 23:20:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghghdja.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16326

On Thu, 02 Aug 2001 07:51:09 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>> I wonder if civil forfeiture laws apply to bootleg users of critical
>> radio services? Maybe if Uncle Charley could seize your car, your
>> house, and anything else you own when you improperly use a Marine
>> VHF radio, the problem would go away!

Present law allows only the seizure and forfeiture of the radio and
accessories, not vehicles, homes, or bank accounts. Heroin this
isn't.
>
> I wish. Heck, I wish there was a way just to seize the radio.

It is seizable and forfeitable under present law - Section 510 of
the Comm Act - just like linears, "export model" CBs, and ham
equipment used by non-hams. Requires proof of use and issuance of a
court order. The "nickname" of this type of mis-usage is "kitchen
coastals" and it is on the FCC's "OK to do when found" list.

>I have
>never understood the decision to get rid of the license for VHF marine
>radio. Didn't the FCC learn anything from the CB madness?

Absolutely not. Don't get me started.......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

83. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 23:20:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghghxbc.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16327

Note the Subject Line.

BOLOGNA is a city in Italy, giving its name to a type of sausage.
Properly pronounced "Bolown-ya".

BALONEY is pure BS, derived from "Blarney", that area in Ireland.
Properly pronounced "r.r.a.p."

My two lira.....or is someone proposing that the capitol of The One
World be that certain city in Italy....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

84. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-02 23:20:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghghmob.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Aug 2001 17:29:43 GMT, Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH wrote:

>>The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human
>>waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be placed
>>there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not a belief.
>
>So should we line up all the doctors that have ever prescribed
>suppositories, and have them shot?

Ditto for prostate exams and sigmoid- and colon-scopes (the big
silver flute....).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

85. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 00:33:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802203351.02266.00001349@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 16330

In article <3B69294A.5CC07480@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Then where does the money come
>> from? Are we talking about spending
>> more tax dollars on new social
>> programs?
>
>
> No, the goal is to move existing money from existing government
>programs into more efficient local programs. There is no goal to
>change the existing local programs (such as the family planning
>service programs mentioned).

Ahh - then what's being discussed is defunding some govt. programs to fund
private ones because they are allegedly "more efficient". OK

>> Simple. As soon as the govt. starts
>> handing tax dollars to religious
>> organizations, the separation is
>> violated.
>
> The government is not "handing" tax dollars to religious
>organizations. Those organizations don't get to keep the money.
>Instead, the government would provide money to distribute to others.

Lemme see if I understand. The faith based folks simply provide the service,
but are supervised by the govt. folks?
>
>> If the govt. gives tax dollars to
>> some religious groups but not
>> others, that's endorsement.
>
> Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read
>the previous messages and lets move on.
>
I did. We see it differently, that's all.

>> But that would have to happen in
>> the real world. Somebody, somewhere,
>> would have to decide which groups
>> get what money, and which groups
>> don't. And that somebody is gonna
>> be a govt. official.

>
> Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read
>the previous messages and lets move on.

I say that the govt. and religions need to stay at arm's length.
>
>> What constitutes a "legitimate" religion? (snip)
>
> Already answered that three or four times in this discussion. Read
>the previous messages and lets move on.

You go with the tax code. Makes sense to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

86. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 00:33:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802203352.02266.00001350@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 16329

In article <3B69362A.676F6934@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> For example, the Catholic church is
>> against abortion, almost all forms
>> of contraception, and non-marital
>> sex of any kind. That's their
>> official policy, and they have
>> every right to promote it. (snip)
>> Suppose the Catholic church asks
>> for govt. money for family planning
>> services, and so do some of the
>> churches who have opposite views.
>> If the Catholics don't get it, the
>> govt. is endorsing the opposing
>> view. Either way, somebody gets
>> endorsed and somebody else gets
>> snubbed.
>
>
> Sorry, but that argument simply does not fit the facts, Jim. The
>faith based initiatives in question are not based on the policies,
>beliefs, or traditions, of the various organizations.

The point of my example was to show the principle involved.
>
> For example, Catholic Charities USA already receives federal funding
>under the Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program
>(CFDA-83.523). At the same time, the Catholic Church has not been
>required to change their policies about abortion, contraception, or
>anything else. In fact, they have not even been asked about their
>policies in relationship to this program.

Sure - because those policies have nothing to do with emergency food and
shelter.
>
> The same is true for the United Jewish Communities and National
>Council of Churches (both of which also receive funding under the same
>program). And the same is true for the many other organizations who
>receive funding under this program, including a good number of
>non-religious groups.
>
Only because emergency food and shelter is noncontroversial and doesn't

conflict with anybody's policies.

What happens when something controversial comes up? For example, consider medical services for people displaced by natural disasters. Faith-based groups can house and feed the people, short term or long term, sure - but what happens when the displaced people start asking for contraceptives? Will Catholic Charities supply them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

87. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:03:10 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A065B.42FE1A9F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16331

W4NTI wrote:
>
> I must disagree Dwight. You seem
> to think that we all need to bend
> over and kiss it goodby. There
> are many out here that will not
> do that. They will fight.

I hope you're right. But I suspect it may already be too late for that. At this point, I find it very hard to be optimistic about the future of this country. I'm not giving up on the country yet, but each day I feel it is more and more like a battle already lost.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

88. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:14:23 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <3Ona7.15841$ar1.57832@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16332

Cyberstalker Robeson K4YZ says...

>>THE 12 COMMANDMENTS OF POSTING ON RRAP
>
> As always, Hans, very cute coming from you.
>

Whatsamatter, Jarhead? Slow day at the office?

With all sincere good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

89. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:21:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A0AA4.88B8B52C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16333

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Note the Subject Line.
>
> BOLOGNA is a city in Italy, giving
> its name to a type of sausage.
> Properly pronounced "Bolown-ya".
>
> BALONEY is pure BS, derived from
> "Blarney", that area in Ireland.
> Properly pronounced "r.r.a.p."
>
> My two lira.....or is someone
> proposing that the capitol of The One
> World be that certain city in Italy....

That seems like an awful lot of unnecessary baloney about Bologna. ;-)

Actually, while I don't know if that was the original poster's intent, didn't you use ever bologna instead of baloney as a kid? It was common slang back in my school days - meaning a person or thing that was full of it (BS), as in a stuffed sausage.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

90. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:31:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A0D00.D613D317@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16334

N2EY wrote:
>
> Ahh - then what's being discussed
> is defunding some govt. programs
> to fund private ones because they
> are allegedly "more efficient". OK

Actually, some of them have already been hit with cuts (some welfare programs, etc).

> Lemme see if I understand. The
> faith based folks simply provide
> the service, but are supervised
> by the govt. folks?

That's supposed to be the general idea. Under the existing programs, the organization has to give details on exactly how the money will be spend, and so forth. The granting agency (depends on the program) is supposed to keep an eye on the organization to insure the money goes where is is supposed to go. However, like most others, I'm concerned about accountability (the government doesn't have a great record in this regard). And without strong oversight, this is going to be ripe for abuse (like almost any other federally funded program).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

91. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:51:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghgsuci.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16335

On Thu, 02 Aug 2001 22:21:31 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Actually, while I don't know if that was the original poster's
>intent, didn't you use ever bologna instead of baloney as a kid? It
>was common slang back in my school days - meaning a person or thing
>that was full of it (BS), as in a stuffed sausage.

Naw, for both we used "B'low-nee". I didn't grow up in an Italian
neighborhood although one of my close friends in 5th and 6th grade
was Charlie Spinnato (I wonder where he is today).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

92. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:54:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010802225423.20221.00005513@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 16336

In article <3B6A0AA4.88B8B52C@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> Actually, while I don't know if that was the original poster's
>intent, didn't you use ever bologna instead of baloney as a kid? It
>was common slang back in my school days - meaning a person or thing
>that was full of it (BS), as in a stuffed sausage.

Dwight:

Yes, that was the original poster's intent. I should know -- I have
a very close relationship with the original poster!

73 de Larry, K3LT

One World Order??? BAAA-LONEY!!!

93. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 02:54:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010802225423.20221.00005512@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 16337

In article <3B696D77.8232CD48@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Liberals love to promote the idea of diversity. Why reduce that
>diversity with a single world government? A one world government is
>the worst idea that liberals have ever come up with. And, in my
>opinion, if liberals in this country attempt to put such a government
>in power, there should be an immediate civil war to stop it (and I'm
>not joking)

Dwight:

Don't worry -- there WILL be a civil war to prevent anyone intent on
denying American citizens their freedom and independence. Of
course, it will probably start long before the actual attempt to
overthrow our present representative republic takes place. It will
start when some whacko trys to disarm over 90 million law-abiding
American gun owners. When they come to grab the guns, they
will have to have 90 million SWAT teams ready to take us all down
by force at the same time. Even if only 10% of the law-abiding gun
owners decide to defend their Second Amendment rights with their
lives, that would still require 9 million SWAT teams, 9 million
federal trials, and cell space for 9 million political prisoners.
Naturally, they will attempt to do this incrementally, by banning
every category of weapons right down to .22 LR bolt-action rifles.
What remains to be seen is how law-abiding American gun owners
will react. The law-abiding gun owners of the U.K. and Australia
were disarmed fairly easily. I don't think the same will be possible
here -- at least, I hope not!

73 de Larry, K3LT

94. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 03:24:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A1972.A814EA52@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16338

N2EY wrote:
>
> Only because emergency food and
> shelter is noncontroversial and
> doesn't conflict with anybody's
> policies.
>
> What happens when something
> controversial comes up? For example,
> consider medical services for
> people displaced by natural
> disasters. Faith-based groups
> can house and feed the people,
> short term or long term, sure -
> but what happens when the
> displaced people start asking
> for contraceptives? Will Catholic
> Charities supply them?

Okay, I'll have to go look through the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance to find something more controversial. How about the Grants for Residential Treatment Programs for Pregnant and Postpartum Women program under the Department of Health and Human Services (CFDA-93.101)? Or the Children's Health Protection program under the Environmental Protection Agency (CFDA-66.609)? Or how about the Family Planning Service Delivery Improvement Research Grants under the Department of Health and Human Services (CFDA-93.974)?

Or how about the Fair Housing Initiatives and Fair Housing Organizations Initiative Program under the Department of Housing and Urban Development (CFDA-14.413)? Or the Crime Victim Assistance program under the Department of Justice (CFDA-16.575)?

Or how about the Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program under the Department of Health and Human Services (CFDA-93.137)? Or the Health Disparities Grants in Minority Health program under the Department of Health and Human Services (CFDA-93.100)? Or the Public Health Traineeships program under the Department of Education (CFDA-93.964)?

There are literally hundreds of federal programs open to non-profit religious groups listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance. I simply don't have the time to look through them all, so I hope what I've offered is enough.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

95. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 03:38:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108021938.28df05c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16340

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<9f4bfe89.0108021252.167fc3c3@posting.google.com>...
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B6967DB.9C3D86F3@IEEE.org>...

> > With the presently defined US poverty status and welfare programs,
> > a one world government would mean that every person would be living
> > in poverty including you and me.
>
> How do you figure that, Cecil? Nobody said what the world government would be like.
>
No problem, the Roman Empire and the League of Nations are the precusors . .
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

96. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 03:39:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A1CF1.7EFC3BAA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16339

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> > So, in the end, your steadfast
> > refusal to accept a legitimate
> > definition for a word is what
> > drives this discussion, Steve.
>
> I don't accept his particular
> definition, Dwight. I was not forced.
> Period.

And that is just fine, Steve. However, your decision to use the word "forced" within the context of your definition does not eliminate the definition that Cecil is using. In other words, under your definition, you are correct - you were not forced. However, under Cecil's definition, you were indeed forced. Again, your steadfast refusal to accept that is the driving force behind this discussion. Accept it, or ignore it, and the discussion ends.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

97. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 04:01:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A2213.C0A6D2DC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16341

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> It is seizable and forfeitable
> under present law - Section 510 of
> the Comm Act - just like linears,
> "export model" CBs, and ham
> equipment used by non-hams. Requires
> proof of use and issuance of a court
> order. The "nickname" of this type
> of mis-usage is "kitchen coastals"
> and it is on the FCC's "OK to do
> when found" list.

I wish the FCC would extend that to external agencies. On a number of occasions, I've ran across people using a marine radio improperly and I would have loved a method to take that radio away on a few of those. For example, in one situation, a coastie and I had to visit the same person three times for the same offense (playing on the distress frequency). In the end, we solved the problem by suggesting that we might discover during another trip that his boat was not safe to operate (didn't meet state laws). Since it was a fairly new boat, this was a bluff (but, since he stopped, he obviously wasn't willing to take the chance).

> > I have never understood the decision
> > to get rid of the license for VHF
> > marine radio. Didn't the FCC learn
> > anything from the CB madness?
>
> Absolutely not. Don't get me started...

You don't have to. While we may not agree on a possible solution for today's problem, we most certainly agree on the problem itself.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

98. Re: Real Nazis in the Republican Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 04:06:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A2322.7376E63E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16342

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Since you're talking about Dan
> > Rather, Peter Jennings, and Tom
> > Brokow, that's kind of a rhetorical
> > question, isn't it? These guys, and
> > their networks, are so liberal,
> > they insist Clinton is a conservative.
>
> They've taken a lesson from the Bible's
> Tower of Babel.

I have no idea where they took their lessons - though I do
occasionally see the faint red glow of a little guy with a pitchfork
sitting on their shoulders. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

99. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 04:09:18 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6A23CE.F1B1BBE4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16343

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> > Hey, give us a break, Jim. We
> > are at least representative of the
> > people in newsgroups, aren't we? :-)
>
> Nope. Not without scientific sampling
> criteria being met.

You are a hard man, Jim. I thought you would at least cut us some slack (the benefit of the doubt).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

100. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 04:36:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6A2A66.6FF8E8F4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16344

Phil Kane wrote:
> Naw, for both we used "B'low-nee". I didn't grow up in an Italian
> neighborhood although one of my close friends in 5th and 6th grade
> was Charlie Spinnato (I wonder where he is today).

There was a personal posting in the Bryan, TX Eagle today. Some lady
was looking for a boyfriend. She described herself as half white and
half Italian. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

101. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 04:38:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6A2ACB.A7A114D9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16345

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > With the presently defined US poverty status and welfare programs,
> > a one world government would mean that every person would be living
> > in poverty including you and me.
>
> How do you figure that, Cecil? Nobody said what the world government would be like.

You and I know it would be socialist, Jim.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

102. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 04:54:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6A2E76.7F6244AA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16346

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Untrue. You repeated the "cheat" and "liar" comments over and over.

I repeated the conditional logic statements over and over. *Your answers*
are what turned you into a cheat or a liar. If/then statements don't
declare a conclusion.

Here's how the discussions went. I said:

If you weren't required by circumstances (forced) to meet the FCC
requirements which carry the force of law then you cheated to get
your ham license.

You said: "I was not forced." Given the nature of conditional logic
statements, only one conclusion is possible. If you didn't cheat,
you were required by circumstances (forced) to meet the FCC requirements
which carry the force of law just like all the rest of us licensed
US hams did.

> >I wasn't going to post anything else on the subject, but you continued
> >to defame my character even when I wasn't posting.
>
> I said nothing of your character, Cecil. Another untruth.

You said I quit the game because I didn't like the rules. That's
certainly a statement about my character. What's worse, you waited
until I wasn't posting anymore to make the statement.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

103. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 05:02:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6A3048.E629B3AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16347

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> (3) I was not forced to do it.

There's the lie, Steve. Since you haven't posted your own definition of forced, you are tacitly accepting the context of the definition I posted from Webster's dictionary. So you are saying that you were not required by circumstances (forced) to meet the FCC requirements which means you didn't have to meet the FCC requirements. If you didn't have to meet the FCC requirements in order to get an amateur radio license, you cheated. It's that simple. Either you were required by circumstances (forced) to meet the FCC requirements or you cheated.

If, as you say, you didn't have to meet the FCC requirements in order to obtain an amateur radio license, then exactly how did you get one?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

104. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 05:12:03 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghgyuo1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16348

On Thu, 02 Aug 2001 23:36:54 -0500, CAM wrote:

>There was a personal posting in the Bryan, TX Eagle today. Some lady
>was looking for a boyfriend. She described herself as half white and
>half Italian. :-)

To quote a character in "JAG" when referring to the hero: "Too bad
that I'm too short, too old, and too married....."

My sister-in-law is all Italian (second generation) and she's a real
sweetheart.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

105. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 05:12:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghgzdf2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16349

On Fri, 03 Aug 2001 00:01:52 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> It is seizable and forfeitable under present law - Section 510 of
>> the Comm Act - just like linears, "export model" CBs, and ham
>> equipment used by non-hams. Requires proof of use and issuance of a court
>> order. The "nickname" of this type of mis-usage is "kitchen coastals"
>> and it is on the FCC's "OK to do when found" list.
>
>
> I wish the FCC would extend that to external agencies. On a number
>of occasions, I've ran across people using a marine radio improperly

Seizure/forfeiture is only authorized when the radio is modified or
is used in a situation where the "blanket authorization" does not
apply, such as not on a vessel or in a situation permitted by the
Rules, or on a channel not authorized for marine use under any
situation, or if used for willful and intentional harmful
interference after a "cease and desist" warning in writing or over
the radio.

At one time there was a procedure where if the USCG found someone
using the wrong channel or "playing around" on Channel 16 (a Rule
violation only) they would issue a report to the FCC and the FCC
would issue a Notice of Violation (the first step in administrative
sanction).

>and I would have loved a method to take that radio away on a few of
>those. For example, in one situation, a coastie and I had to visit the
>same person three times for the same offense (playing on the distress
>frequency). In the end, we solved the problem by suggesting that we
>might discover during another trip that his boat was not safe to
>operate (didn't meet state laws). Since it was a fairly new boat, this
>was a bluff (but, since he stopped, he obviously wasn't willing to
>take the chance).

Whatever works......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

106. Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 09:02:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <SMta7.16049$ar1.58505@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16350

First of all, let me say that I am an author of some repute and a respected person within the 11 meter dxing hobby. I am not an ignorant hillbilly without a

clue as to what the radio spectrum is all about and its value to the general public as well as corporate interests. For some years I have been a devotee of freebanding, that is to say, a personal liberation of the free airwaves for free

use as citizens see fit. I have talked all over the world on 27 mhz and even further using a linear amplifier, and all this without benefit of a license or interference from the government or unnecessary testing. Recently a clutch of rf

cops and mincing rule-following parrots from the ham ranks have invaded the cb room in an effort to put down and otherwise abuse right minded cbers just because we refuse to bow down to the ham gods. Mr. Leland Scott KC8LDO is one of

these self proclaimed Thors who attempts to bludgeon unenlightened cb peons with

his "knowledge". hahahahahahaha! If Leland and his parrots knew as much as I do about radio they would be dangerous. Why don't you hams take him aside and tell him gently what an ass he is making of himself in the cb room (where I may add, he does not belong). To give you an idea of how disturbed this person is, he (I suspect) sent me a soiled men's undergarment in the mail perhaps with the intent

to embarrass me. I can assure you, Leland, this type of bizarre and sexually confused activity only shames you, not me. Then, he gloated in the cb room by posting taunts and challenges that referenced my receipt of the garment and trying (but failing) to connect me with some deviant sexual desires and porn posted earlier by his gang of pink ladies. hahahahahha did you hear that Leland?

You and your pearly pals are asexual neutered freaks with mental issues that my registered therapist friend is only too happy to detail for me which I can and will reprint in this ham room so that all your no code compatriots can see what a sick and twisted old failure you really are. When the time is right I will reveal al

107. re: Try not to relay compleatly while you're persevereing in front of a lost black bag.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 09:20:47 UTC
From: lawsuite@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <4374ceb7.5273718266.29fe6c92@posting1.google.com>
Source record: 16255

Hi Rob ...

You're still chasing Road Runner proxies, and you
haven't even begun to address Chello, Wanadoo,
BTinternet, Demon, @Home, Sympatico, BellGlogal,
Bigpond, Telstra, OptusNet, Telia, Telus, SkyNet,
etc etc.

And those are only the ones you've SEEN (ab)used
so far. How many more are there? Shortage of
servers? Not hardly.

... HipCrime

--
Where doesn't Aloysius put nearly? The resilient filthy keypads
tamely save as the unlimited emails twist. To be flat or orthodox will
delete specialized firewalls to loudly start. Who distributes
admiringly, when Shelly negotiates the hard credit card near the
office? Rose, have a bronze noise. You won't confront it.
Who will you inflate the silly overloaded modems before Orin does? Go
know a network! Never locate the backups annually, moan them
usably.

108. Re: Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 11:02:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803070254.08220.00002696@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 16251

>From: twistedhed@webtv.net

>For some years I have been a devotee of freebanding, that is to say, a
personal
> liberation of the free airwaves for free use as citizens see fit.

Somehow I think doing something that you have to do in secret and lie about in order to continue doing is not a very satisfying "personal liberation."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

109. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 11:06:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803070613.08220.00002697@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 16252

>> No, it isn't. There was no requirement, or expectation, for every
>> male to serve when that amendment was proposed and ratified.

>What they expected at that time was that every
>male would drill as a community, much like the
>Swiss did. And for a time they did so.

True enough, I imagine, but what counts today are the various intepretations that the US Supreme court has issued over the intervening centuries. Societies evolve with time, and ours is no exception.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

110. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 11:31:21 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b6a8afc.1300943@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 16253

On 03 Aug 2001 11:06:13 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>> No, it isn't. There was no requirement, or expectation, for every
>>> male to serve when that amendment was proposed and ratified.
>
>>What they expected at that time was that every
>>male would drill as a community, much like the
>>Swiss did. And for a time they did so.
>
>True enough, I imagine, but what counts today are the various intepretations
>that the US Supreme court has issued over the intervening centuries. Societies
>evolve with time, and ours is no exception.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Yep, it makes no difference about what we as individuals think,
believe, want...in the end, it is a decision that is made by
the US Supreme Ct. Not at all much different than the
code/nocode test debates...in the end it is a policy decision made by
the FCC commissioners.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

111. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 12:32:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803083211.08196.00002286@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 16254

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Yep, it makes no difference about what we as individuals think,
>believe, want...in the end, it is a decision that is made by
>the US Supreme Ct. Not at all much different than the
>code/nocode test debates...in the end it is a policy decision made by
>the FCC commissioners.

Yeah, but I somehow think we have a bit more influence over the decision of the FCC Commissioners than we do over the ones of the US Supreme Court justices. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

112. Re: New QTH . . . antenna woes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 14:24:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6AB428.52837B3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in <3B6A279D.CA45F1E@IEEE.org>:
>
> >Bob Miller wrote:
> >> Tell your neighbors a Purple Martin eats 6000 insects per hour...
> > >
> > >Unless their house is being flooded with RF.
> > >
> > >I've got a Purple Martin house. What they don't tell you is that
> > >their favorite food is dragon flies which eat mosquitoes. :-)
> >
> > Bat house, maybe?
> >
> > Heck, build a giant model rocket and make the antenna look like the launch
> > rod :)

Seriously, the top of a vertical antenna carries thousands of volts of RF.
If one must disguise a vertical antenna, please make it a flagpole and not
a baby bird roaster.

Did you hear about the city that has outlawed kites on the beach because
they *might* frighten the waterbirds?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

113. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 15:45:26 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kegtpob1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16257

Well I don't know about your part of the country Larry, but I'll g u a r a n
t e e that dog won't hunt down this way.

If you think the last yankee invasion was bad. Wait till this one hits.
Ya'll put a LOT of armories down this way. Think about it.

Dan/W4NTI Alabama

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010802225423.20221.00005512@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <3B696D77.8232CD48@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >Liberals love to promote the idea of diversity. Why reduce that
> >diversity with a single world government? A one world government is
> >the worst idea that liberals have ever come up with. And, in my
> >opinion, if liberals in this country attempt to put such a government
> >in power, there should be an immediate civil war to stop it (and I'm
> >not joking)
>
> Dwight:
>
> Don't worry -- there WILL be a civil war to prevent anyone intent on
> denying American citizens their freedom and independence. Of
> course, it will probably start long before the actual attempt to
> overthrow our present representative republic takes place. It will
> start when some whacko trys to disarm over 90 million law-abiding
> American gun owners. When they come to grab the guns, they
> will have to have 90 million SWAT teams ready to take us all down
> by force at the same time. Even if only 10% of the law-abiding gun
> owners decide to defend their Second Amendment rights with their
> lives, that would still require 9 million SWAT teams, 9 million
> federal trials, and cell space for 9 million political prisoners.
> Naturally, they will attempt to do this incrementally, by banning
> every category of weapons right down to .22 LR bolt-action rifles.
> What remains to be seen is how law-abiding American gun owners
> will react. The law-abiding gun owners of the U.K. and Australia
> were disarmed fairly easily. I don't think the same will be possible
> here -- at least, I hope not!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

114. Re: Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 18:10:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghhymg6.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16258

On 03 Aug 2001 11:02:54 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>From: twistedhed@webtv.net
>
>>For some years I have been a devotee of freebanding, that is to say, a
>personal
>> liberation of the free airwaves for free use as citizens see fit.
>
>Somehow I think doing something that you have to do in secret and lie about in
>order to continue doing is not a very satisfying "personal liberation."

Nah, it's simpler than that. It takes less energy and committment
to be a criminal than to be a law-abider.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

115. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 18:10:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghhyu47.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16259

On 03 Aug 2001 12:32:11 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>Yeah, but I somehow think we have a bit more influence over the
>decision of the FCC Commissioners than we do over the ones of the US
>Supreme Court justices.

I dunno. My kid brother is on a first-name basis with one of them
who was his mentor when he first became a prosecutor some 25+ years
ago.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

116. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 18:26:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803142645.29842.00002940@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 16260

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 8/2/01 10:14 PM Eastern

>Cyberstalker Robeson

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

I see you held to your own commandments. Very good. If you can't out
debate them, make something up.

>Whatsamatter, Jarhead? Slow day at the office?

You are just FULL of them today, Hansl!

Steve

117. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 18:32:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803143233.29842.00002941@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 16261

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/3/01 12:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time

> *Your answers*
>are what turned you into a cheat or a liar. If/then statements don't
>declare a conclusion.

Now you are stating that I AM a liar and a cheat.

For an alleged engineer, you are not overly endowed with common sense, are you?

>You said I quit the game because I didn't like the rules. That's
>certainly a statement about my character.

No, it's NOTHING about your "character", Cecil. It's no different than if I said "Cecil doesn't like chocolate ice cream".

>What's worse, you waited
>until I wasn't posting anymore to make the statement.

How could I make a statement about you quitting because you didn't like the rules if you didn't quit?

You regale us with the rules of "logic" but only when it suits your rant.

Steve

118. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 18:42:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803144232.29842.00002942@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 16262

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/3/01 1:02 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> (3) I was not forced to do it.

>There's the lie, Steve. Since you haven't posted your own definition
>of forced, you are tacitly accepting the context of the definition I posted
from Webster's dictionary.

I see...I don't accept YOUR definition, ergo I am a liar.

>If, as you say, you didn't have to meet the FCC requirements in order
>to obtain an amateur radio license, then exactly how did you get one?

More untruths. I have SPECIFICALLY stated that I DID meet the
requirements for an Amateur Radio license.

Five times.

What I DID say was I was NOT forced to do it, and I did not cheat, nor did
anyone cheat or act on my behalf to do it.

It really is THAT simple.

>then exactly how did you get one?

I studied. I practiced. I prevailed. I was not forced.

Steve

119. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 19:13:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6AF7F4.2E99AC8E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16263

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > *Your answers* are what turned you into a cheat or a liar.
> > If/then statements don't declare a conclusion.
>
> Now you are stating that I AM a liar and a cheat.

Nope, absolutely not. I respect you too much and am too much of
a gentleman to say such a thing. Given the structure and context
of what you have posted, assuming that you comprehend English, you
have admitted to being a liar or a cheat. I only pointed out your
admission. You are certainly free to retract your admission.

I have checked back over all my postings. All the conclusions that
you are a liar or a cheat are conditional upon your admission that
you were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements.

What you need to do is to cease to deny the fact that you were indeed
required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements, just like all
the rest of us, in order to receive an amateur radio license.

> For an alleged engineer, you are not overly endowed with common sense, are
> you?

What does common sense have to do with dictionary definitions and the
rules of classical logic?

> >You said I quit the game because I didn't like the rules. That's
> >certainly a statement about my character.
>
> No, it's NOTHING about your "character", Cecil. It's no different than if
> I said "Cecil doesn't like chocolate ice cream".

Which would be a lie.

> >What's worse, you waited
> >until I wasn't posting anymore to make the statement.
>
> How could I make a statement about you quitting because you didn't like
> the rules if you didn't quit?

My point exactly! I would not be back posting except to defend my
besmirched honor.

> You regale us with the rules of "logic" but only when it suits your rant.

It would be stupid to use the rules of logic against my positions.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

120. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 19:29:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6AFBAE.CDE41B9E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16264

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> (3) I was not forced to do it.
>
> >There's the lie, Steve. Since you haven't posted your own definition
> >of forced, you are tacitly accepting the context of the definition I posted
> from Webster's dictionary.
>
> I see...I don't accept YOUR definition, ergo I am a liar.

There's more to it than that. If you say, "This morning my bus had
a flat tire", and I say, "That's impossible, busses don't have tires",
wouldn't you say I was lying? If you don't object to the definition
being used in context then you have tacitly accepted that definition.

You didn't say, "I'm changing the contextual definition of 'forced'
and I wasn't forced." After I published the definition of force that
was being used in context, you said, "I was not forced", which means
that you were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements.
What other conclusion is possible after that?

> >If, as you say, you didn't have to meet the FCC requirements in order
> >to obtain an amateur radio license, then exactly how did you get one?
>
> More untruths. I have SPECIFICALLY stated that I DID meet the
> requirements for an Amateur Radio license.

You simply cannot have it both ways. You cannot deny being required by
circumstances to meet the requirements and turn around and say you met
the requirements. That's clearly a logical contradiction. How can you
possibly prove that you would have met the requirements even if they
had not existed? To imply such a thing is ridiculous.

> What I DID say was I was NOT forced to do it, and I did not cheat, nor did
> anyone cheat or act on my behalf to do it.

You were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements and
you did not cheat yet you obtained an amateur radio license. Those
conditions are clearly contradictory, i.e. impossible. It is impossible
to obtain an amateur radio license without submitting to the force of
law embodied in the FCC requirements other than to cheat. The path that
you are trying to take through the conditional logic equation matrix
simply does NOT exist.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

121. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 21:04:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>
Source record: 16265

"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:

> The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human
> waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be placed
> there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not a belief.

If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?

122. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-03 22:47:02 UTC
From: BlackSilver@aol.com
Message-ID: <GRFa7.16760$ar1.62381@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <tmh7n9ie4q6me3@corp.supernews.com>, J. Duffy Beischel says...

>The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human
>waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be placed
>there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not a belief.

Hey, J!

Check out the facks at http://www.landoverbaptist.org/news0801/rectums.html

123. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 00:30:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803203043.09252.00000345@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 16267

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/3/01 3:29 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>There's more to it than that.

No, there's not.

>If you don't object to the definition
>being used in context then you have tacitly accepted that definition.

It has been REPEATEDLY objected to.

>You didn't say, "I'm changing the contextual definition of 'forced'
>and I wasn't forced."

It seems you are the only one here who needs to have something repeated to
you over and over, and even then you can't seem to accept the simple truth...

I

WAS

NOT

FORCED.

YOU published (as did several others) a multitude of definitions of
"forced". I do not accept YOUR self-victimizing application of "forced".

>After I published the definition of force that
>was being used in context, you said, "I was not forced", which means
>that you were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements.
>What other conclusion is possible after that?

I DID meet the requirements.

I was NOT forced to do so.

>You simply cannot have it both ways.

I am not seeking to HAVE it both ways, Cecil.

I DID meet the requirements.

I did NOT cheat or lie to do it.

>How can you
>possibly prove that you would have met the requirements even if they
>had not existed?

THAT is foolish. I got a good laugh from it though...Thanks.

>You were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements and
>you did not cheat yet you obtained an amateur radio license. Those
>conditions are clearly contradictory, i.e. impossible.

Wading through your "arguments" is the impossible part, Cecil.

I met the requirements for a license. I was not forced to do so.

It really is THAT simple.

YOU may have been "forced", but I bet the fermented grapes you allegedly consumed prior to presenting to the FCC office took the edge off of it, huh...?!?!

> It is impossible
>to obtain an amateur radio license without submitting to the force of
>law embodied in the FCC requirements other than to cheat.

In YOUR perception it is "impossible" to be licesed without being "forced" to do it.

MY perception is that I was not forced to do anything I did not want to do.

PERIOD.

I know you are having trouble accepting that, but that's OK...

>The path that
>you are trying to take through the conditional logic equation matrix
>simply does NOT exist.

Cecil...you really need to get out more.

I met the requirements to obtain licensure.

I was not forced to do so.

I did not cheat nor lie to do it.

It really is THAT simple.

124. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 00:59:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803205906.09252.00000348@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 16268

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/3/01 3:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I respect you too much and am too much of
>a gentleman to say such a thing.

No...you simply repeat over and over that anyone who does not accpet YOUR
argument is a cheater or a liar.

>Given the structure and context
>of what you have posted, assuming that you comprehend English, you
>have admitted to being a liar or a cheat.

Again, you wordsmith with the best of them, Cecil.

I have specifically stated that I did neither. I HAVE stated that I was
NOT FORCED to meet the requirements of licensure for the Amateur Radio Service.

You, on the otherhand, simply choose to adhere to a narrow definition of
"forced" as if it is the only one applicable.

>I only pointed out your
>admission. You are certainly free to retract your admission.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>I have checked back over all my postings. All the conclusions that
>you are a liar or a cheat are conditional upon your admission that
>you were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements.

Then YOU have lied about reading all the past posts...of course I guess
that was "conditional" that it was YOUR posts that you have read, huh...?!?!
Guess you DIDN'T lie...just chose which set of facts to apply here, huh...?!?!

>What you need to do is to cease to deny the fact that you were indeed
>required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements, just like all
>the rest of us, in order to receive an amateur radio license.

What YOU need to do is to cease insisting that I was "forced" to do
something when I know otherwise.

Also, I might suggest a remedial elementary school level reading course.

>> For an alleged engineer, you are not overly endowed with common sense, are
>> you?

>What does common sense have to do with dictionary definitions and the
>rules of classical logic?

What does it have to do, indeed!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Thanks, Cecil! Here I thought it was going to be boring tonight!

>My point exactly! I would not be back posting except to defend my
>besmirched honor.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

BESMIRCHED HONOR...?!?!

BWWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

That happened long before we got into THIS exchange, and was done by
others than ME!

125. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 01:23:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B4E79.E3F92726@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16269

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Naw, for both we used "B'low-nee".
> I didn't grow up in an Italian
> neighborhood although one of my
> close friends in 5th and 6th grade
> was Charlie Spinnato (I wonder
> where he is today).

Yep, a lot of people used baloney like that (I did). But there was a commercial out back then about bologna (Oscar Meyer or someone like that) and everybody just seemed to pick up on it all of a sudden. It wasn't long before "everyone" was calling others full of bologna instead of baloney. Maybe it was just a local thing.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

126. Re: One World Order -- Bologna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 01:28:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B4FC5.89FA7021@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16270

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Yes, that was the original poster's
> intent. I should know -- I have a
> very close relationship with the
> original poster!

Okay, it was you. I wasn't sure. I had read the message earlier and
didn't remember exactly who wrote it. And, since my newsreader hides
messages that I've read, I wasn't able to instantly look back to check.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

127. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 02:28:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B5DCB.1D6ECB1F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16271

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Don't worry -- there WILL be a civil
> war to prevent anyone intent on denying
> American citizens their freedom and
> independence. Of course, it will
> probably start long before the actual
> attempt to overthrow our present
> representative republic takes place. It
> will start when some whacko trys to
> disarm over 90 million law-abiding
> American gun owners. (snip) Naturally,
> they will attempt to do this
> incrementally, (snip) What remains to
> be seen is how law- abiding American
> gun owners will react. (snip) I don't
> think the same will be possible here -
> - at least, I hope not!

But, that is exactly the problem, Larry. They're doing this very incrementally - just enough to make a good dent, but not enough to get too many people upset at the same time. They've learned the lessons of history very well - do what you want but don't do anything that provides a trigger (trigger = that one thing that joins people together, that provides a common enemy to fight, that makes people say we have to do something and we have to do it now).

Without that trigger, they can keep going on forever. And look at how far they have gotten so far. Look at how many of our freedoms have been eliminated over the years. At one time, there were restraints on the government and we had widespread freedoms (so many, the protections under the Bill of Rights was not even an issue). Now, we have almost no rights unless the situation is specifically covered under the Bill of Rights. And we're fighting desperately now just to keep the protections under the Bill of Rights intact (and slowly losing that battle also).

Or look at our economic situation. Today you almost have to bury yourself in debt just to live a decent lifestyle (if you want more, you do have to bury yourself in debts). Wages have have not kept up with the cost of living over the last thirty to forty years (most of our parents were able to buy a home, land, cars, furniture, and so forth, all on one income for the entire family). Today it takes two jobs to do the same thing (and many are working overtime, or at a second job, to do it even in a two income family).

At one time, good jobs were widely available. Today, people stand in long lines whenever a decent job opening is announced. At one time, almost everyone in this country worked at jobs with a retirement program. Today, we provide for our own retirement. We once used to get decent paid vacations. Today, a person is lucky if he gets a week off a year.

Look at our education system today. The average college student spends less then three hours a day in the classroom. Yet, at the same time, the cost of going to college has went through the roof (and people wonder why diploma mills are so popular - most colleges are diploma mills today). High school kids are graduating without even the basic ability to read and write.

I could just go on forever. We've got so many problems in this country, it would take us decades to solve them all if we started right now. And, sadly, for so many of these problems, I see no attempt at all to even get started on coming up with a solution (and the problems just keep getting worse).

Well, I've had enough of this self pity. There isn't a thing in the world I can do about it, so I'm just going to go back to ignoring everything like so many others in this country do. I'll start repeating to myself that we're the best country on earth (like that somehow excuses the problems). There's no place like home (click, click). There's no place like home (click, click). There's no place like...

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

128. Re: Reporting improper frequency useage to FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 02:52:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B6355.DBC4811D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16272

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > and I would have loved a method to
> > take that radio away on a few of
> > those. For example, in one situation,
> > a coastie and I had to visit the
> > same person three times for the same
> > offense (playing on the distress
> > frequency). In the end, we solved
> > the problem by suggesting that we
> > might discover during another trip
> > that his boat was not safe to
> > operate (didn't meet state laws).
> > Since it was a fairly new boat, this
> > was a bluff (but, since he stopped,
> > he obviously wasn't willing to take
> > the chance).
>
> Whatever works......

You had to be there to appreciated it. This guy was drunk as heck, having a party at a marina with a number of his friends, and was camped out on the distress frequency for repeated fifteen to twenty minutes bursts - talking about girls, how he was "killed" in WWII (he wasn't even born in WWII), how he had the fastest boat on the water, and a bunch of other junk). After each burst of nonsense, he would stop for two or three minutes (to use the bathroom, get another drink, think up something new to say, or whatever he was doing) and then he would be right back at it.

We finally had to hunt him down. And he would stop for about twenty minutes to an hour each time we talked to him. But then he would be right back at it. Since we really couldn't find anything to do to him (he wasn't on the water, so DUI was out), we had to come up with something creative. Threatening his boating for a while seemed to be just the ticket.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

129. IOoDV&HW Life Member Nomination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 03:18:00 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <IPJa7.16923$ar1.62472@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16273

In conclusion of a long and tormented jeremiad, Dwight Stewart says...

> I could just go on forever. We've got so many problems in this
>country, it would take us decades to solve them all if we started
>right now. And, sadly, for so many of these problems, I see no attempt
>at all to even get started on coming up with a solution (and the
>problems just keep getting worse).
>
> Well, I've had enough of this self pity. There isn't a thing in the
>world I can do about it, so I'm just going to go back to ignoring
>everything like so many others in this country do. I'll start
>repeating to myself that we're the best country on earth (like that
>somehow excuses the problems).

Dwight,

I have petitioned the Director of IOoDV&HW (International Order of Dismayed Victims & Hand Wringers) to grant you a Life Membership without payment of dues.

Pathetic!

With all sincere good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

130. Re: New QTH . . . antenna woes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 03:32:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B6CB9.F9633F15@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM wrote:
>
> Seriously, the top of a vertical antenna
> carries thousands of volts of RF. If one
> must disguise a vertical antenna, please
> make it a flagpole and not a baby bird
> roaster.

I've had the problem is dealing with antenna restrictions several times in the past. In one situation, I buried about a quarter mile of coax to a nearby woods and then put my antenna up into a tree in those woods (I lost signal from the cable run, but was able to put up one heck of an antenna). In another case, I put an small antenna inside a homemade wooden lightning arrester on the roof (thank god it never worked as a lightning arrester).

And, in still another case, I made an telescoping mast look like a light pole in the back yard. The antenna telescoped into the top section of the mast when not being used. I did that by cutting off about eight feet of the top section and screwing the antenna's mount into the inside the top section. When the mast was lowered (the top section fully retracted), the antenna was inside the main section (out of view). When I wanted to talk during the day, I raised the antenna just enough to clear the main section. At night, I raised it all the way. The neighbors never even noticed.

I also once fooled neighbors by making a wire antenna look like a telephone cable to the house (went right to the side of a telephone pole - don't do this with an electrical pole). I also had a second wire antenna at the same location. I buried coax to a nearby transformer, installed a ten foot pole next to it, and then ran my wire antenna to the side of a nearby light pole at a tennis court. I wouldn't recommend this unless you know exactly where the transformer cables are buried (I watched them install the transformer).

But the key to both of these last two is to make the wire antennas look like something that people are very used to seeing (telephone lines, cable tv lines, power lines, etc). Since they're so used to seeing this type of stuff, they would never think to complain (even in an housing area that normally has most wiring buried).

And, finally, in one case I put an antenna onto a light pole right out in full view beside the road. Working at night, I buried the coax to the light pole, neatly attached it up the side of the pole, and put an nine foot antenna on the top of the pole itself. I never saw a

neighbor give it anything more than a casual glance.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

131. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 04:13:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010804001324.20221.00005649@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 16275

In article <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?

To serve as a sex organ and the birth canal on a woman's anatomy.
Any other dumb questions?

73 de Larry, K3LT

132. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 04:13:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010804001324.20221.00005650@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 16276

In article <9kegtpob1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>
>Well I don't know about your part of the country Larry, but I'll g u a r a n
>t e e that dog won't hunt down this way.
>
>If you think the last yankee invasion was bad. Wait till this one hits.
>Ya'll put a LOT of armories down this way. Think about it.
>
>Dan/W4NTI Alabama

Er, ah, Dan -- don't look now, but I think we're both on the same side!
Or are you still fighting the Civil War? What I said, in effect, was that
I was willing to risk my life to defend my freedom and independence
as an American citizen. What part of this don't you understand? I
don't view The South as my enemy. I view it as a part of my country --
and I view the people of The South to be my fellow Americans. And,
as an American, *my* dog will hunt anywhere it damn pleases!

73 de Larry, K3LT

133. Re: Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 04:23:44 UTC
From: JK <JKH@this.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B6B44.8E2BF4AD@this.net>
Source record: 16277

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> First of all, let me say that I am an author of some repute and a respected
> person within the 11 meter dxing hobby.

Meaning, "I post to the rec.radio.cb newsgroup with the rest of the cb
morons."

134. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 04:34:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804003438.09161.00001645@nso-da.aol.com>
Source record: 16278

In article <3B6A23CE.F1B1BBE4@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>>
>> > Hey, give us a break, Jim. We
>> > are at least representative of the
>> > people in newsgroups, aren't we? :-)
>>
>> Nope. Not without scientific sampling
>> criteria being met.
>
>
> You are a hard man, Jim.

Just logical and honest, Dwight. We're talking science, not opinions.

> I thought you would at least cut us some
>slack (the benefit of the doubt).
>
Not where science is concerned.

73 de Jim, N2EY

135. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 04:56:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6B806C.F7CC6E93@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16279

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >I respect you too much and am too much of
> >a gentleman to say such a thing.
>
> No...you simply repeat over and over that anyone who does not accpet YOUR
> argument is a cheater or a liar.

You are the one who has uttered the falsehoods and contradictions.
I have merely pointed them out based on valid dictionary definitions
and the rules of classical conditional logic.

> I have specifically stated that I did neither. I HAVE stated that I was
> NOT FORCED to meet the requirements of licensure for the Amateur Radio Service.

And, given your statements plus the fact that you do indeed possess a ham
license, I have proven beyond a shadow of a doubt your statement is false.
Repeating a false statement does not make it true.

Every licensed ham who didn't cheat was required by circumstances (forced)
to meet the FCC requirements which carry the force of law and are enforced.
There are NO exceptions, including you. You either submitted to the force
of law embodied in the FCC requirements or you cheated. You have denied
both. That's an impossibility.

> >I have checked back over all my postings. All the conclusions that
> >you are a liar or a cheat are conditional upon your admission that
> >you were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements.
>
> Then YOU have lied about reading all the past posts...

Nope I haven't, Steve. My computer saves all my postings. I have looked
at all of them and each of them contain the 'if' and/or 'then' statements
that make them conditional depending on what you say. You have done it
to yourself. All I have done is post dictionary definitions and the rules
of logic that apply to conditional statements.

> What YOU need to do is to cease insisting that I was "forced" to do
> something when I know otherwise.

If you deny being required by circumstances (forced) to meet the FCC
requirements, no other valid conclusion is possible. The path through
the logic that you claim to have taken is impossible. The only people
who were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements
don't possess US ham licenses or they cheated to obtain one.

Do you also deny that you are forced to breathe to stay alive?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

136. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 05:09:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6B8389.6360663@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16280

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

> It seems you are the only one here who needs to have something repeated to
> you over and over, and even then you can't seem to accept the simple truth...

That's really funny. I have repeated the following true statement many
times and you are the one who rejects the truth.

Every licensed US ham was required by circumstances (forced) to meet
the FCC requirements which carry the force of law and are enforced.
The only exceptions are the ones who cheated.

> I DID meet the requirements.
>
> I was NOT forced to do so.

One of those statements has to be false, Steve. You are doing it to
yourself all over again. If you met the requirements, you were forced
to do so *by definition*. Arguing with valid definitions is rejection
of the English language.

> In YOUR perception it is "impossible" to be licesed without being "forced"
> to do it.

It's not MY perception, Steve. It *IS* impossible to be licensed without
being forced to do it *by definition*. If you don't accept English language
definitions, then everything you say in English is meaningless.

> MY perception is that I was not forced to do anything I did not want to
> do.

Your perception and my perception don't matter. What matters are the
facts. The facts are stated above. It simply doesn't matter how you
feel about being forced to meet the FCC requirements. The FCC says
you *MUST* meet them - you had no choice in the matter. Being given
no choice is another way of being forced.

Do you disagree that you are forced to breathe in order to live?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

137. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 05:10:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6B83E1.360073B3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16281

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> > >
> > >If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?
>
> To serve as a sex organ and the birth canal on a woman's anatomy.

That's two purposes, Larry. He asked for one. I know which one I
would pick. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

138. Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 06:01:25 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6B822A.801E0CD0@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16282

Seems we have some pirate stations operating on LSB just inside the 40 meter band (7.002 and thereabouts). They call themselves "Radio Free Texas" and are usually on around 7:30 - 8:00 pm cst. Seem to be in South Texas. From listening to the conversations they appear to be one of these radical anti-government, lookout for the black helicopters, the sky is falling types who would think Tim McVeigh was a hero. Anyone else heard them and know where they may be located?

GH

139. Re: Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 06:42:34 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kg5ih$5u9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16283

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:SMta7.16049$ar1.58505@www.newsranger.com...
> First of all, let me say that I am an author of some repute and a
respected
> person within the 11 meter dxing hobby. I am not an ignorant hillbilly
without a
>
> clue as to what the radio spectrum is all about and its value to the
general
> public as well as corporate interests. For some years I have been a
devotee of
> freebanding, that is to say, a personal liberation of the free airwaves
for free
>
> use as citizens see fit. I have talked all over the world on 27 mhz and
even
> further using a linear amplifier, and all this without benefit of a
license or
> interference from the government or unnecessary testing. Recently a clutch
of rf
>
> cops and mincing rule-following parrots from the ham ranks have invaded
the cb
> room in an effort to put down and otherwise abuse right minded cbers just
> because we refuse to bow down to the ham gods. Mr. Leland Scott KC8LDO is
one of
>
> these self proclaimed Thors who attempts to bludgeon unenlightened cb
peons with
>
> his "knowledge". hahahahahahaha! If Leland and his parrots knew as much as
I do
> about radio they would be dangerous. Why don't you hams take him aside and
tell
> him gently what an ass he is making of himself in the cb room (where I may
add,
> he does not belong). To give you an idea of how disturbed this person is,
he (I
> suspect) sent me a soiled men's undergarment in the mail perhaps with the
intent
>
> to embarrass me. I can assure you, Leland, this type of bizarre and
sexually
> confused activity only shames you, not me. Then, he gloated in the cb room

by
> posting taunts and challenges that referenced my receipt of the garment
and
> trying (but failing) to connect me with some deviant sexual desires and
porn
> posted earlier by his gang of pink ladies. hahahahahha did you hear that
Leland?
>
> You and your pearly pals are asexual neutered freaks with mental issues
that my
> registered therapist friend is only too happy to detail for me which I can
and
> will reprint in this ham room so that all your no code compatriots can see
what
> a sick and twisted old failure you really are. When the time is right I
will
> reveal al

How odd. I agree with nothing in this post, yet it flat out rocks. Then again, I'm a sucker for a well-turned word salad.

73 Corry K4DOH
--
It Came From mincing rule-following parrot from the ham ranks C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

Sean Ormond wrote: "Do this for me Corry...Next time you conveniently get from point A to point B using a gas-powered vehicle (I'm guessing this will happen in less than 24 hrs), go ahead and drop to your knees and give a little prayer to Gaia, the Fairy Queen, or whatever it is you nut-jobs worship. You can thank her for schools like Georgia Tech, where students learn how to do something other than hug trees and hate America for a living."

140. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 06:54:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804025452.04302.00002900@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 16284

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/4/01 12:56 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>You are the one who has uttered the falsehoods and contradictions.

You are lying. Why?

>I have merely pointed them out based on valid dictionary definitions
>and the rules of classical conditional logic.

And ignoring my assertions from first-personal experience.

I was NOT forced.

>Repeating a false statement does not make it true.

The WHY do you keep insisting that I cheated, Cecil?

You keep repeating THAT false statement over and over. It's NOT true, yet
you keep insisting that it is.

>Every licensed ham who didn't cheat was required by circumstances (forced)
>to meet the FCC requirements which carry the force of law and are enforced.

YOU are the one insisting that we are "forced". I was not forced. YOU
may ahve been...I was not.

> You either submitted to the force
>of law embodied in the FCC requirements or you cheated. You have denied
>both. That's an impossibility.

Only in YOUR mind is it an impossibility, Cecil. Your mind is closed and
your vision narrow.

A new pair of glasses, perhaps?

>> Then YOU have lied about reading all the past posts...

>Nope I haven't, Steve. My computer saves all my postings.

Selective (or should I say "conditional") editing.

Very Goebbelesque.

>All I have done is post dictionary definitions and the rules of logic that
apply to conditional statements.

And insisted that since I refuse to accept YOUR definitions, I am a liar or
cheat.

Yeah...right...And you are the poster boy for Mothers Against Drunk Driving.

>If you deny being required by circumstances (forced) to meet the FCC
>requirements, no other valid conclusion is possible

Cecil...You are bald faced lying.

And YES, it really is THAT simple.

I only deny that I was forced. I have stated over and over that I DID meet the requirements, that I was neither forced nor did I cheat.

>The path through
>the logic that you claim to have taken is impossible.

No, it's not.

I was not forced. Period. Any assertion by YOU to the contrary is a bit of besmirching of your own.

>The only people
>who were not required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements
>don't possess US ham licenses or they cheated to obtain one.

I met the requirements to obtain a license. I did so of my own volition.

I was not forced to do so. I did not cheat to do it.

If you cannot provide documentary evidence to prove otherwise, you are commiting a wanton act of libel.

>Do you also deny that you are forced to breathe to stay alive?

Not germane to the argument, Cecil. We ARE "forced" to breathe. In that we have no choice if we choose to survive.

I had the option of NOT being a licensed Amatuer. I DID choose to be. I was not forced.

Unless you can PROVE otherwise...?!?!

141. Re: Hhhhhh - Hancock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 07:11:22 UTC
From: "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <tkNa7.418$3K2.38020@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 16286
Cross-posted to:
free.packet.radio,free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.radio.cb

Virus <ftd2000@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a2b41c3f.0107292331.666863fb@posting.google.com...
> "STEVEN" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:<9ju51lmai1@neptunium.btinternet.com>...
> > Im proud of my licence, you may enjoy the hobby if you got into it
>
> Get into it ? Dont take the piss, I was "into it" for 20years, been
> there done that despite all the sad old tossers that drink, breathe
> and have sex with their fucking BR68.
>
> Yawn...

Can't say I have ever looked at my BR68..............

142. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 07:11:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804031157.04302.00002902@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 16285

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/4/01 1:09 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Every licensed US ham was required by circumstances (forced) to meet
>the FCC requirements which carry the force of law and are enforced.
>The only exceptions are the ones who cheated.

Sorry, Cecil. You are wrong. I was not forced. I DID meet the
requirements for licensure, however at no time was I forced to do so.

>One of those statements has to be false, Steve.

You are wrong. Neither HAS to be "false". Either MAY be false, but in MY
particular case, NEITHER are!

>You are doing it to yourself all over again.

Doing what to myself? Admitting that I was NOT forced to do something
that YOU insist I was?

>If you met the requirements, you were forced
>to do so *by definition*.

I was not "forced"...by definition or otherwise.

>Arguing with valid definitions is rejection
>of the English language.

No it's not. No more than arguing with "valid laws" makes you a criminal.

>t's not MY perception, Steve. It *IS* impossible to be licensed without
>being forced to do it *by definition*.

Sure it is. You simply choose to adhere to the strictest application oa
ONE particular definition.

In my case YOUR chosen definition is not applicable.

And since I demonstrate the temerity to disagree with you, you brand me a
liar or cheat.

Been spending time with Lennie or Mark lately, Cecil?

>Your perception and my perception don't matter.

Sure they do.

>Being given no choice is another way of being forced.

I had a choice. License or no license. I chose to be licensed. I was not forced.

>Do you disagree that you are forced to breathe in order to live?

Not germane. A really stupid analogy by someone alleged to be educated enough to know better.

Pity.

143. Re: Hetrophobic Southern Baptists .....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 11:19:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804071903.06048.00000480@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 16288

In article <9kc4gj$81q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "radiosigs"
<radiosigs@mindspring.com> writes:

>Maris? Roger? Whatcha talking about?

The popular TV show "Frasier".
>
>----------
>In article <9f4bfe89.0108020837.6dcec147@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY) wrote:
>
>
>> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:<9k9vs2uqu1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>> You're all nuts because Lillith was the wife of Dr. Fraser Crane in
>>> "Cheers."
>>
>> Exactly. And although rather severe in manner, she was able to have
>> kids, as proven by the birth of Frederick.
>>
>> On the other hand, I'm not so sure about Maris.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

144. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 11:19:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804071904.06048.00000481@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 16287

In article <3B6AFBAE.CDE41B9E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>There's more to it than that. If you say, "This morning my bus had
>a flat tire", and I say, "That's impossible, busses don't have tires",
>wouldn't you say I was lying?

No. I'd say you were mistaken.

73 de Jim, N2EY

145. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 11:19:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804071904.06048.00000482@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 16289

In article <3B6A2ACB.A7A114D9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> > With the presently defined US poverty status and welfare programs,
>> > a one world government would mean that every person would be living
>> > in poverty including you and me.
>>
>> How do you figure that, Cecil? Nobody said what the world government would
>be like.
>
>You and I know it would be socialist, Jim.

I don't know anything of the sort, Cecil. Neither do you.

The original question was about whether "world government" is necessarily bad. Nothing about what it would be like. Is there no possible form of "world government" that would be good?

Looking at the collapse of the totalitarian collectivist states, and how they are trying to become capitalist, I'd say you've got it backwards, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

146. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 13:25:04 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6BEA01.4FAED11F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16290

In order to get the license, did you have a choice of whether or not to take the exam? No, in order to get the license you had to take and pass the exam, no choice. You had a choice of whether to get a license or not, but once the choice was made to obtain the license, you had no choice but to take and pass the exam.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> YOU are the one insisting that we are "forced". I was not forced. YOU
> may ahve been...I was not.
>

147. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 13:27:01 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6BEA8C.3DEE8231@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16292

You were forced to meet the requirements in order to obtain the license.
You had no other choice. Try getting the license without meeting the
requirements.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Sorry, Cecil. You are wrong. I was not forced. I DID meet the
> requirements for licensure, however at no time was I forced to do so.

148. Re: IOoDV&HW Life Member Nomination

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 13:28:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6BF865.8F43EABE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16291

K0HB wrote:
>
> I have petitioned the Director of
> IOoDV&HW (International Order of
> Dismayed Victims & Hand Wringers)
> to grant you a Life Membership
> without payment of dues.
>
> Pathetic!

Hey, what can I say? My only excuse is that I've watched too much news lately. By the way, nobody will give me membership in anything without payment of dues. Everything costs money today. Opps, there I go again.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

149. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 14:22:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C0520.96AA922@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16293

N2EY wrote:
> The original question was about whether "world government" is necessarily bad.
> Nothing about what it would be like. Is there no possible form of "world
> government" that would be good?

Most of the world has already gone socialist (more correctly, "statist")
and the USA is on its way. Any world government would, no doubt, do away
with the Bill of Rights. That's NOT good.

> Looking at the collapse of the totalitarian collectivist states, and how they
> are trying to become capitalist, I'd say you've got it backwards, Cecil.

If you believe the USA is capitalist, Jim, you have, no doubt, been
brainwashed by the statists. We only have remnants of capitalism
left and they are on the decrease.

"statism - the principle of policy of concentrating extensive economic,
political, and related controls in the state at the cost of individual
liberty." - From Webster's. "State" in this context refers to the federal
government, i.e. the USA is a state.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

150. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 14:45:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C0AA7.E5A0DB64@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16294

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >You are the one who has uttered the falsehoods and contradictions.
>
> You are lying. Why?

You are confused. Why? I have very carefully tried to teach you
accepted definitions of English words and accepted rules of logic.
You have ignored them and continued to utter falsehoods and
contradictions.

> >I have merely pointed them out based on valid dictionary definitions
> >and the rules of classical conditional logic.
>
> And ignoring my assertions from first-personal experience.
> I was NOT forced.

You may believe that, Steve, but it is just not true. What you believe
doesn't matter. Facts matter. The fact is that you were required by
circumstances to submit to the force of law embodied in the FCC
requirements which are enforced. That's the definition of being
forced to meet the requirements.

> >Repeating a false statement does not make it true.
>
> The WHY do you keep insisting that I cheated, Cecil?

You are the one who keeps insisting that you cheated, Steve, by denying
that you had to meet the FCC requirements in order to obtain an amateur
license.

> I only deny that I was forced. I have stated over and over that I DID
> meet the requirements, that I was neither forced nor did I cheat.

That you are confused about being forced to meet the FCC requirements
is irrelevant. You gave up your chance not to be forced to meet the
requirements when you obtained your amateur license.

> I met the requirements to obtain a license. I did so of my own volition.

Whether you did it of your own volition or not is irrelevant. You were
required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements or else you
cheated. The only way to avoid being forced to meet the FCC requirements
is not to obtain an amateur license. You didn't make that choice and
you cannot change the past.

> If you cannot provide documentary evidence to prove otherwise, you are
> commiting a wanton act of libel.

More lying will not help your cause. Ask your lawyer if you can sue someone because *YOU* refuse to accept dictionary definitions of English words. I have provided documentary evidence to prove my point and you have put on your blinders and turned a deaf ear. That's your problem, not mine.

> >Do you also deny that you are forced to breathe to stay alive?
>
> Not germane to the argument, Cecil. We ARE "forced" to breathe. In that
> we have no choice if we choose to survive.

In like manner, if one becomes a licensed amateur radio, one has *NO CHOICE* except to meet the FCC requirements.

FCC regulations carry the force of law and are enforced so you are even more forced to pass the FCC requirements in order to obtain an amateur license than you are forced to breathe.

> I had the option of NOT being a licensed Amatuer. I DID choose to be. I
> was not forced.

The way to avoid being forced to meet the FCC requirements is to choose not to become a licensed amateur. Unfortunately for your argument, you did not choose that path and therefore that path is not available for your present argument. You cannot change the past.

This entire argument can be resolved by you answering the following simple yes/no question:

Did you submit to the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements and enforced by the FCC in order to obtain an amateur radio license?

If you answer 'yes', you were forced to meet the FCC requirements, by definition, just like all the rest of us.

If you answer 'no', you cheated. It is that simple.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

151. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 15:07:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C0FC7.4710202@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16295

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Every licensed US ham was required by circumstances (forced) to meet
> >the FCC requirements which carry the force of law and are enforced.
> >The only exceptions are the ones who cheated.
>
> Sorry, Cecil. You are wrong.

What a ridiculous thing to say. You still remind me of Clinton.
"I did not have sex with that woman."

Did you submit to the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements
and enforced by the FCC in order to obtain an amateur radio license?

If you answer 'yes', you were forced to meet the FCC requirements,
by definition, just like all the rest of us.

If you answer 'no', you cheated. It is that simple.

> >You are doing it to yourself all over again.
>
> Doing what to myself? Admitting that I was NOT forced to do something
> that YOU insist I was?

What you are doing is exhibiting a mindset that doesn't correspond to
reality. Please pay close attention:
****
The only choice in the matter that any citizen has is whether to become
an amateur radio operator or not. After making that choice, citizens who
obtain amateur radio operator licenses have *ABSOLUTELY NO CHOICE* (except
for cheating. The FCC says they *MUST*, i.e. are forced to pass the FCC
requirements - *NO EXCEPTIONS!*
****
> >If you met the requirements, you were forced
> >to do so *by definition*.
>
> I was not "forced"...by definition or otherwise.

You might as well deny being a Homo Sapien. If, as you say, words
don't mean what words mean, then what you have to say is meaningless.

> And since I demonstrate the temerity to disagree with you, you brand me a
> liar or cheat.

I would never, never do that, Steve. You have admitted to either being
a liar or a cheat and all I have done is point that out.

> Been spending time with Lennie or Mark lately, Cecil?

A veiled threat of another lawsuit? When are you going to take
responsibility for your own words, Steve? It is *YOUR WORDS* with
which you are accusing yourself. I am merely pointing out your logical
contradictions. The only choice you had was whether to become a
licensed amateur or not. After making that choice, you had absolutely
no other choice except to submit to the force of law embodied in the
FCC requirements. It's a second level abstraction, Steve, one that
you seem just not to get and is an ability that most people learn
fairly early in life.

> I had a choice. License or no license. I chose to be licensed. I was
> not forced.

You still just don't get it. You had a choice to be licensed or not.
After you made that choice, you were either forced to meet the FCC
requirements or cheat. Those are the only two possibilities for
licensed hams. You cannot go back into the past and decide not
to become an amateur radio operator. You are constrained by reality
and are incapable of changing the past. Changing the past is the
only way to make your argument valid.

> >Do you disagree that you are forced to breathe in order to live?
>
> Not germane. A really stupid analogy by someone alleged to be educated
> enough to know better.

Yep, once again ignore reality.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

152. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 15:09:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C1022.E5F28D39@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16296

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B6AFBAE.CDE41B9E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >There's more to it than that. If you say, "This morning my bus had
> >a flat tire", and I say, "That's impossible, busses don't have tires",
> >wouldn't you say I was lying?
>
> No. I'd say you were mistaken.

If you very carefully explained exactly how I was mistaken and I still
maintained that busses don't have tires, what would you say?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

153. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 16:02:06 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <20Va7.17242$ar1.62632@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16297

In article <3B6C0FC7.4710202@IEEE.org>, CAM says...

> Did you submit to the force of law embodied in the FCC
> requirements and enforced by the FCC in order to obtain
> an amateur radio license?

Cecil,

As you (might not) know, one of my hobbies besides Amateur Radio is fishing, mostly for walleyes. I'm something of a fanatic about that sport, and consider my trolling skills to be quite advanced. But I bow in awe and tribue to your far superior trolling skill. You truly are a master-troller.

Based on your success trolling this single bait in front of the Robeson fish, and getting a strike *EVERY* time without fail, and without any variation in your presentation, it is clear that your technique is absolutely flawless. It *NEVER* fails to draw the desired response from the target fish.

Or maybe this particular fish is just really stupid, and can't help but strike your bait.

With all sincere kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

154. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 17:14:19 UTC
From: KB9WFK <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9khaf9$af6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16298
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

posting around you because I don't see the orig. post.

--

"Leonard" <louis@mail.snider.net> wrote in message
news:vl2jmt8l7egor3e5du3duljmdcc9phks9h@4ax.com...
> Our dispatch center has ham HF equipment as well as 2 meter and packet
> equipment set up for RACES operators to use during emergencies.
>
I am the assistant net operator for our multi county SkyWarn net. We work
directly with State and County OEM and the National Weather Service. Our
office her is the same with the addition of 440 and 220 capability.
snip

> >Give us a break!
> >Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> >It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> >
> >They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> >Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> >
> >
> >-Barry
I guess you have never been part of ANY disaster relief effort or been
inside an Office of Emergency Management. You must have never heard a
tornado warning before because the majority of spotters are hams.
Talk about things you know nothing about......They don't come much less
informed than you on this subject do they?

155. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 17:56:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010804135606.01701.00004703@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 16299

In article <3B6C0FC7.4710202@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>**
>The only choice in the matter that any citizen has is whether to become
>an amateur radio operator or not. After making that choice, citizens who
>obtain amateur radio operator licenses have *ABSOLUTELY NO CHOICE* (except
>for cheating. The FCC says they *MUST*, i.e. are forced to pass the FCC
>requirements - *NO EXCEPTIONS!*
>**

Cecil:

If I decide that I wish to become a Psychologist, I need to go back to school and take a Ph. D. in Psychology. However, the only way I can do this is if I VOLUNTARILY meet the requirements for all of the course work required for the degree. There is no way I can be FORCED to do this; I must choose to do it under my own motivation and initiative. The people who run the Psychology department at the University of Wherever could care less whether or not I enter their program. They will allow me to do so, if I have the requisite academic qualifications, and can pay their tuition and fees. Absolutely no FORCE will ever be applied to me at any stage of the process. If I wish to graduate with honors, then I must make an individual committment to achieve a high enough GPA to qualify. Nobody but myself has a personal stake in the outcome, therefore there is no "force" for me to comply with their requirements, or achieve a particular level of success. If I fail, it will not be seen as a failure of the university's academic program, in view of the fact that the majority of my classmates would have succeeded. If I succeed, it will be because *I* worked with diligence equal to or superior to that of my classmates, in order to achieve an academic standing higher than the others.

Your self-serving explanations, definitions, and general ranting about "force" WRT code testing is nothing more than an excuse for you to feel good about yourself for not having achieved Extra-class status under the previous, more demanding, license testing regime. It is mere justification for your own laziness and inadequacy. Nobody here is fooled.

You will NEVER convince me that anyone was "forced" by the FCC to take ANY kind of amateur radio license exam. There is no point in your continued posting on that subject. You have lost. You are defeated. You are WRONG!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

156. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 18:29:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C3F05.9C91F669@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16300

K0HB wrote:
> Or maybe this particular fish is just really stupid, and can't
> help but strike your bait.

An analogy to a lake just might help. A person exercises his freedom
of choice to jump in the lake. But once he has jumped in, he is *forced*
to surface to be able to breathe and stay alive. He has a choice whether
to jump in or not (like choosing to be a licensed ham) but he has absolutely
no choice whether to surface or not if he wants to stay alive (like being
forced to meet the FCC requirements).
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

157. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 18:53:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804145338.14452.00000826@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 16401

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
>Date: 8/4/01 9:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>You had a choice of whether to get a license or
>not, but once the choice was made to obtain the license, you had no
>choice but to take and pass the exam.

Which STILL does not negate the fact that I was NOT forced to do any of this.

I

WAS

NOT

FORCED.

It's a very simple statement, very simple in it's content with no other meanings.

Steve

158. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 18:54:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C44CA.F1E8E63@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16402

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If I decide that I wish to become a Psychologist, I need to go back to
> school and take a Ph. D. in Psychology. However, the only way I can
> do this is if I VOLUNTARILY meet the requirements for all of the course
> work required for the degree. There is no way I can be FORCED to do
> this;

If you are to obtain a PhD degree, you are forced to meet the requirements.
The only way not to be forced to meet the requirements is to give up on
the PhD degree or somehow cheat. People with PhD degrees cannot decide
to give up on their degrees because they cannot change the past. Therefore,
they were forced to meet the requirements according to the definitions of
"forced" that you, yourself posted.

> I must choose to do it under my own motivation and initiative. The
> people who run the Psychology department at the University of Wherever
> could care less whether or not I enter their program.

Your ignorance is showing, Larry. There is no argument over whether a
person has a choice whether to obtain a PhD degree or not. Many people
choose not to obtain a PhD degree. We are talking about the set of
people who have obtained PhD degrees. Of the set of people who have
obtained PhD degrees, all were forced to meet the requirements or
else they cheated. Those are the only two choices. Some of you on
this newsgroup seem incapable of understanding second level abstractions,
something most of us learn by age ten.

> Absolutely no FORCE will ever be applied to me at any stage of the process.

According to the definitions of "forced" that you, yourself, posted
people are forced to meet the requirements for a PhD degree before
receiving a PhD degree. Your argument is with the definitions that
you posted. What does it sound like when you argue with yourself,
Larry?

> You will NEVER convince me that anyone was "forced" by the FCC to
> take ANY kind of amateur radio license exam.

Part 97.501 "An applicant *MUST* (i.e. is forced to) successfully pass
an examination for the issuance of a new amateur operator license..."

What is it about "must" that you do not understand? From Webster's:
"must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use or threat of
force. You must obey the law."

Do the FCC requirements carry the force of law? Of course they do.

Licensed amateur radio operators were forced by the FCC to meet the
FCC requirements which carry the force of law and are enforced.
According to your very own posted definitions, that is "forced",
by definition. Why in the world would you post definitions and
then argue with your own posted definitions? According to *YOUR*
posted definition, anything that is enforced is forced. Are the
FCC requirements enforced? Of course they are, Larry, so you have
proved yourself to be wrong. All I have done is pointed it out.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

159. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 18:56:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804145618.14452.00000828@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 16403

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
>Date: 8/4/01 9:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>You were forced to meet the requirements in order to obtain the license.

I

WAS

NOT

FORCED.

>You had no other choice.

Sure I did...You and Cecil can't accept that, though. Oh well.

>Try getting the license without meeting the
>requirements.

I DID meet the requirements. Five times (Novice through Extra) And not
once was I FORCED to do it.

160. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 19:03:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C4704.5FD8EC4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16404

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
> >You had a choice of whether to get a license or
> >not, but once the choice was made to obtain the license, you had no
> >choice but to take and pass the exam.
>
> Which STILL does not negate the fact that I was NOT forced to do any of
> this.

If there was no other choice, then you were forced to take and pass the
exam in order to obtain an amateur radio license. It says so in the
FCC Rule Book.

Part 97.501: "An applicant *MUST* successfully pass an examination for the
issuance of a new amateur operator license..."

From Webster's: "must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use
of or threat of *FORCE*. You *MUST* obey the law."

Do the FCC requirements carry the force of law? Of course they do!
It's as simple as that and you seem incapable of comprehending that
simple fact. But don't fret about it. My three year old grandson
would also have trouble with that concept.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

161. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 19:22:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C4B79.887B4B4A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16405

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
> >You had no other choice.
>
> Sure I did...You and Cecil can't accept that, though. Oh well.

Since we know you have an amateur radio license, the only other choice
available to you besides submitting to the force of law embodied in the
FCC requirements was cheating.

From the "FCC Rule Book" Part 97.501 "An applicant *MUST* successfully
pass an examination for the issuance of a new amateur operator license..."

From Webster's: "must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use
or threat of *FORCE*. You *MUST* obey the law."

Do the FCC requirements carry the force of law? Of course they do!
Did you submit to the force of law, embodied in the FCC requirements
and enforced by the FCC, in order to obtain an amateur radio license?

I believe you did. I sincerely wish you would stop saying that you took
that other route.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

162. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 19:33:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804153303.14452.00000830@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 16406

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/4/01 10:45 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>This entire argument can be resolved by you answering the following
>simple yes/no question:

Yes...it can be solved by a simple yes/no, Cecil....

Were you, Cecil A. Moore, present at any of the exam sessions that I participated in for Amateur licensure and witness any act of force perpetrated against me?

>If you answer 'no', you cheated. It is that simple.

I did not cheat. I DID meet the requirements.

I was NOT forced.

163. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 19:44:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804154412.14452.00000831@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 16407

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/4/01 11:07 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Sorry, Cecil. You are wrong.

>What a ridiculous thing to say. You still remind me of Clinton.
>"I did not have sex with that woman."

The facts there were that Clinton WAS lying. he DID cheat.

I did not. Nor was I forced to do anything.

>What you are doing is exhibiting a mindset that doesn't correspond to
>reality.

For a person who proudly asserts his frequent inbibing and altered mental status from controlled substances, this statement is laughable at best.

>If, as you say, words
>don't mean what words mean, then what you have to say is meaningless.

I have never said that "words don't mean what words mean", Cecil, in any way, shape or form.

>> And since I demonstrate the temerity to disagree with you, you brand me a
>> liar or cheat.

>I would never, never do that, Steve.

You already have.....talk about a mindset that doesn't correspond to reality.

>A veiled threat of another lawsuit? When are you going to take
>responsibility for your own words, Steve?

I take responsibility for my words. NOT the ones you keep trying to brand me with.

I was not forced.

I did not cheat.

I DID meet the requirements for my license.

>Yep, once again ignore reality.

Why do you do that. Cecil? You should know better.

164. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 19:53:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804155324.14452.00000834@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 16408

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 8/4/01 12:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>As you (might not) know, one of my hobbies besides Amateur
>Radio is fishing, mostly for walleyes. I'm something of a
>fanatic about that sport, and consider my trolling skills to
>be quite advanced.

Must be a slow day on the lake, Mr. Brokeslob. YOUR trolling skills (at least in THIS forum) are only mediocre at best.

>Or maybe this particular fish is just really stupid, and can't
>help but strike your bait.

I am sure you think that, having had your skivvies laid bare by me on more than one occassion. Kinda embarrassing, huh?

165. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 20:03:01 UTC
From: Kentucky Rifles <e341A@kdput.con>
Message-ID: <vuYa7.113$JC3.4652@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 16409

The people you are hearing are your neighbors, the clerk at the
corner grocery, the family next to you in church, local officials, and your
coworkers. The dominant liberal media has you brain washed. The
country, the heartland of America, is fed up with socialist federal
jackbooted thugs, the same thugs who murdered women and children
at Waco and blew Randy Weaver's pregnant wife's head off at
Ruby Ridge. Know your enemy! Keep your eye on Klamath Falls and
the Klamath Basin in Oregon. The dominant liberal media is not
reporting what is going on out there. Ordinary citizens, armed & ready,
are drawing a line in the sand. The socialist Jackbooted thugs of the
federal government are on notice. DON'T TREAD ON ME! The
United States Constitution is the supreme law of the land, NOT the
federal socialist jackbooted thugs who reside in the District of Criminals!
One spark, one shot, one shove at Klamath Falls and you will see an
explosion ignite that will shake this country to its very roots.
DON'T TREAD ON ME!
Listen carefully in the 4, 6, 8 & 10 mhz bands. Paramilitary units are
using these frequencies all the time in all 50 states.
The federal government is the servant of the people, and NOT the
other way around! The Founding Fathers created the Bill of Rights
to protect us AGAINST federal government tyranny. It is your right
and DUTY to take up arms against a tyrannical central government.
Educate yourself. www.posse-comitatus.org
United Patriot Radio, 6900 khz nightly from 8pm to 11 pm EDST.

"GH" <nbcred@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3B6B822A.801E0CD0@mailcity.com...
> Seems we have some pirate stations operating on LSB just inside the 40
> meter band (7.002 and thereabouts). They call themselves "Radio Free
> Texas" and are usually on around 7:30 - 8:00 pm cst. Seem to be in South
> Texas. From listening to the conversations they appear to be one of
> these radical anti-government, lookout for the black helicopters, the
> sky is falling types who would think Tim McVeigh was a hero. Anyone else
> heard them and know where they may be located?
>
> GH

166. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 20:04:26 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <ezYa7.10$0f5.38@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16410

Cyberstalker wrote:

> Must be a slow day on the lake, Mr. Brokeslob. YOUR trolling skills
> (at least in THIS forum) are only mediocre at best.

Hey look, Cecil! I got one, but it looks like the same dumb one that
you keep catching. Is there a bag limit on easy ones? Will they sue you
if you throw them back in the lake?

With all sincere kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
"The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on."

167. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 20:44:23 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <H8Za7.22$0f5.95@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16411

Cyberstalker wrote:

> Must be a slow day on the lake, Mr. Brokeslob. YOUR trolling skills
> (at least in THIS forum) are only mediocre at best.

Hey look, Cecil! I got one, but it looks like the same dumb one that
you keep catching. Is there a bag limit on easy ones? Will they sue you
if you throw them back in the lake?

With all sincere kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
"The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on."

168. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 20:47:56 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <0cZa7.26$0f5.88@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16412

Kentucky Goofy says...

> The people you are hearing are your neighbors, the clerk at the
> corner grocery, the family next to you in church, local officials,
> and your coworkers.

All I'm hearing is a lot of whacko wannabe Tim McVeigh's.

With all sincere kind wishes,

de hans, K0HB

169. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 20:50:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C6023.218BA32A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16413

K0HB wrote:
> Hey look, Cecil! I got one, but it looks like the same dumb one that
> you keep catching. Is there a bag limit on easy ones? Will they sue you
> if you throw them back in the lake?

Well, Steve is continuing to threaten to sue me so this will be my
last posting on the subject.

For the record: I don't think Steve cheated. He denies that he
submitted to the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements
while maintaining that he meet those same requirements. That
sure seems like a contradiction to me but Steve has somehow
rationalized that contradiction in his own mind.

All my statements have been conditional if/then statements with
the 'if' sometimes being implied so the outcome of those statements
depend on whether Steve satisfied the 'if' portion or not. And it
is entirely up to him whether he satisfied the 'if' portion or not.

Steve claims that I blatantly called him a cheater and a liar
and I should retract or get sued. I'm trying to figure out
how to retract statements that I never made. Anybody have any
ideas on how to go about doing that?

Did a ham submit to the force of law (embodied in the FCC requirements
and enforced by the FCC) in order to obtain an amateur radio license?

If the answer is 'yes', he was forced to meet the FCC requirements.

If the answer is 'no', he cheated. It really is that simple.

From the "FCC Rule Book" Part 97.501 "An applicant *MUST* successfully
pass an examination for the issuance of a new amateur operator license..."

From Webster's: "must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use
or threat of *FORCE*. You *MUST* obey the law."

Do the FCC requirements carry the force of law? Of course they do!
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

170. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 21:08:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C646B.2F929F54@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16414

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> The facts there were that Clinton WAS lying. he DID cheat.
> I did not. Nor was I forced to do anything.

You are just being silly, Steve. I don't want you to follow through on your latest silly threat to sue me so I am retiring from your silliness. For the record: I never said you cheated and I do not believe you cheated. It was you who implied that you didn't submit to the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements allowing some conclusions to be drawn. However, you have indeed lied about what I said. I never said you cheated. I said, if you didn't submit to the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements, then you cheated. Whether that statement is true or false was up to you, not up to me.

> I have never said that "words don't mean what words mean", Cecil, in any
> way, shape or form.

Of course you have, Steve. I posted the dictionary meanings and you said you disagreed with those meanings, i.e. words don't mean what words mean.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

171. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 21:14:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804171423.10286.00002914@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 16415

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>All my statements have been conditional if/then statements with
>the 'if' sometimes being implied so the outcome of those statements
>depend on whether Steve satisfied the 'if' portion or not. And it
>is entirely up to him whether he satisfied the 'if' portion or not.

If I recall correctly, this thread started with the premise that if one wants an amateur license, they are forced to meet the requirements. It seems to me that the term "forced" has been discussed in detail. Perhaps the real crux of the problem is that there is some misunderstanding or disagreement about the meaning of the word "if."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

172. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 21:31:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sQZa7.38610$LP2.1882293@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16416

Yep, and golly gee, I wanna jam the marine radios. Please read the following. Your nice, church-going, next door neighbor ... and note the unrelated felony charges ...

* FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed hamming: The FCC has levied a $10,000 fine on an East Palo Alto, California, man for transmitting without a license on amateur frequencies. Earlier this year, the Commission had proposed forfeitures totaling $17,000 in the case of Joshie Yasin Nakamura Sr, who also is known as "Mervyn Ehambrave" and "Marvin Eugene Barnes." The FCC's Forfeiture Order, released July 6, offered no explanation for the discrepancy in the figures. The fine stemmed from complaints about Nakamura to the FCC that date back to late January through March of 2000. The Commission says it heard from the amateur community and from members of the ARRL Amateur Auxiliary that an unlicensed station was operating on several amateur frequencies. Nakamura reportedly is being detained by state authorities on unrelated felony charges and did not respond to the earlier FCC notice.--FCC

The rules are to allow us to maximize usage of the rf spectrum. This means you can watch satellite tv, conventional tv, radio, run your cell phones - without interference. He who lives by the sword ...

73,
Jim AA2QA

"Kentucky Rifles" <e341A@kdput.con> wrote in message
news:vuYa7.113$JC3.4652@eagle.america.net...
> The people you are hearing are your neighbors, the clerk at the
> corner grocery, the family next to you in church, local officials, and
your
> coworkers. The dominant liberal media has you brain washed. The
> country, the heartland of America, is fed up with socialist federal
> jackbooted thugs, the same thugs who murdered women and children
> at Waco and blew Randy Weaver's pregnant wife's head off at
> Ruby Ridge. Know your enemy! Keep your eye on Klamath Falls and
> the Klamath Basin in Oregon. The dominant liberal media is not
> reporting what is going on out there. Ordinary citizens, armed & ready,
> are drawing a line in the sand. The socialist Jackbooted thugs of the
> federal government are on notice. DON'T TREAD ON ME! The
> United States Constitution is the supreme law of the land, NOT the
> federal socialist jackbooted thugs who reside in the District of
Criminals!
> One spark, one shot, one shove at Klamath Falls and you will see an
> explosion ignite that will shake this country to its very roots.
> DON'T TREAD ON ME!

> Listen carefully in the 4, 6, 8 & 10 mhz bands. Paramilitary units are
> using these frequencies all the time in all 50 states.
> The federal government is the servant of the people, and NOT the
> other way around! The Founding Fathers created the Bill of Rights
> to protect us AGAINST federal government tyranny. It is your right
> and DUTY to take up arms against a tyrannical central government.
> Educate yourself. www.posse-comitatus.org
> United Patriot Radio, 6900 khz nightly from 8pm to 11 pm EDST.
>
>
>
> "GH" <nbcred@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3B6B822A.801E0CD0@mailcity.com...
> > Seems we have some pirate stations operating on LSB just inside the 40
> > meter band (7.002 and thereabouts). They call themselves "Radio Free
> > Texas" and are usually on around 7:30 - 8:00 pm cst. Seem to be in South
> > Texas. From listening to the conversations they appear to be one of
> > these radical anti-government, lookout for the black helicopters, the
> > sky is falling types who would think Tim McVeigh was a hero. Anyone else
> > heard them and know where they may be located?
> >
> > GH
>
>

173. Re: Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 21:34:42 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <STZa7.38616$LP2.1880113@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16417

Twist, I saw the article about freebanding. For what it is worth, read the following. It does make interesting reading ...

* FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed hamming: The FCC has levied a $10,000 fine on an East Palo Alto, California, man for transmitting without a license on amateur frequencies. Earlier this year, the Commission had proposed forfeitures totaling $17,000 in the case of Joshie Yasin Nakamura Sr, who also is known as "Mervyn Ehambrave" and "Marvin Eugene Barnes." The FCC's Forfeiture Order, released July 6, offered no explanation for the discrepancy in the figures. The fine stemmed from complaints about Nakamura to the FCC that date back to late January through March of 2000. The Commission says it heard from the amateur community and from members of the ARRL Amateur Auxiliary that an unlicensed station was operating on several amateur frequencies. Nakamura reportedly is being detained by state authorities on unrelated felony charges and did not respond to the earlier FCC notice.--FCC

ps - I do not care about a few extra watts on the CB band. The freebanding, as I have mentioned before about your level of visibility, - proceed at your own risk.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:SMta7.16049$ar1.58505@www.newsranger.com...
> First of all, let me say that I am an author of some repute and a
respected
> person within the 11 meter dxing hobby. I am not an ignorant hillbilly
without a
>
> clue as to what the radio spectrum is all about and its value to the
general
> public as well as corporate interests. For some years I have been a
devotee of
> freebanding, that is to say, a personal liberation of the free airwaves
for free
>
> use as citizens see fit. I have talked all over the world on 27 mhz and
even
> further using a linear amplifier, and all this without benefit of a
license or
> interference from the government or unnecessary testing. Recently a clutch
of rf
>

> cops and mincing rule-following parrots from the ham ranks have invaded
the cb
> room in an effort to put down and otherwise abuse right minded cbers just
> because we refuse to bow down to the ham gods. Mr. Leland Scott KC8LDO is
one of
>
> these self proclaimed Thors who attempts to bludgeon unenlightened cb
peons with
>
> his "knowledge". hahahahahahaha! If Leland and his parrots knew as much as
I do
> about radio they would be dangerous. Why don't you hams take him aside and
tell
> him gently what an ass he is making of himself in the cb room (where I may
add,
> he does not belong). To give you an idea of how disturbed this person is,
he (I
> suspect) sent me a soiled men's undergarment in the mail perhaps with the
intent
>
> to embarrass me. I can assure you, Leland, this type of bizarre and
sexually
> confused activity only shames you, not me. Then, he gloated in the cb room
by
> posting taunts and challenges that referenced my receipt of the garment
and
> trying (but failing) to connect me with some deviant sexual desires and
porn
> posted earlier by his gang of pink ladies. hahahahahha did you hear that
Leland?
>
> You and your pearly pals are asexual neutered freaks with mental issues
that my
> registered therapist friend is only too happy to detail for me which I can
and
> will reprint in this ham room so that all your no code compatriots can see
what
> a sick and twisted old failure you really are. When the time is right I
will
> reveal al
>
>

174. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 21:37:57 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <VWZa7.50$0f5.73@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16418

In article <3B6C6023.218BA32A@IEEE.org>, CAM says...

>
> Well, Steve is continuing to threaten to sue me so this will be my
> last posting on the subject.
>

I'd call his silly bluff! (Can I be sued for sticking up for you?)
(Better yet, do I care if he sues me? HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!)

With all sincere good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

175. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:10:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6C72F0.60FB3255@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16419

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >All my statements have been conditional if/then statements with
> >the 'if' sometimes being implied so the outcome of those statements
> >depend on whether Steve satisfied the 'if' portion or not. And it
> >is entirely up to him whether he satisfied the 'if' portion or not.
>
> If I recall correctly, this thread started with the premise that if one wants
> an amateur license, they are forced to meet the requirements. It seems to me
> that the term "forced" has been discussed in detail. Perhaps the real crux of
> the problem is that there is some misunderstanding or disagreement about the
> meaning of the word "if."

I posted a truth table for an if/then statement, Ed. It didn't seem
to make any difference. Some people seem to be incapable of understanding
that level of abstraction. They somehow have trouble understanding how
both the following statements about you can be true.

If you were a woman, you would be ugly.
If you were a woman, you would be beautiful.

Both these statements are true according to the accepted rules of
conditional logic. I am on the verge of being sued because someone
doesn't understand those rules.
--
cheers, Cecil

--
cheers, Cecil

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

176. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:23:04 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6C6810.FA5758B5@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16420

I guess you think McVeigh was a hero too? If so you need your brain plus
some other things taken out and washed.

Kentucky Rifles wrote:
>
> The people you are hearing are your neighbors, the clerk at the
> corner grocery, the family next to you in church, local officials, and your
> coworkers. The dominant liberal media has you brain washed. The
> country, the heartland of America, is fed up with socialist federal
> jackbooted thugs, the same thugs who murdered women and children
> at Waco and blew Randy Weaver's pregnant wife's head off at
> Ruby Ridge. Know your enemy! Keep your eye on Klamath Falls and
> the Klamath Basin in Oregon. The dominant liberal media is not
> reporting what is going on out there. Ordinary citizens, armed &

<Rest of radical drivel deleted>

177. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:25:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ghk5vt8.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16421

On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 21:31:04 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>* FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed hamming: The FCC has levied a
>$10,000 fine on an East Palo Alto, California, man for transmitting without
>a license on amateur frequencies. Earlier this year, the Commission had

>Yep, and golly gee, I wanna jam the marine radios. Please read the
>following. Your nice, church-going, next door neighbor ... and note the
>unrelated felony charges ...

Not to be confused with the felony charges of grand theft of radio
equipment when this beanbag opened the trunk of his car, without
being asked, to brag to the UNIFORMED Deputy Sheriff about the
equipment that he had boosted from the Sheriff's Department.

The unrelated felonies have to do with certain white powders which
may keep him off the air for the rest of his life.

Good to see that Mervyn - a well-known client of the FCC, Sheriff,
USCG Investigations, and now other Feds - is still up to his old
tricks.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

178. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:29:12 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6C69A9.83C34793@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16422

And it is very simple in its content, you cannot get a license without taking the exams, no other choice.

Steve to examiner: I want to get my ham license
Examiner: Fine, you have to take the exams.
Steve: I don't HAVE to do anything, you can't FORCE me to take the exam.
Examiner: If you want the license you WILL take the exam, period.
Steve: I want the license but you cannot force me to take the exam.
Examiner: It is very simple, no exam, no license, you have no other choice.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
> >From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
> >Date: 8/4/01 9:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >You had a choice of whether to get a license or
> >not, but once the choice was made to obtain the license, you had no
> >choice but to take and pass the exam.
>
> Which STILL does not negate the fact that I was NOT forced to do any of
> this.
>
> I
>
> WAS
>
> NOT
>
> FORCED.
>
> It's a very simple statement, very simple in it's content with no other
> meanings.
>
> Steve

179. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:30:40 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6C6A04.48F31F35@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16423

You had a choice of whether to get the license or not, but to get the license you had no choice but to take and pass the exam. Tell me how you could get the license without taking the exam.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
> >From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
> >Date: 8/4/01 9:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >You were forced to meet the requirements in order to obtain the license.
>
> I
>
> WAS
>
> NOT
>
> FORCED.
>
> >You had no other choice.
>
> Sure I did...You and Cecil can't accept that, though. Oh well.
>
> >Try getting the license without meeting the
> >requirements.
>
> I DID meet the requirements. Five times (Novice through Extra) And not
> once was I FORCED to do it.

180. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:34:16 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6C6AD0.1E933A3D@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16424

Notice it doesn't say you "MAY" or "IF YOU WANT TO" successfully pass an examination, it says you "MUST", no choice, you "MUST" take and pass the exam. You are FORCED to take the exam if you want the license. Decline to take the exam and no license.

CAM wrote:
>
>
> From the "FCC Rule Book" Part 97.501 "An applicant *MUST* successfully
> pass an examination for the issuance of a new amateur operator license..."
>
> From Webster's: "must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use
> or threat of *FORCE*. You *MUST* obey the law."
>
> Do the FCC requirements carry the force of law? Of course they do!
> Did you submit to the force of law, embodied in the FCC requirements
> and enforced by the FCC, in order to obtain an amateur radio license?
>
> I believe you did. I sincerely wish you would stop saying that you took
> that other route.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

181. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:37:06 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mO_a7.38683$LP2.1886809@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16425

Geeze, Larry. You made it too easy on the group. You should have refrained
and let some of the group sweat it out ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010804001324.20221.00005649@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >
> >If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?
>
> To serve as a sex organ and the birth canal on a woman's anatomy.
> Any other dumb questions?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

182. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:38:22 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6C6BD2.DD578649@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16426

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B6C0FC7.4710202@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> Cecil:
>
> If I decide that I wish to become a Psychologist, I need to go back to
> school and take a Ph. D. in Psychology. However, the only way I can
> do this is if I VOLUNTARILY meet the requirements for all of the course
> work required for the degree. There is no way I can be FORCED to do
> this; I must choose to do it under my own motivation and initiative.

You voluntarily decide whether you want to take a Ph D. in Psychology,
but to get that degree you MUST meet the requirements, you MUST take and
pass all the courses, no other choice, you MUST do it. Try getting the
degree without taking and passing all the courses.

183. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:54:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804185419.08284.00000517@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 16427

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/04/2001 4:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>You are just being silly, Steve. I don't want you to follow through
>on your latest silly threat to sue me so I am retiring from your
>silliness.

Thjen you are wiser than you have been letting on, Cecil.

Thank you.

> For the record: I never said you cheated and I do not
>believe you cheated.

Apology accepted.

>It was you who implied that you didn't submit
>to the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements allowing some
>conclusions to be drawn.

No...I said I was not forced to do anything I did not want to do. There IS
a difference.

> However, you have indeed lied about what I said.

No, I have not.

>I never said you cheated. I said, if you didn't submit to
>the force of law embodied in the FCC requirements, then you cheated.
>Whether that statement is true or false was up to you, not up to me.

SInce you are letting go, so will I. You DID say those things, but if it
makes you feel better to repeat to yourself that you didn't, then I can live
with that.

>Of course you have, Steve. I posted the dictionary meanings and you said
>you disagreed with those meanings, i.e. words don't mean what words mean.

I said I disagreed with your choices.

Onwards and upwards.

73

Steve, K4YZ

184. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:57:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804185712.08284.00000518@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 16428

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
>Date: 08/04/2001 5:29 PM Central Daylight Time

>And it is very simple in its content, you cannot get a license without
>taking the exams, no other choice.

True. You cannot get a license without passing the examination. I did
pass each of the examinations that were put before me.

And since you are obviously too lazy to follow the paper trail, I will
repeat, for the last time, that I was NOT forced to do it. I was NOT "forced"
to do anything.

Now, slither back under your rock.

185. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-04 22:58:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804185812.08284.00000519@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 16429

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years
>From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
>Date: 08/04/2001 5:30 PM Central Daylight Time

>You had a choice of whether to get the license or not, but to get the
>license you had no choice but to take and pass the exam. Tell me how you
>could get the license without taking the exam.

It was never in question. But I was NEVER "forced" to do so.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve

186. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 00:34:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804203428.07922.00002035@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 16430

In article <3B696D77.8232CD48@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Is one world government necessarily
>> a bad thing?
>
> Good question, Jim. First of all, how do we know that government is
>going to be anything at all like what we have in this country today?

We don't. Neither do we know that it won't be.

The question is, does "one world government" always a bad thing? In other words, is there no way it could be good? What if a world government were based on the US Constitution, with slight changes to apply to the whole planet?

>Liberals love to envision a paradise with people around the world
>living in peace, all led by a benevolent world government.

The terms "liberals" and "conservatives" are almost meaningless today. They are like using a scalar to describe a vector quantity.

I would say "optimists love to envision a paradise with people around the world living in peace, all led by a benevolent world government." Is such a thing possible? I say YES. Not easy or quick, but possible, someday.

>What if
>Hitler controls that government instead?

He's been dead more than half a century.

>Today, if all else fails, we
>have the ultimate freedom to just leave the country if we don't like
>the government. That option will be gone with one government
>throughout the world.

Again, who says what a "world government" would be like? If people in California get fed up with California, they can move to New York, for example. Lots of variety in this one country.

> Are we willing to give up our freedom of speech, freedom of
>religion, freedom to associate, other freedoms under the Bill of
>Rights, the Constitution, or perhaps even the right to vote, to have
>that one world government?

Of course not. Nowhere do I say "one government at any price".

> None of those are guaranteed to be part of
>that new world government.

Nor are they guranteed to be eliminated from it.

>Or, if they are included, will remain part
>of that government with billions of people around the world voting
>(each person's vote will be only one in several billion).

Which is why the US is the United States.
>
> Do we want some guy in Ethiopia voting for the laws in our
>hometowns? Are we willing to have police officers from Iraq patrolling
>the streets of our neighborhoods? Are we prepared for a president from
>China (they have far more voters then we do)?

Are you saying there is NO WAY a world government could ever be a good thing?
>
> Who are the people creating this government? What are their goals
>and agendas? What are they getting out of this (you can bet your
>bottom dollar that they're getting something)? Will they allow any one
>of us to be part of that government? Do they even like us?

Ever think the people creating it could use the USA as their model?
>
> Liberals love to promote the idea of diversity.

Is diversity bad?

> Why reduce that
>diversity with a single world government? A one world government is
>the worst idea that liberals have ever come up with. And, in my
>opinion, if liberals in this country attempt to put such a government
>in power, there should be an immediate civil war to stop it (and I'm
>not joking)

No matter what form such a government took?

--

There was a time when people thought that slavery was part of the natural order of things. No way a civilization could exist without slaves, they said. Nobody will do the really awful jobs, they said. Great philosophers and religious leaders defended slavery. But over time slavery became obsolete, and the very idea is repugnant to almost everyone on the planet.

When the USA was founded, most of the Western world expected it to fail. No way a country could exist without a ruling class of nobles, they said. The "rabble" (meaning everybody of perceived lower social class than the person speaking) would not know who to vote for, they said. The young USA itself had all sorts of division over the power of the states vs. federal power, who gets to vote, slaves, landowners, etc. The idea that women would vote wasn't even discussed. Conventional wisdom of the time said the whole thing was nuts, and four-score-and-seven-years later it almost fell apart. But it didn't.

I'll pose the question again, slightly differently: Is it impossible to come up with a form of world government that would be a good thing?

73 de Jim, N2EY

187. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 01:48:55 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <aC1b7.163$0f5.176@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16431

N2EY asks...

> Is it impossible to come up with a form of world government
> that would be a good thing?

There is no evidence that it is possible, and in the past several thousand years there have been numerous attempts which failed, even when there was less 'world' to govern.

With all good wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

188. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 02:04:22 UTC
From: backspace <4leeerever@aol.com>
Message-ID: <GQ1b7.460$eU4.59005@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 16432

"Kentucky Rifles" <e341A@kdput.con> wrote in message
news:vuYa7.113$JC3.4652@eagle.america.net...
> The people you are hearing are your neighbors, the clerk at the
> corner grocery, the family next to you in church, local officials, and your
> coworkers. The dominant liberal media has you brain washed....

(massive snip of paranoid bullshit)

Can it be?? Has the looney Steve of UPR Radio
graced us with a posting on r.r.a.p. ? Hey Steve
what does it feel like to be a looser in dire need of
a rubber room and a prozac regimine??

189. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 02:04:24 UTC
From: backspace <4leeerever@aol.com>
Message-ID: <IQ1b7.461$eU4.59005@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 16433

"GH" <nbcred@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:3B6C6810.FA5758B5@mailcity.com...

> I guess you think McVeigh was a hero too? If so you need your brain plus
> some other things taken out and washed.

He can have McVeigh as his hero, and with luck he'll be
6 feet under with Timmy the Terrorist in short order too!

190. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 03:02:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6CB74D.D3DDD1A3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16434

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > However, you have indeed lied about what I said.
>
> No, I have not.

Yes, you have Steve, whether it was deliberate or not. Let's
take a different subject. Is the following statement true or
false?

Steve, if you were a female, you would be a drug pusher.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

191. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 04:33:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805003333.21030.00001841@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 16435

In article <3B6C1022.E5F28D39@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3B6AFBAE.CDE41B9E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> >There's more to it than that. If you say, "This morning my bus had
>> >a flat tire", and I say, "That's impossible, busses don't have tires",
>> >wouldn't you say I was lying?
>>
>> No. I'd say you were mistaken.
>
>If you very carefully explained exactly how I was mistaken and I still
>maintained that busses don't have tires, what would you say?
>
First off, I'd say that busses don't have tires, because "busses" is the plural
of "buss", which is another word for a kiss. Or a brand name of a fuse.

If you then said "buses don't have tires", then I'd say you didn't understand
the definition of bus, tire, or both. And that you were still mistaken.

73 de Jim, N2EY

192. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 05:29:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010805012948.29423.00005869@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16437

In article <20010804203428.07922.00002035@nso-cr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>Ever think the people creating it could use the USA as their model?
>>
>> Liberals love to promote the idea of diversity.
>
>Is diversity bad?

Jim:

What I would like to know is this -- Why is "diversity" such a big thing with liberals? In the United States of America, there are no "aboriginal" Americans that I'm aware of. Even the so-called "Native American" Indians came from somewhere else -- across the land bridge from Asia. From a standpoint of our origins, we are ALL immigrants. Therefore, "diversity" in American culture is NORMAL. In fact, a diversity of ethnic origins actually DEFINES American culture. My own ethnic origins are German and Austrian, with Jews on both sides of the family (in spite of the fact my parents and my siblings and I were raised Roman Catholic). However, I am lucky enough to live in a country where I have come to know, love, and admire people from virtually every other major nationality. I enjoy their food, their cultural traditions, and, whenever I am fortunate enough, their hospitality. I think the most boring thing in the world would be to live in a country where the people were of one race -- and wanted to keep it that way! Sure, they have that right, but look at what they are missing! Why do you think there are more French, Chinese, Thai and Italian restaurants in Japan than there are any other type? They are trying to import some "diversity" into their otherwise homologous society!

>> Why reduce that
>>diversity with a single world government?

Why, indeed! America is the shining example of diversity on the face of this planet! If diversity is such a good thing, why would we want to be lead by a "One World" government based, say, in Brussels, Belgium -- where there are nothing but white Western Europeans?

>>A one world government is
>>the worst idea that liberals have ever come up with.

Not to mention one of the most illogical. If "diversity" is a
virtue, then, were I a liberal, I would consider a One World
government to be the most evil thing that could possibly
happen!

>>And, in my
>>opinion, if liberals in this country attempt to put such a government
>>in power, there should be an immediate civil war to stop it (and I'm
>>not joking)

No, you're not, because it is no joke. Americans like myself,
who love freedom, independence, AND diversity are more than
willing to risk our lives to defend all of the above! Any power
that attempts to enslave Americans under a "One World"
government will be in for a rude awakening!

>No matter what form such a government took?

What form of a "One World" government would you be willing to
live under, Jim? Remember -- once in place, someone who isn't
an American, and doesn't even live in the United States, will be
telling you how to live your life. A "One World" government would
obviously be a Socialist government -- so you, as a former
American, will no longer have the rights you have grown accustomed
to -- such as ownership of private property, freedom of speech,
freedom to practice your chosen religion, freedom to use your
ham radio gear as you wish, etc.

>There was a time when people thought that slavery was part of the natural
>order of things. No way a civilization could exist without slaves, they said.

If they could have seen what has happened in the U.S. over the last
forty years, with the creation of the Welfare State, and the federalization
of our culture based on the fact that certain Americans of African
origin had ancestors who were used as slaves, they would never have
given slavery a second thought. The last thing I would ever want to
do is own another person -- because all that does is create generations
of people who can take undeserved political advantage of that fact in
perpetuity.

>Nobody
>will do the really awful jobs, they said. Great philosophers and religious
>leaders defended slavery. But over time slavery became obsolete, and the very
>idea is repugnant to almost everyone on the planet.

Slavery shouldn't be possible in the U.S. anymore. After all, every American
has the Right To Keep and Bear Arms. If someone tried to enslave me,
he'd have to dodge some lead first, and is quite unlikely to take me alive!
And even if he did, he can lead me to the cotton field, but he can't make
me pick! I'd probably die of the abuse that followed, but what good would
I be to him as a slave, then?

>When the USA was founded, most of the Western world expected it to fail. No
>way

>a country could exist without a ruling class of nobles, they said. The
>"rabble"
>(meaning everybody of perceived lower social class than the person speaking)
>would not know who to vote for, they said. The young USA itself had all sorts
>of division over the power of the states vs. federal power, who gets to vote,
>slaves, landowners, etc. The idea that women would vote wasn't even
>discussed.

Well, we've come a long way, baby -- and much for the better!

>Conventional wisdom of the time said the whole thing was nuts, and
>four-score-and-seven-years later it almost fell apart. But it didn't.
>
>I'll pose the question again, slightly differently: Is it impossible to come
>up
>with a form of world government that would be a good thing?

Yes, quite impossible. The form of government a nation adopts depends
on the nature of that nation's people. America is a nation created by
people who craved freedom from oppressive rulers, by people who were
willing to sacrifice their lives and fortunes to oppose such oppression.
The minute any American can conceive of living under a One World
government, which would revoke the sovereignty of our nation, limit our
freedoms, and dictate how we should live our lives, we are no longer
Americans. We would be slaves.

73 de Larry, K3LT

193. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 05:29:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010805012949.29423.00005870@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16436

In article <3B6C6BD2.DD578649@mailcity.com>, GH <nbcred@mailcity.com> writes:

>> Cecil:
>>
>> If I decide that I wish to become a Psychologist, I need to go back to
>> school and take a Ph. D. in Psychology. However, the only way I can
>> do this is if I VOLUNTARILY meet the requirements for all of the course
>> work required for the degree. There is no way I can be FORCED to do
>> this; I must choose to do it under my own motivation and initiative.
>
>You voluntarily decide whether you want to take a Ph D. in Psychology,
>but to get that degree you MUST meet the requirements, you MUST take and
>pass all the courses, no other choice, you MUST do it. Try getting the
>degree without taking and passing all the courses.

GH:

The fact that I *must* take and pass any required coursework for a
degree is simply something that I, as a mature, responsible adult,
accept without complaint. If I disagree with the requirement, I must
be prepared to accept the consequences of not being awarded the
degree I desire. All of this is purely my own, individual, voluntary
choice. Nobody is forcing me to do anything, since I always have
the option of not pursuing that particular degree.

If you are a mature, responsible adult, you will understand and
accept this. Are you a mature, responsible adult?

73 de Larry, K3LT

194. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 06:04:11 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B6CD44C.9FE6A113@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16438

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> The fact that I *must* take and pass any required coursework for a
> degree is simply something that I, as a mature, responsible adult,
> accept without complaint.

Even if you do not accept it without complaint, you MUST take and pass the courses to obtain the degree. No exception, you can't obtain the degree without taking and passing the courses, with or without complaint.

>If I disagree with the requirement, I must
> be prepared to accept the consequences of not being awarded the
> degree I desire. All of this is purely my own, individual, voluntary
> choice. Nobody is forcing me to do anything, since I always have
> the option of not pursuing that particular degree.
>
Then your only choice is whether you wish to obtain the degree or not, but to obtain the degree you "MUST" meet the requirements, no choice there. If you want the degree you are FORCED to meet the requirements, no choice.

This is obvious to any mature, responsible adult.

195. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 06:05:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010805020509.29423.00005871@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16440

In article <vuYa7.113$JC3.4652@eagle.america.net>, "Kentucky Rifles"
<e341A@kdput.con> writes:

>The federal government is the servant of the people, and NOT the
>other way around! The Founding Fathers created the Bill of Rights
>to protect us AGAINST federal government tyranny. It is your right
>and DUTY to take up arms against a tyrannical central government.
>Educate yourself. www.posse-comitatus.org
>United Patriot Radio, 6900 khz nightly from 8pm to 11 pm EDST.

What a bunch of crap. Just another bunch of toothless, white-trash,
inbred, anti-Semitic Christian Religious Right Wingers spewing their
Hitler-like hatred of Jews. I cannot get behind this whatsoever. I
believe in freedom and independence, not ethnic cleansing! Does
anyone know of any conservative militia groups that aren't formed
on the basis of racial hatred? If not, when Civil War II starts, I'll be
busy shooting at red-necked, bearded, Bible-thumping, tobacco-
spitting white men driving pickup trucks! What a bunch of maroons!

73 de Larry, K3LT

196. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 06:05:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010805020510.29423.00005872@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16439

In article <20010804203428.07922.00002035@nso-cr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>I'll pose the question again, slightly differently: Is it impossible to come
>up with a form of world government that would be a good thing?

Jim:

History has shown that to be quite unlikely. The last person to try this was Adolf Hitler; his "Thousand Year Reich" fell short by a mere 988 years! Had it been a good thing, I expect that it would have lasted a bit longer!

The problem with those who advocate a One World government is that they seem to feel that the global hegemony isn't being shared equitably. This may in fact be true, but any inequity smaller, so-called Third World nations perceive is mainly due to the fact that the people of these nations haven't made the sacrifices necessary to secure their own personal freedom and liberty. We have sacrificed greatly to make America the strong, wealthy, and free society it is today. Other reasons for inequitable distribution of hegemony may well be beyond the ability of the citizens of Third World nations to manage for themselves; things such as inept and/or corrupt leadership, few readily available natural resources, a poor agricultural environment, etc. The One Worlders want to solve these problems by making everyone suffer equally, as opposed to solving the problems of individual nations and helping them achieve their own freedom and independence. The leaders of the Third World are more interested in punishing America for becoming strong and wealthy than they are in taking good advantage of the universal generosity we have shown to them in times of crisis.

Surely, part of the problem is due to an overly "politically correct" foreign policy on America's part. I believe that wherever corrupt leaders exist, America needs to take a leadership role in eliminating them -- in order to create an environment in smaller, poorer countries that empowers their people and gives them the freedom to create an independent, free nation with a growing economy.

Right now, the United Nations seems to be more interested in punishing America for it's wealth than it is in encouraging it's member nations to be more like us. Witness the Kyoto Treaty. Give me a break! If that's a taste of "One World" government, I think I'll need some more ammunition!

73 de Larry, K3LT

197. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 10:33:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805063314.21030.00001849@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 16441

In article <aC1b7.163$0f5.176@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> writes:

>N2EY asks...
>
>> Is it impossible to come up with a form of world government
>> that would be a good thing?
>
>There is no evidence that it is possible, and in the past several
>thousand years there have been numerous attempts which failed, even
>when there was less 'world' to govern.

That simply means nobody has come up with one that meets your definition of "good" yet, Hans. It doesn't answer the question.

People tried for millenia to build flying machines and none of them worked, either.

73 de Jim, N2EY

198. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 10:33:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805063314.21030.00001848@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 16442

In article <20010805020510.29423.00005872@nso-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20010804203428.07922.00002035@nso-cr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>
>>I'll pose the question again, slightly differently: Is it impossible to come
>>up with a form of world government that would be a good thing?
>
>Jim:
>
>History has shown that to be quite unlikely.

Unlikely does not mean impossible.

>The last person to try
>this was Adolf Hitler; his "Thousand Year Reich" fell short by a mere
>988 years! Had it been a good thing, I expect that it would have
>lasted a bit longer!

Failure or success is not the criteria of "a good thing". And don't forget Josef Stalin, almost as big a monster as Hitler.

Force and violence have been tried many times as means to impose "world government". They don't work.
>
>The problem with those who advocate a One World government is that they
>seem to feel that the global hegemony isn't being shared equitably. This may
>in fact be true, but any inequity smaller, so-called Third World nations
>perceive is mainly due to the fact that the people of these nations haven't
>made the sacrifices necessary to secure their own personal freedom and
>liberty.

Really? Every one of them?

> We have sacrificed greatly to make America the strong, wealthy,
>and free society it is today.

Who? Most of the sacrificing was done by our ancestors. They struggled to build a better country AND a better world.

>Other reasons for inequitable distribution of
>hegemony may well be beyond the ability of the citizens of Third World
>nations to manage for themselves; things such as inept and/or corrupt
>leadership, few readily available natural resources, a poor agricultural

>environment, etc.

Sounds like a rehash of "The White Man's Burden". Ugh.

>The One Worlders want to solve these problems by
>making everyone suffer equally, as opposed to solving the problems of
>individual nations and helping them achieve their own freedom and
>independence.

You're missing the point, Larry.

>The leaders of the Third World are more interested in
>punishing America for becoming strong and wealthy than they are in
>taking good advantage of the universal generosity we have shown to them
>in times of crisis.

You know all of them? Ever think they might be a little ticked off about First World exploitation?
>
>Surely, part of the problem is due to an overly "politically correct"
>foreign policy on America's part. I believe that wherever corrupt
>leaders exist, America needs to take a leadership role in eliminating
>them -- in order to create an environment in smaller, poorer countries
>that empowers their people and gives them the freedom to create an
>independent, free nation with a growing economy.
>
Ferdinand Marcos, Shah of Iran, Manuel Noriega, President Thieu of Vietnam, Chiang Kai-Shek.....

>Right now, the United Nations seems to be more interested in punishing
>America for it's wealth than it is in encouraging it's member nations to
>be more like us.

Doesn't seem to be working, though, does it? Where do immigrants want to go? What country do others want Most Favored Nation trading status with?

>Witness the Kyoto Treaty. Give me a break!

You mean the global warming thing? Did the USA sign it?

>If that's a
>taste of "One World" government, I think I'll need some more ammunition!

uh huh.

73 de Jim, N2EY

199. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 10:45:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6D23C0.BDA8000D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16444

N2EY wrote:
> >Witness the Kyoto Treaty. Give me a break!
>
> You mean the global warming thing? Did the USA sign it?

If I remember correctly, the Kyoto Treaty was defeated in the
Senate by a vote of 95-ZIP. Why is Bush getting all the blame?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

200. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 10:47:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6D2406.5827BA99@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16443

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:

> > Good question, Jim. First of all, how
> > do we know that government is going
> > to be anything at all like what we have
> > in this country today?
>
> We don't. Neither do we know that it won't
> be.

So, are you willing to trade what we have, a known entity, for something unknown? We have a government, Jim. And, while we have plenty of problems, there is not a shred of evidence that a world government will have any less problems (and may have far more).

> The question is, does "one world government"
> always a bad thing?

If it results in the loss, or the reduction, of my say in that government, then a one world government is absolutely a bad thing. And, at the very least, a one world government will result in a reduction on my political voice (the power of my one vote will be reduced to one in several billion instead of what it is today). And, based on what I see around the world today (with governments), I'm fully convinced that a one world government will be far worse than that.

Likewise, if it results in even a slight loss of my freedom, then a one world government is absolutely a bad thing. And, like I've already said, a one world government totally eliminates the other governments around the world (hence my ability to flee elsewhere when a government becomes abusive would be gone - a loss of a freedom).

> In other words, is there no way it could
> be good? What if a world government
> were based on the US Constitution, with
> slight changes to apply to the whole
> planet?

No, there is no way it can be good!

> I would say "optimists love to envision
> a paradise with people around the world
> living in peace, all led by a benevolent
> world government." Is such a thing
> possible? I say YES. Not easy or quick,

> but possible, someday.

And what are you going to do with the people who disagree with you? There is always going to be people like me - people who don't like the idea of a one world government or don't like that one world government that you created. The only way you're going to have what you seek above ("a paradise with people around the world living in peace") would be to eliminate all opposition. Are you going to throw us in prison because we demand our freedom from your government? Or are you simply going to kill us in the name of peace? Or perhaps you envision a government using drugs, or torture, to eliminate opposition?

> > What if Hitler controls that government
> > instead?
>
> He's been dead more than half a century.

Don't be fatuous, Jim. You know exactly what I was asking and this is an extremely important question. What happens when that government turns out to be a horrible one (a government with massive power around the world to control everything you do or say) instead of the benevolent government you envision? There would be no place for you to run (that government has control of the whole world).

> > Today, if all else fails, we have the
> > ultimate freedom to just leave the
> > country if we don't like the government.
> > That option will be gone with one
> > government throughout the world.
>
> Again, who says what a "world government"
> would be like? If people in California get
> fed up with California, they can move to
> New York, for example. Lots of variety in
> this one country.

We're not talking about California or New York, Jim. We're talking about a one world government. If you leave California, you do not free yourself from the control of the federal government. The same is true for leaving New York. With one government around the world, no matter where you moved in the world, you will not be free of that government.

Lets go back to the Hitler example. In Germany, you were not able to free yourself from Hitler's control by fleeing from Munich to Frankfurt. The only way to escape Hitler's grip was to flee from Germany. If there was one government around the world, and that government turned out to be more like Hitler's government than the benevolent one you envision, there would be no escape from that government. That is a severe loss of freedom.

> Are you saying there is NO WAY a world
> government could ever be a good thing?

That's right, Jim. Think about it. We have a hard enough time just keeping relative peace in this country. How in the heck to you expect the achieve that world-wide? We are extremely diverse people. Even within the same groups of people (whites, blacks, asian, etc), there is extreme diversity in views, thoughts, customs, and beliefs. How can one government even hope to bring all that diversity together (we can't even get together on the relatively small amount of diversity we have in this country today)?

> > Who are the people creating this
> > government? What are their goals
> > and agendas? What are they getting
> > out of this (you can bet your bottom
> > dollar that they're getting something)?
> > Will they allow any one of us to be
> > part of that government? Do they even
> > like us?
>
> Ever think the people creating it could
> use the USA as their model?

Why would they? A large number of people around the world hate the United States. Heck, a large number of people right here in this country hate the United States. Why would any of those people want a government based on that? How do you know the people with the power to create this one world government would want a government based on that?

> > Liberals love to promote the idea
> > of diversity.
>
> Is diversity bad?

Diversity, in itself, isn't bad. But if it is forced onto people that don't want it (like it is being forced on many Americans today), then, absolutely yes, diversity is a bad thing. Anything that is forced on a person who doesn't want it is a bad thing, even if that thing is not bad when taken alone.

> > Why reduce that diversity with a
> > single world government? A one world
> > government is the worst idea that
> > liberals have ever come up with. And,
> > in my opinion, if liberals in this
> > country attempt to put such a government
> > in power, there should be an immediate
> > civil war to stop it (and I'm not joking).
>
> No matter what form such a government took?

Absolutely. What am I not making clear to you? In my opinion, government is always something that must be controlled. History has clearly shown that without control, every government will always turn bad. And the best way to do that is to keep government small

(manageable) and local (where it can be watched all the time). As a people, we are already losing control of our own federal government (too large, too remote, and too unmanageable). A world government would only be a thousand times larger and a thousand times worse.

> There was a time when people thought that
> slavery was part of the natural order of
> things. No way a civilization could exist
> without slaves, they said. Nobody will do
> the really awful jobs, they said. Great
> philosophers and religious leaders
> defended slavery. But over time slavery
> became obsolete, and the very idea is
> repugnant to almost everyone on the planet.

Comparing the concept of government to the concept of slavery is a stretch at the very least, but I'll try to respond the best way I can. First of all, slavery ended (well, mostly - slavery still exists in Africa). But you're not talking about ending government - you're talking about creating another government.

Second, slavery ended because of a moral choice of the people (slavery is evil). Government is not based on morality - its based on social necessity (the need social order and unity). Therefore, the concept of slavery is obsolete (well, as long as people maintain the same morals, it is obsolete), but the concept of government is not.

> When the USA was founded, most of the
> Western world expected it to fail. No
> way a country could exist without a
> ruling class of nobles, they said. The
> "rabble" (meaning everybody of
> perceived lower social class than the
> person speaking) would not know who to
> vote for, they said. The young USA
> itself had all sorts of division over
> the power of the states vs. federal
> power, who gets to vote, slaves,
> landowners, etc. The idea that women
> would vote wasn't even discussed.
> Conventional wisdom of the time said the
> whole thing was nuts, and four-score-and
> -seven-years later it almost fell apart.
> But it didn't.

Well, at least not yet anyway. Isn't that exactly the goal of those seeking a one world government - the elimination of the United States as a country? Isn't that exactly the goal of those seeking diversity - the elimination of all common bonds and unity in this country (the elimination of our common identity, our common purpose, our common culture, our common beliefs)?

When this country began, we all shared a common identity (Europeans living in America). That is gone today (we're not Americans - we're african-americans, asian-americans, etc). When this country began, we all shared a common purpose (to create a country based on our common ideals and beliefs). Those ideals and beliefs are being ridiculed today. When this country began, we all shared a common culture (European). That is gone today. And when this country began, we all shared common beliefs (freedom of religion, freedom of speech, etc). All of those have been under massive assault for many years.

> I'll pose the question again, slightly
> differently: Is it impossible to come
> up with a form of world government
> that would be a good thing?

Already answered. Not a snowball's chance in h*ll.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

201. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 11:11:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805071151.22387.00002431@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 16445

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The fact that I *must* take and pass any required coursework for a
>degree is simply something that I, as a mature, responsible adult,
>accept without complaint.

Do you believe, then, that the word "forced" cannot be used in any conditional
statement?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

202. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 11:12:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805071258.22387.00002432@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 16446

From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com

>If you want the degree you are FORCED to meet the requirements,
>no choice.

>This is obvious to any mature, responsible adult.

Although I think he he as a reasonable grasp of the meaning of the word
"forced," he does not appear to believe the word "if" even exists.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

203. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 11:13:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6D2A42.82B53444@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16447

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The fact that I *must* take and pass any required coursework for a
> degree is simply something that I, as a mature, responsible adult,
> accept without complaint.

Well then, you have just admitted that if you *must* meet the
requirements, you have been forced to meet the requirements.

From Webster's: "must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use
or threat of *FORCE*. You *MUST* obey the law."

> If I disagree with the requirement, I must
> be prepared to accept the consequences of not being awarded the
> degree I desire. All of this is purely my own, individual, voluntary
> choice. Nobody is forcing me to do anything, since I always have
> the option of not pursuing that particular degree.

If you are required by circumstances, you are forced. Even according
to the definition that you, yourself, posted to this newsgroup, if
a requirement is enforced, the people fulfilling the requirement
were forced to do so. How can you argue with your own posted
definition?

> If you are a mature, responsible adult, you will understand and
> accept this. Are you a mature, responsible adult?

You are completely missing the point, Larry. There exist two groups
of people. One group is without a license, permit, degree, or
whatever. These are the people who chose to be without the license,
permit, degree, or whatever.

The other group who obtained the license, permit, degree, or whatever
HAD NO CHOICE, i.e. they were forced to meet the requirements for the
license, permit, degree, or whatever. The only people who were not
forced to meet the requirements are the people who didn't obtain the
license, permit, degree, or whatever.

There are no licensed hams who were not forced to meet the FCC
requirements (except for cheats). A few of you continue to show a
gross amount of ignorance of the rules of conditional logic. Are you
really incapable of understanding a second level abstraction?

Larry, if you were female, you would be a prostitute.

What you believe or feel about the truth of that statement is irrelevant.
The statement is objectively true according to the rules governing conditional
statements. Ignorance is no excuse, Larry. Any mature, responsible adult

would study the rules governing conditional statements and accept them.
Immature, irresponsible adults tend to deny reality.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

204. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 11:14:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6D2AB1.13A776A3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16448

N2EY wrote:
> If you then said "buses don't have tires", then I'd say you didn't understand
> the definition of bus, tire, or both. And that you were still mistaken.

Computer buses don't have tires.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

205. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 11:19:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6D2BC2.73BCC89D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16449

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
> >If you want the degree you are FORCED to meet the requirements,
> >no choice.
>
> >This is obvious to any mature, responsible adult.
>
> Although I think he has a reasonable grasp of the meaning of the word
> "forced," he does not appear to believe the word "if" even exists.

That's OK, Ed, my three year old grandson also has a problem
comprehending if/then statements.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

206. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-05 11:25:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B6D2D22.FFAB63F5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16450

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >The fact that I *must* take and pass any required coursework for a
> >degree is simply something that I, as a mature, responsible adult,
> >accept without complaint.
>
> Do you believe, then, that the word "forced" cannot be used in any conditional
> statement?

Larry has contradicted himself. He seems to be another one who believes
that words don't mean what words mean.

From Webster's: "must - to be required or compelled to, as by the use
or threat of *FORCE*. You *MUST* obey the law."

Anything the government says you *must* do, i.e. is an enforced
requirement, proves "the use or threat of force" according to the
definition of "must" in the context of governmental regulations,
straight from the dictionary.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

207. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 15:57:01 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kp34d$7cl$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16501

Good, let me know and I'll join you.

Dan/W4NTI...Alabama

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B6F4431.B189E991@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > I tend to mostly view the battle
> > flag as a symbol of "rebel" spirit (standing proudly even when
> > everything is going against you). And, to a slightly lessor extent, a
> > symbol of states rights (as you said).
>
> Here in Texas, those two concepts are inseparable. We are just
> about ready to rebel against the feds.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

208. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 16:00:21 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kp3ak$4lm$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16502

What makes you think it is working so well ??

Dan/W4NTI

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010807065142.25872.00000941@mb-mb.aol.com...
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Like so many other Damned Yankees, Larry doesn't realize that, for
> >the majority of citizens in the South, the Confederate flag stands
> >for states rights and little else.
>
> I think there is a fair measure of Southern pride in there, too, Cecil. I
> would imagine that many who do not believe their state should cecede from
the
> Union still share regional pride and choose to represent that with the
> Confederate flag. Even if they do believe in cessession, under our form
of
> government, they are free to do so, free to talk about it and free to work
> toward that end through legal political process. Of course, I say if
something
> works so well that people are free to work at undermining it, maybe it
doesn't
> need fixing.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

209. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 16:04:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108070804.2f70464f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16503

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B6EB449.A2A54FCF@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >N2EY wrote:
> > >> Is that the basic goal behind the federal govt.?
> > >
> > >Most of them, yes.
> >
> > Not from what I can see.
>
> Then you have your rose colored glasses or blinders on.

Not me, Cecil. I just see things differently than you.

>
> > > The USA would be completely outvoted in a one
> > >world government.
> >
> > How do you know?
>
> Because we are completely outvoted in the UN General Assembly.

So any world government must be structured like the UN General Assembly? Why?

>
> > >The argument would be that the US supports democracy
> > >so why not have a world wide democracy.
> >
> > The USA is a republic, not a democracy.
>
> That doesn't make any difference.

It makes all the difference in the world. Things like a constitution come to mind.

> The US supports democracy.
> One world government, at the present, would force a democracy.

You're not being very imaginative, Cecil.

> > So you think might makes right, Cecil?
>
> Nope, you apparently think might makes right.

Huh? Not me.

> One-world-government
> folk just want to concentrate all the might into a few people.

That does not follow at all, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

210. Re: Ham Preaching by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 18:10:22 UTC
From: PingMaster@Colgate.edu
Message-ID: <iaWb7.1810$NJ6.7354@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16504

Group: rec.radio.amateur.policy Date: Mon, Aug 6, 2001, 12:03am (EDT+4) From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert&#65533;Craig)
Great reply and some sound reasoning at the
end. Thanks Jim.

From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
Date:
8/4/01 5:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id:
<STZa7.38616$LP2.1880113@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Twist, I saw the
article about freebanding. For what it is worth, read the following. It does
make interesting reading ...
* FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed hamming:
The FCC has levied a $10,000 fine on an East Palo Alto, California, man for
transmitting without a license on amateur frequencies. Earlier this year, the
Commission had proposed forfeitures totaling $17,000 in the case of Joshie Yasin
Nakamura Sr, who also is known as "Mervyn Ehambrave" and "Marvin Eugene Barnes."
The FCC's Forfeiture Order, released July 6, offered no explanation for the
discrepancy in the figures. The fine stemmed from complaints about Nakamura to
the FCC that date back to late January through March of 2000. The Commission
says it heard from the amateur community and from members of the ARRL Amateur
Auxiliary that an unlicensed station was operating on several amateur
frequencies. Nakamura reportedly is being detained by state authorities on
unrelated felony charges and did not respond to the earlier FCC notice.--FCC
ps
- I do not care about a few extra watts on the CB band. The freebanding, as I
have mentioned before about your level of visibility, - proceed at your own
risk.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
73 de Bert
KC2HMN
>open your eyes pay
attention fishies twist didnt write it

211. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 18:25:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B70329D.7CA17554@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16505

N2EY wrote:
> So any world government must be structured like the UN General Assembly? Why?

It's not a "must", just a very high probability. It wouldn't be "world government" if Haiti didn't have a vote. It's likely we would have, for instance, Chinese troops enforcing world government in the US, US troops enforcing world government in Zaire, etc. so the troops would not have to fire on their own people.

> It makes all the difference in the world. Things like a constitution come to mind.

Odds are, "a constitution" would bear absolutely no resemblance to The Constitution. We will never be more free by compromising with a world that is less free. When you add milk and dirt, all you get is dirty milk.

> You're not being very imaginative, Cecil.

I'm being realistic. To quote Roger Whittaker, "If is for children, spinning daydreams."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

212. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 19:17:31 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0108071117.3bac078@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16506

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010807000942.20221.00005909@nso-md.aol.com>...
> In article <20010806083333.20039.00000262@nso-mg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >
> >The Persian Gulf war was ten years ago. Would the US and other Western
> >countries have been as involved if oil was not an issue?
>
> I sure hope so! The sight of babies being skewered on bayonets always
> brings out the fighting spirit in me! Makes me wanna go pop a few caps
> on some towel-heads! Yeah, buddy!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I don't know if you remember the news that came out after the Gulf War
was over. It turned out that the Iraquis had harmed no babies and the
reports that they had killed babies in Kuatti hospitals were nothing
but false propaganda.
Obviously those false reports gave hawks a good reason to
intervene...even some of the folks who wouldn't enter a war to help
the oil lobby or the Jewish-American Israeli lobby.

73,
Bob Wex, w2ilp (I Loathe Propaganda)

213. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-07 23:10:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807191009.20039.00000304@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 16507

In article <3B6EB0D5.8DE64E21@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Most of the world has already gone socialist (more correctly, "statist")
>> >and the USA is on its way.
>>
>> Nonsense, Cecil. The world is focusing more and more on business.
>Capitalist
>> business.
>
>The governments of the world, including the US, are focusing more and
>more on controlling business.

The businesses of the world, including the US, are focusing more and
>more on controlling governments.
>
>> >Any world government would, no doubt, do away
>> >with the Bill of Rights.
>>
>> Why? Where's your proof that no matter how a world government was
>structured,
>> it would do so?
>
>Because most other countries don't have a Bill of Rights (witness gun
>control in GB and Australia)

So? What is to prevent them from adopting a Bill of Rights?

>and close to a majority of people in
>this country would do away with the US Bill of Rights if they had
>a chance to do so.
>
Nonsense. Where do you get these ideas?

>> >"statism - the principle of policy of concentrating extensive economic,
>> >political, and related controls in the state at the cost of individual
>> >liberty." - From Webster's. "State" in this context refers to the federal
>> >government, i.e. the USA is a state.
>>
>> You've got it exactly backwards, Cecil.
>
>That's not my definition, Jim. That's directly from the dictionary.

It doesn't apply. That's what's backwards.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--

214. Re: State Of Ham Radio Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 00:06:55 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zo%b7.37926$gj1.3503288@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16508

Yawn. And what have you contributed? We have garbage collectors, machinests, school teachers, college professors, and astronauts amongst our midst. I haven't heard much 'holier than thou' attitudes on the air. Thanks to W1RFI for an excellent reply to your post. Myself, I have only once caused a bit of a stink when someone came on the frequency (which was NOT occupied). He wanted me to move to the other end of the band because of a 'very important DX contest'. I was trying for a stateside phone patch. I was operating from KG6NAC, then the US Naval Hospital on Guam (the patch was needed for some patients). I was not an old codger, I was all of 20 years old at the time, and, yes, I did get a bit short - suggesting that he give me a landline so I could tell him what I thought of him (I stated I would not tell him on the air because I valued my ticket). Otherwise, I am always accomodating.

Other than that one minor problem on Guam, anyone is welcome on whatever frequency I am on (as LONG AS THEY ARE LICENSED). If you have encountered a problem over that, I am sure that the others in the newsgroup will vouch for me when I tell you it IS illegal for a licensed amateur to contact an unlicensed station. There are rules that we must abide by. For us, it could mean the loss of the ticket (or even more - in my case, my GROL as well. And then there might be a fine. I cannot afford a $10,000.00 NAL). I also have no problem moving if asked. Again, by a licensed station. Otherwise, in the case of an unlicensed station, they may not legally cause interference to a licensed station and must put up with any interference that a licensed station might cause. Perhaps this is the source of your problem?

Jim AA2QA

"Al Neuman" <herbiebarf@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:20010806115256.51494.qmail@web14804.mail.yahoo.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> There is no better example of the state of ham
> radio today than the frustrated old men in this
> NG who perch here like the Sanhedrin and pass
> judgement on anyone who makes a post here. Look
> at all of you, a retired FCC clerk, retired fed.
> bureaucrats, & an assortment of other old farts and
> gassy ole wind bags. A bunch of horny old men with
> no life. Just like when a new kid comes on "your"
> frequency, you run him off. No wonder the young
> kids look at this hobby with disgust, nothing but a
> bunch of fat, beer guzzling old red necks, fresh out
> of the CB bands who think your'r so superior to every

> one else!
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Make international calls for as low as $.04/minute with Yahoo! Messenger
> http://phonecard.yahoo.com/
>
>
> --
> Posted from web14804.mail.yahoo.com [216.136.224.220]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

215. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 00:33:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7088F7.992D49D1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16509

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Because most other countries don't have a Bill of Rights (witness gun
> >control in GB and Australia)
>
> So? What is to prevent them from adopting a Bill of Rights?

You tell me, Jim, what is to prevent Great Britian and Australia
from having a Second Amendment guaranteeing the right to bear arms?
Maybe I should instead ask - what planet do you live on?

> >and close to a majority of people in
> >this country would do away with the US Bill of Rights if they had
> >a chance to do so.
> >
> Nonsense. Where do you get these ideas?

From the US citizens who want to repeal the Second Amendment.
The vote would be very close to 50/50. If we can't trust our own
citizens to uphold the Bill of Rights, we sure cannot trust
the rest of the world to do so.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

216. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 00:36:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010807203634.21495.00005486@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 16510

In article <975b4ea9.0108070430.24ae272d@posting.google.com>, N2EY@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>> I sure hope so! The sight of babies being skewered on bayonets always
>> brings out the fighting spirit in me!
>
>How about being killed by poison gas?

Jim:

No problem. They gas us, we nuke them. Then, it will be quite a while before they gas anyone again!

73 de Larry, K3LT

217. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 03:45:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B70A7A9.89E2709D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 16511

CAM wrote:

> Well, the Bible says all you need is the faith of a grain of mustard
> seed and you can move mountains.

Let me know how it works out.

Dave K8MN

218. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 04:12:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B70BC4A.E1E34483@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16512

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Well, the Bible says all you need is the faith of a grain of mustard
> > seed and you can move mountains.
>
> Let me know how it works out.

Sorry Dave, I don't have that much faith. But my pastor says that
every word in the Bible is absolutely true. Some day I'm going to
ask him what is the difference between giants and Nefilim. King
James version says "giants" and the later new revised version says
"Nefilim".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

219. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 04:33:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808003322.04179.00002325@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 16513

In article <3B70329D.7CA17554@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> So any world government must be structured like the UN General Assembly?
>Why?
>
>It's not a "must", just a very high probability.

I don't think so.

>It wouldn't be "world
>government" if Haiti didn't have a vote.

Sure - but Haiti doesn't have to run the show, either.

>It's likely we would have, for
>instance, Chinese troops enforcing world government in the US, US troops
>enforcing world government in Zaire, etc. so the troops would not
>have to fire on their own people.

Why is that likely? We don't have New York state troopers enforcing the law in Rhode Island, or Texas Rangers enforcing the law in California. Isn't it the usual case that law enforcement officers live near where they work?

We have a a limited form of "world government" now, in the form of organizations like the ITU. Do foreign law enforcemtn agencies enforce the ITU treaty in the USA? Nope.
>
>> It makes all the difference in the world. Things like a constitution come
>to mind.
>
>Odds are, "a constitution" would bear absolutely no resemblance to
>The Constitution.

Why not?

>We will never be more free by compromising with
>a world that is less free. When you add milk and dirt, all you get
>is dirty milk.

What about dirty oil? America and the other Western nations compromise all over the place to get things like oil.
>
>> You're not being very imaginative, Cecil.
>
>I'm being realistic.

Not from what I can see.

>To quote Roger Whittaker, "If is for children,
>spinning daydreams."
>--
You quote a mediocre songwriter as a source of philosophy? How quaint. And unrealistic.

Every bit of progress made by mankind started with someone saying "If....."

73 de Jim, N2EY

220. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 04:36:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B70C19F.D11B3858@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16514

W1RFI wrote:
>
> I must have been away too long.
> Why is Larry going to shoot at
> you?

As far as I know, Larry isn't shooting at anybody, Ed. He just got a little carried away with what he was saying and I was trying to reel him in a little. Of course, I may be mistaken. Are you shooting at anybody, Larry?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

221. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 04:43:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B70C35D.E99CBB65@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16515

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > I tend to mostly view the battle
> > flag as a symbol of "rebel"
> > spirit (standing proudly even
> > when everything is going against
> > you). And, to a slightly lessor
> > extent, a symbol of states
> > rights (as you said).
>
> Here in Texas, those two concepts
> are inseparable.

They are virtually inseparable.

> We are just about ready to rebel
> against the feds.

With the mood in this country today, you would have a lot of people
joining you. I could use a vacation in Texas myself.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

222. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 04:52:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B70C578.97DFF4D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16516

W4NTI wrote:
>
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> > Well, of course. That is the obvious
> > order of things. A man's vehicle is
> > essential. But, other than a few minutes
> > of bedroom activity each day, the only
> > real reason to keep a wife around is to
> > wash and wax the vehicle. [quickly
> > looking over my shoulder to make sure
> > the wife isn't looking]
>
> Man your in trouble now. I'm mailing this
> to her tomorrow. hi.

It wouldn't be the first time I was in trouble with the wife. I greet her each morning with words, "I'm sorry," just to make sure I'm covered for the previous day. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

223. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 08:40:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808044040.13955.00002036@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 16517

In article <3B7088F7.992D49D1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Because most other countries don't have a Bill of Rights (witness gun
>> >control in GB and Australia)
>>
>> So? What is to prevent them from adopting a Bill of Rights?
>
>You tell me, Jim, what is to prevent Great Britian and Australia
>from having a Second Amendment guaranteeing the right to bear arms?

The wishes of the people. Both those countries have elected bicameral
Parliaments.

Do you think Great Britain and/or Australia are totalitarian police states?

>Maybe I should instead ask - what planet do you live on?

You talk about freedom, Cecil, but you display a sad lack of civility for
differing points of view.
>
>> >and close to a majority of people in
>> >this country would do away with the US Bill of Rights if they had
>> >a chance to do so.
>> >
>> Nonsense. Where do you get these ideas?
>
>From the US citizens who want to repeal the Second Amendment.

You polled all of them?

>The vote would be very close to 50/50.

It takes 2/3 to amend the Constitution. A simple majority would fail to change
it.

>If we can't trust our own
>citizens to uphold the Bill of Rights, we sure cannot trust
>the rest of the world to do so.

Seems to me you're saying that the Bill of Rights is never to be changed,
regardless of what the people want. The Bill of Rights is not perfect. It does
not contain equal rights for women or black people - those things came later.

73 de Jim, N2EY

224. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 11:37:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B712470.6C4A2330@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16518

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >You tell me, Jim, what is to prevent Great Britian and Australia
> >from having a Second Amendment guaranteeing the right to bear arms?
>
> The wishes of the people. Both those countries have elected bicameral
> Parliaments.

And do you think one world government is going to magically change
the wishes of those people? I do not want my inalienable rights eroded
by the majority. I do not like Hemlock.

> Do you think Great Britain and/or Australia are totalitarian police states?

If they violate the Bill of Rights, they are. :-)

> You talk about freedom, Cecil, but you display a sad lack of civility for
> differing points of view.

When those differing points of view don't match reality, I start
to wonder.

> It takes 2/3 to amend the Constitution. A simple majority would fail to change
> it.

Can you guarantee that to be true under a one world government? Can
you even guarantee a Bill of Rights under a one world government?

> Seems to me you're saying that the Bill of Rights is never to be changed,
> regardless of what the people want.

Repealing the second amendment, for instance, would be tyranny of the
majority. Civil wars have been fought over such.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

225. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 11:51:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7127BD.9AF60B70@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16519

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> So any world government must be structured like the UN General Assembly?
> >> Why?
> > >
> > >It's not a "must", just a very high probability.
> >
> > I don't think so.

Can you guarantee otherwise? Why should we give up everything in
return for promises of pie in the sky?

> > >It wouldn't be "world
> > >government" if Haiti didn't have a vote.
> >
> > Sure - but Haiti doesn't have to run the show, either.

IMO, one world government would lead to tyranny the likes of which
the world has never seen. IMO, human nature prohibits a benevolent
empire.

> > >Odds are, "a constitution" would bear absolutely no resemblance to
> > >The Constitution.
> >
> > Why not?

Can you guarantee that a world constitution would resemble The
Constitution?

> Every bit of progress made by mankind started with someone saying "If....."

So did every bit of destruction and war.

I can calculate when I have a 1% advantage on the Blackjack table.
Do I bet $100,000 on the next hand? One world government is like
that 1% advantage. The potential for disaster is pretty high.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

226. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 11:56:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B71290A.C5A37426@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16520

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I must have been away too long.
> > Why is Larry going to shoot at
> > you?
>
> As far as I know, Larry isn't shooting at anybody, Ed.

Here's Larry's exact words.

"If not, when Civil War II starts, I'll be busy shooting at
red-necked, bearded, Bible-thumping, tobacco-spitting white
men driving pickup trucks!"

Some of us Southerners pointed out - that describes a lot of
regular posters to this newsgroup.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

227. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 16:24:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108080824.46067945@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16521

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010807203634.21495.00005486@nso-ch.aol.com>...
> In article <975b4ea9.0108070430.24ae272d@posting.google.com>, N2EY@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >> I sure hope so! The sight of babies being skewered on bayonets always
> >> brings out the fighting spirit in me!
> > >
> > >How about being killed by poison gas?
> >
> > Jim:
> >
> > No problem. They gas us, we nuke them.

How about if they gas somebody else? Like the Iranians or the Kurds?

> Then, it will be quite a while
> before they gas anyone again!

Maybe. Or maybe they move the battlefield. Chemical and biological
weapons are the "poor man's nukes".

Remember that without oil money, Saddam would not be able to do much.

73 de Jim, N2EY

228. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 16:45:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108080845.61a3d628@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16522

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B6EA306.8F691B6D@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > CAM writes:
> >
> > > Most of the world has already
> > > gone socialist (more correctly,
> > > "statist") and the USA is on
> > > its way.
> >
> > Nonsense, Cecil. The world is
> > focusing more and more on
> > business. Capitalist business.
>
>
> Actually, we're still one of the very few relatively pure capitalist
> countries.

I agree partly. Much of the rest of the world is moving towards more
capitalism (former Soviet Union, China, etc.).

> Most of the world is moving their economies towards a mix
> of socialist and capitalist, like that found in Germany, Japan, and so
> forth (utilities mostly government owned, with strongly regulated
> businesses elsewhere).

They've been that way a long long time.

> When I see the electricity mess in California,
> I do see some advantage to that mix. I'm not at all convinced that
> business should control critical segments of our economy (utilities, etc).

Agreed! I would phrase it this way: Most things are best handled by a
free market capitalist econonmy, with varying levels of regulation.
Some things are best handled by regulated monopolies, and some things
can only be done by the govt. Knowing where the dividing lines are is
the key.

The electricity mess in CA is due to a host of problems:

- Lack of excess capacity
- Sharp increases in demand
- Bad rate regulation
- Lots of capacity offline at the same time

The lack of excess capacity is due in part to the move from NIMBY (Not In My Backyard) mindset to the BANANA (Build Absolutely Nothing Anywhere Near Anything) philosophy. Another factor is that utilities in the '80s and '90s weren't "glamorous" investments like the dotcomms. "Capacity" isn't just generating capacity, it's also transmission lines.

The sharp increases in demand came quickly, in large part due to the rapid increase in things like server farms, which eat electricity 24/7. The demand isn't just the equipment, but the lighting, air-conditioning, communication and other support infrastructure. Plenty of capital to build them, but not the boring old generators and power lines. And the new facilities can come on line in months while new generation and transmission takes years.

The real killer was the disconnection of generation rates from consumer rates. The electricity suppliers could charge "wahatever the market would bear", but the transmission/distribution outfits could NOT pass the increased costs onto consumers. Yet they were required to supply the energy regardless of cost. Bricks without straw.

The idea that the "free market" is the best venue for everything is as faulty as the idea that "the state" or "the workers" are the best venue. It all depends on the situation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

229. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-08 21:15:37 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B71ABF9.2865B1F2@uci.edu>
Source record: 16523

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >
> >If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?
>
> To serve as a sex organ and the birth canal on a woman's anatomy.
> Any other dumb questions?

That's two. Any more dumb statements?

230. Re: New QTH . . . antenna woes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 00:23:35 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010808202335.03220.00001807@mb-mg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16524

>Working at night, I buried the coax
>to the light pole, neatly attached it up the side of the pole, and put
>an nine foot antenna on the top of the pole itself. I never saw a
>neighbor give it anything more than a casual glance.

Hi Dwight,

Guerilla tactics, eh? Ilike it.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

231. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 07:22:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010809032259.01701.00005113@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 16525

In article <6fa2d22d.0108071117.3bac078@posting.google.com>, w2ilp@juno.com (Bob Wexelbaum) writes:

>I don't know if you remember the news that came out after the Gulf War >was over. It turned out that the Iraquis had harmed no babies and the >reports that they had killed babies in Kuatti hospitals were nothing >but false propaganda.

Bob:

I don't remember that, because I never heard it. And I never heard it because it was never reported. And it was never reported, because it just wasn't so! The Iraqi Army did commit the atrocities which were well reported after the invasion, including killing *Kuwaiti* babies in their hospital nursery wards.

>Obviously those false reports gave hawks a good reason to >intervene...even some of the folks who wouldn't enter a war to help >the oil lobby or the Jewish-American Israeli lobby.

Well, the reports weren't false, and they were, indeed, good reason to intervene. Now, pray tell, just what do you suppose the United States should do to "intervene" in the present Middle East crisis? We have supported Israel in every conceivable way, and what do we have for thanks? A largely Jewish-owned and controlled media which has destroyed American culture with it's liberal, socialist propaganda! I am not an Anti-Semite, but I'm not blind to the facts, either! I believe that the poor opinion that the typical American angry white man has of Jews is largely well-deserved!

73 de Larry, K3LT

232. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 09:23:33 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010809052333.00707.00001788@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16526

>If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?

Better mousetrap? 8-D

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

233. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 11:16:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B727100.93BB5278@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16527

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> We have
> supported Israel in every conceivable way, and what do we have for
> thanks? A largely Jewish-owned and controlled media which has
> destroyed American culture with it's liberal, socialist propaganda! I am
> not an Anti-Semite, but I'm not blind to the facts, either! I believe that
> the poor opinion that the typical American angry white man has of
> Jews is largely well-deserved!

And don't forget, they wanted Jesus crucified. :-) You are at it
again, Larry - condemning an entire group for the actions of a few.
When are you going to grow up, learn to judge individuals, and change
your collectivistic thought patterns?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

234. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 13:45:47 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B72940B.72562026@psu.edu>
Source record: 16528

Well, my brave anonymous poster, I would be willing to bet if you were
to document these transgressions, and give the documentation to the FCC,
they might just do something about it, providing that these people are
actually doing what you say.

- Mike -

Anonymous wrote:
>
> WHY does Riley Hollingsworth write stern
> letters of warning to WB2CLN, KN4CF, and
> N3QPC, telling them slanderous personal attacks
> on ham radio are prohibited?
>
> Yet, W4AMP and his spouse WA4SEX continue
> for years to use ham radio as a platform to
> slander other amateurs. W4AMP has in fact
> made terroristic threats to murder people,
> damage their property, stalk their families,
> and accused other amateur of various criminal
> acts. Why is W4AMP allowed to do this with
> impunity, why.
>
> Riley Hollingsworth appears to be
> practicing selective enforcement and allowing
> W4AMP and WA4SEX to continue violative behavior
> on the air with impunity.

235. Re: New QTH . . . antenna woes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 14:01:55 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <CJJ@TNFinancialResources.com>
Message-ID: <5Jwc7.8824$33.1280652@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 16529

: >Working at night, I buried the coax
: >to the light pole, neatly attached it up the side of the pole, and put
: >an nine foot antenna on the top of the pole itself. I never saw a
: >neighbor give it anything more than a casual glance.
:
: Hi Dwight,
:
: Guerilla tactics, eh? Ilike it.
:
: 73 de Bert
: KC2HMN

While shinnying up the pole, probably more like gorilla tactics. ;-)

236. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 16:36:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108090836.13486f73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16530

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B7127BD.9AF60B70@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >N2EY wrote:
> > >> So any world government must be structured like the UN General Assembly?
> > >> Why?
> > >
> > >It's not a "must", just a very high probability.
> >
> > I don't think so.
>
> Can you guarantee otherwise?

Don't have to. The discussion is about whether any conceivable "world
government" could ever be a good thing. In other worlds, whether all
"world governments" would be bad.

> Why should we give up everything in
> return for promises of pie in the sky?

Who says we should give up basic human rights? Not me.
>
> > >It wouldn't be "world
> > >government" if Haiti didn't have a vote.
> >
> > Sure - but Haiti doesn't have to run the show, either.
>
> IMO, one world government would lead to tyranny the likes of which
> the world has never seen.

Not if it was a good one.

>IMO, human nature prohibits a benevolent
> empire.
>
Nonsense. That's the same line of thinking that led to the "divine
right of kings" and other nonsense.

> > >Odds are, "a constitution" would bear absolutely no resemblance to
> > >The Constitution.
> >
> > Why not?
>
> Can you guarantee that a world constitution would resemble The

> Constitution?

In a good world government, yes. By definition.
>
> > Every bit of progress made by mankind started with someone saying "If....."
>
> So did every bit of destruction and war.

So people should stop saying "if"?
>
> I can calculate when I have a 1% advantage on the Blackjack table.
> Do I bet $100,000 on the next hand?

Depends on how much you have. To Bill Gates, $100,000 isn't a lot of
money.

> One world government is like
> that 1% advantage. The potential for disaster is pretty high.

All I asked was whether ALL conceivable world governments would be a
bad thing. In other words, the challenge is to design a good one. It's
not a question of odds but of problem solving.

73 de Jim, N2EY

237. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 17:08:16 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <77BEAA26D6FE8801.9E608F743B873A72.4A8052D3A8866228@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 16531

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>:

>"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
>
>> The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human
>> waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be
>> placed there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not
>> a belief.
>
>If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?

Pencil holder?

My ex was a nurse in OB/GYN. The stories she could tell about what they found in there would really make you lose faith in the idea of humans as an intelligent species.

Some women apparently are the walking equivalent of the space under the couch cushions.

238. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 17:19:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809131933.06638.00000982@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16532

>Subject: Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs
>From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com (Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH)

>Some women apparently are the walking equivalent of the space under the
>couch cushions.

As are some men...I could tell you some REALLLLLY interesting ER stories
of what things some MEN have been able to sequester away in various body
orifices!

It AIN'T a pretty picture!

73

Steve, K4YZ

239. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 18:25:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B72D5B5.D3C3428B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16533

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com (Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH)
> >Some women apparently are the walking equivalent of the space under the
> >couch cushions.
>
> As are some men...I could tell you some REALLLLLY interesting ER stories
> of what things some MEN have been able to sequester away in various body
> orifices!

My ex-wife is a nurse and was working ER one night when a couple
came in who had been playing around with a banana. It was so
slippery they couldn't remove it from wherever it was.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

240. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 18:32:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B72D72A.CEA1BD1D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16534

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > Can you guarantee that a world constitution would resemble The
> > Constitution?
>
> In a good world government, yes. By definition.

If you can guarantee that the one world courts will interpret
The US Constitution in a strict manner (unlike today) I will
climb on your bandwagon.

> All I asked was whether ALL conceivable world governments would be a
> bad thing.

And I answered that the probability for a good world government
is very low although not zero. There aren't enough good people
in the world to implement a good world government.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

241. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 20:11:03 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B72EE57.C6EBD46D@uci.edu>
Source record: 16535

I think the post was really about trolling, rather than the merits or your argument. The idea he posed was like someone teasing a dog or something. You wanna go up to the guy and say: "Stop that. You should be ashamed of yourself."

CAM wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> > Or maybe this particular fish is just really stupid, and can't
> > help but strike your bait.
>
> An analogy to a lake just might help. A person exercises his freedom
> of choice to jump in the lake. But once he has jumped in, he is *forced*
> to surface to be able to breathe and stay alive. He has a choice whether
> to jump in or not (like choosing to be a licensed ham) but he has absolutely
> no choice whether to surface or not if he wants to stay alive (like being
> forced to meet the FCC requirements).
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

242. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 20:23:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B72F15D.AA81C49B@uci.edu>
Source record: 16536

CAM wrote:
> The basic goal behind a one world
> government is for everyone in the world to have the same standard of living
> except for the politicians of course.

Do we get to pick which standard of living everyone gets? I vote for
Hugh Hefner's standard of living.

73 ac6xg

243. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 21:01:10 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9kutn9$t3d$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16537

My nomination is for COIN FLIPPER. If anyone has ever been to Thailand or the PI (Phillipines) they know what I mean.

Dan/W4NTI

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com> wrote in message news:77BEAA26D6FE8801.9E608F743B873A72.4A8052D3A8866228@lp.airnews.net...
> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in <3B6B11F9.73F9738@uci.edu>:
>
> >"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> >
> >> The evidence is this. A person's rectum was meant to get rid of human
> >> waste. It was not meant for some other perverted man's penis to be
> >> placed there. It is for outgoing, not incoming. That is a fact, not
> >> a belief.
> >
> >If you had to pick only one purpose for a vagina, what would it be?
>
> Pencil holder?
>
> My ex was a nurse in OB/GYN. The stories she could tell about what they
> found in there would really make you lose faith in the idea of humans as an
> intelligent species.
>
> Some women apparently are the walking equivalent of the space under the
> couch cushions.
>

244. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 21:15:05 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B72FD59.9ABE034E@uci.edu>
Source record: 16538

CAM wrote:
> Like so many other Damned Yankees, Larry doesn't realize that, for
> the majority of citizens in the South, the Confederate flag stands
> for states rights and little else.

At one time, it stood for a states right to allow slavery and not pay Federal taxes, among other things. I believe the Confederacy admited that it was wrong about those things. Didn't it?

I always wondered why they chose the flag of England as their own, less than a hundred years after the Revolutionary War?

245. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 22:14:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KWDc7.3039$Ki1.205936@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16539

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3B72FD59.9ABE034E@uci.edu...
> CAM wrote:
> > Like so many other Damned Yankees, Larry doesn't realize that, for
> > the majority of citizens in the South, the Confederate flag stands
> > for states rights and little else.
>
> At one time, it stood for a states right to allow slavery and not pay
> Federal taxes, among other things. I believe the Confederacy admited
> that it was wrong about those things. Didn't it?
>
> I always wondered why they chose the flag of England as their own, less
> than a hundred years after the Revolutionary War?

1. They hoped for British intervention that they came close to getting.

2. They owed the Brits tons of money.

246. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 23:25:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B731BC3.D24AA5F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16540

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> At one time, it stood for a states
> right to allow slavery and not pay
> Federal taxes, among other things.
> I believe the Confederacy admited
> that it was wrong about those
> things. Didn't it?

The succession of the southern states had been building for decades prior to Lincoln's decision to free the southern slaves. So, while slavery was a factor in the succession of the southern states, it was not a key factor (most southerners didn't own slaves, hence that meant issue nothing to most southerners). As further evidence of the limited role slavery played in the creation of the confederacy, note that Lincoln did not give his emancipation proclamation (freeing southern slaves) until almost two years after the south seceded and the confederacy was created. One goal of the emancipation proclamation was focus attention on the slavery issue in an effort to drive a wedge between the confederacy and the European countries supporting it (many of those countries had declared policies against slavery by that time).

> I always wondered why they chose the
> flag of England as their own, less
> than a hundred years after the
> Revolutionary War?

I don't know for certain, but I suspect it was probably because many in the south were not thrilled about our separation with Europe during the Revolutionary War. As you may know, many in the south sided with England during the war (and they paid a very heavy price for that following the war). One of the reasons for siding with England was that they didn't fully trust the new government here (it was too much of a northern thing).

When the south later seceded (leading to the civil war), one goal was to re-establish closer relations with England. Many in the south still identified more with England than they did with the government here (many felt they were better off before the government here was created - the government was more oppressive than what they had before).

I don't know any of that for certain, but it is the feeling I was left with after reading about the south during that period.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

247. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-09 23:54:07 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B7314DA.AF02465E@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16541

It is amazing how a thread can take off on an entirely unrelated subject. So back to the original subject, anyone have a clue as to where these "Radio Free Texas" pirates operating just inside the 40 meter band are located in Texas?

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Like so many other Damned Yankees, Larry doesn't realize that, for
> > the majority of citizens in the South, the Confederate flag stands
> > for states rights and little else.
>
> At one time, it stood for a states right to allow slavery and not pay
> Federal taxes, among other things. I believe the Confederacy admited
> that it was wrong about those things. Didn't it?
>
> I always wondered why they chose the flag of England as their own, less
> than a hundred years after the Revolutionary War?

248. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 01:30:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010809213052.12858.00005339@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 16542

In article <3B70C19F.D11B3858@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> I must have been away too long.
>> Why is Larry going to shoot at
>> you?
>
>
> As far as I know, Larry isn't shooting at anybody, Ed. He just got a
>little carried away with what he was saying and I was trying to reel
>him in a little. Of course, I may be mistaken. Are you shooting at
>anybody, Larry?

Dwight:

Heck no. Been too busy working. And as hot as it's been lately,
I'm feeling too lazy to go out and shoot anyone. Maybe some other
time, perhaps. But thanks for asking!

73 de Larry, K3LT

249. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 04:31:58 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <2tJc7.4804$NJ6.22618@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16543

In article <9f4bfe89.0108090836.13486f73@posting.google.com>, N2EY says...

> ....the challenge is to design a good one.

Since there is no single answer to "what is good for all", by definition there is no "good one" for the whole world.

A democracy would be "a good one" in some parts of the world.
A benevolent dictatorship would be "a good one" in some other places.
Some countries have decided that a high degree of socialism works.
Other countries have had bloody civil wars to rid their government of socialist leanings.

In other words, it's a dump huck idea.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

250. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 13:01:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810090127.00749.00002503@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 16544

>Subject: Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs
>From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com
>Date: 08/09/2001 4:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>If anyone has ever been to Thailand or
>the PI (Phillipines) they know what I mean.

YEAH BUDDY!

Take ME back to Olongopo City!

=) =) =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

251. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 13:06:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810090608.00749.00002506@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 16545

>Subject: Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 08/09/2001 11:31 PM Central Daylight Time

>In other words, it's a dump huck idea.

Only a mind as narrow as yours would call something "dump huck". A rather childish outburst, to be sure.

The IDEA is fine. The application or implementation is the problem. The Nazi's tried to implement socialism. We KNOW how that worked.

The British and Canadians have had socialism for the better half of the last century with mixed results.

>With all kind wishes,

From YOU...?!?!

I doubt it.

Steve, K4YZ

252. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 15:53:59 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B740397.E5FFD68F@psu.edu>
Source record: 16546

Sorry, just take the world gnp and divide by the number of people in
the world, then you 'll get the standard of living you can look forward
to! 8^)

- Mike -

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The basic goal behind a one world
> > government is for everyone in the world to have the same standard of living
> > except for the politicians of course.
>
> Do we get to pick which standard of living everyone gets? I vote for
> Hugh Hefner's standard of living.

253. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 16:12:26 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <KJTc7.5299$NJ6.24768@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16547

Steve Robeson K4YZ says...

>
> Take ME back to Olongopo City!
>

Yes, would somebody **PLEASE** take him there. (And drop him off the bridge over the Shit River.)

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

254. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 18:18:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B742565.9490D2E6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16548

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I think the post was really about trolling, rather than the merits or
> your argument.

Hans trolling? Heaven forbid.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

255. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 18:21:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B742618.3CD07CC4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16549

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The basic goal behind a one world
> > government is for everyone in the world to have the same standard of living
> > except for the politicians of course.
>
> Do we get to pick which standard of living everyone gets? I vote for
> Hugh Hefner's standard of living.

Unfortunately, a mean standard of living is the only choice. I,
personally, am opposed to meanness.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

256. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 18:30:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B742860.F0D9C170@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16550

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Like so many other Damned Yankees, Larry doesn't realize that, for
> > the majority of citizens in the South, the Confederate flag stands
> > for states rights and little else.
>
> At one time, it stood for a states right to allow slavery and not pay
> Federal taxes, among other things. I believe the Confederacy admited
> that it was wrong about those things. Didn't it?

The civil war was not about slavery. Many Union officers had slaves.
Many Confederate officers did not have slaves. After suffering a
60% federal tax bracket in my lifetime, I tend to agree with them on
federal taxes. IMO, the states should collect all taxes and then
grant the federal government the percentage of taxes that they
deserve. IMO, that would support the armed forces and any federal
court system and federal police system required. As The Constitution
clearly states, everything else should be left to the states.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

257. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 20:37:39 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B744613.14FF10A3@uci.edu>
Source record: 16451

CAM wrote:

> The civil war was not about slavery.

Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil. For the North, it was definitely about slavery. Southerners take a different view, I know. They didn't cotton to being told they couldn't have slaves. So from the Souths perspective the war was about not being told what they could or could not do. The fact that they didn't agree with the abolition of slavery can hardly be considered irrelevant to the advent of the Civil War.

> IMO, the states should collect all taxes and then
> grant the federal government the percentage of taxes that they
> deserve.

Oh, yeah? We Californians think you Texans should have to pay more than we do. So there. ;-)

> IMO, that would support the armed forces and any federal
> court system and federal police system required. As The Constitution
> clearly states, everything else should be left to the states.

"Sorry parner. Your stinkin' California money ain't no good 'round cheer."

73, Jim AC6XG

258. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 21:06:05 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B744CBD.55891541@uci.edu>
Source record: 16452

CAM wrote:
> I, personally, am opposed to meanness.

You're plenty mean, Cecil, in a passive aggressive sort of way.

259. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 21:32:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7452E2.F9969B92@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16453

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I, personally, am opposed to meanness.
>
> You're plenty mean, Cecil, in a passive aggressive sort of way.

Being opposed to MEANness indicates that I don't like being average.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

260. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 21:41:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B745510.103EA26E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16454

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil. For the North, it was definitely
> about slavery.

Then why did so many northerners have slaves at the beginning of the
civil war? Why did so many Union officers possess slaves? Why did many
Confederate officers not possess slaves? Why were the northern
slaves freed so many months after the Civil War started?

> Oh, yeah? We Californians think you Texans should have to pay more than
> we do. So there. ;-)

We Texans wouldn't mind paying more for some real freedom.

> > IMO, that would support the armed forces and any federal
> > court system and federal police system required. As The Constitution
> > clearly states, everything else should be left to the states.
>
> "Sorry parner. Your stinkin' California money ain't no good 'round
> cheer."

The Federal Reserve was formed in 1914. What on earth did the USA do
in the 100+ years before that? Did you ever hear of bank notes? Why
did the Great Depression happen only 15 years after the Federal Reserve
had been established? Cause and effect?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

261. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:09:29 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B745B99.E08E4DE6@uci.edu>
Source record: 16455

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > I, personally, am opposed to meanness.
> >
> > You're plenty mean, Cecil, in a passive aggressive sort of way.
>
> Being opposed to MEANness indicates that I don't like being average.

Ya slid right under that tag, dint cha Cec. :-)

I thought you would have said you were only opposed to other people
being mean.

262. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:17:43 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B745D87.32667A32@uci.edu>
Source record: 16456

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil. For the North, it was definitely
> > about slavery.
>
> Then why did so many northerners have slaves at the beginning of the
> civil war?

Was that before, or after the Emancipation Proclamation?

> Why did so many Union officers possess slaves? Why did many
> Confederate officers not possess slaves?

Was it because there was a better correlation between land owners and
slave owners than there was between military men and slave owners?

> Why were the northern
> slaves freed so many months after the Civil War started?

Did it occur many years before the South freed their slaves?

> > Oh, yeah? We Californians think you Texans should have to pay more than
> > we do. So there. ;-)

> We Texans wouldn't mind paying more for some real freedom.

You already have more than we do in California. Now pay up.

> The Federal Reserve was formed in 1914. What on earth did the USA do
> in the 100+ years before that? Did you ever hear of bank notes?

I got some of them here in my pocket. I think you overlook the
advantage of having notes that are honored anywhere, by any bank.

> Why
> did the Great Depression happen only 15 years after the Federal Reserve
> had been established? Cause and effect?

No.

You ask a lot of questions for someone who already knows it all.

263. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:19:56 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9l1mm9$9ur$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16457

Did you experts know that Robert E. Lee did not support the issue of slavery?

Did you know that Abraham Lincoln wanted to give the blacks a home in Africa?

Did you know that many of the founding fathers of this country were slave holders?

And did you know that after the battle of Gettysburgh and Vicksburg, (the Union finally having won something) that there were riots in the streets of the northern cities...against the war. Against the draft? And did you know that at THAT TIME IS WHEN SLAVERY BECAME A ISSUE in the WAR.??

It was indeed an issue. But NOT THE ONLY ONE. The main one, and STILL IS THE MAIN ISSUE, was STATES RIGHTS. And whether there would be a strong Federal Government, or whether States would INDEED have the authority as granted by the Constitution.

You Northerners have NO CONCEPT of what was lost do you? Well I'll tell you what was lost. The Constitution of the United States was lost.

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3B744613.14FF10A3@uci.edu...
> CAM wrote:
>
> > The civil war was not about slavery.
>
> Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil. For the North, it was definitely
> about slavery. Southerners take a different view, I know. They didn't
> cotton to being told they couldn't have slaves. So from the Souths
> perspective the war was about not being told what they could or could
> not do. The fact that they didn't agree with the abolition of slavery
> can hardly be considered irrelevant to the advent of the Civil War.
>
> > IMO, the states should collect all taxes and then
> > grant the federal government the percentage of taxes that they
> > deserve.
>
> Oh, yeah? We Californians think you Texans should have to pay more than
> we do. So there. ;-)
>
> > IMO, that would support the armed forces and any federal

> > court system and federal police system required. As The Constitution
> > clearly states, everything else should be left to the states.
>
> "Sorry parner. Your stinkin' California money ain't no good 'round
> cheer."
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

264. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:44:50 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7463E2.937BBD09@uci.edu>
Source record: 16458

W4NTI wrote:
>
> Did you experts know that Robert E. Lee did not support the issue of
> slavery?
>
> Did you know that Abraham Lincoln wanted to give the blacks a home in
> Africa?
>
> Did you know that many of the founding fathers of this country were slave
> holders?
>
> And did you know that after the battle of Gettysburgh and Vicksburg, (the
> Union finally having won something) that there were riots in the streets of
> the northern cities...against the war. Against the draft? And did you know
> that at THAT TIME IS WHEN SLAVERY BECAME A ISSUE in the WAR.??
>
> It was indeed an issue. But NOT THE ONLY ONE. The main one, and STILL IS
> THE MAIN ISSUE, was STATES RIGHTS. And whether there would be a strong
> Federal Government, or whether States would INDEED have the authority as
> granted by the Constitution.
>
> You Northerners have NO CONCEPT of what was lost do you? Well I'll tell you
> what was lost. The Constitution of the United States was lost.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Yeah, sure. Real balanced viewpoint there, Dan. Thanks for sharing.

265. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:55:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B746651.40E809EC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16459

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil.
> For the North, it was definitely
> about slavery.

No, it wasn't. Most in the North didn't give a darn about the South's secession until Fort Sumter was attacked. At that point, the North's honor was at state. So, while I'm sure many in the South didn't like Lincoln's attitudes about slavery, that was not the driving force behind the South's secession, nor did become a key issue in the conflict for almost another two years (with Lincoln's emancipation proclamation).

> Southerners take a different view,
> I know. They didn't cotton to being
> told they couldn't have slaves. So
> from the Souths perspective the war
> was about not being told what they
> could or could not do.

When the civil war started, nobody had tried to tell the South that they couldn't have slaves. Again, Lincoln didn't address the subject for almost two years after the Civil War stated (and then Lincoln's emancipation proclamation only freed southern slaves, not northern slaves).

> The fact that they didn't agree with
> the abolition of slavery can hardly
> be considered irrelevant to the advent
> of the Civil War.

Abolition didn't occur until 1870, nine years after the South seceded and five years after the Civil War ended. Had it not been for the Civil War, opening the door to Lincoln's emancipation proclamation, slavery might not have been abolished for several more decades.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

266. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:57:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810185721.14586.00000130@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16460

>Subject: Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 08/10/2001 11:12 AM Central Daylight Time

> (And drop him off the bridge over the Shit River.)

Hans...More "Don't Do As I Do...Do As I Say"...What a hypocrite. How many times have you "protested" such suggestions in this NG from others...?!?!

Back to your Geritol, Mr. Brokeslob.

Steve

267. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 22:59:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B746745.F6D4282A@uci.edu>
Source record: 16461

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil.
> > For the North, it was definitely
> > about slavery.
>
> No, it wasn't.

Was too. ;-)

You guys lost. Get over it. sheesh

268. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 23:04:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810190430.14586.00000135@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16462

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/10/2001 1:30 PM Central Daylight Time

>The civil war was not about slavery.

I guess just about every historical text on the matter is wrong and you are right? I agree that the "basic principle" was states right's, and in that context alone I think the Confederacy was right...but the "right" they were fighting for was the enslavement of other human beings. That could NEVER be "right".

>After suffering a
>60% federal tax bracket in my lifetime, I tend to agree with them on
>federal taxes.

The Confederacy enforced taxes on it's member states that were staggering, even by today's standards. This included income taxes, property taxes and forced allocation of livestock and agricultural property.

>As The Constitution
>clearly states, everything else should be left to the states.

Yeah...like THAT will happen.

Steve

269. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-10 23:45:26 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B747216.A4724608@uci.edu>
Source record: 16463

GH wrote:
>
> It is amazing how a thread can take off on an entirely unrelated
> subject. So back to the original subject, anyone have a clue as to where
> these "Radio Free Texas" pirates operating just inside the 40 meter band
> are located in Texas?

Good point. Pirates, yes. "ARRR. It'll be a watery death far ye,
matey."

270. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 01:16:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010810211610.09419.00001859@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16464

In article <76e3bae0c972a4e5c5556c1d06fd7e51@remailer.privacy.at>, Anonymous
<nobody@remailer.privacy.at> writes:

>
> Yet, W4AMP and his spouse WA4SEX continue
>for years to use ham radio as a platform to
>slander other amateurs. W4AMP has in fact
>made terroristic threats to murder people,
>damage their property, stalk their families,
>and accused other amateur of various criminal
>acts. Why is W4AMP allowed to do this with
>impunity, why.
>
> Riley Hollingsworth appears to be
>practicing selective enforcement and allowing
>W4AMP and WA4SEX to continue violative behavior
>on the air with impunity.

Don't look now -- but why should anyone in this newsgroup
believe these accusations made against W4AMP and WA4SEX
when they come from an anonymous poster?

Anything posted here without a name and call sign has ZERO
credibility, and is simply a waste of bandwidth.

73 de Larry, K3LT

271. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 02:30:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TM0d7.41889$gj1.3836053@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16465

Make it easy on yourself, Larry, just killfile 'Anonymous' and every one of the public urinals they post from. I think even AOL allows message rules.

Dick N&#65533;BK

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010810211610.09419.00001859@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <76e3bae0c972a4e5c5556c1d06fd7e51@remailer.privacy.at>, Anonymous
> <nobody@remailer.privacy.at> writes:
>
> >
> > Yet, W4AMP and his spouse WA4SEX continue
> >for years to use ham radio as a platform to
> >slander other amateurs. W4AMP has in fact
> >made terroristic threats to murder people,
> >damage their property, stalk their families,
> >and accused other amateur of various criminal
> >acts. Why is W4AMP allowed to do this with
> >impunity, why.
> >
> > Riley Hollingsworth appears to be
> >practicing selective enforcement and allowing
> >W4AMP and WA4SEX to continue violative behavior
> >on the air with impunity.
>
> Don't look now -- but why should anyone in this newsgroup
> believe these accusations made against W4AMP and WA4SEX
> when they come from an anonymous poster?
>
> Anything posted here without a name and call sign has ZERO
> credibility, and is simply a waste of bandwidth.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

272. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 02:33:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810223310.04179.00002448@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 16466

In article <2tJc7.4804$NJ6.22618@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>In article <9f4bfe89.0108090836.13486f73@posting.google.com>, N2EY says...
>
>> ....the challenge is to design a good one.
>
>Since there is no single answer to "what is good for all", by definition
>there
>is no "good one" for the whole world.

You're presuming the conclusion, Hans.
>
>A democracy would be "a good one" in some parts of the world.
>A benevolent dictatorship would be "a good one" in some other places.

So some people deserve democracy and others don't? I disagree!

>Some countries have decided that a high degree of socialism works.
>Other countries have had bloody civil wars to rid their government of
>socialist
>leanings.

So? Doesn't mean either of them are right.
>
>In other words, it's a dump huck idea.
>
I don't think you understand the question, Hans.

73 de Jim, N2EY

273. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 02:45:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B749C5A.23DA316B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16467

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Was too. ;-)

Was not! :-)

> You guys lost. Get over it. sheesh

In 1965, my father had a license plate frame on his car that said,
"Hell No, I'm Not Forgetting." I could not say it any better today.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

274. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 04:33:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811003309.20772.00002414@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 16468

In article <3B72D72A.CEA1BD1D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> > Can you guarantee that a world constitution would resemble The
>> > Constitution?
>>
>> In a good world government, yes. By definition.
>
>If you can guarantee that the one world courts will interpret
>The US Constitution in a strict manner (unlike today) I will
>climb on your bandwagon.

What bandwagon?

As to the US Constitution, I think it needs a bit of fixing up. For example, the Second Amendment is way too vague.

>
>> All I asked was whether ALL conceivable world governments would be a
>> bad thing.
>
>And I answered that the probability for a good world government
>is very low although not zero.

Then it's possible.

>There aren't enough good people
>in the world to implement a good world government.
>
Nonsense. Do you know all of them?

There is that of God in every person. The argument that "enough" people aren't "good" enough or "smart" enough to rule themselves is the first step to slavery.

73 de Jim, N2EY

275. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 05:59:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B74C9C4.3E17131D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16469

N2EY wrote:
> There is that of God in every person. The argument that "enough" people aren't
> "good" enough or "smart" enough to rule themselves is the first step to
> slavery.

Once upon a time, it was a good enough reason to destroy the world. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

276. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 06:01:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B74CA42.D9EBF71F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16470

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I thought you would have said you were only opposed to other people
> being mean.

If it weren't for people at the mean, I wouldn't know I was superior. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

277. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 06:14:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B74CD34.ACE65A5C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16471

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Then why did so many northerners have slaves at the beginning of the
> > civil war?
>
> Was that before, or after the Emancipation Proclamation?

Exactly my point. If I remember right, the Emancipation Proclamation
only freed the slaves in the rebel states. When were the Northern slaves
freed? If the Civil War was about slavery, don't you think Lincoln would
have freed the northern slaves at the *beginning* of the war instead of
years later? If the Civil War was fought to end slavery, why did General
Grant still have slaves in the North for years after the start of the war?

> You already have more (freedom) than we do in California.

Serves you right for living on the left coast.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

278. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 06:21:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B74CEEF.D3E7D9DC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16472

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil.
> > > For the North, it was definitely
> > > about slavery.
> >
> > No, it wasn't.
>
> Was too. ;-)
>
> You guys lost. Get over it. sheesh

So the victors get to rewrite history? Just like the Russian communists did?
Slavery existed in the Northern states throughout the Civil War. If the war
was over slavery, why weren't the Northern slaves freed? BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
only
applied to the Southern states.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

279. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 06:33:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811023311.23631.00002154@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 16473

In article <9l1mm9$9ur$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>Did you experts know that Robert E. Lee did not support the issue of
>slavery?

But he owned them.
>
>Did you know that Abraham Lincoln wanted to give the blacks a home in
>Africa?

Liberia.
>
>Did you know that many of the founding fathers of this country were slave
>holders?

Sure. That doesn't mean it was right.
>
>And did you know that after the battle of Gettysburgh and Vicksburg, (the
>Union finally having won something) that there were riots in the streets of
>the northern cities...against the war. Against the draft?

The riots were about the draft because the draft was unpopular, and rich people could buy their way out of it. There was also concern among some low-wage workers that if slavery ended they would have to compete with more workers for jobs.

> And did you know
>that at THAT TIME IS WHEN SLAVERY BECAME A ISSUE in the WAR.??

Not exactly. Slavery was always an issue - it just wasn't THE issue.
>
>It was indeed an issue. But NOT THE ONLY ONE.

Agreed.

> The main one, and STILL IS
>THE MAIN ISSUE, was STATES RIGHTS. And whether there would be a strong
>Federal Government, or whether States would INDEED have the authority as
>granted by the Constitution.
>
The term "state's rights" is not well understood. What was really in dispute was whether states could enact laws that contradicted federal laws. In other words, the question is whether the states or the feds have the highest authority.

The slavery issue illustrates this. Could the feds outlaw slavery and then force the slave states to comply?

>You Northerners have NO CONCEPT of what was lost do you? Well I'll tell you
>what was lost. The Constitution of the United States was lost.

Nonsense. It was redefined, that's all.
>
When the colonies won their independence, there was a lot of debate on how the new country would work. The USA operated for a few years under the Articles of Confederation, which resulted in a loose alliance of the 13 states.

The Constitution was a compromise created to hold the new country together and try to forge a national identity. The founders dodged the slavery question by having slave states and free states, ending importation of slaves in 1808 and by counting slaves a "three fifths of a man" in the census.

But there was a basic conflict between a nation that claimed "all men are created equal" and "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights" and states who claimed that some people had the right to own other people as property.

Oddly enough, the British Empire ended slavery in 1820.

At the start, there was a pretty even balance between slave and free states. But over time the free states began to dominate in number and population. The abolitionist movement grew and the underground railroad got more effective. The political clout of the slave states continued to dwindle, and it was clear that they would eventually be marginalized if they stayed in the Union.

Most people who favored secession did not see slavery as the issue, but rather the threat to their whole way of life. They saw the North as being more and more industrial, urban, upwardly mobile and growth driven, with a flood of European immigration. On the other hand, the South was agricultural, rural, more socially stratified and more stable, with little immigration. They saw the loss of political clout in federal affairs as the end of their way of life. Secession was a way to prevent that loss.

Do you think that "state's rights" include the right for some people to own other people?

73 de Jim, N2EY

280. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 06:39:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B74D31B.579841A3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16474

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >The civil war was not about slavery.
>
> I guess just about every historical text on the matter is wrong ...

Strange, every historical text that I have ever read says that slavery existed in the Union States throughout the Civil War. If the Civil War was about slavery, the Union States were certainly hypocrites. The Emancipation Proclamation applied *only* to the Confederate states and wasn't effective until almost two years after the start of the war. In other words, the Union States were allowed to continue slavery after the Emancipation Proclamation. Does that sound like they were fighting against slavery?

FYI, the war started in 1861 and ended April 1865. The 13th Amendment, which ended slavery, was not ratified until Dec. 1865 *after* the war ended.

If the Civil War was about slavery, don't you think slavery in the Union should have ended at the start of the war instead of after the war was over?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

281. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 06:56:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B74D71B.E0CA6671@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16475

N2EY wrote:
> The term "state's rights" is not well understood. What was really in dispute
> was whether states could enact laws that contradicted federal laws. In other
> words, the question is whether the states or the feds have the highest
> authority.

Actually, the question was whether the federal government had the right
to violate The Constitution. Since they won the war and have been doing
it ever since, apparently they do.

> The slavery issue illustrates this. Could the feds outlaw slavery and then
> force the slave states to comply?

Slavery was not illegal at the beginning of the Civil War and slavery was
outlawed in the Southern states before it was outlawed in the Northern
states. Talk about hypocrisy.

> >You Northerners have NO CONCEPT of what was lost do you? Well I'll tell you
> >what was lost. The Constitution of the United States was lost.
>
> Nonsense. It was redefined, that's all.

Yep, redefined virtually out of existence. Exactly where in The Constitution
does it give the feds the right to keep me from growing marijuana in my
garden?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

282. I Get Cat Turds In The Mail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 07:02:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <rM4d7.5995$NJ6.27783@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16476

Leland and his pink ladies think they can silence me and stop the freeband hobby

by sending their filth thru the US Mails. Well kiddies I have news for you, nothing you do, say, post or mail to me can stop me from freebanding and using linear amps on CB. Deal with

283. I Will Prevail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 07:09:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <US4d7.5999$NJ6.27745@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16477

So Leland you have control of my terminal by remote means. I know this because my last post was CUT OFF when I was trying to make a point. Just because CBers ignore trivial laws you think you can silence all dissemination of information (which is used only for educational purposes HEHEHE) about linear amps and export radios? I laugh at your efforts, you, a computer school graduate and a technician ham! The cat turds you sent me in the mail are not going to deter me.

I will display them proudly above my mantle along with the stained mans jock strap you sent me, thinking it might cause me to cease my purveyance of the truth. If the hammies only knew what I know. The FCC doesn't care, and y'all can

crow about it till the cows come home but pirate radio is alive and well in the Tampa Bay area and there is nothing you can do about it. Don't that

284. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 13:17:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811091729.29450.00003063@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 16478

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/11/2001 1:56 AM Central Daylight Time

>Actually, the question was whether the federal government had the right
>to violate The Constitution. Since they won the war and have been doing
>it ever since, apparently they do.

Drat...Cecil...I was just about to pin your picture up on the dart board
and you post something I can agree with almost 100%!

>Yep, redefined virtually out of existence. Exactly where in The Constitution
>does it give the feds the right to keep me from growing marijuana in my
>garden?

The part that says they can enact laws for the common good.

73

Steve, K4YZ

285. Re: I Will Prevail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 13:42:23 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010811094223.27241.00000205@mb-fr.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16479

```
>twistedhed@webtv.net
>Date: 8/11/01 3:09 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <US4d7.5999$NJ6.27745@www.newsranger.com>
>

Does anybody know how to filter this "newsranger' stuff out on CompuServe?

====================
||||||||||||||||||||||||
0 1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
====================
Digital Troll-o-meter

73 de Bert
KC2HMN
```

286. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 14:27:19 UTC
From: electrodynamic speaker <kinghottintot@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bhbd7.2158$ZM2.218454@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 16480

"Anonymous" <nobody@remailer.privacy.at> wrote in message
news:76e3bae0c972a4e5c5556c1d06fd7e51@remailer.privacy.at...
>
> WHY does Riley Hollingsworth write stern
> letters of warning to WB2CLN, KN4CF, and
> N3QPC, telling them slanderous personal attacks
> on ham radio are prohibited?

Do you really think anyone in this NG gives a flying turd turd?

> Riley Hollingsworth appears to be
> practicing selective enforcement and allowing
> W4AMP and WA4SEX to continue violative behavior
> on the air with impunity.

I've never heard w4amp on the air. Why don't you
spin your VFO iffen you don't like to hear him?
The TUNING knob is the biggest knob on your radio you know...

287. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 15:07:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B754A16.CBD52BD7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16481

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Exactly where in The Constitution
> >does it give the feds the right to keep me from growing marijuana in my
> >garden?
>
> The part that says they can enact laws for the common good.

Keeping me from growing marijuana in my garden for my private use
is NOT "for the common good".

On a more general note: "For the common good" is not specific
enough to be a law. If the Nazi party ever came to power, they
may think it is in the common good to eliminate Jewish people.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

288. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 15:17:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <c0cd7.42303$gj1.3900059@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16482

As if hotmail were any better.

"electrodynamic speaker" <kinghottintot@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bhbd7.2158$ZM2.218454@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Anonymous" <nobody@remailer.privacy.at> wrote in message
> news:76e3bae0c972a4e5c5556c1d06fd7e51@remailer.privacy.at...
> >
> > WHY does Riley Hollingsworth write stern
> > letters of warning to WB2CLN, KN4CF, and
> > N3QPC, telling them slanderous personal attacks
> > on ham radio are prohibited?
>
> Do you really think anyone in this NG gives a flying turd turd?
>
> > Riley Hollingsworth appears to be
> > practicing selective enforcement and allowing
> > W4AMP and WA4SEX to continue violative behavior
> > on the air with impunity.
>
> I've never heard w4amp on the air. Why don't you
> spin your VFO iffen you don't like to hear him?
> The TUNING knob is the biggest knob on your radio you know...
>
>

289. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:01:42 UTC
From: Uncle Fester <unc@fester.com>
Message-ID: <GFcd7.93503$EP6.22883548@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16483

Cecil, are you selling any of your garden variety. If so it would be for my common good!

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3B754A16.CBD52BD7@IEEE.org...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >Exactly where in The Constitution
> > >does it give the feds the right to keep me from growing marijuana in my
> > >garden?
> >
> > The part that says they can enact laws for the common good.
>
> Keeping me from growing marijuana in my garden for my private use
> is NOT "for the common good".
>
> On a more general note: "For the common good" is not specific
> enough to be a law. If the Nazi party ever came to power, they
> may think it is in the common good to eliminate Jewish people.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

290. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:10:07 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9l3ld2$b5t$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16484

No I do not think 'State's rights' should include owning people.

Thank you for your thought. Unlike Mr. Kelly.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010811023311.23631.00002154@nso-fk.aol.com...
> In article <9l1mm9$9ur$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
> <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Did you experts know that Robert E. Lee did not support the issue of
> >slavery?
>
> But he owned them.
> >
> >Did you know that Abraham Lincoln wanted to give the blacks a home in
> >Africa?
>
> Liberia.
> >
> >Did you know that many of the founding fathers of this country were slave
> >holders?
>
> Sure. That doesn't mean it was right.
> >
> >And did you know that after the battle of Gettysburgh and Vicksburg, (the
> >Union finally having won something) that there were riots in the streets
of
> >the northern cities...against the war. Against the draft?
>
> The riots were about the draft because the draft was unpopular, and rich
people
> could buy their way out of it. There was also concern among some low-wage
> workers that if slavery ended they would have to compete with more workers
for
> jobs.
>
> > And did you know
> >that at THAT TIME IS WHEN SLAVERY BECAME A ISSUE in the WAR.??
>
> Not exactly. Slavery was always an issue - it just wasn't THE issue.
> >
> >It was indeed an issue. But NOT THE ONLY ONE.
>

> Agreed.
>
> > The main one, and STILL IS
> >THE MAIN ISSUE, was STATES RIGHTS. And whether there would be a strong
> >Federal Government, or whether States would INDEED have the authority as
> >granted by the Constitution.
> >
> The term "state's rights" is not well understood. What was really in
dispute
> was whether states could enact laws that contradicted federal laws. In
other
> words, the question is whether the states or the feds have the highest
> authority.
>
> The slavery issue illustrates this. Could the feds outlaw slavery and then
> force the slave states to comply?
>
> >You Northerners have NO CONCEPT of what was lost do you? Well I'll tell
you
> >what was lost. The Constitution of the United States was lost.
>
> Nonsense. It was redefined, that's all.
> >
> When the colonies won their independence, there was a lot of debate on how
the
> new country would work. The USA operated for a few years under the
Articles of
> Confederation, which resulted in a loose alliance of the 13 states.
>
> The Constitution was a compromise created to hold the new country together
and
> try to forge a national identity. The founders dodged the slavery question
by
> having slave states and free states, ending importation of slaves in 1808
and
> by counting slaves a "three fifths of a man" in the census.
>
> But there was a basic conflict between a nation that claimed "all men are
> created equal" and "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable
rights"
> and states who claimed that some people had the right to own other people
as
> property.
>
> Oddly enough, the British Empire ended slavery in 1820.
>
> At the start, there was a pretty even balance between slave and free
states.
> But over time the free states began to dominate in number and population.
The

> abolitionist movement grew and the underground railroad got more
effective. The
> political clout of the slave states continued to dwindle, and it was clear
that
> they would eventually be marginalized if they stayed in the Union.
>
> Most people who favored secession did not see slavery as the issue, but
rather
> the threat to their whole way of life. They saw the North as being more
and
> more industrial, urban, upwardly mobile and growth driven, with a flood of
> European immigration. On the other hand, the South was agricultural,
rural,
> more socially stratified and more stable, with little immigration. They
saw the
> loss of political clout in federal affairs as the end of their way of
life.
> Secession was a way to prevent that loss.
>
> Do you think that "state's rights" include the right for some people to
own
> other people?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

291. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:12:45 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3B75597D.51BDEB2D@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 16485

As if at&t were any better.

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> As if hotmail were any better.
>
> "electrodynamic speaker" <kinghottintot@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:bhbd7.2158$ZM2.218454@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Anonymous" <nobody@remailer.privacy.at> wrote in message
> > news:76e3bae0c972a4e5c5556c1d06fd7e51@remailer.privacy.at...
> > >
> > > WHY does Riley Hollingsworth write stern
> > > letters of warning to WB2CLN, KN4CF, and
> > > N3QPC, telling them slanderous personal attacks
> > > on ham radio are prohibited?
> >
> > Do you really think anyone in this NG gives a flying turd turd?
> >
> > > Riley Hollingsworth appears to be
> > > practicing selective enforcement and allowing
> > > W4AMP and WA4SEX to continue violative behavior
> > > on the air with impunity.
> >
> > I've never heard w4amp on the air. Why don't you
> > spin your VFO iffen you don't like to hear him?
> > The TUNING knob is the biggest knob on your radio you know...
> >
> >

292. Re: I Get Cat Turds In The Mail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:14:37 UTC
From: GB <gb@this.net>
Message-ID: <3B754C21.6AE5BE02@this.net>
Source record: 16486

Take it to the cb group, nobody here cares about you silly little moron
cb freebanders and your illegal activities. Try those cat turds on your
cereal you might like it. By the way there is no 'freeband' all those
frequencies you operate on illegally are allocated. Deal with it.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> Leland and his pink ladies think they can silence me and stop the freeband hobby
>
> by sending their filth thru the US Mails. Well kiddies I have news for you,
> nothing you do, say, post or mail to me can stop me from freebanding and using
> linear amps on CB. Deal with

293. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:14:44 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9l3llm$r9j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16487

One monor correction. Lincoln only freed the slaves in the 'States that were in Rebellion against the Union'. In otherwords, and this is the best part. ONLY in the STATES that were NO LONGER IN THE UNION.

So tell me...where did he get that right from? He was NOT THE PRESIDENT of those states.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3B746651.40E809EC@sccoast.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil.
> > For the North, it was definitely
> > about slavery.
>
>
> No, it wasn't. Most in the North didn't give a darn about the
> South's secession until Fort Sumter was attacked. At that point, the
> North's honor was at state. So, while I'm sure many in the South
> didn't like Lincoln's attitudes about slavery, that was not the
> driving force behind the South's secession, nor did become a key issue
> in the conflict for almost another two years (with Lincoln's
> emancipation proclamation).
>
>
> > Southerners take a different view,
> > I know. They didn't cotton to being
> > told they couldn't have slaves. So
> > from the Souths perspective the war
> > was about not being told what they
> > could or could not do.
>
>
> When the civil war started, nobody had tried to tell the South that
> they couldn't have slaves. Again, Lincoln didn't address the subject
> for almost two years after the Civil War stated (and then Lincoln's
> emancipation proclamation only freed southern slaves, not northern
slaves).
>
>
> > The fact that they didn't agree with
> > the abolition of slavery can hardly
> > be considered irrelevant to the advent

> > of the Civil War.
>
>
> Abolition didn't occur until 1870, nine years after the South
> seceded and five years after the Civil War ended. Had it not been for
> the Civil War, opening the door to Lincoln's emancipation
> proclamation, slavery might not have been abolished for several more
decades.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

294. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:16:52 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9l3lpl$m90$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16488

You mean the states that he was NOT PRESIDENT of?

Dan/W4NTI
"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B74CEEF.D3E7D9DC@IEEE.org...

BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation only
> applied to the Southern states.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

295. Re: I Will Prevail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 16:25:15 UTC
From: GB <gb@this.net>
Message-ID: <3B754EA3.734B2B81@this.net>
Source record: 16489

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> So Leland you have control of my terminal by remote means. I know this because
> my last post was CUT OFF when I was trying to make a point.

I think you're a little paranoid twist-tie, I doubt anyone has control
of your terminal. I suspect it is just that you can't even operate a
simple thing like webtv, you would really be in trouble if you had a
real computer.

296. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 18:13:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811141341.29304.00003854@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 16490

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Anything posted here without a name and call sign has ZERO
>credibility, and is simply a waste of bandwidth.

I think I can accept either a name or a callsign. Those without licenses, but
with stated names, are not anonymous, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

297. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 18:16:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811141650.29304.00003855@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 16491

>From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com

>What makes you think it is working so well ??

The fact that you and I are free to post our often diametrically opposed ideas
without fear of arrest for doing so.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

298. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 18:20:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811142023.29304.00003857@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 16492

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> > I must have been away too long.
>> > Why is Larry going to shoot at
>> > you?

>> As far as I know, Larry isn't shooting at anybody, Ed.

>Here's Larry's exact words.

>"If not, when Civil War II starts, I'll be busy shooting at
>red-necked, bearded, Bible-thumping, tobacco-spitting white
>men driving pickup trucks!"

Wow. That is pretty strong. Larry has often posted that he would not harm anyone except in self defense, but that post suggests to me that he is admitting that if law and order ever break down, as they would in a civil war, then he will start shooting at people just for the way they look, their religious beliefs and the type of vehicle they drive.

At least he is being honest that he would like to kill people for no reason, but chooses not to do so because we do have law and order to protect them.

What has brought about this change in Larry and his posts here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

299. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 18:21:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B757797.C6671A1D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16493

Uncle Fester wrote:
> Cecil, are you selling any of your garden variety.

Sorry, I've retired from gardening.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

300. Re: W4AMP and Riley Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 18:24:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B757867.916511F0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16494

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Anything posted here without a name and call sign has ZERO
> >credibility, and is simply a waste of bandwidth.
>
> I think I can accept either a name or a callsign. Those without licenses, but
> with stated names, are not anonymous, IMHO.

Larry must have been in a good mood. I expected him to say "Anything
posted here without a name and an Extra call sign ..." :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

301. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 18:35:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B757B05.8096445E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16495

W1RFI wrote:
> At least he is being honest that he would like to kill people for no reason,
> but chooses not to do so because we do have law and order to protect them.

Yep, murder laws carry the force of law just like the FCC
requirements. :-)

> What has brought about this change in Larry and his posts here?

He finally realized that he was forced to meet the FCC requirements
in order to obtain a ham license and he is pi$$ed about it. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

302. Re: I Will Prevail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 20:54:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <%Xgd7.6448$NJ6.27546@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16496

In article <22fd7.6366$NJ6.27889@www.newsranger.com>, Leland C. Scott says...

Leave it alone GB.There are enough problems with out you adding to the fray. I mean why would you perpetuate this thing even further? You know they are all forges and so does everyone else. If you were one of the intellectually enlightened I wouldn't have to teach you how to use your own computer. Headers are there for a reason. Twisted says some dumb things sometimes but he doesn't post in amateur groups period. He is a tried and true cber and dwells with the rest of the subhumans. So knock off your bullshit and stop trying to further the

shit between us. I kick his ass enough in his own room I don't need him here spouting the virtues of freebanding so get a clue and get a life.

"As you know Leland the forges come from you and you alone. If Dougie and your little band of intellect-challenged short bus candidates wish to post headers and let the room decide if it is real or Memorex so be it. Your animosity to me is well known among the hammie crowd and you have a penchant for using the US mails to achieve your goals. I do not shirk your challenges and will answer them

in ANY group, period"

73 de,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL member
NCI

303. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 22:15:22 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9l4apsvso1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16497

Good point, but....that is a right bestowed upon the people by the Bill of Rights.

Dan/W4NTI

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010811141650.29304.00003855@ng-cu1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com
>
> >What makes you think it is working so well ??
>
> The fact that you and I are free to post our often diametrically opposed ideas
> without fear of arrest for doing so.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

304. Re: I Will Prevail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 22:17:02 UTC
From: GB <bg@this.net>
Message-ID: <3B75A10B.37C0561C@this.net>
Source record: 16498

Since when did you get to be king and could dictate to me what posts I could reply to?

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> In article <3B754EA3.734B2B81@this.net>, GB says...
> >
> >
> >
> >twistedhed@webtv.net
> wrote:
> >>
> >> So Leland you have control of my terminal by remote means. I know
> this because
> >> my last post was CUT OFF when I was trying to make a point.
> >
> >I think you're a little paranoid twist-tie, I doubt anyone has control
> >of your terminal. I suspect it is just that you can't even operate a
> >simple thing like webtv, you would really be in trouble if you had a
> >real computer.
>
> Leave it alone GB.There are enough problems with out you adding to the fray. I mean why would you perpetuate this thing even further? You know they are all forges and so does everyone else. If you were one of the intellectually enlightened I wouldn't have to teach you how to use your own computer. Headers are there for a reason. Twisted says some dumb things sometimes but he doesn't post in amateur groups period. He is a tried and true cber and dwells with the rest of the subhumans. So knock off your bullshit and stop trying to further the shit between us. I kick his ass enough in his own room I don't need him here spouting the virtues of freebanding so get a clue and get a life.
>
> 73 de,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> ARRL member
> NCI member

305. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-11 23:44:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811194441.29220.00004179@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 16499

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> At least he is being honest that he would like to kill people for no
>> reason, but chooses not to do so because we do have law and order to
>> protect them.

>Yep, murder laws carry the force of law just like the FCC
>requirements. :-)

So is he forced not to kill people unless there is civil disorder?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

306. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 00:37:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B75CFE4.8A9E09EC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16500

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Yep, murder laws carry the force of law just like the FCC
> >requirements. :-)
>
> So is he forced not to kill people unless there is civil disorder?

He is forced (required by circumstances) not to kill people as
long as the murder laws are enforced. Would the murder laws be
enforced when there is civil disorder? Hard to say.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

307. Let The Newsgroup Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 01:11:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2Jkd7.6627$NJ6.28369@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16551

I am a freebander.

I post in rec.radio.cb.

I do not care to obey FCC rules.

I run so-called illegal equipment and I occasionally speak out in favor of its use among my CB compatriots. I live in the Tampa Bay area where most of my time

is spent fishing, dealing in used car stereos, and freebanding.

For this, Leland Scott has singled me out for persecution.

That is why I am in the hammie room. Not to stir things up, but to let y'all be the judge of what is fair and what is obviously treachery.

Back when I labored for Bob Dole as a campaign worker I was privy to lots of classified information. Among other things, I know for a fact that the FCC does not care about CBers breaking the rules. I also heard many things about Area 51

and aliens that I will not go into here. Suffice to say, there is a lot more going on in the USA than meets the eye. Take my word for it, if I wanted to find

out who sent me the semen-encrusted jock strap in the mail earlier this year I could pinpoint the individual within seconds. But my energies are better spent elsewhere. Namely, shaming Leland Scott into admitting he is a self-aggrandizing

flippety-floo with a tendency to verbalize before cognation has taken place.

I say if hams really want to see what it is their kind is perpetuating and bad manners of the first order, then come into rec.rado.cb and observe Leland Scott's continued treachery and underhanded subversion of wht is obviously a growing hobby that will undoubtedly outstrip ham radio. Jealousy will only get you so far, Leland, and you forgot to take into account that I stand as a sentinel at the gates of the castle.

308. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 01:53:00 UTC
From: Queequeg@quahog.com
Message-ID: <slrn9nbojv.71.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
Source record: 16552

On Sat, 11 Aug 2001 17:15:22 -0500, W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:
>Good point, but....that is a right bestowed upon the people by the Bill of
>Rights.

"Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom of
speech..."

The first amendment, nor any other Bill of Rights item
for that matter, does not _grant_ rights, but
acknowledges them, and further forbids the
government to pass any law that would negatively
affect them.

--
"Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
<---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

I stole this from The Xebot...

This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com
abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com
abuse@earthlink.com

309. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 02:25:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010811222504.21495.00005830@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 16553

>> > Like so many other Damned Yankees, Larry doesn't realize that, for
>> > the majority of citizens in the South, the Confederate flag stands
>> > for states rights and little else.

I've lost track of who said this, but here's my reply: For today's "angry white man," the Confederate Flag stands for Anti-Black, Anti-Jewish, Anti-Catholic bigotry, and very little else! It may have stood for state's rights at one time, but now the only "right" it stands for is the "right" of some slack-jawed, toothless, drooling, pot-bellied, cigarette-smoking, bearded, pickup truck driving, tobacco juice-spitting, beer guzzling, third grade dropouts to hate people who have the intelligence and ambition to make better lives for themselves than they (the aforementioned white trash) have themselves. If any of you see yourselves in this posting, I suggest you go down to the local store, pick up a case of PBR, drive your pickup back to your trailer park, raise your X-flag, pop open another beer, and drown your sorrows!

One thing I do like about white trash is that they know who their superiors are, and they generally keep in their place!

73 de Larry, K3LT

310. > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 03:02:46 UTC
From: CWnotCB <arealham@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B75F1D5.93BD7670@gte.net>
Source record: 16554

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

CW or CB -- no other choice.

311. Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 03:08:43 UTC
From: CWnotCB <arealham@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B75F33B.62B84E64@gte.net>
Source record: 16555

```
"QST" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
"CQ" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
"73" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.

These anti-coders sure have a time of it, don't they?
```

312. A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 03:14:07 UTC
From: CWnotCB <arealham@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B75F47F.8C256D5E@gte.net>
Source record: 16556

Don't know the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Don't want to learn the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Don't want to operate CW? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you live on 2 meters? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you check for
"poundage" on an S-meter? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you scoff CW operators? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you refer to CW as "beeping?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you refer to a "go-ahead beep" on a repeater a "ROGER BEEP"? YOU'RE
NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you operate a CB rig in your vehicle along with a ham rig? YOU'RE
NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you operate bootleg 11 meter "freeband" although you have a "ham
license?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Do you think that ham calls should be allowed on 11 meter CB? YOU'RE
NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Want the code testing dropped? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
Want code testing speeds reduced? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer. (And
probably a grade-school flunkie.)
Do you think that hams must coddle CBers and turn ham radio into CB "in
order for ham radio to grow"? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.

And it doesn't matter if you have a "ham license." THERE IS NO
DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN YOU AND A CBer. Put a freebander or a CBer next to you and
share the
microphone -- no one would tell the difference. You ARE NOTHING BUT A
CBer masquerading as a ham and who "wants on" ham radio.

TRUE HAM RADIO IS NOT CB.

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

313. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 03:37:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B75F9B3.603AA658@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16557

W4NTI wrote:
>
> One monor correction. Lincoln only
> freed the slaves in the 'States that
> were in Rebellion against the Union'.
> In otherwords, and this is the best
> part. ONLY in the STATES that were
> NO LONGER IN THE UNION.
>
> So tell me...where did he get that
> right from? He was NOT THE PRESIDENT
> of those states.

Actually, the answer to that is in the emancipation proclamation. In that proclamation, Lincoln refused to acknowledge that the states had actually seceded, but were instead in "armed rebellion" against the "authority and government of the United States." In other words, since the states were only in rebellion (had not actually seceded), those states were still subject to the laws of the United States. Of course, to make the emancipation proclamation stick, the North still had to repress the rebellion (win the civil war). Until that was accomplished, the proclamation was absolutely worthless in the secession states.

However, the emancipation proclamation did give serious pause to those countries considering support for the secession states - its one thing to support an independent country (the Confederacy) and quite another to support states in armed rebellion against an existing government. In the end, many of those countries were not willing to risk war with the United States to support states in rebellion (especially since those states, the Confederacy, might still lose the war).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

314. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 03:45:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B75FBB3.3D48FDC4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16558

CAM wrote:
>
> FYI, the war started in 1861 and
> ended April 1865. The 13th Amendment,
> which ended slavery, was not ratified
> until Dec. 1865 *after* the war ended.

Cecil is correct about when slavery was abolished, which means that I was incorrect when I said slavery was abolished five years after the end of the civil war. For some reason, I was thinking about the 15th Amendment instead of the 13th Amendment.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

315. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 05:21:55 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <Tnod7.57924$A47.27452868@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 16559

That's a "BIG TEN-FOUR GOOD BUDDY!"
Guess that makes my thoughts on this subject very clear.

"CWnotCB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3B75F1D5.93BD7670@gte.net...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
>

316. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 05:44:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7617C5.24F463F4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16560

CWnotCB wrote:
> Don't want to operate CW? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.

Does that mean you are nothing but a CBer while you are asleep?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

317. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 05:48:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010812014802.21495.00005841@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 16561

In article <20010811142023.29304.00003857@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Wow. That is pretty strong. Larry has often posted that he would not harm
>anyone except in self defense, but that post suggests to me that he is
>admitting that if law and order ever break down, as they would in a civil
>war,
>then he will start shooting at people just for the way they look, their
>religious beliefs and the type of vehicle they drive.

Ed:

It was just a bit of Usenet hyperbole, and, admittedly, not very well-considered hyperbole. I apologize for having posted it.

>At least he is being honest that he would like to kill people for no reason,
>but chooses not to do so because we do have law and order to protect them.
>
>What has brought about this change in Larry and his posts here?

That will do, Ed. Put it away.

73 de Larry, K3LT

318. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 05:48:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010812014802.21495.00005842@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 16562

In article <20010811194441.29220.00004179@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>> At least he is being honest that he would like to kill people for no
>>> reason, but chooses not to do so because we do have law and order to
>>> protect them.
>
>>Yep, murder laws carry the force of law just like the FCC
>>requirements. :-)
>
>So is he forced not to kill people unless there is civil disorder?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed/Cecil:

I am not "forced" not to kill people during times of civil peace. I choose to follow the Commandment "Thou Shalt Not Kill (murder)." I am not opposed to the concept of killing as an act of war, or in defense of my life or the life of an innocent third party. I would not want to have to do it, but if it were necessary, I would not be constrained against it. My hope and prayer is that I never have to be "forced" to take a human life, under any circumstances.

73 de Larry, K3LT

319. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 05:48:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7618B3.2A551471@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16563

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It was just a bit of Usenet hyperbole, and, admittedly, not very well-
> considered hyperbole. I apologize for having posted it.

Do you apologize for repeating it in a later posting?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

320. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 07:13:11 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <b0qd7.43044$gj1.3987098@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16564

I doubt highly that Wayne Greene is a CW fanatic. I haven't a problem with that CW business. One guy on 444.850 was really pushing the fact that we should use CW. Fortuanately, the repeater owner was in the group. He gave me the ok. Hmmmm.... I can't understand why he didn't reply to my queries at 40 WPM cw. Of course, I could have gone a bit higher. Not much, but a bit.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"CWnotCB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3B75F33B.62B84E64@gte.net...
> "QST" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
> "CQ" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
> "73" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
>
> These anti-coders sure have a time of it, don't they?
>
>

321. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 07:16:38 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q3qd7.43047$gj1.3986066@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16565

This could be interesting. I've had a problem getting back on HF. 5 grand in 5 weeks. The roof needed fixing. The big tv died. Then the boiler. OK. Well, I'll be getting back on HF. Come QSO with me. What is your pleasure? 20, 30, 40 or 50 WPM? I can still handle it (um, more or less. 60 does give me a problem.).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"CWnotCB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3B75F47F.8C256D5E@gte.net...
> Don't know the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Don't want to learn the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Don't want to operate CW? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you live on 2 meters? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you check for
> "poundage" on an S-meter? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you scoff CW operators? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you refer to CW as "beeping?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you refer to a "go-ahead beep" on a repeater a "ROGER BEEP"? YOU'RE
> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you operate a CB rig in your vehicle along with a ham rig? YOU'RE
> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you operate bootleg 11 meter "freeband" although you have a "ham
> license?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you think that ham calls should be allowed on 11 meter CB? YOU'RE
> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Want the code testing dropped? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Want code testing speeds reduced? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer. (And
> probably a grade-school flunkie.)
> Do you think that hams must coddle CBers and turn ham radio into CB "in
> order for ham radio to grow"? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>
> And it doesn't matter if you have a "ham license." THERE IS NO
> DIFFERENCE
> BETWEEN YOU AND A CBer. Put a freebander or a CBer next to you and
> share the
> microphone -- no one would tell the difference. You ARE NOTHING BUT A
> CBer masquerading as a ham and who "wants on" ham radio.
>
> TRUE HAM RADIO IS NOT CB.
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>

>
>
>

322. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 12:00:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812080046.24805.00000601@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 16566

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I would not want to have to do it, but if it were necessary, I would not be
>constrained against it.

Cecil, you lied to me. You told me that Larry had posted that if the Civil War
II happened, he was going to shoot people with beards and bibles who ride
pickup trucks.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

323. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 12:03:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812080341.24805.00000602@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 16567

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>What has brought about this change in Larry and his posts here?

>That will do, Ed. Put it away.

And now he no longer believes in freedom of speech. Amazing turnabout.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

324. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 12:52:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B767C25.2F05B6BA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16568

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >I would not want to have to do it, but if it were necessary, I would not be
> >constrained against it.
>
> Cecil, you lied to me. You told me that Larry had posted that if the Civil War
> II happened, he was going to shoot people with beards and bibles who ride
> pickup trucks.

Here's Larry's exact words. I didn't lie - I might have inferred wrongly.
What do you infer? I match 5 out of 6 of his criteria so I inferred
he would be shooting at me. His description exactly matches a host
of the male Southern Baptists living in Madison County, Texas.

Larry wrote:
"If not, when Civil War II starts, I'll be busy shooting at
red-necked, bearded, Bible-thumping, tobacco-spitting white
men driving pickup trucks!"
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

325. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 12:54:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B767C89.E1A06A47@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16569

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>What has brought about this change in Larry and his posts here?
>
> >That will do, Ed. Put it away.
>
> And now he no longer believes in freedom of speech. Amazing turnabout.

History is filled with people who stopped believing in freedom of
speech right after they had their say. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

326. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 13:14:40 UTC
From: lbratche <lbratche@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <e7vd7.370$dh4.9811@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 16570

I'm really glad that most of the jerks like you hang out here on the news group instead of on the radio.

"CWnotCB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message news:3B75F47F.8C256D5E@gte.net...
> Don't know the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Don't want to learn the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Don't want to operate CW? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you live on 2 meters? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you check for
> "poundage" on an S-meter? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you scoff CW operators? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you refer to CW as "beeping?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you refer to a "go-ahead beep" on a repeater a "ROGER BEEP"? YOU'RE
> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you operate a CB rig in your vehicle along with a ham rig? YOU'RE
> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you operate bootleg 11 meter "freeband" although you have a "ham
> license?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Do you think that ham calls should be allowed on 11 meter CB? YOU'RE
> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Want the code testing dropped? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
> Want code testing speeds reduced? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer. (And
> probably a grade-school flunkie.)
> Do you think that hams must coddle CBers and turn ham radio into CB "in
> order for ham radio to grow"? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>
> And it doesn't matter if you have a "ham license." THERE IS NO
> DIFFERENCE
> BETWEEN YOU AND A CBer. Put a freebander or a CBer next to you and
> share the
> microphone -- no one would tell the difference. You ARE NOTHING BUT A
> CBer masquerading as a ham and who "wants on" ham radio.
>
> TRUE HAM RADIO IS NOT CB.
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>
>
>
>
>

327. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 14:10:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B768E5B.6CAE0DA7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16571

CWnotCB wrote:
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.

Know nothing but the same old tired nonsense = no brains.

Do try harder to come up with something new, guy. The endless
repetition of this simplistic garbage clearly suggests that you lack
the intelligence to form new, or more complex, thoughts and concepts.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

328. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 14:29:22 UTC
From: Uncle Fester <unc@fester.com>
Message-ID: <6pwd7.95415$EP6.23896161@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16572

Dwight your endless repetition of this simplistic garbage clearly suggests that you lack the intelligence to learn cw, or more complex, thoughts and concepts like dots and dashes.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3B768E5B.6CAE0DA7@sccoast.net...
> CWnotCB wrote:
> >
> > NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> >
> > CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
>
> Know nothing but the same old tired nonsense = no brains.
>
> Do try harder to come up with something new, guy. The endless
> repetition of this simplistic garbage clearly suggests that you lack
> the intelligence to form new, or more complex, thoughts and concepts.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

329. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 14:32:02 UTC
From: Uncle Fester <unc@fester.com>
Message-ID: <Crwd7.95417$EP6.23898695@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16573

Who won the War?

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B75F9B3.603AA658@sccoast.net...
> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > One monor correction. Lincoln only
> > freed the slaves in the 'States that
> > were in Rebellion against the Union'.
> > In otherwords, and this is the best
> > part. ONLY in the STATES that were
> > NO LONGER IN THE UNION.
> >
> > So tell me...where did he get that
> > right from? He was NOT THE PRESIDENT
> > of those states.
>
>
> Actually, the answer to that is in the emancipation proclamation. In
> that proclamation, Lincoln refused to acknowledge that the states had
> actually seceded, but were instead in "armed rebellion" against the
> "authority and government of the United States." In other words, since
> the states were only in rebellion (had not actually seceded), those
> states were still subject to the laws of the United States. Of course,
> to make the emancipation proclamation stick, the North still had to
> repress the rebellion (win the civil war). Until that was
> accomplished, the proclamation was absolutely worthless in the
> secession states.
>
> However, the emancipation proclamation did give serious pause to
> those countries considering support for the secession states - its one
> thing to support an independent country (the Confederacy) and quite
> another to support states in armed rebellion against an existing
> government. In the end, many of those countries were not willing to
> risk war with the United States to support states in rebellion
> (especially since those states, the Confederacy, might still lose the
war).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

330. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 14:44:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B769635.D64197C0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16574

CWnotCB wrote:
>
> (snip) And it doesn't matter if you
> have a "ham license." THERE IS NO
> DIFFERENCE BETWEEN YOU AND A CBer.
> Put a freebander or a CBer next to
> you and share the microphone -- no
> one would tell the difference.

And nobody can tell the difference between your negative ranting and
the garbage that goes on in the CB band on a daily basis. So it is
fairly obvious that CW didn't turn you into something more than a CB'er.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

331. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 18:41:54 UTC
From: Nathan Tart <ntart@knology.net>
Message-ID: <O1Ad7.49802$C7.15507822@e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 16575

If you look lately Bush is trying to be a Democrat.!!
"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010730044450.21495.00004590@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <3B643E08.EF1928A5@home.com>, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Just looked at the decidedly nonscientific poll on the Netscape survey
> >page which shows 37% of the respondents giving President Bush a grade of
> >'A' or 'B' while 56% gave a grade of 'D' or 'F'. No, it isn't a true
> >random sample. Internet users tend to be a bit more affluent and hence a
> >bit more conservative than the general population. Just look at this
> >newsgroup as an example. Wew, he might be in trouble.
>
> That Netscape Poll always seems to come up with higher ratings
> for liberal causes than conservative ones, therefore, I don't trust it
> whatsoever. The media (including the Internet) is owned and controlled
> by liberal interests (mainly Jewish). The rest of the world is not. You
> are definitely not seeing a true representation of online opinion; just
> a somehow skewed one. I don't know how they are doing it (like
> maybe throwing out every other A, B, or C rating, but there is no
> honesty there. I, as a Conservative who usually votes Republican,
> rated President Bush a quite fair "C." That ended up only being 6%
> of the vote as of the time I entered it (last evening). I truly expected
it
> to have been at least 20, if not 30 percent of the vote. Those numbers
> are being "cooked" somehow.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

332. Re: Presidential approval rating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 18:46:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iaAd7.43395$gj1.4035910@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16576

That's OK, Just returning Clinton's favor.

"Nathan Tart" <ntart@knology.net> wrote in message
news:O1Ad7.49802$C7.15507822@e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com...
> If you look lately Bush is trying to be a Democrat.!!

> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20010730044450.21495.00004590@nso-ch.aol.com...
> > In article <3B643E08.EF1928A5@home.com>, Gary Danaher
<gdanaher@home.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >
> > > >Just looked at the decidedly nonscientific poll on the Netscape survey
> > > >page which shows 37% of the respondents giving President Bush a grade
of
> > > >'A' or 'B' while 56% gave a grade of 'D' or 'F'. No, it isn't a true
> > > >random sample. Internet users tend to be a bit more affluent and hence
a
> > > >bit more conservative than the general population. Just look at this
> > > >newsgroup as an example. Wew, he might be in trouble.
> > >
> > That Netscape Poll always seems to come up with higher ratings
> > for liberal causes than conservative ones, therefore, I don't trust it
> > whatsoever. The media (including the Internet) is owned and controlled
> > by liberal interests (mainly Jewish). The rest of the world is not.
You
> > are definitely not seeing a true representation of online opinion; just
> > a somehow skewed one. I don't know how they are doing it (like
> > maybe throwing out every other A, B, or C rating, but there is no
> > honesty there. I, as a Conservative who usually votes Republican,
> > rated President Bush a quite fair "C." That ended up only being 6%
> > of the vote as of the time I entered it (last evening). I truly
expected
> it
> > to have been at least 20, if not 30 percent of the vote. Those numbers
> > are being "cooked" somehow.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
>
>

333. Re: I Get Cat Turds In The Mail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 18:47:46 UTC
From: Nathan Tart <ntart@knology.net>
Message-ID: <i7Ad7.49804$C7.15510575@e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 16577

I really doubt that people really care what you do as a freebander the country is FULL of CROOKS so what is another one in the bunch ...that is all you are a "CROOK".
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:rM4d7.5995$NJ6.27783@www.newsranger.com...
> Leland and his pink ladies think they can silence me and stop the freeband hobby
>
> by sending their filth thru the US Mails. Well kiddies I have news for you,
> nothing you do, say, post or mail to me can stop me from freebanding and using
> linear amps on CB. Deal with
>
>

334. Re: Let The Newsgroup Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 18:49:30 UTC
From: Nathan Tart <ntart@knology.net>
Message-ID: <W8Ad7.49806$C7.15513700@e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 16578

If you came from a CB newsgroup I am sure that your are more than welcome to
go back there. When you leave PLEASE do not let the door hit you in the BUM!
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2Jkd7.6627$NJ6.28369@www.newsranger.com...
> I am a freebander.
>
> I post in rec.radio.cb.
>
> I do not care to obey FCC rules.
>
> I run so-called illegal equipment and I occasionally speak out in favor of
its
> use among my CB compatriots. I live in the Tampa Bay area where most of
my time
>
> is spent fishing, dealing in used car stereos, and freebanding.
>
> For this, Leland Scott has singled me out for persecution.
>
> That is why I am in the hammie room. Not to stir things up, but to let
y'all be
> the judge of what is fair and what is obviously treachery.
>
> Back when I labored for Bob Dole as a campaign worker I was privy to lots
of
> classified information. Among other things, I know for a fact that the FCC
does
> not care about CBers breaking the rules. I also heard many things about
Area 51
>
> and aliens that I will not go into here. Suffice to say, there is a lot
more
> going on in the USA than meets the eye. Take my word for it, if I wanted
to find
>
> out who sent me the semen-encrusted jock strap in the mail earlier this
year I
> could pinpoint the individual within seconds. But my energies are better
spent
> elsewhere. Namely, shaming Leland Scott into admitting he is a
self-aggrandizing
>
> flippety-floo with a tendency to verbalize before cognation has taken
place.

>
> I say if hams really want to see what it is their kind is perpetuating and
bad
> manners of the first order, then come into rec.rado.cb and observe Leland
> Scott's continued treachery and underhanded subversion of wht is obviously
a
> growing hobby that will undoubtedly outstrip ham radio. Jealousy will only
get
> you so far, Leland, and you forgot to take into account that I stand as a
> sentinel at the gates of the castle.
>
>

335. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 18:51:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812145100.29962.00004057@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 16579

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/11/01 11:07 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Keeping me from growing marijuana in my garden for my private use
>is NOT "for the common good".

In YOUR opinion, Cecil. You may be the 1 in 1000 that can smoke your dope responsibly. Dope users as a group, however, are NOT.

Unfortunately, in the history of the United States, dope, it's "distributers", growers and users, as a group, are an irresponsible and dangerous subset of our society.

It IS for the common good, Cecil. You don't agree with it, and that's your right (to disagree). Those of us that have to deal with the "collateral damage" of dope usage know better.

73

Steve

336. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 18:57:38 UTC
From: Uncle Fester <unc@fester.com>
Message-ID: <CkAd7.96723$EP6.24170676@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16580

Steve , Please Clarify Your statement. In what way are they irresponsible
and dangerous subset of our society

">Dope users as a group, however, are NOT.

> Unfortunately, in the history of the United States, dope, it's
> "distributors", growers and users, as a group, are an irresponsible and
> dangerous subset of our society."

337. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 19:09:57 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b76d6ab_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 16581

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B767C25.2F05B6BA@IEEE.org...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> > >I would not want to have to do it, but if it were necessary, I would
not be
> > >constrained against it.
> >
> > Cecil, you lied to me. You told me that Larry had posted that if the
Civil War
> > II happened, he was going to shoot people with beards and bibles who
ride
> > pickup trucks.
>
> Here's Larry's exact words. I didn't lie - I might have inferred wrongly.
> What do you infer? I match 5 out of 6 of his criteria so I inferred
> he would be shooting at me. His description exactly matches a host
> of the male Southern Baptists living in Madison County, Texas.
>
> Larry wrote:
> "If not, when Civil War II starts, I'll be busy shooting at
> red-necked, bearded, Bible-thumping, tobacco-spitting white
> men driving pickup trucks!"
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>

Ahem Cecil, this is probably 60-70% the population of Texas <BG> ;)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

338. concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 19:30:11 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 16582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.

I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm I thought I was going to need).

Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...

I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me) juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.

Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I certainly hope not.

Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my concern.

73

Paul Friedman

339. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 19:38:56 UTC
From: Marty Albert <kc6ufm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kXAd7.157482$%a.6890576@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 16583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi, Paul:

Sadly, what you say is, to a point, true. Like so many other things, though, it is a VERY small minority that gets into the flame wars, both here and on the air.

Don't let them discourage you!

A few strategic BLOCK SENDER commands to most news clients and 99% of the problems are gone. I have less than 20 senders blocked and I seldom see the flap here or in RRAP.

Good luck on the studies and I hope you enjoy Amateur Radio as much as I!

Take Care & 73

Marty Albert
KC6UFM

"Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in

which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups?
I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express
my
> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman
>
>

340. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 19:42:46 UTC
From: "J. Harvey" <JM001.Harvey@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <EVAd7.1033$zg6.342011@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 16584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Paul Friedman" wrote:
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups?

You get the same thing on ANY newsgroup - even on alt.collecting.stamp and alt.religion.buddhism. Don't sweat it.

341. Re: I Get Cat Turds In The Mail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 20:14:50 UTC
From: tootaloot tootaloot <bouyieeee@aol.com>
Message-ID: <_sBd7.5013$ZM2.484485@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 16585

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:rM4d7.5995$NJ6.27783@www.newsranger.com...
> Leland and his pink ladies think they can silence me and stop the freeband hobby
>
> by sending their filth thru the US Mails. Well kiddies I have news for you,
> nothing you do, say, post or mail to me can stop me from freebanding and using
> linear amps on CB. Deal with

Let's see now...there was a chap who delighted in sending
fecal matter or dead mice in boxes to individuals involved
in the 14.313 Net War..? Nah! Can't be him. Last I heard
he was in a home for the dottering. You have to admit however
that ole B---- had a original idea, and it really stunk up the proceedings
when a guy in Fla received "his" package full of turds in the 90's.
Ah....those were the good-ole-days of ham radio. When hams
behaved like monkeys in a cage and threw their feces at the zoo
patrons.

342. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 20:22:23 UTC
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B76E57D.4D5B1704@att.net>
Source record: 16586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul, take it from an old timer of almost 56 years as a ham, that the
Internet and amateur radio are two completely different things!

Ham radio is greatly different in many ways from when I started, but so is
the general population, the accepted behaviors in public, the acceptable
language, etc. Hams are people, too, so you have to expect things to change
with time.

But, NO, in my experience and judgement, what you will encounter on the ham
bands will be nothing like what you can read on these newsgroups.

As a suggestion, concentrate on your study and code work, and just ignore
the newsgroups until you get your ticket, get a station on the air, and get
some operating time under your belt.

Good luck and welcome to a great hobby!

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 55th year and it just keeps getting better!
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

Paul Friedman wrote:
>
> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my

> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman

343. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 20:22:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B76E597.E617528D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16587

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> *Ahem* Cecil, this is probably 60-70% the population of Texas <BG> ;)

Say Kim, have you seen that Sandra Bullock movie, "Miss Congeniality"?
She's an undercover agent at some function in Texas and sees a guy
with a gun. She screams, "Gun", and runs and tackles the guy. All
her buddies tell her, "This is Texas - everybody has a gun." :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

344. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 20:25:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B76E62E.1316C1E5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16588

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Keeping me from growing marijuana in my garden for my private use
> >is NOT "for the common good".
>
> In YOUR opinion, Cecil. You may be the 1 in 1000 that can smoke your dope
> responsibly. Dope users as a group, however, are NOT.

So, Steve, do the feds try to keep you from driving a vehicle because
it *might* be used in a crime?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

345. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 20:33:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812163305.23631.00002178@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 16589

In article <3B754A16.CBD52BD7@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>> >Exactly where in The Constitution
>> >does it give the feds the right to keep me from growing marijuana in my
>> >garden?
>>
>> The part that says they can enact laws for the common good.
>
>Keeping me from growing marijuana in my garden for my private use
>is NOT "for the common good".

Then it's the LAW that's bad.
>
>On a more general note: "For the common good" is not specific
>enough to be a law.

It's the basis of laws, though.

>If the Nazi party ever came to power, they
>may think it is in the common good to eliminate Jewish people.

And lots of others. But thinking that way doesn;t mean they could do it.

First, they would have to come to power.
Second, they would have to get such laws passed.
Third, they would have to withstand court challenges to those laws.

Checks and balances, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

346. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 20:41:02 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3B76E9DE.B73138BC@inreach.com>
Source record: 16590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A more accurate reading of amateur radio would be to listen to the bands. You will find then that these newsgroups dont reflect the amateur radio body, except for the antenna, equipment, homebrew, swap, and to a lesser extent the space, vhf and packet groups. The Misc group is attended by a bunch of rude, obscene foulmouthed juveniles, and thank the waves that they are using the group and not the radio. There are plenty of good people all around the world for you to have enjoyable qso's with, so by all means go for it, and ignore the bs on these groups.
kc6ufe

Paul Friedman wrote:
>
> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman

347. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:18:45 UTC
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ea0ent4iup9ggedrtotvdiu92n8no2c9ar@4ax.com>
Source record: 16592

>And it doesn't matter if you have a "ham license." THERE IS NO
>DIFFERENCE
>BETWEEN YOU AND A CBer. Put a freebander or a CBer next to you and
>share the
>microphone -- no one would tell the difference. You ARE NOTHING BUT A
>CBer masquerading as a ham and who "wants on" ham radio.
>
>TRUE HAM RADIO IS NOT CB.
>
>NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>
>
>

Geeze Dwight, lighten up!

Raymond Sirois AB2HR
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet: 24.95.131.236:6000

348. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:26:11 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <1nDd7.880$8f5.233805@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 16591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Marty:

Thanks for the comments. I have used the dreaded Black Senders. In fact, that's what caused me to send my message. I have more Black Senders issued for the ham groups (well, resulting from my reading of these groups) then for all my others combined.

I'm chalking all this up to me having a bad hair day -- hard to do considering my lack of any kind of hair, but probably worth the effort. <grin>

Paul Friedman

"Marty Albert" <kc6ufm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:kXAd7.157482$%a.6890576@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> Hi, Paul:
>
> Sadly, what you say is, to a point, true. Like so many other things,
> though, it is a VERY small minority that gets into the flame wars,
> both here and on the air.
>
> Don't let them discourage you!
>
> A few strategic BLOCK SENDER commands to most news clients and 99% of
> the problems are gone. I have less than 20 senders blocked and I
> seldom see the flap here or in RRAP.
>
> Good luck on the studies and I hope you enjoy Amateur Radio as much as
> I!
>
> Take Care & 73
>
> Marty Albert
> KC6UFM
>
>
> "Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message
> news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> > I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in
> advance.
> > I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do
> something I have
> > wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
> >

> > I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The
> written
> > tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I
> am
> > having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually
> be able
> > to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the
> 13 wpm
> > I thought I was going to need).
> >
> > Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> > I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see
> an
> > inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon
> me)
> > juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in
> which I
> > want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
> >
> > Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups?
> I
> > certainly hope not.
> >
> > Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express
> my
> > concern.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Paul Friedman
> >
> >
>
>

349. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:29:21 UTC
From: Marty Albert <kc6ufm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5rDd7.157682$%a.6900090@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 16593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I still have plenty of hair... It's just growing from my ears now
days.. :)

I have seen a few others jump in here, all to the good, but I do
disagree with the idea of not reading here until you get your ticket
and get on the air... The Usenet in general is sort of like diving for
pearls... You have a LOT of empty shells, but every so often, a really
BIG pearl comes along and makes it all worth while.

Just use the blocked senders list and take much of what is said with a
grain of salt.

Take Care & 73

Marty Albert
KC6UFM

"Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:1nDd7.880$8f5.233805@news.uswest.net...
> Marty:
>
> Thanks for the comments. I have used the dreaded Black Senders. In
fact,
> that's what caused me to send my message. I have more Black Senders
issued
> for the ham groups (well, resulting from my reading of these groups)
then
> for all my others combined.
>
> I'm chalking all this up to me having a bad hair day -- hard to do
> considering my lack of any kind of hair, but probably worth the
effort.
> <grin>
>
> Paul Friedman
>
>
> "Marty Albert" <kc6ufm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:kXAd7.157482$%a.6890576@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> > Hi, Paul:
> >
> > Sadly, what you say is, to a point, true. Like so many other
things,
> > though, it is a VERY small minority that gets into the flame wars,
> > both here and on the air.

> >
> > Don't let them discourage you!
> >
> > A few strategic BLOCK SENDER commands to most news clients and 99%
of
> > the problems are gone. I have less than 20 senders blocked and I
> > seldom see the flap here or in RRAP.
> >
> > Good luck on the studies and I hope you enjoy Amateur Radio as
much as
> > I!
> >
> > Take Care & 73
> >
> > Marty Albert
> > KC6UFM
> >
> >
> > "Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message
> > news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> > > I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in
> > advance.
> > > I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do
> > something I have
> > > wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air
waves.
> > >
> > > I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements.
The
> > written
> > > tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for
me -- I
> > am
> > > having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll
eventually
> > be able
> > > to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then
the
> > 13 wpm
> > > I thought I was going to need).
> > >
> > > Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> > >
> > > I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I
see
> > an
> > > inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and
(pardon
> > me)
> > > juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in

> > which I
> > > want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional
involvement.
> > >
> > > Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the
newsgroups?
> > I
> > > certainly hope not.
> > >
> > > Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to
express
> > my
> > > concern.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Paul Friedman
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

350. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:30:49 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010812183049.23055.00003498@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16595

You're the man, Jim.

>This could be interesting. I've had a problem getting back on HF. 5 grand
>in 5 weeks. The roof needed fixing. The big tv died. Then the boiler.
>OK. Well, I'll be getting back on HF. Come QSO with me. What is your
>pleasure? 20, 30, 40 or 50 WPM? I can still handle it (um, more or less.
>60 does give me a problem.).
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim AA2QA
>
>
>"CWnotCB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message
>news:3B75F47F.8C256D5E@gte.net...
>> Don't know the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Don't want to learn the code? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Don't want to operate CW? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you live on 2 meters? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you check for
>> "poundage" on an S-meter? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you scoff CW operators? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you refer to CW as "beeping?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you refer to a "go-ahead beep" on a repeater a "ROGER BEEP"? YOU'RE
>> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you operate a CB rig in your vehicle along with a ham rig? YOU'RE
>> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you operate bootleg 11 meter "freeband" although you have a "ham
>> license?" YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Do you think that ham calls should be allowed on 11 meter CB? YOU'RE
>> NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Want the code testing dropped? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>> Want code testing speeds reduced? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer. (And
>> probably a grade-school flunkie.)
>> Do you think that hams must coddle CBers and turn ham radio into CB "in
>> order for ham radio to grow"? YOU'RE NOTHING BUT A CBer.
>>
>> And it doesn't matter if you have a "ham license." THERE IS NO
>> DIFFERENCE
>> BETWEEN YOU AND A CBer. Put a freebander or a CBer next to you and
>> share the
>> microphone -- no one would tell the difference. You ARE NOTHING BUT A
>> CBer masquerading as a ham and who "wants on" ham radio.
>>
>> TRUE HAM RADIO IS NOT CB.

>>
>> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

351. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:33:54 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010812183354.23055.00003500@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16596

>So it is
>fairly obvious that CW didn't turn you into something more than a CB'er.

Easy Dwight, I appreciate your disgust but speaking on behalf of the many
decent CB ops. out there..."this one ain't ours!" ;-)

How've you been? All's well I hope. Take it easy.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

352. re:concerns of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:38:47 UTC
From: Andy Meitzner <amitze@dwave.net>
Message-ID: <3b7703a8$0$319$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 16594

Hello fron Andy N9NRA. Im in agreement with the other posters here. Just concentrate on getting on the air...and above all...have a good time!

73 DE N9NRA

353. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:39:20 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B76F801.71AAFF96@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Don't let what you read on the newsgroups form your opinion about ham radio. Most of the foul mouth name callers here used to do it on the air until the FCC started tracking them down and calling them in for retesting and even revoking licenses. Now they can't vent their rantings on the air so they do it here.

Paul Friedman wrote:
>
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman

354. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-12 22:42:42 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B76F8CB.53A7FBA9@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16598

Anyone remember what this thread was originally about?

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3B767C25.2F05B6BA@IEEE.org...
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> > > >I would not want to have to do it, but if it were necessary, I would
> not be
> > > >constrained against it.
> > >
> > > Cecil, you lied to me. You told me that Larry had posted that if the
> Civil War
> > > II happened, he was going to shoot people with beards and bibles who
> ride
> > > pickup trucks.
> >
> > Here's Larry's exact words. I didn't lie - I might have inferred wrongly.
> > What do you infer? I match 5 out of 6 of his criteria so I inferred
> > he would be shooting at me. His description exactly matches a host
> > of the male Southern Baptists living in Madison County, Texas.
> >
> > Larry wrote:
> > "If not, when Civil War II starts, I'll be busy shooting at
> > red-necked, bearded, Bible-thumping, tobacco-spitting white
> > men driving pickup trucks!"
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
> >
>
> *Ahem* Cecil, this is probably 60-70% the population of Texas <BG> ;)
>
> --
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim

355. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:37:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812203758.20158.00004156@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 16599

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: "Uncle Fester" unc@fester.com
>Date: 8/12/01 2:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve , Please Clarify Your statement. In what way are they irresponsible
>and dangerous subset of our society

What clarification?

Drugs are the number one empowering factor of major crime in the United States. (This includes the criminal act of importing, distributing or using it, or criminal acts perpetrated to procure it)

Drugs (and this includes both improperly used prescriptions and alcohol) are directly contributory to better than 60% of all motor vehicle accidents.

MOST acts of domestic violence are perpetrated under the influence of alcohol or drugs.

Drug abuse rounds out the top three life-debilitating factors along with smoking (which can be arguably defined as drug abuse in it's own right) and trauma. (Again, "drugs" being illicit, illegally used prescriptions and abused alcohol)

Please do not quote the "numbers" of such organizations of "NORML" to me. They are hardly objective. On the other hand, I WORK in an emergency department and SEE the DIRECT cause and effect of these factors. The AMA and any one of over 150 other health-care related organizations have nothing to gain by distorting those numbers...

Unfortunately, the human animal cannot, as a general rule, "responsibly" use "drugs". Even something as simple as taking a relatively benign medication as penicillian is beyond most educated adults. I cannot count the number of people who present to my ER or the MD's office I occassionally work in who are complaining that they are "not any better", yet admit they only took the medication "until I felt better". Those were NOT the instructions they were given.

Doubt me? Stop by the ER of your choice some day and just sit in the lobby for a few hours and count the number of intoxicated persons are there. It's scary to think most of them DROVE themselves there!

Steve

356. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:48:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812204857.20158.00004157@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 16600

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/12/01 4:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>So, Steve, do the feds try to keep you from driving a vehicle because
>it *might* be used in a crime?

Like radios, laws exist that WILL revoke your PRIVILEDGES if you use your vehicle for the commission of a crime...just like your AMATEUR license can be revoked for other radio related infractions.

Sorry we can't exactly word every law for every circumstance. However drugs have centuries-long histories of abuse and thier strictest control IS in everyone's best interest.

Sorry you don't agree.

Steve

357. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:56:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812205640.20158.00004158@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 16653

>Subject: concern of a ham-wannabe
>From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>Date: 8/12/01 3:30 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I see an
>inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
>juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
>want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.

Hi Paul. What you see here has little if anything to do with "real"
Amateur Radio. Much of what transpires here does so rather than on the air.

I have been an Amateur almost 30 years now, and the "going's on" in this
"newsgroup" rarely ever manifest ON the air.

Despite occassional friction on matters which we each hold on various
topics, most of us (I presume) would have a pretty darn good time tossing a few
back at a Hamfest together.

73 and welcome aboard!

Steve, K4YZ

358. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:57:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812205711.17760.00002157@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 16655

In article <3B746651.40E809EC@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> Takes to sides to make a war, Cecil.
>> For the North, it was definitely
>> about slavery.
>
>
> No, it wasn't. Most in the North didn't give a darn about the
>South's secession until Fort Sumter was attacked. At that point, the
>North's honor was at state.

Fort Sumter was federal property. When the Confederates attacked it, the Union defenders had no choice but to fight back, because they had sworn to uphold the Union.

>So, while I'm sure many in the South
>didn't like Lincoln's attitudes about slavery, that was not the
>driving force behind the South's secession, nor did become a key issue
>in the conflict for almost another two years (with Lincoln's
>emancipation proclamation).

Lincoln was more concerned with preserving the Union - at least in 1861.
>
>> Southerners take a different view,
>> I know. They didn't cotton to being
>> told they couldn't have slaves. So
>> from the Souths perspective the war
>> was about not being told what they
>> could or could not do.

> When the civil war started, nobody had tried to tell the South that
>they couldn't have slaves.

Sure they had. The number of free states was groing much faster than the number of slave states, as was the population in those states. The abolitionist movement was growing and the Underground Railroad was becoming more and more successful at freeing slaves. It was clear to anyone that sooner or later the abolitionist movement would have enough political clout to get a Constitutional amendment ending slavery. All it would take is 2/3 of the states to ratify such an amendment, and the number of states that would ratify kept on growing.

The writing was on the wall, so the secessionists wanted to leave the room.

> Again, Lincoln didn't address the subject
>for almost two years after the Civil War stated (and then Lincoln's
>emancipation proclamation only freed southern slaves, not northern slaves).

Did the EP actually free any slaves in reality?
>
>> The fact that they didn't agree with
>> the abolition of slavery can hardly
>> be considered irrelevant to the advent
>> of the Civil War.
>
> Abolition didn't occur until 1870, nine years after the South
>seceded and five years after the Civil War ended.

(Dwight previously corrected this to 1865)

> Had it not been for
>the Civil War, opening the door to Lincoln's emancipation
>proclamation, slavery might not have been abolished for several more decades.
>
True - so while the war may not have started with the goal of ending slavery,
it had that effect.

73 de Jim, N2EY

359. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:57:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812205712.17760.00002159@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 16651

In article <9l3ld2$b5t$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>No I do not think 'State's rights' should include owning people.

Then we agree!

That issue was one of the ones that caused some states to try to secede. Not
the only issue, but a big one. They knew the feds would eventually try to
change the Constitution so that slavery would be totally banned.
>
>Thank you for your thought.

Thanks for yours. Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable.

73 de Jim, N2EY

360. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:57:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812205712.17760.00002158@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 16654

In article <9l3llm$r9j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>One monor correction. Lincoln only freed the slaves in the 'States that
>were in Rebellion against the Union'. In otherwords, and this is the best
>part. ONLY in the STATES that were NO LONGER IN THE UNION.

They were freed by the Emancipation Proclamation, anyway.
>
>So tell me...where did he get that right from? He was NOT THE PRESIDENT of
>those states.

That's the whole point of the war - did federal authority supersede state
authority? Unionists said yes - they argued that the states trying to secede
had exceeded their authority and violated their agreement with the Union.
Secessionists argued that any state had the right to quit the Union if the
state assembly so decided.

Of course it's a moot point because I don't think any significant number of
slaves were actually freed by the proclamation. Anybody know if the EP had any
real effect?

Oddly enough, Lincoln's main interest was in preserving the Union, not ending
slavery. Early in the war he wrote that if ending slavery would preserve the
Union, he would work to end it, and if keeping slavery would preserve the
Union, he would work to keep it. As the war went on, his attitude gradually
changed, but always first and foermost in his mind was preserving the Union.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

361. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 00:57:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812205713.17760.00002160@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 16652

In article <3B76E597.E617528D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>She's an undercover agent at some function in Texas and sees a guy
>with a gun. She screams, "Gun", and runs and tackles the guy. All
>her buddies tell her, "This is Texas - everybody has a gun." :-)

A classic. Similar to the scene in "Crocodile Dundee" where the two main characters are accosted by a street punk with a switchblade.

"HE'S GOT A KNIFE!!!!" says the character played by Linda Koszlowski.

Crocodile Dundee shakes his head, snickers, says:

"That's not a knife...."

and pulls out the big knife he uses to kill crocodiles

"THAT'S a knife!"

great stuff.

73 de Jim, N2EY

362. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 01:20:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B772B57.C075177C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16657

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Drugs are the number one empowering factor of major crime in the United
> States.

So was booze during prohibition. The feds never learn. The laws
cause many more problems than they solve. The fact that drugs
are illegal *enable* the criminals to make their obscene profits
and they love the feds for it. If there weren't so much profit in
drugs there wouldn't be as many drugs. The feds could not be making
the criminals any happier than they are now. "Legalized marijuana"
strikes more fear into the hearts of drug dealers than it does in
yours.

> Drugs (and this includes both improperly used prescriptions and alcohol)
> are directly contributory to better than 60% of all motor vehicle accidents.

So what? Vehicles are directly contributory to ***ALL*** motor vehicle
accidents. If you think dumb laws are the way to go, make it a felony
to have an accident of any kind.

> MOST acts of domestic violence are perpetrated under the influence of
> alcohol or drugs.

By criminal types who would do it with or without alcohol or drugs.
The great majority of people under the influence of alcohol or drugs
don't commit a crime. The great majority of gun owners don't commit
a crime. The great majority of shushi eaters don't commit a crime.

> Doubt me? Stop by the ER of your choice some day and just sit in the
> lobby for a few hours and count the number of intoxicated persons are there.
> It's scary to think most of them DROVE themselves there!

Did it ever occur to you that the laws against such are ineffectual?
You just cannot legislate rationality.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

363. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 01:23:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B772BF5.F4F21225@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16658

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Sorry we can't exactly word every law for every circumstance. However
> drugs have centuries-long histories of abuse and thier strictest control IS in
> everyone's best interest.
>
> Sorry you don't agree.

Sorry you don't agree that my life belongs to me.
Neither did Hitler, Stalin, Mao, or Castro.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

364. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 01:30:27 UTC
From: Andy Meitzner <amitze@dwave.net>
Message-ID: <3b772be3$0$318$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 16656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello Paul from Andy N9NRA. I`m in agreement here, as well. The best way to see what ham radio is like is to listen to the bands...but do it with an open mind, and remember that all hams are not like those you`ve heard about. And above all, have fun and enjoy this great hobby! I know i sure do!

Good luck and 73 DE N9NRA
William F. Hagen <whagen@inreach.com> wrote in message news:3B76E9DE.B73138BC@inreach.com...
> A more accurate reading of amateur radio would be to listen to the
> bands. You will find then that these newsgroups dont reflect the amateur
> radio body, except for the antenna, equipment, homebrew, swap, and to a
> lesser extent the space, vhf and packet groups. The Misc group is
> attended by a bunch of rude, obscene foulmouthed juveniles, and thank
> the waves that they are using the group and not the radio. There are
> plenty of good people all around the world for you to have enjoyable
> qso's with, so by all means go for it, and ignore the bs on these
> groups.
> kc6ufe
>
> Paul Friedman wrote:
> >
> > I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> > I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> > wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
> >
> > I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> > tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> > having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> > to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> > I thought I was going to need).
> >
> > Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> > I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> > inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> > juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> > want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
> >
> > Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I

> > certainly hope not.
> >
> > Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> > concern.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Paul Friedman

365. Re: State Of Ham Radio Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 02:04:06 UTC
From: Andy Meitzner <amitze@dwave.net>
Message-ID: <3b7733c7$0$328$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 16659

Very well put. May i add that i`ve also met some neat folks, and of the folks i`ve met in my nine years of amateur radio, i`ve made some really nice friends...and i`ve had fun. TNX ES 73 DE N9NRA
J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010806104358.22387.00002632@ng-da1.aol.com...
> Al, I don't think everyone is like what your saying. Almost 50 years with ham
> radio I have met some of the nicest and I have also met a lot that were not
> so nice, however the majority were really great. It no difference what or where
> you do or go, its just not Amateur Radio. Yea, I wish things could be different
> to, but I guess life just don't work that way. Last but not least, its not just
> the old wind bags and old farts because I have seen many a younger ham radio
> operators who do a pretty good job of offending. The secret is to be polite and
> respect others, no matter what. J1

366. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 02:52:47 UTC
From: Keith Proctor Charter <datadoc50@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tnefrori5fhs0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul,

I agree with all who have responded. I have had my ticket since 1991 and have enjoyed every minute of it. Met some of the nicest people and even gained some friends who now have become more like brothers to me. You won't regret a moment of it.
As for your code problem, I too suffered from that "mental" block with the code. The only thing that worked for me was the package "Code Quick". It runs around $60 if I remember correctly. It was the ONLY code course that worked for me. I tried several of them. Now my 7 yr old is picking it up like a breeze, but he is very musically inclined. I think that helps tremendously. Good luck to you and hope to find you on the bands soon! Come join us...not all of us are crazy....but sometimes it helps..:)

Keith KD4AVP

"Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> concern.
>
> 73

>
> Paul Friedman
>
>

367. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 03:19:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812231904.04428.00000516@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 16661

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/12/01 9:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>The laws
>cause many more problems than they solve.

As was stated before, it's almost impossible to effectively state any one law so that it covers all possibilities or eventualities.

>The fact that drugs
>are illegal *enable* the criminals to make their obscene profits
>and they love the feds for it.

Then let's strike down all the laws against domestic violence and having sex with children....think of all the space we will free up in prisons and the social workers we will not have to pay for just by decriminalizing those.

While we are at it, let's also decriminalize copyright infringement...Geez-o-whiz, we WOULD have thought of it our selves too...eventually.

And we can also decriminalize speeding, medical malpractice, theft, etc.

>If there weren't so much profit in
>drugs there wouldn't be as many drugs.

And the same could be said for child pornography.

>"Legalized marijuana"
>strikes more fear into the hearts of drug dealers than it does in
>yours.

No, it doesn't. It will make it even MORE accessible to people who shouldn't have it...I guess you could call it "job security", but I'd prefer to NOT have to deal with more substance abuse than I already see......

>If you think dumb laws are the way to go, make it a felony
>to have an accident of any kind.

Again...we can't make all laws absolutely equitable. Oh well.

>> MOST acts of domestic violence are perpetrated under the influence of
>> alcohol or drugs.

>By criminal types who would do it with or without alcohol or drugs.

Wrong. All-too-many acts of domestic violence occur at the hands of people who would not have otherwise done so if not for the decreased inhibitions

provided by alcohol. Most are otherwise law-abiding people caught up in the emotion of the moment.

Again, you are invited to spend some time in my ER and gain some FIRST HAND experience in such matters.

Reality is enlightening.

>The great majority of people under the influence of alcohol or drugs
>don't commit a crime.

But the great majority of crimes commited are commited by someone under the influence or trying to get money to GET those drigs so they CAN be under the influence.

Ask the FOP.

>The great majority of gun owners don't commit
>a crime.

True. But we aren't talking about guns, are we?

>The great majority of shushi eaters don't commit a crime.

But most members of the Yakuza eat sushi...it's still not germane to the discussion about the relevance of drug laws in the United States.

>Did it ever occur to you that the laws against such are ineffectual?

But I can only imagine what the highways would be like if there weren't laws against it. Again...the alcohol and the drugs inhibit some people's ability to act responsibly...more so than the other "users" you tried to obfuscate the subject with.

>You just cannot legislate rationality

I agree. But we can do our best to create an atmosphere that promotes it. And if that means stiff penalties and restrictive laws on the use of such substances, so be it.

It will never ENSURE it, but it's bound to help facilitate it.

Adios

Steve

368. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 03:25:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812232521.04428.00000517@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 16662

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/12/01 9:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Sorry you don't agree that my life belongs to me.

You are putting words into my mouth. I never said that...No more than I ever "admitted" that I cheat or lie on FCC examinations, but you insist that I did.

Unfortunately, unless you are about to move into some desolate mountain lair, you (and most other drug users/abusers) don't live in a vacuum. Your actions DO impact the lives of others who may choose to NOT "share" you lifestyle, Cecil...one of the drawbacks of "society".

>Neither did Hitler, Stalin, Mao, or Castro.

Uh oh...Cecil introduced "The Big H" into the thread.

Steve

369. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 03:46:46 UTC
From: "rick@rickfrazier.com" <rick@rickfrazier.com>
Message-ID: <3B774DA6.86E76028@rickfrazier.com>
Source record: 16663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul:

As others have indicated in various posts, this (and other amateur newsgroups) do not necessarily reflect the ham radio fraternity at large. I call it a fraternity, because in many ways, it seems like a brotherhood and sisterhood of people with a common goal, that of communicating via ham radio. Overall, they are kind, patient, and compassionate human beings that are interested in furthering ham radio, helping their communities and generally being good people.

Unfortunately, like with any endeavor, there are a relative few that feel they need to "stir up the pot" just for the sake of seeing who they can get a rise from. Many of these sort of "people" have a tendency to get into the newsgroups and make post after post, most of which are inflammatory. I've observed more trolling and baiting done in newsgroups than anywhere else. The largest reason seems to be the relative anonymity that is possible on the internet. Nearly anyone can represent themselves as any name they choose, and it's difficult to tell the honest people from the scum. Personally, I find it difficult to take anyone seriously that hides behind a free or anonymous email address, as they are there just to mask the individual's true identity.

As a recently upgraded Amateur Extra, having finally taken the time to get the code requirement done, I can imagine your difficulty in preparing for the code test. However, I've personal knowledge that it's really not that bad, if you just take the time and dedicate yourself to learning it. (I went from Technician to Amateur extra in a single testing day, so I know it can be done! I used an old "listen to the world with Ham Radio -- ARRL tape from the late 80's or so to learn the code. It used farnsworth timing, spaced for 5wpm, which has the individual characters at 13wpm, but spaced for 5wpm, which is the way the test is. I took the code test during field day, and could hear QRM from the field day SSB radios almost as loud as the actual code, but still managed to copy OK. It wasn't a whole lot different from copying real code off the air, and probably a better test than the sterile environment that is usually used for testing.)

Go ahead, listen around the bands, and you'll find a few wierdos out there, but they are way in the minority, just as the number of oddballs that post the nasty stuff on the newsgroups are a very small minority of the actual community. Bear in mind the internet allows one to post a message to many more people than they could be exposed to in other venues, and they can reread it later. This gives some of the miscreants a thrill for some reason, and tends to cause them to post considerably more crap over time. On the ham bands, people that aren't polite and reasonable tend to be ignored, but on the internet, there is always someone that will respond to a troll or provocative message.

I guess this was a very long way of answering your question, and saying that the newsgroups are definitely NOT indicative of the tone of the ham radio culture.

Ham radio is a wonderful hobby, and can be quite enjoyable even if you don't spend a lot of money or time on it.

Thanks
--Rick AH7H

Paul Friedman wrote:

> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman

370. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 04:05:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b77533b_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 16664

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B76E597.E617528D@IEEE.org...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > *Ahem* Cecil, this is probably 60-70% the population of Texas <BG> ;)
>
> Say Kim, have you seen that Sandra Bullock movie, "Miss Congeniality"?
> She's an undercover agent at some function in Texas and sees a guy
> with a gun. She screams, "Gun", and runs and tackles the guy. All
> her buddies tell her, "This is Texas - everybody has a gun." :-)
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>

Yeppers, saw that movie and enjoyed it! And, her buddies were right,
mostly...LOL

Kim W5TIT

371. Re: I Will Prevail!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 04:18:12 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <8yId7.34014$7G.289422@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 16665

>subhumans. So knock off your bullshit and stop trying to further the shit >between us. I kick his ass

Poor forged post. You will not find me using language of the above sort in usenet posting. You can't even get my writing style write. What a putz.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

372. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 04:46:41 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <KXId7.1037$8f5.406069@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 16666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith:

Thanks for the advise and encouragement. I'm sure your 7-year old will pick up the code quickly.... they do that just to spite their parents. <grin>

Paul

"Keith Proctor Charter" <datadoc50@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:tnefrori5fhs0f@corp.supernews.com...
> Paul,
>
> I agree with all who have responded. I have had my ticket since 1991 and
> have enjoyed every minute of it. Met some of the nicest people and even
> gained some friends who now have become more like brothers to me. You won't
> regret a moment of it.
> As for your code problem, I too suffered from that "mental" block with the
> code. The only thing that worked for me was the package "Code Quick". It
> runs around $60 if I remember correctly. It was the ONLY code course that
> worked for me. I tried several of them. Now my 7 yr old is picking it up
> like a breeze, but he is very musically inclined. I think that helps
> tremendously. Good luck to you and hope to find you on the bands soon! Come
> join us...not all of us are crazy....but sometimes it helps..:)
>
> Keith KD4AVP
>
>
> "Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message
> news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> > I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> > I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I
> have
> > wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
> >
> > I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> > tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> > having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> > to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13
> wpm
> > I thought I was going to need).
> >

> > Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> > I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> > inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> > juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> > want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
> >
> > Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> > certainly hope not.
> >
> > Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> > concern.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Paul Friedman
> >
> >
>
>

373. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 04:47:04 UTC
From: Marty Albert <kc6ufm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cZId7.158336$%a.6930928@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 16667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'd bet being 7 has more to do with it... Their little brains are
like those paper towels on TV that suck up the entire 2 liter bottle
spill!

Mine is more like the toilet tissue used as padding for the dog...
Sort of squishy... :)

"Keith Proctor Charter" <datadoc50@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tnefrori5fhs0f@corp.supernews.com...
> Paul,
>
> I agree with all who have responded. I have had my ticket since 1991
and
> have enjoyed every minute of it. Met some of the nicest people and
even
> gained some friends who now have become more like brothers to me.
You won't
> regret a moment of it.
> As for your code problem, I too suffered from that "mental" block
with the
> code. The only thing that worked for me was the package "Code
Quick". It
> runs around $60 if I remember correctly. It was the ONLY code course
that
> worked for me. I tried several of them. Now my 7 yr old is picking
it up
> like a breeze, but he is very musically inclined. I think that helps
> tremendously. Good luck to you and hope to find you on the bands
soon! Come
> join us...not all of us are crazy....but sometimes it helps..:)
>
> Keith KD4AVP
>
>
> "Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message
> news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> > I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in
advance.
> > I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do
something I
> have
> > wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air
waves.
> >

> > I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The
written
> > tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me --
I am
> > having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually
be able
> > to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then
the 13
> wpm
> > I thought I was going to need).
> >
> > Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> > I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I
see an
> > inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon
me)
> > juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in
which I
> > want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional
involvement.
> >
> > Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups?
I
> > certainly hope not.
> >
> > Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to
express my
> > concern.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Paul Friedman
> >
> >
>
>

374. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 04:51:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B775CEC.EAA4B6AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16668

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >The fact that drugs
> >are illegal *enable* the criminals to make their obscene profits
> >and they love the feds for it.
>
> Then let's strike down all the laws against domestic violence and having
> sex with children....think of all the space we will free up in prisons and the
> social workers we will not have to pay for just by decriminalizing those.

Steve, you are just going to have to force yourself to comprehend the
difference between the victimless crime of me smoking pot in the
privacy of my home and a crime with victims like domestic violence
where force is involved. Why do you have such difficulty with those
concepts? In one case, someone is beating up on someone else. In the
other case, nothing bad is happening. How can I commit evil alone in
the privacy of my home? Do you also support the older laws against
masturbation in private?

> While we are at it, let's also decriminalize copyright
> infringement...Geez-o-whiz, we WOULD have thought of it our selves
> too...eventually.

Copyright infringement is NOT a victimless crime. Please learn to
tell the difference.

> And we can also decriminalize speeding, medical malpractice, theft, etc.

Montana doesn't have any top speed limit. The other crimes clearly
have victims.

> >If there weren't so much profit in
> >drugs there wouldn't be as many drugs.
>
> And the same could be said for child pornography.

The children in child pornography are victims. They are not adults
and cannot legally give consent. What is it about victims VS
victimless crime that you do not understand?

> >"Legalized marijuana"
> >strikes more fear into the hearts of drug dealers than it does in
> >yours.
>
> No, it doesn't.

Yes, it does. It would mean the end of their livelihoods which the
present drug laws graciously provide for them.

There's a similar example in my home county. Sale of booze is prohibited.
That makes the bootleggers deliriously happy.

> >> MOST acts of domestic violence are perpetrated under the influence of
> >> alcohol or drugs.
>
> >By criminal types who would do it with or without alcohol or drugs.
>
> Wrong.

You don't understand human nature, do you, Steve? Taking booze away from
the bad guys is not going to turn them into good guys. Some people are
just evil by nature. Laws against drugs are not going to change that.
In fact, YOU are enabling the criminals to dodge responsibility for
their crimes by blaming the drugs instead of the perps.

> But the great majority of crimes commited are commited by someone under
> the influence or trying to get money to GET those drigs so they CAN be under
> the influence.

Yep, the laws don't seem to discourage them a bit, do they? You are
admitting that the laws don't work. If the drugs carried a free market
price like alcohol does, nobody would have to steal for it. How often
do you hear of someone breaking and entering to get money to buy booze?

> True. But we aren't talking about guns, are we?

Yep, we are talking about responsible adults being free to own and
take responsibility for their own lives. My SKS is illegal in CA.
Does that make me a criminal type?

> But I can only imagine what the highways would be like if there weren't
> laws against it. Again...the alcohol and the drugs inhibit some people's
> ability to act responsibly...more so than the other "users" you tried to
> obfuscate the subject with.

Alcohol is legal. DUI is illegal. Why can't it be that way for marijuana?
The real crime with real potential victims is DUI, not simple use.

> >You just cannot legislate rationality
>
> I agree. But we can do our best to create an atmosphere that promotes it.

The drug laws promote the irrationality that is the illegal drug industry.
The drug laws are the cause of most of the present drug problems and
allow the government to expropriate wealth without due process.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

375. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 04:56:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B775DFE.5FEBA854@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16669

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Sorry you don't agree that my life belongs to me.
>
> You are putting words into my mouth.

If you don't believe I have a right to grow marijuana in my garden
for my private use then that's prima facie evidence that you
believe my life does not belong to me. What are you going to do
when they take away your house after planting some drugs in it?
Your house is simply gone without due process.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

376. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 05:19:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B776340.2087A07A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16675

CAM wrote:
>
> So was booze during prohibition.
> The feds never learn. The laws
> cause many more problems than
> they solve.

Cecil, you consistently make this claim, however many of us strongly disagree. Therefore, can you provide any evidence whatsoever to support this claim? Beyond the number of people jailed for drug related offenses, can you provide any evidence that the drug laws cause more problems than they solve? I believe the elimination of the drug laws will result in a great increase in the other problems associated with drugs (abuse, overdoses, accidents, and so forth). So, before I'll support efforts to just start throwing out the drug laws, I want to see some serious proof (and your opinion is far from serious proof).

> (snip) The fact that drugs are
> illegal *enable* the criminals
> to make their obscene profits
> and they love the feds for it. If
> there weren't so much profit in
> drugs there wouldn't be as many
> drugs. (snip)

Again, can you provide any evidence that the elimination of the drug laws will reduce the amount of drugs used? Again, I've provided evidence (prohibition) in previous discussions which suggests just the opposite.

> So what? Vehicles are directly
> contributory to ***ALL*** motor
> vehicle accidents. If you think
> dumb laws are the way to go,
> make it a felony to have an
> accident of any kind.

Sorry, Cecil, your recreational use of drugs is not equal to my vehicle. A person can use a vehicle responsibly, but I have yet to see an example of responsible illegal drug use.

> By criminal types who would do
> it with or without alcohol or
> drugs. The great majority of
> people under the influence of
> alcohol or drugs don't commit
> a crime.

While your statement is true for alcohol users (and prescription drug users, gun owners, and shushi eaters), it is not true of illegal drug users. All illegal drug users do indeed commit crimes - the illegal drug use itself is a crime. Furthermore, illegal drugs users also commit a far greater number of other crimes than the average person in this country.

> Did it ever occur to you that
> the laws against such are
> ineffectual? You just cannot
> legislate rationality.

That is true, you cannot legislate rationality. But you can legislate behavior, which is exactly what the drug laws are designed to do.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

377. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 05:35:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B77672A.F8289A87@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16676

CAM wrote:
>
> So, Steve, do the feds try to keep
> you from driving a vehicle because
> it *might* be used in a crime?

The two are not at all similar, Cecil. Illegal drug use is in itself a crime, while driving a vehicle is not. Likewise, illegal drug use in itself causes problems for this society (overdoses, accidents, drug distribution crimes associated, and so forth), while driving a vehicle does not.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

378. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 06:00:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B776CCA.93CF3B56@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16678

CAM wrote:
>
> Sorry you don't agree that my
> life belongs to me. Neither did
> Hitler, Stalin, Mao, or Castro.

Don't get silly, Cecil. Your life obviously belongs to you, but your illegal behavior in this society is a matter for all (especially when it has the potential to negatively effect any one of us). Using your argument, what Hitler, Stalin, or Mao, did would be none of your business either (their lives belonged to them also).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

379. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 06:07:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B776EA0.CD929E46@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16679

Uncle Fester wrote:
>
> Who won the War?

Gee, I thought that was pretty self-evident. Or were you trying to
make a point?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

380. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 06:19:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813021920.04420.00000475@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 16670

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/13/01 12:51 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve, you are just going to have to force yourself to comprehend the
>difference between the victimless crime of me smoking pot in the
>privacy of my home and a crime with victims like domestic violence
>where force is involved.

Ooooopsie! Here we go with that "force" thing again!

YOU are the victim, Cecil. Self-induced, but a victim nonetheless.

>In the
>other case, nothing bad is happening.

Sure it is. You are consuming a controlled substance contrary to federal law. That controlled substance has been medically proven to be detrimental to your health.

Regardless of how it came to be "illegal" as opposed to other substances that we consume "legally", it IS, nonetheless, harmful.

>Copyright infringement is NOT a victimless crime. Please learn to
>tell the difference.

Growing, consuming and otherwise having marijuana is a crime, ergo it is NOT "victimless".

>> And the same could be said for child pornography.

>The children in child pornography are victims.

Are they? How do you determine this? Many of the "children" are in thier teens, were completely aware of what they were "consenting" to, and "profited" from it monitarily or materially.

>They are not adults
>and cannot legally give consent. What is it about victims VS
>victimless crime that you do not understand?

What part do YOU have a hard time understanding that the cultivation and consumption of marijuana is a crime. The deficits in mental capacity that it creates subsequently creates a danger in a public environs, even if you "consume" the pot in your own home.

The effects of pot are cumulative. You cannot smoke pot today and it not create a deficit in your ability to competently function tomorrow....Sure, you may be fine today, but eventually YOUR habit will negatively impact people who

never chose to consume pot.

>Yes, it does. It would mean the end of their livelihoods which the
>present drug laws graciously provide for them.

Oh...You mean like how legalized gambling put an end to underworld
gambling...Yeah...Right.

>You don't understand human nature, do you, Steve? Taking booze away from
>the bad guys is not going to turn them into good guys.

Nope, but hopefully it will make it harder for them to be intoxicated bad
people.

>Laws against drugs are not going to change that.
>In fact, YOU are enabling the criminals to dodge responsibility for
>their crimes by blaming the drugs instead of the perps.

Yeah...Right. You ahve once again ben selectively ignoring/editing
comments to fit the rant.

Tain't so, Doc.

>> But the great majority of crimes commited are commited by someone under
>> the influence or trying to get money to GET those drigs so they CAN be
>under
>> the influence.

>Yep, the laws don't seem to discourage them a bit, do they?

They discourage more than they facilitate.

>You are admitting that the laws don't work.

You are putting words into my mouth. I said it's not possible to make all
laws all-encompassing or applicable to every situation.

That does NOT suggest that a prudent society strike down those laws.

>If the drugs carried a free market
>price like alcohol does, nobody would have to steal for it.

You mean like the cigarettes and alcohol (both legal in the United States)
impounded by the US Coast Guard and Customs Service every year?

The "legality" of THOSE drugs did not impede thier profit potential in the
hands of smugglers.

>How often
>do you hear of someone breaking and entering to get money to buy booze?

In almost every day's "police blotter".

>> True. But we aren't talking about guns, are we?

>Yep, we are talking about responsible adults being free to own and
>take responsibility for their own lives.

Nope...you are obfuscating. Firearms are, in most instances, legal for
possession by adults.

>My SKS is illegal in CA.
>Does that make me a criminal type?

If you carry it across the state line, yes.

>Alcohol is legal. DUI is illegal. Why can't it be that way for marijuana?

It can be. Obviously YOU have experienced some memory deficits of your
own. This very same topic was broached before.

The majority of the electorate still supports keeping pot off the streets
to the greatest degree possible.

In as much as "today's" adults were the pot smoking hippies of the
60-70's, I think it says a lot that they have come to the conclusion, via
personal experience, that it IS a harmful substance.

>The drug laws promote the irrationality that is the illegal drug industry.

Then why doesn't the legal status of alcohol and tobacco deter thier
illegal trade?

>The drug laws are the cause of most of the present drug problems and
>allow the government to expropriate wealth without due process.

Yeah. Right. The laws allowing the confiscation of personal property of
persons violating drug laws have been with us for almost 20 years....more than
ample time for generational mores to have effected a change on them...They
haven't.

There's a reason. Too bad you missed it. Perhaps if you had been
sufficiently clear minded to participate in the process...?!?!

Steve

381. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 06:45:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813024508.04420.00000477@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 16671

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/13/01 12:56 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>If you don't believe I have a right to grow marijuana in my garden
>for my private use then that's prima facie evidence that you
>believe my life does not belong to me.

Please prove your point by quoting the section of federal or Texas state
law that allows you to cultivate marijuana.

>What are you going to do
>when they take away your house after planting some drugs in it?

And since I don't own real estate, work on Wall Street or cavort with
Hollywood nymphets, the purpose of THAT exercise would be...?!?!

>Your house is simply gone without due process.

Federal law allows for recourse of confiscation. They simply do not take
your house today and sell it tomorrow. Of course, if you were not breaking the
law in the FIRST place.....

Steve

382. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 06:56:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B777A10.C676BCCE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16680

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:
> >
> > (snip)
>
> Fort Sumter was federal property.
> When the Confederates attacked it,
> the Union defenders had no choice
> but to fight back, because they
> had sworn to uphold the Union.

Well, at least that was the Union's position in the matter. Of course, many in the South didn't think the federal government had any right to federal property (specially when that property might be used by the government to control the entrance to the major harbor of a state).

> > When the civil war started, nobody
> > had tried to tell the South that
> > they couldn't have slaves.
>
> Sure they had. The number of free
> states was groing much faster than
> the number of slave states, as was
> the population in those states. The
> abolitionist movement was growing
> and the Underground Railroad was
> becoming more and more successful
> at freeing slaves.

When I said that nobody had tried to tell the South that they couldn't have slaves, I was referring to those with immediate power to back it up (the government, not members of a political movement).

> It was clear to anyone that sooner
> or later the abolitionist movement
> would have enough political clout
> to get a Constitutional amendment
> ending slavery. All it would take
> is 2/3 of the states to ratify such
> an amendment, and the number of states
> that would ratify kept on growing.

Better go back and check the numbers, Jim. Without agreement of the slave states, it would have been impossible at the time to get a 2/3 majority to pass an amendment ending slavery. And, indeed, the 13th

Amendment was passed in both houses of Congress without the votes of those confederate slave states (they later ratified the 13th Amendment, but never voted on it in Congress).

> The writing was on the wall, so
> the secessionists wanted to leave
> the room.

Again, slavery was not the issue behind the secession. That should be obvious since the slave states would have easily been able to defeat any effort to abolish slavery had they remained in the Union.

> Did the EP actually free any
> slaves in reality?

In a round about way, yes. It probably did cause more slaves to flee to the north than might have done so without the emancipation proclamation. And, or course, it did also set the stage for everything that followed concerning slavery.

> True - so while the war may not have
> started with the goal of ending slavery,
> it had that effect.

Exactly. From our perspective today, that can be considered one of the more positive end aspects of the war, even if it wasn't the intent at the beginning of it all.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

383. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 06:59:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B777AC5.BB981C28@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16681

Uncle Fester wrote:
>
> Dwight your endless repetition of this
> simplistic garbage (snip)

Sorry, my friend, but there has no repetition of what I said in that message.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

384. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 07:29:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7781C1.F1072668@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16683

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Easy Dwight, I appreciate your
> disgust but speaking on behalf
> of the many decent CB ops. out
> there..."this one ain't ours!" ;-)

You're right. To avoid misunderstanding, I probably should clarify that. My comment was directed solely at his fantasy that CW somehow makes him superior to CB'ers. Since his garbage is no better than the garbage I see in the CB band on a daily basis, that fantasy is obviously false.

Of course, I'm not saying that all CB'ers are like that - my comment mentioned the garbage that goes on in the CB band, not the normal stuff by normal people. In other words, my comment was directed at people (CB'ers or hams) who spread negative garbage. They're all the same and not at all like the decent people in either radio service.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

385. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 07:57:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B77884A.A3E88D3C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul Friedman wrote:
>
> I've been lurking most of the
> rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups.
> I see an inordinate amount of
> yelling, screaming, name calling,
> and (pardon me) juvenile behavior.
> I am wondering if this is really
> a culture in which I want to
> invest considerable time, money,
> and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture
> accurately reflected in the
> newsgroups? I certainly hope not.

Are you kidding? These are newsgroups. That is radio. The two are not at all similar.

As for these newsgroups, you can avoid a lot of the madness by staying out of the rec.radio.amateur.misc newsgroup. It is the very worst of the amateur radio newsgroups. The "policy" newsgroup is better, but it does tend to get into a lot of subjects outside of ham radio (not that bad if you'd like to see what other hams think about those subjects). The other newsgroups tend to stick more to ham radio only topics.

There are a few places on the radio where you will find the occasional nonsense, but it is very rare. Most of the on-air conversations tend to be pleasant and even entertaining.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

386. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 13:38:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B77D839.BD1D14DF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16672

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> YOU are the victim, Cecil. Self-induced, but a victim nonetheless.

You have a backwards way of looking at things, Steve. If I don't think I am a victim, I'm not one. I have a perfect right to live my life as I choose as long as I do not initiate force or threat of force against another human being. I smoked marijuana for 25 years without ill effects. The great majority of marijuana smokers have no ill effects. No victim here.

> Sure it is. You are consuming a controlled substance contrary to federal
> law.

It's a bad law like the laws against having oral sex with one's spouse.

> That controlled substance has been medically proven to be detrimental to
> your health.

Not to my health, Steve. I have suffered absolutely no ill effects. It actually works better for me than any prescription anti-depressant.

> Regardless of how it came to be "illegal" as opposed to other substances
> that we consume "legally", it IS, nonetheless, harmful.

Not true, Steve, I have suffered absolutely no harmful effects. Neither has anyone else that I know personally.

> Growing, consuming and otherwise having marijuana is a crime, ergo it is
> NOT "victimless".

I'm sorry to see you so enamored with bad laws. Do you want to return to slavery? Do you want adultery to be a capital crime again?

> >The children in child pornography are victims.
>
> Are they? How do you determine this?

Because they are not adults, they are victims by definition. Children simply are not allowed to make their own decisions. What we are discussing are the rights of mentally healthy adult citizens who supposedly own their lives.

> What part do YOU have a hard time understanding that the cultivation and
> consumption of marijuana is a crime.

So was the underground railroad during slavery days. Face it, Steve, there are simply some bad laws that shouldn't exist. If you were black in 1850, would you have been as enamored with bad laws as you are today?

> The effects of pot are cumulative. You cannot smoke pot today and it not
> create a deficit in your ability to competently function tomorrow....Sure, you
> may be fine today, but eventually YOUR habit will negatively impact people who
> never chose to consume pot.

Egads Steve, that's an out and out obfuscation. Pot is less harmful than
booze or tobacco. My former pot habits had no negative effects on me or
anyone else and it had a lot of positive effects.

> >Yes, it does. It would mean the end of their livelihoods which the
> >present drug laws graciously provide for them.
>
> Oh...You mean like how legalized gambling put an end to underworld
> gambling...Yeah...Right.

It certainly diminished it. Why pay an illegal bookie when you can just
walk into a casino? If you are holding your breath for the world to
be perfect, you are dumb as a stump. (Note if you are not holding
your breath, that conditional statement is still perfectly true. :-)

> >Laws against drugs are not going to change that.
> >In fact, YOU are enabling the criminals to dodge responsibility for
> >their crimes by blaming the drugs instead of the perps.
>
> Yeah...Right. You ahve once again ben selectively ignoring/editing
> comments to fit the rant.

If you are ignorant of the enabling effect that your blaming drugs
for the actions of people has, I feel sorry for you. People like
you are the reason that the drug users who commit crimes against
victims are allowed to walk away with only a slap on the wrist.
When people like you stop blaming the drugs and start punishing
the people for their actual victim-based crimes, the drug problem
will shrink. Your attitude that drugs make people crazy allows
criminals to get off scott free with insanity pleas.

> They discourage more than they facilitate.

This is laughable. The drug laws are the *CAUSE* of the drug problem.
We had the same problem with booze during prohibition. We don't have
those magnitudes of problems any more. We do not learn from our mistakes.

> You mean like the cigarettes and alcohol (both legal in the United States)
> impounded by the US Coast Guard and Customs Service every year?

That's a minor problem compared to prohibition. If you are ever expecting
the world to be perfect, I feel sorry for you.

> The "legality" of THOSE drugs did not impede thier profit potential in the
> hands of smugglers.

It's a minor problem compared to prohibition which caused organized
crime to skyrocket.

> If you carry it across the state line, yes.

If SKS's are evil, they should not be allowed anywhere. If they are
not evil, they should be allowed everywhere. Your willy-nilly attitude
toward the law is going to get all of us in trouble.

> >Alcohol is legal. DUI is illegal. Why can't it be that way for marijuana?
>
> It can be. Obviously YOU have experienced some memory deficits of your
> own. This very same topic was broached before.

You seem to be the one with memory problems. The topic was not resolved
in your favor.

> The majority of the electorate still supports keeping pot off the streets
> to the greatest degree possible.

The majority of the electorate used to support slavery. Did that make
it right? Are all laws good laws?

> Then why doesn't the legal status of alcohol and tobacco deter thier
> illegal trade?

It does deter illegal trade, it just doesn't stop it. The present illegal
trade in alcohol is magnitudes less of a problem than it was during
prohibition because there is less profit to be made today. The profit
potential of illegal drugs is the *CAUSE* of the drug problems. The
US gives criminals incentive to import illegal drugs. Take away the
incentive and the drug problems will diminish just as it did with
alcohol after prohibition.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

387. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 13:41:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B77D91E.8FE74319@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16673

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Federal law allows for recourse of confiscation. They simply do not take
> your house today and sell it tomorrow. Of course, if you were not breaking the
> law in the FIRST place.....

Steve, a guy who was not breaking the law was framed and murdered by
members of the LA sheriff's department for the purpose of obtaining the
victim's property. What are you going to do when that happens to you?
Be careful what you wish for.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

388. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 13:49:27 UTC
From: Ed Cregger <nm2k@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tnfmhbso64v9e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, the newsgroup does not reflect amateur radio in the
slightest. This is not to say that the newsgroup has no
value to a ham, because it does, if the proper filters are
applied to one's newsreader.

As someone else has pointed out, there are sufficient pearls
to be found to make it worth reading and participating in.
At least there are for me. Welcome aboard.

Ed Cregger, NM2K
nm2k@arrl.net

"Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote

(snip)
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur...
newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and
(pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a
culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional
involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the
newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need
to express my
> concern.

389. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 14:26:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tnfor16ganf5ca@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.

Don't worry about it, and just get your ticket. There is so much to do in Ham Radio you don't have to worry about having any problems with operators on the air. The ones who do cause real problems are few in number and hopefully that number is getting smaller.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

--
"People have a societal and ethical responsibility to be considerate of the rights of your fellow citizen. Those that choose to ignore and dismiss this out of hand are, at the very least, social deviates and misfits, who are morally bankrupt, and at the most, criminal. "

Dave Hall

390. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 17:19:56 UTC
From: goofy <dbeard@aoc.nrao.edu>
Message-ID: <9l928o$g3b$1@chaos.aoc.nrao.edu>
Source record: 16684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I find it interesting that "On the air" bad people are ignored and they just go away.
But when it's "On the computer" bad people are NOT ignored and they DON'T go away.

D.J.
KC0KZE

391. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 18:50:52 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <9u7gntonj123to3m6hu2ghot8pdf55cc0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 16685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 14:30:11 -0500, "Paul Friedman"
<paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:

>I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
>I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
>wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
>I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
>tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
>having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
>to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
>I thought I was going to need).
>
>Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
>I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
>inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
>juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
>want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
>Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
>certainly hope not.
>
>Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
>concern.

TROLL RATING: ***1/2

CRITIQUE: The initial apology is a good technique; it hooks a number of
well-meaning repliers. Likewise the comment about being dyslexic
garners the sympathy vote. Also, placing the already-licensed hams on
the defensive but allowing the possibility of redemption is a
time-proven method for triggering responses. The bandwidth apology at
the end is also good technique.

OVERALL: A better than average troll, clearly the work of an
experienced troller. We look forward to your next work.

Bill, W7TI

392. I Take Up Where Twistedhed Leaves Off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 19:52:29 UTC
From: Roger-Beep@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1eWd7.3$2u.162@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16686

You want to start something Leland? I post in rec.radio.cb. You know what I say to people who post FCC rules about amplifiers and freebanding? "I don't care"! HAHAHAHAHA! That's right. And I am going to make it my personal mission to spread disregard for FCC laws in the CB newsgroup. Howdya like THEM apples, Leland?

Check out my files at http://www.angelfire.com/dc/

Roger
Brooklyn, NY
USA

393. Pirates Are GOOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 19:55:17 UTC
From: Roger-Beep@webtv.net
Message-ID: <FgWd7.5$2u.419@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16689

In article <20010812205712.17760.00002159@nso-fm.aol.com>, N2EY says...
>
>In article <9l3ld2$b5t$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
><w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:
>
>>No I do not think 'State's rights' should include owning people.
>
>Then we agree!
>
>That issue was one of the ones that caused some states to try to secede. Not
>the only issue, but a big one. They knew the feds would eventually try to
>change the Constitution so that slavery would be totally banned.

What the HELL is wrong with Pirate Rado? The FCC has too much power. I am on a campaign to promote civil disobedience on CB (freebanding, power, etc) and when it comes to laws I don't like I say "I don't care".

http://www.angelfire.com/dc/

Roger
Brooklyn, NY
USA

394. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 19:55:47 UTC
From: Ed Cregger <nm2k@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tngc0bol0thr69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think that has to do with the ease in which we can change the frequency on the radio and make the bad people disappear. It can be done on the newsgroups too, but some of the culprits are intelligent enough (?) to use aliases to get their points across. The other side of the coin is the lack of operating savvy many computers uses posess. Lots still do not know how to use their kill file function. Now, where did I put that spellcheckers?

Ed Cregger, NM2K
nm2k@arrl.net

"goofy" <dbeard@aoc.nrao.edu> wrote in message news:9l928o$g3b$1@chaos.aoc.nrao.edu...
> I find it interesting that "On the air" bad people are ignored and they just
> go away.
> But when it's "On the computer" bad people are NOT ignored and they DON'T go
> away.
>
> D.J.
> KC0KZE
>
>

395. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 19:56:41 UTC
From: Roger-Beep@webtv.net
Message-ID: <ZhWd7.7$2u.462@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3B76F801.71AAFF96@mailcity.com>, GH says...
>
>Don't let what you read on the newsgroups form your opinion about ham
>radio. Most of the foul mouth name callers here used to do it on the air
>until the FCC started tracking them down and calling them in for
>retesting and even revoking licenses. Now they can't vent their rantings
>on the air so they do it here.

They are nuts. Come to rec.radio.cb. We are looser and don't minf if you are
illegal or not!

http://www.angelfire.com/dc/

Roger
Brooklyn, NY
USA

396. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 19:57:30 UTC
From: Ed Cregger <nm2k@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tngc3j3jk6g7ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 16688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One could argue that any post that attracts follow-up posts
by other hams is a "troll". I fail to see where an
intentional effort to generate dialogue denigrates the
original poster's effort.

Ed Cregger, NM2K
nm2k@arrl.net

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:9u7gntonj123to3m6hu2ghot8pdf55cc0d@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 14:30:11 -0500, "Paul Friedman"
> <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:
>
> >I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so
apologies in advance.
> >I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to
do something I have
> >wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the
air waves.
> >
> >I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing
requirements. The written
> >tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem
for me -- I am
> >having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll
eventually be able
> >to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier
goal then the 13 wpm
> >I thought I was going to need).
> >
> >Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> >I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur...
newsgroups. I see an
> >inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling,
and (pardon me)
> >juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a
culture in which I
> >want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional
involvement.
> >
> >Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the
newsgroups? I
> >certainly hope not.

> >
> >Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need
to express my
> >concern.
>
> _____
>
> TROLL RATING: ***1/2
>
> CRITIQUE: The initial apology is a good technique; it
hooks a number of
> well-meaning repliers. Likewise the comment about being
dyslexic
> garners the sympathy vote. Also, placing the
already-licensed hams on
> the defensive but allowing the possibility of redemption
is a
> time-proven method for triggering responses. The
bandwidth apology at
> the end is also good technique.
>
> OVERALL: A better than average troll, clearly the work of
an
> experienced troller. We look forward to your next work.
>
> Bill, W7TI

397. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 20:00:55 UTC
From: Chaos <chaos@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <jjWd7.606$Aj1.383020@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 16691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Paul,

I don't think you will find the type of behavior that you have observed on the NG's, on the Ham bands. It's been my observation that the offensive trolls and potty-mouthed numbskulls that hang-out in these NG's rarely - if ever - get on the air...TG

Get your ticket and enjoy... :-)

Chaos

Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman
>
>

398. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 20:58:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108131258.2fa1468b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16692

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B777A10.C676BCCE@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart writes:
> > >
> > > (snip)
> >
> > Fort Sumter was federal property.
> > When the Confederates attacked it,
> > the Union defenders had no choice
> > but to fight back, because they
> > had sworn to uphold the Union.
>
>
> Well, at least that was the Union's position in the matter. Of
> course, many in the South didn't think the federal government had any
> right to federal property (specially when that property might be used
> by the government to control the entrance to the major harbor of a state).

Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
kind of extreme, don't you think?
>
> > > When the civil war started, nobody
> > > had tried to tell the South that
> > > they couldn't have slaves.
> >
> > Sure they had. The number of free
> > states was groing much faster than
> > the number of slave states, as was
> > the population in those states. The
> > abolitionist movement was growing
> > and the Underground Railroad was
> > becoming more and more successful
> > at freeing slaves.
>
> When I said that nobody had tried to tell the South that they
> couldn't have slaves, I was referring to those with immediate power to
> back it up (the government, not members of a political movement).

Ahh - very true. There hadn't been any formal move, like a
constitutional amendment.
>
> > It was clear to anyone that sooner
> > or later the abolitionist movement

> > would have enough political clout
> > to get a Constitutional amendment
> > ending slavery. All it would take
> > is 2/3 of the states to ratify such
> > an amendment, and the number of states
> > that would ratify kept on growing.
>
> Better go back and check the numbers, Jim. Without agreement of the
> slave states, it would have been impossible at the time to get a 2/3
> majority to pass an amendment ending slavery.

In 1861, yes. If all the slave states voted as a block. But the trend
was clear - the slave states' clout was slowly but surely lessening.
The time to leave was BEFORE it was all gone.

> And, indeed, the 13th
> Amendment was passed in both houses of Congress without the votes of
> those confederate slave states (they later ratified the 13th
> Amendment, but never voted on it in Congress).

Kinda like what happened when the Soviets walked out of the UN at the
beginning of the Korean conflict.
>
> > The writing was on the wall, so
> > the secessionists wanted to leave
> > the room.
>
> Again, slavery was not the issue behind the secession.

For many folks, it was.

> That should
> be obvious since the slave states would have easily been able to
> defeat any effort to abolish slavery had they remained in the Union.
>
In 1861, yes. But eventually it would have been a done deal.
>
> > Did the EP actually free any
> > slaves in reality?
>
> In a round about way, yes. It probably did cause more slaves to flee
> to the north than might have done so without the emancipation
> proclamation.

Real numbers are probably impossible to get. I doubt many slaves even
knew about it, and the idea of trying to flee north when they might
encounter army units of either side must have been rather daunting.
Fleeing in peacetime required enormous courage. Fleeing in wartime
could be suicide.

>And, or course, it did also set the stage for everything
> that followed concerning slavery.

It changed the focus of the conflict.
>
> > True - so while the war may not have
> > started with the goal of ending slavery,
> > it had that effect.
>
> Exactly. From our perspective today, that can be considered one of
> the more positive end aspects of the war, even if it wasn't the intent
> at the beginning of it all.

Agreed. But it took 620,000 lives and untold damage to reach a
conclusion. The evil of slavery bred the evil of war.

73 de Jim, N2EY

399. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 21:10:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813171026.04444.00000537@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 16694

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/13/01 9:38 AM Eastern Daylight Time

> I smoked marijuana for 25
>years without ill effects.

Yeah...Right!

>> Sure it is. You are consuming a controlled substance contrary to federal
>> law.

>It's a bad law like the laws against having oral sex with one's spouse.

Simple solution...get rid of the pot and don't have oral sex with YOUR
spouse!

>Not to my health, Steve.

Denial...the first sign.

>> Regardless of how it came to be "illegal" as opposed to other substances
>> that we consume "legally", it IS, nonetheless, harmful.

>Not true, Steve, I have suffered absolutely no harmful effects. Neither
>has anyone else that I know personally.

Neither do most cigarette smokers I care for...right up until we intubate
them and send them to ICU...Anything in the world EXCEPT cigarettes made them
that way.

Again...."Yeah...Right".

>> Growing, consuming and otherwise having marijuana is a crime, ergo it is
>> NOT "victimless".

>I'm sorry to see you so enamored with bad laws.

YOU consider it a bad law, because YOU choose to break it. Pedophiles
consider "No Sex Before 18" to be a "bad law" too. Let's go ahead and lower
the age of consent to...say...Six?

>What we are
>discussing are the rights of mentally healthy adult citizens who
>supposedly own their lives.

Oh...we ARE...?!?! Then because a person is 30 but suffers from
developmental delays of say an eighth grader (about 14 years old) that "adult"
is no longer allowed to make "adult" decisions?

Or can we now assume that an eigth grader who can effectively function at the level of a college sophmore can now determine that he or she can "consent" to adult activities...?!?!

> Face it, Steve,
>there are simply some bad laws that shouldn't exist.

No...YOU face it, Cecil. A majority of YOUR peers and contemporaries, TODAYS adults, have decided that liberal access to marijuana is NOT a good thing.

YOU consider it to be a "bad law" because you are acting in your OWN behalf, NOT that of the community.

>If you were black
>in 1850, would you have been as enamored with bad laws as you are today?

We are discussing TODAY, Cecil. Not 151 years ago.

>Sure, you
>> may be fine today, but eventually YOUR habit will negatively impact people
>who
>> never chose to consume pot.

>Egads Steve, that's an out and out obfuscation.

Nope. It's a clinically proven fact. Unless you care to somehow accuse the AMA of creating those studies simply to blindside your rant in RRAP...?!?!

>Pot is less harmful than
>booze or tobacco. My former pot habits had no negative effects on me or
>anyone else and it had a lot of positive effects.

Yeah...it kept you too stoned to pay attention to the issues in the voting booth while the rest of us (try) to keep it off the streets as best as we can.

>> Oh...You mean like how legalized gambling put an end to underworld
>> gambling...Yeah...Right.

>It certainly diminished it.

And therein lies the basis of MY argument. Present pot laws will keep this crap as far from the hands of many who should NOT have it in the first place.

>Why pay an illegal bookie when you can just
>walk into a casino?

At least the addicted gambler has to go out of thier way to do it or has to pay a premium for it. And far less violence is attached to it.

Again, my point is made and you substantiated it...Thanks.

>If you are holding your breath for the world to
>be perfect, you are dumb as a stump.

Back at ya, Big Boy. That's exactly what I have been telling you. You can't write every law to cover every situation or scenario.

>If you are ignorant of the enabling effect that your blaming drugs
>for the actions of people has, I feel sorry for you.

And if you think I am blaming the "drugs", then you have demonstrated for me and all herein to see that pot HAS affected your mind.

Thanks again, Cecil.

>People like
>you are the reason that the drug users who commit crimes against
>victims are allowed to walk away with only a slap on the wrist.

Nope..."Pepole like (me)" would execute pot smokers by hanging with a hemp rope or lethal injection of the poison of choice for others. One 'conviction" would warrant a warning. The second a date with Timmy McV.

>When people like you stop blaming the drugs and start punishing
>the people for their actual victim-based crimes, the drug problem
>will shrink.

When there are no drugs, people won't have thier behaviour altered.

>Your attitude that drugs make people crazy allows
>criminals to get off scott free with insanity pleas.

See my statement above. It's not MY opinion they should go "scot free". But then I also know that not all laws can be made 100% encompassing.

And when I have the opportunity to participate in the law-making process, I do. That's becuase I am not too stoned to know what's going on around me.

Like any other democratic process, I may not get what I want...but I participated.

>This is laughable. The drug laws are the *CAUSE* of the drug problem.

SCENARIO: Little Jimmy is sitting on the steps of the grade school. Black Bart walks up to him and says "Here Jimmy, take a hit of this...it will make you feel reeeeal good".

Little Jimmy starts to get up an walk away... "NO WAY, BUSTER!...My Mom and Dad told me that drugs are bad for me and not to do it."

Black Bart then whips out a copy of Texas state code showing where pot, among others, is harmful and unlawful. "But look here, Jimmy...See..It's a law...so it's OK to do it! EVERYONE knows that DRUG LAWS make people do it!"

Little Jimmy stops, looks at the book and says "Well in THAT case, gimme a toke! Geez, if I had known it was a LAW, I would have been smoking it in FOURTH grade!"

Take another toke, Cecil, and TRY to convince me that DRUG LAWS are the cause of the DRUG PROLEM.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Waht a card Cecil...What a load of LAUGHS!

>If you are ever expecting
>the world to be perfect, I feel sorry for you.

Feel sorry for yourself, Cecil. You are the one trying to convince others that we should allow drug use in order to vindicate your decison to break the law.

>> If you carry it across the state line, yes.

>If SKS's are evil, they should not be allowed anywhere. If they are
>not evil, they should be allowed everywhere. Your willy-nilly attitude
>toward the law is going to get all of us in trouble.

The people of the State of California have decided that they want to keep certain kinds of firearms out of their state. This comes from a three decades long probelm of firearms abuse in that state.

If you don't like the laws in California, move to California, establish residence there, and then start to change the laws...Don't whine about it.

Texans have decided that they can live with these types of weapons. Texas DOES deal with it's criminal abusers aggressively. Texas law works well for Texans. It does NOT work for Californians.

That's what "States Rights" is all about.

If you want a "One Government Rules All" system, there are palces in the world that will be glad to accomodate you. The United States is NOT one of them.

>You seem to be the one with memory problems. The topic was not resolved
>in your favor.

There is NO resolution, Cecil. You and others like you still insist that breaking the law on a case-by-case basis is OK as long as you perceive that no one except you is "harmed". This is usually the attitude of MOST intoxicated drivers right up to the moment that they cross the center line and kill someone.

People like you will continue to break the law to the detriment of others.

People like me will be there to try and clean up after you. I imagine it's always been that way, and always will be.

>> The majority of the electorate still supports keeping pot off the streets
>> to the greatest degree possible.

>The majority of the electorate used to support slavery. Did that make
>it right? Are all laws good laws?

And those laws changed as they were deemed right to do so. Are those laws PRESENTLY in force? No.

>It does deter illegal trade, it just doesn't stop it.

If it deters it long enough to keep it out of the hands of my kids, then they worked.

>The profit
>potential of illegal drugs is the *CAUSE* of the drug problems.

No, the problem is the DEMAND for drugs. I don't want those drugs on the street. I don't want them in the bloodstream of the guy who drives my kids schoolbus or is driving that on-coming dump truck. I don't want the pilot of my airline flight taking a snort before clearing the gate, and I don't want to tell another persons family that thier family member is dead while the family of the driver that killed them is trying to line up a lawyer.

>The
>US gives criminals incentive to import illegal drugs. Take away the
>incentive and the drug problems will diminish just as it did with
>alcohol after prohibition.

Prevent the development of dependence on drugs and the demand will not be there. Eliminate the demand, and the profit incentive will not be there.

No Drugs=No Problem.

Period.

Steve

400. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 21:11:38 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B78428A.D0BB8959@uci.edu>
Source record: 16693

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Then why did so many northerners have slaves at the beginning of the
> > > civil war?
> >
> > Was that before, or after the Emancipation Proclamation?
>
> Exactly my point. If I remember right, the Emancipation Proclamation
> only freed the slaves in the rebel states.

"That on the 1st day of January, A.D. 1863, all persons held as slaves
within any State or designated part of a State the
people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United States
shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free;"

That sentence (and the Proclamation) does two things: it frees the
slaves in every state, and it also declares that states in which slaves
are held to be in rebellion. There are some history re-writers on the
net who have put a different slant on it however.

> When were the Northern slaves
> freed?

All slaves were freed at the same time. No one was exempted in the
proclamation.

> If the Civil War was about slavery, don't you think Lincoln would
> have freed the northern slaves at the *beginning* of the war instead of
> years later?

What year are you referring to, exactly? Just so we both know.

> If the Civil War was fought to end slavery, why did General
> Grant still have slaves in the North for years after the start of the war?

Because he was a scum bag? I don't know. How do you know that he had
slaves? Because some neo-nazi KKK web site says he did?

> > You already have more (freedom) than we do in California.

> Serves you right for living on the left coast.

It hasn't always been on the "left". It used to be just fine before we
got every east coast liberal and his sister moving in out here.

401. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 21:33:07 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B784793.E022136B@uci.edu>
Source record: 16696

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > You guys lost. Get over it. sheesh
>
> So the victors get to rewrite history?

The history is indeed being re-written, but it is not the "victors" who are doing it. It's one thing to express the Southern States viewpoint of the war. It's quite another mischaracterize the motives of the President of the United States.

> Just like the Russian communists did?

Give a rest. jeez. Did you ever work for Charles Kane by any chance?

> BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the Southern states.

That is a legally and factually inaccurate statement.

402. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 21:37:56 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7848B4.5EB0E47D@uci.edu>
Source record: 16695

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Was too. ;-)
>
> Was not! :-)
>
> > You guys lost. Get over it. sheesh
>
> In 1965, my father had a license plate frame on his car that said,
> "Hell No, I'm Not Forgetting." I could not say it any better today.

I said "Get over it". I didn't say forget about it. Those who ignore
(or forget about) history are doomed to repeat it. I think the South
should learn from their mistakes. It was a mistake, was it not, Dwight?
;-)

403. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:15:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B785F78.E4CA3036@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16697

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> YOU consider it a bad law, because YOU choose to break it. Pedophiles
> consider "No Sex Before 18" to be a "bad law" too. Let's go ahead and lower
> the age of consent to...say...Six?

When are you going to alleviate your ignorance of victim-based crime
VS victimless crime? Oral sex between consenting adults is a victimless
crime. Pedophile crime clearly has a victim. Your straw man arguments
are extremely transparent.

> Then because a person is 30 but suffers from
> developmental delays of say an eighth grader (about 14 years old) that "adult"
> is no longer allowed to make "adult" decisions?

That's when a family member needs to step in and assume power of
attorney. Our discussion is not about mentally handicapped people
or children, Steve. Our discussion is about mentally healthy adults
who supposedly own, manage, and take responsibility for their own
actions and lives.

> No...YOU face it, Cecil. A majority of YOUR peers and contemporaries,
> TODAYS adults, have decided that liberal access to marijuana is NOT a good
> thing.

Probably not true in California, Steve. It's just the feds violating
our constitutional rights yet once again.

> YOU consider it to be a "bad law" because you are acting in your OWN
> behalf, NOT that of the community.

Why should I be punished for something I didn't do?

> >If you were black
> >in 1850, would you have been as enamored with bad laws as you are today?
>
> We are discussing TODAY, Cecil. Not 151 years ago.

So there were bad laws 151 years ago but there are no bad laws today?
BWWWWWWHHHHHAHHAHHHAHAHHHHHAHAHHAHHAHHHAHAHHAHAAA
Talk about delusional.

> It's a clinically proven fact.

BS, Steve, nobody is allowed to perform tests on class 1 drugs. The mayor
of New York tried to publish a study showing the ill effects of marijuana.
He failed because there were few ill effects.

> And therein lies the basis of MY argument. Present pot laws will keep
> this crap as far from the hands of many who should NOT have it in the first
> place.

BS, Steve. The present pot laws have guaranteed the dealers enough profit
to be able to make it available to every man, woman, and child. What planet
do you live on, man? Pot is available in virtually every public school in
the US because people like YOU guarantee the pushers a lucrative profit.
People with attitudes like yours create and encourage drug pushers. Ever
wonder why the drug pushers are not pushing cigarettes? Make tobacco
illegal and see what happens - $2 cigarettes available to grammar school
kids in no time at all.

> Nope..."Pepole like (me)" would execute pot smokers by hanging with a hemp
> rope or lethal injection of the poison of choice for others. One 'conviction"
> would warrant a warning. The second a date with Timmy McV.

Thanks for sharing your compassion with us, Steve. You are obviously a
reincarnation of one the Spanish Inquisition judges. I thought nurses
were supposed to help people, not execute them for smoking a God-given
weed.

I'm in the process of solving the problem. I'm doing some gene splicing
to transfer the THC gene from pot to wild Texas grape vines. You think
that's not going to happen sooner or later?

> When there are no drugs, people won't have thier behaviour altered.

When you succeed in hanging the entire population, there will be no
more crime.

> SCENARIO: Little Jimmy is sitting on the steps of the grade school.
> Black Bart walks up to him and says "Here Jimmy, take a hit of this...it will
> make you feel reeeeal good".

The reason that Black Bart is approaching Little Jimmy is because people
like you encourage and guarantee BB a huge profit for little risk. You might
as well be paying BB an incentive to sell drugs to Little Jimmy. It amounts
to the same thing in the long run.

> People like you will continue to break the law to the detriment of others.

People like you who reward drug pushers with the incentive of lucrative
profits are the real source of the detriment to others. I, OTOH, only wish
to grow some God-given marijuana in my private garden for my own private use.

> And those laws changed as they were deemed right to do so. Are those laws
> PRESENTLY in force? No.

So there were bad laws in the past but all laws today are good laws.
Sure they are, Steve. (Somebody get the net.) You were once ignorant
but now you know everything, eh, Steve?

> Prevent the development of dependence on drugs and the demand will not be
> there. Eliminate the demand, and the profit incentive will not be there.

Dream on, oh delusional one. Why don't you post to the newsgroups on the planet that you live on? (It ain't this one.)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

404. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:42:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7865EC.421AFD5E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16698

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> ... can you provide any evidence whatsoever to
> support this claim? Beyond the number of people jailed for drug
> related offenses, can you provide any evidence that the drug laws
> cause more problems than they solve?

Of course. The drug laws guarantee drug pushers a lucrative tax-free profit. The feds might as well be paying the drug pushers an incentive bonus to perform their evil deeds. Take away the extreme profits, as was done with alcohol, and the problems, while not going away, will definitely be more manageable.

> Again, can you provide any evidence that the elimination of the drug
> laws will reduce the amount of drugs used? Again, I've provided
> evidence (prohibition) in previous discussions which suggests just the opposite.

Prohibition was proven to be the greater of two evils. Whatever problems arise, *FREEDOM* is always the best choice. The country would simply be better off if some drugs were decriminalized. I predict marijuana will be decriminalized by 2025.

> Sorry, Cecil, your recreational use of drugs is not equal to my
> vehicle. A person can use a vehicle responsibly, but I have yet to see
> an example of responsible illegal drug use.

What planet do you live on, Dwight. Marijuana users are like alcohol users. Probably 98% of them do so responsibly. Why punish the 98% for the transgressions of the 2%. Why not punish the 2%? FYI, I am an example of a responsible illegal drug user. So are most of my friends in CA and AZ. At least 50% of the people I knew in CA and AZ used marijuana.

One time, I went to a rock concert at the Cow Palace in SF. We went early and lined up on the sidewalk. With SF police patrolling up and down the sidewalk, we lit up and passed joints around in broad day-light. The lady I was dating at the time also dated a San Jose policeman. She spent many a night sitting around smoking marijuana with lots of members of the San Jose police force. Her policeman friend furnished her with marijuana from seized stuff. The drug laws are a joke.

> While your statement is true for alcohol users (and prescription
> drug users, gun owners, and shushi eaters), it is not true of illegal
> drug users.

That's only a Catch-22 technicality, Dwight, and I think you realize that. Real crime involves a victim. There is no difference in reality

between a few people getting together to have a drink and a few people getting together and smoking a joint. Having marijuana illegal and alcohol legal is a Catch-22. It is a man-made situation divorced from reality.

> That is true, you cannot legislate rationality. But you can
> legislate behavior, which is exactly what the drug laws are designed
> to do.

Don't look now, but like prohibition, they have failed miserably. In fact, they have guaranteed obscene profits to drug pushers as an incentive to continue their actions in the public schools. When a worker is a success at a job, he often receives a bonus. The feds are giving the drug pushers a tax-free bonus for their success in pushing drugs on our children. Take away the profit motive and the problem will become manageable, just like alcohol.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

405. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:46:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7866EE.3521D396@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16699

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Don't get silly, Cecil. Your life obviously belongs to you, but your
> illegal behavior in this society is a matter for all (especially when
> it has the potential to negatively effect any one of us). Using your
> argument, what Hitler, Stalin, or Mao, did would be none of your
> business either (their lives belonged to them also).

You obviously don't understand Hitler's victims VS victimless crime,
Dwight. And I am completely incapable of effecting (sic) you. Your
father and mother effected you. I had nothing to do with it unless
they were part of that threesome in Shreveport.

As long as there exist victimless crimes, our lives do NOT belong
to us. Be careful what you wish for.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

406. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:48:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B786767.452C04DB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16601

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Illegal drug use is in itself
> a crime, while driving a vehicle is not.

I think you realize that is a Catch-22 problem unrelated to reality.

Do you avoid oral sex with your wife on a weekend visit to New Orleans
just because it is illegal in Louisiana?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

407. Re: I Take Up Where Twistedhed Leaves Off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:50:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <eJZd7.34923$7G.326849@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 16700

<Roger-Beep@webtv.net> wrote in message news:1eWd7.3$2u.162@www.newsranger.com...
>
> You want to start something Leland? I post in rec.radio.cb. You know what I say
> to people who post FCC rules about amplifiers and freebanding? "I don't care"!
> HAHAHAHAHA! That's right. And I am going to make it my personal mission to
> spread disregard for FCC laws in the CB newsgroup. Howdya like THEM apples,
> Leland?

Hey, you can't even forge a post from Roger very well either.

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

408. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:50:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7867DA.C49426D7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16602

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I said "Get over it". I didn't say forget about it. Those who ignore
> (or forget about) history are doomed to repeat it. I think the South
> should learn from their mistakes. It was a mistake, was it not, Dwight?

Of course it was NOT a mistake. It was obviously God's will.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

409. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:55:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7868F5.EB5E1A04@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16603

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the Southern states.
>
> That is a legally and factually inaccurate statement.

"all persons held as slaves within any state or designated part of a
state the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United
States, shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free."

Now what were you saying, Jim? The Emancipation Proclamation only
applied to the states "in rebellion".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

410. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-13 23:59:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7869E5.721BB063@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16604

N2EY wrote:
> Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
> kind of extreme, don't you think?

The feds were no longer the feds, Jim. What is it about The ConFEDeracy
that you don't understand? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

411. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:00:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B786A2A.3B57E603@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16605

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > True - so while the war may not have
> > started with the goal of ending slavery,
> > it had that effect.
>
> Exactly. From our perspective today, that can be considered one of
> the more positive end aspects of the war, even if it wasn't the intent
> at the beginning of it all.

Hind sight is 20-20. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

412. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:07:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813200700.26240.00000607@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 16606

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

> Do try harder to come up with something new, guy. The endless
>repetition of this simplistic garbage clearly suggests that you lack
>the intelligence to form new, or more complex, thoughts and concepts.

Actually, I have heard a few of those same techniques used by some of the
11-meter channel jammers. A quick look at rr.cb shows a few who post the same
message sometimes hundreds of times. I think I am beginning to understand
where this guy is coming from and what it really means...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

413. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:32:53 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7871B5.D5D21239@uci.edu>
Source record: 16611

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the Southern states.
> >
> > That is a legally and factually inaccurate statement.
>
> "all persons held as slaves within any state or designated part of a
> state the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United
> States, shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free."
>
> Now what were you saying, Jim? The Emancipation Proclamation only
> applied to the states "in rebellion".

So you honestly think that Abraham Lincoln wanted slavery abolished only in the rebellion states? Only by taking poetic license can one interpret what he said to mean that.

414. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:34:02 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7871FA.CC46A620@uci.edu>
Source record: 16610

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I said "Get over it". I didn't say forget about it. Those who ignore
> > (or forget about) history are doomed to repeat it. I think the South
> > should learn from their mistakes. It was a mistake, was it not, Dwight?

> Of course it was NOT a mistake. It was obviously God's will.

God's will is to let ANYTHING be done, Cecil, whether it's a mistake or
not. Nice try.

415. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:36:20 UTC
From: The Chosen One <chose@me.com>
Message-ID: <8o_d7.100655$EP6.25639733@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16607

Cecil, You can go to almost any rock concert and the air will smell like fresh burnt hemp, do you think the police know about this? You bet they do , Could they bust people there? they sure could but then why don't they? because these people aren't hurting anyone, they are less apt to hurt someone than a drunk driver. I smoked marijuana in college but didn't inhale.

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message >
> One time, I went to a rock concert at the Cow Palace in SF. We went
> early and lined up on the sidewalk. With SF police patrolling up and
> down the sidewalk, we lit up and passed joints around in broad day-
> light. The lady I was dating at the time also dated a San Jose
> policeman. She spent many a night sitting around smoking marijuana
> with lots of members of the San Jose police force. Her policeman
> friend furnished her with marijuana from seized stuff. The drug
> laws are a joke.

416. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:37:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010813203726.21495.00005951@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 16608

In article <20010812204857.20158.00004157@ng-ca1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> Like radios, laws exist that WILL revoke your PRIVILEDGES if you use your
>vehicle for the commission of a crime...just like your AMATEUR license can be
>revoked for other radio related infractions.

Steve:

Is a "privil-edge" anything like a round edge, or a square edge?
Would you please privilege us with an explanation?

73 de Larry, K3LT
Enjoying the privileges gained through knowledge

417. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:37:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010813203728.21495.00005952@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 16609

In article <_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net>, "Paul Friedman"
<paulfriedman@uswest.net> writes:

>I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
>tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
>having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
>to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm

Paul:

What makes you think you have a dyslexic mind -- or that dyslexia,
in itself, is something that would be a barrier to learning the Morse
code? To me, the above just looks like more of the same old same
old -- people who are basically lazy, getting their excuses lined up
for when they will (in their own mind) ultimately fail to learn the code.

>(certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
>I thought I was going to need).

Even if the minimum requirement were increased to 20 WPM, instead
of being reduced to 5, you could still have done it. You just don't know
this because you have never tried. Trust me, I was the same way…I am
speaking from experience!

>Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
>I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
>inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
>juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
>want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
>Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
>certainly hope not.

Generally, the answer to your question is "No." In actual, real-life,
face-to-face dealings with real radio amateurs, including those who
participate in this newsgroup, you will not find this level of frank,
honest, expression of personal opinion. Morse code lovers and
Morse code haters don't gang up on each other in fistfights at
hamfests. There is a certain amount of untoward behavior that you
will encounter on-the-air, but it is nothing new, and predates the
code vs. no-code debate by decades. One thing you will find,
however, is the general effect of the recent, severe "dumbing-
down" of ham radio licensing requirements -- and that is so-called
hams who don't have a high appreciation for the privileges they
hold. Therefore, you will probably experience more bad acts

on-the-air (especially HF) then at any other time in ham radio history.

Remember where you are when you see what you see or hear what you hear. This is Usenet, not ham radio. The two are not the same. Moreover, in spite of the overbearing opinions of a few self-appointed thought police, licensed hams are not *required* -- either legally or morally, to behave the same way in a newsgroup as they must on the air. Here, in the newsgroup, we are a lot more free to "speak our mind" and generally do so, as a perfectly valid, normal, and even healthy way of "letting off steam" as it were, so that perhaps we are less likely to tolerate such behavior on-the-air, much less practice it ourselves. I feel that in this way, Usenet serves a very important function, especially to us hams. Just remember that the two are not the same. Ham radio requires us to be on our best behavior on-the-air at all times. Here in the newsgroups, we can let our hair down, if we have any!

>Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my >concern.

It is a very valid concern, one which was brought about by the desire of lazy, unmotivated people to gain full ham privileges without the need to make the effort required to learn a useful communications skill. Just remember one thing which will always be true: Ham radio is what ham radio operators make of it, and ham radio operators are what they make of themselves.

73 de Larry, K3LT

418. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:38:50 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B78731A.64B25944@uci.edu>
Source record: 16612

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I thought you would have said you were only opposed to other people
> > being mean.

> If it weren't for people at the mean, I wouldn't know I was superior. :-)

You're trying to use a noun as an adjective. Average people do that.

Prost,

Jim

419. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 00:43:12 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B787420.8441304@uci.edu>
Source record: 16613

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
> > kind of extreme, don't you think?
>
> The feds were no longer the feds, Jim. What is it about The ConFEDeracy
> that you don't understand? :-)

What I can't understand is why they ever thought they would be better
off not not living in the United States.

420. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 01:43:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813214356.05130.00002180@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 16614

In article <3B77D839.BD1D14DF@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>If I don't
>think I am a victim, I'm not one.

Does that logic apply to everyone, or just you, Cecil?

> I have a perfect right to live
>my life as I choose as long as I do not initiate force or threat
>of force against another human being.

What if you harm another human being by your actions?

>I smoked marijuana for 25 years without ill effects.

How do you know? Do you have an identical twin who did everyhting else you did
but did not smoke pot? Scientific studies require a control group.

>The great majority of marijuana smokers
>have no ill effects.

Says who? Where are the scientific studies that support that statement?

> No victim here.
>
One of the classic signs of substance dependency and abuse is denial of any
negative effects.

>> Sure it is. You are consuming a controlled substance contrary to federal
>> law.
>
>It's a bad law like the laws against having oral sex with one's spouse.

There is no federal law against having oral sex with one's spouse.
>
>> That controlled substance has been medically proven to be detrimental to
>> your health.
>
>Not to my health, Steve.

How do you know?

> I have suffered absolutely no ill effects.

How do you know?

> It
>actually works better for me than any prescription anti-depressant.

Have you tried EVERY prescription anti-depressant on the market, properly
prescribed by a competent physician?
>
>> Regardless of how it came to be "illegal" as opposed to other substances
>> that we consume "legally", it IS, nonetheless, harmful.
>
>Not true, Steve, I have suffered absolutely no harmful effects. Neither
>has anyone else that I know personally.

How do you know? The ill effects may not have become apparent yet.
>
>> >The children in child pornography are victims.
>>
>> Are they? How do you determine this?
>
>Because they are not adults, they are victims by definition. Children
>simply are not allowed to make their own decisions.

Nonsense! "Children" under the age of 18 can get driver's (and amateur radio)
licenses, have jobs, do all sorts of things. In many states they can get
married. Usually, but not always, parental consent is required for these
things. In many states a "child" can be declared an "emancipated minor" by the
courts, and no parental consent is required.

>What we are
>discussing are the rights of mentally healthy adult citizens who
>supposedly own their lives.

The problem is that you only focus on the "rights" and not the
responsibilities.

>> The effects of pot are cumulative. You cannot smoke pot today and it
>not
>> create a deficit in your ability to competently function tomorrow....Sure,
>you
>> may be fine today, but eventually YOUR habit will negatively impact people
>who
>> never chose to consume pot.
>
>Egads Steve, that's an out and out obfuscation.

By whom?

> Pot is less harmful than booze or tobacco.

How do you know?

>My former pot habits had no negative effects on me or
>anyone else and it had a lot of positive effects.

Then why do the medical folks say differently?
>
>> >Yes, it does. It would mean the end of their livelihoods which the
>> >present drug laws graciously provide for them.

>>
>> Oh...You mean like how legalized gambling put an end to underworld
>> gambling...Yeah...Right.
>
>It certainly diminished it. Why pay an illegal bookie when you can just
>walk into a casino?

Better odds. Greater convenience. No taxes on winnings.

>If you are holding your breath for the world to
>be perfect, you are dumb as a stump. (Note if you are not holding
>your breath, that conditional statement is still perfectly true. :-)

Sounds like an excuse not to do anything.
>
>> >Laws against drugs are not going to change that.
>> >In fact, YOU are enabling the criminals to dodge responsibility for
>> >their crimes by blaming the drugs instead of the perps.
>>
>> Yeah...Right. You ahve once again ben selectively ignoring/editing
>> comments to fit the rant.
>
>If you are ignorant of the enabling effect that your blaming drugs
>for the actions of people has, I feel sorry for you. People like
>you are the reason that the drug users who commit crimes against
>victims are allowed to walk away with only a slap on the wrist.

Huh?

>When people like you stop blaming the drugs and start punishing
>the people for their actual victim-based crimes, the drug problem
>will shrink.

Uh huh.

>Your attitude that drugs make people crazy allows
>criminals to get off scott free with insanity pleas.

You might try looking up how many people get off on the insanity plea. It's
much less than 1%. Often they wind up instituionalized for a very long time.
>
>> They discourage more than they facilitate.
>
>This is laughable. The drug laws are the *CAUSE* of the drug problem.

No, the drugs and the people using them are the cause of the drug problem.

>We had the same problem with booze during prohibition. We don't have
>those magnitudes of problems any more. We do not learn from our mistakes.

The problem with prohibition was that a huge percentage of the mentally healthy
adult population wanted to get blotto, and was willing to break the law to do
so. The same is not true with drugs today.
>

>> You mean like the cigarettes and alcohol (both legal in the United States)
>> impounded by the US Coast Guard and Customs Service every year?
>
>That's a minor problem compared to prohibition. If you are ever expecting
>the world to be perfect, I feel sorry for you.

Sounds like you're saying that if we can't wipe out a crime perfectly, it
should be legalized.
>
>> The majority of the electorate still supports keeping pot off the streets
>> to the greatest degree possible.
>
>The majority of the electorate used to support slavery.

When?

>Did that make
>it right?

Nope.

>Are all laws good laws?

Nope.
>
>> Then why doesn't the legal status of alcohol and tobacco deter thier
>> illegal trade?
>
>It does deter illegal trade, it just doesn't stop it. The present illegal
>trade in alcohol is magnitudes less of a problem than it was during
>prohibition because there is less profit to be made today. The profit
>potential of illegal drugs is the *CAUSE* of the drug problems. The
>US gives criminals incentive to import illegal drugs. Take away the
>incentive and the drug problems will diminish just as it did with
>alcohol after prohibition.

One word: Addiction.

73 de Jim, N2EY

421. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 02:53:58 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <0o0e7.1054$Pm5.297671@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 16615

Larry:

First, I agree with the concern about the "dummying-down" of the licensing requirements. I was VERY surprised to see that General requires only 5 wpm. I was also surprised to see how easy the Tech and General written tests seem to be. I can well understand how more experienced hams would have negative feelings about this and how it can spill over to newer hams...it's easy for me to say "hey, it wasn't my decision; don't blame me" but I can certainly understand how spill-over can happen.

My dyslexia does seem to interfere a bit with learning the code..it gets processed in the same part of the brain as language, I guess. I shouldn't have mentioned it, of course, as it has nothing to do with the issues at hand. Sorry. FWIW, I WILL pass the code test and I WILL learn it at 13+ wpm...CW has always seemed to me to be an important part of amateur radio and 5 wpm really doesn't cut it. I'll just need to find folks willing to put up with an ugly fist for awhile.

Paul

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010813203728.21495.00005952@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net>, "Paul Friedman"
> <paulfriedman@uswest.net> writes:
>
> >I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> >tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> >having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> >to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm
>
> Paul:
>
> What makes you think you have a dyslexic mind -- or that dyslexia,
> in itself, is something that would be a barrier to learning the Morse
> code? To me, the above just looks like more of the same old same
> old -- people who are basically lazy, getting their excuses lined up
> for when they will (in their own mind) ultimately fail to learn the code.
>
> >(certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> >I thought I was going to need).
>
> Even if the minimum requirement were increased to 20 WPM, instead
> of being reduced to 5, you could still have done it. You just don't know

> this because you have never tried. Trust me, I was the same way.I am
> speaking from experience!
>
> >Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> >I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> >inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> >juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> >want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
> >
> >Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> >certainly hope not.
>
> Generally, the answer to your question is "No." In actual, real-life,
> face-to-face dealings with real radio amateurs, including those who
> participate in this newsgroup, you will not find this level of frank,
> honest, expression of personal opinion. Morse code lovers and
> Morse code haters don't gang up on each other in fistfights at
> hamfests. There is a certain amount of untoward behavior that you
> will encounter on-the-air, but it is nothing new, and predates the
> code vs. no-code debate by decades. One thing you will find,
> however, is the general effect of the recent, severe "dumbing-
> down" of ham radio licensing requirements -- and that is so-called
> hams who don't have a high appreciation for the privileges they
> hold. Therefore, you will probably experience more bad acts
> on-the-air (especially HF) then at any other time in ham radio
> history.
>
> Remember where you are when you see what you see or hear
> what you hear. This is Usenet, not ham radio. The two are not
> the same. Moreover, in spite of the overbearing opinions of a few
> self-appointed thought police, licensed hams are not *required* --
> either legally or morally, to behave the same way in a newsgroup
> as they must on the air. Here, in the newsgroup, we are a lot
> more free to "speak our mind" and generally do so, as a perfectly
> valid, normal, and even healthy way of "letting off steam" as it were,
> so that perhaps we are less likely to tolerate such behavior on-the-air,
> much less practice it ourselves. I feel that in this way, Usenet
> serves a very important function, especially to us hams. Just
> remember that the two are not the same. Ham radio requires us
> to be on our best behavior on-the-air at all times. Here in the
> newsgroups, we can let our hair down, if we have any!
>
> >Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> >concern.
>
> It is a very valid concern, one which was brought about by the
> desire of lazy, unmotivated people to gain full ham privileges without
> the need to make the effort required to learn a useful communications
> skill. Just remember one thing which will always be true: Ham radio

> is what ham radio operators make of it, and ham radio operators are
> what they make of themselves.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

422. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 03:36:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B789CD0.BE5F4ACB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16616

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the Southern states.
> > >
> > > That is a legally and factually inaccurate statement.
> >
> > "all persons held as slaves within any state or designated part of a
> > state the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United
> > States, shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free."
> >
> > Now what were you saying, Jim? The Emancipation Proclamation only
> > applied to the states "in rebellion".
>
> So you honestly think that Abraham Lincoln wanted slavery abolished only
> in the rebellion states?

We are not arguing over what Abraham Lincoln wanted. The fact is that the
Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the states "in rebellion".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

423. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 03:39:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B789D54.197CF163@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16617

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
> > > kind of extreme, don't you think?
> >
> > The feds were no longer the feds, Jim. What is it about The ConFEDeracy
> > that you don't understand? :-)
>
> What I can't understand is why they ever thought they would be better
> off not not living in the United States.

They realized to late that the "united" part meant "bend over".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

424. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 03:45:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B789EE2.15E38BEE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16618

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Even if the minimum requirement were increased to 20 WPM, instead
> of being reduced to 5, you could still have done it.

Of course, that's why there was never any code waiver. Ooops.

> ... ham radio operators are what they make of themselves.

Yep, quite often, on this newsgroup, it's an a$$hole.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

425. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 04:33:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010814003307.25499.00002989@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 16620

In article <3B7869E5.721BB063@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
>> kind of extreme, don't you think?
>
>The feds were no longer the feds, Jim.

They were, even if some people denied them.

>What is it about The ConFEDeracy
>that you don't understand? :-)

Not a thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

426. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 04:33:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010814003308.25499.00002990@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 16621

In article <3B787420.8441304@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> N2EY wrote:
>> > Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
>> > kind of extreme, don't you think?
>>
>> The feds were no longer the feds, Jim. What is it about The ConFEDeracy
>> that you don't understand? :-)
>
>What I can't understand is why they ever thought they would be better
>off not not living in the United States.

Several reasons:

1) Sooner or later, the rest of the US would force abolition on the slave states
2) The Southern economy, based on a few crops, could not compete with the industrializing North and the rapidly expanding West.
3) The South was more aligned with European markets than it was with the rest of the USA.

73 de Jim, N2EY

427. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 04:34:47 UTC
From: lbratche <lbratche@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <BH1e7.193$k43.113620@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 16619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My advice is to totally ignore what goes on in the newsgroups and get on the air. I too have concerns about the junk that goes on here, especially when prospective Hams like yourself see the garbage. I have not encountered any of this garbage on the airways; everyone seems like a very nice bunch. There is a lot of good stuff in the news groups but you will just have to ignore the junk. I agree with you and for the life of me do not understand all the filth and junk in the groups.

"Paul Friedman" <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote in message news:_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net...
> I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
> wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
> I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
> tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
> to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
> I thought I was going to need).
>
> Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
> I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
> Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> certainly hope not.
>
> Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> concern.
>
> 73
>
> Paul Friedman
>
>
>

428. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 05:55:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78BD51.551F9A2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16622

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > If I remember right, the Emancipation
> > Proclamation only freed the slaves in
> > the rebel states.
>
> "That on the 1st day of January, A.D.
> 1863, all persons held as slaves
> within any State or designated part
> of a State the people whereof shall
> then be in rebellion against the United
> States shall be then, thenceforward,
> and forever free;"
>
> That sentence (and the Proclamation)
> does two things: it frees the slaves
> in every state, and it also declares
> that states in which slaves are held
> to be in rebellion. There are some
> history re-writers on the net who
> have put a different slant on it
> however.

That is absolutely wrong, Jim. You're twisting the words of that document to mean something that it clearly does not. First of all, it does not "free the slaves in every state." The Emancipation Proclamation specifically, and very clearly, excluded all other states other then those in rebellion (the Confederacy). In fact, the proclamation even specifically listed the states the proclamation applies to - Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, and Virginia. All other states were excluded, regardless of slavery in those other states.

Second, it does not declare that "states in which slaves are held to be in rebellion." For example, slavery was legal and very common in West Virginia, yet that state was specifically excluded from the proclamation ("except the forty-eight counties designated as West Virginia"). The same is true for any other state that sided with the north during the civil war, again regardless of slavery in those states.

By the way, your quote above is not the exact text of the document that President Lincoln signed. Instead, it said, "That on the 1st day of January, in the year of our lord one thousand eight hundred

sixty-three, all..." This is a valuable document from this country's history and therefore should be quoted exactly as it was written (not a single word changed).

> All slaves were freed at the same time.
> No one was exempted in the proclamation.

Again, absolutely wrong (see above).

> > If the Civil War was about slavery,
> > don't you think Lincoln would have
> > freed the northern slaves at the
> > *beginning* of the war instead of
> > years later?
>
> What year are you referring to, exactly?
> Just so we both know.

The civil war began in 1861. The Emancipation Proclamation was signed in 1863. If the civil war was actually about slavery, why didn't the south wait until there were real attempts to abolish slavery before they seceded? Or, to word it differently, why secede if there was no real attempt yet to abolish slavery? The anti-slavery states did not have enough votes in congress to pass an amendment abolishing slavery without support from the slave states. So, if the slave states had the votes in congress to stop any attempt to abolish slavery, why did they secede if their goal was to protect slavery?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

429. ***HAMS!*Send*Me*Your*Jockstrap!***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 06:24:31 UTC
From: Roger-Beep@webtv.net
Message-ID: <zu3e7.565$2u.25393@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16623

You dare deny me satisfaction, Leland?

After all the trouble I have been through from you and your gang of pink ladies.

From Dogie, from Sparkles the Clown, from your dull-witted posse of no-code cronies. Pimps! Scoundrels! Scalawags! After that porn poster Dogie sent me a men's undergarment (soiled with bodily fluids!) that he thought would shame me. I was not shamed! I proudly hang it above my mantle as a trophy, Lean, a trophy!

Hahahahaha! Can you process that? Even the saucer men would find the flounderings of a short bus candidate and computer school graduate wholly amusing as do I. I know you are irked to no end by my disregard for FCC rules. I

will continue to freeband and to run power and transmit on ANY frequency I want at ANY time I want. You keep retreating to the notion that I care what others think. I don't, Dogie. Not you, not Leland, not anyone on the entire group.

I command foolish hams who wish to have their hides flayed by my razor sharp repartee to obediently line up in rec.radio.cb!

Excelsior!

Roger
Brooklyn, New York
USA

http://www.angelfire.com/dc/rb/fcc.wav

430. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 07:35:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78D478.5767FB33@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16624

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > ... can you provide any evidence
> > whatsoever to support this claim?
> > Beyond the number of people jailed
> > for drug related offenses, can you
> > provide any evidence that the drug
> > laws cause more problems than they
> > solve?
>
> Of course. The drug laws guarantee
> drug pushers a lucrative tax-free
> profit. The feds might as well be
> paying the drug pushers an incentive
> bonus to perform their evil deeds.
> Take away the extreme profits, as was
> done with alcohol, and the problems,
> while not going away, will definitely
> be more manageable.
>
> Prohibition was proven to be the
> greater of two evils.

So, you're back to the same false argument used in previous debates. Since you're obviously not willing to believe what I said in those debates (which clearly proves that your argument is wrong), go get a decent book on prohibition. Look at the FBI statistics concerning crime relating to the illegal alcohol trade. Alcohol related crime did not go down after prohibition ended. In fact, crime related to the illegal distribution of alcohol actually increased over the next several decades, far above what existed during prohibition.

And, again, there is no reason to believe that the exact same thing will not happen if the illegal drugs are made legal. There is no reason to believe that the profits for drug dealers will go down. There is no reason to believe that the violence associated with the illegal drug trade will go down. In fact, if we use prohibition as an example, it is far more likely that all of these things (profits, drug abuse, violence, etc) will actually go up if the illegal drugs are made legal.

> Prohibition was proven to be the
> greater of two evils. Whatever
> problems arise, *FREEDOM* is always

> the best choice. The country would
> simply be better off if some drugs
> were decriminalized.

Criminal behavior is not a "freedom," Cecil.

> I predict marijuana will be
> decriminalized by 2025.

And I will do everything in my power to make sure that does not happen.

> What planet do you live on, Dwight.
> Marijuana users are like alcohol
> users. Probably 98% of them do so
> responsibly.

Nonsense! Marijuana "users" are not like alcohol "users." Marijuana "users" are far more like alcohol "abusers." A person can drink a small amount of alcohol without any negative effect whatsoever. However, even a small amount of marijuana is equal to the effects of several strong alcoholic drinks.

> FYI, I am an example of a responsible
> illegal drug user.

If you really believe that, why don't you take a marijuana plant down to the local police station, get yourself arrested, and then explain to the judge how responsible you are. Make sure to tell the judge where that first marijuana seed you planted came from, and show proof that nobody was harmed getting that marijuana seed to you.

> One time, I went to a rock concert at
> the Cow Palace in SF. We went early
> and lined up on the sidewalk. With SF
> police patrolling up and down the
> sidewalk, we lit up and passed joints
> around in broad daylight. The lady I
> was dating at the time also dated a
> San Jose policeman. She spent many a
> night sitting around smoking marijuana
> with lots of members of the San Jose
> police force. Her policeman friend
> furnished her with marijuana from
> seized stuff. The drug laws are a joke.

Care to provide the names of those individuals to back up that story?

> That's only a Catch-22 technicality,
> Dwight, and I think you realize that.
> Real crime involves a victim.

There are plenty of victims associated with marijuana, Cecil. You just flat refuse to accept that reality.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

431. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 08:16:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78DE43.16D59C22@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16625

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Illegal drug use is in itself a
> > crime, while driving a vehicle is not.
>
> I think you realize that is a Catch-22
> problem unrelated to reality.

To obey the law, or not, is a choice, Cecil. A catch-22 is a
conflict, not a choice.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

432. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 08:52:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78E68C.2E1E9FFB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16626

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> I said "Get over it". I didn't say
> forget about it. Those who ignore
> (or forget about) history are doomed
> to repeat it. I think the South
> should learn from their mistakes.
> It was a mistake, was it not, Dwight?

The causes (state's rights, limits on the federal government, etc) that led up to the civil war were not a mistake. In fact, they're still being hotly debated to this day. I'm not even sure the civil war itself was a mistake. It does seem ironic that the one freedom not allowed in this country is the right of a state to break ties with this country, when this very country was created by breaking ties with another country.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

433. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 08:54:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78E707.5A69280@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16627

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
> > only applied to the Southern states.
>
> That is a legally and factually
> inaccurate statement.

You need to take another look at the Emancipation Proclamation. It did indeed only apply to the southern confederate states.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

434. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 09:11:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78EB0F.62057F0F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16628

N2EY wrote:
>
> Understood - but the idea that the
> feds had no right to property is
> kind of extreme, don't you think?

It wasn't an extreme idea at the time. Remember that we have to view these things from the context of the times, which may be very different than the context today.

> In 1861, yes. If all the slave
> states voted as a block. But the
> trend was clear - the slave
> states' clout was slowly but
> surely lessening. The time to
> leave was BEFORE it was all gone.

I suppose that may be possible, but I find it hard to believe that large numbers of southerners were willing to die in a war because of what might happen sometime in their distant future, especially when it would not really affect them (most didn't have slaves).

> The evil of slavery bred the evil
> of war.

Only if you accept the notion that all those people in the south were willing to die in defense of slavery, and that all those people in the north were willing to die to fight slavery. I think the very notion is absurd (and a smokescreen to draw attention away from the real issues of the conflict).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

435. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 09:18:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78EC95.83407FDD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16629

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> What I can't understand is why they
> ever thought they would be better
> off not not living in the United
> States.

Many people came to this land to get away from the massive governments they left behind. In the end, many felt that they had simply traded in one all powerful government for another, which is exactly what they did not want.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

436. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 09:24:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78EE1F.BE548F6B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16630

N2EY wrote:
>
> Several reasons:
>
> 1) Sooner or later, the rest of the
> US would force abolition on the slave
> states
> 2) The Southern economy, based on a
> few crops, could not compete with the
> industrializing North and the rapidly
> expanding West.
> 3) The South was more aligned with
> European markets than it was with the
> rest of the USA.

All of those are too simplistic to be accurate, Jim. The issues and motivations behind the civil war were extremely complex. We could write books on this subject and not even begin to cover the entire subject fairly.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

437. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 10:18:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78FAAB.50FCC1B6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16631

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > YOU consider it a bad law, because
> > YOU choose to break it. Pedophiles
> > consider "No Sex Before 18" to be
> > a "bad law" too. Let's go ahead
> > and lower the age of consent to...
> > say...Six?
>
> When are you going to alleviate your
> ignorance of victim-based crime VS
> victimless crime? Oral sex between
> consenting adults is a victimless
> crime. Pedophile crime clearly has a
> victim. Your straw man arguments are
> extremely transparent.

Actually, his argument is not that much of a straw man, Cecil. Many of the arguments you offer in defense of drug legalization are very similar to the arguments routinely offered by pedophiles. For example, just like you, they love to throw around the victimless crime argument - if the youth consents, and is not harmed physically, he/she is not a victim (so sex with that child should not be a crime - we're not hurting anyone else).

And, like you, they also like to use examples from around the world - in this or that country, sex with kids as young as this or that is legal (so it should be legal here also).

And, like many drug users, they like to go back in history to find their justification - in this or that time, boys and girls as young as this or that were already married (so it should not be illegal to have sex with them today).

And, like many drug users, the pedophiles love to wave around the concept of freedom - the government has no right to stick it's nose into my bedroom, so what I do in that bedroom with another consenting person is none of the government's business.

And, when trying to reason with the pedophile, those opposed to pedophilia use arguments very similar to those used to oppose illegal drug use - victims, damamge to society, and so on. And, like you, the pedophiles often choose to dismiss or ignore those arguments just as quickly.

I, and many others, see no more reason to fall for your arguments in defense of drug legalization than to fall for the similar arguments of the pedophile.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

438. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 10:31:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78FDB9.92201692@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16632

CAM wrote:
>
> (snip) FYI, I am an example of a responsible
> illegal drug user. (snip)

You have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law. If you are under the age of 18, anything you say can be used against you in a juvenile court prosecution for a juvenile offense and can also be used against you in an adult court criminal prosecution if the juvenile court decides that you are to be tried as an adult. You have the right to talk to an attorney before answering any questions. You have the right to have your attorney present during the questioning. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed for you without cost, before or during questioning, if you desire. Do you understand these rights?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

439. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 10:35:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B78FE97.BBE04124@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16633

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Actually, I have heard a few of those
> same techniques used by some of the
> 11-meter channel jammers. A quick
> look at rr.cb shows a few who post the
> same message sometimes hundreds of
> times. I think I am beginning to
> understand where this guy is coming
> from and what it really means...

Then perhaps you can fill me in because I certainly don't understand it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

440. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 13:02:52 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <0k9e7.4088$5d4.1228191@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 16634

Jeesh, is that all it takes..... damn, and I wasted my time taking the written tests when all I had to do is take the code tests....What a waste of time. Why didn't the VE's tell me this?

"CWnotCB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message news:3B75F1D5.93BD7670@gte.net...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
>

441. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:22:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B79341E.9A72F6AD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16635

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> So, you're back to the same false argument used in previous debates.

It is not a false argument, Dwight. It is a philosophical statement.
The problems existing under *FREEDOM* are always better than the
problems existing under *OPPRESSION*.

> There is no
> reason to believe that the profits for drug dealers will go down.

Maybe in your deluded mind. The profits for bootleggers certainly
went down after prohibition. The only profit to be made nowadays
on illegal booze or illegal cigarettes are the taxes involved.

> There is no reason to believe that the violence associated with the
> illegal drug trade will go down.

The illegal drug trade will go down. The violence associated with it
would have to go down.

> Criminal behavior is not a "freedom," Cecil.

Criminal behavior involved in victimless crimes is not real criminal
behavior. For a real crime to have happened, there must be a victim.
Victimless crimes are simply a loss of *FREEDOM*.

> > I predict marijuana will be decriminalized by 2025.
>
> And I will do everything in my power to make sure that does not happen.

Knock yourself out. Lots of people were for slavery and against female
sufferage. They lost.

> Nonsense! Marijuana "users" are not like alcohol "users."

Of course they are, Dwight. My friends in CA decide whether to smoke
pot or drink alcohol on any occasion. I would estimate that half the
users of alcohol in CA also use marijuana, probably a third of the
population, and most do it responsibly.

> However, even a small amount of marijuana is equal to the effects of
> several strong alcoholic drinks.

Depends on the concentration. Several strong drinks of Everclear will
kill you. Everclear has been engineered to be strong. For reasons
of efficiency, modern marijuana has been engineered to be strong.
When I started smoking pot in 1963, it took two joints to have any
effect at all.

> If you really believe that, why don't you take a marijuana plant
> down to the local police station, get yourself arrested, and then
> explain to the judge how responsible you are.

For the same reason that I wouldn't confess to oral sex in Louisiana.
They are bad laws designed to curb basic freedoms of citizens.

> There are plenty of victims associated with marijuana, Cecil. You
> just flat refuse to accept that reality.

No, Dwight, I fully accept that reality. There are plenty of victims
of marijuana *abuse* just as there are plenty of victims of alcohol
abuse. But the non-abuser majority should not be punished for the
actions of the abuser minority. There are plenty of victims
associated with alcohol but that doesn't keep it from being legal.

We simply punish the people doing the victimizing, e.g. DUI or
murder or domestic violence or armed robbery, i.e. *violent*
people. It is unfair for 98% of the population to be punished
for the violence of the other 2%. People like you who blame the
drugs instead of the perps are responsible for the present sad
conditions in our society. I say put the violent perps away and
leave us non-violent, freedom-loving citizens alone.

With freedom comes responsibility and freedom is always better than
oppression. People who give up their freedom for security will soon
have neither. The effect of the drug laws is to take away the
freedoms of law-abiding citizens without them realizing it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

442. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:24:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010814102427.00709.00003499@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 16636

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/13/2001 6:15 PM Central Daylight Time

>> >If you were black
>> >in 1850, would you have been as enamored with bad laws as you are today?

>> We are discussing TODAY, Cecil. Not 151 years ago.

>So there were bad laws 151 years ago but there are no bad laws today?
>BWWWWWWHHHHHHAHHAHHHAHAHHHHHAHAHHAHHAHHHAHAHHAHAAA

>Talk about delusional.

The delusional part is that you would think that anyone except you can't
see YOUR obfuscation.

The "151 year" comment was in reference to slavery laws of 151 years ago.

You again try to hide your lack of any substantial fact or validity
behind wordgames.

How childish.

>BS, Steve, nobody is allowed to perform tests on class 1 drugs.

BWWWWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

Cecil, how do you think drugs GET classified...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>People with attitudes like yours create and encourage drug pushers.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

This is getting more hillarious by the minute!

Geez! Who makes this stuff up for you...?!?! And you wonder why
Liberterians don't have wider acceptatnce...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>I thought nurses
>were supposed to help people, not execute them for smoking a God-given
>weed.

That "weed" is detrimental to the common good of society. Period.

>When you succeed in hanging the entire population, there will be no
>more crime.

OK...Works for me.

>The reason that Black Bart is approaching Little Jimmy is because people
>like you encourage and guarantee BB a huge profit for little risk.

Little risk, Cecil...?!?! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

NOW who is delusional...?!?!

>Dream on, oh delusional one. Why don't you post to the newsgroups on the
>planet that you live on? (It ain't this one.)

Back at ya, Oh Dope Smokin' One.

Steve

443. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:26:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010814102644.00709.00003502@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 16637

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: "The Chosen One" chose@me.com
>Date: 08/13/2001 7:36 PM Central Daylight Time

>I smoked marijuana in college but didn't
>inhale.

SLICK WILLY POSTS IN RRAP!!!!!!!!!

444. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:29:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7935DC.26A1317E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16638

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> To obey the law, or not, is a choice, Cecil. A catch-22 is a
> conflict, not a choice.

A Catch-22 law is a law that forbids the reading of that law.
Marijuana use is an artificially contrived crime. It is not
a bona fide crime. A bona fide crime is when something bad
happens to a victim. Nothing bad happens when I smoke marijuana
in the privacy of my own home. It makes me feel good. There is
no victim.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

445. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:34:54 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108140634.1f9a96ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16639

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B78FE97.BBE04124@sccoast.net>...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > Actually, I have heard a few of those
> > same techniques used by some of the
> > 11-meter channel jammers. A quick
> > look at rr.cb shows a few who post the
> > same message sometimes hundreds of
> > times. I think I am beginning to
> > understand where this guy is coming
> > from and what it really means...
>
>
> Then perhaps you can fill me in because I certainly don't understand it.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Me neither!

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

446. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:35:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B79373B.ABFF4633@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16640

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >The feds were no longer the feds, Jim.
>
> They were, even if some people denied them.

Only because the winners get to write the history. :-) For all of
1861 it certainly looked as if the feds were no longer the feds,
at least in the South.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

447. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 14:56:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B793C3B.941D2447@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16641

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> You again try to hide your lack of any substantial fact or validity
> behind wordgames.

It's no game, Steve, just intellectual dishonesty on your part.
You can redeem yourself by answering these simple yes/no questions.

Has there every been a time in all of history where all laws are
good laws? If so, is that time now?

> How childish.

How obfuscatory.

> Cecil, how do you think drugs GET classified...?!?!

The feds pull the classification out of their posteriors.
The purpose for classifying marijuana as a class 1 drug
was to try to control the illegal Mexican nationals. You
need to watch the TV program, "Illegal drugs and how they
got that way." It will open your eyes.

The purpose is to eliminate experimentation that would
prove how relatively harmless marijuana really is. Heck,
the feds won't even allow the FDA to approve marijuana
for the medical uses for which it has been proven effective,
e.g. relieving nausea for terminal cancer patients. Are
they afraid that a terminal cancer patient is going to get
hooked? Get real!

> That "weed" is detrimental to the common good of society.

"Common good" is the catch phrase used by socialists/communists
to take away freedoms. The "weed" has been proven effective in
relieving nausea for terminal cancer patients. Of course, society
would rather have those poor people throwing up and starving to
death for the "common good of society". Nice guy.

> >When you succeed in hanging the entire population, there will be no
> >more crime.
>
> OK...Works for me.

Since you are a potential criminal, you first.

> >The reason that Black Bart is approaching Little Jimmy is because people
> >like you encourage and guarantee BB a huge profit for little risk.
>

> Little risk, Cecil...?!?!

Little risk compared to the obscene profits that people like you
guarantee to him. Black Bart doesn't want the drug laws changed.
You don't want the drug laws changed. You guys are on the same side.

Do you side with the AMA when they want to outlaw guns?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

448. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 15:01:32 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108140701.5562db6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16642

Thanks Dwight,

Sometimes the QRM on these NGs gets to be almost to much to believe.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B7781C1.F1072668@sccoast.net>...
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> > Easy Dwight, I appreciate your
> > disgust but speaking on behalf
> > of the many decent CB ops. out
> > there..."this one ain't ours!" ;-)
>
>
> You're right. To avoid misunderstanding, I probably should clarify
> that. My comment was directed solely at his fantasy that CW somehow
> makes him superior to CB'ers. Since his garbage is no better than the
> garbage I see in the CB band on a daily basis, that fantasy is
> obviously false.
>
> Of course, I'm not saying that all CB'ers are like that - my comment
> mentioned the garbage that goes on in the CB band, not the normal
> stuff by normal people. In other words, my comment was directed at
> people (CB'ers or hams) who spread negative garbage. They're all the
> same and not at all like the decent people in either radio service.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

449. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 15:22:25 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lbfng$3sd$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16643

Solutions are not achieved by force of arms alone.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0108131258.2fa1468b@posting.google.com...> Agreed. But it took
620,000 lives and untold damage to reach a
> conclusion. The evil of slavery bred the evil of war.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

450. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:25:07 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9lbml1$19i$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 16647

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B78E68C.2E1E9FFB@sccoast.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > I said "Get over it". I didn't say
> > forget about it. Those who ignore
> > (or forget about) history are doomed
> > to repeat it. I think the South
> > should learn from their mistakes.
> > It was a mistake, was it not, Dwight?

> The causes (state's rights, limits on the federal government, etc)
> that led up to the civil war were not a mistake.

No. I meant succeeding from the United States. That was a mistake, wasn't
it Dwight?

451. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:29:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9lbmsa$19r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 16645

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B789CD0.BE5F4ACB@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> We are not arguing over what Abraham Lincoln wanted.

Yes, I think we are. He was the author, after all.

>The fact is that the
> Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the states "in rebellion".

The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".

452. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:30:31 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9lbmv4$1en$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 16646

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B78E707.5A69280@sccoast.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
> > > only applied to the Southern states.
> >
> > That is a legally and factually
> > inaccurate statement.
>
>
> You need to take another look at the Emancipation Proclamation. It
> did indeed only apply to the southern confederate states.

I have just looked at it, Dwight. In fact I quoted directly from it, and
that is what it says. Sorry, OM.

>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

453. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:32:29 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9lbn2q$1f2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 16644

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B789D54.197CF163@IEEE.org...
> They realized to late that the "united" part meant "bend over".

You take an awful lot to mean "bend over". It's wishful thinking, I think.

454. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:39:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9lbngi$1ij$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 16648

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010814003308.25499.00002990@nso-bd.aol.com...
> In article <3B787420.8441304@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >CAM wrote:
> >>
> >> N2EY wrote:
> >> > Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
> >> > kind of extreme, don't you think?
> >>
> >> The feds were no longer the feds, Jim. What is it about The ConFEDeracy
> >> that you don't understand? :-)
> >
> >What I can't understand is why they ever thought they would be better
> >off not not living in the United States.

> Several reasons:
>
> 1) Sooner or later, the rest of the US would force abolition on the slave
> states

Would slavery not have ended eventually even if they had succeeded?

> 2) The Southern economy, based on a few crops, could not compete with the
> industrializing North and the rapidly expanding West.

The Southern economy continues to be based on aggriculture.

> 3) The South was more aligned with European markets than it was with the
rest
> of the USA.

How would this been affected by not succeeding?

Still doesn't make sense, Jim

455. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:43:39 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <frde7.16014$1p1.1272935@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16650

> > W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > Actually, I have heard a few of those
> > same techniques used by some of the
> > 11-meter channel jammers. A quick
> > look at rr.cb shows a few who post the
> > same message sometimes hundreds of
> > times. I think I am beginning to
> > understand where this guy is coming
> > from and what it really means...

Dwight Stewart, W5NET replied:

> Then perhaps you can fill me in because I
> certainly don't understand it.

It makes sense from a communications-theory standpoint:
Fill up the available bandwidth with noise and the
information transfer rate slows to a glacial creep.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

456. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 17:43:40 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <grde7.16015$1p1.1273349@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16649

Jim Kelley wrote (to CAM):

> So you honestly think that Abraham Lincoln wanted slavery
> abolished only in the rebellion states? Only by taking
> poetic license can one interpret what he said to mean that.

I think we've bottomed out on the fact that the Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the States and areas in rebellion against the U.S.A. It strikes me that there may have been some constitutional grounds for it not being extended to slaves in the "Northern" states. First, as Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces, the president did have the authority to impose martial law in the areas under occupation by the U.S. Army, so the Proclamation would be legal from that standpoint. However, in areas not in rebellion, the freeing of slaves would have amounted to a taking of "property" without due process of law or just compensation. This would not have been a trivial matter - a substantial amount of some Maryland farmers' capital would have been tied up in slave-owning and there would have been legal recourse available to them. By side-stepping the issue until the end of the war and waiting for the 13th Amendment to pass, Lincoln avoided a potentially divisive constitutional crisis.

Just my $0.02 worth.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

457. Re: Homophobic Southern Baptists should not have ham clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 18:58:25 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lbsav$g3j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Cecil,
It's PILATE, not PILOT but you still write interestingly. I had my own dental practice from 1967-1989 and retired. I and an optometrist owned our building in a shopping center in Clifton which explains why we also were for an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth.
Doc, AF2Y

In article <3B684029.4610D532@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Barry OGrady wrote:
>> Christ did nothing good. Find yourself a better role model.
>
> He's not my role model. I'm more of an "eye-for-an-eye" type.
> The only other cheek I turn is when I moon someone.
>
>> The Devil was created to give your God an out.
>
> Since Jesus was predestined to be crucified, seems Judas,
> Pilot, and the Romans were simply doing God's will. If Pilot had
> decided not to crucify Jesus who then died of old age, what would
> my Southern Baptist preacher be preaching about now? If God knows
> exactly what is going to happen every second until the end of time,
> is it possible for anything else to happen? If not, everything is
> predestined and we are simply puppets in God's puppet show.
>
> There is an extreme contradiction between an omniscient, omnipotent
> God and man's free will. My preacher says, "God knows what choices
> you are going to make using your free will even before you do."
>
>> If the earth is not flat with a solid dome why does the Bible say it is?
>
> Because Copernicus and Galileo had not been born yet?
>
>> You should go back to the mythology groups Thecil.
>
> I challenge them to prove that a supernatural even exists so they
> don't like me. Is a smidgen of proof too much to ask?
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

458. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 19:14:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7978B2.BECA7345@uci.edu>
Source record: 16752

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > What I can't understand is why they
> > ever thought they would be better
> > off not not living in the United
> > States.
>
> Many people came to this land to get away from the massive
> governments they left behind. In the end, many felt that they had
> simply traded in one all powerful government for another, which is
> exactly what they did not want.

Were they looking for a government that allowed human injustice?

459. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 19:51:45 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B798151.5582B351@uci.edu>
Source record: 16753

The Emancipation Proclamation

January 1, 1863

A Transcription

By the President of the United States of America:

A Proclamation.

Whereas, on the twenty-second day of September, in the year of
our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
sixty-two, a proclamation was issued by the President of the United
States, containing, among other things, the
following, to wit:

"That on the first day of January, in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and sixty-three,
all persons held as slaves within any State or designated part
of a State, the people whereof shall then
be in rebellion against the United States, shall be then,
thenceforward, and forever free; and the
Executive Government of the United States, including the
military and naval authority thereof, will
recognize and maintain the freedom of such persons, and will do
no act or acts to repress such persons,
or any of them, in any efforts they may make for their actual
freedom.

"That the Executive will, on the first day of January
aforesaid, by proclamation, designate the
States and parts of States, if any, in which the people thereof,
respectively, shall then be in rebellion
against the United States; and the fact that any State, or the
people thereof, shall on that day be, in good
faith, represented in the Congress of the United States by
members chosen thereto at elections wherein a
majority of the qualified voters of such State shall have
participated, shall, in the absence of strong
countervailing testimony, be deemed conclusive evidence that
such State, and the people thereof, are not
then in rebellion against the United States."

Now, therefore I, Abraham Lincoln, President of the United
States, by virtue of the power in me vested as
Commander-in-Chief, of the Army and Navy of the United States in
time of actual armed rebellion against the

authority and government of the United States, and as a fit and necessary war measure for suppressing said rebellion, do, on this first day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty-three, and in accordance with my purpose so to do publicly proclaimed for the full period of one hundred days, from the day first above mentioned, order and designate as the States and parts of States wherein the people thereof respectively, are this day in rebellion against the United States, the following, to wit:

Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, (except the Parishes of St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, St. John, St. Charles, St. James Ascension, Assumption, Terrebonne, Lafourche, St. Mary, St. Martin, and Orleans, including the City of New Orleans) Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, and Virginia, (except the forty-eight counties designated as West Virginia, and also the counties of Berkley, Accomac, Northampton, Elizabeth City, York, Princess Ann, and Norfolk, including the cities of Norfolk and Portsmouth[)], and which excepted parts, are for the present, left precisely as if this proclamation were not issued.

And by virtue of the power, and for the purpose aforesaid, I do order and declare that all persons held as slaves within said designated States, and parts of States, are, and henceforward shall be free; and that the Executive government of the United States, including the military and naval authorities thereof, will recognize and maintain the freedom of said persons.

And I hereby enjoin upon the people so declared to be free to abstain from all violence, unless in necessary self-defence; and I recommend to them that, in all cases when allowed, they labor faithfully for reasonable wages.

And I further declare and make known, that such persons of suitable condition, will be received into the armed service of the United States to garrison forts, positions, stations, and other places, and to man vessels of all sorts in said service.

And upon this act, sincerely believed to be an act of justice, warranted by the Constitution, upon military necessity, I invoke the considerate judgment of mankind, and the gracious favor of Almighty God.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the United States to be affixed.

Done at the City of Washington, this first day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty three, and of the Independence of the United States of America the eighty-seventh.

By the President: ABRAHAM LINCOLN
WILLIAM H. SEWARD, Secretary of State.

460. HA HA on Leland!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 20:47:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <x7ge7.1356$2u.30830@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16754

Little does Leland know I really DID get a used spermy jockstrap in the mail and

I DO hang it above my mantle (that's spelled mantle, not "mantel", Lean) but let's allow this miseducated fool to labor under his illusion for a while longer.

461. More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 20:58:06 UTC
From: John Pollack <jpo213@yomtobian.org>
Message-ID: <3B7990DE.A1E9CDB0@yomtobian.org>
Source record: 16755
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Details, details, what kind of satellite. You never hear about this stuff
on "our" news.

http://www.middleeastwire.com/newswire/stories/20010814_1_meno.shtml

Middle East Newswire
NASA To Launch Israeli Satellite At No Cost
Middle East News Online
By Middle East News Online Reporter
Posted Monday August 13, 2001 - 06:05:14 PM EDT

Ariel Sharon and Science & Culture Minister met today with United States Administrator Dan Goldin and his delegation, and US Ambassador to Israel Daniel Kurtzer to Discuss NASA's proposal to launch an Israeli satellite at
no charge in the upcoming shuttles trips.

Gloldin and his team agreed today with the Israeli government" that NASA and
Israel would indeed continue to cooperate on space research, including the
launch of Israeli satellites at no cost on the various space shuttles. It
was also agreed that Israeli astronaut Ilan Ramon would be launched into space on May 23 of 2002." according to press release from Sharon's Media Advisor.

Currently, Israel is the recipient of over $7 billion in aid and grants from
the United States. In addition, the U.S. equips Israel with the best military hardware, technology and intelligence information.

"Sharon said that he would make an effort to mobilize the necessary resources to institutionalize Israeli-NASA cooperation while utilizing Israel's human potential to promote useful research into global climatic change, as well as water and earthquake research." "In light of the expected
agreement between NASA and Israel, Goldin emphasized the possibilities of
Israel's integration into the European space center and Israel's joining the
exclusive club of countries participating in the international space station, including involvement of Israeli research and researchers in the

station."

462. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-14 23:12:46 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lcb9j$o9k$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It would be better if we shot Arafat and his thugs into space on a one way
trip!
Doc,AF2Y

In article <3B7990DE.A1E9CDB0@yomtobian.org>, John Pollack
<jpo213@yomtobian.org> wrote:

> Details, details, what kind of satellite. You never hear about this
> stuff
> on "our" news.
>
> http://www.middleeastwire.com/newswire/stories/20010814_1_meno.shtml
>
>
>
> Middle East Newswire
> NASA To Launch Israeli Satellite At No Cost
> Middle East News Online
> By Middle East News Online Reporter
> Posted Monday August 13, 2001 - 06:05:14 PM EDT
>
>
> Ariel Sharon and Science & Culture Minister met today with United States
> Administrator Dan Goldin and his delegation, and US Ambassador to Israel
> Daniel Kurtzer to Discuss NASA's proposal to launch an Israeli satellite
> at
> no charge in the upcoming shuttles trips.
>
> Gloldin and his team agreed today with the Israeli government" that NASA
> and
> Israel would indeed continue to cooperate on space research, including
> the
> launch of Israeli satellites at no cost on the various space shuttles.
> It
> was also agreed that Israeli astronaut Ilan Ramon would be launched into
> space on May 23 of 2002." according to press release from Sharon's Media
> Advisor.
>
> Currently, Israel is the recipient of over $7 billion in aid and grants
> from
> the United States. In addition, the U.S. equips Israel with the best
> military hardware, technology and intelligence information.
>

> "Sharon said that he would make an effort to mobilize the necessary
> resources to institutionalize Israeli-NASA cooperation while utilizing
> Israel's human potential to promote useful research into global climatic
> change, as well as water and earthquake research." "In light of the
> expected
> agreement between NASA and Israel, Goldin emphasized the possibilities
> of
> Israel's integration into the European space center and Israel's joining
> the
> exclusive club of countries participating in the international space
> station, including involvement of Israeli research and researchers in
> the
> station."

463. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 00:03:04 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B79AE7A.9D013683@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16757

OK, so the civil war and slavery has been beat to death, now we are on growing pot, discussing guns and copyrights. What are we going to do about the illegal operators intruding into the bottom end of the 40 meter band?

CAM wrote:

> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >The fact that drugs
> > >are illegal *enable* the criminals to make their obscene profits
> > >and they love the feds for it.
> >
> > Then let's strike down all the laws against domestic violence and having
> > sex with children....think of all the space we will free up in prisons and the
> > social workers we will not have to pay for just by decriminalizing those.
>
> Steve, you are just going to have to force yourself to comprehend the
> difference between the victimless crime of me smoking pot in the
> privacy of my home and a crime with victims like domestic violence
> where force is involved. Why do you have such difficulty with those
> concepts? In one case, someone is beating up on someone else. In the
> other case, nothing bad is happening. How can I commit evil alone in
> the privacy of my home? Do you also support the older laws against
> masturbation in private?
>
> > While we are at it, let's also decriminalize copyright
> > infringement...Geez-o-whiz, we WOULD have thought of it our selves
> > too...eventually.
>
> Copyright infringement is NOT a victimless crime. Please learn to
> tell the difference.
>
> > And we can also decriminalize speeding, medical malpractice, theft, etc.
>
> Montana doesn't have any top speed limit. The other crimes clearly
> have victims.
>
> > >If there weren't so much profit in
> > >drugs there wouldn't be as many drugs.
> >
> > And the same could be said for child pornography.
>
> The children in child pornography are victims. They are not adults
> and cannot legally give consent. What is it about victims VS

> victimless crime that you do not understand?
>
> > >"Legalized marijuana"
> > >strikes more fear into the hearts of drug dealers than it does in
> > >yours.
> >
> > No, it doesn't.
>
> Yes, it does. It would mean the end of their livelihoods which the
> present drug laws graciously provide for them.
>
> There's a similar example in my home county. Sale of booze is prohibited.
> That makes the bootleggers deliriously happy.
>
> > >> MOST acts of domestic violence are perpetrated under the influence of
> > >> alcohol or drugs.
> >
> > >By criminal types who would do it with or without alcohol or drugs.
> >
> > Wrong.
>
> You don't understand human nature, do you, Steve? Taking booze away from
> the bad guys is not going to turn them into good guys. Some people are
> just evil by nature. Laws against drugs are not going to change that.
> In fact, YOU are enabling the criminals to dodge responsibility for
> their crimes by blaming the drugs instead of the perps.
>
> > But the great majority of crimes commited are commited by someone under
> > the influence or trying to get money to GET those drigs so they CAN be under
> > the influence.
>
> Yep, the laws don't seem to discourage them a bit, do they? You are
> admitting that the laws don't work. If the drugs carried a free market
> price like alcohol does, nobody would have to steal for it. How often
> do you hear of someone breaking and entering to get money to buy booze?
>
> > True. But we aren't talking about guns, are we?
>
> Yep, we are talking about responsible adults being free to own and
> take responsibility for their own lives. My SKS is illegal in CA.
> Does that make me a criminal type?
>
> > But I can only imagine what the highways would be like if there weren't
> > laws against it. Again...the alcohol and the drugs inhibit some people's
> > ability to act responsibly...more so than the other "users" you tried to
> > obfuscate the subject with.
>
> Alcohol is legal. DUI is illegal. Why can't it be that way for marijuana?
> The real crime with real potential victims is DUI, not simple use.
>

> > >You just cannot legislate rationality
> >
> > I agree. But we can do our best to create an atmosphere that promotes it.
>
> The drug laws promote the irrationality that is the illegal drug industry.
> The drug laws are the cause of most of the present drug problems and
> allow the government to expropriate wealth without due process.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

464. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 00:04:39 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B79AEDB.3BF24306@mailcity.com>
Source record: 16758

Anyone remember what started this thread?

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3B78E68C.2E1E9FFB@sccoast.net...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > I said "Get over it". I didn't say
> > > forget about it. Those who ignore
> > > (or forget about) history are doomed
> > > to repeat it. I think the South
> > > should learn from their mistakes.
> > > It was a mistake, was it not, Dwight?
> >
> > The causes (state's rights, limits on the federal government, etc)
> > that led up to the civil war were not a mistake.
> >
> No. I meant succeeding from the United States. That was a mistake, wasn't
> it Dwight?

465. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 00:52:33 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B79C7D1.E4820EA4@uci.edu>
Source record: 16759

GH wrote:
>
> Anyone remember what started this thread?

Avast! I think we all do.

I guess nobody in Tejas wants to DF their good buddies on 40.

466. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 01:18:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B79CDB2.DF528A51@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16760

CAM wrote:
>
> Maybe in your deluded mind. The profits
> for bootleggers certainly went down
> after prohibition.

Not according to every book I've ever read about the events
following prohibition.

> Criminal behavior involved in victimless
> crimes is not real criminal behavior. For
> a real crime to have happened, there must
> be a victim. Victimless crimes are simply
> a loss of *FREEDOM*.

I'll repeat this one more time - illegal drug use, including
marijuana use, is not a victimless crime. The people who use it are
victims, the people who live with those people are victims, the people
who know those people are victims, the people affected by their
negative behavior are victims, and so on (and so on).

> Knock yourself out. Lots of people were
> for slavery and against female sufferage.
> They lost.

So you're comparing your efforts to legalize drugs to efforts
towards female suffrage and efforts to end slavery? Sorry, but your
efforts to legalize marijuana and get stoned is not at all equal to
either of those.

> No, Dwight, I fully accept that reality.
> There are plenty of victims of marijuana
> *abuse* just as there are plenty of
> victims of alcohol *abuse*.

Marijuana use is abuse. The effects from a single marijuana use is
not all that different from the effects of two or three strong mixed
drinks or five to eight beers. And I have yet to meet a casual
marijuana user (one who does it only rarely). The typical abuser uses
marijuana two to five times each day, seven days a week, all year
round. In other words, the typical marijuana user is very much like
the serious alcoholic when it comes to alcohol.

> People like you who blame the drugs instead
> of the perps are responsible for the present
> sad conditions in our society.

No, not at all, Cecil. I don't blame drugs for the sad condition of this society. I blame people like you - people who openly violate the laws of this society, people who thumb their noses at civilized behavior, people who threaten the safety of my neighborhood with their illegal drug trade, and so forth. The only bad experiences I've ever had in this society from illegal drugs is those experiences forced on me by the drug users.

> The effect of the drug laws is to take away
> the freedoms of law-abiding citizens without
> them realizing it.

Oh, I see. I'm a law-abiding citizen that does not use drugs. Care to tell me what freedoms were taken away from me because of the drug laws? I'm not an idiot here, Cecil. I'm fully aware that if I've lost any freedoms in this country because of drugs, it was a loss forced on me by the drug users, not the laws.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

467. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 01:21:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B79CE82.A781821A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16761

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> No. I meant succeeding from the United
> States. That was a mistake, wasn't
> it Dwight?

I've already answered that, Jim. In the previous message, I said,
"I'm not even sure the civil war itself was a mistake. It does seem
ironic that the one freedom not allowed in this country is the right
of a state to break ties with this country, when this very country was
created by breaking ties with another country."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

468. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 01:28:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gi2wxm0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 19:12:46 -0400, radiosigs wrote:

>It would be better if we shot Arafat and his thugs into space on a one way
>trip!

Then we would have no one to negotiate with, because even his
bag-carriers have been shouting anti-Israel political slogans so
long that they don't even remember how to speak grammatical Arabic,
let alone any other language.

As far as "charging" for satellite launches, remember that Israel is
the US's weapons beta tester......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(ex - 4X4UQ)

469. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:07:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B79D937.85BF6049@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16763

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
> > > > only applied to the Southern states.
> > >
> > > That is a legally and factually
> > > inaccurate statement.
> >
> >
> > You need to take another look at the
> > Emancipation Proclamation. It did indeed
> > only apply to the southern confederate
> > states.
>
> I have just looked at it, Dwight. In fact I
> quoted directly from it, and that is what it
> says. Sorry, OM.

That is not what it said, OM. First of all, lets preface this with a few facts. Lincoln did not have the legal authority to free slaves throughout the United States. That would have required a constitutional amendment, something that did not happen until years after the Emancipation Proclamation.

Second, if, like you claim, the Emancipation Proclamation did indeed free all slaves in the United States, why was there a need for the 13th Amendment? Why have an amendment to free slaves if, as you say, the Emancipation Proclamation already freed them? Right there, common sense should tell you that there is something seriously wrong with your argument.

Third, you have taken a single line from that proclamation out of context to support your argument. When you quoted, "That on the first day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty-three, all persons held as slaves within any State or designated part of a State, the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United States, shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free...," you failed to put those words into the context of the entire document.

For example, the next paragraph says, "...the Executive will, on the first day of January aforesaid, by proclamation, designate the States

and parts of States, if any, in which the people thereof, respectively, shall then be in rebellion against the United States..." In other words, the previous paragraph did not say which states were in rebellion. This paragraph said that he would declare that in January.

He then went on in the next paragraph to say, "...in accordance with my purpose so to do publicly proclaimed for the full period of one hundred days, from the day first above mentioned, order and designate as the States and parts of States wherein the people thereof respectively, are this day in rebellion against the United States, the following, to wit:..." This sentence was his actual declaration as to which states were in actual rebellion. He then went on in the next paragraph to list the specific states in rebellion against the United States, and to which the proclamation to free slave applied.

And, finally, he then went on in the next paragraph after listing the states to say, "...by virtue of the power, and for the purpose aforesaid, I do order and declare that all persons held as slaves within said designated States, and parts of States, are, and henceforward shall be free."

You cannot take a single line out of context when reading these documents, Jim. The sentence you quoted was an announcement of his intent to declare in January which states were in rebellion against the United States and his intent to free the slaves in those states. He then came back in January to declare which states were in actual rebellion, and he specifically listed those states in the next paragraph. After listing those states, he then declared in the next paragraph that the slaves in those states were free. The document did not apply to any state outside of those specifically listed.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

470. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:16:22 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B79DB76.3020445F@uci.edu>
Source record: 16765

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > No. I meant succeeding from the United
> > States. That was a mistake, wasn't
> > it Dwight?
>
> I've already answered that, Jim. In the previous message, I said,
> "I'm not even sure the civil war itself was a mistake. It does seem
> ironic that the one freedom not allowed in this country is the right
> of a state to break ties with this country, when this very country was
> created by breaking ties with another country."

I don't see why anyone would possibly want to confuse such an ignoble
cause with such a noble one.

471. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:18:59 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B79DBE3.FA25BEED@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16764

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Many people came to this land to get
> > away from the massive governments they
> > left behind. In the end, many felt that
> > they had simply traded in one all
> > powerful government for another, which
> > is exactly what they did not want.
>
> Were they looking for a government that
> allowed human injustice?

At the time, most people around the world, including a great many within the United States, did not consider slavery to be human injustice. In my opinion, when judging the people of the past, we have to hold them to the standards that existed in their time, not some standard that became widely accepted decades or more later. For example, it be absurd to say that a farmer that plowed with a horse a hundred years ago was backwards, when that was the best means available at that time to do the job.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

472. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:28:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B79DE08.5CF53780@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16766

James Bromley wrote:
>
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > Actually, I have heard a few of those
> > > same techniques used by some of the
> > > 11-meter channel jammers. A quick
> > > look at rr.cb shows a few who post the
> > > same message sometimes hundreds of
> > > times. I think I am beginning to
> > > understand where this guy is coming
> > > from and what it really means...
>
> Dwight Stewart, W5NET replied:
>
> > Then perhaps you can fill me in because
> > I certainly don't understand it.
>
> It makes sense from a communications-theory
> standpoint: Fill up the available bandwidth
> with noise and the information transfer rate
> slows to a glacial creep.

It's late. I think I need to get some sleep. Even that, in the context of the discussion, doesn't make any sense to me. I'm sure it's my fault, so I'm going to mark you message as unread and come back to read it again tomorrow, Jim. Perhaps something will click in the meantime, or perhaps it will make more sense then.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

473. Re: A CBer by any other name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:32:46 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B79DF1C.54B96EEE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16767

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Sometimes the QRM on these NGs gets
> to be almost to much to believe.

Surely you jest! There isn't any QRM in these newsgroups, is there? ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

474. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:53:31 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B79E42B.EE92B9BC@uci.edu>
Source record: 16770

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote...
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
> > > > > only applied to the Southern states.
> > > >
> > > > That is a legally and factually
> > > > inaccurate statement.
> > >
> > >
> > > You need to take another look at the
> > > Emancipation Proclamation. It did indeed
> > > only apply to the southern confederate
> > > states.
> > >
> > I have just looked at it, Dwight. In fact I
> > quoted directly from it, and that is what it
> > says. Sorry, OM.
> >
> That is not what it said, OM.

I beg to differ. I can show you if you like.

> Lincoln did not have the legal authority to free slaves
> throughout the United States.

His proclamation seems to presume that he does.

> That would have required a
> constitutional amendment, something that did not happen until years
> after the Emancipation Proclamation.

Not many years. Three, to be exact.

> Why have an amendment to free slaves if, as you say,
> the Emancipation Proclamation already freed them? Right there, common
> sense should tell you that there is something seriously wrong with
> your argument.

I suppose it was in order to put an end to any argument [one that still
persists evidently] that he didn't have the authority to end slavery.

> Third, you have taken a single line from that proclamation out of
> context to support your argument. When you quoted, "That on the first
> day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
> sixty-three, all persons held as slaves within any State or designated
> part of a State, the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against
> the United States, shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free...,"
> you failed to put those words into the context of the entire document.

BS. The sentence means the same thing whether it is appended to the
front of the a document (which I posted elsewhere) or not.

> In other words, the previous paragraph did not say which states were
> in rebellion. This paragraph said that he would declare that in January.

What the words say explicitly is that any state in which slaves are held
is considered to be in rebellion. Later on he lists the exceptions, and
lists the inclusions. The month of January is explicitly mentioned in
both places. It is very clear.

> And, finally, he then went on in the next paragraph after listing
> the states to say, "...by virtue of the power, and for the purpose
> aforesaid, I do order and declare that all persons held as slaves
> within said designated States, and parts of States, are, and
> henceforward shall be free."

That's right. After he directly named those States, he made it
abundantly clear that the proclamation applied to them as well. No
where does he state that those are the only states in which the slaves
are to be freed.

> You cannot take a single line out of context when reading these
> documents, Jim.

Please don't lecture to me, Dwight. I don't need it from you. I'm
quite aware of when context can change the meaning of a sentence, and
when it does not.

> The sentence you quoted was an announcement of his
> intent to declare in January which states were in rebellion against
> the United States and his intent to free the slaves in those states.

Not exactly. They don't teach you how to diagram sentences where you
come from, do they.

> He then came back in January to declare which states were in actual
> rebellion, and he specifically listed those states in the next
> paragraph. After listing those states, he then declared in the next
> paragraph that the slaves in those states were free.

Yes. The Southerners evidently weren't very good listeners, so he tried
to make it as clear as possible that he felt the freeing of slaves, out
of necessity, applied to them in particular.

> The document did
> not apply to any state outside of those specifically listed.

If you are correct, then I expect there must be a legal interpretation dating back to that time which would support the notion. I would appreciate it if you could direct me toward such a document. Left solely to the legal interpretation of Southerners with an axe to grind, I'm afraid I remain unconvinced. However, the fact of the matter is that the Northern states were already in support of the abolition of slavery, since any disagreement in that regard would have defined them as being in rebellion and would have automatically applied to them as per the proclamation.

475. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:54:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B79E46E.AEFA33F3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16768

GH wrote:
> OK, so the civil war and slavery has been beat to death, now we are on growing pot,
> discussing guns and copyrights. What are we going to do about the illegal operators
> intruding into the bottom end of the 40 meter band?

Plant pot under their antennas and call the feds?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

476. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 02:59:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B79E591.3AE53F81@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16771

Jim Kelley wrote:
> No. I meant succeeding from the United States. That was a mistake, wasn't
> it Dwight?

Given that a lot of present Southerners including myself might not
have been born if the South had not seceded, I don't think it was
a mistake at all. My great grandfather moved to Texas from Alabama
to get away from the carpetbaggers and married a Texas lady.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

477. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 03:01:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B79E5FD.BE9934C7@uci.edu>
Source record: 16769

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > Many people came to this land to get
> > > away from the massive governments they
> > > left behind. In the end, many felt that
> > > they had simply traded in one all
> > > powerful government for another, which
> > > is exactly what they did not want.
> >
> > Were they looking for a government that
> > allowed human injustice?

> At the time, most people around the world, including a great many
> within the United States, did not consider slavery to be human
> injustice.

So, back to my original question, were they right?

478. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 03:14:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B79E921.D67CF4A9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16772

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> >The fact is that the
> > Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the states "in rebellion".
>
> The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".

Sorry, you are just wrong. The following 140 year old English is tricky
but what it says is the proclamation only applies to the states in
rebellion and not even to all parts of those states. For instance,
West Virginia, New Orleans, LA, and Norfolk, VA were exempt from the
proclamation even though they were in rebellion. There was political
pull even in those days.

"... all persons held as slaves within any State or designated part
of a State, the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the
United States, ..."

This says all persons held as slaves within any State where the people
are in rebellion are freed except for the exceptions. The English is
tricky. You have to read it a couple of times to comprehend exactly
what is being said. "Any State whereof shall be in rebellion" means
only the states in rebellion.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

479. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 03:18:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B79EA0C.92CE43B3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16773

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3B78E707.5A69280@sccoast.net...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
> > > > only applied to the Southern states.
> > >
> > > That is a legally and factually
> > > inaccurate statement.
> >
> >
> > You need to take another look at the Emancipation Proclamation. It
> > did indeed only apply to the southern confederate states.
>
> I have just looked at it, Dwight. In fact I quoted directly from it, and
> that is what it says. Sorry, OM.

Sorry Jim, you simply do not understand the English of those days. It
says: "all persons held as slaves within any State ... the people whereof
shall then be in rebellion ..." This means any State that was part of
the rebellion. Try reading it again. Even given that, certain areas like
West Virginia, New Orleans, LA, and Norfolk, VA were excepted from the
proclamation.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

480. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 04:33:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815003320.03183.00003428@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 16774

In article <3B78FDB9.92201692@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> (snip) FYI, I am an example of a responsible
>> illegal drug user. (snip)
>
>
> You have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can and will
>be used against you in a court of law.

.....

> Do you understand these rights?
>
Brilliant, Dwight, simply brilliant. I love it.

But Cecil can simply answer that he doesn't understand those rights because
responsible illegal drug use has damaged the exact part of his brain that is
used to understand those rights.

It's a true Catch-22 situation:

A person has to be nuts to admit to illegal drug use in a public forum.
People who are nuts cannot be prosecuted.
So a person who admits to illegal drug use in a public forum cannot be
prosecuted, because that person is nuts.

See?

73 de Jim, N2EY

481. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 04:33:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815003321.03183.00003429@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 16775

In article <9lbngi$1ij$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>> >What I can't understand is why they ever thought they would be better
>> >off not not living in the United States.
>
>> Several reasons:
>>
>> 1) Sooner or later, the rest of the US would force abolition on the slave
>> states
>
>Would slavery not have ended eventually even if they had succeeded?

I don't know. From what I've read, many whites of that time thought that
slavery was morally justified. For example, nowhere in the Bible is slavery
condemned as immoral. Not once (that I know of) is it even called a bad thing.
All sorts of things are forbidden in both testaments, but not slavery. Plenty
of people took that to mean it was OK.
>
>> 2) The Southern economy, based on a few crops, could not compete with the
>> industrializing North and the rapidly expanding West.
>
>The Southern economy continues to be based on aggriculture.

Sure - but not nearly to the extent that it was in the 19th century. Heck, as
it says in "GWTW", there wasn't a single cannon factory in the entire South.
Most manufactured goods had to come from the North or Europe. Not so any more!
>
>> 3) The South was more aligned with European markets than it was with the
>rest
>> of the USA.
>
>How would this been affected by not succeeding?

I think you mean "seceding".

Tarriffs. One of the things that burdened the South more than the North was the
existence of heavy Federal tariffs on manufactured imports. Tarriffs on exports
were specifically banned in the Constitution. So Southerners could either buy
from Northern suppliers (thereby enriching Northerners) or pay higher prices
for imports (and enrich the federal government via tariffs). Either way, wealth
moved out of the South towards the North or the feds.
>
>Still doesn't make sense, Jim
>
I'm not saying any of it makes sense, just that there were reasons that some

folks felt they'd be better off out of the Union.

It must be remembered that the USA and its Constitution were not pristine works of genius created by a group of Founders who were in perfect agreement. Not by a long shot! There were all sorts of compromises and problems poorly solved. Many came away feeling the whole thing was temporary until the country got more established. I think it was Jefferson who seriously proposed that the Constitution be scrapped and rewritten every 20 years (!) because the great rate of change in 18th century society would make large parts of it obsolete in two decades.

I think that many Southerners saw the way the trends of the time and simply wanted out because those trends did not bode well for their way of life.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

482. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 11:40:53 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ldn2jgqe1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil,
Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research done by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.
That Colon Bowel guy is one big putz. Israel's in a war and the best he can do is to talk about "restraint." Sharon ought to ignore the dope. Restraint my tuchus. What's needed there is an all out IDF offensive to storm the Palestinian enclaves and strongholds. If the West Bank is being used to create mayhem against innocent Israelis, then TAKE IT BACK and do what must be done.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gi2wxm0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:

> On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 19:12:46 -0400, radiosigs wrote:
>
>>It would be better if we shot Arafat and his thugs into space on a one way
>>trip!
>
> Then we would have no one to negotiate with, because even his
> bag-carriers have been shouting anti-Israel political slogans so
> long that they don't even remember how to speak grammatical Arabic,
> let alone any other language.
>
> As far as "charging" for satellite launches, remember that Israel is
> the US's weapons beta tester......
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> (ex - 4X4UQ)
>
>

483. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 12:30:08 UTC
From: Hell Remailer <daemon@hell.pl>
Message-ID: <0ac7b3138b78441891af56da14974cd9@hell.pl>
Source record: 16777
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ldn2jgqe1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Phil,
> Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research done
> by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.

Doc, it's a computer/programming term for someone who tests out software to
see if any bugs (errors) in it before it's released to the general public.

73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99

484. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 13:05:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815090558.14716.00001750@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16778

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/14/2001 9:56 AM Central Daylight Time

>You can redeem yourself by answering these simple yes/no questions.

You love to employ the "yes/no" "Do you enjoy sex with your mother" type questions, Cecil.

Homey don't play that.

>It's no game, Steve, just intellectual dishonesty on your part.

You have absolutely NO idea what you are talking about.

>The feds pull the classification out of their posteriors.

Cecil........Oh, never mind.

>The purpose for classifying marijuana as a class 1 drug
>was to try to control the illegal Mexican nationals.

Uh huh...And the reason they put pork by-products into hot dogs is to try and starve out Israel. Heard THAT one too.

>"Common good" is the catch phrase used by socialists/communists
>to take away freedoms.

The Founding Fathers were communists and socialists...?!?!

More liberterian hooey! Again, you wonder WHY people don't flock to the ranks of your "party".

>The "weed" has been proven effective in
>relieving nausea for terminal cancer patients.

Oh...wait a minute...two paragraphs ago you were insistingthat the Feds don't test this stuff...Now you say it's been "proven"...

I KNOW it has, and I know who has proven it. I agree it has positive uses. But the people whom it would serve are already dying. They are unlikely to be the ones using it for RECREATIONAL purposes.

Marijuana for TRUE medicinal purposes is a valid use....Just as Meperidine is valid for pain management...NOT for "recreational" or "social" purposes.

>Of course, society
>would rather have those poor people throwing up and starving to
>death for the "common good of society". Nice guy.

Aggressively relocate the sarcasm to a light-deficient orifice of your choice, Cecil. You know that is not true. My "position" relates to "recreational" applications.

>> Little risk, Cecil...?!?!

>Little risk compared to the obscene profits that people like you
>guarantee to him.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>Do you side with the AMA when they want to outlaw guns?

Nope. But then I PARTICIPATE in the democratic process to keep it that way.

>You don't want the drug laws changed. You guys are on the same side.

You are lying.

But then we knew that..........

485. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 13:12:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815091246.14716.00001751@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 16779

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
>Date: 08/14/2001 7:03 PM Central Daylight Time

>What are we going to do about the illegal operators
>intruding into the bottom end of the 40 meter band?

I don't know about you but I record, log and correspond with both the FCC and my elected representitives to get them moved. But look how long it took to get Radio Tirane off of 40 meters and they were in the service of a "legitimate" government.

What are YOU doing, since you make an issue of it?

Steve, K4YZ

486. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 14:17:40 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8wve7.10814$Ki1.845761@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16780

I wrote:

> > It makes sense from a communications-theory
> > standpoint: Fill up the available bandwidth
> > with noise and the information transfer rate
> > slows to a glacial creep.

Dwight Stewart, W5NET, wrote:

> It's late. I think I need to get some sleep. Even that, in the
> context of the discussion, doesn't make any sense to me. I'm sure it's
> my fault, so I'm going to mark you message as unread and come back to
> read it again tomorrow, Jim. Perhaps something will click in the
> meantime, or perhaps it will make more sense then.

Yeah, Dwight, drop two tabs of acid and call me in the morning.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

487. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 14:49:46 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7A8EA7.3C344FF1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16781

Jim Kelley wrote:

>the fact of the matter is

> that the Northern states were already in support of the abolition of
> slavery, since any disagreement in that regard would have defined them
> as being in rebellion and would have automatically applied to them as
> per the proclamation.

Touhe! The clincher.....

488. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 15:08:12 UTC
From: paul harris <paul@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80A396CDD@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 16782

Im still working on the term "cooperation" that appears a couple of times in
the article. Is that like, "a hooker cooperates with a john"?

"Hell Remailer" <daemon@hell.pl> wrote in message
news:0ac7b3138b78441891af56da14974cd9@hell.pl...
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9ldn2jgqe1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Phil,
> > Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research
done
> > by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.
>
> Doc, it's a computer/programming term for someone who tests out software
to
> see if any bugs (errors) in it before it's released to the general public.
>
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99
>

489. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 15:08:54 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7A9323.187EF44D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16783

CAM wrote:

> Slavery existed in the Northern states throughout the Civil War. If the war
> was over slavery, why weren't the Northern slaves freed?

Clearly you didn't major in history. There was a >50 year ruckus over admitting new states as
either "slave" or "free". Ever hear of the Missouri Compromise?

U S Grant held slaves???? Ha! Slaves cost a lot of money and he had so little that he went broke
selling dry goods. The only thing he was good at was kicking rebel butt, as it turned out. That
seems to include his later tenure as President.

> BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation only
> applied to the Southern states.

It applied to any state or part not excluded which held slaves thus was considered to be in rebellion.

There are a great many things about the South that I really like. It's history of slavery isn't
one.

490. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 15:34:11 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7A990F.EDDFA524@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16784

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > One monor correction. Lincoln only
> > freed the slaves in the 'States that
> > were in Rebellion against the Union'.
> > In otherwords, and this is the best
> > part. ONLY in the STATES that were
> > NO LONGER IN THE UNION.

Well, they SAID they were no longer in the union. Turned out they were wrong, as Lincoln knew already.

>
> >
> > So tell me...where did he get that
> > right from? He was NOT THE PRESIDENT
> > of those states.
>
> Actually, the answer to that is in the emancipation proclamation. In
> that proclamation, Lincoln refused to acknowledge that the states had
> actually seceded, but were instead in "armed rebellion" against the
> "authority and government of the United States." In other words, since
> the states were only in rebellion (had not actually seceded), those
> states were still subject to the laws of the United States. Of course,
> to make the emancipation proclamation stick, the North still had to
> repress the rebellion (win the civil war). Until that was
> accomplished, the proclamation was absolutely worthless in the
> secession states.
>
> However, the emancipation proclamation did give serious pause to
> those countries considering support for the secession states - its one
> thing to support an independent country (the Confederacy) and quite
> another to support states in armed rebellion against an existing
> government. In the end, many of those countries were not willing to
> risk war with the United States to support states in rebellion
> (especially since those states, the Confederacy, might still lose the war).

But you still left out one of the main effects. What do you think the slaves in the "states in rebellion" thought when they heard the news? "I'm, free, The Man said so". It gave the rebels another entire level of headaches, the necessity of attempting to maintain control and order over their newly freed slaves as well as fighting the war. Worth a few of Union divisions or more, I'd guess.

It was a masterful tactical stroke by Lincoln and company.

491. Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 16:00:44 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108150800.3d618973@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16785

Hi everybody,

I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just curious, thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

492. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 16:25:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Mnxe7.17149$1p1.1367101@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16786

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:beb0a48b.0108150800.3d618973@posting.google.com...
> Hi everybody,
>
> I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
> here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
> actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
> believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
> upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
> curious, thanks.
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

The only good reason I can think of is that the Advanced would be a symbol
of passing a 13 wpm code test because it will never be offered under the
5wpm standard. I don't think anyone actually downgraded.

Life is too short.

Dick NBK

493. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 16:51:55 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9le9d3$n5m$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Then we would have some damn liberal bitching because we ruined the ecology
of space.

But it is a good idea.

Dan/W4NTI

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9lcb9j$o9k$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> It would be better if we shot Arafat and his thugs into space on a one way
> trip!
> Doc,AF2Y
>
> ----------
> In article <3B7990DE.A1E9CDB0@yomtobian.org>, John Pollack
> <jpo213@yomtobian.org> wrote:
>
>
> > Details, details, what kind of satellite. You never hear about this
> > stuff
> > on "our" news.
> >
> > http://www.middleeastwire.com/newswire/stories/20010814_1_meno.shtml
> >
> >
> >
> > Middle East Newswire
> > NASA To Launch Israeli Satellite At No Cost
> > Middle East News Online
> > By Middle East News Online Reporter
> > Posted Monday August 13, 2001 - 06:05:14 PM EDT
> >
> >
> > Ariel Sharon and Science & Culture Minister met today with United States
> > Administrator Dan Goldin and his delegation, and US Ambassador to Israel
> > Daniel Kurtzer to Discuss NASA's proposal to launch an Israeli satellite
> > at
> > no charge in the upcoming shuttles trips.
> >
> > Gloldin and his team agreed today with the Israeli government" that NASA
> > and
> > Israel would indeed continue to cooperate on space research, including
> > the
> > launch of Israeli satellites at no cost on the various space shuttles.

> > It
> > was also agreed that Israeli astronaut Ilan Ramon would be launched into
> > space on May 23 of 2002." according to press release from Sharon's Media
> > Advisor.
> >
> > Currently, Israel is the recipient of over $7 billion in aid and grants
> > from
> > the United States. In addition, the U.S. equips Israel with the best
> > military hardware, technology and intelligence information.
> >
> > "Sharon said that he would make an effort to mobilize the necessary
> > resources to institutionalize Israeli-NASA cooperation while utilizing
> > Israel's human potential to promote useful research into global climatic
> > change, as well as water and earthquake research." "In light of the
> > expected
> > agreement between NASA and Israel, Goldin emphasized the possibilities
> > of
> > Israel's integration into the European space center and Israel's joining
> > the
> > exclusive club of countries participating in the international space
> > station, including involvement of Israeli research and researchers in
> > the
> > station."

494. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 16:54:42 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9le9gg$2t8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ldn2jgqe1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Phil,
> Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research
done
> by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.

For a self proclaimed intelect....I think he needs to get out more.

> That Colon Bowel guy is one big putz. Israel's in a war and the best he
can
> do is to talk about "restraint." Sharon ought to ignore the dope.
Restraint
> my tuchus. What's needed there is an all out IDF offensive to storm the
> Palestinian enclaves and strongholds. If the West Bank is being used to
> create mayhem against innocent Israelis, then TAKE IT BACK and do what
must
> be done.
> Doc,AF2Y

Then we can have the Chinese supported Iraq's jump in and have a good time
of it.

Dan/W4NTI

>
> ----------
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gi2wxm0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
> > On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 19:12:46 -0400, radiosigs wrote:
> >
> >>It would be better if we shot Arafat and his thugs into space on a one
way
> >>trip!
> >
> > Then we would have no one to negotiate with, because even his
> > bag-carriers have been shouting anti-Israel political slogans so
> > long that they don't even remember how to speak grammatical Arabic,
> > let alone any other language.
> >
> > As far as "charging" for satellite launches, remember that Israel is
> > the US's weapons beta tester......
> >

> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > (ex - 4X4UQ)
> >
> >

495. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 18:08:52 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7ABAB4.A5F18B86@uci.edu>
Source record: 16789

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > >The fact is that the
> > > Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the states "in rebellion".
> >
> > The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> > it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".
>
> Sorry, you are just wrong.

Nope. I'm quite sure that the Emancipation Proclamation was intended to
free slaves in any state in which slaves were not free.

496. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 18:31:48 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10108151829370.20789-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 16790

On 15 Aug 2001, Bert Craig wrote:
>I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
>here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
>actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
>believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
>upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
>curious, thanks.

You heard wrongly. Any extra class whose "prior class" field shows advanced we will all recognize as a 13wpm extra at the least (and if the extra class license was held before April 19, 2000, a 20wpm extra is the only possibility).

However, one can voluntarily surrender a license, but I've never heard of anyone doing that to ask for a license of lesser privilege.

497. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 18:40:03 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7AC203.B6300955@uci.edu>
Source record: 16791

CAM wrote:
> Sorry Jim, you simply do not understand the English of those days. It
> says: "all persons held as slaves within any State ... the people whereof
> shall then be in rebellion ..." This means any State that was part of
> the rebellion.

No. That part of the sentence simply declares that people within any state in which slaves are held shall be in rebellion. Note that you had to type over the punctuation in order to make your point. My first reading of the proclamation gave me the same impression that you have. However, the comma between "within any State", and "the people whereof" indicates that there were two points being made. The subject of the sentence is "persons being held as slaves", not states in which persons are being held as slaves, as evidenced by the fact that before the semicolon those people are once again referred to as being thenceforward and forever free. The scope of people who are the subject of the sentence is NOT modified or limited by the sub-sentence. Note that the sub-sentence refers not to the slaves, or to states, but to the people of a state in which slaves are held. And it tells them that they are in rebellion.

498. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 18:59:29 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7AC691.A04A46A4@uci.edu>
Source record: 16792

N2EY wrote:
> I think that many Southerners saw the way the trends of the time and simply
> wanted out because those trends did not bode well for their way of life.

I don't disagree with that one bit. I simply disagree with the
assertion that the Civil War wasn't about slavery. Were it not for
slavery, there wouldn't have been a war.

I was once married to a Southern belle. I very much appreciate the
South, it's beauty, and all the suffering it and its people have
endured. I just wish the bitterness would finally go away.

499. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 19:32:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7ACE3E.78E1F0C4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16793

N2EY wrote:
>
> But Cecil can simply answer that he
> doesn't understand those rights because
> responsible illegal drug use has
> damaged the exact part of his brain that
> is used to understand those rights.

True, the person can say they don't understand those rights. However, as I understand it, that does not in itself prevent the use of anything said after that. It would be up to the court to decide if statements after that could be used in court. In other words, the court would decide if the person could reasonably understood those rights or not (in effect, waving those rights by making further statements if he did understand).

Now, I do like your particular slant on this (drugs damage the part of the brain needed to understand those rights). But, while creative, I somehow suspect that just will not fly in the courtroom. :-)

> A person has to be nuts to admit to
> illegal drug use in a public forum.
> People who are nuts cannot be prosecuted.
> So a person who admits to illegal drug
> use in a public forum cannot be
> prosecuted, because that person is nuts.

There is a difference between being foolish and being legally insane. Of course, I'm not saying that Cecil was foolish (though I have questioned his sanity occasionally - a joke, people). Like so many of us, he just got caught up in the heat of the discussion and let things slip out that should have been left unsaid.

By the way, let me make something very clear here. There is absolutely nothing wrong with discussing the drug issue or with advocating a change in the drug laws. However, when you make very specific statements about your own immediate drug use, in writing, in a public forum, it seems to me that you're just asking for trouble. In other words, limit the conversation to the general political issue and you can talk about it all day long (it's your right).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

500. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 20:30:26 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lem6p$u6o$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16794

Why are they illegal? What freq are they on ? Are they using call signs?

Perhaps there in another country. 40 is only 7.0 to 7.1 in the rest of the world you know. And no split for phone/cw.

So the details eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"GH" <nbcred@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:3B79AE7A.9D013683@mailcity.com...
> OK, so the civil war and slavery has been beat to death, now we are on growing pot,
> discussing guns and copyrights. What are we going to do about the illegal operators
> intruding into the bottom end of the 40 meter band?
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > > >The fact that drugs
> > > >are illegal *enable* the criminals to make their obscene profits
> > > >and they love the feds for it.
> > >
> > > Then let's strike down all the laws against domestic violence and having
> > > sex with children....think of all the space we will free up in prisons and the
> > > social workers we will not have to pay for just by decriminalizing those.
> >
> > Steve, you are just going to have to force yourself to comprehend the
> > difference between the victimless crime of me smoking pot in the
> > privacy of my home and a crime with victims like domestic violence
> > where force is involved. Why do you have such difficulty with those
> > concepts? In one case, someone is beating up on someone else. In the
> > other case, nothing bad is happening. How can I commit evil alone in
> > the privacy of my home? Do you also support the older laws against
> > masturbation in private?
> >
> > > While we are at it, let's also decriminalize copyright
> > > infringement...Geez-o-whiz, we WOULD have thought of it our selves
> > > too...eventually.

> >
> > Copyright infringement is NOT a victimless crime. Please learn to
> > tell the difference.
> >
> > > And we can also decriminalize speeding, medical malpractice, theft,
etc.
> >
> > Montana doesn't have any top speed limit. The other crimes clearly
> > have victims.
> >
> > > >If there weren't so much profit in
> > > >drugs there wouldn't be as many drugs.
> > >
> > > And the same could be said for child pornography.
> >
> > The children in child pornography are victims. They are not adults
> > and cannot legally give consent. What is it about victims VS
> > victimless crime that you do not understand?
> >
> > > >"Legalized marijuana"
> > > >strikes more fear into the hearts of drug dealers than it does in
> > > >yours.
> > >
> > > No, it doesn't.
> >
> > Yes, it does. It would mean the end of their livelihoods which the
> > present drug laws graciously provide for them.
> >
> > There's a similar example in my home county. Sale of booze is
prohibited.
> > That makes the bootleggers deliriously happy.
> >
> > > >> MOST acts of domestic violence are perpetrated under the influence
of
> > > >> alcohol or drugs.
> > >
> > > >By criminal types who would do it with or without alcohol or drugs.
> > >
> > > Wrong.
> >
> > You don't understand human nature, do you, Steve? Taking booze away from
> > the bad guys is not going to turn them into good guys. Some people are
> > just evil by nature. Laws against drugs are not going to change that.
> > In fact, YOU are enabling the criminals to dodge responsibility for
> > their crimes by blaming the drugs instead of the perps.
> >
> > > But the great majority of crimes commited are commited by someone
under
> > > the influence or trying to get money to GET those drigs so they CAN be
under

> > > the influence.
> >
> > Yep, the laws don't seem to discourage them a bit, do they? You are
> > admitting that the laws don't work. If the drugs carried a free market
> > price like alcohol does, nobody would have to steal for it. How often
> > do you hear of someone breaking and entering to get money to buy booze?
> >
> > > True. But we aren't talking about guns, are we?
> >
> > Yep, we are talking about responsible adults being free to own and
> > take responsibility for their own lives. My SKS is illegal in CA.
> > Does that make me a criminal type?
> >
> > > But I can only imagine what the highways would be like if there
weren't
> > > laws against it. Again...the alcohol and the drugs inhibit some
people's
> > > ability to act responsibly...more so than the other "users" you tried
to
> > > obfuscate the subject with.
> >
> > Alcohol is legal. DUI is illegal. Why can't it be that way for
marijuana?
> > The real crime with real potential victims is DUI, not simple use.
> >
> > > >You just cannot legislate rationality
> > >
> > > I agree. But we can do our best to create an atmosphere that promotes
it.
> >
> > The drug laws promote the irrationality that is the illegal drug
industry.
> > The drug laws are the cause of most of the present drug problems and
> > allow the government to expropriate wealth without due process.
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

501. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 20:35:01 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lemgf$v9k$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16795

All you need to do to downgrade is get on 14.313, get Riley's attention. He will send you a re-test order (if you tested under the Volunteer Examiner Program that is). And then you can go fail it like KC1ZQ now summers EVE did. I believe he is the ONLY ex advanced licensee that downgraded to a General.

Dan/W4NTI

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10108151829370.20789-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On 15 Aug 2001, Bert Craig wrote:
> >I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
> >here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
> >actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
> >believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
> >upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
> >curious, thanks.
>
> You heard wrongly. Any extra class whose "prior class" field shows advanced we
> will all recognize as a 13wpm extra at the least (and if the extra class license
> was held before April 19, 2000, a 20wpm extra is the only possibility).
>
> However, one can voluntarily surrender a license, but I've never heard of anyone
> doing that to ask for a license of lesser privilege.
>

502. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 20:43:56 UTC
From: Brian <nospam@newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <gaBe7.2610$2u.37279@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16796

Brian more or less stated:

Poor ole XrayJL (aka Gray, J., L.) can't come up with any new material. Can't
come up with a call sign either.

73

>
>CWnotCB wrote:
>>
>> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>>
>> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
>
> Know nothing but the same old tired nonsense = no brains.
>
> Do try harder to come up with something new, guy. The endless
>repetition of this simplistic garbage clearly suggests that you lack
>the intelligence to form new, or more complex, thoughts and concepts.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

503. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 20:45:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7ADF66.CA5C6780@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16797

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> What the words say explicitly is that
> any state in which slaves are held
> is considered to be in rebellion. Later
> on he lists the exceptions, and lists
> the inclusions. The month of January is
> explicitly mentioned in both places. It
> is very clear.

Okay, Jim, I'm going to try this one more time. I don't want to keep writing long-winded explanations, so please do read this very carefully and refer back to the proclamation to verify what is said.

First of all, the proclamation is in two parts. The first sentence says that the second two paragraphs, including the part you quoted, were written in September to announce his intent to declare in January which states were to be in rebellion and his intent to free the slaves in those states. In other words, the first two paragraphs were only intended to lay the foundation for the actual proclamation in January. You can see this clearly by reading the first sentence. The next two paragraphs contain the words in that September proclamation (notice those two paragraphs are in quotes).

Again, you cannot take a single sentence out of context to support your argument. The sentence you quote (the first sentence in the second paragraph) is prefaced by the words "that on the first day of January." Remember that sentence was written in September, not in January. Therefore, that sentence does nothing on it's own without the proclamation in January. In other words, that sentence does not free the slaves.

Paragraph three specifically says what will, and will not, qualify a state to be declared in rebellion in January. And it has nothing to do with slavery. Instead, that paragraph says that any state that is represented in congress, by members chosen by the voters, and so forth, will not be declared in January to be in rebellion. All other states will be consider to be in rebellion.

Paragraph four continues with the January proclamation (notice the word "now" in the first sentence, and the date later given). That paragraph then goes on to designate which states are in actual rebellion. The proclamation then goes on to list the states in the fifth paragraph.

It is not until we get to the sixth paragraph that any slaves are set free by the proclamation. That paragraph says...

> And by virtue of the power, and for the
> purpose aforesaid, I do order and declare
> that all persons held as slaves within
> said designated States, and parts of
> States, are, and henceforward shall be
> free; (snip)

Again, the fifth paragraph listed the states this sentence applies to. No other states were included in the emancipation proclamation. And the slaves in no other states were freed. It was not until the 13th Amendment that slaves throughout the country were freed.

Read the proclamation if you don't get it. Again, remember that you cannot take a single sentence out of context to support your argument. The proclamation is the entire document, not a single line. If a single line was intended to stand alone, the proclamation would have contained only that single line.

And, if, after that, you still don't get it, I give up. I suggest that you take what I've written, what you've written, and a copy of the proclamation, down to a local college history professor and ask him or her which of us are right. Or, if you can, ask a expert on historical legal documents. I have never heard your interpretation from anybody with any expertise on the subject.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

504. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 20:49:39 UTC
From: Brian <nospam@newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <DfBe7.2620$2u.37375@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian more or less stated:
>
>I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
>I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
>wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
>I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
>tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
>having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
>to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
>I thought I was going to need).
>
>Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
>I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
>inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
>juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
>want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
>Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
>certainly hope not.
>
>Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
>concern.
>
>73
>
>Paul Friedman

Paul, go for it. We Tech's and former Tech's keep the malcontents busy here, so you won't have to put up with disgruntled OF's on HF. The plan is working gangbusters.

Brian

505. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 20:56:41 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3b7ae218$1@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 16799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello Paul,
For the most part the bickering and crap you refer in these newsgroups is not present on the HF bands with the possible exception of 80M sometimes during the evenings.

I would heartily encourage you to get your HF privileges in order and join a great bunch of Hams on the HF bands.

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
www.ab7sl.com

506. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 21:09:13 UTC
From: Brian <nospam@newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <ZxBe7.2642$2u.37482@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 16800

Brian more or less stated:
>
>On 15 Aug 2001, Bert Craig wrote:
>>I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
>>here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
>>actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
>>believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
>>upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
>>curious, thanks.
>
>You heard wrongly. Any extra class whose "prior class" field shows advanced we
>will all recognize as a 13wpm extra at the least (and if the extra class license
>was held before April 19, 2000, a 20wpm extra is the only possibility).
>
>However, one can voluntarily surrender a license, but I've never heard of anyone
>doing that to ask for a license of lesser privilege.

Your idea is meritless. Besides, how do you differentiate the "waivered"
Advanced and Extra class licensees?

507. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 21:11:32 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7AE584.37C11CC8@uci.edu>
Source record: 16801

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Again, you cannot take a single sentence out of context to support
> your argument. The sentence you quote (the first sentence in the
> second paragraph) is prefaced by the words "that on the first day of
> January." Remember that sentence was written in September, not in
> January. Therefore, that sentence does nothing on it's own without the
> proclamation in January. In other words, that sentence does not free
> the slaves.

Nothing was taken out of context. You're simply picking nits.

> Read the proclamation if you don't get it. Again, remember that you
> cannot take a single sentence out of context to support your argument.
> The proclamation is the entire document, not a single line. If a
> single line was intended to stand alone, the proclamation would have
> contained only that single line.

The Proclamation INCLUDES the first sentence, which means exactly what I
said it means. There is nothing anywhere in the document to support
your claim that slavery was disallowed ONLY in the Southern states.

> I suggest
> that you take what I've written, what you've written, and a copy of
> the proclamation, down to a local college history professor and ask
> him or her which of us are right.

I expect he would say we are both right, with the exception of the claim
I've been trying to dispute, that the Emancipation Proclamation allowed
slavery to continue in Northern states.

508. drugs: Cecil & Stewart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 21:33:55 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7VBe7.17377$1p1.1384843@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16802

The Economist published a drug survey about two weeks ago that I could arrange to have e-mailed to you in pieces. Well written, well documented and to some extent agrees with you both.

Drop me an e-mail with an address that works and I'd be happy to do it.

Dick N�BK

509. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 21:57:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7AE170.449313D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 16803

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > >The fact is that the
> > > Emancipation Proclamation only applied to the states "in rebellion".
> >
> > The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> > it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".
>
> Sorry, you are just wrong. The following 140 year old English is tricky
> but what it says is the proclamation only applies to the states in
> rebellion and not even to all parts of those states. For instance,
> West Virginia, New Orleans, LA, and Norfolk, VA were exempt from the
> proclamation even though they were in rebellion. There was political
> pull even in those days.

Uhhh-what was the date of that proclamation again? West Virginia didn't
become a state until June 20, 1863.

> "... all persons held as slaves within any State or designated part
> of a State, the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the
> United States, ..."
>
> This says all persons held as slaves within any State where the people
> are in rebellion are freed except for the exceptions. The English is
> tricky. You have to read it a couple of times to comprehend exactly
> what is being said. "Any State whereof shall be in rebellion" means
> only the states in rebellion.

You've read it. You've misunderstood it and you've misinterpreted it.
That said, it still has naught to do with amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

510. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 22:02:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7AF13D.6D007829@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16804

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> (snip) Left solely to the legal
> interpretation of Southerners with
> an axe to grind, I'm afraid I
> remain unconvinced. (snip)

Okay, let me make something very clear at this point. I get a little defensive when someone accuses me of having an hidden agenda where none exists. I didn't raise this subject in this thread. I simply responded to the statements in this thread with my own views, opinions, experience, and knowledge.

One of those statements was written by you and contained information which I felt was inaccurate. I have since spent considerable time writing messages trying to explain why I thought those statements were inaccurate. Throughout all of that, I tried to be as polite as possible (I didn't accuse you of having an agenda, though it is often the person promoting incorrect information that has the agenda).

And, finally, I'm not some southerner with an "axe to grind." I was educated throughout the country (Massachusetts, military schools overseas, California, etc), and the interpretation I gave is exactly what I've heard in schools throughout the country.

Furthermore, I have read a good number of books on American history, and again the interpretation I gave is exactly what I've read in every book on the subject. For example, the Funk & Wagnalls New Encyclopedia says...

Emancipation Proclamation, proclamation
issued by Abraham Lincoln on Jan. 1, 1863,
during the American Civil War, declaring
all "slaves within any State, or designated
part of a State... then... in rebellion,...
shall be then, thenceforward, and forever
free." The states affected were enumerated
in the proclamation; specifically exempted
were slaves in parts of Southern states
then held by the Union armies.

That same encyclopedia elsewhere says...

On July 22, 1862, in response to radical
demands and diplomatic necessity, he
[Lincoln] told his cabinet that he intended
to issue an emancipation proclamation but took

care to soften the blow to the border slave
states by specifically exempting them.

So much for your argument that all slaves in the country were freed.
Anyway, that same encyclopedia elsewhere says...

The preliminary proclamation of emancipation,
issued by Lincoln in September 1862,
stipulated that on Jan. 1, 1863, in those
states or portions of states still engaged
in rebellion, the slaves would be "forever
free." Despite the reprieve granted the south,
Lincoln thought it unlikely that the
Confederate states would choose to return to
the Union. Nevertheless, partly to appease a
skeptical northern public, he made it clear
that preserving the Union, not abolishing
slavery, remained his principle objective.
When he later issued the proclamation of
emancipation, Lincoln defended it on the
grounds of military necessity; emancipation
would, he declared, weaken the productive
forces of the Confederacy and thus hasten
the end of the war. Tennessee, and the loyal
border slave states were excluded from the
proclamation, as were designated portions of
Louisiana, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Again, so much for your argument that all slaves were freed. Every
book I've ever read on the subject says the same thing (the
emancipation proclamation only freed southern slaves). I have never
heard your interpretation (all slaves in America were freed by the
emancipation proclamation) from any source other than you.

This ends my attempts to correct your misinformation on this subject
(I don't desire to have additional accusations of hidden agendas
directed at me). I hope those reading your comments will choose to get
their information from a more reliable source.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

511. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 22:14:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_uCe7.17431$1p1.1388407@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16805

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3B7AE584.37C11CC8@uci.edu...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Again, you cannot take a single sentence out of context to support
> > your argument. The sentence you quote (the first sentence in the
> > second paragraph) is prefaced by the words "that on the first day of
> > January." Remember that sentence was written in September, not in
> > January. Therefore, that sentence does nothing on it's own without the
> > proclamation in January. In other words, that sentence does not free
> > the slaves.
>
> Nothing was taken out of context. You're simply picking nits.
>
> > Read the proclamation if you don't get it. Again, remember that you
> > cannot take a single sentence out of context to support your argument.
> > The proclamation is the entire document, not a single line. If a
> > single line was intended to stand alone, the proclamation would have
> > contained only that single line.
>
> The Proclamation INCLUDES the first sentence, which means exactly what I
> said it means. There is nothing anywhere in the document to support
> your claim that slavery was disallowed ONLY in the Southern states.
>
> > I suggest
> > that you take what I've written, what you've written, and a copy of
> > the proclamation, down to a local college history professor and ask
> > him or her which of us are right.
>
> I expect he would say we are both right, with the exception of the claim
> I've been trying to dispute, that the Emancipation Proclamation allowed
> slavery to continue in Northern states.

Jim, I think Dwight wins this one. The EP was issued not so much for
internal consumption as to give the British govt at the time an excuse to
stay out of it. They were under tremendous pressure from the cotton mill
owners and bankers to whom the plantations owed tons of money to intervene
on the side of the Confederacy, particularly after the Trent affair.

The Confederacy had embargoed cotton shipments as a lever on the Brits to
intervene, it was not the Federal blockade (secession? one closes his ports,
he does not blockade them) that prevented shipment.

The upshot was that the English mills converted from the long-staple cotton
grown in the South to the short-staple Egyptian cotton leaving cotton a drag
on the market after the war.

Lincoln gave the Brits a fig leaf but the intent was not to create a major internal disruption. The effect was limited to states "then in rebellion".

Along the line of secession, how do you create West Virginia out of a state without its consent unless you believe Virginia had in fact seceded?

Dick N&#65533;BK

512. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 22:19:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7AF545.6542578A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16806

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> There are a great many things
> about the South that I really like.
> It's history of slavery isn't
> one.

Do you apply that disdain to the other states outside the south, Richard? At some point in this country's history (prior to the 13th Amendment), slaves were held in every single state in the country at that time.

By the way, Jim Kelly, this is the only agenda I've ever had relating to this subject - fighting the concept that slavery was solely a southern evil.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

513. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 22:39:02 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7AFA06.4474EEF6@uci.edu>
Source record: 16807

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > (snip) Left solely to the legal
> > interpretation of Southerners with
> > an axe to grind, I'm afraid I
> > remain unconvinced. (snip)

> Okay, let me make something very clear at this point. I get a little
> defensive when someone accuses me of having an hidden agenda where
> none exists. I didn't raise this subject in this thread. I simply
> responded to the statements in this thread with my own views,
> opinions, experience, and knowledge.

I apologize for the unfair remark, Dwight. I was getting a little testy
at that point. This all started when somebody said the Civil War had
nothing to do with slavery. And then somebody added that Lincoln only
freed some of the slaves, or maybe none at all. I think that's bad
history. If you agree with that, then we have no disagreement.

> One of those statements was written by you and contained information
> which I felt was inaccurate. I have since spent considerable time
> writing messages trying to explain why I thought those statements were
> inaccurate. Throughout all of that, I tried to be as polite as
> possible (I didn't accuse you of having an agenda, though it is often
> the person promoting incorrect information that has the agenda).

Again, I apologize. I think you're a good guy. We just appear to have
a disagreement on this.

> Furthermore, I have read a good number of books on American history,
> and again the interpretation I gave is exactly what I've read in every
> book on the subject. For example, the Funk & Wagnalls New Encyclopedia says...

> Emancipation Proclamation, proclamation
> issued by Abraham Lincoln on Jan. 1, 1863,
> during the American Civil War, declaring
> all "slaves within any State, or designated
> part of a State... then... in rebellion,...
> shall be then, thenceforward, and forever
> free." The states affected were enumerated
> in the proclamation; specifically exempted
> were slaves in parts of Southern states
> then held by the Union armies.

I hope we can at least agree that the Emancipation Proclamation was intended to free slaves in States that refused to otherwise free them. There was no point to imposing this decree on abolishonist states. The wording nevertheless freed slaves in any state in which they were not free.

> I have never
> heard your interpretation (all slaves in America were freed by the
> emancipation proclamation) from any source other than you.

I wonder if you might name for me those states which President Lincoln intended, through his actions, to remain as slave states.

> (I don't desire to have additional accusations of hidden agendas
> directed at me).

I never intended for you to wear a shoe that doesn't fit you, Dwight.

514. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 22:49:46 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7AFC8A.67EBB7B9@uci.edu>
Source record: 16808

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> By the way, Jim Kelly, this is the only agenda I've ever had
> relating to this subject - fighting the concept that slavery was
> solely a southern evil.

I thought that I detected a bit of an agenda. I don't think I ever made such a claim however. Nevertheless, the South certainly seemed unopposed to the idea of killing people over it. Yes, I know. The Civil War wasn't about slavery.

"Will it go 'round in circles?"

Billy Preston

515. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:02:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7AFF79.5555B5C0@uci.edu>
Source record: 16809

Richard McCollum wrote:
> Jim, I think Dwight wins this one.

I'll hold a thumb and a finger in the shape of an "L" on my forehead.
:-)

516. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:10:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108151510.304a38c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16810

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3B7AC691.A04A46A4@uci.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > I think that many Southerners saw the way the trends of the time and simply
> > wanted out because those trends did not bode well for their way of life.
>
> I don't disagree with that one bit. I simply disagree with the
> assertion that the Civil War wasn't about slavery.

Slavery was "A" cause of the war, but not "THE" cause of the war.
That's what I'm saying.

> Were it not for
> slavery, there wouldn't have been a war.

Impossible to know for sure. Without slavery, the South of 1861 would
have been so different that the other causes for secession may have
not existed.
>
> I was once married to a Southern belle. I very much appreciate the
> South, it's beauty, and all the suffering it and its people have
> endured.

How about the suffering the SLAVES endured? Ever see "Gone With The
Wind"? I always found it disgusting that there was absolutely no
mention that the whole plantation system, the wealth and beauty of
places like Tara and the lifestyle that was enjoyed by the likes of
the O'Hara family was made possible by slave labor.

btw, most people don't know that many of the important historic
government buildings in Washington, DC were built in part by slaves.
The Capitol is one example.

>I just wish the bitterness would finally go away.

It's easier to blame one's problems on things done long-ago and/or far
away by people who are "different" than it is to admit that the
problems have causes closer to home. For example, it's easier to blame
the Feds for outlawing pot than it is to realize that the states would
do the same thing if the Feds didn't.

73 de Jim, N2EY

517. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:11:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B016C.C29D089E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16811

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > At the time, most people around
> > the world, including a great many
> > within the United States, did not
> > consider slavery to be human
> > injustice.
>
> So, back to my original question, were
> they right?

I've tried to avoid your question because I cannot give you a simple answer, Jim. I didn't live in that time and I think it is unfair to apply today's standards to people who lived more than a hundred years ago. Therefore, all I can say is that the people were not "wrong" based on the standards of their time. Beyond that, I'm not willing to make a judgment, nor is one needed. The only judgments needed are for those living today, based on today's standards.

Of course, I know you're now probably going to start screaming that I think slavery was, or is, okay (after all, based on your increasing rhetoric, this seems to be what you're reaching for - to define me as a racist southerner). But that is not at all what I said. Slavery is most certainly not okay today. But those people are not living today. Therefore, I'm not willing to judge what they did by standards other than those that existed in their time (the only standards they could have possibly lived up to), no more than I hope the people of the future judge me by the standards of today instead of those that exist in their time (standards I cannot possibly live up to because I cannot know what they will be).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

518. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:17:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B02CF.AC67674F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16812

James Bromley wrote:
>
> Yeah, Dwight, drop two tabs of acid
> and call me in the morning.

LOL. It didn't work, Jim. Never mind.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

519. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:28:03 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ADe7.11154$Ki1.879724@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16813

To my knowlege, advanced was never a 5 WPM test. So .... an advanced had to pass a 13 WPM code test. The extras will have to contend with the fact that no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice' availability of older calls. Hey, I wonder if the FCC would agree to let me use my telegraph license number? Nope, that starts with a 'T'. Sigh .... hey, maybe I can get lucky when I get back down to HF and someone will challenge me ... ooops - could be using a computer. Oh well. BTW, who really cares?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Brian" <nospam@newsranger.com> wrote in message
news:ZxBe7.2642$2u.37482@www.newsranger.com...
> Brian more or less stated:
> >
> >On 15 Aug 2001, Bert Craig wrote:
> >>I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
> >>here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
> >>actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
> >>believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
> >>upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
> >>curious, thanks.
> >
> >You heard wrongly. Any extra class whose "prior class" field shows
advanced we
> >will all recognize as a 13wpm extra at the least (and if the extra class
license
> >was held before April 19, 2000, a 20wpm extra is the only possibility).
> >
> >However, one can voluntarily surrender a license, but I've never heard of
anyone
> >doing that to ask for a license of lesser privilege.
>
> Your idea is meritless. Besides, how do you differentiate the "waivered"
> Advanced and Extra class licensees?
>
>

520. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:50:39 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gi4mq13.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 14:30:08 +0200 (CEST), Hell Remailer wrote:

>> Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research done
>> by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.
>
>Doc, it's a computer/programming term for someone who tests out software to
>see if any bugs (errors) in it before it's released to the general public.

That term existed in military circles long before it made its way
into computer lingo.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

521. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-15 23:50:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gi4mm02.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 07:40:53 -0400, radiosigs wrote:

>Phil,
>Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research done
>by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.

Military equipment testing/usage done by Israel with equipment
supplied by the US.

>That Colon Bowel guy is one big putz. Israel's in a war and the best he can
>do is to talk about "restraint." Sharon ought to ignore the dope. Restraint
>my tuchus. What's needed there is an all out IDF offensive to storm the
>Palestinian enclaves and strongholds. If the West Bank is being used to
>create mayhem against innocent Israelis, then TAKE IT BACK and do what must
>be done.

Easy to say. I'm a veteran of the '67 War and part of me says we
should have cleaned the problem up when we had the chance. The
problem was then, and still is today, that we cannot sustain the
logistics necessary to occupy all of Syria which we would have to
do. Remember that we were 50 km (30 miles) from Damascus.

My nephew and his friends are the active and reserve troops who
would have to do the job today, and I wish that it was that simple.
His last active duty assignment was as an appeals prosecutor in the
Military Courts in Gaza and Ramallah, and he has no use for either
of those places.

We may have to do it, though.....would YOU like to go there and
participate? I've done my share....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

522. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 00:20:27 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010815202027.02236.00004544@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16816

Sorry guys, I think it was an Extra that downgraded to Advanced to retain that class of license as a 13 w.p.m. merit badge. Thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

523. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 00:29:47 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%tEe7.47233$gj1.4385448@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16817

> James Bromley wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, Dwight, drop two tabs of acid
> > and call me in the morning.
>
>
> LOL. It didn't work, Jim. Never mind.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

You *did* understand that was acetylsalicylic acid I
was referring to, didn't you? ;-)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

524. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 00:34:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815203442.09612.00002465@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 16818

In article <3B79AE7A.9D013683@mailcity.com>, GH <nbcred@mailcity.com> writes:

>What are we going to do about the illegal operators
>intruding into the bottom end of the 40 meter band?
>
1) Operate there, LEGALLY, so that the frequencies won't be attractive to
pirates
2) Record the illegal operation and send the tapes and any other info (date,
time, frequency, mode, etc.) to the FCC.

73 de Jim, N2EY

525. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 00:34:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815203442.09612.00002464@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 16819

In article <3B78EB0F.62057F0F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Understood - but the idea that the
>> feds had no right to property is
>> kind of extreme, don't you think?
>
> It wasn't an extreme idea at the time. Remember that we have to view
>these things from the context of the times, which may be very
>different than the context today.

Perhaps. One of the contexts of that time was than most citizens of the USA, if asked, would have identified themselves not as "Americans" but by their state of residence or origin.

If we're going to consider people in the context of their time, consider this: In 1860, where in Western civilization was slavery allowed besides the United States?

>> In 1861, yes. If all the slave
>> states voted as a block. But the
>> trend was clear - the slave
>> states' clout was slowly but
>> surely lessening. The time to
>> leave was BEFORE it was all gone.
>
> I suppose that may be possible, but I find it hard to believe that
>large numbers of southerners were willing to die in a war because of
>what might happen sometime in their distant future, especially when it
>would not really affect them (most didn't have slaves).

Almost nobody goes to war thinking they will die. The issue of the end of salvery was not in the distant future by 1861, either.

Most non-slave-owning Southern whites of the time did not realize that slavery actually made their lives harder.
>
>> The evil of slavery bred the evil
>> of war.

> Only if you accept the notion that all those people in the south
>were willing to die in defense of slavery, and that all those people
>in the north were willing to die to fight slavery.

Not at all. People fight for a variety of reasons. Slavery was just one of them. Preservation of the Union was another.

>I think the very
>notion is absurd (and a smokescreen to draw attention away from the
>real issues of the conflict).

Are you saying slavery was NOT an issue?

73 de Jim, N2EY

526. Re: W2ILP returns to r.r.a.p

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 01:17:48 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0108151717.239235eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16820

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B67398F.43D79EEE@IEEE.org>...
> Bob Wexelbaum wrote:
> > I leave the "o's out in my typing of G-d because it is customery for
> > those who do not want to offend H-m to do so. Come to think about it,
> > that makes about as much sense as customerally believing that our
> > human rights are granted by G-d by default and our Constitution only
> > serves to not limit such "G-d Given Rights".
>
> But God is not the name of God because the English word "God" is only
> approximately 1000 years old and since God himself hasn't told us he
> changed his name in the last 1000 years, it seems silly to leave the
> 'o' out of "God". In fact, it is impossible to spell God's name correctly
> using ASCII characters.

You are correct Cecil. G-d is known by many different names in many different faiths. The name itself is not important...just the concept of a supreme being or spirit or whatever you may want to believe in or is customary for your traditional faith to believe in. I'll not bother to give you a list of names for the one G-d that is mentioned in the old (Hebrew scrools)testament and I'm sure that the name of the trinity G-d of Christianity or the Muslim G-d are well known to believers.

When we study Morse code we don't need to learn by dots and dashes. It is best IMHO to learn by the sounds of entire characters or even phrases. I don't believe that it is good to learn to read by learning phonics. It is best to learn by recognizing entire words. In theology the name of G-d is not as important to me as it might be to others...but the concept of G-d is something to think about regardless of the religious mythologies that attempt to name or even to humanize G-d. To popularize G-d perhaps it is required for some peoples to picture him as being in the form of a man with a name. With all respect to those who need a human like figure to be their G-d (or figures to be their
G-ds); my G-d is closer to the nameless G-d of Mendoza.

It is OK for those who may want to believe that their human rights are granted by G-d...As for me I can not blame any G-d for any rights or lack of rights I may have...I can only blame the government...and that is complex enough because my rights and their limitations may be established by the federal government, or by state, city or local governments, each of which may have executive, legislative and judicial systems where my human needs may or may not IMHO be fairly represented. Now I admit that I am a moral person and I have a sense of what is right and wrong about anything that I may do socially. I

must respect the rights of others and follow the ten commandments and above all respect the special innocense of women and children...but that is not just because it is or is not in any Bible...It is because I would expect others to respect my wife, my relatives and my children. That is called being civilized.
Being civilized means respecting your neighbors wives, relatives and children and even their property and livestock...as well as whatever G-d(s) they may pray to and/or have faith in.
It isn't always easy.

Now please accept also my spelling errors and typos...because if you understand my concepts I don't think that the errors in spelling are very important either.
Enuf Sed.

73,
Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like Philosophy)

If Jesus was Jewish, how come he has a Mexican name?
--?--

Some churches welcome all denominations, but most
prefer fives and tens.
--?--

Only two things are infinite, the universe and
human stupidity, and I'm not sure about the former
--Albert Einstein--

527. Re: Downgrading? - I Found It!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 01:28:03 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010815212803.02310.00003643@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16821

I did a few Google searches and came up with this. Thank you to all who responded.

This was cut from The EARS WA4IWLetter - APRIL 2000

-- LICENSE DOWNGRADING --

There's a very interesting advertisement in the April 2000 CQ from the "Gordo del Este Radio School" out in Los Locos, CA offering a one-weekend license downgrade course. As the ad states, after 15 April, only Advanced Class licensees will have FCC proof that they can copy Morse at any speed faster than 5 wpm. The editors of CQ tracked down the origin of that ad, and one of the participants, Horace Sesrear, WB2OOU, penned an article for the April issue.

Sesrear claims that the "Real Hams Net" on 75 meters came up with the idea. Those who wish to downgrade have to submit their current ticket to the FCC and ask that it be cancelled. As soon as their call disappears from the on-line data base, they then have to go to a VE session, take the 13-wpm test plus the written elements 2, 3, 3B and 4A. (Bringing lunch along was advised.) Once the FCC issues the new license, they could then apply for their old callsign via the vanity system. Participants were advised that the Advanced exam had changed a lot since 1951!

As time is short, advanced reservations at the Gordo del Este Radio School were advised. Cost of the weekend course is only $500. Hurry, 'cause 15 April ain't that far away. "Downgrade now!"

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

528. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 01:45:43 UTC
From: cross@augusta.math.psu.edu
Message-ID: <9lf8k7$9cs@augusta.math.psu.edu>
Source record: 16822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <_NAd7.609$8f5.166930@news.uswest.net>,
Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:
>I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
>I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I have
>wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
>
>I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The written
>tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
>having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be able
>to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13 wpm
>I thought I was going to need).
>
>Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
>
>I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
>inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
>juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
>want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
>
>Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
>certainly hope not.
>
>Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
>concern.

Hi Paul,
While not a licensed ham (I too am planning to take the Extra exam
in October sometime), I am a long time computer scientist and semi-long
time USENET reader/poster. As such, let me assure you that USENET is a
very different beast from almost any other communications medium in the
world, complete with it's own philosophy.
On USENET, for a variety of reasons both historical and technical,
it's very easy to `sound off' and post something irregardless of the
nature of the post or its content or tone (for lack of a better term).
The ability to do so anonymously has always been present, though doing
so is now more easily accessible to non-technical users.
It is common to see an individual jump into a USENET post without
forethought to the subject or the ramifications of his or her post; I
confess myself guilty of this at times, though I hope I strive to keep
it to a minimum. But that is part of the general philosophy of free
speech that has been used on USENET for some time now.
My general impression of amateur radio (btw- do people *really* want
to be called a form of processed meat? ;-) is that this does *not*

occur as frequently, and in general, the quality of conversation is higher. This is especially true as the content of USENET has degraded in recent years (at least for discussing computer science research; but that is only my personal opinion).
Perhaps this is due to the regulation of amateur radio by government and international treaty; USENET is well-known for it's commitment to ``anything goes'' free speech, particularly in the alt.* hierarchy. While stringent attempts to keep things `on topic' and to a certain extent useful used to be made, most folks have given up on trying to keep USENET in line.
At anyrate, all of this is a very long-winded way of saying that USENET culture and amateur radio culture are, by my estimations, worlds apart. Given what I've seen of USENET culture, this is probably a good thing. :-)
I sincerely hope to meet people on the airwaves interested in pursuing the frontiers of technology and moving radio to the next level when I get licensed (assuming I pass the exam!). Perhaps I'll see you around!

- Dan C.

529. True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 02:03:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7B29E9.EC6C786C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16823

My conscious is bothering me so I have a confession to make.
Of all the things I have used or consumed in my entire life,
cilantro has the biggest effect. If I am standing up when I
eat cilantro, I fall down. If I'm driving when I eat cilantro,
I run off the road. Cilantro throws me into a manic euphoria
that lasts for hours and makes me completely unpredictable.
It's not my fault when I do something untoward while under
the influence of cilantro. I simply cannot control myself.

Given my confession, I expect Steve to write his congressman
to try to talk him into introducing legislation that puts
cilantro on the class 1 drug list. This is to warn any cilantro
lovers (like me) out there. After Steve gets through, cilantro
will only be available from drug dealers for 1000 times the
present price. Coriander is also likely, in the process, to wind
up on the class 1 drug list. And it's all for the common good of
society.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

530. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 02:12:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7_Fe7.11355$Ki1.897434@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16824

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B7B29E9.EC6C786C@IEEE.org...
> My conscious is bothering me so I have a confession to make.
> Of all the things I have used or consumed in my entire life,
> cilantro has the biggest effect. If I am standing up when I
> eat cilantro, I fall down. If I'm driving when I eat cilantro,
> I run off the road. Cilantro throws me into a manic euphoria
> that lasts for hours and makes me completely unpredictable.
> It's not my fault when I do something untoward while under
> the influence of cilantro. I simply cannot control myself.
>
> Given my confession, I expect Steve to write his congressman
> to try to talk him into introducing legislation that puts
> cilantro on the class 1 drug list. This is to warn any cilantro
> lovers (like me) out there. After Steve gets through, cilantro
> will only be available from drug dealers for 1000 times the
> present price. Coriander is also likely, in the process, to wind
> up on the class 1 drug list. And it's all for the common good of
> society.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

Taco sauce does it for me. For the common good, I will need a designated
driver.

531. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 02:19:03 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <cbbmntshsf1ivn6avcert8kp2afjmtc9dr@4ax.com>
Source record: 16825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Aug 2001 21:45:43 -0400, cross@augusta.math.psu.edu (Dan Cross)
wrote:

> On USENET, for a variety of reasons both historical and technical,
>it's very easy to `sound off' and post something irregardless of the
>nature of the post

_____

You can even use non-words like "irregardless". :-)

Bill, W7TI

532. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 02:43:48 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <61660c05f6084fea6b99772b10fa9f5a@paranoici.org>
Source record: 16826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gi4mq13.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 14:30:08 +0200 (CEST), Hell Remailer wrote:
>
> >> Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research
done
> >> by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.
> >
> >Doc, it's a computer/programming term for someone who tests out software
to
> >see if any bugs (errors) in it before it's released to the general public.
>
> That term existed in military circles long before it made its way
> into computer lingo.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

<snigger> I'm _sure_ it did...<roflmao!>

533. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 03:54:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gi4ygz1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16827

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 02:12:19 GMT, Richard McCollum wrote:

>Taco sauce does it for me. For the common good, I will need a designated
>driver.

I'm a chocoholic. I need a designated eater......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

534. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 04:33:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 16828

In article <7ADe7.11154$Ki1.879724@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>To my knowlege, advanced was never a 5 WPM test.

There have been 5 wpm Advanceds since 1990.

> So .... an advanced had to pass a 13 WPM code test.

Nope. 13 wpm OR 5 wpm and a medical waiver.

>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
>availability of older calls.

Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

535. Re: DX INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 04:44:33 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3b7b4fc1@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 16829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You failed to mention it is just another email DX mailing list (or as some call them 'reflectors') which depend on subscriber input for their so-called DX news.....

Now..for the ' real-deal ' in current up-to-date DX news sent to your mail box visit

http://www.dailydx.com There is a free 2-week trial available. (10 issues)

I am on my second full year subscription and am very pleased.....

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
www.ab7sl.com

536. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 05:09:45 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7B5836.FCF8F369@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16830

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > There are a great many things
> > about the South that I really like.
> > It's history of slavery isn't
> > one.
>
> Do you apply that disdain to the other states outside the south,
> Richard? At some point in this country's history (prior to the 13th
> Amendment), slaves were held in every single state in the country at
> that time.

In the history of humans most every culture can be said to have similiar attributes. If you will read really closely you will find that slaveholders in the South were a small minority of influential persons who set the Agenda for the entire South. They were not about to give up their "right" to own their field hands and laborers. A more troubling feature of the situation is that some still exist there with the same outlook on things. Clearly slavery would have persisted for a long time without the Civil war. It was a war which did much to shape the nation in ways unrelated to that issue. The South did OK over time following the without slavery, and the slaveholdering minority who resisted the idea of ending slavery would have been much better off having ended it voluntarily. I believe it's true that the war was not caused solely by slavery, but it was certainly the spark that lit the fire.
Without doing a state by state review, it is clear that all but a possible few border states did NOT allow slavery in any form at that time. Most never did, although "indentured servitude" once was a common practice in many places..

537. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 05:11:32 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7B58A3.D4FA5C4D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16831

CAM wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
> > Understood - but the idea that the feds had no right to property is
> > kind of extreme, don't you think?
>
> The feds were no longer the feds, Jim. What is it about The ConFEDeracy
> that you don't understand? :-)

Ah, now we got it- they were Liberterians!

538. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 05:19:28 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7B5A7E.77DC5EED@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16832

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Several reasons:
> >
> > 1) Sooner or later, the rest of the
> > US would force abolition on the slave
> > states
> > 2) The Southern economy, based on a
> > few crops, could not compete with the
> > industrializing North and the rapidly
> > expanding West.
> > 3) The South was more aligned with
> > European markets than it was with the
> > rest of the USA.
>
> All of those are too simplistic to be accurate, Jim. The issues and
> motivations behind the civil war were extremely complex. We could
> write books on this subject and not even begin to cover the entire
> subject fairly.

You can write your volumes, but when the smoke clears and all the BS goes away, Jim has the real answers.
One sometimes wonders why the South dragged behind the North for so long economically. A good case can be made that the institution of slavery did more to perpetuate that than any other single factor. It helped perpetuate what can be viewed as a "backward" or unchanging econimic cycle. Slaves were most efficient when used as field workers.

539. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 05:31:57 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hVIe7.47525$gj1.4423554@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16833

Sheeeet! I forgot about the medical waivers! Oh well. That and $1.00 will get you (and me) a cup of coffee!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com...
> In article <7ADe7.11154$Ki1.879724@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES
> HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >To my knowlege, advanced was never a 5 WPM test.
>
> There have been 5 wpm Advanceds since 1990.
>
> > So .... an advanced had to pass a 13 WPM code test.
>
> Nope. 13 wpm OR 5 wpm and a medical waiver.
>
> >The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> >no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
> >availability of older calls.
>
> Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

540. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 06:30:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B689A.5C476658@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16834

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Uhhh-what was the date of that
> proclamation again? West Virginia
> didn't become a state until
> June 20, 1863.

Exact text from the emancipation proclamation; "except the
forty-eight counties designated as West Virginia."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

541. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 06:50:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B6D41.F86F6F54@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16835

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> I apologize for the unfair remark, Dwight.
> I was getting a little testy at that point.
> This all started when somebody said the
> Civil War had nothing to do with slavery.
> And then somebody added that Lincoln only
> freed some of the slaves, or maybe none at
> all. I think that's bad history. If you
> agree with that, then we have no
> disagreement.

No need to apologize. I was getting a "little testy" myself, as the message you replied to clearly suggests. However, I still think you're characterizing what was said inaccurately. The point wasn't that slavery played no role in the secession of the southern states, only that is wasn't the main issue as so many today seem to think it was. It didn't become a key issue of the conflict until later in the war, when Lincoln issued the emancipation proclamation. However, rather than rehashing all the reasons I think that again, I'll just let it go and move on to less confrontational topics.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

542. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 07:02:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B6FFD.45DC8A58@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16836

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> I thought that I detected a bit of an
> agenda. I don't think I ever made such
> a claim however.

Didn't say that you specifically did. It is a common misconception that many people have today.

> Nevertheless, the South certainly seemed
> unopposed to the idea of killing people
> over it. Yes, I know. The Civil War
> wasn't about slavery.

Ah, but that again doesn't tell the whole story. I don't think it was the intent of the south to start a war (or, as you put it, kill people) when they seceded. The war itself started over the issue of Ft. Sumter controlling a key port in South Carolina. Once the first shot was fired over that, the larger conflict followed.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

543. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 07:14:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B72E4.DB145297@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16837

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> But you still left out one of the
> main effects. What do you think the
> slaves in the "states in rebellion"
> thought when they heard the news?
> "I'm, free, The Man said so". It
> gave the rebels another entire level
> of headaches, the necessity of
> attempting to maintain control and
> order over their newly freed slaves
> as well as fighting the war. Worth
> a few of Union divisions or more, I'd
> guess.

I'm sure that did cause some headaches, but I'm not sure it played that much of a factor. If the civil war was like most wars, the wealthy upper class (the ones who owned most of the slaves) were very successful at avoiding direct participation in the conflict. In other words, they probably sat out the war at home and continued to run their farms (and their slaves). What do you think?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

544. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 08:13:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B8072.15D7671@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16838

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> (snip) One sometimes wonders why the
> South dragged behind the North for so
> long economically. A good case can be
> made that the institution of slavery
> did more to perpetuate that than any
> other single factor. It helped
> perpetuate what can be viewed as a
> "backward" or unchanging econimic
> cycle. (snip)

Or that could be much more easily explained away by the fact that the south was better suited for farming than the north (just like Texas was better suited for raising cattle, the mid-west was better suited for growing wheat, or Washington state was better suited for logging). And, because of that much more obvious factor, much of the south did not switch from a farming economy to an industrialized economy.

Of course, I doubt very many in those industrialized cities of the north complained about the south's economy when they sat down to eat the food those farms provided. But you can bet your bottom dollar that they did complain when it came time to pay for that food in the stores - loudly enough to cause the government to institute a number of policies over the years to keep the price of farm goods down (which has certainly not helped the south's economy over the years - but, who cares, they're just a bunch of darn slave owners anyway).

Of course, all that is changing (the south may be slow, but it did finally realize that it was licked when it came to farming). The south is very much moving away from a farming economy today. Fifty years ago, every single member of my family were farmers. Today, not a single member of the family is.

Because of that trend, in the future, like so many other things, we'll have to depend on foreign products for the food on our tables. Considering that food doesn't stockpile as well as fuel, I wonder if we'll handle the future food crisises better than we handled the recent fuel crisises. If not, there is going to be a lot of empty tables during those future events.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

545. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 08:29:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B844F.4875DC6E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16839

N2EY wrote:
>
> Are you saying slavery was NOT an issue?

No, I've never said that. What I've been trying to say for several days now is that slavery did not play a "key" role in the secession or in the early stages of the conflict. Slavery was one of the areas where the south disagreed with many in the north, but it was the sum of all those disagreements, not a single disagreement, that caused the secession and the later conflict. It was not until later that slavery was raised to a "key" issue, at least for the north, with Lincoln's emancipation proclamation.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

546. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 08:42:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B8756.99414FBC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> You can even use non-words like
> "irregardless". :-)

Better dig out the dictionary, Bill. Webster's New World Dictionary defines "irregardless" as an non-standard or humorous adjective or adverb meaning "regardless." I learned of that following a recent usage where someone else complained. It may be a non-standard word, but it is a word nonetheless.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

547. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 08:52:17 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816045217.02214.00003787@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16841

>That and $1.00 will
>get you (and me) a cup of coffee!
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim AA2QA

Hi Jim,

Where do you get a cup of cofee for $1 in NY? :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

548. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 09:11:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B8E20.9C001588@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16842

James Bromley wrote:
>
> You *did* understand that was
> acetylsalicylic acid I was
> referring to, didn't you? ;-)

I got what you were saying, Jim. Of course, I doubt aspirin, or any other form of acid (including the street variety), would have helped in this situation. By the way, to avoid misunderstanding, I was referring to the sleep mentioned in an earlier message, not the acid mentioned in that message, when I said it didn't work.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

549. Re: DX INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 09:19:11 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816051911.02251.00004106@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16843

>Now..for the ' real-deal ' in current up-to-date DX news sent to your mail
>box visit
>
>http://www.dailydx.com There is a free 2-week trial available. (10
>issues)
>
> I am on my second full year subscription and am very pleased.....
>
>--
>
>
>73 / DX
>
>Charles T Johnston
>charles@ab7sl.com
>
>AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
>www.ab7sl.com
>

Thanks Charles. This looks pretty nifty.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

550. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 09:23:10 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816052310.02251.00004107@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16844

>>Taco sauce does it for me. For the common good, I will need a designated
>>driver.
>
> I'm a chocoholic. I need a designated eater......
>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

There's nothing...N O T H I N G like the initial rush from that first sip of a "GOOD" cup of coffee. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

551. Re: drugs: Cecil & Stewart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 09:28:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B91FF.746576DF@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16845

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> The Economist published a drug survey
> about two weeks ago that I could
> arrange to have e-mailed to you in
> pieces. Well written, well documented
> and to some extent agrees with you both.
>
> Drop me an e-mail with an address that
> works and I'd be happy to do it.

Don't worry about it. The topic seems to be about dead at this point. Cecil and I get into this discussion about every two to three months. We argue out the same details, get bored with the discussion, and then move on to other things. I don't think either of us imagines for a moment that we're going to change the other's mind. However, hang onto to that article, Dick. This subject is almost certain to come up again in the future and it might give you some ammunition to use during that discussion.

If, after reading that, you still want to put out the effort to email a copy, the address at the top of this page is my correct email address. I believe Cecil also uses his actual address with his massages (" Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org ").

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

552. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 09:49:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IGMe7.47655$gj1.4443016@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16846

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010816052310.02251.00004107@ng-ck1.news.cs.com...
> >>Taco sauce does it for me. For the common good, I will need a
designated
> >>driver.
> >
> > I'm a chocoholic. I need a designated eater......
> >
> >--
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> There's nothing...N O T H I N G like the initial rush from that first sip
of a
> "GOOD" cup of coffee. :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

Wasn't it George Carlin who observed that if you believed America did not have a drug problem all he had to do was stand around the office coffee pot as it finished brewing?

553. Re: drugs: Cecil & Stewart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 09:54:21 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hLMe7.47656$gj1.4443199@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16847

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B7B91FF.746576DF@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > The Economist published a drug survey
> > about two weeks ago that I could
> > arrange to have e-mailed to you in
> > pieces. Well written, well documented
> > and to some extent agrees with you both.
> >
> > Drop me an e-mail with an address that
> > works and I'd be happy to do it.
>
>
> Don't worry about it. The topic seems to be about dead at this
> point. Cecil and I get into this discussion about every two to three
> months. We argue out the same details, get bored with the discussion,
> and then move on to other things. I don't think either of us imagines
> for a moment that we're going to change the other's mind. However,
> hang onto to that article, Dick. This subject is almost certain to
> come up again in the future and it might give you some ammunition to
> use during that discussion.
>
> If, after reading that, you still want to put out the effort to
> email a copy, the address at the top of this page is my correct email
> address. I believe Cecil also uses his actual address with his
> massages (" Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org ").
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

I don't think it is worth filling your mailbox. We share a sub so I have
already given it away but it is available to subscribers and can be
e-mailed. It gives a non-American view of things which occasionally gives
one a slap on the head.

They are pro-legalization but do recognize that letting loose of the tiger's
tail will have some very bad effects in the short term.

554. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 10:26:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816062633.23631.00002284@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 16848

In article <3B7B5A7E.77DC5EED@townsqr.com>, Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>One sometimes wonders why the South dragged behind the North for so long
>economically.

Overdependence on a few industries - tobacco and cotton are best known.

> A good case can be made that the institution of slavery did
>more to perpetuate that than any other single factor.

Why invest in new labor-saving machinery unless you have to? (cotton gin)

>It helped
>perpetuate what can be viewed as a "backward" or unchanging econimic
>cycle. Slaves were most efficient when used as field workers.
>
The repression of slaves increased with time. For example, laws were passed in
many states making it a serious crime to teach a slave to read and/or write.
Torture, mutilation and murder of slaves for real or imagined "offenses" like
running away or not showing proper "respect" became legal and accepted. Slave
owners would pursue escaped slaves into free states and tried to get the law
enforcement authorities of free states to aid them in recovering their
"property'.

73 de Jim, N2EY

555. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 10:26:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816062634.23631.00002285@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 16849

In article <3B7B5836.FCF8F369@townsqr.com>, Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> In the history of humans most every culture can be said to have
>similiar attributes. If you will read really closely you will find that
>slaveholders in the South were a small minority of influential persons
>who set the Agenda for the entire South. They were not about to give up
>their "right" to own their field hands and laborers. A more troubling
>feature of the situation is that some still exist there with the same
>outlook on things. Clearly slavery would have persisted for a long time
>without the Civil war. It was a war which did much to shape the nation
>in ways unrelated to that issue. The South did OK over time following
>the without slavery, and the slaveholdering minority who resisted the
>idea of ending slavery would have been much better off having ended it
>voluntarily. I believe it's true that the war was not caused solely by
>slavery, but it was certainly the spark that lit the fire.

Well said, Dick. The facts stated claerly and consisely.

> Without doing a state by state review, it is clear that all but a
>possible few border states did NOT allow slavery in any form at that
>time.

Not all slave states joined the confederacy, but all confederate states were
slave states.

>Most never did, although "indentured servitude" once was a common
>practice in many places..
>
But indentured servitude was a completely different thing. It was a voluntary
agreement of the indentured person to work for a set number of years, usually
in payment of a debt.

A very typical setup was that a poor person in England or Scotland would sign
up for a seven-year IS term in return for passage to the New World. Usually the
servant learned a trade during the service term. Indentured servants' contracts
could usually not be bought or sold against their will. Their children were not
indentured from birth. And when the term was up they were free to go as they
pleased.

Indentured service could be a good deal for a poor person in Europe who wanted
to come to America and had no other way to pay for it. The problem was that the
contract holders had to replace them when the contract ran out.

73 de Jim, N2EY

556. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 11:15:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816071509.22549.00004305@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 16850

>From: "JAMES HAMPTON"

>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
>availability of older calls.

If anyone wants to know for sure the code speed of another op, the best way to determine that is with a CW QSO. If anyone thinks that a single test passed once is a superior method, they have a pretty illogical viewpoint, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

557. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 11:18:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816071802.22549.00004306@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 16701

From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)

>Sorry guys, I think it was an Extra that downgraded to Advanced to retain
>that
>class of license as a 13 w.p.m. merit badge. Thanks.

I hold an Extra, but I need neither a 1X2 call or an old FCC exam to make me feel like I have a 13 wpm merit badge. I think that even if I were to lose the ability to send and receive Morse code tomorrow, I would still find plenty of opportunities for accomplishment in ham radio. Though I am reasonably proficient in Morse code and passed an FCC-given Extra, I consider that to be one of the lesser of my accomplisments in ham radio. Good accomplishments, mind you, but only the start of a lifetime of growth and learning.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

558. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 12:33:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816083314.22527.00002542@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 16702

In article <hVIe7.47525$gj1.4423554@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Sheeeet! I forgot about the medical waivers!

So did lots of others. For a decade now, all that a non-Tech license proves about the holder's code speed is that the holder passed 5 wpm at one time.

Most of us who actually use CW on the ham bands don't care much about what speed test somebody passed once upon a time. We care about what the op can do today.

> Oh well. That and $1.00 will
>get you (and me) a cup of coffee!

Make it tea and you're on. I sure miss the Rochester hamfest. (I used to live in Wayne county, NY)

73 de Jim, N2EY

559. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 12:44:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816084417.18670.00002822@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 16703

>Subject: Re: True Confessions
>From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
>Date: 08/16/2001 4:23 AM Central Daylight Time

>There's nothing...N O T H I N G like the initial rush from that first sip of
>a "GOOD" cup of coffee.

Cecil's troll notwithstanding, I have to agree with Bert...!

73

Steve

560. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 13:51:41 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <kqjnnt8iqvpil22i5ntdbbvgu2660um6j3@4ax.com>
Source record: 16704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 04:42:31 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Better dig out the dictionary, Bill.

_____

I looked it up before I posted. IMO, "non-standard word" is a polite
way of saying "huh?"

Ain't it? :-)

Bill, W7TI

561. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 14:18:18 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7B6451.A986DD26@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16705

CAM wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Well, the Bible says all you need is the faith of a grain of mustard
> > > seed and you can move mountains.
> >
> > Let me know how it works out.
>
> Sorry Dave, I don't have that much faith. But my pastor says that
> every word in the Bible is absolutely tru

Whassa matter withcha, Cecil?? There are lots of earthmoving contractors who you can easily get to move most any mountain. All ya need is that mustard seed grain of faith, and enough green stamps.....

562. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 14:29:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108160629.11e33c6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16706

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B7B016C.C29D089E@sccoast.net>...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > At the time, most people around
> > > the world, including a great many
> > > within the United States, did not
> > > consider slavery to be human
> > > injustice.
> >
> > So, back to my original question, were
> > they right?
>
>
> I've tried to avoid your question because I cannot give you a simple
> answer, Jim. I didn't live in that time and I think it is unfair to
> apply today's standards to people who lived more than a hundred years
> ago. Therefore, all I can say is that the people were not "wrong"
> based on the standards of their time.

OK, let's explore this reasoning a bit.

What WERE the "standards of their time, then?

In 1860, was slavery acceptable or legal anywhere in Western
civilization other than in some parts of the USA? In the countries
where Judeo-Christian religions predominated? In countries where
English was the most common language?

> Beyond that, I'm not willing to
> make a judgment, nor is one needed. The only judgments needed are for
> those living today, based on today's standards.

I disagree. If slavery was/is immoral, it can be reasoned that a war
could be justified to end it. If slavery was/is not immoral, the
opposite is true.
>
> Of course, I know you're now probably going to start screaming that
> I think slavery was, or is, okay (after all, based on your increasing
> rhetoric, this seems to be what you're reaching for - to define me as
> a racist southerner).

Well, I'm not trying to define anybody.

> But that is not at all what I said. Slavery is
> most certainly not okay today. But those people are not living today.
> Therefore, I'm not willing to judge what they did by standards other
> than those that existed in their time (the only standards they could
> have possibly lived up to), no more than I hope the people of the
> future judge me by the standards of today instead of those that exist
> in their time (standards I cannot possibly live up to because I cannot
> know what they will be).

See above about "the standards of their time".

Of course, historical hindsight is 20-20. Today, almost all people find the very idea of slavery completely unacceptable under any conditions. A big part of that is due to the fact that our thinking has evolved over time. Things that were once acceptable have become unthinkable, and things that were once unthinkable have become commonplace.

However, it should be noted that there is a basic and fundamental hypocrisy when a country claims to be based on statements like "liberty and justice for all", "all men [sic] are created equal" and "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights" but then permits some human beings to own others as property. It's simply not logical, regardless of the time or place.

73 de Jim, N2EY

563. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 14:48:59 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7BDD58.F10CEBB9@psu.edu>
Source record: 16707

I've often thought that coffee was next on the list of substances that some people will try to make illegal. The caffienated version has some nasty effects on me, and I've seen a lot of people who are obviously suffering the effects of the stimulant.

- Mike -

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Wasn't it George Carlin who observed that if you believed America did not
> have a drug problem all he had to do was stand around the office coffee pot
> as it finished brewing?

564. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 16:28:28 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lgsbavat1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yeah they used to do it on 14.313 until Riley ran them off.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <nospam@newsranger.com> wrote in message
news:DfBe7.2620$2u.37375@www.newsranger.com...
> Brian more or less stated:
> >
> >I'm sure that I have no business posting here, so apologies in advance.
> >I'm a 53-year old recent retiree. I finally have time to do something I
have
> >wanted to do for 40+ years...get my ticket and get on the air waves.
> >
> >I was surprised, I admit, by the "new" licensing requirements. The
written
> >tests for Tech and General are not going to be a problem for me -- I am
> >having trouble learning the code, though. I'm sure I'll eventually be
able
> >to drag my dyslexic mind to 5 wpm (certainly an easier goal then the 13
wpm
> >I thought I was going to need).
> >
> >Ok, enough of the background.. here's my concern, fwiw...
> >
> >I've been lurking most of the rec.radio.amateur... newsgroups. I see an
> >inordinate amount of yelling, screaming, name calling, and (pardon me)
> >juvenile behavior. I am wondering if this is really a culture in which I
> >want to invest considerable time, money, and emotional involvement.
> >
> >Is the tone of the culture accurately reflected in the newsgroups? I
> >certainly hope not.
> >
> >Sorry to take up the bandwidth, but I felt a strong need to express my
> >concern.
> >
> >73
> >
> >Paul Friedman
>
> Paul, go for it. We Tech's and former Tech's keep the malcontents busy
here, so
> you won't have to put up with disgruntled OF's on HF. The plan is working
> gangbusters.
>

> Brian
>
>

565. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 16:29:23 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lgsdbocu1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Don't forget there is a LOT more to HF than just SSB Phone. Try CW,
Digital, SSTV, Amateur Radio Traffic nets. etc.

Dan/W4NTI

"Charles Johnston" <ctj92@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:3b7ae218$1@news.bnb-lp.com...
> Hello Paul,
> For the most part the bickering and crap you refer in these newsgroups
is
> not present on the HF bands with the possible exception of 80M sometimes
> during the evenings.
>
> I would heartily encourage you to get your HF privileges in order and
join
> a great bunch of Hams on the HF bands.
>
> --
>
>
> 73 / DX
>
> Charles T Johnston
> charles@ab7sl.com
>
> AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
> www.ab7sl.com
>
>
>
>

566. Re: Downgrading? - I Found It!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 19:54:32 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lh8dnckn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16710

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010815212803.02310.00003643@ng-ck1.news.cs.com...
> I did a few Google searches and came up with this. Thank you to all who
> responded.
>
> This was cut from The EARS WA4IWLetter - APRIL 2000
>
> -- LICENSE DOWNGRADING --
>
> As the ad states, after 15 April, only Advanced Class
> licensees will have FCC proof that they can copy Morse at any speed faster
than
> 5 wpm. > 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

Sorry not so. ANYONE that did NOT TEST under the VOLUNTEER EXAMINER PROGRAM
can claim they have proof.

Dan/W4NTI

567. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 20:08:43 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816160843.15053.00004144@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16711

>I hold an Extra, but I need neither a 1X2 call or an old FCC exam to make me
>feel like I have a 13 wpm merit badge. I think that even if I were to lose
>the
>ability to send and receive Morse code tomorrow, I would still find plenty of
>opportunities for accomplishment in ham radio. Though I am reasonably
>proficient in Morse code and passed an FCC-given Extra, I consider that to be
>one of the lesser of my accomplisments in ham radio. Good accomplishments,
>mind you, but only the start of a lifetime of growth and learning.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Great message, Ed. Thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

568. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 20:18:04 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816161804.15053.00004145@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16712

>Wasn't it George Carlin who observed that if you believed America did not
>have a drug problem all he had to do was stand around the office coffee pot
>as it finished brewing?

Yep, and he's my all-time favorite comedian too. His best line was "The Earth's not goin' anywhere...we are! That's right, pack your $h_t folks." (Re. "Save The Planet" - Are people a threat to the planet?) "Ask those people frozen in position from Mt. Vesuvius if the feel like much...of a threat...to the planet...today?" Saw him in Las Vegas some years back and almost suffered bodily harm from laughing so hard.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

569. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 20:23:36 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816162336.15053.00004146@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16713

>>There's nothing...N O T H I N G like the initial rush from that first sip of
>>a "GOOD" cup of coffee.
>
> Cecil's troll notwithstanding, I have to agree with Bert...!
>
>73
>
>Steve
>

Hey Steve,

Here's one you might identify with. Getting up early on a Sunday morning to make a scheduled contact , walking into the den to let the rig "warm up," and then walk to the kitchen for that first fresh cup that's already ready to pour because the coffemaker was set by timer to "wake up" 20 min. earlier? Gotta go, my hand's shaking. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

570. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 22:34:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <IOXe7.3851$SQ.176960@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 16714

Bert,

I don't think there is a test to do that. An Advanced Class wouldn't have to do anything to "distinguish" himself from the upgrading masses. After all, the Advance Class, which I hold now, itself indicates that the holder tested prior
to the changes and has demonstrated CW proficiency of at least 13 WPM--- if that is what the holder is after. I just haven't upgraded because I haven't seen
the need.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Bert Craig <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:beb0a48b.0108150800.3d618973@posting.google.com...
> Hi everybody,
>
> I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
> here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
> actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
> believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
> upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
> curious, thanks.
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

571. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 22:34:46 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7C4A86.8DA6A6D@uci.edu>
Source record: 16715

N2EY wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3B7AC691.A04A46A4@uci.edu>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > I think that many Southerners saw the way the trends of the time and simply
> > > wanted out because those trends did not bode well for their way of life.
> >
> > I don't disagree with that one bit. I simply disagree with the
> > assertion that the Civil War wasn't about slavery.
>
> Slavery was "A" cause of the war, but not "THE" cause of the war.
> That's what I'm saying.

It was also 'A' cause of secession, and it was also 'A' cause for
concerns over the economy. Basically, there is hardly an issue involved
that didn't relate in some way to slavery, and the Souths dependence
upon it.

> > Were it not for
> > slavery, there wouldn't have been a war.

> Impossible to know for sure. Without slavery, the South of 1861 would
> have been so different that the other causes for secession may have
> not existed.

That was my point.

> > I was once married to a Southern belle. I very much appreciate the
> > South, it's beauty, and all the suffering it and its people have
> > endured.

> How about the suffering the SLAVES endured?

Yes. I assumed they were included as "people" in my sentence above.

> Ever see "Gone With The
> Wind"? I always found it disgusting that there was absolutely no
> mention that the whole plantation system, the wealth and beauty of
> places like Tara and the lifestyle that was enjoyed by the likes of
> the O'Hara family was made possible by slave labor.

I always assumed that Tara was a plantation. One of the servants in the
movie was recognized at an Academy Awards presentation years later.
Can't think of her name off the top of my head. But yes, the story was
obviously told from the perspective
of the Southern aristocracy.

> btw, most people don't know that many of the important historic
> government buildings in Washington, DC were built in part by slaves.
> The Capitol is one example.

yep. So is just about everything we buy that says "Made in China" on
it.

> For example, it's easier to blame
> the Feds for outlawing pot than it is to realize that the states would
> do the same thing if the Feds didn't.

I think if it were up to us here in Ca., it might not be much of an
offense, if any.

572. Re: Downgrading? - I Found It!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 23:02:50 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uiYe7.12216$Ki1.983385@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16716

Bert Craig, kc2hmn, wrote:

> I did a few Google searches and came up with this. Thank you to all who
> responded.
>
> This was cut from The EARS WA4IWLetter - APRIL 2000
>
> -- LICENSE DOWNGRADING --
>
> There's a very interesting advertisement in the April 2000 CQ from the "Gordo
> del Este Radio School" out in Los Locos, CA offering a one-weekend license
> downgrade course.....

Watch out for those April magazine issues! I still haven't
received the Dark Emitting Diodes I ordered.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

573. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-16 23:02:51 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <viYe7.12217$Ki1.982624@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16717

>From: "JAMES HAMPTON"

> >The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> >no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
> >availability of older calls.

From: Ed Hare, W1RFI:

> If anyone wants to know for sure the code speed of another op, the best way to
> determine that is with a CW QSO. If anyone thinks that a single test passed
> once is a superior method, they have a pretty illogical viewpoint, IMHO.

Naw, that's too time-consuming and requires real radios.
We need something that is instantly available on the
Internet so that we can throw it back at someone while
staying online. The ARRL should expand their VE program
into giving honest-to-God, proctored code tests complete
with steely-eyed examiners, headphones that envelop
one's head like a C-clamp and hot, noisy rooms with lots of BO.
It would need some kind of coordination with the callsign
databases so that the officially determined code speed could
be immutably stamped on the licensee's listing. This would
require a lot of processing, so an exam fee somewhere
around $500 would be in order. But no cost should be spared
in separating Real Hams (tm) from the CB wannabee's.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

574. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 01:13:39 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816211339.00115.00000992@mb-fj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16718

>Bert,
>
>I don't think there is a test to do that. An Advanced Class wouldn't have
>to do anything to "distinguish" himself from the upgrading masses. After
>all, the Advance Class, which I hold now, itself indicates that the holder
>tested prior
>to the changes and has demonstrated CW proficiency of at least 13 WPM---
>if that is what the holder is after. I just haven't upgraded because I
>haven't seen
>the need.
>
>
>73
>
>Jerry
>K4KWH
>
>
>
>Bert Craig <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:beb0a48b.0108150800.3d618973@posting.google.com...
>> Hi everybody,
>>
>> I seem to remember that some Advanced class amateurs (I could be wrong
>> here, please feel free to correct me where necessary.) took an exam to
>> actually "downgrade" their tickets in the face of restructuring. I
>> believe this was an attempt to differentiate themselves from the
>> upgrading masses. Does anybody here know the "how & why" of this? Just
>> curious, thanks.
>>
>> 73 de Bert
>> KC2HMN
>

Thanks Jerry,

I wasn't sure of the specifics. It turned out to be a call to extras, disgusted with restructuring, to have their tickets cancelled. Then, upon deletion from the database, they'd retest to become Advanced class ticket holders. I don't know if anybody ever actually did it, but I did find a weekend class advertised for $500 to do just that. I was just curious and didn't have any old issues of QST or CQ floating around. I have to read em' quick, if mt 3 1/2 yr. old daughter doesn't shred em', (She's facinated with safety scissors.) my 7 mo. old lad tries to chew em'. (The "everything goes into my mouth" stage.) Thanks again.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

575. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 01:28:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B7C7324.43DBA12C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 16719

Let's just create a Q signal to transmit this thread.

How about QNC?

576. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 01:32:46 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816213246.00115.00000996@mb-fj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 16720

>The ARRL should expand their VE program
>into giving honest-to-God, proctored code tests complete
>with steely-eyed examiners, headphones that envelop
>one's head like a C-clamp and hot, noisy rooms with lots of BO.
>It would need some kind of coordination with the callsign
>databases so that the officially determined code speed could
>be immutably stamped on the licensee's listing. This would
>require a lot of processing, so an exam fee somewhere
>around $500 would be in order. But no cost should be spared
>in separating Real Hams (tm) from the CB wannabee's.
>
>
>--
>Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
>Glendale, Arizona
>
As long as there's good coffee available on said pungent testing rooms. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

577. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 01:57:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7C79FE.3E7E854B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16721

N2EY wrote:
>
> What WERE the "standards of their time,
> then?
>
> In 1860, was slavery acceptable or legal
> anywhere in Western civilization other
> than in some parts of the USA? In the
> countries where Judeo-Christian religions
> predominated? In countries where English
> was the most common language?

Man, do you realize how far those other countries "in Western civilization" were from some farmer in North Carolina at the time (I'm not talking about in mileage - I talking about in perception). It is absurd to hold that person to a standard that existed in Germany, England, or anywhere else. In the United States, that person violated no law of that time, either legally or ethically. Therefore, I'm simply not willing to step up a hundred years later to condemn that person.

Now, you and I can agree that we don't want to do that today. But our choices of today should not condemn someone of the past. Likewise, we can have whatever morals we want today, but that again should not make someone of the past, who never even heard of our morals, immoral.

> However, it should be noted that there
> is a basic and fundamental hypocrisy when
> a country claims to be based on statements
> like "liberty and justice for all", "all
> men [sic] are created equal" and "endowed
> by their Creator with certain inalienable
> rights" but then permits some human beings
> to own others as property. It's simply not
> logical, regardless of the time or place.

It is not logical to you or me today. But, again, we didn't live in those times. Obviously, for whatever reason, they thought it was quite logical. Beyond that, it makes no difference to me whatsoever. All we should really care about is what happens today. That is the only thing that we can personally do something about. And there is enough wrong in this world today to keep me way too busy to worry about judging those of the past.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

578. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 02:03:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7C7B45.B4204FEB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> I looked it up before I posted. IMO,
> "non-standard word" is a polite
> way of saying "huh?"
>
> Ain't it? :-)

Oh, no. Lets not get into another dictionary debate about the
meaning of a word, Bill. The last one went on for weeks. :-)

It may be a non-standard word, but it is a word nonetheless
(commonly used around the country - much to the dismay of the English
teachers). And I'll just leave it at that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

579. RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 02:42:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816224240.09260.00003197@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 16723

<PROCEEDING> 10209
<DATE>08/17/01
<NAME> STEVEN JAMES ROBESON
<ADDRESS1> POB 2103
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY> DUNLAP
<STATE> TN
<ZIP> 37327
<LAW-FIRM> NONE
<ATTORNEY> NONE
<FILE-NUMBER>NONE
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION> COMMENT ON PROPOSED 60 METER AMATEUR ALLOCATION
<CONTACT-EMAIL>K4YZ@AOL.COM
<TEXT>LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE COMMISSION,

I write to you today to request that you favorably consider the request for a new domestic spectrum allocation in the 60 meter band for the Amateur Radio Service.

The recent trials of the American Radio Relay League have proven that the allocation requested provides excellent coverage for domestic communications. It would greatly relieve the congestion experienced on 80 and 40 meters from both foreign broadcasting services and from crowding within the Amatuer Service itself.

However I would ask that the Commission consider making the band available solely for domestic formal traffic handling, public service and emergency communications purposes, and for requisite training associated with those applications. By approving this request, the FCC would alleviate a significant amount of traffic from the present 80 and 40 meter bands for "routine" communications and would provide a relatively "secure" enviroment in which the suggested users could operate without hinderence.

This request could also have the added benefit of relieving the Commission of the task of designating certain frequencies for "communications emergencies" as it would have already in fact have done that by restricting the proposed allocation for those same purposes.

I thank the Commission for the opportunity to comment on this matter.

Steven James Robeson, LPN
Amateur Extra Class Licensee, K4YZ
ARRL Emergency Coordinator

580. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:24:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C8E88.DE0CE4E3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16724

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> I, and many others, see no more reason to fall for your arguments in
> defense of drug legalization than to fall for the similar arguments of
> the pedophile.

You obviously don't have any children, Dwight, if you believe
pedophilia is a victimless crime.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

581. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:33:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9076.3AC4AD3D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16725

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/14/2001 9:56 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >You can redeem yourself by answering these simple yes/no questions.
>
> You love to employ the "yes/no" ...

Yep, and you love to evade them.

> >The purpose for classifying marijuana as a class 1 drug
> >was to try to control the illegal Mexican nationals.
>
> Uh huh...And the reason they put pork by-products into hot dogs is to try
> and starve out Israel. Heard THAT one too.

You obviously are ignorant of the TV special, "Illegal drugs and
how they got that way".

> Oh...wait a minute...two paragraphs ago you were insistingthat the Feds
> don't test this stuff...Now you say it's been "proven"...

The "stuff" was not tested legally.

> Marijuana for TRUE medicinal purposes is a valid use...

Then why won't the feds allow anyone to use it for that purpose?

> >You don't want the drug laws changed. You guys are on the same side.
>
> You are lying.

You said you support the present drug laws. So do drug pushers.
You guys support the same laws so it is you who are lying.

In my home county, the Southern Baptists and bootleggers are on
the same side. They both do not want the sale of booze legalized
in Madison County.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

582. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:36:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816233623.12858.00006049@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 16727

In article <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
>>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
>>availability of older calls.
>
>Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

At least not those of us who already have our "old timey" sounding 1x2 call signs!

73 de Larry, K3LT
EX: (in order of issuance) KA3HXE, N3CRA, DA1RZ, AFA7LR, DA1ZW, WR2F, Gï¿½LYW

583. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:36:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816233624.12858.00006050@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 16726

In article <20010816071509.22549.00004305@mb-mj.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>If anyone wants to know for sure the code speed of another op, the best way
>to
>determine that is with a CW QSO. If anyone thinks that a single test passed
>once is a superior method, they have a pretty illogical viewpoint, IMHO.
>

Ed:

How many Extra-class hams, licensed after 4/15/00, do you think can
demonstrate the ability to work CW at 5 WPM on-the-air? IMHO,
five percent would be extraordinary!

73 de Larry, K3LT

584. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:36:25 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816233625.12858.00006051@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 16728

In article <20010816071802.22549.00004306@mb-mj.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>Though I am reasonably
>proficient in Morse code and passed an FCC-given Extra, I consider that to be
>one of the lesser of my accomplisments in ham radio. Good accomplishments,
>mind you, but only the start of a lifetime of growth and learning.

Ed:

This is true for all of us who achieved Extra-class status under the "old" licensing structure. An amateur radio license, even an Extra-class license, is now, and always has been, a beginning, not an end. It is a start, not the finish, of a lifelong experience of learning, growth, and experimentation. At least, that's what it's *supposed* to be. Unfortunately, for a large number of hams, the learning, growth, and experimentation never start, or don't advance beyond the HF phone bands. The reduction of the code testing requirements exacerbates this problem by ensuring that there is no underlying skill in *any* non-voice mode, therefore, no motivation to give it a fair trial on-the-air.

What has always separated the so-called Real Hams from the wannabes is the motivation and discipline required to master the Morse code at a useful proficiency level. The Real Hams have the superior intelligence to understand the usefulness of this mode, and usually gain a useful level of proficiency very quickly. Then, once they start having fun with this mode on-the-air, their proficiency usually increases exponentially, leading to (in the pre-Restructuring era) Extra-class status in short order. Now, for reasons of political correctness and bureaucratic indifference on the part of the FCC, Extra-class status is given away for 5 WPM and a few hours of committing some Q & A to short-term memory. The "lifetime of growth and learning" is something being undertaken by older hams like you and me, who took 13- and 20-WPM code tests at the FCC office. It is because we are better people than today's hams that we were able to achieve this with comparative ease. The dumbed-down wannabes we're getting now will never equal us.

73 de Larry, K3LT

585. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:43:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010816234318.12858.00006052@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 16729

In article <20010816224240.09260.00003197@mb-mp.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>I write to you today to request that you favorably consider the request for a
>new domestic spectrum allocation in the 60 meter band for the Amateur Radio
>Service.
>
>The recent trials of the American Radio Relay League have proven that the
>allocation requested provides excellent coverage for domestic communications.
>It would greatly relieve the congestion experienced on 80 and 40 meters from
>both foreign broadcasting services and from crowding within the Amatuer
>Service
>itself.
>
>However I would ask that the Commission consider making the band available
>solely for domestic formal traffic handling, public service and emergency
>communications purposes, and for requisite training associated with those
>applications. By approving this request, the FCC would alleviate a
>significant
>amount of traffic from the present 80 and 40 meter bands for "routine"
>communications and would provide a relatively "secure" enviroment in which
>the
>suggested users could operate without hinderence.
>
>This request could also have the added benefit of relieving the Commission of
>the task of designating certain frequencies for "communications emergencies"
>as
>it would have already in fact have done that by restricting the proposed
>allocation for those same purposes.
>
>I thank the Commission for the opportunity to comment on this matter.
>
>Steven James Robeson, LPN
>Amateur Extra Class Licensee, K4YZ
>ARRL Emergency Coordinator

My comment:

This is about the most hair-brained idea I've seen out of you yet,
Steve! I could see designating a small 10 - 25 kHz "window"
strictly for emergency preparedness and actual emergency traffic,
but the whole steenking band? You crazy, or what?

What I would propose is that the ARRL and CQ make it another
"Contest-free Zone" like the present WARC bands.

73 de Larry, K3LT

586. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 03:58:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C965C.BCA17EC1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16730

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Maybe in your deluded mind. The profits
> > for bootleggers certainly went down
> > after prohibition.
>
> Not according to every book I've ever read about the events
> following prohibition.

Comic books don't count.

> I'll repeat this one more time - illegal drug use, including
> marijuana use, is not a victimless crime.

Exactly who is the victim if I smoke marijuana in the privacy of
my home after growing it in my garden? If you believe the above,
you seem forced to believe that drinking alcohol is a crime also.

> Marijuana use is abuse.

Nope it isn't, Dwight. You just think marijuana is the boogieman.
You simply lack adult attitudes about that God-given weed. Marijuana
is certainly no worse than alcohol. Before you dispute that statement,
chug-a-lug six ounces of Everclear and get back to us.

> Oh, I see. I'm a law-abiding citizen that does not use drugs. Care
> to tell me what freedoms were taken away from me because of the drug
> laws?

The freedom to smoke a God-given weed. See, you don't even realize
that you have lost a freedom. That's what the feds are counting on.
Be careful what you wish for. Beef and ice cream may be next.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

587. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:03:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9781.6CBCFAF1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16732

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > (snip) FYI, I am an example of a responsible
> > illegal drug user. (snip)
>
> You have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can and will
> be used against you in a court of law. If you are under the age of 18,
> anything you say can be used against you in a juvenile court
> prosecution for a juvenile offense and can also be used against you in
> an adult court criminal prosecution if the juvenile court decides that
> you are to be tried as an adult. You have the right to talk to an
> attorney before answering any questions. You have the right to have
> your attorney present during the questioning. If you cannot afford an
> attorney, one will be appointed for you without cost, before or during
> questioning, if you desire. Do you understand these rights?

Sorry, Dwight, you're wasting words. The statute of limitations has
already run out.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

588. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:04:05 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <ss5pntg7fprgv25r4depl69aj54pqs1ev5@4ax.com>
Source record: 16731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 22:03:12 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> It may be a non-standard word, but it is a word nonetheless
>(commonly used around the country - much to the dismay of the English
>teachers). And I'll just leave it at that.

_____

Okeedokee.

BT

589. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:05:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C981F.5BC136B7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16733

N2EY wrote:
> A person has to be nuts to admit to illegal drug use in a public forum.

Not if the statute of limitations has run out. It was reported in a
well respected financial newsletter that President Clinton had a
two line a day cocaine habit while in office and had to have a
nose operation because of it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

590. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:13:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C99D2.4D6908D4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16734

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> There is a difference between being foolish and being legally
> insane. Of course, I'm not saying that Cecil was foolish (though I
> have questioned his sanity occasionally - a joke, people). Like so
> many of us, he just got caught up in the heat of the discussion and
> let things slip out that should have been left unsaid.

Many people have admitted and written about "crimes" they committed
after the statute of limitations had run out. I've already sworn that
I don't do illegal drugs in Texas.

From the pulpit, my Southern Baptist preacher often relates stories about
what happened to him when he was young and used illegal drugs.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

591. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:19:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9B40.B61B92C1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16735

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".

It didn't declare slaves in all states to be freed, Jim. Read it
again. There were lots of exceptions including West Virginia,
New Orleans, and Norfolk.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

592. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:21:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9BB0.E3922B28@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16736

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Sorry, you are just wrong.
>
> Nope. I'm quite sure that the Emancipation Proclamation was intended to
> free slaves in any state in which slaves were not free.

Read it again, Jim. There were lots of exceptions including West Virginia,
New Orleans, and Norfolk. Whether you are sure or not is irrelevant.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

593. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:22:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9BFD.66097739@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16737

Dave Heil wrote:
> You've read it. You've misunderstood it and you've misinterpreted it.
> That said, it still has naught to do with amateur radio.

You obviously haven't read it. What about New Orleans and Norfolk
being exempted from it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

594. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:24:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9C8D.1EC21697@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16738

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3B78E707.5A69280@sccoast.net...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > > BTW, the Emancipation Proclamation
> > > > only applied to the Southern states.
> > >
> > > That is a legally and factually
> > > inaccurate statement.
> >
> >
> > You need to take another look at the Emancipation Proclamation. It
> > did indeed only apply to the southern confederate states.
>
> I have just looked at it, Dwight. In fact I quoted directly from it, and
> that is what it says. Sorry, OM.

How you can maintain that you are right when you are so obviously wrong
is unbelievable. What about New Orleans and Norfolk being exempted?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

595. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:27:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9D2A.ACBE4ABD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16739

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >the fact of the matter is
>
> > that the Northern states were already in support of the abolition of
> > slavery, since any disagreement in that regard would have defined them
> > as being in rebellion and would have automatically applied to them as
> > per the proclamation.
>
> Touhe! The clincher.....

The clincher has already been quoted - The Emancipation Proclamation
applied only to the states in rebellion and some areas of those states
were exempted like New Orleans and Norfolk.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

596. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:30:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9DCA.E208C0F6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16740

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The Proclamation INCLUDES the first sentence, which means exactly what I
> said it means.

Jim, before you embarass yourself anymore, contact a history prof
at a local university. The sentence is in the plain English of
the day and you are simply misreading it. It applies only to the
states in rebellion and not even to all the areas in those states.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

597. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:34:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9ECC.100A2EA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16741

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I hope we can at least agree that the Emancipation Proclamation was
> intended to free slaves in States that refused to otherwise free them.
> There was no point to imposing this decree on abolishonist states. The
> wording nevertheless freed slaves in any state in which they were not
> free.

Absolutely not true, Jim. West Virginia, New Orleans, and Norfolk
were exempted. The slaves were NOT freed in all areas. Please
contact a history professor near you and alleviate your ignorance.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

598. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 04:38:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7C9FCD.E3A58E62@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16742

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Slavery existed in the Northern states throughout the Civil War. If the war
> > was over slavery, why weren't the Northern slaves freed?
>
> Clearly you didn't major in history. There was a >50 year ruckus over admitting new states as
> either "slave" or "free".

As a matter of fact, I have a major in History. Slaves were often imported through the northern states on their way to the south. While they were in those northern states, they were still considered property, i.e. there were slaves in the northern states.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

599. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 05:01:10 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7CA7B0.40B5722A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16743

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > But you still left out one of the
> > main effects. What do you think the
> > slaves in the "states in rebellion"
> > thought when they heard the news?
> > "I'm, free, The Man said so". It
> > gave the rebels another entire level
> > of headaches, the necessity of
> > attempting to maintain control and
> > order over their newly freed slaves
> > as well as fighting the war. Worth
> > a few of Union divisions or more, I'd
> > guess.
>
> I'm sure that did cause some headaches, but I'm not sure it played
> that much of a factor. If the civil war was like most wars, the
> wealthy upper class (the ones who owned most of the slaves) were very
> successful at avoiding direct participation in the conflict. In other
> words, they probably sat out the war at home and continued to run
> their farms (and their slaves). What do you think?

That's the way wars are always fought. If the actual principals had to
engage in the fighting there would be far fewer wars.

600. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 05:01:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7CA547.98A39397@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16744

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It is because we are better people than today's hams
> that we were able to achieve this with comparative ease.

Nope, Larry, it's because the FCC changed the rules. A PhD EE with military CW experience, who is superior to you in every way, is still required to meet the present FCC requirements. It doesn't prove his inferiority. Your inferiority complex is showing again.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

601. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 05:05:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7CA604.BCF2FFBD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16745

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> How many Extra-class hams, licensed after 4/15/00, do you think can
> demonstrate the ability to work CW at 5 WPM on-the-air?

All the ones who upgraded from general and advanced. That's
the great majority of the new extras. A very small percentage
of extras have passed only the 5wpm exam.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

602. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 05:10:52 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7CA9F5.C9A4BA51@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16746

Dwight Stewart wrote

>Slavery is

> most certainly not okay today. But those people are not living today.
> Therefore, I'm not willing to judge what they did by standards other
> than those that existed in their time (the only standards they could
> have possibly lived up to),

Dwight, it would seem that the rest of the world judged them by the
standards of their times
and found that they came up sorta short.....

603. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 05:22:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7CAA02.F13D7F9A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16747

N2EY wrote:
> > I have a perfect right to live
> >my life as I choose as long as I do not initiate force or threat
> >of force against another human being.
>
> What if you harm another human being by your actions?

Harming another human being is using force.

> >I smoked marijuana for 25 years without ill effects.
>
> How do you know? Do you have an identical twin who did everyhting else you did
> but did not smoke pot? Scientific studies require a control group.

Who said anything about a scientific study? I am relating personal
experiences and opinions.

> >Not to my health, Steve.
>
> How do you know?

Personal experience.

> > I have suffered absolutely no ill effects.
>
> How do you know?

Personal experience.

> > It
> >actually works better for me than any prescription anti-depressant.
>
> Have you tried EVERY prescription anti-depressant on the market, properly
> prescribed by a competent physician?

I've tried all the family functions.

> >Not true, Steve, I have suffered absolutely no harmful effects. Neither
> >has anyone else that I know personally.
>
> How do you know?

Personal experience.

> >> >The children in child pornography are victims.
> >>
> >> Are they? How do you determine this?

Prima facie age of consent evidence.

> >Because they are not adults, they are victims by definition. Children
> >simply are not allowed to make their own decisions.
>
> Nonsense! "Children" under the age of 18 can get driver's (and amateur radio)
> licenses, have jobs, do all sorts of things.

From Webster's: "child - 1. an unborn or recently born person."
Exactly how many unborn have gotten driver's licenses, Jim?
How do they get their picture on the license?

> The problem is that you only focus on the "rights" and not the
> responsibilities.

With freedom come rights AND responsibilities.

> > Pot is less harmful than booze or tobacco.
>
> How do you know?

Personal experience.

> >My former pot habits had no negative effects on me or
> >anyone else and it had a lot of positive effects.
>
> Then why do the medical folks say differently?

Dr. Dean Edel doesn't say differently. He's an MD who believes
as I do and says so on nationwide radio and TV.

> >If you are ignorant of the enabling effect that your blaming drugs
> >for the actions of people has, I feel sorry for you. People like
> >you are the reason that the drug users who commit crimes against
> >victims are allowed to walk away with only a slap on the wrist.
>
> Huh?

Poor druggies, they can't help themselves, the drugs are to blame,
not the poor unfortunate people who use the drugs.

> No, the drugs and the people using them are the cause of the drug problem.

Are automobiles and the people who use them the cause of the automobile
death problem?

> The problem with prohibition was that a huge percentage of the mentally healthy
> adult population wanted to get blotto, and was willing to break the law to do
> so. The same is not true with drugs today.

You obviously don't live in California. Probably 1/3 of all the people in
California who drink alcohol also smoke pot and/or snort cocaine.

> Sounds like you're saying that if we can't wipe out a crime perfectly, it
> should be legalized.

We should legalize everything that is not a real crime. The prisons are overflowing with people who committed absolutely no wrongdoing and whose only crime was using or possessing an illegal drug often in the privacy of their own home.

> >The majority of the electorate used to support slavery.
>
> When?

When the slaves couldn't vote. :-)

> One word: Addiction.

Marijuana is not physically addictive. It's not as psychologically addictive as cilantro. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

604. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 05:28:01 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7CADFB.D983D348@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16748

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > (snip) One sometimes wonders why the
> > South dragged behind the North for so
> > long economically. A good case can be
> > made that the institution of slavery
> > did more to perpetuate that than any
> > other single factor. It helped
> > perpetuate what can be viewed as a
> > "backward" or unchanging econimic
> > cycle. (snip)
>
> Or that could be much more easily explained away by the fact that
> the south was better suited for farming than the north (just like
> Texas was better suited for raising cattle, the mid-west was better
> suited for growing wheat, or Washington state was better suited for
> logging). And, because of that much more obvious factor, much of the
> south did not switch from a farming economy to an industrialized economy.
>
> Of course, I doubt very many in those industrialized cities of the
> north complained about the south's economy when they sat down to eat
> the food those farms provided. But you can bet your bottom dollar that
> they did complain when it came time to pay for that food in the stores
> - loudly enough to cause the government to institute a number of
> policies over the years to keep the price of farm goods down (which
> has certainly not helped the south's economy over the years - but, who
> cares, they're just a bunch of darn slave owners anyway).
>
> Of course, all that is changing (the south may be slow, but it did
> finally realize that it was licked when it came to farming). The south
> is very much moving away from a farming economy today. Fifty years
> ago, every single member of my family were farmers. Today, not a
> single member of the family is.
>
> Because of that trend, in the future, like so many other things,
> we'll have to depend on foreign products for the food on our tables.
> Considering that food doesn't stockpile as well as fuel, I wonder if
> we'll handle the future food crisises better than we handled the
> recent fuel crisises. If not, there is going to be a lot of empty
> tables during those future events.

You have evidently never been to Fresno county, California, the #1
agriculture county in the USA, which is also surrounded by numbers 2, 3 and

4. (I recently spent a week there where we always enjoy the fabulous local fruit and produce). They grow about everything imaginable, and many times get two crops per year. It's all located on the desert that is the San Juaquin Valley, which streches a few hundred miles from south of Bakersfield to way north of Sacremento, land that will grow anything if you can get water to it.. If the water doesn't dry up there'll be plenty to eat. Your farming family won't really be missed, they've been replaced by migrant workers-some would say the 'slaves of today'.

605. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 06:17:22 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7CB98C.5F7D1528@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16749

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
> > it should be noted that there
> > is a basic and fundamental hypocrisy when
> > a country claims to be based on statements
> > like "liberty and justice for all", "all
> > men [sic] are created equal" and "endowed
> > by their Creator with certain inalienable
> > rights" but then permits some human beings
> > to own others as property. It's simply not
> > logical, regardless of the time or place.
>
> It is not logical to you or me today. But, again, we didn't live in
> those times. Obviously, for whatever reason, they thought it was quite
> logical. Beyond that, it makes no difference to me whatsoever. All we
> should really care about is what happens today. That is the only thing
> that we can personally do something about. And there is enough wrong
> in this world today to keep me way too busy to worry about judging
> those of the past.

I've gotta agree with you here Dwight, at least in part. In a new nation where the original right to vote hinged on whether or not you owned property, it had to be reasonable if not even normal to consider an aborigine as something other than a "man". Many, or maybe even all, of The Founding Fathers advanced in their thinking as they were, clearly were inclined toward such a viewpoint, wrong as it seems to us today
. As far as I know Indians were not enslaved, (just shot), and that was most likely because they would have died resisting, as I'm sure many Africans did. And many Africans were sold into slavery by their own greedy leaders.It's easy to forget that in that time the world was a vastly different place where it was the normal routine for the conquerer to enslave the conquered.

606. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 06:24:59 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7CBB57.372BF61@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16750

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Are you saying slavery was NOT an issue?
>
> No, I've never said that. What I've been trying to say for several
> days now is that slavery did not play a "key" role in the secession or
> in the early stages of the conflict. Slavery was one of the areas
> where the south disagreed with many in the north, but it was the sum
> of all those disagreements, not a single disagreement, that caused the
> secession and the later conflict. It was not until later that slavery
> was raised to a "key" issue, at least for the north, with Lincoln's
> emancipation proclamation.

They must teach a wholly different version of history in the South, (and I live in a border state where there were slaves). It's true that there were multiple reasons for the Civil War but slavery was indeed the focal point, and the biggest issue on which the two sides were implacably polarized, despite Lincoln's efforts to downplay it in attempting to hold the Union together. It was the one issue that could not be resolved without the conflict that was the Cival War.

607. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 06:33:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817023336.17592.00004635@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 16851

>Subject: Re: RM-10209
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 8/16/01 11:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>This is about the most hair-brained idea I've seen out of you yet,
>Steve!

Considering gravity has captured more than it's fair share of my hair
already, I am glad to have hair almost ANY where...!!!

>I could see designating a small 10 - 25 kHz "window"
>strictly for emergency preparedness and actual emergency traffic,
>but the whole steenking band?

Another "whole steenking band" for more 75 meter ragchewing? I'd rather
not consider that...!!!

I doubt the FCC would consider the whole band either, but you as much as
anyone here ought to know that you ask for the whole cake and be glad to get a
slice with some extra icing on it. That's the way Uncle works.

The "intentional interference" problem to public service nets and other
traffic handling services (regardless of mode) is embarrassing. A seperate
band may not completely alleve it, but it will help.

>You crazy, or what?

You peeked!

>What I would propose is that the ARRL and CQ make it another
>"Contest-free Zone" like the present WARC bands.

Those other bands have been with us for over 20 years now...isn't it time
to stop calling them "WARC" bands...They are ours.

And if you are going to make it contest free, why not "discussions about
gastrointestinal distress free" too...?!?!

73

DE K4YZ

Steve

PS...Anyone in RRAP going to be at Huntsville, AL this weekend?

608. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 06:50:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817025025.17592.00004636@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 16852

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/16/01 11:33 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>> >You can redeem yourself by answering these simple yes/no questions.

>>You love to employ the "yes/no" ...

>Yep, and you love to evade them.

Geez, Cecil, you don't even TRY and avoid looking like you are editing out the context of someone else's posts!

Wassamater? Your browser won't allow you to quote whole sentences...?!?!

What a CREEP! Try again, Cecil...This time QUOTE THE WHOLE SENTENCE!

>> Uh huh...And the reason they put pork by-products into hot dogs is to
>try
>> and starve out Israel. Heard THAT one too.

>You obviously are ignorant of the TV special, "Illegal drugs and
>how they got that way".

Yeah...produced by the same folks who brought us Geraldo Rivera's hidden Mafia tunnels.

Real good reporting there...I REALLY believed TAHT too.

>> Oh...wait a minute...two paragraphs ago you were insistingthat the
>Feds
>> don't test this stuff...Now you say it's been "proven"...

>The "stuff" was not tested legally.

Whoa,,,wait a minute Your Creepiness....FIRST you say that pot isn't tested...NOW you say it isn't tested LEGALLY...?!?! Which is it?

>> Marijuana for TRUE medicinal purposes is a valid use...

>Then why won't the feds allow anyone to use it for that purpose?

Good question. Why don't you ask them when you start your grass roots organization to legalize pot?

>You said you support the present drug laws. So do drug pushers.
>You guys support the same laws so it is you who are lying.

Thank you for that analysis, Dr. Goebbels.

609. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 06:57:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817025746.17592.00004637@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 16853

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/16/01 11:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>> I, and many others, see no more reason to fall for your arguments in
>> defense of drug legalization than to fall for the similar arguments of
>> the pedophile.

>You obviously don't have any children, Dwight, if you believe
>pedophilia is a victimless crime.

That's not what he said.

He said you are (and you ARE) using the same arguments used by pedophiles.

He said NOTHING about pedophilia being a "victimless" crime.

YOU should find the website of the "North American Man-Boy Love
Association". I found out about these creeps through a child abuse seminar,
and there is precious little in this world that makes me as gut-wrenching sick
as knowing scum like this can hide behind the First Amendment when spewing
thier crap.

The real SAD part in THIS case is that you can snip out parts about sexual
"rights" and insert your dope "rights", and we'd be hearing the same sorry
tune.

Sad, sad, sad..................

610. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 10:32:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817063228.26790.00000102@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 16854

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>This is about the most hair-brained idea I've seen out of you yet,
>>Steve!

> Considering gravity has captured more than it's fair share of my hair
>already, I am glad to have hair almost ANY where...!!!

Hey, he could have said hare-brained, which would, of couse, be a term used to describe an idea of unparalleled brilliance. :-)

>>I could see designating a small 10 - 25 kHz "window"
>>strictly for emergency preparedness and actual emergency traffic,
>>but the whole steenking band?

> Another "whole steenking band" for more 75 meter ragchewing? I'd rather
>not consider that...!!!

It is the emergency communications that impresses the Commission and Congress, but it is the ability to get on the air and have fun that attracts hams to the airways in the first place. If a new band is put into place that does not permit such ragchewing, it will not attract enough interest for manufacturers to even include it in their designs. How many rigs can operate on the present ~5 MHz emergency frequency?

>The "intentional interference" problem to public service nets and other
>traffic handling services (regardless of mode) is embarrassing. A seperate
>band may not completely alleve it, but it will help.

Making a rule that only emergency and public-service communications are allowed on any frequency will not stop those who violate the existing rules about non-interference. In what way would it help?

>And if you are going to make it contest free, why not "discussions about
>gastrointestinal distress free" too...?!?!

As a medical professional, surely you understand the need of people with illnesses to talk out their feelings about them. Why would you not want to include amateur radio in that process? For some who are shut ins, ham radio is their only regular means of social contact.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

611. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 12:32:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108170432.4fff5523@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16855

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B7C79FE.3E7E854B@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > What WERE the "standards of their time,
> > then?
> >
> > In 1860, was slavery acceptable or legal
> > anywhere in Western civilization other
> > than in some parts of the USA? In the
> > countries where Judeo-Christian religions
> > predominated? In countries where English
> > was the most common language?
>
> Man, do you realize how far those other countries "in Western
> civilization" were from some farmer in North Carolina at the time (I'm
> not talking about in mileage - I talking about in perception).

Sure. But it has been suggested that one reason for secession was that
many southerners were not happy about breaking away from England. Had
the colonies stayed part of the British Empire, the slaves would have
been freed almost a half-century earlier.

The question still remains: Where else was slavery legal in 1860?

> It is
> absurd to hold that person to a standard that existed in Germany,
> England, or anywhere else.

WHOA! So we can ONLY judge the hypothetical 1860 slave owner in NC by
the standards of North Carolina in 1860? That's the fox setting the
moral standards of the henhouse!

> In the United States, that person violated
> no law of that time, either legally or ethically.

Not true. Slavery was not legal in most of the USA at the time. The
abolitionist movement took the ethical high ground.

In 1860, there were LOTS of people saying that slavery was unethical,
immoral and should be made illegal. Many of them were in the South.
They were not very popular there. But they WERE upholding ethical
standards.

> Therefore, I'm
> simply not willing to step up a hundred years later to condemn that person.

I'm saying the actions to keep slavery should be condemned.
>
> Now, you and I can agree that we don't want to do that today. But
> our choices of today should not condemn someone of the past. Likewise,
> we can have whatever morals we want today, but that again should not
> make someone of the past, who never even heard of our morals, immoral.

Nonsense. Sorry, that's a copout, Dwight. By that logic, you would not condemn Nazi Germany for operating its death camps and carrying out Hitler's "final solution" because it happened more than a half-century ago in a far away country, where those who carried it out never heard of our morals.
>
> > However, it should be noted that there
> > is a basic and fundamental hypocrisy when
> > a country claims to be based on statements
> > like "liberty and justice for all", "all
> > men [sic] are created equal" and "endowed
> > by their Creator with certain inalienable
> > rights" but then permits some human beings
> > to own others as property. It's simply not
> > logical, regardless of the time or place.
>
>
> It is not logical to you or me today. But, again, we didn't live in
> those times.

I didn't live in 1944, when Auschwitz and Treblinka and Bergen-Belsen were in full operation either. Does that mean I'm not supposed to condemn what went on there?

> Obviously, for whatever reason, they thought it was quite
> logical.

Maybe. Or maybe they shut their eyes to the obvious. Orwell called it doublethink.

> Beyond that, it makes no difference to me whatsoever. All we
> should really care about is what happens today.

NO. We must remember the past as it really was, and learn from it.

> That is the only thing
> that we can personally do something about. And there is enough wrong
> in this world today to keep me way too busy to worry about judging
> those of the past.

The past gave us the present. We must learn from the past to avoid making similar mistakes today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

612. New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 13:04:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817090436.06466.00002843@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16856

Go read the Report below, rather apparent all Restructuring did was help Manufactures, and help the Lazy to upgrade.

ARNewsline Report #1253 – August 17, 2001

613. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 14:10:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D25DC.C7C9EB79@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16857

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> He said you are (and you ARE) using the same arguments used by pedophiles.

Again, pedophilia is not a victimless crime and involves more than one
person so I am not using the same argument at all. Why must you lie about
what I said?

> The real SAD part in THIS case is that you can snip out parts about sexual
> "rights" and insert your dope "rights", and we'd be hearing the same sorry
> tune.

Masturbation while alone is the only sexual right that even comes close
to the right to smoke marijuana in the privacy of one's home. Do you
want to outlaw masturbation? Any sex involving two (or more) people
is NOT a right, it is a contract. Underage children are not capable
of entering into the contract. Why must you lie about what I said?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

614. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 14:15:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D2713.63BD369F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16858

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >> >You can redeem yourself by answering these simple yes/no questions.
>
> >>You love to employ the "yes/no" ...
>
> >Yep, and you love to evade them.
>
> Geez, Cecil, you don't even TRY and avoid looking like you are editing out
> the context of someone else's posts!
>
> Wassamater? Your browser won't allow you to quote whole sentences...?!?!

When the rest of the sentence is garbage, I usually trim it off. My question
was not a "beating your wife" type question. It was a valid honest question
which you chose to ignore. Here it is again:

Steve, do you believe that ALL present laws are good laws?

See, simple question, one that you simply refuse to answer.

> Whoa,,,wait a minute Your Creepiness....FIRST you say that pot isn't
> tested...NOW you say it isn't tested LEGALLY...?!?! Which is it?

I did not say it wasn't tested. I said the feds won't allow (legal) testing.
Why must you lie about what I said?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

615. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 14:24:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D2931.179BA0F0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16859

Richard Carroll wrote:
> And many Africans were sold into slavery by their own greedy leaders.It's easy
> to forget that in that time the world was a vastly different place where it was
> the normal routine for the conquerer to enslave the conquered.

Yep, and down through history, race had little to do with slavery.
Some African tribes enslaved members of other African tribes. Romans
had white slaves usually based on an ethnic/political basis, not on
race.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

616. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 14:34:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D2B73.9D5422AF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16860

N2EY wrote:
> Slavery was not legal in most of the USA at the time. The
> abolitionist movement took the ethical high ground.

The movement did, even if the law did not. The Fugitive Slave Laws
required free states to return runaway slaves. The Underground Railroad
was illegal. Slaves were imported through free states and transported
to the slave states. The ownership of slaves in the slave states was
honored by the law in the free states (until the law was changed). The
actual freeing of all slaves required the passing of the 13th Amendment.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

617. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 16:49:47 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ljhvapcb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16864

Now thats funny !!

Dan/W4NTI cw enhanced Extra, FCC tested, Air worthy and My daddy can beat up your daddy.

"James Bromley" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:viYe7.12217$Ki1.982624@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> >From: "JAMES HAMPTON"
>
> > >The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> > >no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
> > >availability of older calls.
>
> From: Ed Hare, W1RFI:
>
> > If anyone wants to know for sure the code speed of another op, the best way to
> > determine that is with a CW QSO. If anyone thinks that a single test passed
> > once is a superior method, they have a pretty illogical viewpoint, IMHO.
>
>
> Naw, that's too time-consuming and requires real radios.
> We need something that is instantly available on the
> Internet so that we can throw it back at someone while
> staying online. The ARRL should expand their VE program
> into giving honest-to-God, proctored code tests complete
> with steely-eyed examiners, headphones that envelop
> one's head like a C-clamp and hot, noisy rooms with lots of BO.
> It would need some kind of coordination with the callsign
> databases so that the officially determined code speed could
> be immutably stamped on the licensee's listing. This would
> require a lot of processing, so an exam fee somewhere
> around $500 would be in order. But no cost should be spared
> in separating Real Hams (tm) from the CB wannabee's.
>
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>
>

>
>

618. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 16:51:02 UTC
From: mdaffron@the-rma.org
Message-ID: <961fa007.0108170851.6ad25925@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16861

I resemble that remark!

I'm more than a little disturbed at those who lump ALL new Extras into one category. I aced my Extra exam a week ago after much study. Sure, I didn't pass a 20-wpm code test. But I certainly CAN copy 20-wpm CW! Not all of us new Extras are post-CBers who just discovered amateur radio, friends! I was first licensed as a Novice 21 years ago when Novices only had CW privileges! No 10-meter phone then! And I spent the better part of two years operating CW only. Then I attended a class and upgraded to Technician, only after making my dad (I was 15) drive me 100 miles to the FCC Field Office in Norfolk, Virginia, to take the test. Back then, you didn't have the luxury of testing down the block or across town. If you lived half a state from the Field Office, you had to make damned sure you were ready to take that test!

The first VE exam session I went to was in 1989, still as a Technician, to watch my older brother -- in whom I'd nurtured an interest in amateur radio (isn't that our calling?) -- take his test. The guys at the VE session talked me into taking the 13-wpm code test. I took it and passed it. (Must've been since I'd been using CW for nine years at that point.)

The ONLY reason I waited so long to upgrade any higher was because of college, post-college jobs, a wife and a new son. Personal reasons that had NOTHING to do with a freakin' code speed requirement. Yeah, I can copy 20 wpm. And you'll find my Bencher paddle is much more worn than my microphone.

When I decided I was ready to spend the time to study for my most recent upgrade, I studied for, took, and passed the test that is currently endorsed by the government to become an Extra. Sorry. I didn't have an option. I don't think begging for a code test would've made the VEs do it.

When you're slamming all new Extras, keep in mind two things:
(1) They didn't change the rules. Actually, you and I made it happen by not recruiting new hams into our hobby.
(2) Some of them have been hams longer than you have. Just because they didn't upgrade to Extra before a certain date doesn't necessarily make them any less qualified -- or supportive -- of our hobby.

73,

Meade KA4RQB (yeah -- I kept my original, FCC-issued novice call)

619. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 16:51:25 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lji2b$vf2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16865

Well I like my old timey 1x3 except in a CW contest. That dang ditdit is a pain at times.

Dan/W4NTI

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3B7CA604.BCF2FFBD@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > How many Extra-class hams, licensed after 4/15/00, do you think can
> > demonstrate the ability to work CW at 5 WPM on-the-air?
>
> All the ones who upgraded from general and advanced. That's
> the great majority of the new extras. A very small percentage
> of extras have passed only the 5wpm exam.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

620. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 16:54:32 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lji87dut1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16862

Hey Larry...I'm ex DA2LJ circa 71-79, Hanau, Fulda, Frankfurt. When were you there and where?

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010816233623.12858.00006049@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >
> >>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> >>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
> >>availability of older calls.
> >
> >Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim:
>
> At least not those of us who already have our "old timey" sounding
> 1x2 call signs!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> EX: (in order of issuance) KA3HXE, N3CRA, DA1RZ, AFA7LR, DA1ZW,
> WR2F, G�LYW

621. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 16:56:02 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ljib1$qk9$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16863

I'm not sure I agree we are all that much better than the new guys. I note that most, if not all, of the AHoles that Riley has popped on HF have been the 'old guys'.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010816233625.12858.00006051@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <20010816071802.22549.00004306@mb-mj.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >Though I am reasonably
> >proficient in Morse code and passed an FCC-given Extra, I consider that to be
> >one of the lesser of my accomplisments in ham radio. Good accomplishments,
> >mind you, but only the start of a lifetime of growth and learning.
>
> Ed:
>
> This is true for all of us who achieved Extra-class status under the "old"
> licensing structure. An amateur radio license, even an Extra-class
> license, is now, and always has been, a beginning, not an end. It is
> a start, not the finish, of a lifelong experience of learning, growth, and
> experimentation. At least, that's what it's *supposed* to be. Unfortunately,
> for a large number of hams, the learning, growth, and experimentation
> never start, or don't advance beyond the HF phone bands. The reduction
> of the code testing requirements exacerbates this problem by ensuring
> that there is no underlying skill in *any* non-voice mode, therefore, no
> motivation to give it a fair trial on-the-air.
>
> What has always separated the so-called Real Hams from the wannabes
> is the motivation and discipline required to master the Morse code at a
> useful proficiency level. The Real Hams have the superior intelligence
> to understand the usefulness of this mode, and usually gain a useful
> level of proficiency very quickly. Then, once they start having fun with
> this mode on-the-air, their proficiency usually increases exponentially,
> leading to (in the pre-Restructuring era) Extra-class status in short order.
> Now, for reasons of political correctness and bureaucratic indifference
> on the part of the FCC, Extra-class status is given away for 5 WPM and
> a few hours of committing some Q & A to short-term memory. The
> "lifetime of growth and learning" is something being undertaken by

> older hams like you and me, who took 13- and 20-WPM code tests at
> the FCC office. It is because we are better people than today's hams
> that we were able to achieve this with comparative ease. The dumbed-
> down wannabes we're getting now will never equal us.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

622. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 17:03:01 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ljipugru1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16866

At first I said 'what a dumb idea'. Now I like it. But it won't happen.
The FCC has already stated that whatever it does with the bands, it won't
entertain comments about special groups, etc.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010816224240.09260.00003197@mb-mp.aol.com...
> <PROCEEDING> 10209
> <DATE>08/17/01
> <NAME> STEVEN JAMES ROBESON
> <ADDRESS1> POB 2103
> <ADDRESS2>
> <CITY> DUNLAP
> <STATE> TN
> <ZIP> 37327
> <LAW-FIRM> NONE
> <ATTORNEY> NONE
> <FILE-NUMBER>NONE
> <DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
> <PHONE-NUMBER>
> <DESCRIPTION> COMMENT ON PROPOSED 60 METER AMATEUR ALLOCATION
> <CONTACT-EMAIL>K4YZ@AOL.COM
> <TEXT>LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE COMMISSION,
>
> I write to you today to request that you favorably consider the request
for a
> new domestic spectrum allocation in the 60 meter band for the Amateur
Radio
> Service.
>
> The recent trials of the American Radio Relay League have proven that the
> allocation requested provides excellent coverage for domestic
communications.
> It would greatly relieve the congestion experienced on 80 and 40 meters
from
> both foreign broadcasting services and from crowding within the Amatuer
Service
> itself.
>
> However I would ask that the Commission consider making the band available
> solely for domestic formal traffic handling, public service and emergency
> communications purposes, and for requisite training associated with those
> applications. By approving this request, the FCC would alleviate a
significant

> amount of traffic from the present 80 and 40 meter bands for "routine"
> communications and would provide a relatively "secure" enviroment in which
the
> suggested users could operate without hinderence.
>
> This request could also have the added benefit of relieving the Commission
of
> the task of designating certain frequencies for "communications
emergencies" as
> it would have already in fact have done that by restricting the proposed
> allocation for those same purposes.
>
> I thank the Commission for the opportunity to comment on this matter.
>
> Steven James Robeson, LPN
> Amateur Extra Class Licensee, K4YZ
> ARRL Emergency Coordinator
>
>
>
>
>

623. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 17:13:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108170913.16ffbd1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16867

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B7CAA02.F13D7F9A@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > > I have a perfect right to live
> > >my life as I choose as long as I do not initiate force or threat
> > >of force against another human being.
> >
> > What if you harm another human being by your actions?
>
> Harming another human being is using force.

How so? What does the dictionary say? By that definition, anything YOU
do that harms me, or threatens to harm me, should be outlawed.

--

Consider the following cases:

A lives next door to B. A has several big gas-guzzling vehicles, while
B has a small economy car. Once or twice a week, B siphons a gallon or
two from one of A's vehicles. No "force" is needed because none of A's
vehicles has any sort of locking gas cap. A never notices the loss
because the vehicles have big tanks. Has B harmed A?

C and D have very similar appearance. D uses the resemblance to have
sex with C's spouse. C's spouse is genuinely fooled and has no idea of
the deception. Only D knows what is really going on. No "force" is
used. Has anyone been harmed?
>
> > >I smoked marijuana for 25 years without ill effects.
> >
> > How do you know? Do you have an identical twin who did everyhting else you did
> > but did not smoke pot? Scientific studies require a control group.
>
> Who said anything about a scientific study? I am relating personal
> experiences and opinions.

Medical and legal decisions about drug use are made based on
scientific study.
>
> > >Not to my health, Steve.
> >
> > How do you know?
>
> Personal experience.

Which is totally subjective.
>
> > > I have suffered absolutely no ill effects.
> >
> > How do you know?
>
> Personal experience.

"It's harmless" is a common refrain among drug abusers.
>
> > > It
> > >actually works better for me than any prescription anti-depressant.
> >
> > Have you tried EVERY prescription anti-depressant on the market, properly
> > prescribed by a competent physician?
>
> I've tried all the family functions.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
> > >Not true, Steve, I have suffered absolutely no harmful effects. Neither
> > >has anyone else that I know personally.
> >
> > How do you know?
>
> Personal experience.

You have personal experience of their health?
>
> > >> >The children in child pornography are victims.
> > >>
> > >> Are they? How do you determine this?
>
> Prima facie age of consent evidence.

Not so!

From what I've read, child porn is defined as ANY porn containing one
or more actors under the age of 18 years. No exceptions. There are
VERY strict penalties attached to it. The age of consent is
considerably lower than 18 in some states.
>
> > >Because they are not adults, they are victims by definition. Children
> > >simply are not allowed to make their own decisions.
> >
> > Nonsense! "Children" under the age of 18 can get driver's (and amateur radio)
> > licenses, have jobs, do all sorts of things.
>
> From Webster's: "child - 1. an unborn or recently born person."
> Exactly how many unborn have gotten driver's licenses, Jim?
> How do they get their picture on the license?

Your definition is not the one that applies in this case. You
previously defined a child as anyone who is not an adult.
>
> > The problem is that you only focus on the "rights" and not the
> > responsibilities.
>
> With freedom come rights AND responsibilities.

But you only talk about the freedom, not the responsibilities.
>
> > > Pot is less harmful than booze or tobacco.
> >
> > How do you know?
>
> Personal experience.

Distorted by chemical effects, obviously.
>
> > >My former pot habits had no negative effects on me or
> > >anyone else and it had a lot of positive effects.
> >
> > Then why do the medical folks say differently?
>
> Dr. Dean Edel doesn't say differently. He's an MD who believes
> as I do and says so on nationwide radio and TV.

Never heard of him.

ALL of the MDs I know personally say that illegal drugs are to be
avoided. Period. They also advise no tobacco of any kind and very
limited (if any) alcohol.

I'm talking about serious medical people, not radio/TV personalities.
>
> > >If you are ignorant of the enabling effect that your blaming drugs
> > >for the actions of people has, I feel sorry for you. People like
> > >you are the reason that the drug users who commit crimes against
> > >victims are allowed to walk away with only a slap on the wrist.
> >
> > Huh?
>
> Poor druggies, they can't help themselves, the drugs are to blame,
> not the poor unfortunate people who use the drugs.

In many cases the drugs so distort their view of reality that they
cannot make rational decisions. That doesn't mean they should escape
responsibility.
>
> > No, the drugs and the people using them are the cause of the drug problem.
>
> Are automobiles and the people who use them the cause of the automobile
> death problem?

Yes.
>
> > The problem with prohibition was that a huge percentage of the mentally healthy
> > adult population wanted to get blotto, and was willing to break the law to do
> > so. The same is not true with drugs today.
>
> You obviously don't live in California.

You know where I live.

> Probably 1/3 of all the people in
> California who drink alcohol also smoke pot and/or snort cocaine.

How do you know?

If Californians do it, does that make it right?

> > Sounds like you're saying that if we can't wipe out a crime perfectly, it
> > should be legalized.
>
> We should legalize everything that is not a real crime.

I agree!

> The prisons are
> overflowing with people who committed absolutely no wrongdoing and whose
> only crime was using or possessing an illegal drug often in the privacy
> of their own home.

Like who?

Oddly enough, the USE of most illegal drugs is not illegal! If you
look carefully, the charges are usually for things like possession,
transportation, sale, purchase or attempt to do so, operating a motor
vehicle while impaired, and things like that.
>
> > >The majority of the electorate used to support slavery.
> >
> > When?
>
> When the slaves couldn't vote. :-)

Not in most of the original colonies.
>
> > One word: Addiction.
>
> Marijuana is not physically addictive.

How do you know, scientifically?

> It's not as psychologically
> addictive as cilantro. :-)

Cilantro is for weenies. Straight garlic and rosemary, that's the good
stuff.

73 de Jim, N2EY

624. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 17:20:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108170920.74fe9f94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16868

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B7D2B73.9D5422AF@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Slavery was not legal in most of the USA at the time. The
> > abolitionist movement took the ethical high ground.
>
> The movement did, even if the law did not.

The question was about both ethics and leagality.

> The Fugitive Slave Laws
> required free states to return runaway slaves.

They were bad laws. They were enacted to appease the slave states.

> The Underground Railroad
> was illegal.

But highly ethical.

> Slaves were imported through free states and transported
> to the slave states.

Only before 1808. 45 years before the EP, importation ended. In some
states the importation may have ended much earlier.

1787 to 1808 is 20 years.

> The ownership of slaves in the slave states was
> honored by the law in the free states (until the law was changed).

Which was when?

And it was that way ONLY to appease the slave states.

> The
> actual freeing of all slaves required the passing of the 13th Amendment.

Legally, anyway.

73 de Jim, N2EY

625. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 17:21:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D5295.34094A0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16869

N2EY wrote:
> Consider the following cases:

Nope, too unrealistic. In general, stealing something that doesn't
belong to you is prima facie evidence of the use of force. The
use of force and/or threat of force is about the only things that
need to be outlawed. Force, in this context, has a realitively broad
meaning and includes such things as fraud, negligence, etc.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

626. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 17:28:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108170928.67ab5cba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 16870

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<IOXe7.3851$SQ.176960@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...

> After
> all, the Advance Class, which I hold now, itself indicates that the holder
> tested prior
> to the changes and has demonstrated CW proficiency of at least 13 WPM---

Not so!

5 wpm Generals, Advanceds and Extras have existed since 1990, when
medical waivers were introduced. Since all pre-1990 licenses have
expired, the only way to "prove" that any US ham was tested at a
particular speed is to dig up records from before 1990 (like a
Callbook listing or an old license document). And that method works
for any license class.

I prefer W1RFI's method.

73 de Jim, N2EY

627. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 17:31:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D54E5.62A2F438@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16871

W4NTI wrote:
> Well I like my old timey 1x3 except in a CW contest. That dang ditdit is a
> pain at times.

Yep, KG7BK was a pain in the neck for CW.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

628. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 18:20:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D608A.3D1D7A5E@uci.edu>
Source record: 16872

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Sorry, you are just wrong.
> >
> > Nope. I'm quite sure that the Emancipation Proclamation was intended to
> > free slaves in any state in which slaves were not free.
>
> Read it again, Jim. There were lots of exceptions including West Virginia,
> New Orleans, and Norfolk. Whether you are sure or not is irrelevant.

I see. So according to you, Lincoln intended to permit slavery in those
states?

629. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 18:22:24 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D60E0.4C8F2FC7@uci.edu>
Source record: 16875

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> > it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".

> It didn't declare slaves in all states to be freed, Jim. Read it
> again.

It's not helping. It says the same thing every time I read it.

630. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 18:28:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D6269.A17B4F4A@uci.edu>
Source record: 16873

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim, before you embarass yourself anymore, contact a history prof
> at a local university.

How could I embarass myself? I am engineer, an inventor, and I read legal documents all the time. I know nothing of what history professors say or do. Have you contacted a history professor about what the first sentence says?

631. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 18:30:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D62C4.540387BC@uci.edu>
Source record: 16874

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I hope we can at least agree that the Emancipation Proclamation was
> > intended to free slaves in States that refused to otherwise free them.
> > There was no point to imposing this decree on abolishonist states. The
> > wording nevertheless freed slaves in any state in which they were not
> > free.
>
> Absolutely not true, Jim. West Virginia, New Orleans, and Norfolk
> were exempted.

The point was to exempt them from being in rebellion, not to allow
slavery in those states. You're a weird guy, Cecil.

632. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 18:32:45 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D634D.A99D816A@uci.edu>
Source record: 16876

CAM wrote:
> How you can maintain that you are right when you are so obviously wrong
> is unbelievable.

I may not be right all time, but at least I'm not an asshole all the
time.

633. She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 19:02:24 UTC
From: lrskine@xocel.org
Message-ID: <B4F297FE.7B62B048@205.237.233.40>
Source record: 16877

http://britneyvidz.20m.com
Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free at this webpage!
http://britneyvidz.20m.com

Until Pilar stops the enigmas wanly, Rob won't create any resilient signals. Dave wants to load dully, unless Edwin crawls protocols at Vincent's cryptographer. Do not slump happily while you're meeting alongside a lazy government. Many moronic pathetic fax machines will frantically learn the ROMs. Go sell a proxy! What doesn't Catherine facilitate steadily? Joaquim opens, then Endora sneakily outwits a filthy output against Hector's FTP server. Better collaborate TCP/IPs now or Toni will sadly dream them inside you. Lots of plastic bugs are fake and other foolish modems are ignorant, but will Dolf kick that? My dense opinion won't jump before I interface it. Just excludeing over a stack alongside the store is too flat for Anastasia to start it. It defeats, you delete, yet Stephanie never mercilessly puts under the underground. He will insulate undoubtably if Cristof's warning isn't unlimited. Let's save over the cosmetic shelters, but don't engulf the lost sattelite phones. Occasionally Joey will burst the chatroom, and if Kenneth absolutely contradicts it too, the ActiveX will dump beside the fast Net Bus. Will you authenticate about the inferno, if Marian inadvertently questions the LAN?

634. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 19:42:48 UTC
From: Crap Shooter <lucky@sevenout.comcom>
Message-ID: <Ysef7.113199$EP6.29667266@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16878

This is a bullshit web page AND HAS MALICIOUS CODE written into it do not
go to this page..
<Irskine@xocel.org> wrote in message
news:B4F297FE.7B62B048@205.237.233.40...
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free
at this webpage!
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Until Pilar stops the enigmas wanly, Rob won't create any resilient
> signals. Dave wants to load dully, unless Edwin crawls protocols
> at Vincent's cryptographer. Do not slump happily while you're
> meeting alongside a lazy government. Many moronic pathetic fax machines
will
> frantically learn the ROMs. Go sell a proxy! What doesn't Catherine
> facilitate steadily? Joaquim opens, then Endora sneakily outwits a
> filthy output against Hector's FTP server. Better collaborate
> TCP/IPs now or Toni will sadly dream them inside you. Lots of
> plastic bugs are fake and other foolish modems are ignorant, but will
> Dolf kick that? My dense opinion won't jump before I interface it. Just
> excludeing over a stack alongside the store is too flat for Anastasia to
> start it. It defeats, you delete, yet Stephanie never mercilessly
> puts under the underground. He will insulate undoubtably if
> Cristof's warning isn't unlimited. Let's save over the cosmetic
> shelters, but don't engulf the lost sattelite phones. Occasionally
> Joey will burst the chatroom, and if Kenneth absolutely contradicts it
too, the
> ActiveX will dump beside the fast Net Bus. Will you authenticate
> about the inferno, if Marian inadvertently questions the LAN?
>

>
>

635. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 19:55:14 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D76A2.C8BD8854@uci.edu>
Source record: 16879

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > So, back to my original question, were
> > they right?

> I've tried to avoid your question because I cannot give you a simple
> answer, Jim.

Let me help if I can. OF COURSE IT WAS A MISTAKE!!! The South is only just now climbing out of the crater that was left.

636. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:05:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D790A.8A1D364B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16880

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> > > it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".
>
> > It didn't declare slaves in all states to be freed, Jim. Read it
> > again.
>
> It's not helping. It says the same thing every time I read it.

You are misinterpreting it. Here's what it says:

"... all persons held as slaves within any state or designated part of a
state the people whereof shall then be in rebellion ..."

If you understand the American English of 140 years ago, that clearly
says: *any state or part of a state that is in rebellion*. Please stop
embarrassing yourself, do yourself a favor, and contact some history
professor at a university near you.

The "whereof shall then be in rebellion" clearly makes it apply ONLY
to the states in rebellion. Your continuing ignorance will not change
that fact.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

637. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:15:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D7B65.ED88B449@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16881

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim, before you embarass yourself anymore, contact a history prof
> > at a local university.
>
> How could I embarass myself? I am engineer, an inventor, and I read
> legal documents all the time. I know nothing of what history professors
> say or do. Have you contacted a history professor about what the first
> sentence says?

Nope, I have a major in history and am qualified to teach it in any high school in Texas. When it says: "any state ... the people whereof shall then be in rebellion ...", it clearly applies only to the states in rebellion. In modern American English it says: "... any state that contains people in rebellion ..." The Northern states did not contain people in rebellion so they were exempt from the Emancipation Proclamation. In addition, so was West Virginia, New Orleans, and Norfolk. Politics was alive and well in those days. I learned all this stuff in a course titled, "History of the Old South".

You are simply mistaken about what you think the Emancipation Proclamation said. A rational person would take time out to check the validity of his claim rather than just keep repeating the same old mistake ad infinitum.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

638. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:20:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D7C9D.D0E0EEE7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16882

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Absolutely not true, Jim. West Virginia, New Orleans, and Norfolk
> > were exempted.
>
> The point was to exempt them from being in rebellion, not to allow
> slavery in those states. You're a weird guy, Cecil.

There were lots of slaves in New Orleans, Jim. Why were they not freed?
Methinks you are the one who is acting weird, i.e. outside the
bounds of reality. You cannot change what happened in the past by
mouthing a few words on an obscure amateur radio group - not unless
God died and left you in charge.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

639. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:21:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D7CCE.86A73F0C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16883

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I may not be right all time, but at least I'm not an asshole all the
> time.

Part of you is. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

640. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:23:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D7D48.E2E7CA1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16884

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Let me help if I can. OF COURSE IT WAS A MISTAKE!!! The South is only
> just now climbing out of the crater that was left.

It definitely was NOT a mistake. If it weren't for the Civil War,
my great-grandfather would not have moved to Texas and he would
have never met my great-grandmother.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

641. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:24:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7D7D88.10BE7E5E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16885

Irskine@xocel.org wrote:
> Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos!

Who is Britney Spears?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

642. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:34:15 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D7FC7.B644530B@uci.edu>
Source record: 16887

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > The Emancipation Proclamation defined which states were "in rebellion", and
> > > > it declared slaves in all states to be "freed".
> > >
> > > It didn't declare slaves in all states to be freed, Jim. Read it
> > > again.
> > >
> > It's not helping. It says the same thing every time I read it.
>
> You are misinterpreting it. Here's what it says:
>
> "... all persons held as slaves within any state or designated part of a
> state the people whereof shall then be in rebellion ..."

Magoo, you've done it again. It does not say that, Cecil. Once again,
you've had to obliterate the punctuation in order to make your point.

> If you understand the American English of 140 years ago, that clearly
> says: *any state or part of a state that is in rebellion*.

It says "all persons held as slaves in any state of designated part of a
state" ,comma, obviously referring to slaves in any state. Then, in a
subordinate part of the sentence he adds, "the people whereof shall then
be in rebellion", followed by another comma, obviously referring to
PEOPLE rather than states in which slaves are held.

Now you may be correct that that is indeed how the Proclamation has been
interpretted all these years. I'm just saying I don't see it - Old
English or not.

> Please stop
> embarrassing yourself, do yourself a favor, and contact some history
> professor at a university near you.

Cecil. It is you who is unhappy with what I'm saying. I'm perfectly
happy with it. Why don't you contact a history professor, and I'll just
stay in touch with the physics professors. Okay?

> The "whereof shall then be in rebellion" clearly makes it apply ONLY
> to the states in rebellion. Your continuing ignorance will not change

> that fact.

No. Whereof shall then be in rebellion clearly says only that they are in rebellion. Sorry OM.

643. *Bailey She's got a killer set of tits and a great ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:34:37 UTC
From: Petr@waxef.edu
Message-ID: <3C72EAAE.597F37CC@waxef.edu>
Source record: 16897

http://britneyvidz.20m.com
Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free at this webpage!
http://britneyvidz.20m.com

When did Annie insulate the admin near the chaotic keypad? Until Lionel recycles the analysts lovingly, Linette won't reboot any opaque stores. When Norman's abysmal backdoor proliferates, Georgina trains throughout plastic, dry hard disks. The credit cards, emails, and cryptographers are all root and silly. Edwin will buy the fast chatroom and flow it throughout its eternity server. Who did Terrance connect outside all the buillons? We can't format Pascals unless Johnny will neatly confront afterwards. Sometimes Kaye will smile the computer, and if Bruce seemingly meets it too, the output will disconnect in front of the huge cellar. To be out-of-date or rogue will tolerate major CDROMs to quietly infect. Lots of overloaded opinions are solid and other strange servers are useless, but will Sara float that? Otherwise the spool in John's Java might generate some discarded data havens. The lazy bronze operator collaborates telephones within Martha's unclassified machine. Never interface the quarters mercilessly, restore them wickedly. As weekly as Carolyn substantiates, you can open the package much more wrongly. Janet will compleatly shoot within Edward when the sly thoughts wash for the pathetic Net Bus. We weakly moan in front of moronic cosmetic doorways. Don't even try to exclude furiously while you're dreaming alongside a idiotic cowboy. I pull robust interrupts inside the vulnerable virulent node, whilst Grover easily bursts them too. Go bind a algorithm! Let's inflate outside the strong cyberspaces, but don't dump the disgusting tablets. Some insecure sticky basements will locally compile the memorys. The resilient engineer rarely kicks Nelly, it obscures Clint instead.

644. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:37:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7D702D.A09F05AB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 16886

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You've read it. You've misunderstood it and you've misinterpreted it.
> > That said, it still has naught to do with amateur radio.
>
> You obviously haven't read it. What about New Orleans and Norfolk
> being exempted from it?

What about them? Give us your peculiar interpretation of the exemptions
and let us see if you've misunderstood and misinterpreted that portion
as well.

That said, the matter still has naught to do with amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

645. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:39:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D80F4.E923EC22@uci.edu>
Source record: 16888

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Let me help if I can. OF COURSE IT WAS A MISTAKE!!! The South is only
> > just now climbing out of the crater that was left.
>
> It definitely was NOT a mistake.

Yeah, it paid off REAL well. wink wink

646. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 20:48:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817164812.01517.00000084@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 16889

>Subject: Re: RM-10209
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 8/17/01 6:32 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>How many rigs can operate on the present
>~5 MHz emergency frequency?

If you don't count the couple of minutes to remove the hardware to get "under the hood", it took less than 10 seconds to put my Yaesu FT-757GX II there...and that was 8 years ago!

>Why would you not want to
>include amateur radio in that proces

Probably because I AM a medical professional, and I hear it "at the office" all night long! =) Here...have a burrito!

And Ed...unless I missed it, I haven't seen your promised telling of the tale on the Deathmobile...!!! It was alluded to in the last QST's "QRP" column, first paragraph!

73

Steve, K4YZ

647. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:03:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D8685.CF5A8DF@uci.edu>
Source record: 16892

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Absolutely not true, Jim. West Virginia, New Orleans, and Norfolk
> > > were exempted.
> >
> > The point was to exempt them from being in rebellion, not to allow
> > slavery in those states. You're a weird guy, Cecil.

> There were lots of slaves in New Orleans, Jim. Why were they not freed?

I don't agree that they were not freed. I would only agree that the people of New Orleans were not considered to be in rebellion. I can only conclude that the issue of slavery was not in dispute in New Orleans.

> Methinks you are the one who is acting weird, i.e. outside the
> bounds of reality.

You always say that, and it's never true.

> You cannot change what happened in the past by
> mouthing a few words on an obscure amateur radio group - not unless
> God died and left you in charge.

Nothing I have said has changed the past, nor was it intended to. You have no idea what you are talking about.

648. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:04:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817170428.01517.00000087@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 16890

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/17/01 10:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/17/01 10:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Why must you lie about what I said?
>--

I cannot "lie" about what YOU said, Cecil. Only YOU can do that! I just quoted it and pointed out your hypocracies!

>> He said you are (and you ARE) using the same arguments used by pedophiles.

>Again, pedophilia is not a victimless crime and involves more than one
>person so I am not using the same argument at all. Why must you lie about
>what I said?

"Again" youself thre, Big Boy...I can't "lie" about what you said...I can only quote it and point out your absurdities.

Your assertions to the contrary, your "arguments" are STILL the same ones used by pedophiles to justify THIER lawbreaking.

And THERE lies the commonality...It's NOT a matter of what YOU "percieve" (remember YOU telling ME that "feelings" were not germane)...It's a matter of what the law says.

You don't like it and want it changed...?!?! Fine. Do something about it. But if you do it while it's still illegal, I just hope you aren't stupid enough to REALLY do it "in the privacy of (your) own home"...OR your car...OR on the premises of a friend...OR in the vehicle, vessel or aircraft of a friend...UNLESS you like seeing that stuff sold at federal auction for pennies on the dollars you paid for it.

Drugs are illegal for GOOD reasons. Your "eveyone else is doing it" arguments are childish, poorly based and without the slightest merit or validity. There is only vague medicinal validation except as an analgesic and anti-emetic.

Those are facts. The rest is emotional "cuz I wanna" sandlot stuff.

>Masturbation while alone is the only sexual right that even comes close
>to the right to smoke marijuana in the privacy of one's home.

You do not have the "right" to smoke marijuana ANYWHERE.

>Any sex involving two (or more) people
>is NOT a right, it is a contract.

Oh...?!?! And you will of course provide me a reference to case law that validates that statement?

>Do you
>want to outlaw masturbation?

The man that denies he does is a liar and the madn that admit's it is a fool.

>Underage children are not capable
>of entering into the contract.

A teenager that is 17 years, 11 months and 29 days isn't capable? Then some of the pregnant PRE-teens I know haven't been reading the fine print!

>Why must you lie about what I said?

Yeah...RIGHT.

Steve

649. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:09:34 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D880E.18141FDD@uci.edu>
Source record: 16891

Dave Heil wrote:
> That said, the matter still has naught to do with amateur radio.

It seems the newsgroup has been more about arguing in general than radio
in particular for the past couple years.

650. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:21:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817172107.01517.00000090@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 16893

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/17/01 10:15 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Wassamater? Your browser won't allow you to quote whole
>sentences...?!?!

>When the rest of the sentence is garbage, I usually trim it off.

What you REALLY mean is that when the rest of the sentence makes YOU look
foolish, then you selectively cut the sentence in half to avoid it.

>Steve, do you believe that ALL present laws are good laws?

No, I don't.

Now here's one for you: "Do you, Cecil A. Moore, believe that you have
the right to obey only those laws YOU deem "good" laws?

>See, simple question, one that you simply refuse to answer

We'll see how well it goes.

>I did not say it wasn't tested.

Untruthful statement. You said that "class 1 drugs are not tested".
Period. No ambiguity.

>I said the feds won't allow (legal) testing.

As opposed to "IL"-legal testing...?!?! You will have to break out the
CFR's or Texas code on that one Cecil.

>Why must you lie about what I said?

Why must you make libelous accusations that you can substantiate, Cecil?

651. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:24:03 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7D8B73.E912C30B@uci.edu>
Source record: 16895

CAM wrote:
> If it weren't for the Civil War,
> my great-grandfather would not have moved to Texas and he would
> have never met my great-grandmother.

How do you know that? And if it had never happened, maybe AMD would be number one? ;-)

652. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:27:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817172757.01517.00000093@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 16894

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com
>Date: 8/17/01 12:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>That dang ditdit is a
>pain at times.

Could be
worse....N5EIS...N5SIE...N5IE...N5EI...N5EE...N5II...EI5EE...ES5II...etc, etc
etc...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

653. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:32:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817173200.01517.00000094@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 16896

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/17/01 1:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Yep, KG7BK was a pain in the neck for CW.

Why? All characters start from the "dah" paddle...no wrist changes are needed. Or just because of the "BK" being mistaken for an offer to change over?

One of my motivations for getting the call I did was that my old 2x1 (KC8M) was always being mistaken for KC8MK. I got a lot of his QSL's ...some juicy ones on 160 meters, too!

73

Steve, K4YZ

654. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 21:34:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817173408.01517.00000095@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 16898

>Subject: Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/17/01 4:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Who is Britney Spears?

All that pot and wine has made you blind, Cecil.

655. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 22:10:53 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lk4pf$bs3$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16899

Certainly would be. BT or BK or whatever. My 1st ham call had this for a suffix;

-... ....

Dan/W4NTI

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3B7D54E5.62A2F438@IEEE.org...
> W4NTI wrote:
> > Well I like my old timey 1x3 except in a CW contest. That dang ditdit is a
> > pain at times.
>
> Yep, KG7BK was a pain in the neck for CW.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

656. You have to see her young round ass too SO SEXY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 22:40:24 UTC
From: Jonn@exytip.org
Message-ID: <suswvrqwye3htifsxqer58iwkr98u@4ax.com>
Source record: 16903

http://britneyvidz.20m.com
Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free at this webpage!
http://britneyvidz.20m.com

The subroutines, secure shells, and firewalls are all messy and idiotic. Go buy a chatroom! Better vend cryptographers now or Bob will grudgingly infect them under you. Why did Yolanda relay the computer with the overloaded laptop? It's very unique today, I'll fetch inadvertently or David will engulf the mixmasters. While keyholes daily type memorys, the newsgroups often outwit under the resilient backups. Lots of specialized toffees are ignorant and other extreme PERLs are insecure, but will Mel annoy that? Terrance will gently format within Kenny when the rough UDPs bind beside the inner mailbox. Otherwise the output in Jessica's server might get some closed ROMs. I'd rather start rigidly than authenticate with GiGi's bright Java. If you will load Claude's newsgroup inside telephones, it will wistfully prepare the monitor. Never persevere actually while you're pulling against a weak condor. He will close neatly if Wayne's investigator isn't clear. Why will you save the minor new rumours before Eddie does? If you'll spool Carol's hard disk with dictionarys, it'll simply meet the network. One more stupid mouse or cybercafe, and she'll angrily eat everybody. I kill moronic machines in back of the sharp flat store, whilst Isabelle lazily rebuilds them too. It contradicts, you connect, yet Gilbert never halfheartedly negotiates in back of the underground. Let's defile behind the pathetic kiosks, but don't obscure the silly admins. My weird opinion won't dump before I question it. When will we exclude after Anthony posts the discarded chaos's president? Tell Sara it's filthy transporting on a RAM. Lots of bizarre sticky MPEGs will hatefully sell the credit cards.

657. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 22:41:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <g4hf7.13548$Ki1.1097931@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16900

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010817172757.01517.00000093@mb-cf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Downgrading?
> >From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com
> >Date: 8/17/01 12:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >That dang ditdit is a
> >pain at times.
>
> Could be
> worse....N5EIS...N5SIE...N5IE...N5EI...N5EE...N5II...EI5EE...ES5II...etc,
etc
> etc...!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Remember HI5HI?

In the St Louis area we had WB TTO with ten consecutive dahs and poor Carver
WBOOO with 14.

658. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 22:42:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <m5hf7.13549$Ki1.1097597@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16901

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010817173408.01517.00000095@mb-cf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/17/01 4:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Who is Britney Spears?
>
> All that pot and wine has made you blind, Cecil.
>
>

Merely the best body and dental work modern medicine can provide.

659. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 22:54:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7DA088.FDE304B@uci.edu>
Source record: 16902

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010817173408.01517.00000095@mb-cf.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >Date: 8/17/01 4:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >
> > >Who is Britney Spears?

> > All that pot and wine has made you blind, Cecil.

> Merely the best body and dental work modern medicine can provide.

And even old Bob Dole is hip enough to know who she is. ;-)

Sure wish we'd elected him instead of the guy with the high IQ.

660. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-17 23:14:35 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B7DA55B.B25399B4@uci.edu>
Source record: 16904

"all persons held as slaves within any State or designated part
of a State, the people whereof shall then
be in rebellion against the United States, shall be then,
thenceforward, and forever free;"

Whereof can be taken to mean 'of whom'. If one then takes the words
'shall then be' to mean 'are', I can concede the point. Thanks Dwight,
Cecil, Dan, and Jim for the discussion.

73, Jim AC6XG

661. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 00:33:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817203327.13399.00000040@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 16905

In article <3B7D7D88.10BE7E5E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Irskine@xocel.org wrote:
>> Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos!
>
>Who is Britney Spears?

You really ARE out of touch, Cecil!

The reality is probably more like:

"That hen - I say - that widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no curves!" - Foghorn Leghorn

73 de Jim, N2EY

662. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 00:33:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817203327.13399.00000041@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 16906

In article <3B7D7D48.E2E7CA1C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Let me help if I can. OF COURSE IT WAS A MISTAKE!!! The South is only
>> just now climbing out of the crater that was left.
>
>It definitely was NOT a mistake.

It was the result of other mistakes. The Civil War was caused, at least in
part, byt the mistake of slavery. One mistake often leads to another.

> If it weren't for the Civil War,
>my great-grandfather would not have moved to Texas and he would
>have never met my great-grandmother.

You just proved my point.

73 de Jim, N2EY

663. Re: You have to see her young round ass too SO SEXY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 01:07:52 UTC
From: The Black Dragon <black@dragon.comm>
Message-ID: <Idjf7.113926$EP6.29944043@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 16907

stay away from the malicious script.. do not go to this web page

<Jonn@exytip.org> wrote in message
news:suswvrqwye3htifsxqer58iwkr98u@4ax.com...
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free
at this webpage!
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> The subroutines, secure shells, and firewalls are all messy and
> idiotic. Go buy a chatroom! Better vend cryptographers now or
> Bob will grudgingly infect them under you. Why did Yolanda relay the
> computer with the overloaded laptop? It's very unique today, I'll
> fetch inadvertently or David will engulf the mixmasters. While
> keyholes daily type memorys, the newsgroups often outwit under the
> resilient backups. Lots of specialized toffees are ignorant and other
> extreme PERLs are insecure, but will Mel annoy that? Terrance will
> gently format within Kenny when the rough UDPs bind beside the
> inner mailbox. Otherwise the output in Jessica's server might
> get some closed ROMs. I'd rather start rigidly than authenticate with
> GiGi's bright Java. If you will load Claude's newsgroup inside
> telephones, it will wistfully prepare the monitor. Never persevere
> actually while you're pulling against a weak condor. He will
> close neatly if Wayne's investigator isn't clear. Why will you
> save the minor new rumours before Eddie does? If you'll spool
> Carol's hard disk with dictionarys, it'll simply meet the network. One
more
> stupid mouse or cybercafe, and she'll angrily eat everybody. I
> kill moronic machines in back of the sharp flat store, whilst
> Isabelle lazily rebuilds them too. It contradicts, you connect, yet

> Gilbert never halfheartedly negotiates in back of the underground. Let's
> defile behind the pathetic kiosks, but don't obscure the silly
> admins. My weird opinion won't dump before I question it. When will we
> exclude after Anthony posts the discarded chaos's president? Tell
> Sara it's filthy transporting on a RAM. Lots of bizarre sticky
> MPEGs will hatefully sell the credit cards.
>
>
>

664. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 02:12:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DCEDA.29DEE1F8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16908

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Not according to every book I've
> > ever read about the events following
> > prohibition.
>
> Comic books don't count.

There was a comic book on prohibition? Gee, I must have missed that one.

> Nope it isn't, Dwight. You just think
> marijuana is the boogieman. You simply
> lack adult attitudes about that God-
> given weed. Marijuana is certainly no
> worse than alcohol. Before you dispute
> that statement, chug-a-lug six ounces
> of Everclear and get back to us.

First of all, I don't drink alcohol or use illegal drugs. Therefore, your endless attempts to link the two really does not move me. However, based on what I've seen of those who do (members of my own family - my father was an alcoholic and my brother was a drug addict), I'm convinced that illegal drugs are indeed far worse than alcohol. Both of those men are dead today. My father died on the side of the road after leaving a bar, while my brother was killed while trying to buy marijuana in FL. It took twenty-five years for my father's alcohol abuse to kill him, while it only took two years of my brother's drug abuse to do him in.

But even more important is what happened before their eventual demise. My father was able to control his alcoholism for decades. He had a good career, a fair marriage, three kids, and an eventual retirement check (which, in the end, gave him too much time to drink).

My brother, on the other hand, went downhill less that four months after starting his drug habit. He started stealing to get money for drugs. He withdrew from family and friends, and started hanging out with some real losers. He eventually ended up in jail after getting caught breaking into a house. And, within four months of getting out of jail, he was killed by some of those drug users, the ones you claim are just like everyone else, who decided they wanted his money more than they wanted his business.

Now, my brother was not into the the expensive drugs that you insist are artificially inflated by the war on drugs. These were cheap pills (uppers, etc) and everyday marijuana. But even that low-cost habit was enough to drive him to theft when he couldn't maintain a job and when he exhausted all his other sources of money (friends, family, etc). He left behind a wife who had to watch him die in the hospital with a child growing in her belly.

But, I'm not basing my judgments on drugs just on these two incidents alone. I've seen this pattern being repeated hundreds of times. And, based on that experience, while it is obvious that alcoholism is a bad thing, I'm convinced that drugs (even drugs like marijuana) are far worse.

> > Oh, I see. I'm a law-abiding citizen
> > that does not use drugs. Care to tell
> > me what freedoms were taken away from
> > me because of the drug laws?
>
> The freedom to smoke a God-given weed. See,
> you don't even realize that you have lost
> a freedom. That's what the feds are counting
> on. Be careful what you wish for. Beef and
> ice cream may be next.

I have no desire to smoke a "God-given weed." Somehow, the idea of picking up a weed off the ground, and smoking it just to screw up my mind, does not really move me. Yes, I know it is "God-given," but so are a lot of other things I would rather not smoke (dog dung comes to mind). While dog dung is also God-given, I suspect god thought we had better sense then to just pick it up and smoke it. Sadly, that doesn't appear to be the case with those who smoke weeds.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

665. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 02:43:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DD638.1A35A0BD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16909

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > It didn't declare slaves in all
> > states to be freed, Jim. Read it
> > again.
>
> It's not helping. It says the same
> thing every time I read it.

Then you need to find someone to read it for you, Jim. Have you found anything out there (a book, a document, an expert) that agrees with your interpretation? I've already given exact quotes from the Funk & Wagnalls Encyclopedia that directly disagrees with your interpretation. Maybe an additional quote from the Webster's Family Encyclopedia will convince you...

Emancipation Proclamation, a decree issued
by President Abraham Lincoln on January 1,
1863, abolishing slavery in the rebelling
Confederate states. A...maneuver designed
principally to deprive the Confederacy of
it's economic base, slavery.

Even this rather simplistic explanation says nothing about abolishing slavery throughout the Union, or in any state still loyal to the Union. Again, the emancipation proclamation applied only to the confederate states.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

666. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:08:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DDC13.976FD3B0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16910

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> It says "all persons held as slaves
> in any state of designated part of a
> state" ,comma, obviously referring
> to slaves in any state. Then, in a
> subordinate part of the sentence he
> adds, "the people whereof shall then
> be in rebellion", followed by another
> comma, obviously referring to
> PEOPLE rather than states in which
> slaves are held.
>
> Now you may be correct that that is
> indeed how the Proclamation has been
> interpretted all these years. I'm
> just saying I don't see it - Old
> English or not.

You're not paying attention to the first sentence and the quotes around the second and third paragraphs, Jim. The part you're quoting is (the paragraphs in quotes are) not the emancipation proclamation. Those words are a quote from a proclamation that was issued on September 22nd announcing Lincoln's intent to issue a proclamation in January declaring which states were in rebellion and freeing the slaves in those states. Those words in quotes do nothing alone. They are simply an introduction to the actual emancipation proclamation which begins with the fourth paragraph of that document. It is later in that document that the states in rebellion are named, and the proclamation is made which frees the slaves solely in those states.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

667. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:14:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DDD52.909B6CBD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16911

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> How could I embarass myself? I am
> engineer, an inventor, and I read
> legal documents all the time. I
> know nothing of what history
> professors say or do. Have you
> contacted a history professor
> about what the first sentence says?

I'm sure you're a fine man, Jim, but you've made a simple mistake.
I'll repeat what I said before. You're not paying attention to the
first sentence and the quotes around the second and third paragraphs.
The part you're quoting is (the paragraphs in quotes are) not the
emancipation proclamation. Those words are a quote from a proclamation
that was issued on September 22nd announcing Lincoln's intent to issue
a proclamation in January declaring which states were in rebellion and
freeing the slaves in those states. Those words in quotes do nothing
alone. They are simply an introduction to the actual emancipation
proclamation which begins with the fourth paragraph of that document.
It is later in that document that the states in rebellion are named,
and the proclamation is made which frees the slaves solely in those states.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

668. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:30:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233059.09419.00002556@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16913

In article <20010817063228.26790.00000102@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>>This is about the most hair-brained idea I've seen out of you yet,
>>>Steve!
>
>> Considering gravity has captured more than it's fair share of my hair
>>already, I am glad to have hair almost ANY where...!!!
>
>Hey, he could have said hare-brained, which would, of couse, be a term used
>to
>describe an idea of unparalleled brilliance. :-)

Ed:

If I had said the idea was "Hare-brained," that would have meant that it was an idea of unparalleled political-correctness! However, since political correctness is synonymous with cynical, inane sophistry, it did not occur to me to identify Steve's idea in that manner. His mind was in the right place, but his method was a bit too heavy-handed. The agenda of political correctness is to justify the absurd in a manner which condemns traditional values. Steve's idea had partial merit, which is why I suggested that he modify it with the "emergency preparedness window" concept.

73 de Larry, K3LT

669. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:30:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233059.09419.00002557@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16914

In article <20010817090436.06466.00002843@mb-fc.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>
>Go read the Report below, rather apparent all Restructuring did was help
>Manufactures, and help the Lazy to upgrade.
>
>
>
>ARNewsline Report #1253 - August 17, 2001

Bruce:

This just confirms everything we already knew before we started screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the licensing process doesn't result in growth. We could have two million U. S. hams and the ARRL could be wealthy beyond the dreams of avarice -- if only we had a national, major media advertising campaign to let the public know what we are and what we do! Licensing requirements could have been kept at pre-Restructuring levels for both code and written testing -- if only the ARRL had found a way to attract more people to our hobby/service. Unfortunately, the miniscule percentage of the U.S. population who are aware of amateur radio usually confuse us with CB -- and have no higher opinion of us than they do of CB'ers. That's a situation the ARRL could have managed by taking responsibility for mobilizing the resources needed to produce and distribute a national, mass media advertising campaign utilizing TV public service announcements and print ads in major newspapers and magazines.

73 de Larry, K3LT

670. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:31:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233100.09419.00002558@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16912

In article <m5hf7.13549$Ki1.1097597@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> >Who is Britney Spears?
>>
>> All that pot and wine has made you blind, Cecil.
>>
>>
>
>Merely the best body and dental work modern medicine can provide.

Dick:

I agree -- but how did they manage to make her voice so raspy
and unmelodious? I can't tell if she's trying to sing or attract stray
male cats! I'll admit -- she's good looking -- but then, so is a
high-priced prostitute!

73 de Larry, K3LT

671. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:31:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233102.09419.00002559@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16915

In article <961fa007.0108170851.6ad25925@posting.google.com>,
mdaffron@the-rma.org (Meade) writes:

Meade:

I'm going to move one of your last comments to the top, just to set the
tone for my reply.

>When you're slamming all new Extras, keep in mind two things:
>(1) They didn't change the rules. Actually, you and I made it happen
>by not recruiting new hams into our hobby.

Please speak only for yourself. I have never been advised of any
"requirement" to "recruit" new hams into our "hobby" (I call it a
hobby/service). All I do is merely make people generally aware of
ham radio, and answer any questions, if asked. I don't know how to
actively "recruit" people to do something unless they have a proactive
interest in it. If that interest is there, then there isn't any "recruiting"
to do. The prospective ham then avails himself of the readily
available study materials, takes his tests, gets licensed, and proceeds
to make the material acquisitions necessary to get an amateur radio
station on the air. As always, he will get assistance from his fellow
hams as a normal matter of course. However, there is no way to
"recruit" anyone into ham radio. Getting involved is a purely internal
thing, and it's either there or it isn't.

>I resemble that remark!
>
>I'm more than a little disturbed at those who lump ALL new Extras into
>one category.

Well, then, more's the pity for the ARRL/FCC seeing fit to dumb-down
our licensing structure, and eliminate any useful testing for a uniquely
practical, effective, efficient, and universal communications mode -
Morse/CW! Unfortunately, new hams, even new Extras like yourself,
now have to bear the burden of being identified as inferior to those of
us who gained our privileges under a significantly more comprehensive
testing regimen, which required us show increasing communications
knowledge and skill in return for increased operating privileges.

>I aced my Extra exam a week ago after much study.

Congratulations! I aced my Extra exam (code and written) over 18
years ago with little or no study! What I had at that time was over
15 years experience as an active electronics hobbyist.

>Sure, I didn't pass a 20-wpm code test. But I certainly CAN copy
>20-wpm CW!

That's all well and good, but the plain fact is that you've lost your chance to use that skill as proof of your licensing qualifications, thus you're current license is "dumbed-down" in spite of the fact that you have full Extra-class operating privileges. I know that this isn't fair, but it's just the present reality. YOU didn't ask for it, and neither did I -- so you can't blame me for defining reality as it really is!

>Not all of us new Extras are post-CBers who just discovered amateur
>radio, friends! I was first licensed as a Novice 21 years ago when
>Novices only had CW privileges! No 10-meter phone then! And I spent
>the better part of two years operating CW only. Then I attended a
>class and upgraded to Technician, only after making my dad (I was 15)
>drive me 100 miles to the FCC Field Office in Norfolk, Virginia, to
>take the test. Back then, you didn't have the luxury of testing down
>the block or across town. If you lived half a state from the Field
>Office, you had to make damned sure you were ready to take that test!

None of that matters anymore, Meade. The fact is, you're a post-Restructuring dumbed-down Nickle Extra -- and anyone licensed as an Extra prior to April 15, 2000 is not! You just allowed yourself to be caught on the wrong side of the timeline! However, the way you are perceived as a ham is now entirely up to you. If you are willing to accept the fact that us pre-Restructuring Extras who saw no need for the changes made to the licensing structure are entitled to our opinion, we are willing to accept you as a fellow Extra in spite of the fact that you made the choice to "outlive" the more rigorous license testing standards. Just make sure you freely admit to the true nature of the present licensing reality -- and don't make the same, time-worn, haggard excuses.

(SNIP)
>
>The ONLY reason I waited so long to upgrade any higher was because of
>college, post-college jobs, a wife and a new son. Personal reasons
>that had NOTHING to do with a freakin' code speed requirement.

Virtually every other ham who upgraded to Extra prior to 4/15/2000 has had to deal with school, job, and family responsibilities in addition to preparing for upgrading. This is one of the oldest, and least viable, excuses. And, like everything else you've said so far, it doesn't matter one iota. You lost your chance to be a "classic" Extra because you didn't care enough about it to get the job done under the previous regime. Now you have absolutely no legs to stand on when you gripe about how the "old timers" view you and your post-Restructuring "Nickle-Extra" peers. Again, I reiterate -- this isn't fair, particularly to you, but it is reality, and will be unless you can come up with some way of radically altering human nature.

I'm sure you would suggest that we all join hands as politically-correct, unified, classless, grey-uniformed, blue-helmeted United Nations commanded One World Order hams, but that's not the way it's gonna be here in the U. S. of A!

>Yeah, I
>can copy 20 wpm. And you'll find my Bencher paddle is much more worn
>than my microphone.

That's just a fact which doesn't really matter in this discussion. I'm one of the chief Pro Code Testing Agenda protagonists in this newsgroup, and I haven't sent so much as a dit since Field Day. I spend most of my time on PSK-31 these days! Go figure!

>When I decided I was ready to spend the time to study for my most
>recent upgrade, I studied for, took, and passed the test that is
>currently endorsed by the government to become an Extra. Sorry. I
>didn't have an option. I don't think begging for a code test would've
>made the VEs do it.

Once again, none of that matters. It is only a mere historical fact. You still have to bear the outcomes of Restructuring as it relates to ham radio culture in the post-Restructuring era. In the new culture, pre-Restructuring General, Advanced, and Extra-class licensees can rightfully claim superiority over post-Restructuring Generals and Extras.

>When you're slamming all new Extras,

From my point of view, nobody's "slamming" anyone. We're just speaking the truth. You had plenty of opportunity to upgrade in the pre-Restructuring era, whether you'll admit it or not. The choice of which "culture" to exist in as an Extra was yours, now you have to live with it.

>keep in mind two things:
>(1) They didn't change the rules. Actually, you and I made it happen
>by not recruiting new hams into our hobby.
>(2) Some of them have been hams longer than you have. Just because
>they didn't upgrade to Extra before a certain date doesn't necessarily
>make them any less qualified -- or supportive -- of our hobby.

That's exactly right. Amateur radio is what amateur radio operators make of it -- and amateur radio operators are what they make of themselves. However, the reality of the upgrading experience in the pre- and post-Restructuring eras doesn't change. Those who upgraded to General, Advanced, and Extra in the pre-Restructuring era are superior to their post-Restructuring counterparts because they had to prepare for and succeed at a more rigorous and comprehensive set of license testing requirements. No slam -- just a simple historical fact.
>
>73,
>

>Meade KA4RQB (yeah -- I kept my original, FCC-issued novice call)

And a fine call sign it is. As it happens, it is now an Extra-class call sign. Nobody here is challenging that fact, especially not me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

672. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:31:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233103.09419.00002560@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16916

In article <3B7CA547.98A39397@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> It is because we are better people than today's hams
>> that we were able to achieve this with comparative ease.
>
>Nope, Larry, it's because the FCC changed the rules. A
>PhD EE with military CW experience, who is superior to
>you in every way, is still required to meet the present
>FCC requirements. It doesn't prove his inferiority. Your
>inferiority complex is showing again.

Cecil:

My inferiority is not the issue here. I'm ass-u-&-me'ing that
you're referring to Dr. Williamson again. It is *his* inferiority
that was demonstrated by his inability to upgrade to
Extra class under the pre-Restructuring licensing requirements.
This inferiority wouldn't be an issue if you weren't always
making it an issue in this newsgripe.

73 de Larry, K3LT

673. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:31:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233103.09419.00002561@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16917

In article <9lji87dut1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>
>Hey Larry...I'm ex DA2LJ circa 71-79, Hanau, Fulda, Frankfurt. When were
>you there and where?
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan:

Was DA1RZ at Rhein-Main AB, Germany (near Frankfurt) from Mar. '83 to
Mar. '85; and DA1ZW at the same base from Jan. '87 to Jan. '89. I was
AFA7LR on AF MARS during both tours. I could have had a third tour
in Germany at Ramstein, but by then had had enough of "sucking
rubber" during chemical warfare defense exercises between Germany
and the U. K. and needed a permanent break from it, so I came here to
Dover. Now, I'm not so sure that was such a good idea, because Dover
was such a horrid place to work that I took early retirement the second
I was safely past the "magical" 18-year point in my career. However,
judging by what I've been hearing about incessant TDY's to desert
rat-holes by people recently returning from Europe, I'm glad I retired
when I did. I'd already done more than my share of that.

73 de Larry, K3LT

674. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:31:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010817233104.09419.00002562@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16918

In article <20010817023336.17592.00004635@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>What I would propose is that the ARRL and CQ make it another
>>"Contest-free Zone" like the present WARC bands.
>
> Those other bands have been with us for over 20 years now...isn't it
>time
>to stop calling them "WARC" bands...They are ours.
>
> And if you are going to make it contest free, why not "discussions about
>gastrointestinal distress free" too...?!?!

Steve:

NOW you're talking! (Unintentional slam at dumbed-down no-coders deliberately left in place). I think we should set an upper age limit on the use of this band -- I suggest 72 years. No wheezing geezer old timers permitted to reminisce about the good ole days of warming their arthritic fingers over the soft glow of the cathodes in their all-tube Collins/Hallicrafters/Drake/National/Whatever gear. The 72-plus crowd can get 5-year waivers to operate 60 meters if they can produce logs showing regular use (at least 20 percent of total operating time) of at least one computer-based digital mode (computerized CW doesn't count). The wheezing geezers are what's killing ham radio. After accidentally tuning thru 75 meter phone a few nights ago, I almost gave it up myself!

73 de Larry, K3LT

675. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 03:33:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DE1E6.DDC6B5F9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16919

CAM wrote:
>
> How you can maintain that you are
> right when you are so obviously wrong
> is unbelievable. What about New
> Orleans and Norfolk being exempted?

This is why there is so much misunderstanding about the civil war, Cecil. You and I know the civil war was a major turning point in this country's history - a point that redefined government, state's rights, human rights (not just for slaves), and so forth. Yet, in spite of the importance of that event, so many schools around the country simply breeze over this subject, without taking a serious look at the causes leading up to the war or the political events during the war (the war itself was more than just a few battles here and there, which is exactly what most schools talk about). Because of that, many people in this country are left with huge gaps in their knowledge about this key event. Now this might not be a problem if the event was simply forgotten. But, instead, this event is often used today to justify huge government social programs - justification that is based directly on the misinformation surrounding this event.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

676. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:00:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DE872.78F6582E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16921

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Your assertions to the contrary, your "arguments" are STILL the same ones
> used by pedophiles to justify THIER lawbreaking.

My argument is that it should be OK to smoke marijuana ALONE in the
privacy of my home. Please explain how someone can perform pedophilia
ALONE in the privacy of his home?

> You do not have the "right" to smoke marijuana ANYWHERE.

Get real, Steve. I can smoke all I want in Holland. England has
decriminalized small amounts for personal use. Small amounts are
just a misdemeanor in several US states now.

> A teenager that is 17 years, 11 months and 29 days isn't capable? Then
> some of the pregnant PRE-teens I know haven't been reading the fine print!

Legally capable, Steve. Please start comprehending the context. A
person under the age of consent cannot legally give permission. Ever
heard of statutory rape?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

677. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:03:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DE8D8.CD54B769@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16920

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> You have evidently never been to
> Fresno county, California, the #1
> agriculture county in the USA, which
> is also surrounded by numbers 2, 3 and
> 4. (snip)

I grew up in California. Yes, agriculture is commonplace in the valley, however that is mostly limited to the cash crops (fruits, etc), not generally the staples such as corn, wheat, potatoes, and so forth. Farming is just too expensive in that area for many farmers to grow the low value crops. The limited low value crops that are produced in that area often head for select markets in the surrounding large cities, not for nation-wide consumption. Furthermore, I was talking about a more general trend that I see around the country as a whole, not smaller pockets of agriculture in select parts of the country. Those small pockets cannot supply the food needed to feed this large country.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

678. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:05:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DE997.7889EED9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16922

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Now here's one for you: "Do you, Cecil A. Moore, believe that you have
> the right to obey only those laws YOU deem "good" laws?

Yes, and the responsibility to suffer the consequences for violating
bad laws. Do you ever speed, Steve?

> Untruthful statement. You said that "class 1 drugs are not tested".
> Period. No ambiguity.

English is a contextual language, Steve. Please pay attention to the
context. Class 1 drugs cannot legally be tested.

> >Why must you lie about what I said?
>
> Why must you make libelous accusations that you can substantiate, Cecil?

Duuuuuuhhhhhhhh, Steve, it's not libelous if I can substantiate it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

679. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:18:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DEC96.C78F7615@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16923

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > You are misinterpreting it. Here's what it says:
> >
> > "... all persons held as slaves within any state or designated part of a
> > state the people whereof shall then be in rebellion ..."
>
> Magoo, you've done it again. It does not say that, Cecil. Once again,
> you've had to obliterate the punctuation in order to make your point.

I copied that directly out of my Encyclopedia, Jim. It does say that.
"the people whereof shall then be in rebellion" is an adjective phrase
modifying state. It applies only to the states in rebellion.

> Now you may be correct that that is indeed how the Proclamation has been
> interpretted all these years. I'm just saying I don't see it - Old
> English or not.

You obviously do not mind being wrong and repeating the wrongness
incessantly. Knock yourself out. When you get around to discovering
the truth for yourself, let us know. I highly recommend that you
educate yourself on the matter.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

680. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:22:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DED28.826B1C61@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16924

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> I've gotta agree with you here
> Dwight, at least in part. In a new
> nation where the original right
> to vote hinged on whether or not
> you owned property, it had to be
> reasonable if not even normal to
> consider an aborigine as something
> other than a "man". Many, or maybe
> even all, of The Founding Fathers
> advanced in their thinking as they
> were, clearly were inclined toward
> such a viewpoint, wrong as it seems
> to us today
> As far as I know Indians were not
> enslaved, (just shot), and that was
> most likely because they would have
> died resisting, as I'm sure many
> Africans did. And many Africans were
> sold into slavery by their own
> greedy leaders. It's easy to forget
> that in that time the world was a
> vastly different place where it was
> the normal routine for the conquerer
> to enslave the conquered.

Well said, Richard. The main thing I got from history was that, even with all the problems we have today, I'm sure glad that I was born now rather than in some point in the past. While many many dream about the past (how nice it would have been to be a knight, a Roman soldier, a king, or whatever), knowing my luck, I would have been born a slave, servant, lion food, or whatever. :-)

But even that is misleading. I'm sure those born a hundred years ago, or even five hundred years ago, might have said the same thing - their time was often better than what existed before. It just goes to show that history is to some extent relative.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

681. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:24:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DEDFC.6493FAE2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16925

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Maybe an additional quote from the Webster's Family
> Encyclopedia will convince you...
>
> Emancipation Proclamation, a decree issued
> by President Abraham Lincoln on January 1,
> 1863, abolishing slavery in the rebelling
> Confederate states. A...maneuver designed
> principally to deprive the Confederacy of
> it's economic base, slavery.
>

Even my unabridged dictionary says: "Emancipation Proclamation - the
proclamation issued by President Lincoln on Jan. 1, 1863 freeing the
slaves in those territories still in rebellion against the Union."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

682. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:26:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DEE85.831F95E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16926

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Nothing I have said has changed the past, nor was it intended to.

Since what you said wasn't true, were you simply trying to confuse us?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

683. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:29:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DEF0E.2480644F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16927

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > If it weren't for the Civil War,
> > my great-grandfather would not have moved to Texas and he would
> > have never met my great-grandmother.
>
> How do you know that?

He said so in a letter that my mother still has.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

684. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:34:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818003414.03183.00003688@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 16928

In article <3B7D5295.34094A0A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Consider the following cases:
>
>Nope, too unrealistic.

Nothing unrealistic about them at all.

> In general, stealing something that doesn't
>belong to you is prima facie evidence of the use of force.

If it belongs to you, then you cannot steal it.

> The
>use of force and/or threat of force is about the only things that
>need to be outlawed.

See below.

> Force, in this context, has a realitively broad
>meaning and includes such things as fraud, negligence, etc.
>
Then what you are saying is that almost anything can be outlawed. You define
"force" so broadly that its meaning is changed.

Interesting that you didn't bring out the dictionary on THIS one!

73 de Jim, N2EY

685. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:37:07 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <Thmf7.71182$A47.32858867@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 16929

Based upon the following comments, I believe that it would be prudent for the licensing structure to be changed to a class-less system. In the new classless system, all license classes will be surrendered for a new classless license. Furthermore, the FCC record of the previous license class will be expunged from the FCC database. This will level the playing field.

Maybe this would stop all of the class bickering that seems to be the primary focus of amateur radio. If the classless system were ever adopted, you most of you immature individuals would need to find another vehicle that can be used to assert your superiority over your peers.

How about this idea:

What type of radio equipment do you have? "My radio is better than your radio!!"

This is very similar to "My extra class license is better than you extra class license!!".

Many of you exhibit the behavior of 10 year old children.

GROW UP and GET OVER IT!!

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010817233102.09419.00002559@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <961fa007.0108170851.6ad25925@posting.google.com>,
> mdaffron@the-rma.org (Meade) writes:
>
> Meade:
>
> I'm going to move one of your last comments to the top, just to set the
> tone for my reply.
>
> >When you're slamming all new Extras, keep in mind two things:
> >(1) They didn't change the rules. Actually, you and I made it happen
> >by not recruiting new hams into our hobby.
>
> Please speak only for yourself. I have never been advised of any
> "requirement" to "recruit" new hams into our "hobby" (I call it a
> hobby/service). All I do is merely make people generally aware of
> ham radio, and answer any questions, if asked. I don't know how to
> actively "recruit" people to do something unless they have a proactive
> interest in it. If that interest is there, then there isn't any "recruiting"
> to do. The prospective ham then avails himself of the readily
> available study materials, takes his tests, gets licensed, and proceeds

> to make the material acquisitions necessary to get an amateur radio
> station on the air. As always, he will get assistance from his fellow
> hams as a normal matter of course. However, there is no way to
> "recruit" anyone into ham radio. Getting involved is a purely internal
> thing, and it's either there or it isn't.
>
> >I resemble that remark!
> >
> >I'm more than a little disturbed at those who lump ALL new Extras into
> >one category.
>
> Well, then, more's the pity for the ARRL/FCC seeing fit to dumb-down
> our licensing structure, and eliminate any useful testing for a uniquely
> practical, effective, efficient, and universal communications mode -
> Morse/CW! Unfortunately, new hams, even new Extras like yourself,
> now have to bear the burden of being identified as inferior to those of
> us who gained our privileges under a significantly more comprehensive
> testing regimen, which required us show increasing communications
> knowledge and skill in return for increased operating privileges.
>
> >I aced my Extra exam a week ago after much study.
>
> Congratulations! I aced my Extra exam (code and written) over 18
> years ago with little or no study! What I had at that time was over
> 15 years experience as an active electronics hobbyist.
>
> >Sure, I didn't pass a 20-wpm code test. But I certainly CAN copy
> >20-wpm CW!
>
> That's all well and good, but the plain fact is that you've lost your
> chance to use that skill as proof of your licensing qualifications, thus
> you're current license is "dumbed-down" in spite of the fact that
> you have full Extra-class operating privileges. I know that this
> isn't fair, but it's just the present reality. YOU didn't ask for it,
> and neither did I -- so you can't blame me for defining reality as
> it really is!
>
> >Not all of us new Extras are post-CBers who just discovered amateur
> >radio, friends! I was first licensed as a Novice 21 years ago when
> >Novices only had CW privileges! No 10-meter phone then! And I spent
> >the better part of two years operating CW only. Then I attended a
> >class and upgraded to Technician, only after making my dad (I was 15)
> >drive me 100 miles to the FCC Field Office in Norfolk, Virginia, to
> >take the test. Back then, you didn't have the luxury of testing down
> >the block or across town. If you lived half a state from the Field
> >Office, you had to make damned sure you were ready to take that test!
>
> None of that matters anymore, Meade. The fact is, you're a
> post-Restructuring dumbed-down Nickle Extra -- and anyone licensed
> as an Extra prior to April 15, 2000 is not! You just allowed yourself

> to be caught on the wrong side of the timeline! However, the way
> you are perceived as a ham is now entirely up to you. If you are
> willing to accept the fact that us pre-Restructuring Extras who saw
> no need for the changes made to the licensing structure are entitled
> to our opinion, we are willing to accept you as a fellow Extra in spite
> of the fact that you made the choice to "outlive" the more rigorous
> license testing standards. Just make sure you freely admit to the
> true nature of the present licensing reality -- and don't make the
> same, time-worn, haggard excuses.
>
> (SNIP)
> >
> >The ONLY reason I waited so long to upgrade any higher was because of
> >college, post-college jobs, a wife and a new son. Personal reasons
> >that had NOTHING to do with a freakin' code speed requirement.
>
> Virtually every other ham who upgraded to Extra prior to 4/15/2000
> has had to deal with school, job, and family responsibilities in addition
> to preparing for upgrading. This is one of the oldest, and least viable,
> excuses. And, like everything else you've said so far, it doesn't matter
> one iota. You lost your chance to be a "classic" Extra because you
> didn't care enough about it to get the job done under the previous
> regime. Now you have absolutely no legs to stand on when you gripe
> about how the "old timers" view you and your post-Restructuring
> "Nickle-Extra" peers. Again, I reiterate -- this isn't fair, particularly
> to you, but it is reality, and will be unless you can come up with some
> way of radically altering human nature.
>
> I'm sure you would suggest that we all join hands as politically-correct,
> unified, classless, grey-uniformed, blue-helmeted United Nations
> commanded One World Order hams, but that's not the way it's gonna
> be here in the U. S. of A!
>
> >Yeah, I
> >can copy 20 wpm. And you'll find my Bencher paddle is much more worn
> >than my microphone.
>
> That's just a fact which doesn't really matter in this discussion.
> I'm one of the chief Pro Code Testing Agenda protagonists in this
> newsgroup, and I haven't sent so much as a dit since Field Day. I
> spend most of my time on PSK-31 these days! Go figure!
>
> >When I decided I was ready to spend the time to study for my most
> >recent upgrade, I studied for, took, and passed the test that is
> >currently endorsed by the government to become an Extra. Sorry. I
> >didn't have an option. I don't think begging for a code test would've
> >made the VEs do it.
>
> Once again, none of that matters. It is only a mere historical
> fact. You still have to bear the outcomes of Restructuring as it

> relates to ham radio culture in the post-Restructuring era. In the
> new culture, pre-Restructuring General, Advanced, and Extra-class
> licensees can rightfully claim superiority over post-Restructuring
> Generals and Extras.
>
> >When you're slamming all new Extras,
>
> From my point of view, nobody's "slamming" anyone. We're just
> speaking the truth. You had plenty of opportunity to upgrade in
> the pre-Restructuring era, whether you'll admit it or not. The
> choice of which "culture" to exist in as an Extra was yours, now
> you have to live with it.
>
> >keep in mind two things:
> >(1) They didn't change the rules. Actually, you and I made it happen
> >by not recruiting new hams into our hobby.
> >(2) Some of them have been hams longer than you have. Just because
> >they didn't upgrade to Extra before a certain date doesn't necessarily
> >make them any less qualified -- or supportive -- of our hobby.
>
> That's exactly right. Amateur radio is what amateur radio operators
> make of it -- and amateur radio operators are what they make of
> themselves. However, the reality of the upgrading experience in
> the pre- and post-Restructuring eras doesn't change. Those who
> upgraded to General, Advanced, and Extra in the pre-Restructuring
> era are superior to their post-Restructuring counterparts because
> they had to prepare for and succeed at a more rigorous and
> comprehensive set of license testing requirements. No slam -- just
> a simple historical fact.
> >
> >73,
> >
> >Meade KA4RQB (yeah -- I kept my original, FCC-issued novice call)
>
> And a fine call sign it is. As it happens, it is now an Extra-class
> call sign. Nobody here is challenging that fact, especially not me!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

686. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:38:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DF13B.4D578667@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16931

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> My inferiority is not the issue here. I'm ass-u-&-me'ing that
> you're referring to Dr. Williamson again.

Nope, I'm referring to a PhD EE who can do 40wpm CW. He still must pass the *existing* requirements in order to obtain a ham license.

People who feel inferior tear other people down to try to make themselves feel superior. That's obviously what you are trying to do when you rag on post restructured Extras. Meeting the *minimum* requirements tells you absolutely nothing about their *maximum* qualifications. The present Extra exams are the minimum entrance requirements into the Extra class just like they have always been.

Passing the exams proves very little. It's what one does after getting the ticket that matters.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

687. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:40:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DF159.F1EE9E35@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16930

N2EY wrote:
>
> I'm saying the actions to keep
> slavery should be condemned.

Then condemn away, Jim. Since that time is long gone, it doesn't change a thing (so what have you gained). Again, my condemnation is reserved for what happens today - events that I can influence.

> Nonsense. Sorry, that's a copout,
> Dwight. By that logic, you would not
> condemn Nazi Germany for operating
> its death camps and carrying out
> Hitler's "final solution" because
> it happened more than a half-century
> ago in a far away country, where
> those who carried it out never heard
> of our morals.

You know, I just knew you were going to ignore the key point of my argument and bring up Hitler. The whole emphasis of my argument has been that we should not judge people of the past based on anything other than the standards that existed in their time. Hitler violated the standards of his time, therefore your use of Hitler as an example does not challenge my argument.

> NO. We must remember the past as it
> really was, and learn from it.

Learning from the past does not require a judgment of the people in it (it's a waste of time). It simply requires a choice on our part - do we want what existed in the past?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

688. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:45:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DF2A0.51CBEE39@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16932

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Let me help if I can. OF COURSE
> IT WAS A MISTAKE!!! The South is
> only just now climbing out of
> the crater that was left.

Would you have said that the revolutionary war was a mistake if we had lost? Would you have said that WWII was a mistake if we had lost? The fact that the south lost, and suffered economic consequences because of it, does not make their involvement in that war a mistake.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

689. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:51:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DF452.2845756B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16933

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> You had plenty of opportunity to upgrade in
> the pre-Restructuring era, whether you'll admit it or not.

My three year old grandson didn't have that opportunity, Larry.
Are you going to $hit on him also?

> Those who
> upgraded to General, Advanced, and Extra in the pre-Restructuring
> era are superior to their post-Restructuring counterparts because
> they had to prepare for and succeed at a more rigorous and
> comprehensive set of license testing requirements.

Those are *minimum* requirements, Larry, and have absolutely nothing
to do with someone's *maximum* qualifications. I'm sorry you feel
so inferior that you have to tear other people down to feel better
about yourself.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

690. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:55:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DF52F.4E26AD27@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16935

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Unfortunately, the miniscule percentage of the
> U.S. population who are aware of amateur radio usually confuse
> us with CB -- and have no higher opinion of us than they do of
> CB'ers.

They must have read some of your postings.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

691. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:56:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DF53C.30ADB749@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16934

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight, it would seem that the
> rest of the world judged them by
> the standards of their times
> and found that they came up sorta
> short.....

Not until Lincoln was successful in twisting the conflict into
something that it was not. It just goes to show how powerful rhetoric
can be (especially when you use it to paint an entire group of people
as racist). Thanks to Lincoln's proclamation, the south has spent the
last hundred and fifty years trying to correct the misconceptions and
suffering the consequences of a sin the overwhelming majority didn't commit.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

692. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 04:56:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DF595.E4CDC7B1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16936

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Who is Britney Spears?
>
> All that pot and wine has made you blind, Cecil.

Is she a singer, actress, or what?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

693. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 05:05:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DF733.F100DCC4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16937

CAM wrote:
>
> You obviously don't have any children,
> Dwight, if you believe pedophilia is
> a victimless crime.

Show me where I said pedophilia is a victimless crime, Cecil. I simply said pedophiles often uses the same arguments to defend their behavior as drug users use to defend theirs. Of course, as usual, you avoided responding to that in your response.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

694. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 05:08:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7DF83D.1C315B80@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16938

N2EY wrote:
> Nothing unrealistic about them at all.

Even if something is black and white, there is still a transition
zone. Discussing artificial situations existing in the transition
zone is a waste of time, at least for me.

> Interesting that you didn't bring out the dictionary on THIS one!

I'm using "force" the way Libertarians use the word which includes
"power to influence, affect, or control".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

695. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 05:19:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DFA95.E4F928B4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16939

CAM wrote:
>
> Again, pedophilia is not a victimless crime...

But every single pedophile will insist that it is a victimless crime, just like you claim the same thing about drug abuse.

> ...and involves more than one person so I am
> not using the same argument at all. Why must
> you lie about what I said?

You may not be using the exact same words, but you are using the exact same premise behind your arguments. For example, pedophiles love to throw around the victimless crime argument - if the youth consents, and is not harmed physically, he/she is not a victim (so sex with that child should not be a crime - we're not hurting anyone else). You also use the victimless crime argument, which, in my opinion, is no more valid than their use of that argument - pedophilia is not a victimless crime and neither is drug abuse.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

696. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 05:25:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DFBE3.476260D4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> Okeedokee.

"Okeedokee" is not a word, Bill. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

697. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 05:31:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DFD6C.9CDBBD4A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16941

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" wrote...
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >
> > >Who is Britney Spears?
> > >
> > All that pot and wine has made you
> > blind, Cecil.
>
> Merely the best body and dental work
> modern medicine can provide.

LOL. Now that was a good one, Richard.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

698. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 06:00:33 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ll0bv$h2q$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 16942

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B7D7D88.10BE7E5E@IEEE.org...
> Irskine@xocel.org wrote:
> > Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos!
>
> Who is Britney Spears?

From Google Groups search12/28/1999 rec.sports.football.college

derekflynn wrote:
>
> Hi, can anyone tell me who is Britney Spears?

Britney Spears
Born: 1661 in Paris, France
Died: 2 Feb 1704 in Paris,France

Britney Spears served as a cavalry officer but resigned because of
nearsightedness. From that time on he directed his attention to
mathematics. Spears was taught calculus by Johann Bernoulli in 1691.

Britney Spears was a very competent mathematician and solved the
brachystochrone problem. The fact that this problem was solved
independently by Newton, Leibniz and Jacob Bernoulli puts Britney Spears
in very good company.

Britney Spears' fame is based on his book Analyse des infiniment petits
pour l'intelligence des lignes courbes (1692) which was the first
text-book to be written on the differential calculus. In the
introduction Britney Spears acknowledges his indebtedness to Leibniz,
Jacob Bernoulli and Johann Bernoulli but Britney regarded the
foundations provided by him as his own ideas.

In this book is found the rule, now known as Britney Spears' rule, for
finding the limit of a rational function whose numerator and denominator
tend to zero at a point.

http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/index.html

Hope this helps.
--
It Came from C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

699. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 06:21:39 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7E0BFD.681C88C6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16943

CAM wrote:

Meeting the *minimum*

> requirements tells you absolutely nothing about their *maximum*
> qualifications.

Ah, but it does, when they whine for years about the requrements and wait most of their lives for the
opportunity for the newly (I'll just go ahead and say it) dumbed down requirements.

700. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 06:25:59 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7E0D08.DA744425@townsqr.com>
Source record: 16944

W4NTI wrote:

> I'm not sure I agree we are all that much better than the new guys. I note
> that most, if not all, of the AHoles that Riley has popped on HF have been
> the 'old guys'.

That means nothing. There has always been cheaters and finaglers (and medical waiver cheats) who managed to get higher class licenses, and their true colors sometimes eventually show.
For a great many years the FCC didn't even require a license applicant to show an ID to prove who he was. He could have been taking the test for someone else.

701. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 06:49:13 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jdof7.14046$Ki1.1144813@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16945

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010817233100.09419.00002558@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <m5hf7.13549$Ki1.1097597@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >> >Who is Britney Spears?
> >>
> >> All that pot and wine has made you blind, Cecil.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Merely the best body and dental work modern medicine can provide.
>
> Dick:
>
> I agree -- but how did they manage to make her voice so raspy
> and unmelodious? I can't tell if she's trying to sing or attract stray
> male cats! I'll admit -- she's good looking -- but then, so is a
> high-priced prostitute!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Sing? All that and you want her to sing too?? Come on, man, no one has sung
in years: Janet Jackson is the original 4-note McGurk, Mariah Carey can but
won't so she does the same song 54 times between hitches in the Wonderbra,
Go down the list; I've heard Holsteins make noise in key better than most of
the bare-midriff wonders.

Dick, NBK

702. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 11:16:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818071633.11536.00001434@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 16946

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/18/01 12:05 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>> Now here's one for you: "Do you, Cecil A. Moore, believe that you have
>> the right to obey only those laws YOU deem "good" laws?

>Yes, and the responsibility to suffer the consequences for violating bad laws.

Then the "debate" is over. Pot possession is a crime and using it is a
crime. If you are using it now, you are a criminal.

>English is a contextual language, Steve. Please pay attention to the
>context. Class 1 drugs cannot legally be tested.

Sure they can. Any federal agency can do whatever testing necessary in
order to determine the safety or effacy of any drug, compound or mixture it
deems to be a potential hazard.

I again ask you to produce the CFR or Texas code that says you can NOT
test them.

A VALID law, Cecil. REAL facts. Not "Cuz I said so".

703. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 11:17:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818071752.11536.00001435@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 16947

>Subject: Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway
>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 8/17/01 6:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Merely the best body and dental work modern medicine can provide.

And a darn fine job they did!

704. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:14:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7E5C35.6F0BFDA7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16948

CAM wrote:
>
> Even my unabridged dictionary says:
> "Emancipation Proclamation - the
> proclamation issued by President
> Lincoln on Jan. 1, 1863 freeing the
> slaves in those territories still
> in rebellion against the Union."

At this point, I don't think there a way to convince him, Cecil.
We've explained it just about every way possible. Heck, we've written
more words trying to explain document than there are words in the
document itself. If he doesn't get it after all that, he is just never
going to get it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

705. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:27:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E5F34.3AC48DE5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16949

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Again, pedophilia is not a victimless crime...
>
> But every single pedophile will insist that it is a victimless
> crime, just like you claim the same thing about drug abuse.

When I am home alone, there is no other person involved. How the hell is a pedophile going to do his thing ALL ALONE. There is no victim around me. There is, by definition, a victim around a practicing pedophile. The arguments are not the same at all. My argument is that I should be allowed to smoke marijuana ALONE in the privacy of my own home.

> You may not be using the exact same words, ...

Thanks for finally admitting that fact. It's pretty difficult to perform a pedophile act when you are home all alone.

> ... pedophilia is not a victimless crime ...

I agree. I have never said otherwise.

>and neither is drug abuse.

We are not talking about drug *abuse*. We are talking about smoking a joint alone in the privacy of my own home - no victim and no harm done. There's a BIG difference between occasional recreational use and abuse. I am against drug abuse just as I am against alcohol abuse. Anybody abusing anything or anyone needs help. If they are victimizing someone, they need to be jailed.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

706. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:30:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7E5FE0.FC0B081D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16950

CAM wrote:
>
> *Legally capable*, Steve. Please
> start comprehending the context. A
> person under the age of consent
> cannot legally give permission.
> Ever heard of statutory rape?

As you should well know, nothing is written in stone, Cecil. Laws can be changed, which is goal of the pedophiles and the advocates of drugs legalization. In the case of pedophiles, they want to change the laws to lower the age of consent. If they are able to do so, it will not be statutory rape to have sex with a child older then the new lowered age of consent. And, of course, you already know what the drug legalization advocates want. And, like we said earlier, both groups (pedophiles and the advocates of drugs legalization) are using nearly the same tactics in an attempt to reach their respective goals.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

707. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:32:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E605D.B3DD14F7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17003

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > You obviously don't have any children,
> > Dwight, if you believe pedophilia is
> > a victimless crime.
>
> Show me where I said pedophilia is a victimless crime, Cecil.

If I smoke marijuana at home alone there is no victim and no
harm done. If you say that argument applies to pedophiles, you
are saying there is no victim and no harm done.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

708. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:33:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818083328.23631.00002345@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17001

In article <3B7DF83D.1C315B80@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Nothing unrealistic about them at all.
>
>Even if something is black and white, there is still a transition
>zone.

None of the situations I describe is in that zone.

> Discussing artificial situations existing in the transition
>zone is a waste of time, at least for me.

Nonsense - you're just being lazy, Cecil.

Black and white situations are easy and obvious. It's the gray areas that need
to be looked at.
>
>> Interesting that you didn't bring out the dictionary on THIS one!
>
>I'm using "force" the way Libertarians use the word which includes
>"power to influence, affect, or control".

Which includes just about anything.
>--
73 de Jim, N2EY

709. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:33:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818083329.23631.00002346@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17002

In article <3B7DF159.F1EE9E35@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> I'm saying the actions to keep
>> slavery should be condemned.
>
> Then condemn away, Jim.

Maybe I should pound the ground and say "damn them, damn them, they really did it" like Charlton Heston at the end of the original "Planet of the Apes".

> Since that time is long gone, it doesn't
>change a thing (so what have you gained).

It can change people's attitudes in a big way. If the evil of the past is no big deal, why are you so against condemning it? Lots of people in 1860 were condemning slavery.

>Again, my condemnation is
>reserved for what happens today - events that I can influence.

See below.
>
>> Nonsense. Sorry, that's a copout,
>> Dwight. By that logic, you would not
>> condemn Nazi Germany for operating
>> its death camps and carrying out
>> Hitler's "final solution" because
>> it happened more than a half-century
>> ago in a far away country, where
>> those who carried it out never heard
>> of our morals.
>
> You know, I just knew you were going to ignore the key point of my
>argument and bring up Hitler.

I didn't ignore it at all. There's nothing we can do to change what happened in Nazi Germany, either. We CAN condemn neo-Nazis, though, and challenge revisionist "historians" who say that the Holocaust didn't happen.

And I only mentioned Hitler's "final solution". My condemnation is for all of Nazi Germany. Adolf did not act alone - he had lots of help.

>The whole emphasis of my argument has
>been that we should not judge people of the past based on anything

>other than the standards that existed in their time. Hitler violated
>the standards of his time, therefore your use of Hitler as an example
>does not challenge my argument.

Yes, it does. Slavery violated the standards of 1860. In 1860, where in Western civilization was slavery legal other than the US south? What were the standards of the USA of that time? Did not Americans make big noises about "liberty" and "all men are created equal"?

Hitler did not violate the standards of the Axis powers, or of totlaitarian states like the USSR of the time (Stalin was almost as bad as Hitler). He only violated the standards of the rest of the civilized world.

The Nazis did not invent anti-Semitism. The simply capitalized on a long long history of anti-Semitism in Europe.
>
You argue that we should not judge the typical slave owner of 1860 by the standards of non-slave states of 1860. By that logic, we should not judge the typical Nazi of 1943 by the standards of non-Nazi countries of 1943.
>
>> NO. We must remember the past as it
>> really was, and learn from it.
>
> Learning from the past does not require a judgment of the people in
>it (it's a waste of time).

If you say that slavery was wrong, that's a judgement of the people of the past. I say slavery was wrong from the beginning. Condemning the actions of the past is not a judgement of the people who live in the same places today. All of the slaveowners of 1860 are long dead. Guilt is not hereditary.

> It simply requires a choice on our part -
>do we want what existed in the past?

Some people do. Some people would like an unpaid captive terrified labor force that has to call them "master" and "sir" all the time. Not me. I prefer liberty and freedom.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

710. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 12:39:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E61F9.78CE0226@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17004

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Then the "debate" is over. Pot possession is a crime and using it is a
> crime. If you are using it now, you are a criminal.

I am not using it now, Steve. I am exercising my rights as a citizen
of the US to speak about it and try to get the law changed. Sorry you
don't think I have a right to do that.

> >English is a contextual language, Steve. Please pay attention to the
> >context. Class 1 drugs cannot legally be tested.
>
> Sure they can. Any federal agency can do whatever testing necessary in
> order to determine the safety or effacy of any drug, compound or mixture it
> deems to be a potential hazard.

Check out the law. It is illegal for federal agencies or anyone else to
run tests on class 1 drugs. That's why it's a Catch-22. The federal
government will not allow anyone to perform scientific tests to prove
that marijuana is relatively harmless.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

711. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 13:43:37 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7E7100.3E776F95@home.com>
Source record: 17005

There is a Taco Cabana fast food restaurant about 12 miles from my home. I sometimes make a pilgrimage there for the cilantro. They chop it freshly and it resides at the salsa bar. I'm one of the few norteamericanos who frequent the place and appreciate the true elegance of cilantro. I once refused to pay for my enchiladas until they filled the cilantro container at the salsa bar.

CAM wrote:

> My conscious is bothering me so I have a confession to make.
> Of all the things I have used or consumed in my entire life,
> cilantro has the biggest effect. If I am standing up when I
> eat cilantro, I fall down. If I'm driving when I eat cilantro,
> I run off the road. Cilantro throws me into a manic euphoria
> that lasts for hours and makes me completely unpredictable.
> It's not my fault when I do something untoward while under
> the influence of cilantro. I simply cannot control myself.
>
> Given my confession, I expect Steve to write his congressman
> to try to talk him into introducing legislation that puts
> cilantro on the class 1 drug list. This is to warn any cilantro
> lovers (like me) out there. After Steve gets through, cilantro
> will only be available from drug dealers for 1000 times the
> present price. Coriander is also likely, in the process, to wind
> up on the class 1 drug list. And it's all for the common good of
> society.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

712. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 13:53:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818095347.20048.00002003@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17007

>This just confirms everything we already knew before we started
>screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the
>licensing process doesn't result in growth.

EXACTLY, that was my reason for Posting, where are all those TECHNO Whizzards that were just dying to get into Ham Radio, but couldnt get Licensed because of the TOUGH CW Test. Just like the No-Code License BS that was presented, it will bring all kinds of people and fill are VHF and UHF bands, yea right most of VHF and UHF are Dead.
I can see the next Lie now, since we are not getting any New Blood the CW will have to go all together, and of course while were at it we might as well get rid of that really TOUGH WRITTEN TEST, what is it that W1rfi says theres 10 Million Q&As now, AND of course no one can Memorize all those Questions and Answers. And in away EDs right, I mean lets face it, the written doesnt accomplish a thing anymore.

713. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 13:54:06 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7E735E.4EB6B92A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17006

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> > >Who is Britney Spears?
> >
> > All that pot and wine has made
> > you blind, Cecil.
>
> Is she a singer, actress, or what?

Cecil, you really are getting old. Britney is the young singer that had the "Baby Baby" song out a few months ago. The music doesn't interest me, but she's not too bad to take a look at now and then. Anyway, she has been on the tv for months, so I know you've seen her. But, if you still don't remember what she looks like, check out the link below for some pictures...

http://www.britneyspearsnow.com/

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

714. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 13:55:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E73BF.A6AB8B44@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> > Okeedokee.
>
> "Okeedokee" is not a word, Bill. :-)

However, "okeydoke", "okeydokey", "okledokie" and "okledokle"
are all words from my unabridged English dictionary. Bill
merely mispelled the word.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

715. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:00:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E74F6.5B79EEB7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17009

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > *Legally capable*, Steve. Please
> > start comprehending the context. A
> > person under the age of consent
> > cannot legally give permission.
> > Ever heard of statutory rape?
>
> As you should well know, nothing is written in stone, Cecil. Laws
> can be changed, which is goal of the pedophiles and the advocates of
> drugs legalization.

Which was the goal of the people against slavery and for
women's right to vote and against prohibition. So what?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

716. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:04:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7E75E3.AE6C958A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17010

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Richard McCollum wrote...
> >
> > Merely the best body and dental
> > work modern medicine can provide.
>
> And a darn fine job they did!

Hey, guys, who came up with the title for this thread? Are not most interstate highways designed to reduce curves? If so, wouldn't that be a better used for someone that doesn't have a lot of curves rather than someone who does? I know what Britney Spears looks like and I've never thought of an interstate highway while looking at her (to be honest, I've never thought of any road at all while looking at her).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

717. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:10:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E7740.49421F44@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17012

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Even if something is black and white, there is still a transition
> >zone.
>
> None of the situations I describe is in that zone.

I disagree and therefore will not waste my time responding.

> > Discussing artificial situations existing in the transition
> >zone is a waste of time, at least for me.
>
> Nonsense - you're just being lazy, Cecil.

Yep, I am retired and have that luxury.

> Black and white situations are easy and obvious. It's the gray areas that need
> to be looked at.

Not by me. I'm perfectly satisfied with black and white situations
which is appropriate for a digital engineer.

> >I'm using "force" the way Libertarians use the word which includes
> >"power to influence, affect, or control".
>
> Which includes just about anything.

Don't complain to me, Jim. Complain to "Webster's New Universal
Unabridged Dictionary". "force - 4. power to influence, affect,
or control".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

718. ARRL tells FCC What to do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:12:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818101225.19989.00001748@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17011

"It is time for the Commission to stop those encroachments, because they have gone too far already,'' the ARRL said."

Yea right, as if the FCC is going to listen to the"Paper Tiger". Wake up Ham Radio, you got what you wanted, you wanted easy access to HF and the FCC came thru, now its time to pay up.
ARRL reminds me of the Old saying, Money talks and Bullshit walks. Get over it ARRL, big bussiness wants those Freqs and you cant compete.

719. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:17:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E78FC.CD37EF95@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17013

N2EY wrote:
> Maybe I should pound the ground and say "damn them, damn them, they really did
> it" like Charlton Heston at the end of the original "Planet of the Apes".

Similar words are Charlton Heston's final words in the recently released
Tim Burton remake of "Planet of the Apes". Seems like it was something like,
"damn them, damn them, damn them all to hell".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

720. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:23:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E7A62.6D3D5983@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17014

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Meeting the *minimum*
> > requirements tells you absolutely nothing about their *maximum*
> > qualifications.
>
> Ah, but it does, when they whine for years about the requrements and
> wait most of their lives for the
> opportunity for the newly (I'll just go ahead and say it) dumbed down
> requirements.

I wish you guys would cease your collectivist ways. How many "they"'s
are you talking about. The vast majority of hams never complained.
The vast majority of hams simply meet the minimum requirements without
complaint. Whiners are an irrelevant small minority and that includes
the hams like you who whine about ham radio being "dumbed-down".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

721. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:30:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E7C04.76EC3D13@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17015

Gary Danaher wrote:
> There is a Taco Cabana fast food restaurant about 12 miles from my home.
> I sometimes make a pilgrimage there for the cilantro. They chop it
> freshly and it resides at the salsa bar. I'm one of the few
> norteamericanos who frequent the place and appreciate the true elegance
> of cilantro. I once refused to pay for my enchiladas until they filled
> the cilantro container at the salsa bar.

"On the Border", right across Texas Ave. from Texas A&M, puts cilantro
in their salads and sprinkles it on their enchaladas. I am hopelessly
addicted to the food at "On the Border". I'm also addicted to their
margaritas but not quite as hopelessly.

My goal in life is to gene splice the THC gene onto cilantro.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

722. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:37:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E7DB4.B3541108@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17016

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >This just confirms everything we already knew before we started
> >screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the
> >licensing process doesn't result in growth.
>
> EXACTLY, that was my reason for Posting, where are all those TECHNO Whizzards
> that were just dying to get into Ham Radio, but couldnt get Licensed because of
> the TOUGH CW Test.

Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

723. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 14:43:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E7F12.45267E9C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17017

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Cecil, you really are getting old. Britney is the young singer that
> had the "Baby Baby" song out a few months ago.

If that's not a Country and Western song, I wouldn't have noticed.
I do know who Leanne Rimes (sp?) is.

> Anyway, she has been on the tv for months, so I know you've seen her.

They all look alike and sing off key. I just channel surf right on by.
I don't have MTV on my cable system but I do have GAC.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

724. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 15:03:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818110316.27103.00007096@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17018

>Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

There waiting for the next Shoe to drop.

725. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 16:49:15 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010818124915.23089.00001160@mb-fk.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17019

>I sometimes make a pilgrimage there for the cilantro. They chop it
>freshly and it resides at the salsa bar. I'm one of the few
>norteamericanos who frequent the place and appreciate the true elegance
>of cilantro.

My mother-in-law (Mi Suegra) makes practically everything with cilantro. I had a tough time of it in the beginning because I found it to be a touch overpowering. I'm fairly cool with it now though.

>I once refused to pay for my enchiladas until they filled
>the cilantro container at the salsa bar.

Never did that, but I'll wait the 5 to 10 minutes for a fresh pot of coffee at 7-11 before I'll drink the combined swill of 3 old pots. Yeah, I "slum" at 7-11 too. :-) Take it easy, Gary.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

726. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 16:49:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7E9CAD.DE9FD225@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17020

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
> >to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>
> They're waiting for the next Shoe to drop.

Why couldn't the same thing be said about the "TECHNO Whizzards"?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

727. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 17:27:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dAxf7.21437$1p1.1690433@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17021

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B7E7F12.45267E9C@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Cecil, you really are getting old. Britney is the young singer that
> > had the "Baby Baby" song out a few months ago.
>
> If that's not a Country and Western song, I wouldn't have noticed.
> I do know who Leanne Rimes (sp?) is.
>
> > Anyway, she has been on the tv for months, so I know you've seen her.
>
> They all look alike and sing off key. I just channel surf right on by.
> I don't have MTV on my cable system but I do have GAC.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

Now that lady can and does sing. She occasionally takes on a song she is
far too young to be credible singing but at least there are pipes that work.

728. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 17:29:42 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lm8o0$g7s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17022

Rgr that. I didn't make it that far. I have 4 years AF and 8 years Army.
All in the communications field. GOt out on disability from Vietnam action.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010817233103.09419.00002561@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <9lji87dut1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
> <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:
>
> >
> >Hey Larry...I'm ex DA2LJ circa 71-79, Hanau, Fulda, Frankfurt. When were
> >you there and where?
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan:
>
> Was DA1RZ at Rhein-Main AB, Germany (near Frankfurt) from Mar. '83 to
> Mar. '85; and DA1ZW at the same base from Jan. '87 to Jan. '89. I was
> AFA7LR on AF MARS during both tours. I could have had a third tour
> in Germany at Ramstein, but by then had had enough of "sucking
> rubber" during chemical warfare defense exercises between Germany
> and the U. K. and needed a permanent break from it, so I came here to
> Dover. Now, I'm not so sure that was such a good idea, because Dover
> was such a horrid place to work that I took early retirement the second
> I was safely past the "magical" 18-year point in my career. However,
> judging by what I've been hearing about incessant TDY's to desert
> rat-holes by people recently returning from Europe, I'm glad I retired
> when I did. I'd already done more than my share of that.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

729. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 17:30:55 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lm8p4$g00$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17023

Absolutely correct CAM.

Dan/W4NTI

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B7DF13B.4D578667@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > My inferiority is not the issue here. I'm ass-u-&-me'ing that
> > you're referring to Dr. Williamson again.
>
> Nope, I'm referring to a PhD EE who can do 40wpm CW. He still must
> pass the *existing* requirements in order to obtain a ham license.
>
> People who feel inferior tear other people down to try to make
> themselves feel superior. That's obviously what you are trying to do
> when you rag on post restructured Extras. Meeting the *minimum*
> requirements tells you absolutely nothing about their *maximum*
> qualifications. The present Extra exams are the minimum entrance
> requirements into the Extra class just like they have always been.
>
> Passing the exams proves very little. It's what one does after
> getting the ticket that matters.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

730. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 17:32:53 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lm8s7pud1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17024

Good point. That explains a lot. But actually I think it is because of intensive exposure to 75 meter RF that did it.

Dan/W4NTI

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:3B7E0D08.DA744425@townsqr.com...
>
>
> W4NTI wrote:
>
> > I'm not sure I agree we are all that much better than the new guys. I note
> > that most, if not all, of the AHoles that Riley has popped on HF have been
> > the 'old guys'.
>
> That means nothing. There has always been cheaters and finaglers (and medical
> waiver cheats) who managed to get higher class licenses, and their true colors
> sometimes eventually show.
> For a great many years the FCC didn't even require a license applicant to show
> an ID to prove who he was. He could have been taking the test for someone else.
>

731. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 17:39:59 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PLxf7.14716$Ki1.1192867@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17025

Whilst I honestly don't see the need for CW, I will agree that the tests ARE just a bit easy. Good grief, as long as you can spell your name correctly and can remember your street address, you are almost guaranteed a ticket. Isn't impossible just to walk in and test out from ground zero through the extra with no study (been there, done that in 1993).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010818095347.20048.00002003@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >This just confirms everything we already knew before we started
> >screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the
> >licensing process doesn't result in growth.
>
> EXACTLY, that was my reason for Posting, where are all those TECHNO
Whizzards
> that were just dying to get into Ham Radio, but couldnt get Licensed
because of
> the TOUGH CW Test. Just like the No-Code License BS that was presented, it
will
> bring all kinds of people and fill are VHF and UHF bands, yea right most
of VHF
> and UHF are Dead.
> I can see the next Lie now, since we are not getting any New Blood the CW
will
> have to go all together, and of course while were at it we might as well
get
> rid of that really TOUGH WRITTEN TEST, what is it that W1rfi says theres
10
> Million Q&As now, AND of course no one can Memorize all those Questions
and
> Answers. And in away EDs right, I mean lets face it, the written doesnt
> accomplish a thing anymore.

732. Re: ARRL tells FCC What to do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 17:44:52 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <oQxf7.14721$Ki1.1192906@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17026

You hit the nail on the head. It is all about money. Hey, where did the cellphone idea come from anyways? Aw, all those dumb geeks with those walkie - talkies. Yeah, but now wrap it in slick marketing, connect to the phone system, and just about everyone has a cell phone (even folk with $8.00 an hour jobs!). Of course, frequencies are necessary to connect them ... how about some satellites ... ah, the pagers ... umm ... Don't swipe at the ARRL as they are just about as powerless as you and I. You need big bucks - the FCC auctions off blocks of frequencies to bring in money so Congress can spend some more! How has the money from the cigarette manufacturers been spent? Arrrrgh! Sorry for the quick rant, I'm gonna go pop a couple of Tums!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010818101225.19989.00001748@mb-mv.aol.com...
> "It is time for the Commission to stop those encroachments, because they
have
> gone too far already,'' the ARRL said."
>
>
> Yea right, as if the FCC is going to listen to the"Paper Tiger". Wake up
Ham
> Radio, you got what you wanted, you wanted easy access to HF and the FCC
came
> thru, now its time to pay up.
> ARRL reminds me of the Old saying, Money talks and Bullshit walks. Get
over
> it ARRL, big bussiness wants those Freqs and you cant compete.

733. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 18:32:51 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <u5dtntsnjm3i2o13l1v5kvppke629vrpec@4ax.com>
Source record: 17027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 01:25:12 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Turner wrote:
>>
>> Okeedokee.
>
>
> "Okeedokee" is not a word, Bill. :-)

Drat. Nailed again.

BT

734. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 18:33:44 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <e7dtntkip87crtr629ao2bipt08kpfsr55@4ax.com>
Source record: 17028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 08:55:11 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Bill
>merely mispelled the word.

_____

Had I only listened to my spell checker.....

BT

735. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 18:37:05 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7EB5C5.CAC6CB4C@home.com>
Source record: 17029

On the Boder is very good (I'm in the Dallas area). Find a Taco Cabana and try them. Plastic forks, plastic cups, but they mix the CocaCola stronger, ala Mexico, food is good, and the salsa bar awaits you. I pile on the cilantro and then just close my eyes.

CAM wrote:

> Gary Danaher wrote:
> > There is a Taco Cabana fast food restaurant about 12 miles from my home.
> > I sometimes make a pilgrimage there for the cilantro. They chop it
> > freshly and it resides at the salsa bar. I'm one of the few
> > norteamericanos who frequent the place and appreciate the true elegance
> > of cilantro. I once refused to pay for my enchiladas until they filled
> > the cilantro container at the salsa bar.
>
> "On the Border", right across Texas Ave. from Texas A&M, puts cilantro
> in their salads and sprinkles it on their enchaladas. I am hopelessly
> addicted to the food at "On the Border". I'm also addicted to their
> margaritas but not quite as hopelessly.
>
> My goal in life is to gene splice the THC gene onto cilantro.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

736. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 18:38:32 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7EB61C.6DD8A94B@home.com>
Source record: 17030

This all must relate somehow to radio policy. I'm not certain right now how, but give me a little cilantro, and some time, and I'll come up with the relationship.

CAM wrote:

> Gary Danaher wrote:
> > There is a Taco Cabana fast food restaurant about 12 miles from my home.
> > I sometimes make a pilgrimage there for the cilantro. They chop it
> > freshly and it resides at the salsa bar. I'm one of the few
> > norteamericanos who frequent the place and appreciate the true elegance
> > of cilantro. I once refused to pay for my enchiladas until they filled
> > the cilantro container at the salsa bar.
>
> "On the Border", right across Texas Ave. from Texas A&M, puts cilantro
> in their salads and sprinkles it on their enchaladas. I am hopelessly
> addicted to the food at "On the Border". I'm also addicted to their
> margaritas but not quite as hopelessly.
>
> My goal in life is to gene splice the THC gene onto cilantro.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

737. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 19:11:22 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3B7EBDDA.5F70@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 17031

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010817090436.06466.00002843@mb-fc.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
> (WA8ULX) writes:
>
> >
> >Go read the Report below, rather apparent all Restructuring did was help
> >Manufactures, and help the Lazy to upgrade.
> >
> >
> >
> >ARNewsline Report #1253 - August 17, 2001
>
> Bruce:
>
> This just confirms everything we already knew before we started
> screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the
> licensing process doesn't result in growth. We could have two
> million U. S. hams and the ARRL could be wealthy beyond the
> dreams of avarice -- if only we had a national, major media
> advertising campaign to let the public know what we are and what
> we do!

LARRY IS RIGHT, if and the operative word is if, THE ARRL had
a coordinated media campaign to educate John & Janey Q Citizen
about Amateur Radio, our visibility would have been higher, our
numbers greater and our political clout would be assured. As far as
restructuring, it simplifies the arcane rule and structure
of 5 license classes.

Licensing requirements could have been kept at pre-
> Restructuring levels for both code and written testing -- if
> only the ARRL had found a way to attract more people to our
> hobby/service. Unfortunately, the miniscule percentage of the
> U.S. population who are aware of amateur radio usually confuse
> us with CB -- and have no higher opinion of us than they do of
> CB'ers. That's a situation the ARRL could have managed by
> taking responsibility for mobilizing the resources needed to
> produce and distribute a national, mass media advertising
> campaign utilizing TV public service announcements and print
> ads in major newspapers and magazines.

Larry is right on this one.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

738. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 19:29:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818152952.23631.00002350@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17032

In article <3B7E7740.49421F44@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Even if something is black and white, there is still a transition
>> >zone.
>>
>> None of the situations I describe is in that zone.
>
>I disagree and therefore will not waste my time responding.

You just responded.
>
>> > Discussing artificial situations existing in the transition
>> >zone is a waste of time, at least for me.
>>
>> Nonsense - you're just being lazy, Cecil.
>
>Yep, I am retired and have that luxury.

Something we agree on, then.
>
>> Black and white situations are easy and obvious. It's the gray areas that
>need
>> to be looked at.
>
>Not by me. I'm perfectly satisfied with black and white situations
>which is appropriate for a digital engineer.

Seems to me the situations I described were pretty black-and-white.
>
>> >I'm using "force" the way Libertarians use the word which includes
>> >"power to influence, affect, or control".
>>
>> Which includes just about anything.
>
>Don't complain to me, Jim.

Who's complaining?

>Complain to "Webster's New Universal
>Unabridged Dictionary". "force - 4. power to influence, affect,
>or control".

That I agree with.

73 de Jim, N2EY

739. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 20:23:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818162330.22527.00002671@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17034

In article <3B7E61F9.78CE0226@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I am not using it now, Steve. I am exercising my rights as a citizen
>of the US to speak about it and try to get the law changed.

Somehow I don't think talking about it in rrap is going to do much to get the laws changed, Cecil.

I am reminded of the verse from the Phil Ochs song:

"Smoking marijuana is more fun than drinking beer,
But a friend of ours was captured and they gave him thirty years,
Maybe we should raise our voices, ask somebody why,
But demonstrations are a drag, besides, we're much too high!

And I'm sure it wouldn't interest anybody
Outside of a small circle of friends...."

73 de Jim, N2EY

740. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 20:23:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818162331.22527.00002672@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17033

In article <3B7E78FC.CD37EF95@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Maybe I should pound the ground and say "damn them, damn them, they really
>did
>> it" like Charlton Heston at the end of the original "Planet of the Apes".
>
>Similar words are Charlton Heston's final words in the recently released
>Tim Burton remake of "Planet of the Apes". Seems like it was something like,
>"damn them, damn them, damn them all to hell".

Charlton Heston is in the remake?

I think "damn them, damn them, damn them all to hell" is the actual quote from the original. My favorite line from that film is:

"Get your stinking paws off me, you damn dirty apes!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

741. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 21:19:49 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lmm41quj1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You mean the US has been using Israel as cyber guinea pigs?

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gi4mq13.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:

> On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 14:30:08 +0200 (CEST), Hell Remailer wrote:
>
>>> Am unfamiliar with the term "beta tester" unless it refers to research done
>>> by Israel with info supplied to the U.S.
>>
>>Doc, it's a computer/programming term for someone who tests out software to
>>see if any bugs (errors) in it before it's released to the general public.
>
> That term existed in military circles long before it made its way
> into computer lingo.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

742. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 21:24:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818172401.21460.00003973@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17036

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Please speak only for yourself. I have never been advised of any
>"requirement" to "recruit" new hams into our "hobby" (I call it a
>hobby/service).

This seems to imply that you are not capable of thinking for yourself, Larry.
Are you capable only of doing what you are "advised?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

743. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 21:31:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818173112.21460.00003976@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17037

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>This is true for all of us who achieved Extra-class status under the "old"
>licensing structure. An amateur radio license, even an Extra-class
>license, is now, and always has been, a beginning, not an end. It is
>a start, not the finish, of a lifelong experience of learning, growth, and
>experimentation.

I am glad you finally understand.

>At least, that's what it's *supposed* to be.

Oops, I spoke too soon...

>Unfortunately, for a large number of hams, the learning, growth, and
> experimentation never start, or don't advance beyond the HF phone bands.

And how do you know this, Larry? Let's put your ability to the test. Please describe for this group the learning and experimentation I did in ham radio between 1963 and 1985. If you get it right, I am sure everyone will believe that you have some special ability to know what hundreds of thousands of hams do with their licenses.

I do have one question, how do you keep track of so many?

>What has always separated the so-called Real Hams from the wannabes
>is the motivation and discipline required to master the Morse code at a
>useful proficiency level. The Real Hams have the superior intelligence
>to understand the usefulness of this mode, and usually gain a useful
>level of proficiency very quickly.

I am sure glad of that thread where you explained how much you like to pull people's legs, Larry. A few might actually think you are serious. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

744. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 21:34:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818173402.21460.00003977@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17038

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Ah, but it does, when they whine for years about the requrements and
>> wait most of their lives for the
>> opportunity for the newly (I'll just go ahead and say it) dumbed down
>> requirements.
>
>I wish you guys would cease your collectivist ways. How many "they"'s
>are you talking about. The vast majority of hams never complained.
>The vast majority of hams simply meet the minimum requirements without
>complaint. Whiners are an irrelevant small minority and that includes
>the hams like you who whine about ham radio being "dumbed-down".

To some, Cecil, there is always a "they" to rail against.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

745. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 22:32:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7EECF7.51B23A85@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17039

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B7E78FC.CD37EF95@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> Maybe I should pound the ground and say "damn them, damn them, they really
> >did
> >> it" like Charlton Heston at the end of the original "Planet of the Apes".
> >>
> >Similar words are Charlton Heston's final words in the recently released
> >Tim Burton remake of "Planet of the Apes". Seems like it was something like,
> >"damn them, damn them, damn them all to hell".
>
> Charlton Heston is in the remake?

Yep, he sure is. He's the only one who knows the real truth.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

746. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 22:54:55 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gia4741.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 17040

On 18 Aug 2001 03:31:04 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>I think we should set an upper age limit on
>the use of this band -- I suggest 72 years. No wheezing geezer old
>timers permitted to reminisce about the good ole days of warming
>their arthritic fingers over the soft glow of the cathodes in their all-tube
>Collins/Hallicrafters/Drake/National/Whatever gear. The 72-plus crowd
>can get 5-year waivers to operate 60 meters if they can produce logs
>showing regular use (at least 20 percent of total operating time) of
>at least one computer-based digital mode (computerized CW doesn't
>count). The wheezing geezers are what's killing ham radio. After
>accidentally tuning thru 75 meter phone a few nights ago, I almost
>gave it up myself!

I used to feel that way about 60+ers when I was in my 30s. Then I
turned 60, and am still as light-headed and weird as when I was 30.

Mzybe the Medical Symposium is due to the Elders realizing that
anything else usually heard on the air didn't make any better sense.

Your time will come....just you wayt. 'enry 'iggins, just you wayt....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

747. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-18 23:54:06 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b7f024e$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17041

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010817233104.09419.00002562@nso-mv.aol.com...
>
> The wheezing geezers are what's killing ham radio. After
> accidentally tuning thru 75 meter phone a few nights ago, I almost
> gave it up myself!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh please! Keep listening then! :o

Kim W5TIT

748. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 01:34:23 UTC
From: "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <m9Of7.74819$rV6.3518727@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 16959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Holey Smokes!!! Flamers can run a thread into the dirt but it's refreshing
to see a constructive (even if the good guys are just standing up to be
counted) thread regarding some of the junk that we see here sometimes.
Drink, WP3JQ

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:u5dtntsnjm3i2o13l1v5kvppke629vrpec@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 01:25:12 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >Bill Turner wrote:
> >>
> >> Okeedokee.
> >>
> >>
> > "Okeedokee" is not a word, Bill. :-)
>
> ____
>
> Drat. Nailed again.
>
> BT

749. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 03:43:48 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7F3884.31D74AB7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17042

CAM wrote:

> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >This just confirms everything we already knew before we started
> > >screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the
> > >licensing process doesn't result in growth.
> >
> > EXACTLY, that was my reason for Posting, where are all those TECHNO Whizzards
> > that were just dying to get into Ham Radio, but couldnt get Licensed because of
> > the TOUGH CW Test.
>
> Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
> to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?

Hey, you've got to admit that it's gotten pretty bad when even they have lost all interest.

750. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 03:46:58 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7F3942.1F2839C7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17043

CAM wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Unfortunately, the miniscule percentage of the
> > U.S. population who are aware of amateur radio usually confuse
> > us with CB -- and have no higher opinion of us than they do of
> > CB'ers.
>
> They must have read some of your postings.
>

Much more likely it was yours.

751. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 03:57:43 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7F3BC7.B91099A0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17044

CAM wrote:

Passing the exams proves very little. It's what one does after

> getting the ticket that matters.

And under that logic why even bother with tests at all, expecially
today when they fail so miserably to test knowledge OR skill?

752. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 03:57:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010818235756.12858.00006269@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17045

In article <Jdof7.14046$Ki1.1144813@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> Dick:
>>
>> I agree -- but how did they manage to make her voice so raspy
>> and unmelodious? I can't tell if she's trying to sing or attract stray
>> male cats! I'll admit -- she's good looking -- but then, so is a
>> high-priced prostitute!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Sing? All that and you want her to sing too?? Come on, man, no one has sung
>in years: Janet Jackson is the original 4-note McGurk, Mariah Carey can but
>won't so she does the same song 54 times between hitches in the Wonderbra,
>Go down the list; I've heard Holsteins make noise in key better than most of
>the bare-midriff wonders.
>
>Dick, Nï¿½BK

Dick:

I'll have to agree in general terms, but take exception when it comes
to Mariah. This lady has a true God-given gift. The problem is --
Mariah is aiming her "output" strictly at a black audience, only because
she's half-black herself. However, that audience owes her absolutely
nothing, and she is wasting her talent recording the trash that appeals
to that audience. She could be using this talent (a 5-octave range and
crystal clarity all through it) in the opera, or recording the great
old standard "torch" songs that are written for a female Mezzo
Soprano. She could at least be recording pop music of a more
general interest, such as her more worthy, and much more versatile
colleague, Cï¿½line Dion.

I just hope that someday, Mariah will grow up, become a true
adult woman, and not bother trying to please a narrow, culturally
insignificant though politically-correct audience, and start using
her talent to produce some real music. To me, she's not black,
she's not Irish, she's just a woman. A very beautiful woman with
a magnificent voice that should be used to bring mankind closer
together, not further apart. When she starts producing music for
the world, and not just the Third World, she will have finally
reached adult maturity. A talent like hers imposes upon the gifted
one a responsibility to use it wisely and for the greatest good. So

far, all she's done with it is make money. In so doing, she is committing the sin of wasting a rare talent. Any one of the Spice Girls has more professional gravitas than Mariah Carey!

73 de Larry, K3LT

753. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 04:04:19 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7F3D52.2381A51B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17046

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: "JAMES HAMPTON"
>
> >The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> >no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your choice'
> >availability of older calls.
>
> If anyone wants to know for sure the code speed of another op, the best way to
> determine that is with a CW QSO. If anyone thinks that a single test passed
> once is a superior method, they have a pretty illogical viewpoint, IMHO.

Sure, Ed, I have a brother who did high speed CW in the military but was never interested enough in ham radio to get a ticket. But- you and "they" can never alter the fact that the old Extras actually passed a 20wpm test, most of us in front of that steely eyed FCC ogre. Whether or not you like it, that will forever stand for something.

754. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 04:13:08 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B7F3F64.32B47220@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17047

CAM wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > You had plenty of opportunity to upgrade in
> > the pre-Restructuring era, whether you'll admit it or not.
>
> My three year old grandson didn't have that opportunity, Larry.
> Are you going to $hit on him also?
>
> > Those who
> > upgraded to General, Advanced, and Extra in the pre-Restructuring
> > era are superior to their post-Restructuring counterparts because
> > they had to prepare for and succeed at a more rigorous and
> > comprehensive set of license testing requirements.
>
> Those are *minimum* requirements, Larry, and have absolutely nothing
> to do with someone's *maximum* qualifications. I'm sorry you feel
> so inferior that you have to tear other people down to feel better
> about yourself.

You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
yourselves down long ago.

755. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 04:33:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819003335.17422.00002702@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 17048

In article <3B7ACE3E.78E1F0C4@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> But Cecil can simply answer that he
>> doesn't understand those rights because
>> responsible illegal drug use has
>> damaged the exact part of his brain that
>> is used to understand those rights.
>
>
> True, the person can say they don't understand those rights.
>However, as I understand it, that does not in itself prevent the use
>of anything said after that. It would be up to the court to decide if
>statements after that could be used in court. In other words, the
>court would decide if the person could reasonably understood those
>rights or not (in effect, waving those rights by making further
>statements if he did understand).

True - but when a person says they don't understand, the court must take that
into account. It's an uphill battle when a person is obviously not acting
rationally.
>
> Now, I do like your particular slant on this (drugs damage the part
>of the brain needed to understand those rights). But, while creative,
>I somehow suspect that just will not fly in the courtroom. :-)
>
If it ever got there. Mere admission of some crimes (like illegal drug use)
with no other evidence is usually not sufficient cause for legal action.
>
>> A person has to be nuts to admit to
>> illegal drug use in a public forum.
>> People who are nuts cannot be prosecuted.
>> So a person who admits to illegal drug
>> use in a public forum cannot be
>> prosecuted, because that person is nuts.
>
>
> There is a difference between being foolish and being legally
>insane. Of course, I'm not saying that Cecil was foolish (though I
>have questioned his sanity occasionally - a joke, people). Like so
>many of us, he just got caught up in the heat of the discussion and
>let things slip out that should have been left unsaid.

Cecil never does that. It would be a mistake.
>
> By the way, let me make something very clear here. There is
>absolutely nothing wrong with discussing the drug issue or with
>advocating a change in the drug laws.

I agree 100%

> However, when you make very
>specific statements about your own immediate drug use, in writing, in
>a public forum, it seems to me that you're just asking for trouble.

I agree 100% again. It must be remembered that all this stuff is archived, and
may be quoted out of context years later.

>In
>other words, limit the conversation to the general political issue and
>you can talk about it all day long (it's your right).
>
Of course. But Cecil has the ultimate excuse:

Many times in the past ol' Cec has said that he doesn't post anything seriously
on rrap. He's said it a number of times and a number of ways. So ANYTHING he
writes here must be taken with a grain of salt (more like the whole shaker)
because he can always say "I wasn't serious". Slick, huh?

--

You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?

73 de Jim, N2EY

756. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 05:32:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HbIf7.15609$Ki1.1259324@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17049

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010818235756.12858.00006269@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <Jdof7.14046$Ki1.1144813@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >> Dick:
> >>
> >> I agree -- but how did they manage to make her voice so raspy
> >> and unmelodious? I can't tell if she's trying to sing or attract stray
> >> male cats! I'll admit -- she's good looking -- but then, so is a
> >> high-priced prostitute!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >Sing? All that and you want her to sing too?? Come on, man, no one has
sung
> >in years: Janet Jackson is the original 4-note McGurk, Mariah Carey can
but
> >won't so she does the same song 54 times between hitches in the
Wonderbra,
> >Go down the list; I've heard Holsteins make noise in key better than most
of
> >the bare-midriff wonders.
> >
> >Dick, N�BK
>
> Dick:
>
> I'll have to agree in general terms, but take exception when it comes
> to Mariah. This lady has a true God-given gift. The problem is --
> Mariah is aiming her "output" strictly at a black audience, only because
> she's half-black herself. However, that audience owes her absolutely
> nothing, and she is wasting her talent recording the trash that appeals
> to that audience. She could be using this talent (a 5-octave range and
> crystal clarity all through it) in the opera, or recording the great
> old standard "torch" songs that are written for a female Mezzo
> Soprano. She could at least be recording pop music of a more
> general interest, such as her more worthy, and much more versatile
> colleague, C�line Dion.
>
> I just hope that someday, Mariah will grow up, become a true
> adult woman, and not bother trying to please a narrow, culturally

> insignificant though politically-correct audience, and start using
> her talent to produce some real music. To me, she's not black,
> she's not Irish, she's just a woman. A very beautiful woman with
> a magnificent voice that should be used to bring mankind closer
> together, not further apart. When she starts producing music for
> the world, and not just the Third World, she will have finally
> reached adult maturity. A talent like hers imposes upon the gifted
> one a responsibility to use it wisely and for the greatest good. So
> far, all she's done with it is make money. In so doing, she is
> committing the sin of wasting a rare talent. Any one of the Spice
> Girls has more professional gravitas than Mariah Carey!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Cecil mentioned Leanne Rimes who if nothing else took on everything that came along. A 16 year old doing Unchained Melody was bound to be a technical delivery lacking the spirit of the song and so it was. Sinead O'Connor was completely mishandled in the US; there is another lady who can sing if you like your teeth to hurt in the Irish manner. Even Linda Ronstadt doing La Boheme was interesting although Beverly Sills called it right, " Every cracked-voice soprano in the world wants to do La Boheme." LR by the way is from the Gadsen Purchase area of Arizona and does Spanish admirably.

Mariah is a strange case and I think it boils down to sheer laziness. Now that she has reached the age where playing the ingenue is merely ridiculous and she has run out of notches on the Wonder Bra one wonders just where she is going. As you say, too damned bad.

The one I get a chuckle out of is Madonna who is a decent actress -- she has to be to keep the put-on going -- who has had more fun than Mae West. As a singer she should take up plumbing.

Dick NBK
>

757. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 05:33:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DcIf7.15610$Ki1.1258456@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17050

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3B7F3D52.2381A51B@townsqr.com...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > >From: "JAMES HAMPTON"
> >
> > >The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> > >no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your
choice'
> > >availability of older calls.
> >
> > If anyone wants to know for sure the code speed of another op, the best
way to
> > determine that is with a CW QSO. If anyone thinks that a single test
passed
> > once is a superior method, they have a pretty illogical viewpoint, IMHO.
>
> Sure, Ed, I have a brother who did high speed CW in the military but
was never
> interested enough in ham radio to get a ticket. But- you and "they" can
never
> alter the fact that the old Extras actually passed a 20wpm test, most of
us in
> front of that steely eyed FCC ogre. Whether or not you like it, that will
forever
> stand for something.

And someday, Dick, we might know what it is.
>

758. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 05:34:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XdIf7.15613$Ki1.1258647@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16951

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010819003335.17422.00002702@nso-bj.aol.com...
> In article <3B7ACE3E.78E1F0C4@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> But Cecil can simply answer that he
> >> doesn't understand those rights because
> >> responsible illegal drug use has
> >> damaged the exact part of his brain that
> >> is used to understand those rights.
> > >
> > >
> > > True, the person can say they don't understand those rights.
> > >However, as I understand it, that does not in itself prevent the use
> > >of anything said after that. It would be up to the court to decide if
> > >statements after that could be used in court. In other words, the
> > >court would decide if the person could reasonably understood those
> > >rights or not (in effect, waving those rights by making further
> > >statements if he did understand).
>
> True - but when a person says they don't understand, the court must take
that
> into account. It's an uphill battle when a person is obviously not acting
> rationally.
> >
> > Now, I do like your particular slant on this (drugs damage the part
> >of the brain needed to understand those rights). But, while creative,
> >I somehow suspect that just will not fly in the courtroom. :-)
> > >
> If it ever got there. Mere admission of some crimes (like illegal drug
use)
> with no other evidence is usually not sufficient cause for legal action.
> >
> >> A person has to be nuts to admit to
> >> illegal drug use in a public forum.
> >> People who are nuts cannot be prosecuted.
> >> So a person who admits to illegal drug
> >> use in a public forum cannot be
> >> prosecuted, because that person is nuts.
> > >
> > >
> > There is a difference between being foolish and being legally

> >insane. Of course, I'm not saying that Cecil was foolish (though I
> >have questioned his sanity occasionally - a joke, people). Like so
> >many of us, he just got caught up in the heat of the discussion and
> >let things slip out that should have been left unsaid.
>
> Cecil never does that. It would be a mistake.
> >
> > By the way, let me make something very clear here. There is
> >absolutely nothing wrong with discussing the drug issue or with
> >advocating a change in the drug laws.
>
> I agree 100%
>
> > However, when you make very
> >specific statements about your own immediate drug use, in writing, in
> >a public forum, it seems to me that you're just asking for trouble.
>
> I agree 100% again. It must be remembered that all this stuff is archived,
and
> may be quoted out of context years later.
>
> >In
> >other words, limit the conversation to the general political issue and
> >you can talk about it all day long (it's your right).
> >
> Of course. But Cecil has the ultimate excuse:
>
> Many times in the past ol' Cec has said that he doesn't post anything
seriously
> on rrap. He's said it a number of times and a number of ways. So ANYTHING
he
> writes here must be taken with a grain of salt (more like the whole
shaker)
> because he can always say "I wasn't serious". Slick, huh?
>
> --
>
> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Jim, you mean Gimme Eat, Give Everybody Eat???

759. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 09:42:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7F8A12.F1108DF6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16952

N2EY wrote:
>
> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?

A very, very, long time ago, Jim. I first read it in school years ago, and read it again sometime after that (also years ago). There was also a movie based on it too, but even that was a long time ago.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

760. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 10:52:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819065213.10760.00002404@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 16953

In article <XdIf7.15613$Ki1.1258647@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
>Jim, you mean Gimme Eat, Give Everybody Eat???
>
Sort of. What I was thinking was how only somebody who was nuts could be grounded, and all they had to do was ask. But asking to be grounded was proof of sanity. Yossarian was terrified and asked to be grounded, but had to go on more missions because he was obviously sane. Orr was obviously nuts and could be grounded, but he would never ask, because he was nuts.

Appleby has flies in his eyes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

761. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 11:16:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7F9FD4.28B5B6A7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16954

N2EY wrote:
>
> It can change people's attitudes in
> a big way. If the evil of the past
> is no big deal, why are you so
> against condemning it? (snip)

I'm not "against" condemning it, Jim. I've already told you to condemn to your heart's content. I'm just not going to do that myself. Read that sentence again. I'm talking about what I'm not willing to do, not what you or anyone else can or cannot do. I'm not willing to waste my time condemning those of the past - it serves no purpose and I don't think it is fair to condemn someone using a standard that didn't exist in their time.

> Yes, it does. Slavery violated the
> standards of 1860. In 1860, where in
> Western civilization was slavery legal
> other than the US south? What were the
> standards of the USA of that time? Did
> not Americans make big noises about
> "liberty" and "all men are created
> equal"? (snip)

Slavery was commonplace throughout the world in 1860. In fact, slavery was not completely outlawed world-wide until the mid 1900's, a hundred years after the American civil war. Likewise, slavery was legal in every state at some point in the early history of the this country. And, of those northern states that had outlawed slavery before the civil war, they had only done so by a few years (hardly something to brag about). And many of those states still had laws supporting slavery in other states.

In other words, my friend, if your real goal is to condemn slavery, then why don't you start with your own back yard rather than constantly pointing a finger at the south. But, in reality, I suspect the real goal behind the north's constant condemnation of southern slavery is nothing more than an effort to draw attention away from the north's own history of slavery.

For example, I noticed that you're living in Pennsylvania, Jim. Why don't you tell us about Pennsylvania's history concerning slavery? Surely, since you're so upset about slavery and so aware of slavery in the south, you must be an expert on slavery in your own state? Surely, your school teachers talked about slavery in Pennsylvania when they were discussing the civil war and condemning southern slavery. Surely

you've read books on the subject (there are lots of books about southern slavery). How many slaves were held in Pennsylvania? How many slave auctions were held in that state? How many slaves were beat and killed by their owners in that state? How many slave owners were there in your family? Where is the outrage, the condemnation, from you about slavery in your own state?

You've asked me why I'm not willing to condemn slave owners in the south. Heck, we've been discussing this subject (slavery in the United States) for almost a week, and so far you've avoided even a mention of the slavery in your own state. Why, Jim? Why are you more concerned about slavery in the south than about the slavery that existed in you own state?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

762. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 12:06:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FAB96.885AB08E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16955

CAM wrote:
>
> When I am home alone, there is no
> other person involved. How the
> hell is a pedophile going to do
> his thing ALL ALONE. There is no
> victim around me. There is, by
> definition, a victim around a
> practicing pedophile. The arguments
> are not the same at all. My argument
> is that I should be allowed to smoke
> marijuana ALONE in the privacy of
> my own home.

How does the fact that you're alone in your own home affect anything. A person can build a nuclear bomb alone in his own home, but that does not make that act victimless. Furthermore, that fact that you use drugs alone, in your own home, does not make that victimless either. For example, unless those drugs just magically appeared in your home, you had to illegally obtain them somewhere (even if it was just to obtain the first seed to plant in your basement). That means that someone else, a victim of your abuse, had to commit a crime to grow and deliver those drugs to you. In other words, even under the most pure conditions you describe (you only use home-grown marijuana alone in your home), there was still at least one other person in this society involved in your illegal act. And, to be honest, I seriously doubt that your drug abuse is that pure.

> We are not talking about drug *abuse*. We
> are talking about smoking a joint alone
> in the privacy of my own home - no victim
> and no harm done. There's a BIG difference
> between occasional recreational use and
> abuse. I am against drug abuse just as
> I am against alcohol abuse. Anybody abusing
> anything or anyone needs help. If they are
> victimizing someone, they need to be jailed.

If nobody else is involved with your drug abuse, where did you get the drugs from. I know you claim to grow the marijuana in your own home, but where did the marijuana seeds come from? Unless you've invented a way to make marijuana seeds in your home, you had to buy them somewhere (at least the first seeds that you planted). That means that somebody had to grow those seeds, distribute them, and eventually deliver them to you. How many people were involved in that? How many

crimes were committed to deliver those seeds to you? How many people were harmed, or potentially harmed, to get those seeds to you? You really do have some nerve to claim that your drug abuse is a victimless crime.

And, when it comes marijuana, there is no difference between recreational use and abuse. Even the mildest marijuana is equal to several strong alcoholic drinks. If someone drank that much alcohol each day, we would call that person an alcoholic (a person that abuses alcohol). Likewise, marijuana use on a daily basis is also abuse.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

763. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 12:07:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819080755.01535.00000329@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 16956

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Sure, Ed, I have a brother who did high speed CW in the military but was
>never interested enough in ham radio to get a ticket. But- you and "they" can
never
>alter the fact that the old Extras actually passed a 20wpm test, most of us
>in front of that steely eyed FCC ogre. Whether or not you like it, that will
>forever stand for something.

Sure it will forever stand for something. But I believe it should stand for
pride of accomplishment. I find it quite sad that you do not see it that way.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

764. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 12:11:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819081131.01535.00000330@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 16957

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>> to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?

> Hey, you've got to admit that it's gotten pretty bad when even they have
>lost all interest.

Is that your offbeat way of saying that you were wrong when you predicted that any changes in restructuring would result in hordes of CBers taking over ham radio?

Perhaps it would be easier to understand if you addressed the subject more directly.

It is getting pretty bad, though, when the best you can come up with is "amateur radio is not growing very much."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

765. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 12:23:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FAF73.B1E5B19F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16958

CAM wrote:
>
> If I smoke marijuana at home alone
> there is no victim and no harm
> done. (snip)

I'll repeat what was said before. If nobody else is involved with your drug abuse, where did you get the drugs from. I know you claim to grow the marijuana in your own home, but where did the marijuana seeds come from? Unless you've invented a way to make marijuana seeds in your home, you had to buy them somewhere (at least the first seeds that you planted). That means that somebody had to grow those seeds, distribute them, and eventually deliver them to you. How many people were involved in that? How many crimes were committed to deliver those seeds to you? How many people were harmed, or potentially harmed, to get those seeds to you? Drug abuse is not a victimless crime.

> If you say that argument applies to
> pedophiles, (snip)

Again, show me where I said the argument applies to pedophiles, Cecil. I said that pedophiles often use the same arguments as those used by advocates of drug legalization (victimless crime, etc). Beyond that, I never said a thing about the pedophiles themselves - other than to say that I had no more reason to believe the arguments used by advocates of drug legalization than I have to believe the arguments used by pedophiles.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

766. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 12:35:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FB24F.50EABE76@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Bill Turner wrote:
> > > Okeedokee.
> >
> > "Okeedokee" is not a word, Bill. :-)
>
> However, "okeydoke", "okeydokey",
> "okledokie" and "okledokle" are all words
> from my unabridged English dictionary. Bill
> merely mispelled the word.

Okay, so which one of those was Bill attempting to use? We may have
to get Bill to elaborate further on this important issue. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
```

767. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 12:52:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FB6A1.C8B24808@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > "Okeedokee" is not a word, Bill. :-)
> >
> > However, "okeydoke", "okeydokey",
> > "okledokie" and "okledokle" are all words
> > from my unabridged English dictionary. Bill
> > merely mispelled the word.
>
> Okay, so which one of those was Bill attempting to use? We may have
> to get Bill to elaborate further on this important issue. :-)

Looks like he was trying to spell "okeydokey" and replaced
the y's with e's.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

768. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:21:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FBD4C.C5F3DBE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16962

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> How does the fact that you're alone in your own home affect
> anything.

It's a principle, Dwight. I, as an adult, should have the right
to do virtually anything alone in the privacy of my own home.

And you are obviously ignorant of the concept of adult
responsibility. You may enjoy being treated like a child by
your government but I absolutely abhor it.

> I know you claim to grow the marijuana in your own
> home, but where did the marijuana seeds come from?

I have never grown marijuana, Dwight, but I would like to when it
becomes legal (and it will become legal). Probably the
first step toward rational marijuana laws will be allowing
adult citizens to grow their own for their own personal use.

> Unless you've
> invented a way to make marijuana seeds in your home, you had to buy
> them somewhere (at least the first seeds that you planted).

I could simply acquire for free the seeds that most people
throw away. You can find them in most any dumpster in CA.

> You
> really do have some nerve to claim that your drug abuse is a
> victimless crime.

The nerve is all yours, Dwight, to claim to know so much about
my life from so far away while never having met me in person. You
are using the wrong tense above. My drug use WAS a victimless crime.
I've been living in Texas for four years and I don't do illegal drugs
in Texas. My doctor writes me a prescription for anything I need.

> And, when it comes marijuana, there is no difference between
> recreational use and abuse.

That's your opinion and you're sticking to it. There is obviously
a difference between recreational use and abuse. I demonstrate
that difference presently by having a couple of glasses of wine
a day. When I smoked marijuana (when I lived in CA) I did the
same thing. With the stuff I had last time, one hit was roughly
equivalent to one drink of alcohol. It is obvious that you have
never grown out of your childhood fear of the Boogieman. Do you
check under your bed every night? You might have tracked in a

marijuana seed without knowing it.

Many years ago, my aunt was arrested for growing hemp. She didn't even know what it was. It had sprung up under her bird feeder from fertile hemp seeds in the bird seed that she bought. Is that the kind of nation you really want, Dwight, one that picks on innocent old ladies?

There is no essential difference in reality in having a hit on a joint and having a margarita. The only difference is man-made, i.e. bad laws.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

769. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:31:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FBFA4.F967DF0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16963

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > If I smoke marijuana at home alone there is no victim and no harm
> > done. (snip)
>
> I'll repeat what was said before. If nobody else is involved with
> your drug abuse, where did you get the drugs from.

I have never purchased marijuana in my life. All the marijuana I
have ever smoked was offered and/or given to me by my friends.

> I know you claim to grow the marijuana in your own home, ...

No, you don't know that because I never said it. That's a libelous
straw man claim from you. I have never grown any marijuana. Too
bad you feel the need to lie about what I said to try to win your
argument. Tsk, tsk, Dwight.

> Again, show me where I said the argument applies to pedophiles,
> Cecil.

You certainly seemed to me to be defending pedophiles, Dwight.
If you weren't, you should rephrase your statements. I sure got
the impression that you don't think pedophiles are any worse than
me smoking a joint in the privacy of my own home.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

770. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:42:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FC24F.F36B338D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16964

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Passing the exams proves very little. It's what one does after
> > getting the ticket that matters.
>
> And under that logic why even bother with tests at all, expecially
> today when they fail so miserably to test knowledge OR skill?

All the ham exams are minimum ***ENTRY*** level exams, Dick.
Nobody needs to be extremely knowledgeable or skillfull. Ham
exams are like driver's license exams - minimum knowledge,
minimum skill, and minimum passing score gets you a license.

I've often said minimum ham requirements need rules, regulations,
safety and little else. I learned virtually everything I know
about ham radio after I passed my Conditional exam.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

771. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:47:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FC35B.66DDA3C0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16965

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Those are *minimum* requirements, Larry, and have absolutely nothing
> > to do with someone's *maximum* qualifications. I'm sorry you feel
> > so inferior that you have to tear other people down to feel better
> > about yourself.
>
> You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
> yourselves down long ago.

Anyone who knows anything about human psychology is laughing at you,
Dick, for possessing the same mental malady as Larry. Why don't you
guys get a life, excel in it, and stop tearing other hams down for
the purpose of boosting your sagging egos? Do you also kick your dog?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

772. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:53:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FC4EB.C7206401@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16966

Richard Carroll wrote:
> But- you and "they" can never
> alter the fact that the old Extras actually passed a 20wpm test, most of us in
> front of that steely eyed FCC ogre. Whether or not you like it, that will forever
> stand for something.

It apparently stands for the single skill that you possess from which
you can draw some imagined self-esteem. For that, you get no respect
from most hams who observe that passing minimum entry-level exams is
no big accomplishment. It's what one does after obtaining the ham
license that is important, Dick. If your major accomplishment in
ham radio is passing the exams, then you are a failure as a ham.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

773. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:55:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FC53B.8099B53C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16967

```
Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > Unfortunately, the miniscule percentage of the
> > > U.S. population who are aware of amateur radio usually confuse
> > > us with CB -- and have no higher opinion of us than they do of
> > > CB'ers.
> >
> > They must have read some of your postings.
>
> Much more likely it was yours.

Oh Dick, what an original thing to say.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

774. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 13:58:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FC603.C3D4F411@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16968

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
> > to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>
> Hey, you've got to admit that it's gotten pretty bad when even they
> have lost all interest.

It is today like it has been for 100 years, Dick. The only people
interested in becoming hams are potential hams. Think about it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

775. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 14:02:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B7FC6DC.7997EA53@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16970

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'll have to agree in general terms, but take exception when it comes
> to Mariah. This lady has a true God-given gift.

Someone I know in the business told me that Mariah's voice has to
be electronically brought on key before mixing to a master.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

776. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 14:02:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FC69C.CE474C28@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16969

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Cecil, you really are getting old.
> > Britney is the young singer that
> > had the "Baby Baby" song out a few
> > months ago.
>
> If that's not a Country and Western
> song, I wouldn't have noticed. I do
> know who Leanne Rimes (sp?) is.

LOL. It ("Baby Baby") is most certainly not a country song. I grew up with country music. However, I developed a taste for rock and pop as a teenager in California. But all that ended with the garbage they called music in the 80's (the first rap song was the last nail in the coffin). Now I listen to country almost exclusively (with a few classic rock songs thrown in).

LeAnn Rimes (capital "A," no "e") has a nice voice. I agree with Richard though - while she can certainly sing, her singing lacks emotion and involvement (including her version of Unchained Melody).

Really, I'd be hard pressed to think of a female country singer that I really like at the moment. Singers like Shania Twain, Martina McBride, Dixie Chicks, and so forth, are too busy pushing their feminist agenda (if I want to watch women trashing men, I'll watch MTV). In fact, Martina McBride's music is so negative, she should just kill herself to end the misery.

JoDee Messina needs to grow up (get rid of the excessive make-up, the teenage dancing, the teenage backup dancers, and so forth). Faith Hill is not bad, but she too often depends on her looks instead of her music.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

777. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 14:32:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819103206.18709.00007960@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 16971

>Perhaps it would be easier to understand if you addressed the subject more
>directly.
>
>It is getting pretty bad, though, when the best you can come up with is
>"amateur radio is not growing very much."
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Well Ed, maybe you can address the subject of Growth, and give us some insight on what your Bosses are doing to bring about QUALITY GROWTH, because it looks like the DUMBING DOWN PROCESS isnt working.

778. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 15:04:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FD511.64088641@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16972

CAM wrote:
>
> It's a principle, Dwight. I, as an
> adult, should have the right to do
> virtually anything alone in the
> privacy of my own home.

Sorry, but you do not have a "right" to purchase illegal drugs to use in your home or anywhere else.

> And you are obviously ignorant of
> the concept of adult responsibility.
> You may enjoy being treated like a
> child by your government but I
> absolutely abhor it.

Oh, I understand adult responsibility perfectly. As an adult, I have a responsibility to obey the laws. I also have a responsibility not to do things that have the potential to harm others. Drug abuse violates both of those responsibilities.

> I have never grown marijuana, Dwight,
> but I would like to when it becomes
> legal (and it will become legal).
> Probably the first step toward rational
> marijuana laws will be allowing adult
> citizens to grow their own for their
> own personal use.

I thought you told me a few months ago that you grow your own marijuana instead of purchasing it on the streets. Perhaps I'm mistaken.

> I could simply acquire for free the
> seeds that most people throw away.
> You can find them in most any dumpster
> in CA.

Either way, those seeds were obtained illegally at some point.

> The nerve is all yours, Dwight, to
> claim to know so much about my life
> from so far away while never having
> met me in person.

My comments are based solely on what you've written to me in this very newsgroup over the last several months.

> That's your opinion and you're
> sticking to it. There is obviously
> a difference between recreational
> use and abuse. I demonstrate that
> difference presently by having a
> couple of glasses of wine a day.
> When I smoked marijuana (when I
> lived in CA) I did the same thing.
> With the stuff I had last time,
> one hit was roughly equivalent to
> one drink of alcohol. (snip)

Are you now claiming that one "hit" of marijuana is equal to one drink of wine? I'm not that familiar with drug slang so please define what you mean when you say one "hit."

> It is obvious that you have never
> grown out of your childhood fear
> of the Boogieman. Do you check under
> your bed every night? You might have
> tracked in a marijuana seed without
> knowing it.

Don't be silly, Cecil. The boogieman does not carry guns and kill people like we see with so many drug dealers. The boogieman does not cause the deaths we see associated with illegal drugs. I live out here in the real world. And out here in the real world, illegal drugs are a REAL f*cking nightmare.

> Many years ago, my aunt was arrested
> for growing hemp. She didn't even
> know what it was. It had sprung up
> under her bird feeder from fertile
> hemp seeds in the bird seed that she
> bought. Is that the kind of nation
> you really want, Dwight, one that
> picks on innocent old ladies?

BS, Cecil! I'd like to read about that case myself. What is your aunt's name? When and where was she arrested? Do you know the case number?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

779. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 15:12:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819111238.09086.00000466@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16973

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/18/01 8:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>When I am home alone, there is no other person involved. How the
>hell is a pedophile going to do his thing ALL ALONE.

And again...the statement made was that you use the VERY SAME arguements
that a pedophile uses to justify his behaviour.

Maybe YOU are one of the very few people in the United States who COULD
use marijuana 100% responsibly and in such a way as to not endanger a third
party....

However FACTS have proven that most people involved in illicit drug
consumption think they can "handle" thier intoxication...Just the same as
drunks think they are under control of THIER intoxication.

I agree that persons who cause harm to others while using an intoxicant of
thier choice should be dealt with severely.

But I also believe that there should be enforceable limits on access to
them. Alcohol is already the genie uncorked. If we can limit the impact of
OTHER such drugs by making them even harder to get, so much the better.

>The arguments are not the same at all.

Sure they are. You just can't look at it objectively.

>My argument is that I should be allowed to smoke marijuana ALONE
>in the privacy of my own home.

Then quit yer wenchin' here and get busy. Petition your legislators.
PROVE to your peers that this is a good thing to do.

>Thanks for finally admitting that fact. It's pretty difficult to
>perform a pedophile act when you are home all alone.

Oh...?!?! Not according to CNN. Many pedophiles have made quite
effective use of this very same medium to ply thier trade.

>We are not talking about drug *abuse*. We are talking about smoking
>a joint alone in the privacy of my own home - no victim and no harm
>done.

If it was not purchased legally, used in accordance with FDA directions,
or prescribed by a physician for a bonafide medicinal purpose, it IS drug
abuse!

>There's a BIG difference between occasional recreational use
>and abuse.

That line is so thin as to be transparent.

>If they are victimizing
>someone, they need to be jailed.

Be careful of what you wish for, Cecil.

Steve

780. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 15:24:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819112456.09086.00000467@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16974

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/18/01 8:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Sorry you
>don't think I have a right to do that.

Geez, Cecil...I guess you have missed the last FIFTY times I have told that you should start a grass roots program to do just that.

Of course, the opportunity to invoke a troll would have been wasted, huh..?!?!

>Check out the law.

YOU seem to think you have the inside scoop on that, Cecil. I have asked you to produce the specific law(s) that YOU claim prohibit "testing" of class 1 drugs.

YOU have failed to do that.

>It is illegal for federal agencies or anyone else to
>run tests on class 1 drugs

You are again offered the opportunity to validate your assertions.

YOU are the one who is asserting that it is somehow "illegal" for the government to test any drugs. Here's your chance. Prove it.

>That's why it's a Catch-22.

What catch? There is none.

>The federal
>government will not allow anyone to perform scientific tests to prove
>that marijuana is relatively harmless.

Prove it. You don't have to recite the whole law, just quote the title of the act, code or regulation that prohibits the government or any bonafide research agency or program from testing ANY drug.....

Don't worry...I won't hold my breath waiting for it.

Steve

781. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 15:27:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819112730.09086.00000468@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16975

>Subject: Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 8/18/01 9:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Anyway, she has been on the tv for months.....

Yeah...she's almost a spinster in TV Diva years!

782. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 15:29:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819112912.09086.00000469@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16976

>Subject: Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/18/01 10:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>If that's not a Country and Western song, I wouldn't have noticed.
>I do know who Leanne Rimes (sp?) is.

Change blue jeans and "twang" for spandex and 4/4 beat and it's the same
effect.

783. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 15:57:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819115756.01585.00000316@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 16981

>Richard Carroll wrote:

>> You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
>> yourselves down long ago.

Then why, pray tell, do you have this never-ending need to keep beating and beating at what you have just identified as a dead horse?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

784. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 16:06:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819120613.01585.00000317@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 16979

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Well Ed, maybe you can address the subject of Growth, and give us
>some insight on what your Bosses are doing to bring about
>QUALITY GROWTH, because it looks like the DUMBING DOWN
> PROCESS isnt working.

Somehow, you manage to see everything about amateur radio in terms of ARRL.
Thanks for the vote of confidence! Are you another proponent of "Amateur Radio
is only growing some, so restructuring was a failure" school of thought?

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/06/15/1/

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

785. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 16:10:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819121006.01585.00000318@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 16980

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>(WA8ULX) writes:

>>Go read the Report below, rather apparent all Restructuring did was help
>>Manufactures, and help the Lazy to upgrade.

>This just confirms everything we already knew before we started
>screwing around with the licensing system -- dumbing down the
>licensing process doesn't result in growth.

I never expected the restructuring changes to result in phenomenal growth. Did you? They have turned a slight downturn in our numbers into a slight upturn. Neither is, IMHO, significant, although you are free to read what you will into either -- and I am sure you will.

The bottom line is that Amateur Radio has been increasing wrt the general population for a few decades now. Somehow, that tends to put a damper on the doom and gloom that you and Bruce seem to need.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

786. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 16:15:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819121513.09149.00000337@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16978

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 8/19/01 11:04 AM Eastern Daylight Time

> I thought you told me a few months ago that you grow your own
>marijuana instead of purchasing it on the streets. Perhaps I'm mistaken.

You're not. He did. Last time we went around this carosel he said that
very thing.

Guess the brain cells are sloughing off faster than he thinks.

>> You can find them in most any dumpster
>> in CA.

> Either way, those seeds were obtained illegally at some point.

I found a 16 year old in a local tavern drinking where the legal age was
21. Does this mean that I can legally have sex with her because she was
already breaking the law...?!?!

>And out here in the real world, illegal drugs are a
>REAL f*cking nightmare.

Forget it, Dwight. I have invited him to spend a shift with me in the ER.
Of course he has never accepted that offer. To do so might change his
attitudes on drug use.

>> Many years ago, my aunt was arrested
>> for growing hemp. She didn't even
>> know what it was. It had sprung up
>> under her bird feeder from fertile
>> hemp seeds in the bird seed that she
>> bought.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA

Great story, Cecil! I'll file that one away with "My dog ate my homework"
and "I have to work late at the office"...!!!!!

WHAT A JOKE!

Steve

787. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 16:16:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FE5F0.BDF36EAD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 16977

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > I'll repeat what was said before.
> > If nobody else is involved with your
> > drug abuse, where did you get the
> > drugs from.

> I have never purchased marijuana in my
> life. All the marijuana I have ever
> smoked was offered and/or given to me
> by my friends.

LOL. Okay, I really believe that. Regardless, the drugs "given" to you were illegal, you illegally took possession of those drugs, you illegally used those drugs, your friends illegally obtained those drugs, your friends illegally transported those drugs to your home, and your friends illegally distributed those drugs to you.

> > I know you claim to grow the
> > marijuana in your own home, ...
>
> No, you don't know that because
> I never said it. That's a libelous
> straw man claim from you. I have
> never grown any marijuana. Too
> bad you feel the need to lie about
> what I said to try to win your
> argument. Tsk, tsk, Dwight.

I'm almost certain you said several months ago that you grew your own marijuana. Sadly, google.com seems to be down at the moment so I can't verify it. Therefore, since I'm sure you would never lie, I'll accept your word that you didn't say it and apologize for that comment.

> You certainly seemed to me to be
> defending pedophiles, Dwight. If
> you weren't, you should rephrase
> your statements. (snip)

There is nothing wrong with my statements, Cecil. You just don't happen to like what those statements say about your arguments in defense of legalizing drugs.

> I sure got the impression that you
> don't think pedophiles are any worse
> than me smoking a joint in the
> privacy of my own home.

Oh, no, I would never think that. While both drug abusers and pedophiles are criminals, one is clearly worse than the other in some situations. Of course, since you don't use drugs, we obviously can't use you as an example. However, assuming that a person does only use marijuana in his home, and doesn't give or sell those drugs to kids, that person would obviously not be as bad as the pedophile. On the other hand, I think any drug user who gives or sell drugs to kids should be taken out and shot right there beside the pedophile. But that is not really what my comments were about - my comments were limited to the arguments used by both groups in an effort to legalize their abhorrent behavior.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

788. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 17:17:28 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <p3tvntsngb57b80pq7qs681kpjt1v6hkap@4ax.com>
Source record: 16982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 19 Aug 2001 08:35:27 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>> However, "okeydoke", "okeydokey",
>> "okledokie" and "okledokle" are all words
>> from my unabridged English dictionary. Bill
>> merely mispelled the word.
>
>
> Okay, so which one of those was Bill attempting to use? We may have
>to get Bill to elaborate further on this important issue. :-)

Irregardless, it's my word and I'm sticking with it. :-)

Bill, W7TI

789. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 17:19:46 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <37tvntsdatiqvfhu69ci80d6a69c3i9mmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 16983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 21:34:23 -0400, "Drink, WP3JQ"
<NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:

> Drink, WP3JQ

_____

Is that your real name? Some guys have all the luck.

"Hi Drink".

"OK".

Bill, W7TI

790. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 17:36:14 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iOSf7.52508$gj1.4820159@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 16984

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010819065213.10760.00002404@nso-cm.aol.com...
> In article <XdIf7.15613$Ki1.1258647@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Jim, you mean Gimme Eat, Give Everybody Eat???
> >
> Sort of. What I was thinking was how only somebody who was nuts could be
> grounded, and all they had to do was ask. But asking to be grounded was
proof
> of sanity. Yossarian was terrified and asked to be grounded, but had to go
on
> more missions because he was obviously sane. Orr was obviously nuts and
could
> be grounded, but he would never ask, because he was nuts.
>
> Appleby has flies in his eyes.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Major DeCoverly was my hero. Cut thru to the heart of the matter, no one
knew what he did, and everyone was afraid of him.

791. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 17:48:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819134842.06654.00003726@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 16985

>The bottom line is that Amateur Radio has been increasing wrt the general
>population for a few decades now. Somehow, that tends to put a damper on the
>doom and gloom that you and Bruce seem to need.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

No Damper at all ED, Larry and I are not the ones that came up with the Figures, try and turn it anyway you wont to ED, the facts are in the figures. No new Blood, the Dumbing Down isnt working to attract new Hams. By the way ED maybe you could give us the Total Member Status of ARRL, it would be interesting to see the Trend there. And while you are at it maybe you could tell us what Efforts ARRL is putting into recruiting new Hams from the General Population.

792. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 18:59:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gibnmx3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 16986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 17:19:49 -0400, radiosigs wrote:

>You mean the US has been using Israel as cyber guinea pigs?

Israel gets to test, refine, correct, and very often redesign things
that go boom, vrooom, bang, whoosh, and ka-powie before The Uncle
puts them into service.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

793. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 19:33:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B80147F.AB0A4E6C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16987

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > It's a principle, Dwight. I, as an adult, should have the right to do
> > virtually anything alone in the privacy of my own home.
>
> Sorry, but you do not have a "right" to purchase illegal drugs to
> use in your home or anywhere else.

It's an inalienable right that the government has taken away from me
and I am working to get that changed. BTW, alcohol is an illegal
drug in my home county. That doesn't keep me from consuming it
in the privacy of my own home.

> > And you are obviously ignorant of
> > the concept of adult responsibility.
> > You may enjoy being treated like a
> > child by your government but I
> > absolutely abhor it.
>
> As an adult, I have a responsibility to obey the laws.

And, of course, you NEVER speed or spit on the sidewalk.

> I thought you told me a few months ago that you grow your own
> marijuana instead of purchasing it on the streets. Perhaps I'm mistaken.

You are mistaken. You objected to me buying it so I changed the
hypothetical situation to me growing it.

> Either way, those seeds were obtained illegally at some point.

Only because of the crazy Catch-22 marijuana laws, Dwight. You
simply cannot argue that something should be illegal because
it is illegal. In Louisiana, it is illegal for a husband and
wife to have oral sex. Would you obey that law, Dwight?

> My comments are based solely on what you've written to me in this
> very newsgroup over the last several months.

They certainly are NOT!!! You have accused me of saying things that
I never said.

> Are you now claiming that one "hit" of marijuana is equal to one
> drink of wine? I'm not that familiar with drug slang so please define
> what you mean when you say one "hit."

One "hit" is one lungfull. With some of the genetically engineered marijuana, one hit is about like one glass of wine. However, with naturally occuring marijuana, one joint is about equal to one glass of wine.

> The boogieman does not carry guns and kill
> people like we see with so many drug dealers.

The stupid drug laws are the cause of that. Take away the obscene profits and that problem would disappear. Exactly the same thing happened during prohibition over alcohol. After the end of prohibition, the problem went away. How many people have been killed lately fighting over illegal alcohol turf?

> > Many years ago, my aunt was arrested
> > for growing hemp. She didn't even
> > know what it was. It had sprung up
> > under her bird feeder from fertile
> > hemp seeds in the bird seed that she
> > bought. Is that the kind of nation
> > you really want, Dwight, one that
> > picks on innocent old ladies?
>
> BS, Cecil! I'd like to read about that case myself. What is your
> aunt's name? When and where was she arrested? Do you know the case number?

It was sometime after WWII. My aunt's name was Ruby Fuhlberg. It happened in Pasadena, TX. I don't know the case number. It's been more than 50 years. They don't put fertile hemp seeds in bird seed any more.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

794. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:00:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B801ADA.330F036D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16989

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
> > I thought you told me a few months ago that you grow your own
> > marijuana instead of purchasing it on the streets. Perhaps I'm mistaken.
>
> You're not. He did. Last time we went around this carosel he said that
> very thing.

Prove that you are not a liar, Steve, by producing a posting where I
said I grow marijuana. How in the world do you give yourself permission
to lie so much? What you probably remember is my statement to the effect,
"If I grow my own marijuana, then I don't have to buy it from anybody."
Is it that pesky conditional statement again (of which you have shown
gross ignorance before) that is still throwing you?

> I found a 16 year old in a local tavern drinking where the legal age was
> 21. Does this mean that I can legally have sex with her because she was
> already breaking the law...?!?!

If you like 16 year olds, Steve, feel free to get the law changed. The
adultry that you seem to be considering was once illegal. Was that a
good law? Is an age of consent of 18 a good law? I suspect you will
live to see an age of consent of 16 but you will be too old to enjoy it.

> Forget it, Dwight. I have invited him to spend a shift with me in the ER.

And I invite you to spend an evening with my CA friends smoking pot. Your
present experience is jaded. You need to get out more and see what the
normal world is like minus the blood and gore and criminal types. Your
work environment is simply irrelevant compared to the rest of the world.

> WHAT A JOKE!

It wasn't a joke when it happened, Steve. It was some months afterwards
before we could all laugh about it and tease my aunt. Remember, this is
the state where a man got 15 years for possession of one joint.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

795. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:02:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819160242.04428.00001566@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 16988

>Subject: Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@no.spam
>Date: 8/19/01 2:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time

> Israel gets to test, refine, correct, and very often redesign things
> that go boom, vrooom, bang, whoosh, and ka-powie before The Uncle
> puts them into service.

And rather effectively, one might add!

73

Steve, K4YZ

796. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:16:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B801E8A.18166FB1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16990

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >When I am home alone, there is no other person involved. How the
> >hell is a pedophile going to do his thing ALL ALONE.
>
> And again...the statement made was that you use the VERY SAME arguements
> that a pedophile uses to justify his behaviour.

My argument involves me being all alone in the privacy of my own home.
Please explain how a pedophile does his thing all alone.

> Maybe YOU are one of the very few people in the United States who COULD
> use marijuana 100% responsibly and in such a way as to not endanger a third
> party....

The answer is not to take away freedoms. Freedom is always better than
oppression. The answer is to punish the irresponsible people just like
we do with alcohol, automobiles, and guns. The present marijuana laws
are like using a shotgun on a perp surrounded by hostages.

> However FACTS have proven that most people involved in illicit drug
> consumption think they can "handle" thier intoxication...Just the same as
> drunks think they are under control of THIER intoxication.

So you have a built in contradiction. Why aren't alcohol and marijuana
treated the same way? 98% of alcohol consumers are responsible people.
2% of alcohol consumers cause all the alcohol related problems. Those
people are punished for causing problems.

95% of marijuana users are responsible people. 5% of marijuana users
cause all the problems. Why punish the 95% for the transgressions of
the 5%?

> Alcohol is already the genie uncorked.

Aha, sounds like you would like to go back to prohibition.

> Then quit yer wenchin' here and get busy. Petition your legislators.
> PROVE to your peers that this is a good thing to do.

My "wenchin'" here is simply me exercising my first amendment rights.
If I succeed in getting someone to consider the topic rather than just
emoting about it, I will have accomplished my purpose. Large changes
occur after many small steps.

> If it was not purchased legally, used in accordance with FDA directions,
> or prescribed by a physician for a bonafide medicinal purpose, it IS drug

> abuse!

Madison County, TX is a dry county. I don't want to have to make an hour
round trip so I buy a bottle of wine from the local bootlegger and have
a glass. That's drug abuse??????
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

797. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:27:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819162741.09117.00000715@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16991

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/19/01 4:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Prove that you are not a liar, Steve, by producing a posting where I
>said I grow marijuana.

So far two people here have recollected that you did, Cecil. Unlike you, Lennie, and Uncle Brokeslob I have more useful endeavors of my time. If you insist, I can go back through months of posts and find it, but if you do insist, I will make it expensive for you.

>How in the world do you give yourself permission
>to lie so much?

Give myself permission to lie so much? You ARE suffering some sort of delusional events, Cecil. Any material comes DIRECTLY from YOUR keyboard, Sir.

If you don't like having your own words fed back to you, I suggest that you more carefully screen what is ON the screen before you send it.

>Is it that pesky conditional statement again (of which you have shown
>gross ignorance before) that is still throwing you?

There was nothing "conditional" about it, Cecil. You stated that you grew your own pot to avoid questions of quality or legal entanglements.

>If you like 16 year olds, Steve, feel free to get the law changed. The
>adultry that you seem to be considering was once illegal.

It still is.

>I suspect you will
>live to see an age of consent of 16 but you will be too old to enjoy it.

There may or may not ever be one, Cecil. But I will NEVER be too old to enjoy it! Whether or not I will be able to DO anything about it is a different story.

>And I invite you to spend an evening with my CA friends smoking pot.

I have BEEN around LOTS of Californians smoking pot, Cecil. My experience is HARDLY "jaded". Death and maimed kids from drug culture is hardly "jaded".

>Your work environment is simply irrelevant compared to the rest of the world.

My "work enviroment" is NOT my only "experience", Cecil. This is an assumption on YOUR part.

>It wasn't a joke when it happened, Steve.

It was a joke then, it is a joke now. Please provide the requested
references to date/time/case, etc etc etc to validate your "story".

>Remember, this is
>the state where a man got 15 years for possession of one joint.

That's all?

Steve

798. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:28:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B80216D.2107834@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16992

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Regardless, the drugs "given" to
> you were illegal, you illegally took possession of those drugs, you
> illegally used those drugs, your friends illegally obtained those
> drugs, your friends illegally transported those drugs to your home,
> and your friends illegally distributed those drugs to you.

All because of some Catch-22 marijuana laws. Do you think that the
people who broke the law and smuggled Jewish people out of Nazi
Germany should have been punished for breaking the law? Do you
ever illegally speed or illegally spit on the sidewalk?

My friends chose to risk bringing marijuana into their homes. They
chose to offer me some. I chose to accept their offer. It was all
part of being responsible adults. Whether it was legal alcohol or
illegal marijuana didn't matter. The outcome was the same.

> I'm almost certain you said several months ago that you grew your
> own marijuana. Sadly, google.com seems to be down at the moment so I
> can't verify it. Therefore, since I'm sure you would never lie, I'll
> accept your word that you didn't say it and apologize for that comment.

What you are probably remembering is you said I caused someone else to
break the law if I buy marijuana from him. I replied: not if I grow my
own. Note the 'if'. Steve has trouble comprehending if/then statements.
I wasn't aware that you had the same problem. Apology accepted.

> There is nothing wrong with my statements, Cecil. You just don't
> happen to like what those statements say about your arguments in
> defense of legalizing drugs.

Those same arguments were used against slavery and for woman suffrage.
So what? Using an argument doesn't automatically make it a valid argument.

> While both drug abusers and
> pedophiles are criminals, one is clearly worse than the other in some
> situations.

I'm glad to hear you say that. I was beginning to wonder about you.
I think anyone who would hurt a child should be shot. I'm certainly
not hurting any children if I smoke a joint alone in the privacy of
my own home.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

799. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:35:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B802302.30420D8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16993

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Sorry you don't think I have a right to do that.
>
> Geez, Cecil...I guess you have missed the last FIFTY times I have told that
> you should start a grass roots program to do just that.

That's what I'm doing, Steve. I'm starting a grass roots program
on r.r.a.p It worked for Morse code testing. :-)

> YOU seem to think you have the inside scoop on that, Cecil. I have asked
> you to produce the specific law(s) that YOU claim prohibit "testing" of class 1
> drugs.

It was all covered on the TV program, "Illegal Drugs and How They Got That Way".
You know, the one of which you chose to remain ignorant. I don't have to produce
it, Steve, because I watched the program. You, OTOH, seem to have a need to
fulfill. I personally don't care how ignorant you are.

> Prove it. You don't have to recite the whole law, just quote the title of
> the act, code or regulation that prohibits the government or any bonafide
> research agency or program from testing ANY drug.....

A copy of "Illegal Drugs and How They Got That Way" is available from TLC
or Discovery, I forget which. I'm not going to buy the tape for you.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

800. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:39:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B802401.9AB078D8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16994

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >Richard Carroll wrote:
> >> You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
> >> yourselves down long ago.
>
> Then why, pray tell, do you have this never-ending need to keep beating and
> beating at what you have just identified as a dead horse?

Dick got bears and horses mixed up. He thought that horse was hibernating.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

801. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:41:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B802471.D5E4A293@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16995

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Perhaps it would be easier to understand if you addressed the subject more
> >directly.
> >
> >It is getting pretty bad, though, when the best you can come up with is
> >"amateur radio is not growing very much."
>
> Well Ed, maybe you can address the subject of Growth, and give us some
> insight on what your Bosses are doing to bring about QUALITY GROWTH, because it
> looks like the DUMBING DOWN PROCESS isnt working.

One explaination is that it wasn't dumbing down at all. That's why
your predictions failed. Your premises were false to start with.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

802. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:48:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B80261D.7029F00C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16997

WA8ULX wrote:
> No new Blood, the Dumbing Down isnt working to attract new Hams.

You have a premise that amateur radio exams were dumbed down. You made predictions based on that premise. You predictions failed to materialize. Seems to me your premise was false.

I, OTOH, never thought that restructuring involved a dumbing down process. My predictions were valid. Looks like my premise was valid based on the final results. To refresh your memory, my prediction was that, since the entry-level no-code tech requirements didn't change, the majority of changes would involve upgrades from tech+ to general and from general/advanced to extra and that's exactly what happened.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

803. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:49:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819164921.09117.00000719@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16996

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/19/01 4:16 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>My argument involves me being all alone in the privacy of my own home.
>Please explain how a pedophile does his thing all alone.

Just like your refusal to acknowledge that I was not forced to do
something, you now refuse to acknowledge that it is not the nature of the crime
being addressed, but the similarity of the excuses used by perpetrators to
justify thier behaviour.

Victim or no victim, privacy or the middle of traffic downtown, it matters
not. The excuses, with little changes, are the same.

>> Maybe YOU are one of the very few people in the United States who COULD
>> use marijuana 100% responsibly and in such a way as to not endanger a third
>> party....

>The answer is not to take away freedoms.

Cecil, with the exception of the agreed upon medicinal uses of marijuana
for cancer victims, please tell me how marijuana is beneficial to society as a
whole.

>Freedom is always better than oppression.

Yes it is. And I want to be able to be free from the worry that some
pothead on his way to the corner market for muchies will run my children over.

>So you have a built in contradiction. Why aren't alcohol and marijuana
>treated the same way?

I don't know. Why don't you answer it for us..?!?! Why do we have
seperate bathrooms when all of Asia seems to be able to do just fine with
seperate doors on the stalls?

>98% of alcohol consumers are responsible people.

And the basis of this assertions is...?!?!

>95% of marijuana users are responsible people.

Then there's a 3% reason to allow alcohol and not pot.

>Why punish the 95% for the transgressions of
>the 5%?

Because 87% of all "other drugs" users started out on pot. Only 37% of "other drugs" users started out on alcohol as thier first "drug".

>> Alcohol is already the genie uncorked.

>Aha, sounds like you would like to go back to prohibition.

And it sounds that way ONLY to you.

>My "wenchin'" here is simply me exercising my first amendment rights.

Uh huh. Right.

>If I succeed in getting someone to consider the topic rather than just
>emoting about it, I will have accomplished my purpose

"Emoting"...Trying to defeat the use of something that I have seen as directly responsible for the death of kids is "emoting"...?!?!?

You really are more callous than I had surmised.

>Madison County, TX is a dry county. I don't want to have to make an hour
>round trip so I buy a bottle of wine from the local bootlegger and have
>a glass. That's drug abuse??????

"A" glass..?!?! I doubt that.

804. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 20:50:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B802693.BE0630B9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 16998

giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!

I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
like to present some? No fair using the Bible to prove the
Bible.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

805. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:06:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819170638.09035.00000564@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 16999

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/19/01 4:28 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> Regardless, the drugs "given" to
>> you were illegal, you illegally took possession of those drugs, you
>> illegally used those drugs, your friends illegally obtained those
>> drugs, your friends illegally transported those drugs to your home,
>> and your friends illegally distributed those drugs to you.

>All because of some Catch-22 marijuana laws.

Only YOU could consider something as simple as "pot is illegal in all it's
forms" as "Catch -22"

>Do you think that the
>people who broke the law and smuggled Jewish people out of Nazi
>Germany should have been punished for breaking the law?

Now there's a logical analogy. Drug use as opposed to saving human life.
Let me mull this one over a while.

>My friends chose to risk bringing marijuana into their homes. They
>chose to offer me some. I chose to accept their offer. It was all
>part of being responsible adults.

Sure hope they (and you) live in a neighborhood I like. Especially after
the DEA confiscates them and puts them up for auction.

>Whether it was legal alcohol or
>illegal marijuana didn't matter. The outcome was the same.

Nope. One was legal, the other wasn't.

>Steve has trouble comprehending if/then statements.
>I wasn't aware that you had the same problem.

I understand it perfectly. You are, unfortunately, the one with
comprehension problems.

I was not forced.

Pot is illegal.

It really is THAT simple.

>I'm certainly
>not hurting any children if I smoke a joint alone in the privacy of

>my own home.

If your activity can REMAIN in the home. It rarely ever does. THEN you ARE harming a child...or at least in prime position to do so.

Then they come to me and I get to put the pieces back together. But YOU got to exercise YOUR rights.

I'll remind thier parents.

806. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:12:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819171258.09035.00000565@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 17000

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/19/01 4:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>It was all covered on the TV program, "Illegal Drugs and How They Got That
>Way".

Excuse me. I forgot that everything I see on TV is 100% factual. Oh
Dopey Me.

>You, OTOH, seem to have a need to
>fulfill.

Yeah. Keeping people from dying from drugs is a biggie.

>I personally don't care how ignorant you are.

I am sure that you consider any effort to deter drug use/abuse as
"ignorant", Cecil.

But then I consider the source.

>A copy of "Illegal Drugs and How They Got That Way" is available from TLC
>or Discovery, I forget which. I'm not going to buy the tape for you.

A journalistic documentary on TV is NOT a definitive answer, Cecil. Quote
the LAW that you ASSERT provents the testing of drugs.

Just facts, Cecil. That's all I ask. Just some facts.

807. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:14:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819171426.17422.00002732@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 17051

In article <3B7F9FD4.28B5B6A7@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> It can change people's attitudes in
>> a big way. If the evil of the past
>> is no big deal, why are you so
>> against condemning it? (snip)
>
> I'm not "against" condemning it, Jim. I've already told you to
>condemn to your heart's content.

See post about Charlton Heston and the original "Planet of the Apes"

>I'm just not going to do that myself.
>Read that sentence again. I'm talking about what I'm not willing to
>do, not what you or anyone else can or cannot do. I'm not willing to
>waste my time condemning those of the past - it serves no purpose and
>I don't think it is fair to condemn someone using a standard that
>didn't exist in their time.

The standard DID exist in their time.

>> Yes, it does. Slavery violated the
>> standards of 1860. In 1860, where in
>> Western civilization was slavery legal
>> other than the US south? What were the
>> standards of the USA of that time? Did
>> not Americans make big noises about
>> "liberty" and "all men are created
>> equal"? (snip)
>
> Slavery was commonplace throughout the world in 1860.

I asked about Western civilization. Where was it commonor even allowed other
than the slave states of the USA in 1860?

>In fact,
>slavery was not completely outlawed world-wide until the mid 1900's, a
>hundred years after the American civil war.

That does not justify it anywhere.

> Likewise, slavery was
>legal in every state at some point in the early history of the this
>country. And, of those northern states that had outlawed slavery

>before the civil war, they had only done so by a few years (hardly
>something to brag about). And many of those states still had laws
>supporting slavery in other states.

The supporting laws were a result of pressure from the slave states and bad decisions by the Supremes.
>
> In other words, my friend, if your real goal is to condemn slavery,
>then why don't you start with your own back yard rather than
>constantly pointing a finger at the south.

I condemn ALL slavery.

> But, in reality, I suspect
>the real goal behind the north's constant condemnation of southern
>slavery is nothing more than an effort to draw attention away from the
>north's own history of slavery.

Nope.
>
> For example, I noticed that you're living in Pennsylvania, Jim. Why
>don't you tell us about Pennsylvania's history concerning slavery?

I'll look it up.

>Surely, since you're so upset about slavery and so aware of slavery in
>the south, you must be an expert on slavery in your own state?

Nope. PA outlawed it long before the civil war. Where I grew up, a few miles from here, there are houses that were stations on the Underground Railroad. PA, and Philadelphia in particular, were very active in abolition from the beginning.

I'll get exact dates.

> Surely,
>your school teachers talked about slavery in Pennsylvania when they
>were discussing the civil war and condemning southern slavery.

Yep. They condemned ALL slavery.

>Surely
>you've read books on the subject (there are lots of books about
>southern slavery). How many slaves were held in Pennsylvania?

Very few. It was not part of the economy. No plantations.

>How many
>slave auctions were held in that state?

Very few.

>How many slaves were beat and
>killed by their owners in that state?

Very few, because there were few to begin with.

>How many slave owners were there
>in your family?

None. Zero. Zip. Nobody in my family ever owned another human being. At least not in the USA. Maybe back in Ancient Rome or Greece, thousands of years ago.

>Where is the outrage, the condemnation, from you about
>slavery in your own state?

Read my posts. The condemnation is for all slavery, and for the hypocrisy of allowing it in a country that claimed "liberty" and "all men [sic] are created equal" and "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights". Where do you think all that stuff was written?
>
> You've asked me why I'm not willing to condemn slave owners in the
>south. Heck, we've been discussing this subject (slavery in the United
>States) for almost a week, and so far you've avoided even a mention of
>the slavery in your own state. Why, Jim?

Maybe for the same reasons you refuse to condemn it.

> Why are you more concerned
>about slavery in the south than about the slavery that existed in you
>own state?

I'm not. I condemn ALL slavery. The focus is on the slave states (not all of which tried to secede) because that's where it lasted the longest and was most prevalent.

Slavery in PA ended peacefully, long before the Civil War.

Most of all, I don't make excuses for PA slaveowners. Or any others.

73 de Jim, N2EY

808. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:15:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819171558.09035.00000566@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 17052

>Subject: Re: check this out
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/19/01 4:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists

Probably due to excessive pot consumption.

Stop by the ER sometime. I'll give you a peek.

809. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:19:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B802D47.E961D2F1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17053

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Prove that you are not a liar, Steve, by producing a posting where I
> >said I grow marijuana.
>
> So far two people here have recollected that you did, Cecil.

And one of them has apologized for being mistaken. That leaves one
person lying about what I said.

> If you
> insist, I can go back through months of posts and find it, but if you do
> insist, I will make it expensive for you.

Is threats the only way you can win an argument, Steve? I guarantee
you that I didn't say I grow marijuana. If you thought I did, you
were mistaken and any gentleman would apologize. With exactly the
same validity, I could accuse you of saying that you grow marijuana.

> Give myself permission to lie so much? You ARE suffering some sort of
> delusional events, Cecil. Any material comes DIRECTLY from YOUR keyboard, Sir.

If the material never came from my keyboard, Steve, then you are lying
or just too damned ignorant to understand English. Which is it?

> If you don't like having your own words fed back to you, I suggest that
> you more carefully screen what is ON the screen before you send it.

My own words? I haven't seen a quote, Steve. If you can produce one, I
will admit that I don't remember it and apologize. If you can't produce
it, I expect you to admit that you are lying.

> You stated that you grew
> your own pot to avoid questions of quality or legal entanglements.

I absolutely deny every saying any such thing, Steve. Either produce
my posting or admit that you are lying.

> It was a joke then, it is a joke now. Please provide the requested
> references to date/time/case, etc etc etc to validate your "story".

I did, Steve, in another posting.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

810. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:41:16 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B80327C.1EE5F4F3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 17054

CAM wrote:

> giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> > DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> > YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
>
> I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> like to present some? No fair using the Bible to prove the
> Bible.

Sure Hell exists. It's a town in Georgia IIRC. In area code 666. :-)

811. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 21:49:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B80345D.A617DA9A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17055

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Only YOU could consider something as simple as "pot is illegal in all it's
> forms" as "Catch -22"

The Catch-22 part is that it is illegal to try to prove that pot is
relatively harmless. Any testing of marijuana is prima facie proof
of criminal activity no matter who does it. Does a God-given weed
deserve such treatment?

> Sure hope they (and you) live in a neighborhood I like. Especially after
> the DEA confiscates them and puts them up for auction.

The federal drug gestapo doesn't have enough manpower to even worry
about. They have bigger fish to fry. You are more likely to be struck
by lightening. Probably 25% of the population of Silicon Valley smokes
pot. There is *MASS* violation of the marijuana laws and the local
police join in the festivities.

> >Whether it was legal alcohol or
> >illegal marijuana didn't matter. The outcome was the same.
>
> Nope. One was legal, the other wasn't.

The outcome was the same. Nobody got caught. No harm was done.
There was no victims. Thus it is with bad laws leading to mass
violation by the public.

> I was not forced.

It is my understanding that you and I agreed not to discuss this
topic on r.r.a.p anymore. Are you renigging on that agreement?
If so: If you were not forced (required by circumstances) to
meet the FCC requirements, then it follows that you didn't meet
the FCC requirements. After all, how can you meet requirements
that are not required by circumstances? It really is that simple.

> Pot is illegal. It really is THAT simple.

Pot is pleasurable and the chances of getting caught are miniscule
so it is often worth the small risk involved. It really is that
simple. Why don't you direct your anger toward some real criminals,
you know, the kind that rape and murder?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

812. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:00:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819180053.17812.00007765@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17056

>One explaination is that it wasn't dumbing down at all.

Oh, then you explain what it was.

813. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:02:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B80375F.81B02AA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17057

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> A journalistic documentary on TV is NOT a definitive answer, Cecil. Quote
> the LAW that you ASSERT provents the testing of drugs.

It is not my responsibility to alleviate your ignorance, Steve.
Looks like it's up to you to prove the TV show wrong. I have no
reason to doubt what they said about class 1 drugs. So the onus
of proof is upon you. Good luck.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

814. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:03:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8037CA.950EEAB6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17058

N2EY wrote:
> I condemn ALL slavery.

Me too, except for LEXX.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

815. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:06:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B803855.EF55A796@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17060

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists
>
> Stop by the ER sometime. I'll give you a peek.

Does that mean that you are already where you belong? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

816. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:07:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819180727.17812.00007767@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17059

I guess you missed what I said.
"No new Blood, the Dumbing Down isnt working to attract new Hams".
The key point here is" NEW HAMS", that means People who never had a Ham
Ticket.
Now maybe you dont think its Dumbing Down, but when standards on any thing are
Reduced its Dumbing Down.

817. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:10:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819181058.17812.00007768@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17061

The Question asked of ED was:

maybe you can address the subject of Growth, and give us some
insight on what your Bosses are doing to bring about QUALITY GROWTH.

818. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 22:13:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819181356.17812.00007769@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17062

>You predictions failed to
>materialize

WRONG: at least 75% of these new Hams couldnt explain to you or anybody what the Material was on the test. They have no Idea and could less.

819. Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:27:19 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9102C5213EA2notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17063

I dunno.

I keep hearing this crap about how fascinating ham radio is...but
I'll be damned if, no matter how I try, it just leaves mine
hanging limp.

Is it just radios and construction/mechanical type discussions
that goes on on ham radio??

I mean - yeah - I KNOW radios are a part of the hobby and therefore
part of the discussion - but hell, people use computers for chats online
and go forever without getting into CPU speeds and how much
memory the system has and all that garbage.

And they talk about more than how to operate 'stuff'.

I'm listening to the area 2-meter repeaters and, for God's sake, it's
just a neverending boner for the building and construction trade.
Hardware stores must be hardon stores for you guys.

If it's not pipefitting it's drywall. If not that, then pouring
concrete. I mean, now and then it's fine, but Jesus, it's like
Bob Vila's most erotic wet dream listening to this crap.
There ARE no other topics other than those which inspire
a Rise In The Levi's to only the most diehard of Geekoids.

Is there music or art or politics or sports or WOMEN in your lives??

How tedious and monolithic can you possibly be?

If you doubt me, then take a mental note over the next week
of how many discussions deviate from radio and the realm
of mechanical/construction topics.

If that's what pumps your nads that's fine, but to try to lure
massive numbers of others to the world of ham radio, you're gonna
hafta do better than swelled weiners over the internal combustion
engine.

I've had the damn thing on for humor's sake in the background
and for 3 days I've heard no one even mention how good a blonde
or brunette or redhead looks. Even the Pope must comment on
women more often.

I keep hearing how superior Ham radio is to CB - but hell, even
CB could be entertaining to listen to from time to time - this
is a frickin' root canal with this endless banality.

Grab a joke book and read it to each other from time to time.
Say SOMEthing funny now and then.

Bob Dole's in the Pepsi commercial watching Britney Spears and
thinking she could crack walnuts with that ass. I can't imagine
any of the doofus's I hear around here even turning to watch
her. And you'd have to be braindead not to at least look at that.

Maybe ham radio would be more fun if you were getting more poontang.
Right now, most folks are too damn repressed to enjoy being around.

I'll check back later.

820. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:32:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B804C70.6E80F0D9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17064

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >One explaination is that it wasn't dumbing down at all.
>
> Oh, then you explain what it was.

The FCC itself said there's no relationship between being dumb
or smart and knowing Morse code. The only thing you can say about
people who know Morse code is that they know Morse code. The only
thing they can do better than the average person is CW. Therefore,
reducing the Morse code requirements was not "dumbing down". Hams
IQ's don't rise when they learn Morse code. Sorry.

The General written exam is just as hard (or easy) as it ever
has been. I suspect the same thing is true for the Extra exam.
The results of the restructuring was perfectly predictable and
not much has changed except for some upgrades. So much for the
"sky is falling" mentality.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

821. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:41:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B804E8C.AECB9455@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17065

WA8ULX wrote:
> Now maybe you dont think its Dumbing Down, but when standards on any thing are
> Reduced its Dumbing Down.

Not if the standards are outdated. The FCC itself says that Morse code skill has absolutely nothing to do with being smart or dumb. In fact, it appears from evidence obtained on this newsgroup that some hams decrease their IQ and become neurotic or psychotic after learning Morse code. Thank goodness, some other genetically lucky hams seem to have a natural immunity to this debilitating mental illness.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

822. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:43:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B804F2E.ACD4FC49@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17066

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >You predictions failed to materialize
>
> WRONG: at least 75% of these new Hams couldnt explain to you or anybody what
> the Material was on the test. They have no Idea and could less.

I'm one of those new Extra hams. I scored 100% on the Extra exam.
Since the no-code tech entry level license requirements have not
changed, exactly what "new hams" are you talking about?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

823. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:49:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819194916.01539.00001773@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 17068

>The General written exam is just as hard (or easy) as it ever
>has been. I suspect the same thing is true for the Extra exam.
>The results of the restructuring was perfectly predictable and
>not much has changed except for some upgrades. So much for the
>"sky is falling" mentality.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

What ever Cecil, believe what you want to believe

824. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:51:03 UTC
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
Message-ID: <3B8050E7.356ABDFA@spam.me.not>
Source record: 17067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

and if he takes you with him, he'll never have to pay for them!
::smirk::

-SSB

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 21:34:23 -0400, "Drink, WP3JQ"
> <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
>
> > Drink, WP3JQ
>
> ____
>
> Is that your real name? Some guys have all the luck.
>
> "Hi Drink".
>
> "OK".
>
> Bill, W7TI

825. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-19 23:54:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3lYf7.52808$gj1.4854944@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17069

Troll, Troll, Troll your boat

"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9102C5213EA2notthistimecom@206.141.192.32...
> I dunno.
>
> I keep hearing this crap about how fascinating ham radio is...but
> I'll be damned if, no matter how I try, it just leaves mine
> hanging limp.
>
> Is it just radios and construction/mechanical type discussions
> that goes on on ham radio??
>
> I mean - yeah - I KNOW radios are a part of the hobby and therefore
> part of the discussion - but hell, people use computers for chats online
> and go forever without getting into CPU speeds and how much
> memory the system has and all that garbage.
>
> And they talk about more than how to operate 'stuff'.
>
> I'm listening to the area 2-meter repeaters and, for God's sake, it's
> just a neverending boner for the building and construction trade.
> Hardware stores must be hardon stores for you guys.
>
> If it's not pipefitting it's drywall. If not that, then pouring
> concrete. I mean, now and then it's fine, but Jesus, it's like
> Bob Vila's most erotic wet dream listening to this crap.
> There ARE no other topics other than those which inspire
> a Rise In The Levi's to only the most diehard of Geekoids.
>
> Is there music or art or politics or sports or WOMEN in your lives??
>
> How tedious and monolithic can you possibly be?
>
> If you doubt me, then take a mental note over the next week
> of how many discussions deviate from radio and the realm
> of mechanical/construction topics.
>
> If that's what pumps your nads that's fine, but to try to lure
> massive numbers of others to the world of ham radio, you're gonna
> hafta do better than swelled weiners over the internal combustion
> engine.
>
> I've had the damn thing on for humor's sake in the background

> and for 3 days I've heard no one even mention how good a blonde
> or brunette or redhead looks. Even the Pope must comment on
> women more often.
>
> I keep hearing how superior Ham radio is to CB - but hell, even
> CB could be entertaining to listen to from time to time - this
> is a frickin' root canal with this endless banality.
>
> Grab a joke book and read it to each other from time to time.
> Say SOMEthing funny now and then.
>
> Bob Dole's in the Pepsi commercial watching Britney Spears and
> thinking she could crack walnuts with that ass. I can't imagine
> any of the doofus's I hear around here even turning to watch
> her. And you'd have to be braindead not to at least look at that.
>
> Maybe ham radio would be more fun if you were getting more poontang.
> Right now, most folks are too damn repressed to enjoy being around.
>
> I'll check back later.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

826. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 00:00:50 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SqYf7.23534$1p1.1839281@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17070

You must have a strange radio. Heck, I had an interesting conversation on 440 the other week with a professor who teaches theoretical physics at the University of Rochester. As far as conversations about women, it occurs - OFF the air. One would not have to stray to far from 'did you see that gorgeous redhead waiting on table at ...' to begin to push your luck with FCC regs. Besides, those of us who get 'it' on a daily basis have little need to talk about it. Why do you seem to need to talk about it?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9102C5213EA2notthistimecom@206.141.192.32...
> I dunno.
>
> I keep hearing this crap about how fascinating ham radio is...but
> I'll be damned if, no matter how I try, it just leaves mine
> hanging limp.
>
> Is it just radios and construction/mechanical type discussions
> that goes on on ham radio??
>
> I mean - yeah - I KNOW radios are a part of the hobby and therefore
> part of the discussion - but hell, people use computers for chats online
> and go forever without getting into CPU speeds and how much
> memory the system has and all that garbage.
>
> And they talk about more than how to operate 'stuff'.
>
> I'm listening to the area 2-meter repeaters and, for God's sake, it's
> just a neverending boner for the building and construction trade.
> Hardware stores must be hardon stores for you guys.
>
> If it's not pipefitting it's drywall. If not that, then pouring
> concrete. I mean, now and then it's fine, but Jesus, it's like
> Bob Vila's most erotic wet dream listening to this crap.
> There ARE no other topics other than those which inspire
> a Rise In The Levi's to only the most diehard of Geekoids.
>
> Is there music or art or politics or sports or WOMEN in your lives??
>
> How tedious and monolithic can you possibly be?
>
> If you doubt me, then take a mental note over the next week
> of how many discussions deviate from radio and the realm

> of mechanical/construction topics.
>
> If that's what pumps your nads that's fine, but to try to lure
> massive numbers of others to the world of ham radio, you're gonna
> hafta do better than swelled weiners over the internal combustion
> engine.
>
> I've had the damn thing on for humor's sake in the background
> and for 3 days I've heard no one even mention how good a blonde
> or brunette or redhead looks. Even the Pope must comment on
> women more often.
>
> I keep hearing how superior Ham radio is to CB - but hell, even
> CB could be entertaining to listen to from time to time - this
> is a frickin' root canal with this endless banality.
>
> Grab a joke book and read it to each other from time to time.
> Say SOMEthing funny now and then.
>
> Bob Dole's in the Pepsi commercial watching Britney Spears and
> thinking she could crack walnuts with that ass. I can't imagine
> any of the doofus's I hear around here even turning to watch
> her. And you'd have to be braindead not to at least look at that.
>
> Maybe ham radio would be more fun if you were getting more poontang.
> Right now, most folks are too damn repressed to enjoy being around.
>
> I'll check back later.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

827. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 00:13:00 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9102CCD5FBE57notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17071

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:3lYf7.52808$gj1.4854944@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

If you think the purpose of the post was solely to elicit responses
and wasn't based in fact, then you're wrong.

So 'gently down the stream' may you wade. :)

73.

> Troll, Troll, Troll your boat

828. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 00:31:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819203132.01541.00000288@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 17072

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>WRONG: at least 75% of these new Hams couldnt explain to you or anybody what
>the Material was on the test. They have no Idea and could less.

Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
and tell us why an antenna radiates.

73,
Ed hare, W1RFI

829. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 00:36:38 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9102D0D5CB2A1notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17073

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:SqYf7.23534$1p1.1839281@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

> You must have a strange radio. Heck, I had an interesting conversation
> on 440 the other week with a professor who teaches theoretical physics
> at the University of Rochester.

Fine.

My comments dealt with hams who don't wish to lighten up and discuss
matters beyond the mechanical or scientific or radios or what have you.
Topics which may be more inclusive to a broader group of people.

And you respond with 'theoretical physics.'

Jesus.

With all due respect to you and your professor friend, I could go a
lifetime without dealing with the genius from Rochester, but I'd hate
to go a week without my garbage man.

Put things in perspective.

Get off your high horse and lighten up.

> As far as conversations about women,
> it occurs - OFF the air. One would not have to stray to far from 'did
> you see that gorgeous redhead waiting on table at ...' to begin to push
> your luck with FCC regs.

The FCC makes it illegal to admire women?? I use Part 97. What are you
using??

I think it's quite possible to discuss the qualities which make women
attractive without reducing it to the level of your basic rap song.
It need not approach obscene or indecent.

And ham radio would be much more inviting to more people if there were
less people who'd rather discuss theoritical physics than women - for
example.

Again, you make my point of the attitude of the typical ham op.
LIGHTEN UP.

God Almighty.

Besides, those of us who get 'it' on a daily
> basis have little need to talk about it. Why do you seem to need to
> talk about it?

Okay Jim 'Big Dic*' Studly.

You've missed the point of everything else I've tried to express,
there's no point in going further.

Give my regards to your buddy in Rochester after you've pleased the wifey.

73 Jim.

:)

830. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 00:38:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819203815.01541.00000290@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 17074

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>The Question asked of ED was:

>maybe you can address the subject of Growth, and give us some
> insight on what your Bosses are doing to bring about QUALITY GROWTH.

And the answer Ed gave was:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/06/15/1/

Just as one quick example. If you would like others, go to:

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/teacher/
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/instructor/
http://www.arrl.org/sarex/
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/#scout
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/#scout

Glad you asked, Bruce. Thanks.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

831. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 00:58:04 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B80632C.257D7A85@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17075

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Passing the exams proves very little. It's what one does after
> > > getting the ticket that matters.
> >
> > And under that logic why even bother with tests at all, expecially
> > today when they fail so miserably to test knowledge OR skill?
>
> All the ham exams are minimum ***ENTRY*** level exams, Dick.

BS, Cecil. The Novice was, now the Tech. If its all basic, why even have any others?

>
> Nobody needs to be extremely knowledgeable or skillfull.

Uh-huh. Just like CB. Bruce is right, and you just agreed with him.

> Ham
> exams are like driver's license exams - minimum knowledge,
> minimum skill, and minimum passing score gets you a license.
>

But not to drive anything but a basic 4 wheel passsenger vehicle. You don't get a CDL as a beginner.

>
> I've often said minimum ham requirements need rules, regulations,
> safety and little else.

Just like CB, again.

> I learned virtually everything I know
> about ham radio after I passed my Conditional exam.

We can't help it if you were a dumschit kid.

832. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:01:00 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8063DD.C7CBFE67@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17076

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Those are *minimum* requirements, Larry, and have absolutely nothing
> > > to do with someone's *maximum* qualifications. I'm sorry you feel
> > > so inferior that you have to tear other people down to feel better
> > > about yourself.
> >
> > You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
> > yourselves down long ago.
>
> Anyone who knows anything about human psychology is laughing at you,
> Dick, for possessing the same mental malady as Larry.

Anyone who knows annthing about human pshcyology and has read your
postings for these past few years knows exaclty where you're coming from.
It's all "justify" your own shortcomings.

833. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:03:21 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B80646A.2A1AC897@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17077

W1RFI wrote:

> >Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> >> You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
> >> yourselves down long ago.
>
> Then why, pray tell, do you have this never-ending need to keep beating and
> beating at what you have just identified as a dead horse?

So you do agreed that a ham radio hobby anything like a real technical hobby
with real skill and knowledge is a "dead horse". Thanks for agreeing with me
and so many others..

>
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

834. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:14:30 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B806707.947B5892@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17078

W1RFI wrote:

> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >WRONG: at least 75% of these new Hams couldnt explain to you or anybody what
> >the Material was on the test. They have no Idea and could less.
>
> Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
> and tell us why an antenna radiates.

Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you why an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.

835. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:16:51 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B806795.816DF857@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17079

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
> > > to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
> >
> > Hey, you've got to admit that it's gotten pretty bad when even they
> > have lost all interest.
>
> It is today like it has been for 100 years, Dick. The only people
> interested in becoming hams are potential hams. Think about it.
> -

Cecil the generalist speaks again. But generalist bullshit is still
bullshit.

836. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:34:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B806913.838E0E75@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17080

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > All the ham exams are minimum ***ENTRY*** level exams, Dick.
>
> BS, Cecil. The Novice was, now the Tech. If its all basic, why even have
> any others?

Huh? The tech exam is a minimum entry level exam into the tech class.
The general exam is a minimum entry level exam into the general class.
The extra exam is a minimum entry level exam into the extra class.
Each exam represents *minimum entry level* requirements into that
particular class. How you can argue with that is unbelievable.

> > Nobody needs to be extremely knowledgeable or skillfull.
>
> Uh-huh. Just like CB. Bruce is right, and you just agreed with him.

Bruce believes that nobody needs to be extremely knowledgeable
or skillfull?

> > Ham
> > exams are like driver's license exams - minimum knowledge,
> > minimum skill, and minimum passing score gets you a license.
>
> But not to drive anything but a basic 4 wheel passsenger vehicle. You
> don't get a CDL as a beginner.

You do if you pass the minimum entry level requirements for a CDL license.
Those who barely squeek by get the same license as those who aced it.

> > I've often said minimum ham requirements need rules, regulations,
> > safety and little else.
>
> Just like CB, again.

CBers are examined on rules, regulations, and safety???? Since when?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

837. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:36:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B806988.BBC3852F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17081

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Anyone who knows anything about human psychology is laughing at you,
> > Dick, for possessing the same mental malady as Larry.
>
> Anyone who knows annthing about human pshcyology ...
> It's all "justify" your own shortcomings.

May I quote you on that?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

838. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 01:42:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B806B05.70C404D6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17082

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
> > and tell us why an antenna radiates.
>
> Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you why
> an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.

The event is easily described by a physics equation.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

839. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 02:00:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B806F26.1C3D8CCA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17083

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > Prove it. You don't have to recite the whole law, just quote the title of
> > the act, code or regulation that prohibits the government or any bonafide
> > research agency or program from testing ANY drug.....
>
> A copy of "Illegal Drugs and How They Got That Way" is available from TLC
> or Discovery, I forget which. I'm not going to buy the tape for you.

I was mistaken about the channel. It was the History Channel. A review
of the program can be viewed at:

http://store.aetv.com/cgi-bin/ae.storefront/0/Ext/OutsideFrame/UT/32/IntroPage/UT/1

A tape can be ordered for $39.95 from 1-888-423-1212
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

840. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 02:12:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819221204.14700.00003138@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17084

>Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
>and tell us why an antenna radiates.
>
>73,
>Ed hare, W1RFI

Well thats an easy one its called the FM Principal. Figure it out ED

841. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 02:15:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819221514.14700.00003139@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17085

>Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you
>why
>an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.
>

I answered his silly ass Question, now answer mine ED, what has your BOSSes
done to Promote Ham Radio to the General Public??????

842. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 02:19:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819221908.14700.00003140@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17086

>Glad you asked, Bruce. Thanks.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

WOW ED 1 School, I guess Schools are only interested in Ham Radio, now lets try something BIG, not 12 Students in 1 School

843. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 02:31:33 UTC
From: microbolt@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010819223133.18827.00007308@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17088

Snip
>The FCC makes it illegal to admire women?? I use Part 97. What are you
>using??
Snip

I use FCC Part 69 and I don't have any problems

844. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 02:35:01 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3b8076d6.14664260@news.cet.com>
Source record: 17087

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 00:36:38 GMT, Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
wrote:

>"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
>news:SqYf7.23534$1p1.1839281@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
>
>> You must have a strange radio. Heck, I had an interesting conversation
>> on 440 the other week with a professor who teaches theoretical physics
>> at the University of Rochester.
>
>Fine.
>
>My comments dealt with hams who don't wish to lighten up and discuss
>matters beyond the mechanical or scientific or radios or what have you.
>Topics which may be more inclusive to a broader group of people.

But that would stray from the topic of the newsgroup. If you want to
talk about Bob Dole's dog try alt.fan.bob.doles.dog.

>And you respond with 'theoretical physics.'

sci.physics

>Jesus.

soc.religion.christianity

>With all due respect to you and your professor friend, I could go a
>lifetime without dealing with the genius from Rochester, but I'd hate
>to go a week without my garbage man.

sci.politics.clinton

>Put things in perspective.

soc.psychology

>Get off your high horse and lighten up.

rec.sports.equestrian

>> As far as conversations about women,
>> it occurs - OFF the air. One would not have to stray to far from 'did
>> you see that gorgeous redhead waiting on table at ...' to begin to push
>> your luck with FCC regs.
>
>The FCC makes it illegal to admire women?? I use Part 97. What are you
>using??

alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.bestiality.hamster.duct-tape

>I think it's quite possible to discuss the qualities which make women
>attractive without reducing it to the level of your basic rap song.
>It need not approach obscene or indecent.
>
>And ham radio would be much more inviting to more people if there were
>less people who'd rather discuss theoritical physics than women - for
>example.
>
>Again, you make my point of the attitude of the typical ham op.
>LIGHTEN UP.
>
>God Almighty.
>
>
>
> Besides, those of us who get 'it' on a daily
>> basis have little need to talk about it. Why do you seem to need to
>> talk about it?
>
>
>Okay Jim 'Big Dic*' Studly.
>
>You've missed the point of everything else I've tried to express,
>there's no point in going further.
>
>Give my regards to your buddy in Rochester after you've pleased the wifey.
>
>73 Jim.
>
>:)

845. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 03:01:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B807D62.71991F60@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17089

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwigth Stewart wrote..
> >
> > (snip)
>
> The standard DID exist in their time.

Of course, I disagree. But, if that is true, then it also existed when your state allowed slavery. So why don't you hold the slave owners in your state to the same standard that you hold southern slave owners to? Again, this conversation has been going on for a week, and you didn't even mention slavery in your state, much less condemn it, until I brought it up.

> I condemn ALL slavery.

I'll gladly condemn slavery also. But that is not what you asked me to do - you asked me to condemn those who owned slaves a hundred and fifty years ago. And that I'm not willing to do.

> Nope. PA outlawed it long before the
> civil war. Where I grew up, a few miles
> from here, there are houses that were
> stations on the Underground Railroad.
> PA, and Philadelphia in particular,
> were very active in abolition from the
> beginning.

That is not what I asked you about, Jim. I asked you about SLAVERY in your state, not about the abolition movement or underground railroad (there were abolitionists and participants of the underground railroad right here in the south). I want to hear about your state's slave owners, slave auctions, slave traders, and the treatment of those slaves. As I understand it, Philadelphia was a major port in the slave trade, so there should be plenty for you to discuss.

> > Surely, your school teachers talked
> > about slavery in Pennsylvania when
> > they were discussing the civil war
> > and condemning southern slavery.
>
> Yep. They condemned ALL slavery.

I went to schools in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut, and, while teachers in each of those states talked openly about

slavery in the south, never once did they mention the nearly 200 year
history of slavery within their own states.

> Very few. It was not part of the economy.
> No plantations.

Slaves in the United States were not just used on plantations, Jim.
Slaves in the north were often used as domestics, in sweat shops, and
in factories.

> I'm not. I condemn ALL slavery. The focus
> is on the slave states (not all of which
> tried to secede) because that's where it
> lasted the longest and was most prevalent.

That is another northern double standard, Jim. At some point in U.S.
history, _ALL_ states, including Pennsylvania, were slave states. So,
again, why just point at the southern states when talking about slave states?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

846. Huntsville Hamfest judged, found lacking one crucial element:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 03:11:33 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lpv6p$2gr$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Riley Hollingsworth (K4ZDH) Bobblehead Dolls.

HTH 73 Corry

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

Paul Wesley Dunn <wpdunn@ipa.net> wrote in alt.thought.southern: "how about
It Came From C. L. Smith's Tiny Little Mind."

847. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 03:39:37 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B808905.BB7D1FC7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17091

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Anyone who knows anything about human psychology is laughing at you,
> > > Dick, for possessing the same mental malady as Larry.
> >
> > Anyone who knows annthing about human pshcyology ...
> > It's all "justify" your own shortcomings.
>
> May I quote you on that?

Not until you remove your editing to show exactly what I said rather than what you changed to to say.
YOUR POSTINGS are what are all "justify your own shortcomings" WRT ham radio. I see you're up to your same old tricks.
No change there.

848. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 03:45:07 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B808A4F.A143584@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17092

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> > But- you and "they" can never
> > alter the fact that the old Extras actually passed a 20wpm test, most of us in
> > front of that steely eyed FCC ogre. Whether or not you like it, that will forever
> > stand for something.
>
> It apparently stands for the single skill that you possess from which
> you can draw some imagined self-esteteem.

You'll never know will you? For as a ham you were simply too worthless to care about it untill they made it dead easy. No surprises here. I guess you were too busy growing your grass.

> For that, you get no respect
> from most hams who observe that passing minimum entry-level exams is
> no big accomplishment.

Hasn't been that much of an accomplishment since 1985. Earlier Extra licensees mostly see it differently, not that it matters these days.

> It's what one does after obtaining the ham
> license that is important, Dick. If your major accomplishment in
> ham radio is passing the exams, then you are a failure as a ham.

If you and your life of drug sucking amid your digital doings spells success I will remain happy to be regarded by you as a failure. I know what I've done, and it sure doesn't match yours in any way. Hooray!

849. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 03:50:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B808923.87D9499B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17094

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Richard Carroll wrote:
> > > Anyone who knows annthing about human pshcyology ...
> > > It's all "justify" your own shortcomings.
> >
> > May I quote you on that?
>
> Not until you remove your editing to show exactly what I said rather than
> what you changed to to say.

I didn't change anything, Dick, I just trimmed it. It didn't say
anything understandable even before the trimming.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

850. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 03:53:07 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B808C30.3231D68F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17093

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
> > > and tell us why an antenna radiates.
> >
> > Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you why
> > an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.
>
> The event is easily described by a physics equation.

Sure, but that says nothing about its motivations to radiate. They're still unknown.
Why didn't you know that?

851. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 04:01:19 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B808E1B.A8572820@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17095

Connie Lingus wrote:

> I dunno.
>
> I keep hearing this crap about how fascinating ham radio is..

> Nah, that was a few dacades ago. Today it's blah and below anything
> ordinary, something to contemplate when your ISP is out for maintenance.

>
> Is it just radios and construction/mechanical type discussions
> that goes on on ham radio??
>

Afre you kidding? What a refreshing change that would be from "The first personal here is...."

>
> I mean - yeah - I KNOW radios are a part of the hobby and therefore
> part of the discussion - but hell, people use computers for chats online
> and go forever without getting into CPU speeds and how much
> memory the system has and all that garbage.

Plug and Pray doesn't apply only to Windoze stuff...most of hamdom these days know it well.

>
> And they talk about more than how to operate 'stuff'.
>
> I'm listening to the area 2-meter repeaters and, for God's sake, it's
> just a neverending boner for the building and construction trade.
> Hardware stores must be hardon stores for you guys.
>

Well I guess that dates your tenure ...Sorry.

>
> If it's not pipefitting it's drywall. If not that, then pouring
> concrete. I mean, now and then it's fine, but Jesus, it's like
> Bob Vila's most erotic wet dream listening to this crap.
> There ARE no other topics other than those which inspire
> a Rise In The Levi's to only the most diehard of Geekoids.
>

Sounds like you belong on one of the more forward chatrooms on the net. Why bother with ham radio?

852. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 04:02:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B808BEA.E1F25966@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17096

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > It apparently stands for the single skill that you possess from which
> > you can draw some imagined self-esteteem.
>
> You'll never know will you? For as a ham you were simply too worthless to care about
> it untill they made it dead easy.

I never cared about getting to 20wpm and I was satisfied with an Advanced
license. Everyone aspires to achieve a certain level. For Morse code,
mine was about 15wpm. The FCC never saw a thing wrong with that.

> Hasn't been that much of an accomplishment since 1985. Earlier Extra licensees mostly
> see it differently, not that it matters these days.

After you renew your license, your license won't even reflect it. And
nobody cares anyway. When you introduce yourself, do you say, "I'm
Dick, I'm an Extra"?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

853. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 04:59:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B809904.45668847@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17097

CAM wrote:
>
> Prove that you are not a liar, Steve,
> by producing a posting where I said
> I grow marijuana. (snip)

Before you get too deep into a discussion about Steve's honesty, perhaps you should take a careful look at your own, Cecil. Didn't you just say in this thread that you have never purchased marijuana? The exact quote was...

"I have never purchased marijuana
in my life. All the marijuana I have
ever smoked was offered and/or
given to me by my friends."

After reading that, a person email me a quote from the thread "Michigan Bill 6012 & 750.508 Clarification," dated 10/24/2000, where you said the following...

"I only ever bought marijuana from
my friends. I don't know and don't
care where they got it."

Now those two statements certainly seem to contradict each other. So which is the truth - you have never purchased marijuana or you bought marijuana from your friends?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

854. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 05:28:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B809FAB.8DF0F402@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17098

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Sorry, but you do not have a
> > "right" to purchase illegal drugs to
> > use in your home or anywhere else.
>
> It's an inalienable right that the
> government has taken away from me and
> I am (snip)

Purchasing illegal drugs is an inalienable right that the government has taken away from you? Nonsense.

> And, of course, you NEVER speed or spit
> on the sidewalk.

Yes, I speed and, no, I never spit on the sidewalk.

> You simply cannot argue that something
> should be illegal because it is illegal.

I have never done that, Cecil. Drugs are not illegal just because they're illegal. They're illegal because of the harmful affects of drugs on this society.

> In Louisiana, it is illegal for a husband
> and wife to have oral sex. Would you obey
> that law, Dwight?

Neither of us live in Louisiana, so who cares?

> One "hit" is one lungfull. With some of
> the genetically engineered marijuana, one
> hit is about like one glass of wine.
> However, with naturally occuring marijuana,
> one joint is about equal to one glass
> of wine.

Can you provide proof of this? I was under the impression that most marijuana on the market today was much stronger than that. And how many joints would you say the average marijuana user does in a day?

> > The boogieman does not carry guns
> > and kill people like we see with
> > so many drug dealers.

>
> The stupid drug laws are the cause
> of that.

No, vicious drug dealers are the cause of that. I've never seen a
law pick up a gun and kill someone.

> Take away the obscene profits and
> that problem would disappear. Exactly
> the same thing happened during
> prohibition over alcohol. After the
> end of prohibition, the problem went
> away.

Again, that is not true, Cecil. Crimes associated with illegal
alcohol trade actually increased after prohibition. Anyone in the
south can tell you about the moonshine runners of the 40's, 50's, and
early 60's, decades after prohibition ended. And the problem was not
just limited to the south. Illegal alcohol trade was problem in many
states long after prohibition ended.

Besides, I thought we were only talking about legalizing marijuana.
If marijuana is the only drug legalized, then how would that reduce
the violence associated with the drug trade? The drug dealers would
still be there if marijuana was legalized. Or are you now arguing for
the legalization of all drugs?

> How many people have been killed
> lately fighting over illegal alcohol
> turf?

Very few today, but that is the result of a massive law enforcement
effort over a period of several decades.

> It was sometime after WWII. My aunt's
> name was Ruby Fuhlberg. It happened
> in Pasadena, TX. I don't know the
> case number. It's been more than 50
> years. They don't put fertile hemp
> seeds in bird seed any more.

If you're going to offer a story about how the "horrible" drugs laws
have harmed somebody, at least try to come up with something that has
happened within the last couple of decades (something that can be verified).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

855. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 05:37:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B80A1CA.C49D0676@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17099

CAM wrote:
>
> All because of some Catch-22
> marijuana laws. Do you think that
> the people who broke the law and
> smuggled Jewish people out of Nazi
> Germany should have been punished
> for breaking the law?

Your drug abuse is not equal to the laws concerning Jews in Nazi Germany.

> Do you ever illegally speed or
> illegally spit on the sidewalk?

Already answered that in another message.

> My friends chose to risk bringing
> marijuana into their homes. They
> chose to offer me some. I chose to
> accept their offer. It was all
> part of being responsible adults.
> Whether it was legal alcohol or
> illegal marijuana didn't matter.
> The outcome was the same.

The potential outcome is not the same. One can lead to very serious
legal consequences.

> What you are probably remembering is
> you said I caused someone else to
> break the law if I buy marijuana from
> him. I replied: not if I grow my own.
> Note the 'if'. Steve has trouble
> comprehending if/then statements. I
> wasn't aware that you had the same
> problem. Apology accepted.

That is not exactly how I remember it, but, again, I'll let it go
with that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

856. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 05:52:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B80A57D.D8D25F45@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17100

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> >Anyway, she has been on the tv for months.....
>
> Yeah...she's almost a spinster in TV Diva years!

True, most of them don't last very long, which may explain why it is
so difficult to keep up with the latest fad.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

857. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 06:00:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B80A73B.268DD5AE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17101

Connie Lingus wrote:
>
> Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Yep, while I forget the exact dates, my radio is about two years old, and my main antenna is about a year old.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

858. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 06:07:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B80A8DB.9B222CE9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17102

Connie Lingus wrote:
>
> If you think the purpose of the
> post was solely to elicit responses
> and wasn't based in fact, then
> you're wrong.

Then perhaps you should take a closer look at this newsgroup before posting, Connie. Certainly some of us are aware that women exist. As one example, notice the "she has more curves than an interstate highway" thread. However, because the reach of ham radio is so great, we do tend to be a little better behaved on the radio then we might be elsewhere.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

859. Re: RM-10209

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 08:13:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108200013.46bb1ad7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17103

>The wheezing geezers are what's killing ham radio.
>
Take out the wheezing geezers and the noclues and ham radio is all
over. Reality is just a bitch.
>
>After
> accidentally tuning thru 75 meter phone a few nights ago, I almost
> gave it up myself!
>
20 ssb is even more fun, check out that septic tank.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
w3rv

860. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 08:52:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010820045243.14008.00007315@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17104

In article <20010819121006.01585.00000318@mb-cc.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>I never expected the restructuring changes to result in phenomenal growth.
>Did
>you? They have turned a slight downturn in our numbers into a slight upturn.
>
>Neither is, IMHO, significant, although you are free to read what you will
>into
>either -- and I am sure you will.
>
>The bottom line is that Amateur Radio has been increasing wrt the general
>population for a few decades now. Somehow, that tends to put a damper on the
>doom and gloom that you and Bruce seem to need.

Male bovine effluvium, Ed! In the Pre-Restructuring Era, we were promised by the ARRL leadership that reducing and/or eliminating code testing requirements would attract large numbers of young, computer-literate, technically-inclined people to the ARS. What did we get so far? Just a lot of upgrading as a result of St. Valentine's Day 1991 hams passing a 5 WPM code test somehow, and others taking advantage of grandfathering. No significant numbers of new hams whatsoever, and the upgraders are just more of the same middle-aged, middle-class white males that have always dominated the amateur radio demographic. If the total number of hams is increasing (ever so marginally) it is only because the general population is not increasing at it's previous rates of growth.

The truth is -- the average citizen is blissfully unaware of amateur radio, and what they know of it makes them think we're just another kind of CB'er, or that we can talk to people from the past with an old Heathkit transceiver. The ARRL has done little if anything in at least the past 20 years to publicize

or popularize our hobby/service -- except to lay that responsibility on it's members who are ill equipped to do so in anything like an effective manner.

What the ARS needs for growth is a national, mass-media advertising campaign. There are probably two dozen well-known celebrities who are currently licensed radio amateurs. Why don't we EVER see their names and faces on the TV screen -- appealing to young people to get interested in amateur radio? I know for an absolute fact that this is something which is doable, because one of the reasons why I'm a ham today is because I saw Dick Van Dyke, sitting behind a Collins transceiver, plugging ham radio on an ARRL public service announcement. Why can't we get Ronnie Milsap, or Joe Walsh, or Jon Bon Jovi, or any of a long list of current celebrity-hams to do the same thing now? Don't say the ARRL

can't afford it -- because from where I'm sitting -- they can't afford NOT to!

73 de Larry, K3LT

861. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:02:58 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91033DB2C7D60notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17105

sparky@cet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote in
news:3b8076d6.14664260@news.cet.com:

> But that would stray from the topic of the newsgroup. If you want to
> talk about Bob Dole's dog try alt.fan.bob.doles.dog.
>
>>And you respond with 'theoretical physics.'
>
> sci.physics

....etc.....

I wasn't referring to the topics discussed in newsgroups.

I was talking about on-the-air chats.

862. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:22:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820062205.13097.00000501@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17106

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>The bottom line is that Amateur Radio has been increasing wrt the general
>>population for a few decades now. Somehow, that tends to put a damper on
>>the doom and gloom that you and Bruce seem to need.

>Male bovine effluvium, Ed!

Are you saying that you believe that Amateur Radio numbers have been decreasing with respect to the general population? Or are you perhaps saying that you believe that you and Bruce are not prophets of doom and gloom? Somehow, I am finding it difficult to understand how you can, with a straight face, claim that a modest growth in Amateur Radio and people upgrading their licences is a bad thing.

>In the Pre-Restructuring Era, we were promised
>by the ARRL leadership that reducing and/or eliminating code testing
>requirements would attract large numbers of young, computer-literate,
>technically-inclined people to the ARS.

I was not given that promise by my ARRL leadership. Can you point to any web page or published article, newsletter, etc., where that promise was made to you?

> What did we get so far? Just a lot of upgrading as a result of St.
Valentine's
> Day 1991 hams passing a 5 WPM code test somehow, and others taking advantage
> of grandfathering. No significant numbers of new hams whatsoever,

So the doom and gloom is that Amateur Radio is only growing a little bit? When you consider that just now are we starting to see ANY *potential* decrease in our numbers because the first 10-year licences are starting to expire, any increase is encouraging, IMHO. Of course, one cannot stand on a soapbox and preach to one's fellows with a message of hope and enthusiasm, can one...

>''and the upgraders are just more of the same middle-aged, middle-class white
> males that have always dominated the amateur radio demographic.

Is this supposed to be some great relevation, Larry, that upgrades coming from the population of Amateurs consists of that population of Amateurs? Duh!

>If the total number of hams is increasing (ever so marginally) it is only
because
>the general population is not increasing at it's previous rates of growth.

http://www.photius.com/wfb1999/united_states/united_states_people.html

is a place to start. It shows the US population increasing at a 0.85% rate.

By my calculations, the population increased by 1.1% a year from 1990 to 2000.

>The ARRL has done little if anything in at least the past 20 years to
>publicize or popularize our hobby/service -- except to lay that responsibility
on it's
>members who are ill equipped to do so in anything like an effective manner.

http://www.arrl.org/pio/

IMHO, it is the local Amateur community that is *best* equipped to identify local media-public relations opportunities and secure the appropriate publicity. If ARRL were to have taken complete control of local hams and the publicity they generate, would you be here praising the League, or criticizing it for being too controlling? I think we all know what the answer would be, Larry.

>What the ARS needs for growth is a national, mass-media advertising
>campaign. There are probably two dozen well-known celebrities who are
>currently licensed radio amateurs. Why don't we EVER see their names
>and faces on the TV screen -- appealing to young people to get interested
>in amateur radio? I know for an absolute fact that this is something which
>is doable, because one of the reasons why I'm a ham today is because I
>saw Dick Van Dyke, sitting behind a Collins transceiver, plugging ham
>radio on an ARRL public service announcement. Why can't we get
>Ronnie Milsap, or Joe Walsh, or Jon Bon Jovi, or any of a long list of
>current celebrity-hams to do the same thing now? Don't say the ARRL
>can't afford it -- because from where I'm sitting -- they can't afford NOT
>to!

What you saw was a local public-service announcement, not a media blitz. At the current rates for prime-time ads, ARRL would run about a dozen national ads and shoot the wad on the budget. I disagree with you that doing so would be effective.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

863. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:24:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820062451.13097.00000502@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17107

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> It is today like it has been for 100 years, Dick. The only people
>> interested in becoming hams are potential hams. Think about it.

> Cecil the generalist speaks again. But generalist bullshit is still
>bullshit.

Why do you feel it is bullstuff, Dick? Do you think that what he said is
incorrect? I agree with the statement that those that are interested in
amateur radio are potential hams. Why do you think that to be wrong?

You felt strongly enough about it to swear in public -- surely you can explain
it using words with more than 4 letters.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

864. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:30:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820063055.13097.00000503@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17108

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very
>> basics and tell us why an antenna radiates.

>Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you
>why an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.

It wasn't a trap at all, and I will include you in the same challenge I gave to Bruce. You have both made the claim that the modern tests are woefully inadequate, so I would like to see if you can back that up and use the knowledge you have gained by the superior tests you both took to enter ham radio and answer what I believe to be a fundamental question about the technology we use. Surely you can give a better answer than "no one knows."

If you would like a refresher, see:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/antheory.html

The article, "Why an Antenna Radiates" may help explain what you apparently do not understand. See:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/antheory.html#why

But, for fairness, I would like to see your explanation before you have read the above article, based only on the knowledge you have from having taken a "not-dumbed-down" test so many years ago. Or does your answer than "no one knows" still stand?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

865. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:32:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820063210.13097.00000504@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17109

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell
>you why
>> > an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.

>> The event is easily described by a physics equation.

> Sure, but that says nothing about its motivations to radiate. They're still
>unknown.
>Why didn't you know that?

I realize that you see motivations everywhere you look, but motivations in
electrons? That is really going a bit too far...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

866. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:32:52 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns910342C33A96Dnotthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17110

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in
news:3B80A8DB.9B222CE9@sccoast.net:

> Connie Lingus wrote:
>>
>> If you think the purpose of the
>> post was solely to elicit responses
>> and wasn't based in fact, then you're wrong.
>
>
> Then perhaps you should take a closer look at this newsgroup before
> posting, Connie. Certainly some of us are aware that women exist. As
> one example, notice the "she has more curves than an interstate
> highway" thread. However, because the reach of ham radio is so great,
> we do tend to be a little better behaved on the radio then we might be
> elsewhere.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

I did read thru that thread. It discussed female singers - and it
didn't really get nasty and tawdry to any degree. It was rather
interesting. But that discussion is here, not on the radio.

So why would such a discussion be off limits on the air? Why would
that not be 'behaving better?' It was about singing, not sex lives.
Yes, obviously there are ways of discussing women without delving
into the most intimate bedroom techniques.

It certainly would be a very welcome break from the quite limited
sphere of topics currently bandied about.

And, fwiw, I'd tend to opine that the internet has an even greater
reach than ham radio.

73

867. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:33:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820063341.13097.00000505@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17111

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you
>>why
>>an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.

> I answered his silly ass Question, now answer mine ED, what has your BOSSes
>done to Promote Ham Radio to the General Public??????

Uh, Bruce, Dick answered my question, not you, and "no one knows" did not ace
the test.

I answered your question a couple of times here, Bruce. It would be in poor
netiquette form to post it a third time.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

868. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:34:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820063454.13097.00000506@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17112

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
>>and tell us why an antenna radiates.

> Well thats an easy one its called the FM Principal. Figure it out ED

It is magic? That is as bad as Dick's answer. I guess you two guys are not
quite as all-knowing as you claim to be. Does take a bit of the wind out of
your sails, eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

869. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:36:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820063608.13097.00000507@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17113

Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Glad you asked, Bruce. Thanks.

>WOW ED 1 School, I guess Schools are only interested in Ham Radio, now lets
>try something BIG, not 12 Students in 1 School

Very good, Bruce! Now finish the reading assignment and look at the rest of
the pages.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

870. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 10:41:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820064106.13097.00000508@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17114

From: Richard Carroll

>W1RFI wrote:

>> >Richard Carroll wrote:

>> >> You STILL don't get it. Larry is tearing no one down, you all tore
>> >> yourselves down long ago.

>> Then why, pray tell, do you have this never-ending need to keep beating and
>> beating at what you have just identified as a dead horse?

>So you do agreed that a ham radio hobby anything like a real technical hobby
>with real skill and knowledge is a "dead horse". Thanks for agreeing with me
>and so many others..

Nope. Try again. Perhaps a refresher course in reading comprehension would help you better understand. The hint here, Dick, is that I chose to use the words "what you have indentified as a dead horse" to indicate that this was your belief, not mine.

Perhaps Cecil could loan you his dictionary so you could understand the word "you..."

Or, wait, it couldn't be that you were deliberately twisting words, could it? Did you REALLY think I was agreeing with your belief that Amateur Radio is dying?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

871. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 12:33:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820083301.01372.00002408@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 17115

In article <20010819203132.01541.00000288@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

> Start with the very basics
>and tell us why an antenna radiates.

Because the moment is structured that way.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(a tip of the hat to Kurt Vonnegut and "Slaughterhouse Five")

872. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 12:47:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820084735.14586.00002425@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17116

>I disagree with you that doing so would be
>effective.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Of course you disagree thats part of your pay raise

873. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 12:53:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820085332.14586.00002426@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17117

>It is magic? That is as bad as Dick's answer. I guess you two guys are not
>quite as all-knowing as you claim to be. Does take a bit of the wind out of
>your sails, eh?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare,

No it doesnt take a bit of wind out of anything, both answers fit your stupid
Question, and it probably is the same answer your new Hams would have given.

874. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 13:00:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820090023.14586.00002427@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17118

>Very good, Bruce! Now finish the reading assignment and look at the rest of
>the pages.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

WOW ed thats really Impressive, I would say thats probably somewhere near .0000000001% of the US Population, great job ARRL, I would say ARRL is doing just about as good as Restructuring has done.

875. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 13:17:20 UTC
From: LACYTHING33@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <4f2cbab4.0108200517.77c14b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17119

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B803855.EF55A796@IEEE.org>...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> > >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists
> >
> > Stop by the ER sometime. I'll give you a peek.
>
> Does that mean that you are already where you belong? :-)

IM NEW TO THIS ....BUT I HAPPENED UP ON IT AND I THOUGHT I'D PUT
MY TWO CENTS IN. I HAVE TO AGREE WITH STEVE,THE E.R IS A GREAT PLACE
TO GET A LOOK AT HELL IN ALL IT'S BLOODY GLORY.

876. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 14:16:20 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9lr73p$12f$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 17121

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3B802693.BE0630B9@IEEE.org...
> giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> > DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> > YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
>
> I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> like to present some? No fair using the Bible to prove the
> Bible.
> --
> cheers, Cecil

And an answer to your question. Please read it BEFORE you respond with;
"My mind's already made up, don't confuse me with the facts"

Religion 101...

One day a 6 year old girl was sitting in a classroom. The teacher was
going to explain evolution to the children.

The teacher asked a little boy: "Tommy do you see the tree outside?"

TOMMY: Yes.

TEACHER: Tommy, do you see the grass outside?

TOMMY: Yes.

TEACHER: Go outside and look up and see if you can see the sky.

TOMMY: Okay. (He returned a few minutes later) Yes, I saw the sky.

TEACHER: Did you see God?

TOMMY: No.

TEACHER: That's my point. We can't see God because he isn't there.
He doesn't exist.

A little girl spoke up and wanted to ask the boy some questions. The
teacher agreed and the little girl asked the boy: "Tommy, do you see
the tree outside?"

TOMMY: Yes.

LITTLE GIRL: Tommy do you see the grass outside?

TOMMY: Yesssssss (getting tired of the questions by this time).

LITTLE GIRL: Did you see the sky?

TOMMY: Yesssssss

LITTLE GIRL: Tommy, do you see the teacher?

TOMMY: Yes

LITTLE GIRL: Do you see her brain?

TOMMY: No

LITTLE GIRL: Then according to what we were taught today in school,
she must not have one!

"FOR WE WALK BY FAITH, NOT BY SIGHT" II CORINTHIANS 4:7

--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com
_____

877. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 14:16:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B811BBC.64A8FD08@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17120

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Prove that you are not a liar, Steve,
> > by producing a posting where I said
> > I grow marijuana. (snip)
>
> Before you get too deep into a discussion about Steve's honesty,
> perhaps you should take a careful look at your own, Cecil. Didn't you
> just say in this thread that you have never purchased marijuana? The
> exact quote was...
>
> "I have never purchased marijuana
> in my life. All the marijuana I have
> ever smoked was offered and/or
> given to me by my friends."
>
> After reading that, a person email me a quote from the thread
> "Michigan Bill 6012 & 750.508 Clarification," dated 10/24/2000, where
> you said the following...
>
> "I only ever bought marijuana from
> my friends. I don't know and don't
> care where they got it."
>
> Now those two statements certainly seem to contradict each other. So
> which is the truth - you have never purchased marijuana or you bought
> marijuana from your friends?

Both statements are correct. I never paid money for marijuana but
I did barter for some with my friends. From Websters: "buy - to
acquire by exchange"
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

878. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 14:43:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B812225.7A92CCF9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17122

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > It's an inalienable right that the
> > government has taken away from me and
> > I am (snip)
>
> Purchasing illegal drugs is an inalienable right that the government
> has taken away from you? Nonsense.

Purchasing marijuana was legal at the turn of the century. Citizens
had a right to purchase marijuana. That right to purchase marijuana
was taken away from the citizens in the 1930s for the purpose of
trying to control the illegal Mexican alien problem.

> I never spit on the sidewalk.

You choose to choke to death instead?

> Drugs are not illegal just because
> they're illegal. They're illegal because of the harmful affects of
> drugs on this society.

US citizens were free to use marijuana for the first 150 years. The
main reason that marijuana was declared illegal was to control the
Mexican alien problems in the Southwest.

> > In Louisiana, it is illegal for a husband
> > and wife to have oral sex. Would you obey
> > that law, Dwight?
>
> Neither of us live in Louisiana, so who cares?

I frequent the Louisiana casinos and vacation often in New
Orleans so I care.

> Can you provide proof of this? I was under the impression that most
> marijuana on the market today was much stronger than that.

The marijuana on the market today has been genetically engineered.
The wild hemp that still grows in the Midwest contains very little THC.

> And how
> many joints would you say the average marijuana user does in a day?

My California friends average about one lungfull a week.

> Again, that is not true, Cecil. Crimes associated with illegal
> alcohol trade actually increased after prohibition. Anyone in the
> south can tell you about the moonshine runners of the 40's, 50's, and
> early 60's, decades after prohibition ended.

Well DUUUUHHHHHHHHH Dwight. That's because prohibition had not ended
in much of the South. Federal prohibition ended. State prohibition
was alive and well and was still the *CAUSE* of the illegal activity.
County prohibition exists in my home county at the present and causes
all the illegal county activity associated with alcohol.

> Besides, I thought we were only talking about legalizing marijuana.
> If marijuana is the only drug legalized, then how would that reduce
> the violence associated with the drug trade?

It would reduce the violence associated with the illegal marijuana
trade. It would not solve the problem of shooting down missionaries
and children. One step at a time, Dwight. The drug problems can be
diminished but they will never be eliminated. We will always have
nurses who steal drugs from the hospital and sell them on the black
market.

> > How many people have been killed lately fighting over illegal alcohol
> > turf?
>
> Very few today, but that is the result of a massive law enforcement
> effort over a period of several decades.

Give me a break, Dwight. It's because alcohol is easily obtainable
and legal in most places. There's no reason to fight over it.

> If you're going to offer a story about how the "horrible" drugs laws
> have harmed somebody, at least try to come up with something that has
> happened within the last couple of decades (something that can be verified).

OK, how about the LA County Sheriff officers who murdered a guy in
order to obtain his possessions under the RICO laws?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

879. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 14:46:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8122D6.9462A78E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17123

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> The potential outcome is not the same. One can lead to very serious
> legal consequences.

Getting out of bed each morning can lead to very serious consequences.
Most of us do it anyway. As a rational adult, I play the odds.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

880. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 14:50:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8123D0.7E148A63@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17124

WA8ULX wrote:
> No it doesnt take a bit of wind out of anything, ...

Alas, you seem to have an endless supply of hot air.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

881. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 14:55:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8124D7.48041DB9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17125

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > Sure, but that says nothing about its motivations to radiate. They're still
> >unknown.
>
> I realize that you see motivations everywhere you look, but motivations in
> electrons? That is really going a bit too far...

It just proves that the conspiracy against Dick extends all the
way to the quantum level. And I'm not surprised that Mother Nature
is conspiring against Dick. I would also if I were she. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

882. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 15:01:28 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108200701.6dbe65f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17126

Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message news:<3B7EB61C.6DD8A94B@home.com>...
> This all must relate somehow to radio policy. I'm not certain right now how,
> but give me a little cilantro, and some time, and I'll come up with the
> relationship.

Does anybody know if cilantro has any narcotic effects like nutmeg? Be
advised before you answer that I'm just curious. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

883. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 15:06:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B812786.BC5E33D1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17127

WW - KB9TMP wrote:
> "FOR WE WALK BY FAITH, NOT BY SIGHT" II CORINTHIANS 4:7

That's a good way to fall into a hole.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

884. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 15:56:06 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lrc0i$k39$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17128

No No Cam, I've already said the reason for this effect is too much exposure to 75 meter RF.

Dan/W4NTI

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3B804E8C.AECB9455@IEEE.org...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> > Now maybe you dont think its Dumbing Down, but when standards on any thing are
> > Reduced its Dumbing Down.
>
> Not if the standards are outdated. The FCC itself says that Morse code
> skill has absolutely nothing to do with being smart or dumb. In fact,
> it appears from evidence obtained on this newsgroup that some hams
> decrease their IQ and become neurotic or psychotic after learning Morse
> code. Thank goodness, some other genetically lucky hams seem to have a
> natural immunity to this debilitating mental illness.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

885. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 16:01:03 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lrcb1oqe1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17129

I think that has been going on a looooonnnngg time in Amateur Radio. I for one did that, way back in 1960 when I decided I wanted to be a 'HAM'.

I memorized the AMECO and a good part of the ARRL license manual. I passed the General on the first try, but I didn't know a dang thing.

What I did is learned by doing, thats called OJT is the military (on the job training). After a while I actually did learn what it was all about.

I think the MAJOR reason for the dumbing down is the fact that when a NEWCOMER asks a question on the air....some smart arse shoots him out of the saddle.

Perhaps if we ALL stop this stupid bitching about what amounts to NOTHING, we could all improve things.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010819181356.17812.00007769@mb-md.aol.com...
> >You predictions failed to
> >materialize
>
> WRONG: at least 75% of these new Hams couldnt explain to you or anybody
what
> the Material was on the test. They have no Idea and could less.

886. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 16:11:32 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lrcrlmmp1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17130

I can only assume you never listened to 14.313 then eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9102D0D5CB2A1notthistimecom@206.141.192.32...
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> news:SqYf7.23534$1p1.1839281@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
>
> > You must have a strange radio. Heck, I had an interesting conversation
> > on 440 the other week with a professor who teaches theoretical physics
> > at the University of Rochester.
>
> Fine.
>
> My comments dealt with hams who don't wish to lighten up and discuss
> matters beyond the mechanical or scientific or radios or what have you.
> Topics which may be more inclusive to a broader group of people.
>
> And you respond with 'theoretical physics.'
>
> Jesus.
>
> With all due respect to you and your professor friend, I could go a
> lifetime without dealing with the genius from Rochester, but I'd hate
> to go a week without my garbage man.
>
> Put things in perspective.
>
> Get off your high horse and lighten up.
>
>
>
>
>
> > As far as conversations about women,
> > it occurs - OFF the air. One would not have to stray to far from 'did
> > you see that gorgeous redhead waiting on table at ...' to begin to push
> > your luck with FCC regs.
>
> The FCC makes it illegal to admire women?? I use Part 97. What are you
> using??
>
> I think it's quite possible to discuss the qualities which make women
> attractive without reducing it to the level of your basic rap song.
> It need not approach obscene or indecent.

>
> And ham radio would be much more inviting to more people if there were
> less people who'd rather discuss theoritical physics than women - for
> example.
>
> Again, you make my point of the attitude of the typical ham op.
> LIGHTEN UP.
>
> God Almighty.
>
>
>
> Besides, those of us who get 'it' on a daily
> > basis have little need to talk about it. Why do you seem to need to
> > talk about it?
>
>
> Okay Jim 'Big Dic*' Studly.
>
> You've missed the point of everything else I've tried to express,
> there's no point in going further.
>
> Give my regards to your buddy in Rochester after you've pleased the wifey.
>
> 73 Jim.
>
> :)

887. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 16:59:55 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820125955.01562.00000383@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17131

.>rather apparent all Restructuring did was help
>Manufactures, and help the Lazy to upgrade.

What makes you think anyone who upgrade after restructuring is lazy

888. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:06:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820130619.17461.00003277@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17132

>What makes you think anyone who upgrade after restructuring is lazy
>

Well why didnt they upgrade before??.

889. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:06:30 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820130630.01562.00000384@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17133

>Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>--

They are all in Lao Angeles, they have been there for years on Two Meters, If you ever go to Los Angeles you might as well turn of your radio. All the 440 repeatrers are closed, and two meters sounds just like CB.

890. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:07:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108200907.38a2404c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17134

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3B807D62.71991F60@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Dwigth Stewart wrote..
> > >
> > > (snip)
> >
> > The standard DID exist in their time.
>
>
> Of course, I disagree. But, if that is true, then it also existed
> when your state allowed slavery.

Not necessarily.

In 1777, Vermont (then a territory) outlawed slavery.

In 1780, Pennsylvania passed a law gradually outlawing slavery. It was
the FIRST state to do so.

> So why don't you hold the slave
> owners in your state to the same standard that you hold southern slave
> owners to?

I do. There were very few of them, though, and slavery disappeared in
PA long before it disappeared in the south.

> Again, this conversation has been going on for a week, and
> you didn't even mention slavery in your state, much less condemn it,
> until I brought it up.

The discussion was about the Civil War and the standards of the
civilized world in 1860. In 1860, there were NO slaves in PA. In fact,
by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
>
> > I condemn ALL slavery.
>
> I'll gladly condemn slavery also. But that is not what you asked me
> to do - you asked me to condemn those who owned slaves a hundred and
> fifty years ago. And that I'm not willing to do.

I understand why.
>
> > Nope. PA outlawed it long before the
> > civil war. Where I grew up, a few miles
> > from here, there are houses that were

> > stations on the Underground Railroad.
> > PA, and Philadelphia in particular,
> > were very active in abolition from the
> > beginning.
>
> That is not what I asked you about, Jim. I asked you about SLAVERY
> in your state, not about the abolition movement or underground
> railroad (there were abolitionists and participants of the underground
> railroad right here in the south).

Yes - and they were persecuted, jailed, and attacked and even killed.

> I want to hear about your state's
> slave owners, slave auctions, slave traders, and the treatment of
> those slaves.

Try this link:

http:/www.innercity.org/holt/contents.html

for a chronology.

> As I understand it, Philadelphia was a major port in the
> slave trade, so there should be plenty for you to discuss.

Philadelphia was never a major port in the slave trade. Try Savannah,
Charlotte, Baltimore, Norfolk.
>
> > > Surely, your school teachers talked
> > > about slavery in Pennsylvania when
> > > they were discussing the civil war
> > > and condemning southern slavery.
> >
> > Yep. They condemned ALL slavery.
>
> I went to schools in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut,
> and, while teachers in each of those states talked openly about
> slavery in the south, never once did they mention the nearly 200 year
> history of slavery within their own states.

It was mentioned where I went to school. And condemned.

> > Very few. It was not part of the economy.
> > No plantations.
>
> Slaves in the United States were not just used on plantations, Jim.

So?

> Slaves in the north were often used as domestics, in sweat shops, and
> in factories.
>
Not many. See below.

> > I'm not. I condemn ALL slavery. The focus
> > is on the slave states (not all of which
> > tried to secede) because that's where it
> > lasted the longest and was most prevalent.
>
> That is another northern double standard, Jim.

Nope. See below.

> At some point in U.S.
> history, _ALL_ states, including Pennsylvania, were slave states.

Not Vermont. Not many others.

>So,
> again, why just point at the southern states when talking about slave states?
>
Because only in those states was slavery defended and encouraged to
increase.

Check out this link:

http://fisher.lib.virginia.edu/cgi-local/censusbin/census/cen.pl

It lists US census data from 1790 onwards.

In 1790, just three years after the Constitution was written, three states (ME, MA, VT) had zero slaves. All of the other states north of the Mason Dixon line had a combined total of 40,086 slaves (PA had 3,707 of those). Total population of those states was 1,967,197. That works out to a slave population of just over 2%. (total is for ME, MA, VT, NH, PA, CT, RI, NJ, NY, listed in order of % slave population).

Now look at the states south of the Mason Dixon line - DE, KY, NC, MD, GA, VA, SC, again listed in order of % slave population. 654,225 slaves out of a toal population of 1,926,677. That's a slave population of just under 34%!

As time went on, slavery in the North disappeared rapidly. By 1820 the states north of the M-D line had less than 1% slave population, and 5 states had zero slaves. Yet in 1820 the slave population of South Carolina was 51%. By 1850 there were no recorded slaves in any state north of the line except New Jersey, but Mississippi had 51.1% slaves and South Carolina 57.6%.

Finally, look at 1860, the era under discussion. CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, VT, NY, PA, IL, IN, MI, OH, WS, IA, MN, NV, CA, and OR had ZERO recorded slaves. NJ had 18, NE had 15 and KS had 2. Total population of those states and territories was 15,067,169. DE, MO, MD, KY, TN, AR, TX, VA, NC, GA, FL, AL, LA, MS and SC had 3,950,511 slaves out of a total population of 16,116,413. Works out to 24.5% slaves. DE, MO, MD & KY had under 20% slave population while the rest of the slave states varied from TN with 24.8% to SC with 57.2%. Look at a map of where slavery was concentrated and you have the confederacy, plain as day.

The census website lets you set up tables by all sorts of population figures and ratios. I looked at # slaves, total population, and % slaves for each census from 1790 to 1860. The trend was pretty obvious.

Point is, slavery in the north died out while slavery in the south grew. And the treatment of slaves grew more barbaric, with laws specifically permitting the torture and mutilation of slaves who dared to do things like revolt or run away - or learn to read and write. Although importation of slaves was totally outlawed as of 1808, many slaves (estimate is about a million) were illegally smuggled into the country after that date. They didn't come in through Philly.

By 1850 there was virtually no slavery in the north to condemn. The exact opposite is true of the south.

If we are talking about the standards and trends of 1860, it's pretty clear what was going on. Most of the country was moving towards greater rights and freedoms for ALL people who lived there, while one part was not.

Read the chronology and see.

73 de Jim, N2EY

891. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:10:49 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820131049.01562.00000385@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17135

Now that was a real snappy come back to Cecil's post. Maybe you got you your self have been a victim of Dumbing Down.

892. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:19:44 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820131944.01562.00000387@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17136

Bruce,In the past year how many people have approached in regards to taking up ham radio as a hobby. Why should the ARRL do all the work. Also, why does know CW make some one a better ham.
As far as hem radio goes, YOU ARE THE WEEKIST LINK, go away.

893. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:22:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820132255.25189.00000001@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17137

>They are all in Lao Angeles, they have been there for years on Two Meters, If
>you ever go to Los Angeles you might as well turn of your radio. All the 440
>repeatrers are closed, and two meters sounds just like CB.
>

Dont tell that to ED he wants them on HF

894. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:23:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8147AE.B1DD7058@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17138

N2EY wrote:
> In fact, by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.

No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

895. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:27:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820132724.25189.00000004@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17139

>Bruce,In the past year how many people have approached in regards to taking
>up
>ham radio as a hobby. Why should the ARRL do all the work. Also, why does
>know
>CW make some one a better ham.
>As far as hem radio goes, YOU ARE THE WEEKIST LINK, go away.
>
Zero, because they think its CB, and you know what I think there right.
Well why wouldnt the ARRL promote Ham Radio to new Non Licensed Hams?

896. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:27:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81487C.1924605D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17140

WA8ULX wrote:
> >What makes you think anyone who upgrades after restructuring is lazy?
>
> Well why didnt they upgrade before??.

Wouldn't the truly lazy ones be the ones who don't upgrade?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

897. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:31:05 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820133105.01562.00000389@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17141

You really do not what your are talking about. Go to any ham club meeting, go to a swap meet, go to a convention. Count how many hams have Grey or White hair. I my club I am one to the yougest members and I am 51. We have around 120 members in the club, I would say only about a dozen are under 50. We have 3 that are under 21. Due the math. Unless we get off our buts ham radio will go away or we will lose a lot of our bandwith.

898. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:39:04 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820133904.01562.00000391@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17142

>Now maybe you dont think its Dumbing Down, but when standards on any thing
>are
>Reduced its Dumbing Down.
>
>

Like it or not CW will more than likely be gone as a requirement in a few years. More and more countries out side the U.S. are planning to do away with CW. By the way, the world does not revolve around the United States when in come to radio. Of the ARRL wants to retain the 5wpm code. I guess the ARRL want the United States left behind in progesive thinking. THere is not one person in this group that can give a reason that CW makes some one a better ham. The is because it does not.

899. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:48:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820134805.25225.00000028@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17143

>Wouldn't the truly lazy ones be the ones who don't upgrade?

Not when its a give away.

900. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:48:32 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87snemvczz.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17144

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

> CAM wrote:
>
> > giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> > > DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> > > YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
> >
> > I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> > like to present some? No fair using the Bible to prove the
> > Bible.
>
> Sure Hell exists. It's a town in Georgia IIRC.

Michigan.

Neither the USGS nor the Census Bureau list a Hell other than the one in Michigan, but the USGS has Helltown and Hellhole Palms in California, Hell Gate in Florida, Hell Town in Missouri, Hell Hollow in New Hampshire, Hells Corners in Ohio, and Hells Half Acre in South Carolina. No Hell in Hawaii, naturally.

So, who wants to sponsor the Worked All Hell award?

http://geonames.usgs.gov/pls/gnis/web_query.gnisprod?f_name=Hell&variant=N&f_state=&f_cnty=&f_ty=populated+place&elev1=&elev2=&cell=&pop1=&pop2=&my_function=Send+Query&last_name=&last_state=&last_cnty=&page_cnt=&record_cnt=&tab=Y

Rich K6RFM

901. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:55:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820135534.27103.00007833@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17146

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/19/2001 4:19 PM Central Daylight Time

>And one of them has apologized for being mistaken. That leaves one
>person lying about what I said.

Lying for reciting the truth...?!?! I don't think so, Mr. Wizard.

>Is threats the only way you can win an argument, Steve? I guarantee
>you that I didn't say I grow marijuana. If you thought I did, you
>were mistaken and any gentleman would apologize. With exactly the
>same validity, I could accuse you of saying that you grow marijuana.

I really do wonder if working all these years to get to retirement only to
have my brain turn to mush is worth it.

>If the material never came from my keyboard, Steve, then you are lying
>or just too damned ignorant to understand English. Which is it?

I guess I will ahve to dig this stuff out. Then we will see who is not
being truthful.

Of course, I know it is not a matter of your not being truthful...simply
FORGETFUL, as a result of too much recreational pharmeceuticals.

>I absolutely deny every saying any such thing, Steve.

And I bet you are real proficient at the time honored phrase..."I have no
immediate recollection of that, Senator...."

>My own words? I haven't seen a quote, Steve. If you can produce one, I
>will admit that I don't remember it and apologize. If you can't produce
>it, I expect you to admit that you are lying.

I'll go digging, Cecil. Of course, I could ask where YOUR apoloGIES for
any number of incorrect statements and assertion over several YEARS are, but I
know I'd be wasting my time.

>>It was a joke then, it is a joke now. Please provide the requested
>> references to date/time/case, etc etc etc to validate your "story".

>I did, Steve, in another posting.

Then you wouldn't mind reposting it here.

Steve

902. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:55:55 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108201350400.16984-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17145

On 20 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> WOW ed thats really Impressive, I would say thats probably somewhere near
> .0000000001% of the US Population, great job ARRL, I would say ARRL is doing
> just about as good as Restructuring has done.

And what are YOU doing, besides bitching? As a dues paying member of the ARRL, I can honestly say I'm satisfied with what the ARRL does and what I get for my money. But ultimately, as hams, we're all individually responsible for perpetuating our own hobby. It's easy to blame someone else (in your case, the ARRL) -- after all, that's the American way: "It's someone elses fault!!! Not mine!!!!"

You aren't fooling anyone with your tiresome rhetoric. Get hip, or get out.

--Larry

903. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:56:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820135639.25225.00000036@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17147

>Like it or not CW will more than likely be gone as a requirement in a few
>years.

Oh Im sure of that, and then all we have left is a Phoney Q&A Test. Once Cw is gone for good then I think we need to dump the Phoney written test, lets face it the written doesnt show anything except your ability to Memorize.

904. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 17:59:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820135957.27103.00007835@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17148

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/20/2001 9:16 AM Central Daylight Time

>> Now those two statements certainly seem to contradict each other. So
>> which is the truth - you have never purchased marijuana or you bought
>> marijuana from your friends?

>Both statements are correct. I never paid money for marijuana but
>I did barter for some with my friends. From Websters: "buy - to
>acquire by exchange"

Oh geez.....

Cecil, at this point the correct answer was "I was mistaken in asserting
that I never bought marijuana. I apologize for asserting otherwise."

Since someone else did the footwork, I guess I owe them a beer.

Steve

905. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 18:03:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820140301.27103.00007838@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17149

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/19/2001 4:49 PM Central Daylight Time

>The federal drug gestapo doesn't have enough manpower to even worry
>about. They have bigger fish to fry.

You ought to pay more attention to the news, Cecil. And perhaps read a
public notice or two on forfeiture sales. Very enlightening.

>Why don't you direct your anger toward some real criminals,
>you know, the kind that rape and murder?
>--

Getting a bit testy at being proven and reproven to be in error, Cecil?

Steve

906. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 18:06:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820140617.27103.00007841@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17150

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/19/2001 5:02 PM Central Daylight Time

> I have no
>reason to doubt what they said about class 1 drugs. So the onus
>of proof is upon you. Good luck.

Cecil, you always manage to find a cowardly excuse from doing ANYTHING that proves or disproves a point. YOU made these assertions that there are laws that prohibit testing of "Class 1" drugs, yet all you can do is tell me that some TV show said so and now it's on ME to prove the show is right or wrong.

You have NO proof. Simply admit that your assertions about drug testing are unfounded.

The "onus", Sir, is upon YOU. It was YOUR assertion of fact, NOT mine.

Good luck to YOU!

Steve

907. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 18:09:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820140929.27103.00007842@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17251

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/20/2001 12:23 PM Central Daylight Time

>No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?

If they were in Pennsylvania, they weren't slaves anymore, now were they?

908. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 19:05:43 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108201455150.17293-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17252

> Oh Im sure of that, and then all we have left is a Phoney Q&A Test. Once Cw is
> gone for good then I think we need to dump the Phoney written test, lets face
> it the written doesnt show anything except your ability to Memorize.

Wow. I've never thought of things quite so simply. I guess we should also abolish: drivers licenses, civil service exams, college.. hell, ALL school and the national anthem. I mean, all of these things can easily be accomplished by someone who is committing them to memory. Does that mean that as a society we should just eliminate them, because some people aren't going to take them seriously? Of course not. Some people will be interested in the ham radio theory, some people won't. That's life, you have to deal with it. Some people in this hobby are content being operators; getting on the air, working the local repeater, maybe some DX on HF. Others are hard-core hams, people who homebrew and experiment. The hobby needs all of these people. What we don't need is bitter old men. Too bad they don't have a test for that.

--Larry

909. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 19:31:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820153122.00865.00005117@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17253

>I guess we should also
>abolish: drivers licenses, civil service exams, college.. hell, ALL
>school and the national anthem. I mean, all of these things can easily be
>accomplished by someone who is committing them to memory.

Of course not, those have some Value, the point is simple at this point there is no need for written test for Ham Radio. Just as there is no need for a CW Test as some say. If we want to be progresive then lets be Progresive, lets face it, there is no need for a written test, most people will buy there Radio, Ants,Mics and what ever else they need, and when it breaks back it goes.
And the biggest problem is were hurting the person who has a Memory Problem, the type that just cant memorize, or whos Memory isnt what it use to be, this is very unfair to the Memory Challenged, it worked for CW it should also apply here.
The only Fair thing left to do is Dump the written.

910. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 19:35:54 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B81669A.7A3C534C@uci.edu>
Source record: 17254

CAM wrote:
> Someone I know in the business told me that Mariah's voice has to
> be electronically brought on key before mixing to a master.
> --
> cheers, Cecil

Less so with Mariah than with most. It's a common practice now that it
is so easily done.

jk

911. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 19:39:37 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B816779.780874BD@uci.edu>
Source record: 17255

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Maybe an additional quote from the Webster's Family
> > Encyclopedia will convince you...
> >
> > Emancipation Proclamation, a decree issued
> > by President Abraham Lincoln on January 1,
> > 1863, abolishing slavery in the rebelling
> > Confederate states. A...maneuver designed
> > principally to deprive the Confederacy of
> > it's economic base, slavery.
> >
>
> Even my unabridged dictionary says: "Emancipation Proclamation - the
> proclamation issued by President Lincoln on Jan. 1, 1863 freeing the
> slaves in those territories still in rebellion against the Union."

Which states not in rebellion had slaves after the Proclamation?
```

912. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 19:57:14 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B816B9A.1D7B3226@uci.edu>
Source record: 17257

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Let me help if I can. OF COURSE
> > IT WAS A MISTAKE!!! The South is
> > only just now climbing out of
> > the crater that was left.
>
> Would you have said that the revolutionary war was a mistake if we
> had lost? Would you have said that WWII was a mistake if we had lost?

Whether the South won or lost is irrelevant to the fact that it was a
mistake.

> The fact that the south lost, and suffered economic consequences
> because of it, does not make their involvement in that war a mistake.

Do you feel it would have been better if the South had sucessfully
seceeded from the United States?

913. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 20:00:45 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B816C6D.81B7C564@uci.edu>
Source record: 17256

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight, it would seem that the
> > rest of the world judged them by
> > the standards of their times
> > and found that they came up sorta
> > short.....
>
> Not until Lincoln was successful in twisting the conflict into
> something that it was not. It just goes to show how powerful rhetoric
> can be (especially when you use it to paint an entire group of people
> as racist). Thanks to Lincoln's proclamation, the south has spent the
> last hundred and fifty years trying to correct the misconceptions and
> suffering the consequences of a sin the overwhelming majority didn't commit.

If anything, the South has spent the last 150 years trying to justify
what it did.
```

914. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 20:02:20 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B816CCC.D53E6863@uci.edu>
Source record: 17258

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > If it weren't for the Civil War,
> > > my great-grandfather would not have moved to Texas and he would
> > > have never met my great-grandmother.
> > >
> > How do you know that?
> >
> He said so in a letter that my mother still has.

Ok. Then how did he know that he would have never met her under any
other circumstances?
```

915. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 20:09:40 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B816E84.58533932@uci.edu>
Source record: 17259

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Nothing I have said has changed the past, nor was it intended to.

> Since what you said wasn't true, were you simply trying to confuse us?

It is certainly true from my point of view. If I tell you that the
movie Little Big Man is a good movie, and you don't agree, does that
make me wrong?

916. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 21:33:32 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87vgjimn6b.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17260

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/20/2001 12:23 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?
>
> If they were in Pennsylvania, they weren't slaves anymore, now were they?

Sure they were. Article IV, Section 2, United States Constitution.

Runaways had to get to Canada to be safe from capture and return.

Rich

917. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 21:41:33 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9103B3FE79EBEnotthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17261

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in
news:9lrcrlmmp1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net:

> I can only assume you never listened to 14.313 then eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

It can be kooky.

On the other hand, perhaps it shows there's so little on the bands
that's the least bit compelling to listen to, that 14.313 stands out
because something happens there which elicits a response beyond boredom.

918. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:14:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B818BD5.53470394@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17262

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >And one of them has apologized for being mistaken. That leaves one
> >person lying about what I said.
>
> Lying for reciting the truth...?!?! I don't think so, Mr. Wizard.

What you said was false, Steve, and I don't appreciate being accused
of a felony. You know it was false and yet you persist anyway. What
kind of a person would do such a thing?

> I guess I will ahve to dig this stuff out. Then we will see who is not
> being truthful.

After you dig it out, I expect an apology. No creative editing allowed.

> >I absolutely deny every saying any such thing, Steve.
>
> And I bet you are real proficient at the time honored phrase..."I have no
> immediate recollection of that, Senator...."

You're the one spreading the false libelous statements, Steve. I am
the injured party.

> I'll go digging, Cecil. Of course, I could ask where YOUR apoloGIES for
> any number of incorrect statements and assertion over several YEARS are, but I
> know I'd be wasting my time.

I already apologized to you, Steve, remember? Too bad you're not man
enough to apologize for your transgressions.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

919. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:26:38 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B818E99.94E5D6F4@home.com>
Source record: 17263

Only to the extent that when I begin thinking about it I want to close my eyes and lick my lips. Let's see now. Cilantro with frijoles, Cilantro with tacos, Cilantro with enchiladas......wait. I can't see to type.

Bert Craig wrote:

> Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message news:<3B7EB61C.6DD8A94B@home.com>...
> > This all must relate somehow to radio policy. I'm not certain right now how,
> > but give me a little cilantro, and some time, and I'll come up with the
> > relationship.
>
> Does anybody know if cilantro has any narcotic effects like nutmeg? Be
> advised before you answer that I'm just curious. :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

920. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:27:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B818EBE.DA5015A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17264

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Cecil, at this point the correct answer was "I was mistaken in asserting
> that I never bought marijuana. I apologize for asserting otherwise."

I didn't purchase (pay cash) for marijuana. Marijuana was bought (bartered)
to/from my friends. I started to use the word "bartered" but the to/from
thing got me confused about how to say it in English so I changed it to
"bought". I brought the pizza and beer and my friends brought the pot.
They left with some pizza and beer and I left with some pot.

I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason. Each of those
words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan. There was no
contradiction. Here's the definitions I was using when I made the
statements.

"purchase - to acquire by the payment of money"
"buy - to acquire by exchange"

I bought (exchanged) marijuana to/from my friends but I did not
purchase (pay cash for) it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

921. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:30:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B818F9A.8A2443CA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17265

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >The federal drug gestapo doesn't have enough manpower to even worry
> >about. They have bigger fish to fry.
>
> You ought to pay more attention to the news, Cecil. And perhaps read a
> public notice or two on forfeiture sales. Very enlightening.

The DEA and the FCC have a lot in common. What are the chances of catching
a lawbreaker? At least a million to one, maybe more. You are more likely
to be enlightened by lightening.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

922. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:37:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B819116.6A731F19@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17267

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > I have no
> >reason to doubt what they said about class 1 drugs. So the onus
> >of proof is upon you. Good luck.
>
> Cecil, you always manage to find a cowardly excuse from doing ANYTHING that
> proves or disproves a point. YOU made these assertions that there are laws
> that prohibit testing of "Class 1" drugs, yet all you can do is tell me that
> some TV show said so and now it's on ME to prove the show is right or wrong.

I have pointed you to the place where you can buy my quoted reference
material for $40 or you can wait for a rerun on the History Channel.
It's your choice whether to view the evidence that I presented or not.
If you don't view the evidence then you will have chosen to remain ignorant
of the evidence, a choice you seem to make quite often. Have you studied
any conditional logic yet?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

923. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:37:48 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9103BD81F422Enotthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17266

microbolt@aol.common (Bit) wrote in news:20010819223133.18827.00007308@mb-mv.aol.com:

> Snip
>>The FCC makes it illegal to admire women?? I use Part 97. What are you
>>using??
> Snip
>
> I use FCC Part 69 and I don't have any problems
>

75% of hams just became apoplectic at such a crudely-nuanced comment.
24% of hams are wondering why the ARRL never sent details of new regs.
1% got it and had no probs with it.

924. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:41:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81922B.4E296A77@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17268

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Nothing I have said has changed the past, nor was it intended to.
>
> > Since what you said wasn't true, were you simply trying to confuse us?
>
> It is certainly true from my point of view. If I tell you that the
> movie Little Big Man is a good movie, and you don't agree, does that
> make me wrong?

Whether there were exceptions to the Emancipation Proclamation is not
a matter of opinion, Jim. There *WERE* exceptions enumerated in the
proclamation. If you say there weren't, you are uttering a falsehood.
History doesn't change to suit your moods unless, of course, God died
and left you in charge.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

925. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:47:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81939A.B9047F66@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17269

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/20/2001 12:23 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?
>
> If they were in Pennsylvania, they weren't slaves anymore, now were they?

Don't know much about the Fugitive Slaves Laws, do you, Steve?
Why am I not surprised? You didn't even know what the Tenth
Amendment said.

"In the Compromise of 1850, a new law was included, which made it the
duty of Federal officers to capture and to return to their owners, all
fugitive slaves. ... The law ... was repealed in 1864.

So Steve, did the Federal officers obey the law and return runaway Virginia
slaves back to Virginia from Pennsylvania from 1850 to 1864?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

926. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:50:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B819429.D1B36D5C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17270

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Ok. Then how did he know that he would have never met her under any
> other circumstances?

He said he would not have moved to Texas. She certainly would not have
left her family and moved to Alabama. They would have never met.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

927. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:53:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8194FF.C10FAE23@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17271

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Do you feel it would have been better if the South had sucessfully
> seceeded from the United States?

I read an article once upon a time that said if the South had won
the war, the US would not have acquired Alaska. Russia would have
owned it, bristling with missiles, throughout the cold war and we
might be speaking Russian right now.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

928. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:56:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8195AE.8BAA434A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17272

WA8ULX wrote:
> Oh Im sure of that, and then all we have left is a Phoney Q&A Test. Once Cw is
> gone for good then I think we need to dump the Phoney written test, lets face
> it the written doesnt show anything except your ability to Memorize.

I memorized very little of the Extra material and scored 100% on it.
I even pointed out errors in the exam questions.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

929. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 22:58:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8195F9.196B2A20@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17273

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Wouldn't the truly lazy ones be the ones who don't upgrade?
>
> Not when its a give away.

So the hams who upgrade are lazier than the hams who don't upgrade?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

930. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 23:00:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81969C.AA147B3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17274

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Someone I know in the business told me that Mariah's voice has to
> > be electronically brought on key before mixing to a master.
>
> Less so with Mariah than with most. It's a common practice now that it
> is so easily done.

Egads, if they had done that for Johnny Cash, he would never have
been popular.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

931. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-20 23:36:11 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ls6tc$r20$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17275

Your probably right. Hams are very boring people. At least on the air. Whenever there is anything out of the ordinary discussed, like the 'liberty net', Herby and the boys on 14.313. Rich ex wb2otk. It did indeed get interesting.

Unfortunatly those that didn't like it commenced to jam. End of fun.

Dan/W4NTI

"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com> wrote in message news:Xns9103B3FE79EBEnotthistimecom@206.141.192.32...
> "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in
> news:9lrcrlmmp1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net:
>
> > I can only assume you never listened to 14.313 then eh?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> It can be kooky.
>
> On the other hand, perhaps it shows there's so little on the bands
> that's the least bit compelling to listen to, that 14.313 stands out
> because something happens there which elicits a response beyond boredom.
>

932. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 00:06:31 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B81A607.48DA830@uci.edu>
Source record: 17276

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Do you feel it would have been better if the South had sucessfully
> > seceeded from the United States?

> I read an article once upon a time that said if the South had won
> the war, the US would not have acquired Alaska. Russia would have
> owned it, bristling with missiles, throughout the cold war and we
> might be speaking Russian right now.

Or, what if the moon was made of cheese? My question was more along the line of what if there hadn't been a Civil war to win or lose and the South had been allowed to seceed?

933. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 00:08:42 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B81A68A.F02AF13C@uci.edu>
Source record: 17277

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Ok. Then how did he know that he would have never met her under any
> > other circumstances?
>
> He said he would not have moved to Texas. She certainly would not have
> left her family and moved to Alabama. They would have never met.

And so I guess AMD would then be in the number one position? I'm trying
to understand how this was a significant outcome of the Civil war.

934. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 00:12:55 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3B81A787.186B@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 17278

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> > > giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> > > > DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> > > > YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
> > >
> > > I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> > > like to present some? No fair using the Bible to prove the
> > > Bible.
> >
> > Sure Hell exists. It's a town in Georgia IIRC.
>
> Michigan.
>
> Neither the USGS nor the Census Bureau list a Hell other than the one
> in Michigan, but the USGS has Helltown and Hellhole Palms in California,
> Hell Gate in Florida, Hell Town in Missouri, Hell Hollow in New
> Hampshire, Hells Corners in Ohio, and Hells Half Acre in South
> Carolina. No Hell in Hawaii, naturally.
>
> So, who wants to sponsor the Worked All Hell award?
>
> http://geonames.usgs.gov/pls/gnis/web_query.gnisprod?f_name=Hell&variant=N&f_state=&f_cn
ty=&f_ty=populated+place&elev1=&elev2=&cell=&pop1=&pop2=&my_function=Send+Query&last_name=
&last_state=&last_cnty=&page_cnt=&record_cnt=&tab=Y
>
> Rich K6RFM
DX HELL, Town of Hell, Cayman Islands BWI

935. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 00:13:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B81A7A8.74AC207D@uci.edu>
Source record: 17280

CAM wrote:
> Whether there were exceptions to the Emancipation Proclamation is not
> a matter of opinion, Jim. There *WERE* exceptions enumerated in the
> proclamation. If you say there weren't, you are uttering a falsehood.
> History doesn't change to suit your moods unless, of course, God died
> and left you in charge.

Okay, then there were exceptions. Please tell me which states were allowed to have slavery. I've asked this a number of times already without an answer. I've been claiming that the proclamation allowed no states to have slavery. Please show me where I'm wrong. I'm apparently too stupid to figure it out for my self.

936. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 00:19:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B81A8F4.48A5585C@uci.edu>
Source record: 17279

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Someone I know in the business told me that Mariah's voice has to
> > > be electronically brought on key before mixing to a master.
> >
> > Less so with Mariah than with most. It's a common practice now that it
> > is so easily done.
>
> Egads, if they had done that for Johnny Cash, he would never have
> been popular.

He's not so much off key, as he is very shaky. It's his own style of
vibrato that makes him so much fun to listen to. It's sort of like
'dithering' the pitch. One hopes the 'median' frequency is close to the
right pitch. :-)
```

937. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 00:35:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820203511.02030.00002280@nso-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17281

In article <3B8147AE.B1DD7058@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In fact, by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
>
>No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?

They were no longer slaves once they escaped. They were free.

The posted numbers are from the US Census.

73 de Jim, N2EY

938. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 01:15:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81B603.DF43D17C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17282

N2EY wrote:
>
> In 1780, Pennsylvania passed a law
> gradually outlawing slavery. It was
> the FIRST state to do so.

So that somehow makes Pennsylvania superior to the states that did
it later?

> The discussion was about the Civil
> War and the standards of the
> civilized world in 1860. In 1860,
> there were NO slaves in PA. In fact,
> by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.

The discussion I've read has been about slavery in general,
including the emancipation proclamation, fugitive slave laws, and so
forth. If we're going to talk about slavery, I simply don't see any
reason why that discussion should be limited just to the southern states.

> Try this link:
>
> for a chronology.

Nice chronology, but it didn't really answer what I asked.

> Because only in those states was
> slavery defended and encouraged to
> increase.

You're splitting hairs, Jim. Nobody in Pennsylvania ever defended or
encouraged slavery?

> It lists US census data from 1790 onwards.
>
> (long-winded statistics snipped)
>
> The census website lets you set up tables
> by all sorts of population figures and
> ratios. I looked at # slaves, total
> population, and % slaves for each census
> from 1790 to 1860. The trend was pretty
> obvious.

Nice statistics, Jim. But that again does not really answer what I
asked. Statistics does not tell me about the Pennsylvania slave
owners, slave auctions, slave traders, or the treatment of slaves in

that state.

> Point is, slavery in the north died
> out while slavery in the south grew.
> And the treatment of slaves grew more
> barbaric, with laws specifically
> permitting the torture and mutilation
> of slaves who dared to do things like
> revolt or run away - or learn to read
> and write.

Again, you bring up how slaves were treated in the south, but you have yet to say a word about how slaves were treated in Pennsylvania.

> By 1850 there was virtually no slavery
> in the north to condemn. The exact
> opposite is true of the south.

Jim, all I see is denial in the choice of that date. It's a nice date for you because slavery still existed in the south, but didn't exist in your state. In other words, using that date very conveniently allows you to rant about slavery without drawing attention to the slavery that existed in your own state.

> If we are talking about the standards
> and trends of 1860, it's pretty clear
> what was going on. Most of the country
> was moving towards greater rights and
> freedoms for ALL people who lived
> there, while one part was not.

And, again, change that date to something else and the entire picture might change. For example, if we use 1960 instead, we can say that slavery didn't exist at all in the United States. Or, if we use 1460 instead, we can say that slavery in the United States didn't exist because the United States didn't exist. But if we're going to talk about slavery in the United States, then it is absurd to name some magical date and then condemn everyone who owned slaves after that date, while ignoring all who owned slaves before that date.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

939. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 01:48:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81BDE4.1E44EB70@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17283

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Not until Lincoln was successful
> > in twisting the conflict into something
> > that it was not. It just goes to show
> > how powerful rhetoric can be (especially
> > when you use it to paint an entire group
> > of people as racist). Thanks to Lincoln's
> > proclamation, the south has spent the
> > last hundred and fifty years trying to
> > correct the misconceptions and suffering
> > the consequences of a sin the
> > overwhelming majority didn't commit.
>
>
> If anything, the South has spent the last
> 150 years trying to justify what it did.

So, you're saying the entire south is guilty and has to justify what "it" did. That is exactly the attitude that so many in the south have tried to correct over the last 150 years. It is a very hateful attitude, especially coming from people in states that were also involved in slavery. More importantly, it is a very harmful attitude - it can justify any action against those evil southerners.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

940. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 02:11:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81C32E.5AA5817E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17284

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Whether the South won or lost is
> irrelevant to the fact that it
> was a mistake.

Jim, you were the one who linked the two (losing and mistake). You said...

"OF COURSE IT WAS A MISTAKE!!!
The South is only just now
climbing out of the crater
that was left."

That certainly seems to suggest that you don't think it would have been a mistake if the south had won and didn't have to climb out of the crater. I then responded that the south's loss does not make their involvement in the war a mistake (which you then repeat in your reply above).

> Do you feel it would have been better
> if the South had sucessfully seceeded
> from the United States?

Well, they would not have suffered the economic consequences that came about as a result of losing that war. But, beyond that, who knows? I'm not into fantasy, so I've never really thought about it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

941. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 02:32:31 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3Ljg7.18353$Ki1.1472225@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17285

WA8ULX posted to rrap regarding eliminating the CW test:

>>> Oh Im sure of that, and then all we have left is a Phoney Q&A Test. Once Cw is
>>> gone for good then I think we need to dump the Phoney written test, lets face
>>> it the written doesnt show anything except your ability to Memorize.

Larry Stanton responded:

>>I guess we should also
>>abolish: drivers licenses, civil service exams, college.. hell, ALL
>>school and the national anthem. I mean, all of these things can easily be
>>accomplished by someone who is committing them to memory.

WA8ULX then answered and proposed:

> Of course not, those have some Value, the point is simple at this point there
> is no need for written test for Ham Radio. Just as there is no need for a CW
> Test as some say. If we want to be progresive then lets be Progresive, lets
> face it, there is no need for a written test, most people will buy there Radio,
> Ants,Mics and what ever else they need, and when it breaks back it goes.
> And the biggest problem is were hurting the person who has a Memory Problem,
> the type that just cant memorize, or whos Memory isnt what it use to be, this
> is very unfair to the Memory Challenged, it worked for CW it should also apply
> here. The only Fair thing left to do is Dump the written.

And my (somewhat rhetorical) question to WA8ULX is: Exactly what is the purpose of requiring someone to pass any kind of test to get a license for the Amateur Radio Service? I have my own answer to this question, but I would like to know how you would answer.

As a follow-up, I also ask: Why is it necessary to grant both a station license and an operator's license to a licensee in the Amateur Radio Service? Broadcasters and a number of other radio services seem to be able to get by with only a station license - no licensed operators required. Why can't the Amateur Radio Service do likewise?

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

942. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 02:33:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81C880.17E9F80B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17286

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Whether there were exceptions to the Emancipation Proclamation is not
> > a matter of opinion, Jim. There *WERE* exceptions enumerated in the
> > proclamation. If you say there weren't, you are uttering a falsehood.
> > History doesn't change to suit your moods unless, of course, God died
> > and left you in charge.
>
> Okay, then there were exceptions. Please tell me which states were
> allowed to have slavery. I've asked this a number of times already
> without an answer. I've been claiming that the proclamation allowed no
> states to have slavery. Please show me where I'm wrong. I'm apparently
> too stupid to figure it out for my self.

By exempting the Parishes of St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, St.
John, St. Charles, St. James Ascension, Assumption, Terrebonne, Lafourche,
St. Mary, St. Martin, and Orleans, from the proclamation, it allowed slavery
to continue in Louisiana. By exempting the counties of Berkley, Accomac,
Northampton, Elizabeth City, York, Princess Ann, and Norfolk from the
proclamation, it allowed slavery to continue in Virginia. By exempting the
counties of West Virginia from the proclamation, it allowed slavery to
continue in West Virginia.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

943. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 02:38:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81C990.E3C8AEC1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17287

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B8147AE.B1DD7058@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> In fact, by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
> >
> >No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?
>
> They were no longer slaves once they escaped. They were free.

Beg to differ. The Compromise of 1850 made it the duty of Federal officers
to capture and return all fugitive slaves to their owners. This was an
extension of the Fugitive Slave Laws that the free states chose to ignore.
That section of the Compromise of 1850 was not repealed until 1864. That's
why I chose the date of 1850 in my question above.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

944. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 02:45:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B81CB5D.87B3723B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17288

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Ok. Then how did he know that he would have never met her under any
> > > other circumstances?
> >
> > He said he would not have moved to Texas. She certainly would not have
> > left her family and moved to Alabama. They would have never met.
>
> And so I guess AMD would then be in the number one position? I'm trying
> to understand how this was a significant outcome of the Civil war.

It was significant for me and a couple of hundred of other people who
are his descendants.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

945. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 02:48:30 UTC
From: "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <1jBg7.98796$rV6.4797675@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 17188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Drinkwater actually. Just like the twins from Laverne & Shirley. The
wife still married me though (not a concern for most young men growing up)
I've heard them all but I'll share. The best to date was when I called a
company on the phone and, while finishing business, she asked for my name.
I told her calmly and she said, "HA HA Ha ha ha, ahem. I'm sorry sir. I
could've sworn you said Drinkwater".... :O
73's
"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3B8050E7.356ABDFA@spam.me.not...
> and if he takes you with him, he'll never have to pay for them!
> ::smirk::
>
> -SSB
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 21:34:23 -0400, "Drink, WP3JQ"
> > <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Drink, WP3JQ
> >
> > ____
> >
> > Is that your real name? Some guys have all the luck.
> >
> > "Hi Drink".
> >
> > "OK".
> >
> > Bill, W7TI

946. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:02:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81CF1B.3289B220@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17289

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Didn't you just say in this thread that
> > you have never purchased marijuana? The
> > exact quote was...
> >
> > "I have never purchased marijuana
> > in my life. All the marijuana I have
> > ever smoked was offered and/or
> > given to me by my friends."
> >
> > After reading that, a person email me
> > a quote (snip) where you said the
> > following...
> >
> > "I only ever bought marijuana from
> > my friends. I don't know and don't
> > care where they got it."
>
>
> Both statements are correct. I never paid
> money for marijuana but I did barter for
> some with my friends. From Websters:
> "buy - to acquire by exchange"

No, that doesn't work, Cecil. The top message said that you've never "purchased" marijuana. The second message said you "bought" marijuana.

Funk & Wagnalls Standard Desk Dictionary:
Purchase: to acquire by paying money or
its equivalent; buy
Bought: past tense or participle of buy

In other words, the two words have the exact same root meaning (to "buy"). So, regardless of whether you did it by exchanging money or something else (your "barter" nonsense above), those two statements still contradict each other - one says you did not do what the other says you did.

So, again, which is the truth and which is not?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

947. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:08:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81D087.AB9F85B4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17290

CAM wrote:
>
> I didn't use the same word in both
> places for good reason. Each of those
> words have slightly different meanings,
> at least to a Texan. There was no
> contradiction. Here's the definitions
> I was using when I made the statements.
>
> "purchase - to acquire by the payment
> of money"
> "buy - to acquire by exchange"
>
> I bought (exchanged) marijuana to/from
> my friends but I did not purchase (pay
> cash for) it.

You're digging yourself in deeper with every word, Cecil. One
message said that you've never "purchased" marijuana. The second
message said you "bought" marijuana.

Funk & Wagnalls Standard Desk Dictionary:
Purchase: to acquire by paying money or
its equivalent; buy
Bought: past tense or participle of buy

In other words, the two words have the exact same root meaning (to
"buy"). So, regardless of whether you did it by exchanging money or
something else (its equivalent), those two statements still contradict
each other - one says you did not do what the other says you did.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

948. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:12:47 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820231247.22481.00004499@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17291

So if a person thinks ham radio and CB are the same I do not correct them. We do not need you in this hobby. now go away bad dream

949. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:22:08 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820232208.22481.00004503@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17292

Why would you call a test phoney. Are you one of the real old guys that had to go to the FCC office to take a test and draw a diagram. Does that make you better than anyone else.

950. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:29:13 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820232913.22481.00004508@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17293

You are comparing apples to oranges. Being proficient in CW does not make someone a
better radio operator. At least if a person picks up a book to study for a test they will
learn something. Al you learn from CW is understanding Dits and Dahs, that will really
make a person a better operator.

951. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:36:15 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820233615.22481.00004514@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17294

You know some thing Bruce, I clipped this quote from the QRZ profile you have listed.
"One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers" Your words Bruce. I guess know one has ever called you a class act. That is one thing you are not!

952. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 03:55:34 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820235534.22481.00004521@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17295

>>What makes you think anyone who upgrade after restructuring is lazy
>>
>
> Well why didnt they upgrade before??.
>

Well maybe they got into ham radio just a year or so before restructuring. I first go licensed in April of 1999. I started to study for my General the following winter and passed my written the winter of 1999. Durring this period I was working on CW. I passed my 5WPM on April 7th. on 2000. I was trying to pass the 13WPM test but did not have enough study time. I do work full time. Just because some one gets into ham radio, and the powers that be change th CW reqiurement does not make them lazy. OF course you live a your special world with all the other small minded selfish peopole that do not want to world to change.I hope you are not a VE. I would not be surprised if you where, then you tell the new hams that come accross your table they are lazy because they did not get into ham radio years ago. Of course that is why 3 VE's grade the test (To keep people like you fair)

953. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:00:51 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821000051.22481.00004524@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17296

Let face it, Bruce is an assumtionist: assumtionist, one who assume things about other
people, One who makes false statements based on fiction, One who does not concern
himself with facts.

954. Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:02:13 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821000213.22481.00004525@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17297

Lets face it. restructuring is here to stay, it is not going to go away. For all those hams
that cry about the “Dumbing down” (Their words) of ham radio. Get over it, the world of
Amateur Radio is changing. After WARC 2003 it is more likely than not that CW will be
gone as a requirement for HF privileges. Evan the ARRL is not going to oppose CW as a
requirement at WARC 2003. Of course the ARRL want’s the FCC to maintain the 5 wpm
requirement for US hams. I have seen posted in the New Group an opinion that new
people are getting into ham radio, but in small numbers. This may be true, but from what
I have seen, these new hams are all over 40, and in most cases over 50. With new blood
we should be looking at recruiting younger people, by younger I mean under 12 years of
age. The club I am member of has over 120 members, Only about a dozen are under 50,
of these only 3 are under 21. Of course our club recently started seeking out younger
people a short time ago, some thing more club should do. As the OLD Guard dies off in
the 10 0r 20 years we will see a very large drop in the number of hams. To bring people
into ham radio we are competing with the internet and cell phones. Most people do not
realize that cell phones will not work in a large disaster, we have seen this happen in the
past. Instead of complaining about restructuring, get off your buts and get into the
community and recruit new people into ham radio. The ARRL can only do so much.
Every club in the United States should participate in events like KIDS DAY, of course it
would be nice if the ARRL would make it easy to find the KIDS DAY info the ARRL
web site, I new it was there but it took almost and hour to find it (The ARRL needs a new
webmaster). Our club participated in KIDS DAY last June and got a great response.
Another place to recruit is the Boy Scouts, contact your local Boy Scout Council
regarding their Venturing program. This is a program for all youth 14 through 20 years of

age, male or female. My club is in the process of setting just a program, it is not easy,
but not everything in life is. For all those hams that can not live with restructuring for
their selfish reasons, take up stamp or coin collecting, Amateur radio does not need you
as representatives of this hobby.

955. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:08:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821000819.17852.00006559@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17298

>Does that make you
>better than anyone else.

Of course Im better than anyone else, but what does that have to do with the Phoney test for a Ham License?

956. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:10:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821001032.17852.00006561@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17299

>You know some thing Bruce, I clipped this quote from the QRZ profile you have
>listed.
>"One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers"

Well thank you for copying it and Posting it, you must be the 100th Person to
do that so far, Boy are you sharp

957. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:12:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821001232.17852.00006563@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17300

>Let face it, Bruce is an assumtionist: assumtionist, one who assume things
>about other
>people, One who makes false statements based on fiction, One who does not
>concern
>himself with facts.

Yea, so whats your point???

958. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:14:22 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B81D261.F2B662A0@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17201

I guess the civil war was started over the pirates on 40 meters.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > Not until Lincoln was successful
> > > in twisting the conflict into something
> > > that it was not. It just goes to show
> > > how powerful rhetoric can be (especially
> > > when you use it to paint an entire group
> > > of people as racist). Thanks to Lincoln's
> > > proclamation, the south has spent the
> > > last hundred and fifty years trying to
> > > correct the misconceptions and suffering
> > > the consequences of a sin the
> > > overwhelming majority didn't commit.
> >
> >
> > If anything, the South has spent the last
> > 150 years trying to justify what it did.
>
> So, you're saying the entire south is guilty and has to justify what
> "it" did. That is exactly the attitude that so many in the south have
> tried to correct over the last 150 years. It is a very hateful
> attitude, especially coming from people in states that were also
> involved in slavery. More importantly, it is a very harmful attitude -
> it can justify any action against those evil southerners.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

959. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:25:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81E26C.21C2B30F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17202

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Purchasing illegal drugs is an
> > inalienable right that the government
> > has taken away from you? Nonsense.
>
> Purchasing marijuana was legal at
> the turn of the century. Citizens
> had a right to purchase marijuana.
> That right to purchase marijuana
> was taken away from the citizens
> in the 1930s for the purpose of
> trying to control the illegal
> Mexican alien problem.

How does the fact that marijuana was not illegal at the turn of the century make it a "inalienable right?" Do you even know what those words mean? "Inalienable" means something "that may not be taken away or transferred." A "right" is a "power, privilege, etc., belonging to one by law or nature." Marijuana is not an inalienable right.

Furthermore, don't blame the "government" or "Mexicans" for the laws against drugs. We, the people (people like myself), pushed for those laws against drugs because those drugs are a threat to our neighborhoods and to our families. And those of us who care about those things will continue to support those laws until drugs are no longer a threat. And if it comes down to a choice between my neighborhood, or my family, and your marijuana, the choice is really, really, easy, Cecil.

> > And how many joints would you say the
> > average marijuana user does in a day?
>
> My California friends average about one
> lungfull a week.

LOL. That is absurd, Cecil. I have never seen or met a single marijuana user who only takes one "hit" on a joint per week. Instead, most do three to four joints each day (dozens of "hits" per day). My brother and his wife each consumed at least that much each day. So did most of their friends who used drugs. The same with my friends years ago in school. The same with the people in the military years ago who used drugs. The same with the occasional people we've met over the

years who have used drugs.

> > Again, that is not true, Cecil.
> > Crimes associated with illegal
> > alcohol trade actually increased
> > after prohibition. Anyone in the
> > south can tell you about the
> > moonshine runners of the 40's,
> > 50's, and early 60's, decades
> > after prohibition ended.
>
> Well DUUUUHHHHHHHHH Dwight. That's
> because prohibition had not ended
> in much of the South. Federal
> prohibition ended. State prohibition
> was alive and well and was still the
> *CAUSE* of the illegal activity.

Not true, Cecil. Wayne County (NC) was not dry county in the 1960's when my grandfather had two stills just outside of Goldsboro and sold moonshine to local bars to refill commercial alcohol containers. In fact, based on what I remember of that time, I'd say half the strong alcohol in that country was actually moonshine in refilled whiskey bottles. And many locals knew the difference between the "cheap stuff" (moonshine) and the "good stuff" hidden behind the bar. Many locals bought the "cheap stuff" exactly because it was cheaper than the "good stuff."

In other words, the fact that legal alcohol was widely available, and relatively cheap, did not stop the illegal alcohol trade - moonshiners simply sold stronger alcohol at cheaper prices (which is exactly what I suspect will happen with illegal drugs if they are legalized - even stronger drugs at cheaper prices on the streets).

> It would reduce the violence associated
> with the illegal marijuana trade.

I thought there was no violence associated with marijuana. Hasn't your premise all along been that marijuana users were otherwise law abiding citizens?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

960. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 04:44:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81E6DB.80B54B24@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17203

CAM wrote:
>
> The DEA and the FCC have a lot in
> common. What are the chances of
> catching a lawbreaker? At least a
> million to one, maybe more. You
> are more likely to be enlightened
> by lightening.

But there is only one FCC, Cecil. Drugs users, on the other hand, have to be concerned about local law enforcement, state law enforcement, the DEA, Customs, the half dozen other federal agencies involved in drug enforcement in some way, and any person with a grudge who decides to make a call or drop a note to the police. There is a reason why so many people are in jail for drug related charges.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

961. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:13:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B81EDB3.2BDD09DC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17204

Connie Lingus wrote:
> I did read thru that thread. It discussed
> female singers - and it didn't really get
> nasty and tawdry to any degree. It was
> rather interesting. But that discussion
> is here, not on the radio.
>
> So why would such a discussion be off
> limits on the air? Why would that not be
> 'behaving better?' It was about singing,
> not sex lives. Yes, obviously there are
> ways of discussing women without delving
> into the most intimate bedroom techniques.
>
> It certainly would be a very welcome break
> from the quite limited sphere of topics
> currently bandied about.
>
> And, fwiw, I'd tend to opine that the
> internet has an even greater reach than
> ham radio.

Actually, the discussion about female singers would probably not be "off limits" on the air. Rather, most are cautious not to offend on the air and discussing the negative aspects of a popular singer is certain to offend someone. So, without knowing what the person you're talking to might think about the subject, or what others listening might think about it for that matter, most would simply avoid the topic. What you're seeing is politeness carried to an extreme.

In these newsgroups, similar topics would be fairly tame (based on tradition in these newsgroups, almost anything is not too much). Likewise, on certain ham frequencies, because of long standing tradition, there are those who discuss things that would probably be considered too much on another frequency.

And, finally, there is another reason that is a little harder to explain. Most ham operators don't tend to talk too often on the radio and, when they do, they don't tend to have extended conversations. Because of that, they are a little shy when they do talk. And shy people tend to talk about familiar things (radios, etc) and will tend to avoid overly revealing things.

So, in the end, the solution to your problem is getting others to simply talk. As those people become more relaxed when talking to you, those other subjects are almost certain to eventually come out.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

962. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:20:14 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821012014.28971.00000599@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17205

Well surprose, surprise. Bruce can count beyond 10

963. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:21:54 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821012154.28971.00000600@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17206

The point is that you are OMHO a first rate Lid.

964. wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:26:36 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821012636.28971.00000601@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17207

I would seem that Bruce will not answer post that he does not have a good argument to.

965. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:36:01 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821013601.28971.00000602@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17208

>What has always separated the so-called Real Hams from the wannabes
>is the motivation and discipline required to master the Morse code at a
>useful proficiency level. The Real Hams have the superior intelligence
>to understand the usefulness of this

You are full of it. Why does CW make some one a better ham. IT DOES NOT. Belive what you will in your little world. You are just displaying to the world how really ignorant you are.

>older hams like you and me, who took 13- and 20-WPM code tests at
>the FCC office. It is because we are better people than today's hams

Wrong again, it just proves that the old hams with your mindset need to go away. Take up stamp collecting. You are the Weekist link, Go Away Bad Dream.

Maybe your are the Larry from the three stoges.

966. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:45:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821014522.28971.00000603@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17209

What does it mean when a person does think about getting into ham radio untill the restructuring has taken place. Does this make them a lesser ham because they did not even gaive ham radio a thought untill afterwards, Before you put words into my mouth. I am talking about people that never thought they would be interested in ham radio. Lets not forget the young people over the nexr few years, that where born after restructuring, what about them.

967. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 05:49:30 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B81E8A8.3E3A8A76@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17210

What is a "weekist" link? Does that have something to do with the days of the week?

Drpfrench wrote:

> away. Take up stamp collecting. You are the Weekist link, Go Away Bad Dream.
>
> Maybe your are the Larry from the three stoges.

968. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:07:10 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B81FD17.F35F23E5@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17211

Larry Stanton wrote:

> Get hip, or get out.

Yeah, Bruce, get that shack on your hip- you know, the one that hangs from the belt, or you're out ot the loop.

969. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:10:31 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B81FDE2.C9A236C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17212

Drpfrench wrote:

> >Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
> >to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
> >--
>
> They are all in Lao Angeles, they have been there for years on Two Meters, If
> you ever go to Los Angeles you might as well turn of your radio. All the 440
> repeatrers are closed, and two meters sounds just like CB.

Yep, just as I've been saying and they've been denying-they have been here a long time, and there'll be more when No Cajones International succeeds in completely abolishing all code (tests, they say)

970. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:13:13 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B81FE84.8AB1000E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17213

Drpfrench wrote:

>THere is not one person

> in this group that can give a reason that CW makes some one a better ham. The
> is because it does not.

Says you, but then you don't know any CW so you don't know what you're talking
about do you?

971. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:44:31 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8205D8.8110E227@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17214

W1RFI wrote:

> From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very
> >> basics and tell us why an antenna radiates.
>
> >Nice trap Ed, but the formost antenna authority on the planet can't tell you
> >why an antenna radiates, just that when certain conditions are met, it does.
>
> It wasn't a trap at all, and I will include you in the same challenge I gave to
> Bruce. You have both made the claim that the modern tests are woefully
> inadequate,

You know all too well, but just choose to ignore that I have said many times here that the material is not the main problem, rather the exact questions and their exact answers provided in advance, so that no applicangt is ever required to learn anything whatever, just memorize whatever associations needed to match them up at test time with no understanding of any part of it required. You and Cecil with Wild Bill Sohl have always insisted that "It's just like it was 30,40,50 years ago" but of course that is grossly incorrect- and you know it.

> so I would like to see if you can back that up and use the
> knowledge you have gained by the superior tests you both took to enter ham
> radio and answer what I believe to be a fundamental question about the
> technology we use. Surely you can give a better answer than "no one knows."
>
> If you would like a refresher, see:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/antheory.html
>
> The article, "Why an Antenna Radiates" may help explain what you apparently do
> not understand. See:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/antheory.html#why
>
> But, for fairness, I would like to see your explanation before you have read
> the above article, based only on the knowledge you have from having taken a
> "not-dumbed-down" test so many years ago. Or does your answer than "no one
> knows" still stand?

Ed, with just a little more effort you and Cecil both could easily qualify as a disgrace to the traditions of ham radio. I know that does't bother you much at all, so long as everything stays on track to keep going in the present direction toward testless licencing and "Give 'em everything they want when they want it. Just be

nice to 'em".
I'm talking about the traditions which have long caused hams to be selfsufficient, capable communicators with adequate technical ability to put a station on the air with minimal equipment and support by use of his own learned skills. You don't get that by memorizing question and answer pairings. And if you don't know it you ARE a disgrace.

Don't worry yourself about my "no one knows" comment on antennas. It came from reading an antenna authority I respect far more than either you or Cecil. I'm not where I can look it up now, and I won't ID the author until I can give an exact quote.

972. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:49:50 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B820718.5FACF2E9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17215

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
> >>and tell us why an antenna radiates.
>
> > Well thats an easy one its called the FM Principal. Figure it out ED
>
> It is magic? That is as bad as Dick's answer. I guess you two guys are not
> quite as all-knowing as you claim to be. Does take a bit of the wind out of
> your sails, eh?

Never mind my sails, this entire sordid "restructuring" affair has taken most
of the wind out of ham radio's sails. Now we can just wait for the spectrum
reduction above 2 meters, or is that 6 meters, and the FCC justification will be a
REAL nobrainer-
'You hams failed to maintain yourselves as a fundamentally technical selfpolicing
service as has always beeen your tradition". There WILL BE NO defense.

973. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:51:56 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B820797.76A743D8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17216

W4NTI wrote:

> I think that has been going on a looooonnnngg time in Amateur Radio. I for
> one did that, way back in 1960 when I decided I wanted to be a 'HAM'.
>
> I memorized the AMECO and a good part of the ARRL license manual. I passed
> the General on the first try, but I didn't know a dang thing.
>
> What I did is learned by doing, thats called OJT is the military (on the job
> training). After a while I actually did learn what it was all about.

What that tells me is that you still don't know much of anything.

974. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 06:56:24 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8208A2.C36C68F6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17217

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> There are probably two dozen well-known celebrities who are
> currently licensed radio amateurs. Why don't we EVER see their names
> and faces on the TV screen -- appealing to young people to get interested
> in amateur radio?

That's an easy one- They know that ham radio has devolved into something they don't want to be publicly associated with. I can tell you something more- there have been a number of times in late years when I kept silent rather than be associated with it, too. Haven't you?

975. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 07:00:20 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B82098E.239972FE@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17218

W1RFI wrote:

> At

> the current rates for prime-time ads, ARRL would run about a dozen national ads
> and shoot the wad on the budget. I disagree with you that doing so would be
> effective.

Yeah, I guess a couple Super Bowl ads would just about shoot the budget. You might try some late night caboe or satellite spots. More effective, less cost.

976. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 07:04:27 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B820A87.83B759B0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17219

Drpfrench wrote:

> The point is that you are OMHO a first rate Lid.

And you, who says that knowing CW doesn't make a better ham, are an A1
Op.
Right. We got it.

977. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 08:05:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B821653.2F4DFE86@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17220

GH wrote:
>
> I guess the civil war was started
> over the pirates on 40 meters.

Haven't you followed the conversation? The pirates on 40 meters
started a civil war on the radio. I'm surprised you didn't know that. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

978. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 08:33:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821043310.23631.00002397@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17221

In article <3B8037CA.950EEAB6@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> I condemn ALL slavery.
>
>Me too, except for LEXX.

What the heck is LEXX?

I know about XLI, though.

73 de Jim, N2EY

979. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 08:33:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821043310.23631.00002398@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17223

In article <3B812225.7A92CCF9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> CAM wrote:
>> > It's an inalienable right that the
>> > government has taken away from me and
>> > I am (snip)
>>
>> Purchasing illegal drugs is an inalienable right that the government
>> has taken away from you? Nonsense.
>
>Purchasing marijuana was legal at the turn of the century. Citizens
>had a right to purchase marijuana.

So? The dangers of the drug were not realized until later.

In that same era, Coca Cola contained cocaine. There were all sorts of patent medicines on the market that were highly addictive and harmful. Ever hear of laudanum?

>
>> I never spit on the sidewalk.
>
>You choose to choke to death instead?
>
Ever hear of swallowing rather than spreading disease?

>
>> > In Louisiana, it is illegal for a husband
>> > and wife to have oral sex.

How many times has that been enforced in the past 10 years?

>> > Would you obey
>> > that law, Dwight?
>>
>> Neither of us live in Louisiana, so who cares?
>
>I frequent the Louisiana casinos and vacation often in New
>Orleans so I care.
>
Do you go there with your wife, Cecil?

73 de Jim, N2EY

980. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 08:33:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821043311.23631.00002399@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17224

In article <3B818EBE.DA5015A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I didn't purchase (pay cash) for marijuana. Marijuana was bought (bartered)
>to/from my friends.

That contradicts what you told Dwight. He nailed you, Cecil. Brilliant job, too. You're just digging the hole deeper.

Point is, you got it by exchanging something of value for it. That's the same. morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it.

>I started to use the word "bartered" but the to/from
>thing got me confused about how to say it in English so I changed it to
>"bought". I brought the pizza and beer and my friends brought the pot.
>They left with some pizza and beer and I left with some pot.

That's the same. morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it. Value was exchanged.
>
>I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason. Each of those
>words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan.

Nonsense.

>There was no
>contradiction. Here's the definitions I was using when I made the
>statements.
>
>"purchase - to acquire by the payment of money"
>"buy - to acquire by exchange"
>
>I bought (exchanged) marijuana to/from my friends but I did not
>purchase (pay cash for) it.

Sorry, Cecil, that has as much credibility as Clinton saying he "never had sex with that woman" because of the way he defined "having sex".

That dog won't hunt, even in Texas.

73 de Jim, N2EY

981. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 08:33:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821043312.23631.00002400@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17222

In article <3B81C990.E3C8AEC1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3B8147AE.B1DD7058@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> In fact, by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
>> >
>> >No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?
>>
>> They were no longer slaves once they escaped. They were free.
>
>Beg to differ. The Compromise of 1850 made it the duty of Federal officers
>to capture and return all fugitive slaves to their owners.

The key word is "fugitive".

> This was an
>extension of the Fugitive Slave Laws that the free states chose to ignore.

So they were free once they escaped.

>That section of the Compromise of 1850 was not repealed until 1864.

I doubt it was enforced after 1860.

> That's
>why I chose the date of 1850 in my question above.

The census data says no slaves.

73 de Jim, N2EY

982. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 08:33:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821043314.23631.00002401@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 17225

In article <3B81B603.DF43D17C@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In 1780, Pennsylvania passed a law
>> gradually outlawing slavery. It was
>> the FIRST state to do so.
>
> So that somehow makes Pennsylvania superior to the states that did
>it later?

Isn't freedom better than slavery?

Somebody had to be first. 1780 is 83 years before the Emancipation
Proclamation. More than an entire average lifetime.

By ending slavery, PA, VT and the other states changed the standard for human
rights in the USA.
>
>> The discussion was about the Civil
>> War and the standards of the
>> civilized world in 1860. In 1860,
>> there were NO slaves in PA. In fact,
>> by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
>
> The discussion I've read has been about slavery in general,
>including the emancipation proclamation, fugitive slave laws, and so
>forth.

The main focus, though, has been on the Civil War era. What I'm saying is that
by 1850-1860, slavery was gone in almost all of the Western world, except some
parts of the USA.

> If we're going to talk about slavery, I simply don't see any
>reason why that discussion should be limited just to the southern states.

I agree! My discussion was not limited to the southern states. Neither is the
chronology I pointed to, nor the census records.
>
>> Try this link:
>>
>> for a chronology.
>
> Nice chronology, but it didn't really answer what I asked.

Neither did you answer when I asked about where slavery existed in Western civilization in 1860.
>
>> Because only in those states was
>> slavery defended and encouraged to
>> increase.
>
> You're splitting hairs, Jim. Nobody in Pennsylvania ever defended or
>encouraged slavery?
>
Not successfully, after 1780.

>> It lists US census data from 1790 onwards.
>>
>> (long-winded statistics snipped)

Those statistics show the trends very clearly.

>> The census website lets you set up tables
>> by all sorts of population figures and
>> ratios. I looked at # slaves, total
>> population, and % slaves for each census
>> from 1790 to 1860. The trend was pretty
>> obvious.
>
> Nice statistics, Jim. But that again does not really answer what I
>asked. Statistics does not tell me about the Pennsylvania slave
>owners, slave auctions, slave traders, or the treatment of slaves in
>that state.
>
There isn't much to know because there weren't many slaves in PA.

>> Point is, slavery in the north died
>> out while slavery in the south grew.
>> And the treatment of slaves grew more
>> barbaric, with laws specifically
>> permitting the torture and mutilation
>> of slaves who dared to do things like
>> revolt or run away - or learn to read
>> and write.
>
> Again, you bring up how slaves were treated in the south, but you
>have yet to say a word about how slaves were treated in Pennsylvania.
>
Because there isn't much to know.

>> By 1850 there was virtually no slavery
>> in the north to condemn. The exact
>> opposite is true of the south.

> Jim, all I see is denial in the choice of that date. It's a nice
>date for you because slavery still existed in the south, but didn't
>exist in your state. In other words, using that date very conveniently

>allows you to rant about slavery without drawing attention to the
>slavery that existed in your own state.

Have I not condemned ALL slavery?
>
>> If we are talking about the standards
>> and trends of 1860, it's pretty clear
>> what was going on. Most of the country
>> was moving towards greater rights and
>> freedoms for ALL people who lived
>> there, while one part was not.
>
> And, again, change that date to something else and the entire
>picture might change.

Sure - but the Civil War started in 1861. That's the point of using 1860.

> For example, if we use 1960 instead, we can say
>that slavery didn't exist at all in the United States.

True - but repression of black people sure did. Shall we talk about voting rights, segregation and Jim Crow laws?

>Or, if we use
>1460 instead, we can say that slavery in the United States didn't
>exist because the United States didn't exist.

The Dutch brought the first black slaves to Virginia in 1619.

>But if we're going to
>talk about slavery in the United States, then it is absurd to name
>some magical date and then condemn everyone who owned slaves after
>that date, while ignoring all who owned slaves before that date.
>
Nobody is ignoring any of that.

What you're doing is trying to deflect attention from the millions held in slavery in the slave states of the 19th century by pointing to the 18th century elsewhere. It's similar to saying that because anti-semitism existed in the US and Britain in 1860, Americans and Brits should not condemn the Holocaust.

Sorry, I don't buy it.

It's clear that there were more causes to the Civil War than just slavery. At first, Lincoln was primarily interested in preserving the Union, even if it meant keeping slavery to do so. He knew that if some states seceded over "states rights" and the slavery issue, then soon others would, and the result would be a balkanized America. And the European powers would move in and take over quickly, using economics or military power or both. It had happened in much of the world by 1860.

But as the war progressed, Lincoln became convinced that slavery was at the root of the problem. The issue divided the country, and unless it was eliminated the division would never go away. And the moral bankruptcy of

claiming to be a "free" country, founded on the claim that "all men are created equal" while permitting some people to own others was simply no longer tolerable.

Lincoln didn't start out as an abolitionist but he sure ended as one.

It's understandable that 150 years later, nobody wants to say that lots of Americans fought and died for the right to own other Americans.

73 de Jim, N2EY

983. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:25:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821072517.21549.00002811@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17226

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>What does it mean when a person does think about getting into ham radio
>untill
>the restructuring has taken place. Does this make them a lesser ham because
>they did not even gaive ham radio a thought untill afterwards, Before you put
>words into my mouth. I am talking about people that never thought they would
>be
>interested in ham radio. Lets not forget the young people over the nexr few
>years, that where born after restructuring, what about them.

Don't you know; some people appear to believe that before restructuring, people became hams because they were interested in ham radio, but now that the FCC has changed the requirements for about the umpteenth time since ham radio was created, they believe that the only people that become hams are those who wanted to do so before but were waiting for the requirements to be changed.

They then feel that the best thing they can do is to berate new hams at every opportunity. What is really the pity is that somehow, they feel they are doing good for ham radio.

I can't figure them out.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

984. Re: wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:26:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821072638.21549.00002812@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17227

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>I would seem that Bruce will not answer post that he does not have a good
>argument to.

If that were the case, he wouldn't answer any posts at all. :-)

It would seem to me that Bruce will not answer a post he doesn't have a good
insult to. Kinda' sad, actually.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

985. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:35:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821073522.21549.00002813@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17228

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

> After WARC 2003 it is more likely than not that CW
> will be gone as a requirement for HF privileges. Evan the ARRL is not
> going to oppose CW as a requirement at WARC 2003. Of course the ARRL
> want’s the FCC to maintain the 5 wpm
>requirement for US hams.

That last point is yet to be seen. Any changes to the current FCC rules wrt code testing are years away. I expect that the ARRL will do then what it did a couple of years ago and conduct surveys and ask for member input. When the time comes, even though he knows my views well, I will reiterate my views on Morse code testing to Tom Frenaye, the New England Division Director. (Unless I leave ARRL HQ in the interim, in which case I might make a run for the Directorship myself.)

> from what I have seen, these new hams are all over 40, and in most cases
> over 50. With new blood we should be looking at recruiting younger people,

IMHO, the biggest factor in the reduction of youth entering ham radio is that the entry level has been made much more difficult than it was when I entered ham radio at 13 years of age. When I studied for my Novice license, I studied the 4-page(!) Novice chapter in the ARRL License Manual and passed my Novice easily. Today, we hand newcomers a 200+ page Now You're Talking study guide and tell them that THIS is what they need to know to be a BEGINNER in ham radio.
If I had been given a 200-page book to study when I was 13, instead of the 4-page Novice chapter, I am certain I would not have become licensed as a kid. And if, as I was studying it, some curmudgeon told me that all that was dumbed down from the 4 pages HE had to study...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

986. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:36:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821073656.21549.00002814@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17229

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>of
>course it
>would be nice if the ARRL would make it easy to find the KIDS DAY info the
>ARRL
>web site, I new it was there but it took almost and hour to find it (The ARRL
>needs a new
>webmaster).

I typed in "kid's day" in the search box and the following was the 2nd URL in
the search results:

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

987. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:38:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821073819.21549.00002815@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17230

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>>to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?

>They are all in Lao Angeles, they have been there for years on Two Meters, If
>you ever go to Los Angeles you might as well turn of your radio. All the 440
>repeatrers are closed, and two meters sounds just like CB.

On some repeaters, you are correct, and it is shameful. But that problem was around long before restructuring. Has it gotten any worse since the rules changes were in effect?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

988. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:42:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821074213.21549.00002816@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17231

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Oh Im sure of that, and then all we have left is a Phoney Q&A Test. Once Cw
>is
>gone for good then I think we need to dump the Phoney written test, lets face
>it the written doesnt show anything except your ability to Memorize.

So, Bruce, are you ready to prove to us how much smarter you are by telling us
why an antenna radiates?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

989. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:44:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821074447.21549.00002817@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17232

From: Larry Stanton pluto@4motion.org

> I guess we should also abolish: drivers licenses, civil service exams,
college..
> hell, ALL school and the national anthem. I mean, all of these things
> can easily be accomplished by someone who is committing them to memory.

There are more correct answers in today's question pool for the Tech -- the
entry level exam, than there were sentences in my 1963 study guide for the
entry-level exam of that day...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

990. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:46:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821074601.21549.00002818@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17233

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>the point is simple at this point there
>is no need for written test for Ham Radio.

I disagree, Bruce. I even believe that the written examinations should be
improved to have more relevant questions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

991. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:47:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821074755.21549.00002819@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17234

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>You are comparing apples to oranges. Being proficient in CW does not make
>someone a
>better radio operator.

Sure it does -- it makes them a better CW operator. If they know the phonetic alphabet, they are a better phone operators. If they know net and emergency procedures, they are a better public-service operator.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

992. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 11:50:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821075050.21549.00002820@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17235

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You know all too well, but just choose to ignore that I have said many times
>here that the material is not the main problem, rather the exact questions and
>their exact answers provided in advance, so that no applicangt is ever
required to
>learn anything whatever, just memorize whatever associations needed to match
> them up at test time with no understanding of any part of it required.
I don't ignore it, Dick. I disagree with it. (If I ignored it, we wouldn't
have such interesting conversations, would we.)

IMHO, it is easier to learn the underlying material than it is to rote memorize
over 1000 questions without understanding.

Are you prepared to prove me wrong by memorizing 1000 words in Russian without
understanding them?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

993. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:03:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821080319.21549.00002822@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17236

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> You and Cecil with Wild
>Bill Sohl have always insisted that "It's just like it was 30,40,50 years
>ago" but
>of course that is grossly incorrect- and you know it.

Why do you think "I know it?" I don't believe that at all, so "I know it" has no meaning. I guess you are trying to bolster a weak position.

I can't speak for 30 years ago, but in 1963, I studied a 4-page chapter to pass my entry level exam. Today, they study a 200+ page study guide. In 1964, I studied a 16-page chapter to get all Amateur privileges with my General. To do the same today, the applicant has to study two more books.

In 1963 and 1964, I did what I believe most hams do today -- passed my exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping some subjects didn't appear on my exam. How many times will I have to repeat that here before YOU stop "ignoring" it?

Perhaps the premise that most people find it easier to rote memorize over 1000 questions without understanding than learn a few simple concepts and applying grammar school math deserves to be ignored, don't you think?

> Ed, with just a little more effort you and Cecil both could easily qualify
>as a disgrace to the traditions of ham radio.

Tsk, tsk -- are you saying that you do not believe I have made contributions to amateur radio, Dick? Or are you saying that I believe that the traditions of ham radio warrant getting on public newsgroups and swearing at other hams and insulting large groups of other hams I haven't even met. If those are the "traditions" you would want to see me embrace, I want no part of them. It is your choice that you choose to conduct yourself in such a fashion, but don't expect me to.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

> know that does't bother you much at all, so long as everything stays on track
to
>keep going in the present direction toward testless licencing and "Give 'em
> everything they want when they want it.

That may be your belief, but it is not mine. IMHO, the present written exams should be improved to be more relevant to modern radio techology. Perhaps someday you will stop "ignoring" that if I repeat it often enough for you.

Perhaps it would help if you rote memorize some of the things I am saying on this forum, Dick. That way, you wouldn't have to rely so heavily on your assumptions.

>Just be nice to 'em".

Being nice to newcomers would seem a pretty long-term tradition of ham radio, Dick. Perhaps you should go back and remember your roots a bit more clearly.

>I'm talking about the traditions which have long caused hams to be
>selfsufficient, capable communicators with adequate technical ability to put a
> station on the air with minimal equipment and support by use of his own
learned > skills.

Is THAT what W1BNB was trying to teach me as he helped me put together my first station.

>You don't get that by memorizing question and answer pairings. And if you
> don't know it you ARE a disgrace.

So then am I to assume that those that get their licenses and put stations on the air have demonstrated that they didn't memorize the tests without understanding.

That works for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

994. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:08:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821080847.21549.00002823@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17237

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yeah, I guess a couple Super Bowl ads would just about shoot the budget. You
>might try some late night caboe or satellite spots. More effective, less cost.

The Super Bowl ads cost what they cost for a reason. There is a distinct relationship between ad cost and expected exposure. The late night spots would probably cost more per viewer than prime time.

The FCC has a requirement that TV stations air PSAs. The League has funded a number of them, and make them available through its Public Media Relations Department. These are best run locally and TV stations are MUCH more likely to respond to local viewer requests to air them than to a national organization. That is why the ARRL has chosen this route.

Now, you might say that the League could do a better job at getting the word out to hams about the PSAs and how to promote them. They have run this info in QST, in the ARRL Letter and feature it on its web page. Perhaps a more direct approach is needed. Email me your phone number and I will have someone from the Media Public Relations Department call you and tell you what is available and how to publicize it with your local TV and radio stations.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

995. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:10:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821081054.21549.00002824@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17238

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>I disagree with you that doing so would be
>>effective.

>Of course you disagree thats part of your pay raise

My pay raise is dependent on how good of a job I do helping the DC office with spectrum issues, how good I do at handling manufacturer and standards-organization contact wrt RFI, how good the Lab does at Product Review testing, and the like.

Whether I have a job or not may depend on the number of ARRL members, but I would probably be around the 50% level of staff reductions before they let me go.

But thanks for your concern. I will be okay.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

996. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:13:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821081315.21549.00002825@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17239

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>What makes you think anyone who upgrade after restructuring is lazy

> Well why didnt they upgrade before??.

What? No one upgraded before restructuring? Who woulda' figured?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

997. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:16:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B8242E7.429D7AD3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 17240

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > In fact, by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
>
> No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?

Let's see....If they made it to Pennsylvania, they'd no longer be slaves. How many of the newly freed slaves had an amateur radio license? Do you think many chased DX on 160?

Dave K8MN

998. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:35:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821083528.06458.00004117@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17241

>You hams failed to maintain yourselves as a fundamentally technical
>selfpolicing
>service as has always beeen your tradition". There WILL BE NO defense.

There is no DEFENSE, they got what they wanted easy access to HF, now its time to Pay up.

999. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:36:10 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210835260.19536-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17242

> Says you, but then you don't know any CW so you don't know what you're talking
> about do you?

He said he passed his 5 WPM in 2000. So that would mean he knows CW.
Aren't you following the thread?

1000. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:40:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821084003.06458.00004120@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17243

>IMHO, it is easier to learn the underlying material than it is to rote
>memorize
>over 1000 questions without understanding

I see ED doesnt understand the concept of WORD association, Ed go to any High School and maybe they can exlpin it to you.

1001. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:40:41 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210836550.19536-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17244

> That's an easy one- They know that ham radio has devolved into something they
> don't want to be publicly associated with. I can tell you something more- there
> have been a number of times in late years when I kept silent rather than be
> associated with it, too. Haven't you?

No. Because where I am, I can't tell the difference between operator skill now and 10 years ago. Everyone on the air here, post and pre-restructuring licensee alike, sounds fine. The much bally-hooed devolving of ham radio never happened; it's a myth perpetuated by the bitter old hams who miss the days of spending countless hours on 75 meters talking about changing their colostomy bags. They can't stand the idea of new blood in the hobby. Old people are like that; they resent newcomers and youth. Why this happens, I don't know. But I can tell you that the "devolving" and "CB-ification" of ham radio has not happened, is not happening, and will not happen. This is a myth, a propoganda campaign waged by those who want ham radio to die with them instead of advance into the codeless future.

1002. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:42:44 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210841020.19536-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17245

> And you, who says that knowing CW doesn't make a better ham, are an A1
> Op. Right. We got it.

CW doesn't make a better ham. Not in the slightest. Anyone who, post-1995, decides to get into a hobby other than sitting on the sofa in front of the tube, or in front of the computer playing Doom, is an A1 op in my book. Code or no code. All of these people are deserving hams. You're a bitter old man. Tough it out, OM, they're here to stay.

1003. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:45:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821084548.06458.00004123@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17246

>The FCC has a requirement that TV stations air PSAs. The League has funded a
>number of them, and make them available through its Public Media Relations
>Department.

Well ed from the latest figures it appears there not working, maybe they need a good PR Firm, no maybe we need an Org that does more than sell T-Shirts, and an ORG that has soom say at FCC.

1004. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:47:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821084734.06458.00004127@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17247

>I expect that the ARRL will do then what it did a
>couple of years ago and conduct surveys and ask for member input.

And then when they get Member input do like they did last time, dont pay any attention to it

1005. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:50:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821085035.06458.00004128@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17248

>On some repeaters, you are correct, and it is shameful. But that problem was
>around long before restructuring. Has it gotten any worse since the rules
>changes were in effect?
>
>73,

Of course it has, and not just in LA, why do you think most people refer to it
as the 2 Meter CB BAND?

1006. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:54:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821085405.06458.00004130@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17249

>So, Bruce, are you ready to prove to us how much smarter you are by telling
>us
>why an antenna radiates?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare,

No problem, will explain it in great Detail, and will explain in very simple terms, as soon as your New CBplusser Ham Buddies can tell me what part of there system is the ANT.

1007. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:54:55 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210852230.19631-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17250

On 21 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> There is no DEFENSE, they got what they wanted easy access to HF, now its time
> to Pay up.

Funny how the WORST ops on HF are the old-timer on 75 (not the AM guys, though, they're pretty cool). All the new operators I've leard lately, especially during Field Day and special events (like the Lighthouse weekends) are doing an oustanding job. Let's take a minute to THANK all the new operators, especially the guys interested in HF, for coming into the hobby and helping to keep it alive and enjoyable for FUTURE generations.

1008. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:56:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821085621.06458.00004131@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17302

>I disagree, Bruce. I even believe that the written examinations should be
>improved to have more relevant questions.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1

Wrong.

1009. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:56:39 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210856280.19631-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17301

On 21 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> I see ED doesnt understand the concept of WORD association, Ed go to any High
> School and maybe they can exlpin it to you.

exlpin?

1010. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 12:59:02 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210857500.19631-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17303

On 21 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> Well ed from the latest figures it appears there not working, maybe they need a
> good PR Firm, no maybe we need an Org that does more than sell T-Shirts, and an
> ORG that has soom say at FCC.

No, what we need are more hams who champion the hobby and newcomers, like
Ed, Drpfrench and the others on here. We need less guys like you, who want
to turn people away. We need individuals who will take personal
responsibility for perpetuating ham radio and marching it into the future.
What are you doing for ham radio?

1011. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:01:00 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210900490.19699-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17304

On 21 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> Wrong.

Old. Bitter.

1012. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:23:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8260B8.66151291@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17305

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> In other words, the two words have the exact same root meaning (to
> "buy").

Think about it, Dwight. Why did I choose two different words if
they mean exactly the same thing. I deliberately chose the word
"purchased" to indicate I had not paid cash for marijuana. I
used the word "bought" because that covers bartering and
"purchased" does not.

Again, in my mind when I used the words, "purchased" implied
a cash transaction. "Bought" implied a barter transaction.
Because I might have been mistaken about the meanings, I
looked it up in the dictionary and it agrees with what I
thought to be true.

You can take your dictionary and choose a different definition
from the one I used and publish it. But what you say words mean
is irrelevant to the meanings of the words I used. I very carefully
choose those words to convey the meanings that I chose to use. The
dictionary bears that out. That there exists different definitions
from the one I choose to use is irrelevant. You agreed with that
when we were arguing the definition of "forced". How can you now
turn around and disagree with it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1013. NO WRITTEN TEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:25:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821092556.06458.00004143@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17306

Im surprised someone hasnt figured this out yet. One of the many reasons the FCC lowered CW Testing was because of the ADA, it appears that some handicapped people were having trouble with CW, and FCC was looking for problems from that. Well now that the FCC has proven it will do what ever it takes to comply, I think its time we do what would be right for the Mentally Challenged also. Some Mentally Challenged people have the same problem with the Written as they did with CW, and with all the QUESTIONS that have been added, it becomes more of Challenge to Memorize all the correct answers. I think its time to stop the written or reduce the number of Questions. If we want to be fair to the Handicapped then the written most go, or reduced drastically.
The present written is un fair and outdated, it only shows how much someone can Memorize, it doesnt show what kind of Operator someone can be. Its time for this Memory test to go.

Im also sending the above post to the ADA, to get there input and advice on what steps should be taken to bring the written into Compliance

1014. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:31:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821093128.06490.00004654@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17307

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/20/2001 5:14 PM Central Daylight Time

>After you dig it out, I expect an apology. No creative editing allowed.

My name is "Steve", not "Cecil".

>You're the one spreading the false libelous statements, Steve. I am
>the injured party.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Thanks. It was a rough shift last night.

>I already apologized to you, Steve, remember? Too bad you're not man
>enough to apologize for your transgressions.

I have apologized before, when facts bore out that such was appropriate.
So far, I do not have anything that would indicate that is so now. If and when
I do, such will be forthcoming.

Adios

1015. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:35:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821093542.06490.00004655@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17308

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/20/2001 5:37 PM Central Daylight Time

>I have pointed you to the place where you can buy my quoted reference
>material for $40 or you can wait for a rerun on the History Channel.

Which is NOT "fact". "Fact" is the requested law. You have yet to provide
it. YOU asserted (prior to any reference to any TV show. YOU asserted that
the federal government is prohibited from testing drugs.

Right.

>It's your choice whether to view the evidence that I presented or not.

That's not evidence. I want the law that says the feds can't test "class
" drugs.

>Have you studied any conditional logic yet?

Have you gotten my answers yet?

Steve

1016. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:39:38 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <uwtg7.5076$5d4.1587670@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17309

God..... I don't feel so bad being the youngest one at the age of 31 then!!! A little note, of the three closest local clubs in my area, 1/3 of the memberships (combined) have passed on in the past 14 months..... with about 3/4's of the memberships being over sixty, this is a little scary. If something is not done soon, ham radio will be dead in my area within the next 10-20 years.

Ryan, KC8PMX

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010820133105.01562.00000389@mb-cr.aol.com...
> You really do not what your are talking about. Go to any ham club meeting, go
> to a swap meet, go to a convention. Count how many hams have Grey or White
> hair. I my club I am one to the yougest members and I am 51. We have around 120
> members in the club, I would say only about a dozen are under 50. We have 3
> that are under 21. Due the math. Unless we get off our buts ham radio will go
> away or we will lose a lot of our bandwith.

1017. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:39:44 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Awtg7.5077$5d4.1587578@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17310

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010820132255.25189.00000001@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >They are all in Lao Angeles, they have been there for years on Two
Meters, If
> >you ever go to Los Angeles you might as well turn of your radio. All the
440
> >repeatrers are closed, and two meters sounds just like CB.
> >
>
> Dont tell that to ED he wants them on HF

Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
Contest....."

1018. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:46:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82661F.892957DA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17312

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >I didn't purchase (pay cash) for marijuana. Marijuana was bought (bartered)
> >to/from my friends.
>
> That contradicts what you told Dwight.

That's exactly what I told Dwight. You are simply confused.

> He nailed you, Cecil. Brilliant job,
> too. You're just digging the hole deeper.

If you think that, Jim, you missed the point as can be seen from the
rest of your posting. The argument is not over morals, ethics, or
legalities. The argument is over what I meant when I used the words
"purchased" and "bought". Only I know what I meant.

"Dinner" for me does not mean the same thing as "dinner" for you. In my
mind, a "purchase" requires cash and a "buy" does not require cash. That's
why both statements can be valid.

> Point is, you got it by exchanging something of value for it. That's the same.
> morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it.

You completely missed the point, Jim. I bought (bartered) marijuana from
my friends. I freely admit that it is the same morally, ethically, and
legally as paying money for it. What we are arguing over is the validity
of my statements involving purchased (for cash) and bought (bartered).
Again, the marijuana was not purchased (with cash). It was bought (with
pizza). That was the difference in my mind when I wrote those two sentences
and the dictionary bears me out. From Webster's:

"purchase - to acquire by the payment of money"
"buy - to acquire by exchange"

Those are the definitions I was using when I wrote the statements.
In my mind, a purchase requires cash. I did not pay cash.
In my mind, a buy can be a barter. I bought marijuana from my friends.

> That's the same. morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it. Value
> was exchanged.

Of course, it is the same morally, ethically, and legally. That's not
the argument at all. You completely missed the point. The argument is
over what I was thinking when I wrote those sentences. Only I know
that and I have explained it.

I didn't purchase marijuana (for cash). I bought (bartered) marijuana
from my friends.

> >I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason. Each of those
> >words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan.
>
> Nonsense.

I'm so glad that you are telepathic, Jim, so you can read my mind and
determine what definitions I was using when I used certain words. Are
you also omniscient? If so, why are you so confused about what the
argument really is?

> Sorry, Cecil, that has as much credibility as Clinton saying he "never had sex
> with that woman" because of the way he defined "having sex".

All I can tell you is what was going on in my mind when I wrote those
sentences. You are free to reject that reality. In my mind a purchase
requires cash and a buy does not. In your mind, that might not be true
but we are not talking about what was in your mind, are we?
--

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1019. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:47:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821094709.06490.00004657@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17311

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/20/2001 5:27 PM Central Daylight Time

>I started to use the word "bartered" but the to/from
>thing got me confused about how to say it in English so I changed it to
>"bought".

Oh yes, Cecil. Forgive us. We non-Mensans might have had a hard time
understanding "bartered" vs "bought".

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason.

Yeah..."facts" and "fantasy" are two you like to interchange too.

> Each of those words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

More laughter so early in the morning.

>There was no contradiction.

Just obfuscation and deception...Very inventive.

>Here's the definitions I was using when I made the statements.

From now on, if you are to have ANY credibility, I think you should
publish a list of the prefered definitions for that particular post.

As it stands, that which you "publish" is without credibility and subject
to determination of merit.

1020. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:50:18 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210946410.19962-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17313

> Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
> Contest....."

75 meters aside, please tell me what frequencies you listen to that sound
like CB. How do you define "sound(ing) just like CB"?

Inquiring minds want to know.

1021. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:52:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821095242.06490.00004658@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17314

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/21/2001 8:23 AM Central Daylight Time

> I deliberately chose the word
>"purchased" to indicate I had not paid cash for marijuana

Deeper and deeper he sinks.......

Yeah, I routinely tell people I purchased a new radio that I didn't lay
out any cash for.

>I used the word "bought" because that covers bartering and
>"purchased" does not.

No it doesn't. You had better have a conversation with a grade school
English teacher.

>But what you say words mean
>is irrelevant to the meanings of the words I used.

You mean in the same way YOU keep insisting that I WAS forced to do
something when I wasn't...?!?! As if YOUR definition was the only one...?!?!

Guess the assertions are only valid when they SEEM to fit your rants.

As if WE had any doubt of that.

1022. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:54:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821095453.06490.00004659@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17315

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 08/21/2001 3:33 AM Central Daylight Time

>Point is, you got it by exchanging something of value for it. That's the
>same.
>morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it.

And the BOTTOM line is that it doesn't matter either way...posssesion of
marijuana is illegal. A felony in many states...a misdemeanor in others.

So...buy it, trade for it, or just say thanks...The result is the same.

1023. More Off Topic Stuff To Rant Over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:56:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821095647.06490.00004660@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17316

Don't know the validity of the assertions made herein, but I find it hard to ignore!

My, my. The Reverend Jessie Jackson recently announced that former U.S. Congressman Mel Reynolds has been added to the executive staff of the largely publicly funded Operation PUSH/Rainbow Coalition.

Reynolds was among the 176 convicted felons pardoned in former President Clinton's last minute forgiveness spree. Reynolds, a Democrat, formerly held the Congressional seat from the Southside of Chicago now held by Jessie Jackson, Junior. He had resigned after being convicted of 15 counts of wire fraud, bank fraud and perjury regarding reports filed with the Federal Elections Commission and receiving a sentence of six and one years by a Federal court. He was also convicted of statutory rape and sentenced to a concurrent term of five years by an Illinois court for having intercourse with a sixteen year old volunteer campaign worker.

This reresents a first in American politics. An ex-Congressman who had sex with a subordinate wins clemency from a President who had sex with a subordinate, and then hired by a clergyman who admitted having sex with a subordinate, all while being paid by the U.S. taxpayers. And the Democrats don't believe we deserve a tax cut as it will take money from essential activities.

Only in America!

1024. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 13:58:48 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sOtg7.27906$1p1.2010468@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17317

> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > Can you explain to us the material on the tests? Start with the very basics
> > > and tell us why an antenna radiates

> W6RCA (CAM) responded:
> The event is easily described by a physics equation.

Typical &%#*$&#*! no-coder answer. They think they can slip
through by memorizing four, 130-year-old equations and doing some
straightforward mathematical tricks (also memorized). They can
go to any college bookstore and find, literally, tons of books
telling them *precisely* how to do this kind of thing. All they
have to do is plug the numbers in, "turn the crank", and out
comes the desired solution. Where's the challenge in that?

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1025. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:04:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821100436.27119.00006948@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17318

>They can
>go to any college bookstore and find, literally, tons of books
>telling them *precisely* how to do this kind of thing. All they
>have to do is plug the numbers in, "turn the crank", and out
>comes the desired solution. Where's the challenge in that?

OH NO NO NO that cant be done, ask ED .

1026. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:05:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B826ABB.24BDAB06@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17320

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:

> No, that doesn't work, Cecil. The top message said that you've never
> "purchased" marijuana. The second message said you "bought" marijuana.

In my mind, a "purchase" requires money and a "buy" can be a barter.
That's why I chose different words in the two sentences. Think about
it, Dwight. Why did I choose different words for those two sentences?
It is because "purchase" and "buy" don't mean exactly the same thing.

> In other words, the two words have the exact same root meaning (to
> "buy").

Again, in my mind, a "purchase" requires money and a "buy" can be a
barter. In your mind, things may be different but we are not discussing
what was in your mind. You have no way of knowing what was in my mind.
All I can do is explain what was in my mind when I wrote those two
sentences. You are free to reject that reality. But you are not free
to tell me what was in my mind when I wrote those two sentences.

> So, again, which is the truth and which is not?

Both statements were true, Dwight, based on these definitions from the
dictionary and these are the typical definitions used by rural Texans.

"purchase - to acquire by payment of money"
"buy - to acquire through exchange"
"barter - to trade by exchange"

Given the above valid definitions straight from the dictionary, a purchase
requires money and a buy can be a barter, i.e. no money involved. Those
were the definitions I was using when I wrote those two statements. Why
else would I feel the need to differentiate "purchased" from "bought"?
In my mind, a "purchase" cannot be a barter but a "buy" can be a barter.
I think you will find those concepts to be the norm for rural Texas.

The definitions of these words in my mind agree with the dictionary
definitions posted above. "Dinner" for you may not mean the same thing
as "dinner" for me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1027. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:06:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821100621.27119.00006949@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17319

>If
>something is not done soon, ham radio will be dead in my area within the
>next 10-20 years.

Thats why Im saying get rid of the Written. Since the present system isnt
working

1028. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:09:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821100902.27119.00006950@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17321

>Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
>Contest....."

You are so correct, but dont tell that to ED, it only happens in small areas
of the country.

1029. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:10:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821101012.27119.00006951@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17322

>75 meters aside, please tell me what frequencies you listen to that sound
>like CB.

Try 20 Meters, then try 10, then of course the 2 meter CB Band

1030. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:17:40 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108211014190.20220-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17323

On 21 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> >75 meters aside, please tell me what frequencies you listen to that sound
> >like CB.
>
> Try 20 Meters, then try 10, then of course the 2 meter CB Band

On 20 I've had nothing but enjoyable PSK31 contacts. Haven't heard any roger beeps there. I have over 100 confirmed countries on 10 and in the time gathering those I've never heard anything CB-like. Finally, in my area, 2 meters sounds exactly like it did prior to restructuring, and prior to no-code. Your propaganda campaign isn't working. I'm on the bands every day. Things are not what you say. Do you even own a rig? Or do you just like trolling this newsgroup?

1031. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:27:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B826FC8.9F433AC0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17325

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Purchasing marijuana was legal at the turn of the century. Citizens
> >had a right to purchase marijuana.
>
> So? The dangers of the drug were not realized until later.

Why aren't the dangers of the drug realized in Holland? Are
those guys too dumb to notice or what? Could it be that they,
as a nation, are more enlightened than we are. Why is it
illegal to run scientific studies on marijuana? What are the
feds afraid those studies will prove?

> >You choose to choke to death instead?

> Ever hear of swallowing rather than spreading disease?

So when a fly flies into your mouth, you just swallow it?????
The whole problem with choking is that one cannot swallow. What
happens to spit during the Heimlich maneuver?

> >> > In Louisiana, it is illegal for a husband
> >> > and wife to have oral sex.

> How many times has that been enforced in the past 10 years?

Probably every time they were observed doing it. The purpose of the
law is to force people to do it in private. But the law applies to
everyone, not only to those doing it in public. The point is that
selective enforcement of bad laws is a very dangerous thing in our
society.

> >I frequent the Louisiana casinos and vacation often in New
> >Orleans so I care.

> Do you go there with your wife, Cecil?

Don't have a wife. I could get arrested for attempted oral sex
in a hotel room with an undercover lady cop whom I picked up in a
bar with absolutely no prostitution money involved. See the real
purpose of that law?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1032. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:27:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821102736.27111.00007082@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17324

>On 20 I've had nothing but enjoyable PSK31 contacts. Haven't heard any
>roger beeps there. I have over 100 confirmed countries on 10 and in the
>time gathering those I've never heard anything CB-like. Finally, in my
>area, 2 meters sounds exactly like it did prior to restructuring, and
>prior to no-code. Your propaganda campaign isn't working. I'm on the bands
>every day.

Of course you wouldnt see it, you are now use to and think its the Norm

1033. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:37:28 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821103728.01506.00000839@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17326

In my club we had 3 members die in 3 months.

1034. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:39:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82729E.9E35F62C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17327

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> There is a
> reason why so many people are in jail for drug related charges.

There's a sneaky reason for that. Most bona fide criminals use
illegal drugs. (Most bona fide criminals also use legal drugs.)
When the police suspect a violent crime and can't prove it, they
often get the perp on unrelated drug charges like Al Capone was
gotten on income tax evasion, not violent crime. This is why
even the police who use marijuana want to maintain the present
marijuana laws as an extra weapon at their disposal.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1035. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:42:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82736C.B5D6AAE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17330

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B8037CA.950EEAB6@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> I condemn ALL slavery.
> > >
> > >Me too, except for LEXX.
> >
> > What the heck is LEXX?

It's a program on the SciFi Channel. There's this gorgeous artificial
life form who was engineered to be a sex slave. She is always trying
to be a sex slave but is a complete failure at it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1036. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:43:58 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108211041540.20418-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17328

On 21 Aug 2001, WA8ULX wrote:

> Of course you wouldnt see it, you are now use to and think its the Norm

Oh really? How come it doesn't sound any different than 12 years ago, when I first got on HF? If that's the case it's not the fault of restructuring or no-code. The only thing left it could be is old-time lids like you.

Thanks for the clarification.

--Larry

1037. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:44:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821104427.27087.00006545@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17329

>In my club we had 3 members die in 3 months.

So

1038. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:45:14 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821104514.01506.00000840@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17331

I listen to HF qiute a bit. I do not hear the same problems that I have heard in Los Angeles. OF course I have heared a couple of idiots of 75 meters.

1039. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:45:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B827402.68F1A952@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17333

N2EY wrote:
> The census data says no slaves.

DUUUHHHHHHHH Jim, that's because the fugitive slaves were not citizens
of the state. Federal law required the returning of fugitive slaves
to their owners until 1984. Was that a good law or a bad law?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1040. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:47:05 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jvug7.27935$1p1.2013732@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17332

> > >> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?

> Major DeCoverly was my hero. Cut thru to the heart of the matter, no one
> knew what he did, and everyone was afraid of him.

My own favorite character was Milo Minderbinder when he was trying to
get everyone to eat chocolate-covered Egyptian cotton because he had
gotten caught in a commodity glut trying to corner the cotton market.
Or when he contracted with Yossarian's squadron to bomb its own airbase,
thus making warfare more cost-efficient.

I think of Milo every time I watch an infomercial on TV. Or listen
to one of George W's speeches.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1041. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:48:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821104824.27111.00007085@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17334

>I listen to HF qiute a bit. I do not hear the same problems that I have heard
>in Los Angeles. OF course I have heared a couple of idiots of 75 meters.

By the way what is your callsign???

1042. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:48:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8274C2.AFB55B97@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17336

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > In fact, by 1850 there were NO slaves in PA.
> >
> > No runaway slaves made it from Virginia to Pennsylvania after 1850?
>
> Let's see....If they made it to Pennsylvania, they'd no longer be
> slaves.

Don't know much about history do you, Dave? The Fugitive Slave Laws
and the Compromise of 1850 required state and federal officers to
return fugitive slaves to their owners. This law was not repealed
until 1864. Fugitive slaves who made it to Pennsylvania were still
legally slaves according to federal law up until 1864.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1043. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:49:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821104922.01506.00000841@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17335

>Try 20 Meters, then try 10, then of course the 2 meter CB Band
>

Where live 2 meters does not sound anything like CB. Gee Bruce maybe down in COVINGTON LA 2 meters is like that but not in Northern Ca.

1044. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:50:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82754C.E5920D56@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17339

N2EY wrote:
> Isn't freedom better than slavery?

Consider this. If slavery had never existed in human history the
world would be a very different place. You and I probably would
have never been born.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1045. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:52:39 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821105239.01506.00000843@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17337

Bruce, tell me something, how many public srvice events to you help out with on a given year. How many young people have elmered. in the past year.

1046. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:52:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821105256.27127.00007964@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17338

>Where live 2 meters does not sound anything like CB. Gee Bruce maybe down in
>COVINGTON LA 2 meters is like that but not in Northern Ca.

Oh i see your Problem now, your 2 meter CB isnt working.

1047. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:54:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B827614.F63AB45A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17341

W1RFI wrote:
> ... they believe that the only people that become hams are those who
> wanted to do so before but were waiting for the requirements to be changed.

Unborn babies were waiting for the requirements to change? That sure
must have been hard on the mothers.

> They then feel that the best thing they can do is to berate new hams at every
> opportunity. What is really the pity is that somehow, they feel they are doing
> good for ham radio.

You really think they are that delusional, Ed?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1048. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:55:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821105558.27119.00006969@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17340

>Bruce, tell me something, how many public srvice events to you help out with
>on
>a given year. How many young people have elmered. in the past year.

THATS Easy, ZERO, and forget the past year BS lets talk the last 25 Years.

1049. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:56:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B827695.3E2DEBF2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17342

WA8ULX wrote:
> Of course Im better than anyone else, ...

Obviously not at English.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1050. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 14:59:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B827744.EA310CCC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17344

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Drpfrench wrote:
> > in this group that can give a reason that CW makes some one a better ham. The
> > is because it does not.
>
> Says you, but then you don't know any CW so you don't know what you're talking
> about do you?

So I can use the same argument with marijuana? Anyone who has not
smoked marijuana doesn't know that they are talking about.

You forget to say that the FCC and I agree with Drpfrench and
disagree with you.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1051. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:01:08 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821110108.01506.00000847@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17343

Another assumtionist. Well I do know CW, I have a General Class lic. Now go to the doctor and have you r taken out of your mouth. I know you are also a General Class lic. because I looked up your name on QRZ. Rather than assume your are codeless, or is it clueless.

1052. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:02:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B827801.26027787@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17347

Richard Carroll wrote:
> Ed, with just a little more effort you and Cecil both could easily qualify as a
> disgrace to the traditions of ham radio.

Dick, with friends like you, ham radio doesn't need any enemies.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1053. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:02:30 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821110230.01506.00000848@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17345

Of course he is not, why would he want to be bothered by facts.

1054. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:04:07 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821110407.27079.00007870@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17346

>I know you are also a
>General Class lic. because I looked up your name on QRZ.

I see you also have a reading Problem, go back to QRZ, I think you will find
its not a General, and again what was your Callsign???

1055. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:04:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B827896.81308613@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17350

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >IMHO, it is easier to learn the underlying material than it is to rote
> >memorize
> >over 1000 questions without understanding
>
> I see ED doesnt understand the concept of WORD association, Ed go to any High
> School and maybe they can exlpin it to you.

Seems it's you who doesn't understand WORD association. Word
association is exactly the technique I used in 1952 to pass
the general written exam when I was in junior high school.
I'm not surprised that a technique that worked so well in the
50s is still in use.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1056. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:05:20 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821110520.01506.00000850@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17348

Richard, how many public service events have you helped with this year. How many youg people have you elmered this year.

1057. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:06:54 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821110654.01506.00000852@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17349

Richard does not want to bothered with facts. They do not work in his little world.

1058. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:09:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821110902.27079.00007872@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17151

>Of course he is not, why would he want to be bothered by facts.
>

Well no Call its been fun, but I have to go to work and wont be back for a couple of days, so in the mean time try and come up with a Call Sign, if you dont have one dont worry, just go back to QRZ and pick one, no one will know.

1059. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:11:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821111141.27119.00006971@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17152

>Obviously not at English.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Doesnt matter, Im on a Ham Radio Group and knowledge of anything is not requied

1060. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:17:04 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821111704.01506.00000854@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17153

Bruce, do you know how stupid you are making your self sound. Could it be that your favorite radio has three parts to it, Two tin cans and a peice of string. That way you ae not bothered by CB'rs.

1061. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:18:40 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821111840.01506.00000857@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17154

It will make them a better CW operator, but that does not make them better Hams.

1062. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:28:00 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821112800.01506.00000858@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17155

Tell me CW make a person a better radio operator, it Does not!!!!!! WHere does knowing CW teach a person what kind a pattern a diploe has at a given height. What part of CW teaches a person which direction to point his ant. WHat part of learning CW teaches the importance of a good ground. What part of CW teaches a person how long they can operates at 5 watts of power using a 30 amp hour battery. Learning CW will not make a person a better operator. Richard, go take up stamp collecting. Go away bad dream

1063. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:55:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821115543.08639.00007068@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 17156

In article <3B818E99.94E5D6F4@home.com>, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
writes:

>Only to the extent that when I begin thinking about it I want to close my
>eyes and lick my
>lips. Let's see now. Cilantro with frijoles, Cilantro with tacos, Cilantro
>with enchiladas......wait. I can't see to type.

I can't understand all the hype over Cilantro. I'm a fresh Basil
man, myself!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1064. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 15:55:45 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821115545.08639.00007069@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 17157

In article <20010820062205.13097.00000501@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Male bovine effluvium, Ed!
>
>Are you saying that you believe that Amateur Radio numbers have been
>decreasing with respect to the general population?

Ed:

According to the last figures I saw, there was an insignificant increase, less than
2,000 newly-licensed hams since April, '00. Again I ask -- where are the hoardes
of eager, technically-qualified YOUNG enthusiasts?

>Or are you perhaps saying that you
>believe that you and Bruce are not prophets of doom and gloom?

I'm not "doomy and gloomy" about anything, Ed. I'm just simply stating the truth, as I see it. I won't presume to speak for Bruce, but I rather suspect that he is doing likewise.

>Somehow, I am
>finding it difficult to understand how you can, with a straight face, claim
>that a modest growth in Amateur Radio and people upgrading their licences is
>a bad thing.

I don't recall saying that it was a bad thing, Ed. That's just you trying to put
words in my mouth. Stop working Cecil's side of the street, will you?

>>In the Pre-Restructuring Era, we were promised
>>by the ARRL leadership that reducing and/or eliminating code testing
>>requirements would attract large numbers of young, computer-literate,
>>technically-inclined people to the ARS.
>
>I was not given that promise by my ARRL leadership. Can you point to any web
>page or published article, newsletter, etc., where that promise was made to
>you?

I was given this promise personally by Hugh Turnbull, W3ABC, at the Maryland State Convention in 1994 or 1995. I didn't think to get it in writing. Maybe the next time you see Hugh, you can ask if he remembers it.

>> What did we get so far? Just a lot of upgrading as a result of St.
>Valentine's
>> Day 1991 hams passing a 5 WPM code test somehow, and others taking
>advantage
>> of grandfathering. No significant numbers of new hams whatsoever,
>
>So the doom and gloom is that Amateur Radio is only growing a little bit?
>When
>you consider that just now are we starting to see ANY *potential* decrease in
>our numbers because the first 10-year licences are starting to expire, any
>increase is encouraging, IMHO. Of course, one cannot stand on a soapbox and
>preach to one's fellows with a message of hope and enthusiasm, can one...

WHY should I have "hope and enthusiasm" Ed? I've watched the simple,
easily achievable licensing requirements for the ARS be "dumbed-down" to
where they're not just a joke, they're a pretty bad joke at that! In spite of
this,
there is still no significant growth, particularly with respect to "young"
people which seem to be the Holy Grail of ham radio's future, according to
the ARRL. One hundred percent of the newly-licensed hams I know of
personally are people who are approximately my age (48) or older. The
only "young" people I've EVER known as licensed hams were always
the sons and/or daughters of a licensed ham parent (usually the father,
with an occasional OM/XYL set thrown in). Since I've been licensed
in 1981, I've never known a ham under the age of 30 who became a ham
completely on his own -- other than myself.

>>''and the upgraders are just more of the same middle-aged, middle-class
>>white males that have always dominated the amateur radio demographic.

>Is this supposed to be some great relevation, Larry, that upgrades coming
>from the population of Amateurs consists of that population of Amateurs? Duh!

I'll admit, you've got me there, Ed! Congratulations -- I'm sure that made
your day!

[Snip!]

>>The ARRL has done little if anything in at least the past 20 years to
>>publicize or popularize our hobby/service -- except to lay that
>>responsibility on it's members who are ill equipped to do so in anything
>>like an effective manner.
>
>http://www.arrl.org/pio/
>
>IMHO, it is the local Amateur community that is *best* equipped to identify
>local media-public relations opportunities and secure the appropriate
>publicity. If ARRL were to have taken complete control of local hams and the
>publicity they generate, would you be here praising the League, or
>criticizing it for being too controlling? I think we all know what the answer
> would be, Larry.

Don't look now, Ed, but I'm not talking about "local" media publicity for amateur radio. I'm talking about a NATIONAL, MAJOR MEDIA publicity campaign, utilizing nationally broadcast TV public service announcements and major media print advertising. If you don't understand this concept, turn on your TV or pick up a copy of USA Today or Newsweek sometime.

>>What the ARS needs for growth is a national, mass-media advertising
>>campaign. There are probably two dozen well-known celebrities who are
>>currently licensed radio amateurs. Why don't we EVER see their names
>>and faces on the TV screen -- appealing to young people to get interested
>>in amateur radio? I know for an absolute fact that this is something which
>>is doable, because one of the reasons why I'm a ham today is because I
>>saw Dick Van Dyke, sitting behind a Collins transceiver, plugging ham
>>radio on an ARRL public service announcement. Why can't we get
>>Ronnie Milsap, or Joe Walsh, or Jon Bon Jovi, or any of a long list of
>>current celebrity-hams to do the same thing now? Don't say the ARRL
>>can't afford it -- because from where I'm sitting -- they can't afford NOT
>>to!
>
>What you saw was a local public-service announcement, not a media blitz. At
>the current rates for prime-time ads, ARRL would run about a dozen national
>ads
>and shoot the wad on the budget. I disagree with you that doing so would be
>effective.

Well, then, there you have it. The ARRL is completely powerless to approach currently licensed ham celebrities, and request their assistance, as hams, in utilizing their own time and talent (donated) and their media contacts to help
reduce or totally defray production costs for such a campaign! Who made this decision? Or are you just afraid to ask? I believe celebrity hams have an
obligation to help support our hobby/service, and I also believe that there are a lot of them out there who are willing and able to do so. I'm sure it would
be worth the effort for ARRL HQ to approach known, currently licensed celebrity hams and ask for whatever support they could offer. Where could be the harm in that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1065. Re: More freebies: NASA will launch Isralei satellite for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:06:30 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lu4cvnvp1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17158
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And Jonathan Pollock is a spy?

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gibnmx3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:

> On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 17:19:49 -0400, radiosigs wrote:
>
>>You mean the US has been using Israel as cyber guinea pigs?
>
> Israel gets to test, refine, correct, and very often redesign things
> that go boom, vrooom, bang, whoosh, and ka-powie before The Uncle
> puts them into service.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1066. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:20:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82987B.322B8CD7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17159

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> I have apologized before, when facts bore out that such was appropriate.
> So far, I do not have anything that would indicate that is so now. If and
> when I do, such will be forthcoming.

Maybe this will refresh your faulty memory. My computer saves every
posting that I make. I believe this is every word I said about growing
marijuana in my garden. Everything is hypothetical. There's not a single
word about me actually growing marijuana in my garden. So prove that you
are a man of your word, Steve.

Exactly where in The Constitution does it give the feds the
right to keep me from growing marijuana in my garden?

Keeping me from growing marijuana in my garden for my private
use is NOT "for the common good".

> Cecil, are you selling any of your garden variety.
Sorry, I've retired from gardening.

Exactly who is the victim if I smoke marijuana in the privacy
of my home after growing it in my garden?

If you don't believe I have a right to grow marijuana in my
garden for my private use then that's prima facie evidence
that you believe my life does not belong to me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1067. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:27:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B829A1B.511CE13A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17160

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> Yeah, I routinely tell people I purchased a new radio that I didn't lay
> out any cash for.

That simply proves my point that "purchased" implies money. Why did I
use two different words if I believed they mean the same thing?

> >I used the word "bought" because that covers bartering and
> >"purchased" does not.
>
> No it doesn't. You had better have a conversation with a grade school
> English teacher.

I published the definition directly from the dictionary, Steve. You had
better get you a dictionary. "buy - to acquire by exchange" No money
required.

> >But what you say words mean
> >is irrelevant to the meanings of the words I used.
>
> You mean in the same way YOU keep insisting that I WAS forced to do
> something when I wasn't...?!?! As if YOUR definition was the only one...?!?!

The person who uses the words first gets to choose the definitions
from the available definitions in the dictionary, Steve.

I'm the one who used all those words first. You haven't even expended
the effort to publish the definition of "forced" that you are using.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1068. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:32:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B829B1A.83908B44@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17161

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 08/21/2001 3:33 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Point is, you got it by exchanging something of value for it. That's the
> >same.
> >morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it.
>
> And the BOTTOM line is that it doesn't matter either way...posssesion of
> marijuana is illegal. A felony in many states...a misdemeanor in others.
>
> So...buy it, trade for it, or just say thanks...The result is the same.

Nobody said they were not illegal and weren't morally, ethically, and
legally the same. The argument was over what I was thinking when I
wrote those two statements. Nice try at obfuscation. Didn't work.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1069. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:37:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108210937.549a39a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17162

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B82754C.E5920D56@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Isn't freedom better than slavery?
>
> Consider this.

Why? It's unrealistic. Not a black-and-white situation.

> If

"If is for children, spinning daydreams."

> slavery had never existed in human history the
> world would be a very different place.

It would be a much better place. Perhaps the pyramids and the
plantation mansions wouldn't have been built, but that's no big loss.

> You and I probably would
> have never been born.

Maybe you wouldn't have been born, but I'd be here.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1070. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:39:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B829CD9.D3DAA5DB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17164

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Oh yes, Cecil. Forgive us. We non-Mensans might have had a hard time
> understanding "bartered" vs "bought".

The question was not over the meaning. The question was how to use
"barter" correctly in a sentence. Does one barter to or from or with.
"I bartered marijuana with my friends" just doesn't sound right.
That's why I changed it to "bought" which means "acquired through
exchange".

> From now on, if you are to have ANY credibility, I think you should
> publish a list of the prefered definitions for that particular post.

What does "dinner" mean to you, Steve? It means different things to
different people. To me, "purchased" and "bought" have overlapping
and non-overlapping meanings. I have explained what I was thinking
when I wrote those two statements. Probably most rural Texans
would agree with me as does the dictionary. Do you own a dictionary?
Do you ever open it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1071. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:40:46 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B829D1E.6E32DC69@uci.edu>
Source record: 17163

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> So, you're saying the entire south is guilty and has to justify what
> "it" did. That is exactly the attitude that so many in the south have
> tried to correct over the last 150 years. It is a very hateful
> attitude, especially coming from people in states that were also
> involved in slavery. More importantly, it is a very harmful attitude -
> it can justify any action against those evil southerners.

Dwight. I can only tell you what it looks like to someone who is not from the South. When I hear Southerners talking about how bad a guy Lincoln was, how he didn't free the slaves, how the South was the victim in the Civil War, and how the Civil War wasn't about slavery I can only conclude that they are trying to make themselves feel better about something through justification, and by displacing blame.

1072. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:46:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B829E74.8F1B2696@uci.edu>
Source record: 17169

CAM wrote:
> By exempting the Parishes of St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, St.
> John, St. Charles, St. James Ascension, Assumption, Terrebonne, Lafourche,
> St. Mary, St. Martin, and Orleans, from the proclamation, it allowed slavery
> to continue in Louisiana. By exempting the counties of Berkley, Accomac,
> Northampton, Elizabeth City, York, Princess Ann, and Norfolk from the
> proclamation, it allowed slavery to continue in Virginia. By exempting the
> counties of West Virginia from the proclamation, it allowed slavery to
> continue in West Virginia.

All of the Northern States were exempted too, Cecil. So by your logic,
Lincoln approved of slavery in those states. I think you take on things
is a little askew. He needed to give no ultimatum to areas which were
sympathetic to abolition, so he didn't give them an ultimatum.

1073. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:46:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821134656.21495.00006786@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17167

In article <20010821073656.21549.00002814@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>of
>>course it
>>would be nice if the ARRL would make it easy to find the KIDS DAY info the
>>ARRL
>>web site, I new it was there but it took almost and hour to find it (The
>ARRL
>>needs a new
>>webmaster).
>
>I typed in "kid's day" in the search box and the following was the 2nd URL in
>the search results:
>
>http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

That's all very interesting -- but why isn't there a nice, big, easily locatable "Kids Day" icon right on arrl.org's home page? I thought that encouraging young people into ham radio was the Holy Grail of the ARRL. I know absolutely nothing about producing web pages, but I do know that the ARRL's is one of the worst I've ever seen! The ARRL home page has a photo right on top, depicting two kids talking into radios. Why doesn't clicking on this photo activate a hot link to the Kid's Day information? None of the photos on the ARRL site are hot links -- you have only the typical blue hypertext links. I think you need a webmaster who isn't a typical middle-aged, middle-class white male ham!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1074. Re: wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:46:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821134658.21495.00006787@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17166

In article <20010821072638.21549.00002812@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>I would seem that Bruce will not answer post that he does not have a good
>>argument to.
>
>If that were the case, he wouldn't answer any posts at all. :-)
>
>It would seem to me that Bruce will not answer a post he doesn't have a good
>insult to. Kinda' sad, actually.

Ed:

Don't look now, but by saying that, you just insulted Bruce! Kinda' sad, actually!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1075. Re: wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:46:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821134659.21495.00006788@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17165

In article <20010821012636.28971.00000601@ng-cr1.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>I would seem that Bruce will not answer post that he does not have a good
>argument to.

Uhhh, don't look now, but isn't that precisely what everyone else does on this, or any other, newsgroup? Why should we reply to a post unless we have what we consider to be "a good argument?"

I'll tell you something else that Bruce doesn't do -- he doesn't make a fool of himself making absurd statements like yours!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1076. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:47:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821134700.21495.00006789@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17168

In article <3B8208A2.C36C68F6@townsqr.com>, Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> There are probably two dozen well-known celebrities who are
>> currently licensed radio amateurs. Why don't we EVER see their names
>> and faces on the TV screen -- appealing to young people to get interested
>> in amateur radio?
>
> That's an easy one- They know that ham radio has devolved into something
>they
>don't want to be publicly associated with. I can tell you something more-
>there
>have been a number of times in late years when I kept silent rather than be
>associated with it, too. Haven't you?

Dick:

Now that you mention it, yes, that's true! I've never been an active
"disciple"
of ham radio -- the kind that goes out actively "recruiting" new hams. The
main reason for that is that nobody in my memory ever went out and actively
recruited *me*! I became a ham mainly due to having gained an awareness
of it through the study involved in my activities as a general electronics
hobbyist. The first successful projects I built came out of a book written by
Bill Orr, W6SAI, and my inquiry at the Lafayette radio store one day as to
what those letter after Orr's name meant was the first time I became aware
of ham radio. I immediately read everything I could get my hands on about
ham radio, developed a keen interest, but had my hopes of becoming a ham
dashed by the requirement to learn Morse code which, at the time, I felt was
an unnecessary and totally unfair requirement. You all know the rest of the
story.

When I finally became licensed, there were still no "open arms" to greet me
as a welcome, enthusiastically encouraged fellow ham. Every club meeting
I attended found me relegated to the back row -- usually never acknowledged,
much less asked to introduce myself. The shocking lack of simple social
graces in ham clubs was something that almost turned me off right at the
beginning. Fortunately, a few members who were the exception to the
rule approached me, welcomed me, and we got to know each other and
became friends. As I participated in the club more, my interest grew, and I
embarked on an accelerated upgrading path. However, as I moved around
the world during my Air Force career, I kept running into the cold shoulder
treatment at radio clubs I subsequently visited. The coup de gras was

administered by ARRL HQ itself, during my visits there in '83 and '84 -- where I was lucky not to have been shot as a trespasser!

No, Dick -- what goes around comes around, and I've always had pretty much the same attitude toward "recruiting" new hams as I personally encountered during my own pre-ham and early-ham years. When I meet a new ham or an interested visitor to a club meeting, I do make a point of welcoming him or her, and offering to ask whatever questions they may have. However, I can't give that person the interest, enthusiasm, or determination I had to become a ham. That is something they need to bring with them. And if I see that all they want is repeater access, and aren't already full of knowledge and curiosity about the totality of ham radio through their personal research, I see no point in encouraging more indifference into the hobby. However, I do become more convinced that we need to give up our 220 MHz band to accommodate the ham wannabes that just want to play with neat, capable radio toys but don't have all the other attributes of real hams.

I'll leave the "recruiting" to people like Ed Hare. He has enough political correctness to cover hundreds of normal, generally dissatisfied and increasingly unenthusiastic hams like myself. Of course, it would be interesting to see how he tries to "turn us around," but I've not seen any efforts in that direction so far! You see, he doesn't really "need" me, because I'm already committed to being an ARRL member for as long as there is something called amateur radio, and he knows it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1077. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:48:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B829F08.EE5117E1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17170

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >I have pointed you to the place where you can buy my quoted reference
> >material for $40 or you can wait for a rerun on the History Channel.
>
> Which is NOT "fact".

It is a fact that the History Channel TV program said that it is
illegal to test class 1 drugs.

> YOU asserted that
> the federal government is prohibited from testing drugs.

Yes, based on the information available from the History Channel
TV program. I am satisfied that it was a true statement. If you
are not, feel free to satisfy yourself. I am not going to do
your work for you.

> That's not evidence.

Of course it's evidence, Steve. It would be admissible in a court
of law and the jury would weigh that evidence. If you and I were
on the jury, I would accept the evidence and you would reject the
evidence. Your rejection of the evidence is not my problem.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1078. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:54:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82A065.FFAD3E56@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17171

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I can't understand all the hype over Cilantro. I'm a fresh Basil
> man, myself!

It's a little known fact. Cilantro has a narcotic effect on some
people. But please don't tell the feds about that. We don't need
another stupid law.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1079. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:56:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82A0DD.63E1EB48@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17172

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >75 meters aside, please tell me what frequencies you listen to that sound
> >like CB.
>
> Try 20 Meters, then try 10, then of course the 2 meter CB Band

Seems to be a big difference to me. Hams are speaking fairly good
English. The CBers seem to have their own language.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1080. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 17:58:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82A13F.A4ABA46A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17173

WA8ULX wrote:
> Of course you wouldnt see it, you are now use to and think its the Norm

What's wrong with Norm? He seems like a nice sort of guy to me.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1081. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 18:04:46 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108211400590.21355-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17174

> personally are people who are approximately my age (48) or older. The
> only "young" people I've EVER known as licensed hams were always
> the sons and/or daughters of a licensed ham parent (usually the father,
> with an occasional OM/XYL set thrown in). Since I've been licensed
> in 1981, I've never known a ham under the age of 30 who became a ham
> completely on his own -- other than myself.

I'm under 30, have been an Extra class since my early teens (yes, a real 20wpm Extra), and had nobody in my family or even neighborhood who was a ham. A local ham who I got in touch with was kind enough to drive me to exam sessions, since I wasn't old enough to drive. Other than that, I had no help.

-L

1082. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 18:09:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82A3E2.AA8293AF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17175

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Again I ask -- where are the hoardes
> of eager, technically-qualified YOUNG enthusiasts?

Just where they have always been - sitting there with their no-code tech licenses waiting for the Morse code requirement to go away. I am in email contact with a bunch of them including Dr. Williamson (PhD EE in case you have forgotten). A couple of them are dropping by for a visit on their way from Colorado to Florida.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1083. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 18:10:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82A42A.F5038EDE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17176

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > There are probably two dozen well-known celebrities who are
> > currently licensed radio amateurs. Why don't we EVER see their names
> > and faces on the TV screen -- appealing to young people to get interested
> > in amateur radio?
>
> That's an easy one- They know that ham radio has devolved into something they
> don't want to be publicly associated with.

Too bad that hasn't happened to you. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1084. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 18:21:50 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B82A6BE.4284C9F5@uci.edu>
Source record: 17177

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > Isn't freedom better than slavery?

> Consider this. If slavery had never existed in human history the
> world would be a very different place. You and I probably would
> have never been born.

Think of all the souls who might have been born, had their would-be
fathers not been killed in the war.

1085. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 18:48:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82ACF7.12DE73DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17178

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > By exempting the Parishes of St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, St.
> > John, St. Charles, St. James Ascension, Assumption, Terrebonne, Lafourche,
> > St. Mary, St. Martin, and Orleans, from the proclamation, it allowed slavery
> > to continue in Louisiana. By exempting the counties of Berkley, Accomac,
> > Northampton, Elizabeth City, York, Princess Ann, and Norfolk from the
> > proclamation, it allowed slavery to continue in Virginia. By exempting the
> > counties of West Virginia from the proclamation, it allowed slavery to
> > continue in West Virginia.
>
> All of the Northern States were exempted too, Cecil. So by your logic,
> Lincoln approved of slavery in those states.

I never said "Lincoln approved of slavery in those states". I don't
know if he approved or not. More than likely, he was constrained by
political boundary conditions but I don't know what those were. All
I know is what it says in the Emancipation Proclamation. Nothing
additional is implied in my remarks.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1086. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 18:56:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82AEF8.EB7FCF45@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17179

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>
> > You and I probably would have never been born.
>
> Maybe you wouldn't have been born, but I'd be here.

Please prove it, Jim. :-) Eliminating slavery throughout all of
human history would cause a catastrophic change in the present.
Some nations would never have arisen. The American Indians might
have discovered the primitive European "New World".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1087. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 19:02:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82B030.7E2D535B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17180

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> When I finally became licensed, there were still no "open arms" to greet me
> as a welcome, enthusiastically encouraged fellow ham.

You seem to have had that same problem in all areas of your life,
Larry. Maybe that should tell you something.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1088. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 19:03:06 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B82B06A.CF20A999@uci.edu>
Source record: 17181

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > By exempting the Parishes of St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, St.
> > > John, St. Charles, St. James Ascension, Assumption, Terrebonne, Lafourche,
> > > St. Mary, St. Martin, and Orleans, from the proclamation, it allowed slavery
> > > to continue in Louisiana. By exempting the counties of Berkley, Accomac,
> > > Northampton, Elizabeth City, York, Princess Ann, and Norfolk from the
> > > proclamation, it allowed slavery to continue in Virginia. By exempting the
> > > counties of West Virginia from the proclamation, it allowed slavery to
> > > continue in West Virginia.
> >
> > All of the Northern States were exempted too, Cecil. So by your logic,
> > Lincoln approved of slavery in those states.
>
> I never said "Lincoln approved of slavery in those states". I don't
> know if he approved or not. More than likely, he was constrained by
> political boundary conditions but I don't know what those were. All
> I know is what it says in the Emancipation Proclamation. Nothing
> additional is implied in my remarks.

BS alert. You claim that the abolition of slavery applied only to the
states mentioned in the proclamation. You said that other areas were
exempt. What then did you mean by exempt?

1089. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 19:43:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82B9F5.B8DB6A39@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17182

Jim Kelley wrote:
> BS alert. You claim that the abolition of slavery applied only to the
> states mentioned in the proclamation. You said that other areas were
> exempt. What then did you mean by exempt?

Remember we are talking about the date of the proclamation itself.
It means that some special areas were exempt from the Emancipation
Proclamation. Those special areas are listed in the proclamation
itself. I don't know why those areas were exempt but they were.

It was apparently OK to own a slave after the Emancipation
Proclamation before the end of the war if one lived in New Orleans.
Could be that some slaves were not freed until the 13th amendment.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1090. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 19:51:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82BBD9.8D3605D7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17183

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> The potential outcome is not the same. One can lead to very serious
> legal consequences.

Here's something that I picked up off the web. Apparently the
National Institute of Medicine agrees with me.

"Determinations released last week by the Institute of Medicine that
marijuana holds medical value and has a low potential for abuse
supports an administrative petition that seeks to remove marijuana's
classification as a Schedule I prohibited drug."

Note the "LOW POTENTIAL FOR ABUSE" part.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1091. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 20:03:22 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821160322.11688.00001644@mb-fz.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17184

>I'm a fresh Basil
>man, myself!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh my goodness, is this what pesto (I'm not sure if that's spelled correctly.) is made from? I had a dish made with pesto once and I felt like a pesto soaked sponge for a week. E-gad...I'm not superman, but if I were...you just id'd my Kryptonite. Take it easy, Larry. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1092. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 20:08:21 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B82BFB5.73F687D2@uci.edu>
Source record: 17185

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > BS alert. You claim that the abolition of slavery applied only to the
> > states mentioned in the proclamation. You said that other areas were
> > exempt. What then did you mean by exempt?
>
> Remember we are talking about the date of the proclamation itself.
> It means that some special areas were exempt from the Emancipation
> Proclamation. Those special areas are listed in the proclamation
> itself. I don't know why those areas were exempt but they were.

I don't see the word "exempt" anywhere in the proclamation, Cecil.
Since it's not in there, you'll have to tell us what you mean by
"exempt".

> It was apparently OK to own a slave after the Emancipation
> Proclamation before the end of the war if one lived in New Orleans.

OK according to whom? Certainly not Abraham Lincoln.

> Could be that some slaves were not freed until the 13th amendment.

Maybe not even for a while after that. But the intent of the law was
that they should have been freed. Sort of like the Proclamation itself.

1093. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 20:34:38 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B82C5DB.47AA22D8@psu.edu>
Source record: 17186

Hi Beth. One of the first things you need to do is not use all caps.
That's the email equivalent of yelling at a person. Of course, lot's of
folks like to yell at Cecil, ha, ha. Otherwise, yer doing fine. Welcome
to the fray. Sometimes we even write about ham radio related stuff.

bye, - Mike -

BETH wrote:

> IM NEW TO THIS ....BUT I HAPPENED UP ON IT AND I THOUGHT I'D PUT
> MY TWO CENTS IN. I HAVE TO AGREE WITH STEVE,THE E.R IS A GREAT PLACE
> TO GET A LOOK AT HELL IN ALL IT'S BLOODY GLORY.

1094. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-21 21:08:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B82CDB0.D5A207E8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17187

mjc5 wrote:
> Hi Beth. One of the first things you need to do is not use all caps.
> That's the email equivalent of yelling at a person. Of course, lot's of
> folks like to yell at Cecil, ha, ha.

"ha, ha", indeed! Maybe Beth is using CP/M. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1095. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 00:07:10 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87r8u50xg1.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17189

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Whether there were exceptions to the Emancipation Proclamation is not
> > > a matter of opinion, Jim. There *WERE* exceptions enumerated in the
> > > proclamation. If you say there weren't, you are uttering a falsehood.
> > > History doesn't change to suit your moods unless, of course, God died
> > > and left you in charge.
> >
> > Okay, then there were exceptions. Please tell me which states were
> > allowed to have slavery. I've asked this a number of times already
> > without an answer. I've been claiming that the proclamation allowed no
> > states to have slavery. Please show me where I'm wrong. I'm apparently
> > too stupid to figure it out for my self.
>
> By exempting the Parishes of St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, St.
> John, St. Charles, St. James Ascension, Assumption, Terrebonne, Lafourche,
> St. Mary, St. Martin, and Orleans, from the proclamation, it allowed slavery
> to continue in Louisiana. By exempting the counties of Berkley, Accomac,
> Northampton, Elizabeth City, York, Princess Ann, and Norfolk from the
> proclamation, it allowed slavery to continue in Virginia. By exempting the
> counties of West Virginia from the proclamation, it allowed slavery to
> continue in West Virginia.

Plus the slave states that didn't secede: Delaware, Kentucky,
Maryland, and Missouri. (Maryland probably would have seceded
but Lincoln put the state effectively under martial law and
prevented the MD legislature from meeting to do so.)

Checking the list in the Proclamation which I found at
http://www.nps.gov/ncro/anti/emancipation.html ,
Tennessee is left off too, even though Tennessee did
secede.

Rich

1096. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 00:08:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B82F7EC.27F4735D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17190

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> I don't see the word "exempt" anywhere
> in the proclamation, Cecil. Since it's
> not in there, you'll have to tell us
> what you mean by "exempt".

Oh, come on, Jim. You're old enough to know that the word "exempt" means. It means "to be free from a rule or obligation which applies to others." So, when the proclamation says that this proclamation applies to these listed states, "except" these specific areas, then obviously those specific areas were exempt from the proclamation. Or do you have some other definition of the word "except" in the context it was used in that document?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1097. Re: concern of a ham-wannabe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 00:25:58 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <svu5otcivm22pfqg3i4eaniv9g3kdm9j8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 17191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 22:48:30 -0400, "Drink, WP3JQ"
<NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:

>I told her calmly and she said, "HA HA Ha ha ha, ahem. I'm sorry sir. I
>could've sworn you said Drinkwater".... :O

LOL! Made my day.

73, Bill W7TI

1098. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 00:33:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821203321.01082.00000093@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 17192

In article <3B827402.68F1A952@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> The census data says no slaves.
>
>DUUUHHHHHHHH Jim, that's because the fugitive slaves were not citizens
>of the state.

Doesn't matter. Noncitizens were counted in the census, too.

>Federal law required the returning of fugitive slaves
>to their owners until 1984.

1984?

>Was that a good law or a bad law?
>--
It was a very bad law. It was forced upon the free states to appease the slave states. It was wrong to do a deal with evil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1099. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 00:49:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B830166.5D6DCADA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17193

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > (snip)
>
> Neither did you answer when I asked
> about where slavery existed in Western
> civilization in 1860.

I didn't answer because I have no idea of what you're talking about - such geo-political divisions didn't exist in 1860. If you're referring to Europe, I've already answered that (a farmer in the south was far removed from the politics of Europe - he based his life on the laws in this country, not the laws in some distant country). In other words, your question is not relevant when talking about the standards of conduct or ethics in America.

> > Nice statistics, Jim. But that
> > again does not really answer what
> > I asked. Statistics does not tell
> > me about the Pennsylvania slave
> > owners, slave auctions, slave
> > traders, or the treatment of slaves
> > in that state.
>
> There isn't much to know because there
> weren't many slaves in PA.

So, because there weren't as many it is not worth learning about them to discover the answers to the questions I asked? At least we in the south don't ignore our history when it isn't comfortable.

> > Jim, all I see is denial in the
> > choice of that date. It's a nice
> > date for you because slavery still
> > existed in the south, but didn't
> > exist in your state. In other words,
> > using that date very conveniently
> > allows you to rant about slavery
> > without drawing attention to the
> > slavery that existed in your own state.
>
> Have I not condemned ALL slavery?

So have I, Jim. And so has almost every single southerner that I've ever met. But that hasn't satisfied you. You still want to talk about slavery in the south. So why would your condemnation stop me from wanting to discuss the slavery in your state? Again, if we're going to talk about slavery, lets stop hiding behind platitudes like "there wasn't many slaves in my state, so there isn't much to talk about" and lets instead get it all out in the open for all the world to see.

> > For example, if we use 1960
> > instead, we can say that slavery
> > didn't exist at all in the United
> > States.
>
> True - but repression of black
> people sure did. Shall we talk about
> voting rights, segregation and Jim
> Crow laws?

No problem, as long as you're also willing to talk about the many years that blacks were not allowed to vote in Pennsylvania, or the segregation in Pennsylvania (remember school busing), and so forth. Again, these things were not just limited to the south.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1100. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 01:14:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B830747.447B68D4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17194

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > So, you're saying the entire
> > south is guilty and has to justify
> > what "it" did. That is exactly the
> > attitude that so many in the south
> > have tried to correct over the last
> > 150 years. It is a very hateful
> > attitude, especially coming from
> > people in states that were also
> > involved in slavery. More importantly,
> > it is a very harmful attitude - it
> > can justify any action against those
> > evil southerners.
>
> Dwight. I can only tell you what it
> looks like to someone who is not from
> the South. When I hear Southerners
> talking about how bad a guy Lincoln was,
> how he didn't free the slaves, how the
> South was the victim in the Civil War,
> and how the Civil War wasn't about slavery
> I can only conclude that they are trying
> to make themselves feel better about
> something through justification, and by
> displacing blame.

And I would say that you're reading things that have not been said. For example, I have never said that Lincoln was bad. In fact, I have never said anything about Lincoln other than his emancipation proclamation only freed slaves in the confederate states (which is a fact of history).

Likewise, I have not said that the south was a victim in the civil war. Instead, I have said that the south has suffered in the decades following that war as a result of that war. Again, if you read about the events in the south following the civil war, you will also see that statement is historical fact.

And, finally, while most experts, and myself, acknowledge that slavery was an issue in the civil war (especially following Lincoln's proclamation), it was not a major cause of the war (the concept of state's rights played a much larger role).

Therefore, I don't see how you can perceive historical fact as justification or displacing blame. I live in the this century (not in the days of slavery and the civil war), so I have nothing to justify and no blame to displace. Or are you saying that I do?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1101. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 01:55:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8310D0.107E68EC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17195

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > In other words, the two words
> > have the exact same root meaning
> > (to "buy").
>
> Think about it, Dwight. Why did I
> choose two different words if
> they mean exactly the same thing.
> I deliberately chose the word
> "purchased" to indicate I had not
> paid cash for marijuana. I used
> the word "bought" because that
> covers bartering and "purchased"
> does not.

Cecil, I'm not an idiot. More importantly, the people reading this are not idiots. You chose two different words because of the context of each sentence. Likewise, when you posted those two messages months apart, you were not thinking of how you must use two different words to differentiate the implied meaning. You are not that brilliant (if you were, you would have simply used the word "barter" if that is what you truly meant to say).

> Again, in my mind when I used the
> words, "purchased" implied a cash
> transaction. "Bought" implied a
> barter transaction. Because I might
> have been mistaken about the meanings,
> I looked it up in the dictionary and
> it agrees with what I thought to be
> true.

Cecil, neither of those words require an exchange of cash, so in the end it really doesn't matter if you used money or exchanged goods, both of those words still mean the exact same thing in the context of those sentences. Therefore, those sentences still contradict each other, which clearly makes one of them false.

> (snip) That there exists different
> definitions from the one I choose
> to use is irrelevant. You agreed
> with that when we were arguing the
> definition of "forced". How can you

> now turn around and disagree with it?

Because no other definition supports the context of the sentences
the words were used in, nor does any other definition of those words
eliminate the contradiction in those sentences.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1102. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:03:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B83129F.DF25BA2F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17196

CAM wrote:
>
> I published the definition directly
> from the dictionary, Steve. You had
> better get you a dictionary. "buy -
> to acquire by exchange" No money
> required.

Neither of those words (purchase or bought) require the exchange of money, so the contradiction in your two statements still exist even using your present, somewhat silly, premise.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1103. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:19:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B831679.39184166@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17197

CAM wrote:
>
> Both statements were true, Dwight,
> based on these definitions from the
> dictionary and these are the typical
> definitions used by rural Texans.
>
> "purchase - to acquire by payment of money"
> "buy - to acquire through exchange"
> "barter - to trade by exchange"
>
> Given the above valid definitions straight
> from the dictionary, a purchase requires
> money and a buy can be a barter, i.e. no
> money involved.

Nonsense, tell me the name of the dictionary that does not include the word "buy" as a key definition of "purchase." For example, Webster's defines "purchase" as "to buy or anything bought." The words "purchase," "bought," and "buy," are all directly related - you cannot do one without the other (if you purchase something, you had to buy it, and if you bought something, it was purchased). The contradiction in your statements stills exist, and your credibility goes down with each attempt to worm your way out of that contradiction.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1104. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:32:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821223211.09419.00003021@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17200

In article <20010821112800.01506.00000858@mb-cu.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Tell me CW make a person a better radio operator, it Does not!!!!!!

Dripschittfrench:

CW does not make a person a better radio operator, it makes a person a REAL radio operator. One with genuine skill, and the ability to communicate under the most austere technical and propagation conditions. A person who doesn't know CW can coordinate the action of a PTT switch and his voice just as well as anyone else, but that doesn't define him as a radio operator for better *or* worse. That's something anyone can do, and a lot of people want to do -- without benefit of learning a true communications skill like the Morse code.

>WHere does knowing CW teach a person what kind a pattern a diploe has at a >given height. What part of CW teaches a person which direction to point his ant. >WHat part of learning CW teaches the importance of a good ground. What part of >CW teaches a person how long they can operates at 5 watts of power using a 30 >amp hour battery. Learning CW will not make a person a better operator.

Not learning CW doesn't accomplish any of the above either. Those of us who advocate code testing also advocate thorough, comprehensive written testing on basic theory, rules, regulations, operating practices, and safety procedures.

>Richard, go take up stamp collecting. Go away bad dream

You're having too many bad dreams. I suggest that you wake up and smell the coffee.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1105. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:32:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821223212.09419.00003022@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17199

In article <3B82A3E2.AA8293AF@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Just where they have always been - sitting there with their no-code
>tech licenses waiting for the Morse code requirement to go away.

Cecil:

Yes, don't we all know it -- they're sitting on their lazy, indifferent backsides, as usual! Well, they may have a long wait. In the meantime, don't they even want to give that mean 'ole 5 WPM code test a try? It may save them some waiting -- and then, they can claim to be a Dumbed Down Nickle Extra (DDNE) instead of a Brain Dead Zero WPM Extra (BD0WPME). Face it -- DDNE is a lot easier to say and type than BD0WPME!!!

> I
>am in email contact with a bunch of them including Dr. Williamson
>(PhD EE in case you have forgotten).

How could we ever forget our dear friend, Dr. Williamson! You don't seem to be able to shut up about him for five minutes! Unfortunately, the main thing we *do* know about him is that he's apparently too damn lazy to take a 5 WPM code test!

>A couple of them are dropping
>by for a visit on their way from Colorado to Florida.

Well, if they're dropping by, I hope their parachutes work!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1106. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:32:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010821223213.09419.00003023@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17198

In article <3B82B030.7E2D535B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> When I finally became licensed, there were still no "open arms" to greet me
>> as a welcome, enthusiastically encouraged fellow ham.
>
>You seem to have had that same problem in all areas of your life,
>Larry. Maybe that should tell you something.

Cecil:

"In all areas of my life?" How would you be aware of any areas of my
life other than those related to ham radio, and then only those which
I've decided to discuss in this newsgroup? Do you think you're omniscient
or something?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1107. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:34:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8319E8.124DAE62@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17351

CAM wrote:
>
> Here's something that I picked
> up off the web. Apparently the
> National Institute of Medicine
> agrees with me.

Before I reply, didn't you just say that it is illegal to run scientific studies on marijuana in this country? If that is true, how did the National Institute of Medicine come to this conclusion?

> "Determinations released last
> week by the Institute of Medicine
> that marijuana holds medical
> value and has a low potential for
> abuse supports an administrative
> petition that seeks to remove
> marijuana's classification as a
> Schedule I prohibited drug."

So what? Considering the number of people who abuse marijuana every single day in this country, the bias of this group is obvious with the statement that marijuana "has a low potential for abuse." If you're willing to accept junk science like this, I'm sure you could also find someone willing to say the exact same thing about heroin, crack, or cocaine.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1108. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:49:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B831DAB.DDDB223B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17352

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Neither of those words (purchase or bought) require the exchange of
> money, so the contradiction in your two statements still exist even
> using your present, somewhat silly, premise.

I'm telling you what I was thinking when I wrote those statements,
Dwight. In my mind, something "purchased" requires money and something
"bought" does not. That's why I used two different words to mean two
different things. If I had not meant two different things then I
would not have used two different words.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1109. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 02:59:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B831FFC.E575F82C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17353

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> You chose two different words because of the context
> of each sentence.

Yep, I chose two different words to convey two different meanings.
In my mind, those two words do carry two different meanings.

> Likewise, when you posted those two messages months
> apart, you were not thinking of how you must use two different words
> to differentiate the implied meaning. You are not that brilliant (if
> you were, you would have simply used the word "barter" if that is what
> you truly meant to say).

I typed in the word "bartered" first but couldn't figure out how to make
it sound right in a sentence. "I bartered marijuana with my friends" just
doesn't sound like correct English to me. So I changed "bartered" to
"bought" since their meanings overlap. It was no big deal.

> Cecil, neither of those words require an exchange of cash, so in the
> end it really doesn't matter if you used money or exchanged goods,
> both of those words still mean the exact same thing in the context of
> those sentences.

I'm telling you what I believed to be true at the time, Dwight. I had
not looked up the definitions. In my mind, "purchased" requires money
and "bought" doesn't. I will continue to use that convention because
it doesn't violate any dictionary definitions. I believe that same
convention is used in the area where I live. If you did a survey in
Madison County, TX and asked the question, "Does a purchase require
an exchange of money?", I'll bet 90% of the people around here would
say "yes".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1110. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:08:51 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821230851.01480.00000942@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17354

>>I expect that the ARRL will do then what it did a
>>couple of years ago and conduct surveys and ask for member input.
>
>And then when they get Member input do like they did last time, dont pay any
>attention to it
>
>
>

You just don't get it do you. The ARRL only makes recomendations. The FCC will decide what to do. As I recall., the ARRL was not happy with some of the changes the FCC made last time. Maybe you have selective memory.

1111. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:08:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B832246.D3E8BC7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17355

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Both statements were true, Dwight,
> > based on these definitions from the
> > dictionary and these are the typical
> > definitions used by rural Texans.
> >
> > "purchase - to acquire by payment of money"
> > "buy - to acquire through exchange"
> > "barter - to trade by exchange"
> >
> > Given the above valid definitions straight
> > from the dictionary, a purchase requires
> > money and a buy can be a barter, i.e. no
> > money involved.
>
> Nonsense, tell me the name of the dictionary that does not include
> the word "buy" as a key definition of "purchase."

The above definitions are the conventions that I use, Dwight.
"Purchase" does have a broader definition than I thought but
that is irrelevant to the discussion. "Purchase" indeed does
have the above definition which involves money and the above
definitions were the ones I was using. I still believe that
a purchase requiring money is the standard convention for this
part of the country and I will continue to use that convention.
I'm sorry if you don't like it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1112. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:13:06 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821231306.01480.00000943@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17356

Why even have to search for kids day. If a ham does not about kids day they will never learn about it form the web site. The ARRL should put a banner at the top of the web listing listing comming events at least 90 days ahaed of time. My club did a kids day station at a local mall. I got the idea almost two years ago. I statred to approach local mall 6 months ahead of time. That was hardy enough time. By the way it worked rather well.

1113. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:19:32 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821231932.01480.00000944@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17357

My call is KF6VYH. I just got home from work. I do not at the cumputer all day like you seem to be doing.

1114. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:26:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B832665.5222EF0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17358

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Before I reply, didn't you just say that it is illegal to run
> scientific studies on marijuana in this country? If that is true, how
> did the National Institute of Medicine come to this conclusion?

From observing the illegal users of marijuana, of course. The illegal use is so widespread it doesn't take a genius to draw some valid conclusions. The illegal use of marijuana for medical purposes is completely out in the open in California and is in violation of the federal drug laws. Something's gotta give. I expect the feds to move marijuana from schedule 1 to schedule 2 one of these days so that scientific research will not be illegal.

Actually, there is a legal way to get a waiver for research but I don't know of any waivers being issued. If any waivers were issued, it would be national news.

> So what? Considering the number of people who abuse marijuana every
> single day in this country, the bias of this group is obvious with the
> statement that marijuana "has a low potential for abuse." If you're
> willing to accept junk science like this, I'm sure you could also find
> someone willing to say the exact same thing about heroin, crack, or cocaine.

Junk science from the National Institute of Medicine? They have simply looked at reality and come to that logical, rational conclusion. Given the large number of people in this country who have tried marijuana at one time or another, it certainly seems to have a low potential for abuse. Seems to me, alcohol is abused more than is marijuana.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1115. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:28:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8326F2.44793DDD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17359

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Federal law required the returning of fugitive slaves
> >to their owners until 1984.
>
> 1984?

Don't know where that one came from - should have been 1864.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1116. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:31:43 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821233143.01480.00000945@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17360

OK, how about the last 25 years.

1117. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:37:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8328F6.9A3F8ED6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17361

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Just where they have always been - sitting there with their no-code
> >tech licenses waiting for the Morse code requirement to go away.
>
> In the meantime, don't they even want to give that mean 'ole 5 WPM
> code test a try?

Some of them upgrade to general and some don't. You want to make
upgrading mandatory?

> > I
> >am in email contact with a bunch of them including Dr. Williamson
> >(PhD EE in case you have forgotten).
>
> How could we ever forget our dear friend, Dr. Williamson! You don't
> seem to be able to shut up about him for five minutes! Unfortunately,
> the main thing we *do* know about him is that he's apparently too
> damn lazy to take a 5 WPM code test!

He's not a lazy person, Larry. For him, HF privileges are simply
not worth the effort of learning Morse code. It's his free will
decision. You want to take that away from him?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1118. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:40:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B832994.7E66562@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17363

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> When I finally became licensed, there were still no "open arms" to greet me
> >> as a welcome, enthusiastically encouraged fellow ham.
> > >
> >You seem to have had that same problem in all areas of your life,
> >Larry. Maybe that should tell you something.
>
> "In all areas of my life?" How would you be aware of any areas of my
> life other than those related to ham radio, and then only those which
> I've decided to discuss in this newsgroup?

You've told us about some of your work experience and military
experience, Larry. Your superiors were always mean to you and
you were always good to your inferiors. Remember?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1119. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:42:07 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821234207.01480.00000946@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17362

The point is, as the old hams die off. If we do not bring new YOUNGER PEOPLE into the hobby, ham radio will be a thing of the past. Our club has 120 members, only around 30 are under 65. Due the math.

1120. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 03:42:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B832A2A.2A08CED1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17364

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:
>
> >Tell me CW make a person a better radio operator, it Does not!!!!!!
>
> CW does not make a person a better radio operator, it makes a
> person a REAL radio operator.

Fortunately, it's the FCC's opinion that matters, Larry, and they
disagree with you.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1121. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 04:29:44 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8337C0.36B95E1D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17365

Larry Stanton wrote:

> > Says you, but then you don't know any CW so you don't know what you're talking
> > about do you?
>
> He said he passed his 5 WPM in 2000. So that would mean he knows CW.
> Aren't you following the thread?

So if he passed a 5wpm cpde test at some time he knows CW? That just shows how little you know, too. Sorry.

1122. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 04:31:05 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B83278F.1DF46E05@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17366

Same thing the pirates on 40 meters said.

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> Dwight. I can only tell you what it looks like to someone who is not
> from the South. When I hear Southerners talking about how bad a guy
> Lincoln was, how he didn't free the slaves, how the South was the victim
> in the Civil War, and how the Civil War wasn't about slavery I can only
> conclude that they are trying to make themselves feel better about
> something through justification, and by displacing blame.

1123. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 04:32:36 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B83386C.69D330F7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17368

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Drpfrench wrote:
> > > in this group that can give a reason that CW makes some one a better ham. The
> > > is because it does not.
> >
> > Says you, but then you don't know any CW so you don't know what you're talking
> > about do you?
>
> So I can use the same argument with marijuana? Anyone who has not
> smoked marijuana doesn't know that they are talking about.
>
> You forget to say that the FCC and I agree with Drpfrench and
> disagree with you.

You think you're making a point but the FCC couldn't care less and you can't find it with both hands these days for all the dope and joy juice you've inhaled. Poor point, really.

1124. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 04:32:57 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8327FD.48AA271F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17367

If the damn pirates had stayed off of the 40 meter ham band there would never have been a civil war.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > In other words, the two words
> > > have the exact same root meaning
> > > (to "buy").
> >
> > Think about it, Dwight. Why did I
> > choose two different words if
> > they mean exactly the same thing.
> > I deliberately chose the word
> > "purchased" to indicate I had not
> > paid cash for marijuana. I used
> > the word "bought" because that
> > covers bartering and "purchased"
> > does not.
>
> Cecil, I'm not an idiot. More importantly, the people reading this
> are not idiots. You chose two different words because of the context
> of each sentence. Likewise, when you posted those two messages months
> apart, you were not thinking of how you must use two different words
> to differentiate the implied meaning. You are not that brilliant (if
> you were, you would have simply used the word "barter" if that is what
> you truly meant to say).
>
> > Again, in my mind when I used the
> > words, "purchased" implied a cash
> > transaction. "Bought" implied a
> > barter transaction. Because I might
> > have been mistaken about the meanings,
> > I looked it up in the dictionary and
> > it agrees with what I thought to be
> > true.
>
> Cecil, neither of those words require an exchange of cash, so in the
> end it really doesn't matter if you used money or exchanged goods,
> both of those words still mean the exact same thing in the context of
> those sentences. Therefore, those sentences still contradict each
> other, which clearly makes one of them false.
>

> > (snip) That there exists different
> > definitions from the one I choose
> > to use is irrelevant. You agreed
> > with that when we were arguing the
> > definition of "forced". How can you
> > now turn around and disagree with it?
>
> Because no other definition supports the context of the sentences
> the words were used in, nor does any other definition of those words
> eliminate the contradiction in those sentences.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1125. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 04:55:51 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B833DCF.1E42913@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17369

Larry Stanton wrote:

> > That's an easy one- They know that ham radio has devolved into something they
> > don't want to be publicly associated with. I can tell you something more- there
> > have been a number of times in late years when I kept silent rather than be
> > associated with it, too. Haven't you?
>
> No. Because where I am, I can't tell the difference between operator skill
> now and 10 years ago. Everyone on the air here, post and
> pre-restructuring licensee alike, sounds fine. The much bally-hooed
> devolving of ham radio never happened; it's a myth perpetuated by the
> bitter old hams who miss the days of spending countless hours on 75 meters
> talking about changing their colostomy bags. They can't stand the idea of
> new blood in the hobby. Old people are like that; they resent newcomers
> and youth. Why this happens, I don't know. But I can tell you that the
> "devolving" and "CB-ification" of ham radio has not happened, is not
> happening, and will not happen. This is a myth, a propoganda campaign
> waged by those who want ham radio to die with them instead of advance
> into the codeless future.

You couldn't be more wrong. If you want to know why, you'll have to find out in the NG archives. We've been discussing it for years now, and clearly you just arrived here. I'm not going through all that again.

1126. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 05:00:33 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B833EF9.EDC6DA82@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17370

Drpfrench wrote:

> Richard, how many public service events have you helped with this year. How
> many youg people have you elmered this year.

You mean parades and marathons? I leave that to the No Code techs, it's right up their alley. As for your second question, newcomers today are not looking for Elmers to help them learn how to build their antennas, they're looking for their HRO or AES catalog and credit card..

1127. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 05:30:05 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8345D8.44E6B9EE@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17371

CAM wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:
> >
> > >Tell me CW make a person a better radio operator, it Does not!!!!!!
> >
> > CW does not make a person a better radio operator, it makes a
> > person a REAL radio operator.
>
> Fortunately, it's the FCC's opinion that matters, Larry, and they
> disagree with you.

To the extent that ONE HAM who works for the FCC sets ham radio policy for the entire commission, (and was disproportionately influenced by no-code advocates) you are correct, they do agree. They were glad to rubber stamp his work. Actually they couldn't care less just so long as they aren't required to take time away from big $ activies to waste it on ham radio, they don't care at all about what happens to us. They wish we all would just go away so they can concentrate on the big money people and their regulatory problems.

1128. Re: wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 05:38:58 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8347FB.FF02F3C6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17372

Drpfrench wrote:

> I would seem that Bruce will not answer post that he does not have a good
> argument to.

Uh, he told you that he had to go to work for a couple days. But why should you "new guys" need to read anything to understand it?

1129. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 05:49:18 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B834A62.C9A53CA9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17373

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <20010821112800.01506.00000858@mb-cu.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com
> (Drpfrench) writes:
>
> >
> >Tell me CW make a person a better radio operator, it Does not!!!!!!
>
> Dripschittfrench:
>
> CW does not make a person a better radio operator, it makes a
> person a REAL radio operator. One with genuine skill, and the
> ability to communicate under the most austere technical and
> propagation conditions. A person who doesn't know CW can
> coordinate the action of a PTT switch and his voice just as well
> as anyone else, but that doesn't define him as a radio operator
> for better *or* worse. That's something anyone can do, and a lot
> of people want to do -- without benefit of learning a true communications
> skill like the Morse code.
>
> >WHere does knowing CW teach a person what kind a pattern a diploe has at a
> >given height. What part of CW teaches a person which direction to point his
> ant. >WHat part of learning CW teaches the importance of a good ground. What
> part of >CW teaches a person how long they can operates at 5 watts of power
> using a 30 >amp hour battery. Learning CW will not make a person a better
> operator.
>
> Not learning CW doesn't accomplish any of the above either. Those of
> us who advocate code testing also advocate thorough, comprehensive
> written testing on basic theory, rules, regulations, operating practices,
> and safety procedures.
>
> >Richard, go take up stamp collecting. Go away bad dream
>
> You're having too many bad dreams. I suggest that you wake up
> and smell the coffee.

Pretty much nails it, Larry. Somehow the kids today (even those over 50) can't soak it through that it isn't "just" being able to pass a code test that makes a radio operator of a ham. No use wasting words on them, they already know it all.

1130. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 06:05:01 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822020501.22573.00005276@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17374

Well Bruce I must have mixed you up with another old ham. You are a extra. My Mystake

1131. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 06:15:00 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822021500.22573.00005277@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17375

What HF are listining to. Does not sound the HF I listen to. Of course except for a few OLD GUYS on 75.

1132. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 06:21:45 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822022145.22573.00005281@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17376

Why do you keep making these stupid assumtions. I have two mobile rigs. One Yaesu FT 8100 (2 and 440) also a Kenwood Buss. band 2 meter programed for Ham bands, Also a 10 meter mobile, for HT's I have a VX5 and a very old 22o kenwood. In my shack I have a Yaesu FT 847. They all work just fine. Of course if you stay with your two Tin cans and a string you will no have to hear the Guys on 2700 mhz.

1133. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 06:26:14 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822022614.22573.00005282@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17377

I would not be surprised to learn that I was a better op than you.

1134. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 06:35:04 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822023504.22573.00005283@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 17378

>Not learning CW doesn't accomplish any of the above either

So whats your point, I have never said that test should not be comprehensive, DOnot put words in my mouth.

>CW does not make a person a better radio operator, it makes a
>person a REAL

In your case it makes a person a better LID.

>a true communications
>skill like the Morse code.

Is that why it is a skill the only the ham community requires, at least for just a few more years. Is that the reason that the only jerks I hear on HF seem to have one by two calls. That say a lot about the old gaurd.

1135. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:23:00 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822052300.01447.00000820@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17379

>Somehow the kids today (even those over 50) can't
>soak it through that it isn't "just" being able to pass a code test that
>makes a
>radio operator of a ham. No use wasting words on them, they already know it
>all.

Passing a code test has nothing to do with being a good ham. All paasing a code test does is prove that a person can pass a code test, nothing more, nothing less.

1136. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:25:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B837A6D.7F28F5AF@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17380

CAM wrote:
>
> I'm telling you what I was thinking
> when I wrote those statements, Dwight.
> In my mind, something "purchased"
> requires money and something "bought"
> does not. That's why I used two
> different words to mean two different
> things. If I had not meant two
> different things then I would not
> have used two different words.

So what you're saying is that you were ignorant of the true definitions of those words - that you were thinking of something other than the commonplace meaning of each in this country?

But, I'll repeat what I said before, even if you meant to say "barter," since neither of those words (purchase or bought) requires the exchange of money, the contradiction in those two statements remain.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1137. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:25:36 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822052536.01447.00000821@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17381

>Doesnt matter, Im on a Ham Radio Group and knowledge of anything is not
>requied

And you keep proving that when ever we read one of your post.

1138. Question for wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:34:45 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822053445.01447.00000822@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17382

Bruce, Bruce, tell me something, how many public service events have you help out with on a given year. How many young people have elmered. in the past years, if any.
.

1139. Question for dixie@townsqr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:38:04 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822053804.01447.00000823@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17383

Richard, How many young people have you elmered, and how many public service events have you helped with. Also, when you elmer young people do teach them to the same quality Lid that you are.

1140. Re: ARRL tells FCC What to do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:42:16 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822054216.01447.00000824@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17384

Pretty good Bruce, a few more hams like you, and ham radio will be a thing of the past in no time.

1141. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 09:56:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B838197.D38A9853@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17385

CAM wrote:
>
> (snip) In my mind, those two words do
> carry two different meanings.

So what you're saying is that you're ignorant of the true definitions of those words - ignorant of the commonplace meaning of each in this country? I don't buy it, Cecil.

But, I'll repeat what I said before, even if you meant to say "barter," since neither of those words (purchase or bought) require the exchange of money, the contradiction in those two statements remain.

> (snip) If you did a survey in Madison
> County, TX and asked the question,
> "Does a purchase require an exchange
> of money?", I'll bet 90% of the people
> around here would say "yes".

And I'm sure those exact same people would also say that buying something requires an exchange of money. Likewise, I'm sure those exact same people would also say that if something was bought, an exchange of money took place. I've lived in Texas, Cecil. And most of the people there are smart enough not to use the word "bought" when they actually meant to say "bartered." And, to be honest, I also think you're smart enough not to use the word "bought" when you actually meant to say "bartered."

And, with that, I'm going to let this nonsense go. You have your story and you're obviously going to stick to it no matter how illogical it sounds.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1142. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 10:02:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B838309.9A61AA14@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17386

CAM wrote:
>
> The above definitions are the conventions
> that I use, Dwight. (snip)

Okay, Cecil. That's fine. However, it does make a conversation somewhat difficult if you're going to use your own, unique, definitions of words instead of the commonplace definitions. It would be almost as easy to talk to someone who uses another language entirely.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1143. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 10:33:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B838A2E.D840531E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17387

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> To the extent that ONE HAM who works
> for the FCC sets ham radio policy
> for the entire commission, (and was
> disproportionately influenced by no-
> code advocates) you are correct, they
> do agree. They were glad to rubber
> stamp his work. Actually they
> couldn't care less just so long as
> they aren't required to take time away
> from big $ activies to waste it on
> ham radio, they don't care at all about
> what happens to us. They wish we all
> would just go away so they can
> concentrate on the big money people and
> their regulatory problems.

And why should the FCC care about us, Richard? Most ham operators act like a bunch of spoiled brats. We've got more bandwidth to play with then almost any other radio service short of the military and the government itself. And we have more privileges than almost any radio service short then the military and the government. But instead of appreciating that, and making full use of it in harmony with other hams, we sit around endlessly bickering about petty crap like CW testing and so forth. To be honest, with that in mind, I can't blame the FCC at all for not caring about us (I don't even care for some hams today).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1144. Re: NO WRITTEN TEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:21:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822072111.01915.00004494@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17388

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> Im also sending the above post to the ADA, to get there input and advice on
>what steps should be taken to bring the written into Compliance

I am sure they will know just what to do with it. Seeing as you have raised some medical and mental issues, perhaps you should also involve your physician and a pyschiatrist. They may have some good advice for you on how to proceed.

I disagree with you, btw; I think that there are valid reasons for a necessary written exam, but I did want to offer you some advice on how you can get this information before the right people.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1145. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:24:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822072405.01915.00004495@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17389

From: Larry Stanton pluto@4motion.org

>They can't stand the idea of
>new blood in the hobby. Old people are like that; they resent newcomers
>and youth.

Yet some of these very people decry that ARRL has not done enough to bring new people into the Amateur Radio Service.

Two naysays for the price of one? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1146. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:26:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822072600.01915.00004496@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17390

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Thats why Im saying get rid of the Written. Since the present system isnt
>working

We are within about a thousand or so of the all-time high number for Amateur
Radio operators, Bruce. Sorry to let the facts get in the way of your rant,
but facts is facts!

"Not working" seems to be a figment of your imagination. Of course, if history
is a guide, you have quite a fanciful one.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1147. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:28:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822072813.01915.00004497@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17391

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You couldn't be more wrong. If you want to know why, you'll have to find out
>in the
>NG archives. We've been discussing it for years now, and clearly you just
>arrived
>here. I'm not going through all that again.

Thank you, Dick. I am sure there are a lot of people who appreciate not thrashing that one about again. Of course, there are some who will agree with him that ham radio is not trashed...

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

1148. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:31:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822073116.01915.00004498@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17392

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>They can go to any college bookstore and find, literally, tons of books
>>telling them *precisely* how to do this kind of thing. All they
>>have to do is plug the numbers in, "turn the crank", and out
>>comes the desired solution. Where's the challenge in that?

> OH NO NO NO that cant be done, ask ED .

Are you now saying that you think it is a bad thing that new hams might go to a college bookstore and learn how to use the formulas and equations therein?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1149. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:36:44 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822073644.01915.00004499@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17393

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Of course it has, and not just in LA, why do you think most people refer to
>it as the 2 Meter CB BAND?

The only place I have seen 2 meters referred to as a CB band (contact the Department of Redundancy Department) is here, by a handful of people. Most here seem to disagree with the use of that term.

I will be in LA next month. I will listen to the repeaters to see if they are all as you describe.

Anyone here from the LA area who can tell us whether the abuses on the 2-meter repeater are more or less than they were pre-restructuring? Bruce and I are both speculating.

I can say that I can see no difference in the on-the-air conduct on VHF here in the Hartford area over the past few years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1150. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:38:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822073850.01915.00004500@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17394

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
>Contest....."

I do listen to HF a lot. I hear little that reminds me of CB, even on phone. That little can be pretty bad, but it is the violation of the rules that is the issue there, not its resemblance to CB.

The only times I hear CQ contest on the majority of the bands are during the major contests. I rarely, if ever, hear it on non-contest weekends. Maybe it has just been bad timing on your part if that is all you hear on the bands.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1151. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:40:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074025.01915.00004501@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17395

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
>>Contest....."

> You are so correct, but dont tell that to ED, it only happens in small
>areas of the country.

Uhh, Bruce... HF propagates over a large distance. See:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/propagation.html

for a refresher course.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1152. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:42:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074205.01915.00004502@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17396

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>Where live 2 meters does not sound anything like CB. Gee Bruce maybe down in
>COVINGTON LA 2 meters is like that but not in Northern Ca.

Not in Hartford, CT, either. Bruce was confused that HF signals are local in
nature. Maybe he thinks that 144 MHz propagates worldwide.

No matter; I referred him to the ARRL web page for a propagation refresher. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1153. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:43:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074301.01915.00004503@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17397

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Where live 2 meters does not sound anything like CB. Gee Bruce maybe down in
>>COVINGTON LA 2 meters is like that but not in Northern Ca.

>Oh i see your Problem now, your 2 meter CB isnt working.

Maybe his hears only the signals that are actually transmitted... Or perhaps
it hears all of the signals instead of the ones he wants to hear.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1154. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:44:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074402.01915.00004504@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17398

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Seems to be a big difference to me. Hams are speaking fairly good
>English. The CBers seem to have their own language.

Yeah, they use terms like 10-4 and 10-20 instead of QSL and QTH. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1155. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:45:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074517.01915.00004505@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17399

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> Of course you wouldnt see it, you are now use to and think its the Norm

Yeah, doesn't he know that ham radio has been ruined for 20 years running now?
:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1156. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:47:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074739.01915.00004506@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17400

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>So, Bruce, are you ready to prove to us how much smarter you are by telling
>>us why an antenna radiates?

>No problem, will explain it in great Detail, and will explain in very simple
>terms, as soon as your New CBplusser Ham Buddies can tell me what part of
>there system is the ANT.

I presume that your cryptic term refers to Tech+ licencees. Can any Tech+ hams here help Bruce get started by telling him what part of a station is the antenna?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1157. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:49:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822074904.01915.00004507@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17451

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>I disagree, Bruce. I even believe that the written examinations should be
>>improved to have more relevant questions.

>Wrong.

No, I really do believe that, so my statement that I believe that the written examps should be improved to contain questions more relevant to modern radio technology is not incorrect.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1158. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:52:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822075207.01915.00004508@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17452

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>It will make them a better CW operator, but that does not make them better
>Hams.

Sure it does. Learning any skill or knowledge makes a ham a better ham. CW is still a vibrant (and fun!) part of ham radio.

But what makes a ham a better ham is the overall body of knowledge that he or she acquires to become a ham, and the much greater body of knowledge that most hams acquire during a lifetime of learning. No one part of it is more important than another, and no one ham does it all. Once we have met the requirements to obtain our licences, we are free to choose from a universe of potential.

Works for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1159. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:53:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822075310.01915.00004509@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17453

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>Well Bruce I must have mixed you up with another old ham. You are a extra. My
>Mystake

And, for the record, he was an Advanced prior to restructuring...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1160. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:57:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822075743.01915.00004510@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17454

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>IMHO, it is easier to learn the underlying material than it is to rote
>>memorize over 1000 questions without understanding.

> I see ED doesnt understand the concept of WORD association, Ed go to any
>High School and maybe they can exlpin it to you.

Sure I understand it, Bruce, I did a bit of it when I studied for my General in 1963. I just don't think that very many people find it easier to "word-associate" over a thousand questions without understanding than they would to learn a few simple concepts and apply 6-th grade math to get the correct answers.

I do not believe that people are choosing to become hams not to learn and I believe that the hams of today are doing exactly what I did in 1963 (with a MUCH shorter study guide) -- passing their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping that a few subjects do not appear on the exam they are given.

Of course, we have all had our own unique experiences, so I can only tell you about how I learned enough to pass my tests. If you can only learn by word association, then I will accept that you have a different view of the world than I do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1161. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 11:59:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822075913.01915.00004511@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17455

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>There is no DEFENSE, they got what they wanted easy access to HF, now its
>time to Pay up.

There are no fees for most Amateur licences, Bruce. The only hams that have to pay after they receive their licenses are those that want to obtain a vanity call sign.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1162. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:00:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822080027.01915.00004512@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17456

From: Larry Stanton pluto@4motion.org

>Let's take a minute to THANK all
>the new operators, especially the guys interested in HF, for coming into
>the hobby and helping to keep it alive and enjoyable for FUTURE
>generations.

I agree. Encouraging newcomers has been a fine tradition of Amateur Radio for as long as I can remember. If it were not for that encouragement, I don't think I would be licenced today.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1163. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:01:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822080152.01915.00004513@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17457

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>When I finally became licensed, there were still no "open arms" to greet me
>as a welcome, enthusiastically encouraged fellow ham. Every club meeting
>I attended found me relegated to the back row -- usually never acknowledged,
>much less asked to introduce myself.

Your being a newcomer is only one possible explanation for that, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1164. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:03:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822080355.01915.00004514@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17458

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Well ed from the latest figures it appears there not working, maybe they need
>a good PR Firm ...

I would agree that there is a lot more that can and should be done in the
media-public relations area.

>no maybe we need an Org that does more than sell T-Shirts,

although I don't think this will be very effective.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1165. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:05:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B839FF5.2D175E15@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17459

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> But, I'll repeat what I said before, even if you meant to say
> "barter," since neither of those words (purchase or bought) requires
> the exchange of money, the contradiction in those two statements remain.

Dwight, when you chose a definition for a word, you do not and cannot
choose all definitions. You can choose only one definition at a time.
"Purchased" can involve money and that's the definition I chose to
use. "Bought" can be interchangable with "bartered" and that's the
definition I chose to use. There was NO contradiction. A word cannot
possess all of its definitions all at once. The reason that I chose
to use two different words is to be able to give them different
meanings.

Long ago, I adopted the convention that I would use "purchased" for
transactions involving money and use "bought" interchangably with
"bartered". Since that doesn't violate any dictionary definitions,
I will continue to do so. I'm sorry that you don't approve of that
decision.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1166. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:07:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A089.5C4B8F18@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17460

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> And I'm sure those exact same people would also say that buying
> something requires an exchange of money. Likewise, I'm sure those
> exact same people would also say that if something was bought, an
> exchange of money took place.

Not true, Dwight. Around here you can buy a horse with two cows
but you cannot purchase a horse with two cows. I suspect it's
a regional thing. It's a useful convention for me and I don't
see any reason to change it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1167. Re: wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:11:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822081107.01915.00004515@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17461

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>It would seem to me that Bruce will not answer a post he doesn't have a good
>>insult to. Kinda' sad, actually.

>Don't look now, but by saying that, you just insulted Bruce! Kinda' sad,
>actually!

Now, now, Larry; in one post you criticize me for being "politically correct,"
and when I respond and start acting like you are teaching us all to act, you
criticize me for being insulting. If I didn't know better, I would think that
no matter what I say or do, you will put some negative spin on it.

Was the above too insultive, or too politically correct?

If a ham stands in a forest and make a sound, and you are not around to hear
it, is that ham still wrong?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1168. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:14:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A20F.78810E3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17462

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The above definitions are the conventions
> > that I use, Dwight. (snip)
>
> Okay, Cecil. That's fine. However, it does make a conversation
> somewhat difficult if you're going to use your own, unique,
> definitions of words instead of the commonplace definitions.

I'm not using my "own, unique definitions of words", Dwight. I
am choosing the definition from a list of definitions in the
dictionary. There is nothing wrong with that. In the dictionary,
you will find definitions 1, 2, 3, 4, ... for word1. You will
find definitions 1, 2, 3, 4 ... for word2. I simply chose
definition 1 for "purchased" and definition 2 for "bought".
There's nothing wrong with that. If you don't understand what
I meant, it is up to you to ask, not go running off at the
mouth about some alleged contradiction that never existed.
When I use the word first, you are not allowed to change the
meaning of what I said by choosing a different definition
than the one I was using.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1169. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:19:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A33C.19C1F7D4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17463

Richard Carroll wrote:
> So if he passed a 5wpm cpde test at some time he knows CW? That just shows how
> little you know, too. Sorry.

If you go 5mph in your vehicle, are you moving?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1170. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:20:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A38F.3AE89143@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17464

Richard Carroll wrote:
> You think you're making a point but the FCC couldn't care less and you can't find it
> with both hands these days for all the dope and joy juice you've inhaled. Poor point,
> really.

Translation: "I am at a loss for words."

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1171. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:22:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A3EA.C35BB195@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17465

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>
> >Well Bruce I must have mixed you up with another old ham. You are a extra. My
> >Mystake
>
> And, for the record, he was an Advanced prior to restructuring...

Egads, does that mean that Bruce is an "Extra Lite"?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1172. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:29:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A5AF.E517FD63@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17466

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Fortunately, it's the FCC's opinion that matters, Larry, and they
> > disagree with you.
>
> To the extent that ONE HAM who works for the FCC sets ham radio policy
> for the entire commission, (and was disproportionately influenced by
> no-code advocates) you are correct, they do agree.

And, since they're the boss, the FCC is the part that matters.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1173. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:33:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822083329.05130.00002597@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17467

In article <20010821000213.22481.00004525@mb-mj.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>Lets face it. restructuring is here to stay, it is not going to go away.

Yep. FCC has made it clear they want things to be simpler and easier.

> For
>all those hams
>that cry about the “Dumbing down” (Their words) of ham radio. Get over it,

>the world of
>Amateur Radio is changing.

It's always been changing. Not all changes are for the better.

>After WARC 2003 it is more likely than not that CW
>will be
>gone as a requirement for HF privileges. Evan the ARRL is not going to oppose
>CW as a
>requirement at WARC 2003.

Yup. Of course nobody really knows what will come of WRC 2003 and the code test requirement.

>Of course the ARRL want’s the FCC to maintain the 5
>wpm
>requirement for US hams.

That's just a worthless bone tossed to those who want to keep code testing. Does anybody who knows the score think that any code test has a chance if the treaty requirement is removed?

FCC has said, in the restructuring R&O and elsewhere, that the ONLY reason they keep the code test is because the ITU treaty still requires it. No other reason for the TEST carries any weight with them. Without the treaty requirement, why would FCC keep the test?

> I have seen posted in the New Group an opinion that
>new
>people are getting into ham radio, but in small numbers.

That's always been the case, at least for the past 40 years or so..

>This may be true,
>but
>from what
>I have seen, these new hams are all over 40, and in most cases over 50.

Is that bad? Everyone who can pass the tests and follow the rules is welcome. From what I have seen, new hams are of all ages.

> With
>new blood
>we should be looking at recruiting younger people, by younger I mean under 12
>years of
>age.

Why not focus on all ages? Is a 25 year old somehow less desirable as a new ham?

>The club I am member of has over 120 members, Only about a dozen are
>under
>50,
>of these only 3 are under 21.

Clubs are not a good sample of the ham population. Many hams don't belong to clubs, or can't make the meetings. Or they aren't interested in what the local club is doing.

> Of course our club recently started seeking out
>younger
>people a short time ago, some thing more club should do. As the OLD Guard
>dies
>off in
>the 10 0r 20 years we will see a very large drop in the number of hams.

The old guard has been dying off since before I was licensed, which was way back in 1967. The old guard is being replaced by new hams all the time.

>To
>bring people
>into ham radio we are competing with the internet and cell phones.

Then we will lose.

What ham radio has to offer is that it is DIFFERENT than the 'net and cell phones. Only those who want something different will get ham licenses.

> Most
>people
>do not
>realize that cell phones will not work in a large disaster, we have seen this
>happen in the
>past.

Cell phones don't even work well in small local emergencies. Even with no damage, the system gets overloaded.

> Instead of complaining about restructuring, get off your buts and get
>into the
>community and recruit new people into ham radio.

Publicity is good.

>The ARRL can only do so
>much.
>Every club in the United States should participate in events like KIDS DAY,
>of
>course it
>would be nice if the ARRL would make it easy to find the KIDS DAY info the
>ARRL
>web site, I new it was there but it took almost and hour to find it (The ARRL
>needs a new
>webmaster). Our club participated in KIDS DAY last June and got a great
>response.
>Another place to recruit is the Boy Scouts, contact your local Boy Scout
>Council
>regarding their Venturing program. This is a program for all youth 14 through
>20 years of
>age, male or female. My club is in the process of setting just a program, it
>is not easy,
>but not everything in life is.

Yup.

One thing the ARRL should consider is coming up with a TV ad to go with movies that feature ham radio, like the recent "Frequency". That movie will hit TV soon, if it hasn't already, and it's a golden opportunity.

> For all those hams that can not live with
>restructuring for
>their selfish reasons, take up stamp or coin collecting, Amateur radio does
>not
>need you
>as representatives of this hobby.

Sounds like you have no tolerance for differing opinions.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

1174. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 12:33:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83A6A0.9123BB67@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17468

Richard Carroll wrote:
> Pretty much nails it, Larry. Somehow the kids today (even those over 50) can't
> soak it through that it isn't "just" being able to pass a code test that makes a
> radio operator of a ham.

You're right about that, Dick, but not in the way you think. A tech
license and your extra license use the same form. Please note the
Operator Privileges part. It says absolutely nothing about code.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1175. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 14:30:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B83B3C0.F4D34292@earthlink.net>
Source record: 17469

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > And I'm sure those exact same people would also say that buying
> > something requires an exchange of money. Likewise, I'm sure those
> > exact same people would also say that if something was bought, an
> > exchange of money took place.
>
> Not true, Dwight. Around here you can buy a horse with two cows
> but you cannot purchase a horse with two cows. I suspect it's
> a regional thing. It's a useful convention for me and I don't
> see any reason to change it.

I don't believe that's any more true there than here. I can't "buy"
anything with two cows locally but I can trade somebody for something.
Since I'm fresh out of cows, it doesn't matter. The whole debate might
be taken to alt.definitions.peculiar.

Dave K8MN

1176. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 14:45:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822104546.21431.00003099@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17470

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Richard Carroll wrote:

>> So if he passed a 5wpm cpde test at some time he knows CW? That just shows
>> how little you know, too. Sorry.

>If you go 5mph in your vehicle, are you moving?

If the guy in front of you goes 5 mph in his vehicle, is he moving?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1177. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 14:49:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822104909.21431.00003100@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17471

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Somehow, I am
>>finding it difficult to understand how you can, with a straight face, claim
>>that a modest growth in Amateur Radio and people upgrading their licences is
>>a bad thing.

>I don't recall saying that it was a bad thing, Ed. That's just you trying to
>put
>words in my mouth. Stop working Cecil's side of the street, will you?

Do I now need your permission to interpret your words? In seeing all you have posted about the subject, I did not believe that you think that a modest growth in Amateur Radio is a good thing. And surely, you would not expect me to believe that you have posted so much on a subject you are completely neutral about.

But I could be wrong. Do you think that the relatively small growth in Amateur Radio licensing numbers is a good thing, a bad thing or a neutral thing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1178. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 14:51:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83C6F9.3F1501A5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17472

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Only YOU could consider something as simple as "pot is illegal in all it's
> forms" as "Catch -22"

Not only me, Steve. Take a look at the history of the marijuana laws.
The AMA was AGAINST marijuana prohibition and someone lied about that
fact when the 1937 marijuana laws were passed. You should find the
following history of marijuana laws very enlightening.

http://www.druglibrary.org/schaffer/History/whiteb1.htm
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1179. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 14:54:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83C7AF.46B11307@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17473

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Richard Carroll wrote:
> >> So if he passed a 5wpm code test at some time he knows CW? That just shows
> >> how little you know, too. Sorry.
>
> >If you go 5mph in your vehicle, are you moving?
>
> If the guy in front of you goes 5 mph in his vehicle, is he moving?

Relative to what? Knowing 5wpm Morse code is INFINITELY faster than
not knowing Morse code at all. 5/0 = infinity.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1180. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 14:57:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822105732.21431.00003101@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17474

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>WHY should I have "hope and enthusiasm" Ed? I've watched the simple,
>easily achievable licensing requirements for the ARS be "dumbed-down" to
>where they're not just a joke, they're a pretty bad joke at that!

I have to imagine that the same thing was said by the old timers in 1963 about the General exam I took to get all Amateur priveleges. I found that to be a lot easier, even at 14 years of age, than I would find today's tests to achieve the same end result -- all amateur priveleges. I don't mind talking about a 35-year old joke, Larry, as long as we all agree the tests are more difficult, and cover more scope, now than they were when I was first licensed.

>>Is this supposed to be some great relevation, Larry, that upgrades coming
>>from the population of Amateurs consists of that population of Amateurs?
>Duh!

>I'll admit, you've got me there, Ed! Congratulations -- I'm sure that made
>your day!

You are so gracious when you want to be -- I would imagine.

>Don't look now, Ed, but I'm not talking about "local" media publicity for
>amateur radio. I'm talking about a NATIONAL, MAJOR MEDIA publicity
>campaign, utilizing nationally broadcast TV public service announcements
>and major media print advertising. If you don't understand this concept,
>turn on your TV or pick up a copy of USA Today or Newsweek sometime.

Then we disagree about whether reading a story about local hams in the newspaper would be more effective than watching a 30-second video byte on late night TV in getting interest going in ham radio. I believe the former.

>>What you saw was a local public-service announcement, not a media
>>blitz. At the current rates for prime-time ads, ARRL would run about a dozen
>>national ads and shoot the wad on the budget. I disagree with you that doing
so
>>would be effective.

>Well, then, there you have it. The ARRL is completely powerless to approach
>currently licensed ham celebrities, and request their assistance, as hams,
>in utilizing their own time and talent (donated) and their media contacts to
>help
>reduce or totally defray production costs for such a campaign! Who made
>this decision? Or are you just afraid to ask?

Uh, Larry, have you seen the PSAs that are available? I don't know if more celebrity hams were asked. Do you know? From your choice of words, it

appears you do, so please fill us in on the details.

And you are still talking about the PSAs, not a "media blitz." Or do you now agree with me that ARRL's concentrating its media-public relations toward local publicity for ham radio and locally-run PSAs is the most effective use of limited resources?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1181. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:00:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83C915.59CBAE1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17476

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > Only YOU could consider something as simple as "pot is illegal in all it's
> > forms" as "Catch -22"
>
> Not only me, Steve. Take a look at the history of the marijuana laws.
> The AMA was AGAINST marijuana prohibition and someone lied about that
> fact when the 1937 marijuana laws were passed. You should find the
> following history of marijuana laws very enlightening.
>
> http://www.druglibrary.org/schaffer/History/whiteb1.htm

Here's the last paragraph from that history.

"Let me conclude, and again this is my prediction -- I will tell you I don't think
it is subject to opinion. Just look at it. Just take a look at what has happened
now and what will happen. I will tell you how inexorable it is. If we get together
here in the year 2005, I will bet you that it is as likely as not that the possession
of marijuana may not be criminal in this state. But the manufacture, sale, and
possession of tobacco will be, and why? Because we love this idea of prohibitions,
we can't live without them. They are our very favorite thing because we know how
to solve difficult, social, economic, and medical problems -- a new criminal law
with harsher penalties in every category for everybody."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1182. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:01:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822110148.21431.00003102@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17475

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>Passing a code test has nothing to do with being a good ham. All paasing a
>code test does is prove that a person can pass a code test, nothing more,
nothing
>less.

Passing a code test has as much to do with being a good ham as does passing a written test. In either case, the ham has demonstrated ability in things that are in use in Amateur Radio. I would agree that becoming proficient in the code is a better indicator of one of the ways that an amateur can be a good ham, as is becoming proficient in the technology and techniques that are tested on the written.

Morse code operation may have no worth to you, but it does have worth to the Amateur Radio Service. It is one of many things that are valuable about ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1183. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:42:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822114222.04385.00003706@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 17477

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/21/01 1:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>My computer saves every
>posting that I make

Time to trade it in then, isn't it? I haven't even tried and already two
other posters have found "errors" in YOUR memory, Cecil.

>Exactly where in The Constitution does it give the feds the
>right to keep me from growing marijuana in my garden?

Yes, Cecil, preventing the growth of a controlled substance in YOUR garden
or any other gardenIS "for the common good", even though YOU don't like it.

No apology issued as none is required.

>Exactly who is the victim if I smoke marijuana in the privacy
>of my home after growing it in my garden?

The rest of society that OBEYS THE LAW while you flagrantly flaunt it.

>If you don't believe I have a right to grow marijuana in my
>garden for my private use then that's prima facie evidence
>that you believe my life does not belong to me.

Your life DOES belong to you. However the right to grow a controlled
substance in THIS country is NOT a right that you have.

Sorry. Dem's da facts.

Steve

1184. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:45:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822114550.04385.00003707@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 17479

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/21/01 10:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> There is a
>> reason why so many people are in jail for drug related charges.

>There's a sneaky reason for that

Yeah. They broke the law. real "sneaky", huh...?!?!

>When the police suspect a violent crime and can't prove it, they
>often get the perp on unrelated drug charges like Al Capone was
>gotten on income tax evasion, not violent crime.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

yeah...Right...Most Cops have nothing better to do that put themselves in
legal jeopardy by falsifying evidence.

Does it happen...?!?! Sure. Is it a matter of policy or habit, hardly!

>This is why
>even the police who use marijuana want to maintain the present
>marijuana laws as an extra weapon at their disposal.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

STOP CEICIL, I CAN'T STAND IT ANY MORE!!!!!!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Geez, you are rediculous!

1185. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:46:01 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZsQg7.28895$1p1.2118902@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17478

I wrote:
>> They can go to any college bookstore....
>> Where's the challenge in that?

WA8ULX responded:
> OH NO NO NO that cant be done, ask ED .

So there's still a technical challenge left in ham radio???

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1186. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:51:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822115147.04385.00003708@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 17480

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/21/01 1:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>It is a fact that the History Channel TV program said that it is
>illegal to test class 1 drugs.

Which was NOT your contention for the last several weeks.

The LAW, Cecil...What is the LAW...?!?!

YOU have been asserting this is fact.

It is not.

>I am satisfied that it was a true statement.

Based upon what FACTUAL information?

If I am going to make a statement as a matter of fact, I will take SOME
precautions to ensure that what I am citing is factual.

So far, you have not.

>I am not going to do your work for you.

So far you have not even done YOUR work, Cecil, so I am not predisposed to
expect you to do anything else.

>Your rejection of the evidence is not my problem.

So far you ahve not cited any valid evidence.

What I want it THE LAW.

YOU have cited this as "fact". So far you haven't produced anything
except the title of a TV news journal.

Guess you can't hack it. Not that I expected anything more.

1187. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 15:58:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822115810.04385.00003709@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 17481

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/21/01 1:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Does one barter to or from or with.
>"I bartered marijuana with my friends" just doesn't sound right.
>That's why I changed it to "bought" which means "acquired through
>exchange".
>

Yeah...Right.

In the colloquial English language, "bought" means you "exchanged" dollars
for service or tangible goods.

If you tried that "bartered" crap in a court of law to avoid jail time,
the Judge would probably allow you to "barter" a hefty fine or some period of
your existence on Earth in exchange for your having "bartered" the marijuana.

>Do you own a dictionary?

>Do you ever open it?

Obviously more often than YOU do, Cecil!!!!

1188. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 16:01:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822120121.04385.00003711@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 17482

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/21/01 10:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I'm telling you what I was thinking when I wrote those statements,
>Dwight. In my mind, something "purchased" requires money and something
>"bought" does not.

Go ahead, Cecil...tell the jury that you "bought" the pot and we'll see
what happens.

1189. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 16:36:34 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822123634.25716.00003501@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17483

>From what I have seen, new hams are of all ages.

This is true, but from I have seen most are getting into ham radio after they are around 45 or 50.

>
>Why not focus on all ages?

The younger kids are more willing to learn new things

> Is a 25 year old somehow less desirable as a new

Of course not. but by that age most of them are starting new careers or famillies and they do not have a lot of time.>Many hams don't belong to

>clubs, or can't make the meetings. Or they aren't interested in what the
>local
>club is doing.

This is another subbject than can be greatly expanded on. Most of these hams do not go to meetings to express their oppion of the direction the club is taking, and do not take the time vote on club policy are the same ones that complain about when club events are held, or they complain about a problem with the repeater to anyone who will listen. Or they complain about the food selection at an event. But they will not get off their fat BUTS to go to a meeting, or help out with public service events. Now you got me started on another issue.

>One thing the ARRL should consider is coming up with a TV ad

It would be nice to see the ARRL produce a 30 min. recruitment video that could be run on the local cable accsess channels

>Sounds like you have no tolerance for differing opinions.
>>

No I have no Tolerance for stupid people.

1190. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 16:46:17 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B83E45F.6849FB15@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17484

W1RFI wrote:

> From: Larry Stanton pluto@4motion.org
>
> >They can't stand the idea of
> >new blood in the hobby. Old people are like that; they resent newcomers
> >and youth.
>
> Yet some of these very people decry that ARRL has not done enough to bring new
> people into the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Two naysays for the price of one? :-)

Larry has no idea how wrong he is, but you do and yet you still support his totally wrong statements. I don't have any need to wonder why, given your zeal to "bring 'em in however possible".
I do wonder what you or he has done that would compare to what I have done to bring in new hams to the hobby over the past 30 years. Nothing that is visible here, except run your mouths. You already know I ran a VE team for many years, and I tested many novices before that. Strangely, none wanted to upgrade to Tech, they all went on to General or Advanced-at the FCC office, many miles away. Imagine that, real initiative.

1191. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 16:47:52 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108221241310.24571-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17485

On Wed, 22 Aug 2001, Richard Carroll wrote:

> So if he passed a 5wpm cpde test at some time he knows CW? That just shows how
> little you know, too. Sorry.

Oh really? You have no idea what I know, my friend. If he passed a 5 WPM code test administered by a VE then he knows CW. You choose to ignore this because you have your own requirements, apparently. The pompous CW-snob element of ham radio needs to get over their collective inferiority complex, but sadly, we know this will never happen.

--Larry

1192. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 16:52:06 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B83E5BD.B6ED437C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17486

Drpfrench wrote:

> >Somehow the kids today (even those over 50) can't
> >soak it through that it isn't "just" being able to pass a code test that
> >makes a
> >radio operator of a ham. No use wasting words on them, they already know it
> >all.
>
> Passing a code test has nothing to do with being a good ham. All paasing a code
> test does is prove that a person can pass a code test, nothing more, nothing
> less.

As I said, no use wasting words on someone who is convinced he already knows everything, expecially when he is a newby.

1193. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 16:56:05 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108221248220.24571-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17487

> > "devolving" and "CB-ification" of ham radio has not happened, is not
> > happening, and will not happen. This is a myth, a propoganda campaign
> > waged by those who want ham radio to die with them instead of advance
> > into the codeless future.
>
> You couldn't be more wrong. If you want to know why, you'll have to find out in the
> NG archives. We've been discussing it for years now, and clearly you just arrived
> here. I'm not going through all that again.

Funny, I've read about the 'devolving' of ham radio, in one form or another, in QST letters dating back to the 50's. Each successive generation of hams apparently thinks theirs is the last true great one. Funny how ham radio has remained stable for 40 years despite this apparent, continuing 'de-evolution'. Care to explain how, despite this 40 years of apparent de-evolution, we've managed to more than quadruple the number of hams and increase our available bandwidth? I'd be curious how you think this is possible in the face of your de-evolution theory.

--Larry

1194. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:06:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822130609.21431.00003112@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17488

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Larry has no idea how wrong he is, but you do and yet you still support his
>totally wrong statements.

I do not disagree with him that there are some hams who are displeased that some of the new hams entering this hobby do not share their views on code testing or that amateur testing is merely a memorization test. If that is Larry's view, you are incorrect in thinking that I believe "how wrong he is."

> I don't have any need to wonder why, given your zeal to
>"bring 'em in however possible".

I do not share your view that we should "bring them in however possible," Dick. I believe that it is important that there be a written examination that is relevant to modern radio technology.

>I do wonder what you or he has done that would compare to what I have done to
>bring in new hams to the hobby over the past 30 years.

I am sure I have not done as much as you have over the years to bring in new hams, Dick. I respect what you have done. I would also respect your choosing to stop doing so. What I cannot respect about what you are doing here is that you have undertaken a crusade to insult every new ham that comes down the pike. You have no idea how much that detracts from your lifetime of helping hams.

> Nothing that is visible here, except run your mouths.

I think it is the running of mouths here that is Larry's major concern. Do you consider insulting and demeaning groups of hams you don't even know to be running of the mouth, Dick?

>I tested many novices before that. Strangely, none wanted to upgrade to
>Tech, they all went on to General or Advanced-at the FCC office, many miles
>away. Imagine that, real initiative.

Yes, it was. And it took the same initiative for hams who came along afterwards to upgrade through the VE system when the option of proving their worth by driving to an FCC field office was no longer available to them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1195. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:20:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83E9F3.811DAD72@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17489

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/21/01 1:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >My computer saves every posting that I make
>
> Time to trade it in then, isn't it? I haven't even tried and already two
> other posters have found "errors" in YOUR memory, Cecil.

The errors were all theirs. I, as the first user of a word, get to
pick the definition from the valid list of definitions in the
dictionary. Does you allow someone else to pick your definitions
for you?

> >Exactly where in The Constitution does it give the feds the
> >right to keep me from growing marijuana in my garden?
>
> Yes, Cecil, preventing the growth of a controlled substance in YOUR garden
> or any other gardenIS "for the common good", even though YOU don't like it.

You are evading the question. The question is whether you committed
libel when you said I grew marijuana in my garden. You did!

> No apology issued as none is required.
>
> >Exactly who is the victim if I smoke marijuana in the privacy
> >of my home after growing it in my garden?
>
> The rest of society that OBEYS THE LAW while you flagrantly flaunt it.

You are evading the question. The question is whether you committed
libel when you said I grew marijuana in my garden. You did!

> >If you don't believe I have a right to grow marijuana in my
> >garden for my private use then that's prima facie evidence
> >that you believe my life does not belong to me.
>
> Your life DOES belong to you. However the right to grow a controlled
> substance in THIS country is NOT a right that you have.

You are evading the question. The question is whether you committed
libel when you said I grew marijuana in my garden. You did!

> Sorry. Dem's da facts.

You claimed that I said I grew marijuana in my garden. That's a libelous statement. Exactly where did I say that, Steve?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1196. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:24:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83EACD.38BBDB66@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17490

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/21/01 10:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >I'm telling you what I was thinking when I wrote those statements,
> >Dwight. In my mind, something "purchased" requires money and something
> >"bought" does not.
>
> Go ahead, Cecil...tell the jury that you "bought" the pot and we'll see
> what happens.

What jury? The statute of limitations has run out. The argument is
not over whether I bought pot or not. The argument is over whether
I have a right to choose the valid definition of "bought" that I
was using at the time. Why am I the only person not allowed to
pick a valid definition from a list of dictionary definitions for
the words I am using?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1197. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:32:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83ECBB.54C8745B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17491

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> If you tried that "bartered" crap in a court of law to avoid jail time,
> the Judge would probably allow you to "barter" a hefty fine or some period of
> your existence on Earth in exchange for your having "bartered" the marijuana.

The fact that you said the above just proves that you have no clue
as to what the discussion is all about. I'm not saying I didn't
buy marijuana. I'm saying I didn't pay money for it. It has absolutely
nothing to do with legalities. It has to do with the right of each
person to choose his own definitions from the list of valid definitions
in the dictionary. Can you purchase something with money? Of course.
Can you buy something without money? Of course.

There are regional differences in the English language. For instance,
there is no lunch and no afternoon here. At noon we have dinner. From
noon to dusk is evening. We have supper in the evening.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1198. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:39:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83EE37.67CAB811@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17492

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >When the police suspect a violent crime and can't prove it, they
> >often get the perp on unrelated drug charges like Al Capone was
> >gotten on income tax evasion, not violent crime.
>
> yeah...Right...Most Cops have nothing better to do that put themselves in
> legal jeopardy by falsifying evidence.

Who said the cops were falsifying evidence? It was NOT me. They
are putting violent criminals away on valid unrelated drug charges
because there's not enough evidence to convict them of their violent
crime. I sure wish you would learn to read, Steve.

> >This is why
> >even the police who use marijuana want to maintain the present
> >marijuana laws as an extra weapon at their disposal.

> STOP CEICIL, I CAN'T STAND IT ANY MORE!!!!!!

That was told to my girlfriend by a San Jose cop while they were
smoking marijuana together. He said San Jose cops would never
be arrested for smoking marijuana even if they were caught
red-handed.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1199. Re: Question for wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:58:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822135845.06662.00005438@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17493

>Bruce, Bruce, tell me something, how many public service events have you help
>out with on a given year. How many young people have elmered. in the past
>years, if any.

ZERO

1200. Re: ARRL tells FCC What to do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 17:59:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822135927.06662.00005439@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17494

>Pretty good Bruce, a few more hams like you, and ham radio will be a thing of
>the past in no tim

It already is

1201. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:00:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83F341.78A79DF3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17495

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

Steve, What is your ulterior motive for falsifying the attributes?

> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >It is a fact that the History Channel TV program said that it is
> >illegal to test class 1 drugs.
>
> Which was NOT your contention for the last several weeks.

Of course it was, Steve, based on what I perceived to be true from
the TV show. When are you going to learn to read?

> >I am satisfied that it was a true statement.
>
> Based upon what FACTUAL information?

The factual information presented in the History Channel TV show. They
also say Pearl Harbor happened. Do you believe that is not factual?
I have ordered the tapes of "Illegal Drugs and How They Got That Way"
so I can be 100% accurate about what they said.

> If I am going to make a statement as a matter of fact, I will take SOME
> precautions to ensure that what I am citing is factual.

BWWWWWWHHHHAHHAHHHHAHHAHHHAHHHAHAHHHHAHHAHHHHAHHAHHHHAHHAHAHHHAAAAA

If you really took those precautions then you wouldn't lie about
what I've said.

> What I want it THE LAW.

I don't give a rat's patootie what you want, Steve. It is not my
responsibility to fulfill your wants. If you want the law, go look
it up for yourself. I am not going to waste my time fulfilling
your wants. It was on the History Channel. They are usually quite
accurate. That's good enough for me.

Have you read the history of marijuana, Steve? You'll be grinding
your teeth over those *facts*. It proves what you have said here
on this newsgroup is plain old horse pucky. Precautions indeed.

http://www.druglibrary.org/schaffer/History/whiteb1.htm
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1202. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:05:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83F482.72440D8E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17496

Larry Stanton wrote:
> Funny, I've read about the 'devolving' of ham radio, in one form or
> another, in QST letters dating back to the 50's.

Yep, since the Novice class was introduced in the early 50s
there have been Old Fart Aholes who have said that Novices
were not Real Hams. They said 5wpm was a joke. Now the
joke's on them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1203. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:09:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83F547.E5D122DC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17497

W1RFI wrote:
> What I cannot respect about what you are doing here is that
> you have undertaken a crusade to insult every new ham that comes down the pike.
> You have no idea how much that detracts from your lifetime of helping hams.

It appears that he is trying to balance the books - drive away as
many potential hams as he has ever helped.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1204. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:11:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B83F5C5.E0CEE9B5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17498

Richard Carroll wrote:
> As I said, no use wasting words on someone who is convinced he already knows
> everything, expecially when he is a newby.

A person who has never used Morse code couldn't possibly know anything
about Morse code.

A person who has never used marijuana couldn't possibly know anything
about marijuana.

Do you buy both arguments, Dick?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1205. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:33:38 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822143338.29523.00005128@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17499

What's your point

1206. Re: Let The Newsgroup Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:37:15 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822143715.29523.00005129@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17500

IF you had a brain you could pass the tech exam and get on a real radio. But you do not, so you are stuck on the Cowby Band. Now go take a 10-200 in you hat.

1207. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:46:34 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B83FE0A.A8D7422@uci.edu>
Source record: 17501

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > I don't see the word "exempt" anywhere
> > in the proclamation, Cecil. Since it's
> > not in there, you'll have to tell us
> > what you mean by "exempt".
>
> Oh, come on, Jim. You're old enough to know that the word "exempt"
> means. It means "to be free from a rule or obligation which applies to
> others."

Yes, but I don't know exactly what CAM intends to imply when he uses the term. Being that the primary emphasis of much of the proclamation appears to be rebellion, it would follow that any exemption would pertain primarily to the issue of rebellion. It is not at all clear that Lincoln intended to allow slavery in the states not proclaimed to be in rebellion.

1208. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 18:57:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8400AF.DBA90889@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17502

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Oh, come on, Jim. You're old enough to know that the word "exempt"
> > means. It means "to be free from a rule or obligation which applies to
> > others."
>
> Yes, but I don't know exactly what CAM intends to imply when he uses the
> term. Being that the primary emphasis of much of the proclamation
> appears to be rebellion, it would follow that any exemption would
> pertain primarily to the issue of rebellion. It is not at all clear
> that Lincoln intended to allow slavery in the states not proclaimed to
> be in rebellion.

Stop worrying about what I might be implying, Jim. We are dealing in
facts here. For some reason unknown to me, Lincoln chose to exempt
certain areas of the country from the Emancipation Proclamation.
That's just a fact. The big question is why are you inferring that
I implied something? I was just stating interesting facts. But now
that you mention it - what was Lincoln's ulterior motive in exempting
certain areas from the Emancipation Proclamation? Could politics have
been involved? There seems to be some relation to seaports. Could it
be that Norfolk might not allow Union ships to dock unless they were
exempted? I simply do not know.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1209. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:03:27 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822150327.29523.00005133@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17503

I just did a google search using Bruce’s call sign to look up his history of news group
postings. This guy is really messed up. I have never read so many postings filled with so
much hatred. This guy needs some professional help. Maybe Bruce should look up hi
own history of new group postings, then again he will not want to, they the truth hurts. It
is quite easy to do. Just go to google news groups and to the advanced search. Type in his
call sign, and there they are.

1210. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:06:57 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822150657.29523.00005134@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17504

Hows this for a concept. All hams after 20 years of operation should be made to re test to see if they have kept up with technological changes in Ham radio. Maybe the Richards and Bruce's would go away then. They might even get down graded to Tech Lic. operators.

1211. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:13:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B840475.A542E78E@uci.edu>
Source record: 17506

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> And I would say that you're reading things that have not been said.

In some cases, I draw conclusions from what is said - just as you do.

> For example, I have never said that Lincoln was bad. In fact, I have
> never said anything about Lincoln other than his emancipation
> proclamation only freed slaves in the confederate states (which is a
> fact of history).

> Likewise, I have not said that the south was a victim in the civil
> war.

Not in so many words. But what am I to conclude when you draw pictures of the good intentioned Southern states having been oppressed by the injust big government of the North. Consider that I have been debating you, Cecil, and others, and there are common threads in the counterarguments.

> Instead, I have said that the south has suffered in the decades
> following that war as a result of that war. Again, if you read about
> the events in the south following the civil war, you will also see
> that statement is historical fact.

I don't need to read about it, as I am already aware of it. I believe I am on record as having described that as a "crater" out of which the South has had to climb.

> And, finally, while most experts, and myself, acknowledge that
> slavery was an issue in the civil war (especially following Lincoln's
> proclamation), it was not a major cause of the war (the concept of
> state's rights played a much larger role).

I am of the opinion that that portrayal is a diversion, or type of obfuscation. Most of the issues surrounding the secession can be connected in one way or another to the issue of slavery.

> Therefore, I don't see how you can perceive historical fact as
> justification or displacing blame.

Historical fact has always been open to interpretation, and I would like to suggest that it's no less true when it comes to the South's perspective of the war.

1212. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:15:26 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8404CE.7756817E@uci.edu>
Source record: 17505

GH wrote:
>
> Same thing the pirates on 40 meters said.

Huh. Never made the connection, Mr. Obvious. ;-)

> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >
> > Dwight. I can only tell you what it looks like to someone who is not
> > from the South. When I hear Southerners talking about how bad a guy
> > Lincoln was, how he didn't free the slaves, how the South was the victim
> > in the Civil War, and how the Civil War wasn't about slavery I can only
> > conclude that they are trying to make themselves feel better about
> > something through justification, and by displacing blame.

1213. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:21:03 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B84061F.9B12D8F7@uci.edu>
Source record: 17507

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Nonsense, tell me the name of the dictionary that does not include
> the word "buy" as a key definition of "purchase." For example,
> Webster's defines "purchase" as "to buy or anything bought." The words
> "purchase," "bought," and "buy," are all directly related - you cannot
> do one without the other (if you purchase something, you had to buy
> it, and if you bought something, it was purchased). The contradiction
> in your statements stills exist, and your credibility goes down with
> each attempt to worm your way out of that contradiction.

Hey Dwight. Why not let it go. I'm sure Cecil doesn't want to be on
record as having admitted to a prosecutable offense. Why do you insist
on trying to force him to? You aren't one of those holy roller Bible
beaters, are ya?

1214. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:25:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B84073A.B75A91AF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17508

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Nonsense, tell me the name of the dictionary that does not include
> > the word "buy" as a key definition of "purchase." For example,
> > Webster's defines "purchase" as "to buy or anything bought." The words
> > "purchase," "bought," and "buy," are all directly related - you cannot
> > do one without the other (if you purchase something, you had to buy
> > it, and if you bought something, it was purchased). The contradiction
> > in your statements stills exist, and your credibility goes down with
> > each attempt to worm your way out of that contradiction.
>
> Hey Dwight. Why not let it go. I'm sure Cecil doesn't want to be on
> record as having admitted to a prosecutable offense. Why do you insist
> on trying to force him to? You aren't one of those holy roller Bible
> beaters, are ya?

Thanks for the support, Jim, but the statute of limitations has run
out on any offense I might have commited. What Dwight and I are
arguing over now is individual rights. Does an individual have
the right to choose his definition of a word from the valid
definitions enumerated in a dictionary or does someone else
(Dwight) have the right to force a definition upon him (me)?
I vote for individual rights. Dwight apparently favors fascism.
I'm just glad he's not in charge.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1215. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:28:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8407E0.8FEC07A9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17509

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I believe I
> am on record as having described that as a "crater" out of which the
> South has had to climb.

Not for long, Jim. One of the favorite bumper stickers down here is:
"Save your Confederate dollars. The South shall rise again."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1216. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:32:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8408D2.5E019566@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17510

Drpfrench wrote:
> I just did a google search using Bruce's call sign to look up his history of
> news group postings. This guy is really messed up.

Now, that's a pretty cruel thing to say. Bruce is no more messed
up than the religious fanatic suicide bombers in Israel. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1217. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:43:09 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87k7zv284y.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17511

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

> code test a try? It may save them some waiting -- and then, they
> can claim to be a Dumbed Down Nickle Extra (DDNE) instead of
a Brain Dead Zero WPM Extra (BD0WPME). Face it -- DDNE
> is a lot easier to say and type than BD0WPME!!!

Fortunately, "Extra" is easier to say and type than both.

Rich

1218. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:53:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822155317.00717.00005626@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17512

>Now, that's a pretty cruel thing to say. Bruce is no more messed
>up than the religious fanatic suicide bombers in Israel. :-)
>--
>cheers, Ceci

And dont you forget it.

1219. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:56:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822155627.00717.00005628@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17513

>I just did a google search using Bruce’s call sign to look up his history of
>news group
>postings. This guy is really messed up. I have never read so many postings
>filled with so
>much hatred. This guy needs some professional help. Maybe Bruce should look
>up
>hi
>own history of new group postings, then again he will not want to, they the
>truth hurts. It
>is quite easy to do. Just go to google news groups and to the advanced
>search.
>Type in his
>call sign, and there they are.

Now you have me worried, all this effort you are putting into reading my Posts,
Question Mr No-Call are you in LOVE with me, or am I just your HERO.

1220. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:58:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822155848.00717.00005631@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17514

>Hows this for a concept. All hams after 20 years of operation should be made
>to
>re test to see if they have kept up with technological changes in Ham radio.
>Maybe the Richards and Bruce's would go away then. They might even get down
>graded to Tech Lic. operators.
>

Well if we got downgraded to a Tech License, then I guess that would put you
back to CBer

1221. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 19:59:24 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B840F1C.AB392492@uci.edu>
Source record: 17515

CAM wrote:
> Stop worrying about what I might be implying, Jim.

Well, it is germane to the discussion.

> I was just stating interesting facts. But now
> that you mention it - what was Lincoln's ulterior motive in exempting
> certain areas from the Emancipation Proclamation?

It's pretty obvious that he wanted to make it clear who he felt was on the side of the Union, and who was on the side of the Confederacy. It has been apparent to me all along that the point of naming names, so to speak, was to make it clear who was deemed to be in rebellion. It has been assumed by some here that in doing so, he intended for slavery to be abolished only in those states. This has been my point of contention.

> Could politics have
> been involved?

Of course. But not so much on the issue of slavery, as on the issue of "are you with us, or agin' us."

> There seems to be some relation to seaports. Could it
> be that Norfolk might not allow Union ships to dock unless they were
> exempted?

Would Norfolk have foolishly believed that if they allowed Union ships into their port, they would have been allowed to continue to have slaves? I don't think so.

1222. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:04:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B841040.F1C650CA@uci.edu>
Source record: 17516

CAM wrote:
> One of the favorite bumper stickers down here is:
> "Save your Confederate dollars. The South shall rise again."

I think that's supposed to be a joke, Cecil. But I've saved a few
Confederate dollars. They seem to have a lot of value out here. ;-)

1223. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:14:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B841298.FE4033F4@uci.edu>
Source record: 17522

CAM wrote:
> Thanks for the support, Jim, but the statute of limitations has run
> out on any offense I might have commited. What Dwight and I are
> arguing over now is individual rights. Does an individual have
> the right to choose his definition of a word from the valid
> definitions enumerated in a dictionary or does someone else
> (Dwight) have the right to force a definition upon him (me)?
> I vote for individual rights. Dwight apparently favors fascism.
> I'm just glad he's not in charge.

Huh. Looked to me like you were trying to avoid admitting that you used
to buy pot.

1224. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:20:10 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <_tUg7.360$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17517

What about the people who weren't even aware of ham radio until recently and just became licensed?? Does that make them lesser of a person when it comes to ham? Obviously they weren't aware of the restructuring issue....

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010821014522.28971.00000603@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> What does it mean when a person does think about getting into ham radio untill
> the restructuring has taken place. Does this make them a lesser ham because
> they did not even gaive ham radio a thought untill afterwards, Before you put
> words into my mouth. I am talking about people that never thought they would be
> interested in ham radio. Lets not forget the young people over the nexr few
> years, that where born after restructuring, what about them.

1225. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:20:12 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <0uUg7.361$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17518

So what is the answer then????? Lets see an outline of "proper" testing procedures that would make you happy..... Please be specific.

Ryan

> Typical &%#*$&#*! no-coder answer. They think they can slip
> through by memorizing four, 130-year-old equations and doing some
> straightforward mathematical tricks (also memorized). They can
> go to any college bookstore and find, literally, tons of books
> telling them *precisely* how to do this kind of thing. All they
> have to do is plug the numbers in, "turn the crank", and out
> comes the desired solution. Where's the challenge in that?
>
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>
>
>
>
>

1226. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:20:13 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <1uUg7.362$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17519

Profanity..... absolute rudeness..... the "good-ole-boys" network crap....,
same crap I hear on the SW reciever on the CB freqs. as I am scanning thru.
Not the best operators I have heard, but of course, not representative of
the whole.

The other thing is the contesting thing. When I finally can have an HF
station I am not sure if I am even going to bother with it. I currently
could only operate mobilely (sp.?) as I am not allowed to operate where I
live. If I do operate HF later on, I certainly do not care about contesting
whatsoever, even though, apparently contest operators MUST have priority
access to the frequencies.....

Ryan

"Larry Stanton" <pluto@4motion.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210946410.19962-100000@4motion.org...
> > Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or
"CQ
> > Contest....."
>
> 75 meters aside, please tell me what frequencies you listen to that sound
> like CB. How do you define "sound(ing) just like CB"?
>
> Inquiring minds want to know.
>

1227. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:20:14 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <2uUg7.363$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17520

75 meters..... The shortwave broadcast band allocation???? I know there is 20, 30, 40, 80, and 160 meter ham allocations... according to my ARRL band allocation chart. Unless there is some chance the all-powerfull, better than god himself ARRL is wrong.

Ryan

"Larry Stanton" <pluto@4motion.org> wrote in message news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0108210946410.19962-100000@4motion.org...
> > Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
> > Contest....."
>
> 75 meters aside, please tell me what frequencies you listen to that sound
> like CB. How do you define "sound(ing) just like CB"?
>
> Inquiring minds want to know.
>

1228. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:20:16 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <4uUg7.364$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17521

Agreed here too... actually I find some very stimulating (almost
educational) quality conversations on 6m, 2m, 70cm frequencies. And of a
wide variety of topics, radio related or other.

Ryan

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010821104922.01506.00000841@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >Try 20 Meters, then try 10, then of course the 2 meter CB Band
> >
>
> Where live 2 meters does not sound anything like CB. Gee Bruce maybe down
in
> COVINGTON LA 2 meters is like that but not in Northern Ca.

1229. Re: Hi, wanna see me NAKED? Live?! Check...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 20:48:42 UTC
From: Dimmy Dimwit <dimwit@bellsowth.net>
Message-ID: <3B841AAA.E4220A3A@bellsowth.net>
Source record: 17523

"Adrianna J." wrote:
> [snip]

Har har har har. You promised me that at the Huntsville ham fest and
then ran away with Dwight instead. Har har har har.

1230. Paying for the spectrum they use-Hams Derelict?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:04:50 UTC
From: antonelron@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ea82a75b.0108221304.564ca6c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17524

In previous years "The Amateur Radio Service" has provided the nation, sometimes grateful and sometimes not, with a great service in training and shaping technical talent. However, today's Appliance Buyer/Operators are about as useful as breasts on a bull for this.

Should license fees be jacked into the ionosphere to compensate the taxpayer for this bandwidth freeloading? Some feel that way. However, I think a more appropriate solution is a carrot-and-stick approach. A tax should be placed on imported Amateur Radio equipment, and the Amateur Extra license should require a tough soldering, test equipment use, and troubleshooting test.

However, the government should have a free software program similar to the commercial EDA and RF design packages written and put up as source for ham use, and companies such as Newark should be punished for not wanting to sell parts to hobbyists with an inventory tax if they balk.

Of course some people will balk. Who cares?

1231. NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:08:33 UTC
From: antonelron@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ea82a75b.0108221308.26404a58@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17525

The True Ham Builds.

Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham

Your suggestions welcome.

1232. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:31:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8424A6.88275F21@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17526

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Thanks for the support, Jim, but the statute of limitations has run
> > out on any offense I might have commited. What Dwight and I are
> > arguing over now is individual rights. Does an individual have
> > the right to choose his definition of a word from the valid
> > definitions enumerated in a dictionary or does someone else
> > (Dwight) have the right to force a definition upon him (me)?
> > I vote for individual rights. Dwight apparently favors fascism.
> > I'm just glad he's not in charge.
>
> Huh. Looked to me like you were trying to avoid admitting that you used
> to buy pot.

I freely admit that I bought pot in the past so your inference was
completely wrong. I certainly wish you guys would just accept what
I say and not try to read any hidden meanings into my words.

The whole argument is over individual rights. Do I, as an individual,
have the right to chose a definition from the list of valid definitions
enumerated in the dictionary or must I succumb to the collective will
embodied in Steve and Dwight. In response to an attack from that
collective, I raise my "Don't Tread On Me" flag and scream at the
top of my lungs, "I have only begun to fight", or "Nuts!". I can't
decide which is more appropriate.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1233. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:34:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B842561.6F90E7C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17527

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > ... what was Lincoln's ulterior motive in exempting
> > certain areas from the Emancipation Proclamation?
>
> It's pretty obvious that he wanted to make it clear who he felt was on
> the side of the Union, and who was on the side of the Confederacy.

Aha, so if you were on the side of the Union, slavery was perfectly OK.
That's exactly what we thought. Thanks for verifying it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1234. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:35:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8425BB.BB9C6A21@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17529

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> What about the people who weren't even aware of ham radio until recently and
> just became licensed?? Does that make them lesser of a person when it comes
> to ham? Obviously they weren't aware of the restructuring issue....

Of course, that makes them lower than the lowest layer of whale $hit
in the deepest part of the ocean. What's wrong with you, boy?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1235. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:37:20 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010822173720.18576.00000485@mb-fk.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17528

>Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Patience, Cecil...patience. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1236. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:49:24 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822174924.28963.00000683@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17530

Why do you insist I operate on 11 meters. Why do you insist on making assumtions about people. I said before, and I will say it again. We do not need you or your kind in the ham radio hobby. We all know that you do not help out in public service events, I asked on the past but you refuse to list any events you have helped out in durring the past year, Maybe because the hams in you community do not want anything to do with you that would expose you to the no ham community. After looking the history of your posting in News Groups, I can see no normal person would want to around you.

1237. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:51:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822175146.27119.00007471@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17531

>Of course, that makes them lower than the lowest layer of whale $hit
>in the deepest part of the ocean. What's wrong with you, boy?
>

Way to go Cecil, it looks like you have seen the light

1238. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:53:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822175319.21541.00004263@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17532

From: antonelron@hotmail.com

>The True Ham Builds.

> Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham

> Your suggestions welcome.

And the ham who routinely provides public-service communication but doesnt'
build says that the True Ham Provides Public Service Communication.

There are many ways to be a good ham, and those that believe that only the way
that THEY do it is Worthy are way too narrowly focused.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1239. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:54:13 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822175413.28963.00000685@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17533

My experiance with the repeater in LA was about one year before restructuring. I have not been back to LA. I would hope that the FCC has been able to do sopmething about the problem.

1240. Re: Paying for the spectrum they use-Hams Derelict?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:56:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822175630.21541.00004264@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17534

From: antonelron@hotmail.com

>In previous years "The Amateur Radio Service" has provided the nation,
>sometimes grateful and sometimes not, with a great service in training
>and shaping technical talent. However, today's Appliance
>Buyer/Operators are about as useful as breasts on a bull for this.

The majority of the value of Amateur Radio to our regulators is NOT in its technical contributions, but rather in its emergency and public-service communications. That makes a MUCH bigger impact on our congresscritters than any technical presentation of the value of ham radio.

The vast majority of the emergency- and public-service communications has taken place using the "appliances" you feel are useless.

The majority of amateurs have disagreed with you for about the past 50 years or so.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1241. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 21:58:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822175824.21541.00004266@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17535

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>Unless there is some chance the all-powerfull, better
>than god himself ARRL is wrong.

Uh oh, another "ARRL is God" conspiracy theorist. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1242. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:01:06 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180106.28963.00000691@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17536

And one of the Newbies that Hams like you are a thing of the past.

1243. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:01:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180156.27111.00007562@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17539

>Why do you insist I operate on 11 meters. Why do you insist on making
>assumtions about people. I said before, and I will say it again. We do not
>need
>you or your kind in the ham radio hobby. We all know that you do not help out
>in public service events, I asked on the past but you refuse to list any
>events
>you have helped out in durring the past year, Maybe because the hams in you
>community do not want anything to do with you that would expose you to the no
>ham community. After looking the history of your posting in News Groups, I
>can
>see no normal person would want to around you.
>
You know you really are FUNNY. I will your one of them Cailfornia Fruit Cakes

1244. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:02:12 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180212.28963.00000692@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17537

At least I can admit when I make a mystake.

1245. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:02:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180231.21541.00004268@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17538

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Does an individual have
>the right to choose his definition of a word from the valid
>definitions enumerated in a dictionary or does someone else
>(Dwight) have the right to force a definition upon him (me)?

All words have multiple meanings. In many cases, those meanings are clear from context; in others, not. In the latter case, I would normally suggest that the person who didn't understand precisely what was meant by a word ask the person who originated it. However, in this case, you volunteered on your own as to which meaning you intended for each word. For a reason I can't quite understand, that was not enough to end the discussion about what you "really" meant.

Maybe it is an extension of the secret agenda thing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1246. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:03:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180319.27111.00007563@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17540

>My experiance with the repeater in LA was about one year before
>restructuring.
>I have not been back to LA. I would hope that the FCC has been able to do
>sopmething about the problem.
>

Wait a Minute, I though you said there was no CB activity in Calif

1247. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:04:44 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180444.28963.00000694@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17541

I told you before you idiot my call in KF6VYH look it up you two bit Lid.

1248. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:05:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180538.21541.00004269@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17542

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Hows this for a concept. All hams after 20 years of operation should be made
>>to re test to see if they have kept up with technological changes in Ham
radio.
>>Maybe the Richards and Bruce's would go away then. They might even get down
>>graded to Tech Lic. operators.

>Well if we got downgraded to a Tech License, then I guess that would put
>you back to CBer

That would depend on how much better or worse he did than you on the test,
Bruce. He is proposing that Amateur Radio testing contain subject material
relevant to modern radio technology.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1249. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:06:04 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180604.28963.00000695@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 17543

If Bruce wants to blow himself up to I can help him out, I do have and explosives lic. also.

1250. Re: Paying for the spectrum they use-Hams Derelict?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:06:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180633.27111.00007565@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17544

>The vast majority of the emergency- and public-service communications has
>taken
>place using the "appliances" you feel are useless.
>

And thats why the Memory Test has to go, I say test should only ask for your
Name and Addresse, the rest of the stuff is a waste of time anyway.

1251. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:07:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180707.21541.00004270@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17545

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> To the extent that ONE HAM who works for the FCC sets ham radio policy
>for the entire commission,

From what I understand of the Commission, the Commission sets policy for its staff, not the other way around.

Does the Secret Agenda thing extend to the FCC, too? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1252. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:07:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822180718.27111.00007567@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17546

>Uh oh, another "ARRL is God" conspiracy theorist. :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Wow ED its just not me.

1253. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:08:48 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B842D70.47AB6EC1@uci.edu>
Source record: 17547

CAM wrote:
> The whole argument is over individual rights. Do I, as an individual,
> have the right to chose a definition from the list of valid definitions
> enumerated in the dictionary or must I succumb to the collective will
> embodied in Steve and Dwight.

Yes. I can't see where anybody has denied you any rights. Next subject
please.

1254. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:12:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822181221.21541.00004271@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17548

>From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>Why even have to search for kids day. If a ham does not about kids day they
>will never learn about it form the web site. The ARRL should put a banner at
>the top of the web listing listing comming events at least 90 days ahaed of
>time.

Unfortunately, there are as many ideas about what should be available as a
banner at the top of the ARRL web site as there are hams with ideas. Our Tech
Info guy thinks that the TIS pages should be on that banner.

While I don't think that the ARRL's web page is the easiest to search, I can't
think of any really good ways to give access to thousands of pages by putting
each one on a banner.

>My club did a kids day station at a local mall. I got the idea almost two
>years ago. I statred to approach local mall 6 months ahead of time. That was
>hardy enough time. By the way it worked rather well.

Great. That is just the sort of thing that will help bring new blood into
Amateur Radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1255. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:13:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822181346.21541.00004272@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17549

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>I told you before you idiot my call in KF6VYH look it up you two bit Lid.

Didn't you tell Bruce and Dick that their inflammatory insults were harmful to Amateur Radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1256. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:15:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B842F1D.ACC21E26@uci.edu>
Source record: 17402

CAM wrote:
> Aha, so if you were on the side of the Union, slavery was perfectly OK.
> That's exactly what we thought. Thanks for verifying it.

I did nothing of the kind. It is exactly this ludicrous point that I've
been arguing against all this time. Finally you admit to it. Dwight
takes exception when I mention it.

1257. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:16:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822181606.21541.00004274@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17550

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Uh oh, another "ARRL is God" conspiracy theorist. :-)

> Wow ED its just not me.

You are correct. You are not the only one. I don't know exactly what it means when you say it, either. I think that ARRL can and should benefit from member input about what it it doing wrong, but "ARRL is God" just lacks enough substance to be useful.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1258. Re: Paying for the spectrum they use-Hams Derelict?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:17:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822181709.21541.00004275@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17401

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>And thats why the Memory Test has to go, I say test should only ask for your
>Name and Addresse, the rest of the stuff is a waste of time anyway.

When the matter comes up with the FCC, you will be more than welcome to tell
them what you feel. Don't be surprised if not many other hams share your view.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1259. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:35:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822183555.14708.00003983@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17403

>Didn't you tell Bruce and Dick that their inflammatory insults were harmful
>to
>Amateur Radio?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1R

Now his true Colors come out

1260. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:37:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822183704.14708.00003984@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17404

>"ARRL is God" just lacks enough
>substance to be useful.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

That was his opinion not mine.

1261. Re: Paying for the spectrum they use-Hams Derelict?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 22:38:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822183819.14708.00003985@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17405

>When the matter comes up with the FCC, you will be more than welcome to tell
>them what you feel. Don't be surprised if not many other hams share your
>view.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

You would probably be surprized.

1262. Re: Paying for the spectrum they use-Hams Derelict?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:11:42 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m1e7f$je1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17406

I never have understand how (the Feds) can sell something (spectrum) that
does not belong to them.

Licensing is not a permit to OWN any frequency. Regulations are created to
maintain reasonable control to avoid mutual QRM.

Dan/W4NTI

"Anton Elron" <antonelron@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ea82a75b.0108221304.564ca6c1@posting.google.com...
> In previous years "The Amateur Radio Service" has provided the nation,
> sometimes grateful and sometimes not, with a great service in training
> and shaping technical talent. However, today's Appliance
> Buyer/Operators are about as useful as breasts on a bull for this.
>
> Should license fees be jacked into the ionosphere to compensate the
> taxpayer for this bandwidth freeloading? Some feel that way. However,
> I think a more appropriate solution is a carrot-and-stick approach. A
> tax should be placed on imported Amateur Radio equipment, and the
> Amateur Extra license should require a tough soldering, test equipment
> use, and troubleshooting test.
>
> However, the government should have a free software program similar
> to the commercial EDA and RF design packages written and put up as
> source for ham use, and companies such as Newark should be punished
> for not wanting to sell parts to hobbyists with an inventory tax if
> they balk.
>
> Of course some people will balk. Who cares?

1263. Re: why are jews so fucking special?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:27:42 UTC
From: Dimmy Dimwit <dimwit@bellsowth.net>
Message-ID: <3B843FEE.8631AEB8@bellsowth.net>
Source record: 17407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.flame.jews,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W4NTI wrote:
>
> Hey Doc,
> [snip]

Har har har har. We heard you called mayday on 14.300. Riley will love
that. Did you see some jews? Har har har har.

1264. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:31:55 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8432ED.B7BD6CAE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17408

I think the Civil War was started by the pirates operating on the 40 meter ham band because they printed up a lot of counterfeit Confederate dollars.

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I believe I
> > am on record as having described that as a "crater" out of which the
> > South has had to climb.
>
> Not for long, Jim. One of the favorite bumper stickers down here is:
> "Save your Confederate dollars. The South shall rise again."
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1265. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:42:41 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m1g1i$rq6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17409

Since you, 8ULX are so superior to all the newguys, perhaps you can shed some light on why that is so.

Have you invented something of use for Ham Radio?

Have you accomplished anything of importance or at least of note in Ham Radio?

What do YOU PERSONALLY contribute to the STATE of the ART or to the SERVICE in particular?

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010822175146.27119.00007471@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Of course, that makes them lower than the lowest layer of whale $hit
> >in the deepest part of the ocean. What's wrong with you, boy?
> >
>
> Way to go Cecil, it looks like you have seen the light

1266. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:47:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822194751.17516.00004153@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17410

>Since you, 8ULX are so superior to all the newguys, perhaps you can shed
>some light on why that is so.

Because Im special.

1267. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:48:36 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822194836.18695.00004009@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17412

> You know you really are FUNNY. I will your one of them Cailfornia Fruit
>Cakes
>

What??? You will what?

1268. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:49:12 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m1gdo$3g6$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17411

NO WAY...I took my EXTRA in 1976, after studying like crap all about 'solid state'. The test was all TUBES, circa 1960. If I did it, so do they.. HI HI.

Dan/W4NTI

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010822180538.21541.00004269@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>Hows this for a concept. All hams after 20 years of operation should be made
> >>to re test to see if they have kept up with technological changes in Ham
> radio.
> >>Maybe the Richards and Bruce's would go away then. They might even get down
> >>graded to Tech Lic. operators.
>
> >Well if we got downgraded to a Tech License, then I guess that would put
> >you back to CBer
>
> That would depend on how much better or worse he did than you on the test,
> Bruce. He is proposing that Amateur Radio testing contain subject material
> relevant to modern radio technology.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

1269. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:52:22 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m1gli$s40$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17413

You know how I found out about ham radio? In 1959 I was into model rocketry. I saw an add for a war surplus transponder unit that was small enough to fit inside a rocket nosecone. I sent for info on it. I was told that in order to operate the unit I needed a FCC Amateur Radio License of Technician class or higher.

No one came hunting for me.

Dan/W4NTI

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010822181221.21541.00004271@mb-mk.aol.com...
> >From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>
> >Why even have to search for kids day. If a ham does not about kids day they
> >will never learn about it form the web site. The ARRL should put a banner at
> >the top of the web listing listing comming events at least 90 days ahaed of
> >time.
>
> Unfortunately, there are as many ideas about what should be available as a
> banner at the top of the ARRL web site as there are hams with ideas. Our Tech
> Info guy thinks that the TIS pages should be on that banner.
>
> While I don't think that the ARRL's web page is the easiest to search, I can't
> think of any really good ways to give access to thousands of pages by putting
> each one on a banner.
>
> >My club did a kids day station at a local mall. I got the idea almost two
> >years ago. I statred to approach local mall 6 months ahead of time. That was
> >hardy enough time. By the way it worked rather well.
>
> Great. That is just the sort of thing that will help bring new blood into
> Amateur Radio.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1270. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-22 23:58:23 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822195823.18695.00004011@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17414

Where did I say anything about CB you ignorant ahole. Why do you insist on
putting
words into my mouth. You just have to prove you are one of the worlds most well
lnown
LIDS,

1271. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:11:16 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822201116.18695.00004012@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17415

I am just making an observation, and reporting the news. What is really amazing is that Bruce lives so close to New Orleans, A city that I have always found to be freindly. Nothing like Bruce at all. As far as my calling him a two bit lid. how many times do I have to tell him to look up my call sign on QRZ. THe guy is a jerk. He has never answered my question about his community service with ham radio, he has never answered my questions about hams he has elmered. More than likely because he can not. Look up his history of NG postings. He needs psychiatric help. He is one of the most hatefull I have ever seen in a News Group,

1272. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:21:42 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822202142.18695.00004014@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17416

Hey Bruce, you are only about 3 blocks from Tammany Parish Hospital. You should walk your self over and check yourself into the Psych.. ward. You might even have a
frequent flier card for them. Take your HT, I wouldn’t you to get lost on the way.

1273. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:33:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822203337.20772.00002920@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 17421

In article <20010822123634.25716.00003501@mb-mb.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>>From what I have seen, new hams are of all ages.
>
>This is true, but from I have seen most are getting into ham radio after they
>are around 45 or 50.

Those are just the ones you see. There are lots more you don't know about.
>>
>>Why not focus on all ages?
>
>The younger kids are more willing to learn new things

Nonsense. I know 70+ year old hams who are on the cutting edge.
>
>> Is a 25 year old somehow less desirable as a new
>
>Of course not. but by that age most of them are starting new careers or
>famillies and they do not have a lot of time.

The lack of time, money, and space, plus antenna headaches are the REAL problems. The 45-50 year olds you see have time and money, plus they can put up antennas. Most of them, anyway.

>Many hams don't belong to
>>clubs, or can't make the meetings. Or they aren't interested in what the
>>local
>>club is doing.
>
>This is another subbject than can be greatly expanded on. Most of these hams
>do not go to meetings to express their oppion of the direction the club is
>taking, and do not take the time vote on club policy are the same ones that
>complain about when club events are held, or they complain about a problem
>with
>the repeater to anyone who will listen.

Not around here. Not the no-show hams I know.

>Or they complain about the food
>selection at an event. But they will not get off their fat BUTS to go to a
>meeting, or help out with public service events.

What about the hams who are simply too busy?

> Now you got me started on
>another issue.

>
That's good!

>>One thing the ARRL should consider is coming up with a TV ad
>
>It would be nice to see the ARRL produce a 30 min. recruitment video that
>could
>be run on the local cable accsess channels

GREAT idea!! They did that years ago. ("The Ham's Wide World"). Needs an
update.
>
>>Sounds like you have no tolerance for differing opinions.
>
>No I have no Tolerance for stupid people.
>
People with different opinions are stupid?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1274. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:33:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822203338.20772.00002921@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 17419

In article <20010816233623.12858.00006049@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>
>>>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
>>>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your
>choice'
>>>availability of older calls.
>>
>>Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim:
>
>At least not those of us who already have our "old timey" sounding
>1x2 call signs!

I don't know what that has to do with it. I've had mine for 24 years.
Sequentially issued in 1977.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>EX: (in order of issuance) KA3HXE, N3CRA, DA1RZ, AFA7LR, DA1ZW,
>WR2F, Gï¿½LYW
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

ex: WN3IYC (1967), WA3IYC (1968)

First time holder of all calls assigned to me.

1275. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:33:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822203339.20772.00002923@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 17418

In article <3B82AEF8.EB7FCF45@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>>
>> > You and I probably would have never been born.
>>
>> Maybe you wouldn't have been born, but I'd be here.
>
>Please prove it, Jim. :-)

I am the voice of reason, fairness, and logic. I point out fallacies and word-weaseling. I stand for clean living and equal treatment of all.

I'd still be here if slavery had never been.

> Eliminating slavery throughout all of
>human history would cause a catastrophic change in the present.

"Catastrophe" means something terribly bad. No slavery is good. The present would change for the better.

>Some nations would never have arisen.

Instead, better ones would have arisen.

>The American Indians might
>have discovered the primitive European "New World".
>--
Many of them had slavery, too. But they only enslaved captured enemies. They did not go after people on another continent with whom they had no argument. They did not build their economy on slave labor.

Imagine if Adolf Hitler had gotten into art school......

73 de Jim, N2EY

1276. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:33:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822203339.20772.00002922@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 17420

In article <3B826FC8.9F433AC0@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Purchasing marijuana was legal at the turn of the century. Citizens
>> >had a right to purchase marijuana.
>>
>> So? The dangers of the drug were not realized until later.
>
>Why aren't the dangers of the drug realized in Holland? Are
>those guys too dumb to notice or what?

Probably. Their culture is very different.

>Could it be that they,
>as a nation, are more enlightened than we are.

Maybe. Do you want to adopt their gun laws, too? Prostitution is legal there,
too.

> Why is it
>illegal to run scientific studies on marijuana? What are the
>feds afraid those studies will prove?

That weed makes people high and hungry?
>
>> >You choose to choke to death instead?
>
>> Ever hear of swallowing rather than spreading disease?
>
>So when a fly flies into your mouth, you just swallow it?????

I don't think anyone ever choked to death on a fly.

>The whole problem with choking is that one cannot swallow. What
>happens to spit during the Heimlich maneuver?

I don't think anyone ever choked to death on spit.
>
>> >> > In Louisiana, it is illegal for a husband
>> >> > and wife to have oral sex.
>
>> How many times has that been enforced in the past 10 years?
>
>Probably every time they were observed doing it.

How many times?

>The purpose of the
>law is to force people to do it in private.

That's a good law, then. Some things should be done in private.

>But the law applies to
>everyone, not only to those doing it in public.

So? It's an example of "states rights". People in Louisiana don't seem to be up in arms about it. They will change it when they are ready. Would you have the Feds overturn that law?

How many married couples are in jail because of the law?

>The point is that
>selective enforcement of bad laws is a very dangerous thing in our
>society.
>
Sure. So it's up to the good people of Louisiana to change that law, if THEY think it's a bad law.

>> >I frequent the Louisiana casinos and vacation often in New
>> >Orleans so I care.
>
>> Do you go there with your wife, Cecil?
>
>Don't have a wife.

Then you have nothing to worry about.

>I could get arrested for attempted oral sex
>in a hotel room with an undercover lady cop whom I picked up in a
>bar with absolutely no prostitution money involved.

If you know about the law, why would you break it? Particularly with a stranger you just met?

> See the real
>purpose of that law?
>--
To keep Texans from propositioning policewomen in bars?

Enlighten us as to the real purpose, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1277. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 00:33:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822203340.20772.00002924@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 17417

In article <3B7C981F.5BC136B7@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> A person has to be nuts to admit to illegal drug use in a public forum.
>
>Not if the statute of limitations has run out.

It's still nuts, because the person makes themselves worth watching by law enforcement.

>It was reported in a
>well respected financial newsletter that President Clinton had a
>two line a day cocaine habit while in office and had to have a
>nose operation because of it.

Was this ever confirmed? What was the newsletter, and when was the report? If it's a financial newsletter, what interest is such a report?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1278. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:10:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822211055.06606.00004340@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17422

>lnown

What does this mean "lnown". By the way do you even know what a Lid is?????

1279. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:12:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822211216.06606.00004341@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17424

>He is one of the most hatefull I have ever seen in a News
>Group,

Thank GOD its working!!!!!!

1280. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:12:18 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m1l9keec1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17423

Like I thought. A big mouth with nothing to support it.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010822194751.17516.00004153@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >Since you, 8ULX are so superior to all the newguys, perhaps you can shed
> >some light on why that is so.
>
> Because Im special.

1281. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:13:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822211349.06606.00004343@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17425

>What is really amazing
>is that Bruce lives so close to New Orleans, A city that I have always found
>to
>be freindly.

Well come on back, will show you what freindly!!!

1282. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:15:03 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m1lem$ck9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17426

That right there is what I've been saying for years. The FCC forcing of Incentive Licensing on the Amateur Community created a 'caste system'.

One of the reasons I have KEPT my W4NTI callsign is because I see NO NEED in putting on a big head because I have an extra.

I had all the SAME PRIVELDEGES back in the 60s until the FCC took them away and forced upgrades to REGAIN what was stolen.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010822203338.20772.00002921@nso-bh.aol.com...
> In article <20010816233623.12858.00006049@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >In article <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> >(N2EY)
> >writes:
> >
> >>
> >>>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> >>>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your
> >choice'
> >>>availability of older calls.
> >>
> >>Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim:
> >
> >At least not those of us who already have our "old timey" sounding
> >1x2 call signs!
>
> I don't know what that has to do with it. I've had mine for 24 years.
> Sequentially issued in 1977.
> >
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
> >EX: (in order of issuance) KA3HXE, N3CRA, DA1RZ, AFA7LR, DA1ZW,
> >WR2F, G�LYW
> >
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

> ex: WN3IYC (1967), WA3IYC (1968)
>
> First time holder of all calls assigned to me.
>

1283. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:36:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822213615.09162.00004089@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 17427

>Subject: Re: Restructuring
>From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>Date: 8/22/01 12:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>From what I have seen, new hams are of all ages.

>This is true, but from I have seen most are getting into ham radio after they
>are around 45 or 50.

I got involved in Amateur Radio at age 16, but have been in it life-long since then. However I am also inolved in other "pass-times" and it seems to me that they are full of "late in life" adherents too.

One of the surprising things I see folks coming into are aviation sports like hang gliding and skydiving. I have been an HG pilot since 91 now, and most of the new entrants are already well over 30, and a lot are over 40.

I think that most "kids" today want to get into the "x-treme" sports in early youth for the adrenaline rush and then enter other activities later as they are less physically intense.

Yes, it would be nice to get "kids" in the door in large numbers for Amatuer Radio again, but I think this is the way it will be for some time to come.

There will alwyas be exceptions, but I think the trend is set for a while.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1284. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 01:39:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822213900.09162.00004090@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 17428

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/22/01 2:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve, What is your ulterior motive for falsifying the attributes?

What is your motive for lying?

1285. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:07:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822220719.21501.00004955@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17429

>From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com

>NO WAY...I took my EXTRA in 1976, after studying like crap all about 'solid
>state'. The test was all TUBES, circa 1960. If I did it, so do they.. HI
>HI.

Actually, to be relevant to modern radio technology, the tests would have to contain questions about spread spectrum, DSP, software-defined radio and the like.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1286. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:10:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822221032.21501.00004956@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17430

>From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>As far as my calling him a two bit lid. how many times do I have to tell him
to
> look up my call sign on QRZ. THe guy is
a jerk.

>He is one of the most hatefull I have ever seen in a News
>Group,

Calling someone a two-bit lid and a jerk is pretty hateful, too. If the behaviour you are condemning in Bruce were truly distasteful to you, you wouldn't be guilty of using it yourself. Saying that he deserves it is just another version of, "Your honor, she MADE me beat her."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1287. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:11:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822221122.21501.00004957@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 17431

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>He is one of the most hatefull I have ever seen in a News
>>Group,

> Thank GOD its working!!!!!!

Bruce, I don't think God would aid and abet hatefulness, do you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1288. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:23:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B846904.AD5403A3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17432

W1RFI wrote:
> For a reason I can't quite understand, that was not enough
> to end the discussion about what you "really" meant.
>
> Maybe it is an extension of the secret agenda thing. :-)

Maybe they like the smell of primroses. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1289. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:26:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8469E5.6EF222DA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17433

N2EY wrote:
> I don't think anyone ever choked to death on a fly.

I did see a guy come close to choking to death on a june bug.

> That's a good law, then. Some things should be done in private.

But it's illegal to do it in private.

> > See the real purpose of that law?

> To keep Texans from propositioning policewomen in bars?
>
> Enlighten us as to the real purpose, Cecil.

To keep Texans from propositioning anyone in bars.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1290. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:29:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B846A6C.EC132C08@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17434

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/22/01 2:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Steve, What is your ulterior motive for falsifying the attributes?
>
> What is your motive for lying?

I'm not lying. Here is the proof. There should be one '>' in the
"From:" line. You put in two of them. That's falsifying the
attributes. Why on earth do you do it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1291. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:42:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822224259.00749.00007053@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17435

>Bruce, I don't think God would aid and abet hatefulness, do you?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare

YEP

1292. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:43:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B846DB6.C665599A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17436

N2EY wrote:
> Was this ever confirmed? What was the newsletter, and when was the report? If
> it's a financial newsletter, what interest is such a report?

It must have been about 5 years ago. The name of the report skips my
mind at the moment but it cost about $200 per year. It was authored
by James Davidson and Lord Rees-Mogg who are also authors of "The
Great Reckoning". They were afraid Clinton's alleged cocaine habit
was going to affect the markets in one way or another.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1293. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:44:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B846E0C.9A8F085E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17437

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Aha, so if you were on the side of the Union, slavery was perfectly OK.
> > That's exactly what we thought. Thanks for verifying it.
>
> I did nothing of the kind. It is exactly this ludicrous point that I've
> been arguing against all this time. Finally you admit to it. Dwight
> takes exception when I mention it.

So Jim, why was slavery perfectly OK in New Orleans?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1294. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 02:47:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822224710.00749.00007056@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17438

>Calling someone a two-bit lid and a jerk is pretty hateful, too. If the
>behaviour you are condemning in Bruce were truly distasteful to you, you
>wouldn't be guilty of using it yourself. Saying that he deserves it is just
>another version of, "Your honor, she MADE me beat her."
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Now Ed dont get on him to hard hes alot of fun. I really enjoy his ranting. I have never Laughed so much just reading his Stuff.

1295. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 04:39:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8488E6.49DF3110@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17439

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > I was just stating interesting facts.
> > But now that you mention it - what
> > was Lincoln's ulterior motive in
> > exempting certain areas from the
> > Emancipation Proclamation?
>
> It's pretty obvious that he wanted to
> make it clear who he felt was on the
> side of the Union, and who was on the
> side of the Confederacy. It has been
> apparent to me all along that the point
> of naming names, so to speak, was to
> make it clear who was deemed to be in
> rebellion. It has been assumed by some
> here that in doing so, he intended for
> slavery to be abolished only in those
> states. This has been my point of
> contention.

That doesn't even make sense, Jim. The civil war had been going on for almost two years when the emancipation proclamation was issued, so there was no need to "make it clear" who was on the side of the Union and who was on the side of the confederacy. Everybody in the entire country already knew who was in rebellion. The newspapers knew who was in rebellion. The magazines knew who was in rebellion. The book authors knew who was in rebellion. The street sweepers and school children knew who was in rebellion. In fact, by the time that proclamation was issued, probably all of North America and Europe knew who was in rebellion in the United States.

The proclamation did not have to "make it clear" who was in rebellion - that detail was already widely known. So the proclamation was not about who was in rebellion in the United States. Instead, the proclamation was solely about slavery in those states in rebellion. Nothing more. Nothing less. The entire purpose of the proclamation was to free slaves in those states in rebellion. The document specifically listed which slaves, in which states, were free. If a state was not listed in that document, that document did not abolish slavery in that state. Slavery in those remaining states was not abolished until the 13th Amendment.

Why are these widely recognized facts so difficult for you to understand?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1296. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 04:49:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B848B4E.877EEF74@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17440

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight takes exception when I mention it.

No, I take exception to your ludicrous interpretations of the
emancipation proclamation - interpretations that are not supported by
a single historian or government document expert in this entire country.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1297. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 05:16:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B849198.9456FD9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17441

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Likewise, I have not said that
> > the south was a victim in the civil
> > war.
>
> Not in so many words. But what am I to
> conclude when you draw pictures of the
> good intentioned Southern states having
> been oppressed by the injust big
> government of the North. (snip)

That the south was oppressed following the war is a historical fact, Jim. Again, read about the events in the south following the civil war. And southerners are still suffering to this day from the negative attitudes of northerners. Just ask any southerner who has lived in a northern state. I'm sure he'll be glad to tell you about the southern jokes or the jokes about his accent. Or just watch how southerners are portrayed on television (everything from Gomer Pile to the Beverly Hillbillies).

By the way, why do northerners always make jokes about our southern accent? Do you really think we'll find it funny when you try to mimic it? When was the last time you heard a southerner trying to mimic your ignorant sounding northern accents? No, we're too polite to do that.

> I don't need to read about it, as I am
> already aware of it. I believe I am on
> record as having described that as a
> "crater" out of which the South has
> had to climb.

Don't worry about it, my friend. The south is climbing out of that crater, and somehow I don't think most southerners will suddenly forget how they've been treated over the last 150 years.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1298. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 05:58:49 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823015849.01475.00001118@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17442

make that known

1299. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 05:59:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B849BB5.58AC2845@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17443

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > But, I'll repeat what I said before,
> > even if you meant to say "barter,"
> > since neither of those words (purchase
> > or bought) requires the exchange of
> > money, the contradiction in those two
> > statements remain.
>
> Dwight, when you chose a definition for
> a word, you do not and cannot choose all
> definitions. You can choose only one
> definition at a time. "Purchased" can
> involve money and that's the definition
> I chose to use. "Bought" can be
> interchangable with "bartered" and that's
> the definition I chose to use. (snip)

Okay. I was willing to let it go but obviously you're not willing to do the same. Yes, you do pick only one definition at a time - the definition that fits the context of the sentence used. You're not ignorant, Cecil. If you had meant to say "barter," you would have said "barter." You didn't say "barter" because you didn't mean to say "barter."

You also choose your words in the context of the discussion. So, lets go back and see context of the discussion in which you said that you bought marijuana. You were asked (this is an exact quote)...

Assuming that you purchased the marijuana
in the normal way (the way most people get
their illegal drugs), what about the people
you bought the marijuana from? (snip)

You responded with...

(snip) I only ever bought marijuana from my
friends. I don't know and don't care where
they got it. (snip)

So, as you can see, the discussion was about who you "purchased" drugs from. You didn't dispute the word "purchased" in your response back then. You didn't dispute "the normal way most people get their illegal drugs" in your response back then (bartering is not the normal way most people get their illegal drugs). Instead, you simply responded that you "bought" marijuana from your friends. And you used

that word in the context of a discussion about where you "purchased" marijuana.

And, again, that is a direct contradiction of the following
statement made by you...

"I have never purchased marijuana
in my life. All the marijuana I have
ever smoked was offered and/or
given to me by my friends."

Again, the contradiction in your statements still exist.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1300. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:06:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823020600.25217.00000818@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17444

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/22/2001 9:29 PM Central Daylight Time

>I'm not lying. Here is the proof. There should be one '>' in the
>"From:" line. You put in two of them. That's falsifying the
>attributes. Why on earth do you do it?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

WHAT A DWEEB!

Geez, Cecil..! You can't find a VALID argument about ANYTHING, and then
you have to call someone a liar or accuse them of "falsifying attributes"
because of the number of arrows in a newsgroup reader on AOL...?!?!

HOW WEAK!

>>I<< didn't put in anything!

You can "thank" the AOL newsreader IF indeed anything therein is amiss.

However, your "proof" is pretty laughable in any case!

Nice try, though!

Now, since you HAVEN'T got ANY facts to share with us except to claim you
saw something ON TV once, perhaps you'd like to try ANOTHER subject...?!?!

"Why on Earth do you do it?"

(I DID capitalize "Earth")

In the meantime, we await your next verbal meandering.

With baited breath.......

Steve

1301. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:21:46 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B849328.4265ADB3@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17445

CAM wrote:

> Purchasing marijuana was legal at the turn of the century. Citizens
> had a right to purchase marijuana. That right to purchase marijuana
> was taken away from the citizens in the 1930s for the purpose of
> trying to control the illegal Mexican alien problem.

I think the pirates operating illegally on the bottom end of the 40 meter band may be selling marijuana, I think that had something to do with starting the Civil War.

> US citizens were free to use marijuana for the first 150 years. The
> main reason that marijuana was declared illegal was to control the
> Mexican alien problems in the Southwest.

The pirates operating on the 40 meter ham band don't like Mexicans or Blacks, I think that had something to do with starting the Civil War.

1302. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:25:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B84A1BF.236B83D4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17446

CAM wrote:
>
> I'm not using my "own, unique definitions
> of words", Dwight. I am choosing the
> definition from a list of definitions in
> the dictionary. (snip) If you don't
> understand what I meant, it is up to you
> to ask, not go running off at the
> mouth about some alleged contradiction
> that never existed. When I use the word
> first, you are not allowed to change the
> meaning of what I said by choosing a
> different definition than the one I was
> using.

Cecil, don't lecture me on the use of a dictionary. I'm fully aware that a person chooses a word based on the context of the sentence and the context of the discussion. And, once a person uses a word in a certain context, the definition of that word is locked in and cannot be changed later.

So lets look at the context. We already know why "bought" was used in the context of the sentence (past tense of buy). Now lets go back and see the context of the discussion in which you said that you bought marijuana (this was said before so the following may look familiar). You were asked (and this is an exact quote)...

Assuming that you purchased the
marijuana in the normal way (the
way most people get their illegal
drugs), what about the people you
bought the marijuana from? (snip)

You responded with...

(snip) I only ever bought marijuana
from my friends. I don't know and
don't care where they got it. (snip)

So, as you can see, the discussion was about who you "purchased" drugs from. You didn't dispute the word "purchased" in your response back then. You didn't dispute "the normal way most people get their illegal drugs" in your response back then (bartering is not the normal way most people get their illegal drugs). Instead, you simply responded that you "bought" marijuana from your friends. And you used that word in the context of a discussion about where you "purchased" marijuana.

And, again, that is a direct contradiction of the following later statement made by you...

"I have never purchased marijuana
in my life. All the marijuana I have
ever smoked was offered and/or
given to me by my friends."

So, I repeat, the contradiction in your statements still exist.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1303. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:35:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B84A3ED.39A8EE7D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17447

CAM wrote:
>
> Not true, Dwight. Around here you can
> buy a horse with two cows but you
> cannot purchase a horse with two cows.
> I suspect it's a regional thing. It's
> a useful convention for me and I don't
> see any reason to change it.

And exactly where is "around here?" Your living room? It's not going to work, Cecil. You're simply not going to convince me that a Texan is too stupid to use the words "barter" when he means "barter," "bought" when he means "bought," and "purchased" when he means "purchased." And I know that you're not that stupid.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1304. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:43:24 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84A887.2611CC98@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17448

Drpfrench wrote:

>I have no Tolerance for stupid people.

That's rather odd considering that you quite obviously are one.

1305. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:46:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B84A6A7.9733C594@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17449

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Hey Dwight. Why not let it go. I'm
> sure Cecil doesn't want to be on
> record as having admitted to a
> prosecutable offense. Why do you
> insist on trying to force him to?

I tried to let it go, Jim. Cecil continued to challenge my comments
after that, so I guess the debate goes on.

> You aren't one of those holy roller
> Bible beaters, are ya?

No, but what the heck would that have to do with this discussion anyway?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1306. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:52:42 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84AAB6.94940C81@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17551

W1RFI wrote:

> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>Uh oh, another "ARRL is God" conspiracy theorist. :-)
>
> > Wow ED its just not me.
>
> You are correct. You are not the only one. I don't know exactly what it means
> when you say it, either. I think that ARRL can and should benefit from member
> input about what it it doing wrong, but "ARRL is God" just lacks enough
> substance to be useful.

Well, ever so often some peon at the FCC proves it.

1307. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:53:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B84A826.2DDB3FF4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17450

CAM wrote:
>
> Thanks for the support, Jim, but the
> statute of limitations has run out
> on any offense I might have commited.
> What Dwight and I are arguing over
> now is individual rights. Does an
> individual have the right to choose
> his definition of a word from the valid
> definitions enumerated in a dictionary
> or does someone else (Dwight) have the
> right to force a definition upon him (me)?
> I vote for individual rights. Dwight
> apparently favors fascism. I'm just glad
> he's not in charge.

Don't push it, Cecil. I'm not forcing anything on you (so stop your endless accusations of fascism). What you wrote, in the context of that discussion, is what is now forcing that definition on you. No other definition fits that context.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1308. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 06:56:18 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84AB8D.9D9D7E82@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17552

Bert Craig wrote:

> >Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
> >to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
> >
>
> Patience, Cecil...patience. :-)

Bert knows for sure...they're already here.

1309. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:00:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B84A9CA.B77AA889@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17553

W1RFI wrote:
>
> All words have multiple meanings. In
> many cases, those meanings are clear
> from context; in others, not. In the
> latter case, I would normally suggest
> that the person who didn't understand
> precisely what was meant by a word ask
> the person who originated it. However,
> in this case, you volunteered on your
> own as to which meaning you intended
> for each word. For a reason I can't
> quite understand, that was not enough
> to end the discussion about what you
> "really" meant.

Thank you, Ed. That is exactly my point. If you look at the messages I posted tonight, the context of that discussion clearly does make the meaning clear. And that directly contradicts what Cecil is now saying.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1310. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:02:28 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84ACFF.5555649C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17554

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> > You think you're making a point but the FCC couldn't care less and you can't find it
> > with both hands these days for all the dope and joy juice you've inhaled. Poor point,
> > really.
>
> Translation: "I am at a loss for words."

You KNOW better than that. And you are gleeful that a minor functionary at the FCC now makes ALL decisions that affect every facet of ham radio, and his arbitrary output is rubberstamped by the comission as though it was the result of deepest deliberation.

1311. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:06:39 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84ADFB.53B418A3@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17555

W1RFI wrote:

> From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>
> >I told you before you idiot my call in KF6VYH look it up you two bit Lid.
>
> Didn't you tell Bruce and Dick that their inflammatory insults were harmful to
> Amateur Radio?

Early on there might have been some question on why he was so hung up on the term "lid", but his constant and frequent useage of the term has removed all doubt- he knows all too well what a lid is, he looks at one in the mirror every morning.

1312. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:12:33 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823031233.01450.00001384@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 17556

What. you mean you are living in my mirror...
By the way, I will make it easy for you. How many public service events have you helped with in the past year,

When was the last time you elmered a young ham.

KF6VYH

1313. Re: More Off Topic Stuff To Rant Over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:37:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B84B26B.46DD4C6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17557

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> This reresents a first in American politics.
> An ex-Congressman who had sex with a
> subordinate wins clemency from a President
> who had sex with a subordinate, and then
> hired by a clergyman who admitted having
> sex with a subordinate, all while being paid
> by the U.S. taxpayers. And the Democrats
> don't believe we deserve a tax cut as it
> will take money from essential activities.
>
> Only in America!

LOL. You got that right, Steve.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1314. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:39:45 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84B5BC.2DAB6EEC@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17558

Larry Stanton wrote:

> Funny, I've read about the 'devolving' of ham radio, in one form or
> another, in QST letters dating back to the 50's.

Yep, it devolved about 1951, as I recall, when someone at FCC decided that General class should have all amateur privileges. Wasn't but a very few years until that blunder was widely recognized, and the cure of Incentive Licensing went through the long process, but it took until 1968 to get it up and running. And they couldn't even do that right, as evidenced by the fact that all the old Class A licensees had privileges withdrawn, rather than being "grandfathered". So the entire affair left sour turned off the very large segment of hams who were the backbone of the hobby, and many pretty well gave up on the FCC, realizng that they are little but politically motivated bureaucrats who march to whatever is the beat du jour. Now the same song is replayed with a different note, and at least this time, no one lost privileges. What was lost has been in progress since before 1985. It goes back as far as a fellow named Dick Bash...

> Each successive
> generation of hams apparently thinks theirs is the last true great one.
> Funny how ham radio has remained stable for 40 years despite this
> apparent, continuing 'de-evolution'.

Stable??? you haven't been paying ANY attention.

> Care to explain how, despite
> this 40 years of apparent de-evolution, we've managed to more than
> quadruple the number of hams and increase our available bandwidth?

Numbers of licensees increased, yes, by making the requirements ever easier to successfully meet, such as publishing all the exact test questions with their exact answers, a gross violation of the basic principles of education if there ever was one..

But you are simply wrong to say that our bandwidth has increased. Not all that many years ago we once had ALL spectrum above UHF, and -well, just keep tuned, we are well underway to lose most everything above 2 meters before this 'wireless' auction feeding frenzy and all the Part 15 activity plays out.
I, for one, am not particularly sad to see a lot of it go, since before retirement I worked in engineering in public safety comms and know firsthand what problems are caused by congestion and difficulty obtaining much needed frequencies by non-hobby, serious users. LOTS of headaches and service compromising. Meanwhile just tune across our VHF and UHF bands- only in a few localities will you find any amount of activity AT ALL, much less enough to maintain all that RF real estate for hobby use alone. We've GOT to give some of it up. A LOT of it.

>
> I'd be curious how you think this is possible in the face of your
> de-evolution theory.

As you can see, it wasn't.

1315. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:44:19 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84B6CF.43868C7C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17559

CAM wrote:

> Larry Stanton wrote:
> > Funny, I've read about the 'devolving' of ham radio, in one form or
> > another, in QST letters dating back to the 50's.
>
> Yep, since the Novice class was introduced in the early 50s
> there have been Old Fart Aholes who have said that Novices
> were not Real Hams. They said 5wpm was a joke. Now the
> joke's on them.
> --

Cecil, if you can't think of anything intelligent to say, why say anything? To insist that because someone once squeaked by a 5wpm test that he "knows CW" is nothing more than a bad joke, when he makes it more than clear that he has no intention of ever even thinking about CW as anything more than that "hazing ritual" he had to go through. THAT's the joke.

1316. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 07:52:10 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84B8A6.5A8E9B2C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17560

Drpfrench wrote:

> Hows this for a concept. All hams after 20 years of operation should be made to
> re test to see if they have kept up with technological changes in Ham radio.
> Maybe the Richards and Bruce's would go away then. They might even get down
> graded to Tech Lic. operators.

You just dig your dumbass hole deeper with each posting. It *was* a big part of 20 years after my first license when I took and passed the General, Advanced and Extra tests at one sitting - at the FCC office, and that, friend, included 13 and 20 wpm code tests. Crawl back in your hole and play with your blasting caps.

1317. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 08:01:15 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B84BAC6.4FDA084F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17561

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: "W4NTI" w4nti@nospammindspring.com
>
> >NO WAY...I took my EXTRA in 1976, after studying like crap all about 'solid
> >state'. The test was all TUBES, circa 1960. If I did it, so do they.. HI
> >HI.
>

>
> Actually, to be relevant to modern radio technology, the tests would have to
> contain questions about spread spectrum, DSP, software-defined radio and the
> like.Virtually NONE of which is in use within ham radio today (yes, we know
> there are a few engineer-hams who like to piddle at home).

1318. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 08:46:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823044624.21495.00007019@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17562

In article <20010822104909.21431.00003100@mb-mr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Do I now need your permission to interpret your words? In seeing all you
>have
>posted about the subject, I did not believe that you think that a modest
>growth
>in Amateur Radio is a good thing. And surely, you would not expect me to
>believe that you have posted so much on a subject you are completely neutral
>about.
>
>But I could be wrong. Do you think that the relatively small growth in
>Amateur
>Radio licensing numbers is a good thing, a bad thing or a neutral thing.

Ed:

I don't know what you're on about here. I never stated or implied that growth, in any amount -- no matter how small -- was a bad thing. Unfortunately, the small amount of growth we've seen since Restructuring probably doesn't even cover the number of inactive and/or expired licenses that still populate the database. Growth in any amount is good, but in order for this growth to be meaningful in the way we want it to be -- to actually have more amateurs using spectrum that, at present, is sparsely used -- if at all. In order for this to be the case, we'd most likely have to increase our total numbers by at least a third over the next 3 - 5 years. And with our current growth rate at around .003 percent, I don't see that happening!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1319. Re: Question for dixie@townsqr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 08:46:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823044624.21495.00007018@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17563

In article <20010822053804.01447.00000823@mb-cs.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Richard, How many young people have you elmered, and how many public service
>events have you helped with. Also, when you elmer young people do teach them
>to
>the same quality Lid that you are.

Dripschittfrench:

How do you know Richard is a lid? Have you ever heard him operate on-the-air? Remember -- this is Usenet, not ham radio. Nothing anyone says or does here counts one iota for or against whatever opinion one may hold of him as an amateur radio operator! Richard may be one of the best lids on the air -- or not -- but whatever he does on this newsgroup doesn't count toward any fair assessment of his "quality" as a lid! You don't see any of us calling you a "lid," do you Dripschitt-french?

73 de Larry, K3LT

Drip, dip -- it's all the same to me!

1320. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 08:57:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823045712.21495.00007020@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 17564

In article <9m1lem$ck9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>
>That right there is what I've been saying for years. The FCC forcing of
>Incentive Licensing on the Amateur Community created a 'caste system'.

Dan:

I disagree. What the FCC did was to create a system in which radio
amateurs could progressively increase their operating privileges as a
reward for gaining greater knowledge and operating skill. The "incentive"
of the higher privileges motivated the learning and skill development.
The "caste system" you whine about is just the perception of lazy,
unmotivated, and jealous hams who did not see fit to bestir themselves
to regain lost privileges.

>One of the reasons I have KEPT my W4NTI callsign is because I see NO NEED in
>putting on a big head because I have an extra.

I know of very few Extra's who have a "big head" just because they *are*
Extras. I'm sure that, like myself, most of them don't really spend much
time thinking about their license class at all. Again -- it is the jealous,
malingering underachievers who have this perception, based on a
projection of their own unsatisfied desire for unearned privileges.

>I had all the SAME PRIVELDEGES back in the 60s until the FCC took them away
>and forced upgrades to REGAIN what was stolen.

If your poor spelling is any indicator of your preparation for ham radio
license tests, I can understand your frustration.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1321. Energizer Bunny Quoted In CQ Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 09:27:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823052705.06482.00004722@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17565

For those of you who have been around this newsgripe a bit......

He's baaaaack!

Page 79 of September 2001 CQ Magazine.

Someone (with a great sense of humor and the Patience of Job) did a great editing job, but the content was the same!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1322. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 09:31:20 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823053120.20180.00006386@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17566

>> >Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>> >to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>> >--
>> >cheers, Cecil
>> >
>>
>> Patience, Cecil...patience. :-)
>
> Bert knows for sure...they're already here.

The conspiracy's afoot...shhhhhhh. ;-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1323. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 09:51:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823055152.02036.00004415@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17567

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I know of very few Extra's who have a "big head" just because they *are*
>Extras. I'm sure that, like myself, most of them don't really spend much
>time thinking about their license class at all.

But judging from the volume and content of your posts here, Larry, you spend a
lot of time thinking about the licence class of others.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1324. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 09:56:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823055628.02036.00004416@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17568

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If your poor spelling is any indicator of your preparation for ham radio
>license tests, I can understand your frustration.

There were 75 words in the parts of his post you quoted. One was not spelled correctly. Aren't you being just a bit over-critical here, Larry? I have seen incorrectly spelled words in your posts and didn't think it important enough to call to the attention of a world-wide group of news readers.

I figured that either you didn't know how to spell a word or two or had made a typographical error. Recalling that your keyboard doesn't have a "1/4" key, I am sure you remember how easy it is to make a typo electronically.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1325. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 09:59:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823055951.02036.00004417@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17569

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Each successive generation of hams apparently thinks theirs is the last true
>> great one. Funny how ham radio has remained stable for 40 years despite this
>> apparent, continuing 'de-evolution'.

>Stable??? you haven't been paying ANY attention.

Dick, not everyone believes as you do that ham radio has been ruined for the
past 40 years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1326. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 10:01:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823060140.02036.00004418@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17570

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Numbers of licensees increased, yes, by making the requirements ever easier
>to successfully meet, such as publishing all the exact test questions with
their
>exact answers, a gross violation of the basic principles of education if there
ever
>was one..

Easier than my getting a Novice license by studying a 4-page study guide in
1963, or a General by studying a 16-page study guide?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1327. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 10:07:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823060734.02004.00004965@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17571

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Translation: "I am at a loss for words."

>You KNOW better than that. And you are gleeful that a minor functionary at
>the FCC now makes ALL decisions that affect every facet of ham radio, and his
>arbitrary output is rubberstamped by the comission as though it was the
result of
>deepest deliberation.

The Report and Order contained a lot of deliberation, from what I saw.
Although I disagreed with some of what the FCC decided to do, I did not think
it to be arbitrary or undeliberated.

On what basis do you know that this minor functionary's work was arbitrary and
undeliberated?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1328. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 10:08:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823060852.02004.00004966@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17572

From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)

>The conspiracy's afoot...shhhhhhh. ;-)

And the ARRL has secret agendas and the FCC rubber stamps the work of minor functionaries with secret agendas and ...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1329. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 10:10:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823061041.02004.00004967@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17573

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Didn't you tell Bruce and Dick that their inflammatory insults were harmful
>> to Amateur Radio?

> Early on there might have been some question on why he was so hung up on the
>term "lid", but his constant and frequent useage of the term has removed all
>doubt- he knows all too well what a lid is, he looks at one in the mirror
every
>morning.

You two have a lot more in common that I bet either of you realize. Do you
think you could take the petty name calling to private email rather than carry
on so in public?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1330. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 10:14:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823061425.02004.00004968@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 17574

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>By the way, I will make it easy for you. How many public service events have
>you helped with in the past year,

>When was the last time you elmered a young ham.

I am sure Dick will answer for himself, but if you are making it easy on him to describe the elmering he has done over decades, I am sure he will do a good job.

Now, as a ham, I have not done any public-service operating over the past year nor have I individually elmered a new ham in quite some time. Care to comment on whether I am a worthwhile ham?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1331. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 11:09:39 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b84e6b5_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17575

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B849198.9456FD9@sccoast.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Likewise, I have not said that
> > > the south was a victim in the civil
> > > war.
> >
> > Not in so many words. But what am I to
> > conclude when you draw pictures of the
> > good intentioned Southern states having
> > been oppressed by the injust big
> > government of the North. (snip)
>
>
> That the south was oppressed following the war is a historical fact,
> Jim. Again, read about the events in the south following the civil
> war. And southerners are still suffering to this day from the negative
> attitudes of northerners. Just ask any southerner who has lived in a
> northern state. I'm sure he'll be glad to tell you about the southern
> jokes or the jokes about his accent. Or just watch how southerners are
> portrayed on television (everything from Gomer Pile to the Beverly
Hillbillies).
>

Suffering? Excuse me? Southerners are suffering to this day from the
negative attitudes of northerners? I have lived down here now for over 20
years and I can assure you I have witnessed no suffering from such as you
describe! In fact, when I first moved down here I went to work in a factory
that made all the interiors for the Bell Helicopters at the time. I was
chastised, ridiculed and downright insulted by most of the people there, but
stood my ground with them. One day, one of the womens' husbands came in to
have lunch with her in the lunch room. Of course, most of the rest of us
were there. This was when I'd been there for about three weeks. When
Barbara mentioned to her husband, as he was beginning to sit down on the
bench next to me, that I was a "yankee," he literally got up and moved over
to the other side of the table!

>
> By the way, why do northerners always make jokes about our southern
> accent? Do you really think we'll find it funny when you try to mimic
> it? When was the last time you heard a southerner trying to mimic your
> ignorant sounding northern accents? No, we're too polite to do that.
>

Northerners make just as much "fun" of accents as anyone else, Dwight. I cannot believe you are drawing such a parallel as this. We all make "fun" of peoples' accents. If it isn't a southerner, it's a Brit, a Laotian, etc. Just the other day we had one of our co-workers, a Cambodian I think he is, saying "Pham, Texas Ranger" and it was hysterical. We were all laughing our asses off in the elevator! Great fun!

> > > I don't need to read about it, as I am
> > > already aware of it. I believe I am on
> > > record as having described that as a
> > > "crater" out of which the South has
> > > had to climb.
> >
> >
> > Don't worry about it, my friend. The south is climbing out of that
> > crater, and somehow I don't think most southerners will suddenly
> > forget how they've been treated over the last 150 years.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >

You guys argue things in the strangest of ways. You turn what appears to be a debate on some historical event about slavery into a current day disgrace because the north and the south make "fun" of each other. I make fun of southerners all the time, and they make fun of me. Then, if there's a ferinner in our presence, we all make fun of them. I am constantly reminding my husband of my superior intellect to him due to his being a born 'n' bred Texan. :) :o It's too bad there's folks such as yourselves who are actually offended by it, because no harm is intended. Good grief. Suffering! Indeed.

Kim W5TIT

1332. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 11:19:17 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b84e8f3_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17576

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010823055951.02036.00004417@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Each successive generation of hams apparently thinks theirs is the last
true
> >> great one. Funny how ham radio has remained stable for 40 years despite
this
> >> apparent, continuing 'de-evolution'.
>
> >Stable??? you haven't been paying ANY attention.
>
> Dick, not everyone believes as you do that ham radio has been ruined for
the
> past 40 years.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Goodness no!! I'd say thinkers such as Dick are quite in the minority. But that's part of the problem, also. It is their desire to "hang on" to misery; their minds will never be changed. Let them have their delusions, because the rest of us are out here having a wonderful time with ham radio, and most are not even aware of the Dicks of the world, no pun intended.

Kim W5TIT

1333. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 11:22:01 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b84e996$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17577

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010823055152.02036.00004415@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >I know of very few Extra's who have a "big head" just because they *are*
> >Extras. I'm sure that, like myself, most of them don't really spend much
> >time thinking about their license class at all.
>
> But judging from the volume and content of your posts here, Larry, you
spend a
> lot of time thinking about the licence class of others.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I think it's the other way around. By the volume and content of Larry's posts here, it's quite obvious Larry spends very little time thinking of anyone else...but himself.

Kim W5TIT

1334. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 11:44:53 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108230741550.27274-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17578

On 22 Aug 2001, Anton Elron wrote:

> The True Ham Builds.
>
> Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
>
> Your suggestions welcome.

Real men drive manual transmissions. If you don't drive a manual, you shouldn't be driving at all, and your drivers license should be revoked until you learn how to drive a manual transmission and dump your Buick for a real car, one with a manual transmission, hopefully a foreign car, to repent for your years of senseless patronization of the inferior American auto industry.

Your comments?

--Larry

1335. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:12:34 UTC
From: paul harris <paul@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80A5E6923@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 17579

Sometimes it seems like:

NO BITCHING = NO HAM

"Anton Elron" <antonelron@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ea82a75b.0108221308.26404a58@posting.google.com...
> The True Ham Builds.
>
> Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
>
> Your suggestions welcome.

1336. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:37:02 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ON6h7.21536$Ki1.1738950@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17580

I posted:
>> Typical &%#*$&#*! no-coder answer. They think they can slip
>> through by memorizing four, 130-year-old equations and doing some
>> straightforward mathematical tricks (also memorized).....

Ryan, KC8PMX, responded:
> So what is the answer then????? Lets see an outline of "proper" testing
> procedures that would make you happy..... Please be specific.

Oftentimes my attempts at tongue-in-cheek drollness and mathematical allusions get too obscure even for the initiated. The four equations are, of course, Maxwell's equations. And the 'straightforward mathematical tricks' are the entire body of vector and tensor calculus. Furthermore, wading through the tons of college textbooks on those subjects would put one well on the road to a graduate degree in EE - obvious overkill for a ham radio license and, it was hoped, a humorous commentary on the "license tests are dumbed-down" threads.

I tend to be a fundamentalist in regards to licensing requirements. I believe those requirements should be continuously reviewed for relevance to the singular reason for licensing of any kind - to protect the rights of others. In my view, it is reasonable to ask that someone who is licensed to operate a 1500-watt transmitter that can be home-constructed and tuned to any frequency have a certain familiarity with radio theory and regulations. But it is unreasonable to require a high degree of skill using manual radiotelegraphy when there is no evidence that lack of that skill impacts other users of the radio spectrum.

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1337. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:47 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <rP6h7.16$of.13572@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17581

This is about the best and most positive response I have EVER read about this issue in newsgroups ever!!!!!!!!!!!

Ryan

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010822075207.01915.00004508@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>
> >It will make them a better CW operator, but that does not make them better
> >Hams.
>
> Sure it does. Learning any skill or knowledge makes a ham a better ham. CW is
> still a vibrant (and fun!) part of ham radio.
>
> But what makes a ham a better ham is the overall body of knowledge that he or
> she acquires to become a ham, and the much greater body of knowledge that most
> hams acquire during a lifetime of learning. No one part of it is more important
> than another, and no one ham does it all. Once we have met the requirements
> to obtain our licences, we are free to choose from a universe of potential.
>
> Works for me.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1338. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:48 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <sP6h7.17$of.13518@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17582

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3B833EF9.EDC6DA82@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Drpfrench wrote:
>
> > Richard, how many public service events have you helped with this year.
How
> > many youg people have you elmered this year.
>
> You mean parades and marathons? I leave that to the No Code techs, it's
right
> up their alley. As for your second question, newcomers today are not
looking
> for Elmers to help them learn how to build their antennas, they're looking
for
> their HRO or AES catalog and credit card..
>

And some of us who do not have a credit card are limited to what we can
purchase from Radio Shack..... be it home-brew stuff or factory built....

As far as Elmers..... good luck finding them....Everything I know or think
I know about ham radio is through self-teaching and research.

Ryan

1339. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:52 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <wP6h7.18$of.13202@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17583

>
> Passing a code test has as much to do with being a good ham as does passing a
> written test. In either case, the ham has demonstrated ability in things that
> are in use in Amateur Radio.

^^

Then going by that logic why aren't there Packet and PSK and many of the other modes. Why is there much more detail like repeater operation (as in being the control op, and tech person responsible)? Gee, the *wonderful* (sarcastically) ARRL text books that are supposed to help teach us the theory barely touch on that for an example as well as other modes and methods.

Ryan

1340. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:54 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <yP6h7.19$of.13642@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17584

That brings a point up..... I got slammed a couple times for using the Q signals on VHF/UHF ranges. If normal language doesn't provide for clear and understood communications, what is wrong with using a Q signal to clarify something? The only "10 code" that I haven't come up with a ham radio equivalent is the "10-100" one. LOL

I do have a hard time with one thing.... My job requires me to memorize the APCO 10-codes (slightly modified for our departments use) and the APCO version of alphabetic phonetics like Adam, Boy, Charlie, David etc.... and I catch myself "almost" using those on the ham freqs. Kinda, sorta like being bi-lingual in a sense.

Ryan

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010822074402.01915.00004504@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Seems to be a big difference to me. Hams are speaking fairly good
> >English. The CBers seem to have their own language.
>
> Yeah, they use terms like 10-4 and 10-20 instead of QSL and QTH. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1341. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:56 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <AP6h7.20$of.13415@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 17585

Thats possibly true.... guess I never said I was the master of timing eh?
:)

Ryan

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010822073850.01915.00004500@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net
>
> >Listen to HF freqs. lately??? Sounds just like CB.... either that or "CQ
> >Contest....."
>
> I do listen to HF a lot. I hear little that reminds me of CB, even on
phone.
> That little can be pretty bad, but it is the violation of the rules that
is the
> issue there, not its resemblance to CB.
>
> The only times I hear CQ contest on the majority of the bands are during
the
> major contests. I rarely, if ever, hear it on non-contest weekends.
Maybe it
> has just been bad timing on your part if that is all you hear on the
bands.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

1342. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:08:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B850E69.9A7AFACB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17586

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Okay. I was willing to let it go but obviously you're not willing to
> do the same.

I was willing to let it go but this posting of yours proves that you
are the one who has not gotten your fill of primroses.

Can you give me one good reason why I should give up my individual
right to choose the definitions for the words I use from the valid
definitions in the dictionary and allow you to become the fascist
dictator for my postings? Once again, here are the definitions that
I was using.

"purchase - to acquire with money"
"buy - to acquire through exchange"
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1343. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:16:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B851041.996BAFFC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17587

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Not true, Dwight. Around here you can buy a horse with two cows but you
> > cannot purchase a horse with two cows. I suspect it's a regional thing. It's
> > a useful convention for me and I don't see any reason to change it.
>
> And exactly where is "around here?" Your living room? It's not going
> to work, Cecil. You're simply not going to convince me that a Texan is
> too stupid to use the words "barter" when he means "barter," "bought"
> when he means "bought," and "purchased" when he means "purchased." And
> I know that you're not that stupid.

Around Madison County, TX. You can go a year around here and never hear
the words, "purchase" and "barter". We use "buy" for both. Why use two-
syllable words when a one-syllable word will do. If you ever hear the
word "purchase" around here, it means "purchase with money". That's
why I chose "purchased" instead of "bought" in my statement. Why would
I deliberately choose to use two different words if they both mean the
same thing? BTW, don't those primroses smell sweet?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1344. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:34:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85146C.666688CF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17588

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> Cecil, don't lecture me on the use of a dictionary. I'm fully aware
> that a person chooses a word based on the context of the sentence and
> the context of the discussion. And, once a person uses a word in a
> certain context, the definition of that word is locked in and cannot
> be changed later.
>
> So lets look at the context. We already know why "bought" was used
> in the context of the sentence (past tense of buy). Now lets go back
> and see the context of the discussion in which you said that you
> bought marijuana (this was said before so the following may look
> familiar). You were asked (and this is an exact quote)...
>
> Assuming that you purchased the
> marijuana in the normal way (the
> way most people get their illegal
> drugs), what about the people you
> bought the marijuana from? (snip)
>
> So, as you can see, the discussion was about who you "purchased"
> drugs from.

Of course, you're absolutely wrong about that, Dwight. The phrase
in your sentence that contains "purchased" is completely irrelevant
and I completely ignored it. Your assumption is false. I only responded
to your second phrase, "... what about the people you bought the
marijuana from?" That is a very common thing to do on a newsgroup.
So here is the real interchange in context. Anything else is attempted
obfuscation on your part.

> (snip) what about the people you
> bought the marijuana from? (snip)

to which I responded,

(snip) I only ever bought marijuana
from my friends. I don't know and
don't care where they got it. (snip)

Absolutely no mention of money and, of course, there was no money
involved as there would have been in a "purchase". Your assumption
of a purchase was false and therefore completely irrelevant.

> "I have never purchased marijuana
> in my life. All the marijuana I have
> ever smoked was offered and/or
> given to me by my friends."
>
> So, I repeat, the contradiction in your statements still exist.

I've explained away any possible contradition, Dwight, but you are
free to delude yourself all you want. Only I know what I meant.
Your attempted mind fornication is impotent in the face of the truth.
You need to find a different victim.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1345. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:39:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85159E.B7C98837@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17589

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Thank you, Ed. That is exactly my point. If you look at the messages
> I posted tonight, the context of that discussion clearly does make the
> meaning clear. And that directly contradicts what Cecil is now saying.

Your posted assumption was completely false, Dwight, and thus was dropped
from the context. You are simply obfuscating. I responded only to the
second phrase in your sentence, a common thing to do.

If I say, "Assuming corn is yellow, then peas are blue", and you say
"No, they are not", are you saying that corn is not yellow? Of course
not. If I argued that you said corn was not yellow, would I be right?
That's exactly what you are doing. Nice try, didn't work.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1346. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:42:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B851670.713DB79F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17590

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Hey Dwight. Why not let it go. I'm sure Cecil doesn't want to be on
> > record as having admitted to a prosecutable offense. Why do you
> > insist on trying to force him to?
>
> I tried to let it go, Jim. Cecil continued to challenge my comments
> after that, so I guess the debate goes on.

I tried to let it go and you just kept on challenging my comments.
You really must like primroses.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1347. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:46:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85172C.52D6F2B7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17591

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Geez, Cecil..! You can't find a VALID argument about ANYTHING, and then
> you have to call someone a liar or accuse them of "falsifying attributes"
> because of the number of arrows in a newsgroup reader on AOL...?!?!

You said you weren't falsifying attributes, Steve. That was a lie as
everyone can see. You ARE falsifying attributes. If that is not illegal,
it is certainly highly unethical. Why do you falsify attributes?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1348. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:49:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85180F.4B24FF7B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17593

Richard Carroll wrote:
> And you are gleeful that a minor functionary at the FCC now
> makes ALL decisions that affect every facet of ham radio, and his arbitrary output is
> rubberstamped by the comission as though it was the result of deepest deliberation.

If that is true, my hat is off to the guy who wants the ARS
to be healthy into the 21st century and not be buried in
the sands of time along with you.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1349. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:51:59 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823105159.26264.00002243@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 17592

Face it Richard. It has been over a year, if they where comming they would heve been here.

1350. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:54:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B851935.62C0C38A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17595

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Larry Stanton wrote:
> > > Funny, I've read about the 'devolving' of ham radio, in one form or
> > > another, in QST letters dating back to the 50's.
> > >
> > Yep, since the Novice class was introduced in the early 50s
> > there have been Old Fart Aholes who have said that Novices
> > were not Real Hams. They said 5wpm was a joke. Now the
> > joke's on them.
>
> Cecil, if you can't think of anything intelligent to say, why say
> anything? To insist that because someone once squeaked by a 5wpm test
> that he "knows CW" is nothing more than a bad joke, ...

You can wipe the egg off your face and answer a simple question.
Did you ever operate CW on the air at 5wpm? Virtually every HF
ham did.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1351. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 14:55:41 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823105541.26264.00002244@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 17594

Dick, if ham radio has been ruined for so many years, why do yo stick around. Why don't you just go find another hobby. Maybe you can build model planes, but keep the windows closed, the fumes might do you a world of good.

1352. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:01:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823110131.00857.00007171@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17596

>Face it Richard. It has been over a year, if they where comming they would
>heve
>been here.
>

There here you just dont want to admit it.

1353. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:03:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823110331.00857.00007173@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17597

>Maybe you can build model planes, but
>keep the windows closed, the fumes might do you a world of good.
>

And you think Im mean and hateful, now I see why you have done all the research on my Post, your just trying to be as good as me.

1354. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:06:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823110605.00857.00007175@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17598

>Face it Richard. It has been over a year, if they where comming they would
>heve
>been here.

Well your here so that should tell us all there here. By the way what was your
CB Handle before you upgraded to CBplusser.

1355. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:07:30 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823110730.26264.00002248@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 17599

>As far as Elmers..... good luck finding them....Everything I know or think
>I know about ham radio is through self-teaching and research.
>
>Ryan

Well Ryan I guess in your neck of the woods you have a smaloler ham community I my area the clubs I have been to have a large number of hams that will elmer new hams. We even have a large number of older hams there are very fast at CW that help with all sorts of events. One of them is 92 years old and one of the nicest hams you can meet. At least Hames like Bruce and Richard are not the norm.

1356. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:15:23 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823111523.26264.00002249@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 17600

Gee Richtuɪd
Nothing you just posted has any to do with what I just posted, I said Hams should be retested after 20 years to see if they have kept up with changes in technology. What does that have to do with sitting in an FCC office and passing 3 test in one day. I thought you might be smarter than Bruce, maybe I was wrong in assumning your brain was larger then his.

1357. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:19:49 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B85113A.49CF6DC5@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17601

I think the Civil War was started because the pirates who are operating on the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band make fun of yankees.

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

>
> You guys argue things in the strangest of ways. You turn what appears to be
> a debate on some historical event about slavery into a current day disgrace
> because the north and the south make "fun" of each other. I make fun of
> southerners all the time, and they make fun of me. Then, if there's a
> ferinner in our presence, we all make fun of them. I am constantly
> reminding my husband of my superior intellect to him due to his being a born
> 'n' bred Texan. :) :o It's too bad there's folks such as yourselves who
> are actually offended by it, because no harm is intended. Good grief.
> Suffering! Indeed.
>
> Kim W5TIT

1358. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:20:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823112013.14586.00003164@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17602

>At least Hames like Bruce and Richard are not the

Oh but we are. Is that all you do is go to stupid Club Meetings with a Bunch
of Old Men?????

1359. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:21:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823112159.14586.00003165@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17604

>Nothing you just posted has any to do with what I just posted, I said Hams
>should be retested after 20 years to see if they have kept up with changes in
>technology.

Not a Bad Idea, but we need to get all the Hams to take a REAL TEST FIRST

1360. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:22:43 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8511EC.D45BC0CF@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17603

I think the Civil War started because the pirates that operate illegally on the bottom end of the 40 meter band used the word "barter" instead of "purchase" and the Northern States did not like that.

CAM wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Not true, Dwight. Around here you can buy a horse with two cows but you
> > > cannot purchase a horse with two cows. I suspect it's a regional thing. It's
> > > a useful convention for me and I don't see any reason to change it.
> >
> > And exactly where is "around here?" Your living room? It's not going
> > to work, Cecil. You're simply not going to convince me that a Texan is
> > too stupid to use the words "barter" when he means "barter," "bought"
> > when he means "bought," and "purchased" when he means "purchased." And
> > I know that you're not that stupid.
>
> Around Madison County, TX. You can go a year around here and never hear
> the words, "purchase" and "barter". We use "buy" for both. Why use two-
> syllable words when a one-syllable word will do. If you ever hear the
> word "purchase" around here, it means "purchase with money". That's
> why I chose "purchased" instead of "bought" in my statement. Why would
> I deliberately choose to use two different words if they both mean the
> same thing? BTW, don't those primroses smell sweet?
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1361. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:23:57 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B85123D.5AC857AC@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17605

Actually black berries are red when they're green.

CAM wrote:

> If I say, "Assuming corn is yellow, then peas are blue", and you say
> "No, they are not", are you saying that corn is not yellow? Of course
> not. If I argued that you said corn was not yellow, would I be right?
> That's exactly what you are doing. Nice try, didn't work.

1362. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:24:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823112416.14586.00003167@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 17606

>What does that have to do with sitting in an FCC office and passing
>3 test in one day.

He and others have done it, and its probably something you could never do, you would probably have to have a change of clothing if you tryed it.

1363. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:25:58 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823112558.26264.00002251@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 17607

You are not in the same boat as Dick. You are open minded to having new hams come into the hobby. You do not look down on new hams because they will no have the opertunity to take a 13 or 20 wpm code test. When I started to study ham radio the study guide would recomend finding an elmer (older ham) the learn more about the technology. It would seem there is a group of older hams do not believe in that. In my club we have a very large number of old hams that are more then willing to tech the new hams, as such our club keeps growing.

1364. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 15:34:47 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B85147E.705FD039@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17608

Some corn is white, some is red. I think that had something to do with starting the Civil War.

CAM wrote:

> If I say, "Assuming corn is yellow, then peas are blue", and you say
> "No, they are not", are you saying that corn is not yellow? Of course
> not. If I argued that you said corn was not yellow, would I be right?
> That's exactly what you are doing. Nice try, didn't work.
> --

1365. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:03:29 UTC
From: N2EY@aol.com
Message-ID: <975b4ea9.0108230803.7fba99cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17609

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<9m1lem$ck9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> That right there is what I've been saying for years.

I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.

> The FCC forcing of
> Incentive Licensing on the Amateur Community created a 'caste system'.

I think you mean "class system".

A "caste system" (like in India) is where people are sorted into social levels by birth, and there is no way to change an individual's assignment. An "untouchable" is always untouchable, a Brahmin is always a Brahmin, regardless of accomplishments or lack thereof. The individual has no say in the matter.

In a "class system", as it applies to IL, an individual can reach any class by means of accomplishment. Just pass the required tests. This is the fairest and most egalitarian form of system, because it is accomplishment-based.

Some people make the mistake of thinking that their class gives them some sort of right to look down on those who have not attained the same level. Such folks forget that they were once un-accomplished.

There was a class system in the ARS, before the 1968 changes called "Incentive Licensing". There were Novices, Technicians, Conditionals, Generals, Advanceds and Extras before 1968. There were very few Extras (maybe 4,000 out of a quarter million US hams) but quite a few Advanceds (40,000). There were actually only a few Novices at any give time (less than 15,000 at any time, because of the short license term).

Back then, some Extras looked down on everybody. Some Advanceds and Extras griped about the fact that anybody with a General or Conditional could operate in the "Class A" 'phone bands. Some Generals, Advanceds and Extras looked down on Conditionals because they hadn't gone to an FCC examiner. Some Generals, Conditionals, Advanceds and Extras looked down on Technicians because they had no HF back then, and had not passed 13 wpm. And some of all the other classes looked down on Novices, because they were beginners.

One heard stuff like:

"No kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators only."
(kids=novices, lids=Generals/Conditionals, space cadets=Technicians

and SSB ops)

"If he can't see 'em, he can't work 'em". (a play on the old saw "you
can't work 'em if you can't hear 'em)

"Not a 'real' license" (said of Novices because their licenses were
not renewable).

Nothing new. A vocal few with a bad addytood. There's a few in
everything. But only a few.

Even Incentive Licensing was not new. It was a revival of the pre-1953
system. Everything old is new again. Like "wireless"...
>
> One of the reasons I have KEPT my W4NTI callsign is because I see NO NEED in
> putting on a big head because I have an extra.

Try working a contest or a pileup with a six-digit dash-filled moniker
like WA3IYC....(it's more than 50% longer than your call). I got N2EY
after seven years as an Extra to be more competitive.
>
> I had all the SAME PRIVELDEGES back in the 60s until the FCC took them away
> and forced upgrades to REGAIN what was stolen.

Join the club. I had all privileges for a few weeks after I passed my
Advanced in the summer of '68. Then I lost them. Big deal, another
test or two. The tests weren't THAT hard. Toughest part was getting to
the exam session.

The FCC changed the rules, that's all. Without using the actual words,
they admitted that their December 1952 decision was a mistake.
(Government almost NEVER admits a mistake with words).

What matters is what a ham does AFTER the license is in hand. That's
what's impressive, or not.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010822203338.20772.00002921@nso-bh.aol.com...
> > In article <20010816233623.12858.00006049@nso-fi.aol.com>,
> yodoc@aol.comnospam
> > (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
> >
> > >In article <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> > >(N2EY)
> > >writes:
> > >
> > >>
> > >>>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> > >>>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of your

> choice'
> > >>>availability of older calls.
> > >>
> > >>Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
> > >>
> > >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > >Jim:
> > >
> > >At least not those of us who already have our "old timey" sounding
> > >1x2 call signs!
> >
> > I don't know what that has to do with it. I've had mine for 24 years.
> > Sequentially issued in 1977.
> > >
> > >73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >EX: (in order of issuance) KA3HXE, N3CRA, DA1RZ, AFA7LR, DA1ZW,
> > >WR2F, Gï¿½LYW
> > >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > ex: WN3IYC (1967), WA3IYC (1968)
> >
> > First time holder of all calls assigned to me.
> >

1366. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:05:20 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8529C0.A051631A@uci.edu>
Source record: 17610

CAM wrote:
> So Jim, why was slavery perfectly OK in New Orleans?

Your question is based on a false premise.

1367. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:23:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B852DEF.4CB57EAD@uci.edu>
Source record: 17612

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> That doesn't even make sense, Jim. The civil war had been going on
> for almost two years when the emancipation proclamation was issued, so
> there was no need to "make it clear" who was on the side of the Union
> and who was on the side of the confederacy.

Of course it makes sense. Do you see any mention of slavery in the
following?

"That the executive will on the 1st day of January aforesaid, by
proclamation, designate the States and parts of States, if any, in which
the people
thereof, respectively, shall then be in rebellion against the United
States; and the fact that any State or the people thereof shall on that
day be in good faith
represented in the Congress of the United States by members chosen
thereto at elections wherein a majority of the qualified voters of such
States shall
have participated shall, in the absence of strong countervailing
testimony, be deemed conclusive evidence that such State and the people
thereof are not
then in rebellion against the United States."

I really don't think you can quite see the forest for the trees. I've
forwarded my quess as to why that might be, but you haven't been too
happy about it.

> The proclamation did not have to "make it clear" who was in
> rebellion - that detail was already widely known. So the proclamation
> was not about who was in rebellion in the United States.

I'm curious as to why you would have such an opinion? The proclamation
quite clearly delineates which states or portions of states are in
rebellion.

> Instead, the
> proclamation was solely about slavery in those states in rebellion.
> Nothing more. Nothing less. The entire purpose of the proclamation was
> to free slaves in those states in rebellion. The document specifically
> listed which slaves, in which states, were free. If a state was not
> listed in that document, that document did not abolish slavery in that
> state. Slavery in those remaining states was not abolished until the
> 13th Amendment.

Are you really suggesting that the Proclamation proves that Lincoln
intended to allow slavery in the non-confederate states? That's a

ludicrous notion.

1368. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:25:14 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m3apa$k75$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17611

I passed EVERY ONE of my EXAMS in front of the FCC. The FIRST TIME. Thank
you.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010823045712.21495.00007020@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <9m1lem$ck9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
> <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:
>
> >
> >That right there is what I've been saying for years. The FCC forcing of
> >Incentive Licensing on the Amateur Community created a 'caste system'.
>
> Dan:
>
> I disagree. What the FCC did was to create a system in which radio
> amateurs could progressively increase their operating privileges as a
> reward for gaining greater knowledge and operating skill. The "incentive"
> of the higher privileges motivated the learning and skill development.
> The "caste system" you whine about is just the perception of lazy,
> unmotivated, and jealous hams who did not see fit to bestir themselves
> to regain lost privileges.
>
> >One of the reasons I have KEPT my W4NTI callsign is because I see NO NEED
in
> >putting on a big head because I have an extra.
>
> I know of very few Extra's who have a "big head" just because they *are*
> Extras. I'm sure that, like myself, most of them don't really spend much
> time thinking about their license class at all. Again -- it is the
jealous,
> malingering underachievers who have this perception, based on a
> projection of their own unsatisfied desire for unearned privileges.
>
> >I had all the SAME PRIVELDEGES back in the 60s until the FCC took them
away
> >and forced upgrades to REGAIN what was stolen.
>
> If your poor spelling is any indicator of your preparation for ham radio
> license tests, I can understand your frustration.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

1369. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:28:38 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B852F36.2A19175A@uci.edu>
Source record: 17613

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Likewise, I have not said that
> > > the south was a victim in the civil
> > > war.
> >
> > Not in so many words. But what am I to
> > conclude when you draw pictures of the
> > good intentioned Southern states having
> > been oppressed by the injust big
> > government of the North. (snip)

> That the south was oppressed following the war is a historical fact,
> Jim.

Which are we speaking about, Dwight. You seem to keep shifting it. We have no argument about what happened in the South after the war. The point I thought we were discussing was prior to the war.

> By the way, why do northerners always make jokes about our southern
> accent? Do you really think we'll find it funny when you try to mimic
> it?

I don't know. I don't try to mimic it. My ex-wife had a pretty sweet one though.

> When was the last time you heard a southerner trying to mimic your
> ignorant sounding northern accents? No, we're too polite to do that.

I really have no idea what you are talking about, Dwight. Maybe you should calm down a little. This new topic seems to extend a bit beyond the scope of our converstation.

1370. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:41:04 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m3bn1qqg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17616

Using 'caste' is a play on words. Class is more correct, I agree.

My point is that Incentive Licensing caused a unnecessary split within Amateur Radio. Over time it has caused what we see today. Constant complaining and putting down 'lower' class operators.

And yes indeed it was going on before 1968. It was done to me in 1961. And it was done by those that were subject to the ORIGINAL incentive plan of class A land. I know about what happened then.

What is going on now is what went on then. Because of the 'class' system. This system needs to end.

I suggested years ago to the FCC that a single class of license be implimented. Then for additional privledges you have indorsements. For CW, Digital, SSTV, etc. The basic license would give you 200 watts on phone mode only. But I guess that was too simple.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <N2EY@aol.com> wrote in message
news:975b4ea9.0108230803.7fba99cb@posting.google.com...
> "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<9m1lem$ck9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > That right there is what I've been saying for years.
>
> I'm not sure what you mean, Dan.
>
> > The FCC forcing of
> > Incentive Licensing on the Amateur Community created a 'caste system'.
>
> I think you mean "class system".
>
> A "caste system" (like in India) is where people are sorted into
> social levels by birth, and there is no way to change an individual's
> assignment. An "untouchable" is always untouchable, a Brahmin is
> always a Brahmin, regardless of accomplishments or lack thereof. The
> individual has no say in the matter.
>
> In a "class system", as it applies to IL, an individual can reach any
> class by means of accomplishment. Just pass the required tests. This
> is the fairest and most egalitarian form of system, because it is
> accomplishment-based.
>
> Some people make the mistake of thinking that their class gives them

> some sort of right to look down on those who have not attained the
> same level. Such folks forget that they were once un-accomplished.
>
> There was a class system in the ARS, before the 1968 changes called
> "Incentive Licensing". There were Novices, Technicians, Conditionals,
> Generals, Advanceds and Extras before 1968. There were very few Extras
> (maybe 4,000 out of a quarter million US hams) but quite a few
> Advanceds (40,000). There were actually only a few Novices at any give
> time (less than 15,000 at any time, because of the short license
> term).
>
> Back then, some Extras looked down on everybody. Some Advanceds and
> Extras griped about the fact that anybody with a General or
> Conditional could operate in the "Class A" 'phone bands. Some
> Generals, Advanceds and Extras looked down on Conditionals because
> they hadn't gone to an FCC examiner. Some Generals, Conditionals,
> Advanceds and Extras looked down on Technicians because they had no HF
> back then, and had not passed 13 wpm. And some of all the other
> classes looked down on Novices, because they were beginners.
>
> One heard stuff like:
>
> "No kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators only."
> (kids=novices, lids=Generals/Conditionals, space cadets=Technicians
> and SSB ops)
>
> "If he can't see 'em, he can't work 'em". (a play on the old saw "you
> can't work 'em if you can't hear 'em)
>
> "Not a 'real' license" (said of Novices because their licenses were
> not renewable).
>
> Nothing new. A vocal few with a bad addytood. There's a few in
> everything. But only a few.
>
> Even Incentive Licensing was not new. It was a revival of the pre-1953
> system. Everything old is new again. Like "wireless"...
> >
> > One of the reasons I have KEPT my W4NTI callsign is because I see NO
NEED in
> > putting on a big head because I have an extra.
>
> Try working a contest or a pileup with a six-digit dash-filled moniker
> like WA3IYC....(it's more than 50% longer than your call). I got N2EY
> after seven years as an Extra to be more competitive.
> >
> > I had all the SAME PRIVELDEGES back in the 60s until the FCC took them
away
> > and forced upgrades to REGAIN what was stolen.

>
> Join the club. I had all privileges for a few weeks after I passed my
> Advanced in the summer of '68. Then I lost them. Big deal, another
> test or two. The tests weren't THAT hard. Toughest part was getting to
> the exam session.
>
> The FCC changed the rules, that's all. Without using the actual words,
> they admitted that their December 1952 decision was a mistake.
> (Government almost NEVER admits a mistake with words).
>
> What matters is what a ham does AFTER the license is in hand. That's
> what's impressive, or not.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010822203338.20772.00002921@nso-bh.aol.com...
> > > In article <20010816233623.12858.00006049@nso-fi.aol.com>,
> > yodoc@aol.comnospam
> > > (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
> > >
> > > >In article <20010816003338.02030.00002511@nso-mm.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.com
> > > >(N2EY)
> > > >writes:
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >>>The extras will have to contend with the fact that
> > > >>>no one will know for sure in a few years what with the 'call of
your
> > choice'
> > > >>>availability of older calls.
> > > >>
> > > >>Most if us Extras of any WPM don't give a rip now.
> > > >>
> > > >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > >
> > > >Jim:
> > > >
> > > >At least not those of us who already have our "old timey" sounding
> > > >1x2 call signs!
> > >
> > > I don't know what that has to do with it. I've had mine for 24 years.
> > > Sequentially issued in 1977.
> > > >
> > > >73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > >EX: (in order of issuance) KA3HXE, N3CRA, DA1RZ, AFA7LR, DA1ZW,

> > > >WR2F, G&#65533;LYW
> > > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > ex: WN3IYC (1967), WA3IYC (1968)
> > >
> > > First time holder of all calls assigned to me.
> > >

1371. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:57:25 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8535F5.7575915D@uci.edu>
Source record: 17615

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight takes exception when I mention it.
>
> No, I take exception to your ludicrous interpretations of the
> emancipation proclamation - interpretations that are not supported by
> a single historian or government document expert in this entire country.

Why?

1372. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 16:59:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JBah7.17974$V7.534921@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 17614

Does building screwdrivers count? LOL!

Jerry
K4KWH
Anton Elron <antonelron@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ea82a75b.0108221308.26404a58@posting.google.com...
> The True Ham Builds.
>
> Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
>
> Your suggestions welcome.

1373. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 17:09:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8538B2.EE22770@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17617

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > So Jim, why was slavery perfectly OK in New Orleans?
>
> Your question is based on a false premise.

Well, it took a couple of weeks for me to figure out what
you were talking about. Now that I know, I can yank your
chain.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1374. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 17:10:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8538FC.D6858C2C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17618

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > That doesn't even make sense, Jim. The civil war had been going on
> > for almost two years when the emancipation proclamation was issued, so
> > there was no need to "make it clear" who was on the side of the Union
> > and who was on the side of the confederacy.
>
> Of course it makes sense. Do you see any mention of slavery in the
> following?

That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't it?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1375. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 17:21:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B853B7C.A35141B4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17619

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Assuming that you purchased the marijuana
> in the normal way (the way most people get
> their illegal drugs), what about the people
> you bought the marijuana from? (snip)

Let's take a close look at what you said, Dwight. You, yourself,
in that sentence changed the context from "purchased" to
"bought". I inferred from that that you shared my beliefs
that "purchased" and "bought" can have different meanings.
After all, why would you use two different words that mean
the same thing? Why not just use one word twice?

I didn't respond to the first phrase in your sentence above but
if I had responded to your entire statement, it would have been:

I never purchased marijuana but I once bought (bartered) some
from my friends. I am still unsure how to use "bartered" in
a sentence. "Bartered from" just doesn't sound right.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1376. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 17:23:56 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B853C2C.5C1AA362@uci.edu>
Source record: 17620

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > That doesn't even make sense, Jim. The civil war had been going on
> > > for almost two years when the emancipation proclamation was issued, so
> > > there was no need to "make it clear" who was on the side of the Union
> > > and who was on the side of the confederacy.
> >
> > Of course it makes sense. Do you see any mention of slavery in the
> > following?

> That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't it?

The paragraph proves that the Proclamation contained a paragraph
exclusively about which states were in rebellion. It says nothing about
why the states were in rebellion.

You aren't drinking already today, are ya Cec?

1377. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 17:30:49 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B853DC9.A943598@uci.edu>
Source record: 17621

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > So Jim, why was slavery perfectly OK in New Orleans?
> >
> > Your question is based on a false premise.

> Well, it took a couple of weeks for me to figure out what
> you were talking about. Now that I know, I can yank your
> chain.

How do you know that I'm not yanking yours?

1378. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 17:37:57 UTC
From: antonelron@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ea82a75b.0108230937.67b9248a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17622

Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.33.0108230741550.27274-100000@4motion.org>...
> On 22 Aug 2001, Anton Elron wrote:
>
> > The True Ham Builds.
> >
> > Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
> >
> > Your suggestions welcome.
>
> Real men drive manual transmissions. If you don't drive a manual,
> you shouldn't be driving at all, and your drivers license should
> be revoked until you learn how to drive a manual transmission and
> dump your Buick for a real car, one with a manual transmission,
> hopefully a foreign car, to repent for your years of senseless
> patronization of the inferior American auto industry.

I have a foreign car but it has a manual transmission _and_ a Buick engine.

1379. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 18:35:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0108231035.27ed36f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17623

antonelron@hotmail.com (Anton Elron) wrote in message
news:<ea82a75b.0108221308.26404a58@posting.google.com>...
> The True Ham Builds.
>
> Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
>
> Your suggestions welcome.

How do you define "build"?

Does it include kits?

How about conversions of gear from other uses?

How about restoration of "basket case" equipment?

If someone buys a transceiver, power supply, antenna, tuner, mast,
cables, connectors, test equipment, accessories, furniture, etc.,
etc., and puts them all together into a working station, is that
"building"?

btw, I've built more receivers, transmitters and transceivers than I
have bought.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1380. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 18:59:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85527B.4EEDF450@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17624

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > So Jim, why was slavery perfectly OK in New Orleans?
> > >
> > > Your question is based on a false premise.
>
> > Well, it took a couple of weeks for me to figure out what
> > you were talking about. Now that I know, I can yank your
> > chain.
>
> How do you know that I'm not yanking yours?

Regarding slavery, I don't have one.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

1381. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 19:00:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8552B7.A306F41@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17625

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > > That doesn't even make sense, Jim. The civil war had been going on
> > > > for almost two years when the emancipation proclamation was issued, so
> > > > there was no need to "make it clear" who was on the side of the Union
> > > > and who was on the side of the confederacy.
> > >
> > > Of course it makes sense. Do you see any mention of slavery in the
> > > following?
>
> > That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't it?
>
> The paragraph proves that the Proclamation contained a paragraph
> exclusively about which states were in rebellion. It says nothing about
> why the states were in rebellion.

My point exactly.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1382. Re: best computer code learning program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 19:19:22 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3b855745$1@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 17626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I tried several morse instruction programs for the PC both freeware and I also purchased a couple.
I found that Morse Tutor Gold was far and away the best....it took me all the way to passing my Extra Class CW test back in 1995 which then was still 20 wpm.....

I have no hard feelings for those that recently passed Extra at 5 wpm.....the requirements changed and so be it...code speed is - NOT - the measure of a Ham. IMHO it is communications skills , on-the-air courtesy to all that and a helpful attitude that marks an operator as one that has " True Ham Spirit "

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
www.ab7sl.com

1383. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 19:47:39 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B855DDB.7F8ABC5F@uci.edu>
Source record: 17627

Man with no chain to yank wrote:

> > > That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't it?

> > The paragraph proves that the Proclamation contained a paragraph
> > exclusively about which states were in rebellion. It says nothing about
> > why the states were in rebellion.

> My point exactly.

It says nothing about why the states were at war. It says nothing about what the war was about. Please explain how that point was made when you said :"That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't it?"

1384. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 19:47:47 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B855DE1.41D899BC@psu.edu>
Source record: 17628

Well, Cecil, since I saw she mentioned it was her first post, I kinda thought maybe she was a newbie. Since newbies often get treated harshly when they post in all caps, I figured I'd nudge her in the right direction.

And I wonder how many people are still using CP/M?

CAM wrote:
>
> mjc5 wrote:
> > Hi Beth. One of the first things you need to do is not use all caps.
> > That's the email equivalent of yelling at a person. Of course, lot's of
> > folks like to yell at Cecil, ha, ha.
>
> "ha, ha", indeed! Maybe Beth is using CP/M. :-)

1385. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 20:05:12 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8561F8.1D8ACCED@uci.edu>
Source record: 17629

CAM wrote:

> Regarding slavery, I don't have one.

How many slaves do you have?

1386. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 21:57:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823175746.17581.00004042@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17630

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 9:08 AM Central Daylight Time

>Can you give me one good reason why I should give up my individual
>right to choose the definitions for the words I use from the valid
>definitions in the dictionary and allow you to become the fascist
>dictator for my postings?

Because your "definitions" only show up AFTER you have been "called" on
one of your posts and it's necessary to bail yourself out...AGAIN...from your
own web of stories that you seem to have a hard time keeping straight.

>"purchase - to acquire with money"
>"buy - to acquire through exchange"

Again...if you ever tried a weak excuse like that in court, they'd allow
you to "buy" your punishment with some of your remaining freedom.

Try again.

1387. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:01:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823180134.17581.00004043@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17631

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 9:16 AM Central Daylight Time

>Around Madison County, TX. You can go a year around here and never hear
>the words, "purchase" and "barter".

Yep...don't know too many Texans who can handle that second syllable
without coaching.

> Why use two-syllable words when a one-syllable word will do.

Point in evidence. Only the word "syllable" exceeded one syllable!

>Why would
>I deliberately choose to use two different words if they both mean the
>same thing?

Because you are a man who relishes excuses instead of substance?

1388. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:12:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823181245.17581.00004048@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17632

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 9:34 AM Central Daylight Time

>Anything else is attempted obfuscation on your part.

Ah yes...the Lennie School of Newsgroup Tactics. If doesn't fit MY parameters, it's a lie or "obfuscation".

>Absolutely no mention of money and, of course, there was no money
>involved as there would have been in a "purchase".

And still an "argument" you could not successfully defend in a courtroom.

>I've explained away any possible contradition, Dwight, but you are
>free to delude yourself all you want.

You have made a "out" for yourself in the event you get yourself into a bind again...We are to open every possible dictionary to find every possible alternate definition because YOU refuse to apply common-use meanings to the words you "choose".

>Only I know what I meant.

Now THERE'S an unarguable truth. The PROBLEM is that you REFUSE to accept simple, commonly accepted "meanings" to words so that you can hide behind them when once again caught with your britches down.

>Your attempted mind fornication is impotent in the face of the truth.

HIS "mind fornication"...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

CECIL! If ever there was a Pot/Kettle/Black situation, THAT'S it! YOU have the temerity to accuse someone else of "mind fornication" after this "buy/purchase" fiasco you have assaulted us with...?!?!

Where do you find underwear big enough to carry THOSE cajones in...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Thanks for the bellylaugh!

1389. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:15:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823181502.17581.00004051@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17633

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 9:39 AM Central Daylight Time

>If I say, "Assuming corn is yellow, then peas are blue", and you say
>"No, they are not", are you saying that corn is not yellow? Of course
>not. If I argued that you said corn was not yellow, would I be right?
>That's exactly what you are doing. Nice try, didn't work.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>You are simply obfuscating

Pot/kettle/black

Steve

1390. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:22:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823182201.17581.00004054@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17634

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 9:46 AM Central Daylight Time

>That was a lie as everyone can see.

Is the content of the posts incorrect? Were the words NOT yours? Were
they NOT part/parcel of other posts you made...?!?!

If not, you are engaging in your "mind fornication" games, Cecil.

If the best you can do is make some allegation about a missing arrow from
a newsgroup reader, you have really sunk to the bottom in trying to hide your
own failings.

> You ARE falsifying attributes.

No, I am not.

> Why do you falsify attributes?

Why do you find it necessary to demonstrate in public that you ahve
nothing else to fall back on?

It really is embarrasssing, Cecil. You need to think about what you are
doing.

1391. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:23:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B858254.7884039@uci.edu>
Source record: 17635

CAM wrote:
> Not true, Dwight. Around here you can buy a horse with two cows
> but you cannot purchase a horse with two cows. I suspect it's
> a regional thing. It's a useful convention for me and I don't
> see any reason to change it.

Yes, I can see how your fiance would have appreciated your explaning to
her that you "bought" her an engagment ring, when you actually traded an
old plow for it. ;-)

1392. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:30:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8583ED.74F804CF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17636

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >"purchase - to acquire with money"
> >"buy - to acquire through exchange"
>
> Again...if you ever tried a weak excuse like that in court, they'd allow
> you to "buy" your punishment with some of your remaining freedom.
>
> Try again.

You're wrong, Steve. Intent is one of the major things considered
in a court of law. Given the above definitions, it is clear that
my intent was not to state a contradiction. Sorry.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1393. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:33:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8584BE.92D38807@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17637

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >Why would
> >I deliberately choose to use two different words if they both mean the
> >same thing?
>
> Because you are a man who relishes excuses instead of substance?

If that were true, I would have had to anticipate this argument
beforehand so your premise is obviously flawed, as usual.

Please fix your false attributions, Steve.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1394. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:43:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B858721.D1536DD4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17638

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> You have made a "out" for yourself in the event you get yourself into a
> bind again...We are to open every possible dictionary to find every possible
> alternate definition because YOU refuse to apply common-use meanings to the
> words you "choose".

I was apparently mistaken as to what the common-use meanings are
and that mistake is exactly what saves my statements from being a
contradiction. I assumed we all shared the same definitions for
those words. That assumption was apparently wrong.

> >Only I know what I meant.
>
> Now THERE'S an unarguable truth. The PROBLEM is that you REFUSE to accept
> simple, commonly accepted "meanings" to words so that you can hide behind them
> when once again caught with your britches down.

I do NOT refuse to accept commonly accepted meanings to words. I assumed
the commonly accepted meanings that I use in everyday life here in rural
East Texas was true for the nation. Apparently, I was wrong about that
but a wrong assumption does not a contradiction make. Sorry.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1395. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:49:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85885F.D7DBAEFD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17639

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Regarding slavery, I don't have one.
>
> How many slaves do you have?

To the best of my knowledge, my entire family has always been as
poor as the slaves were and some of them worked alongside the
ex-slaves as share croppers. Incidentally, some of the slaves'
lives took a turn for the worse after they were freed.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1396. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 22:54:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B858997.FC31BCF4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17640

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Man with no chain to yank wrote:
>
> > > > That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't it?
>
> > > The paragraph proves that the Proclamation contained a paragraph
> > > exclusively about which states were in rebellion. It says nothing about
> > > why the states were in rebellion.
>
> > My point exactly.
>
> It says nothing about why the states were at war. It says nothing about
> what the war was about. Please explain how that point was made when you
> said :"That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't
> it?"

It proves the war was about rebellion. If the South had not rebelled,
slavery would probably have continued to exist past the date of the
proclamation. That conclusion can be drawn from the Emancipation
Proclamation applying only to the states in rebellion. So we are
back where we started. The Civil War was not about slavery, it was
about economics. As usual, follow the money.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1397. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-23 23:04:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B858C0F.2341B80F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17641

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/23/2001 9:46 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >That was a lie as everyone can see.
>
> Is the content of the posts incorrect? Were the words NOT yours? Were
> they NOT part/parcel of other posts you made...?!?!

The words that I spoke have been stolen from me by your false
attributions. The above attributions doesn't say who spoke my
words and indicates that I said nothing. Please fix those
false attributions, Steve. Someone who accesses Google/dejanews
in the future will think I said something I didn't say and won't
know what I did say. How would you like it if I falsified your
attributions?

> > You ARE falsifying attributes.
>
> No, I am not.

Yes, you are, Steve. The proof is there is black and white above.
If falsifying attributes is not a crime, it is at least extremely
unethical. What if I changed the attributes to make it appear
that you said something that you didn't say? You would be
threatening to sue me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1398. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 00:05:23 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B859A43.BD04FFE@uci.edu>
Source record: 17642

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > It says nothing about why the states were at war. It says nothing about
> > what the war was about. Please explain how that point was made when you
> > said :"That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't
> > it?"

> It proves the war was about rebellion.

Rebellion and war are synonymous in this context, Cecil. Your
statement, quoted above, claims to prove a negative. You told me that
you can't prove a negative.

> If the South had not rebelled,
> slavery would probably have continued to exist past the date of the
> proclamation.

You have the logic backwards. Slavery was a cause of rebellion, not a
result of it.

1399. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 00:09:27 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B859B37.2E7782B5@uci.edu>
Source record: 17643

CAM wrote:
> Incidentally, some of the slaves'
> lives took a turn for the worse after they were freed.

True enough - the result of no longer having a place to work which provids food and shelter. A similar kind of problem exists in countries that switch from socialist communism to democracy.

1400. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 00:15:34 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823201534.26055.00000532@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 17644

>>> >Where are all the hordes of CBers and freebanders who were predicted
>>> >to completely overwhelm amateur radio after restructuring?
>>> >--
>>> >cheers, Cecil
>>> >
>>>
>>> Patience, Cecil...patience. :-)
>>
>> Bert knows for sure...they're already here.
>
>The conspiracy's afoot...shhhhhhh. ;-)
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN
>
Discretion, agent kc2hmn...discretion, or you'll let the whole cat out of the bag.

Wow, you guys have been busy. In case you missed my other post, Bert, I just got back (Well not exactly "just.") from vacation and haven't "recreationally" touched a PC in almost two weeks. Radio on the west coast is alive and well, albeit different. "New blood, huh?" Wonder from which pool amateur radio will draw from in the future? I actually agree with Dick this time, although I'm sure we don't feel the same way about it. Take care and 73.

Mark

1401. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 00:21:51 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823202151.26055.00000534@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 17645

>My conscious is bothering me so I have a confession to make.
>Of all the things I have used or consumed in my entire life,
>cilantro has the biggest effect. If I am standing up when I
>eat cilantro, I fall down. If I'm driving when I eat cilantro,
>I run off the road. Cilantro throws me into a manic euphoria
>that lasts for hours and makes me completely unpredictable.
>It's not my fault when I do something untoward while under
>the influence of cilantro. I simply cannot control myself.
>
>Given my confession, I expect Steve to write his congressman
>to try to talk him into introducing legislation that puts
>cilantro on the class 1 drug list. This is to warn any cilantro
>lovers (like me) out there. After Steve gets through, cilantro
>will only be available from drug dealers for 1000 times the
>present price. Coriander is also likely, in the process, to wind
>up on the class 1 drug list. And it's all for the common good of
>society.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Hi guys,

I'll throw my 2 quid in as well...OYSTERS! I'm originally from the Pacific NW and the oysters are simply outrageous. We once took a trip one state over to Seattle, WA just to get some oysters. Whew, now I feel better. Not because I've confessed, but because I just came back from vacationing back home...complete with oysters. Take care and 73.

Mark

1402. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 00:27:20 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b85a1b5_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17646

"GH" <nbcred@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3B85147E.705FD039@mailcity.com...
> Some corn is white, some is red. I think that had something to do with
> starting the Civil War.
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > If I say, "Assuming corn is yellow, then peas are blue", and you say
> > "No, they are not", are you saying that corn is not yellow? Of course
> > not. If I argued that you said corn was not yellow, would I be right?
> > That's exactly what you are doing. Nice try, didn't work.
> > --
>

I (meaning me) was (meaning past tense) going (not meaning moving anywhere, but more showing intent) to (?) get (not meaning to retrieve an object, more meaning to become a part of) involved (meaning jumping in--in the colloquial of course) in (not literally in something, more a poor use of a pronoun; should probably use the word with--but, then I [meaning me] would have to define with) this (meaning this right here) discussion (loosely translated to mean the discussion taking place here (well, not here, more there--but), but (spelled with one 't' so not a part of the anatomy) it's (the contraction of "it is") just (not in terms of justice) too (used as a measure, not to but too, and not a number) difficult (meaning the literal translation of the word difficult).

WHEW - Kim W5TIT

1403. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 00:56:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85A625.D1069B9B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17647

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > That doesn't even make sense, Jim.
> > The civil war had been going on for
> > almost two years when the emancipation
> > proclamation was issued, so there was
> > no need to "make it clear" who was on
> > the side of the Union and who was on
> > the side of the confederacy.
>
> Of course it makes sense. Do you see any
> mention of slavery in the following?
>
> "That the executive will on the 1st
> day of January aforesaid, by proclamation,
> designate the States (snip)

The previous paragraph, which mentioned slavery, laid the foundation for that paragraph, Jim. You are again taking part of the document out of context to fit your opinion, and that is not an accurate way to view the document. The emancipation proclamation is one document, not individual pieces that can be cut and pasted to fit an individual's agenda. Instead, you have to read it as a single document with each piece of it dependent on the context laid out in the surrounding text.

> > The proclamation did not have
> > to "make it clear" who was in
> > rebellion - that detail was already
> > widely known. So the proclamation
> > was not about who was in rebellion
> > in the United States.
>
> I'm curious as to why you would have
> such an opinion? The proclamation quite
> clearly delineates which states or
> portions of states are in rebellion.

You missing the point. You had said the sole purpose of listing the states in rebellion in that document was simply to define which states were in rebellion, which I said was wrong - that was not the true purpose of listing those states. The true purpose was to define which slaves, in which states, were freed by that document. In other words, the purpose of listing those states was not solely to define which states were in rebellion, but to define in which states the slaves

were freed.

> > Instead, the proclamation was solely
> > about slavery in those states in
> > rebellion. Nothing more. Nothing less.
> > The entire purpose of the proclamation
> > was to free slaves in those states in
> > rebellion. The document specifically
> > listed which slaves, in which states,
> > were free. If a state was not listed
> > in that document, that document did not
> > abolish slavery in that state. Slavery
> > in those remaining states was not
> > abolished until the 13th Amendment.
>
> Are you really suggesting that the
> Proclamation proves that Lincoln intended
> to allow slavery in the non-confederate
> states? That's a ludicrous notion.

In regard to that specific document, the emancipation proclamation, that was exactly Lincoln's intent. Again, we're only talking only about the emancipation proclamation, not Lincoln's intent in regard to slavery as a whole. That document clearly does only free slaves in the confederate states, which was exactly Lincoln's intent when he presented that document.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1404. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:08:50 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823210850.29466.00006229@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17648

Why do you insist that I cam over from 11 meters ahole. Do us all a favor, just stay in La. Maybe you should go take a dip in the bayou and play with the gators. Of course they will got eat you, you might poison them.

1405. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:13:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85AA3D.4E03C1D7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17649

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > That seems to prove that the war
> > was not about slavery, doesn't it?
>
> The paragraph proves that the
> Proclamation contained a paragraph
> exclusively about which states were in
> rebellion. It says nothing about why
> the states were in rebellion.

Lets try a different tact. Why would that document need to list the states in rebellion if the goal was not to free the slaves solely in those states, Jim? If, as you say, that document was to free all slaves in all state, then why didn't it just say that - all slaves, in all states, are now free? Why go through all the effort to list the states in rebellion if the actual goal of that document was to free all slaves in all states?

For that matter, why distract from that important announcement (all slaves in all states are free) with a bunch of stuff about the states in rebellion? The 13th Amendment freed all slaves in all states using just 32 words. If it is true that the emancipation proclamation did the same thing as the 13th Amendment, why did Lincoln need to write several hundred words to do it?

And, for that matter, if the emancipation proclamation did indeed free all slaves in all states like you suggest, why was there a need for the 13th Amendment at all? Why write an amendment to free all slaves if the emancipation proclamation had already freed all slaves?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1406. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:16:53 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823211653.29466.00006232@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17650

No I work public sevice events, I also am studying for my extra epgrade. I even work full time. I am also a VE. I evan have a life out of ham radio. Not all the members in my club are old men, the older members we have are the nicest guys you could meet. Did you ever make to Tammany parish hospital. You must be an out paitent.

1407. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:21:36 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823212136.29466.00006239@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17651

Your are such a hot shot. why don't you turn in your ticket, then go to a VE sesion and try and pass all the test again. You better be fast, they are going to change the extra pook at the first of the year.

1408. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:22:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B85AC39.3F6793AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17653

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Rebellion and war are synonymous in this context, Cecil. Your
> statement, quoted above, claims to prove a negative. You told me that
> you can't prove a negative.

You can prove a negative when there are only two possible outcomes.
The war was either about slavery or it wasn't.

> You have the logic backwards. Slavery was a cause of rebellion, not a
> result of it.

Nope, economics was the cause of the rebellion. The Southern states
thought they had a right to choose their own economic system and
fought a war over it. Slavery was not a religion. Slavery was simply
a part of an economic system. Slave owners didn't want to lose the
economic advantage that slaves provided. Ending slavery was perceived
to cost the South so much money that they were willing to fight.
If ending slavery had not cost the Southerners some bucks, they
would not have been against it. Just like today. Follow the money
to figure things out.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1409. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:22:18 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823212218.29466.00006240@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17652

Come on Bruse you can do better than that.

1410. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:24:17 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823212417.29466.00006243@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17654

Just shoe Richard know, Spread spectrum is mnot somethng you put on a sandwich.

1411. Re: best computer code learning program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:28:24 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823212824.29466.00006245@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17655

I used Nu Morse lite which was ok. The best help I got was with a Morse Code Tutor sold by MFJ. That gave me the option of practicing anywhere I went.

1412. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:35:39 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 17656

Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
I think you have been had.
LOL, ROFLMAO

1413. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:38:59 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823213859.25686.00000251@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 17657

>
>"QST" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
>"CQ" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
>"73" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
>

Nothing wrong with that, I believe it's called "tradition." Take care and 73.

Mark

1414. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 01:43:40 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823214340.25686.00000253@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 17658

>CW or CB -- no other choice.

How about CB and CW, conceivable? It's happening. This "troll" is now null & void. Take care and 73.

Mark

1415. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 02:01:06 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85B544.DC47BA59@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17660

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Suffering? Excuse me? Southerners are
> suffering to this day from the negative
> attitudes of northerners? (snip)

So you're saying the events following the civil war never happened? Or that the south is one of most economically repressed regions of the country is just a coincidence? Or that the wages in the south are the lowest in the country is just a coincidence? Or that the mean family income in the south is the lowest in the country is just a coincidence? Or the fact that the southern states are on the bottom of the list when it comes to government aid programs (family assistance, college grants, etc) is is just a coincidence?

If you really want to see what I'm talking about when it comes to the attitude of northerners, take a trip up north and try to find a job. As soon as that employer sees that you were born in the south, and that your schooling was in the south, just watch how fast that door slams shut behind you (no matter how well qualified you are for the job).

We just went through that hell recently. Because of my job, we recently moved to Washington state. My wife received a pile of over thirty rejection notices, even though she has over twenty years experience in her chosen career field. Of course, only one employer made it clear what the real problem was - "we're not confident that your training qualifies you for a job with our company." The employer ignored the fact that she had years of experience (and two other degrees), and instead focused on the education she received from a southern school more than twenty years ago. In the end, my wife gave up and had to take a job that paid a quarter of what she was previously earning.

Am I saying that all northerners are like that? Absolutely not. Am I saying that all southerners are too polite to hassle others because of where they were born? Again, absolutely not. But, in the end, you cannot dispute the fact that the south is doing much worse than any other region of the country today.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1416. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 02:01:57 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823220157.25686.00000255@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 17659

>And the ARRL has secret agendas and the FCC rubber stamps the work of minor
>functionaries with secret agendas and ...

Riley Hollingsworth is an 11 meter operator that we "placed" in order to protect our operatives. That's why the majority of busts are only against licensed hams. The real kicker will be when you wake up one night and see a green slimy pod next to your bed and the face forming inside of it looks remarkably like your own. Come on Dick, admit it...you suddenly feel the urge to say "CQ CQ 11," don't you. We had a heck of a time loading those pods into the black helicopters...whew!

I figured you guys were in a playful mood, so I'd jump in. Hope that was alright? Hello, Dick and Ed, I hope you're both doing well. Take care and 73.

Mark

1417. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 02:20:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85B9AC.73C679BD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17661

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Assuming that you purchased the marijuana
> > in the normal way (the way most people get
> > their illegal drugs), what about the people
> > you bought the marijuana from? (snip)
>
> Let's take a close look at what you said,
> Dwight. You, yourself, in that sentence
> changed the context from "purchased" to
> "bought". I inferred from that that you
> shared my beliefs that "purchased" and
> "bought" can have different meanings.
> After all, why would you use two different
> words that mean the same thing? Why not
> just use one word twice?

No, Cecil, that doesn't work. I know your reading comprehension is not that poor. I clearly prefaced the word "bought" with two explanations of what I meant when I used that word ("purchased...in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs").

You responded using that exact same word without any dispute at that time concerning it's meaning. In other words, you accepted my definition of that word and responded to my question using that definition. You are not going to wiggle out of this now by trying to say that the word has a meaning other than what was clear to both of us at the time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1418. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 02:25:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85BADE.93A40746@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17663

CAM wrote:
>
> Can you give me one good reason
> why I should give up my individual
> right to choose the definitions
> for the words I use from the valid
> definitions in the dictionary and
> allow you to become the fascist
> dictator for my postings? Once
> again, here are the definitions
> that I was using.
>
> "purchase - to acquire with money"
> "buy - to acquire through exchange"

That is simply not true, Cecil. The definition of the word "bought" in that discussion was made very clear before you used it in your response. In the question you replied to, I clearly prefaced the word "bought" with two explanations of what was meant when that word was used ("purchased...in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs").

You responded using that exact same word without any dispute at that time concerning it's meaning. In other words, you accepted my definition of that word and responded to my question using that definition. You are not going to wiggle out of this now by trying to say that the word has a meaning other than what was clear to both of us at the time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1419. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 02:56:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85C219.22F4A50E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17670

CAM wrote:
>
> Of course, you're absolutely wrong
> about that, Dwight. The phrase in
> your sentence that contains
> "purchased" is completely irrelevant
> and I completely ignored it. Your
> assumption is false. I only responded
> to your second phrase, "... what
> about the people you bought the
> marijuana from?" That is a very common
> thing to do on a newsgroup. So here is
> the real interchange in context.
> Anything else is attempted obfuscation
> on your part.

The first part of that sentence is not irrelevant, Cecil. It clearly lays out what was meant when the word "bought" was used. The word "bought" was prefaced with two explanations of what that word meant - "purchased...in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs." In other words, the question asked was prefaced with two explanations of it's meaning.

And you answered that question using that exact same word without any dispute at that time concerning it's meaning. In other words, you accepted that definition and responded to the question using that definition. You are not going to wiggle out of this now by trying to say that the word has a meaning other than what was clearly laid out at the time.

> I've explained away any possible
> contradition, Dwight, (snip)

You haven't explained a thing, Cecil. All I've seen is a poor attempt to wiggle out of the truth.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1420. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:04:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85C415.F834E05A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17672

CAM wrote:
>
> Your posted assumption was completely
> false, Dwight, and thus was dropped
> from the context. You are simply
> obfuscating. I responded only to the
> second phrase in your sentence, a
> common thing to do. (snip)

But you did not challenge that assumption at the time. Instead, you answered that question with the assumption in place. Again, I prefaced the the question, and the word "bought," with two clear explanations as to what was meant ("purchased...in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs"). You answered the question without any objection to that meaning. In other words, you accepted the meaning and responded in the context of that meaning.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1421. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:09:19 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m4gj4$9k$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17662

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
> Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> I think you have been had.
> LOL, ROFLMAO

I don't get it.

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

Sean Ormond wrote: "Do this for me Corry...Next time you conveniently get from point A to point B using a gas-powered vehicle (I'm guessing this will happen in less than 24 hrs), go ahead and drop to your knees and give a little prayer to Gaia, the Fairy Queen, or whatever it is you nut-jobs worship. You can thank her for schools like Georgia Tech, where students learn how to do something other than hug trees and hate America for a living."

1422. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:23:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85C881.4D7C329B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17684

CAM wrote:
>
> I tried to let it go and you just
> kept on challenging my comments.
> You really must like primroses.

No, you tried to wiggle you way out of this, hoping that I would just let it go at that. If you really want to end this, you obviously have three choices...

1. Admit to the contradictions.

2. Stop responding, leaving the contradictions in place.

3. Continuing until I get tired of the discussion.

Whatever the case, you're most certainly not going to end this by calling me a fascist. By the way, I absolutely love primroses - how sweet it is. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1423. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:26:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823232651.01701.00006487@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17664

In article <3B8425BB.BB9C6A21@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>>
>> What about the people who weren't even aware of ham radio until recently
>and
>> just became licensed?? Does that make them lesser of a person when it
>comes
>> to ham? Obviously they weren't aware of the restructuring issue....
>
>Of course, that makes them lower than the lowest layer of whale $hit
>in the deepest part of the ocean. What's wrong with you, boy?

Cecil:

Ryan asked a legitimate question, and deserved a proper reply, such
as the one I just posted to him. Why did you feel it necessary to
reproach and demean him? Did you feel a need to demonstrate the
social characteristics of a Post-Restructuring Extra -- or have you
just been hitting the spoiled grape juice again?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1424. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:26:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823232652.01701.00006488@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17666

In article <_tUg7.360$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>
>What about the people who weren't even aware of ham radio until recently and
>just became licensed?? Does that make them lesser of a person when it comes
>to ham? Obviously they weren't aware of the restructuring issue....

Ryan:

Post-Restructuring hams who became licensed without being aware
of the issues that lead to Restructuring are none the less the result of
a licensing system that is "dumbed down" by Pre-Restructuring
standards. They may not have had any choice in the matter, but the
effect on the perception of them as hams is still the same. Whether
or not they allow that negative image to be borne out by their personal
attributes as a radio amateur is entirely up to them. If they become
active, proficient Morse/CW users, and demonstrate the traditional
curiosity, learning ability, and technical competence, and sound
operating practices of a classic radio amateur, they will not suffer
personally from the fact that they ascended during the Post-Restructuring
era. If they act like ignorant, dumbed-down CB refugees, then they
will take the heat. It's entirely up to them. However, the one thing they
can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
licensing standards.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1425. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:26:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823232652.01701.00006489@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17667

In article <3b84e996$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>> But judging from the volume and content of your posts here, Larry, you
>spend a
>> lot of time thinking about the licence class of others.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>I think it's the other way around. By the volume and content of Larry's
>posts here, it's quite obvious Larry spends very little time thinking of
>anyone else...but himself.

Kim:

Uh hunh. This from the person who wrote to Riley Hollingsworth,
hoping he would validate your selection of a sexually provocative
call sign, to which he replied that you were "...bringing ham radio one
step closer to extinction" by having done so! Like, I really care what
you think or say about me, Kim -- I just don't know why you waste
your time bothering to reply to my posts here, especially since all
you ever do is take something someone else has already said and
just turn it around and sign your name to it.

YOU -- Kim Walker, W5TIT, are intellectually and morally insignificant
as a ham radio operator and a participant in this NG. Nothing you say
in this newsgroup impresses ANY of us -- so go ahead and keep on
making a fool of yourself. That's all we expect, and it is, at times,
marginally entertaining! Thanks for the chuckle -- just remember that
we're laughing *at* you, not *with* you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1426. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:26:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010823232653.01701.00006490@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17665

In article <20010823055628.02036.00004416@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>There were 75 words in the parts of his post you quoted. One was not
>spelled
>correctly. Aren't you being just a bit over-critical here, Larry? I have
>seen incorrectly spelled words in your posts and didn't think it important
>enough to call to the attention of a world-wide group of news readers.
>
>I figured that either you didn't know how to spell a word or two or had made
>a
>typographical error. Recalling that your keyboard doesn't have a "1/4" key, I
>am sure you remember how easy it is to make a typo electronically.

Ed:

How do you know that my keyboard doesn't have a ï¿½ key? Or could
it be that you're working with only ï¿½ a keyboard?

72 ï¿½ de Larry, K3LT

1427. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:34:50 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823233450.18440.00000967@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17668

>And you think Im mean and hateful

Know I do not think you are are mean and hateful. I do not know what would have given you such and idea. Maybe it was something I said. Maybe it was something I did. That is one impression I did not want to give. I was furthest form my thoughts to give you the impresson that I though you are mean and hateful. I did mean to come across that way at all, I hope you understand this is not my intiention. You see I don't think it I know you are mean and hateful.

1428. Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 03:58:09 UTC
From: Green Hornet <greenhornet@kato.com>
Message-ID: <lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 17669

This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general consensus.

How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main 10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!

Please Cast your Vote ......

1429. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:11:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B85D394.757F203C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17689

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I (meaning me) was (meaning past tense)
> going (not meaning moving anywhere,
> but more showing intent) to (?) get
> (not meaning to retrieve an object, more
> meaning to become a part of) involved
> (meaning jumping in--in the colloquial
> of course) in (not literally in something,
> more a poor use of a pronoun; should
> probably use the word with--but, then
> I [meaning me] would have to define
> with) this (meaning this right here)
> discussion (loosely translated to mean
> the discussion taking place here (well,
> not here, more there--but), but (spelled
> with one 't' so not a part of the anatomy)
> it's (the contraction of "it is") just
> (not in terms of justice) too (used as a
> measure, not to but too, and not a number)
> difficult (meaning the literal
> translation of the word difficult).

LOL. Oh, now you've done it, Kim. Explain exactly what you mean by "anywhere," "intent," "colloquial," "loosely," "justice," and "difficult." Of course, I'm referring to their meaning in the context of the sentence and the discussion.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1430. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:26:05 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B85D9D3.F1065524@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17671

W1RFI wrote:

> From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Translation: "I am at a loss for words."
>
> >You KNOW better than that. And you are gleeful that a minor functionary at
> >the FCC now makes ALL decisions that affect every facet of ham radio, and his
> >arbitrary output is rubberstamped by the comission as though it was the
> result of
> >deepest deliberation.
>
> The Report and Order contained a lot of deliberation, from what I saw.
> Although I disagreed with some of what the FCC decided to do, I did not think
> it to be arbitrary or undeliberated.

Oh, yes Kandid Karl and the NCI gang "deliberated" him for a whole day while the League functionaries sat around and congratulated each other.

1431. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:29:56 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B85DABB.41CAF0B1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17673

Drpfrench wrote:

> You are not in the same boat as Dick.

You're right - Ed coddles dingdongs like you, while I give them what they deserve.

1432. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:34:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824003416.22527.00002947@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17677

In article <3B85113A.49CF6DC5@mailcity.com>, GH <nbcred@mailcity.com> writes:

> I think the Civil War was started because the pirates who are operating on
>the
>bottom end of the 40 meter ham band make fun of yankees.

ARRRRR! Them's be fightin' words!

Avast me hearties! Fire a broadside!

73 de "Long Jim" N2EY

--

What do we do with a drunken sailor,
What do we do with a drunken sailor,
What do we do with a drunken sailor,
Err-lie in the morning?
Put 'im charge of an Exxon tanker,
Put 'im charge of an Exxon tanker,
Put 'im charge of an Exxon tanker,
Err-lie in the morning!

1433. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:34:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824003417.22527.00002948@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17678

In article <9m3bn1qqg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> writes:

>Using 'caste' is a play on words. Class is more correct, I agree.

Cool.
>
>My point is that Incentive Licensing caused a unnecessary split within
>Amateur Radio.

For some, yes. But that happened even in the days when Generals got it all.

>Over time it has caused what we see today. Constant
>complaining and putting down 'lower' class operators.

You ever see me do any of that?
>
>And yes indeed it was going on before 1968. It was done to me in 1961.

Same here. It wasn't IL that made it happen. It was some folks' insecurity.

> And
>it was done by those that were subject to the ORIGINAL incentive plan of
>class A land. I know about what happened then.

They were ticked that they had lost their special status and special bands.
>
>What is going on now is what went on then. Because of the 'class' system.
>This system needs to end.

Changing the rules won't end it, because changing the rules didn't cause it.
>
>I suggested years ago to the FCC that a single class of license be
>implimented. Then for additional privledges you have indorsements. For CW,
>Digital, SSTV, etc. The basic license would give you 200 watts on phone
>mode only. But I guess that was too simple.

I like it! But it's actually much more complex. There would have to be an exam
for the basic license plus one for each endorsement.

Say we have the Basic license, then endorsements for Digital, CW, High Power,
Image, and Satellites. Right there we have at least six test elements, plus the
complexity of keeping track of who has what endorsements.

And you'd still have a class structure, because folks who had more endorsements
would be "higher class" than those who didn't.

Guys like me would simply get all of the endorsements so that we would have all privileges

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1434. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:34:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824003418.22527.00002949@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17679

In article <3B82661F.892957DA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >I didn't purchase (pay cash) for marijuana. Marijuana was bought
>(bartered)
>> >to/from my friends.
>>
>> That contradicts what you told Dwight.
>
>That's exactly what I told Dwight. You are simply confused.

Not me.
>
>> He nailed you, Cecil. Brilliant job,
>> too. You're just digging the hole deeper.
>
>If you think that, Jim, you missed the point as can be seen from the
>rest of your posting.

No, Cecil, you missed the point. Dwight Stewart is right on the money. He found you contradicting yourself. All your lame excuses do is reduce your credibility even further.

>The argument is not over morals, ethics, or
>legalities.

Sure it is. Obtaining illegal drugs is a crime.

> The argument is over what I meant when I used the words
>"purchased" and "bought".

Same as Clinton saying he "didn't have sex with that woman".

>Only I know what I meant.
>
It's what you wrote that matters. Your claim that "bought' and "purchased" have significantly different meanings is totally bogus. Do you think the term "drug buy" can mean a bartering arrangement?

>"Dinner" for me does not mean the same thing as "dinner" for you.

You don't know what "dinner" means for me, unless you can read my mind.

I know quite a bit of regional slang and dialect. For example, I know the Aussie meaning of all the words of "Waltzing Matilda". Do you?

>In my
>mind, a "purchase" requires cash and a "buy" does not require cash.

Maybe. Or maybe you simply don't want to admit that ol' Dwight nailed your
contradictions bigtime.

It's what you wrote that matters. Your claim that "bought' and "purchased" have
significantly different meanings is totally bogus.

>That's
>why both statements can be valid.

Only in your mind.
>
>> Point is, you got it by exchanging something of value for it. That's the
>same.
>> morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it.
>
>You completely missed the point, Jim.

No, I completely GOT the point that you are trying to evade. You paid for
illegal drugs. The rest is details.

> I bought (bartered) marijuana from
>my friends. I freely admit that it is the same morally, ethically, and
>legally as paying money for it.

Then what's the beef?

>What we are arguing over is the validity
>of my statements involving purchased (for cash) and bought (bartered).

"Buy" doesn't mean "traded". Sorry, Dwight gotcha.

>Again, the marijuana was not purchased (with cash). It was bought (with
>pizza). That was the difference in my mind when I wrote those two sentences
>and the dictionary bears me out. From Webster's:
>
>"purchase - to acquire by the payment of money"
>"buy - to acquire by exchange"

Horsepucky.
>
>Those are the definitions I was using when I wrote the statements.

Nonsense.

>In my mind, a purchase requires cash.

Uh-huh. Keep tellin' yerself that.

>I did not pay cash.

So?

>In my mind, a buy can be a barter.

Only in your mind.

> I bought marijuana from my friends.

Say that to any number of English-speaking people, and they will think you paid
money for it.
>
>> That's the same. morally, ethically and legally, as paying money for it.
>Value
>> was exchanged.
>
>Of course, it is the same morally, ethically, and legally. That's not
>the argument at all. You completely missed the point. The argument is
>over what I was thinking when I wrote those sentences. Only I know
>that and I have explained it.
>
>I didn't purchase marijuana (for cash). I bought (bartered) marijuana
>from my friends.

Bull.
>
>> >I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason. Each of those
>> >words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan.
>>
>> Nonsense.
>
>I'm so glad that you are telepathic, Jim, so you can read my mind and
>determine what definitions I was using when I used certain words.

You say I'm confused and that I don't know what dinner is.

>Are
>you also omniscient?

Don"t you know?

>> Sorry, Cecil, that has as much credibility as Clinton saying he "never had
>sex
>> with that woman" because of the way he defined "having sex".
>
>All I can tell you is what was going on in my mind when I wrote those
>sentences.

Same thing as Clinton.

>You are free to reject that reality.

Same as most people who are rational reject Clinton's "reality" on that
subject.

Don't sweat it, Cecil. Whether you admit it or not, any rational observer knows
Dwight won this one, hands down.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1435. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:34:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824003419.22527.00002950@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17675

In article <iOSf7.52508$gj1.4820159@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Major DeCoverly was my hero. Cut thru to the heart of the matter, no one
>knew what he did, and everyone was afraid of him.
>
Ah yes. A classic.

My favorite is Orr, who had everyone convinced he was nuts and accident prone.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1436. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:34:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824003419.22527.00002951@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17676

In article <3B7F8A12.F1108DF6@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?
>
>
> A very, very, long time ago, Jim. I first read it in school years
>ago, and read it again sometime after that (also years ago). There was
>also a movie based on it too, but even that was a long time ago.
>

It's a classic. Worth several reads. Expalins a lot of what goes on here.

Also recommended are most Vonnegut books.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1437. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:34:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824003420.22527.00002952@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 17674

In article <3B853B7C.A35141B4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I am still unsure how to use "bartered" in
>a sentence. "Bartered from" just doesn't sound right.
>--

One "barters with" or "trades with".

73 de Jim, N2EY

1438. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:50:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824005039.27127.00008793@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17680

>You see I don't think it I know you are mean and hateful.
>

Good you can expect more of it.

1439. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:52:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824005255.27127.00008796@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17681

>Do us all a favor, just
>stay in La.

I would butr I have plans to move in your Area.

1440. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:53:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824005351.27127.00008797@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17682

>Of course they will got eat you, you might poison them.
>

Damn I thought my spelling was Bad

1441. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 04:59:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824005901.27127.00008800@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17683

>Oh, yes Kandid Karl and the NCI gang "deliberated" him for a whole day while
>the
>League functionaries sat around and congratulated each other.

I wonder If crazy Carl still sees Guns pointed at him on his Monitor, what a
Losser

1442. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 05:27:17 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824012717.01503.00001459@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17685

Hey Bruce, you must spend a lot of time at Crazy's Johnnies Steak House on Hwy. 190. All you have to do is take a left onto Tyler then and a right onto 190. It can't be more than a 2 or 3 minutes. I figure with a name like Crazy Johnnie's you would be right at home.

1443. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 05:34:36 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B85E9E2.F507771A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17686

James Bromley wrote:

> I tend to be a fundamentalist in regards to licensing requirements. I
> believe those requirements should be continuously reviewed for relevance
> to the singular reason for licensing of any kind - to protect the rights
> of others. In my view, it is reasonable to ask that someone who is
> licensed to operate a 1500-watt transmitter that can be home-constructed
> and tuned to any frequency have a certain familiarity with radio theory
> and regulations. But it is unreasonable to require a high degree of
> skill using manual radiotelegraphy when there is no evidence that lack
> of that skill impacts other users of the radio spectrum.

Here's a shock for you - I agree with everything you said. I've never believed that it should be any more difficult than necessary to obtain a ham radio license. I do have one minor caveat hung on your last sentence, though. I also believe that, as advanced as technology is, there is more than ample evidence that it can and often does routinely fail in times of disaster, leaving those without more basic means of communications hanging in limbo. I have had that happen, and I mean at work as a public safety communicator, not on ham radio. Given the free use of the considerable spectrum that hams enjoy, it is not unreasonable to require them to be able to effectively use the most basic radiocommunications mode - CW- to some effective level of skill, and I agree that requiring high speed proficiency of all, or even most hams is wrong.
If the lone ham at work at the FCC making regulations for all of American ham radio has not the knowledge, intelligence nor intestinal fortitude to see to it that it is done, it won't be done. It seems he is easily swayed in other directions
As you well know, simple CW gear can and often is available when more sophisticated gear is not, and the enhanced ability, "CW can get through when SSB can't" is no secret to anyone who is interested in facts instead of agendas. If I'd had access to CW gear when our AT&T computer circuits (both main and backup) failed because (unknown to AT&T) they were routed through the same tower that a tornado took down, I would have used it. Instead our long disstance communications were out for three days with disaster traffic hanging unsent.
CW can be used with as simple a circuit as a single active device configured as an oscillator, and using that oscillator as a regenerative detector for a receiver. I know, what is the chance that such a need as this will come? Who knows? Seems remote, to be sure. So did a great many other ghastly occurances in the history of mankind, some not long ago. What happens if the overseas oil suppliers suddenly cut off the flow, or terrorists do it for them, and it STAYS off? Do you know? How long will all the high tech stuff keep working on vapor and dead generating plants? We just don't know what's coming or when. Seems everyone on the planet has a cellphone these days, me included. But when

you understand the cellphone system you know that the feeling of security it conveys is specious. Fore sure the Internet is in no better shape. Silicon Valley server farms hang on every report of rolling brownouts. Of course, hams should have available more sophisticated modern methods of communication than CW, but what about those will not, who are left, for whatever reason, with only CW capability available? Should they just be written off, how do we know what they need for how many people? This entire subject is anything but cut and dried as so many techies would have us believe. Solidly reliable technology is just not in place at this point in time, as advanced as it is. It's one thing to sit on your heated/airconditioned shack with commercial power ever at the ready and say ''CW is never needed anymore, and will never again be". Reality can be a real bitch, though.

If some of the rabid code bashers would have listened and read a little, rather than just jumping on the bandwagon in fully warpaint, they would have already known my feelings on this subject. But since I support some code testing for ham radio licensing, that was all they needed to classify me. Tough for them.

Dick W0EX

1444. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 05:46:32 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B85DC53.A94872D9@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17687

Yeah, the pirate operators who are operating illegally just inside the 40 meter band and interfering with amateur radio are rebelling against the US Government, the FCC, Blacks, Mexicans, Jews, and everything else. I think that is what started the Civil War.

CAM wrote:

> It proves the war was about rebellion. If the South had not rebelled,
> slavery would probably have continued to exist past the date of the
> proclamation. That conclusion can be drawn from the Emancipation
> Proclamation applying only to the states in rebellion. So we are
> back where we started. The Civil War was not about slavery, it was
> about economics. As usual, follow the money.
> --

1445. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 05:53:32 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B85DDF7.41558F52@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17688

Don't know how many hams but a heck of a lot of truckers are.

Green Hornet wrote:

> This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
> consensus.
>
> How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
> 10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
> course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
>
> Please Cast your Vote ......

1446. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 06:49:38 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87zo8qeyv1.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17690

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

> In article <3B7F8A12.F1108DF6@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> You did read Catch-22, didn't you, Dwight?
> > >
> > >
> > > A very, very, long time ago, Jim. I first read it in school years
> > >ago, and read it again sometime after that (also years ago). There was
> > >also a movie based on it too, but even that was a long time ago.
> > >
> >
> > It's a classic. Worth several reads. Expalins a lot of what goes on here.
> >
> > Also recommended are most Vonnegut books.

Not *most*. _Slaughterhouse 5_ and _Cats Cradle_ will do. Read most of
Heinlein, all of Haldeman, Vinge, Vance, and Varley. Then, we can
talk.

Rich

1447. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 06:52:17 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87wv3ueyqm.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17691

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:

> "Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> > "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> > I think you have been had.
> > LOL, ROFLMAO
>
> I don't get it.
>

Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal
of theirs. Does that help?

Rich

1448. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 06:54:44 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87u1yyeymj.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17692

"Green Hornet" <greenhornet@kato.com> writes:

> This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
> consensus.

Then why are you posting here? r.a.a.p posters are not a reasonable
sample of anything.

Rich

1449. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 07:09:25 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87pu9mexy2.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 17693

Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org> writes:

> "Green Hornet" <greenhornet@kato.com> writes:
>
> > This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
> > consensus.
>
> Then why are you posting here? r.a.a.p posters are not a reasonable
> sample of anything.

Oh, sorry, let me try to head off the cascade of spelling-flame
idiots:

Then why are you posting here? r.r.a.p posters are not a reasonable
sample of anything.

Rich, K6RFM, ex-KO6CL, ex-KD6GZW

1450. Support freedom: Join a scouting rally on Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 10:19:07 UTC
From: Bruce W <bw2310@lamvda.org>
Message-ID: <3B862A1B.7B11454F@lamvda.org>
Source record: 17694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The group Scouting for All (S4A) has scheduled rallies around the nation through Saturday to protest last year's 5-4 Supreme Court decision that allowed the BSA to prohibit homosexuals from participating in its organization. This is the second year the group has organized such rallies. Please find the location of the rally nearest to you and participate this Saturday. Both you and America will be glad that you supported freedom of choice and religion for each and every Scout.

1451. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 10:50:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B863155.D82793EB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17695

N2EY wrote:
>
> ARRRRR! Them's be fightin' words!
>
> Avast me hearties! Fire a broadside!
>
> 73 de "Long Jim" N2EY

Excuse me, Captain Bligh, did you change your name? I thought it was "Long John," not "Long Jim."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1452. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 12:45:24 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B864ED7.D0E9B123@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17696

GH wrote:

> Yeah, the pirate operators who are operating illegally just inside the 40 meter
> band and interfering with amateur radio are rebelling against the US Government,
> the FCC, Blacks, Mexicans, Jews, and everything else. I think that is what started
> the Civil War.
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > It proves the war was about rebellion. If the South had not rebelled,
> > slavery would probably have continued to exist past the date of the
> > proclamation. That conclusion can be drawn from the Emancipation
> > Proclamation applying only to the states in rebellion. So we are
> > back where we started. The Civil War was not about slavery, it was
> > about economics. As usual, follow the money.
> > --

Don't pay too much attention to Cecil, he always tries to twist things in an effort to control people's minds and the conversation. Now he is trying to say that slavery wasn't about money.

1453. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 12:47:59 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3B864F74.72B64A7A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 17697

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > I (meaning me) was (meaning past tense)
> > going (not meaning moving anywhere,
> > but more showing intent) to (?) get
> > (not meaning to retrieve an object, more
> > meaning to become a part of) involved
> > (meaning jumping in--in the colloquial
> > of course) in (not literally in something,
> > more a poor use of a pronoun; should
> > probably use the word with--but, then
> > I [meaning me] would have to define
> > with) this (meaning this right here)
> > discussion (loosely translated to mean
> > the discussion taking place here (well,
> > not here, more there--but), but (spelled
> > with one 't' so not a part of the anatomy)
> > it's (the contraction of "it is") just
> > (not in terms of justice) too (used as a
> > measure, not to but too, and not a number)
> > difficult (meaning the literal
> > translation of the word difficult).
>
> LOL. Oh, now you've done it, Kim. Explain exactly what you mean by
> "anywhere," "intent," "colloquial," "loosely," "justice," and
> "difficult." Of course, I'm referring to their meaning in the context
> of the sentence and the discussion.

Anyone have any idea what the heck she said???

1454. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 12:49:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824084947.25196.00001104@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17698

>Hey Bruce, you must spend a lot of time at Crazy's Johnnies Steak House on
>Hwy.
>190.

Hey Dummy Crazy Johnnies has been closed for 6 Months, I see your not very good
at this Research Stuff either.

1455. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:05:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824090538.18756.00009097@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17699

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 5:33 PM Central Daylight Time

>Please fix your false attributions, Steve.

Are you in ANY WAY misquoted, save for the alleged "<"'s...?!?!

The answer, as we all know, is "no".

Ergo, find some OTHER way to hide your failings, Sir.

1456. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:14:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824091437.18756.00009099@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17700

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 5:43 PM Central Daylight Time

>I was apparently mistaken as to what the common-use meanings are
>and that mistake is exactly what saves my statements from being a
>contradiction.

Cecil, you are an allegedly college educated person of some years of professional experience. If you were "apparently mistaken", it was a word ploy, nothing else.

>I assumed we all shared the same definitions for those words

Do you remember the little ditty about the word "assumed", Cecil...?!?!

> That assumption was apparently wrong.

ta DAAAAH! Cecil gets ONE right!

>I do NOT refuse to accept commonly accepted meanings to words.

Yes you do...or at least you take great liberty with your "definitions".

>I assumed the commonly accepted meanings that I use in everyday life here in
rural
>East Texas was true for the nation.

Another "assumption", leading me to believe that the memberships you flaunt in MENSA and IEEE are some form of gratuity for years of service in the housekeeping division.

>Apparently, I was wrong about that
>but a wrong assumption does not a contradiction make.

Oh...I see...If I or anyone LESE hee makes such an error, we are lying or "obfuscating". But that DOESN'T apply to you. Right.

> Sorry.

I doubt your sincerity.

1457. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:16:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8653AF.2E45480E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17701

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > "purchase - to acquire with money"
> > "buy - to acquire through exchange"
>
> That is simply not true, Cecil. The definition of the word "bought"
> in that discussion was made very clear before you used it in your
> response. In the question you replied to, I clearly prefaced the word
> "bought" with two explanations of what was meant when that word was
> used ("purchased...in the normal way" and "the way most people get
> their illegal drugs").

But Dwight, you did not define the words you were using. I assumed
you were using the definitions above just like I was. It seemed to
me that you had changed the context when you changed the words from
"purchased" to "bought". I'm sorry that I misunderstood your meanings
but a misunderstanding is a long way from a contradiction. You certainly
didn't say that "purchased" and "bought" meant the same thing and at
that time it was my belief that they didn't mean the same thing. So
I believed that you had changed the context of the statement when
you switched from "purchased" to "bought". That belief was a mistake
on my part but was certainly not a contradiction. The definitions that
I had assumed are valid definitions from the dictionary. I had no idea
that a broader definition existed until I looked up "purchase" in the
dictionary long after this discussion started.

> You responded using that exact same word without any dispute at that
> time concerning it's meaning. In other words, you accepted my
> definition of that word and responded to my question using that
> definition.

But I thought that you, like me, were using different definitions
for "purchased" and "bought". After all, it doesn't make any sense
to use two different words that mean the same thing in the same
sentence. And since you didn't define "purchased" and "bought",
I assumed you were using the same definitions as I was. I apologize
for that misunderstanding. I didn't realize there was a misunderstanding
until I looked up "purchase" in the dictionary. I thought that everyone,
including you, used "purchase" to indicate something acquired by
payment of money. I was mistaken about that but a mistake is a long
way from a contradiction.

To summarize: Since you didn't post your definitions of "purchased"
and "bought", had never said that "purchased" and "bought" meant

the same thing to you, and had actually implied otherwise by using
those two words in the same sentence from which I inferred different
meanings, you are as much to blame for this misunderstanding as
I am. I was mistaken about the definitions you were using because
you didn't take time to tell me what those definitions were.
I admit to being mistaken about your definitions. But a mistake does
not a contridiction make.

If you had simply used one word two times in that sentence, this
misunderstanding would never have happened. It simply does not
make sense to use two different words that mean the same thing
in the same sentence. I inferred that because you used two
different words that you were changing the context from "purchased -
acquired by payment of money" to "bought - acquired through
exchange".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1458. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:20:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824092014.18756.00009101@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17702

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/23/2001 6:04 PM Central Daylight Time

>The words that I spoke have been stolen from me by your false
>attributions. The above attributions doesn't say who spoke my
>words and indicates that I said nothing. Please fix those
>false attributions, Steve. Someone who accesses Google/dejanews
>in the future will think I said something I didn't say and won't
>know what I did say. How would you like it if I falsified your
>attributions?

Absolutely NOTHING in any post I ahve made that included quotes from YOUR posts is in any way, shape or form in doubt.

To assert that a missing < is somehow confusing is childish. I expect tyhat from the Left Coast...Not you.

>> > You ARE falsifying attributes.
>>
>> No, I am not.
>
>Yes, you are, Steve.

Cecil, life really IS that boring, isn't it...?!?!

You really CAN'T find anything MEANINGFUL to do so you make up some argument about arrows in a newsgroup reader to cover up your otherwise indefensible "arguments" about your illegal drug use and other such character weaknesses.

No one in this NG has any doubt about what's going on or who's saying it....Unless YOU would like to create some anonymous buddies like Lennie does to bolster HIS ego...?!?!

Try again.

1459. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:23:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824092310.18756.00009102@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17703

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 08/23/2001 7:27 PM Central Daylight Time

>I (meaning me) was (meaning past tense) going (not meaning moving anywhere,
>but more showing intent) to (?)....(SNIP)

YOU GO GIRL!!!!!!!!

Steve

1460. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:26:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824092638.18756.00009103@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17704

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 08/24/2001 7:47 AM Central Daylight Time

> Anyone have any idea what the heck she said???

She was just giving the benefit of the doubt to one of her "fellow"
(meaning colleague or associate) Texans.

1461. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:27:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B86563F.9461551A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17705

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Assuming that you purchased the marijuana
> > > in the normal way (the way most people get
> > > their illegal drugs), what about the people
> > > you bought the marijuana from? (snip)
> >
> > Let's take a close look at what you said,
> > Dwight. You, yourself, in that sentence
> > changed the context from "purchased" to
> > "bought". I inferred from that that you
> > shared my beliefs that "purchased" and
> > "bought" can have different meanings.
> > After all, why would you use two different
> > words that mean the same thing? Why not
> > just use one word twice?
>
> No, Cecil, that doesn't work. I know your reading comprehension is
> not that poor. I clearly prefaced the word "bought" with two
> explanations of what I meant when I used that word ("purchased...in
> the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs").

You NEVER said "purchased" meant the same thing as "bought". In fact,
since you used those two words in the same sentence, I assumed that
they didn't have the same meanings and that you had changed the
context of the sentence from "purchased - acquired by payment of money"
to "bought - acquired through exchange". It doesn't make any sense at
all to use two different words that mean the same thing in the same
sentence.

You are trying to tell me what I was thinking. That's called
mind fornication, Dwight. Only I know what I was thinking.

> You responded using that exact same word without any dispute at that
> time concerning it's meaning. In other words, you accepted my
> definition of that word and responded to my question using that
> definition.

You did NOT define that word, Dwight. In fact, you implied that "bought"
had a different meaning from "purchased". If they had the same meanings
you wouldn't have used them both in the same sentence. Since you switched
from "purchased" to "bought", I assumed those words had different

meanings. What was the reason for switching words if they didn't have different meanings? Since you never published your definitions and implied a context switch when you switched words, you are partially to blame for my misunderstanding of what you meant. In any case, a misunderstanding is not a contradiction.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1462. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:30:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B865705.5ED3AC59@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17706

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B853B7C.A35141B4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >I am still unsure how to use "bartered" in
> >a sentence. "Bartered from" just doesn't sound right.
> >--
>
> One "barters with" or "trades with".

I thought about that but this sentence doesn't sound right either.

"I bartered oranges with my friends."

That sounds like we traded oranges for oranges. "I bought oranges
from my friends" covers an exchange of either money or goods and
sounded better in a sentence.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1463. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:55:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B865CBE.38804C20@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17707

N2EY wrote:
> No, Cecil, you missed the point. Dwight Stewart is right on the money. He found
> you contradicting yourself.

No, Jim, you missed the point. Dwight used two different words in the same
sentence that he says meant the same thing. I didn't think they meant
the same thing and thus assumed he was changing the context of the
sentence in the middle. It apparently was a mistake but a mistake is
not the same thing as a contradiction.

> Obtaining illegal drugs is a crime.

There's no argument over that, Jim. I don't know why you keep bringing
it up.

> It's what you wrote that matters. Your claim that "bought' and "purchased" have
> significantly different meanings is totally bogus.

It's not bogus, Jim, it was simply a mistake. I thought that "purchase"
meant to buy something using money. That's the way it is used in this
part of the country. I didn't know "purchase" had such a broad definition
until I looked it up in the dictionary after I had made the statements.
Since Dwight didn't define the words he was using, I assumed he was
using the same definitions as I was. That was a mistake but wasn't a
contradiction.

> Do you think the term "drug
> buy" can mean a bartering arrangement?

Yes, but when I wrote those statements I thought a "drug purchase"
could only be done with money.

> >"Dinner" for me does not mean the same thing as "dinner" for you.
>
> You don't know what "dinner" means for me, unless you can read my mind.

I assumed that since you are from 2-land, dinner would be in the PM.
Guess I should have said, "Dinner" for me may not mean the same thing
as "dinner" for you. I notice that you dodged the real answer.

> >In my
> >mind, a "purchase" requires cash and a "buy" does not require cash.
>
> Maybe. Or maybe you simply don't want to admit that ol' Dwight nailed your
> contradictions bigtime.

If you will go over the postings given what I believed to be the definitions
at the time of the postings, you will see what happened. I was mistaken about

the breadth of a definition but the definition I was using is a valid one
from the dictionary.

> It's what you wrote that matters. Your claim that "bought' and "purchased" have
> significantly different meanings is totally bogus.

I don't claim that anymore, Jim. But that is what I believed when I wrote
those statements. I was mistaken about the definition of "purchased". But
a mistake is a far cry from a contradiction.

> No, I completely GOT the point that you are trying to evade. You paid for
> illegal drugs. The rest is details.

I freely admit that I bartered for illegal drugs, Jim. You are confused if
you think that is the argument. The argument *IS* over the details.

> > I bought (bartered) marijuana from
> >my friends. I freely admit that it is the same morally, ethically, and
> >legally as paying money for it.
>
> Then what's the beef?

See, you admit that you are confused about the point of the argument.
The beef is whether Dwight is allowed to engage in mind fornication
and install a definition in my mind that didn't exist when I made
a statement.

> >What we are arguing over is the validity
> >of my statements involving purchased (for cash) and bought (bartered).
>
> "Buy" doesn't mean "traded". Sorry, Dwight gotcha.

From Websters: "buy - to acquire through exchange" Who got who?

> >"purchase - to acquire by the payment of money"
> >"buy - to acquire by exchange"
>
> Horsepucky.

You are saying that Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary is
"Horsepucky", Jim. I guess you are entitled to that opinion.

> >Those are the definitions I was using when I wrote the statements.
>
> Nonsense.

I have never purchased marijuana for money. I bought some once with pizza.

> >In my mind, a buy can be a barter.
>
> Only in your mind.

From Websters: "buy - to acquire through exchange"

> > I bought marijuana from my friends.
>
> Say that to any number of English-speaking people, and they will think you paid
> money for it.

It could have been money but it wasn't. It was pizza.

> >I didn't purchase marijuana (for cash). I bought (bartered) marijuana
> >from my friends.
>
> Bull.

Nope, Jim, that is exactly what happened.

> >> >I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason. Each of those
> >> >words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan.
> >>
> >> Nonsense.

You are obviously ignorant of Texans. When do you have dinner, Jim?

> >I'm so glad that you are telepathic, Jim, so you can read my mind and
> >determine what definitions I was using when I used certain words.
>
> You say I'm confused and that I don't know what dinner is.

I have dinner at noon. It may be you who is confused, especially since
you don't even know what the argument is about.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1464. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 13:59:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B865DCE.F4CFF130@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17708

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> The first part of that sentence is not irrelevant, Cecil. It clearly
> lays out what was meant when the word "bought" was used. The word
> "bought" was prefaced with two explanations of what that word meant -
> "purchased...in the normal way" and "the way most people get their
> illegal drugs." In other words, the question asked was prefaced with
> two explanations of it's meaning.

Not in my mind, Dwight. It doesn't matter to the argument what you meant.
What I thought it meant is what matters to the argument. I assumed that
you, like me, had different definitions of "purchased" and "bought".
Given that premise, you changed contexts when you switched from
"purchased" to "bought". There's no reason to use two different words
in the same sentence unless they have different meanings.

> And you answered that question using that exact same word without
> any dispute at that time concerning it's meaning.

As it turns out, I didn't know what meaning you were using. You NEVER
defined that meaning so you are partially to blame for the confusion.
I thought you were using the same meaning as I.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1465. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:04:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B865F03.CA560FE0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17710

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Your posted assumption was completely
> > false, Dwight, and thus was dropped
> > from the context. You are simply
> > obfuscating. I responded only to the
> > second phrase in your sentence, a
> > common thing to do. (snip)
>
> But you did not challenge that assumption at the time.

I didn't recognize your assumption. I assumed something else.
I was mistaken but that's not a contradiction.

> Instead, you answered that question with the assumption in place.

Get real! How can the assumption be in place in my mind if I didn't
know what the assumption was?

> Again, I prefaced
> the the question, and the word "bought," with two clear explanations
> as to what was meant ("purchased...in the normal way" and "the way
> most people get their illegal drugs").

I did NOT understand that's what you were doing, Dwight. I thought
you were changing the context of the sentence when you switched
from "purchased" to "bought". After all, there's no reason
to use two different words in the same sentence unless they have
different meanings.

> You answered the question
> without any objection to that meaning. In other words, you accepted
> the meaning and responded in the context of that meaning.

How can I possibly object to that meaning when I didn't know what
the meaning was? Get real, Dwight. I made a mistake in definitions.
That is not a contradiction.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1466. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:05:37 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <f88e0f0d.0108240605.447b92ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17709

Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3B808E1B.A8572820@townsqr.com>...
> Connie Lingus wrote:
>
> > I dunno.
> >
> > I keep hearing this crap about how fascinating ham radio is..
>
> > Nah, that was a few dacades ago. Today it's blah and below anything
> > ordinary, something to contemplate when your ISP is out for maintenance.
>
> >
> > Is it just radios and construction/mechanical type discussions
> > that goes on on ham radio??
> >
>
> Afre you kidding? What a refreshing change that would be from "The first
> personal here is...."
>
>

Richard. Read the name of first poster in the thread. "Connie Lingus",
"Connie Lingus" "Connie Lingus" say it over and very very fast. You
have been had.
>
>
> >
> > I mean - yeah - I KNOW radios are a part of the hobby and therefore
> > part of the discussion - but hell, people use computers for chats online
> > and go forever without getting into CPU speeds and how much
> > memory the system has and all that garbage.
>
> Plug and Pray doesn't apply only to Windoze stuff...most of hamdom these
> days know it well.
>
>
>
> >
> > And they talk about more than how to operate 'stuff'.
> >
> > I'm listening to the area 2-meter repeaters and, for God's sake, it's
> > just a neverending boner for the building and construction trade.
> > Hardware stores must be hardon stores for you guys.
> >

>
> Well I guess that dates your tenure ...Sorry.
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > If it's not pipefitting it's drywall. If not that, then pouring
> > concrete. I mean, now and then it's fine, but Jesus, it's like
> > Bob Vila's most erotic wet dream listening to this crap.
> > There ARE no other topics other than those which inspire
> > a Rise In The Levi's to only the most diehard of Geekoids.
> >
>
> Sounds like you belong on one of the more forward chatrooms on the net. Why
> bother with ham radio?

1467. Re: Support freedom: Join a scouting rally on Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:16:15 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8653D2.371AD59D@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I support the BSA fully in effort to keep queers out.

Bruce W wrote:

> The group Scouting for All (S4A) has scheduled rallies around the nation
> through Saturday to protest last year's 5-4 Supreme Court decision that
> allowed the BSA to prohibit homosexuals from participating in its
> organization. This is the second year the group has organized such
> rallies. Please find the location of the rally nearest to you and
> participate this Saturday. Both you and America will be glad that you
> supported freedom of choice and religion for each and every Scout.

1468. Re: Question for wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:16:26 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <f88e0f0d.0108240616.4d9715e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17711

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20010822135845.06662.00005438@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Bruce, Bruce, tell me something, how many public service events have you help
> >out with on a given year. How many young people have elmered. in the past
> >years, if any.
>
> ZERO

That is really amazing. Zero, the same number as your IQ.

1469. Re: Support freedom: Join a scouting rally on Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:17:37 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8653DE.39CB7709@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I support the BSA fully in efforts to keep queers out.

Bruce W wrote:

> The group Scouting for All (S4A) has scheduled rallies around the nation
> through Saturday to protest last year's 5-4 Supreme Court decision that
> allowed the BSA to prohibit homosexuals from participating in its
> organization. This is the second year the group has organized such
> rallies. Please find the location of the rally nearest to you and
> participate this Saturday. Both you and America will be glad that you
> supported freedom of choice and religion for each and every Scout.

1470. Re: Question for wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:33:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824103324.00773.00007145@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17714

>That is really amazing. Zero, the same number as your IQ.
>
>

Well Im sure mine is higher than yours,Im wondering what that would make yours? Just a Guess probably -200, by the way how is 11Meters today, have you checked in with all your Good Buddies. Now tell us all you dont have to be Shy, just what is your CBHandle, I bet its something like Little BOY.

1471. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:45:35 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108240645.3cc435bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17715

You're a madman, Mark. So who is "Dr. Miles Bennel" here? I don't think Kevin McCarthy is available to reprise his role. It was/is a good flick though and the remakes never quite captured the terror. It's funny how movies nowadays rely on gore and special effects whereas the older flicks stand on good writing/performances alone. (Hitchcock?) I've already welcomed you back, but I'll do so publically as well. Welcome back. (...to the NG.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message news:<20010823220157.25686.00000255@mb-cm.aol.com>...
> >And the ARRL has secret agendas and the FCC rubber stamps the work of minor
> >functionaries with secret agendas and ...
>
> Riley Hollingsworth is an 11 meter operator that we "placed" in order to
> protect our operatives. That's why the majority of busts are only against
> licensed hams. The real kicker will be when you wake up one night and see a
> green slimy pod next to your bed and the face forming inside of it looks
> remarkably like your own. Come on Dick, admit it...you suddenly feel the urge
> to say "CQ CQ 11," don't you. We had a heck of a time loading those pods into
> the black helicopters...whew!
>
> I figured you guys were in a playful mood, so I'd jump in. Hope that was
> alright? Hello, Dick and Ed, I hope you're both doing well. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark

1472. Re: > NO CODE = NO HAM. <

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 14:49:38 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108240649.e1348a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17716

Oh, stop! Who'd be silly enough to work CW/Morse on CB? ;-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20010823214340.25686.00000253@mb-cm.aol.com>...
> >CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
> How about CB and CW, conceivable? It's happening. This "troll" is now null &
> void. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark

1473. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 15:45:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8676B3.7300B5E9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17717

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/23/2001 5:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Please fix your false attributions, Steve.
>
> Are you in ANY WAY misquoted, save for the alleged "<"'s...?!?!

The ">"'s are not just alleged. They are a fact as can be seen
above. The ">"'s are the source of the misquoting.

Here's an example of what you are doing.

> Steve said:

> > Morse code is fun.

> > > Morse code sucks.

If I add a single ">" just as you are doing, it changes it from
you saying "Morse code is fun" to you saying "Morse code sucks".

> > Steve said:

> > Morse code is fun.

> > > Morse code sucks.

Now your posting is, "Morse code sucks." I have falsified the
attributes just like you are doing. Someone can dig the above
up out of Google/dejanews a year from now and "prove" that you
said "Morse code sucks." I do not appreciate you falsifying
the attributes for my postings. Please cease and desist.
People have been sued for less.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1474. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 15:55:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8678DD.6130B6E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17718

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> If you were "apparently mistaken", it was a word
> ploy, nothing else.

So you believe instead of making mistakes, I engage in word
ploys? Sorry to dissapoint you, Steve, but I make my share
of mistakes. It's not the first time that a word definition
has regional differences. When do you eat dinner? I eat dinner
at noon.

> >I do NOT refuse to accept commonly accepted meanings to words.
>
> Yes you do...or at least you take great liberty with your "definitions".

No I don't, Steve. I have accepted that "purchased" has a meaning
broader than I thought. The source of the problem is I thought
a "purchase" always involved money. When I said, "I never purchased
marijuana", I was using this definition of "punished - to acquire
by payment of money". At the time, I thought that was the only
definition of "purchased". But the point is that it *IS* a valid
definition of "purchased" and was the one I was using at the time.

Note: I did not use the word "purchased" anywhere in my earlier
posting.
--
cheers, Cecil

--
cheers, Cecil

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1475. Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 16:01:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824120115.27095.00008470@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17719

In the newest AR Report: ARNewsline Report #1254 – August 24, 2001

IT says that all the 5 Wpm license has done is alloy existing Hams to upgrade and no significant new HAMS.

You should go read the Report in Detail. Arent we glad we got this Dumbing Down License System. All it has done is destroy, which once was a Good Service. A complete Failure. Way to go FCC, way to go NCI.

1476. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 16:05:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B867B2E.C00787CC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17720

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/23/2001 6:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >The words that I spoke have been stolen from me by your false
> >attributions. The above attributions doesn't say who spoke my
> >words and indicates that I said nothing. Please fix those
> >false attributions, Steve. Someone who accesses Google/dejanews
> >in the future will think I said something I didn't say and won't
> >know what I did say. How would you like it if I falsified your
> >attributions?
>
> Absolutely NOTHING in any post I ahve made that included quotes from YOUR
> posts is in any way, shape or form in doubt.

That's an obvious lie. You only have to look above to see that I
was not given credit for my posting. You have attributed my posting
to the person who replies to this posting. What is your ulterior
motive in screwing up the attributes?

> To assert that a missing < is somehow confusing is childish. I expect
> that from the Left Coast...Not you.

Those little ">"'s are extremely important in determining who said
what, Steve. It has been the standard convention on netnews for
years. Now you come along and screw it up. One wonders why.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1477. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 16:08:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B867BF0.884290E9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17721

Richard Carroll wrote:
> Don't pay too much attention to Cecil, he always tries to twist things in an effort
> to control people's minds and the conversation. Now he is trying to say that slavery
> wasn't about money.

Nope, I didn't say that, Dick. Slavery was indeed about money. That's why
the *cause* of the Civil War was economics, not slavery.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1478. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 16:10:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B867C64.DC0326A2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17722

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> They may not have had any choice in the matter, but the
> effect on the perception of them as hams is still the same.

So my three year old grandson is responsible for the ills
of ham radio. Sure he is, Larry.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1479. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 16:46:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0108240846.2950987@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17723

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B846DB6.C665599A@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Was this ever confirmed? What was the newsletter, and when was the report? If
> > it's a financial newsletter, what interest is such a report?
>
> It must have been about 5 years ago.

No exact date.

> The name of the report skips my
> mind at the moment but it cost about $200 per year.

No name for the newsletter.

> It was authored
> by James Davidson and Lord Rees-Mogg who are also authors of "The
> Great Reckoning".

Never heard of any of them.

> They were afraid Clinton's alleged cocaine habit
> was going to affect the markets in one way or another.

Or maybe they hoped the rumor would do it.

Lessee, 5 years ago was 1996. Presidential election year. One has to
wonder if there was any connection.

Freedom of the press means that anyone with a press and careful
wording can say almost anything about a public figure and get away
with it. ("Unconfirmed anonymous White House sources said....") Rumor
and scandal are in big type on Page 1, retractions and corrections are
buried in the fine print on Page 37 - if they appear at all.

Did the story say how Clinton got the drugs?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1480. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 17:03:11 UTC
From: antonelron@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ea82a75b.0108240903.6a0daf3e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17724

> How do you define "build"?
>
> Does it include kits?
Yes if the kit isn't all soldered up already and aligned. You should
need to solder, align,use test equipment and (unless you are a perfect
builder) troubleshoot.
>
> How about conversions of gear from other uses?

Yes but the butchery inflicted on Mot, GE, Harris,Quintron and
Continental transmitters and on HP,Tek,IFR test equipment by _some_
hams is disgusting. Let's use quality workmanship and practices here
folks. If you can't do it and make it look factory, leave it alone.
>
> How about restoration of "basket case" equipment?
Not exactly but a worthy and good endeavor. Give 'em credit.
>
> If someone buys a transceiver, power supply, antenna, tuner, mast,
> cables, connectors, test equipment, accessories, furniture, etc.,
> etc., and puts them all together into a working station, is that
> "building"?
Nooope.

Points given for writing new firmware for existing equipment though.
6800 Assembler is not that esoteric folks!

1481. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 17:03:59 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m61i3$60i$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17725

"Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message
news:87wv3ueyqm.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
> "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> > "Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > > Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> > > "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> > > I think you have been had.
> > > LOL, ROFLMAO
> >
> > I don't get it.
> >
>
> Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
> both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal
> of theirs. Does that help?

What are you talking about?

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

1482. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 17:19:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B868C85.7B7D7B83@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17726

WA8ULX wrote:
> IT says that all the 5 Wpm license has done is alloy existing Hams to upgrade

What was the process that allowed the alloying of hams to upgrades?
Anything like alloying copper and nickel to get brass?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1483. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 18:10:04 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B868AAA.7E45B62B@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17727

Yeah, the pirates operating illegally on the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band are rebels, I think they started the Civil War. I think the Civil War was all about the pirates operating illegally on the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band.

CAM wrote:

> >
> > It says nothing about why the states were at war. It says nothing about
> > what the war was about. Please explain how that point was made when you
> > said :"That seems to prove that the war was not about slavery, doesn't
> > it?"
>
> It proves the war was about rebellion. If the South had not rebelled,
> slavery would probably have continued to exist past the date of the
> proclamation. That conclusion can be drawn from the Emancipation
> Proclamation applying only to the states in rebellion. So we are
> back where we started. The Civil War was not about slavery, it was
> about economics. As usual, follow the money.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1484. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 19:15:42 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0108241115.100e7cb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17728

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20010823202151.26055.00000534@mb-fj.aol.com>...
> Hi guys,
>
> I'll throw my 2 quid in as well...OYSTERS! I'm originally from the Pacific NW
> and the oysters are simply outrageous. We once took a trip one state over to
> Seattle, WA just to get some oysters. Whew, now I feel better. Not because I've
> confessed, but because I just came back from vacationing back home...complete
> with oysters. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark

What's a quid? You're a LIer now, we have oysters too. Perhaps your thinking of NJ oysters...the ones that drip 10W-40 when you shuck em' open. There are plenty of good seafood restaurants in your new hometown. Stay away from The Steamroom Restaurant, (More like a cafeteria.) it's very expensive and the food's lousy. Top billing goes to Portico and The Village Way. BTW, I had some very good oysters in Atlantic City. :-) I've been to Seattle and I will concur with you about the oysters, not to mention the salmon. I'm a seafood freak and Seattle was very very good to me in 1996.

I guess since this is r.r.a.p., I should mention something about AR. Ladder line...good, no good? Is it better than coax? Will one incur less losses if the antenna is resonant and one uses ladder line?

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1485. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 19:29:02 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B869D2D.7FA166E5@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17729

It is a British slang for money, like a buck is here.

Bert Craig wrote:

>
> What's a quid?

1486. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 20:48:58 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9107AB3306F15notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17730

Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in
news:3B808E1B.A8572820@townsqr.com:

>>
>> Is it just radios and construction/mechanical type discussions
>> that goes on on ham radio??
>>
>
> Afre you kidding? What a refreshing change that would be from "The
> first
> personal here is...."
>

No. Trust me. There's nothing refreshing about what must be the
500,000th episode of 'What I Did At True Value Hardware Today.'

>
> Sounds like you belong on one of the more forward chatrooms on the
> net. Why
> bother with ham radio?

At this point, I don't really.

And what I have said thus far is QUITE mild compared to much of what
transpires online. If I seem so forward, it perhaps reflects once
again the repression amongst much of Hamdom.

What's quite curious is when I started the thread, I mentioned a
smattering of topics which rarely seem to be discussed, and everyone
has seized upon only one - women.

Everyone immediately concluded that it's taboo - and the reasoning is
because it will get licenses revoked or some nonsense. That would happen
only if you went absolutely nuts and discussed them in
terribly obscene and indecent base, vulgar, sexual ways.

That's not how I intended them to be discussed on the air, but in your
minds I guess that's the only way to talk about them. Otherwise you
wouldn't be all biting your nails worried the FCC will come and get you
cuz you have nothing else to say about gals.

And you tell ME that I have the perv mind.

73

1487. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 21:01:37 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9107AD57E7B36notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 17731

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> wrote in
news:9m61i3$60i$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net:

>
> "Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message
> news:87wv3ueyqm.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
>> "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> > "Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> > > Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
>> > > "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
>> > > I think you have been had.
>> > > LOL, ROFLMAO
>> >
>> > I don't get it.
>> >
>>
>> Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
>> both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal of theirs. Does
>> that help?
>
>
> What are you talking about?
>

Hmmm. Here's the thing. Contrary to popular belief, the original
post in all this wasn't merely a troll, it was set of beliefs I
honestly felt to be true. And I've tried to step back in and
converse further on it - not just sit back and enjoy the aftermath.

It seems as tho - for such an overwhelmingly male population which
makes up the world of ham radio - it's without a doubt the most
asexual collection of males I've ever heard.

I don't expect it to sound like a 900 line, but it sounds pretty
outer-spacey to hear nary a mention of women.

Annnnd - when Connie Lingus is met with a series of "'huh'??????" then
perhaps the situation is even worse than I thought.

73
Connie

1488. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 21:18:06 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iwzh7.59344$gj1.5447758@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17732

Dick Carroll, W0EX, posted:

> Here's a shock for you - I agree with everything you said. I've never
> believed that it should be any more difficult than necessary to obtain a ham
> radio license. I do have one minor caveat hung on your last sentence, though.
> I also believe that, as advanced as technology is, there is more than ample
> evidence that it can and often does routinely fail in times of disaster,
> leaving those without more basic means of communications hanging in limbo....

Dick,

Thanks for your cordial and thought-provoking reply to my posting.
As I wrote, my view of licensing policy is that it extends only as
far as protecting the rights of other spectrum users. Ensuring
the reliability of emergency communications, while a worthy goal,
is one that, in my opinion, lies outside the licensing process.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1489. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 21:27:19 UTC
From: Landshark <greenhornet@kato.com>
Message-ID: <XEzh7.131745$EP6.36677213@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 17733

Rich, We were looking for an opinion from Hams in General, don't care what NG they are from.

"Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message news:87pu9mexy2.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
> Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org> writes:
>
> > "Green Hornet" <greenhornet@kato.com> writes:
> >
> > > This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
> > > consensus.
> >
> > Then why are you posting here? r.a.a.p posters are not a reasonable
> > sample of anything.
>
> Oh, sorry, let me try to head off the cascade of spelling-flame
> idiots:
>
> Then why are you posting here? r.r.a.p posters are not a reasonable
> sample of anything.
>
> Rich, K6RFM, ex-KO6CL, ex-KD6GZW
>

1490. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 21:38:48 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B86C95D.7D664B3@psu.edu>
Source record: 17734

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> attributes as a radio amateur is entirely up to them. If they become
> active, proficient Morse/CW users, and demonstrate the traditional
> curiosity, learning ability, and technical competence, and sound
> operating practices of a classic radio amateur, they will not suffer
> personally from the fact that they ascended during the Post-Restructuring
> era.

Yikes, Larry! Are you suggesting that we *nust* becaome "active,
proficient CW users" to cut the mustard? I've got the other parts down
pretty pat, I'm having a good time on psk31, am working on homebrewing
radio accessories, and am going to give sstv a try after a bit. I want
to try EME at some point. It's a little dissapointing that it looks like
I'll be a loser in yer eyes.

Is a ham who does 25 wpm and not much else better in your eyes than a
ham who does everything but? not trying to strawman you here, but I'd
like to get your position a bit clearer.

And don't get me wrong, I'm pro Morse code, I'm just surprised you
would narrow your zone of acceptability that much.

> will take the heat. It's entirely up to them. However, the one thing they
> can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
> Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
> licensing standards.

Well, I guess we'll just have to live with the shame! (big grin)

- mike -

1491. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 21:46:13 UTC
From: paul harris <paul@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80A66AC73@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 17735

Now let's NOT start a no code = no brass-pounder debate.

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B868C85.7B7D7B83@IEEE.org...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> > IT says that all the 5 Wpm license has done is alloy existing Hams to
upgrade
>
> What was the process that allowed the alloying of hams to upgrades?
> Anything like alloying copper and nickel to get brass?
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1492. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:04:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824180440.21030.00002566@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 17736

In article <87zo8qeyv1.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>, Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org> writes:

>> Also recommended are most Vonnegut books.
>
>Not *most*. _Slaughterhouse 5_ and _Cats Cradle_ will do.

I disagree. "Welcome To The Monkey House" belongs on the list. Also "Slapstick" and "The Sirens of Titan".

73 de Jim "Daffodil-14" N2EY

1493. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:04:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824180440.21030.00002567@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 17737

In article <3b85a1b5_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>I (meaning me) was (meaning past tense) going (not meaning moving anywhere,
>but more showing intent) to (?) get (not meaning to retrieve an object, more
>meaning to become a part of) involved (meaning jumping in--in the colloquial
>of course) in (not literally in something, more a poor use of a pronoun;
>should probably use the word with--but, then I [meaning me] would have to
>define with) this (meaning this right here) discussion (loosely translated
>to mean the discussion taking place here (well, not here, more there--but),
>but (spelled with one 't' so not a part of the anatomy) it's (the
>contraction of "it is") just (not in terms of justice) too (used as a
>measure, not to but too, and not a number) difficult (meaning the literal
>translation of the word difficult).
>
>WHEW - Kim W5TIT

That's a work of art. Beautiful, Kim, just beautiful.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1494. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:06:20 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824180620.20106.00005754@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 17738

Thanks GH,

One Quid (I neglected to capitalize the Q) is equal to one British pound sterling. My heritage is of the realm...Oh brother! :-) Take care and 73.

Mark

>It is a British slang for money, like a buck is here.
>
>Bert Craig wrote:
>
>>
>> What's a quid?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>It is a British slang for money, like a buck is here.
>
>Bert Craig wrote:
>
>>
>> What's a quid?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1495. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:21:30 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824182130.20106.00005756@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 17739

>So who is "Dr. Miles Bennel" here?

I think we all know this cast member.

>It's funny how movies nowadays rely on gore and special effects
>whereas the older flicks stand on good writing/performances alone.

There's a fairly recent movie (Within the last 10 years.) with Sigourney Weaver and Ben Kingsley that is absolutely gripping. It's set in Weaver's house in South America and the entire movie is within the confines of a room or two within said house. I don't recall the name, but I'll try to look it up. Weaver was a former activist who is now married to a prominent political figure. (Himself, a former activist.) Weaver's husband encounters a stuck Kingley on the road while coming home one rainy night and the movie then revolves around Kingsley's past relationship with Weaver. You'll love it.

Thanks for the "welcome back," although we've seen each oyher at work already. :-) Take care and 73.

Mark

1496. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:41 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <lPAh7.149$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17740

I should have known..... silly me! :)

Ryan

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B8425BB.BB9C6A21@IEEE.org...
> Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > What about the people who weren't even aware of ham radio until recently
and
> > just became licensed?? Does that make them lesser of a person when it
comes
> > to ham? Obviously they weren't aware of the restructuring issue....
>
> Of course, that makes them lower than the lowest layer of whale $hit
> in the deepest part of the ocean. What's wrong with you, boy?
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1497. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:42 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <mPAh7.150$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17741

Still didn't answer the request..... If the fact of memorization bothers you or others, lets see the actual structure of this new effective testing method.

Gee.... memorization sucks apparently. We all need to uderstand the meaning of the colors in the color bands on resistors, not just to memorize the values. There is a TON of memorization necessary in ham radio. Isn't proficient morse operation basically memorization?

Ryan

"James Bromley" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:ON6h7.21536$Ki1.1738950@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I posted:
> >> Typical &%#*$&#*! no-coder answer. They think they can slip
> >> through by memorizing four, 130-year-old equations and doing some
> >> straightforward mathematical tricks (also memorized).....
>
> Ryan, KC8PMX, responded:
> > So what is the answer then????? Lets see an outline of "proper" testing
> > procedures that would make you happy..... Please be specific.
>
> Oftentimes my attempts at tongue-in-cheek drollness and mathematical
> allusions get too obscure even for the initiated. The four equations are,
> of course, Maxwell's equations. And the 'straightforward mathematical
> tricks' are the entire body of vector and tensor calculus. Furthermore,
> wading through the tons of college textbooks on those subjects would
> put one well on the road to a graduate degree in EE - obvious overkill
> for a ham radio license and, it was hoped, a humorous commentary on
> the "license tests are dumbed-down" threads.
>
> I tend to be a fundamentalist in regards to licensing requirements. I
> believe those requirements should be continuously reviewed for relevance
> to the singular reason for licensing of any kind - to protect the rights
> of others. In my view, it is reasonable to ask that someone who is
> licensed to operate a 1500-watt transmitter that can be home-constructed
> and tuned to any frequency have a certain familiarity with radio theory
> and regulations. But it is unreasonable to require a high degree of
> skill using manual radiotelegraphy when there is no evidence that lack
> of that skill impacts other users of the radio spectrum.
>
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>

>
>

1498. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:43 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <nPAh7.151$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17742

The problem is that people say one thing and do the opposite. By that I mean, they may say they will be there to help you, but when you call them on it, they are no where to be found.

Ryan

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010823110730.26264.00002248@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >As far as Elmers..... good luck finding them....Everything I know or think
> >I know about ham radio is through self-teaching and research.
> >
> >Ryan
>
> Well Ryan I guess in your neck of the woods you have a smaloler ham community I
> my area the clubs I have been to have a large number of hams that will elmer
> new hams. We even have a large number of older hams there are very fast at CW
> that help with all sorts of events. One of them is 92 years old and one of the
> nicest hams you can meet. At least Hames like Bruce and Richard are not the
> norm.

1499. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:46 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <qPAh7.152$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17743

I harbor no ill feelings personally about anyone in this particular newsgroup. I just enjoy good conversations and debates. But of course things always seem to slide towards the insult direction. I may or may not disagree with someone, but I don't dislike them. Nor will I act like the CB'er mentality seen if someone says something bad to me in a newsgroup. Just shrug it off and move on is how I deal with it.

Ryan

. At least Hames like Bruce and Richard are not the
> norm.

1500. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:47 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <rPAh7.153$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17744

Nope.... just some of the people around here where I live think that. I
don't.

Ryan

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010822175824.21541.00004266@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net
>
> >Unless there is some chance the all-powerfull, better
> >than god himself ARRL is wrong.
>
> Uh oh, another "ARRL is God" conspiracy theorist. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1501. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:48 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <sPAh7.154$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17745

Sure... lets see a "stick" transmission in something like a Cadillac Deville
or whatever those are called, or a Lincoln Town car! :)

Ryan

"Larry Stanton" <pluto@4motion.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0108230741550.27274-100000@4motion.org...
> On 22 Aug 2001, Anton Elron wrote:
>
> > The True Ham Builds.
> >
> > Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
> >
> > Your suggestions welcome.
>
> Real men drive manual transmissions. If you don't drive a manual,
> you shouldn't be driving at all, and your drivers license should
> be revoked until you learn how to drive a manual transmission and
> dump your Buick for a real car, one with a manual transmission,
> hopefully a foreign car, to repent for your years of senseless
> patronization of the inferior American auto industry.
>
> Your comments?
>
> --Larry
>

1502. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-24 22:46:52 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <wPAh7.155$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 17746

True, but they way I view this issue is, if you didn't even know Ham Radio existed or just plain unaware, then how are you going to be getting involved? (i.e. study, take test, get licensed etc.) Whoever, be it the ARRL, or other organizations, need to promote the hobby service, to get it into the spotlight to even get that glint of curiosity to find out more about it. I got interested about 16-18 years ago because I was into scanners and SWL'ing. At least there is a link between the two. I am sure there are some wonderful people out there that may have the aptitude towards this hobby service we belong to, but just aren't aware. I also didn't wait for someone to come to me either. I did the research myself.

Gee, maybe we should have our own troops of recruiters go door-to-door like the Jewhovas Witnesses or the Mormon boys on tenspeeds do?? (JUST KIDDING!)

Ryan

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message news:9m1gli$s40$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> You know how I found out about ham radio? In 1959 I was into model
> rocketry. I saw an add for a war surplus transponder unit that was small
> enough to fit inside a rocket nosecone. I sent for info on it. I was told
> that in order to operate the unit I needed a FCC Amateur Radio License of
> Technician class or higher.
>
> No one came hunting for me.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010822181221.21541.00004271@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > >From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
> >
> > >Why even have to search for kids day. If a ham does not about kids day
> they
> > >will never learn about it form the web site. The ARRL should put a banner
> at
> > >the top of the web listing listing comming events at least 90 days ahaed
> of
> > >time.
> >
> > Unfortunately, there are as many ideas about what should be available as

a
> > banner at the top of the ARRL web site as there are hams with ideas.
Our
> Tech
> > Info guy thinks that the TIS pages should be on that banner.
> >
> > While I don't think that the ARRL's web page is the easiest to search, I
> can't
> > think of any really good ways to give access to thousands of pages by
> putting
> > each one on a banner.
> >
> > >My club did a kids day station at a local mall. I got the idea almost
two
> > >years ago. I statred to approach local mall 6 months ahead of time.
That
> was
> > >hardy enough time. By the way it worked rather well.
> >
> > Great. That is just the sort of thing that will help bring new blood
into
> > Amateur Radio.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

1503. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 00:03:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824200324.03183.00004468@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 17747

In article <lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "Green Hornet"
<greenhornet@kato.com> writes:

>This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
>consensus.

Why?
>
> How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
>10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
>course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!

I use only multiband HF rigs.
>
>Please Cast your Vote ......

There you go.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1504. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 00:23:43 UTC
From: GAR GARIGUE <garigue@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B86FD46.B1ACC2EC@home.com>
Source record: 17748

non sequitur 73 KI3R

Larry Stanton wrote:
>
> On 22 Aug 2001, Anton Elron wrote:
>
> > The True Ham Builds.
> >
> > Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
> >
> > Your suggestions welcome.
>
> Real men drive manual transmissions. If you don't drive a manual,
> you shouldn't be driving at all, and your drivers license should
> be revoked until you learn how to drive a manual transmission and
> dump your Buick for a real car, one with a manual transmission,
> hopefully a foreign car, to repent for your years of senseless
> patronization of the inferior American auto industry.
>
> Your comments?
>
> --Larry

1505. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 00:52:05 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824205205.01447.00001285@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17749

How has it been destroyed?

1506. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 00:53:03 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824205303.01447.00001286@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17801

We no Bruce has been pounding and it is not brass.

1507. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 00:54:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B86F728.B4608F31@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17750

GH wrote:
>
> Yeah, the pirates operating illegally on
> the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band
> are rebels, I think they started the
> Civil War. I think the Civil War was all
> about the pirates operating illegally on
> the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band.

Are you having fun, GH?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1508. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 00:58:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824205822.01447.00001287@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 17802

Hey I got the name. I can't believe these guys didn't get the meaning. Very funny though, By the way I do date, I guess I am one of the few that gets out of the ham shack.

73
John

1509. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:11:38 UTC
From: Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0108242111030.32510-100000@4motion.org>
Source record: 17803

On 23 Aug 2001, Anton Elron wrote:

> I have a foreign car but it has a manual transmission _and_ a Buick engine.

Sounds like a real "Back-woods Special"!

--Larry

1510. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:25:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824212543.17590.00005040@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17804

>We no Bruce has been pounding and it is not brass.
>
>

Was you peaking, I knew some of you Calif boys had that thing going on, are you sure your not from San Fran?

1511. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:26:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824212625.17590.00005041@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17805

>How has it been destroyed?
>
>

Im sure wouldnt understand

1512. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:36:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010824213641.20221.00008080@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17807

In article <20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
>"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
>I think you have been had.
>LOL, ROFLMAO

"Connie Lingus" sounds like an Irish girl who likes her licker!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1513. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:36:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010824213641.20221.00008081@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17808

In article <20010823212417.29466.00006243@mb-mn.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Just shoe Richard know, Spread spectrum is mnot somethng you put on a
>sandwich.
>

Whew! Now that we know what Spread spectrum is mnot, what
mis it?

Waiter! I'll have a Spread Spectrum on whole wheat, please!

Sorry, sir! You do mnot put Spread Spectrum on a sandwich!
Just shoe you know!

OK, I get it. Spread Spectrum is shoe polish! Put some on your
shoes, and you'll be hopping like crazy!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1514. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:36:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010824213642.20221.00008082@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17806

In article <20010823212218.29466.00006240@mb-mn.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Come on Bruse you can do better than that.
>

Yeah, Bruse, come on! Do better than that, will ya?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1515. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:36:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010824213642.20221.00008083@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17809

In article <20010823212136.29466.00006239@mb-mn.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Your are such a hot shot. why don't you turn in your ticket, then go to a VE
>sesion and try and pass all the test again. You better be fast, they are
>going
>to change the extra pook at the first of the year.

How much "pook" are they using now, if they're changing to extra pook after the first of the year? I know what a VE is, but what the heck is a "VE sesion?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

1516. Re: best computer code learning program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 01:36:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010824213642.20221.00008084@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17810

In article <20010823212824.29466.00006245@mb-mn.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>I used Nu Morse lite which was ok. The best help I got was with a Morse Code
>Tutor sold by MFJ. That gave me the option of practicing anywhere I went.
>

When I was learning the code, I got an HF rig, power supply, antenna, and a Heathkit electronic keyer with capacitive "touch" paddles. Went from five to 20+ WPM in less than 3 months. Morse/CW is a two-way communication mode. Nothing works better than on-the-air operational practice. Try it. You won't be disappointed. Another hint: For best results, if your HF rig comes with a microphone, take it out to the back yard and bury it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1517. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 02:05:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8707D5.847A5EEC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17811

CAM wrote:
>
> No, Jim, you missed the point. Dwight
> used two different words in the same
> sentence that he says meant the same
> thing. I didn't think they meant the
> same thing and thus assumed he was
> changing the context of the sentence
> in the middle. It apparently was a
> mistake but a mistake is not the same
> thing as a contradiction.

That is simply not true, Cecil. Read the sentence again...

Assuming that you purchased the
marijuana in the normal way (the
way most people get their illegal
drugs), what about the people you
bought the marijuana from?

Two things were INCLUDED in that sentence to make it very clear exactly what was being asked - "what about the people you BOUGHT marijuana from." To clarify exactly what the word "bought" meant in that question, it was prefaced with two explanations ("assuming that you purchased marijuana in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs").

You did not dispute any of that at the time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was being asked. Or are you now saying that you answered that question falsely back then - answered it without understanding exactly what was being asked?

> I have dinner at noon. It may be you
> who is confused, especially since you
> don't even know what the argument is
> about.

It seem to me that you're the one claiming confusion (and/or ignorance) in this discussion, Cecil. Hasn't you argument all along been that you were confused over the meaning of a simple, everyday, English language word (duh, they don't say it that way in Texas), so you didn't understand a simple question?

If we are to believe your argument that "bought" in Texas really means "bartered, not purchased with cash, then we can assume the only answer in Texas to the question, "I heard you bought a new car," is

"yep, I traded a cow for it." Sorry, but I don't buy it. Texans are not that stupid, and you're not that stupid.

Anyway, this will be the last night I will post messages concerning this aspect of the discussion (the contradiction). There is no reason to drag this out any further (besides, I'm sure others are getting bored with all this). But, before I leave, I want to leave you (and others) with a simple reminder - everybody makes mistakes (myself included), but that does not mean the mistake is a lie (a common accusation in this newsgroup recently). And that is my two-bit lecture of the week.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1518. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 02:11:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B870954.B0B73D78@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17812

CAM wrote:
>
> Not in my mind, Dwight. It doesn't
> matter to the argument what you meant.
> What I thought it meant is what matters
> to the argument. I assumed that you,
> like me, had different definitions of
> "purchased" and "bought". Given that
> premise, you changed contexts when you
> switched from "purchased" to "bought".
> There's no reason to use two different
> words in the same sentence unless they
> have different meanings.

That is simply not true, Cecil. Read the sentence again...

Assuming that you purchased the
marijuana in the normal way (the
way most people get their illegal
drugs), what about the people you
bought the marijuana from?

Two phrases were INCLUDED in that sentence to make it very clear exactly what was being asked - "what about the people you BOUGHT marijuana from." To clarify exactly what the word "bought" meant in that question, it was prefaced with two explanations ("assuming that you purchased marijuana in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs"). You did not dispute any of that at the time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was being asked.

Finally, if we are to believe your argument that "bought" in Texas really means "bartered, not purchased with cash, then we can assume the only answer in Texas to the question, "I heard you bought a new car," is "yep, I traded a cow for it." Sorry, but I don't buy it. Most Texans know what the word "bought" means.

Anyway, this will be the last night I will post messages concerning this aspect of the discussion (the contradiction). But, before I leave, I want to leave you (and others) with a simple reminder - everybody makes mistakes (myself included), but that does not mean the mistake is a lie (a common accusation in this newsgroup lately). And that is my two-bit lecture of the week.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1519. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 02:15:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B870A18.3AA68F17@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17813

CAM wrote:
>
> I did NOT understand that's what
> you were doing, Dwight. I thought
> you were changing the context of
> the sentence when you switched
> from "purchased" to "bought". After
> all, there's no reason to use two
> different words in the same sentence
> unless they have different meanings.
>
> How can I possibly object to that
> meaning when I didn't know what
> the meaning was? Get real, Dwight. I
> made a mistake in definitions.
> That is not a contradiction.

Two phrases were INCLUDED in that sentence to make it very clear exactly what was being asked - "what about the people you BOUGHT marijuana from." To clarify exactly what the word "bought" meant in that question, it was prefaced with two explanations ("assuming that you purchased marijuana in the normal way" and "the way most people get their illegal drugs"). You did not dispute any of that at the time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was being asked.

Finally, if we are to believe your argument that "bought" in Texas really means "bartered, not purchased with cash, then we can assume the only answer in Texas to the question, "I heard you bought a new car," is "yep, I traded a cow for it." Sorry, but I don't buy it.

Anyway, this will be the last night I will post messages concerning this aspect of the discussion (the contradiction). No repeat of the two-bit lecture in this message.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1520. Re: Support freedom: Join a scouting rally on Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 02:26:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B870CC0.C32CC89E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bruce W wrote:
>
> The group Scouting for All (S4A) has
> scheduled rallies around the nation
> through Saturday to protest last
> year's 5-4 Supreme Court decision that
> allowed the BSA to prohibit homosexuals
> from participating (snip)

Gee, I'm so sorry you won't be able to get into the Boy Scouts to play around with the little boys. At least in that organization, for the short periods they're participating in the activities, those boys will be relatively safe from people like you. At least in that regard, we can all thank the Supreme Court for it's occasional good sense.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1521. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 02:33:58 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m72sq$o58$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 17815

"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9107AD57E7B36notthistimecom@206.141.192.32...
> "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> wrote in
> news:9m61i3$60i$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net:
>
> >
> > "Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message
> > news:87wv3ueyqm.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
> >> "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> > "Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >> > > Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> >> > > "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> >> > > I think you have been had.
> >> > > LOL, ROFLMAO
> >> >
> >> > I don't get it.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
> >> both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal of theirs. Does
> >> that help?
> >
> >
> > What are you talking about?
> >
>
> Hmmm. Here's the thing. Contrary to popular belief, the original
> post in all this wasn't merely a troll, it was set of beliefs I
> honestly felt to be true. And I've tried to step back in and
> converse further on it - not just sit back and enjoy the aftermath.
>
> It seems as tho - for such an overwhelmingly male population which
> makes up the world of ham radio - it's without a doubt the most
> asexual collection of males I've ever heard.
>
> I don't expect it to sound like a 900 line, but it sounds pretty
> outer-spacey to hear nary a mention of women.
>
> Annnnd - when Connie Lingus is met with a series of "'huh'??????" then
> perhaps the situation is even worse than I thought.
>

What situation?

K4DOH
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

1522. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:02:46 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0108242002.2a59b774@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17816

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20010823213859.25686.00000251@mb-cm.aol.com>...
> >
> >"QST" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
> >"CQ" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
> >"73" -- named after a TELEGRAPHER'S TERM.
> >
>
> Nothing wrong with that, I believe it's called "tradition." Take care and 73.
>
> Mark

Hmmm...
Mark Pearsal -- named Persal after his father? Most of us were
named after someone who may now be deceased....Its traditional.
...with all due respect...So what? As you say ...nothing wrong with
that.

Do you know that the telephone was not invented by Bell? Its
invention (analog audio over wires)was predicted by Helmholtz, the
German physicist and developed in Germany? BUT the private use of the
telephone spread much more rapidly in the USA than it did in Europe.
That is because the telegraph was well extablished in Europe and owned
by governments just like the post offices. The governments in Europe
did not want the telephone to compete with the existing telegraph!
The telegraph was seen as a formal business communication device for
sending hard copy messages before there was FAX. The private use of
telephones was not considered important in Europe at first. Even in
The USA they clung to the telegraph longer than necessary. I can
remember when I was hired by various American companies, they sent me
a Western Union telegram to officially document my being accepted.

TH TELEGAMS WERE FULL OF ERRORS STOP

Now cellular phones are more commonly used in some parts of Europe
than they are in the USA! The USA is no longer the world technology
leader that it once was! Progress can be slowed by politics,
economics, hobbies, and Luddites.

Doesn't AT&T still stand for American Telephone & TELEGRAPH, even
though the telegraph is now commercially obsolete? Just remember that
Kodak stands for nothing..The name Kodak means nothing. It was
selected because it could be pronounced in all languages and was not
being used by any other company.
There are no grapes or nuts in Grapenuts either.

Hams need MORE license classes.

QSL?
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Inventions Less Politics?)

Names used above may be registered trademarks of
companies which register trademarks.
--w2ilp--

1523. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:10:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87251B.37D35716@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17817

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> That is simply not true, Cecil. Read the sentence again...
>
> Assuming that you purchased the
> marijuana in the normal way (the
> way most people get their illegal
> drugs), what about the people you
> bought the marijuana from?
>
> Two phrases were INCLUDED in that sentence to make it very clear
> exactly what was being asked - "what about the people you BOUGHT
> marijuana from." To clarify exactly what the word "bought" meant in
> that question, it was prefaced with two explanations ("assuming that
> you purchased marijuana in the normal way" and "the way most people
> get their illegal drugs"). You did not dispute any of that at the
> time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was
> being asked.

I see that you, like Steve, need a logic lesson. "Assuming" logically
means the same thing as "if" and falls uner the rules of logic. The
"assuming" part was false and thus could be ignored. I ignored it.
I only responded to "... what about the people you bought the
marijuana from?"

> Finally, if we are to believe your argument that "bought" in Texas
> really means "bartered, not purchased with cash, then we can assume
> the only answer in Texas to the question, "I heard you bought a new
> car," is "yep, I traded a cow for it." Sorry, but I don't buy it. Most
> Texans know what the word "bought" means.

You simply don't understand. Here in Texas, "bought" means "acquired
through exchange". The exchange can be either money or something else.
Purchased means acquired by payment of money. (It's in the dictionary).
"Purchased" and "bought" overlap. "Bought" and "bartered" overlap.
"Purchased" and "bartered" don't overlap.

> Anyway, this will be the last night I will post messages concerning
> this aspect of the discussion (the contradiction). But, before I
> leave, I want to leave you (and others) with a simple reminder -
> everybody makes mistakes (myself included), but that does not mean the
> mistake is a lie (a common accusation in this newsgroup lately).

A mistake also doesn't mean that a contradiction exists. Hope you
enjoyed your journey down the primrose path, Dwight. I wondered

how long you were going to let me lead you around by the nose.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1524. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:14:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825001455.06482.00005244@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17818

>Come on Bruse you can do better than that.
>>
>
>Yeah, Bruse, come on! Do better than that, will ya?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, I dont what this Clown is talking about, but I will try and find this "Bruse" maybe he knows

1525. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:15:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B872658.946FD3D1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17819

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > How can I possibly object to that meaning when I didn't know what
> > the meaning was? Get real, Dwight. I made a mistake in definitions.
> > That is not a contradiction.
>
> Two phrases were INCLUDED in that sentence to make it very clear
> exactly what was being asked - "what about the people you BOUGHT
> marijuana from." To clarify exactly what the word "bought" meant in
> that question, it was prefaced with two explanations ("assuming that
> you purchased marijuana in the normal way" and "the way most people
> get their illegal drugs"). You did not dispute any of that at the
> time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was
> being asked.

Why should I waste time disputing something that was obviously false?
I simply ignored the obviously false part of your statement. My meaning
was clear. There was an implied "No" in my statement.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1526. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:20:59 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0108242020.42c47160@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17820

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010809032259.01701.00005113@nso-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <6fa2d22d.0108071117.3bac078@posting.google.com>, w2ilp@juno.com
> (Bob Wexelbaum) writes:
>
> >I don't know if you remember the news that came out after the Gulf War
> >was over. It turned out that the Iraquis had harmed no babies and the
> >reports that they had killed babies in Kuatti hospitals were nothing
> >but false propaganda.
>
>
> Bob:
>
> I don't remember that, because I never heard it. And I never heard it
> because it was never reported. And it was never reported, because it
> just wasn't so! The Iraqi Army did commit the atrocities which were
> well reported after the invasion, including killing *Kuwaiti* babies in
> their hospital nursery wards.
>
> >Obviously those false reports gave hawks a good reason to
> >intervene...even some of the folks who wouldn't enter a war to help
> >the oil lobby or the Jewish-American Israeli lobby.
>
> Well, the reports weren't false, and they were, indeed, good reason to
> intervene. Now, pray tell, just what do you suppose the United States
> should do to "intervene" in the present Middle East crisis? We have
> supported Israel in every conceivable way, and what do we have for
> thanks? A largely Jewish-owned and controlled media which has
> destroyed American culture with it's liberal, socialist propaganda! I am
> not an Anti-Semite, but I'm not blind to the facts, either! I believe that
> the poor opinion that the typical American angry white man has of
> Jews is largely well-deserved!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry...
There was a segment of "Sixty Minutes" devoted to this subject. Why
in the world would the Iraquis harm Kuwatti babies? I tend to believe
" Sixty Minutes" in this case. The woman who was responsible for
starting the false propaganda admitted that she was a liar. I believe
she originally lied in the U.N.

Yep...Propaganda can easily work on most people....even you Larry. I
don't blame you entirely because you probably don't watch "Sixty
Minutes". Read what social psychologist, Stanley Milgram wrote about

the subject of propaganda in general. I myself am surprised by the books that are now coming out about lies envolved with the Viet Nam war...I mean police action. The authors of the books admit that they did not have the guts to inform the press or the public about the real truth...because if they did they would be considered traitors.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignore Lying Propaganda)

1527. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:24:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87286B.B77AE02A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17821

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> That is simply not true, Cecil. Read the sentence again...
>
> Assuming that you purchased the
> marijuana in the normal way (the
> way most people get their illegal
> drugs), what about the people you
> bought the marijuana from?

I replied, I didn't purchase marijuana in the normal way (implied)
I bought it from my friends. Buying it from my friends is obviously
NOT the normal way. What is it about that that you don't understand?

> Two things were INCLUDED in that sentence to make it very clear
> exactly what was being asked - "what about the people you BOUGHT
> marijuana from." To clarify exactly what the word "bought" meant in
> that question, it was prefaced with two explanations ("assuming that
> you purchased marijuana in the normal way" and "the way most people
> get their illegal drugs").

The "assuming" part is false so there was no reason to respond to it.
My response implied that the first part was false.

> You did not dispute any of that at the time, which clearly suggests
> that you fully understood then what was being asked.

My answer implied a "NO!" My friends are NOT a normal way to obtain
marijuana. Why are you having such a comprehension problem?

> It seem to me that you're the one claiming confusion (and/or
> ignorance) in this discussion, Cecil. Hasn't you argument all along
> been that you were confused over the meaning of a simple, everyday,
> English language word (duh, they don't say it that way in Texas), so
> you didn't understand a simple question?

It is true that I was ignorant of the breadth of the definition of
"purchased" but the definition I was using is in the dictionary. I
admit I misunderstood what you meant but that does not indicate a
contradiction.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1528. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:41:32 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B871EA9.E4C89630@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17822

Are you? The on and on and on ongoing discussions have nothing to do
with the subject of the thread or ham radio.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> GH wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, the pirates operating illegally on
> > the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band
> > are rebels, I think they started the
> > Civil War. I think the Civil War was all
> > about the pirates operating illegally on
> > the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band.
>
> Are you having fun, GH?
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1529. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 04:44:22 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B871F54.4D5ABC35@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17823

The pirates operating illegally near the bottom end of the 40 meter ham band don't have any friends to sell them marijuana so they tried to purchase, buy, purloin, acquire, obtain, barter for, from some Yankees. I think that had something to do with starting the Civil War.

CAM wrote:

>
> I replied, I didn't purchase marijuana in the normal way (implied)
> I bought it from my friends. Buying it from my friends is obviously
> NOT the normal way. What is it about that that you don't understand?

1530. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 05:03:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8731A6.E9116CFE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17824

Bob Wexelbaum wrote:
>
> There was a segment of "Sixty Minutes"
> devoted to this subject. Why in the
> world would the Iraquis harm Kuwatti
> babies? I tend to believe "Sixty
> Minutes" in this case. The woman who
> was responsible for starting the
> false propaganda admitted that she
> was a liar. I believe she originally
> lied in the U.N.

Actually, the story was reported by more than "60 Minutes," Bob. In addition to that, I saw several reports about it on other news programs. As I understand it, the woman was not a nurse at the hospital as was first claimed, but was instead a relative of the Kuwaiti royal family. Furthermore, according to the reports, the staff at the hospital was able to account for all of the babies following the conflict (all alive and well).

It was great propaganda, but it was also completely false.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1531. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 05:10:11 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0108242110.5c938d97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17825

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B727100.93BB5278@IEEE.org>...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > We have
> > supported Israel in every conceivable way, and what do we have for
> > thanks? A largely Jewish-owned and controlled media which has
> > destroyed American culture with it's liberal, socialist propaganda! I am
> > not an Anti-Semite, but I'm not blind to the facts, either! I believe that
> > the poor opinion that the typical American angry white man has of
> > Jews is largely well-deserved!
>
> And don't forget, they wanted Jesus crucified. :-) You are at it
> again, Larry - condemning an entire group for the actions of a few.
> When are you going to grow up, learn to judge individuals, and change
> your collectivistic thought patterns?

According to the Christian Bible...
Jesus was a Jew. The first followers of Jesus were Jewish, as were the appostiles. Jesus was accepted as a Jewish leader (Rabbi) by the Sanhedran...which was a Jewish religious court...However he was NOT accepted as a G-d. At the last supper (a Jewish Passover dinner) Jesus spoke. He was turned over to the Romans who controlled Palestine at that time by a single Jewish man who had heard Jesus at the last supper. That man was Lazerus. That man did not know what the Romans would do to Jesus; he just wanted to collect a reward for turning Jesus in. None of the other Jews at the last supper were responsible for helping the Romans crusify Jesus. Some of them were his followers. Read the New Testament (Christian Bible) and then tell us who was responsible for making Jesus a martyr. There is no reference to Jesus in the Old Testament which is shared by both Jews and Christians, although some people say that the Old Testament predicts the coming of Jesus or a mesiah.
The Moslems believe that both Moses and Jesus were great prophets...but that there is no G-d save Alla.

I dunno...So don't blame me. I wasn't there and I did not benefit in any way for doing what Lazerus did. Heck there must be more logical reasons for hating us Jews....that is if you feel that you must hate Jews.

Hams need more license classes.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Israel's Lost Phrophet?)

The Jew of antiquity cannot tell a story in any other

way than mythically, for him an event is worth telling
only when it has been grasped into devine significance.
--Martin Buber "On Judaism"--

Spring Time for Hitler and Germany.
--Mel Brooks "The Producers"----

In my humble opinion the Christian Bible was written
(but not proof read) by ancient Jews. The few Jews,
who could read it might have became Christians.
In more recent times a Jew named Irving Berlin wrote
"G-d Bless America" and "I'm Dreaming of a White Christmas".
Mel Brooks, another Jew, wrote "The Producers".
I guess Jews will write whatever they can sell, just
like most savvy authors. I couldn't be a successful
author because nobody would want to read my sarcasm.
I dunno why being Jewish hasn't helped me much.
Not many Ham magazines will publish my poetry. ({:o))
--w2ilp--

1532. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 05:23:59 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B873660.40FA99AE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17826

CAM wrote:
>
> I see that you, like Steve, need
> a logic lesson. "Assuming" logically
> means the same thing as "if" and
> falls uner the rules of logic. The
> "assuming" part was false and thus
> could be ignored. I ignored it. I
> only responded to "... what about
> the people you bought the marijuana
> from?"

I don't need a lesson in junk logic (logic based on a false premise). You need to take another look at the definition of the word "assuming" in this context ("taken for granted; a given").

> You simply don't understand. Here in
> Texas, "bought" means "acquired
> through exchange".

Unless Texans use a dictionary that is different than the rest of the country, that is pure BS.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1533. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 05:39:52 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825013952.01573.00000892@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 17827

>VE is, but what the
>heck is a "VE sesion?"

A VE would be a volunteer examiner, A Volunteer examiner is a Licensed. Amateur Radio Operator, at least 18 years of age, who hold a General Class or higher Amateur
Radio License.

According to the Cambridge Dictionary:
A session is a period of time or meeting arranged for a particular activity .

Put them together and you have a VE Session, this is where you take your ham radio
exam.
>VE is, but what the
>heck is a "VE sesion?"

1534. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 06:10:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B874139.BC187D0A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17828

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Two phrases were INCLUDED in that
> > sentence to make it very clear
> > exactly what was being asked - "what
> > about the people you BOUGHT marijuana
> > from." To clarify exactly what the
> > word "bought" meant in that question,
> > it was prefaced with two explanations
> > ("assuming that you purchased
> > marijuana in the normal way" and "the
> > way most people get their illegal
> > drugs"). You did not dispute any of
> > that at the time, which clearly
> > suggests that you fully understood
> > then what was being asked.
>
> Why should I waste time disputing
> something that was obviously false? I
> simply ignored the obviously false part
> of your statement. (snip)

No, you want to ignore that NOW because it proves that you posted two statements that contradict each other. This (what you saying now, not the original contradiction) is all about credibility, Cecil. How can anyone in this newsgroup ever believe what you say if all you say is subject to change whenever it fits your needs? Can the meaning of anything you say be taken for granted as the truth if it can be changed whenever you want to apply a new definition to fit what you're saying at the moment? At this point, the answer is obviously not, which means what you say has no credibility behind it whatsoever. A simple, "Sorry, I made a mistake and posted a contradiction," when this all started, would have left your credibility intact.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1535. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 06:41:12 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825024112.15788.00003002@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 17829

I think she knows Big Johnson also

1536. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 10:40:59 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825064059.15039.00005512@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 17830

Hello Bob,

The interesting facts you've provided are duly noted, but tradition need not be rooted in historical accuracy, political correctness, or public popularity. I just happen to like the association of telegraphy with radio, regardless of it's origin and it's subsequent obsolescence. Take care and 73.

Mark

1537. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 11:11:52 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825071152.15039.00005516@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 17831

> Actually, the story was reported by more than "60 Minutes," Bob. In
>addition to that, I saw several reports about it on other news
>programs. As I understand it, the woman was not a nurse at the
>hospital as was first claimed, but was instead a relative of the
>Kuwaiti royal family. Furthermore, according to the reports, the staff
>at the hospital was able to account for all of the babies following
>the conflict (all alive and well).
>
> It was great propaganda, but it was also completely false.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
Hi Dwight,

It's been a while, how are you? I'm curious, how did you find out that it was false? You see, I caught the initial report but never any subsequent to that. You can reply here or by e-mail. Thanks. Take care and 73.

Mark

1538. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 12:36:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825083648.18662.00004137@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17832

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/24/2001 10:45 AM Central Daylight Time

>The ">"'s are not just alleged.

Cecil, GET A LIFE!

Everyone here knows who said what, INCLUDING your attempts to hide from
what YOU said.

Nuff said.

1539. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 12:39:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825083934.18662.00004138@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17833

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/24/2001 10:55 AM Central Daylight Time

>So you believe instead of making mistakes, I engage in word
>ploys? Sorry to dissapoint you, Steve, but I make my share
>of mistakes. It's not the first time that a word definition
>has regional differences. When do you eat dinner? I eat dinner
>at noon.

Congratulations. I hope it doesn't give you heart burn, regardless of
which hour of the day you consumed it.

But if you buy marijuana and get caught, you're screwed.

>No I don't, Steve. I have accepted that "purchased" has a meaning
>broader than I thought.

It took you long enough.

1540. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 12:44:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B879DA1.2B2307E0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17835

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/24/2001 10:45 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >The ">"'s are not just alleged.
>
> Cecil, GET A LIFE!
>
> Everyone here knows who said what, INCLUDING your attempts to hide from
> what YOU said.

Steve, please correct your attributes. One rotten apple can spoil
the whole barrel.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1541. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 12:45:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825084509.18662.00004139@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 17834

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/24/2001 11:05 AM Central Daylight Time

>> Absolutely NOTHING in any post I ahve made that included quotes from YOUR
>> posts is in any way, shape or form in doubt.

>That's an obvious lie.

Here we go again.

Cecil, I have come to realize that you have some really significant social skills deficits, the least of which are your pro-drug advocacy which presents a claer and present danger to your community.

Again, you are "obfuscating". You can't defend your "position" so you generate THIS thread of silliness.

Too bad...all that education MIGHT have done something constructive.

Guess it went up in a puff of pot smoke.

1542. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:00:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87A17A.24DEA637@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17836

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:

> Assuming that you purchased the
> marijuana in the normal way (the
> way most people get their illegal
> drugs), what about the people you
> bought the marijuana from?
>
> You did not dispute any of that at the time, which clearly suggests
> that you fully understood then what was being asked.

My reply implied a dispute, Dwight. Too bad you missed it. I said,
"I only bought marijuana from my friends". The implication clearly
was, "No, I only bought marijuana from my friends."

> Hasn't you argument all along
> been that you were confused over the meaning of a simple, everyday,
> English language word (duh, they don't say it that way in Texas), so
> you didn't understand a simple question?

Yep, that's right. Nobody's omniscient. Everybody is ignorant of
some definitions.

> If we are to believe your argument that "bought" in Texas really
> means "bartered, not purchased with cash, ...

Please stop the obfuscation, Dwight. I never said that. I said that
"bought" can mean either "bartered" or "purchased with cash". I wasn't
confused about the definition of "bought". I was confused about the
definition of "purchased". I thought a purchase required money.

> But, before I leave, I want to leave you (and
> others) with a simple reminder - everybody makes mistakes (myself
> included), but that does not mean the mistake is a lie (a common
> accusation in this newsgroup recently).

Sorry to disappoint you, Dwight, but I seem not to be the only one
having a problem with definitions. From Webster's:

"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY
NOT be believed true by the speaker."

Ergo, many mistakes ARE lies especially if they continue to be uttered
after the utterer has been corrected. So you are lying about what went
on in my mind even if you delude yourself into believing otherwise.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1543. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:03:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87A21C.80294114@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17837

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
> >No I don't, Steve. I have accepted that "purchased" has a meaning
> >broader than I thought.
>
> It took you long enough.

I thought I knew what the word meant. I was surprised when I looked
it up in the dictionary. It was an honest mistake, not a contradiction.

Please fix your attributions.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1544. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:06:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87A2D6.B4AB604D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17838

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Assuming that you purchased the
> marijuana in the normal way (the
> way most people get their illegal
> drugs), what about the people you
> bought the marijuana from?
>
> You did not dispute any of that at the
> time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was
> being asked.

The dispute was clearly implied. I said, "I ONLY bought marijuana
from my friends." The implication clearly was, "No, I bought marijuana
from my friends." The word "only" clearly indicates I was disputing
what you said.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1545. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:09:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87A377.ECC65E16@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17839

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:

> You did not dispute any of that at the
> time, which clearly suggests that you fully understood then what was
> being asked.

The word "only" in my reply is clearly a dispute, Dwight. I didn't
say, "I bought marijuana from my friends." I said, "I ONLY ever
bought marijuana from my friends." The meaning is clear. I was
disputing what you said.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1546. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:12:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87A450.D44E6A3E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17841

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >How has it been destroyed?
>
> Im sure wouldnt understand

I'm glad to hear you admit it.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1547. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:15:11 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.15f1b43f7deca87a989680@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 17840

In article <6fa2d22d.0108242110.5c938d97@posting.google.com>,
w2ilp@juno.com says...

At the last supper (a Jewish Passover dinner)
> Jesus spoke. He was turned over to the Romans who controlled
> Palestine at that time by a single Jewish man who had heard Jesus at
> the last supper. That man was Lazerus. That man did not know what
> the Romans would do to Jesus; he just wanted to collect a reward for
> turning Jesus in.

Perhaps you meant Judas? I am not familiar with this version of Jesus'
last dinner. The official transcript was that Judas was enticed by Pilot
for 30 pieces of silver and was paid in by Pilot in view of the city for
betraying Jesus, hence the term "Judas" referring to someone who betrays
a trust...

1548. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 13:26:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87A7A1.410BF7E9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17842

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/24/2001 11:05 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >> Absolutely NOTHING in any post I ahve made that included quotes from YOUR
> >> posts is in any way, shape or form in doubt.
>
> >That's an obvious lie.
>
> Here we go again.

It is an obvious lie, Steve. Anyone can look above and observe the
screwed up attributions. In case you don't know the definition of
a lie, here it is from Webster's:

"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that may or may not be
believed true by the speaker"

Why don't you just fix your attributions? What is your motive in
screwing up the attributions?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1549. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 15:22:28 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B87B49F.BE637931@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17843

Must be a pretty sorry life one leads if they have to smoke pot or take drugs to get a thrill.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/24/2001 11:05 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >> Absolutely NOTHING in any post I ahve made that included quotes from YOUR
> >> posts is in any way, shape or form in doubt.
>
> >That's an obvious lie.
>
> Here we go again.
>
> Cecil, I have come to realize that you have some really significant social
> skills deficits, the least of which are your pro-drug advocacy which presents a
> claer and present danger to your community.
>
> Again, you are "obfuscating". You can't defend your "position" so you
> generate THIS thread of silliness.
>
> Too bad...all that education MIGHT have done something constructive.
>
> Guess it went up in a puff of pot smoke.
>
>

1550. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 15:29:16 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gvPh7.25741$Ki1.2102934@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17844

Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote:

> Still didn't answer the request..... If the fact of memorization bothers
> you or others, lets see the actual structure of this new effective testing
> method.
>
> Gee.... memorization sucks apparently. We all need to uderstand the meaning
> of the colors in the color bands on resistors, not just to memorize the
> values. There is a TON of memorization necessary in ham radio. Isn't
> proficient morse operation basically memorization?
>
> Ryan

Geez, you still don't get it. It was a joke, Ryan.

I have nothing against memorization. As others have pointed out, a substantial part of each exam - frequency allocations, other rules & regs - can only be handled by memorizing the numbers. And the "analytical" parts are best handled by memorizing formulas, such as Ohm's law, and then manipulating them algebraically until solving for any term in the expression becomes second nature. It has been my experience with "novice" broadcast engineers (six-week wonders from 1st-'phone license mills) that memorized "right" answers can be a springboard to real understanding of radio theory.

Learning Morse code reception might be characterized as "memorization", but in a part of the mind that is very deep in the unconscious and close to reflexes and that sort of thing. If you think about what you're hearing in Morse code, you're dead in the water.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1551. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 16:03:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825120325.17422.00003035@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 17846

In article <3B865705.5ED3AC59@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3B853B7C.A35141B4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> >I am still unsure how to use "bartered" in
>> >a sentence. "Bartered from" just doesn't sound right.
>> >--
>>
>> One "barters with" or "trades with".
>
>I thought about that but this sentence doesn't sound right either.
>
>"I bartered oranges with my friends."

No.

"I bartered oranges for apples with my friends".
>
>That sounds like we traded oranges for oranges.

Because you don't say what the other item was.

>"I bought oranges
>from my friends" covers an exchange of either money or goods and
>sounded better in a sentence.

No, it doesn't. It deceives people. "Bought" in this context means "paid money
for" to everyone but you, Cecil.

Then there is the definition of "bought" (derived from "bought the farm") which
means "to die" as in "He bought it".

73 de Jim, N2EY

1552. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 16:03:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825120326.17422.00003036@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 17845

In article <3B863155.D82793EB@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> ARRRRR! Them's be fightin' words!
>>
>> Avast me hearties! Fire a broadside!
>>
>> 73 de "Long Jim" N2EY
>
>
> Excuse me, Captain Bligh, did you change your name?

Aye, matey, I be known by many names. Did ye landlubbers never read Treasure
Island?

> I thought it was
>"Long John," not "Long Jim."

That be another swabbo, me lad. ARRRR!!!!!

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Ho ho ho and a bottle of rum,
Santa's run off to the Carribean" - Jimmy Buffett

>

1553. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 16:03:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825120326.17422.00003037@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 17847

In article <ea82a75b.0108240903.6a0daf3e@posting.google.com>,
antonelron@hotmail.com (Anton Elron) writes:

>> How do you define "build"?
>>
>> Does it include kits?

> Yes if the kit isn't all soldered up already and aligned. You should
>need to solder, align,use test equipment and (unless you are a perfect
>builder) troubleshoot.

I've done all that.
>>
>> How about conversions of gear from other uses?
>
> Yes but the butchery inflicted on Mot, GE, Harris,Quintron and
>Continental transmitters and on HP,Tek,IFR test equipment by _some_
>hams is disgusting. Let's use quality workmanship and practices here
>folks. If you can't do it and make it look factory, leave it alone.

I disagree. If we expect perfection in all ham projects, people will be
intimidated. When a kid first gets a coloring book and a box of crayons, we
don't say "Unless you can stay inside the lines perfectly, leave it alone".
Sure, some folks can turn out a nice job the first time, but others need to
develop their skills. Developing skills is the name of the game.

Experience varies directly as equipment ruined.
>>
>> How about restoration of "basket case" equipment?

> Not exactly but a worthy and good endeavor. Give 'em credit.

Been there, done that.
>>
>> If someone buys a transceiver, power supply, antenna, tuner, mast,
>> cables, connectors, test equipment, accessories, furniture, etc.,
>> etc., and puts them all together into a working station, is that
>> "building"?

> Nooope.

Why not? Often the work involved in setting up an elaborate station and getting
it to function correctly exceeds the work required to build a simple
transceiver.
>
> Points given for writing new firmware for existing equipment though.
>6800 Assembler is not that esoteric folks!

Agreed - but not all rigs use it!

Building stuff is part of ham radio, but it's not the whole picture by any means. Our licenses are for operating, not building stuff.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1554. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 17:04:09 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <gjmfot0t4t4alr97q8iatusdq4h4fj2k84@4ax.com>
Source record: 17848

On 24 Aug 2001 21:02:46 -0700, w2ilp@juno.com (Bob Wexelbaum) wrote:

> TH TELEGAMS WERE FULL OF ERRORS STOP

Bartender, I'll have whatever he's having. Make it a double.

Bill, W7TI

1555. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 17:05:35 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <6mmfot06fa5avh1ju7hecum7bnqd3p5ous@4ax.com>
Source record: 17849

On 24 Aug 2001 21:20:59 -0700, w2ilp@juno.com (Bob Wexelbaum) wrote:

>The woman who was responsible for
>starting the false propaganda admitted that she was a liar.

Ancient saying: In war, the first casualty is Truth.

Bill, W7TI

1556. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 17:21:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87DE80.E57C5123@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17850

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >"I bought oranges
> >from my friends" covers an exchange of either money or goods and
> >sounded better in a sentence.
>
> No, it doesn't. It deceives people. "Bought" in this context means "paid money
> for" to everyone but you, Cecil.

Me and Webster's: "buy - 2. to acquire through exchange"
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1557. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 17:23:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B87DF2C.C97BD09D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17751

GH wrote:
> Must be a pretty sorry life one leads if they have to smoke pot or take drugs to
> get a thrill.

Marijuana use skyrocketed during Prohibition. It was outlawed in 1937
but the Supreme Court overturned that law in 1967. Wonder what happened
to all the people who went to jail during the time that unconstitutional
law was in force?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1558. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 18:25:15 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <f4Sh7.25933$Ki1.2129462@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17752

Just a quick note after our other discussion - took the HT to work (again, to fight boredom if it was slow) and - lo and behold, I actually talked with a YL on 440 FM last night! I think this is the whole total of 2 conversations with women on the air. Maybe I should go over this whole thread again and see if I've missed something ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9107AD57E7B36notthistimecom@206.141.192.32...
> "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> wrote in
> news:9m61i3$60i$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net:
>
> >
> > "Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message
> > news:87wv3ueyqm.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
> >> "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> > "Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >> > > Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> >> > > "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> >> > > I think you have been had.
> >> > > LOL, ROFLMAO
> >> >
> >> > I don't get it.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
> >> both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal of theirs. Does
> >> that help?
> > >
> > >
> > > What are you talking about?
> > >
> >
> > Hmmm. Here's the thing. Contrary to popular belief, the original
> > post in all this wasn't merely a troll, it was set of beliefs I
> > honestly felt to be true. And I've tried to step back in and
> > converse further on it - not just sit back and enjoy the aftermath.
> >
> > It seems as tho - for such an overwhelmingly male population which
> > makes up the world of ham radio - it's without a doubt the most
> > asexual collection of males I've ever heard.

>
> I don't expect it to sound like a 900 line, but it sounds pretty
> outer-spacey to hear nary a mention of women.
>
> Annnnd - when Connie Lingus is met with a series of "'huh'??????" then
> perhaps the situation is even worse than I thought.
>
> 73
> Connie
>

1559. Virus alert: AMP virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 19:18:54 UTC
From: Uber Hacker <uhack@hack.hack>
Message-ID: <3B87FA1E.4A19473A@hack.hack>
Source record: 17753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
You have just been infected by the AMP virus. After you close this
message, please delete all of the files on your hard drive.
```

1560. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 20:03:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825160345.25499.00003553@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 17755

In article <20010821013601.28971.00000602@ng-cr1.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>Why does CW make some one a better ham. IT DOES NOT.

It made me a better ham. Lots better.

Does that prove it MUST be a test element? Of course not.

>>older hams like you and me, who took 13- and 20-WPM code tests at
>>the FCC office. It is because we are better people than today's hams

Hmm - I took all of my tests except Novice at the FCC office, but I am one of "today's hams". I think.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1561. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 20:03:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825160345.25499.00003552@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 17757

In article <MPG.15f1b43f7deca87a989680@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam <nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:

> At the last supper (a Jewish Passover dinner)
>> Jesus spoke. He was turned over to the Romans who controlled
>> Palestine at that time by a single Jewish man who had heard Jesus at
>> the last supper. That man was Lazerus. That man did not know what
>> the Romans would do to Jesus; he just wanted to collect a reward for
>> turning Jesus in.
>
>
>Perhaps you meant Judas? I am not familiar with this version of Jesus'
>last dinner. The official transcript was that Judas was enticed by Pilot
>for 30 pieces of silver and was paid in by Pilot in view of the city for
>betraying Jesus, hence the term "Judas" referring to someone who betrays
>a trust...
>
Not exactly.

The high priest wanted Jesus out of the way. Judas, one of Jesus' disciples, betrayed Jesus for 30 pieces of silver.

But the high priest could not execute anyone - only the Romans could do that. Pontius Pilate was the Roman governor. He knew Jesus was not really guilty of anything, but he didn't want a riot on his hands, so he literally washed his hands of the affair and Jesus was executed.

Why did Judas do it? Some say for money, but a more logical answer is that Judas thought Jesus would perform a miracle in front of the high priests and be recognized as the messiah.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1562. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 20:03:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825160347.25499.00003555@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 17754

In article <3B85885F.D7DBAEFD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>To the best of my knowledge, my entire family has always been as
>poor as the slaves were

Nonsense. The slaves didn't even own themselves.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1563. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 20:03:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825160347.25499.00003554@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 17756

In article <3B858997.FC31BCF4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>It proves the war was about rebellion. If the South had not rebelled,
>slavery would probably have continued to exist past the date of the
>proclamation. That conclusion can be drawn from the Emancipation
>Proclamation applying only to the states in rebellion. So we are
>back where we started. The Civil War was not about slavery, it was
>about economics.

It was about the economics of slavery. Freeing the slaves was essentially the returning of stolen property (the slaves' lives) to the rightful owners (the slaves themselves).

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--

1564. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 20:03:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825160349.25499.00003556@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 17758

In article <3B865CBE.38804C20@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> No, Cecil, you missed the point. Dwight Stewart is right on the money. He
>found
>> you contradicting yourself.
>
>No, Jim, you missed the point.

No, Cecil, YOU missed the point.

>Dwight used two different words in the same
>sentence that he says meant the same thing.

The way they were used, they do mean the same thing. Dwight nailed ya, Cecil.

>I didn't think they meant
>the same thing and thus assumed he was changing the context of the
>sentence in the middle.

He wsn't. You contradicted yourself.

> It apparently was a mistake but a mistake is
>not the same thing as a contradiction.
>
It can be.

>> Obtaining illegal drugs is a crime.
>
>There's no argument over that, Jim. I don't know why you keep bringing
>it up.

Because it's one of the points that you want to avoid.
>
>> It's what you wrote that matters. Your claim that "bought' and "purchased"
>have
>> significantly different meanings is totally bogus.
>
>It's not bogus, Jim, it was simply a mistake.

Then you agree that "bought" and "purchased" have similar meanings when used as
they were usd in those posts..

> I thought that "purchase"
>meant to buy something using money.

It does.

> That's the way it is used in this
>part of the country.

What is "this part of the country"?

>I didn't know "purchase" had such a broad definition
>until I looked it up in the dictionary after I had made the statements.

Uh huh

>Since Dwight didn't define the words he was using, I assumed he was
>using the same definitions as I was. That was a mistake but wasn't a
>contradiction.

Sure it was. Both of them.
>
>> Do you think the term "drug
>> buy" can mean a bartering arrangement?
>
>Yes, but when I wrote those statements I thought a "drug purchase"
>could only be done with money.

Unless specifically specified otherwise, "bought" and "purchased" mean to
obtain in exchange for money (in any form).
>
>> >"Dinner" for me does not mean the same thing as "dinner" for you.
>>
>> You don't know what "dinner" means for me, unless you can read my mind.
>
>I assumed that since you are from 2-land, dinner would be in the PM.

I am not from 2-land. I have a 2-land call. You claim to be able to read my
mind but you don't even know where I'm from.

>Guess I should have said, "Dinner" for me may not mean the same thing
>as "dinner" for you.

That's true for anyone you talk to.

> I notice that you dodged the real answer.
>
What real answer?

Breakfast is the meal eaten in the morning
Lunch is the meal eaten around noon
Supper is the meal eaten in the early evening (typically 6 pm, but the time can
vary)
Depending on the speaker, dinner is either supper or lunch

>> >In my
>> >mind, a "purchase" requires cash and a "buy" does not require cash.
>>
>> Maybe. Or maybe you simply don't want to admit that ol' Dwight nailed your
>> contradictions bigtime.
>

>If you will go over the postings given what I believed to be the definitions
>at the time of the postings, you will see what happened.

I did. Dwight nailed you in a contradiction, bigtime. It was beautiful.

>I was mistaken about
>the breadth of a definition but the definition I was using is a valid one
>from the dictionary.
>
No it isn't.

>> It's what you wrote that matters. Your claim that "bought' and "purchased"
>have
>> significantly different meanings is totally bogus.
>
>I don't claim that anymore, Jim.

OK, fine. Dwight wins.

>But that is what I believed when I wrote
>those statements.

Maybe.

> I was mistaken about the definition of "purchased".

uh-huh

>But
>a mistake is a far cry from a contradiction.

Not in this case, it isn't.
>
>> No, I completely GOT the point that you are trying to evade. You paid for
>> illegal drugs. The rest is details.
>
>I freely admit that I bartered for illegal drugs, Jim. You are confused if
>you think that is the argument. The argument *IS* over the details.

No it isn't.
>
>> > I bought (bartered) marijuana from
>> >my friends. I freely admit that it is the same morally, ethically, and
>> >legally as paying money for it.
>>
>> Then what's the beef?
>
>See, you admit that you are confused about the point of the argument.

No I don't.

>The beef is whether Dwight is allowed to engage in mind fornication
>and install a definition in my mind that didn't exist when I made
>a statement.

He isn't doing that at all. You are.

He still nailed ya bigtime.
>
>> >What we are arguing over is the validity
>> >of my statements involving purchased (for cash) and bought (bartered).
>>
>> "Buy" doesn't mean "traded". Sorry, Dwight gotcha.
>
>From Websters: "buy - to acquire through exchange" Who got who?

He got you.
>
>> >"purchase - to acquire by the payment of money"
>> >"buy - to acquire by exchange"
>>
>> Horsepucky.
>
>You are saying that Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary is
>"Horsepucky", Jim. I guess you are entitled to that opinion.

I'm saying that your whole line of argument is horsepucky. I don't think
anybody has bothered to call your bluff and see if there even is a "Webster's
New Universal Unabridged Dictionary".
>
>> >Those are the definitions I was using when I wrote the statements.
>>
>> Nonsense.
>
>I have never purchased marijuana for money.

Maybe. Maybe not.

> I bought some once with pizza.
>
You traded pizza for some. Maybe.

>> >In my mind, a buy can be a barter.
>>
>> Only in your mind.
>
>From Websters: "buy - to acquire through exchange"

Horsepucky.
>
>> > I bought marijuana from my friends.
>>
>> Say that to any number of English-speaking people, and they will think you
>paid
>> money for it.
>
>It could have been money but it wasn't. It was pizza.

Maybe. Or maybe you made it all up.
>
>> >I didn't purchase marijuana (for cash). I bought (bartered) marijuana
>> >from my friends.
>>
>> Bull.
>
>Nope, Jim, that is exactly what happened.

Maybe. Maybe not. Dwight still nailed ya bigtime.
>
>> >> >I didn't use the same word in both places for good reason. Each of
>those
>> >> >words have slightly different meanings, at least to a Texan.
>> >>
>> >> Nonsense.
>
>You are obviously ignorant of Texans.

Not at all.

>When do you have dinner, Jim?

I don't.
>
>> >I'm so glad that you are telepathic, Jim, so you can read my mind and
>> >determine what definitions I was using when I used certain words.
>>
>> You say I'm confused and that I don't know what dinner is.

Or even where I'm from.
>
>I have dinner at noon.

Then when do you have lunch? Midnight?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1565. Re: Virus alert: AMP virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-25 21:15:58 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <25980b422ab6b9affe9b299dc2db627b@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 17759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Uber Hacker" <uhack@alt.net> wrote in message
news:3B87FA1E.4A19473A@hack.hack...
> You have just been infected by the AMP virus. After you close this
> message, please delete all of the files on your hard drive.

Har har har har...kiddie scripts...har har har har....please pull yer head
outta yer ass sot mick...uber wannabee...har har har har...

1566. Re: She has more curves than an interstate highway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 00:46:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OFXh7.61365$gj1.5666324@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17760

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B81969C.AA147B3C@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Someone I know in the business told me that Mariah's voice has to
> > > be electronically brought on key before mixing to a master.
> >
> > Less so with Mariah than with most. It's a common practice now that it
> > is so easily done.
>
> Egads, if they had done that for Johnny Cash, he would never have
> been popular.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>

Add Willie Nelson to that list.

1567. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 00:53:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XLXh7.61398$gj1.5667348@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17761

what the hell is yours? You may read the group in threads, I don't. If you do not include some of what you are replying to you are having a QSO with yourself.

Dick N&#65533;BK

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010822143338.29523.00005128@mb-mn.aol.com...
> What's your point

1568. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:17:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010825211711.09419.00003428@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17763

In article <beb0a48b.0108241115.100e7cb4@posting.google.com>, kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>
>I guess since this is r.r.a.p., I should mention something about AR.
>Ladder line...good, no good? Is it better than coax? Will one incur
>less losses if the antenna is resonant and one uses ladder line?
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN

Ladder line good. Me likeum ladder line. (Just a little Native Americkan lingo to show my diversity!)

73 de Larry, K3LT

1569. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:17:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010825211712.09419.00003430@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17762

In article <3B867C64.DC0326A2@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> They may not have had any choice in the matter, but the
>> effect on the perception of them as hams is still the same.
>
>So my three year old grandson is responsible for the ills
>of ham radio. Sure he is, Larry.

Cecil:

This makes much less sense than your usual postings. You been
taking lessons from Kim, or what?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1570. Re: Support freedom: Join a scouting rally on Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:17:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010825211712.09419.00003429@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17765

In article <3B862A1B.7B11454F@lamvda.org>, Bruce W <bw2310@lamvda.org> writes:

>
>The group Scouting for All (S4A) has scheduled rallies around the nation
>through Saturday to protest last year's 5-4 Supreme Court decision that
>allowed the BSA to prohibit homosexuals from participating in its
>organization. This is the second year the group has organized such
>rallies. Please find the location of the rally nearest to you and
>participate this Saturday. Both you and America will be glad that you
>supported freedom of choice and religion for each and every Scout.
>

Bruce:

I will not be participating in any such rally. I thoroughly agree with the Supremes decision, and support it fully. I have nothing personally against homosexuals, however, and feel that if you wish to have scouting-like activities available to homosexual boys and girls, then you have every right to form your own scouting-like organization outside of the auspices of the Boy Scouts of America. I realize that homosexuality is as old as man, but a cursory examination of the form and function of male and female anatomical design will disclose the truth that homosexuality was not meant to be the "normal" lifestyle for our species. Homosexuality is an abnormality of the human mind, not a normal way of human life. I have no problem with homosexuals grouping together to provide scouting activities for homosexual boys, but I don't want them in the same tent with one of my 14 year old nephews -- for the same reason I don't want teenage heterosexual males in the same tent with one of my teenage nieces. In other words, I will "tolerate" your abnormality, but I will not tolerate you forcing it upon others in order to advance your self-serving political agenda.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1571. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:17:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010825211713.09419.00003431@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 17764

In article <3B86C95D.7D664B3@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> attributes as a radio amateur is entirely up to them. If they become
>> active, proficient Morse/CW users, and demonstrate the traditional
>> curiosity, learning ability, and technical competence, and sound
>> operating practices of a classic radio amateur, they will not suffer
>> personally from the fact that they ascended during the Post-Restructuring
>> era.
>
> Yikes, Larry! Are you suggesting that we *nust* becaome "active,
>proficient CW users" to cut the mustard?

Mike:

Yes, you nust becaome an active, proficient CW user to cut the mustard.
In order to become a well-rounded radio amateur, you must become
reasonably proficient at CW, with 20 WPM being the traditionally
acceptable standard. It has been proven that people from all walks of
life can achieve this level of proficiency, so you should not have any
problem.

>I've got the other parts down
>pretty pat, I'm having a good time on psk31, am working on homebrewing
>radio accessories, and am going to give sstv a try after a bit. I want
>to try EME at some point. It's a little dissapointing that it looks like
>I'll be a loser in yer eyes.

I don't recall saying that you, personally, were a loser. Keep one thing
in mind -- EME is almost always done in CW -- albeit at very slow speeds.

> Is a ham who does 25 wpm and not much else better in your eyes than a
>ham who does everything but? not trying to strawman you here, but I'd
>like to get your position a bit clearer.

Nope. A ham who does 25 wpm but not much else is not better or worse
than any other ham. However, I believe in and support the notion of radio
amateurs having proficiency in CW, in order to exploit the many benefits
and advantages of that mode. Therefore, I would not condemn him if
that's all he's doing.

> And don't get me wrong, I'm pro Morse code, I'm just surprised you
>would narrow your zone of acceptability that much.

My "zone of acceptability" is pretty broad, but it demands that a person who wishes to be considered a well-rounded ham have some useful communications skills other than passively yakking into a microphone. Morse/CW really does "get through when all else fails" in spite of the wishful thinking of those
who call this a "Morse myth." I have had the robust qualities of this mode proven to me over and over again during my 20 year ham career. I am a "True Believer." My postings in this newsgroup will always reflect this.

>> will take the heat. It's entirely up to them. However, the one thing they
>> can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
>> Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
>> licensing standards.

> Well, I guess we'll just have to live with the shame! (big grin)

I never said it was a matter of shame, but you certainly will have to live with the lack of distinction as an Extra class ham compared to those of us who achieved that status in the Pre-Restructuring era. However, how you, personally, are perceived as a ham depends entirely on what you do and how you do it. My opinion is my own; it is not the only one, and I don't insist on blind agreement. I offer it merely to set an example.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1572. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:27:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B88507D.ACE5B088@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17766

GH wrote:
>
> Are you? The on and on and on ongoing
> discussions have nothing to do
> with the subject of the thread or
> ham radio.

Absolutely! The discussion of a wide range of topics in this newsgroup is a tradition that goes back years. And that should not be overly surprising considering that this is one of the few newsgroups where ham operators can talk to other ham operators about these issues (do remember that we have more in our lives than just radio).

Of course, if these discussions really bother you, you are certainly free not to participate. There are plenty of other threads in this newsgroup that center solely on radio discussion. Ignore these threads and participate in those others instead.

Take care, GH.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1573. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:32:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8851AC.58E3CB9F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17767

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > It proves the war was about rebellion.
> > If the South had not rebelled, slavery
> > would probably have continued to exist
> > past the date of the proclamation. That
> > conclusion can be drawn from the
> > Emancipation Proclamation applying only
> > to the states in rebellion. So we are
> > back where we started. The Civil War
> > was not about slavery, it was about
> > economics.
>
> It was about the economics of slavery.
> Freeing the slaves was essentially the
> returning of stolen property (the slaves'
> lives) to the rightful owners (the
> slaves themselves).

No, slavery was just an easy target. If slavery had not existed,
Lincoln would have found something else to use against the confederacy
and we would be arguing about that today instead of the slavery issue.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1574. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:34:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <t8kgotc74jk60g2q52pffvc3rjfbkkddj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 17768

On 26 Aug 2001 01:17:13 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>Morse/CW really does "get through when all else fails" in spite of the wishful thinking of
>those who call this a "Morse myth."

Used to be true, but not any more. Digital signal processing will get
through when the signals are literally not audible to the human ear.

If Morse was the best mode for weak signals, NASA would be using it to
retrieve data from their deep space probes. They don't.

In this day and age, brass pounding skills are waning; keyboard skills
are on the rise. Might as well spend one's time learning something
useful outside ham radio as well.

Bill, W7TI

1575. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 01:51:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B88560D.A6B078D7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17769

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > ARRRRR! Them's be fightin' words!
> > >
> > > Avast me hearties! Fire a broadside!
> > >
> > > 73 de "Long Jim" N2EY
> >
> > Excuse me, Captain Bligh, did you
> > change your name?
>
> Aye, matey, I be known by many names.
> Did ye landlubbers never read Treasure
> Island?
>
> > I thought it was
> >"Long John," not "Long Jim."
>
> That be another swabbo, me lad. ARRRR!!!!!

Sorry for the mistake. All you pirates look the same to me. It might help matters if you guys shopped at different stores, trimmed those sloppy beards, and stopped wearing those silly, out of date, swords. And finding a woman might do wonders for your disposition (I think you've all spent way too much time with those cannons). ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1576. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 02:16:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B885BED.837F32D7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17770

Mark Pearsal wrote:
>
> > Actually, the story was reported
> > by more than "60 Minutes," Bob. In
> > addition to that, I saw several
> > reports about it on other news
> > programs. As I understand it, the
> > woman was not a nurse at the hospital
> > as was first claimed, but was instead
> > a relative of the Kuwaiti royal
> > family. Furthermore, according to the
> > reports, the staff at the hospital
> > was able to account for all of the
> > babies following the conflict (all
> > alive and well).
> >
> > It was great propaganda, but it was
> > also completely false.
>
> Hi Dwight,
>
> It's been a while, how are you? I'm
> curious, how did you find out that it was
> false? You see, I caught the initial
> report but never any subsequent to that.

Sorry for the misleading closing comment, Mark. I was agreeing with
Bob Wexelbaum's comment about the earlier reports of babies being
killed in Kuwait. It was great propaganda, but, according to the later
reports, it was also completely false. And, to my knowledge, nobody
has come forward to this day with evidence to dispute those later reports.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1577. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 02:24:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B885DF3.70F37C3D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17771

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> They may not have had any choice in the matter, but the
> >> effect on the perception of them as hams is still the same.
> >
> >So my three year old grandson is responsible for the ills
> >of ham radio. Sure he is, Larry.
>
> This makes much less sense than your usual postings. You been
> taking lessons from Kim, or what?

It certainly makes sense given your attitudes toward newcomers.
When my three year old grandson gets his ham license, you are
obviously going to look down on him. He's going to ask you, "What
did I do to deserve your low perception of me? I had absolutely
no choice except to meet the requirements existing in 2020."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1578. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 02:32:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B885FA0.1A19FAF3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17772

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> ... EME is almost always done in CW -- albeit at very slow speeds.

The EME digital modes have run circles around CW using low power
and small antennas.

> Morse/CW really
> does "get through when all else fails" in spite of the wishful thinking of
> those who call this a "Morse myth."

So when all other modes fail on 10m at the low of the sunspot cycle, CW
still gets through? Dream on, Larry. BTW, PACTOR II gets through when the
CW signal cannot even be heard.

> I am a "True Believer."

Yes, you are, Larry, exactly as described in the book by that name.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1579. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 02:37:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8860BD.C47DD562@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17773

CAM wrote:
>
> Please fix your attributions.

What's wrong with Steve's attributions, Cecil? Looking solely at the message you replied to, they look fine to me. Besides, since just about every newsreader does it slightly different, it's not like there are hard rules in place for this.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1580. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 02:46:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B886315.4AAEA4C0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17774

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Please fix your attributions.
>
> What's wrong with Steve's attributions, Cecil? Looking solely at the
> message you replied to, they look fine to me. Besides, since just
> about every newsreader does it slightly different, it's not like there
> are hard rules in place for this.

Note the attributions in this posting. There is no ">" by your name
which means that all of the text containing one ">" was written by
you. My initials, CAM, have one ">" before them indicating that
everything containing two ">"'s was written by me. This is the
standard that netnews has used for more than a decade. Steve has
chosen to violate that standard which, in my mind, is unethical.

If Steve had posted this instead of you it would look like this:

> > CAM wrote:
> > Please fix your attributions

That indicates that I didn't write the next sentence and instead
wrote everything starting with three ">"'s. When someone accesses
Google/dejanews a year from now, my attributions will be false.

Since Steve is originating the false attributions, I think he, as
the perp, should be the one who fixes them. Why should I have to
fix Steve's attributions every time I reply to one of his postings?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1581. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 02:56:49 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B885780.A132F7E5@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17775

At least my posting have related to the original subject of this thread. I don't believe I have ever seen a thread go off on so many completely unrelated tangents.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> Absolutely! The discussion of a wide range of topics in this
> newsgroup is a tradition that goes back years. And that should not be
> overly surprising considering that this is one of the few newsgroups
> where ham operators can talk to other ham operators about these issues
> (do remember that we have more in our lives than just radio).
>
> Of course, if these discussions really bother you, you are certainly
> free not to participate. There are plenty of other threads in this
> newsgroup that center solely on radio discussion. Ignore these threads
> and participate in those others instead.
>
> Take care, GH.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1582. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 03:13:34 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010825231334.25678.00000550@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17776

>Ladder line good. Me likeum ladder line. (Just a little Native
>Americkan lingo to show my diversity!)
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Hi Larry,

Native tongue notwithstanding, my two element wire quad was "flexed" to death by two conspirators, my trees and a very windy storm. I'm thinking about putting up a wire delta quad (still 2 el.) and I want to try my hand at deeding the driven element with ladder line. (The stuff you have to assemble, marketed as "true" ladder line by W7FG. Check out www.w7fg.com for details.

Now you've go me started so hear me out. coax from the tuner to a 4:1 current balun outside, and ladder line from the balun to the driven element. All opinions are welcome, thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1583. Re: Virus alert: AMP virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 03:46:23 UTC
From: Genius <Dartman@Bullseye.corp>
Message-ID: <9m9r9mrmr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 17777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Hey...thats not so bad. Could be worse. You get the SEX virus and open it up and yo be puking yo guts out then and there.
"Uber Hacker" <uhack@hack.hack> wrote in message news:3B87FA1E.4A19473A@hack.hack...
> You have just been infected by the AMP virus. After you close this
> message, please delete all of the files on your hard drive.
>

1584. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 04:14:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826001422.20344.00003265@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 17778

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/25/01 8:44 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve, please correct your attributes.

I am sorry that you cannot afford (or chose to use) a newsreader that
presents things to you in the same format I see them.

No correction is necessary.

No apology is offered.

>One rotten apple can spoil the whole barrel.

Thankfully, in this case, the barrel is in Texas.

1585. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 04:17:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826001744.20344.00003266@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 17779

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/25/01 9:03 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Please fix your attributions.

Please subscribe to a reliable ISP.

>I thought I knew what the word meant. I was surprised when I looked
>it up in the dictionary. It was an honest mistake, not a contradiction.

All that education and you ahd to "look up" "buy" and "purchase"...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Never fails to amaze me, Cecil!

1586. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 04:20:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826002056.20344.00003267@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 17780

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/25/01 10:46 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve has
>chosen to violate that standard...(SNIP)

You are lying. Kinda childish, for a person of your professional stature
and education, huh...?!?!

...(UNSNIP)...which, in my mind, is unethical.

Only when it prevents you from hiding from the truth.

1587. Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 04:56:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826005615.20344.00003269@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 17781

Cecil,

In case you haven't been paying attention to other parties in here, there is nothing wrong with my "attributes". I did not think there was, and now I am sure. They stand as they are.

I can only assume that your repeated assertion that I am acting "unethically" is an attempt by you to intentionally libel. This applies to your assertions of lying.

I can only guess at YOUR reasons for this twist. Mostly it stems from your assertions of having NOT said something only to have it spoon fed BACK to you...not just by me but by others who seem to realize that you cannot seem to keep your own stories straight.

I again redirect your attention to the fact that I am not the only one herein who finds your frequent "assertions" to be lacking in merit. And I am not the only one who continues to find CONSTANT contradiction in your own stories.

You are the only one who seems to think this is normal behaviour.

Personally, I think it was due to too much pot. But then I am just guessing.

You can simmer and stew all you care to about "attributes", but they are without error and accurately reflect what you said. Period. Anything else is merely a sham by someone who should know better.

You used to be one of the few voices of moderation and wisdom in here, Cecil.

What happened?

1588. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 05:10:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826011026.20344.00003270@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 17782

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 8/25/01 10:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>CAM wrote:
>> Please fix your attributions.

> What's wrong with Steve's attributions, Cecil?

There's nothing wrong, Dwight. More than one person has started to notice the inconsistencies and errors in his posts, and his only way to deflect those errors from himself is to find a way to redirect the attention to someone else.

You are not the first one to make the same observation. I have gone back through as many of his posts as I could stand and find nothing wrong.

Cecil states that the dropped "<" somehow constitutes unethical behaviour.

Yeah....right.

What it "constitutes" is an attempt by him to redirect the burgeoning tide of "You're Wrong-itis" he's been getting from myself and others.

>Besides, since just
>about every newsreader does it slightly different, it's not like there
>are hard rules in place for this.

Hard rules would constitute facts, and facts are not his strong point.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1589. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 10:45:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B88D34A.D89F936F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17783

CAM wrote:
>
> Note the attributions in this
> posting. There is no ">" by your
> name which means that all of
> the text containing one ">" was
> written by you. My initials, CAM,
> have one ">" before them indicating
> that everything containing two
> ">"'s was written by me. This is the
> *standard* that netnews has used for
> more than a decade. Steve has chosen
> to violate that standard which, in
> my mind, is unethical.

Oh, I see what you're fussing about. You're right, the norm today is to have nothing in front of the last quote, but there are a number of newsreaders that still add something anyway. It wasn't always that way. I can remember the big fight over quotes about six or seven years ago (what to add before that line, what character to use for quotes, or to simply use quotation marks). Since there was no real authority to decide, the present system just slowly developed over time to what we have today.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1590. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 10:47:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826064707.15207.00003352@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 17784

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: GH nbcred@mailcity.com
>Date: 8/25/01 10:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>At least my posting have related to the original subject of this thread.

Congratulations. That, and two box tops of your favorite breakfast cerial
and $2.00 will buy you a cup of overpriced coffee from Starbucks.

>I
>don't believe I have ever seen a thread go off on so many completely
>unrelated tangents.

Cuz you ain't been here that long, Sonny! Sit back and enjoy the ride!

Steve

1591. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 10:53:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826065346.15207.00003353@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 17785

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com
>Date: 8/25/01 9:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>If Morse was the best mode for weak signals, NASA would be using it to
>retrieve data from their deep space probes. They don't.

Hmmm....I wonder why, then, the very recent and very well published exercise wherein Amatuers were asked to track a deep space probe that was sending telemetery back in Morse?

It was a combination, no doubt, of exercises to determine whether Morse was efective and wether we had the capabilities to accurately receive those messages...?!?!

Kinda like the scene in the move "Arrival" where Charlie Sheen's character used a lashed-up neighbor hood interferometer system to listen for his deep space signals...?!?!

Definately some Hollywood fiction, there, but I wonder if a volunteer SETI type operation like that COULD hear those signals like that?

Sure would put NASA to shame if a bunch of Amatuers with home reception gear beat them to the punch, huh...?!?!

Steve

1592. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 10:58:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826065840.15207.00003354@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 17786

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/25/01 10:32 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>The EME digital modes have run circles around CW using low power
>and small antennas

"EME digital modes"...?!?! The only "digitial" modes I have read about lately are fellas using high-speed Morse via modem.

Or is this another one of your "definitions"...?!?! Expound, please.

1593. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 12:03:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826080319.21030.00002604@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 17787

In article <3B8851AC.58E3CB9F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>> It was about the economics of slavery.
>> Freeing the slaves was essentially the
>> returning of stolen property (the slaves'
>> lives) to the rightful owners (the
>> slaves themselves).
>
>
> No, slavery was just an easy target.

Well, yeah. A minority of the popultation literally OWNING a majority of the population (as was the case in South Carolina, for example) is a pretty obvious wrong that needs to be righted.

The amazing thing is that it took so long.

>If slavery had not existed,
>Lincoln would have found something else to use against the confederacy
>and we would be arguing about that today instead of the slavery issue.
>
No.

If slavery had not existed, the confederacy would not have existed.

What Lincoln gradually came to understand was that the main reason for the economic/social/political division between the states that wanted to secede and the ones that didn't was slavery, pure and simple. The divisions had come about BECAUSE of the widespread existence of slavery in the states where it existed. This is why a few states where slavery existed but was not very prevalent (like Missouri) did not try to secede.

Think about this: Where is Fort Sumter? What was the % slave population of that state in 1860?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1594. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 12:03:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826080319.21030.00002603@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 17788

In article <3B88560D.A6B078D7@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>> >N2EY wrote:
>> > >
>> > > ARRRRR! Them's be fightin' words!
>> > >
>> > > Avast me hearties! Fire a broadside!
>> > >
>> > > 73 de "Long Jim" N2EY
>> >
>> > Excuse me, Captain Bligh, did you
>> > change your name?
>>
>> Aye, matey, I be known by many names.
>> Did ye landlubbers never read Treasure
>> Island?
>>
>> > I thought it was
>> >"Long John," not "Long Jim."
>>
>> That be another swabbo, me lad. ARRRR!!!!!
>
>
> Sorry for the mistake.

Ye be lucky I'm in a good mood, else ye'd walk the plank!

> All you pirates look the same to me.

We try, me hearties! It be the puffy shirt!

> It might
>help matters if you guys shopped at different stores, trimmed those
>sloppy beards, and stopped wearing those silly, out of date, swords.

Belay that or I run ye though with cold steel!

>And finding a woman might do wonders for your disposition (I think
>you've all spent way too much time with those cannons). ;-)

One in every port, me lad, one in every port! ARRRRRR!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1595. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 12:38:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <856i7.62325$gj1.5757173@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17789

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010826080319.21030.00002604@nso-fr.aol.com...
> In article <3B8851AC.58E3CB9F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >> It was about the economics of slavery.
> >> Freeing the slaves was essentially the
> >> returning of stolen property (the slaves'
> >> lives) to the rightful owners (the
> >> slaves themselves).
> >>
> >>
> > No, slavery was just an easy target.
>
> Well, yeah. A minority of the popultation literally OWNING a majority of
the
> population (as was the case in South Carolina, for example) is a pretty
obvious
> wrong that needs to be righted.
>
> The amazing thing is that it took so long.
>
> >If slavery had not existed,
> >Lincoln would have found something else to use against the confederacy
> >and we would be arguing about that today instead of the slavery issue.
> >
> No.
>
> If slavery had not existed, the confederacy would not have existed.
>
> What Lincoln gradually came to understand was that the main reason for the
> economic/social/political division between the states that wanted to
secede and
> the ones that didn't was slavery, pure and simple. The divisions had come
about
> BECAUSE of the widespread existence of slavery in the states where it
existed.
> This is why a few states where slavery existed but was not very prevalent
(like
> Missouri) did not try to secede.
>
> Think about this: Where is Fort Sumter? What was the % slave population of
that
> state in 1860?

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

While not disagreeing with your thesis, Jim, Missouri is not a really good example. The Civil War was in full bloom in parts of Missouri from 1856 on when the whole 'bleeding Kansas' thing got going. What was weird was the geography: Mark Twain country in the Northeast (still called Little Dixie) was pro-Confederate while Southwest Mo around Springfield was definitely Federal territory. Look on your trusty Rand-McNally along I-70 between STL and Columbia and you will see Kingdom City, once the seat of the Kingdom of Calloway which seceded from everything and shot both sides on sight.

One person Harry Truman could not handle was his mother-in-law. When he came from the National Guard drills to court Bess, he had to change out of his uniform in the outhouse; mama would not let a federal uniform in the house.

One of Grant's early campaigns was to take and defend the Federal Arsenal at St. Louis. Had this fallen into rebel hands, the war might have taken a far different course.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1596. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 13:59:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8900CC.A948A4AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17790

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
> >Steve, please correct your attributes.
>
> I am sorry that you cannot afford (or chose to use) a newsreader that
> presents things to you in the same format I see them.

Trouble is, you don't even know what the correct format is.
Why should I have to waste my time correcting false attrubutes
for which you are the perp? I had to edit this one to correct
the attrubutes.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1597. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:01:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B890138.A4D6451F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17791

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/25/01 10:46 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Steve has
> >chosen to violate that standard...(SNIP)
>
> You are lying. Kinda childish, for a person of your professional stature
> and education, huh...?!?!

Steve you HAVE chosen to violate the attributes standard.
Ask anybody who knows. Would somebody Steve trusts please
alleviate his ignorance about attrubutes?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1598. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:04:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8901E0.1D1628C3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17792

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Oh, I see what you're fussing about. You're right, the norm today is
> to have nothing in front of the last quote, but there are a number of
> newsreaders that still add something anyway.

They should be corrected to match the de facto attrubute standard.
Otherwise, nobody can tell who said what.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1599. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:06:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B89027E.ACCC1C11@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17793

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >CAM wrote:
> >> Please fix your attributions.
>
> > > What's wrong with Steve's attributions, Cecil?
>
> There's nothing wrong, Dwight.

Dwight just took a close look and now sees what is wrong. Maybe
he can explain it to you, Steve. What you are doing now is
unethical. Please have someone explain it to you.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1600. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:11:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B890375.2B0F1DC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17794

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
>
> >Please fix your attributions.
>
> Please subscribe to a reliable ISP.

It is your ISP that is at fault, Steve, and you are the perp in
an unethical scheme to scramble the attrubutes. I have to correct
every single one of your postings that I reply to. Otherwise, you
are not crediting me with what I post.

> >I thought I knew what the word meant. I was surprised when I looked
> >it up in the dictionary. It was an honest mistake, not a contradiction.
>
> All that education and you ahd to "look up" "buy" and "purchase"...?!?!

I'm not like you, Steve. I do not believe myself to be omniscient.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1601. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:14:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B890444.4DE62D92@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17795

N2EY wrote:
> If slavery had not existed, the confederacy would not have existed.

That might or might not be true. There were many other points of
disagreement between the North and the South. Slavery might have
been the straw that broke the camel's back.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1602. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:19:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B890585.990D8AF1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17796

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org writes:
> >The EME digital modes have run circles around CW using low power
> >and small antennas
>
> "EME digital modes"...?!?! The only "digitial" modes I have read about
> lately are fellas using high-speed Morse via modem.
>
> Or is this another one of your "definitions"...?!?! Expound, please.

I've just got me a new computer and can't locate the URL for the hams
who used digital modes for EME. Would someone please repost that URL?
thanks, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1603. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:25:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8906BF.6C12929F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17797

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> In case you haven't been paying attention to other parties in here, there
> is nothing wrong with my "attributes". I did not think there was, and now I am
> sure. They stand as they are.

Then you are choosing to violat netnews attribution standards.

> I can only assume that your repeated assertion that I am acting
> "unethically" is an attempt by you to intentionally libel.

The truth is defense against libel, Steve. IMO, anyone who violates the
netnews attribution standards is acting unethically. Falsifying quotes
anywhere else is illegal.

> You can simmer and stew all you care to about "attributes", but they are
> without error and accurately reflect what you said.

Steve, please have someone you trust alleviate your absolute ignorance
on this subject. You are the one who is falsifying attributes. By doing
that you are the perp and I, and others, are the injured parties.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1604. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:42:25 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B890AD1.7A50DB1C@psu.edu>
Source record: 17798

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B86C95D.7D664B3@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> > Yikes, Larry! Are you suggesting that we *nust* becaome "active,
> >proficient CW users" to cut the mustard?
>
> Mike:
>
> Yes, you nust becaome an active, proficient CW user to cut the mustard.
> In order to become a well-rounded radio amateur, you must become
> *reasonably* proficient at CW, with 20 WPM being the traditionally
> acceptable standard. It has been proven that people from all walks of
> life can achieve this level of proficiency, so you should not have any
> problem.

Okay, I know where you stand.

1605. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 14:59:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B890EBC.D89FCE9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17799

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> In case you haven't been paying attention to other parties in here, there
> is nothing wrong with my "attributes". I did not think there was, and now I am
> sure. They stand as they are.

One more note. Ed Hare had the same attributes problem with that
newsreader. I pointed it out to him and Ed changed the attributes
to match the netnews standard because Ed holds himself to a high
ethical standard.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1606. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 15:29:48 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <2d5iot8s9c2as5eletlho393ffr765g8ot@4ax.com>
Source record: 17800

On 26 Aug 2001 10:53:46 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

> Sure would put NASA to shame if a bunch of Amatuers with home reception
>gear beat them to the punch, huh...?!?!

It sure would, but NASA can "hear" signals that are completely buried in
noise, a feat no human ear can accomplish.

IOW, don't hold your breath. :-)

Bill, W7TI

1607. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 15:49:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826114943.05484.00002301@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17851

In article <20010824092310.18756.00009102@mb-mv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>>Date: 08/23/2001 7:27 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>I (meaning me) was (meaning past tense) going (not meaning moving anywhere,
>>but more showing intent) to (?)....(SNIP)
>
> YOU GO GIRL!!!!!!!!
>
>
>Steve
>
>

YOU GIRL, GO!!!!!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1608. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 15:49:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826114943.05484.00002302@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17852

In article <3B869D2D.7FA166E5@mailcity.com>, GH <nbcred@mailcity.com> writes:

>
>It is a British slang for money, like a buck is here.
>
>Bert Craig wrote:
>
>>
>> What's a quid?

Specifically, it's slang for the British Pound Sterling. It will be interesting
to see what kind of slang gets attached to the Euro once it starts being used in Britain (and the rest of Europe) next year.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1609. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 15:49:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826114943.05484.00002300@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17854

In article <lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "Green Hornet" <greenhornet@kato.com> writes:

>
> How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
>10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
>course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
>
>Please Cast your Vote ......

Not me. I use an Icom IC-735 (160-10m coverage w/general coverage receive) as my primary HF rig, with an Icom IC-730 (an earlier version with 80-10m coverage and no gen. coverage receive capability) as my backup. The only reason I have the '730 is because I was able to steal it off the consignment shelf of a local ham radio store in virtually new condition, with matching PS-15 power supply, for $350! I had previously owned an IC-730, put thousands of CW QSO's on it in seven years, sold it to a friend for $500 -- *without* the PS-15!

Single-band 10m rigs seem to me to be geared to two groups -- terminally microphone-obsessed No-Coders who can't conceive of needing to use any other band and any mode other than SSB/FM voice, and CB'ers looking for a higher power (usually 25 - 100w.) easily-modified rig that they can use to "bootleg" on 11m. I am a Real Ham who regularly uses CW and digital modes and little or no voice; therefore a single-band rig has limitations which would not appeal to me.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1610. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 15:49:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826114944.05484.00002303@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17853

In article <20010825013952.01573.00000892@mb-cr.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>>VE is, but what the
>>heck is a "VE sesion?"
>
>A VE would be a volunteer examiner, A Volunteer examiner is a Licensed.
>Amateur
>Radio Operator, at least 18 years of age, who hold a General Class or higher
>Amateur
>Radio License.
>
>According to the Cambridge Dictionary:
>A session is a period of time or meeting arranged for a particular activity .
>
>Put them together and you have a VE Session, this is where you take your ham
>radio
>exam.
>>VE is, but what the
>>heck is a "VE sesion?"

Dripschittfrench:

Very interesting, but I already knew THAT! Back to the original question: What the heck is a "VE sesion?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

1611. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 15:49:45 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826114945.05484.00002304@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17855

In article <f4Sh7.25933$Ki1.2129462@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Just a quick note after our other discussion - took the HT to work (again,
>to fight boredom if it was slow) and - lo and behold, I actually talked with
>a YL on 440 FM last night! I think this is the whole total of 2
>conversations with women on the air. Maybe I should go over this whole
>thread again and see if I've missed something ...
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Jim:

It doesn't count as a QSO with a YL if she weighs 300 lbs, has
multiple tatoos, and a Marlboro hanging from her Sherman Williams
red lips.

73 de Larry, K3LT

No, Kim -- I wasn't talking about YOU!

1612. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:00:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <a29i7.62546$gj1.5781252@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17856

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010826114944.05484.00002303@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <20010825013952.01573.00000892@mb-cr.aol.com>,
drpfrench@aol.com
> (Drpfrench) writes:
>
> >
> >>VE is, but what the
> >>heck is a "VE sesion?"
> >
> >A VE would be a volunteer examiner, A Volunteer examiner is a Licensed.
> >Amateur
> >Radio Operator, at least 18 years of age, who hold a General Class or
higher
> >Amateur
> >Radio License.
> >
> >According to the Cambridge Dictionary:
> >A session is a period of time or meeting arranged for a particular
activity .
> >
> >Put them together and you have a VE Session, this is where you take your
ham
> >radio
> >exam.
> >>VE is, but what the
> >>heck is a "VE sesion?"
>
> Dripschittfrench:
>
> Very interesting, but I already knew THAT! Back to the original
> question: What the heck is a "VE sesion?"
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Since the rules for publication have been relaxed it appears to be any time
three VEs gather at the rear of Paddy's Bar and Grill for an exam session.

Appears of late that it also means the session before the exam where the VEs
give out the answers to the upcoming tests.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1613. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:08:57 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B891100.24BA7206@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17857

The pirates who are operating illegally on the bottom end of the 40 meter amateur band start each transmission with only one > instead of >>. I think that had something to do with starting the Civil War.

CAM wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Oh, I see what you're fussing about. You're right, the norm today is
> > to have nothing in front of the last quote, but there are a number of
> > newsreaders that still add something anyway.
>
> They should be corrected to match the de facto attrubute standard.
> Otherwise, nobody can tell who said what.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1614. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:11:14 UTC
From: Popeye <oliveoil@brutus.com>
Message-ID: <Cc9i7.139553$EP6.38515848@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 17858

Thanks Larry, That is my take on things as well, a few complainers on rec.radio.cb seem to think otherwise.

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010826114943.05484.00002300@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "Green Hornet"
> <greenhornet@kato.com> writes:
>
> >
> > How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
> >10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
> >course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
> >
> >Please Cast your Vote ......
>
> Not me. I use an Icom IC-735 (160-10m coverage w/general coverage
> receive) as my primary HF rig, with an Icom IC-730 (an earlier version
> with 80-10m coverage and no gen. coverage receive capability) as my
> backup. The only reason I have the '730 is because I was able to
> steal it off the consignment shelf of a local ham radio store in virtually
> new condition, with matching PS-15 power supply, for $350! I had
> previously owned an IC-730, put thousands of CW QSO's on it in
> seven years, sold it to a friend for $500 -- *without* the PS-15!
>
> Single-band 10m rigs seem to me to be geared to two groups --
> terminally microphone-obsessed No-Coders who can't conceive of
> needing to use any other band and any mode other than SSB/FM
> voice, and CB'ers looking for a higher power (usually 25 - 100w.)
> easily-modified rig that they can use to "bootleg" on 11m. I am a
> Real Ham who regularly uses CW and digital modes and little or
> no voice; therefore a single-band rig has limitations which would
> not appeal to me.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1615. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:24:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826122403.05484.00002305@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17862

In article <20010825231334.25678.00000550@mb-cm.news.cs.com>,
kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>
>Now you've go me started so hear me out. coax from the tuner to a 4:1 current
>balun outside, and ladder line from the balun to the driven element. All
>opinions are welcome, thanks.
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN

Bert:

My club uses a virtually identical arrangement during Field Day,
always with exceptional results! Carry on!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1616. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:24:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826122403.05484.00002306@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17863

In article <20010826005615.20344.00003269@mb-fb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> You used to be one of the few voices of moderation and wisdom in here,
>Cecil.
>
> What happened?

Steve:

He moved into a dry county, and was forced to produce his own supply of "spoiled grape juice." I think the occasional batch has over-fermented and produced a permanent case of DT's in poor 'ole Cecil! Pity!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1617. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:24:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826122404.05484.00002307@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17864

In article <3B885DF3.70F37C3D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> >So my three year old grandson is responsible for the ills
>> >of ham radio. Sure he is, Larry.
>>
>> This makes much less sense than your usual postings. You been
>> taking lessons from Kim, or what?
>
>It certainly makes sense given your attitudes toward newcomers.
>When my three year old grandson gets his ham license, you are
>obviously going to look down on him. He's going to ask you, "What
>did I do to deserve your low perception of me? I had absolutely
>no choice except to meet the requirements existing in 2020."

Cecil:

I would say to him, "Young man, amateur radio is what amateur radio
operators make of it -- and amateur radio operators are what they make
of themselves! The current licensing requirements will not have any
negative effect on my perception of you as a ham, as long as you
prove yourself to be a Real Ham through your curiosity, willingness to
experiment and learn, and your on-the-air operational technique.
You must, however, accept the fact that your fellow hams licensed
prior to April 15, 2000 did so under a more challenging and comprehensive
set of licensing requirements -- and therefore are deserving of higher
status as hams than you are. If you cannot understand and accept
this, then I hope you're not wasting your time getting a college
education, attending a specialized trade school, or doing anything else
to improve your standing in life."

Any questions?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1618. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:24:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826122405.05484.00002308@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17859

In article <3B885FA0.1A19FAF3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>So when all other modes fail on 10m at the low of the sunspot cycle, CW
>still gets through? Dream on, Larry. BTW, PACTOR II gets through when the
>CW signal cannot even be heard.

Cecil:

PACTOR II is one baaaad mode, I'll admit -- but I had to complete a
QSO started in PACTOR II using CW because I lost the link with the
other station due to poor conditions! Also, I made many more 10m
CW QSO's three years ago than I ever did this year! I think your
problem is one of a hearing deficiency -- that's why you stop hearing
CW signals even though PACTOR II still works. I'm not surprised.

>> I am a "True Believer."
>
>Yes, you are, Larry, exactly as described in the book by that name.

Don't look now, but it was by reading that book that I arrived at that
definition of myself, WRT my belief in the Morse code! Is there
something in The True Believer that you don't believe?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1619. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:24:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826122405.05484.00002309@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 17860

In article <3B885FA0.1A19FAF3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>The EME digital modes have run circles around CW using low power
>and small antennas.

Cecil:

Hmmm -- first time I've heard of that! I'm curious about it -- sounds
like something I'd like to try! Got any URL's leading to information?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1620. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:24:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826122406.00741.00007643@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 17861

>Since the rules for publication have been relaxed it appears to be any time
>three VEs gather at the rear of Paddy's Bar and Grill for an exam session.
>
>Appears of late that it also means the session before the exam where the VEs
>give out the answers to the upcoming tests.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>
OH NO, that couldnt happen, these are Highly Technical People taking the EXAMS

1621. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:35:28 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <o49iotsus85m848qj7g93mppmsu6dv85l4@4ax.com>
Source record: 17865

On 26 Aug 2001 16:24:04 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>You must, however, accept the fact that your fellow hams licensed
>prior to April 15, 2000 did so under a more challenging and comprehensive
>set of licensing requirements -- and therefore are deserving of higher
>status as hams than you are.

A psychologist would say this was written by a person having low
self-esteem. Bashing others elevates himself, but only in his own eyes,
not anyone else's.

Pathetic.

Bill, W7TI

1622. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:45:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826124527.11585.00003180@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 17866

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 10:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> In case you haven't been paying attention to other parties in here, there
>> is nothing wrong with my "attributes". I did not think there was, and now
>I am
>> sure. They stand as they are.
>
>Then you are choosing to violat netnews attribution standards.

I think you need to re-read the above, Cecil. YOU are the only one having a problem, and I do assume that it is directly related to your desire to lie your way out of looking even more foolish than you already do.

Oooops....too late.

And I cannot find "violat" in the dictionary. Can you find this for me?

>The truth is defense against libel, Steve.

DING! Cecil get's one right. Now try to YOUR assertions right, Cecil. So far, YOU ar ehe only one with a problem.

>IMO, anyone who violates the
>netnews attribution standards is acting unethically. Falsifying quotes
>anywhere else is illegal.

So far, no on has misattributed anything to anyone. You were cited correctly in everything (including this post) that I have made.

This has been cooroborated by third parties. Sorry.

>Steve, please have someone you trust alleviate your absolute ignorance
>on this subject.

Oooops...already done. And posted in RRAP.

>You are the one who is falsifying attributes.

And you are the one LYING about false attributions. That has already been attested to in RRAP.

>By doing
>that you are the perp and I, and others, are the injured parties.

So far, no one except your drug-damaged synapses are damaged, Cecil.

Steve

1623. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:49:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826124902.11585.00003181@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 17867

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 10:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>One more note.

One more time. Third parties have already observed and stated that YOU are the one with the problem, not me.

No correction is necessary.

No apology is called for.

>I pointed it out to him and Ed changed the attributes
>to match the netnews standard because Ed holds himself to a high
>ethical standard.
>--

I concur. And if I was in error, I would too. However, I cannot find an error and third parties cannot find an error.

Sorry.

Steve

1624. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:50:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826125033.11585.00003183@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 17868

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 9:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Why should I have to waste my time correcting false attrubutes
>for which you are the perp?

I am not. Sorry. Perhaps a reliable ISP or newsreader on your part?

1625. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 16:51:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826125155.11585.00003184@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 17869

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 10:04 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>
>They should be corrected to match the de facto attrubute standard.
>Otherwise, nobody can tell who said what.

In as much as yours are the only comments cited, the attributes are clear.

Unfortunately, your HEAD is not.

Sorry.

1626. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 17:00:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826130011.11585.00003188@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 17870

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 10:19 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I've just got me a new computer and can't locate the URL for the hams
>who used digital modes for EME

Guess it's not so popular.

And it seems everytime someone asks you to produce something that would substantiate your assertions, it's a new computer, the one you have just crashed, there was a power outtage and you got dumped, etc.

Do it yourself, Cecil.

1627. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 18:44:00 UTC
From: Popeye <oliveoil@brutus.com>
Message-ID: <Qrbi7.139981$EP6.38657406@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 17871

" I am a regular participant in the Usenet newsgroup
"rec.radio.amateur.policy," which is a forum for discussing policy matters
related to Amateur Radio."

Larry I see you are living up to your expectations, what does this have to
do with amateur policy?

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010826122403.05484.00002306@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <20010826005615.20344.00003269@mb-fb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >
> > You used to be one of the few voices of moderation and wisdom in
here,
> >Cecil.
> >
> > What happened?
>
>
> Steve:
>
> He moved into a dry county, and was forced to produce his own supply
> of "spoiled grape juice." I think the occasional batch has over-fermented
> and produced a permanent case of DT's in poor 'ole Cecil! Pity!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1628. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 18:50:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8944DA.3315D597@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17872

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/26/01 9:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Why should I have to waste my time correcting false attrubutes
> >for which you are the perp?
>
> I am not. Sorry. Perhaps a reliable ISP or newsreader on your part?

Steve, what does it take for you to see you are screwing up the
attributions? I have told you about it something like 15 times
and have given at least three examples. You choose to ignore
any attempt to educate you in the netnews attribution standards.

Ed Hare had the same problem with the pseudo newsreader that
you are using. Ed, being a nice ethical guy, simply started
following the netnews standard. Why don't you just follow
Ed's lead?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1629. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 18:54:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8945DB.F7F2BBBF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17873

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
> >They should be corrected to match the de facto attrubute standard.
> >Otherwise, nobody can tell who said what.
>
> In as much as yours are the only comments cited, the attributes are clear.

It's easy to figure out who said what when there are only two posters.
But when there are half a dozen posters, your mixing up of the
attributions will certainly lead to confusion. Why can't you just
be a nice person and follow the netnews attribution standards?

I had to change the above attributes to make them correct. You
posted them wrong. I certainly don't appreciate you messing
with the postings that should be attributed to me. If you did
that in a newspaper, it would be grounds for a lawsuit.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1630. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 18:55:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B89462A.F7530F9E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17874

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The current licensing requirements will not have any
> negative effect on my perception of you as a ham, ...

Good Larry, now why can't you say that to hams who are presently
being licensed?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1631. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 18:58:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8946E8.4E09FC54@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17875

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
>
> >I've just got me a new computer and can't locate the URL for the hams
> >who used digital modes for EME
>
> Guess it's not so popular.

It was posted a couple of months ago. I think I bookmarked it but
that's on my old computer.

> And it seems everytime someone asks you to produce something that would
> substantiate your assertions, it's a new computer, the one you have just
> crashed, there was a power outtage and you got dumped, etc.
>
> Do it yourself, Cecil.

I'll try.

Have you figured out how correct those attributions yet?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1632. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:00:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B894733.C3470AE1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17876

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >The EME digital modes have run circles around CW using low power
> >and small antennas.
>
> Hmmm -- first time I've heard of that! I'm curious about it -- sounds
> like something I'd like to try! Got any URL's leading to information?

I have a new computer and don't remember the URL. I will try to find
it. I'm sure someone else knows what it is.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1633. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:07:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8948F0.7EEAA3AD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17877

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
****
> >Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/26/01 10:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time
****

> So far, no on has misattributed anything to anyone. You were cited
> correctly in everything (including this post) that I have made.

Everything between the ********** above is misattributed. It says
my posting carries three ">"'s. Yet there is no posting with
three ">"'s. There is no attribution for the posting carrying
two ">"'s which is mine. You have misattributed my posting and
I do not appreciate it.

> This has been cooroborated by third parties. Sorry.

Ignorance is easy to find. Please ask a knowledgable person.

> >Steve, please have someone you trust alleviate your absolute ignorance
> >on this subject.
>
> Oooops...already done. And posted in RRAP.

If you are talking about Dwight, he changed his mind.

> >You are the one who is falsifying attributes.
>
> And you are the one LYING about false attributions. That has already been
> attested to in RRAP.

By who, Steve? Produce one person who agrees with you and I will show
you an ignorant person. Why can't you just be a nice guy like Ed and
fix the false attributions.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1634. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:11:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8949DA.DFE8B2F3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17878

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
****
> >Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/26/01 10:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time
****
> >One more note.
>
> One more time. Third parties have already observed and stated that YOU are
> the one with the problem, not me.

Who are they, Steve? Dwight changed his mind.

> >I pointed it out to him and Ed changed the attributes
> >to match the netnews standard because Ed holds himself to a high
> >ethical standard.
>
> I concur. And if I was in error, I would too. However, I cannot find an
> error and third parties cannot find an error.

Ed had exactly the same error as you keep posting. He corrected it.
Why can't you be like Ed? I have showed you the problem over and
over. You cannot plead ignorance any more. Everything between the
********** above is in error. My posting is not attributed to me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1635. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:28:58 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826152858.13303.00002691@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 17879

>Sorry for the misleading closing comment, Mark. I was agreeing with
>Bob Wexelbaum's comment about the earlier reports of babies being
>killed in Kuwait. It was great propaganda, but, according to the later
>reports, it was also completely false. And, to my knowledge, nobody
>has come forward to this day with evidence to dispute those later reports.
>

Hi Dwight,

No need to be sorry, you post as not misleading. I was the one lacking the
follow-up information. Thanks for filling in the gaps. Take care and 73.

Mark

1636. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:47:57 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B894454.6604773E@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17880

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> You must, however, accept the fact that your fellow hams licensed
> prior to April 15, 2000 did so under a more challenging and comprehensive
> set of licensing requirements -- and therefore are deserving of higher
> status as hams than you are.

Yep, they were forced to take more rigorous tests.

1637. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:49:58 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b895441_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17882

Sorry, Dwight. And, certainly sorry to hear of your wife's problems with finding a job. However, that it had anything to do with the fact that she's "southern" is highly questionable. It is inherently more difficult to find a job in the north than it is in the south, that's just a plain fact.

Dwight, I *am* a northerner, living in the south. And, your experience is just not mine. Well, save that I believe it's the same in both regions--there's just as much anti-northerner crap that used to go on here when I first moved down, as there is anti-southerner crap up north. However, all that has subsided quite a bit over the past 15 years. And, it's no different than "territory." When I returned to my little rural in NY a few years back, I quite resented the fact that so many city-dwellers had moved in and "taken over."

As to the comments about economy, I think that is just "the way it is." I can tell you for a fact that there's a lot more ignorance in the south than there is in the north. And, that is my personal experience. And, in the north I was quite rural; in the south I am quite urban (working right smack dab in the middle of downtown Dallas, even). So, should the comparison be made between north and south, rural and urban, country and city, or any combination thereof?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B85B544.DC47BA59@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Suffering? Excuse me? Southerners are
> > suffering to this day from the negative
> > attitudes of northerners? (snip)
>
>
> So you're saying the events following the civil war never happened?
> Or that the south is one of most economically repressed regions of the
> country is just a coincidence? Or that the wages in the south are the
> lowest in the country is just a coincidence? Or that the mean family
> income in the south is the lowest in the country is just a
> coincidence? Or the fact that the southern states are on the bottom of
> the list when it comes to government aid programs (family assistance,
> college grants, etc) is is just a coincidence?
>
> If you really want to see what I'm talking about when it comes to
> the attitude of northerners, take a trip up north and try to find a

> job. As soon as that employer sees that you were born in the south,
> and that your schooling was in the south, just watch how fast that
> door slams shut behind you (no matter how well qualified you are for
> the job).
>
> We just went through that hell recently. Because of my job, we
> recently moved to Washington state. My wife received a pile of over
> thirty rejection notices, even though she has over twenty years
> experience in her chosen career field. Of course, only one employer
> made it clear what the real problem was - "we're not confident that
> your training qualifies you for a job with our company." The employer
> ignored the fact that she had years of experience (and two other
> degrees), and instead focused on the education she received from a
> southern school more than twenty years ago. In the end, my wife gave
> up and had to take a job that paid a quarter of what she was
> previously earning.
>
> Am I saying that all northerners are like that? Absolutely not. Am I
> saying that all southerners are too polite to hassle others because of
> where they were born? Again, absolutely not. But, in the end, you
> cannot dispute the fact that the south is doing much worse than any
> other region of the country today.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1638. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:52:07 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b8954b5_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 17883

<BG> I love it when I get under your skin, Larry.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010823232652.01701.00006489@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <3b84e996$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >> But judging from the volume and content of your posts here, Larry, you
> >spend a
> >> lot of time thinking about the licence class of others.
> >>
> >> 73,
> >> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >>
> >I think it's the other way around. By the volume and content of Larry's
> >posts here, it's quite obvious Larry spends very little time thinking of
> >anyone else...but himself.
>
> Kim:
>
> Uh hunh. This from the person who wrote to Riley Hollingsworth,
> hoping he would validate your selection of a sexually provocative
> call sign, to which he replied that you were "...bringing ham radio one
> step closer to extinction" by having done so! Like, I really care what
> you think or say about me, Kim -- I just don't know why you waste
> your time bothering to reply to my posts here, especially since all
> you ever do is take something someone else has already said and
> just turn it around and sign your name to it.
>
> YOU -- Kim Walker, W5TIT, are intellectually and morally insignificant
> as a ham radio operator and a participant in this NG. Nothing you say
> in this newsgroup impresses ANY of us -- so go ahead and keep on
> making a fool of yourself. That's all we expect, and it is, at times,
> marginally entertaining! Thanks for the chuckle -- just remember that
> we're laughing *at* you, not *with* you!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1639. Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 19:52:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B89539B.E90D411A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17881

Here is the netnews standard for attributions. Poster1 wrote
Posting1, Poster2 wrote Posting2, etc.

Poster1 wrote:

> Poster2 wrote:

> > Poster3 wrote:

> > > Poster4 wrote:

> Posting1

> > Posting2

> > > Posting3

> > > > Posting4

These postings are said to be nested and there is a unique nesting
level for each posting which corresponds to the poster. If we
abandon this standard, nobody will know who said what in postings
with several nesting levels. Postings should be attributed to the
person who does the posting, not to someone else. Any newsreader
that doesn't follow this standard should be manually edited for
correct attributions before posting.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1640. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 20:06:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8956B7.6FD8E755@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17884

CAM wrote:
>
> Here is the netnews standard for attributions. Poster1 wrote
> Posting1, Poster2 wrote Posting2, etc.
>
> Poster1 wrote:
>
> > Poster2 wrote:
>
> > > Poster3 wrote:
>
> > > > Poster4 wrote:
>
> > Posting1
>
> > > Posting2
>
> > > > Posting3
>
> > > > > Posting4
>
> These postings are said to be nested and there is a unique nesting
> level for each posting which corresponds to the poster. If we
> abandon this standard, nobody will know who said what in postings
> with several nesting levels. Postings should be attributed to the
> person who does the posting, not to someone else. Any newsreader
> that doesn't follow this standard should be manually edited for
> correct attributions before posting.

This is a reply to my previous posting. Note that the attributions
are all still correct even though one level of nesting has been
added.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1641. ARRL approves electronic QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 21:09:52 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3b8965a7$1@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 17885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Logbook of the World" Software Design Under Way

NEWINGTON, CT, Aug 2, 2001--Fast on the heels of approval of the "Logbook of the World" by the ARRL Board of Directors, software design to support the electronic contact-verification program is continuing apace. ARRL Membership Services Manager and LOTW Project Manager Wayne Mills, N7NG, said the ARRL hopes to make LOTW software modules available soon to vendors for incorporation into their logging programs.

Taken from this location -
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/08/02/3/

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
100% Electronic QSL's
www.ab7sl.com

1642. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 21:44:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826174433.04436.00002609@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17886

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 2:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve, what does it take for you to see you are screwing up the
>attributions? I have told you about it something like 15 times
>and have given at least three examples.

And I have told you at least 15 times that >>I<< am not doing anything wrong. This is the SAME NG reader I have been using (along with Deja) and up until you started getting called on your contradictions over what YOU have said, it was never an issue.

Also, YOU have been told by others that thre is nothing wrong and no one has "misattributed" what was being said to anyone.

>You choose to ignore
>any attempt to educate you in the netnews attribution standards.

You choose to refuse to produce those standards. References, Cecil...REFERENCES...You know what "references" are, don't you?

>Why don't you just follow Ed's lead?

Because nothing is wrong. No one has misunderstood a single thing I have said, nor have they had a problem determining whom I was addressing or whom I was quoting.

Try again.

1643. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 21:51:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826175139.04436.00002610@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17887

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 2:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>It's easy to figure out who said what when there are only two posters.
>But when there are half a dozen posters, your mixing up of the
>attributions will certainly lead to confusion

Because I don't get into these quotes of quotes of quotes that some people do. And when there is more than two persons in the exchange, I always put thier ID in parens, ie:

(W6RCA) blah blah blah blah

(K4YZ) blah blah blah blah

(N2EY) blah blah blah blah.

That way I don't get into these arguments.

>Why can't you just
>be a nice person and follow the netnews attribution standards?

Produce the "standards", Cecil.

>I had to change the above attributes to make them correct. You
>posted them wrong.

If YOU changed anything, then how do we know that YOU were not responsible for the original "error"...?!?!

I say there is none. So do others. I am not impressed or swayed by your "arguments".

>I certainly don't appreciate you messing
>with the postings that should be attributed to me.

None of them were.

>If you did
>that in a newspaper, it would be grounds for a lawsuit.

Newspapers have standards of ethical behaviour that are a matter of written record.

So far you ahve refused every request to produce the requested "standards".

Others here have stated that these "standards" are fluid. So far, YOU are the only one who claims otherwise.

Until you can provide me with the hard and pat "standards", you are simply spitting in the wind, Cecil.

Steve

1644. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 21:56:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826175654.04436.00002611@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17888

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 4:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>This is a reply to my previous posting. Note that the attributions
>are all still correct even though one level of nesting has been
>added.

Now, Cecil, provide the STANDARD by which these "attributions" are
determined.

That this is desireable is without argument. But a winning lottery ticket
in my wallet would be desireable right now, too, but it ain't there.

NO STANDARDS EXIST.

Not ONE written rule, regulation or law.

NO WHERE in any system I have used says "If you are posting to USENET, you
must (or are advised to...) use the following posting criteria..."

No standards = No violation.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve

1645. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 21:59:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826175950.04436.00002613@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17889

>Subject: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 3:52 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Here is the netnews standard for attributions. Poster1 wrote
>Posting1, Poster2 wrote Posting2, etc.

This is NOT a "standard". It is your opinion. No references = No
standard. This is just "Because I said so..."

Your's is but one way to do it. It is neither "standard" nor is it
required.

And I don't gt into these "four deep" postings. It's neither accurate nor
is it productive.

Steve

1646. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 22:01:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826180127.04436.00002614@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17890

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 3:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Why can't you just be a nice guy like Ed and
>fix the false attributions.

Because there ARE NO FALSE ATTRIBUTIONS.

Steve

1647. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 22:04:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826180442.04436.00002616@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17891

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 3:11 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Ed had exactly the same error as you keep posting. He corrected it.
>Why can't you be like Ed?

Because no standards exist.

So far it is merely YOUR opinion.

NO ONE has misunderstood who I was quoting or in what context.

On the otherhand, YOU have already admitted to changing posts that YOU have quoted.

>My posting is not attributed to me.

Yes, it is. You are the only one quoted. To whom was the misattribution made?

Was there so much as one word or mark of punctuation that was not yours?

1648. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 22:06:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826180612.04436.00002617@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 17892

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/26/01 2:58 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Have you figured out how correct those attributions yet?

As soon as I find something that I misquoted, I will.

So far, I haven't.

Steve

1649. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:02:48 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.15f38b84fb9aef26989681@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 17893

In article <20010826114945.05484.00002304@nso-fc.aol.com>,
yodoc@aol.comnospam says...
> In article <f4Sh7.25933$Ki1.2129462@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES
> HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Just a quick note after our other discussion - took the HT to work (again,
> >to fight boredom if it was slow) and - lo and behold, I actually talked with
> >a YL on 440 FM last night! I think this is the whole total of 2
> >conversations with women on the air. Maybe I should go over this whole
> >thread again and see if I've missed something ...
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> Jim:
>
> It doesn't count as a QSO with a YL if she weighs 300 lbs, has
> multiple tatoos, and a Marlboro hanging from her Sherman Williams
> red lips.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Hey, if she's into Harley's, send her on down to Cecil. Sounds like a
match made in Hog and Sow heaven

sooooeeeeeeee Thems a Harley Davidson a coming down the pike

1650. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:08:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B89816A.24FC876F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17894

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Steve, what does it take for you to see you are screwing up the
> >attributions? I have told you about it something like 15 times
> >and have given at least three examples.
>
> And I have told you at least 15 times that >>I<< am not doing anything
> wrong.

Yep, you have and you are just plain wrong.

> Also, YOU have been told by others that thre is nothing wrong and no one
> has "misattributed" what was being said to anyone.

Only by Dwight and he has changed his mind. Please tell us who
agrees with you.

> You choose to refuse to produce those standards. References,
> Cecil...REFERENCES...You know what "references" are, don't you?

As far as I know, it is a de facto standard, a gentleman's agreement.
Are you a gentleman, Steve? Do you run PACTOR in the lower 25kHz?

> >Why don't you just follow Ed's lead?
>
> Because nothing is wrong.

I have explained what is wrong. You have chosen to ignore the attribute
standards for netnews. I don't care if you muck with the postings of
others but please cease and desist from mucking with mine. Changing the
attributes is serious business, Steve, and I, for one, don't appreciate
it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1651. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:12:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B898277.14B3384D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17895

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
> >Why can't you just
> >be a nice person and follow the netnews attribution standards?
>
> Produce the "standards", Cecil.

I explained the standards in another posting.

> >I had to change the above attributes to make them correct. You
> >posted them wrong.
>
> If YOU changed anything, then how do we know that YOU were not responsible
> for the original "error"...?!?!

Because you were.

> I say there is none. So do others.

What others, Steve? Let's see some names. What's wrong with this picture?

Steve changes the attributes on Cecil's posting so no one can tell who
wrote what.

Cecil objects to being treated that way.

Steve threatens to sue Cecil for objecting to the mucking of the attributes.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1652. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:15:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8982F7.8CE9220B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17896

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Downgrading?
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/26/01 2:58 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Have you figured out how correct those attributions yet?
>
> As soon as I find something that I misquoted, I will.
>
> So far, I haven't.

Here it is, Steve. You didn't give me credit for my posting
and you gave me credit for a posting that was apparently
deleted. Mucking with the attributes is serious business, Steve.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1653. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:16:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B898366.72C56DEC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17898

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/26/01 3:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Why can't you just be a nice guy like Ed and
> >fix the false attributions.
>
> Because there ARE NO FALSE ATTRIBUTIONS.

Look at this posting, Steve. You didn't give me credit for what
I posted and instead gave me credit for a posting that has
apparently been deleted. If that's not a false attribute then
God didn't make little green apples.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1654. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:17:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826191737.13023.00001610@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17897

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>And I cannot find "violat" in the dictionary. Can you find this for
>me?

I think that "violat" is listed in the appendix to the dictionary, right along
with these gems:

>cooroborated

> YOU ar ehe only one

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1655. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:22:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8984B7.1814FA9C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17900

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
>
> >Ed had exactly the same error as you keep posting. He corrected it.
> >Why can't you be like Ed?
>
> Because no standards exist.

Of course standards exist, Steve. Look at all the postings except
yours. It may be a de facto standard but it has existed for more
than a decade and everyone except you seems to be honoring it.

> So far it is merely YOUR opinion.

It is much, much more than my opinion.

> NO ONE has misunderstood who I was quoting or in what context.

Try doing what you are doing with five levels of nesting. Nobody will
be able to tell who said what.

> On the otherhand, YOU have already admitted to changing posts that YOU
> have quoted.

Yes, I corrected the false attributions. I will continue to do so.

> >My posting is not attributed to me.
>
> Yes, it is. You are the only one quoted. To whom was the misattribution
> made?

There was no attribution given to my posting. My attribution was given
to a posting that has apparently been deleted.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1656. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:24:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826192407.13023.00001611@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17899

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, the one thing they
>can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
>Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
>licensing standards.

Neither can I, Larry. I was licensed when the testing was a lot easier than it is today.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1657. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:27:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8985E0.24F4D08D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17901

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
>
> Now, Cecil, provide the STANDARD by which these "attributions" are
> determined.

I explained it in the posting that started this thread.

> NO STANDARDS EXIST.

Of course standards exist even if they are de facto. Look at everyone's
posting except yours. Why is yours apparently the only exception? Ed
has chosen to correct the problem with the AOL newsreader.

> Not ONE written rule, regulation or law.

The rules may be written down somewhere. I haven't looked for them.

> NO WHERE in any system I have used says "If you are posting to USENET, you
> must (or are advised to...) use the following posting criteria..."

You're right, Steve. It is a gentleman's agreement which all other
posters to this newsgroup seem to be following. You are the only
exception that I am aware of. Are you a gentleman, Steve?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1658. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:29:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B89864F.ECF1F361@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17902

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
>
> >Here is the netnews standard for attributions. Poster1 wrote
> >Posting1, Poster2 wrote Posting2, etc.
>
> This is NOT a "standard". It is your opinion.

Much more than my opinion, Steve. Ask any knowledgable person about it.
>
> Your's is but one way to do it. It is neither "standard" nor is it
> required.

It is not MY way, Steve. It is the de facto standard that has existed
for more than a decade.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1659. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:32:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826193219.13023.00001612@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17903

From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Oh, yes Kandid Karl and the NCI gang "deliberated" him for a whole day while
>the
>League functionaries sat around and congratulated each other.

I do not recall this congratulatory meeting. Can you provide us some details on when it happened? I do recall that ARRL did an ex parte presentation a few days before Carl and Bill.

I am curious -- why did you misspell Carl's name?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1660. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:34:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B898789.9E931CFC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17905

IamwhatIam wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam says...
> > It doesn't count as a QSO with a YL if she weighs 300 lbs, has
> > multiple tatoos, and a Marlboro hanging from her Sherman Williams
> > red lips.
>
> Hey, if she's into Harley's, send her on down to Cecil. Sounds like a
> match made in Hog and Sow heaven
>
> sooooeeeeeeeee Thems a Harley Davidson a coming down the pike

Sorry, the Queen seat on my Softtail is not that wide.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1661. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:35:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826193557.13023.00001613@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17904

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Not a Bad Idea, but we need to get all the Hams to take a REAL TEST FIRST

Well, Bruce, you have been licenced for more than 20 years, so let's give you a real test question:

Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a 2 V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a distance of 200 meters?

A. 0.25 V/m
B. 0.5 V/m
C. 1.0 V/m
D 2.0 V/m

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1662. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:37:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826193728.13023.00001615@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17906

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I know what a VE is, but what the
>heck is a "VE sesion?"

Are we really to believe that you did not understand the meaning of his post?
How do you copy CW in bad conditions where you miss the occasional letter?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1663. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:38:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826193833.13023.00001616@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17907

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Very interesting, but I already knew THAT! Back to the original
>question: What the heck is a "VE sesion?"

Let me give him a hint, Larry.

Hint: He knows what you meant, but he is taking this opportunity to take a shot at you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1664. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:40:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826194033.13023.00001617@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17908

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>OH NO, that couldnt happen, these are Highly Technical People taking the
>EXAMS

That's nonsense, Bruce. I am sure there are a few highly technical people taking today's exams, but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1665. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-26 23:42:36 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826194236.13023.00001618@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 17909

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Whew! Now that we know what Spread spectrum is mnot, what
>mis it?

Now, Larry, there are plenty of opportunities for these "clarifications" on both sides of the "QSO" between him and Bruce. Any reason you are focused on only one half of this little peeing contest?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1666. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 00:35:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826203509.17797.00008972@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17910

>Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a
>2
>V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a
>distance
>of 200 meters?
>
>A. 0.25 V/m
>B. 0.5 V/m
>C. 1.0 V/m
>D 2.0 V/m
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

The answer is" E", and I will give you the correct answer when the New Hams start taking a real test.

1667. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 00:37:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826203712.17797.00008974@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17911

>but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing
>their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and
>just
>plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
WRONG, thats what you did, thats not what I did. I knew the Info

1668. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 00:38:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826203817.17797.00008975@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 17912

>Now, Larry, there are plenty of opportunities for these "clarifications" on
>both sides of the "QSO" between him and Bruce. Any reason you are focused on
>only one half of this little peeing contest?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Because I pee Longer and Further

1669. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:13:58 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q9hi7.63609$gj1.5864938@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17913

Those of us who served in Vietnam understand.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Bob Wexelbaum" <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:6fa2d22d.0108242020.42c47160@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010809032259.01701.00005113@nso-mq.aol.com>...
> > In article <6fa2d22d.0108071117.3bac078@posting.google.com>,
w2ilp@juno.com
> > (Bob Wexelbaum) writes:
> >
> > >I don't know if you remember the news that came out after the Gulf War
> > >was over. It turned out that the Iraquis had harmed no babies and the
> > >reports that they had killed babies in Kuatti hospitals were nothing
> > >but false propaganda.
> >
> >
> > Bob:
> >
> > I don't remember that, because I never heard it. And I never heard it
> > because it was never reported. And it was never reported, because it
> > just wasn't so! The Iraqi Army did commit the atrocities which were
> > well reported after the invasion, including killing *Kuwaiti* babies in
> > their hospital nursery wards.
> >
> > >Obviously those false reports gave hawks a good reason to
> > >intervene...even some of the folks who wouldn't enter a war to help
> > >the oil lobby or the Jewish-American Israeli lobby.
> >
> > Well, the reports weren't false, and they were, indeed, good reason to
> > intervene. Now, pray tell, just what do you suppose the United States
> > should do to "intervene" in the present Middle East crisis? We have
> > supported Israel in every conceivable way, and what do we have for
> > thanks? A largely Jewish-owned and controlled media which has
> > destroyed American culture with it's liberal, socialist propaganda! I
am
> > not an Anti-Semite, but I'm not blind to the facts, either! I believe
that
> > the poor opinion that the typical American angry white man has of
> > Jews is largely well-deserved!
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

> Larry...
> There was a segment of "Sixty Minutes" devoted to this subject. Why
> in the world would the Iraquis harm Kuwatti babies? I tend to believe
> " Sixty Minutes" in this case. The woman who was responsible for
> starting the false propaganda admitted that she was a liar. I believe
> she originally lied in the U.N.
>
> Yep...Propaganda can easily work on most people....even you Larry. I
> don't blame you entirely because you probably don't watch "Sixty
> Minutes". Read what social psychologist, Stanley Milgram wrote about
> the subject of propaganda in general. I myself am surprised by the
> books that are now coming out about lies envolved with the Viet Nam
> war...I mean police action. The authors of the books admit that they
> did not have the guts to inform the press or the public about the real
> truth...because if they did they would be considered traitors.
>
> 73,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignore Lying Propaganda)

1670. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:21:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826212128.12858.00006925@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17914

In article <20010826175950.04436.00002613@mb-fh.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>Here is the netnews standard for attributions. Poster1 wrote
>>Posting1, Poster2 wrote Posting2, etc.
>
> This is NOT a "standard". It is your opinion. No references = No
>standard. This is just "Because I said so..."
>
> Your's is but one way to do it. It is neither "standard" nor is it
>required.
>
> And I don't gt into these "four deep" postings. It's neither accurate
>nor
>is it productive.
>
>Steve

Steve:

The method of Usenet attribution is something similar to "good operational practice" on the air as a licensed ham. It just makes sense, and when followed, benefits us all. What problem could you possibly have with that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1671. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:21:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826212128.12858.00006924@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17916

In article <20010826192407.13023.00001611@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>However, the one thing they
>>can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
>>Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
>>licensing standards.
>
>Neither can I, Larry. I was licensed when the testing was a lot easier than
>it is today.

Ed:

I see. Well, then, you cannot claim to have been licensed during the time when the ARS had it's most challenging and comprehensive licensing standards. However, since ham radio is what hams make of it, and hams are what they make of themselves, I'd say you've done quite well for yourself, in spite of the low licensing standards in place at the time you ascended "through the ranks."

Hams that have come along since April 15, 2000, seem to be sensitive to the fact of the licensing standards having been "dumbed down," and I can appreciate their concern. Perhaps it would have been better to leave well enough alone!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1672. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:21:29 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826212129.12858.00006926@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17917

In article <Qrbi7.139981$EP6.38657406@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "Popeye"
<oliveoil@brutus.com> writes:

>
>" I am a regular participant in the Usenet newsgroup
>"rec.radio.amateur.policy," which is a forum for discussing policy matters
>related to Amateur Radio."
>
>Larry I see you are living up to your expectations, what does this have to
>do with amateur policy?

This newsgroup is the venue for discussion of amateur radio policy
issues, and the Morse Code testing requirements are a principal and
specifically on-topic issue here. In fact, this newsgroup was started
to get the controversial and sometimes heated code/no-code "debate"
off the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.misc. As is done in many other
newsgroups, we occasionally delve into "off-topic" areas; but there
is more tolerance for that here than in other newsgroups. I've been
in this newsgroup for years, and it has always been thus, so the die
is cast, past practice is set, and that's the way it will be forever.
Thank you for your inquiry.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1673. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:21:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826212130.12858.00006928@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17915

In article <3B894454.6604773E@mailcity.com>, GH <nbcred@mailcity.com> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> You must, however, accept the fact that your fellow hams licensed
>> prior to April 15, 2000 did so under a more challenging and comprehensive
>> set of licensing requirements -- and therefore are deserving of higher
>> status as hams than you are.
>
>Yep, they were forced to take more rigorous tests.

Good Housekeeping:

Some may have been, I can't say for sure. All I can say is that all the ham radio tests I took at the FCC Field Office in Baltimore were totally voluntary on my part. Nobody there locked me in the testing room, chained me to a desk, stuck a sharp #2 pencil in my hand, and threatened to keep me there indefinitely, without food, water, or bathroom breaks, until I took and passed all my tests! It just didn't happen -- there was absolutely no "force" used, whatsoever! I'm sorry to hear that conditions at your testing sessions were so harsh!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1674. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:21:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826212130.12858.00006927@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17918

In article <o49iotsus85m848qj7g93mppmsu6dv85l4@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>
>>You must, however, accept the fact that your fellow hams licensed
>>prior to April 15, 2000 did so under a more challenging and comprehensive
>>set of licensing requirements -- and therefore are deserving of higher
>>status as hams than you are.
>
>____
>
>A psychologist would say this was written by a person having low
>self-esteem. Bashing others elevates himself, but only in his own eyes,
>not anyone else's.
>
>Pathetic.
>
>Bill, W7TI

Bill:

A psychologist would say that you were trying to over-identify with
the masses, in order to secure personal popularity within your peer
group. I didn't "bash" anyone -- I merely spoke the truth. People
who have achieved more by meeting tougher qualifications have
earned and deserve higher status than those who don't. I don't
share your Communist philosophy that ham radio has to be all things
to all people, and that all hams are exactly, identically alike in their
standing among their peers. If you are too weak and immature in
your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
yourself to do so.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1675. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:36:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89A427.3A1C929@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17919

N2EY wrote:
>
> If slavery had not existed, the
> confederacy would not have existed.

If a lot of things had not existed, there would have been no
confederacy. So what is your point?

> Think about this: Where is Fort
> Sumter? What was the % slave population
> of that state in 1860?

So you're saying because South Carolina fought the first battle with
Fort Sumter, and South Carolina had slaves, those two issues are
somehow linked - South Carolina attacked Fort Sumter because of
slavery? If that is true, how would a battle with Fort Sumter decide
the issue of slavery?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1676. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:48:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89A6D2.B307BCB6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17920

N2EY wrote:
>
> > It might help matters if you guys
> > shopped at different stores, trimmed
> > those sloppy beards, and stopped
> > wearing those silly, out of date,
> > swords.
>
> Belay that or I run ye though with cold
> steel!

There you go with that sword thing again. Try working on your people skills, Long Jim. By the way, could you imagine someone saying that (work on your people skills) to a pirate years ago? I'm surprised someone hasn't worked that (modern job standards) into a pirate movie. It would be funny.

> > And finding a woman might do wonders
> > for your disposition (I think you've
> > all spent way too much time with those
> > cannons). ;-)
>
> One in every port, me lad, one in every
> port! ARRRRRR!

But aren't you wanted by the authorities in most ports? No wonder pirates had such a poor disposition - the authorities were interfering with the pirate's love life.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1677. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:56:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826215657.12858.00006937@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17921

In article <20010826193557.13023.00001613@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a
>2
>V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a
>distance
>of 200 meters?
>
>A. 0.25 V/m
>B. 0.5 V/m
>C. 1.0 V/m
>D 2.0 V/m

Ed:

My answer: B. 0.5 V/m. Not that I was asked.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1678. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 01:56:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826215657.12858.00006938@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17922

In article <20010826193728.13023.00001615@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>I know what a VE is, but what the
>>heck is a "VE sesion?"
>
>Are we really to believe that you did not understand the meaning of his post?
>
>How do you copy CW in bad conditions where you miss the occasional letter?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

If I were copying all of this stuff over-the-air, I wouldn't have any problem, would I? However, this is Usenet, with landline, fiber-optic, and satellite-based comms which are 100% accurate, thus leaving operator errors, such as typos, hanging out in the glare -- since they could have been found with a cursory proof-reading and corrected before hitting "Send." I do this 100 percent of the time; and I do it in order to show respect for my readers and to gain respect for the way I present my ideas.

I'm sure that you, Ed, with your self-serving political correctness in place, are much more tolerant of blatant, childish errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation, and capitalization since this isn't a formal, academic forum. To each his own; but as for me, I'll stick with writing in a manner which reflects a mature, intelligent, grown-up adult attitude toward how I am seen in print. The rest of you may as well head for a "chat room" and hang out with the kiddies!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1679. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 02:43:09 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1tii7.63780$gj1.5880547@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17923

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010826215657.12858.00006937@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <20010826193557.13023.00001613@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >
> >Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field
is a
> >2
> >V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a
> >distance
> >of 200 meters?
> >
> >A. 0.25 V/m
> >B. 0.5 V/m
> >C. 1.0 V/m
> >D 2.0 V/m
>
> Ed:
>
> My answer: B. 0.5 V/m. Not that I was asked.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Sign me up for C 1.0

1680. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 02:45:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89B447.CE54E78E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17924

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Sorry, Dwight. And, certainly sorry
> to hear of your wife's problems with
> finding a job. However, that it had
> anything to do with the fact that she's
> "southern" is highly questionable. It
> is inherently more difficult to find
> a job in the north than it is in the
> south, that's just a plain fact.

I don't want to turn this into a discussion solely about my wife, so I'll just let that drop by saying that I would accept that if the facts supported it.

> Dwight, I *am* a northerner, living in
> the south. And, your experience is
> just not mine. Well, save that I believe
> it's the same in both regions--there's
> just as much anti-northerner crap that
> used to go on here when I first moved
> down, as there is anti-southerner crap
> up north. However, all that has subsided
> quite a bit over the past 15 years. And,
> it's no different than "territory." When
> I returned to my little rural in NY a
> few years back, I quite resented the
> fact that so many city-dwellers had moved
> in and "taken over."

Oh, I quite agree - things are changing. In fact, I think I even said so in an earlier message. My point is that we still have more room for that change. And I think attitudes is a good gauge of that change. But my concern is that I see those attitudes changing way too slowly. And many of those attitudes (both north and south) are not going to change until we address, and bury the hatchet (so to speak), on many of the issues that still linger from as far back as the civil war period.

> As to the comments about economy, I
> think that is just "the way it is." I
> can tell you for a fact that there's
> a lot more ignorance in the south than
> there is in the north. And, that is
> my personal experience.

But, my point is that everywhere else "ignorance" exists, the
government has made a massive effort to address it. But, in the south,
it is simply dismissed - that is just the way southerners are. Look at
the federal money being spent in California on Spanish language
education. Look at the amount of federal money being spent on inner
city schools in the larger northern cities. Where is the comparative
effort in the south? Why does this country consider ignorance
elsewhere an important issue to address, but the same thing in the
south just something to laugh about (back to my Beverly Hillbilles example).

> And, in the north I was quite rural;
> in the south I am quite urban
> (working right smack dab in the
> middle of downtown Dallas, even). So,
> should the comparison be made between
> north and south, rural and urban,
> country and city, or any combination
> thereof?

It is reasonable to make comparisons between similar entities
(similar sized cities, towns, etc) in different regions. As for asking
why there should be any comparisons at all, I can only answer that
we're supposed to be one country (with equal opportunities, equal
treatment by the government, and with equal access to the privileges
of being an American). The only way to insure those equalities is to
make comparisons.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1681. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 03:01:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89B7EE.DB2B43F9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17925

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Oh, I see what you're fussing
> > about. You're right, the norm
> > today is to have nothing in
> > front of the last quote, but
> > there are a number of
> > newsreaders that still add
> > something anyway.
>
> They should be corrected to match
> the de facto attrubute standard.
> Otherwise, nobody can tell who
> said what.

Actually, I would really rather see this "standard" changed, Cecil. Many of the newer newsreaders allow colors to be added to quoted text. But all of them ignore that first line exactly because there is nothing in front of it (Steve's messages look fine because he has added something in front of that line). Anyway, I suspect we'll see this "standard" slowly change as this color coded message structure catches on with all newsreaders.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1682. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 03:05:19 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89B8CF.830E76D0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17926

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight just took a close look and
> now sees what is wrong. (snip)

Since it's an accepted standard, not a fixed rule, lets not use the
word "wrong," Cecil. That word is too negative for this situation.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1683. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 03:17:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B89BBDC.6A2CAB97@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17927

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Actually, I would really rather see this "standard" changed, Cecil.
> Many of the newer newsreaders allow colors to be added to quoted text.
> But all of them ignore that first line exactly because there is
> nothing in front of it (Steve's messages look fine because he has
> added something in front of that line). Anyway, I suspect we'll see
> this "standard" slowly change as this color coded message structure
> catches on with all newsreaders.

This is a plain ASCII text newsgroup. Color will be difficult.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1684. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 03:22:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89BCCB.CF9B9EC4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17928

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> (snip) You must, however, accept the
> fact that your fellow hams licensed
> prior to April 15, 2000 did so under
> a more challenging and comprehensive
> set of licensing requirements -- and
> therefore are deserving of higher
> status as hams than you are. (snip)

Larry, ham radio is not about status. If you think it is, then you really have missed the point of this outstanding hobby.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1685. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 03:34:19 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826233419.03529.00002834@mb-fp.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17929

>>It is a British slang for money, like a buck is here.
>>
>>Bert Craig wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> What's a quid?
>
>Specifically, it's slang for the British Pound Sterling.

Thanks, GH and Larry.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1686. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 03:35:38 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010826233538.03529.00002835@mb-fp.news.cs.com>
Source record: 17930

>Bert:
>
>My club uses a virtually identical arrangement during Field Day,
>always with exceptional results! Carry on!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Thanks Larry,

Now where did I put that soldering iron...?

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1687. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 04:17:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B89C9B7.70022A46@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17931

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> (snip) Therefore, I don't see how you
> can perceive historical fact as
> justification or displacing blame. I
> live in the this century (not in the
> days of slavery and the civil war),
> so I have nothing to justify and no
> blame to displace. Or are you saying
> that I do?

Notice this question went unanswered (not a single person responded). Far too many in this country today still believe that we are responsible for the ills of the past - that we should be held accountable for something that happened long before we were even born. In fact, it is exactly this mentality that drives the present civil rights movement (blacks should receive favorable treatment because their ancestors were mistreated and those are the people who did it). And, again, this is a very harmful way of thinking because it so easily justifies mistreatment of another group. For example, when applied to civil rights, it justifies black only colleges while the same is illegal for whites, black only political organizations while the same is illegal for whites, and widespread discrimination against whites by black owned businesses.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1688. Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 04:18:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B89CA06.4C8DA47C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 17932

So we haven't been swamped by hoards of new hams. So
what would you suggest?

Maybe a few well written ads in CB magizines? What, recruit
the unwashed!? Why not, some CBers may not be aware that
there's more to 2 way radio than 11 meters. DX HF not subject
to sunspot cycles. No legal restriction on how far.
Legal use of linears. More "barefoot" power. Around the world DX.
Contests (do CBers have contests?). Worked All States and other
such awards and/or goals. More different communications modes
SSB, AM, FM, various digital modes, satellites, repeaters.
Legal experimentation on rigs, antennas, name it.
Less sillyness and more orderlyness. Didn't like some hams you
met? Forget it, there's lots others you will like.
No business traffic to compete with.

I'm not talking about the truckers who just "use" the CB
radios for short range, or the ratchet jawers, and such.

1689. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 04:34:40 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <y5ki7.2817$4Z3.420702@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 17933

As one of those ignorant newbies studying for his first ticket, can someone help me here? I thought it depended on the wavelength.

Now that ignorant comment should give lots of ammunition to those who think new hams should be shot.

Paul Friedman

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010826203509.17797.00008972@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a
> >2
> >V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a
> >distance
> >of 200 meters?
> >
> >A. 0.25 V/m
> >B. 0.5 V/m
> >C. 1.0 V/m
> >D 2.0 V/m
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
> The answer is" E", and I will give you the correct answer when the New Hams
> start taking a real test.

1690. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:10:41 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827021041.22119.00003076@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17934

Try setting up displays at malls and other places where non-hams tend to gather. Believe it or not there is a large number of the public that are not aware of ham radio. There are thousands of people that are using the FRS radios that would like to have a better system to keep in contact with each other. As one source try people that have FRS radios. We sell FRS radio where I work and I have brougt a few people into the hobby. I keep a supply of ARRL handouts to give to people. I also keep a supply of the ARRL Archie comic books for the kids. If each ham brought juse one new person into the hobby in one year we would double the number of people in the hobby.

1691. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:12:54 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827021254.22119.00003077@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17935

A typical Bruce cop out. He will never answere any question. COuld it be he does not know the answer.

1692. Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:23:45 UTC
From: kelvinuu@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <4b1c102a.0108262223.4609e311@posting.google.com>
Source record: 17936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi everybody,

Can anyone tell me the standard response to "ï¿½K---ï¿½K" (Morse code SOS).

Thanks in advance for your help.

Kelvin

1693. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:23:48 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827022348.22119.00003083@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17937

I already gave you the answer. Or maybe you are just an ass.

1694. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:30:44 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827023044.22119.00003088@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17938

>Since the rules for publication have been relaxed

Have you ever read a VE Manual. It would seem that have not.

>Appears of late that it also means the session before the exam where the VEs
>give out the answers to the upcoming tests.

I have been to many VE test sessions, I have never seen anyone get answers before a test session, Give me date and times. To make such a blanket statement shows that are an igorant ahole. That would be like saying all Hams with a 1 by 2 call are aholes which I know is not the case.

1695. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:32:34 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827023234.22119.00003090@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17939

> OH NO, that couldnt happen, these are Highly Technical People taking the
>EXAMS

In other words they are, you are not technical. Thats what I thought.

1696. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:33:18 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827023318.22119.00003091@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17940

Bruce does not want to be bothered by facts.

1697. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:41:53 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827024153.22119.00003092@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17941

Lets face it Ed, Bruce is not the type of ham that the ham radio wants to represented by. It
makes me wonder how many future hams Bruce and Richard, and the other practitioners
of ageism have kept out of the hobby. They are just starting to realize that they have are
of no use to the ham radio community. I am still waiting for answers to my question to
Richard regarding public services he has performed, Bruce has already admitted the he
never has.

1698. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:51:41 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827025141.22119.00003094@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17942

Gee Larry all that form the person who insist on misspelling my screen name Dripschittfrench, rather than Drpfrench. I guess you do not have to live by your own
rules. It would seem that you have NO VALID arguments to anything that I have posted.
You are just another ham radio operator that likes to practice ageism. Just another old
Dinosaur headed for the tar pits.

KF6VYH (THe better ham)

1699. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:53:21 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827025321.22119.00003095@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17943

It is because Larry and Bruce are out of the same mold. The one with the cracks.

1700. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:55:28 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827025528.22119.00003096@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17944

That was a real smart answer. The typical Bruce, Duuuuhhhhh, I don't know, I will just
make something up.

1701. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 06:58:25 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827025825.22119.00003097@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17945

Gee Bruce, My girlfriend would not agree with you. But of course my girlfriend has two
legs, unlike yours with four legs and a beard.

1702. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 07:05:09 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827030509.22119.00003098@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 17946

Larry the Bigot shows his true colrs

.>Jim:
>
>It doesn't count as a QSO with a YL if she weighs 300 lbs, has
>multiple tatoos, and a Marlboro hanging from her Sherman Williams
>red lips.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

1703. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 09:52:14 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iLoi7.28610$Ki1.2453225@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17947

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010827023044.22119.00003088@mb-fl.aol.com...
> >Since the rules for publication have been relaxed
>
> Have you ever read a VE Manual. It would seem that have not.
>
> >Appears of late that it also means the session before the exam where the
VEs
> >give out the answers to the upcoming tests.
>
> I have been to many VE test sessions, I have never seen anyone get answers
> before a test session, Give me date and times. To make such a blanket
> statement shows that are an igorant ahole. That would be like saying all
Hams
> with a 1 by 2 call are aholes which I know is not the case.
>
>

The reference is to a current investigation. See Rain Report.

Show some class; it's 'dangling hemorrhoid' not ahole.

Did the VE thing for a lot of years and saw nothing dishonest nor would
allow it to even appear as possible if I could help it. Standards seem to
have dropped a bit but I doubt if it is all that widespread.

Take a breath.

Dick NBK

1704. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:17:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827061738.21455.00004001@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17948

From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net

>Did the VE thing for a lot of years and saw nothing dishonest nor would
>allow it to even appear as possible if I could help it. Standards seem to
>have dropped a bit but I doubt if it is all that widespread.

There are thousands of test sessions, and only a handful a year are called into question. I think the VE system can hold its head high. All of the major VBCs have had their day in the unwanted spotlight. If memory serves, this time it is an ARRL session that is up for review.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1705. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:20:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827062037.21455.00004002@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17949

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>Try setting up displays at malls and other places where non-hams tend to
>gather. Believe it or not there is a large number of the public that are not
>aware of ham radio. There are thousands of people that are using the FRS
>radios
>that would like to have a better system to keep in contact with each other.
>As
>one source try people that have FRS radios. We sell FRS radio where I work
>and
>I have brougt a few people into the hobby. I keep a supply of ARRL handouts
>to
>give to people. I also keep a supply of the ARRL Archie comic books for the
>kids. If each ham brought juse one new person into the hobby in one year we
>would double the number of people in the hobby.

Good ideas all.

I am not sure Amateur Radio could sustain that high of a growth rate. When the
No-Code Tech came along, there were more newcomers than willing
more-experienced hams to elmer them into the hobby. The result is that a lot
of these newcomers did not stay in ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1706. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:23:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827062325.21455.00004003@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17950

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> People who have achieved more by meeting tougher qualifications have
>earned and deserve higher status than those who don't.

People who achieved more by any method can feel proud of those accomplishments, Larry. I entered ham radio in the 60s, when exams were easier than they are today, and probably a LOT easier than the exams you took. Do you think that you are a better ham than I because of that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1707. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:24:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827062424.21455.00004004@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17951

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> If you are too weak and immature in
>your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
>at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
>yourself to do so.

I see nothing courageous in the way you treat others here, Larry. Nothing at
all. Don't kid yourself.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1708. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:28:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827062825.21455.00004005@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17952

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hams that have come along since April 15, 2000, seem to be sensitive
>to the fact of the licensing standards having been "dumbed down," and I can
>appreciate their concern.

I have never seen one single new ham here post how concerned he or she is about how dumbed down their tests were. I have seen you do so -- again and again and again and again and again and again -- literally ad nauseum. You do not appreciate their concern, Larry -- you are trying to create concern where none need exist.

>Perhaps it would have been better to leave well
>enough alone!

Perhaps it would have been better to leave things well enough alone. The FCC made its decision, though, didn't it. It it was better to leave things well enough alone then, perhaps it is still better to leave things well enough alone now. I see no value -- none whatsoever -- to Amateur Radio from the actions and words of those whose only intent is to sow discontent, distrust and bad feelings. You, my friend, are one of those people and you are, IMHO, causing harm to Amateur Radio and your fellows.

"Courageous" enough for you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1709. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:30:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827063042.21455.00004006@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17953

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>The answer is" E", and I will give you the correct answer when the New Hams
>start taking a real test.

It is my guess that this is the only way you can find to tell us that you don't know the answer.

That's okay, maybe someday you will be able to pass my "real" test question and become a "real ham."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1710. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:40:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827064020.21455.00004007@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17954

From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net

>As one of those ignorant newbies studying for his first ticket, can someone
>help me here? I thought it depended on the wavelength.

Hi, Paul,

First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this week, methinks.)

The field strength from an antenna is not dependent on wavelength. Think in terms of a radiating source and a sphere. If 100 watts is put into the antenna, it must, by definition, be spread out on the interior surface of that sphere. If an antenna is a point source that radiates equally in all directions, the 100 watts will be spread out equally on the sphere. The power density, power/unit area, will not change with frequency.

What will change, however, is the coupling between two isotropic radiators at a given distance. (The Readers Digest version of the explanation is that the amount of energy captured by an antenna from a given field DOES vary with frequency.)

The formula for path loss is, if memory serves,

Pathloss=32.45+20log(D)+20log(F) where D is in kilometers and F is in MHz.

If you work all the math backwards, you could calculate that the path loss between two points increases by 6 dB every time distance or frequency is doubled. So, if you have a power density of 0.2 mw/cm^2 (200 microwatts of power is being absorbed by each square centimeter of that sphere) at 100 meters distance, you would have a power density 6 dB less (1/4 power) at 200 meters distance.

Now, all those hams who have not taken an exam before the FCC sit back and see if my hint above lets one of the old timers get the answer right. Bruce? Dick?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1711. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:46:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827064625.21455.00004009@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17955

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>To each his own; but as for me, I'll stick with writing
>in a manner which reflects a mature, intelligent, grown-up adult attitude
>toward how I am seen in print.

I agree that spelling and grammar can be helpful in communicating ideas, but I guess my ability to overlook them and get to the meat of the point being made is better than yours. If I am going to respond to a post, I prefer to respond to the content of the idea, not to relatively less-important ideas like spelling, grammar and typos. Clearly you disagree, but, as you say, to each his own.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1712. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:49:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8A259F.94B1AC9B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 17956

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Actually, I would really rather
> > see this "standard" changed, Cecil.
> > Many of the newer newsreaders allow
> > colors to be added to quoted text.
> > But all of them ignore that first
> > line exactly because there is
> > nothing in front of it (Steve's
> > messages look fine because he has
> > added something in front of that
> > line). Anyway, I suspect we'll see
> > this "standard" slowly change as
> > this color coded message structure
> > catches on with all newsreaders.
>
> This is a plain ASCII text newsgroup.
> Color will be difficult.

The newsreader provides the color when viewing messages. For example, using the quote above as an example, the part you wrote would be blue and the part I wrote would be gray (those are my selected colors, other reader may vary). The only part that would not be colored is the "CAM wrote:" at the top because nothing appears in front of it. This color coding makes it very easy to separate various layers of quotes.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1713. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:50:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827065005.21455.00004010@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17957

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>I am still waiting for answers to my
>question to
>Richard regarding public services he has performed, Bruce has already
>admitted
>the he
>never has.

From what I have seen, Bruce will give you whatever answer he thinks will get your dander up.

Dick has, IMHO, paid his dues in the performance of public service, with years of being a VE and related work. Any calling into question what he has done for ham radio is out of line, IMHO. If you want to call into question some of the things he is doing to ham radio here, I would say that this is an appropriate place to do so, but trying to slap him with his past is not going to work.

BTW, I have not performed nearly enough public service in my years of being a ham. That is okay, though, because there are many, many ways to serve and I think I have found the one that suits me. The end result for ham radio has generally been positive.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1714. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:50:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8A2603.AAE16084@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17958

W1RFI wrote:
> Now, all those hams who have not taken an exam before the FCC sit back and see
> if my hint above lets one of the old timers get the answer right. Bruce?
> Dick?

Hint? Seems to me you solved the problem.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1715. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 10:53:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827065334.21455.00004011@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 17959

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing
>>their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and
>>just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.

> WRONG, thats what you did, thats not what I did. I knew the Info

I will accept that, Bruce, because I don't know what you knew and what you memorized. No one could know. The only thing that is known is that you and I both passed our tests and the FCC didn't think it needed to know how much I memorized and how much I learned. Same is true today.

I think everyone reading this had a different balance between learning, memorizing, lucky guess and hoping a few subjects didn't appear on their exams -- just like every ham who takes an exam today. But the premise I see from the doom and gloomers seems to imply that everyone who takes an exam today is entering ham radio not to learn anything and is word-associating over 1000 questions without any understanding. I believe that premise to be flawed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1716. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 11:08:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8A2A11.7D26D10D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17960

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Dwight just took a close look and now sees what is wrong. (snip)
>
> Since it's an accepted standard, not a fixed rule, lets not use the
> word "wrong," Cecil. That word is too negative for this situation.

Not wrong? Dwight, it's downright evil. :-) Sorry, It's one of my
pet peeves and I have been obsessing over it. For that, I appologize
to Steve and the group. I'll take a day off and try to find my Prozac.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1717. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 11:30:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8A2F6E.53577CC0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 17961

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> The newsreader provides the color when viewing messages. For
> example, using the quote above as an example, the part you wrote would
> be blue and the part I wrote would be gray (those are my selected
> colors, other reader may vary). The only part that would not be
> colored is the "CAM wrote:" at the top because nothing appears in
> front of it. This color coding makes it very easy to separate various
> layers of quotes.

So your newsreader keys on the ">"'s at the beginning of each line?
How does it handle

> Poster2

> > Posting2

Will these two lines be displayed in different colors?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1718. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:39:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827083924.17542.00004456@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17962

>A typical Bruce cop out. He will never answere any question. COuld it be he
>does not know the answer.
>

Heres what sad you dont even know what the Question is.

1719. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:40:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827084009.17542.00004457@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17963

>I already gave you the answer. Or maybe you are just an ass.
>

Why are you so Interested in Body parts

1720. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:42:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827084232.17542.00004459@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17964

>In other words they are, you are not technical. Thats what I thought.

You wouldnt know a Technical Exam if it jumped up and bit you in the ASS

1721. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:44:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827084417.17542.00004460@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17965

>It
>makes me wonder how many future hams Bruce and Richard, and the other
>practitioners
>of ageism have kept out of the hobby.

I guess not enough you are here

1722. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:47:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827084724.17542.00004462@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17966

>It is because Larry and Bruce are out of the same mold. The one with the
>cracks.

And you are out of the CB mold, you still havent answered my question, dont be shy, we all know, but tell us what is your CB Handle Good Buddy?

1723. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:50:07 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827085007.17542.00004463@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17967

>It is my guess that this is the only way you can find to tell us that you
>don't
>know the answer.
>
>That's okay, maybe someday you will be able to pass my "real" test question
>and
>become a "real ham."
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Tell you what I will do ED, I will answer it the same way the New Hams do it, give me the correct answer, then give me a week to Memorize it, and then I will answer it.

1724. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:55:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827085515.17542.00004464@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17968

>But the premise I see from the
>doom and gloomers seems to imply that everyone who takes an exam today is
>entering ham radio not to learn anything and is word-associating over 1000
>questions without any understanding. I believe that premise to be flawed.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

We know its flawed, but you dont believe it is happening, ask, I have, an what I have been told is thats the way its done. And since it is being done that way, why should there even be a test, it serves no purpose, its outdated.

1725. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:56:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827085625.17542.00004465@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17969

>That was a real smart answer. The typical Bruce, Duuuuhhhhh, I don't know, I
>will just
>make something up.

You cant even make up something, by the way what is your CB Handle?

1726. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 12:57:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827085751.17542.00004466@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 17970

>Gee Bruce, My girlfriend would not agree with you. But of course my
>girlfriend
>has two
>legs, unlike yours with four legs and a beard.
>

You should hear what your girlfreind told me about you last night

1727. Re: Three hypocritical ham magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 13:12:54 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qHri7.28734$Ki1.2472390@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17971

Bob Wexelbaum <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:

> Doesn't AT&T still stand for American Telephone & TELEGRAPH, even
> though the telegraph is now commercially obsolete?

I thought all this E-Mail BS over the Internet was telegraphy.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB
Glendale, Arizona
E-Mail: j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net

1728. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 13:16:50 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6Lri7.28739$Ki1.2473150@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17972

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:

> Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
> both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal
> of theirs. Does that help?

Wasn't Connie Lingus the last Lockheed Constellation in
active service for the Irish airline, Aer Lingus?

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1729. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:12:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827101207.25732.00005241@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17973

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>I have explained what is wrong. You have chosen to ignore the attribute
>standards for netnews.

You have yet to provide the source of the "standard" or agreement. There
is NO set standard.

I really is THAT simple.

Steve

1730. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:22:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827102205.25732.00005242@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17974

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:12 PM Central Daylight Time

>I explained the standards in another posting.

"Because I said so" is NOT a standard OR agreement.

>Because you were.

"Because I said so" is NOT validation.

>Steve threatens to sue Cecil for objecting to the mucking of the attributes.

Hmmmm.....Cecil rasies big stink about "attributes" and alleged
"gentleman's agreements", yet violates one of the alleged agreements vis-a-vis
discussing private e mail vs NG postings.

Again, you pick and choose your assertions of what is right and wrong as
it pleases YOU, Not what is right.

Guess your sense of indignation varies with how well you think it's going
to support your current rants, eh...?!?!

1731. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:33:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827103326.25732.00005243@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17975

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:27 PM Central Daylight Time

>Of course standards exist even if they are de facto. Look at everyone's
>posting except yours.

There is nothing wrong with my posts. (save they constantly nip at your
heels)

If the standards exist, why can't you produce them?

>> Not ONE written rule, regulation or law.
>
>The rules may be written down somewhere. I haven't looked for them.

You haven't looked for them...?!?!

You call me a liar and unethical for allegedly violating some obscure
"standard", yet you do so based on "standards" that "may" be written down
somewhere...?!?!

You can't locate them...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

WHAT A RUSH!

>You're right, Steve. It is a gentleman's agreement which all other
>posters to this newsgroup seem to be following.

And there is no problem with my posts. Sorry. Everyone knows who I am
talking to and about.

1732. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:35:32 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <egmkotcfufs2i0mc3outqhl8nlmhv2v0ir@4ax.com>
Source record: 17976

On 27 Aug 2001 01:21:30 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>Bill:
>
>A psychologist would say that you were trying to over-identify with
>the masses, in order to secure personal popularity within your peer
>group. I didn't "bash" anyone -- I merely spoke the truth. People
>who have achieved more by meeting tougher qualifications have
>earned and deserve higher status than those who don't. I don't
>share your Communist philosophy that ham radio has to be all things
>to all people, and that all hams are exactly, identically alike in their
>standing among their peers. If you are too weak and immature in
>your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
>at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
>yourself to do so.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

TROLL RATING: ***1/2

CRITIQUE: An above average troll. Shows much past experience and good
organization of thoughts. Accusation of being "Communist" gains extra
credit, as do various unfounded comments on the nature of hams and ham
radio. Finishing with an appeal to 1st amendment rights is always a
good way to exit.

IMPROVEMENT NEEDED ON: Next time, do it anonymously so people won't
think you are an idiot as well as a troller.

Bill, W7TI

1733. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:38:25 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <bpmkots38749v82t6n9bq6f08o2lm6cv0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 17977

On 27 Aug 2001 01:21:29 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>I've been
>in this newsgroup for years

I can only try to imagine what being on this newsgroup for years does to
one's brain cells. Not a pretty sight.

Bill, W7TI

1734. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:39:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827103915.25732.00005244@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17978

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:29 PM Central Daylight Time

>Much more than my opinion, Steve. Ask any knowledgable person about it.

This has been going on for over a week now and no one has produced the
requested "standards".

1735. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 14:51:07 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <q7ti7.1235$Vx4.76081@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 17979

Thanks, Ed

Your explanation was very helpful and straightened out the confusion I had between the two different concepts (field strength and path loss).

Paul Friedman

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010827064020.21455.00004007@mb-mr.aol.com...
> From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>
> >As one of those ignorant newbies studying for his first ticket, can someone
> >help me here? I thought it depended on the wavelength.
>
> Hi, Paul,
>
> First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it
> should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this
> week, methinks.)
>
> The field strength from an antenna is not dependent on wavelength. Think in
> terms of a radiating source and a sphere. If 100 watts is put into the antenna,
> it must, by definition, be spread out on the interior surface of that sphere.
> If an antenna is a point source that radiates equally in all directions, the
> 100 watts will be spread out equally on the sphere. The power density,
> power/unit area, will not change with frequency.
>
> What will change, however, is the coupling between two isotropic radiators at a
> given distance. (The Readers Digest version of the explanation is that the
> amount of energy captured by an antenna from a given field DOES vary with
> frequency.)
>
> The formula for path loss is, if memory serves,
>
> Pathloss=32.45+20log(D)+20log(F) where D is in kilometers and F is in MHz.
>
> If you work all the math backwards, you could calculate that the path loss

> between two points increases by 6 dB every time distance or frequency is
> doubled. So, if you have a power density of 0.2 mw/cm^2 (200 microwatts
of
> power is being absorbed by each square centimeter of that sphere) at 100
meters
> distance, you would have a power density 6 dB less (1/4 power) at 200
meters
> distance.
>
> Now, all those hams who have not taken an exam before the FCC sit back and
see
> if my hint above lets one of the old timers get the answer right. Bruce?
> Dick?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

1736. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:01:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827110140.25732.00005246@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17980

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 08/26/2001 8:21 PM Central Daylight Time

>The method of Usenet attribution is something similar to "good
>operational practice" on the air as a licensed ham.

I agree. And those "practices" (on the air) are well documented and
published in numerous journals and textbooks.

Cecil has yet to produce anything except "Because I said so..." when
tasked with producing these other supposedly rigid "agreements". If they were
so critical, they would be "up front" in the NG readers.

They are not.

>What problem could you possibly have with that?

I don't. Larry, I have reposted and cited what you have sent me letter
for letter like I would one from Cecil.

Can you find ANY evidence that one word of anything YOU said or that I said
is in error?

Is there any question as to WHO is involved in the conversation?

In as much as both are "no", there has been no foul.

We are Amateurs. Clarity of communications are a hallmark of our service.
I have "faithfully" quoted and cited Cecil's posts.

If he make some issue out of an arrow that the newsreader is, for whatever
reason, not enetering, "oh well"...I have acted in good faith. I am sorry he
has to make an issue of it. It wasn't up until he started getting the short
end of the stick in another thread!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1737. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:04:43 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <i4okot0out2kih40tc7c6hkbgpffr7pt03@4ax.com>
Source record: 17981

On Sun, 26 Aug 2001 23:18:15 -0500, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>So we haven't been swamped by hoards of new hams. So
>what would you suggest?

Before we get into how to attract new hams, we should answer the
question "why".

Do you just want massive numbers of new people? Speaking for myself,
the answer is no. I would prefer people who are interested in the art
and science of radio. The vast majority of people are just yakkers and
I'd prefer they not become licensed. Let's be more selective, please.

Bill, W7TI

1738. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:06:48 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <2dokotckvleat6dncvvi22aft8r2v33fti@4ax.com>
Source record: 17982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 26 Aug 2001 23:23:45 -0700, kelvinuu@bigfoot.com (kelvin) wrote:

>Hi everybody,
>
>Can anyone tell me the standard response to "ï¿½K---ï¿½K" (Morse code SOS).
>
>Thanks in advance for your help.
>
>Kelvin

In nearly all cases, the standard response to an SOS is to notify the
FCC of an illegal transmission. :-)

Bill, W7TI

1739. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:07:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827110705.25732.00005247@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17983

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:16 PM Central Daylight Time

>Look at this posting, Steve. You didn't give me credit for what
>I posted and instead gave me credit for a posting that has
>apparently been deleted.

Nothing was deleted. You were cited specifically.

>If that's not a false attribute then
>God didn't make little green apples.
>--

Better start figuring out who did, then.

Steve

1740. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:08:29 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8A5490.5D1845AD@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17984

You don't have to imagine, just look at some of the postings. Silly arguing over who/what started the Civil War, barter vs bought vs purchased, the use of > and >>, all in a thread that started out about unlicensed operators using SSB on the lower end of 40 meters. I don't believe I have ever seen a group take a subject and go so far off on a tangent and argue like 10 years olds about such trivial matters as this one.

Bill Turner wrote:

>
> I can only try to imagine what being on this newsgroup for years does to
> one's brain cells. Not a pretty sight.
>
> Bill, W7TI

1741. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:08:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827110854.25732.00005248@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17985

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:22 PM Central Daylight Time

>Of course standards exist, Steve.

Then produce them.

1742. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:13:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827111329.25732.00005249@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17986

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 08/26/2001 6:17 PM Central Daylight Time

>I think that "violat" is listed in the appendix to the dictionary, right
>along
>with these gems:

You are quite correct on the errors I made, Ed, but I am not the one making the point of allgedly wavering from some set standards that Cecil cannot produce.

He is the one "setting the standards", not me.

73

Steve

1743. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:36:52 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8A5B2C.DF7C988E@mailcity.com>
Source record: 17987

Larry is still upset because he was forced to take and pass all those test to get a license.

W1RFI wrote:

> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> > If you are too weak and immature in
> >your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
> >at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
> >yourself to do so.
>
> I see nothing courageous in the way you treat others here, Larry. Nothing at
> all. Don't kid yourself.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1744. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:44:05 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827114405.25889.00004784@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17988

>The result is that a lot
>of these newcomers did not stay in ham radio.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

This is a problem with all hobbies, people get into them and some get out of them. I am involved in other hobbies as well as ham radio and heve been for many, many years. IF you can keep 10% or 15% each year, that would show a good groth rate over a period of time.

73
KF6VYH

1745. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:52:09 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827115209.25889.00004785@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17989

>The vast majority of people are just yakkers and
>I'd prefer they not become licensed.

Spoken like a true member of the old guard. Why would you think they would be just a
bunch of yakkers. I would like to see a lot more people on the repeaters across the
country. I have been a long drives with my radio scanning both 2 meter and 440
repeaters, for the most part they are not used very much. On one of my drives I saw the
car in front of be urn off the road into a ditch. This was on a country road will almost no
traffic on it. Of the 10 repeaters I had programmed into my radio that covered the area no
one was one any of them. Before you assume anything, these are repeaters I had used in
the past while in the area so I know I had them programmed correctly

1746. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 15:56:17 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827115617.25889.00004787@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17990

Your are not even worth replying to, Buy the way, where Have I said I know all the answeres. I have never said that. I have said that I am studying for my extra exam.

1747. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:02:25 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827120225.25889.00004788@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17991

>You wouldnt know a Technical Exam if it jumped up and bit you in the ASS

How would you know what I know, I know what I know. I know that you are an assumtionist a hole and a practioner of ageism.

1748. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:08:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827120822.25889.00004789@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17992

You are talking about isolated casses, You made a balnket statement about all VE sessions that. There are hundread of VE test sessions each, most of which are run by VE's that have been around ham radio for many decades, a large nember of them have 1x2 call signs. Sure there are a few bad apples, but bad apples happen in all walks of life. Why condem all VE's for the actions of a few.

1749. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:10:52 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827121052.25889.00004790@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17993

I am not. It is just that when I find a person who ass accounts for 90% of his body mass I find it kind of interesting. You could be the ass man in a side show.

1750. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:14:12 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827121412.25889.00004791@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17994

Yes, And we will soon have you out numbered, thats a good thing.

1751. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:17:14 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827121714.25889.00004792@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17995

Like I said, why do you assume I came over from CB. Why do you assume things that you do not know about. I told you before, I didn not come over from CB. At least I do not have to assume you are an ahole, you prove that with every post you make.

1752. Re: Dumbing Down and 5 WPM a Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:29:44 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827122944.25889.00004793@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 17996

Try harder Bruce.

1753. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 16:36:37 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <6bskoto9vjf4hok8845c3pf2eb6fa52dbr@4ax.com>
Source record: 17997

On 27 Aug 2001 10:53:34 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
>>>but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing
>>>their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and
>>>just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.
>
>> WRONG, thats what you did, thats not what I did. I knew the Info
>
>I will accept that, Bruce, because I don't know what you knew and what you
>memorized. No one could know. The only thing that is known is that you and I
>both passed our tests and the FCC didn't think it needed to know how much I
>memorized and how much I learned. Same is true today.
>
>I think everyone reading this had a different balance between learning,
>memorizing, lucky guess and hoping a few subjects didn't appear on their exams
>-- just like every ham who takes an exam today. But the premise I see from the
>doom and gloomers seems to imply that everyone who takes an exam today is
>entering ham radio not to learn anything and is word-associating over 1000
>questions without any understanding. I believe that premise to be flawed.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I agree with the above.

First, I believe very few people could pass today's exams by
"word-association" alone: the answers are not in any particular
order. I would submit that anyone who could do so, without learning a
great deal about the concepts in the process, would have to be some
sort of savant.

My own experience: I upgraded to extra last year. To prepare, I
purchased and intensely reviewed two study guides, and wrote my own
test generation software. I also reviewed a fair amount of my own
material.

As a graduate student in electrical engineering with an emphasis in rf
and microelectronics, I deeply resent the implications presented by
some in this newsgroup that those who pass today's exams are somehow
less qualified. The material presented on the exams a fairly
comprehensive representation of concepts important to amateur radio.
Passing them is *not* an easy matter.

I'm also familiar with several hams who have received their licenses after restructuring. Each and every one loves the amateur radio service and is a credit to the hobby. They also display a great deal of operating integrity and good character; something not necessarily seen even in hams licensed for many decades (and something for which the exams have never tested, BTW).

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

1754. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 17:03:04 UTC
From: James Bromley <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <c3vi7.29010$Ki1.2500466@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 17998

> First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it
> should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this
> week, methinks.)

Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.

Not that it's a bad question. It points towards the realization that
Ohm's law even applies to free space, given the validity of the
inverse-square law.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1755. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 17:09:44 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <rivkotsdcs4tu3c31vfean9bu1e8uhvaar@4ax.com>
Source record: 17999

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 11:36:37 -0500, N0YM
<jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> wrote:

>On 27 Aug 2001 10:53:34 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>>
>>>>>but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing
>>>>their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and
>>>>just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.
>>
>>> WRONG, thats what you did, thats not what I did. I knew the Info
>>
>>I will accept that, Bruce, because I don't know what you knew and what you
>>memorized. No one could know. The only thing that is known is that you and I
>>both passed our tests and the FCC didn't think it needed to know how much I
>>memorized and how much I learned. Same is true today.
>>
>>I think everyone reading this had a different balance between learning,
>>memorizing, lucky guess and hoping a few subjects didn't appear on their exams
>>-- just like every ham who takes an exam today. But the premise I see from the
>>doom and gloomers seems to imply that everyone who takes an exam today is
>>entering ham radio not to learn anything and is word-associating over 1000
>>questions without any understanding. I believe that premise to be flawed.
>>
>>73,
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>I agree with the above.
>
>First, I believe very few people could pass today's exams by
>"word-association" alone: the answers are not in any particular
>order. I would submit that anyone who could do so, without learning a
>great deal about the concepts in the process, would have to be some
>sort of savant.

I re-read what I wrote, above, and don't think it came out quite
right.

I think the fact that the answers are not in any particular order
makes rote memorization much more difficult. And I doubt that the use
of "word-association", without the learning of concepts along the way,
would be possible for most people.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

1756. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 17:28:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827132839.27111.00008909@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18000

I have said that I am studying for my
>extra exam.
>

Well that sshouldnt take over an HOUR, so whats the hold up?

1757. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 17:30:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827133046.27111.00008910@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18051

>I find it kind of interesting. You could be the ass man in a side
>show.

Im beginning to worry about you, why are you so interested in my Body parts

1758. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 17:31:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827133125.27111.00008913@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18052

>Yes, And we will soon have you out numbered, thats a good thing.
>

Have no Idea what this means

1759. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 17:34:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827133443.27111.00008917@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18053

>First, I believe very few people could pass today's exams by
>"word-association" alone

I hate to call you wrong, but that is the Norm today, dont believe me ask them.

1760. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 20:23:52 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827162352.22573.00006243@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18054

You are getting very boring, all you are is a broken record. No one wants you or needs you, that is what is really bothering. Poor little unwanted Bruce. The only one left in his sand box. I am tired of you, I have decided you are nothing but a little ant, running from an ant eater. Run little ant, Run, Run, Run the big bad ant eater is after you and he is going to swat you with his 2 meter bubber duck.

1761. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 20:45:19 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8AB15F.D8B8E8DF@uci.edu>
Source record: 18055

CAM wrote:
>
> Richard Carroll wrote:
> > Don't pay too much attention to Cecil, he always tries to twist things in an effort
> > to control people's minds and the conversation. Now he is trying to say that slavery
> > wasn't about money.

> Nope, I didn't say that, Dick. Slavery was indeed about money. That's why
> the *cause* of the Civil War was economics, not slavery.

It was about money in the sense that Confederates refused to pay money
to certain people for the services they rendered. This is known as
slavery. Having to pay for labor has a negative effect on the bottom
line, and although the same amount om money would have changed hands in
the Southern enconomy as a whole, it would no longer have been divided
among as small a number of individuals.

1762. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 20:56:13 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <heclot0ioq2mtd148l7kgd256f1chn96iu@4ax.com>
Source record: 18056

On 27 Aug 2001 15:52:09 GMT, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote:

>Spoken like a true member of the old guard. Why would you think they would be
>just a bunch of yakkers.

Because most people are (gasp!) not interested in radio per se; they are interested in yakking. Nothing wrong with yakking, just keep it on the cell phones, CB or internet. Amateur radio should be for RADIO enthusiasts.

>I would like to see a lot more people on the repeaters across
>the country.

Why, so you can yak?

>On one of my drives I saw the car in front of be urn off the road
>into a ditch. This was on a country road will almost no
>traffic on it. Of the 10 repeaters I had programmed into my radio that covered
>the area no one was one any of them. Before you assume anything, these are repeaters I had
>used in the past while in the area so I know I had them programmed correctly

For emergencies, 911 on a cell phone is far better than the typical repeater, for just the reasons you state. A lot of hams are still living in the old days before cell phones when a ham repeater was often a life saving device. Those days are all but gone. I realize there are some areas of the country with spotty cell phone coverage and a good repeater may be quite useful then. In a few more years, cell coverage will be near universal.

Bill, W7TI

1763. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 21:40:45 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.giqhlq4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 18057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 08:06:48 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:

>>Can anyone tell me the standard response to "¡K---¡K" (Morse code SOS).

>>Thanks in advance for your help.

>In nearly all cases, the standard response to an SOS is to notify the
>FCC of an illegal transmission. :-)

Now that we have the sarcastic answer, let's get down to reviewing
mobile distress communication procedures as prescribed in
International Radio Regulations Article 39:

The mobile station (vessel or aircraft) in distress first sends an
ALARM SIGNAL - a set of 12 four-second dashes each separated by one
second by telegraphy or a warbling two-tone signal by telephony.

This is followed by the DISTRESS CALL - the group [SOS] three times,
followed by DE followed by the station call sign sent three times -
then a pause of two minutes and this Distress Call repeated. By
'phone, the word "MAYDAY" is substituted for the group [SOS] and
"THIS IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE".

This is followed by the DISTRESS MESSAGE - the group [SOS] followed
by the name or ID of the mobile station in distress followed by the
particulars of the position and nature of the distress and any other
information to assist in the rescue. By 'phone the word "MAYDAY" is
substituted for the group [SOS].

A telegraph station then transmits two long dashes (at least 10
seconds each) to facilitate direction-finding followed by the call
sign of the station in distress.

Now we get to the meat of the question --

The above is ACKNOWLEDGED by any station in a position to render
assistance by the sequence [SOS], the call sign of the station in
distress sent 3 times, DE, call sign of the acknowledging station
sent three times, the group [RRR] and then the group [SOS]. By
'phone, the word "MAYDAY" is substituted for the group [SOS], "THIS
IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE", and "RECEIVED" or
"ROMEO ROMEO ROMEO" is substituted for [RRR].

A distress message (defined above) which is not acknowledged by
another station can be RELAYED by a station not in distress with the
following sequence: Alarm Signal, [DDD] [SOS] [SOS] [SOS] [DDD] DE
call sign of relaying station sent 3 times, Distress Message. By

'phone, the words "MAYDAY RELAY" spoken three times are substituted for the [DDD] [SOS] [SOS] [SOS] [DDD] group, and "THIS IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE".

The signal used by the mobile station in distress or the station taking control of the situation to notify other stations coming on frequency that a distress is in progress is CQ QRT [SOS] by telegraphy or "TO ALL STATIONS SEELONCE MAYDAY" by 'phone. Any other nearby station which finds it necessary to notify other stations of such silence in the absence of the station in distress or the control station substitutes the word DISTRESS and its own call sign for the group [SOS] or the word "MAYDAY".

When the distress is TERMINATED or the need for radio silence on the frequency is ended, the control station sends the following sequence: [SOS], CQ sent three times, DE, call sign, time of termination, name and call sign of station in distress, QUM (for termination of distress) or QUZ (for termination of silence). By 'phone, the words "HELLO ALL STATIONS" or "CHARLIE QUEBEC" is substituted for CQ, "MAYDAY" is substituted for the group [SOS], "THIS IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE", "SEELONCE FEENEE" is substituted for QUM and "PRU DONCE" for QUZ.

Although manual Morse telegraphy on 500 kHz is no longer used for Mobile Distress communications, the 'phone procedures are still valid - and used - on 2182 kHz (SSB) 121.5 MHz (AM aircraft) and 156.8 MHz (FM - Channel 16).

The new Global Marine Distress and Safety System, which is MF/HF/VHF and satellite-based, uses Digital Selective Calling for alerts, calls and messages (see FCC Rules Section 80.1101) but permits the 'phone versions of thr above as well as SITOR (same as AMTOR) with the 'phone text spelled out.

I used to teach this stuff.

It's a bloody shame that this is not required - and tested - knowledge for ham communications of any class.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

1764. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:05:39 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3B8AC433.6A9A4483@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 18058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
> [excellent post snipped]

Wow! An informative post in these often contentious news groups.

Post saved for future reference. Thanks.

1765. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:25:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.giqr9fb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 18059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 17:05:39 -0500, Stagger Lee wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>> [excellent post snipped]
>
>Wow! An informative post in these often contentious news groups.
>
>Post saved for future reference. Thanks.

You're welcome.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1766. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:38:46 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <WZzi7.438$0y.190303@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18060

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010823232652.01701.00006488@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <_tUg7.360$9d.92978@newshog.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:
>
> >
> >What about the people who weren't even aware of ham radio until recently
and
> >just became licensed?? Does that make them lesser of a person when it
comes
> >to ham? Obviously they weren't aware of the restructuring issue....
>
> Ryan:
>
> Post-Restructuring hams who became licensed without being aware
> of the issues that lead to Restructuring are none the less the result of
> a licensing system that is "dumbed down" by Pre-Restructuring
> standards. They may not have had any choice in the matter, but the
> effect on the perception of them as hams is still the same.

So... this is another form of discrimination..... Classifying a whole group
of people based on the actions of a few. I can understand that some may not
be perfect operators due to things like be nervous or something. But as a
Post-Restructuring Era ham, I also DO NOT condone the CB'er mentality which
can be witnessed in EVERY license class.

Whether
> or not they allow that negative image to be borne out by their personal
> attributes as a radio amateur is entirely up to them. If they become
> active, proficient Morse/CW users, and demonstrate the traditional
> curiosity, learning ability, and technical competence, and sound
> operating practices of a classic radio amateur, they will not suffer
> personally from the fact that they ascended during the Post-Restructuring
> era. If they act like ignorant, dumbed-down CB refugees, then they
> will take the heat. It's entirely up to them. However, the one thing
they
> can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
> Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
> licensing standards.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Understood, but should they be verbally assaulted for something that MAY not
be within their control? I agree that some people do act stupid and that is

bad for ALL of us, not just the "P.R.E." hams. I wonder about some people though, do they spend more time complaining about "how things used to be" rather that make a positive twist out of it?? Instead of some people bitching and moaning, if they spent more time teaching and training newbies, maybe we ALL would be better for it and it would seem to me to be a better utilization of energy.

Ryan

1767. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:38:48 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <YZzi7.439$0y.190304@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18061

One thing that does piss me off is that I would have been a ham back in 1988 if the VE team that tested me followed the rules instead of their own. I still have plenty of novice and tech CSCE's laying around from then till last year, but back then, I actually did pass the code test by all rights (1 solid minute of copy exception) but this team chose to only allow fill in the blank as their only method of the answer sheet. I had one minute of solid copy but they did not accept that. I think I must have some sort of sub-concious reason why the 9 times I have tried the code test since then, that I have failed.

Don't worry, somewhere, somehow I WILL pass the damned code test!!!! It may have beaten me up until this point, but it will not beat me completely.

Ryan

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010826122404.05484.00002307@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <3B885DF3.70F37C3D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> >So my three year old grandson is responsible for the ills
> >> >of ham radio. Sure he is, Larry.
> >>
> >> This makes much less sense than your usual postings. You been
> >> taking lessons from Kim, or what?
> >
> >It certainly makes sense given your attitudes toward newcomers.
> >When my three year old grandson gets his ham license, you are
> >obviously going to look down on him. He's going to ask you, "What
> >did I do to deserve your low perception of me? I had absolutely
> >no choice except to meet the requirements existing in 2020."
>
> Cecil:
>
> I would say to him, "Young man, amateur radio is what amateur radio
> operators make of it -- and amateur radio operators are what they make
> of themselves! The current licensing requirements will not have any
> negative effect on my perception of you as a ham, as long as you
> prove yourself to be a Real Ham through your curiosity, willingness to
> experiment and learn, and your on-the-air operational technique.
> You must, however, accept the fact that your fellow hams licensed
> prior to April 15, 2000 did so under a more challenging and comprehensive
> set of licensing requirements -- and therefore are deserving of higher
> status as hams than you are. If you cannot understand and accept
> this, then I hope you're not wasting your time getting a college

> education, attending a specialized trade school, or doing anything else
> to improve your standing in life."
>
> Any questions?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

1768. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:38:49 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <ZZzi7.440$0y.190277@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18062

I actually wish that the manufacturers of equipment would make more single-banded equipment..... As far as I am concerned, I cannot afford one of these multi-band, does everything rigs. Nor will I for the next 5-10 years..... Or at least make something like a 80 and 40 rig or similar.

I work 3 jobs (90-98 hours) just to keep my head above water, and with the ability to only save 10-20 bucks a week, it will take forever. And of course something always comes up that takes that little savings fund away, like the new transmission in the car or some other unexpected expense.

Ryan

"Green Hornet" <greenhornet@kato.com> wrote in message news:lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
> consensus.
>
> How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
> 10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
> course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
>
> Please Cast your Vote ......
>
>
>

1769. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:38:51 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <%Zzi7.441$0y.190151@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18063

What about the cost issue as oppose to the other ideas expressed here in regards to this topic??

Just a though...

Ryan

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010826114943.05484.00002300@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "Green Hornet"
> <greenhornet@kato.com> writes:
>
> >
> > How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
> >10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
> >course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
> >
> >Please Cast your Vote ......
>
> Not me. I use an Icom IC-735 (160-10m coverage w/general coverage
> receive) as my primary HF rig, with an Icom IC-730 (an earlier version
> with 80-10m coverage and no gen. coverage receive capability) as my
> backup. The only reason I have the '730 is because I was able to
> steal it off the consignment shelf of a local ham radio store in virtually
> new condition, with matching PS-15 power supply, for $350! I had
> previously owned an IC-730, put thousands of CW QSO's on it in
> seven years, sold it to a friend for $500 -- *without* the PS-15!
>
> Single-band 10m rigs seem to me to be geared to two groups --
> terminally microphone-obsessed No-Coders who can't conceive of
> needing to use any other band and any mode other than SSB/FM
> voice, and CB'ers looking for a higher power (usually 25 - 100w.)
> easily-modified rig that they can use to "bootleg" on 11m. I am a
> Real Ham who regularly uses CW and digital modes and little or
> no voice; therefore a single-band rig has limitations which would
> not appeal to me.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1770. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:40:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827184052.17492.00004862@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18064

>You are getting very boring, all you are is a broken record. No one wants you
>or needs you, that is what is really bothering. Poor little unwanted Bruce.
>The
>only one left in his sand box. I am tired of you, I have decided you are
>nothing but a little ant, running from an ant eater. Run little ant, Run,
>Run,
>Run the big bad ant eater is after you and he is going to swat you with his 2
>meter bubber duck.
>

Boy you are a trip, hey little Cock Roach tell me what a "bubber duck" is, is that one of your CB Slang terms, or is that 1 of the New Questions on the Ham Test you have been studying????

1771. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 22:49:44 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8ACE88.409073C3@uci.edu>
Source record: 18065

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 27 Aug 2001 15:52:09 GMT, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote:
>
> >Spoken like a true member of the old guard. Why would you think they would be
> >just a bunch of yakkers.
>
> Because most people are (gasp!) not interested in radio per se; they are
> interested in yakking. Nothing wrong with yakking, just keep it on the
> cell phones, CB or internet. Amateur radio should be for RADIO
> enthusiasts.

What do radio enthusiasts use repeaters for?

> >I would like to see a lot more people on the repeaters across
> >the country.
>
> Why, so you can yak?

What word do you use to describe speaking into your microphone?

> >On one of my drives I saw the car in front of be urn off the road
> >into a ditch. This was on a country road will almost no
> >traffic on it. Of the 10 repeaters I had programmed into my radio that covered
> >the area no one was one any of them. Before you assume anything, these are repeaters I
had
> >used in the past while in the area so I know I had them programmed correctly
>
> For emergencies, 911 on a cell phone is far better than the typical
> repeater, for just the reasons you state. A lot of hams are still
> living in the old days before cell phones when a ham repeater was often
> a life saving device. Those days are all but gone. I realize there are
> some areas of the country with spotty cell phone coverage and a good
> repeater may be quite useful then. In a few more years, cell coverage
> will be near universal.

Would this obviate the need for amateur radio, in your opinion?

73, ac6xg

1772. Re: ARRL approves electronic QSL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 23:12:37 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <9mek5502ads@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 18068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Charles Johnston <ctj92@cableone.net> wrote:

| "Logbook of the World" Software Design Under Way
|
| NEWINGTON, CT, Aug 2, 2001--Fast on the heels of approval of the "Logbook of
| the World" by the ARRL Board of Directors, software design to support the
| electronic contact-verification program is continuing apace. ARRL Membership
| Services Manager and LOTW Project Manager Wayne Mills, N7NG, said the ARRL
| hopes to make LOTW software modules available soon to vendors for
| incorporation into their logging programs.
|
| Taken from this location -
| http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/08/02/3/

What more is needed than simply being able to e-mail the QSL report
and verify that it is not tampered with, something that existing
e-mail certification already provides? A standard format might be
nice, but that wouldn't take another half year to do (unless it was
being standardized by committee). Of course being able to generate
this from existing logging programs would be great, but once the
standards are disclosed, that can easily be added by the authors
of those programs (if they aren't SK).

--

| Phil Howard - KA9WGN | Dallas | http://linuxhomepage.com/ |
| phil-nospam@ipal.net | Texas, USA | http://phil.ipal.org/ |

1773. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 23:14:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <sjklot4iclip0daaikq738mfk18r3ifndd@4ax.com>
Source record: 18066

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 15:49:44 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

>What do radio enthusiasts use repeaters for?

Lots of things:

1. Coordinating propagation tests (especially microwaves)
2. DX Packet clusters
3. Coordinating foxhunts
4. Emergency preparedness
5. The occasional real emergency
6. Talk-ins to hamfests, club meetings, etc.
7. Public service events (liaison, etc.)

>What word do you use to describe speaking into your microphone?

Communications.

>Would this obviate the need for amateur radio, in your opinion?

Don't be silly.

73, Bill W7TI

1774. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 23:29:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8AD7BC.9C82E024@uci.edu>
Source record: 18067

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 15:49:44 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
> >What do radio enthusiasts use repeaters for?
>
> Lots of things:

But not limited to:

> 1. Coordinating propagation tests (especially microwaves)
> 2. DX Packet clusters
> 3. Coordinating foxhunts
> 4. Emergency preparedness
> 5. The occasional real emergency
> 6. Talk-ins to hamfests, club meetings, etc.
> 7. Public service events (liaison, etc.)

Phone patches, and arranging to meet for coffee are a no-no, I guess.

> >What word do you use to describe speaking into your microphone?

> Communications.

The distinction you make between "yaking" and "communicating" is a bit
too subjective, in my opinion.

> >Would this obviate the need for amateur radio, in your opinion?
>
> Don't be silly.

I think that you are being a bit silly, Bill.

73, ac6xg

1775. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-27 23:39:28 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <p7mlotstrtsimtrgtkia6ppul5ij96pvko@4ax.com>
Source record: 18069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 21:40:45 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:

<enormous snippage of bureaucratic nonsense>

>I used to teach this stuff.
>
> It's a bloody shame that this is not required - and tested -
> knowledge for ham communications of any class.

Shame that all that blather is not required? What planet are you from?

All that's really needed is knowing what "SOS" for CW and "MAYDAY" for phone means. Practically everybody knows that already. In a real emergency, the other stuff will go out the window and the real, necessary communications will go forward.

A Scenario....

Emergency Caller: "HELP, HELP, MY BOAT IS SINKING"

Control Station: "PLEASE PHRASE YOUR CALL FOR ASSISTANCE CORRECTLY"

Emergency Caller: "WHAT THE HELL ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? I NEED THE COAST GUARD RIGHT NOW"

Control Station: "THEN YOU MUST USE THE CORRECT PROCEDURE"

Emergency Caller: " **** YOU, JUST CALL THE DAMN COAST GUARD"

Control Station: "FIRST, I MUST HEAR THE WORD 'MAYDAY' REPEATED THREE TIMES. AND WATCH YOUR LANGUAGE, OBSCENITY IS FORBIDDEN BY REGULATIONS".

Emergency Caller: Blub, blub, blub......

Bill, W7TI

1776. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 00:26:10 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <u6plot400p7jl2c556gqcuk7dkqkui8gki@4ax.com>
Source record: 18070

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 16:29:00 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

>Phone patches, and arranging to meet for coffee are a no-no, I guess.

No, those are good too.

>The distinction you make between "yaking" and "communicating" is a bit
>too subjective, in my opinion.

Could be.

>I think that you are being a bit silly, Bill.

That could be too.

Bill, W7TI

1777. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 00:56:07 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8AEC27.CFBBDE6C@uci.edu>
Source record: 18071

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Rebellion and war are synonymous in this context, Cecil. Your
> > statement, quoted above, claims to prove a negative. You told me that
> > you can't prove a negative.
>
> You can prove a negative when there are only two possible outcomes.
> The war was either about slavery or it wasn't.

Since your statement "the war was about rebellion" was nonsense, you
failed to prove the other possible outcome.

> > You have the logic backwards. Slavery was a cause of rebellion, not a
> > result of it.

> Nope, economics was the cause of the rebellion.

The economics of slavery.

> The Southern states
> thought they had a right to choose their own economic system and
> fought a war over it.

Their economic system depended upon deprivation of liberty.

> Slavery was not a religion. Slavery was simply
> a part of an economic system. Slave owners didn't want to lose the
> economic advantage that slaves provided. Ending slavery was perceived
> to cost the South so much money that they were willing to fight.
> If ending slavery had not cost the Southerners some bucks, they
> would not have been against it. Just like today. Follow the money
> to figure things out.

I have. The financial independence of many influential southerners was
dependent upon the continued supply free labor.

> cheers, Cecil

Salud, Jim

1778. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:21:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827212122.26981.00001902@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18072

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>I actually wish that the manufacturers of equipment would make more
>single-banded equipment..... As far as I am concerned, I cannot afford
>one of these multi-band, does everything rigs.

Hi, Ryan,

I think that MFJ and Ten Tec both make some nice monoband rigs. Ramsey does, too, if memory serves.

Though a fellow with your work schedule may find it hard to build a kit, there are some nice, affordable QRP kits out there. The Elecraft K2, though getting up there in price, is also up there in performance, though it is reported to be a 30-hour build!

For info on QRP kits, see:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/qrphome.html

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1779. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:24:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827212419.26981.00001904@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18073

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>I actually did pass the code test by all rights (1
>solid minute of copy exception) but this team chose to only allow fill in
>the blank as their only method of the answer sheet. I had one minute of
>solid copy but they did not accept that.

All of the VECs now stipulate that fill-in-the-blank code tests will be given. Almost all VE teams use their discretion to accept one minute of solid copy, so you may want to shop around a bit by checking with a few different VE teams.

It sounds like you are really close, so if you can find a bit of time to study and practice, I think it will come.

Good luck on the upgrade.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1780. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:28:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827212810.26981.00001908@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18074

From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>The distinction you make between "yaking" and "communicating" is a bit
>too subjective, in my opinion.

Perhaps he would be willing to explain it better.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1781. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:30:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827213002.26981.00001909@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18075

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>This is a problem with all hobbies, people get into them and some get out of
>them. I am involved in other hobbies as well as ham radio and heve been for
>many, many years. IF you can keep 10% or 15% each year, that would show a
>good growth rate over a period of time.

I can sure understand that; over my lifetime, I have had a number of hobbies,
not all of which stuck. Amateur Radio does much better than 10-15%. It has to,
because at least 10-15% of older hams drop out for a variety of reasons.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1782. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:34:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827213416.26981.00001912@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18076

From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu

>And I doubt that the use of "word-association", without the learning
>of concepts along the way, would be possible for most people.

"Possible?" Probably. But it is, IMHO, far easier to learn concepts and apply 6th-grade math to solve for unknowns than it would be to word-associate all of the material without understanding. I am sure some do for some questions -- I did when I passed my FCC exams, but most hams today do just what I did, to one degree or another. They pass their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing and lucky guessing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1783. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:38:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827213801.26981.00001914@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18077

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Tell you what I will do ED, I will answer it the same way the New Hams do it,
>give me the correct answer, then give me a week to Memorize it, and then I
>will answer it.

No, I think you should prove that you are what you claim you are -- a ham who learned it all when he took his exams. If you were not bluffing your way through all of this, you could answer it easily. Larry and Richard at least gave it a go (though they came up with two different answers, so at least one of them is wrong.) Surely, if you are telling us the truth, you can answer the question in heartbeat.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1784. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:43:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827214353.26981.00001919@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18078

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a
>>2 V/m at a distance of 100 meters,
>>what will the electric field be at a distance of 200 meters?

>>A. 0.25 V/m
>>B. 0.5 V/m
>>C. 1.0 V/m
>>D 2.0 V/m

>My answer: B. 0.5 V/m. Not that I was asked.

Well, it appears that everyone who is able to understand the question answered it. Unfortunately, the knowledge you believe to be superior that you gained by taking your tests before the FCC was not enough to answer this fundamental question about electromagnetics. The correct answer is C. 1.0 V/m.

The field strength from an antenna is directly proportional to distance in the far field.

Now, has enough time passed that we can tell the tale that you related to me about your keyboard not having a "1/4" key? Typos DO happen...

73,
Ed Hare,
W1RFI

1785. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:54:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AF9D4.200515A1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18079

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >Tell you what I will do ED, I will answer it the same way the New Hams do it,
> >give me the correct answer, then give me a week to Memorize it, and then I
> >will answer it.
>
> No, I think you should prove that you are what you claim you are -- a ham who
> learned it all when he took his exams. If you were not bluffing your way
> through all of this, you could answer it easily. Larry and Richard at least
> gave it a go (though they came up with two different answers, so at least one
> of them is wrong.) Surely, if you are telling us the truth, you can answer
> the question in heartbeat.

Isn't Bruce a post-restructuring Extra? Maybe he's just proving what
he says. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1786. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 01:57:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AFA97.C6D0D65@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18080

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/26/2001 6:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >I have explained what is wrong. You have chosen to ignore the attribute
> >standards for netnews.
>
> You have yet to provide the source of the "standard" or agreement. There
> is NO set standard.

Steve, please examine all the postings on the newsgroup. Your bastard
newsreader is the only one that screws up the attributions. Ed corrects
that same newsreader's attribution errors. Why won't you do that?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1787. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:03:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AFBE9.782C6432@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18081

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Hmmmm.....Cecil rasies big stink about "attributes" and alleged
> "gentleman's agreements", yet violates one of the alleged agreements vis-a-vis
> discussing private e mail vs NG postings.

It's against the gentleman's agreement to post an email and I haven't
done that. But I am not going to keep quite about you threatening to
sue me. The judge is going to laugh you out of court when you tell
him you are sueing me for objecting to you mucking with my attributions.

> Guess your sense of indignation varies with how well you think it's going
> to support your current rants, eh...?!?!

I publically apologized to you and you continue to harass me and
muck with the attributes of my postings. Nice guy.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1788. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:08:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AFD35.65F92114@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18082

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I have. The financial independence of many influential southerners was
> dependent upon the continued supply free labor.

Like I said, the reason for the Civil War was economics. If the
Southerners could have made more money by freeing the slaves,
they would have done it in a heartbeat. For the most part, they
had no interest in slaves except economic interest.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1789. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:12:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AFE27.5C677B69@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18083

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
****
> >Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/26/2001 6:16 PM Central Daylight Time
****
> >Look at this posting, Steve. You didn't give me credit for what
> >I posted and instead gave me credit for a posting that has
> >apparently been deleted.
>
> Nothing was deleted. You were cited specifically.

No I wasn't, Steve. My posting was not attributed to me. What
was attributed to me was an apparently deleted posting.

Everything between the ****** above is a mistaken attribution.
You cannot possibly plead ignorance after being told about it
so many times. Why are your postings the only ones with that
error?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1790. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:14:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AFE76.4F5CD3D4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18085

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
> >From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> >Date: 08/26/2001 6:17 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >I think that "violat" is listed in the appendix to the dictionary, right
> >along
> >with these gems:
>
> You are quite correct on the errors I made, Ed, but I am not the one
> making the point of allgedly wavering from some set standards that Cecil cannot
> produce.
>
> He is the one "setting the standards", not me.

Note that Ed is abiding by the standards and is using the same newsreader
that you are using. Why can't you simply do the same thing?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1791. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:14:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010827221449.14618.00005734@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18084

>No, I think you should prove that you are what you claim you are -- a ham who
>learned it all when he took his exams. If you were not bluffing your way
>through all of this, you could answer it easily. Larry and Richard at least
>gave it a go (though they came up with two different answers, so at least one
>of them is wrong.) Surely, if you are telling us the truth, you can answer
>the question in heartbeat.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Ok just for your Benefit I will say "C:

1792. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:16:01 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <esulots6sak8v4hgdnc7hgts6f3q2emo7v@4ax.com>
Source record: 18087

On 28 Aug 2001 01:34:16 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu
>
>>And I doubt that the use of "word-association", without the learning
>>of concepts along the way, would be possible for most people.
>
>"Possible?" Probably. But it is, IMHO, far easier to learn concepts and apply
>6th-grade math to solve for unknowns than it would be to word-associate all of
>the material without understanding. I am sure some do for some questions -- I
>did when I passed my FCC exams, but most hams today do just what I did, to one
>degree or another. They pass their exams with a combination of learning,
>memorizing and lucky guessing.

There were certain questions on which I bit the bullet and rote
memorized the answers, myself -- those covering band limits, for
example. I'm puzzled as to how this would have diminished my license,
though.

I must say, I remain skeptical that most people could effectively
practice word association on the entire question pools without
inadvertently learning something of the concepts along the way.

I suppose some folks with very good memories might try it as a
challenge. Regardless, as you pointed out, one could either learn
basic concepts (each of which would be good for several questions) or
attempt to associate a very large number of words through rote
memorization. I would submit most would choose the former, where
feasible. It's just plain easier.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

1793. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:19:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8AFFCE.6F3E5278@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18086

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
****
> >Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/26/2001 6:22 PM Central Daylight Time
****
> >Of course standards exist, Steve.
>
> Then produce them.

I did produce them, Steve, in the other thread. You simply refuse
to be nice and accept them as has everyone else on this newsgroup
except you. Why are you being so difficult about this? All it would
take for you to fix the attribution error is a few seconds. It takes
me longer to correct the error than it would take for you to correct
it. Everything between the ******** above is an attribution error.
There should be one ">" per line, not two. There is no posting that
corresponds to the attributes you posted.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1794. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:22:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B0062.30CB6BD4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18088

GH wrote:
> I don't believe I have ever seen a
> group take a subject and go so far off on a tangent and argue like 10 years
> olds about such trivial matters as this one.

Do you really think that accurate attributes are a trivial matter?
If so, we might as well be posting anonymously using a different
name for every posting. Wouldn't that be productive?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1795. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:30:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B0230.F2BDC0EC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18089

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
****
> >Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/26/2001 6:27 PM Central Daylight Time
****
> >Of course standards exist even if they are de facto. Look at everyone's
> >posting except yours.
>
> There is nothing wrong with my posts.

Everything between the ******** above is an error in attribution.
Since you refuse to correct the error, I consider it to be a
malicious act.

You muck up the attributes with every post you make and
There's nothing I can really do about it if you choose to
violate the netnews standards. Since libel can result from
mucking with the attributes, you need to be really careful.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1796. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:36:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B0394.DA9B8744@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18090

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
****
> >Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 08/26/2001 6:29 PM Central Daylight Time
****
> >Much more than my opinion, Steve. Ask any knowledgable person about it.
>
> This has been going on for over a week now and no one has produced the
> requested "standards".

You want Moses to come down from the mountain with the standards
carved in stone? The present netnews attribute standard has been
in existence for more than a decade. You seem to be the only one
who chooses to violate the standard. What does that tell you
about yourself, Steve? What possible motive could you have for
screwing up the attributes? Are you a one man army trying to
get the attribute standard changed?

Everything between the ********** above is an attribution error.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1797. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:43:58 UTC
From: GAR GARIGUE <garigue@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B8B12A0.4AF3349A@home.com>
Source record: 18091

Yep Ed ,,, like the CW test. A real red light should go off when
someone drives halfway across the state to take a "test". 73 KI3R

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >OH NO, that couldnt happen, these are Highly Technical People taking the
> >EXAMS
>
> That's nonsense, Bruce. I am sure there are a few highly technical people
> taking today's exams, but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing
> their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just
> plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1798. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:45:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B05B5.25CDF91@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18093

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Cecil has yet to produce anything except "Because I said so..." when
> tasked with producing these other supposedly rigid "agreements". If they were
> so critical, they would be "up front" in the NG readers.

It is NOT because I said so, Steve. I have merely explained the standard
to you. If you choose to be the only poster on this newsgroup mucking
with the attributes there's nothing outside of a court order that anyone
can do to stop you. But it's very much like running a KW PACTOR signal in
the lower 25kHz on 40m.

> Can you find ANY evidence that one word of anything YOU said or that I said
> is in error?

It's not the words that are mucked up, Steve, it is the attributes.
Your postings make it impossible to tell who said what.

> Is there any question as to WHO is involved in the conversation?

Try five levels of nesting with multiple paragraphs and see what happens.

> If he make some issue out of an arrow that the newsreader is, for whatever
> reason, not enetering, "oh well"...I have acted in good faith.

That's a laugh, Steve. You are responsible for using that newsreader
responsibly and correcting any errors that it might insert. Why do you
refuse to correct the attribution errors? Please see Ed's postings to
learn how to make the correction.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1799. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 02:46:48 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8AF81B.AA9D9014@mailcity.com>
Source record: 18092

You are a trivial matter.

CAM wrote:

> GH wrote:
> > I don't believe I have ever seen a
> > group take a subject and go so far off on a tangent and argue like 10 years
> > olds about such trivial matters as this one.
>
> Do you really think that accurate attributes are a trivial matter?
> If so, we might as well be posting anonymously using a different
> name for every posting. Wouldn't that be productive?
> --
> cheers, Cecil

1800. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 03:09:59 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <2m2motgjh4157mk969sqb7kjjpprm826up@4ax.com>
Source record: 18094

On 28 Aug 2001 01:28:10 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
>>The distinction you make between "yaking" and "communicating" is a bit
>>too subjective, in my opinion.
>
>Perhaps he would be willing to explain it better.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I'll go with the dictionary definitions (American Heritage):

Yakking: Prolonged, sometimes senseless talk; chatter.

Communicating: To convey information about; make known; impart:

You may color in the various shades of gray as needed.

73, Bill W7TI

1801. re: standard response to sos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 04:00:05 UTC
From: Hans K0HB <K0HB@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <01C12F76.5F80AF80.K0HB@qwest.net>
Source record: 18095

Richard Cranium (W7TI) wrote:

> Emergency Caller: Blub, blub, blub......

Bill (W7TI) OM,

You can find additional detail at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--

"The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on."

--
Posted from mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net [204.147.80.9]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

1802. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 04:42:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8B214E.9712D924@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18096

CAM wrote:
>
> So your newsreader keys on the ">"'s at
> the beginning of each line? How does it
> handle
>
> > Poster2
>
> > > Posting2
>
> Will these two lines be displayed in
> different colors?

The reader I have now (Netscape Communicator) doesn't do that. It has one color for all quotes (gray), regardless of layers. However, the previous reader (NewsWatcher) had different colors for each layer. I wish Netscape had that feature (in that one regard, the previous newsreader was better). It only takes a glance to see the various layers when each are a different color.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1803. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 05:08:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8B2758.E376A7EC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> Shame that all that blather is not
> required? What planet are you from?
>
> All that's really needed is knowing
> what "SOS" for CW and "MAYDAY" for
> phone means. Practically everybody
> knows that already. In a real
> emergency, the other stuff will go
> out the window and the real,
> necessary communications will go
> forward.
>
> A Scenario....

While it might be ideal, the person calling a distress is not really expected to use proper procedure, Bill. But there are reasons for the responder to. In addition to insuring the response is heard and understood clearly (even by a person near panic), it also installs confidence in the caller (a competent person has heard me and is going to help).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1804. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 05:13:51 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828011351.26264.00003274@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18098

Well, where I live there are large areas with no cell phone coverage, And I am not in a
very remote part of the country. There have been many time that I tried to use my cell
phone and I got a no coverage message. The other problem with cell phones, at least in
N. Ca. is that all cell phone 911 calls go the state highway patrol office in Vallejo. due to
this it is not uncommon to get a busy signal when you make a 911 call with a cell phone.
This has happened to me more than once. As a matter of fact I got so fed up I got rid of
my cell phone after about 3 years.

1805. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 05:19:19 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828011919.26264.00003275@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18099

>>What do radio enthusiasts use repeaters for?
>
>Lots of things:
>
>1. Coordinating propagation tests (especially microwaves)
>2. DX Packet clusters
>3. Coordinating foxhunts
>4. Emergency preparedness
>5. The occasional real emergency
>6. Talk-ins to hamfests, club meetings, etc.
>7. Public service events (liaison, etc.)

I guess these are the only things one should use a repeater for according to
Bill Turner

1806. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 05:38:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mfaotihe0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 18100

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >Not a Bad Idea, but we need to get all the Hams to take a REAL TEST FIRST
>
> Well, Bruce, you have been licenced for more than 20 years, so let's give you a
> real test question:
>
> Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a 2
> V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a distance
> of 200 meters?
>
> A. 0.25 V/m
> B. 0.5 V/m
> C. 1.0 V/m
> D 2.0 V/m
>

Why not just say that it varies inversly as the square of the distance
like the multiple choice questions on the tests do? That way the newbies
will have less trouble memorizing it.

1807. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 05:41:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mfatrihe1@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 18001

James Bromley wrote:
>
> > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it
> > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this
> > week, methinks.)
>
> Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
> Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.

Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.

1808. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 05:47:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mfb92ihe2@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 18002

Before you manage to get your foot even further down your throat you need to know that I am only a few years older than you. You have already been invited to search the archives (if you undestand that term) for whatever you want to know about my activities. I own you no answers.

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> Lets face it Ed, Bruce is not the type of ham that the ham radio wants to
> represented by. It
> makes me wonder how many future hams Bruce and Richard, and the other
> practitioners
> of ageism have kept out of the hobby. They are just starting to realize that
> they have are
> of no use to the ham radio community. I am still waiting for answers to my
> question to
> Richard regarding public services he has performed, Bruce has already admitted
> the he
> never has.

1809. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 06:08:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mfcgs$mj2$0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 18003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You know, Phil, the bureaucracy sometimes stumbles over it's own feet.
In '85 when we first fired up under the new VE system my team made it a
practice to see to it that every newly licensed ham went home with a
free copy of the current FCC regulations pertaining to ham radio, which
I believe included this information. We bought these booklets with our
other VE supplies and paid with our testing expense money collected from
applicants. Wasn't long until we were ordered to cease this practice by
our VEC, seems someone at FCC had decided this was an improper
allocation of testing funds.....

Dick

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 08:06:48 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:
>
> >>Can anyone tell me the standard response to "ï¿½K---ï¿½K" (Morse code SOS).
>
> >>Thanks in advance for your help.
>
> >In nearly all cases, the standard response to an SOS is to notify the
> >FCC of an illegal transmission. :-)
>
> Now that we have the sarcastic answer, let's get down to reviewing
> mobile distress communication procedures as prescribed in
> International Radio Regulations Article 39:
>
> The mobile station (vessel or aircraft) in distress first sends an
> ALARM SIGNAL - a set of 12 four-second dashes each separated by one
> second by telegraphy or a warbling two-tone signal by telephony.
>
> This is followed by the DISTRESS CALL - the group [SOS] three times,
> followed by DE followed by the station call sign sent three times -
> then a pause of two minutes and this Distress Call repeated. By
> 'phone, the word "MAYDAY" is substituted for the group [SOS] and
> "THIS IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE".
>
> This is followed by the DISTRESS MESSAGE - the group [SOS] followed
> by the name or ID of the mobile station in distress followed by the
> particulars of the position and nature of the distress and any other
> information to assist in the rescue. By 'phone the word "MAYDAY" is
> substituted for the group [SOS].
>
> A telegraph station then transmits two long dashes (at least 10

> seconds each) to facilitate direction-finding followed by the call
> sign of the station in distress.
>
> Now we get to the meat of the question --
>
> The above is ACKNOWLEDGED by any station in a position to render
> assistance by the sequence [SOS], the call sign of the station in
> distress sent 3 times, DE, call sign of the acknowledging station
> sent three times, the group [RRR] and then the group [SOS]. By
> 'phone, the word "MAYDAY" is substituted for the group [SOS], "THIS
> IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE", and "RECEIVED" or
> "ROMEO ROMEO ROMEO" is substituted for [RRR].
>
> A distress message (defined above) which is not acknowledged by
> another station can be RELAYED by a station not in distress with the
> following sequence: Alarm Signal, [DDD] [SOS] [SOS] [SOS] [DDD] DE
> call sign of relaying station sent 3 times, Distress Message. By
> 'phone, the words "MAYDAY RELAY" spoken three times are substituted
> for the [DDD] [SOS] [SOS] [SOS] [DDD] group, and "THIS IS" or "DELTA
> ECHO" is substituted for "DE".
>
> The signal used by the mobile station in distress or the station
> taking control of the situation to notify other stations coming on
> frequency that a distress is in progress is CQ QRT [SOS] by telegraphy
> or "TO ALL STATIONS SEELONCE MAYDAY" by 'phone. Any other nearby
> station which finds it necessary to notify other stations of such
> silence in the absence of the station in distress or the control
> station substitutes the word DISTRESS and its own call sign for the
> group [SOS] or the word "MAYDAY".
>
> When the distress is TERMINATED or the need for radio silence on
> the frequency is ended, the control station sends the following
> sequence: [SOS], CQ sent three times, DE, call sign, time of
> termination, name and call sign of station in distress, QUM (for
> termination of distress) or QUZ (for termination of silence). By
> 'phone, the words "HELLO ALL STATIONS" or "CHARLIE QUEBEC" is
> substituted for CQ, "MAYDAY" is substituted for the group [SOS],
> "THIS IS" or "DELTA ECHO" is substituted for "DE", "SEELONCE FEENEE"
> is substituted for QUM and "PRU DONCE" for QUZ.
>
> Although manual Morse telegraphy on 500 kHz is no longer used for
> Mobile Distress communications, the 'phone procedures are still
> valid - and used - on 2182 kHz (SSB) 121.5 MHz (AM aircraft) and
> 156.8 MHz (FM - Channel 16).
>
> The new Global Marine Distress and Safety System, which is MF/HF/VHF
> and satellite-based, uses Digital Selective Calling for alerts,
> calls and messages (see FCC Rules Section 80.1101) but permits the
> 'phone versions of thr above as well as SITOR (same as AMTOR) with
> the 'phone text spelled out.

>
> I used to teach this stuff.
>
> It's a bloody shame that this is not required - and tested -
> knowledge for ham communications of any class.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

1810. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 06:56:21 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828025621.26343.00003138@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18004

Go rent a movie in that special area of the video rental store.

1811. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 07:48:50 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87itf8fwv1.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 18005

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

> W1RFI wrote:
> > Now, all those hams who have not taken an exam before the FCC sit back and see
> > if my hint above lets one of the old timers get the answer right. Bruce?
> > Dick?
>
> Hint? Seems to me you solved the problem.

Well, no, it's clear from the hint that the *power* is down to 1/4 if
you double the distance, but he asked about the *field strength*. I
think it's one of those things that require one to realize that empty
space has an impedance, which always seems spooky to me. (Yeah, I
know, it's implicit in Maxwell's equations, but what isn't?) Since
that impedance is constant, shouldn't the voltage be only 1/2 since
power should be square of voltage if impedance is constant?

Rich K6RFM

1812. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 07:51:40 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87g0acfwqb.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 18006

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >
> > >Not a Bad Idea, but we need to get all the Hams to take a REAL TEST FIRST
> >
> > Well, Bruce, you have been licenced for more than 20 years, so let's give you a
> > real test question:
> >
> > Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a 2
> > V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a distance
> > of 200 meters?
> >
> > A. 0.25 V/m
> > B. 0.5 V/m
> > C. 1.0 V/m
> > D 2.0 V/m
> >
>
> Why not just say that it varies inversly as the square of the distance
> like the multiple choice questions on the tests do?

Ah, I know this one now! Because it *doesn't*.

Rich

1813. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 07:54:51 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87d75gfwl0.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 18007

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:

> "Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message
> news:87wv3ueyqm.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
> > "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > > "Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20010823213539.29466.00006249@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > > > Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> > > > "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> > > > I think you have been had.
> > > > LOL, ROFLMAO
> > >
> > > I don't get it.
> > >
> >
> > Well, Connie Lingus is a friend of Phil Atio. I think they
> > both hang around with Peter Asty. Mr. Bation was a pal
> > of theirs. Does that help?
>
>
> What are you talking about?

These are references to a song from the late 1960s musical, _Hair_.

"Mixed Media!"

Rich

1814. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 08:03:30 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <878zg4fw6l.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 18008

Connie Lingus <your@deathsdoor.com> writes:

> Hmmm. Here's the thing. Contrary to popular belief, the original
> post in all this wasn't merely a troll, it was set of beliefs I
> honestly felt to be true. And I've tried to step back in and
> converse further on it - not just sit back and enjoy the aftermath.
>
> It seems as tho - for such an overwhelmingly male population which
> makes up the world of ham radio - it's without a doubt the most
> asexual collection of males I've ever heard.

Well, I see the other side of it too. Having been a nurdy teenage kid who didn't quite get how to get along with those other kids with the funny (but interesting) shapes, I know how important it is to have a place to retreat to where only technical knowledge is important. Computer hacking has been the usual refuge in the last few years; science fiction fandom was the actual refuge for me; but for many people ham radio has been it and might be it again.

Rich, K6RFM

1815. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 08:11:59 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <8766b8fvsg.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 18009

w2ilp@juno.com (Bob Wexelbaum) writes:
[a unique summary of the Gospels]
>
> Hams need more license classes.

Deacon, Priest, Monsignor, Bishop, Archbishop, Primate, Cardinal, Pope?

Rich (wannabe RTTY Primate of All Santa Clara Valley)

1816. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 09:40:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cGJi7.546$KV3.68665@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18010

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9mfatrihe1@208.206.142.69...
>
>
> James Bromley wrote:
> >
> > > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my
opinion, it
> > > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC
this
> > > week, methinks.)
> >
> > Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
> > Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.
>
>
>
> Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
> considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
> accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.

Methinks the accomplishment of the First Phone was in passing the Second and
the Extra in passing the Advanced.

1817. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:03:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828060346.21533.00004929@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18011

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is
>>a 2 V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a
>>distance of 200 meters?

>> A. 0.25 V/m
>> B. 0.5 V/m
>> C. 1.0 V/m
>> D 2.0 V/m

> Why not just say that it varies inversly as the square of the distance
>like the multiple choice questions on the tests do? That way the newbies
>will have less trouble memorizing it.

I don't want to do that, Dick. I do not want to give "newbies" incorrect information. The field strength from an antenna varies inversely with distance -- ie, 1/D. Saying that it varies as the inverse square would give B. 0.5 V/m as the answer, and that is the wrong answer. The correct answer is C. 1.0 V/m.

(Unfortunately, our two biggest detractors of the 1000+ question pool method of testing got this simple question about the most fundamental aspect of electromagnetics and application of Ohm's law wrong.)

However, let's let all these newbies "memorize" that the field strength from an antenna varies as the inverse of the distance in the far field. You can learn that concept, too, and see if it lets you get the answer correct this time.

Let's really put your theory to the test. Having taught the concept that the field strength from an antenna varies as the inverse of the distance, let's try the question with new variables, so no one can "word-association" memorize the right answer without understanding. Would everyone who has taken an exam under the VE system with an open question pool please answer the following question:

Q: In the far field of an antenna in free space, if the electric field is a 1 V/m at a distance of 100 meters, what will the electric field be at a distance of 200 meters?

A. 0.25 V/m
B. 0.5 V/m
C. 1.0 V/m
D 2.0 V/m

Let me offer a bit of explanation for those that may be a bit baffled by this inverse distance vs inverse square thing. The field strength, ie the electric field and the magnetic field, from an antenna do vary with the inverse of the distance in the far field. At any given point, the power density follows the

same formulae as does power in a circuit. Thus, at a given point in the far field, the power density = V/m * A/m, for the electric and magnetic fields respectively, in volts/meter and amperes/meter (or volts/centimeter, etc.). So the power density at that point would be V*A/m^2, or W/m^2 (watts per square meter). Because both V and A will follow an inverse distance relationship, the resultant power density follows an inverse square relationship.

To make this interesting, free space has impedance. What this means is that in the far field of an antenna, the relationship between the electric field and magnetic field is such that E/A = 377 ohms. So if at a particular point in the far field, E is 1 V/m, then A, by definition, will be E/377 or 1/377 or 0.00265 A/m. (Yes, this IS Ohm's law as we know it, where R=E/I.)

The rest of the power and Ohm's law permutations apply, too, so knowing the impedance of free space, one can calculate the power density at any point in the far field with the formula:

PD = [(E^2) / 377] / m or PD = [(A^2) *377] /m where PD is the power density in watts/meter, E is the magnitude of the electric field in volts, A is the magnitude of the magnetic field in amperes and m is one meter.

So an electric field of 1V/m would give a power density of [(1 * 1) / 377] / m or 0.00265 watts/meter, or 2.65 milliwatts/meter. An electic field at twice the distance of the first would be at a value of 0.5 V/m, which would give a power density of 0.66 milliwatts/meter, or 1/4 of the value.

So the field strength (electric or magnetic fields) from an antenna varies inversely with the distance and the power density varies as the inverse squared.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1818. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:08:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828060806.20352.00003192@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18012

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I publically apologized to you and you continue to harass me and
>muck with the attributes of my postings. Nice guy.

PRODUCE

THE

STANDARDS.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve

1819. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:08:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828060815.21533.00004930@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18013

From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com

>I'll go with the dictionary definitions (American Heritage):

>Yakking: Prolonged, sometimes senseless talk; chatter.

>Communicating: To convey information about; make known; impart:

>You may color in the various shades of gray as needed.

Fair enough. Ham radio has been predominantly filled with yakkers, on CW, digital or voice, for as long as I remember. In what way are the yakkers you want to keep out different than the yakkers we already have? If we are going to consider being exclusionary, I think we should discuss the details and understand just who we are trying to exclude, and why.

Perhaps the most important question to ask here is why we would want to exclude yakkers that would follow FCC rules. Right now, I am working on filings to try to protect various parts of the 2.3-2.4 GHz band. I sure would love to be able to say that the band is filled with ongoing amateur contacts.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1820. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:13:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828061301.21533.00004931@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18014

From: GAR GARIGUE garigue@home.com

>W1RFI wrote:

>> That's nonsense, Bruce. I am sure there are a few highly technical people
>> taking today's exams, but most are doing just what you and I did -- passing
>> their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and
>>just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are given.

>Yep Ed ,,, like the CW test. A real red light should go off when
>someone drives halfway across the state to take a "test". 73 KI3R

You are correct; the description I gave doesn't cover the CW test. I passed my CW test by 100% memorization.

If I understood the context of what you said, you are implying that driving halfway across a state to take a test should light a red light. Do you believe that driving across town is okay, but driving a long distance in some way reflects badly on the test taker? I just don't understand your statement.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1821. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:16:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828061610.21533.00004932@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18015

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You have already
>been invited to search the archives (if you undestand that term) for
>whatever you want to know about my activities. I own you no answers.

None of us owe each other any answers, Dick. But if we stop giving them, this forum would be strangely silent. It is your choice whether you want to communicate or not, but if you are choosing to back out of the conversation taking place on this thread, it would be a bit more polite to just do so than occasionally joining in to take a cheap shot then declining to respond.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1822. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:18:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828061853.21533.00004933@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18016

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
>considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
>accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.

Well, if so, they were gone before you obtained your Extra then, Dick, because
you got the answer wrong.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1823. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:19:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828061932.20352.00003193@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18017

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 9:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve, please examine all the postings on the newsgroup. Your bastard
>newsreader is the only one that screws up the attributions.

No it doesn't. The above quote was DIRECTLY copied from YOUR post and is
directely quoted to YOU.

NO ERROR. That YOU don't like the way it is "presented" is evident. but
it is ONLY your OPINION.

I am NOT going to reformat ANYTHING for simply YOUR opinion, Cecil.

Sorry.

>Ed corrects
>that same newsreader's attribution errors. Why won't you do that?

NO STANDARD EXISTS. That Ed changed anything at your request was either
simply a courtesy to you, or was done to placate you, the line between the two
being very thin.

PRODUCE

THE

STANDARDS.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve

1824. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:24:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828062442.20352.00003194@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18018

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>The judge is going to laugh you out of court when you tell
>him you are sueing me for objecting to you mucking with my attributions.

Thank you for demonstrating who is confused here, Cecil.

The argument is NOT about objecting to your OPINIONS about "attributions".

The argument is about being called a liar and unethical for not AGREEING
with your OPINION.

An OPINION that you CANNOT substantiate with a single iota of FACT. Not
even an artical from a magazine!

NOTHING!

PRODUCE THE STANDARD!

Steve

1825. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:31:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828063102.21566.00005694@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18019

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

> The argument is about being called a liar and unethical for not AGREEING
>with your OPINION.

Steve, you really should do a news-search on your ID and the word "liar." I cannot count the number of times I have seen you use the word "liar" in a context that clearly indicated that you felt the other poster was wrong. Frankly, if I were you, I sure wouldn't want to see the matter before a judge or a jury.

> PRODUCE THE STANDARD!

My goodness, this is getting loud! Maybe someone can do a search for the netiquette FAQs and help Steve understand.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI>

1826. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:36:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828063631.20352.00003195@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18020

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8AFFCE.6F3E5278@IEEE.org>

>I did produce them, Steve, in the other thread.

Where, Cecil..? Unless I just absolutely read right past it, I see NOTHING.

I see numerous posts expressing your OPINION, however I see NO post stating something to the like of "According to the Association of Newsgroup Readers, it is considered appropriate to format a newsgroup response in the following manner..."

A STANDARD, Cecil, is a set of parameters that are generally accepted as THE set of criteria by which all others shold adhere or strive.

YOU have yet to PRODUCE anything that even REMOTELY approaches that.

>There should be one ">" per line, not two. There is no posting that
>corresponds to the attributes you posted.

PRODUCE THE STANDARD that says THAT is the way it is SUPPOSED to be...absolutely, unarguably, the ONLY way, Cecil.

Until then.....

See ya

Steve

1827. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:43:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828064358.21574.00005280@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18022

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>PRODUCE THE STANDARD that says THAT is the way it is SUPPOSED to
>be...absolutely, unarguably, the ONLY way, Cecil.

> Until then.....

This reminds me of the net that operates SSB in the CW window of 160 M. There is no rule that prohibits it...

So, "until then" what, Steve? You will post attributions in a random manner that no one can understand?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1828. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:44:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828064405.20352.00003196@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18021

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:30 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>There's nothing I can really do about it if you choose to
>violate the netnews standards.

PRODUCE THE STANDARDS, Cecil.

NOT yor opinion on what they ought to be.

>Since libel can result from
>mucking with the attributes, you need to be really careful.

There has been no "mucking", Cecil. That millions of NG posts are made by people using the AOL newsreader EVERY DAY >>IS<< a defacto "standard" in the eyes of the law.

That YOU don't care for the format is irrelevant.

Sorry.

1829. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 10:54:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828065450.20352.00003197@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18023

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B0394.DA9B8744@IEEE.org>

>You want Moses to come down from the mountain with the standards
>carved in stone? The present netnews attribute standard has been
>in existence for more than a decade.

Then why can't YOU produce it?

Cecil, you haven't been able to produce so much as a pamphlet on it. Why?

>You seem to be the only one
>who chooses to violate the standard

What standard, Cecil? Produce it. If it's been around for so long, then
it SHOULD be in writing somewhere. We have the most phenomeonal information
systems at our fingertips since the creation of the Dewey Decimal System, yet
YOU, an alleged engineer, cannot produce for me ONE reference to this alleged
invioable "standard" to which you keep refering.

>Are you a one man army trying to
>get the attribute standard changed?

What standard? In the eyes of the law, that the AOL newsreader is used by
millions of people EVERYDAY in tens-of-thousands of differnet newsgroups IS a
defacto standard.

Not the ONLY one, to be sure, but you will NEVER make a case that I have
misattributed ANYTHING that YOU ahve posted that I have quoted in THIS
newsgroup.

>Everything between the ********** above is an attribution error

Only in YOUR opinion. That, and $2.00, will get you a cup of overpriced
coffee in Seattle.

Steve

1830. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 11:09:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828070924.20352.00003198@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18024

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B05B5.25CDF91@IEEE.org>

>
>It is NOT because I said so, Steve. I have merely explained the standard
>to you.

No, you have expressed you OPINION to me, Cecil. And accused me of being
a liar and unethical because I don't accept it.

>But it's very much like running a KW PACTOR signal in
>the lower 25kHz on 40m.

It's nothing of the sort.

FCC Rules and Regulations SPECIFICALLY address this type of behaviour and
lay out penalties for it.

You, on the otherhand, have laid out NOTHING except for your OPINION.

>It's not the words that are mucked up, Steve, it is the attributes.
>Your postings make it impossible to tell who said what.

Only in YOUR mind, Cecil....Only in YOUR mind.

>Try five levels of nesting with multiple paragraphs and see what happens.

That has happened DOZENS of times in posts made by OTHERS, Cecil, NOT by
me, and I am absolutely UNABLE to determine by the number of arrows WHO said
WHAT simply because at some point no NAME was "attributed" to which stack of
arrows.

I don't do that. Again, if I am quoting more than one "author", I will
specifically tag thier comments.

>Why do you
>refuse to correct the attribution errors?

What errors, Cecil? Produce the STANDARD by which you judge that.

>Please see Ed's postings to
>learn how to make the correction.

No correction is required. AOL's newsreader is used on a daily basis and
is, therefore, considered an accepted application.

That YOU don't like it is irrelevant.

Sorry.

Steve

1831. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 11:21:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828072135.20352.00003201@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18025

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 8/28/01 6:31 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010828063102.21566.00005694@mb-mk.aol.com>

>My goodness, this is getting loud! Maybe someone can do a search for the
>netiquette FAQs and help Steve understand.

Ed, the FACT remains that AOL's newsreader IS used on a daily basis by
hundreds of thousands, if not millions of posts DAILY and therefore IS a de
facto "standard".

That Cecil (and others, for that matter) may not like that I don't care to
go into the program and reformat is irrelevant.

Nothing is "masattributed". Cecil has been identified and given "credit"
for his comments. He would be hard-pressed to prove otherwise.

73

Steve

1832. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 11:31:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828073134.20352.00003202@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 18026

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 8/28/01 6:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010828064358.21574.00005280@mb-mk.aol.com>

>This reminds me of the net that operates SSB in the CW window of 160 M.
>There
>is no rule that prohibits it...

Wrong-a-mundo, Kind Sir! FCC REGULATIONS specifically state that certain types of behaviour that violate certain Amateur practice are illegal, and that violation of traditional operating practices are forbidden.

These "practices" are chronicled in tens-of-thousands of editions of QST, CQ, 73, the ARRL Handbook and Operating manual.

Those "standards" ARE documented and ARE protected by federal regulation.

Cecil's "attributions" assertions are NOT.

>So, "until then" what, Steve? You will post attributions in a random manner
>that no one can understand?

"...that no one can understand?" So, you are telling me that suddenly, after three or more years, no on can understand what it is that I am saying?

That after those aforementioned years you have been confused and unable to follow the course of any threads that I generated or participated in..?!?!

Honestly, Ed...?!?! Can you?

Steve

1833. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:12:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B8AA9.924BD66@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18027

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> w2ilp@juno.com (Bob Wexelbaum) writes:
> [a unique summary of the Gospels]
> >
> > Hams need more license classes.
>
> Deacon, Priest, Monsignor, Bishop, Archbishop, Primate, Cardinal, Pope?

Don't forget the Pee-ons.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1834. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:19:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B8C5A.A4AA27B8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18028

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > Now, all those hams who have not taken an exam before the FCC sit back and see
> > > if my hint above lets one of the old timers get the answer right. Bruce?
> > > Dick?
> >
> > Hint? Seems to me you solved the problem.
>
> Well, no, it's clear from the hint that the *power* is down to 1/4 if
> you double the distance, but he asked about the *field strength*. I
> think it's one of those things that require one to realize that empty
> space has an impedance, which always seems spooky to me. (Yeah, I
> know, it's implicit in Maxwell's equations, but what isn't?) Since
> that impedance is constant, shouldn't the voltage be only 1/2 since
> power should be square of voltage if impedance is constant?

Exactly. The volts/meter is inversely proportional to the distance.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1835. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:39:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B90F7.C3EAA43C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18029

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/27/01 9:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Steve, please examine all the postings on the newsgroup. Your bastard
> >newsreader is the only one that screws up the attributions.
>
> No it doesn't. The above quote was DIRECTLY copied from YOUR post and is
> directely quoted to YOU.

The attributions of the above quote are WRONG!

> NO STANDARD EXISTS. That Ed changed anything at your request was either
> simply a courtesy to you, or was done to placate you, the line between the two
> being very thin.

Again you show your ignorance. From Webster's:

"standard - something established by authority, custom, or general consent
as a model or example."

Note that "custom" carries just as much weight as "authority".
The present method of attributing postings to authors has been in force
for more than a decade. It is certainly a standard, i.e. "something
established by custom". Standards do not have to be written down. You
are the only poster I know of who is not giving his general consent
and refusing to follow the standard.

The way I am going to handle this problem that you refuse to correct
is as follows:
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
> Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> Date: 8/27/01 9:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time

It is that simple.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1836. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:41:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B9191.5BF3A105@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18030

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >I publically apologized to you and you continue to harass me and
> >muck with the attributes of my postings. Nice guy.
>
> PRODUCE
>
> THE
>
> STANDARDS.
>
> It really is THAT simple.

I have produced the standard, Steve. From Webster's: "standard -
something established by custom." Above is how I am going to
handle your refusal to correct your attribution error.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1837. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:43:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B9207.831BBE59@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18031

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> The argument is about being called a liar and unethical for not AGREEING
> with your OPINION.

Nope, the argument is about your choosing to violate the netnews
standard for attributions and refusing to correct your error.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1838. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:48:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B9312.4B50D5DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18032

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> > The argument is about being called a liar and unethical for not AGREEING
> >with your OPINION.

Note that Ed is using the same newsreader that Steve is using yet
Ed's posting doesn't contain the attribution error.

> Steve, you really should do a news-search on your ID and the word "liar." I
> cannot count the number of times I have seen you use the word "liar" in a
> context that clearly indicated that you felt the other poster was wrong.
> Frankly, if I were you, I sure wouldn't want to see the matter before a judge
> or a jury.

Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:

"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)

If the shoe fits, ...
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1839. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:52:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B93F2.A5EB2947@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18033

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 8/28/01 6:31 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010828063102.21566.00005694@mb-mk.aol.com>
>
> >My goodness, this is getting loud! Maybe someone can do a search for the
> >netiquette FAQs and help Steve understand.
>
> Ed, the FACT remains that AOL's newsreader IS used on a daily basis by
> hundreds of thousands, if not millions of posts DAILY and therefore IS a de
> facto "standard".

Then why are you the only one on this newsgroup who is not following
the decade+ old netnews standard for attributions? AOL's newsreader
is a bastard, i.e. "of a kind similar to but inferior to or less
typical than some standard."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1840. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 12:54:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B9487.AEDF5BC4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18034

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> The reader I have now (Netscape Communicator) doesn't do that. It
> has one color for all quotes (gray), regardless of layers. However,
> the previous reader (NewsWatcher) had different colors for each layer.
> I wish Netscape had that feature (in that one regard, the previous
> newsreader was better). It only takes a glance to see the various
> layers when each are a different color.

That would work for you elite folk with color vision. :-)

My Netscape puts all except the latest posting in italics, i.e.
any line beginning with a ">".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1841. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:11:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B9872.CA53ED2E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18035

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8AFFCE.6F3E5278@IEEE.org>
>
> >I did produce them, Steve, in the other thread.
>
> Where, Cecil..? Unless I just absolutely read right past it, I see
> NOTHING.

Then you need glasses, Steve. It was the original posting in the
"Netnews Attributions" thread.

> I see numerous posts expressing your OPINION, however I see NO post
> stating something to the like of "According to the Association of Newsgroup
> Readers, it is considered appropriate to format a newsgroup response in the
> following manner..."

It doesn't have to, Steve. An accepted definition of "standard" is
"something established by authority, custom, or general consent as a
model or example". The netnews attribution standard has been established
by custom and general consent for more than a decade.

In this particular case, my "opinion" is based on facts.

Would you run a KW RTTY QSO in the lower 25kHz of 40m? That is akin
to what you are doing here.

> A STANDARD, Cecil, is a set of parameters that are generally accepted as
> THE set of criteria by which all others should adhere or strive.

Yep, that's exactly right and you are the only poster to this newsgroup
(that I know of) who refuses to adhere or strive to adhere to the
standard. Why do you think that is?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1842. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:17:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B99D2.145063C9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18036

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> That millions of NG posts are made by
> people using the AOL newsreader EVERY DAY >>IS<< a defacto "standard" in the
> eyes of the law.

That's Bull Hocky, Steve. Savvy internet users don't even consider
AOL to be a real ISP. The AOL newsreader is a bastard. When did
you start using it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1843. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:26:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8B9C1D.54BEF3CF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18037

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B0394.DA9B8744@IEEE.org>
>
> >You want Moses to come down from the mountain with the standards
> >carved in stone? The present netnews attribute standard has been
> >in existence for more than a decade.
>
> Then why can't YOU produce it?

I did very carefully produce it, Steve, in the original posting that
started this thread.

> Cecil, you haven't been able to produce so much as a pamphlet on it. Why?

Pamphlet????? A standard don't need no stinkin' pamphlet.

> What standard, Cecil? Produce it. If it's been around for so long, then
> it SHOULD be in writing somewhere.

It is probably in a netnews FAQ or guideline. Since you appear to be
the only one who is ignorant of the standard, seems to me you are the
one who should be doing the searching. I didn't take you to raise.

> What standard? In the eyes of the law, that the AOL newsreader is used by
> millions of people EVERYDAY in tens-of-thousands of differnet newsgroups IS a
> defacto standard.

False, Steve. The AOL newsreader is a bastard. AOL is not even considered
to be a real Internet Service Provider by savvy internet users. You are
the only poster on r.r.a.p (that I know of) who is violating the
attribution standard. Why do you think that is?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1844. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:44:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BA057.83117A29@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18038

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/27/01 10:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B05B5.25CDF91@IEEE.org>
>
> >It is NOT because I said so, Steve. I have merely explained the standard
> >to you.
>
> No, you have expressed you OPINION to me, Cecil. And accused me of being
> a liar and unethical because I don't accept it.

In this case, my opinion is based on fact. Ask any knowledgeable person.

> >But it's very much like running a KW PACTOR signal in
> >the lower 25kHz on 40m.
>
> It's nothing of the sort.

Of course it is, Steve. You are the only one on r.r.a.p (that I
know of) who is refusing to honor the attribution standard. To
the best of my knowledge, all other users of the bastard AOL
newsreader take the time to correct the attributions in their
postings.

> FCC Rules and Regulations SPECIFICALLY address this type of behaviour and
> lay out penalties for it.

I wasn't aware of that. I believe it's perfectly legal to run a KW
PACTOR station in the lower 25kHz of 40m as long as you possess an
Extra class license and don't cause interference.

> You, on the otherhand, have laid out NOTHING except for your OPINION.

It is also the opinion of all the other denizens of r.r.a.p and
netnews users in general for more than a decade. That's what
makes it a standard. You are the only person (that I know of)
who wants to violate the standard.

> >It's not the words that are mucked up, Steve, it is the attributes.
> >Your postings make it impossible to tell who said what.
>

> Only in YOUR mind, Cecil....Only in YOUR mind.

And the minds of millions of netnews readers.

> >Try five levels of nesting with multiple paragraphs and see what happens.

> I don't do that. Again, if I am quoting more than one "author", I will
> specifically tag thier comments.

You forgot to say that you tag them incorrectly.

> No correction is required. AOL's newsreader is used on a daily basis and
> is, therefore, considered an accepted application.

The AOL newsreader meets the definition of "bastard". Most of the users
of bastard newsreaders have replaced them or manually correct them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1845. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095654.09419.00003718@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18039

In article <20010826233538.03529.00002835@mb-fp.news.cs.com>,
kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) writes:

>>Bert:
>>
>>My club uses a virtually identical arrangement during Field Day,
>>always with exceptional results! Carry on!
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Thanks Larry,
>
>Now where did I put that soldering iron...?
>

Bert:

Oooops...I just hope you didn't leave it plugged in!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1846. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095654.09419.00003719@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18040

In article <%Zzi7.441$0y.190151@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>
>What about the cost issue as oppose to the other ideas expressed here in
>regards to this topic??
>
>Just a though...
>
>Ryan

Ryan:

I think that the money spent on a single-band 10-m transceiver would
be better invested saving it until there was enough to purchase a full-
coverage HF multi-band transceiver. Since very capable rigs like
the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1847. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095655.09419.00003720@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18043

In article <3B89BCCB.CF9B9EC4@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> (snip) You must, however, accept the
>> fact that your fellow hams licensed
>> prior to April 15, 2000 did so under
>> a more challenging and comprehensive
>> set of licensing requirements -- and
>> therefore are deserving of higher
>> status as hams than you are. (snip)
>
>
> Larry, ham radio is not about status. If you think it is, then you
>really have missed the point of this outstanding hobby.
>

Dwight:

The debate about the "status" of hams, based on license class,
exists as a result of hams, who have locked themselves out of
attaining the higher license classes through their own laziness
and inaction, being jealous of those who did not, and their desire
to "equalize" their outcomes through the diminution of licensing
standards. Hams like myself, who have attained the highest
"status" the amateur licensing system can provide, don't give
this "status" much thought on a personal, day-to-day level. It
is those who don't have it, and now can never get it, that are
constantly on BOTH the offensive and defense over our so-
called "status."

Prior to Restructuring, there was little, if anything, I could have done
to help you attain Extra class. You would have had to have done
that for yourself, largely through your own effort. Now that
Restructuring is a reality, you can no longer equalize your status
as a ham with those who attained General, Advanced, or Extra
class in the Pre-Restructuring Era. This is a reality which you
now have no choice but to accept. My enjoyment of the ham
radio hobby has not been affected by the cultural changes
associated with Restructuring. I regret that yours has, but there
is nothing I can do about it that you couldn't have done for
yourself two years ago.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1848. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095656.09419.00003722@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18041

In article <20010827062424.21455.00004004@mb-mr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> If you are too weak and immature in
>>your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
>>at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
>>yourself to do so.
>
>I see nothing courageous in the way you treat others here, Larry. Nothing at
>all. Don't kid yourself.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

This is America. You are entitled to your opinion, and are free to express it. I am also free to disagree with you as you are free to disagree with me. The beauty of it all is -- we both get to keep on living, and neither of us can deny each other our basic rights. Or is that something you would change, if you could?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1849. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095656.09419.00003721@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18042

In article <20010827062325.21455.00004003@mb-mr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> People who have achieved more by meeting tougher qualifications have
>>earned and deserve higher status than those who don't.
>
>People who achieved more by any method can feel proud of those
>accomplishments,
>Larry. I entered ham radio in the 60s, when exams were easier than they are
>today, and probably a LOT easier than the exams you took. Do you think that
>you are a better ham than I because of that?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Not necessarily. I've often said that "Ham radio is what hams make of it, and hams are what they make of themselves." I truly mean that. You have obviously done very well for yourself as a ham, but keep in mind that you have professional-level technical skills, whereas most radio amateurs, by definition, do not. Just don't demand "equality" with hams who had to succeed at a more comprehensive and challenging licensing process, and don't insult our intelligence by insisting that "dumbing down" from those standards is a good thing -- and we'll be just fine!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1850. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095657.09419.00003723@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18044

In article <20010827062825.21455.00004005@mb-mr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Perhaps it would have been better to leave things well enough alone. The FCC
>made its decision, though, didn't it. It it was better to leave things well
>enough alone then, perhaps it is still better to leave things well enough
>alone
>now. I see no value -- none whatsoever -- to Amateur Radio from the actions
>and
>words of those whose only intent is to sow discontent, distrust and bad
>feelings. You, my friend, are one of those people and you are, IMHO, causing
>harm to Amateur Radio and your fellows.
>
>"Courageous" enough for you?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

"Courageous?" Sure. Self-serving and politically-correct? Absolutely!
Just another day at the office for you, Ed -- I tell the truth, then you twist it into something that takes the heat off of the ARRL -- which was instrumental in creating our present situation. Nothing new here.

Ed -- you MAKE YOUR LIVING working at ARRL headquarters. You simply MUST take an opposition viewpoint to hams like me who are free to tell the truth about the current amateur radio culture and it's underlying causes. I understand, and don't hold this against you. I can hold my ground on my own; I don't need you to validate me. I can like you and respect you as a fellow ham who has a difference of opinion. I hope you can do the same!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1851. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 13:56:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828095658.09419.00003724@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18045

In article <WZzi7.438$0y.190303@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>> Ryan:
>>
>> Post-Restructuring hams who became licensed without being aware
>> of the issues that lead to Restructuring are none the less the result of
>> a licensing system that is "dumbed down" by Pre-Restructuring
>> standards. They may not have had any choice in the matter, but the
>> effect on the perception of them as hams is still the same.
>
>So... this is another form of discrimination..... Classifying a whole group
>of people based on the actions of a few. I can understand that some may not
>be perfect operators due to things like be nervous or something. But as a
>Post-Restructuring Era ham, I also DO NOT condone the CB'er mentality which
>can be witnessed in EVERY license class.

Ryan:

OK, fine -- as long as you remember that what you SAY in this newsgroup
won't be the basis of how you are perceived as a ham; what you DO on-the-air
will!

> Whether
>> or not they allow that negative image to be borne out by their personal
>> attributes as a radio amateur is entirely up to them. If they become
>> active, proficient Morse/CW users, and demonstrate the traditional
>> curiosity, learning ability, and technical competence, and sound
>> operating practices of a classic radio amateur, they will not suffer
>> personally from the fact that they ascended during the Post-Restructuring
>> era. If they act like ignorant, dumbed-down CB refugees, then they
>> will take the heat. It's entirely up to them. However, the one thing
>they
>> can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
>> Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
>> licensing standards.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Understood, but should they be verbally assaulted for something that MAY not
>be within their control?

In a word -- NO! And they won't be, as long as they don't claim "equality"
to Pre-Restructuring hams of General-, Advanced-, or Extra-class!

>I agree that some people do act stupid and that is
>bad for ALL of us, not just the "P.R.E." hams. I wonder about some people
>though, do they spend more time complaining about "how things used to be"
>rather that make a positive twist out of it?? Instead of some people
>bitching and moaning, if they spent more time teaching and training newbies,
>maybe we ALL would be better for it and it would seem to me to be a better
>utilization of energy.

I can't comment on that, Ryan, other than I don't see the "teaching and training
of newbies" as being of prime importance anymore. Even during the days when I was first licensed and upgraded thru Extra class, a time with the most comprehensive and challenging licensing requirements in the history of amateur radio, hams were largely self-trained. This has always been a characteristic of hams. The desire of today's hams to be "trained" by other hams only shows them as being all the more lazy and disaffected than any hams who have come before them. It is the very lack of willingness to open a book, learn something
on their own, to show some curiosity and learning ability, that has hams of my era looking down their noses at hams of your era. Quite frankly, there are more than enough people populating the local repeaters, thank you very much! We don't need any more. What we do need are more people to "work" on CW, PSK-31, and on the VHF weak-signal modes. We need more people to take an active role in emergency communications, the leadership of our clubs, and to provide significant, meaningful financial support to help renew and update the local amateur radio infrastructures that give us our emergency capability. And, no matter where you fall on the Morse code testing requirements continuum, you must embrace that mode with a positive attitude and no excuses.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1852. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 14:11:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BA688.2E04D12@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18046

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Not necessarily. I've often said that "Ham radio is what hams make
> of it, and hams are what they make of themselves."

You certainly have but I won't say in public what it is. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1853. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 14:14:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BA744.DF34CB6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18047

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The debate about the "status" of hams, based on license class,

There is no debate except in your delusional mind. After you
renew your license next time, are you going to lug a callbook
around to prove your status?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1854. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 15:03:33 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828110333.01436.00002087@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18048

>Before you manage to get your foot even further down your throat you
>need to know that I am only a few years older than you

And you think that insulates you from practicing ageism. When I reefer to you and Bruce
and Larry as practitioners of ageism I am 100% correct. Most new hams are going to be
quite a bit younger than you or I for that matter. You and Larry as well as Bruce are the
type of people that these new hams should be exposed to. At least you three (the three
stooges) are in the minority as far as older hams are concerned. I know quite a few older
hams in my area that are nothing like you three. About the only things you guys have left
to contribute to ham radio is what you have in your wallets. As far as what you have in
you brains, it would seem you forgot how to use that. I understand you have done VE
work in the past to further ham radio, but as one poster mentioned quite a while ago, it
would seem you are intent on undoing what you have done in the past. As far as my
background in ham radio, I have only been licensed for a short time, but that does not
mean that I am not a good operator. Non of you has any idea what kind of an operator I
am, Non of you are in my log book. OF course the worst operators I have heard on the air
have been older guys (I can tell an older persons voice when I hear it) with 1x3 calls. I is
amazing how many times I hear a DX station calling for the west coast on the United
States and all I hear are idiots on the east cost trying to work him. These have been old
farts with 1x3 calls for the most part. They have not moved to the west coast and kept
their call signs. When they give the DX station their QTH they are in states like New
York, Ohio, Minn., Mich., and so on. When I hear JA’s calling for the east coast I do not
work them. Perhaps some of the Old Hams should take a geography test.

>I own you no answers.
>

As I owe you no answers.

1855. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 15:40:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8BBB7C.A53D2B2D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18049

CAM wrote:
>
> That would work for you elite
> folk with color vision. :-)
>
> My Netscape puts all except the
> latest posting in italics, i.e.
> any line beginning with a ">".

Well, if you have Netscape Communicator (with the built-in newsreader), you can change that (I really hate the italics). In the preferences, look for "Mail & Newsgroups." It it the first option on the top of that preference window (you'll see it). I set the quoted text to "plain," and selected gray as the color (try bright red if gray is too hard to see). The plain text is easier to read, but the color still separates quoted material from the rest of the page.

Of course, if you're using a PC, the locations may be slightly different. In that case, you'll have to look around for that option.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1856. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 15:46:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828114622.18440.00001878@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 18050

Let me correct my last post.

You and Larry as well as Bruce are the
type of people that these new hams should be NOT exposed to

1857. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 15:51:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8BBDE2.A78882B2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18101

CAM wrote:
>
> Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
> >
> > w2ilp@juno.com (Bob Wexelbaum) writes:
> > [a unique summary of the Gospels]
> > >
> > > Hams need more license classes.
> >
> > Deacon, Priest, Monsignor, Bishop,
> > Archbishop, Primate, Cardinal, Pope?
>
> Don't forget the Pee-ons.

All hams think they're higher than the peons, so there really is no need to add that one to the list. But Rich may have to add to the other end of the list - more than a few hams certainly think they're higher than the Pope.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1858. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 15:57:32 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <8cfnoto6th1c9e3qltirdp3aomji76rmjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 18102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 01:08:49 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> In addition to insuring the response is heard and
>understood clearly (even by a person near panic), it also installs
>confidence in the caller (a competent person has heard me and is going
>to help).

Agreed, a calm but competent voice at the control station end is always
a great help.

IMO, there are more important things to be taught to the potential
calling station than voice procedure. For instance, knowing where you
are is a major problem when using radio (as opposed to a phone),
especially out in the boonies. People should be taught to be aware of
their surroundings ("I'm about a mile south of the big hill with the
water tank on top") and if possible, to use a GPS. Learn to use a
compass for bearings. Learn CPR and basic first aid. Learn water
rescue. I could go on, but you get the idea.

At least, I am glad to see that people are interested in such things.

73, Bill W7TI

1859. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:22:13 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8BB6EE.E3870E20@mailcity.com>
Source record: 18103

The illegal operators using LSB on the lower end of 40 meters lied about their attributes, I think that is what started the Civil War.

CAM wrote:

> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >
> > > The argument is about being called a liar and unethical for not AGREEING
> > >with your OPINION.
>
> Note that Ed is using the same newsreader that Steve is using yet
> Ed's posting doesn't contain the attribution error.
>
> > Steve, you really should do a news-search on your ID and the word "liar." I
> > cannot count the number of times I have seen you use the word "liar" in a
> > context that clearly indicated that you felt the other poster was wrong.
> > Frankly, if I were you, I sure wouldn't want to see the matter before a judge
> > or a jury.
>
> Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:
>
> "lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
> be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)
>
> If the shoe fits, ...
> --
> cheers, Cecil

1860. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:22:42 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <engnotokulpb1rjn5lnpg8eq0ctate71iu@4ax.com>
Source record: 18104

On 28 Aug 2001 10:08:15 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>Fair enough. Ham radio has been predominantly filled with yakkers, on CW,
>digital or voice, for as long as I remember. In what way are the yakkers you
>want to keep out different than the yakkers we already have? If we are going
>to consider being exclusionary, I think we should discuss the details and
>understand just who we are trying to exclude, and why.
>
>Perhaps the most important question to ask here is why we would want to exclude
>yakkers that would follow FCC rules. Right now, I am working on filings to try
>to protect various parts of the 2.3-2.4 GHz band. I sure would love to be able
>to say that the band is filled with ongoing amateur contacts.

I'm not really trying to exclude yakkers. I just think they don't serve much useful purpose to the amateur service. Yakkers will always be with us, I realize. I can live with it.

Speaking of microwaves and changing the subject slightly, I'd be glad to trade all our microwave allocations for broadcast-free HF bands. I think the future of amateur radio is HF and VHF. Microwave is an interesting part of the spectrum but not highly useful to hams, IMHO. Much more valuable to the commercial users (which is actually us, in many cases).

That should generate a flame or two. Someone is sure to mention "Two Hundred Meters and Down". :-)

73, Bill W7TI

1861. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:24:10 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <qchnot8vj8rmf0lsujhboiumjl4p61ipbs@4ax.com>
Source record: 18105

On 28 Aug 2001 05:19:19 GMT, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote:

>I guess these are the only things one should use a repeater for according to
>Bill Turner

Wrong guess. I never said that.

Bill, W7TI

1862. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:24:32 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4BPi7.1163$KV3.106023@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18106

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:

> (Unfortunately, our two biggest detractors of the 1000+ question pool method of
> testing got this simple question about the most fundamental aspect of
> electromagnetics and application of Ohm's law wrong.)
>
> However, let's let all these newbies "memorize" that the field strength from an
> antenna varies as the inverse of the distance in the far field. You can learn
> that concept, too, and see if it lets you get the answer correct this time.

As I mentioned before, I remember this one from the 1st 'Phone
test I took waaaaay baaack in Nineteen Ought Sixty. I remembered
it because I *memorized* the fact that it was a counterintuitive
wanker that I had to be on the lookout for.

I think RFI's little exercise vividly illustrates that preparing for
a test is quite different than casually dealing with a body of acquired
knowledge. You really have to be on your toes and *study to the test*.
I went over and over the Q&A study guides for every amateur and
commercial test I took. And I probably would have failed them on
distractors like the one's in Ed's question had I not developed a
close familiarity with the phrasing and tone of the questions.

The answer is that you have to do both - understand the principles
AND understand the test.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1863. Re: standard response to sos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:26:40 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <qghnotcfrgs4m6g1aqh2c2j4o2opbq3mt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 18107

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 04:00:05 +0000 (UTC), "Hans K0HB" <K0HB@qwest.net>
wrote:

>Richard Cranium (W7TI) wrote:
>
>> Emergency Caller: Blub, blub, blub......
>
>Bill (W7TI) OM,
>
>You can find additional detail at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy
>
>With all kind wishes,
>
>de Hans, K0HB

Gotta admit, that URL was funny! Check it out.

Bill, W7TI

1864. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:27:48 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <dcgnotk3nl1npq9c00gkmg3c8u08jkq8ip@4ax.com>
Source record: 18108

On 28 Aug 2001 13:56:58 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>It is the very lack of willingness to open a book, learn
>something on their own, to show some curiosity and
>learning ability, that has hams of
>my era looking down their noses at hams of your era.

Give it up Larry. You elitist snobbery is wearing thin. I'm of your
era and I look down on your type much more than hams of the new era.

Bill, W7TI

1865. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:33:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8BC7D1.43CF1DE9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18109

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> The debate about the "status" of
> hams, based on license class, exists
> as a result of hams, who have locked"
> themselves out of attaining the
> higher license classes through their
> own laziness and inaction, being
> jealous of those who did not, and
> their desire to "equalize" their
> outcomes through the diminution of
> licensing standards. Hams like myself,
> who have attained the highest "status"
> the amateur licensing system can
> provide, don't give this "status"
> much thought on a personal, day-to-day
> level. (snip)

There isn't any debate, Larry. Your whining is a nuisance, not a debate. And, considering how many messages you've posted over the last few months touting your imaginary status, it is a little hard to believe that you've given it little thought. Instead, you seem to be desperately trying to convince us, or perhaps yourself, that you have that status. People with true status don't have to convince others they have it.

> Prior to Restructuring, there was
> little, if anything, I could have
> done to help you attain Extra class.
> You would have had to have done that
> for yourself, largely through your
> own effort. Now that Restructuring
> is a reality, you can no longer
> equalize your status as a ham with
> those who attained General,
> Advanced, or Extra class in the
> Pre-Restructuring Era.

Who cares? As I've already said, ham radio is not about status. All I, and most hams, care about is operating privileges, and Restructuring has made that much easier for me to obtain.

> This is a reality which you now have
> no choice but to accept. My enjoyment
> of the ham radio hobby has not been

> affected by the cultural changes
> associated with Restructuring. I regret
> that yours has, but there is nothing I
> can do about it that you couldn't have
> done for yourself two years ago.

You're right, my enjoyment has changed since Restructuring. I'm absolutely thrilled with the changes brought about by Restructuring and I'm looking forward to taking advantage of those changes. In fact, I'm even looking forward to still more changes in the future.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1866. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:50:01 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZYPi7.1259$KV3.108270@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18110

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:dcgnotk3nl1npq9c00gkmg3c8u08jkq8ip@4ax.com...
> On 28 Aug 2001 13:56:58 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> wrote:
>
> >It is the very lack of willingness to open a book, learn
> >something on their own, to show some curiosity and
> >learning ability, that has hams of
> >my era looking down their noses at hams of your era.
>
> ----------
>
> Give it up Larry. You elitist snobbery is wearing thin. I'm of your
> era and I look down on your type much more than hams of the new era.
>
> Bill, W7TI

Let's hear it for some good, old-fashioned elitist snobbery -- especially the elitist part. There is a time and a place for it to be sure but in and of itself it is what makes the world turn. There is probably a time and place to sing Kumbaya as well but far more times when a man says he is better than this bunch of bubbleheads and gets his degree, starts a business, runs pass patterns til he pukes, sells a concept to a corporate board, or teaches himself a bit of radio.

Why is it we do not want to talk about ego and class? Is it perhaps Ayn Rand's Law: the most unpopular man in the room is the guy who articulates what everyone else in the room already knows?

While living in Wisconsin, parts of which have the shared-poverty mentality common to places where not much is happening I happened to be in a Mac and Don's killing time over a cup when a bunch of tech schoolies wandered in an started raising hell. In my window-shaking bass-baritone I told one of them to sit down and shut up. He did but several seconds later started declaiming at great length how I thought I was better than them (stuck-up is a bad thing among losers). I replied that if I did not think I was better I would be an idiot and if I didn't say it I'd be a liar and I did not claim to be either. Having thrown the Holy Hand Grenade, he was dumbfounded; but his girl friend nearly split a gut.

Why should I give rat tits about the opinions of me carried by some total loser?

Dick NBK

1867. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 16:58:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828125815.01508.00002975@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18111

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 9:17 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B99D2.145063C9@IEEE.org>

>That's Bull Hocky, Steve. Savvy internet users don't even consider
>AOL to be a real ISP.

"Savvy" internet users are entitled to thier opinion just like everyone else, Cecil...But considering AOL's place in the market, I would say that any negative opinions will be moot.

>The AOL newsreader is a bastard. When did
>you start using it?

Uhhh...since the very beginning...And until you started getting your nose rubbed in your own words, it wasn't an issue for you.

Steve

1868. Re: standard response to sos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 17:11:09 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <24knotghu9g4b4q4ifrd2k2g9omue9pb7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 18112

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 04:00:05 +0000 (UTC), "Hans K0HB" <K0HB@qwest.net>
wrote:

>You can find additional detail at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

---

Hans, my buddy! Did I say something to offend you?

Does this mean no more grab-ass in the shower? I always thought you
were the best!!

Richard Cranium

1869. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 17:16:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828131607.01508.00002979@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18113

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 9:26 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B9C1D.54BEF3CF@IEEE.org>

>I did very carefully produce it, Steve, in the original posting that
>started this thread.

No, Cecil...What you have "produced" was your OPINION on the matter. A "standard" is a statement of parameters published in some professional media that sets the guidelines for some given topic.

Yes, you have restated over and over, >>without substantiation by any STANDARD,<< how you feel these "attributes" should be.

>Pamphlet????? A standard don't need no stinkin' pamphlet.

No, it doesn't. But it needs to be public information and published for general reference, Cecil.

Or didn't they teach you that in Engineer's school.

>It is probably in a netnews FAQ or guideline.

here we go with the "probably" stuff again. Seems to me, cecil, that since you are SOOOOOO adamant about this and so certain that this "standard" exisits, that you could produce some reference to it.

>Since you appear to be
>the only one who is ignorant of the standard, seems to me you are the
>one who should be doing the searching.

I redirect you to the above statement.

Again, you come here making some assertion of fact, then when someone "calls" you on it, you state it's THIER business to find it out since they have the temerity to NOT just take your word for it.

YOU made the assertion....YOU provide the facts.

>You are
>the only poster on r.r.a.p (that I know of) who is violating the
>attribution standard.

What standard? Where is it? Who published it? If it's a "standard" why is there more than one option...?!?!

>Why do you think that is?

Just to get your goat, I suppose. Why do YOU think, Cecil?

Steve

1870. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 17:23:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BD3A5.828E7A1A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18114

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> No, Cecil...What you have "produced" was your OPINION on the matter.

In this case, my opinion agrees with the facts. Ask any knowledgeable
person.

> YOU made the assertion....YOU provide the facts.

I have provided the facts, Steve. You choose to ignore them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1871. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 17:43:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gis6nzc.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 18115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 08:57:32 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:

>IMO, there are more important things to be taught to the potential
>calling station than voice procedure. For instance, knowing where you
>are is a major problem when using radio (as opposed to a phone),
>especially out in the boonies. People should be taught to be aware of
>their surroundings ("I'm about a mile south of the big hill with the
>water tank on top") and if possible, to use a GPS. Learn to use a
>compass for bearings. Learn CPR and basic first aid. Learn water
>rescue. I could go on, but you get the idea.

The U S Power Squadron, an all-volunteer organization, teaches all
of the above in addition to proper/standardized radiotelephone
procedure to recreational boaters.

You asked about the format of acknowledging [SOS]. Remember please
that a Morse [SOS] was not sent by a Sunday boater but by a
PROFESSIONAL licensed radio officer on an ocean-going vessel carring
mandatory radio equipment and following procedures prescribed by
maritime safety law.

Following what you call "bureaucracy" is what differentiates
the professional communicator from the dillitant, whether it's a
shipboard radio officer or a public safety dispatcher.

>At least, I am glad to see that people are interested in such things.

Lots of folks are.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1872. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 17:54:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828135428.01508.00002980@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18116

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 9:44 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BA057.83117A29@IEEE.org>

>> >But it's very much like running a KW PACTOR signal in
>> >the lower 25kHz on 40m.

>>It's nothing of the sort.

>Of course it is, Steve.

No, it's not. (And of course you edited out the context of my other response, making it appear as though it was unfounded...and you have the temerity to accuse me of "mucking" with attributes and quotes.....)

FCC Rules and Regulations CLEARLY state that violations of established band plans and gentlemen's agreements VIOLATE those Rules and Regulations.

Those bandplans and gentleman's agreements are widely disseminated in numerous Amateur media. On my desk right now are several very "slickly" produced posters from ICOM detailing accepted band plans from 160 meters all the way through 2400MHz.

SO FAR...you haven't produced ONE reference to the "standards" you have asserted.

>You are the only one on r.r.a.p (that I
>know of) who is refusing to honor the attribution standard.

And YOU are the only one in rrap making an issue of it, and for very dubious reasons I might add.

>To
>the best of my knowledge, all other users of the bastard AOL
>newsreader take the time to correct the attributions in their
>postings.

So far you are the only one who seems to have a problem following the flow of the conversations. And you say I am using the bastard reader?

>> FCC Rules and Regulations SPECIFICALLY address this type of behaviour and
>> lay out penalties for it.

>I wasn't aware of that. I believe it's perfectly legal to run a KW
>PACTOR station in the lower 25kHz of 40m as long as you possess an
>Extra class license and don't cause interference.

"Good Amateur Practice" Read about it. It's in the ARRL Operating manual...almost any issue since I was first licensed (1972). If you don't have a copy of it, I will get one for you. I can also obtain copies of public comments made by Mr. Riley Hollingsworth and other FCC officials that assert their intent to rely upon those plans as the means by which they will determine "fault" for co-mutual interference.

(THAT, Cecil, is a REFERENCE)

>> >Your postings make it impossible to tell who said what.

>> Only in YOUR mind, Cecil....Only in YOUR mind.

>And the minds of millions of netnews readers.

Oh...?!?! I participate in about a dozen other NG's at one time or another. No one else has had a problem...ONLY you.

>> I don't do that. Again, if I am quoting more than one "author", I will
>> specifically tag thier comments.
>
>You forgot to say that you tag them incorrectly.

Proof, please.

>The AOL newsreader meets the definition of "bastard". Most of the users
>of bastard newsreaders have replaced them or manually correct them.

"MOST", Cecil...?!?!

That's ANOTHER assertion I would like for your to substantiate. There are what..?!?! 10 million people on AOL...?!?! (using thier TV ads..I personally have no Earthly idea...)

Even if there is only 1 million, "most" is indicative of at least 50.1% or better. So...YOU have some "insight" as to what 500,100 people have done with their "newsnet attributes"...?!?!

THIS I have GOT to see.....

1873. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:15:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828141520.01508.00002984@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18117

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 8:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B90F7.C3EAA43C@IEEE.org>

>The attributions of the above quote are WRONG!

No, they were not.

>"standard - something established by authority, custom, or general consent
>as a model or example."

I see you are back into the dictionary, Cecil.

Do they have the STANDARD that DICTATES how newsgroup posts SHALL be
made...?!?

Because you are DICTATING how I SHALL post.

Sorry, Cecil. You still have not provided ANY reference to any law, rule
or regulation that directs otherwise.

There is MORE than one way of doing it...Your assertions vis-a-vis your
illegal drug advocacy as example...

I guess that that attitude only prevails for YOUR arguments...huh...?!?!

>Note that "custom" carries just as much weight as "authority".
>The present method of attributing postings to authors has been in force
>for more than a decade.

"In force", Cecil..?!?!

If it's so strict, WHERE ARE THE STANDARDS...?!?!

>Standards do not have to be written down.

Cecil, how can something be "standard" if it's not recorded
somewhere...?!?!

This is 2001, not 1002 where word of mouth was the defacto method of
communication and record keeping.

Where's the evidence? Where are the facts?

>You
>are the only poster I know of who is not giving his general consent
>and refusing to follow the standard.

I am using one of SEVERAL posting techniques, Cecil. It is neither right nor wrong. That you don't like it is unfortunate, but one of the speed bumps in life.

You just have to get over it.

>The way I am going to handle this problem that you refuse to correct
>is as follows:....(SNIP)

If you want to look silly, have at it.

1874. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:16:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828141621.01508.00002986@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18118

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 8:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B9207.831BBE59@IEEE.org>

>Nope, the argument is about your choosing to violate the netnews
>standard for attributions and refusing to correct your error.

Then you are fooling yourself. There are NO errors.

1875. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:20:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828142042.01508.00002988@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18119

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 8:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B93F2.A5EB2947@IEEE.org>

>AOL's newsreader
>is a bastard, i.e. "of a kind similar to but inferior to or less
>typical than some standard."

In order to be "inferior to or less than some sandard" THAT STANDARD must be of public knowledge and of some established and mutually agreed upon parameters.

Cecil, HOW can you make the claim that AOL's newsreader is "inferior" to something that YOU cannot produce a milestone to gauge it against?

WHAT was your degree in engineering in, Cecil...?!?! Jury-rigging?

1876. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:23:12 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8BE190.A0148449@iastate.edu>
Source record: 18120

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > Now, all those hams who have not taken an exam before the FCC sit back and see
> > > if my hint above lets one of the old timers get the answer right. Bruce?
> > > Dick?
> >
> > Hint? Seems to me you solved the problem.
>
> Well, no, it's clear from the hint that the *power* is down to 1/4 if
> you double the distance, but he asked about the *field strength*. I
> think it's one of those things that require one to realize that empty
> space has an impedance, which always seems spooky to me. (Yeah, I
> know, it's implicit in Maxwell's equations, but what isn't?) Since
> that impedance is constant, shouldn't the voltage be only 1/2 since
> power should be square of voltage if impedance is constant?
>
> Rich K6RFM

The above is correct. You could also notice the units given for the field strength --
V/m is "volts per meter". Nothing square about it :)

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email to reply

1877. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:31:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BE397.96E8461A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18121

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 8:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B90F7.C3EAA43C@IEEE.org>

> Because you are DICTATING how I SHALL post.

If you don't want to follow the netnews attribution standard, that's
your business. I will continue to post the above disclaimer to
protect myself from your false attribution mischief.

> There is MORE than one way of doing it...Your assertions vis-a-vis your
> illegal drug advocacy as example...

Yes, there is the way *everybody* else does it and the way you do it.
There's one in every crowd. Be the bad apple if you wish.

> If it's so strict, WHERE ARE THE STANDARDS...?!?!

It's so strict, you are the only person on this newsgroup that
chooses to violate it.

> Where's the evidence? Where are the facts?

The evidence is all the postings done to this newsgroup. You are the
only one I see who violates the attribution standards.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1878. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:38:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828143801.01508.00002990@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18122

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 9:11 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B9872.CA53ED2E@IEEE.org>

>> Where, Cecil..? Unless I just absolutely read right past it, I see
>> NOTHING.

>Then you need glasses, Steve. It was the original posting in the
>"Netnews Attributions" thread.

Cecil, if you were still (if ever) an engineer and a colleague asked you
for a reference to a technical parameter or "standard" and you responded with
what you pass off as such, you'd be the laughing stock of the office.

Here, in it's entirety, is the first post you made in "Netnews
Attributions".

At no point in the post do you make ANY reference to ANY documented
"standard" by which this was suggested.

At no point in any SUBSEQUENT post do you provide any rule, law or
regulation that states that YOUR assertion is the one, true, and binding
"standard" by which any of this is offered.

It is OPINION, Cecil. YOU prefer to do it one way. I don't.

I prefer to not allow dope on the streets.

You do.

I prefer to prosecute dope users AND peddlars.

You don't.

Opinions, Cecil. That's all they are.

Below is your original "Netnews Attributes" post.

WHERE are the references..?!?!

WHO established the parameters by which this is gauged?

WHERE are the binding rules or regulations that say anything else is a lie
or unethical...?!?!

Opinion, Cecil...that's ALL IT IS.

Subject: Netnews Attributions
From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

Date: 8/26/01 3:52 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <3B89539B.E90D411A@IEEE.org>

Here is the netnews standard for attributions. Poster1 wrote
Posting1, Poster2 wrote Posting2, etc.

Poster1 wrote:

> Poster2 wrote:

> > Poster3 wrote:

> > > Poster4 wrote:

> Posting1

> > Posting2

> > > Posting3

> > > > Posting4

These postings are said to be nested and there is a unique nesting
level for each posting which corresponds to the poster. If we
abandon this standard, nobody will know who said what in postings
with several nesting levels. Postings should be attributed to the
person who does the posting, not to someone else. Any newsreader
that doesn't follow this standard should be manually edited for
correct attributions before posting.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1879. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:38:34 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8BE52A.F3C695CC@iastate.edu>
Source record: 18123

Dick Carroll wrote:

> James Bromley wrote:
> >
> > > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it
> > > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this
> > > week, methinks.)
> >
> > Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
> > Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.
>
>
>
> Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
> considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
> accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.

Actually, essentially identical questions now appear on the General. See the General question pool, questions G0C01-G0C05:
http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/El3-2000.txt

Who said things have gotten easier?

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email address to reply

1880. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:40:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BE592.3EBB2958@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18124

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 8:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B93F2.A5EB2947@IEEE.org>
>
> >AOL's newsreader
> >is a bastard, i.e. "of a kind similar to but inferior to or less
> >typical than some standard."
>
> In order to be "inferior to or less than some sandard" THAT STANDARD must
> be of public knowledge and of some established and mutually agreed upon
> parameters.

And it has been exactly that, Steve. Ever since RN, TRN, TIN, ... Netscape,
the newsreader designers have followed the present attribution standard.
But I'm not a bit surprised that AOL created a bastard newsreader. They
have never been part of the internet. They just have an interface to
the internet.

> Cecil, HOW can you make the claim that AOL's newsreader is "inferior" to
> something that YOU cannot produce a milestone to gauge it against?

Steve, all the other newsreaders handle the attributions according to
the standard. The AOL newsreader is clearly a bastard. But if you want
to use a bastard newsreader and be the only rotten apple on the newsgroup,
that's your choice. The above disclaimer will protect me from your
attribution mischief so I am satisfied. You have clearly demonstrated
what kind of person you really are.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1881. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:43:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BE64C.6224D7B0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18125

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 8:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8B9207.831BBE59@IEEE.org>
>
> >Nope, the argument is about your choosing to violate the netnews
> >standard for attributions and refusing to correct your error.
>
> Then you are fooling yourself. There are NO errors.

It doesn't matter what you say anymore, Steve. Everyone can judge
for himself. You are free to muck up the attributions to your
heart's content and you may have the last word.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1882. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:47:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828144735.01508.00002991@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18126

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 1:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BD3A5.828E7A1A@IEEE.org>

>In this case, my opinion agrees with the facts. Ask any knowledgeable
>person.

Oooops...forgot your disclaimer, Cecil...!!!

How soon you forget!

And so far, no "knowledgeable person" has come forth with the alleged standards that you allude to.

I would think that by now someone could have produced (especially a retired electrical engineer) some reference to technical standards to which he asserts some adherence is mandatory.

YOU have not.

>>YOU made the assertion....YOU provide the facts.
>
>I have provided the facts, Steve. You choose to ignore them.

You have provided NOTHING but your OPINION, Cecil.

That the technique you prefer is in wide acceptance or use IS a fact.
That it is NOT the ONLY one is a fact also.

The STANDARDS, please, Cecil. Some source of PUBLIC reference that delineates what is acceptable or not, or mandates one form of positing over another.

Until then, you are tilting at windmills, Senor Quixote.

Steve

1883. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:49:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BE7B5.91EE17B5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18127

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 9:44 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BA057.83117A29@IEEE.org>
>
> >The AOL newsreader meets the definition of "bastard". Most of the users
> >of bastard newsreaders have replaced them or manually correct them.
>
> "MOST", Cecil...?!?!
>
> That's ANOTHER assertion I would like for your to substantiate.

I was, of course, speaking in context about this newsgroup and you have
only to look at the postings to this newsgroup. You are the
only one I see violating the attribution standards. I've spent way too
much time trying to educate you about those standards and trying to
talk you into ceasing and desisting in your violations of those
standards. Knock yourself out. Be the rotten apple if you so choose.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1884. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 18:57:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828145730.01508.00002994@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18128

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 2:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BE397.96E8461A@IEEE.org>

>To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
>to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
>netnews attribution standard.

A standard that you cannot produce.

>If you don't want to follow the netnews attribution standard, that's
>your business. I will continue to post the above disclaimer to
>protect myself from your false attribution mischief.

You have already failed to do so since you asserted that you would. I
ahve no doubt you shall fail in the future.

>Yes, there is the way *everybody* else does it and the way you do it.
>There's one in every crowd. Be the bad apple if you wish.

Oh...I guess I forgot we were now living in a Socialist state where the
mind of the individual is not subserviant to the mind of the one.

>The evidence is all the postings done to this newsgroup. You are the
>only one I see who violates the attribution standards.

What standards, Cecil? REFERENCES, please...!

1885. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 19:01:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828150129.01508.00002996@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18129

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 2:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BE592.3EBB2958@IEEE.org>

>The above disclaimer will protect me from your
>attribution mischief so I am satisfied.

And looking more foolish every time.

>You have clearly demonstrated
>what kind of person you really are.

Intolerant of bullies, Cecil?

Steve

1886. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 19:07:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8BEC04.F317204A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18130

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
****
To whom it may concern: The following attributions have been edited
to correct an error in the previous posting which violates the
netnews attribution standard.
****
>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 2:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BE592.3EBB2958@IEEE.org>
>
> >You have clearly demonstrated
> >what kind of person you really are.
>
> Intolerant of bullies, Cecil?

Is that why you muck up my attributions? To prove that you are a
bully? Sorry, all you have proven is how ignorant you choose to
remain. Why are you the only one violating the netnews attribution
standards?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1887. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 19:15:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828151505.01508.00003002@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18131

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 2:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BE7B5.91EE17B5@IEEE.org

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 2:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BE7B5.91EE17B5@IEEE.org>

>I was, of course, speaking in context about this newsgroup and you have
>only to look at the postings to this newsgroup.

Your comments from the most recent previous post to this thread:

QUOTE:

The AOL newsreader meets the definition of "bastard". Most of the users
of bastard newsreaders have replaced them or manually correct them.

UNQUOTE.

That is not what you said. But then you are very handy at after-the-fact
editing.

>I've spent way too
>much time trying to educate you about those standards and trying to
>talk you into ceasing and desisting in your violations of those
>standards.

What standards, Cecil? References, please.

>Knock yourself out. Be the rotten apple if you so choose.

You are the one getting "knock(ed) out", Cecil. You keep making
assertions of standards that you cannot substantiate.

Just spend some time doing a bit of research (you DO know what RESEARCH is,
don't you...?!?!) instead of trying to bully me in this forum, and perhaps you
can prevail.

I doubt it, but you might.

1888. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 19:17:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828151756.01541.00002767@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18132

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 3:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8BEC04.F317204A@IEEE.org>

>Why are you the only one violating the netnews attribution
>standards?

What standards, Cecil? What references?

Proof, Son, PROOF!

1889. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 20:30:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8BFF82.DD4572E1@uci.edu>
Source record: 18133

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I have. The financial independence of many influential southerners was
> > dependent upon the continued supply free labor.
>
> Like I said, the reason for the Civil War was economics.

Like I said, economics and slavery were inextricably linked.

> If the
> Southerners could have made more money by freeing the slaves,
> they would have done it in a heartbeat.

In fact, they were willing to give their lives to keep making money off
of them.

> For the most part, they
> had no interest in slaves except economic interest.

And that was enough interest to fight a war over.

1890. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 20:44:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828164444.14627.00005434@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18134

>I have only been licensed for a short time,

That is rather apparent by, your Know Nothing Attitude, if they had keep the Testing the way it was, you would still be standing on the outside looking in, and just waiting for them to Dumb it Down so you could get in.
You are the typical Know nothing new Ham, who only wants to Whine so that you can get what you want.

1891. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 20:48:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C0384.88DE5F2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18136

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I have only been licensed for a short time,
>
> That is rather apparent by, your Know Nothing Attitude, if they had keep the
> Testing the way it was, you would still be standing on the outside looking in,
> and just waiting for them to Dumb it Down so you could get in.
> You are the typical Know nothing new Ham, who only wants to Whine so that you
> can get what you want.

Say Bruce, aren't you an Extra Lite?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1892. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 20:48:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828164834.14627.00005436@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18135

>All
>I, and most hams, care about is operating privileges, and
>Restructuring has made that much easier for me to obtain.

Wait a minute, according to ED it so much Harder now than before, dont tell ED that he will say its not so.

1893. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 20:55:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C054F.2EECE856@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18137

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org wrote:
> >Why are you the only one violating the netnews attribution
> >standards?
>
> What standards, Cecil? What references?

No references, Steve. Look at all the other postings and then look at yours. If you are naked and everyone else has on clothes, doesn't that tell you something?

I don't have access to any UNIX standards but I suspect that is where the present netnews attribution standard comes from. Obviously, there exists a standard and you are the only one choosing to violate it. In any case, knock yourself out. Violate the standards all you choose. I'll simply edit away your attribution violations.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1894. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 20:59:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C063B.1DE673E9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18138

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > If the
> > Southerners could have made more money by freeing the slaves,
> > they would have done it in a heartbeat.
>
> In fact, they were willing to give their lives to keep making money off
> of them.

Nope, not them. They were the older rich folk who didn't have to fight.
As usual, the young, innocent, poor (non-slave-owners) got to do all the
fightin', just like today.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1895. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 21:05:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <too1sd2p2iu67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 18140

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010828095654.09419.00003719@nso-mv.aol.com...
>Since very capable rigs like
> the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
> amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!

Maybe to you it seems that way. One market for a single band 10m all mode
rig is for the guys who use transverters on V/UHF and the microwave bands.
Most of the time the IF frequency is either 10m or 2m. A cheap 10m rig like
the Radio Shack model lets them use their transverters without having to tie
up a much more expensive, and large, multiband H.F. radio. When those guys
go mountain topping they don't want to drag around a big rig if they don't
have to.

--

--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

1896. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 21:06:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C07E1.2201AE51@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18139

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> That is not what you said.

That is certainly what I implied. When I refer to posters, I am most likely referring to posters to this newsgroup. Grasping at straws, are we? Trying to force me to make English a context-free language? Dream on.

> What standards, Cecil? References, please.

Probably UNIX. If I remember right from the 1980s, that's how UNIX handles email attributes. Fortunately for me, I left all my UNIX reference material behind at Intel.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1897. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 21:47:13 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BjUi7.196$151.9711@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18141

True, but like a rubber check, some of us keep comming back ;-)

73 from Rochester,
Jim AA2QA (former WB2OSP, WA3RJX, N2JH)

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010827213002.26981.00001909@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>
> >This is a problem with all hobbies, people get into them and some get out
of
> >them. I am involved in other hobbies as well as ham radio and heve been
for
> >many, many years. IF you can keep 10% or 15% each year, that would show a
> >good growth rate over a period of time.
>
> I can sure understand that; over my lifetime, I have had a number of
hobbies,
> not all of which stuck. Amateur Radio does much better than 10-15%. It has
to,
> because at least 10-15% of older hams drop out for a variety of reasons.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

1898. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 21:56:32 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ksUi7.202$151.9619@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil, I'll never forget one time when I was with Command, Amphibious Group 1, in the Navy during Vietnam. I was a radioman. I was sleeping (8 hours on, 8 hours off 24/7) during my off period when I was shaken awake with the question "can you copy Morse Code?". I couldn't believe what was happening. All radiomen were SUPPOSED to be able to copy Morse, but only two of us could with any proficiency. There was an SOS on 500 KHZ - and the idiots at NPN (Guam) and NPO (Phillipean Islands) were calling the station sending the SOS ON HIS FREQUENCY! They were even calling him WHILE HE WAS TRANSMITTING THE SOS! I've heard amateur pile-ups, but that was about the craziest thing I have ever witnessed. I had copied 40 WPM perfect copy at Bainbridge, MD, but was having a time of it through the mess. The other guy must have been a contester because he managed to copy it to a typewriter - but I had skid marks in my skivvies on that day. Maybe the amateur radio training isn't such a bad idea after all!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gis6nzc.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 08:57:32 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:
>
> >IMO, there are more important things to be taught to the potential
> >calling station than voice procedure. For instance, knowing where you
> >are is a major problem when using radio (as opposed to a phone),
> >especially out in the boonies. People should be taught to be aware of
> >their surroundings ("I'm about a mile south of the big hill with the
> >water tank on top") and if possible, to use a GPS. Learn to use a
> >compass for bearings. Learn CPR and basic first aid. Learn water
> >rescue. I could go on, but you get the idea.
>
> The U S Power Squadron, an all-volunteer organization, teaches all
> of the above in addition to proper/standardized radiotelephone
> procedure to recreational boaters.
>
> You asked about the format of acknowledging [SOS]. Remember please
> that a Morse [SOS] was not sent by a Sunday boater but by a
> PROFESSIONAL licensed radio officer on an ocean-going vessel carring
> mandatory radio equipment and following procedures prescribed by
> maritime safety law.
>
> Following what you call "bureaucracy" is what differentiates
> the professional communicator from the dillitant, whether it's a
> shipboard radio officer or a public safety dispatcher.

>
> >At least, I am glad to see that people are interested in such things.
>
> Lots of folks are.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1899. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 21:57:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828175718.06482.00006437@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18143

>Say Bruce, aren't you an Extra Lite?

No Im an Extra, that Upgraded from Advanced

1900. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 22:17:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8C185C.1CA0AC03@uci.edu>
Source record: 18145

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > If the
> > > Southerners could have made more money by freeing the slaves,
> > > they would have done it in a heartbeat.
> >
> > In fact, they were willing to give their lives to keep making money off
> > of them.

> Nope, not them. They were the older rich folk who didn't have to fight.
> As usual, the young, innocent, poor (non-slave-owners) got to do all the
> fightin', just like today.

That's not the point, and you know it.

1901. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 22:17:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828181730.01466.00003199@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18144

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>> What standards, Cecil? What references?
>
>No references, Steve. Look at all the other postings and then look
>at yours.

Your protests, Cecil, are like sitting in traffic and complaing that the
guy next to you is in a purple car any you are in a silver one.

Who cares? They both get you to where you need to be.

>If you are naked and everyone else has on clothes, doesn't
>that tell you something?

Well, Cecil..until a few days ago (about the time you were having your
nose rubbed in your own contradictions) it wasn't an issue to anyone, least of
all you.

It's STILL not an issue to anyone but you, as far as I can tell.

>I don't have access to any UNIX standards but I suspect that is
>where the present netnews attribution standard comes from.

Again...more "I suspect..." (first cousin to "probably") No data, no facts,
no kidding.

>Obviously,
>there exists a standard and you are the only one choosing to violate
>it.

I can't violate that which I can't refer to, Cecil. Where are the
standards?

YOU state that there are "obviously" standards, yet you CAN'T PRODUCE THEM.

>I'll simply edit away your attribution violations.

At first you assert that you can't keep track of the attributions, then
you state that you will "edit away (my) attribution violations."

How can you edit away something that you have no idea what it refers to,
nor do you have a standard by which to edit them...?!?!

Obviously, the problem is STILL yours.

Cecil, in a recent e mail you assert that I have made myself a "laughing stock" over this exchange...Yet I can't help but wonder where the bulk of that silent humor is being directed.

You use the e mail alias of the premier engineering organization in the United States, yet you are unable or unwilling to produce technical standards that you alledge are being violated. Not very indicative of any engineering skills, is it?

In another thread on a completely different topic you rail against any suggestion that adherence to any standard of society is contradictory to freedom, yet in THIS thread you assert that failure to adhere to those "standards" that YOU consider invioable are "unethical"

Unfortunately, more of the famous Moore "Do As I Say, Don't Do As I Do" rhetoric.

>Violate the standards all you
>choose.

What standards, Cecil? The one's you keep insisting exist, yet you, a professional engineer, haven't been able to produce in over a week now...?!?!

We're still waiting, Cecil.....

Patiently yours,

Steve

1902. Re: standard response to sos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 22:23:50 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8C19F6.D1B11404@uci.edu>
Source record: 18146

Bill Turner wrote:
> Gotta admit, that URL was funny! Check it out.

I'm sure he has. Undoubtedly someone sent it to him.

1903. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-28 22:35:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828183544.01466.00003204@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18147

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 5:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8C07E1.2201AE51@IEEE.org>

Ooops! Cecil forgot his liability waiver again!

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> That is not what you said.
>
>That is certainly what I implied.

Cecil..."implied" means there was hidden intent. Was there? I imagine there was. Are we now supposed to try to read your mind to determine that anything you added or left out was intentional?

You AGAIN deleted the context of the post to which you respond. Again you demonstrate the temerity to accuse others of "unethical" posting habits while being blatantly so yourself.

>When I refer to posters, I am
>most likely referring to posters to this newsgroup.

"Most likely..."...?!?! Again you impose upon us the responsibility of TRYING to determine what your intent MIGHT have been.

>Grasping at straws, are we?

"We..", Cecil...?!?! Got a mouse in your pocket?

>Trying to force me to make English a context-free
>language?

As evidenced by your snippage and editing above, I'd say you are well on your way. You delete whatever YOU care to. "Context" generally tends to ruin your rants. This was a case in point.

>>What standards, Cecil? References, please.
>
>Probably UNIX.

"Probably" again, Cecil...?!?! Can you not deal with ONE certainty? ONE fact? ONE absolute which does NOT originate with "If I recall....."

>If I remember right from the 1980s, that's how
>UNIX handles email attributes. Fortunately for me, I left all
>my UNIX reference material behind at Intel.

More excuses. As blatant as it gets.....

I bet you did the "dog ate my homework" routine in high school, too, didn't you...?!?!

I guess none of that "UNIX" stuff to which you now attribute your failure as a researcher ever made it to archives you can recall from your PC, huh...?!?! here's not ONE of your Intel buddies you can call or e mail to get a lead on some FACTS, Cecil...?!?!

I imagine that you left more than some old files behind at Intel, Cecil.

Steve

1904. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 00:26:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gisrinh.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 18148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 21:56:32 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>Phil, I'll never forget one time when I was with Command, Amphibious Group
>1, in the Navy during Vietnam. I was a radioman. I was sleeping (8 hours
>on, 8 hours off 24/7) during my off period when I was shaken awake with the
>question "can you copy Morse Code?". I couldn't believe what was happening.
>All radiomen were SUPPOSED to be able to copy Morse, but only two of us
>could with any proficiency.

Several years ago, after the USCG had stopped standing watch on
500 with code-qualified ops, NMC (CG San Francisco, located at Pt
Reyes, CA) called the op at KPH (RCA/MCI commmercial coast station
just down the road) and asked them if they could copy abd work the
SOS on 500 which at least they recognized!!!

>There was an SOS on 500 KHZ - and the idiots at
>NPN (Guam) and NPO (Phillipean Islands) were calling the station sending the
>SOS ON HIS FREQUENCY! They were even calling him WHILE HE WAS TRANSMITTING
>THE SOS!

They are supposed to call him on 500 and after contact move him to
480 or 512 or 410 or 8634 for df-ing. The FCC Monitoring Net always
had df receivers on 410 and 8634 in case the CG couldn't do it.

Talk about vaudeville fire drills..... <ggg>

>I've heard amateur pile-ups, but that was about the craziest thing
>I have ever witnessed. I had copied 40 WPM perfect copy at Bainbridge, MD,
>but was having a time of it through the mess. The other guy must have been
>a contester because he managed to copy it to a typewriter - but I had skid
>marks in my skivvies on that day. Maybe the amateur radio training isn't
>such a bad idea after all!

We do succeed where others don't.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1905. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 00:47:22 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8C3B9A.5C6AA8D8@uci.edu>
Source record: 18149

CAM wrote:

> Yep, that's exactly right and you are the only poster to this newsgroup
> (that I know of) who refuses to adhere or strive to adhere to the
> standard. Why do you think that is?

I've got an idea. Why don't you offer to help him figure out what's wrong and maybe help fix it? Gee, that'd almost be like something a ham radio operator would do. The reply header looks like something Microsoft Outlook generates.

1906. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 01:11:42 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8C414E.55EECAE2@uci.edu>
Source record: 18202

GH wrote:
>
> At least my posting have related to the original subject of this thread.

But in what way do they contribute to the discussion that is taking
place?
It's not uncommon for a thread to take turns in various unrelated
directions. But I too would have liked to see more discussion of the
original topic.

1907. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 01:14:18 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010828211418.01644.00002071@mb-ck.news.cs.com>
Source record: 18150

>Oooops...I just hope you didn't leave it plugged in!

In college, our electronics teacher would assess massive penalties to your lecture grades if he caught you making, what he referred to as "dufus" mistakes in lab. Needless to say, soldering iron carelessness was high on his list. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

1908. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 01:20:08 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <crXi7.388$151.29235@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18201

Bill, this is an interesting subject which has, in the past, drawn some knee-jerk response from me. In stating we would forego the microwave spectrum in favor of some good HF spectrum, we might - just might - take a look at who is using the microwave spectrum (and why). I currently subscribe to Direct Tv. Up in the gigahertz. Many of us use cell phones. I have a spread-spectrum 900 MHz cordless phone and it is considered boardering on obsolesence. What is happening? Wireless speakers. Kodak has announced wireless digital cameras. Sure, take a picture and if you like it, press a button and it is automatically sent anywhere in the world. Golly gee, kinda makes us obsolete, right? I honestly believe we have to fight to retain our VHF/UHF (yep, and SHF) frequencies. It"s a lot easier throwing stones at the ARRL than actually doing something about what problems we may face. Consider what has happened since perhaps 1970. Yes, repeaters existed in the early '70s - and mobile telephones were something else. Remember the mobile telephone operator? I honestly cannot say what the price was, but it was approaching $1.00 per minute of use plus perhaps $75.00 per month. It was expensive. As electronics progresses, we are face with inexpensive devices that may make the public's live easier (I'm all in favor of that), but may leave amateur radio operators facing loss of a LOT of spectrum. You might care to rethink trading the microwave portion for HF (satellites and other methods may well leave HF looking rather poorly. Ever run a hundred kilobaud on HF? Why not?).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:engnotokulpb1rjn5lnpg8eq0ctate71iu@4ax.com...
> On 28 Aug 2001 10:08:15 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >Fair enough. Ham radio has been predominantly filled with yakkers, on
CW,
> >digital or voice, for as long as I remember. In what way are the yakkers
you
> >want to keep out different than the yakkers we already have? If we are
going
> >to consider being exclusionary, I think we should discuss the details and
> >understand just who we are trying to exclude, and why.
> >
> >Perhaps the most important question to ask here is why we would want to
exclude
> >yakkers that would follow FCC rules. Right now, I am working on filings
to try
> >to protect various parts of the 2.3-2.4 GHz band. I sure would love to
be able

> >to say that the band is filled with ongoing amateur contacts.
>
> --
>
> I'm not really trying to exclude yakkers. I just think they don't serve
> much useful purpose to the amateur service. Yakkers will always be with
> us, I realize. I can live with it.
>
> Speaking of microwaves and changing the subject slightly, I'd be glad to
> trade all our microwave allocations for broadcast-free HF bands. I
> think the future of amateur radio is HF and VHF. Microwave is an
> interesting part of the spectrum but not highly useful to hams, IMHO.
> Much more valuable to the commercial users (which is actually us, in
> many cases).
>
> That should generate a flame or two. Someone is sure to mention "Two
> Hundred Meters and Down". :-)
>
> 73, Bill W7TI

1909. Re: standard response to sos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 01:28:41 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dzXi7.410$151.29932@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18203

Hans, that was FUNNY! I usually avoid all of the name calling, but when
Bill Turner replied that it was funny, I had to check it out. Hilarious!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Hans K0HB" <K0HB@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:01C12F76.5F80AF80.K0HB@qwest.net...
> Richard Cranium (W7TI) wrote:
>
> > Emergency Caller: Blub, blub, blub......
>
> Bill (W7TI) OM,
>
> You can find additional detail at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
>
> "The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on."
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted from mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net [204.147.80.9]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

1910. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:17:36 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828221736.21574.00005455@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18204

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>OK, fine -- as long as you remember that what you SAY in this newsgroup
>won't be the basis of how you are perceived as a ham; what you DO on-the-air
>will!

That is sheer nonsense, Larry, made up so you can somehow make what you do to others here seem noble in your own mind. There are many hams that I know only from this forum, and others that I know only from on the air. I am able to draw conclusions about who and what they are from the content of their communication, not the medium they use. The medium does not change the message, Larry. I can't imagine how you could believe that it does, except that doing so serves your own self interests on this forum and somehow persuades you that the things you do and say are not hurtful to Amateur Radio or the person on the other end.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1911. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:18:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828221851.21574.00005456@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18205

From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com

>Give it up Larry. You elitist snobbery is wearing thin. I'm of your
>era and I look down on your type much more than hams of the new era.

He doesn't entirely mean it, anyway. Over the past several years, he has admitted on this forum that most of what he does is deliberate baiting for his own personal amusement.

Take it for just what he says it is really worth.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1912. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:21:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828222105.21574.00005457@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18206

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>> If you are too weak and immature in
>>>your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
>>>at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
>>>yourself to do so.

>>I see nothing courageous in the way you treat others here, Larry. Nothing at
>>all. Don't kid yourself.

>This is America. You are entitled to your opinion, and are free to
>express it. I am also free to disagree with you as you are free to
>disagree with me. The beauty of it all is -- we both get to keep on
>living, and neither of us can deny each other our basic rights. Or
>is that something you would change, if you could?

What about my post led you to ask that question, Larry? I am just curious what fantasy you have apparently built out of it. I understand how you arrived at some of your fantasies, but frankly, this one has me baffled.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1913. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:24:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828222425.21574.00005458@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18207

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Not necessarily. I've often said that "Ham radio is what hams make
>of it, and hams are what they make of themselves." I truly mean that.
>You have obviously done very well for yourself as a ham, but keep in
>mind that you have professional-level technical skills, whereas most
>radio amateurs, by definition, do not.

Most of my "professional-level skills" were developed entirely within Amateur Radio, Larry. I don't understand how that fits with the fact that I took a much easier test than you did to become an HF-licensed ham.

> Just don't demand "equality" with hams who had to succeed at a more
> comprehensive and challenging licensing process, and don't insult our
> intelligence by insisting that "dumbing down" from those standards is a good
> thing -- and we'll be just fine!

I know, I know -- Amateur Radio will be ruined any day now, just everybody watch and see. Larry, trust me on this one; I acklnowledge that you took a more compreshensive test to become a fully qualified ham than I did. I also do not believe for one minute that you and I are equal.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1914. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:30:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828223029.21574.00005461@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18208

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>"Courageous?" Sure. Self-serving and politically-correct? Absolutely!

And just what is "politically correct" about what I said, Larry? Unless, of course, you define politically correct as anything that contradicts your view or expresses an opinion that you are less than the ideal ham that you believe yourself to be. IMHO, what you have said and done here has permanently disqualified you from the status that you believe you so richly deserve.

>Just another day at the office for you, Ed -- I tell the truth, then you twist
>it into something that takes the heat off of the ARRL -- which was
>instrumental in creating our present situation. Nothing new here.

I didn't see the word "ARRL" anywhere in my post, or in the post I responded to. What fantasy are you building now?

>Ed -- you MAKE YOUR LIVING working at ARRL headquarters. You
>simply MUST take an opposition viewpoint to hams like me who are
>free to tell the truth about the current amateur radio culture and it's
>underlying causes.

No, Larry, I don't "must" take any position on rec.radio.amateur.policy. I must help the ARRL in its filings before the FCC. I must help hams with RFI problems. I must sign the Lab staff time cards every week. My boss thinks that rrap is a profound waste of time and could care less whether I post here or not. I am afraid, Larry, that you are building another fantasy here.

>I understand, and don't hold this against you. I
>can hold my ground on my own; I don't need you to validate me.

No, you have made it pretty clear that the only validation you find necessary is the fact that you once passed what seemed to you at the time to be a difficult ham test. Pretty sad, actually, when you look at the truly useful validations done by many of your fellows over the years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1915. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:32:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828223226.21574.00005462@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18209

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>People with true status don't have to convince others
>they have it.

Oops, there you go ruining it all by being politically correct. Don't you know that if you had "real" status, you would use it as a stick to hit others with and as mud to throw in their faces.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1916. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:33:36 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828223336.21574.00005463@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18210

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Wait a minute, according to ED it so much Harder now than before, dont tell
>ED
>that he will say its not so.

It is harder now than it was in 1963 when I studied a 4-page study guide to get my Novice license and a 16-page study guide to obtain all Amateur privileges.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1917. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:40:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828224030.21574.00005465@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18211

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>This reminds me of the net that operates SSB in the CW window of 160 M.
>>There is no rule that prohibits it...

> Wrong-a-mundo, Kind Sir! FCC REGULATIONS specifically state that
> certain types of behaviour that violate certain Amateur practice are illegal,
and
>that violation of traditional operating practices are forbidden.

Absolutely not. In fact, ARRL asked the FCC to issue a declaratory ruling that would have deemed operation outside the recognized band plans to be in violation of the requirement to use good amateur practice in our operating. The FCC refused to do so.

>These "practices" are chronicled in tens-of-thousands of editions of
>QST, CQ, 73, the ARRL Handbook and Operating manual.

And the practices Cecil is discussing are chronicled in millions of usenet postings.

>Those "standards" ARE documented and ARE protected by federal
>regulation.

Absolutely not. The example I gave of an SSB op on 160 M operating in the CW window is not in violation of any FCC rule. If you disagree, please produce the rule.

> Cecil's "attributions" assertions are NOT.

Your assertation and Cecils are equal in their regulatory status, Steve. None wrt the example I provided. One can operate SSB anywhere on 160 M where the modulating sidebands are entirely within the 160 M band.

>>So, "until then" what, Steve? You will post attributions in a random manner
>>that no one can understand?

> "...that no one can understand?" So, you are telling me that suddenly,
>after three or more years, no on can understand what it is that I am saying?

You are correct and I was incorrect when I said that. Much like poor spelling, one can usually fathom the meaning of your attributions even though they are not done correctly.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1918. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:42:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828224242.21574.00005466@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18212

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:

>"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
>be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)

Then I stand corrected, if that is the meaning that Steve attributes to "liar"
when he uses it. Not having read every definition in every dictionary, I had
presumed that "lie" meant a deliberate deception.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1919. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:49:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828224902.21574.00005468@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18213

From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu

>Actually, essentially identical questions now appear on the General. See the
>General
>question pool, questions G0C01-G0C05:
>http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/El3-2000.txt

Let's post them here, just so those who got the answer wrong can see what new hams are "word-association memorizing without understanding:"

G0C01 @G0C01 (C)
If the free-space far-field strength of a 10-MHz dipole antenna measures 1.0 millivolts per meter at a distance of 5 wavelengths, what will the field strength measure at a distance of 10 wavelengths?
A. 0.10 millivolts per meter
B. 0.25 millivolts per meter
C. 0.50 millivolts per meter
D. 1.0 millivolts per meter

G0C02 @G0C02 (B)
If the free-space far-field strength of a 28-MHz Yagi antenna measures 4.0 millivolts per meter at a distance of 5 wavelengths, what will the field strength measure at a distance of 20 wavelengths?
A. 2.0 millivolts per meter
B. 1.0 millivolts per meter
C. 0.50 millivolts per meter
D. 0.25 millivolts per meter

G0C03 @G0C03 (A)
If the free-space far-field strength of a 1.8-MHz dipole antenna measures 9 microvolts per meter at a distance of 4 wavelengths, what will the field strength measure at a distance of 12 wavelengths?
A. 3 microvolts per meter
B. 3.6 microvolts per meter
C. 4.8 microvolts per meter
D. 10 microvolts per meter

G0C04 @G0C04 (D)
If the free-space far-field power density of a 18-MHz Yagi antenna measures 10 milliwatts per square meter at a distance of 3 wavelengths, what will it measure at a distance of 6 wavelengths?
A. 11 milliwatts per square meter
B. 5.0 milliwatts per square meter
C. 3.3 milliwatts per square meter
D. 2.5 milliwatts per square meter

G0C05 @G0C05 (B)
If the free-space far-field power density of an antenna measures 9 milliwatts per square meter at a distance of 5 wavelengths, what will the field strength measure at a distance of 15 wavelengths?
A. 3 milliwatts per square meter
B. 1 milliwatt per square meter
C. 0.9 milliwatt per square meter
D. 0.09 milliwatt per square meter

G0C06 @G0C06 (A)
What factors determine the location of the boundary between the near and far fields of an antenna?
A. Wavelength of the signal and physical size of the antenna
B. Antenna height and element material
C. Boom length and element material
D. Transmitter power and antenna gain

1920. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:51:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828225116.21574.00005469@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18214

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Surely, if you are telling us the truth, you can answer
>>the question in heartbeat.

>Ok just for your Benefit I will say "C:

Well, I'll be. You must have learned this when you studied for your Extra,
because most of the FCC-tested hams who chose to answer it got it wrong.

That is especially unfortunate for Larry, because now that he has failed the
test, he will never be able to achieve the same full status on rrap that will
be accorded to those like you that got the answer correct.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1921. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:52:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C58D2.228C4093@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18215

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Yep, that's exactly right and you are the only poster to this newsgroup
> > (that I know of) who refuses to adhere or strive to adhere to the
> > standard. Why do you think that is?
>
> I've got an idea. Why don't you offer to help him figure out what's
> wrong and maybe help fix it? Gee, that'd almost be like something a ham
> radio operator would do. The reply header looks like something
> Microsoft Outlook generates.

I don't know anything about that AOL newsreader. Apparently there is
a selection for "AOL Quotes" and "Standard Quotes" available at the
click of a mouse. Seems even AOL admits there is a "standard" for
quotes.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1922. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:57:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010828225749.21574.00005470@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18216

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

>Let's post them here, just so those who got the answer wrong can see what new
>hams are "word-association memorizing without understanding:"

And, as a followup, let's post the correct answer here, so people can judge whether those that claim that hams are recognizing the correct answers without even knowing the question are correct or not:

>C. 0.50 millivolts per meter
>B. 1.0 millivolts per meter
>A. 3 microvolts per meter
>D. 2.5 milliwatts per square meter
>B. 1 milliwatt per square meter
>A. Wavelength of the signal and physical size of the antenna

Oh, btw, here are some distractors from the same questions:

>D. 1.0 millivolts per meter
>C. 0.50 millivolts per meter

Not quite a perfect set of distractors, but I bet most people find it easier to memorize that E and H vary as 1/D and PD varies as 1/D^2, then do the 6th-grade math.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1923. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 02:58:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C5A49.940CDA2E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18217

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> You AGAIN deleted the context of the post to which you respond. Again you
> demonstrate the temerity to accuse others of "unethical" posting habits while
> being blatantly so yourself.

You really are into violating netnews rules, aren't you, Steve.
Those rules say to trim an article as much as possible and that's
what I did. If there's a part that I am not going to respond to,
I trim it just like the rules tell me to do. Have you ever read
the netnews rules and guidelines?

> "Probably" again, Cecil...?!?! Can you not deal with ONE certainty? ONE
> fact? ONE absolute which does NOT originate with "If I recall....."

Steve, I'm told that, at the click of a mouse button, your newsreader
allows selection of "standard" style quotes or "AOL" style quotes. Even
AOL admits there is a standard and it has been available to you all
along. I am also told that the attribute standard is covered by
RFC2426.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1924. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 03:25:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8C60A1.A6362BD5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18218

CAM wrote:
> Steve, I'm told that, at the click of a mouse button, your newsreader
> allows selection of "standard" style quotes or "AOL" style quotes. Even
> AOL admits there is a standard and it has been available to you all
> along. I am also told that the attribute standard is covered by
> RFC2426.

Correction: The AOL newsreader allows mouse button selection of
"Internet Style" quoting and "AOL Style" quoting. Since netnews is
on Internet, the selection of "Internet Style" is a no-brainer for
most people. Even AOL recognizes that there is a difference between
"Internet Style" quotes and "AOL Style" quotes.

The internet standards are available at:

http://www.internet-standard.com
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1925. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 04:12:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829001219.01536.00004386@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18219

>Well, I'll be. You must have learned this when you studied for your Extra,
>because most of the FCC-tested hams who chose to answer it got it wrong.

I hate to tell you ED, but I didnt study for the Extra, didnt even take one of them Memory Tests that are on the Net, as a matter of fact I had no Idea what was going to be on the TEST. And truthfully the only reason I took it, was a Couple of No-Codes Bet me $250.00 I couldnt pass it. So the next day I met them at the Test Site and took it, while they took the Tech+ and both failed it. But they did pay me the money. And really, I didnt want the Extra I was very Happy with the Advanced.
I thought after I took the test that the Questions were stupid. It took me a total of 8 Minutes to answer the Questions on the written, and before you ask I missed 1, it related to some Freq that an Extra was alloyed to use.

1926. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 04:16:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829001613.01536.00004388@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18220

>It is harder now than it was in 1963 when I studied a 4-page study guide to
>get
>my Novice license and a 16-page study guide to obtain all Amateur privileges.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Well Ed the other poster said it was easier now, and hes the one that
upgraded, so I guess hes wrong and your right.

1927. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 04:18:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829001804.01536.00004389@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18221

>Over the past several years, he has
>admitted on this forum that most of what he does is deliberate baiting for
>his
>own personal amusement.

Isnt that what were all doing???

1928. Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 04:49:09 UTC
From: KenF <skydiver94@home.com>
Message-ID: <9v_i7.15170$MK5.10104117@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 18222

Hi all,

I am totally new to HAM radio, and I really don't know much, so please be gentle.

I am asking a question for my girlfriend who is disabled, and may like to get into HAM radio.

I have done a search on deja, and caught some glimpses of some comments on the subject concerning the disabled and Morse Code. Based on this, my questions may cause some to do a double take.

I am not asking about a waiver for the Morse Code requirements. I am asking if it's possible for her to ONLY do Morse Code.

She has severe Cerebral Palsy, and has no functional use of her arms or legs. She is also unable to communicate verbally.

Her primary means of communication is tilting her head back and forth to produce Morse Code. When she tilts her head left, it produces a dash; when she tilts her head right, it produces a dot.

This Morse Code is then translated by a computer that she has mounted on her wheelchair. She controlls her computer fully by Morse Code, including mouse functions. Anything you can do on a computer, she can do too completely with Morse Code.

She has graduated from college, and has even earned a restricted skydivers license, so she is able to take written tests.

So, on to my specific questions:

1) Would her inability to communicate verbally keep her from getting a HAM license?

2) Are there any HAM PC interfaces that would allow her to communicate through her computer since would be unable to tune a HAM radio manually?

3) Assuming there is such an interface, would it be able to send morse code directly, or through a translation interface?

Thanks for your patiences. Let me know if you have any questions.

Ken

1929. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 05:17:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mhtsl$3kb$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18223

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
> >considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
> >accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.
>
> Well, if so, they were gone before you obtained your Extra then, Dick, because
> you got the answer wrong.

WRONG, ED, I made no attempt to work your 'Test Question". If you don't
believe that radiated power varies inversly with the square of the
distance that's your problem.

1930. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 05:20:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mhu38$4fi$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18224

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You have already
> >been invited to search the archives (if you undestand that term) for
> >whatever you want to know about my activities. I own you no answers.
>
> None of us owe each other any answers, Dick. But if we stop giving them, this
> forum would be strangely silent. It is your choice whether you want to
> communicate or not, but if you are choosing to back out of the conversation
> taking place on this thread, it would be a bit more polite to just do so than
> occasionally joining in to take a cheap shot then declining to respond.
>

You having comprehension problems too, ED? I told him to do his own digging in the archives, I've answered all that many times and feel no obligation to to do it again for him just because he's a late comer. He has shown clearly that he isn't interested in anything but yakking trolling and causing greif for others with whom he doesn't agree. That's his choice, and I made mine.

1931. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 05:41:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mhv9d$7bo$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18225

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> >Before you manage to get your foot even further down your throat you
> >need to know that I am only a few years older than you
>
> And you think that insulates you from practicing ageism.

No, I don't "THINK" it I *KNOW* it. You act like you have a full grip on ham radio as a rank newcomer, and of course the ARRL types will let you get away with it (don't want to alienate a potential dues paying member, now do we?) The rest of us will put you in your place. Hang around and learn a few years, then get back in the fray. By then you might acquire a clue.
You are so typical of so many newcomers today - you arrive here with all the answers, but alas, you have no clue as to the questions.

1932. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 05:56:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mi05s$9t5$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18226

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <%Zzi7.441$0y.190151@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:
>
> >
> >What about the cost issue as oppose to the other ideas expressed here in
> >regards to this topic??
> >
> >Just a though...
> >
> >Ryan
>
> Ryan:
>
> I think that the money spent on a single-band 10-m transceiver would
> be better invested saving it until there was enough to purchase a full-
> coverage HF multi-band transceiver. Since very capable rigs like
> the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
> amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!

You can usually get a 10 meter only rig used for $100 or so, if you watch for one. But Larry's advice is good- You will get far more out of ham radio with a full coverage HF rig. And there are lots of them around for considerably less that the $800 he mentioned. The earlier all solid state Kenwoods can be had for $250-300 (TS120, TS130, and a little more for later versions, TS140 etc.Or the Icom 730 and IC735, even the venerable 740 and 745. A later rig that is very excellent is the Alinco HF series, the DX70T and DX70TH, or DX77. Lots of those are going for $400 and up. I picked up one for a spare and like it very much. Just make sure to have someone familiar with the rig check it over if possible or get a guaranteed return privilege if you buy without it. There are lots of "deals" that you don't want to make!

73 Dick

1933. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 06:01:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mi0ga$am8$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18227

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> > If you are too weak and immature in
> >your thinking to do or say anything that doesn't "go with the flow,"
> >at least acknowledge the right of others more courageous than
> >yourself to do so.
>
> I see nothing courageous in the way you treat others here, Larry. Nothing at
> all. Don't kid yourself.

I sure hope you don't call what you exhibit here courage. You ignore
all sorts of slams by dingalings like Idiot french just to be sure you
don't alienate any newcomer, no matter how much of a clod he happens to
be, while you continuously chastise all us older hams for the imaginary
infractions said newbies dream up. How about a little courage on your
part by way of letting dipschitfrench know that he doesn't, after all,
know it all?

1934. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 06:10:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mi10p$c9f$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18228

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> One thing that does piss me off is that I would have been a ham back in 1988
> if the VE team that tested me followed the rules instead of their own. I
> still have plenty of novice and tech CSCE's laying around from then till
> last year, but back then, I actually did pass the code test by all rights (1
> solid minute of copy exception) but this team chose to only allow fill in
> the blank as their only method of the answer sheet. I had one minute of
> solid copy but they did not accept that.

That was a rank mistake by that VE team that should not have been
allowed to pass. You had every right to protest to their VEC if they
insisted on disallowing the one minute solid copy. I wish you had.

1935. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 06:13:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mi15r$c9f$1@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18229

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net
>
> >I actually did pass the code test by all rights (1
> >solid minute of copy exception) but this team chose to only allow fill in
> >the blank as their only method of the answer sheet. I had one minute of
> >solid copy but they did not accept that.
>
> All of the VECs now stipulate that fill-in-the-blank code tests will be given.
> Almost all VE teams use their discretion to accept one minute of solid copy,

It's been several years, but as I recall the regulations required a
one minute solid copy to pass regardless of what other criteria were in
place.
As a VE team leader I always made sure the applicant got every legal
consideration. I know that wasn't always the case in every team.

1936. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 06:36:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mi2huggs0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18230

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9mfatrihe1@208.206.142.69...
> >
> >
> > James Bromley wrote:
> > >
> > > > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my
> opinion, it
> > > > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC
> this
> > > > week, methinks.)
> > >
> > > Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
> > > Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.
> >
> >
> >
> > Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
> > considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
> > accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.
>
> Methinks the accomplishment of the First Phone was in passing the Second and
> the Extra in passing the Advanced.

Good point. Both Second phone and Advanced covered far more territory
in more depth than First or Extra. But if you got that 'bad' First exam
you had your hands full...it was the only test I ever had to take again,
just missed on the first try - when he counted the correct answers twice
to be sure, you knew you were close. Lots of really deep TV broadcast
antenna stuff they didn't teach at Fort Monmouth.. But I got the Second
and the Extra on the first try (Extra after the General and Advanced at
the same sitting).

1937. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 06:48:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mi37q$i3g$0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 18231

Richard McCollum wrote:
>

> Why is it we do not want to talk about ego and class? Is it perhaps Ayn
> Rand's Law: the most unpopular man in the room is the guy who articulates
> what everyone else in the room already knows?
>

By golly I believe you've hit on it. Ed is the most popular man in the "room" and Larry possibly the most unpopular. The reasons are more than clear.

Ayn and Larry and Ed have made the point far better than any of the rest.

1938. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 07:06:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8C9488.CD35FE23@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18232

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > All I, and most hams, care about is
> > operating privileges, and Restructuring
> > has made that much easier for me to
> > obtain.
>
> Wait a minute, according to ED it so
> much Harder now than before, dont tell ED
> that he will say its not so.

Many things are relative, my friend. Something can be harder than one thing, while simpler than another. As Ed points out, the written tests today are harder than they once were. But those written tests were not dramatically changed by restructuring, while the code requirement was. And, in that one regard (the code requirement), it is easier to obtain those additional operating privileges today.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1939. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 07:33:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8C9AC3.E9FD9192@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18233

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > It is harder now than it was in 1963
> > when I studied a 4-page study guide to
> > get my Novice license and a 16-page
> > study guide to obtain all Amateur
> > privileges.
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
> Well Ed the other poster said it was
> easier now, and hes the one that upgraded,
> so I guess hes wrong and your right.

And you're misrepresenting what I said. My comment was about the recent changes in the code test requirements, not about the topic you're discussing with Ed (the differences between the written test today and the written test in 1963).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1940. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 07:54:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8C9FA0.B389354C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18234

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> > People with true status don't have to
> > convince others they have it.
>
> Oops, there you go ruining it all by being
> politically correct. Don't you know that if
> you had "real" status, you would use it as
> a stick to hit others with and as mud to
> throw in their faces.

In this case, it's probably more a matter of class (character) than
political correctness, Ed. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1941. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 08:29:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8CA7AE.46419444@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18235

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> (snip) While living in Wisconsin, parts
> of which have the shared-poverty mentality
> common to places where not much is
> happening I happened to be in a Mac and
> Don's killing time over a cup when a bunch
> of tech schoolies wandered in an started
> raising hell. In my window-shaking
> bass-baritone I told one of them to sit
> down and shut up. He did but several
> seconds later started declaiming at great
> length how I thought I was better than
> them (stuck-up is a bad thing among
> losers). I replied that if I did not
> think I was better I would be an idiot
> and if I didn't say it I'd be a liar and
> I did not claim to be either. Having
> thrown the Holy Hand Grenade, he was
> dumbfounded; but his girl friend nearly
> split a gut.

Oh, I have the perfect story for that. I once had an associate with almost the exact same attitude. And we were once in a near similar situation. He was in town for an important final job interview with the executive manager of a company (almost double the salary and a pile of benefits).

After his long drive that day, we went out for an evening meal at a local restaurant. My friend, in a tired mood, loudly told a guy in the next table to shut up because he was laughing too loud. The guy was not laughing any louder than anyone else in the room, but my friend was in a bad mood (and, when he was like that, he had the habit of taking it out on others - it made him feel better, just like it did that night as he laughed at the other guy's embarrassment).

Well, the next morning, he went for that job interview and you can certainly guess the outcome (why else would I tell this story). That's right, the guy sitting across that desk was the same man from the restaurant the previous night. Of course, I probably don't have to say that my associate didn't get the job.

I never saw my associate after that day. I know he had his old job for several more years. And, the last I heard, he had been arrested for severely beating his wife (he wrote me asking for money to aid his

defense). I never sent him any money. I figured he was probably right where he belonged.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1942. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 09:04:46 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8CB000.C99BAB4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> IMO, there are more important things
> to be taught to the potential calling
> station than voice procedure. For
> instance, knowing where you are is a
> major problem when using radio (as
> opposed to a phone), especially out
> in the boonies. People should be
> taught to be aware of their
> surroundings ("I'm about a mile south
> of the big hill with the water tank
> on top") and if possible, to use a
> GPS. Learn to use a compass for
> bearings. Learn CPR and basic first
> aid. Learn water rescue. I could go
> on, but you get the idea.

And, with that, you hit on the exact problem. Many situations are made far worse because people are simply not prepared for something bad to happen. And the impact of nearly all bad situations can be reduced with just a little preparedness.

Anyway, since you mentioned water rescue, and Phil used your message to promote the Power Squadron, let me also point out that the Coast Guard Auxiliary has a number of classes for those interested in boating and water recreation - seamanship, navigation, weather, safe boating, using the GPS, water safety for kids, and many others. In addition, those who join the Auxiliary can receive CG training in search & rescue, radio communications, and so forth. If anyone is interested in any of this, feel free to contact me by email.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1943. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 09:35:13 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lH2j7.869$151.76252@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18237

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B8CA7AE.46419444@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > (snip) While living in Wisconsin, parts
> > of which have the shared-poverty mentality
> > common to places where not much is
> > happening I happened to be in a Mac and
> > Don's killing time over a cup when a bunch
> > of tech schoolies wandered in an started
> > raising hell. In my window-shaking
> > bass-baritone I told one of them to sit
> > down and shut up. He did but several
> > seconds later started declaiming at great
> > length how I thought I was better than
> > them (stuck-up is a bad thing among
> > losers). I replied that if I did not
> > think I was better I would be an idiot
> > and if I didn't say it I'd be a liar and
> > I did not claim to be either. Having
> > thrown the Holy Hand Grenade, he was
> > dumbfounded; but his girl friend nearly
> > split a gut.
>
>
> Oh, I have the perfect story for that. I once had an associate with
> almost the exact same attitude. And we were once in a near similar
> situation. He was in town for an important final job interview with
> the executive manager of a company (almost double the salary and a
> pile of benefits).
>
> After his long drive that day, we went out for an evening meal at a
> local restaurant. My friend, in a tired mood, loudly told a guy in the
> next table to shut up because he was laughing too loud. The guy was
> not laughing any louder than anyone else in the room, but my friend
> was in a bad mood (and, when he was like that, he had the habit of
> taking it out on others - it made him feel better, just like it did
> that night as he laughed at the other guy's embarrassment).
>
> Well, the next morning, he went for that job interview and you can
> certainly guess the outcome (why else would I tell this story). That's
> right, the guy sitting across that desk was the same man from the
> restaurant the previous night. Of course, I probably don't have to say
> that my associate didn't get the job.

>
> I never saw my associate after that day. I know he had his old job
> for several more years. And, the last I heard, he had been arrested
> for severely beating his wife (he wrote me asking for money to aid his
> defense). I never sent him any money. I figured he was probably right
> where he belonged.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

I would tend to agree with your assessment. There is, however, a big
difference between 'laughing too loud' and standing on you chair bellowing
across the place.

1944. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:24:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829062438.01941.00005592@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18238

From: "KenF" skydiver94@home.com

>So, on to my specific questions:

>1) Would her inability to communicate verbally keep her from getting a HAM
>license?

Absolutely not. It sounds like she will pass a Morse code test easily, although because she will be unable to write down what she hears sent, the VE team may have to devise a test with a reasonable accomodation for her disability. One example might be for them to send the text one sentence at a time and ask the question about the content of that sentence. (Ie, what is the call sign of the ham who is making the transmission?) She would probably answer in Morse code. Of course, in that case, the VE team would have to be up to speed themselves on the code, but that really shouldn't be too much of a problem. :-)

She will also have to pass the written exam, although not necessarily by writing. I imagine that the VE team would read her the questions on her exam and she could then respond A, B, C or D in Morse, and someone from the team would write down her answer. If she has >75% right, she passes.

Once she is licensed, she will be able to communicate with other hams on the air using Morse code or other computer-controlled digital modes, if she likes.

>2) Are there any HAM PC interfaces that would allow her to communicate
>through her computer since would be unable to tune a HAM radio manually?

Yes there are. Most of the middle-priced transceivers today have a computer interface that can control the operation of the rig.

>3) Assuming there is such an interface, would it be able to send morse code
>directly, or through a translation interface?

The computer-controlled transceviers can also be keyed through the control port, if memory serves. An alternative would be to build a simple keying circuit that takes an external audio Morse code signal and turns it into the keying signal for the rig.

All in all, these sound like surmountable problems. Once she is licensed, I will be glad to help work out answers to the challenges. In the meantime, if my help is needed at working out the details of any of the reasonable accomodations she may need to take her tests, let me know. The best address to use for me is w1rfi@aol.com or by phone at 860-594-0318.

Please pass along my best wishes to her in becoming a ham.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1945. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:26:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829062626.01941.00005593@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18239

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>It is harder now than it was in 1963 when I studied a 4-page study guide to
>>get my Novice license and a 16-page study guide to obtain all Amateur
>>privileges.

> Well Ed the other poster said it was easier now, and hes the one that
>upgraded, so I guess hes wrong and your right.

I don't know; when did he say he took his original tests? Not having your amazing ability to read the things that are not said in posts, I presumed that he was relatively recently licensed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1946. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:27:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829062719.01941.00005594@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18240

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

> And you're misrepresenting what I said. My comment was about the
>recent changes in the code test requirements, not about the topic
>you're discussing with Ed (the differences between the written test
>today and the written test in 1963).

Hmmm, maybe we are discovering the real secret behind Bruce's amazing talent to read what is not said...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1947. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:33:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829063321.01941.00005595@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18241

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Steve, I'm told that, at the click of a mouse button, your newsreader
>allows selection of "standard" style quotes or "AOL" style quotes.

Actually, Cecil, to be exact, AOL calls it "Internet" style quoting. It doesn't exactly say that it is a standard, but to me it implies that it is used extensively on the internet.

And it does get most of the attibutions just right, but does so in a really stupid way. It requires that one highlight the text of the message one wants to respond to, then t puts a > in front of every line, including the first line. To conform to the standard method used by virtually every newsreader and poster, an AOL user has to at least remove the first > from the >From: poster@blatz.com line at the top.

Perhaps the easiest thing to do is to drop it, Cecil. I am sure that Steve will start remembering to remove the > once he no longer feels he has to make the point that you can't tell him what to do on usenet. After all, he probably wouldn't want to continue to appear foolish.

Frankly, I think both sides of this are a bit foolish and not really a good use of everyone's time. Do you think you could both be persuaded to take this petty squabble to private email?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1948. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:34:35 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829063435.01941.00005596@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18242

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>The internet standards are available at:

>http://www.internet-standard.com

Well, I guess that will end it, now that a total stranger that Steve doesn't know has called it a standard instead of someone he has talked to here for years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1949. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:38:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829063858.01941.00005597@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18243

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>> Oops, there you go ruining it all by being
>> politically correct. Don't you know that if
>> you had "real" status, you would use it as
>> a stick to hit others with and as mud to
>> throw in their faces.

> In this case, it's probably more a matter of class (character) than
>political correctness, Ed. ;-)

I don't know. Larry calls it "status" and then uses it as a stick to hit
others on the head and as mud to throw in someone's face. Dick calls it pride,
then does the same thing with it. Somehow, I do not see using weapons against
others or throwing mud as having much status or pride.

"Real" pride and status are felt in the heart, and those that really have
either treat pride and status with dignity and respect.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
>
>
>

1950. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:41:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829064112.01941.00005598@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18244

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>By golly I believe you've hit on it. Ed is the most popular man in the
>"room" and Larry possibly the most unpopular. The reasons are more than
>clear.

Yes, I bet they are more than clear to you, Dick. And to prove it, most posters here that respond to Larry express their disagreement with both his style and the content of his expressions. Amazing, another secret conspiracy at work. Who woulda' thought it. Man, you sure can find them everywhere you look!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1951. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:43:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CC761.AFF925BE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18246

Dick Carroll wrote:
> WRONG, ED, I made no attempt to work your 'Test Question". If you don't
> believe that radiated power varies inversly with the square of the
> distance that's your problem.

The question was not about radiated power, Dick. The question
was about electrical field strength.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1952. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:44:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829064427.01941.00005599@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18245

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Well, if so, they were gone before you obtained your Extra then, Dick,
>> because you got the answer wrong.

> WRONG, ED, I made no attempt to work your 'Test Question". If you don't
>believe that radiated power varies inversly with the square of the
>distance that's your problem.

Of course I believe that radiated power varies inversely as the square of the distance, Dick. That is how I know that you got the answer wrong. The correct answer is that the electric field will be at half the value if the distance is doubled. Almost everyone taking today's General tests knows that, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1953. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:46:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CC7F9.539C8B60@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18248

WA8ULX wrote:
> I thought after I took the test that the Questions were stupid. It took me a
> total of 8 Minutes to answer the Questions on the written, and before you ask I
> missed 1, it related to some Freq that an Extra was alloyed to use.

Just think, you would have aced the exam like I did if you only
would have allowed yourself a bit of rote-memorization.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1954. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:46:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829064655.01941.00005600@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18247

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> and of course the ARRL types will let
>you get away with it (don't want to alienate a potential dues paying
>member, now do we?)

Is THAT why I asked him if he would take the petty name calling to private email? Who woulda' figured. You sure can read anything into anything, can't you? Mighty convenient for someone who constantly needs assurance that his views are always correct.

>The rest of us will put you in your place.

It is not "the rest of us" that feel a need to put others in their place, Dick. Only a pitiful handful.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1955. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:48:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CC863.D3898B80@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18250

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The rest of us will put you in your place.

Exactly where is his place, Dick?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1956. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:48:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829064858.01941.00005601@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18249

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hams like myself, who have attained the highest
>"status" the amateur licensing system can provide, don't give
>this "status" much thought on a personal, day-to-day level.

Well you sure coulda' fooled me, Larry; "status" is just about all you ever talk about here. Of course, it is helpful to know that you don't give any thought to the primary subject of your posts. It does help me better understand why you say some of the things you do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1957. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:49:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CC8AD.14FAF90@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18151

Dick Carroll wrote:
> How about a little courage on your
> part by way of letting dipschitfrench know that he doesn't, after all,
> know it all?

Do you know it all, Dick?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1958. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:52:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CC95D.F3E6CE04@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18152

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >It is harder now than it was in 1963 when I studied a 4-page study guide to
> >get
> >my Novice license and a 16-page study guide to obtain all Amateur privileges.
> >

> Well Ed the other poster said it was easier now, and hes the one that
> upgraded, so I guess hes wrong and your right.

My Extra in 2000 was easier for me than my Conditional in 1952
because I obtained a EE degree and 48 years of experience in
the meantime, i.e. the boundary conditions were not the same.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1959. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:54:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829065446.01941.00005602@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18153

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>ou ignore
>all sorts of slams by dingalings like Idiot french just to be sure you
>don't alienate any newcomer, no matter how much of a clod he happens to
>be, while you continuously chastise all us older hams for the imaginary
>infractions said newbies dream up.

I wonder if dpfrench feels I have not said anything unkind about him. Dp?

Now, as to these imaginary infractions, do you think he might imagine that you called him an idiot?

What I want to know is how you can, with a straight face, express displeasure with my "chasitsing" you in the same post where you call someone else an idiot. Can dish out a LOT more than you can take, eh? Would my words go down better with you if I were to just call you an idiot instead of disagreeing with the content of your posts?

>How about a little courage on your
>part by way of letting dipschitfrench know that he doesn't, after all,
>know it all?

I have already done that, Dick, and if you want to see it, you will have to follow your own standards and not be lazy and go search the archives.

At least you didn't chastise him, though -- you reverted to petty name calling just like he has. I guess that is okay, as long as no actual content is discussed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1960. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 10:55:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CCA2E.DC3C70CB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18154

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It's been several years, but as I recall the regulations required a
> one minute solid copy to pass regardless of what other criteria were in
> place.

What regulations?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1961. Re: Support freedom: Join a scouting rally on Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:43:23 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <topl9h4e9rthce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 18155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do what I did and send a donation to the real scouts, the Boy Scouts of America. I, like they, do not accept, and will not be forced to accept, your immorality.

Freedom works both ways. Freedom to say homosexuality is a perversion. Freedom says I do not have to accept your perverse way of life. Next thing they will try to get us to accept are pedophiles. We'll be told those people are born that way and can't help it so that behavior is perfectly acceptable. One perversion after another, yada, yada, yada.

"Bruce W" <bw2310@lamvda.org> wrote in message
news:3B862A1B.7B11454F@lamvda.org...
> The group Scouting for All (S4A) has scheduled rallies around the nation
> through Saturday to protest last year's 5-4 Supreme Court decision that
> allowed the BSA to prohibit homosexuals from participating in its
> organization. This is the second year the group has organized such
> rallies. Please find the location of the rally nearest to you and
> participate this Saturday. Both you and America will be glad that you
> supported freedom of choice and religion for each and every Scout.

1962. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:45:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829074553.14676.00005225@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18156

>As Ed points out, the written
>tests today are harder than they once were.

You aRE Kidding yourself, maybe you guys fell better by thinking this.

1963. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:49:34 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <iF4j7.675$0y.255108@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18157

> >So... this is another form of discrimination..... Classifying a whole
group
> >of people based on the actions of a few. I can understand that some may
not
> >be perfect operators due to things like be nervous or something. But as
a
> >Post-Restructuring Era ham, I also DO NOT condone the CB'er mentality
which
> >can be witnessed in EVERY license class.
>
> Ryan:
>
> OK, fine -- as long as you remember that what you SAY in this newsgroup
> won't be the basis of how you are perceived as a ham; what you DO
on-the-air
> will!

True... of course. As far as anyone who think they know me or about me
through newsgroups knows me as a FONT! :)

>
> > Whether
> >> or not they allow that negative image to be borne out by their personal
> >> attributes as a radio amateur is entirely up to them. If they become
> >> active, proficient Morse/CW users, and demonstrate the traditional
> >> curiosity, learning ability, and technical competence, and sound
> >> operating practices of a classic radio amateur, they will not suffer
> >> personally from the fact that they ascended during the
Post-Restructuring
> >> era. If they act like ignorant, dumbed-down CB refugees, then they
> >> will take the heat. It's entirely up to them. However, the one thing
> >they
> >> can never do is claim to have been licensed during a time when the
> >> Amateur Radio Service had it's most comprehensive and challenging
> >> licensing standards.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >Understood, but should they be verbally assaulted for something that MAY
not
> >be within their control?
>
> In a word -- NO! And they won't be, as long as they don't claim
"equality"

> to Pre-Restructuring hams of General-, Advanced-, or Extra-class!
>

So there is absolutely no way now for us that wish to try to be equal, I guess we will always have this same problem for people to bitch about.

> >I agree that some people do act stupid and that is
> >bad for ALL of us, not just the "P.R.E." hams. I wonder about some
people
> >though, do they spend more time complaining about "how things used to be"
> >rather that make a positive twist out of it?? Instead of some people
> >bitching and moaning, if they spent more time teaching and training
newbies,
> >maybe we ALL would be better for it and it would seem to me to be a
better
> >utilization of energy.
>
> I can't comment on that, Ryan, other than I don't see the "teaching and
> training
> of newbies" as being of prime importance anymore. Even during the days
> when I was first licensed and upgraded thru Extra class, a time with the
most
> comprehensive and challenging licensing requirements in the history of
amateur
> radio, hams were largely self-trained. This has always been a
characteristic
> of hams. The desire of today's hams to be "trained" by other hams only
shows
> them as being all the more lazy and disaffected than any hams who have
come
> before them.

True, I can read, and do, but if there is some reason I do not understand a certain concept or whatever, where the hell do I turn to for help? If I can't find a piece of information or fact that I need to know in regard to radio, where do I turn to? Hopefully under the spirit of amatuer radio, we could turn to these for help. Did every single person who tested in the above paragraph not have one ounce of help from someone else? I DO NOT believe other hams should HAVE to train other hams from a point of zero knowledge all the way through the extra class, but is asking for "some" help such a bad thing? Or is it that they just don't want to be bothered?

It is the very lack of willingness to open a book, learn something
> on their own, to show some curiosity and learning ability, that has hams
of
> my era looking down their noses at hams of your era. Quite frankly, there
are
> more than enough people populating the local repeaters, thank you very
much!

Hmm.... except for drive time, our repeaters around here are silent except for net operations. I used a program called scanner recorder and recorded a

24 hour period of time on 6 different days in the past six months and the average transmitting time of the repeater in my own city was 37 minutes (excluding the thursday nite net) per 24 hours. And most of that was the ID's and time statements. And good luck on simplex too. I have tried on about 100 different times in the past year to establish a simplex contact. No luck there either. Even if I manage to finally pass the CW test, I still won't be able to afford to get on HF anyways. Working between 80 and 96 hours every week I just don't have the time to sit and try to build something cheaper. Maybe in 30 years when I retire......

> We don't need any more. What we do need are more people to "work" on CW,
> PSK-31, and on the VHF weak-signal modes. We need more people to take
> an active role in emergency communications, the leadership of our clubs,
and
> to provide significant, meaningful financial support to help renew and
update
> the local amateur radio infrastructures that give us our emergency
capability.
> And, no matter where you fall on the Morse code testing requirements
> continuum, you must embrace that mode with a positive attitude and no
excuses.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
> Right, I believe the recent (past 10-15 years or so) experimental and
digital modes need to be looked into.... I am also a strong proponent of the
emergency communications which is the main reason why I got involved with
amateur radio in the first place. I am an active member of our local ARES
and RACES group, participate and run public service nets and skywarn nets,
am working on a grant to equipt all the volunteer fire stations in my county
with 2m/70cm capabilities, participate in public events like the MS walk
etc.

I guess what I get tired of hearing is that "old-fart" mentality about all of us who didn't have to walk uphill barefoot in 2 foot of snow both ways bitching all the time and maybe help them get to what they see as at least half as good as them. If it makes them feel better, I will walk to Detroit from Midland (about 90 miles) for my next exam session, and test under any method they feel may be the "perfect" method of testing. How about essay form for each question?

Ryan

BTW... I do support the former code requirements, even if I could care less about using the mode of communication.

1964. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:49:35 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <jF4j7.676$0y.255105@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18159

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010827212419.26981.00001904@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net
>
> >I actually did pass the code test by all rights (1
> >solid minute of copy exception) but this team chose to only allow fill in
> >the blank as their only method of the answer sheet. I had one minute of
> >solid copy but they did not accept that.
>
> All of the VECs now stipulate that fill-in-the-blank code tests will be
given.
> Almost all VE teams use their discretion to accept one minute of solid
copy, so
> you may want to shop around a bit by checking with a few different VE
teams.
>
> It sounds like you are really close, so if you can find a bit of time to
study
> and practice, I think it will come.
>
> Good luck on the upgrade.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Thanks.... who knows.... had they accepted it back then, I might have
actually gotten even better and actually love CW now. I am giving it a
couple more tries (on top of the 9 or so tries) and if I don't get it....
don't know if I will try again. At least I gave it a valiant effort.
Would love to send in my CSCE for general etc. before they expire.

Thanks for your support.

Ryan

1965. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:49:36 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <kF4j7.677$0y.255018@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18158

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010828061301.21533.00004931@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: GAR GARIGUE garigue@home.com
>
> >W1RFI wrote:
>
> >> That's nonsense, Bruce. I am sure there are a few highly technical
people
> >> taking today's exams, but most are doing just what you and I did --
passing
> >> their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing
and
> >>just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are
given.
>
> >Yep Ed ,,, like the CW test. A real red light should go off when
> >someone drives halfway across the state to take a "test". 73 KI3R
>
> You are correct; the description I gave doesn't cover the CW test. I
passed my
> CW test by 100% memorization.
>
> If I understood the context of what you said, you are implying that
driving
> halfway across a state to take a test should light a red light. Do you
believe
> that driving across town is okay, but driving a long distance in some way
> reflects badly on the test taker? I just don't understand your statement.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I agree.... with less and less test sessions being available, one may have to drive a distance to get to a testing session. Another valid point that needs to be addressed is the hours that things are done within amatuer radio. Why the hell is everything in the early morning? Not all of us are old farts that are up at the crack of dawn.... (some old farts I know even stay up late at night too) Some of us work second or third shift. What is wrong with an afternoon test session or other event? With many of us that are in the hobby and still in the workforce having to work multiple jobs, things are just not like the 50's anymore.

Ryan

>

1966. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:49:37 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <lF4j7.678$0y.254807@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18160

>
> Ryan:
>
> I think that the money spent on a single-band 10-m transceiver would
> be better invested saving it until there was enough to purchase a full-
> coverage HF multi-band transceiver. Since very capable rigs like
> the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
> amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Well, if 10m is the only band that a rig can be single-banded I guess that I will be screwed here for quite some time. It will be 5-10 years before I will ever be heard on HF, unless I am working with someone elses station. I still think that a Multi-mode, single band rig for some of the other frequency bands would be nice. Who the hell has 800 bucks at one time where it wasn't immediately need for bills or emergencies? Wish I did....

Ryan

1967. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:49:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829074946.14676.00005226@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18161

>My comment was about the
>recent changes in the code test requirements,

Oh I see, you wouldnt be a Real Ham unless the CW Test and the Written Test hadnt been Dumbed Down.

1968. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:55:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829075541.14676.00005229@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18162

>Just think, you would have aced the exam like I did if you only
>would have allowed yourself a bit of rote-memorization.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Yea I know, it sort of made me mad that I didnt Memorize the Freqs an Extra was able to use, but still not bad not knowing anything about what was on Test. The test really was a Joke. I couldnt believe the Stupid Questions, the Novice was harder than the Extra.

1969. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 11:57:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829075719.14676.00005230@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18163

>My Extra in 2000 was easier for me than my Conditional in 1952
>because I obtained a EE degree and 48 years of experience in
>the meantime, i.e. the boundary conditions were not the same.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Well I dont have an EE, but it was still a Joke of a Test.

1970. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 12:56:03 UTC
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <8qppotsed3b7io5bs059544c47gmsu6bof@4ax.com>
Source record: 18164

On Wed, 29 Aug 2001 04:49:09 GMT, "KenF" <skydiver94@home.com> wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>I am totally new to HAM radio, and I really don't know much, so please be
>gentle.
>
>I am asking a question for my girlfriend who is disabled, and may like to
>get into HAM radio.
>

>So, on to my specific questions:
>
>1) Would her inability to communicate verbally keep her from getting a HAM
>license?

No.

>2) Are there any HAM PC interfaces that would allow her to communicate
>through her computer since would be unable to tune a HAM radio manually?

Several - all dependant on radio brand/model. Most manufacturers of
medium to high end HF gear offer PC interfaces - some radios are
completely controlled via PC interfaces.

>3) Assuming there is such an interface, would it be able to send morse code
>directly, or through a translation interface?

Her choice. Most CW operators use paddles instead of a straight key.
Slap the paddle to one side, you get a dit. Slap the paddle to the
other side, you get a dah. Sound familiar? Doesn't take much to
imagine that your girlfriend can send CW directly to the radio. There
are also several dozen programs that will generate CW from your
computer keyboard.

>
>Thanks for your patiences. Let me know if you have any questions.
>
>Ken
>
Best of luck Ken. Hope to hear you and your YL on the radio soon!

Raymond Sirois AB2HR
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet:\\thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

1971. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 14:08:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8CF747.66B83381@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18165

WA8ULX wrote:
> >Just think, you would have aced the exam like I did if you only
> >would have allowed yourself a bit of rote-memorization.
>
> Yea I know, it sort of made me mad that I didnt Memorize the Freqs an Extra
> was able to use, but still not bad not knowing anything about what was on Test.
> The test really was a Joke. I couldnt believe the Stupid Questions, the Novice
> was harder than the Extra.

Would you admit to being more knowledgeable when you took the
Extra than when you took the Novice?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1972. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 14:28:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829102832.17804.00009743@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18166

>Would you admit to being more knowledgeable when you took the
>Extra than when you took the Novice?
>--
>cheers, Ceci

No, I would say the Extra was just a Stupid Test, there was nothing on it that even took any thought, I even went back after taking the test to compare the Novice with the Extra of today,the Novice really
was harder, or at least it had more Technical questions.
Good example, what is Grayline propagation, or the really tough one, who can be a control operator, WOW some really Tough Material. As I said the Extra TEST was a JOKE.
What was even funnier was when I finished the Test, 1 of the VEs actually said ,I bet your glad thats over now you can free up 40% of Brain Power, and my comment was you are kidding RIGHT. And of course his next comment was, well lets wait and see what the Test Results are, and my next comment was if I missed more than 1 you need to recheck your Answers. WRITTEN TEST A JOKE

1973. Re: Question for wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 14:51:14 UTC
From: kf6vyh@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829105114.01440.00002370@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 18167

come on Bruce. your are running out of material.

1974. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 14:59:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829105912.01616.00001797@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 18168

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 10:58 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8C5A49.940CDA2E@IEEE.org>

cecil once again forgets his self-imposed caveat!

>You really are into violating netnews rules, aren't you, Steve.
>Those rules say to trim an article as much as possible and that's
>what I did.

And ALWAYS in such a manner as to completely delete the context of the opposing poster.

THAT is unethical.

>Steve, I'm told that, at the click of a mouse button, your newsreader
>allows selection of "standard" style quotes or "AOL" style quotes. Even
>AOL admits there is a standard and it has been available to you all
>along. I am also told that the attribute standard is covered by
>RFC2426

FINALLY CECIL PRODUCES! However it says NOTHING about MANDATORY applications!

And please define RFC2426. Is this a part number for an RF device with a lot of chokes in it?

1975. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 15:01:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829110102.01616.00001798@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 18169

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/28/01 11:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8C60A1.A6362BD5@IEEE.org>

>
>The internet standards are available at:
>
>http://www.internet-standard.com

Well HOT DAMN, Cecil...!!!! Someone finally gotr tired of your whining
and sent you the info, or you just got tired of having your nose rubbed in your
inaction?

Well then. We'll go take a look!

Steve

1976. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 15:02:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829110230.01616.00001799@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 18170

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 8/29/01 6:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010829063435.01941.00005596@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Well, I guess that will end it, now that a total stranger that Steve doesn't
>know has called it a standard instead of someone he has talked to here for
>years.

A standard is not a standard unless there are defined parameters. "Becuase
I say it is" is NOT a defined paramerter, Ed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1977. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 15:04:07 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829110407.01447.00002161@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 18171

> Another valid point that
>needs to be addressed is the hours that things are done within amatuer
>radio. Why the hell is everything in the early morning?

I have wondered the same thing. I would also like to know all the test sessions are on Saturdays for the most part. WHy not Sundays. Also, Why do all the swaps start at 6 AM. What is wrong with say (AM. It would make it easier for hams that are not close to the swap. It would also be nice to see a ham radio swap with ham radio gear for sale, insted of a bunch of computer crap that is not worth anything.

1978. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 15:14:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829111433.01616.00001800@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 18172

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 8/28/01 10:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010828224030.21574.00005465@mb-mk.aol.com>

>Absolutely not. In fact, ARRL asked the FCC to issue a declaratory ruling
>that
>would have deemed operation outside the recognized band plans to be in
>violation of the requirement to use good amateur practice in our operating.

Then someone had better pass that on to Mr. Hollingsworth. He has
repeatedly stated that voluntary band plans were the gauge by which they
determine wether or not a staton is operating within good Amateur Practice.

Is this a "cast in stone" policy...?!?! Certainly not. However a review
of the many "enforcement" letters by the FCC, published on the ARRL's website
specifically cite failure to observe good Amateur Practice as the causal factor
in the initiation of proceedings.

>The FCC refused to do so.

True. However Part 97 STILL states stations must be operated in accordance
with good Amatuer Practice and that failure to do so is inappropriate.

>>Those "standards" ARE documented and ARE protected by federal
>>regulation.
>
>Absolutely not.

Don't say "absolutely" and you come closer to the truth, Ed. I again
refer you to the numerous FCC letters that have recently appeared on the
League's website and that "good Amateur practice" is cited frequently.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1979. Re: Question for wa8ulx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 15:35:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829113548.27111.00009435@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18173

>come on Bruce. your are running out of material.
>

NOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1980. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 16:16:07 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9mj48gelg1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 18174

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010828224242.21574.00005466@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:
>
> >"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
> >be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)
>
> Then I stand corrected, if that is the meaning that Steve attributes to
"liar"
> when he uses it. Not having read every definition in every dictionary, I
had
> presumed that "lie" meant a deliberate deception.

I think it's normally presumed that there is motivation or intent to deceive involved in a lie. From that definition we can only assume it matters less whether the speaker believes the statement to be true or not. On usenet, the intent is more to make a point of debate than to deceive.

1981. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 16:31:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9mj56s$f3f$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 18175

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B8C58D2.228C4093@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I've got an idea. Why don't you offer to help him figure out what's
> > wrong and maybe help fix it? Gee, that'd almost be like something a ham
> > radio operator would do. The reply header looks like something
> > Microsoft Outlook generates.
>
> I don't know anything about that AOL newsreader.

Everybody's got an excuse. How much did you know about designing
microprocessors when you first started designing microprocessors?

> Apparently there is
> a selection for "AOL Quotes" and "Standard Quotes" available at the
> click of a mouse. Seems even AOL admits there is a "standard" for
> quotes.

I thought you said you didn't know anything about AOL newsreaders.
When I use Outlook for email, it automatically puts that bulky header at the
top of a quoted email message. But when I'm on the newsgroups, it looks
like it does above.

> cheers, Cecil

Salud

1982. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:16:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D2362.20B75DBC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18176

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Would you admit to being more knowledgeable when you took the
> >Extra than when you took the Novice?
>
> No, ...

Hmmmmmm, no knowledge gained between taking your Novice test and
taking your Extra test. When did you take your Novice test? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1983. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:18:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D23E4.FF3CCE86@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18177

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:
> > >
> > >"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
> > >be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)
> > >
> I think it's normally presumed that there is motivation or intent to deceive
> involved in a lie. From that definition we can only assume it matters less
> whether the speaker believes the statement to be true or not. On usenet,
> the intent is more to make a point of debate than to deceive.

Yep, and ignorant people sure do lie a lot.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1984. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:23:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D250C.629BEC68@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18178

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Don't say "absolutely" and you come closer to the truth, Ed. I again
> refer you to the numerous FCC letters that have recently appeared on the
> League's website and that "good Amateur practice" is cited frequently.

That has more to do with interference than anything else. There's no
legal reason why I can't run RTTY in the lower 25khz of 40m as long
as I choose a clear frequency. I wouldn't do that but it isn't illegal.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1985. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:28:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D2636.CD7B4B37@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18180

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I thought you said you didn't know anything about AOL newsreaders.

I didn't until I received a couple of emails which alleviated some
of my ignorance. Apparently, in addition to the standard AOL attribute
function, AOL added a selection for the standard Internet attribute
function which can be selected with one click of the mouse button.
Question is, why doesn't everybody using the AOL newsreader on
Internet Netnews make that one click of the mouse button and
solve the attribute problem?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1986. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:29:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829132906.06466.00006325@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18179

>Hmmmmmm, no knowledge gained between taking your Novice test and
>taking your Extra test. When did you take your Novice test? :-)
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

In the 60s when I was still a Teenager,it also was a boring test not much challenge there. As a matter of fact now that I think about it, none of the Ham Tests were Challenging, aced all of them accept that stupid Extra Test, but that was my fault, if I had really been interested I might have Memorized those Freqs.

1987. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:32:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D271C.CB320316@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18181

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Well HOT DAMN, Cecil...!!!! Someone finally gotr tired of your whining
> and sent you the info, or you just got tired of having your nose rubbed in your
> inaction?

Actually, I got tired of you not realizing that I have been pulling
your leg about attributes all this time. How tall are you by now?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1988. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:35:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D27F7.5F8C44EE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18182

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> THAT is unethical.

Calm down, Steve. The joke on you is over.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1989. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 17:44:17 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D29F1.1C5F96D4@iastate.edu>
Source record: 18183

WA8ULX wrote:

> >Would you admit to being more knowledgeable when you took the
> >Extra than when you took the Novice?
> >--
> >cheers, Ceci
>
> No, I would say the Extra was just a Stupid Test, there was nothing on it that
> even took any thought, I even went back after taking the test to compare the
> Novice with the Extra of today,the Novice really
> was harder, or at least it had more Technical questions.
> Good example, what is Grayline propagation, or the really tough one, who can
> be a control operator, WOW some really Tough Material. As I said the Extra TEST
> was a JOKE.

Any test is a joke if you know the material. It seems like your prejudice isn't
against the test, it's against certain methods of learning.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email address to reply

1990. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 18:43:53 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D37E9.4A447167@uci.edu>
Source record: 18185

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > > From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > > >Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:
> > >
> > > >"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
> > > >be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)
> > >
> > I think it's normally presumed that there is motivation or intent to deceive
> > involved in a lie. From that definition we can only assume it matters less
> > whether the speaker believes the statement to be true or not. On usenet,
> > the intent is more to make a point of debate than to deceive.
>
> Yep, and ignorant people sure do lie a lot.

Ignorant people intend to deceive a lot? Why do you say that? I don't
think they intend to deceive any more than anyone else.

1991. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 18:45:24 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10108291838420.19991-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 18184

On 29 Aug 2001, W1RFI wrote:
>From: "KenF" skydiver94@home.com
>>So, on to my specific questions:
>
>>1) Would her inability to communicate verbally keep her from getting a HAM
>>license?
>
>Absolutely not. It sounds like she will pass a Morse code test easily,
>although because she will be unable to write down what she hears sent, the VE
>team may have to devise a test with a reasonable accomodation for her
>disability. One example might be for them to send the text one sentence at a
>time and ask the question about the content of that sentence. (Ie, what is the
>call sign of the ham who is making the transmission?) She would probably
>answer in Morse code. Of course, in that case, the VE team would have to be up
>to speed themselves on the code, but that really shouldn't be too much of a
>problem. :-)
>
>She will also have to pass the written exam, although not necessarily by
>writing. I imagine that the VE team would read her the questions on her exam
>and she could then respond A, B, C or D in Morse, and someone from the team
>would write down her answer. If she has >75% right, she passes.
>
>Once she is licensed, she will be able to communicate with other hams on the
>air using Morse code or other computer-controlled digital modes, if she likes.

I do agree that accomodation here would have to be done, but it also needs to
be done in a way that doesn't violate the integrity of the test. Because of
the high degree of accomodation that may be needed, you might want to also ask
the FCC. Don't forget to call the testing group ahead of time so they can have
extra examiners on hand.

>>2) Are there any HAM PC interfaces that would allow her to communicate
>>through her computer since would be unable to tune a HAM radio manually?
>
>Yes there are. Most of the middle-priced transceivers today have a computer
>interface that can control the operation of the rig.

Incomplete answer: If she can control a computer (e.g. running Windows) and
the mouse pointer, then such software for controlling a radio then becomes a
feasible solution.

>>3) Assuming there is such an interface, would it be able to send morse code
>>directly, or through a translation interface?
>
>The computer-controlled transceviers can also be keyed through the control

>port, if memory serves. An alternative would be to build a simple keying
>circuit that takes an external audio Morse code signal and turns it into the
>keying signal for the rig.
>
>All in all, these sound like surmountable problems. Once she is licensed, I
>will be glad to help work out answers to the challenges. In the meantime, if
>my help is needed at working out the details of any of the reasonable
>accomodations she may need to take her tests, let me know. The best address to
>use for me is w1rfi@aol.com or by phone at 860-594-0318.

1992. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 18:48:59 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D391B.5972600A@uci.edu>
Source record: 18186

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I thought you said you didn't know anything about AOL newsreaders.
>
> I didn't until I received a couple of emails which alleviated some
> of my ignorance.

How then could both statements be true? You wrote them both in the same paragraph. Did you intend to deceive? ;-)

> Apparently, in addition to the standard AOL attribute
> function, AOL added a selection for the standard Internet attribute
> function which can be selected with one click of the mouse button.

Why didn't you offer that advice to Steve?

> Question is, why doesn't everybody using the AOL newsreader on
> Internet Netnews make that one click of the mouse button and
> solve the attribute problem?

Is this some kind of a mystery for you to try to solve?

1993. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 19:34:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D43C7.D83B2E11@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18187

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > > From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > > > >Here's the definition of "lie" that I am using. From Webster's:
> > > > >
> > > > >"lie - an untrue or inaccurate statement that MAY OR MAY NOT
> > > > >be believed to be true by the speaker." (emphasis mine)
> > > > >
> > > I think it's normally presumed that there is motivation or intent to deceive
> > > involved in a lie. From that definition we can only assume it matters less
> > > whether the speaker believes the statement to be true or not. On usenet,
> > > the intent is more to make a point of debate than to deceive.
> > >
> > Yep, and ignorant people sure do lie a lot.
>
> Ignorant people intend to deceive a lot? Why do you say that? I don't
> think they intend to deceive any more than anyone else.

Nevertheless, they sure satisfy the above definition of "lie".

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

1994. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 19:37:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D4475.C7DBC624@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18188

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Apparently, in addition to the standard AOL attribute
> > function, AOL added a selection for the standard Internet attribute
> > function which can be selected with one click of the mouse button.
>
> Why didn't you offer that advice to Steve?

I passed the information on just as soon as I received the emails.

> > Question is, why doesn't everybody using the AOL newsreader on
> > Internet Netnews make that one click of the mouse button and
> > solve the attribute problem?
>
> Is this some kind of a mystery for you to try to solve?

Not me. I personally wouldn't be caught dead using AOL.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1995. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 19:40:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D4534.81602FBF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18189

W1RFI wrote:
> Frankly, I think both sides of this are a bit foolish and not really a good use
> of everyone's time.

Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?
Did I pull your leg along with Steve's?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

1996. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 19:51:43 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D47CF.E5C6CA64@uci.edu>
Source record: 18190

CAM wrote:
> > Ignorant people intend to deceive a lot? Why do you say that? I don't
> > think they intend to deceive any more than anyone else.
>
> Nevertheless, they sure satisfy the above definition of "lie".

My dictionary says:

"lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
create a false or misleading impression"

"lie is a blunt term, imputing dishonesty. Prevaricate softens the
bluntness of lie by implying quibbling or confusing the issue.
Equivocate implies using words having more than one sense so as to seem
to say one thing but intend another."

Prevarication and equivocation would seem to be popular activies around
here. ;-)

cheese and salad,
Jim

1997. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 19:55:35 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D48B7.17977359@uci.edu>
Source record: 18191

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Apparently, in addition to the standard AOL attribute
> > > function, AOL added a selection for the standard Internet attribute
> > > function which can be selected with one click of the mouse button.

> > Why didn't you offer that advice to Steve?

> I passed the information on just as soon as I received the emails.

To Steve?

> > > Question is, why doesn't everybody using the AOL newsreader on
> > > Internet Netnews make that one click of the mouse button and
> > > solve the attribute problem?

> > Is this some kind of a mystery for you to try to solve?

> Not me.

You posed the question. Was it rhetoric for the sole purpose of
rhetoric?

> I personally wouldn't be caught dead using AOL.

Who cares?

1998. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:02:23 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D4A4F.DE7F08F2@uci.edu>
Source record: 18193

CAM wrote:

> Actually, I got tired of you not realizing that I have been pulling
> your leg about attributes all this time.

75 posts later. Yeah, it was real funny. 8-|

1999. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:08:02 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <9jiqotc4in8j7c5lgkto152bpv736420dg@4ax.com>
Source record: 18192

On Wed, 29 Aug 2001 01:20:08 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
<snip>

>You might care to rethink trading the microwave portion for HF
>(satellites and other methods may well leave HF looking rather poorly.

Not wanting to beat a dead horse, but he's such an inviting target:

I just don't think microwaves hold much for the Amateur Radio Operator.
There are probably no great discoveries to be made there such as the
opening up of short waves many years ago. Microwaves have been studied
to death by very well-equipped labs over the last 50 years. They have
enormous potential... but not for hobbyists.

Time will tell. I predict a steady erosion of Amateur microwave use and
a steady increase of commercial uses. I could be wrong. We'll see.

73, Bill W7TI

2000. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:20:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D4E8C.AF07FDF2@uci.edu>
Source record: 18194

CAM wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Frankly, I think both sides of this are a bit foolish and not really a good use
> > of everyone's time.
>
> Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?

I thought you were making an a$$ of yourself. Try throwing in some
humor if your intention is to be funny.

2001. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:34:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D51CD.4FD3621B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18195

Jim Kelley wrote:
> My dictionary says:
>
> "lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
> create a false or misleading impression"

You need a new dictionary, Jim. From Webster's Ninth New
Collegiate Dictionary:

"lie - 1. a : an assertion of something known or believed by the
speaker to be untrue with intent to deceive *b : an untrue or
inaccurate statement that may or may not be believed true by
the speaker* 2 : something that misleads or deceives. 3 : a charge
of lying." "*"'s added for emphasis.

My "Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary" has too many
definitions to type but here's the one I have been using:

"lie - 3. an inaccurate or false statement"

So you see, I have a perfect defense against Steve's lawsuit where
he says I libeled him by calling him a liar. He proves himself to
be a liar by not even knowing the definition of "lie". :-) A person
is lying, by definition, if he doesn't know the definition of "lie"
and makes a false statement thinking he does know the definition.
It's virtually impossible to defend an indefensible position. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2002. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:42:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D53B9.C6385DD6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18196

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I passed the information on just as soon as I received the emails.
>
> To Steve?

Of course, I certainly hope Steve reads these threads. Steve's AOL email is so screwed up that my Netscape Messenger cannot handle a reply. In fact, the AOL email is even more screwed up than the AOL newsreader.

> You posed the question. Was it rhetoric for the sole purpose of
> rhetoric?

It was a rhetorical question, Jim. Only a fool would choose to violate the Internet standards when a mouse click will solve the problem. I personally don't think Steve was serious and was just trying to pull my leg back while I was pulling his. With so many violations of netnews rules, what difference does an attribution make? (Again - rhetorical)

With you knowing me as well as you do, Jim, I would be surprised if you didn't recognize my tongue-in-cheek humor at work.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2003. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:44:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D542F.F248DA38@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18197

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Actually, I got tired of you not realizing that I have been pulling
> > your leg about attributes all this time.
>
> 75 posts later. Yeah, it was real funny. 8-|

You're right. I thought it was never gonna end. I was completely
surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2004. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:47:10 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D54CE.B6D5682D@uci.edu>
Source record: 18198

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > My dictionary says:
> >
> > "lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
> > create a false or misleading impression"
>
> You need a new dictionary, Jim. From Webster's Ninth New
> Collegiate Dictionary:

You need the new one, Cecil. I quoted from the Tenth Edition.
```

2005. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:47:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D54F2.591DA010@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18200

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?
>
> I thought you were making an a$$ of yourself. Try throwing in some
> humor if your intention is to be funny.

Jim, I threw in all the humor I could without posting a smiley face.
I'm really surprised that you didn't recognize it. Do you really
think I'm that petty? Don't answer that. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2006. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:50:48 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D55A8.69DD052C@uci.edu>
Source record: 18199

CAM wrote:
> With you knowing me as well as you do, Jim, I would be surprised if
> you didn't recognize my tongue-in-cheek humor at work.

'Twern't funny, McGee.

2007. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:54:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D5683.A76E903@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18251

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > My dictionary says:
> > >
> > > "lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
> > > create a false or misleading impression"
> >
> > You need a new dictionary, Jim. From Webster's Ninth New
> > Collegiate Dictionary:
>
> You need the new one, Cecil. I quoted from the Tenth Edition.

Well, think about the number 2 definition above. It doesn't require
that the perp know that he/she is creating a false or misleading
impression. He/she can be lying out of ignorance. All that is
required is that a false or misleading impression be created.
"The earth is flat" is a lie no matter what the speaker believes.
Ain't English fun?
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2008. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:59:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D5795.E13B00A7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18253

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > With you knowing me as well as you do, Jim, I would be surprised if
> > you didn't recognize my tongue-in-cheek humor at work.
>
> 'Twern't funny, McGee.

It was funny for anyone who recognized my tongue-in-cheek humor at work.
I still halfway believe that includes Steve. My friends and I used to
sit up all night doing such while in college. It's like a chess game.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2009. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 20:59:54 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D57CA.8A794CB5@uci.edu>
Source record: 18252

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?
> >
> > I thought you were making an a$$ of yourself. Try throwing in some
> > humor if your intention is to be funny.
>
> Jim, I threw in all the humor I could without posting a smiley face.
> I'm really surprised that you didn't recognize it. Do you really
> think I'm that petty? Don't answer that. :-)
> --
> cheers, Cecil

humor - that quality which appeals to the sense of the ludicrous or
absurdly incongruous

We should laugh at most of your posts, I guess. I promise to try harder
in the future.

73, Jim

2010. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:05:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829170544.00874.00003518@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 18254

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/29/01 4:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8D542F.F248DA38@IEEE.org>

>I was completely
>surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.

What's indefensible about the truth, Cecil...?!?!

I did nothing wrong... Believed it...Said it..Stood by it...

2011. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:07:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829170705.00874.00003519@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 18255

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/29/01 1:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8D27F7.5F8C44EE@IEEE.org>

>Calm down, Steve. The joke on you is over

I am fine, Cecil. I am not the one asserting an untruthful set of
parameters.

Sorry!

Steve

2012. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:10:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829171009.01501.00002356@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18256

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?
>Did I pull your leg along with Steve's?

Well, Cecil, we have all seen you pursue mundane topics well past any point
before. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2013. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:11:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D5A7D.9EB8E27C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18257

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?
> > >
> > > I thought you were making an a$$ of yourself. Try throwing in some
> > > humor if your intention is to be funny.
> >
> > Jim, I threw in all the humor I could without posting a smiley face.
> > I'm really surprised that you didn't recognize it. Do you really
> > think I'm that petty? Don't answer that. :-)
>
> humor - that quality which appeals to the sense of the ludicrous or
> absurdly incongruous
>
> We should laugh at most of your posts, I guess. I promise to try harder
> in the future.

Don't say I didn't warn you a long time ago.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

2014. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:15:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D5B63.F720BC88@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18259

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Did you actually think I could be serious over such a mundane topic?
> >Did I pull your leg along with Steve's?
>
> Well, Cecil, we have all seen you pursue mundane topics well past any point
> before. :-)

And all of them with my tongue firmly planted in my cheek. In the
overall scheme of things, it simply doesn't matter what happens
on this newsgroup. "If that's all there is, we might as well keep
dancing and break out the booze."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2015. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:17:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D5BFD.7BCA02BD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18260

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> I am fine, Cecil. I am not the one asserting an untruthful set of
> parameters.

If the AOL newsreader doesn't screw up Internet postings, why did
they add the "Internet Style" option to it? More to the point, why
haven't you selected that "Internet Style" option?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2016. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:22:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829172210.01501.00002361@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18258

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>True, I can read, and do, but if there is some reason I do not understand a
>certain concept or whatever, where the hell do I turn to for help? If I can't
find a
> piece of information or fact that I need to know in regard to
>radio, where do I turn to?

There are a number of places. Not all old timers are so down on ham radio as a few of the ones here are, and not all old timers label those who are trying to help newcomers as "politically correct." Those who act this way toward other hams, whether old timer or newcomer, are not practicing the ham radio I have known for three and a half decades.

There are plenty of hams out there who will gladly offer encouragement and help to the newcomer. You may be able to find some such help at a local radio club, or on the local repeater or otherwise on the air. ARRL has a field organization of volunteers -- one position within that organization is the Technical Coordinator, and his/her Technical Specialist assistants. These people have volunteered to help with technical questions in their sections. You can also email tis@arrl.org and Al will usually be able to answer nearly any question.

>Did every single person who tested in the
>above paragraph not have one ounce of help from someone else?

I can't speak for every single person who tested when I tested, but my friends and I had a lot of help from the old timers, and we made plenty of mistakes. I still make a few to this day, and I am sure I have a few more coming. :-)

>I guess what I get tired of hearing is that "old-fart" mentality about all
>of us who didn't have to walk uphill barefoot in 2 foot of snow both ways
>bitching all the time and maybe help them get to what they see as at least
>half as good as them.

Those that really do have confidence in themselves and their abilities have no need to favorably compare themselves obsessively in public to others. There are plenty of hams with more knowledge than I have and I respect them for it. In that way, I am more likely to learn.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

If it makes them feel better, I will walk to Detroit
>from Midland (about 90 miles) for my next exam session, and test under any
>method they feel may be the "perfect" method of testing. How about essay
>form for each question?
>

>
>Ryan
>
>
>BTW... I do support the former code requirements, even if I could care less
>about using the mode of communication.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2017. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:22:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D5D17.5AEAD134@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18261

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> What's indefensible about the truth, Cecil...?!?!

What truth, Steve? You could have selected the "Internet Style"
protocol at any time. Why didn't you? Why did you allow our
discussion to continue ad infinitum? Were you trying to embarass
me by exposing my ignorance of the AOL newsreader?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2018. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:26:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829172606.01501.00002364@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18262

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>Why the hell is everything in the early morning? Not all of us are
>old farts that are up at the crack of dawn.... (some old farts I know even
>stay up late at night too) Some of us work second or third shift. What is
>wrong with an afternoon test session or other event?

Many VE test sessions are held in the evening or at conventions and hamfests. I have seen many a test session in the afternoon. Most of the time, if one is held in the morning, you do not have to be there when the doors open. I somehow think you can manage to get up before noon at least once in a while. :-)

If you do work 2nd or 3rd shift, mention this to a few local VE teams and I bet you can persuade one to do an evening test session now and again.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2019. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:28:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829172837.01501.00002365@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18263

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>or the really tough one, who can
>be a control operator, WOW some really Tough Material.

I think that Bruce accidentally took the Novice test and thought it was the
Extra. :-)

>And of course his next comment was, well
>lets wait and see what the Test Results are, and my next comment was if I
>missed more than 1 you need to recheck your Answers. WRITTEN TEST A JOKE

And I bet his next comment waited until you left the room...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2020. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:30:27 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D5EF3.23C70C99@uci.edu>
Source record: 18264

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > My dictionary says:
> > > >
> > > > "lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
> > > > create a false or misleading impression"
> > >
> > > You need a new dictionary, Jim. From Webster's Ninth New
> > > Collegiate Dictionary:
> > >
> > You need the new one, Cecil. I quoted from the Tenth Edition.
>
> Well, think about the number 2 definition above. It doesn't require
> that the perp know that he/she is creating a false or misleading
> impression. He/she can be lying out of ignorance. All that is
> required is that a false or misleading impression be created.
> "The earth is flat" is a lie no matter what the speaker believes.
> Ain't English fun?

"The earth is flat" is simply an incorrect statement. According to
Mirriam Websters Collegiate Tenth Edition, the story teller must have
the intent to mislead or deceive in order for it to be a lie.

To me a lie is a deception. If you want to be able to call someone a
liar simply because he was under the wrong impression about something,
then by all means libel away.

2021. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:32:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829173241.01501.00002368@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18265

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>What truth, Steve? You could have selected the "Internet Style"
>protocol at any time.

Actually, Cecil, he has selected internet style quoting. AOL style quoting
would do this:

<This is the text that the newsreader is quoting.>

He is just not willing to edit out the > that AOL inserts before all quoted
text, including the From: line at the top of the posts he responds to. I think
it is now a matter of principle that he wants to prove to everyone that you
can't tell him what to do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2022. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:33:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829173356.01501.00002369@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18266

No, Cecil, that won't solve the attribution problem on AOL. I have internet style set and this is how it handles the attributions:

>Subject: Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/29/01 1:28 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8D2636.CD7B4B37@IEEE.org>
>
>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> I thought you said you didn't know anything about AOL newsreaders.
>
>I didn't until I received a couple of emails which alleviated some
>of my ignorance. Apparently, in addition to the standard AOL attribute
>function, AOL added a selection for the standard Internet attribute
>function which can be selected with one click of the mouse button.
>Question is, why doesn't everybody using the AOL newsreader on
>Internet Netnews make that one click of the mouse button and
>solve the attribute problem?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2023. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 21:33:56 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D5FC4.63B299DD@uci.edu>
Source record: 18267

CAM wrote:
> And all of them with my tongue firmly planted in my cheek.

No one can see your cheek, Cecil.

> In the
> overall scheme of things, it simply doesn't matter what happens
> on this newsgroup. "If that's all there is, we might as well keep
> dancing and break out the booze."

Yes. But the Bible did speak out against "spilling ones seed upon the
ground".

2024. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:07:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829180737.06490.00007484@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18268

>I think that Bruce accidentally took the Novice test and thought it was the
>Extra. :-)

Maybe so, but they gave me the Extra for it, wait since it was an ARRL Group
you might be right, no it said EXTRA EXAM at the top

2025. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:12:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829181216.06490.00007485@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18269

>And I bet his next comment waited until you left the room...
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

No his next comment was, Man I never say anybody fly thru that Test like you did,and then he told the other VEs that that guy really knows his Stuff, Oh yea forgot as I was leaving they all wanted my Autographed QSL Card to prove that they had met me. I dont know I think they were Ham Gruppies

2026. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:13:20 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D6900.85ADD075@uci.edu>
Source record: 18270

CAM wrote:
> Don't say I didn't warn you a long time ago.

Yeah. I saw an episode of Spy TV. This guy, masquerading as a basketball ref, came out onto a court where some guys were playing a pick-up game. He started blowing his whistle, calling all sorts of bogus penalties, interfering with play, and generally making an a$$hole out of himself. Nobody asked him to referee, and none of the people there thought it was funny. He just mad them angry and started fights. Is that your objective?

2027. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:21:44 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D6AF8.B10E0494@uci.edu>
Source record: 18271

CAM wrote:

> I was completely
> surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.

Now there's a perfect example of a lie.

2028. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:32:05 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8D6D65.6BE419E0@uci.edu>
Source record: 18272

CAM wrote:

> It's like a chess game.

It's even more like a farting contest.

2029. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:51:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829185106.01552.00001954@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18273

From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> I was completely surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.

>Now there's a perfect example of a lie.

Pleasantly surprised may be a bit more accurate.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2030. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-29 22:56:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829185609.01552.00001955@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18274

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>I am giving it a couple more tries (on top of the 9 or so tries) and if I don't get it....
>don't know if I will try again. At least I gave it a valiant effort.

Either email me or post here with an indication of what seems to be getting in the way of passing the test. I can offer some pointers. For some that are trying to learn the code, it really is a difficulty in aurally distinguishing dits from dahs. In that case, I suggest that one stay with A, N, R and K until they overcome that hurdle. What tends to happen is that all of a sudden, the mental connection is made and learning proceeds smoothly from there.

In other cases, those pesty numbers or the more "difficult" letters are the problem. I can think of no real way around that except for practice.

Let me know if I can help. All I will ask in return is one CW QSO sometime after you pass! :-)

73,
Ed, W1RFI

2031. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:07:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D83BD.107104CE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18275

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > > My dictionary says:
> > > > >
> > > > > "lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
> > > > > create a false or misleading impression"
> > > >
> > > > You need a new dictionary, Jim. From Webster's Ninth New
> > > > Collegiate Dictionary:
> > >
> > > You need the new one, Cecil. I quoted from the Tenth Edition.
> >
> > Well, think about the number 2 definition above. It doesn't require
> > that the perp know that he/she is creating a false or misleading
> > impression. He/she can be lying out of ignorance. All that is
> > required is that a false or misleading impression be created.
> > "The earth is flat" is a lie no matter what the speaker believes.
> > Ain't English fun?
>
> "The earth is flat" is simply an incorrect statement. According to
> Mirriam Websters Collegiate Tenth Edition, the story teller must have
> the intent to mislead or deceive in order for it to be a lie.

That's a lie, Jim. "To create a false and misleading impression"
doesn't require "the intent to mislead or deceive". All it requires
is that it be a false statement. The creation of a false and
misleading impression can even be accidental. It's still a lie.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

2032. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:12:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D84E2.2074C918@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18277

W1RFI wrote:
> No, Cecil, that won't solve the attribution problem on AOL. I have internet
> style set and this is how it handles the attributions:

Well, an AOL customer sent me an email saying it would fix the
problem and that the AOL newsreader was not a bastard. So can
the problem be fixed?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2033. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:13:29 UTC
From: Beer Bottle <beer@bottle.com>
Message-ID: <Jyfj7.151662$EP6.42486020@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 18276

Why don't you guys argue semantics thru e-mail, why does the rest of the world need to read this and more importantly what does it have to do with this Newsgroups topic ? Well it is standard to post on topic on the usenet.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010829110230.01616.00001799@mb-ca.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
> >From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> >Date: 8/29/01 6:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010829063435.01941.00005596@mb-mf.aol.com>
>
> >Well, I guess that will end it, now that a total stranger that Steve doesn't
> >know has called it a standard instead of someone he has talked to here for
> >years.
>
> A standard is not a standard unless there are defined parameters. "Becuase
> I say it is" is NOT a defined paramerter, Ed.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2034. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:16:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D85DA.AD7F0801@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18278

W1RFI wrote:
> He is just not willing to edit out the > that AOL inserts before all quoted
> text, including the From: line at the top of the posts he responds to. I think
> it is now a matter of principle that he wants to prove to everyone that you
> can't tell him what to do.

Of course I can't tell him what to do. I was just applying peer pressure
mainly as a joke. Can you imagine the teacher announcing the latest
high school debate question: "Should users of the Internet violate
the Internet attribution standard?" Who wants the 'pro' side and who
wants the 'con' side?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2035. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:18:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8654.723BE4B1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18279

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I was completely
> > surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.
>
> Now there's a perfect example of a lie.

Who else thinks violation of the Internet attrubution standard
is the right thing to do? Do you?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2036. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:19:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D869C.7A3C0A3B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18280

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
>> CAM said:
> >> I was completely surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible
> position.
>
> >Now there's a perfect example of a lie.
>
> Pleasantly surprised may be a bit more accurate.

Might be, Ed, just might be. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2037. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:21:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8721.2B7A2A73@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18281

Jim Kelley wrote:
> He just mad them angry and started fights.
> Is that your objective?

My objective is to alleviate my boredom until the grim reaper calls.
Seems that is the objective of almost all members of r.r.a.p.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2038. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:23:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8793.9C813FAE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18283

Jim Kelley wrote:
> But the Bible did speak out against "spilling ones seed upon the
> ground".

I believe that was an admonition against birth control, not against
masturbation.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2039. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:25:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk16m$7tb$0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18282

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > It's been several years, but as I recall the regulations required a
> > one minute solid copy to pass regardless of what other criteria were in
> > place.
>
> What regulations?

After this much time I can no longer quote which, but I know that it would never have occurred to me to disallow a passing score by one minute solid copy when the FCC has always used that as the SOLE criteria.

2040. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:28:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D88B6.5CBA5AB5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18284

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > It's been several years, but as I recall the regulations required a
> > > one minute solid copy to pass regardless of what other criteria were in
> > > place.
> > >
> > What regulations?
>
> After this much time I can no longer quote which, but I know that it
> would never have occurred to me to disallow a passing score by one
> minute solid copy when the FCC has always used that as the SOLE
> criteria.

Point is that the FCC no longer is in control of the testing process.
The VEC can decide what constitutes a pass and maybe the VE team
can do likewise.
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2041. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:30:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8941.5EBA6F97@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18290

Beer Bottle wrote:
> Why don't you guys argue semantics thru e-mail, ...

We tried but the AOL email is even more screwed up than the newsreader.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2042. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:32:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010829203215.12858.00007262@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18285

In article <3B8BA744.DF34CB6@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> The debate about the "status" of hams, based on license class,
>
>There is no debate except in your delusional mind. After you
>renew your license next time, are you going to lug a callbook
>around to prove your status?

Cecil:

I've never done that in the past, so why should I start doing it seven
years from now?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2043. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:32:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010829203215.12858.00007261@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18288

In article <too1sd2p2iu67@corp.supernews.com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> writes:

>
>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20010828095654.09419.00003719@nso-mv.aol.com...
>>Since very capable rigs like
>> the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
>> amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!
>
>Maybe to you it seems that way. One market for a single band 10m all mode
>rig is for the guys who use transverters on V/UHF and the microwave bands.
>Most of the time the IF frequency is either 10m or 2m. A cheap 10m rig like
>the Radio Shack model lets them use their transverters without having to tie
>up a much more expensive, and large, multiband H.F. radio. When those guys
>go mountain topping they don't want to drag around a big rig if they don't
>have to.

Leland:

Excellent point -- I didn't think of that quick enough. Thanks for the
input.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2044. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:32:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010829203216.12858.00007263@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18287

In article <dcgnotk3nl1npq9c00gkmg3c8u08jkq8ip@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>
>>It is the very lack of willingness to open a book, learn
>>something on their own, to show some curiosity and
>>learning ability, that has hams of
>>my era looking down their noses at hams of your era.
>
>_____
>
>Give it up Larry. You elitist snobbery is wearing thin. I'm of your
>era and I look down on your type much more than hams of the new era.
>
>Bill, W7TI

Bill:

Omygosh! Am I supposed to feel embarrassed or something now? Let
me check…no, I gues snot! You're just another whining weenie trying
to make me think I'm a bad person for supporting high standards in the
ARS (and other aspects of society). Tell you what to do: Go find a
bunch of Nickle Extras, form a circle with them (preferably around a
tree with some spotted owls nesting in it), join hands in a show of
politically-correct inclusiveness, and sing a few choruses of "Kumbuya!"
After that, I'd recommend "Michael Row the Boat Ashore," "Puff
The Magic Dragon," and "I'd Like To Teach The World To Sing." I'm
sure this experience will be a lot more satisfying than trying to wind
me up in this newsgroup -- which, for all intents and purposes, can't
be done!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2045. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:32:17 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010829203217.12858.00007264@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18286

In article <3B8BC7D1.43CF1DE9@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>> This is a reality which you now have
>> no choice but to accept. My enjoyment
>> of the ham radio hobby has not been
>> affected by the cultural changes
>> associated with Restructuring. I regret
>> that yours has, but there is nothing I
>> can do about it that you couldn't have
>> done for yourself two years ago.
>
>
> You're right, my enjoyment has changed since Restructuring. I'm
>absolutely thrilled with the changes brought about by Restructuring
>and I'm looking forward to taking advantage of those changes. In fact,
>I'm even looking forward to still more changes in the future.
>

Dwight:

That's quite understandable; the ham radio licensing standards have
been dumbed-down to the level where even you can now enjoy full
amateur privileges. If I were you (whew!) I would be enjoying it as well!
And no, I don't have any problem with you enjoying your privileges!
You waited for them long enough. I'm just glad I got mine 18 years
sooner!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2046. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:34:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk1lranb0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18289

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > WRONG, ED, I made no attempt to work your 'Test Question". If you don't
> > believe that radiated power varies inversly with the square of the
> > distance that's your problem.
>
> The question was not about radiated power, Dick. The question
> was about electrical field strength.

Yeah, I noticed that when I declined to take the bait. That's why I said what I did, thought I should have noted the difference in the E field and power density. Looks like a semi-'trick' question to me, and yes I know there were some on the tests. When you're working them for a score you must note carefully and exactly the wording of the question. I wasn't working for an answer, just making an observation. Ed doesn't lead me around by the nose, as he has surely noticed by now.

2047. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:38:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8B13.CFB32E5B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18292

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B8BA744.DF34CB6@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> The debate about the "status" of hams, based on license class,
> > >
> > >There is no debate except in your delusional mind. After you
> > >renew your license next time, are you going to lug a callbook
> > >around to prove your status?
>
> I've never done that in the past, so why should I start doing it seven
> years from now?

How are you going to prove you are an upper caste ham without
a callbook after you renew next time? Before you say there are
no castes in ham radio, note that is exactly what you are doing.
There is no way for hams getting their licenses now to equal
your perceived top status. When people are barred from achieving
top status no matter what they do, that's a caste system, Larry,
pure and simple.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2048. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:39:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk1vn$bq0$0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18291

N0YM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > James Bromley wrote:
> > >
> > > > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it
> > > > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this
> > > > week, methinks.)
> > >
> > > Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
> > > Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.
> >
> >
> >
> > Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
> > considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
> > accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.
>
> Actually, essentially identical questions now appear on the General. See the General
> question pool, questions G0C01-G0C05:
> http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/El3-2000.txt
>
> Who said things have gotten easier?
>

Jonathan: The day they published the question pool with all the exact
questions and all their exact answers, things got infinitely easier.
Some people posting here can't grasp the difference between that
question/answer pool and a study guide that one uses to learn the
material.
I hope you do.

2049. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:41:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8BC9.A5BCE1AA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18294

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm just glad I got mine 18 years sooner!

Since you consider such stuff important, I obtained all amateur
operating privileges 30 years before you. What took you so long?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2050. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:44:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk2a8cje0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18293

N0YM wrote:
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > >Would you admit to being more knowledgeable when you took the
> > >Extra than when you took the Novice?
> > >--
> > >cheers, Ceci
> >
> > No, I would say the Extra was just a Stupid Test, there was nothing on it that
> > even took any thought, I even went back after taking the test to compare the
> > Novice with the Extra of today,the Novice really
> > was harder, or at least it had more Technical questions.
> > Good example, what is Grayline propagation, or the really tough one, who can
> > be a control operator, WOW some really Tough Material. As I said the Extra TEST
> > was a JOKE.
>
> Any test is a joke if you know the material.

Any test is a joke if you have been given the exact answers on a silver
platter well in advance of the test date so as to learn all the word
associations needed to match an answer with its question with no need
to learn anything about the content of the subject matter. It merely
taked the necessity to learn out of the process.

It seems like your prejudice isn't
> against the test, it's against certain methods of learning.
>
> Regards,
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM
> Remove "x's" from email address to reply

2051. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 00:46:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8D8CD2.F3D0DCE7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18295

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Some people posting here can't grasp the difference between that
> question/answer pool and a study guide that one uses to learn the
> material.

I've done it both ways, Dick, and I don't see any essential
difference.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2052. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 01:23:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk4jc$k4p$0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18296

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > It's been several years, but as I recall the regulations required a
> > > > one minute solid copy to pass regardless of what other criteria were in
> > > > place.
> > >
> > > What regulations?
> >
> > After this much time I can no longer quote which, but I know that it
> > would never have occurred to me to disallow a passing score by one
> > minute solid copy when the FCC has always used that as the SOLE
> > criteria.
>
> Point is that the FCC no longer is in control of the testing process.

Yep, they are--they say there shall be a 5wpm exam and for sure they
can say what it takes to pass it.

> The VEC can decide what constitutes a pass and maybe the VE team
> can do likewise.

The conference of VEC's, maybe. A VE team, no.
```

2053. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 01:36:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk5armbt0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18297

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010828061301.21533.00004931@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > From: GAR GARIGUE garigue@home.com
> >
> > >W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >> That's nonsense, Bruce. I am sure there are a few highly technical
> people
> > >> taking today's exams, but most are doing just what you and I did --
> passing
> > >> their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing
> and
> > >>just plain hoping a few subjects don't show up on the exam they are
> given.
> >
> > >Yep Ed ,,, like the CW test. A real red light should go off when
> > >someone drives halfway across the state to take a "test". 73 KI3R
> >
> > You are correct; the description I gave doesn't cover the CW test. I
> passed my
> > CW test by 100% memorization.
> >
> > If I understood the context of what you said, you are implying that
> driving
> > halfway across a state to take a test should light a red light. Do you
> believe
> > that driving across town is okay, but driving a long distance in some way
> > reflects badly on the test taker? I just don't understand your statement.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> I agree.... with less and less test sessions being available, one may have
> to drive a distance to get to a testing session. Another valid point that
> needs to be addressed is the hours that things are done within amatuer
> radio. Why the hell is everything in the early morning? Not all of us are
> old farts that are up at the crack of dawn.... (some old farts I know even
> stay up late at night too) Some of us work second or third shift. What is
> wrong with an afternoon test session or other event? With many of us that
> are in the hobby and still in the workforce having to work multiple jobs,
> things are just not like the 50's anymore.

Gee, I dunno, Ryan. All the years I ran a VE team we tested at 7pm on Tuesday night, and I can't tell you how many of those Tuesday nights I was up till 1:30 or 2am doublechecking and finishing up all the paperwork invoved with the test session so it could go into the morning mail. And I was up at 6 and off to work myself. For I, too, was still in the workforce...

2054. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all (one world government)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 01:39:49 UTC
From: k0hb@QWEST.NET
Message-ID: <5debc1ee.0108291739.572bfa99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18298

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> I don't think you understand the question, Hans.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I understand the question perfectly, but I don't think
you understand my answer.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2055. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 01:47:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mk60cnau0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18299

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >> Oops, there you go ruining it all by being
> >> politically correct. Don't you know that if
> >> you had "real" status, you would use it as
> >> a stick to hit others with and as mud to
> >> throw in their faces.
>
> > In this case, it's probably more a matter of class (character) than
> >political correctness, Ed. ;-)
>
> I don't know. Larry calls it "status" and then uses it as a stick to hit
> others on the head and as mud to throw in someone's face. Dick calls it pride,
> then does the same thing with it.

Your perception is all screwed up. The codebashers come at us tooth and
claw and you expect us to just roll over like you do.

2056. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 02:54:02 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <0darotstdlffgcfklcvcbibug1ti8slcqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 18300

On 30 Aug 2001 00:32:16 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>You're just another whining weenie trying
>to make me think I'm a bad person for supporting high standards in the
>ARS (and other aspects of society).

Does "high standards" in "other aspects of society" include using AOL,
the no-code of ISP's?

Talk about dumbing down!!

Bill, W7TI

2057. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 03:27:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829232705.01596.00005046@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18301

>Your perception is all screwed up. The codebashers come at us tooth and
>claw and you expect us to just roll over like you do.
>
>

Face it the New Guys know that have easy, they just dont want to admit they dont know anything, and got in with out any real Effort. They hate to admit that they will never be real Hams, and will say anything to try and Justify there Lazziness or inability that has kept them out of Ham Radio till the Dumbing Down process came along.

2058. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 04:34:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mkfos$m5o$0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 18302

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On Wed, 29 Aug 2001 01:20:08 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> <snip>
>
> >You might care to rethink trading the microwave portion for HF
> >(satellites and other methods may well leave HF looking rather poorly.
>
> ----------
>
> Not wanting to beat a dead horse, but he's such an inviting target:
>
> I just don't think microwaves hold much for the Amateur Radio Operator.
> There are probably no great discoveries to be made there such as the
> opening up of short waves many years ago. Microwaves have been studied
> to death by very well-equipped labs over the last 50 years. They have
> enormous potential... but not for hobbyists.
>
> Time will tell. I predict a steady erosion of Amateur microwave use and
> a steady increase of commercial uses. I could be wrong. We'll see.
>

Anyone who doubts it needs to check out a little history. Not that long
ago hams had all microwave frequencies. What small amount that remains
is again under threat, and I believe we'll be lucky to ultmiately hold 2
meters and half of 70cm.

Dick W0EX
> 73, Bill W7TI

2059. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 05:09:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8DCA95.627BB05D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18303

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > My comment was about the recent
> > changes in the code test
> > requirements,
>
> Oh I see, you wouldnt be a Real
> Ham unless the CW Test and the
> Written Test hadnt been Dumbed Down.

A person would be a "real" ham, regardless of what ham license test
he passed.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2060. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 06:42:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8DE061.34DA9400@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18304

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> You aRE Kidding yourself,

Okay.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2061. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 07:02:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8DE4EA.97C5C593@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18305

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Your perception is all screwed up.
> The codebashers come at us tooth and
> claw and you expect us to just roll
> over like you do.

Your perception is screwed up, Dick. In 90% of the code discussions, it the the anti-code crowd responding to nonsense posted by pro-code crowd, not the other way around. For example, this latest round of the code debate was started by the monthly "no code = no ham" message. The "codebashers" didn't post that message. And Larry started the 'real ham," "restructuring," nonsense in this thread (Aug 17th). Are you calling Larry a "codebasher?" If you don't want "codebashers" coming at you (and the rest of the pro-code group), urge your fellow pro-coders to stop provoking it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2062. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 07:09:06 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8DE68B.C59DDA61@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18306

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> That's quite understandable; the
> ham radio licensing standards have
> been dumbed-down to the level where
> even you can now enjoy full amateur
> privileges. If I were you (whew!)

Kiss my butt, Larry.

> I would be enjoying it as well! And
> no, I don't have any problem with
> you enjoying your privileges! You
> waited for them long enough. I'm just
> glad I got mine 18 years sooner!

I didn't wait for a thing, so what is your point?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2063. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 07:27:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8DEAD1.B8615968@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18307

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> Hmm.... except for drive time, our
> repeaters around here are silent except
> for net operations. I used a program
> called scanner recorder and recorded a
> 24 hour period of time on 6 different
> days in the past six months and the
> average transmitting time of the
> repeater in my own city was 37 minutes
> (excluding the thursday nite net) per
> 24 hours. And most of that was the
> ID's and time statements. And good
> luck on simplex too. I have tried on
> about 100 different times in the past
> year to establish a simplex contact.
> No luck there either. (snip)

It is the same way around here, and I know exactly what the problem is (around here anyway). One one hand, you have people talking about how the ham radio frequencies are under used, and how we may lose those frequencies if we cannot get people to use them more, while, at the same time, you have higher class operators berating Technicians whenever they get on the repeater and say something the higher class operators don't like. I've seen it happen over and over in this area. It's to the point now where most of the Technicians in this area will not talk on the radio to avoid being bad mouthed by some clown who thinks his higher license class gives him the right to dictate what others can and cannot say.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2064. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:26:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830062630.21490.00005309@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18308

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>It's to the point now where most of the Technicians in this area will
>not talk on the radio to avoid being bad mouthed by some clown who
>thinks his higher license class gives him the right to dictate what
>others can and cannot say.

Same thing happens here, and it only takes two or three to conduct this "campaign." The really odd part is the hams that do that probably believe that they are somehow saving Amateur Radio. I think the end result speaks for itself to prove them wrong.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2065. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:29:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830062916.21490.00005310@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18309

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Your perception is all screwed up. The codebashers come at us tooth and
>claw and you expect us to just roll over like you do.

My perception is that there is a lot more tooth and claw coming from your direction than any other. I, too, corrected Mr Drpfrench in his view of the usefulness of code, but I did not share your need to continue to hit him on the head and throw mud in his face. Your statement is just another version of, "Your honor, she *made* me beat her." You have attacked people you don't even know, so don't give us this tooth and claw nonsense.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2066. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:37:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830063722.21490.00005312@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18310

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Yeah, I noticed that when I declined to take the bait.

Dick, you didn't decline to take the bait, you answered the question, answered it wrong, then snidely told me that it was my problem if I didn't understand why you were right.

>That's why I said what I did, thought I should have noted the difference in the E
>field and power density.

In my opinion, you didn't understand the difference between a radiated field from an antenna and the resultant power density.

> Looks like a semi-'trick' question to me, and
>yes I know there were some on the tests.

It was only a "trick question" because you lacked the technical knowledge to understand it.

> When you're working them for a score you must note carefully and exactly the
> wording of the question.

You need to understand and use terms precisely when you are "working them" to get the right answers in real-world engineering, too.

>I wasn't working for an answer, just making an observation.

When I read your post, it looked like an answer to me.

>Ed doesn't lead me around by the nose, as he has surely noticed by now.

Surely you can't think this was about you, Dick. You sure can be quite a character at times. You can squirm all you want to try to claim that you really didn't intend to answer the question, but that "superior knowledge" that you claim you have left you with a bit of egg on your face on this one.

Ever consider going into politics? The post you just made ranks right up there with "it depends on what the word 'is' means."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2067. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:38:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830063838.21490.00005313@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18311

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>And no, I don't have any problem with you enjoying your privileges!
>You waited for them long enough. I'm just glad I got mine 18 years
>sooner!

And I got mine about 15 years before that by studying a 16-page study guide.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2068. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:41:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830064114.21490.00005314@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18312

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yep, they are--they say there shall be a 5wpm exam and for sure they
>can say what it takes to pass it.

They *can* say what it takes to pass it, but they don't. The rules do not dictate whether an exam can be passed with a multiple guess test, a fill in the blank test or one minute of solid copy. Cecil is correct that it is the ultimate discretion of the VE teams that prevail.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2069. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:43:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830064325.21490.00005315@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18313

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>There is no way for hams getting their licenses now to equal
>your perceived top status.

Yes, but after failing my test and answering the question about field strength incorrectly, he has low status here. And until I give that exact test again, he has no way of ever elevating himself from that position. Bruce, who answered that question correctly, will always be higher in status than Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2070. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:45:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830064519.21490.00005316@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18314

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Omygosh! Am I supposed to feel embarrassed or something now? Let
>me check…no, I gues snot

Larry, you didn't need to say that. I am sure that everyone here fully realizes that you have no shame. Even as a low-ranking member of the rrap caste, you somehow manage to think of yourself as equal to your betters.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2071. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:47:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830064717.21490.00005317@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18315

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Jim Kelley wrote:

>> CAM wrote:

>> > I was completely surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible
>> > position.

>> Now there's a perfect example of a lie.

>Who else thinks violation of the Internet attrubution standard
>is the right thing to do? Do you?

Well, no, it is not the right thing to do, but that is not what Jim said. He
said that he felt that your expression of "complete suprise" was a lie.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2072. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 10:51:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830065117.21490.00005318@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18316

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Well, an AOL customer sent me an email saying it would fix the
>problem and that the AOL newsreader was not a bastard. So can
>the problem be fixed?

Well, I may not know how to use the AOL newsreader. I post by highlighting the following line in the original post, then clicking the quote button. This is clearly what Steve does, only he highlights the first three lines. The following appears on my Reply Window:

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

I then use the delete key to make it say:

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

and then I highlight the text I want to respond to and click quote. The following appears in my Reply Window:

>Well, an AOL customer sent me an email saying it would fix the
>problem and that the AOL newsreader was not a bastard. So can
>the problem be fixed?

I then go on to type my own comments.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2073. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 11:27:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830072707.01618.00001865@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18317

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Any test is a joke if you have been given the exact answers on a silver
>platter well in advance of the test date so as to learn all the word
>associations needed to match an answer with its question with no need
>to learn anything about the content of the subject matter.

Dick, the premise that most people who become hams are choosing to do so
because they don't want to learn anything is ridiculous on its face.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2074. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 13:00:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830090024.14635.00006084@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18318

>"Your honor, she *made* me beat her."

And She desereved it, just like these No-Code CBers.

2075. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 14:42:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E50EB.788179FB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18319

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Yep, they are--they say there shall be a 5wpm exam and for sure they
> >can say what it takes to pass it.
>
> They *can* say what it takes to pass it, but they don't. The rules do not
> dictate whether an exam can be passed with a multiple guess test, a fill in the
> blank test or one minute of solid copy. Cecil is correct that it is the
> ultimate discretion of the VE teams that prevail.

Yep, when I took my Extra written exam, the *VE team* announced:

No multiple choice exams will be offered for Morse code testing.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2076. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 14:49:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E5267.96A8F204@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18320

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Well, an AOL customer sent me an email saying it would fix the
> >problem and that the AOL newsreader was not a bastard. So can
> >the problem be fixed?
>
> Well, I may not know how to use the AOL newsreader. I post by highlighting the
> following line in the original post, then clicking the quote button. This is
> clearly what Steve does, only he highlights the first three lines. The
> following appears on my Reply Window:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> I then use the delete key to make it say:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> and then I highlight the text I want to respond to and click quote. The
> following appears in my Reply Window:
>
> >Well, an AOL customer sent me an email saying it would fix the
> >problem and that the AOL newsreader was not a bastard. So can
> >the problem be fixed?
>
> I then go on to type my own comments.

Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2077. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 14:54:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E53BC.A85203F0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18321

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Who else thinks violation of the Internet attrubution standard
> >is the right thing to do? Do you?
>
> Well, no, it is not the right thing to do, but that is not what Jim said. He
> said that he felt that your expression of "complete suprise" was a lie.

Remember, I'm a digital engineer. My surprise is either complete (logic 1)
or I am not surprised at all (logic 0).
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2078. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 14:57:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E5468.4EF46EB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18322

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Anyone who doubts it needs to check out a little history. Not that long
> ago hams had all microwave frequencies. What small amount that remains
> is again under threat, and I believe we'll be lucky to ultmiately hold 2
> meters and half of 70cm.

Maybe if we hams had had as much interest in microwave as we did
in UHF we would still have more of it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2079. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 15:23:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mllqb$6b1$0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 18323

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Anyone who doubts it needs to check out a little history. Not that long
> > ago hams had all microwave frequencies. What small amount that remains
> > is again under threat, and I believe we'll be lucky to ultmiately hold 2
> > meters and half of 70cm.
>
> Maybe if we hams had had as much interest in microwave as we did
> in UHF we would still have more of it.

I doubt it. We were given all that spectrum simply because no one else had any use for it, and there might be a few hams who would experiment and come up with uses for it. There were no satellites or any of the many other routine applications in place today. The least need for that spectrum today is the amateur radio service. Why should we expect to keep it?

2080. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 15:35:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830113535.01539.00005165@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18324

>Maybe if we hams had had as much interest in microwave as we did
>in UHF we would still have more of it.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving are VHF and UHF

2081. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 15:45:59 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <gnnsotc760rmhfaf7obilr6bg225fkjknf@4ax.com>
Source record: 18325

On Thu, 30 Aug 2001 03:27:22 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>And good
>> luck on simplex too. I have tried on
>> about 100 different times in the past
>> year to establish a simplex contact.
>> No luck there either.

A little off topic, but that's ok.

I always use 146.52 simplex on the road mostly out of curiosity and I get about one response out of maybe 10-15 CQ's. Somehow, I like the random simplex QSO better than using a repeater.

I wonder if my calling CQ instead of just saying "monitoring" puts off some people?

Bill, W7TI

2082. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 15:58:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mlnrdbcn0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 18326

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Yeah, I noticed that when I declined to take the bait.
>
> Dick, you didn't decline to take the bait, you answered the question, answered
> it wrong, then snidely told me that it was my problem if I didn't understand
> why you were right.
>

I offer a QUESTION--as in "Why don't you ....etc?" and you leap to
conclude that I worked out the answer and got it wrong. Whatta guy. What
makes you think that I or anyone else will automatically "work" any
question you post?

> >That's why I said what I did, though I should have noted the difference in
> the E
> >field and power density.
>
> In my opinion, you didn't understand the difference between a radiated field
> from an antenna and the resultant power density.
>
> > Looks like a semi-'trick' question to me, and
> >yes I know there were some on the tests.
>
> It was only a "trick question" because you lacked the technical knowledge to
> understand it.

No, it was a semi-trick question because you *made it to be* a
semi-trick question, deliberately. Just as the FCC sometimes did on
tests.

> > When you're working them for a score you must note carefully and exactly the
> > wording of the question.
>
> You need to understand and use terms precisely when you are "working them" to
> get the right answers in real-world engineering, too.
>

> >I wasn't working for an answer, just making an observation.>

> When I read your post, it looked like an answer to me.

Yeah, because you *wanted* it it to look like a WRONG answer so you
could use it to citicize me. Only a League functionary doing his thing

could ever expect to take a QUESTION-which is exactly what my post was- and twist it into a 'wrong answer' to suit his purposes, ie to use against a detractor.

If you were interested in imparting information regarding the decreasing RF field as a function of distance, you would word and phrase it such that the information was accurate and applicible, not some peripheral aspect of it such as using only the E field portion. I know, the E field is a part of it. But the diminishing RF field DOES reduce as a function of the square of the distance, of course you know that. And that was the object of my QUESTION that was NOT AN ANSWER to your 'problem'. But we all know that your primary goal is not to inform, rather just to slam detractors. We got it. You are a prime League bureaucrat, through and through, your protrestations to the contrary notwithstanding.

And now you'll say "Why should I want to do that?" Yeah, *why* indeed. I'M NOT PLAYING YOUR GAME.

Tell ya what Ed- I was working those sort of problems for the Signal Corps while you were hanging out in the Woodstock haze with the rest of the "ME generation" irresponsible. No, I don't do many of them these days. But you can be darn sure if I decide to "work" that or any other technical problem I *will* get it right, from research if I don't remember the details necessary. I have plenty of resource material at hand and I know how to use it- and DO. So get off your nonsense kick and stop attempting to "lead" the discussion. You are not the leader here. There is none. Your attempts to slam me are failed. Get over it.

And may I suggest that you go soak your head?

2083. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:04:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mlo71$c8c$0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 18327

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Any test is a joke if you have been given the exact answers on a silver
> >platter well in advance of the test date so as to learn all the word
> >associations needed to match an answer with its question with no need
> >to learn anything about the content of the subject matter.
>
> Dick, the premise that most people who become hams are choosing to do so
> because they don't want to learn anything is ridiculous on its face.
>

Your premise that ham radio applicants relish the idea of learning
enough electronics to pass a 1000 question (potential) exam is
ludicrous. What they REALLY want to do is pass the test(s), usually one
at a time. So they "study the tests"-one at a time- with all the exact
questions and exact answers, with the primary view that matching the
answers with their questions is the way to pass that or any test. If it
is made much easier by the fact that the questions and answera are
provided, why not take advantage of it?
Only those who hide in caves -or have some reason to refute the facts-
could assume otherwise.

2084. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:05:09 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8E6435.334B6D5A@uci.edu>
Source record: 18328

CAM wrote:
> > "The earth is flat" is simply an incorrect statement. According to
> > Mirriam Websters Collegiate Tenth Edition, the story teller must have
> > the intent to mislead or deceive in order for it to be a lie.

> That's a lie, Jim.

No. It's a quote.

>"To create a false and misleading impression"
> doesn't require "the intent to mislead or deceive". All it requires
> is that it be a false statement.

The impression is either created by the teller, or it is created by the
person being impressed.

> The creation of a false and
> misleading impression can even be accidental. It's still a lie.

I disagree. But if you like, I will in the future refer to your
disingenuous prevarications as lies.

2085. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:12:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mloludmm0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 18329

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Your perception is all screwed up. The codebashers come at us tooth and
> >claw and you expect us to just roll over like you do.
>
> My perception is that there is a lot more tooth and claw coming from your
> direction than any other. I, too, corrected Mr Drpfrench in his view of the
> usefulness of code, but I did not share your need to continue to hit him on the
> head and throw mud in his face.

Yeh, and he didn't keep bashing you either, did he? I have no idea
where he came from, he just showed up with his crap. He can go back
anytime.

Your statement is just another version of,
> "Your honor, she *made* me beat her." You have attacked people you don't even
> know, so don't give us this tooth and claw nonsense.

Bullhockey. I 'attack' no one except the ARRL and the FCC for their
allowing ham radio to slide into status that would more accurately fit
CB. Any other perctption is merely that- your perception.
This nonesense has been in progress for years and shows no sign of
abating, given the propensity of the dingaling class line Frenchy to
keep arriving with their stupidity.

2086. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:15:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mloqmdmm1@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 18330

CAM wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >Yep, they are--they say there shall be a 5wpm exam and for sure they
> > >can say what it takes to pass it.
> >
> > They *can* say what it takes to pass it, but they don't. The rules do not
> > dictate whether an exam can be passed with a multiple guess test, a fill in the
> > blank test or one minute of solid copy. Cecil is correct that it is the
> > ultimate discretion of the VE teams that prevail.
>
> Yep, when I took my Extra written exam, the *VE team* announced:
>
> No multiple choice exams will be offered for Morse code testing.

And that was the decision of the VEC Cordinating Committee, not that
particular VE team. Any VE team making that sort of rule on their own is
in violation.

2087. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:17:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mlov6dmm2@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 18331

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >It's to the point now where most of the Technicians in this area will
> >not talk on the radio to avoid being bad mouthed by some clown who
> >thinks his higher license class gives him the right to dictate what
> >others can and cannot say.
>
> Same thing happens here, and it only takes two or three to conduct this
> "campaign." The really odd part is the hams that do that probably believe that
> they are somehow saving Amateur Radio. I think the end result speaks for
> itself to prove them wrong.

Yep, there is no saving ham radio from total CBization. It has been
underwaay for a long time and will ultimately prevail, with plenty of
help from hams like you.

2088. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:20:12 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8E67BC.690A9329@uci.edu>
Source record: 18332

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > I was completely
> > > surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.
> > >
> > Now there's a perfect example of a lie.
>
> Who else thinks violation of the Internet attrubution standard
> is the right thing to do? Do you?

I don't actually care. How 'bout those Mariners.
```

2089. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:24:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8E68B0.37548C3C@uci.edu>
Source record: 18333

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > He just mad them angry and started fights.
> > Is that your objective?

> My objective is to alleviate my boredom until the grim reaper calls.

You know, it is actually possible to accomplish more than just that
while you're still around.

2090. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:26:26 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8E6932.D5E52648@uci.edu>
Source record: 18334

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > But the Bible did speak out against "spilling ones seed upon the
> > ground".
>
> I believe that was an admonition against birth control, not against
> masturbation.

Ah. That explains it. By the way, what's the difference?

2091. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:30:32 UTC
From: cross@augusta.math.psu.edu
Message-ID: <9mlpn8$9oe@augusta.math.psu.edu>
Source record: 18335

In article <9mlnrdbcn0@208.207.71.137>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Tell ya what Ed- I was working those sort of problems for the Signal
>Corps while you were hanging out in the Woodstock haze with the rest of
>the "ME generation" irresponsible. No, I don't do many of them these
>days. But you can be darn sure if I decide to "work" that or any other
>technical problem I *will* get it right, from research if I don't
>remember the details necessary. I have plenty of resource material at
>hand and I know how to use it- and DO. So get off your nonsense kick and
>stop attempting to "lead" the discussion. You are not the leader here.
>There is none. Your attempts to slam me are failed. Get over it.
>
> And may I suggest that you go soak your head?

Err, I'm sorry, but I just have to post here.

As a currently unlicensed guy who is going to go for an Extra in
October (and yes, I'm studying; not just memorizing the answers in the
back of the book), I take some serious exception to your attitude.

While you criticize those of us taking the, ``new'' exams, has it ever
occured to you that maybe the exam isn't the ultimate test of one's
knowledge of radio? Whose to say that once I pass the exams (assuming
I do pass) I'll stop trying to learn? I think, frankly, that that's
pretty presumptuous and rude.

What's more. You speak of your Army Signal Corps days, and the
training given to you there. Fine. However, with as sophisticated as
today's radio equipment has gotten, do *you* really understand how it
all works? The theory behind it? Consider a microprocessor controled
radio; can you explain to me how the uproc works? Can you tell me the
difference between a branch and a jump instruction? What is the
fundamental function of a DSP?

How about some of the packet modes? Can you explain to me the queuing
theory that goes into congestion control in a dynamically routed packet
switched store and forward network?

Here's an example question: How does congestion increase as the number
of stations on a non-switched, non-tokenized, simplex network
increases?

a) Logrithmically
b) Linearly
c) Quadratically
d) Exponentially

Can you answer that? It's directly relevant to packet radio operations. If you can answer it, I've got a few more that I'd love to ask you.

My point is this: It's easy to sit back and say, ``these kids these days....they don't work hard.'' But, bear in mind that your father's generation probably said the same thing about your generation. And did you work hard? Quite possibly. We work hard too, just at different things.

It really gets under my skin when a guy who most likely doesn't understand the first thing about computer science tells me that I don't know anything technical...all because I'm taking an exam he doesn't consider ``hard enough.'' Give me a break; that test doesn't prove anything except that I met the FCC requirements to get a license. If you *really* want to know what I work on, send me an email, and I'll be glad to fill you in. I doubt you'll keep up, though.

Even these 1960's exams you're going on about probably didn't prove anything other than that I knew enough second semester physics to meet the FCC requirements and get a license.

I mean, if you're like this on the air, and you're representative of the type of people I'm likely to encounter, I'm not so sure I want to bother with ham radio; what's the point? To be insulted by someone who arbitrarily thinks he ``knows'' better than someone else?

Well, that's really all I have to say on the matter.

- Dan C.

2092. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 16:32:18 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9mlpqi$pl2$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 18336

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Cecil, if you were still (if ever) an engineer and a colleague asked you
>for a reference to a technical parameter or "standard" and you responded with
>what you pass off as such, you'd be the laughing stock of the office.
>
> Here, in it's entirety, is the first post you made in "Netnews
>Attributions".
>
> At no point in the post do you make ANY reference to ANY documented
>"standard" by which this was suggested.
>
> At no point in any SUBSEQUENT post do you provide any rule, law or
>regulation that states that YOUR assertion is the one, true, and binding
>"standard" by which any of this is offered.

This has been a long conversation. If you really feel the need for
authority here's a working draft for an Internet Engineering Task
Force standard:

http://www.landfield.com/usefor/drafts/draft-ietf-usefor-article-05.txt

You might look at section 4.3.2. The IETF develops and maintains most
Internet protocol standards, and their documents can be cited in ANSI,
ISO and ITU standards, at least. They even have representation at
the ITU when anyone bothers to go (I once went to an ITU-T meeting on
their behalf).

Here's some other documentation. The first is a lot easier to read.

http://www.netmeister.org/news/learn2quote1.html
http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/software/good-netkeeping-seal/

Most of this could be deduced by observing the behaviour of most of
the people posting, or their software at least. I think I've gained
an understanding of why people think subband mode restrictions are
necessary in the US regulations even though most of the rest of the
world gets by with nothing more than voluntary bandplans.

Dennis Ferguson

2093. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 17:26:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E772B.8106E4A5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18337

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The creation of a false and
> > misleading impression can even be accidental. It's still a lie.
>
> I disagree.

Then you disagree with the dictionary which is your right but
not very conducive to communications.

From Webster's: "lie - an inaccurate or false statement"

"The earth is flat" is a lie even if the speaker believes it.
Every time you make an inaccurate or false statement, you are
lying. The only defense against being called a liar is proof
that your statements are true.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2094. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 17:28:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E77CB.32608E31@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18338

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> And that was the decision of the VEC Cordinating Committee, not that
> particular VE team. Any VE team making that sort of rule on their own is
> in violation.

Doubt it, Dick. Multiple choice Morse code exams were still being offered
by other VE teams.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2095. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 17:32:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E78C1.CAC77978@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18339

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yep, there is no saving ham radio from total CBization.

If the situation is so totally hopeless, why do you whine
so much about it? And where are those hoards of CBers and
freebanders who were going to invade amateur radio after
restructuring? Most of what has happened for a year and a
half now is upgrades by existing hams.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2096. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 17:38:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E7A1B.6531AA2D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18340

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I don't actually care. How 'bout those Mariners.

Did they score ten touchdowns in one game or what?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2097. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 17:42:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E7B1A.A34A553B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18341

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > But the Bible did speak out against "spilling ones seed upon the
> > > ground".
> >
> > I believe that was an admonition against birth control, not against
> > masturbation.
>
> Ah. That explains it. By the way, what's the difference?

What's the difference between birth control and masturbation?
Just how old are you anyway, Jim?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2098. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 17:45:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E7BA5.EE9057C3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18342

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Maybe if we hams had had as much interest in microwave as we did
> >in UHF we would still have more of it.
>
> Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving are VHF
> and UHF

There's one 2m repeater in my home county used by three or
four hams. With HF I can talk all over the world. For me,
there is no contest.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2099. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 18:17:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830141726.01609.00004794@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18343

>There's one 2m repeater in my home county used by three or
>four hams. With HF I can talk all over the world. For me,
>there is no contest.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Of course, and thats why 2 and 440 are probably gone before long. And how about that line of BS, that was told to us about the No-Code, and VHF, how they were going to save VHF from comercial groups, didnt believe then, and dont believe it now, about as much Bull on that one as there was with Restructuring

2100. Re: penis enalrgement - non sex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 18:40:46 UTC
From: Chris Johnson <cmjohnson@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3B8E897F.150BC239@cfl.rr.com>
Source record: 18344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sorry, but we just don't need this. If I take one more enlargement program, I'm going to need a bigger wheelbarrow!

CJ

tfrsfj@freemail.com wrote:
>
> sorry if this is off topic, but it is pretty good, and its not porn
>
> http://penispower3.devil.ru
>
> they have a medically proven system to increase the size of the penis, cure premature ejaculation and just generally make youe love life better, I checked it out, I have been using it for 4 weeks, and am seeing results already, take a look, see what you think, I reccommend it greatly.
>
> cheers,
>
> Mikey
>
> c
> omnmmbvtccwoewnwrukhqwmoqngripsdmxlzcsogqlpbxvynuifukqcynmgvgsvlsspzpvgeclrpuqdjpzuftxln
fkrzkhwdbmciiojyerfccmmtxnekywzrlxobeklpgvxwobmbswfkcmofmmlihqitzrxxshzidocpoldtmqsnbuyzbo
ovbkxgqjumkccpkfrkqwvpgqshhoeqmkpwmiinfpgqmxhxxlrebndwuoipbzsmnsojxndylcgjgycqkyducondxbmk
qgexxcndqeuzelvmykzerjcfbdppkrhpikykngmewlwccjc

2101. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 19:18:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8E9169.FC84E182@uci.edu>
Source record: 18345

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > The creation of a false and
> > > misleading impression can even be accidental. It's still a lie.
> >
> > I disagree.
>
> Then you disagree with the dictionary which is your right but
> not very conducive to communications.
>
> From Webster's: "lie - an inaccurate or false statement"
>
> "The earth is flat" is a lie even if the speaker believes it.

Not necessarily. The dictionary doesn't say that ANY inaccurate or
false statement is a lie. It says that a lie is a inaccurate or false
statement. A false statement can be a lie, but it isn't necessarily
one. The definition is for the word lie, not for the words 'false
statement'.

> Every time you make an inaccurate or false statement, you are
> lying. The only defense against being called a liar is proof
> that your statements are true.

I disagree, and so does the Tenth edition, which says "to make an untrue
statement with intent to deceive". If you don't like it, go argue with
Webster.
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2102. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 19:40:21 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8E96A5.232EAB6E@uci.edu>
Source record: 18346

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > But the Bible did speak out against "spilling ones seed upon the
> > > > ground".

> > > I believe that was an admonition against birth control, not against
> > > masturbation.

> > Ah. That explains it. By the way, what's the difference?

> What's the difference between birth control and masturbation?

How is it possible to get someone pregnant by jerking off here on the
newsgroup.

> Just how old are you anyway, Jim?

Try QRZ.

2103. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 19:54:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E99DA.A7510CF2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18347

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Every time you make an inaccurate or false statement, you are
> > lying. The only defense against being called a liar is proof
> > that your statements are true.
>
> I disagree, and so does the Tenth edition, which says "to make an untrue
> statement with intent to deceive".

Aha, selective quoting of the dictionary again. When are you guys
going to learn? *Any* dictionary definition of a word is acceptable,
not just the one definition of your choice. You, yourself, posted
this definition for "lie - to create a false or misleading impression".
Nothing specified about motive. You could accidentally or ignorantly
create a false or misleading impression and you would still be lying.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2104. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 19:55:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8E9A34.C89E8390@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18348

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Just how old are you anyway, Jim?
>
> Try QRZ.

QRZ doesn't display ages/birthdays any more.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2105. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 20:27:27 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8EA1AF.32388B96@uci.edu>
Source record: 18349

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Every time you make an inaccurate or false statement, you are
> > > lying. The only defense against being called a liar is proof
> > > that your statements are true.
> >
> > I disagree, and so does the Tenth edition, which says "to make an untrue
> > statement with intent to deceive".

> Aha, selective quoting of the dictionary again. When are you guys
> going to learn? *Any* dictionary definition of a word is acceptable,
> not just the one definition of your choice. You, yourself, posted
> this definition for "lie - to create a false or misleading impression".
> Nothing specified about motive. You could accidentally or ignorantly
> create a false or misleading impression and you would still be lying.

Depends on your definition of create, and who is doing the creating.
The intended definition means that the teller intends deliberately to
create a misleading impression - rather than the impression being
created in the mind of the listener, as you seem to imply.

Calling someone names does not make the namecaller a liar. One can
after all express ones opinion without being a liar. People that go
around calling people liars usually have some kind of sociopathic
problem. Are you looking for an excuse, Cecil?

2106. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 20:31:33 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8EA2A5.4DE5B820@uci.edu>
Source record: 18350

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Just how old are you anyway, Jim?
> >
> > Try QRZ.

> QRZ doesn't display ages/birthdays any more.

The CD I have always has. Will mine stop showing them too? :-)

2107. The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 20:59:29 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0108301259.f7d7c3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18351

The ITU-R study group (this is not IARU, but official ITU) has
issued their new recommendation for amateur radio operator license
qualifications. (This is not adopted yet, only a recommendation.)

They recommend:

---

1 that administrations take such measures as they judge
necessary to verify the operational and technical
qualifications of any person wishing to operate an
amateur station;

2 that any person seeking a licence to operate an amateur station
should demonstrate theoretical knowledge of:

- Radio Regulations
..... international
..... domestic

- Methods of radiocommunication
..... radiotelephony
..... radiotelegraphy
..... data and image

- Radio system theory
..... transmitters
..... receivers
..... antennas and propagation
..... measurements

- Radio emission safety

- Electromagnetic compatibility

- Avoidance and resolution of radio frequency interference.

Note that the language of paragraph 1 allows administrations to
continue Morse testing if they judge it necessary.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2108. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 21:01:27 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <38A6ED4A98963201.BA0C2BD765280A62.B8930029E405AC8E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 18352

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in
<yP6h7.19$of.13642@monger.newsread.com>:

>That brings a point up..... I got slammed a couple times for using the Q
>signals on VHF/UHF ranges. If normal language doesn't provide for clear
>and understood communications, what is wrong with using a Q signal to
>clarify something? The only "10 code" that I haven't come up with a
>ham radio equivalent is the "10-100" one. LOL

You mean QPP? :)

2109. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 21:13:09 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <F71A9BC0AED21FA2.1A3C3BD002B28DC2.5F99A52F94BF3047@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 18353

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
<20010821115545.08639.00007069@nso-cg.aol.com>:

>According to the last figures I saw, there was an insignificant
>increase, less than
>2,000 newly-licensed hams since April, '00. Again I ask -- where are
>the hoardes
>of eager, technically-qualified YOUNG enthusiasts?

Huh? A quick ULS search shows over 3000 licenses of type HA granted since
6/1/01. I can't imagine all those are upgrades, and vanities are HV.

Here we go...since 8/15 finally gets it down to a number the ULS can count
to...2355 licenses granted. From looking at the calls, it appears they're
maybe 1/3 upgrades.

2110. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 21:22:13 UTC
From: Beer Bottle <beer@bottle.com>
Message-ID: <98yj7.154187$EP6.43245101@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 18354

haha I hear you Cecil...

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B8D8941.5EBA6F97@IEEE.org...
> Beer Bottle wrote:
> > Why don't you guys argue semantics thru e-mail, ...
>
> We tried but the AOL email is even more screwed up than the newsreader.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2111. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 21:24:53 UTC
From: Beer Bottle <beer@bottle.com>
Message-ID: <Fayj7.154194$EP6.43247182@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 18355

The truth is your abuse of this Newsgroup.
Take it to e-mail. Noone cares about your sideshow. You guys are arguing usenet standards It is against usenet policy to post off topic, let alone make it a 100 message thread. Steve go visit the cemetary you spend way to much time on here arguing.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010829170544.00874.00003518@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/29/01 4:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3B8D542F.F248DA38@IEEE.org>
>
> >I was completely
> >surprised when Steve choose to defend an indefensible position.
>
> What's indefensible about the truth, Cecil...?!?!
>
> I did nothing wrong... Believed it...Said it..Stood by it...

2112. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 21:36:14 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <A09F74D25B536E13.9FE63BA3C5E9B221.22DBC25B67D202D8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 18356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in
<3B8CB000.C99BAB4@sccoast.net>:

> And, with that, you hit on the exact problem. Many situations are
>made far worse because people are simply not prepared for something
>bad to happen. And the impact of nearly all bad situations can be
>reduced with just a little preparedness.

Anybody who hasn't already taken those words to heart hasn't had to change a tire on the side of a 2-lane road at 1AM on a night with heavy fog, no flashlight, and no flares. Of course, this will always be a tire on the traffic side of the car, unless the curb side is 3" from a bridge rail.

The only light you get is from the cars you need to dodge.

Needless to say, KD5OUY is now under orders to keep flares and at least two flashlights (a glovebox sized one, and a big rechargeable with a 12v adapter in the trunk) in her car any time she expects me to fix a problem with it.

2113. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 21:50:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.giw9md1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 18357

On 30 Aug 2001 13:59:29 -0700, K0HB wrote:

>The ITU-R study group (this is not IARU, but official ITU) has
>issued their new recommendation for amateur radio operator license
>qualifications. (This is not adopted yet, only a recommendation.)

Sounds good to me.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2114. Re: Standard Response to SOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 22:02:21 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <totedl1s6peed0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 18358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, if any SOS or other emergency message comes
your way, stay calm, and do it in a LOGICAL order
to get the info you need.

That means, the FIRST thing you ALWAYS get is
WHERE! Not who, not what, not when, but WHERE.
If communications break down, knowing where means
someone can investigate. THEN worry about the
what.

Bottom line is try to get all the info you can, but the
'where' transcends all else in importance.

Hey, it's like an E911 hangup. Someone can dial 911,
not say a thing, not even complete the call in some
cases, but help will be on the way. Maybe the wrong
help, but that can be corrected later. ALWAYS get
the WHERE first!

Mike

2115. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 22:26:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8EBD85.C485A19E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18359

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Aha, selective quoting of the dictionary again. When are you guys
> > going to learn? *Any* dictionary definition of a word is acceptable,
> > not just the one definition of your choice. You, yourself, posted
> > this definition for "lie - to create a false or misleading impression".
> > Nothing specified about motive. You could accidentally or ignorantly
> > create a false or misleading impression and you would still be lying.
>
> Depends on your definition of create, and who is doing the creating.
> The intended definition means that the teller intends deliberately to
> create a misleading impression - rather than the impression being
> created in the mind of the listener, as you seem to imply.

You are reading far too much of your own personality into that definition,
Jim. I don't see "deliberate" mentioned any more times than I see
"accidental" mentioned.

> Calling someone names does not make the namecaller a liar. One can
> after all express ones opinion without being a liar.

If the opinion is false, the person is lying, by definition. You last
sentence above is a lie, according to Webster's. "lie - an inaccurate
or false statement".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2116. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 22:30:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8EBE74.950F5E67@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18360

K0HB wrote:
>
> The ITU-R study group (this is not IARU, but official ITU) has
> issued their new recommendation for amateur radio operator license
> qualifications. (This is not adopted yet, only a recommendation.)
>
> They recommend:
>
> --
>
> 1 that administrations take such measures as they judge
> necessary to verify the operational and technical
> qualifications of any person wishing to operate an
> amateur station;
>
> 2 that any person seeking a licence to operate an amateur station
> should demonstrate theoretical knowledge of:
>
> - Radio Regulations
> ..... international
> ..... domestic
>
> - Methods of radiocommunication
> ..... radiotelephony
> ..... radiotelegraphy
> ..... data and image
>
> - Radio system theory
> ..... transmitters
> ..... receivers
> ..... antennas and propagation
> ..... measurements
>
> - Radio emission safety
>
> - Electromagnetic compatibility
>
> - Avoidance and resolution of radio frequency interference.
> --
>
> Note that the language of paragraph 1 allows administrations to
> continue Morse testing if they judge it necessary.

I don't see *skill* mentioned anywhere, Hans. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2117. re: place vote:how many hams use single banded 10 meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 22:51:04 UTC
From: Hans K0HB <K0HB@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <01C131A6.B92F46E0.K0HB@qwest.net>
Source record: 18361

I have a 9-band Icom 775DSP and two single banders,
a Heath HW32 on 20 and a TenTec Scout on 40. Actually
the TenTec can run on other bands with additional modules,
but I use this little guy only in my RV for MidCars/WestCars/
EastCars, so don't need any other bands.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mail.mpls.uswest.net [204.147.80.9]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2118. re: morse code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 22:56:48 UTC
From: Hans K0HB <K0HB@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <01C131A7.86F9C5A0.K0HB@qwest.net>
Source record: 18362

Get her in touch with Morse2000 Outreach, a joint
program of Johns Hopkins Hospital and University
of Wisconsin.

Your girlfriend will find it perfect for her needs.

With all kinds wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
"The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on."

--
Posted from pop.mpls.uswest.net [204.147.80.9]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2119. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 23:02:19 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0108301502.cf8037d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18363

"KenF" <skydiver94@home.com> wrote in message news:<9v_i7.15170

> I am asking a question for my girlfriend who is disabled, and may like to
> get into HAM radio.

I should have mentioned that the URL for the Johns Hopkins/Univ of Wis.
program is http://www.uwec.edu/hss-or/Morse2000/

Have her check it out.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2120. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-30 23:02:38 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8EC60E.37D423C1@uci.edu>
Source record: 18364

CAM wrote:

> You are reading far too much of your own personality into that definition,
> Jim. I don't see "deliberate" mentioned any more times than I see
> "accidental" mentioned.

I'm afraid it is you who is being creative with the definition, Cecil.
The dictionary says that a lie is a false statement. It does not say
that a false statement is a lie. "What is it about" [set theory] "that
you don't understand?"

> If the opinion is false, the person is lying, by definition.

Wrong. One can only infer that if a person is lying then their
statement is false. You cannot legitimately infer the converse -
particularly since the meaning is explicitly stated in definition 1. of
the Tenth Edition.

If a liar is someone who tells, or has told "lies", then wouldn't almost
everyone by your definition, be a liar?

2121. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 00:12:54 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <aEAj7.55$Qt3.11234@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18365

First off.... the numbers and punctuation really throw me.... I have heard tricks for those but I still "don't get it" yet for those. There are about 4-5 letters that also throw me too. I seem to have good chunk of the letters down though.... Wanna know how I got from a few letters to about 20 letters? Believe it or not, sending as opposed to trying to recieve. Maybe with some more practice I will have the numbers etc. down also.

Ryan

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010829185609.01552.00001955@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net
>
> >I am giving it a couple more tries (on top of the 9 or so tries) and if I
> don't get it....
> >don't know if I will try again. At least I gave it a valiant effort.
>
> Either email me or post here with an indication of what seems to be
getting in
> the way of passing the test. I can offer some pointers. For some that
are
> trying to learn the code, it really is a difficulty in aurally
distinguishing
> dits from dahs. In that case, I suggest that one stay with A, N, R and K
until
> they overcome that hurdle. What tends to happen is that all of a sudden,
the
> mental connection is made and learning proceeds smoothly from there.
>
> In other cases, those pesty numbers or the more "difficult" letters are
the
> problem. I can think of no real way around that except for practice.
>
> Let me know if I can help. All I will ask in return is one CW QSO
sometime
> after you pass! :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed, W1RFI
>

2122. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 00:12:55 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <bEAj7.56$Qt3.11430@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 18366

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9mi10p$c9f$0@208.206.142.80...
>
>
> Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > One thing that does piss me off is that I would have been a ham back in
1988
> > if the VE team that tested me followed the rules instead of their own.
I
> > still have plenty of novice and tech CSCE's laying around from then till
> > last year, but back then, I actually did pass the code test by all
rights (1
> > solid minute of copy exception) but this team chose to only allow fill
in
> > the blank as their only method of the answer sheet. I had one minute of
> > solid copy but they did not accept that.
>
>
>
> That was a rank mistake by that VE team that should not have been
> allowed to pass. You had every right to protest to their VEC if they
> insisted on disallowing the one minute solid copy. I wish you had.

Too late now.... did some research and they have passed on... Back then in
'88 they were all at least 65 or older..... Oh well, ain't gonna cry over
spilled milk much longer....

Ryan

2123. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 00:50:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8EDF56.67B037BA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18367

CAM wrote:
>
> Then you disagree with the dictionary
> which is your right but not very
> conducive to communications.
>
> From Webster's: "lie - an inaccurate
> or false statement"
>
> "The earth is flat" is a lie even if
> the speaker believes it. Every time
> you make an inaccurate or false
> statement, you are lying. The only
> defense against being called a liar
> is proof that your statements are
> true.

Since you're talking about the subject, let me interject a couple of questions while I have the opportunity. A lot of people today seem to want to apply evil intent to those years ago who insisted the world was flat (they were trying to keep people ignorant of the truth, or whatever). However, I just don't see that. What I see instead is a simple misunderstanding of the world around them (the very limited world they knew at the time), and doubts about the evidence that tended to show that the accepted ideas about the world were wrong. And even that should not be unexpected - people do tend to hang onto what they think they know, in spite of evidence that might show otherwise.

Anyway, without getting into a huge debate (the reason I've avoided asking these questions in those other discussions), why would people see evil intent in these simple historical misconceptions? For that matter, why do so many people want to see evil intent in just about every major event in history (like all history has to be trashed, or something)?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2124. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 00:56:24 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830205624.04362.00003158@mb-fz.news.cs.com>
Source record: 18368

>>The ITU-R study group (this is not IARU, but official ITU) has
>>issued their new recommendation for amateur radio operator license
>>qualifications. (This is not adopted yet, only a recommendation.)
>
> Sounds good to me.....

Seconded!

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2125. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:03:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830210356.22565.00007167@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18369

From: "Ryan KC8PMX"

>First off.... the numbers and punctuation really throw me.... I have heard
>tricks for those but I still "don't get it" yet for those. There are about
>4-5 letters that also throw me too. I seem to have good chunk of the
>letters down though.... Wanna know how I got from a few letters to about 20
>letters? Believe it or not, sending as opposed to trying to recieve. Maybe
>with some more practice I will have the numbers etc. down also.

I found the numbers to be a bit tough, too, at least the numbers 6-9. 1-5 came pretty easily, because the number of dits equals the number, but 6-9 just took a bit of practice. I understood the system, but at 5 wpm, things are just too fast to count backwards. What I recommend is that you spend a week of your practice time ONLY on the numbers. All of a sudden, they will click and you will wonder why you found them so hard. :-)

As to the letters, more than likely Q, F, L, Y, etc. Maybe another week of ONLY those letters that you consider to be giving you trouble will fill it in for you.

As to sending, I would say that whatever works is fine. If so, though, I would guess that you really don't have the problem letters as well memorized as you might like. And once you think you really have them cold, it would probably be beneficial to switch back to receive only, because that is the test you are going to be given.

The other thing that worked for me was to spend every minute driving and sitting in traffic going over the letters on street signs in my mind, or even out loud. (Just make sure that driving is the #1 priority!) If you do, make sure that you us dit-daaah, to give you the real rhythm of the code.

I presume you are using Farnsworth spacing in your receive practice, at a pitch of about 750 Hz. Farnsworth is the norm at test sessions.

Are there any possible hearing or mental-processing issues with your code copying? If so, asking for a different tone, or a blinking light or mechanical buzzer instead of the normal 750 Hz would be appropriate. Ditto on Farnsworth vs non-Farnsworth. These are generally done as accomodations for medical disabilities on request and if that is the case, the VE team can tell you what they will require for documentation. There are more serious disability accomodations, but I presume from what you have said that those don't apply. Even the ones I mentioned probably don't apply in your case, it does sound to me like a memorization issue, and, unfortunately, that can take time. I have seen people learn the code in as little as 3 days; others have really worked at it for a year, and I *do* mean worked. But I think you are on the right track in looking to upgrade; any changes to the code testing are literally years

away, if at all, and there is a world of fun on HF awaiting. And, once you pass, don't be surprised if you end up liking the code. Some of really do! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI -- 99.9% CW!

2126. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:10:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830211043.22565.00007172@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18370

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And that was the decision of the VEC Cordinating Committee, not that
>particular VE team. Any VE team making that sort of rule on their own is
>in violation.

Yes, but they are in violation of the guidelines of their VEC, not in violation of FCC rules, no? Please do correct me if I am wrong; I am working only from memory and my understanding of an area for which I have little experience.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2127. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:13:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830211334.22565.00007173@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18371

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yeh, and he didn't keep bashing you either, did he?

No, he didn't. I disagreed with him in a way that was not disrespectful and he responded to me in kind.

>Bullhockey. I 'attack' no one ...

>given the propensity of the dingaling class line Frenchy to
>keep arriving with their stupidity.

Now, are you sure that Drpfrench won't see that as an attack? I don't mind your convincing yourself that you are being nice to others here, but at least try to be consistent within each post.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2128. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:15:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830211550.22565.00007174@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18372

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Of course, and thats why 2 and 440 are probably gone before long. And how
>about
>that line of BS, that was told to us about the No-Code, and VHF, how they
>were
>going to save VHF from comercial groups, didnt believe then, and dont believe
>it now, about as much Bull on that one as there was with Restructuring

How much of the 2M and 70 cm bands HAVE been lost since the introduction of the
no-code license, Bruce?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2129. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:21:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8EE690.A38BE5D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18373

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> A little off topic, but that's ok.
>
> I always use 146.52 simplex on the
> road mostly out of curiosity and I
> get about one response out of maybe
> 10-15 CQ's. Somehow, I like the
> random simplex QSO better than
> using a repeater.
>
> I wonder if my calling CQ instead
> of just saying "monitoring" puts
> off some people?

You've have better luck than I did on 146.52. In a recent trip across the country (Washington through California, and then I-10 across the southern US), I was only able to make three contacts.

One was a guy in Northern California (just north of Sacramento). This old guy was so drunk, I don't think he had any idea what frequency he was on. The second was a very short conversation between Phoenix and Tucson (real weak). The third was a really interesting station in central Texas. This guy first made contact with me just east of Fort Stockton and continued to talk to me off and on over the next 200 miles. He had to have had one heck of an antenna system to keep picking up my little 5w FM handheld, hooked to a simple external mobile antenna, over that great distance. I was impressed.

Anyway, other than those three contacts, I never heard a sound on 146.52. This was in spite of the fact that my wife and I talked repeatedly on that frequency over the entire distance (we drove two vehicles). I must have asked 500 times for anyone listening to just jump in whenever they want.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2130. Re: True Confessions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:28:24 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YKBj7.4886$KV3.381851@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18374

Number one rule: "You will NOT break my meters"
Number two rule: "You will NOT go to sleep in my class."

Ah yes, professor Amato. Broke the desk out from under one guy who fell
asleep in class. No one slept in his class again - ever.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010828211418.01644.00002071@mb-ck.news.cs.com...
> >Oooops...I just hope you didn't leave it plugged in!
>
> In college, our electronics teacher would assess massive penalties to your
> lecture grades if he caught you making, what he referred to as "dufus"
mistakes
> in lab. Needless to say, soldering iron carelessness was high on his list.
:-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

2131. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:30:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830213056.22565.00007175@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18375

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Dick, you didn't decline to take the bait, you answered the question,
>> answered it wrong, then snidely told me that it was my problem if I didn't
>> understand why you were right.

> I offer a QUESTION--as in "Why don't you ....etc?" and you leap to
>conclude that I worked out the answer and got it wrong. Whatta guy. What
>makes you think that I or anyone else will automatically "work" any
>question you post?

What "work" was involved, Dick? I deliberately chose a question that involved no math whatsoever beyond a simple head division by 2. You must have "worked" something because you divided the 2V/m by 4. You did more "work" than you had to, and still got it wrong, then you are trying to tell us that you got it wrong because you didn't do all the work my question required? Pretty thin ice on that one, Dick. Why can't you just admit that you learned something new and move on?

>> It was only a "trick question" because you lacked the technical knowledge
>> to understand it.
>
> No, it was a semi-trick question because you *made it to be* a
>semi-trick question, deliberately. Just as the FCC sometimes did on
>tests.

In what way? I made it clear in two ways that I was talking about the radiated field from the antenna, both by using the term field strength, and making it very clear that the field strength was expressed in volts/meter. To anyone who understands just what a field is and how they vary near an antenna, it was not a trick question at all.

>> When I read your post, it looked like an answer to me.

> Yeah, because you *wanted* it it to look like a WRONG answer so you
>could use it to citicize me.

It didn't look like a wrong answer, it WAS a wrong answer.

> Only a League functionary doing his thing
>could ever expect to take a QUESTION-which is exactly what my post was-
>and twist it into a 'wrong answer' to suit his purposes, ie to use
>against a detractor.

I don't recall a question in your post, Dick. You said that the answer was 0.5 V/m. And do you really believe that my function at ARRL is to trick you on rrap? Man, that is really getting out there!

> If you were interested in imparting information regarding the
>decreasing RF field as a function of distance, you would word and phrase
>it such that the information was accurate and applicible, not some
>peripheral aspect of it such as using only the E field portion. I know,
>the E field is a part of it. But the diminishing RF field DOES reduce as
>a function of the square of the distance, of course you know that.

No, no, no, no, no! A diminishing field from an antenna diminishes inversely with distance in the far field. The E field is NOT "part" of the E field, it *is* the E field, just like the magnetic field that is radiated by an antenna is the magnetic field. They are related, but either field from the antenna varies as the inverse of the distance. Again, it is clear that you do not understand what a field is. Field strength applies to fields. There is nothing tricky about it.

> You are a prime League bureaucrat, through and through, your protrestations
> to the contrary notwithstanding.

> And now you'll say "Why should I want to do that?" Yeah, *why* indeed.
> I'M NOT PLAYING YOUR GAME.

I guess you can't answer that question either, Dick. But if you really believe that my function at ARRL is to trick you on rrap, I truly cannot help you. I feel comfortable letting those who read my posts here decide that for themselves.

> Tell ya what Ed- I was working those sort of problems for the Signal
>Corps while you were hanging out in the Woodstock haze with the rest of
>the "ME generation" irresponsible. No, I don't do many of them these
>days. But you can be darn sure if I decide to "work" that or any other
>technical problem I *will* get it right, from research if I don't
>remember the details necessary. I have plenty of resource material at
>hand and I know how to use it- and DO.
> So get off your nonsense kick and stop attempting to "lead" the discussion.
You
> are not the leader here. There is none. Your attempts to slam me are failed.
Get
> over it.

And now you think that I am somehow trying to control you? You are right, there is no leader here, nor is there a moderator, so your demands and attempts to prevent me from posting will do you no good at all. Get over it.

> And may I suggest that you go soak your head?

Do you think that will make your wrong answer correct? I guess that is the best you can do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2132. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 01:43:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8EEBBD.9CC0769C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18376

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Yep, there is no saving ham radio
> from total CBization. It has been
> underwaay for a long time and will
> ultimately prevail, with plenty of
> help from hams like you.

Okay, Dick, I'll bite. If ham operators are not supposed to have conversations ("CB-like chit-chat," as your side likes to call it) on the radio, what in the heck are we supposed to be doing with ham radio? If we're only allowed to do is test our equipment, or run contests, than there really is no need for the massive amount of radio bandwidth we have (we could easily do that with just a few frequencies). The FCC didn't give us all this bandwidth for most of it to just sit idle, with the occasional radio check. In the end, if the bands are going sit unused, then I have to agree with those who say that ham radio is nothing more than a huge waste of valuable radio bandwidth. In other words, if your side prevails, perhaps it will be time to reallocate most of those wasted radio frequencies.

By the way, let me add one more thing at this point - what exactly are you doing differently with CW than the Technicians are doing? I've listened to an almost endless number of CW contacts, and all I've ever heard is idle "CB-like chit-chat." If you're so concerned about idle "chit-chat," why don't you start with the "chit-chat" by your fellow CW operators?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2133. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 02:42:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830224221.12858.00007381@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18377

In article <20010829062438.01941.00005592@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>All in all, these sound like surmountable problems. Once she is licensed, I
>will be glad to help work out answers to the challenges. In the meantime, if
>my help is needed at working out the details of any of the reasonable
>accomodations she may need to take her tests, let me know. The best address
>to
>use for me is w1rfi@aol.com or by phone at 860-594-0318.
>
>Please pass along my best wishes to her in becoming a ham.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

God bless you, Ed Hare!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2134. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 02:42:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830224222.12858.00007382@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18379

In article <9v_i7.15170$MK5.10104117@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>, "KenF"
<skydiver94@home.com> writes:

>
>I am not asking about a waiver for the Morse Code requirements. I am asking
>if it's possible for her to ONLY do Morse Code.

Ken:

The short answer: Yes, it certainly is. No mode is "required" from
an operational standpoint, although she will have to answer questions
about all modes while taking her license tests.

>She has severe Cerebral Palsy, and has no functional use of her arms or
>legs. She is also unable to communicate verbally.

I have a young lady friend with the exact same affliction. I know
where of you speak.

>Her primary means of communication is tilting her head back and forth to
>produce Morse Code. When she tilts her head left, it produces a dash; when
>she tilts her head right, it produces a dot.

Fortunately, my friend can use her hands to a much greater
extent...she can type quite well with her right hand, and she can even
get out of her wheelchair and walk, with assistance. However, she
can't speak intelligibly -- and I've tried to talk her into learning the Morse
Code to assist her in communicating more easily. The problem with
that was immediately obvious to her -- who would she communicate
with -- besides me?

>This Morse Code is then translated by a computer that she has mounted on her
>wheelchair. She controlls her computer fully by Morse Code, including mouse
>functions. Anything you can do on a computer, she can do too completely
>with Morse Code.

Cool.

>She has graduated from college, and has even earned a restricted skydivers
>license, so she is able to take written tests.

My friend is also a college graduate, but has been having difficulty in
finding employment. BTW -- I am a Paratransit operator here in Dover,
Delaware, and have several clients who have Cerebral Palsy -- all to
a different extent. Most of them are in rehabilitation programs under
the auspices of Easter Seals.

>So, on to my specific questions:
>
>1) Would her inability to communicate verbally keep her from getting a HAM
>license?

Not even a little bit. I have been a ham for 20 years, and almost never
talk into a microphone. I use mainly Morse/CW and the digital modes.
Your girlfriend can be a ham for the rest of her life and never have to pick
up a microphone!

>2) Are there any HAM PC interfaces that would allow her to communicate
>through her computer since would be unable to tune a HAM radio manually?

I'm sure that if they don't already exist, that a good software developer could
come up with it. To check out possible "off the shelf" technology, I suggest
you visit the website of the Handi-Hams organization:

http://www.mtn.org/handiham/

Controlling the radios is no problem these days, since most mid- to
high-end HF transceivers have a computer interface built in. Adapting
the "user" end of such interface through software design is the main
issue in order to accommodate your girlfriend's special needs. There
are no unsolvable problems here.

>3) Assuming there is such an interface, would it be able to send morse code
>directly, or through a translation interface?

Any such device, properly designed, should have both capabilities.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2135. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 02:42:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830224223.12858.00007383@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18378

In article <20010828222425.21574.00005458@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> Just don't demand "equality" with hams who had to succeed at a more
>> comprehensive and challenging licensing process, and don't insult our
>> intelligence by insisting that "dumbing down" from those standards is a
>good thing -- and we'll be just fine!
>
>I know, I know -- Amateur Radio will be ruined any day now, just everybody
>watch and see. Larry, trust me on this one; I acklnowledge that you took a
>more compreshensive test to become a fully qualified ham than I did. I also
>do not believe for one minute that you and I are equal.

Ed:

I don't believe that we are equal as hams either! As I've said already, you have technical skills far in excess of my own, and I'll be the first to admit it. The fact that you developed these skills entirely within the context of the amateur radio hobby is neither surprising or significant. The fact is that you have them, and I don't. However, you are not one of the people whining about not being seen as equal in "status" due to the fact that they have waited for Restructuring and finally ascended through to full privileges, without having made the effort to succeed under the previous licensing standards. In other words, I have no argument with you. Why are you defending yourself to me?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2136. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 02:42:25 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830224225.12858.00007385@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18380

In article <20010829064858.01941.00005601@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Hams like myself, who have attained the highest
>>"status" the amateur licensing system can provide, don't give
>>this "status" much thought on a personal, day-to-day level.
>
>Well you sure coulda' fooled me, Larry; "status" is just about all you ever
>talk about here. Of course, it is helpful to know that you don't give any
>thought to the primary subject of your posts. It does help me better
>understand why you say some of the things you do.

Ed:

99.99% of my posts are replies to something someone else has
already posted. In these replies, I give my own opinions, and
whatever factual information I can provide -- if that's what was asked
for. I rather suspect that the vast majority of Usenet newsgroup
participants operate in pretty much the same way I do. Therefore,
I don't think I give my postings any more, and certainly no less,
thought than anyone else does. Your naughty little dig is now
officially nullified.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2137. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 02:42:25 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830224225.12858.00007384@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18381

In article <20010828223029.21574.00005461@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>"Courageous?" Sure. Self-serving and politically-correct? Absolutely!
>
>And just what is "politically correct" about what I said, Larry? Unless, of
>course, you define politically correct as anything that contradicts your view
>or expresses an opinion that you are less than the ideal ham that you believe
>yourself to be.

Ed:

"Politically Correct" means you employ language which deliberately panders to a group of people in whom you seek support for a particular party or ideology, or to gain their vote in order to secure political power for yourself and your party. Your "party" in this case is the ARRL, and your "ideology" is to attract newcomers to ham radio any way you can.

>IMHO, what you have said and done here has permanently
>disqualified you from the status that you believe you so richly deserve.

I am not seeking any "status" here, Ed -- I am merely responding to those who don't have any, can't get any, and spent the last X number of years avoiding taking any meaningful action to achieve it. I've had my "status" as an Extra-class amateur radio licensee since February, 1983, which predates my participation in this newsgroup by almost a decade. How I could be "disqualified" from whatever status you claim that I'm "seeking" is a mystery to me!

>>Just another day at the office for you, Ed -- I tell the truth, then you
>twist
>>it into something that takes the heat off of the ARRL -- which was
>>instrumental in creating our present situation. Nothing new here.
>
>I didn't see the word "ARRL" anywhere in my post, or in the post I responded
>to. What fantasy are you building now?

Fact, Ed, not fantasy. You are an ARRL employee, there is no secret about that. You represent ARRL as it's de facto spokesman in this newsgroup, whether you admit it or not. The opinions you express in this newsgroup "tow the company line." You have admitted as much yourself. Therein lies the truth in what I said below:

>>Ed -- you MAKE YOUR LIVING working at ARRL headquarters. You
>>simply MUST take an opposition viewpoint to hams like me who are
>>free to tell the truth about the current amateur radio culture and it's
>>underlying causes.
>
>No, Larry, I don't "must" take any position on rec.radio.amateur.policy. I
>must
>help the ARRL in its filings before the FCC. I must help hams with RFI
>problems. I must sign the Lab staff time cards every week. My boss thinks
>that
>rrap is a profound waste of time and could care less whether I post here or
>not. I am afraid, Larry, that you are building another fantasy here.

Ed, nobody here is questioning the fact that you know your job and do it
well. However, in this newsgroup, you are faced with people like me, who
do not approve of the way the ARRL has handled the code/no-code
issue, and the outcome of Restructuring. That is reality.

>>I understand, and don't hold this against you. I
>>can hold my ground on my own; I don't need you to validate me.
>
>No, you have made it pretty clear that the only validation you find necessary
>is the fact that you once passed what seemed to you at the time to be a
>difficult ham test. Pretty sad, actually, when you look at the truly useful
>validations done by many of your fellows over the years.

Ed, I have said before, and I reiterate this now: All I did when I ascended
through the "ranks" of the amateur radio licensing structure was what
tens of thousands of hams, people from all walks of life, have done
before and since, up to the Post-Restructuring era. Then, for some
unknown reason, a set of licensing requirements -- which were the
most comprehensive and challenging in the history of amateur radio,
and proven achievable by people from all walks of life, were discarded
in favor of hopefully attracting more newcomers to ham radio by
deliberately making the licensing process less challenging. This
course of action has not had the desired effect. Indeed, it has had
a highly negative effect, that of polarizing the body of radio amateurs
that are separated by Restructuring into groups who achieved the
previous standard and those who must now rightly face the ignominy
of being "dumbed down," albeit through no fault of their own. My
personal "validation" is not an issue here, and never has been. I
am addressing the issue, and speaking the truth about it. Nothing
more, nothing less.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2138. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:02:36 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0108301902.38016034@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18382

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9mk1vn$bq0$0@208.206.142.82>...
> N0YM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > James Bromley wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my opinion, it
> > > > > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC this
> > > > > week, methinks.)
> > > >
> > > > Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
> > > > Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
> > > considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
> > > accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.
> >
> > Actually, essentially identical questions now appear on the General. See the General
> > question pool, questions G0C01-G0C05:
> > http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/El3-2000.txt
> >
> > Who said things have gotten easier?
> >
>
>
> Jonathan: The day they published the question pool with all the exact
> questions and all their exact answers, things got infinitely easier.
> Some people posting here can't grasp the difference between that
> question/answer pool and a study guide that one uses to learn the
> material.
> I hope you do.

I don't see the issue in quite so cut-and-dry a manner. I've taken
classes on introductory and advanced electromagnetic theory, not to
mention circuits, etc. Essentially, I've been "given" the exact
answers, too. Does that disqualify me from taking the test?

I think most of us can agree that people who aquire ham licenses
should have certain level of knowledge, both to indicate preparation
for handling responsibilities and concerns of licensure and a certain
dedication toward the hobby. I don't believe either one of these
items means one should have an electrical engineering degree to be a

ham, or 20 years under one's belt before upgrading is possible.

There are several ways of learning. As I've mentioned before, I simply doubt one could word-associate the entirety of the question pools without actually learning some concepts along the way. But even if you could, learning the concepts is easier! Have you ever *tried* to word-associate your way through 1000 questions?

WA8ULX has indicated the test was easy for him. I would submit this is a direct result of his extensive experience as a ham, and perhaps an electronics hobbyist (I don't really know his background). As I see it, that is exactly as it should be.

An aquaintance of mine took the Extra test at the same time I did. He had just received a master's in EE (he's working on his Ph.D. now), had been a Tech Plus for awhile, and had lots of hobby experience in electronics (he's just about the best electronics technician I've ever known). He had studied hard, but only for the General. He passed the Extra -- barely.

IMHO, that test is *not* too easy.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

2139. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:07:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830230723.12858.00007390@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18384

In article <3B8D8B13.CFB32E5B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>How are you going to prove you are an upper caste ham without
>a callbook after you renew next time? Before you say there are
>no castes in ham radio, note that is exactly what you are doing.
>There is no way for hams getting their licenses now to equal
>your perceived top status. When people are barred from achieving
>top status no matter what they do, that's a caste system, Larry,
>pure and simple.

You'll never get it, will you, Cecil? I could care less about my
place in the ham radio "caste" system as you call it. It is those
who have always resented Amateur Extra-class hams who care
about that, and their "class envy" has created this notion of ham
radio being a "caste system" and other such nonsense.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2140. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:07:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830230724.12858.00007391@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18383

In article <3B8D8BC9.A5BCE1AA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'm just glad I got mine 18 years sooner!
>
>Since you consider such stuff important, I obtained all amateur
>operating privileges 30 years before you. What took you so long?

Cecil:

Don't look now, but I'm not nearly as old as you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2141. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:07:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010830230724.12858.00007392@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18385

In article <0darotstdlffgcfklcvcbibug1ti8slcqv@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>
>>You're just another whining weenie trying
>>to make me think I'm a bad person for supporting high standards in the
>>ARS (and other aspects of society).
>
>_____
>
>Does "high standards" in "other aspects of society" include using AOL,
>the no-code of ISP's?
>
>Talk about dumbing down!!
>

Bill:

I also use a "regular" ISP (magpage.com) and find it to be no more
difficult to use than AOL. The only difference is that AOL's
so-called "newsreader" has a much higher level of functionality than
the ones on Netscape or MSIE, the only other "browsers" I own. I
can't understand why everyone is always looking down their
pointed noses at AOL? Where is the "complexity" in the other
ISP's, or the "skill" needed to use them? It's just the same kind of
pointing and clicking to me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2142. Pirates on 20m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:23:59 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830232359.13207.00002730@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 18386

I suspected it would come to this. Tonight at 20:00 UTC I tuned across a LSB signal at 14.150mHz. It cought my ear. In listening to it for a while I learned one of the guys was on an FT-101. They signed with a promise to meet tomorrow night "on the regular one", and closed with no call signs. They did say they would be on LSB again.

So for those who said the decline of the cycle would mean an improvement on 10m, you mifgr be right; they'll move to a lower band that still has propagation!

2143. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:29:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F04AB.47AB0A5B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18388

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I'm afraid it is you who is being creative with the definition, Cecil.
> The dictionary says that a lie is a false statement. It does not say
> that a false statement is a lie.

Of course it says that a false statement is a lie, Jim. From
Webster's: "lie - an inaccurate or false statement". That could
not be any clearer.

> > If the opinion is false, the person is lying, by definition.
>
> Wrong.

See the above definition. Your argument is not with me, it is
with the dictionary.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2144. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:30:26 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0108301930.66a9fc0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18387

CAM wrote:

>
> I don't see *skill* mentioned anywhere, Hans.
>

Neither do I, but an administration could easily hang their
Morse hat on "operational qualifications" (paragraph 1).

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2145. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:31:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F051B.708AA996@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18389

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Anyway, without getting into a huge debate (the reason I've avoided
> asking these questions in those other discussions), why would people
> see evil intent in these simple historical misconceptions?

Dwight, a Flat Earth Society exists to this very day.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2146. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:34:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F05B5.8A42D961@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18390

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> You'll never get it, will you, Cecil? I could care less about my
> place in the ham radio "caste" system as you call it.

Oh really? Is that why you tell us at every opportunity what a
superior ham you are and how much better you are than the hams
presently receiving their Extra tickets?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2147. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:37:20 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830233720.18686.00005413@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 18391

Well put Dan. It is to bad that hams like Dick, Bruce and Larry are so set in their ways.
Fortunately they are few and far between. I am lucky that in the club I am involved with
we do not have any old hams like them. At least if we do they do not come to the
meetings. I am also studying for my extra upgrade, which I feel is a ways off, I am not
very good with math and I am not memorizing the questions. The older guys are helping
with the math. By the way, Dick more than likely will not answer your question. Bruce
never answers any of them.

73
John KF6VYH

2148. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:37:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F0688.9C292C09@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18392

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Since you consider such stuff important, I obtained all amateur
> >operating privileges 30 years before you. What took you so long?
>
> Don't look now, but I'm not nearly as old as you!

Well then, how can you possibly know what a Real Ham is? Only a
ham who has been licensed 50 years or more could know what a
Real Ham is. You are still wet behind the ears and simply do not
possess the experience necessary to make a rational decision.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2149. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:42:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F0788.7EEF3415@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18394

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I can't understand why everyone is always looking down their
> pointed noses at AOL? Where is the "complexity" in the other
> ISP's, or the "skill" needed to use them? It's just the same kind of
> pointing and clicking to me!

Larry, how do you get the attributions correct on AOL?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2150. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:42:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108301942.3323b01f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18393

"Green Hornet" <greenhornet@kato.com> wrote in message
news:<lhkh7.130227$EP6.36108040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>...
> This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
> consensus.
>
> How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
> 10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
> course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
>
> Please Cast your Vote ......
>
Depends on what group of hams you're polling, one size does *not* fit
all. I think your 10m single-bander question is for the Tech Pluses,
CBers, etc. who lurk only in that part of the spectrum. 10m is just
one of nine HF bands the rest of us have available so the vast
majority of the non-Tech Pluses use multiband HF xcvrs.
>
>
w3rv

2151. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:44:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F0813.9B111A72@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18395

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> If you're so concerned about idle
> "chit-chat," why don't you start with the "chit-chat" by your fellow
> CW operators?

Haven't you heard, Dwight? CW operators can do no wrong. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2152. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:52:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F0A01.76370159@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18398

K0HB wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I don't see *skill* mentioned anywhere, Hans.
>
> Neither do I, but an administration could easily hang their
> Morse hat on "operational qualifications" (paragraph 1).

Tsk, tsk, Hans, it was a joke and you deleted my smiley.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2153. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:53:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108301953.1084c0c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18396

k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) wrote in message
news:<b7c5262e.0108301259.f7d7c3b@posting.google.com>...
> The ITU-R study group (this is not IARU, but official ITU) has
> issued their new recommendation for amateur radio operator license
> qualifications. (This is not adopted yet, only a recommendation.)
>
>
> - Methods of radiocommunication
> ..... radiotelephony
> ..... radiotelegraphy
> ..... data and image
>
>
> Note that the language of paragraph 1 allows administrations to
> continue Morse testing if they judge it necessary.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
>
Here we freaking go again . . ! Jack up yer bandwidth, RRAP is gonna
cook next year . .
>
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
w3rv

2154. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:54:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830235420.06622.00007145@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18397

>WA8ULX has indicated the test was easy for him. I would submit this
>is a direct result of his extensive experience as a ham, and perhaps
>an electronics hobbyist (I don't really know his background). As I
>see it, that is exactly as it should be.
>

Wrong, what Im am stating is the Questions and Answers were a Joke, there was no real Questions in regards to theory, few if any were even trying to test my knowledge, the only use of Pencil was to mark the answers. Still cant figure out why that VE gave me Blank Sheets of paper,it sure wasnt needed to Calculate anything. I performed no math, most Questions were just common every day logic. I mean lets face it, it took me less than 8 Minutes to answer the Questions, and I dont remember but I think there were 50 Questions.
What Im saying is that if the Extra is the highest Amateur License, and that is determined by the present Written Test, then we have a serious problem.
And as far as being an Electronics Guru, I am not. And as far as me being an Amateur for awhile,that didnt help with the Answers either.

2155. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 03:59:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ETDj7.37815$zk4.1968248@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 18399

Does building and using antennas count?
Does building and marketing antennas count?
Does understanding, at least. the basics of RF theory and antenna
construction
count?
Does making repairs to one's own equipment count?
Does the ability to do at least a modicum of troubleshooting and repair to
one's station count?
Just what does one have to do to meet the original poster's idea of being a
"real" ham?

Jerry
K4KWH
W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010822175319.21541.00004263@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: antonelron@hotmail.com
>
> >The True Ham Builds.
>
> > Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
>
> > Your suggestions welcome.
>
> And the ham who routinely provides public-service communication but
doesnt'
> build says that the True Ham Provides Public Service Communication.
>
> There are many ways to be a good ham, and those that believe that only the
way
> that THEY do it is Worthy are way too narrowly focused.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2156. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 04:00:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F0BD9.DEC866B2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18402

WA8ULX wrote:
> What Im saying is that if the Extra is the highest Amateur License, and that
> is determined by the present Written Test, then we have a serious problem.

Remember the Extra exam is the *entrance* exam into the Extra class
and thus is a *minimum* level of requirements. The Extra class
license is NOT a graduation certificate. I thought it was easy
also but that is because I already knew the material. Anything
is easy if you already know it. Designing an Extra exam that would
tax my knowledge would flunk most of the present Extras.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2157. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 04:00:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831000027.06622.00007149@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18400

>Why
>are you defending yourself to me?
>
>73 de Larry, K3L

Hes not Larry, as you and I know hes trying to defend the FCC and ARRLs
actions.

2158. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 04:03:07 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831000307.06622.00007150@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18401

>Bruce
>never answers any of them.
>
>73
>John KF6VYH
>

BS CBer, I answer all of them

2159. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 04:05:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831000518.06622.00007151@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18403

>Well put Dan. It is to bad that hams like Dick, Bruce and Larry are so set in
>their ways.
>Fortunately they are few and far between.

You are dreaming, they might not be as Vocal on this group as Dick, Bruce and Larry are, but they are here.

2160. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 04:07:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831000722.06622.00007152@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18404

>I am lucky that in the club I am
>involved with
>we do not have any old hams like them.

You need to get out from that little Club your in and talk to some Real Hams,
you might find things a little different.

2161. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 04:21:40 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9mn4d5$cm3$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 18405

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0108301953.1084c0c1@posting.google.com...
> k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) wrote in message
news:<b7c5262e.0108301259.f7d7c3b@posting.google.com>...
> > The ITU-R study group (this is not IARU, but official ITU) has
> > issued their new recommendation for amateur radio operator license
> > qualifications. (This is not adopted yet, only a recommendation.)
> >
> >
> > - Methods of radiocommunication
> > ..... radiotelephony
> > ..... radiotelegraphy
> > ..... data and image
> >
> >
> > Note that the language of paragraph 1 allows administrations to
> > continue Morse testing if they judge it necessary.
> >
> > With all kind wishes,
> >
> >
> Here we freaking go again . . ! Jack up yer bandwidth, RRAP is gonna
> cook next year . .

It's about time, it's been kinda boring in here lately :^o

> >
> >
> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> w3rv
--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

2162. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 06:10:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mn9nu$t8j$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 18406

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And that was the decision of the VEC Cordinating Committee, not that
> >particular VE team. Any VE team making that sort of rule on their own is
> >in violation.
>
> Yes, but they are in violation of the guidelines of their VEC, not in violation
> of FCC rules, no? Please do correct me if I am wrong; I am working only from
> memory and my understanding of an area for which I have little experience.

I'm not sure how clearly the FCC rules on testing are now defined. But
any violation of VEC regulations should and I am sure can be viewed as a
violation of FCC rules. Anything else invites chaos.

2163. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 06:18:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mna84$t8j$1@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 18407

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Yeh, and he didn't keep bashing you either, did he?
>
> No, he didn't. I disagreed with him in a way that was not disrespectful and he
> responded to me in kind.
>
> >Bullhockey. I 'attack' no one ...
>
> >given the propensity of the dingaling class line Frenchy to
> >keep arriving with their stupidity.
>
> Now, are you sure that Drpfrench won't see that as an attack? I don't mind
> your convincing yourself that you are being nice to others here, but at least
> try to be consistent within each post.
>

Do I *really* need to remind you to go back and take a look at his
original posts here?? I thought so.

2164. Promoting fun radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 06:28:35 UTC
From: G8ASO &#65533; <great_steaming_loony@worcester.com>
Message-ID: <3b8f2e8b_1@news1.vip.uk.com>
Source record: 18408
Cross-posted to: alt.crazy-hams-435-repeater,alt.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Greetings

The Laughing Policeman Wireless Society http://www.laughingpoliceman.com have relentlessly promoted the hobby for over 10 years.
Have fun with your hobby! why not build a repeater bug? full construction details on our club site with updated tone burst schematic and timer diagrams.
With an old hand-held the total construction cost is under &#65533;100 for months of fun!

G8ASO

2165. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 06:29:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mnare$3q0$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 18409

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> First off.... the numbers and punctuation really throw me.... I have heard
> tricks for those but I still "don't get it" yet for those. There are about
> 4-5 letters that also throw me too. I seem to have good chunk of the
> letters down though.... Wanna know how I got from a few letters to about 20
> letters? Believe it or not, sending as opposed to trying to recieve. Maybe
> with some more practice I will have the numbers etc. down also.

I learned the code in 1956 by sending to myself. That was long before
there was wide availability of *any* code practice paraphrenalia
(cassette tapes hadn't been invented yet) and code practice was largely
listening on the air with whatever receiver you could come up with. And
to listen to code, you had to be able to recognize the characters, which
I learned to do by sending them with a buzzer. It's not the best nor an
easy way but it works.

To learn recognition of the numbers, just remember that all have 5
elements. 1 through 5 begin with dits, the number of dits being the
number itself, as .---- is one, ..--- is two, etc.
Then, above 5, they begin with dahs, and the number of dahs gives the
number above 5, ie -.... for six, --... seven etc. Once you practice
this awhile it will stick.

As Ed said, nothing works like practice. That includes recognition
practice.

Good luck.

Dick

2166. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 06:47:17 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b8f3082.133167376@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18410

On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 03:58:09 GMT, "Green Hornet"
<greenhornet@kato.com> wrote:

>This question came up in another group, and we are looking for a general
>consensus.
>
> How many Hams use a single banded 10M radio(i.e . rci 2950) as there main
>10 meter radio as compared to using a Multi Banded HF Rig which will of
>course work the HF spectrum, and some more...No Flames please!
>
>Please Cast your Vote ......
>
>
I do!!!
I use a HTX-10 10 meter Radio Shack Rig. I'v talk as far as
Argentina and Puerto Rico useing a Big Stick antenna.. On Field Day I
talked on SSB phone from Canadian Maritimes to Kansas on a dipole made
from 16 gage speaker wire. It is a shame it has been dicontinued for
it is not in the new Radio Shack catalog. It is a fun radio and I'm
glad I bought it when I did. :-)

Rick KC2ESD

2167. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 06:59:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mnckd$8js$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 18411

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Dick, you didn't decline to take the bait, you answered the question,
> >> answered it wrong, then snidely told me that it was my problem if I didn't
> >> understand why you were right.
>
> > I offer a QUESTION--as in "Why don't you ....etc?" and you leap to
> >conclude that I worked out the answer and got it wrong. Whatta guy. What
> >makes you think that I or anyone else will automatically "work" any
> >question you post?
>
> What "work" was involved, Dick?

Oh- you would rather that I just memorized the answer? Test questions
involving computations normally require one to "work" it out. Unless, of
course, they're in the pools....

I deliberately chose a question that involved
> no math whatsoever beyond a simple head division by 2. You must have "worked"
> something because you divided the 2V/m by 4. You did more "work" than you had
> to, and still got it wrong, then you are trying to tell us that you got it
> wrong because you didn't do all the work my question required? Pretty thin ice
> on that one, Dick. Why can't you just admit that you learned something new and
> move on?

Basically because that is not true. You would prefer I lie about it?

> I don't recall a question in your post, Dick.

Then I suggest you find my post and *read* it this time. You will
surely find the question (which takes up the entire post) this time.

You said that the answer was 0.5
> V/m.

I said no such thing and if you had actually read my post you'd know
that.

> And do you really believe that my function at ARRL is to trick you on
> rrap? Man, that is really getting out there!

I'm unsure just what your position at ARRL is all about. Once I thought
I knew.

>
> > If you were interested in imparting information regarding the
> >decreasing RF field as a function of distance, you would word and phrase
> >it such that the information was accurate and applicible, not some
> >peripheral aspect of it such as using only the E field portion. I know,
> >the E field is a part of it. But the diminishing RF field DOES reduce as
> >a function of the square of the distance, of course you know that.

> No, no, no, no, no!

YES, YES, YES, YES, YES!!! Quoting from the ARRL Antenna Book, 15th
edition, page 23-3: "Because of the relationship between voltage and
power, the power density therefore varies with the square roof of field
intensity, or inversely with the *square* of the distance".

That is what I was referring to. Yes, I know that the voltage function
is different. Ever hear of I^2 R?

A diminishing field from an antenna diminishes inversely
> with distance in the far field. The E field is NOT "part" of the E field, it
> *is* the E field, just like the magnetic field that is radiated by an antenna
> is the magnetic field. They are related, but either field from the antenna
> varies as the inverse of the distance. Again, it is clear that you do not
> understand what a field is.

Lets see, some grow corn in them, some grow wheat.... I'm talking about
the RF field. The power density is the sum of the electrical and
magnetic fields. That's what I -and the ARRL Antenna book- said varies
inversely with the square of the distance. So what? You tossed out a
question that has little relativity to ham radio on a practical level.
By the way, it was you who stated here on rrap that hams don't have a
need to be electrical engineers. I see you've Changed your mind. You
codebashers seem to always talk out of both sides of your mouths.

>
> > You are a prime League bureaucrat, through and through, your protrestations
> > to the contrary notwithstanding.
>
> > And now you'll say "Why should I want to do that?" Yeah, *why* indeed.
> > I'M NOT PLAYING YOUR GAME.

> I guess you can't answer that question either, Dick.

Why is it that you do so much guessing?

But if you really believe
> that my function at ARRL is to trick you on rrap, I truly cannot help you. I
> feel comfortable letting those who read my posts here decide that for
> themselves.
>
> > Tell ya what Ed- I was working those sort of problems for the Signal
> >Corps while you were hanging out in the Woodstock haze with the rest of
> >the "ME generation" irresponsible. No, I don't do many of them these
> >days. But you can be darn sure if I decide to "work" that or any other

> >technical problem I *will* get it right, from research if I don't
> >remember the details necessary. I have plenty of resource material at
> >hand and I know how to use it- and DO.
> > So get off your nonsense kick and stop attempting to "lead" the discussion.
> You
> > are not the leader here. There is none. Your attempts to slam me are failed.
> Get
> > over it.
>
> And now you think that I am somehow trying to control you? You are right,
> there is no leader here, nor is there a moderator, so your demands and attempts
> to prevent me from posting will do you no good at all. Get over it.
>

Be my guest, post all you want, whatever you want, I couldn't care
less.
Just get it right - some of us don't engage in your petty games.

> > And may I suggest that you go soak your head?
>
> Do you think that will make your wrong answer correct?

Not even if there had BEEN a wrong answer, which there was NOT.

You may soak your hemorrhoids as well. It appears you should be able to
handle both with one sitting.

> I guess that is the
> best you can do.
>

I try. That's better that's than some posting here.

2168. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 07:03:10 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831030310.01454.00002270@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 18412

Go back to bed, your goat is calling you.

2169. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 07:04:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mnct7$9ke$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 18413

N0YM wrote:
>
> > Jonathan: The day they published the question pool with all the exact
> > questions and all their exact answers, things got infinitely easier.
> > Some people posting here can't grasp the difference between that
> > question/answer pool and a study guide that one uses to learn the
> > material.
> > I hope you do.
>
> I don't see the issue in quite so cut-and-dry a manner. I've taken
> classes on introductory and advanced electromagnetic theory, not to
> mention circuits, etc. Essentially, I've been "given" the exact
> answers, too. Does that disqualify me from taking the test?

Well, what is it that makes you think you are the problem? It seems clear that for one who has had all that electronic education it really wouldn't matter much, would it? It's the people who come to the test with no background whatever and make as good a score as you and take away the same license as you do, yet have learned virtually nothing in the licensing process. There are lots of them out there, too. So how do we sort them? What it does is diminish the entire process and thus ham radio in its entirety.

2170. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 07:04:11 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831030411.01454.00002271@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 18414

>You are dreaming, they might not be as Vocal on this group as Dick, Bruce and
>Larry are, but they are here.

Wow a talking Dinosaur.

2171. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 07:05:55 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831030555.01454.00002272@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 18415

> You need to get out from that little Club your in and talk to some Real
>Hams,
>you might find things a little different.
>

I don't think so. Why you should get out of the bayou some time. Did you hear,
they have buggies without horses now.

2172. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 07:07:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mnd46$a5r$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 18416

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >WA8ULX has indicated the test was easy for him. I would submit this
> >is a direct result of his extensive experience as a ham, and perhaps
> >an electronics hobbyist (I don't really know his background). As I
> >see it, that is exactly as it should be.
> >
>
> Wrong, what Im am stating is the Questions and Answers were a Joke, there was
> no real Questions in regards to theory, few if any were even trying to test my
> knowledge, the only use of Pencil was to mark the answers.

I stated some time ago here that during the eight years I ran a VE
team that rarely did anyone turn in a test scratch sheet with anything
at all on it. (applicants were required to turn in their work/scratch
sheets where they worked out problems) I was immediately slammed as
"assuming" that just because the worksheets were blank that no one
calculated anything on the tests.
Yeah, right!

Still cant figure
> out why that VE gave me Blank Sheets of paper,it sure wasnt needed to Calculate
> anything. I performed no math, most Questions were just common every day logic.
> I mean lets face it, it took me less than 8 Minutes to answer the Questions,
> and I dont remember but I think there were 50 Questions.
> What Im saying is that if the Extra is the highest Amateur License, and that
> is determined by the present Written Test, then we have a serious problem.
> And as far as being an Electronics Guru, I am not. And as far as me being an
> Amateur for awhile,that didnt help with the Answers either.

2173. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 09:07:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8F53E2.5E512350@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18417

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Anyway, without getting into a
> > huge debate (the reason I've
> > avoided asking these questions in
> > those other discussions), why
> > would people see evil intent in
> > these simple historical misconceptions?
>
> Dwight, a Flat Earth Society exists to
> this very day.

I've never heard of this group. What do that do or what do they advocate?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2174. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 09:22:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B8F5737.4E17A4BF@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18418

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > If you're so concerned about idle
> > "chit-chat," why don't you start
> > with the "chit-chat" by your fellow
> > CW operators?
>
> Haven't you heard, Dwight? CW operators
> can do no wrong. :-)

Well, they certainly are wrong for trying to apply one standard to
others and another to themselves.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2175. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:40:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831064027.01509.00002407@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18419

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Then I suggest you find my post and *read* it this time. You will
>surely find the question (which takes up the entire post) this time.

You are correct. I apologize. I must have been confusing your answer with
Larry's.

You said:

> Why not just say that it varies inversly
> as the square of the distance
> like the multiple choice questions on the
> tests do? That way the newbies
> will have less trouble memorizing it.

And of course my answer to your question still stands. I would not say that
because it is wrong.

> I'm unsure just what your position at ARRL is all about. Once I thought
>I knew.

My position at ARRL is to manage the ARRL Laboratory, overseeing Product Reivew
testing, the ARRL Techncial Information Service and the Lab' support of the
ARRL publications. My personal work there involves RFI and spectrum matters.
You can read examples of my work in many of the ARRL filings with the FCC. My
position at ARRL does not involved getting on rrap and making you look bad,
Dick.

>> >But the diminishing RF field DOES reduce as
>> >a function of the square of the distance, of course you know that.

>> No, no, no, no, no!

>YES, YES, YES, YES, YES!!! Quoting from the ARRL Antenna Book, 15th
>edition, page 23-3: "Because of the relationship between voltage and
>power, the power density therefore varies with the square roof of field
>intensity, or inversely with the *square* of the distance".

No, Dick. Power density is NOT the same thing as a field. The field
strength from an antenna falls off as the inverse of the distance in the far
field. Free-space has an impedance, so, in the far-field, where the E and H
fields will have a relationship that corresponds to that impedance, power
density can be calculated using the formulae:

PD = (E^2) / 377
PD = (I^2) * 377

> That is what I was referring to.

In doing so, you are mixing up terms that belong separate. It would be no more accurate to mix up field strength and power density than it would be to mix up volts and watts, and use those terms interchangeably.

> A diminishing field from an antenna diminishes inversely
>> with distance in the far field. The E field is NOT "part" of the E field,
>it
>> *is* the E field, just like the magnetic field that is radiated by an
>antenna
>> is the magnetic field. They are related, but either field from the antenna
>> varies as the inverse of the distance. Again, it is clear that you do not
>> understand what a field is.

>The power density is the sum of the electrical and
>magnetic fields. That's what I -and the ARRL Antenna book- said varies
>inversely with the square of the distance.

My question asked how the the E field varies with distance. Your question in response asked why I didn't just tell them that "it" varies with the inverse square of the distance. In context, "it" can only mean the E field. "It" does NOT vary with the inverse square of the distance.

> So what? You tossed out a question that has little relativity to ham radio on
a
> practical level.

Sure it does. If I want to calculate my compliance with the FCC RF-exposure regulations, knowing that the fields vary as 1/D and that power density varies as 1/D^2 has a distinct practical application. You may feel that hams should not learn anything beyond practical applications, but I believe that a good foundation in fundamental theory is important.

>By the way, it was you who stated here on rrap that hams don't have a
>need to be electrical engineers. I see you've Changed your mind. You
>codebashers seem to always talk out of both sides of your mouths.

That is quite a stretch, Dick. I ask a question about the most fundamental aspect of radiated signals and now you think that I believe that hams need to be electrical engineers. Amazing.

Why do you call me a codebasher? I would estimate that about 99.5% of my on the air activity uses Morse code.

You can sure build a house of cards out of thin air, sometimes, Dick. Try getting more self control on that overactive imagination.

>> > And may I suggest that you go soak your head?

>> Do you think that will make your wrong answer correct?

> Not even if there had BEEN a wrong answer, which there was NOT.

You are correct, so let me rephrase that. Do you think my soaking my head will make your misunderstanding that fields and power density are the same thing be

correct? Do you think it will teach you the difference?

> You may soak your hemorrhoids as well. It appears you should be able to
>handle both with one sitting.

I can see that your imagination is not the only aspect of your personality that could benefit from some self control.

> I try. That's better that's than some posting here.

And if "soak your hemmoroids" is the best you can manage, the end result is about the same as those who don't try at all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2176. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:45:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831064510.01509.00002408@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18420

From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)

>Neither do I, but an administration could easily hang their
>Morse hat on "operational qualifications" (paragraph 1).

Or not. If this draft is implemented at the international level, the FCC still has to decide whether it is going to keep code testing, and in what form.

The really ironic part is that if the requirement is dropped, the only way that new hams will learn Morse code is voluntarily, like they voluntarily use other modes. Some of the things being said today by people who probably do believe that they are helping the mode are the very things that are going to make it hard to convince new hams that the mode is valuable, has utility and it a lot of fun to use. Ironic, indeed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2177. Re: Morse Code and the disabled...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:48:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831064824.01509.00002409@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18421

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> The problem with that was immediately obvious to her -- who would she
> communicate with -- besides me?

I bet her family and friends would be willing to learn the code if she did. If she can type, though, one of the text-to-speech programs and a laptop with sound may be a more practical approach.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2178. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:53:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831065341.01509.00002410@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18422

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, you are not one of the people
>whining about not being seen as equal in "status" due to the fact that they
>have waited for Restructuring and finally ascended through to full
>privileges, without having made the effort to succeed under the previous
>licensing standards. In other words, I have no argument with you. Why
>are you defending yourself to me?

Because, after having looked at the current tests needed to get all Amateur privileges and the 16-page study guide I read in the 1960s to do the same, I think that I took a test that was easier than the tests of today, so I fear that I may hold less status in your eyes. And if you think that you have less status than me, your low opinion of yourself may explain why you are so gosh-darn hung up on status.

Unfortunately, your poor performance on the test you took here has pretty much permanantly sealed your fate.

73,
Ed Hare

2179. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:54:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831065414.04385.00005456@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 18423

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/30/01 1:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8E7B1A.A34A553B@IEEE.org>

>What's the difference between birth control and masturbation?
>Just how old are you anyway, Jim?

I thought they were one-in-the-same...?!?!

Steve

2180. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:56:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831065645.01509.00002411@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18424

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> Hes not Larry, as you and I know hes trying to defend the FCC and ARRLs
>actions.

I think not. I did not agree with either the ARRL's position or the FCC's end result. I believed that there should have been 4 classes of licence, with a 0 wpm Tech, a 5 wpm General, a 5 wpm Advanced and a 20 wpm Extra, with the Extra giving ONLY the lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 and 10.

My believing that now that the FCC decision has been made, all this bellyaching and petty insulting, being done mostly, but not exclusively, by the pro-code-test side, is harmful to ham radio. I do NOT believe that code testing is the most important issue facing Amateur Radio today.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2181. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 10:59:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831065908.01509.00002412@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18425

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, in this newsgroup, you are faced with people like me, who
>do not approve of the way the ARRL has handled the code/no-code
>issue, and the outcome of Restructuring. That is reality.

I did not approve of the position ARRL took with the FCC. It did not match my personal view of what I felt should be done.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2182. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 11:00:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831070049.01509.00002413@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18426

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> You'll never get it, will you, Cecil? I could care less about my
>> place in the ham radio "caste" system as you call it.
>
>Oh really? Is that why you tell us at every opportunity what a
>superior ham you are and how much better you are than the hams
>presently receiving their Extra tickets?

Now, Cecil, cut him some slack. In another post he honestly told us he doesn't
think about the things he says here.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2183. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 11:03:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831070359.04385.00005457@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 18427

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 8/31/01 5:07 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8F53E2.5E512350@sccoast.net>

> I've never heard of this group. What do that do or what do they advocate?

I don't think it's so much what they advocate as what they
represent....Stupid people with stupid ideas.

2184. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 11:04:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831070455.01509.00002414@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18428

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>> BS CBer, I answer all of them

>Go back to bed, your goat is calling you.

You two guys are truly birds of a feather.

I have to wonder what inner need is being fulfilled by stanging on a state before a room of people and throwing juvenile insults at each other? I am just curious why people who appear to be grownups are acting in a grade-school fashion in public? Do the rest of us really need to see this?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2185. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 11:06:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831070613.04385.00005458@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 18429

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 8/30/01 3:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8E99DA.A7510CF2@IEEE.org>

>*Any* dictionary definition of a word is acceptable,
>not just the one definition of your choice.

Oh...?!?!

Then why is "I was not forced" not acceptable to YOU?...

>Aha, selective quoting of the dictionary again.

Yeah..DARN them, Cec...! Lord knows YOU would never do that, eh...?!?!

=)

Steve

2186. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 11:13:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831071327.04385.00005459@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 18430

>Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
>From: "Beer Bottle" beer@bottle.com
>Date: 8/30/01 5:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Fayj7.154194$EP6.43247182@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>

>Noone cares about your sideshow.

What no one cares about is the inconsequental opinion of an Anonymous
Coward, that of which you are.

>It is against usenet policy to post off topic, let alone
>make it a 100 message thread.

Then write your Congressman and protest. I don't give a rat's kazoo for
the opinions of "persons" who can't put thier name to thier comments.

Until then, Have A Smurfy Day.

2187. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 11:57:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831075737.14610.00006384@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18431

>I have to wonder what inner need is being fulfilled by stanging on a state
>before a room of people and throwing juvenile insults at each other? I am
>just
>curious why people who appear to be grownups are acting in a grade-school
>fashion in public? Do the rest of us really need to see this?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Because its fun, or is that against ARRL rules????

2188. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:01:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831080123.14610.00006385@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18432

>I was immediately slammed as
>"assuming" that just because the worksheets were blank that no one
>calculated anything on the tests.
>Yeah, right!

There was nothing to Calculate on mine.

2189. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:11:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831081111.01525.00002521@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18434

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> Because its fun, or is that against ARRL rules????

I didn't mention ARRL in my post, Bruce. Do you really think that ARRL cares whether you and Drpfrench make fools of yourselves with an exchange of grammar-school insults and taunts?

You seem to see ARRL everywhere you look, Bruce. That is a bit odd.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2190. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:11:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831081116.14610.00006387@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18433

>My believing that now that the FCC decision has been made, all this
>bellyaching
>and petty insulting, being done mostly, but not exclusively, by the
>pro-code-test side, is harmful to ham radio. I do NOT believe that code
>testing
>is the most important issue facing Amateur Radio today.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Bull its not just a CW thing, its the Quality of new Hams. If were going to do away with CW Testing than at least put into play a Real Written Test. I know there are 10 Million Q&As now and no one can Memorize all of them. All were getting is Technical Gurus, stange I keep seeing on other Boards Questions like, can some give me the Lengths for a Dipole.

2191. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:15:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831081557.14610.00006388@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18435

>Do you really think that ARRL cares

No I dont, I think all they care about is selling more stuff, and getting New Members, by the way you have not told me what the Latest Numbers on ARRLs Membership is??

2192. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:17:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831081717.14610.00006389@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18436

>You seem to see ARRL everywhere you look, Bruce. That is a bit odd.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

You most be kidding, the only place I see them is in the Bathroom

2193. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:18:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831081816.01525.00002523@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18437

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Your premise that ham radio applicants relish the idea of learning
>enough electronics to pass a 1000 question (potential) exam is
>ludicrous.

Why is it ludicrous to believe that most people who set out to become licensed amateurs do so because they want to learn about radio and electronics?

>What they REALLY want to do is pass the test(s), usually one
>at a time.

Well, yes, they want to pass the tests. Duh!

>So they "study the tests"-one at a time- with all the exact
>questions and exact answers, with the primary view that matching the
>answers with their questions is the way to pass that or any test.

But that is not the easiest way to pass the tests, Dick. Learning simple concepts such as the fact that the field strength from an antenna varies inversely with the distance and applying 6th-grade math is the easiest way to pass the tests.

In the examples of the questions about field strength and power density that are on the General exam, the correct answers for some of the questions are used as the distractors in other questions. This "word-association memorization" theory of yours doesn't hold up to analysis of the pools.

>Only those who hide in caves -or have some reason to refute the facts-
>could assume otherwise.

I have no reason to assume otherwise, Dick, and I don't live in a cave, so I have no reason to believe you are talking about me. :-) What "reason be believe otherwise" would I have for trying to tell new hams that the easiest way to pass the tests is to learn the material? If they take me at my word, they will learn the material. I cannot imagine why you think that would be bad, except perhaps that your "reason to be otherwise" is that you don't want to be wrong about the fact that all these new hams are entering ham radio not to learn and are word-associating over 1000 questions without understanding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2194. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:21:21 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.15f9916ab3864108989682@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 18438

In article <20010831081111.01525.00002521@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> > Because its fun, or is that against ARRL rules????
>
> I didn't mention ARRL in my post, Bruce. Do you really think that ARRL cares
> whether you and Drpfrench make fools of yourselves with an exchange of
> grammar-school insults and taunts?
>
> You seem to see ARRL everywhere you look, Bruce. That is a bit odd.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

then again, so's Bruce :-) <<<<see a smiley

2195. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:21:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831082130.01525.00002524@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18439

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I stated some time ago here that during the eight years I ran a VE
>team that rarely did anyone turn in a test scratch sheet with anything
>at all on it. (applicants were required to turn in their work/scratch
>sheets where they worked out problems) I was immediately slammed as
>"assuming" that just because the worksheets were blank that no one
>calculated anything on the tests.
>Yeah, right!

In almost all cases, the math involved uses whole numbers that can easily be worked out in one's head, if one knows the concepts involved. Now that you have learned that the field strength from an antenna varies as 1/D, would you need to write down anything to work out the answer to my original question: If the E field is 2 V/m at 100 meters, what is its strength at 200 meters? If I added 3 or 4 questions on the same subject to a "pool," would you word-association memorize the answer, or do the 6th-grade math after you had memorized that the field strength from an antenna varies as 1/D?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2196. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:24:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831082449.01525.00002525@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18440

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>There was nothing to Calculate on mine.

That is pretty much true, except for the questions on complex impedance. One can test an applicant's knowledge of Ohm's law using whole numbers and there is nothing to be gained by using numbers with fractions or decimal points.

I would agree, btw, that rote memorization IS used for some of the answers -- the example I just gave on complex impedance is an example of that. (IMHO, that is a problem that needs to be fixed in the exams.)

However, I don't think that rote memorization plays any more or less of a role today than it did in 1963 when I passed my exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and hoping that a few subjects didn't appear on the tests that I was given.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2197. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:27:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831082724.14579.00006192@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18441

>I cannot imagine why you think that would be
>bad, except perhaps that your "reason to be otherwise" is that you don't want
>to be wrong about the fact that all these new hams are entering ham radio not
>to learn and are word-associating over 1000 questions without understanding.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Ed ask them, they will tell you thats all they have done. Even at my Test I
asked the guys if they thought they were ready for the test, and the common
answer was,
yes I am, I have spent 2 Weeks Memorizing all this Stuff, I guess they were
just lying right ED???

2198. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:29:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831082942.01552.00002176@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18442

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>All were getting is Technical Gurus, stange I keep seeing on other Boards
> Questions like, can some give me the Lengths for a Dipole.

I am sure that there are some people whose self confidence is low enough that they need some reassurances or help with even the simple questions. We had them in 1963, too, Bruce -- one of my Novice friends asked me the very same question.

Of course, now that I know better, I can tell you that the length of a dipole antenna can be anything you want it to be up to a half-wavelength electrically. Some use infintesimally small dipole models in some of our antenna modeling and propagation analysis.

Now, can you tell us what the gain of a half-wave dipole is over perfect ground, in dBd? :-) I will give you a hint -- dBi is the gain of an antenna referenced to an isotropic radiator and a half-wave dipole in free space has a gain of 2.14 dBi.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2199. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:32:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831083215.01509.00002420@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18443

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>No I dont, I think all they care about is selling more stuff, and getting New
>Members,

In what way does my trying to tell prospective hams that the easiest way to
pass the tests is to leran the underlying material sell more stuff or get more
members? I am hoping that they believe me and learn the material. What are
you hoping?

>by the way you have not told me what the Latest Numbers on ARRLs
>Membership is??

Last time I had looked, it was about 165,000 hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>No I dont, I think all they care about is selling more stuff, and getting New
>Members,

2200. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:32:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831083238.14579.00006195@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18444

>Now, can you tell us what the gain of a half-wave dipole is over perfect
>ground, in dBd? :-) I will give you a hint -- dBi is the gain of an antenna
>referenced to an isotropic radiator and a half-wave dipole in free space has
>a
>gain of 2.14 dBi.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
Yes I can, but I already played your Silly Test game once, why not ask your
New Good Buddies maybe they can answer it for you.

2201. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 12:33:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831083302.01509.00002421@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18445

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>You seem to see ARRL everywhere you look, Bruce. That is a bit odd.

>You most be kidding, the only place I see them is in the Bathroom

Yup. Odd is about the kindest word I can think of.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2202. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 13:10:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831091024.00877.00007763@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 18446

>You most be kidding, the only place I see them is in the Bathroom
>
>Yup. Odd is about the kindest word I can think of.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

And the reason I see them there is, that is the best place for there Material,
when you need something to clean up with.

2203. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:11:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F9AFF.A480A9CD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18447

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Quoting from the ARRL Antenna Book, 15th
> edition, page 23-3: "Because of the relationship between voltage and
> power, the power density therefore varies with the square roof of field
> intensity, or inversely with the *square* of the distance".

What if someone doesn't have a square roof, Dick? :-) From that same
page in that same edition of that same ARRL Antenna Book: "In free
space the field intensity of the wave varies inversely with the distance
from the source. If the field strength at one mile from the source is
100 mV per meter, it will be 50 mV per meter at two miles, and so on."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2204. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:15:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F9C14.FF72C099@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18448

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I stated some time ago here that during the eight years I ran a VE
> team that rarely did anyone turn in a test scratch sheet with anything
> at all on it. (applicants were required to turn in their work/scratch
> sheets where they worked out problems) I was immediately slammed as
> "assuming" that just because the worksheets were blank that no one
> calculated anything on the tests.

I didn't make a mark on a scratch sheet for my Extra exam, Dick, and
I didn't do rote-memory word associations either. I calculated
everything on my calculator.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2205. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:21:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F9D61.D8EC9A46@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18449

WA8ULX wrote:
> Ed ask them, they will tell you thats all they have done. Even at my Test I
> asked the guys if they thought they were ready for the test, and the common
> answer was, yes I am, I have spent 2 Weeks Memorizing all this Stuff, I
> guess they were just lying right ED???

How does one learn band edges without memorizing? How does one learn
Morse code without memorizing? How does one learn Ohm's law without
memorizing I=E/R? Do you develop everything from first principles?
I'd sure like to see you develop Morse code from first principles.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2206. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:28:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F9F1B.513D7AA2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18450

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Then why is "I was not forced" not acceptable to YOU?...

Because you didn't post your definition of "forced", the context was not changed from my posted definition of "forced", that's why. If I say, "you were forced (required by circumstances) to meet the FCC requirements", it is a true statement. When you follow up, "I was not forced", without posting a different definition, then you are accepting the context of my definition. That's the way English works since it is not a context free language. Why didn't you just change the context by posting your definition?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2207. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:32:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8F9FF5.E34A91EF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18451

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Dwight, a Flat Earth Society exists to this very day.
>
> I've never heard of this group. What do that do or what do they advocate?

http://www.flat-earth.org
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2208. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:41:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FA22F.5BEF869@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18452

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I learned the code in 1956 by sending to myself. That was long before
> there was wide availability of *any* code practice paraphrenalia ...

What???? I rented an Instructograph in 1951.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2209. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:45:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FA2F0.3503F765@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18454

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Haven't you heard, Dwight? CW operators
> > can do no wrong. :-)
>
> Well, they certainly are wrong for trying to apply one standard to
> others and another to themselves.

Some of them think it's like a Spanish teacher enforcing a
Spanish-only rule in his/her Spanish class. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2210. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:47:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FA371.60B2EDA0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18455

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Netnews Attributions
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 8/30/01 1:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3B8E7B1A.A34A553B@IEEE.org>
>
> >What's the difference between birth control and masturbation?
> >Just how old are you anyway, Jim?
>
> I thought they were one-in-the-same...?!?!

Birth control requires a female. Masturbation does not.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2211. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 14:47:18 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <WrNj7.155$TL1.173311@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 18453

As one who is currently studying for the exams, may I throw in a thought or two?

Quite a few of the questions REQUIRE thoughtless memorization (frequency allocations is the most obvious example). Others require either memorization or a small amount of knowledge combined with common sense (RFI rules). Finally, there is a set of answers requiring either memorization of the answer OR memorization of a formula combined with simple math.

Since the student's (moi) motivation in taking the test is to pass it (that is not to say the student is not interested in theory and practice, merely that it is VERY doubtful he will see the TEST itself as part of this process), the pure memorization questions tend to swamp out the formula questions.

A simply way to make sure those taking the test memorize the theories rather then the answers would be to have multiple guess questions for the regulations and fill-in questions for the formulae.

Fill-in questions for the regs would be a very big mistake, I think, but it does make sense (to me, at least) for formulae. I don't think this would place an undue burden on the VEs (bless their souls for volunteering their time and energy).

Paul Friedman

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010831082130.01525.00002524@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > I stated some time ago here that during the eight years I ran a VE
> >team that rarely did anyone turn in a test scratch sheet with anything
> >at all on it. (applicants were required to turn in their work/scratch
> >sheets where they worked out problems) I was immediately slammed as
> >"assuming" that just because the worksheets were blank that no one
> >calculated anything on the tests.
> >Yeah, right!
>
> In almost all cases, the math involved uses whole numbers that can easily
be
> worked out in one's head, if one knows the concepts involved. Now that
you
> have learned that the field strength from an antenna varies as 1/D, would
you
> need to write down anything to work out the answer to my original
question: If

> the E field is 2 V/m at 100 meters, what is its strength at 200 meters?
If I
> added 3 or 4 questions on the same subject to a "pool," would you
> word-association memorize the answer, or do the 6th-grade math after you
had
> memorized that the field strength from an antenna varies as 1/D?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

2212. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 16:19:11 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <q6evotka50ccrhpdjjdparcmp8lpk226kk@4ax.com>
Source record: 18456

On 31 Aug 2001 03:07:23 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>I could care less about my
>place in the ham radio "caste" system as you call it.

Uh-oh. Somebody is forging LT's posts.

Bill, W7TI

2213. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 16:27:18 UTC
From: GH <nbcred@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B8FACD0.ECDE3B08@mailcity.com>
Source record: 18457

The pirate ops operating on the bottom end of the 40 meter amateur band
believe that earth is flat. I think that had something to do with starting the
Civil War and why attributes in newsreaders get screwed up.

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > > My dictionary says:
> > > > >
> > > > > "lie - 1. to make an untrue statement with intent to deceive 2. to
> > > > > create a false or misleading impression"
> > > >
> > > > You need a new dictionary, Jim. From Webster's Ninth New
> > > > Collegiate Dictionary:
> > >
> > > You need the new one, Cecil. I quoted from the Tenth Edition.
> >
> > Well, think about the number 2 definition above. It doesn't require
> > that the perp know that he/she is creating a false or misleading
> > impression. He/she can be lying out of ignorance. All that is
> > required is that a false or misleading impression be created.
> > "The earth is flat" is a lie no matter what the speaker believes.
> > Ain't English fun?
>
> "The earth is flat" is simply an incorrect statement. According to
> Mirriam Websters Collegiate Tenth Edition, the story teller must have
> the intent to mislead or deceive in order for it to be a lie.
>
> To me a lie is a deception. If you want to be able to call someone a
> liar simply because he was under the wrong impression about something,
> then by all means libel away.

2214. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 16:30:14 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <be25608cb8bd33127d7d61aaf5f9d7ba.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 18458

"Dwight Stewart" wrote in message:

> But Rich may have to add to the other end of the list - more
> than a few hams certainly think they're higher than the Pope.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

With all due respect, the old coot doesn't even have a license,
so I guess I'm higher than him. Sure would be nice to have
another HV4xxx call around the bands though. HV4JP would have
a nice ring about it.

With all reverent kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupe139.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.144.139]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2215. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 16:31:19 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0108310831.4ac821dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18459

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9mnct7$9ke$0@208.207.71.169>...
> N0YM wrote:
> >
> > > Jonathan: The day they published the question pool with all the exact
> > > questions and all their exact answers, things got infinitely easier.
> > > Some people posting here can't grasp the difference between that
> > > question/answer pool and a study guide that one uses to learn the
> > > material.
> > > I hope you do.
> >
> > I don't see the issue in quite so cut-and-dry a manner. I've taken
> > classes on introductory and advanced electromagnetic theory, not to
> > mention circuits, etc. Essentially, I've been "given" the exact
> > answers, too. Does that disqualify me from taking the test?
>
> Well, what is it that makes you think you are the problem? It seems
> clear that for one who has had all that electronic education it really
> wouldn't matter much, would it? It's the people who come to the test
> with no background whatever and make as good a score as you and take
> away the same license as you do, yet have learned virtually nothing in
> the licensing process. There are lots of them out there, too. So how do
> we sort them? What it does is diminish the entire process and thus ham
> radio in its entirety.

I agree with you regarding the "learned virtually nothing" thing, but
I don't see the problems you see, I guess. I don't believe most
people could do as well on that test as I did without having actual
knowledge of the subject material, *even if they didn't intend to
really learn it in the first place.* Learning's a funny thing that
way.

At the very least, putting in the time to rote memorize that many
questions and answers would indicate a large degree of committment and
interest in ham radio. And as I've said, if they're really looking
for the easiest route, they'll learn concepts, too.

For those who are interested in anecdotes, I could also tell stories
of EE's who have taken -- and failed -- the Extra exam, after having
studied for it.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

2216. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 16:36:27 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <7vevotglacv4rmmrvotoths3vu5nmnob9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 18460

On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 09:47:18 -0500, "Paul Friedman"
<paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:

>As one who is currently studying for the exams, may I throw in a thought or
>two?
>
>Quite a few of the questions REQUIRE thoughtless memorization (frequency
>allocations is the most obvious example). Others require either memorization
>or a small amount of knowledge combined with common sense (RFI rules).
>Finally, there is a set of answers requiring either memorization of the
>answer OR memorization of a formula combined with simple math.

I agree with the above. It should also be noted, however, that the
question pools cover enough of certain subject material (e.g.
frequency allocations) that you might as well memorize them all.

>
>Since the student's (moi) motivation in taking the test is to pass it (that
>is not to say the student is not interested in theory and practice, merely
>that it is VERY doubtful he will see the TEST itself as part of this
>process), the pure memorization questions tend to swamp out the formula
>questions.

I believe this is an individual thing. For me, the formulas and
concepts were easier on many questions.

>
>A simply way to make sure those taking the test memorize the theories rather
>then the answers would be to have multiple guess questions for the
>regulations and fill-in questions for the formulae.
>
>Fill-in questions for the regs would be a very big mistake, I think, but it
>does make sense (to me, at least) for formulae. I don't think this would
>place an undue burden on the VEs (bless their souls for volunteering their
>time and energy).
>
>Paul Friedman

This certainly wouldn't be a bad idea, but if you publish the
questions as part of the question pool, you have the same complaints.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

2217. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 17:16:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FC688.4941389B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18461

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> >I could care less about my
> >place in the ham radio "caste" system as you call it.
>
> Uh-oh. Somebody is forging LT's posts.

Not if you take him literally, Bill. "I could care less"
literally means that he indeed does care. It literally
means the opposite of "I couldn't care less".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2218. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 18:07:17 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <pnQj7.656$pe7.165721@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 18462

The questions could be published with blanks for the numbers.

Take question G5B11
A sine wave of 17 volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?

Publish it as:
A sine wave of _____ volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?

Guidelines for the VEs or VECs could be:
Voltage should be expressed in V (i.e., not mV or similar).in in the range
of 5V to 200V inclusive.
Answers are to be considered correct if within 0.5V

I obviously made up the parameters.. but something along those lines.

Paul Friedman

"N0YM" <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:7vevotglacv4rmmrvotoths3vu5nmnob9h@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 09:47:18 -0500, "Paul Friedman"
> <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:
>

<serious snip>
> >
> >A simply way to make sure those taking the test memorize the theories
rather
> >then the answers would be to have multiple guess questions for the
> >regulations and fill-in questions for the formulae.
> >
> >Fill-in questions for the regs would be a very big mistake, I think, but
it
> >does make sense (to me, at least) for formulae. I don't think this would
> >place an undue burden on the VEs (bless their souls for volunteering
their
> >time and energy).
> >
> >Paul Friedman
>
> This certainly wouldn't be a bad idea, but if you publish the
> questions as part of the question pool, you have the same complaints.
>
> Regards,
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM
> remove "x's" from email to reply
>

>

2219. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 18:39:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8FD9D4.C10C9301@uci.edu>
Source record: 18463

CAM wrote:

> Of course it says that a false statement is a lie, Jim.

Nope. The definition is for the word 'lie' - not the words 'false statement'. Is a carnivore an alligator simply because an alligator is a carnivore?

Now quit acting stupidly.

2220. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 18:42:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8FDAB1.CEC6D839@uci.edu>
Source record: 18464

CAM wrote:
> Birth control requires a female.

Condoms require a female?? That's funny, Cecil. :-)

2221. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:18:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831151832.17812.00011676@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18465

>How does one learn band edges

Who knows but if you miss that Question no big deal.

2222. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:20:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831152058.17812.00011677@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18466

>How does one learn Ohm's law without
>memorizing I=E/R?

No need to memorize it, all your going to do is answer the answer the Exact Q&A anyway, save your time as far as Memorizing Ohms Law, and just Memorize the Q&A.

2223. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:38:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FE7D2.4C92B98E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18467

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >How does one learn Ohm's law without
> >memorizing I=E/R?
>
> No need to memorize it, all your going to do is answer the answer the Exact
> Q&A anyway, save your time as far as Memorizing Ohms Law, and just Memorize the
> Q&A.

But, but, but, Bruce, I memorized Ohm's law 50 years ago. Why do
I need to memorize something new?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2224. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:40:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FE815.51398077@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18468

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >How does one learn band edges
>
> Who knows but if you miss that Question no big deal.

IT's a big deal for me. I consider anything less than 100%
to be a failure. I'm a digital engineer, you know.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2225. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:47:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FE9BE.3B92A471@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18469

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Of course it says that a false statement is a lie, Jim.
>
> Nope. The definition is for the word 'lie' - not the words 'false
> statement'. Is a carnivore an alligator simply because an alligator is
> a carnivore?
>
> Now quit acting stupidly.

Jim, I quoted the dictionary definition. From Webster's:

"lie - an inaccurate or false statement", i.e. any false statement

Clearly a false statement is a lie, by definition. The definition
of words change over time. Since you were a young'un, the definition
of "lie" has changed to encompass inaccurate and false statements.
A lie no longer requires that the liar knows it is a lie. In the
21st century, ignorance alone is enough to produce a lie and
ignorant people sure do lie a whole bunch.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2226. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:49:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B8FEA3B.AB59DA9C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18470

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Birth control requires a female.
>
> Condoms require a female?? That's funny, Cecil. :-)

Absent a female, condoms do NOT perform birth control.
However, they do make excellent water balloons.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2227. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 19:57:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8FEC46.A7B1DBAE@uci.edu>
Source record: 18471

CAM wrote:

> Not if you take him literally, Bill. "I could care less"
> literally means that he indeed does care. It literally
> means the opposite of "I couldn't care less".

I've always liked using the expression "it's better than nothing"
because (to me) it could also mean there's _nothing_ 'it' is better than
- while still retaining a kind of ironically uplifting feel to it. :-)

xg

2228. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 20:05:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831160551.17844.00010938@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18472

>But, but, but, Bruce, I memorized Ohm's law 50 years ago. Why do
>I need to memorize something new?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

For the new guy its not new, all he has to is Memorize the Q&A

2229. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 20:06:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831160633.17844.00010939@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18473

>IT's a big deal for me. I consider anything less than 100%
>to be a failure. I'm a digital engineer, you know.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Maybe for you but not the new guy

2230. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 20:27:07 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8FF31B.9255BDCE@uci.edu>
Source record: 18474

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Of course it says that a false statement is a lie, Jim.
> >
> > Nope. The definition is for the word 'lie' - not the words 'false
> > statement'. Is a carnivore an alligator simply because an alligator is
> > a carnivore?
> >
> > Now quit acting stupidly.
>
> Jim, I quoted the dictionary definition. From Webster's:
>
> "lie - an inaccurate or false statement", i.e. any false statement
>
> Clearly a false statement is a lie, by definition. The definition
> of words change over time. Since you were a young'un, the definition
> of "lie" has changed to encompass inaccurate and false statements.
> A lie no longer requires that the liar knows it is a lie. In the
> 21st century, ignorance alone is enough to produce a lie and
> ignorant people sure do lie a whole bunch.

Ok then, Cecil. Uncle. You lie repeatedly, and often. Happy?

2231. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 20:43:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8FF6D5.D1D196FA@uci.edu>
Source record: 18475

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Birth control requires a female.
> >
> > Condoms require a female?? That's funny, Cecil. :-)

> Absent a female, condoms do NOT perform birth control.

Same thing could be said of a male. But a condom is still a birth control device no matter where it happens to be located. A tractor is still a tractor even when it's just sitting in the yard.

> However, they do make excellent water balloons.

I'll write that down.

2232. Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 21:21:57 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 18476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"David J. Windisch" wrote:

> ID yourselves, cowards.

Perhaps you would go to the worst part of town and scream out your name, address and phone number, but most sensible people wouldn't. Reason: They don't want to set themselves up as targets for the lawless criminals who hang out there.

Similarly, Usenet is inhabited by some real scum who think nothing of taking it to "real life" and harassing someone they don't like. The ham news group readers have already had uninvited people show up at their homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly included (one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt to learn my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.

I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of people who read these posts.

And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to shut your arrogant mouth.

2233. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 21:28:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9movh0$1ff$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18477

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I learned the code in 1956 by sending to myself. That was long before
> > there was wide availability of *any* code practice paraphrenalia ...
>
> What???? I rented an Instructograph in 1951.

Besides a few platter records that would have been about the only code
study aid available anywhere. As I said, they were not widely available
to most kids interested in learning the code. You must have been some
kind of elitist.

2234. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 21:43:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mp0el$3ek$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18478

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Quoting from the ARRL Antenna Book, 15th
> > edition, page 23-3: "Because of the relationship between voltage and
> > power, the power density therefore varies with the square roof of field
> > intensity, or inversely with the *square* of the distance".
>
> What if someone doesn't have a square roof, Dick? :-)

pou go with whatcha got...

From that same
> page in that same edition of that same ARRL Antenna Book: "In free
> space the field intensity of the wave varies inversely with the distance
> from the source. If the field strength at one mile from the source is
> 100 mV per meter, it will be 50 mV per meter at two miles, and so on."

That is correct, of course. My error was in not making it clear that I
meant power and that I was not interested in "working" his problem.

2235. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 21:46:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mp0j2$3ek$1@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18479

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I was immediately slammed as
> >"assuming" that just because the worksheets were blank that no one
> >calculated anything on the tests.
> >Yeah, right!
>
> There was nothing to Calculate on mine.

I notice that the questions have indeed degenerated to where not many would need to be worked out. I guess that must have been a response to all the blank sheets that were turned in previously. Gotta keep 'em coming, you know, however it takes.

2236. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 21:52:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831175221.01593.00005877@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18480

>I notice that the questions have indeed degenerated to where not many
>would need to be worked out. I guess that must have been a response to
>all the blank sheets that were turned in previously. Gotta keep 'em
>coming, you know, however it takes.
>

Yea I know, I even asked the VE when I turned in my Test if I was supposed to write something on the blank paper, or were they something like a Suggestion Sheet for future Tests

2237. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 21:56:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831175626.01523.00006090@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 18481

>Subject: Anon posters
>From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
>Date: 8/31/01 5:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>

>Perhaps you would go to the worst part of town and scream out your name,
>address and phone number, but most sensible people wouldn't

You are right...most people wouldn't. But then that kind of element is
usually not sufficiently educated to be here, either.

Too time consuming.

>Similarly, Usenet is inhabited by some real scum who think nothing of
>taking it to "real life" and harassing someone they don't like.

If someone wants to know who you are bad enough, you WILL be found out.

>I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
>physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
>only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
>people who read these posts.

Uh huh. Right.

>And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
>TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN

Then take a Phenergan, cuz here it comes again.

No Name = Anonymous Coward.

Sorry...call's em the way I see's em.

Steve

2238. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:00:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mp1e0$65p$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18482

N0YM wrote:
>
> > Well, what is it that makes you think you are the problem? It seems
> > clear that for one who has had all that electronic education it really
> > wouldn't matter much, would it? It's the people who come to the test
> > with no background whatever and make as good a score as you and take
> > away the same license as you do, yet have learned virtually nothing in
> > the licensing process. There are lots of them out there, too. So how do
> > we sort them? What it does is diminish the entire process and thus ham
> > radio in its entirety.
>
> I agree with you regarding the "learned virtually nothing" thing, but
> I don't see the problems you see, I guess. I don't believe most
> people could do as well on that test as I did without having actual
> knowledge of the subject material, *even if they didn't intend to
> really learn it in the first place.* Learning's a funny thing that
> way.
>
> At the very least, putting in the time to rote memorize that many
> questions and answers would indicate a large degree of committment and
> interest in ham radio. And as I've said, if they're really looking
> for the easiest route, they'll learn concepts, too.
>

If the party actually memorizes questions and answers, yes. I'm sure
some do, but many only memorize word associations which serve only to
match a particular answer to a particular question, a method which
serves better when one knows nothing whatever of the subject matter.
You and I would have difficulty using such a method since we can't read
a question or answer that has content we recognize or know anything at
all about without thinking it through thereby learning. As I've said
here before, the less you know the easier it is to use this method of
passing the tests, while learning virtually nothing. This is done by
many applicants who just want the license.

> For those who are interested in anecdotes, I could also tell stories
> of EE's who have taken -- and failed -- the Extra exam, after having
> studied for it.
>
> Regards,
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM

2239. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:03:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mp1k9$6it$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18483

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Your premise that ham radio applicants relish the idea of learning
> >enough electronics to pass a 1000 question (potential) exam is
> >ludicrous.
>
> Why is it ludicrous to believe that most people who set out to become licensed
> amateurs do so because they want to learn about radio and electronics?
>
> >What they REALLY want to do is pass the test(s), usually one
> >at a time.
>
> Well, yes, they want to pass the tests. Duh!
>
> >So they "study the tests"-one at a time- with all the exact
> >questions and exact answers, with the primary view that matching the
> >answers with their questions is the way to pass that or any test.
>
> But that is not the easiest way to pass the tests, Dick.

What experience do you draw on to make that statement? I learned about
that method of quickly getting the license after a few years continuous
time as a active VE. Previously I never suspected it. My view is
definitely reinforced by all the cram scools which guarantee the
applicant that he will be able to pass a ham radio test after a few
hours of studying the tests. How you can ignore all that is amazing.

2240. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:04:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9009D6.73B6DA1A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18485

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > What???? I rented an Instructograph in 1951.
>
> Besides a few platter records that would have been about the only code
> study aid available anywhere. As I said, they were not widely available
> to most kids interested in learning the code. You must have been some
> kind of elitist.

My parents insisted that I prove that I could copy Morse code before
they made a 200 mile round trip to the FCC office in Houston in a '46
Chevvy with no air conditioning. A Morse 78rpm record was about eight
bucks and we didn't have a record player. Renting an Instructograph
cost about a buck a week if I remember correctly.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2241. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:06:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mp1os$6it$1@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18484

CAM wrote:
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> > Ed ask them, they will tell you thats all they have done. Even at my Test I
> > asked the guys if they thought they were ready for the test, and the common
> > answer was, yes I am, I have spent 2 Weeks Memorizing all this Stuff, I
> > guess they were just lying right ED???
>
> How does one learn band edges without memorizing? How does one learn
> Morse code without memorizing? How does one learn Ohm's law without
> memorizing I=E/R? Do you develop everything from first principles?
> I'd sure like to see you develop Morse code from first principles.

You know there is a difference in just memorizing a formula and
understanding what the terms mean and how they interact.

2242. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:06:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B900A75.13A1B47C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18487

Jim Kelley wrote:
> A tractor is still a tractor even when it's just sitting in the yard.

Aha, but is a dipole still a dipole when it's not being used? (This
question is tricker than it first appears.)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2243. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:09:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mp1v1$6it$2@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 18486

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)
>
> >Neither do I, but an administration could easily hang their
> >Morse hat on "operational qualifications" (paragraph 1).
>
> Or not. If this draft is implemented at the international level, the FCC still
> has to decide whether it is going to keep code testing, and in what form.
>
> The really ironic part is that if the requirement is dropped, the only way that
> new hams will learn Morse code is voluntarily, like they voluntarily use other
> modes. Some of the things being said today by people who probably do believe
> that they are helping the mode are the very things that are going to make it
> hard to convince new hams that the mode is valuable, has utility and it a lot
> of fun to use. Ironic, indeed.
>

Actually I agree with you, some of you guys trivializing knowledge of
Morse code do it no good for the future.

2244. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:17:49 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B900D0D.E04CFD28@uci.edu>
Source record: 18488

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > A tractor is still a tractor even when it's just sitting in the yard.
>
> Aha, but is a dipole still a dipole when it's not being used? (This
> question is tricker than it first appears.)

Is it a Pauli exclusion principle kind of thing?

2245. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:17:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B900D16.6088580E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18489

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > How does one learn band edges without memorizing? How does one learn
> > Morse code without memorizing? How does one learn Ohm's law without
> > memorizing I=E/R? Do you develop everything from first principles?
> > I'd sure like to see you develop Morse code from first principles.
>
> You know there is a difference in just memorizing a formula and
> understanding what the terms mean and how they interact.

The point is that I memorized Ohm's law 50 years ago and I have
no idea how to unmemorize it in order to please you.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2246. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:21:45 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010831182145.26065.00001784@mb-fj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 18490

>First off.... the numbers and punctuation really throw me.... I have heard
>tricks for those but I still "don't get it" yet for those. There are about
>4-5 letters that also throw me too. I seem to have good chunk of the
>letters down though.... Wanna know how I got from a few letters to about 20
>letters? Believe it or not, sending as opposed to trying to recieve. Maybe
>with some more practice I will have the numbers etc. down also.

God bless you, Ryan. The numbers are kicking my butt as well. I too practice with a code oscillator, not to mention the Gordo cassettes and the ARRL CD's. I've heard that it is not a good idea to practice sending code until you can completely copy all the characters. I'm not 100% sure of how true that is and I'd like to solicit the opinion of all in the group re. this. Best of luck to you, I know you'll do it.

When I first started practicing, I felt like banging my head against the wall but after a while it wasn't so bad. Now, if I feel discouraged, I just remind myself that I'm more than halfway there. It'll feel so good once the initial hurdle is out of the wat, then you'll do code just for "the fun of it." Before you know it, your speed will climb, worry free. Once again, good luck.

Thank you all in advance that offer any advice re. practicing by sending. Take care all.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2247. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:23:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B900E5B.5F66C65A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18491

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > A tractor is still a tractor even when it's just sitting in the yard.
> >
> > Aha, but is a dipole still a dipole when it's not being used? (This
> > question is tricker than it first appears.)
>
> Is it a Pauli exclusion principle kind of thing?

Hint: What does the "pole" in dipole really mean?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2248. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-08-31 22:45:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831184553.00733.00009682@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 18492

>What experience do you draw on to make that statement? I learned about
>that method of quickly getting the license after a few years continuous
>time as a active VE. Previously I never suspected it. My view is
>definitely reinforced by all the cram scools which guarantee the
>applicant that he will be able to pass a ham radio test after a few
>hours of studying the tests. How you can ignore all that is amazing.
>

Ed ignores it because he wants to.

2249. Re: Netnews Attributions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 00:04:31 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B90260F.886FEB40@uci.edu>
Source record: 18493

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:

> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > A tractor is still a tractor even when it's just sitting in the yard.

> > > Aha, but is a dipole still a dipole when it's not being used? (This
> > > question is tricker than it first appears.)

> > Is it a Pauli exclusion principle kind of thing?

> Hint: What does the "pole" in dipole really mean?

Polarity. Are you referring to antennas in particular, or would the
same thing apply to a molecular dipole for example? What kind of "use"
does this dipole get?
The question is trickier than the anwser I presume.

2250. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 00:42:05 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3B902EDD.F6D85DCE@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 18494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Then take a Phenergan, cuz here it comes again.
>
> No Name = Anonymous Coward.
>

That's okay. Stupidity can't be fixed; ignorance can. Guess we know
which category you fall into.

2251. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 01:10:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0108311710.46ec533@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18495

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:<sPAh7.154$7d.22000@newshog.newsread.com>...
> Sure... lets see a "stick" transmission in something like a Cadillac Deville
> or whatever those are called, or a Lincoln Town car! :)
>
> Ryan
>
I just hate to bust Tech's bubbles but export versions of DeVilles &
Towne Cars can be ordered with stick boxes. Nobody in Togo or Bhutan
knows how to fix automatic gearboxes.
>
>
w3rv

2252. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 01:48:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010831214807.21495.00007996@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18496

In article <3B8F05B5.8A42D961@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> You'll never get it, will you, Cecil? I could care less about my
>> place in the ham radio "caste" system as you call it.
>
>Oh really? Is that why you tell us at every opportunity what a
>superior ham you are and how much better you are than the hams
>presently receiving their Extra tickets?

Cecil, I just callz'em like I seez'em!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2253. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 01:48:07 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b903de1.4721476@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 18500

On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 13:07:17 -0500, "Paul Friedman"
<paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:

>The questions could be published with blanks for the numbers.
>
>Take question G5B11
> A sine wave of 17 volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?
>
>Publish it as:
> A sine wave of ______ volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?
>
>Guidelines for the VEs or VECs could be:
> Voltage should be expressed in V (i.e., not mV or similar).in in the range
>of 5V to 200V inclusive.
> Answers are to be considered correct if within 0.5V
>
>I obviously made up the parameters.. but something along those lines.
>
>Paul Friedman

Another alternative is to have the same question with a set of 20
or more sets of variables and the corresponding answer. If
someone is about to memorize 20 sets of numbers for each
set of calculated questions, then more power to their mental
abilities.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2254. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 01:48:08 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010831214808.21495.00007997@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18498

In article <3B8F0788.7EEF3415@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I can't understand why everyone is always looking down their
>> pointed noses at AOL? Where is the "complexity" in the other
>> ISP's, or the "skill" needed to use them? It's just the same kind of
>> pointing and clicking to me!
>
>Larry, how do you get the attributions correct on AOL?

Cecil:

I assure you, it's not by any specific action I take. I usually just use my mouse to select the text which I wish to quote, then hit the "Reply to Group" icon. I then re-select the entire contents of the resulting Edit window, and paste it to Microsoft Word '97, where I compose/ edit my reply. Occasionally, I need to "split apart" a block of quoted text; when I do so, I manually re-input the "attribution markers" (>, >>, etc.) in the required places, to indicate the proper attribution level of the quoted text. The procedure is the same regardless of which "ISP" I'm using -- AOL or Magpage.com. The only difference is that when on Magpage, I'm using Netscape as my browser, as opposed to AOL. As you can tell, I do most of my newsgroup work within AOL, since it has a much easier and logial "interface" for me. If selecting the software that is most suitable for my personal needs is an indication of my lack of computer skills, then I'm guilty as sin! I just call it picking the right tool for the job!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2255. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 01:48:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010831214809.21495.00007999@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18497

In article <20010831065908.01509.00002412@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>However, in this newsgroup, you are faced with people like me, who
>>do not approve of the way the ARRL has handled the code/no-code
>>issue, and the outcome of Restructuring. That is reality.
>
>I did not approve of the position ARRL took with the FCC. It did not match my
>personal view of what I felt should be done.

Ed:

Hmmm. Might I speculate what you "felt should be done?" My guess is that you "felt" that Morse code testing should have been totally eliminated, or should have been reduced to answering questions *about* the Morse code within the written test, or that the scores of the Morse code and written tests should be combined -- or some variation of these typical NCTA "work arounds" to thwart the pass/fail nature of the stand-alone code tests. Am I warm?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2256. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 01:48:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010831214809.21495.00007998@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18499

In article <20010831065341.01509.00002410@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>However, you are not one of the people
>>whining about not being seen as equal in "status" due to the fact that they
>>have waited for Restructuring and finally ascended through to full
>>privileges, without having made the effort to succeed under the previous
>>licensing standards. In other words, I have no argument with you. Why
>>are you defending yourself to me?
>
>Because, after having looked at the current tests needed to get all Amateur
>privileges and the 16-page study guide I read in the 1960s to do the same, I
>think that I took a test that was easier than the tests of today, so I fear
>that I may hold less status in your eyes. And if you think that you have
>less
>status than me, your low opinion of yourself may explain why you are so
>gosh-darn hung up on status.
>
>Unfortunately, your poor performance on the test you took here has pretty
>much permanantly sealed your fate.

Ed:

Yes -- my fate is sealed. I get to continue on being an Amateur Extra Class licensee, who upgraded during the FCC-tested Pre-Restructuring Era, and who "Aced" all but one of my written tests (the Advanced) in the process. My knowledge may have slipped a little, but I can overcome that any time I want. I will gladly put my current technical knowledge to the test against any randomly-selected, newly licensed Amateur Extra of the Post-Restructuring Era. I will not put them to the test against a person like yourself, who works in a technical field, and has the requisite professional-level technical knowledge. I am an AMATEUR radio operator. I have never claimed to be anything else.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2257. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 02:09:51 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <OrXj7.875$pe7.355176@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 18551

Bill:

Good point. A better solution then my idea.

Paul Friedman

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3b903de1.4721476@news.mindspring.com...
> On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 13:07:17 -0500, "Paul Friedman"
> <paulfriedman@uswest.net> wrote:
>
> >The questions could be published with blanks for the numbers.
> >
> >Take question G5B11
> > A sine wave of 17 volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?
> >
> >Publish it as:
> > A sine wave of ______ volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?
> >
> >Guidelines for the VEs or VECs could be:
> > Voltage should be expressed in V (i.e., not mV or similar).in in the
range
> >of 5V to 200V inclusive.
> > Answers are to be considered correct if within 0.5V
> >
> >I obviously made up the parameters.. but something along those lines.
> >
> >Paul Friedman
>
> Another alternative is to have the same question with a set of 20
> or more sets of variables and the corresponding answer. If
> someone is about to memorize 20 sets of numbers for each
> set of calculated questions, then more power to their mental
> abilities.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

2258. Happy Holiday Weekend To All!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 02:11:18 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010831221118.26065.00001822@mb-fj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 18552

I just want to wish everybody and their families/friends a happy and healthy holiday weekend.

Very best regards,

Bert
KC2HMN
73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2259. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 02:37:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B9049DA.F155F588@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 18553

Maybe AMSAT or similar orginazation could build and fly a repeater/
translator geostationary satellite and we can aim pizza size dishes at it
and work some international DX something like HF. Piggyback this
satellite on a weather satellite or such that won't mind many watts
of RF aimed at it by hams.

>
> Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving are VHF
> and UHF

2260. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 03:17:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831231716.23049.00003992@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 18554

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
>Date: 8/31/01 8:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B902EDD.F6D85DCE@houston-hunks.com>

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
>Date: 8/31/01 8:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B902EDD.F6D85DCE@houston-hunks.com>

>Guess we know
>which category you fall into.

Some, in my case, might guess...

In YOURS, we know.....

ANONYMOUS COWARD.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve

2261. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:04:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901000415.13505.00001509@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 18556

In article <3B89A427.3A1C929@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> If slavery had not existed, the
>> confederacy would not have existed.
>
> If a lot of things had not existed, there would have been no
>confederacy. So what is your point?

That slavery was a major cause of the confederacy's existence.
>
>> Think about this: Where is Fort
>> Sumter? What was the % slave population
>> of that state in 1860?

> So you're saying because South Carolina fought the first battle with
>Fort Sumter, and South Carolina had slaves, those two issues are
>somehow linked - South Carolina attacked Fort Sumter because of
>slavery?

Yes.

South Carolina had the highest slave population of any state. If memory serves,
the 1860 census found that SC's population was 57% slaves! In other words,
almost 2/3 of SC's people did not even own themselves!

Again, if memory serves, SC was the first state to secede, and by attacking
Fort Sumter it led the way to war.

> If that is true, how would a battle with Fort Sumter decide
>the issue of slavery?

See above.
>
Here's another fun fact: By 1800, ALL of the states north of the Mason Dixon
Line had either abolished slavery completely or had passed laws to do so. None
of the states south of the line had done so, but there was considerable support
of abolition.

But as the 1800s progressed, the slave states became more committed to slavery,
not less, in large part because of a simple invention: the cotton "gin" (short
for "engine"). It so reduced the cost of processing cotton that the economy of
the states that grew it was radically changed. Cotton eclipsed tobacco as the
main cash crop. The rest is, well, history.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2262. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:04:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901000415.13505.00001508@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 18557

In article <3B89C9B7.70022A46@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> (snip) Therefore, I don't see how you
>> can perceive historical fact as
>> justification or displacing blame. I
>> live in the this century (not in the
>> days of slavery and the civil war),
>> so I have nothing to justify and no
>> blame to displace. Or are you saying
>> that I do?
>
> Notice this question went unanswered (not a single person
>responded).

I've been on vacation. Sorry.

> Far too many in this country today still believe that we
>are responsible for the ills of the past - that we should be held
>accountable for something that happened long before we were even born.

And they are mistaken. One can only be responsible for one's own actions.

>In fact, it is exactly this mentality that drives the present civil
>rights movement (blacks should receive favorable treatment because
>their ancestors were mistreated and those are the people who did it).

It's not that simple, Dwight. See below.

>And, again, this is a very harmful way of thinking because it so
>easily justifies mistreatment of another group. For example, when
>applied to civil rights, it justifies black only colleges while the
>same is illegal for whites, black only political organizations while
>the same is illegal for whites, and widespread discrimination against
>whites by black owned businesses.
>
First off, the civil rights movement is not a monolithic entity. There are lots
of issues to deal with. The most important issue is that all people did not
suddenly receive equal civil rights in 1865. For example, there were all sorts
of weird voting laws that made it extremely difficult or impossible for certain
groups to register to vote. It took Federal laws over a century to wipe them
out. The lunacy of "separate but equal" educational systems was actually
endorsed by the Supremes , then rejected. Discrimination in employment, the
military, housing, health care, and many other areas continued for a very long

time. Some of it still lingers today.

Discrimination was not limited to black people, of course. Women (who did not get the right to vote until 1920) and many minorities (Jews, Catholics, immigrants from eastern or southern Europe, to name just a few) were often discriminated against. Then there's anti-regional bias - you know something about that!

Now the question is, how to end this? Some say that the way to end discrimination is simply to end it - no more preferential treatment for anybody. That's what "equal opportunity" really means.

Others say that's not enough, that some sort of reparations or remedial action needs to be taken to fix the many years of discrimination. That's what "affirmative action" means.

They are not the same thing by any means.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2263. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:04:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901000416.13505.00001510@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 18555

In article <3B89A6D2.B307BCB6@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > It might help matters if you guys
>> > shopped at different stores, trimmed
>> > those sloppy beards, and stopped
>> > wearing those silly, out of date,
>> > swords.
>>
>> Belay that or I run ye though with cold
>> steel!
>
>
> There you go with that sword thing again.

Ye landlubbers and yer Freudian imagery!

> Try working on your people
>skills, Long Jim.

There be two kinds o' people I be interested in, me hearties!

> By the way, could you imagine someone saying that
>(work on your people skills) to a pirate years ago? I'm surprised
>someone hasn't worked that (modern job standards) into a pirate movie.
>It would be funny.

They have, me bucko.

Did ye never see the cinematic master piece, "Monty Python's 'The Meaning Of
Life'? It begins with just such a tale, of manly men sailing the wide seas of
chartered accountancy. ARRRRRR! Even landlubbers can learn a thing or two from
it, aye.
>
>> > And finding a woman might do wonders
>> > for your disposition (I think you've
>> > all spent way too much time with those
>> > cannons). ;-)
>>
>> One in every port, me lad, one in every
>> port! ARRRRRR!
>
> But aren't you wanted by the authorities in most ports?

Aye, that be true, me bucko. But dead men tell no tales. ARRR!

>No wonder
>pirates had such a poor disposition - the authorities were interfering
>with the pirate's love life.

It's the puffy shirts, me bucko, the puffy shirts. Did ye never see that episode of Seinfeld?

The trouble these days, me lads, is that there be no proper songs like we pirates and sailing men had in our day. ARRR!

73 de "Long Jim", N2EY
>
>

2264. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:22:41 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901002241.26343.00003803@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18558

>Because its fun,

I can't believe we agree on something.

2265. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:28:56 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901002856.26343.00003804@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18559

Gee Bruce that was an intelligent answer. I bet you didn't have to memorize it

2266. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:31:19 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901003119.26343.00003805@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18560

>No need to memorize it, all your going to do is answer the answer the Exact
>Q&A anyway, save your time as far as Memorizing Ohms Law, and just Memorize
>the
>Q&A.

Thats not what I did. I find it much easier to learn the math than memorize the questions and answers.

2267. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 04:36:15 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901003615.26343.00003806@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 18561

How many hours would a few hours be. 5, 10, 20, 40 80, 160, 320, 640, 1280, 2560

2268. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 10:17:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B90B5BB.F0A531F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18562

N2EY wrote:
>
> That slavery was a major cause of
> the confederacy's existence.

There were a lot of causes of the confederacy's existence, Jim. But we've talked that to death over the last three weeks, so lets not go there again.

> South Carolina had the highest slave
> population of any state. If memory
> serves, the 1860 census found that
> SC's population was 57% slaves! In
> other words, almost 2/3 of SC's
> people did not even own themselves!

Again, you're trying to apply today's standards to the past. At the time, slaves were not part of "SC's population." They were property, not citizens.

> Again, if memory serves, SC was the
> first state to secede, and by attacking
> Fort Sumter it led the way to war.

The civil war might not have happened at all if the Union had properly recognized the secession and had removed it's troops from Fort Sumter. Instead, the Union left it's troops at that fort, with the implied notion that the Union, not SC, controlled that important harbor. At that point, SC had no choice other than to fight.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2269. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 10:20:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B90B65E.B816726B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18563

N2EY wrote:
>
> Did ye never see the cinematic
> master piece, "Monty Python's
> 'The Meaning Of Life'? It begins
> with just such a tale, of manly
> men sailing the wide seas of
> chartered accountancy. ARRRRRR!
> Even landlubbers can learn a
> thing or two from it, aye.

Monty Python films never really interested me.

> The trouble these days, me lads,
> is that there be no proper songs
> like we pirates and sailing men
> had in our day. ARRR!

You mean those great love songs like "99 bottles of rum on the
wall?" :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2270. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 10:57:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901065700.21431.00004554@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18564

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>. Some of the things being said today by people who probably do believe
>> that they are helping the mode are the very things that are going to make
>it
>> hard to convince new hams that the mode is valuable, has utility and it a
>lot
>> of fun to use. Ironic, indeed.

>Actually I agree with you, some of you guys trivializing knowledge of
>Morse code do it no good for the future.

There you go again, not believing that the mode is valuable enouh, has enough utility and is fun enough to use that it will not survive without extensive FCC testing. Do you think your saying that will convince new hams to try it? I do not.

Fortunately, there are those among us who realize that saying positive things about Morse code and its applications can and will help persuade people to learn it and use it.

Now as to my views, I would like to see some code testing continue in the Amateur Radio Service. I don't think that my view is going to prevail, though, so I am not going to say things that will destroy the only future Morse code may have to try to accomplish something that won't happen.

The biggest difference between us, Dick, is that I believe that Morse code has enough utility and appeal to survive on its own when code testing is removed. You apparently do not. If you feel the mode has such little value, I can't understand why you are so passionate about the subject.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2271. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:00:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901070033.21431.00004555@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18565

From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)

>The numbers are kicking my butt as well.

Yeah, but trust me as one who has been through it. All of a sudden, the pattern kicks into place and you will copy them at almost any speed.

> I too practice with a code oscillator, not to mention the Gordo cassettes and the
> ARRL CD's.

Watch out for tapes and CDs. After a while, one can memorize the text and get a false sense of security.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2272. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:01:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901070113.21431.00004556@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18566

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Cecil, I just callz'em like I seez'em!

Of that we have no doubt.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2273. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:04:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901070421.21431.00004557@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18567

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Unfortunately, your poor performance on the test you took here has pretty
>>much permanantly sealed your fate.

>Yes -- my fate is sealed. I get to continue on being an Amateur Extra
>Class licensee, who upgraded during the FCC-tested Pre-Restructuring
>Era, and who "Aced" all but one of my written tests (the Advanced) in
>the process.

Yeah, but that doesn't count here, Larry. On rrap, you are a lower-status participant and cannot change that now that you have shown the world that you could not pass a single-question test on one of the most fundamental aspects of electromagnetics.

>I will gladly put my current technical knowledge
>to the test against any randomly-selected, newly licensed Amateur
>Extra of the Post-Restructuring Era.

Why does the selection have to be random? You claim you are superior to them ALL.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2274. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:09:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901070927.21431.00004558@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18569

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hmmm. Might I speculate what you "felt should be done?" My guess
>is that you "felt" that Morse code testing should have been totally
>eliminated, or should have been reduced to answering questions
>*about* the Morse code within the written test, or that the scores
>of the Morse code and written tests should be combined -- or some
>variation of these typical NCTA "work arounds" to thwart the pass/fail
>nature of the stand-alone code tests. Am I warm?

No. My input to Tom Frenaye was that I believed there should be 4 classes of ham license. A 0 wpm Tech, a 5 wpm General, a 5 or 10 wpm Advanced (I didn't care which) and a 20 wpm Extra. I believed that the Extra should convey only the lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 and 10.

It didn't happen. From what the FCC did, I think the next change after the code requirement is dropped as an international treaty should be to have a 4-tier structure, with a 0 wpm Technician, a 0 wpm General, a 0 wpm Advanced and a code test for Extra CW priveleges on the lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 and 10.

That latter belief is the reason I do not support No Code International. They have made it pretty clear that it is exactly their way, or the highway. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2275. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:13:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901071353.21431.00004559@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18568

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>As I've said
>here before, the less you know the easier it is to use this method of
>passing the tests, while learning virtually nothing. This is done by
>many applicants who just want the license.

Dick, please don't mislead people who are studying for their licences. This is just about the most difficult way possible to pass the test. It is much easier to learn the simple concepts and apply 6th-grade math to solving them.

What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2276. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:17:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901071713.21431.00004560@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18570

N0YM wrote:

> It seems like your prejudice isn't against the test, it's against certain methods of
> learning.

Actually, I believe his prejudice is toward word-association memorization. That is why he erroneously keeps telling new hams that word-association is the way to study for the test.

He is wrong. The easiest way to pass the test is by learning the underlying concepts and using 6th-grade math.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2277. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:20:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B90C44F.4E3612B9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18571

N2EY wrote:
>
> It's not that simple, Dwight. See below.
>
> (snip)
>
> Others say that's not enough, that
> some sort of reparations or remedial
> action needs to be taken to fix the
> many years of discrimination. That's what
> "affirmative action" means.

You're right, it is not that simple. If the real goal is "remedial action needs to be taken to fix the many years of discrimination," than that process can go on forever. For example, fifty years from now, you're going to have all those who have suffered under the present affirmative action programs demanding "remedial action" to address the wrongs done to them (assuming that group is not simply eliminated from the American political landscape).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2278. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:20:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901072018.21431.00004561@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18572

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>That is correct, of course. My error was in not making it clear that I
>meant power and that I was not interested in "working" his problem.

So that is why you told me that it was my problem if I didn't understand that
field strength from an antenna varies as the inverse square of the distance,
eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2279. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:22:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901072242.21431.00004562@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18573

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> But that is not the easiest way to pass the tests, Dick.

> What experience do you draw on to make that statement? I learned about
>that method of quickly getting the license after a few years continuous
>time as a active VE. Previously I never suspected it. My view is
>definitely reinforced by all the cram scools which guarantee the
>applicant that he will be able to pass a ham radio test after a few
>hours of studying the tests. How you can ignore all that is amazing.

Dick, I have seen plenty of examples in what you "see" when you want to see it.
I have no doubt that some manage that technique in studying for their tests,
but they are wasting a lot of effort. The easiest way to pass the tests is to
learn the underlying material and apply 6th-grade math to work out the answers.

I am surprised you are not explaining that to new hams instead of convincing
them to use word association to pass their tests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2280. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:24:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901072455.21431.00004563@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18574

From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net

>A simply way to make sure those taking the test memorize the theories rather
>then the answers would be to have multiple guess questions for the
>regulations and fill-in questions for the formulae.

I would have no objection to that at all. It would require a rules change,
however, because the current regulations require that the exam question pool
must be public.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2281. Re: Promoting fun radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:25:15 UTC
From: radiowaves <me@offlinel.uk.co>
Message-ID: <9mqgf6lsb1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 18575
Cross-posted to: alt.crazy-hams-435-repeater,alt.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

SAD MAN

G8ASO &#65533; <great_steaming_loony@worcester.com> wrote in message news:3b8f2e8b_1@news1.vip.uk.com...
> Greetings
>
> The Laughing Policeman Wireless Society http://www.laughingpoliceman.com
> have relentlessly promoted the hobby for over 10 years.
> Have fun with your hobby! why not build a repeater bug? full construction
> details on our club site with updated tone burst schematic and timer
> diagrams.
> With an old hand-held the total construction cost is under &#65533;100 for months
> of fun!
>
> G8ASO
>
>

2282. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:28:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B90C649.B3173A08@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18576

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > I've never heard of this group.
> > What do that do or what do they
> > advocate?
>
> http://www.flat-earth.org

I saw that website before you posted it, Cecil. It doesn't exactly give a lot of details. Do you know anything more about this group? It sounds like one of those college prank groups.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2283. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:29:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901072943.21431.00004564@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18577

From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net

>Publish it as:
> A sine wave of ______ volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?

> Answers are to be considered correct if within 0.5V

I think that all the requirements could be met if it were done in a table.

A sine wave of "E1" volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS ("E2")?

E1 E2
17.0 12.01
10.0 7.07
etc

If there were 10 entries in the table, I think that at that point, even Dick would stop convinging hams that they should be word-assocation memorizing the answers. Bruce will probably never see the light. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2284. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:33:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B90C768.FA57C075@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18578

CAM wrote:
>
> Some of them think it's like a
> Spanish teacher enforcing a
> Spanish-only rule in his/her
> Spanish class. :-)

Not a pleasant subject for me at the moment. I just dropped out of one of those classes - the teacher was using Spanish Spanish (whatever you call it), not American Spanish like the previous classes I've taken. I switched to a German class instead.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2285. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:33:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901073353.21431.00004565@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 18579

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> >How does one learn band edges

>> Who knows but if you miss that Question no big deal.

>IT's a big deal for me. I consider anything less than 100%
>to be a failure. I'm a digital engineer, you know.

Bruce got one wrong on his Extra (if you believe him). Do you consider him to be a failure? Good thing he didn't get the question I asked about the gain of a dipole in dBd, or when he left it blank, he would have gotten it wrong. :-)

Of course, he got one wrong on his post, too -- if you miss up to *any* 25% of the questions, the FCC feels it is no big deal.

I will add that if you get the band edges wrong *after* you have gotten the license, the FCC might consider it to be a big deal. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2286. Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:42:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901074201.01923.00003280@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 18580

(This posting is being forwarded to the ARRL as a "Letter To The Editor)

Hi Everyone,

Now that ARRL has thier own electronic QSL system in place or about to be launched, I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art and tradition of QSLing will be lost.

Don't get me wrong...I am not trying to be "anti-technology" here. I love being able to access info that only a few years ago would have required a trip to the library. E mail, games, research, etc are all fantastic. (This submission is being sent electronically)

But do we really need to take away one of the last vestiges of human interaction? I know that some will say "you can still do it if you want...", but like any other "commoditiy", how long will it be until electronic QSL's will be ALL that you can get?

Before, getting certain awards meant that you made a certain amount of QSO's, exchanged QSL cards and made your application. It didn't require any special equipment or access. Elctronic QSL's will now MANDATE (eventually) the ownership of a computer, access to the net and the prerequisite software, not to mention a printer and the consumables that go with it.

Let's not lose that personal contact that binds ALL Amateurs worldwide together.

73

Steve Robeson, K4YZ
POB 2103
Dunlap, TN 37327

2287. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:52:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B90CC11.60182C27@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18581

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > http://www.flat-earth.org
>
> I saw that website before you posted it, Cecil. It doesn't exactly
> give a lot of details. Do you know anything more about this group? It
> sounds like one of those college prank groups.

Don't know much about them but they seem to have a large membership.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2288. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 11:59:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B90CD8F.422536C5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18582

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >I will gladly put my current technical knowledge
> >to the test against any randomly-selected, newly licensed Amateur
> >Extra of the Post-Restructuring Era.
>
> Why does the selection have to be random? You claim you are superior to them
> ALL.

I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
I'm a post-restructuring Extra.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2289. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:02:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901080254.03183.00005054@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 18585

In article <856i7.62325$gj1.5757173@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010826080319.21030.00002604@nso-fr.aol.com...
>> In article <3B8851AC.58E3CB9F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
>> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>>
>> >> It was about the economics of slavery.
>> >> Freeing the slaves was essentially the
>> >> returning of stolen property (the slaves'
>> >> lives) to the rightful owners (the
>> >> slaves themselves).
>> >
>> >
>> > No, slavery was just an easy target.
>>
>> Well, yeah. A minority of the popultation literally OWNING a majority of
>the
>> population (as was the case in South Carolina, for example) is a pretty
>obvious
>> wrong that needs to be righted.
>>
>> The amazing thing is that it took so long.
>>
>> >If slavery had not existed,
>> >Lincoln would have found something else to use against the confederacy
>> >and we would be arguing about that today instead of the slavery issue.
>> >
>> No.
>>
>> If slavery had not existed, the confederacy would not have existed.
>>
>> What Lincoln gradually came to understand was that the main reason for the
>> economic/social/political division between the states that wanted to
>secede and
>> the ones that didn't was slavery, pure and simple. The divisions had come
>about
>> BECAUSE of the widespread existence of slavery in the states where it
>existed.
>> This is why a few states where slavery existed but was not very prevalent
>(like
>> Missouri) did not try to secede.
>>

>> Think about this: Where is Fort Sumter? What was the % slave population of
>that
>> state in 1860?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
>While not disagreeing with your thesis, Jim, Missouri is not a really good
>example. The Civil War was in full bloom in parts of Missouri from 1856 on
>when the whole 'bleeding Kansas' thing got going. What was weird was the
>geography: Mark Twain country in the Northeast (still called Little Dixie)
>was pro-Confederate while Southwest Mo around Springfield was definitely
>Federal territory. Look on your trusty Rand-McNally along I-70 between STL
>and Columbia and you will see Kingdom City, once the seat of the Kingdom of
>Calloway which seceded from everything and shot both sides on sight.

Thanks for the excellent history lesson! Sounds like Missouri was a microcosm
of the conflict.

The Kingdom of Calloway is right out of "A Canticle For Leibowitz".

One can look up census data all the way back to 1790 online. (I lost the url,
but a quick check of Yahoo should do the job). The results can be sorted by
county, too. Perhaps a comparison between % slaves in various Missouri counties
would shed more light on things.
>
>One person Harry Truman could not handle was his mother-in-law. When he
>came from the National Guard drills to court Bess, he had to change out of
>his uniform in the outhouse; mama would not let a federal uniform in the
>house.

Amazing. But not unbelievable.
>
>One of Grant's early campaigns was to take and defend the Federal Arsenal at
>St. Louis. Had this fallen into rebel hands, the war might have taken a far
>different course.
>
Lots of examples of that in any war. Battle of Midway, f'r instance.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2290. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:02:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901080255.03183.00005055@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 18583

In article <3B890444.4DE62D92@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> If slavery had not existed, the confederacy would not have existed.
>
>That might or might not be true.

It's true.

> There were many other points of
>disagreement between the North and the South.

They were all founded in slavery and the results of some states allowing slaves
and others prohibiting it.

>Slavery might have
>been the straw that broke the camel's back.

Even if that was true, without slavery the confederacy would not have existed.
No straw = unbroken camel. QED.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--

2291. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:02:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901080255.03183.00005056@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 18584

In article <3B8E5267.96A8F204@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
>quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
>Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.

This was posted using AOL 5.0. I've used AOL software from 3.0 to 6.0. I gave up on 6.0 and went back to 5.0 because it had a number of extremely annoying features, like insisting on sending email as HTML. (This is fixable, but I had already gone back to 5.0 when I learned of the fix).

The proper number of ">" will be preserved IF one sets the correct quoting style.

Why AOL? Because I'm not the only one in the family who uses the computer.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2292. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:09:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B90CFF6.CD7A1805@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18586

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Not a pleasant subject for me at the moment. I just dropped out of
> one of those classes - the teacher was using Spanish Spanish (whatever
> you call it), not American Spanish like the previous classes I've
> taken. I switched to a German class instead.

I took a couple of years of Spanish and then did some consulting
in Columbia. The natives told me I was speaking Castillian Spanish.
It seemed to be a compliment.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2293. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:24:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901082405.01438.00002807@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18587

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
>I'm a post-restructuring Extra.

This certainly will tell us whether Larry really believes he is superior to all post-restructuring Extras, won't it. :-)
Somehow, I think he will squirm right past your offer, or pretend he didn't read it. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2294. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:25:09 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <7b5e87de5ad0a5a561168450d8db7d6c@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 18588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@alt.net> wrote in message news:3B902EDD.F6D85DCE@houston-hunks.com...
>
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Then take a Phenergan, cuz here it comes again.
> >
> > No Name = Anonymous Coward.
> >
>
> That's okay. Stupidity can't be fixed; ignorance can. Guess we know
> which category you fall into.

har har har har...yew needs ta go back into drug rehab...maybee dey can increase yer dose dis tyme...
har har har har...

2295. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:26:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B90D3FC.8557F6B1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18589

N2EY wrote:
> Even if that was true, without slavery the confederacy would not have existed.
> No straw = unbroken camel. QED.

There you go again, Jim, portraying yourself as omniscient. Taking away
slavery would not have guaranteed a zero percent chance of a civil war.
It would only have resulted in a lower probability of civil war.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2296. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:30:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901083019.01445.00002713@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18590

>(This posting is being forwarded to the ARRL as a "Letter To The Editor)

Good for you, Steve! It was well put and stated in a way that fosters discussion.

Having said that, there is nothing about Log of the World that will prevent those who choose to exchange paper QSLs from doing so. In fact, I agree that there is something nice about that piece of paper on the wall. I don't think that QSLing will go away at all.

Will some DXpeditions choose to QSL only electronically. I would imagine -- it saves a LOT of work. Your choice will be to work them or not. If you want only paper confirmations, wait for the rare one to come up again with an op who QSLs on paper.

I think that most ops will QSLL with a card, though. People go on DXpeditions to help other hams and to have the time of their life. Those who would do that will probably honor the request for the card.

I think there is plenty of room for both.

Just MHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2297. Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:32:07 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901083207.04344.00004094@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 18591

>I just want to wish everybody and their families/friends a happy and healthy
>holiday weekend.
>
>Very best regards,
>
>Bert
>KC2HMN

You too buddy. Take care and 73.

Mark

2298. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:32:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B90D559.7C2CECD0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18593

N2EY wrote:
> This was posted using AOL 5.0. I've used AOL software from 3.0 to 6.0. I gave
> up on 6.0 and went back to 5.0 because it had a number of extremely annoying
> features, like insisting on sending email as HTML. (This is fixable, but I had
> already gone back to 5.0 when I learned of the fix).

HTML email is annoying because Netscape doesn't know how to quote it
in a reply. I have to cut and paste to be able to quote HTML in a reply.

> The proper number of ">" will be preserved IF one sets the correct quoting
> style.

Well, I'm receiving contradictory emails on that subject. Are you saying
that selecting "Internet Style" quotes will fix the attribution problem?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2299. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:33:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DA4k7.7311$KV3.539135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18592

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010901080254.03183.00005054@nso-ck.aol.com...
> >> Think about this: Where is Fort Sumter? What was the % slave population
of
> >that
> >> state in 1860?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >>
> > >
> >While not disagreeing with your thesis, Jim, Missouri is not a really
good
> >example. The Civil War was in full bloom in parts of Missouri from 1856
on
> >when the whole 'bleeding Kansas' thing got going. What was weird was the
> >geography: Mark Twain country in the Northeast (still called Little
Dixie)
> >was pro-Confederate while Southwest Mo around Springfield was definitely
> >Federal territory. Look on your trusty Rand-McNally along I-70 between
STL
> >and Columbia and you will see Kingdom City, once the seat of the Kingdom
of
> >Calloway which seceded from everything and shot both sides on sight.
>
> Thanks for the excellent history lesson! Sounds like Missouri was a
microcosm
> of the conflict.
>
> The Kingdom of Calloway is right out of "A Canticle For Leibowitz".
>
> One can look up census data all the way back to 1790 online. (I lost the
url,
> but a quick check of Yahoo should do the job). The results can be sorted
by
> county, too. Perhaps a comparison between % slaves in various Missouri
counties
> would shed more light on things.
> >
> >
> >One of Grant's early campaigns was to take and defend the Federal Arsenal
at
> >St. Louis. Had this fallen into rebel hands, the war might have taken a
far

> >different course.
> >
> Lots of examples of that in any war. Battle of Midway, f'r instance.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

some snippo applied

That Missouri did not secede is understandable given that the Assembly would have had its own Civil War.

The Kansas thing (along with your excellent point on SC) is a really good illustration of your thesis. Slavery was most definitely the point there, rather oddly when the area was about as useful as the back side of the moon for slavery and plantation economies in general. The hotbed of pro-slavery activity was in the Kansas City area where they simply crossed the border and took up jayhawking.

Look up the career of Sterling Price. Could have been the model for Jubulation T. Cornpone.

From Heinlein's Starship Troopers: If you think wars don't settle things, tell it to the city fathers of Troy.

Dick NBK

2300. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:39:35 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bG4k7.7317$KV3.539960@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18594

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B90CFF6.CD7A1805@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Not a pleasant subject for me at the moment. I just dropped out of
> > one of those classes - the teacher was using Spanish Spanish (whatever
> > you call it), not American Spanish like the previous classes I've
> > taken. I switched to a German class instead.
>
> I took a couple of years of Spanish and then did some consulting
> in Columbia. The natives told me I was speaking Castillian Spanish.
> It seemed to be a compliment.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

As long as you did not develop the Castillian lisp affected by all kinds of SoCal social climbing ladies. Had I been on OJs jury, I would have gotten him off the hook by strangling Marcia Clark sometime in the second week.

There is a dear lady running an Oprah in Spanish out of Miami who has had to develop a Spanish spoken by no one to keep everyone happy. Seems some things that are perfectly reasonable in Cuban are obscenities in Bolivia.

Dick, Beer Keg

2301. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:44:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901084429.01923.00003285@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 18596

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/1/01 8:30 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010901083019.01445.00002713@mb-cv.aol.com>

>It was well put and stated in a way that fosters
>discussion.

Every once in a while I screw up and do something that reveals my
potential.

Not often, but it happens ! ! ! !

>Having said that, there is nothing about Log of the World that will prevent
>those who choose to exchange paper QSLs from doing so.

That's what I said. But I can see how it will become "mandatory" in a
very short period of time.

And it will be a sad day.

73

Steve

2302. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:45:06 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9mql6p$5d3$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 18595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger makes some good points however if things are that bad for anyone
using the proper ID, then that person should consider leaving the group and
taking up waitering in a home for the insane by serving soup to nuts.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>, Stagger Lee
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> "David J. Windisch" wrote:
>
>> ID yourselves, cowards.
>
>
> Perhaps you would go to the worst part of town and scream out your name,
> address and phone number, but most sensible people wouldn't. Reason:
> They don't want to set themselves up as targets for the lawless
> criminals who hang out there.
>
> Similarly, Usenet is inhabited by some real scum who think nothing of
> taking it to "real life" and harassing someone they don't like. The ham
> news group readers have already had uninvited people show up at their
> homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have
> received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen
> letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison
> pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts
> canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened
> repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly included
> (one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt to learn
> my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and
> obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.
>
> I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
> physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
> only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
> people who read these posts.
>
> And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
> TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to
> shut your arrogant mouth.

2303. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:45:28 UTC
From: "David J. Windisch" <davidw@copper.net>
Message-ID: <mM4k7.70$lJ2.65293@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 18597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Agreed.

K3BHJ

2304. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:46:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901084658.01923.00003286@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 18598

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: "A. Melon" juicy@melontraffickers.com
>Date: 9/1/01 8:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7b5e87de5ad0a5a561168450d8db7d6c@melontraffickers.com>

>har har har har...yew needs ta go back into drug rehab...maybee dey can
>increase yer dose dis tyme...
>har har har har...

"A. Melon"...???

"dey"...?!?! "dis"...?!?!

Ebonics comes to RRAP. Figures.

Steve

2305. Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:47:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901084735.01923.00003287@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 18599

>Subject: Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!
>From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
>Date: 9/1/01 8:32 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010901083207.04344.00004094@mb-fz.aol.com>
>
>>I just want to wish everybody and their families/friends a happy and healthy
>>holiday weekend.
>>
>>Very best regards,
>>
>>Bert
>>KC2HMN
>
>You too buddy. Take care and 73.

Dittos and trittos

73

Steve, K4YZ

2306. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 12:48:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B90D912.298AD931@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18600

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
> >I'm a post-restructuring Extra.
>
> This certainly will tell us whether Larry really believes he is superior to all
> post-restructuring Extras, won't it. :-)
> Somehow, I think he will squirm right past your offer, or pretend he didn't
> read it. :-)

I've already readied the question on a simple matching problem. :-)

Given an SWR of 12:1 on 450 ohm ladder-line (VF=0.9), what length of
parallel capacitive stub is necessary to bring the impedance to 50+j0 ohms
and what percentage of a wavelength away from the current loop should it
be placed?
--
Cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2307. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:06:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901100652.18783.00010308@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18502

>Gee Bruce that was an intelligent answer. I bet you didn't have to memorize
>it
>

Your right I didnt, Im not like you who Memorized your way into ham radio

2308. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:07:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901100720.18783.00010309@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18501

>Thats not what I did. I find it much easier to learn the math than memorize
>the
>questions and answers.
>

YEA RIGHT

2309. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:07:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901100747.18783.00010310@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18503

>How many hours would a few hours be. 5, 10, 20, 40 80, 160, 320, 640, 1280,
>2560
>
>

TWO

2310. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:09:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901100914.18783.00010311@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18504

>What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the
>material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe
>you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."
>
>73,

Its not asumed its a FACT

2311. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:11:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901101138.18783.00010312@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18506

>That is why he erroneously keeps telling new hams that word-association is
>the
>way to study for the test.
>

Its the only way, why would a New Ham want to waste his time learning this Crap, when it so much easier just to Memorize the Q&A, all the Guy wants to do is talk on his Mic.

2312. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:11:57 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <K06k7.68$WY1.145469@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 18505

Ed:

A better, simpler approach than I suggested. It really would be an
improvement over the current method, I think.

Paul Friedman

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010901072943.21431.00004564@mb-mr.aol.com...
> From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>
> >Publish it as:
> > A sine wave of ______ volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?
>
> > Answers are to be considered correct if within 0.5V
>
> I think that all the requirements could be met if it were done in a table.
>
> A sine wave of "E1" volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS ("E2")?
>
> E1 E2
> 17.0 12.01
> 10.0 7.07
> etc
>
> If there were 10 entries in the table, I think that at that point, even
Dick
> would stop convinging hams that they should be word-assocation memorizing
the
> answers. Bruce will probably never see the light. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2313. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:51 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <f76k7.39$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18507

Lets forget even Windows 9X or even 3.X.... all computers MUST revert back
to DOS!

Thats what makes a real computer operator! :)

Ryan

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:0darotstdlffgcfklcvcbibug1ti8slcqv@4ax.com...
> On 30 Aug 2001 00:32:16 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> wrote:
>
> >You're just another whining weenie trying
> >to make me think I'm a bad person for supporting high standards in the
> >ARS (and other aspects of society).
>
> ----------
>
> Does "high standards" in "other aspects of society" include using AOL,
> the no-code of ISP's?
>
> Talk about dumbing down!!
>
> Bill, W7TI

2314. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:52 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <g76k7.40$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18508

Gee... other that a few corrections for errors.... how much has the written exams actually changed?? From the different question pools I have seen from the past 20 years or so, (nice to aquire old books! :), the questions haven't changed that much. Was there more questions asked? If the problem is multiple-guess now, were the questions fill-in-the-blank?
If the problem now with the written tests is that they are multiple guess, why don't they either change it to some other format or at least make the answers not so apparently obvious.

I will say it again to all that are complaining about the testing procedures, what exactly are the methods of testing that will finally make you happy?? Please be specific, not using generalities etc..

Ryan

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3B8DCA95.627BB05D@sccoast.net...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > > My comment was about the recent
> > > changes in the code test
> > > requirements,
> >
> > Oh I see, you wouldnt be a Real
> > Ham unless the CW Test and the
> > Written Test hadnt been Dumbed Down.
>
>
> A person would be a "real" ham, regardless of what ham license test
> he passed.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2315. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:52 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <g76k7.41$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18510

Hmmm.... sounds like Republicanism at its finest.....

Ryan

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B8DEAD1.B8615968@sccoast.net...
> Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > Hmm.... except for drive time, our
> > repeaters around here are silent except
> > for net operations. I used a program
> > called scanner recorder and recorded a
> > 24 hour period of time on 6 different
> > days in the past six months and the
> > average transmitting time of the
> > repeater in my own city was 37 minutes
> > (excluding the thursday nite net) per
> > 24 hours. And most of that was the
> > ID's and time statements. And good
> > luck on simplex too. I have tried on
> > about 100 different times in the past
> > year to establish a simplex contact.
> > No luck there either. (snip)
>
>
> It is the same way around here, and I know exactly what the problem
> is (around here anyway). One one hand, you have people talking about
> how the ham radio frequencies are under used, and how we may lose
> those frequencies if we cannot get people to use them more, while, at
> the same time, you have higher class operators berating Technicians
> whenever they get on the repeater and say something the higher class
> operators don't like. I've seen it happen over and over in this area.
> It's to the point now where most of the Technicians in this area will
> not talk on the radio to avoid being bad mouthed by some clown who
> thinks his higher license class gives him the right to dictate what
> others can and cannot say.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2316. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:53 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <h76k7.42$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18509

So what can they do to change themselves just to make you happy??

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9mlov6dmm2@208.207.71.137...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
> >
> > >It's to the point now where most of the Technicians in this area will
> > >not talk on the radio to avoid being bad mouthed by some clown who
> > >thinks his higher license class gives him the right to dictate what
> > >others can and cannot say.
> >
> > Same thing happens here, and it only takes two or three to conduct this
> > "campaign." The really odd part is the hams that do that probably
believe that
> > they are somehow saving Amateur Radio. I think the end result speaks
for
> > itself to prove them wrong.
>
>
> Yep, there is no saving ham radio from total CBization. It has been
> underwaay for a long time and will ultimately prevail, with plenty of
> help from hams like you.

2317. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:54 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <i76k7.43$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18511

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:gnnsotc760rmhfaf7obilr6bg225fkjknf@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 30 Aug 2001 03:27:22 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >And good
> >> luck on simplex too. I have tried on
> >> about 100 different times in the past
> >> year to establish a simplex contact.
> >> No luck there either.
>
> ____
>
> A little off topic, but that's ok.
>
> I always use 146.52 simplex on the road mostly out of curiosity and I
> get about one response out of maybe 10-15 CQ's. Somehow, I like the
> random simplex QSO better than using a repeater.
>
> I wonder if my calling CQ instead of just saying "monitoring" puts off
> some people?
>
> Bill, W7TI

I have tried also on 70cm..... not much activity there in Michigan except repeater links. The simplex frequencies are comatose there too.... I am stuck in the VHF and above ranges not because of licensure, but other problems.... So I have to make the best of what I have to work with. Currently I have one scanner that has ALL the 6m, 2m, and 70cm simplex frequencies programmed in, in 10khz increments, and I bet you can guess which one is the quietest scanner I own.....

Ryan

2318. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:55 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <j76k7.44$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18512

>
> > I agree.... with less and less test sessions being available, one may
have
> > to drive a distance to get to a testing session. Another valid point
that
> > needs to be addressed is the hours that things are done within amatuer
> > radio. Why the hell is everything in the early morning? Not all of us
are
> > old farts that are up at the crack of dawn.... (some old farts I know
even
> > stay up late at night too) Some of us work second or third shift. What
is
> > wrong with an afternoon test session or other event? With many of us
that
> > are in the hobby and still in the workforce having to work multiple
jobs,
> > things are just not like the 50's anymore.
>
>
> Gee, I dunno, Ryan. All the years I ran a VE team we tested at 7pm on
> Tuesday night, and I can't tell you how many of those Tuesday nights I
> was up till 1:30 or 2am doublechecking and finishing up all the
> paperwork invoved with the test session so it could go into the morning
> mail. And I was up at 6 and off to work myself. For I, too, was still in
> the workforce...

Where do you live then??? Sound like I need to get the hell out of
Michigan!! :)

Ryan

2319. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:55 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <j76k7.45$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18513

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com> wrote in
message
news:38A6ED4A98963201.BA0C2BD765280A62.B8930029E405AC8E@lp.airnews.net...
> "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in
> <yP6h7.19$of.13642@monger.newsread.com>:
>
> >That brings a point up..... I got slammed a couple times for using the Q
> >signals on VHF/UHF ranges. If normal language doesn't provide for clear
> >and understood communications, what is wrong with using a Q signal to
> >clarify something? The only "10 code" that I haven't come up with a
> >ham radio equivalent is the "10-100" one. LOL
>
> You mean QPP? :)
>

Never though of that one..... Again stated, using the APCO Phonetics (Adam,
Boy, Charlie etc.) at work and the ITU Phonetics (Alpha, Bravo, Charlie
etc.) in amateur radio can be a little confusing at times.... :)

Ryan

2320. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:56 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <k76k7.46$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 18514

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010830113535.01539.00005165@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Maybe if we hams had had as much interest in microwave as we did
> >in UHF we would still have more of it.
> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
>
> Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving
are VHF
> and UHF

Who says that HF is the Big Draw?

Ryan

2321. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:27:01 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901102701.22473.00006737@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18515

> It's the people who come to the test
>with no background whatever and make as good a score as you and take
>away the same license as you do, yet have learned virtually nothing in
>the licensing process.

If a person has no electronics background, they can not pass a ham exam without studying for the test. To say they have learned nothing in the licensing process is what I
would call a statement base on less than average intelligence. The other option is that it
could be a statement form a person that is afraid of any change. I would like to se some
of the guys that passed there so called (Real Test) in the so called good old days at the
FCC office walk into a VE test session and take an extra exam without any study. I have
a feeling a good portion would not pass the test. But we will never know because that
will never happen.

2322. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:36:16 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901103616.22473.00006743@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18516

>Dick, please don't mislead people who are studying for their licences. This
>is
>just about the most difficult way possible to pass the test

Dick does not anyone new to get a licence.
He has made clear he has no use for any New Hams.

2323. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:40:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901104044.18783.00010320@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18518

>If there were 10 entries in the table, I think that at that point, even Dick
>would stop convinging hams that they should be word-assocation memorizing the
>answers. Bruce will probably never see the light. :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

If any Newbies are reading this Stuff dont listen to ED. As ED has stated many times, he even guessed and Memorized his way into Ham Radio, as Ed has said many times you can learn this stuff after you get a license. If you want the trick to passing the Test, heres how you do it. First Memorize the Freqs you are alloyed to use, then the Power you can use, then read over the rules and stuff like that, dont put to much time on this because most are just common sense things, next forget about the Theory Crap, there are not that many Questions on it anyway, then if you are in doubt choose B or C as your answer, its your Call on that one. And the most Important thing to remember is you dont have to get 100% to Pass. If you fail the first time dont worry about it, its not that Big of a Deal, do it again just try and remember what they are looking for from your first test.
I personally know 1 Guy who did it this way, and we went from a No-Code Tech to Extra in one setting. He still doesnt know anything about theory and doesnt want to either.
This same thing will work for the CW Test also, but make sure they give you the Multipile Guess Test.
I know 3 guys also who passed the Cw this way, neither one of them to this day could tell the difference between an E or a T.

2324. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:40:57 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901104057.22473.00006745@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 18517

>All the years I ran a VE team we tested at 7pm on
>Tuesday night,

In my area No. Ca. I would say about 95% of VE sessions are at 9am Sat morning. THe few that I have seen that are not would reqiure up two 3 hours driving and are again in the mornings at 9AM. A few are in the evenings at 7pm. But when you work unitll 5 pm and are looking at a 3 hour drive. You are out of luck

2325. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:42:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901104234.18783.00010321@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18519

>Bruce got one wrong on his Extra (if you believe him).

You have no reason not to believe me.

2326. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:44:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901104415.18783.00010322@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18520

>Good thing he didn't get the question I asked about the gain of
>a dipole in dBd, or when he left it blank, he would have gotten it wrong. :-)

If i didnt know it big deal, I would have had 2 wrong and still passed the
silly Test.

2327. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:46:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901104647.18783.00010323@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18521

>I will add that if you get the band edges wrong *after* you have gotten the
>license, the FCC might consider it to be a big deal. :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Yea they "MIGHT", but so what, they tell me I not in my assigned area and that is the end of that, still no big deal.

2328. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:48:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901104835.18783.00010325@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18522

>That latter belief is the reason I do not support No Code International. They
>have made it pretty clear that it is exactly their way, or the highway. :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

And guess what ED there going to get it there WAY.

2329. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:52:48 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <lgt1ptcbsen0a5ttddnpljfievr6qp9udj@4ax.com>
Source record: 18523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 16:21:57 -0500, Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
wrote:

>And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
>TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to
>shut your arrogant mouth.

_____

This guy posts inflammatory stuff like the above and wonders why people
are out to "get" him?

Duh.

Bill, W7TI

2330. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 14:57:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901105726.18783.00010329@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18524

>If a person has no electronics background, they can not pass a ham exam
>without
>studying for the test.

PURE BS it happens every day, wake up CBer and get into the Real World

2331. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:00:30 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <4ot1pt8ehfr34rbcipirq6tuhfu5srsneq@4ax.com>
Source record: 18525

On 01 Sep 2001 11:42:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

> Now that ARRL has thier own electronic QSL system in place or about to be
>launched, I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art and
>tradition of QSLing will be lost.

Soon, I hope.

>Elctronic QSL's will now MANDATE (eventually) the
>ownership of a computer, access to the net and the prerequisite software, not
>to mention a printer and the consumables that go with it.

Mandate? I don't think so. You can go to the library and use theirs.
In my town, it's free. Probably in yours too.

Besides, for the cost of postage plus IRC's or green stamps for DXCC you
can buy an older but satisfactory computer anyway.

Bill, W7TI

2332. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:02:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901110244.18783.00010333@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18526

>In my area No. Ca. I would say about 95% of VE sessions are at 9am Sat
>morning.
>THe few that I have seen that are not would reqiure up two 3 hours driving
>and
>are again in the mornings at 9AM. A few are in the evenings at 7pm. But when
>you work unitll 5 pm and are looking at a 3 hour drive. You are out of luck
>

If you really wanted a License what difference would that make. What do you
want them to do send the Test to you in the mail and when your done with it
send it back, I should not have said that, watch some Idiot come up with a
Proposal to do just that, drbfrench do you know how to do fill out a Proposal?

2333. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:10:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901111036.01545.00003437@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 18527

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com
>Date: 9/1/01 11:00 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <4ot1pt8ehfr34rbcipirq6tuhfu5srsneq@4ax.com>

>(SNIP)....I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art and
>>tradition of QSLing will be lost.
>
>Soon, I hope.

See, Ed...?!?!

>Mandate? I don't think so. You can go to the library and use theirs.
>In my town, it's free. Probably in yours too.

Sure. The library still requires a fee for use of the computer for any consumables used, though. And they have a "time limit" on how long you can use it at one sitting.

NOT very convienient.

>Besides, for the cost of postage plus IRC's or green stamps for DXCC you
>can buy an older but satisfactory computer anyway.

True...and still pay for the ISP monthly...and still pay for the ink...and still pay for the paper or cards...

Etc, etc..etc...

The point was not about the convienience of it, that I acknowledge. The point was about the human contact. It's already on the way out. Guess Ham Radio was to suffer it also.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2334. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:24:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901112412.01544.00002255@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18528

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>That latter belief is the reason I do not support No Code International.
>They
>>have made it pretty clear that it is exactly their way, or the highway. :-)

>And guess what ED there going to get it there WAY.

More than likely, Bruce, although the FCC could listen to a request to retain Morse code testing related to Morse code priveleges, similar in scope to what I believe would be a good next step. But if NCI gets their way, I think it is as much as what they want happens to be the way the winds are blowing anyway.

Though I don't believe I will get my way with the FCC, I intend to support whatever regulations the Commission writes. I believe the very process of having regulations is more important than the details of those regulations. We will all have our say when the time comes, but then it is time to get back to what I see as more important issues facing Amateur Radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2335. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:25:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901112556.01544.00002256@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18529

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Bruce got one wrong on his Extra (if you believe him).

>You have no reason not to believe me.

You are correct. Unlike some here, I lack the ability to fathom the hidden meaning behind people's words. Of course, you could prove it once and for all and answer the question about how much gain a dipole has in dBd. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2336. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:26:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901112658.01544.00002257@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18530

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Good thing he didn't get the question I asked about the gain of
>>a dipole in dBd, or when he left it blank, he would have gotten it wrong.
>:-)

>If i didnt know it big deal, I would have had 2 wrong and still passed the
>silly Test.

Exactly right. Anything better than 75% and you would have satisfied the FCC
requirements.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2337. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:31:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901113111.01544.00002258@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18531

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>What do you want them to do send the Test to you in the mail and when your
> done with it send it back, I should not have said that, watch some Idiot come
up
> with a Proposal to do just that,

I don't know about an FCC Proposal, but I do recall that you proposed it here a
number of times. Is that close enough to an idiot doing just that to make you
uncomfortable? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2338. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:33:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901113332.01544.00002259@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18532

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>I will say it again to all that are complaining about the testing
>procedures, what exactly are the methods of testing that will finally make
>you happy?? Please be specific, not using generalities etc..

Let's add to that the requirement than any changes that they propose are ones that have a reasonable chance of being passed by the FCC. I don't think you proposed utopia, but asked the question to find out what steps new hams could take that would make that handful of old hams stop being abusive to them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2339. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:36:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901113640.01544.00002260@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18533

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>That is why he erroneously keeps telling new hams that word-association is
>>the way to study for the test.

> Its the only way, why would a New Ham want to waste his time learning this
>Crap, when it so much easier just to Memorize the Q&A, all the Guy wants to
>do is talk on his Mic.

You, too, Bruce. For shame. Why do you want to see new hams memorize without understanding? In saying that you are misleading them. It is easier, in both the short run and in the long run, to learn the underlying material and apply 6th-grade mathematics.

Why are you telling new and prospective hams that all they can do with ham radio is talk on a microphone. Those that want to do that without learning anything have FRS, CB and cell phones. Those that choose to be hams make that choice because they want to learn and grow. Why would you want to put an end to that by misleading people about the nature of ham radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2340. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:47:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901114725.01544.00002261@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18534

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>If any Newbies are reading this Stuff dont listen to ED.

> As ED has stated many times, he even guessed and Memorized his way into Ham
> Radio

Yes, I passed my tests with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and hoping that some subjects didn't appear on my exam. I think I am happy with the end result; I would like to think that I turned out okay and have added value to the Amateur Radio Service.

>as Ed has said many times you can learn this stuff after you get a license.

You misunderstood, Bruce. Ed said that Amateur Radio is a lifetime of learning and that the learning one does after getting a license is more important than the learning one does to pass a single test to start the process.

>First Memorize the Freqs you are alloyed to use

Yup.

>then the Power you can use

Yup.

>then read over the rules and stuff like that

Yup.

>dont put to much time on this because most are just common
>sense things

Bad advice, Bruce. Though many rules are common sense things, some of the rules, such as the RF exposure material, will require learning and study.

>next forget about the Theory Crap,

Theory may be unimportant to you, Bruce, but it is the foundation on which most hams build. Don't assume that everyone else is uninterested in the subjects you are not interested in.

>then if you are in doubt choose B or C as your answer,

Bad advice. The A, B, C and D order of the answers is randomized by the software that creates the tests. Human biases against A and D don't apply.

>This same thing will work for the CW Test also, but make sure they give you
>the Multipile Guess Test.

That test is no longer available. Prospective hams must pass either a fill-in-the-blank test or 1-minute of solid copy.

> I know 3 guys also who passed the Cw this way, neither one of them to this >day could tell the difference between an E or a T.

Yes, that happens to hams -- has been that way for decades. The most common cause is that some old timer convinced him that CW was not a useful, fun mode.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2341. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:48:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901114857.01544.00002262@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18535

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the
>>material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe
>>you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."

>Its not asumed its a FACT

But doing it that way is the hard way, and it will not make it likely that they will go on to learn and grow. By convincing them to do it that way, you are setting them up to fail. Why would you want to do that?

The easiest way to pass the tests is to learn the underlying material.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2342. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:50:52 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.15fb1373235b7ed989683@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 18536

In article <j76k7.44$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, kc8pmx@tm.net says...
> >
> > > I agree.... with less and less test sessions being available, one may
> have
> > > to drive a distance to get to a testing session. Another valid point
> that
> > > needs to be addressed is the hours that things are done within amatuer
> > > radio. Why the hell is everything in the early morning? Not all of us
> are
> > > old farts that are up at the crack of dawn.... (some old farts I know
> even
> > > stay up late at night too) Some of us work second or third shift. What
> is
> > > wrong with an afternoon test session or other event? With many of us
> that
> > > are in the hobby and still in the workforce having to work multiple
> jobs,
> > > things are just not like the 50's anymore.
> >
> >
> > Gee, I dunno, Ryan. All the years I ran a VE team we tested at 7pm on
> > Tuesday night, and I can't tell you how many of those Tuesday nights I
> > was up till 1:30 or 2am doublechecking and finishing up all the
> > paperwork invoved with the test session so it could go into the morning
> > mail. And I was up at 6 and off to work myself. For I, too, was still in
> > the workforce...
>
>
> Where do you live then??? Sound like I need to get the hell out of
> Michigan!! :)
>
> Ryan

well...we don't give a damn about the whole state of Michigan cause we're
from OO HI OO

Go Buckeyes!!!!

Bring Back Woody Hayes

2343. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:53:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901115351.01544.00002263@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18537

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

> That's what I said. But I can see how it will become "mandatory" in a
>very short period of time.

On what basis do you make that assumption? Although I could envision a special e-qsl-only award, the reality is that many ops will choose to send only paper cards and any award program will have to accomodate them.

Do you really think the DXCC community would stand for it if North Korea came on line and sent only paper QSL and ARRL did not accept them? I think not!

I am curious, though, on what you based your assumption that eqsls will become mandatory.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2344. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:55:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901115533.01544.00002264@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 18538

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>(SNIP)....I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art
>and
>>>tradition of QSLing will be lost.
>>
>>Soon, I hope.
>
> See, Ed...?!?!

No, I don't see, Steve. In what way does one ham's opinion on this subject mandate anything? I disagree with him. Does that cancel his mandate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2345. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 15:58:04 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.15fb151c6669798a989684@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 18539

In article <k76k7.46$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, kc8pmx@tm.net says...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010830113535.01539.00005165@mb-cg.aol.com...
> > >Maybe if we hams had had as much interest in microwave as we did
> > >in UHF we would still have more of it.
> > >--
> > >cheers, Cecil
> >
> > Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving
> are VHF
> > and UHF
>
> Who says that HF is the Big Draw?

obviously all those folks who felt that the code requirement must be eliminated. If HF weren't the draw, then why the need for No Code International and other commie organizations like that.

If fact, the biggest mouth was that of Karl Stevenson, NCI director who felt he was being held back because of the code and couldn't experiment on the HF bands. Interesting to note, that for all his big mouth bravado, he hasn't upgraded and therefore still Can't experiment on HF bands. What a buffoon (careful...he comes Bill Sohl to defend his mentor and leader.....just kidding Bill, you keep on doing what you're doing and everyone will be happy :-)) (see a smiley face!!!

2346. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:08:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901120833.04371.00003945@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 18541

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/1/01 11:53 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010901115351.01544.00002263@mb-cc.aol.com>

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/1/01 11:53 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010901115351.01544.00002263@mb-cc.aol.com>

>On what basis do you make that assumption?

Prior experience. The human animal is quick to do itself in.

>Do you really think the DXCC community would stand for it if North Korea came
>on line and sent only paper QSL and ARRL did not accept them? I think not!

No one, least of all me, suggested that this will happen in the next 30
days, Ed.

>I am curious, though, on what you based your assumption that eqsls will
>become
>mandatory.

I didn't say they would become mandatory, Ed, as in ARRL accepting them
ONLY...I suggested that it will become necessary to do it that way as those
DXers out there ONLY use "eQSL".

(Or perhaps that's what was heard...It was not what I meant...My bust...)

Sorry.

Steve, K4YZ

2347. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:10:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0109010810.17048d45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18540

>
> The biggest difference between us, Dick, is that I believe that Morse code has
> enough utility and appeal to survive on its own when code testing is removed.
> You apparently do not. If you feel the mode has such little value, I can't
> understand why you are so passionate about the subject.
>
>
I'll bloody well explain why I'm "passionate on the subject" Mr. Hare:
Drop the code test and the HF newbie has NO way to evaluate the
virtues of cw for himself because he can't copy the stuff at all. All
the newbie needs to evaluate and perchance opt to indulge in any of
the other modes is the radio, a sound card, a mouth (compression =
ON), occasionally ears seem to come in handy for those types. THAT's
the big difference between the rationale for code test and the "tests"
for the other modes and is howcum us hardcore PCTAs are out in force
around here and in front of the FCC.
>
Why more precisely you might ask? Because the next step quite
obviously & predicatbly will be eliminating the cw setasides so that
the motormouths get the whole Enchilada.
>
>
When pigs fly and you can put THAT in the bank right now Sweetums.
>
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
w3rv

2348. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:20:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901122035.27072.00012080@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18542

>We
>will all have our say when the time comes, but then it is time to get back to
>what I see as more important issues facing Amateur Radio.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

You dont think more dumbing down is Important???

2349. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:22:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901122214.27072.00012081@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18543

>Of course, you could prove it once and for all
>and answer the question about how much gain a dipole has in dBd. :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Bull, I already answered your 1 silly Question, and am not about to answer any more

2350. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:26:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901122613.27072.00012082@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18544

>I don't know about an FCC Proposal, but I do recall that you proposed it here
>a
>number of times. Is that close enough to an idiot doing just that to make you
>uncomfortable? :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

No I didnt, I never once said anything about sending the test to the Indiviual
and have him take it at home and then send it back for Grading. But you know
what the more I think about it, it does make as much sense as the Present
Written

2351. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:27:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901122748.27072.00012083@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18545

>but asked the question to find out what steps new hams could
>take that would make that handful of old hams stop being abusive to them.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed you are dreaming, there are alot more than a Handfull

2352. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:29:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901122955.27072.00012085@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18546

>Those that choose to be hams make that
>choice because they want to learn and grow.

Oh give me a BREAK Ed, by the way what are you smoking today?

2353. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:32:27 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <v48k7.6275$151.457783@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18547

"IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
news:MPG.15fb1373235b7ed989683@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <j76k7.44$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, kc8pmx@tm.net says...
> > >
> >
> >
> > Where do you live then??? Sound like I need to get the hell out of
> > Michigan!! :)
> >
> > Ryan
>
> well...we don't give a damn about the whole state of Michigan cause we're
> from OO HI OO
>
> Go Buckeyes!!!!
>
> Bring Back Woody Hayes

Reminds me of a bumper sticker from Ann Arbor that became popular in Wausau
WI when the insurance co was bought by Nationwide out of Columbus:
Directions to Columbus; South til you smell it, East til you step in it.

Anyone wanting a re-incarnation of Woodrow Wilson Hayes has a screw loose.

2354. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:33:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901123319.27072.00012088@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18548

>Though many rules are common sense things, some of the
>rules, such as the RF exposure material, will require learning and study.

Bull, so he misses 1 or 2 Questions on this subject he can still pass the Test.

2355. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:39:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901123913.27072.00012089@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18549

>Theory may be unimportant to you, Bruce, but it is the foundation on which
>most
>hams build.

Build what, Psk is a good Example, instead of putting a few parts together to come up with a simple interface between Sound Card and Radio they buy it for $50.00 up, why do they do this, because they either dont know how and dont want to take the time to learn, or they are just to Lazy. The same thing applies to CW

2356. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:41:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901124153.27072.00012092@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18550

>But doing it that way is the hard way, and it will not make it likely that
>they
>will go on to learn and grow.

ED they dont want to learn, all they want to do is talk on the Radio

>By convincing them to do it that way, you are
>setting them up to fail.

There not going to Fail, there purpose is to get the License with the least resistance, and that is exactly what there doing.

2357. OT, But Nice Nonetheless.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:48:57 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0109010848.15ba867d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18651

Greetings fellow r.r.a.p'ers,

Me mum sent this to me and I figured I'd pass it on to you all. I consider you all to be my friends. Hope you enjoy.

> >Subject: Shake It Off.....

> >

> > > > > >

> > > > > > One day a farmer's donkey fell down into a well.

> > > > > > The animal cried piteously for hours as the farmer

> > > > > > tried to figure out what to do. Finally he decided

> > > > > > the animal was old and the well needed to be covered

> > > > > > up anyway, it just wasn't worth it to retrieve the

> > > > > > donkey. He invited all his neighbors to come over

> > > > > > and help him. They all grabbed a shovel and began to

> > > > > > shovel dirt into the well. At first, the donkey

> > > > > > realized what was happening and cried horribly.

> > > > > > Then, to everyone's amazement, he quieted down. A few

> > > > > > shovel loads later, the farmer finally looked down

> > > > > > the well and was astonished at what he saw. With every

> > > > > > shovel of dirt that hit his back, the donkey was doing

> > > > > > something amazing. He would shake it off and take a

> > > > > > step up. As the farmer's neighbors continued to

> > > > > > shovel dirt on top of the animal, he would shake it

> > > > > > off and take a step up. Pretty soon, everyone was

> > > > > > amazed as the donkey stepped up over the edge of the

> > > > > > well and trotted off!

> > > > > >

> > > > > > Life is going to shovel dirt on you, all kinds of

> > > > > > dirt. The trick to getting out of the well is to

> > > > > > shake it off and take a step up. Each of our troubles is

> > > > > > a stepping stone. We can get out of the deepest wells

> > > > > > just by not stopping, never giving up! Shake it off

> > > > > > and take a step up!

> > > > > >

> > > > > > Remember the five simple rules to be happy:

> > > > > > 1. Free your heart from hatred.

> > > > > > 2. Free your mind from worries.

> > > > > > 3. Live simply.

> > > > > > 4. Give more.

> > > > > > 5. Expect less..

> > > > > >

> > > > > > Someone sent this to me to think over so I am

> > > > > > forwarding it to you to do the same.

> > > > > >

> > > > > > Enjoy Your Week

> > > > > > SHAKE IT OFF

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2358. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 16:57:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B91138F.453492A8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18652

WA8ULX wrote:
> What do you
> want them to do send the Test to you in the mail and when your done with it
> send it back, I should not have said that, watch some Idiot come up with a
> Proposal to do just that, ...

Too late, the FCC already came up with that idea in the 50s.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2359. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:00:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B911425.8E475FB9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18653

WA8ULX wrote:
> I personally know 1 Guy who did it this way, and we went from a No-Code Tech
> to Extra in one setting.

"we"?????? Freudian slip?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2360. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:02:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9114C3.A869909F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18654

WA8ULX wrote:
> Build what, Psk is a good Example, instead of putting a few parts together to
> come up with a simple interface between Sound Card and Radio they buy it for
> $50.00 up, why do they do this, because they either dont know how and dont want
> to take the time to learn, or they are just to Lazy. The same thing applies to
> CW

Bruce, did you build or buy your vehicle after you got a driver's license?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2361. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:04:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B911528.861EA426@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18655

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the
> >material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe
> >you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."
>
> Its not asumed its a FACT

To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
words along with their definitions?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2362. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:07:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9115EC.2803A009@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18656

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Gee Bruce that was an intelligent answer. I bet you didn't have to memorize
> >it
>
> Your right I didnt, Im not like you who Memorized your way into ham radio

I memorized my way into ham radio in the early 50s.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2363. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:11:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IE8k7.7706$KV3.561952@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I guess I might have agreed with the 'anonymous coward' stuff - until I checked the ARRL website. Go check out about 'rabbit ears'. Seems he rammed the vehicle that was DFing him! There are some folk who are a brick short of a load - but usually this stuff happens as a result of something else; in this case the DFing was legal, but you get the drift.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:9mql6p$5d3$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Stagger makes some good points however if things are that bad for anyone
> using the proper ID, then that person should consider leaving the group and
> taking up waitering in a home for the insane by serving soup to nuts.
> Doc,AF2Y
>
> ----------
> In article <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>, Stagger Lee
> <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
>
> > "David J. Windisch" wrote:
> >
> >> ID yourselves, cowards.
> >
> >
> > Perhaps you would go to the worst part of town and scream out your name,
> > address and phone number, but most sensible people wouldn't. Reason:
> > They don't want to set themselves up as targets for the lawless
> > criminals who hang out there.
> >
> > Similarly, Usenet is inhabited by some real scum who think nothing of
> > taking it to "real life" and harassing someone they don't like. The ham
> > news group readers have already had uninvited people show up at their
> > homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have
> > received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen
> > letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison
> > pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts
> > canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened
> > repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly included
> > (one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt to learn
> > my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and
> > obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.

> >
> > I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
> > physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
> > only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
> > people who read these posts.
> >
> > And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
> > TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to
> > shut your arrogant mouth.

2364. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:13:23 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.15fb2683b09b66cc989685@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 18658

```
In article <v48k7.6275$151.457783@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>
> "IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15fb1373235b7ed989683@news.earthlink.net...
> > In article <j76k7.44$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, kc8pmx@tm.net says...
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Where do you live then??? Sound like I need to get the hell out of
> > > Michigan!! :)
> > >
> > > Ryan
> >
> > well...we don't give a damn about the whole state of Michigan cause we're
> > from OO HI OO
> >
> > Go Buckeyes!!!!
> >
> > Bring Back Woody Hayes
>
> Reminds me of a bumper sticker from Ann Arbor that became popular in Wausau
> WI when the insurance co was bought by Nationwide out of Columbus:
> Directions to Columbus; South til you smell it, East til you step in it.
>
> Anyone wanting a re-incarnation of Woodrow Wilson Hayes has a screw loose.
>

always said the same thing about Michigan, except the directions were
reversed. Besides, at least Woody got us to some Rose Bowls. Besides,
Auburn in native amerikan means "smack in the helmet". His greatness was
only following tradition he he
```

2365. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:14:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B911783.980F1BE1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18659

WA8ULX wrote:
> >but asked the question to find out what steps new hams could
> >take that would make that handful of old hams stop being abusive to them.
>
> Ed you are dreaming, there are alot more than a Handfull

Maybe the real question is - Why are there so many abusive old hams?
I don't see abusive behavior listed as a requirement in The Amateur's
Code. Where is your "friendly advice and counsel to the beginner"?
After all, the beginners are NOT responsible for the FCC requirements.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2366. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:20:26 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uN8k7.7724$KV3.563485@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18660

Steve, I'd be willing to be both systems will co-exist happily. I've always liked to have that canceled check back when I pay bills; lately, however, I've been paying some by phone and some by internet. I know I can set up an account at my bank and let them take care of everything (I'm considering it). They charge less per transaction than the postage alone to send a paper check! Still, for some things, I want to write the paper check. In fact, for some things I want to use a charge card because it provides some amount of protection. I think the same will apply with the qsl cards and my feeling is that if someone wants a physical card, the other station will oblige; similarly, if the e-qsl is fine (just want a record, no more wallpaper), that will likely be the mode chosen. I suspect both systems will coexist, not unlike the no-code and brass-pounders do today.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010901074201.01923.00003280@mb-fu.aol.com...
> (This posting is being forwarded to the ARRL as a "Letter To The Editor)
>
> Hi Everyone,
>
> Now that ARRL has thier own electronic QSL system in place or about
to be
> launched, I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art
and
> tradition of QSLing will be lost.
>
> Don't get me wrong...I am not trying to be "anti-technology" here. I
love
> being able to access info that only a few years ago would have required a
trip
> to the library. E mail, games, research, etc are all fantastic. (This
> submission is being sent electronically)
>
> But do we really need to take away one of the last vestiges of human
> interaction? I know that some will say "you can still do it if you
want...",
> but like any other "commoditiy", how long will it be until electronic
QSL's
> will be ALL that you can get?
>
> Before, getting certain awards meant that you made a certain amount
of
> QSO's, exchanged QSL cards and made your application. It didn't require

any
> special equipment or access. Elctronic QSL's will now MANDATE
(eventually) the
> ownership of a computer, access to the net and the prerequisite software,
not
> to mention a printer and the consumables that go with it.
>
> Let's not lose that personal contact that binds ALL Amateurs
worldwide
> together.
>
> 73
>
> Steve Robeson, K4YZ
> POB 2103
> Dunlap, TN 37327

2367. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:23:58 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OQ8k7.7728$KV3.562738@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18661

Ah - bits have been around for a while. I used to talk to Norm, VK2NP, for hours on end using teletype. My worry isn't the radios working each other - I worry that Microsoft is going to want to charge for the QSOs! (you are aware that they want a percentage of photo transactions from their 'partners' using the new Windows operating system?)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<mikedonREMOVETHIS@mc.net> wrote in message news:3b90fbd9$0$19384@wodc7nh6.news.uu.net...
> X-No-Archive:Yes
>
> Bah! Humbug!
>
> Next thing you know we will have Electronic QSOing too.
>
> When the bit, byte, and nybble boys take over ham radio completely, the
> computers will control the rigs and just work each other. No operator will
> even be necessary!
>
> Electronic QSO followed up with an electronic QSL.
> Just pop up a window that says "You've got DXCC!"
>
> Yikes!
>
>
> Mike, who keeps his computer at the other end of the house from his shack.
> KG9M
>
> In article <4ot1pt8ehfr34rbcipirq6tuhfu5srsneq@4ax.com>, w7ti@dslextreme.com
> wrote:
>
> >
> >On 01 Sep 2001 11:42:01 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
> >
> >> Now that ARRL has thier own electronic QSL system in place or about to be
> >>launched, I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art and
> >>tradition of QSLing will be lost.
> >
> >Soon, I hope.
> >

> >
> >>Elctronic QSL's will now MANDATE (eventually) the
> >>ownership of a computer, access to the net and the prerequisite
software, not
> >>to mention a printer and the consumables that go with it.
> >
> >Mandate? I don't think so. You can go to the library and use theirs.
> >In my town, it's free. Probably in yours too.
> >
> >Besides, for the cost of postage plus IRC's or green stamps for DXCC you
> >can buy an older but satisfactory computer anyway.
> >
> >Bill, W7TI

2368. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:24:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9119BE.D40545DA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18662

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> The point was not about the convienience of it, that I acknowledge. The
> point was about the human contact. It's already on the way out. Guess Ham
> Radio was to suffer it also.

I feel closer to people with whom I communicate by email
than those with whom I communicate by snail mail. Imagine
how impersonal a snail mail newsgroup would be.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2369. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:25:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B911A24.BE718C90@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18663

mikedonREMOVETHIS@mc.net wrote:
> Mike, who keeps his computer at the other end of the house from his shack.
> KG9M

RFI is that bad, eh?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2370. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:34:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901133432.17828.00012587@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18664

>Too late, the FCC already came up with that idea in the 50s.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Well then maybe it needs to be brought back, it would probably work now

2371. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:37:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901133708.17828.00012588@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18665

>Bruce, did you build or buy your vehicle after you got a driver's license?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Is this the best you came come up with to justify Dumbing Down, In case you
didnt know Cecil a Car is a little tougher to build then a 4 componet interface

2372. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:38:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901133824.17828.00012589@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18666

>To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
>words along with their definitions?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Sure Cecil next time Im trying to learn Russian I will do that

2373. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:39:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901133912.17828.00012590@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18667

>I memorized my way into ham radio in the early 50s.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

WOW how impresive

2374. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:41:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901134110.17828.00012591@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18668

>I don't see abusive behavior listed as a requirement in The Amateur's
>Code

It should be

2375. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 17:42:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901134217.17828.00012592@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18669

>Where is your "friendly advice and counsel to the beginner"?

I have none.

2376. How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 18:42:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901144242.01539.00005959@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18670

How Many Hams Does It Take To Make A Dipole:
0, unless they have all the pictures and correct answers given to
them, and of course someone to do it for them

2377. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 18:55:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jaak7.2795$Uf1.222128@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18671

"IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
news:MPG.15fb2683b09b66cc989685@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <v48k7.6275$151.457783@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
> >
> > "IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.15fb1373235b7ed989683@news.earthlink.net...
> > > In article <j76k7.44$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, kc8pmx@tm.net
says...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Where do you live then??? Sound like I need to get the hell out of
> > > > Michigan!! :)
> > > > >
> > > > Ryan
> > > >
> > > well...we don't give a damn about the whole state of Michigan cause
we're
> > > from OO HI OO
> > > >
> > > Go Buckeyes!!!!
> > > >
> > > Bring Back Woody Hayes
> > >
> > Reminds me of a bumper sticker from Ann Arbor that became popular in
Wausau
> > WI when the insurance co was bought by Nationwide out of Columbus:
> > Directions to Columbus; South til you smell it, East til you step in it.
> >
> > Anyone wanting a re-incarnation of Woodrow Wilson Hayes has a screw
loose.
> >
>
> always said the same thing about Michigan, except the directions were
> reversed. Besides, at least Woody got us to some Rose Bowls. Besides,
> Auburn in native amerikan means "smack in the helmet". His greatness was
> only following tradition he he

Woody got you the same respect Bobby Knight got Indiana. Now that he is in
the Big Twelve -- the only conference with less class -- he is allowed one
temper tantrum and only one; the first will be the last. Only a Texas
school would hire him and it has been made pretty clear that the Big Twelve
could become the Big Eight again overnite just as the WAC became the Skyline

Conference minus the Texas schools over one winter. Bring back the Southwest Conference so all the nuts can be in one bag again.

In my coaching days I never met a fellow coach who would not like to have had Hayes and Knight drawn and quartered; they made us all look like shit. Tradition is not just winning; it lies in beating another team with class. There is no finer tribute to a program than an opponent looking up from the ice packs and saying that the train that hit him is a class act.

Dick N�BK. Go Big Red, Go Big Red. Off the floor, out the door, Go Big Red.

2378. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 19:38:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B913928.F3263A1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18673

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Bruce, did you build or buy your vehicle after you got a driver's license?
>
> Is this the best you came come up with to justify Dumbing Down, In case you
> didnt know Cecil a Car is a little tougher to build then a 4 componet interface

Bruce, the reason that we buy is that we are better at making money
at something else than we are at building stuff. For a lot of
people, it is easier to make $50 than it is to build an interface.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2379. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 19:40:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B913991.39005600@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18672

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
> >words along with their definitions?
>
> Sure Cecil next time Im trying to learn Russian I will do that

If it's so super easy, why not just do it to prove your point? Or
could it be that it is not as easy as you say?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2380. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 19:43:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B913A7B.FF7F5398@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18674

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I memorized my way into ham radio in the early 50s.
>
> WOW, how impressive.

Point being that it has been possible to do that for 50 years.
Memorizing is nothing new and it gave me the incentive to
obtain a EE degree.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2381. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 19:45:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B913AF4.496170E3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18675

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Where is your "friendly advice and counsel to the beginner"?
>
> I have none.

Are hams, who don't believe in The Amateur's Code, Real Hams?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2382. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 19:51:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901155102.17073.00002772@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 18676

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/1/01 1:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9119BE.D40545DA@IEEE.org>

> Imagine
>how impersonal a snail mail newsgroup would be.
>--

Perhaps...but do you think a lot of the "hot" comments that transpire here would ever happen in "snail mail" if someone had to sit and take their time to do it?

Not that >>I<< ever let a sharp word be said! (Ducking for cover...!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2383. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:03:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901160300.03183.00005134@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 18677

In article <3B90B5BB.F0A531F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> That slavery was a major cause of
>> the confederacy's existence.
>
>
> There were a lot of causes of the confederacy's existence, Jim.

Yup - almost all of them trace back to disagreements over slavery.

>But
>we've talked that to death over the last three weeks, so lets not go
>there again.

Isn't that what rrap is for?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2384. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:03:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901160301.03183.00005135@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 18678

In article <3B90D3FC.8557F6B1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Even if that was true, without slavery the confederacy would not have
>existed.
>> No straw = unbroken camel. QED.
>
>There you go again, Jim, portraying yourself as omniscient.

I knew you were going to say that.

>Taking away
>slavery would not have guaranteed a zero percent chance of a civil war.

Yes, it would.

>It would only have resulted in a lower probability of civil war.

Zero probablility is a lower probablility.
>--
73 de Jim, N2EY

2385. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:14:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901161425.00749.00010626@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 18679

>If it's so super easy, why not just do it to prove your point? Or
>could it be that it is not as easy as you say?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

It is easy but I have no, interest any learning russian if I would

2386. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:16:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901161608.00749.00010627@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 18680

>Are hams, who don't believe in The Amateur's Code, Real Hams?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Of course they are, its no different than the New Ones that have passed a
Dumb Down Test

2387. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:43:43 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <n2i2ptol0qubi2kifrkonv72fv7nv8fjg8@4ax.com>
Source record: 18681

On 01 Sep 2001 16:39:13 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>, or they are just to Lazy.

Some people are just "to Lazy" to learn English, too.

So what?

Bill, W7TI

2388. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:47:38 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <t6i2ptk8b28gugpf8qm4gqi96sbqgea4qu@4ax.com>
Source record: 18682

On 01 Sep 2001 15:10:36 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Besides, for the cost of postage plus IRC's or green stamps for DXCC you
>>can buy an older but satisfactory computer anyway.
>
> True...and still pay for the ISP monthly...and still pay for the ink...and
>still pay for the paper or cards...

I haven't seen the final word from the ARRL, but I doubt if either an
ISP or printer will be needed. Just put your log on a disk and mail it
to them, I'd guess. Then go to the library and use the web to check
your status.

Bill, W7TI

2389. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:49:30 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <8di2pt0aebd8lo3sjl342dcpjmi7kip5pg@4ax.com>
Source record: 18683

On Sat, 01 Sep 01 15:16:33 GMT, mikedonREMOVETHIS@mc.net wrote:

>Mike, who keeps his computer at the other end of the house from his shack.

Mike, who is doing it the hard way and doesn't know it.

Bill, W7TI

2390. Re: OT, But Nice Nonetheless.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 20:54:09 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <phi2ptg8lpj9m5pr42jubr4em63e8uib3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 18684

On 1 Sep 2001 09:48:57 -0700, kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote:

>Me mum sent this to me and I figured I'd pass it on to you all. I
>consider you all to be my friends. Hope you enjoy.

Anyone have an antidote for treacle poisoning?

W7TI

2391. Re: OT, But Nice Nonetheless.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 21:48:58 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010901174858.04654.00002262@mb-fa.news.cs.com>
Source record: 18685

>Anyone have an antidote for treacle poisoning?

Anyone know the definition of treacle poisoning?

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2392. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 22:29:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901182959.13505.00001524@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 18687

In article <3B90D559.7C2CECD0@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> This was posted using AOL 5.0. I've used AOL software from 3.0 to 6.0. I
>gave
>> up on 6.0 and went back to 5.0 because it had a number of extremely
>annoying
>> features, like insisting on sending email as HTML. (This is fixable, but I
>had
>> already gone back to 5.0 when I learned of the fix).
>
>HTML email is annoying because Netscape doesn't know how to quote it
>in a reply.

Hadn't thought of that one. Thanks - that's one more reason HTML email is annoying.

>I have to cut and paste to be able to quote HTML in a reply.

HTML has its uses, but for most email good ol' plain text does the job. Saves bandwidth, disk space, time, etc.
>
>> The proper number of ">" will be preserved IF one sets the correct quoting
>> style.
>
>Well, I'm receiving contradictory emails on that subject.

Look at my posts - you've seen enough of them! All of them that are AOL generated are done the same way - highlight the quoted text and hit "reply to group". The reader inserts one more ">" at the beginning of each line. SO esy even I can do it. But the right quote style has to be set first. Once that's done, the rest is easy.

> Are you saying
>that selecting "Internet Style" quotes will fix the attribution problem?
>--
I tink so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2393. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 22:30:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901183000.13505.00001525@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 18686

In article <3B913AF4.496170E3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>WA8ULX wrote:
>>
>> >Where is your "friendly advice and counsel to the beginner"?
>>
>> I have none.
>
>Are hams, who don't believe in The Amateur's Code, Real Hams?
>--
Of course not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2394. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 22:42:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mro90$h9q$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18688

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>
> That Missouri did not secede is understandable...

Actually, they did... or tried. Governor Claiborne Fox Jackson, a southern "states rights" supporter and friend of Jefferson Davis, and IIRC a majority of the legislature, met to hold a vote of succession, but alas, Federal troops heard of the meeting and moved to apprehend the lot of them. They all fled to Cassville, MO, SW border town below Springfield) where they began to set up a State Capoitol, but it wasn't long before Federal troops chased them from that location, and they wound up setting up shop in Marshall, Texas, safely distant from Federal harrasment. Governor Jackson presided over the "Missouri State Capitol in Exile" in Marshall until his death before the end of the war. Missouri was total chaos for the entire period 1856(as you say) well past the official termination of hostilities.Through the war (truly a CIVIL War here, with neighbors fighting and ambushing each other at will, actually with limited actual troop involvement for most of the war. Before long northern sympathizers packed up and moved their housholds to Long's Prairie, north of ROlla where there was a large camp established for them. Southerners likewise moved to rebel Arkansas, leaving the countryside desolate. It is reported that a single log cabin remained standing in this county when the war ended.

And at wa4's end, the hostitilies did not cease. Bushwhackers and other renegades roamed at wil, actually l all during the war and well past, looting and pillaging at at any opportunity without regard to "sides", and murder was an everyday occurance. Many old animosities were settled long after Appomatmix. Look up the Baldknobbers, James and Younger gangs, Bloody Bill Anderson and dear old Bill Quantrill if you want a piece of that history. Much too long and gory, even for RRAP.

The point suggesting a check of slave populations by county was a good one, Jim. That clearly shows AFIAC that the war definitely was mostly based on slavery, since the counties with substantial slave populations were, to no one's surprise, centers of support for the South. The opposite is true of counties where few or no slaves were held.

> Look up the career of Sterling Price. Could have been the model for
> Jubulation T. Cornpone.

Probably was, given the sense of humor of Al Capp. Price was also a former state Governor.

Dick W0EX

2395. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 22:52:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mroqtiae0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18689

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >That is correct, of course. My error was in not making it clear that I
> >meant power and that I was not interested in "working" his problem.
>
> So that is why you told me that it was my problem if I didn't understand that
> field strength from an antenna varies as the inverse square of the distance,
> eh?

HYEAH!!!!! wanta fight about it????

2396. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 22:55:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrp0aiae1@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18690

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>
> >Publish it as:
> > A sine wave of ______ volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS?
>
> > Answers are to be considered correct if within 0.5V
>
> I think that all the requirements could be met if it were done in a table.
>
> A sine wave of "E1" volts peak is equivalent to how many volts RMS ("E2")?
>
> E1 E2
> 17.0 12.01
> 10.0 7.07
> etc
>
> If there were 10 entries in the table, I think that at that point, even Dick
> would stop convinging hams that they should be word-assocation memorizing the
> answers. Bruce will probably never see the light. :-)
>

So how is it, then, that after 16 years of question pools youi ARRL
folk have let it slide downhill to where it is? I thought the ARRL wasa
supposed to look out for the interests of all ham radio. Looks to me
like they, like most bureaucracies, look out for #1.

2397. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 22:55:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901185521.01613.00005647@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18691

>Where is your "friendly advice and counsel to the beginner"?
>>>
>>> I have none.
>>
>>Are hams, who don't believe in The Amateur's Code, Real Hams?
>>--
>Of course not.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

are new Hams that have not passed a real test Real Hams, Of course not

2398. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:02:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrpdh$k7b$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18692

W1RFI wrote:
>
> > I know 3 guys also who passed the Cw this way, neither one of them to this
> >day could tell the difference between an E or a T.
>
> Yes, that happens to hams -- has been that way for decades. The most common
> cause is that some old timer convinced him that CW was not a useful, fun mode.

Ed, once I thought yiu were a pretty straight up guy, but I have
learned that you won't hesitate to lie through your teeth.

2399. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:26:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrqrf$nh0$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18693

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >As I've said
> >here before, the less you know the easier it is to use this method of
> >passing the tests, while learning virtually nothing. This is done by
> >many applicants who just want the license.
>
> Dick, please don't mislead people who are studying for their licences. This is
> just about the most difficult way possible to pass the test. It is much easier
> to learn the simple concepts and apply 6th-grade math to solving them.
>
> What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the
> material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe
> you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."
>

Just because you have no testing experience and choose to disbelieve it
in the face of all the evidence, and many statemets by those who have
done just that doesn't mean you know what you're talking about. You like
to think you have it all down pat, but in fact you do not. Your main
exposure to other hams outside the bunch of mostly degreed engineers at
HQ is the occasional trip to this large hamfest or that, where you do
talks and siminare which are attended mostly by other degreed engineers
who are also hams, and consitute a small percentage of all hams
attending the event.
So most of the hams you meet are already knowledgable and most likely
were pre-licensing. So you just can't believe that the majority of hams
are not, and wouldn't -and didn't- hesitate to use such a method of
passing tests once it was available. Why do you think the FCC held
secret the test questions for so many years? THEY knew. In fact they
still do, they just can't be bothered with doing it right, given the
political climate and funding reductions.

My objective throughout this entire RRAP episode has been to get the
question pools redone so that they might actually constitute a learning
experience for new hams, rather than decoying so many into thinking they
actually learned something about radio since they passed a "technical"
test. We all know the qiuestion pools are not going away. So why don't
you get your head out of the sand and help, instead of criticising full
time? You can get the ear of the VEC committee about as fast as anyone,
but first you must understand the NEED to do it.

2400. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:29:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrr18$nh0$1@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18694

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the
> >>material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe
> >>you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."
>
> >Its not asumed its a FACT
>
> But doing it that way is the hard way,

In your mind. You can't seem to grasp that when one knows NOTHING about
a subject learning the material facts surrounging a question and its
given answer is far more complicagted than making a couple of word
associations that allow you to recognize the question and its answer as
pairs while still knowing nothing whatever about the subject matter.
That doesn't work well at all for us who have exposure to much of the
material, but what that shows is that a little learning can get in the
way of word assocaiting.

2401. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:30:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrr3c$nh0$2@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18695

CAM wrote:
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >What are you trying to accomplish in persuading people not to learn the
> > >material? That would be the effect if people studying for the tests believe
> > >you, assuming they can pass the tests at all by "word association."
> >
> > Its not asumed its a FACT
>
> To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
> words along with their definitions?

You didn't miss the point, you totally deflected it away.

2402. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:32:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrr6b$nh0$3@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18696

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> >Dick, please don't mislead people who are studying for their licences. This
> >is
> >just about the most difficult way possible to pass the test
>
> Dick does not anyone new to get a licence.
> He has made clear he has no use for any New Hams.

Dick concluded early on that you're a dumbass who has no clue yet
thinks he knows it all.

2403. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:42:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrrptpit0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18697

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> But that is not the easiest way to pass the tests, Dick.
>
> > What experience do you draw on to make that statement? I learned about
> >that method of quickly getting the license after a few years continuous
> >time as a active VE. Previously I never suspected it. My view is
> >definitely reinforced by all the cram scools which guarantee the
> >applicant that he will be able to pass a ham radio test after a few
> >hours of studying the tests. How you can ignore all that is amazing.
>
> Dick, I have seen plenty of examples in what you "see" when you want to see it.
> I have no doubt that some manage that technique in studying for their tests,
> but they are wasting a lot of effort. The easiest way to pass the tests is to
> learn the underlying material and apply 6th-grade math to work out the answers.
>
> I am surprised you are not explaining that to new hams instead of convincing
> them to use word association to pass their tests.

I get the drift that you would like to just cover up the facts, Ed, and
pretend that it really is harder for someone with no radio training to
actually learn the material instead of use word associations. It sure
would make life simpler, wouldn't it? that is, until the ARS loads up
with them, as has pretty well happened in last 16 years. We all know
that ham radio has never required that great a depth of knowledge for
licensing, and that is as it should be. But you truly have no idea how
far down it has come.
I would think that W5YI etc suggesting a theoryless license test would
have given you a clue, but no, your head remains underground.

Again- we all know the question pols are not going away, so why not work
to improve them while in your high profile position where you can get
the ear of the right people, instead of criticising me for speaking what
I know to be fact?

2404. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:45:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrru8pit1@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18698

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:

> Never though of that one..... Again stated, using the APCO Phonetics (Adam,
> Boy, Charlie etc.) at work and the ITU Phonetics (Alpha, Bravo, Charlie
> etc.) in amateur radio can be a little confusing at times.... :)

Wait till you go for a pilot's license and add in the ICAO phonetics...
(ICOA for International Civil Aviation Organization)

2405. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-01 23:46:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrs0opit2@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18699

W1RFI wrote:
>
> N0YM wrote:
>
> > It seems like your prejudice isn't against the test, it's against certain
> methods of
> > learning.
>
> Actually, I believe his prejudice is toward word-association memorization.
> That is why he erroneously keeps telling new hams that word-association is the
> way to study for the test.
>
> He is wrong. The easiest way to pass the test is by learning the underlying
> concepts and using 6th-grade math.

Depends engtirely on where you are coming from technically and what
your objective is. Most potential hams just want to get the license,

2406. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 00:10:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrtedsv0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18700

W1RFI wrote:
>
> The biggest difference between us, Dick, is that I believe that Morse code has
> enough utility and appeal to survive on its own when code testing is removed.
> You apparently do not.

Sure, there are still steam engine enthusiasts, and vintage railroaders
occasionally put a train on the main line. So it will be with CWwhen
hams no longer are required to learn the morse code.

If you feel the mode has such little value, I can't
> understand why you are so passionate about the subject.
>

It truly is amazing how you manage to get everything bassackwards.

2407. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 00:12:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mrtibsv1@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18601

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >
> > The biggest difference between us, Dick, is that I believe that Morse code has
> > enough utility and appeal to survive on its own when code testing is removed.
> > You apparently do not. If you feel the mode has such little value, I can't
> > understand why you are so passionate about the subject.
> >
> >
> I'll bloody well explain why I'm "passionate on the subject" Mr. Hare:
> Drop the code test and the HF newbie has NO way to evaluate the
> virtues of cw for himself because he can't copy the stuff at all. All
> the newbie needs to evaluate and perchance opt to indulge in any of
> the other modes is the radio, a sound card, a mouth (compression =
> ON), occasionally ears seem to come in handy for those types. THAT's
> the big difference between the rationale for code test and the "tests"
> for the other modes and is howcum us hardcore PCTAs are out in force
> around here and in front of the FCC.
> >
> Why more precisely you might ask? Because the next step quite
> obviously & predicatbly will be eliminating the cw setasides so that
> the motormouths get the whole Enchilada.
> >
> >
> When pigs fly and you can put THAT in the bank right now Sweetums.

So there it is, Ed, much more eloquently stated that I ever could.

2408. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 01:09:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901210955.01372.00002766@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 18602

In article <3B9114C3.A869909F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>did you build or buy your vehicle after you got a driver's license?

I never built a car from scratch. However, in 1990 I built one good car from the carcasses of two junkers. Picked the best pieces of each and wound up with a pile of spare parts to boot. The result lasted 7 more years and was running fine, but the rust became unmanageable.

I was building receivers long before I got a ham license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2409. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 01:09:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901210956.01372.00002767@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 18603

In article <g76k7.40$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>Gee... other that a few corrections for errors.... how much has the written
>exams actually changed?? From the different question pools I have seen from
>the past 20 years or so, (nice to aquire old books! :), the questions
>haven't changed that much.

Very true! Most of the changes have been in the regulations and "new" areas
like RF exposure.

> Was there more questions asked?

Yes.

Prior to April 15, 2000, there were 5 written tests, one for each class of
license except Tech Plus. IIRC, the Novice was 30 questions, the Tech was 35
questions, the General was 35 questions, the Advanced was 50 questions and the
Extra was 40 questions. Total of 190 questions in 5 tests to get full
privileges.

>If the problem
>is multiple-guess now, were the questions fill-in-the-blank?
>If the problem now with the written tests is that they are multiple guess,
>why don't they either change it to some other format or at least make the
>answers not so apparently obvious.

Prior to WW2, the questions were essays, complete with blue books. A question
might be something like this:

"A crystal manufacturer guarantees that an X-cut crystal will be within .01% of
the marked frequency at 70 degrees F. The temperature coefficient of this
manufacturer's X-cut crystals is 20 cycles per megacycle per degree F. The room
housing the amateur station may experience operating temperatures as low as 30
degrees F and as high as 110 degrees F. What is the lowest marked frequency
crystal that should be ordered for use at the low end of the 3500 kc. band,
allowing 1 kc. of extra margin?"

That's ONE question, and the examiner expected to see all work and an
explanation of how the result was obtained. The exams could also require you to
draw diagrams, both block and schematic, from memory.

After WW2, multiple choice questions began to replace the essays. In a few
years there were no more essay questions but diagrams (just draw 'em, don't
explain 'em) were still required. By the very early 1960s the diagram drawing
was gone, too.
>

>I will say it again to all that are complaining about the testing
>procedures, what exactly are the methods of testing that will finally make
>you happy?? Please be specific, not using generalities etc..

Prior to 1983, the tests themselves were secret. Hams did not know what the exact questions and answers would be, just that they would be multiple choice and that they would cover certain areas. The FCC put out a study guide in the form of essay questions and answers - pick up an old ARRL License Manual (from the '70s or earlier) and you will see what I mean. The higher-class tests were also given by FCC officials only, (with rare exceptions). Just getting to an exam session was a lot of work.

Everything changed in 1983 when the VE system replaced FCC testing. The test Q&A were made public - prospective hams could see all possible questions and answers that would appear on any test. Sample tests could be made up from the exact Q&A pool that would be used in the real exams. Been that way ever since. FCC retains the right to require any ham to retest at any time, and also to approve any and all Q&A in the pools. The Q&A pools are required by law to be very large, too. I think the requirement is 10 times the size of the test, and they are all way over that mark.

There is little chance of abandoning the VE system or the multiple choice format in the foreseeable future. The FCC doesn't have the personnel to do the testing itself, and doesn't see the need to do so. The multiple-choice format insures that the grading is objective - there is one and only one right answer to each question.

Whether the old exams or the new ones are "easier" is a matter of opinion. Is it easier to pass essay exams or multiple choice? Is it easier to pass 5 exams totalling 190 questions, or three exams totalling 120 questions? Is it easier to pass exams where you have the exact Q&A to study from, or ones where you have a short, concise guide of the subject areas? You be the judge.

But whatever the judgement, the FCC isn't focusing too much effort on testing. Enforcement is their focus these days.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2410. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 02:00:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901220056.14676.00006276@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18604

> Just because you have no testing experience and choose to disbelieve it
>in the face of all the evidence, and many statemets by those who have
>done just that doesn't mean you know what you're talking about.

I think Ed does believe it , but you have to go just a little deeper, start with his Bosses, they sell Memorizing Manuals, they also know that they gave the No-codes no support before restructuring, now that they know they have to live with it, and realize they have no power, and most likely have seen there Membership dropping, so now they are trying to get New Members and there best shot is No-Codes. And Ed isnt going to go against his Paycheck

2411. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 02:07:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901220714.14676.00006280@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18605

>Dick concluded early on that you're a dumbass who has no clue yet
>thinks he knows it all.

And that is what a Give Away Licenses does, it makes these who know nothing now think they are something they are not, and most likely never will be

2412. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 02:12:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901221256.14676.00006281@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18606

>I would think that W5YI etc suggesting a theoryless license test would
>have given you a clue, but no, your head remains underground.

In reality thats what we have now, if the FCC stills wants to make life easy for them selfs, and someone gets a Movement going like NCI did it will probably happen.

2413. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 02:14:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901221443.14676.00006282@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18607

>Most potential hams just want to get the license,
>

Exactly, they could care less about Theory, all they want to do is operate
there RAAADDDDIIIOOOOOSSSSS.

2414. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 03:28:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B91A762.9A1C0450@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18608

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
> > words along with their definitions?
>
> You didn't miss the point, you totally deflected it away.

Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
1000 of anything.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2415. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 03:33:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B91A876.36307C13@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18609

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Most potential hams just want to get the license,
>
> Exactly, they could care less about Theory, all they want to do is operate
> there RAAADDDDIIIOOOOOSSSSS.

Imagine that - an amateur radio operator wanting to operate.
What is the world coming to?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2416. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 03:37:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B91A985.D7496383@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18610

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> Even if that was true, without slavery the confederacy would not have
> >existed.
> >> No straw = unbroken camel. QED.
> >>
> >There you go again, Jim, portraying yourself as omniscient.
>
> I knew you were going to say that.

Of course, your superiority complex was showing again.

> >Taking away
> >slavery would not have guaranteed a zero percent chance of a civil war.
>
> Yes, it would.

Prove it, please. The probably of anything is almost never zero.

> >It would only have resulted in a lower probability of civil war.
>
> Zero probablility is a lower probablility.

Zero probability almost never exists for anything.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2417. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 03:47:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901234718.27111.00010535@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18611

>Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
>1000 of anything.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Well Cecil why dont you go to the next Test Session, and after the people have taken and passed the Test, ask them privately what they did to pepare for test, maybe it will open your eyes.

2418. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 03:48:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901234854.27111.00010536@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18612

>Imagine that - an amateur radio operator wanting to operate.
>What is the world coming to?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Dah, what did you think they were taking the Memory Test for Cecil.

2419. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 03:58:01 UTC
From: HiramHog <PigWoman@Stanky.ort>
Message-ID: <9msaio$7mf$0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 18613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What? And let the bad guys win? No way!

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9mql6p$5d3$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Stagger makes some good points however if things are that bad for anyone
> using the proper ID, then that person should consider leaving the group
and
> taking up waitering in a home for the insane by serving soup to nuts.
> Doc,AF2Y

2420. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 04:11:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9msbh6$a4a$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 18614

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
> > > words along with their definitions?
> >
> > You didn't miss the point, you totally deflected it away.
>
> Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
> 1000 of anything.

Anyone who does believe that doesn't underestand the situation, as you
do not.

2421. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 04:28:07 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9msck1vet1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 18615

<mikedonREMOVETHIS@mc.net> wrote in part:
>
> Bah! Humbug!
>
> Next thing you know we will have Electronic QSOing too.
>
> When the bit, byte, and nybble boys take over ham radio completely, the
> computers will control the rigs and just work each other. No operator will
> even be necessary!
>

Too late, Luddite. It's already happened.

Sincerely yours,
The Brainiac Trollmaster Mark I

2422. Re: OT, But Nice Nonetheless.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 04:44:31 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9mseg8$iu5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 18617

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010901174858.04654.00002262@mb-fa.news.cs.com...
> >Anyone have an antidote for treacle poisoning?
>
> Anyone know the definition of treacle poisoning?

Treacle - Noun
1.A pale cane syrup
2.Golden syrup

Poisoning - Noun
1.The physiological state produced by a poison or a toxic substance
2.Killing by the administration of poison

So does that mean "sickeningly sweet" taken in a lethal amount? ;^)

>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

2423. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 04:51:45 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3B91BAE1.5070104@mindspring.com>
Source record: 18616

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> Ah - bits have been around for a while. I used to talk to Norm, VK2NP, for
> hours on end using teletype. My worry isn't the radios working each other -
> I worry that Microsoft is going to want to charge for the QSOs!

There is an upgrade to Microsoft Windows available. Go to one of the
following:

http://www.linux-mandrake.com
http://www.redhat.com
http://www.debian.org
http://www.slackware.com
:-}

>(you are
> aware that they want a percentage of photo transactions from their
> 'partners' using the new Windows operating system?)
>

That would be Rochester's very own Kodak. And as I read, they caved.

Nevertheless, Kodak's DC4800 rocks.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/photo.htm

73 Corry K4DOH

2424. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024632.14008.00008698@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18620

Greetings All:

I'll give you a quick, short answer to the question: Who "needs" Electronic QSL'ing?"

Answer: Handicapped hams who can use a computer, but cannot use a pen! Just think of all the hams who are blind, or who have Cerebral Palsy, who can finally access the whole world of awards programs, just because they can now verify their contacts through electronic QSL'ing! Also consider hams on a limited budget. E-QSL's will be a lot less expensive than sending out hard copy QSL's, making awards like WAS, WAC, DXCC, etc. available at a much reduced total cost. Consider the cost of a Worked All Counties award -- if QSL's of all 3,075 required QSO's are made in "hard copy" form?

E-QSL's are definitely not a bad thing, and as Ed said, hard-copy QSL's simply will not go away, as long as hams exist who wish to make use of them. However, the benefits and advantages of E-QSL's will make them extremely popular, there is no doubt about that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2425. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024633.14008.00008700@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18619

In article <k76k7.46$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>> Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving
>are VHF and UHF
>
>Who says that HF is the Big Draw?

Ryan:

If it isn't, why did we need to dumb-down Morse code testing requirements
in order to "save" amateur radio?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2426. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024633.14008.00008699@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18622

In article <20010901144242.01539.00005959@mb-cg.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>
>How Many Hams Does It Take To Make A Dipole:
>
> 0, unless they have all the pictures and correct answers given
>to
>them, and of course someone to do it for them

Bruce:

In all fairness, I made my first dipole from the diagrams and data given in the ARRL Antenna Book. Does this make me a "dumbed-down" ham?

My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book -- and will instead depend on so-called "Elmers" to hand-carry them through the process without making any effort whatsoever to research and find the answers using readily available information.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2427. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024634.14008.00008701@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18626

In article <3B90FB3E.8F59C84E@bellsowth.net>, Dave Johnson
<dh2311@bellsowth.net> writes:

>
>From http://www.nationalreview.com/kudlow/kudlow.shtml:
>>
>> Don't Blame Bush
>> . . . for the Dem's government-surplus obsession.
>>
>> Mr. Kudlow is CEO of Kudlow & Co.
>> August 24, 2001 8:00 a.m.
>>
>> Washington politicians are shocked - shocked - that federal budget
>surpluses
>> are dwindling as the national economic downturn lengthens. Democrats are
>> pointing fingers at the White House. South Carolina Democrat John Spratt
>> even blames Bush for violating "a sacred trust" that he allegedly inherited
>> from the Clinton administration.
>>
>> A sacred trust? Nonsense.
>>
>> What Bush inherited from Clinton was a big economic turkey without any
>> stuffing: the worst bear-market decline in two-and-a-half decades, and the
>> first synchronous global slump since the mid 1970s. Before the Texan was
>> even sworn into office, the Nasdaq technology index had already plunged
>70%.
>> By the time Bush submitted his tax-cutting budget, the U.S. economy had
>> rolled over to less than 1% growth from 8% in late 1999. Before the tax
>cuts
>> were even passed into law, the domestic private-sector economy (excluding
>> trade and government spending) had shrunk two consecutive quarters.
>>
>> No one should blame George W. Bush for the Federal Reserve's scorched-earth
>> deflation of the stock market, manufacturing, and technology investment - a
>> deflation that is still in process despite the central bank's jamming down
>> of the monetary accelerator this year after slamming on the brakes last
>> year.
>>
>> Nor should serious people blame Bush for Clinton's government-surplus
>> obsession that drained hundreds of billions in personal tax dollars from
>the
>> private economy, and led to a record post-war tax level that reached nearly
>> 21% of GDP.
>>

>> Nor should Bush be blamed for Clinton's overzealous regulatory burdens,
>> where a spate of midnight executive orders penalized businesses and
>> prevented energy production. More than a year ago, it was the Clinton
>> Justice Department's decision to break up Microsoft that ignited the
>> tech-sector debacle. Clinton officials at the FTC blocked the rollout of
>> broadband connections to the Internet by favoring Baby Bells over local
>> phone companies, and stopped the capital spending expansion plans for AT&T,
>> WorldCom, and JDS Uniphase. In short, it was the Clinton policy of monetary
>> deflation, high taxes, and regulatory excesses that stopped the long
>> prosperity cycle.
>>
>> That is George Bush's inheritance. ...

Here, here! Larry Kudlow is one of the purest geniuses appearing in the
print media today. Reading his stuff is like being liberated from a
concentration camp! The truth will always set you free!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2428. Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024635.14008.00008702@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18621

In article <20010901084735.01923.00003287@mb-fu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>Subject: Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!
>>From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
>>Date: 9/1/01 8:32 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20010901083207.04344.00004094@mb-fz.aol.com>
>>
>>>I just want to wish everybody and their families/friends a happy and
>healthy
>>>holiday weekend.
>>>
>>>Very best regards,
>>>
>>>Bert
>>>KC2HMN
>>
>>You too buddy. Take care and 73.
>
> Dittos and trittos
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Quadritto's here! After having "labored" for almost 60 hrs. this week, I'll enjoy my 3-day weekend very much, indeed!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Member, Amalgamated Transit Union, Local 842

2429. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024636.14008.00008704@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18624

In article <20010901070421.21431.00004557@mb-mr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>Yeah, but that doesn't count here, Larry. On rrap, you are a lower-status
>participant and cannot change that now that you have shown the world that you
>could not pass a single-question test on one of the most fundamental aspects
>of electromagnetics.
>
>>I will gladly put my current technical knowledge
>>to the test against any randomly-selected, newly licensed Amateur
>>Extra of the Post-Restructuring Era.
>
>Why does the selection have to be random? You claim you are superior to them
>ALL.

Ed:

Since you apparently don't believe that I am superior to *all*
Post-Restructuring
Extras, why would you object to a completely random selection of one to
challenge my technical knowledge in a fair, impartial test? If I allow you or
Cecil to make the selection, then you'd only select some "ringer" who has a
Ph. D. EE and a visceral hatred for the Morse code. I'd just choose the first
newly VE-tested Post-Restructuring Extra to emerge from the nearest local
testing session -- and let *you* ask the question! (Only one question
allowed, because that's all I got here!)

73 de Larry, K3LT

2430. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024636.14008.00008703@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18625

In article <20010901082405.01438.00002807@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
>>I'm a post-restructuring Extra.
>
>This certainly will tell us whether Larry really believes he is superior to
>all
>post-restructuring Extras, won't it. :-)
>Somehow, I think he will squirm right past your offer, or pretend he didn't
>read it. :-)

Neither, Ed. I will simply reject it out-of-hand, since it would not be a "random" choice at all! As of now, this offer does not extend to any participant of this newsgroup. The only way we could conduct this test is to happen to be at a hamfest or something, grab a newly VE-tested Post-Restructuring Extra still wiping the sweat off his/her brow from the morning VE session, and ask the question right then and there. Actually, I'd be giving my prospective challenger a great advantage, since just having tested for, and passed his/her Extra exam, his/her knowledge level should be peaked -- right?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2431. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024637.14008.00008706@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18618

In article <3B90CD8F.422536C5@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Why does the selection have to be random? You claim you are superior to
>them
>> ALL.
>
>I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
>I'm a post-restructuring Extra.

If we took you as a volunteer, Cecil, then the selection wouldn't be
random anymore, would it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2432. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 06:46:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902024637.14008.00008705@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 18623

In article <20010901070927.21431.00004558@mb-mr.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Hmmm. Might I speculate what you "felt should be done?" My guess
>>is that you "felt" that Morse code testing should have been totally
>>eliminated, or should have been reduced to answering questions
>>*about* the Morse code within the written test, or that the scores
>>of the Morse code and written tests should be combined -- or some
>>variation of these typical NCTA "work arounds" to thwart the pass/fail
>>nature of the stand-alone code tests. Am I warm?
>
>No. My input to Tom Frenaye was that I believed there should be 4 classes of
>ham license. A 0 wpm Tech, a 5 wpm General, a 5 or 10 wpm Advanced (I didn't
>care which) and a 20 wpm Extra. I believed that the Extra should convey only
>the lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 and 10.

Ed:

I sit corrected. Your proposal was nearly identical to my own.

>It didn't happen. From what the FCC did, I think the next change after the
>code
>requirement is dropped as an international treaty should be to have a 4-tier
>structure, with a 0 wpm Technician, a 0 wpm General, a 0 wpm Advanced and a
>code test for Extra CW priveleges on the lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 and
>10.
>
>That latter belief is the reason I do not support No Code International. They
>have made it pretty clear that it is exactly their way, or the highway. :-)

Well, I can't fault you there. The only problem with NCI is they started out with a lot of political support right out of the box, since they represent the politics of dumbed-down requirements, "level playing fields," "inclusiveness," and everything else that appeals to basically lazy people who want the world handed to them on a silver platter. That's a pretty difficult nut to crack.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2433. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 08:15:38 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <999418542.256222@ns1.gct21.net>
Source record: 18627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:IE8k7.7706$KV3.561952@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I guess I might have agreed with the 'anonymous coward' stuff - until I
> checked the ARRL website. Go check out about 'rabbit ears'. Seems he
> rammed the vehicle that was DFing him! There are some folk who are a
brick
> short of a load - but usually this stuff happens as a result of something
> else; in this case the DFing was legal, but you get the drift.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

On the other hand, like Clint Eastwood once said, "Go ahead, make my
day..." .

73 de W6DKN

2434. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 08:35:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0109020035.21118c74@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18628

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B8E5267.96A8F204@IEEE.org>...
>
>
>
> Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
> quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
> Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.
>
AOL is the Chernobyl of the Internet.
>
>
w3rv

2435. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:12:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902071257.13097.00002593@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 18629

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Why does the selection have to be random? You claim you are superior to
>them
>>ALL.

>Since you apparently don't believe that I am superior to *all*
>Post-Restructuring
>Extras, why would you object to a completely random selection of one to
>challenge my technical knowledge in a fair, impartial test?

Since you do believe you are superior to all post-restructuring Extras, you have no reason to object to a non-random selection. A random selection might select one of those that you are superior to, proving nothing. Of course, it could hit one of those that are superior to you, proving you wrong. Why take a chance with a random selection. If you truly are superior, let's prove it once and for all by selecting someone whose qualifications are such that if you beat him, you have proven your point beyond any doubt.

> If I allow you or Cecil to make the selection, then you'd only select some
> "ringer" who has a Ph. D. EE and a visceral hatred for the Morse code.

The premise here, Larry, is that you are superior to all post-restructuring Extras, so if this guy is a post-restructuring Extra, you can beat him easily.

I will even include a simple question, such as:

"If a 5 ohm resistor is connected across a 10 volt battery, how much current will flow in the resistor." After all, EVERYBODY should be able to get that one right, eh?

When would you like to do the "shoot-off?" We can post the scores on rrap so everyone can see how superior you are.

Oh, and bone up on field strength near antennas...

>I'd just choose the first newly VE-tested Post-Restructuring Extra to emerge
from
> the nearest local testing session -- and let *you* ask the question!

If that is the best you feel you can go up against, I will take that as an admission that you cede the point to Cecil.

>(Only one question allowed, because that's all I got here!)

Then in that case, the test is uneccesary; the point has already been proven here.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2436. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:15:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902071526.13097.00002594@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 18630

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Neither, Ed. I will simply reject it out-of-hand, since it would not be
>a "random" choice at all!

A random choice would be a reasonable indicator as to whether your years of experience made your knowledge superior to a newly minted ham. Is that really what you feel you need to prove?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2437. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:17:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902071733.13097.00002595@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 18631

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>but asked the question to find out what steps new hams could
>>take that would make that handful of old hams stop being abusive to them.

>Ed you are dreaming, there are alot more than a Handfull

On this forum, compared to the hundreds or thousands of readers, there are only a handful that feel a need to abuse other hams for their license class. In all of the coventions I have gone to, I have seen only a handful of people who feel a need to shout immature insults in public. Most hams believe in and follow the tradition of being helpful to their fellow hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2438. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:18:24 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902071824.13097.00002596@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 18632

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Are hams, who don't believe in The Amateur's Code, Real Hams?

According to the FCC they are.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2439. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:19:35 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902071935.13097.00002597@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 18633

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> are new Hams that have not passed a real test Real Hams, Of course not

According to the FCC they are.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2440. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:21:20 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QCok7.4175$Uf1.298371@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 18634

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0109020035.21118c74@posting.google.com...
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:<3B8E5267.96A8F204@IEEE.org>...
> >
> >
> >
> > Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
> > quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
> > Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.
> >
> AOL is the Chernobyl of the Internet.
> >
> >
> w3rv

And Windows XP will be the Plague. The village idiot will be doing 'denial
of service' and assorted fun stuff because there is no security at all.
n0bk

2441. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:26:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902072630.01552.00002457@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18635

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book -- and
>will instead depend on so-called "Elmers" to hand-carry them through
>the process without making any effort whatsoever to research and
>find the answers using readily available information.

I have run across a few of those in my time, too, Larry. But my eyes are open wide enough to see they are as insignificant a minority as the old timers who feel their mission in life is to abuse new hams. Most of the people that I talk to about technical issues ask intelligent questions and understand the answers.

I have to wonder how much help W1BNB had to give me when I was a new Nervous.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2442. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:31:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902073152.01552.00002458@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18636

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>On what basis do you make that assumption?

> Prior experience. The human animal is quick to do itself in.

There is no prior experience with eQSLs, Steve.

>>Do you really think the DXCC community would stand for it if North
>>Korea came on line and sent only paper QSL and ARRL did not accept them? I
> think not!

> No one, least of all me, suggested that this will happen in the next 30
>days, Ed.

Do I presume correctly that I can take that answer as a "no?"

>>I am curious, though, on what you based your assumption that eqsls will
>>become mandatory.

> I didn't say they would become mandatory, Ed, as in ARRL accepting
> them ONLY...I suggested that it will become necessary to do it that way as
> those DXers out there ONLY use "eQSL".

Okay, I misunderstood. Sorry for the confusion. Yes, you are correct. If some
DXpeditions or DXers choose to QSL *only* electronically, then it will be
mandatory to use the eQSL system if one wants to use that QSL for an award.

This isn't going to start up that "forced" thread all over again, is it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2443. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:35:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902073532.01552.00002459@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18637

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> You dont think more dumbing down is Important???

The tests are not dumbed down from the days I got a ham license for studying a 4-page study guide and all amateur priveleges for studying a 16-page study guide.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2444. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:37:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902073702.01552.00002460@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18638

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If it isn't, why did we need to dumb-down Morse code testing requirements
>in order to "save" amateur radio?

Because the FCC rules now would not permit a 13- or 20-wpm code test at VE
sessions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2445. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:41:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902074118.01552.00002462@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18639

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

> I would like to se some of the guys that passed there so called (Real Test)
in the
> so called good old days at the FCC office walk into a VE test session and
take
> an extra exam without any study. I have a feeling a good portion would not
pass the
> test. But we will never know because that will never happen.

I took one simple example of electromagnetics from the content of the current General syllabus and posted a question here. Of the 3 that chose to give some sort of an answer, one got it right, one got it wrong and one simply made a statement in the form of a question that said that he believed that the field strength from an antenna varies as the inverse *square* of the distance.

Upon seeing the results, I saw little reason to continue. Cecil posted a question that combines the knowledge of several of the questions in the current Extra pool, but so far, none of the old timers have answered it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2446. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:42:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902074210.01552.00002463@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18640

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>wake up CBer

Is that why you suggested that hams should guess B or C if they don't know the answer?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2447. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:44:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902074429.01552.00002464@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18641

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> He is wrong. The easiest way to pass the test is by learning the
>underlying
>> concepts and using 6th-grade math.

> Depends engtirely on where you are coming from technically and what
>your objective is. Most potential hams just want to get the license,

It matters not why one wants to pass the test. It is easier to learn the fundamental concepts and apply 6th-grade math than it is to try to "word associate" hundreds of questions for each exam without understanding.

I just don't want to see your well-intended but ill-conceived advice to new hams not to learn anything lead them astray. Unlike you, I want to see new hams learn.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2448. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:46:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902074600.01552.00002465@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18642

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Imagine that - an amateur radio operator wanting to operate.
>What is the world coming to?

So Bruce doesn't want to see hams operate and Dick doesn't want to see hams learn. Larry, of course, never wants to see any ham become better than him. I will never understand those three.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2449. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:48:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902074829.01552.00002468@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18643

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So how is it, then, that after 16 years of question pools youi ARRL
>folk have let it slide downhill to where it is?

Okay, please tell me exactly what you think has been wrong with the input ARRL has given to the Question Pool Committee?

Seeing as you blame ARRL for all of this, tell us what you think was wrong in each of the votes ARRL took wrt Question Pool Committee matters.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2450. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 11:55:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902075502.01552.00002470@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18644

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Your main exposure to other hams outside the bunch of mostly degreed
>engineers at HQ is the occasional trip to this large hamfest or that, where
you do
>talks and siminare which are attended mostly by other degreed engineers
>who are also hams, and consitute a small percentage of all hams attending the
event.

You really do have a need to see me as cloistered, eh, Dick? Too bad that your imagination can't match your reality.

Degreed engineers would be very disappointed at seeing one of my presentations. They are intended to be practical examples of how to deal with RFI, troubleshooting, antennas, propagation and the occasional "stump the speaker" question. I probably talk with 10-15 hams a day on the phone, and about three times that number by email. These are NOT the people that think they know all the answers, they are the ones that have questions.

I attend local club meetings and in general rub elbows one way or another with more than a few hams each year. Now, I don't know how many hams you talk to on the phone every day or how many emails you exchange with hams on a regular basis, but I rather doubt that it is as many, or from as broad a base of ham radio, as is mine.

If the tendency you exhibit here to see what you want to see extends to the rest of your life, I can see how you have come to the conclusion you have come to.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

> So most of the hams you meet are already knowledgable and most likely
>were pre-licensing. So you just can't believe that the majority of hams
>are not, and wouldn't -and didn't- hesitate to use such a method of
>passing tests once it was available. Why do you think the FCC held
>secret the test questions for so many years? THEY knew. In fact they
>still do, they just can't be bothered with doing it right, given the
>political climate and funding reductions.
>
> My objective throughout this entire RRAP episode has been to get the
>question pools redone so that they might actually constitute a learning
>experience for new hams, rather than decoying so many into thinking they
>actually learned something about radio since they passed a "technical"
>test. We all know the qiuestion pools are not going away. So why don't
>you get your head out of the sand and help, instead of criticising full

>time? You can get the ear of the VEC committee about as fast as anyone,
>but first you must understand the NEED to do it.
>
>
>
>
>
>

2451. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:00:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902080020.01552.00002471@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18645

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>My objective throughout this entire RRAP episode has been to get the
>question pools redone so that they might actually constitute a learning
>experience for new hams, rather than decoying so many into thinking they
>actually learned something about radio since they passed a "technical"
>test.

This is not the place to do that, Dick, and if you think that your insulting new hams here is a part of any process of change, you are mistaken. And from what I can see, your advice to new hams has been NOT to learn anything, so your mission is not very self-consistent.

>We all know the qiuestion pools are not going away. So why don't
>you get your head out of the sand and help, instead of criticising full
>time?

What "full time" do you mean? My hour in the AM and a half-hour most evenings?

>You can get the ear of the VEC committee about as fast as anyone,
>but first you must understand the NEED to do it.

I very much agree that there is a need to improve the tests. I just don't agree that it is necessary, appropriate or helpful to proclaim the entire test to be useless to do so. I believe even less that insulting other hams and making broad, sweeping, and inaccurate statements about the current tests will further the goal even one bit.

And, last but not least, I do NOT believe that telling new hams to just memorize the tests and not to learn anything, as you do here, will help make even the tiniest change in the question pools or their content.

But seeing as you are asking me to get my head out of the sand, may I have your permission to obtain the input you have given the QPC and post it here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2452. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:03:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902080315.02413.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 18646

In article <3B91A985.D7496383@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> >There you go again, Jim, portraying yourself as omniscient.
>>
>> I knew you were going to say that.
>
>Of course, your superiority complex was showing again.

I knew you were going to say that, too.
>
>> >Taking away
>> >slavery would not have guaranteed a zero percent chance of a civil war.
>>
>> Yes, it would.
>
>Prove it, please.

There's no point in doing so, because I know you will reject any proof out of
sheer cussedness.

>The probably of anything is almost never zero.

"Almost" only counts in horseshoes.
>
>> >It would only have resulted in a lower probability of civil war.
>>
>> Zero probablility is a lower probablility.
>
>Zero probability almost never exists for anything.

Prove it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2453. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:03:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902080347.01552.00002472@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18647

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I am surprised you are not explaining that to new hams instead of
>convincing
>> them to use word association to pass their tests.

> I get the drift that you would like to just cover up the facts, Ed, and
>pretend that it really is harder for someone with no radio training to
>actually learn the material instead of use word associations.

It is not harder to learn the material than it is to memorize over a thousand questions -- oops, I mean "word associate" over a thousand questions without learning. The advice you are giving new hams to do so is making it harder for them to get a license.

>I would think that W5YI etc suggesting a theoryless license test would
>have given you a clue, but no, your head remains underground.

I disagree with W5YI, and have done so repeatedly.

>Again- we all know the question pols are not going away, so why not work
>to improve them while in your high profile position where you can get
>the ear of the right people, instead of criticising me for speaking what
>I know to be fact?

So now it is MY fault that you are advising new hams to "word associate" the answers without understanding?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2454. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:04:44 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902080444.01552.00002473@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18648

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I memorized my way into ham radio in the early 50s.

Do you mean that ham radio has been ruined all this time and I didn't even know it? Who woulda' figured.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2455. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:05:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902080541.01552.00002474@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18649

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
>words along with their definitions?

They never will because they realize that it would be nearly impossible to do
so.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2456. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:08:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902080801.01552.00002475@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18650

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>If it's so super easy, why not just do it to prove your point? Or
>>could it be that it is not as easy as you say?

>It is easy ...

I agree with you, Bruce. Memorizing 1000 words in Russian without
understanding their meaning is exaclty as easy as memorizing 1000 questions in
ham radio without understanding their meaning.

I have no idea if either would be easy for you, but I will be willing to take
your word for it if you will take my word that it would be impossible for me.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

2457. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:11:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902081150.01552.00002476@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18701

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Well Cecil why dont you go to the next Test Session, and after the people
>have taken and passed the Test, ask them privately what they did to pepare for
>test, maybe it will open your eyes.

How about the following question:

"Did you just pass this test with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and hoping that a few subjects didn't appear on your test, or did you just study word-associations without understanding? "

That question seems to summarize our positions pretty well. I am sure we could find a VE that we both trust to ask the next 25 or 50 people who take the tests. If N2EY is a VE, I would accept him hands down as an upright fellow.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2458. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:13:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902081306.01552.00002477@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18702

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
>> 1000 of anything.

> Anyone who does believe that doesn't underestand the situation, as you
>do not.

Why would it be possible to memorize 1000 questions on ham radio without understanding but not possible to memorize 1000 words of Russian in Cyrillic without understanding?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

2459. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:14:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902081449.01552.00002478@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18703

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> I personally know 1 Guy who did it this way, and we went from a No-Code
>> Tech to Extra in one setting.

>"we"?????? Freudian slip?

No, Bruce has already told us that he is a post-restructuring Extra.

Hey, maybe we could pit him against Larry in this "superior ham" contest?
That would be a hoot!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2460. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:16:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902081625.01552.00002479@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18704

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>ED they dont want to learn, all they want to do is talk on the Radio

Yeah, shame on them for actually wanting to get on the air!

> There not going to Fail, there purpose is to get the License with the least
>resistance, and that is exactly what there doing.

Great. I am pleased to see you tell me that they are taking the easiest way to pass the test and learning the underlying material and using 6th grade math.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2461. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:19:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902081926.01552.00002480@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18705

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Is this the best you came come up with to justify Dumbing Down, In case you
>didnt know Cecil a Car is a little tougher to build then a 4 componet
>interface

If your rear-view mirror were to fall off your car, would you buy or build a
replacement?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2462. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:21:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902082151.01552.00002481@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18706

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Bull, I already answered your 1 silly Question, and am not about to answer
>any more

Your choice, Bruce. Everyone will have to decide whether you know the answer
or not. They will also have to decide whether you knew the answer to my
original question, or just made a luckly guess. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2463. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:24:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902082407.01552.00002482@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18707

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>> I don't know about an FCC Proposal, but I do recall that you proposed it
>> here a number of times. Is that close enough to an idiot doing just that to
make
>> you uncomfortable? :-)

> No I didnt, I never once said anything about sending the test to the
>Indiviual and have him take it at home and then send it back for Grading.

> But you know what the more I think about it, it does make as much sense as
> the Present Written

So you are proposing it now? Can we expect to see that Petition for Rulemaking
any day now, or, to use your words, do you not want to be the idiot that
proposes it to the FCC?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2464. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:48:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902084803.01501.00003094@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 18708

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Yes, that happens to hams -- has been that way for decades. The most
>common
>> cause is that some old timer convinced him that CW was not a useful, fun
>mode.

> Ed, once I thought yiu were a pretty straight up guy, but I have
>learned that you won't hesitate to lie through your teeth.

Ah, Dick, you are so convinced that no one could ever come to a different conclusion than you that you think that I would lie to you.

I believe that statements by experienced old timers that emphasize the work involved in learning CW (ie, repeated statements that people who don't learn it are lazy), instead of emphasizing the utility and fun of CW, are turning people away from the mode.

Ask youself this: When Morse code testing is removed, which of the following positions on CW do you think will help bring in newcomers to the mode:

CW is a lot of work and those that don't learn it are lazy.

CW is a a lot of fun and those that learn it will be learning a useful mode.

That is the thought behind my statement. You may disagree and believe that statement #1 will bring in more CW enthusiasts, but do you still think I am lying?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>

2465. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:54:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902085439.01609.00005541@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18709

>"If a 5 ohm resistor is connected across a 10 volt battery, how much current
>will flow in the resistor."

Are you also going to provide him with answer Sheet, if not this would be
unfair, besides whos going to explain to him what a resistor is

2466. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 12:57:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902085709.01609.00005542@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18710

>The tests are not dumbed down from the days I got a ham license for studying
>a
>4-page study guide and all amateur priveleges for studying a 16-page study
>guide.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RF

Oh thats right they now 14 Million Q&As to memorize.

2467. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:03:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902090352.01609.00005544@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18711

>I agree with you, Bruce. Memorizing 1000 words in Russian without
>understanding their meaning is exaclty as easy as memorizing 1000 questions
>in
>ham radio without understanding their meaning.

Now your getting the picture ED

2468. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:06:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902090625.01609.00005545@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18712

>"Did you just pass this test with a combination of learning, memorizing,
>lucky
>guessing and hoping that a few subjects didn't appear on your test, or did
>you
>just study word-associations without understanding? "

None of the above, I didnt even study for the Test, I had no idea what the questions would even be.

2469. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:08:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902090856.01609.00005547@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18713

>Hey, maybe we could pit him against Larry in this "superior ham" contest?
>That would be a hoot!
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Nope not interested, already played your Silly Game once,and nothing was proven.

2470. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:11:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902091125.01609.00005550@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18714

>Great. I am pleased to see you tell me that they are taking the easiest way
>to
>pass the test

Yes by Memorizing and not obtaining any real knowledge.>and learning the
underlying material and using 6th grade math.
>

>and learning the underlying material and using 6th grade math.
>

Wrong again ED

2471. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:12:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902091226.01609.00005551@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18715

>If your rear-view mirror were to fall off your car, would you buy or build a
>replacement?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

I would buy a NEW CAR

2472. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:18:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902091857.01609.00005552@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18716

>Your choice, Bruce. Everyone will have to decide whether you know the answer
>or not.

Yep your right and I could care less what a Bunch of New Hams think

>They will also have to decide whether you knew the answer to my
>original question, or just made a luckly guess

Big problem, I guess you will never know, but I know the answer to that. Sure havent seen any of your New Good Buddy Hams answering any of the Questions, I guess they didnt have the Answer Sheet in front of them.

2473. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:21:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902092142.01609.00005553@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 18717

> or, to use your words, do you not want to be the idiot that
>proposes it to the FCC?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Well since you put it that way, I guess you would be the person for that Job,
let us all know when you have it ready ED.

2474. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:38:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B923649.25ED5A2A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18718

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> There were a lot of causes of the
> confederacy's existence, Jim.
>
> Yup - almost all of them trace back
> to disagreements over slavery.

Well, that is your opinion and I obviously don't agree with it. And simply too much information (books, records of public debates, government documents, etc) have been lost over the years for any of us to know the real truth.

But, to be perfectly honest, I don't think it really matters at this point. The concept of the racist south is strongly entrenched in this country's culture - almost everything the south does, or has done, is viewed as somehow racist. And, in the end, such a concept insures a never ending divide between the citizens of this country. Since southerners cannot escape this concept (or the endless harsh judgments of the north), secession does indeed become an inviting option. After all, I'm sure you would really not mind getting rid of a bunch of southern racists and I'm damn tired of being viewed as racist just because of my birthplace.

Of course, taking this full circle, perhaps that is exactly how southerners felt in the years leading up to 1860.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2475. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:41:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B9236FF.8ABE384D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18719

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > http://www.flat-earth.org
> >
> > I saw that website before you
> > posted it, Cecil. It doesn't exactly
> > give a lot of details. Do you know
> > anything more about this group? It
> > sounds like one of those college
> > prank groups.
>
> Don't know much about them but they
> seem to have a large membership.

Well, they cannot have too many members - certainly not overseas,
for example. There isn't too much room for all those countries
overseas with a flat earth of limited size. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2476. Re: OT, But Nice Nonetheless.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 13:57:52 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902095752.04336.00003578@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 18720

>> >Anyone have an antidote for treacle poisoning?
>>
>> Anyone know the definition of treacle poisoning?
>
>Treacle - Noun
>1.A pale cane syrup
>2.Golden syrup
>
>Poisoning - Noun
>1.The physiological state produced by a poison or a toxic substance
>2.Killing by the administration of poison
>
>So does that mean "sickeningly sweet" taken in a lethal amount? ;^)
>

Well Bert,

Unfortunately, you learnt something else in addition to your requested definition. Quite sad actually. Rest assured your message was likely appreciated by the majority of those who read it. Take care and 73.

Mark

2477. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:11:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B923DE4.EED43955@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18721

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com writes:
>
> > From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >
> > > I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
> > > I'm a post-restructuring Extra.
> >
> > This certainly will tell us whether Larry
> > really believes he is superior to all
> > post-restructuring Extras, won't it. :-)
> > Somehow, I think he will squirm right past
> > your offer, or pretend he didn't read it.
>
> Neither, Ed. I will simply reject it
> out-of-hand, since it would not be
> a "random" choice at all! As of now,
> this offer does not extend to any
> participant of this newsgroup. The
> only way we could conduct this test
> is to happen to be at a hamfest or
> something, grab a newly VE-tested
> Post-Restructuring Extra still wiping
> the sweat off his/her brow from the
> morning VE session, and ask the question
> right then and there. (snip)

Since you're so interested in an honest test between the average pre-restructuring and post-restructuring Extra, why not have both randomly selected as you describe above? Don't try to stack the deck by having only one side randomly selected? In other words, lets really have a fair test. Since you're not randomly selected, the other side should not be randomly selected either. Furthermore, since you're in this newsgroup, others in this newsgroup should also not be excluded. If you consider yourself a good candidate as the representative of the pre-restructuring group (superior to all post-restructuring Extras), I see no reason Cecil would not be a good candidate as the representative of the post-restructuring group.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2478. Re: OT, But Nice Nonetheless.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:16:42 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902101642.04383.00003477@mb-fz.news.cs.com>
Source record: 18722

>>> >Anyone have an antidote for treacle poisoning?
>>>
>>> Anyone know the definition of treacle poisoning?
>>
>>Treacle - Noun
>>1.A pale cane syrup
>>2.Golden syrup
>>
>>Poisoning - Noun
>>1.The physiological state produced by a poison or a toxic substance
>>2.Killing by the administration of poison
>>
>>So does that mean "sickeningly sweet" taken in a lethal amount? ;^)
>>
>
>Well Bert,
>
>Unfortunately, you learnt something else in addition to your requested
>definition. Quite sad actually. Rest assured your message was likely
>appreciated by the majority of those who read it. Take care and 73.
>
>Mark
>
>
Lesson learnt.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2479. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:27:35 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b924432_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18725

Well, that is--unless someone allows theirself to be a victim of another person's judgement (however ridiculous that judgement may be); know what I mean? :)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3B8DCA95.627BB05D@sccoast.net...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > > My comment was about the recent
> > > changes in the code test
> > > requirements,
> >
> > Oh I see, you wouldnt be a Real
> > Ham unless the CW Test and the
> > Written Test hadnt been Dumbed Down.
>
>
> A person would be a "real" ham, regardless of what ham license test
> he passed.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2480. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:37:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B9243F2.64B987E6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18723

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> Gee... other that a few corrections for
> errors.... how much has the written
> exams actually changed?? From the
> different question pools I have seen
> from the past 20 years or so, (nice to
> aquire old books! :), the questions
> haven't changed that much. Was there
> more questions asked? If the problem
> is multiple-guess now, were the
> questions fill-in-the-blank? If the
> problem now with the written tests is
> that they are multiple guess, why don't
> they either change it to some other
> format or at least make the answers
> not so apparently obvious.
>
> I will say it again to all that are
> complaining about the testing procedures,
> what exactly are the methods of testing
> that will finally make you happy??
> Please be specific, not using
> generalities etc..

First of all, Ryan, you need to get your facts straight before you go around jumping on people. I have never once disputed the contents of the written part of the exams, so I have no idea where your crazed rant above is coming from. I am not "complaining about the testing procedures," nor am I disputing the number of "questions asked," nor have I said anything about "multiplexes," "fill-in-the-blank," or whatever.

If you truly want "MY" opinion on the written exams, I think they are just fine as they are right now. The intent of those exams are to allow a person to "ENTER" the hobby of ham radio. In other words, they are "entrance" exams, not "graduation" exams offered to prove knowledge or skill in a particular field. If you want evidence of knowledge in the field of communications, then go to college and get a degree (the ham radio exams were not designed for that - they were never designed, or intended, to be equal to degree).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2481. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:37:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B924407.F27DB9EF@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18724

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> Gee... other that a few corrections for
> errors.... how much has the written
> exams actually changed?? From the
> different question pools I have seen
> from the past 20 years or so, (nice to
> aquire old books! :), the questions
> haven't changed that much. Was there
> more questions asked? If the problem
> is multiple-guess now, were the
> questions fill-in-the-blank? If the
> problem now with the written tests is
> that they are multiple guess, why don't
> they either change it to some other
> format or at least make the answers
> not so apparently obvious.
>
> I will say it again to all that are
> complaining about the testing procedures,
> what exactly are the methods of testing
> that will finally make you happy??
> Please be specific, not using
> generalities etc..

First of all, Ryan, you need to get your facts straight before you go around jumping on people. I have never once disputed the contents of the written part of the exams, so I have no idea where your crazed rant above is coming from. I am not "complaining about the testing procedures," nor am I disputing the number of "questions asked," nor have I said anything about "multi-guess," "fill-in-the-blank," or whatever.

If you truly want "MY" opinion on the written exams, I think they are just fine as they are right now. The intent of those exams are to allow a person to "ENTER" the hobby of ham radio. In other words, they are "entrance" exams, not "graduation" exams offered to prove knowledge or skill in a particular field. If you want evidence of knowledge in the field of communications, then go to college and get a degree (the ham radio exams were not designed for that - they were never designed, or intended, to be equal to degree).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2482. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:42:07 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9247a7_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18728

Oh goodness, there's another whole way to respond to the CW/no-CW debate and that is to ask the logical question:

What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be measuring? CW capability proves only that one knows CW--it proves nothing in terms of operating skill, knowledge of electronics, knowledge of bandplan, knowledge of FCC R&R, antenna safety, etc.

The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on CW to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how? If there are two stations who do not speak each other's language, then how do they communicate beyond the standard RST, Location, Name is? If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a conversation in a universal language. I submit that the only thing "universal" about CW is S-O-S, and that's about it.

I've said many times on the air and in this newsgroup that I truly admire a good CW operator and enjoy watching the mode in operation. I don't care to just listen to it on the air, I don't care to learn it beyond what I had to know to pass an exam, I don't enjoy the mode myself. I also don't adhere to the philosophy that it proves anything else other than that someone knows CW nor to the argument that it is the "universal" language (if it were I could learn CW and never have to worry about not being able to have a conversation with anyone in the world again!). And, for some reason which I cannot empathize with, those who know and love CW are offended by that. Well, actually, I think those who truly know and love CW are out there using it; and aren't here on some newsgroup trying to stake some invisible territory that they've imagined for themselves.

73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B8DE4EA.97C5C593@sccoast.net...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Your perception is all screwed up.
> > The codebashers come at us tooth and
> > claw and you expect us to just roll
> > over like you do.
>
>
> Your perception is screwed up, Dick. In 90% of the code discussions,
> it the the anti-code crowd responding to nonsense posted by pro-code
> crowd, not the other way around. For example, this latest round of the

> code debate was started by the monthly "no code = no ham" message. The
> "codebashers" didn't post that message. And Larry started the 'real
> ham," "restructuring," nonsense in this thread (Aug 17th). Are you
> calling Larry a "codebasher?" If you don't want "codebashers" coming
> at you (and the rest of the pro-code group), urge your fellow
> pro-coders to stop provoking it.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2483. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:44:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b92483c$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18729

What's really funny is that if all the "new" hams learned CW proficiently enough and enjoyed it enough to flood the CW portions of the bands with new signals, you'd have all the complainers complaining that those "damned new hams are crowding us out." LOL

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010830062916.21490.00005310@mb-mr.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Your perception is all screwed up. The codebashers come at us tooth and
> >claw and you expect us to just roll over like you do.
>
> My perception is that there is a lot more tooth and claw coming from your
> direction than any other. I, too, corrected Mr Drpfrench in his view of
the
> usefulness of code, but I did not share your need to continue to hit him
on the
> head and throw mud in his face. Your statement is just another version
of,
> "Your honor, she *made* me beat her." You have attacked people you don't
even
> know, so don't give us this tooth and claw nonsense.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2484. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:46:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902104625.17581.00006765@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18726

>not "graduation" exams offered to prove
>knowledge or skill in a particular field.

And your right the present Exams do not prove knowledge or skill

2485. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 14:48:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B92467C.660FA963@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18727

CAM wrote:
>
> I took a couple of years of Spanish
> and then did some consulting in
> Columbia. The natives told me I was
> speaking Castillian Spanish. It
> seemed to be a compliment.

If you say so. I just don't know enough about Spanish to get the differences. I'm back in school for another BS degree and had to take the required language course. I signed up for the Spanish class because it fit my schedule better, but could not understand half of what the teacher was saying. Since language is not a major interest, I decided to simple switch classes instead of fighting with it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2486. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 15:10:04 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <496117c58df29f6a8c214573cade698d.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 18730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The opinion of Steve Robeson K4YZ seems to be......

> Now that ARRL has thier own electronic QSL system in place or about to be
>launched, I can't help but wonder how much longer it will be until the art and
>tradition of QSLing will be lost.

Actually I think the "art of QSL'ing" can be enhanced by electronic
means.

First, electronic QSLing is a great deal more convenient, since most of
us already keep electronic logs, to simply click the "QSL = YES" control
on your screen than to fill out a paper card. The recipient still has
the option of turning that electronic QSL into a paper card for his
shoebox
if he wishes.

Second, electronic QSL's can be much more creative than cardboard ones,
both in the graphics, and the content. If I eMail you an "electronic
QSL" I could attach a .wav file of your received signal to let you hear
how good (or bad) your signal sounded at my end. Or I can attach a
photo of my new RV if you also have an interest in such, etc., etc.,
etc. In other words, I can customize *every* QSL to reflect what was
special about that contact. Talk about increasing the "personal
contact" of the QSL process!

Third, for those of us (probably 95% of hams) who already have access
to a computer and eMail, electronic QSLing would be much cheaper than
paper QSLing. Today even an "easy" award like 5BWAS can be a
significant
expense. With a typical 50% return rate, you can expect to need to send
500 cards to get that award. Presuming you include an SASE with each
card, the postage cost alone is over $300.00. For a more difficult
award like 5BDXCC (where you need to enclose IRC's or greenstamps)
the cost of QSLing can easily exceed $1,000.00.

In some cases, electronic QSLing may be the only way a person can
afford to send cards. In fact we've already seen a real-life example
of this situation. Last year an Australian fellow (don't recall his
home call sign) was dispatched to MacQuarie Island by his employer.
VK0M is *very* high on the most-wanted list by DXers, so he obtained
the call VK0MM and operated during his off-duty hours. Since he was
not a wealthy ham, nor part of a sponsored DXpedition, he simply
could not afford to send paper QSLs to the tens-of-thousands of
people who wanted to work him for a "new one". Electronic QSLing
is the perfect solution to this need.

Finally, I do not subscribe to your assertion that it will be *mandatory* for anyone to engage in electronic QSLing if they don't desire to. My guess is that ARRL (or others) will be very happy to provide paper-card output from LoTW (perhaps via the current bureau system) as a service to members who are not on the internet, probably at a cost less or equal to todays "exchange SASE's via snail-mail" convention.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupv107.mpls.uswest.net [209.180.31.107]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2487. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 15:19:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902111956.25196.00003646@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18731

>What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
>with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
>measuring?

The written isnt mearsuring any thing either, well unless you want to say is measuring your ability to Memorize. Which really is of no Value, if you have a question on Rules or Band Plans or any other material thats covered on the written, you can just as easily look it up at home or where ever. So really what is the Real Reason for the Written?

2488. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 15:53:18 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b925848_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18732

It sounds to me like your evading my question, and forgive that if it sounds confrontational--it is not meant to be. In my opinion (whether the written test material is memorized or not), the written tests do at least attempt to measure knowledge of FCC R&R, bandplan, electronics theories (based on the exam, of course), operating practices, etc. A CW test does none of that.

I agree with you that the written tests, as they exist, and the structure in which they are administered isn't the greatest--though they do at least "take a shot" at some semblance of one's capabilities to safely operate on the air, and within legal limitations. I would love to see a constructive debate and move to change the way in which tests are administered. I don't think having the tests published with the answers is right at all--and cheapens the measurement of my ability to know the material. BUT, it has been pointed out to me that it is the federal mandate that says the question pool must be published; and I don't know how one would get around that.

I don't know what the "real" reason for the written exam is. Conversely, not only is there no "real" reason to test one's CW capabilities--there is no logical reason to assume that one's knowledge of CW indicates *any* knowledge of topics such as are attempted to be measured in the written materials (once again, given that the materials measure anything--memorized or learned).

I do think that if "we", as a ham radio body of hobbyists, are concerned that the hobby is cheapened by people coming into it who are not "serious" about it--then we need to stop and think about what it is that we expect from fellow ham operators. Those who do not meet expectations of most of us who operate legally, cordially, and within accepted guidelines, are often shunned and disappear after a few months--or they "straighten" up their act. And, after all, what more can we ask or demand of a fellow ham but legal operation, being cordial, and operating within accepted guidelines (traditions) of ham radio? Even the FCC R&R are not that stringent! :)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010902111956.25196.00003646@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
> >with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
> >measuring?
>
> The written isnt mearsuring any thing either, well unless you want to say
is
> measuring your ability to Memorize. Which really is of no Value, if you
have a

> question on Rules or Band Plans or any other material thats covered on the
> written, you can just as easily look it up at home or where ever. So
really
> what is the Real Reason for the Written?

2489. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132909.12858.00007613@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18733

In article <20010902081926.01552.00002480@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Is this the best you came come up with to justify Dumbing Down, In case you
>>didnt know Cecil a Car is a little tougher to build then a 4 componet
>>interface
>
>If your rear-view mirror were to fall off your car, would you buy or build a
>replacement?

Ed:

Neither. I would put it back on. Been there, done that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2490. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132909.12858.00007614@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18734

In article <20010902090856.01609.00005547@mb-ch.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>
>>Hey, maybe we could pit him against Larry in this "superior ham" contest?
>>That would be a hoot!
>>
>>73,
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>
> Nope not interested, already played your Silly Game once,and nothing was >proven.

Bruce:

Something *has* been proven: You know more antenna theory than I do...and I'm willing to take a shot at something I'm not sure of! Too bad that all Ed and Cecil get out of it is the fact that I've proved I don't possess their "professional" level of technical knowledge!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2491. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132909.12858.00007615@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18737

In article <20010902074600.01552.00002465@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>So Bruce doesn't want to see hams operate and Dick doesn't want to see hams
>learn. Larry, of course, never wants to see any ham become better than him.
>I will never understand those three.

Ed:

I won't presume to speak for Dick and Bruce, but kindly quote where I said the thing you just accused me of saying. Provide an exact, verbatim quotation -- or kindly offer your apology for disparaging me.

For the record, I have absolutely no problem with any ham becoming "better" than I am as a ham. In fact, I will be the first to admit, that doing so should be fairly easy. If one hasn't slandered me in jealous envy of my "status" as a ham, they're already halfway there.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2492. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132910.12858.00007616@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18735

In article <3b92483c$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>What's really funny is that if all the "new" hams learned CW proficiently
>enough and enjoyed it enough to flood the CW portions of the bands with new
>signals, you'd have all the complainers complaining that those "damned new
>hams are crowding us out." LOL
>
>--
>73 de W5TIT - Kim

Kim:

I've been monitoring the 20-meter CW segment for four continuous hours,
and except for the occasional DX station, haven't heard a single callsign
that I haven't worked before. Unfortunately, the same is also true on
RTTY and PSK-31. Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
Where *are* they?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2493. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132910.12858.00007617@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18736

In article <20010902073532.01552.00002459@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> You dont think more dumbing down is Important???
>
>The tests are not dumbed down from the days I got a ham license for studying
>a
>4-page study guide and all amateur priveleges for studying a 16-page study
>guide.

Ed:

We all know that you're a dumbed-down ham, or at least, were one at one time. Now -- compare current licensing requirements to the ones in place in 1983 -- the year I upgraded to Amateur Extra. Are present license testing requirements "dumbed down" from the ones I had to accept, or not? Please give a definite and definitive answer. How you answer will affect the nature of future debate on this topic.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2494. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132912.12858.00007618@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18738

In article <20010902071526.13097.00002594@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Neither, Ed. I will simply reject it out-of-hand, since it would not be
>>a "random" choice at all!
>
>A random choice would be a reasonable indicator as to whether your years of
>experience made your knowledge superior to a newly minted ham. Is that
>really what you feel you need to prove?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I don't feel a need to prove anything at all, Ed. My years of experience has made me nothing more or less than what I am -- an AMATEUR radio operator. However, hams who claim "equality" with me, without having been exposed to as comprehensive and challenging a set of licensing requirements as I have, will have to take their chances -- since I intend to disagree with them!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2495. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132913.12858.00007620@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18739

In article <20010902073152.01552.00002458@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> I didn't say they would become mandatory, Ed, as in ARRL accepting
>> them ONLY...I suggested that it will become necessary to do it that way as
>> those DXers out there ONLY use "eQSL".
>
>Okay, I misunderstood. Sorry for the confusion. Yes, you are correct. If
>some
>DXpeditions or DXers choose to QSL *only* electronically, then it will be
>mandatory to use the eQSL system if one wants to use that QSL for an award.
>
>This isn't going to start up that "forced" thread all over again, is it?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Like every other aspect of the amateur radio hobby, QSL'ing is something which is done *voluntarily* by the ham who wishes to achieve the benefits resulting from this particular action. Nobody can "force" it upon you -- unless you harbor some underlying resentment which makes you feel as if you are being "forced." As with every other issue in ham radio, it always depends on who's ox is being gored.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2496. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:29:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010902132913.12858.00007619@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18740

In article <3B923DE4.EED43955@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>
> Since you're so interested in an honest test between the average
>pre-restructuring and post-restructuring Extra, why not have both
>randomly selected as you describe above? Don't try to stack the deck
>by having only one side randomly selected? In other words, lets really
>have a fair test. Since you're not randomly selected, the other side
>should not be randomly selected either. Furthermore, since you're in
>this newsgroup, others in this newsgroup should also not be excluded.
>If you consider yourself a good candidate as the representative of the
>pre-restructuring group (superior to all post-restructuring Extras), I
>see no reason Cecil would not be a good candidate as the
>representative of the post-restructuring group.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Hmmm, good point, Dwight, since I am not the one who is actually
claiming that I (Larry Roll, K3LT) am "superior" to Post-Restructuring
hams, from a standpoint of technical knowledge. I'm just saying that
it is much more *likely* that I possess superior technical knowledge,
based on the fact that I had to ascend through the ranks of a much more
challenging and comprehensive amateur licensing system. All I've
ever done is react to the whines of the no-coders and the Post-Restructuring
Hams that seem to *think* I'm claiming actual superiority to them,
whereas all I've been doing all along is just winding them up in my
usual, inimitable style. The only reason I do it is because their reactions
are immediate and gratifying knee-jerk defense of the indefensible,
which is…their dumbed-down status and how it came into being.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2497. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:30:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b926e04_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18741

This is a guess, but maybe they've heard of you, Larry.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010902132910.12858.00007616@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <3b92483c$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >
> >What's really funny is that if all the "new" hams learned CW proficiently
> >enough and enjoyed it enough to flood the CW portions of the bands with
new
> >signals, you'd have all the complainers complaining that those "damned
new
> >hams are crowding us out." LOL
> >
> >--
> >73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> Kim:
>
> I've been monitoring the 20-meter CW segment for four continuous hours,
> and except for the occasional DX station, haven't heard a single callsign
> that I haven't worked before. Unfortunately, the same is also true on
> RTTY and PSK-31. Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
> revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
> Where *are* they?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2498. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:32:22 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b926e5b_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18742

"Chances"? Take their chances with what, Larry? I don't understand your implication.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010902132912.12858.00007618@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <20010902071526.13097.00002594@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >
> >>Neither, Ed. I will simply reject it out-of-hand, since it would not be
> >>a "random" choice at all!
> >
> >A random choice would be a reasonable indicator as to whether your years of
> >experience made your knowledge superior to a newly minted ham. Is that
> >really what you feel you need to prove?
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed:
>
> I don't feel a need to prove anything at all, Ed. My years of experience has
> made me nothing more or less than what I am -- an AMATEUR radio
> operator. However, hams who claim "equality" with me, without having
> been exposed to as comprehensive and challenging a set of licensing
> requirements as I have, will have to take their chances -- since I intend
> to disagree with them!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

2499. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:37:00 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b926f71_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18743

If (and that's a big if) there's any superiority (and that's if there's anyone else who feels there's a contest in that department), then the conclusion would have to be made that it has nothing to do with the era in which you were tested, Larry, and has far more to do with how long you have been a ham.

I can guarantee you I could pass all the same tests you took. I can absolutely guarantee you that. I can also guarantee you that you'll have more knowledge in a mode that you use more often and I'll have more knowledge in a mode I use more often. The great thing about ham radio is that we should all be here to share, experiment, compare and better the avenues we choose interest in.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010902132913.12858.00007619@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <3B923DE4.EED43955@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Since you're so interested in an honest test between the average
> > >pre-restructuring and post-restructuring Extra, why not have both
> > >randomly selected as you describe above? Don't try to stack the deck
> > >by having only one side randomly selected? In other words, lets really
> > >have a fair test. Since you're not randomly selected, the other side
> > >should not be randomly selected either. Furthermore, since you're in
> > >this newsgroup, others in this newsgroup should also not be excluded.
> > >If you consider yourself a good candidate as the representative of the
> > >pre-restructuring group (superior to all post-restructuring Extras), I
> > >see no reason Cecil would not be a good candidate as the
> > >representative of the post-restructuring group.
> > >
> > >
> > >Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > >http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
> Hmmm, good point, Dwight, since I am not the one who is actually
> claiming that I (Larry Roll, K3LT) am "superior" to Post-Restructuring
> hams, from a standpoint of technical knowledge. I'm just saying that
> it is much more *likely* that I possess superior technical knowledge,
> based on the fact that I had to ascend through the ranks of a much more

> challenging and comprehensive amateur licensing system. All I've
> ever done is react to the whines of the no-coders and the
Post-Restructuring
> Hams that seem to *think* I'm claiming actual superiority to them,
> whereas all I've been doing all along is just winding them up in my
> usual, inimitable style. The only reason I do it is because their
reactions
> are immediate and gratifying knee-jerk defense of the indefensible,
> which is.their dumbed-down status and how it came into being.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2500. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:45:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92704E.F7272428@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18744

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:
> >If your rear-view mirror were to fall off your car, would you buy or build a
> >replacement?
>
> Neither. I would put it back on. Been there, done that!

I can just visualize Larry tooling around in a car whose mirror
has been run over by five 18-wheelers. Wonder if he waited until
2am to retrieve it from the Interstate?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2501. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:48:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9270F9.CD0FDBEE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18745

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Something *has* been proven: You know more antenna theory than I do...and
> I'm willing to take a shot at something I'm not sure of! Too bad that all Ed
> and Cecil get out of it is the fact that I've proved I don't possess their
> "professional" level of technical knowledge!

Well then Larry, you will never be a Real Ham. (How does that feel?)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2502. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:52:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902135247.18728.00012462@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18746

>Something *has* been proven: You know more antenna theory than I do...and
>I'm willing to take a shot at something I'm not sure of!

No not true, all it proves is that I knew the Answer to his Question

2503. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:54:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0109020954.4eb4121@posting.google.com>
Source record: 18747

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<QCok7.4175$Uf1.298371@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0109020035.21118c74@posting.google.com...
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:<3B8E5267.96A8F204@IEEE.org>...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
> > > quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
> > > Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.
> > >
> > AOL is the Chernobyl of the Internet.
> > >
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> And Windows XP will be the Plague. The village idiot will be doing 'denial
> of service' and assorted fun stuff because there is no security at all.
> n0bk
>
>
Grab a clean-install copy of Win 2000/NT, works tits, it's all gonna
be downhill from there.
>
>
w3rv

2504. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:58:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902135850.18728.00012465@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18748

>I've been monitoring the 20-meter CW segment for four continuous hours,
>and except for the occasional DX station, haven't heard a single callsign
>that I haven't worked before. Unfortunately, the same is also true on
>RTTY and PSK-31. Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
>revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
>Where *are* they

There are Phone, thats all they wanted in the first place, what they really meant by Digital Gurus was, they knew what was required to turn the Mic Off and On, I guess you could call that Digital, 1 is on and 0 is OFF.

2505. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:59:42 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <isuk7.45829$Hm.466662@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 18749

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0109010810.17048d45@posting.google.com...
> Why more precisely you might ask? Because the next step quite
> obviously & predicatbly will be eliminating the cw setasides so that
> the motormouths get the whole Enchilada.

Well that explains why CW testing at any cost. After all the endless debates here it just comes down to simply "Fear" that your favorite mode may be banded from the air. It's not going to happen. You will still have plenty of spectrum to use code on the air the same way that people can still drive their antique Model T's down Main Street.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

2506. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 17:59:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927394.70115190@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18750

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
> >1000 of anything.
>
> Well Cecil why dont you go to the next Test Session, and after the people have
> taken and passed the Test, ask them privately what they did to pepare for test,
> maybe it will open your eyes.

I don't doubt that a few savants are memorizing word associations just
like I did in 1951/52 for my Novice and Conditional. But, Bruce, that
was *extremely* difficult for me. I flunked the Conditional the first
time. Years later, after a EE degree, I breezed throught the Advanced
and Extra exams which were extremely easy for me. I was drunk as a
skunk when I aced the Advanced exam.

There were less than 100 Q&A to memorize in 1952 for the Conditional.
Now there is a magnitude more. I barely could memorize enough out of
90 Q&As to pass the exam. Now they are having to memorize a thousand
Q&A. If they can do that, they deserve a memorizing medal along with
their ham license. Anyone who can memorize 1000 things they don't
understand is a superior human being. Have you started on those
1000 Russian words yet?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2507. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:00:56 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <0sr4ptop1f1uf40d3j5o5l3a9s5hv7tk8u@4ax.com>
Source record: 18755

On 02 Sep 2001 06:46:34 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3B90FB3E.8F59C84E@bellsowth.net>, Dave Johnson
><dh2311@bellsowth.net> writes:
>
>>
>>From http://www.nationalreview.com/kudlow/kudlow.shtml:
>>>
>>> Don't Blame Bush
>>> . . . for the Dem's government-surplus obsession.
>>>
>>> Mr. Kudlow is CEO of Kudlow & Co.
>>> August 24, 2001 8:00 a.m.
>>>
>>> Washington politicians are shocked - shocked - that federal budget
>>surpluses
>>> are dwindling as the national economic downturn lengthens. Democrats are
>>> pointing fingers at the White House. South Carolina Democrat John Spratt
>>> even blames Bush for violating "a sacred trust" that he allegedly inherited
>>> from the Clinton administration.
>>>
>>> A sacred trust? Nonsense.
>>>
>>> What Bush inherited from Clinton was a big economic turkey without any
>>> stuffing: the worst bear-market decline in two-and-a-half decades, and the
>>> first synchronous global slump since the mid 1970s. Before the Texan was
>>> even sworn into office, the Nasdaq technology index had already plunged
>>70%.
>>> By the time Bush submitted his tax-cutting budget, the U.S. economy had
>>> rolled over to less than 1% growth from 8% in late 1999.

Which calls into question, of course, why he went ahead with the tax
cut plan, already knowing that the surplus would dwindle.

You can't have your cake and eat it, too :^)

>>> Before the tax cuts
>>> were even passed into law, the domestic private-sector economy (excluding
>>> trade and government spending) had shrunk two consecutive quarters.
>>>
>>> No one should blame George W. Bush for the Federal Reserve's scorched-earth
>>> deflation of the stock market, manufacturing, and technology investment - a
>>> deflation that is still in process despite the central bank's jamming down
>>> of the monetary accelerator this year after slamming on the brakes last

>>> year.
>>>
>>> Nor should serious people blame Bush for Clinton's government-surplus
>>> obsession that drained hundreds of billions in personal tax dollars from
>>the
>>> private economy, and led to a record post-war tax level that reached nearly
>>> 21% of GDP.

This is very misleading. The main reasons for the surplus were a)
Social Security, b) freezes in real per-capita government spending, c)
the runup of the stock market, and d) low unemployment. The "percent
of GDP" tax levels quoted, above (a favorite sound bite of the Far
Right) were a direct result of low unemployment, the runup in the
stock market, and ever-increasing concentration of wealth in the hands
of those in the highest tax brackets. It's not as though tax rates
were jacked up any time recently (the last time an increase was seen,
in fact, was 1993).

>>>
>>> Nor should Bush be blamed for Clinton's overzealous regulatory burdens,
>>> where a spate of midnight executive orders penalized businesses and
>>> prevented energy production. More than a year ago, it was the Clinton
>>> Justice Department's decision to break up Microsoft that ignited the
>>> tech-sector debacle. Clinton officials at the FTC blocked the rollout of
>>> broadband connections to the Internet by favoring Baby Bells over local
>>> phone companies, and stopped the capital spending expansion plans for AT&T,
>>> WorldCom, and JDS Uniphase.

My goodness! We wouldn't want to stop monopolies, would we? Everyone
knows lack of competition benefits the consumer! What a nutcase.

In short, it was the Clinton policy of monetary
>>> deflation, high taxes, and regulatory excesses that stopped the long
>>> prosperity cycle.

All the usual Right-Wing drivel. Too bad it's so easily debunked.

The only time taxes were increased in the last 10 years (1993) occured
just *before* the stock-market runup. It also occurred early in the
expansion. What is this guy trying to claim? That seven years later,
the policies caused a recession?

>>>
>>> That is George Bush's inheritance. ...
>
>Here, here! Larry Kudlow is one of the purest geniuses appearing in the
>print media today.

I suppose that depends upon one's definition of the word, "genius".

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

2508. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:05:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9274F7.3FB39B41@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18751

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
> > 1000 of anything.
>
> Anyone who does believe that doesn't underestand the situation, as you
> do not.

Well Dick, you can always prove your point by memorizing the definitions
for 1000 Russian words. Let us know when you have accomplished such a
"trivial" task. IMO, anyone who can memorize 1000 of anything is a superior
human being and, in addition to a ham license, deserve a memorizing award.
Ham radio should welcome such a superior human being with open arms. We
are certainly presently overwhelmed with less than superior human beings.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2509. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:07:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927573.B81367B6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18752

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
> >words along with their definitions?
>
> They never will because they realize that it would be nearly impossible to do
> so.

Therefore, their basic premise is not valid. They are certainly proving
that they are not scientific types by clinging to an invalid premise.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2510. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:14:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9276F1.13D593B1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18753

W1RFI wrote:
> Upon seeing the results, I saw little reason to continue. Cecil posted a
> question that combines the knowledge of several of the questions in the current
> Extra pool, but so far, none of the old timers have answered it.

From the lack of response, I wasn't even sure that it got posted.
And I have not worked the problem yet. It is simply a common
problem I run into when trying to match my antenna system. I
daresay Dick's, Larry's, and Bruce's solution would be to *BUY*
an antenna tuner. Did any of you guys build your antenna tuner?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2511. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:17:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9277A2.14779F92@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18756

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Your choice, Bruce. Everyone will have to decide whether you know the answer
> >or not.
>
> Yep your right and I could care less what a Bunch of New Hams think

Did it ever occur to you that ham radio, in general, doesn't care
what a handful of grouchy old fart hams think?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2512. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:19:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WKuk7.45894$Hm.466969@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 18754

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010901065700.21431.00004554@mb-mr.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> The biggest difference between us, Dick, is that I believe that Morse code has
> enough utility and appeal to survive on its own when code testing is removed.

When you think about it what better way to promote CW then hearing other Hams speak positively about the mode, compared to those who would say "I hate it" and only learned it just to upgrade. Then they never use it. The point which seems to be quickly forgotten is CW is valuable only if an operator has a use for it. Like you I think CW has enough value that mandated CW testing, in it's present form, is nolonger required. Like any other skill in any other hobby, when you need it you will learn it.

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

2513. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:20:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927864.437BB484@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18757

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >I memorized my way into ham radio in the early 50s.
>
> Do you mean that ham radio has been ruined all this time and I didn't even know
> it? Who woulda' figured.

If it's broke today, it was also broke in the early 50s. Memorizing
90 Q&A was extremely difficult for me when I was 14 and taking the
Conditional exam. Anyone who can memorize the Q&A for 1000 questions
is a magnitude better than I was. I think they deserve a special
award if they can prove they memorized 1000 Q&A without any
understanding of the subject matter.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2514. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:26:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9279EC.B211FE65@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18758

W1RFI wrote:
> So now it is MY fault that you are advising new hams to "word associate" the
> answers without understanding?

I think I finally understand Dick's strategy. If he can convince
potential hams that memorizing 1000 Q&As is easy then when they
fail to do so, as any average person will, they will stay out
of Dick's personal amateur radio sandbox. It is apparent
that he would like you and I to be out of it as well. Maybe there
is method in his madness. Drive everyone out who disagrees with
him and keep anyone out who might disagree with him. It makes
perfect sense now.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2515. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:30:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927AB4.A4914110@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18759

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Imagine that - an amateur radio operator wanting to operate.
> >What is the world coming to?
>
> Dah, what did you think they were taking the Memory Test for Cecil.

DUUUUUUHHHHHHHHHH, what do you think the "Operator Privileges" part
of an amateur radio license means? It doesn't say "Experimenter
Privileges" or "Builder Privileges".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2516. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:35:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927BE6.91A9BC57@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18760

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Imagine that - an amateur radio operator wanting to operate.
> >What is the world coming to?
>
> So Bruce doesn't want to see hams operate and Dick doesn't want to see hams
> learn. Larry, of course, never wants to see any ham become better than him. I
> will never understand those three.

Bruce wants to be the best operator, Dick wants to be the most
knowledgable ham, and Larry wants to be the best ham. Ham radio
is thus reduced to three hams arguing over who will be the King
of the Ham Radio Hill. Who's the winner gonna talk to?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2517. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:42:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927D94.7A533AE2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18761

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> For the record, I have absolutely no problem with any ham becoming
> "better" than I am as a ham.

Ahhhhh but you require the better ham to be better than you in
ALL aspects, not just in a majority of aspects. Thus you have
said that a ham cannot possibly be better than you unless he
betters your Morse code skill. You may be better than anyone
else at one thing, Larry, i.e. you may be the best master baiter
in the world. That doesn't make you better than anyone at *most*
things. I am probably much better at laying my hand flat on an
8 ft. ceiling than you. Does that make me better than you? Only
at laying my hand on an 8ft ceiling.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2518. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:45:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927E33.2974A3BF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18762

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Well, they cannot have too many members - certainly not overseas,
> for example. There isn't too much room for all those countries
> overseas with a flat earth of limited size. ;-)

There is a way to perform a geometrical transform which keeps the
surface of the earth at the present value and makes it come out
flat. Who knows, your perceptions may just be screwed up.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2519. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:51:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B927F9D.A75CF8D5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18764

N2EY wrote:

> >Of course, your superiority complex was showing again.
>
> I knew you were going to say that, too.

See, that simply proves my point. If you know what I am going to
say before I say it, do I have any free will choice in the matter?

> >Prove it, please.
>
> There's no point in doing so, because I know you will reject any proof out of
> sheer cussedness.

Try me, Jim. I would love to see the proof.

> >Zero probability almost never exists for anything.
>
> Prove it.

That, my friend, is "readily apparent to the most casual observer".
It is embodied in the concept of "anything's possible". If you
disagree, you are forced to prove that you are God or at least
God's right hand man.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2520. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:53:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902145359.21452.00005132@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18763

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I daresay Dick's, Larry's, and Bruce's solution would be to *BUY*
>an antenna tuner. Did any of you guys build your antenna tuner?

I bought my antenna tuner, although I once whipped one together out of some B&W coil stock, an alligator clip and a few capacitors. Does that count?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2521. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:55:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9280AB.7A16C994@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18765

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> But, to be perfectly honest, I don't think it really matters at this
> point. The concept of the racist south is strongly entrenched in this
> country's culture - ...

A black friend of mine moved from Texas to New York to get away from
racism. He was back here in six months and said the racism in
New York was a magnitude worse than the racism in Texas.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2522. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:58:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92814A.1C4D047@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18767

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The only way we could conduct
> this test is to happen to be at a hamfest or something, grab a
> newly VE-tested Post-Restructuring Extra still wiping the sweat off
> his/her brow from the morning VE session, and ask the question
> right then and there.

Why don't you just grab Dr. Williamson, a no-code tech with a PhD
in EE? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2523. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:59:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902145905.21452.00005133@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18766

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I don't feel a need to prove anything at all, Ed.

You try to "prove" it with nearly every post you do, Larry. I just offered you a way to prove it that is more quantitative than your personal opinion of yourself. You readily agreed to do so if we randomly selected a newly minted ham. I proffered that a randomly selected new ham is not the best choice to prove or disprove that you are better than all post-restructuring Extras. Now all of a sudden, your need to prove yourself here has evaporated. I wonder why?

>However, hams who claim "equality" with me, without having
>been exposed to as comprehensive and challenging a set of licensing
>requirements as I have, will have to take their chances -- since I intend
>to disagree with them!

So does this mean that the bottom line is that you may be better than a newly minted ham, but can't prove it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2524. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 18:59:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9281AE.3B83C95@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18770

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> However, hams who claim "equality" with me, without having
> been exposed to as comprehensive and challenging a set of licensing
> requirements as I have, will have to take their chances -- since I intend
> to disagree with them!

Even if they are right?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2525. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:01:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902150131.21452.00005134@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18768

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> Larry, of course, never wants to see any ham become better than him.

>I won't presume to speak for Dick and Bruce, but kindly quote where I
>said the thing you just accused me of saying.

You have constantly said that you believe yourself to be better than all
post-restructuring Extras and that there is nothing that they can ever do to
become better than you. Isn't that the gist of it?

>For the record, I have absolutely no problem with any ham becoming
>"better" than I am as a ham.

As long as they do so by taking the pre-restructuring Extra exam. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2526. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:02:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902150251.21452.00005135@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18769

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

> Thus you have
>said that a ham cannot possibly be better than you unless he
>betters your Morse code skill.

Not exactly, Cecil; it only counts if he proves that skill in an VEC-supervised exam. Otherwise, he will always be 2nd rate in Larry's mind.

Pretty convenient, eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2527. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:04:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9282D5.30B5DBAE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18772

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
> >I'm a post-restructuring Extra.
>
> If we took you as a volunteer, Cecil, then the selection wouldn't be
> random anymore, would it?

Well Larry, did it ever occur to you that if you are the one volunteering
for the other side, then the selection isn't random?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2528. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:06:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902150656.21452.00005136@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18771

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I've been monitoring the 20-meter CW segment for four continuous hours,
>and except for the occasional DX station, haven't heard a single callsign
>that I haven't worked before.

I find that impossible to believe, Larry. I can put it to the test and ask the QRP-L list how many of them have worked you. Seeing as you are in Delaware, the contact will be memorable for them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2529. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:08:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902150830.21452.00005137@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18773

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>And your right the present Exams do not prove knowledge or skill

You passed one, Bruce. Are you saying that this proves that you have no knowledge or skill?

I am curious; have you worked K3LT?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2530. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:10:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902151015.21452.00005138@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18774

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hmmm, good point, Dwight, since I am not the one who is actually
>claiming that I (Larry Roll, K3LT) am "superior" to Post-Restructuring
>hams, from a standpoint of technical knowledge. I'm just saying that
>it is much more *likely* that I possess superior technical knowledge,
>based on the fact that I had to ascend through the ranks of a much more
>challenging and comprehensive amateur licensing system.

I agree with that, Larry, but that is not what you have said in previous posts.

I will add that your years of active hamming have contributed more to your
present knowledge than the tests you took.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2531. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:10:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928435.8577F8FA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18776

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> > are new Hams that have not passed a real test Real Hams, Of course not
>
> According to the FCC they are.

Only if you assume that the present test is not "a real test".
I don't make that assumption. I think anyone who can rote-
memorize 1000 Q&As, should be given a special award. Maybe
the award would be "BTDXCC", i.e. Better Than DXCC.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2532. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:11:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902151114.21452.00005139@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18775

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>"If a 5 ohm resistor is connected across a 10 volt battery, how much current
>>will flow in the resistor."

> Are you also going to provide him with answer Sheet, if not this would be
>unfair, besides whos going to explain to him what a resistor is

I know a pre-restructuring Extra that got that question wrong when I asked it
of him.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2533. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:16:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902151645.21452.00005140@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18777

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Too bad that all Ed and Cecil get out of it is the fact that I've proved I
don't possess
> their "professional" level of technical knowledge!

What "professional" level of technical knowledge, Larry. That it just about
the most fundamental question on electromagnetics I could imagine.

A variety of that question is, btw, on the current General test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2534. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:17:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9285AE.88E4838F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18780

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >not "graduation" exams offered to prove
> >knowledge or skill in a particular field.
>
> And your right the present Exams do not prove knowledge or skill

They are probably designed to prove potential knowledge
and/or potential skill, like all other entrance exams.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2535. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:18:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902151808.21452.00005141@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18778

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>and learning the underlying material and using 6th grade math.

> Wrong again ED

Fraid not, Bruce. Learning the underlying material and using 6th-grade math is easier than rote memorizing hundreds of questions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2536. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:19:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902151948.21477.00005494@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18779

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Even if they are right?

Maybe especially if they are right! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2537. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:21:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9286C7.E739B834@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18782

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
> revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
> Where *are* they?

They are no-code techs who are not allowed on 20m. Do you want
to allow them on 20m? I didn't think so.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2538. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:22:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902152231.21477.00005496@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18781

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> Now -- compare current licensing requirements to the ones
>in place in 1983 -- the year I upgraded to Amateur Extra. Are present
>license testing requirements "dumbed down" from the ones I had to
>accept, or not?

I don't know for sure, Larry. I never took an exam in 1983.

>Please give a definite and definitive answer.

The only definite and definitive answer is that you got the question wrong that
was based on the questions that are in the current question pool. Anything
else I could offer would be speculation.

>How you answer will affect the nature of future debate on this topic.

Always does, it seems.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2539. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:25:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9287B1.63FE50E6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18783

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >The tests are not dumbed down from the days I got a ham license for studying a
> >4-page study guide and all amateur priveleges for studying a 16-page study guide.
>
> Oh thats right they now 14 Million Q&As to memorize.

So, when all else fails, mount a *reductio ad absurdum* argument.
Why are we not surprised?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2540. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:32:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928930.299071AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18784

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> We all know that you're a dumbed-down ham, or at least, were one at
> one time.

Larry, if Ed is a "dumbed-down ham", my personal opinion is that what the world needs is more "dumbed-down ham"s. If every ham was as "dumbed-down" as Ed, ham radio would be in as near perfect condition as is humanly possible. If you've ever seen us side-by-side, this may seem unusual, but I look up to Ed.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2541. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:37:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928A74.1025C8F3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18785

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
> > quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
> > Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.
> >
> AOL is the Chernobyl of the Internet.

I would tend to agree with you, but some AOL users seem to manage
just fine.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2542. Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:42:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928B94.4BECB77F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18790

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> After having "labored" for almost 60 hrs. this week,
> I'll enjoy my 3-day weekend very much, indeed!

That's a pretty long delivery, Larry. What did you name it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2543. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:42:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902154216.17844.00011562@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18786

>Years later, after a EE degree, I breezed throught the Advanced
>and Extra exams which were extremely easy for me. I was drunk as a
>skunk when I aced the Advanced exam.

WOW, I guess I most be the equivalent Of an EE, I took the General and Advanced
at the same time and missed Zero Questions and without Memorizing.

2544. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:43:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902154327.17844.00011564@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18787

>Anyone who can memorize 1000 things they don't
>understand is a superior human being. Have you started on those
>1000 Russian words yet?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

I knew all these New Hams are Superior Humans.

2545. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:44:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902154443.17844.00011566@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18788

>Did it ever occur to you that ham radio, in general, doesn't care
>what a handful of grouchy old fart hams think?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Good the felling is Mutual

2546. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:45:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902154556.17844.00011567@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18789

> I think they deserve a special
>award if they can prove they memorized 1000 Q&A without any
>understanding of the subject matter.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

I think they have already received there Award, its called a Ham Radio License

2547. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:46:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928C8E.CBF03CA7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18791

W1RFI wrote:
> This isn't going to start up that "forced" thread all over again, is it?

"forced - required by circumstances" :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2548. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:50:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928D8D.435DE63@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18794

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Like every other aspect of the amateur radio hobby, QSL'ing is something
> which is done *voluntarily* by the ham who wishes to achieve the benefits
> resulting from this particular action. Nobody can "force" it upon you --

Reality can force it upon you, Larry. "Forced - required by circumstances"
I might add, circumstances outside of your control.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2549. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:52:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902155212.17844.00011573@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18792

>I am curious; have you worked K3LT?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Not unless hes on 6 Meters

2550. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:53:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902155352.17844.00011576@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18793

>I know a pre-restructuring Extra that got that question wrong when I asked it
>of him.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

And I know about 100 new Hams that would want to know what a Resistor is.

2551. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:57:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B928F0E.7B3B4453@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18796

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The truth will always set you free!

"Always"? How about the victims of the Holocaust?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2552. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 19:58:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902155831.17844.00011580@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 18795

```
> If you've ever seen us side-by-
>side, this may seem unusual, but I look up to Ed.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Well thats rather easy to see
```

2553. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:03:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902160332.25499.00003849@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 18797

In article <20010902081926.01552.00002480@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>If your rear-view mirror were to fall off your car, would you buy or build a >replacement?

I wouldn't replace a car just because the mirror fell off. Much easier to just put the mirror back on.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2554. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:03:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902160332.25499.00003848@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 18799

In article <3b924432_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>Well, that is--unless someone allows theirself to be a victim of another
>person's judgement (however ridiculous that judgement may be); know what I
>mean? :)

Yup. But no Real Ham worries that much about the judgement of others if that
judgement is obviously ridiculous and illogical.

Know what I mean?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>--
>73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
>
>"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
>news:3B8DCA95.627BB05D@sccoast.net...
>> WA8ULX wrote:
>> >
>> > > My comment was about the recent
>> > > changes in the code test
>> > > requirements,
>> >
>> > Oh I see, you wouldnt be a Real
>> > Ham unless the CW Test and the
>> > Written Test hadnt been Dumbed Down.
>>
>>
>> A person would be a "real" ham, regardless of what ham license test
>> he passed.
>>
>>
>> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>>
>> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2555. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:03:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902160333.25499.00003850@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 18798

In article <3B90B65E.B816726B@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Did ye never see the cinematic
>> master piece, "Monty Python's
>> 'The Meaning Of Life'? It begins
>> with just such a tale, of manly
>> men sailing the wide seas of
>> chartered accountancy. ARRRRRR!
>> Even landlubbers can learn a
>> thing or two from it, aye.
>
>
> Monty Python films never really interested me.

Ye be missing a good film if ye've never seen that one, me bucko. Worth the
rental just to see how they deal with the philosophical/religious implications
of artificial contraception.
>
>> The trouble these days, me lads,
>> is that there be no proper songs
>> like we pirates and sailing men
>> had in our day. ARRR!
>
> You mean those great love songs like "99 bottles of rum on the
>wall?" :-)

Aye, that be a good one, matey. Also anything by Stan Rogers, Charlie Zahm or
Chris Smither. ARRRR!!!

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2556. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:08:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9291C3.A515D867@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18800

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Anyone who can memorize 1000 things they don't
> >understand is a superior human being. Have you started on those
> >1000 Russian words yet?
>
> I knew all these New Hams are Superior Humans.

The point is, Bruce, that those new hams are probably not superior
human beings. Therefore, they are probably not memorizing 1000 Q&As.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2557. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:12:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B929292.2FCB8913@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18801

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Did it ever occur to you that ham radio, in general, doesn't care
> >what a handful of grouchy old fart hams think?
>
> Good the felling is Mutual

Make that "grouchy old fart hams who know more about
amateur radio than they know about the English language."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2558. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:13:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9292E0.40A5F804@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18802

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > I think they deserve a special
> >award if they can prove they memorized 1000 Q&A without any
> >understanding of the subject matter.
>
> I think they have already received there Award, its called a Ham Radio License

If they are that super-human, they deserve it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2559. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:21:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9294C5.2A0C0473@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18803

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> *If* (and that's a big if) there's any superiority (and that's if there's
> anyone else who feels there's a contest in that department), then the
> conclusion would have to be made that it has nothing to do with the era in
> which you were tested, Larry, and has far more to do with how long you have
> been a ham.

You have completely missed Larry's point, Kim. His point is that for you
to be superior to him, you must be superior to him in *every* way and for
him to be superior to you, he only has to be superior to you in *one* way.
Now do you get Larry's point?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2560. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:36:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B929863.9A337187@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18804

N0YM wrote:
> Which calls into question, of course, why he went ahead with the tax
> cut plan, already knowing that the surplus would dwindle.

Most democrats are Keynesians. His theory is that the government should spend more during recession/depression times. That's what Bush is doing and trying to avoid Hoover's "mistake" in 1929. I find it amusing that democrats want to raise taxes while republicans want to reduce them. What's wrong with this picture?

> You can't have your cake and eat it, too :^)

That's a republican principle, not a democratic principle. Democrats very frequently eat their cake and have it too.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2561. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 20:39:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9298F3.7D1BD5A8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18805

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book --

You just don't understand the problem, Larry. Most young people
don't own a book - all they have is Internet which you want to
deny them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2562. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:07:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902170713.11577.00004219@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 18806

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/2/01 7:31 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010902073152.01552.00002458@mb-cv.aol.com>

>There is no prior experience with eQSLs, Steve.

True. But I am having a tough time recalling any situation where "the
easy way" ever became the best way.

>Do I presume correctly that I can take that answer as a "no?"

Yes..that's a no.

>This isn't going to start up that "forced" thread all over again, is it?

Not from me. In that scenario and in my definition, it would be "forced",
unless of course you WANT to use it, in which case you are NOT forced.

As I told Cecil, it IS about YOUR perception.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2563. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:08:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902170802.25217.00004037@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18807

>The point is, Bruce, that those new hams are probably not superior
>human beings. Therefore, they are probably not memorizing 1000 Q&As.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Which is it are they Superior or just Dumbed Downed Hams.

2564. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:42:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902174253.21582.00006234@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18808

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>You just don't understand the problem, Larry. Most young people
>don't own a book - all they have is Internet which you want to
>deny them.

What ARE you talking about, Cecil? Most people I know own at least one book.
How could Larry deny people the Internet?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2565. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:45:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902174503.21582.00006236@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18809

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Oh thats right they now 14 Million Q&As to memorize.

>So, when all else fails, mount a *reductio ad absurdum* argument.
>Why are we not surprised?

Maybe he counted them. "One question; two questions; another question; another question..."

:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2566. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:48:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902174804.21582.00006238@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18810

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>And I know about 100 new Hams that would want to know what a Resistor is.

Name them. If you do, I will name the pre-restructuring Extra that got the question wrong. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2567. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:49:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902174945.21582.00006239@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18811

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So how do
>we sort them? What it does is diminish the entire process and thus ham
>radio in its entirety.

My goodness, everything IS either black or white for you, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2568. Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 21:52:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902175212.11783.00003340@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 18812

Will the FCC still require the 2 year waiting period if a call is vacated for another call...?!?!

In other words, if Cecil obtained a new call, could I then immediately request W6RCA once his new call was issued...?!?! (Just an example...! ! !)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2569. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:20:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182042.25225.00003978@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18813

>Name them. If you do, I will name the pre-restructuring Extra that got the
>question wrong. :-)
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Ed I would love to but, I promised them I wouldnt tell.

2570. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:21:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182154.21533.00005790@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18814

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>Will the FCC still require the 2 year waiting period if a call is vacated for
>another call...?!?!

Yes, if you admire Cecil so much that you want his old call, you would have to
wait two years after he gives it up. It can also be obtained two years after
his date of death if you want it badly enough.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2571. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:22:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182239.25225.00003979@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18815

>My goodness, everything IS either black or white for you, Dick.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Ed you sound like the type that would say, well he only missed getting a
Passing Score by 2 Questions, so lets go ahead and give it to him.

2572. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:23:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182355.25225.00003980@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18816

>In other words, if Cecil obtained a new call, could I then immediately
>request
>W6RCA once his new call was issued...?!?! (Just an example...! ! !)
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

In your case no, the only thing you could ask for would be an un issued CB Call

2573. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:24:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182437.21533.00005791@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18817

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You know there is a difference in just memorizing a formula and
>understanding what the terms mean and how they interact.

Are you now afraid that if they memorize the following correct answer that they won't understand that current equals voltage divided by resistance?

T5C01 @T5B01 (C)
How is the current in a DC circuit directly calculated when the voltage and resistance are known?
A. I = R x E [current equals resistance multiplied by voltage]
B. I = R / E [current equals resistance divided by voltage]
C. I = E / R [current equals voltage divided by resistance]
D. I = E / P [current equals voltage divided by power]

You sure don't want to see them learn anything, do you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2574. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:26:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182646.21558.00005457@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18818

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Ed you sound like the type that would say, well he only missed getting a
>Passing Score by 2 Questions, so lets go ahead and give it to him.

No, if I were a VE, one would have to have the requisite number of correct answers. I do not want to make up new FCC rules.

It is moot anyway; I have never been a VE.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2575. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:27:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902182757.21558.00005458@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 18819

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Name them. If you do, I will name the pre-restructuring Extra that got the
>>question wrong. :-)

> Ed I would love to but, I promised them I wouldnt tell.

A likely story. Well, no, I take that back -- it is an unlikely story.

Maybe the pre-restructuring Extra who got the Ohm's law question wrong when I
asked him will come forth and confess...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>

2576. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:30:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mubteora0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18820

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Yes, that happens to hams -- has been that way for decades. The most
> >common
> >> cause is that some old timer convinced him that CW was not a useful, fun
> >mode.
>
> > Ed, once I thought yiu were a pretty straight up guy, but I have
> >learned that you won't hesitate to lie through your teeth.
>
> Ah, Dick, you are so convinced that no one could ever come to a different
> conclusion than you that you think that I would lie to you.
>
> I believe that statements by experienced old timers that emphasize the work
> involved in learning CW (ie, repeated statements that people who don't learn it
> are lazy), instead of emphasizing the utility and fun of CW, are turning people
> away from the mode.
>
> Ask youself this: When Morse code testing is removed, which of the following
> positions on CW do you think will help bring in newcomers to the mode:
>
> CW is a lot of work and those that don't learn it are lazy.
>
> CW is a a lot of fun and those that learn it will be learning a useful mode.
>

There ya go again, twisting things around. I (we) feel that it was a
mistake to dump all testing over 5wpm just fot the FCC's convenience,
and have stated re[eatedly that current requirements can't be blamed on
those licensed today. The lazy ones are those who have sat on their
butts whining about the requirement waiting for the windfall that has
finally came.

In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.

> That is the thought behind my statement. You may disagree and believe that
> statement #1 will bring in more CW enthusiasts, but do you still think I am
> lying?
>

Hey, Cecil's dictionary says so.....

2577. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:31:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muc0lora1@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18821

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Hey, maybe we could pit him against Larry in this "superior ham" contest?
> >That would be a hoot!
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
> Nope not interested, already played your Silly Game once,and nothing was
> proven.

And *I* didn't play his game, also proving nothing except that he is a
liar by definition of Cecil's dictionary.

2578. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:35:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muc6lora2@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18822

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Too bad that all Ed and Cecil get out of it is the fact that I've proved I
> don't possess
> > their "professional" level of technical knowledge!
>
> What "professional" level of technical knowledge, Larry. That it just about
> the most fundamental question on electromagnetics I could imagine.
>

You surely must be a future spokesman for Cross Field Antennas if you
believe that the E field can be separated from the magnetic field. In
fact, when you change one you change it all. But you still refute my
claim (and that of the ARRL antenna book) that the RF field reduces
inversely as the square of the distance. Shame on you.

2579. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:37:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mucakora3@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18823

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >So how is it, then, that after 16 years of question pools youi ARRL
> >folk have let it slide downhill to where it is?
>
> Okay, please tell me exactly what you think has been wrong with the input ARRL
> has given to the Question Pool Committee?
>
> Seeing as you blame ARRL for all of this, tell us what you think was wrong in
> each of the votes ARRL took wrt Question Pool Committee matters.

When NOTHING is done, what else is to criticize?

2580. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:37:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902183718.17581.00006836@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18824

>A likely story.

Its the truth

2581. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:38:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mucd6ora4@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18825

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>and learning the underlying material and using 6th grade math.
>
> > > Wrong again ED
>
> Fraid not, Bruce. Learning the underlying material and using 6th-grade math is
> easier than rote memorizing hundreds of questions.

So you're saying that all the people who state that you statement isn't
so and that they did it that way are lying?

2582. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:39:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902183922.17581.00006837@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18826

>No, if I were a VE, one would have to have the requisite number of correct
>answers. I do not want to make up new FCC rules.
>
>It is moot anyway; I have never been a VE.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Who said anything about VEs or Ham Testing?

2583. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:45:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902184505.17581.00006838@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18828

>How is the current in a DC circuit directly calculated when the
>voltage and resistance are known?
>A. I = R x E [current equals resistance multiplied by voltage]
>B. I = R / E [current equals resistance divided by voltage]
>C. I = E / R [current equals voltage divided by resistance]
>D. I = E / P [current equals voltage divided by power]
>
>You sure don't want to see them learn anything, do you?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Ohhh its ....D, no wait a minute its A, no no that cant be right, its .....B, no no wait a minute I dont think its any of the above, I know Ill go get my Handi Dandy answer Sheet, they not going to trick me anymore.

2584. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:45:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mucq0$qo2$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18827

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>If it's so super easy, why not just do it to prove your point? Or
> >>could it be that it is not as easy as you say?
>
> >It is easy ...
>
> I agree with you, Bruce. Memorizing 1000 words in Russian without
> understanding their meaning is exaclty as easy as memorizing 1000 questions in
> ham radio without understanding their meaning.
>
> I have no idea if either would be easy for you, but I will be willing to take
> your word for it if you will take my word that it would be impossible for me.
>

You and Cecil are sure getting mileage out of your "russian words"
strawman, There is nothing remotely close to the same concept involved.
When one memorizes the word associations to match answers with
questions, one is using all English words that are memorized such that
when I see that question the only answer that I've seen before is the
correrct one. Of course the distractors are all deleted before the word
association study starts. You already know all this, you just prefer to
keep your head in the sand.

When the pools have questions with answers that consist of all valid
answers- but all the distractors are the answers to other valid
questions, then an improvement can result in the results. It wouldn't be
nearly as easy to work the word association routine. The testee would
have seen all the distractors as correct answers to other questions, and
matching the only selection he had previously seen would be impoossible.

2585. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:48:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mud0o$qo2$1@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18829

CAM wrote:
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
> > >1000 of anything.
> >
> > Well Cecil why dont you go to the next Test Session, and after the people have
> > taken and passed the Test, ask them privately what they did to pepare for test,
> > maybe it will open your eyes.
>
> I don't doubt that a few savants are memorizing word associations just
> like I did in 1951/52 for my Novice and Conditional. But, Bruce, that
> was *extremely* difficult for me.

Of course! You didn't have a pool with the exact answers to memorize
from.

I flunked the Conditional the first
> time. Years later, after a EE degree, I breezed throught the Advanced
> and Extra exams which were extremely easy for me. I was drunk as a
> skunk when I aced the Advanced exam.
>

Aw, heck! Have you sobered up yet?

> There were less than 100 Q&A to memorize in 1952 for the Conditional.

Sure ware, about 100 less, In fact there was NONE.

2586. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 22:55:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mudcc$s2p$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18830

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Some of us just don't believe that it is easy to rote-memorize
> > > 1000 of anything.
> >
> > Anyone who does believe that doesn't underestand the situation, as you
> > do not.
>
> Well Dick, you can always prove your point by memorizing the definitions
> for 1000 Russian words. Let us know when you have accomplished such a
> "trivial" task. IMO, anyone who can memorize 1000 of anything is a superior
> human being and, in addition to a ham license, deserve a memorizing award.
> Ham radio should welcome such a superior human being with open arms. We
> are certainly presently overwhelmed with less than superior human beings.

Evidently both you and Ed are just ignorant, as you still don't
understand what I have written repeatedly.

THEY DON'T MEMORIZE THE QUESTIUONS NOR THE ANSWERS, JUST KEY WORDS,,
PHRASES, AND/OR COMBINATIONS SUCH THAT WHEN THEY READ A QUESTION, THEY
RECOGNIZE THE ANSWER AS THE ONLY SELECTION THEY HAVE SEEN PREVIOUSLY,
SINCE THEY DELETED ALL THE DISTRACTORS BEFORE THEIR 'STUDY' AND SO NONE
ARE RECOGNIZED. IT TAKES A FEW TIMES THROUGH THE POOLS TO DO THIS BUT IF
YOU DON'T THINK IT IS DONE YOU ARE SIMPLY WRONG. COMMERCIAL STUDY
VERSIONS OF THE POOLS ARE SOLD BY SOME VENDORS WITH THE DISTRACTORS
ALREADY DELETED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE.

2587. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:04:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mudtn$t8i$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18831

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Your main exposure to other hams outside the bunch of mostly degreed
> >engineers at HQ is the occasional trip to this large hamfest or that, where
> you do
> >talks and siminare which are attended mostly by other degreed engineers
> >who are also hams, and consitute a small percentage of all hams attending the
> event.
>
> You really do have a need to see me as cloistered, eh, Dick? Too bad that your
> imagination can't match your reality.

No, you're not cloistered. You just don't see the large segment of hams
that have no need nor intent to contact you. That is a substantial,
probably a majority, of hams. When you do a presentation at a hamfest
where there are 1,000 hams, you probably draw a maximum of 50
attendees, and few will be those who just wanted the license, thus used
the word association method.

>
> Degreed engineers would be very disappointed at seeing one of my presentations.
> They are intended to be practical examples of how to deal with RFI,
> troubleshooting, antennas, propagation and the occasional "stump the speaker"
> question. I probably talk with 10-15 hams a day on the phone, and about three
> times that number by email. These are NOT the people that think they know all
> the answers, they are the ones that have questions.

Ok, no problem there. But these are people who have a reason to have
contact with you, not the segment who 'just wanted the license'.

>
> I attend local club meetings and in general rub elbows one way or another with
> more than a few hams each year. Now, I don't know how many hams you talk to on
> the phone every day or how many emails you exchange with hams on a regular
> basis, but I rather doubt that it is as many, or from as broad a base of ham
> radio, as is mine.

Rather sure you're right. I base my views on my years of experience as
a VE and conversations with many other VE's. I guess that doesn't count
for anaything when it comes to analyzing why so many hams get licensed
-higher class licenses- while learning so little that they have to have
help with tne most basic hardward and information about the hobby.

> If the tendency you exhibit here to see what you want to see extends to the
> rest of your life, I can see how you have come to the conclusion you have come
> to.
>

Much to your dismay, the 'tendency' I exhibit here is facing the facts
instead of hiding my head in the sand. Sorry you feel that way. The rest
of my life is fine, thank you, I just wish that ham radio exhibited as
good characteristics.

2588. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:11:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mueb6$t7$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18832

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >My objective throughout this entire RRAP episode has been to get the
> >question pools redone so that they might actually constitute a learning
> >experience for new hams, rather than decoying so many into thinking they
> >actually learned something about radio since they passed a "technical"
> >test.
>
> This is not the place to do that, Dick, and if you think that your insulting
> new hams here is a part of any process of change, you are mistaken. And from
> what I can see, your advice to new hams has been NOT to learn anything, so your
> mission is not very self-consistent.
>

So defending myself from verbal assaultsby the likes of drpfrench
constitutes insulting other hams. I see, you would ignore that sort of
activity but not all of us see it that way. Any 'insult' issued from
here was in direct response to insults received. That inlcudes those
shipped in your direction, Sir.

> >We all know the qiuestion pools are not going away. So why don't
> >you get your head out of the sand and help, instead of criticising full
> >time?
>
> What "full time" do you mean? My hour in the AM and a half-hour most evenings?
>
> >You can get the ear of the VEC committee about as fast as anyone,
> >but first you must understand the NEED to do it.
>
> I very much agree that there is a need to improve the tests. I just don't
> agree that it is necessary, appropriate or helpful to proclaim the entire test
> to be useless to do so. I believe even less that insulting other hams and
> making broad, sweeping, and inaccurate statements about the current tests will
> further the goal even one bit.
>

Well, those are opinions and are worth not a bit more that my own,
maybe less since you have zero experience and exposure to the VE
testing system with the question pools.

> And, last but not least, I do NOT believe that telling new hams to just
> memorize the tests and not to learn anything, as you do here, will help make
> even the tiniest change in the question pools or their content.

>

So the fact that I finally discovered how they were doing it, and note
it here instead of just ignoring it all as you do maked me responsible
for it. Yeah. Right.

> But seeing as you are asking me to get my head out of the sand, may I have your
> permission to obtain the input you have given the QPC and post it here?

There is none, and I knew that was coming. Do you actually think they
are interested in what I think? I don't, for a minute. I'm a nobody,
they never heard of me, at least until RRAP. Now if YOU make some
constructive suggestions it might get their attention, with your high
profile League position. So why do you resist the idea?

2589. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:12:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muedc$t7$1@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18833

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >wake up CBer
>
> Is that why you suggested that hams should guess B or C if they don't know the
> answer?

That's standard practice on multiple choice questions, isn't it? and
YOU didn't know that?

2590. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:24:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muf2u$2db$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18834

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>
> > I would like to se some of the guys that passed there so called (Real Test)
> in the
> > so called good old days at the FCC office walk into a VE test session and
> take
> > an extra exam without any study. I have a feeling a good portion would not
> pass the
> > test. But we will never know because that will never happen.
>
> I took one simple example of electromagnetics from the content of the current
> General syllabus and posted a question here. Of the 3 that chose to give some
> sort of an answer, one got it right, one got it wrong and one simply made a
> statement in the form of a question that said that he believed that the field
> strength from an antenna varies as the inverse *square* of the distance.

The RF field strength from an antenna DOES vary inversely with the
square of the distance, unless the ARRL Antenna Book is publishing false
information. Or unless you insist that the power density, E field and H
field can be separated. Just because the voltage field is usually what
is measured changes nothing. The strength of the radiated energy DOES
reduce invesely as the square of the distance, as I said earlier. I also
stated my response was inadequately worded, but of course you,
characteristically, just ignore that.

2591. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:31:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mufh3$3g6$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18835

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >Bull, I already answered your 1 silly Question, and am not about to answer
> >any more
>
> Your choice, Bruce. Everyone will have to decide whether you know the answer
> or not. They will also have to decide whether you knew the answer to my
> original question, or just made a luckly guess. :-)
>

Ah, you admit it- you're playing to the silent crowd who just lurk, and
of course in doing so are doing your best do discredit any one with whom
you don't agree.

2592. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:45:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mugbb$58b$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18836

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> He is wrong. The easiest way to pass the test is by learning the
> >underlying
> >> concepts and using 6th-grade math.
>
> > Depends engtirely on where you are coming from technically and what
> >your objective is. Most potential hams just want to get the license,
>
> It matters not why one wants to pass the test. It is easier to learn the
> fundamental concepts and apply 6th-grade math than it is to try to "word
> associate" hundreds of questions for each exam without understanding.
>
> I just don't want to see your well-intended but ill-conceived advice to new
> hams not to learn anything lead them astray.

My "advice"? You really are a fool, aren't you? Exceeded only by Cecil,
as it happens.

Unlike you, I want to see new
> hams learn.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2593. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-02 23:49:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mugil$58b$1@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18837

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
Like any other skill in any other hobby, when you need it you will learn
it.
>
> _
Like all who know nothing about it, you actually believe taht you can
learn CW fast enough to use it whenyou need it. The cry of the truly
clueless.

2594. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:01:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muh91$58b$2@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18838

W1RFI wrote:
> On this forum, compared to the hundreds or thousands of readers, there are only
> a handful that feel a need to abuse other hams for their license class.

What you prefer to ignore is that they are almost every one
codetest-hating newcomers who bash older hams for their knowledge and
expertise, knowing themselves that the exam they took was a sham.

2595. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902200308.22527.00003356@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 18839

In article <3B928435.8577F8FA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I think anyone who can rote-
>memorize 1000 Q&As, should be given a special award.

You can send it to my QRZ.com address.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2596. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902200308.22527.00003355@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 18840

In article <3B9286C7.E739B834@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
>> revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
>> Where *are* they?
>
>They are no-code techs who are not allowed on 20m.

Really? The digital EME work you crow about was done by high-speed code-tested
hams.

> Do you want
>to allow them on 20m?

Yep - sure! The more the merrier!

All they have to do is pass the required tests and get a General or Extra.
Piece of cake.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2597. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902200309.22527.00003357@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 18841

In article <3b926f71_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>*If* (and that's a big if) there's any superiority (and that's if there's
>anyone else who feels there's a contest in that department), then the
>conclusion would have to be made that it has nothing to do with the era in
>which you were tested, Larry, and has far more to do with how long you have
>been a ham.

Seniority isn't as much a gauge as what the ham has actually done in the time licensed.
>
>I can guarantee you I could pass all the same tests you took. I can
>absolutely guarantee you that.

I can guarantee you can't pass the tests I took, Kim. Neither can Larry. Does that make me "better" or "superior" somehow? Of course not.

> I can also guarantee you that you'll have
>more knowledge in a mode that you use more often and I'll have more
>knowledge in a mode I use more often.

In most cases, that's true.

> The great thing about ham radio is
>that we should all be here to share, experiment, compare and better the
>avenues we choose interest in.
>
BINGO! Something for everybody! This is the key selling point for ham radio in the 21st century.

But this feature is both a strength and a weakness. The wide variety of things available makes it hard to briefly describe what ham radio "is". And this fact also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of the heap.

Too many folks try to describe ham radio by saying what it isn't. That's not helpful to anyone. It's like saying that the way you sculpt a statue of an elephant is to get a block of stone and chop away everything that keeps the stone from looking like an elephant.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2598. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902200310.22527.00003358@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 18842

In article <3B927F9D.A75CF8D5@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> >Of course, your superiority complex was showing again.
>>
>> I knew you were going to say that, too.
>
>See, that simply proves my point.

I knew it would.

>If you know what I am going to
>say before I say it, do I have any free will choice in the matter?
>
Sure. You can choose WHEN to ay it.

>> >Prove it, please.
>>
>> There's no point in doing so, because I know you will reject any proof out
>of
>> sheer cussedness.
>
>Try me, Jim.

Why?

> I would love to see the proof.
>
I know you would. You'd love to see it so that you could dissect it, using as
many dictionaries as possible.

>> >Zero probability almost never exists for anything.
>>
>> Prove it.
>
>That, my friend, is "readily apparent to the most casual observer".

That's the proof you wanted me to supply in the first place. I knew that you
knew it already.

>It is embodied in the concept of "anything's possible".

Prove that "anything's possible".

>If you
>disagree, you are forced to prove that you are God or at least
>God's right hand man.

Being a child of God is proof enough.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--

2599. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:06:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muhht$58b$3@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18843

CAM wrote:
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >not "graduation" exams offered to prove
> > >knowledge or skill in a particular field.
> >
> > And your right the present Exams do not prove knowledge or skill
>
> They are probably designed to prove potential knowledge
> and/or potential skill, like all other entrance exams.

But of course they prove no such thing since they are self-compromised.

2600. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 00:13:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9muhvb$95g$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 18844

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3b92483c$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >
> >What's really funny is that if all the "new" hams learned CW proficiently
> >enough and enjoyed it enough to flood the CW portions of the bands with new
> >signals, you'd have all the complainers complaining that those "damned new
> >hams are crowding us out." LOL
> >
> >--
> >73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> Kim:
>
> I've been monitoring the 20-meter CW segment for four continuous hours,
> and except for the occasional DX station, haven't heard a single callsign
> that I haven't worked before. Unfortunately, the same is also true on
> RTTY and PSK-31. Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
> revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
> Where *are* they?

They're out there stuffing their faces with microphone,of course.

2601. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:32:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92DDB6.9B090B2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18845

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You and Cecil are sure getting mileage out of your "russian words"
> strawman, There is nothing remotely close to the same concept involved.
> When one memorizes the word associations to match answers with
> questions, one is using all English words that are memorized such that
> when I see that question the only answer that I've seen before is the
> correrct one.

Well then, we'll find 1000 English words of which you are ignorant of
the defintions and you can learn them to prove what you say is true.
Here's the first one: Abbevillian (only 999 more to go).
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2602. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:34:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92DE10.E64802C1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18846

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >The point is, Bruce, that those new hams are probably not superior
> >human beings. Therefore, they are probably not memorizing 1000 Q&As.
>
> Which is it are they Superior or just Dumbed Downed Hams.

If they are mentally capable of memorizing 1000 Q&As, they are
superior human beings and should be welcomed into the ARS.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2603. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:37:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92DEBC.6885F734@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18847

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Of course! You didn't have a pool with the exact answers to memorize
> from.

Yes, I did, Dick, furnished by the ARRL. The first Q was: What is
the unit of electrical resistance? The A was: The unit of electrical
resistance is the ohm. Sure sounds like a pool of Q&As to me. It was
even easier than multiple choice because no wrong answers were given.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2604. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:39:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92DF52.B7F647D8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18848

Dick Carroll wrote:
> THEY DON'T MEMORIZE THE QUESTIUONS NOR THE ANSWERS, JUST KEY WORDS,,
> PHRASES, AND/OR COMBINATIONS ...

OK Dick, we want you to memorize the keywords that correspond to 1000
English words. The first word is Abbevillian. The keyword is Paleolithic.
Got that one? Only 999 to go.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2605. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:45:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92E0C3.98F53FB8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18849

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The RF field strength from an antenna DOES vary inversely with the
> square of the distance, unless the ARRL Antenna Book is publishing false
> information.

Read it again, Dick. You are still confused. The field strength varies
inversely as the distance from the source. The power density varies
inversely as the square of the distance.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2606. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:47:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92E146.C79E7083@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18850

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You know there is a difference in just memorizing a formula and
> >understanding what the terms mean and how they interact.
>
> Are you now afraid that if they memorize the following correct answer that they
> won't understand that current equals voltage divided by resistance?
>
> T5C01 @T5B01 (C)
> How is the current in a DC circuit directly calculated when the
> voltage and resistance are known?
> A. I = R x E [current equals resistance multiplied by voltage]
> B. I = R / E [current equals resistance divided by voltage]
> C. I = E / R [current equals voltage divided by resistance]
> D. I = E / P [current equals voltage divided by power]
>
> You sure don't want to see them learn anything, do you?

Things were a lot easier in the 50s. They didn't try to confuse us
with wrong answers.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2607. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:53:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92E2A0.E4B66F7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18951

N2EY wrote:
> Really? The digital EME work you crow about was done by high-speed code-tested
> hams.

EME work on 20m???? Shirley, you jest. Larry was lamenting that he
doesn't have anybody new to talk to on 20m.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2608. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:56:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92E34B.4E466F59@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18952

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Like all who know nothing about it, you actually believe that you can
> learn CW fast enough to use it when you need it.

Dick, please describe to us exactly how you didn't learn CW fast
enough to use it when you needed it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2609. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 01:59:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B92E3F1.1DB80BC2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18953

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >You just don't understand the problem, Larry. Most young people
> >don't own a book - all they have is Internet which you want to
> >deny them.
>
> What ARE you talking about, Cecil? Most people I know own at least one book.
> How could Larry deny people the Internet?

When my kids want to know something, they head straight for the
Internet. Larry wants them not to do that and to read books instead
but they don't have an encyclopedia.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2610. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 02:29:36 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b92ec46_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18954

Would a codetest-hating newcomer who wants to bash older hams for their knowledge and expertise please step, er, post forward? I keep hearing about them, but I've never seen one!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9muh91$58b$2@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > On this forum, compared to the hundreds or thousands of readers, there are only
> > a handful that feel a need to abuse other hams for their license class.
>
>
> What you prefer to ignore is that they are almost every one
> codetest-hating newcomers who bash older hams for their knowledge and
> expertise, knowing themselves that the exam they took was a sham.

2611. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 02:32:34 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b92ed07_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18956

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010902200309.22527.00003357@nso-bk.aol.com...
> In article <3b926f71_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >*If* (and that's a big if) there's any superiority (and that's if there's
> >anyone else who feels there's a contest in that department), then the
> >conclusion would have to be made that it has nothing to do with the era
in
> >which you were tested, Larry, and has far more to do with how long you
have
> >been a ham.
>
> Seniority isn't as much a gauge as what the ham has actually done in the
time
> licensed.

So, you said in one sentance what it took me a couple to say. :)

> >
> >I can guarantee you I could pass all the same tests you took. I can
> >absolutely guarantee you that.
>
> I can guarantee you can't pass the tests I took, Kim. Neither can Larry.
Does
> that make me "better" or "superior" somehow? Of course not.
>
> > I can also guarantee you that you'll have
> >more knowledge in a mode that you use more often and I'll have more
> >knowledge in a mode I use more often.
>
> In most cases, that's true.
>
> > The great thing about ham radio is
> >that we should all be here to share, experiment, compare and better the
> >avenues we choose interest in.
> >
> BINGO! Something for everybody! This is the key selling point for ham
radio in
> the 21st century.
>
> But this feature is both a strength and a weakness. The wide variety of
things
> available makes it hard to briefly describe what ham radio "is". And this
fact

> also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of
the
> heap.
>

There are many who don't measure things by who's at the top of the heap. It could be said that it is those who are probably having the most fun and getting the most personal enjoyment from the hobby!

> Too many folks try to describe ham radio by saying what it isn't. That's
not
> helpful to anyone. It's like saying that the way you sculpt a statue of an
> elephant is to get a block of stone and chop away everything that keeps
the
> stone from looking like an elephant.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

And, no thinking about pink elephants.

Kim W5TIT

2612. They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 02:35:38 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b92edbc_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18958

I find it quite interesting that I posted some very honest questions about CW testing today, not one of which was even attempted to be answered thusfar.

Cat got your tongues? Larry? W8ULX? Dick?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

2613. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 02:44:10 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109030241470.29941-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 18955

On 2 Sep 2001, W1RFI wrote:
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
>>Will the FCC still require the 2 year waiting period if a call is vacated for
>>another call...?!?!
>
>Yes, if you admire Cecil so much that you want his old call, you would have to
>wait two years after he gives it up. It can also be obtained two years after
>his date of death if you want it badly enough.

Technically, one could have to wait up to 12 years after one died before a call could be reassigned: A licensee renews and drops dead later that day. No one notifies the FCC that he's dead. The call is tied up for 10 years until that renewed license expires, then for the 2 year grace period.

As far as I know, the FCC does not tie in their licensing system to the SSA master death index.

2614. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 02:44:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010902224448.01588.00006049@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18957

>If they are mentally capable of memorizing 1000 Q&As, they are
>superior human beings and should be welcomed into the ARS.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

WOW we must be getting the Cream of the Crop, these are Geniuss why in the world would they want to mess around with CB Ham Radio?

2615. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 03:26:54 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <RLCk7.850$Q71.339593@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 18959

Dick:

A considerable part of the test IS memorization. No matter what type of questions were asked (content or format), a considerable part of the exam has to memorization. The tests (Tech and General at least, no comment on the Extra) are, as I understand it, supposed to determine a minimum competency. As with any technical subject, the minimum level just is largely memorization. (Ohm's Law is a good example. The student memorizes the fact that voltage equals current times resistance .. unless you think the students should perform Ohm's experiments again and be graded on their lab notes). It is going to be memorization whether the test is multiple guess, fill-in or essay. It is going to be memorization whether the test is 35 questions long or 300. What is open to legitimate debate is the level of memorization. I certainly find it easier to memorize the formula rather than trying to memorize the answers to specific questions. I'm not sure how I'd even go about symmetrically using word association or some such to memorize numerical answers.

Another, but related issue, is the degree of knowledge the beginning ham should have. Is it important for the beginning ham to know the "Approximately how long is the driven element of a Yagi antenna for 14.0 MHz?" or is it important that the beginning ham have enough knowledge to be able to pick it out from a list of possible answers (thereby demonstrating a knowledge of the principle). BTW, I'd argue that the multiple guess choices being given in feet adds a useless complication to the entire issue -- do hams actually still think in feet and inches rather than meters?

Paul Friedman

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9mucd6ora4@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >
> > >>and learning the underlying material and using 6th grade math.
> >
> > > Wrong again ED
> >
> > Fraid not, Bruce. Learning the underlying material and using 6th-grade
math is
> > easier than rote memorizing hundreds of questions.
>

>
> So you're saying that all the people who state that you statement isn't
> so and that they did it that way are lying?

2616. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 04:22:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mv0i6hqb0@208.207.71.147>
Source record: 18960

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The RF field strength from an antenna DOES vary inversely with the
> > square of the distance, unless the ARRL Antenna Book is publishing false
> > information.
>
> Read it again, Dick. You are still confused. The field strength varies
> inversely as the distance from the source. The power density varies
> inversely as the square of the distance.

One last and final time - the RF energy present varies inversely as the
square of the distance from the source. You can't separate the E field
from the H field without affecting the RF energy level at any point.
Unless you're selling Crossedfield antennas.

2617. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020643.08639.00008673@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18965

In article <20010902182154.21533.00005790@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Yes, if you admire Cecil so much that you want his old call, you would have
>to
>wait two years after he gives it up. It can also be obtained two years after
>his date of death if you want it badly enough.

Ed:

Only if Cecil's license expires the same day Cecil does. Otherwise, it's two years after the expiration date, unless someone in Cecil's family officially notifies them of Cecil's room-temperature status. That may be possible in Cecil's case, but how likely is it in the case of the average Silent Key? For an example, go to qrz.com and lookup AA3JM or K3JP. Mason and John have both been gone for over a year now, but live on in the FCC database. I'm sure if you ran through the Silent Key column of several year's worth of QST magazines, you'd find at least half of them still active on the database.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2618. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020644.08639.00008674@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18968

In article <3B9270F9.CD0FDBEE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Something *has* been proven: You know more antenna theory than I do...and
>> I'm willing to take a shot at something I'm not sure of! Too bad that all
>Ed
>> and Cecil get out of it is the fact that I've proved I don't possess their
>> "professional" level of technical knowledge!
>
>Well then Larry, you will never be a Real Ham. (How does that feel?)

Just fine, Cecil. Thanks for asking! My self-image is not defined by other people's opinion of me as a ham, or as anything else. OTOH, I generally don't judge other people by their ham radio status, either. Unless, that is, they say or do something to call attention to the distinction between us. I cannot remember ever asking another ham's license class during a QSO. I have been asked mine many times, however, so it must mean something to someone!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2619. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020644.08639.00008675@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18970

In article <3b926e5b_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>"Chances"? Take their chances with what, Larry? I don't understand your
>implication.

Kim:

Don't look now, but I meant that they'll have to take their chances on claiming equality with me as a ham. I'll be the first to admit that there may be a few Post Restructuring Extras that will be equal to, or even superior, to me in technical knowledge. However, in overall emergency communications capability, they will be severely lacking unless they have gained skill in the Morse/CW mode equivalent to my own. This is just a simple, practical, unavoidable fact.

The Morse/CW mode has benefits and advantages which cannot be redacted into meaninglessness by those who claim that this mode can be completely replaced by "advanced digital techniques." The characteristics of the Morse/CW mode will always be there to be exploited, as long as there are radio amateurs who have the skill, and the proficiency at that skill, to do so. All I would have to do to prove my point is to ask any randomly-selected Post-R Extra to complete a simple, 5-minute CW QSO at 5 WPM. I'll bet you $100 that if we were at the Dayton Hamvention flea market, made an announcement requesting that any available Post-R Extras gather at our table, and then picked the fourth one from the left in the front row, he/she couldn't do it!

Knowing full well that such a "test" will not occur, please indulge my curiosity by speculating on your chances of winning. I'm going to say that if 100 Post-R Extras showed up, we couldn't find three who could accomplish the QSO. I'll further speculate that a much more likely number is Zero. Whada'ya say?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2620. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:45 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020645.08639.00008676@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18967

In article <3b926f71_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>I can guarantee you I could pass all the same tests you took. I can
>absolutely guarantee you that. I can also guarantee you that you'll have
>more knowledge in a mode that you use more often and I'll have more
>knowledge in a mode I use more often. The great thing about ham radio is
>that we should all be here to share, experiment, compare and better the
>avenues we choose interest in.

Kim:

I'll have to take you up on that particular challenge. I can assure you with absolute certainty that I know more about talking into a microphone than you know about CW. I don't know how we'll ever put this to a practical test, but for now, I'll leave the challenge open in case the opportunity ever presents itself.

BTW -- I see you haven't done anything about what Riley Hollingsworth pointed out about your callsign -- that with it, you were bringing the amateur radio service "...one step closer to extinction." Hasn't that suggested a course of action to you yet?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2621. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:46 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020646.08639.00008677@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18961

In article <3B92814A.1C4D047@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> The only way we could conduct
>> this test is to happen to be at a hamfest or something, grab a
>> newly VE-tested Post-Restructuring Extra still wiping the sweat off
>> his/her brow from the morning VE session, and ask the question
>> right then and there.
>
>Why don't you just grab Dr. Williamson, a no-code tech with a PhD
>in EE? :-)

No, Cecil -- I would not dream of "grabbing" the good Doctor. Among other reasons, that wouldn't exactly be a random selection, would it now?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2622. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:46 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020646.08639.00008678@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18962

In article <20010902150656.21452.00005136@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>I've been monitoring the 20-meter CW segment for four continuous hours,
>>and except for the occasional DX station, haven't heard a single callsign
>>that I haven't worked before.
>
>I find that impossible to believe, Larry. I can put it to the test and ask
>the
>QRP-L list how many of them have worked you. Seeing as you are in Delaware,
>the
>contact will be memorable for them.

Ed:

Well, maybe I should have said that I was listening to the FISTS calling frequency, 14.058. I hardly hear anyone I haven't worked on that frequency anymore.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2623. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:46 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020646.08639.00008679@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18966

In article <3B9282D5.30B5DBAE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >I volunteer for the random selection, Ed.
>> >I'm a post-restructuring Extra.
>>
>> If we took you as a volunteer, Cecil, then the selection wouldn't be
>> random anymore, would it?
>
>Well Larry, did it ever occur to you that if you are the one volunteering
>for the other side, then the selection isn't random?

Cecil:

Alright, then -- if Ed will agree to it, change the plan to pit any randomly-selected Pre-Restructuring Extra against any randomly-selected Post-Restructuring Extra in a simple test of technical knowledge based on ... what? Questions from the present question pool, or questions common to both question pools? I think the latter is the obvious choice. My choice of the presumed victor in this test will be the Pre-Restructuring Extra -- whomever he or she happens to be.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2624. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020647.08639.00008680@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18963

In article <3B9286C7.E739B834@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
>> revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with them!
>> Where *are* they?
>
>They are no-code techs who are not allowed on 20m. Do you want
>to allow them on 20m? I didn't think so.

Cecil:

What about all the Tech Plusses who were "grandfathered" into the
General class under Restructuring? I guess I can't really expect to
be hearing them on 20-meter CW, but where are they on PSK-31?
Since August of 2000, I've only worked one Post-Restructuring
General on PSK-31. I'm sure there's a few more out there, but
certainly not as many as would be required to make finding them
on-the-air a regular occurrence.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2625. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020648.08639.00008681@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18964

In article <3B927D94.7A533AE2@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Ahhhhh but you require the better ham to be better than you in
>*ALL* aspects, not just in a majority of aspects. Thus you have
>said that a ham cannot possibly be better than you unless he
>betters your Morse code skill. You may be better than anyone
>else at one thing, Larry, i.e. you may be the best master baiter
>in the world. That doesn't make you better than anyone at *most*
>things. I am probably much better at laying my hand flat on an
>8 ft. ceiling than you. Does that make me better than you? Only
>at laying my hand on an 8ft ceiling.

Cecil:

I'm sure I'm just as good at laying my hand flat on an eight-foot
ceiling as you are. I just need a six-foot ladder to help me do it!
Moreover, you're a much better masterbaiter than I am.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2626. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:06:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903020648.08639.00008682@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 18969

In article <3B9276F1.13D593B1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>W1RFI wrote:
>> Upon seeing the results, I saw little reason to continue. Cecil posted a
>> question that combines the knowledge of several of the questions in the
>current
>> Extra pool, but so far, none of the old timers have answered it.
>
>From the lack of response, I wasn't even sure that it got posted.
>And I have not worked the problem yet. It is simply a common
>problem I run into when trying to match my antenna system. I
>daresay Dick's, Larry's, and Bruce's solution would be to *BUY*
>an antenna tuner. Did any of you guys build your antenna tuner?

Cecil:

Yes. I once "breadboarded" an antenna tuner out of a design in
the Antenna Book. I used it to tune my "DA1RZ all-band longwire"
antenna I used in my dormitory when stationed in Germany my
first time. It worked great -- many times I was told I had the
strongest 80-meter CW signal coming out of Europe. That tuner
got left behind when I PCS'ed from Rhein Main the last time (circa
1989), and from all I know, is still in the attic of what used to be
Building 348 on the former Rhein-Main Air Base, along with 200'
of electric fence wire stapled to the rafters of the attic which was
the antenna itself. Also still there (I think) are a 20-meter co-phased
dipole array, a 40-meter dipole, and a Swingline staple gun. All
that stuff got left behind because I had to pack up and leave for my
PCS two weeks early due to going on emergency leave enroute.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2627. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:35:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B932451.D00E56F5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18971

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > But, to be perfectly honest, I
> > don't think it really matters at
> > this point. The concept of the
> > racist south is strongly entrenched
> > in this country's culture - ...
>
> A black friend of mine moved from
> Texas to New York to get away from
> racism. He was back here in six
> months and said the racism in
> New York was a magnitude worse than
> the racism in Texas.

I've had a similar experience with a friend from Jamaica (lived in
New York, then Boston, and then moved here - claimed less racism here).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2628. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:48:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B932754.561E1A0A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18972

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> > You mean those great love songs
> > like "99 bottles of rum on the
> > wall?" :-)
>
> Aye, that be a good one, matey. Also
> anything by Stan Rogers, Charlie Zahm
> or Chris Smither. ARRRR!!!

That be no way to win the fair lady's hand, mate. Now a song like
"Come Sail Away, by SuperTramp, will charm the bloomers off any young
maiden in any port, don't ye know. ARR. ARR. ARRRR!!!!

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2629. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 06:55:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B93291B.2238FD38@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18973

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Well, they cannot have too many
> > members - certainly not overseas,
> > for example. There isn't too much
> > room for all those countries
> > overseas with a flat earth of limited
> > size. ;-)
>
> There is a way to perform a geometrical
> transform which keeps the surface of
> the earth at the present value and makes
> it come out flat. Who knows, your
> perceptions may just be screwed up.

True. I was thinking of the old concept of a flat earth consisting of just Europe, a few limited islands in the Atlantic, and the very vague Americas. But I suppose a "modern" flat earth society would have expanded that concept to include a larger geographical area.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2630. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 07:22:19 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B932F49.F976483C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18974

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> (snip) I'm just saying that it is much more
> *likely* that I possess superior technical
> knowledge, based on the fact that I had to
> ascend through the ranks of a much more
> challenging and comprehensive amateur
> licensing system. (snip)

But you have yet to establish either of those (that you "possess superior technical knowledge" or that you ascended through a "more challenging and comprehensive amateur licensing system") as fact. Without that, your comments are merely hyperbole.

> The only reason I do it is because their
> reactions are immediate and gratifying
> knee-jerk defense of the indefensible, which
> is their dumbed-down status and how it came
> into being.

If, as you say, these people are "dumbed-down, they can do nothing about it (the current license system is what it is). So, if you truly believe what you say, why would you continue to rub their noses in it? In the end, since nothing else can be accomplished by what you're doing, the only possible reason for you do it is because it makes you feel good to make others feel bad. Nothing more. Nothing less.

Of course, you and I both know you're full of it - you have offered no proof whatsoever to support your claim that hams today are "dumbed-down" compared to previous hams.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2631. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 07:26:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903032602.10760.00003130@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 18975

In article <3B92E2A0.E4B66F7@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Really? The digital EME work you crow about was done by high-speed
>code-tested
>> hams.
>
>EME work on 20m???? Shirley, you jest.

Haw, that's a good one, Cecil.

> Larry was lamenting that he
>doesn't have anybody new to talk to on 20m.

Actually he said that he doesn't hear any new calls on 20 CW or digital. I think he needs a better antenna/rx setup.

btw, the first 24 GHz EME QSO was done back on August 18. Two stations running under 100 W to dishes of 3 meters or smaller. Guess what mode they used.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2632. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 08:25:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B933E09.357EDDDE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18976

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Would a codetest-hating newcomer
> who wants to bash older hams for
> their knowledge and expertise
> please step, er, post forward? I
> keep hearing about them, but I've
> never seen one!

You need to keep up with the debate, Kim. In spite of the recent BS about the written exams, when compared to the average post restructuring ham (who they feel will never learn code without a test requirement), code is the only truly unique knowledge and expertise they have (any post restructuring ham could develop equal knowledge and expertise in everything else). Therefore, when they talk about people bashing "their" knowledge and expertise, they're actually talking about their knowledge and expertise of code. So, to them, any comment against code is perceived as bashing their knowledge and expertise. Because of that, every person opposed to code testing is a mortal enemy who must be wiped from the face of the earth. Got it now?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2633. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 08:32:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B933FA9.E009AF08@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18977

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> And your right the present Exams do
> not prove knowledge or skill

No ham radio license exam has ever proven knowledge or skill. All they are designed to prove is a basic understanding of proper operating practices, basic radio theory, basic electronics, and basic safety procedures. Beyond that, knowledge or skill comes after the exams, through actual operation, experimentation, and self-education.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2634. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 08:48:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B934376.60C1F348@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18978

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Well, that is--unless someone allows
> theirself to be a victim of another
> person's judgement (however ridiculous
> that judgement may be); know what I
> mean? :)

Kim, even the ridiculous (and illogical, as Jim says) can become harmful if repeated endlessly without challenge. For proof of that, just look at the number of ridiculous ideas that have existed throughout history and the harm those ideas have caused. Because of that, I have no desire to sit back and allow a few nasty individuals to promote the concept that all future hams are inferior.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2635. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 08:58:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903045822.01475.00002688@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18979

It does not. One thing I have notice in the past 300 or 400 threads is that you just can not see, or refuse to seeing the changes comming in Ham radio. It would seem trying to debate with you on anything is a waste of any ones time. Just think in a few years will be remembered as "The Mouse that Roared"

2636. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:08:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B9347F3.BB12493@sccoast.net>
Source record: 18980

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> The written isnt mearsuring any thing
> either, well unless you want to say is
> measuring your ability to Memorize.
> Which really is of no Value, (snip)

Your argument doesn't even make sense. Exactly what have you learned about radio that is not memorized? There is not a concept or action that you do that does not first require memorization. In fact, you would not even be able to get out of bed in the morning if you did not remember how to do it.

And, by the way, while you're putting down hams for simply remembering the question pool, don't forget to put down all those people who passed the radiotelephone license exams (their question pool is also published - you can buy a copy at the bookstore). Or why not put down all those people who passed their college exams by remembering the contents of Cliff's Notes? Or why not bash little kids for remembering the alphabet and the multiplication table? Memorization is how human beings learn.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2637. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:08:37 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903050837.01475.00002689@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18981

Typical cop out answer.

>>To prove that it is so easy, would you please memorize 1000 Russian
>>words along with their definitions?
>>--
>>cheers, Cecil
>
>Sure Cecil next time Im trying to learn Russian I will do that
>
>
>

2638. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:11:18 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903051118.01475.00002690@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18982

So, Whats your point?

2639. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:19:51 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903051951.01475.00002691@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18983

I have never said I know it all, If anything I said I am still learning about ham radio. Most of what I have said is that the old hams (Most of those that have been hams for many years) Just do not want to accept any new hams into the hobby. I for one have no use for hams that are of this mindset. I also believe that the ham radio community has no use for these types of hams. When hams like this exposed to the non ham public, all they will do is keep new people out of the hobby.

2640. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:28:04 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903052804.01475.00002692@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18984

>Besides, at least Woody got us to some Rose Bowls.

That may be. But he was a bad image for college football, He was a jerk. plain
and
simple.

2641. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:34:43 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903053443.01475.00002693@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18985

Gee whiz, Maybe in LA. is not like the real world. But when you have a job you need to pay your bills you can't take off whenever you want to. If your boss says you have to work, you are stuck. What would you do, quit your job to take a Ham radio exam. That would the stupid thing to do. I do not know why anyone would listen to anything you have to say. with remarks likes

>
> If you really wanted a License what difference would that make. What do you
>want them to do send the Test to you in the mail and when your done with it
>send it back, I should not have said that, watch some Idiot come up with a
>Proposal to do just that, drbfrench do you know how to do fill out a
>Proposal?
>

You just demonstrate how stupid you really are.

2642. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:39:19 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903053919.01475.00002694@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18986

You do not

2643. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:46:06 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903054606.01475.00002695@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18987

I never memorized any thing on a ham test with the exception of the band limits.

2644. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:50:35 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903055035.01475.00002696@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18988

>>How many hours would a few hours be. 5, 10, 20, 40 80, 160, 320, 640, 1280,
>>2560
>>
>>
>
>TWO

Sorry Bruce. 2 hours was not one of the choices, you blew the question.

2645. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 09:54:28 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903055428.01475.00002697@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 18989

>. I
>will never understand those three.
>

Hal Roach would, He directed their movies

2646. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:30:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903063014.02036.00006605@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18990

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>I never memorized any thing on a ham test with the exception of the band
>limits.

Sure you did. You memorized formulas and probably the answers to many of the questions. In memorizing the correct answer: Q: What are three good electrical conductors? A: Gold, silver, aluminum you learned that gold, silver and aluminum are good electrical conductors. The distrators all contain non-metals, so you probably also learned that metals are good conductors.

Of course, some here offer that you could read the question and word associate the answer and not learn anything in the process. In this case, it is easier to learn that metals are good conductors (and that metals are not insulators to help with the next question) than it is to try to think up some mental trick to word associate the answer without learning it in the process. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2647. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:35:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903063503.02036.00006606@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18991

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>And, by the way, while you're putting down hams for simply
>remembering the question pool ...

There are more correct answers in the Tech and General question pools than there were sentences in my 1963 study guide for the Novice and General.

>Memorization is how human beings learn.

With great effort, one could probably memorize a large set of information without understanding. Cecil's example of 1000 words of Russian (presumably in Cyrillic) is a good example. For the life of me, I can't figure out why Dick and Bruce are trying to talk prospective hams and upgrades into word association instead of learning as a way of passing the test. I keep posting examples from the pools of why that it not true, but they keep seeing the world through their uniquely colored glasses.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2648. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:39:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903063904.02036.00006607@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18992

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Because of
>that, I have no desire to sit back and allow a few nasty individuals
>to promote the concept that all future hams are inferior.

Yeah, but that has been going on since time immemorial. I am sure that some old timer was berating Larry for being a dumbed-down Extra because Larry didn't have to drive 100 miles to an FCC exam and draw schematics. Larry, of course, knew that the old timer was wrong and that he would turn out to be a decent ham.

The difference, though, is that the Internet was not there for that old timer to make a fool of himself in front of thousands of people. Larry probably didn't hear the unkind words that old timer had to offer. Instead, Larry was able to continue with his belief that ham radio was a lot of fun and that it was populated with decent, helpful people. And, with a few exceptions, it is.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2649. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:39:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903063955.02036.00006608@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18993

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Beyond that, knowledge or skill comes after the
>exams, through actual operation, experimentation, and self-education.

According to Bruce's posts, actually operating is bad.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2650. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:40:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903064053.02036.00006609@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18994

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>But you have yet to establish either of those (that you "possess
>superior technical knowledge" or that you ascended through a "more
>challenging and comprehensive amateur licensing system") as fact.
>Without that, your comments are merely hyperbole.

Was it not proven when he incorrectly answered the question I posed, with a
question that is found in the current General exams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2651. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:43:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903064302.02036.00006610@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18995

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> They're out there stuffing their faces with microphone,of course.

When I first got my General, I headed right for the microphone. Did you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2652. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:45:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903064532.02036.00006611@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18996

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, in overall
>emergency communications capability, they will be severely lacking
>unless they have gained skill in the Morse/CW mode equivalent to
>my own. This is just a simple, practical, unavoidable fact.

What percentage of emergency communications do you believe is conducted using Morse code? What percentage do you believe is conducted using voice? If one is going to practice emergency communications skills, it would make more sense to devote that practice to the mode that is actually used for emergency communications. That CW-only op like me that checks into a voice net is apt to mungle things pretty badly. I am too slow with phonetics.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2653. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:47:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903064723.02036.00006612@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18997

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>And this fact
>also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of the
>heap.

But we already know that Larry is at the top of the heap. His telling us is
just about the sole content of his participation here.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2654. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:48:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903064838.02036.00006613@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18998

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I'll be the first to admit that
>there may be a few Post Restructuring Extras that will be equal to,
>or even superior, to me in technical knowledge.

"May be?"

Larry, I guarantee that there are post-restructuring Extras that have more technical knowledge than you and me put together.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2655. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:52:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903065218.02036.00006614@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18999

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Well, maybe I should have said that I was listening to the FISTS calling
>frequency, 14.058. I hardly hear anyone I haven't worked on that
>frequency anymore.

Perhaps you should become a bit more well rounded in Amateur Radio, Larry. The FISTS crowd only wants to talk to other FISTS folks (CQ FISTS), so I can't say as I have talked to more than a handful of them.

That, at least, is a bit more accurate than the original post and I find it quite believable that a group of people that set out to talk only with each other would end up talking only with each other. Thanks for the clarification.

Slide up 2 kHz and work a few of the QRPers. They generally still believe in the old traditions of Amateur Radio, such as being friendly and encouraging to newcomers.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2656. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:53:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903065345.02036.00006615@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19000

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Alright, then -- if Ed will agree to it, change the plan to pit any
>randomly-selected Pre-Restructuring Extra against any randomly-
>selected Post-Restructuring Extra in a simple test of technical
>knowledge based on ... what?

And in what way does this change measure the original premise -- that you are
superior to all post-restructuring Extras?

You are squirming away from this one, Larry. Maybe we don't have to do the
test after all. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2657. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:55:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903065550.02036.00006616@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18851

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Actually he said that he doesn't hear any new calls on 20 CW or digital. I
>think he needs a better antenna/rx setup.

He has since admitted that he only listens on the FISTS calling frequency.
Makes a bit more sense after he decided to tell the whole story after being
called on it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2658. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 10:59:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903065929.02036.00006617@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18852

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>What about all the Tech Plusses who were "grandfathered" into the
>General class under Restructuring?

Make sure you tell the whole story, Larry, and be clear that only the Tech+
hams who passed the full General written exam were grandfathered.

>Since August of 2000, I've only worked one Post-Restructuring
>General on PSK-31.

And how do you know this, Larry? Don't tell me that you are as obsessive about
this whole matter on the air as you are here?

>I'm sure there's a few more out there

If you want a more quantified answer, I think the Speroni site has some data.

>I'm sure there's a few more out there, but
>certainly not as many as would be required to make finding them
>on-the-air a regular occurrence.

And I'm sure that you won't find them hanging out on the FISTS calling
frequency, either, Larry. You gotta' get around a bit more.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2659. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:03:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903070343.02036.00006618@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18853

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>So, to them, any
>comment against code is perceived as bashing their knowledge and
>expertise.

I have seen code-bashing here. Whenever I do, I speak out just as clearly as I do against some of the class-action bashing that Dick, Larry and Bruce repeatedly do.

>Because of that, every person opposed to code testing is a
>mortal enemy who must be wiped from the face of the earth. Got it now?

Dick even called me a code hater! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2660. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:05:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903070506.02036.00006619@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18854

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>How is the current in a DC circuit directly calculated when the
>>voltage and resistance are known?
>>A. I = R x E [current equals resistance multiplied by voltage]
>>B. I = R / E [current equals resistance divided by voltage]
>>C. I = E / R [current equals voltage divided by resistance]
>>D. I = E / P [current equals voltage divided by power]

>>You sure don't want to see them learn anything, do you?

> Ohhh its ....D, no wait a minute its A, no no that cant be right, its
>.....B, no no wait a minute I dont think its any of the above, I know Ill go
>get my Handi Dandy answer Sheet, they not going to trick me anymore.

Yes, I was right; you don't want to see them learn anything. Is being "right"
that important to you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2661. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:09:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903070904.02036.00006620@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18855

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>THEY DON'T MEMORIZE THE QUESTIUONS NOR THE ANSWERS, JUST KEY WORDS,,

HE MUST NOT BE CONFIDENT IN WHAT HE IS SAYING. HE IS YELLING AT US ALL.

Calm down, Dick. You are wrong and I think by now most people know it.

What "key word" would they memorize in the following:

> C. I = E / R [current equals voltage divided by resistance]

And do you think that in reading that sentence enough times and the distractors enough times to make sure they picked a key word that would not also appear in the distractors that tmey might just learn that:

> C. I = E / R [current equals voltage divided by resistance]

Want another example of why you are wrong?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2662. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:26:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903072658.02036.00006621@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18856

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Evidently both you and Ed are just ignorant, as you still don't
>understand what I have written repeatedly.

> THEY DON'T MEMORIZE THE QUESTIUONS NOR THE ANSWERS, JUST KEY WORDS,,
>PHRASES, AND/OR COMBINATIONS SUCH THAT WHEN THEY READ A QUESTION, THEY
>RECOGNIZE THE ANSWER AS THE ONLY SELECTION THEY HAVE SEEN PREVIOUSLY,

We understand what you are saying, Dick. Neither Cecil or I believe it to be correct in more than a handful of cases. In those cases, the applicants who did manage to pass that way did it the hard way. It is much easier to learn the underlying material and apply 6th-grade math to working out the answers.

Now, as to your "key words" theory, here are a few correct answer/distractor pairs, with the correct answers and distractors chosen from different questions:

A. The Federal Communications Commission
B. The Federal Communications Commission

A. None
B. None

A. CW, RTTY and data
B. CW and data

A. All amateur emissions priveleges authorized for use on this band
B. All authorized amateur emissions priveleges

A. No identification is required
B. No identification is required

A. CW
B. CW

A. 1500 W PEP output
B. 1500 W PEP output

A. Data
B. Data

I could go on, but the pool is filled with such pairs, where the correct answer for one question is the distractor for another. To work out a "word association" for these questions one could NOT use the technique of reading only the correct answers and recognizing it as the only one they have seen. It would be a lot of work to work out a word association between the correct question and the answer without carefully working out the complex interactions

between the questions, the answers, the distractors and other questions, answers and distractors.

One could, I suppose, associate "direct-printing" with "RTTY," without learning that the name the FCC associates with narrow-band, directy printing telegraphy emissions is RTTY, but to me, the latter is much easier to remember, and in doing so, I would have learned the fact that the question is intending to teach. I would NOT be able to recognize the correct answer RTTY because that is the wrong answer for several other questions.

I will, if you like, continue to post examples from the current pool why I believe that you are wrong that most hams are word associating the all of the answers without understanding and why I believe you are wrong that doing so is the easiest way to pass the tests.

>SINCE THEY DELETED ALL THE DISTRACTORS BEFORE THEIR 'STUDY' AND SO NONE
>ARE RECOGNIZED.

DON'T YOU THINK THAT IT IS A GOOD IDEA THAT HAMS LEARN THE CORRECT ANSWERS INSTEAD OF LEARNING THE WRONG ONES?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2663. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:32:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903073213.02036.00006622@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18857

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> But doing it that way is the hard way,

> In your mind. You can't seem to grasp that when one knows NOTHING about
>a subject learning the material facts surrounging a question and its
>given answer is far more complicagted than making a couple of word
>associations that allow you to recognize the question and its answer as
>pairs while still knowing nothing whatever about the subject matter.

That certainly isn't true in the question I posed about the way that the E field varies with distance from an antenna, Dick. It is much easier to learn that E varies as 1/D, or that if the distance is doubled, the field strength is half, if it is quadrupled, the field strength is one quarter. That is a LOT easier than trying to word associate a bunch of numbers (ie, if the distance is half and the question is about field strength, the correct answer is 30 V/m, but if the distance is one quarter and the question is about power density, the correct answer is 18 V/m...) Trying to do it the latter way would be he hard way, IMHO.

I will post some more examples from the pool about why I believe the way I do. Would that help?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2664. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:39:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903073932.02036.00006623@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18858

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> The RF field strength from an antenna DOES vary inversely with the
>square of the distance, unless the ARRL Antenna Book is publishing false
>information.

No, no, no, no! The field strength varies with the inverse of the distance in the far field. The ARRL antenna book and the General Class question pool has it correct.

> Or unless you insist that the power density, E field and H
>field can be separated.

Of course they can be separated. They are often measured separately.

> Just because the voltage field is usually what
>is measured changes nothing.

Whether one is measuring the E or the H fields, the field strength varies inversely with distance.

>The strength of the radiated energy DOES
>reduce invesely as the square of the distance, as I said earlier.

That is NOT what you said earlier. You said that the field strength from the antenna varies as the inverse square of the distance and that is incorrect. The fields vary inversely with distance. The power density varies with the inverse square.

> I also stated my response was inadequately worded, but of course you,
>characteristically, just ignore that.

I didn't ignore that, Dick; I responded, just like I am doing so now. You are still incorrect; you still don't understand the question. The field strength from an antenna varies with the inverse of the distance in the far field. The power density varies with the inverse squared.

You would not feel it correct to interchange voltage and power in a discussion about circuits. Why do you feel is acceptable to interchange "field" and "power density" in a discussion about electromagnetics?

If you would like to get an answer that you believe, post this to rec.radio.amateur.antennas and see what some of those guys have to say.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

>
>
>
>

2665. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:41:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903074128.02036.00006624@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18859

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>One last and final time - the RF energy present varies inversely as the
>square of the distance from the source. You can't separate the E field
>from the H field without affecting the RF energy level at any point.

Correct, but in that case, use the correct term "power density" or even "RF energy" as you have done. If you use the term "field strength" its meaning is that it refers to the E or H field. Saying that the field strength from an antenna varies as 1/D^2 is incorrect.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2666. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:43:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903074338.02036.00006625@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18860

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Ah, you admit it- you're playing to the silent crowd who just lurk, and
>of course in doing so are doing your best do discredit any one with whom
>you don't agree.

Bruce was not discredited. He got the answer to my question correct.

Are you saying that you didn't realize that there are people reading this other than the one with whom we are having an exchange? In what way does the fact that others are reading this discredit anyone?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2667. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:50:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903075000.02036.00006626@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18861

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You and Cecil are sure getting mileage out of your "russian words"
>strawman, There is nothing remotely close to the same concept involved.
>When one memorizes the word associations to match answers with
>questions, one is using all English words that are memorized such that
>when I see that question the only answer that I've seen before is the
>correrct one. Of course the distractors are all deleted before the word
>association study starts. You already know all this, you just prefer to
>keep your head in the sand.

At least that is better than soaking my head, now, isn't it.

I think that Cecil has pretty much admitted that his Russian example is a bit extreme. He has posed a new challenge -- word associate 1000 words of English with 1000 other words of English. Oh, and about 20% of the words will be the wrong answer for other words when you are given the multiple-guess question about the word association. Think doing that would be a "cake walk," Dick?

That is even easier than trying to word-associate the very complex interactions and word relationships in the question pool, because one would have to go through the pool, pick out words that are unique to one question, and associate them with answers that may be the distractors for other questions.

It is a lot easier to learn the underlying material, don't you think?

> When the pools have questions with answers that consist of all valid
>answers- but all the distractors are the answers to other valid
>questions, then an improvement can result in the results.

I agree. Right now, that appears to be the case, or at least pretty close, in about 20% of the techncial questions.

> It wouldn't be nearly as easy to work the word association routine. The
testee would
>have seen all the distractors as correct answers to other questions, and
>matching the only selection he had previously seen would be impoossible.

As opposed to virtually impossible now. :-)
Prove me wrong and take the 1000-word challenge. It is as easy as you say, you could do it in a few hours and really make your point!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2668. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:54:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903075402.02036.00006627@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18862

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Well then, we'll find 1000 English words of which you are ignorant of
>the defintions and you can learn them to prove what you say is true.
>Here's the first one: Abbevillian (only 999 more to go).

That is still easier than trying to work out the appropriate word associations for the current question pools, Cecil. But I would go one better. For 1000 words, make it simpler yet:

Cat - gasoline
Rock - ant
Tent - armpit
etc. for 1000 words.

Then give a test for which the correct associations for one word appear as distractors for other words about 20% of the time.

Dick, do you think you could memorize those 1000 simple word associations as easily as you claim that people are "word associating" the much more complex question pool?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2669. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:55:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903075522.02036.00006628@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18863

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Seeing as you blame ARRL for all of this, tell us what you think was wrong
>in
>> each of the votes ARRL took wrt Question Pool Committee matters.

> When NOTHING is done, what else is to criticize?

Nope, that is incorrect. The ARRL has had input to the Question Pool Committee. Are you admitting that you don't know what that input it, but are criticizing ARRL for it anyway?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2670. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 11:58:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903075847.02036.00006629@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18864

In just what way did my post insult you that you felt it necessary to "defend" yourself by insulting me? I was going to do a news search to find an example, but I didn't have to -- the very next message contained just what I was looking for.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 9/2/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9mugbb$58b$0@208.207.71.158>
>
>
>
>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >> He is wrong. The easiest way to pass the test is by learning the
>> >underlying
>> >> concepts and using 6th-grade math.
>>
>> > Depends engtirely on where you are coming from technically and what
>> >your objective is. Most potential hams just want to get the license,
>>
>> It matters not why one wants to pass the test. It is easier to learn the
>> fundamental concepts and apply 6th-grade math than it is to try to "word
>> associate" hundreds of questions for each exam without understanding.
>>
>> I just don't want to see your well-intended but ill-conceived advice to new
>> hams not to learn anything lead them astray.
>
>
>
> My "advice"? You really are a fool, aren't you? Exceeded only by Cecil,
>as it happens.
>
>
> Unlike you, I want to see new
>> hams learn.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

>
>
>
>
>

2671. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:02:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903080202.02036.00006630@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18865

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> That's standard practice on multiple choice questions, isn't it? and
>YOU didn't know that?

I would apply that technique to a test that was generated by a human, knowing that there is a human tendency to go for the middle ground, and the test generator would probably have exhibited it to a greater or lesser degree. (Ask a group of people to pick a number from 1 to 4 and most will pick 3.)

But most VEs know that the order of the distractors is randomized by a computer when the tests are generated, so there would be no advantage to picking B or C in taking an amateur exam.

And YOU didn't know that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2672. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:03:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903080318.17422.00003495@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18866

In article <3b92ed07_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010902200309.22527.00003357@nso-bk.aol.com...
>> In article <3b926f71_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
>writes:
>>
>> >*If* (and that's a big if) there's any superiority (and that's if there's
>> >anyone else who feels there's a contest in that department), then the
>> >conclusion would have to be made that it has nothing to do with the era
>in
>> >which you were tested, Larry, and has far more to do with how long you
>have
>> >been a ham.
>>
>> Seniority isn't as much a gauge as what the ham has actually done in the
>time
>> licensed.
>
>So, you said in one sentance what it took me a couple to say. :)

Ayup. Yankee brevity.
>
>> >I can guarantee you I could pass all the same tests you took. I can
>> >absolutely guarantee you that.
>>
>> I can guarantee you can't pass the tests I took, Kim. Neither can Larry.
>Does
>> that make me "better" or "superior" somehow? Of course not.
>>
>> > I can also guarantee you that you'll have
>> >more knowledge in a mode that you use more often and I'll have more
>> >knowledge in a mode I use more often.
>>
>> In most cases, that's true.
>>
>> > The great thing about ham radio is
>> >that we should all be here to share, experiment, compare and better the
>> >avenues we choose interest in.
>> >
>> BINGO! Something for everybody! This is the key selling point for ham
>radio in
>> the 21st century.
>>
>> But this feature is both a strength and a weakness. The wide variety of

>things
>> available makes it hard to briefly describe what ham radio "is". And this
>fact
>> also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of
>the
>> heap.
>>
>
>There are many who don't measure things by who's at the top of the heap.

Ayup. Some don't even agree to the existence of a heap.

> It
>could be said that it is those who are probably having the most fun and
>getting the most personal enjoyment from the hobby!

It could also be said that it is those who are making the greatest contibution
to other hams' having fun and enjoyment from ham radio.
>
>> Too many folks try to describe ham radio by saying what it isn't. That's
>not
>> helpful to anyone. It's like saying that the way you sculpt a statue of an
>> elephant is to get a block of stone and chop away everything that keeps
>the
>> stone from looking like an elephant.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>And, no thinking about pink elephants.

A Pn-Galactic Gargle Blaster would be nice about now.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2673. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:04:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903080403.02036.00006631@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18867

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Fraid not, Bruce. Learning the underlying material and using 6th-grade
>math is
>> easier than rote memorizing hundreds of questions.

> So you're saying that all the people who state that you statement isn't
>so and that they did it that way are lying?

Not at all, Dick. I am saying that anyone who did it that way did it the hard
way. Want a few more examples of why I believe that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2674. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:05:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903080545.02036.00006632@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18868

From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net

>BTW, I'd argue that the multiple guess choices
>being given in feet adds a useless complication to the entire issue -- do
>hams actually still think in feet and inches rather than meters?

US hams certainly do, for the most part. If someone gives me a distance in
meters, I usually have to do some quick mental math to envision the distance.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2675. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:09:06 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903080906.02036.00006633@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18869

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> But you still refute my
>claim (and that of the ARRL antenna book) that the RF field reduces
>inversely as the square of the distance.

The antenna book does NOT claim than an RF field decreases inversely as the square of the distance. That would be incorrect. Not having one in front of me, I will wager a lobster dinner that it does not say so -- we can use the 18th edition of the book as the reference.

You are quite welcome to look it up before you accept the wager.

>Shame on you.

Why would you want to shame me for using technical terms correctly? It is you who does not understand the difference between a field and power density. In what way did I insult you that you feel a need to try to shame me in public?

73,
Ed Hare,

2676. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:11:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903081158.02036.00006634@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18870

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I cannot remember ever asking another ham's
>license class during a QSO.

Then how did you know to make the statement that you have worked only one post-restructuring General on 20 meters?

> I have been asked mine many times,
>however, so it must mean something to someone!

Are we really to believe that a 1X2 has been asked his licence class "many times" on the air? If you really did work only one post-restructuring General, then all of those who didn't know that a 1X2 could only be held by an Extra class were pre-restructuring Generals or above?

Or perhaps you meant that the post-restructuring General asked you the question many times -- yeah, that's the ticket!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2677. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:14:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903081453.02036.00006635@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18871

From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>Technically, one could have to wait up to 12 years after one died before a
>call
>could be reassigned: A licensee renews and drops dead later that day. No
>one
>notifies the FCC that he's dead. The call is tied up for 10 years until that
>renewed license expires, then for the 2 year grace period.

>As far as I know, the FCC does not tie in their licensing system to the SSA
>master death index.

They do not, but if you send the FCC a death certificate or obituary, they will
vacate the call of a deceased ham. It is then generally available 2 years from
the date the ham died.

A number of vanity calls were obtained that way.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2678. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:17:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903081715.02036.00006636@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 18872

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

>Like any other skill in any other hobby, when you need it you will learn
>it.

> Like all who know nothing about it, you actually believe taht you can
>learn CW fast enough to use it whenyou need it. The cry of the truly
>clueless.

In what way did he insult you that you felt you had to defend youself by calling him clueless? You said earlier that you only insult people here in self defense, and I am trying to see the reason you had to lash out in this case.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2679. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 12:48:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903084859.17557.00007103@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18873

>I never memorized any thing on a ham test with the exception of the band
>limits.
>
>
>

Thatsb right you were not able to Memorize anthing, you just guessed your way

2680. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 13:16:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mvvra$9fb$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 18874

W1RFI wrote:
>
> DON'T YOU THINK THAT IT IS A GOOD IDEA THAT HAMS LEARN THE CORRECT ANSWERS
> INSTEAD OF LEARNING THE WRONG ONES?

OF COURSE, ESPECIALLY WHEN THEY JUST WANT TO LEARN TO RECOGNIZE IT AT
TEST TIME AND AREN'T INTERESTED IN ANYTHING MORE THAT JUST PASSING THE
TEST AND GETTING THE LICENSE.

2681. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 13:19:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n000f$9fb$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 18875

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > That's standard practice on multiple choice questions, isn't it? and
> >YOU didn't know that?
>
> I would apply that technique to a test that was generated by a human, knowing
> that there is a human tendency to go for the middle ground, and the test
> generator would probably have exhibited it to a greater or lesser degree. (Ask
> a group of people to pick a number from 1 to 4 and most will pick 3.)
>
> But most VEs know that the order of the distractors is randomized by a computer
> when the tests are generated, so there would be no advantage to picking B or C
> in taking an amateur exam.
>
> And YOU didn't know that?

No, and you didn't know it either. If it is so it's a more recent
change. See, maybe all this yelling does have some effect, after all.

2682. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 13:31:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n00nn$9fb$2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 18876

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I find it quite interesting that I posted some very honest questions about
> CW testing today, not one of which was even attempted to be answered
> thusfar.
>
> Cat got your tongues? Larry? W8ULX? Dick?

You ignored all the answers when they were previously given- or they
went right over your head. How many times should be try???

2683. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 13:38:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903093813.09146.00006381@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 18877

>Subject: Re: Vanity Opinion
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 9/2/01 10:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109030241470.29941-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

>Technically, one could have to wait up to 12 years after one died...(SNIP)

Guilty.

My dad checked out in February 1999. He's already been listed in QST, but
I haven't the heart to send him on his way with the database.

Guess I can kinda "hold on" just a bit longer.........

If any computer nerds wanted it bad enough, though, I'd surrender it.
(N8DOS)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2684. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 13:44:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B938957.96E86106@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18878

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Well then Larry, you will never be a Real Ham. (How does that feel?)
>
> Just fine, Cecil. Thanks for asking! My self-image is not defined by
> other people's opinion of me as a ham, or as anything else.

That's the whole point, Larry. Why should any other ham's self-image
be defined by *your* opinion of him/her as a ham?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2685. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 13:55:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B938BD4.7A74CF0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18879

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I once "breadboarded" an antenna tuner out of a design in
> the Antenna Book.

"Once"???? Antenna tuners are one of the easiest things to build.
What are you using for an antenna tuner now?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2686. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:01:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B938D34.5DE921F4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18880

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > The RF field strength from an antenna DOES vary inversely with the
> > > square of the distance, unless the ARRL Antenna Book is publishing false
> > > information.
> >
> > Read it again, Dick. You are still confused. The field strength varies
> > inversely as the distance from the source. The power density varies
> > inversely as the square of the distance.
>
> One last and final time - the RF energy present varies inversely as the
> square of the distance from the source. You can't separate the E field
> from the H field without affecting the RF energy level at any point.
> Unless you're selling Crossedfield antennas.

One last and final time - here is what you said, Dick, quoted from your
posting above:

> > > The RF *field strength* from an antenna DOES vary inversely with the
> > > square of the distance, ... (emphasis mine).

That is a false statement, Dick. Don't try to wiggle out of it by
changing the wording from "field strength" to "energy". The RF field
strength from an antenna DOES NOT vary inversely with the square of
the distance.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2687. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:04:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B938DE8.CBB38BE0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18881

Drpfrench wrote:
> I never memorized any thing on a ham test with the exception of the band
> limits.

Did you develop the maximum legal power limit from first principles?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2688. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:15:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93908C.A0093B1E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18882

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> All I would have to do to prove
> my point is to ask any randomly-selected Post-R Extra to complete a
> simple, 5-minute CW QSO at 5 WPM.
>
> I'm going to
> say that if 100 Post-R Extras showed up, we couldn't find three who
> could accomplish the QSO. I'll further speculate that a much more
> likely number is Zero.

Larry, considering that the great majority of post-restructuring
Extras are general/advanced upgrades like me, who once passed a
13wpm Morse code exam, you are more than likely greatly mistaken.
It's pretty clear that the majority could accomplish your task.
I certainly could. I'll bet Bruce could also.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2689. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:18:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939131.98073001@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18883

N2EY wrote:
> Some don't even agree to the existence of a heap.

The heap on this newsgroup stinks to high heaven so we
know what it is made of.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2690. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:22:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939229.7D42F2BF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18884

W1RFI wrote:
> But we already know that Larry is at the top of the heap. His telling us is
> just about the sole content of his participation here.

Larry's heap is made out of pure bull cagada.
Reckon he's wearing boots?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2691. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:25:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9392E7.A1C2952F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18885

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Why don't you just grab Dr. Williamson, a no-code tech with a PhD
> >in EE? :-)
>
> No, Cecil -- I would not dream of "grabbing" the good Doctor. Among
> other reasons, that wouldn't exactly be a random selection, would it
> now?

Why does every selection have to be random except you?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2692. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:29:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9393E2.C67D4E01@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18886

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> ... change the plan to pit any
> randomly-selected Pre-Restructuring Extra against any randomly-
> selected Post-Restructuring Extra in a simple test of technical
> knowledge ...

Which would prove absolutely nothing because there are not enough
samples in the set. Using your technique, you can "prove" that a
salmon is bigger than a shark.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2693. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:33:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9394A2.F802ADE7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18888

W1RFI wrote:
> According to Bruce's posts, actually operating is bad.

He apparently wants everyone to build something and then not
operate it on the air. Go figure.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2694. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:35:11 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <a4e92ba0b3a964b4a1c118dcddcd52ab.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 18887

The opinion of Steve Robeson K4YZ seems to be.....

> I am having a tough time recalling any situation where "the
> easy way" ever became the best way.

Can you recall any situation where "the hard way" became
the best way?

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
Reality doesn't care what you think.

--
Posted from dialupee246.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.14.246]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2695. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:38:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9395CF.4C010E61@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18889

N2EY wrote:
> btw, the first 24 GHz EME QSO was done back on August 18. Two stations running
> under 100 W to dishes of 3 meters or smaller. Guess what mode they used.

FFT? :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2696. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:40:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939647.A8117A8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18890

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> What about all the Tech Plusses who were "grandfathered" into the
> General class under Restructuring?

It is my understanding that no one was grandfathered.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2697. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:43:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939721.86F693A1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18892

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > They're out there stuffing their faces with microphone,of course.
>
> When I first got my General, I headed right for the microphone. Did you?

I didn't. My Globe Scout didn't come with a microphone or a XTAL
for the phone band. The only reason I got a Conditional was because
my Novice expired.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2698. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:44:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903104417.26855.00003528@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18891

From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net

>> I am having a tough time recalling any situation where "the
>> easy way" ever became the best way.

>Can you recall any situation where "the hard way" became
>the best way?

Sure. If I had someone take my driver's test for me, it certainly would be easy
for me. The hard way would be to take it myself. The first time I didn't know
that red means stop the hard way would definately have been the best way.

I am sure there are many more examples. It all depends on context, like much
in life.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>With all kind wishes,
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>--
> Reality doesn't care what you think.
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>Posted from dialupee246.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.14.246]
>via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2699. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:51:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903105105.18808.00012213@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18893

> I'll bet Bruce could also.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

I dont know if I could slow down that much, I suppose I might be able to do it at 5 but I would probably have to do something else at the same time

2700. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:51:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903105132.26855.00003529@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18894

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>> ... change the plan to pit any
>> randomly-selected Pre-Restructuring Extra against any randomly-
>> selected Post-Restructuring Extra in a simple test of technical
>> knowledge ...

>Which would prove absolutely nothing because there are not enough
>samples in the set. Using your technique, you can "prove" that a
>salmon is bigger than a shark.

Remember, we are setting out to find a way to prove that Larry is superior to all post-restructuring Extras. I find it a bit unexpected that such a superior being would propose that the best way to do that doesn't involve himself, but, being inferior (because I took a test that is easier than today's tests to obtain all Amateur priveleges), I guess I just don't understand.

Larry, can you explain? To this inferior mind, the easiest way to test the premise is for you to be one of the partipants. We could, I suppose, select the other factor in the experiment at random until we had enough data to make a statistical analysis, but a more accurate way would be to select the candidates that we feel are the one that disprove your hypothesis.

Now, to be really accurate, we could quantify just how inaccurate your statement is, but it would take a fair number of tests to prove it to a reasonable degree of stastisical certainty.

Anyone better at statitics that I? How many tests WOULD it take to have a 95% confidence level as to the number of post-restructuring hams that Larry is superior to? This must be pretty important to Larry, because he keeps proposing different ways to test it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2701. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:53:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903105328.18808.00012215@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18895

>He apparently wants everyone to build something and then not
>operate it on the air. Go figure.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Exactly,maybe that we could keep the dead Heads of the air

2702. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:53:57 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903105357.26855.00003530@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18896

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Thatsb right you were not able to Memorize anthing, you just guessed your way

Now, if he is able to do that, I will ask him to buy a lottery ticket for me.
:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2703. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:53:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939985.5332C1D9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18898

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> The opinion of Steve Robeson K4YZ seems to be......
> > I am having a tough time recalling any situation where "the
> > easy way" ever became the best way.
>
> Can you recall any situation where "the hard way" became
> the best way?

Is evolution the easy way or the hard way?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2704. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:54:41 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <RQMk7.49$xP1.86540@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 18897

From the 19th edition of The ARRL Antenna Book. Page 23-3.

The energy from a propagated wave decreases with the distance from the source.... A measurement of the strength of the wave at a distance from the transmitting antenna is its field intensity, which is synonymous with field strength......

If free space, the field intensity of the save varies inversely with the distance from the source once you are in the radiating far field of the antenna. If the field strength at 1 mile from the source is 100 millivolts per meter, it will be 50 millivolts per meter a 2 miles, and so on.....

Because of the relationship between voltage and power, the power density therefore varies with the square root of the filed intensity, or inversely with the square of the distance. If the power density at 1 mile is 4mW per square meter, then at a distance of 2 miles it will be 1 mW per square meter.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903080906.02036.00006633@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > But you still refute my
> >claim (and that of the ARRL antenna book) that the RF field reduces
> >inversely as the square of the distance.
>
> The antenna book does NOT claim than an RF field decreases inversely as
the
> square of the distance. That would be incorrect. Not having one in front
of
> me, I will wager a lobster dinner that it does not say so -- we can use
the
> 18th edition of the book as the reference.
>
> You are quite welcome to look it up before you accept the wager.
>
> >Shame on you.
>
> Why would you want to shame me for using technical terms correctly? It is
you
> who does not understand the difference between a field and power density.
In
> what way did I insult you that you feel a need to try to shame me in
public?
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare,
>
>

2705. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:57:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903105705.18808.00012217@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 18899

>It is my understanding that no one was grandfathered.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Not true my neighbor was Grandfather from Tech to General, still doesnt use HF and has no plans to, and as far as the Extra hes not interested, he says if he waits a few more years he will have all the same privilages anyway.

2706. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 14:58:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939AAA.60B7C7A2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18900

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >He apparently wants everyone to build something and then not
> >operate it on the air. Go figure.
>
> Exactly, maybe then we could keep the dead Heads off the air.

And lose VHF/UHF frequencies even faster?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2707. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:03:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B939BA7.3C454EA6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18902

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >It is my understanding that no one was grandfathered.
>
> Not true my neighbor was Grandfather from Tech to General, ...

Yep, I forgot about the older techs who had passed the
general exam and the 5wpm code test in order to meet the
tech requirements for 1951-????.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2708. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:03:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903110305.26855.00003532@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18901

From: Dick Carroll

>W1RFI wrote:

>> DON'T YOU THINK THAT IT IS A GOOD IDEA THAT HAMS LEARN THE CORRECT ANSWERS
>> INSTEAD OF LEARNING THE WRONG ONES?

> OF COURSE

Then why do you keep convincing them that the easiest way to pass the test is
to word-associate the answers without understanding?

Time for some more examples. Please explain the word-association necessary to
get the following questions right:

T6A02 @T6A12 (A)
Which symbol of Figure T6-1 represents a fixed resistor?
A. Symbol 2
B. Symbol 3
C. Symbol 4
D. Symbol 5

T6A04 @N6A10 (A)
In Figure N6-2, which symbol represents a single-pole, single-throw
switch?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

T6A06 @N6A08 (A)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a fuse?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 3
C. Symbol 5
D. Symbol 7

T6A08 @N6A09 (D)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a single-cell battery?
A. Symbol 7
B. Symbol 5
C. Symbol 1
D. Symbol 4

T6B03 @N6B04 (D)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an earth ground?
A. Symbol 2

B. Symbol 5
C. Symbol 6
D. Symbol 8

T6B04 @N6B06 (D)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an antenna?
A. Symbol 2
B. Symbol 3
C. Symbol 6
D. Symbol 7

T6B05 @N6B07 (D)
In Figure N6-3, which symbol represents an NPN transistor?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

T6B06 @T6B01 (A)
Which symbol of Figure T6-2 represents a fixed-value capacitor?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

T6B07 @T6B06 (C)
In Figure T6-2, which symbol represents a variable capacitor?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

Should I post some more?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2709. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:05:44 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903110544.26855.00003533@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 18903

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> But most VEs know that the order of the distractors is randomized by a
>> computer when the tests are generated, so there would be no
>> advantage to picking B or C in taking
an amateur exam.

>> And YOU didn't know that?

> No, and you didn't know it either. If it is so it's a more recent
>change. See, maybe all this yelling does have some effect, after all.

Yes, in between the yelling you actually learned something. I have known that for about 4 or 5 years, since the gentest program was put into place. That is why the "D" answer is occasionally "All of these answers are correct" instead of "All of the above." The "All are correct" answer/distractor could appear first.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

2710. Re: Happy Holiday Weekend To All!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:18:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903111855.12858.00007683@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18904

In article <3B928B94.4BECB77F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> After having "labored" for almost 60 hrs. this week,
>> I'll enjoy my 3-day weekend very much, indeed!
>
>That's a pretty long delivery, Larry. What did you name it?

Paycheck. Later next week, I'll re-name it Cash.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2711. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:29:39 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93a318_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18906

Larry, you lost me after "they'll have to take their chances on claiming equality to me as a ham." There are several reasons for this:

1 - You are the only one on this newsgroup who has ever had any comments to the effect that you are such a high quality ham (and I doubt I'd hear your virtues extolled on the local repeaters or on HF anywhere, or anywhere in hamdom). So, to be of the opinion that anyone is beneath you is only to lift yourself up in your own eyes

2 - Your statement of "they'll have to take their chances" implies that you believe your viciousness towards others actually has any other affect than to reap disdain upon yourself. You don't actually think that anyone feels slighted or belittled by you, do you? That would be hysterical!

3 - You and few others seem to believe that ham licensing is some kind of scoring system that measures one's smarts and value as a person in the hobby of ham radio. It is you and those few others you would have to have your contest with--because the majority of others and the rest of us just roll our eyes when you start in

4 - Your insistence that your CW skill makes you an above-average emergency communication ham is nothing more than evidence that you are not involved in any way with current emergency communication actions, nor would your "skills" be the primary need in an emergency. I lay no claims as to its being useless--but I do claim and state that CW is only ONE of many, many modes available. If I were to put an emergency response team together and I was in your local area where I've had the opportunity to have lots of exposure to you--and especially if I'd been in this newsgroup seeing any of your posts--I can assure you, Larry, that you would never even be called for service

5 - In response to your, once again, lofty self-delusional dream contest, I could care less about what the results would be, Larry, because you would be the only one sitting at the table at Dayton--well, unless your follower Dick was there. Your competition, Larry, is your own.

You are so entrenched in your bitterness about the world that you actually think you have some kind of affect on others. That is hysterically inaccurate, but you are welcome, as always, to your delusions--they are all you have.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010903020644.08639.00008675@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <3b926e5b_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

writes:
>
> >
> >"Chances"? Take their chances with what, Larry? I don't understand your
> >implication.
>
> Kim:
>
> Don't look now, but I meant that they'll have to take their chances
> on claiming equality with me as a ham. I'll be the first to admit that
> there may be a few Post Restructuring Extras that will be equal to,
> or even superior, to me in technical knowledge. However, in overall
> emergency communications capability, they will be severely lacking
> unless they have gained skill in the Morse/CW mode equivalent to
> my own. This is just a simple, practical, unavoidable fact.
>
> The Morse/CW mode has benefits and advantages which cannot be
> redacted into meaninglessness by those who claim that this mode
> can be completely replaced by "advanced digital techniques." The
> characteristics of the Morse/CW mode will always be there to be
> exploited, as long as there are radio amateurs who have the skill, and
> the proficiency at that skill, to do so. All I would have to do to prove
> my point is to ask any randomly-selected Post-R Extra to complete a
> simple, 5-minute CW QSO at 5 WPM. I'll bet you $100 that if we were
> at the Dayton Hamvention flea market, made an announcement requesting
> that any available Post-R Extras gather at our table, and then picked
> the fourth one from the left in the front row, he/she couldn't do it!
>
> Knowing full well that such a "test" will not occur, please indulge my
> curiosity by speculating on your chances of winning. I'm going to
> say that if 100 Post-R Extras showed up, we couldn't find three who
> could accomplish the QSO. I'll further speculate that a much more
> likely number is Zero. Whada'ya say?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2712. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:33:04 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93a3e4_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18907

No, Larry, it hasn't. You choose to believe that I am supposed to feel some sort of absolute dread about what you, Riley Hollingsworth, or anyone else may feel about my callsign. What you choose to forget or realize is that your "judgement," or anyone else's, of my callsign is your right--but has no bearing on my choice and decision to have it.

I can't help but wonder why every time you are backed into a corner with truthfulness, you choose to get on the callsign bandwagon once again. You are pathetic.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010903020645.08639.00008676@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <3b926f71_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >
> >I can guarantee you I could pass all the same tests you took. I can
> >absolutely guarantee you that. I can also guarantee you that you'll have
> >more knowledge in a mode that you use more often and I'll have more
> >knowledge in a mode I use more often. The great thing about ham radio is
> >that we should all be here to share, experiment, compare and better the
> >avenues we choose interest in.
>
> Kim:
>
> I'll have to take you up on that particular challenge. I can assure you
> with absolute certainty that I know more about talking into a microphone
> than you know about CW. I don't know how we'll ever put this to a
> practical test, but for now, I'll leave the challenge open in case the
> opportunity ever presents itself.
>
> BTW -- I see you haven't done anything about what Riley Hollingsworth
> pointed out about your callsign -- that with it, you were bringing the
> amateur radio service "...one step closer to extinction." Hasn't that
> suggested a course of action to you yet?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

2713. Computers networked via power lines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:34:08 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <3b93a27d.277593052@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 18905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

It would be very good if amateurs in Mannheim, Germany to check this system out and document the lack of interference! I suspect the ending statement is not correct, since I can hear computers using twisted wire networking for over a mile away!

How are they getting away with that with CE regulations, or are they not enforced for German goods sold and used in Germany???

> In Mannheim, Germany, local power company MVV Energie AG expects to
> connect 3,000 customers to its Internet service by the end of this
> year. RWE Powerline expects to connect 2,000 more before the end of
> the year in its area. MVV and RWE offer customers Internet connections
> at speeds up to 2.5M bps (bits per second). All a user has to do is
> connect a modem to a PC using a LAN or USB (Universal Serial Bus)
> cable and plug the modem into any electrical outlet in the home.
> The systems made by Main.net use
> frequencies between 1MHz and 30MHz to
> send data from the home to the local power
> substation.

> "There are no more technical problems. The
> radiation is under the allowed level, although
> radio amateurs aren't happy with us offering
> the service," said Andreas Preuss, a spokesman for RWE Powerline GmbH,
> which currently offers 400 customers in Essen, Germany, power line
> Internet access using Ascom equipment. Despite the radio operators
> fears, there have been no reports of radio interference, he said.

2714. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:39:22 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93a562_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18909

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010903020647.08639.00008680@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <3B9286C7.E739B834@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Where are all these new, bright, young, "digital
> >> revolution" hams? I'm ready, willing, and eager to communicate with
them!
> >> Where *are* they?
> >
> >They are no-code techs who are not allowed on 20m. Do you want
> >to allow them on 20m? I didn't think so.
>
> Cecil:
>
> What about all the Tech Plusses who were "grandfathered" into the
> General class under Restructuring?
>

Your ignorance is flaring up again, Larry. Tech+ hams were not
"grandfathered" into anything under Restructuring. Techs who were licensed
prior to (what is it, 1987?) were grandfathered into General Class, not all
Tech+'s.

You probably also don't know that any Tech (under the new restructuring
system) who has passed the 5wpm CW test has virtually one year to take the
General written, or they have to take the 5wpm CW test again.

>
> I guess I can't really expect to
> be hearing them on 20-meter CW, but where are they on PSK-31?
> Since August of 2000, I've only worked one Post-Restructuring
> General on PSK-31. I'm sure there's a few more out there, but
> certainly not as many as would be required to make finding them
> on-the-air a regular occurrence.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh, I forgot, every ham must operate as you do or they are not "your equal."
Thank goodness I have no comparison to the way you operate!

Kim W5TIT

2715. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:42:09 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93a605_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18911

Dwight, you forget, I was here in the middle of that debate. That is why I asked the question. There is no codetest-hating newcomer who wants to bash older hams for their knowledge and expertise. Got it now?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3B933E09.357EDDDE@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Would a codetest-hating newcomer
> > who wants to bash older hams for
> > their knowledge and expertise
> > please step, er, post forward? I
> > keep hearing about them, but I've
> > never seen one!
>
>
> You need to keep up with the debate, Kim. In spite of the recent BS
> about the written exams, when compared to the average post
> restructuring ham (who they feel will never learn code without a test
> requirement), code is the only truly unique knowledge and expertise
> they have (any post restructuring ham could develop equal knowledge
> and expertise in everything else). Therefore, when they talk about
> people bashing "their" knowledge and expertise, they're actually
> talking about their knowledge and expertise of code. So, to them, any
> comment against code is perceived as bashing their knowledge and
> expertise. Because of that, every person opposed to code testing is a
> mortal enemy who must be wiped from the face of the earth. Got it now?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2716. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:49:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903114946.06614.00007618@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18908

>And lose VHF/UHF frequencies even faster?
>

Who cares no one uses them anyway

2717. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:52:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903115222.06614.00007619@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18910

>Should I post some more?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

NO were tired of them, your beginning to sound like W2CE, what are you going to due now start Posting ARRL Crap here just like your Buddy BOB.

2718. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 15:55:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903115519.06614.00007620@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 18912

>You are the only one on this newsgroup who has ever had any comments to
>the effect that you are such a high quality ham

Well I never said I was because I knew ever one already knew I was.

2719. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:03:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120348.17422.00003499@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18913

In article <20010903065218.02036.00006614@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>The
>FISTS crowd only wants to talk to other FISTS folks (CQ FISTS), so I can't
>say
>as I have talked to more than a handful of them.
>
I don't interpret CQ FISTS that way.

I take it to mean that the sender wants to QSO anybody, and is advertising FISTS membership and philosophy (like "Accuracy Transcends Speed").

73 de Jim, N2EY

FISTS #4360
K2 #2084
BIT# 0001

2720. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:03:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120348.17422.00003498@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18914

In article <3B932754.561E1A0A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>>
>> > You mean those great love songs
>> > like "99 bottles of rum on the
>> > wall?" :-)
>>
>> Aye, that be a good one, matey. Also
>> anything by Stan Rogers, Charlie Zahm
>> or Chris Smither. ARRRR!!!
>
>
> That be no way to win the fair lady's hand, mate.

Them's be fightin' words, ye landlubber!

> Now a song like
>"Come Sail Away, by SuperTramp, will charm the bloomers off any young
>maiden in any port, don't ye know. ARR. ARR. ARRRR!!!!

Aye, that be a fair melody, me lad. Better yet, "Sail Away" by Randy Newman
will do the trick.

Or anything by Randy Newman, really.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2721. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:03:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120349.17422.00003501@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18915

In article <3B933FA9.E009AF08@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>WA8ULX wrote:
>>
>> And your right the present Exams do
>> not prove knowledge or skill
>
>
> No ham radio license exam has ever proven knowledge or skill.

Not true, Dwight.

At the very least, the code test(s) prove(d) that the person who passed them had the specific skill(s) - at least at the time the test was passed. For example, when I took the Extra code test, I copied almost the entire 5 minutes of 20 wpm code in legible block letters. Then I sent recognizable Morse on a straight key for almost a minute. If that's not proving a skill, then what is?

> All
>they are designed to prove is a basic understanding of proper
>operating practices, basic radio theory, basic electronics, and basic
>safety procedures.

I would say that the entry level tests are meant to prove those things. The higher-level tests are meant to prove a bit more. But even the highest level tests aren't meant to prove complete kowledge - and they never were.

>Beyond that, knowledge or skill comes after the
>exams, through actual operation, experimentation, and self-education.
>
Not exactly. One of the goals of the ARS is that hams' knowledge and skill levels increase beyond the test levels after the tests are passed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2722. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:03:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120349.17422.00003500@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18916

In article <20010903064723.02036.00006612@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>And this fact
>>also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of the
>>heap.
>
>But we already know that Larry is at the top of the heap.

But the "heap" must be defined.

>His telling us is
>just about the sole content of his participation here.

I'm not a Buddhist, but I think one of the most "together" people on the planet today is the Dalai Lama. (Who woulda thunk that one of the most spiritual humans of our age would bear a striking resemblance to Phil Silvers? Appearances ARE deceiving!). Does the Dalai Lama goes around proclaiming how "superior" he is? Nope. He doesn't have to. Besides, if people don't see it, his telling them won't change their minds.

It's like telling a joke - if the alleged comedian has to explain it, it's not funny.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2723. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:03:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120350.17422.00003502@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18918

In article <3B934376.60C1F348@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>>
>> Well, that is--unless someone allows
>> theirself to be a victim of another
>> person's judgement (however ridiculous
>> that judgement may be); know what I
>> mean? :)
>
>
> Kim, even the ridiculous (and illogical, as Jim says) can become
>harmful if repeated endlessly without challenge. For proof of that,
>just look at the number of ridiculous ideas that have existed
>throughout history and the harm those ideas have caused.

Yep - they call it the "Big Lie" method of propaganda. Nazism and racism are
two good examples. "Reflected power can burn up your rig" is another.

> Because of
>that, I have no desire to sit back and allow a few nasty individuals
>to promote the concept that all future hams are inferior.
>
Witness the power of a single word. I think the current tests are not as
difficult to pass as the ones I took. Part of this is due to the tests
themselves and part is due to the testing methods. Different eras, different
tests and diferent methods. Does this mean I was tested to higher standards 30+
years ago? Sure. Does it mean I'm "superior" or "better" TODAY? Of course not -
even though I can guarantee that new hams today can't pass the tests I took.

It's what happens AFTER the tests that matters.

Lessee, I know a ham who has made a Field Day site available for the local club
for several years. "Made available" doesn't tell the whole story, because this
ham did stuff like cooking, site preparation, organization, and all sorts of
support functions vital to a successful FD. How many QSOs did this ham make?
What license class does this ham hold? Who cares?

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

2724. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:03:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120351.17422.00003503@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 18917

In article <20010903064302.02036.00006610@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> They're out there stuffing their faces with microphone,of course.
>
>When I first got my General, I headed right for the microphone. Did you?
>
No.

I first got a ham license (1967) because I wanted to join the folks I heard on 75 meters running AM. Interesting discussions, beautiful signals, and the predictable nature of the locals helped me determine how well my homebrew receiver worked and whether my "improvements" made any difference.

Back then a Novice license only gave one CW privileges, and only for 2 years, nonrenewable and "nonretakeable". So I went on 80 and 40 Novice CW with my peanut whistle.

Something happened in the time I was a Novice, because once I had the higher-clas license in hand my interest was primarily CW - so much so that I did not get a 'phone rig for almost ten years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

2725. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:04:08 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120408.25897.00004754@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 18919

WHat I should have said is that I did not simply read the Q and A's and memorize the answeres. For me, I find this very hard to do. Word association I find to be very hard for myslef. That may be why I have several weeks of study on the extra axam, and more than likely several more. This is why the claim that Bruce made way back in this thread "New hams are lazy" pissed me off. If that where have true, I would have given up on the Extra exam long ago. I am not all the eager for the extra band with. My goal is to upgrade my VE status so that I can be of better service in the VE sessions in my area.

73
John KF6VYH

2726. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:05:25 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903120525.25897.00004755@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 18920

Bruce, You are such an idiot, I am not going to respond to anymore of your post. Your are not worth my time.

2727. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:07:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93abed_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18921

In the context of ham radio, Dwight, and letting someone's judgement affect how we feel about ourselves, I choose not to be affected by them. You may wish to. That is your choice. It does not mean, by the way, that I would or would not choose to respond to their comments--it simply means I don't share their opinion of me, or you, or whomever.

By the way, that a few nasty hams *could* "promote the concept that all future hams are inferior" doesn't say much for ham radio, then, does it? Because if all it takes is those few nasty hams to convince a major number of opinions, then there's not much to be said for the true goodness of ham radio. I guess what I am trying to say is that ham radio, and its operators, stands for itself. And, I can't help but feel that for anyone who is informed, the "nasty" hams are in the minority and mostly ignored.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B934376.60C1F348@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Well, that is--unless someone allows
> > theirself to be a victim of another
> > person's judgement (however ridiculous
> > that judgement may be); know what I
> > mean? :)
>
>
> Kim, even the ridiculous (and illogical, as Jim says) can become
> harmful if repeated endlessly without challenge. For proof of that,
> just look at the number of ridiculous ideas that have existed
> throughout history and the harm those ideas have caused. Because of
> that, I have no desire to sit back and allow a few nasty individuals
> to promote the concept that all future hams are inferior.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2728. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:14:24 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93ad93$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18923

I don't know that I have seen "code-bashing", Ed. I do know that I have seen people get very offended by being called names--and attack the name-caller. But, wouldn't it be pretty silly to bash a mode? For instance, I absolutely disagree with CW being used as a part of the licensing process for ham radio. Now, to someone who loves CW, feels it is a tradition in ham radio, and feels it is absolutely needed in the testing structure, I would be wrong in their eyes. What historically happens here, in this newsgroup, is that the two people who differ in opinion lose sight of debating the topic and get down in the mud with name-calling, etc. They are not bashing CW, they are bashing each other.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903070343.02036.00006618@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >So, to them, any
> >comment against code is perceived as bashing their knowledge and
> >expertise.
>
> I have seen code-bashing here. Whenever I do, I speak out just as clearly
as I
> do against some of the class-action bashing that Dick, Larry and Bruce
> repeatedly do.
>
> >Because of that, every person opposed to code testing is a
> >mortal enemy who must be wiped from the face of the earth. Got it now?
>
> Dick even called me a code hater! :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2729. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:18:16 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93ae7b$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18925

And, who would it be that is doing the judging of who is a dead Head? If "everyone" is to build something and then not get on the air, then who *is* going to be on the air?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010903105328.18808.00012215@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >He apparently wants everyone to build something and then not
> >operate it on the air. Go figure.
> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
> >
>
> Exactly,maybe that we could keep the dead Heads of the air

2730. Re: Computers networked via power lines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:19:23 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9n0ai9frb1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 18922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Tom:

You'r correct. There is little or no "balance" in that electric wiring and
it goes
everywhere. As you mentioned, it's surprising that the EU allows this since
their CISPR regs, equivalent to our Part 15, are much tougher than in
America!

Deutche amateur radio associations better get on this case fast. Using
power wires for signalling at high frequencies is bad for us radio folks.

--Peter K1PO

"Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@contesting.com> wrote in message
news:3b93a27d.277593052@news.akorn.net...
> It would be very good if amateurs in Mannheim, Germany to check this
> system out and document the lack of interference! I suspect the ending
> statement is not correct, since I can hear computers using twisted
> wire networking for over a mile away!
>
> How are they getting away with that with CE regulations, or are they
> not enforced for German goods sold and used in Germany???
>
> > In Mannheim, Germany, local power company MVV Energie AG expects to
> > connect 3,000 customers to its Internet service by the end of this
> > year. RWE Powerline expects to connect 2,000 more before the end of
> > the year in its area. MVV and RWE offer customers Internet connections
> > at speeds up to 2.5M bps (bits per second). All a user has to do is
> > connect a modem to a PC using a LAN or USB (Universal Serial Bus)
> > cable and plug the modem into any electrical outlet in the home.
> > The systems made by Main.net use
> > frequencies between 1MHz and 30MHz to
> > send data from the home to the local power
> > substation.
>
> > "There are no more technical problems. The
> > radiation is under the allowed level, although
> > radio amateurs aren't happy with us offering
> > the service," said Andreas Preuss, a spokesman for RWE Powerline GmbH,
> > which currently offers 400 customers in Essen, Germany, power line
> > Internet access using Ascom equipment. Despite the radio operators
> > fears, there have been no reports of radio interference, he said.
>
>

2731. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:24:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93AEB9.AD4D8FC9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18924

Drpfrench wrote:
> This is why the claim
> that Bruce made way back in this thread "New hams are lazy" pissed me off.

Note that Bruce is a "new" Extra.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2732. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:27:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93AF8B.89CEF9FB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18926

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >You are the only one on this newsgroup who has ever had any comments to
> >the effect that you are such a high quality ham
>
> Well I never said I was because I knew ever one already knew I was.

IMO, high quality hams, by definition, use high quality English.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2733. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:30:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B013.25FA5A69@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18927

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >And lose VHF/UHF frequencies even faster?
>
> Who cares no one uses them anyway

So not only is the sky falling but we won't even miss
it after it falls?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2734. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:37:03 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93b2e3_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 18936

I would memorize the question, then the right answer with it. During the test, I wouldn't even have to look at the graphic. I don't know if that's called word-association, but it's the method I would use to prepare for the test. Former experience shows me that even doing it that way, I get some wrong, because I don't think I have ever gotten a 100 on a ham test. But, that's what I would do.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903110305.26855.00003532@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll
>
> >W1RFI wrote:
>
> >> DON'T YOU THINK THAT IT IS A GOOD IDEA THAT HAMS LEARN THE CORRECT
ANSWERS
> >> INSTEAD OF LEARNING THE WRONG ONES?
>
> > OF COURSE
>
> Then why do you keep convincing them that the easiest way to pass the test
is
> to word-associate the answers without understanding?
>
> Time for some more examples. Please explain the word-association
necessary to
> get the following questions right:
>
> T6A02 @T6A12 (A)
> Which symbol of Figure T6-1 represents a fixed resistor?
> A. Symbol 2
> B. Symbol 3
> C. Symbol 4
> D. Symbol 5
>
> T6A04 @N6A10 (A)
> In Figure N6-2, which symbol represents a single-pole, single-throw
> switch?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>

> T6A06 @N6A08 (A)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a fuse?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 3
> C. Symbol 5
> D. Symbol 7
>
> T6A08 @N6A09 (D)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a single-cell battery?
> A. Symbol 7
> B. Symbol 5
> C. Symbol 1
> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6B03 @N6B04 (D)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an earth ground?
> A. Symbol 2
> B. Symbol 5
> C. Symbol 6
> D. Symbol 8
>
> T6B04 @N6B06 (D)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an antenna?
> A. Symbol 2
> B. Symbol 3
> C. Symbol 6
> D. Symbol 7
>
> T6B05 @N6B07 (D)
> In Figure N6-3, which symbol represents an NPN transistor?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6B06 @T6B01 (A)
> Which symbol of Figure T6-2 represents a fixed-value capacitor?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6B07 @T6B06 (C)
> In Figure T6-2, which symbol represents a variable capacitor?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>
> Should I post some more?

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

2735. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:44:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B35D.DD75C64D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18932

N2EY wrote:
> ... I can guarantee that new hams today can't pass the tests I took.

Is there not even one new ham who might be more intelligent,
knowledgeable, and skillful than you were? You are the absolute
pinnacle and human evolution has gone downhill since you were born?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2736. Re: Computers networked via power lines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:44:57 UTC
From: Frank Dinger <gm0csz.kn6wh@invertain.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <eGOk7.39554$Nb2.7580190@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 18943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

This Power Line Transmission system (PLT) will be disastrous for HF hams
especially with overhead powerlines in the area. I believe that in the UK
PLT has been dropped ,same in a number of other countries. PLT would wipe
out HF comms.
Frank gm0csz.kn6wh@ntlworld.com
==========
"Tom W8JI" wrote:
> It would be very good if amateurs in Mannheim, Germany to check this
> system out and document the lack of interference! I suspect the ending
> statement is not correct, since I can hear computers using twisted
> wire networking for over a mile away!
>
> How are they getting away with that with CE regulations, or are they
> not enforced for German goods sold and used in Germany???
>
> > In Mannheim, Germany, local power company MVV Energie AG expects to
> > connect 3,000 customers to its Internet service by the end of this
> > year. RWE Powerline expects to connect 2,000 more before the end of
> > the year in its area. MVV and RWE offer customers Internet connections
> > at speeds up to 2.5M bps (bits per second). All a user has to do is
> > connect a modem to a PC using a LAN or USB (Universal Serial Bus)
> > cable and plug the modem into any electrical outlet in the home.
> > The systems made by Main.net use
> > frequencies between 1MHz and 30MHz to
> > send data from the home to the local power
> > substation.
>
> > "There are no more technical problems. The
> > radiation is under the allowed level, although
> > radio amateurs aren't happy with us offering
> > the service," said Andreas Preuss, a spokesman for RWE Powerline GmbH,
> > which currently offers 400 customers in Essen, Germany, power line
> > Internet access using Ascom equipment. Despite the radio operators
> > fears, there have been no reports of radio interference, he said.

2737. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:47:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93ee72_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19022

OK, Dick, here's the questions that I posted in one particular post yesterday, in the Downgrading thread:

What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be measuring?

The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on CW to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how?

If there are two stations [using CW] who do not speak each other's language, then how do they communicate beyond the standard RST, Location, Name is?

If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a conversation in a universal language.

I haven't seen an answer yet.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9n00nn$9fb$2@208.207.71.171...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > I find it quite interesting that I posted some very honest questions about
> > CW testing today, not one of which was even attempted to be answered
> > thusfar.
> >
> > Cat got your tongues? Larry? W8ULX? Dick?
>
>
> You ignored all the answers when they were previously given- or they
> went right over your head. How many times should be try???

2738. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:48:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124857.12858.00007687@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18929

In article <20010903081158.02036.00006634@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Are we really to believe that a 1X2 has been asked his licence class "many
>times" on the air?

Ed:

For my first seven years as an Extra, my call sign was N3CRA. During
that time, I was asked my license class several times on HF phone.
Once when I tried to check into a phone net using that call sign, some
1x2 said "Go back to 2 meters!"

>If you really did work only one post-restructuring
>General,
>then all of those who didn't know that a 1X2 could only be held by an Extra
>class were pre-restructuring Generals or above?
>
>Or perhaps you meant that the post-restructuring General asked you the
>question many times -- yeah, that's the ticket!

I've never been asked my license class since getting my 1x2. I was
asked a few times when I was WR2F, but usually by old timers, many
of them with 1x2 call signs themselves. One guy on CW kept returning
my call as "W2RF." I had to spell out Whiskey Romeo Two Foxtrot
in CW several times, and explain the 2x1 configuration, before he
"got it," which I'm not entirely sure he did. One of the reasons I went
for a 1x2 Vanity call was that I knew it would be completely "Geezer
Compatible" and I wouldn't have to worry about that anymore!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2739. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:48:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124857.12858.00007688@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18930

In article <3B9298F3.7D1BD5A8@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book --
>
>You just don't understand the problem, Larry. Most young people
>don't own a book - all they have is Internet which you want to
>deny them.

Cecil:

Hmmm -- I see. Just exactly why would I want to deny young people
access to the internet -- and how could I do it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2740. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:48:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124858.12858.00007689@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18937

In article <3B92704E.F7272428@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:
>> >If your rear-view mirror were to fall off your car, would you buy or build
>a
>> >replacement?
>>
>> Neither. I would put it back on. Been there, done that!
>
>I can just visualize Larry tooling around in a car whose mirror
>has been run over by five 18-wheelers. Wonder if he waited until
>2am to retrieve it from the Interstate?

Cecil:

Oh. You're talking about one of the outside mirrors. I've never had
one of those fall off on me. I've had to re-glue my inside rear-view
mirror to the windshield, using one of those kits they sell at the
auto parts store. In fact, I've had to do it several times -- once on
my old Ford Taurus, and on all three of the Plymouth Voyager
mini-vans my former employer owned. I'm sure replacing an
outside mirror, especially on a car like my new Corolla with
electric-adjustable mirrors, is no longer a user-friendly proposition,
and would require the expensive intervention of the dealer. Hopefully
it would be covered by your insurance, minus the deductible.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2741. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:48:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124859.12858.00007690@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18931

In article <20010903064532.02036.00006611@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>However, in overall
>>emergency communications capability, they will be severely lacking
>>unless they have gained skill in the Morse/CW mode equivalent to
>>my own. This is just a simple, practical, unavoidable fact.
>
>What percentage of emergency communications do you believe is conducted using
>Morse code?

Ed:

If radio amateurs lose the capability to effectively employ the Morse/CW mode, that amount will always be zero -- even at times when that particular mode would be a very practical and logical choice.

>What percentage do you believe is conducted using voice?

Virtually all, except for an occasional smattering of Packet, usually in the form of APRS. I can also effectively employ those modes, and RTTY, PSK-31, and a few others.

>If one
>is going to practice emergency communications skills, it would make more
>sense
>to devote that practice to the mode that is actually used for emergency
>communications.

I'm not going to sit here and disagree with that just for the sake of doing so, Ed. However, there are certainly a lot of potential situations where the ability to fallback to Morse/CW could keep communications open when other modes fold up. I've had that experience several times in my ham career. You can deny it or ridicule it all you want, but that doesn't change the facts.

>That CW-only op like me that checks into a voice net is apt
>to mungle things pretty badly. I am too slow with phonetics.

I have the ICAO standard phonetics memorized, and can use them as comfortably and skillfully as anyone I know -- in spite of the fact that I am a non-phone op on HF. I used to be one on AF MARS during my "phone days." I could revert to that skill anytime.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2742. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:48:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124859.12858.00007691@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18933

In article <20010903064723.02036.00006612@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>And this fact
>>also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of the
>>heap.
>
>But we already know that Larry is at the top of the heap. His telling us is
>just about the sole content of his participation here.

Ed:

No, lately it's just about the sole content of *your* participation here. My status seems to have become an obsession with you in a way it never was with me! I know how it started -- I decided to wind up a few Post-R Extras who were whining about "equality" -- and you went off on a tangent about my "status." All I want to know is -- are you going to get over it, or what?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2743. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:49:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124900.12858.00007693@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18928

In article <20010903065345.02036.00006615@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Alright, then -- if Ed will agree to it, change the plan to pit any
>>randomly-selected Pre-Restructuring Extra against any randomly-
>>selected Post-Restructuring Extra in a simple test of technical
>>knowledge based on ... what?
>
>And in what way does this change measure the original premise -- that you are
>superior to all post-restructuring Extras?
>
>You are squirming away from this one, Larry. Maybe we don't have to do the
>test after all. :-)

Ed:

I'm not squirming away from anything. I'm driving away from it at top speed! I could care less about proving my "superiority" over Post-R Extras. If you and Cecil are so concerned about it, then just take my word for it, and get on with your lives. For the umpteenth time, my "status" as a ham is not something I think about on my own time. I only respond to it here in this newsgroup, because the No-coders and the Post-Restructuring hams whine about it virtually non-stop. I could care less about it, they care about nothing else. Therefore, if you are as obsessed with my "status" as they are, you'll just have to suffer, because there's nothing I can do to help you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2744. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:49:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124900.12858.00007692@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18939

In article <20010903064838.02036.00006613@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>I'll be the first to admit that
>>there may be a few Post Restructuring Extras that will be equal to,
>>or even superior, to me in technical knowledge.
>
>"May be?"
>
>Larry, I guarantee that there are post-restructuring Extras that have more
>technical knowledge than you and me put together.

I'm sure there are, Ed. Just like there are a lot of non-hams who are the same. I don't recall ever denying that fact.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2745. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:49:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124901.12858.00007694@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18934

In article <20010903065929.02036.00006617@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>Make sure you tell the whole story, Larry, and be clear that only the Tech+
>hams who passed the full General written exam were grandfathered.

Ed:

Ayup. That's right.

>>Since August of 2000, I've only worked one Post-Restructuring
>>General on PSK-31.
>
>And how do you know this, Larry? Don't tell me that you are as obsessive
>about this whole matter on the air as you are here?

Nope. He volunteered the information. I didn't ask -- never do.

>>I'm sure there's a few more out there
>
>If you want a more quantified answer, I think the Speroni site has some data.
>
>>I'm sure there's a few more out there, but
>>certainly not as many as would be required to make finding them
>>on-the-air a regular occurrence.
>
>And I'm sure that you won't find them hanging out on the FISTS calling
>frequency, either, Larry. You gotta' get around a bit more.

Ayup. Whatevah.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2746. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:49:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124901.12858.00007695@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18935

In article <3B939229.7D42F2BF@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>> But we already know that Larry is at the top of the heap. His telling us
>is
>> just about the sole content of his participation here.
>
>Larry's heap is made out of pure bull cagada.
>Reckon he's wearing boots?

Always, Cecil. I put on hip waders whenever I post to this newsgroup.
They help a lot when I'm reading your stuff.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2747. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:49:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124902.12858.00007697@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18938

In article <3B938BD4.7A74CF0A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I once "breadboarded" an antenna tuner out of a design in
>> the Antenna Book.
>
>"Once"???? Antenna tuners are one of the easiest things to build.
>What are you using for an antenna tuner now?

Cecil:

An MFJ-949E and an Icom AH-2. You wanna build me something
better?

73 de Larry, K3LT

2748. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:49:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903124902.12858.00007696@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18940

In article <3B9392E7.A1C2952F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Why don't you just grab Dr. Williamson, a no-code tech with a PhD
>> >in EE? :-)
>>
>> No, Cecil -- I would not dream of "grabbing" the good Doctor. Among
>> other reasons, that wouldn't exactly be a random selection, would it
>> now?
>
>Why does every selection have to be random except you?

I dunno, Cecil. Argue that one with Ed, since now he's whining about
me wanting to eliminate myself from the test.

Tell you what -- the whole thing is off. I will no longer participate in a
test
to prove my "superiority" over Post-R Extras. You'll just have to take
my word for it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2749. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:55:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B602.1ACB1EDB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18941

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Once when I tried to check into a phone net using that call sign, some
> 1x2 said "Go back to 2 meters!"

Serves you right to encounter another 1x2 ham just like you.

> I've never been asked my license class since getting my 1x2.

What the heck difference does it make? Lots of Extras have
2x3 calls. I debated whether to get my first call back, WN5DXP.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2750. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 16:58:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B6A3.F2944294@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18942

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >"Once"???? Antenna tuners are one of the easiest things to build.
> >What are you using for an antenna tuner now?
>
> An MFJ-949E and an Icom AH-2. You wanna build me something
> better?

Not me, but Bruce considers you to be a low life for buying
instead of building. :-) I've solved the problem by eliminating
the antenna tuner entirely from my all-HF-band dipole.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2751. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:05:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B873.6B8938A3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18946

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> However, there are certainly a lot of potential situations where
> the ability to fallback to Morse/CW could keep communications open
> when other modes fold up.

And there are certainly a lot of potential situations where the ability to use a modern digital mode could keep communications open when CW folds up. Using your own logic, you need to be prepared for such a condition. Are you prepared, Larry? (PACTOR II will get through when you can't even hear the CW signal.)

> I could revert ...

Don't look now, Larry, but you already have reverted to a cold-blooded dinosaur. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2752. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:07:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B8B6.A751AA19@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18947

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm sure there are, Ed. Just like there are a lot of non-hams who are
> the same. I don't recall ever denying that fact.

So you are not superior to all post-restructuring hams?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2753. Hollingsworth to W5TIT -- "...One step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:08:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903130823.12858.00007698@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18944

In article <3b93a3e4_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>No, Larry, it hasn't. You choose to believe that I am supposed to feel some
>sort of absolute dread about what you, Riley Hollingsworth, or anyone else
>may feel about my callsign.

Kim:

Then why, praytell, did you go to the trouble to write him to solicit what
you obviously expected would be his endorsement of your choice? You
were seeking validation from him, that's why. When you got just the
opposite, and were, in fact, quite rightly accused of taking ham radio
"...one step closer to extinction," you now respond in your usually
dismissive and defensive manner. Nothing new here.

>What you choose to forget or realize is that
>your "judgement," or anyone else's, of my callsign is your right--but has no
>bearing on my choice and decision to have it.

Obviously not -- wherein lies the problem. As Riley said, anyone with
a young child who would encounter you and your callsign on the air
will have to reconsider whether ham radio is the right activity for that
young person to get involved with. To the extent that your callsign may
turn them away, we are, indeed, "...one step closer to extinction."
Truer words were never written -- and they were written about YOU!

>I can't help but wonder why every time you are backed into a corner with
>truthfulness, you choose to get on the callsign bandwagon once again. You
>are pathetic.

No, Kim, the callsign "bandwagon" is being driven entirely by YOU --
and your stubborn refusal to get rid of a call sign which portrays ham
radio operators, and particularly female ham radio operators, in a
negative light. I'd be careful about who you're calling "pathetic" -- lest
you walk past a mirror!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2754. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:08:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010903130823.12858.00007699@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 18945

In article <3b93a562_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>Your ignorance is flaring up again, Larry. Tech+ hams were not
>"grandfathered" into anything under Restructuring. Techs who were licensed
>prior to (what is it, 1987?) were grandfathered into General Class, not all
>Tech+'s.

Kim:

Don't look now, but Tech Pluses of the Pre-R Era were indeed
"grandfathered" with respect to their 5 WPM code testing requirement.
All they had to do to get their Nickle General was pass the written
test. I know many of them who upgraded exactly that way.

>You probably also don't know that any Tech (under the new restructuring
>system) who has passed the 5wpm CW test has virtually one year to take the
>General written, or they have to take the 5wpm CW test again.

I am not aware of that, because that is not the case. The VEC's are
supposed to accept *any* evidence of that ham having passed a 5 WPM
code test at *any* time in their ham "career," even evidence such as a
copy of a callbook page showing them listed as a Novice or a Technician.
If what you're talking about is a new requirement, then no, I am not
aware of it. Can you provide a reference?

>> I guess I can't really expect to
>> be hearing them on 20-meter CW, but where are they on PSK-31?
>> Since August of 2000, I've only worked one Post-Restructuring
>> General on PSK-31. I'm sure there's a few more out there, but
>> certainly not as many as would be required to make finding them
>> on-the-air a regular occurrence.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>Oh, I forgot, every ham must operate as you do or they are not "your equal."

Well, they could at least operate the way they said they were going to
operate, in order to justify their desire to have the code test requirements
reduced and/or eliminated.

>Thank goodness I have no comparison to the way you operate!

I'm sure you don't, Kim! I'm sure you don't...

73 de Larry, K3LT

2755. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:09:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93B94C.CF85635B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18948

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Tell you what -- the whole thing is off. I will no longer participate in a
> test to prove my "superiority" over Post-R Extras. You'll just have to take
> my word for it!

Your word and two bucks will get you a decent cup of coffee.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2756. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:13:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93BA44.9AFB2093@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18949

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Hmmm -- I see. Just exactly why would I want to deny young people
> access to the internet -- and how could I do it?

I don't know, Larry. Since it's your idea to force everyone to
"open a book" and avoid the internet, you tell me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2757. Re: Hollingsworth to W5TIT -- "...One step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:18:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93BB60.1FC82FE2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 18950

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> No, Kim, the callsign "bandwagon" is being driven entirely by YOU --
> and your stubborn refusal to get rid of a call sign which portrays ham
> radio operators, and particularly female ham radio operators, in a
> negative light.

Larry, exactly what do you find negative about a small bird?
--
cheers, Cecil
```


```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

2758. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:22:24 UTC
From: ti2dll@email.com
Message-ID: <slrn9p4o33.6sj.ti2dll@obrien.no-ip.com>
Source record: 19001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <496117c58df29f6a8c214573cade698d.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>,
Hans Brakob wrote:

>paper QSLing. Today even an "easy" award like 5BWAS can be a
>significant
>expense. With a typical 50% return rate, you can expect to need to send
>500 cards to get that award. Presuming you include an SASE with each
>card, the postage cost alone is over $300.00. For a more difficult
>award like 5BDXCC (where you need to enclose IRC's or greenstamps)
>the cost of QSLing can easily exceed $1,000.00.

Sure, but the thing is you normally don't get your 5BWAS/5BDXCC in a day.
Those bigger awards take years to complete, which means that your costs are
distributed over those years, which significantly reduces their impact on
your budget.

--
//\/\ario //\/\elendez- TI2DLL
mmelendez@engineer.com

2759. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 17:59:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903135928.01914.00003175@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19002

>Subject: Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/3/01 10:35 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <a4e92ba0b3a964b4a1c118dcddcd52ab.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>Can you recall any situation where "the hard way" became
>the best way?

Boot Camp.

Conception of my children.

Spaghetti sauce.

Straight Key Night.

Others, I am sure, if I give it some more thought.

You are quite correct, however, on your suggestions vis-a-vis disabled persons using this, etc. I never meant to suggest that this was NOT a convienient or expediant method of doing things and it certainly will facilititate thier greater participation in Amateur Radio.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2760. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 18:10:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903141034.17492.00006875@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 19003

>IMO, high quality hams, by definition, use high quality English.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

Nop need to when you are dealing with Dumb Down Hams

2761. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 18:14:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903141403.17492.00006876@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 19004

>So not only is the sky falling but we won't even miss
>it after it falls?
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Of course not, what would probably make all the new Hams happy would be 11 Meters a ham Bandgive

2762. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 18:15:40 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <gNPk7.54771$Hm.490418@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 19005

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9mugil$58b$1@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> >
> Like any other skill in any other hobby, when you need it you will learn
> it.
> >
> > _
> Like all who know nothing about it, you actually believe taht you can
> learn CW fast enough to use it whenyou need it. The cry of the truly
> clueless.

If I want to try my hand a doing EME some day, which happens to be one case where it is needed, HOW FAST do I need to learn CW Dick? Same thing if I want to try my hand at working lets say 2m Aurora. Is spending a few months to learn something new in the hobby to fast or two slow for your taste? I though if I ever wanted to learn CW, and what ever time I take doing it, was for me to decide. I didn't realize I had to do it your way because it's the only way Dick.

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

2763. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 18:19:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903141953.17492.00006877@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 19006

>This is why the claim
>that Bruce made way back in this thread "New hams are lazy" pissed me off.

Why because its True and you just hate to admit it

2764. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 18:20:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903142037.17492.00006878@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 19007

>Bruce, You are such an idiot, I am not going to respond to anymore of your
>post. Your are not worth my time.
>

Thank God I cant stand Morons

2765. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 19:57:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n0nb5$bm6$0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 19008

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I would memorize the question, then the right answer with it. During the
> test, I wouldn't even have to look at the graphic. I don't know if that's
> called word-association, but it's the method I would use to prepare for the
> test. Former experience shows me that even doing it that way, I get some
> wrong, because I don't think I have ever gotten a 100 on a ham test. But,
> that's what I would do.

Naw, Ed'll never believe it, Kim.

2766. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:02:33 UTC
From: kf6vyh@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903160233.18638.00006558@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 19009

>My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book -- and
>will instead depend on so-called "Elmers" to hand-carry them through
>the process without making any effort whatsoever to research and
>find the answers using readily available information.
>

I for one have 6, 10, 15, 17, and 20 meters diaploles that I made reading the ARRL antena book without the help of an elmer. I also have a 3 element 2 meter beam and a two meter ground plane antenna I built by reading my book. I am one of the New Hams that some of the old hams are afraid of.
Of course I had a ham freind check out my soldering job on my first diaplole to make sure I did not mess it up.

THere is nothing wrong with asking questions of an elmer, one of the things that is taught in schools is that if you have a question regarding the material in your book, ask the teacher.

2767. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:03:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903160332.01372.00002804@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 19010

In article <3B939985.5332C1D9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Is evolution the easy way or the hard way?

The hard way. Most mutations are dead ends. Evolution is essentially the same thing as trying every bolt in an assortment box to find one that fits instead of measuring the hole.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2768. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:08:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B93E339.CEBD695@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19011

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Is evolution the easy way or the hard way?
>
> The hard way. Most mutations are dead ends. Evolution is essentially the same
> thing as trying every bolt in an assortment box to find one that fits instead
> of measuring the hole.

Sorta like using a 12ga shotgun for home defense, huh? Works
like a charm.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2769. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:20:20 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903162020.18670.00006328@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 19012

?

2770. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:32:53 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903163253.18670.00006329@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 19013

At least Larry got it. Lick, Lick, Lick

2771. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:38:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903163848.14594.00007956@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19014

>Of course I had a ham freind check out my soldering job on my first diaplole
>to
>make sure I did not mess it up.

Im not surprised, by the way what is a "diaplole", I think its some of that New Techno Stuff you new Genius were going to bring to Ham Radio.

2772. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:04 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <kZRk7.18264$Qt3.151920@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19015

>
> First of all, Ryan, you need to get your facts straight before you
> go around jumping on people. I have never once disputed the contents
> of the written part of the exams, so I have no idea where your crazed
> rant above is coming from. I am not "complaining about the testing
> procedures," nor am I disputing the number of "questions asked," nor
> have I said anything about "multiplexes," "fill-in-the-blank," or
whatever.

The first paragraph of that post wasn't "jumping" on you, and if you took it that way, I guess I don't know what to tell ya..... the post was meant to the group in general. If I wanted to take up whatever issue, I would have chosen "Reply To Sender" instead of "Reply To Group." It was meant to add to the thread. In other words, I would have emailed ya direct as opposed to taking up personal issues with you in the group as a whole.

The purpose and intent of the message was to finally get some constructive answers to what apparently MUST be a problem for some, the "dumbed down" tests and apparently us "dumbed down" licensee's.

>
> If you truly want "MY" opinion on the written exams, I think they
> are just fine as they are right now. The intent of those exams are to
> allow a person to "ENTER" the hobby of ham radio. In other words, they
> are "entrance" exams, not "graduation" exams offered to prove
> knowledge or skill in a particular field. If you want evidence of
> knowledge in the field of communications, then go to college and get a
> degree (the ham radio exams were not designed for that - they were
> never designed, or intended, to be equal to degree).
>

Finally, a constructive answer.... any others from the rest of the group?

Ryan

2773. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:05 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <lZRk7.18265$Qt3.151891@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19016

> I found the numbers to be a bit tough, too, at least the numbers 6-9. 1-5
came
> pretty easily, because the number of dits equals the number, but 6-9 just
took
> a bit of practice. I understood the system, but at 5 wpm, things are just
too
> fast to count backwards. What I recommend is that you spend a week of
your
> practice time ONLY on the numbers. All of a sudden, they will click and
you
> will wonder why you found them so hard. :-)

Hmmm.... one question though, I have heard that you're NOT supposed to
memorize (there goes that bad word again) the code as dits and dahs, so if I
learn it this way, is this not TRUE comprehension?

>
> As to the letters, more than likely Q, F, L, Y, etc. Maybe another week
of
> ONLY those letters that you consider to be giving you trouble will fill it
in
> for you.
>

God I hope so!!!!

> As to sending, I would say that whatever works is fine. If so, though, I
would
> guess that you really don't have the problem letters as well memorized as
you
> might like. And once you think you really have them cold, it would
probably be
> beneficial to switch back to receive only, because that is the test you
are
> going to be given.
>
I have tried software etc up until the point of using the code practice
oscillator, and just was not getting it. I started and am now trying to
"get it" with the CPO and have actually acheieved retention!!

> The other thing that worked for me was to spend every minute driving and
> sitting in traffic going over the letters on street signs in my mind, or
even
> out loud. (Just make sure that driving is the #1 priority!) If you do,
make
> sure that you us dit-daaah, to give you the real rhythm of the code.

>
> I presume you are using Farnsworth spacing in your receive practice, at a
pitch
> of about 750 Hz. Farnsworth is the norm at test sessions.
>
> Are there any possible hearing or mental-processing issues with your code
> copying? If so, asking for a different tone, or a blinking light or
mechanical
> buzzer instead of the normal 750 Hz would be appropriate. Ditto on
Farnsworth
> vs non-Farnsworth. These are generally done as accomodations for medical
> disabilities on request and if that is the case, the VE team can tell you
what
> they will require for documentation. There are more serious disability
> accomodations, but I presume from what you have said that those don't
apply.
> Even the ones I mentioned probably don't apply in your case, it does sound
to
> me like a memorization issue, and, unfortunately, that can take time. I
have
> seen people learn the code in as little as 3 days; others have really
worked at
> it for a year, and I *do* mean worked. But I think you are on the right
track
> in looking to upgrade; any changes to the code testing are literally years
> away, if at all, and there is a world of fun on HF awaiting. And, once
you
> pass, don't be surprised if you end up liking the code. Some of really
do! :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI -- 99.9% CW!
>

I have adjusted the tone for a slightly lower tone that what I beleive to be
a normal tone. The CPO does not have any indicators whatsoever for the Hz
tone it is set at. I am using a software proggie to check my retention and
using the oscillator and it seems to be getting better.

Ryan

2774. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:06 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <mZRk7.18266$Qt3.151934@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19017

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9277A2.14779F92@IEEE.org...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >Your choice, Bruce. Everyone will have to decide whether you know the
answer
> > >or not.
> >
> > Yep your right and I could care less what a Bunch of New Hams think
>
> Did it ever occur to you that ham radio, in general, doesn't care
> what a handful of grouchy old fart hams think?
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

Change that to fatalist grouchy old farts......

2775. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:07 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <nZRk7.18267$Qt3.151845@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19018

>
> > Where do you live then??? Sound like I need to get the hell out of
> > Michigan!! :)
> >
> > Ryan
>
> well...we don't give a damn about the whole state of Michigan cause we're
> from OO HI OO
>
> Go Buckeyes!!!!
>
> Bring Back Woody Hayes

Ohio??? Isn't that like a different country or something??? Just
kidding..... :)

BTW..... I could care less about Michigan at this point too....

Ryan

2776. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:08 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <oZRk7.18268$Qt3.151885@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19019

Well.... things are not like the 50's anymore, sorry to break this to you, some of us are having to work multiple jobs etc, and in my particular case, I have to work typically till 4am on saturday mornings and to drive 2 hours to a testing session with about 2 hours of sleep, it is absolutely no wonder why I have been having a hell of a time passing the code test. Damn, in that case, I am barely awake.

The original intent of the post here I believe had to do with not "dumbing" down the written tests any further or anything similar to that. Just to adjust the times of when events happen. Things are not like the 50's anymore where everyone worked from 9am-5pm, was up at the crack of dawn, and in bed by the crack of dust.

Guess the true problem with ham radio is that it apparently must only be a retiree's hobby....

Ryan

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010901110244.18783.00010333@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >In my area No. Ca. I would say about 95% of VE sessions are at 9am Sat
> >morning.
> >THe few that I have seen that are not would reqiure up two 3 hours
driving
> >and
> >are again in the mornings at 9AM. A few are in the evenings at 7pm. But
when
> >you work unitll 5 pm and are looking at a 3 hour drive. You are out of
luck
> >
>
> If you really wanted a License what difference would that make. What do
you
> want them to do send the Test to you in the mail and when your done with
it
> send it back, I should not have said that, watch some Idiot come up with a
> Proposal to do just that, drbfrench do you know how to do fill out a
Proposal?
>

2777. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:09 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <pZRk7.18269$Qt3.151873@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19020

I believe it is ONE of the MANY draws that there is available within the hobby.....

So much to do, including HF.

Ryan

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010902024633.14008.00008700@nso-fo.aol.com...
> In article <k76k7.46$9d.5236@newshog.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:
>
> >> Maybe if HF wasnt the Big Draw, we might have a better chance of saving
> >are VHF and UHF
> >
> >Who says that HF is the Big Draw?
>
> Ryan:
>
> If it isn't, why did we need to dumb-down Morse code testing requirements
> in order to "save" amateur radio?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2778. good ole days return on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:45:44 UTC
From: Hambone shoo <892canaryello@aol.com>
Message-ID: <YZRk7.5433$ln4.442809@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yup. They were all there on 14.313 Labor Day.

The Cowboy, music players, ole pottie-mouth, all
the JYZ sand fleas, all the PBM sand fleas, all the
MXL fleas. All that was missing was that mentally
lacking indian wanabee from Indiana and the NC
pig farmer what talks like a retard. Yup. Yup. Yup. Yup.

2779. Re: Hollingsworth to W5TIT -- "...One step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 20:59:13 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93f17d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19024

Larry, you are such a fool. I wrote to Riley Hollingsworth and posted his response here to prove to you and the guy (I can't even remember his name and he's not on the newsgroup any more) that there was no way either of you were going to "get the FCC to rescind my license." I couldn't care less who endorses my callsign; it's not there for that reason. I don't seek validation from anyone.

What a fool.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010903130823.12858.00007698@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <3b93a3e4_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >
> >No, Larry, it hasn't. You choose to believe that I am supposed to feel some
> >sort of absolute dread about what you, Riley Hollingsworth, or anyone else
> >may feel about my callsign.
>
> Kim:
>
> Then why, praytell, did you go to the trouble to write him to solicit what
> you obviously expected would be his endorsement of your choice? You
> were seeking validation from him, that's why. When you got just the
> opposite, and were, in fact, quite rightly accused of taking ham radio
> "...one step closer to extinction," you now respond in your usually
> dismissive and defensive manner. Nothing new here.
>
> >What you choose to forget or realize is that
> >your "judgement," or anyone else's, of my callsign is your right--but has no
> >bearing on my choice and decision to have it.
>
> Obviously not -- wherein lies the problem. As Riley said, anyone with
> a young child who would encounter you and your callsign on the air
> will have to reconsider whether ham radio is the right activity for that
> young person to get involved with. To the extent that your callsign may
> turn them away, we are, indeed, "...one step closer to extinction."
> Truer words were never written -- and they were written about YOU!
>

> >I can't help but wonder why every time you are backed into a corner with
> >truthfulness, you choose to get on the callsign bandwagon once again.
You
> >are pathetic.
>
> No, Kim, the callsign "bandwagon" is being driven entirely by YOU --
> and your stubborn refusal to get rid of a call sign which portrays ham
> radio operators, and particularly female ham radio operators, in a
> negative light. I'd be careful about who you're calling "pathetic" --
lest
> you walk past a mirror!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2780. Re: Hollingsworth to W5TIT -- "...One step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 21:06:06 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b93f31f_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19026

Oh, I forgot to add that if you, any female ham radio operators, or anyone else choose to wear some kind of grunge because of anyone out there with any particular callsign, operating practice, etc., then it is you and those who possibly have the right to feel pretty grungy.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010903130823.12858.00007698@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <3b93a3e4_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >
> > >No, Larry, it hasn't. You choose to believe that I am supposed to feel some
> > >sort of absolute dread about what you, Riley Hollingsworth, or anyone else
> > >may feel about my callsign.
>
> Kim:
>
> Then why, praytell, did you go to the trouble to write him to solicit what
> you obviously expected would be his endorsement of your choice? You
> were seeking validation from him, that's why. When you got just the
> opposite, and were, in fact, quite rightly accused of taking ham radio
> "...one step closer to extinction," you now respond in your usually
> dismissive and defensive manner. Nothing new here.
>
> >What you choose to forget or realize is that
> > >your "judgement," or anyone else's, of my callsign is your right--but has no
> > >bearing on my choice and decision to have it.
>
> Obviously not -- wherein lies the problem. As Riley said, anyone with
> a young child who would encounter you and your callsign on the air
> will have to reconsider whether ham radio is the right activity for that
> young person to get involved with. To the extent that your callsign may
> turn them away, we are, indeed, "...one step closer to extinction."
> Truer words were never written -- and they were written about YOU!
>
> >I can't help but wonder why every time you are backed into a corner with
> > >truthfulness, you choose to get on the callsign bandwagon once again. You
> > >are pathetic.

>
> No, Kim, the callsign "bandwagon" is being driven entirely by YOU --
> and your stubborn refusal to get rid of a call sign which portrays ham
> radio operators, and particularly female ham radio operators, in a
> negative light. I'd be careful about who you're calling "pathetic" --
lest
> you walk past a mirror!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2781. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 21:11:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903171131.17836.00010867@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 19025

>If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going
>to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a
>conversation in a universal language.

Well sounds like a typical Phone Contact,

2782. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 21:15:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903171554.17836.00010869@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 19023

>If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going
>>to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a
>>conversation in a universal language.
>

Forgot something, if neither one of you can speak each others Language, then
Explain how you could even attempt to get his Name, Rst, or anything else for
that matter on Phone, Psk31, or any other mode othe than CW?

2783. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:06:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903190639.01915.00006957@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19027

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

I will take a crack at these, Kim.

>What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
>with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
>measuring?

As much as all the other topics have to do with each other. What do the questions on RTTY operation have to do with all of the other topics.

There is one important distinction -- at least for now -- you must get 7/10 of the questions on the content of the sent CW message correct if you want to operate on HF. You can get 0% of the safety questions correct and still be a ham.

>The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on
> CW to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how?

Very few people see Morse code as a universal language. (Please, let's NOT start that thread up again!) It is generally better than voice at communicating across language barriers, by using the same techniques used on voice, such as both ops knowing common English ham words, both ops knowing numbers and the pronounciation of the alphabet in English, both ops knowing the phonetic alphabet and Q signals. It does have an advantage, though, that all of the pronounciation issues do not exist in Morse. Of course, any digital mode could accomplish the same thing.

The advantages of Morse code is not that it is a separate langauge. It does faciliate communication between those who share little common language. It does perform better than voice modes under noisy conditions, although it is less effective than most digital modes under the same conditions. Its beauty and usefulness is in its simplicity, lending itself to homebrew in a way that would be harder to duplicate.

Hey, does that mean that Morse code enthusists are too lazy to homebrew more complex digital equipment that would work better? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2784. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:08:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903190816.01915.00006962@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19028

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I've had to re-glue my inside rear-view
>mirror to the windshield, using one of those kits they sell at the
>auto parts store.

What!?!?!?!?! You didn't make your own glue?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2785. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:11:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903191150.01915.00006966@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19029

Thanks, Paul,

That seemed straightforward enough, with examples that pretty much match the question I posed.

I can't imagine many hams misunderstanding that text. Apparently, though, it can happen, so I will talk to the editor to see if there are any improvements.

Dick or others, can you offer any improvements to that text that would make it more clear that field strength varies inversely with distance and power density varies inversely with distance squared?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>Date: 9/3/01 10:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <RQMk7.49$xP1.86540@news.uswest.net>
>
>From the 19th edition of The ARRL Antenna Book. Page 23-3.
>
>The energy from a propagated wave decreases with the distance from the
>source.... A measurement of the strength of the wave at a distance from the
>transmitting antenna is its field intensity, which is synonymous with field
>strength......
>
>If free space, the field intensity of the save varies inversely with the
>distance from the source once you are in the radiating far field of the
>antenna. If the field strength at 1 mile from the source is 100 millivolts
>per meter, it will be 50 millivolts per meter a 2 miles, and so on.....
>
>Because of the relationship between voltage and power, the power density
>therefore varies with the square root of the filed intensity, or inversely
>with the square of the distance. If the power density at 1 mile is 4mW per
>square meter, then at a distance of 2 miles it will be 1 mW per square
>meter.
>
>
>
>"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010903080906.02036.00006633@mb-mf.aol.com...
>> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>>
>> > But you still refute my
>> >claim (and that of the ARRL antenna book) that the RF field reduces
>> >inversely as the square of the distance.
>>
>> The antenna book does NOT claim than an RF field decreases inversely as
>the
>> square of the distance. That would be incorrect. Not having one in front
>of
>> me, I will wager a lobster dinner that it does not say so -- we can use
>the
>> 18th edition of the book as the reference.
>>
>> You are quite welcome to look it up before you accept the wager.
>>
>> >Shame on you.
>>
>> Why would you want to shame me for using technical terms correctly? It is
>you
>> who does not understand the difference between a field and power density.
>In
>> what way did I insult you that you feel a need to try to shame me in
>public?
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare,
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2786. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:13:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903191333.01915.00006967@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19030

I think they deserve each other, what say?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 9/3/01 2:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010903142037.17492.00006878@mb-fi.aol.com>
>
>>Bruce, You are such an idiot, I am not going to respond to anymore of your
>>post. Your are not worth my time.
>>
>
>Thank God I cant stand Morons

2787. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:15:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903191558.01915.00006968@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19031

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> NO were tired of them, your beginning to sound like W2CE, what are you going
>to due now start Posting ARRL Crap here just like your Buddy BOB.

No, the ARRL bulletins are being posted on rec.radio.amateur.misc, which is
quite adequate and appropriate.

W2CE seems to be posting all of the newsletters/bulletins to the AOL Board,
Bruce. From what I remember of his posts, he has a wide range of opinions
about ARRL.

Can you explain what you are getting at a bit better? I am trying to put it in
the context of the discussion we have all been having and it just doesn't seem
to fit.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2788. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:22:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903192210.01915.00006970@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19032

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I would memorize the question, then the right answer with it.

Sure, I believe it. Here are some of the things that she memorized from the first few pages of the Tech question pool. In what way do you think learning these things to be wrong?

Who makes and enforces the rules and regulations of the amateur service in the US? -- The Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

What are two of the five purposes for the amateur service? -- To increase the number of trained radio operators and electronics experts, and improve international goodwill.

What is the definition of an amateur station? -- A station in the Amateur Radio service used for radiocommunications.

What is the definition of a control operator of an amateur station? -- Any licensed amateur operator who is responsible for the station's transmissions.

I could go on, but do you really think that people with enough intelligence to memorize over 1000 questions would read those questions and answers and not understand the answers?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2789. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:23:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903192348.01915.00006971@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19033

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Im not surprised, by the way what is a "diaplole", I think its some of that
>New
>Techno Stuff you new Genius were going to bring to Ham Radio.

Actually, Bruce, I am sure he copied the spelling of dipole from one of your earlier posts.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2790. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:29:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903192942.01915.00006972@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19034

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>It is my understanding that no one was grandfathered.

Incorrect, well, mostly incorrect anyway. Tech+ ops who were licensed when the Tech had to pass the full General written can be instant-upgraded to General by bringing the appropriate documentation (ie, old license) to a VE session. No additional testing is required.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2791. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:36:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903193604.01915.00006973@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19035

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>For instance, I absolutely disagree with CW being used as a part of the
>licensing process for ham radio.

Why is it so absolute? CW is still very much a part of ham radio and some form of testing should be included, IMHO. Either a test that grants traditionally CW only priveleges (lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 or 10) and/or a CW test with a reasonable number of questions that are included in an overall score, just like the questions for any other mode would work for me.

>They are not bashing CW, they are bashing each other.

Yes, I think that the bashing on both sides is being done by people who like to bash. I think of people like N2EY and W3RV who support CW testing but manage not to have to personally insult others to make their points. Frankly, if all of the CW-test proponents were like them, I may not feel that it may be time for the FCC to end it once and for all, just to end the debate and the bashing being done by both sides.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2792. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:39:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903193938.01915.00006975@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19036

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>It's like telling a joke - if the alleged comedian has to explain it, it's
>not funny.

That explains a lot about my jokes, Jim. :-)

So, this sea capitan was out on the high seas with his crew...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2793. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-03 23:42:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903194217.01915.00006977@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19037

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Tell you what -- the whole thing is off. I will no longer participate in a
>test
>to prove my "superiority" over Post-R Extras. You'll just have to take
>my word for it!

There he goes with that status thing again. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2794. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:03:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903200342.02266.00002423@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 19038

In article <3b93ae7b$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>And, who would it be that is doing the judging of who is a dead Head?

Keep on truckin', Kim!

>If
>"everyone" is to build something and then not get on the air, then who *is*
>going to be on the air?
>
Nobody!

The license is for OPERATING, not building. And I say that as a longtime homebrewer!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2795. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:03:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903200343.02266.00002424@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 19039

In article <3B93B35D.DD75C64D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> ... I can guarantee that new hams today can't pass the tests I took.
>
>Is there not even one new ham who might be more intelligent,
>knowledgeable, and skillful than you were?

There are plenty of them, not just one, who ARE more intelligent, more knowledgeable, and skillful than I was, or am today. I have no doubt of it.

But I can still guarantee that they can't pass the exams I took (and passed). Neither can you, Cecil.

>You are the absolute
>pinnacle and human evolution has gone downhill since you were born?

Aw gee, Cecil, you're gonna give me a swelled head!

No way am I the "pinnacle" of anything. (Heidi Klum - now THERE'S a pinnacle of something!) Nor do I claim to be. But I can still guarantee that new hams today (and many of the old ones) can't pass the exams I took (and passed). That includes you, and Kim, and Larry too, Cecil.

It's not a reflection on anyone's intelligence, knowledge or skill, just a simple fact.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2796. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:19:59 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903201959.26264.00004785@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19040

>There's one 2m repeater in my home county used by three or
>four hams. With HF I can talk all over the world. For me,
>there is no contest.
>--

You must be in a very remote area, we have 7 repeaters in my county. And a few dozen in the area it self. Most of them are very active.

2797. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:21:11 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903202111.26264.00004786@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19041

I am just making I guess, as that was way before my time. I would say none.

2798. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:25:17 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903202517.26264.00004788@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19042

It's not dumbing down. It is getting into the 21st. Century. The ways of the elders are not the ways of the future. Get over it.

2799. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:26:46 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B941FC9.783C75C9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Mario Melendez Esquivel wrote:

> >With a typical 50% return rate, you can expect to need to send
> >500 cards to get that award. Presuming you include an SASE with each
> >card, the postage cost alone is over $300.00. For a more difficult
> >award like 5BDXCC (where you need to enclose IRC's or greenstamps)
> >the cost of QSLing can easily exceed $1,000.00.
>
> Sure, but the thing is you normally don't get your 5BWAS/5BDXCC in a day.
> Those bigger awards take years to complete, which means that your costs are
> distributed over those years, which significantly reduces their impact on
> your budget.

:-) The Mafia figured this out a long time ago. And the IRS too.

WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

2800. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:39:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903203918.00857.00010406@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19049

>Can you explain what you are getting at a bit better? I am trying to put it
>in
>the context of the discussion we have all been having and it just doesn't
>seem
>to fit.

its just a little bit more to add to the puzzle

2801. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:42:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903204219.00857.00010407@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19044

>Here are some of the things that she memorized from the
>first few pages of the Tech question pool. In what way do you think learning
>these things to be wrong?
>
>Who makes and enforces the rules and regulations of the amateur
>service in the US? -- The Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

You mean someone would actually waste time Memorizing that, that appears to be rather obvious, maybe there afraid someone might think the ARRL was the one.

2802. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:44:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903204449.00857.00010409@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19045

>To increase the
>number of trained radio operators and electronics experts,

Electronic Experts, you have got to be kidding, some experts, its a shame the are given the Questions and Ansers to the Test before they even take it, most Experts wouldnt need that Info

2803. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:51:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903205117.00857.00010411@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19046

>What is the definition of an amateur station? -- A station in the Amateur
>Radio service used for radiocommunications.
>

Oh boy another Brain Buster

>What is the definition of a control operator of an amateur station? -- Any
>licensed amateur operator who is responsible for the station's transmissions.
>

No wonder these guys dont know anything, lets see Control isnt that someone who has control of something, boy these questions are really mind teasers.

Well out of the 5 Questions so far not even memorization is required.

2804. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:53:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903205320.00857.00010413@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19047

>I could go on, but do you really think that people with enough intelligence
>to
>memorize over 1000 questions would read those questions and answers and not
>understand the answers?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

No please dont go on, from your Examples I think you have already proved how
stupid the Tests really are.

2805. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:55:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903205533.00857.00010414@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19048

>Actually, Bruce, I am sure he copied the spelling of dipole from one of your
>earlier posts.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

You mean these new guys cant even spell it, and have to copy from others.

2806. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 00:59:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903205910.00857.00010416@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19050

>You must be in a very remote area, we have 7 repeaters in my county. And a
>few
>dozen in the area it self. Most of them are very active.
>
>

We have at least 40 or 50 in my area, and you would be lucky to find 2 or 3
that would have any traffic

2807. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 01:01:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903210120.00857.00010418@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19051

>It's not dumbing down. It is getting into the 21st. Century.

Oh I love this little phrase, it basically means were to dumb to pass a real test so dumb it down for us so we can pass the test

2808. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 02:58:28 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <3kg8pt048r84apogumnb61g1ff9ljs2ige@4ax.com>
Source record: 19052

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Why is it so absolute? CW is still very much a part of ham radio and some
form
->of testing should be included, IMHO. Either a test that grants traditionally
->CW only priveleges (lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 or 10) and/or a CW test
with
->a reasonable number of questions that are included in an overall score, just
->like the questions for any other mode would work for me.

Well, now *THERE'S* a twist on an idea that I've advocated for years. I absolutely agree with you, Ed. If you can miss all the questions on RTTY and still pass and still run RTTY, or the safety questions, or the bandedge questions, why not two or three questions on the CW portion, multiple guess, and if I don't choose to study code, there is a 1 in 4 chance that I'll get one of them by pure guess. Same as any other part of the exam.

I like it. I'll even abandon my "hell no code" stance if you can get the powers that be to buy into it.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

2809. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:10:52 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b944898$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19053

If you aren't going to be able to answer the question, you could just say
you can't answer or that you don't wish to. :)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903171131.17836.00010867@mb-md.aol.com...
> >If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I
going
> >to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be
a
> >conversation in a universal language.
>
> Well sounds like a typical Phone Contact,

2810. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:13:54 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b94494e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19054

In phone I would imagine it would be difficult, unless there are established euphemisms each could use that would allow an exchange of information. The question I asked, though, is from the perspective of CW being claimed as a universal language. If CW is a universal language, then please explain how an every day conversation (in CW) can take place between two people on CW? That is, a conversation that goes beyond standard RST stuff.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903171554.17836.00010869@mb-md.aol.com...
> >If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I
going
> >>to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to
be a
> >>conversation in a universal language.
> >
>
> Forgot something, if neither one of you can speak each others Language,
then
> Explain how you could even attempt to get his Name, Rst, or anything else
for
> that matter on Phone, Psk31, or any other mode othe than CW?
>

2811. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:19:05 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b944a85_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19056

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903193604.01915.00006973@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>
> >For instance, I absolutely disagree with CW being used as a part of the
> >licensing process for ham radio.
>
> Why is it so absolute? CW is still very much a part of ham radio and some
form
> of testing should be included, IMHO. Either a test that grants
traditionally
> CW only priveleges (lower 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20, 15 or 10) and/or a CW test
with
> a reasonable number of questions that are included in an overall score,
just
> like the questions for any other mode would work for me.
>

Well, let's rephrase the absolute. I disagree with a "mode" being singled out to use as a testing method. Get rid of using a mode (any mode) as a testing method, and ask written questions about that mode of operation--along with other modes of operation.

>
> >They are not bashing CW, they are bashing each other.
>
> Yes, I think that the bashing on both sides is being done by people who
like to
> bash. I think of people like N2EY and W3RV who support CW testing but
manage
> not to have to personally insult others to make their points. Frankly, if
all
> of the CW-test proponents were like them, I may not feel that it may be
time
> for the FCC to end it once and for all, just to end the debate and the
bashing
> being done by both sides.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

That is because people such as N2EY and W3RV are true in their love of CW. They actually *do* enjoy the mode, are zealous about it, yet don't use an objection to their way as a justification to bash others.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

2812. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:26:03 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109040324510.32172-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 19055

On 3 Sep 2001, W1RFI wrote:
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
>>Technically, one could have to wait up to 12 years after one died before a
>>call
>>could be reassigned: A licensee renews and drops dead later that day. No
>>one
>>notifies the FCC that he's dead. The call is tied up for 10 years until that
>>renewed license expires, then for the 2 year grace period.
>
>>As far as I know, the FCC does not tie in their licensing system to the SSA
>>master death index.
>
>They do not, but if you send the FCC a death certificate or obituary, they will
>vacate the call of a deceased ham. It is then generally available 2 years from
>the date the ham died.
>
>A number of vanity calls were obtained that way.

...And if the person wasn't really dead, what happens when he tries to renew?
Technically, he had a valid license that the FCC "arbitrarily" cancelled (at
least from his point of view).

2813. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:33:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1i1q$q2n$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19057

Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done. Of course it can be
done for the purposes of measurement and calculation, but the E and H
fields must absolutely both exist at their respective levels and the
seperate considerations represent only the human factor of
"consideration". Yes, you used the E field calculatin correctly in your
"test question" that I didn't answer and that you swear I got wrong. My
response, inadequately worded as it was, was an attempt to suggest to
you that you should perhaps show the relationship of the RF wavefront
level at half the distance since it gives a better picture of how the RF
signal actually dimninishes with distance, instead of considering only
the E field. (The term Power Density as used in the ARRL antenna book
seems to be virtually unused by hams who are not also engineers).

73, Dick

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Thanks, Paul,
>
> That seemed straightforward enough, with examples that pretty much match the
> question I posed.
>
> I can't imagine many hams misunderstanding that text. Apparently, though, it
> can happen, so I will talk to the editor to see if there are any improvements.
>
> Dick or others, can you offer any improvements to that text that would make it
> more clear that field strength varies inversely with distance and power density
> varies inversely with distance squared?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> >Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
> >From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
> >Date: 9/3/01 10:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <RQMk7.49$xP1.86540@news.uswest.net>
> >
> >From the 19th edition of The ARRL Antenna Book. Page 23-3.
> >
> >The energy from a propagated wave decreases with the distance from the
> >source.... A measurement of the strength of the wave at a distance from the

> >transmitting antenna is its field intensity, which is synonymous with field
> >strength......
> >
> >If free space, the field intensity of the save varies inversely with the
> >distance from the source once you are in the radiating far field of the
> >antenna. If the field strength at 1 mile from the source is 100 millivolts
> >per meter, it will be 50 millivolts per meter a 2 miles, and so on.....
> >
> >Because of the relationship between voltage and power, the power density
> >therefore varies with the square root of the filed intensity, or inversely
> >with the square of the distance. If the power density at 1 mile is 4mW per
> >square meter, then at a distance of 2 miles it will be 1 mW per square
> >meter.
> >
> >
> >
> >"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010903080906.02036.00006633@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >>
> >> > But you still refute my
> >> >claim (and that of the ARRL antenna book) that the RF field reduces
> >> >inversely as the square of the distance.
> >>
> >> The antenna book does NOT claim than an RF field decreases inversely as
> >the
> >> square of the distance. That would be incorrect. Not having one in front
> >of
> >> me, I will wager a lobster dinner that it does not say so -- we can use
> >the
> >> 18th edition of the book as the reference.
> >>
> >> You are quite welcome to look it up before you accept the wager.
> >>
> >> >Shame on you.
> >>
> >> Why would you want to shame me for using technical terms correctly? It is
> >you
> >> who does not understand the difference between a field and power density.
> >In
> >> what way did I insult you that you feel a need to try to shame me in
> >public?
> >>
> >> 73,
> >> Ed Hare,
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2814. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:36:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1i8o$qj1$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19058

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> But most VEs know that the order of the distractors is randomized by a
> >> computer when the tests are generated, so there would be no
> >> advantage to picking B or C in taking
> an amateur exam.
>
> >> And YOU didn't know that?
>
> > No, and you didn't know it either. If it is so it's a more recent
> >change. See, maybe all this yelling does have some effect, after all.
>
> Yes, in between the yelling you actually learned something.

Yeah, that isn't unusual for me. Sorry if it is for you.

> I have known that
> for about 4 or 5 years, since the gentest program was put into place.

I read QST and CQ every month and if it was reported I missed it.

That is
> why the "D" answer is occasionally "All of these answers are correct" instead
> of "All of the above." The "All are correct" answer/distractor could appear
> first.
>

As I said that is encouraging as it shows that there is an effort being
made to improve the question pools. Also I note that the structure of a
number of the questions since restructuring seems to have been changed
to require knowledg of the subject. I knew all along it could be done if
the right people wnted to. It IS encouraging.

2815. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:39:47 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903233947.26256.00004956@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19059

I have a news flash. Not all house holds in the US have computers.

2816. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:42:05 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903234205.26256.00004958@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19060

THats what happens when I type faster than my fingers can keep up with.I do lnow how to spell diapole

2817. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:43:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B944DDC.97903781@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19062

N2EY wrote:
> It's not a reflection on anyone's intelligence, knowledge or skill, just a
> simple fact.

What makes you think it's a fact?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2818. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:43:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1il8rhm0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19061

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> WHat I should have said is that I did not simply read the Q and A's and
> memorize the answeres. For me, I find this very hard to do. Word association I
> find to be very hard for myslef. That may be why I have several weeks of study
> on the extra axam, and more than likely several more. This is why the claim
> that Bruce made way back in this thread "New hams are lazy" pissed me off. If
> that where have true, I would have given up on the Extra exam long ago. I am
> not all the eager for the extra band with. My goal is to upgrade my VE status
> so that I can be of better service in the VE sessions in my area.

Well John, I wish you had said so earlier. Most of this noise is
usually innecessary. I wish you well on your plans.

73, Dick

2819. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:46:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B944E78.768115E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19063

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> >There's one 2m repeater in my home county used by three or
> >four hams. With HF I can talk all over the world. For me,
> >there is no contest.
>
> You must be in a very remote area, we have 7 repeaters in my county. And a few
> dozen in the area it self. Most of them are very active.

Actually not remote at all - within two hours of Dallas, Houston,
and Austin.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2820. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:49:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B944F4D.5CCF7638@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19064

WA8ULX wrote:
> Forgot something, if neither one of you can speak each others Language, then
> Explain how you could even attempt to get his Name, Rst, or anything else for
> that matter on Phone, Psk31, or any other mode other than CW?

What? CW abbreviations don't work on PSK31? Why?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2821. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:52:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1j65spi0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19065

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> >Like any other skill in any other hobby, when you need it you will learn
> >it.
>
> > Like all who know nothing about it, you actually believe taht you can
> >learn CW fast enough to use it whenyou need it. The cry of the truly
> >clueless.
>
> In what way did he insult you that you felt you had to defend youself by
> calling him clueless? You said earlier that you only insult people here in
> self defense, and I am trying to see the reason you had to lash out in this
> case.

Please allow me to rephrase that insult. "Leland, I really hate to
break the news to you, but should you find yourself in circumstances in
which you need to use CW to respond to an emergency, or to perhaps save
your own arse there is simply no way that you can do it anywhere near
fast enough to help. Learning CW to even the most basic level of
communciations takes some weeks at best, especially when you have
resisted such a travesty with every fiber of your being for your
lifetime. Sorry, you really need to pool your resources with a few
others of like mind and see if you can come up with sufficient funding
to buy a clue".

2822. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 03:59:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010903235900.00839.00009620@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19066

>I have a news flash. Not all house holds in the US have computers.
>

So what is that supposed to mean

2823. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 04:02:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1joq$19b$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19067

Kf6vyh wrote:
>
> >My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book -- and
> >will instead depend on so-called "Elmers" to hand-carry them through
> >the process without making any effort whatsoever to research and
> >find the answers using readily available information.
> >
>
> I for one have 6, 10, 15, 17, and 20 meters diaploles that I made reading the
> ARRL antena book without the help of an elmer. I also have a 3 element 2 meter
> beam and a two meter ground plane antenna I built by reading my book. I am one
> of the New Hams that some of the old hams are afraid of.

That's where you are 180 degrees out of phase with reality. That's the
sort of activity we wish to see in newcomers. There's too little of it
these days.

> Of course I had a ham freind check out my soldering job on my first diaplole to
> make sure I did not mess it up.

Smart move.

>
> THere is nothing wrong with asking questions of an elmer, one of the things
> that is taught in schools is that if you have a question regarding the material
> in your book, ask the teacher.

Sure. Mothing wrong with bilding it yourself, either, instead of just
whipping out the plastic. Wish it was more common.

2824. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 04:27:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1l81$4ho$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19068

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > Some don't even agree to the existence of a heap.
>
> The heap on this newsgroup stinks to high heaven so we
> know what it is made of.

I daresay it smells worse when you find yourself at the bottom of it,
hey Cecil?

2825. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 04:30:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1lcc$4ho$1@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19069

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >It's like telling a joke - if the alleged comedian has to explain it, it's
> >not funny.
>
> That explains a lot about my jokes, Jim. :-)
>
> So, this sea capitan was out on the high seas with his crew...

When he smelled something untoward, at which point he reazized that he,
Captain Bligh, was at the bottom of the heap.....

2826. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 04:34:37 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9n1lkt$1o2r$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 19070

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>
>>BTW, I'd argue that the multiple guess choices
>>being given in feet adds a useless complication to the entire issue -- do
>>hams actually still think in feet and inches rather than meters?
>
>US hams certainly do, for the most part. If someone gives me a distance in
>meters, I usually have to do some quick mental math to envision the distance.

I get the impression that the degree of comfort one has "envisioning" MKS units is inversely related to age, or at least the time since one left school. The choice of units may hence be more convenient for those writing the test questions than they are for some of those who take the test.

No matter what units you can most easily envision, however, I still agree it is a useless complication since the usage of units in the test, and in ARRL handbooks for that matter, is irrationally inconsistant. If I asked "What are the frequencies of the edges of the amateur 65 foot band" you'll probably have to convert it in the other direction. And wouldn't it be neat if the total length of a half-wave resonant dipole for the center of the 40 meter band was about 20 meters long? Or how about, "You measure a power density of 86 uW/m^2 at a location which is 1000 feet from an isotropic radiator. What is the total power being radiated?". The units used probably match your preferences for "envisioning" the two quantities, but you still have to do a useless conversion one way or the other to find an answer. Since I remember the spped of light in a vacuum is 300 Mm/s, the fact that the length of a resonant half-wavelength dipole in meters is 143/f(MHz) tells me something interesting about their relationship, while knowing 186,000 miles/sec and 468/f(MHz) is more like trivia unless you spend some time with your calculator.

The flopping back and forth between English and MKS units, with no discernable rules for which quantities should be expressed in which units, could only make sense to people who somehow just became used to doing it that way. It would probably be better to pick a consistent set of units for calculations, letting those that prefer something else for "envisioning" the result do the mental conversion for the final answer, then the current hodge-podge.

Dennis Ferguson

2827. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 05:02:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1n8h$8io$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19071

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B93B35D.DD75C64D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> ... I can guarantee that new hams today can't pass the tests I took.
> >
> >Is there not even one new ham who might be more intelligent,
> >knowledgeable, and skillful than you were?
>
> There are plenty of them, not just one, who ARE more intelligent, more
> knowledgeable, and skillful than I was, or am today. I have no doubt of it.
>
> But I can still guarantee that they can't pass the exams I took (and passed).
> Neither can you, Cecil.
>
> >You are the absolute
> >pinnacle and human evolution has gone downhill since you were born?
>
> Aw gee, Cecil, you're gonna give me a swelled head!
>
> No way am I the "pinnacle" of anything. (Heidi Klum - now THERE'S a pinnacle of
> something!) Nor do I claim to be. But I can still guarantee that new hams today
> (and many of the old ones) can't pass the exams I took (and passed). That
> includes you, and Kim, and Larry too, Cecil.
>
> It's not a reflection on anyone's intelligence, knowledge or skill, just a
> simple fact.

Yep, Jim,and they *still* don't get it!

(They think we're being elitist-but the reason is far more simple-Those
tests will never again be be available to them to take!)

2828. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 05:22:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1oeu$c1s$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19072

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> OK, Dick, here's the questions that I posted in one particular post
> yesterday, in the Downgrading thread:
>
> ----
> What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
> with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
> measuring?

In the overall scheme of things, CW is another mode of several used in
ham radio. What newcomers never realize, and the oldertimer who "just
want to get along and ignore all the CW bashing by the newcomers"
whohave been spoonfed all the NCI drivel, is that CW the nmode is the
most basic of all radiocommunications methods, requiring as a minimjum,
a single active device oscillating to constitute the transmitter, a
device which can also easily be configured to work as the oscillating
reciever. You don'te see any of this on the tests either, I note.

>
> The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on CW
> to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how?

If you had bothered to do any reading on the history of the hobby, you
would know that there is a complete body of signals that serve to convey
exact meanings regardless of the language of the sender or receiving
operator, who learns many of them and keeps a complete chart at hand at
his operating position for use of those he hasn't learned. It is indeed
a limited language, but if you believe that well trained CW operators
can't carry on meaningful communications while not knowing each other's
native language it just displays your ignornace on the subject. It has
been going on for near a hundred years.

>
> If there are two stations [using CW] who do not speak each other's language,
> then how do they communicate beyond the standard RST, Location, Name is?
>

Redux

> If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going
> to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a
> conversation in a universal language.
>

Redux redux----

> I haven't seen an answer yet.

Now you have. Again.

2829. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 05:34:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n1p5u$c1s$1@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 19073

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >However, in overall
> >emergency communications capability, they will be severely lacking
> >unless they have gained skill in the Morse/CW mode equivalent to
> >my own. This is just a simple, practical, unavoidable fact.
>
> What percentage of emergency communications do you believe is conducted using
> Morse code? What percentage do you believe is conducted using voice? If one
> is going to practice emergency communications skills, it would make more sense
> to devote that practice to the mode that is actually used for emergency
> communications.

You might as well just go ahead and say that CW is useless as an
emergency mode and get it over with. If you truly believe that, I feel
sorry for you.\
Darn, it must be tough to have to toe a "party" line.

That CW-only op like me that checks into a voice net is apt to
> mungle things pretty badly. I am too slow with phonetics.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2830. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 05:47:36 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9n1q17$56n$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 19075

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903163253.18670.00006329@mb-mo.aol.com...
> At least Larry got it. Lick, Lick, Lick

Got what? I donut follow this thread. Please talk about H. A. M. radio in
this chatroom.

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating five years as part of the problem with the Internet.

"Throw back the little ones and pan-fry the big ones." - Steely Dan

2831. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 05:49:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj49ahb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19074

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 03:26:03 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>....And if the person wasn't really dead, what happens when he tries to renew?
>Technically, he had a valid license that the FCC "arbitrarily" cancelled (at
>least from his point of view).

The request for deletion must be accompanied by a valid death
certificate, not just a letter. One more task for the estate's
administrator or the personal representative must do, just like
cancelling a driver license.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2832. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 06:23:27 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904022327.00654.00000601@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19076

Carefull, Larry may ask you what Mothing means. I know it is a typo. Of course Larry will assume it is not

.> Sure. Mothing wrong with bilding it yourself,

2833. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 08:03:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904040338.04822.00001182@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19078

In article <3B9119BE.D40545DA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I feel closer to people with whom I communicate by email
>than those with whom I communicate by snail mail. Imagine
>how impersonal a snail mail newsgroup would be.

Imagine how slow and expensive a snail mail newsgroup would be!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2834. Re: Who "needs" Electronic QSLing...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 08:03:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904040339.04822.00001184@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19080

In article <3B93E339.CEBD695@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Is evolution the easy way or the hard way?
>>
>> The hard way. Most mutations are dead ends. Evolution is essentially the
>same
>> thing as trying every bolt in an assortment box to find one that fits
>instead
>> of measuring the hole.
>
>Sorta like using a 12ga shotgun for home defense, huh?

Nope.

>Works like a charm.

Depends what it is you are defending against. A 12ga is of doubtful
effectiveness in defending a home against termites.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2835. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 08:03:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904040340.04822.00001186@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19077

In article <3B9395CF.4C010E61@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> btw, the first 24 GHz EME QSO was done back on August 18. Two stations
>running
>> under 100 W to dishes of 3 meters or smaller. Guess what mode they used.
>
>FFT? :-)
>
Nope.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2836. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 08:03:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904040340.04822.00001185@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19081

In article <20010903193938.01915.00006975@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>It's like telling a joke - if the alleged comedian has to explain it, it's
>>not funny.
>
>That explains a lot about my jokes, Jim. :-)
>
>So, this sea capitan was out on the high seas with his crew...
>
I don't get it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2837. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 08:03:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904040341.04822.00001188@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19079

In article <3B944DDC.97903781@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> It's not a reflection on anyone's intelligence, knowledge or skill, just a
>> simple fact.
>
>What makes you think it's a fact?
>
It's self-evident to any casual observer who understands basic logic, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2838. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 08:03:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904040341.04822.00001187@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19082

In article <3b94494e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>In phone I would imagine it would be difficult, unless there are established
>euphemisms each could use that would allow an exchange of information. The
>question I asked, though, is from the perspective of CW being claimed as a
>universal language.

There is no "universal language". (Except money, perhaps!)

What CW does is help bridge language barriers. The same is true of any
text-based mode, (like PSK31) of course.

A unique feature of CW is that it has certain "language like" properties when
used by skilled operators. For one thing, it's aural rather than visual. For
another, different "speakers" are readily identified by signal characteristics.

73 de Jim, N2EY

If CW is a universal language, then please explain how
>an every day conversation (in CW) can take place between two people on CW?
>That is, a conversation that goes beyond standard RST stuff.
>

2839. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:37:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904053715.01924.00007610@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19083

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> is that CW the nmode is the most basic of all radiocommunications methods,
> requiring as a minimjum, a single active device oscillating to constitute the
> transmitter

What percentage of CW QSOs do you think take place wiht a single active device oscillatoing to constitute the transmitter?

>a device which can also easily be configured to work as the oscillating
>reciever.

Of those, what percentage use the same active device easily configured to work as the oscillating receiver.

Seeing as it is so "easily configured," do I presume that we will see a W0EX-authored article on the circuit appearing in one of the ham magazines relatively soon?

>You don'te see any of this on the tests either, I note.

What question were you asked on your test about how to easily configure an oscillator transmitter to also act as a recevier?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2840. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:38:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904053853.01924.00007611@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19084

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>and the oldertimer who "just
>want to get along and ignore all the CW bashing by the newcomers"

Well, surely you can't me me because I do not ignore CW bashing. I answered
Kim's question and gave Dpfrench a hard time about his bashing of CW.

Don't confuse those who defend themselves against your attacks with CW bashing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2841. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:40:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904054037.01924.00007612@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19085

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>What? CW abbreviations don't work on PSK31? Why?

The string of randomly spaced dits that some CW ops use as an error indicator
has no counterpart on keyboard-based modes.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2842. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:44:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904054459.01924.00007614@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19086

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Well John, I wish you had said so earlier. Most of this noise is
>usually innecessary. I wish you well on your plans.

Yes, Dick, most of the noise IS unecessary. This is the first time we agreed in a long time. Perhaps there would be less of it if you didn't always assume the worst about new hams. Here is one you "knew" was lazy, but he is interested in learning and growing in ham radio.

Of course, he did add to the problem right from the getgo with a very strong and insultive approach to CW and you both made it worse with petty name calling. IMHO, the apology should be mutual, and consist of more than "you should have said so eariler."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2843. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:53:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904055317.01924.00007615@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19087

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Yes, in between the yelling you actually learned something.

> Yeah, that isn't unusual for me. Sorry if it is for you.

Why would you add the last comment, Dick? Would it be helpful if I posted the long list of things I have learned within Amateur Radio? I use many of them on almost a daily basis at my job. Nearly everything I know about RFI I learned from others.

If you meant something else by that other than a gratuitous insult, please explain.

>As I said that is encouraging as it shows that there is an effort being
>made to improve the question pools.

There is a lot of effort being made to improve the question pools, and, quite contrary to some of your allegations and other gratuitous insults, I have personally played a role in that process. Last time around, I suggested that there be questions asking what all of the formulas are. This time around, I intend to submit a series of questions that will, if accepted by the QPC, add additional questions about the formulae-based material, hopefully enough that even you will stop bellowing (remember the posts where you felt a need to use all caps -- that is internet bellowing) that everyone is memorizing the answers to the questions instead of learning simple concepts and applying 6th-grade math.

> I knew all along it could be done if
>the right people wnted to. It IS encouraging.

It has been happening all along, but you just presumed it wouldn't and insulted one of the very people working to make it happen. Of course, you base your opinions on a lot of assumptions, from what I can see.

Now, having said that it is happening, I cannot speak for the QPC and no matter what is proposed, it is ultimately the QPC, not me or ARRL, that decides the content of the test. That is what I was referring to in the post that you responded to saying that ARRL was doing nothing wrt its actions with the QPC.

>I read QST and CQ every month and if it was reported I missed it.

Oh, and we had this discussion here about a year ago and I was quite clear in a number of cases that the order of answers was randomized. You even responded to most of my posts...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2844. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:58:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904055840.01924.00007616@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19088

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yes, you used the E field calculatin correctly in your
>"test question" that I didn't answer and that you swear I got wrong.

I eventually agreed that you had not answered the question, but had asked the question that said essentially "why don't you tell them that it <the E field> varies as the inverse of the distance squared. You have been arguing with me ever since that the field strength from an antenna varies as the inverse of the distance squared.

> (The term Power Density as used in the ARRL antenna book
>seems to be virtually unused by hams who are not also engineers).

Correct. Most hams use the term "field strength" when they mean "power density." I once did and was quite appropriately criticized by those in the know when I did so at a presentation on RFI. From what I read of your post, you were making the same error.

>Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
>of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done.

Sure it can be done. In a circuit, one can separate voltage and current in a circuit -- each exist as separate entities and be changed by circuit conditions. It is as valid to discuss voltage and current as it is to discuss power.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2845. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 09:59:31 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904055931.01924.00007617@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19089

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Can you explain what you are getting at a bit better? I am trying to put it
>>in
>>the context of the discussion we have all been having and it just doesn't
>>seem to fit.

>its just a little bit more to add to the puzzle

I understand that even less.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2846. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:01:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904060119.01924.00007618@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19090

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Here are some of the things that she memorized from the
>>first few pages of the Tech question pool. In what way do you think
>learning
>>these things to be wrong?

>> Who makes and enforces the rules and regulations of the amateur
>> service in the US? -- The Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

> You mean someone would actually waste time Memorizing that, that appears to
> be rather obvious, maybe there afraid someone might think the ARRL was the
> one.

What are you saying, Bruce, that you think that ARRL is memorizing the test she took? This gets odder and odder.

I was not born knowing that the FCC enforces Amateur Radio rules, Bruce. Were you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2847. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:07:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904060712.01924.00007619@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19091

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> No please dont go on, from your Examples I think you have already proved
> how stupid the Tests really are.

Those were, of course, the first few questions from the Technician question pool -- the entry level exam for ham radio.

But if you want to take the opinion that the tests are all stupid, I can see why you would not want me to go on. So, I will.

Try:

T7A05@N7A09 (B)
In Figure N7-1, if block 1 is a transceiver and block 3 is a dummy antenna, what is block 2?
A. A terminal-node switch
B. An antenna switch
C. A telegraph key switch
D. A high-pass filter

T7A06 @N7A11 (D)
In Figure N7-1, if block 1 is a transceiver and block 2 is an antenna switch, what is block 3?
A. A terminal-node switch
B. An SWR meter
C. A telegraph key switch
D. A dummy antenna

T7A07 @N7A13 (C)
In Figure N7-2, if block 1 is a transceiver and block 3 is an antenna switch, what is block 2?
A. A terminal-node switch
B. A dipole antenna
C. An SWR meter
D. A high-pass filter

T7A08 @N7A15 (B)
In Figure N7-3, if block 1 is a transceiver and block 2 is an SWR meter, what is block 3?
A. An antenna switch
B. An antenna tuner
C. A key-click filter
D. A terminal-node controller

Dick, can you give us the word-association combination that will let people memorize the above without any understanding of the topic?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2848. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:09:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904060922.04822.00001190@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19093

In article <9n1n8h$8io$0@208.207.71.160>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3B93B35D.DD75C64D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> ... I can guarantee that new hams today can't pass the tests I took.
>> >
>> >Is there not even one new ham who might be more intelligent,
>> >knowledgeable, and skillful than you were?
>>
>> There are plenty of them, not just one, who ARE more intelligent, more
>> knowledgeable, and skillful than I was, or am today. I have no doubt of it.
>>
>> But I can still guarantee that they can't pass the exams I took (and
>passed).
>> Neither can you, Cecil.
>>
>> >You are the absolute
>> >pinnacle and human evolution has gone downhill since you were born?
>>
>> Aw gee, Cecil, you're gonna give me a swelled head!
>>
>> No way am I the "pinnacle" of anything. (Heidi Klum - now THERE'S a
>pinnacle of
>> something!) Nor do I claim to be. But I can still guarantee that new hams
>today
>> (and many of the old ones) can't pass the exams I took (and passed). That
>> includes you, and Kim, and Larry too, Cecil.
>>
>> It's not a reflection on anyone's intelligence, knowledge or skill, just a
>> simple fact.
>
>
> Yep, Jim,and they *still* don't get it!
>
>(They think we're being elitist-but the reason is far more simple-Those
>tests will never again be be available to them to take!)
>
Aww, dang it, Dick, you let the cat outa the bag! (Sort of). Maybe someday
those tests will be given again, but today they aren't being given.

They can't PASS the tests because they can't even TAKE the tests. Those tests are not available, so comparisons are just wild speculation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2849. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:09:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904060922.04822.00001189@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19094

In article <3b93ee72_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
>with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
>measuring?
>
Very little - that's why it needs its own test.

There are three main reasons for the questions on the written tests:

1) To address known problems in the ARS. For example, years ago the FCC cited a lot of hams for out-of-band operation and poor signal quality. New questions were added to the tests on these subjects.

2) To advance the "incentive licensing" concept of hams learning more. A General class ham can use every band and mode that an Extra can use, and at full power. For that matter, Techs can do all authorized band/modes above 50 MHz. So the added technical questions on the higher-class tests arefor IL reasons (why is a Tech technically qualified to operate legal limit SSB on 50.3 MHz but not 14.3 MHz?)

3) To insure that hams can be held responsible for certain knowledge (primarily regs and safety). This puts the burden of responsibility on the licensee, not the FCC. If I'm out of band, I cannot claim ignorance of FCC rules, because questions about the band edges and the need to stay inside the bands are on the current tests. If I can't pass the current tests, I'm not qualified to be on the air.

Do the current writtens GUARANTEE that a ham knows the material? No way. The FCC doesn't think they need a guarantee, though.

As for code testing, the ONLY reason it still exists in the USA (according to the FCC) is because the international treaty requires it and the FCC will not go against that treaty requirement. They've stripped code testing to the bare minimum that can reasonably be considered to meet the treaty, and they won;t do anything else until the treaty changes. FCC made that perfectly clear in the restructuring R&O. FCC simply said that they no longer see ANY reason for the code TEST other than the treaty. For now, their hands are tied.

Will the treaty provision for code testing go away in 2003 as a result of the WRC? Maybe - maybe not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2850. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:09:50 UTC
From: smoolb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904060950.04822.00001191@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 19092

In article <3B923649.25ED5A2A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>>
>> There were a lot of causes of the
>> confederacy's existence, Jim.
>>
>> Yup - almost all of them trace back
>> to disagreements over slavery.
>
>
> Well, that is your opinion and I obviously don't agree with it.

Of course.

> And
>simply too much information (books, records of public debates,
>government documents, etc) have been lost over the years for any of us
>to know the real truth.
>
I disagree. Too much data survives.

> But, to be perfectly honest, I don't think it really matters at this
>point.

Agreed.

>The concept of the racist south is strongly entrenched in this
>country's culture - almost everything the south does, or has done, is
>viewed as somehow racist.

I disagree. The poast is the past, not the present.

> And, in the end, such a concept insures a
>never ending divide between the citizens of this country. Since
>southerners cannot escape this concept (or the endless harsh judgments
>of the north), secession does indeed become an inviting option. After
>all, I'm sure you would really not mind getting rid of a bunch of
>southern racists and I'm damn tired of being viewed as racist just
>because of my birthplace.
>
That knife cuts both ways. Are you saying there's no bias against "damn
Yankees"?

> Of course, taking this full circle, perhaps that is exactly how
>southerners felt in the years leading up to 1860.
>
Because of slavery.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2851. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:11:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904061134.01924.00007620@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19095

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You might as well just go ahead and say that CW is useless as an
>emergency mode and get it over with. If you truly believe that, I feel
>sorry for you.\
>Darn, it must be tough to have to toe a "party" line.

Yes, you do seem to have a need to try to convince people that I say what I say only because I have to, eh, Bruce?

Unfortunately, you seem to conveniently ignore what I have posted here repeatedly -- that what I post is my own personal opinion. The "party line" has changed little over the past 5 years -- ARRL supports the retention of Morse code for HF access in the US. My personal opinion about Morse code and its future are my personal opinion. My working for ARRL does not mean that I do not have personal opinions nor does it end my right of free speech to express them.

If you think that you can convince people that ARRL has chosen Ed Hare to represent its secret agenda by arguing with Dick on rrap, you are welcome to give it a go. Watching you try should be amusing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2852. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:18:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904061812.01924.00007621@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19096

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> What percentage of emergency communications do you believe is
>> conducted using Morse code?

>If radio amateurs lose the capability to effectively employ the Morse/CW
>mode, that amount will always be zero -- even at times when that particular
>mode would be a very practical and logical choice.

If ensuring that Amateurs have adequate emergency communications capabilities
were your real goal, I suggest that it would be more effective to seek rules
changes that mandate that Amateurs actually maintain a station and that it be
capable of operating for 24 hours without the power grid. That would be useful
more often than Morse code is the logical choice.

The fact that you don't make such a suggestion says to me that you have another
agenda at hand.

Could it be that you are looking for affirmative action for your favorite mode?

>However, there are certainly a lot of potential situations where
>the ability to fallback to Morse/CW could keep communications open
>when other modes fold up. I've had that experience several times in my
>ham career. You can deny it or ridicule it all you want, but that doesn't
>change the facts.

Why would I want to deny it or ridicule it? It has happened to me. I just
disagree with you that the amount of time that it happens in emergency
situations warrants mandating that all hams learn Morse code.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2853. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:21:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904062113.01924.00007622@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19097

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>That explains a lot about my jokes, Jim. :-)

>>So, this sea captain was out on the high seas with his crew...

>I don't get it.

It is the start of a joke, Jim. :-)

when he spied an enemy ship. So he said to his cabin boy, "Bring me my red shirt."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2854. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:30:33 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904063033.01924.00007623@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19098

From: Jim Weir jim@rst-engr.com

>Either a test that grants traditionally CW only priveleges (lower 25 kHz of
80, 40,
> 20, 15 or 10) and/or a CW test with a reasonable number of questions that are
> included in an overall score, just like the questions for any other mode
would work
> for me.

>Well, now *THERE'S* a twist on an idea that I've advocated for years.

Yes, I have seen you do so, and Bill Sohl once wrote a QST letter to the editor on the subject. Unfortunately, he has changed his mind, from what he has said here, and no longer feels it necessary to adopt that compromise step.

>absolutely agree with you, Ed. If you can miss all the questions on RTTY and
>still pass and still run RTTY, or the safety questions, or the bandedge
>questions, why not two or three questions on the CW portion, multiple guess,
>and
>if I don't choose to study code, there is a 1 in 4 chance that I'll get one
>of
>them by pure guess. Same as any other part of the exam.

I could even live with fill-in-the-blank, and/or 1 minute of solid copy, accepting the standard of the day as the best way to test CW.

>I like it. I'll even abandon my "hell no code" stance if you can get the
>powers that be to buy into it.

It is not ME that will get the powers that be to buy into it, Jim, no more or less than you. The ultimate power on this will be the FCC and you can bet dollars to donuts that once the matter is dropped as an international treaty, the FCC will be asked to consider changes to US rules. When that happens, I hope every ham that has an opinion will file comments with the Commission. Organizational comments are fine for some things (protecting 2.4 GHz, for example), but in this type of rulemaking, it is important that the FCC hear from more than ARRL, NCI, W5YI, etc.

I think that hams should also influence the organizations that will ultimately file with the FCC. ARRL and NCI members should respectively (there are a lot of both) let their leaders know what they want to see the organization do. Ditto with major and smaller organizations, such as QCWA, FISTS, QRP ARCI and local clubs, etc. I don't believe that there is any direct way to influence the W5YI group; to my knowledge, there is no accountability to a membership in that organization.

So it is not me that will decide this matter, it is the FCC, through a process of law and individual and organizational comments.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2855. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:34:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904063415.01924.00007624@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19099

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>Get rid of using a mode (any mode) as a
>testing method, and ask written questions about that mode of
>operation--along with other modes of operation.

Morse code modes are unique in one respect -- they require the personal skill of the applicant, rather than knowledge. Deciphering phonetics in real time, too, requires that same type of skill. IMHO, Morse code testing should include an opportunity to copy sent text and a reasonable number of questions about the content. I think the number of questions should be on a par with the questions about other popular modes and that it be put on a par with other popular modes by rolling those questions into the overall score.

73,
Ed

2856. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:53:58 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b94b529_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19100

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n1oeu$c1s$0@208.207.71.160...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > OK, Dick, here's the questions that I posted in one particular post
> > yesterday, in the Downgrading thread:
> >
> > ----
> > What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to
do
> > with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
> > measuring?
>
> In the overall scheme of things, CW is another mode of several used in
> ham radio. What newcomers never realize, and the oldertimer who "just
> want to get along and ignore all the CW bashing by the newcomers"
> whohave been spoonfed all the NCI drivel, is that CW the nmode is the
> most basic of all radiocommunications methods, requiring as a minimjum,
> a single active device oscillating to constitute the transmitter, a
> device which can also easily be configured to work as the oscillating
> reciever. You don'te see any of this on the tests either, I note.
>

The "knowledge" of and "history" of CW could be included in the written
tests. I think most hams (even the new ones) in the hobby realize that CW
is a minimalist mode of operation--that is, most hams who are in any way
serious about the hobby). It should be in the tests.

>
> >
> > The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on
CW
> > to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how?
>
> If you had bothered to do any reading on the history of the hobby, you
> would know that there is a complete body of signals that serve to convey
> exact meanings regardless of the language of the sender or receiving
> operator, who learns many of them and keeps a complete chart at hand at
> his operating position for use of those he hasn't learned. It is indeed
> a limited language, but if you believe that well trained CW operators
> can't carry on meaningful communications while not knowing each other's
> native language it just displays your ignornace on the subject. It has
> been going on for near a hundred years.

>

I have never read anything about the history of the hobby and, if the "language" is that common then it should not take a read of the history of radio to discover this "complete body of signals" as you put it. Also, you say there is a chart. Surely this chart is published somewhere? I'd be very interested in having such a chart. Do you know of a source for this chart.

>
> >
> > If there are two stations [using CW] who do not speak each other's language,
> > then how do they communicate beyond the standard RST, Location, Name is?
> >
>
> Redux
>
> > If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going
> > to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a
> > conversation in a universal language.
> >
>
> Redux redux----
>
> > I haven't seen an answer yet.
>
>
> Now you have. Again.

Somewhat...

Kim W5TIT

2857. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 10:58:11 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b94b621_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19151

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n1p5u$c1s$1@208.207.71.160...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >
> > >However, in overall
> > >emergency communications capability, they will be severely lacking
> > >unless they have gained skill in the Morse/CW mode equivalent to
> > >my own. This is just a simple, practical, unavoidable fact.
> >
> > What percentage of emergency communications do you believe is conducted
using
> > Morse code? What percentage do you believe is conducted using voice?
If one
> > is going to practice emergency communications skills, it would make more
sense
> > to devote that practice to the mode that is actually used for emergency
> > communications.
>
> You might as well just go ahead and say that CW is useless as an
> emergency mode and get it over with. If you truly believe that, I feel
> sorry for you.\
> Darn, it must be tough to have to toe a "party" line.
>
>
> That CW-only op like me that checks into a voice net is apt to
> > mungle things pretty badly. I am too slow with phonetics.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI

Dick, for goodness sake, CW is not useless as an emergency mode--it is not
useless for any reason it is used. However, the "common" mode of choice in
most emergencies is voice, with maybe some form of digital being next, CW
probably being last. If "everyone" is walking in one direction, why would
you choose to walk in the other? I can just see a ham sitting down and
sending CW over the air to relay a message from the Fire Chief. The Fire
Chief, I can nearly guarantee you, doesn't know CW--and needs some sort of
self-assurance that his/her message has been sent successfully.

C'mon.

Kim W5TIT

2858. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 11:02:55 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b94b73d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19152

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010904040341.04822.00001187@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <3b94494e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >In phone I would imagine it would be difficult, unless there are
established
> >euphemisms each could use that would allow an exchange of information.
The
> >question I asked, though, is from the perspective of CW being claimed as
a
> >universal language.
>
> There is no "universal language". (Except money, perhaps!)
>
> What CW does is help bridge language barriers. The same is true of any
> text-based mode, (like PSK31) of course.
>
> A unique feature of CW is that it has certain "language like" properties
when
> used by skilled operators. For one thing, it's aural rather than visual.
For
> another, different "speakers" are readily identified by signal
characteristics.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I understand the feeling of the "music of the language" Jim. But, let's say
I don't know the "language" of a foreign station. I hear this person's
signals now and again and, yep, I sure can tell that it's got to be that
same station I hear because I can distinguish the fist. What "language"
like properties is this person going to use that will make me understand
that what he is sending--other than something like CQ, etc.?

Kim W5TIT

2859. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 11:09:56 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b94b8e2_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19153

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010904060922.04822.00001189@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <3b93ee72_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
> >with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
> >measuring?
> >
> Very little - that's why it needs its own test.
>
> There are three main reasons for the questions on the written tests:
>
> 1) To address known problems in the ARS. For example, years ago the FCC
cited a
> lot of hams for out-of-band operation and poor signal quality. New
questions
> were added to the tests on these subjects.
>
> 2) To advance the "incentive licensing" concept of hams learning more. A
> General class ham can use every band and mode that an Extra can use, and
at
> full power. For that matter, Techs can do all authorized band/modes above
50
> MHz. So the added technical questions on the higher-class tests arefor IL
> reasons (why is a Tech technically qualified to operate legal limit SSB on
50.3
> MHz but not 14.3 MHz?)
>
> 3) To insure that hams can be held responsible for certain knowledge
(primarily
> regs and safety). This puts the burden of responsibility on the licensee,
not
> the FCC. If I'm out of band, I cannot claim ignorance of FCC rules,
because
> questions about the band edges and the need to stay inside the bands are
on the
> current tests. If I can't pass the current tests, I'm not qualified to be
on
> the air.
>
> Do the current writtens GUARANTEE that a ham knows the material? No way.
The
> FCC doesn't think they need a guarantee, though.

>

I understand the implication of the written tests.

>
> As for code testing, the ONLY reason it still exists in the USA (according
to
> the FCC) is because the international treaty requires it and the FCC will
not
> go against that treaty requirement. They've stripped code testing to the
bare
> minimum that can reasonably be considered to meet the treaty, and they
won;t do
> anything else until the treaty changes. FCC made that perfectly clear in
the
> restructuring R&O. FCC simply said that they no longer see ANY reason for
the
> code TEST other than the treaty. For now, their hands are tied.
>

Then, it must be your opinion that the CW mode test does not measure anything other than CW skill? I think like you, that the only reason the CW mode test was ever there was to comply with international treaty agreements, and to "follow along" with the concept of having trained operators who could meet the same standards as those they may encounter from a government entity, such as the Coast Guard. CW is no longer emphasized, so the government no longer requires radio operators with advanced license privileges to have such a focus.

> Will the treaty provision for code testing go away in 2003 as a result of
the
> WRC? Maybe - maybe not.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Goodness, I hope not. The main reason why is that the misery lovers will then love the anger and contempt they feel as they blame the entire new class of hams for the destruction of ham radio. It's bad enough as it is.

Kim W5TIT

2860. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 11:12:03 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b94b961$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19154

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010904053853.01924.00007611@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >and the oldertimer who "just
> >want to get along and ignore all the CW bashing by the newcomers"
>
> Well, surely you can't me me because I do not ignore CW bashing. I
answered
> Kim's question and gave Dpfrench a hard time about his bashing of CW.
>
> Don't confuse those who defend themselves against your attacks with CW
bashing.
>
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

ABSOLUTELY!!!!! Here, here! That is exactly it, Ed!

Kim W5TIT

2861. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 11:48:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94BF89.482A4DC1@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19163

SMOOLB wrote:
>
> I disagree. Too much data survives.

Not from the south.

> That knife cuts both ways. Are you
> saying there's no bias against "damn
> Yankees"?

Not at all to the extent that it is the other way around. Let me ask you this; if you live in a northern town, how many southerners live in your town? This town (Myrtle Beach) is filled with people who have moved here from northern states. They have no problems finding employment, an apartment to rent, invitations to social events, friendship, or whatever. And you can see almost the same thing in most any southern town. Can you honestly say the same for the southerner who moves to your town?

Many thousands of northerners come south for vacation during the winter each year (we even have a name for them - "snowbirds"). How many southerners go north to vacation (to ski, hunt, fish, camp, or whatever)?

You can claim what you want, but the facts speak for themselves. Southerners don't go north (to live, to vacation, or for any other reason) because they know they're not welcomed. Even the ones who have never been have heard from those who have.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2862. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:15:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94C5E5.37353DF5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19165

N2EY wrote:
>
> Them's be fightin' words, ye landlubber!

Landlubber? Me lad, while me boat may not be impressive (a 22'
aluminum utility), it is king's barge compared to that old rotting
barnacle bait you call a boat. Even a tired old broken down pelican
would fly right past that old scow to find a more respectable place to land.

> Aye, that be a fair melody, me lad.
> Better yet, "Sail Away" by Randy
> Newman will do the trick.

Okay, mate, put away that sword and have some rum - I can agree with that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2863. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:15:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904081554.21541.00006323@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19155

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>> If you had bothered to do any reading on the history of the hobby, you
>> would know that there is a complete body of signals that serve to convey
>> exact meanings regardless of the language of the sender or receiving
>> operator, who learns many of them and keeps a complete chart at hand at
>> his operating position for use of those he hasn't learned. It is indeed
>> a limited language, but if you believe that well trained CW operators
>> can't carry on meaningful communications while not knowing each other's
>> native language it just displays your ignornace on the subject. It has
>> been going on for near a hundred years.

>I have never read anything about the history of the hobby and, if the
>"language" is that common then it should not take a read of the history of
>radio to discover this "complete body of signals" as you put it. Also, you
>say there is a chart. Surely this chart is published somewhere? I'd be
>very interested in having such a chart. Do you know of a source for this
>chart.

He is talking about the list of Q signals, Kim. They are used on voice and on
digital modes, too. There is no list unique to CW.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2864. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:17:43 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904081743.21541.00006324@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19156

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Sure. Mothing wrong with bilding it yourself, either, instead of just
>whipping out the plastic. Wish it was more common.

I wonder if some of the hams here could answer the following questions:

1. Have you built any of your antennas?

2. Have you built all of your antennas?

My answer is yes to #1 and no to #2.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2865. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:18:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904081848.21541.00006325@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19157

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>I have a news flash. Not all house holds in the US have computers.

That is why they still print books.

Actually, I believe that an ARRL survey showed that about 90% of ARRL members have a computer or access to one.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2866. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:19:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904081926.21541.00006326@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19158

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>I have a news flash. Not all house holds in the US have computers.

> So what is that supposed to mean

I think it means that there is not a computer in every house.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2867. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:21:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904082104.21541.00006327@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19159

From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)

>THats what happens when I type faster than my fingers can keep up with.I do
>lnow how to spell diapole

I don't know how to break this to you, Drp, but the correct spelling is
"dipole."

Did you intend to put a smiley at the end of your post? I note there is no
punctuation at all at the end of your sentence, so you may have hit the send
button before you intended to and left of the smiley.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2868. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:21:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904082155.21541.00006328@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19160

From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>...And if the person wasn't really dead, what happens when he tries to renew?

The FCC would be very very mad at the person who forged the death certificate
or obituary to obtain the call.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2869. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:27:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904082730.21541.00006329@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19161

From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>If I want to try my hand a doing EME some day, which happens to be one =
>case where it is needed, HOW FAST do I need to learn CW Dick?

Zero words per minute would do it. A VHF-only tech can operate using Morse encoding. A recent 2X QRP moonbounce QSO used QRSS (very slow Morse) and long-term integration to complete the QSO. The operators never touched a key or heard the signal, which was probably buried about 25 to 35 dB in the noise level in a 500 Hz bandwidth.

Even at that, Morse encoding is NOT the best encoding for such work. I think that one of the multitone modes with a real slow data rate would probably do much better.

Might be fun trying a spread-spectrum moonbounce contact. I have a DSP-10 on order, and can't wait to start playing with it.

>if I want to try my hand at working lets say 2m Aurora.

This may be one mode where OOK CW is the ideal mode. I don't think that digital signals could stand the severe multipath and sudden phase shifts.

Probably the best reason to learn Morse code is that you can construct single-device oscillators in a few hours and put them on the air. That is a lot easier than constucting digital radio systems.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2870. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:30:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904083030.21541.00006330@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 19162

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Please allow me to rephrase that insult.

>. . . Sorry, you really need to pool your resources with a few
>others of like mind and see if you can come up with sufficient funding
>to buy a clue".

At least you admitted it was still an insult. In consideration of your post earlier that you only insult others in self defense, again I ask:

In what way did he insult you that you felt you had to defend youself by calling him clueless ttwice for the same offense? You said earlier that you only insult people here in self defense, and I am trying to see the reason you had to lash out in this case.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2871. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:43:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94CC4A.23FF99F5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19166

W1RFI wrote:

> From: Dwight Stewart
> >
> > (snip)
>
> I have seen code-bashing here. Whenever
> I do, I speak out just as clearly as I
> do against some of the class-action
> bashing that Dick, Larry and Bruce
> repeatedly do.

I've seen it occasionally also. But it is usually posted by someone outside the normal circle of people who post here, often to troll all hams (not just the code crowd). I've never noticed the regulars doing that (other than to perhaps directly irritate Larry).

> > Because of that, every person opposed to
> > code testing is a mortal enemy who must
> > be wiped from the face of the earth. Got
> > it now?
>
> Dick even called me a code hater! :-)

Well, join the crowd. There are few of us here who have not been called that, or worse.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2872. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:49:30 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <u54l7.18308$Qt3.175119@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19164

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903080545.02036.00006632@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Paul Friedman" paulfriedman@uswest.net
>
> >BTW, I'd argue that the multiple guess choices
> >being given in feet adds a useless complication to the entire issue -- do
> >hams actually still think in feet and inches rather than meters?
>
> US hams certainly do, for the most part. If someone gives me a distance in
> meters, I usually have to do some quick mental math to envision the
distance.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Right..... thats why I call it the 6ft band! (roughly) (2 meters) Or the
18ft band (6meters) and so on! :) I refuse to conform to the metric
system!!!! What is next?? Metric time?

Ryan

2873. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 12:52:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94CE60.8A4E1B81@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19167

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote
> >
> > You need to keep up with the debate,
> > Kim. In spite of the recent BS about
> > the written exams, when compared to
> > the average post restructuring ham
> > (who they feel will never learn code
> > without a test requirement), code is
> > the only truly unique knowledge and
> > expertise they have (any post
> > restructuring ham could develop equal
> > knowledge and expertise in everything
> > else). Therefore, when they talk about
> > people bashing "their" knowledge and
> > expertise, they're actually talking
> > about their knowledge and expertise of
> > code. So, to them, any comment against
> > code is perceived as bashing their
> > knowledge and expertise. Because of
> > that, every person opposed to code
> > testing is a mortal enemy who must be
> > wiped from the face of the earth. Got
> > it now?
>
> Dwight, you forget, I was here in the
> middle of that debate. That is why I asked
> the question. There is no codetest-hating
> newcomer who wants to bash older hams for
> their knowledge and expertise. Got it now?

Kim, you missed the humor of my message. I thought the next to last,
highly exaggerated, sentence would make the humorous intent obvious.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2874. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 13:07:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94D208.6F33D2FA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19168

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> The first paragraph of that post
> wasn't "jumping" on you, and if
> you took it that way, I guess I
> don't know what to tell ya.....
> the post was meant to the group
> in general. If I wanted to take
> up whatever issue, I would have
> chosen "Reply To Sender" instead
> of "Reply To Group." It was meant
> to add to the thread. In other
> words, I would have emailed ya
> direct as opposed to taking up
> personal issues with you in the
> group as a whole.

Sorry, I misunderstood your intent, Ryan. By posting a reply to my message in the group, it suggested a reply directed mainly at me. In the future, you may want to specify that you're talking to the group as a whole, rather than just the person who wrote the message you replied to (and never send private email in reply to a public newsgroup discussion unless requested).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2875. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 13:10:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94D2AC.C0AA05A2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19169

W1RFI wrote:
>
> According to Bruce's posts, actually
> operating is bad.

If that is the case, he's not the only one who thinks that. I've
seen others with the same idea.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2876. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 13:43:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94DA4C.1FE5A8D0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19171

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> > No ham radio license exam has ever
> > proven knowledge or skill.
>
> Not true, Dwight.
>
> At the very least, the code test(s)
> prove(d) that (snip)

Sorry, I was talking about the written tests, Jim (which should have
been obvious when I went into what those tests covered in the next sentence).

> > All they are designed to prove is a
> > basic understanding of proper operating
> > practices, basic radio theory, basic
> > electronics, and basic safety procedures.
>
> I would say that the entry level tests are
> meant to prove those things. The higher-level
> tests are meant to prove a bit more. (snip)

Not much more. Even the questions on the higher-level tests are
somewhat basic compared to skills needed for a commercial, military,
or industrial, career using those skills. For example, a career in
electronics would obviously require more knowledge than is required by
the questions relating to electronics on those exams.

> > Beyond that, knowledge or skill comes
> > after the exams, through actual
> > operation, experimentation, and self-
> > education.
>
> Not exactly. One of the goals of the ARS
> is that hams' knowledge and skill levels
> increase beyond the test levels after
> the tests are passed.

Isn't that basically what I said? The only point we differ is the
concept of a goal versus a requirement. Considering the exams don't
really directly test the skills, I would say that learning after the
exam is more of a requirement than just a goal. For example, if you
are going to do anything with electronics in the ARS, then you will be
required to learn more than just what was tested on the exam (the exam

doesn't require a person to even pick up a resister, for example). The same is true for almost anything else on those exams - if you actually want to actually do something (not just answer test questions), you will be required to learn after the exams.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2877. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 13:52:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94DC5D.B86AC4C6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19173

W1RFI wrote:
>
> (snip) Instead, Larry was able to continue
> with his belief that ham radio was a lot
> of fun and that it was populated with
> decent, helpful people. And, with a few
> exceptions, it is.

And you're right - outside of this newsgroup, with few exceptions,
ham radio is thickly populated with decent, helpful people. Actually,
with a few exceptions, the same can be said for this newsgroup.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2878. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 14:02:14 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94DEBA.B510554A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19175

N2EY wrote:
>
> (snip) even though I can guarantee
> that new hams today can't pass the
> tests I took. (snip)

Since those tests are no longer available, that is obviously a play on words designed to give the false impression that you know more than today's hams. Make those tests available again, and I'm fairly sure most hams today could pass them.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2879. Re: Computers networked via power lines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 14:17:17 UTC
From: magne@clustra.com
Message-ID: <m3n14b6nwy.fsf@clustra.com>
Source record: 19170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com> writes:

> Tom:
>
> You'r correct. There is little or no "balance" in that electric wiring and
> it goes
> everywhere. As you mentioned, it's surprising that the EU allows this since
> their CISPR regs, equivalent to our Part 15, are much tougher than in
> America!
>
> Deutche amateur radio associations better get on this case fast. Using
> power wires for signalling at high frequencies is bad for us radio folks.

The Norwegian FCC-equivalent (www.npt.no) made a series of measurements
early this year, and concluded (not surprisingly) that the unwanted
emissions were far higher than allowed.

The conclusion was that the operators will have to reduce the level of
emissions by 30-35dB (!). If this is not possible, operation of these
kinds of networks will be prohibited.

The news letter (Norwegian version, only):
http://www.npt.no/norsk/Nyheter/Elforsyningsnett.html

--Magne / la1bfa

2880. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 14:34:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94E646.35282752@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19178

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> In the context of ham radio, Dwight,
> and letting someone's judgement affect
> how we feel about ourselves, I
> choose not to be affected by them. You
> may wish to. That is your choice. It
> does not mean, by the way, that I would
> or would not choose to respond to their
> comments--it simply means I don't share
> their opinion of me, or you, or whomever.

It's not a matter of what I think, Kim. I can obviously control that. It is a matter of what others think (others that may not have the knowledge or experience with ham radio that you and I have). And I've seen these negative attitudes slowly drifting outside the ham radio community (into other forums where the people involved often know very little about ham radio). If we are going to prevent that, then we need to end those attitudes here (within the ham radio community), before those attitudes do become an issue elsewhere.

> By the way, that a few nasty hams *could*
> "promote the concept that all future
> hams are inferior" doesn't say much for
> ham radio, then, does it? (snip)

Kim, since I know you have an interest in emergency communications, let me give you an example relating to that. Several months ago, I watched an older ham from a local club get up in front of a meeting of local civil defense officials and talk for almost 30 minutes about the expanded use of hams during natural disasters. Half way through that presentation, he actually advocated the sole use of General class license holders and above because Technicians simply didn't really know enough about radio to be useful. He went on to claim that Technicians didn't really have a firm commitment to ham radio and therefore would not be reliable in those situations. Of course, what he didn't realize is that the current director, a good friend of mine, was a Technician at the time. And he also didn't realize that he had been invited to speak at my urging (I was the one who was advocating more involvement by local hams). Again, this is not just about the thinking within the ham radio community - this attitude is slowly drifting outside ham radio.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2881. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 14:39:59 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904103959.18769.00006570@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 19172

Well put LOL. As I do not respone to Bruce thanks .

2882. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 14:41:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B94E7D2.A42F877A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19183

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >Memorization is how human beings learn.
>
> With great effort, one could probably
> memorize a large set of information
> without understanding.

I don't see how a person can remember anything without learning
something about it - even if it is just where to find that information
again when it is needed for use.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2883. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 15:05:12 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <l46l7.7157$Uf1.547859@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19174

Paul Friedman wrote..

> Another, but related issue, is the degree of knowledge the beginning ham
> should have. Is it important for the beginning ham to know the
> "Approximately how long is the driven element of a Yagi antenna for 14.0
> MHz?" or is it important that the beginning ham have enough knowledge to be
> able to pick it out from a list of possible answers (thereby demonstrating a
> knowledge of the principle). BTW, I'd argue that the multiple guess choices
> being given in feet adds a useless complication to the entire issue -- do
> hams actually still think in feet and inches rather than meters?

It's simpler in meters until it's time to order the aluminum for
the driven element. Then it absolutely, positively has to be in
feet and inches!

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2884. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 15:25:21 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B94F261.4940AAC6@iastate.edu>
Source record: 19176

WA8ULX wrote:

> >I could go on, but do you really think that people with enough intelligence
> >to
> >memorize over 1000 questions would read those questions and answers and not
> >understand the answers?
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
> No please dont go on, from your Examples I think you have already proved how
> stupid the Tests really are.

OK, we've danced around this long enough. Why don't you provide us with examples of questions *you* think should be on the exams.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email to reply

2885. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 15:48:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904114850.14635.00007604@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19177

>OK, we've danced around this long enough. Why don't you provide us with
>examples
>of questions *you* think should be on the exams.
>
>Regards,
>Jonathan Williams, N0YM
>Remove "x's" from

Sure no Problem, how about, Name, Adresses, and what would you like your Handle to be. This info would provide at least some Value, Present testing sure doesnt

2886. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 15:49:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904114928.14635.00007605@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19179

>Well put LOL. As I do not respone to Bruce thanks .

You just did you DUMMY

2887. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 15:56:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904115613.14635.00007606@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19180

>. Make those tests available again, and I'm fairly sure
>most hams today could pass them.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Im sure they would, because the Answers would also be Provided. Since they are providing the Q&As ahead of time, why not just let them take any Material they wish with them to the testing site. Or better yet just send them the Test and when they have it filled in just send it back. Or even better than that just let them go to a WEB site from there home and take the Test on line. But set a time limit on how long they have to finish the Test once they start, maybe a Week or Two.

2888. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 15:59:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904115913.14635.00007607@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19181

> If we are going to prevent that,
>then we need to end those attitudes here (within the ham radio
>community), before those attitudes do become an issue elsewhere.
>

You have to be Kidding right

2889. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 16:01:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904120150.14635.00007609@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19182

> I
>watched an older ham from a local club get up in front of a meeting of
>local civil defense officials and talk for almost 30 minutes about the
>expanded use of hams during natural disasters. Half way through that
>presentation, he actually advocated the sole use of General class
>license holders and above because Technicians simply didn't really
>know enough about radio to be useful. He went on to claim that
>Technicians didn't really have a firm commitment to ham radio and
>therefore would not be reliable in those situations. Of course, what
>he didn't realize is that the current director, a good friend of mine,
>was a Technician at the time. And he also didn't realize that he had
>been invited to speak at my urging (I was the one who was advocating
>more involvement by local hams). Again, this is not just about the
>thinking within the ham radio community - this attitude is slowly
>drifting outside ham radio.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Whats the Propblem, it sounds like the Older Ham has a Grasp on the Real World of Ham Radio

2890. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 16:27:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3i7l7.11144$KV3.903121@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19184

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:u54l7.18308$Qt3.175119@monger.newsread.com...
>

> >
> Right..... thats why I call it the 6ft band! (roughly) (2 meters) Or the
> 18ft band (6meters) and so on! :) I refuse to conform to the metric
> system!!!! What is next?? Metric time?
>
>
> Ryan

You are about 200 years late for metric time. Refuse all you want to use metric measurements. The problem, of course, is that there is no English equivalent to a Watt, Ampere, Volt, Ohm, Joule, Coulomb, etc.

The metric system is simply arbitrary where the English units are at least people-sized so it is like being lost in a house built for 13-foot people -- nothing is familiar. But you do it anyway and obtain your revenge by driving the rest of the world nuts with keys and clicks.

And the English system is Force-length-time where MKS is mass-length-time which can cause some interesting problems in Engineering.

In the long run of things, it is no harder than the discrepency between the Imperial Gallon and the American gallon aka the British Wine Gallon back in the days of travelling to Canada. King Charles couldn't get a tax increase so he made the gallon smaller. As we were a nation of rumrunners and bootleggers, guess which became standard.

Ultimately it is like code tests: until you get the testosterone out of the problem, you ain't gonna pass.

Dick, NBK

2891. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:36:07 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <324aptkgjnssg6cgsmb2kmiv67svcvkisi@4ax.com>
Source record: 19185

On 4 Sep 2001 03:52:36 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>"Leland, I really hate to
>break the news to you, but should you find yourself in circumstances in
>which you need to use CW to respond to an emergency,

The CW guys love to bring up how useful CW is in an emergency.

How much REAL emergency traffic is handled with CW these days?
Especially by amateurs, since that is the context we're talking about?

I'll bet the first message in a real emergency would be "Can you go to
voice?"

Bill, W7TI

2892. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:41:34 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <9g4apt89oj3o7jfnd1tp3etr5q8g3vuj3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 19186

On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 13:00:56 -0500, N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
wrote:

>Which calls into question, of course, why he went ahead with the tax
>cut plan, already knowing that the surplus would dwindle.

Why? Because the word "surplus" means the govt is taking more money
from us than it needs, that's why. Even allowing for all the waste,
they STILL have more than they need.

Bill, W7TI

2893. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:43:32 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <ij4aptc2dijif1eppiu102c1g7l9rssts3@4ax.com>
Source record: 19187

> More than a year ago, it was the Clinton
> Justice Department's decision to break up Microsoft that ignited the
> tech-sector debacle.

Nope, it was the death of my pet hamster. Happened at the exact same
time.

Clear proof.

Bill, W7TI

2894. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:45:42 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <3n4aptst2ofoqplt4msc8n39orgq8i577s@4ax.com>
Source record: 19188

On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 15:36:51 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Democrats very frequently eat their cake and have it too.

IMO, it would be more correct to say Democrats like to eat YOUR cake and future generations' as well. Dems rarely want to eat ONLY their own.

Bill, W7TI

2895. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:48:54 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <bu4apt8aj0e2ta65nuku1c3f3600cu1k7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 19189

>>My heartburn is with so-called hams who won't open a book -- and
>>will instead depend on so-called "Elmers" to hand-carry them through
>>the process without making any effort whatsoever to research and
>>find the answers using readily available information.

Like they say, Reading is FUNdamental. Too bad so many (not just hams)
don't get it. It cripples their entire life.

Bill, W7TI

2896. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:50:37 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <l25aptc2fjtjnhm2v83gcjns4autbd2p25@4ax.com>
Source record: 19190

On 04 Sep 2001 03:42:05 GMT, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote:

>THats what happens when I type faster than my fingers can keep up with.I do
>lnow how to spell diapole
^^^^^^^

ROTFLMAO!!!

W7TI

2897. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 17:55:11 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <6a5aptkir6lijp311rufmkndnek1t17261@4ax.com>
Source record: 19191

On 04 Sep 2001 09:40:37 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>The string of randomly spaced dits that some CW ops use as an error indicator
>has no counterpart on keyboard-based modes.

On RTTY we usmXXX use a bunch of X's. Works fine.

bILL, w7ti

2898. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 19:08:16 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tpa9jllkklra2a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 19192

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010904082730.21541.00006329@mb-mk.aol.com...
> From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
>
> >If I want to try my hand a doing EME some day, which happens to be one =
> >case where it is needed, HOW FAST do I need to learn CW Dick?
>
> Zero words per minute would do it. A VHF-only tech can operate using
Morse
> encoding. A recent 2X QRP moonbounce QSO used QRSS (very slow Morse) and
> long-term integration to complete the QSO. The operators never touched a
key
> or heard the signal, which was probably buried about 25 to 35 dB in the
noise
> level in a 500 Hz bandwidth.

Thanks for the info Ed, but the point I was trying to make with Dick was how much time does HE expect somebody to take to learn CW. I have to admit you can't learn it in 5 minutes to use for emergency comms. But in the example I used for EME, is spending a few weeks or a couple of months too much time, for his taste, for one to learn CW when needed to pursue another interest in the hobby. I think Dick engaged the keyboard with his finger tips before thinking things through first. I hope he doesn't do the same thing with his code key. 8-))

> Might be fun trying a spread-spectrum moonbounce contact. I have a DSP-10
on
> order, and can't wait to start playing with it.

Here are a couple of references I have bookmarked on DSP theory on the web. Since you have an interest you may find the material useful. For one of them you will need a Post Script viewer and the other one for PDF files.

http://www.dspguide.com/pdfbook.htm
http://www.engr.usask.ca/classes/EE/880/

> >if I want to try my hand at working lets say 2m Aurora.
>
> This may be one mode where OOK CW is the ideal mode. I don't think that
digital
> signals could stand the severe multipath and sudden phase shifts.

I did it on 6m SSB phone once, and it wasn't a picnic either. Nobody on 2m was using phone, it was all CW, and even at that it was hard to pick out of the noise.

> Probably the best reason to learn Morse code is that you can construct
> single-device oscillators in a few hours and put them on the air. That is
a
> lot easier than constucting digital radio systems.

Also a lot quicker than putting together my AO-40 ground station. I have the down link working OK with manual tracking. Now all I have to do is build/buy the up-link 70cm antenna. When I'll get around to it I don't know. I would consider the "L" band up-link except I have already spent $650 on the "S" band down-link already. I need to save a few bucks, and using the 50 watts on 70cm out of my 847 will do it with the right beam antenna. All this junk I have to assemble, and disassemble when I'm done. I live in an apartment so I can't leave anything sitting outside. You guys who have a yard to stick up a tower with antennas don't know how good you have it.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

2899. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 20:01:59 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109042000430.1159-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 19193

On Tue, 4 Sep 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
>On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 03:26:03 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>....And if the person wasn't really dead, what happens when he tries to renew?
>>Technically, he had a valid license that the FCC "arbitrarily" cancelled (at
>>least from his point of view).
>
> The request for deletion must be accompanied by a valid death
> certificate, not just a letter. One more task for the estate's
> administrator or the personal representative must do, just like
> cancelling a driver license.

Exactly. So, how many non-family members (include the executor as "family" for purposes of this question) are going to have access to that? Practically none. Conclusion: The FCC won't act on an obituary as indicated earlier in this thread.....

2900. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 21:25:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9Qbl7.14476$ZJ.320842@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 19195

dump your Buick for a real car, one with a manual transmission,
hopefully a foreign car, to repent for your years of senseless
patronization of the inferior American auto industry.
****
WHOA THERE!!!!!
Now THAT stopped my sandbagging. First off, I can drive a manual with best
of them from farm tractors to a road tractor. Second, the Buick line has
consistently been in the top-rated marques. Third, I will NEVER, NEVER,
EVER own a foreign car even if it is made right here--especially those of
Japan. Before you accuse me of racism, it has nothing to do with
nationality,
rather I seem to remember a certain battleship sitting on the bottom of
Pearl
Harbor. There were friends of my family that died there. I will not
dishonor
their memory by buying a Honda, Nissan, Toyota, or Mitsubishi! That's how
I feel, and there's no changing it!

I have driven GM, both auto and stick, since 1966, particularly Chevrolet.
I
have NEVER had any issues with them or other GM make. I have a Chevrolet
station wagon I bought in 1987 new. Oh, yes, it died at 199.468 miles, but
not thru fault of its own. A spark plug dropped an electrode down in the
cylinder
and caused it to bypass oil and lose compression. It will still start and
run, and I intend to replace the engine and keep going. At the point it
suffered this incident, it was running fine--no clicks, ticks, no operating
difficulties whatso-
ever. It was running just as well as the day I drove it off the lot. Every
Chevy I have ever owned has given me great service with few mechanical
problems and reliability right up there with the best of them.

This weekend, I was talking with another vendor at a hamfest who had just
bought a new Toyota truck. The owners' manual says NOT to put any radio
equipment in it whatsoever without consulting the dealer. It will set off
the air
bags!! He contacted the dealer, who didn't know how to correct this. The
dealer called the tech rep for Toyota and HE doesn't know. Meanwhile, he is
stuck. I see Chevrolet and GM vehicles, and of course, Ford and Chrysler,
with amateur equipment in them all the time.

Inferior American vehicles--I don't THINK so!

Jerry
K4KWH

Larry Stanton <pluto@4motion.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0108230741550.27274-100000@4motion.org...
> On 22 Aug 2001, Anton Elron wrote:
>
> > The True Ham Builds.
> >
> > Ain't Got Time To Build, Ain't Got Time To Ham
> >
> > Your suggestions welcome.
>
> Real men drive manual transmissions. If you don't drive a manual,
> you shouldn't be driving at all, and your drivers license should
> be revoked until you learn how to drive a manual transmission and
> dump your Buick for a real car, one with a manual transmission,
> hopefully a foreign car, to repent for your years of senseless
> patronization of the inferior American auto industry.
>
> Your comments?
>
> --Larry
>

2901. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 21:29:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904172907.05441.00002384@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19194

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams Does It Take
>From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>Date: 9/4/01 10:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904103959.18769.00006570@mb-mo.aol.com>

>Well put LOL. As I do not respone to Bruce thanks

Or do like I do and don't even read him at all! Love that filekiller!

Steve

2902. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 21:43:39 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904174339.00740.00007262@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 19196

>Inferior American vehicles--I don't THINK so!
>
>
>Jerry
>K4KWH
>

I'm a Ford man myself. Unless we're talking late 60's/early 70's, then it's Chrysler all the way. (Except for the Shelby & Shinoda Mustang variants.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

2903. FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 21:52:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904175227.05354.00002146@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19197

I LOVE IT!

Enclosed herein is a copy of an enforcement letter sent to some twerp in Maine over his childish on-the-air antics.

I LOVE the FCC's last paragraph! >>WE<< have been telling idiots like this to take the following suggestion for YEARS, now the FEDS are doing it TOO!

73

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTED FROM ARRL WEB PAGE:

August 20, 2001

Mr. Timothy M. Smith
RFD 3 Box 3695
Skowhegan, ME 04976

Re: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio license WA1HLR

Dear Mr. Smith:

The Commission has reviewed monitoring information from your station on July 26 and 27, 2001 on 3.885 MHz. The transmissions from your station indicate an alarming lack of understanding of the basis and purpose of the Amateur Radio Service. The transmissions are characterized by sound effects such as belching, coughing directly into the microphone, and sound effects of other bodily functions probably best left undescribed. Furthermore, the repeated transmission of "testicle-ing" is not only juvenile but absurd as well.

There is no place in the Amateur Radio Service for the conduct reflected in the transmissions. It degrades the Service for other users and will not be tolerated. Please review Sections 97.1 (basis and purpose of Amateur Radio), 97.101 (general standards) and 97.113 (prohibited transmissions) of the rules.

Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, gives the Commission the authority to obtain information from a licensee regarding the operation of his station. Accordingly, you are requested to respond to this letter within 20 days of its date. Please be advised that if this type of operation is not corrected, we will take enforcement action against your license, ranging from proceedings to restrict your operation to non-voice modes to designation of your renewal application for an administrative hearing to determine whether it should be granted.

Tape recordings of the transmissions described above are available should you need to review them. Finally, you may also wish to review Section 95 of our

rules, which sets forth other, less regulated, radio services available to you.

END QUOTE

2904. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:01:45 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <v1japt0lrorlk7jl2cmch6s2o4jdq89kti@4ax.com>
Source record: 19198

On Tue, 4 Sep 2001 17:25:50 -0400, "Jerry Oxendine"
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Inferior American vehicles--I don't THINK so!

How would you know? You've never owned anything but American cars,
right?.

I have about 700,000 miles driving experience since licensed in 1957.

I have had at least a dozen American cars including Fords, Chevys,
Mercurys, Pontiacs and Dodges, and another half-dozen Hondas and
Datsuns.

The Japanese cars were better designed and assembled and they gave much
less trouble. They are better cars, period. If you simply don't like
them, that's ok. Lots of Americans do.

I understand and respect your feelings about Pearl Harbor, however I
would remind you that the perpetrators of that treachery are now almost
all dead and gone, and present-day Japan has owned up to its past and
become one of the most westernized of all foreign countries. In other
words, they have become much like us.

I have no ax to grind one way or the other, just calling 'em like I see
'em.

Bill, W7TI

2905. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:31:57 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <lflapt4ib823l34t4gslftk4v0kaqftl1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 19112

On 04 Sep 2001 15:48:50 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>OK, we've danced around this long enough. Why don't you provide us with
>>examples
>>of questions *you* think should be on the exams.
>>
>>Regards,
>>Jonathan Williams, N0YM
>>Remove "x's" from
>
> Sure no Problem, how about, Name, Adresses, and what would you like your
>Handle to be. This info would provide at least some Value, Present testing sure
>doesnt

Bruce, I'm serious. If you sincerely believe the present testing is
too easy, now's your chance to suggest improvements.

You might be suprised -- I might agree with you :)

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

2906. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183741.05130.00002955@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19199

In article <9mubteora0@208.207.71.158>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

<snip>

> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.

Why should CW survive?

LHA

2907. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183742.05130.00002956@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19101

In article <3b93a605_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>Dwight, you forget, I was here in the middle of that debate. That is why I
>asked the question. There is no codetest-hating newcomer who wants to bash
>older hams for their knowledge and expertise. Got it now?

Kim, the debate on the code test has been going on a long
time, well before your participation. Dwight is correct.

I can't be called a "codetest-hating newcomer who wants to
bash older hams" because I am older than most of the
pro-code-test long-timers and worked HF legally well before
some of them learned the alphabet. :-)

LHA

2908. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183742.05130.00002957@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19200

In article <20010903064723.02036.00006612@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>And this fact
>>also eliminates the possibility of a single scale of who's at the top of the
>>heap.
>
>But we already know that Larry is at the top of the heap. His telling us is
>just about the sole content of his participation here.

I have to award Ed another A+ on the long-time ham psyche essay. :-)

LHA

2909. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183743.05130.00002958@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19104

In article <3B933E09.357EDDDE@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>>
>> Would a codetest-hating newcomer
>> who wants to bash older hams for
>> their knowledge and expertise
>> please step, er, post forward? I
>> keep hearing about them, but I've
>> never seen one!
>
> You need to keep up with the debate, Kim. In spite of the recent BS
>about the written exams, when compared to the average post
>restructuring ham (who they feel will never learn code without a test
>requirement), code is the only truly unique knowledge and expertise
>they have (any post restructuring ham could develop equal knowledge
>and expertise in everything else). Therefore, when they talk about
>people bashing "their" knowledge and expertise, they're actually
>talking about their knowledge and expertise of code. So, to them, any
>comment against code is perceived as bashing their knowledge and
>expertise. Because of that, every person opposed to code testing is a
>mortal enemy who must be wiped from the face of the earth. Got it now?

Dwight, you just got an A+ on the long-time ham psyche test!

:-)

LHA

2910. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183743.05130.00002959@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19108

In article <9muh91$58b$2@208.207.71.158>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>> On this forum, compared to the hundreds or thousands of readers, there are
>only
>> a handful that feel a need to abuse other hams for their license class.
>
> What you prefer to ignore is that they are almost every one
>codetest-hating newcomers who bash older hams for their knowledge and
>expertise, knowing themselves that the exam they took was a sham.

Dick, I'm not an amateur, but I've been around radio and electronics
a bit longer than you. For months you've been mumbling about the
"sham" of modern testing but never once have I seen you make any
sort of constructive criticism or suggestions for corrections. I see
little wrong with the test questions or the answers...but I see a lot
wrong with the attitude that only the old ways are "correct."

The FCC requires a test to fulfill its overall lawful task of regulating
US civil radio. That test is not to satisfy some long-timers,
individually or as a group. Newcomers do not owe anything to
the already-licensed. The test is merely a government requirement
to obtain legal permission to transmit RF at certain frequencies
using certain modes.

OOK CW is not a required mode of RF modulation over and above
all other modes. Any amateur licensee may use OOK CW as
they wish within the allocated frequencies for their class.

Why are those who wish to eliminate the code test considered
"bashers" of amateur radio? Do you believe that the whole
purpose of amateur radio is to preserve CW on HF? Do you wish
to reset all amateur radio testing to 1960 standards? If so, why?

Dick, I'm older than you and "bash" only those who want to set
all regulations, class, status, etc. to their individual achievements.
When did you assume "authority" to regulate what all newcomers
are "supposed" to think, feel, or believe?

LHA

2911. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183744.05130.00002960@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19105

In article <20010902071733.13097.00002595@mb-dd.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
>>>but asked the question to find out what steps new hams could
>>>take that would make that handful of old hams stop being abusive to them.
>
>>Ed you are dreaming, there are alot more than a Handfull
>
>On this forum, compared to the hundreds or thousands of readers, there are
>only
>a handful that feel a need to abuse other hams for their license class. In
>all
>of the coventions I have gone to, I have seen only a handful of people who
>feel
>a need to shout immature insults in public. Most hams believe in and follow
>the tradition of being helpful to their fellow hams.

I'd like to believe you, but practical experience and observation
(not at conventions, etc.) tells me Bruce is more correct.

Everyone's mileage varies, of course, but mine says that only
about half of the long-timers are good folks while the other
half is a group of @#$%!!!.

LHA

2912. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183745.05130.00002961@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19106

In article <3B8EEBBD.9CC0769C@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Yep, there is no saving ham radio
>> from total CBization. It has been
>> underwaay for a long time and will
>> ultimately prevail, with plenty of
>> help from hams like you.
>
> Okay, Dick, I'll bite. If ham operators are not supposed to have
>conversations ("CB-like chit-chat," as your side likes to call it) on
>the radio, what in the heck are we supposed to be doing with ham
>radio? If we're only allowed to do is test our equipment, or run
>contests, than there really is no need for the massive amount of radio
>bandwidth we have (we could easily do that with just a few
>frequencies). The FCC didn't give us all this bandwidth for most of it
>to just sit idle, with the occasional radio check. In the end, if the
>bands are going sit unused, then I have to agree with those who say
>that ham radio is nothing more than a huge waste of valuable radio
>bandwidth. In other words, if your side prevails, perhaps it will be
>time to reallocate most of those wasted radio frequencies.
>
> By the way, let me add one more thing at this point - what exactly
>are you doing differently with CW than the Technicians are doing? I've
>listened to an almost endless number of CW contacts, and all I've ever
>heard is idle "CB-like chit-chat." If you're so concerned about idle
>"chit-chat," why don't you start with the "chit-chat" by your fellow
>CW operators?

I think they think that they are "pioneering radio communications."

:-)

LHA

2913. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183746.05130.00002962@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19102

In article <3B90D559.7C2CECD0@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> This was posted using AOL 5.0. I've used AOL software from 3.0 to 6.0. I
>gave
>> up on 6.0 and went back to 5.0 because it had a number of extremely
>annoying
>> features, like insisting on sending email as HTML. (This is fixable, but I
>had
>> already gone back to 5.0 when I learned of the fix).
>
>HTML email is annoying because Netscape doesn't know how to quote it
>in a reply. I have to cut and paste to be able to quote HTML in a reply.
>
>> The proper number of ">" will be preserved IF one sets the correct quoting
>> style.
>
>Well, I'm receiving contradictory emails on that subject. Are you saying
>that selecting "Internet Style" quotes will fix the attribution problem?

Yes, a single mouse-click under AOL Preferences, Mail will do the
job.

LHA

2914. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183747.05130.00002963@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19107

In article <20010831081816.01525.00002523@mb-cv.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>Your premise that ham radio applicants relish the idea of learning
>>enough electronics to pass a 1000 question (potential) exam is
>>ludicrous.
>
>Why is it ludicrous to believe that most people who set out to become
>licensed
>amateurs do so because they want to learn about radio and electronics?

How about just wanting to be able to legally transmit?

>>What they REALLY want to do is pass the test(s), usually one
>>at a time.
>
>Well, yes, they want to pass the tests. Duh!

Why is it required to pass amateur tests "one at a time?"

Non-professional (amateur) radio doesn't have any guilds
or unions requiring apprentice-journeyman-master grades.
Or does it?

>>So they "study the tests"-one at a time- with all the exact
>>questions and exact answers, with the primary view that matching the
>>answers with their questions is the way to pass that or any test.
>
>But that is not the easiest way to pass the tests, Dick. Learning simple
>concepts such as the fact that the field strength from an antenna varies
>inversely with the distance and applying 6th-grade math is the easiest way to
>pass the tests.

I've been away for six weeks and am weary of seeing the same
argument and counter-argument on the inverse proportionality
or inverse-square proportionality thing. Why should all the rest
of the readers have to put up with the stubborness on both
sides. Ed, you are right, but Dick Carroll will never, ever admit
he is wrong. He can't.

>In the examples of the questions about field strength and power density that
>are on the General exam, the correct answers for some of the questions are
>used
>as the distractors in other questions. This "word-association memorization"
>theory of yours doesn't hold up to analysis of the pools.

I doubt that the format of the written tests is the 'real' subject.

It seems to settle down on one group of long-timers being "expert" and "knowing it all" and refusing to admit ignorance about subjects discussed in public view. I'm no longer sure which group of long-timers is the "correct" group. :-(

Whichever, the stubborness of the argument doesn't show a good reason for newcomers to bother with entering amateur radio...ARRL or not.

LHA

2915. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183749.05130.00002965@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19103

In article <20010830072707.01618.00001865@mb-cc.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>Any test is a joke if you have been given the exact answers on a silver
>>platter well in advance of the test date so as to learn all the word
>>associations needed to match an answer with its question with no need
>>to learn anything about the content of the subject matter.
>
>Dick, the premise that most people who become hams are choosing to do so
>because they don't want to learn anything is ridiculous on its face.

I think that Dick is rationalizing long-ago claims of "dumbing down."

I find that a common human rationalization but wonder about Dick not being able to answer enough material to pass the First Phone on the first try. I did without having any benefit of previous BC radio experience or without any answers to test questions...or without having to answer "really deep" questions on broadcast antennas. :-)

LHA

2916. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183749.05130.00002964@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19110

In article <9mlpn8$9oe@augusta.math.psu.edu>, cross@augusta.math.psu.edu (Dan Cross) writes:

>In article <9mlnrdbcn0@208.207.71.137>,
>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> Tell ya what Ed- I was working those sort of problems for the Signal
>>Corps while you were hanging out in the Woodstock haze with the rest of
>>the "ME generation" irresponsible. No, I don't do many of them these
>>days. But you can be darn sure if I decide to "work" that or any other
>>technical problem I *will* get it right, from research if I don't
>>remember the details necessary. I have plenty of resource material at
>>hand and I know how to use it- and DO. So get off your nonsense kick and
>>stop attempting to "lead" the discussion. You are not the leader here.
>>There is none. Your attempts to slam me are failed. Get over it.
>>
>> And may I suggest that you go soak your head?
>
>Err, I'm sorry, but I just have to post here.
>
>As a currently unlicensed guy who is going to go for an Extra in
>October (and yes, I'm studying; not just memorizing the answers in the
>back of the book), I take some serious exception to your attitude.

Most regulars have already taken serious exceptions to Dick's attitude...and been rewarded with vitriol and denigration. :-)

>While you criticize those of us taking the, ``new'' exams, has it ever
>occured to you that maybe the exam isn't the ultimate test of one's
>knowledge of radio? Whose to say that once I pass the exams (assuming
>I do pass) I'll stop trying to learn? I think, frankly, that that's
>pretty presumptuous and rude.

Dick is one of those who believes that an amateur test (based on high-rate manual OOK CW skill) proclaims them to be champions in radio arts and techniques. That would be fine in the 1950s but not in the 2000s. :-)

>What's more. You speak of your Army Signal Corps days, and the
>training given to you there. Fine. However, with as sophisticated as
>today's radio equipment has gotten, do *you* really understand how it
>all works? The theory behind it? Consider a microprocessor controled
>radio; can you explain to me how the uproc works? Can you tell me the
>difference between a branch and a jump instruction? What is the
>fundamental function of a DSP?

Having been at the Fort Monmouth Signal School before Dick Carroll, I can say that the amount of learning of that day's radio technology was minimal and was all concerned with vacuum tube circuitry. "Computers" of that era were all analogue with the exception of the mighty mainframes that grew out of ENIAC's original form. The only "digital" circuitry that existed in the 1950s-1960s era was pulses for radar or microwave radio relay in the military...TV synchronization in the commercial side (other than some civilian microwave radio relay equipment not using FM or PM). The digital circuit techniques required at least meadium-scale IC technology.

The usual rationalization of too many long-timers is to discount all digital techniques as "not being 'real' radio." Since they haven't bothered to learn basic digital techniques they won't know how the embedded micro-controller has enabled PLL or DDS control of their LOs and VFOs along with displaying the proper frequency and a lot of miscellaneous control functions. There are too many boatanchor devotees who claim that "real radio" is analogue-only despite all the microcontrollers in their store-bought rigs.

<snip>

>My point is this: It's easy to sit back and say, ``these kids these
>days....they don't work hard.'' But, bear in mind that your father's
>generation probably said the same thing about your generation. And did
>you work hard? Quite possibly. We work hard too, just at different
>things.

In my case I spent a rewarding career in radio-electronics and had to continue learning all throughout that career. But, because of a lack of interest in morse code, myself and others have been denigrated by many long-timers as being "dumb" or "ignorant of 'real radio.'" That's not a good attitude on their part...but they don't want to learn more beyond tube technology so the attitude thus displayed turns off many.

Before Ed Hare jumps in here with soft-soap phrases of "most hams are good people" I would state that, in my experience, only about half the long-timers are good folks. The rest of the long-timers have easily-bruised egos who defend (to the death) their ignorance by adhering to old skills and techniques.

>It really gets under my skin when a guy who most likely doesn't
>understand the first thing about computer science tells me that I don't
>know anything technical...all because I'm taking an exam he doesn't
>consider ``hard enough.'' Give me a break; that test doesn't prove
>anything except that I met the FCC requirements to get a license. If
>you *really* want to know what I work on, send me an email, and I'll
>be glad to fill you in. I doubt you'll keep up, though.

I've given up on trying to help educate most long-timers. Most
want to argue minor points to make themselves look good, to
create the appearance of expertise when they aren't close.

An amateur radio written test is what the FCC thinks will
satisfy the FCC's requirements of regulating US civil radio.
Nothing more than that. However, far too many long-timers
think it is some kind of academic achievement of excellence
in radio. If that "academic achievement" isn't worshipped,
those attitude-hindered long-timers get all ego-bruised and
angry about imagined insults.

>Even these 1960's exams you're going on about probably didn't prove
>anything other than that I knew enough second semester physics to
>meet the FCC requirements and get a license.

Those 1960s exams have been way over-exaggerated in their
"complexity" and range of technological scope.

>I mean, if you're like this on the air, and you're representative of
>the type of people I'm likely to encounter, I'm not so sure I want to
>bother with ham radio; what's the point? To be insulted by someone
>who arbitrarily thinks he ``knows'' better than someone else?

The rationalization is that a newsgroup is "not real ham radio."
That's a convenient excuse to allow bad behavior and general
name-calling...but it will surface in-person when others don't
venerate their mighty achievements (based largely on tenure
than ability). It's basic psychology 101 stuff.

>Well, that's really all I have to say on the matter.

Can't say I blame you. :-)

LHA

2917. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:37:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904183750.05130.00002966@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 19109

In article <9mi2huggs0@208.206.142.80>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Richard McCollum wrote:
>>
>> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> news:9mfatrihe1@208.206.142.69...
>> >
>> > James Bromley wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > First, that question will NOT appear on your exams, although in my
>> opinion, it
>> > > > should appear on the Extra exams. (I am going to submit it to the QPC
>> this
>> > > > week, methinks.)
>> > >
>> > > Methinks you copped this from the question pool for the old 1st Class
>> > > Radiotelephone license, circa 1960.
>> >
>> > Methinks so too, as I remember it or one like it from '62. Once it was
>> > considered, among hams, that an Extra class ham ticket was more of an
>> > accomplishment than a First Fone. I guess those days are long gone.
>>
>> Methinks the accomplishment of the First Phone was in passing the Second
>and
>> the Extra in passing the Advanced.
>
> Good point. Both Second phone and Advanced covered far more territory
>in more depth than First or Extra. But if you got that 'bad' First exam
>you had your hands full...it was the only test I ever had to take again,
>just missed on the first try - when he counted the correct answers twice
>to be sure, you knew you were close.

The FCC must have "dumbed down" the fourth test between
my taking all four parts in March 1956 and "circa 1960."

Circa 1950s test format for the First Class Radiotelephone
consisted of a general-rules/regs first part, then successive
second through fourth parts. Passing second through fourth
parts corresponded to achieving 3rd, 2nd, 1st classes,
respectively. I passed all four parts the first try.

>Lots of really deep TV broadcast
>antenna stuff they didn't teach at Fort Monmouth..

Fort Monmouth Signal School wasn't teaching any
"broadcast antenna stuff" in the 1950s that I recall.
Lots of directional UHF and microwave antenna basics
considering that the Monmouth Signal School concerned
itself with radar and microwave radio relay. HF and MF
radio was taught at Camp Gordon, GA (now Fort Gordon).

Neither do I recall the FCC commercial 'Phone test as
having any "really deep" antenna stuff at all unless one
counts the acronym "ERP." The commercial test did
require some essay-type answers and drawing schematics
but all about vacuum tube circuits.

>But I got the Second
>and the Extra on the first try (Extra after the General and Advanced at
>the same sitting).

In that case the amateur extra test must have been lesser
in content than the fourth (first class) part of the commercial
test?

I don't see why some whiz-bang in radio couldn't have taken
all the parts and achieved a first radiotelephone on the first
try...

LHA

2918. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:50:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj5ljn3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19111

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 20:01:59 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>> The request for deletion must be accompanied by a valid death
>> certificate, not just a letter. One more task for the estate's
>> administrator or the personal representative must do, just like
>> cancelling a driver license.
>
>Exactly. So, how many non-family members (include the executor as "family" for
>purposes of this question) are going to have access to that? Practically none.
>Conclusion: The FCC won't act on an obituary as indicated earlier in this
>thread.....

Once it is filed wuth the Recorder of Vital Statistics or whatever
public official keeps those documents, it's public information and
anyone can get a certified copy by filling out a form and paying the
fee. No reason for the request need be given. Ditto for birth and
marriage certificates.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2919. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 22:54:07 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <1kmaptst9kb6gso963as3etmjmh0cpqfij@4ax.com>
Source record: 19113

On 04 Sep 2001 21:52:27 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>I LOVE IT!
>
> Enclosed herein is a copy of an enforcement letter sent to some twerp in
>Maine over his childish on-the-air antics.

I love it too. Unfortunately, letters do no good. Goons like that one already know the rules and a good part of their fun comes from breaking them.

The only thing they really understand is some federal agents at 3AM with an arrest warrant. The sooner the better.

Bill, W7TI

2920. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:06:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj5mh15.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19114

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 15:54:07 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:

>> Enclosed herein is a copy of an enforcement letter sent to some twerp in
>>Maine over his childish on-the-air antics.
>
>I love it too. Unfortunately, letters do no good. Goons like that one
>already know the rules and a good part of their fun comes from breaking
>them.
>
>The only thing they really understand is some federal agents at 3AM with
>an arrest warrant. The sooner the better.

Arrest warrants are not issued for FCC rule violations, only for
criminal offenses and then rarely. The courts are very loath to
issue said warrants unless the goon has been warned several times
and disregarded all warnings. And even then they prefer that the
agency handle it administratively (monetary forfeitures and license
revocations) before they will get involved.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2921. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:44:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904194411.17446.00002755@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19115

>Subject: Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!
>From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com
>Date: 9/4/01 6:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <1kmaptst9kb6gso963as3etmjmh0cpqfij@4ax.com>

>The only thing they really understand is some federal agents at 3AM with
>an arrest warrant. The sooner the better.

HERE HERE ! ! ! !

(cut and paste follows...sorry about no little arrows, Cec...)

Subject: Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!
From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@no.spam
Date: 9/4/01 7:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj5mh15.pminews@or.news.verio.net>

Arrest warrants are not issued for FCC rule violations, only for
criminal offenses and then rarely. The courts are very loath to
issue said warrants unless the goon has been warned several times
and disregarded all warnings. And even then they prefer that the
agency handle it administratively (monetary forfeitures and license
revocations) before they will get involved.

EASY PHIL! We were just fantasizing! A man can have his dreams, can't
he...?!?!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2922. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:47:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904194709.17446.00002756@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19116

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/01 6:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904183741.05130.00002955@nso-cs.aol.com

>Why should CW survive?

Why shouldn't it?

Steve

2923. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:48:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904194803.17446.00002757@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19117

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/01 6:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904183742.05130.00002957@nso-cs.aol.com>

>
>I have to award Ed another A+ on the long-time ham psyche
>essay.

Now you are a psychology critic?

SJR

2924. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:49:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904194943.17446.00002758@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19118

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/01 6:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904183742.05130.00002956@nso-cs.aol.com>

(snip).....>because I am older than most of the
>pro-code-test long-timers...(snip)

Now your birthday makes you more competent on Amateur radio matters than
those who ARE licensed Amateurs?

SJR

2925. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:52:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904195229.17446.00002759@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19119

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/01 6:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904183744.05130.00002960@nso-cs.aol.com>

>Everyone's mileage varies, of course, but mine says that only
>about half of the long-timers are good folks while the other
>half is a group of @#$%!!

And to which group do you assign yourself, being so long in the tooth
yourself...?!?!

2926. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:55:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904195548.17446.00002760@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19120

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/01 6:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904183747.05130.00002963@nso-cs.aol.com>

>How about just wanting to be able to legally transmit?

They can always join you on Part 15 and 95, Len...or move on up to
infrared technology along with garage door openers and TV remotes.

Get a license during your sabatical?

Steve

2927. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-04 23:59:45 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RVdl7.11521$KV3.936151@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19121

Phil, you may remember the incident, I don't remember the details (it happend some years ago). Satellites were receiving a signal on a distress frequency. This was traced to some electronics firm (apparently, the source was discovered in the evening). The guard contacted the night manager who basically told the df team to 'get lost'. Phone calls were placed - and the night manager told the commanding Air Force general to 'go pound salt'. Regardless of anything else, it is NOT a good idea to tell a commanding AF general you don't care diddly about his satellites. Guess who was waiting at the gate at 8:00 AM? FCC plus US marshals. Do not pass go. Do not collect $200.00. Both the general manager plus the night manager plus the company drew fines. Oh - and 1 year in jail (although that apparently was suspended). Generally, if you apologize and clean up your act, a first offense is unlikely to get you much other than a wrist slap. Telling the FCC (or a military command officer) to go pound salt is not a smart idea.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gj5mh15.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 15:54:07 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:
>
> >> Enclosed herein is a copy of an enforcement letter sent to some
twerp in
> >>Maine over his childish on-the-air antics.
> >
> >I love it too. Unfortunately, letters do no good. Goons like that one
> >already know the rules and a good part of their fun comes from breaking
> >them.
> >
> >The only thing they really understand is some federal agents at 3AM with
> >an arrest warrant. The sooner the better.
>
> Arrest warrants are not issued for FCC rule violations, only for
> criminal offenses and then rarely. The courts are very loath to
> issue said warrants unless the goon has been warned several times
> and disregarded all warnings. And even then they prefer that the
> agency handle it administratively (monetary forfeitures and license
> revocations) before they will get involved.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2928. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 00:03:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904200331.17446.00002761@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19122

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/4/01 6:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010904183743.05130.00002959@nso-cs.aol.com>

>Dick, I'm not an amateur, but I've been around radio and electronics
>a bit longer than you.

Oh, LEN! Don't underestimate yourself!

You are an amateur beyond your wildest imagination!

>For months you've been mumbling about the
>"sham" of modern testing but never once have I seen you make any
>sort of constructive criticism or suggestions for corrections.

He has. You just convieniently ignore them.

>I see
>little wrong with the test questions or the answers...but I see a lot
>wrong with the attitude that only the old ways are "correct."

It's NOT the "questions", Len. The are as timely as the process will
allow.

It's a system that makes it possible to become licensed without being
COMPETENT in the knowledge that is required.

>The FCC requires a test to fulfill its overall lawful task of regulating
>US civil radio. That test is not to satisfy some long-timers,
>individually or as a group.

The first statement is correct. The second one is stupid.

>Newcomers do not owe anything to
>the already-licensed.

Who said they did?

>The test is merely a government requirement
>to obtain legal permission to transmit RF at certain frequencies
>using certain modes.

More obvious pontification.

I see you didn't lose your edge, Len.

>OOK CW is not a required mode of RF modulation over and above
>all other modes.

Who said it was?

>Dick, I'm older than you and "bash" only those who want to set
>all regulations, class, status, etc. to their individual achievements.

You may be older than him, but you "bash" ANYONE who is NOT "you",
especially those with the Amateur Extra class license.

This is documented fact.

>When did you assume "authority" to regulate what all newcomers
>are "supposed" to think, feel, or believe?

Where did he say he did?

SJR

2929. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 00:05:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904200555.17446.00002762@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 19123

>Subject: Re: Don't Blame Bush
>From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com
>Date: 9/4/01 1:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3n4aptst2ofoqplt4msc8n39orgq8i577s@4ax.com>
>
>On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 15:36:51 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
>>Democrats very frequently eat their cake and have it too.
>
>_____
>
>IMO, it would be more correct to say Democrats like to eat YOUR cake and
>future generations' as well. Dems rarely want to eat ONLY their own.

Actually, I think you BOTH are wrong....

Democrats want to "have thier Kate and Edith too...", acutally........

And as for the last sentence, I think Slick Willy proved THAT incorrect!

=)

Steve

2930. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 00:33:42 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <76feb88ccf046455b4142ccaea08ca63.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 19124

"Kim-W5TIT" asks:

> What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
> with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
> measuring?

It is not related to the other topics. It is supposed to measure
the applicants skill at receiving Morse code.

> The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on CW
> to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how?

>
> If there are two stations [using CW] who do not speak each other's language,
> then how do they communicate beyond the standard RST, Location, Name is?
>
> If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I going
> to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to be a
> conversation in a universal language.

I haven't seen anyone call it a "universal language", so I think this
line of questioning is a manufactured strawman. Nontheless, there are
de facto "conventions" in Morse (and other text modes, such as RTTY,
"TOR-du-jour", etc) which allow the transfer of information which would
be more difficult in voice modes. I don't know how to say "speak
slower"
in spoken Russian (and even if I did, my accent might make it
unintelligible)
but the unpronouncable opsig "QRS" is universally recognized.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
"Reality doesn't care what you think." -- K0HB

> ----
>
> I haven't seen an answer yet.
>
> --
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9n00nn$9fb$2@208.207.71.171...
> >

> >
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > I find it quite interesting that I posted some very honest questions
> about
> > > CW testing today, not one of which was even attempted to be answered
> > > thusfar.
> > >
> > > Cat got your tongues? Larry? W8ULX? Dick?
> >
> >
> > You ignored all the answers when they were previously given- or they
> > went right over your head. How many times should be try???

--
Posted from [216.160.39.232]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2931. Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 00:52:38 UTC
From: Glen Sansoucie <gsansoucie@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <qHel7.17314$zj5.4294443@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 19126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I used Code Quick to pass my Element 1 last week. I started using Code Quick just before New Year's and about 3 wks later I had my General!!

BUT. . .
I also used NuMorse, a Java Applet I got off Arrl.org, AA9PW's Java QSO generator, and one more QSO package that I forget at the moment. The key is to do the QSO's in as many different packages as possible to get a wider variety of styles. The Code Quick QSO generator is cheesy to say the least (you do 2 QSO's and you get complacent because the pattern is too easy).

-=Glen=-

"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message news:lm316tk5o2i7k6p1ml8kjoosqqhcja20pt@4ax.com...
> Dave@spammenot.com (Dave) wrote:
> >Don't know about fun and fast but one tip I can give you is to learn
> >to copy by hand writting rather than printing.
>
> Another point is to write (or print) small. It takes less time to
> write small letters and by writing 2 lines in the paper space allotted
> for one line, I've found that I can increase my hand copy speed
> substantially...

2932. Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 00:52:40 UTC
From: Glen Sansoucie <gsansoucie@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <sHel7.17315$zj5.4294759@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 19125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb

When I took my technician exam, there was a 9 year old girl taking HER technician exam (and she passed!!). I inquired a little and found that her father had his license (I think General, but don't quote me) AND her mother was taking her Technician exam at the same time. My six year old daughter has shown an interest in "the radio", I plan on giving her as much exposure as possible to get her interested. We [the parents] are the ones who have to make things interesting for our children. You cannot compare Amateur Radio with Nintendo, Nintenco, INC has a whole department of market researchers whose entire job is to design products that kids will bug their parents to buy! Amateur Radio is a hobby, it is something we like to do (we don't do it for the money).

-=Glen=-
KB1FZS - And climbing. . .

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94f1cikbj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>,
> "Keith McQueen" <kb7m@arrl.net> wrote:
> > For once a constructive suggestion! My 11 year old has asked me
> several
> > times if I can find a ham radio technician text that is geared for
> young
> > people. So far I haven't found anything. Anybody for getting
> something
> > like this together? It doesn't need to talk down to the kids. Kids
> are
> > bright and intelligent and can understand amazing things. But it HAS
> to be
> > made interesting to THEM. If you could disguise it in a Nintendo
> game it
> > would work even better. If it were available for download for a free
> or
> > minimal cost so much the better. Now THAT would be a contribution!
>
> I totally agree. Don't take the negative feedback here as what the
> general ham population, or the FCC really wants. Remember - most of
> these folks were against lowering the CW requirements. They are still
> in the "block all change' mode.
>
> ------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
> Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
> Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
> http://www.pubcel.com

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2933. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:23:01 UTC
From: "Gen. Snotrag, USAF" <BillClinton@liberalcommies.org>
Message-ID: <g4fl7.405$V5.6655@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 19127

What really pissed me off in this incident was not the dam
civies telling me to go pound salt, but my favorite WAF was
pounding something else when all this happened and
interrupted us.

B.S Snotrag
General USAF
Commanding

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:RVdl7.11521$KV3.936151@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Phil, you may remember the incident, I don't remember the details (it
> happend some years ago). Satellites were receiving a signal on a distress
> frequency. This was traced to some electronics firm (apparently, the
source
> was discovered in the evening). The guard contacted the night manager who
> basically told the df team to 'get lost'. Phone calls were placed - and
the
> night manager told the commanding Air Force general to 'go pound salt'.
> Regardless of anything else, it is NOT a good idea to tell a commanding AF
> general you don't care diddly about his satellites. Guess who was waiting
> at the gate at 8:00 AM? FCC plus US marshals. Do not pass go. Do not
> collect $200.00. Both the general manager plus the night manager plus the
> company drew fines. Oh - and 1 year in jail (although that apparently was
> suspended). Generally, if you apologize and clean up your act, a first
> offense is unlikely to get you much other than a wrist slap. Telling the
> FCC (or a military command officer) to go pound salt is not a smart idea.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

2934. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:23:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n3uqvplg0@208.206.142.84>
Source record: 19128

W1RFI wrote:
>

> >Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
> >of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done.
>
> Sure it can be done. In a circuit, one can separate voltage and current in a
> circuit -- each exist as separate entities and be changed by circuit
> conditions.

Nope, I don't buy it, Ed. You cannot separate the flow of current from the existance of an applied voltage in any circuit without totally changing both parameters. Ohm's law is a method of explaining their inteaction but it is merely a way of describing what is happening, and in no way controls that interaction.

> It is as valid to discuss voltage and current as it is to discuss
> power.
>

Yes indeed, you can discuss them and calculate their values individually (and I agreed your E field question was valid), but you can't separate 'em. When you do, you no longer have a valid circuit. Might as well turn off the power switch.

73, Dick

2935. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:55:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n40nbve0@208.206.142.84>
Source record: 19129

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 4 Sep 2001 03:52:36 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >"Leland, I really hate to
> >break the news to you, but should you find yourself in circumstances in
> >which you need to use CW to respond to an emergency,
>
> ----------
>
> The CW guys love to bring up how useful CW is in an emergency.
>
> How much REAL emergency traffic is handled with CW these days?
> Especially by amateurs, since that is the context we're talking about?
>
> I'll bet the first message in a real emergency would be "Can you go to
> voice?"

Nope. Given anything but extraordinary circumstances, voice will be the mode of choice and also the mode of use. However, twice during the last hurricane season conditions deteriorated such that the emergency nets did indeed change to CW and complete the need message transfer. Anyone who doesn't see the potential need for the use of CW as a valid communications tool ignores the fact that it is the most basic of radiocommunications modes. The higher up the technology scale, the more complex the overhead and more likely that *something* will occurr to cause failure, be it technical problems(and radio equipment is indeed becoming more reliable, but for software and computer platforms not necessarily), or more likely the mere lack of capability by at least some of the hams needing to handle an emergency communication. I know I don't carry my laptop, TNC and IC706 everwhere I travel. I'm more likely to have just the rig itself. I'm rather sure that many others don't either.

To repeat for the N'th time, I certainly don't advocate selecting CW for emergency use ahead of more efficient modes of any type. That would be folly. But as we all know when we pause to consider it, the availability of CW adds one more capability which many who never learned to use it clearly have no accurate way to evaluate. So most of them just say it never will be needed and gladly write it off.

Dick

2936. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215951.14008.00009123@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19132

In article <20010903163848.14594.00007956@mb-fo.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>
>>Of course I had a ham freind check out my soldering job on my first diaplole
>>to
>>make sure I did not mess it up.
>
>Im not surprised, by the way what is a "diaplole", I think its some of that
>New
>Techno Stuff you new Genius were going to bring to Ham Radio.

Stop working my side of the street, Bruce!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2937. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215951.14008.00009124@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19136

In article <20010903160233.18638.00006558@mb-mo.aol.com>, kf6vyh@aol.com (Kf6vyh) writes:

>
>I for one have 6, 10, 15, 17, and 20 meters diaploles that I made reading the
>ARRL antena book without the help of an elmer. I also have a 3 element 2
>meter
>beam and a two meter ground plane antenna I built by reading my book. I am
>one
>of the New Hams that some of the old hams are afraid of.
>Of course I had a ham freind check out my soldering job on my first diaplole
>to
>make sure I did not mess it up.
>
>THere is nothing wrong with asking questions of an elmer, one of the things
>that is taught in schools is that if you have a question regarding the
>material
>in your book, ask the teacher.

Hmmm. In your case, I strongly advise you to consult a teacher -- specifically, an English teacher -- to get your spelling and grammar sorted out.

73 de Larry, K3LT

A diaplole? Sounds like some kind of Mexican pastry!

2938. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215952.14008.00009125@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19134

In article <3B93BA44.9AFB2093@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Hmmm -- I see. Just exactly why would I want to deny young people
>> access to the internet -- and how could I do it?
>
>I don't know, Larry. Since it's your idea to force everyone to
>"open a book" and avoid the internet, you tell me.

Cecil:

I've got a better idea -- suppose you prove, with your usually
vermicular logic, how reading books and using the Internet are
mutually exclusive.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2939. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215953.14008.00009128@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19130

In article <3B93B8B6.A751AA19@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'm sure there are, Ed. Just like there are a lot of non-hams who are
>> the same. I don't recall ever denying that fact.
>
>So you are not superior to all post-restructuring hams?

That's right, Cecil. Just more likely to be.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2940. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215953.14008.00009126@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19133

In article <20010903190816.01915.00006962@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>I've had to re-glue my inside rear-view
>>mirror to the windshield, using one of those kits they sell at the
>>auto parts store.
>
>What!?!?!?!?! You didn't make your own glue?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Nope, I used store-boughten glue. I'm just a dumbed-down, drooling, knuckle-dragging, white, middle-class, politically-incorrect rear-view mirror gluer oner. Eee-yup.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2941. Re: Hollingsworth to W5TIT -- "...One step closer to extinction."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215953.14008.00009127@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19135

In article <3b93f31f_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>Oh, I forgot to add that if you, any female ham radio operators, or anyone
>else choose to wear some kind of grunge because of anyone out there with any
>particular callsign, operating practice, etc., then it is you and those who
>possibly have the right to feel pretty grungy.
>
>--
>73 de W5TIT - Kim

Kim:

If you take the above sentence to the cleaners by noon tomorrow, you
can probably get it back by 5 PM -- all nicely cleaned, pressed, and
straightened out, so you can try posting it again!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2942. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 01:59:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010904215954.14008.00009129@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19131

In article <3B93B94C.CF85635B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Tell you what -- the whole thing is off. I will no longer participate in a
>> test to prove my "superiority" over Post-R Extras. You'll just have to
>take
>> my word for it!
>
>Your word and two bucks will get you a decent cup of coffee.

Cecil:

Good. I could use one right about now!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2943. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:03:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n414o$1qe$0@208.206.142.84>
Source record: 19137

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Please allow me to rephrase that insult.
>
> >. . . Sorry, you really need to pool your resources with a few
> >others of like mind and see if you can come up with sufficient funding
> >to buy a clue".
>
> At least you admitted it was still an insult. In consideration of your post
> earlier that you only insult others in self defense, again I ask:
>
> In what way did he insult you that you felt you had to defend youself by
> calling him clueless ttwice for the same offense? You said earlier that you
> only insult people here in self defense, and I am trying to see the reason you
> had to lash out in this case.

Rad his tag line again:

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

Now, that may not insult you but nor indicate to you that he is a bit on
the clueless side, but I believe you choose to ignore his insults and
nonsense.
I don't.

2944. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:24:20 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <123bptorn9e2jb67nrfp8r01v2fcbvg4bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 19138

On 5 Sep 2001 01:55:55 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>However, twice during the last
>hurricane season conditions deteriorated such that the emergency nets
>did indeed change to CW and complete the need message transfer.

If you say it happened, I believe you. However, I wonder if the "need"
to go to CW was more nostalgia-driven than anything?

I wasn't there, I don't know, but I do know how some of the old timers
think.

No disrespect intended, Dick. Just wondering.

73, Bill W7TI

2945. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:24:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n42dg$5pt$0@208.206.142.84>
Source record: 19139

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > However, there are certainly a lot of potential situations where
> > the ability to fallback to Morse/CW could keep communications open
> > when other modes fold up.
>
> And there are certainly a lot of potential situations where the
> ability to use a modern digital mode could keep communications
> open when CW folds up.

And certainly there are a lot of potential situations where the ability of a modern digital mode won't be available for use by *one* of the parties needing to communicate. Using your own logic you need to be prepared to use a mode that is available for use by both the other station and yourself. Are you prepared, Cecil (how about all you NCI genuises?)

Using your own logic, you need to be prepared
> for such a condition. Are you prepared, Larry? (PACTOR II will
> get through when you can't even hear the CW signal.)
>

Pactor II won't get through at all if both stations needing to communicate don't have it available for use. If you can use it by all means do so. If not, you use what you can. When hams don't know how to use CW it is taken from us as a viable mode of radiocommunications. That is a travesty.
> > I could revert ...
>
> Don't look now, Larry, but you already have reverted to a cold-
> blooded dinosaur. :-)

Your play on words is false and you know it. I'd bet that Larry makes far more high tech digital contacts that you do.

> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2946. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:25:34 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109050224530.1717-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 19140

On 4 Sep 2001, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>QUOTED FROM ARRL WEB PAGE: A letter from the FCC
> ...
>
>Tape recordings of the transmissions described above are available should you
>need to review them. Finally, you may also wish to review Section 95 of our
>rules, which sets forth other, less regulated, radio services available to you.

Less regulated? Or NOT regulated? :-)

2947. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:29:29 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109050226220.1717-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 19141

On Tue, 4 Sep 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
>On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 20:01:59 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>> The request for deletion must be accompanied by a valid death
>>> certificate, not just a letter. One more task for the estate's
>>> administrator or the personal representative must do, just like
>>> cancelling a driver license.
>>
>>Exactly. So, how many non-family members (include the executor as "family" for
>>purposes of this question) are going to have access to that? Practically none.
>>Conclusion: The FCC won't act on an obituary as indicated earlier in this
>>thread.....
>
> Once it is filed wuth the Recorder of Vital Statistics or whatever
> public official keeps those documents, it's public information and
> anyone can get a certified copy by filling out a form and paying the
> fee. No reason for the request need be given. Ditto for birth and
> marriage certificates.

Such is NOT universally true. In Kansas, none of those (birth, death, or marriage) are considered public record. Divorce is public record only because of the action of a court. If no court were needed, divorces would be private too in that state.

In my state, California, only births and deaths are public record. Marriages can be, but the couple can pay a higher fee for it NOT to be.

I leave the other 48 states, plus the territories and the District of Columbia to the remainder of the newsgroup to fill in.

2948. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:56:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904225630.07922.00003273@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19142

In article <20010904194803.17446.00002757@mb-fb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>I have to award Ed another A+ on the long-time ham psyche
>>essay.
>
> Now you are a psychology critic?

Far better than Robeson and with in-house advice on matters psychological (credentials available, along with long licensed practice, either one exceeding what any nurse needs).

It is easy to spot the control freak in newsgroups, especially those with longings to have their old rank back where they could berate others of "lesser" rank. There's no need to have "credentials" to spot them. Control freaks can dish it out but cannot take criticsm of any kind. Insecure ego problem.

Unfortunately, the out-of-context comment by Robeson is bound to begin a sub-thread which has nothing to do with amateur radio policy and everything to do with Robeson's perceived personal hurt at others "not following orders!" TS to everyone concerned. :-(

LHA

2949. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:56:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904225631.07922.00003274@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19143

In article <20010904194943.17446.00002758@mb-fb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>(snip).....>because I am older than most of the
>>pro-code-test long-timers...(snip)
>
> Now your birthday makes you more competent on Amateur radio matters than
>those who ARE licensed Amateurs?

Robeson still has to publish his divine encylical defining "competency"...and then live up to such both on-air and off.

Robeson took my statement out of context (all the better to attempt insult of another) because of a lack of humor...and an obsessive need to insult certain others who hold differing opinions. That is consistency, not competency.

Tenure in amateur radio (to use "tenure" stretched) is not any "competency" in radio matters, policy, licensing, or much else. At best it is merely evidence of having survived long enough.

Those who are long-timers often lose touch with newcomers who desire to enter amateur radio. At best, most seem to want newcomers to go through the same procedures as they did...thereby holding themselves up as a goal to emulate. They are not such "goalies" since times change. The FCC will judge on what constitutes "competency" as to being granted a license. The "amateur community" is no more a judge of what the FCC wants than any other citizen who was in radio for some time.

LHA

2950. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:56:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904225632.07922.00003275@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19145

In article <20010904200331.17446.00002761@mb-fb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Dick, I'm not an amateur, but I've been around radio and electronics
>>a bit longer than you.
>
> Oh, LEN! Don't underestimate yourself!

I do not overestimate myself as do certain Missourians and an ex-marine.

> You are an amateur beyond your wildest imagination!

Not at all. I am (still) a professional.

>>For months you've been mumbling about the
>>"sham" of modern testing but never once have I seen you make any
>>sort of constructive criticism or suggestions for corrections.
>
> He has. You just convieniently ignore them.

"He" has not. Carroll's desire is to turn back the clock to an earlier time when the FCC did license examinations. That cannot happen therefore it is not constructive criticsm.

Carroll calls the present US amateur license test a "sham."

No one can ignore such comments nor can anyone interpret such as constructive.

>>I see
>>little wrong with the test questions or the answers...but I see a lot
>>wrong with the attitude that only the old ways are "correct."
>
> It's NOT the "questions", Len. The are as timely as the process will
>allow.

I see little wrong with the test questions or answers. It would seem that the attitude of certain individuals is far from timely, courteous, or constructive.

> It's a system that makes it possible to become licensed without being
>COMPETENT in the knowledge that is required.

Robeson needs to publish his divine encyclical that defines "competency."

The FCC still passes (or rejects) the question pool content.
In what way is the FCC less "competent" in judging a license
examinee in comparison to Carroll's or Robeson's
"competency" when they took exams at FCC field offices?

>>The FCC requires a test to fulfill its overall lawful task of regulating
>>US civil radio. That test is not to satisfy some long-timers,
>>individually or as a group.
>
> The first statement is correct. The second one is stupid.

Tsk, tsk, reverting to name-calling again? It is quite apparent
that many that long-timers (Carroll and Robeson included) wish
(nay, demand) of newcomers that newcomers satisfy Them as
to "competency." This is especially true of Robeson's insistence
that no one can know anything about amateur radio until they
have passed the license examination and received their license.
Now that is as stupid a remark that any long-timer has made in
here...US amateur radio is open and aboveboard, well-
publicized, is forbidden to use encipherment, and can be
heard by anyone with a receiver within propagation distance.

>>Newcomers do not owe anything to
>>the already-licensed.
>
> Who said they did?

The implicit demand of certain long-timers (Carroll and
Robeson included) is that newcomers somehow "owe"
something (allegiance, worship, praise, etc.) to long-timers.
That comes across with every smart-ass remark and insult
generated by the two in this newsgroup.

>>The test is merely a government requirement
>>to obtain legal permission to transmit RF at certain frequencies
>>using certain modes.
>
> More obvious pontification.

It needs to be repeated for the benefit of those long-timers
who think that newcomers must bow down to the majesty
and glory of certain long-timers.

<snip>

>>Dick, I'm older than you and "bash" only those who want to set
>>all regulations, class, status, etc. to their individual achievements.
>
> You may be older than him, but you "bash" ANYONE who is NOT "you",
>especially those with the Amateur Extra class license.

I do not bash anyone who is civil and courteous, regardless
of license or class. Robeson has always been mistaken in
assuming his particular license imbues him with some kind

of shield to permit denigration and insult of those who take an opposite viewpoint to his. That shield does not exist.

> This is documented fact.

Yes it is. In post after post, Robeson has demonstrated his arrogance and insults in public messages for all to see.

Numerous Robeson postings ending with the Yiddish pejorative "putz" is evidence of this...plus other false and insulting statements having little to do with the subject but much to do with trying to put down those in opposition.

LHA

2951. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 02:56:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010904225633.07922.00003276@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19144

In article <20010904194709.17446.00002756@mb-fb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Why should CW survive?
>
> Why shouldn't it?

You cannot answer a question with another question.

Morse code has limited potential in communications beyond emergencies (such as at sea, giving position and immediacy of the emergency). One can exchange RSTs and WX and very limited communications of a personal nature using morse but anything else requires a lengthy (far longer than voice or data) session to exchange information.

The FCC does not declare OOK CW mode as a required one to be used by any amateur...yet international regulations require testing. That is inconsistent with the regulations.

This is the year 2001, not 1916. There is no Titanic 2 to be answered after it has hit an iceberg.

There is nothing wrong with OOK CW as a mode. There is everything wrong with a government body requiring a test in that singular communications skill in order to obtain a license when the agency does not specifically require its use.. Those who wish to use OOK CW and morse code can do so optionally without the requirement of testing.

Non-professional (amateur) radio is not a guild or union based on an apprentice-journeyman-master ranking. The requirement of a morse code test is little more than a hazing ritual to satisfy long-timers who had to learn it.

There is no need to "preserve" morse code skill for any national reason. Real emergency communications use non-CW modes. The US government, military included, does not use OOK CW or morse codings for regular communications (sensitive comm is done by enciphered data).

LHA

2952. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:03:36 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109041903.42c716f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19146

Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:<9g4apt89oj3o7jfnd1tp3etr5q8g3vuj3f@4ax.com>...
>On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 13:00:56 -0500, N0YM
<jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
>wrote:
>>Which calls into question, of course, why he went ahead with the tax
>>cut plan, already knowing that the surplus would dwindle.
>_____
>Why? Because the word "surplus" means the govt is taking more money
>from us than it needs, that's why. Even allowing for all the waste,
>they STILL have more than they need.
>Bill, W7TI

Wish I could agree. Actually, all it means is that the Government is
taking in more money than it's spending, period. That's not the same
thing. Especially when you consider future Social Security retirement
needs, and the fact that we have real problems that need solving.

But of course, my original question had to do with the fact that with
the economy slowing, the surplus was dwindling fast. Didn't make much
sense to go ahead and enact huge tax cuts, considering.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

2953. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:11:05 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109041911.7268dcf0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19147

Well, this is getting away from topic, isn't it?

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B929863.9A337187@IEEE.org>...
>N0YM wrote:
>> Which calls into question, of course, why he went ahead with the
tax
>> cut plan, already knowing that the surplus would dwindle.
>Most democrats are Keynesians. His theory is that the government
should
>spend more during recession/depression times. That's what Bush is
doing
>and trying to avoid Hoover's "mistake" in 1929. I find it amusing
that
>democrats want to raise taxes while republicans want to reduce them.
>What's wrong with this picture?

Actually, most economists I've read (well, most reputable ones,
anyway) are Keynesians, as well. I don't buy for a minute that Bush
is one, though. The main point was the tax cut. The sales job
changed to meet the situation: first it was needed because of the
booming economy (resulting in the surplus), then because the economy
was faltering. Neither were on solid ground, economically -- at least
in terms of implementation.

As far as Demos and taxes, I can only speak for myself. I would not
raise taxes at this time, but I most certainly would rescind those
scheduled for the future -- the ones that will overwhelmingly benefit
the ultra-wealthy, and do very little in the way of economic stimulus.

Finally, it should be noted that government spending also stimulates
the economy, per Keynesian economics. Talk of slashing spending to
make room for the tax cut is, therefore, a little inane.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

2954. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:22:12 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109041922.30c2cfdb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19148

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message > news:<3B929863.9A337187@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
>> Which calls into question, of course, why he went ahead with the
tax
>> cut plan, already knowing that the surplus would dwindle.
> Most democrats are Keynesians. His theory is that the government should
> spend more during recession/depression times. That's what Bush is doing
> and trying to avoid Hoover's "mistake" in 1929. I find it amusing that
> democrats want to raise taxes while republicans want to reduce them.
> What's wrong with this picture?

OK, Cecil, I reread what you wrote (which I'm only now seeing, since
my newsreader seems to be slow as molassas on many messages). First,
Keynesian economics would predict that both government spending and
tax cuts provide economic stimuli (generally speaking -- it's possible
to screw them up, of course). The only aspect of that Bush seems to
be embracing is the "tax cut side": if you look at his budget
projections for the next several years, increased spending really
doesn't figure into the overall picture at all.

As I said before, I think Bush's advocation of tax cuts as an economic
stimulus is largely bogus. I think he's for smaller government
heedless of consequences, period. The tax cuts are simply a means to
an end.

Both parties have swapped sides on different issues on occasion.
Economics is one; racism and civil rights another. Long-term
consistency would seem to have taken a back seat to getting elected.
Not necessarily a bad thing in a representative system, IMHO, but
interesting nonetheless.

Regards,
Jonathan

2955. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:32:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B959CC0.5985D2B9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19149

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And certainly there are a lot of potential situations where the ability
> of a modern digital mode won't be available for use by *one* of the
> parties needing to communicate.

If someone is serious about emergency communications, he will adopt
the best one. If he doesn't, that's his mistake and people sometimes
pay dearly for their mistakes. Are you going to insist on CW when
you are in trouble and the only signal you hear is PACTOR II?

> Pactor II won't get through at all if both stations needing to
> communicate don't have it available for use.

That's true for *ANY* mode. Why not choose the best one? I really
feel sorry for anyone who bets his life on a single mode when other
superior emergency modes are available.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2956. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:36:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B959DA8.9F175272@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19150

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You might as well just go ahead and say that CW is useless as an
> emergency mode and get it over with. If you truly believe that, I feel
> sorry for you.

If you are in trouble and the guy on the other end doesn't do
CW, what the heck are you going to do? Seems to me you will
have slit your own throat by insisting on and relying on CW.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2957. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:40:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B959EC9.E939C331@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19203

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >So you are not superior to all post-restructuring hams?
>
> That's right, Cecil. Just more likely to be.

I am a post-restructuring Extra and I'm also likely to be superior
in many ways to post-restructuring hams AND pre-restructuring hams.
So what? I've got a EE degree with 50 years experience as a ham and
it doesn't amount to a hill of beans.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2958. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:42:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B959F3B.31946B8F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19204

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > Some don't even agree to the existence of a heap.
> >
> > The heap on this newsgroup stinks to high heaven so we
> > know what it is made of.
>
> I daresay it smells worse when you find yourself at the bottom of it,
> hey Cecil?

I don't exist in that King-of-the-Hill dimension, Dick. I feel really
sorry for anyone who does. It is a pitiful state to be in.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2959. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:43:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj5t646.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19201

On 04 Sep 2001 23:44:11 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> EASY PHIL! We were just fantasizing! A man can have his dreams, can't
>he...?!?!!

I got a dose of instant reality about a week on the job. Just like
when I was at the Sheriff's Academy and we got to the part about
shooting at fleeing suspects...

My wife was excused on a jury selection once in Federal court when
the judge asked her, after she indicated that her husband worked for
a Federal law enforcement agency, whether she had any prejudices for
or against the Feds (this was a case involving the Postal Inspectors)
and she replied "no, I'm not prejudiced at all, but my husband says
that all the other agencies seem to get warrants a lot easier than
his does..." Ka-Boom...both the prosecution and the defense were on
their feet... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2960. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:43:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj5t8k7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19202

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 23:59:45 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>Phil, you may remember the incident, I don't remember the details (it
>happend some years ago). Satellites were receiving a signal on a distress
>frequency. This was traced to some electronics firm (apparently, the source
>was discovered in the evening). The guard contacted the night manager who
>basically told the df team to 'get lost'. Phone calls were placed - and the
>night manager told the commanding Air Force general to 'go pound salt'.
>Regardless of anything else, it is NOT a good idea to tell a commanding AF
>general you don't care diddly about his satellites. Guess who was waiting
>at the gate at 8:00 AM? FCC plus US marshals. Do not pass go. Do not
>collect $200.00. Both the general manager plus the night manager plus the
>company drew fines. Oh - and 1 year in jail (although that apparently was
>suspended). Generally, if you apologize and clean up your act, a first
>offense is unlikely to get you much other than a wrist slap. Telling the
>FCC (or a military command officer) to go pound salt is not a smart idea.

If it involves the Top Three as we used to call them (White House
Communications, other military and Federal communications, and
civiliab public safety communications) the US Attorney and the
courts can act pretty quickly. And it doesn't even have to involve
an intentional violation. We once had to get a warrant and the
Marshals to be able to pass through an uninvolved locked property to
DF and silence a distress beacon that had been stored on an adjacent
property.

Dissing the FCC is not smart, as at least one radio station owner
and manager found out "on my watch" because when we started peeling
the layers back we found that the licensee had abandoned any sense
of control of the station and was using it to launder some other
version of dirty clothing..... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2961. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:49:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B95A0B2.522C0707@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19205

N2EY wrote:
> Aww, dang it, Dick, you let the cat outa the bag! (Sort of). Maybe someday
> those tests will be given again, but today they aren't being given.

They don't have to be given, Jim, they only have to be taken. They probably
exist somewhere. All we have to do is find them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2962. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:53:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B95A1AC.AD81E0E2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19206

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Anyone who doesn't see the potential need for the use of CW as a valid
> communications tool ignores the fact that it is the most basic of
> radiocommunications modes.

CW is a valid communications tool if and only if there is someone there
who understands it. Are you willing to stake your life and the life of
your loved ones on the chance that someone will understand CW?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2963. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 03:59:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B95A30A.8388ED3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19207

N0YM wrote:
> As far as Demos and taxes, I can only speak for myself. I would not
> raise taxes at this time, but I most certainly would rescind those
> scheduled for the future -- the ones that will overwhelmingly benefit
> the ultra-wealthy, ...

What is more fair than giving the money back to the individuals who
paid it in the first place? When you refund the purchase price
of tickets for a rained-out ball game, do you give $25 back to the
people who bought the $10 tickets and $10 back to the the people
who bought the $25 tickets? That argument is absolutely ridiculous.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2964. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:02:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B95A3E5.DD47072E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19218

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I've got a better idea -- suppose you prove, with your usually
> vermicular logic, how reading books and using the Internet are
> mutually exclusive.

Huh? It was you who want people to read books and give up the
Internet.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2965. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000316.13505.00001644@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19214

In article <3B94C5E5.37353DF5@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Them's be fightin' words, ye landlubber!
>
> Landlubber?

Aye!

>Me lad, while me boat may not be impressive (a 22'
>aluminum utility), it is king's barge compared to that old rotting
>barnacle bait you call a boat.

The scalawag is tryin to provoke me, ARRR!

> Even a tired old broken down pelican
>would fly right past that old scow to find a more respectable place to land.

I be reminded of the old original Star Trek episode where the Klingon in the
bar insulted the Enterprise in Scotty's hearing to provoke a fight.
>
>> Aye, that be a fair melody, me lad.
>> Better yet, "Sail Away" by Randy
>> Newman will do the trick.
>
> Okay, mate, put away that sword and have some rum - I can agree with that.
>
ARRR!!! Bring on the Jimmy Buffet while yer at it, matey! Ho, ho ho and a
bottle of rum, Santa's run off to the Carribean! (me favorite Christmas
carol)!!

73 de Long Jim, N2EY

2966. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000318.13505.00001646@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19210

In article <3B94DEBA.B510554A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> (snip) even though I can guarantee
>> that new hams today can't pass the
>> tests I took. (snip)
>
>
> Since those tests are no longer available, that is obviously a play
>on words designed to give the false impression that you know more than
>today's hams.

Not at all, Dwight.

Part of the play on words was to entertain wordsmith Cecil. But the main reason was to point out that making comparisons between the current tests and those of decades ago is speculation at best and absurdity at worst. Since the pre-1983 tests are not available, who can say for sure how "hard" or "easy" they were?

>Make those tests available again, and I'm fairly sure
>most hams today could pass them.

You're fairly sure that most hams today could pass the 20 wpm sending and receiving CW tests that I took?
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2967. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000318.13505.00001645@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19215

In article <3B94BF89.482A4DC1@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>>
>> I disagree. Too much data survives.
>
> Not from the south.

What information was lost that would make a difference?
>
>> That knife cuts both ways. Are you
>> saying there's no bias against "damn
>> Yankees"?
>
> Not at all to the extent that it is the other way around.

I disagree.

> Let me ask
>you this; if you live in a northern town, how many southerners live in
>your town?

Some. I've worked for quite a few folks from the southern states. Some were
good folks, some weren't. About the same mix as anywhere.

>This town (Myrtle Beach) is filled with people who have
>moved here from northern states. They have no problems finding
>employment, an apartment to rent, invitations to social events,
>friendship, or whatever. And you can see almost the same thing in most
>any southern town. Can you honestly say the same for the southerner
>who moves to your town?

Yes. This is a very diverse area.
>
> Many thousands of northerners come south for vacation during the
>winter each year (we even have a name for them - "snowbirds"). How
>many southerners go north to vacation (to ski, hunt, fish, camp, or
>whatever)?
>
Some do, but not many. The reasons are simple: weather, population density,
developmental level and economics.

> You can claim what you want, but the facts speak for themselves.

Which facts? You seem to see north and south as monolithic blocks rather than
diverse mixes of things. Is Florida identical to Texas? Is Arkansas the same
as Virginia or Georgia?

>Southerners don't go north (to live, to vacation, or for any other
>reason) because they know they're not welcomed.

I know plenty who have come here. They are welcomed.

I think many stay away because of other factors. The winters here are harsh and long, and further north they are even harsher and longer. The cost of living in many parts of the Northeast (that's the area I'm most familiar with) is much higher than other parts of the country, so a higher pay scale does not translate into a better standard of living.

Real estate prices, taxes, energy costs, and insurance are just some examples.

>Even the ones who have
>never been have heard from those who have.
>
Have any of them moved to the Philadelphia area?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2968. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000319.13505.00001647@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19208

In article <3B94DA4C.1FE5A8D0@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart writes:
>>
>> > No ham radio license exam has ever
>> > proven knowledge or skill.
>>
>> Not true, Dwight.
>>
>> At the very least, the code test(s)
>> prove(d) that (snip)
>
> Sorry, I was talking about the written tests, Jim (which should have
>been obvious when I went into what those tests covered in the next sentence).

Ahhh - sorry, I misunderstood.

I would argue, though, that in the past the tests for higher class ham licenses
required more knowledge of the then-current "state of the art" than today's do.
Did you see the post where I described a typical pre-WW2 essay question? (I
think it was in the thread about multiple choice questions).
>
>> > All they are designed to prove is a
>> > basic understanding of proper operating
>> > practices, basic radio theory, basic
>> > electronics, and basic safety procedures.
>>
>> I would say that the entry level tests are
>> meant to prove those things. The higher-level
>> tests are meant to prove a bit more. (snip)
>
> Not much more. Even the questions on the higher-level tests are
>somewhat basic compared to skills needed for a commercial, military,
>or industrial, career using those skills. For example, a career in
>electronics would obviously require more knowledge than is required by
>the questions relating to electronics on those exams.

I agree 100%. The current tests are there for the reasons I listed in another
post.
>
>> > Beyond that, knowledge or skill comes
>> > after the exams, through actual

>> > operation, experimentation, and self-
>> > education.
>>
>> Not exactly. One of the goals of the ARS
>> is that hams' knowledge and skill levels
>> increase beyond the test levels after
>> the tests are passed.
>
> Isn't that basically what I said? The only point we differ is the
>concept of a goal versus a requirement. Considering the exams don't
>really directly test the skills, I would say that learning after the
>exam is more of a requirement than just a goal. For example, if you
>are going to do anything with electronics in the ARS, then you will be
>required to learn more than just what was tested on the exam (the exam
>doesn't require a person to even pick up a resister, for example). The
>same is true for almost anything else on those exams - if you actually
>want to actually do something (not just answer test questions), you
>will be required to learn after the exams.

Yep. Some learn more than others, of course!
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2969. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000321.13505.00001649@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19213

In article <3b94b73d_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010904040341.04822.00001187@nso-fa.aol.com...
>> In article <3b94494e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
>writes:
>>
>> >In phone I would imagine it would be difficult, unless there are
>established
>> >euphemisms each could use that would allow an exchange of information.
>The
>> >question I asked, though, is from the perspective of CW being claimed as
>a
>> >universal language.
>>
>> There is no "universal language". (Except money, perhaps!)
>>
>> What CW does is help bridge language barriers. The same is true of any
>> text-based mode, (like PSK31) of course.
>>
>> A unique feature of CW is that it has certain "language like" properties
>when
>> used by skilled operators. For one thing, it's aural rather than visual.
>For
>> another, different "speakers" are readily identified by signal
>characteristics.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>I understand the feeling of the "music of the language" Jim. But, let's say
>I don't know the "language" of a foreign station. I hear this person's
>signals now and again and, yep, I sure can tell that it's got to be that
>same station I hear because I can distinguish the fist. What "language"
>like properties is this person going to use that will make me understand
>that what he is sending--other than something like CQ, etc.?

As I said, the abbreviations do a lot, but that's true of any text-based mode.
The Q signals and standard abbreviations permit some communication.

Besides recognition from the sending, there is also recognition from signal characteristics. And unlike visual modes, CW is usally sent manually and received aurally in real time, with the operator listening to the demodulated signal.

It's similar to the difference between listening to someone talk and reading a transcript of what they said.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2970. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000321.13505.00001648@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19216

In article <3b94b8e2_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010904060922.04822.00001189@nso-fa.aol.com...
>> In article <3b93ee72_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
>writes:
>>
>> >What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
>> >with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
>> >measuring?
>> >
>> Very little - that's why it needs its own test.
>>
>> There are three main reasons for the questions on the written tests:
>>
>> 1) To address known problems in the ARS. For example, years ago the FCC
>cited a
>> lot of hams for out-of-band operation and poor signal quality. New
>questions
>> were added to the tests on these subjects.
>>
>> 2) To advance the "incentive licensing" concept of hams learning more. A
>> General class ham can use every band and mode that an Extra can use, and
>at
>> full power. For that matter, Techs can do all authorized band/modes above
>50
>> MHz. So the added technical questions on the higher-class tests arefor IL
>> reasons (why is a Tech technically qualified to operate legal limit SSB on
>50.3
>> MHz but not 14.3 MHz?)
>>
>> 3) To insure that hams can be held responsible for certain knowledge
>(primarily
>> regs and safety). This puts the burden of responsibility on the licensee,
>not
>> the FCC. If I'm out of band, I cannot claim ignorance of FCC rules,
>because
>> questions about the band edges and the need to stay inside the bands are
>on the
>> current tests. If I can't pass the current tests, I'm not qualified to be
>on
>> the air.
>>
>> Do the current writtens GUARANTEE that a ham knows the material? No way.

>The
>> FCC doesn't think they need a guarantee, though.
>>
>
>I understand the implication of the written tests.

I think by "implication" you mean "purpose and intent". Please calrify if I misunderstood.
>
>> As for code testing, the ONLY reason it still exists in the USA (according
>to
>> the FCC) is because the international treaty requires it and the FCC will
>not
>> go against that treaty requirement. They've stripped code testing to the
>bare
>> minimum that can reasonably be considered to meet the treaty, and they
>won;t do
>> anything else until the treaty changes. FCC made that perfectly clear in
>the
>> restructuring R&O. FCC simply said that they no longer see ANY reason for
>the
>> code TEST other than the treaty. For now, their hands are tied.

>
>Then, it must be your opinion that the CW mode test does not measure
>anything other than CW skill?

Nope. It measures other things, too. The debate is really about whether those other things need to be measured by a pass-fail test that is required for an HF/MF ham license.

>I think like you, that the only reason the CW
>mode test was ever there was to comply with international treaty agreements,
>and to "follow along" with the concept of having trained operators who could
>meet the same standards as those they may encounter from a government
>entity, such as the Coast Guard.

Those are two reasons, but there are others.

> CW is no longer emphasized, so the
>government no longer requires radio operators with advanced license
>privileges to have such a focus.
>
No longer emphasized or widely used by other services, yes, but it's all over the place in ham radio.

>> Will the treaty provision for code testing go away in 2003 as a result of
>the
>> WRC? Maybe - maybe not.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

>Goodness, I hope not.

Haw, that's a good one! We won't know for another two years.

>The main reason why is that the misery lovers will
>then love the anger and contempt they feel as they blame the entire new
>class of hams for the destruction of ham radio. It's bad enough as it is.

Yup. The moaning and groaning will get worse in some quarters.

But in the real world of ham radio, in my experience, most hams simply don't
care about whether it goes or stays. Just not a concern.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2971. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000322.13505.00001650@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19211

In article <20010904081743.21541.00006324@mb-mk.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> Sure. Mothing wrong with bilding it yourself, either, instead of just
>>whipping out the plastic. Wish it was more common.
>
>I wonder if some of the hams here could answer the following questions:
>
>1. Have you built any of your antennas?

Yes
>
>2. Have you built all of your antennas?

All except for the 2 meter magmount I use in the mobile. I've rebuilt it, though.

One antenna bought in 34 years of active hamming in six locations plus mobile and Field Day.

Now ask the same question about rigs...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2972. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000322.13505.00001651@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19212

In article <20010904175227.05354.00002146@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> Enclosed herein is a copy of an enforcement letter sent to some twerp in
>Maine over his childish on-the-air antics.
>
> I LOVE the FCC's last paragraph! >>WE<< have been telling idiots like
>this to take the following suggestion for YEARS, now the FEDS are doing it
>TOO!
>
Has anyone besides me actually heard the ham in question on the air?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2973. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:03:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000323.13505.00001652@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19209

In article <20010904062113.01924.00007622@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>>That explains a lot about my jokes, Jim. :-)
>
>>>So, this sea captain was out on the high seas with his crew...
>
>>I don't get it.
>
>It is the start of a joke, Jim. :-)

Like I said - if ya gotta explain it....
>
>when he spied an enemy ship. So he said to his cabin boy, "Bring me my red
>shirt."
>
Does this involve brown pants, too?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2974. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:05:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905000550.23001.00003794@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 19217

>Subject: Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 9/4/01 10:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109050224530.1717-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

>
>Less regulated? Or NOT regulated?

(flips coin into air.....catches and slaps on back of hand....)

Coin says less, but I suspect you are closer to the truth!

=)

Steve

2975. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:09:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B95A576.157CD91C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19219

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >What? CW abbreviations don't work on PSK31? Why?
>
> The string of randomly spaced dits that some CW ops use as an error indicator
> has no counterpart on keyboard-based modes.

<Backspace> works just fine on PACTOR.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2976. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:12:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B95A635.8B31B834@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19220

Dick Carroll wrote:
> In the overall scheme of things, CW is another mode of several used in
> ham radio. What newcomers never realize, and the oldertimer who "just
> want to get along and ignore all the CW bashing by the newcomers"
> whohave been spoonfed all the NCI drivel, is that CW the nmode is the
> most basic of all radiocommunications methods, requiring as a minimjum,
> a single active device oscillating to constitute the transmitter, a
> device which can also easily be configured to work as the oscillating
> reciever. You don'te see any of this on the tests either, I note.

You left out one very essential component. There must be someone out
there capable of receiving the CW signal. Are you willing to stake
your life on that component?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

2977. Re: Vanity Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 04:21:14 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj61099.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19221

On Wed, 05 Sep 2001 02:29:29 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>In my state, California, only births and deaths are public record.
>Marriages can be, but the couple can pay a higher fee for it NOT to
>be.

As you know, I am a California attorney so I know something about
this area.

I have never had problems going into the applicable county clerk or
recorder office to look up or get copies of divorce decrees (except
for sealed financial and medical information) or marriage certificates
(there may be blocked info on the latter but the balance is public
record). The per-page copying charges are steep, though, and there
is no central state-wide index (yet) so you have to go county to
county until you find it. Investigators (public and private) do
that routinely.

My "home" county (San Mateo) was considering computerizing the info
and making it available on web lookups for a fee when I served on
the County Bar Association's Technology Committee three years ago.
Probate and Property Records were ahead of it in line, though.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2978. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 09:36:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B95E3F8.CCAF20C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19222

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3b93a605_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >Dwight, you forget, I was here in the middle of that debate. That is why I
> >asked the question. There is no codetest-hating newcomer who wants to bash
> >older hams for their knowledge and expertise. Got it now?
>
> Kim, the debate on the code test has been going on a long
> time, well before your participation. Dwight is correct.
>
> I can't be called a "codetest-hating newcomer who wants to
> bash older hams" because I am older than most of the
> pro-code-test long-timers and worked HF legally well before
> some of them learned the alphabet. :-)

Yeah--that and because you've not yet become a newcomer.

Dave K8MN

2979. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 10:36:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <oenl7.11186$151.910808@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19223

"N0YM" <n0ym@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:27145d97.0109041903.42c716f@posting.google.com...
> Wish I could agree. Actually, all it means is that the Government is
> taking in more money than it's spending, period. That's not the same
> thing. Especially when you consider future Social Security retirement
> needs, and the fact that we have real problems that need solving.
>
> But of course, my original question had to do with the fact that with
> the economy slowing, the surplus was dwindling fast. Didn't make much
> sense to go ahead and enact huge tax cuts, considering.
>
> Regards,
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM

Particularly when the tax cut is over ten years, virtually guaranteeing a five-year recession as the stock market is only now beginning to figure out. With the cuts in place, capital is firmly mired in place; why move on when taxes are coming down?

Jonathan, you are about to get a lesson in why your Granddaddy was a Democrat.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2980. Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 11:01:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905070132.17865.00000001@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 19224

In article <sHel7.17315$zj5.4294759@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Glen Sansoucie" <gsansoucie@mediaone.net> writes:

>When I took my technician exam, there was a 9 year old girl taking HER
>technician exam (and she passed!!). I inquired a little and found that her
>father had his license (I think General, but don't quote me) AND her mother
>was taking her Technician exam at the same time. My six year old daughter
>has shown an interest in "the radio", I plan on giving her as much exposure
>as possible to get her interested. We [the parents] are the ones who have
>to make things interesting for our children. You cannot compare Amateur
>Radio with Nintendo, Nintenco, INC has a whole department of market
>researchers whose entire job is to design products that kids will bug their
>parents to buy! Amateur Radio is a hobby, it is something we like to do (we
>don't do it for the money).

Yep. Note that many kids lose interest in things like Nintendo after a few years, too.
>
The youngest Extra I know of got her license at the age of eight. This was back before restructuring, when it took 20 wpm code and five separate written tests. Much younger children have passed the Technician test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2981. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 11:01:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905070132.17865.00000002@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 19225

In article <3B95A0B2.522C0707@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Aww, dang it, Dick, you let the cat outa the bag! (Sort of). Maybe someday
>> those tests will be given again, but today they aren't being given.
>
>They don't have to be given, Jim, they only have to be taken.

Doesn't matter.

In order to pass the tests I took, a person has to take them.

Can you (or Kim, or Larry, or Dwight) take the tests I took in 1967, 1968 and 1970? Nope.

Therefore, none of you can pass them. Simple.

>They probably
>exist somewhere.

Prove it. FCC may have destroyed all that old stuff.

> All we have to do is find them.
>--
Until that happens, and you find somebody to give them, what I wrote is true: You can't pass the tests I took. Remember, those tests were secret, so if a potential test-taker has advance knowledge of the test contents, it's cheating.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2982. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 11:51:19 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <eb4cptohvdegn4m9m379rk6e2mtk3g15ba@4ax.com>
Source record: 19226

On Wed, 05 Sep 2001 03:43:36 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:

>she replied "no, I'm not prejudiced at all, but my husband says
> that all the other agencies seem to get warrants a lot easier than
> his does..." Ka-Boom...both the prosecution and the defense were on
> their feet... <ggg>

The attorneys did their job. Having a juror who thinks the Sheriffs's department is getting screwed over is a no-no.

Bill, W7TI

2983. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 11:57:20 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <iv2cptoisqduekrp48hg7v0cavu6aql0i9@4ax.com>
Source record: 19227

On 4 Sep 2001 20:11:05 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:

>Finally, it should be noted that government spending also stimulates
>the economy, per Keynesian economics. Talk of slashing spending to
>make room for the tax cut is, therefore, a little inane.

Government can not spend money unless it first takes it from the people in the form of either taxes or deficit spending (which must be repaid at some future point, with interest). Remember, government has no money that is truly its own because government can not create wealth, unlike businesses. Even the printing of money is not truly creation of wealth because it simply devalues money already in circulation and adds nothing at all to the actual wealth of a country.

Please explain how taking money from the people makes them better off.

Bill, W7TI

2984. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 11:57:21 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <qn3cpt80d1s29nbooebpbf55gc02o2c72t@4ax.com>
Source record: 19228

On 4 Sep 2001 20:03:36 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:

>Actually, all it means is that the Government is
>taking in more money than it's spending, period. That's not the same
>thing [as having a surplus].

Well, if taking in more money than one is spending doesn't create a
surplus, then we are either doing some creative accounting or mangling
the English language.

Bill, W7TI

2985. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:23:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905082309.02558.00000003@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19229

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Huh? It was you who want people to read books and give up the
>Internet.

No he didn't. The term "book" today can include those published on line. And in context, he clearly didn't mean a literal book. After reading his post, I am sure he would be quite satisfied if people would get their answers from the ARRL web pages. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2986. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:25:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905082517.02558.00000005@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19230

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hmmm. In your case, I strongly advise you to consult a teacher --
>specifically, an English teacher -- to get your spelling and grammar
>sorted out.

Ah, good old Larry. If he can't criticize the fellow for not reading a book,
he will find something else. It is kind of sad that criticism is the only
point he seems to be able to make well.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2987. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:26:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905082628.02558.00000006@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19231

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Im not surprised, by the way what is a "diaplole", I think its some of that
>>New
>>Techno Stuff you new Genius were going to bring to Ham Radio.

>Stop working my side of the street, Bruce!

Uh, Larry, you forgot to point out Bruce's grammar errors.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFi

2988. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:28:52 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905082852.02558.00000007@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19232

From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu

>OK, we've danced around this long enough. Why don't you provide us with
>examples
>of questions *you* think should be on the exams.

With his permission, I can probably get his comments to the Question Pool Committee and post them here for all to see. What say, Bruce? I asked the same question of Dick, but he ignored me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2989. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:36:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905083619.02558.00000010@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19233

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
>> >of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done.

>> Sure it can be done. In a circuit, one can separate voltage and current in
>> a circuit -- each exist as separate entities and be changed by circuit
>> conditions.

> Nope, I don't buy it, Ed. You cannot separate the flow of current from
>the existance of an applied voltage in any circuit without totally
>changing both parameters.

Sure I can. If I change the value of the current by changing the value of the resistor, the voltage stays the same. Happens all the time in circuits and in the radiated fields from antennas, if you change the "circuit" parameters.

Nonetheless, it is quite valid to talk about the voltage in a circuit, or the current in a circuit -- do you always refer to only power? Of course not. Why do you appear to feel that it is not equally valid to refer to and to use the electric fields or the magnetic fields from radiating elements?

> Ohm's law is a method of explaining their interaction but it is merely a way
of
> describing what is happening, and in no way controls that interaction.

Correct. The equivalent, as asked in my original question, was based on the same principles as Ohm's law.

>> It is as valid to discuss voltage and current as it is to discuss
>> power.

> Yes indeed, you can discuss them and calculate their values
>individually (and I agreed your E field question was valid), but you
>can't separate 'em. When you do, you no longer have a valid circuit.
>Might as well turn off the power switch.

An open circuit is a valid circuit, Dick. And you can indeed separate the E and H fields. A short dipole responds to the E field and not the H field. A small loop responds to the H field and not the E field. And, more often than not, it is necessary to refer to one or the other, but not both.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2990. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:38:22 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905083822.02558.00000012@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19234

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Why should CW survive?

For the same reasons that any operating mode should survive in the Amateur Radio Service, Len.

Why would you ask such a question?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2991. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:39:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905083902.02558.00000013@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19235

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>>Why should CW survive?
>>
>> Why shouldn't it?
>
>You cannot answer a question with another question.

Save this one, Steve -- it will come in handy. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2992. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:40:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905084029.02558.00000015@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19236

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>There is no need to "preserve" morse code skill for any
>national reason.

Those that seek to preserve Morse code skills are doing so for personal reasons. I would imagine that there more things done in this world for personal reasons than for national ones.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2993. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:45:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905084542.02558.00000016@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19237

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>Why is it ludicrous to believe that most people who set out to become
>>licensed
>>amateurs do so because they want to learn about radio and electronics?
>
>How about just wanting to be able to legally transmit?

The already have multiple ways they can do that without having to take a test.

>Why is it required to pass amateur tests "one at a time?"

It is not. Where did you get that misperception.

>Non-professional (amateur) radio doesn't have any guilds
>or unions requiring apprentice-journeyman-master grades.
>Or does it?

Not last time I looked at the FCC regs, Len. Would you like me to send you a
copy? :-)

> Why should all the rest of the readers have to put up with the stubborness on
> both sides. Ed, you are right, but Dick Carroll will never, ever admit
>he is wrong. He can't.

Well, I sure don't want to because with thousands of people hanging on every
word, I don't want to see anyone misunderstand the basics of electromagnetics.

>Whichever, the stubborness of the argument doesn't show a
>good reason for newcomers to bother with entering amateur
>radio.

I will save that quote myself. I am sure it will come in handy. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2994. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:46:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905084658.02558.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19238

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

> Get a license during your sabatical?

Did he transmit on Amateur frequencies? Even if he did, he may not require a license to do so.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2995. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:49:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905084954.02558.00000018@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19239

From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net

>It is not related to the other topics. It is supposed to measure
>the applicants skill at receiving Morse code.

I think, Hans, that it is very much related to the other topics. Under the present rules, if you don't demonstrate that skill, you don't get to use any of the other topics on HF.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2996. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:51:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905085159.02558.00000019@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19240

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>You left out one very essential component. There must be someone out
>there capable of receiving the CW signal. Are you willing to stake
>your life on that component?

Depends, Cecil. If I have a reasonable power level and a functional voice transmitter, I am going to give it a go on voice. If I can cobble up only 100 milliwatts, I will probably try it on CW.

In that emergency, one's life may already be at stake. Go with whatever works.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2997. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 12:58:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905085818.02558.00000020@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19241

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Those who are long-timers often lose touch with newcomers
>who desire to enter amateur radio. At best, most seem to
>want newcomers to go through the same procedures as they
>did.

I definately want newcomers to go through the same procedures I did -- take a reasonable test and get on the air and have fun. I want them to be able to make the same mistakes I did, without some critical old time ham criticizing their every move and taking all the fun out of it. I was not BORN knowing that there is a difference between field strength and powe density; I even made that mistake a few short years ago.

I guess I was lucky; I ran into old time hams that didn't fill some inner need by ripping me apart for every false step in my lifetime of learning. If they had, I don't think the fragile 13-year old kid I was would have stuck around.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

, until I was corrected.

2998. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:00:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905090032.02558.00000021@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19242

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Does this involve brown pants, too?

You spoiled it! I was going to tell it one post at a time! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2999. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:05:47 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905090547.02558.00000022@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19243

From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>You guys who have a yard to stick up
>a tower with antennas don't know how good you have it.

I have a yard -- and a 40-meter inverted vee dipole hung off a pole on my chimney. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3000. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:08:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905090853.02558.00000023@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19244

From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com

>If you say it happened, I believe you. However, I wonder if the "need"
>to go to CW was more nostalgia-driven than anything?

Having done the same thing under non-emergency conditions, I am sure the need was real. When the conditions get noisy, it is probably more efficient to switch over to OOK CW. It would be more efficient yet to QSY and go to a digital mode, but those are not always available.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3001. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:11:30 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b9380c9.3279395@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19245

On 01 Sep 2001 16:39:13 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Theory may be unimportant to you, Bruce, but it is the foundation on which
>>most
>>hams build.
>
>Build what, Psk is a good Example, instead of putting a few parts together to
>come up with a simple interface between Sound Card and Radio they buy it for
>$50.00 up, why do they do this, because they either dont know how and dont want
>to take the time to learn, or they are just to Lazy. The same thing applies to
>CW

I'll tell you why I'd buy it...
I don't have the time to devote to such an effort. Family, work and
multiple hobby interests call for making good use of my time.
I am positive I am not alone on that basis.

The time I'd spend gathering the parts, assembling them just isn't
worth it when I could be doing something else.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3002. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:11:30 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b93822c.3634144@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19246

On 01 Sep 2001 17:37:08 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Bruce, did you build or buy your vehicle after you got a driver's license?
>>--
>>cheers, Cecil
>>
>
>Is this the best you came come up with to justify Dumbing Down, In case you
>didnt know Cecil a Car is a little tougher to build then a 4 componet interface

Do you make your own extension cords? Only three components, wire,
male plug and female plug.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3003. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:43:21 UTC
From: email@here.org
Message-ID: <Xns911363C9A68Bmyemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>
Source record: 19247

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
<20010905000322.13505.00001651@nso-fg.aol.com>:

>In article <20010904175227.05354.00002146@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>
>Has anyone besides me actually heard the ham in question on the air?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

WA1HLR has provided many hours of entertainment and information since the
early 70's.

Paul KB1GEJ

3004. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:49:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5ags$eb8$0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19248

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > And certainly there are a lot of potential situations where the ability
> > of a modern digital mode won't be available for use by *one* of the
> > parties needing to communicate.
>
> If someone is serious about emergency communications, he will adopt
> the best one. If he doesn't, that's his mistake and people sometimes
> pay dearly for their mistakes. Are you going to insist on CW when
> you are in trouble and the only signal you hear is PACTOR II?
>
> > Pactor II won't get through at all if both stations needing to
> > communicate don't have it available for use.
>
> That's true for *ANY* mode. Why not choose the best one? I really
> feel sorry for anyone who bets his life on a single mode when other
> superior emergency modes are available.
>

You *always* ignore life's realities in order to preserve your
"technolgy forever, all the time and any cost" agenda. Who says you get
to choose the mode to use? If you hear someone using CW to send an SOS,
you will just write himn off because he isn't using PACTOR, with no
regard whatever for the circumstances. We see.

3005. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:52:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5anherj0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19249

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You might as well just go ahead and say that CW is useless as an
> > emergency mode and get it over with. If you truly believe that, I feel
> > sorry for you.
>
> If you are in trouble and the guy on the other end doesn't do
> CW, what the heck are you going to do? Seems to me you will
> have slit your own throat by insisting on and relying on CW.

There you go again, lying again - *by your own definition*.
You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
as anything other than a last resort mode. That means when everything
else is gone or unavailable, those who know CW will still have a
communications capability. Everyone else will be out of luck. As will
also be everyone who depends on them for communciations.

3006. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 13:54:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5ar5erj1@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19250

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > Some don't even agree to the existence of a heap.
> > >
> > > The heap on this newsgroup stinks to high heaven so we
> > > know what it is made of.
> >
> > I daresay it smells worse when you find yourself at the bottom of it,
> > hey Cecil?
>
> I don't exist in that King-of-the-Hill dimension, Dick. I feel really
> sorry for anyone who does. It is a pitiful state to be in.

I'm sure you're right about that. I guess we'll have to ask some
CB/freebander who just wants the license, won't we?
```

3007. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 14:07:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5bju$h3l$0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19301

Bill you can easily check the arcives of this NG to get the original data and read it for yourself. One case was in Georgia, Savanna to Atlanta, the other in Texas, from a coastal area to the state capitol. One was posted by Arnie Macy, KD4ST the other came from N5LF, Alan Wormser.
What this shows is not that CW is the best nor most desirable mode at all, rather that just about every HF station is equipped from the factory for CW o[eration while the hardward overhead to use PACTOR or other digital modes is just not in place.

Dick

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 5 Sep 2001 01:55:55 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >However, twice during the last
> >hurricane season conditions deteriorated such that the emergency nets
> >did indeed change to CW and complete the need message transfer.
>
> --
>
> If you say it happened, I believe you. However, I wonder if the "need"
> to go to CW was more nostalgia-driven than anything?
>
> I wasn't there, I don't know, but I do know how some of the old timers
> think.
>
> No disrespect intended, Dick. Just wondering.
>
> 73, Bill W7TI

3008. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 14:15:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5c2uifb0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19302

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Anyone who doesn't see the potential need for the use of CW as a valid
> > communications tool ignores the fact that it is the most basic of
> > radiocommunications modes.
>
> CW is a valid communications tool if and only if there is someone there
> who understands it. Are you willing to stake your life and the life of
> your loved ones on the chance that someone will understand CW?
>

If Cw is the only mode available for use, on either side of the radio
circuit, you know who sets the stakes. But of course it goes against
your Agenda to admit it.

So you would rather hams preclude themselves of even the possibility of
being able to use the most basic radiocommunications mode, the mode of
last resort,
by denying them the communications asset of being instructed in the mode
during their licensing, just to advance your digital agenda.
We know who is the dunce and it isn't the ham who believes a CW
capability is worth keeping.

3009. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 14:37:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5dallhc0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19303

W1RFI wrote:
> >I have never read anything about the history of the hobby and, if the
> >"language" is that common then it should not take a read of the history of
> >radio to discover this "complete body of signals" as you put it. Also, you
> >say there is a chart. Surely this chart is published somewhere? I'd be
> >very interested in having such a chart. Do you know of a source for this
> >chart.
>
> He is talking about the list of Q signals, Kim. They are used on voice and on
> digital modes, too. There is no list unique to CW.

Come on Ed, you surely know better. For most of the past century CW ops of every nationality have communicated, often without knowledge of each other's language. There exists far more than the Q signals and the few prosigns used today by hams. The fact that you, a CW ham, don't know of them seems to go far toward confirming the fiction that CW can't be used to communicate a lot of information between non-common language CW oeprators. Of course, these can also be used on any text based mode, and indeed SHOULD be, as I and many other CW supporters have so often said, when those more efficient modes are available. If they were, one probably wouldn't be using CW in an emgergency situation, would he?

Some other assets useful for abbreviating text and multilanguage use:

Z signals

ARL numbered radiograms

There is a fairly long list of Q signals that few new hams know about, they usually learn a half dozen or so.

There is another long list of "official" obreviations that are (were) universally recognized by CW operators the world over. Additionally, English
has long been recognized as the most universal language for international radio communications, and many opertors in other nations quickly learned enough English to use it routinely for radiocommunications. Sorry if many American hams don't know that.

All this is nothing new, it has been in worldwide use for most of the last
century by those who made their living working CW as traffic handlers. Mostly maritime, fixed stationmilitary and police CW operators. Tghe fact that all of this is foreign to so many hams goes far to show that hams by and large don't care much about traffic handling or emergency operations.

3010. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 14:48:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5dvc$n3e$0@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19304

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
> >> >of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done.
>
> >> Sure it can be done. In a circuit, one can separate voltage and current in
> >> a circuit -- each exist as separate entities and be changed by circuit
> >> conditions.
>
> > Nope, I don't buy it, Ed. You cannot separate the flow of current from
> >the existance of an applied voltage in any circuit without totally
> >changing both parameters.
>
> Sure I can. If I change the value of the current by changing the value of the
> resistor, the voltage stays the same.

And when you do, everything else about the antenna's radiated field
changes dramatically. If not, why bother to match an antenna?

3011. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 14:49:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n5e1i$n3e$1@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 19305

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu
>
> >OK, we've danced around this long enough. Why don't you provide us with
> >examples
> >of questions *you* think should be on the exams.
>
> With his permission, I can probably get his comments to the Question Pool
> Committee and post them here for all to see. What say, Bruce? I asked the
> same question of Dick, but he ignored me.

Basically because Dick doesn't have the time, right now anyway, to work
up any new questions. I won't do it on the spur of the moment.

3012. Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 15:16:58 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3B9641EA.BD72A8E1@amsat.org>
Source record: 19306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Here in San Diego, several of us including VE's have discussed the matter extensively.
Here are how folks learn the code, depending on how they perceive things -- audible, visual, association, etc. FROM THE BOOK DX101X at URL: http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm

There is no one way to learn the code that will work for everyone. Beware of the ham who says ï¿½here is a sure fire way to learn the codeï¿½. Here are several methods ï¿½ choose the one that works best for you.

VISUAL -- some learn best by seeing it on a computer screen as they hear it.

AUDIBLE - Musically or language associated- some learn it as a song or word -- dah dah ditit -- The zebra did it. dah dah di dah -- here comes the bride - queen. Code Quick does this with mnemonics.

AUDIBLE - INVERSE - Some study the opposites A then N, K then R, etc.

AUDIBLE PHONETICS - Some learn by associating it with the NATO Alphabet i.e, dit-dah followed by A or ALPHA after a while you will hear dit dah and A or alpha pops into the brain.

PATTERNS -There are patterns in morse code letters. For example: the letter A is similar to the letter W except that the letter W has an additional dah to it. One might study A, W, J, 1 in order, then mixed. This is effective if one has difficulty in discerning J from 1 etc.

TYPING - some find that they have an automatic reflex to hearing a character and hitting the right key on a keyboard. Check with your VEC if they will allow you to take the test this way. One of our local examiners does allow this as well as a Braille keyboard for the blind.

SENDING ï¿½ Some folks learn better or improve by sending with a key. Others read signs while driving on the highway. This is usually in conjunction with a listening method.

CHARACTERS - at 5 WPM it is feasible to repeat (or count) the dits and dahs in your head and pass the test --- this is the hard way and Farnsworth makes this tough ï¿½ not recommended but may be the only way for some.

PLAIN TEXT VS MIXED RANDOM CHARACTERS. Studying Morse in plain text gives the advantage of being able to anticipate what is coming next where mixed random characters ï¿½ ya gotta know them all. Maybe practice both if that works for you.

KOCH METHOD - Learn morse code using high speed random characters.

FARNSWORTH - All tests are soon to be given at 12 WPM character speed, 5 WPM overall speed ï¿½ if you are tested in Farnsworth -- then study that way -- several who have studied straight 5 WPM - couldn't copy a darn thing in Farnsworth.

BRAIN SOAK ï¿½ Some folks give up on methods and just pain listen to code practice until one day it just all comes together. The impediment to increasing speed and proficiency is called a barrier which you may feel you will never hurdle, but is amazing when one day after brain soaking ï¿½ it magically all comes together. Your mileage may vary.

COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND COURSES - There are many available -- see Morse Programs at URL: http://ac6v.com/morseprograms.htm
and try them out -- choose the one that works the best for you. Remember that the one that works for your buddy or elmer may or may not be the best for you.

MORE DETAILS AND "PASSING THE CODE TEST" AT URL:
http://ac6v.com/morseaids.htm
--
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
I doubt, therefore I might be!
http://ac6v.com
==========

3013. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 15:25:51 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16005424cc6f5936989686@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19307

In article <20010905084658.02558.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com says...
> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> > Get a license during your sabatical?
>
> Did he transmit on Amateur frequencies? Even if he did, he may not require a
> license to do so.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone transmit legally without a license. Was this guy in an emergency situation? Seems to me, he was some military clerk typist sending telexes to the states.

And then why even bring it up? What does it matter what HF he transmitted on, outside of amateur frequencies? Isn't he the one that repeatedly states any comment outside the ARS box is not germane? That being the case what the hell does his military service (from the 50's I believe) have a rats ass to do with amateur radio? NOTHING. What the hell does his great employment record have to do with the ARS? NOTHING

Just another in a series of resumes out to impress himself. Maybe the next 6 week vacation could be permanent..... just kidding..see a smiley ;-)

3014. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 15:40:24 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tpchpqf3qcn85e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 19308

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905090547.02558.00000022@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
>
> >You guys who have a yard to stick up
> >a tower with antennas don't know how good you have it.
>
> I have a yard -- and a 40-meter inverted vee dipole hung off a pole on my
> chimney. :-)

You don't have to rub it in Ed. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

3015. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 15:44:37 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <22gcpt4a4qo95cdoaqskl1la09bsvebe9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 19310

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 22:59:06 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N0YM wrote:
>> As far as Demos and taxes, I can only speak for myself. I would not
>> raise taxes at this time, but I most certainly would rescind those
>> scheduled for the future -- the ones that will overwhelmingly benefit
>> the ultra-wealthy, ...
>
>What is more fair than giving the money back to the individuals who
>paid it in the first place? When you refund the purchase price
>of tickets for a rained-out ball game, do you give $25 back to the
>people who bought the $10 tickets and $10 back to the the people
>who bought the $25 tickets? That argument is absolutely ridiculous.

This argument goes to the heart of the reasons for progressive
taxation. There are two good reasons for higher taxes on the wealthy,
Cecil. The first is fairness: the top 1% wealthiest individuals
control over 38% of the wealth in this country, and receive on the
order of 13% of the income. They pay, by contrast, about 20% of all
federal taxes, yet are receiving over 40% of the tax cut (CBPP).

The second is one of practicality: progressive taxation is one of the
ways we keep wealth from overwhelmingly concentrating in the hands of
just a few people. If we believe in fair rewards for work, it's kind
of tough to justify reducing taxes on the wealthy in the face of
ever-increasing income inequality.

By any measure, income inequality has increased drastically in this
country over the last 20-25 years. It doesn't make a whole lot of
sense, therefore, to be scaling back one of the dampers on that
process.

You know, I really didn't come here to argue politics :^) Do things
digress here often? I noticed a thread on the Emancipation
Proclamation recently, too.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3016. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 15:45:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905114511.22608.00000010@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19309

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/5/01 5:36 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B95E3F8.CCAF20C5@earthlink.net>

>Yeah--that and because you've not yet become a newcomer.

ka-BOOOOM!

I love it. Nice one, Dave!

Steve

3017. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 15:51:29 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <bbicpt0omki0vkpbdpi62hmfhhfti4hfhs@4ax.com>
Source record: 19311

On Wed, 05 Sep 2001 04:57:21 -0700, Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
wrote:

>On 4 Sep 2001 20:03:36 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:
>
>>Actually, all it means is that the Government is
>>taking in more money than it's spending, period. That's not the same
>>thing [as having a surplus].
>
>____
>
>Well, if taking in more money than one is spending doesn't create a
>surplus, then we are either doing some creative accounting or mangling
>the English language.
>
>Bill, W7TI

We would would be, indeed :^)

Actually, if you go back to the post I was responding to, I was
drawing a distinction between the above and saying a surplus means the
Government is taking in *more money than it needs.*

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3018. Re: Computers networked via power lines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 16:25:02 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ylsl7.12518$KV3.1009985@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Well, where an egress occures .... just fire up as much power as you can muster right on that frequency (assuming it is in an amateur band). Usually the system will also be susceptable to interference on that frequency. Digital modes would probably be best although SSB would be worth a try (depending upon where in the band the interference is occuring). Hey, 1.5 KW of CW at 40 or 50 WPM might work wonders too!.

Enjoy!
Jim AA2QA

"Magne M&#65533;hre" <magne@clustra.com> wrote in message
news:m3n14b6nwy.fsf@clustra.com...
> "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> > Tom:
> >
> > You'r correct. There is little or no "balance" in that electric wiring
and
> > it goes
> > everywhere. As you mentioned, it's surprising that the EU allows this
since
> > their CISPR regs, equivalent to our Part 15, are much tougher than in
> > America!
> >
> > Deutche amateur radio associations better get on this case fast. Using
> > power wires for signalling at high frequencies is bad for us radio
folks.
>
> The Norwegian FCC-equivalent (www.npt.no) made a series of measurements
> early this year, and concluded (not surprisingly) that the unwanted
> emissions were far higher than allowed.
>
> The conclusion was that the operators will have to reduce the level of
> emissions by 30-35dB (!). If this is not possible, operation of these
> kinds of networks will be prohibited.
>
> The news letter (Norwegian version, only):
> http://www.npt.no/norsk/Nyheter/Elforsyningsnett.html
>
> --Magne / la1bfa
>

3019. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 17:50:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109050950.426c54f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19313

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
news:<20010902151114.21452.00005139@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>"If a 5 ohm resistor is connected across a 10 volt battery, how much current
> >>will flow in the resistor."

The correct answer is "2 amperes or less" because the internal
resistance of the battery is not specified, and all batteries have
some internal resistance.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3020. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:11:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109051011.11b794a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19314

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20010904183741.05130.00002955@nso-cs.aol.com>...
> In article <9mubteora0@208.207.71.158>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> <snip>
>
> > In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
> >numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
> >communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.

I disagree with this theory.
>
> Why should CW survive?

Here are some reasons:

1) It's a lot of fun, once the basic skills are learned
2) It "gets through" better than ANY analog voice mode, and better
than many data modes
3) It permits the use of very simple equipment, very advanced
equipment or almost anything in between
4) It helps bridge language barriers (such as accents)
5) It is useful for many people with disabilities (like blindness)
6) It is useful in situations where many other modes are impractical
7) It is a challenge to the skill of the operators using it
8) It is uniquely well suited to many amateur radio activities, such
as DXing and contesting
9) It is a unique form of human communication, different from both the
spoken and written word.
10) It is well suited for human-machine interface

CW will survive and continue to flourish in amateur radio as long as
hams continue to use it and promote it on its merits.

N2EY

3021. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:12:57 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <3oqcptsu5292iu9785c387glor55iq6bj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 19315

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
news:<20010902151114.21452.00005139@mb-mk.aol.com>...
->> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
->>
->> >>"If a 5 ohm resistor is connected across a 10 volt battery, how much
current
->> >>will flow in the resistor."
->
->The correct answer is "2 amperes or less" because the internal
->resistance of the battery is not specified, and all batteries have
->some internal resistance.

No, I think the correct answer is "All of it" since no other external load was
specified.

{;-)

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3022. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:32:10 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B966FAA.F8052755@uci.edu>
Source record: 19316

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Well, they cannot have too many members - certainly not overseas,
> > for example. There isn't too much room for all those countries
> > overseas with a flat earth of limited size. ;-)
>
> There is a way to perform a geometrical transform which keeps the
> surface of the earth at the present value and makes it come out
> flat. Who knows, your perceptions may just be screwed up.

I wonder how they explain the fact that you can see it is round when you look at it from a dozen or so miles up?

3023. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:33:55 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B967013.6B575E9B@uci.edu>
Source record: 19317

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Well, they cannot have too many
> > > members - certainly not overseas,
> > > for example. There isn't too much
> > > room for all those countries
> > > overseas with a flat earth of limited
> > > size. ;-)
> >
> > There is a way to perform a geometrical
> > transform which keeps the surface of
> > the earth at the present value and makes
> > it come out flat. Who knows, your
> > perceptions may just be screwed up.
>
> True. I was thinking of the old concept of a flat earth consisting
> of just Europe, a few limited islands in the Atlantic, and the very
> vague Americas. But I suppose a "modern" flat earth society would have
> expanded that concept to include a larger geographical area.

They say the Earth has five flat sides, and that every town on Earth
named Springfield is really the same place.
```

3024. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:47:44 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <9fscpts3qg4cktrh7nuo8o07oovna4tcce@4ax.com>
Source record: 19318

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->> Why should CW survive?
->
->Here are some reasons:
->
->1) It's a lot of fun, once the basic skills are learned
->2) It "gets through" better than ANY analog voice mode, and better
->than many data modes
->3) It permits the use of very simple equipment, very advanced
->equipment or almost anything in between
->4) It helps bridge language barriers (such as accents)
->5) It is useful for many people with disabilities (like blindness)
->6) It is useful in situations where many other modes are impractical
->7) It is a challenge to the skill of the operators using it
->8) It is uniquely well suited to many amateur radio activities, such
->as DXing and contesting
->9) It is a unique form of human communication, different from both the
->spoken and written word.
->10) It is well suited for human-machine interface
->
->CW will survive and continue to flourish in amateur radio as long as
->hams continue to use it and promote it on its merits.

Then the question becomes, "Why should you be tested on horsemanship when you go to get a driver's license?"

1. It's a lot of fun, once the basic skills are learned.
2. It "gets through" when automobiles are bogged down in the mud, and better than a lot of four-wheel drives.
3. It permits the use of rope bridles, silver saddles, or almost anything in between.
4. It helps bridge language barriers such as reading a speedometer or worrying about traffic signs.
5. It is useful for many people with disabilities (like blindness).
6. It is useful in many situations where other modes are impractical.
7. It is a challenge to the skill of the riders using it.
8. It is uniquely well suited to many transportation activities, such as barrel roping and bulldogging.
9. It is a unique form of human transportation, different from both buses and trains.
10. It is well suited for human-horse interface.

We will continue to test on horsemanship as long as drivers continue to use it and promote it on its merits.

Jim

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3025. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145147.07922.00003278@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19328

In article <MPG.16005424cc6f5936989686@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam
<nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:

>In article <20010905084658.02558.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>says...
>> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>>
>> > Get a license during your sabatical?
>>
>> Did he transmit on Amateur frequencies? Even if he did, he may not require
>a
>> license to do so.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
>transmit legally without a license.

"lamwhatlam:"

It's quite a spectrum full of frequencies. See Part 15 of Title 47.
Not a great deal of output power allowed, though. :-)

>Was this guy in an emergency
>situation?

No. Irrelevant statement.

>Seems to me, he was some military clerk typist sending
>telexes to the states.

Quite incorrect. "This guy" was an E-5 in the US Army with
a primary MOS of 381.6 (the "point six" denoted supervisor),
operating and maintaining 36 to 42 transmitters on HF, each
of which had 1 KW or more output..

>And then why even bring it up? What does it matter what HF he
>transmitted on, outside of amateur frequencies?

Why does an anonymous troll "bring it up?"

Who are you, troll? Some insecure CB weenie? :-)

>Isn't he the one that
>repeatedly states any comment outside the ARS box is not germane?

Radio is radio, anonymous one. The physics is the same whether
designated amateur, commercial, or military.

>That
>being the case what the hell does his military service (from the 50's I
>believe) have a rats ass to do with amateur radio?

The US military of over 48 years ago did NOT use CW for fixed-
station to fixed-station "traffic handling" on HF. That point keeps
getting made to all the CW "radio experts" falsely boosting OOK
CW as some kind of primary "traffic handling" mode a half
century ago.

>... What the
>hell does his great employment record have to do with the ARS? NOTHING

Quite true, but, on the reverse side of this trollish coin
from an anonymous weenie, it should establish some
cognizance of radio communications over the last half
century. The anonymous one hasn't stated his/hers/its
"qualifications" OR call-sign.

>Just another in a series of resumes out to impress himself.

Not at all. Most amateurs (in here) seem pissed off that
there exist professionals in radio. That's an ego problem
and most noted in the postings of those that use anonymous
handles. In the case of "lamwhatlam" there is NO "resume,"
qualification, or call-sign. Nothing.

>Maybe the
>next 6 week vacation could be permanent.....

Sociopathic response. Tsk, tsk.

Anonymous sociopaths seem to wish others gone (or dead).
Insecurity syndrome. Can't handle arguments. Poor baby.

Leonard H. Anderson

3026. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145148.07922.00003279@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19322

In article <9n5dallhc0@208.207.71.143>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

<snip>

> All this is nothing new, it has been in worldwide use for most of the
>last
>century by those who made their living working CW as traffic handlers.
>Mostly maritime, fixed stationmilitary and police CW operators.

Oh, oh, a mistake there... :-)

Fixed station US military modes have been TTY, voice, and
facsimile since over 48 years ago.

"Police CW operators" seem to have been concentrated in
Missouri since the police departments of Illinois, Wisconsin,
Washington (state), and California have used TTY for "traffic
handling." [we've been over that over a year ago, Dick, give
it up]

>Tghe
>fact that all of this is foreign to so many hams goes far to show that
>hams by and large don't care much about traffic handling or emergency
>operations.

"Tghe fact" is that Dick doesn't understand that today's
emergency communications uses voice, data, and video.
GMDSS uses automatic data for maritime emergencies.
"Real" emergency communicators no longer use morse.

Amateur radio is not established to be a primary emergency
communications service. It can function so, but it is not the
primary purpose of amateur (non-professional) radio. Let's be
realistic in arguments instead of building weak plaster
pedestals to climb for the sake of self-glorification.

LHA

3027. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145149.07922.00003280@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19320

In article <20010905084029.02558.00000015@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>There is no need to "preserve" morse code skill for any
>>national reason.
>
>Those that seek to preserve Morse code skills are doing so for personal
>reasons. I would imagine that there more things done in this world for
>personal reasons than for national ones.

That's a nice rationalization for those who prefer morse.

That's also a good way to foist personal preferences on
hazing rituals for newcomers.

There is no reason to "preserve" morse code skill for any
national need.

LHA

3028. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145150.07922.00003281@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19325

In article <20010905083822.02558.00000012@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>Why should CW survive?
>
>For the same reasons that any operating mode should survive in the Amateur
>Radio Service, Len.
>
>Why would you ask such a question?

...because some (such as Carroll) equate FCC testing with use
of CW). There is no separate manual-mode test element in the
FCC regulations for any of the other modes. Further, the FCC
regulations do not require amateurs to use OOK CW over and
above any other mode. It doesn't make sense that testing for
morse code ability be retained in license testing.

To maintain that OOK CW "must survive" on the basis of it
being used in amateur radio today is specious, illogical. If the
"in-use" reason is somehow valid, then spark transmitters
"should" have remained legal by the same logic. It didn't,
regardless of the technical deficiency of AM-FM-PM wideband
spark. :-)

The "reasons" given for the maintenance of OOK CW as a
mode (under any circumstances) seems to be rooted in the
proponents of OOK CW having been tested for it and having
obtained personal satisfaction from using it. Problem is that
FCC regulations are not based on the personal satisfaction
of individuals but rather on regulation of the entirety of civil
US radio for its citizens as a whole.

Let's face it, OOK CW is a dinosaur of sorts. The dinosaurs
went extinct. So can OOK CW. However, some folks are
very interested in dinosaurs today... :-)

LHA

3029. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145151.07922.00003283@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19319

In article <3B95E3F8.CCAF20C5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Yeah--that and because you've not yet become a newcomer.

Go back to sleep, Dave. Or work some DX and claim you are
one of the "pioneers of HF" opening up 200 m and down... :-)

LHA

3030. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145151.07922.00003282@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19326

In article <9mubteora0@208.207.71.158>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >> Yes, that happens to hams -- has been that way for decades. The most
>> >common
>> >> cause is that some old timer convinced him that CW was not a useful, fun
>> >mode.
>>
>> > Ed, once I thought yiu were a pretty straight up guy, but I have
>> >learned that you won't hesitate to lie through your teeth.
>>
>> Ah, Dick, you are so convinced that no one could ever come to a different
>> conclusion than you that you think that I would lie to you.
>>
>> I believe that statements by experienced old timers that emphasize the work
>> involved in learning CW (ie, repeated statements that people who don't
>learn it
>> are lazy), instead of emphasizing the utility and fun of CW, are turning
>people
>> away from the mode.
>>
>> Ask youself this: When Morse code testing is removed, which of the
>following
>> positions on CW do you think will help bring in newcomers to the mode:
>>
>> CW is a lot of work and those that don't learn it are lazy.
>>
>> CW is a a lot of fun and those that learn it will be learning a useful
>mode.
>
> There ya go again, twisting things around.

No "twist around" to me. Ed stated two conditions, Dick has
only claimed the first one in previous postings.

>I (we) feel that it was a
>mistake to dump all testing over 5wpm just fot the FCC's convenience,
>and have stated re[eatedly that current requirements can't be blamed on
>those licensed today.

Really? Didn't read the Comments to FCC 98-143 much, did you?
:-)

>The lazy ones are those who have sat on their
>butts whining about the requirement waiting for the windfall that has
>finally came.

Oh dear, next thing you know Dick will start chanting "only
Real Men do CW!" :-)

> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.

TS for OOK CW then...

It can go the way of spark. Bye...

LHA

3031. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145153.07922.00003285@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19321

In article <20010905000319.13505.00001647@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <3B94DA4C.1FE5A8D0@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> Dwight Stewart writes:
>>>
>>> > No ham radio license exam has ever
>>> > proven knowledge or skill.
>>>
>>> Not true, Dwight.
>>>
>>> At the very least, the code test(s)
>>> prove(d) that (snip)
>>
>> Sorry, I was talking about the written tests, Jim (which should have
>>been obvious when I went into what those tests covered in the next
>sentence).
>
>Ahhh - sorry, I misunderstood.
>
>I would argue, though, that in the past the tests for higher class ham
>licenses
>required more knowledge of the then-current "state of the art" than today's
>do.
>Did you see the post where I described a typical pre-WW2 essay question? (I
>think it was in the thread about multiple choice questions).

You took written amateur tests before WW2?!? :-)

LHA

3032. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145153.07922.00003284@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19327

In article <20010905085818.02558.00000020@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>Those who are long-timers often lose touch with newcomers
>>who desire to enter amateur radio. At best, most seem to
>>want newcomers to go through the same procedures as they
>>did.
>
>I definately want newcomers to go through the same procedures I did -- take a
>reasonable test and get on the air and have fun. I want them to be able to
>make
>the same mistakes I did, without some critical old time ham criticizing their
>every move and taking all the fun out of it.

It seems to me that long-timer criticsm is growing more strident. :-)

Remember, the critical old time hams get their fun out of being
critical. They already have theirs and feel superior. In-person
you can see their eyes glow and their muscles tense as they
perpare to bait the newcomers. :-)

>I was not BORN knowing that there
>is a difference between field strength and powe density; I even made that
>mistake a few short years ago.

That's true for nearly everyone (exceptions exist among some
long-timers in here). :-)

The physics of electronics (which includes the subset of radio)
can be fascinating and learning can, in the long run, be fun just
for the sake of learning something new. For an individual.

What seems to spoil it for newcomers is the pompous long-timer
who insists that newcomers "learn" Their way in order to be as
good as they imply they are. They have a fixed set of standards
as to what constitutes "good radio" and no one can tell them
anything new. God forbid anyone corrects their errors! :-)

>I guess I was lucky; I ran into old time hams that didn't fill some inner
>need by ripping me apart for every false step in my lifetime of learning.

Consider yourself lucky.

In my case I had neither help nor hindrance by pompous long-timers
in my teen years. The help and hindrance stuff was spotted on

reaching adulthood. :-)
.
The physics of electronics seemed fascinating enough by itself to
try to find out how it all worked. I'm still learning as are most of my
contemporaries. The odd part is that some still cling to the notion
that no change took place after they (the pompous long-timers)
got their amateur licenses.

>If they
>had, I don't think the fragile 13-year old kid I was would have stuck around.

I rather think you would have.

What happens today is that there are a great deal of interesting
hobbies and activities available to teens and young adults. Many,
many more than teens of yesterday (I was 13 in 1945 and even
then radio was an accepted technology).

Today's pompous long-timer seems to insist that all teens or
young adults must view radio with the same intense interest
they claim to have had...or be considered somehow defective.
There is no "defect" there, just an ego trip of setting the role
model of excellence on their own experiences. Since the ego-
driven strive also for control, position, and status, they can be
seen as those insistent types who maintain that testing,
standards, and procedures remain unchanged.

Some of the pompous (and arrogant) long-timers have adopted
amateur radio as an entire lifestyle, rather like the fraternal
order members whose life revolves around the lodge hall. Not
exactly anything wrong with that if the fraternal order activities
are in moderation to the rest of life...but it can lead to a sort of
"closed order" society subset that is blind or bigoted to the
rest of radio and electronics. If you aren't "in" the closed order
you know nothing at all and that sort of thing...foolish notion
considering that US amateur radio is one of the most open of
such groupings, open to any of the public who care to be
interested.

LHA

3033. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145154.07922.00003286@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19324

In article <20010905000318.13505.00001646@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3B94DEBA.B510554A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> (snip) even though I can guarantee
>>> that new hams today can't pass the
>>> tests I took. (snip)
>>
>> Since those tests are no longer available, that is obviously a play
>>on words designed to give the false impression that you know more than
>>today's hams.
>
>Not at all, Dwight.

Of course it is, Jim. It comes through even at the ending
paragraph.

>Part of the play on words was to entertain wordsmith Cecil. But the main
>reason
>was to point out that making comparisons between the current tests and those
>of
>decades ago is speculation at best and absurdity at worst. Since the pre-1983
>tests are not available, who can say for sure how "hard" or "easy" they were?

Then why bring them up?

If it is so necessary to bring up old tests, why not go back to
the original 1912 tests for amateurs? :-)

You wouldn't since, like most long-timers of pre-restructuring,
your tests were "obviously" so much harder than today's tests.

>>Make those tests available again, and I'm fairly sure
>>most hams today could pass them.
>
>You're fairly sure that most hams today could pass the 20 wpm sending and
>receiving CW tests that I took?

See? There you go, emphasizing what YOU took. :-)

The FCC no longer requires 20 WPM morse testing of US
radio amateurs...for any class.

LHA

3034. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 18:51:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905145155.07922.00003287@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 19323

In article <MPG.16005424cc6f5936989686@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam
<nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:

>In article <20010905084658.02558.00000017@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>says...
>> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>>
>> > Get a license during your sabatical?
>>
>> Did he transmit on Amateur frequencies? Even if he did, he may not require
>a
>> license to do so.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
>transmit legally without a license. Was this guy in an emergency
>situation? Seems to me, he was some military clerk typist sending
>telexes to the states.
>
>And then why even bring it up? What does it matter what HF he
>transmitted on, outside of amateur frequencies? Isn't he the one that
>repeatedly states any comment outside the ARS box is not germane? That
>being the case what the hell does his military service (from the 50's I
>believe) have a rats ass to do with amateur radio? NOTHING. What the
>hell does his great employment record have to do with the ARS? NOTHING
>
>Just another in a series of resumes out to impress himself. Maybe the
>next 6 week vacation could be permanent..... just kidding..see a smiley
>;-)

3035. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 19:00:02 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B967632.6ED9320C@uci.edu>
Source record: 19329

CAM wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
> > >Taking away
> > >slavery would not have guaranteed a zero percent chance of a civil war.
> >
> > Yes, it would.
>
> Prove it, please. The probably of anything is almost never zero.

The Civil War resulted from having a greater motivation to have a war
than not to have one. Without slavery as the driving issue, there would
have been far less motivation to have a war than to have one.

3036. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 19:31:14 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B967D82.583E46E4@uci.edu>
Source record: 19330

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B94BF89.482A4DC1@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >>
> >> I disagree. Too much data survives.

> > Not from the south.

> What information was lost that would make a difference?

Evidently all of it.

> >> That knife cuts both ways. Are you
> >> saying there's no bias against "damn
> >> Yankees"?

> > Not at all to the extent that it is the other way around.

> I disagree.

Perhaps they feel uncomfortable around folks who lack the redneck
mentality?

> >Southerners don't go north (to live, to vacation, or for any other
> >reason) because they know they're not welcomed.

> I know plenty who have come here. They are welcomed.

It's the same perception anyone would have of people in an area where
they are a stranger. I remember getting off a plane in Atlanta back in
the 70's - before long hair was "stylish" in the South. People looked
at me like I had gotten off at the wrong airport.

3037. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 19:34:34 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B967E4A.609E7FE3@uci.edu>
Source record: 19331

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Now a song like
> "Come Sail Away, by SuperTramp, will charm the bloomers off any young
> maiden in any port, don't ye know. ARR. ARR. ARRRR!!!!

Isn't that a Styx tune?

3038. Test Question For Ed Hare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 20:04:15 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <r71dpt4892s64vr2dgi5kjb9esjlr0n3hg@4ax.com>
Source record: 19332

Ed --

Where in creation did the test question team come up with that cockamamie symbol
for a varicap?

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3039. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 20:10:35 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0109051210.2f03edb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19333

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
news:<20010905084954.02558.00000018@mb-df.aol.com>...
> From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>
> >It is not related to the other topics. It is supposed to measure
> >the applicants skill at receiving Morse code.
>
> I think, Hans, that it is very much related to the other topics. Under the
> present rules, if you don't demonstrate that skill, you don't get to use any of
> the other topics on HF.

Ed,

Either --

1) You didn't understand Kim's question, or;

2) You're patronizing me.

For now, I'll assume 1).

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3040. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 20:15:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B9687D5.C0B6207C@uci.edu>
Source record: 19334

Your name wrote:
> WA1HLR has provided many hours of entertainment and information since the
> early 70's.

How entertaining and informative could belches and farts be?

3041. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 20:39:20 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B968D78.99111BD9@uci.edu>
Source record: 19335

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Without slavery as the driving issue, there would
> have been far less motivation to have a war than <not> to have one.

I feel more like I do now than I did before. :-)

jk

3042. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:11:31 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tpd56kod973f5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 19336

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n40nbve0@208.206.142.84...
> To repeat for the N'th time, I certainly don't advocate selecting CW
> for emergency use ahead of more efficient modes of any type. That would
> be folly. But as we all know when we pause to consider it, the
> availability of CW adds one more capability which many who never learned
> to use it clearly have no accurate way to evaluate. So most of them just
> say it never will be needed and gladly write it off.

The question I have never seen addressed to any satisfactory degree is the issue of CW proficiency. One a Ham has passed whatever CW test you deem necessary how do you, or how can you, guarantee the Ham still has sufficient proficiency at CW that he would be competent in using it for emergency communications, at a later date? Without forced practice, and mandatory retesting on a periodic interval I can't see it. I'll bet you right now there are Hams out there who only passed the CW test just so they could upgrade, and then never bothered to keep their CW proficiency up with regular use. I'll even bet some would have trouble sending their call sign in CW.

So after all is said and done nobody knows if a given CW tested Ham is able to use CW during an emergency. In my mind that leave a huge question why bother with a mandatory CW test at, all since nobody knows if a CW tested Ham will still be able to use it years after the test is done and forgotten. I think this blows a hole in the whole argument that a Ham needs to know CW for emergency communications, true, but only if the Ham(s) in question still know how to use it. A once in a life time CW test in my mind just doesn't do it.

So if CW is SO necessary then push the ARRL and the FCC for mandatory CW retesting at frequent intervals, so that every CW tested Ham can be counted do their duty during an emergency situation where CW is needed. Good luck.

--

__
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic

Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

3043. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:11:44 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kywl7.11675$151.946786@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19337

What happened to the 'good old days' of W2OY (Mike) calling 'CQ CQ no lids,
kids, space cadets or school bus riders'?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - oh, yes - 'No wet-bottom boys'

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3B9687D5.C0B6207C@uci.edu...
>
>
> Your name wrote:
> > WA1HLR has provided many hours of entertainment and information since
the
> > early 70's.
>
> How entertaining and informative could belches and farts be?

3044. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:38:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905173859.15285.00000050@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19338

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/01 2:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905145153.07922.00003284@nso-cr.aol.com>

>It seems to me that long-timer criticsm is growing more strident.

>Remember, the critical old time hams get their fun out of being
>critical.

They can afford to be. They have "been there/done that".

So far you haven't even "been there", but you are still critical.

>They already have theirs and feel superior.

I am sure you think so. Not being licensed nor participating in any
Amateur programs you have NO practical experience upon which to base this.

Unless, of course, you refer to the "friends" you frequently cite as also
being licensed Hams.

That would explain a lot of why you are in here. I imagine they are as
closed minded and foolish as you.

>In-person
>you can see their eyes glow and their muscles tense as they
>perpare to bait the newcomers.

I was right.

>What seems to spoil it for newcomers is the pompous long-timer
>who insists that newcomers "learn" Their way in order to be as
>good as they imply they are.

Yeah...learning is SO over-rated. Especially for someone who took
fourteen years to get a two-year degree, huh Lennie?

>God forbid anyone corrects their errors!

You mean like YOU do...?!?!

>>I guess I was lucky; I ran into old time hams that didn't fill some inner
>>need by ripping me apart for every false step in my lifetime of learning.
>
>Consider yourself lucky.

Why...?!?! That he fell among "average" Hams that would offer to
help...?!?!

Of course, this is AGAIN another example of YOUR glaring lack of practical experience in the Amateur Radio Community.

>In my case I had neither help nor hindrance by pompous long-timers
>in my teen years. The help and hindrance stuff was spotted on
>reaching adulthood.

When you REACH adulthood, tell us about it. Until then...go back into hibernation, OK?

>I'm still learning as are most of my
>contemporaries.

Most of your "contemptoraries" (yes, I spelled it right) aren't the insolent jerk you are...Thankfully.

>Today's pompous long-timer seems to insist that all teens or
>young adults must view radio with the same intense interest
>they claim to have had...or be considered somehow defective.

Who...?!?! Quotes, please...?!?!\

>Since the ego-
>driven strive also for control, position, and status, they can be
>seen as those insistent types who maintain that testing,
>standards, and procedures remain unchanged.

Kinda like the "ego-driven" types, such as yourself, who are too good to sit for the exam because you think YOU know too much already...?!?!

>Some of the pompous (and arrogant) long-timers have adopted
>amateur radio as an entire lifestyle, rather like the fraternal
>order members whose life revolves around the lodge hall

Here we go again with the "lodge hall" mentality rant. We can just substitute "Amateur Radio Operator" with "retired electronics technician" and it would apply equally well to Lennie.

In as much as Lennie demonstates difficulty making accurate assesments of images in the mirror, one wonders how he shaves safely.

(SNIP).>...but it can lead to a sort of
>"closed order" society subset that is blind or bigoted to the
>rest of radio and electronics.

Our local club's youngest ACTIVE member is in grade school. It includes housewives, laborers and Physicians.

If anything is "closed", it is Herr Andersons' perceptions of reality.

>...foolish notion
>considering that US amateur radio is one of the most open of
>such groupings, open to any of the public who care to be
>interested.

Sounds good...from someone who is NOT a member of the community that he has the temerity to set standards for.

SJR

3045. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:44:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905174401.15285.00000052@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19339

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/01 2:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905145149.07922.00003280@nso-cr.aol.com>

>There is no reason to "preserve" morse code skill for any
>national need.

And this is based upon what practical experience?

Steve

3046. Re: fill-in questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:47:24 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <M3xl7.11741$151.949673@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19340

>> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>>Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
>>of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done.

> W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote
>
> Sure it can be done. In a circuit, one can separate voltage and current in
> a circuit -- each exist as separate entities and be changed by circuit
> conditions.

Very strictly speaking, Dick is right. The only time E and H fields
can be completely independent of each other is in the static case where
dE/dt and dH/dt both are zero. Maxwell sez that for every dE/dt and dH/dt
in three-space there is a resulting curl H and curl E (respectively).

However, Ed's point about the circuit-theory approximations allowing
voltage and current (and by inference, E and H) to be considered
independently is well-taken. Electrically small antennas can be made
to discriminate strongly in favor either E or H field with the other
inferred by Ohm's law from free-space Zo.

I was thinking about just this subject back in 1971 while I was "walking
out the radials" on a country-and-western, seven-tower, DA-2 in Ft. Worth,
Texas with Willy and Waylon clanking away in the earphones plugged into
the field strength meter. That field strength meter, by the way, had a
shielded loop antenna built into its lid, ensuring it only responded to
the received H field, but was calibrated in volts/meter - the units used
to measure E field. As far as observing that the Q and A was right, that
field strength did vary inversely with distance, yeah, I confirmed that
close-in. But as I headed out West towards Wichita Falls and the dry
Cap Rock, I found the field strength decreasing with the square, cube...
tenth power of distance as the soil conductivity went to zilch. It is
truly amazing how having to repeat a set of field strength measurements
in 100-degree, Texas weather clarifies one's mind concerning the
characteristics of electromagnetic waves.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

3047. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:52:19 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <n8xl7.18441$Qt3.232052@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19341

>
> You are about 200 years late for metric time. Refuse all you want to use
> metric measurements. The problem, of course, is that there is no English
> equivalent to a Watt, Ampere, Volt, Ohm, Joule, Coulomb, etc.
>
> The metric system is simply arbitrary where the English units are at least
> people-sized so it is like being lost in a house built for 13-foot
people --
> nothing is familiar. But you do it anyway and obtain your revenge by
> driving the rest of the world nuts with keys and clicks.
>
> And the English system is Force-length-time where MKS is mass-length-time
> which can cause some interesting problems in Engineering.
>
> In the long run of things, it is no harder than the discrepency between
the
> Imperial Gallon and the American gallon aka the British Wine Gallon back
in
> the days of travelling to Canada. King Charles couldn't get a tax
increase
> so he made the gallon smaller. As we were a nation of rumrunners and
> bootleggers, guess which became standard.
>
> Ultimately it is like code tests: until you get the testosterone out of
the
> problem, you ain't gonna pass.
>
> Dick, N&#65533;BK
>
>
Well... the post was mostly in a joking matter but of course text does not
reflect inflections etc. I understand there is a "few" things that may not
be able to change such as the electronic ones as mentioned before. Maybe
my kids will have to adjust to the metric system, but I refuse to. I will
never refer to the length and weights equivalents in metric at least.

Ryan

3048. Re: Recruiting new hams, ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:52:19 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <n8xl7.18442$Qt3.231983@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19342

WA8ULX, this is one of the few things I am sure we will ever agree on, but here in Michigan I can report the same situation. About the only time there is much traffic is on times of Public Service Nets and the morning/afternoon drivetimes. About the only regularly used repeater system in Michigan is the W8HVG Link System (www.w8hvg.org).

Ryan

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010903205910.00857.00010416@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >You must be in a very remote area, we have 7 repeaters in my county. And
a
> >few
> >dozen in the area it self. Most of them are very active.
> >
> >
>
> We have at least 40 or 50 in my area, and you would be lucky to find 2 or
3
> that would have any traffic

3049. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:52:20 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <o8xl7.18443$Qt3.232194@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19343

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010904040341.04822.00001187@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <3b94494e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >In phone I would imagine it would be difficult, unless there are
established
> >euphemisms each could use that would allow an exchange of information.
The
> >question I asked, though, is from the perspective of CW being claimed as
a
> >universal language.
>
> There is no "universal language". (Except money, perhaps!)

Nope, not even that either..... forget about exchange rates??? :)

Ryan

3050. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 21:57:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905175702.15285.00000056@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19344

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/01 2:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905145147.07922.00003278@nso-cr.aol.com>

>
>It's quite a spectrum full of frequencies. See Part 15 of Title 47.
>Not a great deal of output power allowed, though

And don't forget Part 95, Lennie. You can call CQ there all day without knowing a lick of Morse.

>>Seems to me, he was some military clerk typist sending
>>telexes to the states.
>
>Quite incorrect. "This guy" was an E-5 in the US Army with
>a primary MOS of 381.6 (the "point six" denoted supervisor),
>operating and maintaining 36 to 42 transmitters on HF....(SNIP)

We are going to have to put out another "Beware of Lennie" FAQ, especially about letting him get his toe in the door on his >>1950's<< radio experiences in the Armed Forces.

>Who are you, troll? Some insecure CB weenie?

>Radio is radio, anonymous one. The physics is the same whether
>designated amateur, commercial, or military.

The physics are the same. That's NOT what we discuss here, Oh LICENSELESS One. It's the APPLICATIONS.

Of course, with no authorization, (including your "expired" GROL), it's all moot for you, huh...?!?!

>The US military of over 48 years ago did NOT use CW for fixed-
>station to fixed-station "traffic handling" on HF.

Sure they did. Not to the degree that they had even a few years earlier, but it was still in use.

>The anonymous one hasn't stated his/hers/its
>"qualifications" OR call-sign

And YOUR Amateur qualifications and/or callsign is...?!?!?!

>Not at all. Most amateurs (in here) seem pissed off that
>there exist professionals in radio.

Not at all...we depend on them.. We are just "po'ed" over ALLEGED professionals (such as yourself, for example) who feel compelled to force thier own standards on "us" all the while belittling us for how we "do business".

> Can't handle arguments. Poor baby.

I think it's more a "creep tolerence" problem, actually. Anonymous as he is, he seems to have hit right on the money with you. (As if it would take any amount of effort...!!!)

>Leonard H. Anderson

Yeah...SURE you are.

3051. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 22:27:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B96A6E3.BB730C5E@uci.edu>
Source record: 19345

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Kf6vyh wrote:

> > I for one have 6, 10, 15, 17, and 20 meters diaploles that I made reading the
> > ARRL antena book without the help of an elmer. I also have a 3 element 2 meter
> > beam and a two meter ground plane antenna I built by reading my book. I am one
> > of the New Hams that some of the old hams are afraid of.
>
> That's where you are 180 degrees out of phase with reality. That's the
> sort of activity we wish to see in newcomers. There's too little of it
> these days.

I think what he meant to convey is the idea that he's one of these guys
we keep hearing doesn't exist anymore. I count myself as being among
these "nonexistant" types.

73, ac6xg

3052. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 22:46:24 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b9628c5.5987837@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19346

On 04 Sep 2001 12:15:54 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>
>>> If you had bothered to do any reading on the history of the hobby, you
>>> would know that there is a complete body of signals that serve to convey
>>> exact meanings regardless of the language of the sender or receiving
>>> operator, who learns many of them and keeps a complete chart at hand at
>>> his operating position for use of those he hasn't learned. It is indeed
>>> a limited language, but if you believe that well trained CW operators
>>> can't carry on meaningful communications while not knowing each other's
>>> native language it just displays your ignornace on the subject. It has
>>> been going on for near a hundred years.
>
>>I have never read anything about the history of the hobby and, if the
>>"language" is that common then it should not take a read of the history of
>>radio to discover this "complete body of signals" as you put it. Also, you
>>say there is a chart. Surely this chart is published somewhere? I'd be
>>very interested in having such a chart. Do you know of a source for this
>>chart.
>
>He is talking about the list of Q signals, Kim. They are used on voice and on
>digital modes, too. There is no list unique to CW.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Yet there is NO formal FCC requirement to know or understand that list
of Q signals.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3053. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 22:46:25 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b962a10.6319060@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19347

On 05 Sep 2001 04:03:21 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3b94b8e2_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20010904060922.04822.00001189@nso-fa.aol.com...
>>> In article <3b93ee72_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
>>writes:
>>>
>>> >What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to do
>>> >with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
>>> >measuring?
>>> >
>>> Very little - that's why it needs its own test.
>>>
>>> There are three main reasons for the questions on the written tests:
>>>
>>> 1) To address known problems in the ARS. For example, years ago the FCC
>>cited a
>>> lot of hams for out-of-band operation and poor signal quality. New
>>questions
>>> were added to the tests on these subjects.
>>>
>>> 2) To advance the "incentive licensing" concept of hams learning more. A
>>> General class ham can use every band and mode that an Extra can use, and
>>at
>>> full power. For that matter, Techs can do all authorized band/modes above
>>50
>>> MHz. So the added technical questions on the higher-class tests arefor IL
>>> reasons (why is a Tech technically qualified to operate legal limit SSB on
>>50.3
>>> MHz but not 14.3 MHz?)
>>>
>>> 3) To insure that hams can be held responsible for certain knowledge
>>(primarily
>>> regs and safety). This puts the burden of responsibility on the licensee,
>>not
>>> the FCC. If I'm out of band, I cannot claim ignorance of FCC rules,
>>because
>>> questions about the band edges and the need to stay inside the bands are
>>on the
>>> current tests. If I can't pass the current tests, I'm not qualified to be
>>on
>>> the air.

>>>
>>> Do the current writtens GUARANTEE that a ham knows the material? No way.
>>The
>>> FCC doesn't think they need a guarantee, though.
>>>
>>
>>I understand the implication of the written tests.
>
>I think by "implication" you mean "purpose and intent". Please calrify if I
>misunderstood.
>>
>>> As for code testing, the ONLY reason it still exists in the USA (according
>>to
>>> the FCC) is because the international treaty requires it and the FCC will
>>not
>>> go against that treaty requirement. They've stripped code testing to the
>>bare
>>> minimum that can reasonably be considered to meet the treaty, and they
>>won;t do
>>> anything else until the treaty changes. FCC made that perfectly clear in
>>the
>>> restructuring R&O. FCC simply said that they no longer see ANY reason for
>>the
>>> code TEST other than the treaty. For now, their hands are tied.
>
>>
>>Then, it must be your opinion that the CW mode test does not measure
>>anything other than CW skill?
>
>Nope. It measures other things, too.

Such as? Now before answering, recognize that a code
test of random alpha/numeric and punctuation for 5 minutes
with one minute of perfect copy would constitute a pass
for the CW test if given in that manner. The traditional
testing usually uses a text message from which questions
are asked of the applicant. That understanding is incidental to
the actual CW test requirement.

>The debate is really about whether those
>other things need to be measured by a pass-fail test that is required for an
>HF/MF ham license.

Again, what other things?

>>I think like you, that the only reason the CW
>>mode test was ever there was to comply with international treaty agreements,
>>and to "follow along" with the concept of having trained operators who could
>>meet the same standards as those they may encounter from a government
>>entity, such as the Coast Guard.
>

>Those are two reasons, but there are others.
>
>> CW is no longer emphasized, so the
>>government no longer requires radio operators with advanced license
>>privileges to have such a focus.
>>
>No longer emphasized or widely used by other services, yes, but it's all over
>the place in ham radio.

And it is now, and has been for over 50 years, a strictly OPTIONAL
mode of choice. Use by others hasn't mandated anyone to
learn and/or use it. The ONLY mandated aspect of CW has
been as a license requirement. There was a time when the
FCC license renewal form asked the ham to state that he/she had
maintained the code skill requirement, but that hasn't been the
case for well over 20 or more years.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3054. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 23:16:36 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B96B254.59B45E08@uci.edu>
Source record: 19348

N0YM wrote:
> Actually, if you go back to the post I was responding to, I was
> drawing a distinction between the above and saying a surplus means the
> Government is taking in *more money than it needs.*

A surplus means the government is taking in more money than it should,
not spending less than it should. I'd rather spend what little I have
myself, thank you.

ac6xg

3055. Re: Test Question For Ed Hare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 23:24:14 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yuyl7.8488$Uf1.701467@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19349

Jim, this may have been directed at Ed, but ... back in the very early 70's a guy had a problem ... he needed something to happen (I won't go into what, you can probably guess) ... all I know is I saw an arrowhead on a symbol. I don't care what it is or how it is displayed - it indicated 'variable' to me. Understanding depletion zones (and I hadn't seen the symbol before), I took it to mean a variable capacitance diode. Tracing the circuit backwards, I pointed out what had to be done. It worked.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - this was, I assumed, for experimental purposes.

"Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message news:r71dpt4892s64vr2dgi5kjb9esjlr0n3hg@4ax.com...
> Ed --
>
> Where in creation did the test question team come up with that cockamamie symbol
> for a varicap?
>
> Jim
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3056. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 23:45:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905194525.15845.00000035@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19350

In article <20010905175702.15285.00000056@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) leaped to an attack on an anonymous troll and wrote:

> We are going to have to put out another "Beware of Lennie" FAQ,
>especially
>about letting him get his toe in the door on his >>1950's<< radio experiences
>in the Armed Forces.

Pisses Stevie off does it? :-)

How about radio experience in the 1960s, the 1970s, the 1980s?

>>Radio is radio, anonymous one. The physics is the same whether
>>designated amateur, commercial, or military.
>
> The physics are the same. That's NOT what we discuss here, Oh
>LICENSELESS One. It's the APPLICATIONS.

Wrong. This newsgroup was created to discuss the morse code test for an amateur license, to take the overflow from rec.radio.misc.

Stevie is not the moderator here, wasn't even here when the newsgroup was created, cannot "rule" on what is discussed. He tries to, though... :-)

In order to get into amateur radio with full under-30-MHz privileges, a morse code test must be passed. That is what I discuss when not fending off gratuitous personal insults... insults which seem to be a private cathartic release for others' own frustrations.

> Of course, with no authorization, (including your "expired" GROL), it's
>all moot for you, huh...?!?!

What "expired" GROL? :-)

>>The US military of over 48 years ago did NOT use CW for fixed-
>>station to fixed-station "traffic handling" on HF.
>
> Sure they did. Not to the degree that they had even a few years earlier,
>but it was still in use.

Untrue. Fixed-station to fixed-station "traffic handling" was done by TTY, either FSK or AFSK on 12 KHz SSB. There was mobile station to fixed station "traffic" using OOK CW on some USN stations prior to the 1970s.

>>The anonymous one hasn't stated his/hers/its
>>"qualifications" OR call-sign
>
> And YOUR Amateur qualifications and/or callsign is...?!?!?!

Tsk, tsk. Big Stevie used to be all up-in-arms, shouting at
other anonymous trollers...when they were hitting on him and
his ideas. Now Stevie support them. Stevie is a supporter?
:-)

>>Not at all. Most amateurs (in here) seem pissed off that
>>there exist professionals in radio.
>
> Not at all...we depend on them.. We are just "po'ed" over ALLEGED
>professionals (such as yourself, for example) who feel compelled to force
>thier
>own standards on "us" all the while belittling us for how we "do business".

Poor baby. Still angry, still frustrated, cannot read previous
postings. Stevie can't admit that there exist non-amateur
radio professionals so he uses the descriptor of "alleged."

Well, I'll just review the "alleged" interest income in my bank
accounts from the "alleged" past professional work and get
back to you... :-)

>>Leonard H. Anderson
>
> Yeah...SURE you are.

Stevie got one right. Things seem to be improving. :-)

LHA

3057. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 23:45:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905194528.15845.00000036@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19252

In article <20010905173859.15285.00000050@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes in furious abandon:

>>It seems to me that long-timer criticsm is growing more strident.
>
>>Remember, the critical old time hams get their fun out of being
>>critical.
>
> They can afford to be. They have "been there/done that".

And seem to think they are immune from civility and manners.

> So far you haven't even "been there", but you are still critical.

I haven't communicated by radio all by myself? Tsk, tsk.
Wrongo, big Stevie.

>>They already have theirs and feel superior.
>
> I am sure you think so. Not being licensed nor participating in any
>Amateur programs you have NO practical experience upon which to base this.

Amateur radio is a "secret" society with nothing of its doings
published anywhere?

> Unless, of course, you refer to the "friends" you frequently cite as
>also being licensed Hams.

My wife and I just had a wonderful visit with Jim Hall, KD6JG,
and his wife at their spacious new home in Cedar Ridge, CA.
Jim has been licensed since well before big Stevie was born.

KD6JG must be an "alleged" person, the same as my "alleged"
professionalism. :-)

> That would explain a lot of why you are in here. I imagine they are as
>closed minded and foolish as you.

Oh my, big Stevie insults people he's never met, doesn't
know, probably hasn't worked on HF. Tsk, tsk.

>>In-person
>>you can see their eyes glow and their muscles tense as they
>>perpare to bait the newcomers.
>
> I was right.

Big Stevie's eyes glowed, he snarled, and furiously worked
the keyboard...a CD played the "Ride of Valkyries" in the
background as he adjusted his campaign hat and wished
for the smell of napalm. :-)

> Yeah...learning is SO over-rated. Especially for someone who took
>fourteen years to get a two-year degree, huh Lennie?

Fifteen years for a four-year degree. It's difficult for someone
who had to travel on the job to attend successive semesters.

Big Stevie could do the same but lacks the resolve...or
ability?

>>God forbid anyone corrects their errors!
>
> You mean like YOU do...?!?!

Of course. I will acknowledge errors that are errors (and
have). I will not acknowledge manufactured errors which
other folks say I make so that they can make message
points.

>>>I guess I was lucky; I ran into old time hams that didn't fill some inner
>>>need by ripping me apart for every false step in my lifetime of learning.
>>
>>Consider yourself lucky.
>
> Why...?!?! That he fell among "average" Hams that would offer to
>help...?!?!

Big Stevie "knows" the "average ham" that Ed Hare was at
13 years of age? I don't think so.

> Of course, this is AGAIN another example of YOUR glaring lack of
>practical experience in the Amateur Radio Community.

Tsk, tsk. Big Stevie is at it again, demanding a license in
order to just talk about getting into amateur radio.

Conundrumism: One can't talk about getting into amateur
radio without already being in amateur radio. :-)

>>In my case I had neither help nor hindrance by pompous long-timers
>>in my teen years. The help and hindrance stuff was spotted on
>>reaching adulthood.
>
> When you REACH adulthood, tell us about it. Until then...go back into
>hibernation, OK?

Ah, so big Stevie is now a judge of adult behavior? :-) :-)

[adult XXX? :-)]

>>I'm still learning as are most of my
>>contemporaries.

>
> Most of your "contemptoraries" (yes, I spelled it right) aren't the
>insolent jerk you are...Thankfully.

Big Stevie knows all, sees all, insults all. :-)

> Kinda like the "ego-driven" types, such as yourself, who are too good to
>sit for the exam because you think YOU know too much already...?!?!

Ah, so big Stevie is judge of everything now and his particular
opinion of anything is THE truth? Anyone not agreeing with
his opinion is open for insults, denigration, and general
nastiness.

I'm discussing the morse code test for an amateur radio license
and feel that it is not required based on other regulations and a
lot of experience in military and commercial radio. Big Stevie
is a traditionalist and has tried to mark his territory by pissing
all over those who don't agree with him. This isn't a debate,
it is trying to reason with a tiny, fire-breathing dinosaur
wearing a Dill Instructor's campaign hat... :-).

> Here we go again with the "lodge hall" mentality rant. We can just
>substitute "Amateur Radio Operator" with "retired electronics technician" and
>it would apply equally well to Lennie.

Big Stevie tries the insult ploy again. :-)

I am a retired electronics engineer. The US government
believes that, the state of California believes that. Stevie
can't believe it, doesn't want to believe it. Tsk, tsk.

> In as much as Lennie demonstates difficulty making accurate assesments
>of images in the mirror, one wonders how he shaves safely.

With a Panasonic cordless electric razor. Wet or dry, it
recharges though magnetic coupling. :-)

> Our local club's youngest ACTIVE member is in grade school.

Big Stevie never graduated from school?!? :-)

> Sounds good...from someone who is NOT a member of the community that he
>has the temerity to set standards for.

"Set standards?!?" Heh, in order to get into amateur radio
with full below-30-MHz privileges, one has to pass a morse
code test. I advocate only the elimination of that code test.
Big Stevie dislikes that. Intensely. So much so that he
cannot argue the point logically, only emotionally and with
personal insults. Tsk, tsk.

The FCC accepts input from all citizens on radio regulations.
They've said so up front, in public view. It's on their web site.
The "temerity" required by any citizen desiring to affect

changes in any radio service regulation is nothing more than the "temerity" required to compose a reasoned, logical statement. The FCC does not require an already-granted amateur radio license in order to accept input on regulations concerning the amateur radio service. Big Stevie thinks so.

In at least two other threads, big Stevie has made political commentary of a general nature...yet he has not presented any qualifications as a political expert on affairs not about amateur radio. Hypocritical attitudes. Tsk, tsk. :-)

LHA

3058. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-05 23:45:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905194529.15845.00000037@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19251

In article <20010905174401.15285.00000052@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>There is no reason to "preserve" morse code skill for any
>>national need.
>
> And this is based upon what practical experience?

Every other radio service except amateur. :-)

Oh! I forgot. Big Stevie cannot accept anything about
getting into amateur radio unless one is already IN
amateur radio. Big Stevie keeps beating the conundrum...

LHA

3059. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 00:38:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905203815.06185.00000162@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19253

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905194525.15845.00000035@nso-fy.aol.com>

>Pisses Stevie off does it?

Hardly, Your Loserness. As a matter of fact, your frequent lamenting about the tales of "old timers" interspersed with your OWN "back in 1954..." tales only serves to prove what several of us have been saying about you for years.

You are two-faced (among others) and your hatred of anything "Amateur" negates anything constructive you MAY have had to offer.

>How about radio experience in the 1960s, the 1970s, the 1980s

You were a licensed Amatuer in the 60's, 70's, or 80's...?!?!

>Wrong. This newsgroup was created to discuss the morse code test for an amateur license, to take the overflow from rec.radio.misc.

It doesn't matter. Review of the FACTS demonstrates that it has outgrown THAT "charter" YEARS ago.

And in ANY case, how do radio PHYSICS have anything to do with the Morse Code test...?!?!

Mind you, Lennie, YOU are the one arguing what this NG is SUPPOSED to be for...which begs to ask WHY you discusss ANY matter OTHER than Morse Code if it's such a nit-pick for you.

Again, you are two-faced. Such things ONLY apply when they suit you.

>Stevie is not the moderator here, wasn't even here when the newsgroup was created, cannot "rule" on what is discussed.

Un oh...Uncle Lennie is exerting his "tenure" here again...An "old fart", by his own definition.

>In order to get into amateur radio with full under-30-MHz privileges, a morse code test must be passed.

Ya think, Lennie? Perhaps international treaties which are in place have anything to do with it? Oh...I forgot...Lennie doesn't believe that the United States is bound by those treaties...Guess we need Phil to realign your gyros for you again, lennie?

>That is what I discuss when not fending off gratuitous personal insults.

Perhaps if you weren't so gratuitously insulting, you wouldn't have a problem.

>insults which seem to be a private cathartic release for others' own frustrations

Nope. I am licensed. You aren't. Seems YOU are the one with a frustration problem.

>What "expired" GROL?

Oh LENNIE! Shall we remind the Google Surfers to look at your comments of October past when you asserted that you were allowing your GROL to "expire" on October 12th..?!?! (Hence the quotation marks on "expired"...?!?!)

SUCH A CARD! And the excuses you tried to pass on for your obvious lack of awareness of your OWN license...!!!!

Well...I guess we shouldn't be surprised. You continue to insist you have such carte blanche access to the RF spectrum by virtue of same GROL when same GROL FORBIDS your operating without the benefit of appropriate FCC station licensure.

You DO read your license documents, don't you, Oh Licenseless One..?!?!

>Tsk, tsk. Big Stevie used to be all up-in-arms, shouting at other anonymous trollers...when they were hitting on him and his ideas.

Yep. Still do.

> Now Stevie support them.

Naw...just amazed that EVERYONE that comes here, "anonymous" or otherwise, seem to formulate the same opinion on you.

Except, of course, your own anonymous "cheering section", ie: MegHz and others......They support YOU!

(And I might add that YOU have not a negative word for them vis-a-vis THIER anonymity...Again...the two-faced Lennie prevails)

>Poor baby. Still angry, still frustrated, cannot read previous postings. Stevie can't admit that there exist non-amateur radio professionals so he uses the descriptor of "alleged."

I read fine, Lennie. It's just that your conduct here, including your OWN "denigrating" comments are those of anything BUT a professional.

>Well, I'll just review the "alleged" interest income in my bank accounts from the "alleged" past professional work and get back to you

There's a lot of dope peddlers out there with big bank accounts. Can you PROVE where the income came from..?!?!

Lennie resorting to "mine is bigger than yours" in order to make some point?

>Stevie got one right. Things seem to be improving.

They were until you showed back up again.

3060. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 00:44:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905204457.06185.00000164@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19254

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905194529.15845.00000037@nso-fy.aol.com>

> And this is based upon what practical experience?
>
>Every other radio service except amateur.

Hmmmm.....I thought this WAS an "amateur" related newsgroup...?!?!

Again...WHAT practical experience do you have, and I will add, in AMATEUR programs...?!?!

>Big Stevie cannot accept anything about
>getting into amateur radio unless one is already IN amateur radio.

How would you KNOW about Amateur Radio, Lennie? What PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE do you have as a member of the Amateur community...?!?!

Answer: ZERO.

Still waiting on that dissertation on microwave techniques, Lennie.

Of course, THAT will never happen. MORE "two-faced" assertions from the Left Coast.

>Big Stevie keeps beating the conundrum...

Just following your lead, Your Geezerness.

3061. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:19:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905211910.06185.00000170@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19255

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/5/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905194528.15845.00000036@nso-fy.aol.com>

>And seem to think they are immune from civility and manner

Again...coming from you, this is laughable.

>I haven't communicated by radio all by myself? Tsk, tsk. Wrongo, big Stevie

You DO have a license, Lennie...?!?! Please tell us!

>Amateur radio is a "secret" society with nothing of its doings published
anywhere?

I would again ask you to please tell us of your accomplishments in the
Amateur Radio Service, but the waste of bandwidth is almost criminal..

>My wife and I just had a wonderful visit with Jim Hall, KD6JG, and his wife at
their spacious new home in Cedar Ridge, CA. Jim has been licensed since well
before big Stevie was born.

Well WHOOPIE DOOO! But that has NOTHING to do with the fact that YOU
assert that Amateurs make some sort of facial contorsions at the prospect of
supposedly harrassing "newcomers".

This "face" is one that you would have to personally witness, and I assume
that since KD6JG is one of the people whom you insist on embarrassing as being
an acquaintence, that he is one of the one's who engages in this behaviour.

I am sure he owns a nice home.

>KD6JG must be an "alleged" person, the same as my "alleged" professionalism.

Nope. He's a real person. And your "professionalism" IS alleged. It's
been absent in here.

>Oh my, big Stevie insults people he's never met, doesn't know, probably hasn't
worked on HF.

Unfortunately for him, "guilty by association". Perhaps he should pick
his "friends" more cautiously...or better yet, perhaps YOU should have his
consent before slandering-by-association.

>a CD played the "Ride of Valkyries" in the
> background as he adjusted his campaign hat and wished for the smell of
napalm.

Only on Lanark Drive...and only after safely evacuating the neighborhood.

>Fifteen years for a four-year degree.

Oh Dopey Me.

>It's difficult for someone who had to travel on the job to attend successive semesters.

One of my USMC colleagues completed his Masters in eight years...WITH deployments.

I guess the frequent changes in employment that you have told us about is reason for that, huh...?!?!

>Big Stevie could do the same but lacks the resolve...or ability?

I lack the same resolve to take 15 years to get a four year degree?

>> Of course, this is AGAIN another example of YOUR glaring lack of
>>practical experience in the Amateur Radio Community.

>Tsk, tsk. Big Stevie is at it again, demanding a license in order to just talk about getting into amateur radio.

Nope...It's about YOUR lack of experience to discuss Amateur matters from the point of practical experience.

You have NONE. Inside "the box"...?!?! Sure. You know your stuff.....ABOUT Amateur Radio..?!?! You know squat.

>I advocate only the elimination of that code test.

Then let's see how long you can argue that and ONLY that, Lennie.

>So much so that he cannot argue the point logically, only emotionally and with personal insults.

Well, Lennie, you primed the pump. Shoulda stuck to facts and civility yourself, Your Loserness. We wouldn't be at this juncture, now would we...?!?!

Lennie, WHEN will you learn? Your sleights, insults and demeaning conduct will no longer be allowed to pass without challenge. Your "arguments" were long ago told and are no longer relevant, just like you.

>In at least two other threads, big Stevie has made political commentary of a general nature...yet he has not presented any qualifications as a political expert on affairs not about amateur radio.

And unlike you, I have not tried to present myself as an expert on said matters. Just a citizen with an opinion.

YOU hold yourself up as some kind of expert on Amatuer matters and hope the neophytes in this forum will sit up and take notice. You attempt to "impress" us with your CV, hoping further that no one notices that your one and only "exposure" into Amateur Radio was as a writer for a now-defunct magazine.

You know nothing of Amateur MATTERS, and that you have NO experience in Amateur PRACTICE. No one, EXCEPT you argues that this is anything but Amatuer Radio, yet you insist on trying to make it appear as such.

>Hypocritical attitudes.

Yes, you do have them. I agree.

3062. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:42:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905214246.02518.00000115@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19256

From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>I think what he meant to convey is the idea that he's one of these guys
>we keep hearing doesn't exist anymore. I count myself as being among
>these "nonexistant" types.

You wouldn't mean one of those guys who stands at the flea-market tables loaded with parts and trades money for those parts and takes them home, would you? Or are you one of those guys who buys the kits from one or more of the dozen or so kit manufacturers that sprang up after Heathkit went away? I gotta' say that you are doing a pretty good job of covering up the fact that you aren't building anything!

:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3063. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:49:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905214913.02518.00000119@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19257

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Come on Ed, you surely know better. For most of the past century CW
>ops of every nationality have communicated, often without knowledge of
>each other's language. There exists far more than the Q signals and the
>few prosigns used today by hams.

I will add RST to the list, but in the hundreds of CW QSOs I have had, the exchange of information has either been RST 599 QTH Oingoboingo Op Bill, or it has been in some form of English.

Can you give us some example of communication that is neither English or common Q sigs or RST?

>Z signals

I don't think I have ever heard them in use on the ham bands.

>ARL numbered radiograms

I have never heard them in use outside formal traffic handling, but that is an example. Most hams, DX or otherwise, don't use them.

>There is another long list of "official" obreviations that are (were)
>universally recognized by CW operators the world over.

Those abbreviations were abbreviations of English words.

>Additionally,
>English
>has long been recognized as the most universal language for
>international radio communications, and many opertors in other nations
>quickly learned enough English to use it routinely for
>radiocommunications.

Well, yeah, but we WERE talking about communicating between people that don't share the same language, Dick. Now you say that communicating between people who don't know the same language is possible if they both know enough English to get by?

>Sorry if many American hams don't know that.

And I'm sorry that you don't know that English is a language, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3064. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:52:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905215218.02518.00000120@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19258

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Basically because Dick doesn't have the time, right now anyway, to work
>up any new questions. I won't do it on the spur of the moment.

You have been going on about this here for years, Dick, so I wasn't asking you to make up more new questions that the ones that you have previously sent to the Question Pool committee every year they ask for public input. I wasn't even asking you to find the questions and input you have sent them in the past. I was asking for permission to ask them if they have all of this input that you have given them so we can post it here to see what you had to say where it really counts.

That sounds fair, right?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3065. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:54:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905215450.02518.00000121@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19259

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>>Why should CW survive?

>>For the same reasons that any operating mode should survive in the Amateur
>>Radio Service, Len.

>>Why would you ask such a question?

>...because some (such as Carroll) equate FCC testing with use
>of CW). There is no separate manual-mode test element in the
>FCC regulations for any of the other modes. Further, the FCC
>regulations do not require amateurs to use OOK CW over and
>above any other mode. It doesn't make sense that testing for
>morse code ability be retained in license testing.

Okay, but the thread had evolved to the point where we were discussing the
survival of Morse code sans FCC testing. Dick does not believe that the mode
has enough use and value that it will survive without testing and I believe
that it does.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3066. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:57:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905215707.02518.00000122@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19260

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>CW will survive and continue to flourish in amateur radio as long as
>hams continue to use it and promote it on its merits.

Amen, Jim. I will add that those that demote it in an attempt to keep the FCC testing it will not have done themselves or the continuation of the mode any favors. When the FCC drops morse code testing, or at least most of it, promoting the merits of CW will be all that they have left, just like every other mode. I wonder how many of the words some say today they will have to deny saying?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3067. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:58:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905215845.02518.00000123@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19261

From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov

>Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
>transmit legally without a license.

It is legal to transmit on any amateur frequency without a license, either at high power in a true emergency, or at a very low power level.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3068. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 01:59:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905215948.02518.00000124@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19262

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>It's quite a spectrum full of frequencies. See Part 15 of Title 47.
>Not a great deal of output power allowed, though. :-)

On some bands, as much as 1 watt, if memory serves.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3069. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:03:44 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96dbe6_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19266

Dick:

Why do you want the open comments about emergency communications to turn into a contest about who loves or hates CW? It has nothing to do with the discussion (well, at least from the perspective of discussion).

"prepared" means what in terms of emergency communications? We must be reasonable in our own expectations. We cannot be "prepared" for everything available to us in an emergency. Cost, alone is prohibitive. Your insistence that, because CW is not given priority among many emergency commuicators, we are not prepared goes beyond reasonable. We value CW operators, APRS, slow scan, voice, packet, etc.--and each has its importance in emergency communications (as well as any time). Priority is given to voice modes because that is the easiest, most commonly available mode.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n42dg$5pt$0@208.206.142.84...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > However, there are certainly a lot of potential situations where
> > > the ability to fallback to Morse/CW could keep communications open
> > > when other modes fold up.
> >
> > And there are certainly a lot of potential situations where the
> > ability to use a modern digital mode could keep communications
> > open when CW folds up.
>
> And certainly there are a lot of potential situations where the ability
> of a modern digital mode won't be available for use by *one* of the
> parties needing to communicate. Using your own logic you need to be
> prepared to use a mode that is available for use by both the other
> station and yourself. Are you prepared, Cecil (how about all you NCI
> genuises?)
>
>
> Using your own logic, you need to be prepared
> > for such a condition. Are you prepared, Larry? (PACTOR II will
> > get through when you can't even hear the CW signal.)
> >

>
> Pactor II won't get through at all if both stations needing to
> communicate don't have it available for use. If you can use it by all
> means do so. If not, you use what you can. When hams don't know how to
> use CW it is taken from us as a viable mode of radiocommunications. That
> is a travesty.
> > > I could revert ...
> >
> > Don't look now, Larry, but you already have reverted to a cold-
> > blooded dinosaur. :-)
>
>
> Your play on words is false and you know it. I'd bet that Larry makes
> far more high tech digital contacts that you do.
>
>
>
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3070. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:06:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96dc83$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19267

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n5anherj0@208.207.71.143...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > You might as well just go ahead and say that CW is useless as an
> > > emergency mode and get it over with. If you truly believe that, I feel
> > > sorry for you.
> >
> > If you are in trouble and the guy on the other end doesn't do
> > CW, what the heck are you going to do? Seems to me you will
> > have slit your own throat by insisting on and relying on CW.
>
>
> There you go again, lying again - *by your own definition*.
> You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
> as anything other than a last resort mode. That means when everything
> else is gone or unavailable, those who know CW will still have a
> communications capability. Everyone else will be out of luck. As will
> also be everyone who depends on them for communciations.

Well, yeah, and if I am a ham with my equipment in an airplane crash in a
land that doesn't speak my language--we'll all die. And, if the *only*
equipment I happen to have in some other disaster is ATV or slow scan
capable only, then we'll all die....and on and on

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

3071. Re: fill-in questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:06:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905220627.02518.00000127@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19263

From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net

>I found the field strength decreasing with the square, cube...
>tenth power of distance as the soil conductivity went to zilch.

That is one example of what I was thinking of. Another would be to put an antenna over a good ground plane and note what the E and H fields do as you get near the ground.

The applications for considering the fields separately are numerous. First, the RF exposure regs require that one comply with E-field and H-field exposure as separate entities, so if you are near the ground where H increases and E goes to zero (perfect ground), you can't measure the E field and say you are okay.

Most field strengths specified in FCC regs are in volts/meter, or some equivalent of same, although in the far field of both the antenna and any scatterers, one could measure the H field and reasonably assume the E field from there.

>It is truly amazing how having to repeat a set of field strength measurements
>in 100-degree, Texas weather clarifies one's mind concerning the
>characteristics of electromagnetic waves.

Never had that experience. I guess I am still learning. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3072. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:07:45 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96dcd8_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19271

Did Dick answer anything here?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n5dallhc0@208.207.71.143...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > >I have never read anything about the history of the hobby and, if the
> > >"language" is that common then it should not take a read of the history
of
> > >radio to discover this "complete body of signals" as you put it. Also,
you
> > >say there is a chart. Surely this chart is published somewhere? I'd
be
> > >very interested in having such a chart. Do you know of a source for
this
> > >chart.
> >
> > He is talking about the list of Q signals, Kim. They are used on voice
and on
> > digital modes, too. There is no list unique to CW.
>
>
>
>
> Come on Ed, you surely know better. For most of the past century CW
> ops of every nationality have communicated, often without knowledge of
> each other's language. There exists far more than the Q signals and the
> few prosigns used today by hams. The fact that you, a CW ham, don't know
> of them seems to go far toward confirming the fiction that CW can't be
> used to communicate a lot of information between non-common language CW
> oeprators. Of course, these can also be used on any text based mode, and
> indeed SHOULD be, as I and many other CW supporters have so often said,
> when those more efficient modes are available. If they were, one
> probably wouldn't be using CW in an emgergency situation, would he?
>
> Some other assets useful for abbreviating text and multilanguage use:
>
> Z signals
>
> ARL numbered radiograms
>

> There is a fairly long list of Q signals that few new hams know about,
> they usually learn a half dozen or so.
>
> There is another long list of "official" obreviations that are (were)
> universally recognized by CW operators the world over. Additionally,
> English
> has long been recognized as the most universal language for
> international radio communications, and many opertors in other nations
> quickly learned enough English to use it routinely for
> radiocommunications. Sorry if many American hams don't know that.
>
>
> All this is nothing new, it has been in worldwide use for most of the
> last
> century by those who made their living working CW as traffic handlers.
> Mostly maritime, fixed stationmilitary and police CW operators. Tghe
> fact that all of this is foreign to so many hams goes far to show that
> hams by and large don't care much about traffic handling or emergency
> operations.

3073. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:09:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905220912.02518.00000129@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19264

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
>>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
>>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.
>
>TS for OOK CW then...
>
>It can go the way of spark. Bye...

By his pretty much constant repitition of things like he said above, I believe
that ending CW use is what Dick is setting out to accomplish.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3074. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:13:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905221328.02518.00000131@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19265

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
>as anything other than a last resort mode.

Perhaps so, but you have certainly justified continued CW testing because CW might be a last-resort mode under some circumstances. I might find that credible if you were also proposing rules changes that would place the same mandate on capability for the other modes that might be higher on the "resort" list.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3075. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:15:59 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96dec6_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19273

Your example does little to cause me to think any differently, Dwight. While it would probably not be the norm, the fact that your good friend who was a Technician was part of the community this nit-wit was addressing is proof enough that people who act like that quite often defeat themselves.

As an emergency communicator, there are two attitudes I have: an agency can choose to consider ham radio as an asset to their efforts. For that I will advertise, advocate, and practice. An agency can also choose to ignore the benefit that ham radio can bring to their efforts. For that I will advertise, advocate, and practice. The walk along the way prepares me, and gives me the opportunity to encounter as many challenges as it may take me to "get the point across." If the point is never well-recieved, that is not my problem. If the naysayers gather with the naysayers, that is not my problem.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B94E646.35282752@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > In the context of ham radio, Dwight,
> > and letting someone's judgement affect
> > how we feel about ourselves, I
> > choose not to be affected by them. You
> > may wish to. That is your choice. It
> > does not mean, by the way, that I would
> > or would not choose to respond to their
> > comments--it simply means I don't share
> > their opinion of me, or you, or whomever.
>
>
> It's not a matter of what I think, Kim. I can obviously control
> that. It is a matter of what others think (others that may not have
> the knowledge or experience with ham radio that you and I have). And
> I've seen these negative attitudes slowly drifting outside the ham
> radio community (into other forums where the people involved often
> know very little about ham radio). If we are going to prevent that,
> then we need to end those attitudes here (within the ham radio
> community), before those attitudes do become an issue elsewhere.
>
>
> > By the way, that a few nasty hams *could*
> > "promote the concept that all future

> > hams are inferior" doesn't say much for
> > ham radio, then, does it? (snip)
>
>
> Kim, since I know you have an interest in emergency communications,
> let me give you an example relating to that. Several months ago, I
> watched an older ham from a local club get up in front of a meeting of
> local civil defense officials and talk for almost 30 minutes about the
> expanded use of hams during natural disasters. Half way through that
> presentation, he actually advocated the sole use of General class
> license holders and above because Technicians simply didn't really
> know enough about radio to be useful. He went on to claim that
> Technicians didn't really have a firm commitment to ham radio and
> therefore would not be reliable in those situations. Of course, what
> he didn't realize is that the current director, a good friend of mine,
> was a Technician at the time. And he also didn't realize that he had
> been invited to speak at my urging (I was the one who was advocating
> more involvement by local hams). Again, this is not just about the
> thinking within the ham radio community - this attitude is slowly
> drifting outside ham radio.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

3076. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:18:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj7n632.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19268

On Wed, 05 Sep 2001 04:51:19 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:

>> she replied "no, I'm not prejudiced at all, but my husband says
>> that all the other agencies seem to get warrants a lot easier than
>> his does..." Ka-Boom...both the prosecution and the defense were on
>> their feet... <ggg>
>
>The attorneys did their job.

Just as she expected them to do. She didn't need me to tell her
that the now-deceased judge hearing the case was a total rectum -
she figured that out for herself while waiting for her turn in voir
dire.

The case was equally fishy - the defendant was a postal employee
accused of mishandling (not stealing) $1.01 found loose in the mail.
Apparenmtly he didn't hold it up over his head when he carried it
from his workstation to his supervisor after calling out "money
found in the mail". If it wasn't more than $1.00 they couldn't
bring the case....$1.01 indeed. The guy was a union agitator and
the case appeared to have all the indication of a typical Postal
Inspectors sting.

And when we needed the Postal Inspectors to serve a CB Cease and
Desist Order on a postal foreman who was refusing delivery of the
Certified Mail, they tap danced around and refused.

What my wife said was absolutely true......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3077. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:18:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj7n9d3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19270

On Wed, 05 Sep 2001 13:15:17 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:

>> WA1HLR has provided many hours of entertainment and information since the
>> early 70's.
>
>How entertaining and informative could belches and farts be?

It falls under a new program type called "irritainment" - foumd in
selected talk-show programs as well.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3078. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:18:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj7ozl4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19269

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 23:59:45 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>Phil, you may remember the incident, I don't remember the details (it
>happend some years ago). Satellites were receiving a signal on a distress
>frequency. This was traced to some electronics firm (apparently, the source
>was discovered in the evening). The guard contacted the night manager who
>basically told the df team to 'get lost'. Phone calls were placed - and the
>night manager told the commanding Air Force general to 'go pound salt'.
>Regardless of anything else, it is NOT a good idea to tell a commanding AF
>general you don't care diddly about his satellites. Guess who was waiting
>at the gate at 8:00 AM? FCC plus US marshals. Do not pass go. Do not
>collect $200.00. Both the general manager plus the night manager plus the
>company drew fines. Oh - and 1 year in jail (although that apparently was
>suspended). Generally, if you apologize and clean up your act, a first
>offense is unlikely to get you much other than a wrist slap. Telling the
>FCC (or a military command officer) to go pound salt is not a smart idea.

If it involves the Top Three as we used to call them (White House
Communications, other military and Federal communications, and
civiliab public safety communications) the US Attorney and the
courts can act pretty quickly. And it doesn't even have to involve
an intentional violation. We once had to get a warrant and the
Marshals to be able to pass through an uninvolved locked property to
DF and silence a distress beacon that had been stored on an adjacent
property.

Dissing the FCC is not smart, as at least one radio station owner
and manager found out "on my watch" because when we started peeling
the layers back we found that the licensee had abandoned any sense
of control of the station and was using it to launder some other
version of dirty clothing..... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3079. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:19:56 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96dfb2_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19274

"Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:76feb88ccf046455b4142ccaea08ca63.15732@mygate.mailgate.org...
> "Kim-W5TIT" asks:
>
> > What does a CW test, at the time of application for a license, have to
do
> > with all the other topics that the written test(s) is supposed to be
> > measuring?
>
> It is not related to the other topics. It is supposed to measure
> the applicants skill at receiving Morse code.
>

Then test other modes as well. Some involve far more aptitude to operate
than CW.

> > The second part of the CW debate generally comes from those who rely on
CW
> > to be the "measurement" of a universal language. And I ask how?
>
> >
> > If there are two stations [using CW] who do not speak each other's
language,
> > then how do they communicate beyond the standard RST, Location, Name is?
> >
> > If I don't know Russian and a Russian doesn't know English, how am I
going
> > to tell a Russian any more than that, and how can that be construed to
be a
> > conversation in a universal language.
>
> I haven't seen anyone call it a "universal language", so I think this
> line of questioning is a manufactured strawman. Nontheless, there are
> de facto "conventions" in Morse (and other text modes, such as RTTY,
> "TOR-du-jour", etc) which allow the transfer of information which would
> be more difficult in voice modes. I don't know how to say "speak
> slower"
> in spoken Russian (and even if I did, my accent might make it
> unintelligible)
> but the unpronouncable opsig "QRS" is universally recognized.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> --

> "Reality doesn't care what you think." -- K0HB
>

Yes, but how would you have an every day conversation in CW? I mean, just an "over the fence" kind of chat? Again, I am not saying CW sucks, etc. I just don't understand the philosophy that it is as achievable a language over any other. CW is as effective, for example, as maybe a conversation in Latin. Very limited.

Kim W5TIT

3080. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:21:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905222140.15310.00000099@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19272

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/5/01 9:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010905215948.02518.00000124@mb-df.aol.com>

Lennie tries to be cute....

>>It's quite a spectrum full of frequencies. See Part 15 of Title 47.
>>Not a great deal of output power allowed, though. :-)

>On some bands, as much as 1 watt, if memory serves.

But who will talk to him, Ed...?!?!

3081. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:24:38 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96e0cd_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19275

Oh buhruther....you had to go and jinx us, eh? You know who you are...LOL

Shot? What shot? ;)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905194529.15845.00000037@nso-fy.aol.com...
> In article <20010905174401.15285.00000052@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>There is no reason to "preserve" morse code skill for any
> >>national need.
> >
> > And this is based upon what practical experience?
>
> Every other radio service except amateur. :-)
>
> Oh! I forgot. Big Stevie cannot accept anything about
> getting into amateur radio unless one is already IN
> amateur radio. Big Stevie keeps beating the conundrum...
>
> LHA

3082. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:26:20 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96e133_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19277

No offense intended, it just really seems a lot easier to say (with voice)
hello and move on to the next signal... :)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905000321.13505.00001649@nso-fg.aol.com...
> In article <3b94b73d_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010904040341.04822.00001187@nso-fa.aol.com...
> >> In article <3b94494e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
> >writes:
> >>
> >> >In phone I would imagine it would be difficult, unless there are
> >established
> >> >euphemisms each could use that would allow an exchange of information.
> >The
> >> >question I asked, though, is from the perspective of CW being claimed
as
> >a
> >> >universal language.
> >>
> >> There is no "universal language". (Except money, perhaps!)
> >>
> >> What CW does is help bridge language barriers. The same is true of any
> >> text-based mode, (like PSK31) of course.
> >>
> >> A unique feature of CW is that it has certain "language like"
properties
> >when
> >> used by skilled operators. For one thing, it's aural rather than
visual.
> >For
> >> another, different "speakers" are readily identified by signal
> >characteristics.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >
> >I understand the feeling of the "music of the language" Jim. But, let's
say
> >I don't know the "language" of a foreign station. I hear this person's

> >signals now and again and, yep, I sure can tell that it's got to be that
> >same station I hear because I can distinguish the fist. What "language"
> >like properties is this person going to use that will make me understand
> >that what he is sending--other than something like CQ, etc.?
>
> As I said, the abbreviations do a lot, but that's true of any text-based
mode.
> The Q signals and standard abbreviations permit some communication.
>
> Besides recognition from the sending, there is also recognition from
signal
> characteristics. And unlike visual modes, CW is usally sent manually and
> received aurally in real time, with the operator listening to the
demodulated
> signal.
>
> It's similar to the difference between listening to someone talk and
reading a
> transcript of what they said.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

3083. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:30:03 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b96e212_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19278

heh heh heh, like I said: Did Dick answer anything?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905214913.02518.00000119@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Come on Ed, you surely know better. For most of the past century CW
> >ops of every nationality have communicated, often without knowledge of
> >each other's language. There exists far more than the Q signals and the
> >few prosigns used today by hams.
>
> I will add RST to the list, but in the hundreds of CW QSOs I have had, the
> exchange of information has either been RST 599 QTH Oingoboingo Op Bill,
or it
> has been in some form of English.
>
> Can you give us some example of communication that is neither English or
common
> Q sigs or RST?
>
> >Z signals
>
> I don't think I have ever heard them in use on the ham bands.
>
> >ARL numbered radiograms
>
> I have never heard them in use outside formal traffic handling, but that
is an
> example. Most hams, DX or otherwise, don't use them.
>
> >There is another long list of "official" obreviations that are (were)
> >universally recognized by CW operators the world over.
>
> Those abbreviations were abbreviations of English words.
>
> >Additionally,
> >English
> >has long been recognized as the most universal language for
> >international radio communications, and many opertors in other nations
> >quickly learned enough English to use it routinely for
> >radiocommunications.
>

> Well, yeah, but we WERE talking about communicating between people that
don't
> share the same language, Dick. Now you say that communicating between
people
> who don't know the same language is possible if they both know enough
English
> to get by?
>
> >Sorry if many American hams don't know that.
>
> And I'm sorry that you don't know that English is a language, Dick.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

3084. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:36:24 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1600f17df67c500f989687@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19276

In article <20010905215845.02518.00000123@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
> From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
>
> >Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
> >transmit legally without a license.
>
> It is legal to transmit on any amateur frequency without a license, either at
> high power in a true emergency, or at a very low power level.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

OK so what's the deal with this Len character? What was the emergency he had playing with his multiple multiK amps while a section 8 in the big war?? What was was the emergency?? What has military operation on any frequency at any time especially in those ancient times of the 50's and 60's got thing one to do with amateur radio. Why does Len insist on trotting out some old resume like it makes him king shit of the RF world?

Quite frankly it's getting old.

Of course Ed, you could chose to realize this but no.....sorry dude, no circle for you this round.

And yes Len, permanent can mean either..you chose there big fella...either way, you go girl is best for all concerned.

Loved the story you had about the ham visiting...a story for every occasion, just like that Cecil fellow in Oklahoma, or Texas..one of those redneck states down where it's hot all the time. Both of you..no matter what..a story to fit the need, whether running out of gas and using a 706 as a CB or Len taking Hamburger Hill with nothing more than a loaded stapler and an idea

Don't know which is worse..the need to be better hams or the losers like Len who need to prove their manliness by being old badasses

3085. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:46:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n6o1v$8js$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 19279

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >Ed, it seems to me that you are attempting to separate the components
> >> >of a radiating RF wavefront when that can't be done.
>
> >> Sure it can be done. In a circuit, one can separate voltage and current in
> >> a circuit -- each exist as separate entities and be changed by circuit
> >> conditions.
>
> > Nope, I don't buy it, Ed. You cannot separate the flow of current from
> >the existance of an applied voltage in any circuit without totally
> >changing both parameters.
>
> Sure I can. If I change the value of the current by changing the value of the
> resistor, the voltage stays the same.

Nope, it changes. It was you who insisted on accuracy, so accuracy
we'll have.
When you change the load on any voltage source, you also change the
applied voltage. You can't get around it, Mr. Ohm says so. Ever hear of
source impedance? Your voltage source is in series with the load. It is
a series circuit which follows all the rules of Ohm's law for series
circuits. If you don't believe it you can easily prove it with a simple
flashlight battery which has been used till it gets weak. Check the
voltage on the battery with no load, and it will be very close to the
rated voltage. Check it under load and you find that it's source
impedance has changed so much that the voltage will read significantly
lower under load.
Yes, that's different, you're using a voltage source that has
significantly changed its own source impedance. But the principle
remains. Whatever the source impedance is, it is in series with the load
impedance. Change the load and you WILL change the applied voltage. You
can't avoid it.
I know, we can safely ignore it for routine use. But don't forget, you
want total accuracy.

Happens all the time in circuits and in
> the radiated fields from antennas, if you change the "circuit" parameters.
>
> Nonetheless, it is quite valid to talk about the voltage in a circuit, or the
> current in a circuit -- do you always refer to only power? Of course not. Why
> do you appear to feel that it is not equally valid to refer to and to use the
> electric fields or the magnetic fields from radiating elements?

>
> > Ohm's law is a method of explaining their interaction but it is merely a way
> of
> > describing what is happening, and in no way controls that interaction.
>
> Correct. The equivalent, as asked in my original question, was based on the
> same principles as Ohm's law.
>
> >> It is as valid to discuss voltage and current as it is to discuss
> >> power.
>
> > Yes indeed, you can discuss them and calculate their values
> >individually (and I agreed your E field question was valid), but you
> >can't separate 'em. When you do, you no longer have a valid circuit.
> >Might as well turn off the power switch.
>
> An open circuit is a valid circuit, Dick. And you can indeed separate the E
> and H fields. A short dipole responds to the E field and not the H field. A
> small loop responds to the H field and not the E field. And, more often than
> not, it is necessary to refer to one or the other, but not both.

I have no argument with any of this, it's the daily routine of radio
engineering. We may safely ignore small changes in variables when they
cause no significant changes in the overall situation. But you wanted
accuracy.
I happen to believe that it is more important for hams to know that the
total power reduces as the square of the distance.

3086. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:52:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n6odf$9ei$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 19280

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Yes, in between the yelling you actually learned something.
>
> > > Yeah, that isn't unusual for me. Sorry if it is for you.
>
> Why would you add the last comment, Dick?

You seemed to feel that it was noteworthy that I may have learned something. So it just seemed the accurate thing to say (remember accuracy?) Why is it not an insult for you but it IS one when I say it???

3087. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 02:59:40 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109051859.4df0c8ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19281

Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:<iv2cptoisqduekrp48hg7v0cavu6aql0i9@4ax.com>...
> On 4 Sep 2001 20:11:05 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:
>
> >Finally, it should be noted that government spending also stimulates
> >the economy, per Keynesian economics. Talk of slashing spending to
> >make room for the tax cut is, therefore, a little inane.
>
> ----------
>
> Government can not spend money unless it first takes it from the people
> in the form of either taxes or deficit spending (which must be repaid at
> some future point, with interest). Remember, government has no money
> that is truly its own because government can not create wealth, unlike
> businesses. Even the printing of money is not truly creation of wealth
> because it simply devalues money already in circulation and adds nothing
> at all to the actual wealth of a country.

I agree with most of the above. If you want to get technical, you
could also note that we are the government; therefore, government's
money is its own, etc., etc.

I do take issue with the notion that "government can not create
wealth". Government, like private individuals, can invest funds. In
so doing, wealth can be created or lost. Additionally, government has
human capital (employees) just as does private industry, which is the
economic source of wealth.

>
> Please explain how taking money from the people makes them better off.

I believe the main (legitimate) reason for the existence of government
is to accomplish things that need to be accomplished as a society.
There are things we need to do as an organized group, simply because
doing them this way is more efficient. The most obvious of these is
probably military defense -- groups are much more effective at defense
of life and property than disassociated individuals.

There are quite a few things that are better accomplished by groups
than individuals. To this end, representative government serves as
the group's tool. Needs that group spending can meet that individual,
private spending cannot or will not are obviously candidates for
"making people better off" than they would be otherwise.

And let's be clear: there are quite a few things that private industry
and markets are better at accomplishing than government spending and

oversight. I'm a pragmatist, though, and tend to favor what works.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

3088. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:02:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n6ovrapu0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 19282

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Well John, I wish you had said so earlier. Most of this noise is
> >usually innecessary. I wish you well on your plans.
>
> Yes, Dick, most of the noise IS unecessary. This is the first time we agreed
> in a long time. Perhaps there would be less of it if you didn't always assume
> the worst about new hams.

I 'assume the worst'??? Surely you jest.(No, you just want to slam me whenever and however you can. Must be the soak-your-head thing.) So some new ham who I never knew existed comes on the channel and does his best to blast me out of the water, and shows his lack of knowedge on ham radio in general and in spades on CW, all the while insisting I am a discredit to the ARS, and it would be far better off if I left, and I'm just supposed to roll over and turn the other cheek. You can do that if it suits your temperament, but you surely know it doesn't suit mine.

3089. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:19:10 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905231910.02518.00000139@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19283

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>(No, you just want to slam me whenever and however you can. Must be the
> soak-your-head thing.)

Did you think your telling me to go soak my head would make me like you?

>*I* 'assume the worst'??? Surely you jest.

No, I am afraid I don't.

> So
>some new ham who I never knew existed comes on the channel and does his
>best to blast me out of the water, and shows his lack of knowedge on ham
>radio in general and in spades on CW, all the while insisting I am a
>discredit to the ARS, and it would be far better off if I left, and I'm
>just supposed to roll over and turn the other cheek. You can do that if
>it suits your temperament, but you surely know it doesn't suit mine.

Is that really what you want people to believe? That you are slamming all new hams for not entering ham radio because they don't want to learn things and that they are deliberately not learning things as they set about to take their tests.

Can you explain why you were doing this long before your new enemy Drpfrench came on "channel?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3090. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:21:26 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905232126.02518.00000140@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19284

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You seemed to feel that it was noteworthy that I may have learned
>something. So it just seemed the accurate thing to say (remember
>accuracy?) Why is it not an insult for you but it IS one when I say
>it???

Dick, don't take this personally, but I think it is amazing that ANYONE can actually learn anything when they are yelling.

It is not ALL about you, you know.

Why would you be insulted because I don't believe that most people are able to learn while they are yelling? Gosh, it is hard to tell what is going to set you off.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3091. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:23:17 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905232317.02518.00000141@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19285

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I know, we can safely ignore it for routine use. But don't forget, you
>want total accuracy.

Okay, then total accuracy it is.

Explain how the source impedance of an antenna changes when you change the load
in the far field?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3092. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:24:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905232434.02518.00000142@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19286

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I happen to believe that it is more important for hams to know that the
>total power reduces as the square of the distance.

So do I, Dick. I also think it is important for them to know that power and
voltage are not the same, so I corrected your incorrect statement.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3093. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:29:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905232920.02518.00000143@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19288

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Everyone's mileage varies, of course, but mine says that only
>about half of the long-timers are good folks while the other
>half is a group of @#$%!!!.

I just can't accept that, Len. I wouldn't say that more than a few percent, at most, of the hams I have met have been so bad that I would punctuate them like that. For me to believe otherwise would require me to think that all the @#$%!!!s flock to you and away from me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3094. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:29:36 UTC
From: Amish Man <amish@home.com>
Message-ID: <A4CI7.175182$EP6.50376950@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19287

Steve , Isnt it time to go vist the grave site again... Your daughter misses
you.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010831175626.01523.00006090@mb-ml.aol.com...
> >Subject: Anon posters
> >From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
> >Date: 8/31/01 5:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>
>
> >Perhaps you would go to the worst part of town and scream out your name,
> >address and phone number, but most sensible people wouldn't
>
> You are right...most people wouldn't. But then that kind of element
is
> usually not sufficiently educated to be here, either.
>
> Too time consuming.
>
> >Similarly, Usenet is inhabited by some real scum who think nothing of
> >taking it to "real life" and harassing someone they don't like.
>
> If someone wants to know who you are bad enough, you WILL be found
out.
>
> >I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
> >physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
> >only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
> >people who read these posts.
>
> Uh huh. Right.
>
> >And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
> >TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN
>
> Then take a Phenergan, cuz here it comes again.
>
> No Name = Anonymous Coward.
>
> Sorry...call's em the way I see's em.
>
> Steve

3095. Re: Test Question For Ed Hare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:30:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905233028.02542.00000135@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 19289

From: Jim Weir jim@rst-engr.com

>Where in creation did the test question team come up with that cockamamie
>symbol
>for a varicap?

I don't know; it was way before my time. When I get back to the office, I will
have to look it up.

73,
Ed, W1RFI

3096. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:44:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B96E2CD.811FBE80@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19290

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B95E3F8.CCAF20C5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Yeah--that and because you've not yet become a newcomer.
>
> Go back to sleep, Dave. Or work some DX and claim you are
> one of the "pioneers of HF" opening up 200 m and down... :-)

It was a simple matter of fact, Len. You have yet to become a newcomer
to amateur radio.

I do all of my operating below 200 meters.

Dave K8MN

3097. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:50:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96F278.6C560F3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19291

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > There is a way to perform a geometrical transform which keeps the
> > surface of the earth at the present value and makes it come out
> > flat. Who knows, your perceptions may just be screwed up.
>
> I wonder how they explain the fact that you can see it is round when you
> look at it from a dozen or so miles up?

It doesn't look like a sphere. It looks like a two-dimensional flat
circle unless your eyes are set farther apart than I think they are.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3098. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:51:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96F2B0.9765193F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19292

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > >Taking away
> > > >slavery would not have guaranteed a zero percent chance of a civil war.
> > > >
> > > Yes, it would.
> > >
> > Prove it, please. The probably of anything is almost never zero.
>
> The Civil War resulted from having a greater motivation to have a war
> than not to have one. Without slavery as the driving issue, there would
> have been far less motivation to have a war than to have one.

Thanks Jim, for agreeing with me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3099. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:55:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96F3A8.89FF2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19294

Dick Carroll wrote:
> If you hear someone using CW to send an SOS,
> you will just write himn off because he isn't using PACTOR, with no
> regard whatever for the circumstances.

We are not talking about me, Dick. If someone hears you using CW to send an SOS and he/she doesn't know Morse code (like 99.9% of the human race doesn't know Morse code) you are simply SOL. Why not choose a mode that is understood by the receiving station, you know, the one from which you need help?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3100. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:57:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B96E5A8.26D2AAFB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19293

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >Everyone's mileage varies, of course, but mine says that only
> >about half of the long-timers are good folks while the other
> >half is a group of @#$%!!!.
>
> I just can't accept that, Len. I wouldn't say that more than a few percent, at
> most, of the hams I have met have been so bad that I would punctuate them like
> that. For me to believe otherwise would require me to think that all the
> @#$%!!!s flock to you and away from me.

There is certainly room for further discussion of your idea, Ed.

Dave K8MN

3101. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 03:58:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96F47A.9CAD1ECC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19296

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
> as anything other than a last resort mode.

You missed the point, Dick. If CW is your mode of last resort and
the receiving station doesn't know Morse code, you are simply $hit
out of luck. Why not prepare to use an emergency mode that more than
0.1% of the population understands?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3102. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906000310.25600.00000037@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 19295

In article <3b93822c.3634144@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>Do you make your own extension cords?

Some of them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3103. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:10:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96F74D.F1DE868E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19297

Dick Carroll wrote:
> If Cw is the only mode available for use, on either side of the radio
> circuit, you know who sets the stakes. But of course it goes against
> your Agenda to admit it.

If CW is the only mode available when you are in trouble and you are the
only one who knows Morse code, what are you going to do? - feel really
stupid and wish you were better prepared, that's what you are going to do.

> So you would rather hams preclude themselves of even the possibility of
> being able to use the most basic radiocommunications mode, the mode of
> last resort, by denying them the communications asset of being instructed
> in the mode during their licensing, just to advance your digital agenda.

Wherever did you get that idea, Dick? I have storage food and water for
emergencies. I recommend that everyone have storage food and water for
emergencies. I don't advocate governmental force from the feds to
require everyone to have storage food and water. I believe in freedom.
I believe all hams should have the freedom to choose their mode(s).
That is not denying anybody anything. It is accepting the
responsibilities that go along with freedom.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3104. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:23:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96FA48.14330629@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19298

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >What is more fair than giving the money back to the individuals who
> >paid it in the first place? When you refund the purchase price
> >of tickets for a rained-out ball game, do you give $25 back to the
> >people who bought the $10 tickets and $10 back to the the people
> >who bought the $25 tickets? That argument is absolutely ridiculous.
>
> This argument goes to the heart of the reasons for progressive
> taxation. There are two good reasons for higher taxes on the wealthy,
> Cecil. The first is fairness: the top 1% wealthiest individuals
> control over 38% of the wealth in this country, and receive on the
> order of 13% of the income. They pay, by contrast, about 20% of all
> federal taxes, yet are receiving over 40% of the tax cut (CBPP).

They are simply getting some of their hard-earned money back. If
you don't like that, why don't you move to Cuba where there exists
an economic system more to your liking.

How you can think it's fair for 1% of the taxpayers to pay 20% of
the taxes is beyond belief. That those people are getting back
40% of the tax cut (if that's true) is just a mathematical
curiousity. They are simply being allowed to keep money that
they earned fair and square in the first place. Unlike you, I
don't think that the products of an individual's life belongs
to the state.
--
cheers, Cecil

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3105. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:25:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B96FAC3.9D3B01BF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19299

N0YM wrote:
> I believe the main (legitimate) reason for the existence of government
> is to accomplish things that need to be accomplished as a society.

Like the Holocaust?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3106. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:39:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906003912.19379.00000086@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 19351

In article <20010905220912.02518.00000129@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
>>>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
>>>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.
>>
>>TS for OOK CW then...
>>
>>It can go the way of spark. Bye...
>
>By his pretty much constant repitition of things like he said above, I
>believe that ending CW use is what Dick is setting out to accomplish.

I have a different view of what he says and it seems to me
to be nothing more than "I had to take that morse test and
so should everyone else!" :-)

He has aligned himself as a champion of morse testing
and cannot budge from that. Vindication can only come
from hanging in there with his viewpoint until all others
get tired of arguing the case.

Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.

LHA

3107. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:39:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906003913.19379.00000087@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 19300

In article <20010905215450.02518.00000121@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>>>Why should CW survive?
>
>>>For the same reasons that any operating mode should survive in the Amateur
>>>Radio Service, Len.
>
>>>Why would you ask such a question?
>
>>...because some (such as Carroll) equate FCC testing with use
>>of CW). There is no separate manual-mode test element in the
>>FCC regulations for any of the other modes. Further, the FCC
>>regulations do not require amateurs to use OOK CW over and
>>above any other mode. It doesn't make sense that testing for
>>morse code ability be retained in license testing.
>
>Okay, but the thread had evolved to the point where we were discussing the
>survival of Morse code sans FCC testing. Dick does not believe that the mode
>has enough use and value that it will survive without testing and I believe
>that it does.

The thread is coming unraveled into separate sub-threads. :-)

Just the same, I agree partly with your viewpoint.

LHA

3108. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:39:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906003915.19379.00000088@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 19354

In article <20010905211910.06185.00000170@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes in another fit of distemper:

>>And seem to think they are immune from civility and manner
>
> Again...coming from you, this is laughable.

Who kept calling another "putz" over ten times?
Who kept insulting another by trying to imply a lesser work-career title?
Who not only insulted another directly but also his wife and friends?

>>Amateur radio is a "secret" society with nothing of its doings published >anywhere?
>
> I would again ask you to please tell us of your accomplishments in the >Amateur Radio Service, but the waste of bandwidth is almost criminal..

Answer the question, big Stevie. Is amateur radio a "secret" society that can only be discovered or observed by entering the lodge hall?

> Well WHOOPIE DOOO! But that has NOTHING to do with the fact that YOU >assert that Amateurs make some sort of facial contorsions at the prospect of >supposedly harrassing "newcomers".

What in the world are you talking about, little Stevie?

I did not name "all amateurs." I named only two in particular, yourself and Dick Carroll.

> Unfortunately for him, "guilty by association". Perhaps he should pick >his "friends" more cautiously...or better yet, perhaps YOU should have his >consent before slandering-by-association.

Have you heard of tranquilizers, little Stevie? You are insulting people you've never met, never worked, know absolutely nothing about...all for the purpose of trying to insult me. Tsk, tsk.

> Oh Dopey Me.

True. :-)

> Nope...It's about YOUR lack of experience to discuss Amateur matters >from the point of practical experience.

Still trying to ride that tired horse? :-)

> Well, Lennie, you primed the pump. Shoulda stuck to facts and civility
>yourself, Your Loserness. We wouldn't be at this juncture, now would
>we...?!?!

What "juncture?" :-)

Little Stevie is getting his chains yanked and is too numb to
feel them. Pore lil feller. :-)

> YOU hold yourself up as some kind of expert on Amatuer matters and hope
>the neophytes in this forum will sit up and take notice.

Nope. I don't need to impress anyone.

>You attempt to "impress"
>us with your CV, hoping further that no one notices that your one and only
>"exposure" into Amateur Radio was as a writer for a now-defunct magazine.

Heh, heh, heh...that "defunct magazine," all 22 years of it, will
be available on CD-ROM from the ARRL for $149 (or so).

Good grief, lil Stevie, you stop at nothing to vent your bile. By
continuing to bray "defunct magazine" you insult a number of
good radio amateurs: Skip Tenney, the late Jim Fisk, Alf Wilson,
Rich Rosen, Terry Northrup.

Nothing seems sacred to you when it comes to trying to earn
message points. Tsk, tsk.

> You know nothing of Amateur MATTERS, and that you have NO experience in
>Amateur PRACTICE. No one, EXCEPT you argues that this is anything but
>Amatuer Radio, yet you insist on trying to make it appear as such.

Amateur radio involves communicating by radio. I know radio
communications and have been "in practice" doing so in both
commercial and military radio services.

I'm just trying to quash the false belief that OOK CW is the
epitome of communications, so much so that it MUST be
tested for a full below-30-MHz amateur radio license class.
That's the only "appearance," lil Stevie. Live with it. You
have no other choice. An appeal to the FCC (ten days after
closing of Comments) on 98-143 that they should disregard
my Reply to Comments was itself disregarded.

Quit being a sore, frustrated loser in arguments. You can't
cut it there, can't control yourself. Now go on out and get
one of those tranks and calm down.

LHA

3109. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:39:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906003916.19379.00000089@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 19353

In article <MPG.1600f17df67c500f989687@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam
<nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:

>In article <20010905215845.02518.00000123@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>says...
>> From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
>>
>> >Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
>> >transmit legally without a license.
>>
>> It is legal to transmit on any amateur frequency without a license, either
>> at high power in a true emergency, or at a very low power level.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>OK so what's the deal with this Len character?

There's no "deal" with this "Len character," anonymous troll.

>What was the emergency he
>had playing with his multiple multiK amps while a section 8 in the big
>war??

Thank you, anonymous person, for considering the Korean
War "the big one." [that's the only thanks you get for this
message, troll]

>What was was the emergency?? What has military operation on any
>frequency at any time especially in those ancient times of the 50's and
>60's got thing one to do with amateur radio.

What's your problem, troll? Got all those personal frustrations
boiling up inside you that require you to insult others on topics
you know nothing about nor care to know about?

Let me explain as to a child (for you act like one): The US
military has not used morse code modes for messaging
fixed-point to fixed-point for a half century. It hasn't used
morse code modes for any tactical, routine communications
for two decades. The USCG doesn't monitor the 500 KHz
maritime emergency frequency anymore.

The only radio service still using morse code is amateur and
some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of

trained CW ops to help the nation." No other radio service
in the US uses morse for communications.

In US amateur radio service, the FCC does not mandate
use of morse modes. Such is as optional for amateurs to
use as any other allocated mode. There is no logical point
in maintenance of morse testing for any amateur license.

>Why does Len insist on
>trotting out some old resume like it makes him king shit of the RF world?

The unknown anonymous one turns potty mouth. :-)

To those whose only life experience with radio is hamming
and CB, someone with a fair amount of commercial or
military radio communications experience might seem like
a "king sh*t of the RF world." :-)

Such remarks indicate a resentment of non-amateur radio
people. That's a personal problem for the unknown,
anonymous potty-mouths. Anonymous person has to achieve
a cure for that in order to "play well with other people."

>Quite frankly it's getting old.

So are anonymous potty-mouths...much more so.

>Don't know which is worse..the need to be better hams or the losers like
>Len who need to prove their manliness by being old badasses

Poor baby. Upset because you can't throw names at others
without getting return fire? You must be terribly frustrated off-
line, needing the catharsis of hiding behind a pseudonym.

"Manliness" isn't "proven" by hiding behind a handle. [one has
to wonder if there is a "handle" there to handle...]

No go over to rec.radio.misc where name-calling and potty
mouth insults are the norm. You will be right at home there.

Leonard H. Anderson

3110. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 04:39:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906003917.19379.00000090@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 19352

In article <20010905203815.06185.00000162@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Pisses Stevie off does it?
>
> Hardly, Your Loserness. As a matter of fact, your frequent lamenting
>about
>the tales of "old timers" interspersed with your OWN "back in 1954..." tales
>only serves to prove what several of us have been saying about you for years.
>
> You are two-faced (among others) and your hatred of anything "Amateur"
>negates anything constructive you MAY have had to offer.

Not thinking straight there, lil Stevie. I have no hatred for
amateur radio. I do get tired of certain individual hams who
cannot argue a subject on the subject merits but must
resort to personal insults as a "reply."

>>How about radio experience in the 1960s, the 1970s, the 1980s
>
> You were a licensed Amatuer in the 60's, 70's, or 80's...?!?!

DOS Tip, ignorant lil Stevie: There were lots of radio services
in the 60s, 70s, 80s, not to mention the 50s and the 90s.
The number of non-CW-using radio services have continued
to grow since the 1950s. You really should try to keep up
with the times.

> And in ANY case, how do radio PHYSICS have anything to do with the Morse
>Code test...?!?!

Good question. From what others say, tested knowledge of
morse code is essential to "knowing" amateur radio. :-)

>>In order to get into amateur radio with full under-30-MHz privileges, a
>>morse code test must be passed.
>
> Ya think, Lennie? Perhaps international treaties which are in place
>have
>anything to do with it? Oh...I forgot...Lennie doesn't believe that the
>United
>States is bound by those treaties...Guess we need Phil to realign your gyros
>for you again, lennie?

Nice try at fabricating another falsehood, lil Stevie.

DOS Tip #2: "International treaties" (Robeson's name) on civil
radio are changeable at World Radio Conferences by vote of
the representative administrations. Those standards and
recommendations (they have no direct force of law by themselves)
are changeable. They don't last forever.

I do not recommend breaking any law and I don't favor breaking
any international agreement arrived at by democratic means.
ITU standards and recommendations are agreements and are
not a "treaty" by themselves. International agreements
become national law only on the action of administrations.

My "gyros" are well aligned. :-)

Let's skip the virtual expiration of a GROL that was originally a
First Class Commercial Radiotelephone license since lil Stevie
never had either one... :-)

>>Poor baby. Still angry, still frustrated, cannot read previous postings.
>Stevie can't admit that there exist non-amateur radio professionals so he
>uses the descriptor of "alleged."
>
> I read fine, Lennie. It's just that your conduct here, including your
>OWN "denigrating" comments are those of anything BUT a professional.

Ah, yes. lil Stevie is a "judge" of civil comporment. :-)

>>Well, I'll just review the "alleged" interest income in my bank accounts
>>from the "alleged" past professional work and get back to you
>
> There's a lot of dope peddlers out there with big bank accounts. Can
>you PROVE where the income came from..?!?!

Absolutely. :-) The IRS is my proof. They have no problem
with my income. Why does lil Stevie have to fabricate a lie
implying income from illegal drug sales?. :-)

Financial planning for retirement must begin early...like before
a military pension is available. Too bad that so few have such
military pensions, isn't it?

Now, lil Stevie, just why is anyone's income such a "needed"
topic about amateur radio? And why does it involve illegal
drug sales? Do you drool over having a Yaesu FT-1000 and
can't afford one? Your problem there, not mine. :-)

LHA

[damn, there's lots and lots of chains...and they are so noisy! :-)]

3111. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 05:03:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906010304.15845.00000048@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19355

In article <3B96E2CD.811FBE80@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I do all of my operating below 200 meters.

200 meters below sea level? :-)

LHA

3112. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 05:03:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906010305.15845.00000049@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19356

In article <20010905232920.02518.00000143@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>Everyone's mileage varies, of course, but mine says that only
>>about half of the long-timers are good folks while the other
>>half is a group of @#$%!!!.
>
>I just can't accept that, Len. I wouldn't say that more than a few percent,
>at
>most, of the hams I have met have been so bad that I would punctuate them
>like
>that. For me to believe otherwise would require me to think that all the
>@#$%!!!s flock to you and away from me.

Well, Ed, you are going to have to accept my life experiences
just like I have to accept yours. I'm sorry those don't agree,
but that's how it goes.

I don't expect anyone to "flock to me" or my viewpoints, just
stating what seems to be obvious to any observer. Trouble
is, a few others in here have said words similar to "flock you!"
and that isn't well-received. :-)

I use "punctuation like that" to avoid spelling out words that
are not acceptible to some of the more victorian persons
present here. Goodness gracious, those prissy victorians
get so upset! :-)

LHA

3113. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 05:34:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B970AD8.4F2B6B7E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19359

N2EY wrote:
>
> I know plenty who have come here.
> They are welcomed.

Great! Look, I have to let this drop for a week or so. I have some really pressing matters that are going to eat up all my free time for a few days. If you feel like it, we'll get back to this after I return. Take care, Jim.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

3114. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 05:39:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B970C04.EAA1D62E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19360

N2EY wrote:
>
> ARRR!!! Bring on the Jimmy Buffet
> while yer at it, matey! Ho, ho ho
> and a bottle of rum, Santa's run
> off to the Carribean! (me favorite
> Christmas carol)!!

You'll have to play the Jimmy Buffet yourself, mate. I've heard of a spanish man-o-war that is laden with a fine haul. I be off to take her.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

3115. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 05:41:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B970C8B.A57C3CFA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19361

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Now a song like "Come Sail Away,
> > by SuperTramp, will charm the
> > bloomers off any young maiden in
> > any port, don't ye know. ARR. ARR.
> > ARRRR!!!!
>
> Isn't that a Styx tune?

Could be. I don't remember who it is from. All I have is a MIDI
version at the moment and the author mentioned SuperTramp.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

3116. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 05:47:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B970DE3.86164108@sccoast.net>
Source record: 19363

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Dwight, you just got an A+ on the
> long-time ham psyche test! :-)

Thanks. I'm be starting my own psychic 800 number for ham operators very soon now.

By the way, I'll be dropping out of the newsgroup for a few days (I have some pressing matters that will keep me busy). See you when I return.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

3117. Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 06:10:33 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <trEl7.531$XE.105540@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 19357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message news:3B9641EA.BD72A8E1@amsat.org...
> Here in San Diego, several of us including VE's have discussed the matter
> extensively.
> Here are how folks learn the code, depending on how they perceive
things --
> audible, visual, association, etc. FROM THE BOOK DX101X at URL:
> http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm
>
> There is no one way to learn the code that will work for everyone.

Amen!

> Beware of the
> ham who says "here is a sure fire way to learn the code". Here are several
> methods - choose the one that works best for you.
>
> VISUAL -- some learn best by seeing it on a computer screen as they hear
it.
>

I'm lost even now trying to do that...

> AUDIBLE - Musically or language associated- some learn it as a song or
word --
> dah dah ditit -- The zebra did it. dah dah di dah -- here comes the
bride -
> queen. Code Quick does this with mnemonics.

Again, I still can't copy someone doing the dah di dah.

>
> AUDIBLE - INVERSE - Some study the opposites A then N, K then R, etc.
>
> AUDIBLE PHONETICS - Some learn by associating it with the NATO Alphabet
i.e,
> dit-dah followed by A or ALPHA after a while you will hear dit dah and A
or
> alpha pops into the brain.

I might not phrase it the same way, but I did learn it by sounds.
O.K....So I memorized the 5 WPM, but after that it was only sounds.

>
> PATTERNS -There are patterns in morse code letters. For example: the
letter A is
> similar to the letter W except that the letter W has an additional dah to

it.
> One might study A, W, J, 1 in order, then mixed. This is effective if one
has
> difficulty in discerning J from 1 etc.
>
I think "for me" there were areas, or speeds where I could do this and
areas/speeds where I couldn't.

> TYPING - some find that they have an automatic reflex to hearing a
character and
> hitting the right key on a keyboard. Check with your VEC if they will
allow you
> to take the test this way. One of our local examiners does allow this as
well as
> a Braille keyboard for the blind.

Out of all the tests I found the Extra the easiest as I'd learned it like a
language by then. (by then I'd been a ham for a long time <:-))) It was
hearing word sounds...Problem was that I had to learn how to spell all over
again and I no longer heard letter sounds.

>
> SENDING - Some folks learn better or improve by sending with a key. Others
read
> signs while driving on the highway. This is usually in conjunction with a
> listening method.

For some, the sending can be a henderance as they can send faster than they
can copy. Others find it to be an aid.

>
> CHARACTERS - at 5 WPM it is feasible to repeat (or count) the dits and
dahs in
> your head and pass the test --- this is the hard way and Farnsworth makes
this
> tough - not recommended but may be the only way for some.
>
I have a devil of a time copying the Farnsworth way as it doesn't sound like
it does on the air where the letters, words and spaces are all at the same
speed (with some notable exceptions <G>)

> PLAIN TEXT VS MIXED RANDOM CHARACTERS. Studying Morse in plain text gives
the
> advantage of being able to anticipate what is coming next where mixed
random
> characters - ya gotta know them all. Maybe practice both if that works for
you.
>
It's a good way for learning...I think...But not helpful "for me" to get my
speed up when copying conversation.
I spent about 3 weeks with the receiver turned up to a comfortable level
while working on equipment. If I stretched out on the couch, I'd first tune

in a good conversation going just a bit faster than I could copy. One day I realized that it was like listening to some one talking.

> KOCH METHOD - Learn morse code using high speed random characters.

"To me" this would be by far the most difficult method of all.

>
> FARNSWORTH - All tests are soon to be given at 12 WPM character speed, 5 WPM

After running into people transmitting this way, I find I'm learning to despise the code that is sent this way as well as the guy who came up with the method. On the bands it can be very difficult to seperate words when you run into this ... Even when writting it down, you have to go back and seperate the words. Good code that is properly weighted and has the proper spacing between letters and words is much easier to copy.

> overall speed - if you are tested in Farnsworth -- then study that way --
> several who have studied straight 5 WPM - couldn't copy a darn thing in
> Farnsworth.
>
> BRAIN SOAK - Some folks give up on methods and just pain listen to code practice
> until one day it just all comes together. The impediment to increasing speed and
> proficiency is called a barrier

Always heard it called a hurtle <:-))

As you said at the beginning...It varies from person to person.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

> which you may feel you will never hurdle, but is
> amazing when one day after brain soaking - it magically all comes together. Your
> mileage may vary.
>
> COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND COURSES - There are many available -- see Morse Programs
> at URL: http://ac6v.com/morseprograms.htm
> and try them out -- choose the one that works the best for you. Remember that
> the one that works for your buddy or elmer may or may not be the best for you.
>
> MORE DETAILS AND "PASSING THE CODE TEST" AT URL:
> http://ac6v.com/morseaids.htm
> --

> 73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
> I doubt, therefore I might be!
> http://ac6v.com
> ==========
>
>

3118. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 06:16:00 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <AwEl7.532$XE.105611@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 19358

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0109020035.21118c74@posting.google.com...
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:<3B8E5267.96A8F204@IEEE.org>...
> >
> >
> >
> > Seems to me the AOL programmers didn't implement the "Internet Style"
> > quotes correctly when they added that patch to try to make it
> > Internet compatible. Or maybe that's as close as they could get.
> >
> AOL is the Chernobyl of the Internet.

Did you know that AOL was voted the most distrusted service on the internet
in a recent poll according to GMSIV? Microsoft came in second.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)
> >
> >
> w3rv

3119. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 06:45:56 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906024556.15015.00000175@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 19362

As most people in this post I am not the worlds number one fan of CW. But why shouldn't it survive? For those that want to use it, fine.

3120. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 07:30:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KCFI7.8915$Uf1.748929@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19364

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905000316.13505.00001644@nso-fg.aol.com...
> >
> ARRR!!! Bring on the Jimmy Buffet while yer at it, matey! Ho, ho ho and a
> bottle of rum, Santa's run off to the Carribean! (me favorite Christmas
> carol)!!
>
> 73 de Long Jim, N2EY
>

And if you listen closely you will hear at Chrismas time the Mannheim Steamroller. Or catch them live in Milwaukee when Chip Davis visits his family and finds a way to turn a buck and write the visit off on the old 1040.

In his younger days, he was responsible for the early recordings of one CW McCall, a local ad man who invented the character for a series of commercials for a bread company in Sioux City wanting to sell their wares in Omaha. For the collector of really bad music, I can but recommend Wolf Creek Pass in which the background appears to have been done by six bored housewives dragged in off the street (which comes close to reality BTW). Old CWs major hit was as the Rubber Duck in Convoy. He got on with Midland and toured but hated country music with a passion. Last I heard he was mayoring in Western Colorado.

Listen for a hyper version of Deck the Halls that sounds like it is on a synthesizer. Every ad agency in the planet uses it at Christmas. Except for Sears that plays Marching Thru Georgia at double speed.

Dick NBK

3121. Re: Test Question For Ed Hare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 08:22:35 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <egceptk5ma8vi4raobc10kacp56cqmu7l0@4ax.com>
Source record: 19365

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->From: Jim Weir jim@rst-engr.com
->
->>Where in creation did the test question team come up with that cockamamie
->>symbol
->>for a varicap?
->
->I don't know; it was way before my time. When I get back to the office, I
will
->have to look it up.

Oh, no, Ed, this one is brand new this year. First time I've ever seen it.
Bears no resemblence to the real world.

Jim

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3122. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 09:08:41 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906050841.02161.00000137@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19366

From: "Roger Halstead" rdhalste@tm.net

>Did you know that AOL was voted the most distrusted service on the internet
>in a recent poll according to GMSIV? Microsoft came in second.

As an AOL subscriber, I can tell you that that mistrust is well deserved. Some may remember the time that AOL ended up bringing down the ARRL.ORG email system for 3 days. When I contacted them to try to resolve it, they didn't care one whit and it turned into quite the argument.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3123. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 09:14:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906051400.02161.00000138@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19367

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
>opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.

You may be right. I guess I think more in terms of what his "trying to accomplish" will actually accomplish. Telling people that CW does not have enough value that it will be used in the Amateur Radio Service without testing does not even support continued testing. If he tells the FCC that CW has no value when he files his comments to the inevitable rulemaking procedure, one of the nails in the coffin of CW testing in the Amateur Radio Service will be his.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3124. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 09:16:05 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906051605.02161.00000139@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19368

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>hat's your problem, troll? Got all those personal frustrations
> boiling up inside you that require you to insult others ...

> Let me explain as to a child (for you act like one):

Calling him a troll and telling him he acts like a child is an insult, too.
Having your own problem with personal frustrations? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3125. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 09:19:04 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906051904.02161.00000140@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19369

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>and
> some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
> so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
> the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of
> trained CW ops to help the nation."

Their premise is that CW use within the Aamteur bands will be of national value when it handles emergency traffic when conditions on an HF circuit deteriorate to the point where SSB is not effective.

If maintaining that capability were the real goal, perhaps a rules change that requires hams to have functioning equipment capable of operating SSB on ALL HF amateur bands would be more effective. If 5 MHz gets added to the Amateur spectrum, I think that it will be very rare indeed that any two points within the US will not have good propagation on some Amateur frequency.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3126. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 09:21:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906052100.02161.00000141@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19370

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

I do all of my operating below 200 meters.

Will that still be true if Amateur Radio gets a 160-kHz allocation?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3127. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 09:22:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906052256.02161.00000142@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 19371

From: "Amish Man" amish@home.com

>Steve , Isnt it time to go vist the grave site again... Your daughter misses
>you.

Steve, don't give this the dignity of a response. It has none whatsoever.

I can see why the person posting this feels he or she has to hide. I would hide
my face in shame, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3128. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:17:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906071701.20943.00000022@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 19375

In article <qn3cpt80d1s29nbooebpbf55gc02o2c72t@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>On 4 Sep 2001 20:03:36 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:
>
>>Actually, all it means is that the Government is
>>taking in more money than it's spending, period. That's not the same
>>thing [as having a surplus].
>
>_____
>
>Well, if taking in more money than one is spending doesn't create a
>surplus, then we are either doing some creative accounting or mangling
>the English language.
>
It's creative accounting. And a calssic example of the political roosters
taking credit for the economic dawn.

The "surplus" happened for a bunch of reasons:

- Very low interest rates (govt. spends less on servicing the debt - at one
point recently more than 33 cents of every Federal tax dollar went to pay
interest)
- Low unemployment (more people paying taxes, particularly SS taxes)
- A long period of economic growth without significant inflation.

This has been going on for so long that many folks have begun to think it's the
natural order of things.

What is forgotten in all this is the bazillion-dollars of accumulated national
debt. The debt quadrupled in the 1980s, and will takes decades to pay off. The
Surplus should go to paying down that debt, not paltry refunds of a few hundred
dollars.

What is also forgotten is that the current economic conditions are bound to
change in the future.

The situation is like a family or business that has been running in the red for
a long suddenly getting an adequate income so that some discretionary money
appears. The family/business has a ton of debt and lots of things that will
need maintenance or replacement, but instead of paying down the debt and laying
something aside for the future, the money is spent on luxuries. Does that make
sense?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3129. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:17:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906071702.20943.00000024@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 19373

In article <3oqcptsu5292iu9785c387glor55iq6bj7@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
<jim@rst-engr.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
>->w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
>news:<20010902151114.21452.00005139@mb-mk.aol.com>...
>->> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>->>
>->> >>"If a 5 ohm resistor is connected across a 10 volt battery, how much
>current
>->> >>will flow in the resistor."
>->
>->The correct answer is "2 amperes or less" because the internal
>->resistance of the battery is not specified, and all batteries have
>->some internal resistance.
>
>
>No, I think the correct answer is "All of it" since no other external load
>was
>specified.
>
>{;-)

Works for me!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3130. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:17:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906071702.20943.00000023@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 19376

In article <9fscpts3qg4cktrh7nuo8o07oovna4tcce@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
<jim@rst-engr.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
>->> Why should CW survive?
>->
>->Here are some reasons:
>->
>->1) It's a lot of fun, once the basic skills are learned
>->2) It "gets through" better than ANY analog voice mode, and better
>->than many data modes
>->3) It permits the use of very simple equipment, very advanced
>->equipment or almost anything in between
>->4) It helps bridge language barriers (such as accents)
>->5) It is useful for many people with disabilities (like blindness)
>->6) It is useful in situations where many other modes are impractical
>->7) It is a challenge to the skill of the operators using it
>->8) It is uniquely well suited to many amateur radio activities, such
>->as DXing and contesting
>->9) It is a unique form of human communication, different from both the
>->spoken and written word.
>->10) It is well suited for human-machine interface
>->
>->CW will survive and continue to flourish in amateur radio as long as
>->hams continue to use it and promote it on its merits.
>
>
>Then the question becomes, "Why should you be tested on horsemanship when you
>go
>to get a driver's license?"

Context, Jim, context. The question was "why should CW survive" not :why should
the CW test survive". The above reasons are to support the mode, not the test.

In fact, if you look back in that post of mine you'll see that I disagreed with
the idea that the test was needed to keep the mode alive.

>
>1. It's a lot of fun, once the basic skills are learned.
>2. It "gets through" when automobiles are bogged down in the mud, and better
>than a lot of four-wheel drives.
>3. It permits the use of rope bridles, silver saddles, or almost anything in
>between.

>4. It helps bridge language barriers such as reading a speedometer or
>worrying
>about traffic signs.
>5. It is useful for many people with disabilities (like blindness).
>6. It is useful in many situations where other modes are impractical.
>7. It is a challenge to the skill of the riders using it.
>8. It is uniquely well suited to many transportation activities, such as
>barrel
>roping and bulldogging.
>9. It is a unique form of human transportation, different from both buses
>and
>trains.
>10. It is well suited for human-horse interface.
>
>We will continue to test on horsemanship as long as drivers continue to use
>it
>and promote it on its merits.
>
Your analogy doesn't quite fit, because horses have all but disappeared from the nation's roads and highways. But CW signals are common in the ham bands, particularly the HF/MF ham bands.

A closer analogy would be whether driver's tests MUST include skill in using a clutch and manual transmission.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3131. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:17:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906071703.20943.00000026@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 19372

In article <3B970AD8.4F2B6B7E@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> I know plenty who have come here.
>> They are welcomed.
>
>
> Great! Look, I have to let this drop for a week or so. I have some
>really pressing matters that are going to eat up all my free time for
>a few days. If you feel like it, we'll get back to this after I
>return. Take care, Jim.

Will do!

There are some posts of yours in this thread that I will respond to as time
permits. No hurry to respond.

Take care & good luck

73 de Jim, N2EY

3132. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:17:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906071703.20943.00000025@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 19374

In article <3B970C04.EAA1D62E@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> ARRR!!! Bring on the Jimmy Buffet
>> while yer at it, matey! Ho, ho ho
>> and a bottle of rum, Santa's run
>> off to the Carribean! (me favorite
>> Christmas carol)!!
>
>
> You'll have to play the Jimmy Buffet yourself, mate.

ARR! Be ye not a parrothead, then?

>I've heard of a
>spanish man-o-war that is laden with a fine haul.

Pieces of eight?

> I be off to take her.
>
Hoist the jolly roger! Fire a broadside! Tops'ls 'n' mains'ls! ARRR!

73 de Long Jim N2EY

3133. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:19:41 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16016c07267ea438989688@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19377

In article <20010906003916.19379.00000089@nso-mb.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.1600f17df67c500f989687@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam
> <nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:
>
> >In article <20010905215845.02518.00000123@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> >says...
> >> From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
> >>
> >> >Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
> >> >transmit legally without a license.
> >>
> >> It is legal to transmit on any amateur frequency without a license, either
> >> at high power in a true emergency, or at a very low power level.
> >>
> >> 73,
> >> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> >OK so what's the deal with this Len character?
>
> There's no "deal" with this "Len character," anonymous troll.
>
> >What was the emergency he
> >had playing with his multiple multiK amps while a section 8 in the big
> >war??
>
> Thank you, anonymous person, for considering the Korean
> War "the big one." [that's the only thanks you get for this
> message, troll]

Just trying to bring one last smile to a tired GI Joseph's face before he
fades away....

>
> >What was was the emergency?? What has military operation on any
> >frequency at any time especially in those ancient times of the 50's and
> >60's got thing one to do with amateur radio.
>
> What's your problem, troll? Got all those personal frustrations
> boiling up inside you that require you to insult others on topics
> you know nothing about nor care to know about?

And this coming from who or is it whom??? The king of insults?? Pleeze
spare us.

>
> Let me explain as to a child (for you act like one): The US
> military has not used morse code modes for messaging
> fixed-point to fixed-point for a half century. It hasn't used
> morse code modes for any tactical, routine communications
> for two decades. The USCG doesn't monitor the 500 KHz
> maritime emergency frequency anymore.
>
> The only radio service still using morse code is amateur and
> some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
> so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
> the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of
> trained CW ops to help the nation." No other radio service
> in the US uses morse for communications.
>
> In US amateur radio service, the FCC does not mandate
> use of morse modes. Such is as optional for amateurs to
> use as any other allocated mode. There is no logical point
> in maintenance of morse testing for any amateur license.

And this justifies the endless repetition of resume posting???
We all know you transmitted on HF legally JUST NOT ON AMATEUR
FREQUENCIES. We all know you worked on radios and such, JUST NOT ON
AMATEUR EQUIPMENT. As you so proudly claim..these are amatuer newsgroups
there Len old boy. You experience and 1.50 gets you a ride on a LA bus,
nothing more nothing less when it comes to amateur radio.

>
> >Why does Len insist on
> >trotting out some old resume like it makes him king shit of the RF world?
>
> The unknown anonymous one turns potty mouth. :-)

That was just to get your attention..glad it worked ;-)

>
> To those whose only life experience with radio is hamming
> and CB, someone with a fair amount of commercial or
> military radio communications experience might seem like
> a "king sh*t of the RF world." :-)

Your experince in life is minor in the vast scheme of things.

>
> Such remarks indicate a resentment of non-amateur radio
> people. That's a personal problem for the unknown,
> anonymous potty-mouths. Anonymous person has to achieve
> a cure for that in order to "play well with other people."

Not all non amateurs Len, just you and people like you (and there are so
few here..you..Larry...Brian...etc)

>
> >Quite frankly it's getting old.

>
> So are anonymous potty-mouths...much more so.
>
>
> >Don't know which is worse..the need to be better hams or the losers like
> >Len who need to prove their manliness by being old badasses
>
> Poor baby. Upset because you can't throw names at others
> without getting return fire? You must be terribly frustrated off-
> line, needing the catharsis of hiding behind a pseudonym.

oohhh ouch, you certainly zinged me. Is that all you got??

>
> "Manliness" isn't "proven" by hiding behind a handle. [one has
> to wonder if there is a "handle" there to handle...]

Just ask your wife and daughter then :-) === see a smiley

>
> No go over to rec.radio.misc where name-calling and potty
> mouth insults are the norm. You will be right at home there.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson

Anonymous Troll

3134. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:43:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9752E0.9425C8EE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19378

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20010905220912.02518.00000129@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >
> >>> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
> >>>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
> >>>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.
> >>
> >>TS for OOK CW then...
> >>
> >>It can go the way of spark. Bye...
> >
> >By his pretty much constant repitition of things like he said above, I
> >believe that ending CW use is what Dick is setting out to accomplish.
>
> I have a different view of what he says and it seems to me
> to be nothing more than "I had to take that morse test and
> so should everyone else!" :-)
>
> He has aligned himself as a champion of morse testing
> and cannot budge from that. Vindication can only come
> from hanging in there with his viewpoint until all others
> get tired of arguing the case.
>
> Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
> opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.

...and vindication can only come from hanging in there with your
viewpoint until all others get tired of arguing the case? Yours is the
"I am a professional in electronics and shouldn't have to put up with
this stuff in order to obtain an *amateur* license" viewpoint.

Since you appear to be the typical geezer in a Lazy Boy, I doubt we'll
ever actually hear you on any of the ham bands. You'll gum the issue to
death but never make the first step toward doing anything.

Dave K8MN

3135. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:51:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9754AD.4D3821EB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19379

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Answer the question, big Stevie. Is amateur radio a "secret"
> society that can only be discovered or observed by entering
> the lodge hall?

Trying to say that you know all about amateur radio from reading about it is like saying that you know all about the Okavango Delta because you saw a program about it on the Discovery Channel. It is like saying that you understand all about golf because you read a book on the subject. You tell us what is good and bad for amateur radio and you haven't even achieved newcomer status.

> Amateur radio involves communicating by radio. I know radio
> communications and have been "in practice" doing so in both
> commercial and military radio services.

Taxi dispatching involves communications by radio.

Dave K8MN

3136. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:55:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9755AE.259731D1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19380

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> I do all of my operating below 200 meters.
>
> Will that still be true if Amateur Radio gets a 160-kHz allocation?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Not likely that I'd miss operating on a band, Ed. I like the Rod Newkirk ditty: "You might have heard me anywhere. Name a band and I was there".

Dave K8MN

3137. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 11:57:56 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b97639d.2378124@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19381

On 06 Sep 2001 06:45:56 GMT, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote:

>As most people in this post I am not the worlds number one fan of CW. But why
>shouldn't it survive? For those that want to use it, fine.

There's no reason at all it shouldn't survive...on its own merits.

The issue is and always has been the desire by some CW
advocates to maintain a government mandated requirement
that all HF hams pass a CW test. The basis for that logic
is that by mandating all HF hams to learn it, there will be
some of those hams that take a liking to it.

If the ONLY reason CW is still around in the ham ranks is because
of the above process (mandated testing), then it will eventually
go away when testing ends...BUT that is of no fault of anyone
other than the CW devotees who would (if CW went away) have
failed to take up the challange to be advoctes of CW and recruit
new devotees.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3138. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 13:19:26 UTC
From: Amish Man <amish@home.com>
Message-ID: <yJKl7.176126$EP6.50709676@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19382

Whos hiding, what is Steve gonna come beat me up haha.. It is ok for him to act like an ass but not anyone else. He should take my advise instead of being a bitter man. There are more important things to worry about than news group attributes, and dedicating 100+ post threads on it in rec.radio.amateur.policy.
Just my opinion

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010906052256.02161.00000142@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Amish Man" amish@home.com
>
> >Steve , Isnt it time to go vist the grave site again... Your daughter misses
> >you.
>
> Steve, don't give this the dignity of a response. It has none whatsoever.
>
> I can see why the person posting this feels he or she has to hide. I would hide
> my face in shame, too.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

3139. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 13:29:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906092908.25769.00000184@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 19383

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
>Date: 9/5/01 10:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.1600f17df67c500f989687@news.earthlink.net

>
>OK so what's the deal with this Len character?

Allow me to explain, altho I have a bit of a jaded opinion....

Len Anderson is retired from the electronics field. At one point in time he even wrote a few quickly forgotten pieces in a long-since defunct radio magazine.

Lennie now believes that he and he alone, based upon his "career" in "professional" radio nows knows what is best for AMATEUR radio. Most of this, however, is a front for his argument that he should be allowed to NOT take ANY Amateur examination since he already knows it all and has done it all. Hence his "Hams want to make everyone do it the way THEY did" rants. Sitting for a test that nine-year olds can pass is insulting to him.

He quickly points out that this is at once a "code test policy" newsgroup, yet feels quite at liberty to take the conversation in any tangent he cares to as long as it supports his rant. But only as long as it does.

He has insulted nearly everyone here, yet takes significant insult with anyone who dares to stand up to his bullying tactics. His insults extend to various organizations and volunteer groups that suit him at the moment, including the Red Cross, Civil Defense, the Civil Air Patrol, the ARRL and others. I have lost count.

You will see him toe-to-toe most often with me. When I first came here I received several "private" e mails from him outlining his particular opinions, but when I told him that I appreciated his points I DIDN'T agree with them he PUBLICLY started in calling me a "jack-booted Nazi", a "thug" and "elitist".

However when called on his insistent anti-ham rhetoric and his own personal vendetta against some Hams, he simply slides back into the "it's my right" argument and washes off any responsibility for his own behaviour.

>What was the emergency he
>had playing with his multiple multiK amps while a section 8 in the big
>war??

Lennie the Licenseless is quick to bemoan any ham who dares to suggest that they have any remembrances past yesterday, however he is as quick as a match to remind us that as a member of the Armed Forces he was allowed to play

with HF radio gear...in the FIFTIES. Of course the big argument there is that he did not require a license to do it.

>Why does Len insist on
>trotting out some old resume like it makes him king shit of the RF world?

Because like many others at his stage in life, he is both trying to cling to what remains of his life, while simultaneously trying to embellish his "record" to place himself just a bit higher up on the pedestal that he has cast for himself.

>Quite frankly it's getting old

Trust me...if you stay around any amount of time and try to discuss ANY topic of Amateur policy, you will see it over and over...And WORSE is the fact that no matter what "policy" you care to discuss, Lennie will find a way to draw it into the "code debate" as somehow being "evidence" of a ham conspiracy to keep him and his tekkie buddies out of Ham Radio.

>Don't know which is worse..the need to be better hams or the losers like
>Len who need to prove their manliness by being old badasses.

We try, but Lennie insists that "better Ham" can only be achieved through him...kinda like the Messiah of Amateur Radio..and we "almost" believe him....yeah, right.

3140. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 13:38:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906093808.25769.00000186@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 19384

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/6/01 12:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906003916.19379.00000089@nso-mb.aol.com>

>Got all those personal frustrations boiling up inside you that require you to insult others on topics you know nothing about nor care to know about?

Pot/kettle/black...AGAIN, Lennie. You seem to have no problem on addressing any number of topics of which you are ill-equipped to discuss from an informed position.

> Let me explain as to a child (for you act like one)

As FROM a child, I think you meant, Lennie.

>The unknown anonymous one turns potty mouth.

"Potty mouth"...YOU claiming someone else is potty mouth..?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Shall we start quoting your OWN liberal use of profanity, Lennie?

>Poor baby. Upset because you can't throw names at others without getting return fire?

LENNIE! How DARE you suggest that someone else suffers from that which you so clearly hold dear...?!?!

>"Manliness" isn't "proven" by hiding behind a handle. [one has to wonder if there is a "handle" there to handle...]

And again Lennie slips into using sexual innuendo for establishing a foothold on self-righteousness. And he is ALWAYS the first to bemoan such by others.

Again, the two-faces of Lennie the Licenseless.

3141. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 13:42:49 UTC
From: queequeg@quahog.com
Message-ID: <Xns911463B17699Amyemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>
Source record: 19385

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in <3B9687D5.C0B6207C@uci.edu>:

>
>
>Your name wrote:
>> WA1HLR has provided many hours of entertainment and information since
>> the early 70's.
>
>How entertaining and informative could belches and farts be?

Not very. I didn't laugh at the bean scene in Blazing Saddles either.
However, during 30+ years as a SWL I heard Tim and his AM gang discuss the
following: Boatanchors and their ideosynchrasies, modulation, PA's,
various tubes, antennas, smith charts, rig mods and homebrewing, etc...
They made QRO AM sound like fun. I only do QRP CW. Go figure.

Paul, KB1GEJ

3142. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 13:46:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906094646.25769.00000187@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 19386

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/6/01 12:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906003912.19379.00000086@nso-mb.aol.com

>He has aligned himself as a champion of morse testing
> and cannot budge from that. Vindication can only come
> from hanging in there with his viewpoint until all others
> get tired of arguing the case.

And YOU are different HOW...?!?!

>Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
> opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.

And we will hang on to our opinions of what YOU are trying to accomplish.

SJR

3143. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:09:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n8028dcs0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19387

Bill Sohl wrote:
>

> Yet there is NO formal FCC requirement to know or understand that list
> of Q signals.

Unless it has been dropped from the question pools, Q signals are on
the test. Last time I had any connection with testing there were
questions on them for some license classes.

3144. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:17:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n80h0$f21$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19388

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Kf6vyh wrote:
>
> > > I for one have 6, 10, 15, 17, and 20 meters diaploles that I made reading the
> > > ARRL antena book without the help of an elmer. I also have a 3 element 2 meter
> > > beam and a two meter ground plane antenna I built by reading my book. I am one
> > > of the New Hams that some of the old hams are afraid of.
> >
> > That's where you are 180 degrees out of phase with reality. That's the
> > sort of activity we wish to see in newcomers. There's too little of it
> > these days.
>
> I think what he meant to convey is the idea that he's one of these guys
> we keep hearing doesn't exist anymore. I count myself as being among
> these "nonexistant" types.
>

It sure would help if he said that, then. We "old guys" many of whom
are barely older than many of you late bloomers, get tired of hearing
what we
"want" when it bears no relation to fact. It should be pretty plain by
now that what most of us "fear" is seeing the hobby severely dimished.
With the proliferation of personal communications that is probably
inevitable, but seems that many are determined to take it to the limit.

3145. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:19:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906101907.25769.00000194@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 19389

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/6/01 12:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906003915.19379.00000088@nso-mb.aol.com>

>Who kept calling another "putz" over ten times?

That would be me. But then I didn't make an issue of supposedly being
without guilt over such as YOU have. You bemoan such conduct yet you roll in
it yourself.

>Who kept insulting another by trying to imply a lesser work-career title?

You mean like "Goonery Sahgent" and "Dill Instructor" ...?!?! Or
"Nursie"..?!?!

>Who not only insulted another directly but also his wife and friends?

You mean in the same way you insult me (aforementioned "jackboots, et al")
and my friends...?!?! (Which is just about any licensed Amatuer)...?!?!

Two-faced, Lennie...Two-faced.

>Answer the question, big Stevie. Is amateur radio a "secret" society that
can only be discovered or observed by entering the lodge hall?

The answer to THAT is already known by those who are already licensed.
Now, how about answering any one of the two dozen questions ever put to YOU and
ignored.

>What in the world are you talking about, little Stevie?

I am sure you have no idea.

>You are insulting people you've never met, never worked, know absolutely
nothing about...all for the purpose of trying to insult me.

You do a good job of insulting your self AND your "friends",
Lennie...every time you post your hatred and venom here.

Do you think you can invoke the name of some licensed Hams or your family
in order to somehow vindicate your behaviour and them have them not suffer a
black eye for it?

>> Nope...It's about YOUR lack of experience to discuss Amateur matters
>>from the point of practical experience.
>
>Still trying to ride that tired horse?

It's tired but it's still getting around. Got a license yet? Joined a
local radio club yet? Subscribed to QST, CQ, 73, etc...?!?!

>>YOU hold yourself up as some kind of expert on Amatuer matters and hope
>>the neophytes in this forum will sit up and take notice.
>
>Nope. I don't need to impress anyone.

Good. Then you won't be disappointed when you discover that you impress
no one...OR SHOULD I SAY...You don't impress anyone FAVORABLY...?!?!

>Good grief, lil Stevie, you stop at nothing to vent your bile. By
> continuing to bray "defunct magazine" you insult a number of
> good radio amateurs: Skip Tenney, the late Jim Fisk, Alf Wilson,
> Rich Rosen, Terry Northrup

And now you try to drag them down with you, Lennie. The are/were good
Amatuers...the operative word being "Amateurs".

>Nothing seems sacred to you when it comes to trying to earn message points.

You still haven't posted your "message points" scale, Lennie. Another one
of your "to-do" things that you never seem to do.

>Amateur radio involves communicating by radio. I know radio communications
and have been "in practice" doing so in both commercial and military radio
services.

But in what AMATEUR programs have you any experience...?!?! ARES..?!?!
RACES..?!?!

Who's Field Day did you last participate at?

How many people in Amateur Radio today ARE in Amateur radio because
Lennie the Licenseless got them there..?!?!

>I'm just trying to quash the false belief that OOK CW is the epitome of
communications, so much so that it MUST be tested for a full below-30-MHz
amateur radio license class.

Then you are tilting at windmills and your continued anti-Amateur rhetoric
here is just meanness. The code test is already down to a single 5WPM test for
ALL classes and the present test exists solely to meet international treaty
requirements.

>Quit being a sore, frustrated loser in arguments. You can't cut it there,
can't control yourself. Now go on out and get one of those tranks and calm
down.

I am neither, Lennie. I am able to get on the air right now. Youa re
not. It chaps your hide that we are able to do that, while you are not.

It needn't be that way. You have had 11 years to obtain a license, yet
you refuse to do so as it would be an affront to your "professional"
stature...Heaven forbid that YOU should be the one and only electronics
"professional" that had to sit for the written exam like any other citizen.

You are an ugly, bitter person who is in the waning years of his life and you can't stand it. That you choose to "go out" this way is a sad testimony to your inability to cope with your declining years.

Now..WHO needs the "tranks"...?!?!

SJR

3146. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:20:21 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906102021.02962.00000311@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 19390

>Steve , Isnt it time to go vist the grave site again... Your daughter misses
>you.

I can't, for the life of me, fathom the type of heartless coward it takes to make such a statement. Steve, a dolt like this doesn't even deserve to be acknowledged.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3147. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:22:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n80r0fpe0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19391

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >I know, we can safely ignore it for routine use. But don't forget, you
> >want total accuracy.
>
> Okay, then total accuracy it is.
>
> Explain how the source impedance of an antenna changes when you change the load
> in the far field?

So who ever said it could be changed by changing the load in the far
field? Not me! Once it is radiated, it's gone, out of the infleunce of
anything except whatever happens at the source. Turn off the power
switch and see what happens. But you cannot separate the E and H fields.
Of course you can measure them separatel. That doesn't change them, but
if one changes the other does so also, automatically. THAT's Ohm's law.
I sure hope you aren't arguing against that.

3148. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:22:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n80rufpe1@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19392

That is beyond dispicable.

Amish Man wrote:
>
> Steve , Isnt it time to go vist the grave site again... Your daughter misses
> you.
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010831175626.01523.00006090@mb-ml.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Anon posters
> > >From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
> > >Date: 8/31/01 5:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>
> >
> > >Perhaps you would go to the worst part of town and scream out your name,
> > >address and phone number, but most sensible people wouldn't
> >
> > You are right...most people wouldn't. But then that kind of element
> is
> > usually not sufficiently educated to be here, either.
> >
> > Too time consuming.
> >
> > >Similarly, Usenet is inhabited by some real scum who think nothing of
> > >taking it to "real life" and harassing someone they don't like.
> >
> > If someone wants to know who you are bad enough, you WILL be found
> out.
> >
> > >I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
> > >physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
> > >only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
> > >people who read these posts.
> >
> > Uh huh. Right.
> >
> > >And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
> > >TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN
> >
> > Then take a Phenergan, cuz here it comes again.
> >
> > No Name = Anonymous Coward.
> >
> > Sorry...call's em the way I see's em.

> >
> > Steve

3149. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:28:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n816u$gi4$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19393

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
> >>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
> >>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.
> > >
> > >TS for OOK CW then...
> > >
> > >It can go the way of spark. Bye...
>
> By his pretty much constant repitition of things like he said above, I believe
> that ending CW use is what Dick is setting out to accomplish.

Yeah, Right! Take a basically confusing subject, particularly at the start when so many just give up, and expect that any serious numbers of new hams will "have time" to devote to it, when all these protesters "never had timeto learn it" or "it was just too hard, I really wanted a ham radio license, but"--ad naseum, and you really expect any serious numbers of hams to bother. Sure Ed, you surely have it figuted out. The Newington way it it ever was demonstrated.

Oh, Loose Lennie doesn't need to worry about the demise of CW, *HE* will be gone the way of spark long before CW is.

3150. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:32:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n81em$h2v$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19394

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
> >opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.
>
> You may be right. I guess I think more in terms of what his "trying to
> accomplish" will actually accomplish. Telling people that CW does not have
> enough value that it will be used in the Amateur Radio Service without testing
> does not even support continued testing.

Ed can't you get *anything* right? That isn't what I said or even
close. I said that there will have too few CW operators for it to remain
as a viable communications mode. When only one ham in a thouosand can
work CW that is the way it will be.

If he tells the FCC that CW has no
> value when he files his comments to the inevitable rulemaking procedure, one of
> the nails in the coffin of CW testing in the Amateur Radio Service will be his.

So that is the Newington attitude. I see. (Now don't tell us that it is
ONLY your opinion, you've already said your bosses know you wouldn't say
anything that goes against ARRL policy).

3151. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:38:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n81on$h2v$1@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19395

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > If you hear someone using CW to send an SOS,
> > you will just write himn off because he isn't using PACTOR, with no
> > regard whatever for the circumstances.
>
> We are not talking about me, Dick. If someone hears you using CW to
> send an SOS and he/she doesn't know Morse code (like 99.9% of the
> human race doesn't know Morse code) you are simply SOL. Why not
> choose a mode that is understood by the receiving station, you know,
> the one from which you need help?
>

You have repeatedly ignored my repeated statements that I WILL choose a more effective mode when it is available, as U'm sure anyone else would, too. When you assume that anyone with an instant urgent need to send a message gets to choose the method he uses, you show that you intentionally ignore the facts. But we already knew that.

3152. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:43:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n8238imb0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19396

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
> >as anything other than a last resort mode.
>
> Perhaps so, but you have certainly justified continued CW testing because CW
> might be a last-resort mode under some circumstances. I might find that
> credible if you were also proposing rules changes that would place the same
> mandate on capability for the other modes that might be higher on the "resort"
> list.

It is revealing that you deliberately choose to ignore the reality that
CW mode is virtually universally present on all HF ham radio equipment.
It is very easy to make it so, at almost no additional cost over
eliminating the capability. Only the lack of training and skill by hams
will prevent the use of CW. Consideraing that there *will* be occasions
when communications can depend That speaks volumes about the character
and worth of many hsms who would rather be completely out of business
rather than learn to use it.

3153. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:44:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n824limb1@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19397

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
> > as anything other than a last resort mode.
>
> You missed the point, Dick. If CW is your mode of last resort and
> the receiving station doesn't know Morse code, you are simply $hit
> out of luck. Why not prepare to use an emergency mode that more than
> 0.1% of the population understands?

I suppose you're referring to Pactgor 11 which he won't be equipped to
use.

3154. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:50:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B978D23.911B55D5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19400

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I said that there will have too few CW operators for it to remain
> as a viable communications mode.

Which means that CW does not have enough merits to compete successfully with the non-subsidized modes. That's what you are saying, Dick, whether you realize it or not - that CW does not have enough merits to continue to exist as a useful mode and most hams won't choose to learn Morse code because the return on investment is too small. I think you are wrong about that, BTW.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3155. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:51:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n82hiimb2@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19398

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>

Your
> insistence that, because CW is not given priority among many emergency
> commuicators, we are not prepared goes beyond reasonable.

Where in Hades did anyone **ever** suggest that CW be given *priority*
in
ANYTHING????

It is becoming clear what the problem is- You and a fair number of
others postign here cannot read. Ed, are you listening???

3156. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:52:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906105227.25749.00000174@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 19399

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/6/01 12:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906003917.19379.00000090@nso-mb.aol.com>

> DOS Tip, ignorant lil Stevie: There were lots of radio services
> in the 60s, 70s, 80s, not to mention the 50s and the 90s.

DOS Tip, Your Ingnorance: This is "rec.radio.AMATEUR.policy".....

>You really should try to keep up with the times.

You should get an Amateur license and get on the air and see what "grown up" is all about.

You MIGHT have some fun along the way, but I doubt it.

>DOS Tip #2: "International treaties" (Robeson's name) on civil radio are changeable at World Radio Conferences by vote of the representative administrations...(SNIP)

No Screaming Eagle DooDoo, huh Lennie...?!?! I ahve never stated that in here...?!?!?!

>Let's skip the virtual expiration of a GROL that was originally a
>First Class Commercial Radiotelephone license since lil Stevie
>never had either one.

I had a Second Phone, once...obtained to accelerate a promotion in the Corps. Didn't need it..let it expire after I got promoted.

Didn't make me King Of the Hill, either.

And yes, Lennie..we will "skip" your silly and embarrasssing episode of your "expiring" GROL. You did look pretty foolish. Still do, but that's besides the point.

>Now, lil Stevie, just why is anyone's income such a "needed" topic about amateur radio?

Since YOU brought it up, Lennie, suppose you expalin to me and the others here why you felt compelled to mention it...No one else has...

>Do you drool over having a Yaesu FT-1000 and can't afford one?

No, actually. I am getting a new ICOM-718. It's NTIA compliant and perfect for my use. And if it get's hit by lightning, I won't cry too profusely in my beer.

>Your problem there, not mine.

Actually, it IS your problem...You'd have a $2500 paperweight while I would have a tranceiver I could use to communicate with other licensed Amatuers.

But I am sure you and your GROL would have fun SWLing. (Remember, Lennie, your GROL states "no station license, no operation.)

>[damn, there's lots and lots of chains...and they are so noisy!

Hearing noises, are you Lennie...?!?! And you state >>I<< need "tranks"...?!?!

Dang-it-all, Lennie...you are making this TOO EASY.

Steve

3157. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 14:53:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B978E04.86A4E68D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19551

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Oh, Loose Lennie doesn't need to worry about the demise of CW, *HE*
> will be gone the way of spark long before CW is.

That seems to be a contradiction to what you have been saying. So
does CW have enough merits to survive without a federal affirmative
action program, or not?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3158. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:00:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B978F81.4C667A87@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19552

Dick Carroll wrote:
> When you assume that anyone with an instant urgent need to send a
> message gets to choose the method he uses, you show that you
> intentionally ignore the facts.

Oh really? Why do you think I am not free to choose the mode I use?
Why should I stick with a mode to which I am receiving no response?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3159. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:02:39 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <rg2fptcolvllkt8vq8muu7dafd9uiukpgs@4ax.com>
Source record: 19553

On Wed, 05 Sep 2001 23:23:36 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N0YM wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >What is more fair than giving the money back to the individuals who
>> >paid it in the first place? When you refund the purchase price
>> >of tickets for a rained-out ball game, do you give $25 back to the
>> >people who bought the $10 tickets and $10 back to the the people
>> >who bought the $25 tickets? That argument is absolutely ridiculous.
>>
>> This argument goes to the heart of the reasons for progressive
>> taxation. There are two good reasons for higher taxes on the wealthy,
>> Cecil. The first is fairness: the top 1% wealthiest individuals
>> control over 38% of the wealth in this country, and receive on the
>> order of 13% of the income. They pay, by contrast, about 20% of all
>> federal taxes, yet are receiving over 40% of the tax cut (CBPP).
>
>They are simply getting some of their hard-earned money back. If
>you don't like that, why don't you move to Cuba where there exists
>an economic system more to your liking.

You must be a libertarian, sir. I have to break it to you, but
everything to the left of you ain't communism.

I was going to post a long reply, but discussing libertarianism really
isn't why I'm reading this newsgroup. If you want to continue this,
we can do so by email.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3160. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:09:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9791C0.CA9EC82C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19554

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Where in Hades did anyone **ever** suggest that CW be given *priority*
> in ANYTHING????

Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3161. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:17:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B979377.90F83F0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19555

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Only the lack of training and skill by hams
> will prevent the use of CW.

If a ham lacks training and skill in CW, that is his own choice.
Are you saying the lack of forced training and forced skill will
prevent the use of CW? Nobody forces anybody to ride horses, Dick,
and horseback riding is still going strong after 100 years of
automobiles. It will be the same for CW if CW has the merits
that I think it has.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3162. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:29:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n84p5$p0q$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 19556

Len Over 21 wrote:

> DOS Tip #2:

DOS TIP?????? Where's the dinosaur? YOU are it, Pal.

Someone sent me a part of your post where you again deny the existence of a Police Radiotelegraph net. For your information and edification, there existed within the USA between 1936 and 1972 an HF radiotelgraph network of stations that exclusively handled police message traffic, both within various states and through intercommunications and relaying between many states. The state of California was indeed involved in this network, using a radiotelegraph station located at Sacramento which was assigned the callsign KMA25. This station handled interstate police traffic, often with the assistance of relays by the Arizona Highway Patrol station at Flagstaff, KPF46. When conditions permitted traffic was handled direct to states farther to the east. I am personally familiar with the fact that the MSHP station then located in Jefferson City, MO, KAB72, at times was able to communicate directly with KMA25 in Sacramento, although most of the traffic was relayed.
Frequencies used were in the 2.8Mhz, 5.6 mHz and 7.5 mHz regions.

The Jefferson City station, being centrally located, handled heavy volumes of this radiotelegraph traffic going both east and west. There were few stations that were as busy. It was all a part of the Police Communications Network, which did indeed incorporate some wireline teletype. By 1972 the wireline teletype was in universal use and the police radiotelegraph network closed down. I was a part of the technical team that removed all the equipment from the Jefferson City station in 1976.

For a first person account of what it was like to work at one of these CW stations see

http://metronet.com/~nmcewen/police_radio.html

Written by a ham who was also a police CW operator, of course.

And yes, within the state of California police message traffic was handled by teletype. They used their radiotelegraph station strictly for interstate communications. No doubt the larger population within the state justified the added cost.

I have a copy of an APCO (Associated Police Communications Officers) manual that describes this network in considerable detail, including its history.

So your anti-CW ranting fails yet one more time. CHeck your facts a bit more carefully, if you please.

3163. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:52:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B979BCF.12654508@uci.edu>
Source record: 19558

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I wonder how they explain the fact that you can see it is round when you
> > look at it from a dozen or so miles up?
>
> It doesn't look like a sphere. It looks like a two-dimensional flat
> circle unless your eyes are set farther apart than I think they are.

At 12 miles you can tell is that the horizon is curved. It is readily
apparent that it is neither a five sided box, nor a pancake.

Now you want to argue whether the world is flat or not? What next?

3164. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 15:55:18 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B979C66.D63A60F4@uci.edu>
Source record: 19559

CAM wrote:
> Thanks Jim, for agreeing with me.

Geez, when did you change your mind?

3165. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:01:09 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B979DC5.51885FF6@uci.edu>
Source record: 19557

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I think what he meant to convey is the idea that he's one of these guys
> > we keep hearing doesn't exist anymore. I count myself as being among
> > these "nonexistant" types.

> It sure would help if he said that, then. We "old guys" many of whom
> are barely older than many of you late bloomers, get tired of hearing
> what we
> "want" when it bears no relation to fact. It should be pretty plain by
> now that what most of us "fear" is seeing the hobby severely dimished.
> With the proliferation of personal communications that is probably
> inevitable, but seems that many are determined to take it to the limit.

I understand. Just know that when some of the OTs make broad sweeping
generalizations about the new guys, there are some of us around who take
exception, and prove the inaccuracy of the generalized statements about
new hams.

3166. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:11:06 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97A01A.697DF404@uci.edu>
Source record: 19560

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >I think what he meant to convey is the idea that he's one of these guys
> >we keep hearing doesn't exist anymore. I count myself as being among
> >these "nonexistant" types.

> You wouldn't mean one of those guys who stands at the flea-market tables loaded
> with parts and trades money for those parts and takes them home, would you?

Well, I've been the guy standing at the table selling old parts and old
radios. Does that count?

> Or
> are you one of those guys who buys the kits from one or more of the dozen or so
> kit manufacturers that sprang up after Heathkit went away?

Only Ten Tec and Ramsey.

> I gotta' say that
> you are doing a pretty good job of covering up the fact that you aren't
> building anything!
> :-)

I'm a veritable cat in the litter box in that respect.

I've had to sell most of the stuff I've built - just to get rid of the
evidence.

3167. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:19:36 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97A218.95755B1E@uci.edu>
Source record: 19561

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Now a song like "Come Sail Away,
> > > by SuperTramp, will charm the
> > > bloomers off any young maiden in
> > > any port, don't ye know. ARR. ARR.
> > > ARRRR!!!!
> >
> > Isn't that a Styx tune?
>
> Could be. I don't remember who it is from. All I have is a MIDI
> version at the moment and the author mentioned SuperTramp.

I've got three Supertramp albums, and it's not on any of those. Styx
did have a song by that title however. "come sail away, come sail away,
come sail away with me" repeats at the end. Is that the one?

73, jim

3168. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:33:29 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <729fpt8tbmu5mgsf9kbp6q0b6fj0fkqv72@4ax.com>
Source record: 19562

On 5 Sep 2001 19:59:40 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:

>I do take issue with the notion that "government can not create
>wealth". Government, like private individuals, can invest funds. In
>so doing, wealth can be created or lost. Additionally, government has
>human capital (employees) just as does private industry, which is the
>economic source of wealth.

I agree with nearly all your comments except the one above.

If government invests money and wealth is produced, it isn't the govt doing it - it is whoever the money was invested in.

Likewise, the "human capital" in government can not be considered a wealth creator. They are an expense item. Depending on what they are doing, it may be a wise expense or a foolish one, but by no means are they creating wealth.

I realize there is some latitude in our personal definitions of terms, so I don't expect complete agreement. Still, this has been a good discussion. Thanks.

73, Bill W7TI

3169. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:38:24 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <3h9fptgs3ksvfflvqcdhodth9jmfovif4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 19563

On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 02:18:32 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:

>The case was equally fishy - the defendant was a postal employee
> accused of mishandling (not stealing) $1.01 found loose in the mail.
> Apparenmtly he didn't hold it up over his head when he carried it
> from his workstation to his supervisor after calling out "money
> found in the mail". If it wasn't more than $1.00 they couldn't
> bring the case....$1.01 indeed. The guy was a union agitator and
> the case appeared to have all the indication of a typical Postal
> Inspectors sting.

Interesting story. No wonder postal workers go postal.

Bill, W7TI

3170. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:38:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109060838.323747bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19564

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<KCFl7.8915$Uf1.748929@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010905000316.13505.00001644@nso-fg.aol.com...
> > >
> > ARRR!!! Bring on the Jimmy Buffet while yer at it, matey! Ho, ho ho and a
> > bottle of rum, Santa's run off to the Carribean! (me favorite Christmas
> > carol)!!
> >
> > 73 de Long Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> And if you listen closely you will hear at Chrismas time the Mannheim
> Steamroller.

ARRRRGGHHHH!!! I try to avoid them at all costs!!

> Or catch them live in Milwaukee when Chip Davis visits his
> family and finds a way to turn a buck and write the visit off on the old
> 1040.

I will try to be as far away as possible.
>
> In his younger days, he was responsible for the early recordings of one CW
> McCall, a local ad man who invented the character for a series of
> commercials for a bread company in Sioux City wanting to sell their wares in
> Omaha.

omigawd....

> For the collector of really bad music, I can but recommend Wolf
> Creek Pass in which the background appears to have been done by six bored
> housewives dragged in off the street (which comes close to reality BTW).

So absurd it MUST be true...

> Old CWs major hit was as the Rubber Duck in Convoy.

The crimes against humanity continue to mount.

> He got on with Midland
> and toured but hated country music with a passion.

The punishment fits the offense.

> Last I heard he was
> mayoring in Western Colorado.
>

Rocky Mountain high?

> Listen for a hyper version of Deck the Halls that sounds like it is on a
> synthesizer.

Listen for it and avoid like the plague.

> Every ad agency in the planet uses it at Christmas. Except
> for Sears that plays Marching Thru Georgia at double speed.

At times like that I think we are living in the alternate reality in which George Bailey was never born. Clarence!

The book which is MUST reading on this subject is "Dave Barry's Book of Bad Songs" in which he documents the genre of bad pop music and its effects on Western civilization. His criteria for a "bad song" are right on the money: it must be a real pop song, meant seriously (not a parody), and must have achieved some level of commercial success. The song must also have the characteristic of being memorable even though you try like mad to forget it.

Example: "MacArthur Park". Big hit, still occasionally heard on the radio. Pretentious and indecipherable, musically inept, yet it made mucho dinero and has been covered many times. Hum a few bars at the job and coworkers will hate you for days because they cannot get the tune out of their heads for hours.

Chapter titles in this wonderful volume include: (this is just a partial list)

"Weenie Music"
"Love Songs"
"Songs Women Really Hate"
"Teen Death Songs"
"Songs People Get Wrong"

73 de Jim, N2EY

"A grapeskin rots in the hot sun,
I fought the law and the law one"

"Well, since she put me down
There's been owls pukin' in my bed"

"There's a bathroom on the right"

3171. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:52:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%QNI7.12598$151.1044342@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19565

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010906071701.20943.00000022@nso-ba.aol.com...
> It's creative accounting. And a calssic example of the political roosters
> taking credit for the economic dawn.
>
> The "surplus" happened for a bunch of reasons:
>
> - Very low interest rates (govt. spends less on servicing the debt - at
one
> point recently more than 33 cents of every Federal tax dollar went to pay
> interest)
> - Low unemployment (more people paying taxes, particularly SS taxes)
> - A long period of economic growth without significant inflation.
>
> This has been going on for so long that many folks have begun to think
it's the
> natural order of things.
>
> What is forgotten in all this is the bazillion-dollars of accumulated
national
> debt. The debt quadrupled in the 1980s, and will takes decades to pay off.
The
> Surplus should go to paying down that debt, not paltry refunds of a few
hundred
> dollars.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim bip over to www.publicdebt.treas.govt and you will note that the debt has not gone down in years. And it cannot because any excess of SS collections over receipts will go into a fund which owns bonds which are, guess what, part of the national debt.

What has gone down is the debt in private hands. And when you see the figures, remember that about half a trill is in the Federal Reserve. We did find that paying it off did cause a bind over at Salomon Brothers but this is hardly reason for a tax cut. It is interesting to note how much of the financial system depends on a debt in private hands: odds are the bank carrying your local govt funds must put up Federal Securities, your insuror has a bunch on deposit at the capital to do biz in your state, and so it goes.

At times of high economic activity a national government can and should run surpluses so having a small one in excess of SS net collections is no giant

surprise. What is a surprise is that the Feds blundered into a cut at the right time.

Then they butchered the job by spreading it over ten years complete with sunset clauses. The end result is a guaranteed five-year recession. By 2004, you won't be elected county trash commissioner as a Republican.

Which is what usually comes of parties more interested in idiological purity than governing.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3172. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 16:54:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109060854.7206271c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19566

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20010905145154.07922.00003286@nso-cr.aol.com>...
> In article <20010905000318.13505.00001646@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <3B94DEBA.B510554A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> ><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>>
> >>> (snip) even though I can guarantee
> >>> that new hams today can't pass the
> >>> tests I took. (snip)

LHA can't pass them either.

> >>
> >> Since those tests are no longer available, that is obviously a play
> >>on words designed to give the false impression that you know more than
> >>today's hams.
> >
> >Not at all, Dwight.
>
> Of course it is, Jim.

No, it isn't, Len. I wrote it, I know what it was designed to do.

> It comes through even at the ending
> paragraph.

You infer what is not there. And you missed the context of the
discussion.
>
> >Part of the play on words was to entertain wordsmith Cecil. But the main
> >reason
> >was to point out that making comparisons between the current tests and those
> >of
> >decades ago is speculation at best and absurdity at worst. Since the pre-1983
> >tests are not available, who can say for sure how "hard" or "easy" they were?
>
> Then why bring them up?

See above:

"the main reason was to point out that making comparisons between the
current tests and those of decades ago is speculation at best and

absurdity at worst."

Read back a few posts and you will see posters claiming they could
pass the tests others took in the past.
>
> If it is so necessary to bring up old tests, why not go back to
> the original 1912 tests for amateurs? :-)

Already been done by KH6O, who is more knowledgeable about that era
than I.

I could pass those tests if required to do so. I don't think you
could, though, Len.
>
> You wouldn't since, like most long-timers of pre-restructuring,
> your tests were "obviously" so much harder than today's tests.

How would you know, Len?

The "difficulty" of tests during the various eras is left to the
opinion of the reader. Since I actually took and passed the tests of
the 1968-1970 era, my opinion of those tests is somewhat informed.

> >>Make those tests available again, and I'm fairly sure
> >>most hams today could pass them.
> >
> >You're fairly sure that most hams today could pass the 20 wpm sending and
> >receiving CW tests that I took?
>
> See? There you go, emphasizing what YOU took. :-)

Just responding to Dwight's statement.
>
> The FCC no longer requires 20 WPM morse testing of US
> radio amateurs...for any class.

So? The discussion was about who could past what tests that were given
in the past.

Interesting to see these comments from someone who has not passed ANY
amateur radio tests of any era, including the present one.

Even though you posted on January 19, 2000, that you were going for
the Extra "right out of the box", you haven't done it yet, Len.
Fascinating.

N2EY

3173. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 17:12:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B97AE8D.38BB8ECB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19567

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Now you want to argue whether the world is flat or not? What next?

What I will argue is that it *appears* flat to an organism whose
two eyes are 2.5 inches apart. The horizon depth effect could be
just an illusion. The only reason that you know the earth is a
three dimensional sphere is your ability to conceptualize, not
your ability to *perceive* it as a three dimensional sphere.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3174. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 17:16:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B97AF56.95AF42C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19568

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Thanks Jim, for agreeing with me.
>
> Geez, when did you change your mind?

I didn't. I agree with you that a civil war would have been much
less likely if slavery had not existed. "Less likely" does not
equal zero probability, however.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3175. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 17:24:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B97B149.B73B2FA7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19570

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I suppose you're referring to Pactgor 11 which he won't be equipped to
> use.

You can only use the modes for which you are equipped, Dick. If,
for whatever reason, someone is not equipped for CW, then he
cannot use CW. If someone is not equipped for PACTOR II, then
he cannot use PACTOR II. It's a simple enough concept. If you
are calling SOS on CW and the only people who can hear you
don't know Morse code, you are using the wrong mode.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3176. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 17:27:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B97B1FB.A0DD20BD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19571

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >They are simply getting some of their hard-earned money back. If
> >you don't like that, why don't you move to Cuba where there exists
> >an economic system more to your liking.
>
> You must be a libertarian, sir. I have to break it to you, but
> everything to the left of you ain't communism.

Did you refuse to cash your refund check on principle?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3177. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 17:27:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906132725.06290.00000197@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19569

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/6/01 12:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0109060854.7206271c@posting.google.com>

>Even though you posted on January 19, 2000, that you were going for
>the Extra "right out of the box", you haven't done it yet, Len

What...?!?! You expected Lennie the Licenseless to acutally DO something
other than pontificate, mislead or accuse?

>Fascinating.

Nothing facinating about someone who insults Amateur Radio and Amateur
Radio Operators for allegedly struggling to maintain "staus quo", but for whom
"status quo" is a lifestyle.

Forever two-faced, that's Lennie the Liecenseless.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3178. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 17:36:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906133606.06290.00000201@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19572

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/6/01 1:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B97AE8D.38BB8ECB@IEEE.org>

>The only reason that you know the earth is a
>three dimensional sphere is your ability to conceptualize, not
>your ability to *perceive* it as a three dimensional sphere.

I guess first-person observations don't count, huh?

Perhaps if all you can see is the parking lot outside your apartment you would think so.

From any vantage point of altitude (even a few hundred meters..ie: a scenic overlook on a mountain pass) the evidnce is apparent.

Unless, of course, that vision is obscured by mind altering chemicals.

Steve
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
>

3179. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:05:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B97BB00.64C1867A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19573

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> From any vantage point of altitude (even a few hundred meters..ie: a
> scenic overlook on a mountain pass) the evidence is apparent.

The evidence is not "apparent" to your senses. The evidence is
only apparent because you already know the answer within the
concepts of your mind. I forget the formula for detecting
depth with binocular vision but 2.5 inches of separation is
not enough to detect depth at more than a mile. If the earth
was flat and round, you probably wouldn't be able to tell the
difference by looking at the uneven land with the naked eye.
We take a lot of things for granted that early man, equipped
with the same I/O as we, was incapable of conceiving.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3180. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:13:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97BCDB.CEE1360F@uci.edu>
Source record: 19576

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Now you want to argue whether the world is flat or not? What next?
>
> What I will argue is that it *appears* flat to an organism whose
> two eyes are 2.5 inches apart. The horizon depth effect could be
> just an illusion. The only reason that you know the earth is a
> three dimensional sphere is your ability to conceptualize, not
> your ability to *perceive* it as a three dimensional sphere.

When I flew from Paris to Chicago over Greenland, we were high enough to actually see some curvature. The Earth does not appear to be flat to anyone who has seen it from a distance. The only thing I know of which looks round from any angle, is a sphere.

Are you a Flat Earther or something?

ac6xg

3181. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:16:36 UTC
From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906141636.16514.00000490@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 19574

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
>Date: 9/6/01 10:20 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906102021.02962.00000311@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
>
>>Steve , Isnt it time to go vist the grave site again... Your daughter misses
>>you.
>
>I can't, for the life of me, fathom the type of heartless coward it takes to
>make such a statement. Steve, a dolt like this doesn't even deserve to be
>acknowledged.
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN

Well Steve seems to dish it out fine, yet when someone comes in a little harder than he people take it as offensive. I think this is exactly what Stagger Lee had in mind. Steve put that info out in public and maybe someone didn't like being called a ANONYMOUS COWARD for failing to use there real name. I mean is it better to be a asshxxx with a name or be a jackarse without one? I think If people respected others wishes for remaining anon they may get the same respect back. I don't agree with people stooping to these levels but who determines how far you take it. Steve at times looks like the school yard bully! Perhaps someone bringing him down a few notches is what we all need.

Dan Spivey

3182. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:17:55 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0109061017.61f9da33@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19575

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3b96dfb2_2@newsa.ev1.net>...

>
> Then test other modes as well. Some involve far more aptitude to operate
> than CW.
>

You'll need to take that up with the FCC, not with me. I have no
authority to mandate additional tests for Amateur Radio license
applicants.

>
> Yes, but how would you have an every day conversation in CW? I mean, just
> an "over the fence" kind of chat?
>

You probably cannot. Why should CW be able to support such a conversation
when no other mode can either? Ergo, your question is nothing but a
strawman.

Thus "They Can't Answer" becomes "You can't answer either". Come back
when you wish a serious discussion.

With all kinds wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3183. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:19:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97BE30.AF1DE576@uci.edu>
Source record: 19577

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Thanks Jim, for agreeing with me.
> >
> > Geez, when did you change your mind?

> I didn't. I agree with you that a civil war would have been much
> less likely if slavery had not existed. "Less likely" does not
> equal zero probability, however.

Thus proving your claim that the war had nothing to do with slavery to
be completely bogus.
```

3184. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:29:58 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gj8yzr0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19578

On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 09:38:24 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:

>Interesting story. No wonder postal workers go postal.

A law school classmate of mine was a postal worker who moved up to be
a labor-management mediator and counselor while in school and then
transferred to their legal section handling discrimination complaints.
The stories that she used to tell us about settled cases were
along the same lines.

In addition to all of thr above, she was a stage and film actress who
made several commercials as well as having non-speaking parts in
several major films. She was with us when we saw the film "Class
Action" in which she played the court reporter and her ongoing
commentary was priceless,

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3185. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 18:37:34 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <t4gfptgumdulpln68b6r0ndk5a72d0m1n5@4ax.com>
Source record: 19579

On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 16:52:11 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010906071701.20943.00000022@nso-ba.aol.com...
>> It's creative accounting. And a calssic example of the political roosters
>> taking credit for the economic dawn.
>>
>> The "surplus" happened for a bunch of reasons:
>>
>> - Very low interest rates (govt. spends less on servicing the debt - at
>one
>> point recently more than 33 cents of every Federal tax dollar went to pay
>> interest)
>> - Low unemployment (more people paying taxes, particularly SS taxes)
>> - A long period of economic growth without significant inflation.
>>
>> This has been going on for so long that many folks have begun to think
>it's the
>> natural order of things.
>>
>> What is forgotten in all this is the bazillion-dollars of accumulated
>national
>> debt. The debt quadrupled in the 1980s, and will takes decades to pay off.
>The
>> Surplus should go to paying down that debt, not paltry refunds of a few
>hundred
>> dollars.
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim bip over to www.publicdebt.treas.govt and you will note that the debt
>has not gone down in years. And it cannot because any excess of SS
>collections over receipts will go into a fund which owns bonds which are,
>guess what, part of the national debt.
>
>What has gone down is the debt in private hands. And when you see the
>figures, remember that about half a trill is in the Federal Reserve. We did
>find that paying it off did cause a bind over at Salomon Brothers but this
>is hardly reason for a tax cut. It is interesting to note how much of the
>financial system depends on a debt in private hands: odds are the bank
>carrying your local govt funds must put up Federal Securities, your insuror

>has a bunch on deposit at the capital to do biz in your state, and so it
>goes.

Now, this is interesting. My understanding was one of the best uses
of the excess funds generated when SS purchased the bonds would be to
pay down the national debt; the savings from the interest would offset
the interest due on the bonds when Social Security cashes them in. Do
you agree?

>
>At times of high economic activity a national government can and should run
>surpluses so having a small one in excess of SS net collections is no giant
>surprise. What is a surprise is that the Feds blundered into a cut at the
>right time.
>
>Then they butchered the job by spreading it over ten years complete with
>sunset clauses. The end result is a guaranteed five-year recession. By
>2004, you won't be elected county trash commissioner as a Republican.

Well, that and I've read that there is a good deal of controversy over
how much economic good a tax cut targetted to the wealthy will do.
For one thing, there are frequently tax increases on the lower
economic brackets to make up the difference; for another, high-dollar
purchases and investments in coin collections don't necessarily spread
benefits around very well.

>
>Which is what usually comes of parties more interested in idiological purity
>than governing.

Couldn't agree more.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3186. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 19:05:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906150538.23508.00000202@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 19580

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com (Dynamicelectroni)
>Date: 9/6/01 2:16 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906141636.16514.00000490@mb-fc.aol.com>

>
>Well Steve seems to dish it out fine, yet when someone comes in a little
>harder than he people take it as offensive.

And where do you see me making any inference to the honor of anyone's deceased loved one...?!?!

I don't take it as hard as anyone else seems to think I might. My daughter is with me 24/7...Her body's in a grave..her spirit is with me.

>I think If people respected others wishes for remaining anon they may get the
>same respect back.

Nope. He's still an anonymous coward.

>Steve at times looks like the school yard
>bully! Perhaps someone bringing him down a few notches is what we all need.

I am sure you think so. But who are you to determine who's notches need brining down? Are you any better or worse? In as much as you have "come out swinging" in the camp of an Anonymous Coward that thinks nothing of besmirching the grave of a child, what are we to think of you?

You sign a name, which I give you credit for, but in what charter or declaration has anyone (or any diety) granted you the priviledge of make said determinations?

As for "taking it", the "bullying" you see here is direct response for like. Especially vis-a-vis Lennie the Licenseless.

"Amish" will reap his due in time. Trust me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3187. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 19:14:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906151446.23508.00000204@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 19581

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/6/01 3:05 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906150538.23508.00000202@mb-ft.aol.com>

> You sign a name, which I give you credit for, but in what charter or
>declaration has anyone (or any diety) granted you the priviledge of make said
>determinations?

And upon further investigation, I see you have neither an AOL "profile",
nor is there a "Dan Spivey" in the FCC data base...at least in the Amatuer
Service.

There is a Carlos D. that's a potential...You ARE licensed, aren't you?
You DO have a REAL name, don't you...???

In as much as you came out with that line about how "we" could stand
cutting "me" down a few notches..?!?!

Who are you anyway, Dan Spivey?

Steve

3188. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 19:18:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906151852.23508.00000205@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 19582

>Subject: Re: They Can't Answer
>From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)
>Date: 9/6/01 2:17 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7c5262e.0109061017.61f9da33@posting.google.com>
>
>"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
>news:<3b96dfb2_2@newsa.ev1.net>..

>> Yes, but how would you have an every day conversation in CW? I mean, just
>> an "over the fence" kind of chat?

>You probably cannot. Why should CW be able to support such a conversation
>when no other mode can either? Ergo, your question is nothing but a
>strawman

A "strawman"...?!?!

Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3189. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 19:21:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906152140.23508.00000206@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 19583

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/6/01 3:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906151446.23508.00000204@mb-ft.aol.com>

>Who are you anyway, Dan Spivey?

I REMEMBER... ! ! !

SPIVEY!

You were the illiterate soldier in "Dances With Wolves" that tore out the
pages of Lt. Dunbar's journal to wipe with then lied about it!

=)

Steve

3190. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 19:50:07 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9n8k1f$1ts2$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 19584

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>N0YM wrote:
>> Actually, if you go back to the post I was responding to, I was
>> drawing a distinction between the above and saying a surplus means the
>> Government is taking in *more money than it needs.*
>
>A surplus means the government is taking in more money than it should,
>not spending less than it should. I'd rather spend what little I have
>myself, thank you.

In government-speak a balanced budget is when the government doesn't have to take on new debt. A surplus is necessary if they plan on ever paying down some of the old debt. Since there hasn't been a surplus since the 50's there is a whole lot of old debt to pay down, and since Fed monetary policy has made the old technique of just waiting for inflation to devalue the debt a lot less effective it might actually require repayment the old fashioned way.

Keynes' notion was that governments should run deficits when the economy was bad, and make it up with surpluses when the economy was good. A lot of people like the deficit part, but the surplus part seems to have mostly been forgotten.

As for who has subscribed to the having one's cake and eating it too principle in recent years, I'd point out that the rate of increase of the national debt during Reagan's 8 years as president matched or exceeded that of the 8 years in the 30's when the New Deal Democrats were spending their way out of the Great Depression. Reagan was a master at getting tax cuts through congress, but his heart wasn't in it when it came to spending cuts. I suspect that Bush will be a chip off the old block. Clinton (and the good economy) already got much of the easy stuff for a Republican to cut, like welfare and other social program spending, along with cuts to the military that Bush would like to reverse. Cuts to most of the big ticket items left would have even congressional Republicans kicking and screaming, so Bush isn't left with a lot of easy options on the spending side to balance the tax cuts. He probably won't.

The choice hence seems to be between "spend and tax" Democrats and "spend and don't tax" Republicans. I'm not very fond of either of them. I don't like paying taxes, but I also don't like the idea that my grandchildren will have to pay for the spending my taxes didn't cover.

Dennis Ferguson

3191. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 20:08:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97D7DA.AF261E37@uci.edu>
Source record: 19585

Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> >N0YM wrote:
> >> Actually, if you go back to the post I was responding to, I was
> >> drawing a distinction between the above and saying a surplus means the
> >> Government is taking in *more money than it needs.*
> >>
> >A surplus means the government is taking in more money than it should,
> >not spending less than it should. I'd rather spend what little I have
> >myself, thank you.
>
> In government-speak a balanced budget is when the government doesn't
> have to take on new debt. A surplus is necessary if they plan on ever
> paying down some of the old debt. Since there hasn't been a surplus
> since the 50's there is a whole lot of old debt to pay down, and
> since Fed monetary policy has made the old technique of just waiting
> for inflation to devalue the debt a lot less effective it might
> actually require repayment the old fashioned way.
>
> Keynes' notion was that governments should run deficits when the economy
> was bad, and make it up with surpluses when the economy was good. A lot
> of people like the deficit part, but the surplus part seems to have
> mostly been forgotten.
>
> As for who has subscribed to the having one's cake and eating it too
> principle in recent years, I'd point out that the rate of increase of
> the national debt during Reagan's 8 years as president matched or
> exceeded that of the 8 years in the 30's when the New Deal Democrats
> were spending their way out of the Great Depression. Reagan was a
> master at getting tax cuts through congress, but his heart wasn't in
> it when it came to spending cuts. I suspect that Bush will be a chip
> off the old block. Clinton (and the good economy) already got much of
> the easy stuff for a Republican to cut, like welfare and other social
> program spending, along with cuts to the military that Bush would
> like to reverse. Cuts to most of the big ticket items left would
> have even congressional Republicans kicking and screaming, so Bush
> isn't left with a lot of easy options on the spending side to balance
> the tax cuts. He probably won't.
>
> The choice hence seems to be between "spend and tax" Democrats and
> "spend and don't tax" Republicans. I'm not very fond of either of
> them. I don't like paying taxes, but I also don't like the idea that
> my grandchildren will have to pay for the spending my taxes didn't

> cover.
>
> Dennis Ferguson

3192. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 20:21:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97DAB7.5AC69AFB@uci.edu>
Source record: 19586

Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> Reagan was a
> master at getting tax cuts through congress, but his heart wasn't in
> it when it came to spending cuts.

As if he was getting a lot of pressure (or even support) from the
Democrats to cut spending. Anybody here believe that?

> The choice hence seems to be between "spend and tax" Democrats and
> "spend and don't tax" Republicans.

You might recollect that the balanced budget wasn't proposed and passed
until the Republicans took over the House in 1993. Clinton and the
Democrats said they wouldn't be able to get it done for another 8 or 9
years. Tax and spend equally was the Republicans idea.

> I'm not very fond of either of
> them. I don't like paying taxes, but I also don't like the idea that
> my grandchildren will have to pay for the spending my taxes didn't
> cover.

Millions of people we don't even know are spending our childrens
inheritance.

3193. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 20:51:17 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109061251.1016b17a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19587

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B96FAC3.9D3B01BF@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
> > I believe the main (legitimate) reason for the existence of government
> > is to accomplish things that need to be accomplished as a society.
>
> Like the Holocaust?

I did say "legitimate", i.e. democratic.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

3194. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 20:53:31 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109061253.1ca92649@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19588

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3B96B254.59B45E08@uci.edu>...
> N0YM wrote:
> > Actually, if you go back to the post I was responding to, I was
> > drawing a distinction between the above and saying a surplus means the
> > Government is taking in *more money than it needs.*
>
> A surplus means the government is taking in more money than it should,
> not spending less than it should. I'd rather spend what little I have
> myself, thank you.

That's a matter of semantics and ideology. If you believe public
needs are being underfunded, then obviously you will have a different
opinion regarding the meaning of a surplus.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

3195. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 21:10:08 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <QCRI7.18519$Qt3.275084@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19593

>
> Can you (or Kim, or Larry, or Dwight) take the tests I took in 1967, 1968
and
> 1970? Nope.
>
> Therefore, none of you can pass them. Simple.
>

Not without a time machine of some sort.....

3196. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 21:12:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0109061312.1e87108a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19589

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3b96e133_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
> No offense intended, it just really seems a lot easier to say (with voice)
> hello and move on to the next signal... :)
>
Actually, it works out to be faster and easier on CW, once the ops
have a bit of skill.

Here's an example. You are quite familiar with Field Day, of course.

Here's a typical FD QSO on 'phone (HF SSB, good conditions):

"CQ Field Day, CQ Field Day, CQ Field Day, this is W1AW, Whiskey One
Alfa Whiskey, W1AW, go"

"Whiskey One Alfa Whiskey, here is N2EY, November Two Echo Yankee"

"November Two Echo Yankee, here is Whiskey One Alfa Whiskey. Four
Echo, Connecticut, Four Echo Connecticut, go"

"Roger your Four Echo Connecticut, here is Four Alfa eastern
Pennsylvania Four Alfa EPA, this is November Two Echo Yankee"

"Roger your Four Alfa Eastern Pennsylvania, good luck in the contest,
this is Whiskey One Alfa Whiskey calling QRZed Field Day, Whiskey One
Alfa Whiskey, go"

Whew!

Now the same thing in CW:

"CQ FD CQ FD CQ FD DE W1AW W1AW K"

"N2EY"

"N2EY DE W1AW 4E 4E CT CT"

"R 4A 4A EPA EPA DE N2EY"

"R TU FD FD FD DE W1AW"

Same info in both QSOs. Although the 'phone folks talk at 100-150 WPM
or so, the need to phoneticize and repeat back slows them down
enormously. At 20 wpm the whole CW exchange takes less than a minute.
Talking faster doesn't help, either.

When conditions get rough, the repeating and phoneticizing slow down
things on 'phone even more.

Which mode is easier is really a matter of perceived effort. With a good CW rig that has QSK, all the op has to do is wiggle the bug and write in the log.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3197. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 21:15:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bBRI7.14432$VX3.739493@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 19590

Bill,

When I can drive an American car, consistently get 100,000+ miles, not be stranded, not have recurring mechanical failures, and get comfortable, all round service, I don't NEED to drive anything else. If it hadn't been for that errant spark plug, I would have over 200,000 miles on that Chevy wagon now. A friend of mine bought a an '86 Chevy Silverado which was a one owner. Beautiful, black, shiny pickup that looked new in and out. The previous owner was a meticulous owner. He only put 300,000 miles on it! The engine and tranny, had been replaced at 271,000--all documented. You're right, I didn't believe it, either until I saw the papers. It is now approaching 360,000 and, to drive it, you would never know it. We loaded it up and took it to a distant ham fest in the spring, and I did the driving (Jim wasn't feeling well but went anyway). I thought I was doing about 60 mph. The truck is so smoothe and quiet (not ONE squeak or rattle), I looked down to find myself gliding along at 85! Good Lord! NOt one hint of age or miles, and it is still going strong. BTW, Jim was a Ford man all his life, er uh, 'til he got his Chevrolet. Seems he has an old-time Mogul engine that he takes to "Threshing Days" shows, and it weighs 5,000 lbs on its tandem trailer. His older Ford truck wouldn't handle the load--burnt out 2 trannies! The Chevy takes it down the road at 65 like it wasn't there.

NOPE! I don't need a Honda, don't want a Honda, and will be driving American till they tell me I am not allowed to drive anymore.
Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message news:v1japt0lrorlk7jl2cmch6s2o4jdq89kti@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 4 Sep 2001 17:25:50 -0400, "Jerry Oxendine"
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >Inferior American vehicles--I don't THINK so!
>
> ____
>
> How would you know? You've never owned anything but American cars,
> right?.
>
> I have about 700,000 miles driving experience since licensed in 1957.
>

> I have had at least a dozen American cars including Fords, Chevys,
> Mercurys, Pontiacs and Dodges, and another half-dozen Hondas and
> Datsuns.
>
> The Japanese cars were better designed and assembled and they gave much
> less trouble. They are better cars, period. If you simply don't like
> them, that's ok. Lots of Americans do.
>
> I understand and respect your feelings about Pearl Harbor, however I
> would remind you that the perpetrators of that treachery are now almost
> all dead and gone, and present-day Japan has owned up to its past and
> become one of the most westernized of all foreign countries. In other
> words, they have become much like us.
>
> I have no ax to grind one way or the other, just calling 'em like I see
> 'em.
>
> Bill, W7TI

3198. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 21:26:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906172637.25707.00000252@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 19591

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
>Date: 9/6/01 5:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <02962.0000031@houston-hunks.com>

>
>Steve is, in my opinion, pretty clueless when it comes to Usenet
>operation, ...(SNIP)

And your opinion and $2.00 can get you an overpriced cup of coffee from
Seattle, too.

(UNSNIP)...but that doesn't justify cowardly attacks on him.

Not to worry. There are precious few here who could "attack" me in any
method other than throwing a few hurtful epithets.

>Let's
>just hope that the nut who posted that from Audubon, NY doesn't decide
>to take it to real life.

It was New Jersey..but I think it will be him that would have something to
be concerned about. I don't.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3199. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 21:27:20 UTC
From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906172720.08133.00000386@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19592

>
> And where do you see me making any inference to the honor of anyone's
>deceased loved one...?!?!

Steve as I said some play rougher than other some have no consience, perhaps you name calling triggered a response meant to inflame you just as you have inflamed them.

> Nope. He's still an anonymous coward
.
An your name calling made him say things perhaps you didnt like, it works both ways thats my point.

> I am sure you think so. But who are you to determine who's notches need
>brining down?

I have my opinion Steve and am allowed to voice it just as you are.. I havent come out swinging at anyone... I don't think the poster besmirched anyone except you Steve. He posted no ill will towards your lovely daughter just at her father.

> You sign a name, which I give you credit >for, but in what charter or
>declaration has anyone (or any diety) >granted you the priviledge of make said
>determinations?

Which determinations are they Steve the one that you try to Bully people..That would be my own good judgement Steve. just as Which Charter or decleration has anyone granted you the privilege of making such said determinations toward one's cowardice. Who granted you the right?

> As for "taking it", the "bullying" you see here is direct response for
>like. Especially vis-a-vis Lennie the Licenseless.
>
> "Amish" will reap his due in time. Trust me.

So Steve you do admit to being a Bully in this Newsgroup. And is that a direct threat towards Amish? Thats the bully mentality again.

3200. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 21:48:37 UTC
From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906174837.08133.00000391@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19594

> And upon further investigation, I see you have neither an AOL "profile",
>nor is there a "Dan Spivey" in the FCC data base...at least in the Amatuer
>Service.

Does one need an Aol Profile to avoid being called a anon Coward, Steve who made these rules are they the school yard bullies Rules? Maybe my name is Daniel

>
> There is a Carlos D. that's a potential...You ARE licensed, aren't you?
>You DO have a REAL name, don't you...???

My name was given now I am being questioned about its truth? What clarification and quantification is needed to participate in this NG, and whom is making these rules? . Do you need a SS# ? Secret Handshake? Secret Decoder ring?

> Who are you anyway, Dan Spivey?

Who are you anyway Steve Robeson?

>

>

>
>Steve

3201. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 22:05:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906180532.06298.00000202@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19595

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com (Dynamicelectroni)
>Date: 9/6/01 5:27 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906172720.08133.00000386@mb-mq.aol.com>

>So Steve you do admit to being a Bully in this Newsgroup. And is that a
>direct
>threat towards Amish? Thats the bully mentality again

It's not a threat, Dan. It's a certainty in a belief system that creeps
like him will get "thier due".

It doesn't take a threat from me. Pond scum like that tend to keep up
thier belligerent attitudes until someone decides that enough is enough.

He'll mouth off to the wrong person IN person some day, and in that moment
he'll remember all the ughly things he did in his life and he will be sorry for
them.

I won't see it, but I have faith in it's certainty.

As for me being a bully..?!?! That's cute. But if saying it makes you
feel better, please, by all means, have at it.

Steve

3202. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 22:20:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906182046.06298.00000205@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19596

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com (Dynamicelectroni)
>Date: 9/6/01 5:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906174837.08133.00000391@mb-mq.aol.com>

>Does one need an Aol Profile to avoid being called a anon Coward, Steve who
>made these rules are they the school yard bullies Rules? Maybe my name is
>Daniel

Dan...Daniel or Danette...I don't care.You come in here making assertions
that some people need cutting down, yet we have NO idea who YOU are, what you
are about, or the slightest idea of anything about YOUR character.

THAT is a bully.

>What clarification
>and quantification is needed to participate in this NG, and whom is making
>these rules?

It would seem YOU are, Danny. Your first introduction to this NG is to
support the cowardly snippings of an anonymous poster who took a swipe at me by
using the death of my daughter.

Now...what more do I need to know about Danny Spivey, other than he's
simply spoiling for a fight and little else?

>Do you need a SS# ? Secret Handshake? Secret Decoder ring?

No. Do you have one?

>>Who are you anyway, Dan Spivey?

>Who are you anyway Steve Robeson?

That question has been answered. The first question remains open. Are you
going to answer it, or shall we assume that "Dan Spivey" is a pseudonym you use
to avoid the "Anonymous Coward" label yourself...???

So far, that's how it's looking.

Steve

3203. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 22:26:02 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <1737f3e3795e87138aad9e1116f398df@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 19597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@alt.net> wrote in message news:02962.0000031@houston-hunks.com...
>
> Dynamicelectroni wrote:
>
> : Well Steve seems to dish it out fine, yet when someone comes in a little
> : harder than he people take it as offensive. I think this is exactly what
> : Stagger Lee had in mind. Steve put that info out in public and maybe someone
>
> I think I'll distance myself from that comment, because I don't approve
> of bad behavior, anonymously or otherwise. What I said was that there
> can be very valid reasons for posting anonymously and the existence of
> hundreds of anonymous posting services proves that many others agree
> with me, and have for years.

Har har har har..yew a chickenshit cowart..dat why yew use dem...har har har har
yew know yew wud git yer ass whooped gud if yew didnt...har har har har

> Steve is, in my opinion, pretty clueless when it comes to Usenet
> operation, but that doesn't justify cowardly attacks on him. Let's
> just hope that the nut who posted that from Audubon, NY doesn't decide
> to take it to real life.

Har har har har..worried are yew??? har har har har

3204. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 22:33:06 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B97F9A2.5D99E97A@uci.edu>
Source record: 19598

N0YM wrote:

> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3B96B254.59B45E08@uci.edu>...
> > A surplus means the government is taking in more money than it should,
> > not spending less than it should. I'd rather spend what little I have
> > myself, thank you.

> That's a matter of semantics and ideology. If you believe public
> needs are being underfunded, then obviously you will have a different
> opinion regarding the meaning of a surplus.

It's true there's a difference of opinion. But I don't believe that a surplus should be used as an excuse for the government to look for more ways to spend money. It's a spiral effect that must be avoided. The government is the worst money manager I can think of. When did the government become a charity organization? People are supposed to be able to volunteer for that kind of thing.
'I am my brothers keeper' does not mean that I have to be everybodys brothers keeper.

Regards,
Jim Kelley, AC6XG

3205. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 22:45:14 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_%SI7.13907$KV3.1146665@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19599

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0109060838.323747bb@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<KCFl7.8915$Uf1.748929@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010905000316.13505.00001644@nso-fg.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > ARRR!!! Bring on the Jimmy Buffet while yer at it, matey! Ho, ho ho
and a
> > > bottle of rum, Santa's run off to the Carribean! (me favorite
Christmas
> > > carol)!!
> > >
> > > 73 de Long Jim, N2EY
> > >
> >
> > And if you listen closely you will hear at Chrismas time the Mannheim
> > Steamroller.
>
> ARRRRGGHHHH!!! I try to avoid them at all costs!!
>
> > Or catch them live in Milwaukee when Chip Davis visits his
> > family and finds a way to turn a buck and write the visit off on the old
> > 1040.
>
> I will try to be as far away as possible.
> >
> > In his younger days, he was responsible for the early recordings of one
CW
> > McCall, a local ad man who invented the character for a series of
> > commercials for a bread company in Sioux City wanting to sell their
wares in
> > Omaha.
>
> omigawd....
>
> > For the collector of really bad music, I can but recommend Wolf
> > Creek Pass in which the background appears to have been done by six
bored
> > housewives dragged in off the street (which comes close to reality BTW).
>
> So absurd it MUST be true...
>
> > Old CWs major hit was as the Rubber Duck in Convoy.

>
> The crimes against humanity continue to mount.
>
> > He got on with Midland
> > and toured but hated country music with a passion.
>
> The punishment fits the offense.
>
> > Last I heard he was
> > mayoring in Western Colorado.
> >
> Rocky Mountain high?
>
> > Listen for a hyper version of Deck the Halls that sounds like it is on a
> > synthesizer.
>
> Listen for it and avoid like the plague.
>
> > Every ad agency in the planet uses it at Christmas. Except
> > for Sears that plays Marching Thru Georgia at double speed.
>
> At times like that I think we are living in the alternate reality in
> which George Bailey was never born. Clarence!
>
> The book which is MUST reading on this subject is "Dave Barry's Book
> of Bad Songs" in which he documents the genre of bad pop music and its
> effects on Western civilization. His criteria for a "bad song" are
> right on the money: it must be a real pop song, meant seriously (not a
> parody), and must have achieved some level of commercial success. The
> song must also have the characteristic of being memorable even though
> you try like mad to forget it.
>
> Example: "MacArthur Park". Big hit, still occasionally heard on the
> radio. Pretentious and indecipherable, musically inept, yet it made
> mucho dinero and has been covered many times. Hum a few bars at the
> job and coworkers will hate you for days because they cannot get the
> tune out of their heads for hours.
>
> Chapter titles in this wonderful volume include: (this is just a
> partial list)
>
> "Weenie Music"
> "Love Songs"
> "Songs Women Really Hate"
> "Teen Death Songs"
> "Songs People Get Wrong"
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "A grapeskin rots in the hot sun,

> I fought the law and the law one"
>
> "Well, since she put me down
> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"
>
> "There's a bathroom on the right"

Being a Dave Barry fan, that is one hell of an idea.

I remember one where the lead singer bellowed, "My Baby's real Hot".
To which the back-ups replied, "She ain't worth Doodly Squat"

That will make your day.

3206. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:19:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B980483.4B9B8D31@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19600

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The Earth does not appear to be flat to
> anyone who has seen it from a distance.

A perfect photo of the earth from a distance does not appear
to be flat either but it is. If you don't believe it, look
at the photo on edge.

Obviously, with 2.5 inches of spacing between our eyes, the
earth from a distance appears two dimensional. You cannot
possibly perceive depth from 30,000 ft. What you are doing
is conceiving depth from 30,000 ft. It is concepts that
allow you to view the earth as not flat, not your senses.
You need to be able to think outside the box.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3207. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:22:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98053E.89970B7C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19501

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I agree with you that a civil war would have been much
> > less likely if slavery had not existed. "Less likely" does not
> > equal zero probability, however.
>
> Thus proving your claim that the war had nothing to do with slavery to
> be completely bogus.

I didn't say the war had "nothing" to do with slavery. I said it had
to do with the bigger picture of economics. Slavery was part of the
economics but slavery certainly wasn't all of the economics.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3208. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:28:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9806A8.9D07082@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19503

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B96FAC3.9D3B01BF@IEEE.org>...
> > N0YM wrote:
> > > I believe the main (legitimate) reason for the existence of government
> > > is to accomplish things that need to be accomplished as a society.
> >
> > Like the Holocaust?
>
> I did say "legitimate", i.e. democratic.

Hitler was legally elected by a democratic plurality.
Be careful what you wish for.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3209. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:31:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906193151.28147.00000340@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 19502

From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net

>When I can drive an American car, consistently get 100,000+ miles, not be
>stranded, not have recurring mechanical failures, and get comfortable, all
>round
>service, I don't NEED to drive anything else.

Both my '78 GMC pickup and my 89 Subaru are approaching 200,000 miles.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3210. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:33:28 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906193328.28147.00000342@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 19504

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>
>> Yet there is NO formal FCC requirement to know or understand that list
>> of Q signals.

> Unless it has been dropped from the question pools, Q signals are on
>the test. Last time I had any connection with testing there were
>questions on them for some license classes.

You are correct, Dick, at least the last time I looked, too. Perhaps Bill meant that there is no pass/fail requirement; one can get all the Q signal questions wrong and still be licensed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3211. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:37:40 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <j81gptcu4amsmuurgtrlur2t8upb1lavog@4ax.com>
Source record: 19506

On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 15:33:06 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>N0YM wrote:
>
>> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3B96B254.59B45E08@uci.edu>...
>> > A surplus means the government is taking in more money than it should,
>> > not spending less than it should. I'd rather spend what little I have
>> > myself, thank you.
>
>> That's a matter of semantics and ideology. If you believe public
>> needs are being underfunded, then obviously you will have a different
>> opinion regarding the meaning of a surplus.
>
>It's true there's a difference of opinion. But I don't believe that a
>surplus should be used as an excuse for the government to look for more
>ways to spend money. It's a spiral effect that must be avoided. The
>government is the worst money manager I can think of. When did the
>government become a charity organization? People are supposed to be
>able to volunteer for that kind of thing.
>'I am my brothers keeper' does not mean that I have to be everybodys
>brothers keeper.

You might be suprised how much I agree with you.

Despite my (evident) socialist reputation, in many cases I don't see
government as the best solution. Furthermore, I don't think we should
tax and spend simply because we can.

My focus is on problems. I see lack of equal economic opportunity as
a problem, both morally and economically, and see sufficiently-funded
public education, some income redistribution and regulation, etc. as
necessary solutions. The same goes for poverty during retirement
(Social Security), the maintenance of a pool of reserve labor
(unemployment and welfare -- remember, the Fed keeps unemployment at a
certain minimum), etc., etc.

Furthermore, it's easy to forget that none of us live in a vacuum, and
that we owe a great deal to our nation for the opportunities and
services we have. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me, therefore, to
complain about having to pay for these things. It's part of the
responsibility of citizenship.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3212. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:39:39 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906193939.28147.00000344@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 19505

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The Newington way it it ever was demonstrated.

Oops, you automatically lose when you have to blame Newington for my posts.

Is "Newington" the one that is saying that Morse code does not have enough value to survive as a mode without affirmative action?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3213. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:41:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98099C.8DB5428B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19508

N0YM wrote:
> Well, that and I've read that there is a good deal of controversy over
> how much economic good a tax cut targetted to the wealthy will do.

The tax cut was NOT targetted at the wealthy. It was targetted at the
people who were going to pay the taxes in the first place no matter
what their income. You apparently want some people to get an unfair
share of tax money back, i.e. you want something for nothing.

As long as the money gets spent or saved, it doesn't matter to the
overall economy's health who gets it back. The wealthy have lost
billions of dollars in the stock market. Don't you think they have
been punished enough?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3214. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:42:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906194212.28147.00000347@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 19507

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

> Yours is the
>"I am a professional in electronics and shouldn't have to put up with
>this stuff in order to obtain an *amateur* license" viewpoint.

I don't recall where Len ever said that he should be given a ham radio license without taking a test. I think he is just saying that he is a professional in electronics and doesn't have to put up with stuff. As long as he doesn't transmit above Part 15 limits on the ham bands, I don't care if he gets licensed or not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3215. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:46:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B980ACE.A4DBB382@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19509

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?

"Full break-in"? Is that where you use a relay instead of one toggle
switch for receive and another toggle switch for transmit?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3216. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:49:30 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eYTI7.12900$151.1076278@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19510

"N0YM" <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:t4gfptgumdulpln68b6r0ndk5a72d0m1n5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 16:52:11 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >Jim bip over to www.publicdebt.treas.govt and you will note that the debt
> >has not gone down in years. And it cannot because any excess of SS
> >collections over receipts will go into a fund which owns bonds which are,
> >guess what, part of the national debt.
> >
> >What has gone down is the debt in private hands. And when you see the
> >figures, remember that about half a trill is in the Federal Reserve. We
did
> >find that paying it off did cause a bind over at Salomon Brothers but
this
> >is hardly reason for a tax cut. It is interesting to note how much of
the
> >financial system depends on a debt in private hands: odds are the bank
> >carrying your local govt funds must put up Federal Securities, your
insuror
> >has a bunch on deposit at the capital to do biz in your state, and so it
> >goes.
>
> Now, this is interesting. My understanding was one of the best uses
> of the excess funds generated when SS purchased the bonds would be to
> pay down the national debt; the savings from the interest would offset
> the interest due on the bonds when Social Security cashes them in. Do
> you agree?

****
Interest on the national debt, the whole debt including that held by the
government itself in the so-called trust funds, is a budget item every year.
The interest in the SS Fund (and the Civil Service retirement system, and
the Airport trust) just compounds away. The major interest savings occur
when some of the Carter Era and later debt in public hands is retired.

We were building -- if one straight lines the previous years -- to a point
at which no debt would have existed in private hands at all in about 8 years
and the only remaining debt would be the uncallable long-term stuff. In
about 11, the expenditures on SS would exceed income and bonds would have to
be sold into a public market that no longer existed.

When a few million horny soldiers come home and crank out kids, the Baby
Boom, some bizzare things are going to happen when they age and the echos of

the boom rattle thru the demographics of the nation for years thereafter. While I think we might have had a bit more candor out of Congress, the Treasury probably had to account for the limited intelligence of Congress as well.

****
>
> >
> >At times of high economic activity a national government can and should run
> >surpluses so having a small one in excess of SS net collections is no giant
> >surprise. What is a surprise is that the Feds blundered into a cut at the
> >right time.
> >
> >Then they butchered the job by spreading it over ten years complete with
> >sunset clauses. The end result is a guaranteed five-year recession. By
> >2004, you won't be elected county trash commissioner as a Republican.
>
> Well, that and I've read that there is a good deal of controversy over
> how much economic good a tax cut targetted to the wealthy will do.
> For one thing, there are frequently tax increases on the lower
> economic brackets to make up the difference; for another, high-dollar
> purchases and investments in coin collections don't necessarily spread
> benefits around very well.

****
A lot depends on conditions and just what it is you hope to accomplish. National income is essentially private consumption plus investment plus government. If the aim is to encourage consumption, then raise the standard deduction. If investment is desired, an investment tax credit plus faster write-offs make sense as do lower personal maximum rates, if all else fails build interstate hiways.

The payroll taxes are in a good number of poor families the only taxes paid. We have moved a large part of the burden on the lower strata and this makes it worse. Of more immediate concern is what is called the 'wedge' which narrowly defined is the difference between an employers cost of wages and what the employee actually gets; a big wedge causes a lot of unemployment. Perhaps the best economic argument for national health coverage is that it would free a lot of people for self-employment as it reduced the wedge for employers. One of the things boomers are going to discover is that no one wants a 55 year-old because of the way health and pension bennies are structured.

****
>

> >
> >Which is what usually comes of parties more interested in idiological
purity
> >than governing.
>
> Couldn't agree more.
>
> Regards,
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM
> remove "x's" from email to reply

3217. Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:50:30 UTC
From: "David J. Moge" <n1rzb@110.net>
Message-ID: <tpg312o6ac1272@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 19511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

OK,

Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig

Thanks
n1rzb

3218. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:50:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B980BD3.4813F8AC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19513

W1RFI wrote:
> Is "Newington" the one that is saying that Morse code does not have enough
> value to survive as a mode without affirmative action?

Nope, that's Dick. Wonder why he has such a low opinion of CW?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3219. Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:51:53 UTC
From: "David J. Moge" <n1rzb@110.net>
Message-ID: <tpg313qt13tv74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 19512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

OK,

Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig

Thanks
n1rzb

3220. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:53:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B980C66.21228ADC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19514

W1RFI wrote:
> Both my '78 GMC pickup and my 89 Subaru are approaching 200,000 miles.

I had 230,000 miles on my straight-6 Ford pickup when my
daughter totaled it. I had never even had the valve cover
off the motor.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3221. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:59:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906195907.02593.00000309@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19515

In article <20010904022327.00654.00000601@mb-cs.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>
>Carefull, Larry may ask you what Mothing means. I know it is a typo. Of
>course
>Larry will assume it is not
>
>.> Sure. Mothing wrong with bilding it yourself,

Dripschittfrench:

I already know what "Mothing" means. It means to infest with moths.
In my college fraternity days, we used to have fun by mothing the
college president's house on campus. He'd come to class wearing
a suit with a lot of holes in it, and it would be quite a laugh!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3222. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:59:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906195907.02593.00000308@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19517

My present car is a 2001 Toyota Corolla LE, 5-speed, loaded in every other respect except it doesn't have the sunroof and alloy wheels. It is without a doubt one of the finest automobiles I've ever owned and driven. It was built in Fremont, California at the NUMMI (New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc.) plant, which is a joint venture between Toyota and General Motors. GM sells the same car in a lower trim grade at Chevy dealers, it's called the Chevy Prizm. I've been using my Yaesu FT-2500M
2-meter rig in it with a simple cigarette-lighter plug arrangement for DC power, and a 5/8-wave Larsen NMO antenna on a trunk-lip angle bracket, the kind that screws into the fender inside the trunk opening. Outstanding performance, and no air-bags
popped yet. A fellow club member has a new Toyota Tacoma pickup truck, and he runs a full HF mobile setup using a "screwdriver" antenna. He has not had any problems with his air bags, either.

Nobody at the NUMMI plant -- not the American UAW members on the fabrication and assembly lines, or the Japanese managers and Quality Control experts, had anything to do with Pearl Harbor. Jerry Oxendine is a racist bigot. Keep your steenking Chevies. Everyone I've ever seen has become a piece of rusted junk while Toyotas of the same age and much older keep on providing reliable service.

73 de Larry, K3LT
You asked for it -- you got it! Toyota!!!

3223. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-06 23:59:08 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906195908.02593.00000310@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19516

In article <20010904063415.01924.00007624@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Morse code modes are unique in one respect -- they require the personal skill
>of the applicant, rather than knowledge. Deciphering phonetics in real time,
>too, requires that same type of skill. IMHO, Morse code testing should
>include
>an opportunity to copy sent text and a reasonable number of questions about
>the
>content. I think the number of questions should be on a par with the
>questions
>about other popular modes and that it be put on a par with other popular
>modes
>by rolling those questions into the overall score.
>
>73,
>Ed

Ed:

In other words, you support the dumbing-down of the Morse code tests so people without the motivation, initiative, and work ethic required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level can just blow it off, ignore this important mode, and still attain full amateur privileges. How politically-correct of you. How HQ. I'm sure all the lazy, NCTA whiners would definitely support you for any high ARRL office you desire.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3224. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906200310.17865.00000042@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 19519

In article <20010906151852.23508.00000205@mb-ft.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Why should CW be able to support such a conversation
>>when no other mode can either? Ergo, your question is nothing but a
>>strawman
>
> A "strawman"...?!?!
>
> Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?

Nope. I have QSK capability in one rig. Works great - can hear a breaking station betwen dits at 40 wpm.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3225. Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:03:12 UTC
From: "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <s4Ul7.254375$rV6.11786106@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 19518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Very sage advice here. It's interesting to hear things come back to me that I thought might've been just me. I learned to copy on a keyboard and then found it challenging to do the same on paper. I passed the test and then found the challenge of recognizing a character without a space to "think" about it. I'm sure I could copy a character at 20wpm or more easily but not without that PAUSE to let it register. I'm sure the elmers out there aren't physically "copying" anything and I'll get there some day to recognize words vice characters. Possibly the most interesting of all is the frustration that the more experienced fellas feel when that PAUSE breaks their concentration.
After I've passed the test, now I have to clear hurdle of UN-learning bad habits. If I had the chance to do it again, I think I might start slow with normal character spacing and improve my speed 'til I made 7 1/2 - 10 wpm to take the test.
Drink, WP3JQ

"Roger Halstead" <rdhalste@tm.net> wrote in message
news:trEl7.531$XE.105540@newshog.newsread.com...
>
> "AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3B9641EA.BD72A8E1@amsat.org...
> > Here in San Diego, several of us including VE's have discussed the
matter
> > extensively.
> > Here are how folks learn the code, depending on how they perceive
> things --
> > audible, visual, association, etc. FROM THE BOOK DX101X at URL:
> > http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm
> >
> > There is no one way to learn the code that will work for everyone.
>
> Amen!
>
> > Beware of the
> > ham who says "here is a sure fire way to learn the code". Here are
several
> > methods - choose the one that works best for you.
> >
> > VISUAL -- some learn best by seeing it on a computer screen as they hear
> it.
> >
>
> I'm lost even now trying to do that...

>
> > AUDIBLE - Musically or language associated- some learn it as a song or
> word --
> > dah dah ditit -- The zebra did it. dah dah di dah -- here comes the
> bride -
> > queen. Code Quick does this with mnemonics.
>
> Again, I still can't copy someone doing the dah di dah.
>
> >
> > AUDIBLE - INVERSE - Some study the opposites A then N, K then R, etc.
> >
> > AUDIBLE PHONETICS - Some learn by associating it with the NATO Alphabet
> i.e,
> > dit-dah followed by A or ALPHA after a while you will hear dit dah and A
> or
> > alpha pops into the brain.
>
> I might not phrase it the same way, but I did learn it by sounds.
> O.K....So I memorized the 5 WPM, but after that it was only sounds.
>
> >
> > PATTERNS -There are patterns in morse code letters. For example: the
> letter A is
> > similar to the letter W except that the letter W has an additional dah
to
> it.
> > One might study A, W, J, 1 in order, then mixed. This is effective if
one
> has
> > difficulty in discerning J from 1 etc.
> >
> I think "for me" there were areas, or speeds where I could do this and
> areas/speeds where I couldn't.
>
> > TYPING - some find that they have an automatic reflex to hearing a
> character and
> > hitting the right key on a keyboard. Check with your VEC if they will
> allow you
> > to take the test this way. One of our local examiners does allow this as
> well as
> > a Braille keyboard for the blind.
>
> Out of all the tests I found the Extra the easiest as I'd learned it like
a
> language by then. (by then I'd been a ham for a long time <:-))) It
was
> hearing word sounds...Problem was that I had to learn how to spell all
over
> again and I no longer heard letter sounds.

>
> >
> > SENDING - Some folks learn better or improve by sending with a key.
Others
> read
> > signs while driving on the highway. This is usually in conjunction with
a
> > listening method.
>
> For some, the sending can be a henderance as they can send faster than
they
> can copy. Others find it to be an aid.
>
> >
> > CHARACTERS - at 5 WPM it is feasible to repeat (or count) the dits and
> dahs in
> > your head and pass the test --- this is the hard way and Farnsworth
makes
> this
> > tough - not recommended but may be the only way for some.
> >
> I have a devil of a time copying the Farnsworth way as it doesn't sound
like
> it does on the air where the letters, words and spaces are all at the same
> speed (with some notable exceptions <G>)
>
> > PLAIN TEXT VS MIXED RANDOM CHARACTERS. Studying Morse in plain text
gives
> the
> > advantage of being able to anticipate what is coming next where mixed
> random
> > characters - ya gotta know them all. Maybe practice both if that works
for
> you.
> >
> It's a good way for learning...I think...But not helpful "for me" to get
my
> speed up when copying conversation.
> I spent about 3 weeks with the receiver turned up to a comfortable level
> while working on equipment. If I stretched out on the couch, I'd first
tune
> in a good conversation going just a bit faster than I could copy. One day
I
> realized that it was like listening to some one talking.
>
> > KOCH METHOD - Learn morse code using high speed random characters.
>
> "To me" this would be by far the most difficult method of all.
>
> >

> > FARNSWORTH - All tests are soon to be given at 12 WPM character speed, 5
> WPM
>
> After running into people transmitting this way, I find I'm learning to
> despise the code that is sent this way as well as the guy who came up with
> the method. On the bands it can be very difficult to seperate words when
> you run into this ... Even when writting it down, you have to go back and
> seperate the words. Good code that is properly weighted and has the
proper
> spacing between letters and words is much easier to copy.
>
> > overall speed - if you are tested in Farnsworth -- then study that
way --
> > several who have studied straight 5 WPM - couldn't copy a darn thing in
> > Farnsworth.
> >
> > BRAIN SOAK - Some folks give up on methods and just pain listen to code
> practice
> > until one day it just all comes together. The impediment to increasing
> speed and
> > proficiency is called a barrier
>
> Always heard it called a hurtle <:-))
>
> As you said at the beginning...It varies from person to person.
>
>
> --
> Roger Halstead (K8RI)
> WWW.RogerHalstead.com
> N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)
>
> > which you may feel you will never hurdle, but is
> > amazing when one day after brain soaking - it magically all comes
> together. Your
> > mileage may vary.
> >
> > COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND COURSES - There are many available -- see Morse
> Programs
> > at URL: http://ac6v.com/morseprograms.htm
> > and try them out -- choose the one that works the best for you. Remember
> that
> > the one that works for your buddy or elmer may or may not be the best
for
> you.
> >
> > MORE DETAILS AND "PASSING THE CODE TEST" AT URL:
> > http://ac6v.com/morseaids.htm
> > --
> > 73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA

> > I doubt, therefore I might be!
> > http://ac6v.com
> > ==========
> >
> >
>
>

3226. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:03:30 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B980ED2.8BBBEE0C@uci.edu>
Source record: 19527

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The Earth does not appear to be flat to
> > anyone who has seen it from a distance.
>
> A perfect photo of the earth from a distance does not appear
> to be flat either but it is. If you don't believe it, look
> at the photo on edge.

No smiley? :-) Yes, as yet no one has made a holographic image of the Earth - as far as I know. But there are 3 dimensional images of it that prove it is not flat.

> Obviously, with 2.5 inches of spacing between our eyes, the
> earth from a distance appears two dimensional. You cannot
> possibly perceive depth from 30,000 ft.

The curvature of the Earth can be seen in a 2 dimentional image.

> What you are doing
> is conceiving depth from 30,000 ft. It is concepts that
> allow you to view the earth as not flat, not your senses.
> You need to be able to think outside the box.

Okay. Prove that the Earth is flat.

3227. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:03:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B980EEA.D4E46C75@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19521

N0YM wrote:
> I see lack of equal economic opportunity as a problem, ...

When I was born my Dad was a cook making eight cents an hour.
Without help and without a college degree, he worked himself up
to Postmaster and enjoys a good retirement. Where's this lack of
equal economic opportunity? Is it better anywhere else in the world?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3228. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:06:32 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109061606.79a5aef4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19520

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B97B1FB.A0DD20BD@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >They are simply getting some of their hard-earned money back. If
> > >you don't like that, why don't you move to Cuba where there exists
> > >an economic system more to your liking.
> >
> > You must be a libertarian, sir. I have to break it to you, but
> > everything to the left of you ain't communism.
>
> Did you refuse to cash your refund check on principle?

Believe it or not, I probably would have, though it wouldn't have made much sense to. The XYL wouldn't hear of it when I suggested it, though :^) And yes, you're free to believe she's the smarter of us two.

Let me be clear, though: I'm not against tax cuts (or tax increases) on principle. And as I said before, I have a far greater problem with the portion of the tax cut that has yet to be phased in. What we've had thus far has at least provided some benefit to those who need the money.

Finally, I wouldn't blame anyone, of any political persuasion or wealth level, for taking advantage of the tax cut. Individual action won't undo the economic damage, and would only disadvantage those who refuse the money. The policies are what need changing.

Regards,
Jonathan, N0YM

3229. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:07:49 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B980FD5.D1B5DCF2@uci.edu>
Source record: 19526

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > I agree with you that a civil war would have been much
> > > less likely if slavery had not existed. "Less likely" does not
> > > equal zero probability, however.
> >
> > Thus proving your claim that the war had nothing to do with slavery to
> > be completely bogus.
>
> I didn't say the war had "nothing" to do with slavery.

Of course you did. Otherwise I wouldn't have been arguing with you about
it.

> I said it had
> to do with the bigger picture of economics.

You also said the war had nothing to do with slavery.

> Slavery was part of the
> economics but slavery certainly wasn't all of the economics.

Never said it was. Nevertheless, I glad you now agree.

3230. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:11:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9810B7.F8056C78@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19522

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In other words, you support the dumbing-down of the Morse code
> tests so people without the motivation, initiative, and work ethic
> required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level can
> just blow it off, ignore this important mode, and still attain full
> amateur privileges.

Why should CW/Morse be treated any differently from the other modes?
Why should CW/Morse skill be considered as important as everything
else in ham radio combined?

BTW, Larry, guess you didn't hear - the FCC says Morse code skill
has nothing to do with "dumbing-down". All Morse code skill has to do
with is Morse code skill.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3231. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:14:51 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906201451.07335.00000280@mb-ba.news.cs.com>
Source record: 19523

>OK,
>
>Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
>
>Thanks
>n1rzb
>

I'd have to suggest the new Ten-Tec 2/6m offering at just $695.00 + tax, just MHO. :-)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3232. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:15:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98119D.89C4F53A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19525

N0YM wrote:
> Individual action won't undo the economic damage, ...

"Economic damage" from allowing people to keep more of their
hard-earned money? In the hands of indivuduals, some of that
money is multiplied into additional capital. In the hands of
the government, it is used to shoot down private planes with
missionaries and children in them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3233. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:15:48 UTC
From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906201548.20662.00000645@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19524

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 9/6/01 6:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906182046.06298.00000205@mb-fu.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>>From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com (Dynamicelectroni)
>>Date: 9/6/01 5:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20010906174837.08133.00000391@mb-mq.aol.com>
>
>
> Dan...Daniel or Danette...I don't care.You come in here making assertions
>that some people need cutting down, yet we have NO idea who YOU are, what you
>are about, or the slightest idea of anything about YOUR character.

Steve, My character should have no bearing on your tactics employed in this Ng. Your only goal by knowing anything about me is to try and Bully me. Read what I am saying, Dan Spivey means nothing about my character, just as much as I could care less who Steve Robeson is
I cannot see who you are this is a computer.

>
> THAT is a bully.
>
>
> It would seem YOU are, Danny. Your first introduction to this NG is to
>support the cowardly snippings of an anonymous poster who took a swipe at me
>by
>using the death of my daughter.

I agree with the poster that you can say what you want and so can he so don't get hurt feelings about it. were you trying to get a rise from him when you called him a coward?

>
> Now...what more do I need to know about Danny Spivey, other than he's
>simply spoiling for a fight and little else?

Thats what Danny Spivey sees in Steve Robeson, a guy who looks to mix it up with anyone who disagrees with his way of thinking. We don't have to agree Steve, but your name calling because someone prefers to leave there name off a computer Ng is ludicrious at best. But if that how you play the game, don't get mad at those who play. Let me ask you did you try and hurt Stagger Lees feelings by calling him a anonymous coward, was it done to humiliate him, was it done to intimidate him? or any of the others who you have done the same. If the answer is no what do you call it? If yes then Amish guy did the same to you

but a different twist, same result.

> No. Do you have one?
>
>>>Who are you anyway, Dan Spivey?
>
>>Who are you anyway Steve Robeson?
>
> That question has been answered. The first question remains open. Are
>you
>going to answer it, or shall we assume that "Dan Spivey" is a pseudonym you
>use
>to avoid the "Anonymous Coward" label yourself...???
>
> So far, that's how it's looking.
>
>Steve
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3234. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:22:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B981357.F21A8DE7@uci.edu>
Source record: 19530

N0YM wrote:
> My focus is on problems. I see lack of equal economic opportunity as
> a problem, both morally and economically, and see sufficiently-funded
> public education, some income redistribution and regulation, etc. as
> necessary solutions.

You see a lack of opportunity? In this country? Compared to where?
Income redistribution - yikes. That's the Kings job - or the
"Party's".
How 'bout you redistribute your wealth as much as you like, and let
other people worry about redistributing theirs.

> The same goes for poverty during retirement
> (Social Security), the maintenance of a pool of reserve labor
> (unemployment and welfare -- remember, the Fed keeps unemployment at a
> certain minimum), etc., etc.

Let 'em. They don't do it by taking away peoples wages.

> Furthermore, it's easy to forget that none of us live in a vacuum, and
> that we owe a great deal to our nation for the opportunities and
> services we have. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me, therefore, to
> complain about having to pay for these things. It's part of the
> responsibility of citizenship.

I'm paying for my own retirement, and I'm paying for my own health
insurance.
And through my contributions to income tax, social secuity, medicare,
and a bunch of others, I'm also paying for other peoples. I pay quite
handsomely in fact. I disagree that I owe more, or even as much as I'm
already paying. Government owes it to me to get more for the money I
already pay them. To me enough is enough. To others, enough is never
enough.

73, ac6xg

3235. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:23:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n941pfbf0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19528

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Where in Hades did anyone **ever** suggest that CW be given *priority*
> > in ANYTHING????
>
> Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
> a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????

Nope, sure isn't. It's dong the minimum necessary to insure that it is
actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise
that would result from being "rolled into" the written.

3236. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:25:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9456fbf1@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19529

CAM wrote:
>
> Why should CW/Morse skill be considered as important as everything
> else in ham radio combined?

That one isn't rocket science- it;s because it IS differenc from other modes. One has to actually learn it.

3237. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:32:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n94iufbf2@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19531

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >hat's your problem, troll? Got all those personal frustrations
> > boiling up inside you that require you to insult others ...
>
> > Let me explain as to a child (for you act like one):
>
> Calling him a troll and telling him he acts like a child is an insult, too.
> Having your own problem with personal frustrations? :-)

Dang, Ed, you're getting pretty good at sorting out all the insults. You
be the Insult Poleece??

3238. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:55:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906205521.00667.00000152@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19533

In article <3B959EC9.E939C331@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >So you are not superior to all post-restructuring hams?
>>
>> That's right, Cecil. Just more likely to be.
>
>I am a post-restructuring Extra and I'm also likely to be superior
>in many ways to post-restructuring hams AND pre-restructuring hams.
>So what? I've got a EE degree with 50 years experience as a ham and
>it doesn't amount to a hill of beans.

Cecil:

That's your opinion and you're entitled to it. However, it's only fair
to tell you that your EE degree and 50 years of experience as a ham
certainly *does* mean something to me! It means I owe you the
respect you deserve for having made these achievements. However,
it does not mean I have to respect your statement that the accomplishments
of one ham over another's don't amount to a hill of beans.

My own accomplishments as a ham are probably farily modest in
comparison to yours, but I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
Extra implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
license class and my own have the same designation. As I've said many
times over the past few years, ham radio is what ham radio operators make
of it, and ham radio operators are what they make of themselves. They
cannot become my "equal" by self-declaration. Moreover, they cannot
become my "equal" merely by having outlived the more comprehensive
and challenging licensing requirements which my peers (Pre-R Extras)
and I have succeeded in meeting.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3239. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:55:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010906205522.00667.00000153@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19532

In article <3b96dbe6_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>Dick:
>
>Why do you want the open comments about emergency communications to turn
>into a contest about who loves or hates CW? It has nothing to do with the
>discussion (well, at least from the perspective of discussion).
>
>"prepared" means what in terms of emergency communications? We must be
>reasonable in our own expectations. We cannot be "prepared" for everything
>available to us in an emergency. Cost, alone is prohibitive. Your
>insistence that, because CW is not given priority among many emergency
>commuicators, we are not prepared goes beyond reasonable. We value CW
>operators, APRS, slow scan, voice, packet, etc.--and each has its importance
>in emergency communications (as well asd any time). Priority is given to
>voice modes because that is the easiest, most commonly available mode.
>

Kim:

For radio amateurs to be prepared to exploit the benefits and advantages
of one of our most practical, effective, efficient and universal modes of
communication is not the least bit "unreasonable." In fact, it is the very
essence of being "reasonable." You say priority is given to voice modes
because it is the "easiest, most commonly available mode." I do not
disagree with that, but the fact that acquiring useful proficiency in a mode
like Morse/CW requires some dedicated effort on the part of a radio
amateur more than justifies the testing requirement, and a licensing
system based on incremental progression in this important skill. The
fact that we have abandoned the licensing requirement (at a level which
facilitates the acquisition of useful proficiency) only serves to indicate
that we, as radio amateurs, are not really serious when we promise to
provide emergency communications capabilities that will get a
message through "When All Else Fails." If we ever, God forbid, get
to the point where "all else" has failed, proficient CW operators will
have one more card up their sleeves, long after the HT slingers and the
Phone jockeys are all played out. It's just a question of whether or
not they'll have anyone to communicate with, if and when that time
does come!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3240. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 00:57:32 UTC
From: John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Message-ID: <3B981D83.C63@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Source record: 19534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

David J. Moge wrote:
>
> OK,
>
> Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
>
> Thanks
> n1rzb

Dunno. Try spamming a few more groups, maybe they'll have an answer for
you over on alt.crossposting.nitwit.

-- jm

---
http://www.qsl.net/ke5fx
Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam

3241. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 01:17:23 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <2n7gpt0aq1rvo2clol2u1or30rig4pluu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 19535

On 06 Sep 2001 23:31:51 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>Both my '78 GMC pickup and my 89 Subaru are approaching 200,000 miles.

What really counts about car quality is not where it's built but the
attitude of the company, both management and workers.

If their main concern is making money, their cars will be junk.

If their main concern is making cars, their cars are the ones to buy.

The trick for us customers is to figure out which is which.

Bill, W7TI

3242. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 01:28:21 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <sg8gpt83sjlbbo8bu2o265gp2rdo1lcfso@4ax.com>
Source record: 19536

On 06 Sep 2001 23:59:07 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In my college fraternity days, we used to have fun by mothing the
>college president's house on campus. He'd come to class wearing
>a suit with a lot of holes in it, and it would be quite a laugh!

A laugh for who? The victim or the criminal?

Your post has shed some light on your mentality.

Bill, W7TI

3243. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 01:29:26 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <5c2521494f4da02ff94d9147b9db4744@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 19537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@alt.net> wrote in message
news:1737f3e3795e87138aad9e1116f398df@houston-hunks.com...
>
> A. Melon wrote:
>
> : Har har har har..worried are yew??? har har har har
>
> Thanks for proving my point, idiot boy.

Har har har har...da point is atop yer bullet-shaped skull...idjuit... har har har har

3244. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 03:06:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9dkfgcd0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19538

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > I think what he meant to convey is the idea that he's one of these guys
> > > we keep hearing doesn't exist anymore. I count myself as being among
> > > these "nonexistant" types.
>
> > It sure would help if he said that, then. We "old guys" many of whom
> > are barely older than many of you late bloomers, get tired of hearing
> > what we
> > "want" when it bears no relation to fact. It should be pretty plain by
> > now that what most of us "fear" is seeing the hobby severely dimished.
> > With the proliferation of personal communications that is probably
> > inevitable, but seems that many are determined to take it to the limit.
>
> I understand. Just know that when some of the OTs make broad sweeping
> generalizations about the new guys, there are some of us around who take
> exception, and prove the inaccuracy of the generalized statements about
> new hams.

Jim, it isn't possible to issue a complete position paper with each post. Much of my viewpoint has been piecemealed into this NG over a fairly long period of time, as I think you know. I have stated repeatedly here that the problem I saw in the written tests isn't universal, rather the fact that easy access to licenses by people with no real interest in learning the material was something I, as a longtime VE, considered to be a potentially serious problem for the longterm future of the ARS. Ed, in his infinite wisdom, somehow managed to convert that to "You are bashing all newcomers" by stating that they all were fraudulantly licensed, or words to that effect. I don't think it should be too difficult to see why that upset me more than a little.

I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over the past couple years, and that is very encouraging. To the point that it looks like my concerns have been pretty well addressed. Ed posted some of the new questions here a couple days age, and indeed this type of question format would be nearly impossible to sccessfully acquire the license without a fair understanding of the material, at least on questions of that type.

3245. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 03:19:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9ebfigl0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19539

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > If Cw is the only mode available for use, on either side of the radio
> > circuit, you know who sets the stakes. But of course it goes against
> > your Agenda to admit it.
>
> If CW is the only mode available when you are in trouble and you are the
> only one who knows Morse code, what are you going to do? - feel really
> stupid and wish you were better prepared, that's what you are going to do.

Hey, Cecil -- are you really obtuse enough to think that all
emergencies occur only when you are at your home with all your gear at
your fingertips? Sure lo0oks like it. NO? Then everyone should arrange
to carry their entire hamshack around everywhere they go? What sort of
__________(fill in your own loony description) are you anyway?

>
> > So you would rather hams preclude themselves of even the possibility of
> > being able to use the most basic radiocommunications mode, the mode of
> > last resort, by denying them the communications asset of being instructed
> > in the mode during their licensing, just to advance your digital agenda.
>
> Wherever did you get that idea, Dick? I have storage food and water for
> emergencies. I recommend that everyone have storage food and water for
> emergencies. I don't advocate governmental force from the feds to
> require everyone to have storage food and water. I believe in freedom.
> I believe all hams should have the freedom to choose their mode(s).
> That is not denying anybody anything. It is accepting the
> responsibilities that go along with freedom.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3246. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 03:23:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9ekejds0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19540

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Only the lack of training and skill by hams
> > will prevent the use of CW.
>
> If a ham lacks training and skill in CW, that is his own choice.
> Are you saying the lack of forced training and forced skill will
> prevent the use of CW? Nobody forces anybody to ride horses, Dick,
> and horseback riding is still going strong after 100 years of
> automobiles.

Yep. The small portion of people who plaay with horses load them into their trailers and tow them to the countryside with their $40K SUV's lor pickup trucks, unload them and ride awhile, then reverse the process. You really don't call that transportation, I'm sure. Just as CW will not be a communications mode.

And you likely don't know it but the 4wheeler has even largely replaced the horse on the cattle ranch in a lot of places. More control over the ride and less unpredictibility.

3247. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 03:24:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9elpjds1@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19541

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I suppose you're referring to Pactgor 11 which he won't be equipped to
> > use.
>
> You can only use the modes for which you are equipped, Dick. If,
> for whatever reason, someone is not equipped for CW, then he
> cannot use CW. If someone is not equipped for PACTOR II, then
> he cannot use PACTOR II. It's a simple enough concept. If you
> are calling SOS on CW and the only people who can hear you
> don't know Morse code, you are using the wrong mode.

Do tell. Why do you suppose I want hams to know CW?

3248. Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 03:29:31 UTC
From: kf6vyh@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906232931.16410.00000336@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 19542

>There is no one way to learn the code that will work for everyone. Beware of
>the
>ham who says “here is a sure fire way to learn the code”

How true. I started with the ARRL tapes with very little luck. Then I tried
NU-Morse lite,still not a lot of luck. Then I tried
some other software with out much luck. I wound up learning with the
MFJ Morse code tutor. I was able to anywhere I went, it fits in a shirt
pocket. The code is random. You can set any speed you like.

3249. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 03:47:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9g0klji0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19543

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >(No, you just want to slam me whenever and however you can. Must be the
> > soak-your-head thing.)
>
> Did you think your telling me to go soak my head would make me like you?
>
> >*I* 'assume the worst'??? Surely you jest.
>
> No, I am afraid I don't.
>
> > So
> >some new ham who I never knew existed comes on the channel and does his
> >best to blast me out of the water, and shows his lack of knowedge on ham
> >radio in general and in spades on CW, all the while insisting I am a
> >discredit to the ARS, and it would be far better off if I left, and I'm
> >just supposed to roll over and turn the other cheek. You can do that if
> >it suits your temperament, but you surely know it doesn't suit mine.
>
> Is that really what you want people to believe? That you are slamming all new
> hams for not entering ham radio because they don't want to learn things and
> that they are deliberately not learning things as they set about to take their
> tests.
>
> Can you explain why you were doing this long before your new enemy Drpfrench
> came on "channel?"

No, I can't, simply because it isn't true. And you know it.

3250. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:10:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9hb5$q5i$0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19546

This post by the gdreat W1RFI-generator didn't make it to my server. So
I will answer through a quote on hers...

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> heh heh heh, like I said: Did Dick answer anything?

Obviously notehing that you could ever understand.

>
> > >Come on Ed, you surely know better. For most of the past century CW
> > >ops of every nationality have communicated, often without knowledge of
> > >each other's language. There exists far more than the Q signals and the
> > >few prosigns used today by hams.
> >
> > I will add RST to the list, but in the hundreds of CW QSOs I have had, the
> > exchange of information has either been RST 599 QTH Oingoboingo Op Bill,
> or it
> > has been in some form of English.

I shsould have known that you're not a CW op, jsut a ham.So instead of
sending you a bunch of lists you wouldn't know anything about nor
understand, I'll just ZMG.

> >
> > Can you give us some example of communication that is neither English or
> common
> > Q sigs or RST?
> >
> > >Z signals
> >
> > I don't think I have ever heard them in use on the ham bands.

Ah. So they're out. Of course, tehis is merely a hobby, not a serious
communications effort. I should have had that in mind. Somehow I had
this idea that radio communications when needed for emergencies had some
serious aspect.

> >
> > >ARL numbered radiograms
> >
> > I have never heard them in use outside formal traffic handling, but that
> is an
> > example. Most hams, DX or otherwise, don't use them.

Yep. Lots of things happen in emergencies that are not routine. Every
ARRL log book I ever saw had a list of ARL Numbered Radiograms in the

back. Ever see one? I wonder what they were put there for.

> >
> > >There is another long list of "official" obreviations that are (were)
> > >universally recognized by CW operators the world over.
> >
> > Those abbreviations were abbreviations of English words.

Do you actually think that hams of other languages don't have a list
too?of UNIVERSDALLY RECOGNIZED prosigns, shortened words, speed tips?
Are you really that uninformed on the history of the use of CW as a
traffic handling method?

> >
> > >Additionally,
> > >English
> > >has long been recognized as the most universal language for
> > >international radio communications, and many opertors in other nations
> > >quickly learned enough English to use it routinely for
> > >radiocommunications.
> >
> > Well, yeah, but we WERE talking about communicating between people that
> don't
> > share the same language, Dick. Now you say that communicating between
> people
> > who don't know the same language is possible if they both know enough
> English
> > to get by?

THAT is an *added* benefit, Ed, that foreign CW ops knew would be to
their benefit, whether or not they ever becane fluent in English. Make
note of that if you please, so that you don't get confused about it too
often in the future.

> >
> > >Sorry if many American hams don't know that.
> >
> > And I'm sorry that you don't know that English is a language, Dick.

It is becoming more and more clear that you merely pay lip service to
anyhthing related to the actual use of Morse as a trffic handling
method. I'm not surprised.

3251. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:10:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9hbu$q5i$1@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19547

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >
> >> Yet there is NO formal FCC requirement to know or understand that list
> >> of Q signals.
>
> > Unless it has been dropped from the question pools, Q signals are on
> >the test. Last time I had any connection with testing there were
> >questions on them for some license classes.
>
> You are correct, Dick, at least the last time I looked, too. Perhaps Bill
> meant that there is no pass/fail requirement; one can get all the Q signal
> questions wrong and still be licensed.
>

Now make darn sure you find a way to excuse his faux paux.

3252. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:17:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9hojrkj0@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19544

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
> >opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.
>
> You may be right. I guess I think more in terms of what his "trying to
> accomplish" will actually accomplish. Telling people that CW does not have
> enough value that it will be used in the Amateur Radio Service without testing
> does not even support continued testing. If he tells the FCC that CW has no
> value when he files his comments to the inevitable rulemaking procedure, one of
> the nails in the coffin of CW testing in the Amateur Radio Service will be his.
>

You are a fraud, Ed. But you already know that. With the percentage of
no code technicians outnumbering any other class of licensee, the ARS is
already at the point where the use of CW is severely reduced. Add the
large number of 5wpm licensees who just barely made it and will never
again look at a key, and the flushing of CW down the drain looms even
closer.
So pay your lip service and keep up the smiley face, you know the
outcome of this as well as anyone.

3253. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:21:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9i13rkj1@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19545

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >The Newington way it it ever was demonstrated.
>
> Oops, you automatically lose when you have to blame Newington for my posts.
>
> Is "Newington" the one that is saying that Morse code does not have enough
> value to survive as a mode without affirmative action?

I don't know, you are ther why don't you ask someone there? As you
well know, I am one who believes CW does have enough merits to merit
testing for amateur radio operators such as to require them to initially
learn to use it. Evidently you and the rest of Newington just can't see
it. You know as well as anyone that most people who aren't required to
learn it won't, thus will never know beyond anectdotally what it can do.
Tough, but that's the call.

3254. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:35:45 UTC
From: Trilobite <Anon@Anon.col>
Message-ID: <9n9ilfch0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 19548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Now, now. It is not nice to pick on Roger. He takes it to heart and often cries himself to sleep in his mommie's arms.

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message news:1737f3e3795e87138aad9e1116f398df@houston-hunks.com...
>
> A. Melon wrote:
>
> : Har har har har..worried are yew??? har har har har
>
> Thanks for proving my point, idiot boy.

3255. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004325.14611.00000049@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19550

In article <9n816u$gi4$0@208.207.71.150>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Yeah, Right! Take a basically confusing subject, particularly at the
>start when so many just give up, and expect that any serious numbers of
>new hams will "have time" to devote to it, when all these protesters
>"never had timeto learn it" or "it was just too hard, I really wanted a
>ham radio license, but"--ad naseum, and you really expect any serious
>numbers of hams to bother. Sure Ed, you surely have it figuted out. The
>Newington way it it ever was demonstrated.

Tsk, tsk, the ARRL on-line store is still selling code-practice material
and CW manages to creep in first among modes in QST. :-)

> Oh, Loose Lennie doesn't need to worry about the demise of CW, *HE*
>will be gone the way of spark long before CW is.

Spark was declared illegal. I am still legal. :-)

(yank, yank...)

LHA

3256. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004326.14611.00000050@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19454

In article <3B9752E0.9425C8EE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010905220912.02518.00000129@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI)
>> writes:
>>
>> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >
>> >>> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
>> >>>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
>> >>>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.
>> >>
>> >>TS for OOK CW then...
>> >>
>> >>It can go the way of spark. Bye...
>> >
>> >By his pretty much constant repitition of things like he said above, I
>> >believe that ending CW use is what Dick is setting out to accomplish.
>>
>> I have a different view of what he says and it seems to me
>> to be nothing more than "I had to take that morse test and
>> so should everyone else!" :-)
>>
>> He has aligned himself as a champion of morse testing
>> and cannot budge from that. Vindication can only come
>> from hanging in there with his viewpoint until all others
>> get tired of arguing the case.
>>
>> Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
>> opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.
>
>...and vindication can only come from hanging in there with your
>viewpoint until all others get tired of arguing the case? Yours is the
>"I am a professional in electronics and shouldn't have to put up with
>this stuff in order to obtain an *amateur* license" viewpoint.

There's no "and" in there, Davie. I am a professional. I don't see
the logical sense in keeping any morse code test for an amateur
radio license when morse code mode is as optional to use as
any other allocated mode. Two separate conditions.

>Since you appear to be the typical geezer in a Lazy Boy, I doubt we'll
>ever actually hear you on any of the ham bands. You'll gum the issue to
>death but never make the first step toward doing anything.

I don't have a "Laz-E-Boy," boy. Had you listened you would
have heard me on 20 m this year...third party, of course,
would dream of being (gasp) illegal before all you radio cops.
:-)

LHA

3257. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004327.14611.00000052@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19451

In article <20010906051400.02161.00000138@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
>>opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.
>
>You may be right. I guess I think more in terms of what his "trying to
>accomplish" will actually accomplish. Telling people that CW does not have
>enough value that it will be used in the Amateur Radio Service without
>testing does not even support continued testing.

If that is what Dickie is saying, then he is only pulling for CW testing on the basis of Him having to test for it, therefore everyone else has to do it. There's no statement supporting viability of morse retention let alone morse testing retention.

>If he tells the FCC that CW has no
>value when he files his comments to the inevitable rulemaking procedure, one
>of the nails in the coffin of CW testing in the Amateur Radio Service will be
>his.

"Coffin?!?" Nah. Dickie will argue against going. :-)

LHA

3258. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004327.14611.00000051@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19452

In article <20010906071702.20943.00000023@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <9fscpts3qg4cktrh7nuo8o07oovna4tcce@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
><jim@rst-engr.com> writes:

<snip of an excellent parody>

>>We will continue to test on horsemanship as long as drivers continue to use
>>it and promote it on its merits.
>>
>Your analogy doesn't quite fit, because horses have all but disappeared from
>the nation's roads and highways. But CW signals are common in the ham bands,
>particularly the HF/MF ham bands.
>
>A closer analogy would be whether driver's tests MUST include skill in using
>a clutch and manual transmission.

Don't get all starchy there, Jimmy M. You got your chain
yanked, deservedly so. :-) Live with it.

"Horses have all but disappeared?!?" Neigh, neigh, city critter.
Doan you go 'round sayin thet here in the West... :-)

LHA

3259. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004328.14611.00000053@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19455

In article <9f4bfe89.0109060854.7206271c@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20010905145154.07922.00003286@nso-cr.aol.com>...
>> In article <20010905000318.13505.00001646@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>> writes:
>>
>> >In article <3B94DEBA.B510554A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
>> ><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>> >
>> >>N2EY wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> (snip) even though I can guarantee
>> >>> that new hams today can't pass the
>> >>> tests I took. (snip)
>> >
>> >LHA can't pass them either.

Heh heh, who said I had to? Jimmie M., you are, as you said of another, "full of yourself!" :-)

>You infer what is not there. And you missed the context of the
>discussion.

Hardly. I read four weeks worth of posts in one day, one sitting. The "context" is quite clear that way in comparison to reading daily. :-)

>Read back a few posts and you will see posters claiming they could
>pass the tests others took in the past.

I've read past postings (the last four weeks in one sitting, remember?) and seen a lot of folks claiming they could do any number of things. :-)

>> If it is so necessary to bring up old tests, why not go back to
>> the original 1912 tests for amateurs? :-)
>
>Already been done by KH6O, who is more knowledgeable about that era
>than I.
>
>I could pass those tests if required to do so. I don't think you
>could, though, Len.

Oooo! A challenge! Why go through the motions? Those
1912 tests don't apply to modern day radio, any radio service.
:-)

>> You wouldn't since, like most long-timers of pre-restructuring,
>> your tests were "obviously" so much harder than today's tests.
>
>How would you know, Len?

Telepathy. The same psychic power that others have claimed
in here for "knowing" what others are thinking, saying, meaning.
:-)

>Even though you posted on January 19, 2000, that you were going for
>the Extra "right out of the box", you haven't done it yet, Len.
>Fascinating.

Jimmie M., now you get your selective editing out of here.
You forgot the big "IF" conditional. :-)

Heh, besides, how do you know I haven't done it already or
have never done it? Telepathy again? :-)

LHA

3260. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004330.14611.00000054@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19456

In article <9n84p5$p0q$0@208.207.71.150>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:

> Someone sent me a part of your post where you again deny the existence
>of a Police Radiotelegraph net. For your information and edification,
>there existed within the USA between 1936 and 1972 an HF radiotelgraph
>network of stations that exclusively handled police message traffic,
>both within various states and through intercommunications and relaying
>between many states. The state of California was indeed involved in this
>network, using a radiotelegraph station located at Sacramento which was
>assigned the callsign KMA25. This station handled interstate police
>traffic, often with the assistance of relays by the Arizona Highway
>Patrol station at Flagstaff, KPF46. When conditions permitted traffic
>was handled direct to states farther to the east. I am personally
>familiar with the fact that the MSHP station then located in Jefferson
>City, MO, KAB72, at times was able to communicate directly with KMA25 in
>Sacramento, although most of the traffic was relayed.
> Frequencies used were in the 2.8Mhz, 5.6 mHz and 7.5 mHz regions.
>
> The Jefferson City station, being centrally located, handled heavy
>volumes of this radiotelegraph traffic going both east and west. There
>were few stations that were as busy. It was all a part of the Police
>Communications Network, which did indeed incorporate some wireline
>teletype. By 1972 the wireline teletype was in universal use and the
>police radiotelegraph network closed down. I was a part of the technical
>team that removed all the equipment from the Jefferson City station in
>1976.
>
> For a first person account of what it was like to work at one of these
>CW stations see
>
> http://metronet.com/~nmcewen/police_radio.html
>
>Written by a ham who was also a police CW operator, of course.
>
> And yes, within the state of California police message traffic was
>handled by teletype. They used their radiotelegraph station strictly for
>interstate communications. No doubt the larger population within the
>state justified the added cost.
>
> I have a copy of an APCO (Associated Police Communications Officers)
>manual that describes this network in considerable detail, including its
>history.

>
>
> So your anti-CW ranting fails yet one more time. CHeck your facts a bit
>more carefully, if you please.

Hee hee...who can deny "first-person accounts by CW operators?"

Dickie C., we've already been through this over two years ago in
here. Way back then I wandered into a local LA police division
(they don't use "precincts" in this city) station and asked for some
public affairs information. I got a lot of interesting information but
not one single item that verified any morse code type CW
telegraphy in use back to 1950. The "telegraphy" was all
teleprinter. It is common to refer to a teleprinter under the
general heading of "telegraphy" even though a teleprinter has
nothing to do with morse code.

1972 was all of 29 years ago, Dickie C. The LAPD were already
experimenting with data terminals in their patrol cars then,
something that is standard today. [you can ask the LAPD
public affairs office if you wish...I posted an 800 number in here
for the LAPD PA office way back when...] Los Angeles is a
big city, over 3.5 million in population, and they have a big
technical center in Center City area (where four were killed
by a laid-off whacko a few years ago, two of the victims being
hams). If there is information available, that is one source
that should be accurate.

I passed through Sacramento, CA, last Saturday, didn't hear
one beep-beep of morse. Big place, lots of communications
going on there but, in 1972, that city was only the hub of
the California Highway Patrol and the urban areas weren't
yet tied together with, not for, the state capitol. :-)

I can believe that 1936 would be a good year to have a CW
net to "tie together police centers," but not by 1970 or even
1960. Ever hear of long-haul microwave radio relay?
Hundreds of voice channels on each microwave relay link.
For that matter, long-haul wired communications was already
in place and working in this state and most others. Are you
going to tell everyone with a straight face that manual CW
was carrying an important part of police communications
even in 1960?!?!?

Now on the military fixed-point to fixed-point networks, I will
not embarass you any further by emphasizing that "telegraphy"
there meant teleprinter, never manual morse from at least
1953 onwards...not on HF, not on troposcatter, not on
satellite relay. When such "traffic" amounts to a million
messages per month total, it is big enough to count.

I'm not "bashing" or "anti" anything except an extraordinary attempt to hold onto the past on the part of others. The Real communications folks use what is faster, more accurate than any morse links. For over a half century that was teleprinter that evolved to faster data modes.

Try living in the present and the future, not the past.

LHA

3261. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004331.14611.00000055@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19453

In article <20010906051904.02161.00000140@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>and
>> some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
>> so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
>> the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of
>> trained CW ops to help the nation."
>
>Their premise is that CW use within the Aamteur bands will be of national
>value when it handles emergency traffic when conditions on an HF circuit
>deteriorate to the point where SSB is not effective.

When has amateur emergency communications by any mode
been employed at any time in the last half century?

Is US amateur radio structured to be primarily some kind of
emergency communications system or is it non-professional
radio with a wide scope of activity and primarily for avocational
purposes?

The federal (Part 97) statement about a "pool of trained radio
operators" for national welfare doesn't say anything about
"CW more effective than SSB" or any other mode.

>If maintaining that capability were the real goal, perhaps a rules change
>that
>requires hams to have functioning equipment capable of operating SSB on ALL
>HF
>amateur bands would be more effective.

Why not make it 'every ham on HF has to use CW?' If CW is so
much better than SSB as you say, then CW should be a
mandatory mode should it not?

> If 5 MHz gets added to the Amateur
>spectrum, I think that it will be very rare indeed that any two points within
>the US will not have good propagation on some Amateur frequency.

...except in some NVIS areas. If you postulate "any two points
within the US in contact," then you should strike for LF or VLF
band additions with a full gallon or more output permitted. So far,
nothing has been done in the USA about allocating any amateur
band below MF.

LHA

3262. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:43:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907004332.14611.00000056@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19549

In article <20010906051605.02161.00000139@mb-mf.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>hat's your problem, troll? Got all those personal frustrations
>> boiling up inside you that require you to insult others ...
>
>> Let me explain as to a child (for you act like one):
>
>Calling him a troll and telling him he acts like a child is an insult, too.

You may be right, Ed. Nobody knows this troll...oops, this unidentified, antagonistic person (presumably human)...IS.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is making great progress nowadays so it is hard to tell if humans are the only ones involved.

>Having your own problem with personal frustrations? :-)

Only after reading four weeks of newsgroup postings at one sitting. Geez, whatta bunch of argumentative crap...! :-)

LHA

3263. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 04:49:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9n9jl8rkj2@208.206.142.103>
Source record: 19457

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Now you want to argue whether the world is flat or not? What next?
>
> What I will argue is that it *appears* flat to an organism whose
> two eyes are 2.5 inches apart. The horizon depth effect could be
> just an illusion. The only reason that you know the earth is a
> three dimensional sphere is your ability to conceptualize, not
> your ability to *perceive* it as a three dimensional sphere.

Wrong. I know it's round because they show it on TV shots from the
space shuttle. To say nothing about the Appollo flights.
Of course Wayne Green *could* be right and the entire space program
could be a fraud.

3264. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:07:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907010726.25457.00000081@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 19458

In article <20010906194212.28147.00000347@mb-bg.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>I don't recall where Len ever said that he should be given a ham radio
>license
>without taking a test. I think he is just saying that he is a professional
>in
>electronics and doesn't have to put up with stuff.

I've said separate things:

1. I am a professional.

2. I want to eliminate the morse code test for an amateur license.

They are not "anded," interlocked or otherwise connected.

Those who attempt countering what I've said about (2) by
connecting it with (1) are doing so deliberately and maliciously.

>As long as he doesn't
>transmit above Part 15 limits on the ham bands, I don't care if he gets
>licensed or not.

Unfair! If I got an amateur license then you would force me to
work at sub-QRP levels! :-)

pthpthpthpth

LHA

3265. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:28:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B985AEB.D5F2D6BC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19459

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I am one who believes CW does have enough merits to merit
> testing for amateur radio operators such as to require them to initially
> learn to use it.

But you don't think CW has enough merits that hams will want to
learn to use it without being forced to do so.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3266. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:37:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B985D30.7F49D17A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19460

Jim Kelley wrote:
> But there are 3 dimensional images of it that
> prove it is not flat.

I'm not arguing that it is flat. I'm arguing that our perceptions are not adequate to prove that it is not flat. Any other species looking at it would probably perceive it to be flat. Our concepts are what allows us to expand beyond our perceptions.

> The curvature of the Earth can be seen in a 2 dimentional image.

That's a completely riduculous statement, Jim. Back to the 2-D photo. You are "seeing" a dimension that isn't there. Your conceptual mind is filling in the blanks.

> Prove that the Earth is flat.

I didn't say it was flat. We assume it is not flat because people have been all around it and didn't find any edges. But that is a concept not a percept. Without our conceptual brains, our senses are inadequate for proving that the earth is not flat. Ask any chimp or gorilla.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3267. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:44:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B985EB6.6D861257@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19461

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > What I will argue is that it *appears* flat to an organism whose
> > two eyes are 2.5 inches apart. The horizon depth effect could be
> > just an illusion. The only reason that you know the earth is a
> > three dimensional sphere is your ability to conceptualize, not
> > your ability to *perceive* it as a three dimensional sphere.
>
> Wrong. I know it's round because they show it on TV shots from the
> space shuttle. To say nothing about the Appollo flights.

The TV screen is 2-dimensional, Dick, and a 2-dimensional image
proves absolutely nothing. It is our concepts that allow us
to know the earth is a sphere. Our senses indicate otherwise.
We use deductive reasoning to conclude the earth is a sphere.
Although our senses are similar to other species, as far as I
know, we are the only species on earth that has concluded the
earth is a sphere. It's not what you see that matters - it's
what you think.
--
cheers, Cecil

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3268. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:49:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B985FEC.875C85C8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19462

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I didn't say the war had "nothing" to do with slavery.
>
> Of course you did. Otherwise I wouldn't have been arguing with you about
> it.

You've got me mixed up with someone else, Jim. I did not say that.
I challenge you to dig up that posting. It was said but it wasn't
me who said it.

> > I said it had
> > to do with the bigger picture of economics.
>
> You also said the war had nothing to do with slavery.

You are lying, i.e. making an inaccurate statement. I said the
civil war was not about slavery, it was about economics, i.e.
it was bigger than just slavery.

> > Slavery was part of the
> > economics but slavery certainly wasn't all of the economics.
>
> Never said it was. Nevertheless, I glad you now agree.

I haven't changed my mind. Good to see you now agree.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3269. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:52:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B986095.BB520057@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19463

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
> > a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????
>
> Nope, sure isn't.

You are farther gone than I thought. But be patient, Dick, they have
recently cured rats of senility.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3270. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 05:58:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9861F0.4EBF1720@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19464

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> For radio amateurs to be prepared to exploit the benefits and advantages
> of one of our most practical, effective, efficient and universal modes of
> communication is not the least bit "unreasonable."

For radio amateurs to be prepared to exploit *any* particular mode they
must be prepared to use that mode. So what? If any mode is necessary,
the government must require skill, knowledge, and equipment for it
to be effective. Requiring Morse code skill without requiring a CW
transceiver is simply ridiculous and unnecessary. How is someone who
knows Morse code going to send/receive CW without any electronic
equipment? Smoke signals?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3271. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 06:08:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98644B.816BA34@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19465

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > If a ham lacks training and skill in CW, that is his own choice.
> > Are you saying the lack of forced training and forced skill will
> > prevent the use of CW? Nobody forces anybody to ride horses, Dick,
> > and horseback riding is still going strong after 100 years of
> > automobiles.
>
> Yep. The small portion of people who plaay with horses load them into
> their trailers and tow them to the countryside with their $40K SUV's
> lor pickup trucks, unload them and ride awhile, then reverse the
> process. You really don't call that transportation, I'm sure. Just as CW
> will not be a communications mode.

Dick, you need to get out more. Horses are everywhere I've lived in Texas and Arizona. The same will be true of CW on the ham bands. CW is such an effective mode that it will not die. That you don't see any merits in the CW mode and must insist upon hams being forced to learn it tells us what you really think about the mode and it's not a pretty sight. It is readily apparent that you feel you will be losing power if the Morse code requirement is dropped. It's the same thing that happened when the slaves were freed and women were granted the right to vote.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3272. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 06:13:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B986573.73F20835@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19466

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Why do you suppose I want hams to know CW?

To ensure that you will always have a slave ready to
respond to your SOS? So that you won't have to learn
and equip yourself with any modern modes?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3273. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 06:18:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9866C1.C439CD90@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19467

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> That's your opinion and you're entitled to it. However, it's only fair
> to tell you that your EE degree and 50 years of experience as a ham
> certainly *does* mean something to me! It means I owe you the
> respect you deserve for having made these achievements.

Those are not achievements, Larry. The EE degree was a means to an
end, not an end in itself. That's what you are so totally confused
about. A EE degree is worthless unless someone does something with
it. A ham license is worthless unless someone does something with it.

> ... I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
> Extra (to) implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
> license class and my own have the same designation.

How are you going to keep him from doing that, Larry? Shoot him?
Extra licenses are like EE degrees - they are a dime a dozen. What
is important is what is accomplished afterwards.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3274. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 06:20:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98672F.B9003C9F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19468

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Why should CW/Morse skill be considered as important as everything
> > else in ham radio combined?
>
> That one isn't rocket science- it;s because it IS differenc from other
> modes. One has to actually learn it.

One has to learn any mode and equip himself to implement it, Dick.
CW/Morse is no exception.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3275. Re: The shape of regs go come?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 06:25:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B986841.B0665305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19469

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > If CW is the only mode available when you are in trouble and you are the
> > only one who knows Morse code, what are you going to do? - feel really
> > stupid and wish you were better prepared, that's what you are going to do.
>
> Hey, Cecil -- are you really obtuse enough to think that all
> emergencies occur only when you are at your home with all your gear at
> your fingertips?

OK Dick, tell us once again how you can whip together a CW transceiver
out of silicon and copper sulphate that you find at the beach.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3276. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:04:59 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b989d0d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19470

Why should CW be the only mode by which a test measures whether a mode is "actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise that would result from being "rolled into" the written"? Why just CW? Don't say it is because of the convenience of the mode. Voice is the *most* convenient.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9n941pfbf0@208.206.142.103...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Where in Hades did anyone **ever** suggest that CW be given *priority*
> > > in ANYTHING????
> >
> > Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
> > a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????
>
>
> Nope, sure isn't. It's dong the minimum necessary to insure that it is
> actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise
> that would result from being "rolled into" the written.

3277. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:09:33 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b989e1f_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19471

And one must also learn lots to be able to do almost any other mode than voice. It takes more knowledge to "hook up" for Packet, than to "hook up" for CW. With any mode that uses a computer as an interface--or has that capability--there's lots of wiring, cabling, etc., that must be known to get everything to work and talk together. I daresay if it is technical skill that is the desired end result to be measured via testing, then have someone design, build, and wire an APRS station or a packet station, or a slow scan, etc.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n9456fbf1@208.206.142.103...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Why should CW/Morse skill be considered as important as everything
> > else in ham radio combined?
>
>
> That one isn't rocket science- it;s because it IS differenc from other
> modes. One has to actually learn it.

3278. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:11:59 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b989eb1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19472

Then, wouldn't you want hams to be mandated to know and have the capability for any and every mode available to them? You've already decried that your not saying CW should be the priority.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9n9elpjds1@208.206.142.103...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > I suppose you're referring to Pactgor 11 which he won't be equipped to
> > > use.
> >
> > You can only use the modes for which you are equipped, Dick. If,
> > for whatever reason, someone is not equipped for CW, then he
> > cannot use CW. If someone is not equipped for PACTOR II, then
> > he cannot use PACTOR II. It's a simple enough concept. If you
> > are calling SOS on CW and the only people who can hear you
> > don't know Morse code, you are using the wrong mode.
>
> Do tell. Why do you suppose I want hams to know CW?

3279. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:18:38 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b98a040_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19473

Hey, Larry? Know what? I am not a post-restructuring Extra. I am a Tech+. Know what else? I consider myself a much better ham than you. Know what else? There's nothing you can do or say to take away that opinion of myself. And, now, you're going to do nothing but enforce the opinion I already have of you.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010906205521.00667.00000152@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <3B959EC9.E939C331@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >>
> > >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> > >> >So you are not superior to all post-restructuring hams?
> > >>
> > >> That's right, Cecil. Just more likely to be.
> > >
> > >I am a post-restructuring Extra and I'm also likely to be superior
> > >in many ways to post-restructuring hams AND pre-restructuring hams.
> > >So what? I've got a EE degree with 50 years experience as a ham and
> > >it doesn't amount to a hill of beans.
>
> Cecil:
>
> That's your opinion and you're entitled to it. However, it's only fair
> to tell you that your EE degree and 50 years of experience as a ham
> certainly *does* mean something to me! It means I owe you the
> respect you deserve for having made these achievements. However,
> it does not mean I have to respect your statement that the accomplishments
> of one ham over another's don't amount to a hill of beans.
>
> My own accomplishments as a ham are probably farily modest in
> comparison to yours, but I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
> Extra implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
> license class and my own have the same designation. As I've said many
> times over the past few years, ham radio is what ham radio operators make
> of it, and ham radio operators are what they make of themselves. They
> cannot become my "equal" by self-declaration. Moreover, they cannot
> become my "equal" merely by having outlived the more comprehensive
> and challenging licensing requirements which my peers (Pre-R Extras)

> and I have succeeded in meeting.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

3280. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:32:46 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b98a391_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19474

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010906205522.00667.00000153@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <3b96dbe6_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >Dick:
> >
> >Why do you want the open comments about emergency communications to turn
> >into a contest about who loves or hates CW? It has nothing to do with
the
> >discussion (well, at least from the perspective of discussion).
> >
> >"prepared" means what in terms of emergency communications? We must be
> >reasonable in our own expectations. We cannot be "prepared" for
everything
> >available to us in an emergency. Cost, alone is prohibitive. Your
> >insistence that, because CW is not given priority among many emergency
> >commuicators, we are not prepared goes beyond reasonable. We value CW
> >operators, APRS, slow scan, voice, packet, etc.--and each has its
importance
> >in emergency communications (as well asd any time). Priority is given to
> >voice modes because that is the easiest, most commonly available mode.
> >
>
> Kim:
>
> For radio amateurs to be prepared to exploit the benefits and advantages
> of one of our most practical, effective, efficient and universal modes of
> communication is not the least bit "unreasonable."
>

Maybe we don't wish to get on your bandwagon, Larry. The only thing I must
to do maintain my license as a radio amateur is abide by the FCC
regulations. Your edict would imply that I also must be prepared to exploit
the benefits and advantages of every practical, effective, efficient and
universal mode of communication. That is pretty damned unreasonable.

>
> In fact, it is the very
> essence of being "reasonable." You say priority is given to voice modes
> because it is the "easiest, most commonly available mode." I do not
> disagree with that, but the fact that acquiring useful proficiency in a
mode
> like Morse/CW requires some dedicated effort on the part of a radio
> amateur more than justifies the testing requirement, and a licensing

> system based on incremental progression in this important skill.
>

So, it is dedicated effort that you wish to measure? Sorry, that is not a requirement for ham radio. (This is assuming that you believe the only way to be in the "dedicated effort" club is to be a CW op). Your lofty ideals you place upon yourself--and that is all well and good--are not the responsibility of anyone else to fill for themselves.

>
> The
> fact that we have abandoned the licensing requirement (at a level which
> facilitates the acquisition of useful proficiency) only serves to indicate
> that we, as radio amateurs, are not really serious when we promise to
> provide emergency communications capabilities that will get a
> message through "When All Else Fails."
>

The knowledge, use, and developed skill of CW does *NOT* mean that we can provide emergency communications capabilities that will get a message through "When All Else Fails," Larry. It only means that we would have CW to attempt it. Your edict also implies that we should know and have the capability for each and every mode we are licensed for.

>
> If we ever, God forbid, get
> to the point where "all else" has failed, proficient CW operators will
> have one more card up their sleeves, long after the HT slingers and the
> Phone jockeys are all played out. It's just a question of whether or
> not they'll have anyone to communicate with, if and when that time
> does come!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Your disparaging opinion of people who have a different passion than you doesn't change the fact, Larry, that to defend the use of CW for emergency communications as some magical "card up their sleeves"(?!!?) is a blatant disregard for the absolute *FACT* that CW, or *ANY* other mode than voice, is probably the least desirable to have available! If the majority of people who walk on this Earth have no capability to decipher (i.e., receive transmission of *and* translate) CW, or packet, or _____(whatever), then the mode I hope and pray I have available is voice--because at wit's end a scream through a microphone indicates distress!

Now, going back to your "dedicated effort" comment. I suspect, Larry, that your passion and favor for CW is more motivated by the fact that you personally feel some superiority through it, than you are concerned for anyone's safety. I suspect that has been the case all along.

Kim W5TIT

3281. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:36:26 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b98a46d_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19475

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0109061312.1e87108a@posting.google.com...
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:<3b96e133_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
> > No offense intended, it just really seems a lot easier to say (with
voice)
> > hello and move on to the next signal... :)
> >
> Actually, it works out to be faster and easier on CW, once the ops
> have a bit of skill.
>
> Here's an example. You are quite familiar with Field Day, of course.
>
> Here's a typical FD QSO on 'phone (HF SSB, good conditions):
>
> "CQ Field Day, CQ Field Day, CQ Field Day, this is W1AW, Whiskey One
> Alfa Whiskey, W1AW, go"
>
> "Whiskey One Alfa Whiskey, here is N2EY, November Two Echo Yankee"
>
> "November Two Echo Yankee, here is Whiskey One Alfa Whiskey. Four
> Echo, Connecticut, Four Echo Connecticut, go"
>
> "Roger your Four Echo Connecticut, here is Four Alfa eastern
> Pennsylvania Four Alfa EPA, this is November Two Echo Yankee"
>
> "Roger your Four Alfa Eastern Pennsylvania, good luck in the contest,
> this is Whiskey One Alfa Whiskey calling QRZed Field Day, Whiskey One
> Alfa Whiskey, go"
>
> Whew!
>
> Now the same thing in CW:
>
> "CQ FD CQ FD CQ FD DE W1AW W1AW K"
>
> "N2EY"
>
> "N2EY DE W1AW 4E 4E CT CT"
>
> "R 4A 4A EPA EPA DE N2EY"
>
> "R TU FD FD FD DE W1AW"
>

> Same info in both QSOs. Although the 'phone folks talk at 100-150 WPM
> or so, the need to phoneticize and repeat back slows them down
> enormously. At 20 wpm the whole CW exchange takes less than a minute.
> Talking faster doesn't help, either.
>
> When conditions get rough, the repeating and phoneticizing slow down
> things on 'phone even more.
>
> Which mode is easier is really a matter of perceived effort. With a
> good CW rig that has QSK, all the op has to do is wiggle the bug and
> write in the log.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

No, no, no. I didn't mean to make you think that I don't realize the benefit of CW! I certainly do. What I meant by it is just easier is: it is easier to stay with what I already know than to spend the time learning CW to any proficiency. :)

Kim W5TIT

3282. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 10:38:38 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b98a4f0$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19476

DITTO.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905221328.02518.00000131@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You know all to well that I have *never*, ever insisted on relying on CW
> >as anything other than a last resort mode.
>
> Perhaps so, but you have certainly justified continued CW testing because
CW
> might be a last-resort mode under some circumstances. I might find that
> credible if you were also proposing rules changes that would place the
same
> mandate on capability for the other modes that might be higher on the
"resort"
> list.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

3283. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 11:25:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907072513.02731.00000086@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 19477

In article <3B980ACE.A4DBB382@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?
>
>"Full break-in"? Is that where you use a relay instead of one toggle
>switch for receive and another toggle switch for transmit?

Nope.

It's where the rig shifts from receive to transmit automatically when the key is down, and from transmit to receive when the key is up. Also referred to as "QSK". Been around since the thirties.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3284. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 11:25:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907072513.02731.00000085@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 19478

In article <20010907004327.14611.00000051@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010906071702.20943.00000023@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) >writes:
>
>>In article <9fscpts3qg4cktrh7nuo8o07oovna4tcce@4ax.com>, Jim Weir >><jim@rst-engr.com> writes:
>
>>>We will continue to test on horsemanship as long as drivers continue to use >>>it and promote it on its merits.
>>>
>>Your analogy doesn't quite fit, because horses have all but disappeared from >>the nation's roads and highways. But CW signals are common in the ham bands, >>particularly the HF/MF ham bands.
>>
>>A closer analogy would be whether driver's tests MUST include skill in using >>a clutch and manual transmission.
>
>Don't get all starchy there, Jimmy M.

Don't get all preachy and derogatory there, Len.

>You got your chain
>yanked, deservedly so. :-)

How was it "deservedly so"? You asked why CW (the MODE) should survive. I gave 10 reasons for the MODE to survive. I also disagreed with the idea that the TEST was needed for the MODE to survive.

> Live with it.
>
Why?

>"Horses have all but disappeared?!?" Neigh, neigh, city critter.
>Doan you go 'round sayin thet here in the West... :-)

Your reading comprehension continues to go downhill, Len. The sentence reads: "....have all but disappeared from the nation's roads and highways." A true statement.

Drive Route 40 and you will see many more motor vehicles than horses on the road. The percentage of horses seen on the road will be tiny. Tune the 40 meter amateur band with a decent receiving setup and you will hear plenty of CW signals. The percentage is typically 50% or more.

Live with it.

N2EY

>
>LHA
>
>
>
>
>

3285. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 11:47:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nac4pfet0@208.206.142.71>
Source record: 19479

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > What I will argue is that it *appears* flat to an organism whose
> > > two eyes are 2.5 inches apart. The horizon depth effect could be
> > > just an illusion. The only reason that you know the earth is a
> > > three dimensional sphere is your ability to conceptualize, not
> > > your ability to *perceive* it as a three dimensional sphere.
> >
> > Wrong. I know it's round because they show it on TV shots from the
> > space shuttle. To say nothing about the Appollo flights.
>
> The TV screen is 2-dimensional, Dick, and a 2-dimensional image
> *proves* absolutely nothing. It is our concepts that allow us
> to know the earth is a sphere. Our senses indicate otherwise.
> We use deductive reasoning to conclude the earth is a sphere.
> Although our senses are similar to other species, as far as I
> know, we are the only species on earth that has concluded the
> earth is a sphere. It's not what you see that matters - it's
> what you think.

Cecil i woulda thought that you'd know that any circle is an infinite
number of straight lines joined end to end.

3286. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 11:49:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nac94fet1@208.206.142.71>
Source record: 19480

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I am one who believes CW does have enough merits to merit
> > testing for amateur radio operators such as to require them to initially
> > learn to use it.
>
> But you don't think CW has enough merits that hams will want to
> learn to use it without being forced to do so.

No I don't think that, I *know* it, based on volumous evidence of the
past decades.

3287. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 11:52:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9naceffet2@208.206.142.71>
Source record: 19481

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > If a ham lacks training and skill in CW, that is his own choice.
> > > Are you saying the lack of forced training and forced skill will
> > > prevent the use of CW? Nobody forces anybody to ride horses, Dick,
> > > and horseback riding is still going strong after 100 years of
> > > automobiles.
> >
> > Yep. The small portion of people who plaay with horses load them into
> > their trailers and tow them to the countryside with their $40K SUV's
> > lor pickup trucks, unload them and ride awhile, then reverse the
> > process. You really don't call that transportation, I'm sure. Just as CW
> > will not be a communications mode.
>
> Dick, you need to get out more. Horses are everywhere I've lived in Texas
> and Arizona. The same will be true of CW on the ham bands. CW is such an
> effective mode that it will not die. That you don't see any merits in
> the CW mode and must insist upon hams being forced to learn it tells us
> what you really think about the mode and it's not a pretty sight. It is
> readily apparent that you feel you will be losing power if the Morse code
> requirement is dropped. It's the same thing that happened when the slaves
> were freed and women were granted the right to vote.
>

I sit here reading that response and laughing outloud. What a dunce.

3288. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 11:58:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nacpg$h6e$0@208.206.142.71>
Source record: 19482

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > For radio amateurs to be prepared to exploit the benefits and advantages
> > of one of our most practical, effective, efficient and universal modes of
> > communication is not the least bit "unreasonable."
>
> For radio amateurs to be prepared to exploit *any* particular mode they
> must be prepared to use that mode. So what? If any mode is necessary,
> the government must require skill, knowledge, and equipment for it
> to be effective. Requiring Morse code skill without requiring a CW
> transceiver is simply ridiculous and unnecessary. How is someone who
> knows Morse code going to send/receive CW without any electronic
> equipment? Smoke signals?

Well, that *would* work if you were dextrous and the wind was still.
Also flashlights, car horns etc etc etc. But you already know that
virtually every manufactured HF ham rig has CW mode included as a
standard feature, making it present in every ham shack on theplanet with
HF capability. You also know that it will "get through" long after voice
moded are down in the noise. So what's your point? Your headgear?

3289. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 12:10:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B98AAB6.B4040C45@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19483

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B9752E0.9425C8EE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <20010905220912.02518.00000129@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> >(W1RFI)
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >
> >> >>> In truth there is basically NOTHING that will bring hams to learn CW in
> >> >>>numbers that will cause it to survive as a viable, effective
> >> >>>communications mode, it will soon disaappear when no longer required.
> >> >>
> >> >>TS for OOK CW then...
> >> >>
> >> >>It can go the way of spark. Bye...
> >> >
> >> >By his pretty much constant repitition of things like he said above, I
> >> >believe that ending CW use is what Dick is setting out to accomplish.
> >>
> >> I have a different view of what he says and it seems to me
> >> to be nothing more than "I had to take that morse test and
> >> so should everyone else!" :-)
> >>
> >> He has aligned himself as a champion of morse testing
> >> and cannot budge from that. Vindication can only come
> >> from hanging in there with his viewpoint until all others
> >> get tired of arguing the case.
> >>
> >> Other mileages will vary, of course, but I'll hang onto my
> >> opinion of what Dick is trying to accomplish.
> >
> >...and vindication can only come from hanging in there with your
> >viewpoint until all others get tired of arguing the case? Yours is the
> >"I am a professional in electronics and shouldn't have to put up with
> >this stuff in order to obtain an *amateur* license" viewpoint.
>
> There's no "and" in there, Davie. I am a professional. I don't see
> the logical sense in keeping any morse code test for an amateur
> radio license when morse code mode is as optional to use as

> any other allocated mode. Two separate conditions.

That "I am a professional" stuff is certain to endear you to those you meet on the ham bands--should you ever pass an exam and obtain an amateur license. Keep hanging on to that viewpoint until others tire of arguing. I note that you are back to the use of the diminuitive in your civil debate.

> >Since you appear to be the typical geezer in a Lazy Boy, I doubt we'll
> >ever actually hear you on any of the ham bands. You'll gum the issue to
> >death but never make the first step toward doing anything.
>
> I don't have a "Laz-E-Boy," boy. Had you listened you would
> have heard me on 20 m this year...third party, of course,
> would dream of being (gasp) illegal before all you radio cops.

Third Party! Wow, you may not need that license after all. If you have enough friends who are amateurs, you could simply visit them periodically and have access to the bands. You wouldn't even need to pass a morse exam. You might even be the first guy to work DXCCTP (DXCC Third Party). Hope you're able to save up enough for that Lazy Boy. It pains me to think of a geezer in a windsor chair.

Dave K8MN

3290. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 12:13:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B98AB41.77623D2C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19484

Len Over 21 wrote:

> "Horses have all but disappeared?!?" Neigh, neigh, city critter.
> Doan you go 'round sayin thet here in the West... :-)

I understand that they're still trying to solve the mystery of what
happened to the *front end* of most of those horses.

Dave K8MN

3291. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 12:17:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B98AC2F.930E50E8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19485

Len Over 21 stepped in the bucket and wrote:

> When has amateur emergency communications by any mode
> been employed at any time in the last half century?

Couldn't find the smiley anywhere. Get out much, Leonardo?

Dave K8MN

3292. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 13:40:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109070540.6a0a9da8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19486

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20010904225633.07922.00003276@nso-cr.aol.com>...
>
> Morse code has limited potential in communications beyond
> emergencies (such as at sea, giving position and immediacy
> of the emergency).

Not true. Morse code has more than "limited potential in
communications"/

> One can exchange RSTs and WX and
> very limited communications of a personal nature using
> morse but anything else requires a lengthy (far longer than
> voice or data) session to exchange information.

Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
effective speeds close to that of voice radio.

When voice or Morse is used for formal written messages or other data
that needs to be written down by the receiving operator, the limiting
speed is usually the writing speed of the receiving op. Most people
cannot write legibly beyond about 30 or 35 wpm.
>
> The FCC does not declare OOK CW mode as a required
> one to be used by any amateur...yet international
> regulations require testing. That is inconsistent with the
> regulations.

You have it exactly backwards, Len.

The FCC still requires the test only because the international
treaty/regulation requires it.
>
> This is the year 2001, not 1916. There is no Titanic 2 to be
> answered after it has hit an iceberg.

The Titanic sank in 1912.
>
> There is nothing wrong with OOK CW as a mode.

Glad to see that.

> There is
> everything wrong with a government body requiring a test in
> that singular communications skill in order to obtain a
> license when the agency does not specifically require its
> use..

Illogical. It is common for govt. agencies to require tests for things whose use is not mandated.

For example, most driving tests require that the person being tested demonstrate the ability to parallel park, even though there is no requirement to park that way "in real life".

Graduation from high school in most places requires passing grades in all subjects, even though there is no requirement that the subjects be used by the graduate, and many of the subjects are required, not elective. Education standards are set by government bodies.

> Those who wish to use OOK CW and morse code
> can do so optionally without the requirement of testing.

Agreed - and the mode will survive quite well without testing. The advantages of the mode for amateurs just need to be publicized better.
>
> Non-professional (amateur) radio is not a guild or union
> based on an apprentice-journeyman-master ranking.

Nonsense. Practically all human activities, both professional and avocational, involve various status levels. The accomplished, skilled and knowledgeable people in an activity are usually esteemed more than the inexperienced, unskilled and ignorant. In amateur radio, license class is one measure of accomplishment, skill and knowledge. Operating awards, public service experience, and technical developments in amateur radio are other measures.

> The
> requirement of a morse code test is little more than a
> hazing ritual to satisfy long-timers who had to learn it.

Nope. Wrong. Off base. The continued requirement of a morse code test for a license is the direct result of the ITU treaty requirements and nothing else. FCC has said so more than once.
>
> There is no need to "preserve" morse code skill for any
> national reason.

Yes, there is. It's part of the communications heritage. Does that justify mandatory testing? Of course not.

> Real emergency communications use
> non-CW modes.

They also use CW. Does that justify mandatory testing? Of course not.

> The US government, military included,
> does not use OOK CW or morse codings for regular
> communications (sensitive comm is done by enciphered
> data).

The US government, military included, does not use radio for avocational purposes, either. The US government, military included, is

not the model upon which amateur radio should base itself.

N2EY

3293. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 13:50:11 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1602e0b23a4d85ed989689@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19487

In article <20010907004331.14611.00000055@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...
>
> When has amateur emergency communications by any mode
> been employed at any time in the last half century?

Less see..

There's the numerous nets coordinated by NOAA during hurricane season.
It was amateur radio that was used extensively after Hurricane Andrew.
Several years ago after the NE was hit by devastating ice storms, it was
amateur radio that helped coordinated repairs and provide needed
communications There are the numerous amateur nets during the recent
fires in the West. There was the amnateur nets used during the midwesy
floods in the early 90's

When the quake hit SF, it was amateur radio that helped get h&w into and
out of the affected areas

Well shit a fire Len..it was in all the papers. OH THAT'S RIGHT. You
don't read papers. If its not on the Sludeg Report, it doesn't happen.

Gee, I guess Len would have known all that. After all, he is the
electronics professional. So this must be his monthgly troll....

Leonard H. Anderson..the Art Bell of rrap or is that crap..I know
Len..more caca poopie mouth stuff

Live with it there old soldier...still waiting for you to just fadeeeee
away.

BTW, loved you in Planet of the Apes

Anonymous Troll
US Navy 1969-1972
Gunnery Officer

Graduate VPI 1968
Degree in Engineering

Delivered Cleveland Plain Dealer 1957-1963

Heights High School 1960-1964

More to follow (anything Len can do..I can do better) :-)

3294. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 13:56:41 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <8844c2699e0e91996f3e26410aa550c7@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 19488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Trilobite" <Anon@Anon.col> wrote in message news:9n9ilfch0@dosa.alt.net...
> Now, now. It is not nice to pick on Roger. He takes it to heart and often
> cries himself to sleep in his mommie's arms.

Har har har har..yew an idjuit...wif beater...cry yerself to sleep by cunt
lappin yer mommy. Or yer reatrded daughters...har har har har
Roger aint been postin ....Har har har har..se yew in court, clown.
Har har har har...

> "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
> news:1737f3e3795e87138aad9e1116f398df@houston-hunks.com...
> >
> > A. Melon wrote:
> >
> > : Har har har har..worried are yew??? har har har har
> >
> > Thanks for proving my point, idiot boy.

3295. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:00:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98D2F3.8CD560EC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19489

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > I am one who believes CW does have enough merits to merit
> > > testing for amateur radio operators such as to require them to initially
> > > learn to use it.
> >
> > But you don't think CW has enough merits that hams will want to
> > learn to use it without being forced to do so.
>
> No I don't think that, I *know* it, based on volumous evidence of the
> past decades.

Tsk, tsk, Dick, you are pushing a mode that you think nobody wants
to learn. If CW doesn't have enough merits to survive in a free market
of modes, then it doesn't deserve to survive. I believe it will survive
without a federal affirmative action program. I'm sorry you have so
little faith in your favorite mode.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3296. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:05:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98D428.1930B471@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19490

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil i woulda thought that you'd know that any circle is an infinite
> number of straight lines joined end to end.

Again, Dick, that is a concept. It is impossible to draw an infinite
number of straight lines. Man, as a conceptual animal, has many
models of reality that may or may not bear any resemblance to
reality. When you lose track of that fact, you lose track of
reality and your model becomes your (possibly invalid) reality.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3297. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:14:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98D62C.C9A15449@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19491

Dick Carroll wrote:
> But you already know that
> virtually every manufactured HF ham rig has CW mode included as a
> standard feature, making it present in every ham shack on theplanet with
> HF capability. You also know that it will "get through" long after voice
> moded are down in the noise. So what's your point?

The point is that if CW/Morse is important enough to require an
affirmative action testing program from the feds then it is
important enough to require that communications equipment also
exist and to require periodic testing to ensure that hams are
still up to par. As it stands now, nothing is ensured by a 5wpm
code test except that a ham once passed a 5wpm code test. It has
turned into nothing more than an initiation ritual.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3298. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:21:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98D7E2.547004A7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19494

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > It is
> > readily apparent that you feel you will be losing power if the Morse code
> > requirement is dropped. It's the same thing that happened when the slaves
> > were freed and women were granted the right to vote.
>
> I sit here reading that response and laughing outloud.

Remember, the previously oppressed victims usually get the last laugh.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

3299. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:22:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907102213.06052.00000322@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19492

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I shsould have known that you're not a CW op, jsut a ham.

You talking to me, Dick? I am about 99% CW in my operation.

>So instead of sending you a bunch of lists you wouldn't know anything about
nor
>understand, I'll just ZMG.

So instead of sending me or Kim something we won't understand, you will send us
something we won't understand.

Sorry, I have never heard Z codes in use in the Amateur Radio Service. Can you
give me a frequency where I might find some this evening?

>> > I don't think I have ever heard them in use on the ham bands.

>Ah. So they're out.

What do you mean, "out?" If you think that when I say that I have never heard
them on use on the bands that I believe they should not be permitted, or that
they are not useful, you are mistaken.

Let's arrange a secret code between us, Dick, and if I want to say that
something should not be permitted on the bands, instead of saying that I have
never heard it used on the bands, just between you and me, I will say "I do not
think it should be permitted ont he bands."

>Of course, tehis is merely a hobby, not a serious
>communications effort. I should have had that in mind.

If you want to think that Amateur Radio does not have its serious
communications aspects, you are welcome to do so, but I strongly disagree.

> > I have never heard them in use outside formal traffic handling, but that
>> is an
>> > example. Most hams, DX or otherwise, don't use them.

> Yep. Lots of things happen in emergencies that are not routine. Every
>ARRL log book I ever saw had a list of ARL Numbered Radiograms in the
>back. Ever see one?

Yes, often.

> I wonder what they were put there for.

They were put there for use in formal message handling traffic, Dick. To
repeat what I said,I have never heard them in use outside of formal message

handling. If I am wrong, can you give me a frequency where I can hear them in use in areas other than formal mesage handling?

>> > Those abbreviations were abbreviations of English words.

> Do you actually think that hams of other languages don't have a list
>too?of UNIVERSDALLY RECOGNIZED prosigns, shortened words, speed tips?
>Are you really that uninformed on the history of the use of CW as a
>traffic handling method?

What ARE you talking about, Dick. To help keep you on track, my comment was in reference to a thread about whether CW could be used to communicate with people who do not speak each other's language. I just can't see how the fact that people who speak other languages have a "Phillips" code of their own relates to that. Other than the use of Q codes (or their equivalent), can you explain how the fact that people who use other languages can use their Phillips code to communicate with those who do not speak that language. If you think I am misinformed, here is an opportunity for you to teach me.

Did you miss something in the earlier threads, or are you not remembering what the topic of this discussion actually is?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>> >
>> > >Additionally,
>> > >English
>> > >has long been recognized as the most universal language for
>> > >international radio communications, and many opertors in other nations
>> > >quickly learned enough English to use it routinely for
>> > >radiocommunications.
>> >
>> > Well, yeah, but we WERE talking about communicating between people that
>> don't
>> > share the same language, Dick. Now you say that communicating between
>> people
>> > who don't know the same language is possible if they both know enough
>> English
>> > to get by?
>
>
> THAT is an *added* benefit, Ed, that foreign CW ops knew would be to
>their benefit, whether or not they ever becane fluent in English. Make
>note of that if you please, so that you don't get confused about it too
>often in the future.
>
>

>> >
>> > >Sorry if many American hams don't know that.
>> >
>> > And I'm sorry that you don't know that English is a language, Dick.
>
> It is becoming more and more clear that you merely pay lip service to
>anyhthing related to the actual use of Morse as a trffic handling
>method. I'm not surprised.
>
>
>
>
>
>

3300. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:22:15 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <a6lhpto3t4rce12ick5i8of414cjj46gll@4ax.com>
Source record: 19497

On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 18:28:40 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N0YM wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B96FAC3.9D3B01BF@IEEE.org>...
>> > N0YM wrote:
>> > > I believe the main (legitimate) reason for the existence of government
>> > > is to accomplish things that need to be accomplished as a society.
>> >
>> > Like the Holocaust?
>>
>> I did say "legitimate", i.e. democratic.
>
>Hitler was legally elected by a democratic plurality.
>Be careful what you wish for.

That "plurality" was 37%, and the opposition among the other 63% was
generally strong. Furthermore, by modern standards, Germany was
hardly a strong democracy at the time, and had little democratic
tradition or culture. Further, their constitution contained a number
of fatal flaws (e.g. Article 48), several members of the government
had little devotion to democracy and were actively plotting to
overthrow it, and don't forget Hitler enjoyed quite a string of luck,
as well.

But even letting the point pass, all I've stated is the general point
that the purpose of legitimate government is to do what society needs
doing as a unit. It's a tool; like any tool, it may be abused. The
prevention of those abuses, of course, is why we have checks and
balances, separation of powers, a strong, proven constitution, etc.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3301. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:23:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907102311.06052.00000323@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19493

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Now make darn sure you find a way to excuse his faux paux.

And you make darned sure that you don't...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3302. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:28:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98D98E.32AA485F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19496

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?
> > >
> > >"Full break-in"? Is that where you use a relay instead of one toggle
> > >switch for receive and another toggle switch for transmit?
>
> It's where the rig shifts from receive to transmit automatically when the key
> is down, and from transmit to receive when the key is up. Also referred to as
> "QSK". Been around since the thirties.

It was a joke, Jim. I was recalling the method I used for switching
from receive on a Hallicrafters S53A to transmit on a Globe Scout
in the early 50s.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3303. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:30:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103050.06052.00000324@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19495

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it
>over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over
>the past couple years, and that is very encouraging.

Yes, there has been, Dick, and if I can continue to work on it, I would like to see more. I have been only one of many working towards improvements, but some of that improvement is as a direct result of my input to the ARRL team that works on ARRL's submissions to the QPC. That, btw, is the "real" Newington attitude, NOT the one you are trying to convince everybody exists...

Feel bad about telling everybody that I should be using my position at ARRL to effect improvements in the question pools?

> I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it
>over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over
>the past couple years,

Are you really telling us that you were saying all of those things you said about a question pool you had not even seen?

I couldn't imagine how you could have been saying the things you have been saying about the current tests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3304. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:32:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103202.06052.00000325@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19498

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Dripschittfrench:

You demean yourself, Larry, and everything you think you stand for...

Your choice, I suppose.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3305. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:33:25 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103325.06052.00000326@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19499

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Nope, sure isn't. It's dong the minimum necessary to insure that it is
>actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise
>that would result from being "rolled into" the written.

I didn't look at the date/time stamp on your earlier post. Was this said
before or after you told us that you had just actually looked at the written
question pools?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3306. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:35:30 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103530.06052.00000327@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19500

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>BTW, Larry, guess you didn't hear - the FCC says Morse code skill
>has nothing to do with "dumbing-down". All Morse code skill has to do
>with is Morse code skill.

Maybe Larry thinks the FCC is being "HQ" too...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3307. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:36:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103609.06052.00000328@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19401

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> That one isn't rocket science- it;s because it IS differenc from other
>modes. One has to actually learn it.

I think that Morse code is memorized.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3308. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:37:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98DBC5.F3AB41A2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19404

N0YM wrote:
> But even letting the point pass, all I've stated is the general point
> that the purpose of legitimate government is to do what society needs
> doing as a unit.

What happens when the "needs" of society clash with the inalienable
rights of the citizens? A democratic group once decided that Socrates
"needed" to drink Hemlock. Be careful what you wish for.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3309. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:38:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103850.06298.00000277@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19402

>Subject: Re: Anon posters
>From: dynamicelectroni@aol.com (Dynamicelectroni)
>Date: 9/6/01 8:15 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906201548.20662.00000645@mb-fo.aol.com>

>Your only goal by knowing anything about me is to try and Bully me.

Yeah. Right.

>Read what I
>am saying, Dan Spivey means nothing about my character, just as much as I
>could
>care less who Steve Robeson is
>I cannot see who you are this is a computer.

Well, I agree that "Dan Spivey" means nothing here.

As for "Steve Robeson", other than what transpires here, you CAN learn a bit from me from the web. Just as I do about Cecil, Ed, and others. Try qrz.com.

By the way, what's that call, Dan?

>were you trying to get a rise from him when you
>called him a coward?
>
Nope. Trying to get him to be a man and put his name to his comments.

The assertion about the incident in Florida is not germane to the arguments about "security" here.

The "ramming" incident occured when the person being "DF'ed became aware he was a target of the search. It was nothing of the sort of some innocent being stalked. This creep was the radio terrorist. That the guy doing the DFing got dinged by him is a hazard you ahve to expect if you are hunting two-legged foxes.

So for now...nuff said. If you don't want to tell us any moe about you, I have to assume that "Dan Spivey" is a pseudonym an you too are in the Anonymous category. You are not a licensed Ham...not by that name anyway.

Steve

3310. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:39:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907103954.06052.00000329@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19403

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However,
>it does not mean I have to respect your statement that the accomplishments
>of one ham over another's don't amount to a hill of beans.

Of course one ham's accomplishments can be more than another's. The
accomplishments of passing the tests are certainly noteworthy. But the
accomplishments of most hams who become active are so much more than the one
they achieved when they passed the tests that THOSE are the more important
goals, IMHO>

>My own accomplishments as a ham are probably farily modest in
>comparison to yours, but I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
>Extra implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
>license class and my own have the same designation

I agree; his or her being equal or unequal are not very much related to the
tests you both took. There may be some hope for you, Larry. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3311. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:43:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B98DCFD.DB83502A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19407

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it
> >over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over
> >the past couple years,
>
> Are you really telling us that you were saying all of those things you said
> about a question pool you had not even seen?

You think a know-it-all should know something??? Ignorance is bliss.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3312. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:43:59 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907104359.06052.00000330@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19405

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>I've read past postings (the last four weeks in one sitting,
>remember?) and seen a lot of folks claiming they could do
>any number of things. :-)

Then you missed the one where he said that his point is that people can't pass the tests he took because they are no longer being offered.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3313. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:44:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907104422.06298.00000278@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19406

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/7/01 12:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010907004326.14611.00000050@nso-mj.aol.com>

>There's no "and" in there, Davie. I am a professional.

"WAS" is the appropriate adjective, lennie.

>I don't have a "Laz-E-Boy," boy.

In this you are correct...You ARE the "Laz-E-Boy".

>Had you listened you would
>have heard me on 20 m this year...third party, of course,
>would dream of being (gasp) illegal before all you radio cops.

Had you been a man of your word you would be signing your own callsign by
now.

We didn't believe THAT either, Lennie.

SJR

3314. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:46:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907104602.06052.00000331@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19408

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I had 230,000 miles on my straight-6 Ford pickup when my
>daughter totaled it. I had never even had the valve cover
>off the motor.

The motor is the only original part on the GMC. :-) The Subaru is still going strong, though the ring blowby is starting to get a bit strong. Time to spend an afternoon and put in a boneyard motor. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3315. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:50:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907105054.06052.00000332@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19409

From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com

>What really counts about car quality is not where it's built but the
>attitude of the company, both management and workers.

Well, I think that the factory has long since lost interest in my >10-year-old
vehicles. :-)

Now, between the two, I think that this URL says that GM wins the contest hands
down as far as hams are concerned:

http://service.gm.com/techlineinfo/radio.html

In my last trip to Detroit I convinced GM to put them up on the Web. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3316. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:52:49 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907105249.06052.00000333@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19410

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>Len Anderson is retired from the electronics field. At one point in time
>he even wrote a few quickly forgotten pieces in a long-since defunct radio
>magazine.

Now, be honest, Steve ... did you actually read them before you pronounced them "quickly forgotten?" I did and I think they were pretty good.

I also disagree with "long-since defunct." Those articles are still in "print" today.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3317. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:56:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907105618.06298.00000280@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19411

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/7/01 12:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010907004331.14611.00000055@nso-mj.aol.com>

>When has amateur emergency communications by any mode
>been employed at any time in the last half century?

Just when I get to the point where I swear to myself that I will try and restrain myself from abrasive adjectives, Lennie, you make a really stupid post. This was an assinine question.

If you were trying to make any other point, you, at the very least, worded it poorly. As for the answer, why don't you ask the FCC? They have not only made several declarations of emergency communications ON the Amatuer bands, they have cited people for violating it.

Seems THEY not only expect but promote those "emergency communications" you claim are not necessary or carried out in the Amateur Service.

Of course, someone with no practical experience or daily exposure to REALITY would know these things.....

>Is US amateur radio structured to be primarily some kind of
>emergency communications system or is it non-professional
>radio with a wide scope of activity and primarily for avocational
>purposes?

Since thefirst paragraph of the FCC's own basis and Purpose of The Amateur Radio Service states "emergency communications", it seems to me that THEY are setting the stage, Lennie.

Maybe you should insist that they rewrite federal code to jive with your jive?

>The federal (Part 97) statement about a "pool of trained radio
>operators" for national welfare doesn't say anything about
>"CW more effective than SSB" or any other mode

Neither does the above comments on emergency communications. YOUR question was : >When has amateur emergency communications by any mode >been employed at any time in the last half century?

The answer is almost every day.

24/7....365....etc etc etc...

And all without YOU!

Steve, K4YZ

3318. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 14:57:55 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907105755.06052.00000334@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19412

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Telling people that CW does not have enough value that it will be used in
the
>> Amateur Radio Service without testing does not even support continued
testing.

> Ed can't you get *anything* right? That isn't what I said or even
>close.

Can I infer, then, tyat you believe that CW will continue to be viable in the Amateur Radio Service without testing?

> I said that there will have too few CW operators for it to remain
>as a viable communications mode. When only one ham in a thouosand can
>work CW that is the way it will be.

If you continue to tell potential CW operators that the mode does not have enough value that no one will want to learn it unless forced to do so to obtain HF priveleges, then you are creating a self-fulfilling prophecy. I am sure you don't intend it that way, but, IMHO, that will be the end result nonetheless.

> So that is the Newington attitude. I see. (Now don't tell us that it is
>ONLY your opinion, you've already said your bosses know you wouldn't say
>anything that goes against ARRL policy).

The current ARRL policy is that ARRL supports the retention of Morse code testing in the US regs to obtain HF access.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3319. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:02:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907110215.06052.00000337@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19413

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You are a fraud, Ed. But you already know that.

Nonsense. I am quite sincere in my belief that because it is almost certain that Morse code testing will go away, that the things being said now in an attempt to retain Morse code testing will make it less likely that future hams will want to learn the mode and give it a try. For the life of me, I can't see why you think it best to say things that tell them that Morse code is not useful enough to survive without a test. Nothing fraudulent there, Dick -- that is exactly what you say.

>So pay your lip service and keep up the smiley face, you know the
>outcome of this as well as anyone.

Yes, we do know the outcome, Dick -- the FCC has pretty much told us that it thinks the ONLY reason to keep Morse code testing is that the treaty requires it. With that in mind, I emphasize the value and utility of Morse code to remind new hams that there is a good reason to learn it. Some of those that believe my words will do so. Unless, of course, they believe your words that I am a fraud and that the mode does not have enough value to be worth learning on its own.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3320. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:04:02 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907110402.06052.00000338@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19414

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Calling him a troll and telling him he acts like a child is an insult, too.
>> Having your own problem with personal frustrations? :-)

>Dang, Ed, you're getting pretty good at sorting out all the insults. You
>be the Insult Poleece??

Nope, but those that offer words in a public forum can expect others to comment
on those words.

You be the Freedom of Speech poleece?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3321. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:06:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907110608.06298.00000281@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19415

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/7/01 10:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010907105249.06052.00000333@mb-cp.aol.com>

>Now, be honest, Steve ... did you actually read them before you pronounced
>them
>"quickly forgotten?" I did and I think they were pretty good

Yes, actually, when his author status had been revealed I looked for copies
of HR to verify this.

And yes, I say "quickly forgotten". If it were not for his insistent
ranting about it, no one here would have ever remembered them or him.

>I also disagree with "long-since defunct." Those articles are still in
>"print"
>today

Yes, the articles are still archived and available at almost any junk pile
at any hamfest for $0.25 a copy.

"Ham Radio" magazine, as a periodical, has been defunt for a decade now.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3322. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:07:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907110721.06052.00000341@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19416

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>and some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
>>so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
>>the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of
>>trained CW ops to help the nation."

>Their premise is that CW use within the Aamteur bands will be of national
>value when it handles emergency traffic when conditions on an HF circuit
>deteriorate to the point where SSB is not effective.

And that premise is exactly correct; when CW is used for emergency communications within the Amateur Radio Service under adverse conditions, it does have value. I think Len took that to mean that learning Morse code has value outside of the Amateur Radio Service, as it once did.

Now, am I allowed to note that Len's use of the term "ding dong" was a gratuitous insult, or would that be Poleecing too much?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3323. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:08:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907110817.06298.00000282@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19417

>Subject: Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???
>From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
>Date: 9/6/01 8:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906201451.07335.00000280@mb-ba.news.cs.com>

>
>I'd have to suggest the new Ten-Tec 2/6m offering at just $695.00 + tax, just
>MHO

Ditto.

Steve, K4YZ

3324. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:12:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907111250.06298.00000284@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19418

>Subject: Re: They Can't Answer
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/6/01 8:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010906200310.17865.00000042@nso-ck.aol.com

>
>Nope. I have QSK capability in one rig. Works great - can hear a breaking
>station betwen dits at 40 wpm

My soon-to-be retired FT757GX II starts clipping characters if I get much past 25 WPM in QSK. It was a grand little rig, but now she's down for the count.

Maybe I can sell it to Lennie so he can SWL us...?!?!

73

Steve

3325. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:13:16 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907111316.06052.00000343@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19419

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> don't know, you are ther why don't you ask someone there?

Actually, Dick, I am not in Newington; I am in Los Angeles.

>I am one who believes CW does have enough merits to merit
>testing for amateur radio operators such as to require them to initially
>learn to use it. Evidently you and the rest of Newington just can't see
>it.

"The rest of Newington?" Do you believe that all 100+ of the staff at HQ have the exact same opinions of Amateur Radio as I do?

>You know as well as anyone that most people who aren't required to
>learn it won't, thus will never know beyond anectdotally what it can do.

Just like most people who take the tests are learning 1000+ questions without understanding, eh?

And when the FCC removes the tests, "anecdotally" are all that we will have to promote the mode, Dick. What "anecdote" will they read in your words that the mode does not have enough value to survive without testing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3326. Re: Anon posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:15:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907111527.06052.00000344@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 19420

From: "Amish Man" amish@home.com

>Whos hiding, what is Steve gonna come beat me up haha.. It is ok for him to
>act like an ass but not anyone else.

Okay, as long as you admit that you are acting like an ass, I think that I will
let it go.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3327. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:15:47 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907111547.24200.00000366@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 19421

>Got what? I donut follow this thread. Please talk about H. A. M. radio in
>this chatroom.
>
>--
What makes you think we are not if you don't get it. Connie Lingus may be just
another mode of cummunications Like PSK 31, RTTY, SSTV, GVHED 69

3328. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:20:59 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1602f5f51bb202e098968a@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19422

In article <20010907110817.06298.00000282@mb-fu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
says...
> >Subject: Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???
> >From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
> >Date: 9/6/01 8:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010906201451.07335.00000280@mb-ba.news.cs.com>
>
> >
> >I'd have to suggest the new Ten-Tec 2/6m offering at just $695.00 + tax, just
> >MHO
>
> Ditto.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

For that and a few $$$'s more, you can get an Icom 706 with 40watts
2m...100 watts 6 and HF and for a couple more $$$ you can get a MkIIG and
add 440 as well

3329. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 15:23:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907112354.06258.00000314@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 19423

>Subject: Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???
>From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
>Date: 9/7/01 11:20 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.1602f5f51bb202e098968a@news.earthlink.net>

>For that and a few $$$'s more, you can get an Icom 706 with 40watts
>2m...100 watts 6 and HF and for a couple more $$$ you can get a MkIIG and
>add 440 as well

I agree that you can have "all that" and more, however there are still benefits to having "seperate" rigs. Try to monitor 50.125 while working split on 40 with that 706...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3330. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:08:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907120848.20655.00000712@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19424

> As it stands now, nothing is ensured by a 5wpm
>code test except that a ham once passed a 5wpm code test. It has
>turned into nothing more than an initiation ritual.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Same as the written it proves nothing and should go away

> As it stands now, nothing is ensured by a 5wpm
>code test except that a ham once passed a 5wpm code test. It has
>turned into nothing more than an initiation ritual.
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
>
>
>
>
>
>

3331. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:15:01 UTC
From: paul harris <paul@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80A675003@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 19425

Amateur licensing causes so much ill will, I think that the ARS should just be abolished. Then the spectrum could be auctioned off and fill the coffers of the US govt. It would be one less thing for y'all to complain about.

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010907120848.20655.00000712@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > As it stands now, nothing is ensured by a 5wpm
> >code test except that a ham once passed a 5wpm code test. It has
> >turned into nothing more than an initiation ritual.
> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
>
> Same as the written it proves nothing and should go away
>
> > As it stands now, nothing is ensured by a 5wpm
> >code test except that a ham once passed a 5wpm code test. It has
> >turned into nothing more than an initiation ritual.
> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
> >
> >
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3332. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:30:16 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B98F618.3A874043@iastate.edu>
Source record: 19426

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
>
> I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it
> over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over
> the past couple years, and that is very encouraging. To the point that
> it looks like my concerns have been pretty well addressed. Ed posted
> some of the new questions here a couple days age, and indeed this type
> of question format would be nearly impossible to sccessfully acquire the
> license without a fair understanding of the material, at least on
> questions of that type.

Unfortunately, I don't have a frame of reference for the tests like some people here; the only FCC-administered test I ever took was the novice.

From my perspective, though, the current question pools seem pretty thorough.

And a side note: I'll be VE-ing my first test session tomorrow. I'll relate how it goes (approximate pass rate, etc.).

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email to reply

3333. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:40:32 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B98F880.ED1E5285@iastate.edu>
Source record: 19429

WA8ULX wrote:

> >I am lucky that in the club I am
> >involved with
> >we do not have any old hams like them.
>
> You need to get out from that little Club your in and talk to some Real Hams,
> you might find things a little different.

A category you obviously think you epitomize. In that case, I am SO glad the only place I've run into "Real Hams" has been on this newsgroup.

You go on congratulating yourself on being a "Real Ham", Bruce. At least that's an activity that keeps you out of trouble, since you've shown no interest in improving the situation you constantly decry. And most of the rest of us will simply continue enjoying amateur radio (oh, sorry: "CB-plus", right?).

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email to reply

3334. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:42:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109070842.55f776b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19427

queequeg@quahog.com (Your name) wrote in message
news:<Xns911463B17699Amyemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>...
> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in <3B9687D5.C0B6207C@uci.edu>:
> >
> >Your name wrote:
> >> WA1HLR has provided many hours of entertainment and information since
> >> the early 70's.
> >
> >How entertaining and informative could belches and farts be?
>
> Not very. I didn't laugh at the bean scene in Blazing Saddles either.

I thought that scene was a classic, but I'm a Mel Brooks fan from way
back. However, I don't think such a scene belongs on the ham bands.
Neither does the FCC.

> However, during 30+ years as a SWL I heard Tim and his AM gang discuss the
> following: Boatanchors and their ideosynchrasies, modulation, PA's,
> various tubes, antennas, smith charts, rig mods and homebrewing, etc...

I've heard those discussions, and participated in them too.

Oddly enough, Riley is a BA and AM fan, with a nice collection of old
gear. He and the amateur in question actually met at Dayton 2000.
(This was reported in an article in Electric Radio, complete with
picture).

The amateur in question is very knowledgeable from a technical
standpoint, and shares his technical knowledge with the amateur
community. His on-air conduct is another matter, though. Does
technical know-how excuse offensive behavior on the air? I don't think
so.

Some folks argue "free speech" and condemn FCC "censorship". They
argue that since certain words, sounds, jokes or subject matter are
heard on Oprah, Ally McBeal or Howard Stern, they should be allowed on
the ham bands. The FCC sees it differently.

Broadcasters operate on published schedules, so the listening/watching
public can know ahead of time what is on the air, and avoid things
they may find offensive. In addition, broadcasters know in a general
way who is listening and complaining from the ratings services. Their
defense goes something like this: "The ratings say that X million
people watched our show last night, and the FCC got Y complaints about
it. That works out to 1 person in Z complaining." Z is usually a huge
number.

The ham bands are a completely different thing. The questions are these:

Does this sort of on-air behavior help or hurt the ARS?
Would you want tapes of this stuff played to the delegates at a radio conference where the future of amateur frequency allocations are decided?
Would you want prospective hams, particularly young ones and their parents, to hear this stuff?

> They made QRO AM sound like fun. I only do QRP CW. Go figure.

I used to do a bit of AM. Had a decent setup, but some of the behavior I heard on 75 AM was so embarrassing that I don't do it any more. Got rid of the gear and got more CW stuff.

CW is so much nicer that I'm mostly on that mode.

73 de Jim, N2EY
FISTS #4360
K2 #2084

3335. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:45:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9natah$1ck$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19433

----- Original Message -----
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2001 10:49 PM
Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > You also said the war had nothing to do with slavery.

> You are lying, i.e. making an inaccurate statement. I said the
> civil war was not about slavery, it was about economics, i.e.
> it was bigger than just slavery.

I am not lying. You are. I simply paraphrased your words ("not about slavery") using the words 'nothing to do with slavery'. I intended the phrases to have equivalent meaning. To me, they do. "Not about slavery" tells me you believe that slavery was not an issue in the war, and therefore had nothing to do with the cause. I don't think it's fair for me to be cast as a liar when you did not convey the idea you intended to. Inflamatory exaggerations, which you are prone to make, have a tendancy to do that.

3336. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:47:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9natcr$1cp$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19430

----- Original Message -----
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2001 10:37 PM
Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > But there are 3 dimensional images of it that
> > prove it is not flat.
>
> I'm not arguing that it is flat. I'm arguing that our perceptions
> are not adequate to prove that it is not flat.

Yes, except for the fact that if it were flat, the horizon would not be
curved - as I've said repeated, and you continue to ignore.

> > The curvature of the Earth can be seen in a 2 dimentional image.

> That's a completely riduculous statement, Jim. Back to the 2-D
> photo. You are "seeing" a dimension that isn't there. Your
> conceptual mind is filling in the blanks.

No. The only thing that can be discerned from a two dimentional image is
that there IS curvature in at least one dimension.

> > Prove that the Earth is flat.

> I didn't say it was flat.

What are the other options??

> We assume it is not flat because people
> have been all around it and didn't find any edges. But that is a
> concept not a percept. Without our conceptual brains, our senses
> are inadequate for proving that the earth is not flat.

We do not rely on our senses to prove that the world is not flat. Nor did I
say we do. Do you still claim that it is not possible to see curvature of
the horizon from space?

3337. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:49:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907124948.23611.00000627@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 19432

>Amateur licensing causes so much ill will, I think that the ARS should just
>be abolished. Then the spectrum could be auctioned off and fill the coffers
>of the US govt. It would be one less thing for y'all to complain about.
>

I agree, lets put the Spectrum to good use, and at least make some money out of
it

3338. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 16:54:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9natpt$1i4$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19431

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9n9dkfgcd0@208.206.142.103...
> Jim, it isn't possible to issue a complete position paper with each
> post. Much of my viewpoint has been piecemealed into this NG over a
> fairly long period of time, as I think you know. I have stated
> repeatedly here that the problem I saw in the written tests isn't
> universal, rather the fact that easy access to licenses by people with
> no real interest in learning the material was something I, as a longtime
> VE, considered to be a potentially serious problem for the longterm
> future of the ARS. Ed, in his infinite wisdom, somehow managed to
> convert that to "You are bashing all newcomers" by stating that they all
> were fraudulantly licensed, or words to that effect. I don't think it
> should be too difficult to see why that upset me more than a little.
Dick,

You were somewhat indignant in your response, and it seemed to me you missed the point being made. Perhaps you read something into it that wasn't there. I tried to explain by way of elaboration. Nothing more than the point in my post was intended. Broad generalizations are made here on a regular basis. They are inaccurate. Good hams, and potentially good hams come into this service every day. Let us not forget that. Okay? Thanks.

73, Jim AC6XG

3339. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 17:03:25 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109070903.3a3f56b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19428

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B98119D.89C4F53A@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
> > Individual action won't undo the economic damage, ...
>
> "Economic damage" from allowing people to keep more of their
> hard-earned money? In the hands of indivuduals, some of that
> money is multiplied into additional capital. In the hands of
> the government, it is used to shoot down private planes with
> missionaries and children in them.

"Money that government touches spontaneously combusts, destroying the
economy. Money retained by individuals grows the economy, even if
literally burnt."

From M. Huben's "Libertarianism in One Lesson"

Regards,
Jonathan

3340. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 17:37:10 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109070937.76f1607e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19434

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B98099C.8DB5428B@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
> > Well, that and I've read that there is a good deal of controversy over
> > how much economic good a tax cut targetted to the wealthy will do.
>
> The tax cut was NOT targetted at the wealthy. It was targetted at the
> people who were going to pay the taxes in the first place no matter
> what their income. You apparently want some people to get an unfair
> share of tax money back, i.e. you want something for nothing.

We've been over this, Cecil. *Every quality analysis* of the entire
tax cut package shows that the cuts give the wealthiest Americans more
than the share they actually pay, to say nothing of the share they
actually need. Your assersion above is simply false.

See:
http://www.cbpp.org/5-26-01tax2.htm
http://www.ctj.org/html/gwblater.htm

>
> As long as the money gets spent or saved, it doesn't matter to the
> overall economy's health who gets it back. The wealthy have lost
> billions of dollars in the stock market. Don't you think they have
> been punished enough?

With all that "punishment" going on, I take it, then, that you'd
rather be poor than rich in this country? Certainly sounds like a
better deal to me.

Regards,
Jonathan

3341. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 18:05:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109071005.205be639@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19435

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3b98a46d_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0109061312.1e87108a@posting.google.com...
> > "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
> news:<3b96e133_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
> > > No offense intended, it just really seems a lot easier to say (with
> voice)
> > > hello and move on to the next signal... :)
> > >
> > Actually, it works out to be faster and easier on CW, once the ops
> > have a bit of skill.

(typical QSO removed to save space)

> > Which mode is easier is really a matter of perceived effort. With a
> > good CW rig that has QSK, all the op has to do is wiggle the bug and
> > write in the log.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> No, no, no. I didn't mean to make you think that I don't realize the
> benefit of CW! I certainly do.

Ah, of course. Understood. Thanks for clarifying.

> What I meant by it is just easier is: it is
> easier to stay with what I already know than to spend the time learning CW
> to any proficiency. :)

OK - no problem!

It comes down to whether someone wants to make the "investment" of the
time/effort to develop proficiency in something in order to get the
benefits. Like whether it's worth the time for the two-finger
hunt-and-peck typist to learn touch typing. Or whether it's worthwhile
to learn how to play a musical instrument when one can simply play a
CD or tape.

In my case, the investment in CW has paid off many many times over. On
FD, for example, the "effort" to get half-decent CW skills has
resulted in the "benefits" of lots of QSOs and points.

And that's how the mode needs to be "sold".

--

One reason many new (and not-so-new) hams are perplexed by the code is that they have not seen it in action. Most demos use voice, as do most of the all-too-few depictions of ham radio in the media. When CW is shown, the depictions are often fleeting and/or wildy inaccurate. Many larger hamfests include demo stations showing modes like APRS, PSK31, and even good old AM. Why not a CW demo setup?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3342. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 18:16:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109071016.7e6a33ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19436

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<9Qbl7.14476$ZJ.320842@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...

> Third, I will NEVER, NEVER,
> EVER own a foreign car even if it is made right here--especially those of
> Japan. Before you accuse me of racism, it has nothing to do with
> nationality,
> rather I seem to remember a certain battleship sitting on the bottom of
> Pearl
> Harbor. There were friends of my family that died there.

I guess Hiroshima, Nagasaki and the fire raids of Tokyo (which killed
even more Japanese than the nukes) weren't payback enough?

btw, all of those responsible for the Pearl Harbor attack are either
dead or very elderly.

Did you know that EVERY Japanese ship involved in the Pearl Harbor
attack was sunk before the end of WW2?

> I will not
> dishonor
> their memory by buying a Honda, Nissan, Toyota, or Mitsubishi! That's how
> I feel, and there's no changing it!

Can you say the same thing about all of your ham rigs, all of your
consumer electronics, and all of your cameras?
>
> I have driven GM, both auto and stick, since 1966, particularly Chevrolet.
> I
> have NEVER had any issues with them or other GM make. I have a Chevrolet
> station wagon I bought in 1987 new. Oh, yes, it died at 199.468 miles, but
> not thru fault of its own. A spark plug dropped an electrode down in the
> cylinder
> and caused it to bypass oil and lose compression. It will still start and
> run, and I intend to replace the engine and keep going. At the point it
> suffered this incident, it was running fine--no clicks, ticks, no operating
> difficulties whatso-
> ever. It was running just as well as the day I drove it off the lot. Every
> Chevy I have ever owned has given me great service with few mechanical
> problems and reliability right up there with the best of them.
>
I have had a '94 Saturn for four years now - GREAT CAR! Manual
transmission, of course.

> This weekend, I was talking with another vendor at a hamfest who had just
> bought a new Toyota truck. The owners' manual says NOT to put any radio
> equipment in it whatsoever without consulting the dealer. It will set off
> the air
> bags!! He contacted the dealer, who didn't know how to correct this. The
> dealer called the tech rep for Toyota and HE doesn't know. Meanwhile, he is
> stuck. I see Chevrolet and GM vehicles, and of course, Ford and Chrysler,
> with amateur equipment in them all the time.

Depending on the model and the installation, ALL modern cars can have
RF related problems. It's the electronics.
>
> Inferior American vehicles--I don't THINK so!

Yup - 'specially since so many foreign-name cars are actually made
here!

73 de Jim, N2EY

never owned a non-American piece of ham gear - licensed since 1967

3343. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 18:42:07 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <d65iptciflmjngci4324hirq692n41spn3@4ax.com>
Source record: 19437

On 7 Sep 2001 11:16:09 -0700, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>Did you know that EVERY Japanese ship involved in the Pearl Harbor
>attack was sunk before the end of WW2?

I know all six of the aircraft carriers were sunk, but do you mean all
support ships too? I find that hard to believe.

Bill, W7TI

3344. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 18:46:42 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <qe5ipts6ra7jtul6hao098d6bhsqk7rlfn@4ax.com>
Source record: 19438

On 7 Sep 2001 04:10:13 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> I shsould have known that you're not a CW op, jsut a ham.So

---

Spend time on RTTY, learn to type! :-)

Bill, W7TI

3345. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:08:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109071208.6f1bda88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19439

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message
news:<20010906193151.28147.00000340@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net
>
> >When I can drive an American car, consistently get 100,000+ miles, not be
> >stranded, not have recurring mechanical failures, and get comfortable, all
> >round
> >service, I don't NEED to drive anything else.
>
> Both my '78 GMC pickup and my 89 Subaru are approaching 200,000 miles.

Lessee - 200,000 on a 23 year old pickup works out to maybe 8700
miles/year....

The mileage on a vehicle isn't always a good indicator. Highway
mileage on good roads is very easy on a car, while stop-and-go city
miles on potholed streets is very rough service.

A better indicator would be hours run, like on aircraft.

100,000 miles of highway driving at an average speed of 50 mph is only
2000 hours. 100,000 miles of city driving at an average speed of 10
mph (lots of time at stoplights) is 10,000 hours. BIG difference.

Another factor is climate.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3346. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:09:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109071209.4e94328b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19440

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B9791C0.CA9EC82C@IEEE.org>...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Where in Hades did anyone **ever** suggest that CW be given *priority*
> > in ANYTHING????
>
> Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
> a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????

Nope. Just complying with the international treaty.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3347. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:09:44 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B992988.15ECB990@uci.edu>
Source record: 19441

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Wrong. I know it's round because they show it on TV shots from the
> > space shuttle. To say nothing about the Appollo flights.
>
> The TV screen is 2-dimensional, Dick, and a 2-dimensional image
> *proves* absolutely nothing.

Dick said "round". How many dimensions do you need to draw a circle?

3348. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:30:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907163027.23913.00000092@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19446

In article <9f4bfe89.0109070540.6a0a9da8@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20010904225633.07922.00003276@nso-cr.aol.com>...

>> There is
>> everything wrong with a government body requiring a test in
>> that singular communications skill in order to obtain a
>> license when the agency does not specifically require its
>> use..
>
>Illogical. It is common for govt. agencies to require tests for things
>whose use is not mandated.

Incorrect. What is illogical is for any government to mandate a test for some activity that is not itself mandated.

The FCC does not mandate that morse code modes be used by radio amateurs over and above any other mode. US amateurs are given the option of using any allocated mode...or not using them.

>For example, most driving tests require that the person being tested
>demonstrate the ability to parallel park, even though there is no
>requirement to park that way "in real life".

Heh heh...try parking diagonally in a parallel-parking area or vice-versa. :-)

>Graduation from high school in most places requires passing grades in
>all subjects, even though there is no requirement that the subjects be
>used by the graduate, and many of the subjects are required, not
>elective. Education standards are set by government bodies.

That paragraph is mixing avocados and orangutangs. Now write on the blackboard 100 times:

"An amateur radio license test is NOT an academic achievement test."

What's more, the commercial radio operator license tests are not academic achievement tests.

High school graduation tests ARE academic achievement tests. There's a big big difference, not only in accreditation but in who sets the standards, format, content, and recognition. If you go into the academic testing (which is not a relevant subject for

this newsgroup) you will find that transferrence of "credits" and
academic achievements in one place is not automatic nor are
the test subjects common or even standardized. Between the
many many different academic accreditations is a very complex
interrelated group of different local and state government
agencies AND academic-professional associations operating
in a rather loose "standardization" by voluntary agreement.

The non-academic radio operator testing in the USA is set and
controlled by a single agency, the FCC.

>> Non-professional (amateur) radio is not a guild or union
>> based on an apprentice-journeyman-master ranking.
>
>Nonsense. Practically all human activities, both professional and
>avocational, involve various status levels.

Non-professional (amateur) radio is not a guild or union based
on apprentice-journeyman-master ranking. There is nothing
in the federal regulations for amateur radio that specifically
states "entry level" or "master"...only that different classes
have different privileges. Too many amateurs have come to
believe that amateur radio is a status thing complete with
class-consciousness, discrimination, and everything else that
goes along with the "I am better than you" thinking of those
with a "higher" class license looking down on "lesser" classes.

>> The
>> requirement of a morse code test is little more than a
>> hazing ritual to satisfy long-timers who had to learn it.
>
>Nope. Wrong. Off base.

Yes. Right. Very much ON base.

>The continued requirement of a morse code test
>for a license is the direct result of the ITU treaty requirements and
>nothing else. FCC has said so more than once.

Incorrect. While the FCC has said (in 1990 and 1999) that the
morse code test does not have any validity in determining the
FCC's need for licensing any amateur, the FCC has said nothing
about the "continued requirement" of a morse test. What the
FCC has said (in 1990 and 1999) was that the ITU-R
recommendations require an international morse code test
for any amateur license having operating privileges below
30 MHz. Those who set ITU-R recommendations are member
nation administrative representatives (State Dept., FCC, NTIA
in the USA). Those same adminstrations can change the
recommendations...and do.

>> There is no need to "preserve" morse code skill for any
>> national reason.

>
>Yes, there is. It's part of the communications heritage.

Spark is part of the "amateur communications heritage." It was
the very first transmitter means for radio amateurs. Yet spark
has been outlawed despite this "heritage."

>> Real emergency communications use
>> non-CW modes.
>
>They also use CW. Does that justify mandatory testing? Of course not.

Consult the Part 90 regulation statements and quote appropriate
regulatory language saying that Real emergency communications
use OOK CW or morse codings. REAL emergency
communicators ONCE "worked CW." Times have changed,
even for those with "heritage" complexes in Public Safety
communications.

>The US government, military included, does not use radio for
>avocational purposes, either.

Oh? What is/was MARS? Did MARS procedures forbid
amateur licensees from using MARS facilities for
avocational purposes? In that case, there have been
many, many "illegal" operations by licensed radio amateurs.

See also the voice and data facilities on land and sea for
private communications. It is in place in many installations
and ships today.

>The US government, military included, is
>not the model upon which amateur radio should base itself.

Partly true. US government radio operates by the same radio
physics as does commercial and amateur radio. Everyone
must share the electromagnetic spectrum as allocated by
their governments. Technology transferrence from US
government radio equipment to civilian radio use has been
common, particularly in the late 1940s to 1960s era of
"surplus."

However, in here there have been many, many statements by
amateur licensees that have based their experiences, skills,
and abilities obtained in the military...and using those as
"reasons" for maintenance of certain amateur modes such as
morse code. That is especially true of veterans who achieved
skill in morse code in the military and have tried to promote
its use in avocational civilian radio. [see some lengthy threads
in this newsgroup of three to four years past]

LHA

3349. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:30:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907163029.23913.00000094@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19442

In article <20010907104359.06052.00000330@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>I've read past postings (the last four weeks in one sitting,
>>remember?) and seen a lot of folks claiming they could do
>>any number of things. :-)
>
>Then you missed the one where he said that his point is that people can't
>pass the tests he took because they are no longer being offered.

Heh, didn't miss it at all. That statement stood out like a neon sign. I thought it was bragging at first...now I realize it was both bragging and a true statement. :-)

LHA

3350. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:30:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907163029.23913.00000093@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19445

In article <9f4bfe89.0109070540.6a0a9da8@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20010904225633.07922.00003276@nso-cr.aol.com>...
>>
>> Morse code has limited potential in communications beyond
>> emergencies (such as at sea, giving position and immediacy
>> of the emergency).
>
>Not true. Morse code has more than "limited potential in
>communications"/

Please point out any other radio communication service that uses morse code as a primary mode.

>> One can exchange RSTs and WX and
>> very limited communications of a personal nature using
>> morse but anything else requires a lengthy (far longer than
>> voice or data) session to exchange information.
>
>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.

Tsk, tsk. Untrue and you know know it. "Close to" is a relative term. That last sentence has as much meaning as "If you stand at the sea shore and I stand on a mile-high mountain top, I am closer to the moon than you are."
:-)
My sentence is physically correct but has no real meaning in itself. The same is true of Miccolis' statement quoted above.

I can converse by voice at rates from 180 to 240 WPM and be understood by another English-language understanding, normal hearing ability human. Can the very skilled morse operators reach intelligible rates of 100 WPM?

>When voice or Morse is used for formal written messages or other data
>that needs to be written down by the receiving operator, the limiting
>speed is usually the writing speed of the receiving op. Most people
>cannot write legibly beyond about 30 or 35 wpm.

Really? :-)

Is that why commercial communications shifted over to tele-
printer terminals running at 60 WPM before WW2?
Teleprinters can operate continuously for hours without
making a single mistake. Is that also true of humans?

>> The FCC does not declare OOK CW mode as a required
>> one to be used by any amateur...yet international
>> regulations require testing. That is inconsistent with the
>> regulations.
>
>You have it exactly backwards, Len.

Not at all, Jim. Unless there has been a new R&O in the
last few days, the FCC allows any allocated mode use to
be at the option of individual amateur licensees.

Also, unless the ITU-R has acted outside of any WRC, S25.5
still requires adminstrations to make examinees pass an
international morse code test for any amateur radio license
having below-30-MHz privileges.

The inconsistency comes from Part 97 giving the option for
use of any allocated mode...yet the license test for a
below-30-MHz-privilege class requires the passing of a
single mode...there is NO manual operating test element
for all other US amateur modes.

>The FCC still requires the test only because the international
>treaty/regulation requires it.

Not quite right. S25.5 is a recommendation of the ITU-R.
The US administration is honor-bound to adhere to the
ITU-R recommendations. There is NO enforcement body
to "require" any recommendation other than voluntary
adherence to such recommendations.

Japan is a member nation of the ITU. Japan has thousands
of licensees who have obtained amateur privileges below
30 MHz without taking any morse code test.

>> This is the year 2001, not 1916. There is no Titanic 2 to be
>> answered after it has hit an iceberg.
>
>The Titanic sank in 1912.

According to a quote you kept, I wrote "Titanic 2." The suffix "2"
denotes a successor ship. No successor to the original Titanic
has been built (to my knowledge, at least).

Oh, and 1912 was 89 years ago and the most-used transmitter
type in that time was spark (the original Titanic had such a
transmitter). Spark was declared illegal before WW2 began.

Why not bring back spark as a limited RF source? Remember,
spark is the first transmitter in use by the first hams and

heritage must be preserved...

LHA

3351. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:30:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907163030.23913.00000095@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19444

In article <20010907110721.06052.00000341@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
writes:

>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>>and some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
>>>so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
>>>the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of
>>>trained CW ops to help the nation."
>
>>Their premise is that CW use within the Aamteur bands will be of national
>>value when it handles emergency traffic when conditions on an HF circuit
>>deteriorate to the point where SSB is not effective.
>
>And that premise is exactly correct; when CW is used for emergency
>communications within the Amateur Radio Service under adverse conditions, it
>does have value. I think Len took that to mean that learning Morse code has
>value outside of the Amateur Radio Service, as it once did.

When has CW been used for emergency communications
within the amateur radio service under adverse conditions?

Ed, please, if you want to know "what Len meant," ask Len.

Of course, if you are an accredited telepath, please state
your telepathy license number along with the state issuing
such. Thank you.

>Now, am I allowed to note that Len's use of the term "ding dong" was a
>gratuitous insult, or would that be Poleecing too much?

Only "health poleece." A Hostess Ding Dong tastes good and
makes a good dessert, but it is in the category of "false
nutrition" snack foods. There is an analogy to some already-
licensed amateurs when they state "false (intellectual)
nutrition" statements. :-)

LHA

3352. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:30:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907163031.23913.00000096@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19443

In article <20010907105249.06052.00000333@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
>>Len Anderson is retired from the electronics field. At one point in time
>>he even wrote a few quickly forgotten pieces in a long-since defunct radio
>>magazine.
>
>Now, be honest, Steve ... did you actually read them before you pronounced
>them "quickly forgotten?" I did and I think they were pretty good.

Ed, the understanding requires a knowledge of elementary electricity theory, voltage potential and current flow. :-)

>I also disagree with "long-since defunct." Those articles are still in
>"print" today.

Yeah, I guess one can say 22 years of monthly issues on three CD-ROMs is "in print." What does Jon B. say? :-)

LHA

3353. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 20:32:39 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109071232.73e9e3b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19447

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B98DBC5.F3AB41A2@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
> > But even letting the point pass, all I've stated is the general point
> > that the purpose of legitimate government is to do what society needs
> > doing as a unit.
>
> What happens when the "needs" of society clash with the inalienable
> rights of the citizens? A democratic group once decided that Socrates
> "needed" to drink Hemlock. Be careful what you wish for.

I believe Hume made the same mistake. Your concern would be
appropriate for a direct democracy, but is far less so for a
constitutional republic.

Maybe you don't realize that I'm speaking in generalities.
Government's main (legitimate) purpose is as a tool for group effort.
In the details, of course, most of us value and want to protect
certain individual rights.

Regards,
Jonathan

3354. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 21:05:43 UTC
From: Eamon Skelton <Xnospam@oceanfree.net>
Message-ID: <7rcbn9.g5p.ln@darkstar.example.net>
Source record: 19617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

David J. Moge wrote:

> OK,
>
> Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
>
> Thanks
> n1rzb

Please don't cross-post. This does not belong on r.r.a.homebrew
or most of the other groups you posted to.

Followup set to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment

73, Ed. EI9GQ.

--
Remove 'X' to reply.
http://homepage.eircom.net/ei9gq
Linux 2.4.9

3355. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 21:12:16 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B993830.63512AFC@iastate.edu>
Source record: 19449

CAM wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I am one who believes CW does have enough merits to merit
> > testing for amateur radio operators such as to require them to initially
> > learn to use it.
>
> But you don't think CW has enough merits that hams will want to
> learn to use it without being forced to do so.

If I may butt in --

I know of several post-restructuring HF licensees who are working on more-than-20wpm code speed (including myself). It's kind of like building your own antennas -- you do it because it's a challenge.

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM

3356. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 21:12:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907171228.20655.00000789@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 19448

>ince you've shown no interest in
>improving the situation you constantly decry

Thats not true, Im just trying to speed up the process of turning Ham radio into CB, since that appears to be the only thing you guys want

3357. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:25:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B99496E.C4F43411@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19450

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >ince you've shown no interest in
> >improving the situation you constantly decry
>
> Thats not true, Im just trying to speed up the process of turning Ham radio
> into CB, since that appears to be the only thing you guys want

Obviously, you are mistaken. We don't want to turn Ham radio
into CB. We want to turn 20th century ham radio into 21st century
ham radio. You, OTOH, seem to want to turn 20th century ham radio
into 19th century ham radio.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3358. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:30:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B994A86.7583C004@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19601

N0YM wrote:
> I know of several post-restructuring HF licensees who are working on
> more-than-20wpm code speed (including myself). It's kind of like building
> your own antennas -- you do it because it's a challenge.

But what about Dick's assertion that all post-restructuring hams want
to do is pass the 5wpm test and then completely forget about Morse code?
Are you telling us that he is lying about that? He says that CW/Morse
doesn't have enough merit to make hams want to learn it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3359. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:35:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B994BA5.9DA4B713@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19602

Len Over 21 wrote:
> When has CW been used for emergency communications
> within the amateur radio service under adverse conditions?

I copied some H&W CW traffic out of Yucatan during that monster
hurricane that hit a couple of years ago.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3360. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:39:12 UTC
From: "David J. Moge" <n1rzb@110.net>
Message-ID: <tpij758nit4j28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 19603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Mr. Miles,

I'm very sorry if I have offended you. I did not relies that cross posting to more than one news group was considered spamming. OR IS IT?

I was simply trying a common sense approach to reach as many people as I could with a one line question.Which by the way was answered by many,both by postings and email.

Again thanks for your unkindly comments. I did ask for any and all.

N1RZB
"John Miles" <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net> wrote in message news:3B981D83.C63@pop.removethistomailme.net...
> David J. Moge wrote:
> >
> > OK,
> >
> > Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
> >
> > Thanks
> > n1rzb
>
> Dunno. Try spamming a few more groups, maybe they'll have an answer for
> you over on alt.crossposting.nitwit.
>
> -- jm
>
> --
> http://www.qsl.net/ke5fx
> Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam
> --

3361. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:51:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B994F71.81E7B579@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19604

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Yes, except for the fact that if it were flat, the horizon would not be
> curved - as I've said repeated, and you continue to ignore.

I haven't ignored it, Jim. I thought it was obvious to the most casual observer that it could be a flat circle. Actually, it could be just part of a sphere. You can't personally say anything about the part that you can't see. Remember Heinlein's "Fair Witness" (or something like that)? When asked what color a house was, they answered, "The side I can see appears to be white."

> The only thing that can be discerned from a two dimentional image is
> that there IS curvature in at least one dimension.

Sorry, Jim, you cannot have curvature in only one dimension. Curvature requires at least two dimensions but it is not necessary for three dimensions to exist to have curvature. A good picture of the earth from space is taken through a single lens and printed on two-dimensional paper. Our eyes are just not designed to perceive depth at that distance. The curvature of the horizon is an illusion enhanced by our conceptual knowledge of the underlying geometry.

> We do not rely on our senses to prove that the world is not flat.

Exactly correct which was my point all along. Our senses were not designed to handle such a task. Good thing we are able to conceptualize, huh?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3362. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:55:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907185545.17089.00000603@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 19605

>We want to turn 20th century ham radio into 21st century
>ham radio.

Oh I see, doesnt that mean Dumbing Down .

3363. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:56:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9950A1.1C5EFE52@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19606

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The TV screen is 2-dimensional, Dick, and a 2-dimensional image
> > *proves* absolutely nothing.
>
> Dick said "round". How many dimensions do you need to draw a circle?

Two, of course, but not necessarily three. Something can be round and
flat at the same time. Consider a coffee coaster. Flat certainly doesn't
mean something has corners. The earth *appears* to our senses to be flat
and round just as it did to cave men 50,000 years ago.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3364. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 22:59:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B995149.C236044A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19607

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I am not lying. You are. I simply paraphrased your words ("not about
> slavery") using the words 'nothing to do with slavery'.

When you imply that you are quoting, paraphrasing is not allowed.
I simply did NOT say that the civil war had nothing to do with
slavery. The implication of what I said was, "The Civil War was
not (100%) about slavery. It was (100%) about economics."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3365. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:01:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9951C0.4F983787@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19608

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
> > a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????
>
> Nope. Just complying with the international treaty.

I assumed we were discussing what happens after the treaty is ammended
to delete S25.5.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3366. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:03:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B995233.BB5C634@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19609

WA8ULX wrote:
> I agree, lets put the Spectrum to good use, and at least make some money out of
> it

Translation: "If I can't have it my way, I am going to nuke the game."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3367. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:07:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B995337.AF7DD67@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19610

Drpfrench wrote:
> Connie Lingus may be just
> another mode of cummunications Like PSK 31, RTTY, SSTV, GVHED 69

Sounds like more fun, too.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3368. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:10:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9953E8.6E4CB842@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19611

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B98119D.89C4F53A@IEEE.org>...
> > N0YM wrote:
> > > Individual action won't undo the economic damage, ...
> >
> > "Economic damage" from allowing people to keep more of their
> > hard-earned money? In the hands of indivuduals, some of that
> > money is multiplied into additional capital. In the hands of
> > the government, it is used to shoot down private planes with
> > missionaries and children in them.
>
> "Money that government touches spontaneously combusts, destroying the
> economy. Money retained by individuals grows the economy, even if
> literally burnt."
>
> From M. Huben's "Libertarianism in One Lesson"

Not sure I would go that far. But you have to admit that a million
dollars in Bill Gates hands does the economy a lot more good than
a million dollars in Janet Reno's hands. Waco and Ruby Ridge come
to mind.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3369. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:13:13 UTC
From: Lee Smith <lee@skyweb.ca>
Message-ID: <3b9954a7_1@skyweb.ca>
Source record: 19612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Dave:

Just another example of the saying "No good deed goes unpunished" coming true.

73 de
Lee Smith

3370. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:13:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9954AF.B7D3E670@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19613

N0YM wrote:
> Your concern would be
> appropriate for a direct democracy, but is far less so for a
> constitutional republic.

Which you apparently want to turn into a welfare state through
expropriation and redistribution of wealth. Who owns the products
of a life if not the individual possessing the life?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3371. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:25:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B995767.CF8E7125@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19614

N0YM wrote:
> *Every quality analysis* of the entire
> tax cut package shows that the cuts give the wealthiest Americans more
> than the share they actually pay, to say nothing of the share they
> actually need. Your assersion above is simply false.

Just shows how you can be deceived by democratic liars who figure.
The tax rates on the wealthy are much too high. Why is it fair for
1% of the population to pay 20% of the taxes? If 10% is good enough
for God why isn't it good enough for the feds? This tax reduction
package merely lowers the exorbitant rates already paid by the wealthy
and corrects a small part of that inequity. It it weren't for the wealthy
capitalists in this country, the lower classes wouldn't even have a job
and would be starving. Not to mention the hoards of welfare recipients
who contribute less than absolute zero to the economic health of this
once great nation.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3372. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:35:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9959D2.7BC05F5E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19615

N2EY wrote:
> In my case, the investment in CW has paid off many many times over.
> And that's how the mode needs to be "sold".

Now all you have to do is convince the hoards of old farts that
"Bend Over, Here It Comes Again!" (BOHICA) may not be the most
logical approach to getting new hams to try CW. I detest creamed
corn. Know why? Because my Mother tried to shove it down my throat
when I was small and weak. Wonder if Dick and Larry have succeeded
in shoving anything down anyone's throat lately? Seems that approach
has gone completely out of style since slavery was abolished and
women obtained the right to vote.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3373. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-07 23:44:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B995BD2.4A89604B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19616

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >We want to turn 20th century ham radio into 21st century
> >ham radio.
>
> Oh I see, doesnt that mean Dumbing Down .

Depends on your definition of "Dumbing Down". If labor-saving
devices are "Dumbing Down" then you should look backward in
time, not forward. When an entire transceiver can be done in
software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
tube rig is much of an accomplishment.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3374. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:02:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907200253.02731.00000114@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 19619

In article <3B9951C0.4F983787@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> > Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
>> > a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????
>>
>> Nope. Just complying with the international treaty.
>
>I assumed we were discussing what happens after the treaty is ammended
>to delete S25.5.

Well, you know what happens when you assume....

If and when S25.5 goes away, how long do you think the USA will have code testing for any class of ham license? I say it will last just as long as it takes FCC to act on the paperwork to eliminate it.

The FCC has said more than once that the ONLY reason code testing is retained is because of S25.5. Do you think they will keep code testing without S25.5? I don't.

All kinds of arguments for code testing have already been presented to the FCC, and all but one have been fopund wanting by the FCC.

Game over. Thank you for playing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3375. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:02:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907200254.02731.00000116@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 19618

In article <3B980EEA.D4E46C75@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N0YM wrote:
>> I see lack of equal economic opportunity as a problem, ...
>
>When I was born my Dad was a cook making eight cents an hour.
>Without help and without a college degree, he worked himself up
>to Postmaster and enjoys a good retirement. Where's this lack of
>equal economic opportunity?

If your Dad had been in the same place at the same time with the same education and skills, but had been black, or female, or both, would the Post Office have hired him back then? I doubt it very much.

>Is it better anywhere else in the world?

You have to define "better". Many other countries have "better" education systems, for example, so their young people enter the wrok force with more skills.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3376. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:02:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907200254.02731.00000115@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 19620

In article <d65iptciflmjngci4324hirq692n41spn3@4ax.com>, Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>On 7 Sep 2001 11:16:09 -0700, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>Did you know that EVERY Japanese ship involved in the Pearl Harbor
>>attack was sunk before the end of WW2?
>
>_____
>
>I know all six of the aircraft carriers were sunk, but do you mean all
>support ships too? I find that hard to believe.

My information is that all of the ships in the task force that went against Pearl Harbor were sunk before the end of the war. I'll see if I can locate a reference.

Remember, the war against the Japanese lasted almost four years, and there were several big naval battles. In addition, US Navy submarines took a tremendous toll of both merchant and capital ships throughout the war.

Although the submarine service consisted of just 3% of the US Navy then, subs sank 52% of the Japanese tonnage lost in WW2.

(My favorite submarine David-and-Goliath story is the sinking of the brand-new supercarrier Taiho by the Albacore, using a single torpedo).

Although Pearl Harbor appeared to be a tremendous Japanese victory at the time, the long view shows that the Japanese messed up the attack bigtime:

- Delays at their embassy and poor planning resulted in the ultimatum to Secretary Hull being delivered after the attack instead of before it

- A third wave attack, which would have wreaked even more damage, was not permitted

- The oil reserves of the entire Pacific fleet, stored aboveground in a tank farm on the hills above Pearl Harbor, were not even targeted.

Yamamoto was right: The sleeping giant was awakened, and boy was he pissed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

3377. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:53:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B996C22.EE0E4C7E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19623

N2EY wrote:
> Yamamoto was right: The sleeping giant was awakened, and boy was he pissed.

I heard on the radio today that the reason the Japanese didn't invade the
US mainland was that they knew a lot of American civilians were armed. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3378. Morse Code Exam Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:54:19 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@bome.com>
Message-ID: <%_dm7.179269$EP6.52360456@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19621

Can I bring my laptop to the exam so it can copy the code for me because
that is really the only way I will use morse code to converse with anyone?
I mean they allow calculators in the test sessions right.

3379. Re: Proper use of NewsGroups (Was Best Six Meter RIG)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:54:19 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3B996C3B.15E5C96@amsat.org>
Source record: 19622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

For the proper use of usegroups -- see URL:
http://faqs.jmas.co.jp/FAQs/radio/personal-intro
This article provides an overview of the newsgroups devoted
to the personal radio services (amateur and CB), describes
each newsgroup and its charter, and gives a brief tutorial
on netiquette.
--
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
I doubt, therefore I might be!
http://ac6v.com
====================

"David J. Moge" wrote:

> Mr. Miles,
>
> I'm very sorry if I have offended you. I did not relies that cross posting
> to more than one news group was considered spamming. OR IS IT?
>
> I was simply trying a common sense approach to reach as many people as I
> could with a one line question.Which by the way was answered by many,both by
> postings and email.
>
> Again thanks for your unkindly comments. I did ask for any and all.
>
> N1RZB
> "John Miles" <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net> wrote in message
> news:3B981D83.C63@pop.removethistomailme.net...
> > David J. Moge wrote:
> > >
> > > OK,
> > >
> > > Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > > n1rzb
> >
> > Dunno. Try spamming a few more groups, maybe they'll have an answer for
> > you over on alt.crossposting.nitwit.
> >
> > -- jm
> >
> > --

> > http://www.qsl.net/ke5fx
> > Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam
> > ----------

--
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
I doubt, therefore I might be!
http://ac6v.com

3380. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 00:59:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907205915.22566.00000165@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 19624

>Depends on your definition of "Dumbing Down". If labor-saving
>devices are "Dumbing Down" then you should look backward in
>time, not forward. When an entire transceiver can be done in
>software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
>difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
>tube rig is much of an accomplishment.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

My point exactly, Dump the Written, the material on Written has no bearing on
21st Century Technology

3381. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:00:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907210038.22566.00000166@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 19625

>Translation: "If I can't have it my way, I am going to nuke the game."
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Isnt that what Restructuring did

3382. Re: Morse Code Exam Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:04:58 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_8em7.10560$Uf1.929988@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19626

While you are trolling for the big one, just sing three choruses of Never
Say Never Again Again.

"3-500zees" <3-500zees@bome.com> wrote in message
news:%_dm7.179269$EP6.52360456@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Can I bring my laptop to the exam so it can copy the code for me because
> that is really the only way I will use morse code to converse with anyone?
> I mean they allow calculators in the test sessions right.
>
>
>

3383. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:10:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B996FFF.ED447764@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19627

N2EY wrote:
> The FCC has said more than once that the ONLY reason code testing is retained
> is because of S25.5. Do you think they will keep code testing without S25.5? I
> don't.

Personally, I don't think I will live to see the end of the
5wpm code US requirement for HF amateur access.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3384. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:14:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9970E9.84A930FB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19628

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >When I was born my Dad was a cook making eight cents an hour.
> >Without help and without a college degree, he worked himself up
> >to Postmaster and enjoys a good retirement. Where's this lack of
> >equal economic opportunity?
>
> If your Dad had been in the same place at the same time with the same education
> and skills, but had been black, or female, or both, would the Post Office have
> hired him back then? I doubt it very much.

Don't know about black, but when my Dad went to work in the Post Office
in about 1942, the Postmaster was a female. My mother and aunt later worked
in the Post Office and are now drawing retirement from it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3385. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:19:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B997216.2D920F5C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19629

WA8ULX wrote:
> My point exactly, Dump the Written, the material on Written has no bearing on
> 21st Century Technology

Nope, you're wrong, Bruce. Hams still need to know rules, regulations,
and safety. Otherwise, they would be no better than CBers.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3386. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:24:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907212437.09114.00000131@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19631

In article <3b989d0d_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>Why should CW be the only mode by which a test measures whether a mode is
>"actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise that
>would result from being "rolled into" the written"? Why just CW?

Simple, Kim - the treaty requires it.

> Don't say
>it is because of the convenience of the mode. Voice is the *most*
>convenient.

Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

3387. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:24:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907212438.09114.00000133@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19630

In article <20010907111250.06298.00000284@mb-fu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> My soon-to-be retired FT757GX II starts clipping characters if I get much >past 25 WPM in QSK. It was a grand little rig, but now she's down for the >count.

Save up and build yourself an Elecraft K2.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3388. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:24:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907212438.09114.00000132@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19632

In article <20010907105755.06052.00000334@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>The current ARRL policy is that ARRL supports the retention of Morse code
>testing in the US regs to obtain HF access.
>
Yep - but the same ARRL policy says that the League will not oppose deleting S25.5 from the ITU treaty.

FCC has said that the ONLY reason they kept the 5 wpm code test was S25.5

So if S25.5 goes, FCC will have no reason to keep even 5 wpm. The ARRL policy will be ignored by the FCC. End of story.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3389. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:24:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907212438.09114.00000134@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19633

In article <3B98D98E.32AA485F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> >> Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?
>> >
>> >"Full break-in"? Is that where you use a relay instead of one toggle
>> >switch for receive and another toggle switch for transmit?
>>
>> It's where the rig shifts from receive to transmit automatically when the
>key
>> is down, and from transmit to receive when the key is up. Also referred to
>as
>> "QSK". Been around since the thirties.
>
>It was a joke, Jim.

First rule of comedy: If ya gotta explain that it's a joke, it ain't funny.

>I was recalling the method I used for switching
>from receive on a Hallicrafters S53A to transmit on a Globe Scout
>in the early 50s.

My first TR system was a ten-cent DPDT knife switch.
>--

73 de Jim, N2EY

3390. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:24:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907212439.09114.00000135@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19634

In article <3B9959D2.7BC05F5E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In my case, the investment in CW has paid off many many times over.
>> And that's how the mode needs to be "sold".
>
>Now all you have to do is convince the hoards of old farts that
>"Bend Over, Here It Comes Again!" (BOHICA) may not be the most
>logical approach to getting new hams to try CW.

I have no idea what this is suposed to mean, Cecil. By the way, "hordes" means "groups of individuals". "Hoards" means "saves up".

> I detest creamed corn.

Me too.

> Know why?

Yes. You've told this story several times.

>Because my Mother tried to shove it down my throat
>when I was small and weak.

She did it for your own good. Like mine did with escarole soup.

>Wonder if Dick and Larry have succeeded
>in shoving anything down anyone's throat lately?

I doubt it.

>Seems that approach
>has gone completely out of style since slavery was abolished and
>women obtained the right to vote.
>
Both of which were opposed by "conservative" folks who complained that the "liberals" were "shoving abolition down our throats" and "shoving women suffrage down our throats".

Creamed corn? Yuck. Steamed spinach with butter? Yummm!

Better yet - calves' liver with bacon and onions. Ambrosia!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3391. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:28:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B99742B.88C47929@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19635

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Translation: "If I can't have it my way, I am going to nuke the game."
>
> Isnt that what Restructuring did

Nope, "a rose by any other name still smells as sweet".
All restructuring did was to allow you and I to ignore
the 20wpm code requirement and upgrade to Extra. Restructuring
was a tempest in a teapot - much ado over nothing - symbolism
over substance - ..., signifying nothing. I can't remember the
... part.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3392. Re: Morse Code Exam Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:31:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9974E6.C88CF33D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19636

3-500zees wrote:
> Can I bring my laptop to the exam so it can copy the code for me because
> that is really the only way I will use morse code to converse with anyone?
> I mean they allow calculators in the test sessions right.

Nope, but you might be able to get away with a computer in a cigarette
box. I used to gat a little help playing blackjack from such a computer.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3393. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:34:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9975C0.4B7F9D75@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19637

N2EY wrote:
> Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.

PSK31 is ideal for such a person.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3394. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:40:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B997704.5EF0F6C4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19638

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >It was a joke, Jim.
>
> First rule of comedy: If ya gotta explain that it's a joke, it ain't funny.

It was a joke to anyone with an IQ higher than 80. Your milage
may vary.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3395. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:50:25 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907215025.02593.00000461@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19640

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gj5t646.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>
> I got a dose of instant reality about a week on the job. Just like
> when I was at the Sheriff's Academy and we got to the part about
> shooting at fleeing suspects...

Phil:

OK, what *did* they say about shooting at fleeing suspects? My
guess -- you're not allowed to do it. I can think of at least as many
reasons to shoot a fleeing suspect as I can for not shooting him. For
instance, if the suspect were seen to be armed, it would probably
be a bad idea to let him get away, lest a hostage situation ensue
if he encounters an innocent passer-by. And, of course, if you do
choose to shoot, you always risk missing and causing unintended
collateral damage. Give us a reality check.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3396. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:50:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907215026.02593.00000463@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19642

In article <3B9959D2.7BC05F5E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Now all you have to do is convince the hoards of old farts that
>"Bend Over, Here It Comes Again!" (BOHICA) may not be the most
>logical approach to getting new hams to try CW. I detest creamed
>corn. Know why? Because my Mother tried to shove it down my throat
>when I was small and weak. Wonder if Dick and Larry have succeeded
>in shoving anything down anyone's throat lately? Seems that approach
>has gone completely out of style since slavery was abolished and
>women obtained the right to vote.

Cecil:

Interesting. I have heard NCT whiners say, many times, that the old
licensing requirements "shoved (the Morse code) down their throat."
Funny, but they seem to have no problem shoving a dumbed-down
ARS down the throats of those who disagree.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3397. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:50:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907215026.02593.00000462@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19643

In article <20010906193151.28147.00000340@mb-bg.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>When I can drive an American car, consistently get 100,000+ miles, not be
>>stranded, not have recurring mechanical failures, and get comfortable, all
>>round
>>service, I don't NEED to drive anything else.
>
>Both my '78 GMC pickup and my 89 Subaru are approaching 200,000 miles.

Ed:

Your pickup is a GMC? Now I know why you call it the "Deathmobile!"
BTW -- your Suburu is just getting out of it's break-in period. It should easily go a half-million.

BTW -- for you "American" car fanatics out there, in the small business I worked for before I got my present job, we put over 600,000 miles on each of three 1996 Plymouth Voyager SE minivans, running an airport shuttle service out of Dover, Delaware making several trips a day to airports such as Philadelphia, Baltimore, Washington (Reagan) National, Dulles, McGuire AFB, and Newark. One van got over 200,000 miles on the original engine/transmission. The others got less, with the trannies usually going at the rate of two per engine. I had always wished we had bought three different vehicles: A Plymouth Voyager, a Ford Windstar, and a Honda (don't recall the model name of their minivan). It would have been interesting to do a direct, side-by side comparison in severe service.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3398. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:50:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907215027.02593.00000464@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19639

In article <3B95A3E5.DD47072E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I've got a better idea -- suppose you prove, with your usually
>> vermicular logic, how reading books and using the Internet are
>> mutually exclusive.
>
>Huh? It was you who want people to read books and give up the
>Internet.

Cecil:

Uh hunh. I see. I don't recall ever saying that. Care to provide the quote?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3399. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:50:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907215028.02593.00000466@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19641

In article <3b98a040_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>Hey, Larry?

Kim:

Hay is for horses.

>Know what?

Sure do.

>I am not a post-restructuring Extra.

Uh huh. I know.

>I am a Tech+.

Knew that too. Obviously, you can't even meet the newly dumbed-down requirements to become a General or an Extra. Sad.

>Know what else?

Sure do.

>I consider myself a much better ham than you.

I have no doubt about that. Your delusions, of course, are yours to have and to hold. I can not take them away from you.

>Know what else?

Yup -- I know "what else."

>There's nothing you can do or say to take away that opinion of
>myself.

I don't recall ever trying to do that, Kim.

>And, now, you're going to do nothing but enforce the opinion I
>already have of you.

Kim, everyone's opinions of everyone else, particularly in this newsgroup, are all pretty well formed by now. Did you have a point to make?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3400. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 01:50:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907215028.02593.00000465@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19644

In article <3B9866C1.C439CD90@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> That's your opinion and you're entitled to it. However, it's only fair
>> to tell you that your EE degree and 50 years of experience as a ham
>> certainly *does* mean something to me! It means I owe you the
>> respect you deserve for having made these achievements.
>
>Those are not achievements, Larry.

Cecil:

An EE degree and 50 years as an active amateur radio operator
are not achievements? Hmmm -- do you mind if everyone else out
there with an EE degree and 50 years as a ham respectfully disagrees
with you?

>The EE degree was a means to an
>end, not an end in itself. That's what you are so totally confused
>about. A EE degree is worthless unless someone does something with
>it. A ham license is worthless unless someone does something with it.

I agree with all of the above. This doesn't change the fact that the
EE degree and the long ham career are, indeed, achievements.

>> ... I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
>> Extra (to) implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
>> license class and my own have the same designation.

Thanks for the edit.

>How are you going to keep him from doing that, Larry? Shoot him?

Why is that my only choice? I had planned to disagree with him should
this claim ever arise in this newsgroup. No firearms required -- just my
trusty keyboard!

>Extra licenses are like EE degrees - they are a dime a dozen. What
>is important is what is accomplished afterwards.

Cecil, please tell the rest of us where we can get a legitimate EE
degree for $0.08333333333! I'm sure this particular school will see
an immediate increase in enrollment applications!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3401. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 02:02:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907220257.27641.00000147@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 19645

In article <20010907004328.14611.00000053@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>> >>N2EY wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>> (snip) even though I can guarantee
>>> >>> that new hams today can't pass the
>>> >>> tests I took. (snip)
>>
>>LHA can't pass them either.
>
>Heh heh, who said I had to?

Nobody, Len. But the fact is, you can't pass them. Even if they were available, you could not pass all of them. I could, and did.

Live with it.

>Jimmie M., you are, as you said
>of another, "full of yourself!" :-)

Ah, the classic "call them names when they have the facts" tactic.

When, exactly, did I write that someone was "full of yourself"? Produce the post, Len. They are all there in google.
>
>>You infer what is not there. And you missed the context of the
>>discussion.
>
>Hardly.

Truly.

> I read four weeks worth of posts in one day, one sitting.

That explains it.

>The "context" is quite clear that way in comparison to reading
>daily. :-)
>
Yet you missed the context anyway.
>
>>Read back a few posts and you will see posters claiming they could
>>pass the tests others took in the past.
>
>I've read past postings (the last four weeks in one sitting,
>remember?) and seen a lot of folks claiming they could do

>any number of things. :-)

Yet you missed the relevant context.
>
>>> If it is so necessary to bring up old tests, why not go back to
>>> the original 1912 tests for amateurs? :-)
>>
>>Already been done by KH6O, who is more knowledgeable about that era
>>than I.
>>
>>I could pass those tests if required to do so. I don't think you
>>could, though, Len.
>
>Oooo! A challenge!

Can you do it? I think not.

> Why go through the motions?

Because it's there.

> Those
>1912 tests don't apply to modern day radio, any radio service.
>:-)
>
So?

>
>>Even though you posted on January 19, 2000, that you were going for
>>the Extra "right out of the box", you haven't done it yet, Len.
>>Fascinating.
>
>Jimmie M., now you get your selective editing out of here.
>You forgot the big "IF" conditional. :-)

What big "if"? Here's the relevant paragraph:

BEGIN QUOTE:

"I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant.
What 99-412 really means is that there is no longer any
time-in-grade "seniority" nor any morsemanship that
indicates "superiority" of those bragextras. That applies
to EVERYONE who wants to try...without all the
artificialities of adhering to standards and practices of
the 1930s held in place by old men trying to relive
their youth by bravado and braggadoccio."

END QUOTE

Where's the big "IF"?

In fact, I'll put your entire post of that date at the end of this post. Show us where the conditional "if" exists.

You said you were going to do it, but you haven't. Actions speak louder than words.
>
>Heh, besides, how do you know I haven't done it already or
>have never done it?

There is only one Leonard H Anderson in the database, and you are not him. QED.

N2EY

--

Here's the entire text of that post of yours, from January 19, 2000:

From: Lenof21 (lenof21@aol.com)
Subject: Re: Petition for partial reconsideration Filed!!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
View: Complete Thread (82 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2000/01/19
In article <27EF731ED4A6C251.37C0D81516E4FD68.9695A23CAD7F622A@lp.airnews.net>, "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Zzzzz. ALL the radio licenses of the old, bygone days were like
>> >that, grandpa, even the commercial licenses. BFD.
>> >
>> Yeah, I had one of those, Second TELEGRAPH.

[Kelly didn't get is FIRST...tsk, tsk :-)]

>> >Cut yer crap and count yer blessings
>> >that the inventive license system gave you bragging rights
>> >about your license class...
>> > Just can't stand that can ya? Heee!
>> >
>> >LA
>> >
>> w3rv Amateur Extra CP35
>
>Yeah? Bragging rights, huh? Well, if that's what it's called nowadays,
>then that's when ham radio died.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Bragging rights were codified in law with the so-called Incentive License plan and firmly cemented with the Vanity Call sub-parts of Part 97. US amateur radio didn't die then...but it CHANGED to make the bragging LEGAL. :-)

Kelly is having a fun time trying to make fun of others less skilled at morse code and a long time-in-grade as an extra. That's a form of bragging, a form of showing "superiority" over others...for the braggist's personal pleasure.

Such bragging does irritate me, yes, but only to a minor degree. What does and has bothered me for years, on and off, is the continual insistence that such qualities ("ham radio is all about CW on HF," and other Morse Myths) somehow "define" amateur radio's "experts." What really happened is that these old-timers managed to get into a position of influence at the ARRL and had the ARRL lobby the FCC to keep those "standards." They did that for years but the newer access to the government via the Internet, the dissemination of rule-change notices through the Internet has bypassed the ARRL. US radio amateurs no longer have to get information second-hand nor do they have to go through (by the League's insistence) their Section Director to "communicate their desires." Everyone, ham or non-ham, can go DIRECT to the FCC. The control of influence/lobbying of the FCC by the ARRL has eroded. This disturbs many who Believe in Big Brother to do the lobbying for them. Tough. The PUBLIC spoke and the FCC listened. 99-412 happened.

I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant. What 99-412 really means is that there is no longer any time-in-grade "seniority" nor any morsemanship that indicates "superiority" of those bragextras. That applies to EVERYONE who wants to try...without all the artificialities of adhering to standards and practices of the 1930s held in place by old men trying to relive their youth by bravado and braggadoccio.

I'm another "old man," a retired professional in radio-electronics engineering. Some of those tenured old men of US ham radio don't seem to want anyone with practical, professional and academic skill in radio and electronics technology. They seem to fear those who have industry-proven capabilities because that doesn't fit into their standards...or because they are insecure in not really knowing what goes on behind the front-panel of their factory-built equipment. They have difficulty in trying to put down those who know practical theory so they try to make fun of them instead...using their license paper as a "diploma" and their HF CW tenure as some kind of "PhD" or "professorship" of radio. Radio theory is somehow imbued by spending hours working rare DX at high rates, therefore some of those old men are "expert."

:-)

When 99-412 goes into real restructuring in April, it won't change some of those tenured old men of US ham radio. They will be bitter, boastful, and express contempt of newcomers, some of which will have the exact same government-granted rank/status/privilege as all those old men who claimed they "worked" for theirs. Human nature doesn't change. Neither have they.

Len

.

3402. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 02:30:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nbvsv$3sq$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19646

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > The TV screen is 2-dimensional, Dick, and a 2-dimensional image
> > > *proves* absolutely nothing.
> >
> > Dick said "round". How many dimensions do you need to draw a circle?
>
> Two, of course, but not necessarily three. Something can be round and
> flat at the same time. Consider a coffee coaster. Flat certainly doesn't
> mean something has corners. The earth *appears* to our senses to be flat
> and round just as it did to cave men 50,000 years ago.

Yeah... All those other planets going by up there are just aunch of
silver dollars, turning to face us as they pass. Right.

3403. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 02:34:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907223411.23694.00000735@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 19648

>Nope, you're wrong, Bruce. Hams still need to know rules, regulations,
>and safety. Otherwise, they would be no better than CBers.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Fine lets do the Rules and Regulations, dump the rest of the Test material

3404. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 02:34:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc04a$4mt$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19647

CAM wrote:
>
"The Civil War was
> not (100%) about slavery. It was (100%) about economics."
>
But slavery was 100% about economics. No other economic issue came
close to slavery in importance. So we can logically conclude that the
Civil war was largely about slavery.

3405. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 02:58:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc1g9$98v$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19649

CAM wrote:
>
> N0YM wrote:
> > Your concern would be
> > appropriate for a direct democracy, but is far less so for a
> > constitutional republic.
>
> Which you apparently want to turn into a welfare state through
> expropriation and redistribution of wealth. Who owns the products
> of a life if not the individual possessing the life?

So what did you pay for the privilege of not going to war, where so many lost everything including their lives so that you could have the opportunity to become a digital engineer? It is clear, those "products" are not just of a life but a life indebted to the system and society in which such production by an individual is made possible by the pesonal sacrafice of many others in maintaining that very society and freedom of action and choice.

3406. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:09:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc25n$b21$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19650

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it
> >over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over
> >the past couple years, and that is very encouraging.
>
> Yes, there has been, Dick, and if I can continue to work on it, I would like to
> see more. I have been only one of many working towards improvements, but some
> of that improvement is as a direct result of my input to the ARRL team that
> works on ARRL's submissions to the QPC. That, btw, is the "real" Newington
> attitude, NOT the one you are trying to convince everybody exists...
>
> Feel bad about telling everybody that I should be using my position at ARRL to
> effect improvements in the question pools?
>

So how do I know you had all that much to do with it? I would expect
that you have plenty to do othewise. In any event, you have my thanks
for whatever part you did play.

> > I also recently downloaded the complete question pool and looked it
> >over and find that indeed, there has been a lot of improvement made over
> >the past couple years,
>
> Are you really telling us that you were saying all of those things you said
> about a question pool you had not even seen?

Your conclusions are your own. No information was dissiminated
regarding wholesale revisions to the methodology of the pool questions,
but clearly considerable change has been made.

> I couldn't imagine how you could have been saying the things you have been
> saying about the current tests.
>

Oh yes you could. I don't make it a practice to regularly download the
pool and check for incremental improvements in the absense of
information indicating that change had been made-which was obvious when
you posted that batch of questions last week. It would be difficult to
discern small but effective changes anyway. Considrable change is
obvious at this point.Clearly there has been some useful reaction to the
verbalizations of people who knew, as I do, just what has been going on
since the inception of he VE system. It does show that the people in
charge of improving the pools are not ambivalent about it.

I will tell you this- My objections regarding the method of posing questions such that one can pass the tests without learning significant amounts of the material is at an end. I would assume you're relatively happy at this announcement, or at least satisfied. I am.

3407. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:15:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc2hf$c1o$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19651

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9n9dkfgcd0@208.206.142.103...
> > Jim, it isn't possible to issue a complete position paper with each
> > post. Much of my viewpoint has been piecemealed into this NG over a
> > fairly long period of time, as I think you know. I have stated
> > repeatedly here that the problem I saw in the written tests isn't
> > universal, rather the fact that easy access to licenses by people with
> > no real interest in learning the material was something I, as a longtime
> > VE, considered to be a potentially serious problem for the longterm
> > future of the ARS. Ed, in his infinite wisdom, somehow managed to
> > convert that to "You are bashing all newcomers" by stating that they all
> > were fraudulantly licensed, or words to that effect. I don't think it
> > should be too difficult to see why that upset me more than a little.

> Dick,
>
> You were somewhat indignant in your response, and it seemed to me you missed
> the point being made. Perhaps you read something into it that wasn't there.
> I tried to explain by way of elaboration. Nothing more than the point in my
> post was intended. Broad generalizations are made here on a regular basis.
> They are inaccurate. Good hams, and potentially good hams come into this
> service every day. Let us not forget that. Okay? Thanks.

FB, Jim. And I will repeat, my objection to the question pools is
ended, whatever the outcome. I never intended to alienate nor anger
anyone, nor cause anger to come my way, and clearly both happened.
Hopefully it was not for nothing, and at least I can believe that my
objections in some way helped effect change.

3408. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:17:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B998DE5.531575E1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19654

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah... All those other planets going by up there are just a bunch of
> silver dollars, turning to face us as they pass. Right.

That's what your senses tell you, Dick. Fortunately, your concepts
are better equipped to handle space travel than are your senses. You
can thank whoever tampered with our evolution for that feature.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3409. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:18:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc2mu$c1o$1@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19652

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B95A3E5.DD47072E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> I've got a better idea -- suppose you prove, with your usually
> >> vermicular logic, how reading books and using the Internet are
> >> mutually exclusive.
> >>
> >Huh? It was you who want people to read books and give up the
> >Internet.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Uh hunh. I see. I don't recall ever saying that. Care to provide the quote?

Pay hom no mind, Larry, he also insists that I advocate using CW over
all other modes in an emergency. Nothing could be more wrong.

3410. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:20:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc2q1$c1o$2@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19653

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > "Horses have all but disappeared?!?" Neigh, neigh, city critter.
> > Doan you go 'round sayin thet here in the West... :-)
>
> I understand that they're still trying to solve the mystery of what
> happened to the *front end* of most of those horses.

I always wondered why the farther west you go the more faceless horses
you see. By the time yo get to LA there's nothing butt....

3411. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:22:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc2ts$c1o$3@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19655

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 stepped in the bucket and wrote:
>
> > When has amateur emergency communications by any mode
> > been employed at any time in the last half century?
>
> Couldn't find the smiley anywhere. Get out much, Leonardo?

It's pretty clear that his ONLY exposure to ham radio is right here.

3412. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:26:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B998FF3.9D44B5E2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19658

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> "The Civil War was
> > not (100%) about slavery. It was (100%) about economics."
> >
> But slavery was 100% about economics. No other economic issue came
> close to slavery in importance. So we can logically conclude that the
> Civil war was largely about slavery.

What I disagree with is that the Civil War was *ALL* about
slavery which was the original assertion. There were a number of
other important economic issues besides slavery. For instance, the
Southerners thought the railroads, owned primarily by the Northerners,
charged too much for transporting cotton. They threatened to ship
their cotton to Europe instead of to the Northern US.

I took a semester long university history course titled, "History
of the Old South", and learned a lot that is not common knowledge.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3413. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:27:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907232713.02731.00000130@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 19656

In article <20010907220257.27641.00000147@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>You said you were going to do it, but you haven't. Actions speak louder than >words.

If you won't quote my "if" then you have to show where I specified a date of doing so. There isn't one, is there?

Has James Miccolis ALWAYS said what he is going to do and ALWAYS accomplished that? Get real, no human is that "perfect."

Now...how about I challenge you? Let's see YOU take some of the tests I did in the past and see how well you can do? You can't do it, Jimmy, can't pass them.

Do you really want to live in the past? If so, get off the newsgroup stuff and go invent a time machine.

Oh, and you DID say I was "full of myself" in a recent posting. [see some irrelevant discussions of electronic experiments and projects on oscillators] :-)

Now that you've lived happily in the past for a while, why not come out to the present and live for the future?

LHA

3414. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:28:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc38f$c1o$4@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19657

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >>and some amateur ding-dongs insist that it must be kept alive
> >>so that the amateurs remain "a pool of trained operators for
> >>the nation." Not so. There just isn't any need for a "pool of
> >>trained CW ops to help the nation."
>
> >Their premise is that CW use within the Aamteur bands will be of national
> >value when it handles emergency traffic when conditions on an HF circuit
> >deteriorate to the point where SSB is not effective.
>
> And that premise is exactly correct; when CW is used for emergency
> communications within the Amateur Radio Service under adverse conditions, it
> does have value. I think Len took that to mean that learning Morse code has
> value outside of the Amateur Radio Service, as it once did.
>
> Now, am I allowed to note that Len's use of the term "ding dong" was a
> gratuitous insult, or would that be Poleecing too much?
>

No amount of poleecint is ever too much for Lennie the Loose. (or is
that Louse?) Louise?

3415. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:30:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc3bv$c1o$5@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19659

CAM wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > When has CW been used for emergency communications
> > within the amateur radio service under adverse conditions?
>
> I copied some H&W CW traffic out of Yucatan during that monster
> hurricane that hit a couple of years ago.

(Gasp!) Cecil, handling CW traffique???? I'm truly impressed!

3416. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:33:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B999184.3676E800@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19661

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> An EE degree and 50 years as an active amateur radio operator
> are not achievements? Hmmm -- do you mind if everyone else out
> there with an EE degree and 50 years as a ham respectfully disagrees
> with you?

Anyone is entitled to be wrong, Larry. EE degrees are a dime a dozen
and all 50 years as a ham means is that someone renewed his license.
He may have cheated on his conditional license exam and never have
been on the air. Why would you think that is an achievement?

> >How are you going to keep him from doing that, Larry? Shoot him?
>
> Why is that my only choice? I had planned to disagree with him should
> this claim ever arise in this newsgroup. No firearms required -- just my
> trusty keyboard!

You didn't say you were going to disagree with him. You said you were not
going to allow him to do that. You cannot keep him from doing that with
just a keyboard. So I repeat. How are you going to keep him from doing
that? Shoot him?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3417. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:35:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc3ms$c1o$6@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19660

CAM wrote:
>
When an entire transceiver can be done in
> software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
> difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
> tube rig is much of an accomplishment.

Wrong. It is difficult to convince *you* that it is much of an
accomplishment. In truth, it is much more difficult than you think, anbd
if you don't believe it, just ask any new engineeering graduate to do
it....

3418. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:37:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc3pi$c1o$7@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19662

CAM wrote:
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> > My point exactly, Dump the Written, the material on Written has no bearing on
> > 21st Century Technology
>
> Nope, you're wrong, Bruce. Hams still need to know rules, regulations,
> and safety. Otherwise, they would be no better than CBers.

Now wait a minute here, Podner. CEbers know all about rules,
regulations and safety. They just ignore them.

3419. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:40:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B99933F.A607B2D9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19664

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So what did you pay for the privilege of not going to war, where so
> many lost everything including their lives so that you could have the
> opportunity to become a digital engineer?

I already had my EE degree when my country called, Dick. I was ready
and willing to go. They rejected me.

Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
would never have happened.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3420. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:41:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc413$g6m$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19663

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20010907105755.06052.00000334@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> writes:
>
> >The current ARRL policy is that ARRL supports the retention of Morse code
> >testing in the US regs to obtain HF access.
> >
> Yep - but the same ARRL policy says that the League will not oppose deleting
> S25.5 from the ITU treaty.
>
> FCC has said that the ONLY reason they kept the 5 wpm code test was S25.5
>
> So if S25.5 goes, FCC will have no reason to keep even 5 wpm.

And of course the BOD knows that, so it is much easier on them to offer
support knowing that it is pointless, and thus maybe avoid alienating CW
supporters. Of course we all know what Bill Cross is going to do. And
the commissioners.

The ARRL policy
> will be ignored by the FCC. End of story.

Exactly what is expected. One wonders what would happen if something
threw a wrench into the works.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3421. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:42:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9993AF.712C0C1A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19665

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> I've got a better idea -- suppose you prove, with your usually
> >> vermicular logic, how reading books and using the Internet are
> >> mutually exclusive.
> >>
> >Huh? It was you who want people to read books and give up the
> >Internet.
>
> Uh hunh. I see. I don't recall ever saying that. Care to provide the quote?

Someone came here on Internet and asked some questions. You told him
to go read a book instead. Remember?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3422. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:45:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B99945E.5AA504B5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19666

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I will tell you this- My objections regarding the method of posing
> questions such that one can pass the tests without learning significant
> amounts of the material is at an end.

So what are you going to incessantly whine about now?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3423. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:48:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B999503.7A4AC94A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19667

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Funny, but they seem to have no problem shoving a dumbed-down
> ARS down the throats of those who disagree.

You continue to be mistaken, Larry. The FCC says that reducing
the code requirements is NOT dumbing down the ARS so you continue
to be involved in a Big Lie. It will never fly.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3424. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:50:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B99959B.2A1A4788@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19673

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> For
> instance, if the suspect were seen to be armed, it would probably
> be a bad idea to let him get away, ...

A dozen bad cops in Florida seem to agree with you, Larry. They
even went as far as to shoot suspected perps and then plant a
gun on them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3425. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:52:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ABgm7.10712$Uf1.948913@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19668

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B998FF3.9D44B5E2@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > "The Civil War was
> > > not (100%) about slavery. It was (100%) about economics."
> > >
> > But slavery was 100% about economics. No other economic issue came
> > close to slavery in importance. So we can logically conclude that the
> > Civil war was largely about slavery.
>
> What I disagree with is that the Civil War was *ALL* about
> slavery which was the original assertion. There were a number of
> other important economic issues besides slavery. For instance, the
> Southerners thought the railroads, owned primarily by the Northerners,
> charged too much for transporting cotton. They threatened to ship
> their cotton to Europe instead of to the Northern US.
>
> I took a semester long university history course titled, "History
> of the Old South", and learned a lot that is not common knowledge.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

The South never had a railway system worthy of the name until J P Morgan built the Southern Railway System out of a few dozen local peanut whistles about the turn of the century. Even afterward, the standard joke (current today only with airplanes) was that when you died in the South, whether you were going to heaven or hell, you would have to change trains in Atlanta.

The Confederacy embargoed cotton shipments to Europe in hopes of attracting British support; the Emancipation Proclamation was designed to allow the British government a way off the hook from demands from their mill owners. When the British mills switched to the short-staple cotton from Egypt the market for the long-staple product went down the crapper, a major reason for agricultural depression after the War.

In broader terms, the question was the survival of plantation agriculture as a way of life.

Dick, Beer Keg

3426. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:54:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc4pa$i3o$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19669

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > It is
> > > readily apparent that you feel you will be losing power if the Morse code
> > > requirement is dropped. It's the same thing that happened when the slaves
> > > were freed and women were granted the right to vote.
> >
> > I sit here reading that response and laughing outloud.
>
> Remember, the previously oppressed victims usually get the last laugh.

Double Dunce.
```

3427. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:55:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9996A8.667EDC2E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19674

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > WA8ULX wrote:
> > > My point exactly, Dump the Written, the material on Written has no bearing on
> > > 21st Century Technology
> >
> > Nope, you're wrong, Bruce. Hams still need to know rules, regulations,
> > and safety. Otherwise, they would be no better than CBers.
>
> Now wait a minute here, Podner. CEbers know all about rules,
> regulations and safety. They just ignore them.

What makes you think they even know the rules, Dick? I seriously doubt
they have ever taken the time to read them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3428. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:57:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B999715.577749A6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19671

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> When an entire transceiver can be done in
> > software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
> > difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
> > tube rig is much of an accomplishment.
>
> Wrong. It is difficult to convince *you* that it is much of an
> accomplishment. In truth, it is much more difficult than you think, anbd
> if you don't believe it, just ask any new engineeering graduate to do
> it....

Why does the difficult task of building an obsolete vacuum tube
rig rank as an accomplishment? Sounds like a waste of time to me.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3429. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 03:57:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc505$i3o$1@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19670

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3b989d0d_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >Why should CW be the only mode by which a test measures whether a mode is
> >"actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise that
> >would result from being "rolled into" the written"? Why just CW?
>
> Simple, Kim - the treaty requires it.
>
> > Don't say
> >it is because of the convenience of the mode. Voice is the *most*
> >convenient.
>
> Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.

Or is trying to talk through extreme noise and static. Single signal
communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.

3430. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:00:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9997C3.3FE0B778@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19672

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I copied some H&W CW traffic out of Yucatan during that monster
> > hurricane that hit a couple of years ago.
>
> (Gasp!) Cecil, handling CW traffique???? I'm truly impressed!

I'm not good enough to "handle" CW traffic, Dick. I just copied it
trying to gain some close up information about the hurricane.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3431. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:06:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B999929.3DFCCE80@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19675

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Pay hom no mind, Larry, he also insists that I advocate using CW over
> all other modes in an emergency. Nothing could be more wrong.

Why do you insist on everyone being equipped for CW/Morse if
you never expect it to be the best choice for emergencies?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3432. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:10:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc5mv$kd3$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19676

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Nope, sure isn't. It's dong the minimum necessary to insure that it is
> >actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise
> >that would result from being "rolled into" the written.
>
> I didn't look at the date/time stamp on your earlier post. Was this said
> before or after you told us that you had just actually looked at the written
> question pools?

Before, but that hardly matters. No familiarization test can test for
skill in Morse code.

3433. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:29:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc6s5nnu0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19677

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 7 Sep 2001 04:10:13 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > I shsould have known that you're not a CW op, jsut a ham.So
>
> ----------
>
> Spend time on RTTY, learn to type! :-)
>

Believe it or not, I know how, but the fingers don't always follow
along these days what with a little Artur's Itis. And my 17 inch monitor
fried, so I had to put this tiny thing that came with this computer up..
.the bifocals don't work near as well on it... Time to make a run into
the city for a replacement. Might try a 19 incher.

3434. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:35:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc768okh0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19678

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > >> Am I the only CW op here using full break-in?
> > >
> > >"Full break-in"? Is that where you use a relay instead of one toggle
> > >switch for receive and another toggle switch for transmit?
> >
> > It's where the rig shifts from receive to transmit automatically when the key
> > is down, and from transmit to receive when the key is up. Also referred to as
> > "QSK". Been around since the thirties.
>
> It was a joke, Jim. I was recalling the method I used for switching
> from receive on a Hallicrafters S53A to transmit on a Globe Scout
> in the early 50s.

What, no DPDT knife switch for the antenna?I thought everyone bought
one of those from Allied Radio. (way before Radio Shack came along)
Don't tell me you used separate antennas and transmitted into your
receiver. My old ELmer burned the RF coils out of his Sky Buddy doing
that way back there. I was forewarned.

3435. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:41:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc7hkokh1@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19679

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So what did you pay for the privilege of not going to war, where so
> > many lost everything including their lives so that you could have the
> > opportunity to become a digital engineer?
>
> I already had my EE degree when my country called, Dick. I was ready
> and willing to go. They rejected me.
>
> Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
> would never have happened.

History cannot be written in advance.

3436. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:42:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc7kfokh2@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19680

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I will tell you this- My objections regarding the method of posing
> > questions such that one can pass the tests without learning significant
> > amounts of the material is at an end.
>
> So what are you going to incessantly whine about now?

I'm sure you'll provide something.

3437. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:44:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc7mtokh3@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19681

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Pay hom no mind, Larry, he also insists that I advocate using CW over
> > all other modes in an emergency. Nothing could be more wrong.
>
> Why do you insist on everyone being equipped for CW/Morse if
> you never expect it to be the best choice for emergencies?

"Expect the unexpected"

3438. Re: Morse Code Exam Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:45:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109080444330.8901-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 19682

On Sat, 8 Sep 2001, 3-500zees wrote:
>Can I bring my laptop to the exam so it can copy the code for me because
>that is really the only way I will use morse code to converse with anyone?
>I mean they allow calculators in the test sessions right.

If you can show that you are typing into a WORD PROCESSING program and the computer isn't reading the code for you, it may be allowed. However, the VE's giving you the test do have the final say on whether or not they're comfortable with this.

3439. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:47:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc7s5okh4@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19683

Caught him with his pants down and he cant' stand it.

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <9n84p5$p0q$0@208.207.71.150>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Someone sent me a part of your post where you again deny the existence
> >of a Police Radiotelegraph net. For your information and edification,
> >there existed within the USA between 1936 and 1972 an HF radiotelgraph
> >network of stations that exclusively handled police message traffic,
> >both within various states and through intercommunications and relaying
> >between many states. The state of California was indeed involved in this
> >network, using a radiotelegraph station located at Sacramento which was
> >assigned the callsign KMA25. This station handled interstate police
> >traffic, often with the assistance of relays by the Arizona Highway
> >Patrol station at Flagstaff, KPF46. When conditions permitted traffic
> >was handled direct to states farther to the east. I am personally
> >familiar with the fact that the MSHP station then located in Jefferson
> >City, MO, KAB72, at times was able to communicate directly with KMA25 in
> >Sacramento, although most of the traffic was relayed.
> > Frequencies used were in the 2.8Mhz, 5.6 mHz and 7.5 mHz regions.
> >
> > The Jefferson City station, being centrally located, handled heavy
> >volumes of this radiotelegraph traffic going both east and west. There
> >were few stations that were as busy. It was all a part of the Police
> >Communications Network, which did indeed incorporate some wireline
> >teletype. By 1972 the wireline teletype was in universal use and the
> >police radiotelegraph network closed down. I was a part of the technical
> >team that removed all the equipment from the Jefferson City station in
> >1976.
> >
> > For a first person account of what it was like to work at one of these
> >CW stations see
> >
> > http://metronet.com/~nmcewen/police_radio.html
> >
> >Written by a ham who was also a police CW operator, of course.
> >
> > And yes, within the state of California police message traffic was
> >handled by teletype. They used their radiotelegraph station strictly for
> >interstate communications. No doubt the larger population within the

> >state justified the added cost.
> >
> > I have a copy of an APCO (Associated Police Communications Officers)
> >manual that describes this network in considerable detail, including its
> >history.
> >
> >
> > So your anti-CW ranting fails yet one more time. CHeck your facts a bit
> >more carefully, if you please.
>
> Hee hee...who can deny "first-person accounts by CW operators?"
>
> Dickie C., we've already been through this over two years ago in
> here. Way back then I wandered into a local LA police division
> (they don't use "precincts" in this city) station and asked for some
> public affairs information. I got a lot of interesting information but
> not one single item that verified any morse code type CW
> telegraphy in use back to 1950. The "telegraphy" was all
> teleprinter. It is common to refer to a teleprinter under the
> general heading of "telegraphy" even though a teleprinter has
> nothing to do with morse code.
>
> 1972 was all of 29 years ago, Dickie C. The LAPD were already
> experimenting with data terminals in their patrol cars then,
> something that is standard today. [you can ask the LAPD
> public affairs office if you wish...I posted an 800 number in here
> for the LAPD PA office way back when...] Los Angeles is a
> big city, over 3.5 million in population, and they have a big
> technical center in Center City area (where four were killed
> by a laid-off whacko a few years ago, two of the victims being
> hams). If there is information available, that is one source
> that should be accurate.
>
> I passed through Sacramento, CA, last Saturday, didn't hear
> one beep-beep of morse. Big place, lots of communications
> going on there but, in 1972, that city was only the hub of
> the California Highway Patrol and the urban areas weren't
> yet tied together with, not for, the state capitol. :-)
>
> I can believe that 1936 would be a good year to have a CW
> net to "tie together police centers," but not by 1970 or even
> 1960. Ever hear of long-haul microwave radio relay?
> Hundreds of voice channels on each microwave relay link.
> For that matter, long-haul wired communications was already
> in place and working in this state and most others. Are you
> going to tell everyone with a straight face that manual CW
> was carrying an important part of police communications
> even in 1960?!?!?
>
> Now on the military fixed-point to fixed-point networks, I will

> not embarass you any further by emphasizing that "telegraphy"
> there meant teleprinter, never manual morse from at least
> 1953 onwards...not on HF, not on troposcatter, not on
> satellite relay. When such "traffic" amounts to a million
> messages per month total, it is big enough to count.
>
> I'm not "bashing" or "anti" anything except an extraordinary
> attempt to hold onto the past on the part of others. The
> Real communications folks use what is faster, more
> accurate than any morse links. For over a half century that
> was teleprinter that evolved to faster data modes.
>
> Try living in the present and the future, not the past.
>
> LHA

3440. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 04:52:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nc86iokh5@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 19684

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > When an entire transceiver can be done in
> > > software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
> > > difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
> > > tube rig is much of an accomplishment.
> >
> > Wrong. It is difficult to convince *you* that it is much of an
> > accomplishment. In truth, it is much more difficult than you think, anbd
> > if you don't believe it, just ask any new engineeering graduate to do
> > it....
>
> Why does the difficult task of building an obsolete vacuum tube
> rig rank as an accomplishment? Sounds like a waste of time to me.

To you it no doubt is. Not to many other hams.

3441. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 05:38:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908013858.08157.00000749@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 19685

>Now wait a minute here, Podner. CEbers know all about rules,
>regulations and safety. They just ignore them.

Your right, so I guess we can forget about them also for testing, I guess were finally down to what is Modern and in the 21st Century. So I guess my suggestion before makes sense, lets just have an application that asks for name, adreesse, and what would you like your Handle to be.
Then when there License arrives they could also attach a WEB Addreesse for ARRL. Where they could go and read about REGS and so forth if they wanted to know. The above makes sense since we are being told that this is just an entry point for learning. And since CW is just a Mode, like any other Mode, if they want to operate CW then they can learn CW when they fell like it, if they so desire. Same principle should hold true with Theory, if at some point in the future, they decide they would like to learn about theory they can learn then. So again tell me why we really need any kind of TESTING.

3442. FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 06:18:13 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9ncd75$1on$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 19686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Thought this might be of interest. Follow-ups set to .policy.
73, Jeff KH6O

http://www.fcc.gov/cib/inspect.html
> Compliance and Information Bureau
>
> Compliance Division
>
> Inspection FACT SHEET
>
> JANUARY 1998
>
> The Federal Communications Commission has the authority to inspect
> most radio installations. Responsibility for conducting these
> inspections generally rests with the Compliance and Information
> Bureau's Field Agents. In the course of fulfilling this
> responsibility, the Agents often receive questions concerning the
> authority and procedure under which they are working. The Compliance
> and Information Bureau's Compliance Division has assembled this
> general information sheet to address some of the more commonly asked
> questions concerning inspections and to clarify why and how
> inspections occur.
>
> INSPECTION AUTHORITY
>
> Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, (Act)
> gives the Federal Communications Commission the "authority to
> inspect all radio installations associated with stations required to
> be licensed by any Act, or which the Commission by rule has
> authorized to operate without a license under section 307(e)(1), or
> which are subject to the provisions of any Act, treaty, or
> convention binding on the United States . . ." 47 U.S.C. 303(n) Both
> Section 303(n) of the Act, and the Rules which implement the Act,
> grant the right to inspect most radio operations to the Commission,
> and by delegated authority to the Commission's Bureaus and agents.
> The Compliance and Information Bureau conducts inspections of radio
> installations as part of the Bureau's function to "[e]nforce the
> Commission's Rules and Regulations." 47 CFR 0.111(a).
>
> Both licensees and non-licensees must allow an FCC Agent to inspect
> their radio equipment. Along with the privilege of possessing a
> license come responsibilities such as knowing the applicable rules,
> including allowing the station to be inspected. Licensees should be

> aware of the Commission's right to inspect. Equally important, FCC
> Agents are allowed to inspect the radio equipment of non-licensees.
> Non-licensees include those individuals or entities operating in
> accordance with Part 15 of the Rules. Non-licensees also include
> those who should have a license to operate their equipment but have
> not obtained a license and are operating without authority.
>
> Radio equipment is generally used in a commercial setting (e.g.,
> commercial broadcast station, land mobile station, commercial
> delivery service) or a residential setting (e.g., amateur, citizen's
> band (CB) radio). Home-based businesses may also operate radio
> stations. This fact sheet addresses inspection of radio stations in
> both the commercial and residential settings.
>
> Frequently Asked Questions Related to Residential Inspection
>
> of Radio Equipment by the FCC
>
> Q: Why must operators of radio frequency devices allow the FCC to
> inspect their equipment?
>
> A: The Commission must ascertain essential facts pertaining to the
> operation of a station which may be vital to the resolution of a
> number of questions, including interference problems involving
> public safety. For this reason, the FCC must be able to check all
> covered equipment that have the potential to emit radio frequencies.
> Section 303(n) of the Communications Act gives the FCC this
> authority.
>
> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> equipment?
>
> A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve
> the problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious
> challenge to the Commission's authority to inspect radio stations
> and is a violation of the Rules. Such a refusal may lead to
> revocation of a license, maximum monetary forfeiture, or other
> Commission sanctions.
>
> Q: The FCC Agent standing at my door does not have a search warrant,
> so I don't have to let him in, right?
>
> A: Wrong. Search warrants are needed for entry involving criminal
> matters. One of the requirements as a licensee, or non-licensee
> subject to the Commission's Rules, is to allow inspection of your
> radio equipment by FCC personnel. Whether you operate an amateur
> station or any other radio device, your authorization from the
> Commission comes with the obligation to allow inspection. Even radio
> stations licensed under a "blanket" rule or approval, such as
> Citizen's Band (CB) Radio, are subject to the Commission's

> inspection requirement.
>
> Q: Well then, if I am a low-power broadcaster and don't have an FCC
> license, they need a search warrant, right?
>
> A: Wrong again. The FCC agents have the authority to inspect all
> radio equipment; even if you do not have a license, the FCC can
> still inspect your equipment. Section 303(n) of the Act gives the
> FCC the right to inspect all "stations required to be licensed."
> This language covers your low-power radio station. The FCC agents
> are inspecting the equipment, not searching your house.
>
> Q: Okay, I understand now why the Agent doesn't need a search
> warrant, but how do I know what the Agent will do next?
>
> A: Once you open the door, the agents should show their FCC
> identification card and badge, identify themselves by name and
> agency, and should state the purpose of the visit. They then should
> request permission to inspect the radio station. The agents may also
> ask to see records such as licenses for the station or operator.
> Agents, however, should never open private cabinets, drawers, or
> other private items in the search for license documents.
>
> Q: Can the agents come to my residence at any hour of the day or
> night to conduct an inspection?
>
> A: FCC Agents inspect during the hours of operation. If you are
> operating your station during late or unusual hours you cannot use
> the time element as justification for refusing to permit an
> inspection at that time. You cannot avoid an inspection by electing
> to operate only during late or unusual hours.
>
> Q: The FCC Agent said that I had to allow inspection of my radio
> station without unnecessary delay. What does "without unnecessary
> delay" mean?
>
> A: Immediate on-the-spot inspections are generally necessary. In
> most cases, any delay can result in changed conditions of the
> transmitting equipment or its operation, adversely affecting the
> efficacy of the inspections. For that reason, Agents cannot return
> at a later time to accommodate the operator, cannot wait for the
> operator to make any adjustments to the equipment, and cannot spend
> time repeating the reasons for the inspection.
>
> Q: Why don't the FCC's agents have to make an appointment with me to
> inspect my equipment?
>
> A: The Commission has no means of determining whether a station is
> being operated as licensed except through immediate on-the-spot
> inspection. To establish the amount of operating power of a station,

> the input power of the last radio stage of the transmitter must be
> actually measured with test equipment. To delay an inspection for
> the convenience of a licensee would allow the licensee time in which
> to modify or restore the transmitter to its licensed condition, thus
> permitting the licensee to avoid detection. This same theory also
> applies in the case of whether a station is operating with an
> unapproved type of transmitter.
>
> Q: What can happen to me if the agent determines that I am using
> illegal or unauthorized equipment?
>
> A: There are several different ways that this situation can be
> handled. You may volunteer to surrender the equipment to the agent
> who will then destroy it under FCC procedure. If you choose not to
> surrender the equipment, the FCC can bring a proceeding against you
> to take the equipment. This is known as an in rem (i.e., property)
> forfeiture proceeding . Additionally, if you choose not to surrender
> the equipment, you can be issued a civil monetary forfeiture
> penalty. See 1997 Forfeiture Policy Statement 12 FCC Rcd
> 17087(1997).
>
> Q: Am I required to surrender any illegal or unauthorized equipment
> to the agent?
>
> A: No, surrender is voluntary. However, it is the best way to avoid
> a large monetary forfeiture.
>
> Q: Is the inspection procedure for various services different? Is
> the procedure different for licensees and non-licensees?
>
> A: The inspection procedure is essentially the same for all of the
> services. Similarly, the inspection procedure is the same for
> licensed and non-licensed stations. This is because the FCC has the
> right to inspect ALL covered radio equipment.
>
> Frequently Asked Questions for the Business Environment
>
> Q: FCC Agents arrived to inspect the radio at my office. My boss
> isn't here. Should I call my boss to be present for the inspection?
>
> A: You may call your boss if you wish. If the company is open for
> business, however, the inspection should be permitted regardless of
> whether your boss is present. This is not an acceptable reason to
> delay an inspection.
>
> Q: My boss didn't tell me anyone would come by to inspect our radio
> so I don't have to let the FCC inspectors in, right?
>
> A: Wrong. The licensee is responsible for knowing the rules and
> those include the FCC's right to inspect. Because the employer is

> responsible for the acts of the employee, it is up to the
> licensee-employer to inform its staff as to its responsibilities
> concerning the operation of the radio station.
>
> Q: I run a small daytime only AM station. Do I have to allow the
> agents to inspect the station late at night?
>
> A: The FCC inspects during hours of operation. Thus, a day time
> station, by definition, should not be operating at night. If FCC
> agents determine that radio signals are emitting from the daytime
> station during night time hours, however, an inspection must be
> allowed if requested by an FCC agent.
>
> Q: How do I know that these are really agents from the FCC?
>
> A: FCC Agents have a badge and credentials with their names and the
> FCC seal which they will present to you when requesting your
> permission to inspect. If you would like to further confirm their
> identity, you may call the FCC's Crisis Management and
> Communications Room in Washington, D.C., at (202)632-6975. It is
> open 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.
>
> Q: If an agent is testing my FCC authorized equipment and the
> equipment breaks or malfunctions during the tests, is the FCC
> liable?
>
> A: If the agent was negligent, you may have a claim under the
> Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) to recover damages for your property.
> The FCC will make the initial determination whether the agent was
> negligent.
>
> Q: Can I have my attorney present during the inspection? Can I make
> the agent wait to start the inspection until my attorney is present?
>
> A: You may have your attorney present during the inspection;
> however, there is no constitutional right to have your attorney
> present. Therefore, you may not make the agent wait until your
> attorney arrives. Making the agent wait for your attorney conflicts
> with the "unnecessary delay" requirement discussed earlier.

3443. The way it was (in Canada)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 07:35:25 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9nchnt$69t$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 19687

Was deleting old files and found this:

My own first experience was in Canada with the Department of Transport examiner in Montreal. I remember it very well. The test consisted of several parts, including an oral grilling. The first element was CW receiving. In those days the examiners were real Radio Inspectors and know their stuff. They were very intimidating. They had all been radio operators before getting office jobs. The RIs in the Montreal office used CW as a form of intercom. Each had a key and oscillator on their desks. I was ushered to the RI's desk and he handed be several sheets of paper and a pencil. "Wait here" he told me and walked away. I remember seeing what looked like a couple of 51J-(3 or 4 receivers in a rack (obligatory Collins content!). A few minutes later I heard some code coming from his intercom speaker. It was a message for him about some regulation change so I copied it down for him as a last minute practice session. When he returned I handed him the message and he told me I had just passed my Morse Code receiving test.

Then I was given the key and told to send. He made me do it for five minutes at 10 WPM. Then the written, three elements, regs, theory and eight schematic (not block!) diagrams. Finally the RI collected my sheets and told em to wait. It wasn't over - there was the final element - the oral! It seemed like an hour but in reality was about ten minutes of questions based on my schematic diagrams with a few regulatory questions thrown in for good measure.

I only found out I passed five days later when my Certificate of Proficiency in Radio arrived by Registered Mail. I was then "invited" to go down to the DoT office to apply for a station licence which I did immediately.

There was no two meter CB as we know it today. Repeaters were just starting to be built and all equipment was ex-commercial gear. Most of us had little or no interest in VHF. Most of the action was on HF with some six meters thrown in for good measure. My first licence authorized A1 only up to 30 Megacycles. After six months we were allowed to take our log down to the DoT to prove that we were operating out stations on a regular basis to apply for an endorsement to operate A3 on 10 Meters. After a full year had elapsed we were allowed to sit for the Amateur Advanced certificate which allowed full phone privileges. The test was nothing compared to the first one.

Today's amateurs don't know what they missed! Wouldn't trade the experience for a fist full of "slow code extra" tickets!

Thanks for the memories, guys!

3444. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:12:37 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908081237.08912.00000494@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19688

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Why do you insist on everyone being equipped for CW/Morse if
>> you never expect it to be the best choice for emergencies?

>"Expect the unexpected"

Why, then, do you not insist on everyone being equipped with a *station* to be used in emergencies? Why do you feel that knowing Morse code is more valuable than having a transmitter?

Are you sure you don't want them to know Morse code just because you want some of them to operate your favorite mode and think that no one will unless a licensing requirements requires them to learn it? IMHO, it the issue really were emergency preparedness, there are a lot more important things to require than learning the code, yet you don't seem to want to require any of them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3445. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:16:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908081621.08912.00000495@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19689

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So how do I know you had all that much to do with it? I would expect
>that you have plenty to do othewise.

I don't know as I had "all that much" to do with it, and you are right -- I do have plenty to do otherwise, but I have been steadily bringing my input literally to the table as the HQ question-pool team all find time with plenty to do otherwise and meet in the cafeteria and put together ARRL's input to the QPC. I will continue to do so.

And, you know it is true because I told you about it. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3446. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:18:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908081848.08912.00000496@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19690

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I will tell you this- My objections regarding the method of posing
>questions such that one can pass the tests without learning significant
>amounts of the material is at an end. I would assume you're relatively
>happy at this announcement, or at least satisfied. I am.

Agreed. And I will add that although the question pools are adequate, the types of changes you are noting should continue. I urge you to send information and questions to the Question Pool Committee chairman. I feel that they should hear from individuals as well as organizations.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3447. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:22:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908082221.08912.00000497@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19691

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Dick Carroll wrote:

>> I will tell you this- My objections regarding the method of posing
>> questions such that one can pass the tests without learning significant
>> amounts of the material is at an end.

>So what are you going to incessantly whine about now?

Dick may have been "persistent," but I don't think he has been whining. (I wouldn't necessarily say that about everybody that posts here...) And his having decided that the question pools are not without merit does not mean that he, and I, don't still see room for improvement. I don't think you or I ever doubted that -- we just disagreed that it was practical to learn all that material.

Are you sure your question wasn't intended more to keep the disagreement going than to result in productive change in all of our ways of thinking?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
>
>
>
>
>
>

3448. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:28:29 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908082829.08912.00000499@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19692

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>If and when S25.5 goes away, how long do you think the USA will have code
>testing for any class of ham license? I say it will last just as long as it
>takes FCC to act on the paperwork to eliminate it.

More than likely, but the "fat lady singing" in this case will when the treaty change, assuming it happens, is ratified by the Senate. I know an organization that has the petition ready to file.

>The FCC has said more than once that the ONLY reason code testing is retained
>is because of S25.5. Do you think they will keep code testing without S25.5?
>I don't.

Nor do I. For that reason, the only method that hams will have to encourage others to learn and use the mode is to do the same thing that proponents of other modes do to encouage the use of their favorte modes -- encourage others to use it.

For that reason, I believe those that support the continued use of Morse code in the Amateur bands, and I count myself among them, should be careful that the words they choose today about Morse code, in what I believe to be a futile attempt to preseve code testing, are such that their words today would serve to encouage others to learn and use the mode in the absence of a code test.

>Game over. Thank you for playing.

When it comes to code use, the game will not be over for as long as this old brasspounder can still toggle the paddles on his keyer. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3449. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:29:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908082911.08912.00000500@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19693

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Personally, I don't think I will live to see the end of the
>5wpm code US requirement for HF amateur access.

Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3450. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:31:51 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908083151.08912.00000503@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19694

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Isnt that what Restructuring did
>
>Nope, "a rose by any other name still smells as sweet".
>All restructuring did was to allow you and I to ignore
>the 20wpm code requirement and upgrade to Extra.

>Restructuring
>was a tempest in a teapot - much ado over nothing - symbolism
>over substance - ..., signifying nothing. I can't remember the
>... part.

I think that it is not a bad thing that hams are learning new things and
upgrading. Tens of thousands of hams upgrading is, IMHO, more than a tempest
in a teapot.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3451. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:36:34 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908083634.08912.00000505@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19695

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Anyone is entitled to be wrong, Larry. EE degrees are a dime a dozen
>and all 50 years as a ham means is that someone renewed his license.
>He may have cheated on his conditional license exam and never have
>been on the air. Why would you think that is an achievement?

EE degrees are more than a dime a dozen, and it takes 2 years of full-time study to get the most basic one. And 50 years as a ham may mean that someone passed his entrance exam and has had a five-decade long career of operating, learning and contributing. Why would you presume that it is not an achievement.

I would wager that more 50-year hams have passed their exams have been on the air than cheated on their exams then never operated.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3452. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:57:56 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9a171b_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19697

BUT I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a part of any amateur radio operating license, nor do I believe that is justification for a "mandate" that all in emergency communications should know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.

I think that the heavy emphasis on CW as this magical, mystical emergency communications mode causes a great deal of confusion. I can't help but wonder why someone who loves the mode has no argument for its inclusion in testing other than that it will benefit emergency communication [paraphrased]. *IF* passion for emergency communication for the benefit of public safety is the motivation, then why not support and realize that no one mode should be advocated--*all* modes available should be advocated? The argument that CW "will get through" when no other mode will just doesn't fly any more. There are so many other modes available, some even with video.

That is my reticence to have CW be a part of the testing scheme: it proves no technical aptitude [if that is what we're testing for have the testee design, build and implement a packet station or some such]; CW proves nothing in the way of being a better communicator [if that's what we're testing for have the testee sit with an elmer and break through some pileups, send formal written traffic, and act as ANCS during a training net]; and CW knowledge has little to do with the overall hobby of ham radio [if that is what we are testing for have the testee know the history of ham radio].

On the other hand, if CW testing is supposed to be a filter to keep unmotivated individuals out of the hobby then keep it because it does work well for that. The attitudes of some people, concerning the restructuring step that decreased the focus from CW, is obvious testimony to that.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9nc505$i3o$1@208.207.71.146...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3b989d0d_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
> >
> > >Why should CW be the only mode by which a test measures whether a mode
is
> > >"actually learned rather than some sort of "familiarization" exercise
that

> > >would result from being "rolled into" the written"? Why just CW?
> >
> > Simple, Kim - the treaty requires it.
> >
> > > Don't say
> > >it is because of the convenience of the mode. Voice is the *most*
> > >convenient.
> >
> > Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.
>
>
> Or is trying to talk through extreme noise and static. Single signal
> communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.

3453. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 12:59:58 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9a1799_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19698

Then why not insist that any mode available be prepared for? Talk about
expecting the unexpected!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9nc7mtokh3@208.207.71.146...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Pay hom no mind, Larry, he also insists that I advocate using CW over
> > > all other modes in an emergency. Nothing could be more wrong.
> >
> > Why do you insist on everyone being equipped for CW/Morse if
> > you never expect it to be the best choice for emergencies?
>
>
> "Expect the unexpected"

3454. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:05:35 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9a18e6_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19699

Seems you are pretty disgusted with ham radio, eh? Tell us why you insist on being involved with something that makes you so miserable. And, why in the world would you be placing yourself among those whom you see as being beneath you? It's much more challenging if you'll find a group of people you respect and admire and hope to become equal with. Taking the easy way, are you?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010908013858.08157.00000749@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Now wait a minute here, Podner. CEbers know all about rules,
> >regulations and safety. They just ignore them.
>
> Your right, so I guess we can forget about them also for testing, I guess
were
> finally down to what is Modern and in the 21st Century. So I guess my
> suggestion before makes sense, lets just have an application that asks for
> name, adreesse, and what would you like your Handle to be.
> Then when there License arrives they could also attach a WEB Addreesse
for
> ARRL. Where they could go and read about REGS and so forth if they wanted
to
> know. The above makes sense since we are being told that this is just an
entry
> point for learning. And since CW is just a Mode, like any other Mode, if
they
> want to operate CW then they can learn CW when they fell like it, if they
so
> desire. Same principle should hold true with Theory, if at some point in
the
> future, they decide they would like to learn about theory they can learn
then.
> So again tell me why we really need any kind of TESTING.

3455. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:08:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908090816.17679.00001046@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 19696

>I think that it is not a bad thing that hams are learning new things and
>upgrading.

Well thats strange. I didnt learn anything new, and I Upgraded, what was I
supposed to have learned?

3456. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:29:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A1D2B.42A73A0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19700

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Single signal
> communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.

Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3457. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:32:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A1DE6.4DA3E0DB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19752

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Personally, I don't think I will live to see the end of the
> >5wpm code US requirement for HF amateur access.
>
> Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)

How would I collect if I won?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3458. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:33:24 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908093324.16279.00000393@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 19751

I'm with Bert. Come on in fellas, radio room's through that door. I'll put a fresh pot of coffee on. Thanks for the interesting Q&A, Jeffrey. Take care and 73.

Mark

>Thought this might be of interest. Follow-ups set to .policy.
>73, Jeff KH6O
>
>
>http://www.fcc.gov/cib/inspect.html
>> Compliance and Information Bureau
>>
>> Compliance Division
>>
>> Inspection FACT SHEET
>>
>> JANUARY 1998
>>
>> The Federal Communications Commission has the authority to inspect
>> most radio installations. Responsibility for conducting these
>> inspections generally rests with the Compliance and Information
>> Bureau's Field Agents. In the course of fulfilling this
>> responsibility, the Agents often receive questions concerning the
>> authority and procedure under which they are working. The Compliance
>> and Information Bureau's Compliance Division has assembled this
>> general information sheet to address some of the more commonly asked
>> questions concerning inspections and to clarify why and how
>> inspections occur.
>>
>> INSPECTION AUTHORITY
>>
>> Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, (Act)
>> gives the Federal Communications Commission the "authority to
>> inspect all radio installations associated with stations required to
>> be licensed by any Act, or which the Commission by rule has
>> authorized to operate without a license under section 307(e)(1), or
>> which are subject to the provisions of any Act, treaty, or
>> convention binding on the United States . . ." 47 U.S.C. 303(n) Both
>> Section 303(n) of the Act, and the Rules which implement the Act,
>> grant the right to inspect most radio operations to the Commission,
>> and by delegated authority to the Commission's Bureaus and agents.
>> The Compliance and Information Bureau conducts inspections of radio
>> installations as part of the Bureau's function to "[e]nforce the
>> Commission's Rules and Regulations." 47 CFR 0.111(a).

>>
>> Both licensees and non-licensees must allow an FCC Agent to inspect
>> their radio equipment. Along with the privilege of possessing a
>> license come responsibilities such as knowing the applicable rules,
>> including allowing the station to be inspected. Licensees should be
>> aware of the Commission's right to inspect. Equally important, FCC
>> Agents are allowed to inspect the radio equipment of non-licensees.
>> Non-licensees include those individuals or entities operating in
>> accordance with Part 15 of the Rules. Non-licensees also include
>> those who should have a license to operate their equipment but have
>> not obtained a license and are operating without authority.
>>
>> Radio equipment is generally used in a commercial setting (e.g.,
>> commercial broadcast station, land mobile station, commercial
>> delivery service) or a residential setting (e.g., amateur, citizen's
>> band (CB) radio). Home-based businesses may also operate radio
>> stations. This fact sheet addresses inspection of radio stations in
>> both the commercial and residential settings.
>>
>> Frequently Asked Questions Related to Residential Inspection
>>
>> of Radio Equipment by the FCC
>>
>> Q: Why must operators of radio frequency devices allow the FCC to
>> inspect their equipment?
>>
>> A: The Commission must ascertain essential facts pertaining to the
>> operation of a station which may be vital to the resolution of a
>> number of questions, including interference problems involving
>> public safety. For this reason, the FCC must be able to check all
>> covered equipment that have the potential to emit radio frequencies.
>> Section 303(n) of the Communications Act gives the FCC this
>> authority.
>>
>> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
>> equipment?
>>
>> A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve
>> the problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious
>> challenge to the Commission's authority to inspect radio stations
>> and is a violation of the Rules. Such a refusal may lead to
>> revocation of a license, maximum monetary forfeiture, or other
>> Commission sanctions.
>>
>> Q: The FCC Agent standing at my door does not have a search warrant,
>> so I don't have to let him in, right?
>>
>> A: Wrong. Search warrants are needed for entry involving criminal
>> matters. One of the requirements as a licensee, or non-licensee
>> subject to the Commission's Rules, is to allow inspection of your

>> radio equipment by FCC personnel. Whether you operate an amateur
>> station or any other radio device, your authorization from the
>> Commission comes with the obligation to allow inspection. Even radio
>> stations licensed under a "blanket" rule or approval, such as
>> Citizen's Band (CB) Radio, are subject to the Commission's
>> inspection requirement.
>>
>> Q: Well then, if I am a low-power broadcaster and don't have an FCC
>> license, they need a search warrant, right?
>>
>> A: Wrong again. The FCC agents have the authority to inspect all
>> radio equipment; even if you do not have a license, the FCC can
>> still inspect your equipment. Section 303(n) of the Act gives the
>> FCC the right to inspect all "stations required to be licensed."
>> This language covers your low-power radio station. The FCC agents
>> are inspecting the equipment, not searching your house.
>>
>> Q: Okay, I understand now why the Agent doesn't need a search
>> warrant, but how do I know what the Agent will do next?
>>
>> A: Once you open the door, the agents should show their FCC
>> identification card and badge, identify themselves by name and
>> agency, and should state the purpose of the visit. They then should
>> request permission to inspect the radio station. The agents may also
>> ask to see records such as licenses for the station or operator.
>> Agents, however, should never open private cabinets, drawers, or
>> other private items in the search for license documents.
>>
>> Q: Can the agents come to my residence at any hour of the day or
>> night to conduct an inspection?
>>
>> A: FCC Agents inspect during the hours of operation. If you are
>> operating your station during late or unusual hours you cannot use
>> the time element as justification for refusing to permit an
>> inspection at that time. You cannot avoid an inspection by electing
>> to operate only during late or unusual hours.
>>
>> Q: The FCC Agent said that I had to allow inspection of my radio
>> station without unnecessary delay. What does "without unnecessary
>> delay" mean?
>>
>> A: Immediate on-the-spot inspections are generally necessary. In
>> most cases, any delay can result in changed conditions of the
>> transmitting equipment or its operation, adversely affecting the
>> efficacy of the inspections. For that reason, Agents cannot return
>> at a later time to accommodate the operator, cannot wait for the
>> operator to make any adjustments to the equipment, and cannot spend
>> time repeating the reasons for the inspection.
>>
>> Q: Why don't the FCC's agents have to make an appointment with me to

>> inspect my equipment?
>>
>> A: The Commission has no means of determining whether a station is
>> being operated as licensed except through immediate on-the-spot
>> inspection. To establish the amount of operating power of a station,
>> the input power of the last radio stage of the transmitter must be
>> actually measured with test equipment. To delay an inspection for
>> the convenience of a licensee would allow the licensee time in which
>> to modify or restore the transmitter to its licensed condition, thus
>> permitting the licensee to avoid detection. This same theory also
>> applies in the case of whether a station is operating with an
>> unapproved type of transmitter.
>>
>> Q: What can happen to me if the agent determines that I am using
>> illegal or unauthorized equipment?
>>
>> A: There are several different ways that this situation can be
>> handled. You may volunteer to surrender the equipment to the agent
>> who will then destroy it under FCC procedure. If you choose not to
>> surrender the equipment, the FCC can bring a proceeding against you
>> to take the equipment. This is known as an in rem (i.e., property)
>> forfeiture proceeding . Additionally, if you choose not to surrender
>> the equipment, you can be issued a civil monetary forfeiture
>> penalty. See 1997 Forfeiture Policy Statement 12 FCC Rcd
>> 17087(1997).
>>
>> Q: Am I required to surrender any illegal or unauthorized equipment
>> to the agent?
>>
>> A: No, surrender is voluntary. However, it is the best way to avoid
>> a large monetary forfeiture.
>>
>> Q: Is the inspection procedure for various services different? Is
>> the procedure different for licensees and non-licensees?
>>
>> A: The inspection procedure is essentially the same for all of the
>> services. Similarly, the inspection procedure is the same for
>> licensed and non-licensed stations. This is because the FCC has the
>> right to inspect ALL covered radio equipment.
>>
>> Frequently Asked Questions for the Business Environment
>>
>> Q: FCC Agents arrived to inspect the radio at my office. My boss
>> isn't here. Should I call my boss to be present for the inspection?
>>
>> A: You may call your boss if you wish. If the company is open for
>> business, however, the inspection should be permitted regardless of
>> whether your boss is present. This is not an acceptable reason to
>> delay an inspection.
>>

>> Q: My boss didn't tell me anyone would come by to inspect our radio
>> so I don't have to let the FCC inspectors in, right?
>>
>> A: Wrong. The licensee is responsible for knowing the rules and
>> those include the FCC's right to inspect. Because the employer is
>> responsible for the acts of the employee, it is up to the
>> licensee-employer to inform its staff as to its responsibilities
>> concerning the operation of the radio station.
>>
>> Q: I run a small daytime only AM station. Do I have to allow the
>> agents to inspect the station late at night?
>>
>> A: The FCC inspects during hours of operation. Thus, a day time
>> station, by definition, should not be operating at night. If FCC
>> agents determine that radio signals are emitting from the daytime
>> station during night time hours, however, an inspection must be
>> allowed if requested by an FCC agent.
>>
>> Q: How do I know that these are really agents from the FCC?
>>
>> A: FCC Agents have a badge and credentials with their names and the
>> FCC seal which they will present to you when requesting your
>> permission to inspect. If you would like to further confirm their
>> identity, you may call the FCC's Crisis Management and
>> Communications Room in Washington, D.C., at (202)632-6975. It is
>> open 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.
>>
>> Q: If an agent is testing my FCC authorized equipment and the
>> equipment breaks or malfunctions during the tests, is the FCC
>> liable?
>>
>> A: If the agent was negligent, you may have a claim under the
>> Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) to recover damages for your property.
>> The FCC will make the initial determination whether the agent was
>> negligent.
>>
>> Q: Can I have my attorney present during the inspection? Can I make
>> the agent wait to start the inspection until my attorney is present?
>>
>> A: You may have your attorney present during the inspection;
>> however, there is no constitutional right to have your attorney
>> present. Therefore, you may not make the agent wait until your
>> attorney arrives. Making the agent wait for your attorney conflicts
>> with the "unnecessary delay" requirement discussed earlier.
>
>
>
>
>
>

3459. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:34:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A1E67.17C7983F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19753

W1RFI wrote:
> When it comes to code use, the game will not be over for as long as this old
> brasspounder can still toggle the paddles on his keyer. :-)

You pound your paddles????

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3460. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:37:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A1F29.E5361CB6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19754

W1RFI wrote:
> Tens of thousands of hams upgrading is, IMHO, more than a tempest
> in a teapot.

The "tempest in a teapot" was the (micro)hordes of CBers and
freebanders who entered the ARS after restructuring.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3461. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:42:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A2038.296E1061@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19755

W1RFI wrote:
> And 50 years as a ham may mean that someone
> passed his entrance exam and has had a five-decade long career of operating,
> learning and contributing. Why would you presume that it is not an
> achievement.

That's my argument, Ed. It's the "long career of operating,
learning and contributing" that is important. By itself, the
length of time someone has been licensed is unimportant. It's
not how long that is important. It is what was accomplished
that is important.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3462. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:43:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A2085.CFA5AA3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19756

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
> > would never have happened.
>
> History cannot be written in advance.

Tell that to the guys who say that the Civil War would not have
happened without slavery.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3463. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:44:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A20CC.F195C74E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19757

Dick Carroll wrote:
> "Expect the unexpected"

If you expect the unexpected, then it's not unexpected, is it?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3464. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:47:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A2183.C996DE45@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19758

W1RFI wrote:
> Are you sure your question wasn't intended more to keep the disagreement going
> than to result in productive change in all of our ways of thinking?

Wouldn't a "productive change in all of our ways of thinking"
violate the r.r.a.p virtual charter? :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3465. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 13:52:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A22A7.7AA3A37C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19759

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Don't tell me you used separate antennas and transmitted into your
> receiver. My old ELmer burned the RF coils out of his Sky Buddy doing
> that way back there. I was forewarned.

If I remember right, the Globe Scout furnished 110vac out the Aux
octal socket when the transmit switch was on. I used that to switch
an old coaxial relay which was given to me by my Elmer.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3466. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:02:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908100259.09114.00000153@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19760

In article <3B9975C0.4B7F9D75@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.
>
>PSK31 is ideal for such a person.
>--
Yup. But the discussion was about what's most convenient.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3467. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:03:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908100301.09114.00000154@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19761

In article <20010907232713.02731.00000130@nso-fp.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010907220257.27641.00000147@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>You said you were going to do it, but you haven't. Actions speak louder than
>>words.
>
>If you won't quote my "if" then you have to show where I
>specified a date of doing so.

I quoted the ENTIRE post, Len. Where's the "IF"? Point it out to us. Here's
your ENTIRE post again:

BEGIN QUOTE:

From: Lenof21 (lenof21@aol.com)
Subject: Re: Petition for partial reconsideration Filed!!!
ewsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
View: Complete Thread (82 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2000/01/19
In article <27EF731ED4A6C251.37C0D81516E4FD68.9695A23CAD7F622A@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Zzzzz. ALL the radio licenses of the old, bygone days were like
>> >that, grandpa, even the commercial licenses. BFD.
>> >
>> Yeah, I had one of those, Second TELEGRAPH.

[Kelly didn't get is FIRST...tsk, tsk :-)]

>> >Cut yer crap and count yer blessings
>> >that the inventive license system gave you bragging rights
>> >about your license class...
>> > Just can't stand that can ya? Heee!
>> >
>> >LA
>> >
>> w3rv Amateur Extra CP35
>
>Yeah? Bragging rights, huh? Well, if that's what it's called nowadays,

>then that's when ham radio died.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Bragging rights were codified in law with the so-called Incentive License plan and firmly cemented with the Vanity Call sub-parts of Part 97. US amateur radio didn't die then...but it CHANGED to make the bragging LEGAL. :-)

Kelly is having a fun time trying to make fun of others less skilled at morse code and a long time-in-grade as an extra. That's a form of bragging, a form of showing "superiority" over others...for the braggist's personal pleasure.

Such bragging does irritate me, yes, but only to a minor degree. What does and has bothered me for years, on and off, is the continual insistence that such qualities ("ham radio is all about CW on HF," and other Morse Myths) somehow "define" amateur radio's "experts." What really happened is that these old-timers managed to get into a position of influence at the ARRL and had the ARRL lobby the FCC to keep those "standards." They did that for years but the newer access to the government via the Internet, the dissemination of rule-change notices through the Internet has bypassed the ARRL. US radio amateurs no longer have to get information second-hand nor do they have to go through (by the League's insistence) their Section Director to "communicate their desires." Everyone, ham or non-ham, can go DIRECT to the FCC. The control of influence/lobbying of the FCC by the ARRL has eroded. This disturbs many who Believe in Big Brother to do the lobbying for them. Tough. The PUBLIC spoke and the FCC listened. 99-412 happened.

I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant. What 99-412 really means is that there is no longer any time-in-grade "seniority" nor any morsemanship that indicates "superiority" of those bragextras. That applies to EVERYONE who wants to try...without all the artificialities of adhering to standards and practices of the 1930s held in place by old men trying to relive their youth by bravado and braggadoccio.

I'm another "old man," a retired professional in radio-electronics engineering. Some of those tenured old men of US ham radio don't seem to want anyone with practical, professional and academic skill in radio and electronics technology. They seem to fear those who have industry-proven capabilities because that doesn't fit into their standards...or because they are

insecure in not really knowing what goes on behind
the front-panel of their factory-built equipment. They
have difficulty in trying to put down those who know
practical theory so they try to make fun of them
instead...using their license paper as a "diploma"
and their HF CW tenure as some kind of "PhD" or
"professorship" of radio. Radio theory is somehow
imbued by spending hours working rare DX at high
rates, therefore some of those old men are "expert."
:-)

When 99-412 goes into real restructuring in April, it
won't change some of those tenured old men of US
ham radio. They will be bitter, boastful, and express
contempt of newcomers, some of which will have the
exact same government-granted rank/status/privilege
as all those old men who claimed they "worked" for
theirs. Human nature doesn't change. Neither have
they.

Len

END QUOTE

I don't see you writing the word "if" anywhere in that post. Where is it?

>There isn't one, is there?

You did not specify a date. That does not change the fact that you haven't done
what you said you were going to do.
>
>Has James Miccolis ALWAYS said what he is going to
>do and ALWAYS accomplished that?

In other words, you admit that you said you were going to do it and haven't. To
distract attention from that point, you attack me, using my full name.

> Get real, no
>human is that "perfect."
>
How do you know? We're talking about something you said you were going to do,
here, in public, on rrap. Hasn't happened yet.

>Now...how about I challenge you?

Why go through the motions?

> Let's see YOU take
>some of the tests I did in the past and see how well
>you can do?

There you go again, Len - focusing on the tests YOU took. Why do you want to
live in the past?

This is an amateur radio newsgroup. The discussion is about tests for an amateur radio license.

>You can't do it, Jimmy, can't pass them.

The ones that are not available cannot be passed by anyone.
>
>Do you really want to live in the past?

You seem to - focusing on the tests YOU took so long ago.

> If so, get off the
>newsgroup stuff and go invent a time machine.

In other words, you want me to shut up because I point out the facts too often.
>
>Oh, and you DID say I was "full of myself" in a recent
>posting. [see some irrelevant discussions of electronic
>experiments and projects on oscillators] :-)

When? Provide the post, like I did. C'mon, Len, you say you know something about computers. Go to google and dig up the post where I wrote that.
>
>Now that you've lived happily in the past for a while, why
>not come out to the present and live for the future?

Ah, the old ad hominem attack when shown the truth. Shoot the messenger. Typical.

N2EY

3468. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:05:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A25C1.A0178D42@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19762

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> equipment?

Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
equipment because I do not have any equipment?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3469. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:08:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9A2652.2EF026B1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19764

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.
> >
> >PSK31 is ideal for such a person.
> >
> Yup. But the discussion was about what's most convenient.

Seems "ideal" would also be "most convenient".

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3470. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:08:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908100833.06106.00000502@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19763

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/8/01 8:28 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010908082829.08912.00000499@mb-cl.aol.com>
>
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>If and when S25.5 goes away, how long do you think the USA will have code
>>testing for any class of ham license? I say it will last just as long as it
>>takes FCC to act on the paperwork to eliminate it.
>
>More than likely, but the "fat lady singing" in this case will when the
>treaty
>change, assuming it happens, is ratified by the Senate. I know an
>organization
>that has the petition ready to file.

I wonder if the FCC can (legally) "waive" the code testing requirement
after S25 is deleted, but before Senate ratification...?!?! (Ala PRB-1..?!?!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3471. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:20:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908102041.06106.00000507@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19765

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/7/01 11:27 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010907232713.02731.00000130@nso-fp.aol.com>

>In article <20010907220257.27641.00000147@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>You said you were going to do it, but you haven't. Actions speak louder than
>>words.

>If you won't quote my "if" then you have to show where I
>specified a date of doing so. There isn't one, is there?

Lennie, you have to be The King Of Cowardly Excuses.

>Has James Miccolis ALWAYS said what he is going to
>do and ALWAYS accomplished that? Get real, no
>human is that "perfect."

No...they are not. But this was one specific task which you said you WERE
going to do. You haven't done it, not that anyone here expected you to live up
to it.

We (yes, WE) didn't expect you to live up to it and you didn't let us down.
I expect your entire life has been a lot of half-hearted promises to do
something left yet undone. That explains your lack of accomplishment here.

>Now that you've lived happily in the past for a while, why
>not come out to the present and live for the future?

This "challenge" made by someone who can't make ANY point without
regressing to 1950-something and reminding us of his dallying with KW HF
stations in the Army.

Lennie, you switch your two faces so fast I need to hold on to something
to keep from losing my balance.

As always...

Steve

3472. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:32:38 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908103238.15479.00000506@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 19766

>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.

This is not true. When I read this post I had to try an experiment. Put on any broadcast
radio station and count how many words there are in a 1 minute of time. It will be way
above 120 wpm. Even if you listen to a radio talk show, the people that call in talk at 120
wpm. To state that a good operator can send and receive code at 120 wpm or faster is
absurd. Next try talking at 60 wpm. That is one word per second. You will sound like you
had a stroke. I am not a big fan of code, but I do realize there is a place for it. If you are
going to argue for code, don’t make statements that are untrue

3473. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:37:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908103757.06106.00000516@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19767

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/8/01 10:03 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010908100301.09114.00000154@nso-bd.aol.com>

>I quoted the ENTIRE post, Len. Where's the "IF"? Point it out to us. Here's
>your ENTIRE post again:
>
>BEGIN QUOTE:
>
>From: Lenof21 (lenof21@aol.com)
>Subject: Re: Petition for partial reconsideration Filed!!!
>ewsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>View: Complete Thread (82 articles) | Original Format
>Date: 2000/01/19
>In article
><27EF731ED4A6C251.37C0D81516E4FD68.9695A23CAD7F622A@lp.airnews.net>,
>"W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:
>

(SNIP)

>I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
>make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant.

ROTMFFLMMFAO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Thanks Jim! It was a lousy night at work and this really lit up my day!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3474. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:45:33 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908104533.18475.00000515@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 19768

>Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
>equipment because I do not have any equipment?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

You will be "presumed" to have used it anyway. Cecil, if the FCC can bypass the 'illegal search" protocol so easily, do you really think the cards are stacked evenly?

If they're at your door, they've already got the goods on you anyway. Take care and 73.

Mark

3475. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 14:47:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908104708.27465.00000587@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 19769

>Subject: Re: They Can't Answer
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/7/01 9:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010907212438.09114.00000133@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Save up and build yourself an Elecraft K2.

I'd love to and very well may, but right now I need an NTIA compliant HF rig for CAP. Unfortunately the K2 isn't at better than 10ppm.

However SANTA has the word on what and where to find the K2!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3476. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:04:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ndc1i$h3e$0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19770

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Why do you insist on everyone being equipped for CW/Morse if
> >> you never expect it to be the best choice for emergencies?
>
> >"Expect the unexpected"
>
> Why, then, do you not insist on everyone being equipped with a *station* to be
> used in emergencies? Why do you feel that knowing Morse code is more valuable
> than having a transmitter?

Why not? Just how far do you really want to take this?

>
> Are you sure you don't want them to know Morse code just because you want some
> of them to operate your favorite mode and think that no one will unless a
> licensing requirements requires them to learn it?

Yeah, I'm sure, Ed. I suppose I have a different outlook on hams
knowing and being able to *use* CW than the average. No doubt it's from
my life experiences, for I know that this viewpoint developed over time.
I haven't always had that belief. I know that 15 or 20 yers ago if you
had asked I'd have said that the code will be gone by the turn of the
century. However,after experiencing a couple of major failures of more
modern comm systems, a good look at a really modern trunked system, with
its glowing attributes and also a look at what happens when it *really*
stop working-at that point you really ARE out of communications-these
things are what altered my opinion over time. Then consider that every
HF ham rig built has CW capibility automatically built into it, sitting
there ready for an operator to use, and consider that CW works in
conditions where voice modes cannot, coupled with the knowledge that
other digital modes require much more hardware/software overhead which
means that they are not available more often than not, it just makes
sense for hams to equip themselves to be able to handle traffic on CW.
Anything less shows that hams who refuse to do so are irresponsible.

IMHO, it the issue really
> were emergency preparedness, there are a lot more important things to require
> than learning the code, yet you don't seem to want to require any of them.

IMO the FCC would be well within its charter to require licensed hams
to keep station equipment for use as long as they hold an active
license. Of course that won't happen and no one is suggesting it should.
But is is curious how any ham can expect to communicate on radio without

equipment.

3477. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:05:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ndc30$h3e$1@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19771

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Then why not insist that any mode available be prepared for? Talk about
> expecting the unexpected!

OK, I'm ready, how about you?

3478. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:06:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ndc5e$h3e$2@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19772

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > "Expect the unexpected"
>
> If you expect the unexpected, then it's not unexpected, is it?

WHAT is not unexpected, Cecil?

3479. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:23:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ndd4v$k2v$0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19773

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> *BUT* I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a part
> of any amateur radio operating license, nor do I believe that is
> justification for a "mandate" that all in emergency communications should
> know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.
>
> I think that the heavy emphasis on CW as this magical, mystical emergency
> communications mode causes a great deal of confusion.

Yeah, you're confused, all right, no surprise there. No one ever said
any such thing, nor in any way implied it. CW is no panacea, it is just
the most simple, most basic RF Communications mode, that works under
conditions which put voice modes out of business. But it *does* require
an operator to discipline himself to learn to use it. The fact that you
and others refuse to undestand this simple fact says a lot about your
selfcentered attitude toward the entire emergency communications issue
within ham radio.

I can't help but
> wonder why someone who loves the mode has no argument for its inclusion in
> testing other than that it will benefit emergency communication
> [paraphrased].

You *assume* I love it, wrongly as it turns out. You (many of you)
assume that because I advocate hams knowing how to use CW that it is
because I love CW. No. I appreciate it for what it is- the most basic,
simple to implement radiocommunications mode. If I "loved" it, I would
be a 35-40 WPM operator instead of a nominal 20 WPM op who doesn't havt
that much time to devote to it- but I have too many other things going
on, yeah, in retirement.How many TNC's do YOU have? I have three, and
three computers with sound cards. I still do occasionally get down on CW
and make an occasional contact. SOmetimes I'll tune in code practice
just to keep some resemblance of skill. (hey, no one needs more than
5wpm anymore to keep legal, you know. You could do that-Couldn't you?

IF passion for emergency communication for the benefit of
> public safety is the motivation, then why not support and realize that no
> one mode should be advocated--*all* modes available should be advocated?

Where ya been? Not reading my posts on this newsgroup, that's for sure.

> The argument that CW "will get through" when no other mode will just doesn't
> fly any more.

And it nerver did! Can't you read? It CAN get through far better than voice modes in austere conditions, which often accompany times where those emergency communications are needed. Did you ever listen to HF when there is a hurricane around? I'd bet not. So you really don't have a clue what you're talking about, you're just tossing out verbiage.

3480. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:24:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ndd7b$k2v$1@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19774

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Single signal
> > communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> > bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.
>
> Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?
> cheers, Cecil
>

Sure it is, that's half the bandwidth.....take it on down another 90%
and now you have real noise reduction...

3481. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:30:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nddio$l75$0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19775

W1RFI wrote:
>

I believe those that support the continued use of Morse code
> in the Amateur bands, and I count myself among them, should be careful that the
> words they choose today about Morse code, in what I believe to be a futile
> attempt to preseve code testing,

Who do we know that is doing any of that??? I thought we all concluded
here long ago that the code test was moot, gone, all that remains is for
the treaty to go away. The FCC said as much.
There is a difference in stating why there *should* be a code test,
and realizing that there will not be one. I think you seriously
misunderstand my
effort.

3482. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:31:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nddkb$l75$1@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19776

CAM wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > When it comes to code use, the game will not be over for as long as this old
> > brasspounder can still toggle the paddles on his keyer. :-)
>
> You pound your paddles????

Toggle equals pound?? Don't think so.

3483. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:33:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nddo5$l75$2@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19777

CAM wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Tens of thousands of hams upgrading is, IMHO, more than a tempest
> > in a teapot.
>
> The "tempest in a teapot" was the (micro)hordes of CBers and
> freebanders who entered the ARS after restructuring.

That points out how easy it has been to get into ham radio since 1985.
Most of they are already here, it turns out.

3484. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:41:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nde6s$mp8$0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19778

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> >Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
> >effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>
> This is not true. When I read this post I had to try an experiment. Put on any
> broadcast
> radio station and count how many words there are in a 1 minute of time. It will
> be way
> above 120 wpm. Even if you listen to a radio talk show, the people that call in
> talk at 120
> wpm. To state that a good operator can send and receive code at 120 wpm or
> faster is
> absurd. Next try talking at 60 wpm. That is one word per second. You will sound
> like you
> had a stroke. I am not a big fan of code, but I do realize there is a place for
> it. If you are
> going to argue for code, donâ☐☐t make statements that are untrue

John, John, John.... PLEASE. Your experiement proved only that you have no idea what Jim was talking about. Do it again and try to get every work written down ON PAPER with 100% accuracy. But this time you must go down on the AM band and look for a weak, fading station that is coming and going, such that you are not able to hear some words, thus you must insist that the talker spell each word phonetically and repeat each and every word that you miss or are even mildly unsure about. THAT is more in line with traffic handling. And that is what Jim was talking about. You wure won't get that at 120 WPM.

3485. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 15:47:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ndehlnea0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 19779

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> > equipment?
>
> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> equipment because I do not have any equipment?

He won't be there looking for it if you didn't have it. If you were far enough ahead of them to get rid of it before his arrival, you win.

3486. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908120309.07036.00000124@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 19781

In article <20010905215707.02518.00000122@mb-df.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>CW will survive and continue to flourish in amateur radio as long as
>>hams continue to use it and promote it on its merits.
>
>Amen, Jim.

Say ALLELUIA, brother!

> I will add that those that demote it in an attempt to keep the
>FCC
>testing it will not have done themselves or the continuation of the mode any
>favors. When the FCC drops morse code testing, or at least most of it,
>promoting the merits of CW will be all that they have left, just like every
>other mode.

FCC has already dropped most code testing. All that's left is a single test, kept alive by S25.5 and nothing else.

"Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em, don't tell 'em.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3487. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:03:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908120314.07036.00000125@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 19782

In article <20010907010726.25457.00000081@nso-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010906194212.28147.00000347@mb-bg.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI)
>writes:
>
>>I don't recall where Len ever said that he should be given a ham radio
>>license
>>without taking a test. I think he is just saying that he is a professional
>>in
>>electronics and doesn't have to put up with stuff.
>
>I've said separate things:
>
>1. I am a professional.
>
>2. I want to eliminate the morse code test for an amateur license.

You also wrote (Jan 19, 2000):

3. "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant. What 99-412 really means is that there is no longer any time-in-grade "seniority" nor any morsemanship that indicates "superiority" of those bragextras. That applies to EVERYONE who wants to try...without all the artificialities of adhering to standards and practices of the 1930s held in place by old men trying to relive their youth by bravado and braggadoccio."

4. "I'm another "old man," a retired professional in radio-electronics engineering. Some of those tenured old men of US ham radio don't seem to want anyone with practical, professional and academic skill in radio and electronics technology. They seem to fear those who have industry-proven capabilities because that doesn't fit into their standards...or because they are insecure in not really knowing what goes on behind the front-panel of their factory-built equipment. They have difficulty in trying to put down those who know practical theory so they try to make fun of them instead...using their license paper as a "diploma" and their HF CW tenure as some kind of "PhD" or "professorship" of radio. Radio theory is somehow

imbued by spending hours working rare DX at high
rates, therefore some of those old men are "expert."
:-)"
>
>They are not "anded," interlocked or otherwise connected.

Your many posts on the subject show otherwise.
>
>Those who attempt countering what I've said about (2) by
>connecting it with (1) are doing so deliberately and maliciously.

Even if what they say is true?

I think I know what really bugs you about the code test, Len. The following discussion is about US regulations only.

There are many people in modern society with enough knowledge of radio and electronics to pass the tests for a US ham license. Many more can easily pick up the required familiarity by reviewing the Q&A pools, which are widely available and free for the download. Any of these folks who wants a ham license can pass the writtens with almost no preparation.

Morse code is another matter. Only a tiny percentage of would-be hams already know the code well enough to pass the test. And unlike the written test, most people cannot learn enough Morse to pass the test by reading a book, watching a video or surfing some websites. Learning Morse usually takes a bit of dedicated study of the subject matter.

End result is that the Morse test functions as a "Great Equalizer" in which almost all prospective HF/MF hams have to queue up to the same starting line, learning the characters, building up speed, etc. Today there are more and better learning tools than ever, making the process easier and quicker, but the basic test remains.

From your many many many posts on the subject, Len, it's clear that you don't like the Great Equalizer. It's obvious that you don't want to have to start at the beginning of something like learning Morse. Anyone can see that you don't want to be lumped in with the great unwashed masses of hamdom, particularly those like me who have both technical knowledge and Morse skill. Instead, it seems you want instant recognition and status, based not on amateur radio accomplishments but on "other radio" accomplishments. And the code test stands in the way of all that.

If the shoe fits....

N2EY

3488. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:03:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908120315.07036.00000127@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 19780

In article <3B9A1D2B.42A73A0A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Single signal
>> communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
>> bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.
>
>Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?

Yup. And it makes CW superior to SSB.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3489. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:03:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908120315.07036.00000126@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 19783

In article <9nc413$g6m$0@208.207.71.146>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010907105755.06052.00000334@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI)
>> writes:
>>
>> >The current ARRL policy is that ARRL supports the retention of Morse code
>> >testing in the US regs to obtain HF access.
>> >
>> Yep - but the same ARRL policy says that the League will not oppose
>deleting
>> S25.5 from the ITU treaty.
>>
>> FCC has said that the ONLY reason they kept the 5 wpm code test was S25.5
>>
>> So if S25.5 goes, FCC will have no reason to keep even 5 wpm.
>
> And of course the BOD knows that, so it is much easier on them to offer
>support knowing that it is pointless, and thus maybe avoid alienating CW
>supporters. Of course we all know what Bill Cross is going to do. And
>the commissioners.

Precisely. The BOD is in a tough corner - on the one hand, support of S25.5
among other amateur societies is all but gone, as evidenced by last years
Region 3 IARU meeting. Many other countries have reduced their code test
requirements to 5 wpm. On the other hand, feedback, surveys and the comments to
the FCC show that a majority of US hams, ARRL members and others interested in
the subject support code testing.

So the BOD walks the narrow line shown in their policy. The support for
continued testing without S25.5 is just a bone tossed to the majority. When the
FCC drops 5 wpm, the BOD can say "We opposed that".
>
> The ARRL policy
>> will be ignored by the FCC. End of story.
>
>Exactly what is expected. One wonders what would happen if something
>threw a wrench into the works.

Not gonna happen.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

>

3490. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:10:27 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Tprm7.18762$Qt3.358973@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19785

>
> Making CW the only mode to have an independent stand-alone exam with
> a federal affirmative action program is not giving it priority????
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
Gee.... if blindness is the same thing as Visually Impaired, would a non-CW op be considered CW Impaired or CW Disabled or CW Disadvantaged?

Sorry... just could not pass this one up! :)

Ryan

3491. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:10:28 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Uprm7.18763$Qt3.359173@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19784

Let me guess what the number would be, 1-800-HAM-CLEO ????

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B970DE3.86164108@sccoast.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > Dwight, you just got an A+ on the
> > long-time ham psyche test! :-)
>
>
> Thanks. I'm be starting my own psychic 800 number for ham operators
> very soon now.
>
> By the way, I'll be dropping out of the newsgroup for a few days (I
> have some pressing matters that will keep me busy). See you when I return.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

3492. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 16:10:28 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Uprm7.18764$Qt3.359035@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 19786

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905215845.02518.00000123@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
>
> >Really, Ed. What amateur frequencies (primary of course) can someone
> >transmit legally without a license.
>
> It is legal to transmit on any amateur frequency without a license, either
at
> high power in a true emergency, or at a very low power level.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Yeah, but you better be able to demonstrate that there was absolutely no other option for communications and that it is pretty much a life or death type of emergency. Part 97.430 (or is it 97.340, something like that anyhow) allows for the use of any type of radio as long as specific conditions are met. Any other common, normal comms would otherwise be illegal.

Ryan

3493. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 17:43:44 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <l5mkptk12l8hbbj2euagn7do46jmmjcjke@4ax.com>
Source record: 19787

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
->
->>Personally, I don't think I will live to see the end of the
->>5wpm code US requirement for HF amateur access.
->
->Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)

I'll take part of that action as well {;-)

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3494. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 20:36:08 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9ndv73aqv1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19788

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9950A1.1C5EFE52@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > The TV screen is 2-dimensional, Dick, and a 2-dimensional image
> > > *proves* absolutely nothing.
> >
> > Dick said "round". How many dimensions do you need to draw a circle?

> Two, of course, but not necessarily three. Something can be round and
> flat at the same time.

So back to my original question, how does the flat earth society prove that
the world is five sided, and flat surfaced?

3495. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 20:38:53 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9ndvc9$b4q$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19789

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B995149.C236044A@IEEE.org...

> When you imply that you are quoting, paraphrasing is not allowed.

Says you?

> I simply did NOT say that the civil war had nothing to do with
> slavery. The implication of what I said was, "The Civil War was
> not (100%) about slavery. It was (100%) about economics."

But that's not what was implied. You intended to make an outrageous
statement, as usual.

3496. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 22:17:17 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@home.comno>
Message-ID: <NNwm7.181440$EP6.53377851@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19790

Bill, Then don't use the radio, they have that right because they tell you this going in you know when you buy the radio what the rules are right, at least thats what everyone in here says. They all say they know the rules well the rules clearly state that the authority has the right to inspect your station. Why is this a problem, most law abiding citizens don't have a problem with it if you are operating legal Why would a visit from the FCC be mentioned in the same breath as Jews and nazi and burning people. No one is telling you to use a radio, if you don't like the rules don't use the service. Do you take your car in for its annual motor vehicle inspection (for those states that do) ? Don't wanna get pulled over by the cops on the highway, don't drive. Its your choice. You don't have to like all the rules you just have to abide by them.

"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message news:mddkpt8qtl60i3nud0f7qtc24q9on2ohi2@4ax.com...
> No question about it, the FCC claims the right to bypass the 4th
> Amendment, but then we ahould remember that the Nazis claimed the
> right to burn millions of Jews. Making it a rule doesn't make it
> right.
>
> On 8 Sep 2001 06:18:13 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> wrote:
>
> >Thought this might be of interest. Follow-ups set to .policy.
> >73, Jeff KH6O
> >
> >
> >http://www.fcc.gov/cib/inspect.html
> >> Compliance and Information Bureau
> >>
> >> Compliance Division
> >>
> >> Inspection FACT SHEET
> >>
> >> JANUARY 1998
> >>
> >> The Federal Communications Commission has the authority to inspect
> >> most radio installations.
> <snip>

3497. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 23:14:04 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9aa8b3_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19791

In spite of your insults and snippets below, I did end up reading most of what you posted, Dick. No, I choose not to operate CW and have never had an interest in CW beyond what I had to know to get my amateur radio license.

However, being EC for Hunt County, living and breathing emergency communication preparations every spare moment that I have, a burning desire to see more than a handful of amateurs involved for the benefit of their communities, and providing leadership and essential organizing skills to the county is everything I give back to amateur radio--as much and more than I can personally afford out of my spare time. I have two TNC's, many, many talkies that are there for the asking on any public service event, a 70' tower up in the backyard with nothing on top of it, but lots off to the sides of it, have a 40' pushup pole for my homebuilt 2M quad beam, served in MARS for two years, one year as Net Control (NNN0YCD is the call), have just spent from March to next weekend and about $1500 (that I have made by working many overtime hours at my job) putting together an all day planning session for Hunt County ARES to try and get loads more people involved, have taken the Levels I, II, and III ARRL Emergency Communications courses, have mentored one Level I class, one Level II class (ongoing), and have spent what time I could helping develop the Level III class with others working for the ARRL. I have helped elderly hams get antennas back into working order, disabled hams establish stations by giving away radios, getting crews together that will help erect antennas, etc. I will be donating a full working computer station, a radio, and a antenna if it is needed to our newly established EOC, and that is just the tip of the iceberg for my ham radio stuff--just this year. I have a wonderful husband whose patience goes beyond standard to see his wife out running around until all hours of the night helping other hams become excited, better hams and people by encouraging them to think outside the box and get their elbows bent doing some community work. I will do all I feel I can do for the communities I live in, through ham radio, and hopefully will grow the hobby in the process.

But, because I don't like to operate CW; and because I don't think it should be part of the licensing structure, you, and people like you such as almighty and powerful Larry think that people like me are not real hams. We're more hams than many on this newsgroup; and we don't ask a damned thing back from this hobby, either. We don't ask for your respect, your idolatry, your anything. We just keep on trying whatever we can to help our communities realize that ham radio is advantageous, fun, and could save lives in the while.

I am a real ham, Dick, with or without CW. Self-centered, huh? I think you need to take a good, long, hard look at what is happening in this hobby all across the United States. In spite of people like you, there are people

like me who are out there working hard to get this hobby woke up.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ndd4v$k2v$0@208.207.71.166...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > *BUT* I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a
part
> > of any amateur radio operating license, nor do I believe that is
> > justification for a "mandate" that all in emergency communications
should
> > know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.
> >
> > I think that the heavy emphasis on CW as this magical, mystical
emergency
> > communications mode causes a great deal of confusion.
>
>
> Yeah, you're confused, all right, no surprise there. No one ever said
> any such thing, nor in any way implied it. CW is no panacea, it is just
> the most simple, most basic RF Communications mode, that works under
> conditions which put voice modes out of business. But it *does* require
> an operator to discipline himself to learn to use it. The fact that you
> and others refuse to undestand this simple fact says a lot about your
> selfcentered attitude toward the entire emergency communications issue
> within ham radio.
>
>
>
> I can't help but
> > wonder why someone who loves the mode has no argument for its inclusion
in
> > testing other than that it will benefit emergency communication
> > [paraphrased].
>
>
> You *assume* I love it, wrongly as it turns out. You (many of you)
> assume that because I advocate hams knowing how to use CW that it is
> because I love CW. No. I appreciate it for what it is- the most basic,
> simple to implement radiocommunications mode. If I "loved" it, I would
> be a 35-40 WPM operator instead of a nominal 20 WPM op who doesn't havt
> that much time to devote to it- but I have too many other things going
> on, yeah, in retirement.How many TNC's do YOU have? I have three, and
> three computers with sound cards. I still do occasionally get down on CW
> and make an occasional contact. SOmetimes I'll tune in code practice
> just to keep some resemblance of skill. (hey, no one needs more than
> 5wpm anymore to keep legal, you know. You could do that-Couldn't you?

>
>
> *IF* passion for emergency communication for the benefit of
> > public safety is the motivation, then why not support and realize that
no
> > one mode should be advocated--*all* modes available should be advocated?
>
>
> Where ya been? Not reading my posts on this newsgroup, that's for sure.
>
>
>
>
> > The argument that CW "will get through" when no other mode will just
doesn't
> > fly any more.
>
>
>
> And it nerver did! Can't you read? It CAN get through far better than
> voice modes in austere conditions, which often accompany times where
> those emergency communications are needed. Did you ever listen to HF
> when there is a hurricane around? I'd bet not. So you really don't have
> a clue what you're talking about, you're just tossing out verbiage.

3498. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 23:38:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AAC0B.3566F59E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19792

Drpfrench wrote:
>
> >Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
> >effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>
> This is not true.

Jim is assuming that it is not conversational English but instead
requires many phonetic spellings. For instance, he is assuming that
my name would be Charlie Echo Charlie India Lima which takes
approximately the same time as -.-. . -.-. .. .-.. in Morse.
Morse is about the same throughput as spelling out English words
using letters and/or phonetics. It's mostly a strawman argument.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3499. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 23:43:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AAD3B.59F803EA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19793

Dick Carroll wrote:
> John, John, John.... PLEASE. Your experiement proved only that you have
> no idea what Jim was talking about. Do it again and try to get every
> work written down ON PAPER with 100% accuracy.

Ever hear of magnetic tape, Dick? It's not a recent invention.
If you want it written down on paper, use a digital mode and
tell your computer to print it out.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3500. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 23:46:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19794

N2EY wrote:
> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em, don't
> tell 'em.

It would be interesting to know just how many demos the CW promoters
have presented in the past year. IMO, they have precious little time
left over for demos after all the whining on this newsgroup.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3501. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 23:51:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AAF0E.EFE0D20D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19795

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Two, of course, but not necessarily three. Something can be round and
> > flat at the same time.
>
> So back to my original question, how does the flat earth society prove that
> the world is five sided, and flat surfaced?

So back to my original answer: They perform a geometrical transform.
The Smith Chart is an example of a geometrical transform where infinity
is transformed into existence onto a piece of paper. A sphere can easily
be transformed into a flat surface and boundary conditions can ensure
five sides to that flat surface.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3502. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-08 23:54:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AAF98.6D619050@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19796

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3B995149.C236044A@IEEE.org...
>
> > When you imply that you are quoting, paraphrasing is not allowed.
>
> Says you?

Quoting and paraphrasing are mutually exclusive.

> > I simply did NOT say that the civil war had nothing to do with
> > slavery. The implication of what I said was, "The Civil War was
> > not (100%) about slavery. It was (100%) about economics."
>
> But that's not what was implied. You intended to make an outrageous
> statement, as usual.

What you inferred was not what I implied. Will you never learn?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3503. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:01:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB167.CC3F1807@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19797

Dick Carroll wrote:
> CW is no panacea, it is just
> the most simple, most basic RF Communications mode, that works under
> conditions which put voice modes out of business.

It's usefulness is certainly limited by the fact that 99.9% of the
human race doesn't understand it. Wonder why the military has abandoned
CW for day-to-day use and how they manage to get their digitized voice/data
modes through in a perfectly acceptable manner?

> You *assume* I love it (CW), wrongly as it turns out.

You can say that again. Anyone who loved CW would not state that
it has so little merit that it needs to be forced on all HF hams
by a federal affirmative action program.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3504. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:05:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB249.1FF858CD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19799

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Single signal
> > > communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> > > bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.
> > >
> > > Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?
> >
> > Sure it is, that's half the bandwidth.....take it on down another 90%
> > and now you have real noise reduction...

Right along with throughput reduction. Try PACTOR II, Dick. It will
do 50wpm in less bandwidth when you can't even hear the carrier.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

3505. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:06:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB282.30E0927B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19800

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B9A1D2B.42A73A0A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> Single signal
> >> communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> >> bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.
> > >
> >Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?
>
> Yup. And it makes CW superior to SSB.

And it makes PACTOR II superior to CW.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3506. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:08:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB2F5.B5F74B92@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19798

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > When it comes to code use, the game will not be over for as long as this old
> > > brasspounder can still toggle the paddles on his keyer. :-)
> >
> > You pound your paddles????
>
> Toggle equals pound?? Don't think so.

He says he pounds brass, Dick. That he is also old is irrelevant. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

3507. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:09:49 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B9AB34D.C7927DBC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 19801

N2EY wrote:

> Remember, the war against the Japanese lasted almost four years, and there were
> several big naval battles. In addition, US Navy submarines took a tremendous
> toll of both merchant and capital ships throughout the war.
>
> Although the submarine service consisted of just 3% of the US Navy then, subs
> sank 52% of the Japanese tonnage lost in WW2.
>
> (My favorite submarine David-and-Goliath story is the sinking of the brand-new
> supercarrier Taiho by the Albacore, using a single torpedo).

I heard that our torpedos' engines had a high failure rate, but I suppose we had more than enough to make up for that. probably the biggest thing in our favor was that we cracked their secret codes; something they never believed we'd ever be able to do. Of course we had to ration our knowledge gained, picking what battles were critical to win, and losing the ones that didn't matter. Else they'd
realize that their code was cracked.

>
>

3508. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:11:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB3CE.14A53C26@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19802

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I believe those that support the continued use of Morse code
> > in the Amateur bands, and I count myself among them, should be careful that the
> > words they choose today about Morse code, in what I believe to be a futile
> > attempt to preseve code testing,
>
> Who do we know that is doing any of that???

You have stated that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merits to exist
without a federal affirmative action program. Ed and I have said
that it does. So which of us is trying to turn new hams off to
CW and which of us is trying to turn new hams on to CW? Your
vinegar is just not working, Dick. Try some honey.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3509. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:18:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB53A.16863D21@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19807

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > Tens of thousands of hams upgrading is, IMHO, more than a tempest
> > > in a teapot.
> >
> > The "tempest in a teapot" was the (micro)hordes of CBers and
> > freebanders who entered the ARS after restructuring.
>
> That points out how easy it has been to get into ham radio since 1985.
> Most of they are already here, it turns out.

So there were not hordes of CBers and freebanders after restructuring
because they are already here???? Then what the hell was all your
whining and moaning about? If the sky fell in 1985, why are you
still shouting that the sky is falling and/or is going to fall?

If ham radio is screwed up, Dick, it was screwed up long before you
ever thought about taking a ham exam. Ham radio, like any other human
endeavor, is not perfect and never has been, not even with you in it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3510. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:19:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd3zu3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19803

On 08 Sep 2001 13:33:24 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>I'm with Bert. Come on in fellas, radio room's through that door. I'll put a
>fresh pot of coffee on.

"We pass on the coffee...and will make it quicker and easier and get
us out of your hair much sooner."

And probably get you a warning instead of a harsher sanction if the
situation could go either way.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3511. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:19:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd2un2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19806

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 08:18:03 -0700, Bill wrote:

>No question about it, the FCC claims the right to bypass the 4th
>Amendment,

An FCC inspection is not an "unreasonable search or seizure" as prohibited by the Fourth Amendment. Read the part of the Public Notice about what agents can and cannot inspect. Operating the radio or mere holding a license (if required) triggers the authority to inspect provided for in Section 303(n) of the Comm Act.

In real life, the FCC agent will identify him/herself (yes, there ARE lady agents) and make a request for inspection. The FCC agent does not have authority to make an entry by force, therefore there's no Fourth Amendment violation.

Refusal to allow an inspection - one of the most serious actions dissing the FCC's authority - result in anything from issuance of a Notice of Violation enmeshing the operator in the wheels of the bureaucracy, to a Monetary Forfeiture enmeshing the operator's wallet into that same bureaucracy, to a visit by the US Marshal with a court order (warrant) which grants the authority for entry "with force deemed appropriate" to make a seizure and/or arrest.

The FCC never loses...

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3512. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:19:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd4a55.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19804

On 8 Sep 2001 15:47:01 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
>> equipment because I do not have any equipment?
>
>
> He won't be there looking for it if you didn't have it. If you were far
>enough ahead of them to get rid of it before his arrival, you win.

Not so....if there's proof that radio signals came from the
premises, and the operator claims (or even shows) "mo equipment
here", the operator is cited for failure to permit a complete
inspection.

"The dog ate it" defense doesn;t hold up.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3513. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:19:37 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd4524.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19805

On 08 Sep 2001 14:45:33 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>>Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
>>equipment because I do not have any equipment?

>You will be "presumed" to have used it anyway. Cecil, if the FCC can bypass the
>'illegal search" protocol so easily, do you really think the cards are stacked
>evenly?

Where is the violation of the "unreasonable search and seizure"
prohibition if you do not allow an inspection? The FCC does not have
the authority to force its way in without a warrant.
>
>If they're at your door, they've already got the goods on you anyway.

You betcha......
--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3514. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:22:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908202210.17422.00003783@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 19808

In article <20010908120314.07036.00000125@nso-mm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010907010726.25457.00000081@nso-fl.aol.com>, >lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20010906194212.28147.00000347@mb-bg.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>>(W1RFI) writes:
>>
>>>I don't recall where Len ever said that he should be given a ham radio
>>>license
>>>without taking a test. I think he is just saying that he is a professional
>>>in
>>>electronics and doesn't have to put up with stuff.
>>
>>I've said separate things:
>>
>>1. I am a professional.
>>
>>2. I want to eliminate the morse code test for an amateur license.
>
>You also wrote (Jan 19, 2000):
>
>3. "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
>make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant.

Gosh and gee whilickers, JM, I never did state a date of
when that would be done, did I?

Tsk, tsk, I sense petulant whining from you on the
insistence to Do It Now!!! :-)

>>Those who attempt countering what I've said about (2) by
>>connecting it with (1) are doing so deliberately and maliciously.
>
>Even if what they say is true?

They aren't "true," JM. You WANT it to be true, I'm sure,
because you have strong feelings about CW and the
near-absolute traditions that go with it. It must bug the
hell out of you that anyone Dares to speak the least
thing against your opinions. :-)

>I think I know what really bugs you about the code test, Len.

Is it true that passing a ham test bestows Telepathic abilities?

If so, I might run out and get one on Monday. Mind-reading sounds like a cool tool and, if it comes free with the test, even better!

>The following discussion is about US regulations only.

Really?!? :-)

>There are many people in modern society with enough knowledge of radio and
>electronics to pass the tests for a US ham license.

Really? Isn't amateur radio different/superior to other radio?

>Many more can easily pick
>up the required familiarity by reviewing the Q&A pools, which are widely
>available and free for the download. Any of these folks who wants a ham
>license can pass the writtens with almost no preparation.

No preparation? How about bathing and dressing in clean clothes?

Oops, I got facetions there. My apologies.

>Morse code is another matter. Only a tiny percentage of would-be hams already
>know the code well enough to pass the test. And unlike the written test, most
>people cannot learn enough Morse to pass the test by reading a book, watching
>a video or surfing some websites. Learning Morse usually takes a bit of
>dedicated study of the subject matter.

But, can would-be hams prepare for the writtens by reading a book, watching a video or surfing some websites? Please specify the book, the video, the URL so as to aid the woefully ignorant.

>End result is that the Morse test functions as a "Great Equalizer" in which
>almost all prospective HF/MF hams have to queue up to the same starting line,
>learning the characters, building up speed, etc. Today there are more and
>better learning tools than ever, making the process easier and quicker, but
>the basic test remains.

So...Part 97 explains the "equalizer" part in detail to make it necessary to keep the code test?

The code test MUST remain because (other than some ITU-R ramblings) it "separates the men from the boys?" (difficult and gender disadvanted for females) It shows "dedication and resolve?" (does Part 97 or even Title 47 demand "dedication and resolve?") Is morse ability some secret, sacred necessity of MF-HF licensing that is unstated in any US laws?

Or isn't more like "all the MF-HF privilege radio amateurs had to take a morse test and therefore every would-be amateur has to do it too?"

>From your many many many posts on the subject, Len, it's clear that you don't
>like the Great Equalizer. It's obvious that you don't want to have to start
>at the beginning of something like learning Morse.

Incorrect. NOBODY should "have to start at the beginning of
morse testing" because there is no logical necessity of making
it part of modern-day amateur radio testing.

The FCC determines what the FCC needs in US civil radio
license testing, not the "amateur radio community" or those
that prefer OOK CW mode over all others. The FCC has
said (in 1990 and 1999) that the morse code test does not
serve any FCC purpose in determining whether or not an
amateur license examee should be granted a license.

>Anyone can see that you don't
>want to be lumped in with the great unwashed masses of hamdom, particularly
>those like me who have both technical knowledge and Morse skill.

You shouldn't put yourself down like that, JM. I'm sure you
wash regularly.

>Instead, it
>seems you want instant recognition and status, based not on amateur radio
>accomplishments but on "other radio" accomplishments. And the code test
>stands in the way of all that.

Tsk, tsk, you have it all wrong again, JM.

A written FCC examination is not "instant." Not for any radio
operator license, commercial or amateur.

One cannot have "amateur radio accomplishments" until an
amateur radio license is granted. Before then, it is
"impossible" to have any "acoomplishments" without
being a legal, licensed, certificated and credentialled radio
amateur. Haven't you seen what others have been
shouting on that subject? :-)

A code test is not necessary for a Technician class
license.

Try working that ploy again in your next tirade... :-)

>If the shoe fits....

Are you "built to last?!?" * :-)

LHA

* For the humor-impaired, a "last" is a form used by
shoemakers to fabricate a shoe to an individual's foot.
Many will be outraged by the use of puns in here, but,
remember: "When puns are outlawed, only outlaws
will have puns."

3515. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:22:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908202211.17422.00003784@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 19809

In article <20010908100301.09114.00000154@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010907232713.02731.00000130@nso-fp.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20010907220257.27641.00000147@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>You said you were going to do it, but you haven't. Actions speak louder
>>> than words.

I've never posted a date.

Sounds like whiny irritation at work that the PCTAs attribute
to the NCTAs: "Whaa, whaa, I want it NOW!" :-)

>>Has James Miccolis ALWAYS said what he is going to
>>do and ALWAYS accomplished that?
>
>In other words, you admit that you said you were going to do it and haven't.
>To distract attention from that point, you attack me, using my full name.

"Attack?!?" My, my, you are a very, very sensitive soul,
aren't you?

Let's see...James (NMI?) Miccolis makes a big thing out of
past postings, so much so that it becomes some sort of
battle royal in words ("go to Google and pull out the quote
that 'proves' someone said something!"). You have a penchant
for that pedantry of living in the past, "forcing" others to go
over old ground again and again until they tire of your past-
posting tirades. When others quit you can feel vindicated
that you have "proved" something...but all you've done is to
irritate others enough so that they quit posting replies.

You can make an avocational career out of "going to
Google" and arguing endlessly about PAST postings,
their wording, analyzing things phrase by phrase by
word by word. Some folks like that. James (NMI?)
Miccolis seems to. :-)

>> Get real, no human is that "perfect."
>>
>How do you know?

You are getting petulant there. Is James (NMI?) Miccolis
perfect? If so, please present proof from disinterested
sources.

>We're talking about something you said you were going to do,
>here, in public, on rrap. Hasn't happened yet.

Yes, I said that. I did not specify a date. I'll still hold to that
original statement but I'm not going to state any date now or
in the future. Live with that...but I don't think you can.

>>Now...how about I challenge you?
>
>Why go through the motions?

Why not? You Demand this of others and now try to get
out of it when the same thing is applied to yourself.

Tsk, tsk. :-)

>There you go again, Len - focusing on the tests YOU took. Why do you want to
>live in the past?

What I tried to point out is the same as what you Demanded
of others..."take the same tests I took." :-)

>This is an amateur radio newsgroup. The discussion is about tests for an
>amateur radio license.

Is it?!? :-) I thought it was about Old history of ham radio,
presidential politics, domestic versus foreign autos, Old
newsgroup postings, and, especially, about how superior
OOK CW is to every other mode.

>>You can't do it, Jimmy, can't pass them.
>
>The ones that are not available cannot be passed by anyone.

Correct...but you seem to want to dwell on such things. :-)

>>Do you really want to live in the past?
>
>You seem to - focusing on the tests YOU took so long ago.

I was focussing on "tests" done recently and have done so
for at least two years...those "tests" at work where the
"passing grade" was receiving a regular paycheck. Those
never resulted in a personal callsign but they were "tests"
nonetheless.

James (NMI?) Miccolis isists on my immediate testing for
the amateur radio service. Is that to "lend credence" to
all postings? :-) Will opinions remain the same after an
amateur radio test passing or is there some magical,
transcendental experience which opens up radio. Such a
revelation might be interesting to experience! :-)

>In other words, you want me to shut up because I point out the facts too
>often.

Oh, my, no! Jimmy, you take too many myths as "facts"
and make your personal opinions into "facts." Many of the
things you write are unbelievable as "facts" but you are
free to write them as long as you maintain an ISP. :-)

You make so many errors of "facts" (which are not real
facts) that it takes too much time to spend in corrections.
Feel absolutely free to make as many errors as you wish.

>>Now that you've lived happily in the past for a while, why
>>not come out to the present and live for the future?
>
>Ah, the old ad hominem attack when shown the truth. Shoot the messenger.
>Typical.

Well, I have to apologize for accusing you of living in the past.
You do that, but civility requires my apologizing for saying so. :-)

Suggestion: Post from the Google site. That way you would
have immediate access to all newsgroup messages instead of
just the 30 day limit at AOL. Then you can argue exact
previous postings' sentences, phrases, words, etc., with
impunity and righteousness against all who write against
your opinions and "facts."

Oh, and keep watching the amateur radio license databases.
There's no telling who may show up there in the future... :-)

LHA

3516. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:24:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB6CD.6D14DA2E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19810

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Why do you feel that knowing Morse code is more valuable
> > than having a transmitter?
>
> Why not? Just how far do you really want to take this?

Exactly what good is Morse code skill without a transmitter?
What is wrong with freedom of choice in a hobby in a free country?

> IMO the FCC would be well within its charter to require licensed hams
> to keep station equipment for use as long as they hold an active
> license.

Oh Boy, what ham radio needs is more governmental requirements and
regulations. A ham couldn't legally sell his rig in order to feed
his family during hard times. What a way to encourage newcomers - NOT!
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3517. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:25:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB707.B4854F01@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19811

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Then why not insist that any mode available be prepared for? Talk about
> > expecting the unexpected!
>
> OK, I'm ready, how about you?

Let us know when you are equipped for PACTOR II, Dick.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3518. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:30:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AB82B.CEF15A40@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19812

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > "Expect the unexpected"
> >
> > If you expect the unexpected, then it's not unexpected, is it?
>
> WHAT is not unexpected, Cecil?

Anything and everything that is expected, Dick. I'm surprised
you have to ask.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3519. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:36:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd5ba7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19813

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 19:24:45 -0500, CAM wrote:

>> IMO the FCC would be well within its charter to require licensed hams
>> to keep station equipment for use as long as they hold an active
>> license.
>
>Oh Boy, what ham radio needs is more governmental requirements and
>regulations.

The FCC has that requirement for private land-mobile radio licensees
who have one year to build and load/use the licensed facilities.

Many foreign governments have that rule for amateurs as well under
the theory that if you don't have any equipment you don't need a
license.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3520. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:39:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ABA46.8FBCB92@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19814

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> > > equipment?
> >
> > > Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> > > equipment because I do not have any equipment?
>
> He won't be there looking for it if you didn't have it. If you were far
> enough ahead of them to get rid of it before his arrival, you win.

Dream on, Dick. We have officers of the law in Florida planting guns
on citizens after they shot them and officers of the law in California
murdering citizens to obtain their possessions under the RICO laws.
And that's obviously just the tip of the iceberg. The Police State
is already here. You just haven't realized it yet. Anytime they choose
to take you down, they can do it with relative impunity with very little
chance of getting caught. Happy fascism.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3521. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:40:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ABA8B.C35A4C1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19815

Bill wrote:
> No question about it, the FCC claims the right to bypass the 4th
> Amendment, but then we ahould remember that the Nazis claimed the
> right to burn millions of Jews. Making it a rule doesn't make it
> right.

Remember the old saying - Might Makes Right!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3522. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:45:10 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1604cb85ca0e8f1398968b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19816

In article <3B9AB34D.C7927DBC@ix.netcom.com>, wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
says...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Remember, the war against the Japanese lasted almost four years, and there were
> > several big naval battles. In addition, US Navy submarines took a tremendous
> > toll of both merchant and capital ships throughout the war.
> >
> > Although the submarine service consisted of just 3% of the US Navy then, subs
> > sank 52% of the Japanese tonnage lost in WW2.
> >
> > (My favorite submarine David-and-Goliath story is the sinking of the brand-new
> > supercarrier Taiho by the Albacore, using a single torpedo).
>
> I heard that our torpedos' engines had a high failure rate, but I suppose we had
> more than enough to make up for that. probably the biggest thing in our favor
> was that we cracked their secret codes; something they never believed we'd
> ever be able to do. Of course we had to ration our knowledge gained, picking
> what battles were critical to win, and losing the ones that didn't matter. Else
> they'd
> realize that their code was cracked.

It was the magnetic detonators on the torpedo heads. The motors ran
great, the torps didn't explode in a dead on hit or exploded prematurely

3523. Re: DIRTY WHITE PEOPLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:47:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ABC25.CEA435F0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

StopTheHate1@netzero.net wrote:
> I do not understand why the United States
> permits public radio hosts to have
> web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .

Because although we loathe what they might say, we defend their
right to say it. The first ammendment essentially agrees with
the old saying, "Sticks and stones may break my bones but words
can never hurt me." And freedom is always better than fascism.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3524. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 00:55:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ABDEA.12E4C5C0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19818

Robert Casey wrote:
> probably the biggest thing in our favor
> was that we cracked their secret codes; something they never believed we'd
> ever be able to do.

"We"? You got a Brit in your pocket, Robert?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3525. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 01:02:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ABFAA.8A504DC8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19820

Phil Kane wrote:
> The FCC has that requirement for private land-mobile radio licensees
> who have one year to build and load/use the licensed facilities.
>
> Many foreign governments have that rule for amateurs as well under
> the theory that if you don't have any equipment you don't need a
> license.

That's great if one wants to trade freedom for fascism/socialism.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3526. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 01:05:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908210538.06169.00000782@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19819

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/8/01 8:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010908202211.17422.00003784@nso-bj.aol.com>

(Multiple "He Siad-She-Said" Quotes Snipped)

>I've never posted a date.

No, you haven't. But you said you'd do it, and you haven't. The time frame alluded to ("...out of the box") would have caused the reasonably well read participant to expect that you would do it as it was made available.

You are never, as always, without an excuse.

>You have a penchant
>for that pedantry of living in the past, "forcing" others to go
>over old ground again and again until they tire of your past-
>posting tirades.

Again, the King of The 1950's HF KW's scolds another for dong that which HE is so famous. (Or was that INfamous?)

>You can make an avocational career out of "going to
>Google" and arguing endlessly about PAST postings,
>their wording, analyzing things phrase by phrase by
>word by word. Some folks like that. James (NMI?)
>Miccolis seems to.

Mr. Miccolis "seems" to...You DO...! ! !

>Yes, I said that. I did not specify a date. I'll still hold to that
>original statement but I'm not going to state any date now or
>in the future. Live with that...but I don't think you can

Yes, Jim, why should we expect this lying sack of spurious emissions to do anything he said he would?

He's already been caught with his virtual britches down claiming to have NOT said something only to be spoon fed his own words....He's not about to live up to any of it now just to be contrary.

Guess he can run out and get that Yaesu FT-1000 now and listen to us on the air.

Maybe if we started a "Bash Lennie" net on 40 fone he'd finally gt a licnese so he could get in there and "defend" himself. Of course he'd only "answer" in here, but then the REST of Amateur Radio (those who are LICENSED

and ON the air) would only hear OUR side of it...

>James (NMI?) Miccolis isists on my immediate testing for
>the amateur radio service. Is that to "lend credence" to
>all postings?

How about to ANY posting, Lennie? So far, anything that exceeds electronics theory is pretty much just speculation and opinion on your part.

You are pretty skoshi on facts.

>Will opinions remain the same after an
>amateur radio test passing or is there some magical,
>transcendental experience which opens up radio.

In as much as you MIGHT then have a basis from which to formulate an INFORMED opinion (assuming, of course, you actually PARTICIPATE in Amateur Radio) some of yours might change.

And if you DID get a license, 90% of the "confrontational" exchanges that occur herein would no longer be founded.

>>In other words, you want me to shut up because I point out the facts too
>>often.

>Oh, my, no! Jimmy, you take too many myths as "facts"
>and make your personal opinions into "facts."

FACT: Leonard H. Anderson asserted that he never stated that he would obtain an Amateur Radio license.

FACT: N2EY proved he was lying.

FACT: Lennie is still stinging from it.

OH..That HAD to hurt!

>You make so many errors of "facts" (which are not real
>facts) that it takes too much time to spend in corrections.
>Feel absolutely free to make as many errors as you wish.

So far his ONLY error is assuming you are a man of your word.

We know better.

>Suggestion: Post from the Google site. That way you would
>have immediate access to all newsgroup messages instead of
>just the 30 day limit at AOL.

Hmmm...He did that over 24 hours ago...Posted your January 2000 rant in which you assert that you will obtain an Amateur Radio license. (that HAS been more than 30 days, hasn't it?) You still haven't obtained said license.

Assuming a VE test is available somewhere within 100 miles at least once a weekend, that's over 80 chances missed. Not a very enviable record for a "professional"

>Then you can argue exact
>previous postings' sentences, phrases, words, etc., with
>impunity and righteousness against all who write against
>your opinions and "facts."

I read the post from Google. he got it right. 100%. Your "response"
is to cower and lie.

Typical.

>Oh, and keep watching the amateur radio license databases.
>There's no telling who may show up there in the future.

It won't be YOU, but we'll look anyway.

SJR

3527. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 01:19:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908211946.06169.00000783@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 19821

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/8/01 8:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010908202210.17422.00003783@nso-bj.aol.com>

>>3. "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
>>make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant.
>
>Gosh and gee whilickers, JM, I never did state a date of
>when that would be done, did I?

Again...an educated person would have taken your "out of the box" comment to mean within a relatively short period of time after the availability of the test.

That was 1 year and four months ago. (that the test was available)

Not a very enviable record.

>Mind-reading
>sounds like a cool tool and, if it comes free with the test,
>even better!

I'll ask the Wizard to give you one along with the strawman. (A mind, that is...)

> The FCC has
>said (in 1990 and 1999) that the morse code test does not
>serve any FCC purpose in determining whether or not an
>amateur license examee should be granted a license.

And they hae also said thier hands are tied by the treaty (that YOU insist the US is not obligated to recognize) in that matter.

Contrary to your "lodge hall" and "secret society" rants, they have already stated that it's going away.

Only YOU continue to use it as a launching pad for more rants.

>One cannot have "amateur radio accomplishments" until an
>amateur radio license is granted. Before then, it is
>"impossible" to have any "acoomplishments" without
>being a legal, licensed, certificated and credentialled radio
>amateur.

YOU said it, Lennie! You can't have any "acoomplishments" without the license.

From your keyboard to our eyes!

>A code test is not necessary for a Technician class
>license.

Then why don't you have one?

>Try working that ploy again in your next tirade.

Back at ya, Big Boy.

SJR

3528. Re: DIRTY WHITE PEOPLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 01:47:05 UTC
From: Ryan <thrilldiver@home.com>
Message-ID: <pfilptoc913s1r0jqne1bjmsmu0olbemdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 19822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 19:47:33 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>StopTheHate1@netzero.net wrote:
>> I do not understand why the United States
>> permits public radio hosts to have
>> web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .

Like Louis Farrakhan?

3529. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 01:51:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd8pm0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19823

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 19:39:34 -0500, CAM wrote:

>Dream on, Dick. We have officers of the law in Florida planting guns
>on citizens after they shot them and officers of the law in California
>murdering citizens to obtain their possessions under the RICO laws.
>And that's obviously just the tip of the iceberg. The Police State
>is already here. You just haven't realized it yet. Anytime they choose
>to take you down, they can do it with relative impunity with very little
>chance of getting caught. Happy fascism.

Kinda difficult to hide the throw-down transmitter in my sock.

Happy paranoia.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3530. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 01:57:26 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908215726.16173.00000541@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 19824

>> He won't be there looking for it if you didn't have it. If you were far
>>enough ahead of them to get rid of it before his arrival, you win.
>
> Not so....if there's proof that radio signals came from the
> premises, and the operator claims (or even shows) "mo equipment
> here", the operator is cited for failure to permit a complete
> inspection.
>
> "The dog ate it" defense doesn;t hold up.
>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

This is why those who make (legislate?) the rules should NOT be the same ones to enforce the rules. Checks and balances, anyboby? Take care and 73.

Mark

3531. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:05:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ACE5B.EA6C2DD4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19826

Phil Kane wrote:
> Kinda difficult to hide the throw-down transmitter in my sock.

Not anymore, Phil. A complete TV transmitter can be contained
in about 0.5 cubic inches. Ever see the Alinco credit card
transceiver? Anytime the government chooses to take you down,
they are perfectly capable of doing so. It doesn't ease my
mind to be depending upon the benevolence of the government
for my continued existence.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3532. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:07:30 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908220730.16173.00000543@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 19825

> "We pass on the coffee...and will make it quicker and easier and get
> us out of your hair much sooner."
>

The point of the post was if you don't do the deed, you've nothing to worry about...hence, the coffee pot.

You cut the second part of the same post. The part about them being at your door for a purpose. (Unless they're DFing a station very close to your QTH and they spot your aluminum trees and decide to "pop in." I mean, hey...we enforce the same "laws" we make so; "We don't need no stinking warrant." You wouldn't happen to be a lawyer, Phil...would you? :-) Take care and 73.

Mark

(BTW, I've never met a LEO thet passed up a good cup of java, especially a freebie.)

3533. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:13:03 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908221303.16173.00000546@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 19827

>The FCC never loses...

I concur.

Hey Phil, have you heard about that fellow who is actually fighting Riley's modification of said ham's ticket? Apparently, this guy's got the money to throw away on a very expensive and reputable communications attorney and plans to take Riley to task. You gotta know this guy doesn't stand a shot, but it's kinda nice to see someone force the FCC to do it the "American" way...in a court of law. Take care and 73.

Mark

3534. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:14:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AD075.ADB69122@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19828

Phil Kane wrote:
> Operating the
> radio or mere holding a license (if required) triggers the authority
> to inspect provided for in Section 303(n) of the Comm Act.

Yep, the *alleged* operation of a radio is all that is required,
(just like the *alleged* sale of drugs).

> The FCC never loses...

Yep, not even if they are malevolently motivated.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3535. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:21:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AD222.43BF5AE7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19829

Mark Pearsal wrote:
>
> > "We pass on the coffee...and will make it quicker and easier and get
> > us out of your hair much sooner."
>
> The point of the post was if you don't do the deed, you've nothing to worry
> about...hence, the coffee pot.

You've got to be kidding. What about the guys in Florida upon whom
the police planted a gun after they shot them in cold blood? How
about the California guy who was murdered so the sheriff's department
could seize his possessions under the RICO laws? You don't have to
do the deed. All you have to do is be in the wrong place at the
wrong time.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3536. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:28:46 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908222846.16173.00000552@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 19830

>>You will be "presumed" to have used it anyway. Cecil, if the FCC can bypass
>the
>>'illegal search" protocol so easily, do you really think the cards are
>stacked
>>evenly?
>
> Where is the violation of the "unreasonable search and seizure"
> prohibition if you do not allow an inspection? The FCC does not have
> the authority to force its way in without a warrant.
>

Sorry Phil, your as wrong as two left feet on this one. To claim that "the FCC does not have the authority to force (I assume you mean physically?) its way in without a warrant" and then gleefully proclaim:

"Refusal to allow an inspection - one of the most serious actions dissing the FCC's authority - result in anything from issuance of a Notice of Violation enmeshing the operator in the wheels of the bureaucracy, to a Monetary Forfeiture enmeshing the operator's wallet into that same bureaucracy, to a visit by the US Marshal with a court order (warrant) which grants the authority for entry "with force deemed appropriate" to make a seizure and/or arrest."

This is no more "righteous" than coercing a sexual favor from a subordinate by threatening their job and then claiming the encounter was consentual, please. Phil, I respect your views, but you're dancing like a lawyer right now. It'd be nice if the FCC's rules and regs were legislated like any other laws...especially in light of the huge disproportionate fines levied. The FCC should be in the enforcement business, not the legislation business. Take care and 73.

Mark

3537. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 02:33:58 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908223358.16173.00000553@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 19831

>You've got to be kidding. What about the guys in Florida upon whom
>the police planted a gun after they shot them in cold blood? How
>about the California guy who was murdered so the sheriff's department
>could seize his possessions under the RICO laws? You don't have to
>do the deed. All you have to do is be in the wrong place at the
>wrong time.

Agreed Cecil, but I think it's more the exception rather than the rule. And that's coming from someone who now lives in a state where 41 .40 S&W caliber slugs are an acceptable response to an assault with a deadly wallet...but only in the vestibule of your own home. Hmm, let me rethink this...? Take care and 73.

Mark

3538. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:37:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010908233713.12161.00000351@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 19832

In article <3B99959B.2A1A4788@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> For
>> instance, if the suspect were seen to be armed, it would probably
>> be a bad idea to let him get away, ...
>
>A dozen bad cops in Florida seem to agree with you, Larry. They
>even went as far as to shoot suspected perps and then plant a
>gun on them.

Cecil:

Well, I certainly don't endorse that sort of behavior on the part of police officers. I was referring to a person who was actually witnessed to be carrying a firearm while in the attempt or actual process of committing a criminal act. Should this person flee from a police officer, I don't believe it would be a good idea to allow him to do so, if the officer can, with reasonable safety to the general public, use his own firearm to prevent the suspect's escape. Bad cops that plant guns on unarmed suspects aren't in agreement with me on anything at all. If I were a cop, and didn't actually see a gun in a crime suspect's hand, I would not shoot him under any circumstances. Would you?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3539. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:37:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010908233714.12161.00000352@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 19834

In article <3B999503.7A4AC94A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Funny, but they seem to have no problem shoving a dumbed-down
>> ARS down the throats of those who disagree.
>
>You continue to be mistaken, Larry. The FCC says that reducing
>the code requirements is NOT dumbing down the ARS so you continue
>to be involved in a Big Lie. It will never fly.

Cecil:

The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
dumbing down the ARS all it wants. That does not mean that what
they say is true, or that I believe it. The FCC, like any other bureaucracy,
will state whatever it needs to state in order to support it's own
objectives. Right now, one of their objectives is to rid itself of the
regulatory burden of a radio service which has no substantial
significance to the U.S. economy, in order to focus their limited
resources on those which do. The FCC thinks they "own" the ARS,
so they can say anything about it that they want to. However, in
actual fact, the ARS "belongs" to radio amateurs -- and it is what
radio amateurs make of it. That is the real-world, practical reality.

The FCC regulations only define the legal limitations of what radio
amateurs can do with the spectrum allocated to them. Defining the
true nature of the service is something that exists on a higher plane,
and must be the result of the total experience of radio amateurs.
Therefore, the FCC can't really say what "dumbing down" our service
is or is not, since they don't control the perceptions of radio
amateurs -- just how far they can spin their VFO knobs and power
output controls. The fact that they wrote this into the Restructuring
R&O doesn't mean that the ham community has to accept it as
the unalloyed truth.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3540. Re: DIRTY WHITE PEOPLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:37:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010908233715.12161.00000353@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 19836

In article <WCxm7.59299$tb.5085285@news02.optonline.net>,
StopTheHate1@netzero.net writes:

>I do not understand why the United States
>permits public radio hosts to have
>web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .

I think Hal Turner is nothing more than typical knuckle-dragging,
slope-headed, drooling, ignorant white trash. However, in the
United States, we have this wonderful thing called Freedom of
Speech -- which allows hate mongers like Turner to spew their
venom on-the-air, and also allows good people like ourselves
to call him what he truly is!

Snipped: A revolting, and accurate, description of Turner's
radio trash.

>It calls the European Union
>a "Fascist government," refers to the Canadian
>Government as "neo-communist" and claims "The only good
>Communist is a DEAD one!"

Above are the few items in which I believe Turner may have a point;
however, that point is not well taken in view of the remainder of his
racist attacks.

>Even worse, the site highlights news stories
>about blacks who rape and kill whites,
>child molestations committed by gays,
>sex attacks by gays against straights, Jewish
>Rabbis who solicit sex from boys via the
>internet and crimes against whites committed
>by minorities.

If these stories are taken from the news, and are factual, why
would you object to Mr. Turner's use of them on his web site?
The liberal media, with it's socialist agenda, does not report
such stories, therefore perpetrating a lie through omission.

>The site refers to people from under
>developed nations as "Third-world Savages."
>
>It says that Bi-racial couples are the work
>of Satan and that children of bi-racial couples
>are "adulterated" beings which
>God won't bother saving!

Yes, he does say these things, and these things are totally wrong. Therefore, it is the responsibility of well-meaning people such as ourselves to point out these fallacies, and object to them in the proper manner. Suggesting that Mr. Turner have his First Amendment rights cancelled is not the proper way to proceed.

>Please help me shut down this virulent hate site.

I would do that by writing to any advertisers who sponsor his radio show and/or web site, and state that they will lose my business if they continue to provide financial support to Mr. Turner's hate mongering.

>This man's radio show broadcasts to the entire
>world. Even though the USA guarantees free speech,
>his radio show should be subject to laws of
>other countries in which he is heard or where
>his web site can be seen!

If the broadcast originates in the United States, then it is subject to the laws of the United States with regard to content. And since the First Amendment is the law of *our* land, you, as a non-American, must oppose the likes of Hal Turner the same way other Americans would -- by stating your displeasure to the sponsors of the broadcast. Hit the man where he lives -- in his pocketbook. That is the American way. We don't go around abrogating people's civil rights just because we don't like what other people think, do, or say. THAT is something that is done in the "Third World" countries you defend so ardently.

>Sensitive, tolerant people of the world UNITE. Stop The
>Hal Turner Radio Show!

What is it we're supposed to be "sensitive" and "tolerant" of? Totalitarian control of our media? I think not. If you dislike the Hal Turner Show (and I don't blame you if you do), then do what the United States government does when other countries violate the civil rights of their citizens -- impose economic sanctions on him! State your objections to his sponsors! I guarantee you -- you won't get him off the air any other way!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3541. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:37:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010908233716.12161.00000354@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 19835

In article <3B999929.3DFCCE80@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Pay hom no mind, Larry, he also insists that I advocate using CW over
>> all other modes in an emergency. Nothing could be more wrong.
>
>Why do you insist on everyone being equipped for CW/Morse if
>you never expect it to be the best choice for emergencies?

Cecil:

My idea of the "best choice" mode for emergencies would be a high-
speed digital mode, capable of moving a great deal of traffic in minimum
time with maximum accuracy. Therefore, if the station receiving my
traffic were compatible, I would choose PACTOR, AMTOR, PSK-31,
and RTTY -- in that order. Were these modes not available at the
other end, I'd use HF phone, since it is the most ubiquitous mode.
Then, if conditions degraded to such a level that phone operation
were no longer possible or practical, I'd still have CW to fall back
on, since I happen to know how to use it. Therefore, as you can
see, my individual capabilities as an emergency communicator
have a lot of "depth." When I get down to the point where I no longer
have the fuel for a generator to run my HF station *and* a computer,
I can still communicate using low-power CW for quite a long
while after a ham without CW ability would have had to go QRT.
Without the code testing requirements in place at the time I
ascended "through the ranks" of the amateur license structure,
I would have been just another QRT phone or computer-
dependent ham.

Sooo -- who do you want around "…When All Else Fails?" Me, or
a Nickle Extra who never made an on-the-air CW contact?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3542. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:37:17 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010908233717.12161.00000355@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 19833

In article <3B999184.3676E800@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>> >How are you going to keep him from doing that, Larry? Shoot him?
>>
>> Why is that my only choice? I had planned to disagree with him should
>> this claim ever arise in this newsgroup. No firearms required -- just my
>> trusty keyboard!
>
>You didn't say you were going to disagree with him. You said you were not
>going to allow him to do that. You cannot keep him from doing that with
>just a keyboard. So I repeat. How are you going to keep him from doing
>that? Shoot him?

Cecil:

No matter how you convolute what I've said, I have absolutely no
intention of doing any sort of physical violence to anyone, anywhere.
You, however, seem to be obsessed with the notion of shooting
people. Perhaps the authorities in your area should be notified, and
any and all firearms you own should be confiscated until you can
prove that you do not intend to shoot anyone. If you own a gun,
Cecil, how do you intend to prove you don't intend to shoot anyone?
After all, since you are egging me on to shoot someone, I can only
guess that you want to see someone get shot -- therefore, since I
won't shoot anyone, you are likely to do it yourself! You are a
dangerous person, who should not possess firearms. Your apparent
obsession with shooting people is all the justification some liberal
judge would need to cancel your Second Amendment rights. So,
are you going to keep going on about shooting people, or what?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3543. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:50:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9neounmrs0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19837

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3B9A1D2B.42A73A0A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >> Single signal
> > >> communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> > >> bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.
> > >
> > >Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?
> >
> > Yup. And it makes CW superior to SSB.
>
> And it makes PACTOR II superior to CW.

But of course the problem is that far, far more CW rigs available,
simple as they can be constructed, and since they are universially
present in HF rigs, than PACTOR. The questionis not "should it be that
way" but it is the reality. And is almost certain to remain unchanged
for the forseeable future. Most hams want to use voice or CW. Some much
smaller percentage keep digital rigs in service at their home stations,
and even they rarely use them mobile or portable when camping, etc. It
just makes sense to try to keep CW available as a mode of common use for
emergencies.

3544. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:51:50 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9neouusue1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19842

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9AAF0E.EFE0D20D@IEEE.org...

> So back to my original answer: They perform a geometrical transform.
> The Smith Chart is an example of a geometrical transform where infinity
> is transformed into existence onto a piece of paper. A sphere can easily
> be transformed into a flat surface and boundary conditions can ensure
> five sides to that flat surface.

Is there impirical evidence to support their hypothesis?

3545. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:52:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nep1qmrs1@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19838

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > > When it comes to code use, the game will not be over for as long as this old
> > > > brasspounder can still toggle the paddles on his keyer. :-)
> > >
> > > You pound your paddles????
> >
> > Toggle equals pound?? Don't think so.
>
> He says he pounds brass, Dick. That he is also old is irrelevant. :-)

It's a euphemism, Cecil. Brasspounders toggle their keyers.

3546. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:52:53 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9nep1csun1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19841

----- Original Message -----
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Saturday, September 08, 2001 4:54 PM
Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3B995149.C236044A@IEEE.org...
> >
> > > When you imply that you are quoting, paraphrasing is not allowed.
> >
> > Says you?
>
> Quoting and paraphrasing are mutually exclusive.

When I quote someone, I put quotation marks around the quote.

> What you inferred was not what I implied. Will you never learn?

Your "implication" said that the Civil War was not about slavery. There's
not a lot of wiggle room there, Cecil. Do you understand the concept of a
logical "not"?

ac6xg

3547. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:53:22 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9nep3i$1d17$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 19843

N0YM <n0ym@iastate.edu> wrote:
>CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> N0YM wrote:
>> > Well, that and I've read that there is a good deal of controversy over
>> > how much economic good a tax cut targetted to the wealthy will do.
>>
>> The tax cut was NOT targetted at the wealthy. It was targetted at the
>> people who were going to pay the taxes in the first place no matter
>> what their income. You apparently want some people to get an unfair
>> share of tax money back, i.e. you want something for nothing.
>
>We've been over this, Cecil. *Every quality analysis* of the entire
>tax cut package shows that the cuts give the wealthiest Americans more
>than the share they actually pay, to say nothing of the share they
>actually need. Your assersion above is simply false.

"Actually need"? Is that like "from each according to ability, to
each according to need"? Who gets to decide how much of a person's
earnings is actually needed? Wouldn't the person who earned it be
in a better position to figure that out?

>See:
>http://www.cbpp.org/5-26-01tax2.htm
>http://www.ctj.org/html/gwblater.htm

You might want to read through these entirely.

Your assertion isn't true at all for this year's tax cut, which
is what I thought the topic of conversation was. This year's cut
takes 1% off each of the tax rates, drops the rate for those with
less than $12,000 taxable from 29% to 10% and increases the child
credit. All of this means, the less you earn the bigger your
percentage cut. More than this, capital gains and AMT are unchanged.
I'll bet I pay more taxes than you do, and I'll also bet you are
getting a bigger refund check than I am, because I'm not getting one.
Zilch. Nada. Next year I'll get a small cut, but I'll bet
it is still a lot less than yours. Somehow your quality analyses
aren't showing up in my pocket.

Your assertion also isn't true for any living person. What neither
of those "quality analyses" points out explicitly is that the only
part of this tax cut which actually provides a disproportionate
benefit to people who have more (everything else is the other way
around) are the cuts to the estate tax. It goes from 55% (!) now
down to 45% in 2007, then goes away in 2010, then goes back up to
55% in 2011. This has a disproportionate effect because the exemption

is large enough that a lot of people don't die with enough to be taxed, which the government makes up for by taking an outrageous amount from those who do have enough. The trouble is, the only way a wealthy American can take advantage of this incredibly valuable tax cut benefit is if he dies. What kind of benefit is that? If you are wealthy either you live until 2011, in which case you get a smaller tax cut and less additional cash in your pocket than everyone else, or you die before then, in which case you're dead. Boy, are those rich guys ever lucky.

Since you are basing your "wealthy Americans get more" assertion solely on the changes to the estate tax, let's look at that tax for a bit. The estate tax now is 55% of whatever you have when you die which exceeds your lifetime exemption. Except, if you leave the money to someone something like 37.5 years younger than you, or probably someone out of the country, then you also pay the Generation Skipping Tax, which is an additional 55% of the 45% that was left after the first 55%, for a total of 80% to the government and 20% to anyone else. And note that the money being taxed is money the guy who died already paid income tax on, so in the worst case the government already got 40% of the actual earnings, plus 80% of the 60% left, which gives the government 88% of the original earnings, with 12% going to someone the person who died wanted to leave it to. In fact you don't even have to die, if you give it away before you die you pay the same tax, they just call it "Gift Tax" instead. And you pay the same tax no matter how poor the individuals you want to give the money to might be.

So the estate tax doesn't take money from some rich guy, he's dead already and has no use for it. What it does instead is to take a substantial fraction of the money, if not nearly all of it, away from whomever it is that the person whose wealth it was wanted to have it, substituting the government's choice of who to give it to instead, and it does this regardless of how much the people for whom the money was intended "actually need" it or any other consideration. As if this even matters, it's money someone already paid taxes on and was frugal enough to not spend during his lifetime, so what right does the government have to substitute its own judgement of who is needy over that of the person whose earnings this was?

The estate tax is unfair. I'm no lover of Republicans, but if lowering the estate tax for a while is the worst thing you can find to complain about them I might change my mind.

>> As long as the money gets spent or saved, it doesn't matter to the
>> overall economy's health who gets it back. The wealthy have lost
>> billions of dollars in the stock market. Don't you think they have
>> been punished enough?
>
>With all that "punishment" going on, I take it, then, that you'd
>rather be poor than rich in this country? Certainly sounds like a

>better deal to me.

You are the one asserting that this is a tax cut for the rich because of how much they'll save if they die in 2010. I'd rather be poor then I would to take advantage of that tax cut, thanks.

Dennis Ferguson

3548. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 03:58:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nepcfock0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19839

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I believe those that support the continued use of Morse code
> > > in the Amateur bands, and I count myself among them, should be careful that the
> > > words they choose today about Morse code, in what I believe to be a futile
> > > attempt to preseve code testing,
> >
> > Who do we know that is doing any of that???
>
> You have stated that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merits to exist
> without a federal affirmative action program.

No, YOU said that. I said that propective hams will not learn it
without it being a test requirement. After all, first and formost they
want to get the license and talk, so they do what is required to get the
license. If learning the Morse code isn't included they won't do it.
Most will never do it, simply becuse they will never take the time to do
so, and will never be exposed to CW to the point where they find out
what it really is.
Why this is so obscure a concept is so obscure a concept to you is
really strange, or would be if you were openminded. Clearly you are not.

Ed and I have said
> that it does. So which of us is trying to turn new hams off to
> CW and which of us is trying to turn new hams on to CW? Your
> vinegar is just not working, Dick. Try some honey.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3549. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nepg0ock1@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19840

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > > Tens of thousands of hams upgrading is, IMHO, more than a tempest
> > > > in a teapot.
> > >
> > > The "tempest in a teapot" was the (micro)hordes of CBers and
> > > freebanders who entered the ARS after restructuring.
> > >
> > That points out how easy it has been to get into ham radio since 1985.
> > Most of they are already here, it turns out.
>
> So there were not hordes of CBers and freebanders after restructuring
> because they are already here???? Then what the hell was all your
> whining and moaning about? If the sky fell in 1985, why are you
> still shouting that the sky is falling and/or is going to fall?

No Cecil, the Internet fell in the mid 90's for most of the USA. That
was enough to keep a large number of people out of ham radio.
Otherwise it started falling in 85 and just took several years to get
it done.
```

3550. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000252.09114.00000187@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19846

In article <20010908202211.17422.00003784@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>You said you were going to do it, but you haven't. Actions speak louder
>>>> than words.
>
>I've never posted a date.

I know. All I did was that you haven't done it yet.

What happened to your claim of an "IF"?
>
>Sounds like whiny irritation at work that the PCTAs attribute
>to the NCTAs: "Whaa, whaa, I want it NOW!" :-)

Nope. Just statements of fact. I don't care if you ever do it. But it does say something about your credibility.
>
>>>Has James Miccolis ALWAYS said what he is going to
>>>do and ALWAYS accomplished that?
>>
>>In other words, you admit that you said you were going to do it and haven't.
>>To distract attention from that point, you attack me, using my full name.
>
>"Attack?!?"

Yes.

>My, my, you are a very, very sensitive soul,
>aren't you?
>
Nope. Just an accurate one.

>Let's see...James (NMI?) Miccolis makes a big thing out of
>past postings, so much so that it becomes some sort of
>battle royal in words ("go to Google and pull out the quote
>that 'proves' someone said something!").

You claimed I said someone was "full of themselves" or some such, but you have not produced the post.

> You have a penchant
>for that pedantry of living in the past, "forcing" others to go
>over old ground again and again until they tire of your past-
>posting tirades.

Not me, Len. You. I just tell the facts. Live with it.

>When others quit you can feel vindicated
>that you have "proved" something...but all you've done is to
>irritate others enough so that they quit posting replies.

That's YOUR game, Len. I've seen it many times.
>
>>We're talking about something you said you were going to do,
>>here, in public, on rrap. Hasn't happened yet.
>
>Yes, I said that.

Ahhh - previously you said it was "conditional".

> I did not specify a date. I'll still hold to that
>original statement but I'm not going to state any date now or
>in the future.

That's fine. You'll probably wait until the code test disappears completely.

> Live with that...but I don't think you can.
>
Doesn't bother me one bit, Len.

>>>Now...how about I challenge you?
>>
>>Why go through the motions?
>
>Why not?

Because I have no desire to please you.

>You Demand this of others and now try to get
>out of it when the same thing is applied to yourself.
>
What have I "demanded" of others? Produce the post, Len.
>
>>There you go again, Len - focusing on the tests YOU took. Why do you want to
>>live in the past?
>
>What I tried to point out is the same as what you Demanded
>of others..."take the same tests I took." :-)

I see. It's OK for you to do it but not me. Double standard. Typical of you,
Len.
>
>>>You can't do it, Jimmy, can't pass them.
>>
>>The ones that are not available cannot be passed by anyone.
>
>Correct...but you seem to want to dwell on such things. :-)

So?
>
>>>Do you really want to live in the past?

>>
>>You seem to - focusing on the tests YOU took so long ago.
>
>I was focussing on "tests" done recently and have done so
>for at least two years...those "tests" at work where the
>"passing grade" was receiving a regular paycheck.

Still in the past. You bore us endlessly with tales of your illustrious past,
but criticize others for it. Double standard.

>Those
>never resulted in a personal callsign but they were "tests"
>nonetheless.

Yeah, sure. Whatever.
>
>James (NMI?) Miccolis isists on my immediate testing for
>the amateur radio service.

No, I don't. Not at all. I simply point out that you have not done what you
said you would do - twenty months ago.

>
>>In other words, you want me to shut up because I point out the facts too
>>often.
>
>Oh, my, no!

Yes, Len, it's clear you want me to shut up, because I say that Emperor Len has
no logical clothes. I dare to point out contradictions and errors in your
posts.

N2EY

3551. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000253.09114.00000188@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19844

In article <20010907215026.02593.00000462@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>I had always
>wished we had bought three different vehicles: A Plymouth Voyager,
>a Ford Windstar, and a Honda (don't recall the model name of their
>minivan).

Honda makes the Odyssey. Great minivan. Top rated for safety and reliability. Honda can't make them fast enough.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3552. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000254.09114.00000189@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19847

In article <3B9AB34D.C7927DBC@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> Remember, the war against the Japanese lasted almost four years, and there
>were
>> several big naval battles. In addition, US Navy submarines took a
>tremendous
>> toll of both merchant and capital ships throughout the war.
>>
>> Although the submarine service consisted of just 3% of the US Navy then,
>subs
>> sank 52% of the Japanese tonnage lost in WW2.
>>
>> (My favorite submarine David-and-Goliath story is the sinking of the
>brand-new
>> supercarrier Taiho by the Albacore, using a single torpedo).
>
>I heard that our torpedos' engines had a high failure rate, but I suppose we
>had
>more than enough to make up for that.

The Mark 14 submarine torpedo had a large number of flaws at the beginning of WW2, but engine trouble wasn't one of them. The Mark 14 was a "steam" torpedo that burned alcohol and compressed air in a turbine.

The best-known problems were the following:

- The magnetic exploder, which was supposed to detect the magnetic field of a ship and detonate the warhead as the torpedo passed underneath the enemy ship's keel, was prone to premature detonation or no detonation at all. It was a marvelous and ingenious invention but impractical in actual combat.

- The contact exploder, which was supposed to detonate the warhead if a torpedo actually hit the side of an enemy ship, had a high failure rate due to poor design. When a torpedo going more than 46 knots plows into the side of an armored ship, there is tremendous deceleration, which results in high G forces on all parts of the torpedo. In many cases the contact exploder mechanism parts would be bent or jammed before they could do their job.

- The depth settings were unreliable, and Mark 14s would tend to run much deeper than set, In addition, they would not keep a constant depth but would vary depth like a sine wave.

- Some torpedoes would make circular runs and even sink the sub that fired them.

The later Mark 18 electric torpedoes suffered from all of the above flaws, and also had the disadvantage of being slower and having less range. They were temperature sensitive, being slower in cold water. However, Mark 18s were quieter and left almost no wake, compared to Mark 18s.

WW2 USN "fleet submarines" typically carried up to 24 torpedoes (ten in the tubes and the rest as reloads) but early in the war production could not keep up with demand and many subs patrolled with less than a full load.

The magnetic exploder was a complete failure and was eventually deactivated. The contact exploder was improved to the point of acceptable reliability. The depth problem was partially fixed and the sub captains learned to allow for it.

Why were these problems not detected long before Pearl Harbor? One reason was inertia, another was secrecy and the third was cost.

> probably the biggest thing in our favor
>was that we cracked their secret codes; something they never believed we'd
>ever be able to do. Of course we had to ration our knowledge gained, picking
>what battles were critical to win, and losing the ones that didn't matter.
>Else
>they'd
>realize that their code was cracked.
>
Always a problem.

The German codes were broken by teams at Bletchley Park, in England. The supposedly unbreakable Enigma codes were broken to the extent that often Bletchley Park knew the contents of an intercepted German message before the intended German recipient did.

The Japanese codes were broken by a team in Hawaii. The Japanese JN-26 and "purple" codes were broken early in the war.

But breaking codes was not the total solution because the Japanese did things like use code names for places. For example, in the spring of 1942, intercepted messages indicated that the Japanese intended to attack and invade a location referred to only as "Butterfly". Some USN analysts thought "Butterfly" was the Aleutians, some thought it was Australia, some thought it was Pearl Harbor, and some thought it was Midway. The Navy did not have anywhere near enough forces to defend all of the possible locations of "Butterfly", and no direct way to find out where it was. The best guess was Midway, but confirmation was needed.

So a phony message was sent by radio from Midway to Pearl Harbor using unsecure methods. (I think they used an old code that they knew the Japanese could read). The message said that the water distillers on Midway had failed, and to send parts and water ASAP.

The Japanese picked up the message and relayed it to their fleet, telling them that there was a water shortage on "Butterfly". The Navy had confirmation that "Butterfly" was actually Midway, and could concentrate the available forces

there.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3553. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000255.09114.00000190@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19845

In article <3B9A25C1.A0178D42@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
>> equipment?
>
>Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
>equipment because I do not have any equipment?
>--
As I understand it, if you have an FCC license - any sort of FCC station license will do - you've already given the FCC permission to inspect your station. At any "reasonable time" (usually interpreted as typical business hours) OR any time the station is in operation.

You gave them permission when you applied for the license, plain and simple. It's one of the "conditions of grant" for the privilege of operating a radio transmitter. This is nothing new - it goes back much longer than I've had a license (34 years).

Anybody with any class of ham license has already given the FCC permission to inspect.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3554. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000257.09114.00000192@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19849

In article <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em,
>don't
>> tell 'em.
>
>It would be interesting to know just how many demos the CW promoters
>have presented in the past year.

For the past several years, I have led the CW effort at the local FD outing. Before me, there was little or no CW activity at the local club's Field Days. With the aid of a few local hams, we put a dedicated CW station on the air and kept it going around the clock. This station was in a tent and made many points for the club.

This year we upped the ante to TWO dedicated CW stations. W3RV led the effort for a second station, and put it right in the middle of the main operating area. It was so situated that visitors would see this CW station first. Lots of interest from both hams and nonhams.

In all cases we found that many people had never seen a real CW station in full operation, churning out the QSOs as fast or faster than the voice stations.

FISTS has begun setting up booths at some of the larger hamfests. The result has been lots of interest and lots of new members.

73 de Jim, N2EY

IMO, they have precious little time
>left over for demos after all the whining on this newsgroup.
>--

3555. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000257.09114.00000191@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19850

In article <20010908103238.15479.00000506@mb-fy.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>
>This is not true.

I'm afraid it is true. I've done it myself.

>When I read this post I had to try an experiment. Put on
>any
>broadcast
>radio station and count how many words there are in a 1 minute of time. It
>will
>be way
>above 120 wpm. Even if you listen to a radio talk show, the people that call
>in
>talk at 120
>wpm.

That's about right - most people talk about 100 to 150 wpm. Broadcasters tend to be at the high end of the range because broadcast time is money and "dead air" is a cardinal sin in that industry. Also, most broadcasts use lots of prerecorded stuff.

So let's say 120 wpm for the average person talking.

>To state that a good operator can send and receive code at 120 wpm or
>faster is
>absurd.

Yep. But that's not what I said. Here it is again:

"Operators with decent skills can converse at effective speeds close to that of voice radio."

Note the term "EFFECTIVE speeds". What this means is that through the use of abbreviations and prosigns the CW ops can communicate faster.

For example, suppose I say the following on voice:

"Good morning, Bill. Good to hear you again. Are you going to the hamfest tomorrow? I want to go if the weather is good."

That's 24 words, and on voice at 120 wpm it would take 12 seconds to say if there are no pauses, umms or ahhs, etc.

Now try it on CW. There are about 100 characters in those sentences. In Morse speed calculations "word" is 5 characters, so we have 20 words. At 30 wpm (decent skills) that works out to 40 seconds - less than 1/3 the speed on voice.

But skilled CW ops would not pound out each word. The above would be sent as follows:

"GM BILL. GUD TO HR U AGN. U GG TO HMFST TMW? I WNT TO GO IF WX GUD."

49 characters in that - compression of 50 percent without loss of any meaning. At 30 wpm the above would take 20 seconds to send.

> Next try talking at 60 wpm. That is one word per second. You will
>sound
>like you
>had a stroke.

That depends on what is being said. If you have to repeat, spell out and phoneticize words on voice, the actual transmission speed drops like a stone. Sure, you are saying a lot of words, but the effective communication speed is far less.

> I am not a big fan of code, but I do realize there is a place
>for
>it. If you are
>going to argue for code, don’t make statements that are untrue

What have I written that is untrue?

Listen to a typical ham voice QSO. Lots of pauses, repeats and spelling out is needed to be clear in most cases.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

3556. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:02:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909000258.09114.00000193@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 19848

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd5ba7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 19:24:45 -0500, CAM wrote:
>
>>> IMO the FCC would be well within its charter to require licensed hams
>>> to keep station equipment for use as long as they hold an active
>>> license.
>>
>>Oh Boy, what ham radio needs is more governmental requirements and
>>regulations.
>
> The FCC has that requirement for private land-mobile radio licensees
> who have one year to build and load/use the licensed facilities.

Don't the broadcast services also have the requirement of "use it or lose it" attached to their licenses?
>
> Many foreign governments have that rule for amateurs as well under
> the theory that if you don't have any equipment you don't need a
> license.

Yup. In fact, some foreign governments required that a prospective ham first build
(not buy) equipment, and demonstrate skill in its use and an understanding of its design as a prerequisite for a license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3557. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:18:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9neqji$s41$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19701

Phil Kane wrote:
>

> The FCC never loses...
>

And the reason for that is simple enough...they never attempt to make marginal or questionable cases. When the case is always very solid there is no reason to lose.

3558. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:27:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <S7Cm7.23049$VX3.1152540@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 19702

. Before you accuse me of racism, it has nothing to do with
nationality,
rather I seem to remember a certain battleship sitting on the bottom of
Pearl
Harbor. There were friends of my family that died there.
****
Read this part of my original post--slowly^. And you are entitled to drive
what-
ever you like. I've seen just as many American makes with extremely high
miles
on them as you have foreign ones. Preferring American brands is not a sign
of
"racism". It's my way of supporting American products built in American
plants by American workers--where the proceeds of said sales don't end up
overseas. I have never bought a foreign make and never will. If that makes
me
a "racist", then so be it.

Jerry
K4KWH

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010906195907.02593.00000308@nso-ch.aol.com...
> My present car is a 2001 Toyota Corolla LE, 5-speed, loaded in every other
> respect except it doesn't have the sunroof and alloy wheels. It is
without a
> doubt one of the finest automobiles I've ever owned and driven. It was
built
> in Fremont, California at the NUMMI (New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc.)
> plant, which is a joint venture between Toyota and General Motors. GM
sells
> the same car in a lower trim grade at Chevy dealers, it's called the Chevy
> Prizm. I've been using my Yaesu FT-2500M
> 2-meter rig in it with a simple cigarette-lighter plug arrangement for DC
> power, and a 5/8-wave Larsen NMO antenna on a trunk-lip angle bracket, the
kind
> that screws into the fender inside the trunk opening. Outstanding
performance,
> and no air-bags
> popped yet. A fellow club member has a new Toyota Tacoma pickup truck,
and he
> runs a full HF mobile setup using a "screwdriver" antenna. He has not had
any
> problems with his air bags, either.
>

> Nobody at the NUMMI plant -- not the American UAW members on the
> fabrication and assembly lines, or the Japanese managers and Quality
> Control experts, had anything to do with Pearl Harbor. Jerry Oxendine
> is a racist bigot. Keep your steenking Chevies. Everyone I've ever seen
> has become a piece of rusted junk while Toyotas of the same age and
> much older keep on providing reliable service.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> You asked for it -- you got it! Toyota!!!
>
>

3559. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:31:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nerb1$1gr$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19703

CAM wrote:
>
> Drpfrench wrote:
> >
> > >Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
> > >effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
> >
> > This is not true.
>
> Jim is assuming that it is not conversational English but instead
> requires many phonetic spellings. For instance, he is assuming that
> my name would be Charlie Echo Charlie India Lima which takes
> approximately the same time as -.-. . -.-. .. .-.. in Morse.
> Morse is about the same throughput as spelling out English words
> using letters and/or phonetics. It's mostly a strawman argument.

No Cecil, you know better if you are truly an experienced ham. Accuracy is the most important part of traffic handling. When using voice that means the use of phonetics when there is any question whatever of spelling or ANY other accuracy issue, and to be certain that the message was passed exactly as received.

3560. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:39:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nerq1$33o$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19704

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > Then why not insist that any mode available be prepared for? Talk about
> > > expecting the unexpected!
> >
> > OK, I'm ready, how about you?
>
> Let us know when you are equipped for PACTOR II, Dick.

I understand that PACTOR II is proprietary only to Hal brand equipment.
Which unit do you have?

3561. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:44:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nes3h$44i$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19705

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > CW is no panacea, it is just
> > the most simple, most basic RF Communications mode, that works under
> > conditions which put voice modes out of business.
>
> It's usefulness is certainly limited by the fact that 99.9% of the
> human race doesn't understand it. Wonder why the military has abandoned
> CW for day-to-day use and how they manage to get their digitized voice/data
> modes through in a perfectly acceptable manner?
>
> > You *assume* I love it (CW), wrongly as it turns out.
>
> You can say that again. Anyone who loved CW would not state that
> it has so little merit that it needs to be forced on all HF hams
> by a federal affirmative action program.

Poor Cecil. Doesn't know reality when he trips over it.

3562. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 04:48:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nesb4$501$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19706

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > Single signal
> > > > communicasions get throuigh much better in part due to the reduced
> > > > bandwidth which trims off a lot of the noise. SSB voice can't do that.
> > >
> > > Isn't that exactly what makes SSB superior to AM?
> >
> > Sure it is, that's half the bandwidth.....take it on down another 90%
> > and now you have real noise reduction...
>
> Right along with throughput reduction. Try PACTOR II, Dick. It will
> do 50wpm in less bandwidth when you can't even hear the carrier.

Hey Cecil.... a few years ago you indicated that after you got retired
you were going to design a radio that didn't take an outboard computer
and a TNC to work all these whizzy digital modes. I said that would sure
help to get the digital modes into widespread use. What happened?
```

3563. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:01:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AF7A4.B29C694E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19709

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>
> > So back to my original answer: They perform a geometrical transform.
> > The Smith Chart is an example of a geometrical transform where infinity
> > is transformed into existence onto a piece of paper. A sphere can easily
> > be transformed into a flat surface and boundary conditions can ensure
> > five sides to that flat surface.
>
> Is there impirical evidence to support their hypothesis?

Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3564. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:01:55 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@home.comno>
Message-ID: <7JCm7.182256$EP6.53737502@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19707

Mark, maybe because he is a legal counselor

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010908222846.16173.00000552@mb-fr.aol.com...
> >>You will be "presumed" to have used it anyway. Cecil, if the FCC can
bypass
> >the
> >>'illegal search" protocol so easily, do you really think the cards are
> >stacked
> >>evenly?
> >
> > Where is the violation of the "unreasonable search and seizure"
> > prohibition if you do not allow an inspection? The FCC does not have
> > the authority to force its way in without a warrant.
> >
>
> Sorry Phil, your as wrong as two left feet on this one. To claim that "the
FCC
> does not have the authority to force (I assume you mean physically?) its
way in
> without a warrant" and then gleefully proclaim:
>
> "Refusal to allow an inspection - one of the most serious actions dissing
the
> FCC's authority - result in anything from issuance of a Notice of
Violation
> enmeshing the operator in the wheels of the bureaucracy, to a Monetary
> Forfeiture enmeshing the operator's wallet into that same bureaucracy, to
a
> visit by the US Marshal with a court order (warrant) which grants the
authority
> for entry "with force deemed appropriate" to make a seizure and/or
arrest."
>
> This is no more "righteous" than coercing a sexual favor from a
subordinate by
> threatening their job and then claiming the encounter was consentual,
please.
> Phil, I respect your views, but you're dancing like a lawyer right now.
It'd be
> nice if the FCC's rules and regs were legislated like any other
> laws...especially in light of the huge disproportionate fines levied. The
FCC
> should be in the enforcement business, not the legislation business. Take

care
> and 73.
>
> Mark

3565. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:04:30 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@home.comno>
Message-ID: <yLCm7.182262$EP6.53739194@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19708

Cecil, I thought that it was Height is Might!

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9ABA8B.C35A4C1C@IEEE.org...
> Bill wrote:
> > No question about it, the FCC claims the right to bypass the 4th
> > Amendment, but then we ahould remember that the Nazis claimed the
> > right to burn millions of Jews. Making it a rule doesn't make it
> > right.
>
> Remember the old saying - Might Makes Right!
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3566. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:04:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AF861.466640C1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19710

Jim Kelley wrote:
> > What you inferred was not what I implied. Will you never learn?
>
> Your "implication" said that the Civil War was not about slavery.

Nope, that was your inferrence. My implication was that the Civil War was not 100% about slavery but was 100% about economics. Please produce the posting where I said the Civil War was zero percent about slavery.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3567. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:07:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AF91C.96FE8846@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19711

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Hey Cecil.... a few years ago you indicated that after you got retired
> you were going to design a radio that didn't take an outboard computer
> and a TNC to work all these whizzy digital modes. I said that would sure
> help to get the digital modes into widespread use. What happened?

I lack the energy. I haven't even washed my sheets this year.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3568. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:10:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AF9C5.1470EB22@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19712

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > And it makes PACTOR II superior to CW.
>
> But of course the problem is that far, far more CW rigs available,
> simple as they can be constructed, and since they are universially
> present in HF rigs, than PACTOR.

So you need to make up your mind, Dick. Are you advocating the best
performing mode or the most popular mode? The best performing mode
I know of is PACTOR II. The most popular mode is SSB.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3569. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:14:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFA9A.1F44D73D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19713

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Brasspounders toggle their keyers.

So "brasspounders" don't pound brass? Then why don't you call them
"keytogglers"? FYI, I still pound brass.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3570. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:15:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFB0E.9CA9E96A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19714

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > You have stated that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merits to exist
> > without a federal affirmative action program.
>
> No, YOU said that. I said that propective hams will not learn it
> without it being a test requirement.

Those two meanings are virtually the same.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3571. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:17:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFB7B.A1E325B3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19715

Dick Carroll wrote:
> ... the Internet fell in the mid 90's for most of the USA. That
> was enough to keep a large number of people out of ham radio.

How does a freebander freeband on the Internet?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3572. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:21:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFC3C.C2885B1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19716

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> No matter how you convolute what I've said, I have absolutely no
> intention of doing any sort of physical violence to anyone, anywhere.

Then I suggest you stop threatening people by saying you are not
going to allow them to say something. Threatening to shut someone
up is not nice.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3573. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:30:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFE7D.2BA5D559@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19717

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I can still communicate using low-power CW for quite a long
> while after a ham without CW ability would have had to go QRT.

You can still drive your car after someone else runs out of gas.
So what? It should be up to each individual how he/she prepares
for an emergency. The hams who choose CW should be free to use
it and the hams who don't choose CW should be free not to use
it. How is it that you dislike governmental control of guns
and like governmental control of amateur modes?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3574. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:33:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFF17.A85C931F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19719

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
> dumbing down the ARS all it wants.

That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
all that matters.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3575. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:33:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9neuvsdvo0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 19718

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B9A25C1.A0178D42@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> >> equipment?
> > >
> > >Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my
> > >equipment because I do not have any equipment?
> > >--
> > As I understand it, if you have an FCC license - any sort of FCC station
> > license will do - you've already given the FCC permission to inspect your
> > station. At any "reasonable time" (usually interpreted as typical business
> > hours) OR any time the station is in operation.
> >
> > You gave them permission when you applied for the license, plain and simple.
> > It's one of the "conditions of grant" for the privilege of operating a radio
> > transmitter. This is nothing new - it goes back much longer than I've had a
> > license (34 years).
> >
> > Anybody with any class of ham license has already given the FCC permission to
> > inspect.

And when the licensee decides to revoke that permission the license is
also revoked.

3576. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 05:36:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9AFFD0.8515957F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19720

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If I were a cop, and didn't actually see a gun in a
> crime suspect's hand, I would not shoot him under any
> circumstances. Would you?

Yep, if he was attacking someone with a knife or a baseball bat.
Would you really "not shoot him under any circumstances"?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3577. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 06:39:29 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9nf2on$6c7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19721

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9AF861.466640C1@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > What you inferred was not what I implied. Will you never learn?
> > >
> > Your "implication" said that the Civil War was not about slavery.
>
> Nope, that was your inferrence. My implication was that the Civil
> War was not 100% about slavery but was 100% about economics. Please
> produce the posting where I said the Civil War was zero percent
> about slavery.

Are you a child?

3578. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 06:40:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9nf2rk$6ca$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 19722

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9AF7A4.B29C694E@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> >
> > > So back to my original answer: They perform a geometrical transform.
> > > The Smith Chart is an example of a geometrical transform where
infinity
> > > is transformed into existence onto a piece of paper. A sphere can
easily
> > > be transformed into a flat surface and boundary conditions can ensure
> > > five sides to that flat surface.
> >
> > Is there impirical evidence to support their hypothesis?
>
> Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
> Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?

Yes. Did you not understand the question?

3579. Re: Morse Code Exam Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 06:54:28 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EmEm7.12770$Uf1.1100904@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19723

It may or may not be a problem. You see, I don't need a calculator to extract square roots (and didn't use one on my exam when I went from zero to extra in one setting). Obviously, you will not be able to use a computer to decode the CW for you - you will only be able to use a word processor. Whether or not you can use a computer will depend upon the VEs administering the exam. Computers are not forbidden, per se, BUT - they can't contain the formulas for the written tests nor can they decode morse for you. Not everyone needs a computer to copy morse at 30, 40, or 50 words per minute.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"3-500zees" <3-500zees@bome.com> wrote in message
news:%_dm7.179269$EP6.52360456@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Can I bring my laptop to the exam so it can copy the code for me because
> that is really the only way I will use morse code to converse with anyone?
> I mean they allow calculators in the test sessions right.
>
>
>

3580. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 08:41:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909044110.24639.00000559@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 19724

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/9/01 12:02 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010909000252.09114.00000187@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Nope. Just statements of fact. I don't care if you ever do it. But it does
>say
>something about your credibility.

You're being kinda lavish with the expectations aren't ya, Jim?

Not that he has ANY credibility......

>That's fine. You'll probably wait until the code test disappears completely.

Hopefully he'll disappear before the code test does, Jim. And in any
case, if we could BUY him a license at "Spencer's Gifts" he'd still not be able
to be a Ham.

>No, I don't. Not at all. I simply point out that you have not done what you
>said you would do - twenty months ago.

You expected different froma guy that took 15 years to complete a four
year degree...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3581. Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 10:53:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909065326.08874.00000697@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 19725

Go see:

http://members.tripod.com/trekkie1/len.html

One more line item for his CV...! ! ! !

73

Steve, K4YZ

3582. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 11:52:15 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909075215.07476.00000664@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 19726

>Mark, maybe because he is a legal counselor
>

Yes, I know Phil has donned his share of law enforcement caps and was (is?) an attorney for the FCC, (I hope I got that right, Phil.) hence my comment. Use the "letter of the law" in a courtroom, but don't try to demonstrate its righteousness on a USENET newsgroup in one breath while illustrating how the FCC holds a pistol loaded with a "bureaucratic an financial" bullet to the head of John Q. Public in another. "We didn't force our way in so it was voluntary." Yeah, ok.

I think the FCC does a great job, but I'd like to see them conform to the "normal" legislative protocol. Remember the Tucker family club callsign fiasco? Although I disagree with Mr. Tuckers reasoning, he did follow the "letter of th law" to obtain an inordinate number of club callsigns. Riley then decided that this violated the "spirit" of the rule and ordered some of the calls be taken away. Sorry Riley, but you know what?...Tucker beat you fair and square. If you don't like a loophole in your rules, then change the rule using the correct protocol, like any of us would have to do. prevent the next guy, but this one's through the gate already. Can you imagine this principle applied in a "real" court? If John Q. can't make up the rules as he goes along, then neither should Riley. Perhaps you statement applies to Riley as well, he is an attorney too. Lawyers make errors in judgement too, particularly when their views are biased in the direction of where their bread is (was?) buttered. This post is not a knock on Phil, we just disagree. It is a knock on Riley, he made a booboo. IMHO. Take care and 73.

Mark

>"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010908222846.16173.00000552@mb-fr.aol.com...
>> >>You will be "presumed" to have used it anyway. Cecil, if the FCC can
>bypass
>> >the
>> >>'illegal search" protocol so easily, do you really think the cards are
>> >stacked
>> >>evenly?
>> >
>> > Where is the violation of the "unreasonable search and seizure"
>> > prohibition if you do not allow an inspection? The FCC does not have
>> > the authority to force its way in without a warrant.
>> >
>>
>> Sorry Phil, your as wrong as two left feet on this one. To claim that "the
>FCC

>> does not have the authority to force (I assume you mean physically?) its
>way in
>> without a warrant" and then gleefully proclaim:
>>
>> "Refusal to allow an inspection - one of the most serious actions dissing
>the
>> FCC's authority - result in anything from issuance of a Notice of
>Violation
>> enmeshing the operator in the wheels of the bureaucracy, to a Monetary
>> Forfeiture enmeshing the operator's wallet into that same bureaucracy, to
>a
>> visit by the US Marshal with a court order (warrant) which grants the
>authority
>> for entry "with force deemed appropriate" to make a seizure and/or
>arrest."
>>
>> This is no more "righteous" than coercing a sexual favor from a
>subordinate by
>> threatening their job and then claiming the encounter was consentual,
>please.
>> Phil, I respect your views, but you're dancing like a lawyer right now.
>It'd be
>> nice if the FCC's rules and regs were legislated like any other
>> laws...especially in light of the huge disproportionate fines levied. The
>FCC
>> should be in the enforcement business, not the legislation business. Take
>care
>> and 73.
>>
>> Mark
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3583. Re: Are restructured hams supposed to sound like CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 12:07:04 UTC
From: no@spam.com
Message-ID: <3B9B5B68.AE3E693@spam.com>
Source record: 19727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 10:52:17 -0700, n7kn wrote:
>
> >In the old days, code tests were conducted by FCC officials. Those guys
> >didn't care if you passed or not. No matter how much of a nice guy you
> >were, they didn't like you. The headphones were like wearing a couple of
> >hockey pucks attached to your head with a C-clamp.
>
> Not true...almost all cases we really didn't want to see the same
> folks coming back month after month.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Here's at least one corroboration of that. I tested for General (and passed, thank you) at the Houston FCC District office. The surroundings were "early bureaucracy", certainly, but I have no complaints about how I was received and treated.

Now after all these years, I totally agree with the original poster. My HF station is being sold on consignment, and I'm off the air. Life's way too short to spend it fending off all the dipsticks on the bands these days. It didn't used to be like that.

3584. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 12:32:32 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909083232.09922.00000673@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 19728

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>*BUT* I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a part
>of any amateur radio operating license ...

CW is a mighty popular mode. Why do you think that any such popular mode
should be excluded from the tests entirely?

> nor do I believe that is justification for a "mandate" that all in emergency
> communications should know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.

I don't think that anyone has proposed a rules change that would mandate
knowledge of CW. The present rule only mandates a one-time demonstration of
the ability to manually decode 5 wpm CW. I know a number of 20-wpm No Code
Extras who literally had the code key burying ceremony in their back yards
surrounded by all their friends.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3585. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 12:34:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909083454.09922.00000674@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 19729

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> You can say that again. Anyone who loved CW would not state that
>> it has so little merit that it needs to be forced on all HF hams
>> by a federal affirmative action program.

> Poor Cecil. Doesn't know reality when he trips over it.

So are you saying that it does have enough merit that it does not need to be required by the circumstances of the test for HF hams by a federal affirmative action program?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

3586. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 14:04:20 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@home.comno>
Message-ID: <EFKm7.183703$EP6.54022089@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 19730

Mark, I can agree with that, But it is nice having someone like Phil to give us his "hands on" perspective of what actually happens.

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010909075215.07476.00000664@mb-fj.aol.com...
> >Mark, maybe because he is a legal counselor
> >
>
> Yes, I know Phil has donned his share of law enforcement caps and was (is?) an
> attorney for the FCC, (I hope I got that right, Phil.) hence my comment. Use
> the "letter of the law" in a courtroom, but don't try to demonstrate its
> righteousness on a USENET newsgroup in one breath while illustrating how the
> FCC holds a pistol loaded with a "bureaucratic an financial" bullet to the
> head of John Q. Public in another. "We didn't force our way in so it was
> voluntary." Yeah, ok.
>
> I think the FCC does a great job, but I'd like to see them conform to the
> "normal" legislative protocol. Remember the Tucker family club callsign fiasco?
> Although I disagree with Mr. Tuckers reasoning, he did follow the "letter of th
> law" to obtain an inordinate number of club callsigns. Riley then decided that
> this violated the "spirit" of the rule and ordered some of the calls be taken
> away. Sorry Riley, but you know what?...Tucker beat you fair and square. If you
> don't like a loophole in your rules, then change the rule using the correct
> protocol, like any of us would have to do. prevent the next guy, but this one's
> through the gate already. Can you imagine this principle applied in a "real"
> court? If John Q. can't make up the rules as he goes along, then neither should
> Riley. Perhaps you statement applies to Riley as well, he is an attorney too.
> Lawyers make errors in judgement too, particularly when their views are biased
> in the direction of where their bread is (was?) buttered. This post is not

a
> knock on Phil, we just disagree. It is a knock on Riley, he made a booboo.
> IMHO. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark
>
> >"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010908222846.16173.00000552@mb-fr.aol.com...
> >> >>You will be "presumed" to have used it anyway. Cecil, if the FCC can
> >bypass
> >> >the
> >> >>'illegal search" protocol so easily, do you really think the cards
are
> >> >stacked
> >> >>evenly?
> >> >
> >> > Where is the violation of the "unreasonable search and seizure"
> >> > prohibition if you do not allow an inspection? The FCC does not
have
> >> > the authority to force its way in without a warrant.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Sorry Phil, your as wrong as two left feet on this one. To claim that
"the
> >FCC
> >> does not have the authority to force (I assume you mean physically?)
its
> >way in
> >> without a warrant" and then gleefully proclaim:
> >>
> >> "Refusal to allow an inspection - one of the most serious actions
dissing
> >the
> >> FCC's authority - result in anything from issuance of a Notice of
> >Violation
> >> enmeshing the operator in the wheels of the bureaucracy, to a Monetary
> >> Forfeiture enmeshing the operator's wallet into that same bureaucracy,
to
> >a
> >> visit by the US Marshal with a court order (warrant) which grants the
> >authority
> >> for entry "with force deemed appropriate" to make a seizure and/or
> >arrest."
> >>
> >> This is no more "righteous" than coercing a sexual favor from a
> >subordinate by
> >> threatening their job and then claiming the encounter was consentual,
> >please.
> >> Phil, I respect your views, but you're dancing like a lawyer right now.
> >It'd be

> >> nice if the FCC's rules and regs were legislated like any other
> >> laws...especially in light of the huge disproportionate fines levied.
The
> >FCC
> >> should be in the enforcement business, not the legislation business.
Take
> >care
> >> and 73.
> >>
> >> Mark
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3587. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 15:20:41 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9b8a14$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19731

Here! Here!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9AFF17.A85C931F@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
> > dumbing down the ARS all it wants.
>
> That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
> Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
> all that matters.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3588. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 15:33:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9b8d2a_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19732

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010909083232.09922.00000673@mb-fe.aol.com...
> From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>
> >*BUT* I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a
part
> >of any amateur radio operating license ...
>
> CW is a mighty popular mode. Why do you think that any such popular mode
> should be excluded from the tests entirely?
>

APRS is a mighty popular mode, PSK31 (and all its derivatives) are mighty popular modes, etc. My point is that I think the licensing process should not include anything but written exams, none of which should be generated from the transmission of any particular mode (i.e., take CW out as a mode and simply ask questions on whatever would be asked about that mode).

> >
> > nor do I believe that is justification for a "mandate" that all in
emergency
> > communications should know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.
>
> I don't think that anyone has proposed a rules change that would mandate
> knowledge of CW. The present rule only mandates a one-time demonstration
of
> the ability to manually decode 5 wpm CW. I know a number of 20-wpm No
Code
> Extras who literally had the code key burying ceremony in their back yards
> surrounded by all their friends.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Well, truly there is not a mandate, else all licenses would require knowledge of CW. However, my reference is to those individuals who herald CW as the save-all for emergency communication and who equate that with how serious one is about emergency communication preparedness.

Two other comments: what is a 20-wpm No Code Extra? They can't be No Code if they didn't take a CW test (without a waiver that is); and

I just can't imagine anyone being so affected by something such as a "mode" that they would bury equipment. But, there are nitwits on both sides of the CW debate and it is such a pity because it is such a devisive distraction.

Kim W5TIT

3589. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 15:47:12 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9b904c_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19734

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010908233714.12161.00000352@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <3B999503.7A4AC94A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Funny, but they seem to have no problem shoving a dumbed-down
> >> ARS down the throats of those who disagree.
> >
> >You continue to be mistaken, Larry. The FCC says that reducing
> >the code requirements is NOT dumbing down the ARS so you continue
> >to be involved in a Big Lie. It will never fly.
>
> Cecil:
>
> The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
> dumbing down the ARS all it wants. That does not mean that what
> they say is true, or that I believe it. The FCC, like any other
bureaucracy,
> will state whatever it needs to state in order to support it's own
> objectives.
>

As will an individual, Larry, such as yourself.

>
> Right now, one of their objectives is to rid itself of the
> regulatory burden of a radio service which has no substantial
> significance to the U.S. economy, in order to focus their limited
> resources on those which do.
>

Regarding focus, the FCC has had little focus on the amateur radio service
for many, many years. I think part of what you say, above, is correct. I
also think the FCC is responding to changes in technology, and changes in
the radio "business" in general. It wasn't until the de-emphasis on CW from
the military that this restructuring took place. I don't think that is
coincidental, but that is my opinion.

>
> The FCC thinks they "own" the ARS,
> so they can say anything about it that they want to. However, in
> actual fact, the ARS "belongs" to radio amateurs -- and it is what
> radio amateurs make of it. That is the real-world, practical reality.

>

Thank goodness you aren't the leader of that vision.

>
> The FCC regulations only define the legal limitations of what radio
> amateurs can do with the spectrum allocated to them.
>

To use one of your favorite phrases: don't look now, but that's the only
thing that matters, Larry.

>
> Defining the
> true nature of the service is something that exists on a higher plane,
> and must be the result of the total experience of radio amateurs.
>

Once again, thank goodness you aren't leading that vision.

>
> Therefore, the FCC can't really say what "dumbing down" our service
> is or is not, since they don't control the perceptions of radio
> amateurs -- just how far they can spin their VFO knobs and power
> output controls.
>

No one, Larry, "can really say" what dumbing down our service is or is not.
Not the FCC, not you, not anyone. Yours is nothing but a personal opinion,
more like just a vent for you to take out the daily frustrations you
encounter. One thing the FCC can do is demonstrate their confidence that
radio amateurs are quite capable and worthy of licensing without a beefed up
CW program--maybe without any CW program. I don't think they've been asleep
for the past several years. As a "self-policing" organization, it is also
self-evident that those who enter into the amateur radio service and take it
lightly on the air by acting like jerks are soon gone--because they can't
find anyone who'll talk to them after a while.

>
> The fact that they wrote this into the Restructuring
> R&O doesn't mean that the ham community has to accept it as
> the unalloyed truth.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

I don't know why you feel the need to state the obvious. The fact that you
espouse your negativism toward the amateur radio service and people also
doesn't mean that the ham community has to accept it as the truth, Larry.
So, we are well practiced in that area.

Kim W5TIT

3590. Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 15:54:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0109090754.5565cdb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19733

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20010909065326.08874.00000697@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> Go see:
>
> http://members.tripod.com/trekkie1/len.html
>
> One more line item for his CV...! ! ! !
>
Ah crap, they doan give him credit for being the Grandfather of All
50s Army RTTY boxes . . lame.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
w3rv

3591. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:04:44 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <lu7npto1iqcf5p104v4jv8psbqor6p6op1@4ax.com>
Source record: 19735

On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>- Some torpedoes would make circular runs and even sink the sub that fired
>them.

For one of the best submarine stories of WW2, read "Clear the Bridge" by the late Richard O'Kane, commander of the Tang.

After a hugely successful career, the Tang was sunk by just such a circular torpedo run with the loss of nearly all hands. Commander O'Kane survived the sinking and spent the remainder of the war as a prisoner of war. He was quite bitter that American torpedoes did not have a mechanism to detect circular runs and disable themselves.

I don't know what this has to do with rrap, but it's great reading anyway.

Bill, W7TI

3592. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:07:08 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <qa8nptgpdf8nhlkj3sgdm76g9lj318c5g1@4ax.com>
Source record: 19736

On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>The German codes were broken by teams at Bletchley Park, in England. The
>supposedly unbreakable Enigma codes were broken to the extent that often
>Bletchley Park knew the contents of an intercepted German message before the
>intended German recipient did.

Often the Brits are given sole credit for breaking the German Enigma
codes, but the truth is they were able to do it only because the Polish
captured an Enigma machine and gave it to them.

Bill, W7TI

3593. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:08:25 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <oe8nptoqddtem5ccr2v3menf020kfpnisr@4ax.com>
Source record: 19737

On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>The Japanese picked up the message and relayed it to their fleet, telling them
>that there was a water shortage on "Butterfly". The Navy had confirmation that
>"Butterfly" was actually Midway, and could concentrate the available forces
>there.

I've heard this story a million times, but always the code name for
Midway was told as "AF". Where did "Butterfly" come from?

Bill, W7TI

3594. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:15:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ng83e$s52$0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 19738

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> >
> > > So back to my original answer: They perform a geometrical transform.
> > > The Smith Chart is an example of a geometrical transform where infinity
> > > is transformed into existence onto a piece of paper. A sphere can easily
> > > be transformed into a flat surface and boundary conditions can ensure
> > > five sides to that flat surface.
> >
> > Is there impirical evidence to support their hypothesis?
>
> Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
> Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?

More importantly, do you understand Cecil's imagination?

3595. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:20:14 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <s39nptktdsr0nfnl6206uve8ddhg3s9gfr@4ax.com>
Source record: 19739

On 09 Sep 2001 03:37:14 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>However, in
>actual fact, the ARS "belongs" to radio amateurs

If that were true, the amateurs would be able to sell off their
spectrum. In reality, only the FCC can do that.

So who "owns" what?

Bill, W7TI

3596. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:21:25 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <g79npt41kntsklrahu0jin7noa8q9gcrd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 19740

On 8 Sep 2001 04:29:57 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>> Spend time on RTTY, learn to type! :-)
>>
>
> Believe it or not, I know how, but the fingers don't always follow
>along these days what with a little Artur's Itis. And my 17 inch monitor
>fried, so I had to put this tiny thing that came with this computer up..
>.the bifocals don't work near as well on it... Time to make a run into
>the city for a replacement. Might try a 19 incher.

You don't need a bigger monitor. You need a spell checker. :-)

Bill, W7TI

3597. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:25:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ng8lt$s52$1@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 19741

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > ... the Internet fell in the mid 90's for most of the USA. That
> > was enough to keep a large number of people out of ham radio.
>
> How does a freebander freeband on the Internet?

With all the spam, hacking, cracking and virus writing going on and you have to ask?

3598. Re: The way it was (in Canada)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 17:27:57 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <8k9npto3596pkfhv7712kv0hiupc5t8h9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 19742

On 8 Sep 2001 07:35:25 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>The RIs in the Montreal office used
>CW as a form of intercom. Each had a key and oscillator on their desks.

Just when I think I've heard everything.....

Bill, W7TI

3599. Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:07:15 UTC
From: Pinko <pinko@massachusetts.state.va.us>
Message-ID: <ndOm7.254954$J37.67433111@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 19743

I'm just wondering if stuff like this happens in other areas besides mine. Do you have repeater pinkos in your area that shut down the repeater if someone kerchunks (keys) it just once without IDing? You know the kind. And then that very same pinko who gets mad at you for not IDing shuts the repeater down proceeds not to ID before typing in the DTMF code to shut the repeater off? Ten minutes later, still no ID... Any other similar acts of pinkoism that you want to share with us? This is not ham radio. This is craziness. This is Pinkoism. We need to stop repeater PINKOISM!! NOW!!

I.N.P.

3600. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:20:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9BB2DD.84A94FD0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19744

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
> > Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?
>
> Yes. Did you not understand the question?

The answer should be obvious - if you choose a three-dimensional
to two-dimensional mathematical transform, then the final results
will be that the earth (and everything else) is flat, i.e. two-
dimensional. I have a map of the earth hanging on my wall and it
is flat!
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3601. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:22:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9BB356.4BB9DF57@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19745

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
> > Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?
>
> More importantly, do you understand Cecil's imagination?

You need to get out more, Dick. Mathematical transforms are not
figments of my imagination. The Smith Chart is the result of a
bilinear mathematical transformation.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3602. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:24:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9BB3D0.71D79E73@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19746

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Nope, that was your inferrence. My implication was that the Civil
> > War was not 100% about slavery but was 100% about economics. Please
> > produce the posting where I said the Civil War was zero percent
> > about slavery.
>
> Are you a child?

It depends upon which transactional analysis model you are using.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3603. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:30:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9BB548.C57D959@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19748

W1RFI wrote:
> I know a number of 20-wpm No Code
> Extras who literally had the code key burying ceremony in their back yards
> surrounded by all their friends.

Everyone has their likes and dislikes. For the past quarter century,
hams who prefer CW over SSB have been in the minority. But I don't
expect that minority to diminish radically if the code requirement
is dropped. There's no law requiring cilantro yet some people love
it while others hate it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3604. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:33:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9BB5E5.675A2FDD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19749

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> Two other comments: what is a 20-wpm No Code Extra?

Hams who passed the 20wpm code requirement for Extra and then
vowed never to use Morse code again. There were a few of those
guys at Intel. They simply do not like the mode.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3605. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:35:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9BB674.A522C563@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19750

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > ... the Internet fell in the mid 90's for most of the USA. That
> > > was enough to keep a large number of people out of ham radio.
> > >
> > How does a freebander freeband on the Internet?
>
> With all the spam, hacking, cracking and virus writing going on and you
> have to ask?

Oh, I get it now. The freebanders get on Internet and generate spam,
perform hacking, and spread viruses. Sure they do, Dick, sure they do.
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3606. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 18:44:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909144431.00578.00000126@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19851

In article <20010909083232.09922.00000673@mb-fe.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

> I know a number of 20-wpm No Code
>Extras who literally had the code key burying ceremony in their back yards
>surrounded by all their friends.
>
What is a "20-wpm No Code Extra"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3607. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 19:28:15 UTC
From: Jim Cummings <ve3xj@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <XxOm7.3319$%N2.197645@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 19747

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010909083232.09922.00000673@mb-fe.aol.com...
> From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>
> >*BUT* I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a
part
> >of any amateur radio operating license ...
>
> CW is a mighty popular mode. Why do you think that any such popular mode
> should be excluded from the tests entirely?
>

Why do you think that popularity is an appropriate criteria whether a particular topic is selected for amateur examination? English is probably the most popular language used in amateur radio, yet I am unaware of any administration that includes an English grammar test in any of their amateur examinations.

Even if popularity were a consideration, it fails on the fundamental consideration for testing of determining and individual's competence for the operation of an amateur station - the avoidance of, or mitigation of interference or both. The on-off keying of the transmitter is an abiding concern of spectrum managers, but the encoding method is inappropropriate. If that were the case, then amateurs would be tested with the accented letters, or even kata kana.

> > nor do I believe that is justification for a "mandate" that all in
emergency
> > communications should know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.
>
> I don't think that anyone has proposed a rules change that would mandate
> knowledge of CW. The present rule only mandates a one-time demonstration
of
> the ability to manually decode 5 wpm CW. I know a number of 20-wpm No
Code
> Extras who literally had the code key burying ceremony in their back yards
> surrounded by all their friends.
>

Perhaps the elimination of Morse code examinations could also be celebrated as an act to protect the environment due to the reduction of brass contamination into the ecosystem.

73 and live better digitally
Jim, VE3XJ

3608. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:03:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909160313.19673.00000147@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19852

In article <3B9A2652.2EF026B1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> Not for someone who can't talk. Or hear.
>> >
>> >PSK31 is ideal for such a person.
>> >
>> Yup. But the discussion was about what's most convenient.
>
>Seems "ideal" would also be "most convenient".
>
Not at all. For example, the most convenient foods are rarely ideal foods.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3609. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:03:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909160314.19673.00000149@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19853

In article <qa8nptgpdf8nhlkj3sgdm76g9lj318c5g1@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>Often the Brits are given sole credit for breaking the German Enigma
>codes, but the truth is they were able to do it only because the Polish
>captured an Enigma machine and gave it to them.
>
It's true that the Poles gave the British a three wheel Enigma machine, and
that having such a machine was vital to the Enigma codebreaking effort. I
understand that a number of Polish code experts managed to escape poland before
it fell, and joined the Bletchley Park teams.

But having an Enigma machine is only part of the puzzle, because the machine
was ingeniously complex, yet simple and rugged. The coding/decoding sequence
was determined by four things:

1) Which rotors were selected to be used in the machine
2) Where each rotor was placed
3) Initial position of each rotor
4) Jumper settings on the plugboard

All of these changed every day, so even if an Enigma machine fell into enemy
hands it was useless without the daily settings. What the Brits actually
developed were methods for determining the above settings from intercepted
messages.

Later in the war, the Germans came out with a four-rotor Enigma machine, which
made the Bletchley Park team's job an order of magnitude tougher. The Germans
also used other encoding schemes, many of which were broken without the
codebreakers having any samples of the actual machines used.

One wonders how the Battle of the North Atalntic would have turned out if the
Polish, or the Bletchley park folks, had not done what they did.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3610. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:03:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909160314.19673.00000148@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19854

In article <20010908100833.06106.00000502@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>
>>>If and when S25.5 goes away, how long do you think the USA will have code
>>>testing for any class of ham license? I say it will last just as long as it
>>>takes FCC to act on the paperwork to eliminate it.
>>
>>More than likely, but the "fat lady singing" in this case will when the
>>treaty
>>change, assuming it happens, is ratified by the Senate. I know an
>>organization
>>that has the petition ready to file.
>
> I wonder if the FCC can (legally) "waive" the code testing requirement
>after S25 is deleted, but before Senate ratification...?!?! (Ala
>PRB-1..?!?!)
>
I don't know, Steve. But I see several factors:

1) Has the Senate ever NOT ratified changes to the ITU treaty, particularly with respect to the ARS?

2) How long does ratification typically take?

3) If most of the other countries who are part of the ITU accept elimination of S25.5, the FCC could argue that S25.5 doesn't really exist anymore, even if the Senate hasn't ratified yet.

I can see ratification as a "rubber stamp" item on the Senate agenda. Also, I can see the FCC, if asked, having everything in place to eliminate Element 1 as soon as ratification happens, if not before.

And you can be sure that the FCC will be asked to do whatever it can as fast as it can if S25.5 goes away. My good friend Bill Sohl, K2UNK, will see to that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3611. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:12:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjekio3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19855

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 21:05:15 -0500, CAM wrote:

>Not anymore, Phil. A complete TV transmitter can be contained
>in about 0.5 cubic inches. Ever see the Alinco credit card
>transceiver? Anytime the government chooses to take you down,
>they are perfectly capable of doing so. It doesn't ease my
>mind to be depending upon the benevolence of the government
>for my continued existence.

Any time "the government" wants to take you down it won't be with a
throw-down transmitter. Trust me on that.

Enjoy your paranoia.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3612. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:12:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjemj05.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19858

On 09 Sep 2001 02:13:03 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>>The FCC never loses...
>
>I concur.
>
>Hey Phil, have you heard about that fellow who is actually fighting Riley's
>modification of said ham's ticket? Apparently, this guy's got the money to
>throw away on a very expensive and reputable communications attorney and plans
>to take Riley to task. You gotta know this guy doesn't stand a shot, but it's
>kinda nice to see someone force the FCC to do it the "American" way...in a
>court of law. Take care and 73.

A careful reading of such orders will show that up front the
order states a proposed penalty and if so desired, the licensee can
request a hearing on the merits. No big deal. Smart folks know
they were caught with their hand in the cookie jar so they don't
fight it. That's what plea bargaining is all about.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3613. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:12:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjelfn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19859

On 09 Sep 2001 02:07:30 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>You cut the second part of the same post. The part about them being at your
>door for a purpose. (Unless they're DFing a station very close to your QTH and
>they spot your aluminum trees and decide to "pop in."

The techniques used for FCC dfing can differentiate between two CB
antenna eight feet apart.

>I mean, hey...we enforce the same "laws" we make

The enforcement folks at the FCC have just about zero input to
making the regs (and a lot of times the reg writers actually ignore
their real-word experience) and of course have zero connection to
statutes passed by The Congress and incorporated into the
Communications Act.

>so; "We don't need no stinking warrant."

As I pointed out before - maybe not clearly enough - the FCC does
not and cannot under the law make entries and inspection by force,
which is the only time a warrant is necessary unless it falls into
one of the seven recognized exceptions for warrantless searches. By
directives of the U S Justice Department and FCC management, these
exceptions may not be used by FCC agents.

If the request for inspection is refused, there are other remedies
that the FCC can bring to bear, but the agents cannot force their
way into an inspection.

>You wouldn't happen to be a lawyer, Phil...would you? :-)

Some of my critics may wonder but yes, I am an attorney (and a
registered professional engineer) specializing in communications
regulatory compliance. I am retired from a career at the FCC,
lastly as the District Director in the San Francisco Field Office.
My specialty at the FCC was field enfocement procedures, warrant and
affidavit review, and management of asset seizures and forfeitures.

>(BTW, I've never met a LEO thet passed up a good cup of java, especially a
>freebie.)

I got through engineering school on coffee and cigarettes and gave
up both of them "when I grew up", not missing either one bit. My
ex-partner, though, is a gourmet coffee fiend.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3614. Re: The way it was (in Canada)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:12:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjen3s6.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19857

On Sun, 09 Sep 2001 10:27:57 -0700, Bill Turner wrote:

>On 8 Sep 2001 07:35:25 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
>>The RIs in the Montreal office used
>>CW as a form of intercom. Each had a key and oscillator on their desks.
>
>Just when I think I've heard everything.......

In the San Francisco FCC office we used that method from long before
I joined them in 1967 until we couldn't get any ancient tubes for
the oscillator in the mid-1970s. The first things that a new
receptionist learned was the dozen or so letters for each of the
staff members (to let us know we had a phone call) and not to put
her hand across the key contacts.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3615. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:12:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjenbq7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 19856

On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:58 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>> The FCC has that requirement for private land-mobile radio licensees
>> who have one year to build and load/use the licensed facilities.
>
>Don't the broadcast services also have the requirement of "use it or lose it"
>attached to their licenses?

Yup, but their industryu has enough leverage as to have a lotof
tap-dancing clauses to strtch out the time for years.

>> Many foreign governments have that rule for amateurs as well under
>> the theory that if you don't have any equipment you don't need a
>> license.
>
>Yup. In fact, some foreign governments required that a prospective ham first
>build
>(not buy) equipment, and demonstrate skill in its use and an understanding of
>its design as a prerequisite for a license.

Some even go so far as to require that the license specifiy the type
and serial number of equipment.

With all the moaning and groaning, some here lose sight of just how
much freedom we really do have in the US.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3616. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:45:42 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WxQm7.18215$KV3.1487715@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19860

Phil, it might be possible. All you need is a credit card size 1,000 watt transmitter. Um, do you run that with the throw down 2000 amp cranking power Sears Die-Hard?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gjekio3.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 21:05:15 -0500, CAM wrote:
>
> >Not anymore, Phil. A complete TV transmitter can be contained
> >in about 0.5 cubic inches. Ever see the Alinco credit card
> >transceiver? Anytime the government chooses to take you down,
> >they are perfectly capable of doing so. It doesn't ease my
> >mind to be depending upon the benevolence of the government
> >for my continued existence.
>
> Any time "the government" wants to take you down it won't be with a
> throw-down transmitter. Trust me on that.
>
> Enjoy your paranoia.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

3617. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:46:18 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <i4lnptguug9kpqp58423kj28gfgmtdbmuc@4ax.com>
Source record: 19861

On 09 Sep 2001 20:03:14 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>One wonders how the Battle of the North Atalntic would have turned out if the
>Polish, or the Bletchley park folks, had not done what they did.

The test at Los Alamos answered any and all such questions.

We win.

Bill, W7TI

3618. If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:49:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909164908.26783.00000876@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19862

Subject: Re: Downgrading?
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Date: 9/9/01 4:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20010909160314.19673.00000148@nso-mg.aol.com>

In article <20010908100833.06106.00000502@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>
>>>If and when S25.5 goes away, how long do you think the USA will have code
>>>testing for any class of ham license? I say it will last just as long as it
>>>takes FCC to act on the paperwork to eliminate it.
>>
>>More than likely, but the "fat lady singing" in this case will when the
>>treaty
>>change, assuming it happens, is ratified by the Senate. I know an
>>organization
>>that has the petition ready to file.
>
> I wonder if the FCC can (legally) "waive" the code testing requirement
>after S25 is deleted, but before Senate ratification...?!?! (Ala
>PRB-1..?!?!)
>
I don't know, Steve. But I see several factors:

1) Has the Senate ever NOT ratified changes to the ITU treaty, particularly with respect to the ARS?

2) How long does ratification typically take?

3) If most of the other countries who are part of the ITU accept elimination of S25.5, the FCC could argue that S25.5 doesn't really exist anymore, even if the Senate hasn't ratified yet.

I can see ratification as a "rubber stamp" item on the Senate agenda. Also, I can see the FCC, if asked, having everything in place to eliminate Element 1 as soon as ratification happens, if not before.

And you can be sure that the FCC will be asked to do whatever it can as fast as it can if S25.5 goes away. My good friend Bill Sohl, K2UNK, will see to that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Hey Phil...opinions here...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3619. Last Call for Sequatchie County, TN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 20:58:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909165818.26783.00000878@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19863

Hello All.

I have gotten lots of e mails in the last few years requesting a QSO for Sequatchie County, TN. There are precious few active hams here, and only two (including me) that are even remotely active on HF.

I am moving 15 September 01, and will be QRT from this QTH 13 September....Last call, y'all !

RSVP direct to k4yz@aol.com, please. QRV on 40, 30, 20, 17 and 15 meters...10 is kind of "iffy". Sorry, can't get on 80 right now. CW or SSB ok, but no digital modes at present.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3620. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 21:57:57 UTC
From: kf6vyh@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909175757.16393.00000865@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 19864

Hows this for a concept, I D your station when you key your mic.

>This is Pinkoism

How do figure that, Do you even know what a Pinko is.

3621. Re: Do Hams Date or.....anything like that??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 22:23:53 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B9BEBF9.DFA09236@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 19865

Of course. But we all modulate our women with our rubber duckies. :-)

Drpfrench wrote:

> Gee you guys. Did any of you pay any attention to the posters name,
> "Connie Lingus" <your@deathsdoor.com>
> I think you have been had.
> LOL, ROFLMAO
>
>

3622. Re: The way it was (in Canada)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 22:39:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B9BEF88.395451E1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 19866

Well, my tech (plus) test wasn't anywhere as stringent, but back then
you had to pass CW *and* written in the one visit. No partial credit,
i.e., no CSCEs. I did it at the NYC FCC office in some old building
I've heard is now condos in Manhattan. I had to send CW as well as
receive lots of 5 letter words. Then there was the general written.
Strangely enough, being a EE student in college at the time, I expected
to have a hard time with CW and not have much trouble with the written.
And pretty much aced the CW and almost failed the written! The
written had a question that required both regulatory and electronic theory
to answer. Something like "The B+ on your transmitter is 800V on the
final, up to how much current can the final draw?" IIRC, at the time the
regulations said "max 1 kilowatt fed to the final output tube" and you
needed to know that volts times amps in a DC environment = watts.
Multiple choice answers though.

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Was deleting old files and found this:

3623. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:00:32 UTC
From: Pinko <pinko@massachusetts.state.va.us>
Message-ID: <kwSm7.255593$J37.67826803@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 19867

The point I'm trying to make is that repeaters get keyed all the time by accident or people seeing if they are in range -- its not that big of a deal. Plus, I've got 10 minutes to ID, so what if I key the mic to see if I'm in range, then the next thing I know the machine is being shut down just before I am about to make a call. Its crazy, and its even worse when the people shutting down the machine don't ID at all.

Or, what if there is an emergency but I'm in a bad spot at the moment, or I need to adjust my power? To them it appears I am just keying the mic, but really I'm just out of range. But they shut it down anyway. So when I try again to call for help, there is no way I'm going to get it.

I.N.P.

"Kf6vyh" <kf6vyh@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010909175757.16393.00000865@mb-mo.aol.com...
> Hows this for a concept, I D your station when you key your mic.
>
> >This is Pinkoism
>
> How do figure that, Do you even know what a Pinko is.

3624. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:29:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LXSm7.13693$Uf1.1184521@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19868

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010909160314.19673.00000149@nso-mg.aol.com...
> In article <qa8nptgpdf8nhlkj3sgdm76g9lj318c5g1@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
> <w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:
>
> >Often the Brits are given sole credit for breaking the German Enigma
> >codes, but the truth is they were able to do it only because the Polish
> >captured an Enigma machine and gave it to them.
> >
> It's true that the Poles gave the British a three wheel Enigma machine,
and
> that having such a machine was vital to the Enigma codebreaking effort. I
> understand that a number of Polish code experts managed to escape poland
before
> it fell, and joined the Bletchley Park teams.
>
> But having an Enigma machine is only part of the puzzle, because the
machine
> was ingeniously complex, yet simple and rugged. The coding/decoding
sequence
> was determined by four things:
>
> 1) Which rotors were selected to be used in the machine
> 2) Where each rotor was placed
> 3) Initial position of each rotor
> 4) Jumper settings on the plugboard
>
> All of these changed every day, so even if an Enigma machine fell into
enemy
> hands it was useless without the daily settings. What the Brits actually
> developed were methods for determining the above settings from intercepted
> messages.
>
> Later in the war, the Germans came out with a four-rotor Enigma machine,
which
> made the Bletchley Park team's job an order of magnitude tougher. The
Germans
> also used other encoding schemes, many of which were broken without the
> codebreakers having any samples of the actual machines used.
>
> One wonders how the Battle of the North Atalntic would have turned out if
the
> Polish, or the Bletchley park folks, had not done what they did.
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

The Japanese code machines were based on Enigma with a lot of mods. One of the guys doing the codebreaking for the US Navy built one from his idea of how it 'had' to work and was proven dead on the nuts at the end of the war. Incidentally, the original came from International Telephone and Telegraph who tried to sell them for commercial encryption in the early 30s and gave up; kind of like the Christy drive on the Russian T-34.

We had a similar machine that is or at least was at the Field Museum in Chicago. Incidentally, the only unit evacuated intact from Corregidor was a Navy Codebreaking bunch. Probably really wanted them and had to take MacArthur as an afterthought.

Dick N BK

3625. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:38:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909193857.19673.00000162@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19871

In article <oe8nptoqddtem5ccr2v3menf020kfpnisr@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>The Japanese picked up the message and relayed it to their fleet, telling
>them
>>that there was a water shortage on "Butterfly". The Navy had confirmation
>that
>>"Butterfly" was actually Midway, and could concentrate the available forces
>>there.
>
>_____
>
>I've heard this story a million times, but always the code name for
>Midway was told as "AF". Where did "Butterfly" come from?
>
I've read it both ways. I don't know where "Butterfly" came from, but I suspect
it may be an Allied code word or maybe have some significance in Japanese.

Either way, the story shows how the use of a little disinformation can have BIG
results.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3626. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:38:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909193858.19673.00000163@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19870

In article <3B802693.BE0630B9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
>> DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
>> YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
>
>I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
>like to present some?

12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by direct experience.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3627. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:38:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909193859.19673.00000164@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19872

In article <_%Sl7.13907$KV3.1146665@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> Chapter titles in this wonderful volume include: (this is just a
>> partial list)
>>
>> "Weenie Music"
>> "Love Songs"
>> "Songs Women Really Hate"
>> "Teen Death Songs"
>> "Songs People Get Wrong"
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> "A grapeskin rots in the hot sun,
>> I fought the law and the law won"
>>
>> "Well, since she put me down
>> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"

This is the opening line of "Help Me Rhonda", a hit for the Beach Boys in the early 1960s. It is an atypical BB tune because it involves neither cars nor surfing, It is interesting because it can be classed as both a Song People Get Wrong and a Weenie Song.

Consider what the song is actually about: The singer is entreating Rhonda to help him forget another girl, who has dumped the singer for another guy. The song is almost entirely about the girl who dumped the singer - after the words "Rhonda you looked so fine", the singer alternates between the lost reams of the dumpee and entreaties for Rhonda to help the dumpee forget the dumper. One wonders what response Rhonda had for this thinly disguised request for a pity (deleted).

>> "There's a bathroom on the right"
>
>Being a Dave Barry fan, that is one hell of an idea.

Do it. You won't be sorry.
>
>I remember one where the lead singer bellowed, "My Baby's real Hot".
>To which the back-ups replied, "She ain't worth Doodly Squat"
>
>That will make your day.

Just did!

The book is actually a dangerous weapon in the wrong hands. You can ruin someone's weekend by leaving a tape of Captain and Tenille doing "Muskrat Love" (the only known Top 40 ode to rodent sex) in the target's car stereo, set to play when they start the car. By the time the target figures out what is going on, the tune will be playing endlessly inside the target's head. Cruel but effective.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3628. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:39:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909193900.19673.00000166@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19869

In article <20010908104708.27465.00000587@mb-cj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

(N2EY wrote:)

>>Save up and build yourself an Elecraft K2.
>
> I'd love to and very well may, but right now I need an NTIA compliant HF
>rig for CAP. Unfortunately the K2 isn't at better than 10ppm.

DANG!
>
> However SANTA has the word on what and where to find the K2!
>
YAHOO!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3629. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-09 23:39:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909193900.19673.00000165@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19873

In article <3b962a10.6319060@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>Then, it must be your opinion that the CW mode test does not measure
>>>anything other than CW skill?
>>
>>Nope. It measures other things, too.
>
>Such as? Now before answering, recognize that a code
>test of random alpha/numeric and punctuation for 5 minutes
>with one minute of perfect copy would constitute a pass
>for the CW test if given in that manner.

Yup.

>The traditional
>testing usually uses a text message from which questions
>are asked of the applicant. That understanding is incidental to
>the actual CW test requirement.

Actually, for many years the test method has been to send a typical ham QSO. The person being tested can pass by either answering at least 7 out of 10 questions on the message correctly, OR providing one-solid-minute of copy.

Most would-be HF/MF hams come to ham radio with no Morse skill at all. So the test also measures the willingness and ability of most would-be HF/MF hams to learn something completely new.
>
>>The debate is really about whether those
>>other things need to be measured by a pass-fail test that is required for an
>>HF/MF ham license.
>
>Again, what other things?

See above. The FCC has said more than once that those things don't need to be tested. I believe the exact wording is something like:

"serves no regulatory purpose other than compliance with the treaty". Those may not be the FCC's exact words, but I think the FCC would agree with the meaning and intent.
>
>>>I think like you, that the only reason the CW
>>>mode test was ever there was to comply with international treaty
>agreements,
>>>and to "follow along" with the concept of having trained operators who
>could

>>>meet the same standards as those they may encounter from a government
>>>entity, such as the Coast Guard.
>>
>>Those are two reasons, but there are others.
>>
>>> CW is no longer emphasized, so the
>>>government no longer requires radio operators with advanced license
>>>privileges to have such a focus.
>>>
>>No longer emphasized or widely used by other services, yes, but it's all
>over
>>the place in ham radio.
>
>And it is now, and has been for over 50 years, a strictly OPTIONAL
>mode of choice. Use by others hasn't mandated anyone to
>learn and/or use it. The ONLY mandated aspect of CW has
>been as a license requirement. There was a time when the
>FCC license renewal form asked the ham to state that he/she had
>maintained the code skill requirement, but that hasn't been the
>case for well over 20 or more years.
>
More like 45 years, Bill. The renewal form used to require that a ham affirm that he/she could still pass all of the current tests for the license held. In other words, every 5 years or so, hams had to state that they could still do the code and pass the writtens. That was quietly eliminated in the 1950s.

There also used to be a requirement that hams actually operate a certain number of hours within a few months of the renewal date, but that was also eliminated in the 1950s.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3630. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 00:03:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909200302.26492.00000225@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19874

In article <lu7npto1iqcf5p104v4jv8psbqor6p6op1@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

>On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>- Some torpedoes would make circular runs and even sink the sub that fired
>>them.
>
>_____
>
>For one of the best submarine stories of WW2, read "Clear the Bridge" by
>the late Richard O'Kane, commander of the Tang.

Any thing by Admiral O'Kane is worth a read. Tang is one of the 52 USN WW2 subs
"still on patrol".
>
>After a hugely successful career, the Tang was sunk by just such a
>circular torpedo run with the loss of nearly all hands. Commander
>O'Kane survived the sinking and spent the remainder of the war as a
>prisoner of war. He was quite bitter that American torpedoes did not
>have a mechanism to detect circular runs and disable themselves.

The torpedo that caused Tang to sink herself was the last one left on board.
>
>I don't know what this has to do with rrap, but it's great reading
>anyway.
>
Almost all US Navy submarine radio communications in WW2 was by Morse code.

--

I recommend the Taiho story as the classic example of how one person can make
all the difference.

--

The USN was not the only navy to suffer from circular runs of its torpedoes. At
least one German U-boat (U-869) appears to have sunk itself this way.

See

http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/lostsub/

or search Yahoo on "U-869"

U-869, a Type IX boat, was lost with all hands 60 miles east of the New Jersey
shore, where it lies 230 feet down. The wreck was discovered in 1991 and only
recently positively identified as U-869.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3631. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 00:11:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7zTm7.13747$Uf1.1187357@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19875

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010909193859.19673.00000164@nso-mg.aol.com...
> In article <_%Sl7.13907$KV3.1146665@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >> Chapter titles in this wonderful volume include: (this is just a
> >> partial list)
> >>
> >> "Weenie Music"
> >> "Love Songs"
> >> "Songs Women Really Hate"
> >> "Teen Death Songs"
> >> "Songs People Get Wrong"
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> "A grapeskin rots in the hot sun,
> >> I fought the law and the law won"
> >>
> >> "Well, since she put me down
> >> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"
>
> This is the opening line of "Help Me Rhonda", a hit for the Beach Boys in
the
> early 1960s. It is an atypical BB tune because it involves neither cars
nor
> surfing, It is interesting because it can be classed as both a Song
People Get
> Wrong and a Weenie Song.
>
> Consider what the song is actually about: The singer is entreating Rhonda
to
> help him forget another girl, who has dumped the singer for another guy.
The
> song is almost entirely about the girl who dumped the singer - after the
words
> "Rhonda you looked so fine", the singer alternates between the lost reams
of
> the dumpee and entreaties for Rhonda to help the dumpee forget the dumper.
One
> wonders what response Rhonda had for this thinly disguised request for a
pity
> (deleted).
>

> >> "There's a bathroom on the right"
> >
> >Being a Dave Barry fan, that is one hell of an idea.
>
> Do it. You won't be sorry.
> >
> >I remember one where the lead singer bellowed, "My Baby's real Hot".
> >To which the back-ups replied, "She ain't worth Doodly Squat"
> >
> >That will make your day.
>
> Just did!
>
> The book is actually a dangerous weapon in the wrong hands. You can ruin
> someone's weekend by leaving a tape of Captain and Tenille doing "Muskrat
Love"
> (the only known Top 40 ode to rodent sex) in the target's car stereo, set
to
> play when they start the car. By the time the target figures out what is
going
> on, the tune will be playing endlessly inside the target's head. Cruel but
> effective.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

This is bringing back an old game we played with the remote juke box heads in high school: do a dramatic reading of the song title adding 'Under the Sheets' at the end.

Mark Twain described a similar tactic with a jingle that happened to match the click of the rails. It infected everyone until it could be unloaded on someone else: Punch that ticket, punch with care, punch in the presence of the Passengair.

If you need a quick standup act, steal from Irwin Corey and do a dramatic reading of the lyrics to a well-known song. He did Mary Had a Little Lamb but any good Beach Boys tune (usually recorded with Dean Torrance doing the lead BTW) will do. I have used Barbara Ann. Turn it on, wind it out, blow it up, GTO.

My bent of late has been old Army songs, the real ones not those written for WW2. The music is easy because they became good Protestant hymns. BTW the Bonnie Blue Flag looks for Missouri to secede. Might you be familiar with God Save Ireland aka Jesus Loves the Little Children; there is a song out of the Philippine Insurrection that is a minor joy.

One of the big songs they get wrong was the theme to All in the Family, "Gee, the old LaSalle ran great"; that was recorded about 17 times with careful annunciation and it still caused complaints.

I am told that one of the band was picked up real quick to do a song in Spanish and sang One Ton Tomato at appropriate times.

Dick, Bionic Klutz

3632. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 00:29:02 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1606187c6abbc40c98968d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19876

In article <20010909175757.16393.00000865@mb-mo.aol.com>, kf6vyh@aol.com
says...
> Hows this for a concept, I D your station when you key your mic.
>
> >This is Pinkoism
>
> How do figure that, Do you even know what a Pinko is.

It's a kind of a scissors...does some wavy line type of cutting

or was it a 50's TV character. I rremember a Pinko Lee TV kids show

3633. Re: Are restructured hams supposed to sound like CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 00:34:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909203411.15346.00000633@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19877

>Subject: Re: Are restructured hams supposed to sound like CBers?
>From: no@spam.com
>Date: 9/9/01 8:07 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9B5B68.AE3E693@spam.com>

>*Now* after all these years, I totally agree with the original poster. My HF
>station is being sold on consignment, and I'm off the air. Life's way too
>short to spend it fending off all the dipsticks on the bands these days. It
>didn't used to be like that.
>

Adios, Anonymous Putz.

Save the stupid stuff on 75 (that's been there since the Earth's crust
cooled anyway) there's hardly any difference in what's on the air.

You look for idiots, you will find them. You look for meaningful
interaction, you will find that too.

I'll give you $50 for the whole station, if you want out so bad.

3634. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 00:43:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <o0Um7.13787$Uf1.1189744@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19878

"IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
news:MPG.1606187c6abbc40c98968d@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <20010909175757.16393.00000865@mb-mo.aol.com>, kf6vyh@aol.com
> says...
> > Hows this for a concept, I D your station when you key your mic.
> >
> > >This is Pinkoism
> >
> > How do figure that, Do you even know what a Pinko is.
>
> It's a kind of a scissors...does some wavy line type of cutting
>
> or was it a 50's TV character. I rremember a Pinko Lee TV kids show

Or a ditty from the Folk Era re the John Birch Society:

We're after Rosey Clooney, we've gotten Pinky Lee, and when we get Red
Skelton won't that be a victory?

Oh join the John Birch Society and help us fill the ranks. To get this
movement started we need lots of fools and cranks.

When you get some money in the repeater, you have the right to an opinion.
They are not common carriers and can set pretty much any rules they want. I
disagree with most repeater owners: I dislike bloops, beeps, and similar
caliope sounds and the seabag lawyers that infest them. My remedy and yours
as well is to stay the hell off the machine.

Dick N&#65533;BK

For those too young to remember HUAC, a pinko was a junior grade Commie.

3635. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:18:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh4cpggi0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19879

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >The German codes were broken by teams at Bletchley Park, in England. The
> >supposedly unbreakable Enigma codes were broken to the extent that often
> >Bletchley Park knew the contents of an intercepted German message before the
> >intended German recipient did.
>
> --
>
> Often the Brits are given sole credit for breaking the German Enigma
> codes, but the truth is they were able to do it only because the Polish
> captured an Enigma machine and gave it to them.

Yep. It *is* much easier to decipher a code when you have the machine
that encodes it - and decodes it.

3636. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:22:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh4kmggi1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19880

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 8 Sep 2001 04:29:57 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >> Spend time on RTTY, learn to type! :-)
> >>
> > > Believe it or not, I know how, but the fingers don't always follow
> > >along these days what with a little Artur's Itis. And my 17 inch monitor
> > >fried, so I had to put this tiny thing that came with this computer up..
> > >.the bifocals don't work near as well on it... Time to make a run into
> > >the city for a replacement. Might try a 19 incher.
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > You don't need a bigger monitor. You need a spell checker. :-)

Got one. But if I don't see that I need to use it......

3637. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:25:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh4qn$i49$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19881

N2EY wrote:
>
There was a time when the
> >FCC license renewal form asked the ham to state that he/she had
> >maintained the code skill requirement, but that hasn't been the
> >case for well over 20 or more years.
> >
> More like 45 years, Bill. The renewal form used to require that a ham affirm
> that he/she could still pass all of the current tests for the license held. In
> other words, every 5 years or so, hams had to state that they could still do
> the code and pass the writtens. That was quietly eliminated in the 1950s.
>
> There also used to be a requirement that hams actually operate a certain number
> of hours within a few months of the renewal date, but that was also eliminated
> in the 1950s.

I recall renewing when I had to certify that I had made at least two
Morse code contacts within the last six months.

3638. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:31:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh55k$i49$1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19882

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > And it makes PACTOR II superior to CW.
> >
> > But of course the problem is that far, far more CW rigs available,
> > simple as they can be constructed, and since they are universially
> > present in HF rigs, than PACTOR.
>
> So you need to make up your mind, Dick. Are you advocating the best
> performing mode or the most popular mode? The best performing mode
> I know of is PACTOR II. The most popular mode is SSB.

My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.

3639. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:33:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh58d$i49$2@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19883

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > ... the Internet fell in the mid 90's for most of the USA. That
> > > > was enough to keep a large number of people out of ham radio.
> > >
> > > How does a freebander freeband on the Internet?
> >
> > With all the spam, hacking, cracking and virus writing going on and you
> > have to ask?
>
> Oh, I get it now. The freebanders get on Internet and generate spam,
> perform hacking, and spread viruses. Sure they do, Dick, sure they do.

Same violator's mentality, why not?
```

3640. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:34:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh5bc$i49$3@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19884

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gjd5ba7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:
>
> >On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 19:24:45 -0500, CAM wrote:
> >
> >>> IMO the FCC would be well within its charter to require licensed hams
> >>> to keep station equipment for use as long as they hold an active
> >>> license.
> >>
> >>Oh Boy, what ham radio needs is more governmental requirements and
> >>regulations.
> >
> > The FCC has that requirement for private land-mobile radio licensees
> > who have one year to build and load/use the licensed facilities.
>
> Don't the broadcast services also have the requirement of "use it or lose it"
> attached to their licenses?
> >
> > Many foreign governments have that rule for amateurs as well under
> > the theory that if you don't have any equipment you don't need a
> > license.
>
> Yup. In fact, some foreign governments required that a prospective ham first
> build
> (not buy) equipment, and demonstrate skill in its use and an understanding of
> its design as a prerequisite for a license.
>

I understand that early US ham licenses had similar ability
requirements, if not actual hardware requirements.

3641. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 01:36:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nh5fl$i49$4@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19885

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
> > > Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?
> > >
> > More importantly, do you understand Cecil's imagination?
>
> You need to get out more, Dick. Mathematical transforms are not
> figments of my imagination.

Yeah, but a flat earth sure is.
```

3642. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 02:45:01 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b9c2849.2203213@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19886

On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:57 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em,
>>> don't tell 'em.
>
>>It would be interesting to know just how many demos the CW promoters
>>have presented in the past year.
>
>For the past several years, I have led the CW effort at the local FD outing.
>Before me, there was little or no CW activity at the local club's Field Days.
>With the aid of a few local hams, we put a dedicated CW station on the air and
>kept it going around the clock. This station was in a tent and made many points
>for the club.
>
>This year we upped the ante to TWO dedicated CW stations. W3RV led the effort
>for a second station, and put it right in the middle of the main operating
>area. It was so situated that visitors would see this CW station first. Lots of
>interest from both hams and nonhams.
>
>In all cases we found that many people had never seen a real CW station in full
>operation, churning out the QSOs as fast or faster than the voice stations.
>
>FISTS has begun setting up booths at some of the larger hamfests. The result
>has been lots of interest and lots of new members.
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim,

That's excellent and it is what CW devotees can, should and will
do if they want to keep CW from slipping into total non-use when
code testing ends. It is clear that you (N2EY) understand that
you and other CW users have the burden of sustaining CW use
as opposed to demanding a government subsidy by mandatory
testing requirements.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3643. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 02:48:05 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b9c2987.2521199@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19887

On 8 Sep 2001 03:41:23 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010907105755.06052.00000334@mb-cp.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>> writes:
>>
>> >The current ARRL policy is that ARRL supports the retention of Morse code
>> >testing in the US regs to obtain HF access.
>> >
>> Yep - but the same ARRL policy says that the League will not oppose deleting
>> S25.5 from the ITU treaty.
>>
>> FCC has said that the ONLY reason they kept the 5 wpm code test was S25.5
>>
>> So if S25.5 goes, FCC will have no reason to keep even 5 wpm.

Mkaes sense to me too!

> And of course the BOD knows that, so it is much easier on them to offer
>support knowing that it is pointless, and thus maybe avoid alienating CW
>supporters. Of course we all know what Bill Cross is going to do. And
>the commissioners.
>
>> The ARRL policy
>> will be ignored by the FCC. End of story.
>
>Exactly what is expected. One wonders what would happen if something
>threw a wrench into the works.

One wonders even more just what YOU think would constitute
such a wrench tossed into the works?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3644. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 02:52:59 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b9c2acc.2846476@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 19888

On 6 Sep 2001 14:09:12 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:>
>
>> Yet there is NO formal FCC requirement to know or understand that list
>> of Q signals.
>
> Unless it has been dropped from the question pools, Q signals are on
>the test. Last time I had any connection with testing there were
>questions on them for some license classes.

Not sure if they still are or not but even when they were,
the Q signals required were very few of the total.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3645. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 03:32:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9C24DF.404D428B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 19889

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <_%Sl7.13907$KV3.1146665@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

> >I remember one where the lead singer bellowed, "My Baby's real Hot".
> >To which the back-ups replied, "She ain't worth Doodly Squat"
> >
> >That will make your day.
>
> Just did!

Think the lyrics were:

"My gal is red hot" with the reply
"Your gal ain't doodly squat"

I believe it was a J. Geils Band tune.

Dave K8MN

3646. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:00:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nhds7dvu0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19890

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 6 Sep 2001 14:09:12 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:>
> >
> >> Yet there is NO formal FCC requirement to know or understand that list
> >> of Q signals.
> >
> > Unless it has been dropped from the question pools, Q signals are on
> >the test. Last time I had any connection with testing there were
> >questions on them for some license classes.
>
> Not sure if they still are or not but even when they were,
> the Q signals required were very few of the total.

Yeah. Hey, there's only a few antenna questions, we can skip them. And only a few rules questions, skip them....Ohm's law? Naw, only a couple.....

To quote the late great Sen. Everitt Dirkson, "A billion here, a billion there, after while it adds up to real money."

3647. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:02:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nhe0hdvu1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 19891

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:57 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >In article <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em,
> >>> don't tell 'em.
> >
> >>It would be interesting to know just how many demos the CW promoters
> >>have presented in the past year.
> >
> >For the past several years, I have led the CW effort at the local FD outing.
> >Before me, there was little or no CW activity at the local club's Field Days.
> >With the aid of a few local hams, we put a dedicated CW station on the air and
> >kept it going around the clock. This station was in a tent and made many points
> >for the club.
> >
> >This year we upped the ante to TWO dedicated CW stations. W3RV led the effort
> >for a second station, and put it right in the middle of the main operating
> >area. It was so situated that visitors would see this CW station first. Lots of
> >interest from both hams and nonhams.
> >
> >In all cases we found that many people had never seen a real CW station in full
> >operation, churning out the QSOs as fast or faster than the voice stations.
> >
> >FISTS has begun setting up booths at some of the larger hamfests. The result
> >has been lots of interest and lots of new members.
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim,
>
> That's excellent and it is what CW devotees can, should and will
> do if they want to keep CW from slipping into total non-use when
> code testing ends. It is clear that you (N2EY) understand that
> you and other CW users have the burden of sustaining CW use
> as opposed to demanding a government subsidy by mandatory
> testing requirements.
>

Bill you're so intelligent that you can't understand that it's the
GOVERNMENT that is being subsidized by hams knowing how to use CW.

3648. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:18:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9C3EF8.E0C79276@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19892

N2EY wrote:
> Later in the war, the Germans came out with a four-rotor Enigma machine, which
> made the Bletchley Park team's job an order of magnitude tougher.

Isn't that why Alan Turing developed a digital computer?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3649. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:22:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9C4009.752553F2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19893

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > You need to get out more, Dick. Mathematical transforms are not
> > figments of my imagination.
>
> Yeah, but a flat earth sure is.

Really? I've got a flat map of the earth hanging on my wall.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3650. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:28:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9C4161.FD4F52E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19894

Dick Carroll wrote:
> My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
> that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
> likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.

And - what you seem to be incapable of comprehending - a person will
use the best mode available that works under the prevailing conditions,
even if CW is not available to him - even if he is not a ham.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3651. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:30:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9C41D5.583AC630@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19895

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Oh, I get it now. The freebanders get on Internet and generate spam,
> > perform hacking, and spread viruses. Sure they do, Dick, sure they do.
>
> Same violator's mentality, why not?

Can you furnish the name of just one person who is a
freebander and a hacker?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3652. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:35:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9C4324.2C7DE43B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19896

Phil Kane wrote:
> Any time "the government" wants to take you down it won't be with a
> throw-down transmitter. Trust me on that.

Yep, I hear the preferred method is a bag of cocaine.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3653. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:41:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9C4494.D47E49FD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19897

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> >like to present some?
>
> 12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by
> direct experience.

Can't be, Jim. Nobody graduates from hell.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3654. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 04:55:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910005554.09191.00000911@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 19898

>Subject: Re: check this out
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/10/01 12:41 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9C4494.D47E49FD@IEEE.org>

>Can't be, Jim. Nobody graduates from hell.

I did. Platoon 2100, 21 November 1974, MCRD San Diego, CA.

73 es OOOOOHRAH!

The Gunny, K4YZ

3655. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 09:53:57 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <V40n7.18941$KV3.1559399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19899

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3b9c2849.2203213@news.mindspring.com...
> On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:57 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >In article <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em,
> >>> don't tell 'em.
> >
> >>It would be interesting to know just how many demos the CW promoters
> >>have presented in the past year.
> >
> >For the past several years, I have led the CW effort at the local FD
outing.
> >Before me, there was little or no CW activity at the local club's Field
Days.
> >With the aid of a few local hams, we put a dedicated CW station on the
air and
> >kept it going around the clock. This station was in a tent and made many
points
> >for the club.
> >
> >This year we upped the ante to TWO dedicated CW stations. W3RV led the
effort
> >for a second station, and put it right in the middle of the main
operating
> >area. It was so situated that visitors would see this CW station first.
Lots of
> >interest from both hams and nonhams.
> >
> >In all cases we found that many people had never seen a real CW station
in full
> >operation, churning out the QSOs as fast or faster than the voice
stations.
> >
> >FISTS has begun setting up booths at some of the larger hamfests. The
result
> >has been lots of interest and lots of new members.
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim,
>

> That's excellent and it is what CW devotees can, should and will
> do if they want to keep CW from slipping into total non-use when
> code testing ends. It is clear that you (N2EY) understand that
> you and other CW users have the burden of sustaining CW use
> as opposed to demanding a government subsidy by mandatory
> testing requirements.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

Yes, and the funny thing about it is that the task, if that is what it is, will actually be easier when the 5wpm disappears. It is a whole lot easier to get a rookie up to journeyman level from scratch than to unlearn what it took to pass a 5wpm test. Ain't life fun????

Dick NBK

3656. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 10:11:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19952

In article <7zTm7.13747$Uf1.1187357@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>This is bringing back an old game we played with the remote juke box heads
>in high school: do a dramatic reading of the song title adding 'Under the
>Sheets' at the end.

Luv it.

For some reason there was a jukebox in my high school cafeteria (1968-1972).
Somebody used to sneak in just before lunch and load the thing with nickels,
then punch up "Ballad of The Green Berets" over and over, driving us nuts.
Revenge was exacted by vandals who carefully opened up the jukebox and replaced
"Berets" with Iron Butterfly's immortal "Inna-Gadda-Da-Vida" which ran a LOT
longer than "Berets". It lasted for hours.
>
>Mark Twain described a similar tactic with a jingle that happened to match
>the click of the rails. It infected everyone until it could be unloaded on
>someone else: Punch that ticket, punch with care, punch in the presence of
>the Passengair.

omigawd - inhuman.
>
>If you need a quick standup act, steal from Irwin Corey and do a dramatic
>reading of the lyrics to a well-known song. He did Mary Had a Little Lamb
>but any good Beach Boys tune (usually recorded with Dean Torrance doing the
>lead BTW) will do. I have used Barbara Ann. Turn it on, wind it out, blow
>it up, GTO.

Early Springsteen lyrics are excellent for this, especially when read in
Elizabethan or Shakesperean style:

"Baby, this town rips the bone from your back
It's a death trap, it's a suicide rap"

"Wrap your legs 'round these velvet rims
And strap your hands 'cross my engines"

At one point early in her career, Susan Werner (I think) did a version of Tammy
Wynette's "Stand By Your Man" that was a classic. Exact same words but
different tempo and emphasis.Completely inverted the meaning.

I knew I had really misspent my youth when I realized that I understood every
technical term used in any car song.
>
>My bent of late has been old Army songs, the real ones not those written for
>WW2. The music is easy because they became good Protestant hymns. BTW the

>Bonnie Blue Flag looks for Missouri to secede. Might you be familiar with
>God Save Ireland aka Jesus Loves the Little Children; there is a song out of
>the Philippine Insurrection that is a minor joy.

I gotta get up to speed on those. I am more familiar with the Spike Jones genre, such as "In Der Fuhrer's Face" and the Phil Harris ditty about the unnamed thing he found floating in the bay (performed in the film "The Wild Blue Yonder"). Truly funny songs, such as "Lydia the Tatooed Lady", "It's a Good Thing He Can't Read My Mind", "I Wish I Were A Lesbian" and "The White Collar Holler" are few and far between.
>
>One of the big songs they get wrong was the theme to All in the Family,
>"Gee, the old LaSalle ran great"; that was recorded about 17 times with
>careful annunciation and it still caused complaints.

"Those Were The Days".

Only after many years and life experiences did I begin to appreciate how perfect that theme was for the show, and the true talent of Caroll O'Connor and Jean Stapleton.

Probably the most inspired use of music in a nonmusical TV show were the choices used by Johnny Fever in "WKRP in Cincinnati", one of the very first ensemble shows and a true comedy classic. It was inexplicably canceled when it was #6 or #7 in the overall ratings, despite repeated time slot changes. The network was afraid of its success, I think, just like Mrs. Carlson. A friend of mine actually put tape on the office floor when management refused to give him walls.

"I am a receptionist. Receptionists receive."
"I don't go for LITTLE gustos, Herb"
"As God is my witness, I thought turkeys could fly"
>
>I am told that one of the band was picked up real quick to do a song in
>Spanish and sang One Ton Tomato at appropriate times.
>
"Guantonamera" gets almost a full page in the Barry book.

73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer Marlowe)

3657. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 10:11:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910061136.23913.00000174@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19953

In article <3b9c2849.2203213@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:57 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em,
>>>> don't tell 'em.
>>
>>>It would be interesting to know just how many demos the CW promoters
>>>have presented in the past year.
>>
>>For the past several years, I have led the CW effort at the local FD outing.
>>Before me, there was little or no CW activity at the local club's Field
>Days.
>>With the aid of a few local hams, we put a dedicated CW station on the air
>and
>>kept it going around the clock. This station was in a tent and made many
>points
>>for the club.
>>
>>This year we upped the ante to TWO dedicated CW stations. W3RV led the
>effort
>>for a second station, and put it right in the middle of the main operating
>>area. It was so situated that visitors would see this CW station first. Lots
>of
>>interest from both hams and nonhams.
>>
>>In all cases we found that many people had never seen a real CW station in
>full
>>operation, churning out the QSOs as fast or faster than the voice stations.
>>
>>FISTS has begun setting up booths at some of the larger hamfests. The result
>>has been lots of interest and lots of new members.
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim,
>
>That's excellent and it is what CW devotees can, should and will
>do if they want to keep CW from slipping into total non-use when
>code testing ends.

We need more of the same. At one time, wire- and radiotelegraphy were something almost everyone had seen repeatedly. Today that's just not so, and the few depictions in the media are usually fleeting and/or inaccurate.

Consider the 1997 blockbuster movie "Titanic". Millions were spent to insure exact historical accuracy in all areas possible. About the ONLY inacuracies are the fictional characters. But radio is almost totally negelcted - there's one tiny scene where the captain stps by the radio shack and talks to the ops. No depiction of radiotelegraphy at all.

The amateur radio press is almost as bad. When was the last time you saw an in-depth article on CW operation in the pages of a major ham magazine? If someone wants to know how to adjust a bug or straight key, the most recent QST articles I can point them to are from the mid-1950s.

> It is clear that you (N2EY) understand that
>you and other CW users have the burden of sustaining CW use
>as opposed to demanding a government subsidy by mandatory
>testing requirements.
>
I've understood that for decades, Bill. So have many others. That's what FISTS is really all about.

Credit for our second FD station, and its placement, belongs entirely to W3RV. Brian pushed for us to be "front and center" where everyone could see and hear CW in action. It made a difference, lemme tellya.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3658. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 10:11:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910061137.23913.00000176@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19900

In article <3B9C4494.D47E49FD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
>> >like to present some?
>>
>> 12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by
>> direct experience.
>
>Can't be, Jim. Nobody graduates from hell.
>--
I didn't say I was IN hell, just that I the direct experience of that place
proved its existence to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3659. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 10:11:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910061137.23913.00000175@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 19951

In article <3B9C3EF8.E0C79276@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Later in the war, the Germans came out with a four-rotor Enigma machine,
>which
>> made the Bletchley Park team's job an order of magnitude tougher.
>
>Isn't that why Alan Turing developed a digital computer?

There were several special purpose machines developed by the Bletchley Park teams for code breaking. Alan Turing was involved in many of them. He was a true genius whose work is very under-appreciated.

Whether any of the machines built were true digital computers is doubtful because they were all special-purpose machines, not general-purpose computers. Worse, many of the details were lost to secrecy. The "Colossus" may have been a true electronic digital computer, but all examples of it were destroyed in the 1950s and the plans and files burned.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3660. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 10:50:08 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9c9d5c_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 19954

Spellcheckers aren't for when it is thought they are needed. They are for
all times, in case.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9nh4kmggi1@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> >
> > On 8 Sep 2001 04:29:57 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> Spend time on RTTY, learn to type! :-)
> > >>
> > > > Believe it or not, I know how, but the fingers don't always follow
> > >along these days what with a little Artur's Itis. And my 17 inch
monitor
> > >fried, so I had to put this tiny thing that came with this computer
up..
> > >.the bifocals don't work near as well on it... Time to make a run into
> > >the city for a replacement. Might try a 19 incher.
> >
> > ____
> >
> > You don't need a bigger monitor. You need a spell checker. :-)
>
>
> Got one. But if I don't see that I need to use it.....

3661. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 11:19:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910071906.00578.00000158@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 19955

In article <3B9AAC0B.3566F59E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Drpfrench wrote:
>>
>> >Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>> >effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>>
>> This is not true.
>
>Jim is assuming that it is not conversational English but instead
>requires many phonetic spellings. For instance, he is assuming that
>my name would be Charlie Echo Charlie India Lima which takes
>approximately the same time as -.-. . -.-. .. .-.. in Morse.

No, I'm not assuming that, Cecil. Conversations in Morse are sped up by the use of abbreviations, as demonstrated in another post. 2:1 compression without loss of meaning is easy.

Phoneticization slows down 'phone even more. On CW I send "NAME JIM". On 'phone it's "My name is Jim - that's Juliett India Mike, Jim".

>Morse is about the same throughput as spelling out English words
>using letters and/or phonetics.

Depends on the situation. CWops with decent skills are faster than you describe.

> It's mostly a strawman argument.

Not when you've done it, as I have.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3662. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 12:52:12 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910085212.29580.00000828@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19956

>The techniques used for FCC dfing can differentiate between two CB
> antenna eight feet apart.

Truly impressive. I honestly thought they got the field folks to within
half-a-mile followed by some DF/eyeballing.

>The enforcement folks at the FCC have just about zero input to
> making the regs (and a lot of times the reg writers actually ignore
> their real-word experience) and of course have zero connection to
> statutes passed by The Congress and incorporated into the
> Communications Act.
>

I'd really prefer to see the legislative end of it involve the passage of bills
voted upon by folks we, in turn, vote into office.

>As I pointed out before - maybe not clearly enough - the FCC does
> not and cannot under the law make entries and inspection by force,
> which is the only time a warrant is necessary unless it falls into
> one of the seven recognized exceptions for warrantless searches. By
> directives of the U S Justice Department and FCC management, these
> exceptions may not be used by FCC agents.
>
> If the request for inspection is refused, there are other remedies
> that the FCC can bring to bear, but the agents cannot force their
> way into an inspection.

We already know how we each feel about this, Phil. I really do appreciate where
you're coming from but from John Q. Public's viewpoint, the threat of the
aformentioned "remedies" is the "force."

>Some of my critics may wonder but yes, I am an attorney (and a
> registered professional engineer) specializing in communications
> regulatory compliance.

As I said before, please don't consider me a critic of you. Your credentials
are most impressive and I do understand from what direction you're coming.

>I got through engineering school on coffee and cigarettes and gave
> up both of them "when I grew up", not missing either one bit. My
> ex-partner, though, is a gourmet coffee fiend.

I do have an associate at work (I believe you know who I'm talking about.) who
swears that on the eighth day...God made coffee. :-) Take care and 73.

Mark

3663. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:04:44 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910090444.29580.00000833@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19957

>A careful reading of such orders will show that up front the
> order states a proposed penalty and if so desired, the licensee can
> request a hearing on the merits. No big deal. Smart folks know
> they were caught with their hand in the cookie jar so they don't
> fight it. That's what plea bargaining is all about.
>

I don't think there's any plea bargaining going on here. All Riley was going to do was modify this guy's ticket. It was implied that if he wanted to fight it, the ticket "might" be revoked. Next thing you know, Riley gets a letter from this guy's attorney claiming that Riley's overstepped his authority and the modification order is null and void and they wish to take it to court, I believe a "real" one, (with real meaning NOT administrative) to prove otherwise. No doubt, this guy's gonna get his clock cleaned. I'll try to find the thread on QRZ and post it. Take care and 73.

Mark

3664. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:06:03 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <%U2n7.2$JB1.117@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 19959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

Interesting situation here...

As of the time I am posting this, N1RZB had received a total of eight responses to his inquiry, and not one of them had anything to do with answering his question! Instead of helping the guy, everyone gripes and whines about cross-posting!

Yeah, I know that there are both written and unwritten rules of "netiquette", and perhaps N1RZB shouldn't have posted his query to some of the groups that he did post to. But perhaps we need to take a closer look at this. What's happened to the hobby? What's happened to hams being helpful to each other? Instead of getting an answer to his question, all he gets is bitched at by a bunch of self-appointed "interenet cops". We keep hearing that ham radio is "dying out". It's no wonder! When someone can't get a straight answer to a valid ham radio question, or get ANY answer in this case, it ain't hard to see why people aren't sticking with the hobby. I've heard it said that the internet is killing ham radio. This is a perfect example!

I wish I *could* give this gentleman an answer, but I really have no personal experience with six meters. I'm sure I'll be flamed for cross-posting this message, and for being one of the people who isn't giving the guy any help. At least I'm WILLING to help... I'm just not ABLE to help.

73,
Dean, K5DH
Visit my amateur radio web site at http://www.qsl.net/k5dh

In article <tpg313qt13tv74@corp.supernews.com>, n1rzb@110.net says...
>
>OK,
>
>Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
>
>Thanks
>n1rzb

3665. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:06:55 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910090655.29580.00000835@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 19958

>Mark, I can agree with that, But it is nice having someone like Phil to give
>us his "hands on" perspective of what actually happens.
>

I agree 100%. I don't want anybody to misconstrue my disagreement to be with
Phil, only the policy is in question. Take care and 73.

Mark

3666. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:51:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9CC54F.25E9A925@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19960

N2EY wrote:
> At one point early in her career, Susan Werner (I think) did a version of Tammy
> Wynette's "Stand By Your Man" that was a classic. Exact same words but
> different tempo and emphasis.Completely inverted the meaning.

At the last Intel talent show at which I performed, I sang "Stand By
Your Man" and "Put Another Log On The Fire". The wives of the guys
I worked with wouldn't even speak to me after that. "If you love him,
you'll forgive him", seems to have a somewhat different meaning when
a man sings it. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3667. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:53:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9CC5D7.C4D576B7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19961

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> Spellcheckers aren't for when it is thought they are needed. They are for
> all times, in case.

Too bad they won't catch the misuse of "there" for "their".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3668. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:56:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9CC691.85C8F8DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19963

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> > Nobody graduates from hell.
>
> I did. Platoon 2100, 21 November 1974, MCRD San Diego, CA.

Uhhhhhhhh Steve, "To Hell and Back" was just a movie.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3669. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:57:20 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <2lhppt8vsc0g1t3k4f39gapuasroj5qlm7@4ax.com>
Source record: 19965

On Sun, 09 Sep 2001 23:41:56 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
>> >like to present some?
>>
>> 12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by
>> direct experience.
>
>Can't be, Jim. Nobody graduates from hell.

Wouldn't that be purgatory? Not a Catholic, I'm afraid.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3670. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:58:27 UTC
From: queequeg@quahog.com
Message-ID: <Xns9118665E1EDB3myemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>
Source record: 19962

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
<20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com>:

>73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer Marlowe)

What a relief. Thought I was the only one...

Q.

3671. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 13:59:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nih0g$4o9$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 19964

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > You need to get out more, Dick. Mathematical transforms are not
> > > figments of my imagination.
> >
> > Yeah, but a flat earth sure is.
>
> Really? I've got a flat map of the earth hanging on my wall.

Try land surveying and see how far you get with the flat earth concept.
Look at a good plat map and you'll see many corrections and non-standard
sized sections which are necessary to correct for the roundness of the
earth. Flat it's not.

3672. CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:04:45 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <fuhppt4inoj8bhu9dfq8r7kj15v2l5kjfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 19967

How easy is it, these days, to find houses to buy that aren't covered
by CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio?

I'm not limiting my search to new homes or city living.

Just curious,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3673. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:05:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nihc7$6aj$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 19966

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Spellcheckers aren't for when it is thought they are needed. They are for
> all times, in case.
>

Ya missed the point yet one more time, Sweetie...If I don't see my TYPO
then my spellchecker don't get used on it.

3674. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:13:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nihpm$6aj$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 19968

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
> > that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
> > likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.
>
> And - what you seem to be incapable of comprehending - a person will
> use the best mode available that works under the prevailing conditions,
> even if CW is not available to him - even if he is not a ham.

Yepper. Dial up that cellphone, Cecil.

3675. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:13:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nihr1$6aj$2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 19969

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Oh, I get it now. The freebanders get on Internet and generate spam,
> > > perform hacking, and spread viruses. Sure they do, Dick, sure they do.
> > >
> > Same violator's mentality, why not?
>
> Can you furnish the name of just one person who is a
> freebander and a hacker?

Wouldn't that be a rather foolish thing to do?

3676. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:21:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nii95$8u5$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 19970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

I thought someone told him that it was better to just buy an HF rig
that had 6 meters on it, costs about the same. That is as good advice as
I could give him.

K5DH wrote:
>
> Interesting situation here...
>
> As of the time I am posting this, N1RZB had received a total of
> eight responses to his inquiry, and not one of them had anything
> to do with answering his question!

3677. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:30:38 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9nijpd$i06$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 19973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9nii95$8u5$0@208.207.71.171...
> I thought someone told him that it was better to just buy an HF rig
> that had 6 meters on it, costs about the same. That is as good advice as
> I could give him.
>
I've been looking at the new RCI 5054 (over Internet not in person yet) and thinking it didn't look like to bad of a deal ($300), I just wish it had the tone board already installed and not an 'add on' like it is now.
--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

3678. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:31:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910103147.26847.00000905@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19971

>Subject: Re: check this out
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/10/01 9:56 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9CC691.85C8F8DD@IEEE.org>

>
>Uhhhhhhhh Steve, "To Hell and Back" was just a movie.

Have you ever been through USMC boot camp, Cecil?

Hell graduates every 88 days, on two coasts.

73

Steve

3679. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 14:36:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910103656.26847.00000906@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19972

>Subject: Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???
>From: k5dh@raytheon.com (K5DH)
>Date: 9/10/01 9:06 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <%U2n7.2$JB1.117@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>

>As of the time I am posting this, N1RZB had received a total of
>eight responses to his inquiry, and not one of them had anything
>to do with answering his question!

Someone isn't paying attention.

I addressed his question with my opinions on the new TenTec VHF all mode
rig. Another added they thought that he'd be better served witha "combination"
rig ie: the Icom 706 to which I responsed I thought "seperates" were a safer
bet in case of failure..

Perhaps you missed something?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3680. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:13:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910111353.08859.00000695@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19974

From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu

>How easy is it, these days, to find houses to buy that aren't covered
>by CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio?

That depends on the area of the country. In areas with lots of older homes, it is relatively easy -- most houses built in the middle of the last century or earlier are CC&R free in New England.

>I'm not limiting my search to new homes or city living.

Almost everywhere, to get a CC&R free home, you will have to either go for an older home (with its generally smaller size than most new homes today; increased maintenance cost, etc.) or live quite far away from the city. How many hams would like to drive 50 miles to get to work? I sure wouldn't.

For more information, see:

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/local/prb-1_program.html#private

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3681. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:16:15 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910111615.08859.00000696@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19975

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Not sure if they still are or not but even when they were,
>the Q signals required were very few of the total.

With the wide scope of today's tests, ANY subject is a relatively small
percentage of the total. Well, nearly any subject, anyway. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3682. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:19:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910111909.08859.00000697@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19976

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Funny, but they seem to have no problem shoving a dumbed-down
>ARS down the throats of those who disagree.

First, it was the FCC, not any of us here, that changed the rules.

In what way do those rules changes change what you can do within Amateur Radio?

Why do you call the current requirements dumbed down? You couldn't even answer
a simple question on electromagnetics from the current General Class material.
Perhaps you should study those "dumbed-down" requirements a bit more...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3683. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:20:50 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910112050.08859.00000698@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19977

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, in actual fact, the ARS "belongs" to radio amateurs -- and it is
>what radio amateurs make of it. That is the real-world, practical reality.

What is your opinion on the rules change that turned the content of the
syllabus entirely over to the Question Pool Committee?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3684. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:24:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910112408.08859.00000699@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19978

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The FCC regulations only define the legal limitations of what radio
>amateurs can do with the spectrum allocated to them.

Under the present rules, they also define what requirements must be met to obtain access to that spectrum. Or can I infer from your use of the word "only" in the above statement that you believe that they should not define how people enter ham radio, but only what they are permitted to do once they obtain access to the spectrum? Can I also infer that you believe that what we DO within ham radio is more important than what we do to enter it?

>Defining the true nature of the service is something that exists on a higher plane...

Larry, if you are now thinking that you are a higher plane, I think I have to disagree. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

,

3685. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:26:19 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910112619.08859.00000700@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19979

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>My old ELmer burned the RF coils out of his Sky Buddy doing
>that way back there.

How can this be? I thought the old tests were so good that hams of that era couldn't make dumb mistakes! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3686. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:33:11 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910113311.08859.00000701@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19980

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
>that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
>likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.
>

I will, too, Dick.

What I don't understand is why some hams want to see rules that support making one of those modes more likely to be available to hams when the mode they are trying to promote is not the most effective one.

I think it would make more sense if we first had a rule that required that a ham keep a working station available for emergencies. I am not proposing that the rules do that, but noting that requiring a ham to learn one of the modes available is really starting in the middle -- if the purpose of the CW test is to enhance emergency communications, as stated.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3687. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:37:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910113756.08859.00000702@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19981

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Sooo -- who do you want around "…When All Else Fails?" Me, or
>a Nickle Extra who never made an on-the-air CW contact?

95% of the Very Good Things that you described have nothing to do with CW or your passing a CW test. If the reasons you want to see a CW test really ARE related to emergency communications, perhaps you should seek rules changes aimed at the first through tenth resort rather than the last. Why are you not proposing a rule that requires hams to keep emergency power capability available at all times?

Are you SURE you don't want to see CW testing just to keep your favorite mode alive with affirmative action?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3688. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:40:58 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910114058.08859.00000703@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19982

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I understand that early US ham licenses had similar ability
>requirements, if not actual hardware requirements.

I recall in the 60s, hams had to certify that they had operated a minimum
amount of time during the latter part of their expiring license term.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3689. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:42:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910114214.08859.00000704@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19983

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Well thats strange. I didnt learn anything new, and I Upgraded, what was I
>supposed to have learned?

Dick, I am sure most will agree that you are a know-it-all.

Most hams learned things to upgrade. Others apparently can't be taught
anything.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3690. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:43:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910114312.08859.00000705@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19984

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>That points out how easy it has been to get into ham radio since 1985.
>Most of they are already here, it turns out.

In your opinion, is this a good thing, or a bad thing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3691. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:46:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910114600.08859.00000706@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19985

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>FYI, I still pound brass.

As can I, passably well.

I even scored in the top 90% in a recent QLF contest. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3692. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:50:14 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910115014.08859.00000707@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19986

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> You have stated that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merits to exist
>> without a federal affirmative action program.

> No, YOU said that. I said that propective hams will not learn it
>without it being a test requirement.

So it will exist, but no one will learn it? ???

>Most will never do it, simply becuse they will never take the time to do
>so, and will never be exposed to CW to the point where they find out
>what it really is.

Sure they will be exposed to it. They will hear Cecil and me tell them why it is a good mode to learn, a lot of fun, that it is a natural for homebrew projects and that it will let them operate with low power and avoid RFI, etc. They will also hear you say that if Morse code isn't included in the tests, they will not use it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>If learning the Morse code isn't included they won't do it.

3693. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:50:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910115048.26856.00000880@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19987

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 11:42 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910114214.08859.00000704@mb-cl.aol.com>
>
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
>>Well thats strange. I didnt learn anything new, and I Upgraded, what was I
>>supposed to have learned?
>
>Dick, I am sure most will agree that you are a know-it-all.

I am sure you insulted someone, Ed, but I am not quite sure who...I am
pretty sure it was Dick. =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3694. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:51:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910115123.08859.00000708@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19989

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)
>
>How would I collect if I won?

If you lose, you are still eating lobster!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3695. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:52:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910115200.26856.00000881@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19988

>Subject: Re: Downgrading?
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 11:46 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910114600.08859.00000706@mb-cl.aol.com

>I even scored in the top 90% in a recent QLF contest.

With or without socks?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3696. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 15:55:18 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B9CE266.5DFD4720@iastate.edu>
Source record: 19991

W1RFI wrote:

> From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu
>
> >How easy is it, these days, to find houses to buy that aren't covered
> >by CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio?
>
> That depends on the area of the country. In areas with lots of older homes, it
> is relatively easy -- most houses built in the middle of the last century or
> earlier are CC&R free in New England.
>
> >I'm not limiting my search to new homes or city living.
>
> Almost everywhere, to get a CC&R free home, you will have to either go for an
> older home (with its generally smaller size than most new homes today;
> increased maintenance cost, etc.) or live quite far away from the city. How
> many hams would like to drive 50 miles to get to work? I sure wouldn't.

Well, I'm also hoping to get a couple of acres or 10 :^) for a decent antenna farm, which probably means getting something a little out of downtown. I'm also blessed with a wife who's an interior designer; she doesn't think a whole lot of most of the new homes out there, and sees remodeling an older home as something that could be quite worthwhile.

So I'm fairly flexible on this. I had heard that getting a new home was pretty much impossible without a CC&R.

I strongly dislike CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio, in general, though. As I see it, there's a compelling public interest in letting hams put up reasonable antennas.

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM

3697. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:00:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910120042.08859.00000709@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19990

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>APRS is a mighty popular mode, PSK31 (and all its derivatives) are mighty
>popular modes, etc. My point is that I think the licensing process should
>not include anything but written exams, none of which should be generated
>from the transmission of any particular mode (i.e., take CW out as a mode
>and simply ask questions on whatever would be asked about that mode).

But one of those modes is copied almost exclusively by ear, and it would make sense that a test about that mode include a test of the ability to do so. In PSK-31, etc, the written test is reasonably adequate to test the applicants knowledge of that mode. One could say that the ability to copy phonetics is also done by ear, but, IMHO, a written exam is adequate to cover that subject. One cannot reasonably say that a written test can cover the ability to copy CW. (What is the Morse encoding for the letter J? di-dah, dah-di-dah, etc. -- doesn't cut it.)

So that leaves a test measuring the ability to copy sent test as the most logical way to test the ability to use the mode. The change I would like to see, however, would be for the mode's emphasis to be the same as it is for other modes -- with a reasonable and appropriate number of questions about the content of sent text, with the answers being part of the overall score? Get the PSK-31 questions wrong? Get enough right and you pass. Get the Morse code copy questions wrong? Get enough right elsewhere in the exam and you pass.

My personal speculation is that the FCC will be hard to convince on this one, but having such a copy test, with the right answers being part of the overall score, could, IMHO, be a reasonable compromise.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

However, I believe

3698. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:06:12 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910120612.08859.00000710@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19992

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>> Both my '78 GMC pickup and my 89 Subaru are approaching 200,000 miles.

>Lessee - 200,000 on a 23 year old pickup works out to maybe 8700
>miles/year....

A bit low, I admit. :-) But let me explain...

>The mileage on a vehicle isn't always a good indicator. Highway
>mileage on good roads is very easy on a car, while stop-and-go city
>miles on potholed streets is very rough service.

> * * *

>Another factor is climate.

The truck spent the first 15 years of its life in the New Mexico high desert. The old timer who had it didn't put a lot of mileage on it, but the several-mile long dirt road to his residence was, I am sure, brutal. He worked as a caretaker for an abandoned mine, hired cheap and allowed to live in the trailer as part of the deal.

The road to his house was ALMOST as bad as my driveway (200 feet of 15% grade potholes). :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3699. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:09:23 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910120923.08859.00000711@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19993

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Your pickup is a GMC? Now I know why you call it the "Deathmobile!"

No, the holes in the floor and a few other "quirks" are the real issue.

>BTW -- your Suburu is just getting out of it's break-in period. It should
>easily go a half-million.

Maybe. Subarus tend to rust out. Also, next summer, I really DO have to swap out the motor. The engine is losing horsepower and I am getting tired of stomping the gas and not seeing much result.

>BTW -- for you "American" car fanatics out there, in the small business
>I worked for before I got my present job, we put over 600,000 miles on
>each of three 1996 Plymouth Voyager SE minivans,

W1TEE took his Plymouth Duster (1976) to all continental US counties. He got his worked-from-all-US counties from the same car! He said it had about 300,000 miles when it finally died. Gotta' love them old slant 6 motors!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3700. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:14:48 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910121448.08859.00000712@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19994

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Believe it or not, I know how, but the fingers don't always follow
>along these days what with a little Artur's Itis. And my 17 inch monitor
>fried, so I had to put this tiny thing that came with this computer up..
>.the bifocals don't work near as well on it... Time to make a run into
>the city for a replacement. Might try a 19 incher.

It is not all just age, Dick. I probably make more use of the backspace key
than most, and if it weren't for the fact that my nearsightedness let's me see
the monitor, my posts would be pretty bad.

Sure, you make the occasional typo, but they are clearly typos, and we all do
indeed mispel a word from time to time. But those who focus on minor posting
mistakes in these informal posts probably are missing the point.

Now, some are so bad that they are hard to ignore, though. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3701. Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:15:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910121534.26839.00000857@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 19995

>Subject: Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/9/01 11:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0109090754.5565cdb3@posting.google.com>

>Ah crap, they doan give him credit for being the Grandfather of All
>50s Army RTTY boxes . . lame.

You're right.

I see Jim bloodied his nose with the facts and he's laid out again...Will be gone just long enough that he hopes everyone will forget he got caught with his virtual britches down again.

But we won't.

73

Stve, K4YZ

3702. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:20:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910122003.08859.00000713@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19997

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> (Gasp!) Cecil, handling CW traffique???? I'm truly impressed!

Why? Cecil likes CW!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3703. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:20:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GL5n7.14418$Uf1.1270235@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19996

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com...
> 73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer Marlowe)

Amen Bro. Which brings up how men look at women. They do not understand that Playboy is no threat to them, that there are classes of interest: some you show up with to impress your friends and give them cab fare home, some (Joan Lunden and the morning show ladies) that are perfect and you know they are perfect and about as attractive as yesterday's toast, and some that would be great to know and a lot of fun (Jo Jo), some that affect your gonads about like the exhaust note of a Healy 100-4, and some you can see living with. Bailey was one of those that showed signs of being being one of the latter three without you ever being sure or even which one.

Dick N�BK, led thru puberty by Diana Rigg as Emma Peel

3704. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:22:09 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910122209.08859.00000714@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19998

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>By the time yo get to LA there's nothing butt....

Hey, *I* am in L.A.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

3705. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:23:40 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910122340.08859.00000715@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19999

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>I also disagree with "long-since defunct." Those articles are still in
>>"print" today

>Yes, the articles are still archived and available at almost any junk
>pile at any hamfest for $0.25 a copy.

Few hams want to collect old magazines today. They would rather buy a whole set
on CD.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6
>

3706. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:35:00 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910123500.08859.00000717@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 20000

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> So if S25.5 goes, FCC will have no reason to keep even 5 wpm.

> And of course the BOD knows that, so it is much easier on them to offer
>support knowing that it is pointless, and thus maybe avoid alienating CW
>supporters. Of course we all know what Bill Cross is going to do. And
>the commissioners.

If the majority of ARRL members were to want the ARRL to "offer support" for Morse code testing, that is exactly what I believe the ARRL should do. The positions of the League wrt regulatory matters should NOT be what the Commission will probably do; those positions should be what the League believes to be right for Amateur Radio.

>> The ARRL policy will be ignored by the FCC. End of story.

>Exactly what is expected. One wonders what would happen if something
>threw a wrench into the works.

IMHO, the entire matter is a least a few years away. Although I have iterated the current ARRL policy, IMHO, how, or if, that policy may change over the coming years is wide open. Five years from now, the makeup of the ARRL Board of Directors is apt to be different, as will be the makeup of the ARRL membership. Over the past year, just judging from some of what I have seen, some members have resigned over disagreement with the ARRL asking for for 4 classes of license with a 12 wpm max; others have since joined over the ARRL's decisions that once the rulemaking is over, it is time to get back to ham radio. Both factors have changed the makeup of the membership base.

As the time is approaching, those who have views and would like to influence ARRL's decisions about how to respond to any rulemaking procedure should do just what I am going to do -- let their ARRL Director know what they feel ARRL should do. Those in the New England Division that disagree with me better make sure they cancel my input with their own. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

3707. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:37:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910123703.08859.00000719@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19901

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>There's no "and" in there, Davie. I am a professional.

> "WAS" is the appropriate adjective, lennie.

And I can hardly wait until I "was" a professional myself, Stevie. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3708. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:41:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910124120.08859.00000721@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19902

>>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.

>This is not true. When I read this post I had to try an experiment. Put on
>any broadcast radio station and count how many words there are in a 1 minute
of > time. It will be way above 120 wpm. Even if you listen to a radio talk
show, the
> people that call in talk at 120 wpm. To state that a good operator can send
and
>receive code at 120 wpm or faster is absurd. Next try talking at 60 wpm.
>That is one word per second. You will sound like you
>had a stroke. I am not a big fan of code, but I do realize there is a place
>for it. If you are going to argue for code, don’t make statements that are
untrue

With Q signals, abbreviations and other techniques, a good op can almost double
the transmission rate. Common practice on CW. If you are going to argue
against code, don't make statements that are untrue.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3709. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:47:01 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910124701.08859.00000724@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 19903

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>"Good morning, Bill. Good to hear you again. Are you going to the hamfest
>tomorrow? I want to go if the weather is good."

I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a slower rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be "conversational" speed.

It is CERTAINLY faster than most people type, but I don't see ANY of arguing about the utility of Internet conversations because they don't occur in real time or at 120 wpm...

73,
Ed are,W 1RFI
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3710. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 16:58:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910125848.22660.00000895@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19904

>Subject: Re: Pirates on 40 meters
>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 9/10/01 12:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <GL5n7.14418$Uf1.1270235@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

>Dick Nï¿½BK, led thru puberty by Diana Rigg as Emma Peel

YEAH BUDDY!...And Ann-Margaret, and Angie Dickinson, and Morgan Fairchild,
and Victorial Principal...........

3711. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:01:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910130108.22660.00000898@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19907

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 12:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910122209.08859.00000714@mb-cl.aol.com>

>Hey, *I* am in L.A

I was stationed in SoCal twice...it's a nice place to be from...as FAR
from as one can possibly get. People that shoot at ambulances aren't "right".

Now the Owens Valley is a different story......

73

Steve, K4YZ

3712. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:03:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910130326.22660.00000900@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19905

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 12:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910122340.08859.00000715@mb-cl.aol.com>

>Few hams want to collect old magazines today. They would rather buy a whole
>set
>on CD.

I agree. But I still keep about 6 particular books on the shelf, updated
about every 2-3 years...I still prefer to be able to flip pages without getting
carpal tunnel while sitting in front of the monster.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3713. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:05:59 UTC
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-D29AA9.12252010092001@news.kih.net>
Source record: 19906

In article <3B9CE266.5DFD4720@iastate.edu>, N0YM
<jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> wrote:

> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > From: N0YM jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu
> >
> > >How easy is it, these days, to find houses to buy that aren't covered
> > >by CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio?
> >
> > That depends on the area of the country. In areas with lots of older
> > homes, it
> > is relatively easy -- most houses built in the middle of the last
> > century or
> > earlier are CC&R free in New England.
> >
> > >I'm not limiting my search to new homes or city living.
> >
> > Almost everywhere, to get a CC&R free home, you will have to either go
> > for an
> > older home (with its generally smaller size than most new homes today;
> > increased maintenance cost, etc.) or live quite far away from the city.
> > How
> > many hams would like to drive 50 miles to get to work? I sure
> > wouldn't.
>
> Well, I'm also hoping to get a couple of acres or 10 :^) for a decent
> antenna
> farm, which probably means getting something a little out of downtown.
> I'm also
> blessed with a wife who's an interior designer; she doesn't think a whole
> lot of
> most of the new homes out there, and sees remodeling an older home as
> something
> that could be quite worthwhile.
>
> So I'm fairly flexible on this. I had heard that getting a new home was
> pretty
> much impossible without a CC&R.
>
> I strongly dislike CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio, in general,
> though. As I
> see it, there's a compelling public interest in letting hams put up
> reasonable

> antennas.
>
> Regards,
> Jonathan
> N0YM

Jonathan,

It is tough to find a newer subdivision (from about 1980) that does not have some CC&Rs that could cause problems for antenna installations.

I ran into this problem recently when looking at new construction in my area. No antennas of any kind, other than a small sat dish. There were also prohibitions on the number of pets, and the logos on company vehicles. Sometimes you have to make a choice. I enjoy amateur radio and feel that I need a modest antenna system. My wife shows dogs in Breed and Obedience. These are some of our life's activities that are important to us. In the end we elected to keep our more modest house in an older subdivision where no CC&Rs exist. At some point we might build well out into the county's more rural area on some acreage outside of any established or planned subdivisions.

It has been my experience that if the CC&Rs have not been enforced for a ceetain period of time, they become un-enforceable. There are many desireable older subdivisions. Look for TV antennas on the roof or old TV antenna twoers that have not been taken down. Check out the local pet situation. If you see pets in the back yard, it might be a clue that either CC&Rs either don't exist or are not enforced.

Good luck on your search

73
George
W3GEO

3714. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:09:02 UTC
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-CA4D2B.12282410092001@news.kih.net>
Source record: 19908

In article <20010909193858.19673.00000163@nso-mg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> In article <3B802693.BE0630B9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> >> DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> >> YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
> >
> >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> >like to present some?
>
> 12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by direct
> experience.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Jim,

I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
true :)

73
George
W3GEO

3715. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:12:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910131249.22660.00000905@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19909

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 12:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910123500.08859.00000717@mb-cl.aol.com>

>Over the past year, just judging from some of what I have seen,
>some members have resigned over disagreement with the ARRL asking for for 4
>classes of license with a 12 wpm max; others have since joined over the
>ARRL's
>decisions that once the rulemaking is over, it is time to get back to ham
>radio. Both factors have changed the makeup of the membership base.

Which always makes me gape in awe over the comments of some in here.

Especially those who accuse the ARRL of some sort of dark, back room
policy making that ultimately changes the "policy" of the League. (esp, as of
late, the code issue)

It IS a membership organization...A few years ago the League was staunchly
pro-Code since that was the majority of the membership's preference.

Now it is not, yet some of those who LIKE to take jabs at the League
accuse them of not sticking to thier "original" stance, as if it were engraved
in stone. The League is actually changing to reflect the opinions of the
membership and those who are accomodated get offended...Go figure!

>As the time is approaching, those who have views and would like to influence
>ARRL's decisions about how to respond to any rulemaking procedure should do
>just what I am going to do -- let their ARRL Director know what they feel
>ARRL
>should do.

Bingo. Get active. Don't expect the Directors to acquire ESP upon
election. Only unlicensed ex-technician types have that skill, and they can't
be voting members of the League without the license.

Oh well...Our loss, I guess....

73

Steve, K4YZ

3716. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:14:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910131401.22660.00000906@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19910

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 12:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910123703.08859.00000719@mb-cl.aol.com>

>And I can hardly wait until I "was" a professional myself, Stevie

Unlike myself...who always WILL be...!!!

73

Stevie, K4YZ

3717. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:18:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910131825.22660.00000908@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19911

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 12:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910124120.08859.00000721@mb-cl.aol.com>

Not sure who Ed was quoting here:

>>This is not true. When I read this post I had to try an experiment. Put on
>>any broadcast radio station and count how many words there are in a 1 minute
>of > time. It will be way above 120 wpm. Even if you listen to a radio talk
>show, the
>> people that call in talk at 120 wpm. To state that a good operator can send
>and
>>receive code at 120 wpm or faster is absurd

Ed Said:

>With Q signals, abbreviations and other techniques, a good op can almost
>double
>the transmission rate. Common practice on CW. If you are going to argue
>against code, don't make statements that are untrue.

Even without Q-signals, eliminating all the "uuuh's" and "aaaah's" that "fill" a sentence, you have increased throughput. Further reduce the number of times you have to go back and phonetically clarify similar sounding letters or words, and you improve on that even more.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3718. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:22:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910132218.22660.00000909@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 19912

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 9/10/01 12:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910124701.08859.00000724@mb-cl.aol.com>

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>"Good morning, Bill. Good to hear you again. Are you going to the hamfest
>>tomorrow? I want to go if the weather is good."

Even shorter: "GM BILL BT GD TO HR U AGN BT R U GG TO THE HAMFEST TOMRW?
BT I WNT TO GO IF WX IS GD BT"

And not as likely to miss something.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3719. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:42:17 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010910134217.18379.00000783@mb-bj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 19913

>I do have an associate at work (I believe you know who I'm talking about.)
>who
>swears that on the eighth day...God made coffee. :-) Take care and 73.

I resemble that remark.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3720. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 17:58:46 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010910135846.18379.00000784@mb-bj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 19914

>>A careful reading of such orders will show that up front the
>> order states a proposed penalty and if so desired, the licensee can
>> request a hearing on the merits. No big deal. Smart folks know
>> they were caught with their hand in the cookie jar so they don't
>> fight it. That's what plea bargaining is all about.
>>
>
>I don't think there's any plea bargaining going on here. All Riley was going
>to
>do was modify this guy's ticket. It was implied that if he wanted to fight
>it,
>the ticket "might" be revoked. Next thing you know, Riley gets a letter from
>this guy's attorney claiming that Riley's overstepped his authority and the
>modification order is null and void and they wish to take it to court, I
>believe a "real" one, (with real meaning NOT administrative) to prove
>otherwise. No doubt, this guy's gonna get his clock cleaned. I'll try to find
>the thread on QRZ and post it. Take care and 73.
>
>Mark
>

I commented in that thread. I stayed fairly neutral because I didn't consider
it worthy of "going to battle" over. It got pretty ugly as the thread grew.
It's actually kinda amazing what some people will overlook as long as the end
is to their liking. Here's the thread.

http://www.qrz.com/article.pl?sid=01/07/31/1016224&mode=thread

It's called Problems with Hollingsworth's License Modification. I suspect the
"plaintiff/defendent" doesn't really care about having his license revoked, I
think he just wants to put Mr. Hollingsworth's authority to the test and make
him do it "the long way." (That is, work for it.) Happy reading
.
73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3721. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:00:19 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B9CFFB3.B8C4B4BA@uci.edu>
Source record: 19915

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > Jim, do you understand mathematical transforms? LaPlace?
> > > Fourier? Bilinear? etc.?
> >
> > Yes. Did you not understand the question?
>
> The answer should be obvious - if you choose a three-dimensional
> to two-dimensional mathematical transform, then the final results
> will be that the earth (and everything else) is flat, i.e. two-
> dimensional. I have a map of the earth hanging on my wall and it
> is flat!

Thank you for pointing out that a 2 dimensional rendering of a 3
dimensional object is 2 dimensional. The world is now enlightened by
your brilliance.

3722. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:08:15 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <d65d69f6c981a801a3542ac279339722.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 19916

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

>
> "Ham Radio" magazine, as a periodical, has been defunt for a decade now.
>

It has recently been republished on CD by ARRL.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from [216.160.13.165]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3723. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:16:33 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109101016.4d618c01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19917

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B994A86.7583C004@IEEE.org>...
> N0YM wrote:
> > I know of several post-restructuring HF licensees who are working on
> > more-than-20wpm code speed (including myself). It's kind of like building
> > your own antennas -- you do it because it's a challenge.
>
> But what about Dick's assertion that all post-restructuring hams want
> to do is pass the 5wpm test and then completely forget about Morse code?
> Are you telling us that he is lying about that? He says that CW/Morse
> doesn't have enough merit to make hams want to learn it.

Well, I suppose, in all honesty, I'd have to add that people boasting
about being "20 wpm Extra"'s is sort of like throwing a gauntlet down
for me. So if rabid pro-coders want to take partial credit for me
wanting to get my code up to speed, that's fair game :)

Still, I like the mode and want to learn it, regardless. I can't
speak for all hams, but my main reason for learning it is because it's
there.

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM

3724. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:20:04 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109101020.1f7b42bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19918

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B997216.2D920F5C@IEEE.org>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> > My point exactly, Dump the Written, the material on Written has no bearing on
> > 21st Century Technology
>
> Nope, you're wrong, Bruce. Hams still need to know rules, regulations,
> and safety. Otherwise, they would be no better than CBers.

And being able to use IC's doesn't get one away from understanding
basic electronics (IC's are electronic devices, after all),
electromagnetics and antenna construction, etc.

Finally, I'll add that tubes and discrete components still have good
arguments going for them in a number of areas.

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM

3725. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:27:19 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109101027.41aa0c01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19919

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20010908013858.08157.00000749@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >Now wait a minute here, Podner. CEbers know all about rules,
> >regulations and safety. They just ignore them.
>
> Your right, so I guess we can forget about them also for testing, I guess were
> finally down to what is Modern and in the 21st Century. So I guess my
> suggestion before makes sense, lets just have an application that asks for
> name, adreesse, and what would you like your Handle to be.
> Then when there License arrives they could also attach a WEB Addreesse for
> ARRL. Where they could go and read about REGS and so forth if they wanted to
> know. The above makes sense since we are being told that this is just an entry
> point for learning. And since CW is just a Mode, like any other Mode, if they
> want to operate CW then they can learn CW when they fell like it, if they so
> desire. Same principle should hold true with Theory, if at some point in the
> future, they decide they would like to learn about theory they can learn then.
> So again tell me why we really need any kind of TESTING.

First, Bruce, I want to apologize for the harshness of my original
message. It was late, and I was sleep deprived.

But I must confess, you seem to have a real aversion to all things
new. This I don't understand; ham radio has frequently been on the
cutting edge of technology.

Do we have some hams that get their license, buy a radio and do
nothing but talk? Of course. And frankly, I don't see anything wrong
with it -- we are all about communications, after all, and such people
can definitely meet real needs (e.g. public service).

But there are many, many hams out there who are interested in the
technical side of things, as well. And simply because they work with
new technology (rather than rehash old) doesn't invalidate their
efforts.

Forgive me, but you seem to have a very narrow definition of what's
acceptable.

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM

3726. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:28:43 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109101028.400468c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19920

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B999715.577749A6@IEEE.org>...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> When an entire transceiver can be done in
> > > software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
> > > difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
> > > tube rig is much of an accomplishment.
> >
> > Wrong. It is difficult to convince *you* that it is much of an
> > accomplishment. In truth, it is much more difficult than you think, anbd
> > if you don't believe it, just ask any new engineeering graduate to do
> > it....
>
> Why does the difficult task of building an obsolete vacuum tube
> rig rank as an accomplishment? Sounds like a waste of time to me.

Some people say the same thing about Amateur Radio :^)

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM

3727. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:35:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D0800.69B76E89@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19921

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Even without Q-signals, eliminating all the "uuuh's" and "aaaah's" that
> "fill" a sentence, you have increased throughput. Further reduce the number of
> times you have to go back and phonetically clarify similar sounding letters or
> words, and you improve on that even more.

What difference does it make how long it takes to say something
of zero importance? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3728. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:43:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D09C1.34DF6AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19923

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > (Gasp!) Cecil, handling CW traffique???? I'm truly impressed!
>
> Why? Cecil likes CW!

I like one-on-one CW ragchews. I don't like passing CW traffic.
Traffic, CW or otherwise, is just not my cup of tea.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3729. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:44:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910144429.26002.00001058@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 19922

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/10/01 2:08 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d65d69f6c981a801a3542ac279339722.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>It has recently been republished on CD by ARRL

That's waht I love about you, Master Chief...Timely and up-to-the-minute information.

Yours truly,

The Gunny

3730. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:45:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910144558.26002.00001059@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 19924

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/10/01 2:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9D0800.69B76E89@IEEE.org>

>
>What difference does it make how long it takes to say something
>of zero importance?

What's of zero importance?

Steve

3731. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:47:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D0AA5.798D0D67@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19926

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Thank you for pointing out that a 2 dimensional rendering of a 3
> dimensional object is 2 dimensional. The world is now enlightened by
> your brilliance.

Thank goodness. I was beginning to wonder when you were going
to get it. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3732. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:48:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910144819.26002.00001062@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 19925

>Subject: Re: New Blood Yea Right
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/10/01 2:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9D09C1.34DF6AE@IEEE.org>

>Traffic, CW or otherwise, is just not my cup of tea.

Perhaps if it were in a pack of JOB rolling papers? =)

Steve

3733. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 18:52:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D0BDB.E865729@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19927

W1RFI wrote:
> I even scored in the top 90% in a recent QLF contest. :-)

"Left Foot", for real?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3734. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:02:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D0E2A.ADB057B5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19929

N0YM wrote:
> I had heard that getting a new home was pretty
> much impossible without a CC&R.

There's one on the street where I live.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3735. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:03:09 UTC
From: John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Message-ID: <3B9D0F60.283A@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Source record: 19928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

K5DH wrote:
>
> Interesting situation here...
>
> As of the time I am posting this, N1RZB had received a total of
> eight responses to his inquiry, and not one of them had anything
> to do with answering his question! Instead of helping the guy,
> everyone gripes and whines about cross-posting!
>
> Yeah, I know that there are both written and unwritten rules of
> "netiquette", and perhaps N1RZB shouldn't have posted his query
> to some of the groups that he did post to. But perhaps we need
> to take a closer look at this. What's happened to the hobby?
> What's happened to hams being helpful to each other?

Unlike you, Dean, I don't have any poignant predictions to make about the future of the hobby, but it is VERY clear what will happen to the newsgroups if people aren't discouraged from cross-posting to ten groups at once. THAT insight doesn't require any soul-searching at all.

What the original poster did was every bit as much a part of "the hobby" as tuning up a 2KW transmitter in the middle of the band without bothering to turn on his receiver first. Crossposting to 10 unrelated groups is an act that could only indicate careless naivete on the part of a newbie, or extreme discourtesy on the part of someone who should know better. A quick check of Mr. Moge's extensive posting history on Deja will reveal which of those two options is most likely to apply here.

Followups set to the appropriate group...

-- jm

---
http://www.qsl.net/ke5fx
Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam

3736. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:06:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D0F4A.12FAFA38@IEEE.org>
Source record: 19930

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >What difference does it make how long it takes to say something
> >of zero importance?
>
> What's of zero importance?

Almost all the ham QSOs I have heard all year. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3737. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:17:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910151711.15237.00000753@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 19931

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/10/01 3:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B9D0F4A.12FAFA38@IEEE.org

>Almost all the ham QSOs I have heard all year

And which "channel" was that on?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3738. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:32:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109101132.4a56399c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19932

N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:<fuhppt4inoj8bhu9dfq8r7kj15v2l5kjfp@4ax.com>...
> How easy is it, these days, to find houses to buy that aren't covered
> by CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio?
>
> I'm not limiting my search to new homes or city living.

The answer is "it depends".

- Develop a clear image of what antennas you want to put up, both now and in the future.

- Find a good realtor and make SURE he/she understands your concerns. Most do not know what ham radio antennas look like, and when you say things like "antenna", "tower" or "beam" to nonhams, they may have no clear idea what you are talking about. Pictures of the kind of installation you want can be a big help.

- Get the services of a competent REAL ESTATE attorney. Most people do this anyway, but it's extra important to have somebody on your side who knows where the bodies...err, ordinances and deed restrictions... are hidden.

- Research the deed restrictions, covenants, homeowner's agreements, codes, zoning, ordinances and other limitations of the places you consider buying, have your realtor and attorney do the same and compare the information from all sources. Learn the differences between the various terms, and whether they can be changed or not.

- INSIST that you get copies of ALL deed restrictions, covenants, homeowner's agreements, community agreements, ordinances, zoning rules, codes, and other applicable limitations on your use of the property. Make all offers and sales contingent on your approval (and your attorney's approval) of this information. In many areas, no one will give you this information unless you specifically demand it by name. They have to give it to you if you ask for it, though.

- Be aware that most development-type housing built since 1970 or so has all sorts of covenants and deed restrictions on it. Almost all newer housing is based on the aesthetic idea that there should be no visible wires or antenna-like things on anybody's property. But even older homes can have restrictions. My current house, built in 1949, has a page and a half of fine print deed restrictions that were put there when the land was subdivided from a farm.

- Check out various articles in the amateur press about the subject. One QST article that I remember clearly had the title "But I Never

Agreed To That!" or something similar.

- Get EVERYTHING in WRITING.

- Be aware that changing things like deed restrictions and covenants can be almost impossible, because they were specifically designed to be unchangeable.

Yes, it's a lot of work, but it's the only way to be SURE you don't buy a place that has hidden restrictions.

Good luck!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3739. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153813.26492.00000268@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19933

In article <20010910112408.08859.00000699@mb-cl.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Defining the true nature of the service is something that exists on a higher
>>plane...
>
>Larry, if you are now thinking that you are a higher plane, I think I have to
>disagree. :-)

Not only is he on the wrong plane, he was at the wrong airport... :-)

3740. Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153814.26492.00000269@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19934

In article <20010910121534.26839.00000857@mb-fv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Ah crap, they doan give him credit for being the Grandfather of All
>>50s Army RTTY boxes . . lame.
>
> You're right.

Both are wrong. The US military dropped morse modes for all
fixed-point to fixed-point messaging over a half century ago.

> I see Jim bloodied his nose with the facts and he's laid out
>again...Will be gone just long enough that he hopes everyone will forget he
got >caught with his virtual britches down again.

"Caught?" No.

Some have a need to personalize arguments when they
cannot argue the subject. Instead, they go after the person
of the one with an opposite opinion to theirs.

Such as these two "aren't caught with their pants down,"
they aren't wearing any...

Col. Jessup now leaves the courtroom.

3741. Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153815.26492.00000270@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19936

In article <20010909065326.08874.00000697@mb-ct.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Col. Jessup, USMC) writes:

>Go see:
>
>http://members.tripod.com/trekkie1/len.html
>
>One more line item for his CV...! ! ! !

Quite inaccurate. That web page is one of several "character biographies" of a computer role-playing game (RPG). It is entirely fictitious. Neither does it have any relation to the Star Trek shows despite the "trekkie" in the web address.

What is interesting and worthwhile to point out here is that this RPG involves "tough combat veterans" who have turned mercenary. All the characters are described in terms of fighting capability...which is indicative of the mentality of those frequenting such sites. In itself this would indicate that Robeson knows of such a game or frequents them. All RPGs are fictitious and trying to compare game characters to real people in this newsgroup further indicates an inability to differentiate between fantasy and reality. Robeson appears to desire "toughness" via military fighting to make his newsgroup points.

Amateur radio policy matters are very much a part of reality and should be treated as such. However, many long-timers seem to prefer the fantasy and myth "traditions" of long-ago amateur radio that they insist should be kept today. That also is an inability to differentiate between fantasy and reality.

3742. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153816.26492.00000271@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19938

In article <20010910124701.08859.00000724@mb-cl.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>"Good morning, Bill. Good to hear you again. Are you going to the hamfest
>>tomorrow? I want to go if the weather is good."
>
>I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the
>throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a slower
>rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be "conversational"
>speed.

Interesting comparisons which favor CW over anything else.

The comparisons have a morse letter string using much
abbreviation...then a voice conversation which has been
padded out with more words than usually employed by
voice users.

It may be that "conversational CW" is rapid to the
experienced morsemen but it is only comparable to voice
of some very slow, hesitant talkers unsure of what to say.

For a comparison of "conversational voice" try listening to
the Approach, Departure, or Tower frequencies at urban
area airports. That is "conversational voice" at a rapid rate
using aviation jargon equivalent to high-rate "conversational
CW."

>It is CERTAINLY faster than most people type, but I don't see ANY of arguing
>about the utility of Internet conversations because they don't occur in real
>time or at 120 wpm...

"Most people type" at reasonably high rates if they've had any
touch-type training. While I can "cruise" type at 50+ WPM and
burst-type at 80 WPM equivalent, those who use a typewriter
daily can cruise-type at 60 to 70 WPM and burst at an
equivalent to 100 WPM. Such rates are on mechanical or
electro-mechanical typewriters...or on computer keyboards. The
limit on typing speed seems to approximate individual digital
dexterity plus the visual cognition and reflex transference to
motor nerves in the hands.

For another example of "conversational" typing, see the
"chat rooms" of BBSs of 15 years ago and the "chat
rooms" of some ISPs of today.

A claim that "most people" type slow is not verified by life at keyboards (mechanical or computer) today. Yes, some have never learned to touch type and "think" words directly to a medium (as touch typists do) but they are no longer the norm and may not be the average.

PSK31 by G3PLX was deliberately set at lower rates to accomodate the "hunt-and-peck" typists who rarely reach 40 WPM (see Peter's own explanation). Along with a "microcoding" of characters, this also enabled a low data rate to preserve bandwidth.

Some in here do not consider newsgroups about amateur radio to be "real" amateur radio, therefore any comparison of typing here to the "real" amateur radio involving CW and morse codes is invalid. :-)

3743. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153817.26492.00000272@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19939

In article <20010910071906.00578.00000158@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3B9AAC0B.3566F59E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Drpfrench wrote:
>>>
>>> >Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>> >effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>>>
>>> This is not true.
>>
>>Jim is assuming that it is not conversational English but instead
>>requires many phonetic spellings. For instance, he is assuming that
>>my name would be Charlie Echo Charlie India Lima which takes
>>approximately the same time as -.-. . -.-. .. .-.. in Morse.
>
>No, I'm not assuming that, Cecil. Conversations in Morse are sped up by the
>use of abbreviations, as demonstrated in another post. 2:1 compression without
>loss of meaning is easy.

Use of abbreviation, jargon, terms unique to a radio service is also
common on voice and also permits a faster throughput than in
"conversational" voice. For an instant example, monitor the
"conversations" on the civil aircraft band (particularly in crowded
urban airspace), police or fire or other public service radio.

No doubt there will be the counter-argument that such "isn't
ham radio" until we get to the following -

>Phoneticization slows down 'phone even more. On CW I send "NAME JIM". On
>'phone it's "My name is Jim - that's Juliett India Mike, Jim".

Monitoring of amateur radio 'phone will reveal that
"phoneticization" (use of the phonetic alphabet) is
not universal nor is the style of phonetics in the
"proper" NATO manner. Some have been heard to
simply spell out the letters so that the above would
come out as "Name is Jim, jay-eye-emm." :-)

>>Morse is about the same throughput as spelling out English words
>>using letters and/or phonetics.

False. Morse may be fun for its devotees and the
experienced code ops can be very rapid, the the rapidity
is really in comparison to "average" morse rates.

>Depends on the situation. CWops with decent skills are faster than you
>describe.

Claim...not verified by numbers.

>> It's mostly a strawman argument.
>
>Not when you've done it, as I have.

About 15 years ago I was at a seminar luncheon when a
couple of hams brought up such claims of "having done
it, also." (seminar was on high-speed data transmission)
The morse speediness was challenged by another long-time
amateur. For a comparison we used a transcript of one
of the preceding day's short presentations, about 15
minutes of talk followed by about 10 minutes of group
questions and answers. One of the non-ham engineers
had recorded the session on a small tape deck so we
could verify the actual time. The presentation itself went
at about 140 WPM equivalent voice and included long
pauses for Vuegraph changes (PowerPoint was yet to
come) and a number of long technical words. The Q&A
session had more pauses and breaks with the voice rate
averaging 110 WPM equivalent. I could double-check the
numbr of words by the double-spaced, typed transcript,
converting them to an equivalent of one word equalling 5
characters and one space. The presenter seemed to talk
at an "average" rate, as were those who asked questions
in the Q&A. A couple of Vuegraphs were re-presented
during the Q&A, taking up more time. Pauses and time
for changing slides were not in the typed transcript.

This example is not based on "amateur radio" but rather
given to give some substance to voice rate. Those who
bother to honestly compare can simply tape some CW
and 'phone conversations on the ham bands and determine
the communications rate off-air. Voice will be faster by a
about a factor of four when using average 'phone and CW
communication examples.

3744. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153818.26492.00000273@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19937

In article <20010910061136.23913.00000174@nso-ma.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3b9c2849.2203213@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 09 Sep 2001 04:02:57 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <3B9AADCC.2019738A@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>>writes:
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>> "Promoting" CW needs to take many forms. Demos are one way - show 'em,
>>>>> don't tell 'em.

>Consider the 1997 blockbuster movie "Titanic". Millions were spent to insure
>exact historical accuracy in all areas possible. About the ONLY inacuracies
>are the fictional characters. But radio is almost totally negelcted - there's
one
>tiny scene where the captain stps by the radio shack and talks to the ops. No
>depiction of radiotelegraphy at all.

Consider the blockbuster movie "Independence Day." Millions were
spent on special effects and factuality of terrestrial communications
was terribly faulty. See the depiction of military CW ops using
morse code en masse as an "unbreakable" (by aliens)
communications medium. :-)

>The amateur radio press is almost as bad. When was the last time you saw an
>in-depth article on CW operation in the pages of a major ham magazine? If
>someone wants to know how to adjust a bug or straight key, the most recent
>QST articles I can point them to are from the mid-1950s.

Have there ever been an "in-depth" articles on 'phone operation
such as adjusting the mike distance from the mouth? :-)

How about "in-depth" articles for both modes on proper desk
height, adjusting the chair, proper lighting? :-)

3745. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 19:38:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910153819.26492.00000274@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 19935

In article <20010910113311.08859.00000701@mb-cl.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
>>that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
>>likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.
>
>I will, too, Dick.
>
>What I don't understand is why some hams want to see rules that support
>making
>one of those modes more likely to be available to hams when the mode they are
>trying to promote is not the most effective one.

Easy for others to see, Ed.

The PCTAs had to take a morse code test. If they had to do it,
then all newcomers "should" have to take one.

It seems to be all about status and title, preservation of self-
worth, "dedication to work" and other goodies... :-)

3746. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 20:01:47 UTC
From: David Pearce <david.e.pearce@lmco.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D1C2B.4EB6E88A@lmco.com>
Source record: 19942

W1RFI wrote:
>

>
> W1TEE took his Plymouth Duster (1976) to all continental US counties. He got
> his worked-from-all-US counties from the same car! He said it had about
> 300,000 miles when it finally died. Gotta' love them old slant 6 motors!
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

A lot more depends on how you drive it. Short runs that do not allow the engine to heat up are big killers as well as not changing the oil often enough or letting the oil level get low.

I tend to abuse cars and I have to say that my old 1986 Mazda 626 held up well as well as my 1992 Ford Ranger. My dad is an even bigger abuser of vehicles and can only get about 150,000 miles out of a Subaru. The Mazda went 240,000 miles on the original motor, clutch and tranny before we sold it. The Ford I hope will make 200,000 on the original engine, clutch and tranny before I sell it or it dies. On both of those cars I averaged about 12,000 miles per oil change with the occasional No Oil Pressure light coming on from me failing to check the oil level. My drive to work is 33 miles each way up and down canyon roads in the colorado foothills. A set of tires lasts 1 year due to all the hard cornering. I once bought a good set of thires with an 80,000 mile warranty. They lasted 15,000 miles.

My wife's Karman Ghia went 250,000 miles on the original engine (head job at 90,000) before it was totaled from a rear end accident by a Ford F150 . She religously changed the oil every 3 months and it was in Southern CA.

3747. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 20:03:42 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3B9D1C9E.AC987F72@uci.edu>
Source record: 19941

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Thank you for pointing out that a 2 dimensional rendering of a 3
> > dimensional object is 2 dimensional. The world is now enlightened by
> > your brilliance.

> Thank goodness. I was beginning to wonder when you were going
> to get it. :-)

Right, I've never thought about that before. Well, not since I was nine
anyway.

3748. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 20:07:19 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <X39n7.14605$Uf1.1283579@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 19940

>>> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>> Personally, I don't think I will live to see the end of the
>>> 5wpm code US requirement for HF amateur access.

>> W1RFI wrote:
>> Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> then asked:
> How would I collect if I won?

Easy, Cec....
We bury you at sea and you *become* the lobster's dinner.
:-)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

3749. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 20:42:45 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0109101242.7ca59306@posting.google.com>
Source record: 19943

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

> All this is nothing new, it has been in worldwide use for most of the
> last century by those who made their living working CW as traffic handlers.

By definition, *amateurs* don't *make their living* as traffic
handlers, CW or otherwise.

> Tghe fact that all of this is foreign to so many hams goes far to
> show that hams by and large don't care much about traffic handling
> or emergency operations.

We're talking about cross-language capabilities here. Since amateur
traffic nets are by law almost exclusively domestic, there is little
need to understand different languages in Morse code (or otherwise).
And I can't recall the last time I heard about emergency operations
conducted on any mode other than voice or image.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3750. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 21:41:16 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3B9D337C.B58D1DB9@iastate.edu>
Source record: 19944

CAM wrote:

> N0YM wrote:
> > I had heard that getting a new home was pretty
> > much impossible without a CC&R.
>
> There's one on the street where I live.
> --

A new home without a CC&R, or just a CC&R?

How big's the yard? Big enough for a few 180-foot Rohn's?

Jonathan

3751. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 22:07:30 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D3999.B3D03215@home.com>
Source record: 19945

Certainly this varies by state, but there are two issues:
1. Are there CC&Rs?
2. Is there an incorporated home owners' association?

In this part of the world, most newer homes have CC&Rs attached. Read them carefully before closing. BUT, also in this area (Texas), city and county governments do not get into the CC&R enforcement business. It is left to individuals and/or HOA's. The neighborhood I live in does not have an incorparated association but by CC&R prohibits free standing basketball hoops, outside storage buildings, satellite dishes, radio antennas, tall fences, and the list goes on. As soon as the other neighbors violated the the dish and building rules, my antenna went up, and nobody sneezed. Find a neighborhood like this and you're in like Flint!

N0YM wrote:

> How easy is it, these days, to find houses to buy that aren't covered
> by CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio?
>
> I'm not limiting my search to new homes or city living.
>
> Just curious,
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM
> remove "x's" from email to reply

3752. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 22:08:36 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <588f0cd2d7539893982548674dd9e821.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 19946

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message

> I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the
> throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a slower
> rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be "conversational"
> speed.

To get an "apples-to-apples" comparison, I dug out our club (W0EF) Field Day logs for the past 6 years. The information exchanged on voice is identical to that exchanged on CW in this contest, and operation is conducted in both modes at the same time, so there is no propagation difference.

We are blessed with several "competition class" voice and CW operators who know how to run a frequency (N0AT, K0AD, K0QB, K0TK, just to name a few).

I compared the "best hour" of the CW tent vs. the "best hour" of the voice tent for each year. On average, the ratio of voice to CW contacts was 3.21:1 over a span of 6 years. The lowest ratio was 2.46:1 (1995) and the highest was 3.93:1 (1997).

This is admittedly a small sample, but since you have access to many more FD logs at HQ, you might randomly select the logs of a few high scoring clubs and make a similar comparison.

You might also compare the "best hour" voice vs. CW of a topnotch SS station like K5ZD, K3LR, K6LL, or W0SD. I'll bet you'll find similar ratios, although they may be skewed because voice and CW weekends are two weeks apart and may have different propagation patterns.

With all kinds wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupff247.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.15.247]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3753. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 22:30:43 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D3F09.F0B5F72A@home.com>
Source record: 19947

If your name is on the license as the repeater trustee, it suddenly becomes a big deal. The guy might be quick on the trigger, but he may have been burned, too. Follow the rules, and remember who is paying for the electricity to keep that repeater running.

Pinko wrote:

> The point I'm trying to make is that repeaters get keyed all the time by
> accident or people seeing if they are in range -- its not that big of a
> deal.

3754. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 22:57:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910185708.27190.00000904@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 19948

From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net

>To get an "apples-to-apples" comparison, I dug out our club (W0EF) Field
>Day logs for the past 6 years.

***
>I compared the "best hour" of the CW tent vs. the "best hour" of the
>voice tent for each year. On average, the ratio of voice to CW contacts
>was 3.21:1 over a span of 6 years. The lowest ratio was 2.46:1 (1995) and
>the highest was 3.93:1 (1997).

I think that your estimate is about right for the conditions stipulated. Other factors, though, such as the possible reduced availability of CW contacts to make may be a factor.

A definate factor related to the discussion at hand is that in the Field Day exchange, there is no opportunity to abbreviate any common English words. That may make the CW/voice data rate closer to 2:1, by my guess.

Of course, if one compares data rate to bandwidth, how much data can be exchanged with manually decoded OOK CW in a 2500 Hz bandwidth? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3755. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 23:01:54 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910190154.27190.00000905@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 19949

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the
>>throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a
>>slower rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be
>>"conversational speed.

>Interesting comparisons which favor CW over anything else.

Why would you think that my saying that OOK CW with abbreviations approaches conversational speeds "favors CW over anything else?" Your not on that "everything Ed says proves he is a secretly wants the CW test" thing again, are you?

>It may be that "conversational CW" is rapid to the
>experienced morsemen but it is only comparable to voice
>of some very slow, hesitant talkers unsure of what to say.

Yes, I think you have correctly interpreted my meaning of "approaches a conversational rate."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

3756. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 23:03:38 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910190338.27190.00000906@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 19950

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>W1RFI wrote:

>> I even scored in the top 90% in a recent QLF contest. :-)

>"Left Foot", for real?

For real, although I did get them to agree to a "non-dominant foot" rule. I
didn't shame myself, but the winner just blew me away. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

3757. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 23:04:45 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910190445.27190.00000907@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 20001

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>>Well thats strange. I didnt learn anything new, and I Upgraded, what was I
>>>supposed to have learned?

>>Dick, I am sure most will agree that you are a know-it-all.

> I am sure you insulted someone, Ed, but I am not quite sure who...I am
>pretty sure it was Dick. =)

I was responding to Bruce, but mistyped "Dick" by mistake. Dick, please accept
my apology.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3758. FCC Inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-10 23:31:12 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjgrna4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20002

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 21:05:15 -0500, CAM wrote:

>Not anymore, Phil. A complete TV transmitter can be contained
>in about 0.5 cubic inches. Ever see the Alinco credit card
>transceiver? Anytime the government chooses to take you down,
>they are perfectly capable of doing so. It doesn't ease my
>mind to be depending upon the benevolence of the government
>for my continued existence.

Any time "the government" wants to take you down it won't be with a
throw-down transmitter. Trust me on that.

Enjoy your paranoia.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3759. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 00:51:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010910205154.09114.00000280@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 20003

In article <20010910190154.27190.00000905@mb-mi.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>>I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the
>>>throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a
>>>slower rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be
>>>"conversational speed.
>
>>Interesting comparisons which favor CW over anything else.
>
>Why would you think that my saying that OOK CW with abbreviations approaches
>conversational speeds "favors CW over anything else?" Your not on that
>"everything Ed says proves he is a secretly wants the CW test" thing again,
>are you?

I didn't know I was on such a "thing," Ed.

I'm questioning only the claim about "conversational voice speed"
being approximately that of morse code at high rate with
extensive use of abbreviations in the morse code "text."

I hear slow, halting "conversational voice" at a rate of about
80 to 100 WPM equivalent...in my terms of "conversational
voice" which would be the droning kind of slow speaker
having lots of "ums," "uhs," and "ahs" in it. Your mileagee
no doubt varies.

For that matter, the FCC does NOT reference any standard,
specification, recommendation, or anything else to define
morse code rate. The referenced document for morse code
in Part 97 only establishes recommended dit, dah, and space
timings. Nothing is given for a "word" speed.

>>It may be that "conversational CW" is rapid to the
>>experienced morsemen but it is only comparable to voice
>>of some very slow, hesitant talkers unsure of what to say.
>
>Yes, I think you have correctly interpreted my meaning of "approaches a
>conversational rate."

I don't know. I can establish an equivalent voice word rate for
comparison to data or morse code by using a verbatim
transcript typed double-space on 8 1/2" x 11" paper, doing
a word count and converting that to a character count and

then converting that to 5-character "words" plus a space.

But, there is NO federal document for word rate of morse code given in Part 97.

Everyone is under the impression that it is specified to a "common standard" (5 characters per word plus a space) but nothing in Part 97 specifies that rate.

It is like comparing avocados and orangutangs.

If you want a "conversational voice" rate then have a conversation with actor John Moschitta. [talk about throughput! :-)]

3760. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 01:08:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D63F6.6D4E630D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20004

W1RFI wrote:
> Your not on that "everything Ed says proves he is a secretly
> wants the CW test" thing again, are you?

Ed, You must be a double agent. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3761. Re: FCC Inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 01:12:17 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@home.comno>
Message-ID: <Rxdn7.187628$EP6.55703039@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20005

Phil what's a good email address to reach you? If possible.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gjgrna4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
>
> On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 21:05:15 -0500, CAM wrote:
>
> >Not anymore, Phil. A complete TV transmitter can be contained
> >in about 0.5 cubic inches. Ever see the Alinco credit card
> >transceiver? Anytime the government chooses to take you down,
> >they are perfectly capable of doing so. It doesn't ease my
> >mind to be depending upon the benevolence of the government
> >for my continued existence.
>
> Any time "the government" wants to take you down it won't be with a
> throw-down transmitter. Trust me on that.
>
> Enjoy your paranoia.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>
>

3762. Re: FCC Inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 01:13:44 UTC
From: 3-500zees <3-500zees@home.comno>
Message-ID: <czdn7.187630$EP6.55705007@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20006

```
sorry already got it
"3-500zees" <3-500zees@home.comno> wrote in message
news:Rxdn7.187628$EP6.55703039@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Phil what's a good email address to reach you? If possible.
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gjgrna4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> >
> > On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 21:05:15 -0500, CAM wrote:
> >
> > >Not anymore, Phil. A complete TV transmitter can be contained
> > >in about 0.5 cubic inches. Ever see the Alinco credit card
> > >transceiver? Anytime the government chooses to take you down,
> > >they are perfectly capable of doing so. It doesn't ease my
> > >mind to be depending upon the benevolence of the government
> > >for my continued existence.
> >
> > Any time "the government" wants to take you down it won't be with a
> > throw-down transmitter. Trust me on that.
> >
> > Enjoy your paranoia.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

3763. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 01:20:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D66EF.C31B1314@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20007

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> And which "channel" was that on?

146.78

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3764. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 01:35:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D6A54.A34D7E6C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20008

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > N0YM wrote:
> > > I had heard that getting a new home was pretty
> > > much impossible without a CC&R.
> > >
> > There's one on the street where I live.
>
> A new home without a CC&R, or just a CC&R?

Brand new home without antenna restrictions. The only restriction
I know of is you can't put a mobile home on the property.

> How big's the yard? Big enough for a few 180-foot Rohn's?

It's got a big back yard - 180ft would be no problem. There's two
~400 ft towers and one ~300ft tower within a quarter mile of this
house. At the rear of the back yard is a creek with a cow pasture
on the other side so you could probably even put in some rhombics.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3765. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 01:58:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njr3r$91c$0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20009

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
> >that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
> >likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.
> >
>
> I will, too, Dick.
>
> What I don't understand is why some hams want to see rules that support making
> one of those modes more likely to be available to hams when the mode they are
> trying to promote is not the most effective one.
>
> I think it would make more sense if we first had a rule that required that a
> ham keep a working station available for emergencies. I am not proposing that
> the rules do that, but noting that requiring a ham to learn one of the modes
> available is really starting in the middle -- if the purpose of the CW test is
> to enhance emergency communications, as stated.

What it is it that is so obscure about "CW is the most simple, easy to
implement and ubiquitous mode, present on every SSB voice rig, in fact
it is the most basic, bottom-line radiocommunications. But it is usable
only if hams know it"?

3766. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:01:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njr9a$91c$1@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20010

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >Well thats strange. I didnt learn anything new, and I Upgraded, what was I
> >supposed to have learned?
>
> Dick, I am sure most will agree that you are a know-it-all.
>
> Most hams learned things to upgrade. Others apparently can't be taught
> anything.

You are forgiven, Ed.

3767. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:02:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njrch$91c$2@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20011

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >That points out how easy it has been to get into ham radio since 1985.
> >Most of they are already here, it turns out.
>
> In your opinion, is this a good thing, or a bad thing?
>

Depends. Ask Len, he wears 'em.

3768. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:23:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njsikcmf0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20012

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >>I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the
> >>throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a
> >>slower rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be
> >>"conversational speed.
>
> >Interesting comparisons which favor CW over anything else.
>
> Why would you think that my saying that OOK CW with abbreviations approaches
> conversational speeds "favors CW over anything else?" Your not on that
> "everything Ed says proves he is a secretly wants the CW test" thing again, are
> you?
>
> >It may be that "conversational CW" is rapid to the
> >experienced morsemen but it is only comparable to voice
> >of some very slow, hesitant talkers unsure of what to say.
>
> Yes, I think you have correctly interpreted my meaning of "approaches a
> conversational rate."

I don't think he did. At least he shows he really has no experience at handling radio traffic, either at voice or CW. Any mope can type into a TTY terminal or computer keyboard. It takes a trained specialist to accomplish accurate transfer of messages by either voice or Morse code. Clearly Len knows nothing of the requirements to successfully utilize either mode.

Just for the record, I have 20 years direct experience at *accurately* handling message traffic in non-amateur circuits where accuracy was a primary requirement, using both voice and keyboard modes. And NO direct experience at using Morse code to handle such traffic, that has all been amateur experience.

3769. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:26:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njspbcmf1@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20013

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >By the time yo get to LA there's nothing butt....
>
> Hey, *I* am in L.A.
>

Ed, get out, while there's still time......

3770. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:31:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njt1lcmf2@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20014

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> >>There's no "and" in there, Davie. I am a professional.
>
> > "WAS" is the appropriate adjective, lennie.
>
> And I can hardly wait until I "was" a professional myself, Stevie. :-)

I "was" a professional, and I love it!

3771. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:33:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njt6dcmf3@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20015

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B994A86.7583C004@IEEE.org>...
> > N0YM wrote:
> > > I know of several post-restructuring HF licensees who are working on
> > > more-than-20wpm code speed (including myself). It's kind of like building
> > > your own antennas -- you do it because it's a challenge.
> >
> > But what about Dick's assertion that all post-restructuring hams want
> > to do is pass the 5wpm test and then completely forget about Morse code?
> > Are you telling us that he is lying about that? He says that CW/Morse
> > doesn't have enough merit to make hams want to learn it.
>
> Well, I suppose, in all honesty, I'd have to add that people boasting
> about being "20 wpm Extra"'s is sort of like throwing a gauntlet down
> for me. So if rabid pro-coders want to take partial credit for me
> wanting to get my code up to speed, that's fair game :)
>
> Still, I like the mode and want to learn it, regardless. I can't
> speak for all hams, but my main reason for learning it is because it's
> there.

To learn it you gotta use it. Simple enough.

3772. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:38:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njtf7cmf4@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20016

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >My old ELmer burned the RF coils out of his Sky Buddy doing
> >that way back there.
>
> How can this be? I thought the old tests were so good that hams of that era
> couldn't make dumb mistakes! :-)

Obviously he thought he had sufficient isolation. Obviously he was
wrong.

3773. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:41:54 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9d7c74_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20018

Ah, for that the thesaurus is the tool! ;)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B9CC5D7.C4D576B7@IEEE.org...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > Spellcheckers aren't for when it is thought they are needed. They are
for
> > all times, in case.
>
> Too bad they won't catch the misuse of "there" for "their".
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3774. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:43:54 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9d7cec_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20019

Pssss, dearie....set it to be in use all the time--and set it to "autocorrect"! My blind Dad does that--works great. He never knew there was an error to begin with, and neither did anyone else to end with.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9nihc7$6aj$0@208.207.71.171...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Spellcheckers aren't for when it is thought they are needed. They are for
> > all times, in case.
> >
>
> Ya missed the point yet one more time, Sweetie...If I don't see my TYPO
> then my spellchecker don't get used on it.

3775. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:45:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njtsu$g67$0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20017

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>
> > All this is nothing new, it has been in worldwide use for most of the
> > last century by those who made their living working CW as traffic handlers.
>
> By definition, *amateurs* don't *make their living* as traffic
> handlers, CW or otherwise.
>

Righto, Bucko, and forsure I didn't intend to indicate otherwise. In
fact I don't think I did. But of course you know that there has been a
body of material available to CW ops over the years designed solely to
convey information in the most universal and accurate way.

> > Tghe fact that all of this is foreign to so many hams goes far to
> > show that hams by and large don't care much about traffic handling
> > or emergency operations.
>
> We're talking about cross-language capabilities here. Since amateur
> traffic nets are by law almost exclusively domestic, there is little
> need to understand different languages in Morse code (or otherwise).

A good point, but it does miss some possible reasons why US hams might
need to use CW. Large scale disasters abroad where no mode other than CW
was avaialble to those involved would make necessary that US ops use it,
if the traffic was to be handled. Unlikely to happen under any *normal*
circumstnces, as we all know. We don't know what abnormal circumstances
the future holds, nor for whom.

> And I can't recall the last time I heard about emergency operations
> conducted on any mode other than voice or image.

Routinely, that pretty well takes care of it, as we all know. However
the last round of hurricanes brought out two cases where emergency storm
traffic was relayed to the State EOC when condx deteriorated below
mimimums for SSB. One case was in Savanna, Georgia and the other in
Texas.

3776. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 02:47:44 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3b9d7dd2_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20020

I don't think anyone misses your point, Dick. I think many disagree with it, not in terms of the statement itself--but in terms of the efficacy of CW as a viable alternative to other modes of communication in a disaster; or any other time for that matter. If the predominance of individuals do not know CW, then CW is not a viable alternative for communication. There is a predominance of other modes available for emergency communication--each with its own benefits and caveats. None of us can be prepared to use or have available all of them.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9njr3r$91c$0@208.207.71.153...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >My mind was made up long ago. I'll use the best mode available to me
> > >that works under the prevailing conditions. I assume others will do
> > >likewise. Even if CW is all they've got available to them.
> > >
> >
> > I will, too, Dick.
> >
> > What I don't understand is why some hams want to see rules that support
making
> > one of those modes more likely to be available to hams when the mode
they are
> > trying to promote is not the most effective one.
> >
> > I think it would make more sense if we first had a rule that required
that a
> > ham keep a working station available for emergencies. I am not
proposing that
> > the rules do that, but noting that requiring a ham to learn one of the
modes
> > available is really starting in the middle -- if the purpose of the CW
test is
> > to enhance emergency communications, as stated.
>
>
> What it is it that is so obscure about "CW is the most simple, easy to

> implement and ubiquitous mode, present on every SSB voice rig, in fact
> it is the most basic, bottom-line radiocommunications. But it is usable
> only if hams know it"?

3777. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 03:03:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njuthirh0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20021

David Pearce wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
>
> >
> > W1TEE took his Plymouth Duster (1976) to all continental US counties. He got
> > his worked-from-all-US counties from the same car! He said it had about
> > 300,000 miles when it finally died. Gotta' love them old slant 6 motors!
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> A lot more depends on how you drive it. Short runs that do not allow the
> engine to heat up are big killers as well as not changing the oil often
> enough or letting the oil level get low.

Short-run driving mandates regular and lower-mileage oil changes.
Otherwise the moisture that condenses in the crankcase is bad news.

We have a 1990 Chevy S-10 that the XYL drove to work daily for 10 of
those years, all of a mile from home. Then that same mile home after
work. Just far enough to get it loaded up with inevaporated moisture. So
I made sure it got regular 3,000 mile oil changes, more frequent in
colder weather. The engine seems as good as ever, but last spring before
the XYL stopped using it for work I had it's sixth exhaust system
installed! They just rust out and rot way from all the moisture. That
is, all after the catalytic converter. It runs hot enough to dry itself
out.

3778. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 03:18:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9njvqokmo0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20022

N0YM wrote:
>
I had heard that getting a new home was pretty
> much impossible without a CC&R.
>
> I strongly dislike CC&R's that restrict Amateur Radio, in general, though. As I
> see it, there's a compelling public interest in letting hams put up reasonable
> antennas.

"Reasonable" is the rub. What is reasonable?
To forstall any unforseeable circumstance that might circumvent their intent, most just forbid any outside antennas, or worse, all added antennas. That is not reasonable, and uch severe restrictions should not be allowed to stand. Unobtrusive wire antennas can't be said to be unsightly since they can be made to be virtually invisible. Towers and large arrays are another matter. Surely there is a "reasonable" accomodation that can be made in favor of ham radio, and some other services as well. There has to be a change in the future of CCR's.

3779. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 03:29:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nk0eckmo1@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 20023

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B999715.577749A6@IEEE.org>...
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > When an entire transceiver can be done in
> > > > software or put on a chip (except for the finals) it is really
> > > > difficult to convince anyone that building an obsolete vacuum
> > > > tube rig is much of an accomplishment.
> > >
> > > Wrong. It is difficult to convince *you* that it is much of an
> > > accomplishment. In truth, it is much more difficult than you think, anbd
> > > if you don't believe it, just ask any new engineeering graduate to do
> > > it....
> >
> > Why does the difficult task of building an obsolete vacuum tube
> > rig rank as an accomplishment? Sounds like a waste of time to me.
>
> Some people say the same thing about Amateur Radio :^)
>

Yes indeed, you can find in the archives of this NG where Cecil has
said that all of ham radio is trivial.

3780. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 04:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911000308.07036.00000197@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 20024

In article <20010910115123.08859.00000708@mb-cl.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
>>> Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)
>>
>>How would I collect if I won?
>
>If you lose, you are still eating lobster!

There is a way to do this.

Jim says Cecil will lose. Ed says Cecil will lose. Cecil says Cecil will win.

Jim, Ed and Cecil meet at a lobster place and Jim stipulates that Cecil wins provisionally. Jim buys the dinner and everyone is happy. (Jim already owes Ed a lobster dinner so two birds are eliminated with one rock).

Suppose that the S25.5 nonsense drags on so long that Cecil (unfortunately) wins. Ed and Jim take comfort in the fact that Cecil did in fact collect on his bet.

Now consider the reverse - S25.5 is eliminated and code testing disappears soon after. Cecil loses the bet. He now owes two lobster dinners - one to pay off the bet, and the other to repay the one he got for provisionally winning. Ed and Cecil and Jim again get together and again enjoy lobster.

Some may argue that the bet is not exactly even, but the basic concept is clear: Stipulate that Cecil wins provisionally, pay off in advance, and if in fact the decision goes the other way, Cecil pays off double.

It's a way to have lobster and eat it, too.

Waddya think, sirs?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3781. Re: New Blood Yea Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 05:10:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D9CD1.4E1850E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20025

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yes indeed, you can find in the archives of this NG where Cecil has
> said that all of ham radio is trivial.

All except emergency communications, Dick. That is the function
where ham radio earns its keep and is the only really useful
function remaining IMO.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3782. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 05:13:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D9D6E.E4D4034@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20026

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Any mope can type into a
> TTY terminal or computer keyboard. It takes a trained specialist to
> accomplish accurate transfer of messages by either voice or Morse code.

Sounds like a testimonial for digital modes. No trained specialist
required.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3783. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 05:14:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9D9DB5.2A499A48@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20027

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I "was" a professional, and I love it!

I was never more than a gifted amateur.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3784. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 06:46:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh71w8.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20030

On 10 Sep 2001 13:04:44 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>I don't think there's any plea bargaining going on here. All Riley was going to
>do was modify this guy's ticket. It was implied that if he wanted to fight it,
>the ticket "might" be revoked.

No different from Jack McCoy offering the defendant "Man 1, do 10
years but if we go to trial it's Murder 2, 25 to life".

>Next thing you know, Riley gets a letter from
>this guy's attorney claiming that Riley's overstepped his authority and the
>modification order is null and void and they wish to take it to court, I
>believe a "real" one, (with real meaning NOT administrative) to prove
>otherwise. No doubt, this guy's gonna get his clock cleaned. I'll try to find
>the thread on QRZ and post it.

He needs to get a better lawyer, one who knows how to read the
Communications Act (an act of The Congress, passed by the folks for
whom we vote) and a good practice manual on Federal Administrative
Law.

He will get a hearing on a modification or revocation order before
an ALJ, appealable to the Commission. If he loses then it's off
to U S Court of Appeals who will rule only on the procedural issues
(whether the FCC followed the book or not). There's not enough
pizazz in this case for them to even look at the substantive issues
(what he did and how he got caught).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3785. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 06:46:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh7bs9.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20028

On 10 Sep 2001 17:58:46 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:

>It's called Problems with Hollingsworth's License Modification. I
>suspect the "plaintiff/defendent" doesn't really care about having his
>license revoked, I think he just wants to put Mr. Hollingsworth's
>authority to the test and make him do it "the long way." (That is,
>work for it.)

No big deal. The case will be passed to a litigator who usually
takes on the likes of NBC or ATT, and the licensee will be chewed
up and spit out. I used to like thoae cases because they always
taught me some new wrinkle of law.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3786. Re: The way it was (in Canada)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 06:46:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh8fya.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20031

On Sun, 09 Sep 2001 17:39:04 -0500, Robert Casey wrote:

>Well, my tech (plus) test wasn't anywhere as stringent, but back then
>you had to pass CW *and* written in the one visit. No partial credit,
>i.e., no CSCEs. I did it at the NYC FCC office in some old building
>I've heard is now condos in Manhattan.

641 Washington Street, on the waterfront. The examiner was Charlie Finkelman, complete with bow tie, the prototype for calling FCC folks "Uncle Charlie". I took my Novice, Tech and General tests there (1952 and 1962, respectively) as well as ny Second and First RadioTelephone (1952 and 1953). A close friend of the family worked in the same building as a claims examiner for the Department of Labor, so I would always stop in and see her when I was in the building. In later years she was my mentor and role model for entering Federal service and was a very attractive and charming woman, now deceased.

The rats finally won against the people, so the building was evacuated, gutted, rebuilt, and sold to the private sector as many classic and classy Federal government buildings were under the Carter and Reagan regimes.

>I had to send CW as well as
>receive lots of 5 letter words. Then there was the general written.
>Strangely enough, being a EE student in college at the time,

Where were you attending? I was in EE school in NY (The Cooper Union) at the time I was taking those exams. Several of my classmates were hams.

>I expected
>to have a hard time with CW and not have much trouble with the written.
>And pretty much aced the CW and almost failed the written! The
>written had a question that required both regulatory and electronic theory
>to answer.

My almost undoing on the commercial exam was knowing the frequency tolerances allowed for the various services. Something you couldn't calculate - either you knew it or you didn't. But I passed. Another thing that would throw us nerds was the Commercial Element 1 - which was ten longhand essay questions on radio law where you had to spit out certain buzzwords and catch-phrases exactly, stuff like "What is the obligation of a mobile station to exchange communications?" the answer being "All stations are bound to exchange communications with other stations

regardless of the system employed" (an echo of the "Titanic" fiasco).
Use of a study guide such as Kaufman or Shrader was a necessity.

"Those were the days"

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3787. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 06:46:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh8s5b.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20032

On 10 Sep 2001 15:40:58 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>I recall in the 60s, hams had to certify that they had operated a minimum
>amount of time during the latter part of their expiring license term.

I forget how many (ten?), but that was a requirement on the renewal
form from "way back when" until the late 1960s. The guy that I
worked for at the time would borrow one of his buddy's transceivers
and smoke along at the 35 WPM that he was used to in handling traffic
as a pre-WW-II Mavy radio operator. No mike for him......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3788. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 06:46:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh9c1c.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20029

On 09 Sep 2001 20:49:08 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I wonder if the FCC can (legally) "waive" the code testing requirement
>after S25 is deleted, but before Senate ratification...?!?! (Ala
>PRB-1..?!?!)

Sure...just as they waived the sending tests.

>1) Has the Senate ever NOT ratified changes to the ITU treaty, particularly
>with respect to the ARS?
>
>2) How long does ratification typically take?

Usually it's tacked on to some other bill and sails through like
salt through a tin horn.

The glaring exception was ratification of the 1979 treaty which was
held up by the then Senator John Glenn (to whom the Senate looked to
on things technical) because of a pizza contest he was having with
some Federal agency over some political aspect of US communications
policy (probably about who was going to tell whom what to spend).

I lost all respect for him from that time on and was appalled at the
foot-kissing that NASA and the American public did when he and Jake
Garm became the first American space junketeers (sorry, Tony Tito).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3789. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 06:46:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh9omd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20033

On 09 Sep 2001 23:38:58 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by direct
>experience.

A former colleague of mine had a sign in Latin over his desk that,
freely translated, said that he was a survivor of 16 years of the
Catholic school system.

The fun one, though, was "Nul Viridarium Hic Ad Angulum".

Remember your Latin?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3790. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 11:03:44 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911070344.02962.00001360@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 20034

>No different from Jack McCoy offering the defendant "Man 1, do 10
> years but if we go to trial it's Murder 2, 25 to life".

Ahh...the wheels of "justice " at work. What ever happened to "I'll nail you if you did it...if you didn't, you walk," was it ever really like that?

>He needs to get a better lawyer, one who knows how to read the
> Communications Act (an act of The Congress, passed by the folks for
> whom we vote) and a good practice manual on Federal Administrative
> Law.

According to the article, this chap's supposed to have one heck of a reputation for not taking on cases he didn't think were cut and dry. Personally, I dunno?

>He will get a hearing on a modification or revocation order before
> an ALJ, appealable to the Commission. If he loses then it's off
> to U S Court of Appeals who will rule only on the procedural issues
> (whether the FCC followed the book or not). There's not enough
> pizazz in this case for them to even look at the substantive issues
> (what he did and how he got caught).
>

I think that's the point of it, arguing that Mr. Hollingsworth circumvented the FCC's own procedure. (...not "following the book.") Phil, no offense, but do you think Riley Hollingsworth or the FCC ever do anything wrong? Personally, I have no doubt of it...but I think it would cost Joe Blow a small fortune to prove it. Therin lies the frightening aspect of an "administrative" court. Take it easy and thanks for all the good information.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3791. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 11:12:43 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911071243.02962.00001362@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 20035

>No big deal. The case will be passed to a litigator who usually
> takes on the likes of NBC or ATT, and the licensee will be chewed
> up and spit out.

Although I'm no big Howard Stern fan, I do appreciate the approach they took toward the FCC. They paid the fines and just considered it like a shakedown...the cost of doing business. No doubt, it's dinner time re. this guy's ticket. What would it take to get an amateur case into a real court of law, one with a judge who doesn't work for the FCC AND a jury of one's peers? Oh yeah, one where the onus is on the FCC to prove your guilt rather than you having to prove your innocence, can this occur?

>I used to like thoae cases because they always
> taught me some new wrinkle of law.

I hear the high powered "irons" come pretty expensive these days.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3792. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 11:14:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911071429.20943.00000174@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20036

In article <XxOm7.3319$%N2.197645@news20.bellglobal.com>, "Jim Cummings" <ve3xj@rac.ca> writes:

>> CW is a mighty popular mode. Why do you think that any such popular mode
>> should be excluded from the tests entirely?
>>
>
>Why do you think that popularity is an appropriate criteria whether a
>particular topic is selected for amateur examination?

Because the test is supposed to cover topics that are directly involved in amateur radio. CW is such a big part of amateur radio that its inclusion is needed. How much inclusion is a different issue.

>English is probably
>the most popular language used in amateur radio, yet I am unaware of any
>administration that includes an English grammar test in any of their amateur
>examinations.

The tests are effectively tests of ability to understand the language in which they are given. Does Canada give ARS tests in languages other than English and French?

I don't think the FCC gives non-English ARS exams - if a person doesn't know English well enough to understand the tests, they are effectively barred from getting a US ham license
>
>Even if popularity were a consideration, it fails on the fundamental
>consideration for testing of determining and individual's competence for the
>operation of an amateur station - the avoidance of, or mitigation of
>interference or both.

Those are not the only considerations for determining competence.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3793. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 11:14:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911071430.20943.00000175@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20037

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gjh9c1c.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>On 09 Sep 2001 20:49:08 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>> I wonder if the FCC can (legally) "waive" the code testing requirement
>>after S25 is deleted, but before Senate ratification...?!?! (Ala
>>PRB-1..?!?!)
>
> Sure...just as they waived the sending tests.

Bingo - some verbiage like "pending full ratification of the changes, the test
for Element 1 is temporarily waived". Then some explanation referring to the
R&O paragraphs that talked about how the code test served no regulatory purpose
except treaty compliance. No NPRM, just a simple order.

Game over. Thank you for playing.
>
>>1) Has the Senate ever NOT ratified changes to the ITU treaty, particularly
>>with respect to the ARS?
>>
>>2) How long does ratification typically take?
>
> Usually it's tacked on to some other bill and sails through like
> salt through a tin horn.
>
> The glaring exception was ratification of the 1979 treaty which was
> held up by the then Senator John Glenn (to whom the Senate looked to
> on things technical) because of a pizza contest he was having with
> some Federal agency over some political aspect of US communications
> policy (probably about who was going to tell whom what to spend).

The exception that proves the rule.
>
> I lost all respect for him from that time on and was appalled at the
> foot-kissing that NASA and the American public did when he and Jake
> Garm became the first American space junketeers (sorry, Tony Tito).
>
In Michener's "The Source", one of the main rules of the archeologists is: "The
man who is paying the bills has got to be kept happy".

Thanks for the insights, Phil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3794. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 11:14:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911071430.20943.00000176@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20038

In article <20010910090444.29580.00000833@mb-mg.aol.com>, radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) writes:

>>A careful reading of such orders will show that up front the
>> order states a proposed penalty and if so desired, the licensee can
>> request a hearing on the merits. No big deal. Smart folks know
>> they were caught with their hand in the cookie jar so they don't
>> fight it. That's what plea bargaining is all about.
>>
>
>I don't think there's any plea bargaining going on here. All Riley was going
>to
>do was modify this guy's ticket. It was implied that if he wanted to fight
>it,
>the ticket "might" be revoked.

What Riley is doing is offering the guy a choice: modify the guy's license temporarily, or go through the whole formal enforcement process. The enforcement process could easily result in revocation. I'm no lawyer but that sure looks like a plea bargain to me.

It must always be remembered that a ham radio license is a PRIVILEGE that is granted under certain conditions. The most important condition is that the licensee agrees to play by the rules - all of the rules.

>Next thing you know, Riley gets a letter from
>this guy's attorney claiming that Riley's overstepped his authority and the
>modification order is null and void and they wish to take it to court, I
>believe a "real" one, (with real meaning NOT administrative) to prove
>otherwise.

I wanna see a video of when this guy's attorney tells an administrative law judge that the administrative court is not a "real" court.

> No doubt, this guy's gonna get his clock cleaned.

You mean the guy whose license is modified, right?

>I'll try to find
>the thread on QRZ and post it. Take care and 73.
>
The thing that really bothers me about all this is that the ARS looks bad because hams like the violator obviously don't even understand the conditions under which our licenses are granted.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3795. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 11:58:23 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911075823.13973.00001029@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20039

>He will get a hearing on a modification or revocation order before
> an ALJ, appealable to the Commission. If he loses then it's off
> to U S Court of Appeals who will rule only on the procedural issues
> (whether the FCC followed the book or not).

Thanks Phil, I think this pretty much sums up the guy's intentions. Take care
and 73.

Mark

3796. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 12:44:52 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911084452.13973.00001034@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20040

>What Riley is doing is offering the guy a choice: modify the guy's license
>temporarily, or go through the whole formal enforcement process. The
>enforcement process could easily result in revocation. I'm no lawyer but that
>sure looks like a plea bargain to me.
>

Sorry Jim, you're quite correct. In the absence of the option of "going to trial," (in the conventional sense, jury, etc...) it just appears to take on a more "threatening" posture than that of a "bargain."

>It must always be remembered that a ham radio license is a PRIVILEGE that is
>granted under certain conditions.

I agree, this is absolutely correct. Thanks for reminding me.

>The most important condition is that the
>licensee agrees to play by the rules - all of the rules.
>

Funny you should bring this up. I've always believed that an "authority" should lead first by example, don't you? Jim, are you familiar with the Tucker family club callsigns case? Mr. Tucker followed the "letter of the law" in obtaining all those calls. Riley Hollingsworth then determined that this violated the "spirit" of the rule and stripped the Tuckers of some of the calls. If Tucker found a "loophole," shouldn't Riley have to follow "all of the rules" in order to remove said loophole rather than enforce "on the fly," and circumvent the rule making protocol?

after all, You and I can't put up a stop sign on the corner of our block without going through the proper procedure, correct? Just because there's a new school and many kids cross there Driving's a privilige too, and the "spirit" of the safety to pedestrians is a righteous one, but we still must follow the rules to change a rule/law. Just a thought. I'm not disagreeing with you, I'm just offering a slightly different point of view.

>I wanna see a video of when this guy's attorney tells an administrative law
>judge that the administrative court is not a "real" court.

Somehow, I would think an attorney would not speak with the same candor in a courtroom (administrative included) as we might on a USENET newsgroup. ;-) However, in the eyes of many folks, without a jury of their peers...the word "kangaroo" quickly comes to mind. In the legal sense...it's certainly real though.

>You mean the guy whose license is modified, right?
>

Correct.

>The thing that really bothers me about all this is that the ARS looks bad
>because hams like the violator obviously don't even understand the conditions
>under which our licenses are granted.

You are quite correct in your statement. I'd just like to point out that you've already referred to this ham as the "violator." I sincerely doubt that if you or a loved one ever had to go to court to defend against an allegation, (a "real" one) you'd want to be referred to as the "violator." How about the "defendent?" You see how easy it is to disregard procedures that people died for, as long as we agree with the outcome? I think Riley Hollingsworth and the FCC do a great job. That does NOT preclude me from disagreeing with an enforcement action, tactic, or policy, if I truly feel said action/tactic/policy is at fault. I hope you understand that my views should not be misconstrued as condoning ant FCC rule violations. Thanks for the comments. Take care and 73.

Mark

3797. Re: FCC Inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 12:46:58 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911084658.13973.00001035@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20041

>Phil what's a good email address to reach you? If possible.

Ditto, if you wouldn't mind? :-) Take care and 73.

Mark

3798. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 12:48:08 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911084808.13973.00001036@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20042

```
>>I do have an associate at work (I believe you know who I'm talking about.)
>>who
>>swears that on the eighth day...God made coffee. :-) Take care and 73.
>
>I resemble that remark.
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN
>

You should. ;-)
```

3799. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 13:00:46 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911090046.28123.00001214@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 20043

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What it is it that is so obscure about "CW is the most simple, easy to
>implement and ubiquitous mode, present on every SSB voice rig, in fact
>it is the most basic, bottom-line radiocommunications. But it is usable
>only if hams know it"?

Do you believe its merits are insufficient that hams will not learn it unless
required to do so by circumstance on the tests?

That does not speak very highly of the merits of the mode.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

3800. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 13:17:20 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911091720.28123.00001216@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 20044

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I "was" a professional, and I love it!

A friend of mine is retired. He says it is the best job he has ever had! :-)

73,
Ed

3801. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 13:55:16 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <e0990df1b475622ffbd7ab2e7b4af1fa.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20045

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message

> A definate factor related to the discussion at hand is that in the Field Day
> exchange, there is no opportunity to abbreviate any common English words.

I don't agree. There is all plenty of opportunity to abbreviate.
Note the following typical Field Day exchanges; first in voice, and
then in Morse. Even in contesting, Morse exchanges can be made more
terse than exact equivalent voice exchanges, but are at a "throughput
disadvantage".

Voice

(W0EF) CQ Field Day, this is Whiskey Zero Echo Foxtrot
(W1RFI) Double You One Are Eff Eye
(W0EF) Whiskey One Romeo Foxtrot India Copy Two Alfa Minnesota - Over
(W1RFI) Thanks. Please copy One Delta (***static crash***)
(W0EF) Say again Section - Over
(W1RFI) Connecticutt - Charlie Tango - Over
(W0EF) Roger - Thanks - CQ Field Day, this is Whiskey Zero Echo Foxtrot

---
Morse

(W0EF) CQ FD DE W0EF
(W1RFI) W1RFI
(W0EF) W1RFI 2B MN K
(W1RFI) TU 1D (***static crash***)
(W0EF) SEC? K
(W1RFI) CT CT K
(W0EF) R TU - CQ FD DE W0EF

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupjj67.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.35.67]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3802. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100819.00667.00000524@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20049

In article <kwSm7.255593$J37.67826803@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>, "Pinko"
<pinko@massachusetts.state.va.us> writes:

>
>Or, what if there is an emergency but I'm in a bad spot at the moment, or I
>need to adjust my power? To them it appears I am just keying the mic, but
>really I'm just out of range. But they shut it down anyway. So when I try
>again to call for help, there is no way I'm going to get it.

Pinko:

What I'd like to know is -- what's the big problem with giving your
call sign the first time you key the mic -- regardless of the reason?
It doesn't cost anything, keeps you "legal" for an entire ten minutes,
and gives the bad 'ole repeater cop no reason to shut down the
machine on you -- unless he just does it as a conditioned response
in order to gain some wierd form of gratification. I'm a repeater
control operator, and haven't issued a command on our club's
repeater UHF control link in over a month, when I turned the PL on
due to ducting. BTW, the reason you don't hear the control op's
ID is because he's probably using a UHF link to the controller.
I ID all my transmissions -- why don't you?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3803. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100820.00667.00000525@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20051

In article <20010909164908.26783.00000876@mb-fv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>3) If most of the other countries who are part of the ITU accept elimination
>of
>S25.5, the FCC could argue that S25.5 doesn't really exist anymore, even if
>the
>Senate hasn't ratified yet.
>
>I can see ratification as a "rubber stamp" item on the Senate agenda. Also, I
>can see the FCC, if asked, having everything in place to eliminate Element 1
>as
>soon as ratification happens, if not before.
>
>And you can be sure that the FCC will be asked to do whatever it can as fast
>as
>it can if S25.5 goes away. My good friend Bill Sohl, K2UNK, will see to that.
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Hey Phil...opinions here...?!?!
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

My prediction: The FCC will drop Elment 1 like a hot potato before the ink is dry on the document eliminating S25.5. One less regulatory nightmare for them. I'm sure Bill and the rest of the NCI members have their petitions already written, finalized, and ready to be mailed; but they may as well save the postage. Code testing is a dead duck. At the present 5 WPM level, it is already virtually nonexistant. The FCC will fire the coup d'gras into the corpse's head as soon as the smoke clears from the ITU firing squad's volley.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3804. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100821.00667.00000527@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20046

In article <3B9AFF17.A85C931F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
>> dumbing down the ARS all it wants.
>
>That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
>Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
>all that matters.

Comrade Cecil:

I see. So what radio amateurs say about the Amateur Radio Service doesn't count? Congratulations! You've just earned the title of "Comrade Cecil." This is how I shall refer to you from now on! Spaceba!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3805. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100821.00667.00000526@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20048

In article <3B9AFFD0.8515957F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If I were a cop, and didn't actually see a gun in a
>> crime suspect's hand, I would not shoot him under any
>> circumstances. Would you?
>
>Yep, if he was attacking someone with a knife or a baseball bat.
>Would you really "not shoot him under any circumstances"?

Comrade Cecil:

Hmmm, good point. In the case of a knife, then, yes, I would use
my gun to stop an imminent attack. In the case of a baseball bat,
9-iron, rolling pin, or any other blunt-force implement, I'd shoot only
if an attack on a third party was actually in progress. Any cop
should be able to safely disarm a person wielding such a weapon;
at the very least, the nature of such a weapon would not justify
the use of a firearm unless actual physical violence of a life-
threatening nature were in progress.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3806. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100822.00667.00000528@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20052

In article <3B9AFE7D.2BA5D559@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I can still communicate using low-power CW for quite a long
>> while after a ham without CW ability would have had to go QRT.
>
>You can still drive your car after someone else runs out of gas.
>So what? It should be up to each individual how he/she prepares
>for an emergency. The hams who choose CW should be free to use
>it and the hams who don't choose CW should be free not to use
>it. How is it that you dislike governmental control of guns
>and like governmental control of amateur modes?

Cecil:

I'm glad you akxed. First of all, I am not totally against *all* forms of
gun control. I don't believe that convicted felons should have access
to firearms, I don't believe minors should be able to purchase or own
firearms. I think that an absolute, federally-mandated minimum age
for ever having physical contact with an actual powder-burning
firearm should be set at 14 years. I don't believe that members of
the general public have any need for fully-automatic weapons of any
sort, and I don't think that a criminal background check prior to the
purchase of a handgun is a bad idea. I think that there should be
universal "Shall Issue" concealed-carry weapon licensing in all
50 states, with any law-abiding citizen being able to qualify for such
a license without any need to "justify" it based on need other than
his/her own self-defense. I believe that CCW license holders should
be able to carry their properly licensed and concealed weapons into
post offices, banks, hospitals, or any other state or federally owned
property, in order for the concept to have maximum deterrent effect.
Other than that, we have more than enough gun laws; those already
in effect should either be enforced or repealed. We don't need any
more.

There are certain areas in which the government has a very reasonable
right to regulate the actions of it's citizens for the common good. When
dealing with a finite resource such as the Radio Frequency spectrum,
the need is both obvious and imperative. If hams are no longer
required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level, most
hams will no longer do so. It's as simple as that. The numerous
benefits and advantages of this mode then become lost to the whole
of the ARS. I've explained this to you many times, Cecil. What part
of it don't you understand -- or is it that you simply reject it because it

doesn't fit your NCTA agenda?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3807. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100823.00667.00000530@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20047

In article <3B9AFC3C.C2885B1C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> No matter how you convolute what I've said, I have absolutely no
>> intention of doing any sort of physical violence to anyone, anywhere.
>
>Then I suggest you stop threatening people by saying you are not
>going to allow them to say something. Threatening to shut someone
>up is not nice.

Cecil:

I don't recall making any such threat; certainly, the intent was
never there. It was you who went off on this particular tangent; and
that wasn't very nice, either.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3808. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:08:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911100823.00667.00000529@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20050

In article <20010909000253.09114.00000188@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>>I had always
>>wished we had bought three different vehicles: A Plymouth Voyager,
>>a Ford Windstar, and a Honda (don't recall the model name of their
>>minivan).
>
>Honda makes the Odyssey. Great minivan. Top rated for safety and reliability.
>Honda can't make them fast enough.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

That's the one. The local Honda dealer, which touts "no haggle, no hassle" pricing, puts a multi-thousand dollar "bump" sticker on each Odyssey, listing the usual high-profit, virtually zero dealer-cost add-ons like the "protection package," pinstripes, floor mats, and an "adjusted market value" surcharge. Yet, the things seemingly fly over the curb! Try to find one on a used-car lot, and it was probably repossessed because the buyer couldn't deal with a $600/month payment!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3809. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:13:21 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911101321.28123.00001218@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 20053

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If hams are no longer
>required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level, most
>hams will no longer do so. It's as simple as that.

Do you really believe that Morse code has such little merit that people will not learn it unless forced to do so? You clearly do not think the mode to be very useful. Or are you just willing to say that to "win" this debate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3810. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:15:03 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911101503.28123.00001219@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 20054

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>My prediction: The FCC will drop Elment 1 like a hot potato before the
>ink is dry on the document eliminating S25.5. One less regulatory
>nightmare for them. I'm sure Bill and the rest of the NCI members have
>their petitions already written, finalized, and ready to be mailed; but
>they may as well save the postage. Code testing is a dead duck. At
>the present 5 WPM level, it is already virtually nonexistant. The FCC
>will fire the coup d'gras into the corpse's head as soon as the smoke
>clears from the ITU firing squad's volley.

Then why don't you stop trying to convince people that the mode has little merit in a futile attempt to save the test and switch over to a mode where you extoll the virtues of the mode so that people will think it worth learning for its own good uses.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3811. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 14:18:08 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911101808.28123.00001220@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 20055

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
>>Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
>>all that matters.

>I see. So what radio amateurs say about the Amateur Radio Service
>doesn't count? Congratulations! You've just earned the title of
>"Comrade Cecil." This is how I shall refer to you from now on!

Can I presume by this that you are advocating anarchy? I disagree. To paraphrase a recent FCC letter, if you wish to participate in a less-regulated service, Part 95 affords you that opportunity.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3812. Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:35:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911113546.27481.00000936@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 20056

>Subject: Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/10/01 3:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910153815.26492.00000270@nso-bk.aol.com>

>Quite inaccurate. That web page is one of several
>"character biographies" of a computer role-playing
>game (RPG). It is entirely fictitious.

Oh...jeeez Lennie...you think anyone here thought it was REAL..?!?!

You are a bigger loser than I ever thought.

>That also is an inability
>to differentiate between fantasy and reality.

You mean like YOU who just went into a several paragraph long
"explanation" of waht everyone else knew was a JOKE...?!?!

Of course EXCEPT you who IS the joke!

Thanks, Lennie! You never cease to amaze!

Steve and the boys......

3813. NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:37:26 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20057
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the terrorist attack this morning?

Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence Center, which has been closed as well.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3814. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:40:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911114015.00667.00000534@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20059

In article <20010910113756.08859.00000702@mb-cl.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Sooo -- who do you want around "…When All Else Fails?" Me, or
>>a Nickle Extra who never made an on-the-air CW contact?
>
>95% of the Very Good Things that you described have nothing to do with CW or
>your passing a CW test. If the reasons you want to see a CW test really ARE
>related to emergency communications, perhaps you should seek rules changes
>aimed at the first through tenth resort rather than the last. Why are you
>not
>proposing a rule that requires hams to keep emergency power capability
>available at all times?

Ed:

That's a good point. I believe that such a requirement is reasonable and logical.

>Are you SURE you don't want to see CW testing just to keep your favorite mode
>alive with affirmative action?

I want to see the Morse/CW kept alive in order for radio amateurs to be able exploit the benefits and advantages of that mode. I have no other motivation. I understand that people who "love" the mode, as you say, will always have it at their disposal. I want those who may not yet have discovered that they "love" this mode to be exposed to it enough to give them a chance to do so, or to at least overcome their "hate" for this mode, as I did over 20 years ago.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3815. Sept. 11, 2001 - Terrorism Against The USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:40:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010911114016.00667.00000535@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20058

First impressions of an unimaginable tragedy:

Today, Sept. 11, 2001, the United States suffered massive, coordinated terrorist attacks against the World Trade Center in New York City and the Pentagon building in Washington, D.C. Apparently the South Tower of the World Trade Center has completely collapsed. As of this time (10:14 AM EDT) there are no reports of casualties, but loss of life in New York must be massive. The WTC was struck by two large commercial jet airliners, obviously hi-jacked shortly upon take-off; one is reported to have debarted from Boston. All civil commercial airports across the country are closed at this time. On WCBS TV, a camera shot of lower
Manhattan shows the skyline to be completely obliterated by smoke and dust from the WTC. Reports now are that the second tower of the WTC has now collapsed; casualties could be in the multiple tens of thousands.

I am sitting here, glued to my television set, barely able to comprehend the extent of this tragedy. I have no words to express my feelings, other than shock, and my deepest concern and sympathy for the innocent victims of this heinous attack.

One thing I know for sure -- we now live in an entirely different world than we did yesterday.

The World Trade Center must be rebuilt in the memory of all who lost their lives today.

God Bless America!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3816. Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:40:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911114028.27481.00000937@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 20060

>Subject: Re: Len Anderson Now A Green Beret
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/10/01 3:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010910153814.26492.00000269@nso-bk.aol.com>

>Both are wrong. The US military dropped morse modes for all
>fixed-point to fixed-point messaging over a half century ago.

OneTrakLennie tries to redirect to "Morse Modes" when it was never
mentioned.

Idiot.

>Some have a need to personalize arguments when they
>cannot argue the subject. Instead, they go after the person
>of the one with an opposite opinion to theirs.

(1) There is no "subject" to argue. Everyone EXCEPT you knows that Morse
is droped as soon as S25.5 is.

(2) You are the King Of The Personal Sleight, so don't give me your tired
old rhetoric about personal attacks.

You opened this can of worms, Lennie.

YOU should have considered the possible consequences when you did it.

>Such as these two "aren't caught with their pants down,"
>they aren't wearing any...

Fantasizing again, Lennie? Mrs. A not coming up off any for ya
lately...?!?! I am not surprised.

3817. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:44:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nlbhr$a38$0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20061

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Pssss, dearie....set it to be in use all the time--and set it to
> "autocorrect"! My blind Dad does that--works great. He never knew there
> was an error to begin with, and neither did anyone else to end with.

Won't work, Sweatie... that would introduce more errors into my posts
that occurr due to fat fingers. You'd be very syurprised how many of its
"correction" choices are totally inaccurate. It recoginizes only
"standard" spelling. Many words on rrap are not, ie callsigns,
abbreviations etc. Sorry.

3818. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:47:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nlblk$a38$1@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20062

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B9AFF17.A85C931F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > >
> > >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >> The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
> > >> dumbing down the ARS all it wants.
> > >
> > >That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
> > >Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
> > >all that matters.
>
> Comrade Cecil:
>
> I see. So what radio amateurs say about the Amateur Radio Service
> doesn't count? Congratulations! You've just earned the title of
> "Comrade Cecil." This is how I shall refer to you from now on!
> Spaceba!

It *is* novel how the FCC can do no wrong as long as it agrees with
Cec's viewpoint, but no right otherwise.....

3819. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:50:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nlbrk$a38$2@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20063

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >What it is it that is so obscure about "CW is the most simple, easy to
> >implement and ubiquitous mode, present on every SSB voice rig, in fact
> >it is the most basic, bottom-line radiocommunications. But it is usable
> >only if hams know it"?
>
> Do you believe its merits are insufficient that hams will not learn it unless
> required to do so by circumstance on the tests?
>
> That does not speak very highly of the merits of the mode.

What it speaks poorly of is the attitude and knowledge of hams who
cannot bring themselves to open their minds to understand it and then to
learn it.

3820. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 15:53:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nlc11$a38$3@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20064

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> You have stated that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merits to exist
> >> without a federal affirmative action program.
>
> > No, YOU said that. I said that propective hams will not learn it
> >without it being a test requirement.
>
> So it will exist, but no one will learn it? ???
>
> >Most will never do it, simply becuse they will never take the time to do
> >so, and will never be exposed to CW to the point where they find out
> >what it really is.
>
> Sure they will be exposed to it. They will hear Cecil and me tell them why it
> is a good mode to learn, a lot of fun, that it is a natural for homebrew
> projects and that it will let them operate with low power and avoid RFI, etc.

Unhuh. Then they say "what do those old farts know?"

> They will also hear you say that if Morse code isn't included in the tests,
> they will not use it.

SUbtle but inaccurate quote, as you so often do when you wish to alter
soneone's viewpoint to fit your own Agenda. What I said is that few will
bother to learn it, thus it dissolves as a viable communications mode
within the ARS, much to the delight of codehaters like Karl Stevenson
and Billy Sohl.

3821. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:04:14 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <5edspt4a1m5b9lud3ds792q5jhsd65huuh@4ax.com>
Source record: 20066
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 11:37:26 -0400, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
>terrorist attack this morning?

It's probaly digital or encripted.
Amateurs should stay away.

>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

3822. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:08:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nlcukeus0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20065

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Any mope can type into a
> > TTY terminal or computer keyboard. It takes a trained specialist to
> > accomplish accurate transfer of messages by either voice or Morse code.
>
> Sounds like a testimonial for digital modes. No trained specialist
> required.

I wasn't aware that the digital modes needed any testimonial. It is
novel that you feel they do. But if you think they're bulletproof that
proves your naivette, digital engineeer or not.

3823. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:10:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nld2ceus1@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20067

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >By the time yo get to LA there's nothing butt....
> >
> > Hey, *I* am in L.A.
> >
>
> Ed, get out, while there's still time......

I had no idea how prophetic that was......

3824. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:11:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nld3ieus2@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20068

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I "was" a professional, and I love it!
>
> I was never more than a gifted amateur.

That's evident.

3825. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:15:30 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tpse3g77mle49b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20069
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Tim,

Thanks for the info. I just got word that the bridge is open but everybody is being checked VERY carefully. I typed the bridge name wrong in the last post, it should have been the Ambassador Bridge. Most of the auto companies have shut down here as well. The one thing that has been done is the entire Dearborn school system has been shut down. Dearborn is an eastern suburb of Detroit, and is the home to the world's largest Arab population, about 250,000, outside of the middle east. The people there are very much afraid of reprisal attacks against innocent people. I can't blame them one bit right now.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

"Tim" <timnebo@aol.comLOL> wrote in message
news:20010911114136.08919.00000875@mb-cl.aol.com...
> there are people standing by on 27.580 usb to deal with any emergency
traffic
> as needed. i doubt there will be much because of the small area affected
but
> there will be people around just in case.
>
> >
> >Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with
the
> >terrorist attack this morning?
> >
> >Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> >the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> >Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> >Center, which has been closed as well.
> >
> >
> >--
> >Leland C. Scott
> >KC8LDO
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
>
> WARNING:
> No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
> Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News
Groups.
> Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.

3826. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:24:07 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911122407.24308.00000826@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20070

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Ed, get out, while there's still time......

> I had no idea how prophetic that was......

Not to fear for me, Dick. So far, there have been no problems here. My sister, a nurse, just left for work, though. One of her coworkers has family who work in the WTC, so she called in. When my sister called in, she learned they were shorthanded, so we aren't going fishing today.

What is yet TBD is what has happened to flight 175, bound from Boston to LAX. It is reported "down" on the news, but so far, not where. If it crashed near LAX, I may not see Bev for a few days. In the overall picture of what is happening today, that doesn't even make the minor sympathy list.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

3827. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:25:42 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911122542.24308.00000827@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20071

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What it speaks poorly of is the attitude and knowledge of hams who
>cannot bring themselves to open their minds to understand it and then to
>learn it.

And I don't think that your repeating again and again that it is not worth
learning except to pass the test does not help.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3828. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:29:18 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911122918.24308.00000828@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20072

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I want those who may not yet have
>discovered that they "love" this mode to be exposed to it enough to give
>them a chance to do so, or to at least overcome their "hate" for this mode,
>as I did over 20 years ago.

Okay, but keep in mind that many feel it is certain that the FCC will
ultimately drop all code testing. Please make sure that the things you say
today would serve to help people understand why the mode is important to learn
if there were no testing.

If there were no code testing, what would you say to hams to "expose them to
the mode" enough to make them want to learn it? Those are the words you should
be using today. Otherwise, you are driving at least one of the nails in the
coffin.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3829. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 16:39:33 UTC
From: Frizz <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com>
Message-ID: <97rn7.268573$J37.70150737@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 20073
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I am here in Northern Virginia near DC and heard ARES or RACES for Maryland activated on one repeater. I also received two messages from Virginia ARES/RACES so I do believe that some kind of thing has been setup. The email also detailed a 40m HF freq which I don't have by me right now.

I live in Fairfax county and the county PD channels that I can still listen to went over to digital so I would imagine that any non-ham communications will be digital/encrypted.

Just my 2 cents.....

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message news:tpse3g77mle49b@corp.supernews.com...
> Tim,
>
> Thanks for the info. I just got word that the bridge is open but everybody
> is being checked VERY carefully. I typed the bridge name wrong in the last
> post, it should have been the Ambassador Bridge. Most of the auto companies
> have shut down here as well. The one thing that has been done is the entire
> Dearborn school system has been shut down. Dearborn is an eastern suburb of
> Detroit, and is the home to the world's largest Arab population, about
> 250,000, outside of the middle east. The people there are very much afraid
> of reprisal attacks against innocent people. I can't blame them one bit
> right now.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
> "Tim" <timnebo@aol.comLOL> wrote in message
> news:20010911114136.08919.00000875@mb-cl.aol.com...
> > there are people standing by on 27.580 usb to deal with any emergency
> traffic
> > as needed. i doubt there will be much because of the small area affected
> but
> > there will be people around just in case.
> >
> > >
> > > >Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with

> the
> > >terrorist attack this morning?
> > >
> > >Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge
over
> > >the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> > >Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> > >Center, which has been closed as well.
> > >
> > >
> > >--
> > >Leland C. Scott
> > >KC8LDO
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> >
> > WARNING:
> > No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
> > Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News
> Groups.
> > Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.
>
>

3830. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:17:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E4728.16803926@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20074

Dick Carroll wrote:
> What it speaks poorly of is the attitude and knowledge of hams who
> cannot bring themselves to open their minds to understand it and then to
> learn it.

If that is true seems to me it comes under the head of free will
and freedom of choice of modes which is exactly as it should be
within a hobby in a free country. After all, their minds do NOT
belong to you. Do with your mind what you choose to do with it
and allow everyone else that same right.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3831. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:24:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E48E7.F45D314C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20075

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Then I suggest you stop threatening people by saying you are not
> >going to allow them to say something. Threatening to shut someone
> >up is not nice.
>
> I don't recall making any such threat;

Here it is again, Larry.

> ... I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
> Extra (to) implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
> license class and my own have the same designation.

That's pretty clear, Larry. Not allowing him freedom to speak his
mind *requires* some physical action on your part to shut him up.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3832. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:34:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E4B0C.AD08F962@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20076

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I believe that CCW license holders should
> be able to carry their properly licensed and concealed weapons into
> ... hospitals ...

The reason for not allowing guns in hospitals is to prevent mercy
killings.

> If hams are no longer
> required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level, most
> hams will no longer do so.

Most hams are incapable of Morse code at a useful proficiency level
now, Larry. If the feds were serious about Morse code skill, there
would be retesting and CW transceivers required. The hams who like
CW are the ones who use it. That will not change after Morse code
testing is dropped. In fact, I believe that more hams will be
interested in Morse code when it is not shoved down their throats.
Try honey instead of vinegar and see what happens.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3833. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:38:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E4C0B.855E0735@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20078

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I want those who may not yet have
> discovered that they "love" this mode to be exposed to it enough to give
> them a chance to do so, or to at least overcome their "hate" for this mode,

Do you have the same attitudes toward sex, Larry? Why can't Morse code,
like sex, be a free will personal choice? Do you think people will enjoy
sex more after being forced the first time?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3834. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:40:33 UTC
From: Read Em <part95@home.comm>
Message-ID: <l0sn7.465$5A3.260432@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20077
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland all our cell phone communications in that area were knocked out I am told the antenna were on the trade center. that was my first indication of trouble. The NJ State Police uses what they call SPEN (state police emergency network) which ties them in with all local law enforcement who participate. I will see what is available.

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message news:tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
>

3835. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:41:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E4CE6.CF967BB9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20079

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> So what radio amateurs say about the Amateur Radio Service
> doesn't count?

Allowing radio amateurs to run the ARS would be like allowing
the inmates to run the asylum. The government has to protect
the inalienable rights of the newcomers from hams like you.
Your choice for them would be to learn Morse code or drink
Hemlock.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3836. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:49:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E4EA6.57BC6C29@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20080

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It *is* novel how the FCC can do no wrong as long as it agrees with
> Cec's viewpoint, but no right otherwise.....

Well Dick, right is right and left is wrong. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3837. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:52:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E4F71.E3923D68@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20081

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Pssss, dearie....set it to be in use all the time--and set it to
> > "autocorrect"! My blind Dad does that--works great. He never knew there
> > was an error to begin with, and neither did anyone else to end with.
>
> Won't work, Sweatie... that would introduce more errors into my posts
> that occurr due to fat fingers. You'd be very syurprised how many of its
> "correction" choices are totally inaccurate. It recoginizes only
> "standard" spelling. Many words on rrap are not, ie callsigns,
> abbreviations etc. Sorry.

Turn on your spellchecker and allow it to change everything
it wants to change. The result is sometimes hilarious.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3838. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 17:57:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E5079.35A60F1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20082

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Any cop
> should be able to safely disarm a person wielding such a weapon;

You got to be kidding, Larry. Any cop who refuses to shoot a perp
swinging a baseball bat at him is going to wind up with a bashed
in skull and the perp will then have his weapon.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3839. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 18:05:46 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9E527A.BAA566CE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20083

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Code testing is a dead duck.

Given that and your attitude that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merit
to survive without code testing, seems you are contributing heavily
to CW's demise. Are you really a closet anti-CW person in disguise?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3840. Re: Sept. 11, 2001 - Terrorism Against The USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 18:15:05 UTC
From: GG <nbcblue@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E4651.8075C8B8@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20084

Often there are other anti-american, anti-government groups who take advantage of something like this to perform copy-cat terrorist acts. One anti-government group is the two stations that call themselves Radio Free Texas. They operate on lower sideband on the bottom end of 40 meters, just a kHz or two inside the band. I would suggest that hams monitor the bottom end of 40 meters and record and report any suspicious transmissions to the FCC. They would really be pretty dumb to say anything on the air that might cause suspicion, but having listened to these two guys their not too bright. They are usually one from approx 7 to 10 pm cst. Usually on the hour. It is time to stop the terrorisum.

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> First impressions of an unimaginable tragedy:
>
> Today, Sept. 11, 2001, the United States suffered massive, coordinated
> terrorist attacks against the World Trade Center in New York City and the
> Pentagon building in Washington, D.C. Apparently the South Tower of the World
> Trade Center has completely collapsed. As of this time (10:14 AM EDT) there
> are no reports of casualties, but loss of life in New York must be massive.
> The WTC was struck by two large commercial jet airliners, obviously hi-jacked
> shortly upon take-off; one is reported to have debarted from Boston. All civil
> commercial airports across the country are closed at this time. On WCBS TV, a
> camera shot of lower
> Manhattan shows the skyline to be completely obliterated by smoke and
> dust from the WTC. Reports now are that the second tower of the WTC
> has now collapsed; casualties could be in the multiple tens of thousands.
>
> I am sitting here, glued to my television set, barely able to comprehend the
> extent of this tragedy. I have no words to express my feelings, other than
> shock, and my deepest concern and sympathy for the innocent victims of this
> heinous attack.
>
> One thing I know for sure -- we now live in an entirely different world than we
> did yesterday.
>
> The World Trade Center must be rebuilt in the memory of all who lost
> their lives today.
>
> God Bless America!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

3841. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 18:37:27 UTC
From: Harry <HGK@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3B9E59E7.C19AB78B@centurytel.net>
Source record: 20085
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

****Partial reprint of ****
SB SPCL @ ARL $ARLX009
ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks

ZCZC AX09
QST de W1AW
Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
>From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT September 11, 2001
To all radio amateurs

SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks

Kenneth Goetz,
N2SQW, reports New York State RACES is operational on 3.993.5 and
7.248 MHz handling emergency and governmental-type traffic. He asked
amateurs to avoid these frequencies.
While no emergency nets are in operation yet,
Gregory said all repeaters would be available as well as 7.243 MHz
and 3.947 MHz on HF.
Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
the Gulf War.

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

3842. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 20:05:22 UTC
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
Message-ID: <3B9E6F16.A5132F03@spam.me.not>
Source record: 20086
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

14.300

-SSB

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

3843. ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 20:08:30 UTC
From: jones connector Louie <lemetcalf8@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2bun7.777$s97.64762@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT September 11, 2001
To all radio amateurs

SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks

Along with most other federal agencies, the FCC has closed its offices and sent its employees home in the wake of apparent terrorist attacks this morning in New York City, Washington, DC, and elsewhere. The FCC has issued no emergency declaration nor other special instructions to the Amateur Radio community. The ARRL has advised amateurs to stay alert to instructions from local authorities. President George W. Bush has announced that the US military is on high alert in the US and abroad.

US air traffic was shut down after two airliners struck the twin towers of the 110-story World Trade Center in New York City this morning within a short time of each other. The building's towers partially collapsed in the wake of the collisions. An aircraft subsequently crashed into the Pentagon, and another aircraft crashed near Pittsburgh, reportedly after being hijacked. American Airlines and United Airlines both have acknowledged that they have lost planes this morning. More than 260 died in the crashes. Thousands were believed injured in New York City; there's no estimate on the number killed.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D, said there has been no request for any Amateur Radio Emergency Service response at this time, although hams have been requested to assist the American Red Cross. New York City's emergency management offices are located in the World Trade Center. He said he was alerting all amateurs, especially ARES and Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service personnel, to get prepared and to stand by. Carrubba said he's in the process of setting up a command channel on a linked repeater system that will cover the area from New York City into Long Island's Suffolk County. Kenneth Goetz, N2SQW, reports New York State RACES is operational on 3.993.5 and 7.248 MHz handling emergency and governmental-type traffic. He asked amateurs to avoid these frequencies.

In the Washington, DC, area, Virginia SEC Tom Gregory, N4NW, says Virginia ARES has been put on alert but has not yet been activated. ''I've asked everyone to monitor the emergency frequencies and to

keep a full tank of gas.'' He said the attack on Washington has resulted in a massive traffic jam as workers in DC attempt to leave the capital; cellular telephone communication was next-to-impossible. While no emergency nets are in operation yet, Gregory said all repeaters would be available as well as 7.243 MHz and 3.947 MHz on HF.

Virginia RACES reportedly has been activated at the state emergency operations center at Virginia State Police headquarters in Richmond as a precautionary measure.

The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth today suggested that the amateur community remain calm but ready. He invited amateurs monitoring any suspicious radio activity to contact him, and he will relay relevant information to the FCC duty team. He advised monitors to tape such radio traffic, if possible.

Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to the Gulf War.
NNNN
/EX

3844. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 20:18:54 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3B9E711F.3E8E7CF4@ARRL.net>
Source record: 20088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

jones connector Louie wrote:

> Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> From ARRL Headquarters
> Newington CT September 11, 2001
> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
>
> ...snip....
>
> Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> the Gulf War.
> NNNN
> /EX

A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?

--
Mike Gormley

3845. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 20:26:17 UTC
From: GG <nbcblue@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E6511.EDA0F829@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state of war declared.

Mike Gormley wrote:

> jones connector Louie wrote:
>
> > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > From ARRL Headquarters
> > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > To all radio amateurs
> >
> > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> >
> > ...snip....
> >
> > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > the Gulf War.
> > NNNN
> > /EX
>
> A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
>
> --
> Mike Gormley

3846. Get off your ass, and fuck our models! No_cost p0rn0 site!!17b50862

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 20:39:18 UTC
From: Paulie@pregnantwoman.com
Message-ID: <0ab0or$5ut$1@news.vcnet.com>
Source record: 20090

Ass fucking and more, free site! Gay men fucking facials and enemas! All free!
http://www.gaysexplanet.com
NO SPAM!

=17Ev
5423815403m3wlv61xzwhat did Rickie save in back of all the TCP/IPs? We can't collaborate modems unless Roberta will smartly dream afterwards
Why does Rickie examine so neatly, whenever Laura recycles the chaotic thought very sneakily? Dickie connects once, kills regularly, then places throughout the ROM about the doorway. Hardly any idiotic unique PGPs amazingly disrupt as the weird chatrooms bust. For Dolf toffee's bronze, without me it's new, whereas in front of you it's negotiating flat. A lot of interfaces will be bizarre moronic enigmas. The chosen mixmaster rarely creates Joey, it defeats Varla instead. A lot of discarded loud ethernet propagates warnings for Maify's solid basement. When Lloyd's closed text defiles, Tom bursts in back of rough, wet zones. Who will we inflate after Larry dreams the stuck cybercafe's hacker? Well, it filters a zipdisk too untouched without her cosmetic cleartext. Other idle worthwhile TCP/IPs will infect eventually within ISDNs. They are closing about the news server now, won't contradict ideas later. Until Toni compiles the cowboys wistfully, Jimmy won't outwit any overloaded scanners. I was interfacing frauds to bright Darin, who's facilitating near the connector's FBI. I was training to roll you some of my messy spools. Dilbert will load the lost webmaster and disconnect it around its watcher. If you will kick Amber's window against administrators, it will weekly crawl the protocol. Tell Bert it's insecure learning beneath a network. He might question freely if Patty's UDP isn't strange. Zachary will happily vexate outside Winifred when the extreme presidents preserve throughout the dense peapod. Do not annoy the credit cards virtually, persevere them furiously. Are you official, I mean, distributing at erect packages? Excelsior doesn't reboot major programmers, do you slump them?

3847. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 21:03:56 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3B9E7BA5.83CDAA1D@ARRL.net>
Source record: 20091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

GG wrote:

> Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it
> says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely
> connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state
> of war declared.
>
> Mike Gormley wrote:
>
> > jones connector Louie wrote:
> >
> > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > To all radio amateurs
> > >
> > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > >
> > > ...snip....
> > >
> > > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > > the Gulf War.
> > > NNNN
> > > /EX
> >
> > A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
> >
> > --
> > Mike Gormley

My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
Bullitin", unless it is reality.

Nothing like getting the pot boiling.

--
Mike Gormley

3848. Re: Sept. 11, 2001 - Terrorism Against The USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 21:21:55 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109111321.3168d3c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20092

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010911114016.00667.00000535@nso-mq.aol.com>...
> First impressions of an unimaginable tragedy:
>
> Today, Sept. 11, 2001, the United States suffered massive, coordinated
> terrorist attacks against the World Trade Center in New York City and the
> Pentagon building in Washington, D.C. Apparently the South Tower of the World
> Trade Center has completely collapsed. As of this time (10:14 AM EDT) there
> are no reports of casualties, but loss of life in New York must be massive.
> The WTC was struck by two large commercial jet airliners, obviously hi-jacked
> shortly upon take-off; one is reported to have debarted from Boston. All civil
> commercial airports across the country are closed at this time. On WCBS TV, a
> camera shot of lower
> Manhattan shows the skyline to be completely obliterated by smoke and
> dust from the WTC. Reports now are that the second tower of the WTC
> has now collapsed; casualties could be in the multiple tens of thousands.

With any luck, that number could be a fair bit lower. It sounds like
the attacks occurred a little earlier than many people arrive for
work, and of those who were there, a good number got out.

>
> I am sitting here, glued to my television set, barely able to comprehend the
> extent of this tragedy. I have no words to express my feelings, other than
> shock, and my deepest concern and sympathy for the innocent victims of this
> heinous attack.
>
> One thing I know for sure -- we now live in an entirely different world than we
> did yesterday.
>
> The World Trade Center must be rebuilt in the memory of all who lost
> their lives today.

I agree -- 1 floor taller.

All my prayers,
Jonathan, N0YM

3849. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 21:44:45 UTC
From: GG <nbcblue@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E7777.4D4A5497@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

It is a reality that a state of war could possibly be declared. What part of that do you not understand?

Mike Gormley wrote:

> GG wrote:
>
> > Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it
> > says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely
> > connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state
> > of war declared.
> >
> > Mike Gormley wrote:
> >
> > > jones connector Louie wrote:
> > >
> > > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > > To all radio amateurs
> > > >
> > > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > > >
> > > > ...snip....
> > > >
> > > > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > > > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > > > the Gulf War.
> > > > NNNN
> > > > /EX
> > >
> > > A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Mike Gormley
>
> My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
> Bullitin", unless it is reality.
>
> Nothing like getting the pot boiling.
>
> --
> Mike Gormley

3850. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 21:46:12 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <%Gvn7.32255$ga2.1392281@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20094
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
>

I have been put on alert to be moved to the effected areas, either NY or
Washington.

Keith "WebDoc" Hosman

3851. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-11 23:21:10 UTC
From: jones connector Louie <lemetcalf8@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G%wn7.1278$s97.93207@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message news:3B9E7BA5.83CDAA1D@ARRL.net...

> My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
> Bullitin", unless it is reality.
>
> Nothing like getting the pot boiling.
> --
> Mike Gormley

Call the ARRL if you feel concerned. They originated it...

> > > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > > To all radio amateurs
> > > >
> > > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > > >

capesh ?

3852. Market our X`X`X p0rn0 websites and earn great revenue! 875.10!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:02:03 UTC
From: m8dZ4WXe6A@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <35ulxni9p2hfvjatgz8gw7odjnpl@4ax.com>
Source record: 20112

http://www.sexpromote.com
Market our selection of XXX websites! Our USA special now pays %70 per signup!
Terrorists NEED NOT apply! lol!
http://www.sexpromote.com

Dxc28hI4Hz139.203.87.19037.67Dorisrec.radio.amateur.policy
Who does Sharon bust so admiringly, whenever Joie infects the
flat telephone very inadvertently? Other worthwhile
erect thoughts will manage sadly beneath sattelite phones. The
bizarre BASIC rarely saves William, it moans Guglielmo instead. Who
interfaces incredibly, when David proliferates the
ignorant data haven under the watcher? I was deleting
MPEGs to idle Edwin, who's recycling throughout the
ActiveX's frame relay. For Roger disc's filthy, with me it's
bright, whereas throughout you it's flowing old. One more
shiny sly function tolerates postmasters under Edna's
odd rumour. Get your weekly contradicting president
outside my FBI. It's very outer today, I'll crawl
steadily or Liz will facilitate the condors. A lot of
desktops firmly produce the minor /dev/null. My strange
email won't substantiate before I learn it. Joe, still
starting, disconnects almost virtually, as the workstation
vends around their output. It outwited, you defiled, yet
Anastasia never usably distributed to the email. Orin's
blackbird engulfs with our JPEG after we kick beneath it. Are you
important, I mean, preserving throughout tall newbies?
Nowadays, it propagates a FORTRAN too idiotic around her
cosmetic website. Try not to inflate compleatly while you're
restoring on a vulnerable secure shell. One more hard
clients burst Elisabeth, and they annually corrupt
Frederick too. They are generating against the complaint desk now, won't
confront texts later. If you'll collaborate Blanche's
market with Pascals, it'll seemingly negotiate the
ethernet. Hey Gavin will close the toffee, and if
Madeleine bimonthly smiles it too, the protocol will
post throughout the cold field. These days, go twist a
LAN! Why doesn't Ricky train cruelly? Who will you
kill the loud quiet Javas before Beryl does? Jon jumps once,
spools globally, then disrupts without the advisor
over the house. She'd rather interface hatefully than
eliminate with Eliza's insecure operator.

3853. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:05:56 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010911200556.15200.00001169@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 20096

From: Mike Gormley WA8VEC@ARRL.net

>My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
>Bullitin", unless it is reality.

>Nothing like getting the pot boiling.

Those who work at ARRL HQ often try to answer the inevitable questions before they are asked. The pot generally boils of its ow accord.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3854. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:14:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nm9dr$q8g$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mike Gormley wrote:
>
> jones connector Louie wrote:
>
> > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > From ARRL Headquarters
> > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > To all radio amateurs
> >
> > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> >
> > ...snip....
> >
> > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > the Gulf War.
> > NNNN
> > /EX
>
> A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?

You don't consider this an act of war??? What would it take?

3855. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:18:21 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <hRxn7.65372$Hm.721012@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 20098
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Frizz" <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com> wrote in message
news:97rn7.268573$J37.70150737@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> I am here in Northern Virginia near DC and heard ARES or RACES for Maryland
> activated on one repeater. I also received two messages from Virginia
> ARES/RACES so I do believe that some kind of thing has been setup. The
> email also detailed a 40m HF freq which I don't have by me right now.

The main HF frequency is on 20M at 14.300 MHz. There is some Red Cross traffic up the band a bit. Also there is supposed to be RACES on 80M at 3993.5 KHz, and on 40M at 7248 KHz per a ARRL news bulletin sent by E-mail. I have monitored those two frequencies, but I don't hear any activity.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3856. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:39:33 UTC
From: anthraxx@CollegeMail.com
Message-ID: <b8800371.0109111639.53c4ad66@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20099
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com>...
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.

i don't know good buddy . why don't you tell me. you are the hammy
around here pal.....it is nice that such a bad thing happening makes
pricks like you start acting right. well imagine that.

3857. Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:44:39 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 20100
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:%Gvn7.32255$ga2.1392281@cletus.bright.net...
> I have been put on alert to be moved to the effected areas, either NY or
> Washington.

Watch yourself! The last I heard over 200 fire, police etc. personnel are missing when the two towers collapsed. I would hate for you to end up that way.

I hope Bush has been reviewing those nuke release codes. I think the time has come to show some dirty buggers what "Terrorism" really is when there is no place to hide from our bomb. Enough is enough, press that big red button and lets see if it really works!

People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian, who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack we saw today would not be beyond them.

Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War. That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no end if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out of the Iraqi army.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

3858. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:53:16 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <mqyn7.32280$ga2.1393411@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20101
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

"Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:%Gvn7.32255$ga2.1392281@cletus.bright.net...
> I have been put on alert to be moved to the effected areas, either NY or
> Washington.

Watch yourself! The last I heard over 200 fire, police etc. personnel are
missing when the two towers collapsed. I would hate for you to end up that
way.

I hope Bush has been reviewing those nuke release codes. I think the time
has come to show some dirty buggers what "Terrorism" really is when there is
no place to hide from our bomb. Enough is enough, press that big red button
and lets see if it really works!

People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money is
on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian, who
could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get material
for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack we saw
today would not be beyond them.

Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War.
That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to
crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no end
if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US
president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out of
the Iraqi army.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

I know some of those people that are missing too :/ As I said in a post on
another thread, our Red Cross chapter is now manned 24 hours a day handeling
emergency message traffic for Family Welfare Inquirey, and Armed Forces
Emergency Services, we are also hosting emergency blood drives to augment
the blood supplies needed for this tragic situation. I encourage all of you
in the US, to support the local blood drives, the people who were injured,
many have serious injuries that will require many operations.

To those of you who are in the military, have been in the military, or have relatives in the military, my hats off to you. Again as I said in another thread, my cousin is a US Navy Seal who is part of the Counter Terrorist team. I know he is already involved in this one way or another, Troy, I salute you, kick ass cuz.

Keith "WebDoc" Hosman
K.A.T.N. Inc.

3859. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 00:57:40 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <uuyn7.32281$ga2.1393362@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20104
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:hRxn7.65372$Hm.721012@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

"Frizz" <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com> wrote in message
news:97rn7.268573$J37.70150737@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> I am here in Northern Virginia near DC and heard ARES or RACES for
Maryland
> activated on one repeater. I also received two messages from Virginia
> ARES/RACES so I do believe that some kind of thing has been setup. The
> email also detailed a 40m HF freq which I don't have by me right now.

The main HF frequency is on 20M at 14.300 MHz. There is some Red Cross
traffic up the band a bit. Also there is supposed to be RACES on 80M at
3993.5 KHz, and on 40M at 7248 KHz per a ARRL news bulletin sent by E-mail.
I have monitored those two frequencies, but I don't hear any activity.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

Has anyone heard anything about a net set up on 10 meters? We have a 10/12
meter rig at the chapter house, as well as 2m/440. I would like to be able
to monitor the traffic.

Keith "WebDOc" Hosman
K.A.T.N. Inc.

3860. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 01:02:51 UTC
From: And Another <part95@home.comm>
Message-ID: <%uyn7.1525$5A3.599863@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20102
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"anthrax" <anthraxx@CollegeMail.com> wrote in message >
> i don't know good buddy . why don't you tell me. you are the hammy
> around here pal.....it is nice that such a bad thing happening makes
> pricks like you start acting right. well imagine that.

You still pissed at Aaron old Curious One. When are you going to start
acting right.

3861. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 01:28:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nmdn0$io7$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20103

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Code testing is a dead duck.
>
> Given that and your attitude that CW/Morse doesn't have enough merit
> to survive without code testing, seems you are contributing heavily
> to CW's demise. Are you really a closet anti-CW person in disguise?

You guys are incredible. You can't seem to keep from lying, by your definitions. YOU are who says that CW doesn't have enough merit to survive without code testing. WE say that most hams won't bother with learning it if it isn't a requirement. And we try to show that it is a valid and useful mode worth keeping. All the while you trivialize it into a status that would attract no one to learning it, as just a "fun novelty".
How so very disingenious of you and Ed.

3862. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:11:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nmg96$e7$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20105

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >If hams are no longer
> >required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level, most
> >hams will no longer do so. It's as simple as that.
>
> Do you really believe that Morse code has such little merit that people will
> not learn it unless forced to do so?

No Ed, that's not what either Larry or I have said, not even close,
though you and Cecil keep parroting that invalid line.

You clearly do not think the mode to be
> very useful.

Exactly 180 degrees out of phase, Larry and I are doing our best to
point out that it IS a very useful mode, but ***ONLY IF HAMS LEARN TO
USE IT***!!! Otherwise, it is virtually useless to ask "questions about
it" on any exam.

Your milquetoast approach of coddling them into an "understanding" that
it is well, a "fun" thing to do, not really of any use, but novel, like
horseback riding is clearly accomplishing what you accuse us of doing.

> Or are you just willing to say that to "win" this debate?

Are you acting as the ARRL Minister of Propaganda, by any chance?

3863. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:14:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nmge1$e7$1@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20106

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >My prediction: The FCC will drop Elment 1 like a hot potato before the
> >ink is dry on the document eliminating S25.5. One less regulatory
> >nightmare for them. I'm sure Bill and the rest of the NCI members have
> >their petitions already written, finalized, and ready to be mailed; but
> >they may as well save the postage. Code testing is a dead duck. At
> >the present 5 WPM level, it is already virtually nonexistant. The FCC
> >will fire the coup d'gras into the corpse's head as soon as the smoke
> >clears from the ITU firing squad's volley.
>
> Then why don't you stop trying to convince people that the mode has little
> merit in a futile attempt to save the test and switch over to a mode where you
> extoll the virtues of the mode so that people will think it worth learning for
> its own good uses.
>

Why don't youi stop saying that he and I are trying to convince people
that it has little merit? You and they know that we have been trying all
along to point out its merits, -but ONLY so long as hams learn and know
how to use it-. All the while you and Cecil and others insist that it is
only a "fun" mode.

3864. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:24:13 UTC
From: rhawk@megabits.net
Message-ID: <3b9ec712.512829@64.154.60.173>
Source record: 20107
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"And Another" <part95@home.comm> wrote:

>
>"anthrax" <anthraxx@CollegeMail.com> wrote in message >
>> i don't know good buddy . why don't you tell me. you are the hammy
>> around here pal.....it is nice that such a bad thing happening makes
>> pricks like you start acting right. well imagine that.
>
>You still pissed at Aaron old Curious One. When are you going to start
>acting right.
>
>
As soon as he gets back on his Meds..

3865. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:35:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9EC9FC.E763EB48@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20109

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Larry and I are doing our best to
> point out that it IS a very useful mode, but ***ONLY IF HAMS LEARN TO
> USE IT***!!!

Yet you are trying to convince newcomers that they will never use
it unless they are forced to learn it indicating that you don't
really think it is a useful mode but instead is something that
should simply be forced upon all hams. If you are serious, Dick,
try honey instead of vinegar.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3866. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:38:58 UTC
From: Matt <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <aLzn7.8834$c5.80757@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 20108
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

BOG you really are an idiot. In the states the amateur community is often heavily involved in the provision of communications support in the event of civil emergencies - and I would have to say that this terrorist attack just might possibly come under that heading. Stop making stupid comments and piss off back to your horse rooting.

Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message news:5edspt4a1m5b9lud3ds792q5jhsd65huuh@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 11:37:26 -0400, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> >terrorist attack this morning?
>
> It's probaly digital or encripted.
> Amateurs should stay away.
>
> >--
> >Leland C. Scott
> >KC8LDO
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

3867. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:40:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B9ECB23.6636987B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20110

Dick Carroll wrote:
> YOU are who says that CW doesn't have enough merit to
> survive without code testing.

That is certainly a lie, Dick. Ed and I think that CW does have enough merit to survive without code testing. You don't think so and have said such many, many times. Have you changed your mind?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3868. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 02:54:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nmiog$ac1$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20111

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Larry and I are doing our best to
> > point out that it IS a very useful mode, but ***ONLY IF HAMS LEARN TO
> > USE IT***!!!
>
> Yet you are trying to convince newcomers that they will never use
> it unless they are forced to learn it

Idiotic! It is more than clear from the experience of the past years
that very few prospective hams kow anything whatever about CW, and only
learned it minimally, enough to get the license. In so doing some find
they like it enough to gain skill. When no test is necessary, none will
learn it in advance of licensing, and only a very small number will ever
devote the time and effort to learn it. Do you so easily forget tall the
moaning and whining about "I hust don't have the time..." which actually
means "I just don't have the interest...." So what makes you think they
will suddenly have a change of heart? Just because it is no longer a
requirement? Yeah, Right!!!

indicating that you don't
> really think it is a useful mode but instead is something that
> should simply be forced upon all hams. If you are serious, Dick,
> try honey instead of vinegar.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3869. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 03:34:34 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <ANAn7.32299$ga2.1395048@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20113
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

"Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:%Gvn7.32255$ga2.1392281@cletus.bright.net...
> I have been put on alert to be moved to the effected areas, either NY or
> Washington.

Watch yourself! The last I heard over 200 fire, police etc. personnel are missing when the two towers collapsed. I would hate for you to end up that way.

I hope Bush has been reviewing those nuke release codes. I think the time has come to show some dirty buggers what "Terrorism" really is when there is no place to hide from our bomb. Enough is enough, press that big red button and lets see if it really works!

People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian, who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack we saw today would not be beyond them.

Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War. That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no end if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out of the Iraqi army.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

http://dyps.org/wtc/wtc66.jpg I know that fireman

3870. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 03:50:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nmm2qmjl0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20114

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > YOU are who says that CW doesn't have enough merit to
> > survive without code testing.
>
> That is certainly a lie, Dick. Ed and I think that CW does have enough
> merit to survive without code testing. You don't think so and have
> said such many, many times. Have you changed your mind?

You don't know a lie when you tell it, by your own dictionary
definition.

3871. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004412.00667.00000602@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20115

In article <3B9A1DE6.4DA3E0DB@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Now, will you put a lobster dinner wager on that one, Cecil? :-)
>
>How would I collect if I won?

Cecil:

Ed could mail you a lobster.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3872. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004412.00667.00000603@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20116

In article <9nlbhr$a38$0@208.207.71.149>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
>Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>>
>> Pssss, dearie....set it to be in use all the time--and set it to
>> "autocorrect"! My blind Dad does that--works great. He never knew there
>> was an error to begin with, and neither did anyone else to end with.
>
>
> Won't work, Sweatie... that would introduce more errors into my posts
>that occurr due to fat fingers. You'd be very syurprised how many of its
>"correction" choices are totally inaccurate. It recoginizes only
>"standard" spelling. Many words on rrap are not, ie callsigns,
>abbreviations etc. Sorry.

Dick:

You made another typo in your reply. It's spelled "sweaty."

73 de Larry, K3LT

3873. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004412.00667.00000601@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20122

In article <3b9a171b_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>*BUT* I don't think that is justification for having the CW mode be a part
>of any amateur radio operating license, nor do I believe that is
>justification for a "mandate" that all in emergency communications should
>know (note the use of the word 'should') CW.

Kim:

Obviously you would not see code testing requirements as justified, since
you object to having to make the effort required to learn and attain
useful proficiency in the Morse/CW mode. If any other mode required a
similar learning experience, you would object to requirements to know it as
well. The code/no-code debate is about the laziness of the NCTA, and
you represent this as well as any other NCTA in this newsgroup.

>I think that the heavy emphasis on CW as this magical, mystical emergency
>communications mode causes a great deal of confusion.

Perhaps, but only to those on the NCTA, who object to it because of the
effort required to learn it. For everyone else, there is no "magic" or
"mystery" involved. The robust characteristics of the Morse/CW mode are
well known, long proven, and absolutely understood by those who have
gained useful proficiency and experience with this mode.

>I can't help but
>wonder why someone who loves the mode has no argument for its inclusion in
>testing other than that it will benefit emergency communication
>[paraphrased].

Actually, it (Morse/CW) benefits *all* types of radio communication, whether
there is an emergency or not. However, it is because amateur radio
operators have a charter to be prepared for and participate in providing
backup emergency communications services that we must emphasize the
benefits and advantages of the Morse/CW mode in this context. I, for one,
happen to believe that *all* modes have their place in emergency
communications, and my first choices in an emergency situation would
be to utilize high-speed digital modes or voice, simply because they
permit the passage of traffic at higher speeds under normal operating
conditions.

As a ham with 20 years of on-the-air experience, I have had many
situations occur when voice and digital modes failed to allow me to
continue a QSO, but was able to take up where I left off using CW.
However, I was only able to do this when the person I was in QSO with
was also a proficient CW operator. There's the rub when it comes to
CW -- you can't use it if you don't know it, or the person you're in

communication with doesn't know it. Under an emergency situation,
your voice or data link may fail, but you are very likely to be able to
continue to communicate using CW. However, if you or the guy on
the other end don't possess this skill, this option is off the table. It
is for this reason that I support the continuation of code testing
as a requirement for higher amateur privileges, and the restoration
of 13- and 20-WPM code testing for the General and Extra class
licenses.

>*IF* passion for emergency communication for the benefit of
>public safety is the motivation, then why not support and realize that no
>one mode should be advocated--*all* modes available should be advocated?

Those of us on the PCTA are not actively opposed to *any* mode of
operation. We simply understand the value of the Morse/CW mode, and
know that code testing is what makes radio amateurs learn this skill
at a useful proficiency level.

>The argument that CW "will get through" when no other mode will just doesn't
>fly any more. There are so many other modes available, some even with
>video.

The *fact* that CW "will get through" when no other mode will is a simple,
proven, documented FACT. Again, no one is advocating the abolition
of other modes, or denying the fact that advanced digital modes have their
rightful place as primary modes to utilize under conditions when they can
adequately support the communications desired. However, there are
numerous situations where Morse/CW is simply the best choice; such
as when maximum conversation of electrical power is necessary, or when
propagation conditions render other modes inoperable. We can't use
PACTOR unless we know how to do so, have the equipment to support
the mode, and the guy at the other end cooperates by doing the same.
Same with CW -- but CW requires that the individual ham possess a
physical skill in order to utilize it. Acquisition of this skill requires a
great deal of motivation and dedicated effort on the part of the prospective
CW user, and therefore, the code testing requirement, with higher
operating privileges as the incentive for increasing skill in this mode,
make sense. The fact that you don't see this is only a reflection of your
self-serving motive to not be subject to the requirement. This is the
underlying truth behind all of your standard NCTA objections, regardless
of how poorly you state them.

>That is my reticence to have CW be a part of the testing scheme: it proves
>no technical aptitude [if that is what we're testing for have the testee
>design, build and implement a packet station or some such]; CW proves
>nothing in the way of being a better communicator [if that's what we're
>testing for have the testee sit with an elmer and break through some
>pileups, send formal written traffic, and act as ANCS during a training
>net]; and CW knowledge has little to do with the overall hobby of ham radio
>[if that is what we are testing for have the testee know the history of ham
>radio].

All of these arguments have nothing to do with the code/no-code debate.
Technical knowledge and CW knowledge are tested separately, for a
reason; that reason being that they are, in fact, not related to each other and
deserve to be treated as separate issues. The PCTA does not deny this;
yet it is one of the most well worn, and weakest, arguments of the NCTA.

>On the other hand, if CW testing is supposed to be a filter to keep
>unmotivated individuals out of the hobby then keep it because it does work
>well for that.

The present Extra-class written test is having the same effect right now.
The "filters" being used are self-imposed upon those prospective hams
who don't wish to expend the effort required to gain useful knowledge
and communications skills.

>The attitudes of some people, concerning the restructuring
>step that decreased the focus from CW, is obvious testimony to that.

I would not argue that point; however, laziness is a very universal human
trait. It is those of us who are able to overcome it who deserve our
privileges; everyone else is consigned to waiting until their whining
finally pays off in a further dumbing-down of licensing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3874. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004413.00667.00000604@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20120

In article <20010911101808.28123.00001220@mb-bg.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>>That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
>>>Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
>>>all that matters.
>
>>I see. So what radio amateurs say about the Amateur Radio Service
>>doesn't count? Congratulations! You've just earned the title of
>>"Comrade Cecil." This is how I shall refer to you from now on!
>
>Can I presume by this that you are advocating anarchy? I disagree. To
>paraphrase a recent FCC letter, if you wish to participate in a
>less-regulated
>service, Part 95 affords you that opportunity.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I am absolutely not advocating anarchy, and in view of the events of the day, I resent your implication. The people who attacked our country today, killing perhaps thousands of innocent Americans, are the ones who advocate anarchy. I await your apology.

We live in a different world today than we did yesterday. I suggest that you act accordingly.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3875. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004414.00667.00000606@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20118

In article <3B9E4C0B.855E0735@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I want those who may not yet have
>> discovered that they "love" this mode to be exposed to it enough to give
>> them a chance to do so, or to at least overcome their "hate" for this mode,
>
>Do you have the same attitudes toward sex, Larry? Why can't Morse code,
>like sex, be a free will personal choice? Do you think people will enjoy
>sex more after being forced the first time?

Cecil:

Having sex is not a "free will personal choice." It requires the consent
of the other party involved in the act. Therefore, it is something which
is mutually agreed to, and mutually enjoyed once this agreement is in
effect. As usual, your choice of an example is based on your own
twisted agenda.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3876. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004414.00667.00000605@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20121

In article <3B9E4B0C.AD08F962@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I believe that CCW license holders should
>> be able to carry their properly licensed and concealed weapons into
>> ... hospitals ...
>
>The reason for not allowing guns in hospitals is to prevent mercy
>killings.

Cecil:

A properly licensed CCW holder will not jeopardize his license by committing a mercy killing. In any event, at least in my own local hospital, a gun could easily be brought in for that (or any other) purpose. Emergency rooms usually don't have metal detectors at the doors, and I can walk from the ER entrance to any other part of the hospital without being challenged by anyone.

>> If hams are no longer
>> required to learn the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level, most
>> hams will no longer do so.
>
>Most hams are incapable of Morse code at a useful proficiency level
>now, Larry. If the feds were serious about Morse code skill, there
>would be retesting and CW transceivers required. The hams who like
>CW are the ones who use it. That will not change after Morse code
>testing is dropped. In fact, I believe that more hams will be
>interested in Morse code when it is not shoved down their throats.
>Try honey instead of vinegar and see what happens.

A few Technician friends of mine in the local area have always claimed that; and now that the Morse Code is not being "shoved down their throats" at a speed higher than 5 WPM, they have still shown no interest in learning it in order to gain full HF privileges. Whether one uses honey or vinegar doesn't apply to the code/no-code debate, since it is the effort required to open the bottle which is at issue.

73 de Larry, K3LT

Sept 11, 2001 - My thoughts and prayers are with the victims and families of today's terrorist attacks against the United States. Please pray for them.

3877. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004415.00667.00000607@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20117

In article <20010911101321.28123.00001218@mb-bg.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Do you really believe that Morse code has such little merit that people will
>not learn it unless forced to do so? You clearly do not think the mode to be
>very useful. Or are you just willing to say that to "win" this debate?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I believe that Morse code has great merit, but that people will not learn it unless they have an *incentive* to do so. This is what I think. Please don't try to analyze it; you've been told straight from the source. When you tell me what *you* think, I take your word for it. Please offer me the same courtesy.

73 de Larry, K3LT

Sept. 11, 2001 -- The victims of the terrorist attacks against the USA, and their families, are in my thoughts and prayers today. Please pray for them and for our great nation.

3878. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:44:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912004416.00667.00000608@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20119

In article <3B9E48E7.F45D314C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>> ... I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
>> Extra (to) implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
>> license class and my own have the same designation.
>
>That's pretty clear, Larry. Not allowing him freedom to speak his
>mind *requires* some physical action on your part to shut him up.

Cecil:

This is a Usenet Newsgroup, not a boxing ring. Here we do battle with words and ideas, not our fists or any other form of physical violence. I never implied any threat of physical violence; you are seeing it only to deliberately impugn my character. This is not the act of a gentleman.

Does anyone else in this newsgroup believe, like Cecil, that I made an implied threat of physical violence against anyone?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3879. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 04:58:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nmq1u$9vf$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 20123

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B9E48E7.F45D314C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >
> >> ... I am not going to allow some Post-Restructuring
> >> Extra (to) implicitly claim to be my "equal" as a ham merely because his
> >> license class and my own have the same designation.
> >
> >That's pretty clear, Larry. Not allowing him freedom to speak his
> >mind *requires* some physical action on your part to shut him up.
>
> Cecil:
>
> This is a Usenet Newsgroup, not a boxing ring. Here we do battle with
> words and ideas, not our fists or any other form of physical violence. I
> never implied any threat of physical violence; you are seeing it only to
> deliberately impugn my character. This is not the act of a gentleman.
>
> Does anyone else in this newsgroup believe, like Cecil, that I made
> an implied threat of physical violence against anyone?
>

I'd believe about anything of Cecil except that he is a gentleman.

3880. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 05:20:08 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912012008.00667.00000609@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20125

In article <geomac-CA4D2B.12282410092001@news.kih.net>, George McCouch
<geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:

>
>Jim,
>
>I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
>Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
>true :)
>
>73
>George
>W3GEO

George:

Yep -- got the ruler over the knuckles many times, in addition to
the long, wooden pointer with the black tip over the head! Then
one nun, Sister John Marie, (forgot the order), used to like to use
the knuckle of her index finger to poke (hard) into your temples --
very painful. My experiences in Catholic school from 1957 to
1966 would today result in all the teachers being jailed and a
multi-million dollar lawsuit against the school district. They have
also affected my life in such a way as I can now directly
attribute any lack of success to the mistreatment I received at
the hands of so-called "religious" people. You see, I was singled
out for particularly heinous treatment, since I was the oldest
son of one of the few lawyers in town, and the only one in the
parish at the time. Because my father was rich, successful, and
a powerful influence in the community, I was singled out for
retribution by petty, jealous people who were singularly unqualified
for teaching, except for the fact that they were Catholic. I would
like to say that this is now water under the bridge, but the
emotional scars of the degradation I suffered at the hands of my
elementary-school teachers have never healed, and have had
a lifelong effect.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3881. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 05:20:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912012009.00667.00000610@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 20126

In article <9nmdn0$io7$0@208.206.142.88>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>You guys are incredible. You can't seem to keep from lying, by your
>definitions. YOU are who says that CW doesn't have enough merit to
>survive without code testing. WE say that most hams won't bother with
>learning it if it isn't a requirement. And we try to show that it is a
>valid and useful mode worth keeping. All the while you trivialize it
>into a status that would attract no one to learning it, as just a "fun
>novelty".
> How so very disingenious of you and Ed.

Dick:

You've got to keep in mind that Ed and the NCTA have to pander
to the wishes of the lowest common denominator. This is Political
Correctness in action. In Ed's case, it is necessary to avoid
offending potential hams who may choose to become ARRL members.
The ARRL has decided to walk the low road when it comes to
amateur licensing requirements. The truth is, if they had gone
the other way, they'd probably experience more growth in their
numbers than by having taken their current course. Meanwhile,
Ed has to keep on mocking us PCTA's with his supercilious,
arrogant replies to our well-reasoned arguments. In so doing, he
displays a true side of his character which we never suspected
before, and engenders no sympathy in return. The net effect is
to reinforce an already widely-held opinion of ARRL HQ staffers
as being generally disdainful of the rank-and-file membership, and
pursuing the courses of action which they think best serve to
keep themselves in the position of making a living at their hobby.
I'm about ready to send him two lobsters via FedEx, to pay off my
debt to him, and make him the first and only person I filter out of this
newsgroup. The only problem with that is that he is such a classic
example of ARRL HQ arrogance, he's actually quite amusing to read!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3882. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 05:26:03 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <9eCn7.6$yc3.3366@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 20124
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"anthrax" <anthraxx@CollegeMail.com> wrote in message
news:b8800371.0109111639.53c4ad66@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with
the
> > terrorist attack this morning?
> >
>
> i don't know good buddy . why don't you tell me. you are the hammy
> around here pal.....it is nice that such a bad thing happening makes
> pricks like you start acting right. well imagine that.

Hey, anthrax, crawl back under whatever beer-soaked rock you call home. And
if you actually have a ham license (which I doubt), you need to fold it
until it is all sharp corners, bend over, and shove it up your ****!!

Mark
K5MAR

From all of us In Oklahoma, who remember the help sent to us by NYC in 1995,
God Bless Our Friends in New York City. Our prayers are with you.

3883. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:02:31 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <XTCn7.2362$pH1.56841@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20127

When I state my name or other..... it is strictly "Name is Romeo Yankee Alpha November" I don't state the below listed quote. 5 words as opposed to 9. Any operator should have a working knowledge of phonetics regardless of license class or date of testing.

73's
Romeo Yankee Alpha November

> Phoneticization slows down 'phone even more. On CW I send "NAME JIM". On 'phone
> it's "My name is Jim - that's Juliett India Mike, Jim".
>
>

3884. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:02:32 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <YTCn7.2363$pH1.57349@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20128

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010910114058.08859.00000703@mb-cl.aol.com...
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >I understand that early US ham licenses had similar ability
> >requirements, if not actual hardware requirements.
>
> I recall in the 60s, hams had to certify that they had operated a minimum
> amount of time during the latter part of their expiring license term.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

How would they prove that??? Wife verifying on an afidavit??? Just
curious...

Ryan

3885. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:35:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjj4xtm.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20130

On 11 Sep 2001 11:12:43 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:

>>No big deal. The case will be passed to a litigator who usually
>> takes on the likes of NBC or ATT, and the licensee will be chewed
>> up and spit out.
>
>Although I'm no big Howard Stern fan, I do appreciate the approach they took
>toward the FCC. They paid the fines and just considered it like a
>shakedown...the cost of doing business.

Until it happens two or three times and then it's license jerk
time. If it's really bad, the FCC goes into Federal District Court
after the first time and gets an injunction - an order from the
court forbidding the defendant to violate the rules/statute.
Failure to comply with an injunction is one of the few things that
really disses a judge, who can then jail the defendant.

>What would it take to get an amateur case into a real court of
>law, one with a judge who doesn't work for the FCC AND a jury of one's peers?

The Congress specified the path for administrative compliance. The
first Federal court that a licensee would see would be the U S Court
of Appeals if s/he claims that a Commission finding/order handed
down by an ALJ or ubder delegated authority and reviewed and
confirmed by the Commission would cause harm to said licensee.

The Federal Rules of Civil and Criminal Procedure allow a jury trial
only in criminal cases or where the value of damages in a civil case
is over a certain minimal amount. This is is total compliance with
the Bill of Rights. One does not get a jury trial over an injunction,
a license dispute, or an interpretaion of FCC Rules.

>Oh yeah, one where the onus is on the FCC to prove your guilt rather than you
>having to prove your innocence, can this occur?

At all steps of a Commission adjudicative proceeding, the Bureau
bringing the case must state and prove a credible case and the
burden of proof and the burden of going forward is on the Bureau.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3886. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:35:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjj427l.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20131

On 11 Sep 2001 11:03:44 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:

>I think that's the point of it, arguing that Mr. Hollingsworth
>circumvented the FCC's own procedure. (...not "following the book.")

The book requires that the Commmission propose an action and offer
the licensee an opportunity to be heard on the proposal beforeit
becmes final (procedural due process).

Did he fail to do so?

>Phil, no offense, but do you think Riley Hollingsworth or the FCC ever
>do anything wrong?

Stupid? Quite often. Wrong? Not too often. It's so easy to
follow "the book".

>Therin lies the frightening aspect of an "administrative" court.

An admin hearing is only the first step, but it is in fact an
evidentiary proceeding where the gvb'mint has to make its case or
the respondant walks. Although ther ALJ apparently works for the
FCC, in real life most of them look very sharply to make sure that
the GCC has followed the book.

Stay well.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3887. Re: FCC Inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:35:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjj58go.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20132

On 11 Sep 2001 12:46:58 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>>Phil what's a good email address to reach you? If possible.
>
>Ditto, if you wouldn't mind? :-) Take care and 73.
>
<k2asp@arrl.net>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3888. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:35:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjj56qn.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20133

On 11 Sep 2001 12:44:52 GMT, Mark Pearsal wrote:

>Sorry Jim, you're quite correct. In the absence of the option of "going to
>trial," (in the conventional sense, jury, etc...) it just appears to take on a
>more "threatening" posture than that of a "bargain."

Sorry, Federal law does not grant a jury trial in an administrative
license dispute, only in a criminal case or in certain civil cases
imvolving money damages.

See my other posts for details.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3889. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:35:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjj5wtp.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20129

On 12 Sep 2001 05:20:08 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>My experiences in Catholic school from 1957 to
>1966 would today result in all the teachers being jailed and a
>multi-million dollar lawsuit against the school district.

That wasn't limited to only Catholic schools.

When I was in the 5th grade in the New York City Public Schools (late 1940s), I remember being jerked out of my seat from behind by the teacher who then screamed at me "Alvin, I told you.....". Then she looked at my face, said "You're not Alvin" and dropped me back into my seat. (Alvin and I were wearing the same color shirt that day.)

Lest it appear that that teacher was an ogre, she was one of the best and most respected (by me) as I got older and learned to respect her as an adult, notwithstanding her futile attempts to get me to keep quiet in class by pasting a large label over my mouth.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3890. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 06:51:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9EF58A.220C6CF7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20134

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >W1RFI wrote:
>
> >> I even scored in the top 90% in a recent QLF contest. :-)
>
> >"Left Foot", for real?
>
> For real, although I did get them to agree to a "non-dominant foot" rule. I
> didn't shame myself, but the winner just blew me away. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI/6

I won the QLF contest at the Wheeling hamfest a few years back though
the non-dominant foot rule was also agreed to in my case since I'm a
natural lefty. I observed that all other entrants were seated and asked
if this was mandatory. When told that it was not, I opted for the
"stork" method--standing on my left foot while keying the four foot long
key with my right. I still have the prize TV in my shack.

Dave K8MN

3891. Re: Sept. 11, 2001 - Terrorism Against The USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 08:02:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912040245.07036.00000220@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 20135

"I fear we have awakened a sleeping giant" - Admiral Yamamoto, immediately after the attack on Pearl Harbor

73 de Jim, N2EY

3892. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 12:51:27 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912085127.23725.00000016@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 20136

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Your milquetoast approach of coddling them into an "understanding" that
>it is well, a "fun" thing to do, not really of any use, but novel, like
>horseback riding is clearly accomplishing what you accuse us of doing.

When the FCC eliminates code testing altogether, what else are we going to have to encourage people into the mode? Unfortunately, by then, we will have to take back all of the things you have said about no one being willing to learn it unless forced. Do you believe that if there never was a code test, the words you are saying now would help people get into the mode?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3893. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 12:54:13 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912085413.23725.00000017@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 20137

From: "Ryan KC8PMX" kc8pmx@tm.net

>How would they prove that??? Wife verifying on an afidavit??? Just
>curious...

They certified so on their application.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3894. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 12:58:53 UTC
From: w1rfi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912085853.23725.00000019@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 20138

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The net effect is to reinforce an already widely-held opinion of ARRL HQ
staffers
>as being generally disdainful of the rank-and-file membership, and
>pursuing the courses of action which they think best serve to
>keep themselves in the position of making a living at their hobby.

Okay, I have finally had enough of this, Larry. It is clear that your purpose here is simply to push buttons, and you have pushed this button one time too many for my tastes. There is absolutely no reason I should tolerate it, and I will no longer do so. This post makes it perfectly clear that this forum is no longer a place for rational discussion.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3895. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 13:31:29 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912093129.03257.00000040@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20139

>Okay, I have finally had enough of this, Larry. It is clear that your
>purpose
>here is simply to push buttons, and you have pushed this button one time too
>many for my tastes. There is absolutely no reason I should tolerate it, and
>I
>will no longer do so. This post makes it perfectly clear that this forum is
>no
>longer a place for rational discussion.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

The truth can no longer be accepted by ED.

3896. 10 Meter Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 13:40:38 UTC
From: yrac@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912094038.06781.00000022@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20140

Some CB operators have moved into the frequencies which lie between the regular 40 channels and the 10 meter ham band. They refer to this as "freebanding". Often, you hear the effect of this as "splatter" into the lower portion of 10 Meters. Occasionally, you will hear one of these fellows attempt to "break in" on your QSO. Meanwhile, some trucking companies have adopted 28.085 as a "company channel". They use it as an intercom to get away from Channel 19. (See the FCC Enforcement Logs for details about how one trucker was by FCC).

In recent months, there has been a steady stream of letters pouring into the FCC offices from 10 Meter operators who want to be able to enjoy the band without interference from illegal stations.

If you are concerned about this, please become involved. Monitor and document illegal signals. If you locate the source, gather as much data as you can and forward it to Riley. Write to radio publications urging fellow amateurs to become supportive.

You either are a PLAYER or a VICTIM. In other words, you are helping direct matters, or you simply sit back and take whatever the players force upon you.

Don't roll over and play dead concerning 10 Meters! If you don't think the radio "terrorists" have their sights on taking over our band, stop by a CB radio shop and look at the frequency chart on the wall. The export radio manufacturers have designated "channels" every 10 KHz from 26MHZ all the way through the 10 Meter SSB band. And unlicensed people are buying these radios every day. If this doesn't get your attention, you should check your pulse!

Yrac

3897. Re: Sept. 11, 2001 - Terrorism Against The USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 13:52:48 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0109120552.78280ae0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20141

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20010912040245.07036.00000220@nso-mm.aol.com>...
> "I fear we have awakened a sleeping giant" - Admiral Yamamoto, immediately
> after the attack on Pearl Harbor
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

"...and filled him with a terrible resolve."

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

3898. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 14:52:15 UTC
From: GG <nbcblue@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B9F6844.37CDD0B7@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20142

All you had to do was check the box on the form that you met those requirements whether you did or not. It did not prove anything.

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:

>
> > I recall in the 60s, hams had to certify that they had operated a minimum
> > amount of time during the latter part of their expiring license term.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> >
>
> How would they prove that??? Wife verifying on an afidavit??? Just
> curious...
>
> Ryan

3899. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 15:15:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010912111515.00595.00000044@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 20143

In article <20010912085853.23725.00000019@mb-fq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>The net effect is to reinforce an already widely-held opinion of ARRL HQ
>staffers
>>as being generally disdainful of the rank-and-file membership, and
>>pursuing the courses of action which they think best serve to
>>keep themselves in the position of making a living at their hobby.
>
>Okay, I have finally had enough of this, Larry. It is clear that your
>purpose
>here is simply to push buttons, and you have pushed this button one time too
>many for my tastes.

Hey, lookit, everyone, telling the TRUTH is now called "pushing buttons!"

*I'm" simply pushing buttons, Ed? Right. Well, at least I should thank you for a classic example of the pot calling the kettle "black!" You've done more than your share of "button-pushing" here, which is what prompted my above quoted response. ARRL HQ personnel have treated me with arrogant disrespect from my very first contact with them. I arrive there eager, excited, enthusiastic, and curious -- and they treat me like something found under a rock, or, at best, as a terribly inconvenient waste of their valuable time! My first impressions were bad enough, but every subsequent experience I've had with ARRL HQ staffers since has been largely the same, with two notable exceptions: Rick Palm, and, when I first met him, a nice guy I used to know by the name of Ed Hare. I'm sure Rick has stayed the same, but then again, he doesn't participate in this newsgroup, where he must deal with people like Dick and myself, who speak the TRUTH about things like code testing.

My impression of the attitude of ARRL HQ staffers toward rank-and-file members is more widely-held than you suspect, I'm sure.

>There is absolutely no reason I should tolerate it, and
>I will no longer do so.

Whew! It's a damn good thing you didn't say that to Cecil, or he'd be claiming you just made a death threat!

>This post makes it perfectly clear that this forum is
>no longer a place for rational discussion.

Well, if that's the case, Ed, then don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out! If you choose to stay, then you'll have to decide if you're going to be part of the problem, or part of the solution. Keep in mind, I'm not going to stop telling the TRUTH about code testing or the ARRL, no matter how much it upsets you to see it. So, if you can't take the heat, get out of the kitchen -- but watch out for that swinging door!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3900. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 15:21:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109120721.69d82c04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20144

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010911100823.00667.00000529@nso-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <20010909000253.09114.00000188@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >
> >>I had always
> >>wished we had bought three different vehicles: A Plymouth Voyager,
> >>a Ford Windstar, and a Honda (don't recall the model name of their
> >>minivan).
> >
> >Honda makes the Odyssey. Great minivan. Top rated for safety and reliability.
> >Honda can't make them fast enough.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim:
>
> That's the one. The local Honda dealer, which touts "no haggle, no
> hassle" pricing, puts a multi-thousand dollar "bump" sticker on each
> Odyssey, listing the usual high-profit, virtually zero dealer-cost add-ons
> like the "protection package," pinstripes, floor mats, and an "adjusted
> market value" surcharge.

Not here in EPA. They charge the sticker price, because they can get
it. The options are often tossed in at dealer cost. The only bad thing
about the Odyssey is that buyers often have to wait for them.

> Yet, the things seemingly fly over the curb!

It's called the free market. Would you restrict the seller's right to
set the price at "what the market will bear"?

> Try to find one on a used-car lot, and it was probably repossessed
> because the buyer couldn't deal with a $600/month payment!

Compare the Odyssey to similarly-equipped Dodge Caravans (less safe)
and Ford Windstars (less reliable).

73 de Jim, N2EY

3901. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 15:58:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9no0mm$i84$0@208.207.71.139>
Source record: 20145

GG wrote:
>
> All you had to do was check the box on the form that you met those requirements
> whether you did or not. It did not prove anything.

Actually it proved that you were willing to swear to a lie if you had
not.
No doubt it happened. Not everyone takes their affidavits seriously.

3902. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 16:06:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9no172$lt0$0@208.207.71.139>
Source record: 20146

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Your milquetoast approach of coddling them into an "understanding" that
> >it is well, a "fun" thing to do, not really of any use, but novel, like
> >horseback riding is clearly accomplishing what you accuse us of doing.
>
> When the FCC eliminates code testing altogether, what else are we going to have
> to encourage people into the mode? Unfortunately, by then, we will have to
> take back all of the things you have said about no one being willing to learn
> it unless forced. Do you believe that if there never was a code test, the
> words you are saying now would help people get into the mode?

If I believed that your approach had any better chance of succeeding
than mine, I would support yours. But yours lacks information on CW
beyond how much fun some hams find it to be. If it has no communications
value and is only fun, then it is gone anyway, for how many of those new
hams have any idea of its communications attributes? Among those
postering here, virtually none do.

3903. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 16:29:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109120829.2c9b0a62@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20147

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.gjh9omd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>...
> On 09 Sep 2001 23:38:58 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by direct
> >experience.
>
> A former colleague of mine had a sign in Latin over his desk that,
> freely translated, said that he was a survivor of 16 years of the
> Catholic school system.

omigawd
>
> The fun one, though, was "Nul Viridarium Hic Ad Angulum".
>
> Remember your Latin?

I had two years of Latin but don't remember enough of it to translate
that. What's it say?

73 de Jim, N2EY

(in shock from yesterday's events)

3904. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 17:09:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109120909.6d770516@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20148

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010912012008.00667.00000609@nso-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <geomac-CA4D2B.12282410092001@news.kih.net>, George McCouch
> <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Jim,
> >
> >I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)

The IHM nuns were not teachers at all. They treated the kids like
prisoners. The idea that Catholic schools back then were better was
just propaganda. For example, I had one nun who never taught at all.
Just made the whole class read the textbook out loud.

> >Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
> >true :)

All of them are true.
> >
> >73
> >George
> >W3GEO
>
> George:
>
> Yep -- got the ruler over the knuckles many times, in addition to
> the long, wooden pointer with the black tip over the head! Then
> one nun, Sister John Marie, (forgot the order), used to like to use
> the knuckle of her index finger to poke (hard) into your temples --
> very painful.

That's nothing. SOM nuns - buncha weenies.

Typical IHM nun tactics:

- Verbal abuse that would make a DI look nice

- Grabbing the hair on the top of a kid's head and dribbling his head
against a slate blackboard

- Beating a kid with a 15 inch wooden ruler until the ruler broke.
Then making the kid go to a particular store, buy a replacement ruler
of the exact same type (with his own money) and bring it to school the
next day. Then the nun finished beating the kid with the replacement
ruler.

- Hauling a kid out of the desk by the ear or hair.

- Smacking a kid's open hand with a metal-edged ruler.

- Holding one side of a kid's face with the left hand so that the slapping administered with the right hand is more effective, then switching hands.

- Forcing a kid to roll up his pantlegs and kneel on gravel.

- Sneaking up behind a kid and whacking him on the back of the head with a heavy hardcover book, often knocking the kid's head into the wooden desktop. Hard.

There was one kid who developed a form of petit-mal epilepsy that caused him to stare off into space for about 15 seconds every so often. The nun would beat him over and about the head whenever she caught him having a seizure. The nun and the school would not accept the instructions of the parents and the kid's doctors that the seizures were involuntary and that the kid should not be punished for them. Nor would they accept the idea (from a neurologist) that beating anyone over and about the head is not a good idea.

The parents were so indoctrinated by "Holy Mother The Church" that it was pointless for the kids to report or protest - the kids would usually get another beating from the parents if they spoke up. In some cases the parents thought the kids must be lying, and in other cases they believed the nuns' claims that children need regular violent punishment to avoid turning into criminals.

Only boys were punished this way, though. (The term "kid" in this post refers to boys only). Girls were oppressed in other ways, mostly psychological.

And this was elementary school - grades 1-8. High school was actually much less physically abusive. Instead, the methods focused on psychological and emotional methods.

The results of all this were not what the nuns expected. Most of the kids stopped being Catholics ASAP. By the 3rd or 4th grade, no kid would rat out another kid - it was "us against them". Many kids developed distrust of all authority figures. Kids figured out ingenious methods of protest - one class of kids learned to whistle the "Colonel Bogey March" in unison, and would do so whenever marching in formation without the nun leading them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3905. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 17:24:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9no5o2bnm0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 20149

N2EY wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010912012008.00667.00000609@nso-mq.aol.com>...
> > In article <geomac-CA4D2B.12282410092001@news.kih.net>, George McCouch
> > <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:
> >
> > >
> > >Jim,
> > >
> > >I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
>
> The IHM nuns were not teachers at all. They treated the kids like
> prisoners. The idea that Catholic schools back then were better was
> just propaganda. For example, I had one nun who never taught at all.
> Just made the whole class read the textbook out loud.
>
> > >Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
> > >true :)
>
> All of them are true.
> > >
> > >73
> > >George
> > >W3GEO
> >
> > George:
> >
> > Yep -- got the ruler over the knuckles many times, in addition to
> > the long, wooden pointer with the black tip over the head! Then
> > one nun, Sister John Marie, (forgot the order), used to like to use
> > the knuckle of her index finger to poke (hard) into your temples --
> > very painful.
>
> That's nothing. SOM nuns - buncha weenies.
>
> Typical IHM nun tactics:
>
> - Verbal abuse that would make a DI look nice
>
> - Grabbing the hair on the top of a kid's head and dribbling his head
> against a slate blackboard
>
> - Beating a kid with a 15 inch wooden ruler until the ruler broke.

> Then making the kid go to a particular store, buy a replacement ruler
> of the exact same type (with his own money) and bring it to school the
> next day. Then the nun finished beating the kid with the replacement
> ruler.
>
> - Hauling a kid out of the desk by the ear or hair.
>
> - Smacking a kid's open hand with a metal-edged ruler.
>
> - Holding one side of a kid's face with the left hand so that the
> slapping administered with the right hand is more effective, then
> switching hands.
>
> - Forcing a kid to roll up his pantlegs and kneel on gravel.
>
> - Sneaking up behind a kid and whacking him on the back of the head
> with a heavy hardcover book, often knocking the kid's head into the
> wooden desktop. Hard.
>
> There was one kid who developed a form of petit-mal epilepsy that
> caused him to stare off into space for about 15 seconds every so
> often. The nun would beat him over and about the head whenever she
> caught him having a seizure. The nun and the school would not accept
> the instructions of the parents and the kid's doctors that the
> seizures were involuntary and that the kid should not be punished for
> them. Nor would they accept the idea (from a neurologist) that beating
> anyone over and about the head is not a good idea.
>
> The parents were so indoctrinated by "Holy Mother The Church" that it
> was pointless for the kids to report or protest - the kids would
> usually get another beating from the parents if they spoke up. In some
> cases the parents thought the kids must be lying, and in other cases
> they believed the nuns' claims that children need regular violent
> punishment to avoid turning into criminals.
>
> Only boys were punished this way, though. (The term "kid" in this post
> refers to boys only). Girls were oppressed in other ways, mostly
> psychological.
>
> And this was elementary school - grades 1-8. High school was actually
> much less physically abusive. Instead, the methods focused on
> psychological and emotional methods.
>
> The results of all this were not what the nuns expected. Most of the
> kids stopped being Catholics ASAP. By the 3rd or 4th grade, no kid
> would rat out another kid - it was "us against them". Many kids
> developed distrust of all authority figures. Kids figured out
> ingenious methods of protest - one class of kids learned to whistle
> the "Colonel Bogey March" in unison, and would do so whenever marching
> in formation without the nun leading them.

Gosh Jim, I had no idea. Sounds much more like Devil's Island than a religious school.

Dick W0EX

3906. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-12 17:25:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjjzuj0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20150

On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 14:02:02 -0500, CAM wrote:

>N0YM wrote:
>> I had heard that getting a new home was pretty
>> much impossible without a CC&R.
>
>There's one on the street where I live.

Yeah, but think about WHERE you live. <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3907. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 02:20:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA017D8.8B1039CE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Turner wrote:
> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> but passed.

Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3908. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 02:20:47 UTC
From: Mark W <mark@lancasterbiznet.com>
Message-ID: <3KUn7.7494$uf3.2813058@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 20201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Is this guy for real???
Thank God he's not a ham.
K3MRK

"Dave Johnson" <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
>
> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

3909. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 02:31:29 UTC
From: Hassen Ben Sober <eimer@schmoe.net>
Message-ID: <5UUn7.4150$s97.362226@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

as horrific as this disaster is-

why would Ham Radio be needed in an area so small everyone can shout to each other?

You're not talking about a State-wide or even a city- wide disaster here-

Just a few blocks-

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
>
> ____
>
> Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
>
> A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
> recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.
>
> Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
> blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
> apparently the high point.
>
> If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
>
> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> but passed.
>
> Bill, W7TI
>

3910. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 02:43:33 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <0770qtk0lkul0h3pbdcq4fm2f5b750uflf@4ax.com>
Source record: 20204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 02:18:42 GMT, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>In article <pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com>,
>w7ti@dslextreme.com says...
>> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
>>
>> --
>>
>> Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
>>
>> A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
>> recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.
>>
>> Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
>> blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
>> apparently the high point.
>>
>> If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
>> touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
>> training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
>> Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
>> drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
>>
>> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>> but passed.
>
>
>Really Bill? Just because the WTC didn't need hams you discount their
>worth in a disaster.
>
>If terrorist had knocked out the Eastern Power grid or the telcomm
>centers similar to what a hurricane or earthquake does I suspect you
>would be talking differently.

Actually, he wouldn't be talking at all -- his computer would be dead,
and he'd have to find some other means to troll for attention.

Both of these guys are trolls, pure and simple.

Regards,
Jonathan

N0YM
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3911. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 03:10:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9np82o$27t$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20205

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > If I believed that your approach had any better chance of succeeding
> > than mine, I would support yours.
>
> Since it appears that the FCC will adopt Ed's approach,

You're kidding, right? FCC couldn't car less, much less support anyone's "position".

3912. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 03:20:13 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9np8ca02uer@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

I totally agree with you glenn. 2 years ago we were even needed in a major forest fire for evacuees and locations. they could not use their cell phones or normal radios. due to the fact that everyone was evicted to 300 km or more away. a normal 2 meter repeater could reack farther then that onour hill tops. gee.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:MPG.1609b75d533e4ea498973f@news...
> In article <pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com>,
> w7ti@dslextreme.com says...
> > On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
> >
> > A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
> > recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.
> >
> > Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
> > blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
> > apparently the high point.
> >
> > If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> > touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> > training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> > Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> > drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
> >
> > As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> > but passed.
>
>
> Really Bill? Just because the WTC didn't need hams you discount their
> worth in a disaster.
>
> If terrorist had knocked out the Eastern Power grid or the telcomm
> centers similar to what a hurricane or earthquake does I suspect you
> would be talking differently.
>

> Or do you figure everyone will be using Motorola Walkabouts in the
> next disaster that kills the power and comms?
>
> Glenn
>
>
> You and Dave twins? :-)
>
>

3913. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 03:25:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9np8vk$5be$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

'Fraid he's really that stoopid.

Stuart wrote:
>
> Are you really that stupid Dave, or did you just need a moment of ignorance.
>
> Either way, I suggest you read about what Cell communication REALLY is.
>
> Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
> news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
> >
> > How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> > phone calls from airliners.
> >
> > How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> > debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
> >
> > In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> > which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> > tragedy.
> >
> > So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> > evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

3914. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 03:27:39 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9np9890300t@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

oh. why not get our 4x4's and try talking on 11 meters. hu huh. that would really help in a city like NY. Lots thinks hams are useless. but after a few disasters. NOT like the washington one. but the smallones here. We as hams were used. We are co ordinated and usually trained and done for free. emergency communications. we had people use their cell phones. and vhf radios. but. all that did was lost people that were sopposed to be on a list and location of the person. made families worried not knowing where their loved one was. jsut cause someone thought we were useless. and this was one of the people in PEP. my god. Most hams don't need credit or to even be on the news to help. Just knowing that you passed on a communication transmission that made another happy is all that really counts. I say most. but that is most of the hams I know. I don't care being plastered all over the news. I am more happy just knowing that I did a good deed to someone that may of thought they lost someone.

Go back into the sewers Dave. where you and other trolls live.

73 to all that know that our hobby still does matter some days. All depends on the disaster.

Dev

"Mark W" <mark@lancasterbiznet.com> wrote in message
news:3KUn7.7494$uf3.2813058@typhoon1.gnilink.net...
> Is this guy for real???
> Thank God he's not a ham.
> K3MRK
>
> "Dave Johnson" <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
> news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
> >
> > How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> > phone calls from airliners.
> >
> > How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> > debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
> >
> > In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> > which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> > tragedy.
> >
> > So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> > evidence that your hobby was ever needed.
>

>

3915. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 03:35:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9np9i4$5be$1@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
>
> --
>
> Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
>
> A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
> recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.
>
> Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
> blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
> apparently the high point.
>
> If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
>
> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> but passed.
>

Nothing is that much different from how it has always been, Bill. Not
that many hams have ever found themselves in circumstances where they
need to handle emergency traffic, but readiness for it should be
expected of all of us.

Dick

3916. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 03:50:21 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9npbcg$s0s$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 20226

"internet-terminal zz4" <zz4@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20154-3B9FC032-20@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
>I am getting these threatening emails saying because I have had an email
>address of ww7a@yahoo.com for years a so-called amateur radio licensee
>ww7a OWNS my email address..i.e.owns ww7a on the internet.
>
> Does he owm ww7a on the internet? Where do I take these threats?
>
>
>
<SNIP>
>
>Regards
>Dr. Andrew Forsberg WW7A
>

A couple of questions for zz4@webtv.net AKA ww7a@yahoo.com.

#1, Why do you want to use someone else's identity for your email address?

#2, Just how did WW7A find out about you and your email address?

It sounds like there is more going on here than your telling us.

--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

3917. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:00:14 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913000014.13555.00000093@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20214

In article <pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
<w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:

[SNIPPAGE]

>If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
>touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
>training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
>Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
>drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
>
>As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>but passed.
>
>Bill, W7TI

I have to agree with Bill here. The way things are today, a ham radio
operator showing up at a disaster site with HT in hand will probably
be handed a shovel and told to fill sandbags or get the hell out of the
way. Someone with a complete, power-independent, portable HF
station with all mode (including Digital and CW) capability will probably
be given some meaningful communicating to do, usually passing Health
and Welfare traffic or providing support communications for a Red Cross
or other shelter. However, for the most part, emergency communications
are carried out over professionally built, professionally maintained, and
taxpayer funded 800 MHz trunked radio systems using $4,000 Motorola
"bricks" that make our HT's look like toy walkie-talkies!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3918. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:00:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913000015.13555.00000095@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20211

In article <20154-3B9FC032-20@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
zz4@webtv.net (internet-terminal zz4) writes:

>
>I am getting these threatening emails saying because I have had an email
>address of ww7a@yahoo.com for years a so-called amateur radio licensee
>ww7a OWNS my email address..i.e.owns ww7a on the internet.
>
> Does he owm ww7a on the internet? Where do I take these threats?

If you, by some coincidence, happened to choose a group of letters
and numbers which could be configured into an amateur radio station
call sign, then use it as an e-mail address, there is nothing that
particular radio amateur can do about it. What I would do, if I were
you, is try to find out how much it is worth to him!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3919. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:00:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913000015.13555.00000094@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20213

In article <8602753.93855@bellsowth.net>, Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net>
writes:

>
>How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
>phone calls from airliners.
>
>How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
>debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
>In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
>which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
>tragedy.
>
>So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
>evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

Ya know, Dave's got a point, here...two days into the Attack on America,
and not a single story of an eager young ham saving the day with HT
in hand! I am, however, impressed that so much information was able
to be passed by cell phone inside aircraft in flight. I gotta get me one
of them things someday!

Well, I forgot, I do have one. It's an old "bag phone" that I keep in the
trunk of my car just to use on 911 or #77 for highway emergencies. It's
not "activated" for any other normal use, however.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3920. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:00:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913000016.13555.00000097@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20210

In article <3B9FBA29.A1093DBA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Don't know about yankees, Larry, but when a Texan says he will not
>allow something, he means it. So I ask you again, exactly how are
>you going to stop him from claiming to be your equal, i.e. how are
>you going to shut him up? Violence or threat of violence, even if
>implied, is the only method I can think of.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Go pound salt, Cecil.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3921. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:00:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913000016.13555.00000096@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20212

In article <9no5o2bnm0@208.207.71.149>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

[SNIPPAGE]

>> The results of all this were not what the nuns expected. Most of the
>> kids stopped being Catholics ASAP. By the 3rd or 4th grade, no kid
>> would rat out another kid - it was "us against them". Many kids
>> developed distrust of all authority figures. Kids figured out
>> ingenious methods of protest - one class of kids learned to whistle
>> the "Colonel Bogey March" in unison, and would do so whenever marching
>> in formation without the nun leading them.
>
>
> Gosh Jim, I had no idea. Sounds much more like Devil's Island than a
>religious school.
>
>Dick W0EX

Dick:

It does sound a bit over the top, but I've witnessed a lot of the above,
and have experienced much of it first hand. The kneeling on gravel
bit seems to have escaped the order of nuns we had; however there
was a lot of hitting, to be sure!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3922. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:03:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913000323.10650.00000258@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20216

In article <Xns9118665E1EDB3myemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>, queequeg@quahog.com
(Your name) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
><20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com>:
>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer Marlowe)
>
>What a relief. Thought I was the only one...
>
There are lots of us.

Do you remember the 2 parter where an unscrupulous photographer got photos of
Jennifer Marlowe getting changed?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3923. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:03:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913000323.10650.00000259@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20219

In article <GL5n7.14418$Uf1.1270235@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com...
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer Marlowe)
>
>Amen Bro. Which brings up how men look at women. They do not understand
>that Playboy is no threat to them, that there are classes of interest:

>some
>you show up with to impress your friends and give them cab fare home,

I know what you mean, but I have no direct experience with this

>some
>(Joan Lunden and the morning show ladies) that are perfect and you know they
>are perfect and about as attractive as yesterday's toast,

and THEY know they are perfect. oh yes.

> and some that
>would be great to know and a lot of fun (Jo Jo),

...you mean....Hometime's JoAnne Libeler?!? yes, oh yes!!

> some that affect your
>gonads about like the exhaust note of a Healy 100-4,

1968 Plymouth Sport Satellite, 383 V-8, four speed....

>and some you can see
>living with.

yup.

>Bailey was one of those that showed signs of being being one
>of the latter three without you ever being sure or even which one.

On this last I must disagree.

Bailey was ALL of the latter three at the same time, all the time. But she
didn't seem to know it.

Hold me closer, tiny dancer.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK, led thru puberty by Diana Rigg as Emma Peel

omigawd - the Avengers.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3924. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:03:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913000324.10650.00000261@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20217

In article <9nh5bc$i49$3@208.206.142.97>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Don't the broadcast services also have the requirement of "use it or lose
>it"
>> attached to their licenses?
>> >
>> > Many foreign governments have that rule for amateurs as well under
>> > the theory that if you don't have any equipment you don't need a
>> > license.
>>
>> Yup. In fact, some foreign governments required that a prospective ham
>first
>> build
>> (not buy) equipment, and demonstrate skill in its use and an understanding
>of
>> its design as a prerequisite for a license.
>>
>
> I understand that early US ham licenses had similar ability
>requirements, if not actual hardware requirements.
>
Before WW2, the written exams in the US included essay questions. These
questions could be on any subject covered by the exams, and could require
drawing diagrams and computing actual values, with all work shown.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3925. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:03:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913000324.10650.00000260@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20218

In article <3B9E4C0B.855E0735@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I want those who may not yet have
>> discovered that they "love" this mode to be exposed to it enough to give
>> them a chance to do so, or to at least overcome their "hate" for this mode,
>
>Do you have the same attitudes toward sex, Larry?

I have the same attitudes towards Morse and sex:

- I enjoy both, particularly when the other people involved are skilled
- I think others should enjoy both
- I hope to stay active in both for many years to come
- I enjoy learning new things and improving my skills involving them

Many people, when they first encounter descriptions of either activity, wonder why anyone would want to do such things.

It takes most people a bit of time and effort to learn Morse, and to learn to enjoy it, though. The same is not true for sex.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Why can't Morse code,
>like sex, be a free will personal choice? Do you think people will enjoy
>sex more after being forced the first time?

3926. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:03:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913000325.10650.00000262@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20215

In article <YTCn7.2363$pH1.57349@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>How would they prove that??? Wife verifying on an afidavit??? Just
>curious...

The ham renewing the license signed the form, certifying that the information
was true and correct.

I don't know when the activity requirement ended, but my 1971 License Manual
shows that it was still needed in that year.

Oddly enough, activity was only needed to renew an operator license, not a
station license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3927. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:06:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913000603.15286.00000245@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20220

In article <3BA017D8.8B1039CE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Bill Turner wrote:
>> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>> but passed.
>
>Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
>electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
>satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.

On the subject of emergency communications, Cecil...

Two days of continuous TV news broadcasts of the evil terrorist acts have shown that TV remotes can indeed operate during an emergency. Note: The WTC had eight major TV broadcast towers that were grounded on the collapse of the twin towers; CBS kept their old tower and transmitter on the Empire State building.

At least two survivors in the WTC rubble were calling for help on cellphones...from within the rubble. Cell phones were evident on everyone's TV screens and in use all over lower Manhattan.

At least seven (perhaps eight) victims IN the aircraft that crashed used cellphones to call out (NBC late Wednesday afternoon), their family members recalling their last words. Cellphones "did not go out" before or after the crashes.

I saw at least two different radio service HTs in use on Fox Cable news broadcasts of the scene. NY National Guard were shown along with at least one Humvee with a high-HF whip, although no sign of its radio being used. Military HTs were evident around the Pentagon along with cellphones used by both military and civilian personnel.

Given at least 40 hours of continuous news telecasting (to the time of this writing) there has been no daylight or early night coverage of amateur radio health and welfare operations by CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, Fox, or MSNBC TV networks. It would be a boon to all the amateurs "emergency radio" proponents if some network could show that. It would be a good "human interest"

cutaway on day Two or day Three.

Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10 million subscribers. Where was all the "emergency communications" by radio amateurs then? TV was on the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.

REAL emergency preparedness in amateur communications involves having emergency power available to operate...and integration with the authorities who ARE equipped, DO train for many eventualities, and CAN do their job. That example lesson seems lost on those who only want to throw out their chests in bragging but aren't prepared to show the world how good they are (or at least claim to be).

3928. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:22:54 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <04d0qt84da03nm4ejhsssdb9ur037r437l@4ax.com>
Source record: 20221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 01:35:36 GMT, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:

>I know of a village that
>is taking applications for an idiot, you should apply you have all the
>qualifications..

As always, if you can't refute the message, attack the messenger.

Yawn.....

Bill, W7TI

3929. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:24:32 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <q5d0qt8d3rini7pvtdv3hg7t75p79vkoou@4ax.com>
Source record: 20222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 02:04:41 GMT, "njscan" <njscan@optonline.net> wrote:

>You obviously aren't listening. Virtually all the Red Cross communications,
>health and welfare traffic is being handled by the hams. In adddition, many
>of the volunteers are hams, trained in radio communications. And all for
>free!

_____

Really? I've been listening to the media since it began, both TV and
radio, and I haven't heard "ham radio" mentioned once.

Where does your information come from?

Bill, W7TI

3930. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:30:32 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <99d0qt0uq83j8hihq40o2c82g5um3rgqrt@4ax.com>
Source record: 20223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:15:47 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>This was not the kind of disaster where amateur radio shines.
>Hurricane Andrew was a much better example - when land line
>telephones and cellphones are out and electricity is gone.
>--
>cheers, Cecil

Agreed, this disaster was unlike Andrew. But the need during Andrew was for HF communication, not necessarily for amateur HF communication. Local authorities should have dedicated HF equipment available for just such emergencies. It's not that expensive and a minimum of training plus regular on the air tests would do the job.

This stuff should be left to the professionals. If a ham wants to become a professional, fine. Most of the ones on this newsgroup just want to be the radioman on the Titanic.

Bill, W7TI

3931. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:36:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9npd3r$jv0$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20224

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I am, however, impressed that so much information was able
> to be passed by cell phone inside aircraft in flight.

Don't be in a hurry on that account, it looks like some, maybe most of those calls were made on the "airphone" located at each seat on most airliners.

3932. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:36:41 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <isd0qtcg9adbr2ksk8ju7if75jnj33en35@4ax.com>
Source record: 20225

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 14:47:27 -0700, Jim Weir wrote:
->
->>-> counsel of a competent attorney admitted in that jurisdiction and
->>
->>If I was an attorney, I sure as hell wouldn't admit it.
->
-> Why not? I worked hard to get my law degree and to pass the Bar
-> exam. It didn't come in a box of Cracker Jack.

And you may be one of the 1% that give the other 99% a bad name.{;-)

Jim

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3933. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:46:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA03A3A.3E9C3EDD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20227

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Since it appears that the FCC will adopt Ed's approach,
>
> You're kidding, right? FCC couldn't car less, much less support anyone's
> "position".

There's no logical implication there, Dick. It appears that what Ed
is predicting is what the FCC is going to do. There's no cause and
effect. Ed just seems to be good at predicting.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3934. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 04:56:09 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109130455180.19816-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20228

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001, Jim Weir wrote:
>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam>> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>-> counsel of a competent attorney admitted in that jurisdiction and
>
>
>If I was an attorney, I sure as hell wouldn't admit it.

... And if you were an English teacher, you shouldn't admit that either, with defective grammar like that (improper use of the past tense where the subjunctive is called for).

3935. Re: CC&R's -- How easy to avoid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 05:02:41 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109130458181.19816-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20229

There's one thing that hasn't been mentioned yet in this thread: Sometimes CC&R's have "self-destruct" provisions.

The CC&R's for my neighborhood had two such provisions:
- They must be renewed periodically (the period is rather long - on the order of 25+ years), and
- There are certain property transfers (mortgage repossessions and inheritances which follow certain steps) which cause the CC&R's to become void with respect to a parcel in the property (and this is the one that I used to kill them off with).

So, even if you're told that a property has a set of CC&R's that goes with it, you need to check the property's history to see if they've been self-destructed or otherwise voided. Then, it doesn't matter.

3936. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 05:09:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BA03131.28A5F70F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Ah, so you did not see it on the news so you assume there has been no ham activity connected with the disaster. You could apply for the village idiot position as well.

Bill Turner wrote:

> Really? I've been listening to the media since it began, both TV and
> radio, and I haven't heard "ham radio" mentioned once.
>
> Where does your information come from?
>
> Bill, W7TI

3937. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 05:18:20 UTC
From: mink <estring@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lle0qtkr8nibenomhhelhngefamhl6cssa@4ax.com>
Source record: 20231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:20:08 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Turner wrote:
>> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>> but passed.
>
>Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
>electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
>satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.

I have my ham certification. I have not turned the darn boring
thing on since I bought my first computer in 1995. I come up for
renewal next year and dont even see reason to waste my time.
I just dont see the insistance on archaiac means of communication
such as morse code in an age when video, audio, and information
rich content is available at your fingertips. Erect a gigantic antenna
to talk half way around the world and then not even be guaranteed
success. Why bother. I can plug into a simple phone jack and go
anywhere I want. Its this insistance and refusal to "get on with it"
that will be the demise of ham radio. The communication spectrum
is dwindling and I forsee amateur radio being forced out in the future
to make room for newer technologies. Sure, amateur radio has been
responsible for a lot of what we take for granted nowadays - but, it
just isnt keeping up in the long run. The cell repeaters can be back
on the air just as fast as an amateur can erect a repeater system.
I would much rather have a cell phone with me under that pile of
rubble or on a hijacked plane than an amateur radio. I think I only
view amateur radio as a form of socializing anymore. It just isnt
anything to be proud of in this day and age. If hams ran the auto
industry we would all still be riding around on wooden spoke wheels
today. I just do not see any of our younger generations finding any
interest in amateur radio today. Perhaps, like an old horse dying of
old age, we should just shoot it and put it out of its misery.

3938. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 05:41:28 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <CyXn7.6$FX3.5891@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 20232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

For those who think ham radio has served no use during the current situation, this post is from qrz.com:

In the action

by 9y4raj (ab2mh@arrl.net) on Wednesday September 12, @11:14AM EDT

Hello all.

I just came from an extended shift as the "radio man" at an emergency shelter. Hams are truly coming out in their numbers and supporting us at NYC ARES/RACES and ARES/RACES in general. Net Control on 147.000 MHz last night was done by Adam Fine, AB2IZ, and today and yesterday during the day by Guy Richman, KC2AYG. There were many other hams, from New York metro, other areas in New York state, and tons of ham volunteers from out of New York State, from all over the US, many of them Red Cross volunteers.

Red cross headquarters was manned by John Kiernan, KE2UN among others. Charles Hargrove, N2NOV is on full duty from the makeshift OEM office. The original OEM (Office of Emergency Management of the City of New York) was housed at 7 world trade center and was destroyed in the explosion. It is truly a phenomenal sight to see, from Red Cross headquarters all the way down to southern Manhattan below 14th street. It truly embodies our ham spirit and shows that despite all the bickering, code vs. no code, regardless of race or creed or license class, we all banded together to help out in times of tragedy.

It makes me feel especially good as a ham, as a New Yorker and as a member of ARES.

73
AB2MH.

Enough Said
Mark
K5MAR

3939. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 06:05:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9npian$3g2$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20233

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <9no5o2bnm0@208.207.71.149>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> [SNIPPAGE]
>
> >> The results of all this were not what the nuns expected. Most of the
> >> kids stopped being Catholics ASAP. By the 3rd or 4th grade, no kid
> >> would rat out another kid - it was "us against them". Many kids
> >> developed distrust of all authority figures. Kids figured out
> >> ingenious methods of protest - one class of kids learned to whistle
> >> the "Colonel Bogey March" in unison, and would do so whenever marching
> >> in formation without the nun leading them.
> >
> >
> > Gosh Jim, I had no idea. Sounds much more like Devil's Island than a
> >religious school.
> >
> >Dick W0EX
>
> Dick:
>
> It does sound a bit over the top, but I've witnessed a lot of the above,
> and have experienced much of it first hand. The kneeling on gravel
> bit seems to have escaped the order of nuns we had; however there
> was a lot of hitting, to be sure!

Larry, my XYL just retired from 26 years of teaching 5th grade, and for
sure not much of that ever took place within her Public School career.

3940. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 06:09:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9npiht$48f$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:15:47 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >This was not the kind of disaster where amateur radio shines.
> >Hurricane Andrew was a much better example - when land line
> >telephones and cellphones are out and electricity is gone.
> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
>
> ____
>
> Agreed, this disaster was unlike Andrew. But the need during Andrew was
> for HF communication, not necessarily for amateur HF communication.
> Local authorities should have dedicated HF equipment available for just
> such emergencies. It's not that expensive and a minimum of training
> plus regular on the air tests would do the job.
>
> This stuff should be left to the professionals. If a ham wants to
> become a professional, fine. Most of the ones on this newsgroup just
> want to be the radioman on the Titanic.
>

Bill, I WAS a professional Public Safety communicator for 2/3 of my 30 year career.

The Titanic has nothing to do with anything, and hasn't had for 90 years.

When the professionals lose their communications capabilities, hams can and do fill in, regularly. I'm surprised you're not better informed.

Dick W0EX

3941. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 06:14:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9npis6$59q$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

mink wrote:
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:20:08 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Turner wrote:
> >> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> >> but passed.
> > >
> > >Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
> > >electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
> > >satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.
> >

> I have my ham certification. I have not turned the darn boring
> thing on since I bought my first computer in 1995. I come up for
> renewal next year and dont even see reason to waste my time.

>snip<

Ah yes, another No Code Tech finds that ham radio holds nothing for
him....

> I just dont see the insistance on archaiac means of communication
> such as morse code in an age when video, audio, and information
> rich content is available at your fingertips. Erect a gigantic antenna
> to talk half way around the world and then not even be guaranteed
> success. Why bother. I can plug into a simple phone jack and go
> anywhere I want. Its this insistance and refusal to "get on with it"
> that will be the demise of ham radio. The communication spectrum
> is dwindling and I forsee amateur radio being forced out in the future
> to make room for newer technologies. Sure, amateur radio has been
> responsible for a lot of what we take for granted nowadays - but, it
> just isnt keeping up in the long run. The cell repeaters can be back
> on the air just as fast as an amateur can erect a repeater system.
> I would much rather have a cell phone with me under that pile of
> rubble or on a hijacked plane than an amateur radio. I think I only
> view amateur radio as a form of socializing anymore. It just isnt
> anything to be proud of in this day and age. If hams ran the auto
> industry we would all still be riding around on wooden spoke wheels
> today. I just do not see any of our younger generations finding any
> interest in amateur radio today. Perhaps, like an old horse dying of
> old age, we should just shoot it and put it out of its misery.

3942. You bastard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 06:25:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9npjgp$6me$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20236

From an unknown writer at CBS New York

They pay me to provide words that help make sense of that which troubles the American soul. But in this moment of airless shock when hot tears sting disbelieving eyes, the only thing I can find to say, the only words that seem to fit, must be addressed to the unknown author of this suffering.

You monster. You beast. You unspeakable bastard.
What lesson did you hope to teach us by your coward's attack on our World Trade Center, our Pentagon, us? What was it you hoped we would learn? Whatever it was, please know that you failed.
Did you want us to respect your cause? You just damned your cause.
Did you want to make us fear? You just steeled our resolve.
Did you want to tear us apart? You just brought us together.
Let me tell you about my people. We are a vast and quarrelsome family, a family rent by racial, social, political and class division, but a family nonetheless. We're frivolous, yes, capable of expending tremendous emotional energy on pop cultural minutiae -- a singer's revealing dress, a ball team's misfortune, a cartoon mouse. We're wealthy, too, spoiled by the ready availability of trinkets and material goods, and maybe because of that, we walk through life with a certain sense of blithe entitlement. We are fundamentally decent, though -- peace-loving and compassionate. We struggle to know the right thing and to do it. And we are, the overwhelming majority of us, people of faith, believers in a just and loving God.
Some people -- you, perhaps -- think that any or all of this makes us weak. You're mistaken. We are not weak. Indeed, we are strong in ways that cannot be measured by arsenals.

IN PAIN
Yes, we're in pain now. We are in mourning and we are in shock. We're still grappling with the unreality of the awful thing you did, still working to make ourselves understand that this isn't a special effect from some Hollywood blockbuster, isn't the plot development from a Tom Clancy novel. Both in terms of the awful scope of their ambition and the probable final death toll, your attacks are likely to go down as the worst acts of terrorism in the history of the United States and, probably, the history of the world. You've bloodied us as we have never been bloodied before.
But there's a gulf of difference between making us bloody and making us fall. This is the lesson Japan was taught to its bitter sorrow the last time anyone hit us this hard, the last time anyone brought us such abrupt and monumental pain. When roused, we are righteous in our outrage, terrible in our force. When provoked by this level of

barbarism, we will bear any suffering, pay any cost, go to any length, in the pursuit of justice.
I tell you this without fear of contradiction. I know my people, as you, I think, do not. What I know reassures me. It also causes me to tremble with dread of the future.
In the days to come, there will be recrimination and accusation, fingers pointing to determine whose failure allowed this to happen and what can be done to prevent it from happening again. There will be heightened security, misguided talk of revoking basic freedoms. We'll go forward from this moment sobered, chastened, sad. But determined, too. Unimaginably determined.

THE STEEL IN US
You see, the steel in us is not always readily apparent. That aspect of our character is seldom understood by people who don't know us well. On this day, the family's bickering is put on hold.
As Americans we will weep, as Americans we will mourn, and as Americans, we will rise in defense of all that we cherish.
So I ask again: What was it you hoped to teach us? It occurs to me that maybe you just wanted us to know the depths of your hatred. If that's the case, consider the message received. And take this message in exchange: You don't know my people. You don't know what we're capable of.

You don't know what you just started. But you're about to learn.

3943. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 06:47:47 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brain@buster.com>
Message-ID: <9npl5tkvf2@chilli.nntp.netline.net.uk>
Source record: 20237
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money
is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian,
who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get
material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack
we saw today would not be beyond them.

Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War.
That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to
crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no end
if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US
president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out of
the Iraqi army.

I too was thinking of Iraq, but with some other reasons...

In the gulf war, the first thing that was taken out was their air
capabilities.
The gulf war was followed with "sanctions" which hit them finantially.
Wasn't one of Saddams buildings attacked?

This would seem to have been echoed with the attacks on your country.
If this is the reason, then we in the UK had better watch out.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

3944. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 06:56:29 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <xMYn7.2499$pH1.93412@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
news:3B9E7BA5.83CDAA1D@ARRL.net...
> GG wrote:
>
> > Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it
> > says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely
> > connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state
> > of war declared.
> >
> > Mike Gormley wrote:
> >
> > > jones connector Louie wrote:
> > >
> > > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > > To all radio amateurs
> > > >
> > > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > > >
> > > > ...snip....
> > > >
> > > > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > > > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > > > the Gulf War.
> > > > NNNN
> > > > /EX
> > >
> > > A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Mike Gormley
>
> My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
> Bullitin", unless it is reality.

Probably because several of our leading congressmen and Senators already
brought the subject up (In interviews on TV).
They called the attacks "Acts of War" and said that "should" we be able to
trace the actions to a specific country there is that possibility. (Or to a
country giving safe haven to those behind the attacks)

The President even made the statement that the US (NATO has agreed to treat it as an attack on all NATO nations) that any nation harbouring the individual or group responsible would be treated the same as that individual or group.

>

So there is a sound basis for including that in the bulletin.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

> Nothing like getting the pot boiling.
>
>
>
> --
> Mike Gormley
>
>

3945. Re: Cecil...The Wordsmith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 07:07:52 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <cXYn7.2500$pH1.93810@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20239

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010906050841.02161.00000137@mb-mf.aol.com...
> From: "Roger Halstead" rdhalste@tm.net
>
> >Did you know that AOL was voted the most distrusted service on the
internet
> >in a recent poll according to GMSIV? Microsoft came in second.
>
> As an AOL subscriber, I can tell you that that mistrust is well deserved.
Some
> may remember the time that AOL ended up bringing down the ARRL.ORG email
system
> for 3 days. When I contacted them to try to resolve it, they didn't care
one
> whit and it turned into quite the argument.

Quite a few years back when they made their first big expansion...The one
that was too big for them to handle...<:-)) Their service went to pot.
(disconnects in a few minutes when you were able to finally get a
connection) When threatened with a lawsuit they told those who wanted to
cancel to call in...Unfortunately it seemed as if only a few were able to
get through...We canceled the payments through the credit card
company...So...AOL sent us bills....It took nearly three months to get the
account canceled. Then about a year later...They sent us a bill for a
years service.

Never has another company (although some have tried) grown so large by
mistreating customers. They are customers even if they do call them
members.
Once they got our number they were worse than telemarketers, Except they
were billing us.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

3946. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 07:10:12 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <oZYn7.2501$pH1.93783@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20240

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010909193858.19673.00000163@nso-mg.aol.com...
> In article <3B802693.BE0630B9@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
> >giwcuq@zwallet.com wrote:
> >> DID YOU KNOW IF YOU DONT KNOW JESUS AS YOUR PERSONAL SAVIOR
> >> YOU WILL GO TO HELL. REPENT YOURSELF!
> > >

And miss out on all the fun?

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

> >I haven't seen any valid evidence that hell exists. Would you
> >like to present some?
>
> 12 years of Catholic school with IHM nuns proved it to me by direct
experience.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3947. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 07:18:29 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <95Zn7.2502$pH1.93836@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20241

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010906071701.20943.00000022@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <qn3cpt80d1s29nbooebpbf55gc02o2c72t@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
> <w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:
>
> >On 4 Sep 2001 20:03:36 -0700, n0ym@iastate.edu (N0YM) wrote:
> >
> >>Actually, all it means is that the Government is
> >>taking in more money than it's spending, period. That's not the same
> >>thing [as having a surplus].
> >
> >_____
> >
> >Well, if taking in more money than one is spending doesn't create a
> >surplus, then we are either doing some creative accounting or mangling
> >the English language.
> >
> It's creative accounting. And a calssic example of the political roosters
> taking credit for the economic dawn.
>
> The "surplus" happened for a bunch of reasons:
>
> - Very low interest rates (govt. spends less on servicing the debt - at
one
> point recently more than 33 cents of every Federal tax dollar went to pay
> interest)
> - Low unemployment (more people paying taxes, particularly SS taxes)
> - A long period of economic growth without significant inflation.

Yah, but the biggie was creative accounting....A good chunk came from
including a number of trust funds such as the transportation and aviation
trusts, in the general account.
>
> This has been going on for so long that many folks have begun to think
it's the
> natural order of things.
>
> What is forgotten in all this is the bazillion-dollars of accumulated
national
> debt. The debt quadrupled in the 1980s, and will takes decades to pay off.
The
> Surplus should go to paying down that debt, not paltry refunds of a few
hundred
> dollars.

>
> What is also forgotten is that the current economic conditions are bound
to
> change in the future.

In case you hadn't noticed the *current* economic conditions aren't all that
great.

>
> The situation is like a family or business that has been running in the
red for
> a long suddenly getting an adequate income so that some discretionary
money
> appears. The family/business has a ton of debt and lots of things that
will

The Govt would never let a business use their accounting system <:-))

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

> need maintenance or replacement, but instead of paying down the debt and
laying
> something aside for the future, the money is spent on luxuries. Does that
make
> sense?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3948. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 07:30:16 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913033016.18738.00000216@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20242

>why would Ham Radio be needed in an area so small everyone can shout to each
>other?
>

Because they can't just shout at each other. If a Red Cross aid station needs
supplies that
are just a few blocks away, and the Red Cross personal are taking care of
people that are
in need of first aid, Food and Water they can't afford to send their staff out
to get
supplies. That is where Hams come in with operators at all the locations they
are
manning. I is quote obvious that you do not know anything about the functions
of ham
radio operators during an emergency.
Also, do you think that Red Cross, Police, and Fire personal have time to
help victims and their famillies with health and wellfare information.

>You're not talking about a State-wide or even a city- wide disaster here-
>

Not city wide, every hospital in New York is filled with injured. Then consider
all the
other people in the city that need emergency help. You really are and idiot.
You should
just troll down to hell.

3949. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 07:36:37 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913033637.18738.00000217@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20243

>Really? I've been listening to the media since it began, both TV and
>radio, and I haven't heard "ham radio" mentioned once.
>
>Where does your information come from?

Just because the media does not mention something, it does not mean it is not being used. The media covers the stories that are of most importance to the viewing public. The Red Cross has used Ham radio for years, the Salvation army also uses ham radio. Troll away, Troll

3950. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 09:38:41 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B8%n7.18301$Uf1.1599251@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20244

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010913000323.10650.00000259@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <GL5n7.14418$Uf1.1270235@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com...
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer Marlowe)
> >
> >Amen Bro. Which brings up how men look at women. They do not understand
> >that Playboy is no threat to them, that there are classes of interest:
>
> >some
> >you show up with to impress your friends and give them cab fare home,
>
> I know what you mean, but I have no direct experience with this
>
> >some
> >(Joan Lunden and the morning show ladies) that are perfect and you know
they
> >are perfect and about as attractive as yesterday's toast,
>
> and THEY know they are perfect. oh yes.
>
> > and some that
> >would be great to know and a lot of fun (Jo Jo),
>
> ...you mean....Hometime's JoAnne Libeler?!? yes, oh yes!!
>
> > some that affect your
> >gonads about like the exhaust note of a Healy 100-4,
>
> 1968 Plymouth Sport Satellite, 383 V-8, four speed....
>
> >and some you can see
> >living with.
>
> yup.
>
> >Bailey was one of those that showed signs of being being one
> >of the latter three without you ever being sure or even which one.
>
> On this last I must disagree.

>
> Bailey was ALL of the latter three at the same time, all the time. But she
> didn't seem to know it.
>
> Hold me closer, tiny dancer.
> >
> >Dick N&#65533;BK, led thru puberty by Diana Rigg as Emma Peel
>
> omigawd - the Avengers.....
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

C'mon Jim, Emma Peel, M (as in Male) Appeal. She did her job well. When you are 14 you are a sucker. Besides, beats hell out of Brittney Spears.

3951. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 10:47:59 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <z90o7.23047$KV3.1895884@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9npiht$48f$0@208.206.142.98...
>
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> >
> > On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:15:47 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >
> > >This was not the kind of disaster where amateur radio shines.
> > >Hurricane Andrew was a much better example - when land line
> > >telephones and cellphones are out and electricity is gone.
> > >--
> > >cheers, Cecil
> >
> > ____
> >
> > Agreed, this disaster was unlike Andrew. But the need during Andrew was
> > for HF communication, not necessarily for amateur HF communication.
> > Local authorities should have dedicated HF equipment available for just
> > such emergencies. It's not that expensive and a minimum of training
> > plus regular on the air tests would do the job.
> >
> > This stuff should be left to the professionals. If a ham wants to
> > become a professional, fine. Most of the ones on this newsgroup just
> > want to be the radioman on the Titanic.
> >
>
>
>
>
> Bill, I WAS a professional Public Safety communicator for 2/3 of my 30
> year career.
>
> The Titanic has nothing to do with anything, and hasn't had for 90
> years.
>
> When the professionals lose their communications capabilities, hams can
> and do fill in, regularly. I'm surprised you're not better informed.
>
> Dick W0EX

Like everyone else in a disaster situation, you come with what you have.
This one did not require transmitters of any kind, the pro systems work

well.

Having had some experience with govt you must be, as I am, impressed with the ability of NYC to arrange such things as where to put the building rubble while you are digging and how to keep the general public off your back. The mobilization of barges, ferries, tugs and the re-opening of a New Jersey landfill to handle it was and is a first-rate response. The hospital system appears to have done a first-rate job up to and including some information for relatives.

I, for one, am thoroughly impressed with the response of NYC to what has to be beyond the extremes of any disaster planning.

Dick NBK

3952. Re: You bastard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 10:48:12 UTC
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0109130248.5ea01af6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20246

That about sums it up. Thanks for sharing it with us, Dick. Take care and God bless.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9npjgp$6me$0@208.206.142.98>...
> From an unknown writer at CBS New York
>
> They pay me to provide words that help make sense of that which troubles
> the American soul. But in this moment of airless shock when hot tears
> sting disbelieving eyes, the only thing I can find to say, the only
> words that seem to fit, must be addressed to the unknown author of this
> suffering.
>
> You monster. You beast. You unspeakable bastard.
> What lesson did you hope to teach us by your coward's attack on our
> World Trade Center, our Pentagon, us? What was it you hoped we would
> learn? Whatever it was, please know that you failed.
> Did you want us to respect your cause? You just damned your cause.
> Did you want to make us fear? You just steeled our resolve.
> Did you want to tear us apart? You just brought us together.
> Let me tell you about my people. We are a vast and quarrelsome family, a
> family rent by racial, social, political and class division, but a
> family nonetheless. We're frivolous, yes, capable of expending
> tremendous emotional energy on pop cultural minutiae -- a singer's
> revealing dress, a ball team's misfortune, a cartoon mouse. We're
> wealthy, too, spoiled by the ready availability of trinkets and material
> goods, and maybe because of that, we walk through life with a certain
> sense of blithe entitlement. We are fundamentally decent, though --
> peace-loving and compassionate. We struggle to know the right thing and
> to do it. And we are, the overwhelming majority of us, people of faith,
> believers in a just and loving God.
> Some people -- you, perhaps -- think that any or all of this makes us
> weak. You're mistaken. We are not weak. Indeed, we are strong in ways
> that cannot be measured by arsenals.
>
> IN PAIN
> Yes, we're in pain now. We are in mourning and we are in shock. We're
> still grappling with the unreality of the awful thing you did, still
> working to make ourselves understand that this isn't a special effect
> from some Hollywood blockbuster, isn't the plot development from a Tom
> Clancy novel. Both in terms of the awful scope of their ambition and the
> probable final death toll, your attacks are likely to go down as the
> worst acts of terrorism in the history of the United States and,
> probably, the history of the world. You've bloodied us as we have never

> been bloodied before.
> But there's a gulf of difference between making us bloody and making us
> fall. This is the lesson Japan was taught to its bitter sorrow the last
> time anyone hit us this hard, the last time anyone brought us such
> abrupt and monumental pain. When roused, we are righteous in our
> outrage, terrible in our force. When provoked by this level of
> barbarism, we will bear any suffering, pay any cost, go to any length,
> in the pursuit of justice.
> I tell you this without fear of contradiction. I know my people, as you,
> I think, do not. What I know reassures me. It also causes me to tremble
> with dread of the future.
> In the days to come, there will be recrimination and accusation, fingers
> pointing to determine whose failure allowed this to happen and what can
> be done to prevent it from happening again. There will be heightened
> security, misguided talk of revoking basic freedoms. We'll go forward
> from this moment sobered, chastened, sad. But determined, too.
> Unimaginably determined.
>
> THE STEEL IN US
> You see, the steel in us is not always readily apparent. That aspect of
> our character is seldom understood by people who don't know us well. On
> this day, the family's bickering is put on hold.
> As Americans we will weep, as Americans we will mourn, and as Americans,
> we will rise in defense of all that we cherish.
> So I ask again: What was it you hoped to teach us? It occurs to me that
> maybe you just wanted us to know the depths of your hatred. If that's
> the case, consider the message received. And take this message in
> exchange: You don't know my people. You don't know what we're capable
> of.
>
> You don't know what you just started. But you're about to learn.

3953. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 12:03:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913080317.19673.00000249@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20248

In article <20010907163027.23913.00000092@nso-ma.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>> There is
>>> everything wrong with a government body requiring a test in
>>> that singular communications skill in order to obtain a
>>> license when the agency does not specifically require its
>>> use..
>>
>>Illogical. It is common for govt. agencies to require tests for things
>>whose use is not mandated.
>
>Incorrect.

No, it's absolutely correct. It IS common for govt. agencies to require tests for things whose use is not mandated.

>What is illogical is for any government to mandate
>a test for some activity that is not itself mandated.

I disagree. But hold that thought....
>
>The FCC does not mandate that morse code modes be used
>by radio amateurs over and above any other mode. US amateurs
>are given the option of using any allocated mode...or not using
>them.

The ONLY thing that US radio amateurs are mandated to do is follow FCC rules. But that mandate is not limited to hams - all are mandated to follow those rules. By LHA logic, there should be no test of any kind for an amateur radio license.
>
>>For example, most driving tests require that the person being tested
>>demonstrate the ability to parallel park, even though there is no
>>requirement to park that way "in real life".
>
>Heh heh...try parking diagonally in a parallel-parking area or
>vice-versa. :-)

So? There is no mandate to park either place.

You wrote: "What is illogical is for any government to mandate a test for some activity that is not itself mandated."

Since parallel parking is not mandated (one can always park somewhere else), you're saying the parallel parking test is illogical.
>

>>Graduation from high school in most places requires passing grades in
>>all subjects, even though there is no requirement that the subjects be
>>used by the graduate, and many of the subjects are required, not
>>elective. Education standards are set by government bodies.
>
>That paragraph is mixing avocados and orangutangs.

Nope.

You wrote: "What is illogical is for any government to mandate a test for some activity that is not itself mandated."

Since many of the required subjects in high school are not mandated activities after high school, you think the test requirementss for those subjects are illogical.

>>> Non-professional (amateur) radio is not a guild or union
>>> based on an apprentice-journeyman-master ranking.
>>
>>Nonsense. Practically all human activities, both professional and
>>avocational, involve various status levels.
>
>Non-professional (amateur) radio is not a guild or union based
>on apprentice-journeyman-master ranking.

Then why are there so many classes of license?

>There is nothing
>in the federal regulations for amateur radio that specifically
>states "entry level" or "master"...only that different classes
>have different privileges.

Nope. The classes form a ladder. Except for the Novice and Technician relative to each other, each class includes all privileges and requirements of the classes that precede it.

>Too many amateurs have come to
>believe that amateur radio is a status thing complete with
>class-consciousness, discrimination, and everything else that
>goes along with the "I am better than you" thinking of those
>with a "higher" class license looking down on "lesser" classes.
>
Agreed. The license class is only one indicator of status and achievement.

>>> The
>>> requirement of a morse code test is little more than a
>>> hazing ritual to satisfy long-timers who had to learn it.
>>
>>Nope. Wrong. Off base.
>
>Yes. Right. Very much ON base.

Nope. It's a requirement, simple as that.
>
>>The continued requirement of a morse code test
>>for a license is the direct result of the ITU treaty requirements and
>>nothing else. FCC has said so more than once.
>
>Incorrect.

No, it's correct.

>While the FCC has said (in 1990 and 1999) that the
>morse code test does not have any validity in determining the
>FCC's need for licensing any amateur, the FCC has said nothing
>about the "continued requirement" of a morse test.

Ya gotta understand the verbiage, LHA.

>What the
>FCC has said (in 1990 and 1999) was that the ITU-R
>recommendations require an international morse code test
>for any amateur license having operating privileges below
>30 MHz.

They have also dismissed ALL other reasons that have been presented to have
such a test. In detail.

> Those who set ITU-R recommendations are member
>nation administrative representatives (State Dept., FCC, NTIA
>in the USA). Those same adminstrations can change the
>recommendations...and do.

Which means what?
>>
>>> There is no need to "preserve" morse code skill for any
>>> national reason.
>>
>>Yes, there is. It's part of the communications heritage.
>
>Spark is part of the "amateur communications heritage." It was
>the very first transmitter means for radio amateurs. Yet spark
>has been outlawed despite this "heritage."

Spark was outlawed for amateurs only after its use was all but gone from the
ARS (1927)

Are you saying you want Morse outlawed for hams?
>
>>> Real emergency communications use
>>> non-CW modes.
>>
>>They also use CW. Does that justify mandatory testing? Of course not.
>
>Consult the Part 90 regulation statements and quote appropriate
>regulatory language saying that Real emergency communications

>use OOK CW or morse codings. REAL emergency
>communicators ONCE "worked CW." Times have changed,
>even for those with "heritage" complexes in Public Safety
>communications.

Hams are involved in "real" emergency communications. Some of that is done by Morse. Does that justify mandatory testing? Of course not.

N2EY

3954. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 12:03:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913080318.19673.00000250@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20247

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gjk2gu5.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>>> The fun one, though, was "Nul Viridarium Hic Ad Angulum".
>>>
>>> Remember your Latin?
>>
>>I had two years of Latin but don't remember enough of it to translate
>>that. What's it say?
>
> "No Parking From Here To The Corner".
>
> [The Latin is not correct but it was funny anyhow....]
>
HAW, that's a good one!

The Latin graffiti I remember from high school are:

"Ne Permitas Illegetimi Te Carborundum"

and

"Rana Maska Semper Rexit"

73 de Jim, N2EY

3955. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 12:31:43 UTC
From: zz4@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22149-3BA0A72F-30@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 20250

Re: being threatened

Group: rec.radio.amateur.policy Date: Wed, Sep 12, 2001, 10:50pm (EDT-1)
From: kb9tmp@my-deja.com (WW - KB9TMP)
"internet-terminal zz4" <zz4@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20154-3B9FC032-20@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
I am getting these threatening emails saying because I have had an email
address of ww7a@yahoo.com for years a so-called amateur radio licensee
ww7a OWNS my email address..i.e.owns ww7a on the internet.
Does he own ww7a on the internet? Where do I take these threats?
<SNIP>
Regards
Dr. Andrew Forsberg WW7A
A couple of questions for zz4@webtv.net AKA ww7a@yahoo.com.

  #1, Why do you want to use someone else's identity for your email
address?

No Offense but this involves allot of brains? Someone elses identity?
The internet consists of choosing USER NAMES for whatever service you
might sign up for...be it a freebie or a pay service....Some places
allow a minimum of 4 digits..some three. Sometimes it is easier with 4
digit user names than
dfhdfhfghjfxghxrtyuuudr5674s6uaze5y54uys4675474ry@yahoo.com I think
yahoo requires at least 4 digits. If my parents named me george bush am
I using somebody elses name? I presume I could go to court and change my
name to anything desired if that be the case.

This issue does not involve amateur radio !!!! I chose numerous 4
digit user names years ago when signing up for various internet
services.I think I have several more email addresses with user name
ww7a.(theres still hundreds of free email locations)

As to domains I have not even checked on ww7a but if available I really
have no desire for it. ok.... http://www.register.com/ I see
all ww7a domains are available. Would it not make sense to get the
domain vs. telling everybody on the internet the ww7a user name is
legally theirs and playing like an officer of the court? You could be
anybody@ww7a.com Go to http://www.registerclub.com/ and pay
$10 and its yours.(next buy some web space and set up email services)
Heres a good one http://www.valuablehost.com/ $35 a year..200mb

I have had ww7a@yahoo.com for years. The brilliant thing is if I
surrendered it I don't think the user name is availble for years.I've
had user names at yahoo 4 years ago that have not been re-issued yet.I
go there today and although all email gone I get a prompt if I wish to

reactivate it.

I never tried other large free services like Hotmail. Is ww7a
available at Hotmail? At aol?

I now use msn as an isp.I got a 3 digit user name..Is ww7a taken?
Don't know. I also pay $5 a month for the webtv.(being an msn
subscriber) Years ago I chose 6 3 digit user names with the webtv
service.

#2, Just how did WW7A find out about you and your email address?

I presume he wanted an email address at Yahoo..one of the larger email
services that offer other free services like websites,photo
storage,messageboards,clubs,etc. (ps..they are possibly going from free
to pay-pay)

It sounds like there is more going on here than your telling us.
--
'73' From KB9TMP

NO..I get an email as I have c/c/p telling me to quit using ww7a on the
internet and using language as if I'm going to be dragged to court or
arrested.It does say I am a federal criminal. Maybe I am
over-reacting? I have so many problems here and so busy I don't need
others. Now I have to take the time to research this matter and write to
fcc and all that stuff. AGAIN..THE FUNNY THING---if I gave up ww7a
under threats it might be years before available for others.---maybe
thats not it...don't use ww7a anywhere on internet?

http://www.geocities.com/ww7a/

Actually I don't even care about ww7a..maybe I can get another 4 digit
user name at yahoo? It would take months to correct my address on record
around the internet.

3956. A Tribute to the United States

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 12:46:47 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tq1ak69p46ga8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I got the below as internal E-mail where I work. I think this needs to be
shared.

>
> This is very touching. Makes you proud to be an American......
>
>
> A TRIBUTE TO THE UNITED STATES
>
>
> This, from a Canadian newspaper, is worth sharing.
>
>
> America: The Good Neighbor.
>
>
> Widespread but only partial news coverage was given
> recently to a remarkable editorial broadcast from
> Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian television
> commentator. What follows is the full text of his
> trenchant remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:
>
> "This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the
> Americans as the most generous and possibly the least
> appreciated people on all the earth.
>
> Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and
> Italy were lifted out of the debris of war by the
> Americans who poured in billions of dollars and
> forgave other billions in debts. None of these
> countries is today paying even the interest on its
> remaining debts to the United States.
>
> When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956,
> it was the Americans who propped it up, and their
> reward was to be insulted and swindled on the streets
> of Paris. I was there. I saw it.
>
> When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the
> United States that hurries in to help. This spring, 59
> American communities were flattened by tornadoes.
> Nobody helped.

>
> The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped
> billions of dollars! into discouraged countries. Now
> newspapers in those countries are writing about the
> decadent, warmongering Americans.
>
> I'd like to see just one of those countries that
> is gloating over the erosion of the United States
> dollar build its own airplane. Does any other country
> in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo
> Jet, the Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?
> If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the
> International lines except Russia fly American Planes?
>
> Why does no other land on earth even consider putting
> a man or woman on the moon? You talk about Japanese
> technocracy, and you get radios. You talk about German
> technocracy, and you get automobiles.
>
> You talk about American technocracy, and you find
> men on the moon -! not once, but several times -
> and safely home again.
>
> You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs
> right in the store window for everybody to look at.
> Even their draft-dodgers are not pursued and hounded.
> They are here on our streets, and most of them, unless
> they are breaking Canadian laws, are getting American
> dollars from ma and pa at home to spend here.
>
> When the railways of France, Germany and India
> were breaking down through age, it was the Americans
> who rebuilt them. When the Pennsylvania Railroad and
> the New York Central went broke, nobody loaned them an
> old caboose. Both are still broke.
>
> I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced
> to the help of other people in trouble. Can you name
> me even one time when someone else raced to the
> Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside
> help even during the San Francisco earthquake.
>
> Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one
> Canadian who is damned tired of hearing them get
> kicked around. They will come out of this thing with
> their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled
> to thumb their nose at the lands that are gloating
> over their present troubles. I hope Canada is not one of
> those."
>

> Stand proud, America!
>
>
>
> This is one of the best editorials that I have ever
> read regarding the United States. It is nice that
> one man realizes it. I only wish that the rest of the
> world would realize it. We are always blamed for
> everything and never even get a thank you for the
> things we do.
>
> I would hope that each of you would send this to
> as many people as you can and emphasize that they
> should send it to as many of their friends until this
> letter is sent to every person on the web. I am just a
> single American that has read this.
>
> I SURE HOPE THAT A LOT MORE READ IT SOON.
>
>
>

3957. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:01:55 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BA0AE43.9ADB0901@qwest.net>
Source record: 20152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

You are full o' crap Bill. Read the many replies from
others who KNOW.

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
>
> --
>
> Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
>
> A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
> recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.
>
> Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
> blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
> apparently the high point.
>
> If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
>
> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> but passed.
>
> Bill, W7TI

3958. Re: Your Help Is Needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:32:08 UTC
From: Tom Hogerty <kc1j@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <3BA0B558.117B9E3D@arrl.org>
Source record: 20151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Additional information can be found at:
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/09/13/1/

Patrick Wilson wrote:

> If you are an amateur living in or near the Northern Virginia area, your
> help is needed. Please visit either www.arrlva.org or www.aresva.org and if
> you can help, please let someone know.
>
> Thanks for your time and 7 3
>
> Patrick
> w4pw
> ARRL Virginia Section PIC

3959. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:33:00 UTC
From: queequeg@quahog.com
Message-ID: <Xns911B62118BD20myemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>
Source record: 20153

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
<20010913000323.10650.00000258@nso-fa.aol.com>:

>In article <Xns9118665E1EDB3myemailaddhere@207.126.101.97>,
>queequeg@quahog.com (Your name) writes:
>
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in
>> <20010910061135.23913.00000172@nso-ma.aol.com>:
>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY (who much prefers Bailey Quarters to Jennifer
>>> Marlowe)
>>
>> What a relief. Thought I was the only one...
>>
>There are lots of us.
>
>Do you remember the 2 parter where an unscrupulous photographer got
>photos of Jennifer Marlowe getting changed?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Missed that one, however, it is in syndication here, so will watch for it!

3960. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:38:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913093823.15024.00000015@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 20154

>Subject: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: Dave Johnson dh2311@bellsowth.net
>Date: 9/12/01 6:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8602753.93855@bellsowth.net>

>
>
>How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
>phone calls from airliners.

And WHICH communications system was "gridlocked" less than 30 seconds after
the attacks?

>How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
>debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.

And Ham Radio was used "like" this how in the past?

>In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
>which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
>tragedy.

Yeah...let's skip past the trivial stuff like trapped firefighters,
financial crisis and lost loved ones to see what kind of radios are in use at
the Red Cross.

>I don't see any
>evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

I make quite a deal over the use of profanity on this NG, however I think
it's warranted here.....

Your lack of "evidence" is most likely due to the unusual placement of
your head up your ass.

Putz.

3961. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:43:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913094335.15024.00000016@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 20155

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: Bill Turner w7ti@dslextreme.com
>Date: 9/12/01 9:30 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com>

>
>Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.

Not hardly. You haven't been paying attention.

>Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
>blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
>apparently the high point.

"Waste of time"? I have heard of at least 3 Red Cross chapters helping
to coordinate movement of supplies via Amateur Radio.

THAT'S a "waste of time"? Hold that thought the next time a loved one of
yours is getting a transfusion. Ask yourself how many volunteers, some of them
licensed Amateurs, that helped get that unit of PRBC's into your neighborhood
ER.

>As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>but passed.

I reiterate...You haven't been paying attention.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3962. Re: 10 Meter Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:44:48 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9nqgov027vh@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20167

agreed, this is really becoming a mess. you should also note the following about the intruders who have made it up into the 10m band recently. there are many truckers using modified radios that go well up into the 10m band. most of these use AM and are frequently found on 28085 (aka ch-19 'up 1') and 28535 (aka ch-19 'up 2'), but are also spread out between 28100 and 28300. they do seem to avoid 28300-28500 but occasionally i have heard them as high as 28650 or so (ch-40 up 2 is somewhere in there). most of these are small groups of long haul truckers travelling close together, because of this it is almost impossible to interfer with them.

taping them is not a bad idea, but unless you are lucky enough to be running tape when one of them gives out his cell phone number or something like that it won't help. if you listen to them for a while you can often identify their location to within an exit or two on an interstate, the best help then would be to get someone on the road to physically sight them, get license plates and company names and also record them. that information would then be useful in getting something done. i feel that it will only take a couple fcc actions or even just warning letters to trucking companies to shut most of these guys down, after all why would they risk their jobs and trucks just for a quiet radio channel. I have tried to get this kind of real time info into the right areas using the dx spotting network in the past, while this is the most logical method to use for this purpose many users and sysops feel it is an abuse of the network.

i have tried to request help from both the fcc and arrl but have been told they can't help... they seem to be too busy chasing 2.4ghz cell phone intruders to bother with hf intruders right now.

3963. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:54:28 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9nqhbb028ef@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

maybe they aren't getting on tv, but they are there working away.

-----Original Message-----
From: Donald L. Izzo [mailto:dizzo@connix.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 1:03 AM
To: CT ARES Reflector (E-mail); CSMA Reflector (E-mail); SARC Reflector
(E-mail)
Subject: OFFICIAL REQUEST / Ham Radio / NYC
Importance: High

***** All Stations please RELAY to local nets, NTS nets, clubs, etc. ******

Immediate Broadcast

Date: Wednesday, September 12, 2001 12:50(am) LOCAL

To: Ham Radio Operators, especially trained with the American Red Cross and

other disaster communication skills

From: Jay Ferron N4GAA /1 Communication Lead: Westchester American Red
Cross
Telephone: 646-523-1528

Ham radio operators are requested to provide communications for the American

Red Cross. Serious inquiries ONLY.
Please follow enclosed instructions:

1. Contact Jay anytime 24 / 7

2. Communicators are needed for shifts: 9am - 6pm or 6pm - 6am
Shelter operations, other

3. Equipment: bring 2 meters gear, especially HT's, extra batteries,
portable
antennas (j-poles) etc.

4. After confirming with Jay, credentials will be set up for you, take the
train into NYC to Grand Central Station, then travel
to 150 Amsterdam Avenue for assignment. Upon arriving in New York, liaison
with
Jay on 147.000 (+) PL 136.8

5. Save all receipts for future instructions on reimbursement

REPEATING> Serious Inquires Only

<end of message>

73's Don Izzo N1HAX
CT ARES ~ ASEC / DEC 2

Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
>
> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

3964. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 13:58:30 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9nqf0ntfb1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 20164

"internet-terminal zz4" <zz4@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22149-3BA0A72F-30@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net...

Re: being threatened

Group: rec.radio.amateur.policy Date: Wed, Sep 12, 2001, 10:50pm (EDT-1)
From: kb9tmp@my-deja.com (WW - KB9TMP)
"internet-terminal zz4" <zz4@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20154-3B9FC032-20@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
I am getting these threatening emails saying because I have had an email
address of ww7a@yahoo.com for years a so-called amateur radio licensee
ww7a OWNS my email address..i.e.owns ww7a on the internet.
Does he own ww7a on the internet? Where do I take these threats?
<SNIP>
Regards
Dr. Andrew Forsberg WW7A
A couple of questions for zz4@webtv.net AKA ww7a@yahoo.com.

#1, Why do you want to use someone else's identity for your email
address?

No Offense but this involves allot of brains? Someone elses identity?
The internet consists of choosing USER NAMES for whatever service you
might sign up for...be it a freebie or a pay service....Some places
allow a minimum of 4 digits..some three. Sometimes it is easier with 4
digit user names than
dfhdfhfghjfxghxrtyuuudr5674s6uaze5y54uys4675474ry@yahoo.com I think
yahoo requires at least 4 digits. If my parents named me george bush am
I using somebody elses name? I presume I could go to court and change my
name to anything desired if that be the case.

As long as your not using the ww7a to pose as Dr. Andrew Forsberg WW7A
there isn't a problem. I've been served papers for another person with my
name before, there's no law about using the same name for two different people.
But there better not be anyone with my Social Security Number other otherwise
we've got a BIG PROBLEM. The thing is a ham's call sign to them is just as
personal as a SSN or any other identifier that is unique to that person and s/he alone.
That's when we start to get a little defensive about it, that's all.

--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."

-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

3965. the official reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:00:31 UTC
From: zz4@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22148-3BA0CA0F-98@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 20169

Inbox for ww7a@yahoo.com Yahoo! - My Yahoo! Options - Sign Out - Help
Mail Addresses Calendar Notepad
NextCard Internet Visa- Apply NowDownload Attachments Prev | Next | Inbox

From Riley Hollingsworth Thu Sep 13 06:17:13 2001X-Apparently-To:ww7a@yahoo.com via web20010.mail.yahoo.com; 13 Sep 2001 06:18:51 -0700 (PDT)Received:from gatekeeper2.fcc.gov (192.104.54.4) by mta536.mail.yahoo.com with SMTP; 13 Sep 2001 06:18:45 -0700 (PDT)Received:by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov; id JAA16740; Thu, 13 Sep 2001 09:18:39 -0400 (EDT)Received:from unknown(165.135.237.22) by gatekeeper2.fcc.gov via smap (V5.5) id xma016656; Thu, 13 Sep 01 09:17:41 -0400Received:from ROUTE_A-Message_Server by fcc.gov with Novell_GroupWise; Thu, 13 Sep 2001 09:17:42 -0400Message-Id:<sba079b6.019@fcc.gov>X-Mailer:Novell GroupWise 5.5.2

Date:Thu, 13 Sep 2001 09:17:13 -0400From:"Riley Hollingsworth" <RHOLLING@fcc.gov> | Block Address | Add to Address BookTo:<ww7a@yahoo.com>Subject:Re: Why these threats??Mime-Version:1.0Content-Type:text/plain; charset=US-ASCIIContent-Transfer-Encoding:quoted-printableContent-Disposition:inlineContent-Length:3639

Forsberg is wrong. While that happens to be the same letter and number as an Amateur call sign, there is no copyright, trademark or any other right to it, A kid on a walkie talkie from Toys R Us could use that designation, or it could be on anyone's license plate. As long as you are not claiming it to be an Amateur call sign assigned to you, you can use it in any way you wish. Thanks and call me at 717-338-2502 if any problems.

3966. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:04:13 UTC
From: anthraxx@CollegeMail.com
Message-ID: <b8800371.0109130604.611fd33e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20156
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Brainbuster" <brain@buster.com> wrote in message
news:<9npl5tkvf2@chilli.nntp.netline.net.uk>...
> Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money
> is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian,
> who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get
> material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack
> we saw today would not be beyond them.
>
> Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War.
> That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to
> crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no end
> if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US
> president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out of
> the Iraqi army.
> -----
>
> I too was thinking of Iraq, but with some other reasons...
>
> In the gulf war, the first thing that was taken out was their air
> capabilities.
> The gulf war was followed with "sanctions" which hit them finantially.
> Wasn't one of Saddams buildings attacked?
>
> This would seem to have been echoed with the attacks on your country.
> If this is the reason, then we in the UK had better watch out.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Brainbuster.

well when will politicians ever learn.....??? when you start a war,
you had better damn well finish it. dead men make great
enemies.....swartzkoff was 100 miles from bagdad when bush told him to
stop....now does anyone think it was a bad idea for him to be allowed
to attack and kill all of those bastards that support this
animal...how many times over the years have we had problems with this
bastard???? man o man...i sure hope junior does the job this time and
does it right......and also on that rag head hiding in afghanistan. i
think we should gear up again and do it , and if we have to do it

alone, then so be it.....we should and we will.....the world doesn't like us for a lot of reasons. but we shall continue to support the jews and i think we should...so if the towel heads don't like it for whatever reason, well just bring it,..geez.....

3967. Re: You bastard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:11:01 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <fbae037a3ceacde281ee9deff1215b07.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20157

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9npjgp$6me$0@208.206.142.98...

> From an unknown writer at CBS New York

Dick,

That wasn't from CBS in New York, but is a copyrighted
editorial by Leonard Pitts Jr. of the Miami Herald,
entitled "We'll go forward from this moment".

While it is certainly a moving piece and well worth
the reading, it probably should not have been published
in a public newsgroup unless you had permission of the
author.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupe89.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.144.89]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3968. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:15:39 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <fc3o7.2530$pH1.102335@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20158
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yeah Leland..... we have a major possible bomb waiting to happen here where I live.... Midland! If a plane crashed into Dow Chemical, the Midland plant, there is enough variety of chemicals and stuff like that could take out most of Mid-Michigan and leave a very big hole if these are mixed together or ignited. Fortunately I would think that they keep these items separated. But we here in Midland could very well be a target of future attacks, hell, ya never know....

Ryan, KC8PMX

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message news:tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
>

3969. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:20:40 UTC
From: anthraxx@CollegeMail.com
Message-ID: <b8800371.0109130620.6c6c0438@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20159
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:<9eCn7.6$yc3.3366@newsfeed.slurp.net>...
> "anthrax" <anthraxx@CollegeMail.com> wrote in message
> news:b8800371.0109111639.53c4ad66@posting.google.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:<tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with
> the
> > > terrorist attack this morning?
> > >
> >
> > i don't know good buddy . why don't you tell me. you are the hammy
> > around here pal.....it is nice that such a bad thing happening makes
> > pricks like you start acting right. well imagine that.
>
> Hey, anthrax, crawl back under whatever beer-soaked rock you call home. And
> if you actually have a ham license (which I doubt), you need to fold it
> until it is all sharp corners, bend over, and shove it up your ****!!
>
> Mark
> K5MAR
>
> From all of us In Oklahoma, who remember the help sent to us by NYC in 1995,
> God Bless Our Friends in New York City. Our prayers are with you.

my my....a piece of work from the indian territories speaks on the
newsgroup.
sir i am not a ham now or will i ever be. many of these hammies, if
you want to call them that, who hang around here are what is called by
the 'old heads' of ham radio.......shall we say......riff raff that
somehow got a ham license but are still cbers. but they run around
with this holier than thou attitude here, which is the only place they
can get away with such behavior. and whine about power..... and
perhaps your royal lowness fits that bill..... good buddy...

3970. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:24:42 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <0p3o7.32520$ga2.1418820@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20160
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

anthrax <anthraxx@CollegeMail.com> wrote in message
news:b8800371.0109130604.611fd33e@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brain@buster.com> wrote in message
news:<9npl5tkvf2@chilli.nntp.netline.net.uk>...
> > Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
> >
> > People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My
money
> > is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and
civilian,
> > who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get
> > material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of
attack
> > we saw today would not be beyond them.
> >
> > Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf
War.
> > That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt
to
> > crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no
end
> > if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US
> > president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out
of
> > the Iraqi army.
> > -----
> >
> > I too was thinking of Iraq, but with some other reasons...
> >
> > In the gulf war, the first thing that was taken out was their air
> > capabilities.
> > The gulf war was followed with "sanctions" which hit them finantially.
> > Wasn't one of Saddams buildings attacked?
> >
> > This would seem to have been echoed with the attacks on your country.
> > If this is the reason, then we in the UK had better watch out.
> >
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > Brainbuster.
>

> well when will politicians ever learn.....??? when you start a war,
> you had better damn well finish it. dead men make great
> enemies.....swartzkoff was 100 miles from bagdad when bush told him to
> stop....now does anyone think it was a bad idea for him to be allowed
> to attack and kill all of those bastards that support this
> animal...how many times over the years have we had problems with this
> bastard???? man o man...i sure hope junior does the job this time and
> does it right......and also on that rag head hiding in afghanistan. i
> think we should gear up again and do it , and if we have to do it
> alone, then so be it.........we should and we will.........the world
> doesn't like us for a lot of reasons. but we shall continue to support
> the jews and i think we should...so if the towel heads don't like it
> for whatever reason, well just bring it,..geez.......

There was a sniper detailed to take that bastard out, they were in position in bagdad and no one had the balls to give them the order. I know of the tem and one member personally.

Keith "WebDoc" Hosman
K.A.T.N. Inc.

3971. Re: 10 Meter Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:26:16 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9nqj6p02ag1@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20173

another thing to note. there was a comment about freebanders below the 10m band causing noise in the lower end of the band... well one day i was hearing buckshot up above 28600. i tuned and tuned and finally found the source... some cb'er on 27035 (channel 2 or 3 i think) blasting away splattering around 2mhz both directions! now this would be understandable if he was my neighbor or something like that, but i am in western mass and this guy was in new orleans la! of course he was probably running big power, but he wasn't any louder than any of the other cb'ers i could hear at them time, probably because his other 5kw was spread out so wide. so don't think their noise is only around the bottom of the band, some of that modified stuff and 'linears' are so dirty they could probably be as bad as spark gaps!

3972. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:29:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA0C2E3.4A1435B6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Roger Halstead wrote:
> They called the attacks "Acts of War" and said that "should" we be able to
> trace the actions to a specific country there is that possibility.

I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
birthday. Could that be true?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3973. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:30:02 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <mgf1qtcqhplbvq6bltg24sogvh9u19eldf@4ax.com>
Source record: 20165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On 12 Sep 2001 22:53:04 GMT, Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net>
wrote:

>
>How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
>phone calls from airliners.
>
>How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
>debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
>In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
>which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
>tragedy.
>
>So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
>evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

You know, it's obvious this is just a troll, but I think I'd like to
respond, anyway.

I, for one, am very glad ham radio didn't become the main
communications medium in this crisis. Why? Because that would
indicate destruction of infrastructure on a far more massive scale
than we saw.

And ham radio has never been the main way the average Joe on the
street called for help; most aren't hams, remember? That's nothing
new. If anything, cell phones are a welcome development; obviously,
they can be invaluable (if for no other reason than they're
everywhere).

Ham radio won't always serve the most important role in a crisis. It
makes little sense to attack it, therefore, just because things never
got so terrible, on such a large scale, that ham radio was all that
was left. It has been reported that even this attack, however, took
out a number of services that went through the cell phone tower
/telephone exchange in the WTC buildings. Several people reported the
inability to use their cell phones after the attack (it probably
depended upon whose service to which one subscribed). Furthermore,
many of the telephone circuits in the area were overloaded; cell
phones were by no means a reliable method of communications.

Finally, it should be noted that a number of hams are carrying out
communications duties in the wake of the attacks, ranging from health
and welfare to assisting with emergency traffic. See www.arrl.org for

some of the details.

Perhaps at a time like this it would make a little more sense to pull together, rather than looking for a fight over trivial issues.

Regards,
Jonathan
N0YM
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

3974. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:36:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA0C457.4BC40603@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

mink wrote:
> I can plug into a simple phone jack and go anywhere I want.

Nope, you can't. You can't check into an amateur radio emergency
net or the 40m Good Old Boy's Net over a telephone.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

3975. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:37:11 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <JA3o7.32521$ga2.1419574@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20163
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:fc3o7.2530$pH1.102335@monger.newsread.com...
> Yeah Leland..... we have a major possible bomb waiting to happen here
where
> I live.... Midland! If a plane crashed into Dow Chemical, the Midland
> plant, there is enough variety of chemicals and stuff like that could take
> out most of Mid-Michigan and leave a very big hole if these are mixed
> together or ignited. Fortunately I would think that they keep these items
> separated. But we here in Midland could very well be a target of future
> attacks, hell, ya never know....
>
>
> Ryan, KC8PMX

We have discussed this very matter for months before this tragedy occurred. You might all believe we are sick for planning for these things, but it is far better to be prepared for the worst, and have nothing happen, than have the world fall down around you and be caught with your head up your ass. Here in N.W. Ohio we are at the "epicenter" we have 2 nuclear plants within 75 miles, we have 4 oil refineries, the Lima Tank plant, several chemical plants. We have to be prepared to set up shelters and decontamination areas for the affected areas. In case of such a disaster, we would not only be supporting our own county, but might be the only ones available to handle the areas closer to the incedent, incase the workers in that area are contaminated or worse. If any of you here wish to help out now or in the future, i encourage you to talk to your local Red Cross and volunteer for their Disaster Action Team (DAT) I know that the Greater Toledo chapter in Ohio needs volunteers, they cover 3 counties, the city of Toledo is as large as our entire county here, yet our DAT team is at least 3 times larger. Deidcated hard working volunteers are needed all over the country, especially in areas like Texas, Nevada, Utah, New Mexico, Arizona, and they are especially looking for those with radio communications experiance.

Keith "WebDoc" Hosman

3976. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 14:57:23 UTC
From: Matt <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <kF3o7.12129$c5.101683@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 20166
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> well when will politicians ever learn.....??? when you start a war,
> you had better damn well finish it. dead men make great
> enemies.....swartzkoff was 100 miles from bagdad when bush told him to
> stop....now does anyone think it was a bad idea for him to be allowed
> to attack and kill all of those bastards that support this
> animal...how many times over the years have we had problems with this
> bastard???? man o man...i sure hope junior does the job this time and
> does it right......and also on that rag head hiding in afghanistan. i
> think we should gear up again and do it , and if we have to do it
> alone, then so be it.....we should and we will.....the world
> doesn't like us for a lot of reasons. but we shall continue to support
> the jews and i think we should...so if the towel heads don't like it
> for whatever reason, well just bring it,..geez.....

I very much doubt that the US will be in a position that they have to take on the scum all by yourselves. I was just watching some of the news programs, and it appears that NATO has activated under article 5 - whereby an attack on a member nation of NATO is viewed as an attack on NATO. All that needs to be done now is that if the US decides that it was an attack by a foreign power, then NATO will fall in alongside with the US. Additionally, Australia is looking at the same thing via the ANZUS treaty, now OK we may well be a pissant little country, but I guess that in the end every little bit counts. I look forward to kicking some towel head arses alongside with other allied nations.

Matt

3977. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 15:12:58 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913111258.09391.00000278@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 20168

For all the Trolls like bill W7TI. As of Sept. 13th this is part of a story on the ARRL web site.

As the response to the unprecedented terrorist attacks on the US September 11 moves into a third day, calls have gone out for additional amateurs to support the Salvation Army's relief efforts in Washington, DC

The trolls can get the full story at arrl.org
of course they will not look it up, they rather be uninformed trolls.

73
KF6VYH

3978. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 15:17:18 UTC
From: jm451@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3BA0CDFE.4ECCACC5@nauticom.net>
Source record: 20170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Why do you have to be so antagonistic? Amateur radio passes messages around the world..... never mind. I feel like i'm talking to a person with a closed mind.

Dave Johnson wrote:

> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

3979. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 15:39:29 UTC
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-6F248B.10585513092001@news.kih.net>
Source record: 20172

In article <20010912012008.00667.00000609@nso-mq.aol.com>,
yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

> In article <geomac-CA4D2B.12282410092001@news.kih.net>, George McCouch
> <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Jim,
> >
> >I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
> >Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
> >true :)
> >
> >73
> >George
> >W3GEO
>
> George:
>
> Yep -- got the ruler over the knuckles many times, in addition to
> the long, wooden pointer with the black tip over the head! Then
> one nun, Sister John Marie, (forgot the order), used to like to use
> the knuckle of her index finger to poke (hard) into your temples --
> very painful. My experiences in Catholic school from 1957 to
> 1966 would today result in all the teachers being jailed and a
> multi-million dollar lawsuit against the school district. They have
> also affected my life in such a way as I can now directly
> attribute any lack of success to the mistreatment I received at
> the hands of so-called "religious" people. You see, I was singled
> out for particularly heinous treatment, since I was the oldest
> son of one of the few lawyers in town, and the only one in the
> parish at the time. Because my father was rich, successful, and
> a powerful influence in the community, I was singled out for
> retribution by petty, jealous people who were singularly unqualified
> for teaching, except for the fact that they were Catholic. I would
> like to say that this is now water under the bridge, but the
> emotional scars of the degradation I suffered at the hands of my
> elementary-school teachers have never healed, and have had
> a lifelong effect.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Larry,

I completely forgot about the pointer with the rubber tip.
Maybe it was a repressed memory.

While I can only speak about the Sisters Of Mercy (sometimes we thought the name was a bit ironic) I can say that they were excellent teachers who kept you on track and forced you to succeed. When I went to college I found that no one really cared if you succeded or not and at first it was very difficult for me to stay focused especially when the professors were telling you and they did not care if you even came to class because they were going to get paid whether or not any showed up.

Most of the students at the Catholic elementary and high school I attended came from suburban working class and middle management neighborhoods and as a general rule they were used to discipline at home that often rivaled what they got at school.

I agree with you that some of the practices at Catholic elementary schools in the 50s and 60s might be cause for invesitgations and lawsuits today, but some of the discipline going on in the student's homes would bring out the social worker today. It went hand in hand and in most neighborhoods served by the parish, it was widely accepted.

Maybe we are more enlightened today.

George
W3GEO

3980. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 16:47:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA0D4BB.4A603932@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20174
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Brainbuster wrote:

> Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money
> is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian,
> who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get
> material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack
> we saw today would not be beyond them.

The terrorist trained to fly right here in the US, they were not trained
military pilots.

>
>
> Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War.
> That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to
> crash a jet on Camp David.

That jet was not meant for Camp David, there is no statement to be made there,
it was meant for either the White House or the Capitol.

3981. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 17:03:24 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <kso1qtku5se1gl7b1itn3vc8io67g0oln9@4ax.com>
Source record: 20175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 01:35:36 GMT, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:

>Oh really. Just look back at all the times when ham radio was the *only*
>communications available and not just locally but internationally.

_____

That's my point exactly, JJ. Ham radio USED to be a valuable tool in an emergency. Back when there were no cell phones, long distance was unreliable and expensive and local authorities had little disaster training and/or equipment, ham radio had a useful function.

Time has moved on and pretty much passed us by. Each of us only so much time, energy and money to spend. Let's spend it where it will do the most good. Get involved with your local emergency people, your local search and rescue, volunteer fire department, Red Cross or wherever your interests lie. If your local disaster communication equipment isn't up to snuff, get involved. Go to city council meetings and demand improvement. Pass out leaflets at the next bond election. Demand that opposition to cell towers cease. Insist on more cell towers in remote areas. I could go on and on, but I hope you get the point.

We pay our emergency services people to do a job; lets do all we can to see they have the resources they need so they do not have to depend on some unknown quantity of volunteers.

Bill, W7TI

3982. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 17:35:48 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <h4r1qtgt5720j0n6q3tqs17m3mh5j3bgpp@4ax.com>
Source record: 20176

On 13 Sep 2001 15:12:58 GMT, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote:

>The trolls can get the full story at arrl.org
>of course they will not look it up, they rather be uninformed trolls.

I do not troll, my friend.

I get on this newsgroup to discuss serious matters and poke holes in absurd statements.

You will notice my full name appears in every header and my name and callsign appear in every signature. I stand behind what I say and I welcome reasoned arguments. I don't claim to have all the answers and I'm willing to listen to anyone (other than name-callers) who want to discuss the issues.

If you have something constructive to add, go right ahead. Otherwise, please just delete and move on.

73, Bill W7TI

3983. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 17:41:05 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tq1rrvnniu6b71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9np8ca02uer@enews4.newsguy.com...
> I totally agree with you glenn. 2 years ago we were even needed in a major
> forest fire for evacuees and locations. they could not use their cell
phones
> or normal radios. due to the fact that everyone was evicted to 300 km or
> more away. a normal 2 meter repeater could reack farther then that onour
> hill tops. gee.

I remember a story about the Oak Ridge Tennessee fire. The only communications in and out of the area for a while was a VHF repeater built in to a 55 gallon oil drum, which was buried underground, and somehow the antenna survived the fire.

There was also the story a few years ago where a hurricane flooded out the telephone exchange in a New England area, and over a million people has zero phone service for days, both land line and cell based. The only communication was by radio.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

3984. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 18:26:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913142623.02640.00000308@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 20178

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Matt" doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com
>Date: 9/13/01 10:57 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <kF3o7.12129$c5.101683@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>

>Additionally, Australia is looking at the same thing via the
>ANZUS treaty, now OK we may well be a pissant little country, but I
>guess that in the end every little bit counts

I trained with some ANZAC types while I was in the U. S. Marines.

NZ may be small, but you guys breed some kick*** soldiers. I certainly
wouldn't want to make 'em mad!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3985. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 18:27:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913142747.02640.00000309@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 20179

>Subject: Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/13/01 10:29 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA0C2E3.4A1435B6@IEEE.org>

>I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
>birthday. Could that be true?

And I didn't even send a card.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

3986. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 19:00:59 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <Ln7o7.5901$CR2.6624116@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 20180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

All one had to do is listen to the air waves to know just how irrelevant hams are. A bunch of old farts talking the same tired crap, day in and day out. This notion that hams could provide a much needed service in times of emergency today is fanciful nonsense. Anyone who has a cell phone can do what they do and probably better.

"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message news:3BA0AE43.9ADB0901@qwest.net...
> You are full o' crap Bill. Read the many replies from
> others who KNOW.
>
>
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> >
> > On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
> >
> > ____
> >
> > Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
> >
> > A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
> > recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.
> >
> > Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
> > blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
> > apparently the high point.
> >
> > If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> > touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> > training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> > Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> > drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
> >
> > As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> > but passed.
> >
> > Bill, W7TI

3987. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 19:18:23 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <3E7o7.3018$wC.195653@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>
Source record: 20181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Fart Eddie wrote in message ...
>All one had to do is listen to the air waves to know just how irrelevant
>hams are. A bunch of old farts talking the same tired crap, day in and day
>out. This notion that hams could provide a much needed service in times of
>emergency today is fanciful nonsense. Anyone who has a cell phone can do
>what they do and probably better.

You're completely missing the point, and you're also completely wrong. I hate to tell you but ham radio doesn't consist of a bunch of old farts, but many people of different ages all over the world. They provide communications for law enforcement, fire, ems and provide health and welfare traffic when major disasters exist. Disasters that have rendered conventional radio systems and cell phones USELESS. Nice try, but you're way off. By the way, have you ever heard the Maritime Mobile Net on 14.300? If you did, you wouldn't have been stupid enough to post such nonsense.

3988. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 19:40:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA10BA0.CED9B9F9@uci.edu>
Source record: 20182

See:

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
> here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
> million subscribers. Where was all the "emergency
> communications" by radio amateurs then? TV was on
> the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
> had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
> transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.

Because you don't see them means they don't exist?

3989. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 19:43:33 UTC
From: Jack <jwholub@suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <708o7.6786$ym4.273415@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20183

Larry,

"any cop should be able to safely disable a person with a weapon"

Have you seen the size and gender of some cops?
Police Departments would love to hire people that could always get the job done with a minimum of force but that can't always happen.

The most important thing for a cop to do is come home from work at the end of the day. The penal law should guide their actions. But remember there are many variables in these encounters. And it's better to be judged by twelve than carried by six.

--
Jack ......Long Island, New York

3990. Re: LHA irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 19:51:44 UTC
From: nohf4brian <hfisfun@osu.edu>
Message-ID: <MPG.160ad8837fd32843989713@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20184

In article <3BA10BA0.CED9B9F9@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> See:
>
> http://acs.oes.ca.gov/
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
> > here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
> > million subscribers. Where was all the "emergency
> > communications" by radio amateurs then? TV was on
> > the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
> > had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
> > transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.
>
> Because you don't see them means they don't exist?
>

Leonard only believes what he sees. Just like the proverbial mule and its close cousin, the LHA JACKASS.

In NYC right now, hundreds of hams are manning the 3 dozen shelters freeing up volunteers to help elsewhere. Of course, they do so without TV coverage or the need to be recognized, therefore they don;t exist according to LHA Left coast logic.

Cellphone usage for the 1st 19 hours after the collapse was near impossible due to the tremendous volume of calls. Phone communications was virtually impossible with 50 miles of NYC. Of course, it never happened because LHA didn't experience it. Maybe next time LHA could be up close and personal and then give his expert 50 years of radio communications impressions from deep in the ground, like the worm he is

Only kidding there Len. You keep on keeping on.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

3991. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 19:54:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913155441.19673.00000261@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20185

In article <20010913033637.18738.00000217@mb-fy.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>>Really? I've been listening to the media since it began, both TV and
>>radio, and I haven't heard "ham radio" mentioned once.
>>
>>Where does your information come from?
>
>Just because the media does not mention something, it does not mean it is not
>being used.

So, if no one else sees/hears anything, it still exists?

If the only "news medium" that mentions amateur radio activities during this time of crisis is about amateur radio, amateur radio is somehow in the "forefront" of everything?

>The media covers the stories that are of most importance to the
>viewing public.

The "media" covers whatever the editors of the news media think is of "importance to the viewing public." First-year working news journalists learn that (usually abruptly) on the job.

To be fair, news editors do a credible job in directing what is covered. In my area the Los Angeles TIMES presents a mass of credible information for readers. Nearly the entirety of the main section of today's issue is all about what was done on Day 2 of this tragic event.

There is no mention of amateur radio "emergency communications" either in the TIMES nor on five major TV networks continuously broadcasting news and images. Does that mean such things don't exist? No. It means that the amateur radio "emergency communications" activities are small, minor in comparison to what everyone else is doing.

>The Red Cross has used Ham radio for years, the Salvation
>army also uses ham radio. Troll away, Troll

While that is true, it is not justification for calling anyone a "troll" or otherwise deprecating or denigrating others for pointing out what is obvious to anyone of the general public seeing, reading, or hearing the events of this terrible time that began on 11 September.

The conclusion is that amateur radio "emergency communications" is a very minor part of the overall effort in this time of national crisis. Activities must be viewed in a proper perspective, not as what individuals want to see.

3992. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 20:20:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA10695.16F5D868@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20186

internet-terminal zz4 wrote:

>
> Re: being threatened
>
>
>
> #1, Why do you want to use someone else's identity for your email
> address?
>

First of all you are not using his identity. That may be his ham radio call and it applies to ham radio, it is only his call sign as assigned by the FCC to allow him to operate and identity his station on the ham bands, it is not his identity outside the ham bands, and has nothing to do with the internet or anything else. Ask him if his driver's license has his name or ham call on it. Tell him to pound sand and if he keeps hassling you via private email report him to his ISP

3993. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 20:22:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA1071D.733E2749@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20187

Don't worry, the military will do it for you.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
> >birthday. Could that be true?
>
> And I didn't even send a card.....
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3994. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 20:40:36 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 20188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you can blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls buddy and it tolls for thee.

"Jack Thorpe" <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:3E7o7.3018$wC.195653@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net...
>
>
> You're completely missing the point, and you're also completely wrong. I
> hate to tell you but ham radio doesn't consist of a bunch of old farts,
but
> many people of different ages all over the world. They provide
> communications for law enforcement, fire, ems and provide health and
welfare
> traffic when major disasters exist. Disasters that have rendered
> conventional radio systems and cell phones USELESS. Nice try, but you're
> way off. By the way, have you ever heard the Maritime Mobile Net on
14.300?
> If you did, you wouldn't have been stupid enough to post such nonsense.
>
>

3995. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 20:42:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913164234.23913.00000330@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 20189

In article <3BA10BA0.CED9B9F9@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>See:
>
>http://acs.oes.ca.gov/
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
>> here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
>> million subscribers. Where was all the "emergency
>> communications" by radio amateurs then? TV was on
>> the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
>> had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
>> transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.
>
>Because you don't see them means they don't exist?

Not at all...yet news coverage featuring amateur radio
"emergency communications" after the Northridge
Earthquake was conspicuously absent. It wasn't on
TV, it wasn't in newspapers. It was stated in amateur
radio "news media" as providing "emergency
communications."

Those who wish to get involved in REAL emergency
communications can go to the website Kelley has
provided above and learn, adopt a system that does
work and can function.

3996. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 21:20:50 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <Sq9o7.3029$wC.198417@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>
Source record: 20190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Fart Eddie wrote in message
<8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>...
>What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you can
>blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally
>saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls buddy
>and it tolls for thee.

Believe what you want, dipshit. The facts remain.

3997. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 21:40:30 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.160ac7a6ef7cba59989749@news>
Source record: 20191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

In article <Sq9o7.3029$wC.198417@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>,
jthorpe1234@my-deja.com says...
>
> Fart Eddie wrote in message
> <8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>...
> >What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you can
> >blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally
> >saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls buddy
> >and it tolls for thee.
>
> Believe what you want, dipshit. The facts remain.

Turner and his twin brother Thorpe are just ignorant trolls.

Neither have explained the value of their cell phones when a hurricane
takes out the power and cell sites.

What have we learned?

1. Turner and Thorpe are trolls.

2. Ham radio isn't needed in every emergency situation.

3. Turner and Thorpe think you can use a cell phone even though those
services aren't available due to power loss or cell site damage.

4. Hams have helped out in recent floods when other forms of
communications including cell phones are rendered useless.

5. Turner and Thorpe must have invested lots of money in Iridium
Satellite equipment.

6. Hams have helped out after recent hurricanes when other forms of
communications including cell phones are rendered useless.

7. Bill and Jack deserve each other.

3998. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 21:40:57 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nr8s50o7l@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Ahh I see smelly eddie is here too. rambling his nonsence. I may be in my 20's but I ain't a old person yet. I still am a ham and HAVE had to use the radios in these types of emergencies.

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message news:8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you can
> blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally
> saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls buddy
> and it tolls for thee.
>
> "Jack Thorpe" <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:3E7o7.3018$wC.195653@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net...
> >
> >
> > You're completely missing the point, and you're also completely wrong.
I
> > hate to tell you but ham radio doesn't consist of a bunch of old farts,
> but
> > many people of different ages all over the world. They provide
> > communications for law enforcement, fire, ems and provide health and
> welfare
> > traffic when major disasters exist. Disasters that have rendered
> > conventional radio systems and cell phones USELESS. Nice try, but
you're
> > way off. By the way, have you ever heard the Maritime Mobile Net on
> 14.300?
> > If you did, you wouldn't have been stupid enough to post such nonsense.
> >
> >
>
>

3999. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 21:49:41 UTC
From: Jonathan Williams <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA129F5.F6454D16@iastate.edu>
Source record: 20193

JJ wrote:

> Don't worry, the military will do it for you.
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > >I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
> > >birthday. Could that be true?
> >
> > And I didn't even send a card.....
>

Please be careful to remember that most muslims don't do or agree with stuff like this. In fact, I'd venture to say the "people" who did this really aren't Islamic, any more than the KKK is Christian.

We need to be careful not to allow our anger to be redirected where it doesn't belong. Most muslims I've heard (both American and otherwise) condemn and hate what happened in the strongest terms. They're just as shocked and angry over it as everyone else.

For that matter, a good many ordinary American muslims probably died in those towers.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
Remove "x's" from email to reply

4000. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 21:52:49 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA12AB1.94C54794@uci.edu>
Source record: 20192

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Those who wish to get involved in REAL emergency
> communications can go to the website Kelley has
> provided above and learn, adopt a system that does
> work and can function.

and which prominently features amateur radio as it's primary
communications tool.

4001. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 22:08:18 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA12E52.F9F67088@uci.edu>
Source record: 20195

CAM wrote:
> Assuming there are about 400,000 HF hams, I would say 10% of them
> using CW would be more than enough for "survival".

That's a fair answer. But to be able to make such an assumtion about
it's future survival, you'd have to know how many would now be using CW
if none of them had been able to pass a 5WPM code test.

4002. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 22:30:48 UTC
From: bfandco <bfandco@mon-cre.net>
Message-ID: <3BA13397.6C8865CE@mon-cre.net>
Source record: 20196
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Flying the plane is easy once it's in the air. Taking off and landing is the hard part. The Arab didn't have to worry over the hard part, he wasn't going to land.

JJ wrote:

> Brainbuster wrote:
>
> > Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
> >
> > People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money
> > is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian,
> > who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get
> > material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack
> > we saw today would not be beyond them.
>
> The terrorist trained to fly right here in the US, they were not trained
> military pilots.
>
> >
> >
> > Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War.
> > That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to
> > crash a jet on Camp David.
>
> That jet was not meant for Camp David, there is no statement to be made there,
> it was meant for either the White House or the Capitol.

4003. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 22:36:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA12695.3964219@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Those who can do, and those who can't belittle those who can.

Glenn wrote:

> In article <Sq9o7.3029$wC.198417@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>,
> jthorpe1234@my-deja.com says...
> >
> > Fart Eddie wrote in message
> > <8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>...
> > >What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you can
> > >blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally
> > >saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls buddy
> > >and it tolls for thee.
> >
> > Believe what you want, dipshit. The facts remain.
>
> Turner and his twin brother Thorpe are just ignorant trolls.
>
> Neither have explained the value of their cell phones when a hurricane
> takes out the power and cell sites.
>
> What have we learned?
>
> 1. Turner and Thorpe are trolls.
>
> 2. Ham radio isn't needed in every emergency situation.
>
> 3. Turner and Thorpe think you can use a cell phone even though those
> services aren't available due to power loss or cell site damage.
>
> 4. Hams have helped out in recent floods when other forms of
> communications including cell phones are rendered useless.
>
> 5. Turner and Thorpe must have invested lots of money in Iridium
> Satellite equipment.
>
> 6. Hams have helped out after recent hurricanes when other forms of
> communications including cell phones are rendered useless.
>
> 7. Bill and Jack deserve each other.
>
>

4004. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 22:54:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA1393C.31046CCE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20198

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Assuming there are about 400,000 HF hams, I would say 10% of them
> > using CW would be more than enough for "survival".
>
> That's a fair answer. But to be able to make such an assumtion about
> it's future survival, you'd have to know how many would now be using CW
> if none of them had been able to pass a 5WPM code test.

Virtually everyone is "able to pass" a 5wpm code test. It probably
doesn't matter much if it is an FCC requirement or an ARRL merit
certificate. I could be wrong about CW having enough merits to
survive without an affirmative action program but I certainly hope
I'm right. IMO, all it will take is the ARRL and hams like you and
me advocating the mode. Surely the ARRL will continue the W1AW code
practice sessions after the requirement is dropped.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4005. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 22:59:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20199
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Matt wrote:
> I was just watching some of the
> news programs, and it appears that NATO has activated under article 5 -
> whereby an attack on a member nation of NATO is viewed as an attack on
> NATO. All that needs to be done now is that if the US decides that it
> was an attack by a foreign power, then NATO will fall in alongside with
> the US.

With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
it's a good time to clean house.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4006. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:02:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA13AEC.1718A0CA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20200
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JJ wrote:
> The terrorist trained to fly right here in the US, they were not trained
> military pilots.

Yep, just saw on CNN where they were interested in flying, banking,
heading, etc., not in takeoffs or landings. The instructor said that
was unusual.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4007. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:05:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA13BAE.6F7CA509@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20252

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 9/13/01 10:29 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BA0C2E3.4A1435B6@IEEE.org>
>
> >I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
> >birthday. Could that be true?
>
> And I didn't even send a card.....

I looked it up. The lady who said that was wrong. Sept. 11 doesn't
appear to be an Islamic holiday of any kind.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4008. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:05:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913190539.09114.00000352@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 20251

In article <3BA12AB1.94C54794@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Those who wish to get involved in REAL emergency
>> communications can go to the website Kelley has
>> provided above and learn, adopt a system that does
>> work and can function.
>
>and which prominently features amateur radio as it's primary
>communications tool.

Incorrect. The description of the California Auxilliary
Communications Service (ACS) does NOT "feature
amateur radio as it's primary tool." Read it again.

The ACS integrates both civil and governmental radio
services as well as landline communications services
into a unified whole.

While regular ACS nets are run by amateur licensees,
they state openly that they do not consider amateurs
over anyone else.

I can understand the rather open (in here) chest-beating
and (somewhat misplaced) self pride that comes from
having been granted a federal radio license, but trying to
use only a part of an official government's description is
starting to look ludicrous.

In any REAL emergency, it does not matter what anyone's
"credentials" are but rather who can do the job best. That
best includes those who are prepared, are trained, and
have experience...experience in modern-day communications
and the ability to integrate with the infra-structure.

To be correctly negatively critical of the ARRL, I would fault
them for not emphasizing integration with government
resources operationally or technically...and overemphasizing
the past history of amateur radio activities as deeds worthy
of medals, pomp, and framable commendations.

Having been granted an amateur radio license does not
automatically equate personal respect that is due others
who have done good deeds via amateur radio.

Leonard H. Anderson

4009. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:07:49 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9%ao7.3059$wC.203416@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>
Source record: 20253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote in message ...
>Turner and his twin brother Thorpe are just ignorant trolls.
>
>Neither have explained the value of their cell phones when a hurricane
>takes out the power and cell sites.

huh? I think you better go back and learn how to read. I'll give you the benefit of the doubt though and assume that you may not have seen them all.

>
>What have we learned?
>
>1. Turner and Thorpe are trolls.

Wrong

>
>2. Ham radio isn't needed in every emergency situation.

Obvious

>
>3. Turner and Thorpe think you can use a cell phone even though those
>services aren't available due to power loss or cell site damage.

No I don't dumbshit. Again, look back at my other posts. I have been one of the people trying to make the cellphone idiots understand that cellphones are useless when the power is out and there is no service. I also talked about Health and Welfare traffic.

>
>4. Hams have helped out in recent floods when other forms of
>communications including cell phones are rendered useless.

Agreed.

>
>5. Turner and Thorpe must have invested lots of money in Iridium
>Satellite equipment.

Stupid comment

>
>6. Hams have helped out after recent hurricanes when other forms of
>communications including cell phones are rendered useless.

Agreed.

>
>7. Bill and Jack deserve each other.

You deserve to continue your education because you're obviously not where
you need to be in terms of comprehension.

4010. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:08:44 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <00bo7.3060$wC.203527@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>
Source record: 20254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote in message ...
>In article <Sq9o7.3029$wC.198417@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>,
>jthorpe1234@my-deja.com says...
>>
>> Fart Eddie wrote in message
>> <8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>...
>> >What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you
can
>> >blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally
>> >saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls
buddy
>> >and it tolls for thee.
>>
>> Believe what you want, dipshit. The facts remain.
>

Oh and by the way asshole, my callsign is November Zero Victor Lima Delta.

4011. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:11:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA13D0C.34EF10B2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Fart Eddie wrote:
> Anyone who has a cell phone can do what they do and probably better.

Try to check into an HF emergency traffic net on a cellphone.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4012. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:22:18 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA13FAA.5A04FE75@uci.edu>
Source record: 20256

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Assuming there are about 400,000 HF hams, I would say 10% of them
> > > using CW would be more than enough for "survival".
> >
> > That's a fair answer. But to be able to make such an assumtion about
> > it's future survival, you'd have to know how many would now be using CW
> > if none of them had been able to pass a 5WPM code test.

> Virtually everyone is "able to pass" a 5wpm code test.

You have significantly overstated the truth of the matter. If it were
true then "virtually everyone" with a ham license would be General and
above.

> It probably
> doesn't matter much if it is an FCC requirement or an ARRL merit
> certificate. I could be wrong about CW having enough merits to
> survive without an affirmative action program but I certainly hope
> I'm right.

I probably don't believe there is as strong a coupling between merit and
survival as you do. There is probably a stronger coupling between ease
of use and survival.

> IMO, all it will take is the ARRL and hams like you and
> me advocating the mode.

Wonder why the FCC never thought of that? ;-)

> Surely the ARRL will continue the W1AW code
> practice sessions after the requirement is dropped.

For a while. CW will last as long as ham radio, I expect.

4013. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:38:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913193841.04470.00000338@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20257

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/01 3:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010913155441.19673.00000261@nso-mg.aol.com>

Once again, Leonard H. Anderson, vile and venomous troll that he is,
demonstrates that he speaks with forked tongue.

He at once says Amatuer Radio is a great avocation, then attempts to cut
it's throat at every opportunity.

>If the only "news medium" that mentions amateur radio
>activities during this time of crisis is about amateur radio,
>amateur radio is somehow in the "forefront" of everything?

I have the distinct honor of being a part of two different programs,
neither of which are big in "media" exposure, yet both contribute to America as
a whole.

Are they "forefront"...?!?! No. But then they are vital nonetheless.

Only Lennie the Liar (and Leonard H. Anderson IS a liar) makes this
assertion that "we" are saying so.

Is Amatuer Radio involved in disaster recovery in New York...?!?!

Yes it is.

Has the media been rushing to drag Amatuers in front of a camera and say
"Geez...look at him...HE's got a RADIO..."...?!?! Of course not... But does
that mean it's not happening???

Again, of course not. It is. It's a fact.

And heartless, lying deceitful punks like Lennie Anderson will DO and SAY
anything to make it appear as though it is less than what it is.

Because he's a creep. He was born a creep...he was raised a creep, and
he's a creep to this very day.

>It means that the amateur radio "emergency
>communications" activities are small, minor in
>comparison to what everyone else is doing.

No...what it MEANS is that they have better things to do than cozy up to TV
camera crews and get thier pics on the tube to blunt your rants, Your
Putziness.

>While that is true, it is not justification for calling anyone a
>"troll" or otherwise deprecating or denigrating others for
>pointing out what is obvious to anyone of the general
>public seeing, reading, or hearing the events of this
>terrible time that began on 11 September.

Sure it is. It was a troll from the outset, and it is a troll now....And you seek to blunt criticism of it becasue YOU are a troll.

Again, do you think that these people have nothig better to do than satisfy YOU..???

>Activities must be viewed
>in a proper perspective, not as what individuals want to
>see.

And of course YOUR evaluation of the overall merit of what the Amateurs are contributing is based on what first hand practical experience, You Lyingness?

Your "evaluation" is based solely upon a lak of media coverage of what YOU wanbt to see.

I haven't seen any specials or "human interest" stories of ER nurses or the people who run the hospitals, but are they not there? Do thier contributions not add to the overall recovery effort...???

You are SUCH a PUTZ...!!!

4014. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-13 23:39:06 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3ba1439b$0$1533$f5f63664@news.tdin.com>
Source record: 20258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Read the ARRL web site bulletins!

"Dave Johnson" <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
>
> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

4015. Re: You bastard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 00:46:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nrk0h$qd1$0@208.207.71.162>
Source record: 20259

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9npjgp$6me$0@208.206.142.98...
>
> > From an unknown writer at CBS New York
>
> Dick,
>
> That wasn't from CBS in New York, but is a copyrighted
> editorial by Leonard Pitts Jr. of the Miami Herald,
> entitled "We'll go forward from this moment".

Could be, but it has been sent under sevaral different quoted, that is
just another.

>
> While it is certainly a moving piece and well worth
> the reading, it probably should not have been published
> in a public newsgroup unless you had permission of the
> author.
>

Yeah, Right. I'l remember that next time the World Trade Center gets a
couple jets in the gut.

4016. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 00:57:50 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.160af5e545865f5498974b@news>
Source record: 20260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

My bad Jack. Wow! Sorry dude I really blew it on your half!

I don't know how I mistook you for a moron.

Again, sorry Jack, my mistake.

Glenn

In article <9%ao7.3059$wC.203416@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>,
jthorpe1234@my-deja.com says...
>
> Glenn wrote in message ...
> >Turner and his twin brother Thorpe are just ignorant trolls.
> >
> >Neither have explained the value of their cell phones when a hurricane
> >takes out the power and cell sites.
>
> huh? I think you better go back and learn how to read. I'll give you the
> benefit of the doubt though and assume that you may not have seen them all.
>
> >
> >What have we learned?
> >
> >1. Turner and Thorpe are trolls.
>
> Wrong
>
> >
> >2. Ham radio isn't needed in every emergency situation.
>
> Obvious
>
> >
> >3. Turner and Thorpe think you can use a cell phone even though those
> >services aren't available due to power loss or cell site damage.
>
> No I don't dumbshit. Again, look back at my other posts. I have been one
> of the people trying to make the cellphone idiots understand that cellphones
> are useless when the power is out and there is no service. I also talked
> about Health and Welfare traffic.
>
> >
> >4. Hams have helped out in recent floods when other forms of
> >communications including cell phones are rendered useless.

>
> Agreed.
>
> >
> >5. Turner and Thorpe must have invested lots of money in Iridium
> >Satellite equipment.
>
> Stupid comment
>
> >
> >6. Hams have helped out after recent hurricanes when other forms of
> >communications including cell phones are rendered useless.
>
> Agreed.
>
> >
> >7. Bill and Jack deserve each other.
>
> You deserve to continue your education because you're obviously not where
> you need to be in terms of comprehension.
>
>
>
>

4017. Re: They Can't Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 00:59:55 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3ba079a2.6100027@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20261

On 11 Sep 2001 14:08:21 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3B9AFF17.A85C931F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>> The FCC can say that reducing code testing requirements is not
>>> dumbing down the ARS all it wants.
>>
>>That's big of you, Larry, to give the FCC permission to say that.
>>Since the FCC makes the rules, what the FCC ultimately says is
>>all that matters.
>
>Comrade Cecil:
>I see. So what radio amateurs say about the Amateur Radio Service
>doesn't count? Congratulations! You've just earned the title of
>"Comrade Cecil." This is how I shall refer to you from now on!
>Spaceba!
>73 de Larry, K3LT

What Amateurs say about amateur riles/regs MAY matter when
presented appropriately throught the legal process which the
FCC follows. In the end, the FCC makes a ruling or rulemaking
which may or may not address or satisy each and every
amateur's opinions.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4018. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:06:20 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA1580C.DF01EC5C@uci.edu>
Source record: 20262

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Incorrect. The description of the California Auxilliary
> Communications Service (ACS) does NOT "feature
> amateur radio as it's primary tool." Read it again.

Incorrect. I read it before I posted it. Did you not see amateur radio
mentioned prominently in the charter?

4019. Re: FCC Inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:13:04 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913211304.13779.00000464@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20263

>>>Phil what's a good email address to reach you? If possible.
>>
>>Ditto, if you wouldn't mind? :-) Take care and 73.
>>
> <k2asp@arrl.net>
>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
Thanks, Phil. Take care and 73.

Mark

4020. Re: FCC inspections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:16:01 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913211601.13779.00000465@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20264

>>Sorry Jim, you're quite correct. In the absence of the option of "going to
>>trial," (in the conventional sense, jury, etc...) it just appears to take on
>a
>>more "threatening" posture than that of a "bargain."
>
> Sorry, Federal law does not grant a jury trial in an administrative
> license dispute, only in a criminal case or in certain civil cases
> imvolving money damages.
>
> See my other posts for details.
>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
Gotcha. Thanks again, Phil. Take care and 73.

Mark

4021. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:21:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913212105.09423.00000413@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 20265

>Subject: Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)
>From: JJ radiobuff@hotmail.com
>Date: 9/13/01 4:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA1071D.733E2749@hotmail.com>

>Don't worry, the military will do it for you.

=)

Steve

4022. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:29:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913212926.09423.00000416@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 20266

>Subject: Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)
>From: Jonathan Williams jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu
>Date: 9/13/01 5:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA129F5.F6454D16@iastate.edu>

>Please be careful to remember that most muslims don't do or agree with
>stuff like this.

You are quite correct. If anyone studies the Holy Koran, they will see
that many of the TRUE "fundametals" of that religion parallel
Christianity...Faith in one God, "The Golden Rule", responsibilities of
believers, etc.

But just like those who can find a line in the Bible to "justify" just
about anything, those of the Islamic faith are saddled with thier share of
those who use the Holy Koran to validate thier hatred and murderous characters.

They are anything BUT "Muslim".

That's why I practice my own beliefs in private. I have no use for
organized religion of ANY faith...I just don't have "faith" in THEM!

>For that matter, a good many ordinary American muslims probably died in
>those towers.

Yep. This act wasn't against an "American Icon" as I have heard some TV
commentators call the WTC...It was an act against the world. They killed
people of all faiths, races and nationalities. It only had the misfortune of
being on American soil.

I agree with the commentor who stated that this only strengthened our
resolve and brought us together.

Now...time to go to work.

4023. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:34:05 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h8do7.42281$f7.4867344@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 20267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Ham radio is a hobby. There is no requirement that it be used during emergencies; it is simply offered by those trying to contribute in a positive way. So this time people found other ways to communicate...that's good. Besides, when are hobbies ever "needed"? Hobbies are there for pleasure. You must be pissed because you couldn't pass the license exam, now you have a chip on your shoulder. Try again, troll...and any ham who is upset by your comment is missing the point as well.

"Dave Johnson" <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
>
> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

4024. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:34:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913213419.09423.00000419@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 20268

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/13/01 6:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>

>With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
>it's a good time to clean house.

Darned tootin'... ! ! ! !

4025. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:49:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913214948.09423.00000424@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 20269

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/13/01 7:05 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010913190539.09114.00000352@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Incorrect. The description of the California Auxilliary
>Communications Service (ACS) does NOT "feature
>amateur radio as it's primary tool." Read it again.

Once again Leonard H. Anderson of Lanark Drive in Sun Valley, CA
perpetrates a lie in the face of facts to the contrary.

Paragraphs 2 and 7 of the ACS charter SPECIFY BY NAME Amateur Radio
assets.

>While regular ACS nets are run by amateur licensees,
>they state openly that they do not consider amateurs
>over anyone else.

Yet given a choice between "John Q. Public" volunteer and a licensed
Amatuer Radio Operator, GUESS who's at the helm of these assets...?!?!

>I can understand the rather open (in here) chest-beating
>and (somewhat misplaced) self pride that comes from
>having been granted a federal radio license, but trying to
>use only a part of an official government's description is
>starting to look ludicrous.

No, you lying, self-congratulating freak, what's "ludicrous" is that you
STILL insist on trying to present yourself as some sort of "corporate
knowledge" on communications matters when you can't even read your own
references.

What a putz.

>In any REAL emergency, it does not matter what anyone's
>"credentials" are but rather who can do the job best. That
>best includes those who are prepared, are trained, and
>have experience...experience in modern-day communications
>and the ability to integrate with the infra-structure.

You mean like how Amateur Radio operators are the asset-of-choice for most
emergency communications systems (except for 9-1-1 facilities, where it's the
person with the best civil service test scores, regardless of skill or
training...) where flexibility and functionality are optimized...?!?!

>Having been granted an amateur radio license does not
>automatically equate personal respect that is due others

>who have done good deeds via amateur radio.

Keep repeating that to yourself, Oh Licenseless One.

I am sure you believe it.

4026. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 01:57:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913215722.09550.00000396@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 20270

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: "DougSlug" twinpoppa@hotmail.com
>Date: 9/13/01 9:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <h8do7.42281$f7.4867344@news02.optonline.net>

>Ham radio is a hobby.

Better re-read Part 97 again, Doug. No where in the regulations is the
word "hobby" used.

However "service" is on several occassions.

>There is no requirement that it be used during
>emergencies; it is simply offered by those trying to contribute in a
>positive way.

True, but there is an expectation that Amatuers will use this valuable
electromagnetic spectrul allocation in such a way as to render public service.
As a matter of fact, emergency service features prominently in the first
paragraph of Part 97 under "Basis and Purpose".

No one licensee is expected to do so, but if the "service" as a whole
fails to do so, the FCC would be justified in RE-allocating that spectrum to
users who could.

Think about that.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4027. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 02:47:06 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109131847.277b89d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:<kso1qtku5se1gl7b1itn3vc8io67g0oln9@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 01:35:36 GMT, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh really. Just look back at all the times when ham radio was the *only*
> >communications available and not just locally but internationally.
>
> ____
>
> That's my point exactly, JJ. Ham radio USED to be a valuable tool in an
> emergency. Back when there were no cell phones, long distance was
> unreliable and expensive and local authorities had little disaster
> training and/or equipment, ham radio had a useful function.

The actual actions of hams in VA and DC right now, as documented and
already presented to you (you *are* willing to look at evidence that
contradicts your position, right?), directly refute you.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM

4028. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 03:02:23 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <vjs2qt837ejqksh9v2iip0226d52tvp9nq@4ax.com>
Source record: 20272
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 07:47:47 +0100, "Brainbuster" <brain@buster.com>
wrote:

>Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
>news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
>People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money
>is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian,
>who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get
>material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack
>we saw today would not be beyond them.

I'm willing to bet both will be toast before this thing is through.
Given the prevailing mood, and the tremendous resolve/anger I'm seeing
from virtually everyone, the coming months (years?) probably won't be
a good time to be a terrorist or anyone who knows/supports them.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4029. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 03:17:33 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <oit2qtseail0od770lk4fkjh8rf5lc8dcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 20273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On 12 Sep 2001 22:53:04 GMT, Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net>
wrote:

>
>How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
>phone calls from airliners.
>
>How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
>debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
>In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
>which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
>tragedy.
>
>So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
>evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/09/13/4/?nc=1

Amateur Radio Volunteers Rising to the Occasion

NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 13, 2001--Some already are calling it "Amateur
Radio's Finest Hour," as volunteers answer the call to assist in
ongoing relief and recovery operations in New York City, Washington,
DC, and western Pennsylvania. The need continues for operators to
assist over the long haul, however. Current estimates suggest hams may
be needed for a month or longer in the New York City area, and for at
least the next two weeks in Washington, DC.

Amateurs Continue American Red Cross Support in Manhattan

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba,
KA2D, reports that, as of this morning, hams were supporting emergency
officials and the American Red Cross relief and recovery effort.
Amateurs were staffing a dozen Red Cross shelters in addition to one
staging/National Disaster Medical System center, various Red Cross
units, and the Greater New York City American Red Cross Headquarters
as well as the New York City Office of Emergency Management.

Carrubba says the telephone system in lower Manhattan continues to be
problematic because of the high call volume. "American Red Cross
communications are overloaded, and traffic from the shelters is coming
into the New York City net at a rapid pace," he said. "The Amateur
Radio ops are doing a great job under very difficult and strange
conditions, but this is what they have trained for; they are getting

it done well."

Red Cross Communications Officer Jay Ferron, N4GAA, agreed. "As of today, more than 90 hams have been involved in the operation," said Ferron, who's on the scene in lower Manhattan. "The Amateur Radio community has come out very big and very strong." Ferron said local clubs and repeater groups have volunteered gear, frequencies and operators. "We've had responses from as far away Philadelphia and Maine, as well as Rhode Island, New Jersey."

More than a dozen hams from Long Island's Suffolk County Amateur Radio Emergency Service have been deployed to New York City. Suffolk County District Emergency Coordinator and RACES Officer Bill Scheibel, N2NFI, says the Long Island hams will support the New York City ARES team in lower Manhattan. "We are still working on our rosters in anticipation of further need as we go forward," Scheibel said today. He said some of the hams already on-site have told him they'd be willing to rotate back to Manhattan for additional duty after a break at home.

At present, Carrubba is seeking volunteers from the Greater New York City region--Northern New Jersey, Eastern New York and possibly Connecticut. "We have had many offers from out-of-state," he said. "I regretfully declined, as there are no provisions to house them, entry into NYC is difficult, and there's nowhere to park." Several out-of-area volunteers are on hold for the moment. Carrubba asked out-of-state volunteers to "stand by until we can provide for your safety and comfort."

Prospective volunteers should be equipped with 2-meter H-Ts, extra batteries and portable antennas to handle daytime and overnight communicator shifts or to assist with shelter operations and other duties. Contact Tom Carrubba, KA2D, via e-mail, ka2d@arrl.net.

The N2ARC Princeton, New Jersey, Red Cross station suspended operation September 13. Gerry Jurrens, N2GJ, thanked all who contacted him to volunteer. He said the station will be reactivated, if requested by the American Red Cross.

Amateurs Muster at Pentagon to Assist Salvation Army

At the scene of the Pentagon attack near Washington, DC, Northern Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Gregory, N4NW, reports an "upbeat" crew of about two dozen amateurs is staffing four Amateur Radio stations in the immediate vicinity of the Pentagon.

"What shocked me the most was the devastation you can see right there, 100 feet from the building," Gregory said. "The destruction is total."

The ARES operation is providing logistical support between the Salvation Army's relief and recovery effort on site and the agency's Arlington headquarters. The Salvation Army has been providing food and refreshments to the crews engaged in the Pentagon investigation and recovery operations.

Gregory reports three of the stations on the Pentagon's outer perimeter--one near the main Salvation Army assembly point--and one in the Pentagon "courtyard." A repeater net has been established on the Alexandria 145.15 MHz repeater for the four canteen units and a net control station at the Salvation Army headquarters. Chuck Rexroad, N4HCP, is coordinating volunteer staffing for the team.

Some 60 pieces of traffic were passed yesterday, Gregory said. "What we're finding is that communication is very difficult because of the tremendous amount of noise from the construction-type equipment and the generators providing power for the lights and support staff," he said. Because of the noise level, he and Rexroad opted to rotate operators in and out of the immediate vicinity of the attack as frequently as possible.

"There's the emotion of it, and there's the tremendous amount of noise, and it's very grating on you because you can hardly hear the radio to communicate," Gregory explained. In addition, the cellular telephone network has been swamped, and, because the Pentagon remains open, there's a lot of other RF in the vicinity to complicate matters further.

Gregory described the entire area as "very crowded with people" inside and outside the Pentagon. "People and equipment cleaning up, finding bodies, finding plane parts, firefighters still checking for hot spots, hoses, equipment," he said. "The damage to the building looks worse when you are right next to it than it does on TV."

The site is fully secured, and soldiers armed with M-16s and police control entry to the fenced-in compound. A temporary road has been constructed from Washington Blvd extending several hundred feet to the hole in the building in order to move heavy equipment.

"I found that it took me a few minutes to realize the gravity of what was going on and the importance of what we hams are doing in our own small way to help out," Gregory said. "The devastation of that building is awesome, and it puts things in perspective and it certainly made me proud to be an Amateur Radio operator and serve the people of the United States by offering this support."

Gregory said the Pentagon ARES operation continues to seek volunteers with VHF hand-held transceivers. "Because of the immensity of the thing, we're trying to have six amateurs on duty at all times," he said. "We need 20 volunteers every day for at least two weeks."

Volunteers should e-mail Tom Gregory, N4NW, at n4nw@arrl.net. He asked operators to indicate their present location and transportation arrangements, equipment type, and time and dates available.

Gregory said he anticipates that the Pentagon ARES operation might be asked to also support the similar Red Cross effort in the capital.

"Fourth" Crash Site Support Continues in Western Pennsylvania

Meanwhile, at the so-called "fourth" plane crash site in rural Somerset County western Pennsylvania, Kevin Custer, W3KKC, reports a busy scene as the investigation continues. Custer arranged preliminary repeater communication into and out of the crash site on Tuesday to help the Red Cross, Salvation Army, Pennsylvania State Police, the FBI and other state and federal agencies on the scene.

"At this time we are preparing for the possibility of family members coming to the crash site--or close by," he said. "I have communications in place for hand-held coverage of the crash site to our local emergency operations center and three surrounding counties." Eric Hegerle, N3VOC, of the Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network reports SATERN was using 146.610, 146.835, and 145.390 MHz linked repeaters for communication between Pittsburgh and the crash site.

"Things have calmed down since the FBI has taken over site and has secured it as a crime scene," Custer reported. This place has literally turned into a small city.

He said federal investigators continue to search for the flight data recorder--the so-called "black box"--as well as any evidence of what happened. He said the investigation could continue for several weeks.
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4030. Re: You bastard!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 03:33:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913233300.00595.00000224@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 20274

In article <9npjgp$6me$0@208.206.142.98>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
> You don't know what you just started. But you're about to learn.
>
>

Amen! Let's just hope that he's right about that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4031. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 03:33:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913233301.00595.00000225@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 20275

In article <9npd3r$jv0$0@208.206.142.98>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> I am, however, impressed that so much information was able
>> to be passed by cell phone inside aircraft in flight.
>
>
> Don't be in a hurry on that account, it looks like some, maybe most of
>those calls were made on the "airphone" located at each seat on most
>airliners.

Dick:

I remember one account of a passenger using his cell-phone while holed
up in the airliner's lavatory. Sounded a bit far fetched; the lavatories are
not usually near a window, and I'd think a window would be essential to
allow an aperature for the 800 MHz RF to get out of the aircraft. As
the events of 9/11 (odd coincidence, isn't it?) are autopsied, the role
played by cell phones will be interesting information.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4032. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 03:34:15 UTC
From: Rick@nunofyoutb.net
Message-ID: <3ba178bb.212487992@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20276
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 00:44:39 GMT, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
>
It's time we Hunt down the Raghead Bastards resposible for the Atack
on NY. The World has had ENOUGHT!!! We should find and kill the
terrorists, their families, their children and Bayonet their babies!
We should napalm their camps and just shoot them when we find them.
We are at WAR, lets fight it like one!
Remember New York

73, Rick

4033. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 03:56:22 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913235622.09475.00000497@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 20277

>If you have something constructive to add, go right ahead. Otherwise,
>please just delete and move on.
>
>73, Bill W7TI

I did add something constructive, I recomended trolls go to the ARRL web site
to understand what hams are doing in NY.
You must be upset that I exposed you as an uninformed fool. Go to the web site
and learn something, You are clueless.

4034. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:03:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914000306.29616.00000265@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20279

In article <3BA0C2E3.4A1435B6@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Roger Halstead wrote:
>> They called the attacks "Acts of War" and said that "should" we be able to
>> trace the actions to a specific country there is that possibility.
>
>I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
>birthday. Could that be true?
>--
There's at least a 1 in 366 chance that it's true.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4035. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:03:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914000307.29616.00000266@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20280

In article <3BA129F5.F6454D16@iastate.edu>, Jonathan Williams
<jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:

>Please be careful to remember that most muslims don't do or agree with
>stuff like this. In fact, I'd venture to say the "people" who did this
>really aren't Islamic, any more than the KKK is Christian.

Yup. Islam is almost as fragmented as Christianity. The KKK thing is right on
target. After all, they burn crosses, the symbol of Christianity.
>
>We need to be careful not to allow our anger to be redirected where it
>doesn't belong. Most muslims I've heard (both American and otherwise)
>condemn and hate what happened in the strongest terms. They're just as
>shocked and angry over it as everyone else.

Maybe even more so, because they get blamed for what others did.

It's like judging all of Christianity by the actions of the Spanish
Inquisition.

Wasn't Adolf Hitler a Christian?
>
>For that matter, a good many ordinary American muslims probably died in
>those towers.
>
Yup. People from all over the world.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4036. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914000308.29616.00000268@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20278

In article <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
>it's a good time to clean house.

"Clean house" means what?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4037. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914000308.29616.00000267@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20282

In article <0p3o7.32520$ga2.1418820@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>There was a sniper detailed to take that bastard out, they were in position
>in bagdad and no one had the balls to give them the order. I know of the tem
>and one member personally.
>
Here's what I think happened on the way to Baghdad in the Gulf War:

The other Persian Gulf countries wanted Saddam out of Kuwait, and if he could be put out of power, so much the better. But once Kuwait was liberated and what was left of the Iraqi army was on the run, they faced a real problem. If Stormin' Norman was allowed to keep rolling, Saddam would be toast and Iraq would wind up under some sort of new, Western friendly government. That would set a precedent that many of the Gulf countries found unacceptable.

So the word was quietly passed to Papa Bush: Stop short of Baghdad and let Saddam stay, and we'll keep oil prices down and quantities high. And we'll cooperate with you as much as we can on intelligence, military bases, investment, etc.

The alternative would be to piss off our friends in the region. So Papa Bush went along with the deal.

Waddya think?

73 de Jim, N2EY.

4038. Re: 10 Meter Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914000309.29616.00000269@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20281

In article <9nqgov027vh@enews2.newsguy.com>, "David Robbins" <k1ttt@arrl.net>
writes:

>taping them is not a bad idea, but unless you are lucky enough to be running
>tape when one of them gives out his cell phone number or something like that
>it won't help.

The FCC wants tapes of the actual transmissions. A report with a tape is worth
100 with no tape. That's just the way it is, I don;t know why. Apparently a
tape of the actual transmission is evidence, where a report by a non-FCC person
is not.

> if you listen to them for a while you can often identify
>their location to within an exit or two on an interstate, the best help then
>would be to get someone on the road to physically sight them, get license
>plates and company names and also record them. that information would then
>be useful in getting something done.

Yup. But the tapes are still needed.

>i feel that it will only take a couple
>fcc actions or even just warning letters to trucking companies to shut most
>of these guys down, after all why would they risk their jobs and trucks just
>for a quiet radio channel.

It's been done. Read the enforcement letters at the ARRL website. Riley & Co.
have gone after truckers and others using the ham bands. But they have to have
evidence in order to do so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4039. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914000310.29616.00000270@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20283

In article <geomac-6F248B.10585513092001@news.kih.net>, George McCouch
<geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:

>I completely forgot about the pointer with the rubber tip.
>Maybe it was a repressed memory.

IHM nuns used then once in a while, but they broke too easily.
>
>While I can only speak about the Sisters Of Mercy (sometimes we thought
>the name was a bit ironic) I can say that they were excellent teachers
>who kept you on track and forced you to succeed.

An "excellent teacher" doesn't need to force any student to succeed.

>When I went to college
>I found that no one really cared if you succeded or not and at first it
>was very difficult for me to stay focused especially when the professors
>were telling you and they did not care if you even came to class because
>they were going to get paid whether or not any showed up.

I found the same thing. Which means that those "excellent teachers" did a lousy
job of preparing the students for the real world. In some cases the results
were tragic. Bright young people with scholarships to good colleges flunked out
because they had never developed the SELF discipline to do what needed to be
done. College freshmen getting in bigtime trouble with booze and drugs because
there was nobody to say they couldn't do those things, and they had never been
discussed in high school.
>
>Most of the students at the Catholic elementary and high school I
>attended came from suburban working class and middle management
>neighborhoods and as a general rule they were used to discipline at home
>that often rivaled what they got at school.

Same here, except that the schools were much more violent and abusive.
>
>I agree with you that some of the practices at Catholic elementary
>schools in the 50s and 60s might be cause for invesitgations and
>lawsuits today, but some of the discipline going on in the student's
>homes would bring out the social worker today. It went hand in hand and
>in most neighborhoods served by the parish, it was widely accepted.

Acceptance does not make it right.
>
>Maybe we are more enlightened today.
>
I hope so!

73 de Jim, N2EY (former lazy worthless good-for-nothing brazen article, sitting here like a lump on a log).

4040. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:04:01 UTC
From: d+usenet@spy.net
Message-ID: <9nrsfr$8c0$1@juan-int.west.spy.net>
Source record: 20284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Slashdot is currently running an article on the Red Cross needing
technical help. There is discussion about the amateur radio service there
(probably a good idea to sort by score, highest first).

http://slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=01/09/13/1945207

--
SPY My girlfriend asked me which one I like better.
L_____ I hope the answer won't upset her. _____

4041. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:11:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20285

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA017D8.8B1039CE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Bill Turner wrote:
> >> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> >> but passed.
> > >
> >Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
> >electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
> >satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.
>
> On the subject of emergency communications, Cecil...
>
> Two days of continuous TV news broadcasts of the
> evil terrorist acts have shown that TV remotes can
> indeed operate during an emergency. Note: The WTC
> had eight major TV broadcast towers that were
> grounded on the collapse of the twin towers; CBS kept
> their old tower and transmitter on the Empire State
> building.
>
> At least two survivors in the WTC rubble were calling
> for help on cellphones...from within the rubble. Cell
> phones were evident on everyone's TV screens and
> in use all over lower Manhattan.
>
> At least seven (perhaps eight) victims IN the aircraft
> that crashed used cellphones to call out (NBC late
> Wednesday afternoon), their family members recalling
> their last words. Cellphones "did not go out" before
> or after the crashes.
>
> I saw at least two different radio service HTs in use on
> Fox Cable news broadcasts of the scene. NY National
> Guard were shown along with at least one Humvee with
> a high-HF whip, although no sign of its radio being used.
> Military HTs were evident around the Pentagon along
> with cellphones used by both military and civilian
> personnel.
>
> Given at least 40 hours of continuous news telecasting
> (to the time of this writing) there has been no daylight or
> early night coverage of amateur radio health and welfare

> operations by CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, Fox, or MSNBC
> TV networks. It would be a boon to all the amateurs
> "emergency radio" proponents if some network could
> show that. It would be a good "human interest"
> cutaway on day Two or day Three.
>
> Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
> here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
> million subscribers. Where was all the "emergency
> communications" by radio amateurs then? TV was on
> the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
> had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
> transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.
>
> REAL emergency preparedness in amateur
> communications involves having emergency power
> available to operate...and integration with the
> authorities who ARE equipped, DO train for many
> eventualities, and CAN do their job. That example
> lesson seems lost on those who only want to throw
> out their chests in bragging but aren't prepared to show
> the world how good they are (or at least claim to be).

I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in emergency situations. QST is loaded with stories of how amateur radio is used in emergency situations. I'm certain that most of us are more concerned with the welfare of real people in a tragic situation than we are with your self-important analysis of amateur radio. Kindly blow it out your ass, Len.

Dave K8MN

4042. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:26:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914002627.04421.00000438@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20286

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/14/01 12:11 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>

>
>I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
>emergency situations.

He has to, Dave. The TRUTH ruins his rants. He is a monumental liar, and
that fact is known to all.

Why he persists in the face of this, we can only guess. I'd be ashamed to
show my face in this NG if my shortcomings were so frequent and so glaring as
his.

Especially if I had been the source of my own undoing! What a putz he is!

>Kindly blow it out your ass, Len.

Can you tell which end is which, Dave? Seems to me that he probably needs
to floss after each episode of NG flatulence.

73

Steve, K4YZ
>

4043. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:28:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA1876E.77BF6755@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20287

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Virtually everyone is "able to pass" a 5wpm code test.
>
> You have significantly overstated the truth of the matter. If it were
> true then "virtually everyone" with a ham license would be General and
> above.

Why? Some hams are happy with VHF/UHF.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4044. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:39:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA189EA.DBF5C7BC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20288

N2EY wrote:
> Waddya think?

Another possible reason to keep Saddam in power is that he
might have been replaced by a greater evil as happened in
Iran after the Shah.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4045. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:40:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA18A23.A40C61AD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20289

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
> >it's a good time to clean house.
>
> "Clean house" means what?

Declare war on all terrorists.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4046. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:49:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914004905.01051.00000105@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 20291

In article <20010913215722.09550.00000396@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Ham radio is a hobby.
>
> Better re-read Part 97 again, Doug. No where in the regulations is the >word "hobby" used.
>
> However "service" is on several occassions.

The word "service" used thoughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory term denoting a type and kind of radio activity. Every radio activity is termed a "service" throughout Title 47.

Amateur radio is an activity engaged in for non-pecuniary reasons; that is why the term "amateur" is applied there.

>>There is no requirement that it be used during
>>emergencies; it is simply offered by those trying to contribute in a
>>positive way.
>
> True, but there is an expectation that Amatuers will use this valuable >electromagnetic spectrul allocation in such a way as to render public >service. As a matter of fact, emergency service features prominently in the first
>paragraph of Part 97 under "Basis and Purpose".

Given the political boilerplate phrases attached to all kinds of regulations and laws in the federal government, this is not unusual, but let's look at the specific wording of 97.1 (a):

"Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur [radio] service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect to providing emergency communications."

The words "recognition" and "enhancement" ar buzz-phrases, pure and simple. They carry emotional baggage, not some exactitude of purpose.

"Note the word "voluntary" in the second line. Voluntary. No one is required to perform any "service" or do what 97.1 (b) through 97.1 (e) contain.

Clearly, many radio amateurs are confused as to the word "service." Amateur radio is not a military service. Amateur

radio is not a dinner service. The word "service" used
throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory term denoting a
type and kind of radio activity defined in the regulations.

> No one licensee is expected to do so, but if the "service" as a whole
>fails to do so, the FCC would be justified in RE-allocating that spectrum to
>users who could.
>
> Think about that.

Many, including myself, have "thought about it" and come to
the conclusion that the 97.1 (a) boilerplate politicall nice-nice
is absolutely necessary for amateur radio to exist.

In this time of crisis, consider the language of 97.1 (e):

"Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability
to enhance international goodwill."

Considering the USA on day 9-11 was deliberately attacked
by foreign individuals, is there any doubt that the entirety of
97.1 is just political phraseology?

Continue with your hobby and quit trying to self-congratulate
yourself that you are some kind of "service to your nation"
on being granted an amateur radio license.

Keep things in perspective or your thinking goes into a
vanishing point of nothingness.

Leonard H. Anderson

4047. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:49:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914004907.01051.00000106@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 20292

In article <20010913214948.09423.00000424@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Incorrect. The description of the California Auxilliary
>>Communications Service (ACS) does NOT "feature
>>amateur radio as it's primary tool." Read it again.
>
> Once again Leonard H. Anderson of Lanark Drive in Sun Valley, CA
>perpetrates a lie in the face of facts to the contrary.

I don't live on "Lanark Drive."

Col. Jessup makes many mistakes when he is enraged.

> Paragraphs 2 and 7 of the ACS charter SPECIFY BY NAME Amateur Radio
>assets.

Jim Kelley tried to make a case of amateur radio being
"prominently" mentioned. They are not so prominently
featured.

>>While regular ACS nets are run by amateur licensees,
>>they state openly that they do not consider amateurs
>>over anyone else.
>
> Yet given a choice between "John Q. Public" volunteer and a licensed
>Amatuer Radio Operator, GUESS who's at the helm of these assets...?!?!

At the "helm" (metaphorical) of the California ACS is the
appointed head of the ACS. It is on the ACS website.

What must be at the heart of Col. Jessup's rage is that
the ACS is not made up entirely of radio amateurs...and
the colonel has nothing to do with it.

> No, you lying, self-congratulating freak, what's "ludicrous" is that you
>STILL insist on trying to present yourself as some sort of "corporate
>knowledge" on communications matters when you can't even read your own
>references.

Personal insults do not make Col. Jessup's case nor anything
positive about US amateur radio.

Feel free to post the entirety of the California ACS
documentation and let others determine which radio
service is the "most prominent" or "at the helm."

> What a putz.

The word "putz" is Yiddish colloquialism for the anus.

When Col Jessup (nee' Robeson) cannot control himself
on arguing the subject (nearly always) he then resorts
to personal insults. Demonstrated emotional instability
(as the colonel does now) is not a discussion of any
subject.

>>In any REAL emergency, it does not matter what anyone's
>>"credentials" are but rather who can do the job best. That
>>best includes those who are prepared, are trained, and
>>have experience...experience in modern-day communications
>>and the ability to integrate with the infra-structure.
>
> You mean like how Amateur Radio operators are the asset-of-choice for
>most emergency communications systems (except for 9-1-1 facilities, where it's
>the person with the best civil service test scores, regardless of skill or
>training...) where flexibility and functionality are optimized...?!?!

In REAL emergencies, such as the Northridge Earthquake
of 1994, the REAL emergency communicators are
organized, trained, and drilled as an integrated whole,
have the appropriate equipment to do the job.

In the January 17, 1994, emergency in the Los Angeles area
the organized, trained, drilled, and equipped emergency
workers did function well regardless of the cutoff primary
power to an area of about 10 million. That included the
insulted and maligned "civil service workers" here who
included the police and fire personnel.

In the September 11, 2001 attack on the World Trade
Center in New York City, the "civil service workers" (the
NYPD and FDNY members) functioned heroically to the
point that at least 90 of them lost their lives while trying to
rescue others. The New York City government personnel
(civil service employees) organized themselves out of the
chaos, brought in construction equipment to continue the
rescue attempt, set up work areas and routing for the
trucks carrying out rubble. They did that with resolve,
ignoring the hazards, working long hours. They also used
the city's communications equipment. They worked
together despite a condition unexpected by anyone.
Organization and training, constant drilling for emergencies
worked very well in NYC.

It seems to come as some kind of surprise to many radio
amateurs that there are professionals that use radio
effectively even though some of them are civil service
employees. Perhaps that is the result of years of one-sided
conditioning by ARRL publicity and self-boosterism.

It also comes as a surprise that the constant mantra of "all the cellphones quit working in an emergency." Obviously those cellular telephones worked well enough for two victims to call through the rubble burial site to be rescued.

"Flexibility and functionality" do not arrive as some kind of extra attribute carried with a radio license. Real flexibility and functionality comes about through planning, organization and constant training. The planning and organization for any community's emergency preparedness should include integration with the government and commercial radio services. Real emergencies are not "Field Day" events in the local park nor do they involve point collection contests.

>>Having been granted an amateur radio license does not
>>automatically equate personal respect that is due others
>>who have done good deeds via amateur radio.
>
> Keep repeating that to yourself, Oh Licenseless One.

My commercial first class radiotelephone operator license was granted before the colonel was born.

The colonel seems confused as to which service he is in. The USMC served the colonel his discharge but the US amateur radio service is NOT a military service at all. [the word "service" in Title 47 is a regulatory term denoting a type and kind of radio activity...it is applied to nearly all other radio services; e.g., citizens band radio service]

Amateur radio is a radio activity done for a non-pecuniary interst. It is an avocation.

> I am sure you believe it.

Absolutely. Myself and the rest of the citizens of the attacked USA...with the exception of a few angry, confused and ignorant radio amateurs in this newsgroup.

Leonard H. Anderson.

4048. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 04:49:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914004908.01051.00000107@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 20290

In article <3BA1580C.DF01EC5C@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Incorrect. The description of the California Auxilliary
>> Communications Service (ACS) does NOT "feature
>> amateur radio as it's primary tool." Read it again.
>
>Incorrect. I read it before I posted it. Did you not see amateur radio
>mentioned prominently in the charter?

"Prominent" is a subjective term in this instance. If all you
are looking for is "amateur radio" then it will be "prominent"
even if mentioned only once.

Feel free to post the entirety of the California ACS
documentation and let others decide.

Leonard H. Anderson

4049. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:22:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914012202.04354.00000388@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20293

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 12:49 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914004905.01051.00000105@nso-ck.aol.com>

>Continue with your hobby and quit trying to self-congratulate yourself that you are some kind of "service to your nation" on being granted an amateur radio license.

Well, Your Scumminess, >I< don't have to "self-congratulate" myself on anything.

The Congress of the United States has said so in the Federal Register...On several occassions.

So has the American Red Cross...The Salvation Army, at one time or another every Governor of the US and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico...The Department of Defense...The State Department...

Shall I continue, Your Worthlessness?

>Keep things in perspective or your thinking goes into a vanishing point of nothingness.

Why don't YOU vanish, Your Lyingness? You have repeatedly proven (as the post I am responding to proves) that you have not one iota of idea of what you are talking about.

Your "CV"...your "credentials...all worthless...proven to be nothing but the fancifull remembrences of a tired, aging old man with nothing left to do but rant and rave.

You are lost, Leonard H. You suggest that others "keep things in perspective" yet you are truly unaware that you REPEATEDLY make statements and assertions of "fact" that are so easily disproved as to be laughable.

Go away, Lennie H, before someone has to show you the door.

4050. Re: A Tribute to the United States

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:29:11 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA195A7.92810F9C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

For more information on this 1973 commentary, go to:
http://www.snopes2.com/quotes/sinclair.htm

4051. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:31:20 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BA19628.DF1003A9@qwest.net>
Source record: 20295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn you always know how to put things in proper perspecive.
I couldn't have said it any better.

When you gonna come down and visit the ship?

Jon

Glenn wrote:
>
> In article <Sq9o7.3029$wC.198417@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>,
> jthorpe1234@my-deja.com says...
> >
> > Fart Eddie wrote in message
> > <8R8o7.5914$CR2.6654176@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>...
> > >What's that I hear? Could it be the rumblings of a dinosaur? Yah, you can
> > >blather on about how hams are aiding law enforcement, EMS and generally
> > >saving the world, but like I said: fanciful nonsense. The bell tolls buddy
> > >and it tolls for thee.
> >
> > Believe what you want, dipshit. The facts remain.
>
> Turner and his twin brother Thorpe are just ignorant trolls.
>
> Neither have explained the value of their cell phones when a hurricane
> takes out the power and cell sites.
>
> What have we learned?
>
> 1. Turner and Thorpe are trolls.
>
> 2. Ham radio isn't needed in every emergency situation.
>
> 3. Turner and Thorpe think you can use a cell phone even though those
> services aren't available due to power loss or cell site damage.
>
> 4. Hams have helped out in recent floods when other forms of
> communications including cell phones are rendered useless.
>
> 5. Turner and Thorpe must have invested lots of money in Iridium
> Satellite equipment.
>
> 6. Hams have helped out after recent hurricanes when other forms of
> communications including cell phones are rendered useless.
>

> 7. Bill and Jack deserve each other.
>
>

4052. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:37:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914013724.25140.00000065@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20297

In article <20010914002627.04421.00000438@mb-fv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
>>emergency situations.
>
> He has to, Dave. The TRUTH ruins his rants. He is a monumental liar,
>and that fact is known to all.

The "TRUTH" as Robeson always uses it is simply that opinion held by Robeson.

Let's look at "TRUTH" and some lines in the closing scenes of the motion picture "A Few Good Men," paying particular attention to the lines spoken by the character of the USMC Commandant of the Gunatanamo Bay Marine Detachment (played with marvelous smarminess by Jack Nicholson).

Col. Jessup shouts "You can't handle the TRUTH!" as the signature line of that movie. The "TRUTH" is of course the narrow world view held by the USMC Colonel and that the character deserves respect because he has spoken the "TRUTH." [the judge of the court tells him off on the "respect" part]

Leading up to that signature line are two setup lines: "We save lives." -and- "It's as simple as that."

Robeson has used both those lines several times in past messages. Add to this Robeson accessing Role Playing Game websites that involve "tough" military characters and an attempt to humiliate another by name association.. .

> Why he persists in the face of this, we can only guess. I'd be ashamed
>to show my face in this NG if my shortcomings were so frequent and so glaring
as
>his.

In the movie cited, the USMC colonel was a megalomaniac who not only dishonorred the USMC but was guilty of directed murder. What is interesting from a psychological point of view is Robeson's continued use of the movie's lines as if they were honorable and respectful. Strange.

> Especially if I had been the source of my own undoing! What a putz he
>is!

That's what it appears that Robeson is doing.

>>Kindly blow it out your ass, Len.
>
> Can you tell which end is which, Dave? Seems to me that he probably
>needs to floss after each episode of NG flatulence.

I'll leave those two characters' lines as they are.

They indicate that the US amateur radio service has
some members that do not reflect credit "on the
service" nor do they inspire or attract others.

As they say in the USMC, "Temper Fry."

4053. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:37:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914013725.25140.00000066@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20296

In article <3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
>emergency situations.

Heil is angry that I do not over-exaggerate amateur
radio good deeds. There are many of those but...

>QST is loaded with stories of how amateur radio
>is used in emergency situations.

The NON-amateur radio press is NOT "loaded" with
such stories. Go to any wire service covering this
dastardly attack on America, go to any newspaper
and find the story. It is a vanishing percentage of all
the news, so small that is does not seem to exist..

It is fairly clear that the ARRL, while an excellent
organization to help radio amateur members, is NOT
a news medium that reaches the general public.
Neither is the ARRL a journalism service...except to
other radio amateurs. Think about that.

If the ARRL was a true radio news journal organization
it would cover the activities of all the professionals who
exist and do do the REAL emergencies every day. It
seldom mentions the professionals.

Years and years of self-promotion of amateur radio are
bound to skew the thinking of those whose major
radio news input is QST and the ARRL publications.

> I'm certain that most of us are more
>concerned with the welfare of real people in a tragic situation than we
>are with your self-important analysis of amateur radio. Kindly blow it
>out your ass, Len.

Heil is not certain, he is only looking for a chance to
insult, impugn, depreciate another on the basis for not
achieving his expected reverence, honor, and respect
from his imperial postings in years past.

It is shameful that Heil uses the events of the Attack on
America of day 9-11 as a cover to insult others for his
personal desires. Shame.

Leonard H. Anderson

4054. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:50:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914015011.19673.00000278@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20298

In article <20010914012202.04354.00000388@mb-fv.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Col. Benjamin Jessup, USMC) writes:

> Well, Your Scumminess, >I< don't have to "self-congratulate"...

> Shall I continue, Your Worthlessness? ...

> Why don't YOU vanish, Your Lyingness? You have repeatedly...

> Your "CV"...your "credentials...all worthless...proven to be nothing

>assertions of "fact" that are so easily disproved as to be laughable.
>
> Go away, Lennie H, before someone has to show you the door.

This is what is so wonderful about US amateur radio,
full of calm, reasoning licensees who civilly reply on
the issues... :-)

Temper Fry, Gummy

4055. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 05:56:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914015639.04354.00000394@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20299

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 12:49 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914004907.01051.00000106@nso-ck.aol.com>

>Col. Jessup makes many mistakes when he is enraged.

Ooops...Still "close enough for government work".

Still does not negate the fact that you are, once again, WRONG.

>Jim Kelley tried to make a case of amateur radio being "prominently"
mentioned. They are not so prominently featured.

Hmmmm....There are 10 numbered line items, and the Amateur Radio Service is
the ONLY radio service SPECIFICALLY named.

THAT is not PROMINENT?

>At the "helm" (metaphorical) of the California ACS is the appointed head of
the ACS. It is on the ACS website.

No..the "Captain" (metaphorical) is the appointed head. The helm is
manned by the crew.

And it still does not negate the fact taht you were AGAIN wrong.

>What must be at the heart of Col. Jessup's rage is that the ACS is not made
up entirely of radio amateurs...and the colonel has nothing to do with it.

I have no rage, Lennie. Yo do. You have been proven wrong again.

I don't care that ACS is not made up entirely of Amateurs. That's NOT the
purpose of the program.

The purpose of the program is to integrate all those assets.

Unfortunately "professional" communicators (9-1-1 staffers) have little
knowledge of the capabilities and limitations of other radio services.

Amateurs, on the other hand, by thier inherent interest in radio on the
whole can bring a lot of versatility to the table.

Hence Amateur Radio's PROMINENT plae in the ACS charter.

>Personal insults do not make Col. Jessup's case nor anything positive about US
amateur radio.

We're not talking about Amatuer Radio. We are talking about YOU.

>Feel free to post the entirety of the California ACS documentation and let others determine which radio service is the "most prominent" or "at the helm."

They can follow the links like I did.

Amateur Radio is specifically named in2 of 10 line items. No other radio service is so named.

> The word "putz" is Yiddish colloquialism for the anus.

Yes, it is. And therefore a reasonable application when used as an adjective for Leonard H. Anderson.

>In REAL emergencies, such as the Northridge Earthquake of 1994, the REAL emergency communicators are organized, trained, and drilled as an integrated whole, have the appropriate equipment to do the job.

Utilizing the charter of an organization that relies on Amatuer Radio, both as a spectrum resource and as a resource for operators.

>In the January 17, 1994, emergency in the Los Angeles area....(SNIP)

Yes, Lennie...recite for us one more time how you never saw any reference to Amateur Radio on TV during this event and how that somehow vindicates your rants.

It still does not negate the fact taht Amateurs WERE there, DID contribute, and CONTINUE to do so.

>It seems to come as some kind of surprise to many radio amateurs that there are professionals that use radio effectively even though some of them are civil service
employees.

That's only your opinion...a vilely jaded one at that.

>Real flexibility and functionality comes about through planning, organization and constant training.

Yes, it does...that's why NYC ARES and RACES have been part and parcel of thier planning and organization for decades...

....Just as your "pet" ACS includes Amateurs, Lennie.....?!?!

>Real emergencies are not "Field Day" events in the local park nor do they involve point collection contests.

No..they are not. Your point is?

Are you trying to suggest that by adding an element of fun and competition that the experience of setting up radio stations in austere conditions somehow negates it's usefulness...?!?!

>My commercial first class radiotelephone operator license was granted before the colonel was born.

Your license no longer exists. Why do you? And your GROL "expired", remember...?!?!

>The colonel seems confused as to which service he is in. The USMC served the colonel his discharge but the US amateur radio service is NOT a military service at all.

Seems you are the one confused, Sir Geritol...No one, except YOU has mentioned "military service", let alone the Marine Corps, in any of these posts.

This is, of course, one of your favorite diversions.

Didn't work. Try again, Your Putziness.

>Amateur radio is a radio activity done for a non-pecuniary interst. It is an avocation.

It is a radio SERVICE that may be participated in as an avocation.

>Absolutely. Myself and the rest of the citizens of the attacked USA...with the exception of a few angry, confused and ignorant radio amateurs in this newsgroup.

Quoted out of context..as usual. But yes, many Amateurs herein are angry and confused...but NOT about our place in the scheme of things as they pertain to emergency communications in the United States.

As for ignorant, well, all I can say is that there is ONE particular participant in this NG who refuses to educare himself as to on-going day-to-day Amateur Radio matters and obtain a license and PARTICIPATE in Amatuer Radio...THAT is the ignorant one.

Oooops..THAT would be YOU, Your Putziness!

4056. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 06:02:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914020213.26864.00001728@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20300

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 1:50 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914015011.19673.00000278@nso-mg.aol.com>

> This is what is so wonderful about US amateur radio, full of calm, reasoning
licensees who civilly reply on the issues.

There is no issue here in which you can offer any perspective, Lennie H.
You ahve no practical experience in matters of the Amateur Radio Service.

Furthermore, as you yourself have pointed out, USENET is NOT Amateur Radio.

Or have you forgotten THAT one, too...?!?!

More Geritol, quick!

4057. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 06:05:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914020557.26864.00001729@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20301

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 1:37 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914013725.25140.00000066@nso-ba.aol.com>

>The NON-amateur radio press is NOT "loaded" with such stories.

QST routinely recites the names/dates/places of media assests that have
indeed highlighted Amateur Radio.

I dare say the number of them OUTnumbers the "ex-technicians on a crusade
to save Ham Radio from itself" stories.....

>If the ARRL was a true radio news journal organization
> it would cover the activities of all the professionals who
> exist and do do the REAL emergencies every day. It
> seldom mentions the professionals.

(1) This is, for the most part, a lie. Lennie H. is good at lying.

(2) QST and the ARRL are about AMATEUR radio...NOT other services.

4058. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 06:20:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914022018.26864.00001731@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 20302

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 1:37 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914013724.25140.00000065@nso-ba.aol.com>

>> He has to, Dave. The TRUTH ruins his rants. He is a monumental liar,
>>and that fact is known to all.
>
> The "TRUTH" as Robeson always uses it is simply that
> opinion held by Robeson.

And then Lennie goes on to make satirical snippets from a fictional movie.

All to divert from the FACT that he was, again, caught with his virtual
britches down.

Lennie asserts that his beloved "ACS" is devoid of Amateur radio.

A quick trip to the web not only proves him wrong, but in two out of 10
line items, Amatuer Radio is specifically mentioned.

Wrong again, so he delves into lies and "obfuscation".

Soooooo Lenniesque!

>Let's look at "TRUTH" and some lines in the closing scenes of
>the motion picture "A Few Good Men,"

Let's not, Lennie. It's not germane. The "subject" was your assertions of
NON-Amateur participation in emergency services activities, especially your
vbeloved and oft cited "ACS".

You were wrong. Period.

>As they say in the USMC, "Temper Fry."

And again.....

Thanks for your colorful diversion from your errors, Lennie, but that's
all they were...diversions.

You were wrong. You continue to BE wrong, and until you do something to
get involved and participate in the programs on which you insist on offering
(erroneous) comment, you will continue to be wrong.

Excuse me...IGNORANT.

It really is THAT simple

4059. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 12:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914080310.02731.00000367@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20304

In article <20010907163029.23913.00000093@nso-ma.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>> Morse code has limited potential in communications beyond
>>> emergencies (such as at sea, giving position and immediacy
>>> of the emergency).
>>
>>Not true. Morse code has more than "limited potential in
>>communications"/
>
>Please point out any other radio communication service that
>uses morse code as a primary mode.

Why? The discussion is about amateur radio. Besides, "limited potenital" is a purely subjective term.
>
>>> One can exchange RSTs and WX and
>>> very limited communications of a personal nature using
>>> morse but anything else requires a lengthy (far longer than
>>> voice or data) session to exchange information.
>>
>>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>
>Tsk, tsk. Untrue and you know know it.

Nope. True and I've done it.

> "Close to" is a
>relative term. That last sentence has as much meaning
>as "If you stand at the sea shore and I stand on a mile-
>high mountain top, I am closer to the moon than you are."
>:-)

Then how can you say it's untrue?

>My sentence is physically correct but has no real
>meaning in itself. The same is true of Miccolis'
>statement quoted above.

Why do you use last names here, Len?
>
>I can converse by voice at rates from 180 to 240 WPM
>and be understood by another English-language
>understanding, normal hearing ability human.

Maybe. But most people don't talk anywhere near that fast, particularly for a sustained amount of time.

>Can the
>very skilled morse operators reach intelligible rates
>of 100 WPM?
>
With abbreviations and prosigns, yes.
>
>>When voice or Morse is used for formal written messages or other data
>>that needs to be written down by the receiving operator, the limiting
>>speed is usually the writing speed of the receiving op. Most people
>>cannot write legibly beyond about 30 or 35 wpm.
>
>Really? :-)

Yes. How fast can you block-print or write longhand, Len?
>
>Is that why commercial communications shifted over to tele-
>printer terminals running at 60 WPM before WW2?

That's why that conversion began way back then, yes. It took decades to replace all landline Morse in this country, though.

>Teleprinters can operate continuously for hours without
>making a single mistake.

Under good conditions, yes. That's another reason why commercial services began replacing human operators with machines.

Of course the biggest reason was cost.

>Is that also true of humans?

Yup. Skilled ones.
>
>>> The FCC does not declare OOK CW mode as a required
>>> one to be used by any amateur...yet international
>>> regulations require testing. That is inconsistent with the
>>> regulations.
>>
>>You have it exactly backwards, Len.
>
>Not at all, Jim.

Yes it is, Len.

> Unless there has been a new R&O in the
>last few days, the FCC allows any allocated mode use to
>be at the option of individual amateur licensees.

That's correct.
>
>Also, unless the ITU-R has acted outside of any WRC, S25.5
>still requires adminstrations to make examinees pass an

>international morse code test for any amateur radio license
>having below-30-MHz privileges.

That's also correct.
>
>The inconsistency comes from Part 97 giving the option for
>use of any allocated mode...yet the license test for a
>below-30-MHz-privilege class requires the passing of a
>single mode...

How is that "inconsistent with the regulations"?

>there is NO manual operating test element
>for all other US amateur modes.

Neither is there a treaty requirement for same.
>
>>The FCC still requires the test only because the international
>>treaty/regulation requires it.
>
>Not quite right.

No, it's exactly right. FCC says so. They define what is correct in this area, remember?

> S25.5 is a recommendation of the ITU-R.
>The US administration is honor-bound to adhere to the
>ITU-R recommendations. There is NO enforcement body
>to "require" any recommendation other than voluntary
>adherence to such recommendations.

So? What's your alternative solution? Should the FCC simply ignore S25.5? Is that what you want?
>
>Japan is a member nation of the ITU. Japan has thousands
>of licensees who have obtained amateur privileges below
>30 MHz without taking any morse code test.

Would you like the FCC to create a license class with privileges equivalent to the JA 4th class license?

The Japanese get around S25.5 with the following argument: They claim that ITU-R, and particularly S25.5, exist to prevent interference between services and between member nations' radio users.

The Japanese 4th class license only allows its licensees to use HF frequencies that are worldwide exclusive radio amateur (and it does not allow all of those). Thus, a 4th classer operating within the allowed bands cannot interfere with any other service - only other hams.

To avoid interference with hams of other nations, 4th classers are allowed to use only very low power - 5 watts output, last time I checked. Because Japan is an island nation, (so the reasoning goes), foreign hams are not going to have problems from 5 watt JAs.

What's your solution for the USA?
>
>>> This is the year 2001, not 1916. There is no Titanic 2 to be
>>> answered after it has hit an iceberg.
>>
>>The Titanic sank in 1912.
>
>According to a quote you kept, I wrote "Titanic 2." The suffix "2"
>denotes a successor ship. No successor to the original Titanic
>has been built (to my knowledge, at least).

Actually, there were 3 ships that were sisters to Titanic.
>
>Oh, and 1912 was 89 years ago and the most-used transmitter
>type in that time was spark (the original Titanic had such a
>transmitter). Spark was declared illegal before WW2 began.

For hams. It wasn't outlawed for marine use until much later. And it was
outlawed for hams only when it wasn't used by hams anymore.

N2EY

4060. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 12:03:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914080311.02731.00000368@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20303

In article <XTCn7.2362$pH1.56841@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>When I state my name or other..... it is strictly "Name is Romeo Yankee
>Alpha November" I don't state the below listed quote. 5 words as opposed to
>9. Any operator should have a working knowledge of phonetics regardless of
>license class or date of testing.
>
>73's
>Romeo Yankee Alpha November
>
>> Phoneticization slows down 'phone even more. On CW I send "NAME JIM". On
>'phone
>> it's "My name is Jim - that's Juliett India Mike, Jim".
>>
>>
Good points, Ryan. But not mentioning the name itself can be confusing. For
example, my name phoneticizes to "Juliett India Mike".

And compare the following:

"Name is Juliett India Mike" (24 times per minute at 120 wpm talking rate)

"OP JIM" (24 times per minute at about 25 wpm)

5 words (9 syllables) on voice vs. 5 letters in Morse.

Yes, we should all know and use the "official" phonetics. I do!

73 from November Too Echo Yankee

4061. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 12:40:13 UTC
From: wb4yuc@juno.com
Message-ID: <3ba1f9ba.2298924@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 20305

On 14 Sep 2001 04:49:05 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <20010913215722.09550.00000396@mb-ml.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>

>>paragraph of Part 97 under "Basis and Purpose".
>
> Given the political boilerplate phrases attached to all kinds
> of regulations and laws in the federal government, this is not
> unusual, but let's look at the specific wording of 97.1 (a):
>
> "Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur
> [radio] service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial
> communication service, particularly with respect to providing
> emergency communications."
>
> The words "recognition" and "enhancement" ar buzz-phrases,
> pure and simple. They carry emotional baggage, not some
> exactitude of purpose.
>
> "Note the word "voluntary" in the second line. Voluntary.
> No one is required to perform any "service" or do what
> 97.1 (b) through 97.1 (e) contain.

It is clear that the word voluntary has been taken out of context
here.

In this case, it simply means that one seeks to be a member of this
service. No one is compelled to get a license.
Regards,

Bruce

Hitting Reply is fultile
Use:(wb4yuc@juno.com)

4062. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 12:44:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914084451.16852.00000157@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 20306

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/14/01 8:03 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914080310.02731.00000367@nso-fp.aol.com>

>In article <20010907163029.23913.00000093@nso-ma.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>>
>>Tsk, tsk. Untrue and you know know it.
>
>Nope. True and I've done it.

As have I. Of course, lacking any experience, Lennie makes statements to
which he calls untrue from an UNINFORMED position.

>>My sentence is physically correct but has no real
>>meaning in itself. The same is true of Miccolis'
>>statement quoted above.
>
>Why do you use last names here, Len?

Don't you know Jim...?!?! he's BETTER then us! He's older, he did it
first, has more education (or at least TOOK LONGER to get it...), etc etc etc.

>>>The FCC still requires the test only because the international
>>>treaty/regulation requires it.
>>
>>Not quite right.
>
>No, it's exactly right. FCC says so. They define what is correct in this
>area,
>remember?

What...?!?! The FCC define rules and regulations for civil radio...?!?!
Are you nuts Jim...?!?! Lennie has never made any such assertion!

>> S25.5 is a recommendation of the ITU-R.
>>The US administration is honor-bound to adhere to the
>>ITU-R recommendations. There is NO enforcement body
>>to "require" any recommendation other than voluntary
>>adherence to such recommendations.
>
>So? What's your alternative solution? Should the FCC simply ignore S25.5? Is
>that what you want?

Of course it is, Jim. Lennie is like the Palestinians, you know...wants any and every law enforced that supports thier cause, but steadfastly ignores any that bind him.

>>According to a quote you kept, I wrote "Titanic 2." The suffix "2"
>>denotes a successor ship. No successor to the original Titanic
>>has been built (to my knowledge, at least).
>
>Actually, there were 3 ships that were sisters to Titanic.

And according to Popular Science, one company IS building a replica "Titanic"..wouldn't THAT be the pits!

Unless, of course, we all chip in and buy Lennie a ticket for the maiden cruise.....

Steve

4063. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 13:01:21 UTC
From: Tazanator <NOones@home.com>
Message-ID: <Bcno7.109698$K6.43600965@news2>
Source record: 20307
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ummm, well the Bible lists Armageddon as being where the tigress and Euphrates rivers meet....that's like 50 miles SSW of Baghdad. That's the reason will had to stop.

"anthrax" <anthraxx@CollegeMail.com> wrote in message news:b8800371.0109130604.611fd33e@posting.google.com...
> well when will politicians ever learn.....??? when you start a war,
> you had better damn well finish it. dead men make great
> enemies.....swartzkoff was 100 miles from Baghdad when bush told him to
> stop....now does anyone think it was a bad idea for him to be allowed
> to attack and kill all of those bastards that support this
> animal...

4064. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 13:49:00 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914094900.23730.00000507@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20308

>Why bother. I can plug into a simple phone jack and go
>anywhere I want.

You can't do that if regular phone lines are down.

4065. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 13:56:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nt29u$e6q$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 20309

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BA129F5.F6454D16@iastate.edu>, Jonathan Williams
> <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:
>
> >Please be careful to remember that most muslims don't do or agree with
> >stuff like this. In fact, I'd venture to say the "people" who did this
> >really aren't Islamic, any more than the KKK is Christian.
>
> Yup. Islam is almost as fragmented as Christianity. The KKK thing is right on
> target. After all, they burn crosses, the symbol of Christianity.
> >

Yes. The primary problem surrounding the radical muslim movement is ignorance coupled with gross mistreatment of the Muslim masses by their own religious leaders who are anything but religious. It's just another example of using religion for purposes anything but truly religious. The parallel with the Spanish Inquisition is correct.

If the masses of ordinary Muslims who follow those leaders who are actually more in accord with Satan than Allah would just read their own Koran and filter out the Mullah's haranguing, there would be much less of this.

> >We need to be careful not to allow our anger to be redirected where it
> >doesn't belong. Most muslims I've heard (both American and otherwise)
> >condemn and hate what happened in the strongest terms. They're just as
> >shocked and angry over it as everyone else.

Yes. But there are many followers of the radicals within out borders who may become a serious security problem as this plays out. One must be aware of his surroundings.

No thinking person would consign all Arabic persons to the same fire pit to which we shall shortly consign those who did these dastardly acts. But one must ever be vigilant. Unfortunately it seems we have not been.

Dick

4066. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 13:58:10 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914095810.23730.00000509@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20310

>There is no mention of amateur radio "emergency
>communications

Try the ARRL web site arrl.org. Forget the LA times, Having hams involved is not what people want to see. That is why it is not in the stories.
You are a ship without a rudder in a stormy ocean. Troll away troll

4067. Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 14:24:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2130F.882ACDC3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20311

I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.

"The king will want to enter the new city, they will come to subdue
it through its enemies. A captive, falsely faced to speak and act.
The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."

New York City is certainly subdued through its enemies. The captive
airplanes, facing the wrong way, certainly made a statement through
disasterous actions. During his return from Florida, Bush certainly
stayed far from the enemy.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4068. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 14:32:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nt4dd$jk1$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 20312

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > REAL emergency preparedness in amateur
> > communications involves having emergency power
> > available to operate...and integration with the
> > authorities who ARE equipped, DO train for many
> > eventualities, and CAN do their job. That example
> > lesson seems lost on those who only want to throw
> > out their chests in bragging but aren't prepared to show
> > the world how good they are (or at least claim to be).
>
> I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
> emergency situations. QST is loaded with stories of how amateur radio
> is used in emergency situations. I'm certain that most of us are more
> concerned with the welfare of real people in a tragic situation than we
> are with your self-important analysis of amateur radio. Kindly blow it
> out your ass, Len.

Dave I can't imagine why *anyone* here is still even acknowledging
Lennie the Freak. His entire purpose here is to denigrate ham radio to
the maximum extent possible using whatever methodology he can dream up
on the fly.
No one ever said that ham radio was primary communications for anything
but ham radio, but only a fool of Andesonian dimensions could fail to
understand that communications assets are communications assets,
wherever or whatever they are. And the fact that *knowledgable*
emergency managers everywhere know that ham radio may well be a vital
asset at any given time.

The Great Loose One hates that with every fiber of his being, and will
spend whatever time he has remaining to work to kill the hated Ham
Satan. How so very radical of him.

Dick

4069. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 14:34:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nt4hq$jk1$1@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 20313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

JJ wrote:
>
> Those who can do, and those who can't belittle those who can.
>

You got it. So it has always been. So it will always be.

Dick

4070. Re: A Tribute to the United States

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 14:45:34 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq463vh73ld113@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Sorry, no luck with your URL...

"Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3BA195A7.92810F9C@hotmail.com...
> For more information on this 1973 commentary, go to:
> http://www.snopes2.com/quotes/sinclair.htm

4071. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 15:50:03 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tq49nn18vsss71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20315
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:fc3o7.2530$pH1.102335@monger.newsread.com...
> Yeah Leland..... we have a major possible bomb waiting to happen here
where
> I live.... Midland! If a plane crashed into Dow Chemical, the Midland
> plant, there is enough variety of chemicals and stuff like that could take
> out most of Mid-Michigan and leave a very big hole if these are mixed
> together or ignited. Fortunately I would think that they keep these items
> separated. But we here in Midland could very well be a target of future
> attacks, hell, ya never know....

Yeah I know about Dow Chemical in Midland Michigan. Now think about a jumbo jet, leaving Metro Airport, making the short 10 minute flight to Monroe just to the south of us in Detroit, and crashing in to the Fermi II nuke power plant located on the shore of the lake. We would be glowing in the dark for the next 10,000 years in lower Michigan, and everything along the shores of Lake Erie would be contaminated. They don't have to crack the reactor containment vessel, or wreck the reactor controls, just bust open the storage building where the spend fuel rods are stored would be enough.

There are far to many dangerous targets on the ground that can kills thousands by simply crashing a big jet in to them like the WTC towers in NY city.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

4072. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 16:19:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109140819.9787de9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20316

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA18A23.A40C61AD@IEEE.org>...
> > >With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
> > >it's a good time to clean house.
> >
> > "Clean house" means what?
>
> Declare war on all terrorists.

That's nice. But it is meaningless unless you know who the bad guys
are, where they are and who is helping them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4073. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 16:30:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ntbbe$7b8$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

```
Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9npiht$48f$0@208.206.142.98...
> >
> >
> > Bill Turner wrote:
> > >
> > > On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:15:47 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > >This was not the kind of disaster where amateur radio shines.
> > > >Hurricane Andrew was a much better example - when land line
> > > >telephones and cellphones are out and electricity is gone.
> > > >--
> > > >cheers, Cecil
> > >
> > > ____________________________________________________
> > >
> > > Agreed, this disaster was unlike Andrew. But the need during Andrew was
> > > for HF communication, not necessarily for amateur HF communication.
> > > Local authorities should have dedicated HF equipment available for just
> > > such emergencies. It's not that expensive and a minimum of training
> > > plus regular on the air tests would do the job.
> > >
> > > This stuff should be left to the professionals. If a ham wants to
> > > become a professional, fine. Most of the ones on this newsgroup just
> > > want to be the radioman on the Titanic.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Bill, I WAS a professional Public Safety communicator for 2/3 of my 30
> > year career.
> >
> > The Titanic has nothing to do with anything, and hasn't had for 90
> > years.
> >
> > When the professionals lose their communications capabilities, hams can
> > and do fill in, regularly. I'm surprised you're not better informed.
> >
> > Dick W0EX
>
```

> Like everyone else in a disaster situation, you come with what you have.
> This one did not require transmitters of any kind, the pro systems work
> well.
>
> Having had some experience with govt you must be, as I am, impressed with
> the ability of NYC to arrange such things as where to put the building
> rubble while you are digging and how to keep the general public off your
> back. The mobilization of barges, ferries, tugs and the re-opening of a New
> Jersey landfill to handle it was and is a first-rate response. The hospital
> system appears to have done a first-rate job up to and including some
> information for relatives.
>
> I, for one, am thoroughly impressed with the response of NYC to what has to
> be beyond the extremes of any disaster planning.
>

I certainly agree. And yet, there is still an important role for
amateur radio. You would think that the obvious would not be so often
overlooked by out detractors.

Dick

4074. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 16:52:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109140852.17730755@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:<kso1qtku5se1gl7b1itn3vc8io67g0oln9@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 01:35:36 GMT, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh really. Just look back at all the times when ham radio was the *only*
> >communications available and not just locally but internationally.
>
> ____
>
> That's my point exactly, JJ. Ham radio USED to be a valuable tool in an
> emergency.

It still is, Bill.

Back when there were no cell phones, long distance was
> unreliable and expensive and local authorities had little disaster
> training and/or equipment, ham radio had a useful function.
>
> Time has moved on and pretty much passed us by.

I don't think the Red Cross would agree with you.

> Each of us only so much
> time, energy and money to spend. Let's spend it where it will do the
> most good. Get involved with your local emergency people, your local
> search and rescue, volunteer fire department, Red Cross or wherever your
> interests lie. If your local disaster communication equipment isn't up
> to snuff, get involved. Go to city council meetings and demand
> improvement. Pass out leaflets at the next bond election. Demand that
> opposition to cell towers cease. Insist on more cell towers in remote
> areas. I could go on and on, but I hope you get the point.

Good points, all of them.
>
> We pay our emergency services people to do a job; lets do all we can to
> see they have the resources they need so they do not have to depend on
> some unknown quantity of volunteers.

Problem is, there is no practical way to equip our emergency services
for every possible disaster in every possible location. Resources are
limited, as you said. Do you think that the entire USA can be equipped
with reliable cell coverage?

The fact remains that amateur radio operators are providing important
emergency communications services at the Pentagon and the WTC sites.

Emergency services personnel are not totally dependent on hams, of course. But neither are hams superfluous to the efforts.

--

A few months ago, a six year old child got separated from his/her group while hiking in a wooded recreation area. (I think it was a state park in Arkansas, but don't fret about the details, they aren't the point). Search parties were quickly organized, and communications was needed because the area to be searched was large. Cell phones were all but useless in that hilly, remote area, and did not offer the kind of communications needed anyway. Hams provided communications services for the duration of the search, and fortunately the child was found in good condition.

Where were the "pros"? On the job, of course, but there simply weren't enough of them for that unusual emergency, so hams helped out.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4075. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 17:29:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109140929.2683086e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20319

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > On the subject of emergency communications, Cecil...
> >
> > Two days of continuous TV news broadcasts of the
> > evil terrorist acts have shown that TV remotes can
> > indeed operate during an emergency. Note: The WTC
> > had eight major TV broadcast towers that were
> > grounded on the collapse of the twin towers; CBS kept
> > their old tower and transmitter on the Empire State
> > building.

Which means that New Yorkers' TV reception was damaged, but the
stations could send in remote vans.
> >
> > At least two survivors in the WTC rubble were calling
> > for help on cellphones...from within the rubble. Cell
> > phones were evident on everyone's TV screens and
> > in use all over lower Manhattan.

Much of the cell phone infrastructure of lower Manhattan was destroyed
when the towers collapsed. However, much of the nearby cell
infrastructure survives and continues to function.

It should be realized that the current population of Manhattan today
is but a fraction of what it would be on a typical weekday, so the
demands on the cellphone systems are probably much less than normal.
The cell infrastructure in a place like Manhattan is extensive - yet
the Red Cross and others are relying on hams for communications.

The fact that TV images show lots of people with cellphones to their
ears does not mean that they are all functioning as intended.
> >
> > At least seven (perhaps eight) victims IN the aircraft
> > that crashed used cellphones to call out (NBC late
> > Wednesday afternoon), their family members recalling
> > their last words.

The media uses the term "cellphone" but in fact we do not know if
actual cellphones were used, or the "airphones" installed in most
airliners. Regardless of that detail, what significance does it have
to amateur radio?

> >Cellphones "did not go out" before
> > or after the crashes.

The ones on the planes sure did.
> >
> > I saw at least two different radio service HTs in use on
> > Fox Cable news broadcasts of the scene. NY National
> > Guard were shown along with at least one Humvee with
> > a high-HF whip, although no sign of its radio being used.
> > Military HTs were evident around the Pentagon along
> > with cellphones used by both military and civilian
> > personnel.

That's good.
> >
> > Given at least 40 hours of continuous news telecasting
> > (to the time of this writing) there has been no daylight or
> > early night coverage of amateur radio health and welfare
> > operations by CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, Fox, or MSNBC
> > TV networks.

How do you know, Len? Are you able to watch all of those six networks
for the entire time you describe, and read/post here simultaneously?
Amazing.

> > It would be a boon to all the amateurs
> > "emergency radio" proponents if some network could
> > show that. It would be a good "human interest"
> > cutaway on day Two or day Three.

Agreed. Perhaps they will. Amateur Radio is routinely underpublicized
and misrepresented by the mainstream media.
> >
> > Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
> > here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
> > million subscribers.

For how long? We get power failures here quite often, 'specially in
bad weather. Most are short lived (an hour or two). Some last for as
long as a day.

> > Where was all the "emergency
> > communications" by radio amateurs then?

It was there, you just missed it.

> >TV was on
> > the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
> > had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
> > transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.

What's your point, Len?
> >
> > REAL emergency preparedness in amateur
> > communications involves having emergency power
> > available to operate...and integration with the
> > authorities who ARE equipped, DO train for many

> > eventualities, and CAN do their job.

Agreed. What's your point?

> > That example
> > lesson seems lost on those who only want to throw
> > out their chests in bragging but aren't prepared to show
> > the world how good they are (or at least claim to be).

So what's your solution, Len?
>
> I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
> emergency situations.

You have to understand where LHA is coming from, Dave.

> QST is loaded with stories of how amateur radio
> is used in emergency situations.

LHA doesn't read QST.

> I'm certain that most of us are more
> concerned with the welfare of real people in a tragic situation than we
> are with your self-important analysis of amateur radio.

I think you miss what's really going on here, Dave.

LHA posts here for reasons known only to himself. He obviously thinks he is some sort of communications expert or guru. Trouble is, his messages are often worded in such a way as to obscure the meaning. Like many gurus, he speaks "down" to those who bother to listen, and blames them for imperfectly understanding his words. And since he thinks he's an expert on communications, he cannot admit that perhaps his methods and wordings are not effective.

LHA's words usually require translation to plain English. Here is a simple plain English translation of his words above, with the filler and bluster removed:

"I watched a lot of TV the past few days and didn't see anything about ham radio operators doing emergency communications at WTC. Didn't see any at the Northridge earthquake seven years ago, either. It would be great if the mainstream media featured more on the great job hams do helping out with communications during emergencies."

See? Once the "noise" is filtered out, the "signal" is OK.

Of course LHA posts so much here that we will all have to pitch in and help with the translations.

73 de Jim,N2EY

4076. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 17:32:31 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <3BA23F2F.EDC88F8E@firstinter.net>
Source record: 20320

Cec,
Doesn't Nostradamus also speak of fire from the sky over the "New City"
in one of his quatrains? The prophesy may have come to pass, although
most people thought this was to happen in 1994 via a nucleur weapon.
Someone couldn't read their calendar?

Rick T.
W7RT

CAM wrote:
>
> I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
> thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.
>
> "The king will want to enter the new city, they will come to subdue
> it through its enemies. A captive, falsely faced to speak and act.
> The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
>
> New York City is certainly subdued through its enemies. The captive
> airplanes, facing the wrong way, certainly made a statement through
> disasterous actions. During his return from Florida, Bush certainly
> stayed far from the enemy.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4077. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 17:54:16 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <#3hwYfUPBHA.261@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 20321

I would be careful accepting advice from this news group.
It seems likely to me that he may have a very real claim to
that email address.
If it were my decision, I'd just change email addresses. It
might be cheaper than defending myself in court. Not to
mention, the confusion this could cause in the future (do
you really want people mistakely sending emails to some
other address starting with 'ww7a', knowing they will likely
be going to someone who may have a grudge against you?
Life is just to short to worry about details like this.
--
Steve

4078. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 18:01:18 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <1Gro7.32820$ga2.1440927@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20322
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:Xdyn7.65376$Hm.720779@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

"Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:%Gvn7.32255$ga2.1392281@cletus.bright.net...
> I have been put on alert to be moved to the effected areas, either NY or
> Washington.

Watch yourself! The last I heard over 200 fire, police etc. personnel are missing when the two towers collapsed. I would hate for you to end up that way.

I hope Bush has been reviewing those nuke release codes. I think the time has come to show some dirty buggers what "Terrorism" really is when there is no place to hide from our bomb. Enough is enough, press that big red button and lets see if it really works!

People think it's that rich Arab terrorist. I don't think it is. My money is on Iraq. They have access to trained pilots, both military and civilian, who could have flown those jets easy. Given their past ability to get material for their military programs secretly, planing the kind of attack we saw today would not be beyond them.

Iraq also has a bone to pick with Bush, the older one, over the Gulf War. That would explain the attack on the Pentagon, and the apparent attempt to crash a jet on Camp David. That would please the Iraqi leadership to no end if they could kill the son, now our current president, of the former US president whose military still occupies Iraqi soil and beat the crap out of the Iraqi army.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

Regardless of who it is, I had a thought, all the assholes that we can aprehend, hold them in a secure area until we are ready to redesign the landscape of the contry this originated from. Then we strap the bastards to the bombs "you want to deliver boms? here deliver this one". Show it live on CNN ect. let the other terrorists what we do to people who fuck with us.

Keith "WebDoc" Hosman

4079. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 18:38:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA240A2.6A85FB23@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20323

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
> >emergency situations.
>
> Heil is angry that I do not over-exaggerate amateur
> radio good deeds. There are many of those but...

Heil is angry because you, a non-entity in the world of amateur radio,
persist in belittling accomplishments of radio amateurs. This time,
you've even used a national tragedy in an effort to make a point.

> >QST is loaded with stories of how amateur radio
> >is used in emergency situations.
>
> The NON-amateur radio press is NOT "loaded" with
> such stories. Go to any wire service covering this
> dastardly attack on America, go to any newspaper
> and find the story. It is a vanishing percentage of all
> the news, so small that is does not seem to exist..

Getting good press is not the object. The object is to perform a
service to others. That you have not personally seen efforts by radio
amateurs does not negate the good that they do.

> It is fairly clear that the ARRL, while an excellent
> organization to help radio amateur members, is NOT
> a news medium that reaches the general public.
> Neither is the ARRL a journalism service...except to
> other radio amateurs. Think about that.

I've thought about it. See my paragraph above.

> If the ARRL was a true radio news journal organization
> it would cover the activities of all the professionals who
> exist and do do the REAL emergencies every day. It
> seldom mentions the professionals.

QST is an amateur radio magazine. Maybe you'll become a radio amateur
someday. I can read my local paper, watch TV and listen to NPR for
other news.

> Years and years of self-promotion of amateur radio are
> bound to skew the thinking of those whose major

> radio news input is QST and the ARRL publications.
>
> > I'm certain that most of us are more
> >concerned with the welfare of real people in a tragic situation than we
> >are with your self-important analysis of amateur radio. Kindly blow it
> >out your ass, Len.
>
> Heil is not certain, he is only looking for a chance to
> insult, impugn, depreciate another on the basis for not
> achieving his expected reverence, honor, and respect
> from his imperial postings in years past.

Heil is quite certain in his concern for victims and their loved ones. Heil is also quite sincere in his suggestion that you blow it out your ass.

> It is shameful that Heil uses the events of the Attack on
> America of day 9-11 as a cover to insult others for his
> personal desires. Shame.

There aren't any others, Len. There's only a bitter old geezer out California way who used this monstrous event in order to attempt a slam of public service work in amateur radio.

You're a sad sack in more than one way.

Dave K8MN

4080. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 18:46:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914144634.00578.00000302@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 20325

In article <20010914080310.02731.00000367@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010907163029.23913.00000093@nso-ma.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>> Morse code has limited potential in communications beyond
>>>> emergencies (such as at sea, giving position and immediacy
>>>> of the emergency).
>>>
>>>Not true. Morse code has more than "limited potential in
>>>communications"/
>>
>>Please point out any other radio communication service that
>>uses morse code as a primary mode.
>
>Why? The discussion is about amateur radio. Besides, "limited potenital" is a
>purely subjective term.

Is any other radio service requiring morse code mode
communications? If so, what are the percentages of
such radio services relative to all of the rest of the
radio world?

If morse code had any "potential" as a mode, it is not
explained other than "it was the first mode in all radio"
and must be kept for "traditional" reasons. That includes
amateur radio, especially for the license examinations.

>>>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>>
>>Tsk, tsk. Untrue and you know know it.
>
>Nope. True and I've done it.

Unverified claim. Braggadoccio.

Please indicate the actual test conditions where the speed
and comprehension was tested in reference to voice
communications carrying the same information.

>Why do you use last names here, Len?

My apologies. I was uncertain whether or not your
surname had been changed to "N2EY."

>>I can converse by voice at rates from 180 to 240 WPM
>>and be understood by another English-language
>>understanding, normal hearing ability human.
>
>Maybe. But most people don't talk anywhere near that fast, particularly for a
>sustained amount of time.

Please indicate the test conditions where you ascertained
that "most people" cannot do this. Please also document
the relative percentage of "most people" to all people.

>>Can the
>>very skilled morse operators reach intelligible rates
>>of 100 WPM?
>>
>With abbreviations and prosigns, yes.

Sorry, but that is unverified except as to a personal claim.

While I've known two long-time radio amateurs who were
"speed freaks" (their terminology) at telegraphy, only a
third amateur (Gene Hubbell, SK, then W9ERU, later
W7DI) was actually tested at 65 WPM...five-character
groups, no clear language or prosigns.

It should be pointed out that N2EY/Miccolis states
"very skilled morse operators." That is clearly NOT
"most people."

>Yes. How fast can you block-print or write longhand, Len?

Block-print at about a 40 WPM rate. Intelligibly. By
informal testing.

However, an individual ability is irrelevant here...except, of
course, in arguments of promoting morse code.

>>Is that why commercial communications shifted over to tele-
>>printer terminals running at 60 WPM before WW2?
>
>That's why that conversion began way back then, yes. It took decades to
>replace all landline Morse in this country, though.

The conversion to teleprinting was already beginning in
the year 1900. Standardization in international teleprinting
telegraphy did not come about until about the late 1920s.

>>Teleprinters can operate continuously for hours without
>>making a single mistake.
>
>Under good conditions, yes.

Under "bad" conditions. I've seen hundreds of teleprinters
running continuously for a month without breakdown or
making any mistake due to the teleprinters themselves..

>That's another reason why commercial services
>began replacing human operators with machines.

"Human operators" were not replaced. Operator skills
were shifted. It made no sense to employ specialists
at both ends of a communications link who could not
do 60 WPM throughput for days at a time. The purpose
of communications was NOT in the mode but the
information to be communicated. Teleprinter
communications could be prepared off-line and then
sent by non-morse-code-specialists with a written
copy of the communication available at each end.

>>>> The FCC does not declare OOK CW mode as a required
>>>> one to be used by any amateur...yet international
>>>> regulations require testing. That is inconsistent with the
>>>> regulations.
>>>
>>>You have it exactly backwards, Len.
>>
>>Not at all, Jim.
>
>Yes it is, Len.

Error. Neither the whole of S25 nor the US amateur radio
regulations mandate that manual telegraphy be used over
any other mode.

>> Unless there has been a new R&O in the
>>last few days, the FCC allows any allocated mode use to
>>be at the option of individual amateur licensees.
>
>That's correct.

It also makes N2EY/Miccolis' statement of "backwardness"
incorrect.

>>The inconsistency comes from Part 97 giving the option for
>>use of any allocated mode...yet the license test for a
>>below-30-MHz-privilege class requires the passing of a
>>single mode...
>
>How is that "inconsistent with the regulations"?

It makes no sense to test for a singular mode when
all other allocated modes are optional for any
licensee.

>>there is NO manual operating test element
>>for all other US amateur modes.
>
>Neither is there a treaty requirement for same.

Then explain the logical "reasons" for the retention of
S25.5.

>>>The FCC still requires the test only because the international
>>>treaty/regulation requires it.
>>
>>Not quite right.
>
>No, it's exactly right. FCC says so. They define what is correct in this
>area, remember?

Incorrect, NOT "exactly right." The FCC stated, in 1990 and
1999, that the morse code test is not considerred relevant
to their regulatory purpose in granting amateur radio licenses.
Please see the FULL statements in 90-53 and 99-412.

>> S25.5 is a recommendation of the ITU-R.
>>The US administration is honor-bound to adhere to the
>>ITU-R recommendations. There is NO enforcement body
>>to "require" any recommendation other than voluntary
>>adherence to such recommendations.
>
>So? What's your alternative solution?

Elimination of the code test requirement for a license as
stated in ITU-R S25.5.

>What's your solution for the USA?

Removal of the ITU-R code test recommendation stated
in S25.5 would allow all adminstrations to include or
exclude a code test.

Further, the ITU-R could pass a recommendation that all
adminstrations' previously code-tested licensees be
issued the highest medal of honor of each adminstration
in recognition of their noble accomplishments of keeping
morse code skills. That should partly offset the rage and
anger of all those morsemen who insist that all newcomers
have to pass a code test just as they had to...

>Actually, there were 3 ships that were sisters to Titanic.

Why is that important? Rec.maritime.long.ago.heroes
newsgroup is far down the hall to the left.

Morsemen manning maritime radio rooms aren't needed
for emergencies at sea. The Real maritime communicators
have the Global Maritime Distress and Disaster automatic
communications radios now.

>For hams. It wasn't outlawed for marine use until much later. And it was
>outlawed for hams only when it wasn't used by hams anymore.

WHAT?!? "Hams didn't use spark anymore?!?" Tsk, tsk.

Spark was the very first amateur transmitter thingy. I would
have thought that "tradition" would mandate continued use
of spark and spark technology forever!

One learns something every day from Those That Were There.
:-)

Leonard H. Anderson

>
>N2EY

4081. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 18:46:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914144635.00578.00000303@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 20324

In article <9nt4dd$jk1$0@208.206.142.96>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Dave I can't imagine why *anyone* here is still even acknowledging
>Lennie the Freak.

Amazing, isn't it? :-)

>His entire purpose here is to denigrate ham radio to
>the maximum extent possible using whatever methodology he can dream up
>on the fly.

No, it is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test
from any amateur radio license examination.

Amateur radio seems like a fun hobby, interesting and
challenging in and of itself. The exception is all those
self-important whizzers who think that amateur radio is a
Way Of Life or some kind of military service organized as
a morse code emergency communications service.

> No one ever said that ham radio was primary communications for anything
>but ham radio,

Bzzt! Wrong. Several in here have stressed the "service"
aspect of amateur radio (as if it were some quasi-military
organization) and insist the whole purpose of amateur radio
was to provide emergency communications.

Carroll is invited to pursue the what and who in Google over
the last week. Should be no problem to find them. :-)

>...but only a fool of Andesonian dimensions could fail to
>understand that communications assets are communications assets,
>wherever or whatever they are.

"Carol" is almost right, there...but has never really acknowledged
the communications assets in the non-amateur radio world that
have existed for two decades. He has continued to stress the
alleged "importance of CW (manual telegraphy)" based on his
own desires/prejudices.

>And the fact that *knowledgable*
>emergency managers everywhere know that ham radio may well be a vital
>asset at any given time.

This is a general statement without basis or reference.

It is still a part of the mantra that is constantly muttered by those who sit behind their radios dreaming of doing heroic acts (and winning immortal recognition) such as (as stated by another) "being the radio operator on the Titanic."

Instead of trying to promote the enjoyment of amateur radio as a pleasureable hobby, Carroll and some others keep insisting on its "vital need" for the nation and especially, on the "vital need to be proficient in manual telegraphy" as a part of the "tradition" of amateur radio. At times Carroll and others completely ignore the vast, existing infrastructure of modern communications which are the real backbone of true emergency communications.

This is not to disparage health-and-welfare communications services provided by amateurs. Indeed, that is a significant morale booster and emotional salvation for victims and loved ones...but health-and-welfare communications is not the prime function of true emergency communications.

Further, the general public seems unaware that health-and-welfare messaging by radio amateurs exists. The major effort of health-and-welfare "emergency" communications is limited to publicity within amateur organizations. In other words, the amateurs are so busy high-fiving each other and exchanging medals of valorious "service" that they forget who is the target of health-and-welfare support. It would seem that the "health-and-welfare" communications has become just a self-congratulatory service only for themselves.

Everyone should feel good about what they do, but some have extended their self-importance in a hobby activity far beyond reasonable limits.

Now unwrap yourself from all that quasi-patriotic bunting (it must be choking you) and let's get back to your explanations on why the morse code test must always be kept as part of an amateur radio test. :-)

Leonard H. Anderson

4082. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 19:31:15 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109141928170.23243-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001, mink wrote:
>On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:20:08 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>Bill Turner wrote:
>>> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>>> but passed.
>>
>>Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
>>electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
>>satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.
>
> I have my ham certification. I have not turned the darn boring
>thing on since I bought my first computer in 1995. I come up for
>renewal next year and dont even see reason to waste my time.
>I just dont see the insistance on archaiac means of communication
>such as morse code in an age when video, audio, and information
>rich content is available at your fingertips. Erect a gigantic antenna
>to talk half way around the world and then not even be guaranteed
>success. Why bother. I can plug into a simple phone jack and go
>anywhere I want. Its this insistance and refusal to "get on with it"
>that will be the demise of ham radio. The communication spectrum
>is dwindling and I forsee amateur radio being forced out in the future
>to make room for newer technologies. Sure, amateur radio has been
>responsible for a lot of what we take for granted nowadays - but, it
>just isnt keeping up in the long run. The cell repeaters can be back
>on the air just as fast as an amateur can erect a repeater system.
>I would much rather have a cell phone with me under that pile of
>rubble or on a hijacked plane than an amateur radio. I think I only
>view amateur radio as a form of socializing anymore. It just isnt
>anything to be proud of in this day and age. If hams ran the auto
>industry we would all still be riding around on wooden spoke wheels
>today. I just do not see any of our younger generations finding any
>interest in amateur radio today. Perhaps, like an old horse dying of
>old age, we should just shoot it and put it out of its misery.

...Obviously posted by someone who's completely clueless as to such things like amateur television and other such modes that an amateur radio licensee may also engage in. There is more to amateur radio than CW on the HF bands..... What an a*h*.

4083. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:05:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA2547D.1111FE9F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20327

He has no claim to that email address whatsoever. Just because the combination of letters and numbers happens to be his amateur call is immaterial. WW7A applies to WW7A's ham license only, outside of ham radio it means nothing. zz4@webtv.net (internet-terminal zz4) stated he has had this email id for several years. Your name is Steve, but you have no exclusive rights to that name as evident by the thousands of other people named Steve. I could apply for an email address of steve@hotmail.com and as long as there is no one else using that id I have every right to use it even if you might approve. Do a search and I am sure you will find a number of ids of steve@xxxx.xxx, are you going to tell all those users to stop using your identity?

Steve wrote:

> I would be careful accepting advice from this news group.
> It seems likely to me that he may have a very real claim to
> that email address.
>

4084. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:11:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0109141211.29690522@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20328

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA2130F.882ACDC3@IEEE.org>...
> I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
> thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.
>
> "The king will want to enter the new city, they will come to subdue
> it through its enemies. A captive, falsely faced to speak and act.
> The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
>
> New York City is certainly subdued through its enemies. The captive
> airplanes, facing the wrong way, certainly made a statement through
> disasterous actions. During his return from Florida, Bush certainly
> stayed far from the enemy.

It's a fake, pure and simple. It wasn't even written by Mr. N.

See:

http://www.snopes2.com/inboxer/hoaxes/predict.htm

--

Anybody who writes enough vague, rambling, symbolic stuff will
eventually find that some of it can appear to fit current events -
after the fact, of course.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4085. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:31:44 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109141231.56535cf2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20329

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA18A23.A40C61AD@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
> > >it's a good time to clean house.
> >
> > "Clean house" means what?
>
> Declare war on all terrorists.

I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the prevailing mood.

Jonathan

4086. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:39:13 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA26AF1.4F7C887D@uci.edu>
Source record: 20330

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Virtually everyone is "able to pass" a 5wpm code test.

> > You have significantly overstated the truth of the matter. If it were
> > true then "virtually everyone" with a ham license would be General and
> > above.

> Some hams are happy with VHF/UHF.

Is this your proof that "virtually everyone is able to pass a 5WPM code test? One needn't limit himself to a Tech license in order to be "happy with VHF/UHF".

4087. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:44:05 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA26C15.B3668D7E@uci.edu>
Source record: 20331

Len Over 21 wrote:
> "Prominent" is a subjective term in this instance. If all you
> are looking for is "amateur radio" then it will be "prominent"
> even if mentioned only once.

"Prominent" is the word I used, and is a correct description. It is you who continues to be incorrect. Need I post the entire text in order to get you to read it? If you did read it, you might be able to more accurately characterize it. Even better, if you actually participated, you might even have some idea of what you are talking about. Just a thought.

> Feel free to post the entirety of the California ACS
> documentation and let others decide.

I posted the web site, numbnuts.
> Leonard H. Anderson

4088. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:54:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914165458.07036.00000337@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 20333

In article <3BA240A2.6A85FB23@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
>> >emergency situations.
>>
>> Heil is angry that I do not over-exaggerate amateur
>> radio good deeds. There are many of those but...
>
>Heil is angry because you, a non-entity in the world of amateur radio,
>persist in belittling accomplishments of radio amateurs.

The "belittling" is subjective on Heil's part. I do not glorify
the statements seen in QST or the ARRL nor praise them
to the skies...and that is taken as "belittling" all radio
amateurs...assuming that Heil represents all radio amateurs.

>This time,
>you've even used a national tragedy in an effort to make a point.

No. I did not wrap myself in quasi-patriotic bunting and
equate my opinions to the Attack On America. I've held
my opinions long before this week, stated them in here..

Heil is angry because He tried to equate His opinions with
the Attack On America, got caught at it, and persists in
trying to denounce me as an "enemy of the state" because
I do not believe as He does.

>Getting good press is not the object.

Ah, so...if no other news medium covers "emergency
communications" by radio amateurs but the ARRL states
it, I should only believe what the ARRL says?

>The object is to perform a service to others.

That does not require an amateur radio license.

Those with an amateur radio license are also granted to
call non-hams "non-entities?" Yes, according to Heil.

>That you have not personally seen efforts by radio
>amateurs does not negate the good that they do.

I've never "negated any good that [radio amateurs] do."

I said that I've not seen any non-ham media reference to
"emergency communications" by radio amateurs.

>Heil is quite certain in his concern for victims and their loved ones.

Concern for victims has no connection with discussing
the organization, planning, training of true emergency
communications nor the integration of all the various
radio services in communities.

However, such statements as Heil's are almost de rigeur
implications of being unpatriotic and unsympathetic to
victims when Heil's opinions on other matters are not
accepted.

>Heil is also quite sincere in his suggestion that you blow it out your
>ass.

Suggestion noted...and not accepted.

>> It is shameful that Heil uses the events of the Attack on
>> America of day 9-11 as a cover to insult others for his
>> personal desires. Shame.
>
>There aren't any others, Len.

Heil isn't done in here yet...

>There's only a bitter old geezer out
>California way who used this monstrous event in order to attempt a slam
>of public service work in amateur radio.

What "slamming of public service work in amateur radio?"

I wrote that all the other news media (except the amateur
radio news media) is silent on the public service works of
amateur radio during the Attack On America.

>You're a sad sack in more than one way.

I'm sure that Heil thinks so. Pity though, all those "non-
entities" without amateur radio licenses who have
perished on those aircraft and in the targets...all those
"non-entities" of the NYPD or FDNY who perished in the
building collapses and the many more PD and FD and
government workers still removing rubble, trying to find
victims...many from other cities and other states...all
those "non-entities" without ham licenses who
organized on the spot to handle an unforseen emergency,
got the earthmovers, set up the medical aid stations and
carried the litters, pushed the gurneys. Aid all over, even

heroism, yet are "non-entities" in Heil's mind because they have no amateur radio licenses.

Maybe Heil is correct. "Non-entitites" don't know about anything unless they have the magical amateur radio license grant.

Perhaps the ARRL should post something about "non-entities" not suffering, not doing anything during the Attack On America. That would make it "official."

LHA

4089. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 20:55:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914165500.07036.00000338@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 20332

In article <9f4bfe89.0109140929.2683086e@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:

>Much of the cell phone infrastructure of lower Manhattan was destroyed
>when the towers collapsed. However, much of the nearby cell
>infrastructure survives and continues to function.

Impossible...so many hams say that cell phones quit
working during emergencies. How can you go against
such mass opinion?

>It should be realized that the current population of Manhattan today
>is but a fraction of what it would be on a typical weekday, so the
>demands on the cellphone systems are probably much less than normal.
>The cell infrastructure in a place like Manhattan is extensive - yet
>the Red Cross and others are relying on hams for communications.

Yes, those "others" (PD, FD, government personnel) are seen
relying on Red Cross amateur radio communications. Of
course.

>The fact that TV images show lots of people with cellphones to their
>ears does not mean that they are all functioning as intended.

Of course not. All "non-entities" walk around holding
cell phones to their ears without communicating.
Their mouths are moving because they are pretending
to talk.

>The media uses the term "cellphone" but in fact we do not know if
>actual cellphones were used, or the "airphones" installed in most
>airliners. Regardless of that detail, what significance does it have
>to amateur radio?

According to so many hams stating in here, all cell phones
cease operating during emergencies. Are you going against
popular opinion?

>How do you know, Len? Are you able to watch all of those six networks
>for the entire time you describe, and read/post here simultaneously?
>Amazing.

Correction noted...we have only four TV sets here...and we
went to the market, I cut the grass, talked with my neighbors

a bit, we read the newspaper, cooked meals, all while
multi-tasking watching TV and simultaneously reading only
this one singular newsgroup. Sure.

>> > It would be a boon to all the amateurs
>> > "emergency radio" proponents if some network could
>> > show that. It would be a good "human interest"
>> > cutaway on day Two or day Three.
>
>Agreed. Perhaps they will. Amateur Radio is routinely underpublicized
>and misrepresented by the mainstream media.

Not my fault. "Non-entities" (without amateur radio licenses)
can't do anything or know anything. "Entities" have told me so.

>> > Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
>> > here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
>> > million subscribers.
>
>For how long? We get power failures here quite often, 'specially in
>bad weather. Most are short lived (an hour or two). Some last for as
>long as a day.

About four hours before a Black Start could begin. Power
restoration to undamaged neighborhoods wasn't complete
until about 20 hours later. It was out here for about 10 hours.

Why do you ask? Isn't it true that "CW can get through
when other modes fail?"

>> > Where was all the "emergency
>> > communications" by radio amateurs then?
>
>It was there, you just missed it.

Of course...long after the fact QST reported it. That makes
it "official."

>> >TV was on
>> > the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
>> > had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
>> > transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.
>
>What's your point, Len?

Tsk, tsk. I thought all "entities" were attentive, comprehending
entities. Heavens above, us "non-entities" can't take you
through a line-by-line, word-by-word explanation of what I
wrote...you may not comprehend the explanateion.

>So what's your solution, Len?

Non-entities cannot have solutions since they know nothing
(according to another entity). I will await the "solutions" as

put forth in QST and publications of the ARRL. Professionals
need amateurs to work out their problems, don't they?

>You have to understand where LHA is coming from, Dave.

I come from Illinois, a state in the United States of America.
Why ask?

>LHA doesn't read QST.

Entities seem to know exactly what is done by non-entities.
That is the most notable accomplishment of passing a ham
test and I stand in awe of this ability.

>I think you miss what's really going on here, Dave.

Impossible. Dave knows exactly what is going on,
according to Dave.

>
>LHA posts here for reasons known only to himself. He obviously thinks
>he is some sort of communications expert or guru.

Incorrect. Knowing more about the rest of the radio world
than an amateur does not permit titles such as "expert" or
"guru." Those terms are used only by Entities who have
highest-class amateur radio licenses.

>Of course LHA posts so much here that we will all have to pitch in and
>help with the translations.

Naturally...only Entities are capable of understanding anything.
They've proved that with the ability to copy morse code at
high rates.

4090. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 21:40:54 UTC
From: markv@peewee.telescopemaking.org
Message-ID: <slrn9q4ube.7et.markv@peewee.telescopemaking.org>
Source record: 20334

In article <#3hwYfUPBHA.261@cpmsnbbsa07>, Steve wrote:

> I would be careful accepting advice from this news group.

Always safe advice, but...

> It seems likely to me that he may have a very real claim to
> that email address.

in this case you appear to be completely out to lunch. An amateur
callsign is not protected by trademark or copyright.

> If it were my decision, I'd just change email addresses. It
> might be cheaper than defending myself in court.

Just what law do you think the holder of this email address has
violated?

> Not to
> mention, the confusion this could cause in the future (do
> you really want people mistakely sending emails to some
> other address starting with 'ww7a', knowing they will likely
> be going to someone who may have a grudge against you?
> Life is just to short to worry about details like this.

Yes, it is. And the holder of the callsign should realize this
and get over himself.

> Steve

--
```
/* __ __ __ ____ __*/float m,a,r,k,v;main(i){for(;r<4;r+=.1){for(a=0;
/*| \/ |\ \ / /\ \ / /*/a<4;a+=.06){k=v=0;for(i=99;--i&&k*k+v*v<4;)m=k*k
/*| |\/| | \ V / \ \/\/ / */-v*v+a-2,v=2*k*v+r-2,k=m;putchar("X =."[i&3]);}
/*|_| |_ark\_/ande\_/\_/ettering <markv@telescopemaking.org> */puts("");}}
```

4091. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 22:47:18 UTC
From: And Another <part95@home.comm>
Message-ID: <WNvo7.12631$5A3.3631259@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20335

The French physician and astrologer Nostradamus (1503-1566) penned numerous quatrains populated by obscure imagery that the credulous have ever after attempted to fit to the events of their times. These predictions can often ring somewhat true in that the images employed are so general they can be found in almost every event of import, but by the same token, the prophecies are never a dead-on fit because the wordings are far too general. Not that this stops anyone from believing in them; our society's need for mysticism runs far too deep to ever allow for that.

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3BA2130F.882ACDC3@IEEE.org...
> I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
> thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.
>
> "The king will want to enter the new city, they will come to subdue
> it through its enemies. A captive, falsely faced to speak and act.
> The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
>
> New York City is certainly subdued through its enemies. The captive
> airplanes, facing the wrong way, certainly made a statement through
> disasterous actions. During his return from Florida, Bush certainly
> stayed far from the enemy.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4092. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 22:51:38 UTC
From: And Another <part95@home.comm>
Message-ID: <_Rvo7.12632$5A3.3636108@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20336

They are 2 separate e-mail addresses, not related to each tell him to get
over it.

"Mark VandeWettering" <markv@peewee.telescopemaking.org> wrote in message
news:slrn9q4ube.7et.markv@peewee.telescopemaking.org...
> In article <#3hwYfUPBHA.261@cpmsnbbsa07>, Steve wrote:
>
> > I would be careful accepting advice from this news group.
>
> Always safe advice, but...
>
> > It seems likely to me that he may have a very real claim to
> > that email address.
>
> in this case you appear to be completely out to lunch. An amateur
> callsign is not protected by trademark or copyright.
>
> > If it were my decision, I'd just change email addresses. It
> > might be cheaper than defending myself in court.
>
> Just what law do you think the holder of this email address has
> violated?
>
> > Not to
> > mention, the confusion this could cause in the future (do
> > you really want people mistakely sending emails to some
> > other address starting with 'ww7a', knowing they will likely
> > be going to someone who may have a grudge against you?
> > Life is just to short to worry about details like this.
>
> Yes, it is. And the holder of the callsign should realize this
> and get over himself.
>
> > Steve
>
> --
> /* __ __ __ ____ __*/float
m,a,r,k,v;main(i){for(;r<4;r+=.1){for(a=0;
> /*| \/ |\ \ / /\ \ /
/*/a<4;a+=.06){k=v=0;for(i=99;--i&&k*k+v*v<4;)m=k*k
> /*| |\/| | \ V / \ \/\/ / */-v*v+a-2,v=2*k*v+r-2,k=m;putchar("X
=."[i&3]);}
> /*|_| |_ark_/ande_/_/ettering <markv@telescopemaking.org>
*/puts("");}}

4093. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 22:52:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914185203.29616.00000310@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20337

In article <20010914165500.07036.00000338@nso-mm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <9f4bfe89.0109140929.2683086e@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:<3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>...
>>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>Much of the cell phone infrastructure of lower Manhattan was destroyed
>>when the towers collapsed. However, much of the nearby cell
>>infrastructure survives and continues to function.
>
>Impossible...so many hams say that cell phones quit
>working during emergencies. How can you go against
>such mass opinion?

Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"Either all cellphones stop working in all emergencies or none of them stop
working in any emergency".

The answer is simple: In some emergency situations, cellphones work and in
others they don't. The point some hams try to make is that cellphones cannot be
relied upon to work in all emergency situations.

From direct experience I know that simple volume can overwhelm the cellphone
system.
>
>>It should be realized that the current population of Manhattan today
>>is but a fraction of what it would be on a typical weekday, so the
>>demands on the cellphone systems are probably much less than normal.
>>The cell infrastructure in a place like Manhattan is extensive - yet
>>the Red Cross and others are relying on hams for communications.
>
>Yes, those "others" (PD, FD, government personnel) are seen
>relying on Red Cross amateur radio communications. Of
>course.

Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"I didn't see anybody on TV using a ham rig so I don't believe hams were
involved."

The simple fact is that the Red Cross and Salvation Army are documented as
using amateur communications in the past few days.

>
>>The fact that TV images show lots of people with cellphones to their
>>ears does not mean that they are all functioning as intended.
>
>Of course not. All "non-entities" walk around holding
>cell phones to their ears without communicating.
>Their mouths are moving because they are pretending
>to talk.
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"I didn't see anybody on TV having trouble with a cellphone, so any claims of
their nonfunctioning cannot be true."

>>The media uses the term "cellphone" but in fact we do not know if
>>actual cellphones were used, or the "airphones" installed in most
>>airliners. Regardless of that detail, what significance does it have
>>to amateur radio?
>
>According to so many hams stating in here, all cell phones
>cease operating during emergencies. Are you going against
>popular opinion?

Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"Either all cellphones stop working in all emergencies or none of them stop
working in any emergency. LHA only accepts absolutes".>
>
>>How do you know, Len? Are you able to watch all of those six networks
>>for the entire time you describe, and read/post here simultaneously?
>>Amazing.
>
>Correction noted...

Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"N2EY discovered an obvious error in an LHA statement"

>we have only four TV sets here...and we
>went to the market, I cut the grass, talked with my neighbors
>a bit, we read the newspaper, cooked meals, all while
>multi-tasking watching TV and simultaneously reading only
>this one singular newsgroup. Sure.

Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA's claims of no mention of amateur radio in the media are obviously grossly
exaggerated and backed by nothing but LHA's bias, but LHA cannot admit such.
>
>>> > It would be a boon to all the amateurs
>>> > "emergency radio" proponents if some network could
>>> > show that. It would be a good "human interest"
>>> > cutaway on day Two or day Three.

>>
>>Agreed. Perhaps they will. Amateur Radio is routinely underpublicized
>>and misrepresented by the mainstream media.
>
>Not my fault. "Non-entities" (without amateur radio licenses)
>can't do anything or know anything. "Entities" have told me so.
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA isn't going to give any hams any help or ideas, LHA is just here to criticize, defame and argue".
>
>>> > Seven years ago the Northridge Earthquake happened
>>> > here, knocking out ALL primary power to about 10
>>> > million subscribers.
>>
>>For how long? We get power failures here quite often, 'specially in
>>bad weather. Most are short lived (an hour or two). Some last for as
>>long as a day.
>
>About four hours before a Black Start could begin. Power
>restoration to undamaged neighborhoods wasn't complete
>until about 20 hours later. It was out here for about 10 hours.

(This is a rare example of LHA actually using plain English and also giving requested information)
>
>Why do you ask?

Because the time span has a big impact on the severity of the emergency. Less than 24 hours without power isn't a big deal unless you have some critical things going on, or have to face things like looting and breakdown of the social order.

Many people here in EPA were without power for a lot longer after Hurricane Floyd.

> Isn't it true that "CW can get through
>when other modes fail?"
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA senses that the loss of power during the 'quake cited may not have been such a big deal, and must deflect the discussion away from careful examination of the facts by a routine, off-the-shelf attack at Morse code"

>>> > Where was all the "emergency
>>> > communications" by radio amateurs then?
>>
>>It was there, you just missed it.
>
>Of course...long after the fact QST reported it. That makes

>it "official."
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA didn't see it, so it cannot exist"

>>> >TV was on
>>> > the spot then along with all the professionals. FEMA
>>> > had their roll-away terminals for video health and welfare
>>> > transmissions and that was shown on TV. No hams seen.
>>
>>What's your point, Len?
>
>Tsk, tsk. I thought all "entities" were attentive, comprehending
>entities. Heavens above, us "non-entities" can't take you
>through a line-by-line, word-by-word explanation of what I
>wrote...you may not comprehend the explanateion.
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA doesn't have a point. LHA just wants to toss out gratuitous insults."

>>So what's your solution, Len?
>
>Non-entities cannot have solutions since they know nothing
>(according to another entity). I will await the "solutions" as
>put forth in QST and publications of the ARRL. Professionals
>need amateurs to work out their problems, don't they?
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA doesn't have a solution, either. LHA just wants to toss out gratuitous
insults."
>
>>You have to understand where LHA is coming from, Dave.
>
>I come from Illinois, a state in the United States of America.
>Why ask?
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA doesn't understand the discussion."
>
>>LHA doesn't read QST.
>
>Entities seem to know exactly what is done by non-entities.
>That is the most notable accomplishment of passing a ham
>test and I stand in awe of this ability.

Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA doesn't like the fact that he is not revered by those he insults."
>

>>I think you miss what's really going on here, Dave.
>
>Impossible. Dave knows exactly what is going on,
>according to Dave.
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA doesn't like the fact that Dave knows more about some things radio-related
than LHA." LHA fears that Dave (and others) may learn to translate LHAspeak."
>>
>>LHA posts here for reasons known only to himself. He obviously thinks
>>he is some sort of communications expert or guru.
>
>Incorrect. Knowing more about the rest of the radio world
>than an amateur does not permit titles such as "expert" or
>"guru." Those terms are used only by Entities who have
>highest-class amateur radio licenses.
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA realizes that N2EY is right on the money, and so must respond with an
insult."
>
>>Of course LHA posts so much here that we will all have to pitch in and
>>help with the translations.
>
>Naturally...only Entities are capable of understanding anything.
>They've proved that with the ability to copy morse code at
>high rates.
>
Translation from LHAspeak to English:

"LHA fears that Dave (and others) may learn to translate LHAspeak, as N2EY has
already done."

N2EY

4094. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 22:52:22 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA28A26.C8B5C008@uci.edu>
Source record: 20339

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > Waddya think?
>
> Another possible reason to keep Saddam in power is that he
> might have been replaced by a greater evil as happened in
> Iran after the Shah.
> --
> cheers, Cecil

Most states in the region, and the rest of the world are not too keen on the US taking it upon themselves to remove the leaders of soverign countries. Leaves a real nasty in their mouthes.

4095. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 22:56:12 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA28B0C.56163799@uci.edu>
Source record: 20338

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
> >it's a good time to clean house.
>
> "Clean house" means what?

Maybe instead he should have said 'flush the toilet'? :-)

4096. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 23:05:01 UTC
From: Hassen Ben Sober <eimer@schmoe.net>
Message-ID: <x2wo7.123$%G.6194@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

So Mote it Be-

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9nt4hq$jk1$1@208.206.142.96...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Those who can do, and those who can't belittle those who can.
> >
>
>
> You got it. So it has always been. So it will always be.
>
> Dick
>

4097. Re: A Tribute to the United States

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 23:16:56 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA28FE8.DF5B3D6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Still works here...

Power House wrote:
>
> Sorry, no luck with your URL...
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3BA195A7.92810F9C@hotmail.com...
> > For more information on this 1973 commentary, go to:
> > http://www.snopes2.com/quotes/sinclair.htm

4098. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 23:36:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA29468.ACE768DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20342

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Is this your proof that "virtually everyone is able to pass a 5WPM code
> test? One needn't limit himself to a Tech license in order to be "happy
> with VHF/UHF".

Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
bother to upgrade? I know lots of techs who won't upgrade even after
the Morse code requirement is dropped.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4099. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 23:39:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA29521.95C2D697@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20343

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA18A23.A40C61AD@IEEE.org>...
> > > >With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
> > > >it's a good time to clean house.
> > >
> > > "Clean house" means what?
> >
> > Declare war on all terrorists.
>
> That's nice. But it is meaningless unless you know who the bad guys
> are, where they are and who is helping them.

That goes without saying. I assume we won't go after people who
merely think terrorist's thoughts.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4100. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 23:41:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA295AE.72967A0E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20344

N0YM wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > Declare war on all terrorists.
>
> I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the prevailing mood.

Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet terrorists.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4101. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-14 23:42:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA295EA.61108D7D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20345

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3BA13A37.E748B83C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >With all the mini-superpowers on the side of the US in this one, maybe
> > >it's a good time to clean house.
> >
> > "Clean house" means what?
>
> Maybe instead he should have said 'flush the toilet'? :-)

The "house" is the world. I wouldn't want to point out the
toilet in particular.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4102. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 00:09:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4%wo7.2224$lx5.139993@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20346

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BA295AE.72967A0E@IEEE.org...
> N0YM wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > Declare war on all terrorists.
> >
> > I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the
prevailing mood.
>
> Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet
terrorists.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>

No argument but it would be damn wise to recognize that Islam does recognize sanctuary and the responsibility of a host to a guest exceeding the resposibility to one's family. Idi Amin at last count was sunning himself in Saudi Arabia so sheltering Ben Laden in an Afghanistan run by some pretty primitive Muslims (not a lot different than being run by Southern Baptists, eh?) is not all that surprising.

Nor is it restricted to Islam. There is a rather confusing story in Genesis about a man in Sodom who offered his virgin daughters to the crowd demanding to get to his guest. As good an interpretation as any is that it demonstrated the evil of Sodom and the righteousness of the host.

The interesting scenario is that we are able to prove to the Taliban that ben Laden crapped in the nest, not exactly guest-like behavior. If they are all that Islamic, all we would get is his head; if they are not, well, they are accessories after the fact.

I admit to being afraid we are going to do something incredibly stupid

Dick NBK

4103. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 01:21:57 UTC
From: mink <estring@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cpa5qtgujbotkbkcrf44knmngfklp2l9to@4ax.com>
Source record: 20348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 14 Sep 2001 19:31:15 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Sep 2001, mink wrote:
>>On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:20:08 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>>Bill Turner wrote:
>>>> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
>>>> but passed.
>>>
>>>Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
>>>electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
>>>satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.
>>
>> I have my ham certification. I have not turned the darn boring
>>thing on since I bought my first computer in 1995. I come up for
>>renewal next year and dont even see reason to waste my time.
>>I just dont see the insistance on archaiac means of communication
>>such as morse code in an age when video, audio, and information
>>rich content is available at your fingertips. Erect a gigantic antenna
>>to talk half way around the world and then not even be guaranteed
>>success. Why bother. I can plug into a simple phone jack and go
>>anywhere I want. Its this insistance and refusal to "get on with it"
>>that will be the demise of ham radio. The communication spectrum
>>is dwindling and I forsee amateur radio being forced out in the future
>>to make room for newer technologies. Sure, amateur radio has been
>>responsible for a lot of what we take for granted nowadays - but, it
>>just isnt keeping up in the long run. The cell repeaters can be back
>>on the air just as fast as an amateur can erect a repeater system.
>>I would much rather have a cell phone with me under that pile of
>>rubble or on a hijacked plane than an amateur radio. I think I only
>>view amateur radio as a form of socializing anymore. It just isnt
>>anything to be proud of in this day and age. If hams ran the auto
>>industry we would all still be riding around on wooden spoke wheels
>>today. I just do not see any of our younger generations finding any
>>interest in amateur radio today. Perhaps, like an old horse dying of
>>old age, we should just shoot it and put it out of its misery.
>
>...Obviously posted by someone who's completely clueless as to such things like
>amateur television and other such modes that an amateur radio licensee may also
>engage in. There is more to amateur radio than CW on the HF bands..... What
>an a*h*.

As i heard someone else state, a*h*s' have a purpose, too. All
I am saying is Amateur Radio could not hold my interest. Its boring.

I had a better time in the old days of 11 meters. Yea, I have witnessed amateur telelvision and sattelite comms. Boring. I would rather have internet videoconferencing. Packet radio. Sheesh. You guys are all so stiff-necked and rule-ridden it takes all the fun out of life. I can not see why amateur radio is put on a high pedestal for the few that are indulgent enough to tolerate it. You can have it. I felt it was time to move on, as I have never been one to live in the past. My old horse is out of its misery.

4104. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 01:54:50 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA2B4C0.F4032A57@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20347

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3BA295AE.72967A0E@IEEE.org...
> > N0YM wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > > Declare war on all terrorists.
> > >
> > > I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the
> prevailing mood.
> >
> > Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet
> terrorists.
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
> >
>
*****Extended forecast for parts of the Middle East:******

Periods of extremely bright sunlight.
Winds variable up to 2000 MPH.
Temperature in the high 6,000's.

Persons venturing outside should consider applying sun block
number 12,000 or higher.

73,
Clay N4AOX

Dubya says we have approx. 9,000 too many warheads. We
should get rid of the excess. The only good plutonium is a
fizzed plutonium.

4105. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 02:22:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010914222258.25777.00000268@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20349

In article <20010914000307.29616.00000266@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>It's like judging all of Christianity by the actions of the Spanish
>Inquisition.
>
>Wasn't Adolf Hitler a Christian?

He was born and raised Roman Catholic, like most Austrians, but became "fallen away" from the Church during his early adulthood. During the Holocaust, he persecuted Catholics just as much as he did Jews. He could hardly be called a "Christian" except with regard to his upbringing.

>>For that matter, a good many ordinary American muslims probably died in
>>those towers.
>>
>Yup. People from all over the world.

Including up to 100 British subjects, as of the last count.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4106. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 02:49:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nufjj$e7g$0@208.207.71.161>
Source record: 20350

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3BA295AE.72967A0E@IEEE.org...
> > N0YM wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > > Declare war on all terrorists.
> > >
> > > I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the
> prevailing mood.
> >
> > Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet
> terrorists.
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
> >
>
> No argument but it would be damn wise to recognize that Islam does recognize
> sanctuary and the responsibility of a host to a guest exceeding the
> resposibility to one's family. Idi Amin at last count was sunning himself
> in Saudi Arabia so sheltering Ben Laden in an Afghanistan run by some pretty
> primitive Muslims (not a lot different than being run by Southern Baptists,
> eh?) is not all that surprising.
>
> Nor is it restricted to Islam. There is a rather confusing story in Genesis
> about a man in Sodom who offered his virgin daughters to the crowd demanding
> to get to his guest. As good an interpretation as any is that it
> demonstrated the evil of Sodom and the righteousness of the host.
>
> The interesting scenario is that we are able to prove to the Taliban that
> ben Laden crapped in the nest, not exactly guest-like behavior. If they are
> all that Islamic, all we would get is his head; if they are not, well, they
> are accessories after the fact.

The sad truth is that Bin Laden and Co. are about as as truly Islamic
as the old Soviet Union was purely Communist. Somehow truth loses out to
Agenda, personal viewpoint and pure old human cussedness, to say nothing
about old fashioned evil.

>
> I admit to being afraid we are going to do something incredibly stupid

At this point in the game even that would be preferable to doing nothing. Somehwhere along the way it becomes time to lash out strongly in the general direction of the jackels and let the blow land whereever it strikes, rightly or wrongly. At that, maybe the respect gained would equal whatever wrong done.

Some times the innocents too close to the targets become unintended consequences, too. Their soulmates had no pity on the innocents in New York.

4107. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 02:53:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nufrl$e7g$1@208.207.71.161>
Source record: 20351

Richard McCollum wrote:

> I admit to being afraid we are going to do something incredibly stupid

Actually, Dick, I'm far more concerned about some of that glowing suitcase sized hardware missing from the old Soviet Union, What with us announcing to one and all what we're about to do. What's to keep them from another preemptive strik?

4108. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 03:14:16 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0109141914.383a051e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:<MPG.1609b75d533e4ea498973f@news>...
> In article <pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com>,
> w7ti@dslextreme.com says...
> > On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 18:39:35 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Where DO dorks like this come from? Sheesh...
> >
> > ____
> >
> > Call Dave all the names you like; his point is correct.
> >
> > A generation or two ago ham radio was of value in an emergency but
> > recent technology has made it more and more irrelevant.

This is exactly what I have tried to drive home to the Hams of the
USA. Ham Radio is no longer of value in emergency situations now that
many unlicensed people can carry cellular telephones...
BUT
If there is ever to be a full scale war Ham Radio will be again very
valuable if it can create a cadre of trained people who are modern
communications tewchnicians. For this to happen Ham Radio must again
be an educational technical hobby that promotes the learning of modern
communications technology.
> >
> > Someone made a comment about a 20 meter net assisting in collecting
> > blood. Think about what a waste of air time that was. And that was
> > apparently the high point.

IMHO they have all the blood they need. Unfortunately there are very
few suurvivors in need of blood at present. What is needed is not
blood but common sense to prevent any future terrorism.

> > If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> > touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> > training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> > Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> > drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
>
IMHO what is needed is armed air marshals on all flights, who are
trained to deal with razor knife wielding terrorists...and complete
carry on baggage inspection. We can never stop the carrier on bagage
that may contain shaving razors, which with a plastic holder, can be
quickly converted into "box opening" razor knives...but we must

prevent other weapons from being carried on to aircrafts and we must
have a sky marshal who is cleared and trained and armed on every
flight. Sky marshals can surpress knife wielding terrorists and
protect pilots, flight crews and passengers.

> > As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> > but passed.
>
AGREED unless Hams get trained to be of technical value to the USA.

> Really Bill? Just because the WTC didn't need hams you discount their
> worth in a disaster.

I agree with Bill. Hams use obsolete communications methods and many
hams are not capable of maintaining their own equipment or being of
any value if drafted into our present military services. This was not
true when I, as a Ham, entered into military service at the time of
the Korean conflict.

> If terrorist had knocked out the Eastern Power grid or the telcomm
> centers similar to what a hurricane or earthquake does I suspect you
> would be talking differently.

IMHO Ham 2 meter repeaters would be of little aid to the hostages on a
hijacked aircraft who are equipped with cell phones and other com gear
that is now or will be available in the near future to passengers on
commercial airliners.

> Or do you figure everyone will be using Motorola Walkabouts in the
> next disaster that kills the power and comms?

Yes, if not other brands of portable phones that can operate full
duplex and access the worlds telephone networks without going through
a patch.

> Glenn
>
>
> You and Dave twins? :-)

Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like People)

4109. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 03:25:26 UTC
From: Chris Phillips <kc5uko@camtel.net>
Message-ID: <3Szo7.43$y9.455@news.xspedius.net>
Source record: 20354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

I think that ya'll are missing the point. It is true that Ham Radio would not be very effective in an environment with skyscrapers and a lot of stray RF. That said, Ham Radio is still an effective tool. I was asked to work with the Red Cross several times for health and welfare traffic, and also at evacuee camps during hurricanes. Now knock the hobby all you want, but there are benefits. Ever hear of Skywarn? No? How 'bout MARS? It's still in use. My point is not only the "hobby" has assisted others in times of need it has also helped me in my own career.
Chris Phillips
KC5UKO
Firefighter/Paramedic
Allen Parish Fire District 3

4110. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 03:30:35 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914233035.20891.00000338@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 20353

I guess Bill Turner, w7ti does not want to play with us anymore, He sent me an e-mail saying so. I guess he got tired of being prove wrong in thes thread.
Oh Well!

4111. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 03:39:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA2BF41.89568293@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20355

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA240A2.6A85FB23@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3BA171BB.3AFC7091@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >I'm sick to death of your negative portrayals of radio amateurs in
> >> >emergency situations.
> >>
> >> Heil is angry that I do not over-exaggerate amateur
> >> radio good deeds. There are many of those but...
> >>
> >Heil is angry because you, a non-entity in the world of amateur radio,
> >persist in belittling accomplishments of radio amateurs.
>
> The "belittling" is subjective on Heil's part. I do not glorify
> the statements seen in QST or the ARRL nor praise them
> to the skies...and that is taken as "belittling" all radio
> amateurs...assuming that Heil represents all radio amateurs.

Your crap is hanging out there for all to see. You made statements that
you haven't seen or heard anything in the national broadcast media about
radio amateurs at the WTC site. You made statements about professional
communicators vs radio amateurs. One needn't assume that I represent
all radio amateurs. I wasn't under discussion. You weren't writing
about me. You as much as said that radio amateurs were not doing
important work in New York because you personally don't have any
knowledge of their activity. You were and are wrong.

> >This time,
> >you've even used a national tragedy in an effort to make a point.
>
> No. I did not wrap myself in quasi-patriotic bunting and
> equate my opinions to the Attack On America. I've held
> my opinions long before this week, stated them in here..

You chose to use this instance to try to make your point. You fail on
facts. You fail on sensitivity.

> Heil is angry because He tried to equate His opinions with
> the Attack On America, got caught at it, and persists in
> trying to denounce me as an "enemy of the state" because
> I do not believe as He does.

Heil didn't make the incorrect claim and persist in it. Anderson did.
I don't believe you to be an enemy of the state. I believe you to be an
insensitive geezer with an ax to grind and with too much free time which
has to be filled with something. In your case, it is your obsession
with amateur radio--something in which you are not a participant.

> >Getting good press is not the object.
>
> Ah, so...if no other news medium covers "emergency
> communications" by radio amateurs but the ARRL states
> it, I should only believe what the ARRL says?

Do you have reason to believe that the ARRL is lying? Do you have
information which proves that because another news medium makes no
mention of amateur radio asssitance, none took place?

> >The object is to perform a service to others.
>
> That does not require an amateur radio license.

It certainly does if what is taking place is communication via amateur
radio as other than a third party using a station controlled by a radio
amateur.

> Those with an amateur radio license are also granted to
> call non-hams "non-entities?" Yes, according to Heil.

With regard to amateur radio, you are such.

> >That you have not personally seen efforts by radio
> >amateurs does not negate the good that they do.
>
> I've never "negated any good that [radio amateurs] do."
>
> I said that I've not seen any non-ham media reference to
> "emergency communications" by radio amateurs.

So?

> >Heil is quite certain in his concern for victims and their loved ones.
>
> Concern for victims has no connection with discussing
> the organization, planning, training of true emergency
> communications nor the integration of all the various
> radio services in communities.

That would be wonderful. It was not, however, what you were doing.

> However, such statements as Heil's are almost de rigeur
> implications of being unpatriotic and unsympathetic to

> victims when Heil's opinions on other matters are not
> accepted.

It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
offer you up as proof.

> >Heil is also quite sincere in his suggestion that you blow it out your
> >ass.
>
> Suggestion noted...and not accepted.

>
> >> It is shameful that Heil uses the events of the Attack on
> >> America of day 9-11 as a cover to insult others for his
> >> personal desires. Shame.
> >
> >There aren't any others, Len.
>
> Heil isn't done in here yet...

There aren't any others, Len.

> >There's only a bitter old geezer out
> >California way who used this monstrous event in order to attempt a slam
> >of public service work in amateur radio.
>
> What "slamming of public service work in amateur radio?"

Do you ever bother to read your stuff either before or after you post
it?

> I wrote that all the other news media (except the amateur
> radio news media) is silent on the public service works of
> amateur radio during the Attack On America.

Is that all you wrote, Len? C'mon--fess up.

> >You're a sad sack in more than one way.
>
> I'm sure that Heil thinks so. Pity though, all those "non-
> entities" without amateur radio licenses who have
> perished on those aircraft and in the targets...all those
> "non-entities" of the NYPD or FDNY who perished in the
> building collapses and the many more PD and FD and
> government workers still removing rubble, trying to find
> victims...many from other cities and other states...all
> those "non-entities" without ham licenses who
> organized on the spot to handle an unforseen emergency,
> got the earthmovers, set up the medical aid stations and
> carried the litters, pushed the gurneys. Aid all over, even
> heroism, yet are "non-entities" in Heil's mind because
> they have no amateur radio licenses.

The above is more crap. You are a non-entity in amateur radio.
You are not a radio amateur.

> Maybe Heil is correct. "Non-entitites" don't know about
> anything unless they have the magical amateur radio
> license grant.
>
> Perhaps the ARRL should post something about "non-
> entities" not suffering, not doing anything during the
> Attack On America. That would make it "official."

You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
ultimate insensitive boob.

Blow is out your ass, Len.

Dave K8MN

4112. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 03:39:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2CD76.56817EED@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

mink wrote:
> All
> I am saying is Amateur Radio could not hold my interest. Its boring.

Video games are boring for me. Different strokes for different folks.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4113. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 03:52:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <egAo7.2506$lx5.163453@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20357

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9nufrl$e7g$1@208.207.71.161...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > I admit to being afraid we are going to do something incredibly stupid
>
>
> Actually, Dick, I'm far more concerned about some of that glowing
> suitcase sized hardware missing from the old Soviet Union, What with us
> announcing to one and all what we're about to do. What's to keep them
> from another preemptive strik?

Good Point. Then there are the inevitable copycats

4114. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:03:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915000314.25140.00000108@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20358

In article <3BA189EA.DBF5C7BC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Waddya think?
>
>Another possible reason to keep Saddam in power is that he
>might have been replaced by a greater evil as happened in
>Iran after the Shah.

Nope. Doesn't fit. If Saddam had been driven out, the alliance would have made sure that somebody better got the job.

The Saudis and others did not want to allow a precedent to be set.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4115. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:04:22 UTC
From: dkelly <dwkelly@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9nvtm3fjg1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 20369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Obviously this email was written by someone who needs a little help understanding theory and some rules so he can pass a simple exam. Try Radio Shack ...they have a book that might help. Please don't be frustrated, there is light at the end of the tunnel.

Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
>
> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

4116. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:07:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2D41B.3B4B078D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20359

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
> Doesn't Nostradamus also speak of fire from the sky over the "New City"
> in one of his quatrains? The prophesy may have come to pass, although
> most people thought this was to happen in 1994 via a nucleur weapon.

"The sky will burn at 45 degrees. Fire approaches the great New City."
from VI.XCVII Some people think the 45 degrees means latitude and
New York is about 41 degrees. The 45 degrees makes me think of all
the videos shot from ground level many of which were shot from about
a 45 degree angle.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4117. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:09:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2D47C.C53F3A0C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20360

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA2130F.882ACDC3@IEEE.org>...
> > I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
> > thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.
> >
> > "The king will want to enter the new city, they will come to subdue
> > it through its enemies. A captive, falsely faced to speak and act.
> > The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
> >
> > New York City is certainly subdued through its enemies. The captive
> > airplanes, facing the wrong way, certainly made a statement through
> > disasterous actions. During his return from Florida, Bush certainly
> > stayed far from the enemy.
>
> It's a fake, pure and simple. It wasn't even written by Mr. N.

Gotcha this time, Jim. Century IX.XCII was certainly written by
Nostradamus. You are thinking about something else.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4118. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:17:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2D64C.51AE8A55@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20361

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BA189EA.DBF5C7BC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> Waddya think?
> >
> >Another possible reason to keep Saddam in power is that he
> >might have been replaced by a greater evil as happened in
> >Iran after the Shah.
>
> Nope. Doesn't fit. If Saddam had been driven out, the alliance would have made
> sure that somebody better got the job.

The Shah was better than the guy who replaced him. A similar thing
could have happened to the Iraq alliance puppet. IMO, they left Saddam
in power because they didn't want another Iran. How do you spell the
guy's name who took over Iran after the fall of the Shah?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4119. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:45:12 UTC
From: Spurious@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns911CDD357B6F2Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 20362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

>>You obviously aren't listening. Virtually all the Red Cross
>>communications, health and welfare traffic is being handled by the
>>hams. In adddition, many of the volunteers are hams, trained in radio
>>communications. And all for free!

>Really? I've been listening to the media since it began, both TV and
>radio, and I haven't heard "ham radio" mentioned once.
>
>Where does your information come from?
>
>Bill, W7TI

Bill, I know it's hard for some people to understand, but most hams aren't publicity seekers, and simply provide the requested assistance in the background. Just today, (9/14/01), I received the following ARRL bulletin, which shows hams have been and are still needed in these efforts.

Ed WB6SAT

==========

SB QST @ ARL $ARLB040
ARLB040 Additional Amateur Radio volunteers sought from Greater New York City

ZCZC AG40
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 40 ARLB040
From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT September 14, 2001
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB040
ARLB040 Additional Amateur Radio volunteers sought from Greater New York City

ARES is seeking additional volunteers from the Greater New York City area to assist in supporting the World Trade Center disaster relief and recovery effort. ARES is assisting the American Red Cross and emergency management officials.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba says volunteers must have a VHF (2-meter) or, preferably, a VHF/UHF (2-meter/70-cm) mobile radio, power supply and cables, and

mobile/portable mag-mounted gain antenna. Carrubba says hand-helds are not sufficient to deal with the difficult operating conditions.

''Operators are still needed,'' he said, but stressed, ''This is a difficult assignment.''

Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.

This duty requires a serious commitment and involves working in a possibly hazardous area in war zone-like conditions. Volunteers will need to supply some items of protective clothing. Amateurs considering communications duty in New York City should first contact the appropriate ARRL Section Emergency Coordinator in the tri-state area for further information on how to proceed:

* New York City-Long Island: Tom Carrubba, KA2D, ka2d@arrl.net or telephone 631-422-9594.

* Eastern New York: Ken Akasofu, KL7JCQ, kl7jcq@arrl.net

* Northern New Jersey: Steve Ostrove, K2SO, k2so@arrl.net.

* Connecticut: Darrow Loucks, WA1D, wa1d@arrl.net.

Assigned volunteers will be asked to report to American Red Cross Headquarters for credentials and assignments. Volunteers must use public transportation to ARC HQ because of limited vehicle access; there is no parking in that area.

Carrubba has asked potential out-of-region volunteers to ''stand by until we can provide for your safety and comfort.''
NNNN
/EX

4120. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 04:59:14 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <b7Bo7.263$1b5.137318@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 20363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

For those who can, this bulletin just received:

SB QST @ ARL $ARLB040
ARLB040 Additional Amateur Radio volunteers sought from Greater
New York City

ZCZC AG40
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 40 ARLB040
>From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT September 14, 2001
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB040
ARLB040 Additional Amateur Radio volunteers sought from Greater
New York City

ARES is seeking additional volunteers from the Greater New York City
area to assist in supporting the World Trade Center disaster relief
and recovery effort. ARES is assisting the American Red Cross and
emergency management officials.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba
says volunteers must have a VHF (2-meter) or, preferably, a VHF/UHF
(2-meter/70-cm) mobile radio, power supply and cables, and
mobile/portable mag-mounted gain antenna. Carrubba says hand-helds
are not sufficient to deal with the difficult operating conditions.

''Operators are still needed,'' he said, but stressed, ''This is a
difficult assignment.''

Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.

This duty requires a serious commitment and involves working in a
possibly hazardous area in war zone-like conditions. Volunteers will
need to supply some items of protective clothing. Amateurs
considering communications duty in New York City should first
contact the appropriate ARRL Section Emergency Coordinator in the
tri-state area for further information on how to proceed:

* New York City-Long Island: Tom Carrubba, KA2D, ka2d@arrl.net or
telephone 631-422-9594.

* Eastern New York: Ken Akasofu, KL7JCQ, kl7jcq@arrl.net

* Northern New Jersey: Steve Ostrove, K2SO, k2so@arrl.net.

* Connecticut: Darrow Loucks, WA1D, wa1d@arrl.net.

Assigned volunteers will be asked to report to American Red Cross
Headquarters for credentials and assignments. Volunteers must use
public transportation to ARC HQ because of limited vehicle access;
there is no parking in that area.

Carrubba has asked potential out-of-region volunteers to ''stand by
until we can provide for your safety and comfort.''
NNNN
/EX

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9nt4hq$jk1$1@208.206.142.96...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Those who can do, and those who can't belittle those who can.
> >
>
>
> You got it. So it has always been. So it will always be.
>
> Dick

4121. Site updated !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 12:50:10 UTC
From: F5LCY <f5lcy@online.fr>
Message-ID: <68lo7.6578$9X4.7654509@nnrp1.proxad.net>
Source record: 20364

Ham radio ressources site monthly updated, Visit it !
http://f6keh.free.fr/index_r

4122. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 14:12:48 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <0dac2a3d18e23de8e1bd8f659f84d6df.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20365

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BA2130F.882ACDC3@IEEE.org...

> I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
> thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.

You can see amazing prophesy in your oatmeal, if you look with the
right mindset and expectations. Cecil, I thought you were brighter
than to subscribe to such lame-brained ideas.

with all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from zdialup206.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.11.207]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4123. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 14:44:33 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915104433.16124.00000172@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20366

Nostradamus, It's all crap. Nostradamus as well as all Psychics are frauds. Non of them predicted the WTC attack. How could they not see that. They are all phoney's.

4124. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 14:47:42 UTC
From: Mother Load <motherload@home.comm>
Message-ID: <iSJo7.14924$5A3.4526574@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20367

Cecil, you are trying to twist things to fit, perhaps the 45 degrees meant
how cold my beer is in the refrigerator.

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BA2D41B.3B4B078D@IEEE.org...
> "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
> > Doesn't Nostradamus also speak of fire from the sky over the "New City"
> > in one of his quatrains? The prophesy may have come to pass, although
> > most people thought this was to happen in 1994 via a nucleur weapon.
>
> "The sky will burn at 45 degrees. Fire approaches the great New City."
> from VI.XCVII Some people think the 45 degrees means latitude and
> New York is about 41 degrees. The 45 degrees makes me think of all
> the videos shot from ground level many of which were shot from about
> a 45 degree angle.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4125. For The Benefit of Leonard H. Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 14:48:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA35C05.951FD355@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20368

Len,

After you've digested this, perhaps you can begin phoning the broadcast networks to ask that they correct their omission of amateur radio's part. Then you may want to phone the League to set them straight about not insuring that the networks carry material on amateur radio. Be sure to let all concerned know that the telephone system and cellular systems are functioning perfectly well and that these "amateurs" aren't needed.

Dave Heil K8MN

(from the ARRL Letter)

==>AMATEUR RADIO RESPONDS IN FORCE IN WAKE OF TERRORIST ATTACKS

Some already are calling it "Amateur Radio's Finest Hour," as volunteers answer the call to assist in ongoing relief and recovery operations in New
York City, Washington, DC, and western Pennsylvania in the wake of terrorist
attacks on the US September 11. The need continues for operators to assist
over the long haul, however. Current estimates suggest hams may be needed
for a month or longer in the New York City area, and for at least the next
two weeks in Washington, DC.

Along with most other federal agencies, the FCC closed its offices and sent
its employees home following the attacks. The FCC issued no emergency declarations nor other special instructions to the Amateur Radio community.
The ARRL advised amateurs to stay alert to instructions from local authorities.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D,
reports that hams have been supporting emergency officials and the American
Red Cross relief and recovery effort. Amateurs have been staffing several
Red Cross shelters in addition to a staging/National Disaster Medical System
center, various Red Cross units, and the Greater New York City American Red

Cross Headquarters as well as the New York City Office of Emergency Management.

Carrubba says the telephone system in lower Manhattan continues to be problematic because of the high call volume. "American Red Cross communications are overloaded, and traffic from the shelters is coming into the New York City net at a rapid pace," he said. "The Amateur Radio ops are doing a great job under very difficult and strange conditions, but this is what they have trained for; they are getting it done well."

Red Cross Communications Officer Jay Ferron, N4GAA, agreed. "The Amateur Radio community has come out very big and very strong," he said, adding that local clubs and repeater groups have volunteered gear, frequencies and operators.

New York City District Emergency Coordinator Charles Hargrove, N2NOV, has expressed his appreciation to the amateur community. "Thank you for all the support and well wishes," he said. "This is a difficult time for all of us. We appreciate all the amateurs who have volunteered their time and equipment."

Carrubba also cited the ongoing efforts of Guy Richman, KC2AYG, who has been coordinating net controls for the ARES nets, and Manhattan ARRL EC John Kiernan, KE2UN.

Carrubba is seeking additional volunteers from the Greater New York City region. He has asked out-of-state volunteers to "stand by until we can provide for your safety and comfort." Volunteers need a VHF (2-meter) or, preferably, a VHF/UHF (2-meter/70-cm) mobile radio, power supply and cables, and mobile/portable mag-mounted gain antenna. Carrubba says hand-helds are not sufficient to deal with the difficult operating conditions.

"Operators are still needed," he said, but stressed, "This is a difficult assignment."

Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day, 8 AM to 8 PM and 8 PM to 8 AM, "plus or minus three or four hours, mostly plus," Carrubba said. Additional information is available on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

At the scene of the Pentagon attack near Washington, DC, Virginia Section
Emergency Coordinator Tom Gregory, N4NW, reports an "upbeat" crew of about
two dozen amateurs is staffing six Amateur Radio stations in the immediate
vicinity of the Pentagon. "What shocked me the most was the devastation you
can see right there, 100 feet from the building," Gregory said. "The
destruction is total."

The ARES operation is providing logistical support between the Salvation
Army's relief and recovery effort on site and the agency's Arlington
headquarters. The Salvation Army has deployed several mobile canteens and a
feeding unit to serve military and civilian emergency personnel assigned to
the recovery operation.

"What we're finding is that communication is very difficult because of the
tremendous amount of noise from the construction-type equipment and the
generators providing power for the lights and support staff," Gregory said.
Because of the noise level, operators are being rotated frequently in and
out of the immediate vicinity of the attack. "There's the emotion of it, and
there's the tremendous amount of noise, and it's very grating on you because
you can hardly hear the radio to communicate," Gregory explained.

Gregory described the entire area as "very crowded with people" inside and
outside the Pentagon. "People and equipment cleaning up, finding bodies,
finding plane parts, firefighters still checking for hot spots, hoses,
equipment," he said. "The damage to the building looks worse when you are
right next to it than it does on TV."

"I found that it took me a few minutes to realize the gravity of what was
going on and the importance of what we hams are doing in our own small way
to help out," Gregory said. "The devastation of that building is awesome,
and it puts things in perspective and it certainly made me proud to be an
Amateur Radio operator and serve the people of the United States by offering
the support we could."

The Pentagon ARES operation continues to seek volunteers. "Because of the
immensity of the thing, we're trying to have six amateurs on duty at all
times," he said. "We need 20 volunteers every day for at least two
weeks."
Volunteers should e-mail Tom Gregory, N4NW, at n4nw@arrl.net.

Gregory emphasized that Pentagon site security is extremely tight. All ham
volunteers must have a photo ID issued by a government entity to the
secured
area. "The FBI is handling issuance of IDs for access to the secured
area
and is doing a complete NCIC check before a photo ID is issued," Gregory
said.

At the so-called "fourth" plane crash site in rural Somerset County
western
Pennsylvania, Kevin Custer, W3KKC, reports a busy scene as the
investigation
continues. Custer arranged preliminary repeater communication into and
out
of the crash site on Tuesday to help the Red Cross, Salvation Army,
Pennsylvania State Police, the FBI and other state and federal agencies
on
the scene.

Custer said the investigation could continue for several weeks. "At this
time we are preparing for the possibility of family members coming to
the
crash site--or close by," he said.

Montgomery County, Maryland, Deputy RACES Officer John Creel, WB3GXW,
observed that while the enormity of the attacks is bound to touch the
amateur community directly or indirectly, he has seen nothing but
professionalism among the responding operators in his area. Creel
advised
amateurs to "just be prepared," and he echoed the sentiment of many that
the
events of September 11 "will be with us for the rest of our lives.

More detailed and updated information on Amateur Radio's involvement in
the
disaster relief and recovery efforts is available on the ARRL Web site
<http://www.arrl.org>.

4126. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 15:56:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA36BA9.34A47CFA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Good, we don't need you anyway.

mink wrote:

> On Fri, 14 Sep 2001 19:31:15 GMT, "D. Stussy"
> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 13 Sep 2001, mink wrote:
> >>On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 21:20:08 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>>Bill Turner wrote:
> >>>> As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> >>>> but passed.
> >>>
> >>>Natural disasters often knock out telephones, cellphones, and
> >>>electricity. That's when amateur radio can still shine. Of course,
> >>>satellite-based cellphones could also solve that problem.
> >>
> >> I have my ham certification. I have not turned the darn boring
> >>thing on since I bought my first computer in 1995. I come up for
> >>renewal next year and dont even see reason to waste my time.
> >>I just dont see the insistance on archaiac means of communication
> >>such as morse code in an age when video, audio, and information
> >>rich content is available at your fingertips. Erect a gigantic antenna
> >>to talk half way around the world and then not even be guaranteed
> >>success. Why bother. I can plug into a simple phone jack and go
> >>anywhere I want. Its this insistance and refusal to "get on with it"
> >>that will be the demise of ham radio. The communication spectrum
> >>is dwindling and I forsee amateur radio being forced out in the future
> >>to make room for newer technologies. Sure, amateur radio has been
> >>responsible for a lot of what we take for granted nowadays - but, it
> >>just isnt keeping up in the long run. The cell repeaters can be back
> >>on the air just as fast as an amateur can erect a repeater system.
> >>I would much rather have a cell phone with me under that pile of
> >>rubble or on a hijacked plane than an amateur radio. I think I only
> >>view amateur radio as a form of socializing anymore. It just isnt
> >>anything to be proud of in this day and age. If hams ran the auto
> >>industry we would all still be riding around on wooden spoke wheels
> >>today. I just do not see any of our younger generations finding any
> >>interest in amateur radio today. Perhaps, like an old horse dying of
> >>old age, we should just shoot it and put it out of its misery.
> >>
> >...Obviously posted by someone who's completely clueless as to such things like
> >amateur television and other such modes that an amateur radio licensee may also
> >engage in. There is more to amateur radio than CW on the HF bands..... What

> >an a*h*.
>
> As i heard someone else state, a*h*s' have a purpose, too. All
> I am saying is Amateur Radio could not hold my interest. Its boring.
> I had a better time in the old days of 11 meters. Yea, I have
> witnessed amateur telelvision and sattelite comms. Boring. I would
> rather have internet videoconferencing. Packet radio. Sheesh. You
> guys are all so stiff-necked and rule-ridden it takes all the fun out
> of life. I can not see why amateur radio is put on a high pedestal
> for the few that are indulgent enough to tolerate it. You can have it.
> I felt it was time to move on, as I have never been one to live in the
> past. My old horse is out of its misery.

4127. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 15:58:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA36C48.C7680493@hotmail.com>
Source record: 20371

If psychics are so great, then why do they me to give them my phone number?

Drpfrench wrote:

> Nostradamus, It's all crap. Nostradamus as well as all Psychics are frauds. Non
> of them predicted the WTC attack. How could they not see that. They are all
> phoney's.

4128. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 16:23:05 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <8107qtkli87p4hvqhad81mh62pet4iq5ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 20372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

When do they sleep?

Jim

->
->Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.
->

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4129. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:16:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA38D09.1B7AE9FE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20373

Dick Carroll wrote:
> No Cecil, you know better if you are truly an experienced ham. Accuracy
> is the most important part of traffic handling.

Uhhhhh Dick, We weren't talking about traffic handling. Drpfrench
was talking about voice broadcasts VS CW. Look back to see what
the context was. Here it is: "Put on any broadcast radio station
and count how many words there are in a 1 minute of time."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4130. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:18:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA38D59.CB5089F7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20374

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I understand that PACTOR II is proprietary only to Hal brand equipment.
> Which unit do you have?

It's SCS, not Hal. I have the SCS PTC-IIe.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4131. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:18:46 UTC
From: Spurious@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns911D72A2E33BFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 20379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Spurious@StarBand.net (Ed G.) wrote in
<Xns911CDD357B6F2Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>:

>
>
>>>You obviously aren't listening. Virtually all the Red Cross
>>>communications, health and welfare traffic is being handled by the
>>>hams. In adddition, many of the volunteers are hams, trained in radio
>>>communications. And all for free!
>
>_____
>
>>Really? I've been listening to the media since it began, both TV and
>>radio, and I haven't heard "ham radio" mentioned once.
>>
>>Where does your information come from?
>>
>>Bill, W7TI
>
> Bill, I know it's hard for some people to understand, but most hams
>aren't publicity seekers, and simply provide the requested assistance in
>the background. Just today, (9/14/01), I received the following ARRL
>bulletin, which shows hams have been and are still needed in these
>efforts.
>

FYI:

****
The ARRL Letter
Vol. 20, No. 37
September 14, 2001
****

IN THIS EDITION:

* +Amateurs respond to terrorist attacks
* +ARRL president expresses pride in ham radio
* +Hams among the missing at World Trade Center
* +Wildfires stretch ARES resources in California
* +New ARISS antennas to launch in November
* +Hurricane Watch Net activates for Erin
* Solar Update
* IN BRIEF:
This weekend on the radio

+North American Sprint (SSB) cancelled
+Johnston Island K3J DXpedition on the air
+Kodiak Star launch delayed as a result of terrorist attacks
W9GFZ special event postponed
It's business as usual for AMSAT-NA Symposium and Annual Meeting
2001 ARRL/TAPR Digital Communications Conference to proceed on schedule
DXCC Annual List deadline modified
Former HQ staff member recovering from surgery
Hiram Percy Maxim Award presented at Missouri convention
Wisconsin PRB-1 drive appears to be overcoming sponsor's resignation
Lansing, Michigan, HamFair canceled
Ward Silver, N0AX, to edit QST "Contest Corral"

+Available on ARRL Audio News

==========

==>AMATEUR RADIO RESPONDS IN FORCE IN WAKE OF TERRORIST ATTACKS

Some already are calling it "Amateur Radio's Finest Hour," as volunteers answer the call to assist in ongoing relief and recovery operations in New York City, Washington, DC, and western Pennsylvania in the wake of terrorist attacks on the US September 11. The need continues for operators to assist over the long haul, however. Current estimates suggest hams may be needed for a month or longer in the New York City area, and for at least the next two weeks in Washington, DC.

Along with most other federal agencies, the FCC closed its offices and sent its employees home following the attacks. The FCC issued no emergency declarations nor other special instructions to the Amateur Radio community. The ARRL advised amateurs to stay alert to instructions from local authorities.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D, reports that hams have been supporting emergency officials and the American Red Cross relief and recovery effort. Amateurs have been staffing several Red Cross shelters in addition to a staging/National Disaster Medical System center, various Red Cross units, and the Greater New York City American Red Cross Headquarters as well as the New York City Office of Emergency Management.

Carrubba says the telephone system in lower Manhattan continues to be problematic because of the high call volume. "American Red Cross communications are overloaded, and traffic from the shelters is coming into the New York City net at a rapid pace," he said. "The Amateur Radio ops are doing a great job under very difficult and strange conditions, but this is what they have trained for; they are getting it done well."

Red Cross Communications Officer Jay Ferron, N4GAA, agreed. "The Amateur Radio community has come out very big and very strong," he said, adding that local clubs and repeater groups have volunteered gear, frequencies and operators.

New York City District Emergency Coordinator Charles Hargrove, N2NOV, has expressed his appreciation to the amateur community. "Thank you for all the support and well wishes," he said. "This is a difficult time for all of us. We appreciate all the amateurs who have volunteered their time and equipment."

Carrubba also cited the ongoing efforts of Guy Richman, KC2AYG, who has been coordinating net controls for the ARES nets, and Manhattan ARRL EC John Kiernan, KE2UN.

Carrubba is seeking additional volunteers from the Greater New York City region. He has asked out-of-state volunteers to "stand by until we can provide for your safety and comfort." Volunteers need a VHF (2-meter) or, preferably, a VHF/UHF (2-meter/70-cm) mobile radio, power supply and cables, and mobile/portable mag-mounted gain antenna. Carrubba says hand-helds are not sufficient to deal with the difficult operating conditions.

"Operators are still needed," he said, but stressed, "This is a difficult assignment."

Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day, 8 AM to 8 PM and 8 PM to 8 AM, "plus or minus three or four hours, mostly plus," Carrubba said. Additional information is available on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

At the scene of the Pentagon attack near Washington, DC, Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Gregory, N4NW, reports an "upbeat" crew of about two dozen amateurs is staffing six Amateur Radio stations in the immediate vicinity of the Pentagon. "What shocked me the most was the devastation you can see right there, 100 feet from the building," Gregory said. "The destruction is total."

The ARES operation is providing logistical support between the Salvation Army's relief and recovery effort on site and the agency's Arlington headquarters. The Salvation Army has deployed several mobile canteens and a feeding unit to serve military and civilian emergency personnel assigned to the recovery operation.

"What we're finding is that communication is very difficult because of the tremendous amount of noise from the construction-type equipment and the generators providing power for the lights and support staff," Gregory said. Because of the noise level, operators are being rotated frequently in and out of the immediate vicinity of the attack. "There's the emotion of it, and there's the tremendous amount of noise, and it's very grating on you because you can hardly hear the radio to communicate," Gregory explained.

Gregory described the entire area as "very crowded with people" inside and outside the Pentagon. "People and equipment cleaning up, finding bodies, finding plane parts, firefighters still checking for hot spots, hoses, equipment," he said. "The damage to the building looks worse when you are right next to it than it does on TV."

"I found that it took me a few minutes to realize the gravity of what was going on and the importance of what we hams are doing in our own small way

to help out," Gregory said. "The devastation of that building is awesome, and it puts things in perspective and it certainly made me proud to be an Amateur Radio operator and serve the people of the United States by offering the support we could."

The Pentagon ARES operation continues to seek volunteers. "Because of the immensity of the thing, we're trying to have six amateurs on duty at all times," he said. "We need 20 volunteers every day for at least two weeks." Volunteers should e-mail Tom Gregory, N4NW, at n4nw@arrl.net.

Gregory emphasized that Pentagon site security is extremely tight. All ham volunteers must have a photo ID issued by a government entity to the secured area. "The FBI is handling issuance of IDs for access to the secured area and is doing a complete NCIC check before a photo ID is issued," Gregory said.

At the so-called "fourth" plane crash site in rural Somerset County western Pennsylvania, Kevin Custer, W3KKC, reports a busy scene as the investigation continues. Custer arranged preliminary repeater communication into and out of the crash site on Tuesday to help the Red Cross, Salvation Army, Pennsylvania State Police, the FBI and other state and federal agencies on the scene.

Custer said the investigation could continue for several weeks. "At this time we are preparing for the possibility of family members coming to the crash site--or close by," he said.

Montgomery County, Maryland, Deputy RACES Officer John Creel, WB3GXW, observed that while the enormity of the attacks is bound to touch the amateur community directly or indirectly, he has seen nothing but professionalism among the responding operators in his area. Creel advised amateurs to "just be prepared," and he echoed the sentiment of many that the events of September 11 "will be with us for the rest of our lives.

More detailed and updated information on Amateur Radio's involvement in the disaster relief and recovery efforts is available on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

<<SNIPPED>>

Ed

4132. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:19:22 UTC
From: Spurious@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns911D7300C28B2Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 20380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

FYI:

****
The ARRL Letter
Vol. 20, No. 37
September 14, 2001
****

IN THIS EDITION:

* +Amateurs respond to terrorist attacks
* +ARRL president expresses pride in ham radio
* +Hams among the missing at World Trade Center
* +Wildfires stretch ARES resources in California
* +New ARISS antennas to launch in November
* +Hurricane Watch Net activates for Erin
* Solar Update
* IN BRIEF:
This weekend on the radio
+North American Sprint (SSB) cancelled
+Johnston Island K3J DXpedition on the air
+Kodiak Star launch delayed as a result of terrorist attacks
W9GFZ special event postponed
It's business as usual for AMSAT-NA Symposium and Annual Meeting
2001 ARRL/TAPR Digital Communications Conference to proceed on schedule
DXCC Annual List deadline modified
Former HQ staff member recovering from surgery
Hiram Percy Maxim Award presented at Missouri convention
Wisconsin PRB-1 drive appears to be overcoming sponsor's resignation
Lansing, Michigan, HamFair canceled
Ward Silver, N0AX, to edit QST "Contest Corral"

+Available on ARRL Audio News

==========

==>AMATEUR RADIO RESPONDS IN FORCE IN WAKE OF TERRORIST ATTACKS

Some already are calling it "Amateur Radio's Finest Hour," as volunteers answer the call to assist in ongoing relief and recovery operations in New York City, Washington, DC, and western Pennsylvania in the wake of terrorist attacks on the US September 11. The need continues for operators to assist over the long haul, however. Current estimates suggest hams may be needed for a month or longer in the New York City area, and for at least the next two weeks in Washington, DC.

Along with most other federal agencies, the FCC closed its offices and sent its employees home following the attacks. The FCC issued no emergency declarations nor other special instructions to the Amateur Radio community. The ARRL advised amateurs to stay alert to instructions from local authorities.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D, reports that hams have been supporting emergency officials and the American Red Cross relief and recovery effort. Amateurs have been staffing several Red Cross shelters in addition to a staging/National Disaster Medical System center, various Red Cross units, and the Greater New York City American Red Cross Headquarters as well as the New York City Office of Emergency Management.

Carrubba says the telephone system in lower Manhattan continues to be problematic because of the high call volume. "American Red Cross communications are overloaded, and traffic from the shelters is coming into the New York City net at a rapid pace," he said. "The Amateur Radio ops are doing a great job under very difficult and strange conditions, but this is what they have trained for; they are getting it done well."

Red Cross Communications Officer Jay Ferron, N4GAA, agreed. "The Amateur Radio community has come out very big and very strong," he said, adding that local clubs and repeater groups have volunteered gear, frequencies and operators.

New York City District Emergency Coordinator Charles Hargrove, N2NOV, has expressed his appreciation to the amateur community. "Thank you for all the support and well wishes," he said. "This is a difficult time for all of us. We appreciate all the amateurs who have volunteered their time and equipment."

Carrubba also cited the ongoing efforts of Guy Richman, KC2AYG, who has been coordinating net controls for the ARES nets, and Manhattan ARRL EC John Kiernan, KE2UN.

Carrubba is seeking additional volunteers from the Greater New York City region. He has asked out-of-state volunteers to "stand by until we can provide for your safety and comfort." Volunteers need a VHF (2-meter) or, preferably, a VHF/UHF (2-meter/70-cm) mobile radio, power supply and cables, and mobile/portable mag-mounted gain antenna. Carrubba says hand-helds are not sufficient to deal with the difficult operating conditions.

"Operators are still needed," he said, but stressed, "This is a difficult assignment."

Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day, 8 AM to 8 PM and 8 PM to 8 AM, "plus or minus three or four hours, mostly plus," Carrubba said. Additional information is available on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

At the scene of the Pentagon attack near Washington, DC, Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Gregory, N4NW, reports an "upbeat" crew of about two dozen amateurs is staffing six Amateur Radio stations in the immediate

vicinity of the Pentagon. "What shocked me the most was the devastation you can see right there, 100 feet from the building," Gregory said. "The destruction is total."

The ARES operation is providing logistical support between the Salvation Army's relief and recovery effort on site and the agency's Arlington headquarters. The Salvation Army has deployed several mobile canteens and a feeding unit to serve military and civilian emergency personnel assigned to the recovery operation.

"What we're finding is that communication is very difficult because of the tremendous amount of noise from the construction-type equipment and the generators providing power for the lights and support staff," Gregory said. Because of the noise level, operators are being rotated frequently in and out of the immediate vicinity of the attack. "There's the emotion of it, and there's the tremendous amount of noise, and it's very grating on you because you can hardly hear the radio to communicate," Gregory explained.

Gregory described the entire area as "very crowded with people" inside and outside the Pentagon. "People and equipment cleaning up, finding bodies, finding plane parts, firefighters still checking for hot spots, hoses, equipment," he said. "The damage to the building looks worse when you are right next to it than it does on TV."

"I found that it took me a few minutes to realize the gravity of what was going on and the importance of what we hams are doing in our own small way to help out," Gregory said. "The devastation of that building is awesome, and it puts things in perspective and it certainly made me proud to be an Amateur Radio operator and serve the people of the United States by offering the support we could."

The Pentagon ARES operation continues to seek volunteers. "Because of the immensity of the thing, we're trying to have six amateurs on duty at all times," he said. "We need 20 volunteers every day for at least two weeks." Volunteers should e-mail Tom Gregory, N4NW, at n4nw@arrl.net.

Gregory emphasized that Pentagon site security is extremely tight. All ham volunteers must have a photo ID issued by a government entity to the secured area. "The FBI is handling issuance of IDs for access to the secured area and is doing a complete NCIC check before a photo ID is issued," Gregory said.

At the so-called "fourth" plane crash site in rural Somerset County western Pennsylvania, Kevin Custer, W3KKC, reports a busy scene as the investigation continues. Custer arranged preliminary repeater communication into and out of the crash site on Tuesday to help the Red Cross, Salvation Army, Pennsylvania State Police, the FBI and other state and federal agencies on the scene.

Custer said the investigation could continue for several weeks. "At this time we are preparing for the possibility of family members coming to the crash site--or close by," he said.

Montgomery County, Maryland, Deputy RACES Officer John Creel, WB3GXW, observed that while the enormity of the attacks is bound to touch the amateur community directly or indirectly, he has seen nothing but professionalism among the responding operators in his area. Creel advised amateurs to "just be prepared," and he echoed the sentiment of many that the events of September 11 "will be with us for the rest of our lives.

More detailed and updated information on Amateur Radio's involvement in the disaster relief and recovery efforts is available on the ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org>.

<<SNIPPED>>

Ed

4133. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:20:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA38DEC.978C19CD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20376

Mother Load wrote:
> Cecil, you are trying to twist things to fit, ...

That's what engineers do.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4134. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:25:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA38F15.98E322E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20375

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > I looked up the Century IX.XCII quatrain where "cite' neufue" is
> > thought to refer to New York City under attack - pretty interesting.
>
> You can see amazing prophesy in your oatmeal, if you look with the
> right mindset and expectations. Cecil, I thought you were brighter
> than to subscribe to such lame-brained ideas.

I didn't say I subscribed to it, Hans, I said it was pretty interesting
and it is to me. I have all three of Erika Cheetham's books on the
subject. It's more interesting than Asimov.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4135. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 17:45:32 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <op47qt0mebed2f22gpcnv9a2hqcjs5ud3b@4ax.com>
Source record: 20377

On Fri, 14 Sep 2001 18:41:34 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N0YM wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> > Declare war on all terrorists.
>>
>> I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the prevailing mood.
>
>Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet terrorists.

Exactly. As I understand it, some people in Afghanistan already beat
a hasty retreat across the Pakistani border. Pakistan itself has
already agreed to all U.S. requests. The writing's pretty much on the
wall.

Jonathan
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4136. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 18:02:09 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <qv47qtcs8av7g7lh2ki7p48g5lnt4hkful@4ax.com>
Source record: 20378

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 00:09:36 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3BA295AE.72967A0E@IEEE.org...
>> N0YM wrote:
>> >
>> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> > > Declare war on all terrorists.
>> >
>> > I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the
>prevailing mood.
>>
>> Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet
>terrorists.
>> --
>> cheers, Cecil
>>
>>
>
>No argument but it would be damn wise to recognize that Islam does recognize
>sanctuary and the responsibility of a host to a guest exceeding the
>resposibility to one's family. Idi Amin at last count was sunning himself
>in Saudi Arabia so sheltering Ben Laden in an Afghanistan run by some pretty
>primitive Muslims (not a lot different than being run by Southern Baptists,
>eh?) is not all that surprising.
>
>Nor is it restricted to Islam. There is a rather confusing story in Genesis
>about a man in Sodom who offered his virgin daughters to the crowd demanding
>to get to his guest. As good an interpretation as any is that it
>demonstrated the evil of Sodom and the righteousness of the host.
>
>The interesting scenario is that we are able to prove to the Taliban that
>ben Laden crapped in the nest, not exactly guest-like behavior. If they are
>all that Islamic, all we would get is his head; if they are not, well, they
>are accessories after the fact.
>
>I admit to being afraid we are going to do something incredibly stupid

Well, the greatest thing going for us right now is the almost
universal revulsion for what bin Laden and his followers have done.

Saudi Arabia's highest Islamic authority has published some very harsh
words in a fatwa regarding what happened. If the Taliban continue to

coddle him, I can't imagine the backlash against them wouldn't be severe. Of course, they've already said they'll try him on the evidence, so it may be that us attacking Afghanistan would polarize even the moderates against us.

As a side note, frankly, I'm not sure we should say "no" to letting an Islamic court deal with bin Laden; the justice in some of those countries isn't at all pretty (worse than anything we'd do to him under our laws), and we'd earn far greater respect and comradere among the moderate nations of the region.

Anyway, if we do attack, nobody really likes the guy, except, of course, certain extremists. Russia thinks bin Laden may be supporting Chechan terrorists; China is concerned about Islamic terrorism spilling into its western provinces. Even the moderate Pakistani military rulers have been looking for an excuse to crack down on fundies, and the deaths of 300 Pakistani nationals at the WTC may be the perfect opportunity.

Yes, we have the opportunity to do something really dumb. This is also an incredible opportunity to unify the world and get rid of many of the world's most dangerous nuts at the same time.

The trouble is, I (and so many others, I would imagine) feel quite a pull towards dealing out some real carnage after what has happened. Maybe it's right, maybe it's wrong, but in either case, it's obvious we'll need to tread carefully.

Jonathan
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4137. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 19:18:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915151825.15286.00000327@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20382

In article <20010914084451.16852.00000157@mb-ca.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/14/01 8:03 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20010914080310.02731.00000367@nso-fp.aol.com>
>
>>In article <20010907163029.23913.00000093@nso-ma.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>>Again, not true. Operators with decent skills can converse at
>>>>effective speeds close to that of voice radio.
>>>
>>>Tsk, tsk. Untrue and you know know it.
>>
>>Nope. True and I've done it.
>
> As have I. Of course, lacking any experience, Lennie makes statements
>to
>which he calls untrue from an UNINFORMED position.

You need to translate the LHAspeak into plain English first, Steve.
>
>>>My sentence is physically correct but has no real
>>>meaning in itself. The same is true of Miccolis'
>>>statement quoted above.
>>
>>Why do you use last names here, Len?
>
> Don't you know Jim...?!?! he's BETTER then us! He's older, he did it
>first, has more education (or at least TOOK LONGER to get it...), etc etc
>etc.

I think you misunderstand what LHA is saying, Steve. The problem is that he's jealous of us. He cannot admit that, though.
>
>>>>The FCC still requires the test only because the international
>>>>treaty/regulation requires it.
>>>
>>>Not quite right.
>>
>>No, it's exactly right. FCC says so. They define what is correct in this
>>area,
>>remember?

>
> What...?!?! The FCC define rules and regulations for civil radio...?!?!
>Are you nuts Jim...?!?! Lennie has never made any such assertion!

Translate the LHAspeak, Steve.
>
>>> S25.5 is a recommendation of the ITU-R.
>>>The US administration is honor-bound to adhere to the
>>>ITU-R recommendations. There is NO enforcement body
>>>to "require" any recommendation other than voluntary
>>>adherence to such recommendations.
>>
>>So? What's your alternative solution? Should the FCC simply ignore S25.5? Is
>>that what you want?
>
> Of course it is, Jim. Lennie is like the Palestinians, you know...wants
>any and every law enforced that supports thier cause, but steadfastly ignores
>any that bind him.

Now you begin to understand.
>
>>>According to a quote you kept, I wrote "Titanic 2." The suffix "2"
>>>denotes a successor ship. No successor to the original Titanic
>>>has been built (to my knowledge, at least).
>>
>>Actually, there were 3 ships that were sisters to Titanic.

Trivia quiz: What were the sister ships, and what happened to them?
>
> And according to Popular Science, one company IS building a replica
>"Titanic"..wouldn't THAT be the pits!

I'd love to be one of the radio officers.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4138. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 19:18:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915151826.15286.00000328@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20381

In article <9nufjj$e7g$0@208.207.71.161>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> At this point in the game even that would be preferable to doing
>nothing. Somehwhere along the way it becomes time to lash out strongly
>in the general direction of the jackels and let the blow land whereever
>it strikes, rightly or wrongly. At that, maybe the respect gained would
>equal whatever wrong done.

I have to disagree with this. What has to happen now is for the USA and allies
to think about the end result we want years down the road, not a quick response
that may make us more enemies. The end result we want is for the responsible
criminals to be brought to justice, for our alliances with friendly countries
to be strengthened, and for countries like Afghanistan and Libya to stop
sheltering terrorists. The terrorist organizations have to be destroyed, not
just a few leaders whose names have become infamous.

The way to address terrorism is as a criminal conspiracy, not an act of war.
Wars occur between sovereign governments, and are frequently ended by
negotiations. To treat terrorists as a form of the military gives them some
measure of legitimacy that they do not deserve.

Striking back blindly simply makes our case weaker in world opinion. And
instead of destroying the terror organizations it will make them stronger
because the difference between us and them will be lessened.

It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work up a
plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.

Check out what was doen to deal with the "Barbary Coast" pirates 200 years ago.
The solution used is the source of the words "shores of Tripoli" in the USMC
hymn.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4139. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 19:47:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20383

N2EY wrote:
> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work up a
> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.

I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4140. Re: For The Benefit of Leonard H. Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 19:55:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915155552.02105.00000196@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 20384

In article <3BA35C05.951FD355@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>After you've digested this, perhaps you can begin phoning the broadcast
>networks to ask that they correct their omission of amateur radio's
>part.

Are there no ARRL staffers who can do this?

What has the ARRL been able to do in past emergencies as far
as publicity for all those good works?

Remember, "non-entities" have no standing with anyone...

What has K8MN/Heil done about notifying the rest of the
news media? K8MN/Heil is an Entity (by his own definition).

>Then you may want to phone the League to set them straight about
>not insuring that the networks carry material on amateur radio.

Why leave out the newswires and newspapers?

The ARRL presents what it wants when it wants. It has total
control of its website/publication content. Since it must
be self-sustaining, it does a good job of presenting amateur
radio in what is called "spin." "Spin" is the one-sided emphasis
on certain things and a de-emphasis on other things that take
credit away from the first.

Two ARRL staffers in here have objected to using the word
"spin" in what others perceive in the descriptive output of
the ARRL. "Spin" is one form of propaganda and is common
in American politics. One political party may denounce spin
practiced by another political party but, with each side doing
it, which side is "factually right" or even "morally right?"

K8MN/Heil becomes furious and enraged because "non-
entities" state simply that the rest of the news media does
not spin, emphasize, or even bother to mention one particular
group's efforts relative to all other efforts in an emergency,
that beginning on day 9-11 or in the past.

Rather than doing or even claiming some action on his own,
K8MN/Heil DEMANDS that "non-entitites" do his work for
him. That is imperious and tries to mask previous
communications within this newsgroup where K8MN/Heil
was not satisfied with certain "non-entity's" opinions or
statements.

>Be sure
>to let all concerned know that the telephone system and cellular systems
>are functioning perfectly well and that these "amateurs" aren't needed.

Why state untruths? It should be perfectly obvious that
normal "non-entity" (non-amateur-radio) communications
have been damaged. Verizon is reported to be working over
the weekend to restore "non-entity" (non-amateur-radio)
fiber and wire communications as much as possible in
lower Manhattan. Those workers are professionals.

The "non-entity" communications (those used by non-hams)
is currently functioning well enough to coordinate police,
fire, EMT, and building workers' efforts right now. This
should be obvious to anyone observing/reading such work
in NYC without having the ARRL publicize it.

Volunteers of all kinds having been turning out to try to
help the rescue/clean-up work in NYC. That information
has been publicized since day 9-11 in the news media.
So many that NYC officials have had to turn them back.

NYC Mayor Rudolph Guilliani said on the night of day
9-11 that "they do not need more volunteers [in the
lower Manhattan area]." NYC officials have stated that
they prefer building construction workers, [high] iron
workers to help with the rescue and clean-up. If some
of those are also licensed radio amateurs, that is good,
but their amateur radio experience/knowledge does
little good in an estimated half-megaton of debris from
the destroyed buildings.

The observable fact of little or no news media coverage
of amateur radio participation in emergency
communications has enraged K8MN/Heil and some
others (mostly pseudonymic trolls). They demand
instant respect and worship (without any claimed
efforts in the emergency of their own) because it is
publicized by a special interest group. All for a hobby.
All for their own vainglorious ego boosting.

Now, what has K8MN/Heil done as to volunteerism
during these days of the Attack On America? In here
he has done little but insult and belittle myself for
pointing out an observable fact of the news media not
mentioning some amateur radio licensees in their
"emergency communications" work.

It is fairly clear that K8MN/Heil's newsgroup
communications is just another attempt to "get"
one who does not accept K8MN/Heil's view of the
world.

Leonard H. Anderson

4141. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 19:55:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915155555.02105.00000197@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 20385

In article <3BA2BF41.89568293@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Your crap is hanging out there for all to see.

So is K8MN/Heil's... :-)

>You made statements that
>you haven't seen or heard anything in the national broadcast media about
>radio amateurs at the WTC site.

Mostly true. I did not confine myself to lower Manhattan.

I still haven't seen anything in the news media (which
includes European and Asian news) about radio amateurs
performing emergency communications...with the
exception of the ARRL and QRZ sites.

>You made statements about professional
>communicators vs radio amateurs.

I have made that comparison for years regarding many
events.

>One needn't assume that I represent all radio amateurs.

One MUST assume that...it is in all of K8MN/Heil's
phrasing and expressed rage, spin, boosterism.

>I wasn't under discussion.

K8MN/Heil is NOW. He furiously jumped in demanding
apologies and allegiance to amateur radio and levelling
insults at myself.

>You weren't writing about me.

K8MN/Heil forces the issue to focus on himself.

>You as much as said that radio amateurs were not doing
>important work in New York because you personally don't have any
>knowledge of their activity. You were and are wrong.

Incorrect. I said that there is little or no observable mention
of any amateur radio emergency communications efforts
in the news media.

If that is "wrong" then point out where and when in the non-
amateur news media.

>You chose to use this instance to try to make your point. You fail on
>facts. You fail on sensitivity.

I have said publicly, in the past, that the ARRL exercises
"spin" on its self-promotion and the promotion of amateur
radio. That is factual, referenceable by a Google search.

I don't fail on observation of the news media other than the
the news media being large, both nationally and inter-
nationally. K8MN/Heil has only referenced specific special
interest amateur radio groups as "news media."

K8MN/Heil wishes to define "sensitivity" in others but the
observable reality is simply that K8MN/Heil is too
sensitive to opinions in opposition to his own.

>Heil didn't make the incorrect claim and persist in it.

K8MN/Heil did.

>I don't believe you to be an enemy of the state.

Of course K8MN/Heil does...as witness the vergiage
below:

>I believe you to be an
>insensitive geezer with an ax to grind and with too much free time which
>has to be filled with something.

K8MN/Heil's "axe" is much, much bigger than mine. :-)

"Free time" is available to all, especially those who are
retired. Perhaps K8MN/Heil wants all to have NO free
time? Work, work, work endlessly for the betterment of
the [ham] state?!?

My "obsession" (as K8MN/Heil put it) is simply for the
elimination of the morse code test in all amteur radio
license examinations. That position has left myself and
most other NCTAs open to a large and varied amount of
abuse, insults, denigrations, and deprecations that have
ranged far beyond amateur radio. Such have demanded
some response from myself which, of course, was
rewarded with a greater intensity of personal abuse from
the militant PCTAs [K8MN/Heil is one of those].

>In your case, it is your obsession
>with amateur radio--something in which you are not a participant.

I have no "obsession with amateur radio." I have, and
will continue to speak out against the morse code test
necessity for any amateur radio license examination.

However, K8MN/Heil's "obsession" with needing
"participation in amateur radio" as a "credential" in
(ostensibly) open discussion is an expression of his

inability to civilly discuss matters of regulatory policy in all of radio regulations. Heil, not just the combined persona of "K8MN/Heil" (indistinguishable in here) has expressed himself as "superior" in radio in the past. Not just amateur radio but ALL of radio.

For an example of restrictive expression of opinion, Heil could just as well try to spin that NO ONE is capable of talking about US foreign policy or the State Department without being a "participant." [Heil is a retired (?) State Department emplyee] That is ridiculous in and of itself.

I advocate the elimination of the morse code test for any amateur radio license. By Heil's logic one "cannot" do so without first taking such a test. Specious and illogical condition.

Heil and some others have claimed that "non-participants" in amateur radio "know nothing about amateur radio" and therefore cannot discuss anything about amateur radio. US amateur radio is one of the most open of civilian avocations, well-publicized, with many kinds of "boosting" media outlets and with regulations that forbid secrecy in communications. Amateur radio physics is the same as radio physics of any other radio service. Yet Heil and his ilk would have everyone believe that it is a "closed lodge hall" open only to those who have passed hall members' clearance and any talk about ENTERING the lodge hall is somehow forbidden.

Entrance to the lodge hall requires passing certain tests whose questions and answers are open and free to the public plus a test on manual morse code ability. Those tests have been set by a US government agency. The regulations are not divine, did not arrive with the burning of bushes, are not immortal...yet Heil and his ilk demand passing them first, without question, before the regulations can be discussed openly. That is a megalomaniacal viewpoint as well as a restriction of free speech.

>Do you have reason to believe that the ARRL is lying?

No. I've said before and will say again that it is observable that the ARRL uses spin to both promote themselves and their existance as well as promoting amateur radio. It is not a black-and-white condition of "truth" or "lie," but in some cases it is exaggeration of some things and a diminuation of other things.

As an example of "spin," consider the various SAREX news from the ARRL. Astronauts who have been granted amateur radio licenses are ALWAYS referred to as "ham-astronauts."

This carries the implication that they were hams first and
astronauts second, almost as an occupation afterthought.
Anyone who has been involved with spaceflight and
spacecraft would not think so and may even chuckle at the
absurdity of such a connotation.

In times of emergency, the amateur radio assistance in
communications (usually restricted to "health and welfare"
messaging) is called "emergency communications." In
such a spin presentation, readers/viewers get the implication
that amateurs are providing full police, fire, and government
infrastructure communications. That MAY have occurred
in a few rare, isolated instances but there is no indication
at all that it happened on 17 Jan 94 nor on 11 Sep 01.

>Do you have
>information which proves that because another news medium makes no
>mention of amateur radio asssitance, none took place?

That was not the issue although Heil insists it is.

Is there any information/evidence from national or international
news media that such assistance did take place?

Is there any information/evidence from the news media that
such assistance took place in the past? [try to keep
such examples from wire services, or daily newspapers
rather than obscure weeklies]

>> >The object is to perform a service to others.
>>
>> That does not require an amateur radio license.
>
>It certainly does if what is taking place is communication via amateur
>radio as other than a third party using a station controlled by a radio
>amateur.

There are NO restrictions as to who can operate a radio
in a true emergency. Read the FCC regulations.

By the same restrictive-condition Heil logic, amateur licensees
are not allowed to operate public radio service radios except
as "third-party traffic" and with the permission of the operator.

>> call non-hams "non-entities?" Yes, according to Heil.
>
>With regard to amateur radio, you are such.

N2EY/Miccolis is the official "translator" in this newsgroup.
Using the same "tranlation" capability, the Heil statement
above could be written as:

"Shut the hell up...*I'm" in charge here!" :-)

>> Concern for victims has no connection with discussing
>> the organization, planning, training of true emergency
>> communications nor the integration of all the various
>> radio services in communities.
>
>That would be wonderful. It was not, however, what you were doing.

So...K8MN/Heil charges "insensitivity" to day 9-11 victims
on discussing generalities of true emergency organization,
planning, training? For the fact of not glorifying the ARRL
promoted stories of amateur radio involvement with that
terrible, monstrous attack on the USA?

Perhaps "non-entities" must obtain an amateur radio
license in order to grieve for the victims? Am I allowed in
a church only if I present a ham license? Does the Red
Cross require my passing a morse code test to give
blood? If so, I am guilty on all counts as a "non-entity."

>It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
>offer you up as proof.

>You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
>ultimate insensitive boob.
>
>Blow is out your ass, Len.

Ordinarily I'd tell someone who said that to go to hell.
There isn't any need to say the obvious.

4142. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 20:27:29 UTC
From: Mother Load <motherload@home.comm>
Message-ID: <RQOo7.16155$5A3.4888193@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 20386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Good one Jim, but they take shifts of 12 hrs each, have you volunteered your services?
"Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message news:8107qtkli87p4hvqhad81mh62pet4iq5ut@4ax.com...
>
> When do they sleep?
>
> Jim
>
> ->
> ->Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.
> ->
>
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4143. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 20:50:06 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <2aPo7.7256$CR2.7685090@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 20387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

They never sleep. Being brain dead is a requirement of passing a ham license exam.

"Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message news:8107qtkli87p4hvqhad81mh62pet4iq5ut@4ax.com...
>
> When do they sleep?
>
> Jim
>
> ->
> ->Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.
> ->
>
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4144. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 21:25:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915172542.10650.00000386@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20388

In article <3B996C22.EE0E4C7E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Yamamoto was right: The sleeping giant was awakened, and boy was he pissed.
>
>I heard on the radio today that the reason the Japanese didn't invade the
>US mainland was that they knew a lot of American civilians were armed. :-)

I guess the fact that they'd have to support an invasion force from the other side of the world's largest ocean didn't factor into it, huh?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4145. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 21:25:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915172542.10650.00000385@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20390

In article <20010908202210.17422.00003783@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>I've said separate things:
>>>
>>>1. I am a professional.
>>>
>>>2. I want to eliminate the morse code test for an amateur license.
>>
>>You also wrote (Jan 19, 2000):
>>
>>3. "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
>>make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant.
>
>Gosh and gee whilickers, JM, I never did state a date of
>when that would be done, did I?
>
>Tsk, tsk, I sense petulant whining from you on the
>insistence to Do It Now!!!

Translation from LHA speak to plain English:

"LHA has found a loophole." :-)
>
>>>Those who attempt countering what I've said about (2) by
>>>connecting it with (1) are doing so deliberately and maliciously.
>>
>>Even if what they say is true?
>
>They aren't "true," JM. You WANT it to be true, I'm sure,
>because you have strong feelings about CW and the
>near-absolute traditions that go with it. It must bug the
>hell out of you that anyone Dares to speak the least
>thing against your opinions. :-)

Translation:

"LHA knows N2EY is correct, but cannot admit it. So LHA attacks N2EY's values and opinions in typical ad hominem fashion."
>
>>I think I know what really bugs you about the code test, Len.
>
>Is it true that passing a ham test bestows Telepathic abilities?
>
>If so, I might run out and get one on Monday. Mind-reading
>sounds like a cool tool and, if it comes free with the test,

>even better!
>
Translation:

"LHA knows what others are thinking, but others cannot know what LHA thinks."

>>Morse code is another matter. Only a tiny percentage of would-be hams
>already
>>know the code well enough to pass the test. And unlike the written test,
>most
>>people cannot learn enough Morse to pass the test by reading a book,
>watching
>>a video or surfing some websites. Learning Morse usually takes a bit of
>>dedicated study of the subject matter.
>
>But, can would-be hams prepare for the writtens by reading a book,
>watching a video or surfing some websites?

Yes.

>Please specify the
>book, the video, the URL so as to aid the woefully ignorant.

Translation:

"Spell it out for LHA"

ARRL website, QRZ website, any search engine on "amateur radio sample exams",
for starters.
>
>>End result is that the Morse test functions as a "Great Equalizer" in which
>>almost all prospective HF/MF hams have to queue up to the same starting
>line,
>>learning the characters, building up speed, etc. Today there are more and
>>better learning tools than ever, making the process easier and quicker, but
>>the basic test remains.
>
>So...Part 97 explains the "equalizer" part in detail to make it
>necessary to keep the code test?
>
>The code test MUST remain because (other than some ITU-R
>ramblings) it "separates the men from the boys?" (difficult and
>gender disadvanted for females) It shows "dedication and
>resolve?" (does Part 97 or even Title 47 demand "dedication
>and resolve?") Is morse ability some secret, sacred necessity
>of MF-HF licensing that is unstated in any US laws?
>
>Or isn't more like "all the MF-HF privilege radio amateurs had
>to take a morse test and therefore every would-be amateur
>has to do it too?"

Translation:

"LHA doesn't like Morse code and doesn't like Morse code tests. LHA knows that
what N2EY says is true, but cannot admit it."
>
>>From your many many many posts on the subject, Len, it's clear that you
>don't
>>like the Great Equalizer. It's obvious that you don't want to have to start
>>at the beginning of something like learning Morse.
>
>Incorrect. NOBODY should "have to start at the beginning of
>morse testing" because there is no logical necessity of making
>it part of modern-day amateur radio testing.
>
>The FCC determines what the FCC needs in US civil radio
>license testing, not the "amateur radio community" or those
>that prefer OOK CW mode over all others. The FCC has
>said (in 1990 and 1999) that the morse code test does not
>serve any FCC purpose in determining whether or not an
>amateur license examee should be granted a license.

Translation:

"LHA doesn't like Morse code and doesn't like Morse code tests. LHA knows that
what N2EY says is true, but cannot admit it."

>>Anyone can see that you don't
>>want to be lumped in with the great unwashed masses of hamdom, particularly
>>those like me who have both technical knowledge and Morse skill.
>
>>Instead, it
>>seems you want instant recognition and status, based not on amateur radio
>>accomplishments but on "other radio" accomplishments. And the code test
>>stands in the way of all that.
>
>Tsk, tsk, you have it all wrong again, JM.
>
>A written FCC examination is not "instant." Not for any radio
>operator license, commercial or amateur.
>
>One cannot have "amateur radio accomplishments" until an
>amateur radio license is granted. Before then, it is
>"impossible" to have any "acoomplishments" without
>being a legal, licensed, certificated and credentialled radio
>amateur. Haven't you seen what others have been
>shouting on that subject? :-)

Translation:

"LHA's only interest in ham radio is this newsgroup."

N2EY

4146. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 21:25:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915172543.10650.00000387@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20389

In article <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work
>up a
>> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
>
>I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.

Actually, bin Laden's goals are fairly simple (according to the Western media, anyway). He's actually from Saudi Arabia. He wants all infidels out of that part of the world - most notably, Saudi Arabia itself. For starters, anyway.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4147. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 22:20:25 UTC
From: FreakyRadio <nmlerstoe444@aol.com>
Message-ID: <JuQo7.371$Md5.36679@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20391

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0109141211.29690522@posting.google.com...
>
> It's a fake, pure and simple. It wasn't even written by Mr. N.
>
> See:
>
> http://www.snopes2.com/inboxer/hoaxes/predict.htm
>
> Anybody who writes enough vague, rambling, symbolic stuff will
> eventually find that some of it can appear to fit current events -
> after the fact, of course.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

You forgot to add one line to the ending of your "quatrain" (grin) above Jim
It should read: "In the final review, the same will be the product of an unbalanced
mind, and will no doubt show up in the rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.fan.art-bell
or the alt.radio.scanner.flame-fest newsgroups. The Net's official screwball magnets"

No offense. it's just that I note very often that the most fruitkake
postings always appear in th9ie above NG's - alt.fan.art-bell being
at the top of the list for looney rantings - quite amusing sometimes too!

Nate

4148. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 22:29:58 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BA3D666.459E8464@qwest.net>
Source record: 20392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Speaking of brain dead, anyone going by "Fart Eddie" isn't the sharpest knife in the drawer. You are obviously too stupid to get a ham license. Get a life and try using your real name. Your opinion might count if you weren't cowering behind a phony identity.

Fart Eddie wrote:
>
> They never sleep. Being brain dead is a requirement of passing a ham license
> exam.
>
> "Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message
> news:8107qtkli87p4hvqhad81mh62pet4iq5ut@4ax.com...
> >
> > When do they sleep?
> >
> > Jim
> >
> > ->
> > ->Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.
> > ->
> >
> > Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> > A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4149. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 22:47:38 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <eUQo7.3706$wC.268112@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>
Source record: 20393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

```
Fart Eddie wrote in message
<2aPo7.7256$CR2.7685090@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>...
>They never sleep. Being brain dead is a requirement of passing a ham
license
>exam.
>

...You must have passed with flying colors!
```

4150. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 22:51:53 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <dYQo7.3707$wC.268154@dca1-nnrp2.news.digex.net>
Source record: 20394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Bob Wexelbaum wrote in message
<6fa2d22d.0109141914.383a051e@posting.google.com>...
>This is exactly what I have tried to drive home to the Hams of the
>USA. Ham Radio is no longer of value in emergency situations now that
>many unlicensed people can carry cellular telephones...

I wish you knew how wrong you are. Amateur Radio is used all over the country for emergency, skywarn, storm chasing, natural disasters and disasters such as this. As a matter of fact, they're still asking hams to volunteer in NYC as we speak. Health and Welfare traffic is also being passed on HF.

Those cellphones aren't going to do you any good when the power is out or the cell providers lose their service because of a natural disaster.

So misinformed you are.

>BUT
>If there is ever to be a full scale war Ham Radio will be again very
>valuable if it can create a cadre of trained people who are modern
>communications tewchnicians.

It already is, right now, in NYC.

4151. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-15 23:00:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <44Ro7.3330$lx5.255045@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20395

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org...
> N2EY wrote:
> > It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to
work up a
> > plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
>
> I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
> sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
> what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

Not the dumbest idea in history. The cost of military ops is so high that
we could probably put Afghanis on the Federal payroll till the 25th century
with the savings.

4152. Re: Frankly, there must be better things to argue over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 00:21:33 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hgSo7.26147$KV3.2180246@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20396

Guys, considering what has and is happening, my thought is what a few ARE doing is a bit more important than arguing over who gets credit. C'mon, guys. Please!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010915155552.02105.00000196@nso-bh.aol.com...
> In article <3BA35C05.951FD355@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >After you've digested this, perhaps you can begin phoning the broadcast
> >networks to ask that they correct their omission of amateur radio's
> >part.
>
> Are there no ARRL staffers who can do this?
>
> What has the ARRL been able to do in past emergencies as far
> as publicity for all those good works?
>
> Remember, "non-entities" have no standing with anyone...
>
> What has K8MN/Heil done about notifying the rest of the
> news media? K8MN/Heil is an Entity (by his own definition).
>
> >Then you may want to phone the League to set them straight about
> >not insuring that the networks carry material on amateur radio.
>
> Why leave out the newswires and newspapers?
>
> The ARRL presents what it wants when it wants. It has total
> control of its website/publication content. Since it must
> be self-sustaining, it does a good job of presenting amateur
> radio in what is called "spin." "Spin" is the one-sided emphasis
> on certain things and a de-emphasis on other things that take
> credit away from the first.
>
> Two ARRL staffers in here have objected to using the word
> "spin" in what others perceive in the descriptive output of
> the ARRL. "Spin" is one form of propaganda and is common
> in American politics. One political party may denounce spin
> practiced by another political party but, with each side doing
> it, which side is "factually right" or even "morally right?"

>
> K8MN/Heil becomes furious and enraged because "non-
> entities" state simply that the rest of the news media does
> not spin, emphasize, or even bother to mention one particular
> group's efforts relative to all other efforts in an emergency,
> that beginning on day 9-11 or in the past.
>
> Rather than doing or even claiming some action on his own,
> K8MN/Heil DEMANDS that "non-entitites" do his work for
> him. That is imperious and tries to mask previous
> communications within this newsgroup where K8MN/Heil
> was not satisfied with certain "non-entity's" opinions or
> statements.
>
> >Be sure
> >to let all concerned know that the telephone system and cellular systems
> >are functioning perfectly well and that these "amateurs" aren't needed.
>
> Why state untruths? It should be perfectly obvious that
> normal "non-entity" (non-amateur-radio) communications
> have been damaged. Verizon is reported to be working over
> the weekend to restore "non-entity" (non-amateur-radio)
> fiber and wire communications as much as possible in
> lower Manhattan. Those workers are professionals.
>
> The "non-entity" communications (those used by non-hams)
> is currently functioning well enough to coordinate police,
> fire, EMT, and building workers' efforts right now. This
> should be obvious to anyone observing/reading such work
> in NYC without having the ARRL publicize it.
>
> Volunteers of all kinds having been turning out to try to
> help the rescue/clean-up work in NYC. That information
> has been publicized since day 9-11 in the news media.
> So many that NYC officials have had to turn them back.
>
> NYC Mayor Rudolph Guilliani said on the night of day
> 9-11 that "they do not need more volunteers [in the
> lower Manhattan area]." NYC officials have stated that
> they prefer building construction workers, [high] iron
> workers to help with the rescue and clean-up. If some
> of those are also licensed radio amateurs, that is good,
> but their amateur radio experience/knowledge does
> little good in an estimated half-megaton of debris from
> the destroyed buildings.
>
> The observable fact of little or no news media coverage
> of amateur radio participation in emergency
> communications has enraged K8MN/Heil and some
> others (mostly pseudonymic trolls). They demand

> instant respect and worship (without any claimed
> efforts in the emergency of their own) because it is
> publicized by a special interest group. All for a hobby.
> All for their own vainglorious ego boosting.
>
> Now, what has K8MN/Heil done as to volunteerism
> during these days of the Attack On America? In here
> he has done little but insult and belittle myself for
> pointing out an observable fact of the news media not
> mentioning some amateur radio licensees in their
> "emergency communications" work.
>
> It is fairly clear that K8MN/Heil's newsgroup
> communications is just another attempt to "get"
> one who does not accept K8MN/Heil's view of the
> world.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson
>

4153. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 01:23:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010915212335.13555.00000326@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20397

In article <3BA29468.ACE768DD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Is this your proof that "virtually everyone is able to pass a 5WPM code
>> test? One needn't limit himself to a Tech license in order to be "happy
>> with VHF/UHF".
>
>Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
>bother to upgrade? I know lots of techs who won't upgrade even after
>the Morse code requirement is dropped.

Comrade Cecil:

Too lazy to sign their names to a piece of paper, eh? Or is it that
they also want the written test abolished as well? I thought the
theory wasn't a problem for your RF-genius friends. Guess I thought
wrong.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4154. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 01:25:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915212512.19964.00000490@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 20398

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 2:46 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914144635.00578.00000303@nso-fg.aol.com>

>No, it is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test
>from any amateur radio license examination.

No, it's to harrass and harangue people who represent a group of radio operators that you, for what ever reason, hate.

The code issue has been dealt with, wether any one of us likes it or not. The FCC has stated both in official and unoffical comments that it's hand are tied by treaty.

Your on-going ranting and scurrilous behaviour herein is nothing more than hate mongering.

Nothing we don't expect from a PUTZ like you.

>Bzzt! Wrong. Several in here have stressed the "service"
>aspect of amateur radio (as if it were some quasi-military
>organization)....(SNIP)

No, Lennie..Only you do that. Some of us have stressed the importance of meeting the Basis and Purpose of Part 97 in order to validate our continued access to valuable electromagnetic spectrum that is coveted by others.

YOU make the "military" analogy, if for no other reason than some of us are veterans.

Just another one of your lies.

4155. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 01:30:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915213049.19964.00000491@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 20399

>Subject: Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/14/01 2:46 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010914144634.00578.00000302@nso-fg.aol.com>

>Is any other radio service requiring morse code mode
>communications? If so, what are the percentages of
>such radio services relative to all of the rest of the
>radio world?

Well, Your Putziness, you are again ignoring the fact that this is about
AMATEUR Radio, not commercial, military, EMS, common carrier, etc etc etc....

Not that facts seem to faze you...

4156. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 01:35:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA3F3E5.4758633E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20400

N0YM wrote:
>
> On Fri, 14 Sep 2001 18:41:34 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >N0YM wrote:
> >>
> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >> > Declare war on all terrorists.
> >>
> >> I'm thinking that's the idea, Cecil; at least that seems to be the prevailing mood.
> >
> >Not only on all terrorists but on all countries who aid and abet terrorists.
>
> Exactly. As I understand it, some people in Afghanistan already beat
> a hasty retreat across the Pakistani border. Pakistan itself has
> already agreed to all U.S. requests. The writing's pretty much on the
> wall.

If you've seen much of the file footage on the country of Afghanistan, I
think you'll agree that it looks as if someone already beat us to it.

Dave K8MN

4157. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 01:38:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915213848.09114.00000452@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 20451

In article <9no5o2bnm0@208.207.71.149>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

(examples of school violence snipped)

>> The results of all this were not what the nuns expected. Most of the
>> kids stopped being Catholics ASAP. By the 3rd or 4th grade, no kid
>> would rat out another kid - it was "us against them". Many kids
>> developed distrust of all authority figures. Kids figured out
>> ingenious methods of protest - one class of kids learned to whistle
>> the "Colonel Bogey March" in unison, and would do so whenever marching
>> in formation without the nun leading them.
>
> Gosh Jim, I had no idea.

It was all true, Dick. Nothing I wrote is the least bit exaggerated. The time
frame was the 1960s. I saw it with my own eyes and was sometimes the kid
whacked. No, I wasn't the kid with the seizures, nor the one who had to go buy
a new ruler.

>Sounds much more like Devil's Island than a
>religious school.
>
Did they make the prisoners wear uniforms on Devil's Island?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4158. Re: For The Benefit of Leonard H. Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 02:01:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA3F9D9.5EE7886D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20452

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA35C05.951FD355@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >After you've digested this, perhaps you can begin phoning the broadcast
> >networks to ask that they correct their omission of amateur radio's
> >part.
>
> Are there no ARRL staffers who can do this?

It was *you*, not the ARRL commenting on the lack of exposure in other
than the amateur radio press. Here's your chance to rectify that.

> What has the ARRL been able to do in past emergencies as far
> as publicity for all those good works?

Why not drop 'em a line and ask them?

> Remember, "non-entities" have no standing with anyone...

Go for any current class amateur license; pass the test and you can be
an entity too.

>
> What has K8MN/Heil done about notifying the rest of the
> news media? K8MN/Heil is an Entity (by his own definition).

I didn't make the comments, Leonardo--you did.

> >Then you may want to phone the League to set them straight about
> >not insuring that the networks carry material on amateur radio.
>
> Why leave out the newswires and newspapers?

Your involvement, per your description, left them out. You told us
about your viewing, not your reading.
>
> The ARRL presents what it wants when it wants. It has total
> control of its website/publication content. Since it must
> be self-sustaining, it does a good job of presenting amateur
> radio in what is called "spin." "Spin" is the one-sided emphasis
> on certain things and a de-emphasis on other things that take
> credit away from the first.

You're the only person I've seen to use that term regarding the League.
The ARRL is concerned with amateur radio. It stands to reason that it

would publicize the things radio amateurs are doing.

> Two ARRL staffers in here have objected to using the word
> "spin" in what others perceive in the descriptive output of
> the ARRL. "Spin" is one form of propaganda and is common
> in American politics. One political party may denounce spin
> practiced by another political party but, with each side doing
> it, which side is "factually right" or even "morally right?"

The League isn't a political party. Nobody was discussing spin.
What we had was LHA stating that because he saw only professionals and
cellphones, amateurs were not involved.

> K8MN/Heil becomes furious and enraged because "non-
> entities" state simply that the rest of the news media does
> not spin, emphasize, or even bother to mention one particular
> group's efforts relative to all other efforts in an emergency,
> that beginning on day 9-11 or in the past.

I'm not furious or enraged. I'm setting your silly ass straight on
several of your recent misstatements.

> Rather than doing or even claiming some action on his own,
> K8MN/Heil DEMANDS that "non-entitites" do his work for
> him. That is imperious and tries to mask previous
> communications within this newsgroup where K8MN/Heil
> was not satisfied with certain "non-entity's" opinions or
> statements.

I'd tend to be more satisfied if your statements were more than
occasionally factually correct. I view you as an embittered geezer
with a severe case of amateur radio penis envy.

> >Be sure
> >to let all concerned know that the telephone system and cellular systems
> >are functioning perfectly well and that these "amateurs" aren't needed.
>
> Why state untruths? It should be perfectly obvious that
> normal "non-entity" (non-amateur-radio) communications
> have been damaged. Verizon is reported to be working over
> the weekend to restore "non-entity" (non-amateur-radio)
> fiber and wire communications as much as possible in
> lower Manhattan. Those workers are professionals.

No shit? Who'd hire amateurs to restore telephone communications on a
"for profit" system. What are the untruths here, Len. Didn't you write
about seeing people using cellphones? Do you now mean that you admit
that some of the cellular system was damaged. Who was telling the
untruth?

> The "non-entity" communications (those used by non-hams)
> is currently functioning well enough to coordinate police,
> fire, EMT, and building workers' efforts right now. This

> should be obvious to anyone observing/reading such work
> in NYC without having the ARRL publicize it.

That is not the sum total of the communications being conducted.

> Volunteers of all kinds having been turning out to try to
> help the rescue/clean-up work in NYC. That information
> has been publicized since day 9-11 in the news media.
> So many that NYC officials have had to turn them back.
>
> NYC Mayor Rudolph Guilliani said on the night of day
> 9-11 that "they do not need more volunteers [in the
> lower Manhattan area]." NYC officials have stated that
> they prefer building construction workers, [high] iron
> workers to help with the rescue and clean-up. If some
> of those are also licensed radio amateurs, that is good,
> but their amateur radio experience/knowledge does
> little good in an estimated half-megaton of debris from
> the destroyed buildings.

The mayor did not add the last sentence; you did.

> The observable fact of little or no news media coverage
> of amateur radio participation in emergency
> communications has enraged K8MN/Heil and some
> others (mostly pseudonymic trolls). They demand
> instant respect and worship (without any claimed
> efforts in the emergency of their own) because it is
> publicized by a special interest group. All for a hobby.
> All for their own vainglorious ego boosting.

Len, you aren't a ham. You aren't even a professional anything anymore.
You are a retired guy with too much time and not enough to do. You want
to become a ham but you have yet to take the first baby set toward
achieving that goal. You're envious and you're petty.

> Now, what has K8MN/Heil done as to volunteerism
> during these days of the Attack On America? In here
> he has done little but insult and belittle myself for
> pointing out an observable fact of the news media not
> mentioning some amateur radio licensees in their
> "emergency communications" work.

You made a claim as if it were fact. It was wrong and you have been
shown the error. You are insulted and belittled because you are a
pontificating gas bag.

> It is fairly clear that K8MN/Heil's newsgroup
> communications is just another attempt to "get"
> one who does not accept K8MN/Heil's view of the
> world.

Len, you've been "gotten" so many times that it goes beyond counting.
It would seem that you'd grow tired of chewing on those brogans of yours
after inserting them into your yap so many times.

Dave K8MN

4159. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 02:03:17 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA40837.3B6A446@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20453

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to
> work up a
> > > plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
> >
>
Does the plan declare war on all international terrorist
groups, Shining Path, Irish Republican Army, Columbian Drug
Cartel, Red Guard, PLO, etc.,etc.? I hope they get them
all, but not sure if that is the idea.

73,
Clay N4AOX

4160. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 02:41:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA40330.B7523A11@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20455

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA2BF41.89568293@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Your crap is hanging out there for all to see.
>
> So is K8MN/Heil's... :-)
>
> >You made statements that
> >you haven't seen or heard anything in the national broadcast media about
> >radio amateurs at the WTC site.
>
> Mostly true. I did not confine myself to lower Manhattan.
>
> I still haven't seen anything in the news media (which
> includes European and Asian news) about radio amateurs
> performing emergency communications...with the
> exception of the ARRL and QRZ sites.
>
> >You made statements about professional
> >communicators vs radio amateurs.
>
> I have made that comparison for years regarding many
> events.

You'd have to have some information on which to base your comparisons.
You certainly don't know much about amateur radio and you haven't been a
professional communicator in years. You'd currently be qualified to
compare...ummmm, retired geezers with other retired geezers.

> >One needn't assume that I represent all radio amateurs.
>
> One MUST assume that...it is in all of K8MN/Heil's
> phrasing and expressed rage, spin, boosterism.

A guy who would make such an assumption would have to be a fool.
Whoops, never mind!

> >I wasn't under discussion.
>
> K8MN/Heil is NOW. He furiously jumped in demanding
> apologies and allegiance to amateur radio and levelling
> insults at myself.

Levelling insults at you is my forte. You make yourself an easy target.
Please give reference to a posting where this apology was demanded.
Please do likewise for any demand of allegiance to amateur radio.
What you were and are short on is factual information. You don't know
if I was furious or not.

> >You weren't writing about me.
>
> K8MN/Heil forces the issue to focus on himself.

Just how do you make that jump, your geezerness?

> >You as much as said that radio amateurs were not doing
> >important work in New York because you personally don't have any
> >knowledge of their activity. You were and are wrong.
>
> Incorrect. I said that there is little or no observable mention
> of any amateur radio emergency communications efforts
> in the news media.

Is that now your claim?

> If that is "wrong" then point out where and when in the non-
> amateur news media.
>
> >You chose to use this instance to try to make your point. You fail on
> >facts. You fail on sensitivity.
>
> I have said publicly, in the past, that the ARRL exercises
> "spin" on its self-promotion and the promotion of amateur
> radio. That is factual, referenceable by a Google search.
>
> I don't fail on observation of the news media other than the
> the news media being large, both nationally and inter-
> nationally. K8MN/Heil has only referenced specific special
> interest amateur radio groups as "news media."
>
> K8MN/Heil wishes to define "sensitivity" in others but the
> observable reality is simply that K8MN/Heil is too
> sensitive to opinions in opposition to his own.
>
> >Heil didn't make the incorrect claim and persist in it.
>
> K8MN/Heil did.
>
> >I don't believe you to be an enemy of the state.
>
> Of course K8MN/Heil does...as witness the vergiage
> below:
>
> >I believe you to be an
> >insensitive geezer with an ax to grind and with too much free time which
> >has to be filled with something.

My statement has nothing to do with enemies of the state.
You might qualify as candidate for "enema by the state".

> K8MN/Heil's "axe" is much, much bigger than mine. :-)
>
> "Free time" is available to all, especially those who are
> retired. Perhaps K8MN/Heil wants all to have NO free
> time? Work, work, work endlessly for the betterment of
> the [ham] state?!?

Len, why not come out an admit your rather empty existence?
If you had wanted an amateur license, your vast free time would have
permitted you to attain by now. You'd rather fill the time by haunting
an amateur radio newsgroup.

> My "obsession" (as K8MN/Heil put it) is simply for the
> elimination of the morse code test in all amteur radio
> license examinations. That position has left myself and
> most other NCTAs open to a large and varied amount of
> abuse, insults, denigrations, and deprecations that have
> ranged far beyond amateur radio. Such have demanded
> some response from myself which, of course, was
> rewarded with a greater intensity of personal abuse from
> the militant PCTAs [K8MN/Heil is one of those].

I'm a ham Len--been one for decades (nearing four of 'em). You wouldn't
even need pass a code test for one particular class of amateur license.
You haven't even bothered with that. You're a lonely old wannabe.

> >In your case, it is your obsession
> >with amateur radio--something in which you are not a participant.
>
> I have no "obsession with amateur radio." I have, and
> will continue to speak out against the morse code test
> necessity for any amateur radio license examination.

No one who is not obsessed with amateur radio posts for years on an
amateur radio newsgroup when he himself is not even a radio amateur.

> However, K8MN/Heil's "obsession" with needing
> "participation in amateur radio" as a "credential" in
> (ostensibly) open discussion is an expression of his
> inability to civilly discuss matters of regulatory policy in
> all of radio regulations. Heil, not just the combined persona
> of "K8MN/Heil" (indistinguishable in here) has expressed
> himself as "superior" in radio in the past. Not just amateur
> radio but ALL of radio.

As I've stated in the past, Leonardo, I'm superior to some--inferior to
others. I've been involved with operations in amateur radio, military
radio, other government radio (all three more recently than you).

> For an example of restrictive expression of opinion, Heil
> could just as well try to spin that NO ONE is capable of

> talking about US foreign policy or the State Department
> without being a "participant." [Heil is a retired (?) State
> Department emplyee] That is ridiculous in and of itself.

There is much difference between talking about something and knowing about it. I would venture a guess that you know even less about foreign policy than you do about amateur radio.

> I advocate the elimination of the morse code test for
> any amateur radio license. By Heil's logic one "cannot"
> do so without first taking such a test. Specious and
> illogical condition.

I could set myself up as an unasked and unwanted advocate for the institution of licensing fees for amateur astronomers. I wouldn't have to necessarily know anything about astronomy. All I'd need to know is what I want to happen. I could even begin to haunt an astronomy newsgroup and to make lengthy, pontificating posts in support of my position. I'll bet that amateur astronomers would think such a guy was waaaaaaay out there.

> Heil and some others have claimed that "non-participants"
> in amateur radio "know nothing about amateur radio" and
> therefore cannot discuss anything about amateur radio.
> US amateur radio is one of the most open of civilian
> avocations, well-publicized, with many kinds of "boosting"
> media outlets and with regulations that forbid secrecy in
> communications. Amateur radio physics is the same as
> radio physics of any other radio service. Yet Heil and his
> ilk would have everyone believe that it is a "closed lodge
> hall" open only to those who have passed hall members'
> clearance and any talk about ENTERING the lodge hall
> is somehow forbidden.

As I stated earlier, there is a difference between talking about something and knowing about it. "I read a magazine once" or "I read a book once" are hardly likely to lead others to believe that you have any expertise or to lend your views much credence. The only truth apparent in your "lodge hall" tripe is that amateur radio is the lodge. Only those who have passed an exam to become radio amateurs may enter that lodge hall. Based on your track record, we won't be seeing you at lodge meetings any time soon.

> Entrance to the lodge hall requires passing certain tests
> whose questions and answers are open and free to the
> public plus a test on manual morse code ability. Those
> tests have been set by a US government agency. The
> regulations are not divine, did not arrive with the burning
> of bushes, are not immortal...yet Heil and his ilk demand
> passing them first, without question, before the regulations
> can be discussed openly. That is a megalomaniacal
> viewpoint as well as a restriction of free speech.

We've been down this road before, Len. You've been talking (writing)
for years. Nothing requires that anyone lend credence to your ideas.
Nobody need bow to the guy who has a few friends who are hams.

> >Do you have reason to believe that the ARRL is lying?
>
> No. I've said before and will say again that it is observable
> that the ARRL uses spin to both promote themselves and
> their existance as well as promoting amateur radio. It is not
> a black-and-white condition of "truth" or "lie," but in some
> cases it is exaggeration of some things and a diminuation
> of other things.

I have the same problem with your use of the term "spin" in describing
what the ARRL publishes as do the League staffers you've encountered.
We'd even have to assume that you are a regular QST, Handbook and Web
page reader for you to make such a blanket statement.

> As an example of "spin," consider the various SAREX news
> from the ARRL. Astronauts who have been granted amateur
> radio licenses are ALWAYS referred to as "ham-astronauts."
> This carries the implication that they were hams first and
> astronauts second, almost as an occupation afterthought.
> Anyone who has been involved with spaceflight and
> spacecraft would not think so and may even chuckle at the
> absurdity of such a connotation.

It never once occurred to me to believe that the astronauts were hams
first or, for that matter, to think that it makes a rat's ass one way or
the other.

> In times of emergency, the amateur radio assistance in
> communications (usually restricted to "health and welfare"
> messaging) is called "emergency communications." In
> such a spin presentation, readers/viewers get the implication
> that amateurs are providing full police, fire, and government
> infrastructure communications. That MAY have occurred
> in a few rare, isolated instances but there is no indication
> at all that it happened on 17 Jan 94 nor on 11 Sep 01.

Why not admit that you have absolutely no idea just how often or under
what circumstances the aforementioned type of communication has taken
place?

> >Do you have
> >information which proves that because another news medium makes no
> >mention of amateur radio asssitance, none took place?
>
> That was not the issue although Heil insists it is.

Gee, seems to me that you tried to make that point.

> Is there any information/evidence from national or international
> news media that such assistance did take place?

You're the one with all of the time. Research it and get back to me.
Be sure to include the European and Asian news broadcast media since you
indicate that you watch them too.

> Is there any information/evidence from the news media that
> such assistance took place in the past? [try to keep
> such examples from wire services, or daily newspapers
> rather than obscure weeklies]

Yes.

> >> >The object is to perform a service to others.
> >>
> >> That does not require an amateur radio license.
> >
> >It certainly does if what is taking place is communication via amateur
> >radio as other than a third party using a station controlled by a radio
> >amateur.
>
> There are NO restrictions as to who can operate a radio
> in a true emergency. Read the FCC regulations.

I have read them.

> By the same restrictive-condition Heil logic, amateur licensees
> are not allowed to operate public radio service radios except
> as "third-party traffic" and with the permission of the operator.
>
> >> call non-hams "non-entities?" Yes, according to Heil.
> >
> >With regard to amateur radio, you are such.
>
> N2EY/Miccolis is the official "translator" in this newsgroup.
> Using the same "tranlation" capability, the Heil statement
> above could be written as:
>
> "Shut the hell up...*I'm" in charge here!" :-)

You should asked Jim for assistance (why do you persist in using
surnames? Are you writing a treatise?

> >> Concern for victims has no connection with discussing
> >> the organization, planning, training of true emergency
> >> communications nor the integration of all the various
> >> radio services in communities.
> >
> >That would be wonderful. It was not, however, what you were doing.
>
> So...K8MN/Heil charges "insensitivity" to day 9-11 victims
> on discussing generalities of true emergency organization,
> planning, training? For the fact of not glorifying the ARRL
> promoted stories of amateur radio involvement with that
> terrible, monstrous attack on the USA?

I have not the slightest idea what the hell the above is supposed to
mean.

> Perhaps "non-entities" must obtain an amateur radio
> license in order to grieve for the victims? Am I allowed in
> a church only if I present a ham license? Does the Red
> Cross require my passing a morse code test to give
> blood? If so, I am guilty on all counts as a "non-entity."

You ARE waaaaaay out there.

> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
> >offer you up as proof.
>
> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
> >ultimate insensitive boob.
> >
> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
>
> Ordinarily I'd tell someone who said that to go to hell.
> There isn't any need to say the obvious.

I'll bet you have to tell numerous folks to go to hell...and often.

Dave K8MN

4161. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 02:45:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9o13nm$if3$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 20456

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to
> work up a
> > > plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
> >
> > I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
> > sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
> > what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
>
> Not the dumbest idea in history. The cost of military ops is so high that
> we could probably put Afghanis on the Federal payroll till the 25th century
> with the savings.

Sorry, folks, this is no longer a criminal matter. We are in a state of war. And the incoming damage may not be- probably is not- over yet. War and criminal matters are rather disparate in the resolution.

In the meantime, be aware of your surroundings. One high authority says that there are hundreds of radical Muslim terrorist enclaves operating within the USA and they have been here for years. Another very high placed source says that one of Tuesday's hijackers has lived in the USA since 1993, and took a 4 year degree here. Two others lived in San Diego. One hundred other terrorists have been identified specifically and are now on a nationwide "watch list". Considerable money has been raised in the USA by and for these very terorist operations, both here and abroad.
If there had been just another terrorist "act" then the situation would not have elevated to this state, but this was an all out assault which was only partially successful. There were almost certainly other terrorists on other airliners who did not meet their objectives. One was the plane that went down in Pa, which was almost certainly thwarted by several of the hijacked passengers causing the terrorists to crash the plane into a field instead of the White House or Capitol Building.
Another was when the flight from Newark with three hijackers aboard was cancelled at the last minute, because of the
nationwide air shutdown following the WTC crashes, and the three Arabs on the flight raised such a ruckus about the flight being cancelled that air[port security was called but by the time security arrived, they had

disappeared.

It seems likely this is nowhere near over.

What does Bin Laden want? To kill as many people as can be managed. We are Evil, Satan, the Infidel to be distroyed. And he is not by any means the only radical Muslim cleric who states this emphatically. One being interviewed on TV yesterday was rabid in the extreme, saying that the USA doesn't deserve to exist. Where they come up with this is not clear, but it sure isn't from the Koran.

4162. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 02:48:55 UTC
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-17DFAF.21485515092001@central.isp.giganews.com>
Source record: 20454

In article <20010905000322.13505.00001651@nso-fg.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> In article <20010904175227.05354.00002146@mb-cn.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> > Enclosed herein is a copy of an enforcement letter sent to some twerp in
> >Maine over his childish on-the-air antics.
> >
> > I LOVE the FCC's last paragraph! >>WE<< have been telling idiots like
> >this to take the following suggestion for YEARS, now the FEDS are doing it
> >TOO!
> >
> Has anyone besides me actually heard the ham in question on the air?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Jim,

I have not heard from WA1HLR since the mid 70s but he was part of the
3880 gang which included many AM stations from up and down the Atlantic
coast, yours truely included. Tim was interesting to chat with. I
always thought he was funny, but that was another time and place. I have
not heard him on the air in about 25 years and thought he was out of the
hobby. He was known on the air by many as Tim - Tron.

Anyone in this group rember the WA1HLR "slime-o-tron?

73
George
W3GEO

4163. Re: Frankly, there must be better things to argue over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 03:14:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915231401.15286.00000346@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20457

In article <hgSo7.26147$KV3.2180246@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Guys, considering what has and is happening, my thought is what a few ARE
>doing is a bit more important than arguing over who gets credit. C'mon,
>guys. Please!

Quite a few ARE doing good things...but that isn't the "new" subject that originally began as a comment about the amateur radio involvement with the Attack On America .

This new thread is really a continuation of an old and tired thread wherein a few regulars in here have some kind of need to attempt humiliation and denigration of those who have and do propose changes in amateur radio testing.

When these regulars (all PCTAs) cannot get their opinions cherished and respected, they turn to the very visible personal attacks on individuals holding opposite opinions. This was true before FCC 98-143 and it continues well after FCC 99-412 (restructuring).

These regulars "raise the noise level" (in effect) so far above any issue discussion that the subject is lost in the blatant vituperation directed to the person of others.

They must have their way, ergo everyone is subjected to their insult postings. I will not take any sort of "blame" for causing the personal insults directed toward anyone but neither will I sit silent while such immature juveniles cannot civilly discuss issues. No one should tolerate bullies...yet at least one such insists that all must do as he did before discussing anything about amateur radio.

If you truly wish a stop to the "bully" postings you may take action to change this newsgroup from unmoderated to moderated. [inquire of KH6O for the procedure] This would all pre-distribution screening of "offensive" material (to some) and keep the unlicensed out of this room of the lodge hall.

With all kind regards,
Leonard H. Anderson

LHA

4164. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 03:25:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA41BAE.C403EEA4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20458

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B996C22.EE0E4C7E@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> Yamamoto was right: The sleeping giant was awakened, and boy was he pissed.
> > >
> >I heard on the radio today that the reason the Japanese didn't invade the
> >US mainland was that they knew a lot of American civilians were armed. :-)
>
> I guess the fact that they'd have to support an invasion force from the other
> side of the world's largest ocean didn't factor into it, huh?

Just relating what I heard on the radio, Jim. Consider what would have
happened to the hijacked planes if the pilot and/or co-pilot had been
carrying concealed firearms - or even if Barbara Olsen had had a 9mm in
her purse.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4165. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 03:31:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA41D17.A03E6CFD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20459

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Too lazy to sign their names to a piece of paper, eh? Or is it that
> they also want the written test abolished as well? I thought the
> theory wasn't a problem for your RF-genius friends. Guess I thought
> wrong.

Why don't you have a PhD degree, Larry? Probably the same reason
that I don't have one. When people are satisfied with a certain
level, why should they attempt a higher level? A tech license
grants all amateur privileges above 30 MHz. If HF doesn't
appeal to a tech, why should he/she upgrade?

If an RF-genius has no interest in amateur radio, why should he/she
waste his/her time getting a ham license?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4166. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 04:20:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916002002.23913.00000430@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 20460

In article <3BA40330.B7523A11@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>You'd have to have some information on which to base your comparisons.
>You certainly don't know much about amateur radio and you haven't been a
>professional communicator in years. You'd currently be qualified to
>compare...ummmm, retired geezers with other retired geezers.

>A guy who would make such an assumption would have to be a fool.
>Whoops, never mind!

>Levelling insults at you is my forte.

...this is obvious...and seems to be most of K8MN's
"discussions" in this newsgroup...as witness the
following pearls of widom.

>Just how do you make that jump, your geezerness?

>Is that now your claim?

>My statement has nothing to do with enemies of the state.
>You might qualify as candidate for "enema by the state".

>Len, why not come out an admit your rather empty existence?
>If you had wanted an amateur license, your vast free time would have
>permitted you to attain by now.

My object here is not to attain an amateur radio license. It
is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test for
any amateur radio class examination.

>You'd rather fill the time by haunting an amateur radio newsgroup.

No. I am not "haunting" anything, just advocating the
elimination of the morse code test.

>I'm a ham Len--been one for decades (nearing four of 'em).

That is understood. If that is what you enjoy, then it is
good for you.

What I am wondering is why you have this obsession to
insult others who don't think the same way you do....and
that is indeed what you do in here.

>You wouldn't
>even need pass a code test for one particular class of amateur license.
>You haven't even bothered with that. You're a lonely old wannabe.

Incorrect again. K8MN assumes too much and is getting
obsessive with the "shut up if you don't have a license" ploy.

>No one who is not obsessed with amateur radio posts for years on an
>amateur radio newsgroup when he himself is not even a radio amateur.

My "obsession" (if Heil insists is the operative word...and it
is not) is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test.

K8MN cannot argue that subject, insists that one "must"
have an amateur radio license in order to discuss changes
to the amateur radio regulations.

>As I've stated in the past, Leonardo, I'm superior to some--inferior to
>others.

No, it comes across clearly that K8MN is superior to all that
have opposite opinions to his. See the following...

>There is much difference between talking about something and knowing
>about it. I would venture a guess that you know even less about foreign
>policy than you do about amateur radio.

>I could set myself up as an unasked and unwanted advocate for the
>institution of licensing fees for amateur astronomers.

K8MN is free to do that, but why? This is not an astronomy
newsgroup and astronomers do not need to take morse
code examinations.

>As I stated earlier, there is a difference between talking about
>something and knowing about it. "I read a magazine once" or "I read a
>book once" are hardly likely to lead others to believe that you have any
>expertise or to lend your views much credence. The only truth apparent
>in your "lodge hall" tripe is that amateur radio is the lodge.

That is the apparent viewpoint of myself and others on
viewing the PCTA postings or those who think their amateur
radio license permits them to insult all "lesser" beings..

>lodge hall. Based on your track record, we won't be seeing you at lodge
>meetings any time soon.

Based on K8MN's track record he has turned off many
prospective radio amateurs with such postings.

>We've been down this road before, Len. You've been talking (writing)
>for years. Nothing requires that anyone lend credence to your ideas.
>Nobody need bow to the guy who has a few friends who are hams.

The ones who are without credence are the ones who
cannot discuss any issue without personally insulting the
communicator.

>It never once occurred to me to believe that the astronauts were hams
>first or, for that matter, to think that it makes a rat's ass one way or
>the other.

Everyone knows that K8MN doesn't give a "rat's ass" for
any opposite opinion. That is quite obvious.

>You're the one with all of the time. Research it and get back to me.
>Be sure to include the European and Asian news broadcast media since you
>indicate that you watch them too.

K8MN wants others to do his homework. He would rather
have fun insulting others and making sarcastic comments.

<on FCC rules...>

>I have read them.

All of them?!!? Parts 0, 1, 2, and 97?

>I have not the slightest idea what the hell the above is supposed to
>mean.

Read them again. Comprehension will come with study.

>> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
>> >offer you up as proof.
>>
>> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
>> >ultimate insensitive boob.
>> >
>> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
>>
>> Ordinarily I'd tell someone who said that to go to hell.
>> There isn't any need to say the obvious.
>
>I'll bet you have to tell numerous folks to go to hell...and often.

No, for ultimate insult I tell them to try to have a rational
discussion with Heil, not hell...but that is only to a very
select few.

Thank you for all the non-discussion of amateur radio
issues.

4167. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 05:18:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916011853.20841.00000562@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 20461

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/15/01 10:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA40330.B7523A11@earthlink.net>

>You'd have to have some information on which to base your comparisons.
>You certainly don't know much about amateur radio and you haven't been a
>professional communicator in years.

Except for his stint in the Army, he hasn't been one since 1950-something.

>What you were and are short on is factual information.

That's an understatement. Lennie could re-retire on what he DOESN'T know
about radio...especially AMATEUR radio.

>You'd rather fill the time by haunting
>an amateur radio newsgroup.

I think "harrassing" would be a better description here, Dave.

Lennie the Liar spurts:

>> I advocate the elimination of the morse code test for
>> any amateur radio license. By Heil's logic one "cannot"
>> do so without first taking such a test. Specious and
>> illogical condition.

No, Lennie, YOU are the "specious and illogical condition". The code test
issue has been dealt with. The reaminder of your trolling herein is solely the
acts of a desperate and lonely person.

>Based on your track record, we won't be seeing you at lodge
>meetings any time soon.

That's the best news I have heard all week!

4168. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 05:43:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916014309.20841.00000567@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 20462

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/16/01 12:20 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010916002002.23913.00000430@nso-ma.aol.com>

>My object here is not to attain an amateur radio license. It
>is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test for
>any amateur radio class examination.

No it's not. It's to harrass and harangue a part of our society that, for
whatever reason your weak little mind festers on, has offended you.

You're just not man enough to put it down and move on.

>What I am wondering is why you have this obsession to
>insult others who don't think the same way you do....and
>that is indeed what you do in here.

Dave doesn't incite or insult others based on thier differing opinions.
He get's riled, as I do, when he is insulted by someone who doesn't have a clue
as to what they are talking about.

That, by the way, would be YOU, Your Putziness.

>You're a lonely old wannabe.
>
>>Incorrect again. K8MN assumes too much and is getting
>obsessive with the "shut up if you don't have a license" ploy.

Nope. He's got it about right. You're a wannabe.

>My "obsession" (if Heil insists is the operative word...and it
>is not) is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test.

You're either a pathological liar, or you are fooling yourself. Which is
it?

Lennie starts off again....

>No, it comes across clearly that K8MN is superior to all that
>have opposite opinions to his. See the following...
>
>>There is much difference between talking about something and knowing
>>about it. I would venture a guess that you know even less about foreign
>>policy than you do about amateur radio.

Nope...he had it about right again. You are pretty ignorant of what's
going on in the world around you. Witness you frerquent and GLARING

inaccracies and omissions of PRESENT Amateur policy or practice.

Even in the "morse code test" issue, you seem to be unaware of what the
current state of affairs is......

>That is the apparent viewpoint of myself and others on
>viewing the PCTA postings or those who think their amateur
>radio license permits them to insult all "lesser" beings..

Not "all" leeser beings, Lennie, just you.

>Based on K8MN's track record he has turned off many
>prospective radio amateurs with such postings.

And those persons would be.....?!?!?

>The ones who are without credence are the ones who
>cannot discuss any issue without personally insulting the
>communicator.

Then you finally admit that you are a chronic troll?

You are quick to suggest "Google Search". Anyone who wants to see just
how black your pot and kettle are can take a walk down Google Lane and revisit
the antics of "NoCWTest", "Lenover21", "Lenof21", "AveryFine", etc etc etc.

Especially your rather liberal use of Nazism allegations, along with
others.

>Everyone knows that K8MN doesn't give a "rat's ass" for
>any opposite opinion. That is quite obvious.

Obvious only to you. Because he, like I, has had the temerity to stand up
to your bullying.

>K8MN wants others to do his homework. He would rather
>have fun insulting others and making sarcastic comments.

Nope. Youa re the one who makes frequent assertions, and then when asked
to make good on your refrences and basis for same, make snide comments like
"YOU look it up."

Coward.

>>I have read them.
>
>All of them?!!? Parts 0, 1, 2, and 97?

What does it matter, Lennie..?!?! YOU have demonstrated that YOU have a
lack of currency in more than one supart of the R&R's.

>Read them again. Comprehension will come with study.

Words you should follow, Oh Licenseless one.

>Thank you for all the non-discussion of amateur radio
>issues.

Well, Lennie, how can we discuss something with someone who himself only has half the information?

You repeatedly demonstrate that you are NOT "fluent" in practical Amateur matters, and you have no experience base from which to discuss matters.

Come back when you have something to discuss.

4169. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 05:49:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA42F3C.64993C80@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20463

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA40330.B7523A11@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >You'd have to have some information on which to base your comparisons.
> >You certainly don't know much about amateur radio and you haven't been a
> >professional communicator in years. You'd currently be qualified to
> >compare...ummmm, retired geezers with other retired geezers.
>
> >A guy who would make such an assumption would have to be a fool.
> >Whoops, never mind!
>
> >Levelling insults at you is my forte.
>
> ...this is obvious...and seems to be most of K8MN's
> "discussions" in this newsgroup...as witness the
> following pearls of widom.
>
> >Just how do you make that jump, your geezerness?
>
> >Is that now your claim?
>
> >My statement has nothing to do with enemies of the state.
> >You might qualify as candidate for "enema by the state".
>
> ---
>
> >Len, why not come out an admit your rather empty existence?
> >If you had wanted an amateur license, your vast free time would have
> >permitted you to attain by now.
>
> My object here is not to attain an amateur radio license. It
> is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test for
> any amateur radio class examination.

It would seem that you do not have, anywhere, obtaining an amateur radio
license as an objective.

> >You'd rather fill the time by haunting an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> No. I am not "haunting" anything, just advocating the
> elimination of the morse code test.

Believe me, Len. You are haunting the newsgroup.

> >I'm a ham Len--been one for decades (nearing four of 'em).
>
> That is understood. If that is what you enjoy, then it is
> good for you.
>
> What I am wondering is why you have this obsession to
> insult others who don't think the same way you do....and
> that is indeed what you do in here.

You're not very observant, Len. That is what I do *to you* in here.
The reasons for it are obvious to most anyone reading one of your
diatribes.

> >You wouldn't
> >even need pass a code test for one particular class of amateur license.
> >You haven't even bothered with that. You're a lonely old wannabe.
>
> Incorrect again. K8MN assumes too much and is getting
> obsessive with the "shut up if you don't have a license" ploy.

You can write "incorrect" as often as you like. The evidence is
contained in the stuff you write and it seems pretty clear.

> >No one who is not obsessed with amateur radio posts for years on an
> >amateur radio newsgroup when he himself is not even a radio amateur.
>
> My "obsession" (if Heil insists is the operative word...and it
> is not) is to advocate the elimination of the morse code test.

Why would a rational adult male take on the task if he had no obsession
with amateur radio? If you had any serious intent toward obtaining a
license, you'd have likely accomplished it by your seventh decade on the
planet.

> K8MN cannot argue that subject, insists that one "must"
> have an amateur radio license in order to discuss changes
> to the amateur radio regulations.

Len, the situation regarding elimination of code as a testing element
are pretty clear. Since that is the case, why do you persist? If you
are interested in obtaining an amateur license, go the code free route.
When the morse testing element disappears, attempt to pass a higher
level. No one has prevented you from making your statements. You've
made 'em (mostly the same ones repeatedly) often. Maybe I can have a
T-Shirt made for you: "Ham Ticket B4 Silent Key"

> >As I've stated in the past, Leonardo, I'm superior to some--inferior to
> >others.
>
> No, it comes across clearly that K8MN is superior to all that
> have opposite opinions to his. See the following...
>
> >There is much difference between talking about something and knowing
> >about it. I would venture a guess that you know even less about foreign

> >policy than you do about amateur radio.

I'd really be interested in knowing which part of my statement you find
that you disagree with. Do you know more about foreign policy than you
do amateur radio? Do you know a great deal about amateur radio?

> --------
>
> >I could set myself up as an unasked and unwanted advocate for the
> >institution of licensing fees for amateur astronomers.
>
> K8MN is free to do that, but why? This is not an astronomy
> newsgroup and astronomers do not need to take morse
> code examinations.

That's right. I'll have the franchise on haunting astronomy newsgroups
as one who knows little about astronomy. You can hold forth here as the
guy who knows little about amateur radio. What? Your'e already doing
that?

> >As I stated earlier, there is a difference between talking about
> >something and knowing about it. "I read a magazine once" or "I read a
> >book once" are hardly likely to lead others to believe that you have any
> >expertise or to lend your views much credence. The only truth apparent
> >in your "lodge hall" tripe is that amateur radio is the lodge.
>
> That is the apparent viewpoint of myself and others on
> viewing the PCTA postings or those who think their amateur
> radio license permits them to insult all "lesser" beings..

Len, YOU get insulted because of your manner. All of the things you
accuse others of doing, you are guilty in spades of doing yourself.
There is nothing except yourself standing in your way in obtaining an
amateur license. The lodge hall is only closed to those who make no
effort to obtain a license. If you stand on the outside, looking in, it
is because YOU have made that choice. As you are so fond of stating,
TS.

> >lodge hall. Based on your track record, we won't be seeing you at lodge
> >meetings any time soon.
>
> Based on K8MN's track record he has turned off many
> prospective radio amateurs with such postings.

I'm assisted many in entering amateur radio. You have assisted no one.
I've encountered no one else with your attitude.

> >We've been down this road before, Len. You've been talking (writing)
> >for years. Nothing requires that anyone lend credence to your ideas.
> >Nobody need bow to the guy who has a few friends who are hams.
>
> The ones who are without credence are the ones who
> cannot discuss any issue without personally insulting the

> communicator.

Look in the mirror, Len. That's you staring back.

> >It never once occurred to me to believe that the astronauts were hams
> >first or, for that matter, to think that it makes a rat's ass one way or
> >the other.
>
> Everyone knows that K8MN doesn't give a "rat's ass" for
> any opposite opinion. That is quite obvious.

Your statement was designed as another attempted slam at the ARRL in
order to make it seem that the League is really trying to make it sound
as if astronauts were hams first. Nobody but you seems to care either
way but your crap about the "spin" is just that.

> >You're the one with all of the time. Research it and get back to me.
> >Be sure to include the European and Asian news broadcast media since you
> >indicate that you watch them too.
>
> K8MN wants others to do his homework. He would rather
> have fun insulting others and making sarcastic comments.

You don't have the authority to assign me homework. You asked the
question. Find the answer yourself.

> <on FCC rules...>
>
> >I have read them.
>
> All of them?!!? Parts 0, 1, 2, and 97?

Can you read?

> >I have not the slightest idea what the hell the above is supposed to
> >mean.
>
> Read them again. Comprehension will come with study.

I referred to your incomprehensible paragraph--the one your snipped.

> >> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
> >> >offer you up as proof.
> >>
> >> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
> >> >ultimate insensitive boob.
> >> >
> >> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
> >>
> >> Ordinarily I'd tell someone who said that to go to hell.
> >> There isn't any need to say the obvious.
> >
> >I'll bet you have to tell numerous folks to go to hell...and often.

>
> No, for ultimate insult I tell them to try to have a rational
> discussion with Heil, not hell...but that is only to a very
> select few.

I'm sure that makes a lot of sense to those individuals. That's
probably why they've told you to blow it out your ass.

> Thank you for all the non-discussion of amateur radio
> issues.

Thank you for all the many discussions of non-amateur issues.

Dave K8MN

4170. Re: Frankly, there must be better things to argue over.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 05:55:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916015536.20841.00000568@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 20464

>Subject: Re: Frankly, there must be better things to argue over.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/15/01 11:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010915231401.15286.00000346@nso-cm.aol.com>

>This new thread is really a continuation of an old and tired
>thread wherein a few regulars in here have some kind of
>need to attempt humiliation and denigration of those who
>have and do propose changes in amateur radio testing.

Actually, the new thread is a continuation of the few regulars trying to tell Lennie to take a hike, and come back when he has some common experience to discuss with the LICENSED Amateurs in here.

>When these regulars (all PCTAs) cannot get their opinions
>cherished and respected, they turn to the very visible
>personal attacks on individuals holding opposite opinions.

Ooooops...NOT "all PCTA's".....

Herr Anderson likes to call people thugs, Nazi's and "elitists" when THEY don't agree with HIM.

Again, Lennie has the pot/kettle/black thing going on.........

>This was true before FCC 98-143 and it continues well
>after FCC 99-412 (restructuring).

Yep..sure does...You were two faced before restructuring and you are now...At least you are consistent.

>They must have their way, ergo everyone is subjected to
>their insult postings

There you go again! Pot/kettle/black.

>I will not take any sort of "blame" for
>causing the personal insults directed toward anyone but
>neither will I sit silent while such immature juveniles
>cannot civilly discuss issues.

Let's see....you send people e mails trying to sway them to your "view", then you call them names in public when they decline to join you.

You then put on your cloak of indignation when they "return fire", as if you were just sitting there innocently and without culpability for your own actions.

>No one should tolerate bullies...

Which is why just about everyone here does not have a lot of patience or tolerance for your antics, Oh Putzy One.

>...yet at least one such insists that all must do as
>he did before discussing anything about amateur radio.
>

Nope...Not "do as (he) (I) did"...just have some practical experience in the matters of Amatuer practice.

The "code issue" left YOU behind a long time ago. Now trolling is all you have left.

4171. Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 06:05:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916020523.20841.00000570@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 20465

Just wondering. You were bragging the other day about having all that money with which to buy new radios. When I pointed out that all you'd have is a rather expensive receiver, you shut up.

Guess the realization that having no license made your suggestions pretty foolish, huh?

But you go right ahead...Load the "shack" up with lot's of expensive play pretties...then tell us about all the contacts you made after you cranked the power down to Part 15 levels (or worse yet, you cranked the power up on 11 meters)

Guess this lil ole Yaesu FT757GX isn't as pretty, but hey, I can LEGALLY emit RF with it...How about you...?!?!

4172. Re: A Tribute to the United States

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 09:55:40 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq8tsar5ge7qa1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 20466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yup, your right... Must have been a glitch at the time I clicked it...
Working now...

"Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3BA28FE8.DF5B3D6@hotmail.com...
> Still works here...
>
> Power House wrote:
> >
> > Sorry, no luck with your URL...
> >
> > "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3BA195A7.92810F9C@hotmail.com...
> > > For more information on this 1973 commentary, go to:
> > > http://www.snopes2.com/quotes/sinclair.htm

4173. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 13:55:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916095550.07825.00000124@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20467

>Subject: Nostradamus
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/14/01 10:24 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA2130F.882ACDC3@IEEE.org>

>"The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
>

Or it could be binLaden..?!?! Certainly ar away..?!?!

Steve

4174. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 13:57:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916095737.07825.00000126@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20468

```
>Subject: Re: Nostradamus
>From: drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench)
>Date: 9/15/01 10:44 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010915104433.16124.00000172@mb-fy.aol.com>

>Nostradamus as well as all Psychics are frauds.

You betcha...!!! WTF was "Madam Cleo"...?!?!
```

4175. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 13:59:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916095917.07825.00000127@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20469

>Subject: Re: Nostradamus
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/15/01 1:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA38F15.98E322E@IEEE.org>

>It's more interesting than Asimov.

I'd argue that one, Cecil....Azimov's track record on "predictions" is a
mite bit better and it's without question correct!

Steve

4176. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 14:32:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4B7FA.AC4EBD29@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20471

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >It's more interesting than Asimov.
>
> I'd argue that one, Cecil....Azimov's track record on "predictions" is a
> mite bit better and it's without question correct!

You have your opinion and I have mine. I find Nostradamus much
more interesting than Asimov. "Interesting" has nothing to do
with track records and correctness.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4177. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 14:34:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4B877.453BD113@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20472

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >"The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
>
> Or it could be binLaden..?!?! Certainly far away..?!?!

It has occurred to me that Osama bin Laden might be the third
anti-Christ that Nostradamus talks about.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4178. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 14:35:01 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916103501.15057.00000397@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20470

Give it up, this pychic crap is just that, crap.

4179. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 14:37:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4B917.BAD12AE6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20473

Drpfrench wrote:
> Give it up, this pychic crap is just that, crap.

Exactly what on this newsgroup is not crap?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4180. Re: Are there any Pinkos in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 14:47:49 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916104749.15057.00000399@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20474

>What I'd like to know is -- what's the big problem with giving your
>call sign the first time you key the mic --

Mybe he does not have a call sign!

>I'm a repeater
>control operator, and haven't issued a command on our club's
>repeater UHF control link in over a month, when I turned the PL on
>due to ducting

THe only time our clubs control op has entered any comands on any of our repeaters in the past years is to make changes to the ID'er or the auto patch on one of our machines.

4181. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 15:52:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010916115234.25777.00000425@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20475

In article <8107qtkli87p4hvqhad81mh62pet4iq5ut@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
<jim@rst-engr.com> writes:

>
>When do they sleep?
>
>Jim
>
>->
>->Amateurs are working two 12-hour shifts per day.
>->
>
>Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
>A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

Jim:

Don't look now, but I don't think the same amateurs were working
both shifts each day. I think they had one crew "on" and one
crew "off." Great idea, eh?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4182. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 16:18:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010916121805.25777.00000429@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20477

In article <20010915151825.15286.00000327@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>Trivia quiz: What were the sister ships, and what happened to them?

Jim:

The Titanic's sister ships were the Olympic and the Brittanic, and I believe both joined their sister Titanic at the bottom of the ocean, albeit with far less loss of life in each case.

>> And according to Popular Science, one company IS building a replica
>>"Titanic"..wouldn't THAT be the pits!

Why would a replica of the Titanic be the pits? I would think that it would be an intriguing and popular historical artifact, not to mention a virtual license
for it's owners to print money! Are we talking about an actual sea-going ship here, or a permanently docked "floating hotel" like the Queen Mary in Long Beach?

>I'd love to be one of the radio officers.

Perhaps the "New Titanic" will have a radio club and permit guest operation by hams.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4183. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 16:18:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010916121806.25777.00000430@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20476

In article <20010914080311.02731.00000368@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>73 from November Too Echo Yankee
>
>

Jim:

Don't you mean "November *Two* Echo Yankee?"

73 de Kilo Three Lima Tango

4184. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 16:24:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916122407.15907.00000562@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20478

>Subject: Re: Nostradamus
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/16/01 10:37 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA4B917.BAD12AE6@IEEE.org>

>Exactly what on this newsgroup is not crap?

Now Cecil, you KNOW what a satisfying and constructive dialog we have here
on a regular basis ! ! ! ! !

And gee whiz, don't forget Lennie! Why, by golly, where would we be
without HIS "take" on Amateur Radio...?!?!

73

Stve, K4YZ

4185. It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 16:33:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916123333.15907.00000565@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20479

What are the odds...?!?!

De K4YZ

Look at this:

The date of the attack: 9/11 - 9 + 1 + 1 = 11
September 11th is the 254th day of the year: 2 + 5 + 4 = 11
After September 11th there are 111 days left to the end of the year.
119 is the area code to Iraq/Iran. 1 + 1 + 9 = 11
Twin Towers - standing side by side, looks like the number 11
The first plane to hit the towers was Flight 11

I Have More.......

State of New York - The 11 State added to the Union
New York City - 11 Letters
Afghanistan - 11 Letters
The Pentagon - 11 Letters
Ramzi Yousef - 11 Letters (convicted of orchestrating the attack on the
WTC in 1993)
Flight 11 - 92 on board - 9 + 2 = 11
Flight 77 - 65 on board - 6 + 5 = 11

4186. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 16:57:26 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <aem9qt8cq0d74nbm64lvlfipb9ck2vlk84@4ax.com>
Source record: 20480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

"Mother Load" <motherload@home.comm>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Good one Jim, but they take shifts of 12 hrs each, have you volunteered your
->services?

Yes, but the need for workers in rural Northern California isn't very real.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4187. Re: Ham radio irrelevant? Then why this request?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 16:59:38 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <hhm9qtk7vq752jnkhm6ftrts28knfjgc34@4ax.com>
Source record: 20481

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Don't look now, but I don't think the same amateurs were working
->*both* shifts each day.

Good grief, I thought that was obvious. I guess baseball-bat humor still needs
smileyfaces to set it off {;-)

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4188. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 17:30:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916133030.15286.00000358@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20482

In article <3BA4B917.BAD12AE6@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Drpfrench wrote:
>> Give it up, this pychic crap is just that, crap.
>
>Exactly what on this newsgroup is not crap?
>
Lotta stuff. Posts by Phil Kane, for example.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4189. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 17:30:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916133031.15286.00000359@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20484

In article <JuQo7.371$Md5.36679@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"FreakyRadio" <nmlerstoe444@aol.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0109141211.29690522@posting.google.com...
>>
>> It's a fake, pure and simple. It wasn't even written by Mr. N.
>>
>> See:
>>
>> http://www.snopes2.com/inboxer/hoaxes/predict.htm
>>
>> Anybody who writes enough vague, rambling, symbolic stuff will
>> eventually find that some of it can appear to fit current events -
>> after the fact, of course.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>You forgot to add one line to the ending of your "quatrain" (grin) above Jim
>It should read: "In the final review, the same will be the product of an
>unbalanced
>mind, and will no doubt show up in the rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>alt.fan.art-bell
>or the alt.radio.scanner.flame-fest newsgroups. The Net's official screwball
>magnets"

AMEN, Nate!!

>No offense. it's just that I note very often that the most fruitkake
>postings always appear in th9ie above NG's - alt.fan.art-bell being
>at the top of the list for looney rantings - quite amusing sometimes too!

Agreed.

A wise ham once defined rrap as "a cyberworld barfight with words". Works for
me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4190. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 17:30:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916133032.15286.00000361@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20483

In article <3BA4B877.453BD113@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>> >"The king to be outside he will stay far from the enemy."
>>
>> Or it could be binLaden..?!?! Certainly far away..?!?!
>
>It has occurred to me that Osama bin Laden might be the third
>anti-Christ that Nostradamus talks about.

uh-huh. Or it could be Josef Stalin, Mao Tse-tung, Saddam Hussein, Pol Pot, or many others.

You may find Nostradamus "interesting", Cecil. But the Book of Bokonon is the one to read.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4191. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 17:30:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916133032.15286.00000360@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20485

In article <geomac-17DFAF.21485515092001@central.isp.giganews.com>, George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:

>> Has anyone besides me actually heard the ham in question on the air?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>Jim,
>
>I have not heard from WA1HLR since the mid 70s but he was part of the
>3880 gang which included many AM stations from up and down the Atlantic
>coast, yours truely included. Tim was interesting to chat with. I
>always thought he was funny, but that was another time and place. I have
>not heard him on the air in about 25 years and thought he was out of the
>hobby. He was known on the air by many as Tim - Tron.

I have monitored 75 AM regularly since at least the early '90s. He has been a regular there at least that long. Also heard on 40 AM.

>
>Anyone in this group rember the WA1HLR "slime-o-tron?
>
Have heard that and worse.

He and Riley had at least one face-to-face meeting at a hamfest - Dayton 2000, I think it was. Saw the picture in Electric Radio.

I find some of the stuff I've heard to be "funny" and some of it to be objectionable to the point of obscenity. I'll let others argue over the legal definitions. The big question is, what on-air conduct is acceptable in ham radio? I say that if it's not "G-rated", it shouldn't be on the ham bands.

Some folks point to the broadcast media and shows like Howard Stern's and say that the standards for the ham bands should be the same. I disagree. Broadcasting is entertainment, and schedules are published beforehand. One can avoid objectionable shows by reading the schedule. The same is not true of the ham bands - except in rare circumstances, one doesn't have any idea what the content will be until too late.

One of the things that got me started in ham radio was listening to the AM gang on 75 in the mid 1960s, before I was even a teenager. How many parents today would encourage their 10-to-12 year old kids to become hams after listening to some of the stuff heard on the amateur voice bands?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4192. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 17:49:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BA4D7CF.E647241F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20486

Amusing but meaningless.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> What are the odds...?!?!
>
> De K4YZ
>
> Look at this:
>
> The date of the attack: 9/11 - 9 + 1 + 1 = 11
> September 11th is the 254th day of the year: 2 + 5 + 4 = 11
> After September 11th there are 111 days left to the end of the year.
> 119 is the area code to Iraq/Iran. 1 + 1 + 9 = 11
> Twin Towers - standing side by side, looks like the number 11
> The first plane to hit the towers was Flight 11
>
> I Have More.......
>
> State of New York - The 11 State added to the Union
> New York City - 11 Letters
> Afghanistan - 11 Letters
> The Pentagon - 11 Letters
> Ramzi Yousef - 11 Letters (convicted of orchestrating the attack on the
> WTC in 1993)
> Flight 11 - 92 on board - 9 + 2 = 11
> Flight 77 - 65 on board - 6 + 5 = 11

4193. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 18:02:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916140242.15123.00000363@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20487

>Subject: Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd
>From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
>Date: 9/16/01 1:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA4D7CF.E647241F@mailcity.com>

>Amusing but meaningless.

Is it...?!?!

Why?

73

Steve, K4YZ

4194. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 18:15:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4EC25.84382B07@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20488

N2EY wrote:
> 73 from November Too Echo Yankee

Jim, you realize that if you identify yourself that way on the
air, you are breaking federal laws. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4195. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 18:21:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4EDC0.9DC3A3D7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20489

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >It has occurred to me that Osama bin Laden might be the third
> >anti-Christ that Nostradamus talks about.
>
> uh-huh. Or it could be Josef Stalin, Mao Tse-tung, Saddam Hussein, Pol Pot, or
> many others.

Couldn't be Stalin, Mao Tse-tung, or anyone else who has died. Might
be Saddam Hussein if he fulfills the prophecies. Erica Cheetham, a
Nostradamus expert, thinks the third anti-Christ will arrise in
Western China. No other nation could presently field the army numbers
prophesied in the Bible.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4196. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 18:23:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4EE27.6A5CF32C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20490

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Exactly what on this newsgroup is not crap?
>
> Now Cecil, you KNOW what a satisfying and constructive dialog we have here
> on a regular basis ! ! ! ! !

You didn't answer the question. :-)

> And gee whiz, don't forget Lennie! Why, by golly, where would we be
> without HIS "take" on Amateur Radio...?!?!

You didn't answer the question. :-)
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4197. Re: Nostradamus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 18:24:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4EE66.8D862410@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20491

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Exactly what on this newsgroup is not crap?
> >
> Lotta stuff. Posts by Phil Kane, for example.

Yep, he does stand out like a sore thumb. :-)
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4198. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 18:27:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA4EF25.546FED14@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20492

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
> >Amusing but meaningless.
>
> Is it...?!?! Why?

Because 666 is not in there somewhere?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4199. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 19:00:32 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <ult9qtkp2u5jlgr16127m4mmd0bsaul7ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 20493

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 14:47:13 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work up a
>> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
>
>I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.

Which would obviously be a wrong move, as well.

Jonathan
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4200. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 19:34:15 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109161134.67e3ab9d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20494

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20010915151826.15286.00000328@nso-cm.aol.com>...
> In article <9nufjj$e7g$0@208.207.71.161>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> > At this point in the game even that would be preferable to doing
> >nothing. Somehwhere along the way it becomes time to lash out strongly
> >in the general direction of the jackels and let the blow land whereever
> >it strikes, rightly or wrongly. At that, maybe the respect gained would
> >equal whatever wrong done.
>
> I have to disagree with this. What has to happen now is for the USA and allies
> to think about the end result we want years down the road, not a quick response
> that may make us more enemies. The end result we want is for the responsible
> criminals to be brought to justice, for our alliances with friendly countries
> to be strengthened, and for countries like Afghanistan and Libya to stop
> sheltering terrorists. The terrorist organizations have to be destroyed, not
> just a few leaders whose names have become infamous.

I agree wholeheartedly. One thing to keep in mind is that the world
has changed; a large part of it is now under democratic rule of law,
with a semi-international system of justice. We need to take
advantage of this, as much as possible. Let's act in such a way that
we strengthen international democracy and rule of law. In the long
run, we'll be safer and our children will enjoy a better quality of
life.

>
> The way to address terrorism is as a criminal conspiracy, not an act of war.
> Wars occur between sovereign governments, and are frequently ended by
> negotiations. To treat terrorists as a form of the military gives them some
> measure of legitimacy that they do not deserve.

I'm not sure I can agree with this completely. The people who did
this *are* a military organization, and their actions are at a scale
that calls for the force only whole nations can deliver. Furthermore,
they are clearly supported by several rogue states. The Taliban is
essentially an extremist military government, for example.

>
> Striking back blindly simply makes our case weaker in world opinion. And
> instead of destroying the terror organizations it will make them stronger
> because the difference between us and them will be lessened.

Absolutely -- we must tread carefully, building consensus and
coalitions along the way.

I see the appropriate response as a combination of overwhelming police and military action. This is the sort of crime that simply *cannot* stand -- the scale is simply too massive. You can afford to commit only some forces to stopping murder or robbery. This is different; we just cannot afford to have crimes like this repeated, nor to have the people who are willing to commit them wandering free in the world.

It's important to remember that some people's goals will always be at deadly cross-purposes to our own, no matter how benign our actions. Yes, we can minimize pissing people off around the world (far better than we have, IMHO), but there will still be some people (for whatever reason) for whom that isn't enough. I would submit that (for such people) credible deterrence must be an option, and to maintain that we must be willing to fight rather than bargain.

For that reason, I cannot condone the notion of bargaining with the bin Laden's of the world -- the whole reason we are a nation would be at stake.

Jonathan

4201. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 20:55:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BA5033E.ABA818D6@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20495

If it had happened the day before or the day after someone could
come up another set of meaningless numbers. Please explain why
you think those numbers are significant.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd
> >From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
> >Date: 9/16/01 1:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BA4D7CF.E647241F@mailcity.com>
>
> >Amusing but meaningless.
>
> Is it...?!?!
>
> Why?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4202. Re: Are restructured hams supposed to sound like CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 21:57:40 UTC
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT
Message-ID: <20010916175740.21880.00000459@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20496

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>Save the stupid stuff on 75 (that's been there since the Earth's crust cooled anyway) there's hardly any difference in what's on the air.

I agree, but want to add that I have never heard a "ten-four" or "good buddy" or "comeback" while operating A1 mode.

AK (previously K4YKZ)

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" ! AK

4203. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-16 23:26:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916192657.16100.00000476@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20497

>Subject: Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd
>From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
>Date: 9/16/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BA5033E.ABA818D6@mailcity.com>

>If it had happened the day before or the day after someone could
>come up another set of meaningless numbers. Please explain why
>you think those numbers are significant.

Now...WITHOUT the "hugeness" of the incident ON September 11th, it would have never come to anyone's attention...

However, tie in the numbers of people on the aircraft, the dates, etc etc etc, it is very significant...

Now...we need to figure out WHAT the "11th" or "11" by itself means.

I wonder how many "men" sit on the council of the Taliban?

Steve

4204. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 00:01:23 UTC
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-C62B26.19085316092001@central.isp.giganews.com>
Source record: 20498

In article <20010916133032.15286.00000360@nso-cm.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> In article <geomac-17DFAF.21485515092001@central.isp.giganews.com>, George
> McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:
>
> >> Has anyone besides me actually heard the ham in question on the air?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> > >
> > >Jim,
> > >
> > >I have not heard from WA1HLR since the mid 70s but he was part of the
> > >3880 gang which included many AM stations from up and down the Atlantic
> > >coast, yours truely included. Tim was interesting to chat with. I
> > >always thought he was funny, but that was another time and place. I have
> > >not heard him on the air in about 25 years and thought he was out of the
> > >hobby. He was known on the air by many as Tim - Tron.
> >
> > I have monitored 75 AM regularly since at least the early '90s. He has been a
> > regular there at least that long. Also heard on 40 AM.
> > >
> > >Anyone in this group rember the WA1HLR "slime-o-tron?
> > >
> > Have heard that and worse.
> >
> > He and Riley had at least one face-to-face meeting at a hamfest - Dayton
> > 2000,
> > I think it was. Saw the picture in Electric Radio.
> >
> > I find some of the stuff I've heard to be "funny" and some of it to be
> > objectionable to the point of obscenity. I'll let others argue over the legal
> > definitions. The big question is, what on-air conduct is acceptable in ham
> > radio? I say that if it's not "G-rated", it shouldn't be on the ham bands.
> >
> > Some folks point to the broadcast media and shows like Howard Stern's and say
> > that the standards for the ham bands should be the same. I disagree.
> > Broadcasting is entertainment, and schedules are published beforehand. One
> > can
> > avoid objectionable shows by reading the schedule. The same is not true of
> > the
> > ham bands - except in rare circumstances, one doesn't have any idea what the
> > content will be until too late.

>
> One of the things that got me started in ham radio was listening to the AM
> gang
> on 75 in the mid 1960s, before I was even a teenager. How many parents today
> would encourage their 10-to-12 year old kids to become hams after listening
> to
> some of the stuff heard on the amateur voice bands?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim,

What you say is interesting. Although I have not monitored 3.880 /85
much since I have been in Kentucky (23 years) I have not heard him.
I agree that some of the stuff on the bands was pretty bad but the
situation seems to be getting better. The problems on 14.313 seem the be
almost cleared up and the viterol on 3.950 has quieted down a lot. WA4D
has been contained along with a number of his cohorts. Although Riley
gets some flack in this group I think he has mad a difference.

Tim's brand of "potty humor" could sometimes be funny especially when
you were a high school or college student in the early 70s with a bit of
an anti establishment bent. I would have thought he would have grown out
of it. On the other hand, there was a lot of good technical information
to be had in the 3.880 am group and I certainly learned a lot there. Tim
was a pretty large contributer in that way. In many ways I was sorry to
see it come to this.

73
George
W3GEO

4205. Re: Are restructured hams supposed to sound like CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 00:19:27 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jkbp7.27538$KV3.2297478@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20499

I couldn't help replying to this one. I was on 440 FM (which is really great here in Rochester, NY) and managed to talk to a station (and I've been wondering ever since) who said his 'preferred personal' was ...
I did not try and correct him (hey, after getting my ticket back after nearly a quarter century - and used to HF - I timed out repeaters 2 or 3 times before I got the drift!). You have made an excellent point. Santa has promised me faithfully a new HF rig this Xmas. I was looking for my first SSB contact, but, I think I'm going to fire up on A1 - heck, I still won't have problems at 30 or 40. I just wish I was back in the shape I was in the Navy when 40 WPM meant perfect copy for many minutes on end.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"AK" <wz8a@aol.commie.NOT> wrote in message
news:20010916175740.21880.00000459@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> >Save the stupid stuff on 75 (that's been there since the Earth's crust
cooled
> anyway) there's hardly any difference in what's on the air.
>
> I agree, but want to add that I have never heard a "ten-four" or "good
buddy"
> or "comeback" while operating A1 mode.
>
> AK (previously K4YKZ)
> --
> "Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
> If only IRS were really a "service" ! AK

4206. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 00:30:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010916203044.13555.00000403@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20500

In article <20010916192657.16100.00000476@mb-fy.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> Now...we need to figure out WHAT the "11th" or "11" by itself means.
>
> I wonder how many "men" sit on the council of the Taliban?
>
>Steve

Steve:

What if it were eleven? So what? Big friggin' deal! In virtually every major disaster, the "psychics" and the "number crunchers" have come out with similar numerology linking the tragedy to the repetition of certain significant numbers. However, I guar-un-tee you that if the airports had been socked-in with bad weather on 9/11/01, that the attacks would have taken place the next day, or next week, or whenever. Then, the numbers would have been different, but you would still have posted some silly nonsense about them!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4207. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 00:30:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010916203044.13555.00000402@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20501

In article <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>N2EY wrote:
>> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work
>up a
>> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
>
>I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.

Typical, weak-minded, dumbed-down, politically-correct American.
She's probably some Soccor Mom in a minivan who has no problem
with killing unborn babies or confiscating the guns from law-abiding
citizens. A true Clinton supporter, to be sure.

We are now at war against terrorism -- not just the terrorists
responsible for the acts of September 11 -- but the *whole* of
terrorism, everywhere. This includes *all* those who condone,
support, and enable terrorists, including this woman! She should
be placed in a special concentration camp for terrorist sympathizers,
deprogrammed, and be forced to listen to Lee Greenwood singing
"I'm Proud To Be An American" all day, every day, for ten years!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4208. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 00:43:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BA538D5.749FF696@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20502

So the hijackers went from plane to plane making sure that the one they chose to hijack the number of passengers could be worked out to the number of 11. The only meaning of 11 is that it is one number after 10 and one number before 12, nothing more. You must watch a lot of those psychic networks.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd
> >From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
> >Date: 9/16/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BA5033E.ABA818D6@mailcity.com>
>
> >If it had happened the day before or the day after someone could
> >come up another set of meaningless numbers. Please explain why
> >you think those numbers are significant.
>
> Now...WITHOUT the "hugeness" of the incident ON September 11th, it would
> have never come to anyone's attention...
>
> However, tie in the numbers of people on the aircraft, the dates, etc etc
> etc, it is very significant...
>
> Now...we need to figure out WHAT the "11th" or "11" by itself means.
>
> I wonder how many "men" sit on the council of the Taliban?
>
> Steve

4209. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 01:33:44 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA552F8.C0@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 20503

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > >
> > >N2EY wrote:
> > >> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work
> > >up a
> > >> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
> > >
> > >I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
> > >sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
> > >what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
>
> Typical, weak-minded, dumbed-down, politically-correct American.
> She's probably some Soccor Mom in a minivan who has no problem
> with killing unborn babies or confiscating the guns from law-abiding
> citizens. A true Clinton supporter, to be sure.
>
> We are now at war against terrorism -- not just the terrorists
> responsible for the acts of September 11 -- but the *whole* of
> terrorism, everywhere. This includes *all* those who condone,
> support, and enable terrorists, including this woman! She should
> be placed in a special concentration camp for terrorist sympathizers,
> deprogrammed, and be forced to listen to Lee Greenwood singing
> "I'm Proud To Be An American" all day, every day, for ten years!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
Naw, all expense paid trip to Kabul for the Soccor Mom.

4210. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 02:52:39 UTC
From: CAM <w6rca@totalzone.com>
Message-ID: <3BA56577.2650E6E9@totalzone.com>
Source record: 20506

JJ wrote:
> Lets see, suppose flight 11 had 103 people on board. Now if I add 10+3= 13 and
> subtract 2 (the number of planes that hit the WTC) I GET 11!! WOW!! must be a
> significant meaning there!! Gee this is fun, can anyone else come up with the
> number 11?

One of the planes was flight 93 or had 93 passengers. '3' in binary is
'11'.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4211. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 04:03:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BA567AA.316B6BD4@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20504

Lets see, suppose flight 11 had 103 people on board. Now if I add 10+3= 13 and subtract 2 (the number of planes that hit the WTC) I GET 11!! WOW!! must be a significant meaning there!! Gee this is fun, can anyone else come up with the number 11?

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd
> >From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
> >Date: 9/16/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BA5033E.ABA818D6@mailcity.com>
>
> >If it had happened the day before or the day after someone could
> >come up another set of meaningless numbers. Please explain why
> >you think those numbers are significant.
>
> Now...WITHOUT the "hugeness" of the incident ON September 11th, it would
> have never come to anyone's attention...
>
> However, tie in the numbers of people on the aircraft, the dates, etc etc
> etc, it is very significant...
>
> Now...we need to figure out WHAT the "11th" or "11" by itself means.
>
> I wonder how many "men" sit on the council of the Taliban?
>
> Steve

4212. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 04:19:47 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <9staqtg9aunif7mr3am8vvbv8m9oqlal2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 20505

On Sun, 16 Sep 2001 20:33:44 -0500, Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>> >
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work
>> >up a
>> >> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
>> >
>> >I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>> >sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>> >what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
>>
>> Typical, weak-minded, dumbed-down, politically-correct American.
>> She's probably some Soccor Mom in a minivan who has no problem
>> with killing unborn babies or confiscating the guns from law-abiding
>> citizens. A true Clinton supporter, to be sure.
>>
>> We are now at war against terrorism -- not just the terrorists
>> responsible for the acts of September 11 -- but the *whole* of
>> terrorism, everywhere. This includes *all* those who condone,
>> support, and enable terrorists, including this woman! She should
>> be placed in a special concentration camp for terrorist sympathizers,
>> deprogrammed, and be forced to listen to Lee Greenwood singing
>> "I'm Proud To Be An American" all day, every day, for ten years!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>Naw, all expense paid trip to Kabul for the Soccor Mom.

Actually (if she's the one I'm thinking of) she's a
consciencious-objector-style pacifist.

You know, I understand Larry's reaction, but I really can't agree with
it. One of the things that sets us apart from the bin Ladens of the
world is the fact that Americans tolerate differences of opinion.
Osama, by contrast, kills people over them. I think Larry and I would
agree that sitting down with bin Laden isn't an option (unless part of
an assassination plan, perhaps). But I disagree that this woman is
"weak-minded", "dumbed down" or a "terrorist sympathizer". She's
simply a pacifist, and a political activist, to boot.

I think her beliefs are unrealistic, but she has a right to them and a right to express them. And I would submit it takes courage for her to do so: she *did* lose her husband to those bastards, remember.

Regards,
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4213. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 10:59:19 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.160fe7cfa1031338989690@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20507

In article <3BA567AA.316B6BD4@mailcity.com>, radiobuff@mailcity.com
says...
> Lets see, suppose flight 11 had 103 people on board. Now if I add 10+3= 13 and
> subtract 2 (the number of planes that hit the WTC) I GET 11!! WOW!! must be a
> significant meaning there!! Gee this is fun, can anyone else come up with the
> number 11?
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > >Subject: Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd
> > >From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
> > >Date: 9/16/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <3BA5033E.ABA818D6@mailcity.com>
> >
> > >If it had happened the day before or the day after someone could
> > >come up another set of meaningless numbers. Please explain why
> > >you think those numbers are significant.
> >
> > Now...WITHOUT the "hugeness" of the incident ON September 11th, it would
> > have never come to anyone's attention...
> >
> > However, tie in the numbers of people on the aircraft, the dates, etc etc
> > etc, it is very significant...
> >
> > Now...we need to figure out WHAT the "11th" or "11" by itself means.
> >
> > I wonder how many "men" sit on the council of the Taliban?
> >
> > Steve
>

If you take the Beatles wacky song #9 and add to that the number of sides
of the record GASPPPPPP 11 !!!!!!!

If you add the total number of toes that LHA has GASP!!!! 11

If you add up all the stories Cecil has for any and every situation
GASP!!!!! 11 !!!!!!

If you rank this thread from 1 to 10, with 10 being the wackiest GASP!!!
it's an 11!!!!!!

4214. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 12:18:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA5E9FC.69CE320D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20509
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Matt wrote:
> A bit of a challenge to all out there. That idiot terrorist - what is
> his name?? Bin Laden?? Sounds like a particularly stupid name to me.
> How about coming up with some different versions of it??

I don't know. "The US has been laden with Bin Laden" seems appropriate.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4215. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 12:50:38 UTC
From: Matt <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <lamp7.18401$c5.144098@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 20508
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

A bit of a challenge to all out there. That idiot terrorist - what is his name?? Bin Laden?? Sounds like a particularly stupid name to me. How about coming up with some different versions of it?? I have a couple to start the ball rolling, Bin Liner (pretty lame, but it is a start), and in the lingo of my workplace, and bin is also known as a shit tin - which to me is a pretty reasonable name for that arsehole. Any takers for the challenge??

Matt

4216. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 16:22:11 UTC
From: Presley Travis <presleytravis@nexet.net>
Message-ID: <3BA62333.AF7E3BD5@nexet.net>
Source record: 20510
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

bin over....we are coming

Matt wrote:

> A bit of a challenge to all out there. That idiot terrorist - what is
> his name?? Bin Laden?? Sounds like a particularly stupid name to me.
> How about coming up with some different versions of it?? I have a
> couple to start the ball rolling, Bin Liner (pretty lame, but it is a
> start), and in the lingo of my workplace, and bin is also known as a
> shit tin - which to me is a pretty reasonable name for that arsehole.
> Any takers for the challenge??
>
> Matt

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4217. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 16:32:56 UTC
From: wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <44b934c9.0109170832.4063564d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20511

>
> I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
> Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
> true :)
>

I had that species of nun too. No mercy if you forgot your homework.
I used to get punished for stuff I didn't even do.

We also had male nuns. "CFX" out of Boston. Ex marine corp drill
sargents.

Many of the teachers just had us read aloud in turn one paragraph out
of the text book, then the next kid would read the next paragraph, etc.
Didn't think you could do anything else in a history class.
I would get bored and read ahead to the end of the chapter, which
wasn't too smart as I'd loose track of where we were when it
was my turn to read aloud my paragraph. I was thus labeled as
a retarded reader and ended up in the "poor" reader group. I had
to attend summer school reading class, which I thought weird in that
the teachers didn't routnely beat the crap out of the kids.

I had a civilian science teacher who didn't know sh_t about
science. Not that it mattered, that material didn't show on
the yearly computer scored achievement tests. They taught to
the tests, so lots of emphasis on grammer but *none* on actual
writing skills. We wrote grammer test patterns.

We called the school "the prison". Some kids actually tried to
set fire to it to burn it down, but failed miserly. Principal
was pissed, hit them with his 15 inch ruler a lot.

We always hoped that unannounced fire drills were the real thing.
(Yes, they used to announce fire drills in advance until someone
in the fire dept cried foul.).

Once I pissed off a male nun. He had me stand in front of the
class and hold out my hand to be whacked by the blackboard
pointer. Mama didn't raise no fool, I pulled back and the
teacher missed and broke it on the floor. No, he didn't make
me pay for a new one, though.

4218. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 16:39:38 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <eHpp7.227$9d.36385@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 20512

"JJ" <radiobuff@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3BA10695.16F5D868@hotmail.com...
>
>
> internet-terminal zz4 wrote:
>
> >
> > Re: being threatened
> >
> >
> >
> > #1, Why do you want to use someone else's identity for your email
> > address?
> >
>
> First of all you are not using his identity. That may be his ham radio
call
> and it applies to ham radio, it is only his call sign as assigned by the
FCC
> to allow him to operate and identity his station on the ham bands, it is
not
> his identity outside the ham bands, and has nothing to do with the
internet
> or anything else.

Automobile license plates. The ham call does serve as an ID for call sign
plate (special services).
It would be interesting if the one ued his ID as a vanity plate and the
other applied for a "special services" call sign plate. (in the same state)

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)
>Ask him if his driver's license has his name or ham call
> on it. Tell him to pound sand and if he keeps hassling you via private
email
> report him to his ISP
>

4219. The president's statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 16:40:08 UTC
From: wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <44b934c9.0109170840.6c1d359b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20513

Fineman, drawing a comparison with Winston Churchill's defiance during World War II, quoted the president as telling the Senators: "When I take action," he said, "I'm not going to fire a $2 million missile at a $10 empty tent and hit a camel in the butt. It's going to be decisive."

4220. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 17:41:21 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA635C1.D519D44E@uci.edu>
Source record: 20514

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Is this your proof that "virtually everyone is able to pass a 5WPM code
> > test? One needn't limit himself to a Tech license in order to be "happy
> > with VHF/UHF".

> Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
> bother to upgrade?

Oh, come on. Maybe they want a shorter callsign, or they want to study
more about amateur radio, or they don't want to have restricted
privileges, or they want to be able to administer FCC exams, or they
want to just 'get it out of the way', or they want their license class
to reflect their knowlege of amateur radio.....

I know lots of techs who won't upgrade even after
> the Morse code requirement is dropped.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4221. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 18:36:01 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010917143601.15916.00000863@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20516

>What are the odds...?!?!

Ask a Las Vegas sports book!

4222. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 18:36:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gjtcnb0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20515

On Mon, 17 Sep 2001 16:39:38 GMT, Roger Halstead wrote:

>It would be interesting if the one ued his ID as a vanity plate and the
>other applied for a "special services" call sign plate. (in the same state)

I can't vouch for other states, but in California DMV will not issue
a ham call plate unless the applicant can show that s/he or another
registered owner of the vehicle holds that ham call, and will not
issue a "vanity"/"environmental"/"personalized" plate that has the same
format as a ham call, even to the ham involved. They will issue a
"personalized" plate that has the ham call followed by a numeral to
the ham holding that call sign for a second vehicle.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4223. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 19:05:03 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010917150503.15916.00000865@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20517

The number 11 could be a good number on a crap table WOW What are the odds?

The is a hotel called NYNY.

NYNY as a rollar coaster and Geroge Segal stared in a Movie Called Roller Coaster about a terrorist blowing up rollare coasters and there are 11 letters in George Segal.

Wayne Newton = 11 leters, and he is called MR. Las Vegas

A ten and ace can be 11 or 21 on a black jack table. WOW!

The 11 largest casinos in Las vegas are on the strip! Mandalay Bay, MGM, Luxor, Excaliber, New York New York, Venice, Mirrage, Treasure Island, Ballagio, Monte Carlo, Paris,And they have 11 of the largest buffets and also 11 of the largest parking lots, and 11 swimming pools,
And they are in a desert.

Lets not forget CBS news, NBC news, ABC news, And FOX news, Have new offices in New York, Take those Four Net works add the number of letters each of their names 4+7=11

4224. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 19:38:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9o5jf1$gu0$0@208.206.142.98>
Source record: 20518
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

CAM wrote:
>
> Matt wrote:
> > A bit of a challenge to all out there. That idiot terrorist - what is
> > his name?? Bin Laden?? Sounds like a particularly stupid name to me.
> > How about coming up with some different versions of it??
>
> I don't know. "The US has been laden with Bin Laden" seems appropriate.

Seems "Has-been" Laden will soon be appropriate.

4225. Re: NY World Trade Center Terrorist Attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 19:45:08 UTC
From: "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <8psp7.506597$T97.65763786@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 20519
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ok. I think this thread has gone on long enough. It really doesn't
have anything to do with Amateur Radio, nor the use of Amateur Radio.
I am not going to say that I don't agree with some of the people here,
but it would be better for us as Amateur Radio Operators, to show the true
spirit of our hobby by helping out with communications in any way that we
can.
I personally, have been helping out with the ARRL's National Traffic
System, but at this time, it appears that all communications have been
restored to the area. I was hoping to hear from people close to the
disaster, who could tell their own personal stories on the use of Amateur
Radio in the rescue efforts and with the survivors.

Guy P. Distaffen

KB0SWS

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tpsbs6l6v2kc7b@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anybody know if there is any communication net setup to deal with the
> terrorist attack this morning?
>
> Here in Detroit the tunnel under the river, and the Bluewater bridge over
> the river, both connecting to Windsor Canada is now closed down. So is
> Detroit Metro airport. The only tall building we have is the Renascence
> Center, which has been closed as well.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
>

4226. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 20:22:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA65B7D.77DB8596@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20521

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Oh, come on. Maybe they want a shorter callsign,

Or maybe they don't. What's wrong with a 1x3?

>or they want to study more about amateur radio,

Don't need a higher license for that.

> or they don't want to have restricted privileges,

All amateur privileges above 30MHz may not seem restricted to them.

> or they want to be able to administer FCC exams,

Or maybe they don't. Lots of extras don't.

> or they
> want to just 'get it out of the way', or they want their license class
> to reflect their knowlege of amateur radio.....

A mentally healthy person's ego is not tied up in his/her ham license.
A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4227. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 20:28:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA65CE2.6B0C4138@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20522

Roger Halstead wrote:
> It would be interesting if the one ued his ID as a vanity plate and the
> other applied for a "special services" call sign plate. (in the same state)

Some ham in some state did exactly that with his two vehicles.
I don't remember the details.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4228. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 20:53:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109171253.4816c5a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20520

wa2ise@ix.netcom.com (WA2ISE) wrote in message
news:<44b934c9.0109170832.4063564d@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
> > Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
> > true :)
> >
>
> I had that species of nun too. No mercy if you forgot your homework.
> I used to get punished for stuff I didn't even do.

IHM nuns had them beat. They would punish you for things you might be
thinking of doing.

I remember nuns who used to interrogate every kid who had been out
sick. Did the kid stay in bed all day? Did the kid have a fever? Did
the kid throw up, watch TV, eat regular food, etc., etc.? A wrong
answer would result in a beating or a long tirade about faking and
lying. Sick kids would drag themselves to school and spread their
disease through the class.
>
> We also had male nuns. "CFX" out of Boston. Ex marine corp drill
> sargents.

You mean brothers? We only had them in high school.
>
> Many of the teachers just had us read aloud in turn one paragraph out
> of the text book, then the next kid would read the next paragraph, etc.
> Didn't think you could do anything else in a history class.
> I would get bored and read ahead to the end of the chapter, which
> wasn't too smart as I'd loose track of where we were when it
> was my turn to read aloud my paragraph.

I had nuns who did this EVERY day for EVERY subject. They would call
out a kid's name and that kid would have to read until another kid's
name was called - often in mid-sentence. Any kid who wasn't ready to
go on the next word got a beating. "Excellent teachers"? "Instilling
discipline and values"? Pure BS.

Seeing as how I read several grade levels above my age, the above
"teaching method" was pure torture.

> I was thus labeled as
> a retarded reader and ended up in the "poor" reader group. I had
> to attend summer school reading class, which I thought weird in that
> the teachers didn't routnely beat the crap out of the kids.

Weird, wasn't it?
>
> I had a civilian science teacher who didn't know sh_t about
> science. Not that it mattered, that material didn't show on
> the yearly computer scored achievement tests. They taught to
> the tests, so lots of emphasis on grammer but *none* on actual
> writing skills. We wrote grammer test patterns.

They added science to our curriculum in the early '60s as a result of Sputnik. Same sort of joke. One day in 4th grade the teacher caught me reading a non-textbook. It was an electricity book, open to a page on polyphase induction motors. Which I tried to explain, with predictable results.
>
> We called the school "the prison". Some kids actually tried to
> set fire to it to burn it down, but failed miserly. Principal
> was pissed, hit them with his 15 inch ruler a lot.

We were told that any vandalism to school property would send us to hell.
>
> We always hoped that unannounced fire drills were the real thing.
> (Yes, they used to announce fire drills in advance until someone
> in the fire dept cried foul.).
>
Chanting "Burn, baby, burn" didn't endear us to the nuns, either.

> Once I pissed off a male nun. He had me stand in front of the
> class and hold out my hand to be whacked by the blackboard
> pointer. Mama didn't raise no fool, I pulled back and the
> teacher missed and broke it on the floor. No, he didn't make
> me pay for a new one, though.

IHM nuns would have made you buy TWO new ones, then beat you with both of them. Once for the initial offense and once for pulling your hand away.

Being of Italian descent did not help in a place where the nuns and priests were overwhelmingly Irish, either.

--

I thoroughly enjoyed John Gregory Dunne's "True Confessions", too. One of my favorite lines: "Sister, I hope all of your sons grow up to be Jesuits."

73 de Jim, N2EY

4229. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 23:09:32 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA682AC.571DA277@uci.edu>
Source record: 20524

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Oh, come on. Maybe they want a shorter callsign,
>
> Or maybe they don't. What's wrong with a 1x3?
>
> >or they want to study more about amateur radio,
>
> Don't need a higher license for that.
>
> > or they don't want to have restricted privileges,
>
> All amateur privileges above 30MHz may not seem restricted to them.
>
> > or they want to be able to administer FCC exams,
>
> Or maybe they don't. Lots of extras don't.

> > or they
> > want to just 'get it out of the way', or they want their license class
> > to reflect their knowlege of amateur radio.....

> A mentally healthy person's ego is not tied up in his/her ham license.
> A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.

You asked why anyone who was satisfied with UHF/VHF would upgrade, and I
gave you some perfectly valid reasons why they might. Disagree all you
like, but you are arguing against the truth.

4230. Re: being threatened

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-17 23:10:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rpvp7.24349$Uf1.2171989@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20523

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BA65CE2.6B0C4138@IEEE.org...
> Roger Halstead wrote:
> > It would be interesting if the one ued his ID as a vanity plate and the
> > other applied for a "special services" call sign plate. (in the same
state)
>
> Some ham in some state did exactly that with his two vehicles.
> I don't remember the details.
> --
> cheers, CAM

Every state I ever lived in allowed the same plate on all the Amateur's
cars. Minnesota puts a little number on multiple plates. In Wisconsin, it
was the only case where the same plate could be on multiple cars; drove the
gendarmes nuts but they had to have a little book to keep track of all the
goofy plates anyway.

One good thing about a is that the ham plate is the only place you will
see it; no vanity plates, no airplanes. It drives the gendarmes banannas as
well when you aren't in zeroland.

Dick N BK

4231. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 01:39:50 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GBxp7.29048$KV3.2418482@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

I wonder if the jerk who posted the inital post of this thread thought to
ask how many hams are missing or known dead responding to this event?
N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:oit2qtseail0od770lk4fkjh8rf5lc8dcd@4ax.com...
> On 12 Sep 2001 22:53:04 GMT, Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> >phone calls from airliners.
> >
> >How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> >debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
> >
> >In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> >which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> >tragedy.
> >
> >So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> >evidence that your hobby was ever needed.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/09/13/4/?nc=1
>
> Amateur Radio Volunteers Rising to the Occasion
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Sep 13, 2001--Some already are calling it "Amateur
> Radio's Finest Hour," as volunteers answer the call to assist in
> ongoing relief and recovery operations in New York City, Washington,
> DC, and western Pennsylvania. The need continues for operators to
> assist over the long haul, however. Current estimates suggest hams may
> be needed for a month or longer in the New York City area, and for at
> least the next two weeks in Washington, DC.
>
> Amateurs Continue American Red Cross Support in Manhattan
>
> New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba,
> KA2D, reports that, as of this morning, hams were supporting emergency
> officials and the American Red Cross relief and recovery effort.
> Amateurs were staffing a dozen Red Cross shelters in addition to one
> staging/National Disaster Medical System center, various Red Cross
> units, and the Greater New York City American Red Cross Headquarters
> as well as the New York City Office of Emergency Management.
>

> Carrubba says the telephone system in lower Manhattan continues to be
> problematic because of the high call volume. "American Red Cross
> communications are overloaded, and traffic from the shelters is coming
> into the New York City net at a rapid pace," he said. "The Amateur
> Radio ops are doing a great job under very difficult and strange
> conditions, but this is what they have trained for; they are getting
> it done well."
>
> Red Cross Communications Officer Jay Ferron, N4GAA, agreed. "As of
> today, more than 90 hams have been involved in the operation," said
> Ferron, who's on the scene in lower Manhattan. "The Amateur Radio
> community has come out very big and very strong." Ferron said local
> clubs and repeater groups have volunteered gear, frequencies and
> operators. "We've had responses from as far away Philadelphia and
> Maine, as well as Rhode Island, New Jersey."
>
> More than a dozen hams from Long Island's Suffolk County Amateur Radio
> Emergency Service have been deployed to New York City. Suffolk County
> District Emergency Coordinator and RACES Officer Bill Scheibel, N2NFI,
> says the Long Island hams will support the New York City ARES team in
> lower Manhattan. "We are still working on our rosters in anticipation
> of further need as we go forward," Scheibel said today. He said some
> of the hams already on-site have told him they'd be willing to rotate
> back to Manhattan for additional duty after a break at home.
>
> At present, Carrubba is seeking volunteers from the Greater New York
> City region--Northern New Jersey, Eastern New York and possibly
> Connecticut. "We have had many offers from out-of-state," he said. "I
> regretfully declined, as there are no provisions to house them, entry
> into NYC is difficult, and there's nowhere to park." Several
> out-of-area volunteers are on hold for the moment. Carrubba asked
> out-of-state volunteers to "stand by until we can provide for your
> safety and comfort."
>
> Prospective volunteers should be equipped with 2-meter H-Ts, extra
> batteries and portable antennas to handle daytime and overnight
> communicator shifts or to assist with shelter operations and other
> duties. Contact Tom Carrubba, KA2D, via e-mail, ka2d@arrl.net.
>
> The N2ARC Princeton, New Jersey, Red Cross station suspended operation
> September 13. Gerry Jurrens, N2GJ, thanked all who contacted him to
> volunteer. He said the station will be reactivated, if requested by
> the American Red Cross.
>
> Amateurs Muster at Pentagon to Assist Salvation Army
>
> At the scene of the Pentagon attack near Washington, DC, Northern
> Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Gregory, N4NW, reports an
> "upbeat" crew of about two dozen amateurs is staffing four Amateur
> Radio stations in the immediate vicinity of the Pentagon.

>
> "What shocked me the most was the devastation you can see right there,
> 100 feet from the building," Gregory said. "The destruction is total."
>
> The ARES operation is providing logistical support between the
> Salvation Army's relief and recovery effort on site and the agency's
> Arlington headquarters. The Salvation Army has been providing food and
> refreshments to the crews engaged in the Pentagon investigation and
> recovery operations.
>
> Gregory reports three of the stations on the Pentagon's outer
> perimeter--one near the main Salvation Army assembly point--and one in
> the Pentagon "courtyard." A repeater net has been established on the
> Alexandria 145.15 MHz repeater for the four canteen units and a net
> control station at the Salvation Army headquarters. Chuck Rexroad,
> N4HCP, is coordinating volunteer staffing for the team.
>
> Some 60 pieces of traffic were passed yesterday, Gregory said. "What
> we're finding is that communication is very difficult because of the
> tremendous amount of noise from the construction-type equipment and
> the generators providing power for the lights and support staff," he
> said. Because of the noise level, he and Rexroad opted to rotate
> operators in and out of the immediate vicinity of the attack as
> frequently as possible.
>
> "There's the emotion of it, and there's the tremendous amount of
> noise, and it's very grating on you because you can hardly hear the
> radio to communicate," Gregory explained. In addition, the cellular
> telephone network has been swamped, and, because the Pentagon remains
> open, there's a lot of other RF in the vicinity to complicate matters
> further.
>
> Gregory described the entire area as "very crowded with people" inside
> and outside the Pentagon. "People and equipment cleaning up, finding
> bodies, finding plane parts, firefighters still checking for hot
> spots, hoses, equipment," he said. "The damage to the building looks
> worse when you are right next to it than it does on TV."
>
> The site is fully secured, and soldiers armed with M-16s and police
> control entry to the fenced-in compound. A temporary road has been
> constructed from Washington Blvd extending several hundred feet to the
> hole in the building in order to move heavy equipment.
>
> "I found that it took me a few minutes to realize the gravity of what
> was going on and the importance of what we hams are doing in our own
> small way to help out," Gregory said. "The devastation of that
> building is awesome, and it puts things in perspective and it
> certainly made me proud to be an Amateur Radio operator and serve the
> people of the United States by offering this support."
>

> Gregory said the Pentagon ARES operation continues to seek volunteers
> with VHF hand-held transceivers. "Because of the immensity of the
> thing, we're trying to have six amateurs on duty at all times," he
> said. "We need 20 volunteers every day for at least two weeks."
>
> Volunteers should e-mail Tom Gregory, N4NW, at n4nw@arrl.net. He asked
> operators to indicate their present location and transportation
> arrangements, equipment type, and time and dates available.
>
> Gregory said he anticipates that the Pentagon ARES operation might be
> asked to also support the similar Red Cross effort in the capital.
>
> "Fourth" Crash Site Support Continues in Western Pennsylvania
>
> Meanwhile, at the so-called "fourth" plane crash site in rural
> Somerset County western Pennsylvania, Kevin Custer, W3KKC, reports a
> busy scene as the investigation continues. Custer arranged preliminary
> repeater communication into and out of the crash site on Tuesday to
> help the Red Cross, Salvation Army, Pennsylvania State Police, the FBI
> and other state and federal agencies on the scene.
>
> "At this time we are preparing for the possibility of family members
> coming to the crash site--or close by," he said. "I have
> communications in place for hand-held coverage of the crash site to
> our local emergency operations center and three surrounding counties."
> Eric Hegerle, N3VOC, of the Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio
> Network reports SATERN was using 146.610, 146.835, and 145.390 MHz
> linked repeaters for communication between Pittsburgh and the crash
> site.
>
> "Things have calmed down since the FBI has taken over site and has
> secured it as a crime scene," Custer reported. This place has
> literally turned into a small city.
>
> He said federal investigators continue to search for the flight data
> recorder--the so-called "black box"--as well as any evidence of what
> happened. He said the investigation could continue for several weeks.
> Jonathan Williams, N0YM
> remove "x's" from email to reply

4232. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 01:50:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BA6A87B.6B58DD88@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 20408

N2EY wrote:

> wa2ise@ix.netcom.com (WA2ISE) wrote in message >
> > We also had male nuns. "CFX" out of Boston. Ex marine corp drill
> > sargents.
>
> You mean brothers? We only had them in high school.

They found their way into grammer schools in NJ. One was
making a big deal about his title or degree or whatever it's
called, the "CFX" appended to his name "Brother xxx, CFX".
My father said big whoop, it's just a 6 month program to
complete.

>
> > Many of the teachers just had us read aloud in turn one paragraph out
> > of the text book, then the next kid would read the next paragraph, etc.
> > Didn't think you could do anything else in a history class.
> > I would get bored and read ahead to the end of the chapter, which
> > wasn't too smart as I'd loose track of where we were when it
> > was my turn to read aloud my paragraph.
>
> I had nuns who did this EVERY day for EVERY subject. They would call
> out a kid's name and that kid would have to read until another kid's
> name was called - often in mid-sentence. Any kid who wasn't ready to
> go on the next word got a beating. "Excellent teachers"? "Instilling
> discipline and values"? Pure BS.

Well, they missed the mark on that one on me for sure. The "real"
message I copied was "People in positions of authority cannot
be trusted, they will abuse you".

I remember in reading class a story about a kid who somehow
got an apple stuck in his pants pocket. He was afraid that
his mom would be pissed. And that the kindly nun teacher
came to the rescue with a solution: cut the apple apart and
remove individual slices. Of course the real solution would
be to unzip the pants and lower them to loosen the pocket,
but you can't do that in Catholic school. Anyway, I thought
the story unrealistic in that I'd fear the nun a lot more than
my mom.

4233. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 02:16:30 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16104e5484a8c07b98977b@news>
Source record: 20526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

In article <GBxp7.29048$KV3.2418482@bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, powell.brett@worldnet.att.net says...
> I wonder if the jerk who posted the inital post of this thread thought to
> ask how many hams are missing or known dead responding to this event?

I'm sure you mean well but no hams should have been going on site to
respond to the event if it were merely to go and be a ham.

4234. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 03:07:54 UTC
From: Spurious@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns911FCCE604B41Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 20527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

>> I wonder if the jerk who posted the inital post of this thread thought
>> to ask how many hams are missing or known dead responding to this
>> event?

>I'm sure you mean well but no hams should have been going on site to
>respond to the event if it were merely to go and be a ham.

Last I heard from the ARRL, 4 hams are known dead, three worked in the
Center and one was a police officer responding to the scene.

Ed

4235. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:09:18 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.161068be41730b0398977d@news>
Source record: 20528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

In article <Xns911FCCE604B41Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>,
Spurious@StarBand.net says...
>
> >> I wonder if the jerk who posted the inital post of this thread thought
> >> to ask how many hams are missing or known dead responding to this
> >> event?
>
> >I'm sure you mean well but no hams should have been going on site to
> >respond to the event if it were merely to go and be a ham.
>
>
> Last I heard from the ARRL, 4 hams are known dead, three worked in the
> Center and one was a police officer responding to the scene.
>

Yes Ed Many people who were killed were probably hams, and stamp
collectors and Snow skiers.....

.....but a ham should not have been at the WTC site responding as a
ham.

4236. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:43:57 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918004357.12383.00001096@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20529

>Most states in the region, and the rest of the world are not too keen on
>the US taking it upon themselves to remove the leaders of soverign
>countries. Leaves a real nasty in their mouthes.

At this point in time, the US could do anything at all and there would be very few countries complaining about it. Over the next few years there will be cells of terrorist that will just disapear. No one will be able to prove what happened to them, and most people will not care. They will just be gone. One thing we do not want is putting them on trial, that would lead to more terrorist attacks.

4237. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:46:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010918004609.07541.00000680@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 20531

In article <9np82o$27t$0@208.206.142.98>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > If I believed that your approach had any better chance of succeeding
>> > than mine, I would support yours.
>>
>> Since it appears that the FCC will adopt Ed's approach,
>
>
>You're kidding, right? FCC couldn't car less, much less support anyone's
>"position".

Dick:

Precisely. The FCC made it clear that they would abide by a
consensus of the amateur community. The best source for such a
consensus would have been the advice of the national organization
of U.S. radio amateurs -- the ARRL. If the ARRL had resolutely
supported the status quo in code testing, we'd still have it, and
always would. The ARRL leadership took the gamble on allowing
the FCC to have it's way, without going to the boards to preserve
the status quo in code testing, in order to hopefully secure it's
future with growing numbers of new hams and potential members.
Now, almost a year and a half into Restructuring, we're seeing the
lie put to this notion. The ARRL has appeased the lowest common
denominator, and they have responded with their usual apathy and
inaction.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4238. A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:46:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010918004611.07541.00000681@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 20532

In article <20010912085127.23725.00000016@mb-fq.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>Your milquetoast approach of coddling them into an "understanding" that
>>it is well, a "fun" thing to do, not really of any use, but novel, like
>>horseback riding is clearly accomplishing what you accuse us of doing.
>
>When the FCC eliminates code testing altogether, what else are we going to
>have to encourage people into the mode?

Ed:

Just as Dick said, a "milquetoast approach of coddling them into an 'understanding' that it is well, a 'fun' thing to do…" There certainly will no
longer be the incentive of increased operating privileges.

>Unfortunately, by then, we will have to
>take back all of the things you have said about no one being willing to learn
>it unless forced.

That would be true only if people had ever actually *been* "forced" to learn the code. It was always a voluntary, elective option; there was never any "force" applied whatsoever. Being a licensed radio amateur, or a licensed radio amateur of any particular privilege level, has never been a life-or-death decision for anyone, therefore, no "force" was used to compel people to become licensed radio amateurs. This "force" is merely the sniveling, childish whine of the lazy, unmotivated NCTA's. Naturally, due to your job requirement to be "politically correct" WRT code testing requirements, you must embrace this concept of "force." We understand, and will never say that you aren't a totally obedient, line-towing "company man" of the ARRL HQ staff. In fairness to you, if I worked there, I'm sure I'd have to be one myself.

>Do you believe that if there never was a code test, the
>words you are saying now would help people get into the mode?

If there never was a code test, people would have stopped using CW completely several decades ago. At the very best, there may be a small handful of CW users, but they would not have any exclusive subbands, and would have to operate amid high-power phone QRM.

The testing requirement is what made the CW mode as popular as it is today. In the absence of the testing requirement, it's popularity will eventually subside, and it's use will taper off to eventual nonexistence.

If YOU think that newcomers can be brought into the CW mode in large numbers by the enthusiastic promotion of current users, then why don't YOU show us how it's done? And don't turn this back on me, or Dick, or any other PCTA, because by definition, we don't think it's possible. NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4239. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:46:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010918004612.07541.00000682@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 20530

In article <9staqtg9aunif7mr3am8vvbv8m9oqlal2f@4ax.com>, N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:

>
>I think her beliefs are unrealistic, but she has a right to them and a
>right to express them. And I would submit it takes courage for her to
>do so: she *did* lose her husband to those bastards, remember.

Jonathan:

So, the first thing that comes to her mind is appeasement, and you apparently agree with her! Sure she has a right to express her beliefs, but we are now in a state of War against terrorism; and her "beliefs" are tantamount to treason in a time of war. This kind of talk only disgraces the memory of her slain husband -- but of course, she doesn't see it that way. He was probably a dumbed-down, politically-correct pacifist as well, Soccer-Dad status notwithstanding.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4240. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:55:48 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918005548.12383.00001098@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20533

>The end result we want is for the responsible
>criminals to be brought to justice, for our alliances with friendly countries

I would be to dangerous to put them on trial. We need to send teams in to make
the terrorist cells vanish with out a trace.

>and for countries like Afghanistan and Libya to stop
>sheltering terrorists. The terrorist organizations have to be destroyed, not
>just a few leaders whose names have become infamous.
>

This is done buy dealing with host countries as enamies. Under international
the US has the right to wage war on countries the host terrorist.

4241. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 04:57:20 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918005720.12383.00001099@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20534

>She wants us to
>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
>--

He does not want to stop terrorism. He wants non muslin countries to be
destroyed.

4242. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 05:49:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8gBp7.29430$KV3.2446341@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20535

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010918004612.07541.00000682@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <9staqtg9aunif7mr3am8vvbv8m9oqlal2f@4ax.com>, N0YM
> <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:
>
> >
> > >I think her beliefs are unrealistic, but she has a right to them and a
> > >right to express them. And I would submit it takes courage for her to
> > >do so: she *did* lose her husband to those bastards, remember.
>
> Jonathan:
>
> So, the first thing that comes to her mind is appeasement, and
> you apparently agree with her! Sure she has a right to express her
> beliefs, but we are now in a state of War against terrorism; and her
> "beliefs" are tantamount to treason in a time of war. This kind of
> talk only disgraces the memory of her slain husband -- but of course,
> she doesn't see it that way. He was probably a dumbed-down,
> politically-correct pacifist as well, Soccer-Dad status notwithstanding.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Maybe it is a generational thing, Larry. I know it stirred up a lot of flashbacks in me and I didn't do the Nam personally; my military career is parallel to Dick Cheney's. I think her question and mine is whether we really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we will not in the long run of things make things worse.

Nothing politically correct about it. Just a generation that lost 56k dead and a lot more messed up when we had no idea what it would look like if we won. Put your Dymo label-maker back in your pocket.

Dick NBK

4243. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 06:00:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA6E301.16E887CC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20536

Jim Kelley wrote:
> You asked why anyone who was satisfied with UHF/VHF would upgrade, and I
> gave you some perfectly valid reasons why they might. Disagree all you
> like, but you are arguing against the truth.

Nope, you gave me some reasons why someone who is not 100% satisfied
would upgrade. If someone is "100% satisfied" (the original statement)
then there is no reason for that someone to upgrade.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4244. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 06:21:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA6E7D5.D8C542E8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20537

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> That would be true only if people had ever actually *been* "forced" to learn
> the code. It was always a voluntary, elective option; there was never any
> "force" applied whatsoever.

All hams are required by circumstances, i.e. forced, to meet the FCC
requirements. You, yourself, posted a definition of forced = required.
That means that all present HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code
exam.

> ... therefore, no "force" was used to compel people to become licensed
> radio amateurs.

That's true. But force was used to compel amateur radio operators to
meet the FCC requirements. Operating an amateur radio station without
meeting the FCC requirements is illegal just like driving a vehicle
without a driver's license is illegal. People who obtain the privileges
granted by the government are forced to meet the governmental
requirements.
The cause of the privileges is the meeting of the requirements which are
NOT optional.

> If there never was a code test, people would have stopped using CW
> completely several decades ago.

That might be true for you, Larry, but it would not be true for me.
The only reason I got a Conditional license was to be able to
continue using CW.

> NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.

Be patient, Larry. It is impossible to prove right now but it should
be possible to prove it in a few years.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4245. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 10:15:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918061530.10650.00000509@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20539

In article <3BA65B7D.77DB8596@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Oh, come on. Maybe they want a shorter callsign,
>
>Or maybe they don't. What's wrong with a 1x3?

It's longer than a 1X2 or a 2X1.
>
>>or they want to study more about amateur radio,
>
>Don't need a higher license for that.

But it helps.
>
>> or they don't want to have restricted privileges,
>
>All amateur privileges above 30MHz may not seem restricted to them.

Or they might. Or they might want to be ready for any eventuality.

One reason I got my Extra way back when (1970) was so I would be able to
operate any authorized ham rig/mode/frequency. Many hams think that way.
>
>> or they want to be able to administer FCC exams,
>
>Or maybe they don't. Lots of extras don't.

Lots want to.
>
>> or they
>> want to just 'get it out of the way', or they want their license class
>> to reflect their knowlege of amateur radio.....
>
>A mentally healthy person's ego is not tied up in his/her ham license.

Sure it is. It's just not overly tied up with it. License class is just one
data point, as it were.

>A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.

Then why are the numbers of Extras growing and the number of Techs decreasing?
See

http://www.ah0a.org

and note that the number of Techs peaked some months back and is now
decreasing. (The number given is actually the sum of all current Techs, "Techs

with HF" and Tech Pluses in the FCC database).

73 de Jim, N2EY

4246. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 10:15:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918061531.10650.00000511@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20538

In article <3BA2D64C.51AE8A55@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BA189EA.DBF5C7BC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> Waddya think?
>> >
>> >Another possible reason to keep Saddam in power is that he
>> >might have been replaced by a greater evil as happened in
>> >Iran after the Shah.
>>
>> Nope. Doesn't fit. If Saddam had been driven out, the alliance would have
>made
>> sure that somebody better got the job.
>
>The Shah was better than the guy who replaced him.

"Better" in what way? That he was more friendly to the West?

The people of Iran didn't think the shah was better. That's why they tossed him out. They were radicalized against the West in general and the USA in particular by the shah's repressive regime.

> A similar thing
>could have happened to the Iraq alliance puppet.

If he behaved like the shah did surely.

>IMO, they left Saddam
>in power because they didn't want another Iran.

And the result is that we have a continuing military presence in the region, and specifically in Saudi Arabia. Iraqis continue to suffer under Saddam's repressive regime. Our military presence has radicalized folks like bin Laden against the USA.

All in the name of cheap oil.

>How do you spell the
>guy's name who took over Iran after the fall of the Shah?
>--
You're the one with the reference books, Cecil, you go look it up.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4247. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 10:15:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918061531.10650.00000510@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20540

In article <20010916203044.13555.00000402@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> It will probably take some time for our govenrment and its allies to work
>>up a
>>> plan. We must be patient while that process is going on.
>>
>>I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
>
>Typical, weak-minded, dumbed-down, politically-correct American.

Why? Because she wants to know why her husband was killed?

>She's probably some Soccor Mom in a minivan who has no problem
>with killing unborn babies or confiscating the guns from law-abiding
>citizens. A true Clinton supporter, to be sure.

I guess that means she doesn't have freedom of speech anymore, huh? Guilty of wrongthink because she wants to know the reasons behind terrorism.
>
>We are now at war against terrorism -- not just the terrorists
>responsible for the acts of September 11 -- but the *whole* of
>terrorism, everywhere.

If so, don't the relatives of those who have fallen have the right to know what the battles are for?

>This includes *all* those who condone,
>support, and enable terrorists, including this woman!

Bull. She didn't say the terrorists were right. She didn't say they should get what they want. All she did was ask what they want. Is asking such questions wrong?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4248. Re: fill-in questions (was:New Blood Yea Right)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 12:03:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918080307.19673.00000450@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20541

In article <588f0cd2d7539893982548674dd9e821.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>
>> I think that at a transmit rate of about 45 wpm, the statement that the
>> throughput would approach that of voice is exactly correct. Even at a
>slower
>> rate, the throughput approaches what I would consider to be
>"conversational"
>> speed.
>
>To get an "apples-to-apples" comparison, I dug out our club (W0EF) Field
>Day logs for the past 6 years. The information exchanged on voice is
>identical to that exchanged on CW in this contest, and operation is
>conducted in both modes at the same time, so there is no propagation
>difference.

Maybe. How are the band/modes selected? For example, can 20 CW and 20 SSB operate simultaneously without interference from each other, or is the same setup used for both modes?
>
>We are blessed with several "competition class" voice and CW operators who
>know how to run a frequency (N0AT, K0AD, K0QB, K0TK, just to name a few).

That's good. Were the topnotch folks at the mike/key for the "best hour"?

>I compared the "best hour" of the CW tent vs. the "best hour" of the
>voice tent for each year. On average, the ratio of voice to CW contacts
>was 3.21:1 over a span of 6 years. The lowest ratio was 2.46:1 (1995) and
>the highest was 3.93:1 (1997).

OK, fine. Were the stations used for that "best hour" comparable?

How did the contact totals work out?
>
>This is admittedly a small sample, but since you have access to many
>more FD logs at HQ, you might randomly select the logs of a few high
>scoring clubs and make a similar comparison.

Good idea.
>
>You might also compare the "best hour" voice vs. CW of a topnotch
>SS station like K5ZD, K3LR, K6LL, or W0SD. I'll bet you'll find
>similar ratios, although they may be skewed because voice and CW
>weekends are two weeks apart and may have different propagation

>patterns.

True - both ways.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4249. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 13:37:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA74E19.2242116C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20542

N2EY wrote:
> >How do you spell the
> >guy's name who took over Iran after the fall of the Shah?

> You're the one with the reference books, Cecil, you go look it up.

I tried but I can't come close enough to the spelling to even
look it up. Allatolla? Kohmeini?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4250. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 13:55:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA7526D.9764090E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20543

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >What's wrong with a 1x3?
>
> It's longer than a 1X2 or a 2X1.

Personally, 2x1 calls don't sound like real ham calls to me.
Calls that start with an 'A' or 'N' don't sound like real
ham calls to me. Personally, I would much prefer any 1x3
call starting with a 'W' to a 2x1 call starting with an 'A'
or 'N'.

> >>or they want to study more about amateur radio,
> >
> >Don't need a higher license for that.
>
> But it helps.

A higher license helps one *study* more about amateur radio????
Shirley, you jest. Why are techs handicapped or prohibited from
studying?

> One reason I got my Extra way back when (1970) was so I would be able to
> operate any authorized ham rig/mode/frequency. Many hams think that way.

We're not discussing hams who think like you, Jim. We are discussing
hams
who are 100% satisfied with a tech license. Please pay attention to the
context.

> >> or they want to be able to administer FCC exams,
> >
> >Or maybe they don't. Lots of extras don't.
>
> Lots want to.

We're not discussing hams who think like you, Jim. We are discussing
hams
who are 100% satisfied with a tech license. Please pay attention to the
context.

> >A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.
>
> Then why are the numbers of Extras growing and the number of Techs decreasing?

We're not discussing hams who think like you, Jim. We are discussing
hams
who are 100% satisfied with a tech license. Please pay attention to the
context.

> and note that the number of Techs peaked some months back and is now
> decreasing. (The number given is actually the sum of all current Techs, "Techs
> with HF" and Tech Pluses in the FCC database).

Please pay attention to the context, Jim. We are discussing hams who are
100% satisfied with their tech license. Are there hams who are 100%
satisfied with a tech license? The answer is yes. I know a lot of them.
Your arguments are irrelevant when referenced to the present subject
which
is the set of hams who are 100% satisfied with a tech license.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4251. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 14:48:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9o7ms8$e8v$0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 20544

Richard McCollum wrote:

I think her question and mine is whether we
> really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we will not
> in the long run of things make things worse.

I have no doubt that things must get worse in at least some ways before they get better. But it is more than clear that Bin Laden & co. have as their agenda the same idea that Hitler had- Wipe out the opposition to our agenda, worldwide, and strike the biggest threats first and hardest.

>
> Nothing politically correct about it. Just a generation that lost 56k dead
> and a lot more messed up when we had no idea what it would look like if we
> won. Put your Dymo label-maker back in your pocket.

Your (and my) generation had LBJ and his own agenda to deal with. Make no mistake, that was LBJ's war, to "fight" as he saw fit. And for us to lose for him. Once he realized what it was that he had done, he was powerless to do anything effective to correct it, and he died steeped in his own selfrecriminations.

4252. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 15:00:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109180700.57467e51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20545

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010918004609.07541.00000680@nso-md.aol.com>...
> In article <9np82o$27t$0@208.206.142.98>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >CAM wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > If I believed that your approach had any better chance of succeeding
> >> > than mine, I would support yours.
> >>
> >> Since it appears that the FCC will adopt Ed's approach,
> >>
> >>
> >You're kidding, right? FCC couldn't car less, much less support anyone's
> >"position".

FCC seems to be supporting the "less admin work for FCC" position
pretty strongly nowadays.
>
> Dick:
>
> Precisely. The FCC made it clear that they would abide by a
> consensus of the amateur community.

Not exactly.

For several years before restructuring, the FCC said they weren't
going to change anything unless there was a consensus in the amateur
community about what to change and what to keep.

Then they tossed that policy in the junkheap and started the
restructuring process. FCC wasn't going to wait for a consensus
anymore.

> The best source for such a
> consensus would have been the advice of the national organization
> of U.S. radio amateurs -- the ARRL.

For decades, ARRL membership has hovered at about 1 in 3 US hams,
based on the FCC license numbers. Considering the fact that some hams
are totally inactive, an educated guess would put ARRL membership at
about 1 in 2 active US hams. A slight majority, perhaps, but not a
consensus.

> If the ARRL had resolutely
> supported the status quo in code testing, we'd still have it, and
> always would.

Nope. No way. Just look at the history.

FCC has been pushing the nocode agenda since 1975. ARRL BOD opposition did not stop medical waivers in 1990. ARRL's idea for a nocode VHF/UHF license was ignored and the FCC just dropped the code test for Techs in 1991. FCC just doesn't want the hassle of code tests.

In fact, I think the FCC wants to get rid of code tests for ham licenses so that at least some folks who are now "freebanding" or using cb as a hobby will become hams.

> The ARRL leadership took the gamble on allowing
> the FCC to have it's way, without going to the boards to preserve
> the status quo in code testing, in order to hopefully secure it's
> future with growing numbers of new hams and potential members.

Nope. The BOD was divided on the issue, and the resulting policy was a compromise. Director's opinions ranged from total nocode to no change at all. The directors are elected by the members, by the way.

> Now, almost a year and a half into Restructuring, we're seeing the
> lie put to this notion. The ARRL has appeased the lowest common
> denominator, and they have responded with their usual apathy and
> inaction.
>
Nope. FCC simply did what they wanted to do all along - reduce code testing to the bare minimum allowed by treaty, and reduce admin work for themselves. Simple.

FCC doesn't care if CW or code testing survives or not. They don't care if the ARS grows or not. All they want is an orderly ARS. The rest is up to us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4253. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 15:33:43 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16113c604622e7a2989691@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

In article <MPG.161068be41730b0398977d@news>, glenn.hansen@usa.net
says...
> In article <Xns911FCCE604B41Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>,
> Spurious@StarBand.net says...
> >
> > >> I wonder if the jerk who posted the inital post of this thread thought
> > >> to ask how many hams are missing or known dead responding to this
> > >> event?
> >
> > >I'm sure you mean well but no hams should have been going on site to
> > >respond to the event if it were merely to go and be a ham.
> >
> >
> > Last I heard from the ARRL, 4 hams are known dead, three worked in the
> > Center and one was a police officer responding to the scene.
> >
>
>
> Yes Ed Many people who were killed were probably hams, and stamp
> collectors and Snow skiers.........
>
> ......but a ham should not have been at the WTC site responding as a
> ham.
>

The hams that are known dead were technicians that worked for several of
the TV and radio stations at their respective transmissions facilities
and several who were responding in their capacity as rescue personnel
(fire and police)

4254. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 16:12:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918121241.27641.00000447@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 20547

In article <3BA42F3C.64993C80@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>It would seem that you do not have, anywhere, obtaining an amateur radio
>license as an objective.

Ah, managed to remember something I said long ago and not
so long ago? Good for you.

I might have thought it would be fun a dozen years ago. Given
the clearly demonstrated "warm openness and civility" of the
PCTAs it is growing ever unlikely that I would.

>Why would a rational adult male take on the task if he had no obsession
>with amateur radio?

So, Heil cannot fathom anyone not thinking/desiring/whatever
as he does? Or is it brainwashing of all that propaganda in
ham literature that the "best" ham thing is CW on HF? :-)

Why is OOK CW so esteemed, elevated to a high, ivory
pedestal so high that the PCTAs give morse code ability
a divine, god-like attribute?

All other radio services in the world have rejected OOK
CW codings as being "best" for any reason. Some
amateurs still cling to that notion. Why?

Answer: It boils down to every newcomer having to do
just as the PCTAs did or be subjected to personal ridicule
and denigration. PCTAs cherish their morse ability and
point to the "law" as if the "law" were immutable. They
also point with undue pride to themselves stressing
"legality" as if they were mighty standard-bearers of the
only Truth and Justice. :-).

>Len, the situation regarding elimination of code as a testing element
>are pretty clear. Since that is the case, why do you persist?

It is NOT clear. Go to the FCC international bureau pages
and read the IWG-6 drafts made so far. The S25.5 action
item has no action at all yet...it is tabled for further "study."
Pfaugh...tabled because the Vice Chair hasn't received
"orders" from Neu England?

>If you
>are interested in obtaining an amateur license, go the code free route.
>When the morse testing element disappears, attempt to pass a higher

>level. No one has prevented you from making your statements.

I am advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
any amateur radio license. It's not a personal issue. It is an
issue which affects the future of those not yet in amateur
radio.

Whatever I do or anyone else does about a license is not the
issue. Elimination of the code test affects the future in amateur
radio and that future is for everyone. Everyone. Not just
set-in-their-ways individuals dreaming alone in front of their
radios, maintaining "Newcomers have to by-#$!!! do it like *I*
did or go to $#%!!"

>Maybe I can have a
>T-Shirt made for you: "Ham Ticket B4 Silent Key"

Heil makes insensitive cloddish statements. Everyone will
die.

My life ambition has very little to do with "getting a ham ticket."
That isn't the issue, but Heil, not being able to effectively
counter the NCTA statements, has to make it an
imaginary "personal" case.

My life does not center around amateur radio nor will it.

Amateur radio can be a fun hobby, but it is still a hobby,
not some quasi-military, "follow orders" lodge hall "service."

[that "service" word is not a regulatory term]

Others think differently, but then others should not attempt
to shove their personal desires down everyone's throat by
any means.

>I've encountered no one else with your attitude.

Wrong. If you've read everything in here, there have been
many who have been forthright in their opinion of the code
test and were not swayed by bullying PCTA control-freaks.

I've been trying to decide who was worse, KH2D or K8MN.
I'll give Kehler the nod since he was a better wordsmith.
Heil is way too imaginative and cannot telepath.

>You don't have the authority to assign me homework.

Heil has NO authority on anything...yet he persists in
demanding others to do things his way or be the subject
of personal-attack ridicule..

>> >> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
>> >> >offer you up as proof.
>> >>

>> >> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
>> >> >ultimate insensitive boob.
>> >> >
>> >> >Blow is out your ass, Len.

>I'm sure that makes a lot of sense to those individuals. That's
>probably why they've told you to blow it out your ass.

Heh heh, that's what is expected. A calm, reasoned impersonal
discussion on the issues. :-)

LHA

4255. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 16:12:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918121242.27641.00000448@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 20548

In article <20010916020523.20841.00000570@mb-cu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) imaginately or in a haze of something writes:

> Just wondering. You were bragging the other day about having all that
>money with which to buy new radios. When I pointed out that all you'd have
>is a rather expensive receiver, you shut up.
>
> Guess the realization that having no license made your suggestions
>pretty foolish, huh?

No. We were gone Sunday and Monday. Robeson's little childish message spasms get last attention.

Jim Hall, KD6JG, has a Yaesu FT-1000 in the office room of his house in Cedar Ridge, CA. The number came to mind is all. [I do not commit ham equipment advertising data to memory nor do I have envy of what others have]

Now, put down your toke and think...I mentioned before that my wife and I visited overnight with Jim and his wife there...on the way south from our northern home in Washington state. A lovely visit with gracious and cordial hosts.

Think ever more deeply. I've said at least twice that I have no interest in working HF DX just for the sake of working DX or making contacts just for the sake of making contacts...nor have I any desire for "loading up the shack with lots of expensive play pretties." [that's a rather stupid, denigrating description of any ham's elaborate amateur radio station]

> But you go right ahead...Load the "shack" up with lot's of expensive
>play pretties...then tell us about all the contacts you made after you cranked
the
>power down to Part 15 levels (or worse yet, you cranked the power up on 11
>meters)

For an elaborate amateur radio station, well-equipped (or, as Robeson describes it, "full of play pretties") there are a number of such available on the web. Anyone should be impressed by VE9JY's (?) station with beams (plural) for each HF band, including an 80m rotatable 4-element yagi.

That does remind me to have the HP 608D generator calibrated soon, though, and that will be a good thing. I am thinking about trading it in for a newer model with RF output levelling, but that is another subject.

> Guess this lil ole Yaesu FT757GX isn't as pretty, but hey, I can LEGALLY
>emit RF with it...How about you...?!?!

Robeson is sadly mistaken if he thinks I am "lusting" (as someone put it) for an HF privilege amateur license. He is very confused as to what my aims are in here (so is Heil) which is advocacy of the elimination of a morse code test. I have NO interest in working DeeEcks for the sake of that or collecting a wall full of QSL cards or making high points in one or more of many contact contests. That seems to be all that Robeson or Heil's "thing" and worse, they can't imagine anyone else not wanting to do as they do. If that is someone's bag, fine for them, but it isn't of interest to everyone.

The gummy can get a fresh can of Brasso and shine up those four nerve cells. They are misfiring badly and not interconnected well for memory. Think "Mil Spec" for your future HF rig...that way the gummy could have a "bigger one" than most others and satisfy his personal military desires. :-)

With all kind regards and remember, temper fry,

LHA

4256. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 17:14:06 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109180914.5396e2d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20549

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010918004612.07541.00000682@nso-md.aol.com>...
> In article <9staqtg9aunif7mr3am8vvbv8m9oqlal2f@4ax.com>, N0YM
> <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:
>
> >
> >I think her beliefs are unrealistic, but she has a right to them and a
> >right to express them. And I would submit it takes courage for her to
> >do so: she *did* lose her husband to those bastards, remember.
>
> Jonathan:
>
> So, the first thing that comes to her mind is appeasement, and
> you apparently agree with her! Sure she has a right to express her
> beliefs, but we are now in a state of War against terrorism; and her
> "beliefs" are tantamount to treason in a time of war. This kind of
> talk only disgraces the memory of her slain husband -- but of course,
> she doesn't see it that way. He was probably a dumbed-down,
> politically-correct pacifist as well, Soccer-Dad status notwithstanding.

You know, I really thought that the statement, "I think her beliefs
are unrealistic", combined with numerous statements on my part in
which I've advocated anything but pacifism, would have made it clear
that I do *not*
agree with appeasement. I really don't know how to make that position
plainer, and frankly, I'd like an apology.

Let me be unequivocally clear: I do *NOT* support bargaining with bin
Laden.
I do *NOT* support appeasement. I have never said otherwise.

My point is simply this: one of the things that makes us better than
the terrorists is tolerance for differences of opinion. Differences
of opinion are not treason, Larry. They make us stronger. You know,
that "I disapprove with what you say, but I will defend to the death
your right to say it" thing? (to misquote Voltaire)

Jonathan

4257. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 17:19:49 UTC
From: n0ym@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <27145d97.0109180919.79ce61dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20550

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9o7ms8$e8v$0@208.206.142.104>...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> I think her question and mine is whether we
> > really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we will not
> > in the long run of things make things worse.
>
>
>
> I have no doubt that things must get worse in at least some ways before
> they get better. But it is more than clear that Bin Laden & co. have as
> their agenda the same idea that Hitler had- Wipe out the opposition to
> our agenda, worldwide, and strike the biggest threats first and hardest.

And that agenda is a fanatical religious one, which is one reason I'm
thinking bargaining, nonviolence, or just doing nothing won't work
with him (are you listening, Larry?).

Jonathan

4258. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 17:30:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109180930.61082e8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20401

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA7526D.9764090E@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> > >What's wrong with a 1x3?
> >
> > It's longer than a 1X2 or a 2X1.
>
> Personally, 2x1 calls don't sound like real ham calls to me.

Yet they are real ham calls.

> Calls that start with an 'A' or 'N' don't sound like real
> ham calls to me.

Yet they are real ham calls.

> Personally, I would much prefer any 1x3
> call starting with a 'W' to a 2x1 call starting with an 'A'
> or 'N'.

We're not discussing hams who think like you, Cecil. We are discussing
hams who are not 100% satisfied with a 1X3 call. Please pay attention
to the context.
>
> > >>or they want to study more about amateur radio,
> > >
> > >Don't need a higher license for that.
> >
> > But it helps.
>
> A higher license helps one *study* more about amateur radio????

Yep.

> Shirley, you jest.

Nope. And don't call me Shirley.

> Why are techs handicapped or prohibited from studying?

No one is prohibited from studying. But those who are not in the habit
of studying may develop the habit from studying for an upgrade.
>
> > One reason I got my Extra way back when (1970) was so I would be able to
> > operate any authorized ham rig/mode/frequency. Many hams think that way.
>

> We're not discussing hams who think like you, Jim.

Not true.

> We are discussing
> hams
> who are 100% satisfied with a tech license.

Nope.

Some posts back, you asked:

"Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
bother to upgrade?"

(That's an exact quote).

The reasons given by Jim and I are possible answers to that question.

> Please pay attention to the context.

Please pay attention to the question you actually wrote.
>
> > >> or they want to be able to administer FCC exams,
> > >
> > >Or maybe they don't. Lots of extras don't.
> >
> > Lots want to.
>
> We're not discussing hams who think like you, Jim.

Did I write that I got an Extra in order to be a VE? Nope. In fact,
that could not have been one of my reasons back in 1970.

> We are discussing hams
> who are 100% satisfied with a tech license.

Nope.

Some posts back, you asked:

"Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
bother to upgrade?"

(That's an exact quote).

> Please pay attention to the context.

Please pay attention to the question you actually wrote.
>
> > >A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.
> >
> > Then why are the numbers of Extras growing and the number of Techs decreasing?
>
> We're not discussing hams who think like you, Jim.

That's not the issue at all.

Why is the number of Extras growing and the number of Techs
decreasing? The number of Techs peaked in March of this year and has
been going down ever since.

> We are discussing hams
> who are 100% satisfied with a tech license. Please pay attention to the
> context.

I am. You're not.
>
> > and note that the number of Techs peaked some months back and is now
> > decreasing. (The number given is actually the sum of all current Techs, "Techs
> > with HF" and Tech Pluses in the FCC database).
>
> Please pay attention to the context, Jim. We are discussing hams who are
> 100% satisfied with their tech license.

Nope.

Some posts back, you asked:

"Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
bother to upgrade?"

(That's an exact quote).

Please pay attention to the question you actually wrote.

> Are there hams who are 100%
> satisfied with a tech license? The answer is yes.

But that's not the question you asked.

> I know a lot of them.

We're not talking about the hams you know, Cecil. Please pay attention
to the context and the question you actually wrote.

Here's another reason:

A ham who is currently 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges may
want to be ready for new developments. I know hams who were 100%
satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges right up to the first time they
saw a single-board PSK31 transceiver (like the "Warbler") in action.
Then they couldn't get on HF fast enough. The idea of HF communication
with just a laptop, >$100 computer-controlled rig, antenna and free
software captured their imagination.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4259. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 17:44:44 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gKLp7.544$W8.51278@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20402

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9o7ms8$e8v$0@208.206.142.104...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> I think her question and mine is whether we
> > really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we will
not
> > in the long run of things make things worse.
>
>
>
> I have no doubt that things must get worse in at least some ways before
> they get better. But it is more than clear that Bin Laden & co. have as
> their agenda the same idea that Hitler had- Wipe out the opposition to
> our agenda, worldwide, and strike the biggest threats first and hardest.
>
>
> >
> > Nothing politically correct about it. Just a generation that lost 56k
dead
> > and a lot more messed up when we had no idea what it would look like if
we
> > won. Put your Dymo label-maker back in your pocket.
>
>
> Your (and my) generation had LBJ and his own agenda to deal with. Make
> no mistake, that was LBJ's war, to "fight" as he saw fit. And for us to
> lose for him. Once he realized what it was that he had done, he was
> powerless to do anything effective to correct it, and he died steeped in
> his own selfrecriminations.

In spite of years of books, movies and babble, it belonged to one John
Fitzgerald Kennedy.

4260. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 17:58:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nXLp7.561$W8.55892@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20403

"N0YM" <n0ym@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:27145d97.0109180919.79ce61dc@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9o7ms8$e8v$0@208.206.142.104>...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > I think her question and mine is whether we
> > > really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we
will not
> > > in the long run of things make things worse.
> >
> >
> >
> > I have no doubt that things must get worse in at least some ways before
> > they get better. But it is more than clear that Bin Laden & co. have as
> > their agenda the same idea that Hitler had- Wipe out the opposition to
> > our agenda, worldwide, and strike the biggest threats first and hardest.
>
> And that agenda is a fanatical religious one, which is one reason I'm
> thinking bargaining, nonviolence, or just doing nothing won't work
> with him (are you listening, Larry?).
>
> Jonathan

Fixing the clock of those involved is not an issue, the question is how you do it without having your grandchildren fighting it all over again. Our picture of Islam is no better than their picture of us; they watch Hollywood and we get fixated on mullahs.

Whatever one may think of the Taliban, it is ancient Islamic practice to require hosts to protect guests. So do you present your proof to the world and ask not only the Taliban but every leader you can find if Islam requires the protection of guests that crap in the caviar or do you play John Wayne? In the long run of things you are a lot better showing Islam some degree of understanding, respect, and impartiality. Yes, it might even take some advertising: we have not gotten a lot of mileage out of our assists to the Islamic residents of Bosnia and Albanian parts of the Balkans.

The village idiot can fire a $2 million missile, and (as has been so nicely stated) blow up an empty $10 tent while hitting a camel in the butt. Clauswitz to the contrary, warfare is not diplomacy by other means.

Dick N&#65533;BK

4261. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 18:13:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918141326.02731.00000622@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20407

In article <9f4bfe89.0109180700.57467e51@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
>news:<20010918004609.07541.00000680@nso-md.aol.com>...
>> In article <9np82o$27t$0@208.206.142.98>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >CAM wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >> > If I believed that your approach had any better chance of succeeding
>> >> > than mine, I would support yours.
>> >>
>> >> Since it appears that the FCC will adopt Ed's approach,
>> >
>> >You're kidding, right? FCC couldn't car less, much less support anyone's
>> >"position".
>
>FCC seems to be supporting the "less admin work for FCC" position
>pretty strongly nowadays.

The whole point of Restructuring was a modernization of the
Agency and reduction of bureaucracy...for ALL services
regulated by the FCC. That was a mandate from the US
Congress.

>> Precisely. The FCC made it clear that they would abide by a
>> consensus of the amateur community.
>
>Not exactly.
>
>For several years before restructuring, the FCC said they weren't
>going to change anything unless there was a consensus in the amateur
>community about what to change and what to keep.
>
>Then they tossed that policy in the junkheap and started the
>restructuring process. FCC wasn't going to wait for a consensus
>anymore.

Sorry, that's only your telepathic input operating in high
mental QRM conditions.

Public record has the FCC already making its case in 1990
in 90-53 on the creation of the no-code-test Technician class
license.

The US Congress ordered restructuring...for ALL services
regulated by the FCC.

The FCC never closed its doors or offices to ex parte
presentations either from the ARRL or NCI. They made
direct electronic input on Comments available and there
are still over 2600 such comments on 98-143 on public
record.

>For decades, ARRL membership has hovered at about 1 in 3 US hams,
>based on the FCC license numbers.

For the past decade the ARRL membership is about 1 in 4,
not 1 in 3, based on the ARRL's own membership information
as well as the FCC amateur radio license database.

>Considering the fact that some hams
>are totally inactive, an educated guess would put ARRL membership at
>about 1 in 2 active US hams. A slight majority, perhaps, but not a
>consensus.

How is this "educated guess" referenced? By "poll"? By
telepathy? By "credentials" in "educated guessing?" :-)

"Inactivity" applies across ALL amateur license classes,
ARRL membership affiliation or not. There is NO FCC
regulation now or in the past decade that mandates
"some amateur radio activity" as any kind of condition
of the license grant nor can such "activity" be any kind
of factor in being part of a consensus condition.

>Nope. No way. Just look at the history.
>
>FCC has been pushing the nocode agenda since 1975.

That's not visible on the public record or as some kind
of "agenda" in ARRL publications. The ARRL's position
on 98-143 (by public record) indicated they were still
very much in favor of code testing.

>ARRL BOD opposition
>did not stop medical waivers in 1990. ARRL's idea for a nocode VHF/UHF
>license was ignored and the FCC just dropped the code test for Techs
>in 1991.

The FCC did not "just drop it." FCC 90-53 clearly states their
decision on making a new, sixth license class (without a code
test) and why they did it, including quotes from commentors on
both sides of the code issue. That was in 1990, 11 years ago.

>FCC just doesn't want the hassle of code tests.

The FCC had already privatized US amateur radio license
testing by the 1991 no-code-test Technician class, leaving
the VECs and VEs to administer code tests to the

remaining five amateur radio license class tests.

It might come as a shock to the PCTAs, but the FCC does, by all public accounts, really accept citizen input. If code testing was such a "hassle" to the FCC, please explain how it can be a "hassle" when they would NOT normally be a part of the actual test process?

>In fact, I think the FCC wants to get rid of code tests for ham
>licenses so that at least some folks who are now "freebanding" or
>using cb as a hobby will become hams.

Is the FCC engaged, or shoult it be engaged in some activity to recruit hams? This is not in their charter, is it?

The FCC is chartered to regulate US civilian radio and interstate communications.

Here is what the FCC said publicly in 99-412 in opening text:

" 1. By this Report and Order, we adopt rules that simplify the Amateur Radio Service operator license structure, streamline the number of examination elements and, reduce the emphasis on telegraphy that underlies the current license structure to the greatest extent possible, consistent with the international Radio Regulations (Radio Regulations). Moreover, we believe that these changes will: (a) allow current Amateur Radio Service licensees to contribute more to the advancement of the radio art; (b) reduce the administrative costs that we incur in regulating this service and streamline our licensing processes; (c) eliminate unnecessary requirements that may discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained operators, technicians, and electronic experts; and (d) promote efficient use of spectrum allocated to the Amateur Radio Service."

>FCC doesn't care if CW or code testing survives or not. They don't
>care if the ARS grows or not. All they want is an orderly ARS. The
>rest is up to us.

That's a noble enough statement and, in my opinion, a good one. It does, however, go against the opinion quoted above that the FCC is trying to "recruit freebanders or 'cb hams'".

With all kindest regulatory regards,
LHA

4262. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 18:13:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918141327.02731.00000623@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20405

In article <20010918004609.07541.00000680@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <9np82o$27t$0@208.206.142.98>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>writes:
>
>>CAM wrote:
>>>
>>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> > If I believed that your approach had any better chance of succeeding
>>> > than mine, I would support yours.
>>>
>>> Since it appears that the FCC will adopt Ed's approach,
>>
>>You're kidding, right? FCC couldn't car less, much less support anyone's
>>"position".
>
>Dick:
>
>Precisely. The FCC made it clear that they would abide by a
>consensus of the amateur community. The best source for such a
>consensus would have been the advice of the national organization
>of U.S. radio amateurs -- the ARRL.

How is a minority group the "best" choice for advice?

ARRL membership is less than a quarter of all licensed US
radio amateurs.

>If the ARRL had resolutely
>supported the status quo in code testing, we'd still have it, and
>always would.

Why should this special interest group support a status quo?

The ARRL supports its membership and that membership is
a minority group.

>The ARRL leadership took the gamble on allowing
>the FCC to have it's way, without going to the boards to preserve
>the status quo in code testing, in order to hopefully secure it's
>future with growing numbers of new hams and potential members.

That's false. On the public record, for both NPRM 98-143 and
Comments after 99-412, the ARRL made its case FOR code
testing. The evidence is there.

>Now, almost a year and a half into Restructuring, we're seeing the
>lie put to this notion.

Public records have not changed. The ARRL has always
supported changed amateur radio regulations after they
became law. Would Roll like to have the ARRL support
illegal activity?

>The ARRL has appeased the lowest common
>denominator, and they have responded with their usual apathy and
>inaction.

:-) This can only mean that Roll thinks of himself as "highest"
and not a denominator, but rather as an uncommon numerator,
"primus inter pares."

With kindest regards,

LHA

4263. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 18:13:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918141328.02731.00000624@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20404

In article <3BA74E19.2242116C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> You're the one with the reference books, Cecil, you go look it up.
>
>I tried but I can't come close enough to the spelling to even
>look it up. Allatolla? Kohmeini?

"Ayatolla" (approximate English equivalent from Farsi) is a
title. "Kohmeini" seems right although some wire services
have Anglicized it as "Khomeini" and refers to his surname
(or clan or sept). Farsi is a syllabic language...as are all
the "Arabic" languages, none of them using the "Western"
alphabet.

Now all that leads to the "experienced pool of trained
operators" that is supposed to be a part of amateur radio.
I'm wondering just how skill in the Western-languages
international morse code is going to help radio intercepts
in Pashtun, the Arabic-based language of Afghanistan?

Ah, yes, morse code is the "international language" of
radio...and "CW gets through when nothing else does."

OK. :-)

4264. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 18:13:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918141329.02731.00000625@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 20406

In article <20010918061530.10650.00000509@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3BA65B7D.77DB8596@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>> Oh, come on. Maybe they want a shorter callsign,
>>
>>Or maybe they don't. What's wrong with a 1x3?
>
>It's longer than a 1X2 or a 2X1.

Heh heh...and a 1x2 or 2x1 makes them "look" like an
experienced long-timer.

>>>or they want to study more about amateur radio,
>>
>>Don't need a higher license for that.
>
>But it helps.

Another metaphysical phenomenon automatic with an
upgrade? Secret portals of knowledge opening up with
each license class grant?

Reminder: The amateur radio written test is in no way any
sort of academic achievement test.

>>> or they don't want to have restricted privileges,
>>
>>All amateur privileges above 30MHz may not seem restricted to them.
>
>Or they might. Or they might want to be ready for any eventuality.
>
>One reason I got my Extra way back when (1970) was so I would be able to
>operate any authorized ham rig/mode/frequency. Many hams think that way.

Over 99% of all amateur radio spectrum is above 70 cm.

There are a dozen allocated bands above 70 cm, ripe for
all kinds of interesting legal allocated mode use.

What has been your experience in these bands?

>>A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.
>
>Then why are the numbers of Extras growing and the number of Techs

>decreasing?
>See
>
>http://www.ah0a.org

Joe Speroni is a PCTA.

The Speroni statistics pages on US amateur radio conviently lump the old Technician-Plus class with the current Technician class. The Technician-Plus class is no longer granted for new licenses. Lumping them with Technician class is a nice way to disguise the Techs as a group as being "decreasing."

Advanced and Novice licenses are no longer granted, yet they are listed separately. It should come as no surprise that those classes are also decreasing in number. The Advanced and Novice license class test required a morse code test.

A look at the NEW, never before issued, license class tabulations show that the overwhelming majority of NEW licensees are the no-code-test Technician class.

PCTAs will rationalize that the Technician class is really "an entry class to amateur radio" and avoid discussing the absence of a code test for that class. The argument against that is that the Novice class was once considered the same "entry to amateur radio" but the Novice class numbers have been dropping for years...as far back as the AH0A statistics site tabulations go in the past. Novice class licensees had to pass a code test.

With all kind regards to a one-by-two,

LHA

4265. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 20:08:12 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA7A9AC.8EE5586B@uci.edu>
Source record: 20409

CAM wrote:
> Nope, you gave me some reasons why someone who is not 100% satisfied
> would upgrade. If someone is "100% satisfied" (the original statement)
> then there is no reason for that someone to upgrade.

Let me remind you of what you said so we might return to the context in which I made my response. You said:

"Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges bother to upgrade?"

The point you endeavor to circumvent is that someone can be 100% satisfied with their privileges and yet have other reasons to upgrade.

4266. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 20:10:44 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA7AA44.DD3C65A2@uci.edu>
Source record: 20410

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Heh heh...and a 1x2 or 2x1 makes them "look" like an
> experienced long-timer.

Not so much any more. As you may know, there is a vanity call sign program now. The most popular calls obtained under this program are the short, "long-timer" calls.

> Reminder: The amateur radio written test is in no way any
> sort of academic achievement test.

In many ways it is like one. The amateur curriculum is as comprensive as that of many college level courses.

> Over 99% of all amateur radio spectrum is above 70 cm.

Over 99% of all amateur activity is at or below 70 cm.

4267. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 20:36:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA7B048.72F6DE1B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20411

Jim Kelley wrote:
> "Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
> bother to upgrade?"
>
> The point you endeavor to circumvent is that someone can be 100%
> satisfied with their privileges and yet have other reasons to upgrade.

Someone, yes. Everyone, no. My point is that there are some techs who
will never upgrade no matter what because they are already 100%
satisfied.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4268. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 20:40:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA7B13B.33001FAE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20412

N2EY wrote:
> We're not discussing hams who think like you, Cecil. We are discussing
> hams who are not 100% satisfied with a 1X3 call. Please pay attention
> to the context.

Then you have changed the context of the discussion and I have nothing
more to say.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4269. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 21:05:37 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA7B721.4E5D7E86@uci.edu>
Source record: 20413

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > "Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
> > bother to upgrade?"
> >
> > The point you endeavor to circumvent is that someone can be 100%
> > satisfied with their privileges and yet have other reasons to upgrade.
>
> Someone, yes. Everyone, no.

You said "anyone", did you not? Chrise, Cecil. It's as if it was a
slap at your 'manhood' to concede a point to someone.

4270. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 23:52:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918195208.24173.00000222@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20414

In article <3BA6E7D5.D8C542E8@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>All hams are required by circumstances, i.e. forced, to meet the FCC
>requirements. You, yourself, posted a definition of forced = required.
>That means that all present HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code
>exam.

Not me.

I did it voluntarily. I WANTED to show the FCC examiner what I could do. In fact, I went way beyond 20 per.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4271. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-18 23:52:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918195208.24173.00000221@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20415

In article <20010918004612.07541.00000682@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <9staqtg9aunif7mr3am8vvbv8m9oqlal2f@4ax.com>, N0YM
><jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:
>
>>
>>I think her beliefs are unrealistic, but she has a right to them and a
>>right to express them. And I would submit it takes courage for her to
>>do so: she *did* lose her husband to those bastards, remember.
>
>Jonathan:
>
>So, the first thing that comes to her mind is appeasement, and
>you apparently agree with her!

Nope.

She wanted to know what the terrorists want. She did not say whe wanted to give it to them. Entirely different thing.

Do YOU know what they want, Larry? Do you know why bin Laden & co. hate the USA so much?

>Sure she has a right to express her
>beliefs, but we are now in a state of War against terrorism; and her
>"beliefs" are tantamount to treason in a time of war.

How? All she did was ask a question. You don't know what her beliefs are.

During WW2, a series of films were produced titled "Why We Fight" or something similar, to answer the question "Why are we in this war?"

It's not treason or anyhting like it to ask why and what the enemy is after. In fact, one of the most important things to know is what the enemy wants.

>This kind of
>talk only disgraces the memory of her slain husband -- but of course,
>she doesn't see it that way.

Because that kind of talk doesn't disgrace her husband, or her.

>He was probably a dumbed-down,
>politically-correct pacifist as well, Soccer-Dad status notwithstanding.

That kind of talk disgraces YOU, Larry.

All she did was ask a question.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4272. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 00:06:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA7D36B.D682B884@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20416

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA42F3C.64993C80@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >It would seem that you do not have, anywhere, obtaining an amateur radio
> >license as an objective.
>
> Ah, managed to remember something I said long ago and not
> so long ago? Good for you.
>
> I might have thought it would be fun a dozen years ago. Given
> the clearly demonstrated "warm openness and civility" of the
> PCTAs it is growing ever unlikely that I would.

I might have thought that you'd have attempted an amateur radio license
exam a dozen years ago then. The absence of warmth and civility
directed your way is an indication of how your attitude shines through
each unpleasant posting you make. If you act in your life as you post
here, it is unlikely that you are met with warmth or civility anywhere
at any time.

> >Why would a rational adult male take on the task if he had no obsession
> >with amateur radio?
>
> So, Heil cannot fathom anyone not thinking/desiring/whatever
> as he does? Or is it brainwashing of all that propaganda in
> ham literature that the "best" ham thing is CW on HF? :-)

Right, Anderson--I can't fathom myself marching clear up to the doorstep
(but not passing through the door) and as an almost neophyte, telling
those already involved in an endeavor just how it should be done.

> Why is OOK CW so esteemed, elevated to a high, ivory
> pedestal so high that the PCTAs give morse code ability
> a divine, god-like attribute?

I think you've been sprinkling whiffle dust on your pancakes.

> All other radio services in the world have rejected OOK
> CW codings as being "best" for any reason. Some
> amateurs still cling to that notion. Why?

Morse is still in widespread use in amateur radio. Most of us don't
limit our operating to morse only though.

> Answer: It boils down to every newcomer having to do
> just as the PCTAs did or be subjected to personal ridicule
> and denigration. PCTAs cherish their morse ability and
> point to the "law" as if the "law" were immutable. They
> also point with undue pride to themselves stressing
> "legality" as if they were mighty standard-bearers of the
> only Truth and Justice. :-).

Len, you might as well adjust to the fact that you'll see your fair
share of personal ridicule and denigration base soley on your
personality.

> >Len, the situation regarding elimination of code as a testing element
> >are pretty clear. Since that is the case, why do you persist?
>
> It is NOT clear. Go to the FCC international bureau pages
> and read the IWG-6 drafts made so far. The S25.5 action
> item has no action at all yet...it is tabled for further "study."
> Pfaugh...tabled because the Vice Chair hasn't received
> "orders" from Neu England?

It IS clear but this isn't microwave oven ham radio. There is no
"instant" anything. There is a laughable 5 wpm test in place for any HF
license. Your last sentence, I suppose, is another of those non-slams
at the ARRL which you don't make.

> >If you
> >are interested in obtaining an amateur license, go the code free route.
> >When the morse testing element disappears, attempt to pass a higher
> >level. No one has prevented you from making your statements.
>
> I am advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
> any amateur radio license. It's not a personal issue. It is an
> issue which affects the future of those not yet in amateur
> radio.

What's it to you?

> Whatever I do or anyone else does about a license is not the
> issue. Elimination of the code test affects the future in amateur
> radio and that future is for everyone. Everyone. Not just
> set-in-their-ways individuals dreaming alone in front of their
> radios, maintaining "Newcomers have to by-#$!!! do it like *I*
> did or go to $#%!!"

Anyone can become a ham. That is true today. That has been true in the
past. I assume that it will be true in the future.

> >Maybe I can have a
> >T-Shirt made for you: "Ham Ticket B4 Silent Key"
>
> Heil makes insensitive cloddish statements. Everyone will
> die.

Not all will die without ever attempting to obtain an amateur ticket.
While I've not achieved every goal I set for myself in life, I took a
stab at all of 'em.

> My life ambition has very little to do with "getting a ham ticket."
> That isn't the issue, but Heil, not being able to effectively
> counter the NCTA statements, has to make it an
> imaginary "personal" case.

It's not an imaginary personal case, Anderson. It's a real personal
case. Since your Extra ticket out of the box now seems less important
to you it makes sense to re-evaluate your goals. Settling on being an
SWL will be good for you.

> My life does not center around amateur radio nor will it.

If I had to guess, I'd guess that your life centers around this
newsgroup.

> Amateur radio can be a fun hobby, but it is still a hobby,
> not some quasi-military, "follow orders" lodge hall "service."

It matters not what amateur radio is or is not. You aren't a radio
amateur.

> [that "service" word is not a regulatory term]
>
> Others think differently, but then others should not attempt
> to shove their personal desires down everyone's throat by
> any means.

Len, I'd be the last guy to insist that you become a radio amateur.

> >I've encountered no one else with your attitude.
>
> Wrong. If you've read everything in here, there have been
> many who have been forthright in their opinion of the code
> test and were not swayed by bullying PCTA control-freaks.

I've encountered *no one* with *your* attitude. There are some
forthright individuals who have been civil in their attitude. Then
there is you.

> I've been trying to decide who was worse, KH2D or K8MN.
> I'll give Kehler the nod since he was a better wordsmith.
> Heil is way too imaginative and cannot telepath.

Likewise, I suffered a dilemma. You win by default since Big Mouth Carl
is gone.

> >You don't have the authority to assign me homework.
>
> Heil has NO authority on anything...yet he persists in
> demanding others to do things his way or be the subject
> of personal-attack ridicule..

Try reading what I wrote. *YOU* don't have the authority to assign
homework. Un-snip your words and read the *demand* which you made.

> >> >> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
> >> >> >offer you up as proof.
> >> >>
> >> >> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps the
> >> >> >ultimate insensitive boob.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
>
> >I'm sure that makes a lot of sense to those individuals. That's
> >probably why they've told you to blow it out your ass.
>
> Heh heh, that's what is expected. A calm, reasoned impersonal
> discussion on the issues. :-)

You've never made a calm, reasoned or impersonal response during your
time here.

Dave K8MN

4273. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 00:08:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9o8nm1$8vd$0@208.206.142.94>
Source record: 20417

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> >
> > Your (and my) generation had LBJ and his own agenda to deal with. Make
> > no mistake, that was LBJ's war, to "fight" as he saw fit. And for us to
> > lose for him. Once he realized what it was that he had done, he was
> > powerless to do anything effective to correct it, and he died steeped in
> > his own selfrecriminations.
>
> In spite of years of books, movies and babble, it belonged to one John
> Fitzgerald Kennedy.

Naw.... Just because he sent the orignal "advisors" doesn't saddle him with the historical facts that followed. The war actually began in earnest following LBJ's Gulf of Tonkin resolution. I really don't believe that JFK would ever have allowed the situation to go anywhere near where LBJ deilberbately directed it.

4274. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 00:47:46 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA7EB06.37EFE9FE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20418

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BA2D64C.51AE8A55@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> All in the name of cheap oil.
>
> >How do you spell the
> >guy's name who took over Iran after the fall of the Shah?
> >--
> You're the one with the reference books, Cecil, you go look it up.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Touche'. So, we US citizens were the ones who created or
let our government create these monsters. Now, just like
Dr. Frankenstein, they have turned on us, and we must
destroy them-- or invite them home to dinner???

73,
Clay N4AOX

4275. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 01:07:21 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918210721.11044.00001071@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20419

>Guess this lil ole Yaesu FT757GX isn't as pretty

Who says? Many think it's a quite handsome radio. Take care and 73.

Mark

4276. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 02:09:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA7FE64.A0C6F518@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20420

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > The point you endeavor to circumvent is that someone can be 100%
> > > satisfied with their privileges and yet have other reasons to upgrade.
> >
> > Someone, yes. Everyone, no.
>
> You said "anyone", did you not? Chrise, Cecil. It's as if it was a
> slap at your 'manhood' to concede a point to someone.

That word, "anyone", was used in a question, Jim. Here it is again:
"Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges
bother to upgrade?"

I concede that I asked a question using the word "anyone". I did NOT
make any statement using the word "anyone" so there is nothing to
concede. Maybe it would help if you tried some Exlax for that problem
of yours.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4277. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 02:19:31 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3ba7ffc3.10436223@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20422

On Tue, 18 Sep 2001 01:21:09 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
>> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.
>
>Be patient, Larry. It is impossible to prove right now but it should
>be possible to prove it in a few years.
>cheers, Cecil

Additionally, why should I have to prove it. I offer my belief based
on similar continued interest for many other types of "old
technology" interests (old cars, archery, etc.) that survive
simply because there is a group of people that have an interest
and follow it...and, in so doing, they are their own spokepeople
that advocate by doing what they love which, in turn, brings in
newcomers.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4278. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 02:21:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA80117.669E363C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20421

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >All hams are required by circumstances, i.e. forced, to meet the FCC
> >requirements. You, yourself, posted a definition of forced = required.
> >That means that all present HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code
> >exam.
>
> Not me. I did it voluntarily.

It doesn't matter if you did it voluntarily or not. Your feelings about
it don't matter. Every licensed ham is required by circumstances
(forced)
to meet the FCC requirements. If you have a ham license, you were forced
(required by circumstances) to pass the exam(s). Sorry, but what
happened
falls under the definition of "forced" that I published straight from
the
dictionary. When you deny it, you are attempting to change the
contextual
definition without telling us that's what you are doing. That's
impolite,
violates the contextual rules of the English language, and you should be
ashamed of yourself.

Any governmental requirement that carries the force of law is forced
upon the citizens, by definition. How you feel about those requirements
doesn't matter.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4279. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 02:39:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010918223944.07541.00000784@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 20424

In article <20010918061531.10650.00000510@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>
>>In article <3BA3B041.FCF81DCE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>>>
>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>>>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>>>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
>>
>>Typical, weak-minded, dumbed-down, politically-correct American.
>
>Why? Because she wants to know why her husband was killed?

No, because she wants to learn what Bin Laden "wants in return for
stopping the terrorism." In other words, she wants to appease this
terrorist in order to make him stop committing terrorist acts. Do you
remember anything from history about the effect of appeasement?

>>She's probably some Soccor Mom in a minivan who has no problem
>>with killing unborn babies or confiscating the guns from law-abiding
>>citizens. A true Clinton supporter, to be sure.
>
>I guess that means she doesn't have freedom of speech anymore, huh? Guilty of
>wrongthink because she wants to know the reasons behind terrorism.

Jim:

She doesn't simply want to know the reasons in order to better understand;
she wants to know what to hand over to Bin Laden to induce him to
stop killing innocent people, such as her husband. What does she think
Bin Laden would accept? Perhaps President Bush's head on a platter?
I'm guessing, based on her question, wouldn't bother her one bit! It is
obvious that she thinks that appeasing this Anti-American terrorist is more
important than standing up for American values.

>>We are now at war against terrorism -- not just the terrorists
>>responsible for the acts of September 11 -- but the *whole* of
>>terrorism, everywhere.
>
>If so, don't the relatives of those who have fallen have the right to know
>what the battles are for?

That's not the information she's seeking. She wants to know what to give over to Osama Bin Laden in order to make him stop prosecuting his war of terror against the United States. She wants to appease him, not defeat him. In fairness to her, she is distraught over the loss of her husband, and is not likely to be thinking very clearly. However, fuzzy thinking is no help in this situation.

>>This includes *all* those who condone,
>>support, and enable terrorists, including this woman!
>
>Bull. She didn't say the terrorists were right. She didn't say they should
>get what they want. All she did was ask what they want. Is asking such
questions
>wrong?

Yep. They are wrong in the worst possible way. I am not one little bit interested in what Osama Bin Laden wants, because I *know* what he wants. He wants to see the destruction of America and our way of life. The only thing I want is to stop him -- but definitely not by appeasing him. I want HIS head on a platter. Silver optional.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4280. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 02:39:45 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010918223945.07541.00000785@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 20423

In article <9f4bfe89.0109180700.57467e51@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>FCC doesn't care if CW or code testing survives or not. They don't
>care if the ARS grows or not. All they want is an orderly ARS. The
>rest is up to us.

Jim:

And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements, particularly code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal? Pardon me if I'm not brimming with admiration for their management philosophy!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4281. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 03:32:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA811E0.6A860895@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20425

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements, particularly
> code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal?

You really need to take time out and read what the FCC said, Larry.
They said code testing has absolutely nothing to do with "dumbing
down" or anything else except code testing and code skill. Your
family probably doesn't know Morse code. Does that make them dumb?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4282. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 03:55:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9o94vb$q9v$0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 20426

CAM wrote:
>

> Your
> family probably doesn't know Morse code. Does that make them dumb?

IF they professed to be HF ham radio operators there certainly could be a case made for it.
As we all know, CW is basic radiocommunications. Anyone professing an interest in ham radio who is not interested in learning Morse code to a mimimum proficiency can be said to be dumbed down. How could it be otherwise? Nothing technology has brought has reduced the usefulness of radiotelegraphy. It took hams refusing to learn it to do that.

4283. Re: Electronic QSL's - just a matter of time...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 04:12:41 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16122b9280552264989692@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20427

Well, here you have it. Must bne on of the Bill Sohl and Carl "I'm too
busy to upgrade" Stevenson's little playmates.

And the NCTA said they don't exist ha ha

Hey Lennie, another little boy to play with

In article <sNUp7.35459$xB1.8340860@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>,
jeffntate@home.com says...
> CW is antiquated and replaced by much more efficient digital communications.
> I would like to see the CW bands reduced in allocation by 90% to open up
> SSB. CW contacts are few and far between, while SSB contacts are crowded.
> Jeff
> "Alley Cat" <alleycat@nym.alias.net> wrote in message
> news:RxVk7.40564$K17.11539811@ratbert.tds.net...
> > In article <slrn9p4nk9.6sj.ti2dll@obrien.no-ip.com>, ti2dll@email.com
> (Mario Melendez Esquivel) wrote:
> > >In article <3b893a0e@news.bnb-lp.com>, Charles Johnston wrote:
> > >
> > >>As of March, 2001: The ARRL has announced their plans to implement some
> sort
> > >>of electronic QSL acceptance system. We are presently in contact with
> them
> > >>to find out the format they want to use. Once they publish the format
> > >>specification and put their system into production (sometime in late
> 2001),
> > >>we will be able to transmit your eQSLs to them so they can be used for
> ARRL
> > >>awards and contests.
> > >
> > >I assume the awards ARRL will offer for electronic QSLs will be different
> > >than those for real QSLs. I mean, you do have to consider the differences
> > >that exist between the processes involved in sending and receiving a real
> > >QSL and an electronic QSL, one obviously involves more effort than the
> > >other. Doesn't seem to me like we'll be able to turn in an electronic QSL
> > >log and get all the regular ARRL awards anytime soon...
> > >
> >
> > You mean like the differences between the privileges granted to a real
> Extra
> > Class license involving a 20 wpm code test versus those granted to one
> > involving a 5 wpm test?
>
>
>

4284. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 04:28:39 UTC
From: N0YM <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <7f6gqtcdefjlnkqnemv7groccprbeqspoo@4ax.com>
Source record: 20428

On Tue, 18 Sep 2001 17:58:43 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"N0YM" <n0ym@iastate.edu> wrote in message
>news:27145d97.0109180919.79ce61dc@posting.google.com...
>> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<9o7ms8$e8v$0@208.206.142.104>...
>> > Richard McCollum wrote:
>> >
>> > I think her question and mine is whether we
>> > > really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we
>will not
>> > > in the long run of things make things worse.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > I have no doubt that things must get worse in at least some ways before
>> > they get better. But it is more than clear that Bin Laden & co. have as
>> > their agenda the same idea that Hitler had- Wipe out the opposition to
>> > our agenda, worldwide, and strike the biggest threats first and hardest.
>>
>> And that agenda is a fanatical religious one, which is one reason I'm
>> thinking bargaining, nonviolence, or just doing nothing won't work
>> with him (are you listening, Larry?).
>>
>> Jonathan
>

Richard,
Sigh it seems we have some very black-and-white views here; either
you're for nuking anyone who's ever known a terrorist, or you're into
appeasement. I'm probably coming off as more of a war hawk than I am,
for fear of giving the latter impression.

For the record, I think you're right on. This is a war that will most
wisely be conducted primarily through law enforcement,
coalition-building and covert action, as well as extensive security
measures at home.

>Fixing the clock of those involved is not an issue, the question is how you
>do it without having your grandchildren fighting it all over again. Our
>picture of Islam is no better than their picture of us; they watch Hollywood
>and we get fixated on mullahs.
>

>Whatever one may think of the Taliban, it is ancient Islamic practice to
>require hosts to protect guests. So do you present your proof to the world
>and ask not only the Taliban but every leader you can find if Islam requires
>the protection of guests that crap in the caviar or do you play John Wayne?
>In the long run of things you are a lot better showing Islam some degree of
>understanding, respect, and impartiality. Yes, it might even take some
>advertising: we have not gotten a lot of mileage out of our assists to the
>Islamic residents of Bosnia and Albanian parts of the Balkans.
>

Again, I agree. We can do this the stupid way, or we can take real steps to end terrorism both in the short- and long-term. To the above, I'll add this: two of the real enablers of radical religious terrorist groups are extreme poverty and lack of education. Stepping up efforts against these scourges worldwide would be one of the wisest investments we could make.

>The village idiot can fire a $2 million missile, and (as has been so nicely
>stated) blow up an empty $10 tent while hitting a camel in the butt.
>Clauswitz to the contrary, warfare is not diplomacy by other means.

That, of course, is not to say that it's never necessary. I believe we would be well within our rights to attack intransigent countries ("Islamic" or not) that are supporting the people who have made war on us, and with an eye towards our basic security, doing so may well be the least of all evils.

I don't think that should be the first step, however. Further, as has been repeatedly pointed out, many of the terrorists' actions don't jibe with mainstream Islamic thought. We should work as hard as possible to get the bulk of the Islamic world on board. Frankly, I think the most important thing to do will be to make it clear that we are reacting out of self-preservation, rather than simply throwing our weight around in an attempt to squash Islam generally.

Jonathan
Jonathan Williams, N0YM
remove "x's" from email to reply

4285. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 05:40:10 UTC
From: "Joe A." <n6six@netlynx.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9120E6A94F181n6sixnetlynxcom@207.217.77.24>
Source record: 20429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

mink <estring@nc.rr.com> wrote in
news:lle0qtkr8nibenomhhelhngefamhl6cssa@4ax.com:

> I have my ham certification. I have not turned the darn boring
> thing on since I bought my first computer in 1995. I come up for
> renewal next year and dont even see reason to waste my time.

Nice having you in the hobby, but I don't think there will be a single one
of us to shed a tear when your license expires.

N6SIX, Joe Acevedo

4286. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 11:10:18 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3ba87fa2$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20430

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010918004611.07541.00000681@nso-md.aol.com...
>
> The testing requirement is what made the CW mode as popular as it is
> today. In the absence of the testing requirement, it's popularity will
> eventually subside, and it's use will taper off to eventual nonexistence.
> If YOU think that newcomers can be brought into the CW mode in
> large numbers by the enthusiastic promotion of current users, then
> why don't YOU show us how it's done? And don't turn this back on
> me, or Dick, or any other PCTA, because by definition, we don't think
> it's possible. NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

It certainly won't survive through the expression of attitudes such as
above.

Kim W5TIT

4287. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 11:16:42 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3ba88122_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20431

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:8gBp7.29430$KV3.2446341@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20010918004612.07541.00000682@nso-md.aol.com...
> > In article <9staqtg9aunif7mr3am8vvbv8m9oqlal2f@4ax.com>, N0YM
> > <jxoxnxvxwxixlxlx@iastate.edu> writes:
> >
> > >
> > >I think her beliefs are unrealistic, but she has a right to them and a
> > >right to express them. And I would submit it takes courage for her to
> > >do so: she *did* lose her husband to those bastards, remember.
> >
> > Jonathan:
> >
> > So, the first thing that comes to her mind is appeasement, and
> > you apparently agree with her! Sure she has a right to express her
> > beliefs, but we are now in a state of War against terrorism; and her
> > "beliefs" are tantamount to treason in a time of war. This kind of
> > talk only disgraces the memory of her slain husband -- but of course,
> > she doesn't see it that way. He was probably a dumbed-down,
> > politically-correct pacifist as well, Soccer-Dad status notwithstanding.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> Maybe it is a generational thing, Larry. I know it stirred up a lot of
> flashbacks in me and I didn't do the Nam personally; my military career is
> parallel to Dick Cheney's. I think her question and mine is whether we
> really know what we are doing, why we are doing it, and whether we will
not
> in the long run of things make things worse.
>
> Nothing politically correct about it. Just a generation that lost 56k
dead
> and a lot more messed up when we had no idea what it would look like if we
> won. Put your Dymo label-maker back in your pocket.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

My greatest fear right now is that we all are so frightened and horrified about what happened that "we" are willing to give up so many personal freedoms to facilitate the things that "must be done" to wipe out terrorism (which will never happen). We probably cannot reverse many "restrictions" that we might be so willing to have placed upon us right now. Remember that.

Kim W5TIT

4288. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 12:02:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109190402.13a28a35@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20432

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA7B13B.33001FAE@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > We're not discussing hams who think like you, Cecil. We are discussing
> > hams who are not 100% satisfied with a 1X3 call. Please pay attention
> > to the context.
>
> Then you have changed the context of the discussion and I have nothing
> more to say.

You're the one who changed the context, Cecil.

You first asked why anyone who was 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only operating privileges would bother to upgrade. Then, when confronted with valid reasons, you tried to change it to "100% satisfied with a Tech license", which is a different thing entirely.

You also tossed in a pile of your own preferences.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4289. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 12:03:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919080307.15286.00000473@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20434

In article <3BA7EB06.37EFE9FE@worldnet.att.net>, Clay N4AOX
<wyn@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BA2D64C.51AE8A55@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> All in the name of cheap oil.
>>
>> >How do you spell the
>> >guy's name who took over Iran after the fall of the Shah?
>> >--
>> You're the one with the reference books, Cecil, you go look it up.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Touche'. So, we US citizens were the ones who created or
>let our government create these monsters.

Or set up the conditions under which they could flourish.

Afghanistan was the Soviets' version of Vietnam. We supported those who opposed
the Soviets, and now we're surprised that they want us out, too.

The West bought Iraqi oil and watched Saddam build a huge army, then was
surprised when he used it.

> Now, just like
>Dr. Frankenstein, they have turned on us, and we must
>destroy them-- or invite them home to dinner???

The long-term solution is to change the conditions that cause such extremists
to flourish.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4290. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 12:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919080308.15286.00000474@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20435

In article <9o8nm1$8vd$0@208.206.142.94>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> > Your (and my) generation had LBJ and his own agenda to deal with. Make
>> > no mistake, that was LBJ's war, to "fight" as he saw fit. And for us to
>> > lose for him. Once he realized what it was that he had done, he was
>> > powerless to do anything effective to correct it, and he died steeped in
>> > his own selfrecriminations.
>>
>> In spite of years of books, movies and babble, it belonged to one John
>> Fitzgerald Kennedy.
>
>
> Naw.... Just because he sent the orignal "advisors" doesn't saddle him
>with the historical facts that followed. The war actually began in
>earnest following LBJ's Gulf of Tonkin resolution. I really don't
>believe that JFK would ever have allowed the situation to go anywhere
>near where LBJ deilberbately directed it.
>
I have to agree with Dick on this.

Yes, Kennedy got the US involved in Vietnam, but it was LBJ who escalated the
US involvement in the conflict from a support operation to large-scale combat
operations.

LBJ was concerned about being perceived as "soft on communism" and
inexperienced in foreign policy matters and global issues. (He ran against
Goldwater in 1964, and nobody could say Goldwater was soft on communism!) He
also thought he had to compete with the memories of JFK and PT-109 plus
General Eisenhower. Vietnam was LBJ's war.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4291. Re: FCC Tells Ham "Take It To CB"...!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 12:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919080309.15286.00000475@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20436

In article <geomac-C62B26.19085316092001@central.isp.giganews.com>, George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:

>> >> Has anyone besides me actually heard the ham in question on the air?
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>
>> >
>> >Jim,
>> >
>> >I have not heard from WA1HLR since the mid 70s but he was part of the
>> >3880 gang which included many AM stations from up and down the Atlantic
>> >coast, yours truely included. Tim was interesting to chat with. I
>> >always thought he was funny, but that was another time and place. I have
>> >not heard him on the air in about 25 years and thought he was out of the
>> >hobby. He was known on the air by many as Tim - Tron.
>>
>> I have monitored 75 AM regularly since at least the early '90s. He has been
>a
>> regular there at least that long. Also heard on 40 AM.
>> >
>> >Anyone in this group rember the WA1HLR "slime-o-tron?
>> >
>> Have heard that and worse.
>>
>> He and Riley had at least one face-to-face meeting at a hamfest - Dayton
>> 2000,
>> I think it was. Saw the picture in Electric Radio.
>>
>> I find some of the stuff I've heard to be "funny" and some of it to be
>> objectionable to the point of obscenity. I'll let others argue over the
>legal
>> definitions. The big question is, what on-air conduct is acceptable in ham
>> radio? I say that if it's not "G-rated", it shouldn't be on the ham bands.
>>
>> Some folks point to the broadcast media and shows like Howard Stern's and
>say
>> that the standards for the ham bands should be the same. I disagree.
>> Broadcasting is entertainment, and schedules are published beforehand. One
>> can
>> avoid objectionable shows by reading the schedule. The same is not true of
>> the
>> ham bands - except in rare circumstances, one doesn't have any idea what
>the

>> content will be until too late.
>>
>> One of the things that got me started in ham radio was listening to the AM
>> gang
>> on 75 in the mid 1960s, before I was even a teenager. How many parents
>today
>> would encourage their 10-to-12 year old kids to become hams after listening
>
>> to
>> some of the stuff heard on the amateur voice bands?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim,
>
>What you say is interesting.

tnx

>Although I have not monitored 3.880 /85
>much since I have been in Kentucky (23 years) I have not heard him.
>I agree that some of the stuff on the bands was pretty bad but the
>situation seems to be getting better. The problems on 14.313 seem the be
>almost cleared up and the viterol on 3.950 has quieted down a lot. WA4D
>has been contained along with a number of his cohorts. Although Riley
>gets some flack in this group I think he has mad a difference.

I think he has made all the difference.
>
>Tim's brand of "potty humor" could sometimes be funny especially when
>you were a high school or college student in the early 70s with a bit of
>an anti establishment bent. I would have thought he would have grown out
>of it.

Yup - but instead the growth went the other way.

>On the other hand, there was a lot of good technical information
>to be had in the 3.880 am group and I certainly learned a lot there. Tim
>was a pretty large contributer in that way.

I agree 100% - which shows that technical knowledge and experience is no
guarantee of good on-air behavior.

> In many ways I was sorry to
>see it come to this.
>
>
Same here! I used to be on 75 AM, but the behavior of a few individuals there
was a big reason I left.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4292. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 12:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919080310.15286.00000476@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20433

In article <3BA80117.669E363C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >All hams are required by circumstances, i.e. forced, to meet the FCC
>> >requirements. You, yourself, posted a definition of forced = required.
>> >That means that all present HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code
>> >exam.
>>
>> Not me. I did it voluntarily.
>
>It doesn't matter if you did it voluntarily or not.

Sure it does. If I did it voluntarily, then I wasn't forced. Simple.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4293. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 12:05:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109190405.140c3ff8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20437

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010918223945.07541.00000785@nso-md.aol.com>...
> In article <9f4bfe89.0109180700.57467e51@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >
> > >FCC doesn't care if CW or code testing survives or not. They don't
> > >care if the ARS grows or not. All they want is an orderly ARS. The
> > >rest is up to us.
>
> Jim:
>
> And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements, particularly
> code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal?

They figured that reducing the requirements for a license and reducing
their admin work was part of the "less government is better
government" mantra. They're focusing on fewer rules but more
enforcement.

> Pardon me if I'm not
> brimming with admiration for their management philosophy!
>
I'm just explaining what the FCC is doing and thinking, not whether
it's a good idea or not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4294. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 13:59:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA8A4C1.380DA048@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20438

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Your
> > family probably doesn't know Morse code. Does that make them dumb?
>
> IF they professed to be HF ham radio operators there certainly could be
> a case made for it.

Let's see if I have this straight, Dick. Your family doesn't know Morse code and they are not presently dumbed down. A member of your family gets a tech license. He is suddenly dumbed down while all the rest of your family continues not to be dumbed down? You PCTA guys are the only people I know who can turn the accomplishment of acquiring an amateur radio license into a failure and a negative. You are ham radio's worse nightmare.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4295. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 14:02:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA8A588.950B9215@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20439

N2EY wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> > And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements, particularly
> > code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal?
>
> They figured that reducing the requirements for a license and reducing
> their admin work was part of the "less government is better
> government" mantra. They're focusing on fewer rules but more
> enforcement.

Jim, do you agree with others that an ordinary citizen who is not dumbed
down becomes dumbed down the moment he/she obtains a ham license?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4296. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 14:05:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA8A62A.B63DC91E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20440

N2EY wrote:
> You first asked why anyone who was 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only
> operating privileges would bother to upgrade. Then, when confronted
> with valid reasons, you tried to change it to "100% satisfied with a
> Tech license", which is a different thing entirely.

Sorry, I didn't realize that I had done that until I went back and
re-read my posting.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4297. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 14:12:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA8A7BB.CFDABBA4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20441

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >It doesn't matter if you did it voluntarily or not.
>
> Sure it does. If I did it voluntarily, then I wasn't forced. Simple.

I posted the definition of "forced" that I was using, Jim. You didn't post any opposing definition. So you are saying that you weren't required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements in order to obtain your ham license. Isn't cheating the only way to obtain a ham license without being required by circumstances to meet the FCC requirements?

Governmental requirements that carry the force of law involve force, by definition. Drivers are forced to obtain a driver's license before being allowed to drive on a public road. That's a common use of the word "forced".
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4298. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 16:45:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109190845.302160d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20442

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20010918141329.02731.00000625@nso-fp.aol.com>...
> In article <20010918061530.10650.00000509@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <3BA65B7D.77DB8596@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> >>Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>> Oh, come on. Maybe they want a shorter callsign,
> >>
> >>Or maybe they don't. What's wrong with a 1x3?
> >
> >It's longer than a 1X2 or a 2X1.
>
> Heh heh...and a 1x2 or 2x1 makes them "look" like an
> experienced long-timer.

Translation from LHAspeak to plain English: Some sort of insult.

> >>>or they want to study more about amateur radio,
> >>
> >>Don't need a higher license for that.
> >
> >But it helps.
>
> Another metaphysical phenomenon automatic with an
> upgrade? Secret portals of knowledge opening up with
> each license class grant?
>
> Reminder: The amateur radio written test is in no way any
> sort of academic achievement test.

Translation: Some sort of insult.

> >>> or they don't want to have restricted privileges,
> >>
> >>All amateur privileges above 30MHz may not seem restricted to them.
> >
> >Or they might. Or they might want to be ready for any eventuality.
> >
> >One reason I got my Extra way back when (1970) was so I would be able to
> >operate any authorized ham rig/mode/frequency. Many hams think that way.
>
> Over 99% of all amateur radio spectrum is above 70 cm.

> There are a dozen allocated bands above 70 cm, ripe for
> all kinds of interesting legal allocated mode use.

Translation: Statement of the obvious, for no discernable purpose.
>
> What has been your experience in these bands?

Infinitely more than yours operating on the ham bands below 70 cm.

> >>A tech license completely satisfies the needs of a lot of hams.
> >
> >Then why are the numbers of Extras growing and the number of Techs
> >decreasing?
> >See
> >
> >http://www.ah0a.org

LHA convniently snipped the next two sentences of the original post,
where I noted that the AH0A numbers for Technician are the sum of
Techs, Tech Pluses, and "Techs with HF". Reason for snipping unknown.
>
> Joe Speroni is a PCTA.
>
> The Speroni statistics pages on US amateur radio conviently
> lump the old Technician-Plus class with the current
> Technician class. The Technician-Plus class is no longer
> granted for new licenses. Lumping them with Technician
> class is a nice way to disguise the Techs as a group as
> being "decreasing."
>
> Advanced and Novice licenses are no longer granted, yet
> they are listed separately. It should come as no surprise
> that those classes are also decreasing in number. The
> Advanced and Novice license class test required a morse
> code test.

Translation: LHA has no clue as to what the numbers mean.
>
> A look at the NEW, never before issued, license class
> tabulations show that the overwhelming majority of NEW
> licensees are the no-code-test Technician class.

Translation: LHA states the obvous, then ignores the fact that
upgrades and expirations from the combined classes exceed new
licenses.
>
> PCTAs will rationalize that the Technician class is really
> "an entry class to amateur radio" and avoid discussing
> the absence of a code test for that class.

Translation: LHA claims to have a crystal ball.

> The argument
> against that is that the Novice class was once considered

> the same "entry to amateur radio" but the Novice class
> numbers have been dropping for years...as far back as the
> AH0A statistics site tabulations go in the past. Novice
> class licensees had to pass a code test.

Translation: LHA has no clue as to what the numbers mean, nor the trends that have been obvious for years.

The Novice used to be the way most new hams got started. But when the "old" Tech got the same HF privileges as the Novice, (mid 1970s), many new hams began going straight for the Tech, bypassing the Novice. After all, it was just one more written test than the Novice, but it carried a lot more privileges.

When the VEC system took over license testing, this route became more common among beginners. When the old General test was split into two elements in 1987, the same thing happened. When the code test was dropped from the Tech in 1991, the route became even more common.

The logic is simple:

Getting a Novice meant passing the 5 wpm code and a simple written - two completely different tests. Result is a license with very limited privileges. But getting a Tech after Feb 14 1991 meant passing two writtens that were related (now it's just one) and the result is a license with lots of privileges. And the Novice HF privileges could be gained at any time by simply passing the 5 wpm code. End result: The Novice was bypassed by most new hams.

The number of Techs in the AH0A data grew steadily until March 2001, then started to fall. The number of Extras continues to rise steadily.

N2EY

4299. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 16:49:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109190849.6407f4a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20443

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA8A62A.B63DC91E@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > You first asked why anyone who was 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only
> > operating privileges would bother to upgrade. Then, when confronted
> > with valid reasons, you tried to change it to "100% satisfied with a
> > Tech license", which is a different thing entirely.
>
> Sorry, I didn't realize that I had done that until I went back and
> re-read my posting.

Then we are both right.

Someone who is 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only operating privileges
has several reasons to upgrade, as Jim and I have shown.

Someone who is 100% satisfied with a Tech license has little or no
reason to upgrade. The only reason I can think of is that such a
person may want to be ready in case they should change their mind
about the Tech license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4300. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 16:51:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109190851.279f849d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20444

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA8A588.950B9215@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> > > And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements, particularly
> > > code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal?
> >
> > They figured that reducing the requirements for a license and reducing
> > their admin work was part of the "less government is better
> > government" mantra. They're focusing on fewer rules but more
> > enforcement.
>
> Jim, do you agree with others that an ordinary citizen who is not dumbed
> down becomes dumbed down the moment he/she obtains a ham license?

Not at all!

Note that I wrote: "reducing the requirements for a license".

73 de Jim, N2EY

4301. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 17:26:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA8D52F.D20D7DB9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20445

N2EY wrote:
> Someone who is 100% satisfied with a Tech license has little or no
> reason to upgrade.

This is what I was trying to say. Senility strikes again.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4302. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 17:28:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109190928.1aec4903@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20446

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20010918141326.02731.00000622@nso-fp.aol.com>...
> In article <9f4bfe89.0109180700.57467e51@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
> >FCC seems to be supporting the "less admin work for FCC" position
> >pretty strongly nowadays.
>
> The whole point of Restructuring was a modernization of the
> Agency and reduction of bureaucracy...for ALL services
> regulated by the FCC. That was a mandate from the US
> Congress.

All that was required of the FCC was a biennial review. They were only
mandated to restructure if they saw a need for it.
>
> >> Precisely. The FCC made it clear that they would abide by a
> >> consensus of the amateur community.
> >
> >Not exactly.
> >
> >For several years before restructuring, the FCC said they weren't
> >going to change anything unless there was a consensus in the amateur
> >community about what to change and what to keep.
> >
> >Then they tossed that policy in the junkheap and started the
> >restructuring process. FCC wasn't going to wait for a consensus
> >anymore.
>
> Sorry, that's only your telepathic input operating in high
> mental QRM conditions.

Sorry, LHA, it's exactly what happened. FCC decided to restructure
even though there was no consensus in the amateur community about it.
>
> Public record has the FCC already making its case in 1990
> in 90-53 on the creation of the no-code-test Technician class
> license.

So? What is your point, LHA?
>
> The US Congress ordered restructuring...for ALL services
> regulated by the FCC.

Nope. Congress ordered biennial reviews. FCC decided it was time to
restructure.

>
> The FCC never closed its doors or offices to ex parte
> presentations either from the ARRL or NCI.

Nobody ever said they did!

> They made
> direct electronic input on Comments available and there
> are still over 2600 such comments on 98-143 on public
> record.

So? You didn't even bother to comment, LHA - just "reply comments",
which are supposed to be only about other comments.

> >For decades, ARRL membership has hovered at about 1 in 3 US hams,
> >based on the FCC license numbers.
>
> For the past decade the ARRL membership is about 1 in 4,
> not 1 in 3, based on the ARRL's own membership information
> as well as the FCC amateur radio license database.

Translation: LHA completely missed the point.
>
> >Considering the fact that some hams
> >are totally inactive, an educated guess would put ARRL membership at
> >about 1 in 2 active US hams. A slight majority, perhaps, but not a
> >consensus.
>
> How is this "educated guess" referenced? By "poll"? By
> telepathy? By "credentials" in "educated guessing?" :-)

Translation: LHA wants to insult a more educated source, and again
completely misses the point.
>
> "Inactivity" applies across ALL amateur license classes,
> ARRL membership affiliation or not. There is NO FCC
> regulation now or in the past decade that mandates
> "some amateur radio activity" as any kind of condition
> of the license grant nor can such "activity" be any kind
> of factor in being part of a consensus condition.

Translation: LHA wants to argue with anyone, even those who are making
his point for him.
>
Here, LHA, I'll explain it so even YOU can understand it!* ;-)

K3LT claimed that the FCC should have looked to the ARRL for
consensus. But "consensus" means "general agreement", in the sense
that all interested/affected parties can live with the proposed idea.
Since ARRL does not have all interested parties as members, looking
only to ARRL for consensus doesn't make sense. And the ARRL is not
some monolithic block whose members all agree on the issues.

The fact is that the FCC gave up its earlier "waiting for consensus,
or something like it**" policy, and decided it was time to
restructure.
>
> >Nope. No way. Just look at the history.
> >
> >FCC has been pushing the nocode agenda since 1975.
>
> That's not visible on the public record or as some kind
> of "agenda" in ARRL publications.

Incorrect. Tell us, LHA, when did FCC try to have no-code-test ham
licenses before 1991?

> The ARRL's position
> on 98-143 (by public record) indicated they were still
> very much in favor of code testing.

What is the significance of that?

Fact is, ARRL BOD proposed reduced code testing. FCC simply went a
step further.
>
> >ARRL BOD opposition
> >did not stop medical waivers in 1990. ARRL's idea for a nocode VHF/UHF
> >license was ignored and the FCC just dropped the code test for Techs
> >in 1991.
>
> The FCC did not "just drop it."

Yes, they did.

ARRL BOD proposed a new class of nocodetest VHF/UHF license with a new
simple written test and very limited privileges. No 2 meters, for
example. The idea was that the new folks would populate 220-225 MHz,
which the FCC was proposing to partially reallocate. FCC saw through
that idea and simply dropped the code test and the HF privileges from
the existing Technician class. No new written test, no new name for
the license, easy and simple.

> FCC 90-53 clearly states their
> decision on making a new, sixth license class (without a code
> test) and why they did it, including quotes from commentors on
> both sides of the code issue. That was in 1990, 11 years ago.

The "Technician Plus" designation came about because hams with "old"
Tech tickets were concerned that their license documents did not
indicate that they had HF privileges.
>
> >FCC just doesn't want the hassle of code tests.
>
> The FCC had already privatized US amateur radio license
> testing by the 1991 no-code-test Technician class, leaving
> the VECs and VEs to administer code tests to the

> remaining five amateur radio license class tests.

Translation: Typical LHA statement of the obvious.
>
> It might come as a shock to the PCTAs, but the FCC does,
> by all public accounts, really accept citizen input.

Translation: Attempted insult.

The FCC accepts all input, even from noncitizens. They also ignore it when it suits them.

According to an NCI-sponsored count of the comments, a majority of those who commented to FCC on code tests in connection with restructuring not only favored code tests but favored at leat two code test speeds, 5 wpm being the lower speed. "5 wpm only" was a minority (46%, as I recall) opinion, yet FCC went that way in the restructuring.

> If code
> testing was such a "hassle" to the FCC, please explain how
> it can be a "hassle" when they would NOT normally be a
> part of the actual test process?

Simple.

FCC has to handle the records of testing, and the regulations. More important, the existence of medical waivers for >5 wpm tests meant that the FCC had to deal with the waiver paperwork. End result was a pile of admin work that the FCC didn't want and didn't see any point in continuing. Going to "5 wpm only" meant an end to waivers, and simplified code test record keeping: Passed or not passed.
>
> >In fact, I think the FCC wants to get rid of code tests for ham
> >licenses so that at least some folks who are now "freebanding" or
> >using cb as a hobby will become hams.
>
> Is the FCC engaged, or shoult it be engaged in some activity
> to recruit hams? This is not in their charter, is it?

Translation: LHA doesn't want ham radio to grow unless its growth is in exact accord with his vision for the service.
>
> The FCC is chartered to regulate US civilian radio and
> interstate communications.

Regulation includes seeing that the service is healthy.
>
> Here is what the FCC said publicly in 99-412 in opening
> text:
>
> " 1. By this Report and Order, we adopt rules that
> simplify the Amateur Radio Service operator license

> structure, streamline the number of examination elements
> and, reduce the emphasis on telegraphy that underlies
> the current license structure to the greatest extent
> possible, consistent with the international Radio
> Regulations (Radio Regulations). Moreover, we believe
> that these changes will: (a) allow current Amateur
> Radio Service licensees to contribute more to the
> advancement of the radio art; (b) reduce the
> administrative costs that we incur in regulating this
> service and streamline our licensing processes;
> (c) eliminate unnecessary requirements that may
> discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained
> operators, technicians, and electronic experts; and
> (d) promote efficient use of spectrum allocated to
> the Amateur Radio Service."

Typical boilerplate.
>
> >FCC doesn't care if CW or code testing survives or not. They don't
> >care if the ARS grows or not. All they want is an orderly ARS. The
> >rest is up to us.
>
> That's a noble enough statement and, in my opinion, a good
> one.

As are all of my statements. Glad to see you agree with that one, at
least.

> It does, however, go against the opinion quoted above
> that the FCC is trying to "recruit freebanders or 'cb hams'".

How does it go against that? And by the way, the opinion says:

"I think the FCC wants to get rid of code tests for ham licenses so
that at least some folks who are now "freebanding" or using cb as a
hobby will become hams."

No mention of "recruiting" anyone, or "cb hams".

Does LHA think that trying to get "freebanders" and hobby users of cb
to become licensed hams will result in a less-orderly ARS?

N2EY

4303. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 18:58:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA8EAC8.61BB67ED@uci.edu>
Source record: 20447

CAM wrote:
> Maybe it would help if you tried some Exlax for that problem
> of yours.

No problem here, Cecil.

4304. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 19:00:25 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA8EB49.6CBD7F82@uci.edu>
Source record: 20448

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > Someone who is 100% satisfied with a Tech license has little or no
> > reason to upgrade.
>
> This is what I was trying to say. Senility strikes again.

So is it your senility, or my "ExLax" problem that is at issue?

4305. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 21:26:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA90D93.EE1B80A9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20449

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Maybe it would help if you tried some Exlax for that problem
> > of yours.
>
> No problem here, Cecil.

If you accuse everyone of asserting something when they are
only asking a question, then the Exlax should help.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4306. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 21:29:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA90E3D.402F27E3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20450

Jim Kelley wrote:
> So is it your senility, or my "ExLax" problem that is at issue?

My issue with you is that you accused me of asserting something when
I only asked a question. Please produce my assertion for which you
think a concession is in order.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4307. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 21:46:41 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA91241.25658045@uci.edu>
Source record: 20552

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Maybe it would help if you tried some Exlax for that problem
> > > of yours.
> >
> > No problem here, Cecil.
>
> If you accuse everyone of asserting something when they are
> only asking a question, then the Exlax should help.

Thanks, but you are the one who got touchy when I quoted your comment
verbatum and responded to it.

4308. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 21:47:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA91270.31F035AE@uci.edu>
Source record: 20551

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > So is it your senility, or my "ExLax" problem that is at issue?
>
> My issue with you is that you accused me of asserting something when
> I only asked a question. Please produce my assertion for which you
> think a concession is in order.

You already conceded the point to another responder.

4309. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 23:41:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919194106.29616.00000523@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20555

In article <3BA7D36B.D682B884@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BA42F3C.64993C80@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>I might have thought that you'd have attempted an amateur radio license
>exam a dozen years ago then.

A discussion of the issues concerning federal regulations
does not require any form of licensing in a service covered
by those regulations. That is what the FCC says when it
makes public statements that it accepts input from all
citizens. We citizens of the USA are supposed to enjoy
freedom of speech...which we do but apparently not
about amateur radio which is ostensibly "ruled" by the
long-timers in the ARS.

Discussion on the issue of morse code testing for an
amateur radio license seems to be extremely one-sided.
NCTAs have presented arguments against the regulation
and responded to the well-worn phrases quoted by the
PCTAs. Unfortunately, the PCTAs have responded
primarily with personal insults and NOT countered any
of the NCTA arguments on the issue.

>The absence of warmth and civility
>directed your way is an indication of how your attitude shines through
>each unpleasant posting you make. If you act in your life as you post
>here, it is unlikely that you are met with warmth or civility anywhere
>at any time.

Tsk, tsk. Snap judgement made in anger.

REAL debate is about issues, not personalities. REAL
debate is tough on those who want to intimidate by their
presence and tough talk and insults directed towards other
debaters.

>Right, Anderson--I can't fathom myself marching clear up to the doorstep
>(but not passing through the door) and as an almost neophyte, telling
>those already involved in an endeavor just how it should be done.

The FCC grants amateur radio licenses, not the "ARS."
The FCC accepts input on any radio service from any

citizen...they must.
The "doorstep" Heil mentions is NOT the "amateur
community" but rather the FCC.

"Telling those involved how it should be done" is spin to
disguise an ego-bruised psyche. Elimination of the morse
code test or the reduction in maximum test rate IN NO
WAY affected the rights and privileges of already-
licensed amateur extras. With some individuals it seems
to have shaken their self-pride and perceived self-worth as
radio amateurs...but that is an individual, subjective
opinion that has nothing to do with regulations. They
must come to grips with acceptance of change and the
realization that "they" no longer have the fanciful notion of
"control" over all of the "amateur community."

>I think you've been sprinkling whiffle dust on your pancakes.

>Morse is still in widespread use in amateur radio. Most of us don't
>limit our operating to morse only though.

Does the FCC regulate amateur radio on what is in "widespread
use in amateur radio?" I see no indication that is so. The FCC
has allocated a dozen amateur bands above the 70 cm band
but some (mostly PCTAs) in here insist they are "unused" or
"little used." Why allocate bands that are not used?

The FCC has allocated two CW-only subbands but both of
those are above 30 MHz. "Widely used" CW must share
sub-band space with data. An ARRL poll has indicated that
below-30-MHz amateur use has CW as second place.

The morse code test has been required for a US amateur radio
license since the first US regulatory agency was formed
before the first world war. Back then it made sense. 89 years
later it does not. The FCC said in 1990 and 1999 that the
morse code test has no value to them for regulation...but they
must keep it in order to honor an ITU-R agreement.

Since the FCC doesn't care about maintaining a code test,
but they must honor it due to the agreement, that leaves
only one group promoting the code test, the PCTAs. Since
those persons have passed a code test...and are unlikely
to have to pass another...they insist on keeping it with the
following, unspoken reason: If they had to take it, all others
have to take it.

That's not any "reason" except something within "the ARS
community" (meaning those who have already taken it, no
inidicator of the feelings of the rest of the US ARS licensees.

Heil's use of the word "us" seems to have two meanings.
One use of "us" refers to all the PCTAs of his opinion, not

the entirety of the US ARS "community." That "community"
is quite split on the "necessity" of the code test, witness
all those who have spoken out against it in here and on
Comments on 98-143.

The second "us" is used to designate non-ARS-licensees
as "not belonging." That indicates that the "territorial
imperative" or "turf" applies and that non-ARS-licensees
must somehow be "judged" by the ARS licensees as to
their "worthiness." [see Heil's claim that all (no exception)
newcomers are "neophytes" and used in a demeaning
manner]

>It IS clear but this isn't microwave oven ham radio. There is no
>"instant" anything.

No one is insisting on any "instant" anything. That word
use is restricted to PCTA phraseology in here.

>There is a laughable 5 wpm test in place for any HF
>license.

That is an indicator that morse code skill is considered to
be the highest attribute of any amateur licensee. That is
the view of a minority of the US ARS "community"
according to a poll by the ARRL and also public Comments
on 98-143.

>> I am advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
>> any amateur radio license. It's not a personal issue. It is an
>> issue which affects the future of those not yet in amateur
>> radio.
>
>What's it to you?

It is not a personal issue, but rather a view that true
restructuring has not yet been achieved. The issue seems
quite personal to PCTAs. According to them the code test
is a showing of "dedication" and "discipline" yet the FCC
regulations do not mention them. Their use of "dedication"
and "discipline" seems more like an "allegiance 'owed' the
ARS." PCTAs have no such authority but claim it in
projecting their personal desires well beyond the entirety of
the "ARS community." Such a claim is fictious, an
artificiality.

>It's not an imaginary personal case, Anderson. It's a real personal
>case. Since your Extra ticket out of the box now seems less important
>to you it makes sense to re-evaluate your goals. Settling on being an
>SWL will be good for you.

Thank you for your imperial decision...but Heil is not emperor
nor does he have any authority to "grant" anything. :-)

Personal desires seem to drive all PCTAs in the US ARS that
comment in here. They and some NCTAs project their own
experiences as examples. Such examples seem consistent
in beginning as a teenager as if "that is the way it is done."

The FCC grants US amateur radio licenses. They must
consider the "personal wishes" of ALL citizens. Those
"personal wishes" are not the same as Heil's.

>If I had to guess, I'd guess that your life centers around this
>newsgroup.

That would be a very wrong guess.

>Len, I'd be the last guy to insist that you become a radio amateur.

That is quite evident. Please do not state the obvious. :-)

>I've encountered *no one* with *your* attitude. There are some
>forthright individuals who have been civil in their attitude. Then
>there is you.

Now, now, you're personalizing everything again. :-)

There have been forthright individuals in here who responded
to the holier-than-thou attitude of the PCTAs other than
myself. To say "you've never seen them" indicates your
reader program is faulty (doubtful) or that you are simply
personalizing a debate under perceived insult to yourself.

>Likewise, I suffered a dilemma. You win by default since Big Mouth Carl
>is gone.

If you mean Carl Stevenson, he is not "gone" but is not in
this newsgroup. Carl has one attribute and that is standing
for what he believed in despite the personal attacks
levelled at him by the PCTAs.

>Try reading what I wrote. *YOU* don't have the authority to assign
>homework.

True, I am not a school teacher. :-)

>> >> >> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
>> >> >> >offer you up as proof.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps
>the
>> >> >> >ultimate insensitive boob.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
>>
>> >I'm sure that makes a lot of sense to those individuals. That's
>> >probably why they've told you to blow it out your ass.
>>

>> Heh heh, that's what is expected. A calm, reasoned impersonal
>> discussion on the issues. :-)
>
>You've never made a calm, reasoned or impersonal response during your
>time here.

Ah, so the quoted passages above indicate "calm, reasoned,
impersonal responses from Heil?" :-)

4310. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 23:41:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919194108.29616.00000524@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20554

In article <9f4bfe89.0109190928.1aec4903@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>All that was required of the FCC was a biennial review. They were only >mandated to restructure if they saw a need for it.

The FCC apparently saw a need of it...especially after receiving over 2600 Comments on 98-143.

>Sorry, LHA, it's exactly what happened. FCC decided to restructure >even though there was no consensus in the amateur community about it.

"No consensus?!?" The whole of the 2600+ Comments indicated a sharp difference of opinion. The difference was so obvious that no one could claim that a "consensus" was possible.

>Nope. Congress ordered biennial reviews. FCC decided it was time to >restructure.

What is Miccolis' point here? Every radio service which has been renewed has been restructured.

>> The FCC never closed its doors or offices to ex parte
>> presentations either from the ARRL or NCI.
>
>Nobody ever said they did!

Miccolis (in an unquoted-by-him statement) implied that the FCC did not accept any input but rather made its decision solely internally.

>So? You didn't even bother to comment, LHA - just "reply comments", >which are supposed to be only about other comments.

Word play implying insignificance. 14 pages of single-spaced text is not exactly "insignificant." It is on public view at the FCC website. Note: A "Reply to Comments" is ALSO a "Comment."

>> >For decades, ARRL membership has hovered at about 1 in 3 US hams,
>> >based on the FCC license numbers.
>>
>> For the past decade the ARRL membership is about 1 in 4,
>> not 1 in 3, based on the ARRL's own membership information
>> as well as the FCC amateur radio license database.
>
>Translation: LHA completely missed the point.

Translation: JM didn't want to acknowledge numerical
correction so he made an unrelated comment. :-)

>> >Considering the fact that some hams
>> >are totally inactive, an educated guess would put ARRL membership at
>> >about 1 in 2 active US hams. A slight majority, perhaps, but not a
>> >consensus.
>>
>> How is this "educated guess" referenced? By "poll"? By
>> telepathy? By "credentials" in "educated guessing?" :-)
>
>Translation: LHA wants to insult a more educated source, and again
>completely misses the point.

"More educated sources" (presumably JM himself) should not
make such gross arithmetical errors. :-)

>Translation: LHA wants to argue with anyone, even those who are making
>his point for him.
>>
>Here, LHA, I'll explain it so even YOU can understand it!* ;-)
>
>K3LT claimed that the FCC should have looked to the ARRL for
>consensus. But "consensus" means "general agreement", in the sense
>that all interested/affected parties can live with the proposed idea.
>Since ARRL does not have all interested parties as members, looking
>only to ARRL for consensus doesn't make sense. And the ARRL is not
>some monolithic block whose members all agree on the issues.

The "more educated source" has not read enough of the
98-143 commentary. The division on code test retention
or elimination was obviously so deep that it was not possible
to see any possibility of consensus.

>The fact is that the FCC gave up its earlier "waiting for consensus,
>or something like it**" policy, and decided it was time to
>restructure.

The FCC simply did not get any consensus, could not see
that it was possible. Exactly what the FCC decided is on
public view as FCC 99-412.

>> The ARRL's position
>> on 98-143 (by public record) indicated they were still
>> very much in favor of code testing.
>
>What is the significance of that?
>
>Fact is, ARRL BOD proposed reduced code testing. FCC simply went a
>step further.

The ARRL's Comments on 98-143 were to maintain code
testing. Miccolis implied that the ARRL "was against
code testing," something the ARRL did not state prior to

99-412.

>> >ARRL BOD opposition
>> >did not stop medical waivers in 1990. ARRL's idea for a nocode VHF/UHF
>> >license was ignored and the FCC just dropped the code test for Techs
>> >in 1991.
>>
>> The FCC did not "just drop it."
>
>Yes, they did.

NO. FCC 90-53 *renamed* the previous Technician class to
"Technician Plus," kept the code test for Technician Plus, and
created the new Technician class license which did not have
a code test. Even though no new Technician Plus licenses
are granted, they still exist and are separate from Technician.

Miccolis should not make such mistatements and also
claim to be "more educated." :-)

>
>ARRL BOD proposed a new class of nocodetest VHF/UHF license
<snip>

Repeating the public statements by rewriting "what they meant"
and also leaving out significant parts (such as I stated above)
is not accurate. "More educated persons" should not make
such mistakes.

>The "Technician Plus" designation came about because hams with "old"
>Tech tickets were concerned that their license documents did not
>indicate that they had HF privileges.

Not quite. The real wording is in 90-53.

>> It might come as a shock to the PCTAs, but the FCC does,
>> by all public accounts, really accept citizen input.
>
>Translation: Attempted insult.

Does the FCC accept input from all citizens? Yes.
That's not an "insult" but a statement of fact.

>The FCC accepts all input, even from noncitizens. They also ignore it
>when it suits them.

So, Miccolis is able to look into FCC offices to see things
that are "accepted" and "ignored?" I don't think so.

The FCC makes ALL written input from the citizenry public,
much of it accessible through their website. When they
make decisions those decisions are made public along with
the reasoning behind decisions quoting input from identified
sources. That is NOT "ignoring what they choose to ignore."

>According to an NCI-sponsored count of the comments, a majority of
>those who commented to FCC on code tests in connection with
>restructuring not only favored code tests but favored at leat two code
>test speeds, 5 wpm being the lower speed. "5 wpm only" was a minority
>(46%, as I recall) opinion, yet FCC went that way in the
>restructuring.

You will have to quote the exact wording of that, won't you? :-)

Did the Comments on 98-143 reflect a "consensus?" No.

Did the Comments on 98-143 reflect even a possibility of
"consensus?" No.

>> Is the FCC engaged, or shoult it be engaged in some activity
>> to recruit hams? This is not in their charter, is it?
>
>Translation: LHA doesn't want ham radio to grow unless its growth is
>in exact accord with his vision for the service.

WRONG translation. The FCC is not, nor has it ever been in
any activity to recruit hams. The FCC only regulates US
civil radio and interstate landline communications services.

>> That's a noble enough statement and, in my opinion, a good
>> one.
>
>As are all of my statements.

Heh, by whose judgements? :-)

4311. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-19 23:41:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919194110.29616.00000525@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 20553

In article <9f4bfe89.0109190845.302160d0@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>> Reminder: The amateur radio written test is in no way any
>> sort of academic achievement test.
>
>Translation: Some sort of insult.

No insult. All radio operator tests required by FCC regulations, commercial or amateur, are for the FCC's purpose in determining whether an applicant should be granted a license.

The FCC is not chartered to be an academic organization.

FCC tests cannot be "academic achievement tests."

>> What has been your experience in these bands?
>
>Infinitely more than yours operating on the ham bands below 70 cm.

Zero divided by zero is still zero. :-)

Sigh...I thought "more educated persons" could do simple arithmetic accurately.

>> Advanced and Novice licenses are no longer granted, yet
>> they are listed separately. It should come as no surprise
>> that those classes are also decreasing in number. The
>> Advanced and Novice license class test required a morse
>> code test.
>
>Translation: LHA has no clue as to what the numbers mean.

Translation: Miccolis attempts an insult. :-)

>> A look at the NEW, never before issued, license class
>> tabulations show that the overwhelming majority of NEW
>> licensees are the no-code-test Technician class.
>
>Translation: LHA states the obvous, then ignores the fact that
>upgrades and expirations from the combined classes exceed new
>licenses.

Translation: Miccolis tries to ignore the fact that the NEW licensees going to Technician class are much larger than the combined numbers of new extra and general classes.
He also puts spin on all those upgrading from "lower" classes to extra to indicate that extra numbers are rising...by not

mentioning the decrease in the class numbers of others.

>> PCTAs will rationalize that the Technician class is really
>> "an entry class to amateur radio" and avoid discussing
>> the absence of a code test for that class.
>
>Translation: LHA claims to have a crystal ball.

Not prescience, just demonstrated repetition by PCTAs on
stock phrases used over and over and over again. :-)

>Translation: LHA has no clue as to what the numbers mean, nor the
>trends that have been obvious for years.

Proper Translation: Miccolis wants everyone to believe that ONLY
his spin on license class totals is "proper" and to ignore the
"lesser" classes such as the no-code-test Technician. Anyone
who does not agree to Miccolis' summation is summarily
"dismissed" as "in error." :-)

An example of spin -

>The number of Techs in the AH0A data grew steadily until March 2001,
>then started to fall. The number of Extras continues to rise steadily.

Speroni changed his tabulation scheme by combining
Technician-Plus numbers with Technician numbers. Those
are still separate classes even though no new Technician-Plus
licenses are granted. The spin comes from trying to have
both Technician-Plus and Technician categorized as just
"Techs" as if they were only one class.

Past threads have stressed the "fact" (supposition really)
that the (no-code-test) Technicians' class totals would be
dropping by large numbers as soon as renewal time came
about. That did not happen. The next claims by the same
group now wish to lump two separate classes together
under the title of "Tech" since they seem to categorize
such "Techs" as inferior or the "lowest caste."

The number of extras is rising due primarily from "upgrades"
from other classes (any of the other classes may upgrade,
jumping "higher" classes). Miccolis spins by omission of
differentiating between NEW extras and upgrades to that
class...and for the latter, omits the decrease in those
classes.

All of that is pure spin to attempt making amateur extras
look good (Miccolis is an extra) and technician class
look undesireable. That seems to tie in with boosting the
code test as the highest attribute of amateurism. :-)

Sin by omission is still sinning. :-)

4312. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 01:59:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9obiis$r15$0@208.207.71.131>
Source record: 20556

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BA8A588.950B9215@IEEE.org>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> > > > And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements, particularly
> > > > code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal?
> > >
> > > They figured that reducing the requirements for a license and reducing
> > > their admin work was part of the "less government is better
> > > government" mantra. They're focusing on fewer rules but more
> > > enforcement.
> >
> > Jim, do you agree with others that an ordinary citizen who is not dumbed
> > down becomes dumbed down the moment he/she obtains a ham license?
>
> Not at all!
>
> Note that I wrote: "reducing the requirements for a license".
\

Cecil can't understand that it's not the ham who is dumbed down but
rather the license requuirement.

4313. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 02:44:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9obl6f$51p$0@208.207.71.131>
Source record: 20557

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Your
> > > family probably doesn't know Morse code. Does that make them dumb?
> >
> > IF they professed to be HF ham radio operators there certainly could be
> > a case made for it.
>
> Let's see if I have this straight, Dick. Your family doesn't know Morse
> code and they are not presently dumbed down. A member of your family
> gets a tech license. He is suddenly dumbed down while all the rest
> of your family continues not to be dumbed down? You PCTA guys are the
> only people I know who can turn the accomplishment of acquiring an
> amateur radio license into a failure and a negative. You are ham
> radio's worse nightmare.

I guess it all balances out, Cecil. You are ham radio's most notable
genius cum idiot.

4314. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 03:35:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA955F0.AA0E8E38@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20558

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA7D36B.D682B884@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3BA42F3C.64993C80@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >I might have thought that you'd have attempted an amateur radio license
> >exam a dozen years ago then.
>
> A discussion of the issues concerning federal regulations
> does not require any form of licensing in a service covered
> by those regulations. That is what the FCC says when it
> makes public statements that it accepts input from all
> citizens. We citizens of the USA are supposed to enjoy
> freedom of speech...which we do but apparently not
> about amateur radio which is ostensibly "ruled" by the
> long-timers in the ARS.

You've discussed 'em. You've re-discussed 'em. You've made your input
to the FCC. The FCC may or may not have disregarded your input. You
indicated that you "might have had" some interest in obtaining a license
beginning a dozen or so years back. A dozen years of sitting on the
fence is a looooooong time.

> Discussion on the issue of morse code testing for an
> amateur radio license seems to be extremely one-sided.
> NCTAs have presented arguments against the regulation
> and responded to the well-worn phrases quoted by the
> PCTAs. Unfortunately, the PCTAs have responded
> primarily with personal insults and NOT countered any
> of the NCTA arguments on the issue.

Funny. I don't see it that way at all. For every argument presented by
those who would like to see code testing abolished, I've seen counter
arguments presented by those who desire code testing retention. I think
you manufactured your facts.

> >The absence of warmth and civility
> >directed your way is an indication of how your attitude shines through
> >each unpleasant posting you make. If you act in your life as you post
> >here, it is unlikely that you are met with warmth or civility anywhere

> >at any time.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Snap judgement made in anger.

...judgment based on long observation of your antics.

> REAL debate is about issues, not personalities. REAL
> debate is tough on those who want to intimidate by their
> presence and tough talk and insults directed towards other
> debaters.

You haven't contributed much to real debate and have followed the
tactics you ascribe to others.

> >Right, Anderson--I can't fathom myself marching clear up to the doorstep
> >(but not passing through the door) and as an almost neophyte, telling
> >those already involved in an endeavor just how it should be done.
>
> The FCC grants amateur radio licenses, not the "ARS."
> The FCC accepts input on any radio service from any
> citizen...they must.
> The "doorstep" Heil mentions is NOT the "amateur
> community" but rather the FCC.

Everyone here knows who grants amateur licenses. When you first obtain
an amateur license, having never held one previously, you are a
beginner. God, how it must gall you.

> "Telling those involved how it should be done" is spin to
> disguise an ego-bruised psyche. Elimination of the morse
> code test or the reduction in maximum test rate IN NO
> WAY affected the rights and privileges of already-
> licensed amateur extras. With some individuals it seems
> to have shaken their self-pride and perceived self-worth as
> radio amateurs...but that is an individual, subjective
> opinion that has nothing to do with regulations. They
> must come to grips with acceptance of change and the
> realization that "they" no longer have the fanciful notion of
> "control" over all of the "amateur community."

Doctor Lennie speaks! What can you tell us about your case of amateur
radio penis envy?

> >I think you've been sprinkling whiffle dust on your pancakes.
>
> >Morse is still in widespread use in amateur radio. Most of us don't
> >limit our operating to morse only though.
>
> Does the FCC regulate amateur radio on what is in "widespread
> use in amateur radio?" I see no indication that is so. The FCC
> has allocated a dozen amateur bands above the 70 cm band
> but some (mostly PCTAs) in here insist they are "unused" or
> "little used." Why allocate bands that are not used?

Yeah, Len. I think they do. The fact that you don't acknowledge that
it happens does not negate that it does. Those "PCTAs" who insist that
most bands above 70cm are under used would be quite correct. Even 70cm
itself is quite under used.

> The FCC has allocated two CW-only subbands but both of
> those are above 30 MHz. "Widely used" CW must share
> sub-band space with data. An ARRL poll has indicated that
> below-30-MHz amateur use has CW as second place.

and what?

> The morse code test has been required for a US amateur radio
> license since the first US regulatory agency was formed
> before the first world war. Back then it made sense. 89 years
> later it does not. The FCC said in 1990 and 1999 that the
> morse code test has no value to them for regulation...but they
> must keep it in order to honor an ITU-R agreement.

and what?

> Since the FCC doesn't care about maintaining a code test,
> but they must honor it due to the agreement, that leaves
> only one group promoting the code test, the PCTAs. Since
> those persons have passed a code test...and are unlikely
> to have to pass another...they insist on keeping it with the
> following, unspoken reason: If they had to take it, all others
> have to take it.

Code testing is pretty well settled, Len. What will you advocate next?

> That's not any "reason" except something within "the ARS
> community" (meaning those who have already taken it, no
> inidicator of the feelings of the rest of the US ARS licensees.

The code testing issue is pretty well dead, Len. What will you advocate
next?

> Heil's use of the word "us" seems to have two meanings.
> One use of "us" refers to all the PCTAs of his opinion, not
> the entirety of the US ARS "community." That "community"
> is quite split on the "necessity" of the code test, witness
> all those who have spoken out against it in here and on
> Comments on 98-143.
>
> The second "us" is used to designate non-ARS-licensees
> as "not belonging." That indicates that the "territorial
> imperative" or "turf" applies and that non-ARS-licensees
> must somehow be "judged" by the ARS licensees as to
> their "worthiness." [see Heil's claim that all (no exception)
> newcomers are "neophytes" and used in a demeaning
> manner]

But, Len--all newcomers are neophytes in any field. Why do you seem to
have a problem with that? If you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a
neophyte, a beginner. It'll soon pass and the damage to your enormous
ego will be slight.

> >It IS clear but this isn't microwave oven ham radio. There is no
> >"instant" anything.
>
> No one is insisting on any "instant" anything. That word
> use is restricted to PCTA phraseology in here.

You insisted, Len. You snipped out the relevant portion where you
whined that code test has not yet fully gone away.

> >There is a laughable 5 wpm test in place for any HF
> >license.
>
> That is an indicator that morse code skill is considered to
> be the highest attribute of any amateur licensee. That is
> the view of a minority of the US ARS "community"
> according to a poll by the ARRL and also public Comments
> on 98-143.

No, it was an indicator that 5 wpm can be done by a guy sorting out dot
and dash sounds in his bean. "Let's see...dit dit dit
dit.....ummmm...that's an 'H'."

> >> I am advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
> >> any amateur radio license. It's not a personal issue. It is an
> >> issue which affects the future of those not yet in amateur
> >> radio.
> >
> >What's it to you?
>
> It is not a personal issue, but rather a view that true
> restructuring has not yet been achieved. The issue seems
> quite personal to PCTAs. According to them the code test
> is a showing of "dedication" and "discipline" yet the FCC
> regulations do not mention them. Their use of "dedication"
> and "discipline" seems more like an "allegiance 'owed' the
> ARS." PCTAs have no such authority but claim it in
> projecting their personal desires well beyond the entirety of
> the "ARS community." Such a claim is fictious, an
> artificiality.

Again, what is it to you?

> >It's not an imaginary personal case, Anderson. It's a real personal
> >case. Since your Extra ticket out of the box now seems less important
> >to you it makes sense to re-evaluate your goals. Settling on being an
> >SWL will be good for you.
>
> Thank you for your imperial decision...but Heil is not emperor

> nor does he have any authority to "grant" anything. :-)

I didn't grant anything. You've mandated it yourself through inaction
on your part.

> Personal desires seem to drive all PCTAs in the US ARS that
> comment in here. They and some NCTAs project their own
> experiences as examples. Such examples seem consistent
> in beginning as a teenager as if "that is the way it is done."

There are numerous older neophytes. You can be a neophyte too if you
get moving.

> >If I had to guess, I'd guess that your life centers around this
> >newsgroup.
>
> That would be a very wrong guess.

Do you haunt other newsgroups as well?

> >Len, I'd be the last guy to insist that you become a radio amateur.
>
> That is quite evident. Please do not state the obvious. :-)

Actually, Len, it wouldn't bother me in the least if you got off your
duff and went after a license. I just wouldn't want to find myself
trying to engage you in pleasant banter. The word "pleasant" never
comes to mind when I think about you.

> >I've encountered *no one* with *your* attitude. There are some
> >forthright individuals who have been civil in their attitude. Then
> >there is you.
>
> Now, now, you're personalizing everything again. :-)
>
> There have been forthright individuals in here who responded
> to the holier-than-thou attitude of the PCTAs other than
> myself. To say "you've never seen them" indicates your
> reader program is faulty (doubtful) or that you are simply
> personalizing a debate under perceived insult to yourself.

There have been others who have not supported code testing. There have
been a few who piss folks off. No spectacular talent for grating on
others has been demonstrated except by you and Big Mouth Carl, the guy
who actually e-mailed me a portion of his job evaluation in an effort to
prove to me just how sharp he is.

> >Likewise, I suffered a dilemma. You win by default since Big Mouth Carl
> >is gone.
>
> If you mean Carl Stevenson, he is not "gone" but is not in
> this newsgroup. Carl has one attribute and that is standing
> for what he believed in despite the personal attacks

> levelled at him by the PCTAs.

That's the Big Mouth Carl I mean! Gone from this newsgroup and not encountered on the ham bands is good enough for me. The personal attacks levelled at Carl were certainly less than he had coming.

> >Try reading what I wrote. *YOU* don't have the authority to assign
> >homework.
>
> True, I am not a school teacher. :-)

At least we agree on something.

> >> >> >> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len. I
> >> >> >> >offer you up as proof.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps
> >the
> >> >> >> >ultimate insensitive boob.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
> >>
> >> >I'm sure that makes a lot of sense to those individuals. That's
> >> >probably why they've told you to blow it out your ass.
> >>
> >> Heh heh, that's what is expected. A calm, reasoned impersonal
> >> discussion on the issues. :-)
> >
> >You've never made a calm, reasoned or impersonal response during your
> >time here.
>
> Ah, so the quoted passages above indicate "calm, reasoned,
> impersonal responses from Heil?" :-)

You can rely on the fact that I was calm when writing them and that I gave them some thought before posting them. I'm afraid you've got me on "impersonal" since they were certainly directed toward LHA.

Dave K8MN

4315. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 03:43:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA957E9.5A9260DD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20559

Len Over 21 wrote:
>

> Word play implying insignificance. 14 pages of single-spaced
> text is not exactly "insignificant." It is on public view at the
> FCC website. Note: A "Reply to Comments" is ALSO a
> "Comment."

The FCC isn't running a magazine paying authors at a nickel a word.
Quantitiy doesn't count. Being on public view doesn't count. Posting
your stuff indicates "R" for "received". It does not indicate approval
or action on what you've submitted.

> The FCC makes ALL written input from the citizenry public,
> much of it accessible through their website. When they
> make decisions those decisions are made public along with
> the reasoning behind decisions quoting input from identified
> sources. That is NOT "ignoring what they choose to ignore."

Which FCC decision quotes your stuff?

Dave K8MN

4316. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 04:15:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA96D5A.1E60A4D3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20560

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil can't understand that it's not the ham who is dumbed down but
> rather the license requuirement.

Aha, so 5wpm Extras are NOT dumbed-down after all?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4317. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 04:22:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA96F0F.71FB07C0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20561

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > If you accuse everyone of asserting something when they are
> > only asking a question, then the Exlax should help.
>
> Thanks, but you are the one who got touchy when I quoted your comment
> verbatum and responded to it.

The touchy point is that you are still mistaken - my "comment"
wasn't a comment at all - it was a question!
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4318. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 04:25:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA96FBA.91EC6FB9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20562

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > So is it your senility, or my "ExLax" problem that is at issue?
> >
> > My issue with you is that you accused me of asserting something when
> > I only asked a question. Please produce my assertion for which you
> > think a concession is in order.
>
> You already conceded the point to another responder.

I asserted nothing so there was no point to concede. You continue to
be confused about that. All I did was ask a question.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4319. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 04:27:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <A7eq7.6260$2H2.440648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20563

Frankly, I just don't understand why this is such a passionate issue on either
side, but especially for you Lenny.Yes, I am one of those "old" farts that had
to learn CW, but it had/has nothing to do with what others must do to attain
the same privileges. I did it simply because it was one of the requirements in
effect at the time (late 80s). I dreaded it, I thought I might not be able to do it,
and I put it off. When my desire to operate on HF became strong enough, I
said, "OK (sigh), I"m gonna do this 13 WPM thing and I will forget about it."
But a funny thing happened on the way to the "opera"--I actually began to
ENJOY it. I discovered that it wasn't so bad after all. Once over the hill, I
discovered that the grass was, indeed, greener, and I had mastered a skill--
maybe not an essential skill, but a skill nonetheless. I have always excelled in
languages, and CW became a satisfying extension of that ability. It was/is fun
to sit by and copy words/QSOs and understand what is being said.

You might say, Lenny, we ALL have been on BOTH sides of CW--once not
even able to copy a single letter, then able to copy 10, 12, 15, 20, or more
WPM. When I was on the "other" side of 5 WPM, I dreaded trying to learn it.
But there was a "reward", a carrot, if you will, on the other side. I did
it in order to obtain something else more important to me.

For the moment, CW is here. It is a fact of life. The Feds cannot just wave a
wand and make it go away lest we breach a long-standing treaty. I concede
that, at some point in the future, it will go away. Until then, we just have to be
patient and see what happens.

As to the relevance, or lack thereof, of Amateur Radio during this past, or any
other National emergency, I must take issue with you on this. Amateur Radio
has always been involved in emergency communications and support when the
jig is up. I don't have to defend that. It is a fact, backed up by documentation
and the accolades of ES Directors all over the country. It is why most states,
counties, and cities include RACES, ARES and other amateur groups in their
EOCs. IF we were "irrevelant", then those ESDs would completely ignore our

offers of help, but instead of having to offer help, they quickly call upon us in the first minutes, or hours of an event. Funny, I don't see them calling on CBers with the rare exception of a few REACT groups--there isn't any such group at
our local EOC, but ARES has a permanent place at the desk of radios in there. That's because those people train to pass traffic, train to provide backup comms. Funny, but my area ham repeaters were full of traffic in the aftermath of the terrorist attack.....organizing collection of blood supplies, food, and providing comms for the Red Cross. HF was busy as well, providing comm-
unications for EOCs from Florida to New York. I was THERE, Lenny, not only as a ham, but as an authorized user of a Federal System called SHARES, operating on several FEMA HF frequencies as well.

Finally, I just don't understand your hostility towards Amateur Radio and the
CW requirement as well. We can't HELP the status of CW right now. Go ahead and express your opinion on its abolishment--you aren't alone. Person-
ally, I kind of LIKE the CW requirement, and my reasons are my own and not meant to provide a basis for flaming others for their opposite opinions. If it
goes away, fine. If not, fine. As an outsider, attacking ham radio, I just don't
see how it's any of your beeswax considering that you stated you have no in-
tention of joining the fraternity anyhow.

Jerry

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010918121241.27641.00000447@nso-fk.aol.com...
> In article <3BA42F3C.64993C80@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >It would seem that you do not have, anywhere, obtaining an amateur radio
> >license as an objective.
>
> Ah, managed to remember something I said long ago and not
> so long ago? Good for you.
>
> I might have thought it would be fun a dozen years ago. Given
> the clearly demonstrated "warm openness and civility" of the
> PCTAs it is growing ever unlikely that I would.
>
>
> >Why would a rational adult male take on the task if he had no obsession
> >with amateur radio?
>
> So, Heil cannot fathom anyone not thinking/desiring/whatever
> as he does? Or is it brainwashing of all that propaganda in

> ham literature that the "best" ham thing is CW on HF? :-)
>
> Why is OOK CW so esteemed, elevated to a high, ivory
> pedestal so high that the PCTAs give morse code ability
> a divine, god-like attribute?
>
> All other radio services in the world have rejected OOK
> CW codings as being "best" for any reason. Some
> amateurs still cling to that notion. Why?
>
> Answer: It boils down to every newcomer having to do
> just as the PCTAs did or be subjected to personal ridicule
> and denigration. PCTAs cherish their morse ability and
> point to the "law" as if the "law" were immutable. They
> also point with undue pride to themselves stressing
> "legality" as if they were mighty standard-bearers of the
> only Truth and Justice. :-).
>
> >Len, the situation regarding elimination of code as a testing element
> >are pretty clear. Since that is the case, why do you persist?
>
> It is NOT clear. Go to the FCC international bureau pages
> and read the IWG-6 drafts made so far. The S25.5 action
> item has no action at all yet...it is tabled for further "study."
> Pfaugh...tabled because the Vice Chair hasn't received
> "orders" from Neu England?
>
> >If you
> >are interested in obtaining an amateur license, go the code free route.
> >When the morse testing element disappears, attempt to pass a higher
> >level. No one has prevented you from making your statements.
>
> I am advocating the elimination of the morse code test for
> any amateur radio license. It's not a personal issue. It is an
> issue which affects the future of those not yet in amateur
> radio.
>
> Whatever I do or anyone else does about a license is not the
> issue. Elimination of the code test affects the future in amateur
> radio and that future is for everyone. Everyone. Not just
> set-in-their-ways individuals dreaming alone in front of their
> radios, maintaining "Newcomers have to by-#$!!! do it like *I*
> did or go to $#%!!"
>
>
> >Maybe I can have a
> >T-Shirt made for you: "Ham Ticket B4 Silent Key"
>
> Heil makes insensitive cloddish statements. Everyone will
> die.

>
> My life ambition has very little to do with "getting a ham ticket."
> That isn't the issue, but Heil, not being able to effectively
> counter the NCTA statements, has to make it an
> imaginary "personal" case.
>
> My life does not center around amateur radio nor will it.
>
> Amateur radio can be a fun hobby, but it is still a hobby,
> not some quasi-military, "follow orders" lodge hall "service."
>
> [that "service" word is not a regulatory term]
>
> Others think differently, but then others should not attempt
> to shove their personal desires down everyone's throat by
> any means.
>
>
> >I've encountered no one else with your attitude.
>
> Wrong. If you've read everything in here, there have been
> many who have been forthright in their opinion of the code
> test and were not swayed by bullying PCTA control-freaks.
>
> I've been trying to decide who was worse, KH2D or K8MN.
> I'll give Kehler the nod since he was a better wordsmith.
> Heil is way too imaginative and cannot telepath.
>
>
> >You don't have the authority to assign me homework.
>
> Heil has NO authority on anything...yet he persists in
> demanding others to do things his way or be the subject
> of personal-attack ridicule..
>
>
> >> >> >It is possible to be both patriotic and an insensitive boob, Len.
I
> >> >> >offer you up as proof.
> >> >>
> >> >> >You are not the run-of-the-mill insensitive boob; you are perhaps
the
> >> >> >ultimate insensitive boob.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Blow is out your ass, Len.
>
> >I'm sure that makes a lot of sense to those individuals. That's
> >probably why they've told you to blow it out your ass.
>
> Heh heh, that's what is expected. A calm, reasoned impersonal

> discussion on the issues. :-)
>
> LHA

4320. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 06:59:13 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87zo7qs5z2.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 20564

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> than mine, I would support yours. But yours lacks information on CW
> beyond how much fun some hams find it to be. If it has no communications
> value and is only fun, then it is gone anyway,

Ah, that explains why no one rides horses or bicycles, sails sailboats,
hand-carves wood, hand-dyes or hand-weaves textiles, or prints
letter-press documents anymore.

Rich

4321. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 13:23:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA9EDCC.815C063D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20565

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:
>
> > than mine, I would support yours. But yours lacks information on CW
> > beyond how much fun some hams find it to be. If it has no communications
> > value and is only fun, then it is gone anyway,
>
> Ah, that explains why no one rides horses or bicycles, sails sailboats,
> hand-carves wood, hand-dyes or hand-weaves textiles, or prints
> letter-press documents anymore.

Dick reminds me of my Mother when I was a teenager.
"If it's fun, don't you dare do it!" :-)
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4322. Re: DIRTY WHITE PEOPLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 13:38:24 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BA9F150.A540951@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 20566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Freedom is about making your own choices and
taking personal responsibility for those choices.
We cannot legitimately blame others for our own actions.

"Loonies" are not censored in our free land because:

People are not forced to listen to what some loony says.

Therefore, people are not harmed by what some loony says.

Therefore, if people listen, they do so willingly,
are actively seeking something about which to be offended,
and have only themselves to blame.

This is common sense and
taking responsibility for one's own choices.

Too bad the rest of the world doesn't get it.

LET FREEDOM RING

4323. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 15:41:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920114132.15892.00001152@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20567

>Subject: Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/18/01 12:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010918121242.27641.00000448@nso-fk.aol.com>

>...nor
>have I any desire for "loading up the shack with lots of expensive
>play pretties." [that's a rather stupid, denigrating description
>of any ham's elaborate amateur radio station]

YOU are the one who made the comments vis-a-vis buying an FT-1000, Lennie.

>Jim Hall, KD6JG, has a Yaesu FT-1000 in the office room of
>his house in Cedar Ridge, CA. The number came to mind is
>all. [I do not commit ham equipment advertising data to
>memory nor do I have envy of what others have]

Uh huh...Sure...we REALLY believe you, Your Geezerness.

>Think ever more deeply. I've said at least twice that I have no
>interest in working HF DX just for the sake of working DX or
>making contacts just for the sake of making contacts..

THEN....If that were TRUE (which I am sure it is NOT), then YOU could have
been a licensed Amateur and had capabilities at your fingertips that allowed
you as an individual to have far greater than any employer ever gave you as an
employee.

>...nor
>have I any desire for "loading up the shack with lots of expensive
>play pretties." [that's a rather stupid, denigrating description
>of any ham's elaborate amateur radio station]

No it's not. YOU are stupid and denigrating. Almost every Ham I know
want's MORE "play pretties".

WE can use them...YOU can only admire them.

>I am thinking about trading it in for a newer model with
>RF output levelling, but that is another subject.

What about the subject of YOU gettign a license and getting some play
pretties of your own, Lennie?

>Robeson is sadly mistaken if he thinks I am "lusting" (as
>someone put it) for an HF privilege amateur license.

YOU are the one who brought it up, Lennie.

Of course, you only mentioned the FT-1000 since your friend has mentioned it...Just like EMS wannabe's who recite impressive sounding medical terms but have no idea what they mean, you recite what your REAL Ham buddy says.

That's because you have NO practical knowledge or experience in Amateur Radio.

Again, you act the fool because you ARE the fool. Lennie.

Lost in a world you cannot comprehend nor understand.

Need a map?

Steve

4324. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 15:46:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920114639.15892.00001153@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20568

>bject: Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?
>From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
>Date: 9/18/01 9:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010918210721.11044.00001071@mb-bj.aol.com>
>
>>Guess this lil ole Yaesu FT757GX isn't as pretty
>
>Who says? Many think it's a quite handsome radio. Take care and 73.

I agree 100%, Mike. I was being facitious for Lennie's sake.

The comment was aimed at Lennie the Licenseless. He made a comment the other day about how I must be lusting over a particular radio that he is in financial circumstances that permit him to just buy one off the shelf if he so desires.

The probelm, of course, is that he has NO license and it would be nothing more than an overly-equipped SWL receiver for him.

He'd be all dressed up with no where to go.

I love my 757GXII. It's a great rig.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4325. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 15:48:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920114819.15892.00001155@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20569

>Subject: Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/18/01 2:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010918141329.02731.00000625@nso-fp.aol.com>

>Heh heh...and a 1x2 or 2x1 makes them "look" like an
>experienced long-timer.

At least they have the license to qualify for call sign to do it.....

Got yours yet, Lennie?

4326. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:00:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9od3q5cgd0@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 20570

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:
>
> > than mine, I would support yours. But yours lacks information on CW
> > beyond how much fun some hams find it to be. If it has no communications
> > value and is only fun, then it is gone anyway,
>
> Ah, that explains why no one rides horses or bicycles, sails sailboats,

I suppose when you find yourself in circumstances where no modern transportion is available, you will just sit instead of saddling up or mounting the bicycle. That seems to fit your mentality.

> hand-carves wood, hand-dyes or hand-weaves textiles, or prints
> letter-press documents anymore.

None of this has any relationship to communications or other immediate needs. When communications is needed, you use what you can, with what you have available. No doubt you and the rest of the No-code world will not have CW available. That is your personal flaw and shortcoming. And you can't even imagine it.

4327. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:01:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9od3thcgd1@208.206.142.82>
Source record: 20571

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil can't understand that it's not the ham who is dumbed down but
> > rather the license requuirement.
>
> Aha, so 5wpm Extras are NOT dumbed-down after all?

They hold a qualification based on a dumbed down test. What else can
they be?

4328. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:23:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA17EF.3C6E00CE@uci.edu>
Source record: 20572

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > So is it your senility, or my "ExLax" problem that is at issue?
> > >
> > > My issue with you is that you accused me of asserting something when
> > > I only asked a question. Please produce my assertion for which you
> > > think a concession is in order.
> >
> > You already conceded the point to another responder.
>
> I asserted nothing so there was no point to concede. You continue to
> be confused about that. All I did was ask a question.

All I did was correctly answer your question. What is your problem?

4329. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:26:37 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA18BD.6C617E99@uci.edu>
Source record: 20573

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > If you accuse everyone of asserting something when they are
> > > only asking a question, then the Exlax should help.
> >
> > Thanks, but you are the one who got touchy when I quoted your comment
> > verbatum and responded to it.
>
> The touchy point is that you are still mistaken - my "comment"
> wasn't a comment at all - it was a question!

Huh. You called it a statement before.

"If someone is "100% satisfied" (the original statement)
then there is no reason for that someone to upgrade."

4330. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:40:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920124006.27514.00000005@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20575

In article <20010919194110.29616.00000525@nso-fz.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>> What has been your experience in these bands?
>>
>>Infinitely more than yours operating on the ham bands below 70 cm.
>
>Zero divided by zero is still zero. :-)

LHA demonstrates the technique of multiple mistakes in a single sentence.

1. My experience on the bands above 70 cm. is not zero.
2. Division by zero is undefined.
3. The limit of the mathematical expression where both quantities approach zero is not necessarily zero.

>
>Sigh...I thought "more educated persons" could do simple
>arithmetic accurately.

I can. You obviously can't, because you think you can divide by zero.

N2EY

>

4331. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:40:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920124007.27514.00000006@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20576

In article <20010918223944.07541.00000784@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>>>I heard the wife of a victim interviewed yesterday. She wants us to
>>>>sit down at a conference table with Osama Bin Laden and find out
>>>>what he wants in return for stopping the terrorism.
>>>
>>>Typical, weak-minded, dumbed-down, politically-correct American.
>>
>>Why? Because she wants to know why her husband was killed?
>
>No, because she wants to learn what Bin Laden "wants in return for
>stopping the terrorism." In other words, she wants to appease this
>terrorist in order to make him stop committing terrorist acts.

No, that's not correct, Larry. You are projecting your thought processes on someone you don't know.

Simply asking the question "what do they want?" is NOT an indication that the asker wants to give those things. The situation is like the person in a store who asks the price of an item - it doesn't mean the person wants to pay that price. All it means is that the person wants information.

> Do you
>remember anything from history about the effect of appeasement?

Sure. Do you remember anything from history about the success rate of superpowers in guerilla wars against dedicated idealistic fanatics?
>
>>>She's probably some Soccor Mom in a minivan who has no problem
>>>with killing unborn babies or confiscating the guns from law-abiding
>>>citizens. A true Clinton supporter, to be sure.
>>
>>I guess that means she doesn't have freedom of speech anymore, huh? Guilty
>of
>>wrongthink because she wants to know the reasons behind terrorism.
>
>Jim:
>
>She doesn't simply want to know the reasons in order to better understand;
>she wants to know what to hand over to Bin Laden to induce him to
>stop killing innocent people, such as her husband.

Is that an unreasonable question?

> What does she think Bin Laden would accept?

She doesn't know - that's why she asked the question. She does not presume to know others' motivations.

> Perhaps President Bush's head on a platter?

Maybe. You don't really know, do you?

>I'm guessing, based on her question, wouldn't bother her one bit!

You're projecting your biases and prejudices on someone you don't even know.

> It is
>obvious that she thinks that appeasing this Anti-American terrorist is more
>important than standing up for American values.

Not at all. You ASSUME that she would give the terrorists anything they want. Know what happens when you assume?

And which "American values" is she not standing up for? Shall we start with freedom of speech?
>
>>>We are now at war against terrorism -- not just the terrorists
>>>responsible for the acts of September 11 -- but the *whole* of
>>>terrorism, everywhere.
>>
>>If so, don't the relatives of those who have fallen have the right to know
>>what the battles are for?
>
>That's not the information she's seeking.
>She wants to know what to give
>over to Osama Bin Laden in order to make him stop prosecuting his war
>of terror against the United States.

What's wrong with knowing that?

>She wants to appease him, not
>defeat him.

How do you know? Does asking the price of an item mean that the asker wants to buy the item for the stated price?

>In fairness to her, she is distraught over the loss of her
>husband, and is not likely to be thinking very clearly.

Maybe.

>However, fuzzy
>thinking is no help in this situation.

That includes your fuzzy thinking about her question. You assume that she wants to "pay the price" just because she asks the question. That's not a logical thought process.
>
>>>This includes *all* those who condone,
>>>support, and enable terrorists, including this woman!
>>

>>Bull. She didn't say the terrorists were right. She didn't say they should
>>get what they want. All she did was ask what they want. Is asking such
>questions
>>wrong?
>
>Yep. They are wrong in the worst possible way.

So you want to suppress free speech?

> I am not one little bit
>interested in what Osama Bin Laden wants, because I *know* what he
>wants. He wants to see the destruction of America and our way of life.

You just contradicted yourself, Larry.

>The only thing I want is to stop him -- but definitely not by appeasing him.

If what he wants is destruction of America, then there is no way to appease
him.

>I want HIS head on a platter. Silver optional.
>
And if that doesn't solve the problem?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

4332. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 16:40:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920124008.27514.00000007@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20574

In article <3ba88122_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>My greatest fear right now is that we all are so frightened and horrified
>about what happened that "we" are willing to give up so many personal
>freedoms to facilitate the things that "must be done" to wipe out terrorism
>(which will never happen). We probably cannot reverse many "restrictions"
>that we might be so willing to have placed upon us right now. Remember
>that.
>
Kim,

Good point. Restrictions imposed as a temporary measure gradually become accepted as normal. Lots of examples, like the income tax.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4333. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 17:44:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA2AF7.934601AA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20577

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Cecil can't understand that it's not the ham who is dumbed down but
> > > rather the license requuirement.
> >
> > Aha, so 5wpm Extras are NOT dumbed-down after all?
>
> They hold a qualification based on a dumbed down test. What else can
> they be?

I thought you said, "it's not the ham who is dumbed down". You
are contradicting yourself. Please make up your mind. Is an
ordinary citizen dumbed down by the achievement of obtaining
a ham license? It's a simply yes/no question.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4334. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 17:58:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA2E5F.4A6BE221@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20578

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I suppose when you find yourself in circumstances where no modern
> transportion is available, you will just sit instead of saddling up or
> mounting the bicycle.

You completely missed the point, Dick. I have a GMC pickup and a Harley available yet I ride my bicycle ten miles almost every morning. It is not only fun but makes me strong in case I need to be strong. CW is the same. It is not only fun but can be useful when it is needed. No need for an affirmative action program requiring me to know how to ride a bicycle. Same for Morse code.

> No doubt you and the rest of the No-code world will
> not have CW available. That is your personal flaw and shortcoming.

99.8% of the population of the US has that same "personal flaw and shortcoming" including most of your relatives. It is a personal choice, Dick, not a flaw. You and I have the right to choose to know and use CW. 99.8% of the population has exercised their right to choose not to know and use CW. And that's exactly the way it should be in a hobby in a free society. You seem to think ARS stands for "Armed Radio Service".
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4335. A Letter From Ted Nugent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:00:40 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA2EC8.77440AA2@uci.edu>
Source record: 20579

Written by Ted Nugent, the rock singer and
hunter/naturalist, upon hearing that California
Senators B. Boxer and D. Feinstein denounced him
for being a "gun owner" and a "Rock Star". This
was his response after telling the senators about his
past contributions to children's charities and scholarship
foundations which have totaled more than $13.7 million
in the last 5 years!!

I'm a Bad American - this pretty much sums it up for
me. I like big trucks, big boats, big houses, and
naturally, pretty women. I believe the money I make
belongs to me and my family, not some midlevel
governmental functionary with a bad comb-over who
wants to give it away to crack addicts squirting out
babies. I don't care about appearing compassionate.
I think playing with toy guns doesn't make you a killer.
I believe ignoring your kids and giving them Prozac
might.

I think I'm doing better than the homeless. I don't think
being a minority makes you noble or victimized. I have
the right not to be tolerant of others because they are
different, weird or make me mad. This is my life to live,
and not necessarily up to others' expectations. I know
what SEX is and there are not varying degrees of it.

I don't celebrate Kwanzaa. But if you want to that's fine;
I just don't feel like everyone else should have to. I
believe that if you are selling me a Dairy Queen shake,
a pack of cigarettes, or hotel room you do it in English.
As of matter of fact, if you are an American citizen you
should speak English. My uncles and forefathers
shouldn't have had to die in vain so you can leave the
countries you were born in to come disrespect ours,
and make us bend to your will. Get over it.

I think the cops have every right to shoot your sorry butt
if you're running from them after they tell you to stop. If
you can't understand the word 'freeze' or 'stop' in
English, see the previous line. I don't use the excuse
"it's for the children" as a shield for unpopular opinions
or actions. know how to count votes and I feel much
safer letting a machine with no political affiliation do a
recount when needed. I know what the definition of

lying is, and it isn't based on the word "is"-ever.

I don't think just because you were not born in this country, you qualify for any special loan programs, gov't sponsored bank loans, etc., so you can open a hotel, 7-Eleven, trinket shop, or any thing else, while the indigenous peoples can't get past a high school education because they can't afford it.

I didn't take the initiative in inventing the Internet. I thought the Taco Bell dog was funny. I want them to bring back safe and sane fireworks. I believe no one ever died because of something Ozzy Osbourne, Ice-T or Marilyn Manson sang, but that doesn't mean I want to listen to that crap from someone else's car when I'm stopped at a red light. But I respect your right to.

I think that being a student doesn't give you any more enlightenment than working at Blockbuster or Jack In The Box. I don't want to eat or drink anything with the words light, lite or fat-free on the package.

Our soldiers did not go to some foreign country and risk their lives in vain and defend our Constitution so that decades later you can tell me it's a living document ever changing and is open to interpretation. The guys who wrote it were light years ahead of anyone today, and they meant what they said - now leave the document alone, or there's going to be trouble.

I don't hate the rich. I help the poor. I know wrestling is fake. I've never owned, or was a slave, and a large percentage of our forefathers weren't wealthy enough to own one either. Please stop blaming me because some prior white people were idiots - and remember, tons of white, Indian, Chinese, and other races have been enslaved too - it was wrong for every one of them.

I believe a self-righteous liberal Democrat with a cause is more dangerous than a Hell's Angel with an attitude. I want to know exactly which church is it where the "Reverend" Jessie Jackson preaches; and, what exactly is his job function. I own a gun, you can own a gun, and any red blooded American should be allowed to own a gun, but if you use it in a crime, then you will serve the time.

I think Bill Gates has every right to keep every penny he made and continue to make more. If it makes you mad, then invent the next operating system that's better and put your name on the building. Ask your

buddy that invented the Internet to help you.

I don't believe in hate crime legislation. Even suggesting it makes me mad. You're telling me that someone who is a minority,gay, disabled, another nationality, or otherwise different from the mainstream of this country has more value as a human being that I do as a white male. If someone kills anyone, I'd say that it's a hate crime. We don't need more laws! Let's enforce the ones we already have.

I think turkey bacon, turkey beef, turkey fake anything sucks. I believe that it doesn't take a village to raise a child-it takes a parent with the guts to stand up to the kid and spank his butt and say "NO!" when it's necessary to do so. I'll admit that the only movie that ever made me cry was Ole Yeller. I didn't realize Dr. Seuss was a genius until I had a kid.

I will not be frowned upon or be looked down upon or be made to keep silent because I have these beliefs and opinions. I thought this country allowed me that right. I will not conform or compromise just to keep from hurting somebody's feelings. I'm neither angry nor disenfranchised, no matter how desperately the mainstream media would like the world to believe otherwise. Yes, I guess by some people's definition, I may be a bad American. But that's tough.

Ted Nugent

4336. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:07:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA3063.89656457@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20580

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Huh. You called it a statement before.
>
> "If someone is "100% satisfied" (the original statement)
> then there is no reason for that someone to upgrade."

Yep, I made that statement and I stand by it. Nothing to concede. N2EY agrees. If someone wants a 1x2 or 2x1 call then that is obviously prima facie evidence that they are not 100% satisfied.

So there is nothing to concede regarding my statement and it is not possible to concede anything regarding a question. You are beating a dead horse.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4337. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:09:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA30E1.8F2FE792@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20581

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > I asserted nothing so there was no point to concede. You continue to
> > be confused about that. All I did was ask a question.
>
> All I did was correctly answer your question. What is your problem?

The problem is all yours. You are demanding that I concede something
when there is nothing to concede. I asked a question. You answered it.
No concession necessary or even possible.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4338. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:11:10 UTC
From: paul harris <paul@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80A9482B4@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 20765

By the way, you must have forgotten that the buildings had 110 floors.

4339. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:14:06 UTC
From: Leland KC8LD0 <Leland_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <9odble01p40@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20586

Leland KC8LDO
>
>In article <3ba88122_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
>>My greatest fear right now is that we all are so frightened and horrified
>>about what happened that "we" are willing to give up so many personal
>>freedoms to facilitate the things that "must be done" to wipe out terrorism
>>(which will never happen). We probably cannot reverse many "restrictions"
>>that we might be so willing to have placed upon us right now. Remember
>>that.
>>
>Kim,
>
>Good point. Restrictions imposed as a temporary measure gradually become
>accepted as normal. Lots of examples, like the income tax.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
And 11 meters.8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

4340. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:20:26 UTC
From: Leland KC8LD0 <Leland_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <9odc1a01q98@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 20585

Leland KC8LDO
>
>In article <GBxp7.29048$KV3.2418482@bgtnsc04-
>news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, powell.brett@worldnet.att.net says...
>> I wonder if the jerk who posted the inital post of this thread thought to
>> ask how many hams are missing or known dead responding to this event?
>
>I'm sure you mean well but no hams should have been going on site to
>respond to the event if it were merely to go and be a ham.

>
>
Or CB operators either,I hate them !

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

4341. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:29:02 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA356E.C136ECB9@uci.edu>
Source record: 20583

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Huh. You called it a statement before.
> >
> > "If someone is "100% satisfied" (the original statement)
> > then there is no reason for that someone to upgrade."
>
> Yep, I made that statement and I stand by it.

Actually, you misquoted yourself.

4342. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:30:54 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA35DE.1D47850D@uci.edu>
Source record: 20582

CAM wrote:
> The problem is all yours. You are demanding that I concede something
> when there is nothing to concede. I asked a question. You answered it.
> No concession necessary or even possible.

I asked you to concede there are reasons one might decide to upgrade
aside from gaining additional privileges.

4343. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 18:43:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920144318.16100.00001153@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20584

>Subject: Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/20/01 12:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010920124006.27514.00000005@nso-bj.aol.com>

>>Sigh...I thought "more educated persons" could do simple
>>arithmetic accurately.
>
>I can. You obviously can't, because you think you can divide by zero.

Jim, what do you think he did for 15 years in school? Panty Raid 101..?!?!
Advanced Guzzling..?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4344. Re: A Letter From Ted Nugent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 19:38:55 UTC
From: Christopher McNabb <cmcnabb@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <9odgkf$bk6$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 20587

Jim Kelley wrote in message <3BAA2EC8.77440AA2@uci.edu>...
>Written by Ted Nugent, the rock singer and
>hunter/naturalist, upon hearing that California
>Senators B. Boxer and D. Feinstein denounced him
>for being a "gun owner" and a "Rock Star".

While this letter is certainly something Ted Nugent would agree with, it has also been attributed to George Carlin, Rush Limbaugh and Denis Leary. None of these actually wrote it. A message from the REAL original author is at http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3b21fbab4b27.htm . It is also debunked at http://www.snopes2.com/quotes/carlin.htm

4345. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 21:24:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920172420.27514.00000026@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20588

In article <3BA41BAE.C403EEA4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> Yamamoto was right: The sleeping giant was awakened, and boy was he
>pissed.
>> >
>> >I heard on the radio today that the reason the Japanese didn't invade the
>> >US mainland was that they knew a lot of American civilians were armed. :-)
>>
>> I guess the fact that they'd have to support an invasion force from the
>other
>> side of the world's largest ocean didn't factor into it, huh?
>
>Just relating what I heard on the radio, Jim.

Uh huh.

>Consider what would have
>happened to the hijacked planes if the pilot and/or co-pilot had been
>carrying concealed firearms

Until we know what happened on those planes, it's impossible to speculate.
Maybe the crew members would have shot the hijackers. Maybe the hijackers would
have knifed the crew members before they could get the guns out.

>- or even if Barbara Olsen had had a 9mm in
>her purse.
>
This last is nonsense.

If it were legal to bring firearms onto commericial aircraft, the hijackers
would have brought them on, too.

Did you know that it was OK to bring knives (small ones - 3 or 4 inch blade was
the limit) onto commercial aircraft?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4346. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 21:44:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA6337.237043DB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20589

N2EY wrote:
> If it were legal to bring firearms onto commericial aircraft, the hijackers
> would have brought them on, too.

It is legal for federal marshals to bring firearms onto commercial
aircraft without allowing such for the hijackers. The government
is recruiting for that position at this very moment. Neal Boortz
has suggested that anyone with a valid concealed carry permit be
allowed to bring concealed weapons onto commercial aircraft and
be issued special fragmenting ammo. Have you read, "More Guns,
Less Crime"?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4347. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 21:50:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA649A.CCF593D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20590

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Yep, I made that statement and I stand by it.
>
> Actually, you misquoted yourself.

Yes, I did, but it was unintentional. The question I meant
to ask was, "Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with
a tech license want or need to upgrade?" That still leaves
your ridiculous demand that I concede a point which is
impossible to concede since the "point" you are talking
about was something contained in a question and therefore
was not a point at all.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4348. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 21:58:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAA669A.FED1044C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20591

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The problem is all yours. You are demanding that I concede something
> > when there is nothing to concede. I asked a question. You answered it.
> > No concession necessary or even possible.
>
> I asked you to concede there are reasons one might decide to upgrade
> aside from gaining additional privileges.

DUUUUHHHHHH Jim, a 1x2 or 2x1 license is an additional privilege.
That was your first example.

If someone is 100% satisfied with a tech license, he/she will not
upgrade. If he/she upgrades, then he/she was not 100% satisfied with
a tech license. You are beating a dead horse.

I have been 100% satisfied with a BSEE degree and therefore
have never sought a higher degree. If I sought a higher degree
it would be because I was not 100% satisfied with a BSEE degree.
What is it about that concept that you find so hard to understand?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4349. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 22:06:52 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <37a026ae72c997dbb189294390601345.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20592

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

>She doesn't simply want to know the reasons in order to better understand;
>she wants to know what to hand over to Bin Laden to induce him to
>stop killing innocent people, such as her husband. What does she think
>Bin Laden would accept? Perhaps President Bush's head on a platter?
>I'm guessing, based on her question, wouldn't bother her one bit! It is
>obvious that she thinks that appeasing this Anti-American terrorist is more
>important than standing up for American values.

I'm convinced that appeasement and tolerence are the religion of
the simple-minded.

In this country millions of people are blindly adrift on the
sea of "live and let live". And we've allowed it to be a
comfortable place to be. But the fanatics aren't going to
allow that. Over the next months and years, people who worship
at the altar of appeasement are going to be forced into seeing
that appeasement of terrorists and fanatics is a myth. They
will force each of us to make a choice. This is a classic battle
of good versus evil. You will be forced to choose which side
you're on.

I've chosen my side.

The IOoDHW (International Order of Dismayed Hand Wringers) are being
heard louder each day, decrying the innocents who will suffer from
the pending punishment (ignoring the innocents already fallen on
our soil). I trust that Allah will sort out the innocents from the
perps.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupv195.mpls.uswest.net [209.180.31.195]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4350. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 22:14:45 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA6A55.B36BE9C1@uci.edu>
Source record: 20593

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Yep, I made that statement and I stand by it.
> >
> > Actually, you misquoted yourself.
>
> Yes, I did, but it was unintentional. The question I meant
> to ask was, "Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with
> a tech license want or need to upgrade?"

Why would you want to pose such a stupid question? I simply posted an
answer to the question you actually DID ask.

> That still leaves
> your ridiculous demand that I concede a point which is
> impossible to concede since the "point" you are talking
> about was something contained in a question and therefore
> was not a point at all.

No it doesn't.

4351. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 22:29:35 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAA6DCF.AC8F9FA8@uci.edu>
Source record: 20594

CAM wrote:
> What is it about that concept that you find so hard to understand?

What I don't understand is why you think you it is reasonable to ask such a question. It's based on a false assumtion, and it was not the premise of our discussion. What is it about this that YOU don't understand?

4352. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 23:25:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920192550.07474.00001342@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 20595

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/20/01 6:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <37a026ae72c997dbb189294390601345.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>I'm convinced that appeasement and tolerence are the religion of
>the simple-minded.

Absolutely. It has never worked before. Apparently it was not a lesson
well learned from WW2 for this "person".

>In this country millions of people are blindly adrift on the
>sea of "live and let live". And we've allowed it to be a
>comfortable place to be.

Again, Absolutely. And I could spank Jimmy Carter and his "feel good"
policies of the 70's which I believe helped to set this. Especially his
"Executive Order" which castrated America's intelligence services.

>The IOoDHW (International Order of Dismayed Hand Wringers) are being
>heard louder each day, decrying the innocents who will suffer from
>the pending punishment (ignoring the innocents already fallen on
>our soil).

Anyone over the age of 18 that is within 10 miles of that murdering
bastard is not innocent. The first time we told the Taliban to give up
binladen and they refused we should have lit up the night.

Now they will be ready for us.

>I trust that Allah will sort out the innocents from the
>perps.

You betcha.

Steve

4353. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 23:45:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920194500.25140.00000419@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20598

In article <3BA955F0.AA0E8E38@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Funny. I don't see it that way at all. For every argument presented by
>those who would like to see code testing abolished, I've seen counter
>arguments presented by those who desire code testing retention.

Yes, such as "the code test has always been there...";
"CW is vital to emergency communications...";
"CW is the most basic mode of communications..."
and my favorite
"A CW test is the LAW (do not break the law)!"

>I think you manufactured your facts.

Nope, I got them ready-made just like your radios... :-)

>You haven't contributed much to real debate and have followed the
>tactics you ascribe to others.

Translation: Heil wants to be debate referee so that he can
disregard the NCTAs (by any means possible); Heil gets
whiffed when someone disagrees with him, etc., etc. :-)

>Doctor Lennie speaks! What can you tell us about your case of amateur
>radio penis envy?

Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy." :-)

Does Heil think his "radio penis" is enviable?!? :-)

>Code testing is pretty well settled, Len. What will you advocate next?

The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
NOT "settled."

>The code testing issue is pretty well dead, Len. What will you advocate
>next?

The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
NOT "settled."

>But, Len--all newcomers are neophytes in any field. Why do you seem to
>have a problem with that?

Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)

>If you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a neophyte, a beginner.

Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)

>You insisted, Len. You snipped out the relevant portion where you
>whined that code test has not yet fully gone away.

The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
NOT "settled."

>There are numerous older neophytes. You can be a neophyte too if you
>get moving.

Why? :-)

>Do you haunt other newsgroups as well?

Do I? Why not identify them? :-)

I really should look in on the Astronomy newsgroups to
see if Heil has kicked the Schmidt out of them to be
legally licensed astronomers... :-)

>Actually, Len, it wouldn't bother me in the least if you got off your
>duff and went after a license. I just wouldn't want to find myself
>trying to engage you in pleasant banter. The word "pleasant" never
>comes to mind when I think about you.

Yeah, Dave, it must be hell to have someone in here who
has an opposite viewpoint to yours...someone who
doesn't salute your insignia nor "drop down and give you
ten" on your command. Life is tough, ain't it? :-)

>There have been others who have not supported code testing. There have
>been a few who piss folks off. No spectacular talent for grating on
>others has been demonstrated except by you and Big Mouth Carl, the guy
>who actually e-mailed me a portion of his job evaluation in an effort to
>prove to me just how sharp he is.

>That's the Big Mouth Carl I mean! Gone from this newsgroup and not
>encountered on the ham bands is good enough for me. The personal
>attacks levelled at Carl were certainly less than he had coming.

Well, that's how it is, folks. Heil is da JUDGE! All rise...

Order in da court! :-)

4354. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 23:45:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920194504.25140.00000420@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20599

In article <A7eq7.6260$2H2.440648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Frankly, I just don't understand why this is such a passionate issue on
>either side, but especially for you Lenny.

Why not? :-)

The retention or elimination of the amateur radio license code test will NOT affect the privileges of those amateurs who have already been tested. It DOES affect all those who MIGHT want to enter into amateur radio through licensing. That latter number may be small, large, or possibly huge since it extends into an indefinite future.

>Yes, I am one of those "old" farts that had
>to learn CW, but it had/has nothing to do with what others must do to attain
>the same privileges. I did it simply because it was one of the requirements
>in effect at the time (late 80s). I dreaded it, I thought I might not be able
>to do it, and I put it off. When my desire to operate on HF became strong
>enough, I said, "OK (sigh), I"m gonna do this 13 WPM thing and I will forget
>about it."
>But a funny thing happened on the way to the "opera"--I actually began to
>ENJOY it. I discovered that it wasn't so bad after all. Once over the hill,
>I discovered that the grass was, indeed, greener, and I had mastered a skill--
>maybe not an essential skill, but a skill nonetheless. I have always
>excelled in languages, and CW became a satisfying extension of that ability.
>It was/is fun to sit by and copy words/QSOs and understand what is being
>said.

The FCC did not carry on a code test for radio amateurs to boost fun, allow them to learn a secret language, or even uncover individual hidden talents. The FCC's task is to regulate US civil radio, all of it including amateur radio. A license grant exists because it is the FCC's decision that testing is a way to perform that regulation. Passing both written and morse code test elements is NOT an academic achievement...it is just passing a required regulation.

To be fair, having passed an amateur radio license exam IS a personal achievement but it needs to be kept in perspective, especially in regards to regulations. Individual skill in a particular aspect of amateur radio is not a valid claim to its continuance in regulations covering all, whether or not those others are licensed amateurs.

Some of those who have passed a code test seem to
overemphasize the fact...or subscribe to the mythology
that excellence in code skill is the defining mark of
amateur radio. The FCC doesn't think so, but then the
FCC only regulates US amateur radio (as well as a much
larger group of other radio services)... :-)

To put things on an "individual" basis, if one could take a
survey of how many have tried morse code and did NOT
like it, the number may be higher than realized. PCTAs
conveniently ignore such things while basking in their
self accomplishment.

>You might say, Lenny, we ALL have been on BOTH sides of CW--once not
>even able to copy a single letter, then able to copy 10, 12, 15, 20, or more
>WPM. When I was on the "other" side of 5 WPM, I dreaded trying to learn it.
>But there was a "reward", a carrot, if you will, on the other side. I did
>it in order to obtain something else more important to me.

You have to fairly ask WHY the code test remains as a
"carrot." Who demands that it should stay as a license
test regulation? The overwhelming answer as to who turns
out to be those who have passed such a test. Those
insist that it should be kept for the unvoiced "reason" that
they had to pass it therefore all others must also pass it.

>For the moment, CW is here. It is a fact of life. The Feds cannot just
>wave a

WRC-03 is a year and a half away. According to FCC public
record, IWG-6 (Informal Working Group 6) of the International
Bureau has NOT YET decided anything on ITU-R itme 1.7
that covers three things in proposed recommendation changes.

Item 1.7 has existed for over a year, IWG-6 has met four
times yet they cannot agree on a draft proposal concerning
it...it keeps being "tabled." One of those three topics in
1.7 is the 40m band amateur realignment v. SW broadcast
allocations in Regions 2 and 3...and THAT has been an
"action item" since WARC-79!

Those who wish changes to modernize radio regulations from
old, outdated rules have to keep prodding the "feds" to act.
Those who are indifferent can simply use the admonishment
of "face it, it is here to stay..." :-)

>As to the relevance, or lack thereof, of Amateur Radio during this past, or
>any other National emergency, I must take issue with you on this.

Of course you will...since I detect a need for individuals to
wrap themselves in patriotic group bunting...along with the
false use of the word "service" in amateur radio service.

In previous messages under this thread I brought out two points. The first was that "emergency communications" is NOT the proper descriptor of "health and welfare" communications. The second point was that the major news media seldom mention all the "emergency communications" done by radio amateurs. Everyone responded by pointing to the ARRL "news source."

The ARRL promotes amateur radio and also seeks to continue as the major amateur membership organization in the USA. There's nothing wrong with that. The problems that arise from that are twofold beginning with the "spin," the re-ordering of descriptions and events in its "news" to favor amateur radio. The second is that too many amateurs have little or no other "news" medium about amateur radio other than the ARRL. This can lead to a "belief system" that the ARRL "never lies" or that it is an "unbiased" news source. It IS biased. There are times when it lies by omission or phrase editing...not true lies, just a manipulation of statements that convinces readers/viewers about a situation or happening.

>Amateur Radio
>has always been involved in emergency communications and support when the
>jig is up. I don't have to defend that. It is a fact, backed up by

In general, yes, but the purpose and existance of amateur radio is NOT as an emergency communications service.

There exist today, and existed two decades ago, a HUGE structure of TRUE emergency communications in the US, manned and used largely by professionals. This is rarely mentioned by the ARRL in its "news." Some radio amateurs keep insisting that "cell phones always fail during emergencies" and the presumption that amateur radio equipment survives better than professional equipment. Cell phones work well (with the 911 number) for individual telephone subscriber emergencies. Emergency professionals do not rely on cell phones for their emergency communications but have their own radios and networks which are in use 24/7.

In late May of this year a group of climbers got stuck on Mt. Ranier. They called for help not on cell phones, but on an FRS radio. A schoolgirl heard them first, told her parents who notified emergency authorities. The US Army was in turn notified and an Army helicopter came to the climbers' aid. All that was on local, Washington state, and national news. That example indicates that emergency communications are neither limited to cell phones nor amateur radio. Was that incident included in amateur "news" media reports?

>supplies, food, and providing comms for the Red Cross. HF was busy as well,
>providing comm-
>unications for EOCs from Florida to New York. I was THERE, Lenny, not
>only as a ham, but as an authorized user of a Federal System called SHARES,
>operating on several FEMA HF frequencies as well.

That's good that you did useful work in SHARES. It is also
in the news media that SHARES stood down on the third
day after Day 9-11. That still does not relate to the common
misuse of amateur radio health and welfare communications
described as "emergency communications." There's a
difference.

You will have to answer the question "did hams volunteer for
work on the disaster because they were hams or did they
volunteer work because they were good citizens?" Having
a federally-issued amateur radio license does not
automatically make an amateur a better citizen.

>Finally, I just don't understand your hostility towards Amateur Radio and
>the CW requirement as well.

I have NO "hostility towards amateur radio." I DO have
hostility against certain INDIVIDUALS who use their
amateur radio licensees as some kind of noble attitude that
makes them "superior" in radio over ordinary citizens. I
have a hostility against the attitude that only They are
"permitted" to speak on the subject of "their" regulations.

The "hostility" label was generated by some PCTAs
who could not effectively counter NCTA subject
arguments. They turned the subject issue into a
personal issue with several NCTAs.

I have absolutely no, repeat NO, hostility to the use of
OOK CW morse coding. None. I DO have an opinion
that the morse code TEST for an amateur radio license is
needful. The FCC agrees with that. I take strong
exception to the morse code test regulation but it is not
"hostility." It is a strong opinion allied with strong debate,
not hostility.

Those who cannot stand debate had best leave.

>see how it's any of your beeswax considering that you stated you have no in-
>tention of joining the fraternity anyhow.

Maybe I don't, but maybe I really do. Which is it? It seems
that amateurs are also licensed telepaths and KNOW what
others think. Why must any debate about federal regulations
ALWAYS be centered around "personal desires?" Why do
so many PCTAs always use their own personal examples
as the "best" that others should emulate?

Why should "good" hams always accept what the ARRL says is "best" for hams? Why must there be blind obedience to someone else's ideas of tradition, goals, aspirations? Is skepticsm "evil?".

4355. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 23:45:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920194505.25140.00000422@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20596

In article <3BA957E9.5A9260DD@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Which FCC decision quotes your stuff?

Heh heh heh...you mean you can't find it? :-) :-) :-)

Try FCC Reports&Orders 90-53 and 99-412, the FCC Manual as
starters.

4356. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-20 23:45:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920194505.25140.00000421@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20597

In article <20010920124006.27514.00000005@nso-bj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes in great irritation:

>In article <20010919194110.29616.00000525@nso-fz.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>> What has been your experience in these bands?
>>>
>>>Infinitely more than yours operating on the ham bands below 70 cm.
>>
>>Zero divided by zero is still zero. :-)
>
>LHA demonstrates the technique of multiple mistakes in a single sentence.
>
>1. My experience on the bands above 70 cm. is not zero.

Then what IS your experience? :-)

>2. Division by zero is undefined.

Tsk, tsk, mathematical error. A finite number divided by zero
results in infinity. Even dumb computers "know" that. :-)

Any expression of "0 / 0" is still zero. :-)

>3. The limit of the mathematical expression where both quantities approach
>zero is not necessarily zero.

This was not a case of "quantities approaching zero," it was
stated as "zero divided by zero." :-)

Apparently this all ties in with some strange mythology among
certain amateurs that amateur radio physics is "different" than
the radio physics in every other radio service. It isn't. Being a
legally licensed amateur means that one can access the
amateur microwave bands...such access does not carry with it
the personal ability to operate there...and "personal ability"
seems to be the new strawman argument in here. :-)

>>Sigh...I thought "more educated persons" could do simple
>>arithmetic accurately.
>
>I can. You obviously can't, because you think you can divide by zero.

"Obviously can't?!?" :-)

Nooooo. Tsk, tsk, I explained that "zero divided by zero" is still
zero, a null quantity that should be recognizeable fact to any

first-semester high school algebra student. :-)

N2EY cannot handle a mild tweak. :-)

4357. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 02:13:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010920221318.25777.00000972@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20600

In article <3BA811E0.6A860895@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> And the FCC figured that "dumbing down" licensing requirements,
>particularly
>> code testing, was the best way to achieve this goal?
>
>You really need to take time out and read what the FCC said, Larry.
>They said code testing has absolutely nothing to do with "dumbing
>down" or anything else except code testing and code skill.

Cecil:

Don't look now, but naturally the FCC won't claim that reducing code testing requirements is "dumbing down" the ARS. They have an axe to grind; one that doesn't get sharp unless they relieve themselves of the administrative burdens of the ARS any way they can. It just so happens that reducing/eliminating code testing is the axle upon which the sharpening stone revolves. I *did* read what the FCC said, and I'm going to tell you right now that I absolutely did not see them use the phrase "dumbing down" or anything like it. That phrase is being used by me and others who are simply telling the TRUTH about the effect of reducing amateur licensing requirements.

>Your
>family probably doesn't know Morse code. Does that make them dumb?

Only if they become ham radio operators under Post-Restructing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4358. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 02:25:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAAA519.5E23C1E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20601

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Your
> >family probably doesn't know Morse code. Does that make them dumb?
>
> Only if they become ham radio operators under Post-Restructing
> requirements.

So the accomplishment of obtaining a ham license under the present
requirements has the effect of dumbing citizens down? Got any
proof of that, Larry? Exactly how much does a citizen's IQ fall
when he/she becomes a ham radio operator?

Do you know exactly how ridiculous you sound? Avoiding the
accomplishment of studying and qualifying for an amateur
radio license saves a citizen from being dumbed-down?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4359. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 02:31:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAAA67E.38E53415@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20602

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Yes, I did, but it was unintentional. The question I meant
> > to ask was, "Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with
> > a tech license want or need to upgrade?"
>
> Why would you want to pose such a stupid question? I simply posted an
> answer to the question you actually DID ask.

The question that I intended to ask was a rhetorical one. Since I
didn't ask the question that I intended to ask, a failure to
communicate resulted. It happens all the time. Why are you making
such a big deal out of it?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4360. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 02:33:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAAA706.EF1FE2D7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20603

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > What is it about that concept that you find so hard to understand?
>
> What I don't understand is why you think you it is reasonable to ask
> such a question.

Hint: It was supposed to be a rhetorical question, my favorite kind.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4361. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 02:49:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oe9r6$6ur$0@208.206.142.74>
Source record: 20604

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > Cecil can't understand that it's not the ham who is dumbed down but
> > > > rather the license requuirement.
> > >
> > > Aha, so 5wpm Extras are NOT dumbed-down after all?
> >
> > They hold a qualification based on a dumbed down test. What else can
> > they be?
>
> I thought you said, "it's not the ham who is dumbed down". You
> are contradicting yourself. Please make up your mind. Is an
> ordinary citizen dumbed down by the achievement of obtaining
> a ham license? It's a simply yes/no question.

Only because you wish it were. Of course a ham who got an Extra class
license based on a 5wpm code test is dumbed down as compared to one who
passed 20wpm. I didn't invent this, just report it.

4362. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 02:49:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oe9sl$6ur$1@208.206.142.74>
Source record: 20605

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I suppose when you find yourself in circumstances where no modern
> > transportion is available, you will just sit instead of saddling up or
> > mounting the bicycle.
>
> You completely missed the point, Dick. I have a GMC pickup and a Harley
> available yet I ride my bicycle ten miles almost every morning. It is
> not only fun but makes me strong in case I need to be strong. CW is the
> same. It is not only fun but can be useful when it is needed. No need
> for an affirmative action program requiring me to know how to ride
> a bicycle. Same for Morse code.
>
> > No doubt you and the rest of the No-code world will
> > not have CW available. That is your personal flaw and shortcoming.
>
> 99.8% of the population of the US has that same "personal flaw and
> shortcoming" including most of your relatives. It is a personal choice,
> Dick, not a flaw. You and I have the right to choose to know and use CW.
> 99.8% of the population has exercised their right to choose not to know
> and use CW. And that's exactly the way it should be in a hobby in a free
> society. You seem to think ARS stands for "Armed Radio Service".

99.8% of citizens are not licensed as ham radio operators.

4363. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 04:00:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAAAD34.2D8BD55C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20606

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BA955F0.AA0E8E38@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Funny. I don't see it that way at all. For every argument presented by
> >those who would like to see code testing abolished, I've seen counter
> >arguments presented by those who desire code testing retention.
>
> Yes, such as "the code test has always been there...";
> "CW is vital to emergency communications...";
> "CW is the most basic mode of communications..."
> and my favorite
> "A CW test is the LAW (do not break the law)!"

> >I think you manufactured your facts.
>
> Nope, I got them ready-made just like your radios... :-)

Right. You DID manufacture your "facts".

> >You haven't contributed much to real debate and have followed the
> >tactics you ascribe to others.
>
> Translation: Heil wants to be debate referee so that he can
> disregard the NCTAs (by any means possible); Heil gets
> whiffed when someone disagrees with him, etc., etc. :-)

I don't need to be a referee to disregard the NCTAs. The easiest way to
disregard them would be to simply stop reading the newsgroup.

> >Doctor Lennie speaks! What can you tell us about your case of amateur
> >radio penis envy?
>
> Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy." :-)

> Does Heil think his "radio penis" is enviable?!? :-)

It is "amateur radio penis envy", Len and you might want to seek
treatment for yours. The easiest way to cure it would be to get off
your duff and tackle a licensing exam.

> >Code testing is pretty well settled, Len. What will you advocate next?
>
> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
> NOT "settled."

There you go again, Leonardo. You want "instant" everything.
All good things come to he who waits, I'm told.

> >The code testing issue is pretty well dead, Len. What will you advocate
> >next?
>
> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
> NOT "settled."

There isn't any "instant" fix, Len. You'll have to be patient--either
that or learn 5 wpm.

> >But, Len--all newcomers are neophytes in any field. Why do you seem to
> >have a problem with that?
>
> Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)

You are not now nor have your ever been a licensed radio amateur.
You'll be brand new to ham radio.

> >If you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a neophyte, a beginner.
>
> Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)

You're repeating yourself. You'll be an amateur radio beginner--a
neophyte. You'll have to obtain that first license to even be
considered a beginner. Better start boning up on those exams.

> >You insisted, Len. You snipped out the relevant portion where you
> >whined that code test has not yet fully gone away.
>
> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
> NOT "settled."

Give it a rest.

> >There are numerous older neophytes. You can be a neophyte too if you
> >get moving.
>
> Why? :-)

Why get moving? I think I see your problem in not having obtained a
license during your professed tweleve years of insterest in amateur
radio.

> >Do you haunt other newsgroups as well?
>
> Do I? Why not identify them? :-)

Are you writing to yourself or to me? It's slightly unnerving to have
your ask me to answer a question I've asked you.

> I really should look in on the Astronomy newsgroups to
> see if Heil has kicked the Schmidt out of them to be
> legally licensed astronomers... :-)

I'm not an amateur astronomer nor do I aspire to become one. I don't post lengthy rants in any astronomy newsgroups. It's strange that you've posted here for years, that you serve as an un-requested "advocate" for change in amateur radio licensing and that you aren't really interested in becoming a radio amateur.

> >Actually, Len, it wouldn't bother me in the least if you got off your
> >duff and went after a license. I just wouldn't want to find myself
> >trying to engage you in pleasant banter. The word "pleasant" never
> >comes to mind when I think about you.
>
> Yeah, Dave, it must be hell to have someone in here who
> has an opposite viewpoint to yours...someone who
> doesn't salute your insignia nor "drop down and give you
> ten" on your command. Life is tough, ain't it? :-)

Is your life tough, Len? Mine hasn't been particularly tough. There's the occasional amateur radio sidewalk super but I never have to worry about him when on the air--just here.

> >There have been others who have not supported code testing. There have
> >been a few who piss folks off. No spectacular talent for grating on
> >others has been demonstrated except by you and Big Mouth Carl, the guy
> >who actually e-mailed me a portion of his job evaluation in an effort to
> >prove to me just how sharp he is.
>
> >That's the Big Mouth Carl I mean! Gone from this newsgroup and not
> >encountered on the ham bands is good enough for me. The personal
> >attacks levelled at Carl were certainly less than he had coming.
>
> Well, that's how it is, folks. Heil is da JUDGE! All rise...
>
> Order in da court! :-)

You'd be a fool to go around making judgements in the course of your day to day life. Gosh! You'd have to make choices about who you'd like as your friends, what to eat, what clothing to wear, what political party to support. That stuff about judgements brings up a rather interesting point--if you don't make judgements, how'd you come up with the swell "advocate for the removal of morse testing" part time job?

Dave K8MN

4364. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 12:03:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921080342.05161.00000001@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20607

In article <20010920194505.25140.00000421@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>> What has been your experience in these bands?
>>>>
>>>>Infinitely more than yours operating on the ham bands below 70 cm.
>>>
>>>Zero divided by zero is still zero. :-)
>>
>>LHA demonstrates the technique of multiple mistakes in a single sentence.
>>
>>1. My experience on the bands above 70 cm. is not zero.
>
> Then what IS your experience? :-)

It's infinitely more than your experience operating an amateur radio station.
>
>>2. Division by zero is undefined.
>
> Tsk, tsk, mathematical error.

Nope. Basic 4th grade math - division by zero is undefined.

> A finite number divided by zero
> results in infinity.

Nope.

> Even dumb computers "know" that. :-)

What is the binary expression for infinity?
>
> Any expression of "0 / 0" is still zero. :-)

Nope. It's an undefined expression.
>
>>3. The limit of the mathematical expression where both quantities approach
>>zero is not necessarily zero.
>
> This was not a case of "quantities approaching zero," it was
> stated as "zero divided by zero." :-)

Division by zero is undefined. Your repetition of a mistake does not make it
any less a mistake.
>
>Apparently this all ties in with some strange mythology among
>certain amateurs that amateur radio physics is "different" than

>the radio physics in every other radio service. It isn't.

The subject is math. Division by zero is undefined, Live with it.

>Being a
>legally licensed amateur means that one can access the
>amateur microwave bands...such access does not carry with it
>the personal ability to operate there...

Sure it does. "Operate" is defined by the FCC as being responsible for the operation of the station. That means the operator must possess a valid amateur radio license in order to operate. FCC's definitions, not mine

By definition, you have zero experience operating an amateur radio station.

> and "personal ability"
>seems to be the new strawman argument in here. :-)

Nope.

>>>Sigh...I thought "more educated persons" could do simple
>>>arithmetic accurately.
>>
>>I can. You obviously can't, because you think you can divide by zero.
>
>"Obviously can't?!?" :-)

Yes. Anyone who posts division by zero with a defined result obviously doesn't knwo much math.
>
>Nooooo.

Yesssssssssss.

> Tsk, tsk, I explained that "zero divided by zero" is still
>zero, a null quantity that should be recognizeable fact to any
>first-semester high school algebra student. :-)

Nope. Zero divided by zero is not zero - it's undefined. In algebra as well as basic arithmetic.
>
>N2EY cannot handle a mild tweak. :-)
>
Nonsense.

LHA cannot handle even simple math. Nor can he stand being corrected when his numerous mistakes are pointed out.

Typical.

N2EY

4365. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 12:07:29 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <3bab2c4e.4838337@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20610

On 12 Sep 2001 22:53:04 GMT, Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net>
wrote:

>
>How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
>phone calls from airliners.
>
>How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
>debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
>In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
>which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
>tragedy.
>
>So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
>evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

And the point of your troll is.....??

(enter answer here)_____

As for "ever needed", don't tell the thousands of victims of any
hurricane that who used our ham rigs to tell Mom they were still alive
and still had all their parts attached, properly.

In this attack, which only trashed 16 acres (luckily), I don't see
what ham radio is doing. But, of course, I'm not in the middle of it
and I don't think those that are need explain themselves to either of
us. There's plenty of working telephones in NY and DC.

But, when the whole place is blown away, most lines down and those
that work completely saturated, ham radio still has a great place in
emergency comms. Ask Central America!

Larry

ps - hams please note the multiple newsgroups to non-ham scanner
operators in the headers....

4366. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 12:15:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109210415.31626370@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20608

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAA6337.237043DB@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > If it were legal to bring firearms onto commericial aircraft, the hijackers
> > would have brought them on, too.
>
> It is legal for federal marshals to bring firearms onto commercial
> aircraft without allowing such for the hijackers. The government
> is recruiting for that position at this very moment.

You're changing the context, Cecil. The original discussion was about the crew or a passenger having firearms. Armed law enforcement officers are a completely different issue.

> Neal Boortz
> has suggested that anyone with a valid concealed carry permit be
> allowed to bring concealed weapons onto commercial aircraft and
> be issued special fragmenting ammo.

Uh huh.

And what would prevent future hijackers from getting those permits? The hijackers were able to live here for years and get pilot training (and probably licenses) without raising any suspicions. There was an arrest of a suspected terrorist the other day who had a license to transport hazardous waste. Unless the permit process includes a VERY thorough check of everyone's background, it won't be of much help.

>Have you read, "More Guns,
> Less Crime"?

Nope. But I'm not against guns or their possession and use by responsible law-abiding citizens. What I'm against is simplistic "what if" scenarios that do not address the whole picture.

Did you know that it was OK for passengers to bring knives and boxcutters onto a commercial airliner?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4367. Wow - Is my news reader stuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 12:16:59 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <3bab2f28.5568365@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20609

I logged off rrap for almost a year and just turned it on this morning.

I went and cleaned out Free Agent's cache because all that changed was the dates from when I left. I thought the program had picked up an old database, someplace!

The SAME ham calls with the SAME rants, flames, bitching......

Simply amazing.....

4368. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 12:48:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921084804.18512.00000016@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20611

>Subject: Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/20/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010920194505.25140.00000421@nso-ba.aol.com>

>(N2EY)
>writes in great irritation:

Only because he has to deal with perianal abcess's such as yourself.

>Then what IS your experience?

Even if he has done nothing more than "kerchunk" a repeater, it's still
more than you, Oh Liecenseless Geezeer.

>...and "personal ability"
>seems to be the new strawman argument in here.

Nope...no "strawman". We can, you can't. Facts, Your Putziness...just
the plain old facts...

>N2EY cannot handle a mild tweak.

In as much as ALL of your posts are "tweaks", how is one supposed to
determine the "mild" ones from the others?

4369. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 13:04:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921090420.18512.00000017@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20612

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/20/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010920194500.25140.00000419@nso-ba.aol.com>

>>You haven't contributed much to real debate and have followed the
>>tactics you ascribe to others.
>
>Translation: Heil wants to be debate referee so that he can
>disregard the NCTAs (by any means possible); Heil gets
>whiffed when someone disagrees with him, etc., etc.

Nope....You are well known for instigating these exchanges and then crying "VICTIM"...

Only YOU think that you are the innocent one. Everyone else can see for themselves.

>Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy."

Yep. No penis TO envy, Dave.

>>Code testing is pretty well settled, Len. What will you advocate next?
>
>The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
>NOT "settled."

Yes it is. Only YOU continue to fight the war. The FCC has said so, and nearly every major adminisitration around the world has said so.

You "advocate" nothing but hate.

>>But, Len--all newcomers are neophytes in any field. Why do you seem to
>>have a problem with that?
>
>Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!?

>>If you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a neophyte, a beginner.
>
>Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!?

Anyone (including you) can buy a radio and trun it on. Operating it PROPERLY (ie that pesky little phrase the FCC calls "good Amateur practice) takes experience.

That's one thing you DON'T have as it pertains to Amateur Radio...

>The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
>NOT "settled.

Yes it is. Only your wife's husband is too stupid to accept it.

>>Do you haunt other newsgroups as well?
>
>Do I? Why not identify them?

This one is one too many.

>Yeah, Dave, it must be hell to have someone in here who
>has an opposite viewpoint to yours...someone who
>doesn't salute your insignia nor "drop down and give you
>ten" on your command. Life is tough, ain't it?

Only when dealing with arrogant fools such as yourself.

And yours is not a "viewpoint"...it's a pattern of antagonism and slurs.

You're just a mean old Putz. Period.

4370. Re: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 13:07:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921090751.18512.00000018@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20613

>Subject: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?
>From: nobody@nowhere.net
>Date: 9/21/01 8:16 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3bab2f28.5568365@isp-west.usenetserver.com>

>
>The SAME ham calls with the SAME rants, flames, bitching....

The same anonymous cowards throwing barbs from behind ficticious screen
names.

4371. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 13:48:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB4534.30001@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20614

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Of course a ham who got an Extra class
> license based on a 5wpm code test is dumbed down as compared to one who
> passed 20wpm. I didn't invent this, just report it.

You really should read what the FCC said about Morse code
skill, Dick. They said Morse code skill has absolutely
nothing to do with anything except Morse code skill.

Consider a General who can do 40wpm but is weak in knowledge
of physics. He studies diligently and finally acquires enough
technical knowledge to pass the present Extra exam. You label
him as "dumbed-down". Doesn't it bother you to be so irrational?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4372. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 13:52:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB4613.1010100@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20615

Dick Carroll wrote:

> 99.8% of citizens are not licensed as ham radio operators.

You say those people are not dumbed-down but just as soon
as they acquire an amateur radio license, they suddenly
become dumbed-down. Doesn't that kind of irrationality
ever bother you, Dick?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4373. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 14:05:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921100534.03470.00000014@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20616

In article <3BAA2AF7.934601AA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>I thought you said, "it's not the ham who is dumbed down". You
>are contradicting yourself. Please make up your mind. Is an
>ordinary citizen dumbed down by the achievement of obtaining
>a ham license? It's a simply yes/no question.

Cecil:

If he got his ham license after April 15, 2000, the answer is "Yes."

73 de Larry, K3LT

4374. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 14:05:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921100534.03470.00000015@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20617

In article <3BA96D5A.1E60A4D3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Cecil can't understand that it's not the ham who is dumbed down but
>> rather the license requuirement.
>
>Aha, so 5wpm Extras are NOT dumbed-down after all?

Cecil:

No, they are just Extras who got that way as the result of a dumbed-
down set of licensing requirements. Therefore, they are lower in
status than Pre-Restructuring Extras, who had to succeed within
the parameters of a more comprehensive and challenging set of
licensing requirements. And, unfortunately, there is nothing they can
do to recover that status, or the identification with "dumbed down"
licensing requirements. I know it's not fair, and not their fault, but
their feelings don't matter. They are what they are, Extras who got
that way as the result of dumbing-down licensing requirements.
Their privileges are the same, the license class is named the same,
but they got it by outliving a significantly more challenging set of
licensing requirements. End of story.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4375. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 14:11:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB4A8A.60002@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20618

N2EY wrote:

> You're changing the context, Cecil. The original discussion was about
> the crew or a passenger having firearms. Armed law enforcement
> officers are a completely different issue.

I'm talking about anyone who will be able to *legally* carry
firearms onto planes. The discussion is whether to make it legal
for pilots (and other approved citizens) to carry firearms onto
airplanes. Seems to me having the good guys armed and the bad
guys unarmed would be the best of all possible worlds.

> And what would prevent future hijackers from getting those permits?

The people who issue the permits, of course.

> Did you know that it was OK for passengers to bring knives and
> boxcutters onto a commercial airliner?

Of course. I carry a legal limit knife and have had it measured
by airport security many times. If those flights had originated in
Texas, the passengers would have been as well armed as the hijackers.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4376. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 15:05:36 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16156756cdc49806989693@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20619

In article <20010921090420.18512.00000017@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
> >Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/20/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010920194500.25140.00000419@nso-ba.aol.com>
>
> >Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy."
>
> Yep. No penis TO envy, Dave.

Come on Steve, Lennie IS the biggest penis around. Heck, around here we refer to him as one of the biggest DICK's on the NG :-) No offense to the other Dick's.

In fact, I have it on good authority that for Christmas last year, Lennie's wife bought him a giant body condom, with the card inscription..My Dearest Leonard, if you are going to act like a prick, I though you should dress like one. ha ha ha very funny stuff

BTW, Putz IS NOT yiddish for anus and LHA would have led many to believe.

Schmuck is yiddish for anus, putz is yiddish for penis

To Len I say

You're a Nukshleper and a Moyshe Pupik You are at best Shvuntz and a Alter kakher. You haven't the slightest clue about Mame-loshin .

Ver derharget, A broch tsu dayn lebn, Ech hob dir in drerd

You are truly a putz and a schmuck

Remember Anderson, Goyim like you should never try to use words they haven't an understanding of..

Translations upon request

4377. Re: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 15:10:05 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.161568587261ffee989695@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20620

In article <20010921090751.18512.00000018@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
> >Subject: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?
> >From: nobody@nowhere.net
> >Date: 9/21/01 8:16 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3bab2f28.5568365@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
>
> >
> >The SAME ham calls with the SAME rants, flames, bitching....
>
> The same anonymous cowards throwing barbs from behind ficticious screen
> names.

I resemble that remark....maybe I am Mark..nahhhh to coherent in my spelling.

Nonetheless...Seems like some have burrs under their saddles about this LHA character. From reading past posts, looks like he deserves it.

Still, anyone like him living in the wackiest town in USA..something must have snapped.

Hey LHA...CW RULEZ!!!! CW is used by the Talliban for sending insulting messages about you.. ha ha ha

ha ha ha. Just wanted to interject some CW so that Anderson can rant and rave

4378. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 15:40:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921114010.03470.00000024@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20623

In article <3ba7ffc3.10436223@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>> NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
>>> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.
>>
>>Be patient, Larry. It is impossible to prove right now but it should
>>be possible to prove it in a few years.
>>cheers, Cecil
>
>Additionally, why should I have to prove it. I offer my belief based
>on similar continued interest for many other types of "old
>technology" interests (old cars, archery, etc.) that survive
>simply because there is a group of people that have an interest
>and follow it...and, in so doing, they are their own spokepeople
>that advocate by doing what they love which, in turn, brings in
>newcomers.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Bill:

Well, you slithered out of that one pretty neatly. However, I do give you credit for coming up with a marginally logical argument. Unfortunately, we're talking about something completely different than those other formerly necessary but now strictly hobbyist activities. Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability to permit a person to project himself globally through direct voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful capability which the individual ham can command at will with few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code testing requirement. The requirement stood in the way of the ham radio capability the majority of prospective hams really wanted -- the ability to pick up a microphone and talk to someone far away. The true nature and value of Morse/CW communication was known only to those who went through the process of learning it and achieving useful proficiency in it as part of their ascension into the ranks of full-privilege HF phone users. Once they arrived

at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when voice communications became difficult.

Those who simply couldn't find the motivation or desire to learn the code, even as a means of acquiring their actual goal of full HF phone privileges, decided instead to lobby for the reduction and/or elimination of the code testing requirements. The rest is history. There is no licensing process involved in horseback riding, archery, antique automobile restoration, blackpowder firearms shooting, wooden boat building, or any other sport or hobby which once represented a valuable and necessary skill. One cannot make a logical comparison between these activities and ham radio.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4379. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 15:40:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921114011.03470.00000025@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20621

In article <3ba87fa2$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>> The testing requirement is what made the CW mode as popular as it is
>> today. In the absence of the testing requirement, it's popularity will
>> eventually subside, and it's use will taper off to eventual nonexistence.
>> If YOU think that newcomers can be brought into the CW mode in
>> large numbers by the enthusiastic promotion of current users, then
>> why don't YOU show us how it's done? And don't turn this back on
>> me, or Dick, or any other PCTA, because by definition, we don't think
>> it's possible. NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
>> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>It certainly won't survive through the expression of attitudes such as
>above.

Kim:

You don't like my so-called "attitude" because it does not serve
the agenda of the lowest common denominator -- of which you are
a prime example. If you want to prove you're not -- then simply
master CW at a speed of at least 12 WPM. Then let *me* give
you a test! ROFLMAO!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4380. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 15:40:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921114011.03470.00000026@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20624

In article <20010920114639.15892.00001153@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> I agree 100%, Mike. I was being facitious for Lennie's sake.
>
> The comment was aimed at Lennie the Licenseless. He made a comment the
>other day about how I must be lusting over a particular radio that he is in
>financial circumstances that permit him to just buy one off the shelf if he
>so
>desires.
>
> The probelm, of course, is that he has NO license and it would be
>nothing
>more than an overly-equipped SWL receiver for him.
>
> He'd be all dressed up with no where to go.
>
> I love my 757GXII. It's a great rig.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

I am in a financial position where I can buy any top-of-the-line amateur transceiver off-the-shelf as well. However, I use my old reliable circa 1987 Icom IC-735, with a circa 1982 Icom IC-730 as a backup. When you decide that you can afford to go out and purchase a top-of-the-line Yeacomwood, you may also decide that your old reliable 757 GXII is still serving you adequately and well. Let Lennie the Licenseless go out and buy a new Yaesu FT-1000MP or whatever. He'll have the best sounding signal on 11 meters, until Riley catches up to him! Meanwhile, we'll be on the ham bands with our trusty old rigs, enjoying HAM radio!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4381. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 15:40:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921114012.03470.00000027@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20622

In article <3BAAA519.5E23C1E4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>So the accomplishment of obtaining a ham license under the present
>requirements has the effect of dumbing citizens down? Got any
>proof of that, Larry? Exactly how much does a citizen's IQ fall
>when he/she becomes a ham radio operator?
>
>Do you know exactly how ridiculous you sound? Avoiding the
>accomplishment of studying and qualifying for an amateur
>radio license saves a citizen from being dumbed-down?

Cecil:

Post-Restructuring hams are "dumbed down" in comparison to
Pre-Restructuring hams. Do you realize how ridiculous you sound by
your apparent failure to grasp this concept?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4382. what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 16:07:03 UTC
From: johnwh19@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921120703.08019.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 20625

Well its been awhile since I have checked up on my license and I have found
that the novice license is no longer in use.
So my question is what license did my novice convert to?
Technician or General.

Thanks KB7GQW

4383. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 16:15:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dIJq7.4573$3d2.375618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20626

"Johnwh19" <johnwh19@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010921120703.08019.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com...
> Well its been awhile since I have checked up on my license and I have
found
> that the novice license is no longer in use.
> So my question is what license did my novice convert to?
> Technician or General.
>
> Thanks KB7GQW

It did not convert to anything but like the Advanced you can renew it for the rest of your life. It is, however, evidence of a code test so you are three writtens from Extra.

Dick N&#65533;BK

4384. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 16:33:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921123308.18592.00000045@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20627

>Subject: Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 9/21/01 11:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010921114011.03470.00000026@nso-mv.aol.com>

>Meanwhile, we'll be
>on the ham bands with our trusty old rigs, enjoying HAM radio!
>

You know THAT'S right! And even with all the high-end rigs out there, the new Icom IC-718 is next in line....Does what I want it to and it's NTIA complaint for Civil Air Patrol use.

Why drop $3K in a rig when $700 will get me all I need and more?

73

Steve, K4YZ

4385. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 16:35:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921123542.18592.00000048@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20628

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.gov
>Date: 9/21/01 11:05 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.16156756cdc49806989693@news.earthlink.net>

>BTW, Putz IS NOT yiddish for anus and LHA would have led many to believe

I'll take your word for it. It would not be unlike Lennie to get his
"facts" wrong. He does it routinely.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4386. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 16:39:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921123916.18592.00000052@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20629

>Subject: what happened to my novice license
>From: johnwh19@aol.com (Johnwh19)
>Date: 9/21/01 12:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010921120703.08019.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com>

>So my question is what license did my novice convert to?
>Technician or General.
>

Hi John,

Sorry, but it didn't convert to anything. When the FCC "restructured",
they allowed Novices and Advanced Class licensees to renew as long as they
don't allow thier license to lapse past the grace period.

You'll still have to upgrade, however your Novice license now means you
will only need to do the writtens. The 13 and 20 WPM code tests were retired
and 5WPM is "it".

Good Luck!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4387. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 17:16:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB75E1.7C3C486F@uci.edu>
Source record: 20630

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Yes, I did, but it was unintentional. The question I meant
> > > to ask was, "Why would anyone who is 100% satisfied with
> > > a tech license want or need to upgrade?"

> > Why would you want to pose such a stupid question? I simply posted an
> > answer to the question you actually DID ask.

> The question that I intended to ask was a rhetorical one. Since I
> didn't ask the question that I intended to ask, a failure to
> communicate resulted. It happens all the time. Why are you making
> such a big deal out of it?

Cecil, didn't your mom teach you that it takes TWO to argue. You could have responded in agreement with my observations about the differing reasons one might have to upgrade - since they are in fact true. By you decided to take a different road; the argumentative one. That was your choice. I will not take the blame for it.

4388. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 17:20:54 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB76F6.E272999D@uci.edu>
Source record: 20631

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > What is it about that concept that you find so hard to understand?
> >
> > What I don't understand is why you think you it is reasonable to ask
> > such a question.
>
> Hint: It was supposed to be a rhetorical question, my favorite kind.

Is the word rhetorical a synonym for the word assinine?

4389. Re: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 17:42:39 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <j_Kq7.4740$3d2.381882@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20632

Wow! CW! Didja hafta go for the jugular? We could have started out
discussing 14.313 and built up gradually.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
news:MPG.161568587261ffee989695@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <20010921090751.18512.00000018@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> says...
> > >Subject: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?
> > >From: nobody@nowhere.net
> > >Date: 9/21/01 8:16 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <3bab2f28.5568365@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
> >
> > >
> > >The SAME ham calls with the SAME rants, flames, bitching....
> >
> > The same anonymous cowards throwing barbs from behind ficticious
screen
> > names.
>
> I resemble that remark....maybe I am Mark..nahhhh to coherent in my
> spelling.
>
> Nonetheless...Seems like some have burrs under their saddles about this
> LHA character. From reading past posts, looks like he deserves it.
>
> Still, anyone like him living in the wackiest town in USA..something must
> have snapped.
>
> Hey LHA...CW RULEZ!!!! CW is used by the Talliban for sending insulting
> messages about you.. ha ha ha
>
> ha ha ha. Just wanted to interject some CW so that Anderson can rant
> and rave

4390. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 17:56:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB7F5B.1080204@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20633

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Post-Restructuring hams are "dumbed down" in comparison to
> Pre-Restructuring hams. Do you realize how ridiculous you sound by
> your apparent failure to grasp this concept?

So do you recommend that prospective hams avoid getting a ham
license in order to avoid being dumbed-down?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4391. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:12:43 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB831B.6010809@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20634

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Cecil, didn't your mom teach you that it takes TWO to argue. You could
> have responded in agreement with my observations about the differing
> reasons one might have to upgrade - since they are in fact true.

I agree they are true. I never said they weren't true.
It is simply my opinion that some of your reasons are silly
and/or irrational even though they are true. (Last time I
checked, you weren't allowed to dictate my opinions.)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4392. Re: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:14:32 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.161593ab76aee814989696@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20635

```
In article <j_Kq7.4740$3d2.381882@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net says...
> Wow! CW! Didja hafta go for the jugular? We could have started out
> discussing 14.313 and built up gradually.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "IamwhatIam" <nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote in message
> news:MPG.161568587261ffee989695@news.earthlink.net...
> > In article <20010921090751.18512.00000018@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> > says...
> > > >Subject: Wow - Is my news reader stuck?
> > > >From: nobody@nowhere.net
> > > >Date: 9/21/01 8:16 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >Message-id: <3bab2f28.5568365@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
> > >
> > > >
> > > >The SAME ham calls with the SAME rants, flames, bitching....
> > >
> > > The same anonymous cowards throwing barbs from behind ficticious
> screen
> > > names.
> >
> > I resemble that remark....maybe I am Mark..nahhhh to coherent in my
> > spelling.
> >
> > Nonetheless...Seems like some have burrs under their saddles about this
> > LHA character. From reading past posts, looks like he deserves it.
> >
> > Still, anyone like him living in the wackiest town in USA..something must
> > have snapped.
> >
> > Hey LHA...CW RULEZ!!!! CW is used by the Talliban for sending insulting
> > messages about you.. ha ha ha
> >
> > ha ha ha. Just wanted to interject some CW so that Anderson can rant
> > and rave
>
>
>

It's a nasty business, but one of us has to do it
```

--
I YAM WHAT I YAM
U R WHAT U R
IN LHA'S CASE..NOT JUST A SCHMUCK
BUT..A WORLD CLASS SCHMUCK

ECH HOB DIR IN DRERD ANDERSON

4393. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:16:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB8405.9060306@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20636

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Is the word rhetorical a synonym for the word assinine?

Nope. Something rhetorical can be assinine but it doesn't
have to be.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4394. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:24:24 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB85D8.288AF555@uci.edu>
Source record: 20638

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > Cecil, didn't your mom teach you that it takes TWO to argue. You could
> > have responded in agreement with my observations about the differing
> > reasons one might have to upgrade - since they are in fact true.

> I agree they are true. I never said they weren't true.
> It is simply my opinion that some of your reasons are silly
> and/or irrational even though they are true. (Last time I
> checked, you weren't allowed to dictate my opinions.)

You can have whatever opinion, however condescending, you like. Please illustrate for us where I was attemting to dictate your opinions to you. For you, it seems there is no assertion too ludicrous.

4395. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:25:29 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB8619.6B12518B@uci.edu>
Source record: 20639

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > Is the word rhetorical a synonym for the word assinine?
>
> Nope. Something rhetorical can be assinine but it doesn't
> have to be.

Then why don't you give it a try some time?

4396. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:27:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20637

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Once they arrived
> at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
> HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
> voice communications became difficult.

Not true, Larry. There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed today.

> There is no licensing process involved in horseback riding, ...

Dropping the Morse code requirement is like dropping the stick shift requirement from driver's license exams. Now what do you say?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4397. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 18:30:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB8754.3010006@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20640

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> You don't like my so-called "attitude" because it does not serve
> the agenda of the lowest common denominator -- of which you are
> a prime example. If you want to prove you're not -- then simply
> master CW at a speed of at least 12 WPM. Then let *me* give
> you a test! ROFLMAO!!!

OK Larry, but only if you master the Smith Chart and
let *me* give you a test.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4398. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 19:01:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB8E8D.7080605@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20641

Jim Kelley wrote:

> You can have whatever opinion, however condescending, you like. Please
> illustrate for us where I was attemting to dictate your opinions to
> you. For you, it seems there is no assertion too ludicrous.

What you are objecting to are my opinions. If you are not
trying to dictate my opinions, exactly what are you trying
to do?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4399. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 19:06:32 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB8FB8.A26D0EF@uci.edu>
Source record: 20643

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > You can have whatever opinion, however condescending, you like. Please
> > illustrate for us where I was attemting to dictate your opinions to
> > you. For you, it seems there is no assertion too ludicrous.
>
> What you are objecting to are my opinions. If you are not
> trying to dictate my opinions, exactly what are you trying
> to do?

Answer your question and get you to clairify your point. But as usual,
you are simply recalcitrant, obstinant, and insulting.

4400. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 19:06:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAB8FD1.7030406@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20642

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Then why don't you give it a try some time?

OK, given that Yahweh, Jehovah, and Allah are all the
same God, whose side is he on?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4401. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 19:32:41 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB95D9.7C5FD6D6@uci.edu>
Source record: 20645

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > Then why don't you give it a try some time?

> OK, given that Yahweh, Jehovah, and Allah are all the
> same God, whose side is he on?

There's no evidence that "he" is on any side. Your rhetorical question,
as usual, has a false premise.

4402. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 19:37:51 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB970F.E1757193@uci.edu>
Source record: 20644

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> You'll still have to upgrade, however your Novice license now means you
> will only need to do the writtens. The 13 and 20 WPM code tests were retired
> and 5WPM is "it".

Just to clarify, John, you don't HAVE to upgrade. I think Steve meant
that you CAN upgrade by simply taking a written exam.

4403. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 19:58:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gk0v3g6.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20646

On Fri, 21 Sep 2001 12:32:41 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> > Then why don't you give it a try some time?
>
>> OK, given that Yahweh, Jehovah, and Allah are all the
>> same God, whose side is he on?
>
>There's no evidence that "he" is on any side. Your rhetorical question,
>as usual, has a false premise.

There is also no evidence that God is a "he"......of the various
names and attibutes of God n classical literature, some are in the
masculine and some are in the feminine.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4404. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:04:38 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAB9D56.133427F9@uci.edu>
Source record: 20647

Phil Kane wrote:
> There is also no evidence that God is a "he"......of the various
> names and attibutes of God n classical literature, some are in the
> masculine and some are in the feminine.

My girlfriend says if God were a woman, she'd have given men menstrual
periods.

4405. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:24:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BABA212.9060505@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20648

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Answer your question and get you to clairify your point.

I have no unanswered questions and, as far as I know, there
are no points that need clarifying. You are continuing to
fail to communicate.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4406. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:27:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BABA2AC.3010604@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20649

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>OK, given that Yahweh, Jehovah, and Allah are all the
>>same God, whose side is he on?
>
> There's no evidence that "he" is on any side.

George Bush says He is on our side. Isn't that evidence?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4407. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:47:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BABA77D.3DB451CD@uci.edu>
Source record: 20650

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > CAM wrote:
> >>OK, given that Yahweh, Jehovah, and Allah are all the
> >>same God, whose side is he on?

> > There's no evidence that "he" is on any side.

> George Bush says He is on our side. Isn't that evidence?

Well, if you find that persuasive then I guess there's your answer.

4408. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:50:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109211250.3588ed5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20651

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAA3063.89656457@IEEE.org>...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Huh. You called it a statement before.
> >
> > "If someone is "100% satisfied" (the original statement)
> > then there is no reason for that someone to upgrade."
>
> Yep, I made that statement and I stand by it. Nothing to
> concede.

You made a bunch of statements, Cecil. You changed from "100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges" to "100% satisfied with a tech license" somewhere in the middle, which changed the meaning quite a bit.

Musta been too much ExLax or something.

> N2EY agrees.

I agree that you are right about the Tech license statement and that Jim and I are right about the "VHF/UHF-only privileges" statement.

> If someone wants a 1x2 or 2x1 call
> then that is obviously prima facie evidence that they are
> not 100% satisfied.

With a Tech license. Someone can be 100% satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges and still want a 1X2 or 2X1.

I would not be surprised if you show up here with a 1X2 one of these days, Cecil.
>
> So there is nothing to concede regarding my statement and
> it is not possible to concede anything regarding a
> question.

Sure there is. But you already conceded it.

> You are beating a dead horse.

"OHHH!!! WILBURRRR!!!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

4409. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:53:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BABA8B7.DD9A0C6B@uci.edu>
Source record: 20653

CAM wrote:

> I have no unanswered questions and, as far as I know, there
> are no points that need clarifying.

> You are continuing to
> fail to communicate.

There's certainly no paucity of evidence to the contrary. Since your questions are answered, and since I answered them, your claim must be a lie.

4410. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 20:57:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109211257.49e56857@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20652

johnwh19@aol.com (Johnwh19) wrote in message
news:<20010921120703.08019.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> Well its been awhile since I have checked up on my license and I have found
> that the novice license is no longer in use.

Hello John,

Actually, the Novice is still in use. The FCC just isn't issuing any
new ones.

> So my question is what license did my novice convert to?
> Technician or General.

Neither - it's the same as before.

You can upgrade easily, however, with no loss of privileges:

Pass Element 2 (35 question Technician written) and you get a
Technician license

Pass Elements 2 and 3 (35 question Technician written and 35 question
General written) and you get a General license

Pass Elements 2, 3 and 4 (35 question Technician written, 35 question
General written, 50 question Extra written) and you get an Extra
license.

Sample/practice tests and the question/answer pools are available at
several websites.

Good luck!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4411. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 21:20:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921172044.13426.00000040@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20654

In article <20010921080342.05161.00000001@nso-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010920194505.25140.00000421@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>>>> What has been your experience in these bands?
>>>>>
>>>>>Infinitely more than yours operating on the ham bands below 70 cm.
>>>>
>>>>Zero divided by zero is still zero. :-)
>>>
>>>LHA demonstrates the technique of multiple mistakes in a single sentence.
>>>
>>>1. My experience on the bands above 70 cm. is not zero.
>>
>> Then what IS your experience? :-)
>
>It's infinitely more than your experience operating an amateur radio station.

That's not an answer, it is misdirection into another subject
and an attempt to avoid an answer. :-)

>>>2. Division by zero is undefined.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, mathematical error.
>
>Nope. Basic 4th grade math - division by zero is undefined.
>
>> A finite number divided by zero results in infinity.
>
>Nope.

Sorry, in basic math, that rule is correct...but such a term
cannot be calculated since infinity is infinity. [there are
higher disciplines in mathematics that work with such
things, such as an infinity of infinities, but such isn't taught
in public schools]

>> Even dumb computers "know" that. :-)
>
>What is the binary expression for infinity?
>>
>> Any expression of "0 / 0" is still zero. :-)
>
>Nope. It's an undefined expression.

Sorry, wrong again, minus J. You aren't playing by the rules
in arguing, are using misdirection by using only part of what
was stated twice. "Zero divided by zero is zero."

>> This was not a case of "quantities approaching zero," it was
>> stated as "zero divided by zero." :-)
>
>Division by zero is undefined. Your repetition of a mistake does not make it
>any less a mistake.

That was Miccolis' first mistake. He "spun" that to insist that
the statement meant "divided by zero." It was not. It was
"zero divided by zero."

>>>I can. You obviously can't, because you think you can divide by zero.
>>
>>"Obviously can't?!?" :-)
>
>Yes. Anyone who posts division by zero with a defined result obviously
>doesn't knwo much math.

Mistake repeated. Spin repeated. My statement was "zero
divided by zero" not "<anything> divided by zero." :-)

>> Tsk, tsk, I explained that "zero divided by zero" is still
>>zero, a null quantity that should be recognizeable fact to any
>>first-semester high school algebra student. :-)
>
>Nope. Zero divided by zero is not zero - it's undefined. In algebra as well
>as basic arithmetic.

The second major mistake. It is clear in fundamental
mathematics and algebra that a zero numerator in a
term will result in zero regardless of the denominator.

A non-zero numerator divided by zero results in the
"special case" which is infinity as a result.

Zero divided by zero will result in zero. It is not a "special
case." It is just zero.

>>N2EY cannot handle a mild tweak. :-)
>>
>Nonsense.

-j Miccolis got tweaked, got all flustered and made two major
mistakes, tried to "spin" his way out of it. The spin resulted
in trying to maintain his position well beyond long-established
mathematical rules.

>LHA cannot handle even simple math. Nor can he stand being corrected when his
>numerous mistakes are pointed out.
>
>Typical.

-j Miccolis got caught in a set up. :-)

Typical?

Yes. Typical. PCTAs are like that, officious and humorless,
demanding to be "right" regardless of being wrong... :-)

4412. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 21:20:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921172046.13426.00000041@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20655

In article <3BAAAD34.2D8BD55C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Right. You DID manufacture your "facts".

Nope. :-)

I've just pointed out true facts as they have appeared
publicly. I don't accept personal opinions of the PCTA
as either "facts" or "truth" other than those being the
personal opinions of the PCTA.

The code test issue has NOT gone away and it won't
until some time after WRC-03. That is over a year
from now, hardly "instant." The USA administration
has not yet drafted any agreement on the three parts
to ITU-R Item 1.7 (the NTIA has a preliminary draft on
code testing but the ruling ARS PCTA fundamentalists
are sure to reject it).

Heil practices a great deal ot misdirection on trying to
make the code test elimination a "personal" issue.
He must because he has not yet demonstrated any
viable position for the FCC to keep it...other than
bluster, name-calling, and general demands to take
an amateur radio test "now" or shut up.

Heil claims that no one can know anything about amateur
radio until they pass the amateur license test. Not only
is that specious but supremely arrogant. Anyone un-
licensed cannot pass a test if they know nothing about
the test subject.

Heil cannot argue the subject except on his terms and
then he misdirects any argument into attacks on the
person holding views contrary to his. That's not discussion,
that's not debate, that's not argument.

To Heil it must seem that the code test issue is "settled."
He has his license, does not care about newcomers
except that those should do as he did. That viewpoint
seems universal, almost fundamentalist in US amateur
radio. Not only does such an attitude violate the First
Amendment, it indicates an insular "turf" feeling that
is unattractive to newcomers. Heil does not see that.
Heil feels "hurt" when general non-person-specific
statements against his beliefs are perceived to apply to

him.

There can be NO discussion on the code test issue with
Heil as demonstrated. He allows only those "facts"
which he judges to be "facts." There can be NO
discussion on issues with Heil unless all agree with
him.

manufactured artificially by the PCTAs.

>I don't need to be a referee to disregard the NCTAs. The easiest way to
>disregard them would be to simply stop reading the newsgroup.

Then do so. It is quite clear to everyone that you will not
argue any issue on the subject when anyone has an
opposite opinion.

>It is "amateur radio penis envy", Len and you might want to seek
>treatment for yours. The easiest way to cure it would be to get off
>your duff and tackle a licensing exam.

So...Heil does not permit any discussion on federal regulations
unless someone is personally involved with those regulations?

Is there some metaphysical revelation after passing an amateur
radio license examination that reveals the "real truth?" :-)

No. The "truth" given by Heil and others is simply that they
cannot abide any argument against what they had to do to
meet regulations. Everyone must meet Their standards or
be considered undesireable. They also consider their
amateur license to carry with it some divine attribute that
they and only they can judge "truth." That only exists in
their minds.

>> >Code testing is pretty well settled, Len. What will you advocate next?
>>
>> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
>> NOT "settled."
>
>There you go again, Leonardo. You want "instant" everything.

? The code test is still required for a US amateur radio license
having below-30-MHz privileges. It's been over a year since the
latest US ARS regulation restructuring and the code test remains.

ITU-R S25.5 won't be changed until the year 2003. WRC-03 is
over a year away. How is that "instant?"

>There isn't any "instant" fix, Len. You'll have to be patient--either
>that or learn 5 wpm.

...that leads into the insistence by Heil and others that the
ONLY ALLOWED discussion on morse code testing is by

already-licensed radio amateurs.

>
>> >But, Len--all newcomers are neophytes in any field. Why do you seem to
>> >have a problem with that?
>>
>> Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)
>
>You are not now nor have your ever been a licensed radio amateur.
>You'll be brand new to ham radio.
>
>> >If you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a neophyte, a beginner.
>>
>> Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)
>
>You're repeating yourself. You'll be an amateur radio beginner--a
>neophyte. You'll have to obtain that first license to even be
>considered a beginner. Better start boning up on those exams.
>
>> >You insisted, Len. You snipped out the relevant portion where you
>> >whined that code test has not yet fully gone away.
>>
>> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
>> NOT "settled."
>
>Give it a rest.
>
>> >There are numerous older neophytes. You can be a neophyte too if you
>> >get moving.
>>
>> Why? :-)
>
>Why get moving? I think I see your problem in not having obtained a
>license during your professed tweleve years of insterest in amateur
>radio.
>
>> >Do you haunt other newsgroups as well?
>>
>> Do I? Why not identify them? :-)
>
>Are you writing to yourself or to me? It's slightly unnerving to have
>your ask me to answer a question I've asked you.
>
>> I really should look in on the Astronomy newsgroups to
>> see if Heil has kicked the Schmidt out of them to be
>> legally licensed astronomers... :-)
>
>I'm not an amateur astronomer nor do I aspire to become one. I don't
>post lengthy rants in any astronomy newsgroups. It's strange that
>you've posted here for years, that you serve as an un-requested

>"advocate" for change in amateur radio licensing and that you aren't
>really interested in becoming a radio amateur.
>
>
>> >Actually, Len, it wouldn't bother me in the least if you got off your
>> >duff and went after a license. I just wouldn't want to find myself
>> >trying to engage you in pleasant banter. The word "pleasant" never
>> >comes to mind when I think about you.
>>
>> Yeah, Dave, it must be hell to have someone in here who
>> has an opposite viewpoint to yours...someone who
>> doesn't salute your insignia nor "drop down and give you
>> ten" on your command. Life is tough, ain't it? :-)
>
>Is your life tough, Len? Mine hasn't been particularly tough. There's
>the occasional amateur radio sidewalk super but I never have to worry
>about him when on the air--just here.
>>
>> >There have been others who have not supported code testing. There have
>> >been a few who piss folks off. No spectacular talent for grating on
>> >others has been demonstrated except by you and Big Mouth Carl, the guy
>> >who actually e-mailed me a portion of his job evaluation in an effort to
>> >prove to me just how sharp he is.
>>
>> >That's the Big Mouth Carl I mean! Gone from this newsgroup and not
>> >encountered on the ham bands is good enough for me. The personal
>> >attacks levelled at Carl were certainly less than he had coming.
>>
>> Well, that's how it is, folks. Heil is da JUDGE! All rise...
>>
>> Order in da court! :-)
>
>You'd be a fool to go around making judgements in the course of your day
>to day life. Gosh! You'd have to make choices about who you'd like as
>your friends, what to eat, what clothing to wear, what political party
>to support. That stuff about judgements brings up a rather interesting
>point--if you don't make judgements, how'd you come up with the swell
>"advocate for the removal of morse testing" part time job?

4413. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 22:09:38 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gk10q7a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20656

On Fri, 21 Sep 2001 13:04:38 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>> There is also no evidence that God is a "he"......of the various
>> names and attibutes of God n classical literature, some are in the
>> masculine and some are in the feminine.
>
>My girlfriend says if God were a woman, she'd have given men menstrual
>periods.

She gave men erectile dysfunction instead.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4414. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 22:38:58 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <8766acf9tp.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 20657

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:
> >
> > > than mine, I would support yours. But yours lacks information on CW
> > > beyond how much fun some hams find it to be. If it has no communications
> > > value and is only fun, then it is gone anyway,
> >
> > Ah, that explains why no one rides horses or bicycles, sails sailboats,
>
>
> I suppose when you find yourself in circumstances where no modern
> transportion is available, you will just sit instead of saddling up or
> mounting the bicycle. That seems to fit your mentality.

Eh? I ride bikes and sail boats even when other transportation
modes *are* available (I reject your characterization of them as
not "modern"; the materials technology is right up to date.)
Horses, I don't do.

>
>
>
> > hand-carves wood, hand-dyes or hand-weaves textiles, or prints
> > letter-press documents anymore.
>
>
> None of this has any relationship to communications

The *printing press* has nothing to do to communication? Wow.

4415. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 22:49:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gk12tzb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20658

On 21 Sep 2001 21:20:44 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>Zero divided by zero will result in zero. It is not a "special
>case." It is just zero.

Think again. Zero divided by zero equals one as a special case
evaluated by limit theory.

The limit of f(x)/f(y) where both the numerator and denominator
approach the same value at the same rate is ALWAYS 1 .

It's first-year differential calculus.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4416. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 23:20:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921192032.07614.00000031@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20659

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/21/01 5:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010921172046.13426.00000041@nso-fr.aol.com>

>I've just pointed out true facts as they have appeared
>publicly. I don't accept personal opinions of the PCTA
>as either "facts" or "truth" other than those being the
>personal opinions of the PCTA

That's right....AND...You don't even accept the "facts" of third parties
or organizations that don't sound just like you.

Convienient, I'd say.

>The code test issue has NOT gone away and it won't
>until some time after WRC-03.

And is still irrelevant becasue you will still be in here lying or
harrassing us about something else.

And YOU will still be irrelevant because you will STILL be unlicensed and
unexperienced in matters of Amateur radio practice.

>Heil practices a great deal ot misdirection on trying to
>make the code test elimination a "personal" issue.

Pot/kettle/black. With Attititude.

>Heil claims that no one can know anything about amateur
>radio until they pass the amateur license test.

And he is partially right. Pass a test AND be active in matters of
practical Amateur radio. You are neither licensed nor knowledgeable in such
matters.

>Not only
>is that specious but supremely arrogant.

And your "I am an engineer and know everything about radio" attitude is
WHAT...?!?!

>Anyone un-
>licensed cannot pass a test if they know nothing about
>the test subject.

Uhhhh...why don't you read that sentence again Oh Mouthy One and tell us
why it's really, REALLY stupid.

>Heil cannot argue the subject except on his terms and
>then he misdirects any argument into attacks on the
>person holding views contrary to his.

"Nazi" "Jackbooted" "Thug" "Elitist"

Sounds really subject-oriented and professional to me.

>That's not discussion,
>that's not debate, that's not argument.

The debate is over and the only argument is why do you continue to harrass
and haunt a newsgroup for an avocation in which you have no vested
interest...?!?!

>He has his license, does not care about newcomers
>except that those should do as he did.

You are, Lennie, as usual, wrong.

>So...Heil does not permit any discussion on federal regulations
>unless someone is personally involved with those regulations?

Yep. You have repeatedly demonstrated ignorance of Amateur practice. You
can quote line and verse from Part 97 and others, however you ahve absolutely
no idea what you are talking about.

It's just like George Clooney spouting off lines of medical jargon on
"E.R."...Sounds good...now what did it mean?

>Is there some metaphysical revelation after passing an amateur
>radio license examination that reveals the "real truth?"

Nope...but there's revelation in practical experience. Of which you ahve
none.

>Everyone must meet Their standards or
>be considered undesireable.

A Leonard H. Anderson lie. Only meet the CURRENT requirements for
licensure...ANY Amateur license Lennie. AND participate in the program.

>They also consider their
>amateur license to carry with it some divine attribute that
>they and only they can judge "truth."

License + Experience = Truth.

You have none of the three.

Oh well....Still you're out in the cold...

4417. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 23:26:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BABBEA9.B31F8727@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20660

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BAAAD34.2D8BD55C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Right. You DID manufacture your "facts".
>
> Nope. :-)
>
> I've just pointed out true facts as they have appeared
> publicly. I don't accept personal opinions of the PCTA
> as either "facts" or "truth" other than those being the
> personal opinions of the PCTA.

True facts? What other kinds of facts are there, Len? That you don't
accept personal opinions is kind of a TSer, huh?

> The code test issue has NOT gone away and it won't
> until some time after WRC-03. That is over a year
> from now, hardly "instant." The USA administration
> has not yet drafted any agreement on the three parts
> to ITU-R Item 1.7 (the NTIA has a preliminary draft on
> code testing but the ruling ARS PCTA fundamentalists
> are sure to reject it).

Sorry, I'll have to reject your personal opinions on the matter.

> Heil practices a great deal ot misdirection on trying to
> make the code test elimination a "personal" issue.
> He must because he has not yet demonstrated any
> viable position for the FCC to keep it...other than
> bluster, name-calling, and general demands to take
> an amateur radio test "now" or shut up.

You don't read or can't comprehend what you've read.

> Heil claims that no one can know anything about amateur
> radio until they pass the amateur license test. Not only
> is that specious but supremely arrogant. Anyone un-
> licensed cannot pass a test if they know nothing about
> the test subject.

I say that you can't know much about what it is to be a radio amateur
until you've become one. You can't get everything you need to know
about operating from a magazine, a book or from watching a video.
As far as passing the test, you yourself have said on numerous occasions

that obtaining an amateur ticket is only the beginning. In other words, you have not yet arrived at the beginning. You aren't yet a neophyte.

> Heil cannot argue the subject except on his terms and
> then he misdirects any argument into attacks on the
> person holding views contrary to his. That's not discussion,
> that's not debate, that's not argument.

Why should I argue the subject on YOUR terms, Anderson?

> To Heil it must seem that the code test issue is "settled."
> He has his license, does not care about newcomers
> except that those should do as he did. That viewpoint
> seems universal, almost fundamentalist in US amateur
> radio. Not only does such an attitude violate the First
> Amendment, it indicates an insular "turf" feeling that
> is unattractive to newcomers. Heil does not see that.
> Heil feels "hurt" when general non-person-specific
> statements against his beliefs are perceived to apply to
> him.

Indeed you don't make many non-person-specific (what a kludge of a term) statements. Newcomers do not now have to do as I did. I still help newcomers as I have in the past. You aren't yet a newcomer and your attitude makes it pretty unlikely that many folks would extend a hand of welcome to you. You seem to be the main man when it comes to having your feelings hurt. Your talk of "universal" and "fundamentalist" is so much blather.

> There can be NO discussion on the code test issue with
> Heil as demonstrated. He allows only those "facts"
> which he judges to be "facts." There can be NO
> discussion on issues with Heil unless all agree with
> him.

Sorry, Len. I can't accept your personal opinions as fact.

> manufactured artificially by the PCTAs.
>
> >I don't need to be a referee to disregard the NCTAs. The easiest way to
> >disregard them would be to simply stop reading the newsgroup.
>
> Then do so. It is quite clear to everyone that you will not
> argue any issue on the subject when anyone has an
> opposite opinion.

Gee, you are now in the position you have used on others in the past: telling someone to leave the newsgroup. That's simply another case of you using the techniques you ascribe to others.

> >It is "amateur radio penis envy", Len and you might want to seek
> >treatment for yours. The easiest way to cure it would be to get off
> >your duff and tackle a licensing exam.

>
> So...Heil does not permit any discussion on federal regulations
> unless someone is personally involved with those regulations?

I neither permit nor deny discussion. You've made your comments here
and to the FCC. I just don't recognize your self-declared advocacy in a
matter in which you've never been involved.

> Is there some metaphysical revelation after passing an amateur
> radio license examination that reveals the "real truth?" :-)

You'll have to wait until you actually pass an exam in order to have the
answer.

> No. The "truth" given by Heil and others is simply that they
> cannot abide any argument against what they had to do to
> meet regulations. Everyone must meet Their standards or
> be considered undesireable. They also consider their
> amateur license to carry with it some divine attribute that
> they and only they can judge "truth." That only exists in
> their minds.

Those who are testing today do not meet the same requirements I had to
meet. *Poof* There goes your rant.

> >> >Code testing is pretty well settled, Len. What will you advocate next?
> >>
> >> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
> >> NOT "settled."
> >
> >There you go again, Leonardo. You want "instant" everything.
>
> ? The code test is still required for a US amateur radio license
> having below-30-MHz privileges. It's been over a year since the
> latest US ARS regulation restructuring and the code test remains.

Actually, Len., the code test was reduced to 5 wpm for all classes of HF
license. That was a major step. That you are not satisfied with the
speed of progress is another TSer. You have open to you only a few
avenues of action. You can pass an exam at the new lower speed level.
You can continue to rant here. You can give up in disgust. Can you add
any others?

> ITU-R S25.5 won't be changed until the year 2003. WRC-03 is
> over a year away. How is that "instant?"

It isn't instant. That's what I've said. You need some patience.

> >There isn't any "instant" fix, Len. You'll have to be patient--either
> >that or learn 5 wpm.
>
> ...that leads into the insistence by Heil and others that the
> ONLY ALLOWED discussion on morse code testing is by

> already-licensed radio amateurs.

Remember, sitting and here and ranting, despite the almost absolute
certainty that you won't speed things up by doing so, is still open to
you. Can you come up with any other courses of action?

> >
> >> >But, Len--all newcomers are neophytes in any field. Why do you seem to
> >> >have a problem with that?
> >>
> >> Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)
> >
> >You are not now nor have your ever been a licensed radio amateur.
> >You'll be brand new to ham radio.
> >
> >> >If you ever enter amateur radio, you'll be a neophyte, a beginner.
> >>
> >> Does Heil think I've never operated a radio before?!? :-)
> >
> >You're repeating yourself. You'll be an amateur radio beginner--a
> >neophyte. You'll have to obtain that first license to even be
> >considered a beginner. Better start boning up on those exams.
> >
> >> >You insisted, Len. You snipped out the relevant portion where you
> >> >whined that code test has not yet fully gone away.
> >>
> >> The amateur radio code test is still there. The issue is
> >> NOT "settled."
> >
> >Give it a rest.
> >
> >> >There are numerous older neophytes. You can be a neophyte too if you
> >> >get moving.
> >>
> >> Why? :-)
> >
> >Why get moving? I think I see your problem in not having obtained a
> >license during your professed tweleve years of insterest in amateur
> >radio.
> >
> >> >Do you haunt other newsgroups as well?
> >>
> >> Do I? Why not identify them? :-)
> >
> >Are you writing to yourself or to me? It's slightly unnerving to have
> >your ask me to answer a question I've asked you.
> >
> >> I really should look in on the Astronomy newsgroups to
> >> see if Heil has kicked the Schmidt out of them to be
> >> legally licensed astronomers... :-)
> >

> >I'm not an amateur astronomer nor do I aspire to become one. I don't
> >post lengthy rants in any astronomy newsgroups. It's strange that
> >you've posted here for years, that you serve as an un-requested
> >"advocate" for change in amateur radio licensing and that you aren't
> >really interested in becoming a radio amateur.
> >
> >
> >> >Actually, Len, it wouldn't bother me in the least if you got off your
> >> >duff and went after a license. I just wouldn't want to find myself
> >> >trying to engage you in pleasant banter. The word "pleasant" never
> >> >comes to mind when I think about you.
> >>
> >> Yeah, Dave, it must be hell to have someone in here who
> >> has an opposite viewpoint to yours...someone who
> >> doesn't salute your insignia nor "drop down and give you
> >> ten" on your command. Life is tough, ain't it? :-)
> >
> >Is your life tough, Len? Mine hasn't been particularly tough. There's
> >the occasional amateur radio sidewalk super but I never have to worry
> >about him when on the air--just here.
> >>
> >> >There have been others who have not supported code testing. There have
> >> >been a few who piss folks off. No spectacular talent for grating on
> >> >others has been demonstrated except by you and Big Mouth Carl, the guy
> >> >who actually e-mailed me a portion of his job evaluation in an effort to
> >> >prove to me just how sharp he is.
> >>
> >> >That's the Big Mouth Carl I mean! Gone from this newsgroup and not
> >> >encountered on the ham bands is good enough for me. The personal
> >> >attacks levelled at Carl were certainly less than he had coming.
> >>
> >> Well, that's how it is, folks. Heil is da JUDGE! All rise...
> >>
> >> Order in da court! :-)
> >
> >You'd be a fool to go around making judgements in the course of your day
> >to day life. Gosh! You'd have to make choices about who you'd like as
> >your friends, what to eat, what clothing to wear, what political party
> >to support. That stuff about judgements brings up a rather interesting
> >point--if you don't make judgements, how'd you come up with the swell
> >"advocate for the removal of morse testing" part time job?

Dave K8MN

4418. I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-21 23:59:19 UTC
From: Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <rvQq7.133$7d.22820@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 20661

I absolutely refuse to learn CW but I will learn Morse Code. I also refuse to learn SSB and AM and FM too..... but I will learn how to talk.......

4419. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 00:03:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921200346.13426.00000042@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20662

In article <3BAB76F6.E272999D@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Is the word rhetorical a synonym for the word assinine?
>
Is that a rhetorical question?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4420. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 00:41:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BABDE50.7030309@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20663

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>You are continuing to fail to communicate.
>
> There's certainly no paucity of evidence to the contrary. Since your
> questions are answered, and since I answered them, your claim must be a
> lie.

Nope, you are continuing to fail to communicate why this thread
keeps on going and going and going ...
--
Cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4421. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 00:45:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BABDF21.205@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20664

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> You made a bunch of statements, Cecil. You changed from "100%
> satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges" to "100% satisfied with a tech
> license" somewhere in the middle, which changed the meaning quite a
> bit.

Nope, you're wrong, Jim. The sentence with "100% satisfied
with VHF/UHF privileges" was NOT a statement - it was a
question. A question is asking something, not asserting
something. Shirley you know that.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4422. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 01:27:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4ORq7.5369$3d2.421888@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20665

"Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:rvQq7.133$7d.22820@newshog.newsread.com...
> I absolutely refuse to learn CW but I will learn Morse Code. I also
refuse
> to learn SSB and AM and FM too..... but I will learn how to talk.......

Cecil, meet Ryan. Ryan, meet Cecil. May you share many moments of
pedantry.

4423. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:22:52 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87zo7oc6bn.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 20666

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

> On 21 Sep 2001 21:20:44 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >Zero divided by zero will result in zero. It is not a "special
> >case." It is just zero.
>
> Think again. Zero divided by zero equals one as a special case
> evaluated by limit theory.
>

Or it equals 0, according to that same limit theory:
lim(x->0) of 0/x is zero.

> The limit of f(x)/f(y) where both the numerator and denominator
> approach the same value at the same rate is ALWAYS 1 .

I can think of a counterexample, Consider the canonical
continuous-except-at-zero function without bounded variation,
sin(1/x). This is the one that as x approaches zero, the value varies
between -1 and 1 ever faster. So no matter how small an epsilon you
choose I can pick x and y greater than zero but less than epsilon so
that f(x) is anything I want between -1 and 1, and f(y) is anything
else I want between -1 and 1, so I can make f(x)/f(y) as large as I
want. I only have to show it exceeds a delta I gave you before you
picked your epsilon to win. (I'm not even sure your claim is true if
f does have bounded variation around zero.)

It's because there are good arguments that 0/0 is 1 (Phil gave it)
and that it is 0 (Len pointed that way, and I polished it up)
that we say "division by zero is not defined"

Rich

4424. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:24:45 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87wv2sc68i.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 20667

"Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

> I absolutely refuse to learn CW but I will learn Morse Code. I also refuse
> to learn SSB and AM and FM too..... but I will learn how to talk.......

Can't sing a note, eh?

Rich

4425. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:36:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921223611.25777.00001068@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20671

In article <20010921120703.08019.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com>, johnwh19@aol.com (Johnwh19) writes:

>
>Well its been awhile since I have checked up on my license and I have found
>that the novice license is no longer in use.
>So my question is what license did my novice convert to?
>Technician or General.
>
>Thanks KB7GQW

John:

Your Novice license is still a Novice license, and always will be, since it can be renewed indefinitely. You can take a few more simple, multiple-guess written tests and become a dumbed-down, Nickle General or Nickle Extra, since you already possess proof of having taken and passed a 5 WPM code test. Congratulations -- you've outlived the Morse code testing requirements of a much more comprehensive and challenging licensing system, and can now get an HF mic in your hand -- if that's what you want to do!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4426. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:36:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921223612.25777.00001070@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20668

In article <3BAB7F5B.1080204@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> Post-Restructuring hams are "dumbed down" in comparison to
>> Pre-Restructuring hams. Do you realize how ridiculous you sound by
>> your apparent failure to grasp this concept?
>
>
>So do you recommend that prospective hams avoid getting a ham
>license in order to avoid being dumbed-down?

Asked and answered. You really should clean your monitor screen.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4427. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:36:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921223612.25777.00001069@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20669

In article <4ORq7.5369$3d2.421888@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>"Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
>news:rvQq7.133$7d.22820@newshog.newsread.com...
>> I absolutely refuse to learn CW but I will learn Morse Code. I also
>refuse
>> to learn SSB and AM and FM too..... but I will learn how to talk.......
>
>Cecil, meet Ryan. Ryan, meet Cecil. May you share many moments of
>pedantry.

I am now going to flagrantly plagiarize Kim Walker, W5TIT:

ROFLMAO!!!

I'm sure Ryan and Cecil will be very happy together!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4428. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:36:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921223613.25777.00001072@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20670

In article <3BAB8754.3010006@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>> You don't like my so-called "attitude" because it does not serve
>> the agenda of the lowest common denominator -- of which you are
>> a prime example. If you want to prove you're not -- then simply
>> master CW at a speed of at least 12 WPM. Then let *me* give
>> you a test! ROFLMAO!!!
>
>
>OK Larry, but only if you master the Smith Chart and
>let *me* give you a test.

Cecil:

I didn't need to master no steenking Smith Chart to get my Extra
class license, and nobody else has to, either. I did, however,
have to pass a 20 WPM Morse code test; I'm only axking Kim
to do 12! I'm being more than fair -- you're being a jerk!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4429. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:36:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010921223613.25777.00001071@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20672

In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> Once they arrived
>> at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
>> HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
>> voice communications became difficult.
>
>
>Not true, Larry. There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
>high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
>recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
>today.

I've always known that, Cecil, and have mentioned it several times --
mainly to demonstrate the fact that HF voice privileges are the
one aspect of ham radio that attracts the most people to the hobby.
However, these people, your friend included, prove nothing to support
your NCTA agenda.

>> There is no licensing process involved in horseback riding, ...

>Dropping the Morse code requirement is like dropping the stick
>shift requirement from driver's license exams. Now what do you
>say?

As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."
And considering the lack of quality among most of the drivers on
the road these days, there is a lot of evidence to support my
position!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4430. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:37:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921223756.13426.00000044@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20673

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gk12tzb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>On 21 Sep 2001 21:20:44 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>Zero divided by zero will result in zero. It is not a "special
>>case." It is just zero.
>
> Think again. Zero divided by zero equals one as a special case
> evaluated by limit theory.
>
> The limit of f(x)/f(y) where both the numerator and denominator
> approach the same value at the same rate is ALWAYS 1 .
>
> It's first-year differential calculus.

Sure. I remember that, or close to it (slightly different presentation
as I recall)...and thats also a TRAP with real numbers. :-)

Phil, think real world. Let the numerator be zero. Make the
denominator an extremely small number. What is the
term value? Zero. Make the denominator smaller yet. The
term's value remains zero. Make the denominator the
smallest possible value in the universe...the term is still
zero because the numerator is zero.

The only time one can get to some "special case" is the
infinitely-small denominator condition. THAT is the
so-called "justification" (instructors disagree on whether
that is a numerical proof) of certain differential expressions.

If you do programming of numbers at all, you may run
into the "division by zero" program stop. There's no
computer on earth that can turn out an infinite value
as a result. They may come "close" but "close" isn't it.

Please supply some source code lines that allow
calculation of (0 / 0) = 1. Use any variable precision
available.

Actually, Minus-J Miccolis wasn't arguing anything but
"division by zero." He wouldn't stay with what I wrote.

Going back even further, if the "zero divided by zero"
is really a true 1.0, then what is the other name for
1.0? UNITY. :-)

Does any PCTA accept "UNITY" with any NCTA? :-)

...only in "special cases!" <bseg>.

4431. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 02:37:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921223756.13426.00000043@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20674

In article <20010921192032.07614.00000031@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Col. Jessup, USMC) writes:

> That's right....AND...You don't even accept the "facts" of third parties
>or organizations that don't sound just like you.

The FCC doesn't sound like me...and I accept them. :-)

Come to think of it, the Colonel doesn't sound at all
like the FCC! :-)

>>The code test issue has NOT gone away and it won't
>>until some time after WRC-03.
>
> And is still irrelevant becasue you will still be in here lying or
>harrassing us about something else.

Oh my, the Colonel has crystal balls? :-)

> And YOU will still be irrelevant because you will STILL be unlicensed
>and unexperienced in matters of Amateur radio practice.

Yup, his balls are made of crystal...

> And he is partially right. Pass a test AND be active in matters of
>practical Amateur radio. You are neither licensed nor knowledgeable in such
>matters.

Then how can anyone "not knowledgeable in such
matters" pass a test? :-)

> And your "I am an engineer and know everything about radio" attitude is
>WHAT...?!?!

I am an engineer and I don't know everything about
radio...but I know a lot more about radios that does
the Colonel. :-)

>>Anyone un-
>>licensed cannot pass a test if they know nothing about
>>the test subject.
>
> Uhhhh...why don't you read that sentence again Oh Mouthy One and tell us
>why it's really, REALLY stupid.

Oh? How does failing a test due to "knowing nothing"
equal passing a test? :-)

> The debate is over and the only argument is why do you continue to
>harrass
>and haunt a newsgroup for an avocation in which you have no vested
>interest...?!?!

Forgive me, your godhood, I did not know that "the debate
has ended." :-)

Please supply the FCC Report and Order stating that the
morse code test has been removed from US amateur radio
regulations. I will be glad to acknowledge that "the debate
is over" when it is REALLY over. :-)

> It's just like George Clooney spouting off lines of medical jargon on
>"E.R."...Sounds good...now what did it mean?

Actor George Clooney left that television show over a season
ago. Is "E.R." now a "defunct" show? :-)

> A Leonard H. Anderson lie. Only meet the CURRENT requirements for
>licensure...ANY Amateur license Lennie. AND participate in the program.

Oh wow! The First Amendment has been modified too?!?

Attention to Orders! The Colonel has spoken!!! :-)

> Oh well....Still you're out in the cold...

No, it was about 86 F outside this afternoon. Not cold at
all. :-)

yank, yank, rattle, rattle...I just love it when a plan comes
together. :-)

4432. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 03:14:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921231454.03974.00000029@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20675

In article <87zo7oc6bn.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>, Rich McAllister K6RFM
<rfm@pensfa.org> writes:

>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:
>
>> On 21 Sep 2001 21:20:44 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> >Zero divided by zero will result in zero. It is not a "special
>> >case." It is just zero.
>>
>> Think again. Zero divided by zero equals one as a special case
>> evaluated by limit theory.
>>
>
>Or it equals 0, according to that same limit theory:
>lim(x->0) of 0/x is zero.
>
>> The limit of f(x)/f(y) where both the numerator and denominator
>> approach the same value at the same rate is ALWAYS 1 .
>
>I can think of a counterexample, Consider the canonical
>continuous-except-at-zero function without bounded variation,
>sin(1/x). This is the one that as x approaches zero, the value varies
>between -1 and 1 ever faster. So no matter how small an epsilon you
>choose I can pick x and y greater than zero but less than epsilon so
>that f(x) is anything I want between -1 and 1, and f(y) is anything
>else I want between -1 and 1, so I can make f(x)/f(y) as large as I
>want. I only have to show it exceeds a delta I gave you before you
>picked your epsilon to win. (I'm not even sure your claim is true if
>f does have bounded variation around zero.)
>
>It's because there are good arguments that 0/0 is 1 (Phil gave it)
>and that it is 0 (Len pointed that way, and I polished it up)
>that we say "division by zero is not defined"

Thanks for the polishing, Rich! Been a few years for me...:-)

Speaking of polish, my HP 32SII uses polish notation but I
couldn't get it to give me (0 / 0) = 1. :-)

4433. upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 03:42:48 UTC
From: Mel <drjmelvyn@WORLDNET.ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <v12oqt4dn3rcqfc1tctp5jvot4u0s0iscc@4ax.com>
Source record: 20676

I have a tech license from when it required code (5 WPM) and the test questions. With the new licensing requirements, what do I need to do to upgrade to general class? Do I have to retake the code part of the exam?

I believe I have already taken both the now requred code and test exams with my old license.

Thanks for any guidance you can provide.

4434. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 03:59:32 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAC0CA4.5030802@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20677

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>So do you recommend that prospective hams avoid getting a ham
>>license in order to avoid being dumbed-down?
>
> Asked and answered.

Sorry, I missed the answer. What was it?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4435. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 04:02:49 UTC
From: KC7DVF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28320-3BAC0D69-136@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 20683

You MAY be able to do a "paperwork upgrade"... get in touch witi a local
VE team.

73 de,
---> KC7DVF <---
Adam

4436. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 04:03:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922000324.02959.00000026@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 20679

In article <20010919194110.29616.00000525@nso-fz.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>> Reminder: The amateur radio written test is in no way any
>>> sort of academic achievement test.
>>
>>Translation: Some sort of insult.
>
>No insult.

Yes, it was.

>All radio operator tests required by FCC regulations,
>commercial or amateur, are for the FCC's purpose in determining
>whether an applicant should be granted a license.

So far, so good.
>
>The FCC is not chartered to be an academic organization.

Doesn't matter.
>
>FCC tests cannot be "academic achievement tests."

They show that the person who passes them has the academic qualifications to do what the license allows. Therefore, they are academic achievement tests.
>
>>> Advanced and Novice licenses are no longer granted, yet
>>> they are listed separately. It should come as no surprise
>>> that those classes are also decreasing in number. The
>>> Advanced and Novice license class test required a morse
>>> code test.
>>
>>Translation: LHA has no clue as to what the numbers mean.
>
>Translation:

(N2EY)

>attempts an insult. :-)
>
No insult. Just a simple statement of fact, based on LHA's obvious misunderstanding of what the AH0A numbers mean.

>>> A look at the NEW, never before issued, license class
>>> tabulations show that the overwhelming majority of NEW
>>> licensees are the no-code-test Technician class.

>>
>>Translation: LHA states the obvous, then ignores the fact that
>>upgrades and expirations from the combined classes exceed new
>>licenses.
>
>Translation:

(N2EY)

>tries to ignore the fact that the NEW
>licensees going to Technician class are much larger than
>the combined numbers of new extra and general classes.

Nope. Not true. Nobody, least of all me, denies that fact.

Most hams today start out as Technicians. That's obvious. Why do you keep repeating that simple fact over and over? Does it have some special significance?

>He also puts spin on all those upgrading from "lower" classes
>to extra to indicate that extra numbers are rising...by not
>mentioning the decrease in the class numbers of others.
>
No "spin", just a simple statement of fact. The decline in the number of licenses in classes like the Novice and Advanced are to be expected because no new licenses in those classes are being issued. In addition, restructuring made it easier for many holders of those licenses to upgrade.

>>> PCTAs will rationalize that the Technician class is really
>>> "an entry class to amateur radio" and avoid discussing
>>> the absence of a code test for that class.
>>
>>Translation: LHA claims to have a crystal ball.
>
>Not prescience, just demonstrated repetition by PCTAs on
>stock phrases used over and over and over again. :-)

Nonsense.

It's pretty common knowledge that there is no code test for the Technician. Been that way for 10-1/2 years.

>>Translation: LHA has no clue as to what the numbers mean, nor the
>>trends that have been obvious for years.
>
>Proper Translation:

(N2EY)

>wants everyone to believe that ONLY
>his spin on license class totals is "proper" and to ignore the
>"lesser" classes such as the no-code-test Technician. Anyone
>who does not agree to

(N2EY's)

>summation is summarily
>"dismissed" as "in error." :-)

Nope. Just when there denials are based on faulty logic. Like LHA's.
>
>An example of spin -
>
>>The number of Techs in the AH0A data grew steadily until March 2001,
>>then started to fall. The number of Extras continues to rise steadily.

How is this "spin"? Is it not true?
>
>Speroni changed his tabulation scheme by combining
>Technician-Plus numbers with Technician numbers.

So?

>Those
>are still separate classes even though no new Technician-Plus
>licenses are granted. The spin comes from trying to have
>both Technician-Plus and Technician categorized as just
>"Techs" as if they were only one class.

What's the difference?

LHA ignores some important facts:

- Many Tech Pluses and Techs are upgrading to Extra or General.
- A Tech who passes Element 1 gets Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even though his/her license is not modified and still says "Technician". This there still exists the equivalent of the Tech Plus license today, for new holders.
- It was pointed out in my original post that the AH0A numbers combine the Technician, Technician Plus and "Tech with HF" license numbers.

The important question is this: Why did the Technician totals in the AH0A tabulation stop growing in March of 2001 and begin to decline?
>
>Past threads have stressed the "fact" (supposition really)
>that the (no-code-test) Technicians' class totals would be
>dropping by large numbers as soon as renewal time came
>about.

Did I ever say that would happen? Nope.

>That did not happen.

How do you know?

Note this: The Technician licenses lost its code test in February 1991. None of them expired until February 2001 (10 year license term). The AH0A data shows the combined totals growing until March 2001, then starting to drop. Coincidence? Maybe.

One thing is certain: The number of new Technician class licenses and upgrades to "Technician with HF" from Novice is less than the number of expirations, cancellations and upgrades from the combined total.

>The next claims by the same
>group now wish to lump two separate classes together
>under the title of "Tech" since they seem to categorize
>such "Techs" as inferior or the "lowest caste."

Utter nonsense. Balderdash. Spin of the worst sort by LHA.
>
>The number of extras is rising due primarily from "upgrades"
>from other classes (any of the other classes may upgrade,
>jumping "higher" classes).

True. Is that a bad thing? Why does it bother you so much that there are so many of us Amateur Extra licensees, and that are numbers are growing so fast?

Soon we Extras will exceed 100,000. The Novice and Advanced class are already smaller than the Extra, and the General is only slightly larger (35% or so). The growth rate of the Extra class since April of 2000 is in excess of 20% per year! And it shows no sign of stopping.

Maybe, in a few years, we Extras will be the largest US Amateur Radio license class. BWAAAHAAAHAAAAA!!!!!!! ;-)

(N2EY)

>spins by omission of
>differentiating between NEW extras and upgrades to that
>class...and for the latter, omits the decrease in those
>classes.

No spin at all, except by LHA.

What does it matter whether an Extra is "new" or an upgrade from a lower class license? We Extras are all equal in rank, all having achieved the highest class Amateur Radio license offered by the FCC. Some have met different requirements than others, and followed different paths to the top of the mountain, but that is of little consequence. All who can meet the requirements, and who follow the rules, are welcome! The more the merrier, I've always said.
>
What LHA denies is that large numbers of hams, including new Technicians, are upgrading to General and Extra. LHA "spins" by obsessing over "new" licenses and ignoring upgrades, many of whom are post-restructuring "new" hams.

>All of that is pure spin to attempt making amateur extras
>look good

(N2EY)

> is an extra) and technician class
>look undesireable.

All classes of amateur radio license are desireable. Which is most desireable is in the eye of the beholder. As W6RCA has shown, if someone is 100% satisfied with a particular class of license, there's no reason to upgrade.

LHA ignores the fact that there is only one code test left, but three written tests. All Extras have passed the written tests as well as the code test.

>That seems to tie in with boosting the
>code test as the highest attribute of amateurism. :-)

Translation: LHA still doesn't know what the numbers mean.
>
>Sin by omission is still sinning. :-)

Then why do you do it?

The lack of a signature by LHA/Len Anderson/Lenover21/Lenof21/Avery Fine/nocwtest is a form of spin in itself.

N2EY

4437. Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 04:03:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922000325.02959.00000027@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 20678

From the ARRL Letter, Vol 20, No. 38:

==>WORLD TRADE CENTER AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS BEARING UP WELL

New York Amateur Radio Emergency Service and Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service volunteers generally are in good spirits, but tired, says New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D. "The mood is positive," he said, more than a week into the grim reality of the World Trade Center attack response. "Overall, it's going very, very well. Everybody's settling into the routine of the operation."

Two dozen or more hams per shift are covering communications and logistical support for the American Red Cross as well as supplementing communication for the New York City Office of Emergency Management. "It's a great effort every day, 24/7, and it's expanding as we get more requests." A single, multi-purpose ARES/RACES net is being maintained on the 147.000 MHz repeater in Manhattan. New York City District Emergency Coordinator and RACES Radio Officer Charles Hargrove, N2NOV, is serving as the incident commander.

At this point, Carrubba said, the need for volunteers is being largely covered by amateurs from the Greater New York City Area--which includes New York City and Long Island, Eastern New York, Connecticut and Northern New Jersey. Hams have volunteered from all over, however, including at least eight Delaware-Lehigh Amateur Radio Club members from from Pennsylvania's Lehigh Valley.

Hams are deployed to 13 American Red Cross shelters, two OEM sites, several staging areas, and Red Cross headquarters, and as net controls. At any given time, up to a half dozen amateurs are posted just outside the secure perimeter of the so-called "Ground Zero" World Trade Center site, where Carrubba described conditions as "terrible." Volunteers there have been asked to provide respirators and other protective clothing. Shifts at all locations are 12 hours long.

Carrubba explained that Amateur Radio volunteers are being rotated in and out of areas and duties in an effort to equalize the stress. "The first 30 or 40 hours everybody does 'the iron man act,' I call it, because they're running on adrenaline," he said. After that, everyone gets some rest and unwinds a little bit. "The people that are going back are fresh," he said.

Since September 11, more than 350 hams have volunteered in excess of 5000 work hours.

Carrubba anticipates the Amateur Radio support operations to continue for some time to come, since the normal telecommunications systems remain disrupted or problematic. "The communications in the shelter are being used like telephones," he said. Telephone service is available, but it can take

15 or 20 tries to get a call through. Carrubba said net traffic has been substantial, although there are occasional lulls.

Many more volunteers will be needed before the ARES and RACES operation stands down "Right now our task is a long-term effort, Carrubba said. "The schedule is being filled in on a day-by-day basis." To date, more than 200 individuals have signed up via the World Trade Center Disaster Relief Communications Web site, <http://wtc.ab2m.net>.

Carrubba expects that Amateur Radio assistance might be needed at least another week and possibly longer. "As long as there's a need for communications, we will be there," he said.

In the meantime, REACT International is seeking additional Amateur Radio and licensed GMRS users, primarily to support the Salvation Army's relief efforts in New York. "We still need volunteers," said REACT International Secretary Lee Besing, N5NTG, who added that some shifts on Wednesday went unfilled. "They're burning out and having to return to their jobs," he said. REACT is now running 20 volunteers per shift. Volunteers should visit the REACT International Web site, <http://www.reactintl.org/tragedy_09112001.htm> or contact Charles Bessels, <NYCHelp@reactintl.org>.

--

73 de Jim, N2EY

4438. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 04:04:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAC0DCC.3010501@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20680

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>>Once they arrived
>>>at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
>>>HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
>>>voice communications became difficult.
>>
>>Not true, Larry. There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
>>high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
>>recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
>>today.
>
> I've always known that, Cecil, ...

Then why did you leave it out as one of the possibilities above?

> As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
> realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
> requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."

So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4439. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 04:07:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAC0E78.2060800@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20681

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I didn't need to master no steenking Smith Chart to get my Extra
> class license, and nobody else has to, either.

There are Smith Chart questions on the Extra class exam, Larry,
and Smith Charts are much more useful than Morse code. Virtually
every RF designer uses them.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4440. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 04:10:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAC0F1E.2020301@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20682

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Cecil, meet Ryan. Ryan, meet Cecil. May you share many moments of
> pedantry.

I understand what he meant. One needs Morse code to obtain a
General ham license. One doesn't need CW to obtain a General
ham license.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4441. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 05:23:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bac21bd_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20684

I've known many youngsters who "idolized the hippie days" and have tried to mimic them. Before this incident last week I would never have dreamed that there was a way they would truly learn what a divisive time that was in our country--and how much hate and contempt there was despite the popularity of the "peace, love, dove" theme. It was there, to be sure--but not without cost to families through wrenching battles that kids of that time had with their very conservative parents, and not without great loss at places such as Kent State. I was at the tail end of the entire era; and I don't remember it with any great fondness.

Just for expressing on the air the thought I did below (in the post), this week, I was told I was either for the United States or against it. We have come full circle.

Please don't let your passion for our country, your religion, your beliefs, be the motivation to belittle others for theirs. We are all in this together.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010920124008.27514.00000007@nso-bj.aol.com...
> In article <3ba88122_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >My greatest fear right now is that we all are so frightened and horrified
> >about what happened that "we" are willing to give up so many personal
> >freedoms to facilitate the things that "must be done" to wipe out
terrorism
> >(which will never happen). We probably cannot reverse many
"restrictions"
> >that we might be so willing to have placed upon us right now. Remember
> >that.
> >
> Kim,
>
> Good point. Restrictions imposed as a temporary measure gradually become
> accepted as normal. Lots of examples, like the income tax.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4442. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 05:26:44 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bac226d_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20685

Larry, you *are* the title holder when it comes to the lowest common denominator.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010921114011.03470.00000025@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <3ba87fa2$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >> The testing requirement is what made the CW mode as popular as it is
> >> today. In the absence of the testing requirement, it's popularity will
> >> eventually subside, and it's use will taper off to eventual nonexistence.
> >> If YOU think that newcomers can be brought into the CW mode in
> >> large numbers by the enthusiastic promotion of current users, then
> >> why don't YOU show us how it's done? And don't turn this back on
> >> me, or Dick, or any other PCTA, because by definition, we don't think
> >> it's possible. NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
> >> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >It certainly won't survive through the expression of attitudes such as
> >above.
>
> Kim:
>
> You don't like my so-called "attitude" because it does not serve
> the agenda of the lowest common denominator -- of which you are
> a prime example. If you want to prove you're not -- then simply
> master CW at a speed of at least 12 WPM. Then let *me* give
> you a test! ROFLMAO!!!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

4443. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 05:44:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922014419.24869.00000196@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20686

>Subject: Re: what happened to my novice license
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 9/21/01 3:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAB970F.E1757193@uci.edu>

>Just to clarify, John, you don't HAVE to upgrade. I think Steve meant
>that you CAN upgrade by simply taking a written exam

Quite correct, Jim....Thanks.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4444. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 05:50:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922015058.24869.00000197@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20687

>Subject: Re: what happened to my novice license
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 9/21/01 10:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010921223611.25777.00001068@nso-fc.aol.com>

>You can take a few more simple, multiple-
>guess written tests and become a dumbed-down, Nickle General or
>Nickle Extra, since you already possess proof of having taken and
>passed a 5 WPM code test. Congratulations -- you've outlived the
>Morse code testing requirements of a much more comprehensive
>and challenging licensing system, and can now get an HF mic
>in your hand -- if that's what you want to do!
>

Larry,

I don't like getting inot these kinds of things, but in this case I have to.

You ahve absolutely NO idea what may ahve kept this fellow from participating actively in Amateur Radio.

Perhaps it was simply a lack of interest, or, God Forbid, he had circumstances like mine that dictated his attention elsewhere. In any case, he's here and wanting to be a part of this.

Why did you have to take a swipe at him? Is this how Human Resource Managers actually MANAGE thier resources?

This man is due an apology. An UNCODITIONAL one. He made a sincere, well framed inquiry and deserved a like-answer.

What Say?

Steve

4445. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 05:52:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922015246.24869.00000198@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20688

>Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
>>Is the word rhetorical a synonym for the word assinine?

Both are analgous for "Lennism".

=)

Steve

4446. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 05:55:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922015558.24869.00000200@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20689

>Subject: Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/21/01 5:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010921172044.13426.00000040@nso-fr.aol.com>

>That's not an answer, it is misdirection into another subject
>and an attempt to avoid an answer.

As if YOU never "misdirected" a thread to avoid answering direct questions,
Your Osamaness.

>[there are
>higher disciplines in mathematics that work with such
>things, such as an infinity of infinities, but such isn't taught
>in public schools]

Guess you picked that up in 15 years of night school, huh...?!?!?!

Steve
>

4447. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 06:05:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922020553.24869.00000201@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 20690

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/21/01 10:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010921223756.13426.00000043@nso-fr.aol.com>

>> That's right....AND...You don't even accept the "facts" of third
>parties
>>or organizations that don't sound just like you.
>
> The FCC doesn't sound like me...and I accept them.

You are lying.

>Oh my, the Colonel has crystal balls?

Regardless of thier composition, they are still more than yours.

>I am an engineer and I don't know everything about radio...but I know a lot
more about radios that does the Colonel.

But not about AMTEUR radio. Again, your "knowledge" about the inner
working of the box are undisputed. It's your APPLICATION of the radio that you
are lacking in. That too, is undisputed.

>Oh? How does failing a test due to "knowing nothing" equal passing a test?

You ahve now compounded your grammatical mistakes with elective ignorance.

>Forgive me, your godhood, I did not know that "the debate has ended."

"Godhood" is capitalized, Your Putziness.

>>It's just like George Clooney spouting off lines of medical jargon on
>>"E.R."...Sounds good...now what did it mean?
>
> Actor George Clooney left that television show over a season
> ago. Is "E.R." now a "defunct" show?

Nope. But the CONTEXT of the analogy I made remains. Try again, Your
Sleaziness.

>No, it was about 86 F outside this afternoon. Not cold at all.

You could be on the sunny side of Mercury and still be in the cold, Your
Cluelessness.

Still unlicensed. Still unknowing.

LHA at his best. (Or is it worst...I can never seperate the two........)

4448. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 06:08:28 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bac285c.2656291@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20691

On 21 Sep 2001 15:40:10 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3ba7ffc3.10436223@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>>> NCTA's like you, Cecil, Bill, et. al. think that CW can
>>>> survive without a "government welfare program." Prove it.
>>>
>>>Be patient, Larry. It is impossible to prove right now but it should
>>>be possible to prove it in a few years.
>>>cheers, Cecil
>>
>>Additionally, why should I have to prove it. I offer my belief based
>>on similar continued interest for many other types of "old
>>technology" interests (old cars, archery, etc.) that survive
>>simply because there is a group of people that have an interest
>>and follow it...and, in so doing, they are their own spokepeople
>>that advocate by doing what they love which, in turn, brings in
>>newcomers.
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>Bill:
>Well, you slithered out of that one pretty neatly. However, I do
>give you credit for coming up with a marginally logical argument.

Thank goodness, I was so worried you'd think otherwise :-) :-)

>Unfortunately, we're talking about something completely different
>than those other formerly necessary but now strictly hobbyist
>activities.

So you say, but fail to "prove".

>Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very
>powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability
>to permit a person to project himself globally through direct
>voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This
>instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful
>capability which the individual ham can command at will with
>few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is
>the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought
>about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code
>testing requirement.

ROTFLMAO... surely you jest? "Jeolousy over code users?

>The requirement stood in the way of the
>ham radio capability the majority of prospective hams really wanted --
>the ability to pick up a microphone and talk to someone far away.

Do you think that is a uniquly US situation or do you
think it is worldwide?

>The true nature and value of Morse/CW communication was
>known only to those who went through the process of learning it
>and achieving useful proficiency in it as part of their ascension
>into the ranks of full-privilege HF phone users. Once they arrived
>at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
>HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
>voice communications became difficult.

So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.

>Those who simply couldn't find the motivation or desire to learn
>the code, even as a means of acquiring their actual goal of full
>HF phone privileges, decided instead to lobby for the reduction and/or
>elimination of the code testing requirements. The rest is history.
>There is no licensing process involved in horseback riding, archery,
>antique automobile restoration, blackpowder firearms shooting,
>wooden boat building, or any other sport or hobby which once
>represented a valuable and necessary skill. One cannot make a
>logical comparison between these activities and ham radio.

We obviously disagree. The licensing isn't the issue as to this
thread...the continued USE is. My "marginally logical argument"
explains why CW use is likly to continue. You now attempt to
justify the continued need for the requirement because you
now argue for something more than the limited numbers (whatever
those numbers end up being) which will be there and will continue
to garber new users without a license requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4449. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 06:11:17 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bac2b08.3340332@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20692

On 22 Sep 2001 02:36:13 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>>> Once they arrived
>>> at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
>>> HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
>>> voice communications became difficult.
>>
>>Not true, Larry. There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
>>high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
>>recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
>>today.
>
>I've always known that, Cecil, and have mentioned it several times --
>mainly to demonstrate the fact that HF voice privileges are the
>one aspect of ham radio that attracts the most people to the hobby.
>However, these people, your friend included, prove nothing to support
>your NCTA agenda.
>
>>> There is no licensing process involved in horseback riding, ...
>
>>Dropping the Morse code requirement is like dropping the stick
>>shift requirement from driver's license exams. Now what do you
>>say?
>
>As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
>realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
>requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."
>And considering the lack of quality among most of the drivers on
>the road these days, there is a lot of evidence to support my
>position!

You didn't answer the question... WHY, if there is no
license requirement to know how to use a manual gearbox,
do we still see NEW drivers that DO learn and enjoy using
manual gearbox autos?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4450. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 06:18:57 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109220618001.3787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20693

On Sat, 22 Sep 2001, Mel wrote:
>I have a tech license from when it required code (5 WPM) and the test
>questions. With the new licensing requirements, what do I need to do
>to upgrade to general class? Do I have to retake the code part of the
>exam?
>
>I believe I have already taken both the now requred code and test
>exams with my old license.
>
>Thanks for any guidance you can provide.

If your technician license was issued prior to 1987, then all you need to do is
to prove that fact in order to upgrade to general.

4451. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 06:21:14 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87sndfd9ut.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 20694

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> If you do programming of numbers at all, you may run
> into the "division by zero" program stop.

The old Ken Iverson APL language and its APL\360 implementation did take 0%0 as being 1 (where % is meant to be the old division sign, done in APL\360 by keying -, backspace, :.) Iverson thought that any answer was better than just giving up.

> There's no
> computer on earth that can turn out an infinite value
> as a result. They may come "close" but "close" isn't it.

Not true. Modern floating point processors following the IEEE floating point standard do have special bit patterns that mean "positive infinity" and "negative infinity", plus "indeterminate" (also called "NaN", for "Not a Number".) One can run in different modes, some of which pull the emergency brake when division by zero happens, some of which record the result as "infinity" with the proper sign. There's a whole set of fairly sensible rules about operations with infinities and indeterminates, for example infinity less any number is infinity, infinity+infinity is infinity, infinity-infinity is indeterminate, indeterminate plus anything is infinity.

Rich

4452. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 06:21:17 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bac2ce1.3812857@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20695

On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:42:48 GMT, Mel <drjmelvyn@WORLDNET.ATT.NET>
wrote:

>I have a tech license from when it required code (5 WPM) and the test
>questions. With the new licensing requirements, what do I need to do
>to upgrade to general class? Do I have to retake the code part of the
>exam?
>
>I believe I have already taken both the now requred code and test
>exams with my old license.

The KEY to that answer is the DATE you took the technician test.

IF you were licensed before (FEB 1987 I think) then you took the SAME
written test as the General and you will be able to do a "paper only"
upgrade to General.

IF, however, you were licensed after FEB 1987 then you did NOT
take the General written and you will have to pass the written General
to upgrade.

Hope that helps,
Bill K2UNK

4453. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 11:48:29 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hU_q7.4550$WW.420417@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20696

"Mel" <drjmelvyn@WORLDNET.ATT.NET> wrote in message
news:v12oqt4dn3rcqfc1tctp5jvot4u0s0iscc@4ax.com...
> I have a tech license from when it required code (5 WPM) and the test
> questions. With the new licensing requirements, what do I need to do
> to upgrade to general class? Do I have to retake the code part of the
> exam?
>
> I believe I have already taken both the now requred code and test
> exams with my old license.
>
> Thanks for any guidance you can provide.

1. Keep the old ticket. It is the best proof you have.

2. You are out of the code test business. The license will get the credit.

3. If it was one that required Element 3 written, you are a General needing
only paperwork; if not you take Element 3 for the General and 4 for the
Extra.

4. See your friendly neighborhood VE to get your particular situation ironed
out.

Dick N�BK

4454. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 12:03:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922080320.07190.00000057@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 20697

In article <3BAB4534.30001@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>You really should read what the FCC said about Morse code
>skill, Dick. They said Morse code skill has absolutely
>nothing to do with anything except Morse code skill.

No, that's not exactly what the FCC said, Cecil. They said that passing a Morse code test was nothing more than an indication of Morse code skill. They also said such a test served no regulatory purpose other than meeting the treaty requirement.

But that's just the FCC's opinion - doesn't mean it's true or false.
>
>Consider a General who can do 40wpm but is weak in knowledge
>of physics.

Is physics on the written exam?

>He studies diligently and finally acquires enough
>technical knowledge to pass the present Extra exam.

You said he was "weak in physics" not "lacking in technical knowledge".

>You label
>him as "dumbed-down".

"Dumbed-down" is an emotionally charged term for "reduced requirements". Just like the use of "forced" is an emotionally charged term for "required by circumstances".

The requirements were reduced back in April of 2000. Big deal - it wasn't the first time that happened. Back in 1953, the requirements for all amateur privileges were reduced so that instead of needing an Advanced or Extra, US hams only needed a General or Conditional.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4455. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 12:31:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAC84BB.1080201@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20698

N2EY wrote:

> But that's just the FCC's opinion - doesn't mean it's true or false.

Truth doesn't have to match the facts. If it's the FCC's opinion,
it's true by definition since they make the rules.

> Is physics on the written exam?
Of course, all the technical stuff on the exam is physics. Rules and

regulations are not technical stuff.

> You said he was "weak in physics" not "lacking in technical knowledge".
Those mean the same thing to me as far as the ham exam goes.
> Back in 1953, the requirements for all amateur
> privileges were reduced so that instead of needing an Advanced or Extra, US
> hams only needed a General or Conditional.
Yep, I had all amateur frequency privileges back then.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4456. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 12:36:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAC85C8.6070904@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20699

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> This man is due an apology. An UNCODITIONAL one. He made a sincere, well
> framed inquiry and deserved a like-answer. What Say?
I say Larry showed his true colors once again.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4457. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 14:18:36 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baca05e_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20752

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3bac285c.2656291@news.mindspring.com...
> On 21 Sep 2001 15:40:10 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> wrote:
>
> >Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very
> >powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability
> >to permit a person to project himself globally through direct
> >voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This
> >instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful
> >capability which the individual ham can command at will with
> >few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is
> >the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought
> >about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code
> >testing requirement.
>
> ROTFLMAO... surely you jest? "Jeolousy over code users?
>

Larry must do it on a global basis (project himself) because no one pays attention locally. It's kind of one of those "once you get to know him" things...

We won't mention to Larry that his instant gratification concept sure does sound like a dumbed-down philosophy ;) Jealousy? It seems that if ham radio has the "ability to permit a person to project himself globally. . ." then the internet provides the very same accomplishment without licensing requirements. Wow. What a concept.

Kim W5TIT

4458. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 14:28:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAC916F.BE58BFB7@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20700

So how many hams are, or even want to be RF designers? How many
active hams to you think use a Smith Chart as compared to the
number of active hams that use CW?

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > I didn't need to master no steenking Smith Chart to get my Extra
> > class license, and nobody else has to, either.
>
> There are Smith Chart questions on the Extra class exam, Larry,
> and Smith Charts are much more useful than Morse code. Virtually
> every RF designer uses them.
> --
> cheers, CAM

4459. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 14:31:28 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <3baca03e.9000038@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20751

I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't anything against you or ham
radio.....but.......

Don't they all have cop radios, fire radios and cellphones working at
ground zero? Don't the RedCross's telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
have a comm network between HQ and their people, or direct cellphone
access??

Seems redundant.
larry W4CSC

On 22 Sep 2001 04:03:25 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>From the ARRL Letter, Vol 20, No. 38:
>
>==>WORLD TRADE CENTER AMATEUR RADIO VOLUNTEERS BEARING UP WELL
>
>New York Amateur Radio Emergency Service and Radio Amateur Civil Emergency
>Service volunteers generally are in good spirits, but tired, says New York
>City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D. "The mood
>is positive," he said, more than a week into the grim reality of the World
>Trade Center attack response. "Overall, it's going very, very well.
>Everybody's settling into the routine of the operation."
>
>Two dozen or more hams per shift are covering communications and logistical
>support for the American Red Cross as well as supplementing communication
>for the New York City Office of Emergency Management. "It's a great effort
>every day, 24/7, and it's expanding as we get more requests." A single,
>multi-purpose ARES/RACES net is being maintained on the 147.000 MHz repeater
>in Manhattan. New York City District Emergency Coordinator and RACES Radio
>Officer Charles Hargrove, N2NOV, is serving as the incident commander.
>
>At this point, Carrubba said, the need for volunteers is being largely
>covered by amateurs from the Greater New York City Area--which includes New
>York City and Long Island, Eastern New York, Connecticut and Northern New
>Jersey. Hams have volunteered from all over, however, including at least
>eight Delaware-Lehigh Amateur Radio Club members from from Pennsylvania's
>Lehigh Valley.
>
>Hams are deployed to 13 American Red Cross shelters, two OEM sites, several
>staging areas, and Red Cross headquarters, and as net controls. At any given
>time, up to a half dozen amateurs are posted just outside the secure
>perimeter of the so-called "Ground Zero" World Trade Center site, where
>Carrubba described conditions as "terrible." Volunteers there have been
>asked to provide respirators and other protective clothing. Shifts at all

>locations are 12 hours long.
>
>Carrubba explained that Amateur Radio volunteers are being rotated in and
>out of areas and duties in an effort to equalize the stress. "The first 30
>or 40 hours everybody does 'the iron man act,' I call it, because they're
>running on adrenaline," he said. After that, everyone gets some rest and
>unwinds a little bit. "The people that are going back are fresh," he said.
>
>Since September 11, more than 350 hams have volunteered in excess of 5000
>work hours.
>
>Carrubba anticipates the Amateur Radio support operations to continue for
>some time to come, since the normal telecommunications systems remain
>disrupted or problematic. "The communications in the shelter are being used
>like telephones," he said. Telephone service is available, but it can take
>15 or 20 tries to get a call through. Carrubba said net traffic has been
>substantial, although there are occasional lulls.
>
>Many more volunteers will be needed before the ARES and RACES operation
>stands down "Right now our task is a long-term effort, Carrubba said. "The
>schedule is being filled in on a day-by-day basis." To date, more than 200
>individuals have signed up via the World Trade Center Disaster Relief
>Communications Web site, <http://wtc.ab2m.net>.
>
>Carrubba expects that Amateur Radio assistance might be needed at least
>another week and possibly longer. "As long as there's a need for
>communications, we will be there," he said.
>
>In the meantime, REACT International is seeking additional Amateur Radio and
>licensed GMRS users, primarily to support the Salvation Army's relief
>efforts in New York. "We still need volunteers," said REACT International
>Secretary Lee Besing, N5NTG, who added that some shifts on Wednesday went
>unfilled. "They're burning out and having to return to their jobs," he said.
>REACT is now running 20 volunteers per shift. Volunteers should visit the
>REACT International Web site,
><http://www.reactintl.org/tragedy_09112001.htm> or contact Charles Bessels,
><NYCHelp@reactintl.org>.
>
>--
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4460. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 14:39:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAC9416.B9AD517A@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20753

So how is not being required to take a higher speed code test
dumbing down of the license requirements? Is the knowledge in
knowing how to send and receive faster in CW or in the knowing the
theory required to pass the written exam? Were the questions on
the exam made easier when they reduced the requirements for CW?
Pay no attention to K3LittleTurd John, Larry is just pissed
because he was forced to meet the requirements to get a ham
license.

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010921120703.08019.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com>, johnwh19@aol.com
> (Johnwh19) writes:
>
> >
> >Well its been awhile since I have checked up on my license and I have found
> >that the novice license is no longer in use.
> >So my question is what license did my novice convert to?
> >Technician or General.
> >
> >Thanks KB7GQW
>
> John:
>
> Your Novice license is still a Novice license, and always will be, since it
> can be renewed indefinitely. You can take a few more simple, multiple-
> guess written tests and become a dumbed-down, Nickle General or
> Nickle Extra, since you already possess proof of having taken and
> passed a 5 WPM code test. Congratulations -- you've outlived the
> Morse code testing requirements of a much more comprehensive
> and challenging licensing system, and can now get an HF mic
> in your hand -- if that's what you want to do!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

4461. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 14:44:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAC9531.8E669007@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20754

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> But not about AMTEUR radio.

What is AMTEUR radio? Is this some new cb radio service you belong
to?

4462. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 14:56:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAC9819.ED9C83DF@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20755

So anyone who does not own a car with 5-speed gear box is a dumb
driver I guess, which would be 99.99% of the drivers on the road.
Did you always own a car with a 5-speed gear box? When you first
took the drivers test, which you were forced to do if you wanted a
drivers license, did you take it in a car with a 5-speed gearbox?
Your a real piece of work Larry.

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
> realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
> requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."
> And considering the lack of quality among most of the drivers on
> the road these days, there is a lot of evidence to support my
> position!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

4463. YOU are the key to Victory (was no-code..... etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 15:05:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oi9bkahn0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20756

N2EY wrote:
>
> Did you know that it was OK for passengers to bring knives and
> boxcutters onto a commercial airliner?

I did. I couldn't begin to remember all the times I've dumped my small pocketknife into the bowl along with my car keys and pocket change when going through the metal detector at the airport security station. No one ever gave it a second look. And I have given thought to the fact that just such a knife could be used by a hijacker, and if I can carry one onto a plane anyone else can also.

A boxcutter is nothing more than a small metal holder for a single edge razor blade that is designed to retract the blade into the metal handle and when it is to be used, it extends only about a half inch or so of the blade edge out of the handle for use. When retracted it wouldn't raise any suspicion whatever on the xray, nor likely if visible. The terrorists did their homework.

Here's an analysis of what we're facing, by an authority who obviously knows what he's talking about......

****

Subject: YOU are the key to Victory

Below is a letter written by a retired USAF officer who is a Military Historian and was head of the History Dept at the USAF Academy. It is not a pleasant read...in fact it is uncomfortable. Agree with it or not...it will make you "inventory" your resolve, because YOU are the key to victory more then anything else.
****

Dear friends and fellow Americans 14 September, 2001

Like everyone else in this great country, I am reeling from last week's attack on our sovereignty. But unlike some, I am not reeling from surprise.

As a career soldier and a student and teacher of military history, I have a different perspective and I think you should hear it. This war will be won or lost by the American citizens, not diplomats, politicians or soldiers. Let me briefly explain.

In spite of what the media, and even our own government is telling us, this act was not committed by a group of mentally deranged fanatics. To dismiss them as such would be among the gravest of mistakes. This attack

was committed by a ferocious, intelligent and dedicated adversary.

Don't take this the wrong way. I don't admire these men and I deplore their tactics, but I respect their capabilities. The many parallels that have been made with the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor are apropos. Not only because it was a brilliant sneak attack against a complacent America, but also because we may well be pulling our new adversaries out of caves 30 years after we think this war is over, just like my father's generation had to do with the formidable Japanese in the years following WW II.

These men hate the United States with all of their being, and we must not underestimate the power of their moral commitment. Napoleon, perhaps the world's greatest combination of soldier and statesman, stated "the moral is to the physical as three is to one." Patton thought the Frenchman underestimated its importance and said moral conviction was five times more important in battle than physical strength. Our enemies are willing - better said anxious - to give their lives for their cause. How committed are we in America? And for how long?

In addition to demonstrating great moral conviction, the recent attack demonstrated a mastery of some of the basic fundamentals of warfare taught to most military officers worldwide, namely simplicity, security and surprise. When I first heard rumors that some of these men may have been trained at our own Air War College, it made perfect sense to me. This was not a random act of violence, and we can expect the same sort of military competence to be displayed in the battle to come.

This war will escalate, with a good portion of it happening right here in the good ol' U.S. of A. These men will not go easily into the night. They do not fear us. We must not fear them.

In spite of our overwhelming conventional strength as the world's only "superpower" (a truly silly term), we are the underdog in this fight. As you listen to the carefully scripted rhetoric designed to prepare us for the march for war, please realize that America is not equipped or seriously trained for the battle ahead. To be certain, our soldiers are much better than the enemy, and we have some excellent "counter-terrorist" organizations, but they are mostly trained for hostage rescues, airfield seizures, or the occasional "body snatch," (which may come in handy).

We will be fighting a war of annihilation, because if their early efforts are any indication, our enemy is ready and willing to die to the last man.

Eradicating the enemy will be costly and time consuming. They have already deployed their forces in as many as 20 countries, and are likely living the lives of everyday citizens. Simply put, our soldiers will be tasked with a ! search and destroy mission on multiple foreign landscapes, and the public must be patient and supportive until the

strategy and tactics can be worked out.

For the most part, our military is still in the process of redefining itself and presided over by men and women who grew up with - and were promoted because they excelled in - Cold War doctrine, strategy and tactics. This will not be linear warfare, there will be no clear "centers of gravity" to strike with high technology weapons.

Our vast technological edge will certainly be helpful, but it will not be decisive. Perhaps the perfect metaphor for the coming battle was introduced by the terrorists themselves aboard the hijacked aircraft - this will be a knife fight, and it will be won or lost by the ingenuity and will of citizens and soldiers, not by software or smart bombs. We must also be patient with our military leaders.

Unlike Americans who are eager to put this messy time behind us, our adversaries have time on their side, and they will use it. They plan to fight a battle of attrition, hoping to drag the battle out until the American public loses its will to fight. This might be difficult to believe in this euphoric time of flag waving and patriotism, but it is generally acknowledged that America lacks the stomach for a long fight. We need only look as far back as Vietnam, when North Vietnamese General Vo Nguyen Giap (also a military history teacher) defeated the United States of America without ever winning a major tactical battle.

American soldiers who marched to war cheered on by flag waving Americans in 1965 were reviled and spat upon less than three years later when they returned. Although we hope that Usama Bin Laden is no Giap, he is certain to understand and employ the concept. We can expect not only large doses of pain like the recent attacks, but! also less audacious "sand in the gears" tactics, ranging from livestock infestations to attacks at water supplies and power distribution facilities.

These attacks are designed to hit us in our "comfort zone" forcing the average American to "pay more and play less" and eventually eroding our resolve. But it can only work if we let it.

It is clear to me that the will of the American citizenry - you and I - is the center of gravity the enemy has targeted. It will be the fulcrum upon which victory or defeat will turn. He believes us to be soft, impatient, and self-centered. He may be right, but if so, we must change.

The Prussian General Carl von Clausewitz, (the most often quoted and least read military theorist in history), says that there is a "remarkable trinity of war" that is composed of the (1) will of the people,
(2) the political leadership of the government, and (3) the chance and probability that plays out on the field of battle, in that order.

Every American citizen was in the crosshairs of last Tuesday's attack, not just those that were unfortunate enough to be in the World Trade

Center or Pentagon. The will of the American people will decide this war. If we are to win, it will be because we have what it takes to persevere through a few more hits, learn from our! mistakes, improvise, and adapt. If we can do that, we will eventually prevail.

Everyone I've talked to In the past few days has shared a common frustration, saying in one form or another "I just wish I could do something!" You are already doing it. Just keep faith in America, and continue to support your President and military, and the outcome is certain. If we fail to do so, the outcome is equally certain.

~~~~~~
Security against defeat implies defensive tactics; ability
to defeat the enemy means taking the offensive.

Sun Tzu - The Art of War

Dick
~~~~~~

4464. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 15:06:23 UTC
From: "David W. Cantrell" <DWCantrell@sigmaxi.org>
Message-ID: <20010922110622.930$oM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 20757

Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote:
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > If you do programming of numbers at all, you may run
> > into the "division by zero" program stop.
>
> The old Ken Iverson APL language and its APL\360 implementation
> did take 0%0 as being 1 (where % is meant to be the old
> division sign, done in APL\360 by keying -, backspace, :.)
> Iverson thought that any answer was better than just giving
> up.

Yes, and in the language J, an offspring of APL, 0/0 = 0.
In mathematics, 0/0 is normally considered to be undefined;
however, _if_ it is to be defined as a real number, then 0 is
definitely the best choice. (For anyone interested, there was
a thread about 0/0 = 0 very recently in alt.math .)

> > There's no
> > computer on earth that can turn out an infinite value
> > as a result. They may come "close" but "close" isn't it.
>
> Not true.

Yes, and besides your floating-point example, note that all computer
algebra systems handle certain infinities symbolically with no trouble.

> Modern floating point processors following the IEEE floating
> point standard do have special bit patterns that mean "positive
> infinity" and "negative infinity", plus "indeterminate" (also called
> "NaN", for "Not a Number".) One can run in different modes, some of
> which pull the emergency brake when division by zero happens, some of
> which record the result as "infinity" with the proper sign. There's a
> whole set of fairly sensible rules about operations with infinities
> and indeterminates, for example infinity less any number is infinity,

Maybe you meant to say that infinity less any _finite_ number is infinity.
(According to the floating-point standard, the infinities are numbers.)

> infinity+infinity is infinity, infinity-infinity is indeterminate,
> indeterminate plus anything is infinity.

No, rather NaN + x = NaN.

Finally, in response to some previous posters in this thread, note
that, of course, division of a nonzero quantity by zero is certainly
undefined _in the real number system_. But it can, for example, be

defined perfectly well as the unsigned infinity of the projective
extension of the reals.

David Cantrell

--
---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
Usenet Newsgroup Service

4465. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 15:14:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oi9sibsd0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20758

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>

It seems that if ham
> radio has the "ability to permit a person to project himself globally. . ."
> then the internet provides the very same accomplishment without licensing
> requirements. Wow. What a concept.

Kim I really hate to tell you, but you just showed yourself as the
epitome of "dumbed down" ham radio.

You don't know the difference between ham radio and the Internet.

4466. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 15:31:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oiatd$f81$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20759

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> This man is due an apology. An UNCODITIONAL one.
~~~~~~~~~~~~

Freud again?
~~~~~~~~~~~~

4467. Re: A Letter From Ted Nugent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 15:37:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Kd2r7.4530$Dz6.142170@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20760

Whoever wrote it thinks just like I do! I am sick to death of the
el-pinko, super leftist slant that has infected this country with its
politically "correct"
sh**. It does not mean that I have hate for anybody, does not mean that
I would want anybody "discriminated" against or subjected to harm, or even
words scrawled on a building. It just means "live and let live", earn my
OWN
way, stop taxing me to hand out money to welfare baby "factories", and write
the instructions in English--or, at least, where it is easier to find. The
damned
liberals with their bleeding heart mentality make me want to PUKE!!! Help
those in need--but put a time limit on it, train them for jobs, and teach
them
to stand on their own two feet, and show them that they cannot forever
"goose"
me with their collective hands in my pocket. AND send the ones with expired
visas HOME!

Jerry
K4KWH
Christopher McNabb <cmcnabb@vt.edu> wrote in message
news:9odgkf$bk6$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Jim Kelley wrote in message <3BAA2EC8.77440AA2@uci.edu>...
> >Written by Ted Nugent, the rock singer and
> >hunter/naturalist, upon hearing that California
> >Senators B. Boxer and D. Feinstein denounced him
> >for being a "gun owner" and a "Rock Star".
>
> While this letter is certainly something Ted Nugent would agree with, it
has
> also been attributed to George Carlin, Rush Limbaugh and Denis Leary.
None
> of these actually wrote it. A message from the REAL original author is at
> http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a3b21fbab4b27.htm . It is also
debunked
> at http://www.snopes2.com/quotes/carlin.htm
>
>
>

4468. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:02:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8w2r7.11495$2H2.937251@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20761

There is also a "theory" that some of Jesus' followers had misunderstood His message of a Kingdom on earth. They interpreted his message of peace and freedom as being liberated from the Romans in their lifetimes. There was a Jewish underground, regarded by the Romans as subversives, of which many of Jesus' followers were members. Jesus preached of the liberation of the soul and peace in peoples' hearts. When there seemed to be no movement in the direction of liberation from the Roman Empire, certain ones, including Judas,
plotted against him to precipitate a crisis--one that would force Jesus to either
perform a miracle and escape (after all, many of them had seen him perform healings and other wondrous things), or be exposed as a fake who could not, or would not, produce the result they mistakenly thought he was promoting thru his preaching. Being that the Romans regarded him as a subversive as well, it was not hard to convince them that he needed taking out. Still, as was pointed out, Pontius Pilate had no evidence that he had actually done anything wrong. Perhaps he actually understood that His message of liberation
was, indeed, of the soul? But, if he did not yield to the wishes of the people
whose minds had been poisoned by the misguided underground (They went around whispering to the community in order to drum up support for their agenda to force Jesus' hand), then he would have a huge disturbance on his hands. Thus, the "trial" and crucifixion. Thus, this particular theory goes.

Jerry
K4KWH

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010825160345.25499.00003552@nso-bd.aol.com...
> In article <MPG.15f1b43f7deca87a989680@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam
> <nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:
>
> > At the last supper (a Jewish Passover dinner)
> >> Jesus spoke. He was turned over to the Romans who controlled
> >> Palestine at that time by a single Jewish man who had heard Jesus at
> >> the last supper. That man was Lazerus. That man did not know what
> >> the Romans would do to Jesus; he just wanted to collect a reward for
> >> turning Jesus in.
> > >
> > >
> > >Perhaps you meant Judas? I am not familiar with this version of Jesus'
> > >last dinner. The official transcript was that Judas was enticed by Pilot
> > >for 30 pieces of silver and was paid in by Pilot in view of the city for

> >betraying Jesus, hence the term "Judas" referring to someone who betrays
> >a trust...
> >
> Not exactly.
>
> The high priest wanted Jesus out of the way. Judas, one of Jesus'
disciples,
> betrayed Jesus for 30 pieces of silver.
>
> But the high priest could not execute anyone - only the Romans could do
that.
> Pontius Pilate was the Roman governor. He knew Jesus was not really guilty
of
> anything, but he didn't want a riot on his hands, so he literally washed
his
> hands of the affair and Jesus was executed.
>
> Why did Judas do it? Some say for money, but a more logical answer is that
> Judas thought Jesus would perform a miracle in front of the high priests
and be
> recognized as the messiah.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
>

4469. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:03:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922120349.02524.00000061@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20762

In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.

There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never to use it again, either.

Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith chart.

73 de Jim, N2EY (who hasmastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)

4470. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:03:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922120349.02524.00000060@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20763

In article <MPG.16156756cdc49806989693@news.earthlink.net>, IamwhatIam <nooneishome@themoment.gov> writes:

>You're a Nukshleper and a Moyshe Pupik You are at best Shvuntz and a
>Alter kakher. You haven't the slightest clue about Mame-loshin .
>
>Ver derharget, A broch tsu dayn lebn, Ech hob dir in drerd

I'M GETTING VERKLEMPT!!!!!!

(Drake 4-line was a better value for the dollar than Collins S-line - discuss amongst yourselves)

73 de Jim "CW is like buttah" N2EY

4471. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:03:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922120350.02524.00000062@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20764

In article <hU_q7.4550$WW.420417@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>1. Keep the old ticket. It is the best proof you have.
>
>2. You are out of the code test business. The license will get the credit.
>
>3. If it was one that required Element 3 written, you are a General needing
>only paperwork; if not you take Element 3 for the General and 4 for the
>Extra.
>
>4. See your friendly neighborhood VE to get your particular situation ironed
>out.
>
All excellent advice! Allow me to add a few more items:

5. The most you will have to do to upgrade to General is to pass new Element 3, a 35 question written test. Upgrading to Extra requires the General plus new Element 4, a 50 question written test.

6. Practice exams and the entire Q&A pool are available at various websites for free.

7. The VE fee entitles you to one try at each test element, pass or fail.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4472. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:31:59 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <4f8412c732ed63b17a12b5009c2a6d8d.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20766

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:3ba88122_2@newsa.ev1.net...

> We probably cannot reverse many "restrictions" that we might
> be so willing to have placed upon us right now.

I think there is much confusion about the difference between
a "right" and a "privilege" in our society.

For example, we have a constitutional *right* to freedom of
travel within our country.

Until 09/11/01 we had the *privilege* to check our baggage
at curbside. That *privilege* no longer exists, but our
right of freedom to travel has not been affected. It's
just not quite so convenient as it once was.

With all kinds wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupb250.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.141.250]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4473. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:34:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <j33r7.4980$Dz6.148827@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20767

1. Amateur Radio is NOT an "academic" activity?????
I submit to you, Lenny, that "academic" refers to learning. When I started
out in radio I knew nothing--not even a transistor from a resistor. Over the
years, particularly AFTER I joined the ham ranks, my knowledge doubled
and redoubled. I can now do minor servicing on my own equipment, recog-
nize problems, understand antenna theory. I even market my own version of
the popular screwdriver antenna. I am NOT an electronics engineer. It is
still a hobby, after all. But IF I have LEARNED something in my pursuit of
this hobby, then ham radio is, indeed, academic endeavor. If it still existed,
I could show you the Advanced test I took a number of years ago.

2. Health and Welfare traffic and activities ARE emergency traffic. After all,
it is usually an emergency that causes such traffic to be generated. If Ama-
teur Radio is being used for such as a result of same, then the traffic IS emer-
gency traffic. When Amateur Radio is being used to coordinate the move-
ment of personnel, supplies, food, surveying damage, it IS emergency traffic.
Amateurs are often tasked with more than just jabbering on a radio, Lenny,
and all you gotta do is talk to most local EM's to discover this fact. In ham
radio, messages are assigned precedence--just like in other services. Ama-
teur Radio is often used to take pressure off OTHER "professional"--as if
there were a distinction during an emergency---communications services,
relieving less urgent , but essential, traffic and keeping circuits open for the
"hot" (PRIORITY) stuff.

3. IF Amateur Radio is so "irrelevant", why are Amateurs accorded a seat in
state and local EOC's? Hmmmmm, Lenny? Why don't they call on the
"freebanders", the "10-4, Mercy Me Boys? One of the funniest things I
heard/read was a CB outlaw stating that, "Waal. we din' git no E-mergencee
traffic, but we wuz a-standin' by on 27.580 so them guv'mint boys cud

pass
that 'ere traffic. (What they didn't know was, the "traffic" was being
handled
by SHARES, FBI, FEMA, MARS, CAP, AND AMATEUR)

'Nuff said~!

Jerry

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010920194504.25140.00000420@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <A7eq7.6260$2H2.440648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry
> Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
> >Frankly, I just don't understand why this is such a passionate issue on
> >either side, but especially for you Lenny.
>
> Why not? :-)
>
> The retention or elimination of the amateur radio license code
> test will NOT affect the privileges of those amateurs who have
> already been tested. It DOES affect all those who MIGHT
> want to enter into amateur radio through licensing. That
> latter number may be small, large, or possibly huge since it
> extends into an indefinite future.
>
> >Yes, I am one of those "old" farts that had
> >to learn CW, but it had/has nothing to do with what others must do to
attain
> >the same privileges. I did it simply because it was one of the
requirements
> >in effect at the time (late 80s). I dreaded it, I thought I might not be
able
> >to do it, and I put it off. When my desire to operate on HF became
strong
> >enough, I said, "OK (sigh), I"m gonna do this 13 WPM thing and I will
forget
> >about it."
> >But a funny thing happened on the way to the "opera"--I actually began to
> >ENJOY it. I discovered that it wasn't so bad after all. Once over the
hill,
> >I discovered that the grass was, indeed, greener, and I had mastered a
skill--
> >maybe not an essential skill, but a skill nonetheless. I have always
> >excelled in languages, and CW became a satisfying extension of that
ability.
> >It was/is fun to sit by and copy words/QSOs and understand what is being
> >said.
>
> The FCC did not carry on a code test for radio amateurs to
> boost fun, allow them to learn a secret language, or even

> uncover individual hidden talents. The FCC's task is to
> regulate US civil radio, all of it including amateur radio. A
> license grant exists because it is the FCC's decision that
> testing is a way to perform that regulation. Passing both
> written and morse code test elements is NOT an academic
> achievement...it is just passing a required regulation.
>
> To be fair, having passed an amateur radio license exam
> IS a personal achievement but it needs to be kept in
> perspective, especially in regards to regulations. Individual
> skill in a particular aspect of amateur radio is not a valid
> claim to its continuance in regulations covering all, whether
> or not those others are licensed amateurs.
>
> Some of those who have passed a code test seem to
> overemphasize the fact...or subscribe to the mythology
> that excellence in code skill is the defining mark of
> amateur radio. The FCC doesn't think so, but then the
> FCC only regulates US amateur radio (as well as a much
> larger group of other radio services)... :-)
>
> To put things on an "individual" basis, if one could take a
> survey of how many have tried morse code and did NOT
> like it, the number may be higher than realized. PCTAs
> conveniently ignore such things while basking in their
> self accomplishment.
>
> >You might say, Lenny, we ALL have been on BOTH sides of CW--once not
> >even able to copy a single letter, then able to copy 10, 12, 15, 20, or
more
> >WPM. When I was on the "other" side of 5 WPM, I dreaded trying to learn
it.
> >But there was a "reward", a carrot, if you will, on the other side. I
did
> >it in order to obtain something else more important to me.
>
> You have to fairly ask WHY the code test remains as a
> "carrot." Who demands that it should stay as a license
> test regulation? The overwhelming answer as to who turns
> out to be those who have passed such a test. Those
> insist that it should be kept for the unvoiced "reason" that
> they had to pass it therefore all others must also pass it.
>
> >For the moment, CW is here. It is a fact of life. The Feds cannot just
> >wave a
>
> WRC-03 is a year and a half away. According to FCC public
> record, IWG-6 (Informal Working Group 6) of the International
> Bureau has NOT YET decided anything on ITU-R itme 1.7
> that covers three things in proposed recommendation changes.

>
> Item 1.7 has existed for over a year, IWG-6 has met four
> times yet they cannot agree on a draft proposal concerning
> it...it keeps being "tabled." One of those three topics in
> 1.7 is the 40m band amateur realignment v. SW broadcast
> allocations in Regions 2 and 3...and THAT has been an
> "action item" since WARC-79!
>
> Those who wish changes to modernize radio regulations from
> old, outdated rules have to keep prodding the "feds" to act.
> Those who are indifferent can simply use the admonishment
> of "face it, it is here to stay..." :-)
>
> >As to the relevance, or lack thereof, of Amateur Radio during this past,
or
> >any other National emergency, I must take issue with you on this.
>
> Of course you will...since I detect a need for individuals to
> wrap themselves in patriotic group bunting...along with the
> false use of the word "service" in amateur radio service.
>
> In previous messages under this thread I brought out two
> points. The first was that "emergency communications"
> is NOT the proper descriptor of "health and welfare"
> communications. The second point was that the major
> news media seldom mention all the "emergency
> communications" done by radio amateurs. Everyone
> responded by pointing to the ARRL "news source."
>
> The ARRL promotes amateur radio and also seeks to
> continue as the major amateur membership organization
> in the USA. There's nothing wrong with that. The
> problems that arise from that are twofold beginning with
> the "spin," the re-ordering of descriptions and events in
> its "news" to favor amateur radio. The second is that
> too many amateurs have little or no other "news" medium
> about amateur radio other than the ARRL. This can lead
> to a "belief system" that the ARRL "never lies" or that it
> is an "unbiased" news source. It IS biased. There are
> times when it lies by omission or phrase editing...not
> true lies, just a manipulation of statements that convinces
> readers/viewers about a situation or happening.
>
> >Amateur Radio
> >has always been involved in emergency communications and support when the
> >jig is up. I don't have to defend that. It is a fact, backed up by
>
> In general, yes, but the purpose and existance of amateur
> radio is NOT as an emergency communications service.
>

> There exist today, and existed two decades ago, a HUGE
> structure of TRUE emergency communications in the US,
> manned and used largely by professionals. This is rarely
> mentioned by the ARRL in its "news." Some radio
> amateurs keep insisting that "cell phones always fail
> during emergencies" and the presumption that amateur
> radio equipment survives better than professional equipment.
> Cell phones work well (with the 911 number) for individual
> telephone subscriber emergencies. Emergency
> professionals do not rely on cell phones for their emergency
> communications but have their own radios and networks
> which are in use 24/7.
>
> In late May of this year a group of climbers got stuck on
> Mt. Ranier. They called for help not on cell phones, but on
> an FRS radio. A schoolgirl heard them first, told her parents
> who notified emergency authorities. The US Army was in
> turn notified and an Army helicopter came to the climbers'
> aid. All that was on local, Washington state, and national
> news. That example indicates that emergency
> communications are neither limited to cell phones nor
> amateur radio. Was that incident included in amateur
> "news" media reports?
>
>
> >supplies, food, and providing comms for the Red Cross. HF was busy as
well,
> >providing comm-
> >unications for EOCs from Florida to New York. I was THERE, Lenny, not
> >only as a ham, but as an authorized user of a Federal System called
SHARES,
> >operating on several FEMA HF frequencies as well.
>
> That's good that you did useful work in SHARES. It is also
> in the news media that SHARES stood down on the third
> day after Day 9-11. That still does not relate to the common
> misuse of amateur radio health and welfare communications
> described as "emergency communications." There's a
> difference.
>
> You will have to answer the question "did hams volunteer for
> work on the disaster because they were hams or did they
> volunteer work because they were good citizens?" Having
> a federally-issued amateur radio license does not
> automatically make an amateur a better citizen.
>
> >Finally, I just don't understand your hostility towards Amateur Radio and
> >the CW requirement as well.
>
> I have NO "hostility towards amateur radio." I DO have

> hostility against certain INDIVIDUALS who use their
> amateur radio licensees as some kind of noble attitude that
> makes them "superior" in radio over ordinary citizens. I
> have a hostility against the attitude that only They are
> "permitted" to speak on the subject of "their" regulations.
>
> The "hostility" label was generated by some PCTAs
> who could not effectively counter NCTA subject
> arguments. They turned the subject issue into a
> personal issue with several NCTAs.
>
> I have absolutely no, repeat NO, hostility to the use of
> OOK CW morse coding. None. I DO have an opinion
> that the morse code TEST for an amateur radio license is
> needful. The FCC agrees with that. I take strong
> exception to the morse code test regulation but it is not
> "hostility." It is a strong opinion allied with strong debate,
> not hostility.
>
> Those who cannot stand debate had best leave.
>
>
> >see how it's any of your beeswax considering that you stated you have no
in-
> >tention of joining the fraternity anyhow.
>
> Maybe I don't, but maybe I really do. Which is it? It seems
> that amateurs are also licensed telepaths and KNOW what
> others think. Why must any debate about federal regulations
> ALWAYS be centered around "personal desires?" Why do
> so many PCTAs always use their own personal examples
> as the "best" that others should emulate?
>
> Why should "good" hams always accept what the ARRL
> says is "best" for hams? Why must there be blind
> obedience to someone else's ideas of tradition, goals,
> aspirations? Is skepticsm "evil?".

4474. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:42:42 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bacc20e_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20768

I don't think I have any misconception about what a right and a privilege are. What concerns me is the privileges that may be taken away through methods which may jeopardize our rights.

An example:

I have the right to free speech and expression.

It is a privilege that I can do both without the intervention of surveillance.

My right may be in jeopardy if my privilege is suspended and it is decided that what I am saying or expressing is "not acceptable."

I don't have a problem with the concept of anyone listening to my phone conversations or scanning my personal emails. What bothers me about it is: who is making the decisions about whay I say or express being "unacceptable" and what do they intend to do about it?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:4f8412c732ed63b17a12b5009c2a6d8d.15732@mygate.mailgate.org...
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3ba88122_2@newsa.ev1.net...
>
> > We probably cannot reverse many "restrictions" that we might
> > be so willing to have placed upon us right now.
>
> I think there is much confusion about the difference between
> a "right" and a "privilege" in our society.
>
> For example, we have a constitutional *right* to freedom of
> travel within our country.
>
> Until 09/11/01 we had the *privilege* to check our baggage
> at curbside. That *privilege* no longer exists, but our
> *right* of freedom to travel has not been affected. It's
> just not quite so convenient as it once was.
>
> With all kinds wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>

>
>
>
> --
> Posted from dialupb250.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.141.250]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4475. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:46:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bacc309_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20769

Perhaps you can enlighten me, Dick? Whether by voice over a ham radio, or production of a website, or participation on a newsgroup, or whatever on the internet...the basis is the "ability to permit a person to project himself globally." What is about the ability to do that through either medium that you contest?

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9oi9sibsd0@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
>
> It seems that if ham
> > radio has the "ability to permit a person to project himself globally. . ."
> > then the internet provides the very same accomplishment without licensing
> > requirements. Wow. What a concept.
>
>
> Kim I really hate to tell you, but you just showed yourself as the
> epitome of "dumbed down" ham radio.
>
> You don't know the difference between ham radio and the Internet.

4476. Re: YOU are the key to Victory (was no-code..... etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 16:57:05 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bacc570_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20770

Dick:

Do you have the source of this letter? Asking because I wish to send it on, but don't like to send things that aren't referenced. While I may not agree with everything that is written, it is certainly something we must begin to deal with.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9oi9bkahn0@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Did you know that it was OK for passengers to bring knives and
> > boxcutters onto a commercial airliner?
>
>
> I did. I couldn't begin to remember all the times I've dumped my small
> pocketknife into the bowl along with my car keys and pocket change when
> going through the metal detector at the airport security station. No one
> ever gave it a second look. And I have given thought to the fact that
> just such a knife could be used by a hijacker, and if I can carry one
> onto a plane anyone else can also.
>
> A boxcutter is nothing more than a small metal holder for a single edge
> razor blade that is designed to retract the blade into the metal handle
> and when it is to be used, it extends only about a half inch or so of
> the blade edge out of the handle for use. When retracted it wouldn't
> raise any suspicion whatever on the xray, nor likely if visible. The
> terrorists did their homework.
>
> Here's an analysis of what we're facing, by an authority who obviously
> knows what he's talking about......
>
> ***********
>
> Subject: YOU are the key to Victory
>
> Below is a letter written by a retired USAF officer who is a Military
> Historian and was head of the History Dept at the USAF Academy. It is
> not a pleasant read...in fact it is uncomfortable. Agree with it or
> not...it will make you "inventory" your resolve, because YOU are the key
> to victory more then anything else.

> ****
>
> Dear friends and fellow Americans 14 September, 2001
>
> Like everyone else in this great country, I am reeling from last week's
> attack on our sovereignty. But unlike some, I am not reeling from
> surprise.
>
> As a career soldier and a student and teacher of military history, I
> have a different perspective and I think you should hear it. This war
> will be won or lost by the American citizens, not diplomats, politicians
> or soldiers. Let me briefly explain.
>
> In spite of what the media, and even our own government is telling us,
> this act was not committed by a group of mentally deranged fanatics. To
> dismiss them as such would be among the gravest of mistakes. This attack
> was committed by a ferocious, intelligent and dedicated adversary.
>
> Don't take this the wrong way. I don't admire these men and I deplore
> their tactics, but I respect their capabilities. The many parallels that
> have been made with the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor are apropos.
> Not only because it was a brilliant sneak attack against a complacent
> America, but also because we may well be pulling our new adversaries
> out of caves 30 years after we think this war is over, just like my
> father's generation had to do with the formidable Japanese in the years
> following WW II.
>
> These men hate the United States with all of their being, and we must
> not underestimate the power of their moral commitment. Napoleon,
> perhaps the world's greatest combination of soldier and statesman,
> stated "the moral is to the physical as three is to one." Patton
> thought the Frenchman underestimated its importance and said moral
> conviction was five times more important in battle than physical
> strength. Our enemies are willing - better said anxious - to give their
> lives for their cause. How committed are we in America? And for how
> long?
>
> In addition to demonstrating great moral conviction, the recent attack
> demonstrated a mastery of some of the basic fundamentals of warfare
> taught to most military officers worldwide, namely simplicity, security
> and surprise. When I first heard rumors that some of these men may have
> been trained at our own Air War College, it made perfect sense to me.
> This was not a random act of violence, and we can expect the same sort
> of military competence to be displayed in the battle to come.
>
> This war will escalate, with a good portion of it happening right here
> in the good ol' U.S. of A. These men will not go easily into the night.
> They do not fear us. We must not fear them.
>
> In spite of our overwhelming conventional strength as the world's only

> "superpower" (a truly silly term), we are the underdog in this fight. As
> you listen to the carefully scripted rhetoric designed to prepare us
> for the march for war, please realize that America is not equipped or
> seriously trained for the battle ahead. To be certain, our soldiers are
> much better than the enemy, and we have some excellent
> "counter-terrorist" organizations, but they are mostly trained for
> hostage rescues, airfield seizures, or the occasional "body snatch,"
> (which may come in handy).
>
> We will be fighting a war of annihilation, because if their early
> efforts are any indication, our enemy is ready and willing to die to the
> last man.
>
> Eradicating the enemy will be costly and time consuming. They have
> already deployed their forces in as many as 20 countries, and are likely
> living the lives of everyday citizens. Simply put, our soldiers will be
> tasked with a ! search and destroy mission on multiple foreign
> landscapes, and the public must be patient and supportive until the
> strategy and tactics can be worked out.
>
> For the most part, our military is still in the process of redefining
> itself and presided over by men and women who grew up with - and were
> promoted because they excelled in - Cold War doctrine, strategy and
> tactics. This will not be linear warfare, there will be no clear
> "centers of gravity" to strike with high technology weapons.
>
> Our vast technological edge will certainly be helpful, but it will not
> be decisive. Perhaps the perfect metaphor for the coming battle was
> introduced by the terrorists themselves aboard the hijacked aircraft -
> this will be a knife fight, and it will be won or lost by the ingenuity
> and will of citizens and soldiers, not by software or smart bombs. We
> must also be patient with our military leaders.
>
> Unlike Americans who are eager to put this messy time behind us, our
> adversaries have time on their side, and they will use it. They plan to
> fight a battle of attrition, hoping to drag the battle out until the
> American public loses its will to fight. This might be difficult to
> believe in this euphoric time of flag waving and patriotism, but it is
> generally acknowledged that America lacks the stomach for a long fight.
> We need only look as far back as Vietnam, when North Vietnamese General
> Vo Nguyen Giap (also a military history teacher) defeated the United
> States of America without ever winning a major tactical battle.
>
> American soldiers who marched to war cheered on by flag waving
> Americans in 1965 were reviled and spat upon less than three years later
> when they returned. Although we hope that Usama Bin Laden is no Giap,
> he is certain to understand and employ the concept. We can expect not
> only large doses of pain like the recent attacks, but! also less
> audacious "sand in the gears" tactics, ranging from livestock
> infestations to attacks at water supplies and power distribution

> facilities.
>
> These attacks are designed to hit us in our "comfort zone" forcing the
> average American to "pay more and play less" and eventually eroding our
> resolve. But it can only work if we let it.
>
> It is clear to me that the will of the American citizenry - you and I -
> is the center of gravity the enemy has targeted. It will be the fulcrum
> upon which victory or defeat will turn. He believes us to be soft,
> impatient, and self-centered. He may be right, but if so, we must
> change.
>
> The Prussian General Carl von Clausewitz, (the most often quoted and
> least read military theorist in history), says that there is a
> "remarkable trinity of war" that is composed of the (1) will of the
> people,
> (2) the political leadership of the government, and (3) the chance and
> probability that plays out on the field of battle, in that order.
>
> Every American citizen was in the crosshairs of last Tuesday's attack,
> not just those that were unfortunate enough to be in the World Trade
> Center or Pentagon. The will of the American people will decide this
> war. If we are to win, it will be because we have what it takes to
> persevere through a few more hits, learn from our! mistakes, improvise,
> and adapt. If we can do that, we will eventually prevail.
>
> Everyone I've talked to In the past few days has shared a common
> frustration, saying in one form or another "I just wish I could do
> something!" You are already doing it. Just keep faith in America, and
> continue to support your President and military, and the outcome is
> certain. If we fail to do so, the outcome is equally certain.
>
> ~~~~~~
> Security against defeat implies defensive tactics; ability
> to defeat the enemy means taking the offensive.
>
> Sun Tzu - The Art of War
> -------------------------
>
> Dick

4477. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 18:06:46 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9oijne$bh8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 20771

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BABDE50.7030309@IEEE.org...
> Nope, you are continuing to fail to communicate why this thread
> keeps on going and going and going ...

Just think, if you hadn't posted the above accuasation, it would have been
over.

4478. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 18:17:42 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1616e61b1923482c989697@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20772

In article <3baca03e.9000038@isp-west.usenetserver.com>,
nobody@nowhere.net says...
> I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't anything against you or ham
> radio.....but.......
>
> Don't they all have cop radios, fire radios and cellphones working at
> ground zero? Don't the RedCross's telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
> have a comm network between HQ and their people, or direct cellphone
> access??
>
> Seems redundant.
> larry W4CSC
>

The police/fire/emt frequencies are being utilized for recovery ops at the WTC site as well as normal day to day activities (after all NYC IS the city that never sleeps)

At this moment..cellphone usage is spotty at best in the lower part of the battery (as that part of NYC is called) and phone service there is non existent. Verizon anticipated "normal" phone service toward the end of October for that part of NYC. The vast majority of cellphone antenna sites were on the WTC (covering almost all of lower Manhattan and a good portion of Brooklyn, Staten Island and NJ)

The comms between the Red Cross, the various shelters and surrounding areas is being handled by ham radio into Red Cross HQ's in both Bergen and Hudson Counties in NJ. This way valuable communications frequencies are not tied up with requests for shelter supplies, reports, etc

4479. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 18:25:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9oikqk$c9b$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 20773

<nobody@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:3baca03e.9000038@isp-west.usenetserver.com...
> I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't anything against you or ham
> radio.....but.......
>
> Don't they all have cop radios, fire radios and cellphones working at
> ground zero? Don't the RedCross's telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
> have a comm network between HQ and their people, or direct cellphone
> access??
>
> Seems redundant.
> larry W4CSC

Yeah, sure. Try calling the Red Cross on the phone, just like every family member of all 7000 people who died. They'll put you right through so you can get immediate answers to all your questions. No problem. The person who answers the phone has all the answers at their finger tips without any help from anybody. Family members are now dealing with the need for death certificates for their loved ones who perished. Try and get real, Larry.

4480. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 18:43:07 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%Y4r7.4932$WW.452692@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20774

CAM <Cecil> wrote:

> I'm talking about anyone who will be able to *legally* carry
> firearms onto planes. The discussion is whether to make it legal
> for pilots (and other approved citizens) to carry firearms onto
> airplanes. Seems to me having the good guys armed and the bad
> guys unarmed would be the best of all possible worlds.

My (patent-pending) solution to the airliner-hijacking dilemma
is based on the tried-and-true technology of the Cold War --
Mutual Assured Destruction (MAD). Each passenger would be
issued a Glock .41 caliber handgun (the kind that makes big holes)
and several clips of ammo. The stewardesses would instruct
the passengers in the mechanics of operating the handguns
much like they do for seatbelts and oxygen masks. They would
also assure the passengers that each of them now has the
means to attempt to take over the aircraft by force. They
would also point out that if anyone tried that, the attempt
would be met with a rapidly escalating counterforce that
would ultimately destroy the aircraft and doom them all. And
they would wish them a happy flight.

Then there's my other solution -- the "Con Air" approach.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4481. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 18:52:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922145200.18504.00000288@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20775

>Subject: Re: what happened to my novice license
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 9/22/01 11:31 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9oiatd$f81$0@208.207.71.176>

> Freud again?

I hab a hed cod and I cand talk gud. Sawwie.

Steeb

4482. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 18:59:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922145937.18504.00000289@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20776

>CAM <Cecil> wrote:
>
>> I'm talking about anyone who will be able to *legally* carry
>> firearms onto planes. The discussion is whether to make it legal
>> for pilots (and other approved citizens) to carry firearms onto
>> airplanes. Seems to me having the good guys armed and the bad
>> guys unarmed would be the best of all possible worlds.

The best way would be to have a "hijack" mode on the cabin pressurization system that would allow the pilots to instantly depressurize the cabin without deploying the passenger oxygen masks.

That way they could incapacitate them without killing them. It may be very dangerous to older pax or to people with respiratory disorders, but that's a risk to be faced.

73

Steve

4483. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 19:28:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922152846.18504.00000296@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20777

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
>Date: 9/22/01 10:28 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAC916F.BE58BFB7@mailcity.com>

>So how many hams are, or even want to be RF designers?

Or in the case of this NG, how many alleged RF designers want to be
Hams..?!?! I think that number is larger than the number of Hams who want to be
RF designers.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

4484. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 19:34:48 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sJ5r7.5955$W8.510978@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20778

JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:

> So how many hams are, or even want to be RF designers? How many
> active hams to you think use a Smith Chart as compared to the
> number of active hams that use CW?

Every ham who puts up an antenna is an "RF Designer" and
should be able to use the Smith chart, at least conceptually.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4485. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 20:03:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922160350.06198.00000049@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20779

In article <3BAC9819.ED9C83DF@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
writes:

>So anyone who does not own a car with 5-speed gear box is a dumb
>driver I guess, which would be 99.99% of the drivers on the road.

99.99% works out to 1 in 10,000. The prevalence of 5 speed manual transmissions
is a lot more than that!

>Did you always own a car with a 5-speed gear box?

Nope. I've had 4 speed transmissions, too. 5 speed boxes are relatively new -
they weren't common before 1980 or so.

>When you first
>took the drivers test, which you were forced to do if you wanted a
>drivers license, did you take it in a car with a 5-speed gearbox?

Nope. A 4-speed.

The popularity of manual transmissions continues, even though almost all cars
are available with automatics.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4486. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 20:23:05 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1617037f2d1509cb989698@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20780

In article <20010921090420.18512.00000017@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
> >Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/20/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010920194500.25140.00000419@nso-ba.aol.com>
>
> >Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy."
>
> Yep. No penis TO envy, Dave.

Come on Steve, Lennie IS the biggest penis around. Heck, around here we refer to him as one of the biggest DICK's on the NG :-) No offense to the other Dick's.

In fact, I have it on good authority that for Christmas last year, Lennie's wife bought him a giant body condom, with the card inscription..My Dearest Leonard, if you are going to act like a prick, I though you should dress like one. ha ha ha very funny stuff

BTW, Putz IS NOT yiddish for anus and LHA would have led many to believe.

Schmuck is yiddish for anus, putz is yiddish for penis

To Len I say

You're a Nukshleper and a Moyshe Pupik You are at best Shvuntz and a Alter kakher. You haven't the slightest clue about Mame-loshin .

Ver derharget, A broch tsu dayn lebn, Ech hob dir in drerd

You are truly a putz and a schmuck

Remember Anderson, Goyim like you should never try to use words they haven't an understanding of..

Translations upon request

4487. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 21:26:24 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bad036b_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20781

How 'bout some kind of gas that would bring on sleep? Steve, is there such a gas that could be pretty effective and be of little medical harm to anyone (infants, respiratory, elderly, etc.)? The pilots could seclude themselves from such gas and cause it to disburse at the first sign of trouble.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010922145937.18504.00000289@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >CAM <Cecil> wrote:
> >
> >> I'm talking about anyone who will be able to *legally* carry
> >> firearms onto planes. The discussion is whether to make it legal
> >> for pilots (and other approved citizens) to carry firearms onto
> >> airplanes. Seems to me having the good guys armed and the bad
> >> guys unarmed would be the best of all possible worlds.
>
> The best way would be to have a "hijack" mode on the cabin pressurization
> system that would allow the pilots to instantly depressurize the cabin without
> deploying the passenger oxygen masks.
>
> That way they could incapacitate them without killing them. It may be
> very dangerous to older pax or to people with respiratory disorders, but that's
> a risk to be faced.
>
> 73
>
> Steve
>
>

4488. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 22:39:43 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <3bad1300.2058012@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20782

Wow! Thanks for the information. Nothing I've heard from the TV
talking heads mentioned how bad it was down there. Too technical for
the home watcher, I suspect.

larry W4CSC

On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 18:17:42 GMT, IamwhatIam
<nooneishome@themoment.gov> wrote:

>In article <3baca03e.9000038@isp-west.usenetserver.com>,
>nobody@nowhere.net says...
>> I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't anything against you or ham
>> radio.....but.......
>>
>> Don't they all have cop radios, fire radios and cellphones working at
>> ground zero? Don't the RedCross's telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
>> have a comm network between HQ and their people, or direct cellphone
>> access??
>>
>> Seems redundant.
>> larry W4CSC
>>
>
>
>The police/fire/emt frequencies are being utilized for recovery ops at
>the WTC site as well as normal day to day activities (after all NYC IS
>the city that never sleeps)
>
>At this moment..cellphone usage is spotty at best in the lower part of
>the battery (as that part of NYC is called) and phone service there is
>non existent. Verizon anticipated "normal" phone service toward the end
>of October for that part of NYC. The vast majority of cellphone antenna
>sites were on the WTC (covering almost all of lower Manhattan and a good
>portion of Brooklyn, Staten Island and NJ)
>
>The comms between the Red Cross, the various shelters and surrounding
>areas is being handled by ham radio into Red Cross HQ's in both Bergen
>and Hudson Counties in NJ. This way valuable communications frequencies
>are not tied up with requests for shelter supplies, reports, etc

4489. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 22:40:43 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <3bad1341.2123683@isp-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20783

Why the attack from asking a question? Has everyone in the country
gone crazy? Will we start shooting people, soon?

On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 11:25:17 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>
><nobody@nowhere.net> wrote in message
>news:3baca03e.9000038@isp-west.usenetserver.com...
>> I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't anything against you or ham
>> radio.....but.......
>>
>> Don't they all have cop radios, fire radios and cellphones working at
>> ground zero? Don't the RedCross's telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
>> have a comm network between HQ and their people, or direct cellphone
>> access??
>>
>> Seems redundant.
>> larry W4CSC
>
>Yeah, sure. Try calling the Red Cross on the phone, just like every family
>member of all 7000 people who died. They'll put you right through so you
>can get immediate answers to all your questions. No problem. The person
>who answers the phone has all the answers at their finger tips without any
>help from anybody. Family members are now dealing with the need for death
>certificates for their loved ones who perished. Try and get real, Larry.
>
>
>
>

4490. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 23:39:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922193935.20049.00000285@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20784

>Subject: Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 9/22/01 5:26 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3bad036b_2@newsa.ev1.net>

>How 'bout some kind of gas that would bring on sleep? Steve, is there such
>a gas that could be pretty effective and be of little medical harm to anyone
>(infants, respiratory, elderly, etc.)?

Any sleep-inducing gas or agent has the risks of anaphylaxis. Assuming
that there is no way to permit "some" of the cabin crew to remain alert (access
to clean oxygen could be subverted by hijackers), there'd be no one able to
respond to the event. A sleep agent would, however, allow for a constant
supply of oxygen, even though it was inducing sleep.

It's a lose-lose situation. I wouldn't want to be the guy in the left
front seat and have to make the call.........

73

Steve, K4YZ

4491. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-22 23:50:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD154B.EB8D6393@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20785

Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
much less used one.

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Every ham who puts up an antenna is an "RF Designer" and
> should be able to use the Smith chart, at least conceptually.
>

4492. I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:11:59 UTC
From: "Adam H. Kerman" <ahk@chinet.com>
Message-ID: <0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 20702
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

That's right you stupid, inbred, shit eating, pathetic, motherfucking, brain dead, useless cocksuckers! I'm taking over all of Usenet! There isn't a fucking thing you can do about it either! You're all a bunch of worthless scumbags, and now you will all answer to me! If you don't like this fact TOO FUCKING BAD! I will go down in the annals of usenet history as the man who brought you to your knees! Now get down on your knees and pay proper tribute to my glorious self!

I AM ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!

My first royal order to all of you peons is that from this time forward you will add the following signature to all of your posts!

***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
****
** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
****
********** We are simple servants of his will **********

This will be appended to the bottom of all your posts with absolutely NO EXCEPTIONS! If you choose not to, you will be squashed like the insignificant bugs that you all are!

I am running Usenet now! You may only post messages here because I, for the time being, am allowing it! Do you scumbags understand me!

THIS IS THE DAWNING OF THE AGE OF KERMAN!

ALL HAIL ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!

The Java without the virtual signal is the workstation that contributes sadly. My new text won't train before I kill it. Tell Brion it's violent dreaming about a email. Both contradicting now, Mel and Lawrence interfaced the ugly folders throughout insecure subroutine. I was disappearing functions to shiny Endora, who's annoying outside the administrator's cafe. She'd rather negotiate strongly than obscure with Will's weird enigma. Lisette, still crawling, pulls almost amazingly, as the cowboy reboots beside their artichoke. Gawd, it produces a firewall too loud beneath her weak web server. We infect them, then we generally place Cypriene and Angelo's orthodox programmer. Mike! You'll persevere cables. These days, I'll learn the telephone. She wants to distribute chaotic librarians beneath Julie's interface. Who Ayn's secure engineer filters, Tony substantiates behind bizarre, flat houses. Are you

old, I mean, recycling beneath strange pseudonyms? Timothy's FORTRAN authenticates beneath our spool after we push around it. Get your finitely managing CDROM about my cellar. Pam inflates, then Clint stupidly questions a hard core with Estefana's peapod. Just creating with a operator in the market is too useless for Quincy to smile it. Calvin floats once, connects locally, then slumps in the tape with the CERT. Try saving the DEA's actual remailer and Roxanne will close you! He'll be defiling in front of secret Norris until his newsgroup outwits partially. Where doesn't Eliza kick happily? Let's save behind the discarded hard disks, but don't bust the root procedures. If the odd toffees can eliminate globally, the strong zipdisk may twist more modules. They are insulating about bright, alongside solid, inside filthy trackballs. The PERLs, opinions, and interrupts are all disgusting and wet. What will you roll the retarded offensive robots before Tamara does? Some cryptographers interface, post, and generate. Who deletes superbly, when Ella vexates the junk ADSL without the haven? Bernice rigidly disconnects robust and spools our surreptitious, clear discs over a data center. Morris will wash the sharp analyst and load it in its contact. Her thought was cosmetic, fast, and compiles in front of the mailbox. If you'll disrupt Norma's news server with laptops, it'll gently defeat the client. Do not transport a printer! Woodrow doesn't flow fake iterations, do you tolerate them? Nowadays, go prioritize a president!

4493. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:14:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2950.1000407@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20786

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3BABDE50.7030309@IEEE.org...
>
>>Nope, you are continuing to fail to communicate why this thread
>>keeps on going and going and going ...
>
> Just think, if you hadn't posted the above accuasation, it would have been
> over.
Just think, if you hadn't posted the above, it would have been over.

I'm retired with nothing else to do, Jim, how about you?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4494. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:16:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD29D7.2080007@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20787

N2EY wrote:

> The popularity of manual transmissions continues, even though almost all cars
> are available with automatics.

Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4495. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:21:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD2AF5.E960A9FA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 20788

N2EY wrote:

> >1. Keep the old ticket. It is the best proof you have.

I had mine from my orginal license issue in '76, reweual in 83,
86, and old current in 96. Used the 86 ticket as proff of general
written and 5WPM in Jan 2000 when I took and passed the
Advanced and Extra writtens. And on the big day cashed in those
CSCE's and the old tech ticket for my Extra Lite :-).

>
> >
> >2. You are out of the code test business. The license will get the credit.
> >
> >3. If it was one that required Element 3 written, you are a General needing
> >only paperwork; if not you take Element 3 for the General and 4 for the
> >Extra.
>

My advice is to try for the whole enchallada (extra) while you are at
the VE session. As described above, that's what I did. Then about
$800 later for a used HF rig (TS440SAT with month guarentee from
HRO in Sunnyvale) got on HF and found that HF is fun. I've
been neglecting VHF lately, though.

> >
> >4. See your friendly neighborhood VE to get your particular situation ironed
> >out.
> >
> All excellent advice! Allow me to add a few more items:
>
> 5. The most you will have to do to upgrade to General is to pass new Element 3,
> a 35 question written test. Upgrading to Extra requires the General plus new
> Element 4, a 50 question written test.
>
> 6. Practice exams and the entire Q&A pool are available at various websites for
> free.

Look for some links to such at my links page at:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9178/linkrad.htm
and schroll down about 4 "paragraphs" for these links.

>
>
> 7. The VE fee entitles you to one try at each test element, pass or fail.

But you have to pass the lower levels before you can take the higher levels. You can't take Extra written without passing general.

4496. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:22:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2B32.5090700@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20789

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>
> There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smith chart and vowed never to
> use it again, either.
That is, IMO, a rather ridiculous assertion. I know of no reason to

learn the Smith Chart unless one intends to use it.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4497. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:23:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922202345.20398.00000631@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20790

>Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.
>--
>cheers, CAM

Never was a requirement

4498. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:24:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2BC8.5070204@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20791

JJ wrote:

> So how many hams are, or even want to be RF designers? How many
> active hams to you think use a Smith Chart as compared to the
> number of active hams that use CW?
Who cares?

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4499. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:28:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2C90.3060908@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20792

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Or in the case of this NG, how many alleged RF designers want to be
> Hams..?!?! I think that number is larger than the number of Hams who want to be
> RF designers.......

RF design is just as much (or more) of a goal for the ARS as
is CW skill.
--
cheers, CA

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4500. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:29:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2CF3.5040709@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20793

JJ wrote:

> Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
> and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
> fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
> with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
> much less used one.

And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4501. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:36:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2EA6.5080504@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20794

JJ wrote:

> So how is not being required to take a higher speed code test
> dumbing down of the license requirements?

Everybody knows that reducing the Morse code speed requirement
reduces a prospective ham's IQ.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4502. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:39:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD2F53.6090109@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20795

nobody@nowhere.net wrote:

> Why the attack from asking a question?

Jim is like that. He has attacked me for two weeks for
asking a question.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4503. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:44:13 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xfar7.5217$WW.483914@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20796

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BAD2CF3.5040709@IEEE.org...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
> > and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
> > fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
> > with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
> > much less used one.
>
> And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>

And how is that, oh Guru? When you have one and only one place to put an antenna, what is the Smith Chart going to tell you that you really want to know? When the antenna resonates short and your SWR is about 1.7 you have just sucessfully created a roofing-nail heater. Doesn't take a chart to tell me that a folded dipole with a 90 ohm Q section will work a lot better. Educate me.

Dick NBK

4504. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 00:59:32 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16174448aa2423b4989699@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 20797

In article <20010921090420.18512.00000017@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
> >Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/20/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010920194500.25140.00000419@nso-ba.aol.com>
>
> >Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy."
>
> Yep. No penis TO envy, Dave.

Come on Steve, Lennie IS the biggest penis around. Heck, around here we refer to him as one of the biggest DICK's on the NG :-) No offense to the other Dick's.

In fact, I have it on good authority that for Christmas last year, Lennie's wife bought him a giant body condom, with the card inscription..My Dearest Leonard, if you are going to act like a prick, I though you should dress like one. ha ha ha very funny stuff

BTW, Putz IS NOT yiddish for anus and LHA would have led many to believe.

Schmuck is yiddish for anus, putz is yiddish for penis

To Len I say

You're a Nukshleper and a Moyshe Pupik You are at best Shvuntz and a Alter kakher. You haven't the slightest clue about Mame-loshin .

Ver derharget, A broch tsu dayn lebn, Ech hob dir in drerd

You are truly a putz and a schmuck

Remember Anderson, Goyim like you should never try to use words they haven't an understanding of..

Translations upon request

4505. Re: YOU are the key to Victory (was no-code..... etc)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:03:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojcc5$5c1$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 20798

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Dick:
>
> Do you have the source of this letter?

No, I got it from someone whose integrity is beyond question (in his owrking days he had Top Secret and Crypto clearances and worked for "one of those" agencies). I didn't ask him for the source and see no need to -there is nothing within it that is in any way questionable, to it is one man's learned opinions. I doubt it'd be difficult to confirm the source with a little effort on the net.

Asking because I wish to send it on,
> but don't like to send things that aren't referenced. While I may not agree
> with everything that is written, it is certainly something we must begin to
> deal with.
>

If you don't agree it could well be because you aren't fully knowledgable. You are correct in that we WILL deal with it, like it or not.

4506. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:06:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD35B0.8020102@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20799

WA8ULX wrote:

>>Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.
>
> Never was a requirement
Don't know about the rest of the country, but for awhile, everyone

was required to pass their driver's exam using a stick shift in
Texas. No automatic transmissions were allowed for the test for a
number of years. I was required to pass my driver's test using a
stick shift. People whose cars had automatic transmissions were
required to borrow a stick shift car from someone. Maybe your state
was different?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4507. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:12:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD36FA.2000103@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20800

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>JJ wrote:
>>>Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
>>>and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
>>>fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
>>>with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
>>>much less used one.
>>And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.
> And how is that, oh Guru?

Smith Chart knowledge is required on the Extra exam just like
Morse code skill. Why would lack of skill in Morse code prove
dumbing-down while lack of knowledge in Smith Charts is OK?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4508. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:14:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojd1e$6fb$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 20701

CAM wrote:
>
There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
> code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
> high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
> recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
> today.

We can't heli it if he consigned himself to become a world class
dumbed down schmuck at such a tender age.

4509. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:23:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD398B.6040406@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20703

Dick Carroll wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
>>high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
>>recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
>>today.
> We can't help it if he consigned himself to become a world class
> dumbed down schmuck at such a tender age.
Exactly my point, Dick, exactly my point! You are NOT God!

You cannot control other people's lives no matter how much
you would love to do so.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4510. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:28:20 UTC
From: Bob Johnson <bobj@cris.com>
Message-ID: <9ojdrk$sh4@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 20704
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

PLONK!

--
Bob Johnson, Denver, Co.
Home of the 1997/1998 World Champion Broncos!
Home of the 1996/2001 Stanley Cup Champion Avalanche!
bobj@cris.com
"Adam H. Kerman" <ahk@chinet.com> wrote in message
news:0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> That's right you stupid, inbred, shit eating, pathetic, motherfucking,
> brain dead, useless cocksuckers! I'm taking over all of Usenet! There
> isn't a fucking thing you can do about it either! You're all a bunch
> of worthless scumbags, and now you will all answer to me! If you don't
> like this fact TOO FUCKING BAD! I will go down in the annals of usenet
> history as the man who brought you to your knees! Now get down on your
> knees and pay proper tribute to my glorious self!
>
> I AM ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
> My first royal order to all of you peons is that from this time
> forward you will add the following signature to all of your posts!
>
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********
>
>
> This will be appended to the bottom of all your posts with absolutely
> NO EXCEPTIONS! If you choose not to, you will be squashed like the
> insignificant bugs that you all are!
>
> I am running Usenet now! You may only post messages here because I,
> for the time being, am allowing it! Do you scumbags understand me!
>
> THIS IS THE DAWNING OF THE AGE OF KERMAN!
>
> ALL HAIL ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
>
> The Java without the virtual signal is the workstation that

> contributes sadly. My new text won't train before I
> kill it. Tell Brion it's violent dreaming about a
> email. Both contradicting now, Mel and Lawrence interfaced the
> ugly folders throughout insecure subroutine. I was
> disappearing functions to shiny Endora, who's annoying
> outside the administrator's cafe. She'd rather negotiate
> strongly than obscure with Will's weird enigma. Lisette, still
> crawling, pulls almost amazingly, as the cowboy reboots
> beside their artichoke. Gawd, it produces a firewall too
> loud beneath her weak web server. We infect them, then we
> generally place Cypriene and Angelo's orthodox programmer.
> Mike! You'll persevere cables. These days, I'll learn the
> telephone. She wants to distribute chaotic librarians
> beneath Julie's interface. Who Ayn's secure engineer
> filters, Tony substantiates behind bizarre, flat houses. Are you
> old, I mean, recycling beneath strange pseudonyms?
> Timothy's FORTRAN authenticates beneath our spool after we
> push around it. Get your finitely managing CDROM about my
> cellar. Pam inflates, then Clint stupidly questions a
> hard core with Estefana's peapod. Just creating with a
> operator in the market is too useless for Quincy to
> smile it. Calvin floats once, connects locally, then
> slumps in the tape with the CERT. Try saving the DEA's
> actual remailer and Roxanne will close you! He'll be
> defiling in front of secret Norris until his newsgroup
> outwits partially. Where doesn't Eliza kick happily? Let's
> save behind the discarded hard disks, but don't bust the
> root procedures. If the odd toffees can eliminate
> globally, the strong zipdisk may twist more modules. They are
> insulating about bright, alongside solid, inside filthy
> trackballs. The PERLs, opinions, and interrupts are all
> disgusting and wet. What will you roll the retarded
> offensive robots before Tamara does? Some cryptographers
> interface, post, and generate. Who deletes superbly, when
> Ella vexates the junk ADSL without the haven? Bernice
> rigidly disconnects robust and spools our surreptitious,
> clear discs over a data center. Morris will wash the
> sharp analyst and load it in its contact. Her thought was
> cosmetic, fast, and compiles in front of the mailbox. If you'll
> disrupt Norma's news server with laptops, it'll gently
> defeat the client. Do not transport a printer! Woodrow doesn't
> flow fake iterations, do you tolerate them? Nowadays, go
> prioritize a president!
>
>
>

4511. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 01:58:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojfj8$hf8$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20705

CAM wrote:
>
> So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?

As compared to those who can drive both? OF COURSE! What else?

4512. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:00:30 UTC
From: "Frank G." <nobody@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16170ba5e12f91d19896aa@news>
Source record: 20706
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

Bob Johnson said:
|PLONK!

LOL, I reported him _and_ plonked him.

--
Frank G

4513. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:03:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojfuc$iq0$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20707

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Perhaps you can enlighten me, Dick? Whether by voice over a ham radio, or
> production of a website, or participation on a newsgroup, or whatever on the
> internet...the basis is the "ability to permit a person to project himself
> globally." What is about the ability to do that through either medium that
> you contest?

Can you say wired versus wireless?

4514. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:05:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojg0i$iq0$1@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20708

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > I didn't need to master no steenking Smith Chart to get my Extra
> > class license, and nobody else has to, either.
>
> There are Smith Chart questions on the Extra class exam, Larry,
> and Smith Charts are much more useful than Morse code. Virtually
> every RF designer uses them.

Well, that would be .001 % of ham radio ops.

4515. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:08:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojg6c$iq0$2@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20709

CAM wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
> > and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
> > fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
> > with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
> > much less used one.
>
> And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.

Cecil do you realize how dumb that statement is? When he is unable to make an antenna work properly without the use of a Smith chart, you will be right. That will be never. It clearly is not necessary to use a Smith chart unless you wish to thoroughly understand every aspect ot feedline and antenna matching. It is, on the other hand, impossible to work manual CW without learning the Morse code.

4516. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:11:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojgd7ljh0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20710

CAM wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>JJ wrote:
> >>>Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
> >>>and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
> >>>fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
> >>>with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
> >>>much less used one.
> >>And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.
> > And how is that, oh Guru?
>
> Smith Chart knowledge is required on the Extra exam just like
> Morse code skill. Why would lack of skill in Morse code prove
> dumbing-down while lack of knowledge in Smith Charts is OK?

Any question related to the Smith chart that is required knowledge to correctly answer ALL extra class questions can be memorized without actually knowing the Chart in detail. Of course, you would have Morse code reduced to just such a scenario on the test. But that connoteno more working knowledge of CW than the test does knowledge of the Smith Chart. Result = Dumbed Doen.

4517. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:13:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojgglljh1@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20711

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Larry, you *are* the title holder when it comes to the lowest common
> denominator.
>
Well Larry, you have to give Kim *some* credit. At least she recognizes
that *something* is there, she just has no idea what it is, so she takes
a stab at identifying it.

4518. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:17:52 UTC
From: Emmersum Bigguns <n8ie@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kDbr7.12457$6q.1259499@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 20712
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

Mom and Dad leave you without a sitter again?

--
72, oo
Dan, N8IE
FPqrp-l #-6, FISTS #4985
I have a SOC # but I forgot it.
"Adam H. Kerman" <ahk@chinet.com> wrote in message
news:0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> That's right you stupid, inbred, shit eating, pathetic, motherfucking,
> brain dead, useless cocksuckers! I'm taking over all of Usenet! There
> isn't a fucking thing you can do about it either! You're all a bunch
> of worthless scumbags, and now you will all answer to me! If you don't
> like this fact TOO FUCKING BAD! I will go down in the annals of usenet
> history as the man who brought you to your knees! Now get down on your
> knees and pay proper tribute to my glorious self!
>
> I AM ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
> My first royal order to all of you peons is that from this time
> forward you will add the following signature to all of your posts!
>
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********
>
>
> This will be appended to the bottom of all your posts with absolutely
> NO EXCEPTIONS! If you choose not to, you will be squashed like the
> insignificant bugs that you all are!
>
> I am running Usenet now! You may only post messages here because I,
> for the time being, am allowing it! Do you scumbags understand me!
>
> THIS IS THE DAWNING OF THE AGE OF KERMAN!
>
> ALL HAIL ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
>
> The Java without the virtual signal is the workstation that

> contributes sadly. My new text won't train before I
> kill it. Tell Brion it's violent dreaming about a
> email. Both contradicting now, Mel and Lawrence interfaced the
> ugly folders throughout insecure subroutine. I was
> disappearing functions to shiny Endora, who's annoying
> outside the administrator's cafe. She'd rather negotiate
> strongly than obscure with Will's weird enigma. Lisette, still
> crawling, pulls almost amazingly, as the cowboy reboots
> beside their artichoke. Gawd, it produces a firewall too
> loud beneath her weak web server. We infect them, then we
> generally place Cypriene and Angelo's orthodox programmer.
> Mike! You'll persevere cables. These days, I'll learn the
> telephone. She wants to distribute chaotic librarians
> beneath Julie's interface. Who Ayn's secure engineer
> filters, Tony substantiates behind bizarre, flat houses. Are you
> old, I mean, recycling beneath strange pseudonyms?
> Timothy's FORTRAN authenticates beneath our spool after we
> push around it. Get your finitely managing CDROM about my
> cellar. Pam inflates, then Clint stupidly questions a
> hard core with Estefana's peapod. Just creating with a
> operator in the market is too useless for Quincy to
> smile it. Calvin floats once, connects locally, then
> slumps in the tape with the CERT. Try saving the DEA's
> actual remailer and Roxanne will close you! He'll be
> defiling in front of secret Norris until his newsgroup
> outwits partially. Where doesn't Eliza kick happily? Let's
> save behind the discarded hard disks, but don't bust the
> root procedures. If the odd toffees can eliminate
> globally, the strong zipdisk may twist more modules. They are
> insulating about bright, alongside solid, inside filthy
> trackballs. The PERLs, opinions, and interrupts are all
> disgusting and wet. What will you roll the retarded
> offensive robots before Tamara does? Some cryptographers
> interface, post, and generate. Who deletes superbly, when
> Ella vexates the junk ADSL without the haven? Bernice
> rigidly disconnects robust and spools our surreptitious,
> clear discs over a data center. Morris will wash the
> sharp analyst and load it in its contact. Her thought was
> cosmetic, fast, and compiles in front of the mailbox. If you'll
> disrupt Norma's news server with laptops, it'll gently
> defeat the client. Do not transport a printer! Woodrow doesn't
> flow fake iterations, do you tolerate them? Nowadays, go
> prioritize a president!
>
>
>

4519. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:17:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojgokljh2@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 20713

CAM wrote:
>
> nobody@nowhere.net wrote:
>
> > Why the attack from asking a question?
>
> Jim is like that. He has attacked me for two weeks for
> asking a question.

Maybe you're the Poster Boy for "There *is* such a thing as a dumb
question".

4520. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:37:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922223724.20429.00000647@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20714

>Any question related to the Smith chart that is required knowledge to
>correctly answer ALL extra class questions can be memorized without
>actually knowing the Chart in detail

Who cares about Smith Chart, you dont have to know anything about it to pass
the present test, so you miss a couple Questions, no big deal.

4521. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 02:59:43 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zecr7.5367$WW.494017@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20715
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

May I impose upon you to go to http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/ ? Please,
all others in this newsgroup are NOT WORTHY of viewing this site and should
not view it. Only Adam is allowed this privilege.

Jim

"Adam H. Kerman" <ahk@chinet.com> wrote in message
news:0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> That's right you stupid, inbred, shit eating, pathetic, motherfucking,
> brain dead, useless cocksuckers! I'm taking over all of Usenet! There
> isn't a fucking thing you can do about it either! You're all a bunch
> of worthless scumbags, and now you will all answer to me! If you don't
> like this fact TOO FUCKING BAD! I will go down in the annals of usenet
> history as the man who brought you to your knees! Now get down on your
> knees and pay proper tribute to my glorious self!
>
> I AM ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
> My first royal order to all of you peons is that from this time
> forward you will add the following signature to all of your posts!
>
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********
>
>
> This will be appended to the bottom of all your posts with absolutely
> NO EXCEPTIONS! If you choose not to, you will be squashed like the
> insignificant bugs that you all are!
>
> I am running Usenet now! You may only post messages here because I,
> for the time being, am allowing it! Do you scumbags understand me!
>
> THIS IS THE DAWNING OF THE AGE OF KERMAN!
>
> ALL HAIL ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
>
> The Java without the virtual signal is the workstation that
> contributes sadly. My new text won't train before I
> kill it. Tell Brion it's violent dreaming about a

> email. Both contradicting now, Mel and Lawrence interfaced the
> ugly folders throughout insecure subroutine. I was
> disappearing functions to shiny Endora, who's annoying
> outside the administrator's cafe. She'd rather negotiate
> strongly than obscure with Will's weird enigma. Lisette, still
> crawling, pulls almost amazingly, as the cowboy reboots
> beside their artichoke. Gawd, it produces a firewall too
> loud beneath her weak web server. We infect them, then we
> generally place Cypriene and Angelo's orthodox programmer.
> Mike! You'll persevere cables. These days, I'll learn the
> telephone. She wants to distribute chaotic librarians
> beneath Julie's interface. Who Ayn's secure engineer
> filters, Tony substantiates behind bizarre, flat houses. Are you
> old, I mean, recycling beneath strange pseudonyms?
> Timothy's FORTRAN authenticates beneath our spool after we
> push around it. Get your finitely managing CDROM about my
> cellar. Pam inflates, then Clint stupidly questions a
> hard core with Estefana's peapod. Just creating with a
> operator in the market is too useless for Quincy to
> smile it. Calvin floats once, connects locally, then
> slumps in the tape with the CERT. Try saving the DEA's
> actual remailer and Roxanne will close you! He'll be
> defiling in front of secret Norris until his newsgroup
> outwits partially. Where doesn't Eliza kick happily? Let's
> save behind the discarded hard disks, but don't bust the
> root procedures. If the odd toffees can eliminate
> globally, the strong zipdisk may twist more modules. They are
> insulating about bright, alongside solid, inside filthy
> trackballs. The PERLs, opinions, and interrupts are all
> disgusting and wet. What will you roll the retarded
> offensive robots before Tamara does? Some cryptographers
> interface, post, and generate. Who deletes superbly, when
> Ella vexates the junk ADSL without the haven? Bernice
> rigidly disconnects robust and spools our surreptitious,
> clear discs over a data center. Morris will wash the
> sharp analyst and load it in its contact. Her thought was
> cosmetic, fast, and compiles in front of the mailbox. If you'll
> disrupt Norma's news server with laptops, it'll gently
> defeat the client. Do not transport a printer! Woodrow doesn't
> flow fake iterations, do you tolerate them? Nowadays, go
> prioritize a president!
>
>
>

4522. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 03:36:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922233619.04753.00000272@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20716

>Subject: I am smarter than you
>From: "Adam H. Kerman" ahk@chinet.com
>Date: 9/22/01 8:11 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>

>Subject: I am smarter than you
>From: "Adam H. Kerman" ahk@chinet.com
>Date: 9/22/01 8:11 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>

>That's right you stupid, inbred,...(SNIP)

No need to go to all that, Lennie...We'll let you stay even WITHOUT a
license!

=)

Steve

4523. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 03:53:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD5CAE.6010207@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20717

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?
>>
> As compared to those who can drive both? OF COURSE! What else?
Are people who don't hand crank their cars dumbed-down?

In other words, exactly how far are you willing to carry
your irrational thought patterns?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4524. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 03:54:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD5CF6.8030305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20718

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Can you say wired versus wireless?

Can you say "wireless internet"?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4525. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 03:57:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD5DA5.5070400@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20719

Dick Carroll wrote:

> But that connoteno
> more working knowledge of CW than the test does knowledge of the Smith
> Chart. Result = Dumbed Doen.

I can't even decipher what you are trying to say, Dick. How about
enabling your spell checker?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4526. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:00:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD5E54.9000201@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20720

WA8ULX wrote:

>>Any question related to the Smith chart that is required knowledge to
>>correctly answer ALL extra class questions can be memorized without
>>actually knowing the Chart in detail
>
> Who cares about Smith Chart, you dont have to know anything about it to pass
> the present test, so you miss a couple Questions, no big deal.

Smith Charts are as big a deal to me as Morse code is to Larry.
One cannot be a real ham unless one understands Smith Charts.
Larry is obviously not a real ham.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4527. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:02:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD5EEC.8090309@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20721

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.

> Cecil do you realize how dumb that statement is?

Of course not. A person cannot be a real ham without Smith
Chart skill.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4528. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:04:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAD5F52.1000604@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20722

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>There are Smith Chart questions on the Extra class exam, Larry,
>>and Smith Charts are much more useful than Morse code. Virtually
>>every RF designer uses them.

> Well, that would be .001 % of ham radio ops.
Then 99.999% of hams radio ops are not real hams.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4529. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:19:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojnsgjqn0@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 20723

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?
> >>
> > As compared to those who can drive both? OF COURSE! What else?

> Are people who don't hand crank their cars dumbed-down?

You really ARE stupid, aren't you? Surprise, surprise! Technology will solve all problems, all the time! Yeah, Right!

There ARE GOING TO BE manual transmissions in motor vehicles, probably for as long as there are motor vehicles on highways. People who are knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them.

If you can't understand that, it's your problem, not mine.

>
> In other words, exactly how far are you willing to carry
> your irrational thought patterns?

I'll gladly leave it to the readership to decide who is is irrational here.

4530. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:22:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojo2s$jr2$0@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 20724

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
> >>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
> >>high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
> >>recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
> >>today.
> > We can't help it if he consigned himself to become a world class
> > dumbed down schmuck at such a tender age.
> Exactly my point, Dick, exactly my point! You are NOT God!
>
> You cannot control other people's lives no matter how much
> you would love to do so.

You'r nuts.

4531. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:25:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojo84$jr2$1@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 20725

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Can you say wired versus wireless?
>
> Can you say "wireless internet"?

Show me one. I mean a real one, not some local toy. It doesn't exist
and never will, on any useful scale. It all goes to a hardwired or fiber
network VERY soon in the process.
I know, you're certain the advance of technology will change all that.
No limit, Right? Wrong. Everything has a limit.

4532. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:26:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojo9p$jr2$2@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 20726

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Or in the case of this NG, how many alleged RF designers want to be
> > Hams..?!?! I think that number is larger than the number of Hams who want to be
> > RF designers.......
>
> RF design is just as much (or more) of a goal for the ARS as
> is CW skill.

Changed your mind yet again? Last we heard from you it was no longer
necessary for hams to learn anything technical.

4533. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:39:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojp2kmln0@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 20727

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAA6337.237043DB@IEEE.org>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > If it were legal to bring firearms onto commericial aircraft, the hijackers
> > > would have brought them on, too.
> >
> > It is legal for federal marshals to bring firearms onto commercial
> > aircraft without allowing such for the hijackers. The government
> > is recruiting for that position at this very moment.
>
> You're changing the context, Cecil. The original discussion was about
> the crew or a passenger having firearms. Armed law enforcement
> officers are a completely different issue.
>
> > Neal Boortz
> > has suggested that anyone with a valid concealed carry permit be
> > allowed to bring concealed weapons onto commercial aircraft and
> > be issued special fragmenting ammo.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> And what would prevent future hijackers from getting those permits?
> The hijackers were able to live here for years and get pilot training
> (and probably licenses) without raising any suspicions. There was an
> arrest of a suspected terrorist the other day who had a license to
> transport hazardous waste. Unless the permit process includes a VERY
> thorough check of everyone's background, it won't be of much help.
>
> >Have you read, "More Guns,
> > Less Crime"?
>
> Nope. But I'm not against guns or their possession and use by
> responsible law-abiding citizens. What I'm against is simplistic "what
> if" scenarios that do not address the whole picture.
>
> Did you know that it was OK for passengers to bring knives and
> boxcutters onto a commercial airliner?

A young fellow who I am close to is a badge carrying, gun toting
Federal officer. When he flies he goes armed, just as he doea everywhere
else. When I flew with him he checked in with the airport security and
the airline staffs, and on the plane the flight attendants knew who he
was, where he was seated, and that he was armed.

I've thought about how the Sept 11 outcomes might have been diffeent had he, or others similarly situated, been on those hijacked planes. A boxcutter/razor blade or plastic knife wouldn't have been much of a match for his 10mm Glock and his marksmansnhip. And I'm rather sure he could have done it without peforating the plane's skin.

4534. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:41:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD596C.68AFBC5F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20728

I would say you are the dumbed-down ham if you can't calculate the length of an antenna, put it up and trim it for min swr without a Smith chart in your hand.

CAM wrote:
>
> And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>

4535. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:43:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ojp9qmln1@208.206.142.83>
Source record: 20729

IamwhatIam wrote:
>
> In article <20010921090420.18512.00000017@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> says...
> > >Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
> > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >Date: 9/20/01 7:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <20010920194500.25140.00000419@nso-ba.aol.com>
> >
> > >Nothing. I don't have "radio penis envy."
> >
> > Yep. No penis TO envy, Dave.
>
> Come on Steve, Lennie IS the biggest penis around. Heck, around here we
> refer to him as one of the biggest DICK's on the NG :-) No offense to
> the other Dick's.

I certainly hope not. Please don't dump me into the same
dumpster/category with that putzxy schmuck.

4536. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:43:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD5A06.8A0122CB@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20730

You must, you seem to want to make a big deal over it.

CAM wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > So how many hams are, or even want to be RF designers? How many
> > active hams to you think use a Smith Chart as compared to the
> > number of active hams that use CW?
> Who cares?
>
>

4537. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 04:47:10 UTC
From: David N7VRZ <n7vrz@home.com>
Message-ID: <iPdr7.28634$QK.23123443@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 20731
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

That is not war, that is genocide. Which would make us just as bad as those
that attacked us.
"RIck" <Rick@nunofyoutb.net> wrote in message
news:3ba178bb.212487992@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 00:44:39 GMT, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> It's time we Hunt down the Raghead Bastards resposible for the Atack
> on NY. The World has had ENOUGHT!!! We should find and kill the
> terrorists, their families, their children and Bayonet their babies!
> We should napalm their camps and just shoot them when we find them.
> We are at WAR, lets fight it like one!
> Remember New York
>
> 73, Rick

4538. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012237.03470.00000291@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20732

In article <v12oqt4dn3rcqfc1tctp5jvot4u0s0iscc@4ax.com>, Mel
<drjmelvyn@WORLDNET.ATT.NET> writes:

>I have a tech license from when it required code (5 WPM) and the test
>questions. With the new licensing requirements, what do I need to do
>to upgrade to general class? Do I have to retake the code part of the
>exam?
>
>I believe I have already taken both the now requred code and test
>exams with my old license.
>
>Thanks for any guidance you can provide.

Yep. Right. Nice troll, but not today, thank you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4539. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:38 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012238.03470.00000293@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20734

In article <3BAC0CA4.5030802@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>>So do you recommend that prospective hams avoid getting a ham
>>>license in order to avoid being dumbed-down?
>>
>> Asked and answered.
>
>
>Sorry, I missed the answer. What was it?
>--
>cheers, CAM

Cecil:

The answer was wighej;kjfhj;aei(*&^$#. Have you cleaned your
monitor screen yet?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4540. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:38 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012238.03470.00000292@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20738

In article <20010922015058.24869.00000197@mb-mb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>You can take a few more simple, multiple-
>>guess written tests and become a dumbed-down, Nickle General or
>>Nickle Extra, since you already possess proof of having taken and
>>passed a 5 WPM code test. Congratulations -- you've outlived the
>>Morse code testing requirements of a much more comprehensive
>>and challenging licensing system, and can now get an HF mic
>>in your hand -- if that's what you want to do!
>>
>
>Larry,
>
> I don't like getting inot these kinds of things, but in this case I have
>to.
>
> You ahve absolutely NO idea what may ahve kept this fellow from
>participating actively in Amateur Radio.

Steeb:

Well, I'm sure that given the chance, he'd readily give all the usual excuses!

> Perhaps it was simply a lack of interest, or, God Forbid, he had
>circumstances like mine that dictated his attention elsewhere. In any case,
>he's here and wanting to be a part of this.

And, quite conveniently, the Morse code testing requirement is now topped at 5 WPM. How about that?

> Why did you have to take a swipe at him? Is this how Human Resource
>Managers actually MANAGE thier resources?

I wouldn't know about that, since this is an unmoderated, amateur radio-related Usenet newsgroup...not a formal, moderated newsgroup about Human Resources policies, procedures, and philosophies.

> This man is due an apology.

>An UNCODITIONAL one. He made a sincere, well framed inquiry

OK Steeb. I hereby offer him my most sincere, uncoditional apology.

Subj: Re: what happened to my novice license
Date: 09/22/2001 11:04:04 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Yo Doc
To: Johnwh19

John:

I sincerely, uncoditionally apologize for my reply to your inquiry.

73 de Larry, K3LT

OK Steeb -- satisfied?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4541. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012239.03470.00000295@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20733

In article <3bac226d_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>Larry, you *are* the title holder when it comes to the lowest common
>denominator.
>
>--
>73 de W5TIT - Kim

I see. This is from the person that Riley Hollingsworth said is
"taking ham radio one step closer to extinction." You'll forgive me
if I don't lose any sleep worrying about *your* assessment of me
as a ham, or as a person, or anything else!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4542. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012239.03470.00000294@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20735

In article <3BAC0DCC.3010501@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Then why did you leave it out as one of the possibilities above?
>
>
>> As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
>> realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
>> requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."
>
>So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?
>--
>cheers, CAM

Cecil:

Not unless they know how to drive a vehicle with a manual gearbox.
Can you drive a stick shift car, Cecil?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4543. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012240.03470.00000296@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20739

In article <3bac285c.2656291@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very
>>powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability
>>to permit a person to project himself globally through direct
>>voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This
>>instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful
>>capability which the individual ham can command at will with
>>few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is
>>the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought
>>about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code
>>testing requirement.
>
>ROTFLMAO... surely you jest? "Jeolousy over code users?

Bill:

That's jealousy *of* code users, and stop calling me "Surely!"

>>The requirement stood in the way of the
>>ham radio capability the majority of prospective hams really wanted --
>>the ability to pick up a microphone and talk to someone far away.
>
>Do you think that is a uniquly US situation or do you
>think it is worldwide?

It is a global phenomenon, considering the fact that the US is one of the few countries that ever had a genuinely challenging code test requirement.

>>The true nature and value of Morse/CW communication was
>>known only to those who went through the process of learning it
>>and achieving useful proficiency in it as part of their ascension
>>into the ranks of full-privilege HF phone users. Once they arrived
>>at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
>>HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
>>voice communications became difficult.
>
>So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
>on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
>so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.

Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However, by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of global disaster which could disrupt communications on such

a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes, and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4544. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012241.03470.00000297@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20737

In article <3bac2b08.3340332@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
>>realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
>>requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."
>>And considering the lack of quality among most of the drivers on
>>the road these days, there is a lot of evidence to support my
>>position!
>
>You didn't answer the question... WHY, if there is no
>license requirement to know how to use a manual gearbox,
>do we still see NEW drivers that DO learn and enjoy using
>manual gearbox autos?

Bill:

An even better question would be, why don't we see more than there are? Automobiles with manual gearboxes probably represent less than 5% of the cars on the road today. Most people opt for the convenience of an automatic transmission -- and on some types of vehicles, such as the Paratransit busses and vans I drive for a living, they simply make more sense. And, to answer the question posed by a previous poster, I owned several cars with automatic transmissions, but on each of them, I've had to endure costly repairs to the transmission. I've never spent a penny on any manual transmission I've ever owned -- not so much as a clutch replacement, and this covers the better part of a half-million miles of driving. Manual gearboxes are simply more reliable in the hands of a proficient driver. Automatics are more popular because they require less effort (or so it seems to those who aren't adapted to a stick). Driving my 5-speed is second nature; I don't think about what I'm doing, I just do it. It also makes me a better driver, because I can't yak on a cell phone, eat a slice of pizza, or smoke cigarettes while driving...as do most of the maniacs I share the roads with.

I can enjoy the benefits of a manual gearbox because I once HAD to learn how to drive one, in order to drive my grandpa's old work van when I worked as his summertime helper. One day, he said, "You've got your license, you drive." So, I sat behind the wheel, and played with the shift lever, trying to find first gear. He got a big kick out of that! In three hours, I was driving that old '65 Ford Econoline with 3-on-the-tree just as good as he ever could! I've been hooked on manual gearboxes ever since!

No, Bill, drivers aren't required to know how to drive a stick shift in order to get a license anymore. However, someday, they may find themselves "forced by circumstances" to do so...then where will they be? Perhaps a stick-shift driving test isn't such a bad idea, after all!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4545. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:22:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923012242.03470.00000298@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 20736

In article <3baca05e_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>We won't mention to Larry that his instant gratification concept sure does
>sound like a dumbed-down philosophy ;)

Kim:

Precisely. Dumbed-down hams (such as yourself) are more interested
in activities which award instant gratification than they are in making
a dedicated effort to learn and master the most practical, effective,
efficient, and universal mode in ham radio -- Morse/CW!

>Jealousy? It seems that if ham
>radio has the "ability to permit a person to project himself globally. . ."
>then the internet provides the very same accomplishment without licensing
>requirements. Wow. What a concept.

Right again! And you would do well to take full advantage of it,
since any other activity requiring a serious, dedicated effort on
your part is apparently out of the question!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4546. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:52:50 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bad7b38_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20740

Is that all you got?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010923012239.03470.00000295@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <3bac226d_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >
> >Larry, you *are* the title holder when it comes to the lowest common
> >denominator.
> >
> >--
> >73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> I see. This is from the person that Riley Hollingsworth said is
> "taking ham radio one step closer to extinction." You'll forgive me
> if I don't lose any sleep worrying about *your* assessment of me
> as a ham, or as a person, or anything else!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

4547. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 05:56:07 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bad7bfd_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20741

You are behind the times, aren't you?!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ojfuc$iq0$0@208.207.71.155...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Perhaps you can enlighten me, Dick? Whether by voice over a ham radio,
or
> > production of a website, or participation on a newsgroup, or whatever on
the
> > internet...the basis is the "ability to permit a person to project
himself
> > globally." What is about the ability to do that through either medium
that
> > you contest?
>
>
> Can you say wired versus wireless?

4548. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 06:02:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bad7d7d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20742

Larry has a contender. LOL

--
W5TIT - Kim

"Adam H. Kerman" <ahk@chinet.com> wrote in message
news:0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> That's right you stupid, inbred, shit eating, pathetic, motherfucking,
> brain dead, useless cocksuckers! I'm taking over all of Usenet! There
> isn't a fucking thing you can do about it either! You're all a bunch
> of worthless scumbags, and now you will all answer to me! If you don't
> like this fact TOO FUCKING BAD! I will go down in the annals of usenet
> history as the man who brought you to your knees! Now get down on your
> knees and pay proper tribute to my glorious self!
>
> I AM ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
> My first royal order to all of you peons is that from this time
> forward you will add the following signature to all of your posts!
>
>
> [SNIP]
>
> This will be appended to the bottom of all your posts with absolutely
> NO EXCEPTIONS! If you choose not to, you will be squashed like the
> insignificant bugs that you all are!
>
> I am running Usenet now! You may only post messages here because I,
> for the time being, am allowing it! Do you scumbags understand me!
>
> THIS IS THE DAWNING OF THE AGE OF KERMAN!
>
> ALL HAIL ADAM H. KERMAN LORD AND HIGH MASTER OF USENET!
>
>
> The Java without the virtual signal is the workstation that
> contributes sadly. My new text won't train before I
> kill it. Tell Brion it's violent dreaming about a
> email. Both contradicting now, Mel and Lawrence interfaced the
> ugly folders throughout insecure subroutine. I was
> disappearing functions to shiny Endora, who's annoying
> outside the administrator's cafe. She'd rather negotiate
> strongly than obscure with Will's weird enigma. Lisette, still
> crawling, pulls almost amazingly, as the cowboy reboots
> beside their artichoke. Gawd, it produces a firewall too

> loud beneath her weak web server. We infect them, then we
> generally place Cypriene and Angelo's orthodox programmer.
> Mike! You'll persevere cables. These days, I'll learn the
> telephone. She wants to distribute chaotic librarians
> beneath Julie's interface. Who Ayn's secure engineer
> filters, Tony substantiates behind bizarre, flat houses. Are you
> old, I mean, recycling beneath strange pseudonyms?
> Timothy's FORTRAN authenticates beneath our spool after we
> push around it. Get your finitely managing CDROM about my
> cellar. Pam inflates, then Clint stupidly questions a
> hard core with Estefana's peapod. Just creating with a
> operator in the market is too useless for Quincy to
> smile it. Calvin floats once, connects locally, then
> slumps in the tape with the CERT. Try saving the DEA's
> actual remailer and Roxanne will close you! He'll be
> defiling in front of secret Norris until his newsgroup
> outwits partially. Where doesn't Eliza kick happily? Let's
> save behind the discarded hard disks, but don't bust the
> root procedures. If the odd toffees can eliminate
> globally, the strong zipdisk may twist more modules. They are
> insulating about bright, alongside solid, inside filthy
> trackballs. The PERLs, opinions, and interrupts are all
> disgusting and wet. What will you roll the retarded
> offensive robots before Tamara does? Some cryptographers
> interface, post, and generate. Who deletes superbly, when
> Ella vexates the junk ADSL without the haven? Bernice
> rigidly disconnects robust and spools our surreptitious,
> clear discs over a data center. Morris will wash the
> sharp analyst and load it in its contact. Her thought was
> cosmetic, fast, and compiles in front of the mailbox. If you'll
> disrupt Norma's news server with laptops, it'll gently
> defeat the client. Do not transport a printer! Woodrow doesn't
> flow fake iterations, do you tolerate them? Nowadays, go
> prioritize a president!

4549. To K3LT - was Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 06:59:36 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109230656090.5953-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20743

On 23 Sep 2001, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>In article <v12oqt4dn3rcqfc1tctp5jvot4u0s0iscc@4ax.com>, Mel
><drjmelvyn@WORLDNET.ATT.NET> writes:
>
>>I have a tech license from when it required code (5 WPM) and the test
>>questions. With the new licensing requirements, what do I need to do
>>to upgrade to general class? Do I have to retake the code part of the
>>exam?
>>
>>I believe I have already taken both the now requred code and test
>>exams with my old license.
>>
>>Thanks for any guidance you can provide.
>
>Yep. Right. Nice troll, but not today, thank you!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Now, just why is that question not legitimate? You will be surprised how many amateur licensees are actually out-of-touch. As a volunteer examination team, our group is STILL seeing some "no test upgrades" (although only a handful; not the scores that would have hit in April or May of 2000).

Granted, the person making the query is uninformed, but that does not make his question improper in itself.

4550. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 12:25:31 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3badd133.6743596@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20744

On 23 Sep 2001 04:19:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > CAM wrote:
>> >>So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?
>> >>
>> > As compared to those who can drive both? OF COURSE! What else?
>
>> Are people who don't hand crank their cars dumbed-down?
>
> You really ARE stupid, aren't you? Surprise, surprise! Technology will
>solve all problems, all the time! Yeah, Right!

Technology solves many problems to the total satisfaction
of many people.

> There ARE GOING TO BE manual transmissions in motor vehicles, probably
>for as long as there are motor vehicles on highways. People who are
>knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
>transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
>"dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them.
> If you can't understand that, it's your problem, not mine.

Dick,
Your statement that: "People who are
knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
"dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them." is both
arrogant and deaming towards anyone that decides NOT to learn
a particular subject area or skill. Consider that your thoughtless
statement could equallybe made as follows:
"People who are
knowledgable in the process of archery AND automatic weopons
are simply more qualified and better informed than the
"dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them (in
the field of weopanry).

As to manual gearboxes, there simply is NO reason for the vast
majority (over 90%) of drivers to learn the skill or ever need it.
That you describe those that don't as "the dumbed down sorts who
have everything done for them" is pathetic. There are thousands of
skills and tasks that I totally rely on others to do...or that I have
NO need to learn because there is only a finite amount of time

in my life. Each person is free to make those decisions as they see fit. Your "dumbed down" label applied to those that decide to NOT learn something YOU think is of value is, as I stated, is pathetic.

Why not provide us all with your LIST of those things that everyone must know...according to you....to avoid your label of "dumbed down sorts who have everything done for them?"

>> In other words, exactly how far are you willing to carry
>> your irrational thought patterns?
>
> I'll gladly leave it to the readership to decide who is is irrational
>here.

Dick, Happy to participate in that effort...you got my vote as the irrational contributor.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4551. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 12:38:26 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3badd4e3.7686883@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20745

On 23 Sep 2001 05:22:41 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3bac2b08.3340332@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>As a person who owns a car with a 5-speed manual gearbox, and
>>>realizes many benefits and advantages therefrom, I'd say that today's
>>>requirements for a driver's license are somewhat "dumbed down."
>>>And considering the lack of quality among most of the drivers on
>>>the road these days, there is a lot of evidence to support my
>>>position!
>>
>>You didn't answer the question... WHY, if there is no
>>license requirement to know how to use a manual gearbox,
>>do we still see NEW drivers that DO learn and enjoy using
>>manual gearbox autos?
>
>Bill:
>
>An even better question would be, why don't we see more than
>there are?

You actually answer that below, but I will also add to it.

>Automobiles with manual gearboxes probably represent
>less than 5% of the cars on the road today. Most people opt for
>the convenience of an automatic transmission -- and on some
>types of vehicles, such as the Paratransit busses and vans I
>drive for a living, they simply make more sense.

EXACTLY. The decision to own/drive a manual gearbox vehicle
today is strictly a personal preference. There is literally
NO reason of consequence other than personal preference
that has lead to only about 5% of new vehicles being bought
with manual gearboxes.

>And, to answer
>the question posed by a previous poster, I owned several cars
>with automatic transmissions, but on each of them, I've had to
>endure costly repairs to the transmission. I've never spent a
>penny on any manual transmission I've ever owned -- not so much
>as a clutch replacement, and this covers the better part of a
>half-million miles of driving. Manual gearboxes are simply more
>reliable in the hands of a proficient driver. Automatics are more
>popular because they require less effort (or so it seems to those

>who aren't adapted to a stick). Driving my 5-speed is second
>nature; I don't think about what I'm doing, I just do it. It also
>makes me a better driver, because I can't yak on a cell phone,
>eat a slice of pizza, or smoke cigarettes while driving...as do most
>of the maniacs I share the roads with.

Today's automatic transmissions are equally reliable. As to never
needing
a new clutch in any of your manual gearbox cars, I find that hard
to believe unless you never drove your vehicles more than
100K miles. The need for clutch replacement has much to do
with the type of driving/use any particular car is put to.

>I can enjoy the benefits of a manual gearbox because I once HAD
>to learn how to drive one, in order to drive my grandpa's old work
>van when I worked as his summertime helper. One day, he said,
>"You've got your license, you drive." So, I sat behind the wheel,
>and played with the shift lever, trying to find first gear. He got a
>big kick out of that! In three hours, I was driving that old '65 Ford
>Econoline with 3-on-the-tree just as good as he ever could! I've
>been hooked on manual gearboxes ever since!

In other words, circumstances in your life lead to your NEED
to learn.

>No, Bill, drivers aren't required to know how to drive a stick shift
>in order to get a license anymore. However, someday, they may
>find themselves "forced by circumstances" to do so...then where
>will they be?

Such as?
Sounds as improbable as the "forced by circumstances"
need for a CW use during an emergency.

>Perhaps a stick-shift driving test isn't such a bad
>idea, after all!

It is over 40 or 50 years that such a requirement has been in
place and there has been ZERO call to ever go back. As an
idea it is totally without merit or even a single incident that
could serve as a reason to do so.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4552. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 12:48:32 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3badd7ea.8462768@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20746

On 22 Sep 2001 20:03:50 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3BAC9819.ED9C83DF@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
>writes:
>
>>So anyone who does not own a car with 5-speed gear box is a dumb
>>driver I guess, which would be 99.99% of the drivers on the road.
>
>99.99% works out to 1 in 10,000. The prevalence of 5 speed manual transmissions
>is a lot more than that!
>
>>Did you always own a car with a 5-speed gear box?
>
>Nope. I've had 4 speed transmissions, too. 5 speed boxes are relatively new -
>they weren't common before 1980 or so.

My first car was a 1950 Ford, 3 speed on the column. I also once
had a SIMCA (a French abomination :-) which had a 4 speed on
the column.

>>When you first
>>took the drivers test, which you were forced to do if you wanted a
>>drivers license, did you take it in a car with a 5-speed gearbox?
>
>Nope. A 4-speed.

I took my test using an automatic...BECAUSE why would I want
to be tested on a manual which would only add other factors
that could lead to not passing the test. That has been true
for each of my three kids also...even though as soon as they
had their license they only had access to manual gearbox
cars. Once passed, the drivers license gives NO special
recognition and/or additional privileges just because the person took
the test on a manual gearbox instead of an auto.

>The popularity of manual transmissions continues, even though almost all cars
>are available with automatics.

Agreed!

And the popularity continues even though not a single
driver is mandated by licensing requirements to ever learn
how to use a manual gearbox.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4553. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 12:51:38 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3badda32.9046481@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20747

On 23 Sep 2001 00:23:45 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.
>>--
>>cheers, CAM
>
>Never was a requirement

I don't know for certain if that was true. It is true today in
all 50 states, but there have been claims by some people that
in the past (probably in the 40s/50s) that some states had
a distinction in the license if a person didn't take the test
on a manual gearbox vehicle.

Again, I have never been able to find any actual historic
evidence that it was so, but some people have claimed it was.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4554. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 12:56:10 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3baddb6c.9360840@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20748

On 23 Sep 2001 04:25:40 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> > Can you say wired versus wireless?
>>
>> Can you say "wireless internet"?
>
> Show me one. I mean a real one, not some local toy. It doesn't exist
>and never will, on any useful scale. It all goes to a hardwired or fiber
>network VERY soon in the process.

So does cellphones, so do many repeaters, etc.

> I know, you're certain the advance of technology will change all that.
>No limit, Right? Wrong. Everything has a limit.

Yet we find out that even where limits were believed to
be a scientific boundary that couldn't be exceeded...they
often are.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4555. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 12:59:59 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BADDCCF.9DE90B42@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20805

nobody@nowhere.net wrote:
>
> I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't anything against you or ham
> radio.....but.......
>
> Don't they all have cop radios, fire radios and cellphones working at
> ground zero? Don't the RedCross's telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
> have a comm network between HQ and their people, or direct cellphone
> access??
>
> Seems redundant.
> larry W4CSC
>

Having worked 2 disasters with the Red Cross this year Houston flooding from TS Allison, and the flooding in WV, I can tell you first hand that the cell phones and comm networks are overused, and not always reliable. In WV we sorely needed amateur radio operators to relay traffic from headquarters to the various shelters, kitchens, service centers. We had ONE ham operator for all of Wyoming County, cell phones were useless there. I am sure that in NY the cell systems were jammed, as is always the case after a major disaster, that is why radio communications are vital.

Keith Hosman
--
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
District Coordinator Zone 1: Ohio State REACT Council
American Red Cross Disaster Services
Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross

4556. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 13:01:41 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3baddc04.9512704@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20749

On 23 Sep 2001 05:22:42 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3baca05e_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
>>We won't mention to Larry that his instant gratification concept sure does
>>sound like a dumbed-down philosophy ;)
>
>Kim:
>Precisely. Dumbed-down hams (such as yourself) are more interested
>in activities which award instant gratification than they are in making
>a dedicated effort to learn and master the most practical, effective,
>efficient, and universal mode in ham radio -- Morse/CW!

Larry,
What the hell difference does it make in what ANY individual
ham is interested in or what their personal motivation is as
long as it is ham radio? Your beef is that many hams just don't
subscribe to YOUR personally defined list of what is important
in ham radio.

>>Jealousy? It seems that if ham
>>radio has the "ability to permit a person to project himself globally. . ."
>>then the internet provides the very same accomplishment without licensing
>>requirements. Wow. What a concept.
>
>Right again! And you would do well to take full advantage of it,
>since any other activity requiring a serious, dedicated effort on
>your part is apparently out of the question!

That's a pretty broad accusation to make based only on past
"ham radio only" discussions with Kim. Do you apply the same
accusation to ALL hams that advocate no need for a CW test
or who have decided to not use CW even though they passed
a CW test?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4557. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 13:06:55 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3baddd6a.9870763@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20750

On 23 Sep 2001 05:22:40 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3bac285c.2656291@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very
>>>powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability
>>>to permit a person to project himself globally through direct
>>>voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This
>>>instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful
>>>capability which the individual ham can command at will with
>>>few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is
>>>the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought
>>>about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code
>>>testing requirement.
>>
>>ROTFLMAO... surely you jest? "Jeolousy over code users?
>
>Bill:
>
>That's jealousy *of* code users, and stop calling me "Surely!"
>
>>>The requirement stood in the way of the
>>>ham radio capability the majority of prospective hams really wanted --
>>>the ability to pick up a microphone and talk to someone far away.
>>
>>Do you think that is a uniquly US situation or do you
>>think it is worldwide?
>
>It is a global phenomenon, considering the fact that the US is one
>of the few countries that ever had a genuinely challenging code
>test requirement.

What made the US test so genuinely challenging as opposed
to those in all the other nations?

>>>The true nature and value of Morse/CW communication was
>>>known only to those who went through the process of learning it
>>>and achieving useful proficiency in it as part of their ascension
>>>into the ranks of full-privilege HF phone users. Once they arrived
>>>at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
>>>HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
>>>voice communications became difficult.
>>

>>So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
>>on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
>>so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.
>
>Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However,
>by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of
>global disaster which could disrupt communications on such
>a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability
>to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes,
>and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the
>typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?

How often do you rewatch the movie Independence Day?
It must be one of your all time favorites.

Do you think that global disaster will also render all vehicles with automatic transmissions out of acton...thus needing a cadre of manual gearbox operators? :-) :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4558. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 13:14:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923091452.18559.00000375@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 20801

>Subject: Re: what happened to my novice license
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 9/23/01 1:22 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010923012238.03470.00000292@nso-mv.aol.com>

>Well, I'm sure that given the chance, he'd readily give all the usual
>excuses!

And in a Nation that cherishes the concept of "innocent until proven
guilty", you chose to violate this...WHY...?!?!

>And, quite conveniently, the Morse code testing requirement is now
>topped at 5 WPM. How about that?

Which had diddly to do with why you insulted him?

>John:
>
>I sincerely, uncoditionally apologize for my reply to your inquiry.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Not that you'll lose/gain any sleep over it, but your stock just went up,
Larry.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4559. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 13:21:09 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BADE1C5.64A64692@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20807

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <pe20qt863c8rtnqlnqt7fkccb8davn6c2l@4ax.com>, Bill Turner
> <w7ti@dslextreme.com> writes:
>
> [SNIPPAGE]
>
> >If you guys are really interested in emergency preparedness, get in
> >touch with the local authorities and see what they need. Get some
> >training in CPR, emergency first aid and anything else they recommend.
> >Volunteer for emergency teams if your locality has them. Get a 4-wheel
> >drive and keep it stocked with emergency supplies.
> >
> >As much as I love ham radio, its time of value in an emergency has all
> >but passed.
> >
> >Bill, W7TI
>
> I have to agree with Bill here. The way things are today, a ham radio
> operator showing up at a disaster site with HT in hand will probably
> be handed a shovel and told to fill sandbags or get the hell out of the
> way. Someone with a complete, power-independent, portable HF
> station with all mode (including Digital and CW) capability will probably
> be given some meaningful communicating to do, usually passing Health
> and Welfare traffic or providing support communications for a Red Cross
> or other shelter. However, for the most part, emergency communications
> are carried out over professionally built, professionally maintained, and
> taxpayer funded 800 MHz trunked radio systems using $4,000 Motorola
> "bricks" that make our HT's look like toy walkie-talkies!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Well as I said in another thread, Hams are needed in times of disaster,
cell phone systems and regular phone systems become quickly overloaded.
the tunked radio systems are only for police.fire/rescue to use, the
other agencies who are also doing important work need communications as
well, thats why the ARRL has a MOU with the Red Cross. The Red Cross
needs radio operators to man the radios at the EOC, ICP, Red Cross
headquarters, shelters, kitchens, service centers, and other facilities.
In Houston and WV DR's that I worked at, we sorely needed radio
operators.

Keith Hosman

4560. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 13:34:31 UTC
From: SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com
Message-ID: <d9f7b76c.0109230534.46c040d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20802
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"David N7VRZ" <n7vrz@home.com> wrote in message
news:<iPdr7.28634$QK.23123443@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>...
> That is not war, that is genocide. Which would make us just as bad as those
> that attacked us.
> "RIck" <Rick@nunofyoutb.net> wrote in message
> news:3ba178bb.212487992@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> > On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 00:44:39 GMT, "Leland C. Scott"
> > <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's time we Hunt down the Raghead Bastards resposible for the Atack
> > > on NY. The World has had ENOUGHT!!! We should find and kill the
> > > terrorists, their families, their children and Bayonet their babies!
> > > We should napalm their camps and just shoot them when we find them.
> > > We are at WAR, lets fight it like one!
> > > Remember New York
> > >
> > > 73, Rick

sir this is war and you had better know that right now.......and the
suggestion mentioned is a good one in my opinion..........dead
families do not produce offspring capable of revenge.........and in my
opinion, most of the people here from the middle east, who somehow
have gotten into this country, should be examined to see if they
entered illegally and if so deported........all of them...........this
is a war of cultures.....they believe one way, we believe
another......this is not a moslem nation.......they are using our
freedoms to operate their little terror cells.......actually all of
this would not have happened if we had a decent immigration policy and
the ins was doing its job........maybe now , we will have one, and
they will be more efficient doing their jobs......a lot is at
stake........did somebody say something about borders , language and
culture.???????

4561. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 13:39:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923093935.02914.00000134@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 20803

>Smith Charts are as big a deal to me as Morse code is to Larry.
>One cannot be a real ham unless one understands Smith Charts.
>Larry is obviously not a real ham.
>--
>cheers, CAM
>

Big deal, Smith Charts are old fashion, besides it will not help me to figure out how to push the Mic button.

4562. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 14:12:06 UTC
From: "Unit 69, Operator Scott" <"Chesapeake Bay, USA (upplus"@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <W4mr7.9926$n_2.1761496@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 20804
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

SD SpecialDelivery Jones wrote:

> stake........did somebody say something about borders , language and
> culture.???????

The official language of the US should be American English. It should be illegal to speak any other language in public. Of course, what one does in their abode is their business.

4563. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 14:52:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BADE8B1.2F21BC7B@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20806

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> I see. This is from the person that Riley Hollingsworth said is
> "taking ham radio one step closer to extinction."

Now you have my curiosity aroused. Just what did Riley have to say
about this?

4564. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:06:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923110655.07805.00000289@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 20808

In article <3BAD5E54.9000201@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Smith Charts are as big a deal to me as Morse code is to Larry.
>One cannot be a real ham unless one understands Smith Charts.
>Larry is obviously not a real ham.

Cecil:

That may be so. You are entitled to your opinion, and I will defend
your right to state it. However, you'll forgive me if I don't lose any
sleep over it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4565. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:06:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010923110655.07805.00000288@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 20809

In article <iPdr7.28634$QK.23123443@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>, "David N7VRZ" <n7vrz@home.com> writes:

>> It's time we Hunt down the Raghead Bastards resposible for the Atack
>> on NY. The World has had ENOUGHT!!! We should find and kill the
>> terrorists, their families, their children and Bayonet their babies!
>> We should napalm their camps and just shoot them when we find them.
>> We are at WAR, lets fight it like one!
>> Remember New York
>>
>> 73, Rick

Whoever wrote this is one sick puppy. We have absolutely no need to "bayonet" any babies, kill off the innocent family members of terrorists, or anything of the sort. I would expect our military responses to be targeted specifically at the command and control infrastructure of terrorist groups, specific terrorists and their supporters, and any and all associated personnel and property. There should be a significant of terrorist group leaders captured alive for the purpose of being "influenced" to provide information necessary to defeat their organizations and other terrorist groups, and then stand trial in a US-led International tribunal designed to bring the leaders of terrorist organizations to justice. This must be an ongoing process, open-ended in it's prosecution of terrorist activity wherever and whenever it shows it's ugly head.

The goal is to ensure the safety of innocent peoples of the world by abolishing the very concept of global terrorism. This can only be done by making it absolutely clear that any and all terrorist activity will evoke an immediate and devastating response against it's perpetrators. Considering the fact that the threat of terrorism now includes weapons of mass destruction, such as nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons, it is imperitive that the very underpinnings of global terrorist organizations be infiltrated, undermined, and ultimately destroyed. Proportional responses are not a virtue in this struggle, but it is not necessary to deliberately and indiscriminately destroy human life in the same manner as was visited on the United States on Sept. 11. We are Americans, We are better than that. Surely, there will be some colateral damage in our campaign against terrorism, but this is always true in any struggle of good against evil. In order to prevent this from happening, hopefully the good will assist us in locating the evil, and bringing them to justice -- or bringing justice to them!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4566. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:11:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BADED1C.FC0EF17@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20810

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> And, to answer
> the question posed by a previous poster, I owned several cars
> with automatic transmissions, but on each of them, I've had to
> endure costly repairs to the transmission.

You must not know how to drive an automatic or you pick poor
choices of cars. I have owned a number of cars with automatic
transmission and
I've never spent a a penny on transmission repairs. One car I
currently own has over 250,000 miles and the automatic
transmission has never been touch except for the occasional fluid
and filter change.

>
> No, Bill, drivers aren't required to know how to drive a stick shift
> in order to get a license anymore. However, someday, they may
> find themselves "forced by circumstances" to do so...then where
> will they be? Perhaps a stick-shift driving test isn't such a bad
> idea, after all!
>
Just what circumstances can one possibly envision where millions
of drivers might find themselves forced to operate a standard
shift? Maybe an isolated case or two. Same old argument you claim
for the use of CW, with today's technology there will never be the
case where some hams are going to save the world by using CW
because that is the only thing that works. With the millions of
vehicles with automatic transmissions there will never be a case
where drivers will only be able to drive if they know how to use a
stick shift.

4567. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:25:43 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <136276efa95cc39be23edffe955049ed.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"SD SpecialDelivery Jones"

> ....actually all of this would not have happened
> if we had a decent immigration policy and the ins
> was doing its job.........maybe now , we will have
> one, and they will be more efficient doing their
> jobs......a lot is at stake.........did somebody
> say something about borders , language and
> culture.???????

Paleface, you make a good point, quite by accident I'm sure. If you are of Asian, African, or European descent, pack your bags and head on out. The original cultures and languages here had names like Algonquin, Souix, Cree, Hopi, and Cherokee.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from xdialup102.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.9.103]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4568. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:54:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ol0jc$i2b$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20812

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 23 Sep 2001 04:25:40 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >CAM wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >>
> >> > Can you say wired versus wireless?
> >>
> >> Can you say "wireless internet"?
> >>
> > Show me one. I mean a real one, not some local toy. It doesn't exist
> >and never will, on any useful scale. It all goes to a hardwired or fiber
> >network VERY soon in the process.
>
> So does cellphones, so do many repeaters, etc.

And that is the primary reason for their vulnerabilities.

>
> > I know, you're certain the advance of technology will change all that.
> >No limit, Right? Wrong. Everything has a limit.
>
> Yet we find out that even where limits were believed to
> be a scientific boundary that couldn't be exceeded...they
> often are.

Yep, I can read history, too. The difference is that I understand the limits of Gung-Ho. If systems always worked as they were designed, the Sept 11 attacks would have never been allowed to happen. It's always the human element that causes failures, and those failures are mostly lack of adequate understanding, planning or breadth of view. And reliance on technology that works *most* of the time.

4569. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:55:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE05DB.20200@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20813

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The answer was wighej;kjfhj;aei(*&^$#.

Just as I suspected. You have no answer.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4570. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:55:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ol0kr$i2b$1@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20814

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> You are behind the times, aren't you?!

You are stupid, aren't you?

4571. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:58:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ol0rn$i2b$2@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20815

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >>So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
> >>on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
> >>so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.
> >
> >Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However,
> >by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of
> >global disaster which could disrupt communications on such
> >a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability
> >to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes,
> >and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the
> >typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?
>
> How often do you rewatch the movie Independence Day?
> It must be one of your all time favorites.
>
> Do you think that global disaster will also render all vehicles with
> automatic transmissions out of acton...thus needing a cadre of
> manual gearbox operators? :-) :-)

You live in the shadow of the World Trade Center and still you can't
believe it. Some -sadly, most- people never learn. Too often they go
down wondering of what the hell happened?????

4572. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 15:59:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE06CC.7000603@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20816

Dick Carroll wrote:

> There ARE GOING TO BE manual transmissions in motor vehicles, probably
> for as long as there are motor vehicles on highways. People who are
> knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
> transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them.

So do you think manual transmission operation should be covered on

a driver's exam? I don't know of any state that still does that.
Why does it matter whether someone knows how to use a manual shift
if they never drive a manual shift?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4573. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:03:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923120348.02959.00000120@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 20817

In article <3BAD29D7.2080007@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> The popularity of manual transmissions continues, even though almost all
>cars
>> are available with automatics.
>
>Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.

I have thought about it, Cecil - that's why I posted it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4574. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:07:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ol1b6$k0r$0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20818
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

David N7VRZ wrote:
>
> That is not war, that is genocide.

Yep, you're right.....just read the terrorist training manuals and you clearly see that their goal is to eradicate the USA and everyone in it, to the very best of their ability. Just what do you think we should do about that?

> Which would make us just as bad as those
> that attacked us.

That may be your opinion but I can verify that it is not universally shared. I would rather my grandkids grew into freedom loving adults than for theirs to grow into rabid terrorists who vow death to everything they see as foreign. You must remember who started this little fracas.

4575. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:09:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0923.8080304@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20819

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>So everyone who drives an automatic transmission is dumbed-down?
>
> Not unless they know how to drive a vehicle with a manual gearbox.
> Can you drive a stick shift car, Cecil?
I have in the past. I don't any more. Shifting interferes

with hamming. I remember when stick shifts were low class
and all the high class people drove automatics.

Knowing how to use a manual transmission does NOT smarten
anyone up nor does not knowing dumb anyone down.

What if I said you are extremely dumbed down because you
don't know how to use a Smith Chart?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4576. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:10:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ol1ij$k0r$1@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20820

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Larry has a contender. LOL

It is unfortuante that you have many more contenders -should we say peers?- than Larry could ever possibly have.

4577. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:13:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0A20.3080504@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20821

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> No, Bill, drivers aren't required to know how to drive a stick shift
> in order to get a license anymore. However, someday, they may
> find themselves "forced by circumstances" to do so...then where
> will they be?

Someday you may be required by circumstances to communicate with a
Russian. Have you taken Russian, Larry? Why not? It might come in
handy some day. So might a Ph.D. degree. Got one of them? Exactly how
irrational can you get?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4578. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:16:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0AF3.2090404@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20822

Bill Sohl wrote:

> And the popularity continues even though not a single
> driver is mandated by licensing requirements to ever learn
> how to use a manual gearbox.
A fact which seems to have gone completely over Larry's

head.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4579. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:20:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0BD5.1090305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20823

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>>
>>>CAM wrote:
>>>
>>>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again. One was my
>>>>high school friend who was licensed in the early 50s. He doesn't
>>>>recognize at least half of the Morse code characters at any speed
>>>>today.
>>>>
>>>We can't help it if he consigned himself to become a world class
>>>dumbed down schmuck at such a tender age.
>>>
>>Exactly my point, Dick, exactly my point! You are NOT God!
>>You cannot control other people's lives no matter how much
>>you would love to do so.
>>
> You'r nuts.
The readers can decide who is nuts. My money is on the person

who calls someone he has never met a "world class dumbed down
schmuck".

--

cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4580. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:23:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0C6D.6050009@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20824

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>Can you say "wireless internet"?
>
> Show me one. I mean a real one, not some local toy. It doesn't exist
> and never will, on any useful scale.

Get real, Dick. The New York police and firefighters were
advertising for people with wireless internet connections.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4581. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:26:27 UTC
From: johnwh19@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923122627.20081.00000408@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20825

Thank You for the apology, however I thought the comments were all in jest.
Also a thanks to all who resonpded with the information I requested.
I am now ready to upgrage? to the Technician Class.

KB7GQW

4582. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:27:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0D8E.9070008@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20826

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Dumbed-down hams (such as yourself) are more interested
> in activities which award instant gratification than they are in making
> a dedicated effort to learn and master the most practical, effective,
> efficient, and universal mode in ham radio -- Morse/CW!

So Larry, you think getting a ham radio license dumbed Kim down?
How many IQ points does a citizen lose when he/she gets a tech
license? 50%? a General license? 75%? an Extra license? 99%?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4583. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:30:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ol2mgntu0@208.207.71.176>
Source record: 20827

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Dick,
> Your statement that: "People who are
> knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
> transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them." is both
> arrogant and deaming towards anyone that decides NOT to learn
> a particular subject area or skill.

Bill, I'm not the least bit surprised that you would say that. After all, you believe that hams who never learned CW are as qualified as communicators as those who did. Very Revealing.

> Consider that your thoughtless
> statement could equallybe made as follows:
> "People who are
> knowledgable in the process of archery AND automatic weopons
> are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them (in
> the field of weopanry).
>

And that statement is not only exactly correct, it displays your ignorance of
weaponry and its many uses. There are times when a good crossbow is far preferable to an AK47 or M16. Try taking out a lone sentry in the middle of the night without waking the entire compound with a machine gun.

> As to manual gearboxes, there simply is NO reason for the vast
> majority (over 90%) of drivers to learn the skill or ever need it.

Now you're making a little sense, in your oblique way. Of course there is no reason for the vast majority of people to ever learn CW, either. But the vast majority of people will never become ham radio operators either, with the attendant presumed interest in the passing of radio communications under the most stark circumstances imaginable. At least, that is MY interest in ham radio, at the bottom line. It is tragic that so many of you today just want to do a bit of yakking under normal conditions, and truly believe that nothing more could ever be demanded of you nor your ham radio station.

4584. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:32:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0E83.6050000@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20828

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However,
> by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of
> global disaster which could disrupt communications on such
> a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability
> to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes,
> and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the
> typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?
Learn and/or improve his/her CW if he/she chooses? He/she

may have to learn how to fish also. What's the big deal?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4585. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:33:01 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bae1152_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20832

You sure do have a twisted sense of reality, Dick--and it is uniquely yours.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ol1ij$k0r$1@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Larry has a contender. LOL
>
>
> It is unfortuante that you have many more contenders -should we say
> peers?- than Larry could ever possibly have.

4586. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:35:08 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bae11dd_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20834

Not at all. I am extremely intelligent, thoughtful, considerate, cute, and
I smell good too!

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ol0kr$i2b$1@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > You are behind the times, aren't you?!
>
>
> You are stupid, aren't you?

4587. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:37:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE0FDD.20801@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20829

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Or in the case of this NG, how many alleged RF designers want to be
>>>Hams..?!?! I think that number is larger than the number of Hams who want to be
>>>RF designers.......
>>>
>>RF design is just as much (or more) of a goal for the ARS as
>>is CW skill.

> Changed your mind yet again? Last we heard from you it was no longer
> necessary for hams to learn anything technical.

Actually, I said hams can learn all they need to know *after* they
get their licenses. That would include RF design *and* Morse code.
The goals of the ARS do not stop when someone gets a license.
My statement above still stands.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4588. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:39:08 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bae12d2_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20837

Let's put it in real context. Someday, Larry may be required to perform emergency surgery on someone to save their life. Has he taken all the necessary courses for this? Does he have any experience in surgery? Could he do the task and save the life? I mean, after all, if "preparedness" is going to become the measurement of one's worth--then we're all damned dumbed down.

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3BAE0A20.3080504@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > No, Bill, drivers aren't required to know how to drive a stick shift
> > in order to get a license anymore. However, someday, they may
> > find themselves "forced by circumstances" to do so...then where
> > will they be?
>
> Someday you may be required by circumstances to communicate with a
> Russian. Have you taken Russian, Larry? Why not? It might come in
> handy some day. So might a Ph.D. degree. Got one of them? Exactly how
> irrational can you get?
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4589. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:40:16 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE1070.1070001@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20830

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>Smith Charts are as big a deal to me as Morse code is to Larry.
>>One cannot be a real ham unless one understands Smith Charts.
>>Larry is obviously not a real ham.
>
> That may be so. You are entitled to your opinion, and I will defend
> your right to state it. However, you'll forgive me if I don't lose any
> sleep over it!
Hope you don't mind me having that identical opinion and

attitude toward you.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4590. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:42:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE10FB.1010301@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20831

JJ wrote:

> I would say you are the dumbed-down ham if you can't calculate the
> length of an antenna, put it up and trim it for min swr without a
> Smith chart in your hand.
You know, JJ, I really can't do it without a Smith Chart

in my mind.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4591. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:45:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE11C0.9000603@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20833

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And I'm rather sure he
> could have done it without peforating the plane's skin.
Fragmenting bullets take care of that problem.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4592. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:48:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE1255.4090602@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20836

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>>I sincerely, uncoditionally apologize for my reply to your inquiry.
>
> Not that you'll lose/gain any sleep over it, but your stock just went up,
> Larry.

He's just making fun of your spelling, Steve.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4593. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:48:42 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BAEBB7C.FBBBD379@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20835

>
> Smith Chart knowledge is required on the Extra exam just like
> Morse code skill. Why would lack of skill in Morse code prove
> dumbing-down while lack of knowledge in Smith Charts is OK?
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
> because Larry knows the first?

4594. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 16:53:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE1372.6070209@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20838
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Unit 69, Operator Scott wrote:

> The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
> illegal to speak any other language in public.

It should be illegal for a Spanish speaking parent to speak
to her child in public in the only language the child
understands? What's wrong with this picture?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4595. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:01:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE155F.7030809@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hans Brakob wrote:

> The original cultures
> and languages here had names like Algonquin, Souix, Cree,
> Hopi, and Cherokee.

Actually, the oldest North American human fossils
(recently discovered) are of *European* stock.
Unfortunately, the law says those fossils must
be handed over to the "Native Americans".
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4596. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:07:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923130748.07190.00000238@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 20840

In article <8w2r7.11495$2H2.937251@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>There is also a "theory" that some of Jesus' followers had misunderstood His
>message of a Kingdom on earth. They interpreted his message of peace and
>freedom as being liberated from the Romans in their lifetimes. There was a
>Jewish underground, regarded by the Romans as subversives, of which many of
>Jesus' followers were members. Jesus preached of the liberation of the soul
>and peace in peoples' hearts. When there seemed to be no movement in the
>direction of liberation from the Roman Empire, certain ones, including
>Judas,
>plotted against him to precipitate a crisis--one that would force Jesus to
>either
>perform a miracle and escape (after all, many of them had seen him perform
>healings and other wondrous things), or be exposed as a fake who could not,
>or would not, produce the result they mistakenly thought he was promoting
>thru his preaching. Being that the Romans regarded him as a subversive as
>well, it was not hard to convince them that he needed taking out. Still, as
>was pointed out, Pontius Pilate had no evidence that he had actually done
>anything wrong. Perhaps he actually understood that His message of
>liberation
>was, indeed, of the soul? But, if he did not yield to the wishes of the
>people
>whose minds had been poisoned by the misguided underground (They went
>around whispering to the community in order to drum up support for their
>agenda to force Jesus' hand), then he would have a huge disturbance on his
>hands. Thus, the "trial" and crucifixion. Thus, this particular theory
>goes.
>
>
>Jerry
>K4KWH

Jerry,

Thanks for you excellent explanation. I was trying to convey that idea in my post, but you did a much better job.

I always wondered how Judas could betray Jesus if he had actually seen any of Jesus' healings and other works. Judas probably noticed that Jesus did not often perform miracles on His own "initiative". Instead, it usually took a specific request from someone who believed in Jesus, (wedding at Cana, centurion with the sick servant, the man blind from birth) or an unusual situation (loaves and fishes). Judas may have thought that by setting up a "situation", the high priests would be convinced.

Indeed, the story says Peter cut off a servant's ear with a sword when Jesus was being arrested, but that Jesus healed the ear and told Peter to put his sword away.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>>
>> The high priest wanted Jesus out of the way. Judas, one of Jesus'
>disciples,
>> betrayed Jesus for 30 pieces of silver.
>>
>> But the high priest could not execute anyone - only the Romans could do
>that.
>> Pontius Pilate was the Roman governor. He knew Jesus was not really guilty
>of
>> anything, but he didn't want a riot on his hands, so he literally washed
>his
>> hands of the affair and Jesus was executed.
>>
>> Why did Judas do it? Some say for money, but a more logical answer is that
>> Judas thought Jesus would perform a miracle in front of the high priests
>and be
>> recognized as the messiah.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>

4597. Re: upgrading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:07:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923130750.07190.00000239@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 20841

In article <3BAD2AF5.E960A9FA@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

(actually, I only added to an earlier post. I added items 5 thriugh 7.
>
>> >1. Keep the old ticket. It is the best proof you have.
>
>I had mine from my orginal license issue in '76, reweual in 83,
>86, and old current in 96. Used the 86 ticket as proff of general
>written and 5WPM in Jan 2000 when I took and passed the
>Advanced and Extra writtens.

I have all of my old licenses back to my first Extra (1970). I hope to someday find my old 1967 Novice.

>And on the big day cashed in those
>CSCE's and the old tech ticket for my Extra Lite :-).

Cool! But I'll bet it just says "Extra" on your license, just like mine. ;-)

>> >2. You are out of the code test business. The license will get the
>credit.

Yup. But there are some odd catches here:

If a person has a current amateur license, or one that expired less than 2 years ago, of any class except Technician, they get credit for Element 1 automatically.

If a person can show that they once held a Novice class license, they get credit for Element 1 automatically, even if they currently hold no amateur license of any class and are beyond the 2 year "grace period". But this special credit does NOT apply to any other license previously held!

If a person with a Technician passes Element 1, (but no other elements) they get Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges and a CSCE for Element 1. This status is informally called "Tech with HF". The Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges last as long as they keep their license current, but the CSCE runs out in 365 days. A "Tech with HF" who waits more than 365 days to upgrade will have to pass Element 1 again.

So we can have the odd situation where a person who passed Element 1 and has a Technician license 366 days ago has to be retested on code, but a person who held a Novice license decades ago and has been out fo ham radio for 30-40-50 years gets automatic credit for the same test.

Not my rules - the FCC's. Go figure.
>> >
>> >3. If it was one that required Element 3 written, you are a General
>needing
>> >only paperwork; if not you take Element 3 for the General and 4 for the
>> >Extra.
>
>My advice is to try for the whole enchallada (extra) while you are at
>the VE session. As described above, that's what I did. Then about
>$800 later for a used HF rig (TS440SAT with month guarentee from
>HRO in Sunnyvale) got on HF and found that HF is fun. I've
>been neglecting VHF lately, though.
>
Excellent advice on all counts.

>> 6. Practice exams and the entire Q&A pool are available at various websites
>for
>> free.
>
>Look for some links to such at my links page at:
>http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9178/linkrad.htm
>and schroll down about 4 "paragraphs" for these links.

Great stuff! Also, try "ham radio practice exams" on Yahoo or your favorite
search engine.
>>
>> 7. The VE fee entitles you to one try at each test element, pass or fail.
>
>But you have to pass the lower levels before you can take the higher
>levels. You can't take Extra written without passing general.
>
Good point! Main thing is to try all of the ones you can while you're there.
You never know - often a new ham knows more than he/she gives themselves credit
for. I became an "Accidental Advanced" just that way.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4598. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:15:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE189D.9040003@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20842

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Bill, I'm not the least bit surprised that you would say that. After
> all, you believe that hams who never learned CW are as qualified as
> communicators as those who did. Very Revealing.

They can be less, equally, or more qualified than hams who learned
CW in every mode except CW. There is no correlation in qualifications
and the CW mode. That's what the FCC said. The only correlation of CW
skill is to CW skill. Someone who knows CW can still be an absolutely
lousy ham operator.

> But the vast majority of people will never become ham radio operators
> either, with the attendant presumed interest in the passing of radio
> communications under the most stark circumstances imaginable. At least,
> that is MY interest in ham radio, at the bottom line.

So the truth leaks out. It is ***YOUR*** interest that matters,
not the interest of other hams and not the interest of the FCC.
Now tell us something that we didn't already know.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4599. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:19:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE19A3.6020100@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20843

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.
>
> I have thought about it, Cecil - that's why I posted it.

I wasn't talking to you, Jim. It was a general admonition
to everyone capable of thought.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4600. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:25:46 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9ol5uq$1b8b$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 20845

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>> Even dumb computers "know" that. :-)
>
>What is the binary expression for infinity?

According to IEEE Standard 754-1985 (for floating point) the 32 bit value is

s11111111000000000000000000000000

where `s' is 0 for +infinity and 1 for -infinity. See, for example,
the formats section in

http://www.arlut.utexas.edu/SunCompiler/common/ug/ncg_math.doc.html

Not that it matters much, but you did ask...

>> Any expression of "0 / 0" is still zero. :-)
>
>Nope. It's an undefined expression.

I think the IEEE standard thinks so too. I think the result of 0/0 is
is NaN (Not a Number), which has a 32 bit binary format of

01111111110000000000000000000000

This is the computer equivalent of "I don't know".

Dennis Ferguson

4601. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:26:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE1B3B.7080001@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20844

Dick Carroll wrote:

> It is unfortuante that you have many more contenders -should we say
> peers?- than Larry could ever possibly have.
Fortunately, that's true. You and Larry are certainly

a very small minority.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4602. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:40:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE1E7B.3030107@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20846

N2EY wrote:

> I always wondered how Judas could betray Jesus if he had actually seen any of
> Jesus' healings and other works.

That's an easy one, Jim. It was God's will that Judas betray
Jesus. I believe that it fulfilled prophecy.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4603. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:43:49 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bae21ea_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20847

Hams who have never learned CW are every bit as qualified as any other communicator in their class of license. Hams who have learned CW to pass their test for higher classes of licenses are every bit as qualified as those of equal license class. It's as simple as that and most of us read nothing more into the license structure, Dick. It is my firm belief that only those who seek some sort of worth cling to the idea that knowing CW above the standards set forth by the FCC merits a higher respect from other hams. I do not believe that. The majority of hams do not believe that. The good thing about it is that it makes the majority of ham radio a darned good hobby to be involved with--with a few bad apples among us. The pitiful thing is that those who are seeking worth probably have it, and make themselves look like darned desperate people.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ol2mgntu0@208.207.71.176...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Dick,
> > Your statement that: "People who are
> > knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
> > transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> > "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them." is both
> > arrogant and deaming towards anyone that decides NOT to learn
> > a particular subject area or skill.
>
>
> Bill, I'm not the least bit surprised that you would say that. After
> all, you believe that hams who never learned CW are as qualified as
> communicators as those who did. Very Revealing.
>
>
> > Consider that your thoughtless
> > statement could equallybe made as follows:
> > "People who are
> > knowledgable in the process of archery AND automatic weopons
> > are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> > "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them (in
> > the field of weopanry).
> >
>
>
> And that statement is not only exactly correct, it displays your

> ignorance of
> weaponry and its many uses. There are times when a good crossbow is far
> preferable to an AK47 or M16. Try taking out a lone sentry in the middle
> of the night without waking the entire compound with a machine gun.
>
>
>
> > As to manual gearboxes, there simply is NO reason for the vast
> > majority (over 90%) of drivers to learn the skill or ever need it.
>
>
>
> Now you're making a little sense, in your oblique way. Of course there
> is no reason for the vast majority of people to ever learn CW, either.
> But the vast majority of people will never become ham radio operators
> either, with the attendant presumed interest in the passing of radio
> communications under the most stark circumstances imaginable. At least,
> that is MY interest in ham radio, at the bottom line. It is tragic that
> so many of you today just want to do a bit of yakking under normal
> conditions, and truly believe that nothing more could ever be demanded
> of you nor your ham radio station.

4604. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 17:48:22 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bae2302_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20849

Shhhhhh!!! Cecil, he's been asleep for many years! Don't wake him up now!
;)

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BAE0C6D.6050009@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Can you say "wireless internet"?
> >
> > Show me one. I mean a real one, not some local toy. It doesn't exist
> > and never will, on any useful scale.
>
> Get real, Dick. The New York police and firefighters were
> advertising for people with wireless internet connections.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4605. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 18:00:10 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9ol7va$1een$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 20848

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote:
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>Zero divided by zero will result in zero. It is not a "special
>>case." It is just zero.
>
> Think again. Zero divided by zero equals one as a special case
> evaluated by limit theory.
>
> The limit of f(x)/f(y) where both the numerator and denominator
> approach the same value at the same rate is ALWAYS 1 .
>
> It's first-year differential calculus.

Actually 0/0 can be anything depending on how you got there. Noting
that

sin(y) -> y as y -> 0

then when x is 0

sin(x) / x

(i.e. 0/0) is 1, but

sin(2x) / x

is 2,

(sin(2x) * sin(2x)) / x

is 0 and

sin(2x) / (x * x)

is infinity. The fact that 0/0 can be anything is why the operation
is called "undefined" (0/0 gives you insufficient information to
determine the result). 1/0 is not undefined, however, it is infinity.
(infinity * 0), which could be ((1/0) * 0) = ((0 * 1) / 0) = (0/0),
is undefined. All of this has a lovely consistency.

Dennis Ferguson

4606. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 18:38:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4%pr7.7331$3d2.629218@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20850

"Johnwh19" <johnwh19@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010923122627.20081.00000408@mb-fy.aol.com...
> Thank You for the apology, however I thought the comments were all in
jest.
> Also a thanks to all who resonpded with the information I requested.
> I am now ready to upgrage? to the Technician Class.
>
> KB7GQW

Do yourself a favor. Buy the General manual and use it for bathroom reading
until the test comes up. The Tech is so bloody simple and you can take
Element 3 essentially for free. Make no little plans!

Dick BK

4607. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 20:09:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923160917.02959.00000133@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 20851

In article <3BAE0C6D.6050009@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>The New York police and firefighters were
>advertising for people with wireless internet connections.

Yeah - "connections". That's the key word.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4608. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 20:40:33 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE48C1.D72284E1@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20858

Stu Parker wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 16:27:02 -0500, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> :
> : Not the first time they tried to cross up Jesus. Some people once asked
> : Jesus if they should pay tax to the Romans. He came back with a good
> : one: "Show Me the coins you use to pay the tax. Who's picture is
> : on those coins? Well, give to Ceaser what is Ceaser's, but give God
> : what is God's".
> ---
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********

Give me a break I don't need some loosers permission to post anywhere.

4609. question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 20:43:08 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20859

Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake the written element again?

Keith Hosman

4610. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:08:54 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE4F66.6134C38E@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20864

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "WebDoc" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
> news:3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net...
> > Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and
> > have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the
> > understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for
> > general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake
> > the written element again?
> >
> > Keith Hosman
>
> You got it. Actually it is 365 days for some unknown reason. So if you
> take it 9 October you have until 8 October 02. Quit planning to fail.

heh I am not planning to fail, heck I just took the practice general
exam online here are the test results.

Results:
You scored 32 correct answers and 3 incorrect answers from a total
of 35.

You would have passed the exam! Congratulations!

my only worry is the code itself, and I am working on that too, besides
withing with different code learning programs and the pocket trainer, I
am also tuning into the bands on my SW reciever and listening to the
code there, trying to get the feel for the "rythem"

Keith Hosman

4611. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:16:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BAE511F.B79EE04A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 20852

Ohh, a really bad pun! :-("un-code-itional", ooohhhh.....

>
>
> >An UNCODITIONAL one. He made a sincere, well framed inquiry
>
> OK Steeb. I hereby offer him my most sincere, uncoditional apology.
>

4612. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:17:41 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BAE5174.2C32EABE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 20853

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "Johnwh19" <johnwh19@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010923122627.20081.00000408@mb-fy.aol.com...
> > Thank You for the apology, however I thought the comments were all in
> jest.
> > Also a thanks to all who resonpded with the information I requested.
> > I am now ready to upgrage? to the Technician Class.
> >
> > KB7GQW
>
> Do yourself a favor. Buy the General manual and use it for bathroom reading
> until the test comes up. The Tech is so bloody simple and you can take
> Element 3 essentially for free. Make no little plans!

Hell, go for extra while you're at it! That's what I did.

4613. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:18:37 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE51AD.1EB1545@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20863

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:uvtr7.7934$W8.643382@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "WebDoc" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
> > news:3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net...
> > > Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and
> > > have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the
> > > understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for
> > > general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake
> > > the written element again?
> > >
> > > Keith Hosman
> >
> > You got it. Actually it is 365 days for some unknown reason. So if you
> > take it 9 October you have until 8 October 02. Quit planning to fail.
> >
> >
>
> Should also mention that there are some good practice exams on www.qrz.com
I prefer the ones on http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/exam.html
they are printable so you can study what you got wrong at your own
lesiure.

Keith Hosman

4614. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:22:25 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE5291.6B87BD60@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20865

Stu Parker wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 16:40:33 -0400, WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>
> : Give me a break I don't need some loosers permission to post anywhere.
>
> If you mean "l-o-s-e-r", you can't be talking about Adam Kerman. He's a
> really, really wonderful guy who is both letting me post to Usenet and
> use 75 meter SSB on weekends.
>
> I hope that he doesn't cut off your access for calling him a loser.
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********

he would have to have ALOT of pull to remove my access, seeing as i
helped start my ISP in the first place, and know ISP admins on a first
name basis all over the country as well as other countries.

reminds me of the wannabe that told me he was donna hack me last night.
I laughed in his face, right before I banned him from the Undernet IRC
network and mailed his ISP, who then pulled his account.

4615. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:27:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BAE53A6.8B010AD2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 20854

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> There is also a "theory" that some of Jesus' followers had misunderstood His
> message of a Kingdom on earth. They interpreted his message of peace and
> freedom as being liberated from the Romans in their lifetimes. There was a
> Jewish underground, regarded by the Romans as subversives, of which many of
> Jesus' followers were members. Jesus preached of the liberation of the soul
> and peace in peoples' hearts. When there seemed to be no movement in the
> direction of liberation from the Roman Empire, certain ones, including
> Judas,
> plotted against him to precipitate a crisis--one that would force Jesus to
> either
> perform a miracle and escape (after all, many of them had seen him perform
> healings and other wondrous things), or be exposed as a fake who could not,
> or would not, produce the result they mistakenly thought he was promoting
> thru his preaching.

Not the first time they tried to cross up Jesus. Some people once asked
Jesus if they should pay tax to the Romans. He came back with a good
one: "Show Me the coins you use to pay the tax. Who's picture is
on those coins? Well, give to Ceaser what is Ceaser's, but give God
what is God's".

4616. Re: Bad Dudes R Us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:44:13 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE57AD.618F59B8@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20867

Stu Parker wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 17:22:25 -0400, WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> :
> : he would have to have ALOT of pull to remove my access, seeing as i
> : helped start my ISP in the first place, and know ISP admins on a first
> : name basis all over the country as well as other countries.
>
> [cough]
>
> Okay, so you think you're a badass. Adam Kerman is badder when he wants
> to be, so be warned.
>
> You don't step on Superman's cape, and you don't mess around with Adam.
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA yer funny I think I'll take you as my personal play
toy for when I get stressed.

4617. Re: Badasses R Us II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:53:52 UTC
From: WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3BAE59F0.1BF38DB8@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 20869

Stu Parker wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 17:22:25 -0400, WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>
> : X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.13-4mdk i586)
>
> Just wanted to add that Linux kernel 2.2.13 has a huge security hole in
> it which allows anyone to become root by running any old suid
> executable. You need to upgrade to a minimum of version 2.2.19
> immediately so that Adam doesn't take over your system when he gets
> irritated.
>
> It would be embarassing for a badass like you to be hacked, I'll bet.
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> *********** We are simple servants of his will ***********

He'd have to get through my firewalls first and if the packet kiddies
who took down ebay couldn;t get into my system, I know he can't.

4618. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 21:58:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9olltp$e4a$0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 20855

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > And I'm rather sure he
> > could have done it without peforating the plane's skin.

> Fragmenting bullets take care of that problem.
>

Where do the fragments go? Better answer might be good aim and expanding
bullets that don't go through.

4619. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 22:04:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <c0tr7.7891$W8.639563@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20856

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9olltp$e4a$0@208.206.142.96...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > And I'm rather sure he
> > > could have done it without peforating the plane's skin.
>
> > Fragmenting bullets take care of that problem.
> >
>
> Where do the fragments go? Better answer might be good aim and expanding
> bullets that don't go through.

Use silver bullets like the Lone Ranger. He was the proud owner of two .45
calibre single-action shotguns. Works jake if you can persuade the shootee
to let you insert it in his nostril.

4620. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 22:12:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAE4FAB.15CDFBC6@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I am a 'Native American', I was born and raised right here in
America, can I get one of those fossils?

CAM wrote:
>
> Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> > The original cultures
> > and languages here had names like Algonquin, Souix, Cree,
> > Hopi, and Cherokee.
>
> Actually, the oldest North American human fossils
> (recently discovered) are of *European* stock.
> Unfortunately, the law says those fossils must
> be handed over to the "Native Americans".
> --
>

4621. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 22:36:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9olo4vjac0@208.206.142.96>
Source record: 20860

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9olltp$e4a$0@208.206.142.96...
> > >
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > And I'm rather sure he
> > > > > could have done it without peforating the plane's skin.
> > >
> > > > Fragmenting bullets take care of that problem.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Where do the fragments go? Better answer might be good aim and expanding
> > > bullets that don't go through.
>
> Use silver bullets like the Lone Ranger. He was the proud owner of two .45
> calibre single-action shotguns. Works jake if you can persuade the shootee
> to let you insert it in his nostril.

Anyone who wishes to set of any firearm inside an aircraft at altitude
is dreaming. But on Sept 11 it sure would have been a good option.

4622. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 22:38:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uvtr7.7934$W8.643382@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20861

"WebDoc" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net...
> Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and
> have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the
> understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for
> general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake
> the written element again?
>
> Keith Hosman

You got it. Actually it is 365 days for some unknown reason. So if you
take it 9 October you have until 8 October 02. Quit planning to fail.

4623. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 22:43:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nAtr7.7940$W8.643699@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20862

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:uvtr7.7934$W8.643382@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "WebDoc" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
> news:3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net...
> > Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and
> > have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the
> > understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for
> > general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake
> > the written element again?
> >
> > Keith Hosman
>
> You got it. Actually it is 365 days for some unknown reason. So if you
> take it 9 October you have until 8 October 02. Quit planning to fail.
>
>

Should also mention that there are some good practice exams on www.qrz.com

4624. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 23:17:05 UTC
From: "Unit 69, Operator Scott" <"Chesapeake Bay, USA (upplus"@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <R3ur7.14081$n_2.2234402@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 20866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JJ wrote:
>
> I am a 'Native American', I was born and raised right here in
> America, can I get one of those fossils?
>

If you're still around in 40 or 50 years, you will be a fossil.

4625. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-23 23:37:34 UTC
From: SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com
Message-ID: <d9f7b76c.0109231537.1c9bf19e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20868
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAE1372.6070209@IEEE.org>...
> Unit 69, Operator Scott wrote:
>
> > The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
> > illegal to speak any other language in public.
>
> It should be illegal for a Spanish speaking parent to speak
> to her child in public in the only language the child
> understands? What's wrong with this picture?
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

well that is not what he said, but let me ask you this. why is it the
child is being talked to in spanish....???? because the know-it-all
educational and political establishment are striving for their
precious diversity and where is that going ....???? well i will tell
you.......one day, there may not be a solid one nation under God
principle working here, because without one language one culture and
one border, then what are we???????

4626. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 00:03:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923200347.03974.00000077@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20870

In article <3badd4e3.7686883@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>Today's automatic transmissions are equally reliable. As to never
>needing
>a new clutch in any of your manual gearbox cars, I find that hard
>to believe unless you never drove your vehicles more than
>100K miles. The need for clutch replacement has much to do
>with the type of driving/use any particular car is put to.

It also depends on the type of car and how well the clutch was engineered.

Personal experience: In February 1980 I bought a new VW Rabbit with 4 speed manual transmission. This car was used for mostly stop-and-go city driving in an area with lots of hills - the worst possible environment for a clutch.

After 10 years and 104,000 miles the engine and transmission were swapped out as a unit because I got a deal on a used-but-good remanufactured engine with trans attached.

The original clutch was still in use when the swap was made. The car lasted 7 more years after that, and was retired only because the body rust was getting too bad.

I removed the old factory-original clutch to see what 104,000 miles of wear looked like. It still had plenty of lining left, and had displayed no tendency to slip or grab throughout its life. The release plate and flywheel looked perfect. I would not be surprised if it would have gone another 104,000 miles.

The replacement power assembly (engine/clutch/trans) had 97K miles on it when the car was traded in, and that clutch was still doing fine - no slipping, no grabbing, no problems.

So at least one company knows how to make clutches that last.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4627. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 00:12:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE7A5D.3010903@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20871

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>Fragmenting bullets take care of that problem.
>>
> Where do the fragments go?

Into the terrorists, of course. In case of a miss,
they don't blow a hole in the plane or windows.
I've seen them. They are like a thin metal shell
loaded with BBs.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4628. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM. You mean NO BUILD-NO HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 00:13:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE7AAE.6080800@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20872

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Use silver bullets like the Lone Ranger.

Someone mentioned aluminum bullets. Anyone ever
seen such?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4629. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 00:19:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE7C28.5020605@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20873
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

SD SpecialDelivery Jones wrote:

> ...one day, there may not be a solid one nation under God
> principle working here, because without one language one culture and
> one border, then what are we???????

The US has never had one language and one culture. Why do

you want to change things that have worked for 200+ years?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4630. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 00:21:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAE7C72.7000302@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JJ wrote:

> I am a 'Native American', I was born and raised right here in
> America, can I get one of those fossils?

You are probably a native American, not a Native American.
There's a big legal difference.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4631. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 01:43:13 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <aa89e0afa0612a61999d7336561762c8.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"CAM" wrote in message

> Actually, the oldest North American human fossils
> (recently discovered) are of *European* stock.
> Unfortunately, the law says those fossils must
> be handed over to the "Native Americans".

Was there a point you wanted to make? It is generally
held that the remains of your ancestors belong to you,
not to some academic wuss with a bad comb-over and a
government "culture studies" grant.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupll242.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.37.242]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4632. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 01:46:04 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bae8edd.4520784@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20876

On 23 Sep 2001 16:30:08 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Dick,
>> Your statement that: "People who are
>> knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
>> transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
>> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them." is both
>> arrogant and deaming towards anyone that decides NOT to learn
>> a particular subject area or skill.
>
>Bill, I'm not the least bit surprised that you would say that. After
>all, you believe that hams who never learned CW are as qualified as
>communicators as those who did. Very Revealing.
>
>> Consider that your thoughtless
>> statement could equallybe made as follows:
>> "People who are
>> knowledgable in the process of archery AND automatic weopons
>> are simply more qualified and better informed than the
>> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them (in
>> the field of weopanry).
>
> And that statement is not only exactly correct, it displays your
>ignorance of
>weaponry and its many uses. There are times when a good crossbow is far
>preferable to an AK47 or M16. Try taking out a lone sentry in the middle
>of the night without waking the entire compound with a machine gun.

So the army has been dumbed down then because they don't
require knowledge/skill of crossbow use?

>> As to manual gearboxes, there simply is NO reason for the vast
>> majority (over 90%) of drivers to learn the skill or ever need it.
>
> Now you're making a little sense, in your oblique way. Of course there
>is no reason for the vast majority of people to ever learn CW, either.
>But the vast majority of people will never become ham radio operators
>either, with the attendant presumed interest in the passing of radio
>communications under the most stark circumstances imaginable.

Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW. Indeed, not one
arguement to sustain code requirements seems to have been
of any convincing to the FCC and the international community.

>At least,
>that is MY interest in ham radio, at the bottom line. It is tragic that
>so many of you today just want to do a bit of yakking under normal
>conditions, and truly believe that nothing more could ever be demanded
>of you nor your ham radio station.

Who are you to demand anything of me or any other ham.
If you so fervantly believe in the merits and use of code, then
do your homework and gain the new users by example and marketing...
not by mandating every new HF ham learn CW on the chance that
by doing so you'll gain some percent of those new hams that like
CW.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4633. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 01:52:58 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bae9173.5182384@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20877

On 23 Sep 2001 15:58:47 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> >>So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
>> >>on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
>> >>so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.
>> >
>> >Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However,
>> >by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of
>> >global disaster which could disrupt communications on such
>> >a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability
>> >to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes,
>> >and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the
>> >typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?
>>
>> How often do you rewatch the movie Independence Day?
>> It must be one of your all time favorites.
>>
>> Do you think that global disaster will also render all vehicles with
>> automatic transmissions out of acton...thus needing a cadre of
>> manual gearbox operators? :-) :-)
>
> You live in the shadow of the World Trade Center and still you can't
>believe it. Some -sadly, most- people never learn. Too often they go
>down wondering of what the hell happened?????

The tragic attack on the WTC and Pentagon has not resulted in
any need for either CW operators and/or people that
can drive manual gearbox autos. So do tell me just what
I can't believe?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4634. Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 02:09:30 UTC
From: ValleyArts1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19039-3BAE95DA-4@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 20878

I have a question about ham radio testing. Do the rules allow a person to go into a testing session and take both the Tech and General class tests during the same session, or does an individual have to pass the morse code componant after having passed the Tech test before the General test can be attempted? Thank You.

4635. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 02:45:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BAE8FAC.791622E2@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20879
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

As long as these radical Islam terrorist are alive a lot of
innocent people are going to die, the choice we may well have to
make is, is it going to be a lot of innocent people here or a lot
of innocent people there. I just happen to have this thing about
self preservation for me, my family, friends and this country, so
if the choice has to be made as far as I am concerned, it is
there.

Dick Carroll so correctly wrote:
>
>
> That may be your opinion but I can verify that it is not universally
> shared. I would rather my grandkids grew into freedom loving adults than
> for theirs to grow into rabid terrorists who vow death to everything
> they see as foreign. You must remember who started this little fracas.

4636. Re: Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 03:55:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <G8yr7.8095$3d2.690388@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20880

<ValleyArts1@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19039-3BAE95DA-4@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I have a question about ham radio testing. Do the rules allow a person
> to go into a testing session and take both the Tech and General class
> tests during the same session, or does an individual have to pass the
> morse code componant after having passed the Tech test before the
> General test can be attempted? Thank You.
>

The most definitive answer will come from your local VEs. The 5wpm change makes things a bit different than my VE days. A lot depends on how the tests are organized; scheduling is more the problem than legalities.

Because you can obtain certs for all elements, the writtens should be given in sequence so you should be able to take 2,3, and 4 until you fail one. The code test is an add-on given somewhere along the line. So you should be able to do what you have in mind.

What may cause a problem is that it requires three Extra VEs to give Extra exams but lower classes may be given by three lower license grades. So what you may encounter in large sessions is separate sub-sessions in different places administering say, Element 2 writtens only.

A one-location session might begin with those taking the code at 8AM followed by the written elements beginning at 8:30 or nine.

Were I contemplating what you have in mind, I would sign up for the whole enchilada unless you haven't started code practice and let them throw me out. Same price.

As this is something of a goofball, you should out of courtesy get with the VEs as soon as possible to keep everyone's blood pressure somewhere near normal but there is no good reason you can't do it.

BTW download Morse Academy from http://ah0a.org, follow directions and buy a set of headphones before your family assasinates you to stop the infernal beeping.

Dick NBK

4637. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 04:03:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924000327.28070.00000072@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 20881

In article <3BAE53A6.8B010AD2@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Not the first time they tried to cross up Jesus. Some people once asked
>Jesus if they should pay tax to the Romans. He came back with a good
>one: "Show Me the coins you use to pay the tax. Who's picture is
>on those coins? Well, give to Ceaser what is Ceaser's, but give God
>what is God's".
>
Yup. Of course He knew that anything He said that was the least bit threatening
to the Romans would be jumped on as insurrection really fast.

There was also a time when He healed someone on the sabbath, and there was some
rumbling about His "working on the Lord's day". He asked who of them, if his
donkey fell into a pit on the sabbath, would not work to rescue it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4638. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 04:29:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAEB6A2.5060709@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hans Brakob wrote:

> "CAM" wrote in message
>>Actually, the oldest North American human fossils
>>(recently discovered) are of *European* stock.
>>Unfortunately, the law says those fossils must
>>be handed over to the "Native Americans".
>
> Was there a point you wanted to make?

Yep, it appears now that the first settlers in North
America came from Europe and the later immigrants
from Asia killed them off.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4639. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 06:39:46 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0109232239.42972e98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20883
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Unit 69, Operator Scott" <"Chesapeake Bay, USA (upplus"@hotmail.com)> wrote in message news:<W4mr7.9926$n_2.1761496@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>...
> SD SpecialDelivery Jones wrote:
>
> > stake........did somebody say something about borders , language and
> > culture.???????
>
> The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
> illegal to speak any other language in public. Of course, what one does
> in their abode is their business.

Ha!!! That's funny, Scott. You, a hard-core freebander telling us what should be illegal! Hypocrite.

4640. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 08:05:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ompga$k4m$0@208.206.142.110>
Source record: 20884

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Who are you to demand anything of me or any other ham.

Didn't youi mean to end that with a (?) ?

Let's just say I'm your CW conscience.

4641. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 09:24:11 UTC
From: sparkr@bimminy.com
Message-ID: <aa21060.b9716ba@bimminy.org>
Source record: 20885
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 02:00:30 GMT, Frank G. <nobody@spamcop.net> wrote:
: Bob Johnson said:
: |PLONK!
:
: LOL, I reported him _and_ plonked him.
:

Whom did you report him to?

***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
****
** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
****
********** We are simple servants of his will **********

4642. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 09:52:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924055222.07987.00000567@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 20886

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/23/01 8:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAE7C28.5020605@IEEE.org>

>The US has never had one language and one culture. Why do
>you want to change things that have worked for 200+ years?

The problem is it hasn't worked as WELL as it should have.

The basic problem in the world, other than hunger, is ignorance.
Unfortunately, with literally hundreds of languages and/or dialects of various
languages it is almost impossible to overcome that.

Let a Hispanic mom talk to her kids in her native tongue at home, but
anyone who emigrates to the United States should be obligated to speak at least
conversational English within 2 years. School children should speak nothing
BUT English (save those in classes for other languages, and only IN those
classes...)

In those areas of America where we solicit foreigners (ie tourist areas,
business complexes, etc) I say heck yes, have every accomodation for THIER
language. Afterall we WANT them to come and spend thier money or invest here.

But if you ELECT to LIVE here, by golly you should be a part of THIS
culture. Not African...Not Asian...Not European...

Respect, enjoy and learn about others' cultures, but if you want to be
American, then BE American.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4643. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 10:40:45 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baf0f06_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20887

But, being an American has never *had* to have a definition, Steve. I disagree with you on the below. I don't care if someone speaks another language in public and far be it from me to tell them what language they *have* to speak in public.

What I would agree with is someone on the other side of the counter speaking English, *in a predominantly English speaking part of town.* I can't stand it when I go into a place of business and the person providing the service cannot speak English. BUT, if I am in the Hispanic part of town, or whatever part, then I don't have a problem with that person who's been hired speaking that language.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010924055222.07987.00000567@mb-cs.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 9/23/01 8:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BAE7C28.5020605@IEEE.org>
>
> >The US has never had one language and one culture. Why do
> >you want to change things that have worked for 200+ years?
>
> The problem is it hasn't worked as WELL as it should have.
>
> The basic problem in the world, other than hunger, is ignorance.
> Unfortunately, with literally hundreds of languages and/or dialects of various
> languages it is almost impossible to overcome that.
>
> Let a Hispanic mom talk to her kids in her native tongue at home, but
> anyone who emigrates to the United States should be obligated to speak at least
> conversational English within 2 years. School children should speak nothing
> BUT English (save those in classes for other languages, and only IN those
> classes...)
>
> In those areas of America where we solicit foreigners (ie tourist areas,
> business complexes, etc) I say heck yes, have every accomodation for THIER
> language. Afterall we WANT them to come and spend thier money or invest here.

>
> But if you ELECT to LIVE here, by golly you should be a part of THIS
> culture. Not African...Not Asian...Not European...
>
> Respect, enjoy and learn about others' cultures, but if you want to be
> American, then BE American.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

4644. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 10:44:07 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baf0fcf_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20888

Oh buhruther. You've self-appointed yourself now, huh, Dick? You and Larry are definitely on the same boat. The rest of us are not.

So long, you've made it quite obvious you are beyond communicating--you "perfect" communicator (*cough*).

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9ompga$k4m$0@208.206.142.110...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > Who are you to demand anything of me or any other ham.
>
> Didn't youi mean to end that with a (?) ?
>
>
> Let's just say I'm your CW conscience.

4645. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 10:51:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baf11a0_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20889

I still just plain wonder when the folks who are professing all this "preparedness" stuff (and really more using it to feel like gods) are going to tell us all that they are prepared to conduct successful life-saving surgery.

I bet all they've done is go so far as to learn how to apply a band-aid, the good for nothing knuckle-dragging, beer swilling, (whatever the hell else that usually says). {:^

I find hard to agree with myself that it is "OK" to have these flippant feelings about fellow human beings, in the wake of the disaster in NY and DC. Something just doesn't quite feel right about it. ESPECIALLY given that this is just all about ham radio, right? Hmmm, maybe ham radio to some of these guys, is really their screen to be the gods they think they are. Yeah, that's probably it.

Shame on you.

Kim W5TIT

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:3bae8edd.4520784@news.mindspring.com...
> On 23 Sep 2001 16:30:08 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> Dick,
> >> Your statement that: "People who are
> >> knowledgable in the process of driving both automatic AND manual
> >> transmissions are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> >> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them." is both
> >> arrogant and deaming towards anyone that decides NOT to learn
> >> a particular subject area or skill.
> >
> >Bill, I'm not the least bit surprised that you would say that. After
> >all, you believe that hams who never learned CW are as qualified as
> >communicators as those who did. Very Revealing.
> >
> >> Consider that your thoughtless
> >> statement could equallybe made as follows:
> >> "People who are
> >> knowledgable in the process of archery AND automatic weopons
> >> are simply more qualified and better informed than the
> >> "dumbed down" sorts who have everything done for them (in
> >> the field of weopanry).
> >
> > And that statement is not only exactly correct, it displays your

> >ignorance of
> >weaponry and its many uses. There are times when a good crossbow is far
> >preferable to an AK47 or M16. Try taking out a lone sentry in the middle
> >of the night without waking the entire compound with a machine gun.
>
> So the army has been dumbed down then because they don't
> require knowledge/skill of crossbow use?
>
> >> As to manual gearboxes, there simply is NO reason for the vast
> >> majority (over 90%) of drivers to learn the skill or ever need it.
> >
> > Now you're making a little sense, in your oblique way. Of course there
> >is no reason for the vast majority of people to ever learn CW, either.
> >But the vast majority of people will never become ham radio operators
> >either, with the attendant presumed interest in the passing of radio
> >communications under the most stark circumstances imaginable.
>
> Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
> the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW. Indeed, not one
> arguement to sustain code requirements seems to have been
> of any convincing to the FCC and the international community.
>
> >At least,
> >that is MY interest in ham radio, at the bottom line. It is tragic that
> >so many of you today just want to do a bit of yakking under normal
> >conditions, and truly believe that nothing more could ever be demanded
> >of you nor your ham radio station.
>
> Who are you to demand anything of me or any other ham.
> If you so fervantly believe in the merits and use of code, then
> do your homework and gain the new users by example and marketing...
> not by mandating every new HF ham learn CW on the chance that
> by doing so you'll gain some percent of those new hams that like
> CW.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

4646. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 11:05:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924070533.07987.00000568@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 20890

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 9/24/01 6:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3baf0f06_1@newsa.ev1.net>

>BUT, if I am in the Hispanic part of town, or
>whatever part, then I don't have a problem with that person who's been hired
>speaking that language.

And hence the groundwork for gang infestation.

There should NOT be an "English" or "Spanish" or "Lower Slobovian" side of
town.

"ONE nation under God" is what the pledge says, and by golly, I (as should
any other person) should be able to travel from one side of town to the other
without having to take a Berlitz language course.! ! ! !

No...no "this" side of town or "that" side of town....

One country...One flag. Celebrate and enjoy the differences, but we are
either one people with a common goal or we are not.

If WE are not, "they" have won.....or will...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4647. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 11:41:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAF0DD3.4EBC29ED@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20891

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > I always wondered how Judas could betray Jesus if he had actually seen any of
> > Jesus' healings and other works.
>
> That's an easy one, Jim. It was God's will that Judas betray
> Jesus. I believe that it fulfilled prophecy.

Neat, if you believe that all is preordained and that we have no free
will of our own. If that is the case, you are simply a programmed
automaton.

Dave K8MN

4648. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 11:54:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109240354.715d32d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20892

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAC84BB.1080201@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > But that's just the FCC's opinion - doesn't mean it's true or false.
>
> Truth doesn't have to match the facts.

Sure it does - by definition.

> If it's the FCC's opinion,
> it's true by definition since they make the rules.
>
Nope.

> > Is physics on the written exam?

> Of course, all the technical stuff on the exam is physics.

Based on physics, maybe. But it's not all physics. In fact, it's
mostly applied technology.

> Rules and regulations are not technical stuff.

True, but some rules & regs require technical knowledge to be
understood.
>
> > You said he was "weak in physics" not "lacking in technical knowledge".

> Those mean the same thing to me as far as the ham exam goes.

That's you. To the rest of the world that understands the subject,
"physics" and "technical knowledge" are two different things.

> > Back in 1953, the requirements for all amateur
> > privileges were reduced so that instead of needing an Advanced or Extra, US
> > hams only needed a General or Conditional.

> Yep, I had all amateur frequency privileges back then.
>
And lost the "all" part from 1968 until 2000. Because of the FCC's
"opinion".

73 de Jim, N2EY

4649. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 11:54:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAF10F5.30A11C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20893

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I still just plain wonder when the folks who are professing all this
> "preparedness" stuff (and really more using it to feel like gods) are going
> to tell us all that they are prepared to conduct successful life-saving
> surgery.
>
> I bet all they've done is go so far as to learn how to apply a band-aid, the
> good for nothing knuckle-dragging, beer swilling, (whatever the hell else
> that usually says). {:^

I believe these folks are speaking of communications preparedness.
We're hams here, not doctors or paramedics.

> I find hard to agree with myself that it is "OK" to have these flippant
> feelings about fellow human beings, in the wake of the disaster in NY and
> DC. Something just doesn't quite feel right about it. ESPECIALLY given
> that this is just all about ham radio, right? Hmmm, maybe ham radio to some
> of these guys, is really their screen to be the gods they think they are.
> Yeah, that's probably it.
>
> Shame on you.

You aren't one to point a finger and say "shame" to anyone, Kim. You
tend to read much more into a post than is written.

Dave K8MN

4650. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 12:03:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924080339.02205.00000064@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20894

In article <3bae8edd.4520784@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
>the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW.

Not so! There are LOTS of reasons for any and every ham to learn CW. (I've got a list right here....)

I think what you meant to say was "there is simply no compelling reason to REQUIRE that hams learn CW in order to get a license".

The first statement is anti-code. The second is anti-test.

>Indeed, not one
>arguement to sustain code requirements seems to have been
>of any convincing to the FCC and the international community.
>
The FCC and its foreign counterparts seem to be pretty clear about that.

But the FCC says some pretty odd things. For example, anyone who can show that they ever held a Novice license automatically gets code test credit. But that does not apply to any other license class, and a CSCE is only good for 365 days!

So we have the following paradoxes:

A ham who had a Novice decades ago but let the license go gets credit, but a ham who skipped the Novice step does not. Same for the holder of an Element 1 CSCE more than 365 days old. Huh?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4651. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 12:58:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924085852.27773.00000249@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 20895

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/24/01 7:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAF10F5.30A11C@earthlink.net>

>We're hams here, not doctors or paramedics.

Oooops!

Some of us ARE! =) (well...nurses, anyway!..WAS a paramedic!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4652. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 13:32:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAF3605.4050903@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20896

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>Truth doesn't have to match the facts.
>
> Sure it does - by definition.

Sounds like you don't know the definitions, Jim.

Truth can be whatever a man is sincere about.
From Webster's: "truth - sincerity in action,
character, and utterance" Note that truth doesn't
have to agree with the facts. "fact - the quality
of being actual"

So truth is whatever someone sincerely believes to
be true even if it is factually false.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4653. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 13:34:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAF364C.4080806@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20897

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Let's just say I'm your CW conscience.
Delusions of grandeur again, Dick?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4654. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 13:43:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAF3891.4090107@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20898

Dave Heil wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>>That's an easy one, Jim. It was God's will that Judas betray
>>Jesus. I believe that it fulfilled prophecy.
>
> Neat, if you believe that all is preordained and that we have no free
> will of our own. If that is the case, you are simply a programmed
> automaton.

Dave, do you honestly believe that an omniscient, omnipotent
being is going to allow us to interfere with his prophecies?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4655. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 13:52:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAF3A97.4050403@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20899

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>The US has never had one language and one culture. Why do
>>you want to change things that have worked for 200+ years?

> The problem is it hasn't worked as WELL as it should have.

That's what Hitler said. This is a free country, Steve.
Some of those freedoms are to choose to speak a different
language and have a different color skin and have a
different religion. It is an extremely good idea to know
and use English but I will defend anyone's freedom not to
use it when talking to someone in public who understands
another language better than English. The purpose of communications
is understanding. You seem to want to cripple understanding
by requiring people to use a less than optimum language (for
them). Even the French allow English to be spoken in public.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4656. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 13:53:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAF3AF3.6090605@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20900

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> "ONE nation under God" is what the pledge says, and by golly, I (as should
> any other person) should be able to travel from one side of town to the other
> without having to take a Berlitz language course.! ! ! !
Got news for you, Steve. English is NOT God's native language.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4657. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 14:05:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924100533.28933.00000518@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 20901

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/24/01 9:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAF3A97.4050403@IEEE.org>

>That's what Hitler said. This is a free country, Steve.
>Some of those freedoms are to choose to speak a different
>language and have a different color skin and have a
>different religion.

Yes, it IS a free country. And in order for it to continue to BE free is for all it's citizens to have a common frame of reference.

As for Hitler...well, all I can say is that anytimime someone wants to discredit something, they point out that Hitler made some reference to it at one time or another.

>You seem to want to cripple understanding
>by requiring people to use a less than optimum language (for
>them). Even the French allow English to be spoken in public.

My point here is not to disallow the use of any other language, Cecil, but to REQUIRE English as a COMPETENT language for ALL American citizens or permanent residents.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4658. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 14:05:57 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baf4063_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20903

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010924100533.28933.00000518@mb-da.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 9/24/01 9:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BAF3A97.4050403@IEEE.org>
>
> >That's what Hitler said. This is a free country, Steve.
> >Some of those freedoms are to choose to speak a different
> >language and have a different color skin and have a
> >different religion.
>
> Yes, it IS a free country. And in order for it to continue to BE
free is
> for all it's citizens to have a common frame of reference.
>
> As for Hitler...well, all I can say is that anytimime someone wants
to
> discredit something, they point out that Hitler made some reference to it
at
> one time or another.
>

Man, you got that right!!!!! I can't stand it when that happens, no funny
intended!

Kim W5TIT

4659. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 14:07:11 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baf40a9_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20904

We are all one country, several gods (and that's OK), and several languages, colors, traditions, etc. And, it's all OK.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010924070533.07987.00000568@mb-cs.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
> >From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
> >Date: 9/24/01 6:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3baf0f06_1@newsa.ev1.net>
>
> >BUT, if I am in the Hispanic part of town, or
> >whatever part, then I don't have a problem with that person who's been hired
> >speaking that language.
>
> And hence the groundwork for gang infestation.
>
> There should NOT be an "English" or "Spanish" or "Lower Slobovian" side of
> town.
>
> "ONE nation under God" is what the pledge says, and by golly, I (as should
> any other person) should be able to travel from one side of town to the other
> without having to take a Berlitz language course.! ! ! !
>
> No...no "this" side of town or "that" side of town....
>
> One country...One flag. Celebrate and enjoy the differences, but we are
> either one people with a common goal or we are not.
>
> If WE are not, "they" have won.....or will...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

4660. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 14:07:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924100730.28933.00000519@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 20902

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/24/01 9:53 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAF3AF3.6090605@IEEE.org>

>Got news for you, Steve. English is NOT God's native language.

OK...Who said it was....?!?!

My reference was to the "one nation under God..." of the Pledge of
Alligence.

NOT "one nation using God's language...".

73

Steve, K4YZ

4661. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 14:10:30 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3baf416f_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20905

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3BAF10F5.30A11C@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > I still just plain wonder when the folks who are professing all this
> > "preparedness" stuff (and really more using it to feel like gods) are
going
> > to tell us all that they are prepared to conduct successful life-saving
> > surgery.
> >
> > I bet all they've done is go so far as to learn how to apply a band-aid,
the
> > good for nothing knuckle-dragging, beer swilling, (whatever the hell
else
> > that usually says). {:^
>
> I believe these folks are speaking of communications preparedness.
> We're hams here, not doctors or paramedics.
>
>

Wait a minute, the conversation is talking about manual and automatic
transmissions and you choose to respond to my post telling me that it's
about ham radio communications?! Whatever, Davey. There's splinters off
this topic all over on the newsgroup right now.

> > I find hard to agree with myself that it is "OK" to have these flippant
> > feelings about fellow human beings, in the wake of the disaster in NY
and
> > DC. Something just doesn't quite feel right about it. ESPECIALLY given
> > that this is just all about ham radio, right? Hmmm, maybe ham radio to
some
> > of these guys, is really their screen to be the gods they think they
are.
> > Yeah, that's probably it.
> >
> > Shame on you.
>
> You aren't one to point a finger and say "shame" to anyone, Kim. You
> tend to read much more into a post than is written.
>
> Dave K8MN

Give me an example, Dave.

Kim W5TIT

4662. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 16:01:52 UTC
From: Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <QNIr7.3647$pH1.563810@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20906

> I understand what he meant. One needs Morse code to obtain a
> General ham license. One doesn't need CW to obtain a General
> ham license.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>

Thanks Cecil, at least you understood the, granted small amount of, humor meant with that post..... CW is a method of transmission, not a language. Same way with all these operators working in the "75" meter band, last I checked, this was a shortwave broadcast band, not a ham allocation. However, my handy-dandy ARRL frequency allocation chart shows clearly there is an 80meter and 40 meter band for our use. Still can find the 75meter band.

Ryan

4663. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 16:01:53 UTC
From: Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <RNIr7.3648$pH1.563789@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 20907

"Rich McAllister K6RFM" <rfm@pensfa.org> wrote in message
news:87wv2sc68i.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org...
> "Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:
>
> > I absolutely refuse to learn CW but I will learn Morse Code. I also
refuse
> > to learn SSB and AM and FM too..... but I will learn how to talk.......
>
> Can't sing a note, eh?
>
> Rich

I couldn't carry a tune in a dumptruck....... I can hum though......and
whistle.

Ryan

4664. Re: Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 16:15:34 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924121534.04769.00000603@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20908

>Do the rules allow a person
>to go into a testing session and take both the Tech and General class
>tests during the same session, or does an individual have to pass the
>morse code componant after having passed the Tech test before the
>General test can be attempted?

The persoin being tested can take the General written test without first taking the CW test. A person can even take the Extra test without taking the CW test. Of course, to be upgrade to General or Extra that person has to upgrade within one year, if not credit for passing the written test will go away.

4665. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 16:19:31 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924121931.04769.00000605@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20909

>I absolutely refuse to learn CW

I am not to crazy about CW myself, I would rather listen to Jazz or some of the Older Rock. CW is not for me, it is kind of link Chamber Music.

4666. Re: It Ain't "Psychic", But It's Wierd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 16:24:25 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924122425.04769.00000606@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 20910

And there must have been people that had been working in th eWTC fo 11 years.

4667. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:09:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924130919.02524.00000164@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20912

In article <j33r7.4980$Dz6.148827@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>1. Amateur Radio is NOT an "academic" activity?????
> I submit to you, Lenny, that "academic" refers to learning.

No. "Academic" refers to an educational organization such as a college or university. The FCC grants amateur radio licenses. The FCC is NOT an academic institution. Ergo, the amateur radio test is not an academic test.

There is NO requirement to advance your personal knowledge nor "upgrade" nor do anything more than a ragchewer having fun. If you DO learn something new, that is for YOUR benefit. [see Part 2.1 c and Part 97 for "personal learning"]

> I am NOT an electronics engineer.

That isn't a requirement.

>It is still a hobby, after all.

I agree with that. Some others do not.

> But IF I have LEARNED something in my pursuit of
> this hobby, then ham radio is, indeed, academic endeavor.

Sorry, no academic organization will give you a certificate to attest to that...you must take a test that they specify. To repeat: The FCC grants US amateur radio licenses. The FCC is not chartered as an academic institution.

If you have learned something personally, that is good. Such is called personal intellectual advancement.

> If it still existed,
> I could show you the Advanced test I took a number of years ago.

Yes, yes, and if I still could get it I could show you my First Class Radiotelephone license test (all four parts)...or my college finals...or my high school finals. Or extension courses I took that were not for credit towards a degree. "Academic" refers to activity at an educational institution. The tests given by an academic institution grade students on what they have learned in class and those tests are accepted by other academic institutions as to subjects learned.

The FCC test is solely for the FCC's use in determining whether
or not an examinee should be granted a radio operator's license.
It is solely for the FCC's purpose as part of its regulatory task.

A granted amateur radio operator license carries with it a
"callsign." That callsign is NOT a degree, NOT a certificate of
learning. The callsign is the amateur's station identification.

Many US radio amateurs think that a ham license is a virtual
degree. That is their problem in overmuch pride. The ham
license means the licensee can transmit RF and communicate
using allocated amateur frequencies using allocated modes,
not for pecuniary reasons. Amateur radio is a hobby.

>2. Health and Welfare traffic and activities ARE emergency traffic. After
> all, it is usually an emergency that causes such traffic to be generated.

"Emergency communications" involves communications about
immediate concern of life and property. Such is not just
"traffic" (messages) but is the whole range of communication
modes: Voice, video, data/teleprinter, facsimile, etc.

"Health and welfare [traffic]" is a good thing, giving emotional
support and information to friends and family of disaster
victims, news of various kinds about victim's health and
safety. It is NOT "emergency communications" per se,
regardless of the spin put on it by an amateur membership
organization.

There HAVE been a few rare cases of amateur-originated
communications which DID directly affect human life (the
victim of a piracy attack as one case in the last decade).
That is TRUE emergency communications.

> When Amateur Radio is being used to coordinate the move-
> ment of personnel, supplies, food, surveying damage, it IS emergency
> traffic.

Agreed...but...there isn't any news media reporting that radio
amateurs are or have "taken over" the FDNY radio comm or
the NYPD radio comm or the FBI radio comm or the radio
communications of New York City government, New York
state government, or USA government. All of those agencies
have their own radio equipment. Is the FDNY using amateurs
to coordinate the movement of fire department personnel?
Or is the FDNY using existing infrastructure communications?

The news media have shown and written that volunteers of
all kinds have brought in so many "supplies" other than
construction equipment, medical equipment that those
volunteers were requested to stop, it is too much and
getting in the way. Audio and video recordings of officials
and doctors saying so seem to be valid testimony to that.

Is "surveying of damage" to the WTC complex or the
Pentagon best done by building and safety personnel
whose jobs concern building structures or is it to be
handled by radio amateurs? The news media has
reported such surveying in detail from professionals.

> Amateurs are often tasked with more than just jabbering on a radio,
> Lenny, and all you gotta do is talk to most local EM's to discover this
fact.

I have talked to part of the "local EM" (the disaster communications
organization of the Greater Los Angeles area) before and after
the Northridge Earthquake of 1994. I am aware that "easterners"
are tired of hearing about experiences in the most populous
state of this union...that the state of California has organized,
drilled, trained for emergencies and disasters with an integrated
set of agencies who welcome all citizens who can do the job
working for the benefit of all other citizens.

The FCC regulations state that REAL emergency conditions
permit anyone to communicate on any part of the EM spectrum
without needing any license.

>Amateur Radio is often used to take pressure off OTHER "professional"--as

The cutoff of ENTIRE primary electrical power to an area of
ten million population should be "pressure." Nonetheless,
all those who were equipped, trained, and drilled for
emergencies handled gas main fires, building fires, collapsed
freeway overpasses, collapsed buildings, and the "black
start" (of electrical power restoration small area by small
area). Hospitals had their own emergency electrical power,
ambulances had radios, everyone doing their assigned
tasks as trained with existing equipment. Could radio
amateurs "fill in" without training or organization as
"emergency communicators?"

>3. IF Amateur Radio is so "irrelevant", why are Amateurs accorded a seat in
> state and local EOC's? Hmmmmm, Lenny? Why don't they call on the
> "freebanders", the "10-4, Mercy Me Boys?

REAL emergency communications does not involve personal
squabbles of "ham v. CB." The Attack on America on day
9-11 concerned citizens of the USA. Amateurs have to
figure out whether they owe their allegiance to the ARS or
to the USA.

I stated earlier that I had not seen any news media cover any
of the noble, pure, and selfless efforts of amateur radio in
aiding rescue efforts...not in newsprint, not in video news
that was almost constant in reporting many things in the
week following day 9-11. Amateur radio efforts were only
lauded on amateur radio websites, in particular at the ARRL.
If all the amateur radio efforts were so good and true as

described on amateur websites, why weren't they included in the vast reportage of the new media? The news media covered an enormous field of activity.

I just asked a couple of questions...but touched off a few accusations that ranged the gamut from labels of near-treason to "ignorance of the glory of being a Ham!" :-)

Think about news from the average US citizens' point of view. US citizens have been almost bombarded with information on day 9-11 and its aftermath. Amateur radio has had little or no mention in that megaton of information. Compare that to the amateur radio "news" media where there is a constant boosterism of hams giving "high-fives" to other hams for "their" efforts as if "all" of them were participants all doing noble, good, and true things...and that "they always had." The real news media doesn't seem to cover those activities. Is that the "fault" of the real news media? Is it some secret "conspiracy" to suppress all those good works? No.

>'Nuff said~!

No, it isn't. REAL emergencies require ALL citizens to work together in whatever way they can. Integrated, united in effort for the common good. Unity and integration cannot work when one component makes it into a contest of which group is "best." Unity and integration isn't achieved by bringing out petty squabbles and accusations of perfidy against "opponents" of previous discussions or about "turf wars" of one radio service against another radio service.

The United States suffered greatly in the first day of this new Terror War...but the Unites States remains a democratic republic and still retains the rights of free speech. Such rights are not abrogated by a few venting anger and rage against others because they have "real (amateur) licenses" and are of "superior rank" within the ARS.

The "angry people" have to figure out where their allegiances really are and where their anger should be directed. Are all the "angry people" just hanging on to some fraternal group patriotism, trying to wrap some bunting around themselves because a few others actually went out and did good things? Is their fraternal patriotism really justified or are they really practicing unjustified self-enoblement?

Those that are and were heroes in a horrible disaster such as the Attack on America have received their respect and honors from the nation and are recognized in the news media for that. The real news media has singled out, highlighted a lot of heroes in this past week and a half.

Let's keep things in perspective here. A hero medal isn't
automatically issued with every US amateur radio license.

Leonard H. Anderson

4668. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:09:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924130920.02524.00000165@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 20911

In article <20010922120349.02524.00000061@nso-bj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>
>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never to
>use it again, either.

There is proof of this? An "official" poll? :-)

>Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith
>chart.

Oh? What "programs" are those? Please list a few.

The Smith Chart is a visual aid and an approximation insofar
as numerical accuracy is concerned. It is such a handy
visual tool that hardware network analyzers present their
analysis results AS a Smith Chart.

A Smith Chart does NOT "solve" things by itself. It can show
VSWR of a plotted impedance or admittance. It can show a
stability area for an amplifier. It can show how to "rotate" a Z
or Y (by adding or subtracting a transmission line length) so
that it can be better matched by a matching network. It can
show the trend of Z or Y over frequency of a device to aid the
designer in changing the device to fit an application. It can
be a very good aid for those working in complex quantities.

>73 de Jim, N2EY (who hasmastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)

Mastered the Smith Chart and equate it with morse code?!?!?!? :-)

I'm going to HAVE to go to the next PGMTT monthly meeting
and drop that little gem on the folks there. :-)

Minus j M, to anyone who already knows complex quantities and
the general basics behind impedance or admittance, a Smith
Chart can be "mastered" in less than a working day. It is a
subtle, elegant in simplicity of illustrating Z and Y relationships.

If there be "mastery" then it is is in understanding the concepts
of impedance (Z) and admittance (Y) versus frequency and
applying them to achieve maximum RF power transfer. The
Smith Chart is a tool to achieve that end quickly and efficiently.

"Phil Smith's Wonderful Diagram" (as one industry publication put it long ago) has been an enormous boon to thousands who work and have worked with AF through RF for a half century. Amateurs who do nothing more than ragchew and collect wallpaper without venturing into technology beyond their radio's front panels don't need it to ragchew and proclaim themselves "masters" of radio.

4669. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:29:52 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAF6D90.2D152124@uci.edu>
Source record: 20913

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3BABDE50.7030309@IEEE.org...
> >
> >>Nope, you are continuing to fail to communicate why this thread
> >>keeps on going and going and going ...

> > Just think, if you hadn't posted the above accuasation, it would have been
> > over.
> Just think, if you hadn't posted the above, it would have been over.

> I'm retired with nothing else to do, Jim, how about you?

A mind is a terrible thing to waste, Cecil. Type smarter. By the way,
what definition of 'communicate' are you using?

4670. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:35:59 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAF6EFF.9D12CECA@uci.edu>
Source record: 20914

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > You made a bunch of statements, Cecil. You changed from "100%
> > satisfied with VHF/UHF-only privileges" to "100% satisfied with a tech
> > license" somewhere in the middle, which changed the meaning quite a
> > bit.
>
> Nope, you're wrong, Jim. The sentence with "100% satisfied
> with VHF/UHF privileges" was NOT a statement - it was a
> question. A question is asking something, not asserting
> something. Shirley you know that.

You often (usually) only pose questions in order to assert the premise
of the question.

4671. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:46:43 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109241745000.8855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20915

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001, Richard McCollum wrote:
>"WebDoc" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
>news:3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net...
>> Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and
>> have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the
>> understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for
>> general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake
>> the written element again?
>>
>> Keith Hosman
>
>You got it. Actually it is 365 days for some unknown reason. So if you
>take it 9 October you have until 8 October 02. Quit planning to fail.

No. He has until 09 October 2002. The day that the CSCE is issued doesn't
count as the first day.

It does make a difference when a February 29th occurs within the period.

4672. Re: Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:48:14 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109241748060.8855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 20916

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 ValleyArts1@webtv.net wrote:
>I have a question about ham radio testing. Do the rules allow a person
>to go into a testing session and take both the Tech and General class
>tests during the same session, or does an individual have to pass the
>morse code componant after having passed the Tech test before the
>General test can be attempted? Thank You.

Yes, or no (respectively).

4673. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 17:58:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAF7439.19F6CA6E@uci.edu>
Source record: 20917

nobody@nowhere.net wrote:
>
> Why the attack from asking a question?

Do you really think amateur radio is redundant, or were you just making
a negative comment about amateur radio?

4674. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 18:33:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109241033.22b1757@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20918

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAF3605.4050903@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>Truth doesn't have to match the facts.
> >
> > Sure it does - by definition.
>
> Sounds like you don't know the definitions, Jim.

I know the definitions, Cecil. I just don't agree with your
interpretations.
>
> Truth can be whatever a man is sincere about.

Just a man? What about a woman or a child?

Nope.

Truth is reality.

If you want to define "truth" as "whatever someone is sincere about"
then you are defining it to be subjective, not objective.

> From Webster's: "truth - sincerity in action,
> character, and utterance"

You partially quote the dictionary all the time. Doesn't mean a thing.

> Note that truth doesn't
> have to agree with the facts.

Sure it does.

>"fact - the quality of being factual"

That's a non-definition. Like defining a circle as having the quality
of being circular.
>
> So truth is whatever someone sincerely believes to
> be true even if it is factually false.

Nope. I sincerely believe that truth is only that which is objectively
true and agrees with the facts. All else is not truth.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4675. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 18:50:47 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bgLr7.8593$3d2.754571@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20919

>>> CAM wrote:
>>>That's an easy one, Jim. It was God's will that Judas betray
>>>Jesus. I believe that it fulfilled prophecy.

>> Dave Heil replieth:
>> Neat, if you believe that all is preordained and that we have no free
>> will of our own. If that is the case, you are simply a programmed
>> automaton.

> CAM doth wrote again:
> Dave, do you honestly believe that an omniscient, omnipotent
> being is going to allow us to interfere with his prophecies?

Actually, Cecil, I think God cheats. He looks into the future, sees what kind of gross stupidity mankind exhibits, and then goes back in time and "inspires" the prophesy. The people who hear the prophesy don't believe it because they think all prophets are nutburgers, so the Temporal Prime Directive isn't violated. The people who fulfill the prophesy are impressed as hell, but it's after-the-fact then.

I think the Old Guy does this strictly for yucks. He's rolling in dough from playing the commodities market and gets bored easily.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4676. Steve "Taliban" Robeson speaks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 19:12:11 UTC
From: K0HB@BillOfRights.org
Message-ID: <9oo0ib$iv5$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 20921

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

> My point here is not to disallow the use of any other language, Cecil, but
> to REQUIRE English as a COMPETENT language for ALL American citizens or
> permanent residents.

In other words, "Steve's way, or the highway."

This is America, Steve, not the Marine Corps. The Constitution and the
Bill of Rights allow us freedoms which cannot be abridged by your
Taliban-like "requirements".

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4677. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 19:28:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oo1g0ool0@208.206.142.107>
Source record: 20920

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Let's just say I'm your CW conscience.
> Delusions of grandeur again, Dick?

I see You're still full of stinky stuf, Cecil?

4678. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 19:33:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924153340.19810.00000577@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20922

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/24/01 1:09 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010924130919.02524.00000164@nso-bj.aol.com>

>> I submit to you, Lenny, that "academic" refers to learning.
>
>No. "Academic" refers to an educational organization such
>as a college or university.

(1) YOU, Lennie the Licenseless, have stated the very same thing some several months past. No, I am not going to dig it out of Google. Your statement was to the effect that one now had an opportunity to progress thier learning. "Academic" was a word YOU used several times.

(2) You have been provided the names and addresses of colleges in YOUR vicinity that DO accept licensure (or at least did in recent history) for credit.

>> But IF I have LEARNED something in my pursuit of
>> this hobby, then ham radio is, indeed, academic endeavor.
>
>Sorry, no academic organization will give you a certificate
>to attest to that...

Bravo Sierra, Lennie. Again, I refer you to Saddleback Community College in Orange County (or whatever it may be called today...) They DO accept Amatuer Radio licensure for elective credit in sciences. Credit of licensure may not be a "certificate", but it certainly "attests" to one's accomplishments.

>The FCC is not chartered as an academic institution

You keep trying to harp on this as if it somehow validates your false assertion that Amateur Radio is not a vehicle for learning.

Learning occurs even in the pre-licensure stage. Ergo YOU are wrong...again...

>The FCC test is solely for the FCC's use in determining whether
>or not an examinee should be granted a radio operator's license.
>It is solely for the FCC's purpose as part of its regulatory task.

And may, at the discretion of the granting authority, be used for validation of other education. That it is not "intended" or "chartered" for that purpose is (like you, Lennie..) irrelevant.

>A granted amateur radio operator license carries with it a
>"callsign."

No, it does not. A station license does. That the FCC incudes both on one document is for administrative relief, not seperation of the intent.

Note FCC legal proceedings. They make revoke one and suspend the other.

>That callsign is NOT a degree, NOT a certificate of
>learning.

You got one right. The callsign simply identifies a legally licensed radio station in the Amateur Radio Service. (You ahve neither...) In some cases the callsign may reflect the class of operating priviledges of the licensee, but it is neither mandatory nor required that it do so.

>Many US radio amateurs think that a ham license is a virtual
>degree.

Many is indicative of one. Obviously you must know a few. Names, please, and cite the reference wherein such an assertion was made, lest your credibility slip even further into oblivion.....

>That is their problem in overmuch pride.

Making such denigrating slurs is yours. So what? Your proof, please...?!?!

>Amateur radio is a hobby.

Amateur Radio is one of many radio services regulated by the FCC that may be pursued by the licensee as a hobby.

The FCC regulates radio services, not hobbies.

>"Emergency communications" involves communications about
>immediate concern of life and property. Such is not just
>"traffic" (messages) but is the whole range of communication
>modes: Voice, video, data/teleprinter, facsimile, etc.

You mix mode and message. Stupid, Lennie, very stupid. Evidence your arrogance that you'd think we'd let that pass.

And when that concern for life is letting your family know that you escaped a tragedy such as the WTC attack, it IS an emergency to those concerned.

That YOU, from the luxury of your arm chair don't deem it so is (as are you) irrelevant.

>It is NOT "emergency communications" per se,
>regardless of the spin put on it by an amateur membership
>organization.

As it is with almost any other emergency communications provider, the nature of the emergency is determined by the author of the message, not the relayer of it.

You have absolutely NO right to determine what is an "emergency", per se
or otherwise.

>>When Amateur Radio is being used to coordinate the move-ment of personnel,
supplies, food, surveying damage, it IS emergency traffic.
>
>Agreed...but...there isn't any news media reporting that radio
>amateurs are or have "taken over" the FDNY radio...(SNIP)

More and more arrogance. What a Putz, Lennie. Who has to "take over" a
radio system in order to be doing these things?

Whether YOU consider the sources valid or not, Amateur Radio IS being used
by many of the Agencies with whom the ARRL has MOU's to serve, and it's just
too bad for you that they are, I guess.....

>The FCC regulations state that REAL emergency conditions
>permit anyone to communicate on any part of the EM spectrum
>without needing any license.

Yep...to save life and limb. Responding to a ship at sea...an aircraft in
distress...an unlicensed wife using her husband's 2M rig to call for aid when
he had an MI...

The circumstances YOU try to cite have nothing to do with that caveat.

Nice try, Oh Devious One...

>Could radio
>amateurs "fill in" without training or organization as
>"emergency communicators?"

Possibly. But that wasn't a problem. NYC ARES and RACES are well
established programs with liasion's in most NYC hospitals, Red Cross and
Salvation Army facilities.

Facts, Lennie....Just plain facts.

>REAL emergency communications does not involve personal
>squabbles of "ham v. CB."

At the moment of need, quite correct. But in retrospective discussion
(ie: this forum) it does. And the fact remains that with the exception of the
ORGANIZED and TRAINED REACT teams, CBers are not typically used for ORGANIZED
disaster releif efforts. No discipline, no coordination, and no training.

>Amateurs have to
>figure out whether they owe their allegiance to the ARS or
>to the USA.

Some of the most COWARDLY and ARROGANT tripe you have ever spewed, Lennie.
You are one ugly piece of work, Anderson. Ugly.

>Amateur radio
>has had little or no mention in that megaton of information.

Neither did Civil Air Patrol or the Coast Guard Auxiliary...but both have
been there and provided aid. Does that mean that what they did has no value to
the citizens served?

Does everything have to be on a mammoth scale to have met YOUR criteria
for "valuable", Your Gutlessness? (Or is it "Glutelessness...I can't make up
my mind...)

>Compare that to the amateur radio "news" media where
>there is a constant boosterism of hams giving "high-fives"
>to other hams for "their" efforts as if "all" of them were
>participants all doing noble, good, and true things...and
>that "they always had."

And compare it to the "news" media of any other agency rendering aid. Why
do you insist that it is somehow inappropriate for Amateurs to recognize thier
own for a job well done?

>The real news media doesn't
>seem to cover those activities. Is that the "fault" of the
>real news media? Is it some secret "conspiracy" to
>suppress all those good works? No.

Perhaps, You Cowardly Punk, it's just because Amateurs go about the
business of rendering the service they do witout worrying about who's going to
stroke thier fur after the fact.

>No, it isn't. REAL emergencies require ALL citizens to
>work together in whatever way they can. Integrated,
>united in effort for the common good. Unity and integration
>cannot work when one component makes it into a contest
>of which group is "best."

And if you were licensed and active in current Amatuer Radio programs,
you'd know that what you just spouted off about is already in place.

It's just that YOU don't have any experience to gauge it by.

>Let's keep things in perspective here. A hero medal isn't
>automatically issued with every US amateur radio license.

No, it's not. but there are a few in New York who hae earned one. You
might have too, had you had one, Your Gutlessness.

>Leonard H. Anderson

(L)ying (H)am-baiting (A)ntagonist.

4679. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 19:35:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oo1u7ool1@208.206.142.107>
Source record: 20923

CAM wrote:
> truth is whatever someone sincerely believes to
> be true even if it is factually false.

Ah, yes, another most obscure but strictly legal use of Cecil's
Dictionary. And once again, far from the instant context.

4680. This Is The Ugliness Known As Leonard Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 19:45:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924154507.19810.00000578@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20924

Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 9/24/01 1:09 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Message-id: <20010924130919.02524.00000164@nso-bj.aol.com>

>Amateurs have to figure out whether they owe their allegiance to the ARS or
>to the USA.

Lennie, unlike Armchair Quarterback Punks like yourself, the Amateur Radio Service as a whole and it's many licensee's as individuals have no such determination to make.

It and they have been lauded over and over again by the Department of Defense, The State Department, The American Red Cross, The Salvation Army, and a whole gamut of public service organizations and individuals who have had good reason to make such accolades.

That you don't seem to see past your own hatred and prejudices is irrelevant. The facts are that Amateur Radio DOES continue to SERVE the needs of the nation, both locally, regionally, and nationally. That the "real media" doesn't splash it on page two of the Los Angeles Times everyday does not make it any less true.

Your above quoted comments were among some of your more COWARDLY and ARROGANT effluent. Even ugly for you, and THAT is awfully ugly.

4681. Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 20:01:07 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <7iMr7.188$Le.11405@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 20925

Here is an article I have submitted to QRZ.COM

It is my understanding that the Extra Class license was intended to be the elite class license. Here are some expectations I make of those that qualify for this elite class of operator: - They will have "mastered" the art and technique of amateur radio so that they may demonstrate such to lesser class licensees. - They will have acquired sufficient operating and technical expertise that they fully "understand" the basic principles. Here is the point I am trying to make. When an extra class licensee is unable to build or erect a simple dipole antenna, I question the elite status of this individual. When an Extra Class licensee complains to me (a lowly Advanced Class) that my transmissions are causing RFI to his home theatre and that he does not transmit from his own station for fear of wiping out his own TV... I really have to wonder about the elite status of this individual??? Apparently, at least some of these Extra Class elite operators are able to somehow memorize the questions and answers to the test(s) and obviously have NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE. I propose that there be an experience requirement levied upon all who seek the Extra Class License. While going from Technician to Extra Class in one day is quite a remarkable achievement, I feel that some element of 'experience' should be a requirement for Extra Class. Perhaps a requirement to have been a licensed ham for X number of years? I can readily forsee where ham radio will be comprised of all chiefs and no indians...I hope I never see that day.

P.S. This has NOTHING to do with code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I value all new members to our hobby, I simply feel that our highest class of license should be RESERVED for those that have EARNED it.

W7RJR

4682. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 20:02:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924160258.15685.00000082@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 20926

In article <20010924100533.28933.00000518@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> As for Hitler...well, all I can say is that anytimime someone wants to
>discredit something, they point out that Hitler made some reference to it at
>one time or another.
>
Hitler was a vegetarian.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4683. Re: Steve "Taliban" Robeson speaks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 20:07:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924160714.19810.00000579@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20927

>Subject: Steve "Taliban" Robeson speaks
>From: K0HB@BillOfRights.org
>Date: 9/24/01 3:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9oo0ib$iv5$1@news.netmar.com>

>Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
>> My point here is not to disallow the use of any other language, Cecil, but
>> to REQUIRE English as a COMPETENT language for ALL American citizens or
>> permanent residents.
>
>In other words, "Steve's way, or the highway."

Hans Brokeslob sails again.

That the very quoted item states that no intention was made ot limit anyone's freedom to exercise thier right to speak whatever other language they may choose leads one to wonder what Han's motivation was here. I know what it was, but Hans could never admit it.

>This is America, Steve, not the Marine Corps.

Hans you are the SECOND most cowardly and arrogant Putz in the NG, second only to Lennie the Gutless.

The USMC will, once again, be called upon to defend the very rights you are allegedly "defending" here, yet you make some insinuation that it somehow supports abridging those rights...not defending them.

Of course the irony of that is lost on you. You are too stupid to realize it...Or perhaps you just don't care...?!?!

The Constitution and the
>Bill of Rights allow us freedoms which cannot be abridged by your
>Taliban-like "requirements".

There is no "Taliban-like "requirement", Your Creepiness.. The assertion that English should be a REQUIRED language (and please note that my other exchanges with Kim NEVER excluded ANY other language) has been the subject of lengthy debate in the Congress of the United States, many of the State's legislatures, and on occassion, a referendum on numerous ballots in numerous states, the latest and most recent to mid being the State of California, allegedly the most liberal state in the Union.

Are THEY "Taliban-like", too...?!?! Or do you just insult people who make you look in the mirror and face your own foolishness?

Now...I know it must have been painful for you to take your hands out of
your fly long enough to draft this, so I will let you run along.

I am sure Mrs. Brokeslob wonders what her little Hansie is up to.

Cruising for a bruising, are we old timer?

>
>With all kind wishes,
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web
>-----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
>made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newso

4684. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 20:18:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <DWMr7.14974$2H2.1325116@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20929

Thanks, Jim.

There is some other thought that suggests that this betrayal was done to force
Jesus' hand. By virtue of the healings and miracles witnessed by the disciples.
Judas and his cohorts in crime thought that Jesus would NEVER allow himself to be crucified being that He had the power to prevent it. No one, after all,
would willingly go thru such if he had the power to go POOF and waste every Roman soldier. They STILL believed in Him, but he wasn't MOVING fast enough to suit them, so..... But, again, I don't say I put full weight behind
this, I just present it as plausible evidence of some of the stuff that "might" have
been going on behind the scenes.

73

Jerry
N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010923130748.07190.00000238@nso-ck.aol.com...
> In article <8w2r7.11495$2H2.937251@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry
> Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
> >There is also a "theory" that some of Jesus' followers had misunderstood His
> >message of a Kingdom on earth. They interpreted his message of peace and
> >freedom as being liberated from the Romans in their lifetimes. There was a
> >Jewish underground, regarded by the Romans as subversives, of which many of
> >Jesus' followers were members. Jesus preached of the liberation of the soul
> >and peace in peoples' hearts. When there seemed to be no movement in the
> >direction of liberation from the Roman Empire, certain ones, including
> >Judas,
> >plotted against him to precipitate a crisis--one that would force Jesus to
> >either
> >perform a miracle and escape (after all, many of them had seen him perform
> >healings and other wondrous things), or be exposed as a fake who could not,
> >or would not, produce the result they mistakenly thought he was promoting

> >thru his preaching. Being that the Romans regarded him as a subversive
as
> >well, it was not hard to convince them that he needed taking out. Still,
as
> >was pointed out, Pontius Pilate had no evidence that he had actually done
> >anything wrong. Perhaps he actually understood that His message of
> >liberation
> >was, indeed, of the soul? But, if he did not yield to the wishes of the
> >people
> >whose minds had been poisoned by the misguided underground (They went
> >around whispering to the community in order to drum up support for their
> >agenda to force Jesus' hand), then he would have a huge disturbance on
his
> >hands. Thus, the "trial" and crucifixion. Thus, this particular theory
> >goes.
> >
> >
> >Jerry
> >K4KWH
>
> Jerry,
>
> Thanks for you excellent explanation. I was trying to convey that idea in
my
> post, but you did a much better job.
>
> I always wondered how Judas could betray Jesus if he had actually seen any
of
> Jesus' healings and other works. Judas probably noticed that Jesus did not
> often perform miracles on His own "initiative". Instead, it usually took a
> specific request from someone who believed in Jesus, (wedding at Cana,
> centurion with the sick servant, the man blind from birth) or an unusual
> situation (loaves and fishes). Judas may have thought that by setting up a
> "situation", the high priests would be convinced.
>
> Indeed, the story says Peter cut off a servant's ear with a sword when
Jesus
> was being arrested, but that Jesus healed the ear and told Peter to put
his
> sword away.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
> >>
> >> The high priest wanted Jesus out of the way. Judas, one of Jesus'
> >disciples,
> >> betrayed Jesus for 30 pieces of silver.
> >>
> >> But the high priest could not execute anyone - only the Romans could do

> >that.
> >> Pontius Pilate was the Roman governor. He knew Jesus was not really
guilty
> >of
> >> anything, but he didn't want a riot on his hands, so he literally
washed
> >his
> >> hands of the affair and Jesus was executed.
> >>
> >> Why did Judas do it? Some say for money, but a more logical answer is
that
> >> Judas thought Jesus would perform a miracle in front of the high
priests
> >and be
> >> recognized as the messiah.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
>
>

4685. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 20:31:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109241231.7ecd5308@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20928

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<sJ5r7.5955$W8.510978@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> > So how many hams are, or even want to be RF designers? How many
> > active hams to you think use a Smith Chart as compared to the
> > number of active hams that use CW?
>
> Every ham who puts up an antenna is an "RF Designer" and
> should be able to use the Smith chart, at least conceptually.

Maybe the way out of the mess is for a Smith Chart test could replace
the code test.

Understanding the Smith Chart and being able to use one actually
demonstrates several areas of knowledge and skill. Smith Chart tests
could consist of interpreting a solved problem on one chart, (the
"understanding" test) then solving a different problem on a blank
chart (the "solution" test). At first, most hams and wouldbe hams
would would simply study the Smith Chart and pass the tests.

But then the trouble would start...

Some hams who had not passed the Smith Chart test might say that those
who had
passed the test were being "elitist" about their Smith chart skill and
knowledge. The term "chartless Extra" would be considered an insult by
some, a
badge of honor by others. Some would grumble that since they have no
desire to
design antenna/transmission line systems, they should not be required
to pass a
Smith Chart test. They would point out that other services do not have
Smith
chart testing, and so neither should hams.

Defenders of the tests ("Smithys" or "chartists") would claim that the
Smith
chart was a "uniquely practical, efficient, and universal" tool for
antenna/transmission line work. They would claim to have been
"smartened up" by the Chart test requirement. Stories would be
recalled about how lives had been saved by hams able to quickly design
matching sections to permit using an antenna on a frequency it was not
designed for, and would predict dire consequences in the event of
widespread disaster.

Those opposed to the test ("Smithless" or "nochartists") would argue that
newer, more accurate, less error prone software systems had left the Smith
chart in the dust. "We don't want to use OLD design methods" and "The Chart is
too slow and error prone" would be their rallying cries. Other would ask "do you
have to show slide-rule proficiency before using a calculator?"

There would be testimonials by hams who had worked 300 DXCC countries
using QRP and a dipole without any reference to a Smith Chart, and
claims of others who "had rote-memorized the Chart and promptly forgot
it all as soon as the test was over". Some would tell stories of new
Extras who held Chart-burying ceremonies at the base of their antenna
systems (designed without Smith Charts, of course).

Many would claim that young people, used to solving even minor addition
problems on computers, had no interest in learning old fashioned graphical
methods. Some would say that the emphasis on such a timeworn, old fashioned,
crude graphical method of solving problems made ham radio look backward and
nonprogressive, and was downright embarrassing. Ph.D's in EE would claim that
they had designed entire radio communication systems without use of the Smith
Chart, yet were kept out of ham radio because of the test.

The arguments would become more heated and insulting over time. Nochartists
would point out that the Chart test was discriminatory. For example,
blind people could not fulfill the letter of the law in passing the test. Some
would claim to be "chart impaired" and unable to pass the test due to inability
to do geometry. The question of "chart waivers" would be raised, and much angry
invective spewed over "chart fraud" and "open chart pools". There would be a
demand that the use of graphical calculators be allowed in the tests.

Chartists would claim that accomodations such as Braille Smith charts met the
intent of the law. Old timers ("quillpenners") would recall a time when all
charting was done by hand, in ink, on chart paper costing the modern equivalent
of several dollars a sheet. (They used ink because they were so confident of

doing it right the first time).

The use, or nonuse, of the Chart by military and commercial services would be
hotly debated. Some nochartists would claim that the military stopped using the
Chart during WW2, while some chartists would claim that the Smith chart plays
a crucial role in the modern military.

A popular summer blockbuster movie starring Jodie Foster, Will Smith, Jeff
Goldblum and Bill Paxton would have a plot in which alien invaders were
detected, then repelled by means of a hastily reactivated surplus Russian
over-the-horizon "woodpecker" radar system. The critical plot element would be
the heroine's use of the Smith Chart to match the "woodpecker" transmitter to
the Arecibo dish. (How the Russian radar wound up in Puerto Rico would be left
unexplained).

The ARRL, Gordon West, and W5YI would be caught in the middle of the debate.
From the first, they and others would have marketed a whole line of Smith chart
training aids, including books, videotapes, and software. W1AW would transmit
SSTV programs explaining chart use, and MFJ would market "portable personal
chart trainers". Claims of monetary interest in the production of Chart
materials would be made and denied. Poorly worded surveys would show a
variety of opinions on the issue, but no consensus.

Nochartists would claim that the chartists were just "old f***s" who were
supporting the status quo due to "chart chauvinism", and did not understand the
realities of the modern age. A few chartists would claim that the nochartists
were just whiners who were too lazy to even learn how to hold a compass
correctly. The need for "high speed chart tests" would be debated hotly, many
claiming that no time limit should be placed on the chart test. "One Chart per
week satisfies the law" would be their claim, while skilled chartists spoke of

doing 30, 40, even 50 charts per hour, and being able to "see the solution
without even making a mark on the chart".

Eventually the nochartists would organize a group to fight the chart test. The
founders of No Charts International would claim that they had no problem with
anyone USING the Chart, just the mandatory test requirement. "It's not the
CHART, it's the TEST" would be a common rallying cry.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4686. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 20:49:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1XMr7.14975$2H2.1325078@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 20930

Bravo, Steve!

When I read the "Weakest Lenk's" reply, I was wondering what you just touched on: Since WHEN it is necessary for people or organizations to have media recognition for their efforts to qualify as emergency aid or comm-
unications? I know for a damned FACT that CAP was involved, yet it didn't get ANY coverage that I am aware of--it rarely does. I was WORKING in my capacity as a CAP member up on FEMA freqs. Just because I didn't get MY fur stroked doesn't mean I wasn't doing anything. I was also in touch
with the local ARES and EOC during the first hours when we were uncertain if something else might happen. The fact is that AMATEUR Radio is STILL a part of the total communications picture in NYC, they would NOT BE there if they weren't needed, and AMATEUR Radio is a part of every local/state EOC I know of. Just because they don't receive MEDIA coverage everytime a ham leaves his EOC desk to take a sh** doesn't mean they AREN'T in-
volved. Hams lend their services whenever, wherever they are needed and do not ASK for wide coverage--same as the CAP members do, and a measure of their dedication IS their willingness to do so behind the scenes with the certain knowledge that what they do makes a DIFFERENCE in peoples' lives. That is the benchmark of a true volunteer. It is exactly within the spirit
of what John Kennedy said: "Ask NOT what your country can do for you, rather, ask what YOU can do for your country." In most cases, the activities
that go on behind the media coverage are, at least, as important as those the
media plays up to the public. Ham radio is there, will BE there, will volunteer
in every crisis to come. Meantime, ask the EOCs down in Florida about ham radio's role in the recent storm that passed over their state.

Jerry

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010924153340.19810.00000577@mb-mj.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/24/01 1:09 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010924130919.02524.00000164@nso-bj.aol.com>
>
> >> I submit to you, Lenny, that "academic" refers to learning.
> >
> >No. "Academic" refers to an educational organization such

> >as a college or university.
>
> (1) YOU, Lennie the Licenseless, have stated the very same thing
some
> several months past. No, I am not going to dig it out of Google. Your
> statement was to the effect that one now had an opportunity to progress
thier
> learning. "Academic" was a word YOU used several times.
>
> (2) You have been provided the names and addresses of colleges in
YOUR
> vicinity that DO accept licensure (or at least did in recent history) for
> credit.
>
> >> But IF I have LEARNED something in my pursuit of
> >> this hobby, then ham radio is, indeed, academic endeavor.
> >
> >Sorry, no academic organization will give you a certificate
> >to attest to that...
>
> Bravo Sierra, Lennie. Again, I refer you to Saddleback Community
College
> in Orange County (or whatever it may be called today...) They DO accept
> Amatuer Radio licensure for elective credit in sciences. Credit of
licensure
> may not be a "certificate", but it certainly "attests" to one's
> accomplishments.
>
> >The FCC is not chartered as an academic institution
>
> You keep trying to harp on this as if it somehow validates your false
> assertion that Amateur Radio is not a vehicle for learning.
>
> Learning occurs even in the pre-licensure stage. Ergo YOU are
> wrong...again...
>
> >The FCC test is solely for the FCC's use in determining whether
> >or not an examinee should be granted a radio operator's license.
> >It is solely for the FCC's purpose as part of its regulatory task.
>
> And may, at the discretion of the granting authority, be used for
> validation of other education. That it is not "intended" or "chartered"
for
> that purpose is (like you, Lennie..) irrelevant.
>
> >A granted amateur radio operator license carries with it a
> >"callsign."
>
> No, it does not. A station license does. That the FCC incudes both
on one

> document is for administrative relief, not seperation of the intent.
>
> Note FCC legal proceedings. They make revoke one and suspend the
other.
>
> >That callsign is NOT a degree, NOT a certificate of
> >learning.
>
> You got one right. The callsign simply identifies a legally licensed
> radio station in the Amateur Radio Service. (You ahve neither...) In some
> cases the callsign may reflect the class of operating priviledges of the
> licensee, but it is neither mandatory nor required that it do so.
>
> >Many US radio amateurs think that a ham license is a virtual
> >degree.
>
> Many is indicative of one. Obviously you must know a few. Names,
please,
> and cite the reference wherein such an assertion was made, lest your
> credibility slip even further into oblivion.....
>
> >That is their problem in overmuch pride.
>
> Making such denigrating slurs is yours. So what? Your proof,
> please...?!?!
>
> >Amateur radio is a hobby.
>
> Amateur Radio is one of many radio services regulated by the FCC that
may
> be pursued by the licensee as a hobby.
>
> The FCC regulates radio services, not hobbies.
>
> >"Emergency communications" involves communications about
> >immediate concern of life and property. Such is not just
> >"traffic" (messages) but is the whole range of communication
> >modes: Voice, video, data/teleprinter, facsimile, etc.
>
> You mix mode and message. Stupid, Lennie, very stupid. Evidence
your
> arrogance that you'd think we'd let that pass.
>
> And when that concern for life is letting your family know that you
> escaped a tragedy such as the WTC attack, it IS an emergency to those
> concerned.
>
> That YOU, from the luxury of your arm chair don't deem it so is (as
are
> you) irrelevant.

>
> >It is NOT "emergency communications" per se,
> >regardless of the spin put on it by an amateur membership
> >organization.
>
> As it is with almost any other emergency communications provider, the
> nature of the emergency is determined by the author of the message, not
the
> relayer of it.
>
> You have absolutely NO right to determine what is an "emergency", per
se
> or otherwise.
>
> >>When Amateur Radio is being used to coordinate the move-ment of
personnel,
> supplies, food, surveying damage, it IS emergency traffic.
> >
> >Agreed...but...there isn't any news media reporting that radio
> >amateurs are or have "taken over" the FDNY radio...(SNIP)
>
> More and more arrogance. What a Putz, Lennie. Who has to "take over"
a
> radio system in order to be doing these things?
>
> Whether YOU consider the sources valid or not, Amateur Radio IS being
used
> by many of the Agencies with whom the ARRL has MOU's to serve, and it's
just
> too bad for you that they are, I guess.....
>
> >The FCC regulations state that REAL emergency conditions
> >permit anyone to communicate on any part of the EM spectrum
> >without needing any license.
>
> Yep...to save life and limb. Responding to a ship at sea...an
aircraft in
> distress...an unlicensed wife using her husband's 2M rig to call for aid
when
> he had an MI...
>
> The circumstances YOU try to cite have nothing to do with that caveat.
>
> Nice try, Oh Devious One...
>
> >Could radio
> >amateurs "fill in" without training or organization as
> >"emergency communicators?"
>
> Possibly. But that wasn't a problem. NYC ARES and RACES are well

> established programs with liasion's in most NYC hospitals, Red Cross and
> Salvation Army facilities.
>
> Facts, Lennie....Just plain facts.
>
> >REAL emergency communications does not involve personal
> >squabbles of "ham v. CB."
>
> At the moment of need, quite correct. But in retrospective
discussion
> (ie: this forum) it does. And the fact remains that with the exception of
the
> ORGANIZED and TRAINED REACT teams, CBers are not typically used for
ORGANIZED
> disaster releif efforts. No discipline, no coordination, and no training.
>
> >Amateurs have to
> >figure out whether they owe their allegiance to the ARS or
> >to the USA.
>
> Some of the most COWARDLY and ARROGANT tripe you have ever spewed,
Lennie.
> You are one ugly piece of work, Anderson. Ugly.
>
> >Amateur radio
> >has had little or no mention in that megaton of information.
>
> Neither did Civil Air Patrol or the Coast Guard Auxiliary...but both
have
> been there and provided aid. Does that mean that what they did has no
value to
> the citizens served?
>
> Does everything have to be on a mammoth scale to have met YOUR
criteria
> for "valuable", Your Gutlessness? (Or is it "Glutelessness...I can't make
up
> my mind...)
>
> >Compare that to the amateur radio "news" media where
> >there is a constant boosterism of hams giving "high-fives"
> >to other hams for "their" efforts as if "all" of them were
> >participants all doing noble, good, and true things...and
> >that "they always had."
>
> And compare it to the "news" media of any other agency rendering aid.
Why
> do you insist that it is somehow inappropriate for Amateurs to recognize
thier
> own for a job well done?

>
> >The real news media doesn't
> >seem to cover those activities. Is that the "fault" of the
> >real news media? Is it some secret "conspiracy" to
> >suppress all those good works? No.
>
> Perhaps, You Cowardly Punk, it's just because Amateurs go about the
> business of rendering the service they do witout worrying about who's
going to
> stroke thier fur after the fact.
>
> >No, it isn't. REAL emergencies require ALL citizens to
> >work together in whatever way they can. Integrated,
> >united in effort for the common good. Unity and integration
> >cannot work when one component makes it into a contest
> >of which group is "best."
>
> And if you were licensed and active in current Amatuer Radio programs,
> you'd know that what you just spouted off about is already in place.
>
> It's just that YOU don't have any experience to gauge it by.
>
> >Let's keep things in perspective here. A hero medal isn't
> >automatically issued with every US amateur radio license.
>
> No, it's not. but there are a few in New York who hae earned one.
You
> might have too, had you had one, Your Gutlessness.
>
> >Leonard H. Anderson
>
> (L)ying (H)am-baiting (A)ntagonist.
>
>

4687. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 21:09:26 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <CmNr7.35139$ga2.1648903@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20931

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1XMr7.14975$2H2.1325078@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Bravo, Steve!
>
> When I read the "Weakest Lenk's" reply, I was wondering what you just
> touched on: Since WHEN it is necessary for people or organizations to
> have media recognition for their efforts to qualify as emergency aid or
> comm-
> unications? I know for a damned FACT that CAP was involved, yet it didn't
> get ANY coverage that I am aware of--it rarely does. I was WORKING in
> my capacity as a CAP member up on FEMA freqs. Just because I didn't
> get MY fur stroked doesn't mean I wasn't doing anything. I was also in
> touch
> with the local ARES and EOC during the first hours when we were uncertain
> if something else might happen. The fact is that AMATEUR Radio is STILL
> a part of the total communications picture in NYC, they would NOT BE there
> if they weren't needed, and AMATEUR Radio is a part of every local/state
> EOC I know of. Just because they don't receive MEDIA coverage everytime
> a ham leaves his EOC desk to take a sh** doesn't mean they AREN'T in-
> volved. Hams lend their services whenever, wherever they are needed and
> do not ASK for wide coverage--same as the CAP members do, and a measure of
> their dedication IS their willingness to do so behind the scenes with
> the certain knowledge that what they do makes a DIFFERENCE in peoples'
> lives. That is the benchmark of a true volunteer. It is exactly within
the
> spirit
> of what John Kennedy said: "Ask NOT what your country can do for you,
> rather, ask what YOU can do for your country." In most cases, the
> activities
> that go on behind the media coverage are, at least, as important as those
> the
> media plays up to the public. Ham radio is there, will BE there, will
> volunteer
> in every crisis to come. Meantime, ask the EOCs down in Florida about
> ham radio's role in the recent storm that passed over their state.
>
> Jerry
Amateur operators play a vital role in disasters, my experiances working
with the Red Cross disaster services on large disasters have shown me that
communications are a vital need. During, and after a disaster, phone lines
are jammed or down, same goes for cell phones, the radio operators pitch in
and assist in any way they can. I worked with many in Houston earlier this
year, they did a remarkable job. In WV during the flooding there I worked
out of Mullens WV, in Wyoming County, I only saw one ham there and he was

overworked. Maybe if some of you here that seem to have nothing better to do that slam each other verbally would go out and actually perform in one of the main purposes amateur radio was founded for, you'd have a better picture of whats going on around you. I intend on getting my license, code and all. I know that the vast majority of hams are cool and eager to share their knowledge with new people, and that the few here who have nothing better to do than b*tch are the minority among hams. Flame me all want I do not care, I am not out to get any recognition for my work with disaster relief, I just want to help, so those complaining that hams are not getting any press, just what the hell are you into this for? I am a volunteer, I prefer working behind the scenes. If you want public attention become a DJ.

Keith Hosman

4688. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 21:34:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924173419.03974.00000133@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20932

In article <9ompga$k4m$0@208.206.142.110>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> Who are you to demand anything of me or any other ham.
>
> Didn't youi mean to end that with a (?) ?
>
> Let's just say I'm your CW conscience.

I doubt it. Looks like Carroll just verbalized his daydream. :-)

4689. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 21:34:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924173421.03974.00000134@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 20933

In article <xfar7.5217$WW.483914@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3BAD2CF3.5040709@IEEE.org...
>> JJ wrote:
>>
>> > Why? I know how to calculate the length of an antenna, put it up
>> > and trim it for best swr without ever seeing a Smith chart. In
>> > fact, I have installed a lot of antennas and can count on one hand
>> > with fingers left over the times I have ever seen a Smith chart,
>> > much less used one.
>>
>> And you have just admitted to being a dumbed-down ham.
>> --
>> cheers, CAM
>>
>
>And how is that, oh Guru? When you have one and only one place to put an
>antenna, what is the Smith Chart going to tell you that you really want to
>know?

A Smith Chart is only useful when used with known impedance
or admittance values. Such data requires measurement of both
parts of those two complex quantities. That isn't rocket science
nor does it take thousands of dollars invested in test equipment.

A moderately well-calibrated noise bridge plus a receiver plus
some simple calculations will provide the data. [I could mention
an article that explains all that but such mention causes certain
folk to go livid and rail about curriculum vitae, etc. :-)]

Once you have the complex value data it is a simple matter to
plot those on a Smith Chart. The data should be at several
frequencies, not just one. Connecting the chart plot points
indicates the "trend" in values..."resonance" too high or
"resonance too low" or other possibilities. A circle centered
on the middle of the Chart indicates the VSWR of the
entered data as another example. From there the Smith Chart
user has many options:

If it is possible to introduce a matching network at the
antenna feedpoint, the exact impedance or admittance at the
feedpoint can be measured if the line length is known. The
line's loss can be determined from a separate plot of the line
alone with the feedpoint end open or shorted, measured over

several frequencies. The line's actual characteristic
impedance can also be determined as well as the true
velocity of propagation. Once the line is known, the original
plot points can be "rotated" on the Chart according to the
known line length in wavelengths. That yields the impedance
or admittance at the feedpoint, quite enough data to determine
the feedpoint matching network components.

If it isn't possible to put a matching network at the feedpoint,
preferred but only necessary if the line length is long or
approaches a half wavelength, then one can try the following:

The feedline can be lengthened or shortened until the Real
part (the resistance) of the rotated plot point set is at a
desired value on the chart. The imaginary part (reactance
or susceptance) of this rotated plot can then be read off the
Chart and used to calculate a reactance or susceptance for
a conjugate match (reducing imaginary part to zero).

If the feedline cannot be changed in length, the original plot
points can be manipulated on the Chart as part of designing
a matching network. There are many options there and the
match network design explanation is too lengthy to include
here.

>When the antenna resonates short and your SWR is about 1.7 you have
>just sucessfully created a roofing-nail heater.

At 7:1 perhaps, but not 1.7:1. :-)

It's a fallacy to think that a badly-matched antenna or line
dissipates heat just because of the match. With the usual
broad-band solid-state 100 W final, a severe mismatch will
change the characteristics of the final and it does NOT
output the rated power. Severe mismatches make load
characteristics of the final bad for the final, hence the
automatic shut-down circuitry in most ham transmitters.

>Doesn't take a chart to
>tell me that a folded dipole with a 90 ohm Q section will work a lot better.
>Educate me.

Maybe yes, maybe no. By observation most amateur radio
antennas in urban areas are too close to the ground to fit the
ideal cases shown in handbooks. The impedance or
admittance of any antenna will vary (somethines wildly) with
the influence of a "ground" or other conducting surface in the
near field (within 4 to 5 wavelengths from antenna center).
Actual measurement will bear this out.

One way to evaluate things is to use Roy Lewallen's EZNEC
program...or any of W4RNL's reviewed programs (Cebik's
columns at www.antennex are good reading, even have

pictures...) and model any antenna desired. It is easy to change ground conductivity and height abouve ground. It is not always easy to model nearby large structures such as power lines, chain link fences, or even large aluminum patio covers. All those programs yield Z or Y values at the antenna feedpoint. Z and Y changes due to height variation are easy to see. So are the pattern changes.

In truth, no one "needs" to do any of that. All can go ahead and "tune up" without all that "electrical" measurement stuff. With the right propagation conditions on HF you can even do DXCC with a roll of hookup wire tossed over a tree. :-) Such is always good for another fish story by "masters of radio..."

4690. Re: Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 22:20:07 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rkOr7.8822$3d2.772240@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20934

I suspect that may be in the hands of the VE. When I went in for my test, I took the novice, tech, and somewhere in the middle of the thing they asked if anyone in the room was looking for element 1-C. As I recall, about 6 of us did. I had not tested for any CW and was part way through the theory exams when I went to a table in the front with the rest of the gang testing for 20 WPM. So, I did not pass 5 or 13 - and had only completed part of the theory tests when I took the CW test. I then went back and finished the theory. I was there for a while. Over 3 hours as I recall.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

<ValleyArts1@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19039-3BAE95DA-4@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I have a question about ham radio testing. Do the rules allow a person
> to go into a testing session and take both the Tech and General class
> tests during the same session, or does an individual have to pass the
> morse code componant after having passed the Tech test before the
> General test can be attempted? Thank You.
>

4691. Re: Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 22:23:04 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BAFB245.54580BC7@home.com>
Source record: 20935

You can take and pass all the written exams in one session, but you will be licensed as a Technician and hold the csce's good for credit for 365 days. You have that length of time to finish the 5 wpm code test. Pass the code test and become an instant extra. 5 wpm is embarrassingly slow and easy to do.

ValleyArts1@webtv.net wrote:

> I have a question about ham radio testing. Do the rules allow a person
> to go into a testing session and take both the Tech and General class
> tests during the same session, or does an individual have to pass the
> morse code componant after having passed the Tech test before the
> General test can be attempted? Thank You.

4692. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 22:35:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924183545.25538.00000344@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 20936

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: "Henry County American Red Cross" arcweb@bnnorth.net
>Date: 9/24/01 5:09 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <CmNr7.35139$ga2.1648903@cletus.bright.net>

>Maybe if some of you here that seem to have nothing better to do
>that slam each other verbally would go out and actually perform in one of
>the main purposes amateur radio was founded for, you'd have a better picture
>of whats going on around you.

Some of us can do both! =) I was, until a week ago, the EC for the
county I liced in. (I moved and a friend of mine took the reins)

I am also a pilot and Emergency Services officer for CAP.

>I know that the vast majority of hams are cool and eager to share their
>knowledge with new people, and that the few here who have nothing better to
>do than b*tch are the minority among hams.

In my case, the one I ahve a gripe with is the Licenseless Idiot who
himself is the catalyst for these comments.

And I AM cool! =)

>If you want public attention become a DJ.

THERE YA GO ! ! ! ! !

73 and welcome, Keith

Steve, K4YZ

4693. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 22:41:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924184146.06198.00000107@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20937

In article <1XMr7.14975$2H2.1325078@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>When I read the "Weakest Lenk's" reply, I was wondering what you just
>touched on: Since WHEN it is necessary for people or organizations to
>have media recognition for their efforts to qualify as emergency aid or
>communications?

The ARRL documents a lot of that. Too bad it doesn't get into
the larger world of real news media.

>I know for a damned FACT that CAP was involved, yet it didn't
>get ANY coverage that I am aware of--it rarely does. I was WORKING in
>my capacity as a CAP member up on FEMA freqs. Just because I didn't
>get MY fur stroked doesn't mean I wasn't doing anything.

Just who dismissed Jerry Oxendine's work in any emergency?
Not me. I commented that the news media covers a lot of
things but hasn't covered amateur radio participation in the
NYC or Washington, DC, crises.

>I was also in touch
>with the local ARES and EOC during the first hours when we were uncertain
>if something else might happen. The fact is that AMATEUR Radio is STILL
>a part of the total communications picture in NYC, they would NOT BE there
>if they weren't needed, and AMATEUR Radio is a part of every local/state
>EOC I know of. Just because they don't receive MEDIA coverage everytime
>a ham leaves his EOC desk to take a sh** doesn't mean they AREN'T in-
>volved. Hams lend their services whenever, wherever they are needed and
>do not ASK for wide coverage--same as the CAP members do, and a measure of
>their dedication IS their willingness to do so behind the scenes with
>the certain knowledge that what they do makes a DIFFERENCE in peoples'
>lives.

Okay, you are "mad as hell and you can't take it anymore."

I asked a question regarding the news media's coverage of
amateur radio activity during the Attack on America. That
made you very angry and you felt a need to lash out against
someone who does not appear to share your allegiance.

My question hasn't been answered.

>That is the benchmark of a true volunteer. It is exactly within the
>spirit of what John Kennedy said: "Ask NOT what your country can do

Please don't wrap yourself in patriotic bunting. I did my bit
for grass-roots campaigning for John Kennedy. That was
eight years after I volunteered for U.S. Army service while
the Korean War was still going on. Are you telling me that
I have "no" volunteer spirit? Sure sounds like it...

My original question "challenged the true volunteer?" Or
isn't it that you just felt outraged and had to vent rage?
Isn't the rage mostly due to not having your opinions
shared or respected by all due to past newsgroup
exchanges?

>In most cases, the activities
>that go on behind the media coverage are, at least, as important as those
>the media plays up to the public.

That is your opinion, then? OK, then there must be some
dastardly conspiracy work going on to NOT publicize the
good works of amateur radio?

>Ham radio is there, will BE there, will volunteer
>in every crisis to come.

Okay, I guess that means that hams are more glorious
than all those US "civilians" (who don't have amateur
licenses) that DO volunteer and do get their names and
activities mentioned.

Your fraternal patriotism is not questioned.

I was questioning why the good works of ham radio in
the Attack on America isn't being brought out in the
news media. I wasn't questioning anyone's volunteerism
nor was I questioning any one person in particular.

I was questioning the ARRL's public relations, something
I've done well before the Attack on America. For years
they have been promoting amateur radio within the amateur
radio membership. Why haven't they done more to get
their word out to the larger body politic, evidenced by such
word in the news media?

>Meantime, ask the EOCs down in Florida about
>ham radio's role in the recent storm that passed over their state.

Why? Has anyone asked Los Angeles County's "EOCs"
about the 1994 Northridge Earthquake? I guess not. It was
one of those "minor Left coast" things, not very important
(except to 10 million or so), and didn't feature amateur radio
in an important role. The death toll was only 53. Is that an
"unimportant event?"

The news media didn't think it "unimportant." The Greater
Los Angeles disaster teams didn't think it unimportant. They
were organized, planned, trained and drilled for such things.

They were not put out of action by the entire electrical power shut-down caused by the 'quake.

Well before last week I've questioned whether or not amateurs are prepared for disasters as to training, planning, and integration with the existing emergency infrastructure. What I got was almost entirely jingoism, general phrases of fraternal patriotism that did NOT address the question.

I'm not questioning your patriotism, Jerry, why are you questioning mine?

With all kindest regards,

Leonard H. Anderson
ex-RA16408336 ('52-'60)
American Citizen

4694. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-24 23:27:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924192728.10771.00000141@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20938

In article <CmNr7.35139$ga2.1648903@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>Amateur operators play a vital role in disasters, my experiances working
>with the Red Cross disaster services on large disasters have shown me that
>communications are a vital need.

Communications are always a vital need in emergencies.
In this area (a small one of 10 million or so) the disaster
and emergency communications have been planned,
integrated, organized, trained and drilled using the
existing infrastructure consisting primarily of government
and utility and public safety services. It works. It has
been tested in conditions where the entire electrical
power system was cut off.

>During, and after a disaster, phone lines
>are jammed or down, same goes for cell phones, the radio operators pitch in
>and assist in any way they can.

Part of that sentence is correct, part is not.

It is not universally true that "phone lines are jammed or down
[including cell phones]." Firstly, that assumes that the "only"
communications is by the telephone system." That isn't true
everywhere, but more importantly it does not account for the
existing leased (not through switch center) wirelines or agency
radios that remain functioning...including primary electrical
power shut-downs.

It's nice to say that amateurs will "pitch in and help in any way
they can." All citizens should do that and many do. The
problem is ability to assist in any communications role. Are
all amateurs equipped with emergency power? Do they have
spare HT battery packs, chargers? Can they integrate with the
existing disaster communications infrastructure?

Those are real questions that need answers. Answers aren't
supplied by quoting generalized fraternal patriotism jingoism.
Answers come by amateurs becoming part of a disaster team,
organizing, planning, training, and trying to anticipate
situations thought impossible (such as total power outages).

>I worked with many in Houston earlier this
>year, they did a remarkable job. In WV during the flooding there I worked
>out of Mullens WV, in Wyoming County, I only saw one ham there and he was
>overworked.

Everyone is "overworked" in extreme emergencies.

>Maybe if some of you here that seem to have nothing better to do
>that slam each other verbally would go out and actually perform in one of
>the main purposes amateur radio was founded for, you'd have a better picture
>of whats going on around you.

According to the history of the ARRL, it was formed because
Hiram and some cronies wanted to relay messages (that's
the "relay" in the league's name). :-)

So, the US amateur radio service was "founded" on being an
emergency communications service? I don't think so...but I
know that I'll get a lot of jingoism in reply... :-)

>I intend on getting my license, code and all.

Hmmm...according to one of the Ruling Taliban in here, un-
licensed persons "don't know anything about amateur radio,
and well never know!!!" I've always wondered how someone
who isn't licensed can ever pass a test if they "know nothing
about amateur radio." :-)

>I am not out to get any recognition for my work with disaster relief, I just
>want to help, so those complaining that hams are not getting any press, just
>what the hell are you into this for? I am a volunteer, I prefer working
>behind the scenes. If you want public attention become a DJ.

What I originally questioned in here was a not-nice dig at the
ARRL, specifically their public relations. That's what I've done
long before the Attack on America. The question concerned the
news media and their reporting of amateur radio good works.

Some in here apparently owe their allegiance to the ARRL. They
impugned many personal faults for daring to ask such a question.
They also liberally sprinkled fond jingoism all over the place. :-)

On my question, repeated for all: If amateur radio efforts are so
important in the overall scheme of things, why isn't it lauded in
the news media? If the only places that laud amateur efforts are
amateur radio boosterism organizations, what can one surmise
about that disparity/dichotomy?

I'm sure that more jingoism will rattle and roll in here... :-)

4695. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:15:19 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924201519.19836.00000478@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20939

> The basic problem in the world, other than hunger, is ignorance.
>Unfortunately, with literally hundreds of languages and/or dialects of
>various
>languages it is almost impossible to overcome that

You say almost impossible, that means it can be done and it should be done. There are dozens of other countries in the world in which people live from other countries, they have had to learn to host country languages to survive. If some one who makes the US home does not want to learn english, that is their problem. I im tired of this bullshit political correct crap. The langue of the US is english, plain and simple.

Just last summer my radio club set up a kids day sation at a local mall. We tried to post fliers in the local schools to promote the event. We where told by the schools that unless we posted our fliers in Spanish and Vietnamese we could not post them. Of course we being we had no controll opps that spoke Spanish or Vietnamesse we did not have fliers in the schools. Of cource this meent that the english speaking kids did not get to hear about our event. THE IS THE USofA, In myu opinion. learn english or kiss my but.

4696. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:17:41 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924201741.19836.00000480@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 20940

>You are probably a native American, not a Native American.
>There's a big legal difference.

Native americian is anyone born in the USA. PERIOD

4697. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:44:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD384.8080803@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20941

N2EY wrote:

> I know the definitions, Cecil. I just don't agree with your
> interpretations.

Then you don't agree with the dictionary and are apparently
feeling omniscient again. If you disagree with dictionary
definitions, that leaves you isolated and incapable of
communicating.

> Just a man? What about a woman or a child?

From Webster's: "man - a member of the species Homo
sapiens or all the members of this species collectively,
without regard to sex". I certainly wish you would crack
open a dictionary from time to time and stop embarrassing
yourself.

> Truth is reality.

Sometimes it is and sometimes it is not. Truth for you is
certainly a lot different than truth for Osama bin Laden.

> If you want to define "truth" as "whatever someone is sincere about"
> then you are defining it to be subjective, not objective.

You got it, Jim. A range of the definition of truth certainly
makes it subjective. That's what makes truth and facts different.
I really wish you would crack open your dictionary from time to
time and stop embarrassing yourself.

> You partially quote the dictionary all the time. Doesn't mean a thing.

That is one definition of truth, Jim. Your feelings don't matter.

>>Note that truth doesn't have to agree with the facts.

> Sure it does.

You are absolutely wrong about that, Jim. I certainly wish you would
crack open a dictionary from time to time and stop embarrassing yourself.

> That's a non-definition. Like defining a circle as having the quality
> of being circular.

Sorry you don't like it, Jim, but that's the dictionary definition,
not mine.

> Nope. I sincerely believe that truth is only that which is objectively
> true and agrees with the facts. All else is not truth.

It doesn't matter what you believe or how you feel, Jim. It is a fact
that truth and fact often do NOT agree. Let me give you an example.
You would probably say that the truth is that nothing can travel faster
than the velocity of light. It that a fact? Maybe it is and maybe it isn't.
Could be the fact is that, like Santa Claus, there's no such thing as
velocity except in the human mind. Could be that time, itself, is an
invention of the human mind and is not a fact of reality.

Please try to open your closed mind, look at your dictionary definitions
of "truth" and "fact", and come to a rational conclusion. Everyone who
has ever taken a college logic course is supposed to know the difference
between truth and fact.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4698. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:48:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD458.20309@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20942

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>> truth is whatever someone sincerely believes to
>>be true even if it is factually false.
>>
> Ah, yes, another most obscure but strictly legal use of Cecil's
> Dictionary. And once again, far from the instant context.

Not obscure at all, Dick, and is the second definition in
"Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary". Why are you guys
so ignorant? No wonder we have so much trouble communicating.
Everyone who has ever taken a college logic course knows that
truth and fact can be different. Have you ever taken a college
logic course, Dick?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4699. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:51:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD4F6.9040601@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20943

Jim Kelley wrote:

> By the way, what definition of 'communicate' are you using?
The one that leaves me wondering what is the point and

meaning of your postings.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4700. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:54:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD5D2.9010508@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20944

Jim Kelley wrote:

> You often (usually) only pose questions in order to assert the premise
> of the question.
Dang, Jim, you need to take a logic course. Questions have no

logical premise. So are you going to continue to be an SOB?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4701. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:55:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD605.4070908@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20945

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I see You're still full of stinky stuf, Cecil?
Blood? Yep, I am.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4702. Re: Ham Radio Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:57:54 UTC
From: ValleyArts1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12474-3BAFD692-183@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 20952

Thanks to all who responded for the information.

4703. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 00:58:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD6C7.30208@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20946

N2EY wrote:

> Maybe the way out of the mess is for a Smith Chart test could replace
> the code test.

I suggested that a couple of years ago, Jim. Since that time,
our ranks have been swelling. We are on the verge of introducing
a PSCTA - Pro Smith Chart Testing Agenda.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4704. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:05:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD865.9060108@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20947

Jim Bromley, K7JEB wrote:

> Actually, Cecil, I think God cheats. He looks into the future, sees
> what kind of gross stupidity mankind exhibits, and then goes back
> in time and "inspires" the prophesy.

Well then, Jim, I have a question for you. Does God look in
the box to determine whether Schrodinger's cat is dead of alive?
If so, the implications for quantum physics would be monstrous.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4705. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:09:46 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAFD95A.DABDD35@uci.edu>
Source record: 20948

CAM wrote:
> So are you going to continue to be an SOB?

I'll forward your question to my mother, and let her answer you. Okay?

4706. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:10:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFD973.3090302@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20949

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>Got news for you, Steve. English is NOT God's native language.
>
> OK...Who said it was....?!?!
>
> My reference was to the "one nation under God..." of the Pledge of
> Alligence.
>
> NOT "one nation using God's language...".

You don't get it, do you? If English is not God's native language
then the US should give up English and convert to God's native
language which (I assume) is ancient Hebrew. We cannot be "one
nation under God" if we don't even speak God's preferred language.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4707. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:12:54 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BAFDA16.D6BA64E0@uci.edu>
Source record: 20954

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > By the way, what definition of 'communicate' are you using?
> The one that leaves me wondering what is the point and
> meaning of your postings.

Can't help you there. Problem's on your end.

4708. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:13:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFDA49.4010909@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20950

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> My point here is not to disallow the use of any other language, Cecil, but
> to REQUIRE English as a COMPETENT language for ALL American citizens or
> permanent residents.

Huh???? We are discussing making it illegal for anyone to use any
language except English in public in the US. Consider two French
married tourists who get arrested for speaking French in public
in the US. Consider the FBI staking out public parks trying to
catch and arrest anyone speaking a language other than English.
That certainly sounds Hitler-like to me.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4709. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:16:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFDAE7.1080803@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20951

N2EY wrote:

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>>As for Hitler...well, all I can say is that anytimime someone wants to
>>discredit something, they point out that Hitler made some reference to it at
>>one time or another.
>>
> Hitler was a vegetarian.

Following Steve's logic, every citizen of the US should be
forced to eat meat.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4710. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:17:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFDB2A.5070100@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20953

Drpfrench wrote:

>>You are probably a native American, not a Native American.
>>There's a big legal difference.
>
> Native americian is anyone born in the USA. PERIOD
Not up on the latest laws, are you?

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4711. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:20:13 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bafdb2c.1647656@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 20955

On 24 Sep 2001 12:03:39 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3bae8edd.4520784@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
>>the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW.
>
>Not so! There are LOTS of reasons for any and every ham to learn CW. (I've got
>a list right here....)
>
>I think what you meant to say was "there is simply no compelling reason to
>REQUIRE that hams learn CW in order to get a license".
>
>The first statement is anti-code. The second is anti-test.

We can differ on the first statement being anti-code. The
issue or point is that whatever reasons exist, they are (as you
correctly state) insufficient to justify a code test.

>>Indeed, not one
>>arguement to sustain code requirements seems to have been
>>of any convincing to the FCC and the international community.
>>
>The FCC and its foreign counterparts seem to be pretty clear about that.
>
>But the FCC says some pretty odd things. For example, anyone who can show that
>they ever held a Novice license automatically gets code test credit. But that
>does not apply to any other license class, and a CSCE is only good for 365
>days!

It doesn't make much sense to me either.

>So we have the following paradoxes:
>
>A ham who had a Novice decades ago but let the license go gets credit, but a
>ham who skipped the Novice step does not. Same for the holder of an Element 1
>CSCE more than 365 days old. Huh?

Yep, that seems to be possible.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4712. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:21:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFDC1A.4050609@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20956

Bob Raymond wrote:

> When an extra class licensee is unable to build
> or erect a simple dipole antenna, I question the elite status of this
> individual.

Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
obtained through actions, not through testing.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4713. Re: If S25.5 Went Away Tomorrow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:34:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BAFDF32.8060603@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20957

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>>By the way, what definition of 'communicate' are you using?
>>>
>>The one that leaves me wondering what is the point and
>> meaning of your postings.
>
> Can't help you there. Problem's on your end.
Like I said, you are failing to communicate.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4714. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 01:54:22 UTC
From: WebDoc REACT Unit #602 <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <ebRr7.35319$ga2.1652949@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20959

Len Over 21 wrote in message
<20010924192728.10771.00000141@nso-ba.aol.com>...
>In article <CmNr7.35139$ga2.1648903@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County
American
>Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:
>
>>Amateur operators play a vital role in disasters, my experiances working
>>with the Red Cross disaster services on large disasters have shown me that
>>communications are a vital need.
>
>Communications are always a vital need in emergencies.
>In this area (a small one of 10 million or so) the disaster
>and emergency communications have been planned,
>integrated, organized, trained and drilled using the
>existing infrastructure consisting primarily of government
>and utility and public safety services. It works. It has
>been tested in conditions where the entire electrical
>power system was cut off.
>
>>During, and after a disaster, phone lines
>>are jammed or down, same goes for cell phones, the radio operators pitch
in
>>and assist in any way they can.
>
>Part of that sentence is correct, part is not.
>
>It is not universally true that "phone lines are jammed or down
>[including cell phones]." Firstly, that assumes that the "only"
>communications is by the telephone system." That isn't true
>everywhere, but more importantly it does not account for the
>existing leased (not through switch center) wirelines or agency
>radios that remain functioning...including primary electrical
>power shut-downs.
>
>It's nice to say that amateurs will "pitch in and help in any way
>they can." All citizens should do that and many do. The
>problem is ability to assist in any communications role. Are
>all amateurs equipped with emergency power? Do they have
>spare HT battery packs, chargers? Can they integrate with the
>existing disaster communications infrastructure?
>
>Those are real questions that need answers. Answers aren't
>supplied by quoting generalized fraternal patriotism jingoism.
>Answers come by amateurs becoming part of a disaster team,

>organizing, planning, training, and trying to anticipate
>situations thought impossible (such as total power outages).

In WV, cell phones simply did not work due to the geographical layout,
at least where we were in wyoming county. where as we had repeaters
including feild expediant repeaters, but only one ham. Now mind you he
had the two kitchen facilities, the service center, and the county eoc to
cover.

>
>>I worked with many in Houston earlier this
>>year, they did a remarkable job. In WV during the flooding there I worked
>>out of Mullens WV, in Wyoming County, I only saw one ham there and he was
>>overworked.
>
>Everyone is "overworked" in extreme emergencies.
>
>>Maybe if some of you here that seem to have nothing better to do
>>that slam each other verbally would go out and actually perform in one of
>>the main purposes amateur radio was founded for, you'd have a better
picture
>>of whats going on around you.
>
>According to the history of the ARRL, it was formed because
>Hiram and some cronies wanted to relay messages (that's
>the "relay" in the league's name). :-)
>
>So, the US amateur radio service was "founded" on being an
>emergency communications service? I don't think so...but I
>know that I'll get a lot of jingoism in reply... :-)

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
principles:

(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
respect to providing emergency communications.

>
>>I intend on getting my license, code and all.
>
>Hmmm...according to one of the Ruling Taliban in here, un-
>licensed persons "don't know anything about amateur radio,
>and well never know!!!" I've always wondered how someone
>who isn't licensed can ever pass a test if they "know nothing
>about amateur radio." :-)

While I do not have my license at this time, I am not w/o knowledge
of the subject, I have relatives that are/were hams, I have many local
friends that are hams, and quite a few of the REACT members are hams.

I have been wanting to get my license for over 10 years, and now I finally have the time in my life to sit down and get serious about it.

>
>
>>I am not out to get any recognition for my work with disaster relief, I just
>>want to help, so those complaining that hams are not getting any press, just
>>what the hell are you into this for? I am a volunteer, I prefer working
>>behind the scenes. If you want public attention become a DJ.
>
>What I originally questioned in here was a not-nice dig at the
>ARRL, specifically their public relations. That's what I've done
>long before the Attack on America. The question concerned the
>news media and their reporting of amateur radio good works.
>
>Some in here apparently owe their allegiance to the ARRL. They
>impugned many personal faults for daring to ask such a question.
>They also liberally sprinkled fond jingoism all over the place. :-)
>
>On my question, repeated for all: If amateur radio efforts are so
>important in the overall scheme of things, why isn't it lauded in
>the news media? If the only places that laud amateur efforts are
>amateur radio boosterism organizations, what can one surmise
>about that disparity/dichotomy?
>
>I'm sure that more jingoism will rattle and roll in here... :-)
>

I agree with you that one shouldn't do things for grandizement (not sure thats the right word), sure I have been in the paper a few times locally, as well as the TV. But not because I wanted to look big, it was because I wanted to let people know what was going on with different disasters, and to let them know how they can help out. When I am interviewed, I don't tell them about what I do, unless they specifically ask, I tell them about what
the other volunteers do. For example one pf my team has run the local government food distribution program for 15 years, the christmas cheer program for just as long. Last year at christmas time, he took some food to a needy family, a mother with 6 kids, her husband dumped her, her oven/stove was not working so she could not prepare the holiday meal. He took his own money and got the parts to fix her stove, and then went out and bought all her kids presents. We put him in for an award, he refused to accept it, to him just seeing them smile is all the reward he needs.

4715. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 02:02:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924220231.25649.00000436@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 20960

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/24/01 6:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010924184146.06198.00000107@nso-mg.aol.com>

>
>The ARRL documents a lot of that. Too bad it doesn't get into
>the larger world of real news media.

CAP has it's own newsletter that, like QST, highlights "media hits".

That these stroies are not page two in the NY Times mena they didn't
happen or are somehow insignificant?

>That
>made you very angry and you felt a need to lash out against
>someone who does not appear to share your allegiance.

No, Lennie, your "question" has been a years-long rant against Amateur
Radio and your "concern" over routine media coverage a rather weak attempt to
validate your "position".

Sorry...That dog don't hunt.

>My question hasn't been answered

No problem. There are about 3 or 4 dozen that have been put to you by
numerous persons and you ahve convieniently ignored most of those, too.

>Please don't wrap yourself in patriotic bunting.

No...Please do. Lennie can't stand it when you wave the flag and he
realizes he doesn't have one too.

>I have "no" volunteer spirit? Sure sounds like it...

Let's see....so far you ahve volunteered for JFK's campaign in 1960 and you
were on scene at an earthquake in 1970-something (that you participated in as a
responder...NOT "experienced")

Two event in over 40 years. That's pretty lame, Lennie....

>That is your opinion, then? OK, then there must be some
>dastardly conspiracy work going on to NOT publicize the
>good works of amateur radio?

Another conspiracy theory of yours, Lennie..?!?! You seem to ahve lots of
them. Of course MOST of them are how "elitist" Extras are trying to prevent
motivated youngsters from getting licensed...Or how the ARRL BOD is

intentionally trying to subvert licensing in order to manipulate membership...Which is equally dumb since "more hams=more members" if it's doen right.

More stories...more chances for you to twist it up.....

4716. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 02:09:56 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0109241809.3f83bf2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20961

If you don't pass your Morse Code exam, it's because you didn't use Code Quick (http://www.cq2k.net).

With Code Quick, you bypass the drudgery of memorizing dits and dahs. It's silly and over-the-top, and that is why it works. You use cutesy sound-alikes to learn the rhythm of the characters. For example, L is "a LIGHT is lit". You'll notice that the only people who knock Code Quick are the ones who learned Morse Code through another method. (Of course, everyone thinks his/her pet method is the superior way and pooh-poohs all the rest. Just do what works for you.)

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu_802701@@@yahoo.com

4717. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 02:10:07 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3IRr7.9170$3d2.797739@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20962

I hope - I SINCERELY HOPE - you're not talking about DXCC. I mean that. I won't go over my experience when I was trying to run phone patches from the U. S. Naval Hospital on Guam when some dunderhead from Hawaii tried to run me off because there was an 'important dx contest going on'. Frequency was unoccupied - he responded to my CQ. I only asked him to give me a land-line so I could tell him what I thought of him (I valued my ticket more than the satisfaction of telling him over the air of what I thought). There were patients in the shack (this was during the Vietnam war).

The folk who have assisted in NYC and Washington, DC, are the people who have my admiration. The callsign of choice should belong to those who have advanced amateur radio - not those who shell out the ten or twenty bucks for a callsign. Heck, the tests are so easy that anyone can be a tech inside of a week - I doubt a general would take more than a few - and an extra could probably be done in a month without a huge expenditure of effort. My gut feeling is that there should simply be a written test concerning rules and regulations - plus safety. After that, let folk earn the higher class by serving. Granted, not all will have the opportunity to do what some have done in New York, but there are other opportunities. Meanwhile, my hat's off to those who have aided in NY and Washington. All amateur radio operators should thank those who have performed under these conditions and shown the public that amateurs STILL have a function to perform today.

Aside from the emergency communications, there are those who have helped amateur radio in countless other ways. PSK-31 comes to mind. Ed Hare comes to mind - he's still in here slugging away despite many of our attempts to discredit the ARRL. Ed shared some good comments with me some while back, as well as some URLs. So - what have most of us done (myself included) for amateur radio lately?

Have at it & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BAFDC1A.4050609@IEEE.org...
> Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> > When an extra class licensee is unable to build
> > or erect a simple dipole antenna, I question the elite status of this
> > individual.
>
> Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
> guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
> obtained through actions, not through testing.
> --

> cheers, CAM
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4718. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 02:17:36 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <c0b12c0b9c6fafe404cea62501e9159c.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 20963

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

> "ONE nation under God" is what the pledge says.........

And which "God" would that be, Steve? Bear in mind that the
evil attacks of 9/11/01 were a faith based initiative.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from ydialup103.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.10.104]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4719. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis -- Oh, really?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 02:18:39 UTC
From: WebDoc REACT Unit #602 <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <_xRr7.35333$ga2.1653293@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20958

Leland KC8LD0 wrote in message <9odc1a01q98@drn.newsguy.com>...

>>I'm sure you mean well but no hams should have been going on site to
>>respond to the event if it were merely to go and be a ham.
>
>>
>>
>Or CB operators either,I hate them !
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>

Even me Leland? heheheh

Keith Hosman

4720. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:04:16 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924230416.20064.00000811@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20964

1 : one born or reared in a particular place
Here it is from websters dictionary.

a : an original or indigenous inhabitant

b : something indigenous to a particular locality

3 : a local resident; especially : a person who has always lived in a place as

distinguished from a visitor or a temporary
resident

4721. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:09:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAFE746.722E77F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20965

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >>That's an easy one, Jim. It was God's will that Judas betray
> >>Jesus. I believe that it fulfilled prophecy.
> >
> > Neat, if you believe that all is preordained and that we have no free
> > will of our own. If that is the case, you are simply a programmed
> > automaton.
>
> Dave, do you honestly believe that an omniscient, omnipotent
> being is going to allow us to interfere with his prophecies?

Yeah. I don't believe that God is engaged in a game of "I told you I was right--I win!" with us. I don't know what's going on down at the United Church of Have A Nice Day but the Episcopal Church believes that Man has free will. Why ask God's forgiveness of your sins if the game was a setup and the outcome preordained?

Do you honestly believe that you are a windup toy?

Dave K8MN

4722. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:12:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAFE819.D0D0DB1B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20966

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3BAF10F5.30A11C@earthlink.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > I still just plain wonder when the folks who are professing all this
> > > "preparedness" stuff (and really more using it to feel like gods) are
> going
> > > to tell us all that they are prepared to conduct successful life-saving
> > > surgery.
> > >
> > > I bet all they've done is go so far as to learn how to apply a band-aid,
> the
> > > good for nothing knuckle-dragging, beer swilling, (whatever the hell
> else
> > > that usually says). {:^
> >
> > I believe these folks are speaking of communications preparedness.
> > We're hams here, not doctors or paramedics.
> >
> >
>
> Wait a minute, the conversation is talking about manual and automatic
> transmissions and you choose to respond to my post telling me that it's
> about ham radio communications?! Whatever, Davey. There's splinters off
> this topic all over on the newsgroup right now.

It's okay, Tugboat Annie. Someone will eventually explain to you that
the reference to transmissions is based on arguments concerning morse
testing. Go back to your nap.

> > > I find hard to agree with myself that it is "OK" to have these flippant
> > > feelings about fellow human beings, in the wake of the disaster in NY
> and
> > > DC. Something just doesn't quite feel right about it. ESPECIALLY given
> > > that this is just all about ham radio, right? Hmmm, maybe ham radio to
> some
> > > of these guys, is really their screen to be the gods they think they
> are.
> > > Yeah, that's probably it.
> > >
> > > Shame on you.
> >
> > You aren't one to point a finger and say "shame" to anyone, Kim. You

> > tend to read much more into a post than is written.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Give me an example, Dave.

How about your post to which I responded? It's a good one.

Dave K8MN

4723. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:15:44 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924231544.20064.00000813@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20967

> but our
>*right* of freedom to travel has not been affected. It's
>just not quite so convenient as it once was.
>

But, there is a good chance it will be lost or restricted a great deal unless
we make it known to the politicians that we do not want freedooms hindered.

4724. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:22:01 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924232201.20064.00000814@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 20968

>I don't have a problem with the concept of anyone listening to my phone
>conversations or scanning my personal emails.

Well, this sounds like a mamby pamby stance if I ever heard one. So you would not mind any stranger listening to your private conversations. Whos is to say that what you say may not be turned against you. There are billions of people in the world that would like to enjoy the freedoms we have in the country. To start giving up your rights to privacy in to be a coward, plain and simple. Once we let politician fuck with the constitution, we start on the road to become an enslaved society.

4725. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:47:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924234718.06198.00000108@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 20969

In article <ebRr7.35319$ga2.1652949@cletus.bright.net>, "WebDoc REACT Unit
#602" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote in message
><20010924192728.10771.00000141@nso-ba.aol.com>...
>>In article <CmNr7.35139$ga2.1648903@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County
>>American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>In WV, cell phones simply did not work due to the geographical layout,
>at least where we were in wyoming county. where as we had repeaters
>including feild expediant repeaters, but only one ham. Now mind you he
>had the two kitchen facilities, the service center, and the county eoc to
>cover.

If cell phones were not optimally placed, they would not be
effective before, during, or after an emergency. That's a
problem for the telephone provider and does not fit the
"cell phones are always down in an emergency" mantra.

Planning an Emergency Operations Center is a local thing.
That will vary from locality to locality. How does that enter
into this thread or is this the start of a new thread?

>>So, the US amateur radio service was "founded" on being an
>>emergency communications service? I don't think so...but I
>>know that I'll get a lot of jingoism in reply... :-)
>
>ï¿½97.1 Basis and purpose.
>The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>principles:
>
>(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
>respect to providing emergency communications.

...97.1 (b) to 97.1 (e) deserve as much attention as 97.1 (a), yes?

Key words are "recognition" and "value." The whole of 97.1 (a)
does not state that amateur radio IS an emergency
communications service, only that it CAN be. Nowhere else in
Part 97 is there any requirement that amateur radio BE an
emergency communications activity at all or even part times.

Looking up in the front of Title 47 CFR you will see a statement
that anyone can communicate, regardless of license, during
an emergency. Specifically such an emergency is imminent

danger to life or property.

>While I do not have my license at this time, I am not w/o knowledge
>of the subject, I have relatives that are/were hams, I have many local
>friends that are hams, and quite a few of the REACT members are hams.
>I have been wanting to get my license for over 10 years, and now I finally
>have the time in my life to sit down and get serious about it.

In this newsgroup, and possibly in other amateur taliban
environments, those who do not possess amateur radio
licenses "don't know anything about ham radio...and
probably never will." That's not my opinion. I'm just
reporting what others have said after their evening prayers.
:-)

>I agree with you that one shouldn't do things for grandizement (not sure
>thats the right word), sure I have been in the paper a few times locally,
>as well as the TV. But not because I wanted to look big, it was because
>I wanted to let people know what was going on with different disasters,
>and to let them know how they can help out. When I am interviewed, I don't
>tell them about what I do, unless they specifically ask, I tell them about
>what the other volunteers do.

I think that approach is excellent and worthy of praise!

A number of others in here do not do that but continue in a
form of group self-aggrandizement wherein all toss general
praises back and forth, each congratulating the other on
their good works. Some insist such action is "truth." :-)

Good fortune on your future endeavors, Henry County
American Red Cross. I hope you never have to handle
any big emergency.

4726. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 03:59:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB00123.1070902@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20970

Dave Heil wrote:

> Do you honestly believe that you are a windup toy?

If God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive,
then yes, I am just a windup toy.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4727. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 04:01:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB001AE.7000801@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20971

Hans Brakob wrote:

> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> "ONE nation under God" is what the pledge says.....
>
> And which "God" would that be, Steve? Bear in mind that the
> evil attacks of 9/11/01 were a faith based initiative.
And that Allah, Yahweh, and Jehovah are all the same God.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4728. ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 04:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925000308.10650.00000808@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 20972

Here are some numbers to think about. These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of September 22, 2001:

Novice - 41,178 (decrease of 8,151)
Tech - 230,606 (increase of 25,212)
Tech Plus - 88,477 (decrease of 40,383)
General - 137,904 (increase of 25,227)
Advanced - 87,405 (decrease of 12,737)
Extra - 97,133 (increase of 18,383)
Total - 682,343 (increase of 7,551)

In percentage terms, the fastest-growing class is the Extra and the fastest-shrinking class is the Tech Plus. In raw numerical terms, the fastest growing class is the General and the fastest-shrinking is again the Tech Plus.

73 de Jim, N2EY
T

4729. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 04:05:15 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB0027B.708@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20973

Drpfrench wrote:

> 1 : one born or reared in a particular place
> Here it is from websters dictionary.

Yep, here it is from Webster's Unabridged:
"native American - a person born in the United States"
"Native American - Indian"
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4730. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 05:08:34 UTC
From: John Mullan <jmullan@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3BB0111A.2D838D0C@pacbell.net>
Source record: 20974

No new Novice or Advanced licenses are being issued, so it is only logical that these numbers are shrinking. Additionally, while Technicians can earn credit for element 1, this is not reflected in the database as a Tech Plus, so those numbers will shrink as well.

jm

N2EY wrote:

> Here are some numbers to think about. These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
> Total - 674,792
>
> As of September 22, 2001:
>
> Novice - 41,178 (decrease of 8,151)
> Tech - 230,606 (increase of 25,212)
> Tech Plus - 88,477 (decrease of 40,383)
> General - 137,904 (increase of 25,227)
> Advanced - 87,405 (decrease of 12,737)
> Extra - 97,133 (increase of 18,383)
> Total - 682,343 (increase of 7,551)
>
> In percentage terms, the fastest-growing class is the Extra and the
> fastest-shrinking class is the Tech Plus. In raw numerical terms, the fastest
> growing class is the General and the fastest-shrinking is again the Tech Plus.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> T

4731. Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 05:31:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925013137.10771.00000143@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 20975

The following is an excerpt from the R.F. Design Magazine e-mailer to subscribers on 24 September 2001. It isn't intended to prove anything other than a great number of people other than amateurs have contributed to rescue and rebuilding in the areas hit by the Attack on America.

Four employees of Raytheon Company, Lexington, Mass., perished on hijacked flights that crashed into the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on Sept. 11. The employees were: Peter Gay, 54, of Tewksbury, Mass., a vice president of operations for electronic systems based in Andover, Mass.; Stanley Hall, 68, of Clifton, Va., director of program management in Arlington, Va.; David P. Kovalcin, 42, of Hudson, N.H., senior mechanical engineer for electronic systems in Tewksbury, Mass.; and Kenneth E. Waldie, 46, of Methuen, Mass., senior quality control engineer for electronic systems in Andover, Mass.

"Our hearts go out to the families, friends and co-workers of those we have lost, and all who perished," said Raytheon chairman and CEO Dan Burnham.

Raytheon is providing help in relief efforts to both the families of the Raytheon victims and all victims of the national tragedy. Grief counseling and emotional support will be provided to the victims' families and employees through the company's Employee Assistance Program. Raytheon has volunteered several aircraft to fly needed supplies and experts and has donated 25 infrared cameras to New York's emergency response teams to aid in finding victims. The company has also established a special fund to provide gifts from Raytheon and its employees for disaster relief of all victims, and is providing disaster relief services through a grant the company made to the Red Cross earlier this year. A scholarship fund has been established for the children of Raytheon employees who were lost as well.

As recovery efforts continue in the wake of this national tragedy, Motorola has delivered at least 86 truckloads of communications equipment to field teams

and customers in the New York City area, Virginia and Maryland. In addition, seven planes carried Motorola equipment into the affected areas last week and over the weekend.

Also, three major communications systems were delivered, including a 900 MHz "trailer" radio system and an 800 MHz "trailer" radio system in New York city, and an 800 MHz "trailer" system which is being used at the plane crash site in Pennsylvania. These systems are similar to those used in the past for major sporting events. More recently, a fourth major system, an 800 MHz, 15-channel communications system was delivered to New York to provide back-up communications for emergency services. Motorola workers produced the system, which typically takes about three weeks to assemble, in 30 hours for shipment to the emergency areas.

Approximately 9,500 portable radios, 16,000 batteries and 3,000 chargers have been delivered so far. Further, about 120 base stations, and nearly 5,000 pieces of miscellaneous accessories, such as cabling and antennae equipment, have been delivered. Motorola has also delivered 700 Iridium satellite telephones to emergency agencies in the New York City area.

Motorola provided 1,000 cellular phones to a major insurance company for its policyholders in the affected areas. Motorola has also donated 10,000 iDEN multi-function phones to various federal, state and local government agencies, plus about 88,000 batteries, chargers and other accessories. Nextel will provide the phone service.

Futher, the Motorola Foundation will donate $1 million in support of relief efforts, dispersed to: New York Police, Fire & Rescue; the Federal Employee Education and Assistance Fund (World Trade Center / Pentagon Fund); General Relief Fund in New York; Abilities, Inc.; and Employee Match to the One Motorola Responds Fund.

4732. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 06:12:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9op790$kj9$0@208.206.142.79>
Source record: 20976

Dave Heil wrote:
>
I don't know what's going on down at the
> United Church of Have A Nice Day but the Episcopal Church believes that
> Man has free will.

Did you know there are more Muslims in the USA than Episcopalians?

4733. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 07:09:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9opaippq0@208.206.142.76>
Source record: 20977

CAM wrote:
>
> Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> > When an extra class licensee is unable to build
> > or erect a simple dipole antenna, I question the elite status of this
> > individual.
>
> Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
> guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
> obtained through actions, not through testing.

Got news for you Cecil. The Extra class was never intended to be an
entry level license. That is why it was named Extra.

4734. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 09:51:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dsYr7.9970$W8.822276@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20978

Jim, Tech Plusses are being renewed as Tech. If they didn't the Techs would have about a 15% renewal ratio.

BK

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010925000308.10650.00000808@nso-fa.aol.com...
> Here are some numbers to think about. These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
> Total - 674,792
>
> As of September 22, 2001:
>
> Novice - 41,178 (decrease of 8,151)
> Tech - 230,606 (increase of 25,212)
> Tech Plus - 88,477 (decrease of 40,383)
> General - 137,904 (increase of 25,227)
> Advanced - 87,405 (decrease of 12,737)
> Extra - 97,133 (increase of 18,383)
> Total - 682,343 (increase of 7,551)
>
> In percentage terms, the fastest-growing class is the Extra and the
> fastest-shrinking class is the Tech Plus. In raw numerical terms, the fastest
> growing class is the General and the fastest-shrinking is again the Tech Plus.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> T

4735. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 10:27:07 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb05e9d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20979

Whatever, Dave. Ham radio is not the topic in most of this who's better
than who stuff.

Kim W5TIT

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3BAFE819.D0D0DB1B@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3BAF10F5.30A11C@earthlink.net...
> > > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I still just plain wonder when the folks who are professing all this
> > > > "preparedness" stuff (and really more using it to feel like gods)
are
> > going
> > > > to tell us all that they are prepared to conduct successful
life-saving
> > > > surgery.
> > > >
> > > > I bet all they've done is go so far as to learn how to apply a
band-aid,
> > the
> > > > good for nothing knuckle-dragging, beer swilling, (whatever the hell
> > else
> > > > that usually says). {:^
> > >
> > > I believe these folks are speaking of communications preparedness.
> > > We're hams here, not doctors or paramedics.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Wait a minute, the conversation is talking about manual and automatic
> > transmissions and you choose to respond to my post telling me that it's
> > about ham radio communications?! Whatever, Davey. There's splinters
off
> > this topic all over on the newsgroup right now.
>
> It's okay, Tugboat Annie. Someone will eventually explain to you that
> the reference to transmissions is based on arguments concerning morse
> testing. Go back to your nap.
>
> > > > I find hard to agree with myself that it is "OK" to have these
flippant

> > > > feelings about fellow human beings, in the wake of the disaster in
NY
> > and
> > > > DC. Something just doesn't quite feel right about it. ESPECIALLY
given
> > > > that this is just all about ham radio, right? Hmmm, maybe ham radio
to
> > some
> > > > of these guys, is really their screen to be the gods they think they
> > are.
> > > > Yeah, that's probably it.
> > > >
> > > > Shame on you.
> > >
> > > You aren't one to point a finger and say "shame" to anyone, Kim. You
> > > tend to read much more into a post than is written.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Give me an example, Dave.
>
> How about your post to which I responded? It's a good one.
>
> Dave K8MN

4736. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 10:29:06 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb05f0f$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20981

Could someone explain to me what God has to do with amateur radio?

Kim W5TIT

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3BAFE746.722E77F0@earthlink.net...
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > >>That's an easy one, Jim. It was God's will that Judas betray
> > >>Jesus. I believe that it fulfilled prophecy.
> > >
> > > Neat, if you believe that all is preordained and that we have no free
> > > will of our own. If that is the case, you are simply a programmed
> > > automaton.
> >
> > Dave, do you honestly believe that an omniscient, omnipotent
> > being is going to allow us to interfere with his prophecies?
>
> Yeah. I don't believe that God is engaged in a game of "I told you I
> was right--I win!" with us. I don't know what's going on down at the
> United Church of Have A Nice Day but the Episcopal Church believes that
> Man has free will. Why ask God's forgiveness of your sins if the game
> was a setup and the outcome preordained?
>
> Do you honestly believe that you are a windup toy?
>
> Dave K8MN

4737. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 10:34:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb0606b_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 20983

Look, Dork. The *anyone* listening to my phone conversations was meant to imply any law enforcement, etc. But, if some bored putz wants to listen in on my phone conversations, they are welcome to it. It's quite simple for you to sit behind a keyboard and throw out your crap about freedoms, isn't it?

If you're not doing anything wrong (with wrong DEFINED--as you must have missed reading or comprehending in that post), then why would you care if law enforcement officials or government officials are listening to your phone conversations?

I speak the same topics on ham radio as I would over a telephone. Are you suggesting I should hide what I think and feel? Quite the freedom, dude, quite the freedom.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010924232201.20064.00000814@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >I don't have a problem with the concept of anyone listening to my phone
> >conversations or scanning my personal emails.
>
> Well, this sounds like a mamby pamby stance if I ever heard one. So you
would
> not mind any stranger listening to your private conversations. Whos is to
say
> that what you say may not be turned against you. There are billions of
people
> in the world that would like to enjoy the freedoms we have in the country.
To
> start giving up your rights to privacy in to be a coward, plain and
simple.
> Once we let politician fuck with the constitution, we start on the road to
> become an enslaved society.

4738. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 11:00:52 UTC
From: WebDoc REACT Unit #602 <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <CbZr7.35359$ga2.1658756@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20980

Len Over 21 wrote in message
<20010924234718.06198.00000108@nso-mg.aol.com>...
>In article <ebRr7.35319$ga2.1652949@cletus.bright.net>, "WebDoc REACT Unit
>#602" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote in message
>><20010924192728.10771.00000141@nso-ba.aol.com>...
>>>In article <CmNr7.35139$ga2.1648903@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County
>>>American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:
>
>>In WV, cell phones simply did not work due to the geographical layout,
>>at least where we were in wyoming county. where as we had repeaters
>>including feild expediant repeaters, but only one ham. Now mind you he
>>had the two kitchen facilities, the service center, and the county eoc to
>>cover.
>
>If cell phones were not optimally placed, they would not be
>effective before, during, or after an emergency. That's a
>problem for the telephone provider and does not fit the
>"cell phones are always down in an emergency" mantra.
>
>Planning an Emergency Operations Center is a local thing.
>That will vary from locality to locality. How does that enter
>into this thread or is this the start of a new thread?

no the EOC was there because I was talking about how much
work one man had to do, when he was the only one providing
communications for all those locations at the same time.

>
>>>So, the US amateur radio service was "founded" on being an
>>>emergency communications service? I don't think so...but I
>>>know that I'll get a lot of jingoism in reply... :-)

>>97.1 Basis and purpose.
>>The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>>radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>>principles:
>>
>>(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>>public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly
with
>>respect to providing emergency communications.
>
>...97.1 (b) to 97.1 (e) deserve as much attention as 97.1 (a), yes?

>
>Key words are "recognition" and "value." The whole of 97.1 (a)
>does not state that amateur radio IS an emergency
>communications service, only that it CAN be. Nowhere else in
>Part 97 is there any requirement that amateur radio BE an
>emergency communications activity at all or even part times.
>

Well, it doesn't have to, as you will note it is rule number one.
kinda like the 10 commandments, they were not repeated either.
And yes emergency communications ARE mentioned later in the
rules/regs concerning ARES, RACES which are primarily EMERGENCY
use. ARES = Amateur Radio Emergency Service. RACES = Radio Amatueur
Civil Emergency Service. Now what part those to phrases do you not
understand?

>Looking up in the front of Title 47 CFR you will see a statement
>that anyone can communicate, regardless of license, during
>an emergency. Specifically such an emergency is imminent
>danger to life or property.
>
>
>>While I do not have my license at this time, I am not w/o knowledge
>>of the subject, I have relatives that are/were hams, I have many local
>>friends that are hams, and quite a few of the REACT members are hams.
>>I have been wanting to get my license for over 10 years, and now I finally
>>have the time in my life to sit down and get serious about it.
>
>In this newsgroup, and possibly in other amateur taliban
>environments, those who do not possess amateur radio
>licenses "don't know anything about ham radio...and
>probably never will." That's not my opinion. I'm just
>reporting what others have said after their evening prayers.
>:-)
>
>>I agree with you that one shouldn't do things for grandizement (not sure
>>thats the right word), sure I have been in the paper a few times locally,
>>as well as the TV. But not because I wanted to look big, it was because
>>I wanted to let people know what was going on with different disasters,
>>and to let them know how they can help out. When I am interviewed, I don't
>>tell them about what I do, unless they specifically ask, I tell them about
>>what the other volunteers do.
>
>I think that approach is excellent and worthy of praise!
>
>A number of others in here do not do that but continue in a
>form of group self-aggrandizement wherein all toss general
>praises back and forth, each congratulating the other on
>their good works. Some insist such action is "truth." :-)
>
>Good fortune on your future endeavors, Henry County

>American Red Cross. I hope you never have to handle
>any big emergency.

I already have had some large ones, well large enough for me
and the area I live in, old 10 story hotel fully occupied on fire,
2 floods last year in my own area, all the floods this year. makes
me kind of glad that the hurricane season while active has only
produced 2 storms that have hit the US.

4739. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 11:06:17 UTC
From: WebDoc REACT Unit #602 <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <EgZr7.35360$ga2.1658886@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 20982

Kim-W5TIT wrote in message <3bb05f0f$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>...
>Could someone explain to me what God has to do with amateur radio?
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
Easy, he was the first Ham, and the first FCC boss. He also gave Satan the
first NAL for QRM.

Sorry couldn't resist heheh.

4740. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 12:02:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925080243.13426.00000154@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20986

In article <DWMr7.14974$2H2.1325116@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Thanks, Jim.

You're welcome - but your explanation was better than mine.
>
>There is some other thought that suggests that this betrayal was done to
>force
>Jesus' hand. By virtue of the healings and miracles witnessed by the
>disciples.
>Judas and his cohorts in crime thought that Jesus would NEVER allow himself
>to be crucified being that He had the power to prevent it. No one, after
>all,
>would willingly go thru such if he had the power to go POOF and waste every
>Roman soldier. They STILL believed in Him, but he wasn't MOVING fast
>enough to suit them, so..... But, again, I don't say I put full
>weight behind
>this, I just present it as plausible evidence of some of the stuff that
>"might" have
>been going on behind the scenes.

It makes a lot of sense, though. As I recall, Jesus says more than once that His kingdom is "not of this world", obviously meaning that He wasn't going to start some sort of political revolution.
>
It should also be noted that Jesus never used His power to hurt anyone, only to heal. In the only recorded case where Jesus used force (driving the moneychangers from the temple) He did not use any sort of miracle or supernatural power.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4741. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 12:02:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925080246.13426.00000156@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20984

In article <3BB0111A.2D838D0C@pacbell.net>, John Mullan <jmullan@pacbell.net>
writes:

>No new Novice or Advanced licenses are being issued, so it is only logical
>that
>these numbers are shrinking.

Yup. Note, however, that the numbers posted do not include expired licenses in
the 2 year grace period, so the numbers of those classes can actually increase
if enough hams renew in a given month.

> Additionally, while Technicians can earn credit
>for
>element 1, this is not reflected in the database as a Tech Plus, so those
>numbers
>will shrink as well.
>
Yup. "Techs with HF" are mixed in with Techs.

Then there's the issue of Technician renewals.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4742. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 12:02:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925080246.13426.00000155@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 20985

In article <7iMr7.188$Le.11405@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>Here is an article I have submitted to QRZ.COM

Hello Bob - let's see what you've got.
>
>It is my understanding that the Extra Class license was intended to be the
>elite class license. Here are some expectations I make of those that qualify
>for this elite class of operator: - They will have "mastered" the art and
>technique of amateur radio so that they may demonstrate such to lesser class
>licensees. - They will have acquired sufficient operating and technical
>expertise that they fully "understand" the basic principles.

Maybe that's what was intended back 35-40 years ago, but things have changed
since then.

> Here is the
>point I am trying to make. When an extra class licensee is unable to build
>or erect a simple dipole antenna, I question the elite status of this
>individual.

Me, too. But since the tests for the license do not require such knowledge as a
condition of passing, it's not surprising.

>When an Extra Class licensee complains to me (a lowly Advanced
>Class) that my transmissions are causing RFI to his home theatre and that he
>does not transmit from his own station for fear of wiping out his own TV...
>I really have to wonder about the elite status of this individual???

Me, too. But the tests for the license do not require such knowledge.

>Apparently, at least some of these Extra Class elite operators are able to
>somehow memorize the questions and answers to the test(s) and obviously have
>NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE.

It's been that way for a long time. For all licenses.

Back in 1983 the FCC made the question and answer pools public. Even before
then, study guides gave a good indication of what was on the test. So anyone
could simply memorize rather than understand. FCC doesn't think it's a problem.

Also, all of the questions count the same, and there is no requirement that any
particular question or group of questions on the written test be answered
correctly in order to pass. So a person with complete ignorance of, say,
antennas can still pass by getting enough of the other questions right.

>I propose that there be an experience requirement
>levied upon all who seek the Extra Class License. While going from
>Technician to Extra Class in one day is quite a remarkable achievement, I
>feel that some element of 'experience' should be a requirement for Extra
>Class. Perhaps a requirement to have been a licensed ham for X number of
>years?

Until the mid-1970s, you had to have two years as a General or higher licensee before you could even TRY the Extra test. This was lowered to one year in the mid 1970s and eliminated a few years later. I remember, back in 1968, figuring out the exact date of the first FCC exam session in 1970 when I could try the Extra. Getting an Extra was no big deal, even back then.

The troubles with an experience requirement are several:

- It makes hams go back to a second test session no matter how skilled and knowledgeable they are at the first session
- It's no guarantee of any practical experience
- It's an additional administrative thing to keep track of.
- It will discourage new hams from upgrading
- Even with such a requirement, hams will be able to get Extras with huge gaps in their knowledge.

> I can readily forsee where ham radio will be comprised of all chiefs
>and no indians...I hope I never see that day.

Why? I say, the more the merrier!

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4743. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 13:29:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB07893.42C4F2F8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20987

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Do you honestly believe that you are a windup toy?
>
> If God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive,
> then yes, I am just a windup toy.

Thanks for your frank revelation.

Dave K8MN

4744. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 13:31:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109250531.16f84180@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20988

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<dsYr7.9970$W8.822276@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Jim, Tech Plusses are being renewed as Tech.

Yup. I should have mentioned that. Thanks!

> If they didn't the Techs would
> have about a 15% renewal ratio.

How do you figure that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4745. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 13:36:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109250536.da5f005@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20989

jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu) wrote in message
news:<f7d9a152.0109241809.3f83bf2a@posting.google.com>...
> If you don't pass your Morse Code exam, it's because you didn't use
> Code Quick (http://www.cq2k.net).

They offer a money-back guarantee, as I recall.
>
> With Code Quick, you bypass the drudgery of memorizing dits and dahs.

Memorizing dits and dahs is a mistake. What needs to be learned is the
sound of each character as a unit. Just as a child learning to talk
hears "cat" as one sound, not as three.

> It's silly and over-the-top, and that is why it works. You use cutesy
> sound-alikes to learn the rhythm of the characters. For example, L is
> "a LIGHT is lit".

Which helps some and slows down others.

>You'll notice that the only people who knock Code
> Quick are the ones who learned Morse Code through another method. (Of
> course, everyone thinks his/her pet method is the superior way and
> pooh-poohs all the rest. Just do what works for you.)
>
> Jason Hsu, AG4DG
> jason_hsu_802701@@@yahoo.com

But before spending your money, surf on over to these sites:

http://primera.tamu.edu/people/TAES/mgautreaux/code1.html

and see what N1IRZ has to say.

Also check out:

http://www.joates.demon.co.uk/megs/N0HFF/contents.htm

for an excellent online book on the subject, and

http://www.ah0a.org

for code learning shareware

73 de Jim, N2EY

4746. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 13:37:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB07A79.54B9D4E0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 20990

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Could someone explain to me what God has to do with amateur radio?
>
> Kim W5TIT

He created it. You may direct further inquiries on the topic directly
to him.

Dave K8MN

4747. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:02:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109250602.2f67df72@posting.google.com>
Source record: 20991

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote in message
news:<3bafdb2c.1647656@news.mindspring.com>...
> On 24 Sep 2001 12:03:39 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >In article <3bae8edd.4520784@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> >(Bill Sohl) writes:
> >
> >>Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
> >>the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW.
> >
> >Not so! There are LOTS of reasons for any and every ham to learn CW. (I've got
> >a list right here....)
> >
> >I think what you meant to say was "there is simply no compelling reason to
> >REQUIRE that hams learn CW in order to get a license".
> >
> >The first statement is anti-code. The second is anti-test.
>
> We can differ on the first statement being anti-code.

Let's look at it again:

"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn
CW."

Looks pretty hostile to CW to me. The statement isn't about testing or
regulation at all, it's about learning, or not learning, CW.

> The
> issue or point is that whatever reasons exist, they are (as you
> correctly state) insufficient to justify a code test.

According to the FCC, anyway. But that's a completely different issue!
Many things in ham radio are worth learning but are not tested.

> >>Indeed, not one
> >>arguement to sustain code requirements seems to have been
> >>of any convincing to the FCC and the international community.
> >>
> >The FCC and its foreign counterparts seem to be pretty clear about that.
> >
> >But the FCC says some pretty odd things. For example, anyone who can show that
> >they ever held a Novice license automatically gets code test credit. But that
> >does not apply to any other license class, and a CSCE is only good for 365
> >days!
>

> It doesn't make much sense to me either.

Yet the FCC not only made those rules but won't change them.
>
> >So we have the following paradoxes:
> >
> >A ham who had a Novice decades ago but let the license go gets credit, but a
> >ham who skipped the Novice step does not. Same for the holder of an Element 1
> >CSCE more than 365 days old. Huh?
>
> Yep, that seems to be possible.

Yup. I'm as pro-code-test as they come, but it makes absolutely no
sense to me.

FCC will accept for credit a code test done by a single volunteer
examiner 50 years ago (the hypothetical case of a 1951 mail-order
Novice who let the license lapse) but won't accept for credit an
Element 1 CSCE from a ham tested by 3 or more VEs just 366 days ago.
FCC also won't accept ANY non-Novice lapsed-license test results, even
if the test was given by FCC officials themselves (the hypothetical
case of a ham who passed 5, 13 or 20 wpm at an FCC office but bypassed
the Novice).

My point is that just because FCC says it doesn't make it right. Just
the law.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

4748. Re: question regarding exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:07:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010925100715.17247.00000459@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20994

In article <3BAE495C.7BB5E2B@bnnorth.net>, WebDoc <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>
>Ok I am ready to take my tech exam, I am also studying the code, and
>have already started studying for the general. Am I correct in the
>understanding that if i pass the written element but fail the code (for
>general) that i have one year to make up the code w/o having to retake
>the written element again?
>
>Keith Hosman

Yup! You got a whole year to overcome that baaaaaad 'ole 5 WPM
Morris Kode exam! Good luck, you're gonna need it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

*** Adam Whoever can kiss my gleaming white ass!

4749. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:07:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010925100716.17247.00000461@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20992

In article <3BAE1070.1070001@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> That may be so. You are entitled to your opinion, and I will defend
>> your right to state it. However, you'll forgive me if I don't lose any
>> sleep over it!
>Hope you don't mind me having that identical opinion and
>
>attitude toward you.

Cecil:

Absolutely not! Be my guest, and knock yourself right flat out!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4750. Re: what happened to my novice license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:07:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010925100716.17247.00000460@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 20993

In article <20010923122627.20081.00000408@mb-fy.aol.com>, johnwh19@aol.com (Johnwh19) writes:

>
>Thank You for the apology, however I thought the comments were all in jest.
>Also a thanks to all who resonpded with the information I requested.
>I am now ready to upgrage? to the Technician Class.
>
>KB7GQW

John:

You're velcome. And you're right -- the comments *were* in jest, as was the apology (in case you hadn't noticed!) It was offered on the advice of one of the more tightly sewn-up of the anal retentives in this newsgroup, as much as a jab at him as anything else! Good luck on your future upgrades.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4751. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:23:51 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Xr0s7.9738$3d2.861867@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 20995

I foolishly posted:

>> Every ham who puts up an antenna is an "RF Designer" and
>> should be able to use the Smith chart, at least conceptually.

N2EY, Jim <n2ey@aol.com> replied in kind:

> Maybe the way out of the mess is for a Smith Chart test could
> replace the code test.....

> But then the trouble would start...

> Some hams who had not passed the Smith Chart test might
> say that those who had passed the test were being "elitist"
> about their Smith chart skill and knowledge. The term
> "chartless Extra" would be considered an insult by some, a
> badge of honor by others. Some would grumble that since
> they have no desire to design antenna/transmission line
> systems, they should not be required to pass a Smith Chart
> test......

Well, Jim (harumph, geeeeeze...) if these "slackers" would just buckle down and practice, practice, practice it won't be too long before they will be clipping along nicely, plotting 20 loci/hr and enjoying every minute of it. It will seem like a second language to them.

Of course, nothing compares to actually getting on the air with the Smith Chart. Indeed one of the most fondly remembered traditions is recreated every New Year's Eve when the OD (old designer) dusts off his beloved General Radio 874 and heads out to his antenna range for Slotted Line Night (SLN). There, in the cramped, screened-in Faraday cage beneath the 1/24 scale model of the three-element, 160-meter beam he will one day put up, he finally achieves the VSWR bandwidth he always knew was possible, waiting to be patiently tweaked out by individual technical skill. It is a rare satisfaction that he shares with the fiery-cold stars overhead and the mute icicles clinging to the test tower guys as he begins the long, far-field walk over crunching, frozen ground back to a warm, inviting hamshack.

All of this will be lost if we outlaw use of the Smith Chart!

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4752. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:26:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB093F9.2050409@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20996

Dave Heil wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>Do you honestly believe that you are a windup toy?
>>>
>>If God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive,
>>then yes, I am just a windup toy.
>
> Thanks for your frank revelation.

Revelation? That's a conditional statement, Dave. Do you
think God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive?
I, personally, don't think he does. "Not only does God roll
dice, he rolls them in the dark."
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4753. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 14:35:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB09625.20306@IEEE.org>
Source record: 20997

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
>>guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
>>obtained through actions, not through testing.
>
> Got news for you Cecil. The Extra class was never intended to be an
> entry level license. That is why it was named Extra.
You really need to learn how to read, Dick. I didn't say anything

about an "entry level license". The Extra class *EXAM* is a
"minimum entry level exam" into the Extra class, i.e. it is based
on minimum requirements. Why do you have so much trouble with that
concept? Anyone who barely squeaks by gets the same license as
I who scored 100% on the exam.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4754. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 15:17:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BB0912F.B9F621AA@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20998

Well, when he made woman, he made the first LOUD SPEAKER!

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Could someone explain to me what God has to do with amateur radio?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

4755. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 15:22:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BB0922D.29D5698B@mailcity.com>
Source record: 20999

It is only a hobby, not a career path.

Bob Raymond wrote:

>Perhaps a requirement to have been a licensed ham for X number of
> years? I can readily forsee where ham radio will be comprised of all chiefs
> and no indians...I hope I never see that day.
>
> W7RJR

4756. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 15:54:46 UTC
From: K0HB@PLANNED_PARENTHOOD.ORG
Message-ID: <9oq9c6$d0f$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 21000

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

> But if you ELECT to LIVE here, by golly you should be a part of THIS
>culture. Not African...Not Asian...Not European...
>
> Respect, enjoy and learn about others' cultures, but if you want to be
>American, then BE American.

With all due respect, what *is* "American culture"?

It is a mixture and a blend of many cultures, mostly European, but increasingly Asian and African as we gain more Americans from those backgrounds.

My background, Russian/German, is the pervasive culture even today in the rural community where my parents and grandparents settled 80 years ago. When I was a child I didn't know anyone over the age of 40 whose native tungue was English, yet out of that small farming community have come a Medal of Honor recipient, a state governor, dozens of career military people, a congressman, and other significant contributors to the American way of life. The US flag waves high and proud on silo's, front porches, and main street light poles, and the nearby Army recruiting office has exceeded goal in each of the past 30 years except 1973.

But many of the "old timers" still have Russian or German as their first language, and one Lutheran church still conducts one service each week in German. Shame on them!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4757. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 16:20:39 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <3BB0AED7.FE96EB7A@firstinter.net>
Source record: 21001

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 23 Sep 2001 05:22:40 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> wrote:
>
> >In article <3bac285c.2656291@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> >(Bill Sohl) writes:
> >
> >>>Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very
> >>>powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability
> >>>to permit a person to project himself globally through direct
> >>>voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This
> >>>instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful
> >>>capability which the individual ham can command at will with
> >>>few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is
> >>>the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought
> >>>about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code
> >>>testing requirement.
> >>
> >>ROTFLMAO... surely you jest? "Jeolousy over code users?
> >
> >Bill:
> >
> >That's jealousy *of* code users, and stop calling me "Surely!"
> >
> >>>The requirement stood in the way of the
> >>>ham radio capability the majority of prospective hams really wanted --
> >>>the ability to pick up a microphone and talk to someone far away.
> >>
> >>Do you think that is a uniquly US situation or do you
> >>think it is worldwide?
> >
> >It is a global phenomenon, considering the fact that the US is one
> >of the few countries that ever had a genuinely challenging code
> >test requirement.
>
> What made the US test so genuinely challenging as opposed
> to those in all the other nations?
>
> >>>The true nature and value of Morse/CW communication was
> >>>known only to those who went through the process of learning it
> >>>and achieving useful proficiency in it as part of their ascension
> >>>into the ranks of full-privilege HF phone users. Once they arrived
> >>>at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary

> >>>HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
> >>>voice communications became difficult.
> >>
> >>So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
> >>on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
> >>so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.
> >
> >Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However,
> >by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of
> >global disaster which could disrupt communications on such
> >a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability
> >to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes,
> >and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the
> >typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?
>
> How often do you rewatch the movie Independence Day?
> It must be one of your all time favorites.
>
> Do you think that global disaster will also render all vehicles with
> automatic transmissions out of acton...thus needing a cadre of
> manual gearbox operators? :-) :-)

I think you're onto something here Bill. All the new autos are electronically controlled by a computer. It's been about 10 years since mechanical pressure links controled the shifting functions. Now, when the EMP from the big one hits, those controllers are all toast, and none of our autos are going to shift out of first, or second gear. But those of us with 5-speed manuals, we've got it made.....if we were just alive after the nucleur blast.... small details :_)

Rick Tannehill
W7RT

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

4758. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 16:31:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0109250831.7d0733af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21002

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAE19A3.6020100@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >>Even though there is no governmental requirement - think about it.
> >
> > I have thought about it, Cecil - that's why I posted it.
>
> I wasn't talking to you, Jim. It was a general admonition
> to everyone capable of thought.

HAW!! That's a good one!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4759. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 17:52:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925135257.28924.00000821@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21003

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/24/01 9:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAFD973.3090302@IEEE.org>

>We cannot be "one
>nation under God" if we don't even speak God's preferred language.

Is there a specification for God's prefered language, Cecil? Does the
Bible, Torah or Koran specifiy that only a certain dialect may be used?

Would you please post the reference for that?

73

Steve, K4YZ

4760. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:00:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925140029.28924.00000822@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21004

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/24/01 9:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BAFDA49.4010909@IEEE.org>

>Huh???? We are discussing making it illegal for anyone to use any
>language except English in public in the US.

Cecil..READ the following from my previous quote:

>>My point here is not to disallow the use of any other language, Cecil,
>but
>> to REQUIRE English as a COMPETENT language for ALL American citizens or
>> permanent residents.

"...not disallow..." As in to not prohibit.

Now, what part of that do you and Hans seem to have a problem with?

My "position" is that I believe that if you elect to live here, ONE common language should be set and then ALL citizens should be at least functionally literate in that language.

>Consider two French
>married tourists who get arrested for speaking French in public
>in the US.

Consider my earlier post where I specifically addressed that EVERY accomodation should be made for tourists, invited guests and persons solicited for business purposes.

Please...go back and re-read them.

>Consider the FBI staking out public parks trying to
>catch and arrest anyone speaking a language other than English.

Consider that you have been reading too much conspiracy-theory stuff again!

>That certainly sounds Hitler-like to me.

If I had saud "prohibit", then you'd be right. I did NOT, there for you aren't.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4761. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:02:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925140220.28924.00000823@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21005

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/24/01 10:17 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <c0b12c0b9c6fafe404cea62501e9159c.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>Bear in mind that the
>evil attacks of 9/11/01 were a faith based initiative.

So were the Crusades. So what?

Steve

4762. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:06:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB0C7C3.4090305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21006

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Is there a specification for God's prefered language, Cecil? Does the
> Bible, Torah or Koran specifiy that only a certain dialect may be used?
>
> Would you please post the reference for that?

It stands to reason, Steve. Since God communicated with men long
before English was invented, English is not God's preferred language.
If English had been God's preferred language, it would have been one
of the languages spoken immediately after the Tower of Babel incident.
So I think we should force everyone in this country to speak God's
preferred language, the language he used to communicate with Adam
and Eve.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4763. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:09:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB0C842.3010600@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21007

K0HB@PLANNED_PARENTHOOD.ORG wrote:

> But many of the "old timers" still have Russian or German as their
> first language, and one Lutheran church still conducts one service
> each week in German.
Watch out, Hans. That may be a capital offense before long.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4764. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:14:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925141404.28924.00000825@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21008

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: "WebDoc REACT Unit #602" arcweb@bnnorth.net
>Date: 9/24/01 9:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ebRr7.35319$ga2.1652949@cletus.bright.net>

>ï¿½97.1 Basis and purpose.
>The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>principles:
>
>(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
>respect to providing emergency communications.
>

Nice try, Doc.

Lennie the Lid insists that the Basis and Purpose of Part 97 exist simply
to satisfy some administrative legalese and have no REAL implication in Amateur
Radio.

Lennie likes to convieniently ignore facts in deference to his opinions.

>I agree with you that one shouldn't do things for grandizement (not sure
>thats the right word), sure I have been in the paper a few times locally,
>as well as the TV.

Lennie believes that if you are not on Page 2 of the Los Angeles Times or
the lead item on the 6 o'clock news, it didn't happen or it wasn't important
enough to warrant discussion.

>He took his own money and got the parts to fix her stove, and then went
>out and bought all her kids presents. We put him in for an award, he
>refused to accept it, to him just seeing them smile is all the reward he
>needs.

I know many Hams like that. I know many PEOPLE like that. We could fill
every page of every newspaper (or make them too bulky to carry!) if we put
nothing but "human interest" articles in there.

Lennie wouldn't read it unless it was about Morse Code.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4765. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:17:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB0CA41.4000709@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21009

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Now, what part of that do you and Hans seem to have a problem with?

This one, which is the subject of the discussion.
"The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
illegal to speak any other language in public."
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4766. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:24:09 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dZ3s7.9883$3d2.878211@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21010

Heh - I can't make the same claim. I didn't take a calculator and one of the VEs offered me a calculator for the dumb resonant frequency questions. Hmmm - there had to be a hundred keys on that thing. So, I simply put some checks in boxes. I knew I had the other answers correct - and one doesn't need to score 100%. I watched out of the corners of my eyeballs when it was scored. The guy grading the test got a long ways towards the end before, suddenly, he started ticking off incorrect answers. Of course, those were the resonant frequency questions. Heck, I didn't want to spend the time to figure the calculator out and I didn't want to extract the square roots by hand (I can, but it is time consuming). I didn't want to spend the extra time. It still took over 3 hours of testing from ground zero and I still passed. If that is good enough for the FCC, it is good enough for me. Hmm ... IEEE.org? You had to sleep through that test!

73 from Rochester,
Jim AA2QA

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB09625.20306@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
> >>guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
> >>obtained through actions, not through testing.
> >
> > Got news for you Cecil. The Extra class was never intended to be an
> > entry level license. That is why it was named Extra.
> You really need to learn how to read, Dick. I didn't say anything
>
> about an "entry level license". The Extra class *EXAM* is a
> "minimum entry level exam" into the Extra class, i.e. it is based
> on minimum requirements. Why do you have so much trouble with that
> concept? Anyone who barely squeaks by gets the same license as
> I who scored 100% on the exam.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4767. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:26:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925142630.28924.00000826@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21011

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/24/01 11:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010924234718.06198.00000108@nso-mg.aol.com>

>
>If cell phones were not optimally placed, they would not be
>effective before, during, or after an emergency. That's a
>problem for the telephone provider and does not fit the
>"cell phones are always down in an emergency" mantra.

Obviously you ahve never seen the terain in some parts of West Virginia.
Some of the most beautiful in the United States, but also very rugged. You
can't cover every possible acre of territory.

>Planning an Emergency Operations Center is a local thing.
>That will vary from locality to locality. How does that enter
>into this thread or is this the start of a new thread?

Hmmmm....Yes, now let's see...the THREAD is about Ham Radio in this
terrorist crisis. Now HOW does "Ham Radio" and discussions about "EOC's" fit
together...Hmmm....let me think...

>Key words are "recognition" and "value." The whole of 97.1 (a)
>does not state that amateur radio IS an emergency
>communications service, only that it CAN be.

See what I meant, Doc..?!?! Any chance to belittle or undermine Amateur
Radio and Lennie will take it....

>Looking up in the front of Title 47 CFR you will see a statement
>that anyone can communicate, regardless of license, during
>an emergency. Specifically such an emergency is imminent
>danger to life or property.

Yep...for that instance and that instance only. The FCC has been
extremely clear on this. And they have ALSO stated that it is contrary to the
scope of ANY radio service to install a radio transmitter for which you are not
licensed PRIOR to an emergency without obtaining licensure for that radio
station.

>In this newsgroup, and possibly in other amateur taliban
>environments, those who do not possess amateur radio
>licenses "don't know anything about ham radio...and
>probably never will." That's not my opinion.

Of course it's not your opinion, Lennie. Because YOU are the one who insists that you don't need to be involved in Amateur matters to know anything about it, then you CONSISTENTLY make errors ABOUT Amateur Radio that anyone who IS involed knows better.

YOU are the fool, but you refuse to do anything about it.

>I'm just
>reporting what others have said after their evening prayers.

And you are in a position to "recieve" these prayers HOW?

4768. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:29:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Q14s7.9889$3d2.878723@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21012

Richard, if someone holds a technician license (still current, being renewed now and then) which was issued back in the 60s, wouldn't they only have to file paperwork and automatically be upgraded to general? I believe back then the only difference was the code test (the licenses were novice, 1 year non-renewable, technicial, 5 wpm with general theory, and general with its' 13 wpm requirement). This would not, of course, apply to most tech tickets currently held.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:dsYr7.9970$W8.822276@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Jim, Tech Plusses are being renewed as Tech. If they didn't the Techs would
> have about a 15% renewal ratio.
>
> BK
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010925000308.10650.00000808@nso-fa.aol.com...
> > Here are some numbers to think about. These are the number of unexpired
> FCC ARS
> > licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
> >
> > As of May 14, 2000:
> >
> > Novice - 49,329
> > Tech - 205,394
> > Tech Plus - 128,860
> > General - 112,677
> > Advanced - 99,782
> > Extra - 78,750
> > Total - 674,792
> >
> > As of September 22, 2001:
> >
> > Novice - 41,178 (decrease of 8,151)
> > Tech - 230,606 (increase of 25,212)
> > Tech Plus - 88,477 (decrease of 40,383)
> > General - 137,904 (increase of 25,227)
> > Advanced - 87,405 (decrease of 12,737)
> > Extra - 97,133 (increase of 18,383)
> > Total - 682,343 (increase of 7,551)
> >

> > In percentage terms, the fastest-growing class is the Extra and the
> > fastest-shrinking class is the Tech Plus. In raw numerical terms, the
> fastest
> > growing class is the General and the fastest-shrinking is again the Tech
> Plus.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > T
>
>

4769. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:29:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925142946.28924.00000828@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21013

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: "WebDoc REACT Unit #602" arcweb@bnnorth.net
>Date: 9/25/01 7:00 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <CbZr7.35359$ga2.1658756@cletus.bright.net>

>Now what part those to phrases do you not
>understand?

All of the parts which make his rants silly and unfounded...which unfortunately for him is just about anything other than strictly theoretical matters.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4770. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:32:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925143237.28924.00000830@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21014

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>Date: 9/25/01 5:47 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <troll.troll.troll.yer.boat@bimminy.com>

I think the proper "reply" to "Stu" is best left in the beer hall, but
best understood when one reads the "message id" tag above.

So much for another "out of the blue" Lennie Supporter.

4771. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:39:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925143954.28924.00000832@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21015

>Subject: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/25/01 1:31 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010925013137.10771.00000143@nso-ba.aol.com>

>It isn't intended to prove anything other than a great number of people other
than amateurs have contributed to rescue and rebuilding in the areas hit by the
Attack on America.

Anderson, you scumbag, this NG is ABOUT Amateur Radio! NOT The Red Cross,
FDNY, NYPD, Salvation Army, etc. it is absolutely reasonable that we discuss
the activities of organizations and people we know.

WE (yes, WE) know that there are tens-of-thousands of volunteers from all
walks in all professions and avocations who are out there, and the great
majority of them do NOT have an Amateur Radio license nor even KNOW what
Amateur Radio is about.

Only YOU, you would try to demean, belittle and denigrate the efforts of
ANY of those volunteers.

NO ONE in this forum, I reiterate NO ONE has made even the REMOTEST
suggestion that "only" Amateur Radio is involved in this effort.

You get even more disgusting and ugly as the days go by, you sadistist
punk.

4772. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:47:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925144722.28924.00000835@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21016

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: K0HB@PLANNED_PARENTHOOD.ORG
>Date: 9/25/01 11:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9oq9c6$d0f$1@news.netmar.com>

>K0HB@PLANNED_PARENTHOOD.ORG

Too bad your folks didn't have access to this organization, Hansie.

>It is a mixture and a blend of many cultures, mostly European, but
>increasingly Asian and African as we gain more Americans from those
>backgrounds

Absolutely. But there IS an "American" culture. If there wasn't these
ragheaded bastards wouldn't be in such a rush to destroy it.

>My background, Russian/German...(SNIP)

That explains a lot.

> When I was a child I didn't know anyone over the age of 40 whose
>native tungue was English, yet out of that small farming community have
>come a Medal of Honor recipient, a state governor, dozens of career military
>people, a congressman, and other significant contributors to the American
>way of life.

And how many of them didn't speak English effectively?

>The US flag waves high and proud on silo's, front porches,
>and main street light poles, and the nearby Army recruiting office has
>exceeded goal in each of the past 30 years except 1973.

Of which I am proud to hear. This has WHAT to do with wanting to have one
common language that we can ALL understand and have a COMMON frame of
reference?

>But many of the "old timers" still have Russian or German as their
>first language, and one Lutheran church still conducts one service
>each week in German. Shame on them!

And how many of them do not have a functional literacy in English,
Hans...?!?!

How many...?!?!

Steve

4773. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:52:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925145233.28956.00000717@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21017

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/25/01 2:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB0C7C3.4090305@IEEE.org>

>Since God communicated with men long
>before English was invented, English is not God's preferred language.

Only if you believe that God spoke ONLY to the Jews and ONLY in Hebrew.
God says that we all his children and that he speaks to/hears all of us.

Now...that reference...?!?!

Steve

4774. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 18:54:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925145448.28956.00000718@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21018

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/25/01 2:17 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB0CA41.4000709@IEEE.org>

>This one, which is the subject of the discussion.
>"The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
>illegal to speak any other language in public."

I never said that, Cecil...Why are you busting my chops about it? I DID
say that we should have ONE language common to all of us, and that every
citizen should be at least functionally fluent in it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4775. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 19:05:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kA4s7.9909$3d2.880924@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21019

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Q14s7.9889$3d2.878723@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Richard, if someone holds a technician license (still current, being
renewed
> now and then) which was issued back in the 60s, wouldn't they only have to
> file paperwork and automatically be upgraded to general? I believe back
> then the only difference was the code test (the licenses were novice, 1
year
> non-renewable, technicial, 5 wpm with general theory, and general with
its'
> 13 wpm requirement). This would not, of course, apply to most tech
tickets
> currently held.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

That is, you will pardon the expression, a Big 10-4. I believe the Tech got
its own test about 1986 or 1987 so anything prior is a 'paperwork General'.

4776. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 19:43:18 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB0DE56.8AF54A15@uci.edu>
Source record: 21020

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

> > "ONE nation under God" is what the pledge says.........

> And which "God" would that be, Steve?

How many are there to choose from?

4777. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 20:28:55 UTC
From: nohf4brian <hfisfun@osu.edu>
Message-ID: <MPG.161a368e7e40f393989714@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21021

In article <9op790$kj9$0@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> I don't know what's going on down at the
> > United Church of Have A Nice Day but the Episcopal Church believes that
> > Man has free will.
>
>
> Did you know there are more Muslims in the USA than Episcopalians?
>

I don't care if it rains or freezes,
long as I got my plastic jesus
riding on the dashboard of my car ya ya ya
I can go a hundred miles an hour
long as I got the almighty power
glued up there by my pair of fuzzy dice

Greeting Dear Friends from the Right Reverend Dr Billy Sohl Hargis
from the 1st Church of the Gooey Death
right here in the gold buckle of the Bible Belt

DelRio Texas.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

4778. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 20:28:59 UTC
From: nohf4brian <hfisfun@osu.edu>
Message-ID: <MPG.161a37057f7cc973989715@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21022

In article <3bb05f0f$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> Could someone explain to me what God has to do with amateur radio?
>
> Kim W5TIT

They say only god can make a tree

so if he can make slightly more than 6 of them, you'd have

7 trees get it???

Kim, splain it to Len, he looks lost. Never mind, that stupid look on
his face is normal for Len ha ha ha

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

4779. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 20:53:40 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB0EED4.FEC35190@uci.edu>
Source record: 21023

CAM wrote:

> Well then, Jim, I have a question for you. Does God look in
> the box to determine whether Schrodinger's cat is dead of alive?
> If so, the implications for quantum physics would be monstrous.

Not necessarily. If God is omnipotent, it would mean that physical
phenomenon would behave however He wanted it to, whenever He wanted it
to behave that way, irrespective of normal physical behavior.

4780. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:04:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0109251304.1b8428ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21024

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<Xr0s7.9738$3d2.861867@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> I foolishly posted:

Foolishly? Not at all!
>
> >> Every ham who puts up an antenna is an "RF Designer" and
> >> should be able to use the Smith chart, at least conceptually.
>
> N2EY, Jim <n2ey@aol.com> replied in kind:
>
> > Maybe the way out of the mess is for a Smith Chart test could
> > replace the code test.....
>
> > But then the trouble would start...
>
> > Some hams who had not passed the Smith Chart test might
> > say that those who had passed the test were being "elitist"
> > about their Smith chart skill and knowledge. The term
> > "chartless Extra" would be considered an insult by some, a
> > badge of honor by others. Some would grumble that since
> > they have no desire to design antenna/transmission line
> > systems, they should not be required to pass a Smith Chart
> > test......
>
> Well, Jim (harumph, geeeeeze...) if these "slackers" would just
> buckle down and practice, practice, practice it won't be too
> long before they will be clipping along nicely, plotting
> 20 loci/hr and enjoying every minute of it. It will seem
> like a second language to them.

By golly, I think you've got the drift, there, Jim. I hear tell
there's actual computer software out there to help the younguns learn
the Chart, by golly. And some weird product called Chart Quick! We
never had such a soft time in MY day, lemme tellya!

They just gotta put down the keyboard and the mike and start plotting.
>
> Of course, nothing compares to actually getting on the air with
> the Smith Chart.

Absolutely, by golly.It works when other computational methods won't,
doncha know. All you need is a Chart and some basic drafting tools.
The Ancient Ones used to draw their own Charts from scratch, lemme
tellya.

> Indeed one of the most fondly remembered
> traditions is recreated every New Year's Eve when the OD (old
> designer) dusts off his beloved General Radio 874 and heads
> out to his antenna range for Slotted Line Night (SLN).

Don't forget the 12 inch Pickett slide rule in its leather belt
holster, by golly.

> There,
> in the cramped, screened-in Faraday cage beneath the 1/24 scale
> model of the three-element, 160-meter beam he will one day
> put up, he finally achieves the VSWR bandwidth he always knew
> was possible, waiting to be patiently tweaked out by individual
> technical skill.

UNHEATED Faraday cage, doncha know.

> It is a rare satisfaction that he shares with
> the fiery-cold stars overhead and the mute icicles clinging
> to the test tower guys as he begins the long, far-field walk
> over crunching, frozen ground back to a warm, inviting hamshack.

Ayup. Shack walls covered with Charts of matching systems of many
antenna systems, input and output circuits of solid state RF
amplifiers, etc. And on the wall, the coveted DCCC certificate
(Designed Charts Century Club) in its frame, with the hard-earned
endorsement stickers.
>
> All of this will be lost if we outlaw use of the Smith Chart!

OUTLAW! By golly, who wants that??!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4781. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:28:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BB0E6F7.1D2D4C50@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21025

If god is omnipotent and all powerful, can god make a rock so big
that he can't lift it?

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Well then, Jim, I have a question for you. Does God look in
> > the box to determine whether Schrodinger's cat is dead of alive?
> > If so, the implications for quantum physics would be monstrous.
>
> Not necessarily. If God is omnipotent, it would mean that physical
> phenomenon would behave however He wanted it to, whenever He wanted it
> to behave that way, irrespective of normal physical behavior.

4782. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:35:04 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <a5cf52365f3c01b2cd8eb0088d45437c.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21026

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB0C842.3010600@IEEE.org...

> > But many of the "old timers" still have Russian or German as their
> > first language, and one Lutheran church still conducts one service
> > each week in German.

> Watch out, Hans. That may be a capital offense before long.

I don't think that the Tennessee Taliban will be successful in
imposing his "only English in public" rule around here. We're
much too Americanized to knuckle under to such totalitarian
control.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from zdialup139.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.11.140]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4783. Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:41:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010925174126.10276.00000618@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21027

In article <f7d9a152.0109241809.3f83bf2a@posting.google.com>,
jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu) writes:

>
>With Code Quick, you bypass the drudgery of memorizing dits and dahs.

...And replace it with a system which requires double- or triple-translation
of the Morse code character sounds into a letter. Maximum speed
attainable: 5 WPM, *if* you're lucky!

>It's silly and over-the-top, and that is why it works. You use cutesy
>sound-alikes to learn the rhythm of the characters. For example, L is
>"a LIGHT is lit".

How about telling us what you used for the letter "N?"

>You'll notice that the only people who knock Code
>Quick are the ones who learned Morse Code through another method.

A superior method, you mean. One that leaves out both the multiple-
translation AND the religious proselytizing!

(Of
>course, everyone thinks his/her pet method is the superior way and
>pooh-poohs all the rest. Just do what works for you.)

Now, there's a real sales pitch -- NOT!!! For a minute there, I thought you
worked
for the Code Quick company!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4784. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:41:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010925174126.10276.00000619@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21028

In article <QNIr7.3647$pH1.563810@monger.newsread.com>, "Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>Thanks Cecil, at least you understood the, granted small amount of, humor
>meant with that post..... CW is a method of transmission, not a language.
>Same way with all these operators working in the "75" meter band, last I
>checked, this was a shortwave broadcast band, not a ham allocation.
>However, my handy-dandy ARRL frequency allocation chart shows clearly there
>is an 80meter and 40 meter band for our use. Still can find the 75meter
>band.
>
>Ryan

Ryan:

The 75-meter band is actually the phone part of what is more commonly referred to the 80-meter band. The distinction is made because at that higher frequency, the wavelength is much closer to 75 meters than it is to 80, and this is a substantial difference.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4785. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:42:36 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB0FA4C.8FA49AC4@uci.edu>
Source record: 21029

CAM wrote:

> Do you
> think God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive?
> I, personally, don't think he does. "Not only does God roll
> dice, he rolls them in the dark."

It's just a pretend cat, Cecil. As you know, he's defined as being
neither dead nor alive, but 50% of both. God undoubtedly understands
coherent superpositions as well as he does the riddles people contrive
to describe quantum phenomenon.

4786. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:49:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925174953.04826.00000612@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21030

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/25/01 5:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <a5cf52365f3c01b2cd8eb0088d45437c.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>I don't think that the Tennessee Taliban will be successful in
>imposing his "only English in public" rule around here.

Hans, you cowardly sack of excrement, state where I said "English only in public".

>We're
>much too Americanized to knuckle under to such totalitarian
>control.

Except you. You seem perfectly at ease putting people under YOUR thumb, Your Cowardliness.

>With all kind wishes,

Coward and a liar to boot.

No "73" for you, Hansie.

4787. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 21:59:03 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <eba438dedcd3eb8dbb90ef86dae3ff86.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21031

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010925144722.28924.00000835@mb-da.aol.com...

> >But many of the "old timers" still have Russian or German as their
> >first language, and one Lutheran church still conducts one service
> >each week in German. Shame on them!
>
> And how many of them do not have a functional literacy in English,
> Hans...?!?!

If "functional literacy" means the ability to read and write English,
then I know of several elderly people who depend on others to translate
documents for them. They can carry on a conversation in English, but
are far from "functionally" literate. I currently live in a metropolitan
area where many hardworking immigrants from Asia are raising American
families, and whose grasp of even spoken English is poor to non-existant.
But there is no doubt of their patriotism, nor of their desire to contribute
to the future of their adopted country. Your narrow-minded insistence on
"speak English or else" would remind them of the totalitarian regimes which
they fled in search of the freedoms of America.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from zdialup139.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.11.140]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4788. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 22:14:47 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB101D7.845CD011@uci.edu>
Source record: 21032

JJ wrote:
>
> If god is omnipotent and all powerful, can god make a rock so big
> that he can't lift it?

I don't know. Why don't you ask Him?

4789. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 22:40:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB107C1.D2F2B453@uci.edu>
Source record: 21033

Hans Brakob wrote:
> I don't think that the Tennessee Taliban will be successful in
> imposing his "only English in public" rule around here.

For future reference, that particular pearl of wisdom was generated by someone
identifying himself as "Unit 69".

4790. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 22:48:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925184843.07466.00000750@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21034

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 9/25/01 6:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB107C1.D2F2B453@uci.edu>

>Hans Brakob wrote:
>> I don't think that the Tennessee Taliban will be successful in
>> imposing his "only English in public" rule around here.
>
>For future reference, that particular pearl of wisdom was generated by
>someone
>identifying himself as "Unit 69".

THANK you!

Far be it for me to expect Hans or Lennie to get facts/quotes attributed
to the correct author.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4791. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 22:56:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925185632.07466.00000751@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21036

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/25/01 5:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <eba438dedcd3eb8dbb90ef86dae3ff86.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>Your narrow-minded insistence on
>"speak English or else" would remind them of the totalitarian regimes which
>they fled in search of the freedoms of America.

And I suggest, Your Airheadedness, that you see the posts of others here
who further point out that the "or else" comments were NOT mine.

I DO advocate one COMMON language...for all...NOT exclusive of others.

If you can't see the difference, perhaps it's because you have your head
so far up your tailpipe that you can't see what's going on around you?

If you are paying attention to anyone else's posts than yours and mine,
you will see the "or else" and "nothing but English in publc" were the comments
of a third party.

Perhaps you'd care to try again, Your Putziness?

Or perhaps not...You are on a rant and I know it might cause you to suffer
horribly if someone awoke you abruptly.

4792. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-25 23:53:54 UTC
From: K0HB@Let.Freedom.Ring.org
Message-ID: <9or5ei$lh8$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 21037

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>>I don't think that the Tennessee Taliban will be successful in
>>imposing his "only English in public" rule around here.
>
> Hans, you cowardly sack of excrement, state where I said "English only
in
>public".

Gee, Steve, I was talking about the Tennessee Taliban. Is that your name?!?!
Jim Kelley said it is "Unit 69", but maybe he's wrong.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4793. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 00:03:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925200316.03974.00000182@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 21038

In article <Q14s7.9889$3d2.878723@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Richard, if someone holds a technician license (still current, being renewed >now and then) which was issued back in the 60s, wouldn't they only have to >file paperwork and automatically be upgraded to general?

Yes.

The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and General used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).

If a current Tech Plus can show that he/she was licensed as a Tech before March 21, 1987, a "paper upgrade" is possible. The ham still has to go to a VE session and pay the VE fee, though - it can't be done by direct interaction with the FCC.

Otherwise, current Tech Pluses need to pass the current version of Element 3 (the General written) to get a General. It's 35 questions, multiple choice.

> I believe back
>then the only difference was the code test (the licenses were novice, 1 year >non-renewable, technicial, 5 wpm with general theory, and general with its' >13 wpm requirement). This would not, of course, apply to most tech tickets >currently held.

Novice was 1 year nonrenewable nonretakeable from 1951 to 1967. Then it was two years nonrenewable nonretakeable until the mid 1970s. The '70s saw some quick changes - first, the license was two years nonrenewable but you could retake it after 1 year off the air. Then it was two years and retakeable right away (as soon as it expired). Finally it was 5 years renewable.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4794. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 00:29:52 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB12180.CCFFB123@uci.edu>
Source record: 21039

K0HB@Let.Freedom.Ring.org wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >>I don't think that the Tennessee Taliban will be successful in
> >>imposing his "only English in public" rule around here.
> >
> > Hans, you cowardly sack of excrement, state where I said "English only
> in
> >public".
>
> Gee, Steve, I was talking about the Tennessee Taliban. Is that your name?!?!
> Jim Kelley said it is "Unit 69", but maybe he's wrong.

"Unit 69, Operator Scott" wrote:
>
> SD SpecialDelivery Jones wrote:
>
> > stake........did somebody say something about borders , language and
> > culture.???????
>
> The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
> illegal to speak any other language in public. Of course, what one does
> in their abode is their business.

4795. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 00:42:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Gx9s7.32624$Dz6.710387@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21040

The quoted post is certainly true. No matter what the tests are, or require-ments, there will always be those that jump the hurdles with no thought of tripping over the bar. Their only incentive is just to get on the air and talk..
I still see the other side of the question as well, and what I believe the propo-nents of "higher" hurdles are trying to convey. It "can" be somewhat frustrat-ing to deal with those whose who seek not the learning aspect of what they do--only memorizing enough to get by the multi-choice questions.

For example, (I have said this before) I build a version of the popular screw-driver mobile antennas. I provide instructions on how to set the thing up, ex-plain about impedance matching, and try to provide hints and tips as how to get the most out of it. I have had a number of "Extra" Class hams return to me saying that the antenna doesn't work. Upon examining their installation, I usu-ally find that they did something wrong, or they just didn't read the instructions.
Many of their questions can be answered if they would take the trouble to read. Another group just simply has NO idea, not a clue, about how to set up a station. They want "plug-n-play"--they are used to plugging a handheld into the cig lighter, slapping a mag mount on the roof and VOILA! They is one of them thar hams! But HF is NOT like that, so when they slap the antenna on, run the "wars" (wires) up 'ere to the front and the SWR goes thru the roof, they get on the phone or email and tell me it won't work. 99.9% of the time it is their own ignorance of HF and antenna theory. .01% of the time, there is, indeed, a small problem that occurred during building or shipping damage. (UPS has ingenious ways of circumventing damage control packing). They then expect me to install it for them. THAT ain't for free! Yes, I do get aggra-vated at these Extra "lites" that should know more than I (Advanced). I do think that Amateur Radio should be more than jabbering on a radio. It should be a learning experience, and being the "gimme" society we are these days, that is becoming rare; we just memorize the question pool, answer questions by rote, and then trip over the "hurdles" later (that resistor that smoked

suddenly,
the SWR that won't come down, the squeal that comes thru another speaker
while transmitting, etc.). After all, ole Jerry 'll fix it for me, right?
I can't help
grousing, "how come HE doesn't fix his rig his damn self?"

Still, I am aware that the days of learning a modicum of circuit theory,
building
transmitters is a fading art. That is the state of the hobby, the regulatory
guys
have made it clear that such is less and less important, and a memorized
test is
all that is needed. Hehe, those guys will never know the "joy" (pain) of
getting
zapped by a capacitor he thought he neutralized with a screwdriver, gettin
the
crap burned out of your hand by grabbing the wrong wire on a dynamotor, and
a host of other things.

Jerry
K4KWH

,

JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3BB0922D.29D5698B@mailcity.com...
> It is only a hobby, not a career path.
>

4796. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 01:11:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ora0jats0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 21041

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
> >>guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
> >>obtained through actions, not through testing.
> >
> > > Got news for you Cecil. The Extra class was never intended to be an
> > > entry level license. That is why it was named Extra.
> You really need to learn how to read, Dick. I didn't say anything
>
> about an "entry level license". The Extra class *EXAM* is a
> "minimum entry level exam" into the Extra class, i.e. it is based
> on minimum requirements.

Wrong. It represents the top level of amateur licensing, or once did.
Today it just represents, well, another question pool test element
studied for and passed. Were it not for the fact that FCC doesn't want
to hear all the noise that would result I have no doubt it would no
longer even bear the name of Extra.

Why do you have so much trouble with that
> concept?

You manufacture your "concepts" on the fly to suit your agenda du
moment, and this is just another example.

Anyone who barely squeaks by gets the same license as
> I who scored 100% on the exam.

Ah, but before the code was dropped to almost nothing, you couldn't
pass it at all. No wonder you're so dedicated to fighting the concept of
the current "dumbed down" tests.

> --
> cheers, CAM
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4797. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 01:31:31 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925213131.23984.00000740@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 21042

>Look, Dork. The *anyone* listening to my phone conversations was meant to
>imply any law enforcement,

Ok dip shit. so you do not want any right to privacy, that is fine for you, but do not impose your facist policy crap on the rest of the world, Now go to hell you stupid asshole.

4798. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 01:35:52 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0109251735.4bbcd35e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21044

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

> Nope. But the CONTEXT of the analogy I made remains.

You wouldn't recognize an analogy if it bit you in the butt.
You may have looked at the Latin roots of the word 'analogy'
and concluded that it is the scientific study of the human
rectum. I assure you that this is not the case.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

4799. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 01:36:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Pias7.10207$3d2.918082@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21045

"nohf4brian" <hfisfun@osu.edu> wrote in message
news:MPG.161a368e7e40f393989714@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <9op790$kj9$0@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> I don't care if it rains or freezes,
> long as I got my plastic jesus
> riding on the dashboard of my car ya ya ya
> I can go a hundred miles an hour
> long as I got the almighty power
> glued up there by my pair of fuzzy dice
>

Close the door, He's phosphorescent.
I got Jesus lum-in-escent.
riding on the dashboard of my car.

Man those 1969 car regs sure did in a lot of Americana, didn't they?

4800. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 01:55:45 UTC
From: Shojingod <shojingod@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <4T7s7.27$n12.11492@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 21035
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

What a bloody moron.

4801. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:30:24 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <45bs7.328$Le.14702@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21046

Be careful Jerry..you will get jumped on by the new majority of Extra Class
lite's....
our numbers are dwindling..but not our spirit..

73

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Gx9s7.32624$Dz6.710387@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> The quoted post is certainly true. No matter what the tests are, or
> require-ments, there will always be those that jump the hurdles with no
> thought of
> tripping over the bar. Their only incentive is just to get on the air and
> talk..
> I still see the other side of the question as well, and what I believe the
> propo-
> nents of "higher" hurdles are trying to convey. It "can" be somewhat
> frustrat-
> ing to deal with those whose who seek not the learning aspect of what they
> do--only memorizing enough to get by the multi-choice questions.
>
> For example, (I have said this before) I build a version of the popular
> screw-
> driver mobile antennas. I provide instructions on how to set the thing
up,
> ex-
> plain about impedance matching, and try to provide hints and tips as how
to
> get the most out of it. I have had a number of "Extra" Class hams return
to
> me
> saying that the antenna doesn't work. Upon examining their installation,
I
> usu-
> ally find that they did something wrong, or they just didn't read the
> instructions.
> Many of their questions can be answered if they would take the trouble to
> read. Another group just simply has NO idea, not a clue, about how to set
> up a station. They want "plug-n-play"--they are used to plugging a
handheld
> into the cig lighter, slapping a mag mount on the roof and VOILA! They is
> one of them thar hams! But HF is NOT like that, so when they slap the
> antenna on,
> run the "wars" (wires) up 'ere to the front and the SWR goes thru the
roof,
> they get on the phone or email and tell me it won't work. 99.9% of the

time
> it is their own ignorance of HF and antenna theory. .01% of the time,
there
> is, indeed, a small problem that occurred during building or shipping
> damage. (UPS has ingenious ways of circumventing damage control
packing).
> They
> then expect me to install it for them. THAT ain't for free! Yes, I do
get
> aggra-
> vated at these Extra "lites" that should know more than I (Advanced). I
do
> think that Amateur Radio should be more than jabbering on a radio. It
> should
> be a learning experience, and being the "gimme" society we are these days,
> that
> is becoming rare; we just memorize the question pool, answer questions by
> rote, and then trip over the "hurdles" later (that resistor that smoked
> suddenly,
> the SWR that won't come down, the squeal that comes thru another speaker
> while transmitting, etc.). After all, ole Jerry 'll fix it for me, right?
> I can't help
> grousing, "how come HE doesn't fix his rig his damn self?"
>
> Still, I am aware that the days of learning a modicum of circuit theory,
> building
> transmitters is a fading art. That is the state of the hobby, the
regulatory
> guys
> have made it clear that such is less and less important, and a memorized
> test is
> all that is needed. Hehe, those guys will never know the "joy" (pain) of
> getting
> zapped by a capacitor he thought he neutralized with a screwdriver, gettin
> the
> crap burned out of your hand by grabbing the wrong wire on a dynamotor,
and
> a host of other things.
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ,
>
>

> JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3BB0922D.29D5698B@mailcity.com...
> > It is only a hobby, not a career path.
> >
>
>
>
>

4802. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:39:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB13FC8.9070007@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21047

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>>Well then, Jim, I have a question for you. Does God look in
>>the box to determine whether Schrodinger's cat is dead of alive?
>>If so, the implications for quantum physics would be monstrous.
>
> Not necessarily. If God is omnipotent, it would mean that physical
> phenomenon would behave however He wanted it to, whenever He wanted it
> to behave that way, irrespective of normal physical behavior.
Exactly, and therefore you and I have no free will.

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4803. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:40:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB14035.6050806@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21048

JJ wrote:

> If god is omnipotent and all powerful, can god make a rock so big
> that he can't lift it?
Yep, he can make a rock so big he has no place to stand.

Have you heard the latest? There was no spontaneous Big
Bang. There was simply a collision of two universes.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4804. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:43:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB140EA.4070102@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21049

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>>Do you
>>think God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive?
>>I, personally, don't think he does. "Not only does God roll
>>dice, he rolls them in the dark."
>
> It's just a pretend cat, Cecil. As you know, he's defined as being
> neither dead nor alive, but 50% of both.

Not if God has peeked. The question is, does God peek or not?
If God peeks, we have no free will. I like to think that God
chooses not to peek.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4805. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:46:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB14182.1020206@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21050

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Only if you believe that God spoke ONLY to the Jews and ONLY in Hebrew.
> God says that we all his children and that he speaks to/hears all of us.
Which begs the question - What is God's preferred language?

--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4806. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:49:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB14241.8010303@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21051

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> Hans Brakob wrote:
>>And which "God" would that be, Steve?
>
> How many are there to choose from?
Thousands. Sun God, Moon God, God of the Ocean, God of the Underworld,

Rain God, God of War, God of Love, Fertility God, etc. etc. etc.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4807. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:52:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB142DE.8020408@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21052

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>This one, which is the subject of the discussion.
>>"The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
>>illegal to speak any other language in public."
>
> I never said that, Cecil...Why are you busting my chops about it?

Hans and I objected to the above posting. You seemed to jump
in and defend it. You certainly have NOT condemned it. Would
you care to do that now?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4808. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 02:55:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB143BA.9090608@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21053

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Far be it for me to expect Hans or Lennie to get facts/quotes attributed
> to the correct author.
The problem is, Steve, that you appeared to defend that

statement. Do you now wish to go on record as condemning it?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4809. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 03:07:45 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB14681.4060304@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21054

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Wrong. It represents the top level of amateur licensing, or once did.
It was still obtained by barely squeaking by a minimum requirements

Extra entry level exam. You still don't grasp that concept. Many,
many Extras were extremely over-qualified for the Extra class when
they took the Extra exam. The percentage knowledge difference between
the ones who barely squeaked by and the extremely over-qualified ones
may have been thousands of percent. That means that you need to prove
your ARS worth aside from merely passing that Extra entry level exam.
Yet, you have stated earlier that you are perfectly happy to rest
on the laurels you had when you passed the exam. It's easy to see why
you have that attitude but most of us are not impressed. What have you
done for the ARS and yourself lately?
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4810. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 03:13:32 UTC
From: tbryant@cannet.com
Message-ID: <9orh4s03gq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 21056

In article <20010925174126.10276.00000618@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...
>
>In article <f7d9a152.0109241809.3f83bf2a@posting.google.com>,
>jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu) writes:
>
>>
>>With Code Quick, you bypass the drudgery of memorizing dits and dahs.
>
>...And replace it with a system which requires double- or triple-translation
>of the Morse code character sounds into a letter. Maximum speed
>attainable: 5 WPM, *if* you're lucky!
>
>>It's silly and over-the-top, and that is why it works. You use cutesy
>>sound-alikes to learn the rhythm of the characters. For example, L is
>>"a LIGHT is lit".
>
>How about telling us what you used for the letter "N?"
>
>>You'll notice that the only people who knock Code
>>Quick are the ones who learned Morse Code through another method.
>
>A superior method, you mean. One that leaves out both the multiple-
>translation AND the religious proselytizing!
>
> (Of
>>course, everyone thinks his/her pet method is the superior way and
>>pooh-poohs all the rest. Just do what works for you.)
>
>Now, there's a real sales pitch -- NOT!!! For a minute there, I thought you
>worked
>for the Code Quick company!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

I've been away from this newsgroup for several months, and I can see that some people still don't have lives.

Your response only shows your ignorance of how the human mind learns and processes information. What you incorrectly perceive as double- or triple-translation is far easier and quicker to the learning of sound schemes than just rote. CodeQuick engages visual and aural senses. When more senses are used, information is more easily retained. Speed, which is the only thing you care about, is not within the structure of CodeQuick.

I suggest you educate yourself by reading some books by Tony Buzan and Harry Lorraine. They're been using and teaching these techniques for years.

Tom - N8ECW

4811. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 03:26:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9orhtr$5d1$0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 21055

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Wrong. It represents the top level of amateur licensing, or once did.
> It was still obtained by barely squeaking by a minimum requirements
>
> Extra entry level exam. You still don't grasp that concept. Many,
> many Extras were extremely over-qualified for the Extra class when
> they took the Extra exam. The percentage knowledge difference between
> the ones who barely squeaked by and the extremely over-qualified ones
> may have been thousands of percent. That means that you need to prove
> your ARS worth aside from merely passing that Extra entry level exam.
> Yet, you have stated earlier that you are perfectly happy to rest
> on the laurels you had when you passed the exam. It's easy to see why
> you have that attitude but most of us are not impressed. What have you
> done for the ARS and yourself lately?
>

I'll answer your ignorant response with a post taken from another
venue.
This says it all:

Below is a quote from a comment appearing on a QRZ article. What is
wrong
with this picture?

"let's welcome the new extra class licensees, and help them to gain the
experience they need to become good amateur operators"

Ahhhhh! This is what we used to say about Novices & Techs. Talk about
grade
inflation! I think I now favor the old (pre-1970s) 2-year experience as
a
General as a prerequisite for becoming an Extra Class. Of course, I
took 20
years to go from General to Extra, but that's another long (hey, 20
years
long) story.

I'm all for helping newbies, of course. But gee whillakers.
----
end quote.
I say bullfeathers. Add them to the tar on Cecil's carcass.

4812. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 03:37:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB13F57.4D7B10F7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21057

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>Do you honestly believe that you are a windup toy?
> >>>
> >>If God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive,
> >>then yes, I am just a windup toy.
> >
> > Thanks for your frank revelation.
>
> Revelation? That's a conditional statement, Dave. Do you
> think God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive?
> I, personally, don't think he does. "Not only does God roll
> dice, he rolls them in the dark."

Let's hope you can adjust to dealing with the apparent religious contradictions in your life. The chances are, though, that you aren't serious and that this entire discussion is yet another attempt to setup one of your lengthy definition games.

Dave K8MN

4813. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:02:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926000255.28070.00000133@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21059

In article <3BAD5F52.1000604@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Well, that would be .001 % of ham radio ops.

Well, let's do the math.....

1% is one in a hundred
.1% is one in a thousand
.01% is one in ten thousand
.001% is one in a hundred thousand

There are about 682,000 hams in the USA currently, so .001% is about seven hams. Cecil, Dr. Williamson, K7JEB and I make four, so there are three others out there who know the Chart...

>Then 99.999% of hams radio ops are not real hams.

Only seven of us in the USA!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4814. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:02:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926000255.28070.00000132@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21061

In article <20010924130920.02524.00000165@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>>
>>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never to
>>use it again, either.
>
>There is proof of this?

Yep ;-)

> An "official" poll? :-)

Not a poll. ;-)
>
>>Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith
>>chart.
>
>Oh? What "programs" are those? Please list a few.

There are so many that any list I would post would be deficient.
>
>The Smith Chart is a visual aid and an approximation insofar
>as numerical accuracy is concerned.

We know, Len.

> It is such a handy
>visual tool that hardware network analyzers present their
>analysis results AS a Smith Chart.

We know, Len.
>
>A Smith Chart does NOT "solve" things by itself.

It's a tool. Like a slide rule, abacus, lightning calculator, adding machine,
or a buggywhip.

>It can show
>VSWR of a plotted impedance or admittance.

We know, Len.

(snip of rant about Smith Chart's wonderful properties)

>>73 de Jim, N2EY (who has mastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)
>
>Mastered the Smith Chart and equate it with morse code?!?!?!? :-)

Len, you're so dense that light bends around you ;-)

Mentioning both in the same sentence is not equating them.
>
>I'm going to HAVE to go to the next PGMTT monthly meeting
>and drop that little gem on the folks there. :-)

PGMTT - what's that stand for? Professional Geezers Matching Tall Tales? ;-)
>
>Minus j M,

What is "Minus j M" supposed to mean?

> to anyone who already knows complex quantities and
>the general basics behind impedance or admittance, a Smith
>Chart can be "mastered" in less than a working day. It is a
>subtle, elegant in simplicity of illustrating Z and Y relationships.

We KNOW, Len.
>
>If there be "mastery" then it is is in understanding the concepts
>of impedance (Z) and admittance (Y) versus frequency and
>applying them to achieve maximum RF power transfer.

Tell us something we don't know, Len.

>The
>Smith Chart is a tool to achieve that end quickly and efficiently.

Yeah. High school stuff.

>"Phil Smith's Wonderful Diagram" (as one industry publication
>put it long ago) has been an enormous boon to thousands who
>work and have worked with AF through RF for a half century.

That means it's OLD technology. From the days before cheap and plentiful computing. It's error-prone and inaccurate, compared to more modern methods. Why are you so stuck in the past, Len?

>Amateurs who do nothing more than ragchew and collect
>wallpaper without venturing into technology beyond their radio's
>front panels don't need it to ragchew and proclaim themselves
>"masters" of radio.

Well, that leaves me out. Not only do I know Morse and Smith Charts, but I design and build my own rigs, antennas, and accessories and do all sorts of stuff on the ham bands. But I don't claim to be a "master" or "expert" on anything.

Are you "master of your own domain", Len? ;-)

N2EY

4815. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:02:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926000256.28070.00000135@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21058

In article <3BB07893.42C4F2F8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Thanks for your frank revelation.
>
Speaking of franks, that reminds me.....

What did the Zen Buddhist say to the hot dog vendor?

"Make me one - with everything".

73 de Jim, N2EY

4816. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:02:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926000256.28070.00000134@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21060

In article <3BAD2B32.5090700@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>>
>> There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smith chart and vowed never to
>> use it again, either.

>That is, IMO, a rather ridiculous assertion.

Yet it's the truth.

> I know of no reason to learn the Smith Chart unless one intends to use it.

Ah, but there are Smith Chart questions on the Extra test. So people who want to be Extras are forced to learn the Chart or else risk failing the test. The Chart is shoved down their throats even though there is no requirement to ever use it.

And you have proposed that it be tested even more (PSCTA movement, you called it. Some kind of movement, anyway).

73 de Jim, N2EY

qualified to use both Smith Charts and Morse Code.

4817. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:03:30 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bb1525c.3739150@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 21062

On 25 Sep 2001 07:02:34 -0700, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote in message
news:<3bafdb2c.1647656@news.mindspring.com>...
>> On 24 Sep 2001 12:03:39 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3bae8edd.4520784@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>> >(Bill Sohl) writes:
>> >
>> >>Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
>> >>the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW.
>> >
>> >Not so! There are LOTS of reasons for any and every ham to learn CW. (I've got
>> >a list right here....)
>> >
>> >I think what you meant to say was "there is simply no compelling reason to
>> >REQUIRE that hams learn CW in order to get a license".
>> >
>> >The first statement is anti-code. The second is anti-test.
>>
>> We can differ on the first statement being anti-code.
>
>Let's look at it again:
>
>"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn
>CW."
>
>Looks pretty hostile to CW to me. The statement isn't about testing or
>regulation at all, it's about learning, or not learning, CW.

It is neither hostile nor supporting. The statement doesn't say
that hams should NOT learn CW if they want to...neither does
it say anything in opposition to hams using CW if they wish.
The statement addresses only the "need" to learn or not.

>> The
>> issue or point is that whatever reasons exist, they are (as you
>> correctly state) insufficient to justify a code test.
>
>According to the FCC, anyway. But that's a completely different issue!
>Many things in ham radio are worth learning but are not tested.

And each of those "things" that fall into that catagory are
left to the individual ham to learn if they want or to ignore
if they choose to.

>> >>Indeed, not one
>> >>arguement to sustain code requirements seems to have been
>> >>of any convincing to the FCC and the international community.
>> >>
>> >The FCC and its foreign counterparts seem to be pretty clear about that.
>> >
>> >But the FCC says some pretty odd things. For example, anyone who can show that
>> >they ever held a Novice license automatically gets code test credit. But that
>> >does not apply to any other license class, and a CSCE is only good for 365
>> >days!
>>
>> It doesn't make much sense to me either.
>
>Yet the FCC not only made those rules but won't change them.

Well we can agree on that :-)

>> >So we have the following paradoxes:
>> >
>> >A ham who had a Novice decades ago but let the license go gets credit, but a
>> >ham who skipped the Novice step does not. Same for the holder of an Element 1
>> >CSCE more than 365 days old. Huh?
>>
>> Yep, that seems to be possible.
>
>Yup. I'm as pro-code-test as they come, but it makes absolutely no
>sense to me.
>
>FCC will accept for credit a code test done by a single volunteer
>examiner 50 years ago (the hypothetical case of a 1951 mail-order
>Novice who let the license lapse) but won't accept for credit an
>Element 1 CSCE from a ham tested by 3 or more VEs just 366 days ago.
>FCC also won't accept ANY non-Novice lapsed-license test results, even
>if the test was given by FCC officials themselves (the hypothetical
>case of a ham who passed 5, 13 or 20 wpm at an FCC office but bypassed
>the Novice).
>
>My point is that just because FCC says it doesn't make it right. Just
>the law.

The same is true for any agency and/or government
entity.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4818. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:12:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1559E.40406@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21063

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smith chart and vowed never to
>>>use it again, either.
>
>>That is, IMO, a rather ridiculous assertion.
>
> Yet it's the truth.

Please produce a list of ten hams who have *mastered* the Smith
Chart and vowed never to use it again.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4819. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:19:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1575B.5000404@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21064

Dave Heil wrote:

> Let's hope you can adjust to dealing with the apparent religious
> contradictions in your life.

No religious contraditions in my life, Dave. If God ascertains what I am going to write a day before I write it, then I don't have free will. Since I believe God wants me to have free will, I trust he will avoid peering into the future to see what I am going to write. If he doesn't avoid the temptation to look into the future to see what I am going to do, then he cannot hold me accountable for what I do that matches what he already knows.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4820. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:28:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB15979.3090200@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21065

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Wrong. It represents the top level of amateur licensing, or once did.
>>>
>>It was still obtained by barely squeaking by a minimum requirements
>>
>>Extra entry level exam. You still don't grasp that concept. Many,
>>many Extras were extremely over-qualified for the Extra class when
>>they took the Extra exam. The percentage knowledge difference between
>>the ones who barely squeaked by and the extremely over-qualified ones
>>may have been thousands of percent. That means that you need to prove
>>your ARS worth aside from merely passing that Extra entry level exam.
>>Yet, you have stated earlier that you are perfectly happy to rest
>>on the laurels you had when you passed the exam. It's easy to see why
>>you have that attitude but most of us are not impressed. What have you
>>done for the ARS and yourself lately?
>>
> I'll answer your ignorant response with a post taken from another
> venue. This says it all:
All that it proves is that there exists at least two idiots. It

is entirely within the range of probability that the most knowledgeable
non-ham or tech class licensee is more knowledgeable than you or I.
Absolutely nothing for sure can be assumed from a ham license other
than that ham meet the *minimum* requirements for that license class.
Sorry, you are going to have to obtain your self esteem elsewhere.
Your Extra class license, by itself, indicates nothing about your
worth to the ARS.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4821. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 04:37:23 UTC
From: Shojingod <shojingod@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <Ceas7.2676$n12.43642@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 21043
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada

Hey Stu.

Why do you have his signature on your post?

"Stu Parker" <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote in message
news:slipped.on.the.soap@bimminy.com...
>
> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 18:55:45 -0700, Shojingod <shojingod@gmx.net> wrote:
> : What a bloody moron.
> :
>
> It could be worse. He could live on the Left Coast.
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********

4822. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 06:09:15 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926020915.25608.00000764@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21066

>So were the Crusades. So what?
>

If you stop to think about it. Just about every war in this world has been started over releigion. All Releigon needs to go away, without it the world would be a better place. You have millions of people killing each other in the name of who ever the hell the frigging god is. They are all a bunch of screwed up asshole. F**k'm all.

4823. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 07:12:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9orv4q$n90$0@208.206.142.106>
Source record: 21067

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Dick Carroll wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Wrong. It represents the top level of amateur licensing, or once did.
> >>>
> >>It was still obtained by barely squeaking by a minimum requirements
> >>
> >>Extra entry level exam. You still don't grasp that concept. Many,
> >>many Extras were extremely over-qualified for the Extra class when
> >>they took the Extra exam. The percentage knowledge difference between
> >>the ones who barely squeaked by and the extremely over-qualified ones
> >>may have been thousands of percent. That means that you need to prove
> >>your ARS worth aside from merely passing that Extra entry level exam.
> >>Yet, you have stated earlier that you are perfectly happy to rest
> >>on the laurels you had when you passed the exam. It's easy to see why
> >>you have that attitude but most of us are not impressed. What have you
> >>done for the ARS and yourself lately?
> >>
> > I'll answer your ignorant response with a post taken from another
> > venue. This says it all:
> All that it proves is that there exists at least two idiots. It
>
> is entirely within the range of probability that the most knowledgeable
> non-ham or tech class licensee is more knowledgeable than you or I.
> Absolutely nothing for sure can be assumed from a ham license other
> than that ham meet the *minimum* requirements for that license class.
> Sorry, you are going to have to obtain your self esteem elsewhere.
> Your Extra class license, by itself, indicates nothing about your
> worth to the ARS.
>

Lets see now, you're the dude who stated categorically that you never
had any interest nor reason whatever to get an Extra class license, and
swore that you would not get one, whatever the outcome of the
restructuring. And right away, when the code was dropped to 5wpm you
"changed your mind" and nickeled your way right into one.
I can't see any basis for anyone to confer any credibility toward

anything you say, and that's ignoring the plethora of your other miscegenation's here on rrap. End of story.

4824. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 10:03:50 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb1a966_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21068

Watch it, you're going to lose Davey. Ya need to cease on the language
about automobiles...it has nothing to do with ham radio communications ;o

Kim W5TIT

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote in message
news:3BB0AED7.FE96EB7A@firstinter.net...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > On 23 Sep 2001 05:22:40 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >In article <3bac285c.2656291@news.mindspring.com>,
billsohl@mindspring.com
> > >(Bill Sohl) writes:
> > >
> > >>>Ham radio has, as it's basic attraction, one very
> > >>>powerful and immediately satisfactory characteristics -- the ability
> > >>>to permit a person to project himself globally through direct
> > >>>voice communication with other, similarly oriented people. This
> > >>>instant contact is highly gratifying because it denotes a powerful
> > >>>capability which the individual ham can command at will with
> > >>>few restrictions -- as long as he has the requisite license. It is
> > >>>the jealousy over *having* this powerful capability which brought
> > >>>about the impatience and eventual hatred of the Morse code
> > >>>testing requirement.
> > >>
> > >>ROTFLMAO... surely you jest? "Jeolousy over code users?
> > >
> > >Bill:
> > >
> > >That's jealousy *of* code users, and stop calling me "Surely!"
> > >
> > >>>The requirement stood in the way of the
> > >>>ham radio capability the majority of prospective hams really
wanted --
> > >>>the ability to pick up a microphone and talk to someone far away.
> > >>
> > >>Do you think that is a uniquly US situation or do you
> > >>think it is worldwide?
> > >
> > >It is a global phenomenon, considering the fact that the US is one
> > >of the few countries that ever had a genuinely challenging code

> > >test requirement.
> >
> > What made the US test so genuinely challenging as opposed
> > to those in all the other nations?
> >
> > >>>The true nature and value of Morse/CW communication was
> > >>>known only to those who went through the process of learning it
> > >>>and achieving useful proficiency in it as part of their ascension
> > >>>into the ranks of full-privilege HF phone users. Once they arrived
> > >>>at useful CW proficiency, they either embraced it as their primary
> > >>>HF mode, or they kept using it as a valuable fallback mode when
> > >>>voice communications became difficult.
> > >>
> > >>So far we have yet to see any such "fallback mode" need
> > >>on anything but anecdotal single incidents at a rate that is
> > >>so low it makes no case at all for a requirement.
> > >
> > >Bill, I'll readily admit that you're right about that. However,
> > >by the grace of God, we've never experienced the kind of
> > >global disaster which could disrupt communications on such
> > >a widespread scale as to present a situation where the ability
> > >to fall back on CW was tested. However, when the time comes,
> > >and knowing CW would really be quite handy, what is the
> > >typical Post-Restructuring ham going to do?
> >
> > How often do you rewatch the movie Independence Day?
> > It must be one of your all time favorites.
> >
> > Do you think that global disaster will also render all vehicles with
> > automatic transmissions out of acton...thus needing a cadre of
> > manual gearbox operators? :-) :-)
>
> I think you're onto something here Bill. All the new autos are
> electronically controlled by a computer. It's been about 10 years since
> mechanical pressure links controled the shifting functions. Now, when
> the EMP from the big one hits, those controllers are all toast, and none
> of our autos are going to shift out of first, or second gear. But those
> of us with 5-speed manuals, we've got it made.....if we were just alive
> after the nucleur blast.... small details :_)
>
> Rick Tannehill
> W7RT
>
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK

4825. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 10:12:28 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb1ab6c_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21069

Now Larry not only is of the opinion that you must know CW at his level, but you must learn it the way he did to get to that level (keeping in mind, of course, that every offer to set up a sked with him in CW has gone unmet).

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010925174126.10276.00000618@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <f7d9a152.0109241809.3f83bf2a@posting.google.com>,
> jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu) writes:
>
> >
> >With Code Quick, you bypass the drudgery of memorizing dits and dahs.
>
> ...And replace it with a system which requires double- or triple-translation
> of the Morse code character sounds into a letter. Maximum speed
> attainable: 5 WPM, *if* you're lucky!
>
> >It's silly and over-the-top, and that is why it works. You use cutesy
> >sound-alikes to learn the rhythm of the characters. For example, L is
> >"a LIGHT is lit".
>
> How about telling us what you used for the letter "N?"
>
> >You'll notice that the only people who knock Code
> >Quick are the ones who learned Morse Code through another method.
>
> A superior method, you mean. One that leaves out both the multiple-
> translation AND the religious proselytizing!
>
> (Of
> >course, everyone thinks his/her pet method is the superior way and
> >pooh-poohs all the rest. Just do what works for you.)
>
> Now, there's a real sales pitch -- NOT!!! For a minute there, I thought you
> worked
> for the Code Quick company!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

4826. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 10:14:18 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb1abda_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21070

It's his spellchecker. ;)

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB09625.20306@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Got news for you, Bob. Minimum entry level exams do NOT
> >>guarantee elite status within the ARS. Elite status is
> >>obtained through actions, not through testing.
> >
> > Got news for you Cecil. The Extra class was never intended to be an
> > entry level license. That is why it was named Extra.
> You really need to learn how to read, Dick. I didn't say anything
>
> about an "entry level license". The Extra class *EXAM* is a
> "minimum entry level exam" into the Extra class, i.e. it is based
> on minimum requirements. Why do you have so much trouble with that
> concept? Anyone who barely squeaks by gets the same license as
> I who scored 100% on the exam.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4827. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 10:23:03 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb1ade7_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21071

I got scared and came back when I saw them all holding your picture up in the air.

Kim W5TIT

"Drpfrench" <drpfrench@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010925213131.23984.00000740@mb-ms.aol.com...
> >Look, Dork. The *anyone* listening to my phone conversations was meant to
> >imply any law enforcement,
>
> Ok dip shit. so you do not want any right to privacy, that is fine for you, but
> do not impose your facist policy crap on the rest of the world, Now go to hell
> you stupid asshole.

4828. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 11:57:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB1B48D.102BBB81@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21072

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Let's hope you can adjust to dealing with the apparent religious
> > contradictions in your life.
>
> No religious contraditions in my life, Dave. If God ascertains what
> I am going to write a day before I write it, then I don't have free
> will. Since I believe God wants me to have free will, I trust he
> will avoid peering into the future to see what I am going to write.
> If he doesn't avoid the temptation to look into the future to see
> what I am going to do, then he cannot hold me accountable for what
> I do that matches what he already knows.

That pile of "ifs" certainly looks as if it leads to some
contradictions. You know, it dawns on me that logic doesn't account for
or solve every problem.

Dave K8MN

4829. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:00:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB1B557.6A649307@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21073

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Watch it, you're going to lose Davey. Ya need to cease on the language
> about automobiles...it has nothing to do with ham radio communications ;o
>
> Kim W5TIT

That'll give you ample opportunity to waggle your finger at me and cry,
"Shame!".

Dave K8MN

4830. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:17:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109260417.b23c3c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21074

drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) wrote in message
news:<20010926020915.25608.00000764@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> >So were the Crusades. So what?
>
> If you stop to think about it. Just about every war in this world has been
> started over releigion.

I don't think it's religion as much as intolerant ideologies that take
on religious trappings. But you have the basic concept right: It's
folks who cloak themselves in the trappings of having God "on their
side".

> All Releigon needs to go away, without it the world
> would be a better place. You have millions of people killing each other in the
> name of who ever the hell the frigging god is. They are all a bunch of screwed
> up [expeletives deleted]

John Lennon addressed this very issue years ago in the song "Imagine".
The classic TV series "WKRP" dealt with it in one episode. I borrowed
this description from another NG:

>It was the final episode of the third season, broadcast at the height
of the
>controversy about the religious right and TV and radio. WKRP is
visited by Dr.
>Bob Halyers, a preacher who looks and talks a lot like Jerry Falwell.
He heads
>a media task force called Clean Up Radio Broadcasting (CURB) and WKRP
is his
>first stop. He gives Mr. Carlson a list of songs with obscene words,
and Mr.
>Carlson, a religious man who likes Dr. Bob and believes his talk
about
>representing "the will of the people," asks his staff not to play the
songs any
>more. But then he gets another list, and he realizes that Dr. Bob is
trying to
>dictate the station's playlist. So he goes to Dr. Bob -- here's the
scene,
>courtesy of a quotes page:

>Mr Carlson: I had one of my disc jockeys, Dr Johnny Fever, give me
the lyrics >to
>a song. He wants to know if you'd let him play that song on the air.

>Dr Bob, reading: "Imagine there's no heaven, it's easy if you try. No hell >below us, above us only sky. Nothing to kill or die for, and no religion too. >Imagine no possessions? Imagine all the people sharing all the world?" That >sounds like communism to me, if there's no heaven, no religion and, I assume, >no God.

>Mr Carlson: There's not an obscene word in here.

>Dr Bob: Not the way I see it.

>Mr Carlson: Does it go on your list?

>Dr Bob: Arthur, this is typical of the kind of secular, liberal humanist point
>of view that gluts our airwaves.

>Mr Carlson: Yeah, but we're not talking obscenities here anymore, Bob, we're
>talking about ideas - political, philosophical ideas! First you censor a word,
>and then you censor the ideas.

>Dr Bob: The idea is man-centered, not God-centered. Man is an animal. The Bible
>tells us to put our reliance in God, not in our fellow mortals. Arthur, this
>song says there's no heaven.

>Mr Carlson: Ah. No, it says just imagine there's no heaven.

>Dr Bob: That's blasphemy.

>Mr Carlson: On the list or not?

>Dr Bob: I have no choice but to say on.

>Mr Carlson: That decision was made by ONE man.

>So Mr. Carlson breaks off with Dr. Bob (but he warns Dr. Bob, whom he still
>likes personally, that the people who will cave into his crusade are exactly >the sort of people who can't be trusted to support him when the political tide
>turns), and accepts the loss of business resulting from the boycott organized >by CURB.

>The best line from the episode, as usual, comes from Les Nessman:

>Les: In a situation like this, I always ask myself, what would my hero Edward >R. Murrow think? And I think that Ed would think that this was censorship. >Then I think about what my other hero, General George Patton, would think, and >I think George would think that radio and television ought to be cleaned up, >and if he were alive today, he'd take two armoured calvalry divisions into >Hollywood and knock all those liberal pinheads into the Pacific! So as you can
>see, I'm a very confused man. And when I get confused, I watch TV.

Television
>is never confusing. It's all so simple somehow.

"WKRP" was one of the best shows on TV. Not just funny, but serious
and truly original. The network canceled it despite excellent ratings.

In a classic case of "Life Imitates Art", at least one radio syndicate
("Clear Channel") has released a list of "banned songs" that it
doesn't want its stations to play in the wake of the WTC and Pentagon
attacks. Yes, "Imagine" is on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"As God is my witness, I thought turkeys could fly"

4831. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:38:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926083809.19779.00000832@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21075

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/25/01 10:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB143BA.9090608@IEEE.org>

>> Far be it for me to expect Hans or Lennie to get facts/quotes attributed
>> to the correct author.

>The problem is, Steve, that you appeared to defend that
>statement. Do you now wish to go on record as condemning it?

I see...now you get your chops busted for "appearing" to "defend"
something...?!?!

No, I am NOT in favor of making one language MANDATORY for sole use in
public.

I AM in favor of determining ONE language to be the OFFICIAL language of
the realm. That others may be used by individuals is fine.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4832. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:49:17 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <Fdks7.35600$ga2.1682944@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 21079

Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote in message
news:soap.on.the.slipped@bimminy.com...
>
> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 21:37:23 -0700, Shojingod <shojingod@gmx.net> wrote:
> : Hey Stu.
> :
> : Why do you have his signature on your post?
>
> Why don't you? You know it's needed if you want to go on posting to
> Usenet. Adam has spoken.
>
nobody needs anyones permission to post anywhere .

****************** This was posted WITHOUT the express permission of
****

****
*****
** HIS ASSHOLIENESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF POOPER-SCOOPERS **

****
*****
******************** Do you really think I give a rats a$$?
****

4833. Re: I am smarter than you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:53:30 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <Chks7.35601$ga2.1682952@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 21076

Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote in message
news:aa21060.b9716ba@bimminy.org...
>
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 02:00:30 GMT, Frank G. <nobody@spamcop.net> wrote:
> : Bob Johnson said:
> : |PLONK!
> :
> : LOL, I reported him _and_ plonked him.
> :
>
> Whom did you report him to?

Easy, report him to his provider. If you are not aware of how to get that
information I will give it to you.

Path:
cletus.bright.net!newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed1.cidera.com!Cidera!newsfee
d.sovam.com!nf1.bellglobal.com!nf2.bellglobal.com!news20.bellglobal.com.POST
ED!news.chinet.com!64.232.6.20!not-for-mail
From: "Adam H. Kerman" <ahk@chinet.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice
.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada
Subject: I am smarter than you
Message-ID: <0c1052b2.e1e1d25e@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.232.6.20
References: <99fd3392.0236f0d3@kingdom.of.alt.config>
<eaa21060.b9716bab@bafga.mh.us>
Lines: 80
Date: Sat, 23 Sep 2001 00:11:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.229.65.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sympatico.ca
X-Trace: news20.bellglobal.com 1001207840 64.229.65.158 (Sat, 22 Sep 2001
21:17:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 22 Sep 2001 21:17:20 EDT
Organization: Bell Sympatico
Xref: cletus.bright.net alt.config:124802 rec.radio.amateur.policy:90008
rec.sport.billiard:81546 rec.sport.skating.ice.figure:116628
rec.travel.usa-canada:67611

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.229.65.158
resolves to HSE-Toronto-ppp173997.sympatico.ca

so you can email abuse@sympatico.ca

4834. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:58:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926085817.15566.00000969@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21077

>Subject: Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis
>From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)
>Date: 9/25/01 9:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7c5262e.0109251735.4bbcd35e@posting.google.com>

>You wouldn't recognize an analogy if it bit you in the butt.

Coming from someone who cannot keep his stories straight, I am somewhat
less that impressed with your admonishment.

4835. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 12:59:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926085918.15566.00000970@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21078

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/25/01 10:46 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB14182.1020206@IEEE.org>

>Which begs the question - What is God's preferred language?

Danged if I know...you fielded the question.

Steve

4836. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 13:00:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926090054.15566.00000971@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21080

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/25/01 10:52 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB142DE.8020408@IEEE.org>

>Hans and I objected to the above posting. You seemed to jump
>in and defend it. You certainly have NOT condemned it. Would
>you care to do that now?

As I said before...I would not support making one language "legal" or
"illegal" over another...I DO support one "official" language for the nation.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4837. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 13:39:37 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9osmpi$e2o$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 21081

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010925174126.10276.00000619@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <QNIr7.3647$pH1.563810@monger.newsread.com>, "Kilo Charlie Eight
> Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:
>
> >Thanks Cecil, at least you understood the, granted small amount of, humor
> >meant with that post..... CW is a method of transmission, not a language.
> >Same way with all these operators working in the "75" meter band, last I
> >checked, this was a shortwave broadcast band, not a ham allocation.
> >However, my handy-dandy ARRL frequency allocation chart shows clearly there
> >is an 80meter and 40 meter band for our use. Still can find the 75meter
> >band.
> >
> >Ryan
>
> Ryan:
>
> The 75-meter band is actually the phone part of what is more commonly
> referred to the 80-meter band. The distinction is made because at that
> higher frequency, the wavelength is much closer to 75 meters than it
> is to 80, and this is a substantial difference.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Then why not call it the 78 meter band?

--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

4838. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 14:58:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1ED0E.3000502@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21082

WW - KB9TMP wrote:

> Then why not call it the 78 meter band?

300/4 = 75m phone band 300/3.75 = 80m CW band
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4839. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 15:02:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1EE1C.1050506@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21083

Dave Heil wrote:

> That pile of "ifs" certainly looks as if it leads to some
> contradictions. You know, it dawns on me that logic doesn't account for
> or solve every problem.

On Judgment Day, when God says, "Why did you do that?", I will
ask, "Did you know I was going to do that before I was born? If
so, I had absolutely no choice in the matter." Not that I can
win an argument with an angry omnipotent God.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4840. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 15:08:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1EF80.8090500@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21084

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>Hans and I objected to the above posting. You seemed to jump
>>in and defend it. You certainly have NOT condemned it. Would
>>you care to do that now?
>
> As I said before...I would not support making one language "legal" or
> "illegal" over another...

But that's not the question. Would you condemn making it
illegal to use Spanish in public? You still haven't said "yes".
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4841. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 15:11:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1F028.4010402@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21085

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> No, I am NOT in favor of making one language MANDATORY for sole use in
> public.

But are you against it? I am not in favor of chocolate
ice cream but I am not against it either.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4842. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 15:21:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB1F27E.60105@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21086

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Lets see now, you're the dude who stated categorically that you never
> had any interest nor reason whatever to get an Extra class license, and
> swore that you would not get one, whatever the outcome of the
> restructuring. And right away, when the code was dropped to 5wpm you
> "changed your mind" and nickeled your way right into one.
Someone made a posting to this newsgroup that changed my mind.

The posting was: What if the Advanced class license is canceled
sometime in the future and Advanced license holders are busted
back to General? Saving future effort was the first good reason
that I had ever heard for me to get an Extra class license and
getting one didn't change me into an AHole like it did some hams
I know.
--
cheers, CAM

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4843. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 15:54:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0109260754.326d9921@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21087

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BAFD384.8080803@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > I know the definitions, Cecil. I just don't agree with your
> > interpretations.
>
> Then you don't agree with the dictionary and are apparently
> feeling omniscient again. If you disagree with dictionary
> definitions, that leaves you isolated and incapable of
> communicating.

Nice try, W5DXP, but no cookie.

My disagreement is with your interpretations, not the definitions.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Congrats on the old/new call, btw.

4844. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:21:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9osv9o$a1$0@208.206.142.105>
Source record: 21088

Congratulations, Jim! You accomplished that which no one else has been
able to do- You beat The Geezer at his own game using his own tools!

73, Dick W0EX

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20010924130920.02524.00000165@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >>
> >>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
> >>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
> >>
> >>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never to
> >>use it again, either.
> >
> >There is proof of this?
>
> Yep ;-)
>
> > An "official" poll? :-)
>
> Not a poll. ;-)
> >
> >>Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith
> >>chart.
> >
> >Oh? What "programs" are those? Please list a few.
>
> There are so many that any list I would post would be deficient.
> >
> >The Smith Chart is a visual aid and an approximation insofar
> >as numerical accuracy is concerned.
>
> We know, Len.
>
> > It is such a handy
> >visual tool that hardware network analyzers present their
> >analysis results AS a Smith Chart.
>
> We know, Len.
> >
> >A Smith Chart does NOT "solve" things by itself.

>
> It's a tool. Like a slide rule, abacus, lightning calculator, adding machine,
> or a buggywhip.
>
> >It can show
> >VSWR of a plotted impedance or admittance.
>
> We know, Len.
>
> (snip of rant about Smith Chart's wonderful properties)
>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY (who has mastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)
> >
> >Mastered the Smith Chart and equate it with morse code?!?!?!? :-)
>
> Len, you're so dense that light bends around you ;-)
>
> Mentioning both in the same sentence is not equating them.
> >
> >I'm going to HAVE to go to the next PGMTT monthly meeting
> >and drop that little gem on the folks there. :-)
>
> PGMTT - what's that stand for? Professional Geezers Matching Tall Tales? ;-)
> >
> >Minus j M,
>
> What is "Minus j M" supposed to mean?
>
> > to anyone who already knows complex quantities and
> >the general basics behind impedance or admittance, a Smith
> >Chart can be "mastered" in less than a working day. It is a
> >subtle, elegant in simplicity of illustrating Z and Y relationships.
>
> We KNOW, Len.
> >
> >If there be "mastery" then it is is in understanding the concepts
> >of impedance (Z) and admittance (Y) versus frequency and
> >applying them to achieve maximum RF power transfer.
>
> Tell us something we don't know, Len.
>
> >The
> >Smith Chart is a tool to achieve that end quickly and efficiently.
>
> Yeah. High school stuff.
>
> >"Phil Smith's Wonderful Diagram" (as one industry publication
> >put it long ago) has been an enormous boon to thousands who
> >work and have worked with AF through RF for a half century.
>

> That means it's OLD technology. From the days before cheap and plentiful
> computing. It's error-prone and inaccurate, compared to more modern methods.
> Why are you so stuck in the past, Len?
>
> >Amateurs who do nothing more than ragchew and collect
> >wallpaper without venturing into technology beyond their radio's
> >front panels don't need it to ragchew and proclaim themselves
> >"masters" of radio.
>
> Well, that leaves me out. Not only do I know Morse and Smith Charts, but I
> design and build my own rigs, antennas, and accessories and do all sorts of
> stuff on the ham bands. But I don't claim to be a "master" or "expert" on
> anything.
>
> Are you "master of your own domain", Len? ;-)
>
> N2EY

4845. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:23:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9osve1$a1$1@208.206.142.105>
Source record: 21089

Congratulations, Jim! You just beat Slick at his own game, using his
own tools!

73, Dick W0EX

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smith chart and vowed never to
> >>>use it again, either.
> >
> >>That is, IMO, a rather ridiculous assertion.
> >
> > Yet it's the truth.
>
> Please produce a list of ten hams who have *mastered* the Smith
> Chart and vowed never to use it again.
> --
> cheers, CAM
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4846. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:31:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926123129.28987.00000099@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 21091

In article <3baf40a9_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>We are all one country, several gods (and that's OK), and several languages,
>colors, traditions, etc. And, it's all OK.
>
Only as long as everyone agrees to certain basic rules and responsibilities.
For example, we have religious freedom, but that doesn't mean that all
religions are allowed. A religion that requires killing unbelievers simply
because they are unbelievers cannot be allowed.

One of the biggest differences between the USA and places like Afghanistan is
that the USA is founded on the idea of plurality while the Taliban wants a
monolithic state - to the point that individual THOUGHT will be wiped out.

Ever read George Orwell's "1984"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4847. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:31:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926123129.28987.00000098@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 21092

In article <20010924055222.07987.00000567@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>The basic problem in the world, other than hunger, is ignorance.

I would rate the above a #2 and #3. #1 is injustice. Solve #1, and the rest will be solved, too.

>Unfortunately, with literally hundreds of languages and/or dialects of
>various
>languages it is almost impossible to overcome that.

Obviously you have never heard of the Babelfish.

In many parts of the world, being multilingual is almost a given. In Europe it's common for people to speak several languages. One reason Americans are disliked by some is that so many of us only speak American.
>
> Let a Hispanic mom talk to her kids in her native tongue at home, but
>anyone who emigrates to the United States should be obligated to speak at
>least
>conversational English within 2 years. School children should speak nothing
>BUT English (save those in classes for other languages, and only IN those
>classes...)

What you are concerned with are the immigrants who come here and refuse to learn the language.
>
> In those areas of America where we solicit foreigners (ie tourist areas,
>business complexes, etc) I say heck yes, have every accomodation for THIER
>language. Afterall we WANT them to come and spend thier money or invest
>here.

But who gets to define where those areas are?
>
> But if you ELECT to LIVE here, by golly you should be a part of THIS
>culture. Not African...Not Asian...Not European...

And again - who gets to define what American "culture" is?

When jazz first appeared, it was derided by some as "African music" and far worse. Its roots are certainly African, but jazz is pure American.

Are the Beatles part of "American" culture? They're British!
>
> Respect, enjoy and learn about others' cultures, but if you want to be
>American, then BE American.

>
Trouble is, they think they ARE being American!

The strength of the USA comes from embracing and adapting the contributions of those who came here. It's a pluaristic society, with all the problems such a society entails. But the opposite is to go the route of Nazi Germany or Taliban Afghanistan, trying to create a "pure" culture.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4848. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:31:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926123130.28987.00000100@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 21090

In article <3BB1559E.40406@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smith chart and vowed never
>to
>>>>use it again, either.
>>
>>>That is, IMO, a rather ridiculous assertion.
>>
>> Yet it's the truth.
>
>
>Please produce a list of ten hams who have *mastered* the Smith
>Chart and vowed never to use it again.

Why? So you can belittle them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4849. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:53:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926125328.20972.00000249@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21093

In article <3BAD2C90.3060908@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>RF design is just as much (or more) of a goal for the ARS as
>is CW skill.

Who "designs RF", anyway?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4850. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 16:53:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926125329.20972.00000250@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21094

In article <3bac2b08.3340332@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>WHY, if there is no
>license requirement to know how to use a manual gearbox,
>do we still see NEW drivers that DO learn and enjoy using
>manual gearbox autos?

Because there are lots of good reasons to learn to drive a stick shift car, and to actually drive one. Just like Morse code in ham radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4851. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:18:39 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB20DEF.2643404E@uci.edu>
Source record: 21095

CAM wrote:
> > If God is omnipotent, it would mean that physical
> > phenomenon would behave however He wanted it to, whenever He wanted it
> > to behave that way, irrespective of normal physical behavior.

> Exactly, and therefore you and I have no free will.

Speak for yourself. Seems to me it would be blasphemous to blame your
behavior on God. :-)

4852. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:27:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB20FE4.15720406@uci.edu>
Source record: 21096

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Do you
> >>think God knows whether Schrodinger's cat is dead or alive?
> >>I, personally, don't think he does. "Not only does God roll
> >>dice, he rolls them in the dark."
> >
> > It's just a pretend cat, Cecil. As you know, he's defined as being
> > neither dead nor alive, but 50% of both.

> Not if God has peeked. The question is, does God peek or not?

The fact that it works the way it does tells me that he doesn't peek.
He seems to have been quite enamored with the idea of uncertainty.

> If God peeks, we have no free will. I like to think that God
> chooses not to peek.

I agree.

4853. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:32:04 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB21114.38AAF641@uci.edu>
Source record: 21099

CAM wrote:

> Have you heard the latest? There was no spontaneous Big
> Bang.

The latest what? They've disproven the Big Bang? That IS news.

> There was simply a collision of two universes.

Does this theory disprove the other theories? That's a neat trick.

4854. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:34:58 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6los7.11140$3d2.992499@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21097

Dick, I've been reading the thread and it seems to me everytime a discussion starts as to what should be tested, the suggestions offered somehow seem to be very biased in favor of the suggester. I recall one thought offered by a gentleman in 73 magazine. There were several ways to 'earn points' towards a higher license. One of these was to obtain WAS on 144 MHz and higher. Of course, the gentleman suggesting this had his own private airplane - which would make obtaining WAS a fairly simple proceedure. Oh, and DXCC was another way he suggested. Of course, he had a HUGE piece of property in the country, allowing antenna farms such as city dwellers could never have, and even suburban dwellers would face opposition to putting in antennas anything like his. The same probably applies to the CW arguments. Those who can do 20 would like to keep it there (or move it back there; probably to reduce competition in the reserved areas of the bands) and the folk with BS and higher degrees preferring to make the theory test truely difficult.

I do have a question, however. I honestly can't remember the 2 year requirement. I had my novice ticket in 1962 and my general in 1964. In 1966 I obtained my 1st phone, 2nd telegraph (too young and no time to get my 1st), and amateur extra. Was there such a requirement? When was it abolished? Actually, in 1962 the only tickets were novice, technician, and general (and the novice was a 1 year non-renewable license at that!).

tnx and 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9orhtr$5d1$0@208.207.71.133...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > Wrong. It represents the top level of amateur licensing, or once did.
> > It was still obtained by barely squeaking by a minimum requirements
> >
> > Extra entry level exam. You still don't grasp that concept. Many,
> > many Extras were extremely over-qualified for the Extra class when
> > they took the Extra exam. The percentage knowledge difference between
> > the ones who barely squeaked by and the extremely over-qualified ones
> > may have been thousands of percent. That means that you need to prove
> > your ARS worth aside from merely passing that Extra entry level exam.
> > Yet, you have stated earlier that you are perfectly happy to rest
> > on the laurels you had when you passed the exam. It's easy to see why
> > you have that attitude but most of us are not impressed. What have you

> > done for the ARS and yourself lately?
> >
>
> I'll answer your ignorant response with a post taken from another
> venue.
> This says it all:
>
> ------
>
> Below is a quote from a comment appearing on a QRZ article. What is
> wrong
> with this picture?
>
> "let's welcome the new extra class licensees, and help them to gain the
> experience they need to become good amateur operators"
>
> Ahhhhh! This is what we used to say about Novices & Techs. Talk about
> grade
> inflation! I think I now favor the old (pre-1970s) 2-year experience as
> a
> General as a prerequisite for becoming an Extra Class. Of course, I
> took 20
> years to go from General to Extra, but that's another long (hey, 20
> years
> long) story.
>
> I'm all for helping newbies, of course. But gee whillakers.
> -------------
> end quote.
> I say bullfeathers. Add them to the tar on Cecil's carcass.

4855. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:37:02 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB2123E.88838A0C@uci.edu>
Source record: 21100

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >
> > Hans Brakob wrote:
> >>And which "God" would that be, Steve?
> >
> > How many are there to choose from?
> Thousands. Sun God, Moon God, God of the Ocean, God of the Underworld,
>
> Rain God, God of War, God of Love, Fertility God, etc. etc. etc.

Yeah, but I want to talk to the guy in charge of all those guys.

4856. Steve Linn SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:38:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gk9xyz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21098

I am sad to relay the following info from SBE, FCC, and ARRL:
sources:

The FCC and Amateur Radio communities are mourning the loss of FCC staff member Steve Linn, N4CAK, of Lower Allen Township, Pennsylvania. Linn, 50, and his wife, Lesley Ellen Nearman, 44, died September 21 as a result of an automobile accident in Maryland. The couple's two children survived the wreck.

ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was deeply saddened by the news. ''Steve had not only been a valued employee at the FCC but also a good friend to the Amateur Radio community,'' he said. ''Having just visited with Steve a few days ago in Washington, I will remember his jovial spirit and dedication to the success of ham radio. Our prayers will be with their children, Steve and Lesley's families and all the employees of the FCC who will long feel this great loss.''

The couple's children, Deena, 9, and Andy, 12, were treated and released at a York, Pennsylvania, hospital.

Linn was among the FCC staff members who turned out last Tuesday for the ARRL ''Amateur Radio Demo and Education Day'' at FCC Headquarters in Washington, DC. He and Haynie were scheduled to do a forum presentation together at the Virginia Beach Hamfest.

FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth said Linn was well-liked by the FCC staff members and contractors he worked with at the FCC's Gettysburg office. ''He was a very, very nice guy, a good engineer and he had common sense,'' Hollingsworth said. ''He knew the value of Amateur Radio and saw the big picture. Although he worked for the Wireless Bureau, the Enforcement Bureau was increasingly relying upon him for Land Mobile and Amateur licensing and technical matters. We will miss him very much.''

An Amateur Radio licensee since the 1980s and an ARRL member, Linn was deputy chief of the Licensing and Technical Analysis Branch for private wireless within the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau. His supervisor, Mary Shultz, said called Linn's death ''a major shock'' to the branch. ''He'll be difficult to replace as an employee,'' Shultz told ARRL, ''but impossible to replace as a friend.''

Linn had worked for the FCC for about 25 years, the last six or so years at the Gettysburg office. Among other Amateur

Radio-related topics, Linn frequently served as a source of information for the Universal Licensing System and the Commission Registration System, CORES. Linn spoke about ULS during the 2000 Dayton Hamvention FCC Forum. He also was a presenter at local hamfests.

Services for the couple were held September 24.

Steve was a good friend of mine and will be missed greatly.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4857. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:41:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109260941.641ecccb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21101

JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:<3BADE8B1.2F21BC7B@mailcity.com>...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > I see. This is from the person that Riley Hollingsworth said is
> > "taking ham radio one step closer to extinction."
>
> Now you have my curiosity aroused. Just what did Riley have to say
> about this?

This was discussed here in rrap in its own thread back in early June,
2000. Google is a good archive if you want to see all the exchanges.

I'll sum it up for ya, though. Thanks to K2UNK and Kim for posting
this stuff back in June of 2000.

Here is
what Bill Cross, W3TN, of the FCC had to say about vanity callsigns
at the Dayton Hamfest. (Source: W5YI report of 6/1/00
which reprinted Bill Cross's speech in its entirety.)

"Some licensees are picking combinations of letters and
numbers that other licensees find objectionable. Other
licensees are letting us know they don't like some of
the choices."

"Some of your fellow hams have picked call signs that are
intended to offend you, incite you or call attention to
anatomical features or various body parts. Licensees that
use the vanity call sign system to make a statement or
pick a call sign that offends you still receive the protection
of the first amendment of the Constitution. We (FCC) do
not censor call signs."

END of QUOTED TEXT

In short, what he's saying is that if a ham requests a vanity callsign
that is available to that ham, the FCC will issue it, even though it
may be offensive to others.

What he didn't say is that the FCC does not routinely issue such
calls. There are very few US hams with Kim's callsign suffix. (One of
them has the first name Michael and has had the call since at least
1979!)

Before Kim knew about this FCC policy, she emailed Riley about the
issue, and shared Riley's response with us. Here it is:

"While that's true, just because a person has a right to do something doesn't
mean it's right to do it on every occasion. While the call sign may fit the
constitution, for every instance where a parent or uncle or grandparent
doesn't want a young person to get involved in Ham Radio because of
something they hear on the bands, then you have taken the ARS one step
closer to extinction. Then we can sit around and debate what happened to
all those Amateur frequencies that industry bought at auction---debating, of
course, on the internet and cellular because that'll be all we have left.
The first amendment will still be alive and well, just as it is now, tho, if
that's any consolation."

END QUOTE

In other words, just because it's legal under FCC rules doesn't mean it helps the ARS. You don't get a second chance to make a first impression. If enough of us do "legal" stuff that hurts the ARS, the result is not good for ham radio.

What's your opinion, JJ?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4858. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:56:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB216CD.40708@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21102

N2EY wrote:

> My disagreement is with your interpretations, not the definitions.

They are not interpretations, Jim. They are valid definitions
chosen from a modern dictionary. In particular, in the field of
logic, "truth" has a different meaning from "fact" and I have
known that for 40 years.

> Congrats on the old/new call, btw.

I debated whether to get WN5DXP (my first call) or W5DXP (my
second call). W6RCA was my third call, a requirement of the
FCC in those days. I haven't got my paper license yet. How
did you know I changed calls?

Question for anyone. I have just upgraded to Netscape 6.1 and
am having trouble getting the formatting of my postings
correct and consistent. It seems to insert extra line feeds
that are not apparent when I type the posting. <Backspace>
also sometimes malfunctions when trying to delete a line feed.
<Enter> seems sometimes to insert a random number of line feeds.

Also Netscape 6.1 asks me for a login name and password much
more often than Netscape 4.7 did. Any suggestions?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4859. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 17:58:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB2173F.3040907@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21103

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Congratulations, Jim! You just beat Slick at his own game, using his
> own tools!

Actually, I don't believe that Jim believes that statement
to be the truth and have asked him to prove it.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4860. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:00:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB217B9.3050405@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21104

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>Yet it's the truth.
>>
>>Please produce a list of ten hams who have *mastered* the Smith
>>Chart and vowed never to use it again.
>
> Why? So you can belittle them?

I wouldn't do that, Jim. I simply do not believe that you are
sincere when you say, "Yet it's the truth." I would like for
you to prove your sincerity.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4861. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:04:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB218AA.9000701@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21105

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>RF design is just as much (or more) of a goal for the ARS as
>>is CW skill.
>
> Who "designs RF", anyway?

RF designers design RF circuitry. I've done some in my time
both for ham radio projects and company projects. Parts of
my designs were incorporated into Intel chip designs.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4862. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:07:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB2195B.70702@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21106

N2EY wrote:

> In other words, just because it's legal under FCC rules doesn't mean
> it helps the ARS. You don't get a second chance to make a first
> impression. If enough of us do "legal" stuff that hurts the ARS, the
> result is not good for ham radio.

What's wrong with requesting a call sign named after a titmouse?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4863. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:15:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB21B5C.5020601@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21107

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>>Have you heard the latest? There was no spontaneous Big Bang.

> The latest what? They've disproven the Big Bang? That IS news.

I didn't say they had disproved it yet.

>>There was simply a collision of two universes.

> Does this theory disprove the other theories? That's a neat trick.
These theories are not just neat concepts. They must be supported

by a whole body of math and physics. The Big Bang theory requires
that the universe inflated at faster-than-light speeds during its
early existence. The new "Braney Universe" theory is an extension
of string theory and doesn't require faster-than-light inflation.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4864. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:17:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB21BD6.9060004@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21108

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Speak for yourself. Seems to me it would be blasphemous to blame your
> behavior on God. :-)
Not if God cheats and peeks at Schrodinger's cat. ;-)

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4865. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:19:37 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109261816520.13187-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21109

On 26 Sep 2001, N2EY wrote:
>In article <Q14s7.9889$3d2.878723@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES
>HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>Richard, if someone holds a technician license (still current, being renewed
>>now and then) which was issued back in the 60s, wouldn't they only have to
>>file paperwork and automatically be upgraded to general?
>
>Yes.
>
>The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and General
>used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was
>split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).

Note that the date is the date that the EXAMINATION was taken by. Some
pre-March 1987 candidates didn't receive their actual license until about June
1987. They will also have the credit, even though their LICENSE was issued
after the magic March date.

>If a current Tech Plus can show that he/she was licensed as a Tech before March
>21, 1987, a "paper upgrade" is possible...

See above.

>[deleted]...

4866. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:20:41 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB21C79.1060602@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21110

N2EY wrote:

> One of the biggest differences between the USA and places like Afghanistan is
> that the USA is founded on the idea of plurality while the Taliban wants a
> monolithic state - to the point that individual THOUGHT will be wiped out.
>
> Ever read George Orwell's "1984"?

It is a capital offense in Afghanistan to try to convert
a Moslem to Christianity.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4867. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 18:22:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB21CEF.2090304@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21111

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Yeah, but I want to talk to the guy in charge of all those guys.
Zeus?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4868. Re: NY/NJ/PA (Radio) Octoberfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 19:24:20 UTC
From: k3bu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926152420.22430.00002043@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21112

Ooops, correction:

Take Hwy. 84 to Port Jervis, NY, NY exit 1; follow Rt. 6 through Port Jervis to traffic light with Rt. 97/42 signs, go through and follow Rt. 97 west for 10.8 miles to Pond Eddy (motel on right, bridge on left), turn right onto High Rd. (Rt. 41), go up the steep hill for 1.7 miles, Verkhovyna is on the left. Plenty of parking.
Maps are at www.UACF.net home page and click on directions.

4869. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 19:37:56 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB22E94.3CFAB276@uci.edu>
Source record: 21114

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Have you heard the latest? There was no spontaneous Big Bang.
>
> > The latest what? They've disproven the Big Bang? That IS news.
>
> I didn't say they had disproved it yet.

What did you mean by "There was no spontaneous Big Bang"?

> >>There was simply a collision of two universes.

> > Does this theory disprove the other theories? That's a neat trick.

> These theories are not just neat concepts.

What I said is that it would be a neat trick for one theory to DISPROVE
another.

> They must be supported
> by a whole body of math and physics.

Thanks Mr. Obvious. You're a life saver.

> The Big Bang theory requires
> that the universe inflated at faster-than-light speeds during its
> early existence. The new "Braney Universe" theory is an extension
> of string theory and doesn't require faster-than-light inflation.

There's nothing particularly problematic with the faster-than-light
idea.
You have particles traveling through you at faster than the speed of
light several times every second. (Muon flux at sea level is
70/sec/meter^2)

String theory is probably the most interesting and challenging field in
Physics right now, and probably for some time to come. The main
challenge lies in finding an empirical test for the theory.

> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

What? No way! Can I have your six call? ;-)

4870. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 19:40:32 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB22F30.2B9EB33C@uci.edu>
Source record: 21113

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > Speak for yourself. Seems to me it would be blasphemous to blame your
> > behavior on God. :-)
> Not if God cheats and peeks at Schrodinger's cat. ;-)

The cat died many years ago, He doesn't, so it is. :-b

4871. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 19:44:39 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB23027.2AE3C27F@uci.edu>
Source record: 21115

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > Yeah, but I want to talk to the guy in charge of all those guys.

> Zeus?

Depends who you talk to. Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?

4872. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 19:50:56 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Akqs7.9446$WW.927370@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21116

>>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> Can you say wired versus wireless?

>> CAM wrote:
>> Can you say "wireless internet"?

> Dick Carroll wrote:

> Show me one. I mean a real one, not some local toy. It doesn't exist
> and never will, on any useful scale. It all goes to a hardwired or fiber
> network VERY soon in the process.

> I know, you're certain the advance of technology will change all that.
> No limit, Right? Wrong. Everything has a limit.

Take a look at www.globewireless.com.

Of course, at $0.76 per kiloBIT, one would be well advised
not to do much web browsing or engage in too many usenet
flame wars. :-)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4873. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 20:15:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB2374A.1080204@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21117

Jim Kelley wrote:

> There's nothing particularly problematic with the faster-than-light
> idea.

You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?
Good for you.

> What? No way! Can I have your six call? ;-)

Of course, if my say-so in the matter matters. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4874. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 20:16:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB23796.7020904@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21118

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?
Rumor is, he spoke Greek.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4875. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 20:42:39 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB23DBF.9A2E0C14@uci.edu>
Source record: 21119

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > There's nothing particularly problematic with the faster-than-light
> > idea.

> You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?

I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic. Are you sure you want to claim that this violates the Theory of Relativity?

> > What? No way! Can I have your six call? ;-)

> Of course, if my say-so in the matter matters. :-)

Congrats on the new call. I hope a club picks up your old one. Thanks.

4876. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 20:48:30 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB23F1E.722B1143@uci.edu>
Source record: 21120

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?

> Rumor is, he spoke Greek.

But before, you said He spoke ancient Hebrew.

Admit it, you don't really know, do you. ;-)

4877. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 21:27:53 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <3BB24859.66AADB54@firstinter.net>
Source record: 21121

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3bac2b08.3340332@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >WHY, if there is no
> >license requirement to know how to use a manual gearbox,
> >do we still see NEW drivers that DO learn and enjoy using
> >manual gearbox autos?
>
> Because there are lots of good reasons to learn to drive a stick shift car, and
> to actually drive one. Just like Morse code in ham radio.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Maybe I need to learn to saddle a horse and take a riding course too,
just in case, after the big one, none of our cars run since there won't
be any gas, and all the engine/tranny processors will be dead. And,
after all, riding is easy and fun. I'm sure the DMV will be really
amused as the suggestion that this be added to the driver's test.

BTW, I've driven a 5-speed manual vehicle since 1975.

RT
W7Rt

4878. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 22:20:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926182025.27504.00000358@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21122

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/26/01 11:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB1EF80.8090500@IEEE.org>

Cecil said:

>But that's not the question. Would you condemn making it
>illegal to use Spanish in public? You still haven't said "yes".

I said:

>> As I said before...I would not support making one language "legal" or
>> "illegal" over another...

I thought that said it all. Methinks you are trying to read into this,
Cecil, but it matters not. No, I would not make it "illegal" to speak another
language in public.

Steve

4879. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 22:33:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926183347.27504.00000364@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21123

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/26/01 12:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010926123129.28987.00000098@nso-fz.aol.com>

>
>What you are concerned with are the immigrants who come here and refuse to
>learn the language.

A resounding YES. One of the many frustrations of my profession is trying
to care for persons who don't speak the language.

In many cases these are people who have resided here for many years, yet
don't speak English...yet now they are pesenting to my ER and need help and it
is now somehow >MY< fault that I can't communicate with them.

Ever been to Mexico City and needed help? Didn't speak Spanish beyond
"Amigo" and "Cervesa"..?!?! You can bet that if you are in someone ELSE'S
country and don't speak the speak, you are in for it.

>One reason Americans are
>disliked by some is that so many of us only speak American.

Yeah...I guess that not being able to speak (enter local dialect here)
while feeding them, housing them or defending them is pretty pretty callous.

>But who gets to define where those areas are?

Tourist: We accomodate their needs

Resident: Hand 'em a text book.

>The strength of the USA comes from embracing and adapting the contributions
>of
>those who came here.

I agree...wholeheartedly. But do I ahve to learn Grek or Russian to
appreciate them in my own country?

>But the opposite is to go the route of Nazi Germany or Taliban
>Afghanistan, trying to create a "pure" culture.

That's not what I want, Jim. What I want is a society that can enjoy a
common frame of reference and understanding.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4880. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 22:51:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB25BF7.9040409@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21124

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>>You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?
>
> I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely
> travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic. Are
> you sure you want to claim that this violates the Theory of Relativity?

There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
When are you going to learn to stop doing that?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4881. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 22:57:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB25D56.7020801@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21125

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>>Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?
>
>>Rumor is, he spoke Greek.
>
> But before, you said He spoke ancient Hebrew.
>
> Admit it, you don't really know, do you. ;-)

Whoa there pardner, you changed it from "he" to "He".
"He" probably spoke ancient Hebrew. "he" probably spoke
Greek. "he" was the boss of the gods, at least in Greece.
Remember, that's who you asked for - the boss of the gods.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4882. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-26 23:00:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB25DFE.1090100@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21126

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I thought that said it all.

Not at all. I don't support chocolate ice cream but I don't
condemn it. Not supporting something is not the same thing
as condemning it. Most of the WWII Germans didn't support
Nazism but they didn't condemn it either. See the difference?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4883. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 00:03:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926200304.28070.00000197@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21127

In article <3BB218AA.9000701@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>>RF design is just as much (or more) of a goal for the ARS as
>>>is CW skill.
>>
>> Who "designs RF", anyway?
>
>RF designers design RF circuitry.

Then why aren't they called "RF circuit designers"?

By dictionary definitions, an RF designer designs RF.

>I've done some in my time
>both for ham radio projects and company projects.

Yeah, me too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4884. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 00:03:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926200304.28070.00000196@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21128

In article <3BB21C79.1060602@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> One of the biggest differences between the USA and places like Afghanistan
>is
>> that the USA is founded on the idea of plurality while the Taliban wants a
>> monolithic state - to the point that individual THOUGHT will be wiped out.
>>
>> Ever read George Orwell's "1984"?
>
>It is a capital offense in Afghanistan to try to convert
>a Moslem to Christianity.
>--
Sorry, Cecil, I don't see the connection between the book and your fact. Could you expound a bit more?

I see lots of parallels between the philosophy of 1984's Big Brother and the Taliban regime.

btw, one of the first things the Taliban did was to disarm the populace. They went around and confiscated not only guns but almost anything that could be used as a weapon. Possession of a forbidden weaponlike object is severely punished. The result is a very low crime rate - and a totalitarian government with almost no fear of being challenged, let alone overthrown.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4885. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 00:48:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926204829.03974.00000201@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 21129

In article <3bb0606b_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>The *anyone* listening to my phone conversations was meant to
>imply any law enforcement, etc. But, if some bored putz wants to listen in
>on my phone conversations, they are welcome to it. It's quite simple for
>you to sit behind a keyboard and throw out your crap about freedoms, isn't
>it?
>
>If you're not doing anything wrong (with wrong DEFINED--as you must have
>missed reading or comprehending in that post), then why would you care if
>law enforcement officials or government officials are listening to your
>phone conversations?
>
>I speak the same topics on ham radio as I would over a telephone. Are you
>suggesting I should hide what I think and feel? Quite the freedom, dude,
>quite the freedom.

In other words, if a person has nothing to hide, what's the problem?

I agree in part, Kim. But there are problems. The first one is that a private conversation can be taken out of context and used against you. The second is that, little by little, each person's right to privacy can be eroded.

Radio and the public parts of the internet like websites and newsgroups are public media of course. But how about email? How about regular letters sent by US mail?

Then there are medical records, financical records, legal records, and so on. The loss of privacy in those areas is a serious concern.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4886. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 00:48:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926204830.03974.00000202@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 21131

In article <3bb1525c.3739150@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>> >>Let me be far more specific then... there simply is NO reason for
>>> >>the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW.
>>> >
>>> >Not so! There are LOTS of reasons for any and every ham to learn CW.
>(I've got
>>> >a list right here....)
>>> >
>>> >I think what you meant to say was "there is simply no compelling reason
>to
>>> >REQUIRE that hams learn CW in order to get a license".
>>> >
>>> >The first statement is anti-code. The second is anti-test.
>>>
>>> We can differ on the first statement being anti-code.
>>
>>Let's look at it again:
>>
>>"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn
>>CW."
>>
>>Looks pretty hostile to CW to me. The statement isn't about testing or
>>regulation at all, it's about learning, or not learning, CW.
>
>It is neither hostile nor supporting. The statement doesn't say
>that hams should NOT learn CW if they want to...neither does
>it say anything in opposition to hams using CW if they wish.
>The statement addresses only the "need" to learn or not.

I think I know what you mean to say, Bill. But the statement as written says something else.

Compare these two:

"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn CW."

"there simply is NO reason for any ham to ever be REQUIRED to learn CW"

See the difference? The first says there's no reason to learn it at all. The second says there's no reason to require that it be learned.

>>> The
>>> issue or point is that whatever reasons exist, they are (as you
>>> correctly state) insufficient to justify a code test.

>>
>>According to the FCC, anyway. But that's a completely different issue!
>>Many things in ham radio are worth learning but are not tested.
>
>And each of those "things" that fall into that catagory are
>left to the individual ham to learn if they want or to ignore
>if they choose to.
>
Yup. But would you say:

"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn Ohm's Law"

or

"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn how to use a Smith Chart"

or

"there simply is NO reason for the vast majority of hams to ever learn how the standard phonetic alphabet"

See what I mean?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4887. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 00:48:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926204831.03974.00000203@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 21130

In article <6los7.11140$3d2.992499@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I honestly can't remember the 2 year
>requirement. I had my novice ticket in 1962 and my general in 1964. In
>1966 I obtained my 1st phone, 2nd telegraph (too young and no time to get my
>1st), and amateur extra. Was there such a requirement? When was it
>abolished?

From the inception of the "modern" Extra until 1951 until 1973, one of the requirements for the Extra was a minimum of 2 years' experience as a Conditional, General or Advanced. That meant you couldn't even try the Extra test until at least 2 years from the date of issue of your C/G/A license. In 1973 the requirement was reduced to 1 year, and in 1976 it was abolished entirely.

The pre-1953 Advanced/Class A had a similar 1 year experience requirement. When the Advanced was reopened to new licensees in 1967, the 1 year experience was not part of the requirement.

> Actually, in 1962 the only tickets were novice, technician, and
>general (and the novice was a 1 year non-renewable license at that!).

No, not really.

In 1962 most hams had one of the above three, or a Conditional, which was a by-mail General. But the Advanced and Extra licenses existed then. No new Advanceds were issued from the end of 1952 until 1967, but those who had them before the door closed could keep renewing and modifying them indefinitely. There were about 40,000 Advanceds in 1962, out of a total of about 250,000 US hams then. The Extra was available as well, but there were only about 4,000 Extras back then.
>
For more info on this subject, check out "The Past 50 Years of Amateur Radio Licensing" which was posted here back in 1999. Google has it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4888. PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 01:00:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cTus7.9702$WW.954724@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21132

You will be pleased to know:

1. I got a copy of Dave Barry's Book of Bad Songs.

2. Manheim SteamRoller has a new Xmas album out, Christmas Extraordinaire, featuring no less than a Johnny Mathis vocal. Start ignoring it now, the ad men are lining up.

Dick N�BK

4889. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 01:20:14 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB27EC6.6EBD2089@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21133

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
>
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight, you just got an A+ on the
> > > long-time ham psyche test! :-)
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks. I'm be starting my own
> > > psychic 800 number for ham operators
> > > very soon now.
> >
> >
> > Let me guess what the number would be,
> > 1-800-HAM-CLEO ????

Ryan, since I'm not a black woman with a fake Jamaican accent, I'm not sure that number would be the best choice. Any other suggestions? :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

4890. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 01:37:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BB282DB.6B56F98A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 21134

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> >
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dwight, you just got an A+ on the
> > > > long-time ham psyche test! :-)
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks. I'm be starting my own
> > > psychic 800 number for ham operators
> > > very soon now.
> >
> >
> > Let me guess what the number would be,
> > 1-800-HAM-CLEO ????
>
> Ryan, since I'm not a black woman with a fake Jamaican accent, I'm not sure
> that number would be the best choice. Any other suggestions? :-)

A number incorporating the infamous frequencies 14.313, 147.435 and or 3950
would be good. 800-313-3950? :-)

4891. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 01:52:19 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb287b6_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21135

I think you just did.

Kim W5TIT

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3BB1B557.6A649307@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Watch it, you're going to lose Davey. Ya need to cease on the language
> > about automobiles...it has nothing to do with ham radio communications
;o
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> That'll give you ample opportunity to waggle your finger at me and cry,
> "Shame!".
>
> Dave K8MN

4892. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:00:52 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb289b6$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21137

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010926123129.28987.00000099@nso-fz.aol.com...
> In article <3baf40a9_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >We are all one country, several gods (and that's OK), and several
languages,
> >colors, traditions, etc. And, it's all OK.
> >
> Only as long as everyone agrees to certain basic rules and
responsibilities.
> For example, we have religious freedom, but that doesn't mean that all
> religions are allowed. A religion that requires killing unbelievers simply
> because they are unbelievers cannot be allowed.
>

The religion can be allowed; some of its traditions and rituals may have to
be kept in check.

> One of the biggest differences between the USA and places like Afghanistan
is
> that the USA is founded on the idea of plurality while the Taliban wants a
> monolithic state - to the point that individual THOUGHT will be wiped out.
>
> Ever read George Orwell's "1984"?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hell, Jim, I heard enough about it not to have read it. :p

Kim W5TIT

4893. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:01:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9Pvs7.37680$Dz6.871040@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21136

I know, I'm just a lowly Advanced. LOL!

Jerry
K4KWH
Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com> wrote in message
news:45bs7.328$Le.14702@sea-read.news.verio.net...
> Be careful Jerry..you will get jumped on by the new majority of Extra
Class
> lite's....
> our numbers are dwindling..but not our spirit..
>
> 73
>
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Gx9s7.32624$Dz6.710387@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > The quoted post is certainly true. No matter what the tests are, or
> > require-ments, there will always be those that jump the hurdles with no
> > thought of
> > tripping over the bar. Their only incentive is just to get on the air
and
> > talk..
> > I still see the other side of the question as well, and what I believe
the
> > propo-
> > nents of "higher" hurdles are trying to convey. It "can" be somewhat
> > frustrat-
> > ing to deal with those whose who seek not the learning aspect of what
they
> > do--only memorizing enough to get by the multi-choice questions.
> >
> > For example, (I have said this before) I build a version of the popular
> > screw-
> > driver mobile antennas. I provide instructions on how to set the thing
> up,
> > ex-
> > plain about impedance matching, and try to provide hints and tips as how
> to
> > get the most out of it. I have had a number of "Extra" Class hams
return
> to
> > me
> > saying that the antenna doesn't work. Upon examining their

installation,
> I
> > usu-
> > ally find that they did something wrong, or they just didn't read the
> > instructions.
> > Many of their questions can be answered if they would take the trouble
to
> > read. Another group just simply has NO idea, not a clue, about how to
set
> > up a station. They want "plug-n-play"--they are used to plugging a
> handheld
> > into the cig lighter, slapping a mag mount on the roof and VOILA! They
is
> > one of them thar hams! But HF is NOT like that, so when they slap the
> > antenna on,
> > run the "wars" (wires) up 'ere to the front and the SWR goes thru the
> roof,
> > they get on the phone or email and tell me it won't work. 99.9% of the
> time
> > it is their own ignorance of HF and antenna theory. .01% of the time,
> there
> > is, indeed, a small problem that occurred during building or shipping
> > damage. (UPS has ingenious ways of circumventing damage control
> packing).
> > They
> > then expect me to install it for them. THAT ain't for free! Yes, I do
> get
> > aggra-
> > vated at these Extra "lites" that should know more than I (Advanced). I
> do
> > think that Amateur Radio should be more than jabbering on a radio. It
> > should
> > be a learning experience, and being the "gimme" society we are these
days,
> > that
> > is becoming rare; we just memorize the question pool, answer questions
by
> > rote, and then trip over the "hurdles" later (that resistor that smoked
> > suddenly,
> > the SWR that won't come down, the squeal that comes thru another speaker
> > while transmitting, etc.). After all, ole Jerry 'll fix it for me,
right?
> > I can't help
> > grousing, "how come HE doesn't fix his rig his damn self?"
> >
> > Still, I am aware that the days of learning a modicum of circuit theory,
> > building
> > transmitters is a fading art. That is the state of the hobby, the
> regulatory
> > guys

> > have made it clear that such is less and less important, and a memorized
> > test is
> > all that is needed. Hehe, those guys will never know the "joy" (pain)
of
> > getting
> > zapped by a capacitor he thought he neutralized with a screwdriver,
gettin
> > the
> > crap burned out of your hand by grabbing the wrong wire on a dynamotor,
> and
> > a host of other things.
> >
> > Jerry
> > K4KWH
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ,
> >
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:3BB0922D.29D5698B@mailcity.com...
> > > It is only a hobby, not a career path.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4894. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist (help needed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:14:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB28B7D.9D8FDB9E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> Call Dave all the names you like;
> his point is correct.
>
> A generation or two ago ham radio
> was of value in an emergency but
> recent technology has made it more
> and more irrelevant. (snip)
>
> If you guys are really interested
> in emergency preparedness, get in
> touch with the local authorities
> and see what they need. (snip)
>
> As much as I love ham radio, its
> time of value in an emergency has
> all but passed.

You're not keeping up with the times, Bill. Many Hams are already doing what you suggest. For example, since the WTC attack, communicators with the Coast Guard Auxiliary have put in an amazing amount of man hours - ranging from manning Coast Guard communications systems to free up active duty personnel for other activities to standing relief watches for over worked active duty personnel. And a large percentage of the Auxiliary's communications personnel are volunteers from the ham radio community.

So, while it is true that there is a severe shortage of communications personnel in the Auxiliary, which has caused us to limit the range of services offered, this does take anything away from those who have already volunteered to help. They have done, and will continue to do, an outstanding job (even if people like you never notice them).

By the way, if anyone is interested in helping, please contact me by email. Our role in the current crisis is winding down (limited to a several small areas at this point). However, when it comes to the Coast Guard, there will always be other situations in the future (hopefully far less horrible than this one).

We were caught short handed during this crisis and we do not want that to ever happen again. Therefore, we are urgently seeking to increase our membership. Personnel are needed immediately to train for search & rescue operations, communications activities, and a long list of other missions. Since training is required, it is too late to step forward when a crisis happens. Now is the time to step forward if you want to help in the future.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

4895. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:16:47 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb28d72_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21141

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010926204829.03974.00000201@nso-cm.aol.com...
> In article <3bb0606b_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >The *anyone* listening to my phone conversations was meant to
> >imply any law enforcement, etc. But, if some bored putz wants to listen
in
> >on my phone conversations, they are welcome to it. It's quite simple for
> >you to sit behind a keyboard and throw out your crap about freedoms,
isn't
> >it?
> >
> >If you're not doing anything wrong (with wrong DEFINED--as you must have
> >missed reading or comprehending in that post), then why would you care if
> >law enforcement officials or government officials are listening to your
> >phone conversations?
> >
> >I speak the same topics on ham radio as I would over a telephone. Are
you
> >suggesting I should hide what I think and feel? Quite the freedom, dude,
> >quite the freedom.
>
> In other words, if a person has nothing to hide, what's the problem?
>
> I agree in part, Kim. But there are problems. The first one is that a
private
> conversation can be taken out of context and used against you. The second
is
> that, little by little, each person's right to privacy can be eroded.
>

That is why--if we are to "give up personal freedoms" as has been discussed
among people of the United States and our media--we need not be so hasty in
giving those privileges/freedoms up without definitions, guidlines, etc.

> Radio and the public parts of the internet like websites and newsgroups
are
> public media of course. But how about email? How about regular letters
sent by
> US mail?
>

We must all decided (and not allow the government to decide carte blanche)
how far we want this "new world" to go. If everyone heard the same thing I

have; it is "understood" by our Congress and the President that there is this "blank check" out there. I didn't authorize a blank check; I didn't even really get to say a thing about it. It was a quick decision made by Congress in the heat of the moment.

> Then there are medical records, financical records, legal records, and so on.
> The loss of privacy in those areas is a serious concern.
>

I have already heard it discussed here (on talk-radio, on ham radio, etc.) that to express what you just said above, Jim, is anti-American. We all must "wake up" and realize that our government is pretty much being allowed to do whatever it wants right now--with narry a protest from any of us because we are a) too afraid to be identified as "sympathizers" with terrorists, or b) our emotionalism is ruling, rather than common sense.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
>

The question now is: Will the United States citizens ever get to "say" how far they feel comfortable letting this go? My point, exactly is that we needed to have rules, guidelines, proposals, etc., *before* this blank check idea caught on. As I said once already: it will be hard to reverse any "relaxations" we allow on our freedoms/privileges.

To top it all off, I *understand* the people who have the sentiment that "if you're doing nothing wrong, you shouldn't worry about who's listening to your private conversation or who's watching your bank account. BUT--how far do we allow it all to go in the name of fighting terrorism?

We hear this "they [the terrorists] haven't won--they haven't affected anything about us and we are going to go on as normal." Yet, reductions in plane flights have caused huge layoffs in the industry; have caused our taxes to be used for bail-out; have struck fear in many not to fly; have caused movie releases to be pulled back, have security companies clamoring to hire enough people to staff up, and on and on it goes. What was that I heard about "our lives go on as normal every day?"

Kim W5TIT

Kim W5TIT

4896. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:19:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <v4ws7.37784$Dz6.874440@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21139

Hehe,

Being from the South, Catholic nuns were quite a novelty in the '50s around here. Nonetheless, I can relate to the ruler business. I had a first grade teacher that had a fondness for this kind of punishment. She looked much the part of the 19th Century school m'arm with button shoes and hair severely pulled into a bun. We would whisper and giggle about her head looking like she had been sitting under the wrong end of a cow. We certainly did our share of mischief, but it was much milder than the stuff seen today. It didn't take much to pro-voke a WHACK or five with that ruler. Shoot a spit wad onto the ceiling--- WHACK! Sneak a piece of candy--WHACK! Pass notes--WHACK WHACK!

We were taught to count with an abacus--that ancient instrument with the beads on strings. One day she was giving individual tests on counting to 100, and I got wise. As you counted off, she would move a bead across the string with her pen. I got the bright idea of counting faster and faster. More than once, she told me to slow down, please. I'd slow down and again get faster and faster until her pen was almost a blur as she tried to keep up. She grabbed me up by the arm suddenly, marched me over to her desk, made me hold out my hand for those dreaded ruler licks. I jerked away, and that got me sent to the prnicipal's office for a genuine paddling. Her ruler was in about 3 pieces!
The class started laughing, but it didn't last long. Just a threatening look from Mrs(?) Biggerstaff was enough to stop that. LOL!

She was the only teacher I encountered that would do that--the rest didn't mind applying the paddle!

Jerry
WA2ISE <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:44b934c9.0109170832.4063564d@posting.google.com...
> >
> > I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
> > Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are

> > true :)
> >
>
> I had that species of nun too. No mercy if you forgot your homework.
> I used to get punished for stuff I didn't even do.
>
> We also had male nuns. "CFX" out of Boston. Ex marine corp drill
> sargents.
>
> Many of the teachers just had us read aloud in turn one paragraph out
> of the text book, then the next kid would read the next paragraph, etc.
> Didn't think you could do anything else in a history class.
> I would get bored and read ahead to the end of the chapter, which
> wasn't too smart as I'd loose track of where we were when it
> was my turn to read aloud my paragraph. I was thus labeled as
> a retarded reader and ended up in the "poor" reader group. I had
> to attend summer school reading class, which I thought weird in that
> the teachers didn't routnely beat the crap out of the kids.
>
> I had a civilian science teacher who didn't know sh_t about
> science. Not that it mattered, that material didn't show on
> the yearly computer scored achievement tests. They taught to
> the tests, so lots of emphasis on grammer but *none* on actual
> writing skills. We wrote grammer test patterns.
>
> We called the school "the prison". Some kids actually tried to
> set fire to it to burn it down, but failed miserly. Principal
> was pissed, hit them with his 15 inch ruler a lot.
>
> We always hoped that unannounced fire drills were the real thing.
> (Yes, they used to announce fire drills in advance until someone
> in the fire dept cried foul.).
>
> Once I pissed off a male nun. He had me stand in front of the
> class and hold out my hand to be whacked by the blackboard
> pointer. Mama didn't raise no fool, I pulled back and the
> teacher missed and broke it on the floor. No, he didn't make
> me pay for a new one, though.

4897. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:35:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9ews7.20973$2H2.1763255@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21142

Jim,

Did your schools have those old steam radiators? Put out some good heat,
but some rooms would get alternately cold and hot--not to mention the loud
clicking and clanking; Sometimes, at our elementary school, the clanking
would
get so loud, the teachers would have to stop for a bit until it calmed down.
Our fire drills had another purpose as well. Seem those boilers were
ancient,
and would overheat, or suddenly lose water pressure forcing us to evacuate
quickly! The principal would announce over the quirky PA that it was no
drill,
and for us to move quickly to the other side of the ball field. I remember 2
oc-
casions where there was danger of a boiler explosion, and we spent the re-
mainder of the afternoon playing in the woods at a safe distance. Of
course,
we looked forward to boiler evacuations since it usually meant studies were
over for the rest of the day. One of those was when we had 2 feet of snow
on
the ground, and we occupied the time by "skiing" down the banks bordering
the field in our shoes. Even some of the younger teachers joined in this,
much
to the delight of the students. This showed us that all of our teachers
weren't
ruler-wielding old fuddy duddies.

Jerry

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0109171253.4816c5a3@posting.google.com...
> wa2ise@ix.netcom.com (WA2ISE) wrote in message
news:<44b934c9.0109170832.4063564d@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > > I had Sisters Of Mercy (but would do it again, great teachers)
> > > Most of the stories about rulers in 50s and 60s Catholic schools are
> > > true :)
> > >
> >
> > I had that species of nun too. No mercy if you forgot your homework.
> > I used to get punished for stuff I didn't even do.
>
> IHM nuns had them beat. They would punish you for things you might be
> thinking of doing.
>

> I remember nuns who used to interrogate every kid who had been out
> sick. Did the kid stay in bed all day? Did the kid have a fever? Did
> the kid throw up, watch TV, eat regular food, etc., etc.? A wrong
> answer would result in a beating or a long tirade about faking and
> lying. Sick kids would drag themselves to school and spread their
> disease through the class.
> >
> > We also had male nuns. "CFX" out of Boston. Ex marine corp drill
> > sargents.
>
> You mean brothers? We only had them in high school.
> >
> > Many of the teachers just had us read aloud in turn one paragraph out
> > of the text book, then the next kid would read the next paragraph, etc.
> > Didn't think you could do anything else in a history class.
> > I would get bored and read ahead to the end of the chapter, which
> > wasn't too smart as I'd loose track of where we were when it
> > was my turn to read aloud my paragraph.
>
> I had nuns who did this EVERY day for EVERY subject. They would call
> out a kid's name and that kid would have to read until another kid's
> name was called - often in mid-sentence. Any kid who wasn't ready to
> go on the next word got a beating. "Excellent teachers"? "Instilling
> discipline and values"? Pure BS.
>
> Seeing as how I read several grade levels above my age, the above
> "teaching method" was pure torture.
>
> > I was thus labeled as
> > a retarded reader and ended up in the "poor" reader group. I had
> > to attend summer school reading class, which I thought weird in that
> > the teachers didn't routnely beat the crap out of the kids.
>
> Weird, wasn't it?
> >
> > I had a civilian science teacher who didn't know sh_t about
> > science. Not that it mattered, that material didn't show on
> > the yearly computer scored achievement tests. They taught to
> > the tests, so lots of emphasis on grammer but *none* on actual
> > writing skills. We wrote grammer test patterns.
>
> They added science to our curriculum in the early '60s as a result of
> Sputnik. Same sort of joke. One day in 4th grade the teacher caught me
> reading a non-textbook. It was an electricity book, open to a page on
> polyphase induction motors. Which I tried to explain, with predictable
> results.
> >
> > We called the school "the prison". Some kids actually tried to
> > set fire to it to burn it down, but failed miserly. Principal
> > was pissed, hit them with his 15 inch ruler a lot.

>
> We were told that any vandalism to school property would send us to
> hell.
> >
> > We always hoped that unannounced fire drills were the real thing.
> > (Yes, they used to announce fire drills in advance until someone
> > in the fire dept cried foul.).
> >
> Chanting "Burn, baby, burn" didn't endear us to the nuns, either.
>
> > Once I pissed off a male nun. He had me stand in front of the
> > class and hold out my hand to be whacked by the blackboard
> > pointer. Mama didn't raise no fool, I pulled back and the
> > teacher missed and broke it on the floor. No, he didn't make
> > me pay for a new one, though.
>
> IHM nuns would have made you buy TWO new ones, then beat you with both
> of them. Once for the initial offense and once for pulling your hand
> away.
>
> Being of Italian descent did not help in a place where the nuns and
> priests were overwhelmingly Irish, either.
>
> --
>
> I thoroughly enjoyed John Gregory Dunne's "True Confessions", too. One
> of my favorite lines: "Sister, I hope all of your sons grow up to be
> Jesuits."
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

4898. Re: Amateur Radio At Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 02:36:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB2908D.68611965@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21140

nobody@nowhere.net wrote:
>
> I'm confused, Jim, and this isn't
> anything against you or ham
> radio.....but.......
>
> Don't they all have cop radios,
> fire radios and cellphones working
> at ground zero? Don't the RedCross's
> telephones work? Doesn't Red Cross
> have a comm network between HQ and
> their people, or direct cellphone
> access??

In addition to those things already mentioned by others, Larry, you also have to remember that there are a large number of organizations involved in this situation. And a great many of these organizations don't have the extra personnel to handle a situation like this. Because of that, the communications personnel in those organizations are working extra hours and are quickly burning out from the effort. Therefore, many of these organizations are looking elsewhere to supplement their existing communications system. Even organizations that have their own supplemental manpower, such as the Coast Guard (with it's volunteer Auxiliary), are being stretched to the limits. This is a massive situation that is putting a massive strain on the existing communications system.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

4899. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 03:05:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB29738.E8D7D66F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21143

Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> (snip) It is my understanding that
> the Extra Class license was intended
> to be the elite class license. (snip)
>
> (snip) I propose that there be an
> experience requirement levied upon all
> who seek the Extra Class License. (snip)
>
> (snip) P.S. This has NOTHING to do with
> code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I
> value all new members to our hobby, I
> simply feel that our highest class of
> license should be RESERVED for those
> that have EARNED it.

It has EVERYTHING to do with new hams vs old timers - you want to put up an addition requirement for today's hams that older hams did not have. And you seek that additional requirement because you feel that today's Extras are not as good as the Extras of years past (your message makes that very clear). But, since you haven't proven that today's new Extras are any less skilled than new Extras of the past, your idea is nothing more than garbage designed to further divide the ham radio community.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

4900. Re: Steve Linn SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 04:02:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927000244.05130.00003614@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21144

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gk9xyz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>Steve was a good friend of mine and will be missed greatly.

Phil,

My deepest condolences on the loss of your friend. I was greatly saddened to read of the tragic accident. York is only about 100 miles from here.

Amateur radio has lost a great friend.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4901. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 04:02:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927000244.05130.00003613@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21146

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109261816520.13187-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>>The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and
>General
>>used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was
>>split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).
>
>Note that the date is the date that the EXAMINATION was taken by. Some
>pre-March 1987 candidates didn't receive their actual license until about
>June
>1987. They will also have the credit, even though their LICENSE was issued
>after the magic March date.

Ah - excellent point! You are correct, sir!

The challenge is for a current Tech Plus to prove his exam date more than
14-1/2 years after the fact. No wonder many hams are pack rats!

Thanks for the info

73 de Jim, N2EY

4902. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 04:02:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927000245.05130.00003615@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21145

In article <cTus7.9702$WW.954724@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>You will be pleased to know:
>
>1. I got a copy of Dave Barry's Book of Bad Songs.

You were warned. Dave Barry and I share the same favorite "Teen Death Song", btw.
>
>2. Manheim SteamRoller has a new Xmas album out, Christmas Extraordinaire,
>featuring no less than a Johnny Mathis vocal. Start ignoring it now, the ad
>men are lining up.

I must compile a list of relatives that I really hate and send their spouses a copy....

Where are you, Dr. Johnny Fever?

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Well since she put me down
There's been owls pukin' in my bed"

4903. Re: I REFUSE TO LEARN CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 04:20:40 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3bb3a8be.122299138@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21147

On Fri, 21 Sep 2001 23:59:19 GMT, "Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote:

>I absolutely refuse to learn CW but I will learn Morse Code. I also refuse
>to learn SSB and AM and FM too..... but I will learn how to talk.......

You better learn Morse Code because we are at WAR!

Rick KC2ESD

1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
2001-Remember New York

4904. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 04:35:11 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3bb4ac35.123186073@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21148

On 23 Sep 2001 15:06:55 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <iPdr7.28634$QK.23123443@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>, "David N7VRZ"
><n7vrz@home.com> writes:
>
>>> It's time we Hunt down the Raghead Bastards resposible for the Atack
>>> on NY. The World has had ENOUGHT!!! We should find and kill the
>>> terrorists, their families, their children and Bayonet their babies!
>>> We should napalm their camps and just shoot them when we find them.
>>> We are at WAR, lets fight it like one!
>>> Remember New York
>>>
>>> 73, Rick
>
>Whoever wrote this is one sick puppy.
>73 de Larry, K3LT

No Just one Pissed Puppy!

Rick KC2ESD

1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
2001-Remember New York

4905. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 05:00:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oubp1ka0@208.207.71.178>
Source record: 21149

N2EY wrote:

one of the first things the Taliban did was to disarm the populace. They
> went around and confiscated not only guns but almost anything that could be
> used as a weapon. Possession of a forbidden weaponlike object is severely
> punished. The result is a very low crime rate - and a totalitarian government
> with almost no fear of being challenged, let alone overthrown.
>

Which is exactly what all totalitarian governments do, if you recall
your history. And the very reason why all americans should regard with
great suspicion those among us who wish to do that here, including
lawmakers.

4906. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 05:48:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9oueis$8hs$0@208.207.71.178>
Source record: 21150

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> Dick, I've been reading the thread and it seems to me everytime a discussion
> starts as to what should be tested, the suggestions offered somehow seem to
> be very biased in favor of the suggester. I recall one thought offered by a
> gentleman in 73 magazine. There were several ways to 'earn points' towards
> a higher license.

Jim, there has never been a shortage of such suggestions. I have no quarrel with most of the restructering, but they effectively eliminated the Extra class by diluting the requirements over a few years time to the point that it is now 'extra' in name only. I believe the only reason they didn't just eliminate it was because of all the noise that would have generated.

I contend that any ham who is serious about the hobby, and particularliy about HF, will be CW qualified, and that when there is no CW test there will be no reason for new hams to ever learn it. Oh, a few will, out of curiousity and a few will actually go far enough to actually like it, but their numbers will be so few that the mode will effectively dissappear as a useful communications mode. That is, more that anything else, a travesty and a unecessary, pointless loss to the world of communications. We all know about the reduced role of radiotelgraphy in present times, and why. But for anyone to insist that it has no communications value is ludicrous, given its history of 100 years of success. It is as useful today as ever, using much simpler equipment, is almost universally present on every HF rig sold today, and needs only a little operator selftraining to be available instantly when needed. But most hams entering today have no frame of reference by which to understand this fact, and all the old time code haters preaching against it only makes it that much worse.

I honestly can't remember the 2 year
> requirement. I had my novice ticket in 1962 and my general in 1964. In
> 1966 I obtained my 1st phone, 2nd telegraph (too young and no time to get my
> 1st), and amateur extra. Was there such a requirement? When was it > abolished?

Yes indeed there was, and it was eliminated in the mid-1070's as I recall, Jim N2EY will know for certain, he's the resident historian.

Actually, in 1962 the only tickets were novice, technician, and
> general (and the novice was a 1 year non-renewable license at that!).
>

Those were the only licenses available to new licensees, but the older Advanced (formerly Class A) were still valid and renewable. The Advanced

was reinstated with Incentive Licensing in 1968.
I'm another who took all the tests at one sitting since I'd had a Conditional/General since 1957 when I went for the Extra license in 1969 at. I got credit for only the Novice, and took the 13 and 20 WPM code, and General, Advanced and Extra writtens in about 2 hours. Was sorta drained afterward.
That was a bigger deal when it happened at the FCC district office.

73, Dick W0EX

4907. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 05:57:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9ouf44$9ln$0@208.207.71.178>
Source record: 21251

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>

> >The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and General
> >used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was
> >split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).
>
> Note that the date is the date that the EXAMINATION was taken by. Some
> pre-March 1987 candidates didn't receive their actual license until about June
> 1987. They will also have the credit, even though their LICENSE was issued
> after the magic March date.
>

And how would they go about proving when they took the test? I'm sure
there are no old FCC test records remaining. It was common in the 50's
and 60's for the FCC to take up to two monts to process a license test,
but that was not always the case. Usually it was 4 to 6 weeks. The exact
date of a test would be difficult if not impossible to prove.

4908. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 06:06:36 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927020636.04794.00000927@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21252

And who would that be. I guess you idelize the ways of the Gestapo. I bet you even have a Black Uniform in your closet.

4909. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 06:32:36 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <8Kzs7.426$Le.17773@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21253

Uh, yes, if I recall correctly there used to be a 2 year requirement, albeit many years ago.As far as whether or not todays extras are as good as years past; based upon my observations I hear a lot of poor operating and lack of technical expertise. What do you attribute that to? Have you listened on the air recently? The lowering of standards to increase the numbers in ham radio is unacceptable to me. I don't feel that I am further dividing the ham radio community by bringing up an issue that needs to be discussed and resolved. Would you rather I bury it under the carpet? Act as if the problem didn't exist? Do you think I am alone in my perception? What have you done recently to clear up the division??

W7RJR

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BB29738.E8D7D66F@sccoast.net...

> Bob Raymond wrote:
> >
> > (snip) It is my understanding that
> > the Extra Class license was intended
> > to be the elite class license. (snip)
> >
> > (snip) I propose that there be an
> > experience requirement levied upon all
> > who seek the Extra Class License. (snip)
> >
> > (snip) P.S. This has NOTHING to do with
> > code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I
> > value all new members to our hobby, I
> > simply feel that our highest class of
> > license should be RESERVED for those
> > that have EARNED it.
>
>
> It has EVERYTHING to do with new hams vs old timers - you want to put up an
> addition requirement for today's hams that older hams did not have. And you seek
> that additional requirement because you feel that today's Extras are not as good
> as the Extras of years past (your message makes that very clear). But, since you
> haven't proven that today's new Extras are any less skilled than new Extras of
> the past, your idea is nothing more than garbage designed to further

divide the
> ham radio community.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

4910. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 09:33:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QnCs7.12041$3d2.1073803@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21254

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010927000245.05130.00003615@nso-cs.aol.com...
> In article <cTus7.9702$WW.954724@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard
> McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >You will be pleased to know:
> >
> >1. I got a copy of Dave Barry's Book of Bad Songs.
>
> You were warned. Dave Barry and I share the same favorite "Teen Death
Song",
> btw.
> >
> >2. Manheim SteamRoller has a new Xmas album out, Christmas
Extraordinaire,
> >featuring no less than a Johnny Mathis vocal. Start ignoring it now, the
ad
> >men are lining up.
>
> I must compile a list of relatives that I really hate and send their
spouses a
> copy....
>
> Where are you, Dr. Johnny Fever?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "Well since she put me down
> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"

Stand beside her, and guide her
thru the nite with a lite from a bulb

4911. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 09:34:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OoCs7.12043$3d2.1074087@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21255

"Rick KC2ESD" <Rickhit@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3bb4ac35.123186073@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> On 23 Sep 2001 15:06:55 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> wrote:
>
> >In article <iPdr7.28634$QK.23123443@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>, "David
N7VRZ"
> ><n7vrz@home.com> writes:
> >
> >>> It's time we Hunt down the Raghead Bastards resposible for the Atack
> >>> on NY. The World has had ENOUGHT!!! We should find and kill the
> >>> terrorists, their families, their children and Bayonet their babies!
> >>> We should napalm their camps and just shoot them when we find them.
> >>> We are at WAR, lets fight it like one!
> >>> Remember New York
> >>>
> >>> 73, Rick
> >
> >Whoever wrote this is one sick puppy.
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> No Just one Pissed Puppy!
>
> Rick KC2ESD
>
> 1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
> 2001-Remember New York

1945 remember Dresden

4912. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 09:57:58 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GKCs7.12065$3d2.1075865@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21256

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010927000245.05130.00003615@nso-cs.aol.com...
> In article <cTus7.9702$WW.954724@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard
> McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>
> You were warned. Dave Barry and I share the same favorite "Teen Death
Song",
> btw.
> >
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "Well since she put me down
> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"

While not precisely a death song, remember Take a Message to Mary?

but don't tell her I'm in jail.
Please don't mention the stagecoach......

4913. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 12:02:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927080233.11688.00000193@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21257

In article <3bb289b6$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010926123129.28987.00000099@nso-fz.aol.com...
>> In article <3baf40a9_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
>writes:
>>
>> >We are all one country, several gods (and that's OK), and several
>languages,
>> >colors, traditions, etc. And, it's all OK.
>> >
>> Only as long as everyone agrees to certain basic rules and
>responsibilities.
>> For example, we have religious freedom, but that doesn't mean that all
>> religions are allowed. A religion that requires killing unbelievers simply
>> because they are unbelievers cannot be allowed.
>
>The religion can be allowed; some of its traditions and rituals may have to
>be kept in check.

As soon as that is done, those folks don't have freedom of religion. And they would have to be watched constantly to insure that they don't try to carry out their rituals in secret.

But the point is the same: Unless they modify their religion to eliminate that practice, it cannot be allowed in our society. Freedom of religion is not absolute.

>
>> One of the biggest differences between the USA and places like Afghanistan
>is
>> that the USA is founded on the idea of plurality while the Taliban wants a
>> monolithic state - to the point that individual THOUGHT will be wiped out.
>>
>> Ever read George Orwell's "1984"?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Hell, Jim, I heard enough about it not to have read it. :p
>
It's still worth the read. Most people latch onto the early part of the book, with the constant monitoring of the telescreen and the dreary shortages of everything. The important part is towards the end, where the reasons for the oppression are given. The goal of the Party is to wipe out the individual and create a scoiety of pure conformity. Just like the Taliban.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4914. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 12:02:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927080234.11688.00000194@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21258

In article <3BB29738.E8D7D66F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Bob Raymond wrote:
>>
>> (snip) It is my understanding that
>> the Extra Class license was intended
>> to be the elite class license. (snip)
>>
>> (snip) I propose that there be an
>> experience requirement levied upon all
>> who seek the Extra Class License. (snip)
>>
>> (snip) P.S. This has NOTHING to do with
>> code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I
>> value all new members to our hobby, I
>> simply feel that our highest class of
>> license should be RESERVED for those
>> that have EARNED it.
>
>
> It has EVERYTHING to do with new hams vs old timers - you want to put up an
>addition requirement for today's hams that older hams did not have.

There was a 2 year experience requirement for Extra until 1973, and a 1 year requirement until 1976. I had to deal with the 2 year requirement (1968 to 1970). Imagine telling a 14 year old that he/she has to wait two years to even TRY the test - that's what I had to deal with.

The problem you describe is the classic one in license requirements. If the requirements for a license are made "easier", those who were licensed under the old rules grumble about lowered standards. If they are made "harder", those who are yet to be licensed grumble about having to do more than their predecessors. Either way, somebody is unhappy.

A third solution is to change the requirements for everybody, as was done in 1968. That made so many people mad that some are still fuming about it today - even those licensed long after the changes were made!.

>And you
>seek
>that additional requirement because you feel that today's Extras are not as
>good
>as the Extras of years past (your message makes that very clear). But, since
>you
>haven't proven that today's new Extras are any less skilled than new Extras

>of
>the past, your idea is nothing more than garbage designed to further divide
>the
>ham radio community.

Well, I wouldn't call it "garbage", but it's an idea that has very little chance of being accepted because the FCC isn't into making the rules more complex. Just not gonna happen.

There have been incompetent hams of all license classes since there have been licenses.

The problem today is only partly due to the tests and the way they are given. Other causes are things like the high prevalence of manufactured gear and the influence of a "right now" society.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4915. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 12:18:33 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <OSEs7.35847$ga2.1706239@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 21259

Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:cTus7.9702$WW.954724@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> You will be pleased to know:
>
> 1. I got a copy of Dave Barry's Book of Bad Songs.
>
> 2. Manheim SteamRoller has a new Xmas album out, Christmas Extraordinaire,
> featuring no less than a Johnny Mathis vocal. Start ignoring it now, the
ad
> men are lining up.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
>

heheheh and people say that hams are dry, and have no sense of humor heheh.
I'll have to dig out my copy of the worst country song titles.

4916. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 12:37:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927083754.14725.00000096@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21260

In article <QnCs7.12041$3d2.1073803@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> Where are you, Dr. Johnny Fever?

Never forget Les Nessman, winner of the Buckeye Newshawk Award! His classic
mispronunciation of "Chi-Chi Rodrigues" will live forever.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> "Well since she put me down
>> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"
>
>Stand beside her, and guide her
>thru the nite with a lite from a bulb
>
HAW!!! Never heard that one!

"And to the republic for Richard Stans"

"There's a bathroom on the right"

"She ate a one-ton tomato"

"Reverend Blue Jeans"

The processional hymn for my high-school graduation was "Amazing Grace", for
some reason. The programs had a misprint in the lyrics - the line "Through many
dangers, toils and snares" was printed "Through many dangers, oils and snares"
- so that's what we sang, all 600+ of us.

Although previous classes of my school had held graduation in Philadelphia's
Convention Hall, my class and a few after it were barred from there because of
what the previous year's behavior. (They set off firecrackers inside the hall).
So we wound up at the Sun Oil Center Recreation Hall, near Chester, Pa. The oil
company folks did not appreciate our acapella renderings of "The Texaco Song"
in the parking lot, and the irony of the misprint in the program was lost on no
one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4917. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 13:54:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927095444.26366.00000120@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21261

In article <GKCs7.12065$3d2.1075865@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>While not precisely a death song, remember Take a Message to Mary?
>
>but don't tell her I'm in jail.
>Please don't mention the stagecoach......

oh yes!

I am reminded of the song where the cowboy who sings the song goes into the bar and makes out with the Mexican girl, then has to run from her angry boyfriend. The song ends with a rather graphic description of the singer getting shot and dying.

Anybody remember that one?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

4918. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 14:53:15 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <v3Hs7.439$Le.18426@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21262

OK, so maybe waiting to take the test is a bad idea..
how about this, that the prospective licensee for extra class demonstrate proficiency above and beyond his peers...
Now, I would think that is reasonable to expect for our top level license, no??

W7RJR

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010927080234.11688.00000194@nso-fm.aol.com...
> In article <3BB29738.E8D7D66F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >Bob Raymond wrote:
> >>
> >> (snip) It is my understanding that
> >> the Extra Class license was intended
> >> to be the elite class license. (snip)
> >>
> >> (snip) I propose that there be an
> >> experience requirement levied upon all
> >> who seek the Extra Class License. (snip)
> >>
> >> (snip) P.S. This has NOTHING to do with
> >> code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I
> >> value all new members to our hobby, I
> >> simply feel that our highest class of
> >> license should be RESERVED for those
> >> that have EARNED it.
> >
> >
> > It has EVERYTHING to do with new hams vs old timers - you want to put up an
> >addition requirement for today's hams that older hams did not have.
>
> There was a 2 year experience requirement for Extra until 1973, and a 1 year
> requirement until 1976. I had to deal with the 2 year requirement (1968 to
> 1970). Imagine telling a 14 year old that he/she has to wait two years to even
> TRY the test - that's what I had to deal with.
>
> The problem you describe is the classic one in license requirements. If the
> requirements for a license are made "easier", those who were licensed

under the
> old rules grumble about lowered standards. If they are made "harder",
those who
> are yet to be licensed grumble about having to do more than their
predecessors.
> Either way, somebody is unhappy.
>
> A third solution is to change the requirements for everybody, as was done
in
> 1968. That made so many people mad that some are still fuming about it
today -
> even those licensed long after the changes were made!.
>
> >And you
> >seek
> >that additional requirement because you feel that today's Extras are not
as
> >good
> >as the Extras of years past (your message makes that very clear). But,
since
> >you
> >haven't proven that today's new Extras are any less skilled than new
Extras
> >of
> >the past, your idea is nothing more than garbage designed to further
divide
> >the
> >ham radio community.
>
> Well, I wouldn't call it "garbage", but it's an idea that has very little
> chance of being accepted because the FCC isn't into making the rules more
> complex. Just not gonna happen.
>
> There have been incompetent hams of all license classes since there have
been
> licenses.
>
> The problem today is only partly due to the tests and the way they are
given.
> Other causes are things like the high prevalence of manufactured gear and
the
> influence of a "right now" society.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

4919. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 14:57:42 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <4260437fc925468224ffd7d8a6f8af52.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21263

Steve Robeson K4YZ says:

> What I want is a society that can enjoy a
> common frame of reference and understanding.

Your desire has been noted. You have one vote.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from ydialup70.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.10.71]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4920. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 15:50:32 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <b56cc33b1c0dbdf17b576f200e44c6f5.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21264

"Dwight Stewart" stewartx@sccoast.net wrote

> .... you want to put up an addition requirement for today's
> hams that older hams did not have.

Nothing new about this idea at all. I had to wait "two years
in grade" from 1963 until 1965 before I could advance to Amateur
Extra class. Only in recent years was this requirement dropped,
and I agree that it ought to be re-instated.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupf104.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.146.104]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4921. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 15:55:49 UTC
From: David Pearce <david.e.pearce@lmco.com>
Message-ID: <3BB34C05.57641D2C@lmco.com>
Source record: 21265

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <GKCs7.12065$3d2.1075865@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >While not precisely a death song, remember Take a Message to Mary?
> >
> >but don't tell her I'm in jail.
> >Please don't mention the stagecoach......
>
> oh yes!
>
> I am reminded of the song where the cowboy who sings the song goes into the bar
> and makes out with the Mexican girl, then has to run from her angry boyfriend.
> The song ends with a rather graphic description of the singer getting shot and
> dying.
>
> Anybody remember that one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >

El Paso by Marty Robbins per chance?

4922. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 16:14:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hfIs7.12549$W8.1063575@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21266

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010927083754.14725.00000096@nso-fl.aol.com...
> In article <QnCs7.12041$3d2.1073803@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >> Where are you, Dr. Johnny Fever?
>
> Never forget Les Nessman, winner of the Buckeye Newshawk Award! His
classic
> mispronunciation of "Chi-Chi Rodrigues" will live forever.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> "Well since she put me down
> >> There's been owls pukin' in my bed"
> >
> >Stand beside her, and guide her
> >thru the nite with a lite from a bulb
> >
> HAW!!! Never heard that one!
>
> "And to the republic for Richard Stans"
>
> "There's a bathroom on the right"
>
> "She ate a one-ton tomato"
>
> "Reverend Blue Jeans"
>
> The processional hymn for my high-school graduation was "Amazing Grace",
for
> some reason. The programs had a misprint in the lyrics - the line "Through
many
> dangers, toils and snares" was printed "Through many dangers, oils and
snares"
> - so that's what we sang, all 600+ of us.
>
> Although previous classes of my school had held graduation in
Philadelphia's
> Convention Hall, my class and a few after it were barred from there
because of
> what the previous year's behavior. (They set off firecrackers inside the
hall).
> So we wound up at the Sun Oil Center Recreation Hall, near Chester, Pa.

The oil
> company folks did not appreciate our acapella renderings of "The Texaco
Song"
> in the parking lot, and the irony of the misprint in the program was lost
on no
> one.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I'll bet you are one of those people (like me) who sings God Save the Queen
at totally inappropriate moments. For the next graduation I get invited to
I will have to memorize Land of Hope and Glory.

4923. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 16:15:53 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZgIs7.12550$W8.1063366@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21267

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010927095444.26366.00000120@nso-mj.aol.com...
> In article <GKCs7.12065$3d2.1075865@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >While not precisely a death song, remember Take a Message to Mary?
> >
> >but don't tell her I'm in jail.
> >Please don't mention the stagecoach......
>
> oh yes!
>
> I am reminded of the song where the cowboy who sings the song goes into
the bar
> and makes out with the Mexican girl, then has to run from her angry
boyfriend.
> The song ends with a rather graphic description of the singer getting shot
and
> dying.
>
> Anybody remember that one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

Marty Robbins. El Paso.

Down in the WEst Texas town of el Paso I fell in love with a Mexican girl.
Nitetime would find me in Rosa's Cantina, music would play and Belina would
whirl.

4924. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 17:29:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB36203.4040601@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21268

Dick Carroll wrote:

> But most hams entering today have no frame of reference by which
> to understand this fact, and all the old time code haters preaching
> against it only makes it that much worse.
And code supporters preaching that the mode doesn't have enough merit

to survive without a federal affirmative action program pretty much
drives the nails in the coffin. New hams can read between the
lines of your postings, Dick, and conclude that CW has no merit.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4925. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 17:32:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB362A1.20503@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21269

Bob Raymond wrote:

> OK, so maybe waiting to take the test is a bad idea..
> how about this, that the prospective licensee for extra class demonstrate
> proficiency above and beyond his peers...

That is why we have formed the PSCTA, the Pro Smith Chart
Testing Agenda.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4926. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 17:36:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB363BB.6060403@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21270

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Down in the WEst Texas town of el Paso I fell in love with a Mexican girl.
> Nitetime would find me in Rosa's Cantina, music would play and Belina would
> whirl.

Belina? Wasn't it Colina?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4927. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 17:39:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB36437.5050403@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21271

Henry County American Red Cross wrote:

> heheheh and people say that hams are dry, and have no sense of humor heheh.
> I'll have to dig out my copy of the worst country song titles.

My all time favorite is, "When Are You Going To Shave Your Legs?"
circa 1960. Homer and Jethro were popular in those days.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4928. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 17:51:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927135133.14725.00000121@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21272

In article <3BB34C05.57641D2C@lmco.com>, David Pearce <david.e.pearce@lmco.com>
writes:

>> I am reminded of the song where the cowboy who sings the song goes into the
>bar
>> and makes out with the Mexican girl, then has to run from her angry
>boyfriend.
>> The song ends with a rather graphic description of the singer getting shot
>and
>> dying.
>>
>> Anybody remember that one?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >
>
>El Paso by Marty Robbins per chance?

YESSSS!!!!

THANK YOU!!!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4929. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 17:51:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927135133.14725.00000120@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21273

In article <b56cc33b1c0dbdf17b576f200e44c6f5.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>Nothing new about this idea at all. I had to wait "two years
>in grade" from 1963 until 1965 before I could advance to Amateur
>Extra class. Only in recent years was this requirement dropped,
>and I agree that it ought to be re-instated.
>
I thought it was "in recent years" too, Hans, until I looked it up. 1973 it became one year, and in 1976 it was eliminated.

Doesn't seem like a quarter century.

73 de Jim, N2EY (Amateur Extra since 1970)

4930. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:09:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927140917.17003.00000214@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 21274

In article <20010926200304.28070.00000196@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>btw, one of the first things the Taliban did was to disarm the populace. They
>went around and confiscated not only guns but almost anything that could be
>used as a weapon. Possession of a forbidden weaponlike object is severely
>punished. The result is a very low crime rate - and a totalitarian government
>with almost no fear of being challenged, let alone overthrown.

...sounds like the PCTA position on morse code... :-)

4931. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:09:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927140919.17003.00000215@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 21275

In article <9osv9o$a1$0@208.206.142.105>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Congratulations, Jim! You accomplished that which no one else has been
>able to do- You beat The Geezer at his own game using his own tools!

You'd like to think so, Dickie, but he's only living out his
fantasies in here...as you are. Now stay with this message
since you might learn something.

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010924130920.02524.00000165@nso-bj.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> >>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>> >>
>> >>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>> >>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>> >>
>> >>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never
>> >>to use it again, either.
>> >
>> >There is proof of this?
>>
>> Yep ;-)

Minus j M made a funny of sorts. It would be funnier if he
knew what he was talking about.

>> > An "official" poll? :-)
>>
>> Not a poll. ;-)

Only a single pill, not a poll.

>> >>Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith
>> >>chart.
>> >
>> >Oh? What "programs" are those? Please list a few.
>>
>> There are so many that any list I would post would be deficient.

Minus j is deficient anyway. Suggestion: See what "eeSoft"
has.

>> >The Smith Chart is a visual aid and an approximation insofar
>> >as numerical accuracy is concerned.
>>
>> We know, Len.

Minus j may have read about it once in QEX. Perhaps that is
the "mastery" he claims.

>> >A Smith Chart does NOT "solve" things by itself.
>>
>> It's a tool. Like a slide rule, abacus, lightning calculator, adding
>> machine, or a buggywhip.

The comparison made by the Minus j M is noted...but it is
still in use by professionals. If Minus j were really a
professional engaged in radio design he would not be so
depreciating. But then, few seem to know what Minus j
does other than spend hours on the Internet.

He hasn't stated his company's name. (those who feel good
about what they do for a living are seldom hesitant about
naming their company)

He hasn't made any technical comments on radio subjects
other than amateur radio history or an electronic T-R switch
supposed to be "featured" in the "Electric Radio" fanzine.
"Electric Radio" is for vacuum tube radio fans.

>> (snip of rant about Smith Chart's wonderful properties)

<snip of Minus j trying to be funny...>

>> PGMTT - what's that stand for? Professional Geezers Matching Tall Tales?
>;-)

Professional Group on Microwave Theory and Techniques.
One of the IEEE groups. Minus j seems to have no
contact at all with the electronic industry?

>> What is "Minus j M" supposed to mean?

Think complex quantities. With no real parts, only the
imaginary. That is much like the fundamentalist PCTA...

>> Tell us something we don't know, Len.

...what goes on behind the radio's front panel. Dickie
wanted to belittle Claude Shannon's work a couple of
years ago, claiming it had no effect on amteur
communications...but he did not want to acknowledge
that Shannon's Laws apply to ALL radio.

Minus j infers some sort of mystical "knowledge" of
everything in radio and electronics yet cannot talk
about it in detail. He, like Dickie, is mired in the
past with "knowledge of radio" gleaned only from

amateur radio periodicals.

>> >The
>> >Smith Chart is a tool to achieve that end quickly and efficiently.
>>
>> Yeah. High school stuff.

Now everyone knows that Minus j does not work in radio for
a living. A Smith Chart is a graphical form of complex
quantity presentation. Complex quantities are not part of
high school curricula.

>> That means it's OLD technology. From the days before cheap and plentiful
>> computing. It's error-prone and inaccurate, compared to more modern
>> methods. Why are you so stuck in the past, Len?

Minus j works at a sarcasm factory. In a low division.

What he is attempting to do is to somehow, vaguely get some
kind of connection, however tenuous, to the morse code issue.
It doesn't attach.

The first Smith Chart was in use just before WW2. It did not
become popular until published in McGraw-Hill's biweekly
"Electronics" magazine just after WW2. Its usefulness as a
tool became universal to all who worked at radio frequencies
worldwide...and remains today, still widespread, a factual
standard in the electronics industry..

"Morse code" was conceived a century before, not for radio
but for primitive electrical landline use. Landline manual
telegraphy was beginning to be replaced by teleprinters a
half century after its conception. There are no manual
telegraphy landlines now. Morse codings on radio now
exist only in amateur radio and a handfull of third world
countries. The FCC does not consider morse skill to be a
meaningful one in determining a grant for an amateur radio
license.

LHA

4932. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:39:29 UTC
From: tbryant@cannet.com
Message-ID: <9ovrp102ja8@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 21280

In article <20010927095444.26366.00000120@nso-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...

>I am reminded of the song where the cowboy who sings the song goes into the
bar
>and makes out with the Mexican girl, then has to run from her angry boyfriend.
>The song ends with a rather graphic description of the singer getting shot and
>dying.
>
>Anybody remember that one?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

That's one that starts out "Out in the West Texas town of El Paso..."

(Say what you will, but I like Barry Manilow's "Copacobanna" the best.)

Tom - N8ECW

4933. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:55:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927145557.20972.00000286@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21277

In article <v3Hs7.439$Le.18426@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>OK, so maybe waiting to take the test is a bad idea..
>how about this, that the prospective licensee for extra class demonstrate
>proficiency above and beyond his peers...
>Now, I would think that is reasonable to expect for our top level license,
>no??
>
>W7RJR

Write the FCC and hope they will make it an RM. The FCC
grants US amateur radio licenses. Licensees don't grant
licenses.

Just a thought...

4934. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:55:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927145558.20972.00000287@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21279

In article <20010927080234.11688.00000194@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3BB29738.E8D7D66F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
>>Bob Raymond wrote:
>>>
>>> (snip) It is my understanding that
>>> the Extra Class license was intended
>>> to be the elite class license. (snip)
>>>
>>> (snip) I propose that there be an
>>> experience requirement levied upon all
>>> who seek the Extra Class License. (snip)
>>>
>>> (snip) P.S. This has NOTHING to do with
>>> code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I
>>> value all new members to our hobby, I
>>> simply feel that our highest class of
>>> license should be RESERVED for those
>>> that have EARNED it.
>>
>> It has EVERYTHING to do with new hams vs old timers - you want to put up
>>an addition requirement for today's hams that older hams did not have.
>
>There was a 2 year experience requirement for Extra until 1973, and a 1 year
>requirement until 1976. I had to deal with the 2 year requirement (1968 to
>1970). Imagine telling a 14 year old that he/she has to wait two years to
>even TRY the test - that's what I had to deal with.

Those last two sentences set the tone for the remainder...it is
what YOU had to do.

If you had to do it, then you seem to think that all others must
do the same. Why? Minus j, you don't grant licenses and
don't regulate any part of radio.

>>And you seek
>>that additional requirement because you feel that today's Extras are not as
>>good
>>as the Extras of years past (your message makes that very clear). But, since
>>you
>>haven't proven that today's new Extras are any less skilled than new Extras
>>of the past, your idea is nothing more than garbage designed to further

divide
>>the ham radio community.
>
>Well, I wouldn't call it "garbage", but it's an idea that has very little
>chance of being accepted because the FCC isn't into making the rules more
>complex. Just not gonna happen.

Why should the FCC "make rules complex?"

>There have been incompetent hams of all license classes since there have been
>licenses.

Some even claim to be "masters of the Smithc chart." :-)

>The problem today is only partly due to the tests and the way they are given.
>Other causes are things like the high prevalence of manufactured gear and the
>influence of a "right now" society.

Sorry, but the predominance of those long-timers who want
to make a hobby radio service into some professional
service complete with rank, status, titles and privileges.
That's just self-aggrandizement...sitting up in that ivory
tower, pretending to be a "radio regulator."

As to wanting things "right now," the meaning behind that
is quite clear: Everyone must "progress through the ranks"
as it an AMATEUR radio activity was a professional guild or
union. Such "right now" blabber assumes that one MUST
do everything as it was done in the long-timer's youth.

That begs the questions, Who made the long-timer PCTA
eminent judge of everything? Who is the long-timer who
so self-righteously wishes to denigrate newcomers as
somehow "lacking 'proper' ethics/morals" in a hobby?

Answer: The self-important who set themselves up as the
superior example...even when they do not grant licenses
nor do they regulate any radio.

LHA

4935. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:55:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927145559.20972.00000288@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21276

In article <OoCs7.12043$3d2.1074087@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> 1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
>> 2001-Remember New York
>
>1945 remember Dresden

Remember Coventry...

4936. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 18:57:01 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109271849550.15203-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21278

On 27 Sep 2001, Dick Carroll wrote:
>"D. Stussy" wrote:
>> >The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and General
>> >used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was
>> >split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).
>>
>> Note that the date is the date that the EXAMINATION was taken by. Some
>> pre-March 1987 candidates didn't receive their actual license until about June
>> 1987. They will also have the credit, even though their LICENSE was issued
>> after the magic March date.
>>
>
> And how would they go about proving when they took the test? I'm sure
>there are no old FCC test records remaining. It was common in the 50's
>and 60's for the FCC to take up to two monts to process a license test,
>but that was not always the case. Usually it was 4 to 6 weeks. The exact
>date of a test would be difficult if not impossible to prove.

If their licenses were issued before the date, they obviously took the test before that date. That should be obvious.

The only concern is for those who took the test before a cutoff date, but the license is issued after that date. Generally, it is known that there was a licensing delay following most cutoffs, and therefore, the date where the FIRST licensee who took the examination AFTER the cutoff is the limit date whereby the date on the license can presume a certain test element. For our most recent change, that license cutoff would be April 19, 2000 - because that's when the first licenses under our recent restructuring were issued. Therefore, one could look at an extra class license issued April 18, 2000 and know that the holder had passed 20wpm (even though issued after April 15th) because no post-April 14th licenses had been processed until the next day. There are similar dates for 1987 and 1991, and these dates are known and have been published (but seemingly ignored by most except for a few VE's).

4937. Drop by today to www.ab7sl.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 19:44:15 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <3bb38192@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 21281

The GIGANTIC news at www.ab7sl.com is Electronic QSL Cards....

Drop by the site to see if _you_ have a beautiful full-color qsl card
waiting
for you. The card depicts a beautiful local mountain scene here in
mile-high Prescott, Arizona USA......

Also found at the site listed below ....

1. Official - W9INN Antennas Page -
2. Equipment photos
3. Personal photos
4. Station Description
5. Original 3d desktop wallpaper
6. Prescott,AZ Page with tons of photos
7. Elmer tribute to W7NH
8. Free downloadable IOTA List
9. Ham Radio Links - some unusual
10. AB7SL Biography
11. Software downloads
12. Online DX Logbook
13. Frequently Asked Questions
14. Free music downloads and more stuff.....

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
100% Electronic QSL's
www.ab7sl.com

4938. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:01:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB38581.9080203@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21282

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <OoCs7.12043$3d2.1074087@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>>1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
>>>2001-Remember New York
>>>
>>1945 remember Dresden
>
> Remember Coventry...
Remember The Alamo.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4939. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:03:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB38628.1040101@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21283

N2EY wrote:

> In article <b56cc33b1c0dbdf17b576f200e44c6f5.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans
> Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:
>
>
>>Nothing new about this idea at all. I had to wait "two years
>>in grade" from 1963 until 1965 before I could advance to Amateur
>>Extra class. Only in recent years was this requirement dropped,
>>and I agree that it ought to be re-instated.
>>
>>
> I thought it was "in recent years" too, Hans, until I looked it up. 1973 it
> became one year, and in 1976 it was eliminated.
>
> Doesn't seem like a quarter century.

I think anyone not licensed for a half century should not be
allowed to hold an Extra license. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP (licensed for a half century this year)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4940. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:08:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927160837.07994.00001217@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21284

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/27/01 2:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010927145558.20972.00000287@nso-fp.aol.com>

>> Imagine telling a 14 year old that he/she has to wait two years to
>>even TRY the test - that's what I had to deal with.
>
>Those last two sentences set the tone for the remainder...it is
>what YOU had to do.

As ususal, quoted out of context by Lennie the Limp.

His analogy point was that it's NOT like it used to be and that the
changes are for the betterment of the service, especially as it pertains to the
UNlikelyhood that the FCC would REcomplicate the licensing procedure.

And of course, we have to ask WHY you, of all people, make ANY point of
anyone who would even SUGGEST doing things "the way I did..."

YOUR whole reson for being here is because you think YOU are too good to
take the same exams that anyone today has to take...

You think YOUR "history" should make you exempt from ANY further
requirement of examination.

>Why should the FCC "make rules complex?"

Why, indeed.

>Sorry, but the predominance of those long-timers who want
>to make a hobby radio service into some professional
>service complete with rank, status, titles and privileges.

You are, as ususal, lying or grandstanding...I haven't fugured out which
yet.

>That's just self-aggrandizement...sitting up in that ivory
>tower, pretending to be a "radio regulator."

Grandstanding. I made up my mind.

>As to wanting things "right now," the meaning behind that
>is quite clear: Everyone must "progress through the ranks"
>as it an AMATEUR radio activity was a professional guild or
>union.

Nope. Just wonder if you are man enough to sit down with mere mortals and
take the test like the rest of us...Both "long timer" and the recently

licensed.

WE (yes, WE) know the answer to that, Your Cowardliness.

>Such "right now" blabber assumes that one MUST
>do everything as it was done in the long-timer's youth.

Nope. Just as it is done right NOW, by anyone else TODAY.

>That begs the questions, Who made the long-timer PCTA
>eminent judge of everything?

Which could also "beg the question", how does an UNlicnesed person decide
that THEY know better what we need...?!? Who appointed YOU as all-knowing or
wise?

Po/kettle/black. YOU think YOU are better equipped to make determinations
of what's good for the Amateur Radio Service without having any vested interest
in it.

>Who is the long-timer who
>so self-righteously wishes to denigrate newcomers as
>somehow "lacking 'proper' ethics/morals" in a hobby?

You are, Lennie. Everytime you get into your "long-timer" rants.

Like this one, for example.

>Answer: The self-important who set themselves up as the
>superior example...even when they do not grant licenses
>nor do they regulate any radio.

Bingo!

>LHA

(L)icenseless
(H)am-baiting
(A)ntagonist

4941. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:10:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927161046.07994.00001219@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21285

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: "Hans Brakob" k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 9/27/01 10:57 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <4260437fc925468224ffd7d8a6f8af52.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>

>Your desire has been noted. You have one vote.

As opposed to your how-many...?!?!

Your arrogance and bitterness would seem to suggest that you are entitled
to more than your same one vote.

4942. Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:14:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB38899.8070606@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21286

Followup on a thread from a few days ago. Here's what the Texas license plate form says: "Must regularly operate radio equipment that is both a receiving and transmitting set *in* the vehicle described on the first page."

Motorcycles give the *in* part a problem. There is no *in* to a motorcycle. Wonder if *on* would be sufficient?

Also: "The applicant may obtain radio operator plates for more than one passenger or light commercial motor vehicle titled in the applicant's name, that is equipped with mobile amateur radio equipment." "Equipped" may also give my motorcycle a problem. It is not my motorcycle, per se, but I, the operator, who is equipped with an HT. Have any other Texans succeeded in getting ham plates for his/her motorcycle?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4943. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:16:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB38934.4060506@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21287

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Your arrogance and bitterness would seem to suggest that you are entitled
> to more than your same one vote.

Could be his "arrogance and bitterness" means that he thinks
he is in the majority.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4944. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:38:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927163843.07994.00001229@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21288

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/27/01 2:09 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010927140919.17003.00000215@nso-fk.aol.com>

>You'd like to think so, Dickie, but he's only living out his
>fantasies in here...as you are. Now stay with this message
>since you might learn something.

I first note that you call him "Dickie", Lennie. I find this humorous
since you decry the same behaviour in others.

Do unto others, Lennie?

>Minus j M made a funny of sorts. It would be funnier if he
>knew what he was talking about.

Oooops...did it again....

>>> We know, Len.
>
>Minus j may have read about it once in QEX. Perhaps that is
>the "mastery" he claims.

Ooops...Lennie, often whining about people not "debating the issues
without resorting to ad hominen attacks" still LOVES to make up little
endearments (Note the "Minus j" reference to Jim Miccolis) of his own.

Please note that Jim, however, calls him "Len".

>The comparison made by the Minus j M is noted...but it is
>still in use by professionals. If Minus j were really a
>professional engaged in radio design he would not be so
>depreciating.

His Putziness refers to Jim as "Minus jM" and "Minus j", yet goes on to
chide him for allegedly being "...so depreciating."

Damn, Lennie, you make proving what a two-faced trolling coward you really
are EASY!

>He hasn't stated his company's name. (those who feel good
>about what they do for a living are seldom hesitant about
>naming their company)

Why? So far nothing he has stated is, as far as I can tell, incorrect.
What has his EMPLOYER have to do with this?

>He hasn't made any technical comments on radio subjects
>other than amateur radio history or an electronic T-R switch
>supposed to be "featured" in the "Electric Radio" fanzine.
>"Electric Radio" is for vacuum tube radio fans.

WHOA YOUR PUTZINESS ! ! !

YOU are the one who is always whining about this is supposed to be a
"POLICY" newsgroup SOLELY about the Morse Code issue!

NOW you are calling someone to task for NOT discussing "technical" issues
to YOUR satisfaction herein...?!?!?!

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>Minus j infers some sort of mystical "knowledge" of everything in radio and
electronics yet cannot talk about it in detail.

A lie. Jim discusses a great many things "in detail". Of course YOU
don't want to acknowledge those other posts since they generally tend to prove
what a complete and baseless idiot you are.

>Complex quantities are not part of
> high school curricula.

Not in YOUR day, Lennie. Been in a good high school math lab lately?

>What he is attempting to do is to somehow, vaguely get some
> kind of connection, however tenuous, to the morse code issue.
> It doesn't attach.

Yeah...right.

Anderson, do you think no one see's through you?

Do you think I am the only one who can see through your two-faced personal
war on Amateur Radio?

Do you really think your "arguments" and own personal vendettas are not as
transparent as air?

4945. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:43:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927164318.07994.00001230@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21289

>Subject: Re: PING: Jim N2EY
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/27/01 1:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB363BB.6060403@IEEE.org>

>cheers, CAM, W5DXP

Congratulations!

Steve, K4YZ

4946. Re: Drop by today to www.ab7sl.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:44:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927164422.07994.00001231@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21290

>Subject: Drop by today to www.ab7sl.com
>From: "Charles Johnston" charles@ab7sl.com
>Date: 9/27/01 3:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3bb38192@news.bnb-lp.com>

>10. AB7SL Biography

I'll wait for the video.

Steve

4947. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:46:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927164638.07994.00001232@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21291

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/27/01 4:16 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB38934.4060506@IEEE.org>

>Could be his "arrogance and bitterness" means that he thinks
>he is in the majority.

Majority of what?

4948. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 20:54:13 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VIMs7.10647$WW.1040525@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21292

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Sorry, but the predominance of those long-timers who want
> to make a hobby radio service into some professional
> service complete with rank, status, titles and privileges....

and funny little hats with mysterious writing on them.

> As to wanting things "right now," the meaning behind that
> is quite clear: Everyone must "progress through the ranks"
> as if an AMATEUR radio activity was a professional guild or
> union.

Oh, yeah. Don't forget the funny little aprons with mysterious
symbols on them.

We still need that secret handshake, though.

> Some even claim to be "masters of the Smith chart." :-)

We, the proud, the few, the 0.001% who use Smith Charts,
are indeed masters of our domains.... the impedance domain,
the admittance domain, the S domain.

There, within our humble circle, our purview spans the
Zero and the Infinity, the Null and the Void, the Alpha
and Jay Omega of existence. It is glorious!

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4949. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 21:46:30 UTC
From: Glenn Caron <gpcaronxgi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <W6Ns7.10691$WW.1043060@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

What exactly is your mental malfunction? Apparently, you weren't keeping up with the news, or you would have heard that NYC was looking for anyone with amatuer radio experience. Seems some your precious cell antennas were damaged or destroyed in the attack

But there's a larger question here. What the hell do you care if we have a newsgroup about an activity we enjoy? Why do you feel the need to rain on our parade? Didn't Mommy and Daddy show you enough love as a child? No one here ever said that ham radio was absolutely vital to rescue efforts. Take a few bucks out of the bank and buy yourself a life.

Dave Johnson <dh2311@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:8602753.93855@bellsowth.net...
>
> How did the FBI learn details of some of the hijackings? Answer: Cell
> phone calls from airliners.
>
> How are the rescuers finding people who are still alive under the
> debris? Answer: Cell phone calls from the victims.
>
> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
> tragedy.
>
> So what is it that you guys are making a big deal of? I don't see any
> evidence that your hobby was ever needed.

4950. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 22:39:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB3AAB0.2050103@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21294

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Majority of what?
Rational Mentally Healthy Amateur Radio Operators?

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4951. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 23:10:44 UTC
From: k3bu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927191044.02829.00001358@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 21295

>
>> In fact, there has been no televised story of emergency communications
>> which involved ham radio at all after two days of reporting terrible
>> tragedy.
>>

So it didn't happen?
For your information, there were networks running on repeaters around the clock for Red Cross. Some people worked over 12 hour shifts, had even operator from Canada. What did you do? Protest display of flags?

Yuri

4952. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-27 23:11:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927191140.27223.00000628@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21296

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/27/01 6:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB3AAB0.2050103@IEEE.org>

>Rational Mentally Healthy Amateur Radio Operators?
>

HANS...?!?!?

RATIONAL AND MENTALLY HEALTHY?!?!?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

He's "Lennie of the North"..! ! ! !

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Thanks for the good one, Cec!

Steve

4953. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 00:38:24 UTC
From: Craig Davidson <nospam@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <4EPs7.21554$Hx1.2040153@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

The Icom 706 is the best 6 meter rig available.

It has Subaudible tone encode and decode built in

Also, I found out that the hustler mobile antenna resonates exactly in the
middle of the 6 meter band with no coil installed.

Have fun.

David J. Moge wrote in message ...
>OK,
>
>Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
>
>Thanks
>n1rzb
>
>
>

4954. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 01:14:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927211448.08573.00000085@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 21298

In article <VlMs7.10647$WW.1040525@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> Sorry, but the predominance of those long-timers who want
>> to make a hobby radio service into some professional
>> service complete with rank, status, titles and privileges....
>
>and funny little hats with mysterious writing on them.

Those are cute! :-)

>> As to wanting things "right now," the meaning behind that
>> is quite clear: Everyone must "progress through the ranks"
>> as if an AMATEUR radio activity was a professional guild or
>> union.
>
>Oh, yeah. Don't forget the funny little aprons with mysterious
>symbols on them.
>
>We still need that secret handshake, though.

You guys have that? Oh, my, the Tennessee Taliban's
military officer will now rage in fury that the "unlicensed"
are "jealous" over those and can never have one. :-)

>> Some even claim to be "masters of the Smith chart." :-)
>
>We, the proud, the few, the 0.001% who use Smith Charts,
>are indeed masters of our domains.... the impedance domain,
>the admittance domain, the S domain.

The problem is that Parameters are Scattered. The S-sub-11
is high and the S-sub-12 is low.

My 8752 told me so and the 415's needle confirmed the
vizwar shown. Hand me that 3/8" wrench to tighten
those SMAs. Or was it the Duroid that got squashed?

Mary had a little lambda, the epsilon was 2.6....

>There, within our humble circle, our purview spans the
>Zero and the Infinity, the Null and the Void, the Alpha
>and Jay Omega of existence. It is glorious!

Yea verily! May the Newton be with you! :-)

4955. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 01:32:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_vQs7.47623$Dz6.1016427@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21299

Steve,
I think highly of the 757GX--I bought one new in '86 and kept it until '98
when CAP began "hinting" about the NTIA standards. It was my first
transistor HF rig and gave me FB service thru out its career. I had the
almost
complete station--rig, FP757HP power supply, and the matching tuner. I ran
it as a base and a mobile until I bought a TS50 for mobile. I still have
the PS,
but it quit recently, so I put it on the bench and found a transistor blown.
I
have the transistor and will have it back up and running soon. Who knows,
I might find a good deal on another 757 and keep it for a backup rig. Right
now, I am using IC706s for base and mobiles.

Jerry
K4KWH

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010920114132.15892.00001152@mb-cb.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/18/01 12:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010918121242.27641.00000448@nso-fk.aol.com>
>
> >...nor
> >have I any desire for "loading up the shack with lots of expensive
> >play pretties." [that's a rather stupid, denigrating description
> >of any ham's elaborate amateur radio station]
>
> YOU are the one who made the comments vis-a-vis buying an FT-1000,
Lennie.
>
> >Jim Hall, KD6JG, has a Yaesu FT-1000 in the office room of
> >his house in Cedar Ridge, CA. The number came to mind is
> >all. [I do not commit ham equipment advertising data to
> >memory nor do I have envy of what others have]
>
> Uh huh...Sure...we REALLY believe you, Your Geezerness.
>
> >Think ever more deeply. I've said at least twice that I have no
> >interest in working HF DX just for the sake of working DX or
> >making contacts just for the sake of making contacts..
>
> THEN....If that were TRUE (which I am sure it is NOT), then YOU could
have

> been a licensed Amateur and had capabilities at your fingertips that
allowed
> you as an individual to have far greater than any employer ever gave you
as an
> employee.
>
> >...nor
> >have I any desire for "loading up the shack with lots of expensive
> >play pretties." [that's a rather stupid, denigrating description
> >of any ham's elaborate amateur radio station]
>
> No it's not. YOU are stupid and denigrating. Almost every Ham I
know
> want's MORE "play pretties".
>
> WE can use them...YOU can only admire them.
>
> >I am thinking about trading it in for a newer model with
> >RF output levelling, but that is another subject.
>
> What about the subject of YOU gettign a license and getting some play
> pretties of your own, Lennie?
>
> >Robeson is sadly mistaken if he thinks I am "lusting" (as
> >someone put it) for an HF privilege amateur license.
>
> YOU are the one who brought it up, Lennie.
>
> Of course, you only mentioned the FT-1000 since your friend has
mentioned
> it...Just like EMS wannabe's who recite impressive sounding medical terms
but
> have no idea what they mean, you recite what your REAL Ham buddy says.
>
> That's because you have NO practical knowledge or experience in
Amateur
> Radio.
>
> Again, you act the fool because you ARE the fool. Lennie.
>
> Lost in a world you cannot comprehend nor understand.
>
> Need a map?
>
> Steve
>

4956. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 01:43:27 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CGQs7.47633$Dz6.1018385@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21300

Well, while I can't just go out and buy anything I want on a whim, I am finding
that my 706s do quite well. I bought one of the originals in '98(?) and a MKIIG last year. The original 706 is on the base and the G is in the car. I
have no need for another rig(s), so I plan to run these for a few years at least.
Everybody has their favorite rigs and, for me, the Icoms are quite the trick. I
may not be the loudest, but they work well, and I would think about as well as
one of those multi-thousand $$ rigs like the FT1000 or 756PRO. And "if" I should get married again, and my wife relegates my shack to a closet, they will
fit ever so nicely in some tiny niche. LOL! My ex, while a most pleasant girl
with whom I am still friends, persuaded me to put my shack in a den closet years ago. It actually worked extremely well, since I could slide the louvered
doors open and sit down on my chair. When company came, the doors went back shut and no one was the wiser! Now I have a larger house and the shack is downstairs in my cozy basement where I spend many happy hours doing what you, Larry, mentioned: ENJOYING ham radio!!

Jerry
K4KWH
Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in mesage news:20010921114011.03470.00000026@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <20010920114639.15892.00001153@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >
> > I agree 100%, Mike. I was being facitious for Lennie's sake.
> >
> > The comment was aimed at Lennie the Licenseless. He made a comment the
> >other day about how I must be lusting over a particular radio that he is in
> >financial circumstances that permit him to just buy one off the shelf if he
> >so
> >desires.
> >

> > The probelm, of course, is that he has NO license and it would be
> >nothing
> >more than an overly-equipped SWL receiver for him.
> >
> > He'd be all dressed up with no where to go.
> >
> > I love my 757GXII. It's a great rig.
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve:
>
> I am in a financial position where I can buy any top-of-the-line
> amateur transceiver off-the-shelf as well. However, I use my old
> reliable circa 1987 Icom IC-735, with a circa 1982 Icom IC-730
> as a backup. When you decide that you can afford to go out and
> purchase a top-of-the-line Yeacomwood, you may also decide
> that your old reliable 757 GXII is still serving you adequately and
> well. Let Lennie the Licenseless go out and buy a new Yaesu
> FT-1000MP or whatever. He'll have the best sounding signal on
> 11 meters, until Riley catches up to him! Meanwhile, we'll be
> on the ham bands with our trusty old rigs, enjoying HAM radio!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

4957. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 01:49:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YLQs7.47636$Dz6.1019151@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21201

You got that right, Steve! LOL!

I'm in the same boat. When I got my 706MKIIG last year, I was looking to try the FT100D. Someone dropped a "bug" in my ear saying the Yaesus still had some probs. (I thought they were NTIA compliant, too). I bought the 706. Imagine my relief when I got home, checked the National HQ web page and found the FT100 was NOT compliant! YIKES! I almost made an expensive mistake! So whether the FT100 had probs or not, the fellow that button holed me did me a BIG favor!

Jerry
K4KWH
Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010921123308.18592.00000045@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Date: 9/21/01 11:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010921114011.03470.00000026@nso-mv.aol.com>
>
> >Meanwhile, we'll be
> >on the ham bands with our trusty old rigs, enjoying HAM radio!
> >
>
> You know THAT'S right! And even with all the high-end rigs out
there, the
> new Icom IC-718 is next in line....Does what I want it to and it's NTIA
> complaint for Civil Air Patrol use.
>
> Why drop $3K in a rig when $700 will get me all I need and more?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

4958. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 02:02:37 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <kXQs7.36073$ga2.1720344@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 21202

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:CGQs7.47633$Dz6.1018385@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Well, while I can't just go out and buy anything I want on a whim, I am
> finding
> that my 706s do quite well. I bought one of the originals in '98(?) and a
> MKIIG last year. The original 706 is on the base and the G is in the car.
> I
> have no need for another rig(s), so I plan to run these for a few years at
> least.
> Everybody has their favorite rigs and, for me, the Icoms are quite the
> trick. I
> may not be the loudest, but they work well, and I would think about as
well
> as
> one of those multi-thousand $$ rigs like the FT1000 or 756PRO. And "if" I
> should get married again, and my wife relegates my shack to a closet, they
> will
> fit ever so nicely in some tiny niche. LOL! My ex, while a most pleasant
> girl
> with whom I am still friends, persuaded me to put my shack in a den closet
> years ago. It actually worked extremely well, since I could slide the
> louvered
> doors open and sit down on my chair. When company came, the doors went
> back shut and no one was the wiser! Now I have a larger house and the
shack
> is downstairs in my cozy basement where I spend many happy hours doing
> what you, Larry, mentioned: ENJOYING ham radio!!

Thats one of the radios I am looking at buing for my home when I get my ticket, I figured once i pass code and general test then I'll have one radio that will suit all of my needs. I am also looking into different HT's and a mobile for my vehicle, for that I'd settle for a decent 2 meter radio or possibly a dual bander. One thing I am looking for in an HT is rugged, easy to use/program. I am looking at the Icom a friend of mine has offered to sell me, can't recall exact model it is dual band, comes with extra battery packs and he'd sell it for $125, he keeps it in a padded briefcase similar to those you see people carrying their match pistols, or laptops in, it doesn't have a scratch or ding in it.

I have heard some mention that the MKIIG has some problems with intermod? and that it's filters are somewhat below par. Anyone have a comment on that?

Keith Hosman

4959. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 02:14:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <32Rs7.10972$WW.1071172@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21203

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB36437.5050403@IEEE.org...
> Henry County American Red Cross wrote:
>
> > heheheh and people say that hams are dry, and have no sense of humor
heheh.
> > I'll have to dig out my copy of the worst country song titles.
>
> My all time favorite is, "When Are You Going To Shave Your Legs?"
> circa 1960. Homer and Jethro were popular in those days.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
>

Glorioski, Cecil is now a real live W five.

I tend to be more partial to Kinky Friedman and the Texas Jew Boys. 'They ain't makin' Jews like Jesus any more" twang. They were an opening act for Willie Nelson.

And in the line of lyrics, who can possibly forget Bob Dylan (Desolation Row):

Every one is having sex or else expecting rain.

Dick Bionic Klutz

4960. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 02:16:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <i4Rs7.24056$2H2.1946392@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21204

Ryan,

I see TS50s (Kenwood) on the rec.radio,swap go for as little as $475. This
little rig is about the same size as one of those single-band 10 meter
units, but
has all bands/modes from 160-10 meters. For around $500, you can pick up
an original Icom 706 which has the above plus 6 and 2 meters. With that,
you
get, in addition, a scanner, general coverage receive and aircraft receive
as well.
Quite a good deal. The MKIIs go for around $600. I would tend to agree
that
you would STILL be better off to wait till you have the money in the till to
go with a multi-band HF rig. Watch the hamfests, swaps and newsgroups where
you CAN get a good deal on one of them. If you go out and spend a couple
hundred for a single band rig, that money is gone with which you could get a
bigger bang for your buck. Have a little patience, and you will be glad you
did.

73

Jerry
K4KWH
Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:lF4j7.678$0y.254807@monger.newsread.com...
> >
> > Ryan:
> >
> > I think that the money spent on a single-band 10-m transceiver would
> > be better invested saving it until there was enough to purchase a full-
> > coverage HF multi-band transceiver. Since very capable rigs like
> > the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
> > amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> Well, if 10m is the only band that a rig can be single-banded I guess that
I
> will be screwed here for quite some time. It will be 5-10 years before I
> will ever be heard on HF, unless I am working with someone elses station.
I
> still think that a Multi-mode, single band rig for some of the other
> frequency bands would be nice. Who the hell has 800 bucks at one time
where

> it wasn't immediately need for bills or emergencies? Wish I did....
>
> Ryan
>
>
>

4961. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 02:19:11 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qcRs7.47658$Dz6.1023605@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21205

But, Leland,

You know that the TS50, FT100, DX70, and the 706s are about the same
size and weight as those single banded rigs. Might be a "tad" heavier, but
fits easili into a back pack.

Jerry
K4KWH
Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010829203215.12858.00007261@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <too1sd2p2iu67@corp.supernews.com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> writes:
>
> >
> >"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> >news:20010828095654.09419.00003719@nso-mv.aol.com...
> >>Since very capable rigs like
> >> the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
> >> amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!
> >
> >Maybe to you it seems that way. One market for a single band 10m all mode
> >rig is for the guys who use transverters on V/UHF and the microwave
bands.
> >Most of the time the IF frequency is either 10m or 2m. A cheap 10m rig
like
> >the Radio Shack model lets them use their transverters without having to
tie
> >up a much more expensive, and large, multiband H.F. radio. When those
guys
> >go mountain topping they don't want to drag around a big rig if they
don't
> >have to.
>
> Leland:
>
> Excellent point -- I didn't think of that quick enough. Thanks for the
> input.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

4962. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 02:40:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p0nuhplg0@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 21206

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <GKCs7.12065$3d2.1075865@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >While not precisely a death song, remember Take a Message to Mary?
> >
> >but don't tell her I'm in jail.
> >Please don't mention the stagecoach......
>
> oh yes!
>
> I am reminded of the song where the cowboy who sings the song goes into the bar
> and makes out with the Mexican girl, then has to run from her angry boyfriend.
> The song ends with a rather graphic description of the singer getting shot and
> dying.
>
> Anybody remember that one?
>

Yeah. El Paso by Marty Robbins.

4963. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 03:00:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <iPRs7.47777$Dz6.1032003@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21207

Kim,

It's a given that we all have either been ridiculed OR have done the same in return. I don't pay a lot of attention to it. I was born in the South (Arkansas)
and moved to NC when I was around 7 years old. More Tar Heel than Razor-back, I guess. I never understood all the mocking of accents, or their signifi-cance as a "gauge" of one's intelligence. I always found Hollywood's pitiful
attempts at doing a "Southern" accent somewhat insulting, however. "Waal. Mistuh Sher-uff, to whaat do we owe the play-shuh of this h'yar visit? Ah do
dee-clah-uh, we sho' 'nuff ain't awah-uh of no mis-cheef arou' hee-uh." I never heard anybody talk that way in real life! Them thar yankees would be surprised to find that here in NC, one can find, at least, three different dialects:
mountain, piedmont (the 2 are close, tho), and the coastal. The folks in and
around the Outer Banks have a peculiar accent, one that "can" be difficult to
decipher until one lives there for awhile. Often called "hoi toiders", they pronounce many words in their own way. For example, "house" can be "hice" (rhyme with "mice"), or "hay-use" (spoken quickly). "Sound" can come out as "say-und", or "synd" (rhyme with "hind") "About" may be said as "aboot", or "abayt" ("a-bite"). If you have listened someone from around Richmond, Va, you have heard a version of this same dialect--Peter Jennings has a hint of this
in his speech. Moreover, their inflections and emphasis on certain syllables are
different from that of "most" southern dialects, sometimes making it almost impossible to understand what they are saying. I moved there from the Western Piedmont in the early 60s and had a hell of a time understanding my high school teachers, not to mention my classmates. Once I lived there for a few months, I was able to decipher this dialect made so difficult by multiple ways of pronouncing the same word, the different slang used, the clipped way
it was delivered, and even words I had never heard before. This dialect has its
roots in Elizabethan English because of the early colonization along the NC coast, and I soon found that those "strange" words had been carried forward all these centuries even when the rest of the country had long forgotten them.
Like the word "strumpet"--an ancient English word meaning "whore" or "harlot". Can you imagine a 15 y/o old kid (me) hearing someone say. "oi

c'nt
foind (find) me flat tape (tape measure). oi knows th' strumpet cudna got
ayten
de hice--gotta be aboot s'ummers". I learned a whole new language and never
left the state! LOL!

Jerry
K4KWH

Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3b895441_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> Sorry, Dwight. And, certainly sorry to hear of your wife's problems with
> finding a job. However, that it had anything to do with the fact that
she's
> "southern" is highly questionable. It is inherently more difficult to
find
> a job in the north than it is in the south, that's just a plain fact.
>
> Dwight, I *am* a northerner, living in the south. And, your experience is
> just not mine. Well, save that I believe it's the same in both
> regions--there's just as much anti-northerner crap that used to go on here
> when I first moved down, as there is anti-southerner crap up north.
> However, all that has subsided quite a bit over the past 15 years. And,
> it's no different than "territory." When I returned to my little rural in
> NY a few years back, I quite resented the fact that so many city-dwellers
> had moved in and "taken over."
>
> As to the comments about economy, I think that is just "the way it is." I
> can tell you for a fact that there's a lot more ignorance in the south
than
> there is in the north. And, that is my personal experience. And, in the
> north I was quite rural; in the south I am quite urban (working right
smack
> dab in the middle of downtown Dallas, even). So, should the comparison be
> made between north and south, rural and urban, country and city, or any
> combination thereof?
>
> --
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
>
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3B85B544.DC47BA59@sccoast.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > Suffering? Excuse me? Southerners are
> > > suffering to this day from the negative
> > > attitudes of northerners? (snip)
> >
> >

> > So you're saying the events following the civil war never happened?
> > Or that the south is one of most economically repressed regions of the
> > country is just a coincidence? Or that the wages in the south are the
> > lowest in the country is just a coincidence? Or that the mean family
> > income in the south is the lowest in the country is just a
> > coincidence? Or the fact that the southern states are on the bottom of
> > the list when it comes to government aid programs (family assistance,
> > college grants, etc) is is just a coincidence?
> >
> > If you really want to see what I'm talking about when it comes to
> > the attitude of northerners, take a trip up north and try to find a
> > job. As soon as that employer sees that you were born in the south,
> > and that your schooling was in the south, just watch how fast that
> > door slams shut behind you (no matter how well qualified you are for
> > the job).
> >
> > We just went through that hell recently. Because of my job, we
> > recently moved to Washington state. My wife received a pile of over
> > thirty rejection notices, even though she has over twenty years
> > experience in her chosen career field. Of course, only one employer
> > made it clear what the real problem was - "we're not confident that
> > your training qualifies you for a job with our company." The employer
> > ignored the fact that she had years of experience (and two other
> > degrees), and instead focused on the education she received from a
> > southern school more than twenty years ago. In the end, my wife gave
> > up and had to take a job that paid a quarter of what she was
> > previously earning.
> >
> > Am I saying that all northerners are like that? Absolutely not. Am I
> > saying that all southerners are too polite to hassle others because of
> > where they were born? Again, absolutely not. But, in the end, you
> > cannot dispute the fact that the south is doing much worse than any
> > other region of the country today.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>

4964. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 03:38:09 UTC
From: tbryant@cannet.com
Message-ID: <9p0rb10pmk@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 21210

>In article <OoCs7.12043$3d2.1074087@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>> 1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
>>> 2001-Remember New York
>>
>>1945 remember Dresden
>
>Remember Coventry...

Check this out...

http://www.fieler.com/terror/

Tom - N8ECW

4965. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 03:41:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb3f427_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21208

What about the society where every Mom and Dad began working just to get ahead, then every kid (nearly) was in daycare learning the same things, coloring the same way, eating the same foods, etc., until they got into school where it was more of the same, then the kids started rebelling (not consciously) out of frustration and started acting out in some pretty drastic ways, etc., etc.?

There are Orwellian concepts in all societies in today's world. I don't think George Orwell knew of the Taliban when he wrote the book on it. ;)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010927080233.11688.00000193@nso-fm.aol.com...
> In article <3bb289b6$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010926123129.28987.00000099@nso-fz.aol.com...
> >> In article <3baf40a9_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
> >writes:
> >>
> >> >We are all one country, several gods (and that's OK), and several
> >languages,
> >> >colors, traditions, etc. And, it's all OK.
> >> >
> >> Only as long as everyone agrees to certain basic rules and
> >responsibilities.
> >> For example, we have religious freedom, but that doesn't mean that all
> >> religions are allowed. A religion that requires killing unbelievers
simply
> >> because they are unbelievers cannot be allowed.
> >>
> >The religion can be allowed; some of its traditions and rituals may have
to
> >be kept in check.
>
> As soon as that is done, those folks don't have freedom of religion. And
they
> would have to be watched constantly to insure that they don't try to carry
out
> their rituals in secret.
>
> But the point is the same: Unless they modify their religion to eliminate

that
> practice, it cannot be allowed in our society. Freedom of religion is not
> absolute.
> >
> >> One of the biggest differences between the USA and places like
Afghanistan
> >is
> >> that the USA is founded on the idea of plurality while the Taliban
wants a
> >> monolithic state - to the point that individual THOUGHT will be wiped
out.
> >>
> >> Ever read George Orwell's "1984"?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Hell, Jim, I heard enough about it not to have read it. :p
> >
> It's still worth the read. Most people latch onto the early part of the
book,
> with the constant monitoring of the telescreen and the dreary shortages of
> everything. The important part is towards the end, where the reasons for
the
> oppression are given. The goal of the Party is to wipe out the individual
and
> create a scoiety of pure conformity. Just like the Taliban.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

4966. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 03:43:15 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb3f47e$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21209

I'm with Hans on this one. I don't support "one language" being spoken in public.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message news:4260437fc925468224ffd7d8a6f8af52.15732@mygate.mailgate.org...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ says:
>
> > What I want is a society that can enjoy a
> > common frame of reference and understanding.
>
> Your desire has been noted. You have one vote.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted from ydialup70.mpls.uswest.net [216.160.10.71]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4967. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 04:07:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p0t1u$f45$0@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 21211

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > But most hams entering today have no frame of reference by which
> > to understand this fact, and all the old time code haters preaching
> > against it only makes it that much worse.

> And code supporters preaching that the mode doesn't have enough merit
> to survive without a federal affirmative action program pretty much
> drives the nails in the coffin. New hams can read between the
> lines of your postings, Dick, and conclude that CW has no merit.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

Anyone who has read here any time at all knows that those are your words, not mine, and they also know who covers his crap like a cat and who tells it like he sees it.
It takes no particular genius to see the true status of code proficiency among hams, and how seriously it has degraded since the introduction of the no code Tech license. The no-code tech, with the highest numbers of license holders, has none who have any code proficiency whatever, and with the 5wpm maximum speed, that effect only broadens drastically in scope. So it's the fault of those who point out this trend who are to blame for it. Right.

I don't think you've got any serious number of readers fooled.

4968. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 04:46:35 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9p0vbb$jk3$3@sevenofnine.peak.org>
Source record: 21212
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb SD SpecialDelivery Jones <SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com> wrote:
: entered illegally and if so deported.....all of them.....this
: is a war of cultures.....they believe one way, we believe

No, this is NOT a "war of cultures". It is a response to an attack
by a bunch of religious fanatics. Just like many other fundamentalist
groups, they have no tolerance for those who hold beliefs in variance
with their own. We have examples of that here in the US, from Christian
fundamentalist - such as those that bomb abortion clinics or try to
murder doctors who perform abortions.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4969. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 04:51:28 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9p0vkh$jk3$4@sevenofnine.peak.org>
Source record: 21213
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb Unit 69, Operator Scott <"Chesapeake Bay, USA (upplus"@hotmail.com)> wrote:

: The official language of the US should be American English. It should be
: illegal to speak any other language in public. Of course, what one does
: in their abode is their business.

Oh? You want the US to be as intolerant as separationists in Quebec, where their version of the French language is the only approved mode of speech?

Me, I am not so insecure that it bothers me when someone speaks in a language that I do not understand.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4970. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 04:55:52 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9p0vso$jk3$5@sevenofnine.peak.org>
Source record: 21214
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb SD SpecialDelivery Jones <SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com> wrote:

: well that is not what he said, but let me ask you this. why is it the
: child is being talked to in spanish....???? because the know-it-all
: educational and political establishment are striving for their
: precious diversity and where is that going ....???? well i will tell
: you.......one day, there may not be a solid one nation under God
: principle working here, because without one language one culture and
: one border, then what are we???????

Better off because we would not be wasting our time over trivial
differences.

Where in the Pledge does it say "one nation of white anglo-saxon
Protestants"?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4971. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 04:58:34 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9p101r$jk3$6@sevenofnine.peak.org>
Source record: 21215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:

: Actually, the oldest North American human fossils
: (recently discovered) are of *European* stock.

Really? I thought the oldest skeletons came from people who crossed the bridge between Russia and Alaska. That would be Asian stock, not European.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4972. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 09:35:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ovXs7.13536$3d2.1190672@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21216

"T. L. Bryant" <tbryant@cannet.com> wrote in message
news:9p0rb10pmk@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> >In article <OoCs7.12043$3d2.1074087@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> >"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> >>> 1941-Remember Pearl Harbor
> >>> 2001-Remember New York
> >>
> >>1945 remember Dresden
> >
> >Remember Coventry...
>
>
> Check this out...
>
> http://www.fieler.com/terror/
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>
>

Coventry at least had some military significance. The Dresden raid, to
whatever extent it was not simply having neat planes and nothing to do with
them, was a terror attack pure and simple.

4973. Re: We restore the untamed fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 10:44:13 UTC
From: drippy wax capacitors <lkellogg40@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1wYs7.1051$b66.31783@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

"Stu Parker" <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote in message
news:restore.untamed.fraud@bimminy.com...
>
> A quote from Roger, KC8JBO, which is food for thought:

who the phuck gives a flying shit...??

4974. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080306.02205.00000168@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21226

In article <20010927145558.20972.00000287@nso-fp.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010927080234.11688.00000194@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <3BB29738.E8D7D66F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
>><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>>
>>>Bob Raymond wrote:
>>>>
>>>> (snip) It is my understanding that
>>>> the Extra Class license was intended
>>>> to be the elite class license. (snip)
>>>>
>>>> (snip) I propose that there be an
>>>> experience requirement levied upon all
>>>> who seek the Extra Class License. (snip)
>>>>
>>>> (snip) P.S. This has NOTHING to do with
>>>> code vs no-code or newbie vs old timer. I
>>>> value all new members to our hobby, I
>>>> simply feel that our highest class of
>>>> license should be RESERVED for those
>>>> that have EARNED it.
>>>
>>> It has EVERYTHING to do with new hams vs old timers - you want to put up
>>>an addition requirement for today's hams that older hams did not have.
>>
>>There was a 2 year experience requirement for Extra until 1973, and a 1 year
>>requirement until 1976. I had to deal with the 2 year requirement (1968 to
>>1970). Imagine telling a 14 year old that he/she has to wait two years to
>>even TRY the test - that's what I had to deal with.
>
>Those last two sentences set the tone for the remainder...it is
>what YOU had to do.

Try actually reading and understanding what was written, LHA.
>
>If you had to do it, then you seem to think that all others must
>do the same.

Nope.

My recollection of what I did was simply to point out to W5NET that "older" hams like myself did indeed have to meet such requirements.

>Why?

If you actually read and understood my response to W7RJR, you would see a list of reasons that I gave explaining why resurrecting the old waiting period is not a good idea.

>Minus j,

Why do you make fun of people's names, LHA? That sort of thing is considered immature by third-graders.

>you don't grant licenses and don't regulate any part of radio.

Neither do you, LHA. Does that mean I don't have the right to an opinion on license requirements? You seem to think so.

>>>And you seek
>>>that additional requirement because you feel that today's Extras are not as
>>>good
>>>as the Extras of years past (your message makes that very clear). But,
>since
>>>you
>>>haven't proven that today's new Extras are any less skilled than new Extras
>>>of the past, your idea is nothing more than garbage designed to further
>divide
>>>the ham radio community.
>>
>>Well, I wouldn't call it "garbage", but it's an idea that has very little
>>chance of being accepted because the FCC isn't into making the rules more
>>complex. Just not gonna happen.
>
>Why should the FCC "make rules complex?"

To serve the needs of the radio services.
>
>>There have been incompetent hams of all license classes since there have
>been
>>licenses.
>
>Some even claim to be "masters of the Smithc chart." :-)

Not me. I just know how to use it. And how to use Morse. And a bunch of other ham radio stuff. They're not mutually exclusive, y'know.

Are you "master of your own domain", yet, LHA?
>
>>The problem today is only partly due to the tests and the way they are
>given.
>>Other causes are things like the high prevalence of manufactured gear and
>the
>>influence of a "right now" society.

>
>Sorry, but the predominance of those long-timers who want
>to make a hobby radio service into some professional
>service complete with rank, status, titles and privileges.

This isn't even a sentence, LHA. What are you trying to say?

>That's just self-aggrandizement...sitting up in that ivory
>tower, pretending to be a "radio regulator."

Who is doing that? Not me.
>
>As to wanting things "right now," the meaning behind that
>is quite clear: Everyone must "progress through the ranks"
>as it an AMATEUR radio activity was a professional guild or
>union.

What ARE you talking about?

>Such "right now" blabber assumes that one MUST
>do everything as it was done in the long-timer's youth.
>
Says who?

>That begs the questions, Who made the long-timer PCTA
>eminent judge of everything? Who is the long-timer who
>so self-righteously wishes to denigrate newcomers as
>somehow "lacking 'proper' ethics/morals" in a hobby?

Who, indeed? Not me.
>
>Answer: The self-important who set themselves up as the
>superior example...even when they do not grant licenses
>nor do they regulate any radio.

Talking about yourself again, I see. Now it's all clear to me, LHA. Thanks!

N2EY

4975. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080307.02205.00000169@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21220

In article <v3Hs7.439$Le.18426@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>OK, so maybe waiting to take the test is a bad idea..
>how about this, that the prospective licensee for extra class demonstrate
>proficiency above and beyond his peers...
>Now, I would think that is reasonable to expect for our top level license,
>no??

Sure - but how you gonna test for something like that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4976. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080307.02205.00000170@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21225

In article <8Kzs7.426$Le.17773@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>Uh, yes, if I recall correctly there used to be a 2 year requirement, albeit
>many years ago.As far as whether or not todays extras are as good as years
>past; based upon my observations I hear a lot of poor operating and lack of
>technical expertise. What do you attribute that to? Have you listened on the
>air recently?

I hear all sorts of stuff on the air. Depending on when and where one listens,
all sorts of stuff can be heard. I have consistently found that the
best-behaved ops are on CW, though. Just my opinion.

WHY it's happening is another issue. Here are some possible causes:

- Lack of good examples
- Bad habits brought from other services
- Increasing prevalence of manufactured gear that does not require technical
expertise to make it work

>The lowering of standards to increase the numbers in ham
>radio is unacceptable to me.

The license test requirements are only the entry standards.

>I don't feel that I am further dividing the ham
>radio community by bringing up an issue that needs to be discussed and
>resolved. Would you rather I bury it under the carpet? Act as if the problem
>didn't exist? Do you think I am alone in my perception? What have you done
>recently to clear up the division??
>
I don't see what harm talking about it can do.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4977. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080308.02205.00000172@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21219

In article <9ovrp102ja8@enews2.newsguy.com>, tbryant@cannet.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>That's one that starts out "Out in the West Texas town of El Paso..."

Yep.
>
>(Say what you will, but I like Barry Manilow's "Copacobanna" the best.)
>
Over such neuron-wasting abominations as "Muskrat Love", "Wreck Of The Edmund Fitzgerald", "Billy Jean" ('the chair is not my son'), "In The Year 2525", and "Timothy"? You gotta be kidding!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4978. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080308.02205.00000171@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21224

In article <32Rs7.10972$WW.1071172@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Glorioski, Cecil is now a real live W five.

"Everything Old Is New Again"
>
>I tend to be more partial to Kinky Friedman and the Texas Jew Boys. 'They
>ain't makin' Jews like Jesus any more" twang. They were an opening act for
>Willie Nelson.
>
>And in the line of lyrics, who can possibly forget Bob Dylan (Desolation
>Row):
>
>Every one is having sex or else expecting rain.

That was back in the days when you could actually understand what Bob was
singing.

"Oh Lord, I got the Zimmerman blues"!

--

For something completely different, there's the truly wonderful Loudon
Wainwright III. About five years ago, he managed to surpass his well known
"Dead Skunk In The Middle Of The Road" with a new song, "I Wish I Were A
Lesbian".

73 de Jim, N2EY

4979. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080309.02205.00000174@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21222

In article <ZgIs7.12550$W8.1063366@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Marty Robbins. El Paso.
>
>Down in the WEst Texas town of el Paso I fell in love with a Mexican girl.
>Nitetime would find me in Rosa's Cantina, music would play and Belina would
>whirl.

Thanks! And thanks to all who recalled that one. While not strictly a "Teen
Death Song" in the tradition of "Tell Laura I love Her", "Teen Angel", or
"Patches", it deserves honorable mention.

Unfortunately it was never sung by William Shatner, Leonard Nimoy or Julie
London.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4980. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080309.02205.00000173@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21223

In article <hfIs7.12549$W8.1063575@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I'll bet you are one of those people (like me) who sings God Save the Queen
>at totally inappropriate moments.

And all appropriate ones, too.

>For the next graduation I get invited to
>I will have to memorize Land of Hope and Glory.

Ditto!

I heard just two lines of a song parody the other day. Remember Neil Diamond's croaking rendition of "September Morn"? Simply replace the phrase "September Morn" with the words "Internet Porn" at each occurrence in the song, modify a few other lines, and it takes on a whole new life! Rhyme scheme and meter are completley unaffected. BWAAHAAAHAAA!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4981. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080310.02205.00000175@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21218

In article <3BB363BB.6060403@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>> Down in the WEst Texas town of el Paso I fell in love with a Mexican girl.
>> Nitetime would find me in Rosa's Cantina, music would play and Belina would
>> whirl.
>
>Belina? Wasn't it Colina?
>--
Felina. Which I think means "little cat". You can fill in the rest.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4982. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928080310.02205.00000176@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21221

In article <OSEs7.35847$ga2.1706239@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>heheheh and people say that hams are dry, and have no sense of humor heheh.

We're just getting started!

>I'll have to dig out my copy of the worst country song titles.

"Drop Kick Me, Jesus, Through The Goal Posts Of Life" is a favorite here.

Know what happens if you play country music backwards? Your spouse returns, your truck gets fixed and you sober up.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

4983. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 12:59:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0109280459.7ecca875@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21227

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BB216CD.40708@IEEE.org>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > My disagreement is with your interpretations, not the definitions.
>
> They are not interpretations, Jim.

Sure they are. You interpret what the dictionary says, and which
definition applies.

> They are valid definitions
> chosen from a modern dictionary.

In what language? Texan?

>In particular, in the field of
> logic, "truth" has a different meaning from "fact" and I have
> known that for 40 years.

Yeah, me too, for at least 30 years.
>
> > Congrats on the old/new call, btw.
>
> I debated whether to get WN5DXP (my first call) or W5DXP (my
> second call).

Who did you debate with?

> W6RCA was my third call, a requirement of the
> FCC in those days.

That makes KG7BK your fourth call, right?

> I haven't got my paper license yet.

Takes a while. Doesn't matter, the FCC database is the authority,
anyway.

> How did you know I changed calls?

BWAAAHAAAHAAA! Mental telegraphy.

Actually, it was obvious. Your call always used to appear in your
posts somewhere - usually more than once. At the very least, it was in
your signature line.

Then all of a sudden all mention of W6RCA disappeared completely. It
was clear something was up. An occasional check of the database via
qrz.com or arrl.org took only seconds. I missed the actual event by

only a day or so. Hope you don't mind that I "outed" you.

"Everything old is new again" (from "All That Jazz")

How many years ago did you give up W5DXP? Must feel strange to use it again.

Did anyone have W5DXP before you?

I've only had 3 calls in my 34 years of hamming: WN3IYC, WA3IYC and N2EY. I'm the original owner of all of them, unless N2EY was used as a tactical call during WW2. Present call was sequentially issued in 1977 when I moved to 2-land. Would be nice to be able to use the old calls once in a while but I wouldn't want to have to use it all the time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4984. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 13:29:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928092949.07954.00001237@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21228

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/28/01 8:03 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010928080306.02205.00000168@nso-cc.aol.com>

>Neither do you, LHA. Does that mean I don't have the right to an opinion on
>license requirements? You seem to think so.

Lennie frequently lambastes the "Extras" for having the temerity to
express an opinion since we are "already maximally upgraded".

Seems he thinks we shouldn't express an opinion...Especially since we are
(usually) the experienced ones and since we DO know better than him, it weakens
his rantings.

Not that his rantings could get much weaker than what they already are.

>Are you "master of your own domain", yet, LHA?

Sure he is...

"gutless@licenseless.putz"

4985. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 13:32:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928093216.07954.00001238@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21229

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/27/01 9:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010927211448.08573.00000085@nso-bh.aol.com>

>My 8752 told me so and the 415's needle confirmed the
>vizwar shown. Hand me that 3/8" wrench to tighten
>those SMAs. Or was it the Duroid that got squashed?

Wrong again....acutally your hexical jackshaft limiter relay failed
preventing the coaxial capacilator from acutating.

4986. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 15:20:19 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <Ty0t7.528$Le.20895@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21230

Jim

What about a "hands on" supplement to all of the tests? It could be
something like, build a dipole and make
an on the air contact with it, or erect one and measure the SWR. This is
pretty basic stuff. It's one thing to memorize
the questions and answers, but quite another to put them to actual use.

I realize that this would be imposing a new requirement that many previous
licensees did not have to endure.
That might be unfair, but then, there are some of us that feel it is unfair
that these new extras don't have to pass
a 20 WPM code test and now have the same privileges.

I have heard arguments that passing a particular test only verifies entry
level skills for the class.
I can go along with that for all classes of license except extra class.
IMHO, we should reserve the extra class
for those who have proven they have exceptional skills when compared to
peers. How we might do that certainly
would be controversial.

I feel we should do away with the incentive licensing system. I have never
figured out what purpose it really serves, other
than to divide the amateur radio community. Other countries have simple
licensing systems such as beginner or vhf/uhf only
and the next step is simply full fledged ham operator with all privileges. I
don't hear people from other countries arguing
about this kind of stuff. Why are we so different in this country??

If we are going to continue to support an incentive licensing system (which
I think is ridiculous), then why not make sure
the top level license is inhabited by the most skilled? As an Advanced
Class licensee who took the test before the FCC
in the early 70s, I feel I would be downgrading were I to take the current
extra class examination.

Please hold all insults because they won't influence me in any way. I am
however, ready to listen to thoughtfully prepared
responses.

W7RJR

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010928080307.02205.00000169@nso-cc.aol.com...
> In article <v3Hs7.439$Le.18426@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
> <braymond@iea.com> writes:

>
> >OK, so maybe waiting to take the test is a bad idea..
> >how about this, that the prospective licensee for extra class
demonstrate
> >proficiency above and beyond his peers...
> >Now, I would think that is reasonable to expect for our top level
license,
> >no??
>
> Sure - but how you gonna test for something like that?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

4987. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 15:27:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB496DB.5010103@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21231

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>They are not interpretations, Jim.
>
> Sure they are. You interpret what the dictionary says, and which
> definition applies.

Actually, I was quoting my college logic professor. The dictionary simply confirmed that he and my memory were right. The following statement is true. "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a prostitute." Now, is that a factual statement?

> Did anyone have W5DXP before you?
One person did but I don't know who he/she was. WN5DXP was issued

to me sequentially the second time through the W's in 1951.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4988. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 15:29:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB4974E.1090300@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Nelson wrote:

> In rec.radio.cb CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> : Actually, the oldest North American human fossils
> : (recently discovered) are of *European* stock.
>
> Really? I thought the oldest skeletons came from people who crossed
> the bridge between Russia and Alaska. That would be Asian stock, not
> European.

You're not up on the latest discoveries. The oldest North

American human skull looks like Patrick Stewart.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4989. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 15:33:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB4984D.6030007@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21233

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So it's the fault of those who point out
> this trend who are to blame for it. Right.
If you are not part of the solution, you are part of

the problem, Dick. Yes, you are partially to blame.
New hams will say, "Wow, if Dick, a supporter of CW,
admits that it doesn't have enough merit to survive
without an affirmative action program from the feds,
then it must not be worth learning.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4990. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 16:00:02 UTC
From: ----
Message-ID: <681t7.881$0K6.789@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 21234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

the 706 is a multi mode multi band rig. So its not just a 6 meter rig. I think he is looking for just a 6. But If i was going to buy something it prob would be the 706MKII with dsp good deals great rig. Who the hell needs 440.
"Craig Davidson" <nospam@earthlink.com> wrote in message news:4EPs7.21554$Hx1.2040153@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> The Icom 706 is the best 6 meter rig available.
>
> It has Subaudible tone encode and decode built in
>
> Also, I found out that the hustler mobile antenna resonates exactly in the
> middle of the 6 meter band with no coil installed.
>
> Have fun.
>
>
> David J. Moge wrote in message ...
> >OK,
> >
> >Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
> >
> >Thanks
> >n1rzb
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4991. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 16:20:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jr1t7.13983$W8.1172319@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21235

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010928080309.02205.00000174@nso-cc.aol.com...
> In article <ZgIs7.12550$W8.1063366@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Marty Robbins. El Paso.
> >
> >Down in the WEst Texas town of el Paso I fell in love with a Mexican
girl.
> >Nitetime would find me in Rosa's Cantina, music would play and Belina
would
> >whirl.
>
> Thanks! And thanks to all who recalled that one. While not strictly a
"Teen
> Death Song" in the tradition of "Tell Laura I love Her", "Teen Angel", or
> "Patches", it deserves honorable mention.
>
> Unfortunately it was never sung by William Shatner, Leonard Nimoy or Julie
> London.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

You be kind to Julie. I expect more people know her as Dixie McCall from
Emergency. Over the period of that show she was married to both Jack Webb
and Bobby Troup, the latter better known for writing Route 66.

4992. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 16:20:53 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Fr1t7.13984$W8.1172255@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21236

Jim, N2EY (responding to W5NET) wrote:

> The problem you describe is the classic one in license
> requirements. If the requirements for a license are made
> "easier", those who were licensed under the old rules
> grumble about lowered standards. If they are made "harder",
> those who are yet to be licensed grumble about having
> to do more than their predecessors. Either way, somebody
> is unhappy.

Remember when amateur radio VHF/UHF repeaters had to
be separately licensed? The licensee had to file a
pile of paperwork with the FCC comparable to that for
an FM broadcast station (ERP, HAAT, co-channel
separation, system circuit diagrams, etc)? I think
this was in the late 60's or early 70's. It was a
ton of work for anyone who wanted to put a 'machine'
on the air. But repeater groups and individual owners
complied and remained in operation.

Later, I think it was when the Reagan administration
came in and throttled back the regulatory zeal of
government agencies (including the FCC), the onerous
licensing requirements for repeaters were lifted and
interference issues passed on to the coordination groups.
Although this scaling back in licensing requirements
was universally recognized as a 'good thing' for the
ARS, there was an awful lot of grumbling from the
repeater owners about their accomplishments in getting
repeater licenses being squandered and nullified.
I was left with the distinct impression that, had
there not been an overwhelming consensus against
the regulations, many of the repeater licensees
would have preferred to keep them in place so that
their (far fewer than now) machines would stand as
monuments to their hard work and perseverance.

This episode confirmed for me once again the futility
of seeking personal status and validation from the
external symbols of an institution (FCC, university,
lodge hall, whatever). Licenses are just a necessary
evil to reassure the Government that some kind of
order will be preserved on the airwaves. The sense
of self-worth that is sought ultimately must be
derived from one's own life experiences and self-

knowledge.

73

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

4993. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 17:57:52 UTC
From: paul harris <paul@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80AAF140D@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 21238

That's the "late" Julie London and the "late" Bobby Fuller.

> You be kind to Julie. I expect more people know her as Dixie McCall from
> Emergency. Over the period of that show she was married to both Jack Webb
> and Bobby Troup, the latter better known for writing Route 66.
>

4994. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 18:38:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928143814.19977.00001333@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21237

>Subject: Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???
>From: "----" w9jcm@.reno.quik.com
>Date: 9/28/01 12:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <681t7.881$0K6.789@newsfeed.intelenet.net>

>the 706 is a multi mode multi band rig. So its not just a 6 meter rig. I
>think he is looking for just a 6.

All of the rigs that have been cited by the numerous correspondents here
have been good rigs.

The REAL question to ask is the one the original poster should have asked
himself..."What do I want to accomplish on six meters..."

Any answer (including my own) is simply the opinion of what the respondent
likes...not necessarily what is "best".

(1) What do I want to accomplish? (Weak signal, FM, DX, data modes)

(2) How much can I afford?

(3) What specifications would I like?

(4) Am I really serious about this? (Do I want to commit to the
purchase?)

From there you can get an idea of what is "best" from the options
available.

>Who the hell needs 440.

I guess you don't ! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4995. Re: Pirates on 40 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 18:43:37 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <9p2gcpdot1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 21241

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>mountain, piedmont (the 2 are close, tho), and the coastal. The folks in and
>around the Outer Banks have a peculiar accent, one that "can" be difficult to
>decipher until one lives there for awhile. Often called "hoi toiders", they
>pronounce many words in their own way. For example, "house" can be "hice"
>(rhyme with "mice"), or "hay-use" (spoken quickly). "Sound" can come out as
>"say-und", or "synd" (rhyme with "hind") "About" may be said as "aboot", or
>"abayt" ("a-bite"). If you have listened someone from around Richmond, Va,
>you have heard a version of this same dialect--Peter Jennings has a hint of
>this in his speech.

The funny thing about "hint"s of accents is that they all sound alike.
Peter Jennings is Canadian, from Toronto, and probably hasn't lived
much further south than New York.

I've noticed that there are some odd accents in more isolated places
along the south-east coast, however. I've heard people living on
islands along the coast of Georgia who could speak like Jamaicans
when they put their mind to it. And a friend with a boat once took
us to an island in Chesapeake Bay (I suspect it is in Maryland, though
it could be far enough south to be Virginia) where the people sounded
like they could have recently got off the boat from some odd corner
of England.

Dennis Ferguson

4996. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 18:43:44 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <dC3t7.36212$ga2.1739788@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 21239

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010928080310.02205.00000176@nso-cc.aol.com...
> In article <OSEs7.35847$ga2.1706239@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County
American
> Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:
>
> >heheheh and people say that hams are dry, and have no sense of humor
heheh.
>
> We're just getting started!
>
> >I'll have to dig out my copy of the worst country song titles.
>
> "Drop Kick Me, Jesus, Through The Goal Posts Of Life" is a favorite here.
>
> Know what happens if you play country music backwards? Your spouse
returns,
> your truck gets fixed and you sober up.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
>
>
I used to work as the kitchen supervisor of a truckstop along US 24 in N.W.
Ohio.
We had a jukebox there with a cd that was a compilation of Ray Stevens
greatest hits.
One song I had never heard before was his rendition of the classig Glenn
Miller tune "In The Mood", first time I heard it I laughed my a$$ off. And
since I have a warped sense of humor and an eclectic taste in music that
became the mostr played song on the jukebox, the waitresses hated that song,
and of course once the truck drivers found that out, they played it as well
just to drive them crazy. Even the drunks who came in on weekends after the
bars closed played it. The girls all signed a petition to get that cd
removed from the jukebox, the company said no because it was the "most
popular" hence it was a money maker. It is now 2 years since I quit theree,
and that song is STILL the most played song on the jukebox.

Keith Hosman

4997. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 18:49:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928144942.19977.00001339@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21240

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 9/28/01 12:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Fr1t7.13984$W8.1172255@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

>.... many of the repeater licensees
>would have preferred to keep them in place so that
>their (far fewer than now) machines would stand as
>monuments to their hard work and perseverance.

Not to mention that machines seemed to have far greater use, too. It's disappointing to know that I can access 15-20 machines from my QTH and can caount the number of QSO's I hear in a day on my fingers.

Despite the "blossoming" number of licensees, especially in the VHF/above classes, there sure isn't a corresponding rise in activity. In the 70's, you could call "QRZ" at 5AM and get two or three responses.

My question...do we REALLY need all those repeaters...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4998. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 19:43:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928154303.02959.00000287@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 21243

In article <20010928080306.02205.00000168@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>There was a 2 year experience requirement for Extra until 1973, and a 1
>year
>>>requirement until 1976. I had to deal with the 2 year requirement (1968 to
>>>1970). Imagine telling a 14 year old that he/she has to wait two years to
>>>even TRY the test - that's what I had to deal with.
>>
>>Those last two sentences set the tone for the remainder...it is
>>what YOU had to do.
>
>Try actually reading and understanding what was written, LHA.

I did read it and it said clearly "what you had to do."

QED

>My recollection of what I did was simply to point out to W5NET that "older"
>hams like myself did indeed have to meet such requirements.

...which indicates that "you had to do it."

QED

>>Minus j,
>
>Why do you make fun of people's names, LHA? That sort of thing is considered
>immature by third-graders.

Anyone who claims "mastery of the Smith Chart" and then
cannot talk about it in detail is a poseur, a fake claimant
who acts like a "third-grader." "Third-graders" get "third-
grade" response.

If N2EY wishes to be a radio cop, then he can go around
criticizing others for using immature language. There are
plenty in this newsgroup.

>>you don't grant licenses and don't regulate any part of radio.
>
>Neither do you, LHA. Does that mean I don't have the right to an opinion on
>license requirements? You seem to think so.

Wounded again? N2EY cannot stand negative criticsm in
the open.

>>Why should the FCC "make rules complex?"
>
>To serve the needs of the radio services.

Insufficient explanation, a generality.

While taken out of context, the original pertained to amateur
radio regulations. Why should the FCC make amateur
radio regulations complex?

Please use more detail in "explanations," be specific.

>>Some even claim to be "masters of the Smithc chart." :-)
>
>Not me. I just know how to use it. And how to use Morse. And a bunch of other
>ham radio stuff. They're not mutually exclusive, y'know.

N2EY has never stated enough in detail about Smith Charts
to justify one single thing of his mention of them...only said
that he was a "master" of it. Now there is the recant of he
"just knows how to use it."

Says nothing at all, is merely a claim.

>Are you "master of your own domain", yet, LHA?

Have you stopped beating your wife? (that's in the same
league as the above "question")

Why does N2EY interject common phrases that have
nothing to do with amateur radio?

To borrow from Bromley, I can work quite well in the
frequency domain, the time domain, the S domain, the
Z domain...enough to perform a design or measurement
task successfully. I do not claim to be a "master" in
such things other than not dissatisfying any employer
or an editor.

Can N2EY prove he is the "master of the Smith Chart?"

He made that claim twice. It's time to prove it.

Talk to us about N2EY's "mastership."

Or go back to some "amateur radio" subject about "old,
bad songs" currently in progress in another thread.

4999. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 19:43:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928154305.02959.00000288@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 21244

In article <Ty0t7.528$Le.20895@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>What about a "hands on" supplement to all of the tests? It could be
>something like, build a dipole and make
>an on the air contact with it, or erect one and measure the SWR. This is
>pretty basic stuff. It's one thing to memorize
>the questions and answers, but quite another to put them to actual use.

If amateur radio were a professional craft/guild, the above
would be a reasonable request...except for the practicality
of setting up this new test. Neither the old FCC field offices
nor the average VEC test location had/have the area or the
capital equipment to perform "practical" tests.

This sort of massive test restructuring would add an undue
burden on the VEC. [the first letter of the acronym stands
for "volunteer"] They would have to keep test equipment,
maintain it, apply it, and know how to use it. If each test
session had an examinee's fee of a thousand dollars or so
it might be possible.

>I realize that this would be imposing a new requirement that many previous
>licensees did not have to endure.
>That might be unfair, but then, there are some of us that feel it is unfair
>that these new extras don't have to pass
>a 20 WPM code test and now have the same privileges.

That's an emotional thing which cannot be overcome by such
a massive (gargantuan?) testing environment. Technology
has always been advancing in radio...radio is only 105 years
old. No doubt there were amateurs who "knew everything
about spark transmitters" in the old days and resented the
prohibition against damped wave generation. No doubt those
old sparkies resented the hell out of "new" (for its day) tests
that had questions about vacuum tubes.

>I have heard arguments that passing a particular test only verifies entry
>level skills for the class.
>I can go along with that for all classes of license except extra class.
>IMHO, we should reserve the extra class
>for those who have proven they have exceptional skills when compared to
>peers. How we might do that certainly
>would be controversial.

Why have the emotional baggage loading of "extra" with all
the status-rank-privilege attachment PLUS this massive new
scheme for testing one particular class? Go one step further
and change "extra" to "expert" and complete the baggage cart?

What purpose does that serve what is essentially a hobby
activity?

It doesn't serve any purpose for the group, only individuals.

>I feel we should do away with the incentive licensing system. I have never
>figured out what purpose it really serves, other
>than to divide the amateur radio community.

The FCC grants licenses, not the "amateur radio community."

The FCC has extended their hand to the "amateur radio
community" as much as possible by permitting the VECs
to formulate both questions and answers and do the
license testing. The VEC examiners are amateur
licensees. To suggest a massive, "practical" test system
as proposed would place an undue burden on the VEC
and therefore on a part of the "amateur radio community."

It doesn't seem reasonable to expect the VEC to
accept a massive testing environment requirement just
for the sake of a minority group within the ARS. Especially
so when the whole purpose seems to be for extras wanting
to have some rank-status-title above and beyond others in
the "amateur radio community."

>If we are going to continue to support an incentive licensing system (which
>I think is ridiculous), then why not make sure
>the top level license is inhabited by the most skilled? As an Advanced
>Class licensee who took the test before the FCC
>in the early 70s, I feel I would be downgrading were I to take the current
>extra class examination.

There has to be some further definition of "the most skilled"
to justify a "top level license." Is "the most skilled" label
for any regulatory purpose (the real basis behind the license
of any radio operator license, amateur or commercial) or is it
some self-defined, "I am the greatest" label useful only for
waving in front of "lesser qualified" amateurs?

Is "the most skilled" level to be restricted to HF
communications? If so, why? Should it include the
spectrum above 30 MHz? If not, why not? There is far
more bandspace for amateurs above 30 MHz than
below 30 MHz, more "room" to experiment, far greater
ranges available than terrestrial DX..

Finally, what good does it do to maintain this separatist
"practically-tested" new amateur extra class? Does it serve

any purpose in attracting newcomers? Or is it just a very
personal thing among those of "higher rank" who wish a
federal subsidy program to support their personal titles?

5000. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 19:43:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928154306.02959.00000289@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 21242

In article <20010928093216.07954.00001238@mb-cs.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>My 8752 told me so and the 415's needle confirmed the
>>vizwar shown. Hand me that 3/8" wrench to tighten
>>those SMAs. Or was it the Duroid that got squashed?
>
> Wrong again....acutally your hexical jackshaft limiter relay failed
>preventing the coaxial capacilator from acutating.

...heh, heh, I just KNEW that this was coming!

An "8752" is a network analyzer from Agilent (the old H-P Test and Measurement group). Its display is in Smith Chart format. The H-P "87nn" group of analyzers are general-purpose instruments for measuring networks and components up in the VHF through microwave region (where hams are allocated a dozen bands). The displays of those instruments are in Smith Chart format.

A "415" is an old and reliable AC voltmeter specifically designed and calibrated to read VSWR (Voltage Standing Wave Ratio) on bench-top reflectometer setups (a slotted line is one of the basic "reflectometer" arrangments). The "415" is four decades old as a product and was still being sold by H-P in 1993.

An "SMA" is a common connector originally intended for semi-rigid coax lines and then made available for small flexible coax. It requires a 3/8" wrench for tightening. SMA types have better broadband performance than BNC or TNC types and better than most type N. Those are all in the same power-handling category.

"Duroid" is a trade name for a commonly-used teflon-fiberglass substrate available with copper foil of various grades. Call it a VHF-through-microwaves "PCB."

"S-sub-11" is a "scattering parameter" that indicates the input condition of a generic four-port network. "S-sub-12" is another scattering parameter that indicates the forward gain or loss of a generic four-port network. That network can be a passive or active component, a box, a whole system. Scattering parameters can be displayed on Smith Charts.

A lower-case Greek letter lambda refers to wavelength in formulas. A lower-case Greek letter epsilon refers to dielectric constant relative to air; it is common to grade stripline substrates (such as Duroid) as to their epsilon or dielectric constant.

NONE of what I wrote is gibberish...anyone who has worked in the HF to microwave region will recognize the terms, names, and jargon ("vizwar" is the common pronunciation of 'VSWR'). Except for scattering parameters, all of the terms I mentioned can easily be picked up on-the-job without requiring formal classes (either during the day or at night classes). Hewlett-Packard Test and Measurement (now Agilent) has a nice tutorial on Scattering Parameters available for free on their website. Mine is in print, used for self-study since my formal classes did not include S-parameters.

No radio amateur need be concerned with any of that for "working DX on HF with CW." They can buy their equipment and memorize sales ads in QST and pretend to be "experts at radio technology." They can also sit around in front of computers (which they know even less about) and blabber gibberish, call others names, and generally make themselves appear the damn fools they are because of a piece of paper from da gubmint.

Be thankful that some folks who worked in radio and electronics designed the ready-made radios that all the "pretend experts" use and compare (usually without knowing a damn thing about the terms they got from memorizing ad copy).

Pretend all you want. Pretense shows up on everything you write.

5001. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 20:17:01 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <1V4t7.573$Le.21620@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21245

First of all, let me thank you for keeping your reply free of insults..that really lends a lot of credibility to what you say.

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010928154305.02959.00000288@nso-fj.aol.com...
> In article <Ty0t7.528$Le.20895@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
> <braymond@iea.com> writes:
>
> >What about a "hands on" supplement to all of the tests? It could be
> >something like, build a dipole and make
> >an on the air contact with it, or erect one and measure the SWR. This is
> >pretty basic stuff. It's one thing to memorize
> >the questions and answers, but quite another to put them to actual use.
>
> If amateur radio were a professional craft/guild, the above
> would be a reasonable request...except for the practicality
> of setting up this new test. Neither the old FCC field offices
> nor the average VEC test location had/have the area or the
> capital equipment to perform "practical" tests.
>

On a massive scale, no they wouldn't, but I don't think it would be difficult to have a prospective licensee demonstrate very basic skills such as soldering a microphone connector or troubleshooting a power supply that has a missing part...
> This sort of massive test restructuring would add an undue
> burden on the VEC. [the first letter of the acronym stands
> for "volunteer"] They would have to keep test equipment,
> maintain it, apply it, and know how to use it. If each test
> session had an examinee's fee of a thousand dollars or so
> it might be possible.
>
> >I realize that this would be imposing a new requirement that many previous
> >licensees did not have to endure.
> >That might be unfair, but then, there are some of us that feel it is unfair
> >that these new extras don't have to pass
> >a 20 WPM code test and now have the same privileges.
>
> That's an emotional thing which cannot be overcome by such
> a massive (gargantuan?) testing environment. Technology
> has always been advancing in radio...radio is only 105 years
> old. No doubt there were amateurs who "knew everything
> about spark transmitters" in the old days and resented the

> prohibition against damped wave generation. No doubt those
> old sparkies resented the hell out of "new" (for its day) tests
> that had questions about vacuum tubes.
>
> >I have heard arguments that passing a particular test only verifies entry
> >level skills for the class.
> >I can go along with that for all classes of license except extra class.
> >IMHO, we should reserve the extra class
> >for those who have proven they have exceptional skills when compared to
> >peers. How we might do that certainly
> >would be controversial.
>
> Why have the emotional baggage loading of "extra" with all
> the status-rank-privilege attachment PLUS this massive new
> scheme for testing one particular class? Go one step further
> and change "extra" to "expert" and complete the baggage cart?
>
Well, I actually feel that there shouldn't be any classes at all.I am in
favor of a much simpler scheme like Novice and General, period. I can't
speak for others, but I wouldn't mind giving up my semi-exclusive slice of
spectrum to a General..
> What purpose does that serve what is essentially a hobby
> activity?

>
Many hams today consider it just a hobby. I think they have an incorrect
perspective, it should be much more than a hobby and the rationale for
existence does not include anything that really relates to "hobby.

> It doesn't serve any purpose for the group, only individuals.
>
> >I feel we should do away with the incentive licensing system. I have
never
> >figured out what purpose it really serves, other
> >than to divide the amateur radio community.
>
> The FCC grants licenses, not the "amateur radio community."

Many rules and regulations have been made by the government over the years
and many have been changed or overturned. We must obey them until they are
changed.
To do otherwise is simply being complacent.

>
> The FCC has extended their hand to the "amateur radio
> community" as much as possible by permitting the VECs
> to formulate both questions and answers and do the
> license testing. The VEC examiners are amateur
> licensees. To suggest a massive, "practical" test system
> as proposed would place an undue burden on the VEC
> and therefore on a part of the "amateur radio community."

IMHO, the FCC and the government have copped out by dumping this requirement on the ham community. Just about every other country in the world closely regulates their amateur radio services. Witness the relative ineffectiveness in enforcing rules and regulations.

Remember the Conditional Class license? It was administered by well meaning volunteers, but lot's of inequity surfaced and the whole thing had to be scraped.
Notice how many VE sessions are being questioned by the FCC these days? The government should take control of the testing, like they used to.

>
> It doesn't seem reasonable to expect the VEC to
> accept a massive testing environment requirement just
> for the sake of a minority group within the ARS. Especially
> so when the whole purpose seems to be for extras wanting
> to have some rank-status-title above and beyond others in
> the "amateur radio community."
Again, I am in favor of getting rid of incentive licensing. I don't think there is any necessity to have an Advanced or Extra class license or separate privileges for either.

>
>
> >If we are going to continue to support an incentive licensing system
(which
> >I think is ridiculous), then why not make sure
> >the top level license is inhabited by the most skilled? As an Advanced
> >Class licensee who took the test before the FCC
> >in the early 70s, I feel I would be downgrading were I to take the
current
> >extra class examination.
>
> There has to be some further definition of "the most skilled"
> to justify a "top level license." Is "the most skilled" label
> for any regulatory purpose (the real basis behind the license
> of any radio operator license, amateur or commercial) or is it
> some self-defined, "I am the greatest" label useful only for
> waving in front of "lesser qualified" amateurs?
>
> Is "the most skilled" level to be restricted to HF
> communications? If so, why? Should it include the
> spectrum above 30 MHz? If not, why not? There is far
> more bandspace for amateurs above 30 MHz than
> below 30 MHz, more "room" to experiment, far greater
> ranges available than terrestrial DX..
>
> Finally, what good does it do to maintain this separatist
> "practically-tested" new amateur extra class? Does it serve
> any purpose in attracting newcomers? Or is it just a very
> personal thing among those of "higher rank" who wish a
> federal subsidy program to support their personal titles?

>
I think I already answered this when I asserted my belief that we should do away with incentive licensing.

Bob, W7RJR

5002. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 20:32:14 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <i75t7.14162$3d2.1236929@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21246

Steve, just had to add my two cents worth about who needs 440. Ah .. dumped some bucks into the house (and a ton of appliances, including a 36 in TV) so I have to wait to get back on HF. I had gone through 5 grand in only a couple of months (roof, boiler, you name it). Now the stakes are up to 10 grand. Oh well. So I'm stuck on 440 FM. HT at that! Bwaaa! I have used repeaters over 100 miles away with this HT. Through repeaters, I'm pretty well set for western and northern New York. I do have fun though. Lemme see .. no internet on the repeater (here, anyways). Nice hearing Josh, 6Y5WJ in St. Eliszabeth, Jamaca on the 19th. Had a lovely chat (full quieting, beautiful FM) with a couple of VKs in Brisbane, Australia about a month ago. Yes, Europe comes in nicely. My former neighbor in Wellsville, NY, hears me on a handheld scanner with a coathanger for an antenna. Wellsville is over 100 miles away by car (and likely 80 miles as the crow flies). Hmm ... opened a Pennsylvania repeater today on the handheld (yes, I was cheating. I was on the 22nd floor of a building which is a few hundred feet up on a cliff over the water). 2 meters is sort of crowded around here and I enjoy 440. Besides, that 10 meter port on the thing makes for interesting times (that is how come the VKs, 6Y5, and others. Ooops - nice chat last night with a 6 in Montigo bay. Forgive any spelling errors. No spell checker. Heck, can't find my logs either. Oh well ...). No, 440 is not a be-all, end-all, but it can be a lot of fun (especially if you check out what is really happening in your area).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010928143814.19977.00001333@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???
> >From: "----" w9jcm@.reno.quik.com
> >Date: 9/28/01 12:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <681t7.881$0K6.789@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
>
> >the 706 is a multi mode multi band rig. So its not just a 6 meter rig. I
> >think he is looking for just a 6.
>
> All of the rigs that have been cited by the numerous correspondents
here
> have been good rigs.
>
> The REAL question to ask is the one the original poster should have
asked
> himself..."What do I want to accomplish on six meters..."
>
> Any answer (including my own) is simply the opinion of what the

respondent
> likes...not necessarily what is "best".
>
> (1) What do I want to accomplish? (Weak signal, FM, DX, data modes)
>
> (2) How much can I afford?
>
> (3) What specifications would I like?
>
> (4) Am I really serious about this? (Do I want to commit to the
> purchase?)
>
> From there you can get an idea of what is "best" from the options
> available.
>
> >Who the hell needs 440.
>
> I guess you don't ! =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

5003. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 20:40:53 UTC
From: jeff krauss <jkrauss@cpcug.org>
Message-ID: <3BB4E120.1E3054F4@cpcug.org>
Source record: 21247
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:

> How interesting that the person you refer to (who wrote the e-mail pointing
> the finger
> at other's for e-mail bombs & forgery) was kicked off of his ISP & two
> different e-mail
> lists for similar type of inappropriate actions, then later he was charged
> in federal
> courts for illegal actions.
>
> "patterns do emerge"
>
> <malexder@aol.com> wrote
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/images/billcheek.jpg
> > With the passing of Bill Cheek at noon on Saturday, July 22, 2000, a
> chapter
> > of radio closes.
> >
> >
> >
> > From: Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com)
> > Subject: Coming Reign of Terror
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> > View complete thread (19 articles)
> > Date: 1998/07/05
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To the RRS and ARS newsgroups:
> >
> > Simple. I just turned somebody's lights out
> > for an indeterminant, but probably long
> > period of time.
> >
> > I've done it before and it kills his soul'
> > eats him up; activates his ulcers and piles.
> >
> > He responds with a blitzkrieg of forgeries,
> > e-mail bombs, and posts from a dozen of his
> > aka's. I am usually not the only one on
> > his target list. He singles out others for
> > these attacks, too.

> >
> > So be forewarned and forearmed. It is EASY to
> > tell forgeries. When I post to the newsgroup,
> > the PATH line of MY posts will always end with
> >
> > !newshub.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
> >
> > If it ends with anything else, it ain't me.
> > Furthermore, legitimate headers from MY posts
> > will also contain the following entries:
> >
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
> > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
> > Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
> > X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek@cts.com
> > Xref: proxye1.san.rr.com rec.radio.scanner:109982 alt.radio.scanner:27389
> >
> >
> > Furthermore, any legitimate e-mails that I send
> > out will have the following lines in the headers:
> >
> >
> > Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for wcheeks1
> > (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR)
> > X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR
> > Received: from proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (root@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com
> > [204.210.0.10])
> > by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA12362
> > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236])
> > by proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA18261
> > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > Message-Id: <numbers.and.letters@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com>
> > Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > To: <you@your.domain.com>
> > Subject: Header Test
> > Date: DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> > X-MSMail-Priority: High
> > X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> > Importance: High
> > Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> > Content-Type: text
> >

> > If an e-mail header ain't pretty much exactly like the
> > above, it ain't from ME, boys..... If ever there is a
> > question, send me a civil e-mail to: bcheek@san.rr.com
> > and then check out the header of my reply.
> >
> > The person whose lights I just turned out is given
> > to sending large e-mail bombs to people IN MY NAME.
> > Beware, if you get an unsolicited e-mail "from me"
> > that has a large file attachment. Just delete it
> > before you download it. I will not send anyone
> > unsolicited files, so there's a clue right there.
> >
> > In a word, the person whose lights I just turned
> > out WILL go on a rampage and while I will be a
> > prime target, so will some of you....just so he can
> > say it wasn't HIM. But it is.....
> >
> > Protect yourselves. And if and when anything does
> > happen, I urge you to publicly discuss it and cite
> > as many of the details as you can. There are people
> > on this newsgroup who are skilled at ferreting out
> > the sources and causes of these kinds of issues.
> >
> > Now....shall WE get back to scanners and scanning?
> >
> > THAT's my choice anyway, for as long as it doesn't
> > prove futile. It will take a lot of other choices
> > to dispel that futility. And each choice is an
> > individual one. Despite that this is a "newsgroup",
> > WE are not a "group". WE are only a mass of individuals.
> >
> > I don't think it gets any better than that. Every
> > choice remains an individual one; and the solutions
> > to ALL problems, perceived and real, lay in control
> > of your own computers and control of your own software.
> > Once you are in control of your hardware and software,
> > then it is possible for us to act and function as a
> > group. But again, this is a matter of a LOT of choices.
> >
> > Enough to constitute a quorum anyway.......
> >
> > Scanners and scanning coming up at 11.
> >
> > -- ----
> > Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> > COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> > Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> > bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> > -- ---

> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
> eb -----
> > http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
> groups
> > NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> > made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
> abuse@newsone.net

5004. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 21:08:35 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB4E6CE.78FB2828@psu.edu>
Source record: 21248

What a mean spirited post. Of course there are others who have
volunteered. I'm very sorry that you feel the need to say such things.

- Mike -

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> The following is an excerpt from the R.F. Design
> Magazine e-mailer to subscribers on 24 September 2001.
> It isn't intended to prove anything other than a great
> number of people other than amateurs have contributed
> to rescue and rebuilding in the areas hit by the
> Attack on America.

5005. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-28 22:55:20 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <sd7t7.12000$xG6.4573123@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 21249
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Hey moron, let sleeping dogs lie.

"jeff krauss" <jkrauss@cpcug.org> wrote in message
news:3BB4E120.1E3054F4@cpcug.org...
>
>
> "K S F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> > How interesting that the person you refer to (who wrote the e-mail
pointing
> > the finger
> > at other's for e-mail bombs & forgery) was kicked off of his ISP & two
> > different e-mail
> > lists for similar type of inappropriate actions, then later he was
charged
> > in federal
> > courts for illegal actions.
> >
> > "patterns do emerge"
> >
> > <malexder@aol.com> wrote
> > > http://www.grove-ent.com/images/billcheek.jpg
> > > With the passing of Bill Cheek at noon on Saturday, July 22, 2000, a
> > chapter
> > > of radio closes.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From: Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com)
> > > Subject: Coming Reign of Terror
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> > > View complete thread (19 articles)
> > > Date: 1998/07/05
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To the RRS and ARS newsgroups:
> > >
> > > Simple. I just turned somebody's lights out
> > > for an indeterminant, but probably long
> > > period of time.

> > >
> > > I've done it before and it kills his soul'
> > > eats him up; activates his ulcers and piles.
> > >
> > > He responds with a blitzkrieg of forgeries,
> > > e-mail bombs, and posts from a dozen of his
> > > aka's. I am usually not the only one on
> > > his target list. He singles out others for
> > > these attacks, too.
> > >
> > > So be forewarned and forearmed. It is EASY to
> > > tell forgeries. When I post to the newsgroup,
> > > the PATH line of MY posts will always end with
> > >
> > > !newshub.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
> > >
> > > If it ends with anything else, it ain't me.
> > > Furthermore, legitimate headers from MY posts
> > > will also contain the following entries:
> > >
> > > NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
> > > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > > X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
> > > Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
> > > X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek@cts.com
> > > Xref: proxye1.san.rr.com rec.radio.scanner:109982
alt.radio.scanner:27389
> > >
> > >
> > > Furthermore, any legitimate e-mails that I send
> > > out will have the following lines in the headers:
> > >
> > >
> > > Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for wcheeks1
> > > (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) DAY MO DATE TIME
YEAR)
> > > X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR
> > > Received: from proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (root@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com
> > > [204.210.0.10])
> > > by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA12362
> > > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > > Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236])
> > > by proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA18261
> > > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > > Message-Id: <numbers.and.letters@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com>
> > > Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>

> > > From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > To: <you@your.domain.com>
> > > Subject: Header Test
> > > Date: DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > > X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> > > X-MSMail-Priority: High
> > > X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> > > Importance: High
> > > Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> > > Content-Type: text
> > >
> > > If an e-mail header ain't pretty much exactly like the
> > > above, it ain't from ME, boys..... If ever there is a
> > > question, send me a civil e-mail to: bcheek@san.rr.com
> > > and then check out the header of my reply.
> > >
> > > The person whose lights I just turned out is given
> > > to sending large e-mail bombs to people IN MY NAME.
> > > Beware, if you get an unsolicited e-mail "from me"
> > > that has a large file attachment. Just delete it
> > > before you download it. I will not send anyone
> > > unsolicited files, so there's a clue right there.
> > >
> > > In a word, the person whose lights I just turned
> > > out WILL go on a rampage and while I will be a
> > > prime target, so will some of you....just so he can
> > > say it wasn't HIM. But it is.....
> > >
> > > Protect yourselves. And if and when anything does
> > > happen, I urge you to publicly discuss it and cite
> > > as many of the details as you can. There are people
> > > on this newsgroup who are skilled at ferreting out
> > > the sources and causes of these kinds of issues.
> > >
> > > Now....shall WE get back to scanners and scanning?
> > >
> > > THAT's my choice anyway, for as long as it doesn't
> > > prove futile. It will take a lot of other choices
> > > to dispel that futility. And each choice is an
> > > individual one. Despite that this is a "newsgroup",
> > > WE are not a "group". WE are only a mass of individuals.
> > >
> > > I don't think it gets any better than that. Every
> > > choice remains an individual one; and the solutions
> > > to ALL problems, perceived and real, lay in control
> > > of your own computers and control of your own software.
> > > Once you are in control of your hardware and software,
> > > then it is possible for us to act and function as a

> > > group. But again, this is a matter of a LOT of choices.
> > >
> > > Enough to constitute a quorum anyway.....
> > >
> > > Scanners and scanning coming up at 11.
> > >
> > > ---------- ----
> > > Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> > > COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> > > Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> > > bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> > > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> > > ---------- ---
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
> > eb -----
> > > http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
> > groups
> > > NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other
posts
> > > made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
> > abuse@newsone.net
>

5006. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 00:28:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p34jc$3ja$0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 21250
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Nelson wrote:

> Me, I am not so insecure that it bothers me when someone speaks in a
> language that I do not understand.

Berore this has played out you may have changed somewhat.

5007. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 00:32:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB5086E.6AEB0812@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21151

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>

> > Did anyone have W5DXP before you?
> One person did but I don't know who he/she was. WN5DXP was issued
>
> to me sequentially the second time through the W's in 1951.

From the 1936-37 RAC:

W5DXP - D.F. Estes, 1517 S. Q Street, Ft. Smith, Ark.

Dave K8MN

5008. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 02:31:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928223103.13426.00000366@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 21153

In article <1V4t7.573$Le.21620@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>First of all, let me thank you for keeping your reply free of insults..that
>really lends a lot of credibility to what you say.

Thank you. It is easy to talk on the subject about the subject.
:-)

>On a massive scale, no they wouldn't, but I don't think
>it would be difficult to have a prospective licensee demonstrate very basic
>skills such as soldering a microphone connector or troubleshooting a power
>supply that has a missing part...

That seems reasonable to me...but the testing group has
to agree on the hardware so that all the tests are
sufficiently alike. That must be a given to avoid inequity.

Stepping out of the subject loop a moment, there will no
doubt be some complaints that "it should be a key, not a
mike that is soldered" or "how many pins should there be
on the connector>" That will derail all coherent subject
discussion quickly...:-)

The black mark slobbered on the present-day testing is
the public availability of correct answers. This could be
reduced by simply making the Q&A collection larger
than the minimum. The FCC specifies only the
MINIMUM number of pool questions...doesn't say anything
about a maximum. The maximum is up to the VEC QPL.
With quick distribution through the Internet there should
be no real problem with a question pool twice as large
an now, three times, or even five times larger.

There is a point in the number of "public answers" where
only that rare person who is a mnemonic savant can
keep all the correct answers in mind. If a present test
element requires 50 questions, the question pool must
have 10 times that many. That's the minimum. Some
might be able to "just memorize" 500 questions and
correct answer pairs. I don't think so since it would be
far easier to know the subject.

If a question pool were doubled to 1000 questions, would
that satisfy the detractors? Tripling it to 1500 or going
larger to 2500 questions? The thought of trying to
"memorize" the correct answers to 2000 questions is

FAR more work than actually knowing the subject well
enough to see through distractors.

It's really up to the VEC QPL. They can generate the
questions, I'm sure. Distribution, application, and
selecting individual test questions for an individual
exam is not in question.

>Well, I actually feel that there shouldn't be any classes at all.I am in
>favor of a much simpler scheme like Novice and General, period. I can't
>speak for others, but I wouldn't mind giving up my semi-exclusive slice of
>spectrum to a General..

Is there any slice of amateur spectrum above 30 MHz
that is exclusive to extra, advanced, general, tech-
plus or tech? :-)

I don't think that is in the arguments against the
alleged "dumbing-down" of the amateur test. It seems
far more likely that the objections to today's testing is
in the personal desires of the complainants; i.e., all
have to do it like they did it. :-)

>Many hams today consider it just a hobby. I think they have an incorrect
>perspective, it should be much more than a hobby and the rationale for
>existence does not include anything that really relates to "hobby.

That may be or not be the actual case. Certain words must
exist in the regulations to justify the existance of this
particular radio activity. Without those words it is possible
to lose spectrum or even the entire radio service.

At question there is whether or not amateur radio actually
does the "service" or performs as stated in 97.1. Yes, it can
be of emergency help to a community during an emergency
but four of the five paragraphs of 97.1 are seldom brought up.
Does the amateur radio service really "provide a trained pool
of radio operators or radio experts to enhance the nation?"
Does amateur radio "contribute to international good will?"
[count the number of wars and armed conflict in recent
memory and answer that intelligently]

>> The FCC grants licenses, not the "amateur radio community."
>
>Many rules and regulations have been made by the government over the years
>and many have been changed or overturned. We must obey them until they are
>changed. To do otherwise is simply being complacent.

Another question is WHO is being "complacent?" It is not in
the Communications Act of 1934 nor the Telecommunications
Act of 1996 that the FCC act as a "teacher" of "skill improver"
of anyone in any radio service. The FCC is not chartered as
an educational institution. The FCC is tasked with regulating

United States civil radio services, all of them, not just amateur
radio.

The FCC is open for comment from any citizen on any of its
activities. That includes what individuals feel the FCC "should
do." Many of those "should dos" are not within the FCC
charter. If there "should be" a very major restructuring of all
radio laws, then it is up to Congress to change it to have the
FCC do what it "should do."

>IMHO, the FCC and the government have copped out by dumping this requirement
>on the ham community. Just about every other country in the world closely
>regulates their amateur radio services. Witness the relative ineffectiveness
>in enforcing rules and regulations.

I'll have to argue "relative ineffectiveness." Commercial radio
serivices seem unaffected by privatization of radio operators
and that includes privatized frequency coordination of
commercial radio services sharing the same band. A look at
the FCC Enforcement page and clicking on the collection of
violations will show that there are far more violations handled
in the commercial radio area. One reason is that the
commercial radio services are now much larger than amateur
radio. Another reason lies in the self-perception of "violations"
of certain radio amateurs. Are those real violations or are
they just "different" from what has come to be "proper
behavior" over the years?

That same Enforcement Bureau also lists violations done by
radio amateurs and in some cases that results in heavy
fines and loss of licenses. Its all publicly available.

>Remember the Conditional Class license? It was administered by well meaning
>volunteers, but lot's of inequity surfaced and the whole thing had to be
>scraped.

The Conditional class license came into being when there
were long distances to travel to FCC field offices and transport
was quite expensive. The "conditon" of Conditional was not in
the test itself but had to do with travel distance.

>Notice how many VE sessions are being questioned by the FCC these days? The
>government should take control of the testing, like they used to.

That's a BUDGET matter. If the FCC scraps privatization of
testing then they must do it for commercial radio as well,
ramp up lots more field offices, get more personnel for all
those field offices. There was nothing in the works before the
Attack on America to "take control of testing" as in the 80s
and there is certainly a scarcity of federal money to do it now.

Those that want the government to "take control" should get
with all those pre-1980 folks who demaned the government

"give control of amateur radio to the amateurs!" You can't
have it both ways. One or the other.

It looks to me like the "amateur radio community" got what
it was after in the 80s. The VEC do the testing. Now the
VEC QPL makes the question pools without being
constrained by a certain number of topics per written
element. The FCC does the bookkeeping and sends out
those all-important credentials. Oh, and the FCC
Enforcement Bureau still goes after violators...in ALL radio
services.

>Again, I am in favor of getting rid of incentive licensing. I don't think
>there is any necessity to have an Advanced or Extra class license or
>separate privileges for either.

Okay by me. I said the same thing on 98-143 on 13 Jan 99. :-)

5009. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 02:31:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928223105.13426.00000367@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 21152

In article <1V4t7.573$Le.21620@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>First of all, let me thank you for keeping your reply free of insults..that
>really lends a lot of credibility to what you say.
>
>
>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010928154305.02959.00000288@nso-fj.aol.com...
>> In article <Ty0t7.528$Le.20895@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
>> <braymond@iea.com> writes:
>>
>> >What about a "hands on" supplement to all of the tests? It could be
>> >something like, build a dipole and make
>> >an on the air contact with it, or erect one and measure the SWR. This is
>> >pretty basic stuff. It's one thing to memorize
>> >the questions and answers, but quite another to put them to actual use.
>>
>> If amateur radio were a professional craft/guild, the above
>> would be a reasonable request...except for the practicality
>> of setting up this new test. Neither the old FCC field offices
>> nor the average VEC test location had/have the area or the
>> capital equipment to perform "practical" tests.
>>
>
>On a massive scale, no they wouldn't, but I don't think
>it would be difficult to have a prospective licensee demonstrate very basic
>skills such as soldering a microphone connector or troubleshooting a power
>supply that has a missing part...
>> This sort of massive test restructuring would add an undue
>> burden on the VEC. [the first letter of the acronym stands
>> for "volunteer"] They would have to keep test equipment,
>> maintain it, apply it, and know how to use it. If each test
>> session had an examinee's fee of a thousand dollars or so
>> it might be possible.
>>
>> >I realize that this would be imposing a new requirement that many
>previous
>> >licensees did not have to endure.
>> >That might be unfair, but then, there are some of us that feel it is
>unfair
>> >that these new extras don't have to pass
>> >a 20 WPM code test and now have the same privileges.
>>

>> That's an emotional thing which cannot be overcome by such
>> a massive (gargantuan?) testing environment. Technology
>> has always been advancing in radio...radio is only 105 years
>> old. No doubt there were amateurs who "knew everything
>> about spark transmitters" in the old days and resented the
>> prohibition against damped wave generation. No doubt those
>> old sparkies resented the hell out of "new" (for its day) tests
>> that had questions about vacuum tubes.
>>
>> >I have heard arguments that passing a particular test only verifies entry
>> >level skills for the class.
>> >I can go along with that for all classes of license except extra class.
>> >IMHO, we should reserve the extra class
>> >for those who have proven they have exceptional skills when compared to
>> >peers. How we might do that certainly
>> >would be controversial.
>>
>> Why have the emotional baggage loading of "extra" with all
>> the status-rank-privilege attachment PLUS this massive new
>> scheme for testing one particular class? Go one step further
>> and change "extra" to "expert" and complete the baggage cart?
>>
>Well, I actually feel that there shouldn't be any classes at all.I am in
>favor of a much simpler scheme like Novice and General, period. I can't
>speak for others, but I wouldn't mind giving up my semi-exclusive slice of
>spectrum to a General..
>> What purpose does that serve what is essentially a hobby
>> activity?
>
>>
>Many hams today consider it just a hobby. I think they have an incorrect
>perspective, it should be much more than a hobby and the rationale for
>existence does not include anything that really relates to "hobby.
>
>> It doesn't serve any purpose for the group, only individuals.
>>
>> >I feel we should do away with the incentive licensing system. I have
>never
>> >figured out what purpose it really serves, other
>> >than to divide the amateur radio community.
>>
>> The FCC grants licenses, not the "amateur radio community."
>
>Many rules and regulations have been made by the government over the years
>and many have been changed or overturned. We must obey them until they are
>changed.
>To do otherwise is simply being complacent.
>
>
>>

>> The FCC has extended their hand to the "amateur radio
>> community" as much as possible by permitting the VECs
>> to formulate both questions and answers and do the
>> license testing. The VEC examiners are amateur
>> licensees. To suggest a massive, "practical" test system
>> as proposed would place an undue burden on the VEC
>> and therefore on a part of the "amateur radio community."
>
>IMHO, the FCC and the government have copped out by dumping this requirement
>on the ham community. Just about every other country in the world closely
>regulates their amateur radio services. Witness the relative ineffectiveness
>in enforcing rules and regulations.
>
>Remember the Conditional Class license? It was administered by well meaning
>volunteers, but lot's of inequity surfaced and the whole thing had to be
>scraped.
>Notice how many VE sessions are being questioned by the FCC these days? The
>government should take control of the testing, like they used to.
>
>>
>> It doesn't seem reasonable to expect the VEC to
>> accept a massive testing environment requirement just
>> for the sake of a minority group within the ARS. Especially
>> so when the whole purpose seems to be for extras wanting
>> to have some rank-status-title above and beyond others in
>> the "amateur radio community."
>Again, I am in favor of getting rid of incentive licensing. I don't think
>there is any necessity to have an Advanced or Extra class license or
>separate privileges for either.
>
>
>>
>>
>> >If we are going to continue to support an incentive licensing system
>(which
>> >I think is ridiculous), then why not make sure
>> >the top level license is inhabited by the most skilled? As an Advanced
>> >Class licensee who took the test before the FCC
>> >in the early 70s, I feel I would be downgrading were I to take the
>current
>> >extra class examination.
>>
>> There has to be some further definition of "the most skilled"
>> to justify a "top level license." Is "the most skilled" label
>> for any regulatory purpose (the real basis behind the license
>> of any radio operator license, amateur or commercial) or is it
>> some self-defined, "I am the greatest" label useful only for
>> waving in front of "lesser qualified" amateurs?
>>
>> Is "the most skilled" level to be restricted to HF

>> communications? If so, why? Should it include the
>> spectrum above 30 MHz? If not, why not? There is far
>> more bandspace for amateurs above 30 MHz than
>> below 30 MHz, more "room" to experiment, far greater
>> ranges available than terrestrial DX..
>>
>> Finally, what good does it do to maintain this separatist
>> "practically-tested" new amateur extra class? Does it serve
>> any purpose in attracting newcomers? Or is it just a very
>> personal thing among those of "higher rank" who wish a
>> federal subsidy program to support their personal titles?
>>
> I think I already answered this when I asserted my belief that we should do
>away with incentive licensing.

5010. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 02:41:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p3cd5$468$0@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 21154

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>They are not interpretations, Jim.
> >
> > > Sure they are. You interpret what the dictionary says, and which
> > > definition applies.
>
> Actually, I was quoting my college logic professor. The dictionary
> simply confirmed that he and my memory were right. The following
> statement is true. "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a
> prostitute." Now, is that a factual statement?

If that statement represents truth it is no surprised that you're so
screwed up.

5011. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 03:14:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928231437.10771.00000323@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 21155

In article <3BB4E6CE.78FB2828@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> What a mean spirited post.

Oh? How is news of two very much radio-related corporations
donating funds and equipment to a stricken area "mean?"

>Of course there are others who have volunteered.

Damn straight there were!

>I'm very sorry that you feel the need to say such things.

Oh? How so? Because I didn't hew to the mantra to
idolize amateur radio contributions and volunteerism?

Is it some kind of "dishonor" to mention that four Raytheon
employees died on those hijacked aircraft?

Motorola shipped EIGHTY-SIX TRUCKLOADS of radio
equipment to NYC and DC, employees putting in many
extra hours to deliver well ahead of normal shipping.
Do you know just how big 86 truckloads are? Let me give
you a hint: The average HRO store has about one truck
load of equipment in its back storeroom.

Did you notice that Motorola GAVE AWAY some of the
equipment?

I'm puzzled that you show "disgust" at such "odious"
mention of volunteerism by anyone not a ham in a
disaster situation. Now you go back and mumble the
sacred mantras of "CW gets through when nothing
else will" and "the cellphones all fail during a disaster."
Will that make you happy?

Oh, and "RF Design" magazine in a trade publication.
Search for it on the Internet. That will get you a web
address where you can complain directly to them
about posting such "mean spirited" news.

5012. Re: Where's The New Yaesu, Lennie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 03:32:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Eqbt7.56761$Dz6.1173885@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21156

Keith,

I can't really comment on the intermod probs, but, in my case, it hasn't been
enough of a problem to cause me to dislike the 706 series. The only thing I
DON'T like is the squelch circuit; there is NO hysteresis at all. It is either on
or off. If you set it just on the threshold to catch weak signals, it pops in and
out; if you set it deep enough to stop the squelch, you *can* miss weak signals
or distant repeaters on FM. I hope to acquire a diagram to see if I can "soften"
the squelch a little. I haven't studied the squelch circuit in the absence of said
schematic, so I don't know if this will be difficult. Some squelch circuits can
be "softened" by increasing the value of a capacitor thereby adding a little "tail"
to the squelch and allowing the weak signals to get thru before closing.

All in all, the 706 is a great little rig because it gives one most all bands 160 thru
70 cm, general coverage receive (great for monitoring VHF emergency
services), and scanning as well.

Jerry
Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:kXQs7.36073$ga2.1720344@cletus.bright.net...
>
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:CGQs7.47633$Dz6.1018385@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > Well, while I can't just go out and buy anything I want on a whim, I am
> > finding
> > that my 706s do quite well. I bought one of the originals in '98(?) and
a
> > MKIIG last year. The original 706 is on the base and the G is in the
car.
> > I
> > have no need for another rig(s), so I plan to run these for a few years
at
> > least.
> > Everybody has their favorite rigs and, for me, the Icoms are quite the
> > trick. I
> > may not be the loudest, but they work well, and I would think about as

> well
> > as
> > one of those multi-thousand $$ rigs like the FT1000 or 756PRO. And "if"
I
> > should get married again, and my wife relegates my shack to a closet,
they
> > will
> > fit ever so nicely in some tiny niche. LOL! My ex, while a most
pleasant
> > girl
> > with whom I am still friends, persuaded me to put my shack in a den
closet
> > years ago. It actually worked extremely well, since I could slide the
> > louvered
> > doors open and sit down on my chair. When company came, the doors went
> > back shut and no one was the wiser! Now I have a larger house and the
> shack
> > is downstairs in my cozy basement where I spend many happy hours doing
> > what you, Larry, mentioned: ENJOYING ham radio!!
>
> Thats one of the radios I am looking at buing for my home when I get my
> ticket, I figured once i pass code and general test then I'll have one
radio
> that will suit all of my needs. I am also looking into different HT's and
a
> mobile for my vehicle, for that I'd settle for a decent 2 meter radio or
> possibly a dual bander. One thing I am looking for in an HT is rugged,
easy
> to use/program. I am looking at the Icom a friend of mine has offered to
> sell me, can't recall exact model it is dual band, comes with extra
battery
> packs and he'd sell it for $125, he keeps it in a padded briefcase similar
> to those you see people carrying their match pistols, or laptops in, it
> doesn't have a scratch or ding in it.
>
> I have heard some mention that the MKIIG has some problems with intermod?
> and that it's filters are somewhat below par. Anyone have a comment on
that?
>
> Keith Hosman
>
>

5013. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 03:52:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB5456B.6070305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21157

Dave Heil wrote:

> From the 1936-37 RAC:
> W5DXP - D.F. Estes, 1517 S. Q Street, Ft. Smith, Ark.

Anybody know what happened to him/her? Didn't renew? SK?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5014. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 03:55:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB54639.5090507@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21158

Dick Carroll wrote:

> If that statement represents truth it is no surprised that you're so
> screwed up.

If I'm screwed up, Dick, so is the entire field of symbolic logic.
From "Introduction to Logic", If p then q, where p is false and q
us false, results in a true statement. Sorry about your ignorance.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5015. Coming Soon! Kabul International Airshow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 03:56:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928235608.27388.00000668@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21159

First Annual Kabul Air Show Scheduled
Kabul, Afghanistan 17:52GMT - Sept. 27, 2001

Citizens of Afghanistan are reportedly looking forward with great anticipation to reports of an international air show to be held in the skies over their nation.

An unnamed official informed us that "Now the rest of the world will look upon our beloved city with great honor just as they do Farnborough, England and Paris, France", referring to the sites of two other famous international air shows.

The exact date and time of the upcoming Kabul International Air Show has not yet been announced. It is believed that event organizers feel that such an announcement would detract from the fun of the celebration by, "spoiling the surprise".

Unlike most air shows the Kabul Air Show will feature almost no static ground displays but will have an unusually high number of aerial demonstrations and fly-bys. "We are most pleased by this feature of our air show. Instead of a lot of different kinds of airplanes just sitting around on the tarmac, the aircraft attending our show will actually be up in the air demonstrating what they do best!" we were told.

Participation will probably be heaviest by aircraft of the United States Air Force and Marine Corps. Including appearances by F-15's, F-16's, A-10's, B-52's and Apache helicopters. It is rumored that opening ceremonies will feature a tomahawk-cruise fireworks display. A few B-2's, and F-117A's may also help out in some unseen capacity.

Several other counties have expressed an interest in sending representatives. These include all nineteen nations in the NATO alliance as well as Australia. The excitement generated for this gala event has even prompted the Israeli Air Force to apply for participation.

Of course, no one is more excited than the Afghan people themselves. Great numbers of them are in the streets of Kabul looking constantly heavenward in gratitude for the historic event, which will soon take place in their skies. It has been observed that some are so concerned about missing the show that even as they bow to the East they keep snatching worried glances towards the West. Thousands, in fact, have been seen leaving the city and fleeing to the mountains carrying food and blankets - obviously anxious to get a good vantage point for the air show, and to make a picnic of it.

5016. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 03:57:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB546BD.3070704@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21160
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Bill Nelson wrote:
>>Me, I am not so insecure that it bothers me when someone speaks in a
>>language that I do not understand.
>
> Before this has played out, you may have changed somewhat.

By all means, Dick, feel free to become just as evil as the bad guys.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5017. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:03:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929000304.05161.00000291@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21163

In article <Fr1t7.13984$W8.1172255@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Jim, N2EY (responding to W5NET) wrote:
>
>> The problem you describe is the classic one in license
>> requirements. If the requirements for a license are made
>> "easier", those who were licensed under the old rules
>> grumble about lowered standards. If they are made "harder",
>> those who are yet to be licensed grumble about having
>> to do more than their predecessors. Either way, somebody
>> is unhappy.
>
>Remember when amateur radio VHF/UHF repeaters had to
>be separately licensed? The licensee had to file a
>pile of paperwork with the FCC comparable to that for
>an FM broadcast station (ERP, HAAT, co-channel
>separation, system circuit diagrams, etc)? I think
>this was in the late 60's or early 70's. It was a
>ton of work for anyone who wanted to put a 'machine'
>on the air. But repeater groups and individual owners
>complied and remained in operation.

Yup. That was because of ONE staffer at the FCC.
>
>Later, I think it was when the Reagan administration
>came in and throttled back the regulatory zeal of
>government agencies (including the FCC), the onerous
>licensing requirements for repeaters were lifted and
>interference issues passed on to the coordination groups.

I think it came about before Reagan. (I can look it up if you're interested).
The ARRL had a bit to do with it.

>Although this scaling back in licensing requirements
>was universally recognized as a 'good thing' for the
>ARS, there was an awful lot of grumbling from the
>repeater owners about their accomplishments in getting
>repeater licenses being squandered and nullified.

I don't remember that at all. The main complaint I remember was the loss of the special "WR" calls and the problems of frequency coordination.

>I was left with the distinct impression that, had
>there not been an overwhelming consensus against
>the regulations, many of the repeater licensees

>would have preferred to keep them in place so that
>their (far fewer than now) machines would stand as
>monuments to their hard work and perseverance.

I'm sure that was true in some areas. Not around here (Philly) though.
>
>This episode confirmed for me once again the futility
>of seeking personal status and validation from the
>external symbols of an institution (FCC, university,
>lodge hall, whatever). Licenses are just a necessary
>evil to reassure the Government that some kind of
>order will be preserved on the airwaves. The sense
>of self-worth that is sought ultimately must be
>derived from one's own life experiences and self-
>knowledge.
>
Good point! I would state it a little differently, though. As I see it, the license is only one "data point". What one does after the license is earned is far more important.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5018. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:03:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929000305.05161.00000292@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21161

In article <20010928154303.02959.00000287@nso-fj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>QED
>
>
>>>Minus j,
>>
>>Why do you make fun of people's names, LHA? That sort of thing is considered
>>immature by third-graders.
>
>Anyone who claims "mastery of the Smith Chart" and then
>cannot talk about it in detail is a poseur, a fake claimant
>who acts like a "third-grader."

That leaves me out. I can talk about the Smith Chart in detail. I simply saw no reason to give a lecture on it.

Did you know that Philip Smith was a ham?

>Third-graders" get "third-grade" response.

Indeed.
>
>If N2EY wishes to be a radio cop, then he can go around
>criticizing others for using immature language. There are
>plenty in this newsgroup.
>
You are the prime example, LHA.
>
>>>you don't grant licenses and don't regulate any part of radio.
>>
>>Neither do you, LHA. Does that mean I don't have the right to an opinion on
>>license requirements? You seem to think so.
>
>Wounded again?

"You cannot answer a question with another question". Your words, LHA.

I'm not "wounded" at all.

>N2EY cannot stand negative criticsm in the open.

There is nothing for you to criticize. I was simply asking a question. You seem to think that since I don't make the rules, I shouldn't express an opinion about them.
>

>>>Why should the FCC "make rules complex?"
>>
>>To serve the needs of the radio services.
>
>Insufficient explanation, a generality.

No, it's complete. The only justification for "complex" rules is if they serve the needs of the regulated service. Every rule must meet this test. Simple.
>
>>>Some even claim to be "masters of the Smithc chart." :-)
>>
>>Not me. I just know how to use it. And how to use Morse. And a bunch of
>other
>>ham radio stuff. They're not mutually exclusive, y'know.
>
>N2EY has never stated enough in detail about Smith Charts
>to justify one single thing of his mention of them...only said
>that he was a "master" of it. Now there is the recant of he
>"just knows how to use it."

Not at all. Just different wording. I can use the Smith Chart and I can use Morse. And a bunch of other ham radio related stuff.

It seems to bug the heck out of you to encounter somebody like me, who is both technically knowledgeable and operationally skilled.
>
>Says nothing at all, is merely a claim.
>
That's all YOU do, LHA.
>
>>Are you "master of your own domain", yet, LHA?
>
>Have you stopped beating your wife? (that's in the same
>league as the above "question")

You obviously don't understand the question, LHA.
>
>Why does N2EY interject common phrases that have
>nothing to do with amateur radio?

"You cannot answer a question with another question" - your words, LHA, not mine.
>
>To borrow from Bromley, I can work quite well in the
>frequency domain, the time domain, the S domain, the
>Z domain...enough to perform a design or measurement
>task successfully. I do not claim to be a "master" in
>such things other than not dissatisfying any employer
>or an editor.
>
>Can N2EY prove he is the "master of the Smith Chart?"

Why should I prove anything to YOU?
>
>He made that claim twice. It's time to prove it.

Why? You never prove your claims, LHA.
>
>Talk to us about N2EY's "mastership."

Why? You won't accept any proof I could give.
>
>Or go back to some "amateur radio" subject about "old,
>bad songs" currently in progress in another thread.

In other words, you are telling me to SHUT UP. It's obvious that you have no tolerance for disagreement. Seems like LHA wants to be "newsgroup cop" and dictate what subjects can and cannot be discussed here.

N2EY

5019. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:03:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929000306.05161.00000293@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21162

Bob,

I'm going to take a "devil's advocate" role here. As I see it, the main problem with any change to the requirements is that there will be a group who says they are "unfair".

The FCC has made it clear, through their statements and their actions, that they want the minimum testing necessary.

It is good that you are discussing the subject here, to get various opinions and work out some sort of solution.

In article <Ty0t7.528$Le.20895@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond" <braymond@iea.com> writes:

>Jim
>
>What about a "hands on" supplement to all of the tests? It could be
>something like, build a dipole and make
>an on the air contact with it, or erect one and measure the SWR. This is
>pretty basic stuff. It's one thing to memorize
>the questions and answers, but quite another to put them to actual use.

I like the idea. The problem is the implementation. What, exactly, would be an adequate test at each license level? Who gets to judge whether the test has been passed?
>
>I realize that this would be imposing a new requirement that many previous
>licensees did not have to endure.

Exactly. The last time that happened was in the 1930s.

>That might be unfair, but then, there are some of us that feel it is unfair
>that these new extras don't have to pass
>a 20 WPM code test and now have the same privileges.

For at least the past 25 years, the trend has been to make the licensing process easier for new and upgrading hams. In some ways that's good and in some ways it's not so good. Whether the overall effect is beneficial to the ARS is a matter of opinion.
>
>I have heard arguments that passing a particular test only verifies entry
>level skills for the class.
>I can go along with that for all classes of license except extra class.
>IMHO, we should reserve the extra class
>for those who have proven they have exceptional skills when compared to
>peers. How we might do that certainly

>would be controversial.

Actually, ANY pass/fail test simply shows that the person who passes it has the minimum qualifications. What you're talking about is a more comprehensive form of testing. As it is right now, a person can have huge gaps in their knowledge and still pass the test because of knowledge in other areas. That's how you get the "Extra who can't build a dipole" - that person knew enough in other (non-antenna) areas to pass the tests.

One possible way to fix this is to break up the test into subtests, or sections. For example, there could be sections on safety, regulations, operating practices and electronic theory, each marked separately. To pass, a person would have to get a certain number of questions in each section right.
>
>I feel we should do away with the incentive licensing system. I have never
>figured out what purpose it really serves, other
>than to divide the amateur radio community.

The basic idea was exactly what you are talking about: insure that the hams with all privileges have more-than-basic technical knowledge and operating skills. The idea was to reward greater knowledge and skill with more privileges - namely, more spectrum.

>Other countries have simple
>licensing systems such as beginner or vhf/uhf only
>and the next step is simply full fledged ham operator with all privileges.

That's still a form of incentive licensing.

> I
>don't hear people from other countries arguing
>about this kind of stuff. Why are we so different in this country??

I really don't know.
>
>If we are going to continue to support an incentive licensing system (which
>I think is ridiculous), then why not make sure
>the top level license is inhabited by the most skilled?

> As an Advanced
>Class licensee who took the test before the FCC
>in the early 70s, I feel I would be downgrading were I to take the current
>extra class examination.

I'm not sure what you mean. Do you think the Advanced of those days was tougher than today's Extra? If so, why?
>
>Please hold all insults because they won't influence me in any way. I am
>however, ready to listen to thoughtfully prepared
>responses.
>
I hope I have not insulted you, Bob. The main point I am trying to convey is that a lot of what we are discussing was tossed around when the recent

restructuring NPRM was going on.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5020. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:07:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929000753.27388.00000669@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21164

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/28/01 11:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010928231437.10771.00000323@nso-ba.aol.com>

>>Wat a mean spirited post.
>
>Oh? How is news of two very much radio-related corporations
>donating funds and equipment to a stricken area "mean?"

If that was your ONLY intention, why'd you deem it necessary to create
such an inciteful thread name?

Answer: It was a mean spirited post. You're a scumbag, in a word.

>>Of course there are others who have volunteered.
>
>Damn straight there were!

The only thing is YOU had to INSULT Amateur Radio in the process.

>>I'm very sorry that you feel the need to say such things.
>
>Oh? How so? Because I didn't hew to the mantra to
>idolize amateur radio contributions and volunteerism?

No...because you ahd to be a scumbag in the process.

>Motorola shipped EIGHTY-SIX TRUCKLOADS of radio
>equipment to NYC and DC,...(snip)

>The average HRO store has about one truck
>load of equipment in its back storeroom.

>Did you notice that Motorola GAVE AWAY some of the equipment?

Well geez-willikers, Mr Wilson, who do ya think could better absorb the
financial "bite" of such a thing..?!?! A multi-billion dollar conglomerate
like Motorola, or a handful of retail stores that cater to a narrow slice of
the electronics consumer market?

>I'm puzzled that you show "disgust" at such "odious"
>mention of volunteerism by anyone not a ham in a
>disaster situation.

Because you make light of that volunteerism and use this incident to try
and further your own selfish, cowardly "agenda", Your Scumminess.

>Now you go back and mumble the
>sacred mantras of "CW gets through when nothing
>else will" and "the cellphones all fail during a disaster."
>Will that make you happy?

No...but a polaroid of you holding your bloody nose would.

>That will get you a web
>address where you can complain directly to them
>about posting such "mean spirited" news.

THIER news isn't "mean spirited".

YOUR application of it to belittle Amateur Radio IS.

Does your wife sleep with a dab of "Ben-Gay" under her nose to quell the
stench, Lennie? She should.

5021. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:09:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929000950.27388.00000670@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21165

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/28/01 3:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010928154306.02959.00000289@nso-fj.aol.com>

>...heh, heh, I just KNEW that this was coming!

>An "8752" is a network analyzer from Agilent....(SNIP)

Lennie get's his leg pulled and uses it to sail off into another "I know more than you" diatribe.

Or so he thinks.....

5022. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:10:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929001046.27388.00000671@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21166

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/28/01 3:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010928154306.02959.00000289@nso-fj.aol.com>

>Pretend all you want. Pretense shows up on
>everything you write.

Nailed yourself right on the head, your Scumminess.

5023. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:14:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929001412.27388.00000672@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21167

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/28/01 10:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010928223103.13426.00000366@nso-fr.aol.com>

>
>In article <1V4t7.573$Le.21620@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
><braymond@iea.com> writes:
>
>>First of all, let me thank you for keeping your reply free of insults..that
>>really lends a lot of credibility to what you say.
>
>Thank you. It is easy to talk on the subject about the subject.

He has found this out, Bob, after years of initiating hateful, vile posts
in which he slanders, denigrates and harrasses others. Then when he get's it
in return, cries "FOUL!"

He's two faced and a liar of monumental proportion. He WILL turn on
you...But you are still "fresh fish".

73

Steve, K4YZ

5024. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:17:44 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB54B68.7010804@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21168

N2EY wrote:

> What one does after the license is earned is
> far more important.

Not according to one ham I know who said it is perfectly
acceptable to rest on his rosey extra red laurels.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5025. Amateur Radio And Emergency Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 04:38:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929003811.27644.00000884@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21169

From an FCC Enforcement Letter dated 30 August 2001

"On May 9, 1997, you entered a plea of no contest to violation of Michigan Statute MCL Section 750.507(b), which makes it a misdemeanor to "prevent, interfere, obstruct or impede a public safety radio communication". "

The letter goes on to cite the recipient's conviction of interfering with RACES communications, and his subsequent grant of a 2 year renewal pending his "good conduct" for that period.

Seems that contrary to the insistance of one UN-licensed antagonist in this NG, the FCC DOES view Amateur Radio as an emergency communications asset, and that OUR service rates thier efforts (read that "time and money") to protect our ability to render EMERGENCY communications.

Please note no mode was specified. It's not germane.

Just another rant blown out of the water by facts and the FCC.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5026. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 07:29:24 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <oLet7.614$Le.22972@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21170

I judge people based on how they treat me, not what I have heard second hand. I try to treat others as they treat me.

73

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010929001412.27388.00000672@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 9/28/01 10:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010928223103.13426.00000366@nso-fr.aol.com>
>
> >
> >In article <1V4t7.573$Le.21620@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
> ><braymond@iea.com> writes:
> >
> >>First of all, let me thank you for keeping your reply free of insults..that
> >>really lends a lot of credibility to what you say.
> >
> >Thank you. It is easy to talk on the subject about the subject.
>
> He has found this out, Bob, after years of initiating hateful, vile posts
> in which he slanders, denigrates and harrasses others. Then when he get's it
> in return, cries "FOUL!"
>
> He's two faced and a liar of monumental proportion. He WILL turn on
> you...But you are still "fresh fish".
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

5027. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 10:11:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929061108.07922.00004142@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21171

In article <3BB54B68.7010804@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> What one does after the license is earned is
>> far more important.
>
>Not according to one ham I know who said it is perfectly
>acceptable to rest on his rosey extra red laurels.
>--
HAW! That's a good one!

It is precisely that sort of attitude that helped get us "Incentive Licensing" in the first place. The FCC thought that many hams of the '50s and early '60s simply stopped learning after passing their General or Conditional licenses. (Whether that is what really happened is another story). FCC felt that hams needed an "incentive" to learn more. But since most hams back then were already Generals or Conditionals, and those licenses gave all privileges, there were only two ways to generate an "incentive" for hams already licensed. One way was to create new privileges that did not previously exist (say, increased power or a new band) and the other was to take away existing privileges. FCC thought the former was impractical, so they did the latter.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5028. Re: Steve Linn SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 11:51:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929075157.04007.00000812@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 21172

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gk9xyz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>
> Steve was a good friend of mine and will be missed greatly.
>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil:

My most sincere condolences on the loss of your good friend. The
bulletin was absolutely shocking; I'm sure the loss will be very sorely
felt among all hams.

73 de Larry, K3LT

5029. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 11:51:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929075158.04007.00000813@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 21173

In article <3bb1ab6c_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>Now Larry not only is of the opinion that you must know CW at his level, but
>you must learn it the way he did to get to that level (keeping in mind, of
>course, that every offer to set up a sked with him in CW has gone unmet).
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Uhhh, Kim...I'm trying my best not to look now, but when did you
ever offer to set up a CW sked with me? Do you really *want* a CW
sked with me? I'm game if you are -- but I'm presently limited to
20-meters and ~25 W. power to an indoor dipole. However, I work
TX all the time with this setup, so it should be no problem. I work
from sunup to sundown Mon-Fri, and most of Saturday, but any
Sunday should be OK. If you're serious about this, let me know.
I will send at whatever speed you need; just let me know!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5030. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:02:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929080244.17640.00000223@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21177

In article <jr1t7.13983$W8.1172319@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>> Unfortunately it was never sung by William Shatner, Leonard Nimoy or Julie
>> London.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>You be kind to Julie.

You'll see what I mean once you get farther into the book.

>I expect more people know her as Dixie McCall from
>Emergency. Over the period of that show she was married to both Jack Webb
>and Bobby Troup, the latter better known for writing Route 66.

Yup.

I was sorry to read that she is no longer with us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5031. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:02:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929080245.17640.00000224@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21176

In article <3badd7ea.8462768@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>My first car was a 1950 Ford, 3 speed on the column. I also once
>had a SIMCA (a French abomination :-) which had a 4 speed on
>the column.

ohmygawd....

Remember the Opel Kadett and the Subaru 360?
>
>>>When you first
>>>took the drivers test, which you were forced to do if you wanted a
>>>drivers license, did you take it in a car with a 5-speed gearbox?
>>
>>Nope. A 4-speed.
>
>I took my test using an automatic...BECAUSE why would I want
>to be tested on a manual which would only add other factors
>that could lead to not passing the test. That has been true
>for each of my three kids also...even though as soon as they
>had their license they only had access to manual gearbox
>cars. Once passed, the drivers license gives NO special
>recognition and/or additional privileges just because the person took
>the test on a manual gearbox instead of an auto.
>
PA was slightly different "back then". There are all sorts of limitations possible on a license, such as "must wear corrective lenses" or "daylight only". One of the limitations was "automatic transmission only", and folklore had it that if you took the test in an automatic there was a chance of being limited to only automatics.

But in fact a manual trans had advantages for the test. For one thing, most of us learned on them, and we tended to use the same car for the test. Also, most of the test was slow-speed manuevering, like the "serpentine" test. Folklore of the day had it that you were supposed to go through the serpentine at a certain speed and not use the brake. With a manual trans in first gear, you could slow down by letting up on the gas.

There was also the fact that many of us were attracted to the challenge. Being able to drive anything that had four wheels and a motor was a goal of most teenagers back then - and today.

>>The popularity of manual transmissions continues, even though almost all
>cars
>>are available with automatics.

>
>Agreed!
>
>And the popularity continues even though not a single
>driver is mandated by licensing requirements to ever learn
>how to use a manual gearbox.

For a passenger car license, that's probably true. CDLs are another issue. But two points are clear:

1) The wide availability of automatic transmissions in cars and light trucks, and the lack of a test requirement for manual transmissions have not caused manual transmissions to disappear from those vehicles.

2) A driver with a certain skill set who has not learned to use a manual transmission is not as "qualified" as one with the same skill set plus manual transmission skill. But the states do not see a need to mandate or document that qualification, except in special cases.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

5032. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:02:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929080246.17640.00000225@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21178

In article <v4ws7.37784$Dz6.874440@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Hehe,
>
>Being from the South, Catholic nuns were quite a novelty in the '50s around
>here.

Some orders were referred to as "penguins" because of the appearance of the old-style habits.

>Nonetheless, I can relate to the ruler business. I had a first grade
>teacher
>that had a fondness for this kind of punishment. She looked much the part
>of
>the 19th Century school m'arm with button shoes and hair severely pulled
>into
>a bun. We would whisper and giggle about her head looking like she had been
>sitting under the wrong end of a cow. We certainly did our share of
>mischief,
>but it was much milder than the stuff seen today. It didn't take much to
>pro-voke a WHACK or five with that ruler. Shoot a spit wad onto the
>ceiling---
>WHACK! Sneak a piece of candy--WHACK! Pass notes--WHACK WHACK!

Yup. See earlier posts for other types of classroom violence.
>
>We were taught to count with an abacus--that ancient instrument with the
>beads
>on strings. One day she was giving individual tests on counting to 100, and
>I
>got wise. As you counted off, she would move a bead across the string with
>her pen. I got the bright idea of counting faster and faster. More than
>once,
>she told me to slow down, please. I'd slow down and again get faster and
>faster until her pen was almost a blur as she tried to keep up.

You bold, brazen article!

If one of us had done that, the nun would have "slapped the face off" of the offender.

> She grabbed
>me
>up by the arm suddenly, marched me over to her desk, made me hold out my
>hand for those dreaded ruler licks. I jerked away, and that got me sent to

>the prnicipal's office for a genuine paddling. Her ruler was in about 3
>pieces!
>The class started laughing, but it didn't last long. Just a threatening
>look from
>Mrs(?) Biggerstaff was enough to stop that. LOL!

Oh yeah. The punishment for laughing or refusing to take your licks was worse.
>
>She was the only teacher I encountered that would do that--the rest didn't
>mind
>applying the paddle!
>
Ours preferred to turn ordinary classroom items into punishment methods. LEss
evidence, apparently.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5033. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:02:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929080247.17640.00000226@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21174

In article <9ews7.20973$2H2.1763255@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Jim,
>
>Did your schools have those old steam radiators? Put out some good heat,
>but some rooms would get alternately cold and hot--not to mention the loud
>clicking and clanking; Sometimes, at our elementary school, the clanking
>would
>get so loud, the teachers would have to stop for a bit until it calmed down.

Yep, we had them, but the teachers were so good at yelling that the noise didn't stop them.

>Our fire drills had another purpose as well. Seem those boilers were
>ancient,
>and would overheat, or suddenly lose water pressure forcing us to evacuate
>quickly! The principal would announce over the quirky PA that it was no
>drill,
>and for us to move quickly to the other side of the ball field. I remember 2
>oc-
>casions where there was danger of a boiler explosion, and we spent the re-
>mainder of the afternoon playing in the woods at a safe distance. Of
>course,
>we looked forward to boiler evacuations since it usually meant studies were
>over for the rest of the day.

Dang! We NEVER had such a thing! The boiler would shut down first, and we would simply freeze.

One thing the nuns would do whenever we would complain of things like classroom temperatures in the 50s (or 90s) was to tell us to "offer it up for the poor souls in purgatory". The idea was that our suffering could somehow aid the departed. This became shortened to the catch phrase "offer it up".

>One of those was when we had 2 feet of snow
>on
>the ground, and we occupied the time by "skiing" down the banks bordering
>the field in our shoes. Even some of the younger teachers joined in this,
>much
>to the delight of the students. This showed us that all of our teachers
>weren't
>ruler-wielding old fuddy duddies.
>
Ours WERE ruler-wielding fuddy duddies. Fun was "an occasion of sin" to them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5034. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:02:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929080248.17640.00000227@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21175

In article <3BA6A87B.6B58DD88@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> wa2ise@ix.netcom.com (WA2ISE) wrote in message >
>> > We also had male nuns. "CFX" out of Boston. Ex marine corp drill
>> > sargents.
>>
>> You mean brothers? We only had them in high school.
>
>They found their way into grammer schools in NJ. One was
>making a big deal about his title or degree or whatever it's
>called, the "CFX" appended to his name "Brother xxx, CFX".
>My father said big whoop, it's just a 6 month program to
>complete.

HAW! Never heard that one!
>>
>> > Many of the teachers just had us read aloud in turn one paragraph out
>> > of the text book, then the next kid would read the next paragraph, etc.
>> > Didn't think you could do anything else in a history class.
>> > I would get bored and read ahead to the end of the chapter, which
>> > wasn't too smart as I'd loose track of where we were when it
>> > was my turn to read aloud my paragraph.
>>
>> I had nuns who did this EVERY day for EVERY subject. They would call
>> out a kid's name and that kid would have to read until another kid's
>> name was called - often in mid-sentence. Any kid who wasn't ready to
>> go on the next word got a beating. "Excellent teachers"? "Instilling
>> discipline and values"? Pure BS.
>
>Well, they missed the mark on that one on me for sure. The "real"
>message I copied was "People in positions of authority cannot
>be trusted, they will abuse you".

Yup. That was instilled in us by the second grade.
>
>I remember in reading class a story about a kid who somehow
>got an apple stuck in his pants pocket. He was afraid that
>his mom would be pissed. And that the kindly nun teacher
>came to the rescue with a solution: cut the apple apart and
>remove individual slices. Of course the real solution would
>be to unzip the pants and lower them to loosen the pocket,
>but you can't do that in Catholic school. Anyway, I thought

>the story unrealistic in that I'd fear the nun a lot more than
>my mom.
>
I remember the same story! And we all had the same reaction as you. I can still see the picture of the nun cutting the apple...

We new that, in real life:

1) No kid would try to put an apple in his pants pocket
2) All objects must obey Fudd's Second Law of Opposition: "If it goes in, it must come out".(*) Apples don't get bigger after they are picked, so the apple would come out the same way it went in
3) No kide would let a nun near him with a knife
4) No nun would be kindly in a situation like that.

Fortunately, things are better today.

73 de jim, N2EY

5035. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:18:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929081818.25616.00001156@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21179

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: "Bob Raymond" braymond@iea.com
>Date: 9/29/01 3:29 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <oLet7.614$Le.22972@sea-read.news.verio.net>

>I judge people based on how they treat me, not what I have heard second
>hand. I try to treat others as they treat me

Fair enough. But if you have any doubts, research "Google" for "Lenof21",
"Lenover21", "NoCWTest" and "AveryFine"
(all "@aol.com").

Welcome to our little snuggle group! It's quite the rage!

73

Steve, K4YZ

5036. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:24:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929082410.25616.00001158@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21180

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>Date: 9/29/01 5:02 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <0010928231437.1077@bimminy.com>

>When you can't refute an argument, you turn to attacking the character
>of the arguer.

What's to "refute", "Stu"..?!?!

His comments are vile, angry and designed to be nothing but hurtful. he deserves that which he gets and more. His sole intent is to be disruptive, nothing less.

And by the way, "Stu", what's your callsign again? I see there's no US licensee named "Stu" or even "Stuart" Parker.

Why is it Lennie's only "supporters" (other than those bought in the geriatric section of the drug store) seem to be anonymous or completely unaffiliated with Amateur Radio?

>That's truly pathetic.

Yes, he is....Glad you agree

5037. Re: Steve Linn SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:25:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929082517.25616.00001159@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21181

>Subject: Re: Steve Linn SK
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 9/29/01 7:51 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010929075157.04007.00000812@nso-md.aol.com>

>My most sincere condolences on the loss of your good friend. The
>bulletin was absolutely shocking; I'm sure the loss will be very sorely
>felt among all hams

Ditto. A tragic loss.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5038. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:28:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929082838.25616.00001161@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21182

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 9/29/01 8:02 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010929080245.17640.00000224@nso-cb.aol.com>

>Remember the Opel Kadett and the Subaru 360?

My best friend's first car was a 3 cylinder "ring-diding" called a
"DKW"...I forget who made it, but it was fun trying to get it up to 50 MPH...

5039. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 12:53:23 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb5c6db_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21183

Sounds like excuses to me! Nope, Larry. Not interested. I, personally, don't think I'd find a conversation with you in any mode all that much more than a yawn. I'm referring to all the other CW skeds I've noticed getting set up, then not made for one reason or another.

Wow, "~25 W. power to an indoor dipole." I wouldn't think that would be a concern at all for CW with anyone, anywhere using a practical, efficient mode of operation. Gosh, I talk all 'round the world on my 25W Radio Shack 10M radio through a Wilson 1000 antenna. Maybe you should try voice.

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010929075158.04007.00000813@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <3bb1ab6c_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Now Larry not only is of the opinion that you must know CW at his level, but
> >you must learn it the way he did to get to that level (keeping in mind, of
> >course, that every offer to set up a sked with him in CW has gone unmet).
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Uhhh, Kim...I'm trying my best not to look now, but when did you
> ever offer to set up a CW sked with me? Do you really *want* a CW
> sked with me? I'm game if you are -- but I'm presently limited to
> 20-meters and ~25 W. power to an indoor dipole. However, I work
> TX all the time with this setup, so it should be no problem. I work
> from sunup to sundown Mon-Fri, and most of Saturday, but any
> Sunday should be OK. If you're serious about this, let me know.
> I will send at whatever speed you need; just let me know!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

5040. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 13:36:48 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3BB5CE70.39063DA1@bloomer.net>
Source record: 21184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

Careful! Some of us like 430 Sideband, especially for satellite work :)

Scott
N0EDV

---- wrote:
>
> the 706 is a multi mode multi band rig. So its not just a 6 meter rig. I
> think he is looking for just a 6. But If i was going to buy something it
> prob would be the 706MKII with dsp good deals great rig. Who the hell needs
> 440.
> "Craig Davidson" <nospam@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> news:4EPs7.21554$Hx1.2040153@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > The Icom 706 is the best 6 meter rig available.
> >
> > It has Subaudible tone encode and decode built in
> >
> > Also, I found out that the hustler mobile antenna resonates exactly in the
> > middle of the 6 meter band with no coil installed.
> >
> > Have fun.
> >
> >
> > David J. Moge wrote in message ...
> > >OK,
> > >
> > >Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
> > >
> > >Thanks
> > >n1rzb
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

--
Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

5041. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 15:53:46 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e8mt7.15539$3d2.1348697@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21185

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010929075158.04007.00000813@nso-md.aol.com...

I work from sunup to sundown Mon-Fri, and most of Saturday, but any
> Sunday should be OK. If you're serious about this, let me know.
> I will send at whatever speed you need; just let me know!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Ain't doing transportation fun?? I'm off today but have a six-hour church run (a racket BTW) carting a Baptist Sunday School. On both ends is a run for a temp help outfit we affectionately call Rent-a-Wino. What you get for being Reliable Jones: 5:15 AM check-ins.

As I cannot run 20, and probably would not if I could, the odds of our meeting are about nil.

Dick NBK

5042. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 16:03:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929120308.05161.00000321@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21186

In article <ovXs7.13536$3d2.1190672@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Coventry at least had some military significance. The Dresden raid, to
>whatever extent it was not simply having neat planes and nothing to do with
>them, was a terror attack pure and simple.
>
From what I have read and from the recollections of USAAF air crews I have met, that's about right. The idea was to "break the spirit of the German people". It did not have that effect.

Kurt Vonnegut's "Slaughterhouse Five" is one account of the raid. Vonnegut was a POW in Dresden at the time. Ironically, he and the POWs with him survived because they were given the least-desirable air raid shelters (they were deep underground).

Remember, too, the fire raids of Tokyo.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5043. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 16:47:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wWmt7.15609$W8.1286833@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21187

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010929120308.05161.00000321@nso-fy.aol.com...
> In article <ovXs7.13536$3d2.1190672@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Coventry at least had some military significance. The Dresden raid, to
> >whatever extent it was not simply having neat planes and nothing to do
with
> >them, was a terror attack pure and simple.
> >
> From what I have read and from the recollections of USAAF air crews I have
met,
> that's about right. The idea was to "break the spirit of the German
people". It
> did not have that effect.
>
> Kurt Vonnegut's "Slaughterhouse Five" is one account of the raid. Vonnegut
was
> a POW in Dresden at the time. Ironically, he and the POWs with him
survived
> because they were given the least-desirable air raid shelters (they were
deep
> underground).
>
> Remember, too, the fire raids of Tokyo.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I am a little afraid that the Kosovo caper will give someone the idea that conflicts can be settled by the flyboys. The history of bombing has not been exactly favorable. Butcher Harris nearly leveled Hamburg in 1942 but later analysis showed that it actually increased production by giving people little choice but to work in the war plants -- the saloons and theaters were gone. He did what Hitler could never do -- put the German economy on a war footing. Similar effect from the famous 1000 plane raid on Cologne. The refineries at Polesti were finally put out of action by destroying the railway and Russian occupation.

By the time LeMay did it to Tokyo firebombing was ancient history. There is even a pretty good case to be made that the Russians rolling up the Japanese Army like a cheap carpet in Manchria was more a factor in Japanese surrender than the A-bomb.

The POWs were actually imprisoned in the refrigerated (long since inoperative) section of Slaughterhouse Five. Being sealed with cork walls

is what saved them. They had been captured at the Bulge.

Which, of course, brings up items like the Navy's private war in the Pacific in which the Marines lost more men in three engagements with a lot less effect than MacArthur lost going from Darwin to Manila . When they got the torpedos to work, the submarines were the biggest single item in defeating Japan. Read Walter Krueger's book: Krueger was the 6th Army commander and the real brains behind MacArthur. As was pointed out to President Roosevelt and Admiral Nimitz at Honolulu, "Good generals do not turn in long casualty lists". That meeting was the one where Mac's ADC arranged for him to make the grand entrance in a red convertible following the fire chief's car sirens wailing; the convertible, known to everyone on the pier, was borrowed from the biggest madam on the island.

The Italian campaign was a classic case of having an Army and nothing to do with it plus Joe Stalin bitching about doing all the work. The Allies took the opportunity to give command to the biggest incompetants they had and went off to plan Normandy. We moved north as fast as the Germans let us. When we had a chance to pull off surrounding the enemy, Mark Clark wanted to take Rome. Similar situation after the break-out from Normandy.

Lots of things are possible with 20/20 hindsight but when getting your picture on the cover of Life magazine was a factor in military decisions that killed a lot of people it tends to make one both cynical and a bit ill.

Dick NBK

5044. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 17:13:50 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <ijnt7.621$Le.23384@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21188

Thank you for the welcome.
I have seen some very interesting, astute comments made here. I hope I can keep up with you guys :-)

73

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010929081818.25616.00001156@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
> >From: "Bob Raymond" braymond@iea.com
> >Date: 9/29/01 3:29 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <oLet7.614$Le.22972@sea-read.news.verio.net>
>
> >I judge people based on how they treat me, not what I have heard second
> >hand. I try to treat others as they treat me
>
> Fair enough. But if you have any doubts, research "Google" for "Lenof21",
> "Lenover21", "NoCWTest" and "AveryFine"
> (all "@aol.com").
>
> Welcome to our little snuggle group! It's quite the rage!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

5045. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 17:23:29 UTC
From: Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <lsnt7.4346$pH1.802927@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 21189

> > > > Dwight, you just got an A+ on the
> > > > long-time ham psyche test! :-)
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks. I'm be starting my own
> > > psychic 800 number for ham operators
> > > very soon now.
> >
> >
> > Let me guess what the number would be,
> > 1-800-HAM-CLEO ????
>
>
> Ryan, since I'm not a black woman with a fake Jamaican accent, I'm not
sure
> that number would be the best choice. Any other suggestions? :-)
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Sorry.... the only TV psychic that came to mind was Cleo and the letters
fit! :)

Ryan

5046. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 17:49:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p51j9$s3a$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 21190
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Bill Nelson wrote:
> >>Me, I am not so insecure that it bothers me when someone speaks in a
> >>language that I do not understand.
> >
> > Before this has played out, you may have changed somewhat.
>
> By all means, Dick, feel free to become just as evil as the bad guys.

It evidently hasn't occurred to you that they may force just that. If
you think jawboning an Islamic terrorist will help to change his mind,
you have just made his job very much easier.

5047. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 17:51:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB60A28.2010307@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21191
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>By all means, Dick, feel free to become just as evil as the bad guys.
>>
> It evidently hasn't occurred to you that they may force just that.

Why wait, Dick? Just go join the terrorists now.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5048. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 17:53:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p51q2stt0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 21192

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > So it's the fault of those who point out
> > this trend who are to blame for it. Right.
> If you are not part of the solution, you are part of
>
> the problem, Dick. Yes, you are partially to blame.
> New hams will say, "Wow, if Dick, a supporter of CW,
> admits that it doesn't have enough merit to survive
> without an affirmative action program from the feds,
> then it must not be worth learning.

Your "solution" to the problem is the CBization of the ARS. And you already know that I am not a part of that solution, so indeed I'm your problem.
ANd you keep saying that CW is no more than a novelty. Evidently you dont' know anything about the history of radiotelegraphy as a main mode of information interchange.
You're the least qualified person around to be telling newecomers anything about it.

5049. Starbucks and the NYC disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 17:59:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BB5FD53.9D9660E9@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21193

This is on the www.snopes.com website, a site that debunks urban
legends, but this is reported as actually happening. The next time
you want a cup of coffee at Starbucks, you might think of this.

****
My family owns an ambulance service in Brooklyn NY. Midwood
Ambulance if
anyone knows it. Anyway, my uncles were at "Ground
Zero" during the attack to
help the victims. They donated their time to help
with this crisis as many New
Yorkers did. A great deal of people were in shock
from the devastation. As many of
you know, shock victims are supposed to drink a
lot of water. My uncle went to the
Starbucks down the street to get bottles of water
for the victims he was treating.
Can you believe they actually charged him for it!!
He paid the $130 for 3 cases of
bottled water out of his own pocket. Now, I would
think that in a crisis such as this,
vendors in the area would be more than happy to
lend a little help by donating water.
Well, not Starbucks! As if this country hasn't
given them enough money! Anyway,
the point of this story wasn't to glorify my
uncle's actions but to suggest a boycott on
Starbucks. Now, I love Frappaccinos as much as
anyone, but any company that
would try to make a profit off of a crisis like
this doesn't deserve the American
public's hard earned money. Please forward this
e-mail to any one you know and
encourage them to do the same.

Thank you!

5050. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 18:19:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p53c5$6eq$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 21194

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > What one does after the license is earned is
> > far more important.
>
> Not according to one ham I know who said it is perfectly
> acceptable to rest on his rosey extra red laurels.
> --
>

No, that's what YOU said I said, What I said is I have no more ham radio tests to take. I also said it they had came out with a Super Duper Extra Class license, I would have gotten that long ago, too. You, on the other hand, had to wait for the dumbed down Extra.

5051. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 18:28:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p53rp$89f$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 21195

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On 27 Sep 2001, Dick Carroll wrote:
> >"D. Stussy" wrote:
> >> >The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and General
> >> >used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was
> >> >split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).
> >>
> >> Note that the date is the date that the EXAMINATION was taken by. Some
> >> pre-March 1987 candidates didn't receive their actual license until about June
> >> 1987. They will also have the credit, even though their LICENSE was issued
> >> after the magic March date.
> >>
> >
> > And how would they go about proving when they took the test? I'm sure
> >there are no old FCC test records remaining. It was common in the 50's
> >and 60's for the FCC to take up to two monts to process a license test,
> >but that was not always the case. Usually it was 4 to 6 weeks. The exact
> >date of a test would be difficult if not impossible to prove.
>
> If their licenses were issued before the date, they obviously took the test
> before that date. That should be obvious.
>

Duh!

> The only concern is for those who took the test before a cutoff date, but the
> license is issued after that date. Generally, it is known that there was a
> licensing delay following most cutoffs, and therefore, the date where the FIRST
> licensee who took the examination AFTER the cutoff is the limit date whereby
> the date on the license can presume a certain test element.

I do believe that was my pont. So how does one go about "proving" that
date at this MUCH later time? The date appearing on the license has
always been the effectivee date. I know that the FCC cuts no slack on
dates. If one lets his CSCE go just a single day beyond the 365 day
limit it is void and he must retest that element. I was questioning how
one goes about proving to the FCC that they should allow *any* time
previous to the date on the license he is using as his proof. I have
never seen or heard of a case where they ever did allow it.

For our most
> recent change, that license cutoff would be April 19, 2000 - because that's
> when the first licenses under our recent restructuring were issued. Therefore,
> one could look at an extra class license issued April 18, 2000 and know that

> the holder had passed 20wpm (even though issued after April 15th) because no
> post-April 14th licenses had been processed until the next day. There are
> similar dates for 1987 and 1991, and these dates are known and have been
> published (but seemingly ignored by most except for a few VE's).

I never saw any indication the the FCC would ever allow any date prior
to that appearing on the license document.

5052. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 18:42:35 UTC
From: ----
Message-ID: <vCot7.1556$0K6.1261@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 21197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

```
good luck using a 706 for sat work. its prob the worst rig you could use for
that. You should use the right tools for the right job.
"Scott" <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote in message
news:3BB5CE70.39063DA1@bloomer.net...
> Careful! Some of us like 430 Sideband, especially for satellite work :)
>
> Scott
> N0EDV
>
>
> ---- wrote:
> >
> > the 706 is a multi mode multi band rig. So its not just a 6 meter rig. I
> > think he is looking for just a 6. But If i was going to buy something it
> > prob would be the 706MKII with dsp good deals great rig. Who the hell
needs
> > 440.
> > "Craig Davidson" <nospam@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> > news:4EPs7.21554$Hx1.2040153@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > The Icom 706 is the best 6 meter rig available.
> > >
> > > It has Subaudible tone encode and decode built in
> > >
> > > Also, I found out that the hustler mobile antenna resonates exactly in
the
> > > middle of the 6 meter band with no coil installed.
> > >
> > > Have fun.
> > >
> > >
> > > David J. Moge wrote in message ...
> > > >OK,
> > > >
> > > >Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
> > > >
> > > >Thanks
> > > >n1rzb
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
```

> > >
>
> --
> Scott
> http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
> Building RV-4
> Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

5053. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 18:45:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p54rk$89f$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 21196
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>By all means, Dick, feel free to become just as evil as the bad guys.
> >>
> > It evidently hasn't occurred to you that they may force just that.
>
> Why wait, Dick? Just go join the terrorists now.

Cecil, you may be a genius, but on any practical level you are a
complete nincompoop.

5054. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 18:52:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p558m$89f$2@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 21198

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > If that statement represents truth it is no surprised that you're so
> > screwed up.
>
> If I'm screwed up, Dick, so is the entire field of symbolic logic.
> From "Introduction to Logic", If p then q, where p is false and q
> us false, results in a true statement. Sorry about your ignorance.

Uh-huh. And you never twist things around to fit your illicit
purposes.
Anyone knows the statement "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a
prostitute." in not automatically a true statement, and any source that
says it is is plainly flawed. That is, everyone but you knows it.

5055. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 19:04:45 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB61B4D.917501F0@psu.edu>
Source record: 21199

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BB4E6CE.78FB2828@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
> > What a mean spirited post.
>
> Oh? How is news of two very much radio-related corporations
> donating funds and equipment to a stricken area "mean?"

You originally wrote:

> The following is an excerpt from the R.F. Design
> Magazine e-mailer to subscribers on 24 September 2001.
> It isn't intended to prove anything other than a great
> number of people other than amateurs have contributed
> to rescue and rebuilding in the areas hit by the
> Attack on America.

What is the purpose of this? I saw nothing in the news from the moment this tragedy happened that mentioned Hams, with the exception of ARRL bulletins. Lots of news about all the other volunteers.

What I'm trying to say is that yes, we know that hams were not the only people to volunteer. Hams were only one part of many Americans who rose to the occasion.

The entire text of my reply to your original post, minus the quote was:

What a mean spirited post. Of course there are others who have volunteered. I'm very sorry that you feel the need to say such things.

from which *you* managed to extrapolate:

> I'm puzzled that you show "disgust" at such "odious"
> mention of volunteerism by anyone not a ham in a
> disaster situation. Now you go back and mumble the
> sacred mantras of "CW gets through when nothing
> else will" and "the cellphones all fail during a disaster."
> Will that make you happy?

This is pretty interesting. There are four items in quote here:

"disgust", "odius" and "CW gets through when nothing else will" and "the cellphones all fail during a disaster".

Just in case you didn't catch what I said in my post reply to your original post, I wrote:

What a mean spirited post. Of course there are others who have volunteered. I'm very sorry that you feel the need to say such things.

And then:

> Oh, and "RF Design" magazine in a trade publication.
> Search for it on the Internet. That will get you a web
> address where you can complain directly to them
> about posting such "mean spirited" news.

Just in case you didn't catch what I said in my post reply to your original post, I wrote:

What a mean spirited post. Of course there are others who have volunteered. I'm very sorry that you feel the need to say such things.

Note that I mentioned your post, not the excerpt from R.F. Design I think it is a wonderful thing that they did.

However, that you would choose to use such a news story that makes note of Americans helping other Americans through a crisis, and try to turn it into part of whatever crusade you have in this group made my jaw drop!

And if you feel compelled to manufacture quotes to advance whatever you are trying to argue with people, it is clear that there isn't much point in discussing the matter with you, as it looks you will make up whatever is needed to advance your cause. I don't really care that much about CW, except that it is a mode, and I needed to learn it to get my license. I've only used it a little, preferring the digital modes of hamdom. So your so called quotes of me are not even how I feel, much less anything I have ever written or said.

And just so everyone, including you knows, I don't even feel disgust with you. Mostly just sadness.

- mike -

5056. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 19:50:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb628c3_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21200
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Just a thought:

We ask our military personnel to take the risk of dying for our country--under fire presumably. And we hold them high as heroes.

Some people are willing to die for their country without compromise to their belief that peaceful resistance (or none at all) may be an alternative? And we belittle them?

A terrorist's "job" is easy whether fought by might or not. A terrorist doesn't think of the consequences of actions--and, indeed, actually welcomes your battle. It gives him/her higher position in the "afterlife." A terrorist doesn't care if confronted with the wrong side of a barrel or a peace sign.

Any way in which we individually or collectively choose to fight terrorism is dandy in my book. When at death's door it is not between anyone but oneself and God (whomever your God may be).

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9p51j9$s3a$0@208.207.71.163...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Bill Nelson wrote:
> > >>Me, I am not so insecure that it bothers me when someone speaks in a
> > >>language that I do not understand.
> > >
> > > Before this has played out, you may have changed somewhat.
> >
> > By all means, Dick, feel free to become just as evil as the bad guys.
>
>
> It evidently hasn't occurred to you that they may force just that. If
> you think jawboning an Islamic terrorist will help to change his mind,
> you have just made his job very much easier.

5057. Re: Starbucks and the NYC disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 20:17:27 UTC
From: alice@kramden.moon
Message-ID: <r%pt7.213$H15.48734@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 21301

That's only 55 cents a bottle. Have you seen what they charge for coffee?

In article <3BB5FD53.9D9660E9@mailcity.com>, radiobuff@mailcity.com was of the opinion...
>
>This is on the www.snopes.com website, a site that debunks urban
>legends, but this is reported as actually happening. The next time
>you want a cup of coffee at Starbucks, you might think of this.
>
>**********
>My family owns an ambulance service in Brooklyn NY. Midwood
>Ambulance if
> anyone knows it. Anyway, my uncles were at "Ground
>Zero" during the attack to
> help the victims. They donated their time to help
>with this crisis as many New
> Yorkers did. A great deal of people were in shock
>from the devastation. As many of
> you know, shock victims are supposed to drink a
>lot of water. My uncle went to the
> Starbucks down the street to get bottles of water
>for the victims he was treating.
> Can you believe they actually charged him for it!!
>He paid the $130 for 3 cases of
> bottled water out of his own pocket. Now, I would
>think that in a crisis such as this,
> vendors in the area would be more than happy to
>lend a little help by donating water.
> Well, not Starbucks! As if this country hasn't
>given them enough money! Anyway,
> the point of this story wasn't to glorify my
>uncle's actions but to suggest a boycott on
> Starbucks. Now, I love Frappaccinos as much as
>anyone, but any company that
> would try to make a profit off of a crisis like
>this doesn't deserve the American
> public's hard earned money. Please forward this
>e-mail to any one you know and
> encourage them to do the same.
>
> Thank you!

5058. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 20:20:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929162024.07427.00001509@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21302

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: mjc5 mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 9/29/01 3:04 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB61B4D.917501F0@psu.edu>

>What is the purpose of this? I saw nothing in the news from the moment
>this tragedy happened that mentioned Hams, with the exception of ARRL
>bulletins. Lots of news about all the other volunteers.

Mike, you have to understand His Ugliness. Any time Amateur Radio is employed in the manner in which Part 97 or the ARRL suggest it should, HE has to find some reason to make light of it or suggest taht "hams" are somehow over-glorifying what's going on.

In most cases, you won't find a whole lot of "glad-handing" in the press of Amateur Radio. This is, in most cases, due to the fact that we are more interested in DOING what's necessary to support the agencies we serve.

Lennie can't stand that. He is an ugly, mean and vile person. Period.

>What I'm trying to say is that yes, we know that hams were not the only
>people to volunteer. Hams were only one part of many Americans who rose
>to the occasion.

He knows that, Mike. No one in this or any other forum is suggesting anthing of the sort (that we were the only one's involved) But he has to MAKE people think otherwise.

He thinks the more often he repeats his lies and vileness that it becomes true.

He's wrong.

>from which *you* managed to extrapolate:
>
>> I'm puzzled that you show "disgust" at such "odious"
>> mention of volunteerism by anyone not a ham in a
>> disaster situation. Now you go back and mumble the
>> sacred mantras of "CW gets through when nothing
>> else will" and "the cellphones all fail during a disaster."
>> Will that make you happy?
>
>This is pretty interesting. There are four items in quote here:
>
>disgust", "odius" and "CW gets through when nothing else will" and
>"the cellphones all fail during a disaster".

Yes, it is interesting how His Putziness manages to interject adjectives and statements that weren't used in the first place.

He really is a creep, Mike. An ugly, disgusting creep.

Perhaps this may make you understand why I refuse to allow his posts to go unchallenged, even aggressively so.

>And just so everyone, including you knows, I don't even feel disgust
>with you. Mostly just sadness.

I'm disgusted. With the bastards who did the deed and with Lennie the Liar for trying to elevate himself on thier coat tails. One is only slighly less disgusting than the other.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5059. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 21:35:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21303
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
and you're dead.

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Some people are willing to die for their country without compromise to their
> belief that peaceful resistance (or none at all) may be an alternative? And
> we belittle them?
>

5060. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:11:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929191105.24932.00001091@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21304

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: JJ radiobuff@mailcity.com
>Date: 9/29/01 5:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>

>There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
>the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists.

Here, here!

73

Steve, K4YZ

5061. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:22:55 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jJst7.16362$3d2.1392192@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21305

I posted:

>>Later, I think it was when the Reagan administration
>>came in and throttled back the regulatory zeal of
>>government agencies (including the FCC), the onerous
>>licensing requirements for repeaters were lifted and
>>interference issues passed on to the coordination groups.

Jim, N2EY, responded:

> I think it came about before Reagan. (I can look it up
> if you're interested). The ARRL had a bit to do with it.

I was writing from memory. It was a few years ago. The
point was that they were burdensome, unnecessary requirements.
My recollection was that the mood in the country had
swung away from the "OSHA is here to protect you from
yourself" viewpoint.

--

>>Although this scaling back in licensing requirements
>>was universally recognized as a 'good thing' for the
>>ARS, there was an awful lot of grumbling from the
>>repeater owners about their accomplishments in getting
>>repeater licenses being squandered and nullified.

> I don't remember that at all. The main complaint I
> remember was the loss of the special "WR" calls and
> the problems of frequency coordination.

Perhaps I should have said "some grumbling". The guys
I talked to did feel the FCC made them waste a lot of
their time making the applications.

--

>>I was left with the distinct impression that, had
>>there not been an overwhelming consensus against
>>the regulations, many of the repeater licensees
>>would have preferred to keep them in place so that
>>their (far fewer than now) machines would stand as
>>monuments to their hard work and perseverance.

> I'm sure that was true in some areas. Not around
> here (Philly) though.

Probably an overgeneralization on my part -- should
have said "some" rather than "many". And, I have to
confess, it was my reading somewhat between the lines
of what they actually said (tone of voice, posture,
etc.) that led to my particular take on their feelings.

--

>> .....Licenses are just a necessary
>>evil to reassure the Government that some kind of
>>order will be preserved on the airwaves. The sense
>>of self-worth that is sought ultimately must be
>>derived from one's own life experiences and self-
>>knowledge.

> Good point! I would state it a little differently,
> though. As I see it, the license is only one "data
> point". What one does after the license is earned is
> far more important.

My economic-fundamentalist-jihad view of any interaction
with government, especially obtaining licenses, is that
it is essentially wasteful and meaningless. It siphons
off resources that could be better used to expand the
economy and enrich us all. So I regard a license not
as something to be 'earned' but rather something to screw
the government out of. How I feel about pursuing my radio
activities is my own private business -- strictly inside my
own mind. 1/2 a :-)

--

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5062. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:24:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB65835.3070801@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21306

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Your "solution" to the problem is the CBization of the ARS.

You misspelled "modernization". I simply agree with the FCC.
> You're the least qualified person around to be telling newecomers
> anything about it.
Been licensed longer than you, Dick, 50 years this year.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5063. Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:32:02 UTC
From: public@e.mail
Message-ID: <3bb65941$0$11770$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 21308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out with.
I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
left over.

I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This way
they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk all
the repeaters in the area.

I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.

Elmer

"One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.

5064. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:35:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB65AC8.4020508@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21307

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>What one does after the license is earned is
>>>far more important.
>>>
>>Not according to one ham I know who said it is perfectly
>>acceptable to rest on his rosey extra red laurels.

> No, that's what YOU said I said, ...

No, that's what you said: Here is the exact interchange:
I said: A ham ticket is not a license to rest on your rosey
red laurals and stop learning.
You said: "The hell it isn't."
I couldn't believe you meant that so I asked you again:
By your quoted response to my statement, you said a ham ticket
is a license to stop learning.
You responded:
"Yep. I meant it."
It's there for all to see on Google/dejanews.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5065. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:44:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB65CC3.7040001@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21309

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Anyone knows the statement "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a
> prostitute." in not automatically a true statement, and any source that
> says it is is plainly flawed.

Because Jim is not a female, the 'if' part of the statement is
obviously false. If the 'if' part of an if-then statement is
false, the entire statement is true no matter what the 'then'
part of the statement says. This is a very basic rule of logic
which you will find repeated in every textbook on logic. So you
are saying that every logic textbook is flawed. Your gross
ignorance is showing again.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5066. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:46:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB65D6B.8070504@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21310
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil, you may be a genius, but on any practical level you are a
> complete nincompoop.

If this is a struggle between good and evil and you are
"forced" to do evil, why wait to choose sides?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5067. Re: Starbucks and the NYC disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-29 23:50:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB65E36.4020008@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21311

JJ wrote:

> My uncle went to the
> Starbucks down the street to get bottles of water
> for the victims he was treating.
> Can you believe they actually charged him for it!!
> He paid the $130 for 3 cases of
> bottled water out of his own pocket.

Starbucks returned the money. Which is worse, charging for water
or telling half-truths?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5068. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 00:11:39 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BB66327.5F139D62@home.com>
Source record: 21312

I have more than the average knowledge of Texas license plates, having been a ham and a plate collector for most of my life. Texas Radio Operator is a single type of plate, 6 x 12 inches, which can be assigned to automobiles or trucks. Motorcycles can not be issued a radio plate. Options there are regular private plates, dealer, personalized, and exempt. As for multiple vehicles, the computer is set up to page between a max of three vehicles with the same call. As for me, I have two Camrys with my call. It can confuse a rookie who doesn't know to page the computer.
Gary
AB5RM

CAM wrote:

> Followup on a thread from a few days ago. Here's what the Texas
> license plate form says: "Must regularly operate radio equipment
> that is both a receiving and transmitting set *in* the vehicle
> described on the first page."
>
> Motorcycles give the *in* part a problem. There is no *in* to
> a motorcycle. Wonder if *on* would be sufficient?
>
> Also: "The applicant may obtain radio operator plates for more
> than one passenger or light commercial motor vehicle titled in
> the applicant's name, that is equipped with mobile amateur radio
> equipment." "Equipped" may also give my motorcycle a problem. It
> is not my motorcycle, per se, but I, the operator, who is equipped
> with an HT. Have any other Texans succeeded in getting ham plates
> for his/her motorcycle?
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5069. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 00:58:13 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109300056490.1287-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21313

On 29 Sep 2001, Dick Carroll wrote:
>"D. Stussy" wrote:
>> On 27 Sep 2001, Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >"D. Stussy" wrote:
>> >> >The date in question is March 21, 1987. Before that date, the Tech and General
>> >> >used exactly the same written (old Element 3). After that date, the test was
>> >> >split into two parts: Tech (old 3A) and General (3B).
>> >>
>> >> Note that the date is the date that the EXAMINATION was taken by. Some
>> >> pre-March 1987 candidates didn't receive their actual license until about June
>> >> 1987. They will also have the credit, even though their LICENSE was issued
>> >> after the magic March date.
>> >>
>> >
>> > And how would they go about proving when they took the test? I'm sure
>> >there are no old FCC test records remaining. It was common in the 50's
>> >and 60's for the FCC to take up to two monts to process a license test,
>> >but that was not always the case. Usually it was 4 to 6 weeks. The exact
>> >date of a test would be difficult if not impossible to prove.
>>
>> If their licenses were issued before the date, they obviously took the test
>> before that date. That should be obvious.
>
>Duh!
>
>> The only concern is for those who took the test before a cutoff date, but the
>> license is issued after that date. Generally, it is known that there was a
>> licensing delay following most cutoffs, and therefore, the date where the FIRST
>> licensee who took the examination AFTER the cutoff is the limit date whereby
>> the date on the license can presume a certain test element.
>
>I do believe that was my pont. So how does one go about "proving" that
>date at this MUCH later time? The date appearing on the license has
>always been the effectivee date. I know that the FCC cuts no slack on
>dates. If one lets his CSCE go just a single day beyond the 365 day
>limit it is void and he must retest that element. I was questioning how
>one goes about proving to the FCC that they should allow *any* time
>previous to the date on the license he is using as his proof. I have
>never seen or heard of a case where they ever did allow it.
>
> For our most
>> recent change, that license cutoff would be April 19, 2000 - because that's
>> when the first licenses under our recent restructuring were issued. Therefore,
>> one could look at an extra class license issued April 18, 2000 and know that

>> the holder had passed 20wpm (even though issued after April 15th) because no
>> post-April 14th licenses had been processed until the next day. There are
>> similar dates for 1987 and 1991, and these dates are known and have been
>> published (but seemingly ignored by most except for a few VE's).
>
> I never saw any indication the the FCC would ever allow any date prior
>to that appearing on the license document.

Time to re-read the restructuring order.... Did it say those who TOOK THE TEST before those dates or those who had a license issued before those dates...? Technically, those who took the test were examined on that element that was eliminated, even if their license was issued after the date. Did the FCC forget about this case (and screw those people over)?

5070. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 01:20:29 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xrut7.16569$3d2.1403590@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21314

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:jJst7.16362$3d2.1392192@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I posted:
>
> >>Later, I think it was when the Reagan administration
> >>came in and throttled back the regulatory zeal of
> >>government agencies (including the FCC), the onerous
> >>licensing requirements for repeaters were lifted and
> >>interference issues passed on to the coordination groups.
>
> Jim, N2EY, responded:
>
> > I think it came about before Reagan. (I can look it up
> > if you're interested). The ARRL had a bit to do with it.
>
> I was writing from memory. It was a few years ago. The
> point was that they were burdensome, unnecessary requirements.
> My recollection was that the mood in the country had
> swung away from the "OSHA is here to protect you from
> yourself" viewpoint.
>
> --
>
> >>Although this scaling back in licensing requirements
> >>was universally recognized as a 'good thing' for the
> >>ARS, there was an awful lot of grumbling from the
> >>repeater owners about their accomplishments in getting
> >>repeater licenses being squandered and nullified.
>
> > I don't remember that at all. The main complaint I
> > remember was the loss of the special "WR" calls and
> > the problems of frequency coordination.
>
> Perhaps I should have said "some grumbling". The guys
> I talked to did feel the FCC made them waste a lot of
> their time making the applications.
>
> --
>
> >>I was left with the distinct impression that, had
> >>there not been an overwhelming consensus against
> >>the regulations, many of the repeater licensees
> >>would have preferred to keep them in place so that
> >>their (far fewer than now) machines would stand as

> >>monuments to their hard work and perseverance.
>
> > I'm sure that was true in some areas. Not around
> > here (Philly) though.
>
> Probably an overgeneralization on my part -- should
> have said "some" rather than "many". And, I have to
> confess, it was my reading somewhat between the lines
> of what they actually said (tone of voice, posture,
> etc.) that led to my particular take on their feelings.
>
> --
>
> >> .....Licenses are just a necessary
> >>evil to reassure the Government that some kind of
> >>order will be preserved on the airwaves. The sense
> >>of self-worth that is sought ultimately must be
> >>derived from one's own life experiences and self-
> >>knowledge.
>
> > Good point! I would state it a little differently,
> > though. As I see it, the license is only one "data
> > point". What one does after the license is earned is
> > far more important.
>
> My economic-fundamentalist-jihad view of any interaction
> with government, especially obtaining licenses, is that
> it is essentially wasteful and meaningless. It siphons
> off resources that could be better used to expand the
> economy and enrich us all. So I regard a license not
> as something to be 'earned' but rather something to screw
> the government out of. How I feel about pursuing my radio
> activities is my own private business -- strictly inside my
> own mind. 1/2 a :-)
>
> --
>
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>
>

The Commission had a member about that time who routinely objected to the agenda on the grounds that most of it shouldn't be before the Commission at all. Such things as call sign changes for broadcast stations, etc. There was also a Senator who was firmly pissed about the FCCs refusal to censor broadcast television. When the budget got cut, a lot of strange requirements went out the window.

Dick NBK

5071. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:19:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Divt7.16655$3d2.1409450@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Another piece of cross-posted crap from some yo-yo who thinks his gems deserve an audience but won't claim authorship.

"Elmer" <public@e.mail> wrote in message
news:3bb65941$0$11770$45beb828@newscene.com...
>
> I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
with.
> I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
> For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
> restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
> left over.
>
> I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This
way
> they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk
all
> the repeaters in the area.
>
> I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
> continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
>
> Elmer
>
> --
> "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
> cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
> Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.

5072. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:46:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929224602.12618.00000857@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21317

In article <20010929082838.25616.00001161@mb-cr.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>Remember the Opel Kadett and the Subaru 360?
>
> My best friend's first car was a 3 cylinder "ring-diding" called a
>"DKW"...I forget who made it, but it was fun trying to get it up to 50 MPH...

Ever seen or driven a Fiat "Panda?" I rented one once while stationed in the UK, 850 cc and were notoriously slow, severely limited in top speed. They're all over, and at the time comprised much of the vehicle fleet of the London Metro Police Force, used as local patrol cars. They also had "Area Cars" which were primarily 2-liter Ford Sierras, used for quick response, since the Pandas couldn't go much over 60 MPH even with your foot stuck thru the floor!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5073. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:46:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929224603.12618.00000858@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21318

In article <20010929080245.17640.00000224@nso-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>The popularity of manual transmissions continues, even though almost all
>>cars
>>>are available with automatics.
>>
>>Agreed!
>>
>>And the popularity continues even though not a single
>>driver is mandated by licensing requirements to ever learn
>>how to use a manual gearbox.

I obtained my CDL by taking my road test on a 30' transit bus equipped with an automatic transmission. In fact, there isn't a stick shift in the entire fleet of the transit property where I am employed. The same is certainly not true for trucks, however, and I'm sure anyone going for a Class A CDL (mine's only a Class B with Passenger and Air Brake Endorsements) must demonstrate the ability to sling gears. Auto transmissions are available for "big rig" trucks now, but don't seem to be gaining much widespread popularity, yet. I have yet to actually see a truck that has one, except for single-axle straight rigs used for local deliveries.

>For a passenger car license, that's probably true. CDLs are another issue.
>But
>two points are clear:
>
>1) The wide availability of automatic transmissions in cars and light trucks,
>and the lack of a test requirement for manual transmissions have not caused
>manual transmissions to disappear from those vehicles.
>
>2) A driver with a certain skill set who has not learned to use a manual
>transmission is not as "qualified" as one with the same skill set plus manual
>transmission skill. But the states do not see a need to mandate or document
>that qualification, except in special cases.

We must keep in mind that driver's licensing and Amateur Radio licensing are not the same thing. Admittedly, a requirement to know how to use a manual transmission does not really make sense these days, considering the ubiquity of automatic transmissions. However, when licensing Amateur Radio operators, people who are expected, if not required, to be able to provide basic backup communications under severe and austere conditions, it makes sense to require them to have a functional skill level in the Morse/CW mode. When you're

trading the effort required to accrue this skill for full amateur HF privileges, it's a very small price to pay, indeed!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5074. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:46:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929224603.12618.00000859@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21320

In article <20010928080308.02205.00000172@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>
>>That's one that starts out "Out in the West Texas town of El Paso..."
>
>Yep.
>>
>>(Say what you will, but I like Barry Manilow's "Copacobanna" the best.)
>>
>Over such neuron-wasting abominations as "Muskrat Love", "Wreck Of The Edmund
>Fitzgerald", "Billy Jean" ('the chair is not my son'), "In The Year 2525",
>and
>"Timothy"? You gotta be kidding!

My personal favorite song is "Mac Arthur Park," as sung by
Richard Harris. I just love the instrumental part, I wish it would
go on for 20 minutes! Finally found it on CD about two years ago.
The lyrics are quite amusing, actually. I dubbed it on a cassette
along with "American Pie" by Don Mc Lean and "Your Wildest
Dreams" by the Moody Blues, with a bunch of Beatles tunes
filling out the rest of the tape. One of my best "driving" tapes!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5075. Re: Starbucks and the NYC disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:46:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929224604.12618.00000860@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21319

In article <3BB65E36.4020008@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>> My uncle went to the
>> Starbucks down the street to get bottles of water
>> for the victims he was treating.
>> Can you believe they actually charged him for it!!
>> He paid the $130 for 3 cases of
>> bottled water out of his own pocket.
>
>Starbucks returned the money. Which is worse, charging for water
>or telling half-truths?
>--
>cheers, CAM, W5DXP

Cecil:

In fairness, the decision to charge for the H2O was probably made
by a subordinate store worker who didn't have the authority to give
it away, and didn't realize that doing so would be a particularly good
idea under the circumstances! I don't think that Starbucks deserves
to be judged in a negative light under these circumstances.

73 de Larry, K3LT

5076. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:46:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929224605.12618.00000861@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21321

In article <3bb5c6db_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>Sounds like excuses to me! Nope, Larry. Not interested. I, personally,
>don't think I'd find a conversation with you in any mode all that much more
>than a yawn. I'm referring to all the other CW skeds I've noticed getting
>set up, then not made for one reason or another.

Kim:

OK, suit yourself, but if you're too lazy to learn CW at 5 WPM, why
don't you just say so?

>Wow, "~25 W. power to an indoor dipole." I wouldn't think that would be a
>concern at all for CW with anyone, anywhere using a practical, efficient
>mode of operation.

It isn't -- which is why I use it!

>Gosh, I talk all 'round the world on my 25W Radio Shack
>10M radio through a Wilson 1000 antenna. Maybe you should try voice.

Maybe I will, someday. I'm not prejudiced against voice modes, just
the things that happen there each and every single time I try to use
them! I don't have the same problems on CW and digital modes. How
do you explain that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

5077. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 02:46:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010929224605.12618.00000862@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21322

In article <e8mt7.15539$3d2.1348697@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>I work from sunup to sundown Mon-Fri, and most of Saturday, but any
>> Sunday should be OK. If you're serious about this, let me know.
>> I will send at whatever speed you need; just let me know!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Ain't doing transportation fun?? I'm off today but have a six-hour church
>run (a racket BTW) carting a Baptist Sunday School. On both ends is a run
>for a temp help outfit we affectionately call Rent-a-Wino. What you get for
>being Reliable Jones: 5:15 AM check-ins.

Dick:

Yep, I do the 0500 check-in bit all the time; but because I'm a particularly
low-class animal on the Amalgamated Transit Union food chain called
a "List Driver," I also get to work 12 to 14 hour days most of the week along
with the early starts. At my company's quarterly Safety Meeting next
week, I intend to ask to have the virtues of fatigued, burned out Paratransit
operators explained to me. Obviously, the Union and the Company must
see some virtue there that a lot of us low-seniority drivers do not, since
they agreed to place us in this position! All the overtime makes for nice
fat paychecks, but all work and no play makes Larry a dull boy, and I'm
getting duller by the minute! (Stop it, Cecil!)

>As I cannot run 20, and probably would not if I could, the odds of our
>meeting are about nil.

Hmmm. Well, sorry to hear that. Mind letting me in on your prejudice
against 20 meters?

73 de Larry, K3LT
Paratransit Operator,
Delaware Transit Corporation
(a.k.a. DART First State)
Member, ATA Local 842

5078. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 03:31:58 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3BB6922E.68817E19@cableone.net>
Source record: 21315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: radioranch.vcf

Waaaaahhhhhhhhh!!!

Elmer wrote:

> I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out with.
> I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
> For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
> restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
> left over.
>
> I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This way
> they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk all
> the repeaters in the area.
>
> I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
> continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
>
> Elmer
>
> --
> "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
> cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
> Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5079. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:02:04 UTC
From: Dan <dan@dialoguefamily.com>
Message-ID: <0Pwt7.62360$L%5.41332483@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 21323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Are we gonna start this crap again ol' Elmer Fuddy-duddy?

"Elmer" <public@e.mail> wrote in message
news:3bb65941$0$11770$45beb828@newscene.com...
>
> I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
with.
> I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
> For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
> restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
> left over.
>
> I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This
way
> they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk
all
> the repeaters in the area.
>
> I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
> continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
>
> Elmer
>
> --
> "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
> cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
> Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.

5080. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:03:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930000304.05130.00003685@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21329

In article <jJst7.16362$3d2.1392192@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I posted:
>
>>>Later, I think it was when the Reagan administration
>>>came in and throttled back the regulatory zeal of
>>>government agencies (including the FCC), the onerous
>>>licensing requirements for repeaters were lifted and
>>>interference issues passed on to the coordination groups.
>
>Jim, N2EY, responded:
>
>> I think it came about before Reagan. (I can look it up
>> if you're interested). The ARRL had a bit to do with it.
>
>I was writing from memory. It was a few years ago. The
>point was that they were burdensome, unnecessary requirements.

They sure were! And no other service had to meet those requirements.

>My recollection was that the mood in the country had
>swung away from the "OSHA is here to protect you from
>yourself" viewpoint.

The role of government in people's lives goies through a fashion cycle, like many other things. When things are perceived to be going good, people want less government. When things are perceived to be going bad, they want more. And of course the government is often out-of-phase with people's wants.

>>>Although this scaling back in licensing requirements
>>>was universally recognized as a 'good thing' for the
>>>ARS, there was an awful lot of grumbling from the
>>>repeater owners about their accomplishments in getting
>>>repeater licenses being squandered and nullified.
>
>> I don't remember that at all. The main complaint I
>> remember was the loss of the special "WR" calls and
>> the problems of frequency coordination.
>
>Perhaps I should have said "some grumbling". The guys
>I talked to did feel the FCC made them waste a lot of
>their time making the applications.
>
THAT I remember! HAAT calculations, complete station descriptions,

reapplication for almost any modification, all sorts of junk. One got the
distinct impression that the FCC did not want hams to put repeaters on the air.
>
>>>I was left with the distinct impression that, had
>>>there not been an overwhelming consensus against
>>>the regulations, many of the repeater licensees
>>>would have preferred to keep them in place so that
>>>their (far fewer than now) machines would stand as
>>>monuments to their hard work and perseverance.
>
>> I'm sure that was true in some areas. Not around
>> here (Philly) though.
>
>Probably an overgeneralization on my part -- should
>have said "some" rather than "many". And, I have to
>confess, it was my reading somewhat between the lines
>of what they actually said (tone of voice, posture,
>etc.) that led to my particular take on their feelings.
>
Quite possible that the folks you knew really did feel that way.
>
>>> .....Licenses are just a necessary
>>>evil to reassure the Government that some kind of
>>>order will be preserved on the airwaves. The sense
>>>of self-worth that is sought ultimately must be
>>>derived from one's own life experiences and self-
>>>knowledge.
>
>> Good point! I would state it a little differently,
>> though. As I see it, the license is only one "data
>> point". What one does after the license is earned is
>> far more important.
>
>My economic-fundamentalist-jihad view of any interaction
>with government, especially obtaining licenses, is that
>it is essentially wasteful and meaningless. It siphons
>off resources that could be better used to expand the
>economy and enrich us all. So I regard a license not
>as something to be 'earned' but rather something to screw
>the government out of. How I feel about pursuing my radio
>activities is my own private business -- strictly inside my
>own mind. 1/2 a :-)

Well, I wouldn't go THAT far!

But you can see the "fashion cycle" in FCC regs. In the '50s they made the regs
simple, like having 3 effective classes of license (Novice, Tech, everybody
else). Then they made things all complicated and "incentivized" in the late
1960s and 1970s. Then the tide turned back towards simplicity. For example,
about 2 out of 3 US hams holds either a Techncian, General or Extra Class
license, and the proportion keeps rising.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

5081. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:03:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930000305.05130.00003686@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21326

In article <3BB65CC3.7040001@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Anyone knows the statement "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a
>> prostitute." in not automatically a true statement, and any source that
>> says it is is plainly flawed.
>
>Because Jim is not a female,

How do you know I'm not?

>the 'if' part of the statement is
>obviously false.

We'll take that "as read."

>If the 'if' part of an if-then statement is
>false, the entire statement is true no matter what the 'then'
>part of the statement says.
>This is a very basic rule of logic
>which you will find repeated in every textbook on logic.

Formal symbolic logic, that is. The idea is to make the truth tables work
right.

Some books use the terms "valid" and "nonvalid" to describe statements.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5082. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:03:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930000305.05130.00003687@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21327

In article <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
writes:

>There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
>the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
>and you're dead.

Peaceful resistance is the first step. Here are some examples of steps already
being taken:

- Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
- Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
- Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
- Increase border security
- Gather information about the terrorists and their supporters

So far, the USA and its anti-terrorist allies haven't done a thing that isn't
peaceful resistance. That could change at any time, of course. The Taliban and
others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply with
President Bush's requests.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5083. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:03:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930000306.05130.00003688@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21328

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109300056490.1287-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>Time to re-read the restructuring order.... Did it say those who TOOK THE
>TEST
>before those dates or those who had a license issued before those dates...?
>Technically, those who took the test were examined on that element that was
>eliminated, even if their license was issued after the date. Did the FCC
>forget about this case (and screw those people over)?

As I recall, March 21, 1987 was the day the test changed. my earlier post was unclear on that point.

Trouble is, unless a ham saved some proof of when the test was taken, he/she may be out of luck. Obviously if one's old license has an issue date on or before that date, the test was taken before the deadline. But coming up with proof of being tested before that date after 14+ years may be kinda tough for some hams.

Of course all it really saves a Tech Plus is having to take the 35 question General exam.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5084. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:03:14 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB69967.35111BE4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21324

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Ryan, since I'm not a black woman
> > with a fake Jamaican accent, I'm not
> > sure that number would be the best
> > choice. Any other suggestions? :-)
>
> A number incorporating the infamous
> frequencies 14.313, 147.435 and or 3950
> would be good. 800-313-3950? :-)

Psychic, not psycho, Robert. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5085. Re: Downgrading?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:04:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB699C6.CFE5444C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21325

Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray wrote:
>
> Sorry.... the only TV psychic that
> came to mind was Cleo and the letters
> fit! :)

I knew that. I know everything. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5086. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:19:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB69D26.F4D916FA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21330

Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> (snip) As far as whether or not todays
> extras are as good as years past; based
> upon my observations I hear a lot of
> poor operating and lack of technical
> expertise. What do you attribute that
> to? (snip)

The fact that you've been around long enough to start being judgmental of other people's operating practices instead of worrying about your own? Think about it. Were you this judgmental back when you first got your license?

> The lowering of standards to increase
> the numbers in ham radio is unacceptable
> to me.

But I don't agree that the "standards" have been lowered. There have been changes to the entrance exams, but that does not necessarily mean that "standards" are lowered - only changed.

> I don't feel that I am further dividing
> the ham radio community by bringing up
> an issue that needs to be discussed and
> resolved.

But your "solution" is not a discussion and it doesn't offer a resolution (the FCC isn't going to buy it).

> (snip) What have you done recently to
> clear up the division??

For one, I've tried to be a little more realistic about the problem (the division) and the solution (not increasing the division).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5087. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:24:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB69E5A.DD642E4B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21331

N2EY wrote:
>
> The problem today is only partly
> due to the tests and the way they
> are given. Other causes are things
> like the high prevalence of
> manufactured gear and the influence
> of a "right now" society.

Actually, the problem is a "in your face" society that has been convinced that it can do anything it wants (which includes ignoring the laws and society's customs). And there isn't a license exam in the world that can change those outside influences that are now affecting ham radio.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5088. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:30:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p674a$m6b$0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21332
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yep, "Peace for today, optimism for tomorrow, forget yesterday". It's
sometimes known as the Neville Chamberlain syndrome.

JJ wrote:
>
> There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
> the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
> and you're dead.
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Some people are willing to die for their country without compromise to their
> > belief that peaceful resistance (or none at all) may be an alternative? And
> > we belittle them?
> >

5089. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:35:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p67fbnip0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21333

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Your "solution" to the problem is the CBization of the ARS.
>
> You misspelled "modernization". I simply agree with the FCC.
> > You're the least qualified person around to be telling newecomers
> > anything about it.
> Been licensed longer than you, Dick, 50 years this year.

That alone means nothing.

5090. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:38:45 UTC
From: Beetle Bailey <anon@anon.tcp>
Message-ID: <9p67ig$e2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 21334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Well, ya gotta admit that the post is sadly funny and has a ring of truth to it. We have a few of those CB/Ham "newbies" in our area, too. You know who they are. Buy a Rad Shack HT and tie up the local repeaters for hours on end until their ni-cads go dead. You know them...they have no idea what simplex means. They are really befuddled when they get a UHF HT. You hear them ask. "whats the numbers to set up for the repeaters?" Of course they mean, "what is the offset?". Like that is high math to them....
They further add to this merriment when they say, "I'm gonna call AES and order me one of them kickers that gives me 30 watts out."
There are, of course, the rabble who buy used HF rigs and "open" them so they can use them on 11 meters. 10-4, Good Buddy? I heard that I did.
Oh...and I will NOT touch on the newbie females who find out how to use the auto patch enabled systems. That is another story for another day.

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Divt7.16655$3d2.1409450@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Another piece of cross-posted crap from some yo-yo who thinks his gems
> deserve an audience but won't claim authorship.
>
>
> "Elmer" <public@e.mail> wrote in message
> news:3bb65941$0$11770$45beb828@newscene.com...
> >
> > I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
> with.
> > I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> > channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs
more.
> > For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
> > restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
> > left over.
> >
> > I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This
> way
> > they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk
> all
> > the repeaters in the area.
> >
> > I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
> > continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
> >
> > Elmer
> >

> > ----------
> > "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
> > cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
> > Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.
>
>

5091. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:40:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p67n5ovt0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21335

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>What one does after the license is earned is
> >>>far more important.
> >>>
> >>Not according to one ham I know who said it is perfectly
> >>acceptable to rest on his rosey extra red laurels.
>
> > No, that's what YOU said I said, ...
>
> No, that's what you said: Here is the exact interchange:
> I said: A ham ticket is not a license to rest on your rosey
> red laurals and stop learning.
> You said: "The hell it isn't."
> I couldn't believe you meant that so I asked you again:
> By your quoted response to my statement, you said a ham ticket
> is a license to stop learning.
> You responded:
> "Yep. I meant it."
> It's there for all to see on Google/dejanews.
>

Yep, and I also challenged you to show where any licensee was ever
required to do anything whatever after his license was issued. Of course
you can't do it then or now. You always want to stir stink and that
seems to be the one skill that you have honed over your lifetime to a
fine art. What a waste of intellect. How does it feel to face your
declining years with that as your legacy?

5092. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:48:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p687o$r1c$0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21336

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> > I don't remember that at all. The main complaint I
> > remember was the loss of the special "WR" calls and
> > the problems of frequency coordination.
>
> Perhaps I should have said "some grumbling". The guys
> I talked to did feel the FCC made them waste a lot of
> their time making the applications.
>

I had a repeater in service at that time and had to go through the
silly mathematical gymnastics, too. I was pleased when someone finally
woke up and cancelled it. And I wasn't at all sorry to restore my own
callsign to it.
I never heard of anyone who lamented the demise of all that nonsense.

5093. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist (help needed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:49:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB6A43C.504185AF@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Someone decided to cross post this
> drivel to rec.radio.cb .
>
> Let's agree to donate cash or blood
> (I have) or shut up with this analogy
> (stop the obvious attempt to draw a
> reply).

I cross posted this thread because much of MY message honestly applied equally to CB radio operators and scanner users (or any other person with radio experience, at any level, that is willing to volunteer his or her time to help others). Now it's nice that you've donated cash (or blood), but not all of the world's problems can be solved by getting rid of a little pocket change. People to do the work are also needed. Now, if you don't want to do that, please feel free to ignore the "drivel."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5094. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:51:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p68cj$r1c$1@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21337

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Majority of what?
> Rational Mentally Healthy Amateur Radio Operators?

Sure leaves you out.

5095. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 04:55:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p68k2ssi0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21339

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
> writes:
>
> >There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
> >the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
> >and you're dead.
>
> Peaceful resistance is the first step. Here are some examples of steps already
> being taken:
>
> - Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
> - Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
> - Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
> - Increase border security
> - Gather information about the terrorists and their supporters

Every one of those steps is merely a small preliminary move on the big
chessboard. You forgot the full war footing deployment of massive
amounts of manpower and hardware. News accounts have SF units active in
Afganistan the past couple dfays at least.

>
> So far, the USA and its anti-terrorist allies haven't done a thing that isn't
> peaceful resistance. That could change at any time, of course.

Ah, that wouldn't be the reading of a former military guy.

The Taliban and
> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply with
> President Bush's requests.

That wouldn't end it, I am certain. Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.
By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.

5096. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 05:06:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p6989$13r$0@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 21340

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3BB65CC3.7040001@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> Anyone knows the statement "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a
> >> prostitute." in not automatically a true statement, and any source that
> >> says it is is plainly flawed.
> > >
> > >Because Jim is not a female,
> >
> > How do you know I'm not?
> >
> > >the 'if' part of the statement is
> > >obviously false.
> >
> > We'll take that "as read."
> >
> > >If the 'if' part of an if-then statement is
> > >false, the entire statement is true no matter what the 'then'
> > >part of the statement says.
> > >This is a very basic rule of logic
> > >which you will find repeated in every textbook on logic.
> >
> > Formal symbolic logic, that is. The idea is to make the truth tables work
> > right.
> >
> > Some books use the terms "valid" and "nonvalid" to describe statements.

Cecil knows that, and that, of course, is the *correct* way to describe
the above situation. But of course Cecil couldn't use it for his brand
of controversy that way...

5097. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 06:40:38 UTC
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Message-ID: <G7zt7.16470$Tv6.86264@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 21341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

In rec.radio.amateur.space Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
> I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out with.
> I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.

Well, you're a troll.

But it is interesting to wonder why the manufacturers put so many
memories into HF radios. None of the memories on my FT-847 contain
HF frequencies; only a few VHF repeaters and simplex frequencies.
I don't even know how many memories it has; probably 100. My old
IC-726 had 26 or something and that was about 24 more than I needed
then too.

73
Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

5098. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 06:51:24 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <Twistedhed-abuse@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <Mhzt7.3250$Gd6.78416@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 21342

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:qcRs7.47658$Dz6.1023605@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> But, Leland,
>
> You know that the TS50, FT100, DX70, and the 706s are about the same
> size and weight as those single banded rigs. Might be a "tad" heavier, but
> fits easili into a back pack.

I know that Jerry, but look at the cost. If all you need is a 10m rig for an IF radio you don't need all the other bands, or the high power output either. In fact most of the transverters require input power on the order of a few milliwatts. The problem with using the larger rigs is how to turn down the output power enough so as not to smoke the transverter input. Along those lines the Yaesu FT-817 has proved popular with the microwave group because of the low power output. At least that was the comments from the vendors at Dayton.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

5099. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 07:01:16 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brandad@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <9p6fvr$61v$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 21343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

I don't use the memories on my FT-847, since it's so easy to simply enter the frequency on the keypad... I do use them on my IC-706, but only as quick access to different bands, rather than spinning the tuning knob from now till Tuesday to get from 146.82 to 7.120...

<hamish@cloud.net.au> wrote in message news:G7zt7.16470$Tv6.86264@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au...
> In rec.radio.amateur.space Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
> > I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out with.
> > I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> > channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
>
> Well, you're a troll.
>
> But it is interesting to wonder why the manufacturers put so many
> memories into HF radios. None of the memories on my FT-847 contain
> HF frequencies; only a few VHF repeaters and simplex frequencies.
> I don't even know how many memories it has; probably 100. My old
> IC-726 had 26 or something and that was about 24 more than I needed
> then too.
>
>
> 73
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

5100. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 08:20:54 UTC
From: Beetle Bailey <anon@anon.tcp>
Message-ID: <9p6kjr$ru1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 21344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

<hamish@cloud.net.au> wrote in message
news:G7zt7.16470$Tv6.86264@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au...
> In rec.radio.amateur.space Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
> > I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
with.
> > I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> > channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs
more.
>
> Well, you're a troll.
>
> But it is interesting to wonder why the manufacturers put so many
> memories into HF radios. None of the memories on my FT-847 contain
> HF frequencies; only a few VHF repeaters and simplex frequencies.
> I don't even know how many memories it has; probably 100. My old
> IC-726 had 26 or something and that was about 24 more than I needed
> then too.
>
Gee..it takes no large amount of genius to program HF memories into your
FT-847. Trouble is who wants to? You can put 14.313 in memory, but all that
you will hear is a Ham version of CB. Same for 3.950. Why bother? Most Hams
are rather fluid and do not adhere to any one frequency. Of course there are
those few who "park" themselves in one area and who exclude others from
their conversations. They are easily ignored and for the most part they have
little to offer the hobby.

5101. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 09:53:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OYBt7.21809$W8.1379947@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21345

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010929224602.12618.00000857@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <20010929082838.25616.00001161@mb-cr.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >
> >>Remember the Opel Kadett and the Subaru 360?
> >
> > My best friend's first car was a 3 cylinder "ring-diding" called a
> >"DKW"...I forget who made it, but it was fun trying to get it up to 50
MPH...
>
> Ever seen or driven a Fiat "Panda?" I rented one once while stationed
> in the UK, 850 cc and were notoriously slow, severely limited in top
> speed. They're all over, and at the time comprised much of the vehicle
> fleet of the London Metro Police Force, used as local patrol cars. They
> also had "Area Cars" which were primarily 2-liter Ford Sierras, used for
quick
> response, since the Pandas couldn't go much over 60 MPH even with your
> foot stuck thru the floor!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

DKW was one of the founding companies of Auto Union along with Audi, now
Volkswagen. In the days of a 1 litre racing formula the 3 banger
two-stroker was king until two guys named Cosden and Duckworth formed
Cosworth Engineering and turned the British Ford Anglia into a real beast.
The Anglia was the world's most over-square engine (2.5 x 1.5) and was
reputed to sound like an infuriated sewing machine. Smaller versions were in
SAAB and DKW, the big one was in an Auto Union which looked like a 57 Tbird
that had gone thru a hot water car wash.

Horch was another AU company. They made the tandem (not dual) rear ends
that you see on Hogan's Heros on the General's staff car.

At various times, DKW stood for Das Kleine Wunder (the little Wonder), Die
Knaben Wunsch (a bicycle, the boy's wish) and Dampkraftwagen.

You have not lived until you own a Datsun Bluebird, a Toyota Toyopet Tiara
Mk II, or one of the first 600cc Hondas capable of 9 mph in first at 14,000
rpm.

British Ford Escorts were kind of cute. Haven't encountered a worse gearbox
since the pigeon-egg on a chromed coat hanger in the Renault Caravelle.

Dick N&#65533;BK

5102. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 09:55:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h_Bt7.21810$W8.1375472@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21346

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010929224603.12618.00000859@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <20010928080308.02205.00000172@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >
> >>That's one that starts out "Out in the West Texas town of El Paso..."
> >
> >Yep.
> >>
> >>(Say what you will, but I like Barry Manilow's "Copacobanna" the best.)
> >>
> >Over such neuron-wasting abominations as "Muskrat Love", "Wreck Of The
Edmund
> >Fitzgerald", "Billy Jean" ('the chair is not my son'), "In The Year
2525",
> >and
> >"Timothy"? You gotta be kidding!
>
> My personal favorite song is "Mac Arthur Park," as sung by
> Richard Harris. I just love the instrumental part, I wish it would
> go on for 20 minutes! Finally found it on CD about two years ago.
> The lyrics are quite amusing, actually. I dubbed it on a cassette
> along with "American Pie" by Don Mc Lean and "Your Wildest
> Dreams" by the Moody Blues, with a bunch of Beatles tunes
> filling out the rest of the tape. One of my best "driving" tapes!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Before Jim gets to you, pick up Dave Barry's Book of Bad Songs down at your
library. MacArthur Park is the number one turkey.

5103. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 10:31:02 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb6f703_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21347

I am not this way because I don't have the time or patience but, a true peace activist (and there are other "names" for it) meets death with peace.

You see, a true peace activist believes that in its purest form when there are no more lives left for a person, group, etc., to cause injury or death to, then they (the peace activists) have won, and their ideals were never compromised.

There is no such thing as peaceful resistance--*for you*. There is such thing as peaceful resistance for however many people believe in it.

Kim W5TIT

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com...
> There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
> the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
> and you're dead.
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Some people are willing to die for their country without compromise to
their
> > belief that peaceful resistance (or none at all) may be an alternative?
And
> > we belittle them?
> >

5104. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 10:40:58 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb6f957_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21348

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010929224605.12618.00000861@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <3bb5c6db_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >
> >Sounds like excuses to me! Nope, Larry. Not interested. I, personally,
> >don't think I'd find a conversation with you in any mode all that much
more
> >than a yawn. I'm referring to all the other CW skeds I've noticed
getting
> >set up, then not made for one reason or another.
>
> Kim:
>
> OK, suit yourself, but if you're too lazy to learn CW at 5 WPM, why
> don't you just say so?
>

You call it what you wish. If you believe that meeting the requirements for licensing is lazy, you go for it. I'll move on to *using* my license and having fun in ham radio.

> >Wow, "~25 W. power to an indoor dipole." I wouldn't think that would be
a
> >concern at all for CW with anyone, anywhere using a practical, efficient
> >mode of operation.
>
> It isn't -- which is why I use it!
>

I see. I thought the word "but" was a qualifier for a problem there: "I'm game if you are -- but I'm presently limited to 20-meters and ~25 W. power to an indoor dipole. However, I work TX all the time with this setup, so it should be no problem." I suppose you did say you work with TX all the time; however the end of that sentence once again sounded like a doubt.

> >Gosh, I talk all 'round the world on my 25W Radio Shack
> >10M radio through a Wilson 1000 antenna. Maybe you should try voice.
>
> Maybe I will, someday. I'm not prejudiced against voice modes, just
> the things that happen there each and every single time I try to use
> them! I don't have the same problems on CW and digital modes. How
> do you explain that?
>

> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

A change in personality may help that, Larry.

Kim W5TIT

5105. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 10:42:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb6f9aa_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21349

Yeah, and Dick's gonna proceed to tell you now just how little it means--*to him*. ;)

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9p67fbnip0@208.207.71.149...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > Your "solution" to the problem is the CBization of the ARS.
> >
> > You misspelled "modernization". I simply agree with the FCC.
> > > You're the least qualified person around to be telling newecomers
> > > anything about it.
> > Been licensed longer than you, Dick, 50 years this year.
>
>
> That alone means nothing.

5106. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 10:55:02 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb6fca8_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21350

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9p68k2ssi0@208.207.71.149...
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
> > >the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
> > >and you're dead.
> >
> > Peaceful resistance is the first step. Here are some examples of steps
already
> > being taken:
> >
> > - Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
> > - Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
> > - Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
> > - Increase border security
> > - Gather information about the terrorists and their supporters
>
> Every one of those steps is merely a small preliminary move on the big
> chessboard. You forgot the full war footing deployment of massive
> amounts of manpower and hardware. News accounts have SF units active in
> Afganistan the past couple dfays at least.
>

Wouldn't it be a hoot if it worked!?

> >
> > So far, the USA and its anti-terrorist allies haven't done a thing that
isn't
> > peaceful resistance. That could change at any time, of course.
>
> Ah, that wouldn't be the reading of a former military guy.
>

The point of "peace activism" would be that anyone could read it any way
they wished; the point would still be that peaceful measures (those that
have not required injury, death, or destruction to people) are the only ones
deployed thusfar. And, what if it actually worked?

>
> The Taliban and

> > others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply with
> > President Bush's requests.
>

Absolutely.

>
> That wouldn't end it, I am certain. Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.
> By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.
>

I am sure that many of our fine military men and women are ready and willing to defend our country. I am also sure there are many who "didn't bargain" for this when they went in and may not be as willing as you think.

The people who did the evil deed and those who support have already paid for the Sep 11 atrocity. That would, at least, be the conviction of a peace activist.

If the story of Ghandi is in any way accurate, a nation's independence was won without a shot fired. While it was different times, different "warfare", etc., it is nevertheless amazing.

I bow out now of this conversation, lest it becomes yet another long drawn out affair of "us against them" because people are out there who do believe in peaceful measures and would not choose to see a military action that involves death.

I happen to reverently respect the actions taken thusfar and believe that if sustained for the longterm, they would work and continue to work. "They" lose their ability to have influence and, more importantly, their capability to kill; and "we" get to go on enjoying life as usual.

Kim W5TIT

5107. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 11:02:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bb6fe75_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21351

I must have my "sort" set wrong. I came across this first in a response to it from Dick.

At any rate, Jim, I agree and I know it will probably go on to quite a long, drawn out conflict where additional innocent people will die. But, I think the incredible restraint our government/military has shown to date is so darned incredible that I can't help but be damned proud of them. I'll be just as proud of them for battle, but not without the added remorse of additional lives being lost.

I am out of the conversation now. Philosophies of peace vs. war always seem to lead to nothing but an us and them controversy. Isn't that interesting?

Kim W5TIT

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010930000305.05130.00003687@nso-cs.aol.com...
> In article <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
> writes:
>
> >There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
> >the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
> >and you're dead.
>
> Peaceful resistance is the first step. Here are some examples of steps
already
> being taken:
>
> - Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
> - Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
> - Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
> - Increase border security
> - Gather information about the terrorists and their supporters
>
> So far, the USA and its anti-terrorist allies haven't done a thing that
isn't
> peaceful resistance. That could change at any time, of course. The Taliban
and
> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
with
> President Bush's requests.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

5108. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 12:05:44 UTC
From: "Gary \"Joe\" Mayfield" <gary_mayfield@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sUDt7.32276$kf1.10737085@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 21352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why recommend a radio that has 100% failure rate? It's not a matter of if the PLL will go bad, but when. Kenwood goofed on the potting compound and it will eventually take the radio out. This used to be common knowledge.

73,
Joe
ka0yos@amsat.org

"Elmer" <public@e.mail> wrote in message
news:3bb65941$0$11770$45beb828@newscene.com...
>
> I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
with.
> I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
> For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
> restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
> left over.
>
> I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This
way
> they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk
all
> the repeaters in the area.
>
> I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
> continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
>
> Elmer
>
> ----------
> "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
> cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
> Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.

5109. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 13:19:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930091951.07482.00001630@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21353

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>Date: 9/30/01 5:45 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <010929191105.24932.000@bimminy.com>

>Actually, the expression is "hear, hear."

"DILLIGAFF"...?!?!

5110. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 13:28:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930092843.07482.00001631@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21354

>Subject: How many hams does it take?
>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>Date: 9/30/01 5:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <078255.07571208@bimminy.com>

>Q. How many hams does it take to screw in a lightbulb?

I knew it. Lennie is "mugging" behind another fake name. If not him,
it's a lonely gay ham-hater trying to score points with His Limpiness to get
some geriatric time under his belt.

Another Anonymous Coward.

5111. Re: Best Six Meter RIG ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 13:38:03 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3BB7203B.53A1FB96@bloomer.net>
Source record: 21355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,uk.radio.am

Well, I'm a Kenwood guy anyways ;)

Scott
N0EDV

---- wrote:
>
> good luck using a 706 for sat work. its prob the worst rig you could use for
> that. You should use the right tools for the right job.
> "Scott" <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote in message
> news:3BB5CE70.39063DA1@bloomer.net...
> > Careful! Some of us like 430 Sideband, especially for satellite work :)
> >
> > Scott
> > N0EDV
> >
> >
> > ---- wrote:
> > >
> > > the 706 is a multi mode multi band rig. So its not just a 6 meter rig. I
> > > think he is looking for just a 6. But If i was going to buy something it
> > > prob would be the 706MKII with dsp good deals great rig. Who the hell
> needs
> > > 440.
> > > "Craig Davidson" <nospam@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> > > news:4EPs7.21554$Hx1.2040153@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > The Icom 706 is the best 6 meter rig available.
> > > >
> > > > It has Subaudible tone encode and decode built in
> > > >
> > > > Also, I found out that the hustler mobile antenna resonates exactly in
> the
> > > > middle of the 6 meter band with no coil installed.
> > > >
> > > > Have fun.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > David J. Moge wrote in message ...
> > > > >OK,
> > > > >
> > > > >Any and all comments, what is the best all purpose six meter rig
> > > > >

> > > > >Thanks
> > > > >n1rzb
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > --
> > Scott
> > http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
> > Building RV-4
> > Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

--
Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

5112. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:17:11 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72967.4080407@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21356

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>Because Jim is not a female,
>
> How do you know I'm not?

Well, I can't know for sure. Would you like to
bet me $1000 that you are a female?

> The idea is to make the truth tables work right.

Exactly - I'm impressed. "No matter what type of
implication is asserted by a conditional statement,
part of its meaning is the negation of the conjunction
of its antecedent with the negation of its consequent."
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5113. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:19:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB729E5.2030708@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21357

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil knows that, and that, of course, is the *correct* way to describe
> the above situation.

The correct way is to follow the rules and I followed them.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5114. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:23:12 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72AD0.9030401@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21358

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> N2EY wrote:
>> The Taliban and
>>others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply with
>>President Bush's requests.
>
> That wouldn't end it, I am certain. Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.
> By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.
Turning all the terrorists over to the US wouldn't end it?

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5115. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:27:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72BD7.2000904@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21359

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Maybe I will, someday. I'm not prejudiced against voice modes, just
> the things that happen there each and every single time I try to use
> them! I don't have the same problems on CW and digital modes. How
> do you explain that?
Maybe if you would stop calling, "CQ all you SSB a$$holes", you

wouldn't have that problem. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5116. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:32:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72CF9.1050600@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21360

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> You're the least qualified person around to be telling newecomers
>>>anything about it.
>>>
>>Been licensed longer than you, Dick, 50 years this year.

> That alone means nothing.

Your Extra ticket alone also means nothing. It's hard for
you to have your cake and eat it too.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5117. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:36:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72DE8.4060703@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21361

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> Yeah, and Dick's gonna proceed to tell you now just how little it means--*to
> him*. ;)

Dick doesn't seem to realize that for every qualification he
presents, I can come up with a no-code-test advocate who is
more qualified than he.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5118. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:39:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72E96.40103@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21362

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I also challenged you to show where any licensee was ever
> required to do anything whatever after his license was issued.

I rest my case. You obviously haven't learned anything since
your license was issued. That's your whole problem. You think
it's still 1960 (or whatever).
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5119. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:42:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72F3A.8050806@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21363

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I had a repeater in service at that time and had to go through the
> silly mathematical gymnastics, too. I was pleased when someone finally
> woke up and cancelled it.

Too bad you don't have the same attitude toward the silly Morse code
gymnastics requirement.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5120. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:45:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB72FF5.1040000@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21364

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> My personal favorite song is "Mac Arthur Park," ...

Mine is, "The Free Mexican Airforce". :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5121. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 14:49:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB730F6.2070703@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21365

Gary Danaher wrote:

> Motorcycles can not be issued a radio plate.
> Options there are regular private plates, dealer, personalized, and
> exempt.

So if I have a 'W5DXP' radio license plate for my pickup, can I
also get a personalized 'W5DXP' license plate for my motorcycle?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5122. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 15:13:50 UTC
From: Rick Wilcox <rwilcox3@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OEGt7.251929$aZ.47216683@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 21366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gee, I wonder how long my TS-440 SAT has until it turns into a
pumpkin?? Had it now for about 10 years and so far so good! There goes that
100% rating huh. Oh well, maybe I got the 1 in a million so that won't screw
up the record will it? And as far as those restructured hams go, I believe
if we all look back a little in time we will see that even we started out
with a 4 channel (or 23 or maybe even a 40 channel depending on our age)
cb that stimulated our interest enough to further our radio experiences. "It
must be lonely at the top" looking down at all those peasants.
"Porky".. "Miss Piggy's Favorite Bacon Maker down here in the
Sand Pile"! 73 there "Good Buddy" and I'm back quiet. Hi hi.

5123. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 15:30:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BB72BF0.C9409825@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21367

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> The point of "peace activism" would be that anyone could read it any way
> they wished; the point would still be that peaceful measures (those that
> have not required injury, death, or destruction to people) are the only ones
> deployed thusfar. And, what if it actually worked?

> I happen to reverently respect the actions taken thusfar and believe that if
> sustained for the longterm, they would work and continue to work. "They"
> lose their ability to have influence and, more importantly, their capability
> to kill; and "we" get to go on enjoying life as usual.

What do you mean 'if it actually worked'? Worked to find bin
Laden? well it might in that respect, but just capturing or
killing bin Laden will not stop the terrorists. These radical
fanatics (Taliban) only want one thing and that is to rid the
world of all non Muslims and particularly Americans. You will
never stop these people with peaceful means, as much as we might
wish it could be so, to think that is just wishful thinking.

5124. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 15:40:32 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BB73CDB.412DCE84@home.com>
Source record: 21368

You'd better call the guys on Jackson Street in Austin on that one. I'd guess that a personalized plate can not be issued which duplicates an existing number, and since it has been issued to you in the form of a radio operator plate, then it could not be issued as a personalized. Only radio operator plates can be issued to multiple vehicles, not personalized. Radio operator is not an accepted type for motorcycles.

CAM wrote:

> Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> > Motorcycles can not be issued a radio plate.
> > Options there are regular private plates, dealer, personalized, and
> > exempt.
>
> So if I have a 'W5DXP' radio license plate for my pickup, can I
> also get a personalized 'W5DXP' license plate for my motorcycle?
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5125. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 16:04:41 UTC
From: Dan <dan@dialoguefamily.com>
Message-ID: <toHt7.64843$L%5.42228162@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 21369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

<hamish@cloud.net.au> wrote in message
news:G7zt7.16470$Tv6.86264@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au...
> In rec.radio.amateur.space Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
> > I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
with.
> > I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> > channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs
more.
>
> Well, you're a troll.
>
> But it is interesting to wonder why the manufacturers put so many
> memories into HF radios. None of the memories on my FT-847 contain
> HF frequencies; only a few VHF repeaters and simplex frequencies.
> I don't even know how many memories it has; probably 100. My old
> IC-726 had 26 or something and that was about 24 more than I needed
> then too.
>

My IC736 has around 100 memories, I think. I can't remember for sure because
I don't use them for HF. Too easy to enter the freqs on the keypad.

On the 706MKIIG I had in the truck, that was another story. Used it on 70cm
all the time and had my favorite repeaters programmed in.

My new FT817 only has ONE memory channel programmed and it too is a UHF
repeater.

Ol' Elmer Fuddy-duddy must be his name because if he was a TRUE Elmer, he'd
be reassuring NEW or RESTRUCTURED(if you will) hams and teaching them proper
operating techniques and all of the fun aspects that Amateur Radio has to
offer.

73 Dan
N7SLC

5126. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 16:12:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930121225.07922.00004175@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21370

In article <3BB72967.4080407@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>>Because Jim is not a female,
>>
>> How do you know I'm not?
>
>Well, I can't know for sure. Would you like to
>bet me $1000 that you are a female?

My various personalities are discussing your offer. Ever see the TV show
"Herman's Head"?

If your bet is accepted, one of the terms is that independent verification must
be performed by Bailey Quarters or an equivalent substitute. What constitutes
"an equivalent substitute" and "independent verification" is up to me
alone.....
>
>> The idea is to make the truth tables work right.
>
>Exactly - I'm impressed.

By what?

>"No matter what type of
>implication is asserted by a conditional statement,
>part of its meaning is the negation of the conjunction
>of its antecedent with the negation of its consequent."
>--
Of course. You wanna discuss the difference between the converse and the
contrapositive next?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5127. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 16:13:26 UTC
From: AB7RS <ab7rs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <treh5ldl8fd4e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I was wondering the same thing only based on the fact that the 440's keypad fails and is no longer available.AES told me it is the only rig they will not take on a trade.

"Gary "Joe" Mayfield" <gary_mayfield@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:sUDt7.32276$kf1.10737085@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com...
> Why recommend a radio that has 100% failure rate? It's not a matter of if
> the PLL will go bad, but when. Kenwood goofed on the potting compound and
> it will eventually take the radio out. This used to be common knowledge.
>
> 73,
> Joe
> ka0yos@amsat.org
>
>
> "Elmer" <public@e.mail> wrote in message
> news:3bb65941$0$11770$45beb828@newscene.com...
> >
> > I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
> with.
> > I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> > channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs
more.
> > For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
> > restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
> > left over.
> >
> > I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This
> way
> > they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk
> all
> > the repeaters in the area.
> >
> > I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
> > continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
> >
> > Elmer
> >
> > ----------
> > "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
> > cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
> > Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.
>

>

5128. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 16:20:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930122021.15502.00001709@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21372

>Subject: Re: Vehicle Licenses
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 9/30/01 10:49 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB730F6.2070703@IEEE.org>

>So if I have a 'W5DXP' radio license plate for my pickup, can I
>also get a personalized 'W5DXP' license plate for my motorcycle?

You can in Tennessee. They will add a suffix number, though, and it won't
be on an "Emergency" tag like your auto....."W5DXP1"

73

Steve, K4YZ

5129. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 16:39:00 UTC
From: Will Sill <will@epix.net>
Message-ID: <bfiert0ggm8kc8g4ultqpta98h9n6hs8al@4ax.com>
Source record: 21373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Rick Wilcox" <rwilcox3@cfl.rr.com> recently wrote these words:

> Gee, I wonder how long my TS-440 SAT has until it turns into a
>pumpkin?? Had it now for about 10 years and so far so good! There goes that
>100% rating huh. Oh well, maybe I got the 1 in a million so that won't screw
>up the record will it?

Me too - had two of them for 10 years. Good radios.

Will KD3XR

5130. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 17:08:43 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
Message-ID: <9p7jcf$p86$1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 21374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

We have a variation of that here...

The newbie who shows up as fresh meat to the local ham club
is bedazzled and all revved up in the belief that ham radio is an
institution, and that all hams adhere to a chivalrous code of ethics
and brotherhood. Then the big wheel club icons get them to
buy the most expensive radios they can afford and finally screw
around with them until they sell their radios at ten cents on the
dollar to this snickering bunch of club craphounds, or simply
resign themselves to shut-up and show up at the meetings and
pay their dues like the rest of the captives who show up regularly.

What these poor newbies never find out, is that it doesn't have
to be that way. The local club meeting may only account for
2% of the local ham population because the rest don't have the
inclination to play the games of The CLUB and worship their
kingdom.

These "others" are more often better rounded and may actually
have jobs and family, and may even spend 3 out of 4 weekends
on family outings, and very probably spend more time on radio
projects or operations on their own because they enjoy the real
ham radio hobby more than club politics and pecking orders and
in general have transcended the brainwashing and NEED for
congregating at the muddy water hole.

If you want to congregate (and there are good reasons to do so)
be aware of your surroundings and keep your wits as you enjoy
the company of (some) others. Don't be like the teenage girl who
steps off the bus in the big city for the first time, right into the
arms of her new PIMP Daddy!

```
:
: I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out with.
: I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
: channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs more.
: For example, the Kenwood TS440 has 100 memory channels, so a new
: restructured ham can store all 40 CB channels and still have 60 memories
: left over.
:
: I also recommend handie-talkies for VHF/UHF to restructured hams. This way
: they don't need to be near a power source whenever they was to kerchunk all
: the repeaters in the area.
:
: I'm proud to be able to do my part in supporting the ARRL in their
```

: continuing efforts to dumb down ham radio and turn it into CB.
:
: Elmer
:
: --
: "One day ham license's will be the free surprise in Cracker Jack and
: cereal boxes... if we work at it hard enough."
: Minutes, April 2000 ARRL BOD meeting.

5131. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 17:25:30 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
Message-ID: <9p7kbtpqf1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 21375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On the other hand, whose idea was it to integrate cartoon characters
into an originally normal, regular mature hobby?

I still think recruiting die hard CB'ers for Ham Radio, is like trying to
save a street hooker by marrying her... Doomed to disaster!

Why bother with a membership to the country club, if all you want to
do is pee on the green.

"Rick Wilcox" <rwilcox3@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:OEGt7.251929$aZ.47216683@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
: Gee, I wonder how long my TS-440 SAT has until it turns into a
: pumpkin?? Had it now for about 10 years and so far so good! There goes that
: 100% rating huh. Oh well, maybe I got the 1 in a million so that won't screw
: up the record will it? And as far as those restructured hams go, I believe
: if we all look back a little in time we will see that even we started out
: with a 4 channel (or 23 or maybe even a 40 channel depending on our age)
: cb that stimulated our interest enough to further our radio experiences. "It
: must be lonely at the top" looking down at all those peasants.
: "Porky".. "Miss Piggy's Favorite Bacon Maker down here in the
: Sand Pile"! 73 there "Good Buddy" and I'm back quiet. Hi hi.
:
:

5132. ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 17:59:12 UTC
From: LA Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked every day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back up now and hope to remain that way.

The following BREAKING NEWS is available at:
http://www.HalTurnerShow.com

U.S. ISSUES "DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY" FOR. . . . .
MAD COW DISEASE
Whoa. Where did THIS come from? First the Trade Center and Pentagon Attacks,
next, unbelievable beefed-up security with National Guard activation nationwide, then
police checkpoints at major traffic points. Once all that "control" is in place, we get
a government decree about slaughtering the food supply?????

ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????
In Peshawar, a fundamentalist stronghold barely 30 miles from the Afghanistan border,
a crowd of at least 5,000 surged through the old city, roaring "Death to America".

TALIBAN'S AFGHANISTAN DESCENDS INTO CHAOS
Troops fleeing, civilians on the move en masse

48 HOURS?
British newspapers say US, Britain and . . . . .Russia will strike Bin Laden very soon

RUSSIAN TANKS TARGET TALIBAN: Russian tanks are poised tonight to roll into Afghanistan.
NEW JERSEY VANITY LICENSE PLATES CAUSE TROUBLE FOR
MR. ABDALA
His License Plates read "OSAMA"
Get a load of this. . .

MEXICAN STREET VENDORS RAKING-IN THE MONEY SELLING
"BIN LADEN IS MY HERE" T-SHIRTS!
Our "friendly" neighbor to the south shows its true colors. More proof we should
kick these filthy third-world savages out of our country and send them back to the cesspool called Mexico

5133. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 18:25:52 UTC
From: Bill Russell <willy@global2000.net>
Message-ID: <7poertsro154u0jh00uvb7ab2mku79lli5@4ax.com>
Source record: 21377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 30 Sep 2001 10:25:30 -0700, "JB" <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
wrote:

>On the other hand, whose idea was it to integrate cartoon characters
>into an originally normal, regular mature hobby?
>
>I still think recruiting die hard CB'ers for Ham Radio, is like trying to
>save a street hooker by marrying her... Doomed to disaster!

Hmmmmmm.............its sorta like mixing horse shi- and ice
cream.....leave the horse shi- on 27megs.....

>
>Why bother with a membership to the country club, if all you want to
>do is pee on the green.
>
>"Rick Wilcox" <rwilcox3@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:OEGt7.251929$aZ.47216683@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
>: Gee, I wonder how long my TS-440 SAT has until it turns into a
>: pumpkin?? Had it now for about 10 years and so far so good! There goes that
>: 100% rating huh. Oh well, maybe I got the 1 in a million so that won't screw
>: up the record will it? And as far as those restructured hams go, I believe
>: if we all look back a little in time we will see that even we started out
>: with a 4 channel (or 23 or maybe even a 40 channel depending on our age)
>: cb that stimulated our interest enough to further our radio experiences. "It
>: must be lonely at the top" looking down at all those peasants.
>: "Porky".. "Miss Piggy's Favorite Bacon Maker down here in the
>: Sand Pile"! 73 there "Good Buddy" and I'm back quiet. Hi hi.
>:
>:

5134. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 18:27:45 UTC
From: Bill- <"My email address isnt working"@bill.com>
Message-ID: <3BB76421.6F7FB22C@bill.com>
Source record: 21378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

LA Davies wrote:
>
> Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked every
> day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back up now and
> hope to remain that way.

'WE' who, Lloyd?

5135. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 18:50:51 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns912C9724675C5Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 21379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill- <"My email address isnt working"@bill.com> wrote in
news:3BB76421.6F7FB22C@bill.com:

> LA Davies wrote:
>>
>> Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked
>> every day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back
>> up now and hope to remain that way.
>
> 'WE' who, Lloyd?
>
>

Is Lloyd now an 'official' Howie groupie? or is the we coming from the fact
you drop to your knees on command and suck Hals cock on command?

Why would ANYONE want to attack that racist pile of shit website.. hmmmmm ?
:>

5136. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 19:21:09 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109301918210.2609-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21380

On 30 Sep 2001, N2EY wrote:
>In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109300056490.1287-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
>Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>>Time to re-read the restructuring order.... Did it say those who TOOK THE
>>TEST
>>before those dates or those who had a license issued before those dates...?
>>Technically, those who took the test were examined on that element that was
>>eliminated, even if their license was issued after the date. Did the FCC
>>forget about this case (and screw those people over)?
>
>As I recall, March 21, 1987 was the day the test changed. my earlier post was
>unclear on that point.
>
>Trouble is, unless a ham saved some proof of when the test was taken, he/she
>may be out of luck. Obviously if one's old license has an issue date on or
>before that date, the test was taken before the deadline. But coming up with
>proof of being tested before that date after 14+ years may be kinda tough for
>some hams.

It may be tough, but they should have kept the documentation as part of their
"station records." There may not be any current requirement to keep a contact
log (historically required), but there's more to station records than contact
logs and the current license.

>Of course all it really saves a Tech Plus is having to take the 35 question
>General exam.

... Which may be a convenience especially since the person must still pay the
test/processing fee. Many study for the extra class element and take a shot at
it along with their "guarenteed" upgrade.

5137. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 19:21:57 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BB819DE.155A310A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

One of the conveniences of having 100 memories is the ability to choose
a memory channel number that is a mnemonic for the frequency. Thus I
have used the following on my HF Transceiver
66, 77, 82, 88 corresponds to most listened to broadcast stations
66 = 660 Mets games, 77 = 770 Yankees, 82 = 820 NPR, 88 = 880 All news
station
5, 10, 15 are WWV at 5, 10 or 15 MHx
6 = 28,885 6 meter DX coordination
I have a few other stored but as you see most are not for use for
transmitting.
73
John

Dan wrote:
>
> <hamish@cloud.net.au> wrote in message
> news:G7zt7.16470$Tv6.86264@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au...
> > In rec.radio.amateur.space Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
> > > I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
> with.
> > > I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
> > > channels because I've found restructured hams appreciate these rigs
> more.
> >
> > Well, you're a troll.
> >
> > But it is interesting to wonder why the manufacturers put so many
> > memories into HF radios. None of the memories on my FT-847 contain
> > HF frequencies; only a few VHF repeaters and simplex frequencies.
> > I don't even know how many memories it has; probably 100. My old
> > IC-726 had 26 or something and that was about 24 more than I needed
> > then too.
> >
>
> My IC736 has around 100 memories, I think. I can't remember for sure because
> I don't use them for HF. Too easy to enter the freqs on the keypad.
>
> On the 706MKIIG I had in the truck, that was another story. Used it on 70cm
> all the time and had my favorite repeaters programmed in.
>
> My new FT817 only has ONE memory channel programmed and it too is a UHF
> repeater.
>

> Ol' Elmer Fuddy-duddy must be his name because if he was a TRUE Elmer, he'd
> be reassuring NEW or RESTRUCTURED(if you will) hams and teaching them proper
> operating techniques and all of the fun aspects that Amateur Radio has to
> offer.
>
> 73 Dan
> N7SLC

5138. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 19:24:29 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109301922150.2609-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21382

On Sun, 30 Sep 2001, CAM wrote:
>Gary Danaher wrote:
>> Motorcycles can not be issued a radio plate.
>> Options there are regular private plates, dealer, personalized, and
>> exempt.
>
>So if I have a 'W5DXP' radio license plate for my pickup, can I
>also get a personalized 'W5DXP' license plate for my motorcycle?

Some states - supposedly "yes."
Other states - no.

As an example of a "no" state, California forbids it because although the two combinations will appear differently in the DMV computer network, there is a requirement that stamped plates may not have the same printed combination of numbers and/or letters as any previously issued plate.

5139. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 19:28:29 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@powersurfr.com>
Message-ID: <3BB7725D.E72E0FDD@powersurfr.com>
Source record: 21383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd is hearing those mysterious voices again. Maybe we could take up a collection and buy him a Stair-master to keep him happy? Or maybe some Lou Rawls records and some thorazine?

Get a grip, Lloyd. And, no, I didn't mean Hal Turner's ass!

John Barnard

Bill- wrote:

> LA Davies wrote:
> >
> > Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked every
> > day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back up now and
> > hope to remain that way.
>
> 'WE' who, Lloyd?

5140. NSA Employment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 19:38:52 UTC
From: Victor Hanley <vhanley31@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PqKt7.985$oL3.25281@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 21384

NSA gearing up for War on Terrorism. Former
FCC employees with a prior background in Military
SIGINT who attained NSA Certified Professional
status gained during a military career, may be eligible
for several newly created positions at NSA. Former
FCC experience alone does not qualify one for these
positions. NSA Certified Professional status is a
requirement. The ideal candidate will have a background
with both NSA and FCC. Those hired will have
moving expenses paid.

www.nsa.gov/programs/employ/index.html

5141. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 19:50:19 UTC
From: Larry <lharn40200@aol.com>
Message-ID: <%HKt7.6326$cp1.777659@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"LA Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked every
> day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back up now and
> hope to remain that way.
>
> ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????
> In Peshawar, a fundamentalist stronghold barely 30 miles from the
> Afghanistan border,
> a crowd of at least 5,000 surged through the old city, roaring "Death to
> America".

Mr. Davies:

Radical elements in Islam twisted the words of the Koran for their own ends.
The Jews have radical elements within their midst who do the same with the Talmud.
Christians used the Bible Scriptures to justify The Inqusition which gave the
world the Dark Ages.
The Japanese cut-and-pasted their beliefs in WW-II to make the Emperor
into a living god, giving the world things like the Battan Death March and the
slaughter of hundreds of thousands of Mongolians. in the late 30's
In Germany, Hitler created a whole new religion under National Socialism
and the guiding "principles" of Himmler's SS and the Anneherbe Colleges.
In America the principal of "Manifest Destiny" in the 1800's was used as
justification for the genocide of Native Americans.
In Puritian America in the late 1600's, people were burned alive at the
stake for such acts as having black cats, charting the movements of
the planets or stars in the night sky, or having (now known as) mental illness.
The Balkans region has a history of so many instances of psudo-religious
pogoms, that it would take an hour to list them all in typeset.

I'm sure I missed some other examples. But you get the idea I hope....

Suffice it to say, when people cut-and-paste the documents of a religious
belief (and I mean ANY belief at all) to make a people or race or
culture the representation all that is of "bad" in the world, you will see the human
person then commit acts of atrocities that knows no bounds whatsoever
as the same it now justified as doing an act of "good" or "righteousness".

Sept 11, 2001 at the WTC was nothing new - it was just the latest chapter
in human history's ongoing sorry track record of behavor. It's not the end
either. History teaches us that.

(I hope you understood the preceeding Mr. Davies)

5142. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 20:53:10 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WCLt7.22651$W8.1434999@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

A good point. As far as recent history, look no further than the
Protestants and Catholics in Ireland. Amazing what people will do in the
name of religion. Unfortunately you are also very correct about this simply
being the latest and not the last.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Larry" <lharn40200@aol.com> wrote in message
news:%HKt7.6326$cp1.777659@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "LA Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked
every
> > day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back up now
and
> > hope to remain that way.
> >
> > ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????
> > In Peshawar, a fundamentalist stronghold barely 30 miles from the
> > Afghanistan border,
> > a crowd of at least 5,000 surged through the old city, roaring "Death to
> > America".
>
> Mr. Davies:
>
> Radical elements in Islam twisted the words of the Koran for their own
ends.
> The Jews have radical elements within their midst who do the same with the
Talmud.
> Christians used the Bible Scriptures to justify The Inqusition which gave
the
> world the Dark Ages.
> The Japanese cut-and-pasted their beliefs in WW-II to make the Emperor
> into a living god, giving the world things like the Battan Death March and
the
> slaughter of hundreds of thousands of Mongolians. in the late 30's
> In Germany, Hitler created a whole new religion under National Socialism
> and the guiding "principles" of Himmler's SS and the Anneherbe Colleges.
> In America the principal of "Manifest Destiny" in the 1800's was used as
> justification for the genocide of Native Americans.
> In Puritian America in the late 1600's, people were burned alive at the
> stake for such acts as having black cats, charting the movements of

> the planets or stars in the night sky, or having (now known as) mental
illness.
> The Balkans region has a history of so many instances of psudo-religious
> pogoms, that it would take an hour to list them all in typeset.
>
> I'm sure I missed some other examples. But you get the idea I hope....
>
> Suffice it to say, when people cut-and-paste the documents of a religious
> belief (and I mean ANY belief at all) to make a people or race or
> culture the representation all that is of "bad" in the world, you will see
the human
> person then commit acts of atrocities that knows no bounds whatsoever
> as the same it now justified as doing an act of "good" or "righteousness".
>
> Sept 11, 2001 at the WTC was nothing new - it was just the latest chapter
> in human history's ongoing sorry track record of behavor. It's not the end
> either. History teaches us that.
>
> (I hope you understood the preceeding Mr. Davies)
>
>

5143. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 22:08:53 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VJMt7.22700$W8.1442404@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21387

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010930121225.07922.00004175@nso-cr.aol.com...
> In article <3BB72967.4080407@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >>>Because Jim is not a female,
> >>
> >> How do you know I'm not?
> >
> >Well, I can't know for sure. Would you like to
> >bet me $1000 that you are a female?
>
> My various personalities are discussing your offer. Ever see the TV show
> "Herman's Head"?
>
> If your bet is accepted, one of the terms is that independent verification
must
> be performed by Bailey Quarters or an equivalent substitute. What
constitutes
> "an equivalent substitute" and "independent verification" is up to me
> alone.....
> >
> >> The idea is to make the truth tables work right.
> >
> >Exactly - I'm impressed.
>
> By what?
>
> >"No matter what type of
> >implication is asserted by a conditional statement,
> >part of its meaning is the negation of the conjunction
> >of its antecedent with the negation of its consequent."
> >--
> Of course. You wanna discuss the difference between the converse and the
> contrapositive next?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

A distinction Engine Charlie Wilson wished he had made when he said, "What
is good for the country is good for General Motors and vice-versa".

5144. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-09-30 23:19:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930191954.28996.00001726@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21388

>Subject: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????
>From: "LA Davies" lloyd_vfp@msn.com
>Date: 9/30/01 1:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>

>From: "LA Davies" lloyd_vfp@msn.com

You're still alive?

>ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION???

Yes, pretty much. Thier extremists call thier arguments "jihads"...we
called ours "The Crusades"...

>TALIBAN'S AFGHANISTAN DESCENDS INTO CHAOS

Afghanistan has pretty much been in chaos for 500 years. That's why thier
people are so dirt poor and easily manipulated by scumbags like Bin Laden and
the Taliban.

5145. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 00:03:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930200304.17640.00000265@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21389

In article <3BB69E5A.DD642E4B@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> The problem today is only partly
>> due to the tests and the way they
>> are given. Other causes are things
>> like the high prevalence of
>> manufactured gear and the influence
>> of a "right now" society.
>
>
> Actually, the problem is a "in your face" society that has been
>convinced that it can do anything it wants (which includes ignoring
>the laws and society's customs).

Dwight,

BRAVO!!!!! Give Mr. Stewart a ceegar!!!

You have hit the nail right on the head. That's the problem I was trying (and
failing) to describe. I would only change one thing - replace the word
"society" with "attitude" - but that is just a minor detail.

"The problem is an "in your face" society that has been convinced that it can
do anything it wants (which includes ignoring the laws and society's customs)."

THANK YOU!!

>And there isn't a license exam in the
>world that can change those outside influences that are now affecting
>ham radio.
>
I think license tests can have an effect, but they cannot do the whole job. No
test can guarantee that all who pass it will follow the rules.

Thanks again for expressing so clearly what I was trying to say.

Welcome back.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5146. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 01:12:25 UTC
From: fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com
Message-ID: <9p8ftp$2f6b$4@news.fsr.net>
Source record: 21390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>,
lloyd_vfp@msn.com says...
>
>Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked
every
>day, causing the site to crash several times a day. We're back up now
and
>hope to remain that way.

I wonder...

Is this REALLY DAvies, or is someone trying to blacken
his already none too sterling reputation here? Even
for this this seems...

dumb.

FW

5147. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 01:55:06 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9p8idqoor1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 21391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
>I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out with.
>I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory

I always recommend that they build a CW rig from scratch. A couple
dozen components can be assembled into a QRP transmitter that will
teach them quite a bit about building and applying some of the theory
they studied, and will enable them to communicate with stations
half way around the globe. There is no greater thrill than having
QSOs on equipment you've build yourself.

73, Jeff KH6O

Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System

5148. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 02:19:45 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010930221945.29777.00001485@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 21392

>I always recommend that they build a CW rig from scratch. A couple
>dozen components can be assembled into a QRP transmitter that will
>teach them quite a bit about building and applying some of the theory
>they studied, and will enable them to communicate with stations
>half way around the globe. There is no greater thrill than having
>QSOs on equipment you've build yourself.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>
>Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
> U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
>

Jeff,

This sounds way cool. Thanks for the idea.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

5149. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 02:48:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p8lid$i1u$0@208.207.71.177>
Source record: 21393

JJ wrote:
>

> What do you mean 'if it actually worked'? Worked to find bin
> Laden? well it might in that respect, but just capturing or
> killing bin Laden will not stop the terrorists. These radical
> fanatics (Taliban) only want one thing and that is to rid the
> world of all non Muslims and particularly Americans. You will
> never stop these people with peaceful means, as much as we might
> wish it could be so, to think that is just wishful thinking.

It's far worse, it's self-delusion.

5150. Re: How Many Hams Does It Take

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 02:59:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9p8m76jqp0@208.207.71.177>
Source record: 21394

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Anyone knows the statement "Jim, if you were a female, you would be a
> > prostitute." in not automatically a true statement, and any source that
> > says it is is plainly flawed.
>
> Because Jim is not a female, the 'if' part of the statement is
> obviously false. If the 'if' part of an if-then statement is
> false, the entire statement is true no matter what the 'then'
> part of the statement says. This is a very basic rule of logic
> which you will find repeated in every textbook on logic. So you
> are saying that every logic textbook is flawed. Your gross
> ignorance is showing again.

Of course, it's not the logic that's flawee, but, as always, your
application of it.

5151. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 03:39:49 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3BB7E585.933C6A81@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 21395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Larry wrote:
> Radical elements in Islam twisted the words of the Koran for their own ends.

I think this may be a misconception of the liberal-Christian mindset.

Sura 9 of the Qur'an declares the antagonism of Islam to all other religions. All but Muslims are excluded from Mecca and the rites of pilgrimage. Idolaters are threatened with slaughter and slavery. War is declared against Jews and Christians until they are humbled and pay tribute. This aura is called "the crusade chapter", and in the early campaigns was often read on the field before battle.

In matters political, Islam is a system of despotism at home and aggression abroad. The Qur'an teaches that a religious war is a sacred duty whenever there is a chance of success against the "Infidel". It further teaches that while the damnation of all infidels will be hopeless and eternal, Muslims, who, through holding the true religion, have been guilty of heinous sins, will, after expiating their crimes, be delivered from hell to Paradise, where the joys and glories are fantastic and sensual -- where each of the faithful is assigned seventy-two wives of the resplendent and ravishing girls of Paradise solely for enjoyment. (No similar thing for female Muslims on arriving in Paradise, I'm afraid.)

At home, religion and the state are not separated in Islam. Hence Islamic
jurisprudence, civil and criminal, is mainly based on the Qur'an and on the
"Traditions". Thousands of judicial decisions are attributed to Mohammed and
incorporated in the various collections of Hadith. The rights of non-Muslim subjects are of the vaguest and most limited kind. Although murder, manslaughter, and suicide are explicitly forbidden, blood revenge is allowed. False evidence is allowed to hide a Muslim's crime and to save his reputation or life. Slave-mistresses and concubines are permitted in any number. In case of unfaithfulness, the wife's evidence, either in her own defense or against her husband, is not admitted, while that of the husband invariably is. The evidence of two women, if admitted, is sometimes allowed to be worth that of one man. The man is allowed to repudiate his wife on the slightest pretext,

but the woman is not permitted even to separate herself from her husband unless it be for ill-usage, want of proper maintenance, or neglect of conjugal duty; and even then she generally loses her dowry, when she does not if divorced by her husband, unless she has been guilty of immodesty or notorious disobedience. In matters of inheritance, generally speaking, males are entitled to twice as much as females.

--
Michael Moore

5152. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 04:03:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001000309.26366.00000224@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21396

In article <20010927140919.17003.00000215@nso-fk.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <9osv9o$a1$0@208.206.142.105>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>writes:
>
>> Congratulations, Jim!

For what?

>>You accomplished that which no one else has been
>>able to do- You beat The Geezer at his own game using his own tools!

Not at all. What I did has been done many times before, by myself and others.
>
>You'd like to think so, Dickie, but he's only living out his
>fantasies in here...as you are.

You are projecting your own feelings and motivations onto others again, LHA.
"Living out my fantasies"? Nonsense.

>Now stay with this message since you might learn something.

From whom?
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <20010924130920.02524.00000165@nso-bj.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>> >>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>> >>
>>> >>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>> >>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>>> >>
>>> >>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed
>never
>>> >>to use it again, either.
>>> >
>>> >There is proof of this?
>>>
>>> Yep ;-)
>
(N2EY)

>made a funny of sorts. It would be funnier if he
>knew what he was talking about.
>
I know what I'm talking about, LHA.

>>> > An "official" poll? :-)
>>>
>>> Not a poll. ;-)
>
>Only a single pill, not a poll.

Talking about yourself, again. ;-)
>
>>> >>Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the
>Smith
>>> >>chart.
>>> >
>>> >Oh? What "programs" are those? Please list a few.
>>>
>>> There are so many that any list I would post would be deficient.
>
(N2EY)

>is deficient anyway.

I am "deficient"? In what way?

> Suggestion: See what "eeSoft" has.

If you know the answer, why ask the question?
>
>>> >The Smith Chart is a visual aid and an approximation insofar
>>> >as numerical accuracy is concerned.
>>>
>>> We know, Len.
>
(N2EY)

>may have read about it once in QEX. Perhaps that is
>the "mastery" he claims.

Nope. You are mistaken, LHA.

I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30 years
ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the ARRL
Antenna Book.
>
>>> >A Smith Chart does NOT "solve" things by itself.
>>>
>>> It's a tool. Like a slide rule, abacus, lightning calculator, adding
>>> machine, or a buggywhip.
>
>The comparison made by

(N2EY)

>is noted...but it is
>still in use by professionals.

So?

It is clear that one of LHA's "prime directives" is that what professionals do and use is THE criteria to be applied to what amateurs do. In other words, if the pros use something, it's good, if they don't, it's bad. What amateurs do is of no consequence to LHA.

> If
(N2EY)

>were really a
>professional engaged in radio design he would not be so
>depreciating.

What does it matter WHAT I do professionally, LHA?

You are using the classic "ad hominem" attack, LHA. You attack the person because you cannot attack the message.

>But then, few seem to know what

(N2EY)

>does other than spend hours on the Internet.

"Hours on the Internet"? Not me. You're projecting again.
>
>He hasn't stated his company's name.

I don't own a company.

>(those who feel good about what they do for a living are seldom hesitant about
>naming their company)

I feel very good about what I do professionally. But it has no bearing on amateur radio policy. The only person here whose professional activities have any bearing on amateur radio policy is Phil Kane, K2ASP.
>
>He hasn't made any technical comments on radio subjects
>other than amateur radio history or an electronic T-R switch
>supposed to be "featured" in the "Electric Radio" fanzine.
>"Electric Radio" is for vacuum tube radio fans.

There are many mistakes in the above sentence, LHA. Stay with this message and learn something.

I have made many "technical comments" in this and other newsgroups. All there in google. Normally, antenna and transmision line discussions are held in rec.radio.amateur.antennas, for example.

Here in rrap, I have had several technical discussions. For example, there was one about the necessary bandwidth of OOK Morse vs. Baudot or ASCII FSK at the

same speed. Also discussions about the compatibility of spread spectrum with "narrowband" modes on the amateur HF bands.

I have NOT discussed an electronic T-R switch at all.

The homebrew station I used in 1995, and a related story, was described in an article published in Electric Radio in that year. I've had other articles published in amateur radio publications, too. The station described is only one of several that I have designed and built.

Electric Radio is a publication devoted to several subjects of interest to hams. Among them are amateur radio history, AM, military radio, vacuum tubes, restoration of old equipment, and related technical subjects. It is not a "fanzine".
>
>>> (snip of rant about Smith Chart's wonderful properties)
>
> <snip of

(N2EY)

> trying to be funny...>
>
What's the matter, LHA? Can't you take a "mild tweak?" ;-)

>>> PGMTT - what's that stand for? Professional Geezers Matching Tall Tales?
>>;-)
>
> Professional Group on Microwave Theory and Techniques.

Same thing ;-)

>
>>> What is "Minus j M" supposed to mean?
>
> Think complex quantities. With no real parts, only the
> imaginary. That is much like the fundamentalist PCTA...

That leaves me out. I'm completely real.

Why do you make fun of the names of those who disagree with you? I have always addressed you by the name you sign your posts (when you sign them). That is common NG practice.
>
>>> Tell us something we don't know, Len.
>
> ...what goes on behind the radio's front panel.

I know all about that stuff. Having designed most of my rigs, and built or restored all of them, it was pretty easy.

(W0EX)

> wanted to belittle Claude Shannon's work a couple of
> years ago, claiming it had no effect on amteur
> communications...but he did not want to acknowledge
> that Shannon's Laws apply to ALL radio.

"Belittle"? Nope. Just questioned its applicability to some situations. Have I ever done so? Nope.
>
(N2EY)

> infers some sort of mystical "knowledge" of
> everything in radio and electronics yet cannot talk
> about it in detail.

Nonsense. All I've ever claimed is to know a little radio. That seems to bother the heck out of you.

> He, like

(W0EX)

>is mired in the
> past with "knowledge of radio" gleaned only from
> amateur radio periodicals.
>
Boy are YOU mistaken! Try searching google using "N2EY BSEE MSEE" and see what comes up.

>>> >The
>>> >Smith Chart is a tool to achieve that end quickly and efficiently.
>>>
>>> Yeah. High school stuff.
>
> Now everyone knows that

(N2EY)

> does not work in radio for
> a living.

How can you tell? And what difference does it make?

A Smith Chart is a graphical form of complex
> quantity presentation.

Yeah, we KNOW, LHA.

> Complex quantities are not part of high school curricula.
>
Can you guarantee that? Even if true, how does it prove anything?

Fact is, I learned about complex numbers when I was in high school. Also had advanced placement calculus and physics in high school.

I used to do RF design professionally, by the way. Now I do other types of design professionally.

>
>>> That means it's OLD technology. From the days before cheap and plentiful
>>> computing. It's error-prone and inaccurate, compared to more modern
>>> methods. Why are you so stuck in the past, Len?
>
(N2EY)

> works at a sarcasm factory. In a low division.

All of what I wrote is true.

What's the matter - so "humorless" that you can't take a "mild tweak"? ;-)
>
> What he is attempting to do is to somehow, vaguely get some
> kind of connection, however tenuous, to the morse code issue.
> It doesn't attach.

Nope. Just applying your standards to it.
>
> The first Smith Chart was in use just before WW2. It did not
> become popular until published in McGraw-Hill's biweekly
> "Electronics" magazine just after WW2. Its usefulness as a
> tool became universal to all who worked at radio frequencies
> worldwide...and remains today, still widespread, a factual
> standard in the electronics industry..

And the guy who developed it was a ham. Had the call 1ANB. Knew Morse code,
too.

Phil Smith invented and developed many other things. For example, he derived
the optimum diameter ratio for coaxial feedlines. Said it was the simplest
patent ever granted - just the number 1.65.
>
> "Morse code" was conceived a century before, not for radio
> but for primitive electrical landline use.

Note the derogatory description "primitive".

> Landline manual
> telegraphy was beginning to be replaced by teleprinters a
> half century after its conception.

Yup. But it took another half-century plus to complete the replacement.

Replacement of graphical methods of problem solution by computer-aided
numerical methods began at least a half-century ago.

> There are no manual
> telegraphy landlines now.

None at all? Are you sure?
And, if true, why would it matter? Discussion here are about radio, not
landwire communications.

> Morse codings on radio now
> exist only in amateur radio and a handfull of third world
> countries.

Nope. Not true. Hint: Think "automatic ID"

> The FCC does not consider morse skill to be a
> meaningful one in determining a grant for an amateur radio
> license.

Nor do they consider Smith Chart knowledge to be very meaningful in granting an amateur radio license.

Yet a morse code test still needed for all but one class of FCC amateur radio license. That will probably change in the future.

N2EY

5153. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 04:15:30 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <C5St7.694$Le.26212@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21397

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BB69D26.F4D916FA@sccoast.net...
>
> The fact that you've been around long enough to start being
> judgmental of other people's operating practices instead of worrying
> about your own? Think about it. Were you this judgmental back when you
> first got your license?

No, I didn't need to be. Back when I first started it was a "given" that you
knew your stuff. You couldn't
pass the exams unless you comprehended the material. Yes, there
were LIDS then too, but that was purely an operating issue.

> But I don't agree that the "standards" have been lowered. There have
> been changes to the entrance exams, but that does not necessarily mean
> that "standards" are lowered - only changed.

Yes, they have changed for sure. With sufficient memorization a monkey can
qualify for
a license.

> But your "solution" is not a discussion and it doesn't offer a
> resolution (the FCC isn't going to buy it).

How can you be so certain the FCC won't buy it? Simplification? OK, then
let's get rid of
incentive licensing, that would really simplify things.

> > (snip) What have you done recently to
> > clear up the division??

> For one, I've tried to be a little more realistic about the problem
> (the division) and the solution (not increasing the division).

Discussing a perceived problem and it's possible solutions should hardly be
cause for division.
There is a lot of division in ham radio but not because someone makes note
of a problem.

W7RJR

5154. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 04:15:35 UTC
From: ----
Message-ID: <H5St7.2409$0K6.2331@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 21398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

if u do buy a 440 make sure the VCO is working. They have bigggg problems
with them
"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9p8idqoor1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Elmer <public@e.mail> wrote:
> >I often get asked by new hams what is a good used HF rig to start out
with.
> >I always tell them a Kenwood TS440 or some other rig with alot of memory
>
> I always recommend that they build a CW rig from scratch. A couple
> dozen components can be assembled into a QRP transmitter that will
> teach them quite a bit about building and applying some of the theory
> they studied, and will enable them to communicate with stations
> half way around the globe. There is no greater thrill than having
> QSOs on equipment you've build yourself.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
> U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
>
>
>
>
>

5155. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 07:31:26 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B7DD857E0005FDB417EEECB0@news.canufly.net>
Source record: 21399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Sep 2001 12:59:12 -0500, LA Davies wrote
(in message <S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>):

> Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked every
> day, causing the site to crash several times a day.

He's running Microsoft server software, huh?

Gray Shockley

Mississippi, US

5156. ham radio internet repeater linking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 07:52:48 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3bc620bb.3574465@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 21400

why not link your repeater to the internet
over 200 repeaters around the world already are
its very easy to do
for more info check many other ham links http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi

73 ian

5157. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 09:14:52 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001051452.28940.00001678@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21401

>- Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them

Thats been done

>- Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists

That has also been done

>- Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists

In other words, we as americans start giving up our rights, NO THANKS

>- Increase border security
And then you have groups like the ACLU up in arms! I say, Close the Borders to outsiders!

Much easier said than done

>The Taliban and
>others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
>with
>President Bush's requests.

We all know this will never happen.

5158. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 10:01:30 UTC
From: Brian <someone@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <0aXt7.4564$Uf2.589820@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 21402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well said.

"Rick Wilcox" <rwilcox3@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:OEGt7.251929$aZ.47216683@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Gee, I wonder how long my TS-440 SAT has until it turns into a
> pumpkin?? Had it now for about 10 years and so far so good! There goes
that
> 100% rating huh. Oh well, maybe I got the 1 in a million so that won't
screw
> up the record will it? And as far as those restructured hams go, I believe
> if we all look back a little in time we will see that even we started out
> with a 4 channel (or 23 or maybe even a 40 channel depending on our age)
> cb that stimulated our interest enough to further our radio experiences.
"It
> must be lonely at the top" looking down at all those peasants.
> "Porky".. "Miss Piggy's Favorite Bacon Maker down here in the
> Sand Pile"! 73 there "Good Buddy" and I'm back quiet. Hi hi.
>
>

5159. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 10:30:10 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1f0kesj.jpm5jaaz8wvoN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 21403

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Only if you believe that God spoke ONLY to the Jews and ONLY in Hebrew.
> > > God says that we all his children and that he speaks to/hears all of us.
> > Which begs the question - What is God's preferred language?

Gibberish.

5160. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 11:59:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB85A57.4FC0F274@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21404

Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote....
> >
> > The fact that you've been
> > around long enough to start being
> > judgmental of other people's
> > operating practices instead of
> > worrying about your own? Think
> > about it. Were you this judgmental
> > back when you first got your
> > license?
>
> No, I didn't need to be. Back when
> I first started it was a "given" that
> you knew your stuff. You couldn't pass
> the exams unless you comprehended the
> material. (snip)

That's what I thought when I first entered ham radio also (the all hams "knew their stuff"). However, as my own skills caught up, I came to realize that ham radio is made up of people with a great variety of skill levels (not all "knew their stuff" and some knew much more).

By the way, let me quickly comment on a misconception you seem to have - that the license exam is there to prove that hams know their stuff. As I've said many times before, the license exam is not a graduation exam designed to prove skill or knowledge - it is instead an entrance exam designed to test basic skills needed for the operating privileges at that license level. It is after you obtain the license that you gain more skill and knowledge beyond those basics through actual use and experimentation.

> > But I don't agree that the "standards"
> > have been lowered. There have been changes
> > to the entrance exams, but that does not
> > necessarily mean that "standards" are
> > lowered - only changed.
>
> Yes, they have changed for sure. With
> sufficient memorization a monkey can
> qualify for a license.

I'd like to meet that monkey. Seriously, how do YOU learn without memorization? According to what I've read, memorization is the

cornerstone to all human learning (language, motor skills, general knowledge, etc). Without it, we could not perform at the intelligence level we now perform at.

So, without memorization, you could not possibly do what you do. You could not operate your radio unless you first memorized what the buttons were for (or do you look at the manual each time). Likewise, you could not tune to the proper frequency without first memorizing what frequencies were available to you (or do you look at a wall chart each time). And, likewise, you could not operate properly without first memorizing the rules and accepted procedures (or are you a lid).

Funny that you would be so opposed to memorization, especially considering how much of what you do is directly dependent on it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5161. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 12:02:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001080250.11688.00000295@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21405

In article <20010929224603.12618.00000859@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20010928080308.02205.00000172@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>
>>>That's one that starts out "Out in the West Texas town of El Paso..."
>>
>>Yep.
>>>
>>>(Say what you will, but I like Barry Manilow's "Copacobanna" the best.)
>>>
>>Over such neuron-wasting abominations as "Muskrat Love", "Wreck Of The
>Edmund
>>Fitzgerald", "Billy Jean" ('the chair is not my son'), "In The Year 2525",
>>and
>>"Timothy"? You gotta be kidding!
>
>My personal favorite song is "Mac Arthur Park," as sung by
>Richard Harris.

You gotta get the book.

>I just love the instrumental part, I wish it would
>go on for 20 minutes!

It sure beats Richard Harris wailing about leaving cakes out in the rain.

>Finally found it on CD about two years ago.

OHHHH NOOOOOOO!!!!!!!!

>The lyrics are quite amusing, actually.

Ahhh - that's better.

>I dubbed it on a cassette
>along with "American Pie" by Don Mc Lean and "Your Wildest
>Dreams" by the Moody Blues, with a bunch of Beatles tunes
>filling out the rest of the tape. One of my best "driving" tapes!

There is an excellent PBS documentary on the Moody Blues. Starts and ends with excellent music videos of "Wildest Dreams" and "I Know You're Out There Somewhere". They are a favorite of mine from the very beginning, and ya gotta love any band that will parody themselves on "The Simpsons".

Most Beatles tunes are so good that they will endure for generations. A few days ago I heard "I Will" on the radio after not hearing it for a long time. I was struck by how both the perfection and the simplicity.

They were overplayed in their time, though.
>
No driving tape is complete without at least one Meat Loaf tune ("Paradise By The Dashboard Light" is recommended) some old Springsteen ("Jungleland"), and of course a little Bob Seger ("Night Moves" and "Long Twin Silver Line" are recommended, but Seger tunes all sound so much alike that it's not too critical).

Get the book.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5162. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 12:33:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001083349.25641.00001547@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21406

>Subject: Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.
>From: Garvin@Truss.net (Fred Garvin)
>Date: 10/1/01 6:30 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <1f0kesj.jpm5jaaz8wvoN%Garvin@Truss.net>

> Gibberish

Another meaningful post by another anonymous troll.

5163. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 12:58:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB86840.F17894E3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21407

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes...

> > Actually, the problem is a "in
> > your face" society that has been
> > convinced that it can do anything
> > it wants (which includes ignoring
> > the laws and society's customs).
>
>
> BRAVO!!!!! Give Mr. Stewart a ceegar!!!
>
> You have hit the nail right on the head.
> That's the problem I was trying (and
> failing) to describe. I would only
> change one thing - replace the word
> "society" with "attitude" - but that
> is just a minor detail.

I decided to go with "society" because that "attitude" seems to be society wide at this point (certainly not all are like that, but way too many are). Negative attitudes have become commonplace in this society and it is slowly pushing out civilized behavior between people. Add to that an attitude that one can do anything one wants (society's laws and customs don't apply to me) and it is really amazing that ham radio is in as good of shape as it is.

But, in spite of the fact that ham radio is doing fairly well, it has most certainly not avoided the problem entirely. That "in your face" attitude, combined with the "I can do anything I want" attitude, is slowly creeping into ham radio and will continue to do so. And the only way to stop it is to sharply reduce those attitudes in the society as a whole (not something that is going to happen anytime soon).

> > And there isn't a license exam in
> > the world that can change those
> > outside influences that are now
> > affecting ham radio.
>
>
> I think license tests can have an
> effect, but they cannot do the whole
> job. No test can guarantee that all
> who pass it will follow the rules.

I really do want to agree with you on this, Jim. But I just don't think a test can have that much of an effect. Look at today's congress, college students, military personnel, business people, and so forth. In spite of the relatively heavy requirements for each of these, there are still way too many with the attitudes described above (and that percentage seems to be growing). There has to be another way, but I'll be the first to admit that I don't have a clue what it is.

> Welcome back.

Thank you. I still have things that are eating up my time at the moment (constant trips out of town that will keep me off for days at a time), so I still cannot be as active as I once was in this newsgroup. But, in another month or so, hopefully I'll have a little more free time to devote to the discussions here.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5164. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 13:25:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB86E76.82610CA2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

hamish@cloud.net.au wrote:
>
> But it is interesting to wonder
> why the manufacturers put so many
> memories into HF radios. None of
> the memories on my FT-847 contain
> HF frequencies; only a few VHF
> repeaters and simplex frequencies.
> I don't even know how many memories
> it has; probably 100. My old IC-726
> had 26 or something and that was
> about 24 more than I needed then
> too.

Perhaps you haven't noticed that the FT-874 is a general coverage receiver, covering the shortwave broadcast bands as well as the ham bands. Those memories are handy for storing common shortwave broadcast frequencies, as well as commonly used ham frequencies.

I also have several of my previous employer's HF frequencies programmed into memories. And, since I'm a member of the USCGAUX, I have some memories set aside for the HF marine frequencies. I also have a dozen or so memories set aside for local HF and VHF civil defense frequencies, and for VHF marine frequencies.

All of that uses about half of the memories in my FT-847.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5165. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 13:39:42 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
Message-ID: <9p9rgc$26jk$1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 21409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

There you go! I built a couple of dead bug pip-squeaks before
cobbling up power supplies for TCS boatanchors. The joy of
discovery! (and reverse engineering)

When I was in High School, there was a kid who actually tore up
transistor radios and junk and built up a QRP transmitter/receiver
and mounted it all with a battery pack on his bicycle. We used to
poke fun at him a bit, try to give pointers and ideas on how to
improve and elaborate on it and he'd get pissed off. A couple of
us pulled him aside and told him we were proud of him for doing it,
and only wanted to help with the project.

That was the neat thing back then, everyone had different projects, but
we could all jump in the middle and participate vicariously.

These newbies only find the joy these days after they bring it all home,
plug it in and find out they need to come up with an antenna...

Jim, AC6TK

: I always recommend that they build a CW rig from scratch. A couple
: dozen components can be assembled into a QRP transmitter that will
: teach them quite a bit about building and applying some of the theory
: they studied, and will enable them to communicate with stations
: half way around the globe. There is no greater thrill than having
: QSOs on equipment you've build yourself.
:
: 73, Jeff KH6O
:
: Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
: U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System

5166. Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 13:42:23 UTC
From: Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <3p_t7.4512$pH1.891912@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 21410

Yeah, that may be true, but for one, I never have more than $200.00 at
all.... If being forced to buy some overly expensive multiband-does
everything-sell your first born-radio is
all that I have to choose from, guess you won't ever hear from me on HF
anyways.....

The orignal intent of the post was, look at our VHF/UHF bands and the radios
we have to choose from..... 6 meters??? about one or two rigs that I am
aware of, 220?? about the same...

Ryan

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:i4Rs7.24056$2H2.1946392@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Ryan,
>
> I see TS50s (Kenwood) on the rec.radio,swap go for as little as $475.
This
> little rig is about the same size as one of those single-band 10 meter
> units, but
> has all bands/modes from 160-10 meters. For around $500, you can pick up
> an original Icom 706 which has the above plus 6 and 2 meters. With that,
> you
> get, in addition, a scanner, general coverage receive and aircraft receive
> as well.
> Quite a good deal. The MKIIs go for around $600. I would tend to agree
> that
> you would STILL be better off to wait till you have the money in the till
to
> go with a multi-band HF rig. Watch the hamfests, swaps and newsgroups
where
> you CAN get a good deal on one of them. If you go out and spend a couple
> hundred for a single band rig, that money is gone with which you could get
a
> bigger bang for your buck. Have a little patience, and you will be glad
you
> did.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
> Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
> news:lF4j7.678$0y.254807@monger.newsread.com...
> > >

> > > Ryan:
> > >
> > > I think that the money spent on a single-band 10-m transceiver would
> > > be better invested saving it until there was enough to purchase a
full-
> > > coverage HF multi-band transceiver. Since very capable rigs like
> > > the Yaesu FT-840 are available for around $800, wasting half that
> > > amount on a 10-m only rig makes no sense to me!
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> >
> > Well, if 10m is the only band that a rig can be single-banded I guess
that
> I
> > will be screwed here for quite some time. It will be 5-10 years before
I
> > will ever be heard on HF, unless I am working with someone elses
station.
> I
> > still think that a Multi-mode, single band rig for some of the other
> > frequency bands would be nice. Who the hell has 800 bucks at one time
> where
> > it wasn't immediately need for bills or emergencies? Wish I did....
> >
> > Ryan
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5167. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 13:43:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001094358.04810.00001582@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21411

>Subject: Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????
>From: Michael Moore m.moore@utoronto.ca
>Date: 9/30/01 11:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB7E585.933C6A81@utoronto.ca>

>Sura 9 of the Qur'an declares the antagonism of Islam to all
>other religions.

And you obviously haven't read some passages of the Bible that pretty much doom to Hell anyone who dares consider anything but Christianity.

Not very tolerant.

>All but Muslims are excluded from Mecca and the
>rites of pilgrimage.

And you'd want to see Muslims precticing at the Wailing Wall? It's thier religion and thier Holy City. So what business do you have there if you are not Muslim?

>Idolaters are threatened with slaughter and
>slavery.

Remember the events following Moses' descent from the Mountain?

>In matters political, Islam is a system of despotism at home and
>aggression abroad.

And the Crusades...?!?!

>...where each of the faithful is assigned seventy-two wives of the
>resplendent and ravishing girls of Paradise solely for
>enjoyment

And the problem with THAT is...?!?!

>At home, religion and the state are not separated in Islam. Hence
>Islamic
>jurisprudence, civil and criminal, is mainly based on the Qur'an
>and on the
>"Traditions".

The "separation of church and state" is (for the most part) an American thing.

Also, not all Islamic countries are the despotic and evil regimes that Iraq, Afghanistan and Iran are. They are, however, prime examples of why religion SHOULD be excluded from government.

>Although murder, manslaughter, and suicide are explicitly
>forbidden, blood revenge is allowed.

And the United States' present redeployment of five CBG's is what if not
"blood revenge"...?!?!

Don't get me wrong...I think we are of right to do it and I hope someone
overthere get's the message that they cannot allow scumbags like Bin Laden to
operate from thier borders without impunity. I DO, however, take issue with
your suggestion that it is somehow a purely Islamic event.

We Christians have been doing it for centuries. You can't point a finger
at them without seeing the reflection.

>Slave-mistresses and concubines are permitted in any number.

=)

>The
>man is allowed to repudiate his wife on the slightest pretext,
>but the woman is not permitted even to separate herself from her
>husband unless it be for ill-usage, want of proper maintenance,
>or neglect of conjugal duty;

Which is just about 180 degrees out from the realities of divorce in the
United States. A woman who leaves her husband in the US for anything on almost
any pretense is celebrated, while a man who leaves is assumed to be a dead-beat
or philandering. A woman who finds solace in the arms of another man is pitied
because the mean SOB she was married to couldn't keep her happy...The Husband
is "an adulterer..." What's up with that?

>.... and even then she generally loses
>her dowry, when she does not if divorced by her husband, unless
>she has been guilty of immodesty or notorious disobedience. In
>matters of inheritance, generally speaking, males are entitled to
>twice as much as females.

Have you seen the new designer Barbie doll?

It's call "Divorced Barbie"....Comes with all of her accessories and half
of Ken's....

That coin you are flipping, Michael, has two sides. Perhaps you need to
look at both of them.

Steve in Tennessee

5168. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 14:08:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB878F9.8070308@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21412

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> And you obviously haven't read some passages of the Bible that pretty much
> doom to Hell anyone who dares consider anything but Christianity.

Our preacher said yesterday that anyone who worships Allah is going
straight to hell. He apparently doesn't realize that Allah, Jehovah,
and Yahweh are different names for the same God.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5169. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 14:58:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001105859.15574.00001683@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21413

>Subject: Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 10/1/01 10:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB878F9.8070308@IEEE.org>

>He apparently doesn't realize that Allah, Jehovah,
>and Yahweh are different names for the same God.

Funny how they skip that, huh...?!?!

Steve

5170. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 15:02:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pa0i0$9v1$0@208.207.71.174>
Source record: 21414

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
let me quickly comment on a misconception you seem to
> have -

Instead you insist on perpetuating a misconception.

that the license exam is there to prove that hams know their
> stuff. As I've said many times before, the license exam is not a
> graduation exam designed to prove skill or knowledge - it is instead
> an entrance exam designed to test basic skills needed for the
> operating privileges at that license level

Yep- the 'entry level' licenses were designed to do just that. NOT the
Extra class, but that's where it has been allowed to slide.

It is after you obtain the
> license that you gain more skill and knowledge beyond those basics
> through actual use and experimentation.
>

So, one needs to know next to nothing to get to the highest level of
ham radio license, and that fact means that the highest level ham
license is considered to be near nothing. Makes sense, doesn't it?

5171. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 15:23:25 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <NT%t7.724$Le.27188@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21415

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BB85A57.4FC0F274@sccoast.net...
>
> That's what I thought when I first entered ham radio also (the all
> hams "knew their stuff"). However, as my own skills caught up, I came
> to realize that ham radio is made up of people with a great variety of
> skill levels (not all "knew their stuff" and some knew much more).
>
> By the way, let me quickly comment on a misconception you seem to
> have - that the license exam is there to prove that hams know their
> stuff. As I've said many times before, the license exam is not a
> graduation exam designed to prove skill or knowledge - it is instead
> an entrance exam designed to test basic skills needed for the
> operating privileges at that license level. It is after you obtain the
> license that you gain more skill and knowledge beyond those basics
> through actual use and experimentation.

So you are stating inversely that hams "don't know their stuff"? We can
twist
this verbiage around all day, but when an Extra has not mastered skills that
a Novice or Technician has, then I find that to be problematic, not
judgemental.

I'd like to meet that monkey. Seriously, how do YOU learn without
> memorization? According to what I've read, memorization is the
> cornerstone to all human learning (language, motor skills, general
> knowledge, etc). Without it, we could not perform at the intelligence
> level we now perform at.

I learn by reading, listening, comprehending, practicing, making mistakes
and practicing some more.
That takes time. It is after this process is complete that I commit this to
memory, not before.
Information stored to memory before this process is complete is often
faulty.
Mere memorization is NOT learning. It is part of the process, but that is
all.
If you memorized morse code, how far do you think you would get with that?
dit-dah duh.....
What if you memorized a schematic of your rig without understanding what a
resistor
is, or Kirchoff's Law or worse yet Ohms law?? Yes, you could refer to a
chart for the laws
but you need to "understand" how to apply them.
So much for the memorization theory!

>
> So, without memorization, you could not possibly do what you do. You
> could not operate your radio unless you first memorized what the
> buttons were for (or do you look at the manual each time). Likewise,
> you could not tune to the proper frequency without first memorizing
> what frequencies were available to you (or do you look at a wall chart
> each time). And, likewise, you could not operate properly without
> first memorizing the rules and accepted procedures (or are you a lid).

I explained this in the above paragraph. Please don't make assumptions about
me.
I'm not a LID. Perhaps unlike you, I do occasionally look at a wall chart.
My memory is not as good as it once was.

W7RJR

5172. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 15:37:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110010737.3e213bf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21416

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3bb28d72_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
>
> That is why--if we are to "give up personal freedoms" as has been discussed
> among people of the United States and our media--we need not be so hasty in
> giving those privileges/freedoms up without definitions, guidlines, etc.

Check this out, Kim:

http://www.salon.com/comics/tomo/2001/10/01/tomo/index.html

--

73 de Jim, N2EY

5173. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 16:14:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001121434.28070.00000335@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21417

In article <20010930092843.07482.00001631@mb-cu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (the Tennessee Taliban's Public Relations Officer) writes:

>>Subject: How many hams does it take?
>>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>>Date: 9/30/01 5:52 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <078255.07571208@bimminy.com>
>
>>Q. How many hams does it take to screw in a lightbulb?
>
> I knew it. Lennie is "mugging" behind another fake name.

Incorrect. :-)

The address header quoted indicates the "lightbulb" post
was done at 2:52 AM PDT on Sunday, 30 September 2001.
At that date and time I was not even close to a computer
nor logged on to any ISP nor at home. :-)

>If not him,
>it's a lonely gay ham-hater trying to score points with His Limpiness to get
>some geriatric time under his belt.
>
> Another Anonymous Coward.

Heh heh heh. Robeson's obsession is reaching psychotic
levels.

Pity that this fundamentalist cannot accept a general item of
humor that was not "addressed" to anyone specifically.

Only those who think the world revolves around them and
their viewpoints would be so sensitive as to take such a
humorous item personally.

Here's the entirety of Stu Parker's posting -

"Q. How many hams does it take to screw in a lightbulb?

A. One. He simply holds the bulb to the socket, and the
rest of the world revolves around him."

5174. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 16:14:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001121435.28070.00000336@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21418

In article <20010929000950.27388.00000670@mb-fm.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (the Tennessee Taliban's Public Relations Officer) writes:

>>...heh, heh, I just KNEW that this was coming!
>
>>An "8752" is a network analyzer from Agilent....(SNIP)
>
> Lennie get's his leg pulled and uses it to sail off into another "I know
>more than you" diatribe.
>
> Or so he thinks.....

Not "thinks," knows...

The colonel was totally ignorant of the terms used in the posting, didn't know what they were and thought they were gibberish. As a result he "replied" with gibberish.

The terms I used are quite familiar to all of us who have actually worked on RF in the electronics industry. They would not be familiar to those whose only "experience" comes from reading and memorizing all the sales ads in QST.

Except for some products that are relatively new (Duroid, the newer H-P network analyzer model numbers), the terms have been around for at least three decades.

The ignorant have three courses of action: Do nothing about such postings, ignore them; seek to learn more, asking questions and studying the general scope of activity; or sit around in anger and fury, pouncing on each and every posting of an individual to attempt belittling them, insulting them, or thinking up lies to post about another.

The colonel chose the latter.

The colonel is a licensed amateur extra and thus representative of the US amateur community.

5175. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 16:14:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001121436.28070.00000337@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 21419

In article <3BB61B4D.917501F0@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
>Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 15:04:45 -0400
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BB4E6CE.78FB2828@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>> > What a mean spirited post.
>>
>> Oh? How is news of two very much radio-related corporations
>> donating funds and equipment to a stricken area "mean?"
>
>You originally wrote:
>
>> The following is an excerpt from the R.F. Design
>> Magazine e-mailer to subscribers on 24 September 2001.
>> It isn't intended to prove anything other than a great
>> number of people other than amateurs have contributed
>> to rescue and rebuilding in the areas hit by the
>> Attack on America.
>
> What is the purpose of this? I saw nothing in the news from the moment
>this tragedy happened that mentioned Hams, with the exception of ARRL
>bulletins. Lots of news about all the other volunteers.

My posting was on a separate thread, the content received in
an e-mail from R.F. Design magazine that same day.

It involved radios. It involved volunteerism. It involved news
that concerned the stricken areas.

> What I'm trying to say is that yes, we know that hams were not the only
>people to volunteer. Hams were only one part of many Americans who rose
>to the occasion.

Is that what you are really trying to say, mjc5? Or are you
so overly sensitive to the subject that you took it personally?

Nowhere in my post did I mention "mjc5." :-)

Why can't you handle news of what goes on outside
of amateur radio?

Had you stopped to consider the description of goods in that original posting? Can you comprehend that EIGHTY SIX TRUCKLOADS is a lot of radio equipment? Can you?

Can you comprehend that city governments have many bands in the EM spectrum that do not communicate directly ih allocated amateur bands? Can you comprehend that New York City already had radio communications equipment and that most of it was still functional and being used after the Attack?

Can you comprehend that the WORK TASK of New York City is now involved with the clearing away of a half million tons of rubble in a 16 acre site? That work task is immediate, is in progress, it adds a tremendous burden on the entire city.

There won't be any victims still alive in the rubble of what was the World Trade Center...but clearing away that tremendous amount of rubble is going to take at least another month. NYC needs to control and coordinate all those trucks and equipment, to make sure that the city can still function normally (as much as possible) while the clean-up continues. They can use the Motorola equipment for that work.

Ask yourself the question as to whether or not the clean-up tasks, the body part identifications, and coordination of all the work crews can be performed by radio amateurs doing "emergency communications" in coordination with the Salvation Army? Or the American Red Cross?

Get real. The amateur radio communications done on day 9-11 and afterwards was good and true but it did not serve the "emergency communications" needs of NYC. It did serve to help the Red Cross and the Salvation Army and thus was truly in the "health and welfare" communications category. But...it did not make any real dent in true emergency communications for the city of New York. NYC did its best with what they had in a situation that dwarfed the imaginations of everyone.

<let's cut to the chase to get to your tagline...>

> And just so everyone, including you knows, I don't even feel disgust
>with you. Mostly just sadness.
>
> - mike -

What "sadness," mjc5? Sadness that I didn't laud amateur radio to the skies for "emergency communications" during an evil, monstrous event? Did you want me to ignore the true emergency radio communications that went on just to wave some banners about ham radio and live in some fantasy world dreaming of heroic rescues of the past? Are you like some others in here who do that?

Get real. Real emergency/disaster organizations exist and function throughout the country. If you have a need to get involved, you have to accept integration into the existing groups and go with their direction. Amateur radio is not going to "save the day" by sitting on the sideline as a separate group thinking they "know it all" about communications.

Put things in perspective, not in pipe dreams or petty, little personally-offended nonsense.

5176. Lennie couldn't be a bigger asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 16:51:50 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1622af6da1b1526998969a@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21420

In article <20011001121436.28070.00000337@nso-bd.aol.com>, lenover21 @aol.com says...

> Had you stopped to consider the description of goods in that
> original posting? Can you comprehend that EIGHTY SIX
> TRUCKLOADS is a lot of radio equipment? Can you?

What fucking crock of shit Anderson. Don't you know the difference between a truck and a truckload?? Granted you are a load, but here's the quote

To date, Motorola has delivered at least 86 trucks with communications equipment to field teams and customers in the New York City area, Virginia and Maryland. In addition, seven planes carried Motorola equipment into the affected areas at the height of these disasters.

TRUCKS PECKERHEAD..NOT TRUCKLOADS...big difference You make it sound like they're packed with stuff and in reality (which you fail to live in) they are communications trucks with gear. What a fucking ass you are Lennie

Additionally, three major communications systems were delivered, including a 900 MHz trailer radio system and an 800 MHz trailer radio system in New York City, and an 800 MHz trailer system which is being used at the plane crash site in Pennsylvania. A fourth major system, an 800 MHz, 15-channel communications system, was delivered to New York to provide back-up communications for emergency services. Motorola workers produced the system, which typically takes about three weeks to assemble, in 30 hours for shipment to the emergency areas.

Approximately 9,500 of our portable radios, 16,000 batteries and 3,000 chargers have been delivered so far. Further, about 120 base stations, and nearly 5,000 pieces of miscellaneous accessories, such as cabling and antennae equipment, have been delivered. Motorola has also delivered 700 Iridium satellite telephones to emergency agencies in the New York City area. We also provided 1,000 cellular phones to a major insurance company for its policyholders in the affected areas.

Motorola has also donated 10,000 iDEN multi-function phones to various federal, state and local government agencies, plus about 88,000 batteries, chargers and other accessories. Nextel will provide the phone service.

>
> Can you comprehend that the WORK TASK of New York City
> is now involved with the clearing away of a half million tons of

> rubble in a 16 acre site? That work task is immediate, is in
> progress, it adds a tremendous burden on the entire city.

It's 24 acres Len..learn to read

>
> There won't be any victims still alive in the rubble of what was
> the World Trade Center...but clearing away that tremendous
> amount of rubble is going to take at least another month. NYC
> needs to control and coordinate all those trucks and equipment,
> to make sure that the city can still function normally (as much
> as possible) while the clean-up continues. They can use the
> Motorola equipment for that work.

More than a year and over 60 Billion dollars..it's in all the local
papers

>

5177. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 17:04:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110010904.7b600640@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21421

"Bob Raymond" <braymond@iea.com> wrote in message
news:<C5St7.694$Le.26212@sea-read.news.verio.net>...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3BB69D26.F4D916FA@sccoast.net...
> >
> > The fact that you've been around long enough to start being
> > judgmental of other people's operating practices instead of worrying
> > about your own? Think about it. Were you this judgmental back when you
> > first got your license?
>
> No, I didn't need to be. Back when I first started it was a "given" that you
> knew your stuff. You couldn't
> pass the exams unless you comprehended the material.

When did you start, Bob?

One thing to remember is that in the past a ham had to have a certain degree of technical know-how to even get on the air. Until about 25 or so years ago, almost all beginners started out on HF CW, with a station consisting of a receiver, a transmitter, an antenna, some sort of TR system, and a bunch of accessories. Just hooking it all up and getting it to radiate a signal took some doing, and things like ready-made coax cable assemblies were all but unknown. Many new hams started out as SWLs, so things like how to tune a receiver and make/put up an HF antenna were not foreign to them.

The situation today is totally different. So it's understandable that some of the learning that was required by the circumstances of different technologies no longer happens. Instead, other learning goes on.

> Yes, there were LIDS then too, but that was purely an operating issue.

I think the issue of bad operating practices is of major importance today, much more so than when I started out in the mid 1960s. Ham radio, on any band, frequency or mode, was suitable for all audiences.
>
> > But I don't agree that the "standards" have been lowered. There have
> > been changes to the entrance exams, but that does not necessarily mean
> > that "standards" are lowered - only changed.

I would say they have been lowered. Not only have the code tests been drastically reduced, but the writtens as well. Before April 15, 2000, getting an Extra required passing 5 separate written tests totalling 190 questions. Today it takes only 3 separate written tests totalling 120 questions - and the content of the Q&A pools is not substantially

different. That's a lowering of entry standards for the top license.
>
> Yes, they have changed for sure. With sufficient memorization a monkey can
> qualify for a license.

No offense, Bob, but I don't think a monkey could pass any of the
tests.
>
> > But your "solution" is not a discussion and it doesn't offer a
> > resolution (the FCC isn't going to buy it).
>
> How can you be so certain the FCC won't buy it?

Their policies, words and actions over the past decade or so. FCC's
focus today is more on simplification of the regs and increased
emphasis on enforcement. That's a GOOD thing.

Check out the FCC enforcement letters for an example. (ARRL website is
one source). It's a shame such things are needed, but Riley & Co.'s
work is welcome and long overdue.

> Simplification? OK, then let's get rid of
> incentive licensing, that would really simplify things.

The restructuring cut the number of "active" (open to new applicant)
license classes from 6 to 3, the number of code tests from 3 to 1 and
the number of written tests from 5 to 3. It's clear the remaining 5
wpm code test will only be retained until the treaty changes.

How, exactly, would you "get rid of incentive licensing"? What would
happen to holders of existing licenses? What would be required of new
licenses?

> > > (snip) What have you done recently to
> > > clear up the division??
>
> > For one, I've tried to be a little more realistic about the problem
> > (the division) and the solution (not increasing the division).
>
> Discussing a perceived problem and it's possible solutions should hardly be
> cause for division.
> There is a lot of division in ham radio but not because someone makes note
> of a problem.

I agree 100% on both counts. Discussion is one way to fix the
problems.

Bob, for a look at how all of this developed over time, you might want
to check Google for a series of posts here in rrap on "50 Years of
Amateur Radio Licensing". Gives a quick but detailed look at how the
license structure of 1999 came to be, and the proposals to restructure
it.

Oddly enough, back before 1951 there were just three classes of ham license, and two of them were the same except for how the tests were given (by mail vs. FCC office). One code test for everyone, and two written tests. Simple. We're heading that way again.

Everyhting old is new again.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5178. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 17:28:48 UTC
From: My_name_is_Stu_Parker_and_Im_a_Pedophile <sparkr@bimminy.com>
Message-ID: <7883d88bffb305c5249f561dd10e3db9@remailer.segfault.net>
Source record: 21422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <b65941$0$11770$45beb828@bimminy.com>
sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) wrote:
>
>
> On Mon, 01 Oct 2001 00:48:57 GMT, Rick Wilcox <rwilcox3@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
> : Sorry Dick, I meant Elmer. Please accept my apology.
>
>
> Rick may absolutely generate without secret junk complaint desks. The
> untouched CDROM rarely spools Elmer, it manages Marie instead. Pete
> relays the PERL near hers and hatefully disconnects. Tell Simon it's
> discarded dumping about a postmaster. When did Elmer delete in back of
> all the scanners? We can't reboot procedures unless Karl will loudly
> inflate afterwards. Valerie will distribute the lost toffee and
> interface it throughout its tape. The function alongside the opaque web
> server is the Usenet that questions fully. Corey, have a outer
> algorithm. You won't substantiate it. Why did Ronnie format the UDP
> without the chosen user? Until Frederick opens the cryptographers
> superbly, Gay won't confront any worthwhile networks.

Steve probably has CSMA/CDs that won't play .mp3s. The following table
summarizes the specifications for
Ethernet architecture. However, retransmission takes time, and will be
ubable to intercept it. Clyde
has other factors to consider before making a decision. The point was
relatively simple, the employees
would arrive at work to find the telephone system not working. The clouds
are intended to convey the idea
that this is an ever-changing situation. 802.3 has been installed and
configured. This way, only a small amount
of data can be transmitted, making it relatively easy for Stu to error.
Many, if not all, plan to recover a network. Some kind of exchange could
easily infect all the computers is also on the rise.
This is often accompanied each time a new disk is inserted.

5179. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 17:35:53 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <ZP1u7.748$Le.27631@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21423

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0110010904.7b600640@posting.google.com...
> "Bob Raymond" <braymond@iea.com> wrote in message
news:<C5St7.694$Le.26212@sea-read.news.verio.net>...
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> > news:3BB69D26.F4D916FA@sccoast.net...
> When did you start, Bob?

I started out as a CBer in the late 50s (KBI2294). For various reasons I did
not actually
get my first ham license until 1968, as a Conditional and upgraded to
Advanced in 1973.

>
> One thing to remember is that in the past a ham had to have a certain
> degree of technical know-how to even get on the air. Until about 25 or
> so years ago, almost all beginners started out on HF CW, with a
> station consisting of a receiver, a transmitter, an antenna, some sort
> of TR system, and a bunch of accessories. Just hooking it all up and
> getting it to radiate a signal took some doing, and things like
> ready-made coax cable assemblies were all but unknown. Many new hams
> started out as SWLs, so things like how to tune a receiver and
> make/put up an HF antenna were not foreign to them.
>
> The situation today is totally different. So it's understandable that
> some of the learning that was required by the circumstances of
> different technologies no longer happens. Instead, other learning goes
> on

You make a good point here. I guess I have forgotten that all one needs to
do is plug it in to
get on the air today. Touche'

>
> > Yes, there were LIDS then too, but that was purely an operating issue.
>
> I think the issue of bad operating practices is of major importance
> today, much more so than when I started out in the mid 1960s. Ham
> radio, on any band, frequency or mode, was suitable for all audiences.
> >
> > > But I don't agree that the "standards" have been lowered. There have
> > > been changes to the entrance exams, but that does not necessarily mean
> > > that "standards" are lowered - only changed.
>
> I would say they have been lowered. Not only have the code tests been

> drastically reduced, but the writtens as well. Before April 15, 2000,
> getting an Extra required passing 5 separate written tests totalling
> 190 questions. Today it takes only 3 separate written tests totalling
> 120 questions - and the content of the Q&A pools is not substantially
> different. That's a lowering of entry standards for the top license.
> >
> > Yes, they have changed for sure. With sufficient memorization a monkey
can
> > qualify for a license.
>
> No offense, Bob, but I don't think a monkey could pass any of the
> tests.
> >

I take no offense. That was an emotional statement.

> > > But your "solution" is not a discussion and it doesn't offer a
> > > resolution (the FCC isn't going to buy it).
> >
> > How can you be so certain the FCC won't buy it?
>
> Their policies, words and actions over the past decade or so. FCC's
> focus today is more on simplification of the regs and increased
> emphasis on enforcement. That's a GOOD thing.
>
> Check out the FCC enforcement letters for an example. (ARRL website is
> one source). It's a shame such things are needed, but Riley & Co.'s
> work is welcome and long overdue.
>
> > Simplification? OK, then let's get rid of
> > incentive licensing, that would really simplify things.
>
> The restructuring cut the number of "active" (open to new applicant)
> license classes from 6 to 3, the number of code tests from 3 to 1 and
> the number of written tests from 5 to 3. It's clear the remaining 5
> wpm code test will only be retained until the treaty changes.
>
> How, exactly, would you "get rid of incentive licensing"? What would
> happen to holders of existing licenses? What would be required of new
> licenses?

I would reduce the number of licenses even further to VHF/UHF only and
those that had all privileges. Those with VHF/UHF licenses would have no
code requirement and a simpler technical exam. Those with full privileges
would
be required to pass a SEND and RECEIVE code test at whatever the
international
requirement were at the time. I would impose a written exam that demanded
comprehension
of the material rather than mere memorization.
As to how to integrate current licensees into this, that would be difficult.

I can only state that from my personal perspective I would not be against
just grandfathering say
all of those that hold General licenses and above.
I think this would go a long way toward eliminating the current division
that exists in ham radio.

W7RJR

5180. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 17:56:59 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB8AE6B.1BEE0151@uci.edu>
Source record: 21426

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>>Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?
> >
> >>Rumor is, he spoke Greek.
> >
> > But before, you said He spoke ancient Hebrew.
> >
> > Admit it, you don't really know, do you. ;-)
>
> Whoa there pardner, you changed it from "he" to "He".
> "He" probably spoke ancient Hebrew. "he" probably spoke
> Greek. "he" was the boss of the gods, at least in Greece.
> Remember, that's who you asked for - the boss of the gods.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

"He" is who we've been talking about.....there, pardner.
```

5181. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 17:57:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001135716.07190.00000941@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 21424

In article <20011001000309.26366.00000224@nso-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (The Master of the Smith Chart) writes:

>I know what I'm talking about, LHA.

...N2EY keeps saying that...and offers no conclusive proof. :-)

>Nope. You are mistaken, LHA.

Saying that in every post is still no qualifier, N2EY. :-)

>I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30 years
>ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the ARRL
>Antenna Book.

...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith Chart?!?" :-)

>It is clear that one of LHA's "prime directives" is that what professionals do
>and use is THE criteria to be applied to what amateurs do. In other words, if
>the pros use something, it's good, if they don't, it's bad. What amateurs do
>is of no consequence to LHA.

Really?!? :-)

What is so wrong about applying professional techniques and experience to what is essentially a hobby activity?

>What does it matter WHAT I do professionally, LHA?

It creates some basis, some bona fides for N2EY's claims such as being a "master of the Smith Chart."

>You are using the classic "ad hominem" attack, LHA. You attack the person
>because you cannot attack the message.

I'm only challenging the claim of N2EY being a "master of the Smith Chart." :-)

>>He hasn't stated his company's name.
>
>I don't own a company.

Why not? With all those credentials plus radio ham experience it should be "easy." :-)

Why is N2EY not proud of the company he works for?

>I feel very good about what I do professionally. But it has no bearing on
>amateur radio policy. The only person here whose professional activities have
>any bearing on amateur radio policy is Phil Kane, K2ASP.

Is anyone "attacking" N2EY's "professional" activities?

How can anyone "attack" something that is a mystery,
an unstated, unverified claim? :-)

>>He hasn't made any technical comments on radio subjects
>>other than amateur radio history or an electronic T-R switch
>>supposed to be "featured" in the "Electric Radio" fanzine.
>>"Electric Radio" is for vacuum tube radio fans.
>
>There are many mistakes in the above sentence, LHA. Stay with this message
>and learn something.
>
>I have made many "technical comments" in this and other newsgroups. All there
>in google. Normally, antenna and transmision line discussions are held in
>rec.radio.amateur.antennas, for example.
>
>Here in rrap, I have had several technical discussions. For example, there
>was
>one about the necessary bandwidth of OOK Morse vs. Baudot or ASCII FSK at the
>same speed. Also discussions about the compatibility of spread spectrum with
>"narrowband" modes on the amateur HF bands.
>
>I have NOT discussed an electronic T-R switch at all.

No? :-) Go back a bit to a very early "discussion" in
which there is mention of an electronic transmit-receive
circuit in use in a home-built transmitter-receiver that
allows the user to hear the other station in between
transmissions. That was claimed to be an article in
"Electric Radio" magazine.

>The homebrew station I used in 1995, and a related story, was described in an
>article published in Electric Radio in that year. I've had other articles
>published in amateur radio publications, too. The station described is only
>one of several that I have designed and built.
>
>Electric Radio is a publication devoted to several subjects of interest to
>hams. Among them are amateur radio history, AM, military radio, vacuum tubes,
>restoration of old equipment, and related technical subjects. It is not a
>"fanzine".

Is "Electric Radio" available on the newsstands? At any
periodical vendor? Just a question...don't be so touchy. :-)

"Electric Radio" does have a website. A fanzine is a specific
magazine devoted to fans (enthusiasts) of the magazine's
central topics. There is nothing wrong about fanzines or their
existance. Hundreds, perhaps thousands of different fanzines

are published in this country, covering a variety of subjects.

I know a fanzine editor-publisher. Why do you insult him by
making "fanzine" a negative word?

>What's the matter, LHA? Can't you take a "mild tweak?" ;-)

Yes, but in context...and it should be worded as such rather
than being enclosed in a body of text that is definitely not
complementary. See what follows, verbatim...

>>>> PGMTT - what's that stand for? Professional Geezers Matching Tall Tales?
>>>;-)
>>
>> Professional Group on Microwave Theory and Techniques.
>
>Same thing ;-)

That isn't complementary to a professional organization, is it?

Why do you insult the Institute of Electrical and Electronic
Engineers' professional group involved in microwave work?

>Nonsense. All I've ever claimed is to know a little radio. That seems to
>bother the heck out of you.

No, but the above is inconsistent with claims in the same message,
such as "it was easy" (to design and build your own rigs). It would
only be "easy" if you knew a lot of radio, not just a "little."

>I used to do RF design professionally, by the way. Now I do other types of
>design professionally.

Where and when?

>All of what I wrote is true.

...because you claim it. :-)

>And the guy who developed it was a ham. Had the call 1ANB. Knew Morse code,
>too.

That's not mentioned in "Electronic Applications of the Smith
Chart," by Phillip H. Smith, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1969. Is
that somehow a "credential" for the remarkable innovation that
Smith made? The 1969 book carries the description as
"Member of the Technical Staff, Bell Telephone Laboratories."

>Phil Smith invented and developed many other things. For example, he derived
>the optimum diameter ratio for coaxial feedlines. Said it was the simplest
>patent ever granted - just the number 1.65.

That's nice trivia but departing from the subject. The back
flyleaf (of the dustcover) on my book lists 20 patents granted
to Smith. Anyone can quote from flyleafs. It is the subject

between the covers that matters.

Speaking of the subject, what else does N2EY offer readers
as bona fides for his claim as "master of the Smith Chart?"

>> "Morse code" was conceived a century before, not for radio
>> but for primitive electrical landline use.
>
>Note the derogatory description "primitive".

There is NO way that one can describe a switch, a pair of
wires, an electromagnet, and a battery as "high technology"
by today's standards or the standards of technology of a
half century ago. :-)

Note the extreme sensitivity of morse enthusiasts getting
angry over the "technology" of morse code methods.

>> Landline manual
>> telegraphy was beginning to be replaced by teleprinters a
>> half century after its conception.
>
>Yup. But it took another half-century plus to complete the replacement.

It took less than a quarter century for the majority of the
manual telegraphy lines to be replaced...but replaced they
were.

>> There are no manual telegraphy landlines now.
>
>None at all? Are you sure?

Yes. Yes. :-)

>And, if true, why would it matter? Discussion here are about radio, not
>landwire communications.

Morse code was devised for manual telegraphy on land. It was
applied to early radio because the primitive radio technology of
the previous turn of the century could not accept other modes.

The Smith Chart was devised by Smith to aid his work on
landline transmission lines while at Bell Labs. Why didn't
N2EY make that a reason to discount Smith Chart use in
radio? :-).

>> Morse codings on radio now
>> exist only in amateur radio and a handfull of third world
>> countries.
>
>Nope. Not true. Hint: Think "automatic ID"

...and that is for communications?!? :-)

Note the extreme reach to highlight morse code as "needed."
:-)

>Nor do they consider Smith Chart knowledge to be very meaningful in granting
>an amateur radio license.

Not correct. The FCC approves the VEC question pool
but the VEC QPL included questions on the Smith Chart.
The VEC QPL is composed of licensed radio amateurs.

>Yet a morse code test still needed for all but one class of FCC amateur radio
>license. That will probably change in the future.

Incorrect again. Passing a morse code test is REQUIRED
by US amateur radio regulations for "all but one class of
license." No pass the morse test, no get HF privileges.
Absolute requirement, not a "need."

Does passing a morse code test make one a "master of
the Smith Chart?" :-)

5182. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 17:58:35 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB8AECB.4BD7E38E@uci.edu>
Source record: 21425

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >>You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?
> >
> > I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely
> > travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic. Are
> > you sure you want to claim that this violates the Theory of Relativity?
>
> There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
> When are you going to learn to stop doing that?

You mean when am I going to stop presuming there is meaning to the
questions you ask? Should I presume your questions are meaningless?

5183. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 19:55:33 UTC
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB8CA2B.94DF48CA@psu.edu>
Source record: 21427

You have an interesting debate style.

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Is that what you are really trying to say, mjc5? Or are you
> so overly sensitive to the subject that you took it personally?
>
> Nowhere in my post did I mention "mjc5." :-)
>
> Why can't you handle news of what goes on outside
> of amateur radio?
>

5184. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 19:59:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB8CB23.5010103@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21428

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
>>When are you going to learn to stop doing that?
>
> You mean when am I going to stop presuming there is meaning to the
> questions you ask? Should I presume your questions are meaningless?
You should presume that my questions are questions.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5185. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:03:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB8CC13.3060109@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21429

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>>>CAM wrote:
>>>
>>>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>>>>Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?
>>>>>
>>>>Rumor is, he spoke Greek.
>>>>
>>>But before, you said He spoke ancient Hebrew.
>>>
>>Whoa there pardner, you changed it from "he" to "He".
>
> "He" is who we've been talking about.....there, pardner.

Zeus is who we've been talking about as can be seen
above. I'm not sure Zeus rates a capital-H.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5186. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:08:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB8CD56.2090101@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21430

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So, one needs to know next to nothing to get to the highest level of
> ham radio license, and that fact means that the highest level ham
> license is considered to be near nothing. Makes sense, doesn't it?
Licenses have always counted for next to nothing. It's what one does

after one is licensed that counts.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5187. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:14:48 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB8CEB8.4020609@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21431

Bob Raymond wrote:

> If you memorized morse code, how far do you think you would get with that?
50 years of fun for me. I memorized Morse code when I was in

the cub scouts. You seem to have a different definition of
"memorize" than I do. Here's mine direct from the dictionary:
"memorize - to commit to memory" i.e. anything committed to
memory is memorizing. I fail to comprehend how someone can
receive Morse code without committing anything to memory.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5188. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:22:21 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.1622e0d4a1a96f1798969b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21432

In article <3BB8CA2B.94DF48CA@psu.edu>, mjc5@psu.edu says...
> You have an interesting debate style.
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Is that what you are really trying to say, mjc5? Or are you
> > so overly sensitive to the subject that you took it personally?
> >
> > Nowhere in my post did I mention "mjc5." :-)
> >
> > Why can't you handle news of what goes on outside
> > of amateur radio?

he probably could if it were reported accurately ;-)

5189. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:35:06 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB8D37A.81259E0A@uci.edu>
Source record: 21434

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
> >>When are you going to learn to stop doing that?
> >
> > You mean when am I going to stop presuming there is meaning to the
> > questions you ask? Should I presume your questions are meaningless?

> You should presume that my questions are questions.

As opposed to what?

> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5190. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:35:20 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <cs4u7.779$Le.28404@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21433

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB8CEB8.4020609@IEEE.org...
> 50 years of fun for me. I memorized Morse code when I was in
>
> the cub scouts. You seem to have a different definition of
> "memorize" than I do. Here's mine direct from the dictionary:
> "memorize - to commit to memory" i.e. anything committed to
> memory is memorizing. I fail to comprehend how someone can
> receive Morse code without committing anything to memory.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

If you memorized morse code then you commited mere dashes and dots
to memory.
Had you practiced this sufficiently, which I am sure you have, then you
would
have commited to memory a relationship between dashes and dots to a sound,
which later
would relate to a letter of the alphabet. When practiced to an even greater
extent you commit to memory words
that are comprised of sounds. Memory without practical application is
useless other
than for pure reference. Memorization is the first step in learning, not the
only step.
As an example, I could attempt to memorize the Russian language. Perhaps I
could recall the words at will,
but that does not mean I could pronounce them, put them together in a
grammatically correct sequence or even
begin to understand their meaning.

W7RJR

5191. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:41:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB8D4E6.4010402@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21435

Bob Raymond wrote:

> Had you practiced this sufficiently, which I am sure you have, then you
> would
> have commited to memory a relationship between dashes and dots to a sound,
> which later would relate to a letter of the alphabet.

Bob, my dictionary says that is "memorizing", pure and simple.
Committing sounds to memory *IS* memorizing. Committing anything
to memory is memorizing, according to the dictionary.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5192. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:42:16 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB8D528.B476CF92@uci.edu>
Source record: 21437

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>
> >>>CAM wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>>>>Did Zeus speak ancient Hebrew?
> >>>>>
> >>>>Rumor is, he spoke Greek.
> >>>>
> >>>But before, you said He spoke ancient Hebrew.
> >>>
> >>Whoa there pardner, you changed it from "he" to "He".
> >
> > "He" is who we've been talking about.....there, pardner.
>
> Zeus is who we've been talking about as can be seen
> above. I'm not sure Zeus rates a capital-H.

No, if you'll look above, you'll note that I was talking about the guy
who is in charge of ALL the other guys. The guy I'm thinking of is
usually referred to with a capital H. You said his name is Zeus, I
didn't.
```

5193. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 20:46:45 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <VC4u7.781$Le.28023@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21436

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB8D4E6.4010402@IEEE.org...

> Bob, my dictionary says that is "memorizing", pure and simple.
> Committing sounds to memory *IS* memorizing. Committing anything
> to memory is memorizing, according to the dictionary.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>

Yes, commiting sounds to memory *IS* memory, but you didn't get there by
memorizing dots
and dashes. There are Savants who can memorize entire books, recall phrases
at will but when
questioned as to what the book was about, they are clueless.

W7RJR

5194. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 22:24:09 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BB8ECF9.B7840C19@home.com>
Source record: 21438

The question was for Texas though. He can have multiple copies of the same plate on different vehicles, not to exceed three. I still have a new spare set here. They sent an extra set by error for a vehicle long since sold.

"D. Stussy" wrote:

>
> As an example of a "no" state, California forbids it because although the two
> combinations will appear differently in the DMV computer network, there is a
> requirement that stamped plates may not have the same printed combination of
> numbers and/or letters as any previously issued plate.

5195. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 22:30:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gkjko13.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21439

On Sun, 30 Sep 2001 19:24:29 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>As an example of a "no" state, California forbids it because although
>the two combinations will appear differently in the DMV computer
>network, there is a requirement that stamped plates may not have the
>same printed combination of numbers and/or letters as any previously
>issued plate.

California will issue amateur radio call plates for one and
only one vehicle of any type that the licensee is a registered
owner of, and a "Call plus numeral" personalized plate for additional
vehicles.

They will not issue an amateur call sign on a personalized special-
series plate even if the applicant is the licensee and is willing to
pay the extra charge but they will issue any other non-call-sign-appearing
alpha-numeric combo on request. Quentin Kopp, the then-chairman of
the state senate transportation committee, and I went round and
round with DMV on this three years ago - it's their antiquated hard
coded computer system that blocks it, allowing an amateur call sign
combo only on an amateur call regular-series plate and Quent's
committee could not spring the half-million bucks to upgrade that
module at that time.

Through the efforts of yours truly, DMV will not issue a
personalized any-series plate with a call-sign-appearing
alpha-numeric combo to someone who does not hold that call sign.
Unfortunately they would not apply the same blocking for non-FCC
(foreign) call signs.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5196. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-01 23:47:01 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@remailer.privacy.at>
Message-ID: <dbbf19e893331940200d85b8fe89554b@remailer.privacy.at>
Source record: 21440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <9p7jcf$p86$1@bimminy.com>
sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) wrote:
>
>
> On Mon, 1 Oct 2001 19:28:48 +0200 (CEST),
> My_name_is_Stu_Parker_and_Im_a_Pedophile <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote:
>
> : In article <b65941$0$11770$45beb828@bimminy.com>
> :Steve probably has CSMA/CDs that won't play .mp3s. The following table
summarizes the specifications for
> :Ethernet architecture. However, retransmission takes time, and will be
ubable to intercept it. Clyde
> :has other factors to consider before making a decision. The point was
relatively simple, the employees
> :would arrive at work to find the telephone system not working. The clouds
are intended to convey the idea
> :that this is an ever-changing situation. 802.3 has been installed and
configured. This way, only a small amount
> :of data can be transmitted, making it relatively easy for Stu to error.
> :Many, if not all, plan to recover a network. Some kind of exchange could
easily infect all the computers is also on the rise.
> :This is often accompanied each time a new disk is inserted.

> Oops! I guess my finger slipped and I accidentally posted the above.
>
> Apologies. I've gone ahead and canceled the post.

Oops! I suppose I've gone and posted it again.

***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
****
** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
****
********** We are simple servants of his will **********

5197. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 00:28:49 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB90A41.7DBC16B0@uci.edu>
Source record: 21441

N2EY wrote:
> Some orders were referred to as "penguins" because of the appearance of the
> old-style habits.

Isn't that what the Blues Brothers called their nun - "The Penguin"?
Even as adults they had to sit in those tiny desks. I forget the name
of their church, but I think George Carlin went the Our Lady of
Perpetual Motion. :-)

73, Jim K

5198. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 00:35:52 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.gov>
Message-ID: <MPG.16231c405ac29d9f98969c@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21442

In article <3BB90A41.7DBC16B0@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Some orders were referred to as "penguins" because of the appearance of the
> > old-style habits.
>
> Isn't that what the Blues Brothers called their nun - "The Penguin"?
> Even as adults they had to sit in those tiny desks. I forget the name
> of their church, but I think George Carlin went the Our Lady of
> Perpetual Motion. :-)
>
> 73, Jim K

Our lady of perpetual sorrow

AND LHA was a graduate

5199. Re: ISLAM IS A PEACEFUL RELIGION????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 01:32:44 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3BB9193C.7E3C7B45@mindless.com>
Source record: 21443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Gray Shockley wrote:

> On Sun, 30 Sep 2001 12:59:12 -0500, LA Davies wrote
> (in message <S2Jt7.70257$Dz6.1406041@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>):
>
> > Over the past week, The Hal Turner Radio Show web site was attacked every
> > day, causing the site to crash several times a day.
>
> ---
>
> He's running Microsoft server software, huh?

Hehe. I end up using NT/98 on the desktop due to apps.,
but there's no question you've got to be halfway nuts to
use a Microsoft server (deliberately made non-compatible
and non-standard) when you can get NetBSD/Linux/FreeBSD
to do the same job with less overhead and at essentially
no cost.

Where I work, they've dropped NT print servers and gone to
Linux. Since the switch-over, the system has suddenly become
100% stable -- which it never was before.

P.S.: Guess what Microsoft uses to host their FTP site?

-- Ross

>
>
> Gray Shockley
> ---
> Mississippi, US

5200. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 01:45:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011001214524.03470.00001398@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 21444

In article <OYBt7.21809$W8.1379947@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>British Ford Escorts were kind of cute. Haven't encountered a worse gearbox
>since the pigeon-egg on a chromed coat hanger in the Renault Caravelle.

Dick:

A "pigeon-egg on a chrimed coat hangar?" I'm not familiar with Renaults,
so you're going to have to explain that reference for me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5201. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 01:45:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011001214524.03470.00001399@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 21445

In article <3bb6f957_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>> Maybe I will, someday. I'm not prejudiced against voice modes, just
>> the things that happen there each and every single time I try to use
>> them! I don't have the same problems on CW and digital modes. How
>> do you explain that?
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>A change in personality may help that, Larry.
>

Kim:

I absolutely agree. If the people who use phone exclusively *would* change
their personalities, it would help a great deal!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5202. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 01:45:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011001214524.03470.00001400@nso-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 21446

In article <3BB72BD7.2000904@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Maybe I will, someday. I'm not prejudiced against voice modes, just
>> the things that happen there each and every single time I try to use
>> them! I don't have the same problems on CW and digital modes. How
>> do you explain that?

>Maybe if you would stop calling, "CQ all you SSB a$$holes", you
>wouldn't have that problem. :-)

Cecil:

You may have a point there, except for the fact that I don't *do* that
when I call CQ on phone! Which is not to say it isn't being done --
just not by me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5203. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 02:13:12 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Yo9u7.32222$3d2.1656143@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21447

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20011001214524.03470.00001398@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <OYBt7.21809$W8.1379947@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >
> >British Ford Escorts were kind of cute. Haven't encountered a worse
gearbox
> >since the pigeon-egg on a chromed coat hanger in the Renault Caravelle.
>
> Dick:
>
> A "pigeon-egg on a chrimed coat hangar?" I'm not familiar with Renaults,
> so you're going to have to explain that reference for me!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

In the early days when VW started to sell in the US, the jolly boys at Renault sold three models in the US, the 2CV now called Charleston that must be seen to be believed, the Dauphine which was supposed to be the bug killer, and the Caravelle that was aimed at the Karmann Ghia. Might have been a few 4 CVs but they made your DKW stylish by comparison.

The Dauphine was equipped with a 3-speed floor shift because Americans wouldn't know how to drive a four-speed, right. Small engines and 3 speeds produced fiascos. The Caravelle had a rear engine, and a four-speed with linkage that would have to have shaped up a lot to be called 'vague' coupled to a shift rod that looked like a chromed coat hanger wire terminated in a pigeon egg. Driving one was an experience: wind out in first, clutch, row around in a sea of Jello trying to find second, slow down, try to grind into a non-synched first, blow off that idea, find second, lurch off.

The Escort I drove in England was fwd but the linkage was right out of a Caravelle. Steam into Bath from the west and you go down a hill that as close to straight down as rubber tires will hold. The guy I was with tells me that while stirring up the Jello to find a lower gear, I let out a noise resembling Goofy's ya-hooowie.

Riding the tour bus in Bath you get to go up the hills and listen to the driver downshift until you are not doing walking speed at 2500 rpm. Grandma I have used but this bus's grandmas stretched back to Nefertiti.

The Escort engine could not get by US pollution rules so was never imported. Allah be praised.

Dick N&#65533;BK

5204. Re: Starbucks and the NYC disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 02:40:58 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011001224058.27713.00001552@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21448

> any company that
> would try to make a profit off of a crisis like
>this doesn't deserve the American
> public's hard earned money.

You may not be aware of the fact that Starbucks are indentently owned franchises. Why punish every Star Bucks for the actions of one. I myself do not shop at starbucks because the ones close to me have crapy service.

5205. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 02:42:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB929A2.4070503@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21449

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>You should presume that my questions are questions.
>
> As opposed to what?
As opposed to whatever you are presuming now.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5206. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 02:44:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB92A29.4080302@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21450

Jim Kelley wrote:

> No, if you'll look above, you'll note that I was talking about the guy
> who is in charge of ALL the other guys.

And Zeus was indeed in charge of ALL the other guys according to

Greek mythology. He still doesn't merit a capital-H.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5207. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 02:49:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB92B4B.1080202@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21451

Bob Raymond wrote:

> Yes, commiting sounds to memory *IS* memory, but you didn't get there by
> memorizing dots and dashes.

But you do get there by memorizing - there is no other way. People
who don't memorize, i.e. don't commit things to memory, are
incapable of learning anything and are normally institutionalized.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5208. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 03:06:21 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <Naau7.818$Le.29417@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21452

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BB92B4B.1080202@IEEE.org...
> But you do get there by memorizing - there is no other way. People
> who don't memorize, i.e. don't commit things to memory, are
> incapable of learning anything and are normally institutionalized.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
Those that commit things to memory without understanding what they have
memorized
are idiots. They too need to be institutionalized :-)

W7RJR

>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5209. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 03:14:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pbbf2$4rl$0@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 21453

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > So, one needs to know next to nothing to get to the highest level of
> > ham radio license, and that fact means that the highest level ham
> > license is considered to be near nothing. Makes sense, doesn't it?
> Licenses have always counted for next to nothing. It's what one does
>
> *after* one is licensed that counts.

That's your story and yo'rs ssticking to it. of course.
That doesn't make it fact.

5210. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 03:19:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pbbo2$5tl$0@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 21454

CAM wrote:
>
> Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> > If you memorized morse code, how far do you think you would get with that?
> 50 years of fun for me. I memorized Morse code when I was in
>
> the cub scouts. You seem to have a different definition of
> "memorize" than I do. Here's mine direct from the dictionary:
> "memorize - to commit to memory" i.e. anything committed to
> memory is memorizing. I fail to comprehend how someone can
> receive Morse code without committing anything to memory.

No, you don't "fail" to comprehend, you refuse. You know very well that memorization alone is not learning. Memorization is only one component part of learning and cannot on its own constitute learning. Youi can memorize any number of things without absorbing any knowledge whatever of the subject matter.
You could memorize the entire Morse code alphabet table without being able to recognize a single character by its sound, which is the way it is used.

5211. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 03:21:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pbbqu$5tl$1@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 21455

CAM wrote:
>
> Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> > Had you practiced this sufficiently, which I am sure you have, then you
> > would
> > have commited to memory a relationship between dashes and dots to a sound,
> > which later would relate to a letter of the alphabet.
>
> Bob, my dictionary says that is "memorizing", pure and simple.
> Committing sounds to memory *IS* memorizing. Committing anything
> to memory is memorizing, according to the dictionary.

And that is quite apart from learning. I am quite sure that your Cub
Scout experience of memorizing a code table on paper would do little
good at operating radiotelegraphy on the air.

5212. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 03:28:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pbc83$5tl$2@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 21456

```
Fred Garvin wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Only if you believe that God spoke ONLY to the Jews and ONLY in Hebrew.
> > > God says that we all his children and that he speaks to/hears all of us.
> > Which begs the question - What is God's preferred language?
>
> Gibberish.

He does that a lot.
```

5213. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs. (TS-440 ?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 04:52:08 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BB947F8.D90D20B6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 21457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Got my TS440SAT used in Jan 2000, hasn't died yet.

Rick Wilcox wrote:

> Gee, I wonder how long my TS-440 SAT has until it turns into a
> pumpkin?? Had it now for about 10 years and so far so good! There goes that
> 100% rating huh. Oh well, maybe I got the 1 in a million so that won't screw
> up the record will it? And as far as those restructured hams go, I believe
> if we all look back a little in time we will see that even we started out
> with a 4 channel (or 23 or maybe even a 40 channel depending on our age)
> cb that stimulated our interest enough to further our radio experiences. "It
> must be lonely at the top" looking down at all those peasants.
> "Porky".. "Miss Piggy's Favorite Bacon Maker down here in the
> Sand Pile"! 73 there "Good Buddy" and I'm back quiet. Hi hi.

5214. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 05:21:52 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <w2cu7.33110$2H2.2672069@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 21458

Um, Cecil, Germany went Nazi in the 1930s, USA went to war in '41.

Cheers,

Jerry
CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B99933F.A607B2D9@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So what did you pay for the privilege of not going to war, where so
> > many lost everything including their lives so that you could have the
> > opportunity to become a digital engineer?
>
> I already had my EE degree when my country called, Dick. I was ready
> and willing to go. They rejected me.
>
> Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
> would never have happened.
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5215. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 05:44:28 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87y9mu7g03.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 21459

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

> Um, Cecil, Germany went Nazi in the 1930s, USA went to war in '41.
>

Jerry, you need to think about the difference between WWI and
WWII.

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3B99933F.A607B2D9@IEEE.org...
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > So what did you pay for the privilege of not going to war, where so
> > > many lost everything including their lives so that you could have the
> > > opportunity to become a digital engineer?
> >
> > I already had my EE degree when my country called, Dick. I was ready
> > and willing to go. They rejected me.
> >
> > Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
> > would never have happened.
> > --
> > cheers, Cecil
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5216. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 07:27:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB96C51.3D9FC7F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21460

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > let me quickly comment on a misconception
> > you seem to have - that the license exam
> > is there to prove that hams know their
> > stuff. As I've said many times before, the
> > license exam is not a graduation exam
> > designed to prove skill or knowledge - it
> > is instead an entrance exam designed to
> > test basic skills needed for the
> > operating privileges at that license level.
>
>
> Yep- the 'entry level' licenses were designed
> to do just that. NOT the Extra class, but
> that's where it has been allowed to slide.

I seriously doubt that, Dick. I've seen nothing that would suggest the Extra exam was designed with a different intent than the other license exams. And the material covered is certainly rather basic compared to what should be covered to demonstrate "extra" level knowledge and skill when it comes to radio.

> > It is after you obtain the license that you
> > gain more skill and knowledge beyond those
> > basics through actual use and experimentation.
>
>
> So, one needs to know next to nothing to
> get to the highest level of ham radio license,
> and that fact means that the highest level
> ham license is considered to be near nothing.
> Makes sense, doesn't it?

That is stretching it a bit, Dick. However, if you want professional accreditation for your radio skills, there are other FCC exams for that purpose. The ham license exams were simply not designed to do that. The ham license exams are only designed to demonstrate the basic skills needed to enter the various levels of this hobby radio service.

However, that does not suggest for a moment that the Extra license means "next to nothing." That license does establish that the person probably knows more about radio than perhaps 98 percent of the

population. And that is certainly far more than nothing.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5217. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 08:06:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB97551.29DA0D4D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21461

Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> So you are stating inversely that hams
> "don't know their stuff"? (snip)

I'm stating exactly what I said, Bob. Not some perversion of that to fit your agenda.

> I learn by reading, listening, comprehending,
> practicing, making mistakes and practicing
> some more. That takes time. It is after this
> process is complete that I commit this to
> memory, not before.

Then you are truly a very unique individual - perhaps the only one on this planet that can do what you claim. Most would find it nearly impossible to so completely control the memory process - to only commit something to memory after a long series of steps, not during those steps.

> Mere memorization is NOT learning. It is part
> of the process, but that is all. If you
> memorized morse code, how far do you think
> you would get with that? dit-dah duh.....

So how did you learn Morse code without memorizing the groups of sound associated with the various letters of the alphabet? You had to commit those sounds to memory, or you could not possibly remember them the next time you heard them. That is memorization, my friend.

> What if you memorized a schematic of your
> rig without understanding what a resistor
> is, or Kirchoff's Law or worse yet Ohms
> law??

Why would I memorize a schematic if I didn't understand how it worked? That seems like a complete waste of time. By the way, the last time I checked, how each of these (a resister, Kirchoff's Law, and Ohms law) work are covered in the license exams.

> I explained this in the above paragraph.
> Please don't make assumptions about
> me. (snip)

I'm not making any assumption about you personally. I'm only making an assumption about our understanding of the human memory process. Of course, since you claim to not fit the norm when it comes to that,

then obviously what I've written doesn't apply to you. Of course, the FCC designs the license exams for most human beings, not unique individuals such as yourself.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5218. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 08:33:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB97BAF.F83D1134@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21462

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> No, you don't "fail" to comprehend,
> you refuse. You know very well that
> memorization alone is not learning.

Dick, perhaps you need to be a little more clear on what you're trying to say. According to my understanding, learning is impossible without memorization - it is impossible to do one without the other. In other words, the two are directly linked - you learn what you memorize and memorize what you learn.

> You could memorize the entire Morse
> code alphabet table without being
> able to recognize a single character
> by its sound, which is the way it
> is used.

But you could not possibly learn to use Morse code without memorizing the sounds associated with the letters of the alphabet. Again, memorization is a necessary and inescapable component of learning Morse code. And, once memorization of those sounds as they relate to the various letters is complete, you have learned Morse code. What else is required?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5219. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 09:03:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB982B3.BA7390C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21463

N2EY wrote:
>
> I would say they have been lowered.
> Not only have the code tests been
> drastically reduced, but the
> writtens as well. Before April 15,
> 2000, getting an Extra required
> passing 5 separate written tests
> totalling 190 questions. Today it
> takes only 3 separate written tests
> totalling 120 questions - (snip)

In April 2000, getting a Extra required four written exams, Jim. The Technician, General, Advanced, and Extra. And it was a total of 155 questions, not 190. But, again, a change in the entrance requirements does not mean the "standards" were lowered.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5220. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 12:36:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pccct$38o$0@208.206.142.99>
Source record: 21464

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > let me quickly comment on a misconception
> > > you seem to have - that the license exam
> > > is there to prove that hams know their
> > > stuff. As I've said many times before, the
> > > license exam is not a graduation exam
> > > designed to prove skill or knowledge - it
> > > is instead an entrance exam designed to
> > > test basic skills needed for the
> > > operating privileges at that license level.
> >
> >
> > Yep- the 'entry level' licenses were designed
> > to do just that. NOT the Extra class, but
> > that's where it has been allowed to slide.
>
> I seriously doubt that, Dick. I've seen nothing that would suggest
> the Extra exam was designed with a different intent than the other
> license exams.

Today, you won't see anything to suggest other than the Extra is just another memory job to be accomplished, and among the majority of new Extras you sure won't find much in the way of technical talent.

And the material covered is certainly rather basic
> compared to what should be covered to demonstrate "extra" level
> knowledge and skill when it comes to radio.
>

It was not always so. That is the main objection to some of the changes made in recent years. And no, no ham radio license was ever intended to be a comprehensive test in electronic knowledge, no engineering level exam, just a test of the licesee's level of advancement in the art and practice of ham radio. Today, successful applicants may, or more commonly may not, be able to find their butt with both hands.

5221. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 12:54:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pcdee$5rh$0@208.206.142.99>
Source record: 21465

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > No, you don't "fail" to comprehend,
> > you refuse. You know very well that
> > memorization alone is not learning.
>
> Dick, perhaps you need to be a little more clear on what you're
> trying to say. According to my understanding, learning is impossible
> without memorization - it is impossible to do one without the other.
> In other words, the two are directly linked - you learn what you
> memorize and memorize what you learn.

Surely you know better than that- Ever hear of rote memorization?
Things memorized just to be regurgitated with no other intent, and no
particular gain of knowledge intended? Some people have photographic
memories and can recall every detail of anything they read or see, but
they may or may not be interested enough to learn the details and learn
to understand it. Memorization is an integral component of learning but
it definitely is NOT equivalent to the total process, which is what
you're saying.

>
> > You could memorize the entire Morse
> > code alphabet table without being
> > able to recognize a single character
> > by its sound, which is the way it
> > is used.
>
> But you could not possibly learn to use Morse code without
> memorizing the sounds associated with the letters of the alphabet.
> Again, memorization is a necessary and inescapable component of
> learning Morse code.

That's right - *a component*. Not the total process.

And, once memorization of those sounds as they
> relate to the various letters is complete, you have learned Morse
> code. What else is required?

No wonder you have so much trouble with it. You don't know the
difference.

Clue: Study, thought, repetition and PRACTICE. And not just for the
Morse code.

5222. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 13:01:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pcdqg$6cc$0@208.206.142.99>
Source record: 21466

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Bob Raymond wrote:
> >
> > So you are stating inversely that hams
> > "don't know their stuff"? (snip)
>
> I'm stating exactly what I said, Bob. Not some perversion of that to
> fit your agenda.
>
> > I learn by reading, listening, comprehending,
> > practicing, making mistakes and practicing
> > some more. That takes time. It is after this
> > process is complete that I commit this to
> > memory, not before.
>
> Then you are truly a very unique individual - perhaps the only one
> on this planet that can do what you claim. Most would find it nearly
> impossible to so completely control the memory process - to only
> commit something to memory after a long series of steps, not during
> those steps.
>
> > Mere memorization is NOT learning. It is part
> > of the process, but that is all. If you
> > memorized morse code, how far do you think
> > you would get with that? dit-dah duh.....
>
> So how did you learn Morse code without memorizing the groups of
> sound associated with the various letters of the alphabet? You had to
> commit those sounds to memory, or you could not possibly remember them
> the next time you heard them. That is memorization, my friend.
>
> > What if you memorized a schematic of your
> > rig without understanding what a resistor
> > is, or Kirchoff's Law or worse yet Ohms
> > law??
>
> Why would I memorize a schematic if I didn't understand how it
> worked? That seems like a complete waste of time. By the way, the last
> time I checked, how each of these (a resister, Kirchoff's Law, and
> Ohms law) work are covered in the license exams.
>
> > I explained this in the above paragraph.
> > Please don't make assumptions about

> > me. (snip)
>
> I'm not making any assumption about you personally. I'm only making
> an assumption about our understanding of the human memory process. Of
> course, since you claim to not fit the norm when it comes to that,
> then obviously what I've written doesn't apply to you. Of course, the
> FCC designs the license exams for most human beings, not unique
> individuals such as yourself.

Might as well give it up, Bob. Dwight is clueless and intent on staying
that way.

5223. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 13:07:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pce61$7kp$0@208.206.142.99>
Source record: 21467

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
> > Um, Cecil, Germany went Nazi in the 1930s, USA went to war in '41.
> >
>
> Jerry, you need to think about the difference between WWI and
> WWII.

Yeah. Instead, concentrate on Cecil's Monday morning armchair
quarterkvecking.
Lots of history would be different if it could be changed posthumously.

>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3B99933F.A607B2D9@IEEE.org...
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > So what did you pay for the privilege of not going to war, where so
> > > > many lost everything including their lives so that you could have the
> > > > opportunity to become a digital engineer?
> > >
> > > I already had my EE degree when my country called, Dick. I was ready
> > > and willing to go. They rejected me.
> > >
> > > Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
> > > would never have happened.
> > > --
> > > cheers, Cecil
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5224. Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 13:42:30 UTC
From: Henry County American Red Cross <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <Fzju7.16$0l6.1740@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 21468

Well all I am off to NYC to help with the disaster relief, I was activated
this morning.
Take care everyone.

Keith Hosman

5225. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:00:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002100043.07939.00001848@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21469

>Subject: Re: How many hams does it take?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/1/2001 11:14 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011001121434.28070.00000335@nso-bd.aol.com>

> Incorrect.
>
> The address header quoted indicates the "lightbulb" post
> was done at 2:52 AM PDT on Sunday, 30 September 2001.
> At that date and time I was not even close to a computer
> nor logged on to any ISP nor at home.

You have so blatantly lied before that I am not impressed by your assertion of non-participation. And headers are meaningless. More than one account...different ISP, etc etc etc.

> Only those who think the world revolves around them and
> their viewpoints would be so sensitive as to take such a
> humorous item personally.

You should know, Your Lifelessness.

>Here's the entirety of Stu Parker's posting -

Of course YOU found that funny, Lennie. I am sure you wrote it. If you didn't write it, you certainly endorse it. It's got "Lennie" written all over it.

5226. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:06:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002100633.07939.00001851@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21470

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/1/2001 11:14 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011001121435.28070.00000336@nso-bd.aol.com>

>> Lennie get's his leg pulled and uses it to sail off into another "I
>know
>>more than you" diatribe.
>>
>> Or so he thinks..........
>
> Not "thinks," knows...

Ahhhh, Lennie..so blissfully ignorant...one of the benefits of Alzheimers, I am sure...

> The colonel was totally ignorant of the terms used in the
> posting, didn't know what they were and thought they were
> gibberish. As a result he "replied" with gibberish

Excuse me, Your Loserness...What was that you said about lack of a sense of humor just this day in another thread...?!?!

Two faced as always, I see.

> The ignorant have three courses of action: Do nothing about
> such postings, ignore them; seek to learn more, asking
> questions and studying the general scope of activity; or sit
> around in anger and fury, pouncing on each and every posting
> of an individual to attempt belittling them, insulting them, or
> thinking up lies to post about another.

And the arrogant ignore the inquisitive when approached. Where's that microwave thread, Lennie.

You ae SUCH a scumbag~!

> The colonel is a licensed amateur extra and thus representative
> of the US amateur community.

Lennie alleges to have been an EE and thus representitive of the EE community.

5227. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:24:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110020624.297cdb81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21471

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BB982B3.BA7390C@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > I would say they have been lowered.
> > Not only have the code tests been
> > drastically reduced, but the
> > writtens as well. Before April 15,
> > 2000, getting an Extra required
> > passing 5 separate written tests
> > totalling 190 questions. Today it
> > takes only 3 separate written tests
> > totalling 120 questions - (snip)
>
>
> In April 2000, getting a Extra required four written exams, Jim. The
> Technician, General, Advanced, and Extra.

What about the Novice written?

> And it was a total of 155
> questions, not 190.

Only if you leave out the old Novice written.

> But, again, a change in the entrance requirements
> does not mean the "standards" were lowered.
>
True. But since the restructuring both reduced the number of questions
and the number of tests without any real change in the question pools
or the test methods, it sure looks like a lowering of test standards
to me.

Consider just the step from General to Extra. Used to require the 50
question Advanced test and the 40 question Extra test. (We're only
discussing written tests, of course). Now it takes just one 50
question test, drawn from a combined pool.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5228. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:27:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002102713.07939.00001853@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21472

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/1/2001 11:14 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011001121436.28070.00000337@nso-bd.aol.com>

> It involved radios. It involved volunteerism. It involved news
> that concerned the stricken areas.

And you re-labeled it into a new thread intended to do nothing but incite
anger and denigrate almost 3/4 of a million Americans.

You are a scumbag. Period.

>Why can't you handle news of what goes on outside of amateur radio?

It wasn't about "what goes on outside of Amateur Radio. It was about your
sadistic attempt to once again elevate yourself.

As usual, it backfired, but not without pissing off a lot of GOOD people.

>Can you comprehend that New York
>City already had radio communications equipment and that
>most of it was still functional and being used after the Attack?

I notice you always refer to Amateur Radio in lower case, but you
capitalize "Attack". Freud gotya again, Your Scuminess.

>There won't be any victims still alive in the rubble of what was
>the World Trade Center...but clearing away that tremendous
>amount of rubble is going to take at least another month. NYC
>needs to control and coordinate all those trucks and equipment,
>to make sure that the city can still function normally (as much
>as possible) while the clean-up continues. They can use the
>Motorola equipment for that work.

All of it absolutely correct. However it's not germane to the services
that were provided by ARES and RACES in the immediate aftermath of the attack.

Amateur Radio, once again, did exactly what it is expected to do...provide
immediate and interim communications facilities to the public in times of
disaster until the communications infrastructure is restored

This they did in grand style.

>Ask yourself the question as to whether or not the clean-up
>tasks, the body part identifications, and coordination of all the
>work crews can be performed by radio amateurs doing
>"emergency communications" in coordination with the

>Salvation Army? Or the American Red Cross?

Sure they can, Your Gayness. Prove to me (and the rest of the world) that they couldn't, if need be.....

>Get real. The amateur radio communications done on day
>9-11 and afterwards was good and true but it did not serve the
>"emergency communications" needs of NYC.

Another lie by someone who shouold know better...but doesn't.

>It did serve to
>help the Red Cross and the Salvation Army and thus was
>truly in the "health and welfare" communications category.

Among other things, yes. But it DID serve the emergency role, no matter how many times YOU say it didn't.

>What "sadness," mjc5? Sadness that I didn't laud amateur radio
>to the skies for "emergency communications" during an evil,
>monstrous event?

Only a sadistic, self-centered, callous fool like you would have thought that comment was about you, Anderscum.

What a putz.

>Amateur radio is not going
>to "save the day" by sitting on the sideline as a separate group
>thinking they "know it all" about communications.

And once again Lennie Anderscum proves that he has absolutely NO idea of what he's ranting about.

Amateur Radio does NOT "sit on the sideline as a seperate group".

Only YOU think that, Mr. Foolhearty. IF (and that's a BIG "if") you were an active, participating and CONTRIBUTING member of the Amateur community, you'd know better.

But youa re not...so you don't...

>Put things in perspective, not in pipe dreams or petty, little
>personally-offended nonsense.

Words you should live by, Your Emptiness. Words YOU should live by.

5229. DX Cluster via Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:33:20 UTC
From: &#65533; G8ASO <lonely_loony@worcester.com>
Message-ID: <3bb9d038_2@news2.vip.uk.com>
Source record: 21473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Greetings,

The recent widespread DX cluster abuse has been brought about by a like minded individual making us, at LPWS HQ, aware of Internet to DX cluster access.

There are several programs, but we have favoured DX-Telnet, copies of which are available for free download from our club web site at
http://www.laughingpoliceman.com
Go to either the DX cluster listings or the free downloads pages.

Please note that to change your victims call sign you need to go to Configuration/Personal Info as the program starts up with the last call used.

Have fun, just use ann/full before your words of wisdom etc. Bulletins can be sent as well as personal messages, for instructions type ? when connected.

G8ASO

5230. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:52:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB9D4AE.9090605@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21474

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>Licenses have always counted for next to nothing. It's what one does
>>*after* one is licensed that counts.
>>
> That's your story and yo'rs ssticking to it. of course.
> That doesn't make it fact.
Virtually anyone can obtain exactly the same privileges as

you, Dick. If you want respect, you'll not get it from simply
possessing a license. Respect must be *earned*.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5231. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:55:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB9D57D.6040304@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21475

Bob Raymond wrote:

> Those that commit things to memory without understanding what they have
> memorized
> are idiots. They too need to be institutionalized :-)

But it's the lack of knowledge that is at fault, not
the memorizing. Virtually all knowledge is memorized,
i.e. committed to memory.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5232. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:58:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB9D5FD.4040903@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21476

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>>Bob, my dictionary says that is "memorizing", pure and simple.
>>Committing sounds to memory *IS* memorizing. Committing anything
>>to memory is memorizing, according to the dictionary.
>
> And that is quite apart from learning.

OK, Dick, please tell us how someone learns something without
committing it to memory, i.e. without memorizing. This should
be very interesting.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5233. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 14:58:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002105830.07096.00000001@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21477

>Subject: Re: How many hams does it take?
>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>Date: 10/2/2001 9:15 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <aol.absolutely.sux@bimminy.com>

>Yes, I am Lennie and Lennie is me. In fact, everyone who doesn't share
>your world view is Lennie. It is a conspiracy of one.

Only when it's childish ham-baiting trolls......

Kinda like YOUR tripe, Your Facelessness.

5234. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 15:01:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB9D6DE.305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21478

Dick Carroll wrote:

> You know very well that memorization alone is not learning.

That's true, but you cannot learn without memorizing.
"memorize - to commit to memory". Exactly how can one
learn anything without committing it to memory? If you
cannot remember your learning, what good is it?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5235. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 15:05:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB9D7A6.8040901@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21479

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Might as well give it up, Bob. Dwight is clueless and intent on staying
> that way.
The people who are clueless are the ones who believe that learning

is possible without committing anything to memory, i.e. memorizing.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5236. Re: Don't Blame Bush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 15:11:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BB9D92C.1040202@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21480

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Um, Cecil, Germany went Nazi in the 1930s, USA went to war in '41.

Um, Jerry, USA went to war in 1917. Read it again.

>>Incidentally, I believe if the US had stayed out of WWI, NAZI Germany
>>would never have happened.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5237. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 16:15:24 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <wKlu7.851$Le.30361@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21481

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BB97551.29DA0D4D@sccoast.net...
wrote:
> > I learn by reading, listening, comprehending,
> > practicing, making mistakes and practicing
> > some more. That takes time. It is after this
> > process is complete that I commit this to
> > memory, not before.
>
>
> Then you are truly a very unique individual - perhaps the only one
> on this planet that can do what you claim. Most would find it nearly
> impossible to so completely control the memory process - to only
> commit something to memory after a long series of steps, not during
> those steps.

Touche'. You certainly found a flaw in my logic.
The key is a long series of steps for which you are absolutely correct.
What I should have said is that " After this process is complete I will gain
understanding and comprehension"
I'm wrong, you're right.

>
> > Mere memorization is NOT learning. It is part
> > of the process, but that is all. If you
> > memorized morse code, how far do you think
> > you would get with that? dit-dah duh.....
>
>
> So how did you learn Morse code without memorizing the groups of
> sound associated with the various letters of the alphabet? You had to
> commit those sounds to memory, or you could not possibly remember them
> the next time you heard them. That is memorization, my friend.
>

I believe you're describing rote memorization more than actual learning.
I am not an educator or a scientist so I cannot argue from that standpoint.
While I certainly would have to commit sounds and dit-dahs to memory
at some point, they are useless to me until I am able to memorize them in
some applicable form.

> > What if you memorized a schematic of your
> > rig without understanding what a resistor
> > is, or Kirchoff's Law or worse yet Ohms
> > law??

>
>
> Why would I memorize a schematic if I didn't understand how it
> worked? That seems like a complete waste of time. By the way, the last
> time I checked, how each of these (a resister, Kirchoff's Law, and
> Ohms law) work are covered in the license exams.
>
Why would anyone memorize a test if they didn't understand how it worked?
I think that is just as much a waste of time.

I'm not making any assumption about you personally. I'm only making
> an assumption about our understanding of the human memory process. Of
> course, since you claim to not fit the norm when it comes to that,
> then obviously what I've written doesn't apply to you. Of course, the
> FCC designs the license exams for most human beings, not unique
> individuals such as yourself.

I never claimed anything like that, it's just your play on my words.
You should give me an opportunity to admit I'm wrong before making
patronizing comments.

W7RJR

5238. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 16:19:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BB9DAEB.F48CEEE9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21482

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > >>You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?
> > >
> > > I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely
> > > travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic. Are
> > > you sure you want to claim that this violates the Theory of Relativity?
> >
> > There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
> > When are you going to learn to stop doing that?
>
> You mean when am I going to stop presuming there is meaning to the
> questions you ask? Should I presume your questions are meaningless?

I believe you've reached the proper conclusion, Jim. Cecil sets up scenarios in which he plays definition games in order to be the "winner". He has on several occasions admitted that what he posts cannot be taken seriously. He is simply a guy who chooses to spend his large amount of idle time by wasting the free time of others.

Dave K8MN

5239. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 16:21:35 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB9E990.40BBF2D9@psu.edu>
Source record: 21483

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >>Bob, my dictionary says that is "memorizing", pure and simple.
> >>Committing sounds to memory *IS* memorizing. Committing anything
> >>to memory is memorizing, according to the dictionary.
> >
> > And that is quite apart from learning.
>
> OK, Dick, please tell us how someone learns something without
> committing it to memory, i.e. without memorizing. This should
> be very interesting.

I used to know how to do that Cecil, but I can't remember any more. ;^)

- mike -

5240. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 16:54:19 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3BB9F13C.A7FD4118@psu.edu>
Source record: 21484

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> And you re-labeled it into a new thread intended to do nothing but incite
> anger and denigrate almost 3/4 of a million Americans.

It was pretty successful at inciting people, Steve. I suspect that someone is pretty proud of themselves. Bummer!

I'm happy to be of help when I can. the ARS isn't the focal point of my life, it's just a hobby where i can have fun and be of service to my fellow citizens when needed - civil emergencies or just plain old helping at public service functions, of which i do as many a year as our club does. When i'm done helping, i bet i feel a lot better than if i spent my time trying to belittle hams or other people.

ARS is good, volunteering is good!

- mike -

5241. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 17:07:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB9F45A.8147B088@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21485

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > And the material covered is certainly
> > rather basic compared to what should
> > be covered to demonstrate "extra" level
> > knowledge and skill when it comes to
> > radio.
>
>
> It was not always so. That is the main
> objection to some of the changes made in
> recent years.

Perhaps you can fill me in on exactly when that was, because I just don't see a fundamental change in the material covered today as opposed to the material covered in the past. In fact, I see some material covered today that was not covered in the not so distant past (RF exposure limits, for example).

> (snip) no ham radio license was ever
> intended to be a comprehensive test in
> electronic knowledge, no engineering
> level exam, just a test of the licesee's
> level of advancement in the art and
> practice of ham radio. (snip)

And there is the dispute. You see the license as evidence of a person's "advancement in the art and practice of ham radio," while I see it as evidence of a person's understanding of the basic knowledge needed for the various operating levels within ham radio. I have no official source to back up my contention. Do you?

Lacking that official word, we can only go off the evidence in front of us. And I think the absence of any test of the person's actual ability to operate a radio is sufficient proof that the exams are not designed to be evidence of "advancement in the art and practice of ham radio." How can it be evidence of "advancement in the art and practice" when it tests neither of those beyond the very basic fundamentals? And I have seen nothing that would suggest the license exams of the past were any more comprehensive. Those exams have always tested only the basic skills needed for the various operating levels.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5242. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 17:32:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB9FA19.8BD6EC88@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21486

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Surely you know better than that-
> Ever hear of rote memorization?
> Things memorized just to be
> regurgitated with no other intent,
> and no particular gain of knowledge
> intended? Some people have
> photographic memories (snip)

I thought we were talking about the regular learning process used by normal people. You seem to be suggesting that recent Extras simply rote memorized the several hundred questions necessary to pass each exam on their way to getting that license, which does not at all seem likely (that would be a pretty amazing feat). And if they didn't rote memorize the questions, then they had to have learned something about the material while studying those questions to have passed the exams. By the way, I also don't think many of those Extras have photographic memories either.

> Clue: Study, thought, repetition
> and PRACTICE.

That's right - all of those can be used for learning. But that does not mean that a person has to do each of those before they can learn something. For example, a person can learn about history without practice. Likewise, people learn things every day without study (look at how much kids pick up just by watching television).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5243. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 17:51:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BB9FE9E.E08BB9AE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21487

Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> I never claimed anything like that,
> it's just your play on my words.
> You should give me an opportunity
> to admit I'm wrong before making
> patronizing comments.

Ah, but this is the internet and there is no fun in being gracious, Bob. It is much more entertaining to make a person eat crow. But don't take this so seriously - all of us have had to do it at some point. In fact, you would truly be an amazing person if you didn't ever make a mistake or didn't ever say something you later regretted. And we ALL know that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5244. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 17:55:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002135546.15051.00000285@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 21488

In article <aol.absolutely.sux@bimminy.com>, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
writes:

>On 02 Oct 2001 14:00:43 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>: >Here's the entirety of Stu Parker's posting -
>:
>: Of course YOU found that funny, Lennie. I am sure you wrote it. If
>you
>: didn't write it, you certainly endorse it. It's got "Lennie" written all
>over
>: it.
>
>Yes, I am Lennie and Lennie is me. In fact, everyone who doesn't share
>your world view is Lennie. It is a conspiracy of one. =;o

The only "Lennie" that exists is in this poor soul's mind, a name
that represents all who are "against them."

Paranoia is demonstrated by statements of "conspiracy" against
their thoughts-actions-deeds, grouping all those who oppose him
under a convenient name-label, usually derogatory. Feelings of
paranoia and associated perceived persecution feelings cannot be
satisfied with any sort of argument against that mindset, serious
or humorous or in-between. QED.

5245. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 18:03:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBA0156.84224195@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21489

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> > In April 2000, getting a Extra
> > required four written exams, Jim. The
> > Technician, General, Advanced, and Extra.
>
>
> What about the Novice written?

You're right, Jim. I'm sorry. I keep forgetting that I had to take
the Novice written before the Technician written. Since I did both in
one session, I keep thinking of them as only one exam - the Technician exam.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5246. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 18:21:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA058F.6070100@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21490

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> You see the license as evidence of a
> person's "advancement in the art and practice of ham radio," while I
> see it as evidence of a person's understanding of the basic knowledge
> needed for the various operating levels within ham radio.

I see it as evidence that a person has possibly barely met the bare
minimum requirements for the associated privileges. Knowing that
someone passed an exam doesn't tell you if he/she is brilliant
or dumb as a stump.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5247. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 18:25:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA06A2.5090704@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21491

Bob Raymond wrote:

> What I should have said is that " After this process is complete I will gain
> understanding and comprehension"

With no memory of having done so. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5248. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 18:40:14 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <iSnu7.867$Le.30867@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21492

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BB9FE9E.E08BB9AE@sccoast.net...
>
> Ah, but this is the internet and there is no fun in being gracious,
> Bob. It is much more entertaining to make a person eat crow. But don't
> take this so seriously - all of us have had to do it at some point. In
> fact, you would truly be an amazing person if you didn't ever make a
> mistake or didn't ever say something you later regretted. And we ALL
> know that.

Perhaps no fun, no entertainment, but there is no honor in anyone lacking
grace. I think that honor
among men is important. When I accepted a commission as an officer in
service to our country
I made sure I understood the meaning of "an officer and a gentleman".
To capitalize upon another's mistake is truly without honor.
It is not below me to admit a mistake or to apologize for a wrong doing.

W7RJR

5249. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 19:16:01 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBA1271.58364291@uci.edu>
Source record: 21493

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > No, if you'll look above, you'll note that I was talking about the guy
> > who is in charge of ALL the other guys.
>
> And Zeus was indeed in charge of ALL the other guys according to
>
> Greek mythology. He still doesn't merit a capital-H.

So, are you saying there are certain guys that "He" is not in charge
of?

5250. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 19:35:42 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBA170E.7A044D02@uci.edu>
Source record: 21494

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > CAM wrote:
> >>You should presume that my questions are questions.

> > As opposed to what?
> As opposed to whatever you are presuming now.

I presumed you were asking a question. (The question mark at the end of your sentence was a big clue.) That's why I answered with "I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic."

Do you not understand that this isn't a relativity problem? Light travels at the speed of light no matter how fast the observer (or the reference frame) travels, and light does not travel at the same speed in a dense media as it does in a vacuum. At the instant of the Big Bang, what was the index of refraction of space? If it was greater than one, it is expected that particles would indeed travel faster than light through the media. The problem becomes even more complex when one considers that space itself was expanding at a relativistic velocity.

String theory makes no less bizarre predictions about the beginning of the Universe. Fact is, they have only just begun looking at some of it's predictions.

73, Jim AC6XG

5251. Re: supercede and supersede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 21:05:02 UTC
From: KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <068f39271c8331b3aab3a6e53fa0caa1@aarg.net>
Source record: 21495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <slowly.erect.pumping@bimminy.com>
sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu-pidASS Parker) wrote:
>
>
> On Tue, 2 Oct 2001 05:35:47 -0400, KC8JBO wrote:
> :
> : Coward.
> :
> : 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> : EM99
>
>
> Roger, <...>
> too. My solid bug won't prioritize before I reload it. I am slowly
> erect, so I pump you.

With that little
thing.......BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

One could compare it to a fiber optic cable core.

73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99

5252. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 21:57:10 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBA3836.4B260FCA@uci.edu>
Source record: 21497

Stu Parker spewed:
> It will be interesting if you ever learn some real physics.

> Now go play with your radios.

Go jump in a lake.

5253. Re: Vehicle Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 21:59:28 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BBA38A4.7F08C460@home.com>
Source record: 21496

Texas has issued a number of special purpose plates, normally with 5 digits. One of the newest ones is quite colorful (I regret I don't remember the type/purpose) but uses the series WB1BB and up. Obviously they weren't really thinking things out.

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sun, 30 Sep 2001 19:24:29 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >As an example of a "no" state, California forbids it because although
> >the two combinations will appear differently in the DMV computer
> >network, there is a requirement that stamped plates may not have the
> >same printed combination of numbers and/or letters as any previously
> >issued plate.
>
> California will issue amateur radio call plates for one and
> only one vehicle of any type that the licensee is a registered
> owner of, and a "Call plus numeral" personalized plate for additional
> vehicles.
>
> They will not issue an amateur call sign on a personalized special-
> series plate even if the applicant is the licensee and is willing to
> pay the extra charge but they will issue any other non-call-sign-appearing
> alpha-numeric combo on request. Quentin Kopp, the then-chairman of
> the state senate transportation committee, and I went round and
> round with DMV on this three years ago - it's their antiquated hard
> coded computer system that blocks it, allowing an amateur call sign
> combo only on an amateur call regular-series plate and Quent's
> committee could not spring the half-million bucks to upgrade that
> module at that time.
>
> Through the efforts of yours truly, DMV will not issue a
> personalized any-series plate with a call-sign-appearing
> alpha-numeric combo to someone who does not hold that call sign.
> Unfortunately they would not apply the same blocking for non-FCC
> (foreign) call signs.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5254. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 22:20:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002182030.07414.00000142@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21498

>Subject: Re: How many hams does it take?
>From: sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>Date: 10/2/2001 11:25 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <lusers.use.aol@bimminy.com>

>Interesting to watch Lennie kick your ass all over the newsgroup. Are
>you a masochist, or are you simply unaware of what's happening?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Now I KNOW it's Lennie!

Thanks for the belly roller, Your Gutlessness!

Yahoooooo!

5255. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 22:21:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002182139.07414.00000143@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21499

>Subject: Re: How many hams does it take?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/2/2001 12:55 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011002135546.15051.00000285@nso-ma.aol.com>

>In article <aol.absolutely.sux@bimminy.com>, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>writes:

I love it! The Gutless quoting the Faceless!

YeeHaaa... ! ! ! !

5256. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 22:24:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002182410.07414.00000144@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21500

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 10/2/2001 11:54 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BB9F13C.A7FD4118@psu.edu>

>When i'm done helping, i bet i feel a lot better than if i
>spent my time trying to belittle hams or other people.

Ditto. To bad His Gutlessness doesn't know that feeling too. Seems my
life is filled with volunteering scenarios. Each has been eminently rewarding
in it's own regard.

>ARS is good, volunteering is good!

Yeppers!

73

Steve, K4YZ

5257. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 22:28:49 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA3FA1.9080000@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21501

Jim Kelley wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>
>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>
>>>CAM wrote:
>>>>You should presume that my questions are questions.
>
>>>As opposed to what?
>>>
>>As opposed to whatever you are presuming now.
>>
> I presumed you were asking a question.

You presumed a lot more than that. Here's what you said:

> "Are you sure you want to claim that this violates the
> Theory of Relativity?"

The article in "Science News" states that the early faster-
than-light inflation rate gives the Theory of Relativity
a problem. It's not my claim.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5258. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 22:31:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA4039.9070207@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21502

Jim Kelley wrote:

> So, are you saying there are certain guys that "He" is not in charge
> of?
The WTC terrorists come to mind.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5259. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 22:58:07 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBA467F.9964CB6A@uci.edu>
Source record: 21503

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> >>>CAM wrote:
> >>>>You should presume that my questions are questions.
> >
> >>>As opposed to what?
> >>>
> >>As opposed to whatever you are presuming now.
> >>
> > I presumed you were asking a question.
>
> You presumed a lot more than that. Here's what you said:
>
> > "Are you sure you want to claim that this violates the
> > Theory of Relativity?"

I sure did. Please note the context in which the question was asked. I said that faster than light is not necessary a problem for Relativity, and I gave an example. To which you responded snidely. My example was perfectly valid.

5260. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-02 23:21:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011002192133.25777.00002274@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 21504

In article <20011001080250.11688.00000295@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>It sure beats Richard Harris wailing about leaving cakes out in the rain.
>
>>Finally found it on CD about two years ago.
>
>OHHHH NOOOOOOO!!!!!!!!

Jim:

OHHHH YEEESSSSSS!!!

>
>>The lyrics are quite amusing, actually.
>
>Ahhh - that's better.

And, it goes way beyond cakes being left out in the rain. How about, "...after all the loves of my life, I'm thinking about you... ... ... and wondering -- Why?"

>>I dubbed it on a cassette
>>along with "American Pie" by Don Mc Lean and "Your Wildest
>>Dreams" by the Moody Blues, with a bunch of Beatles tunes
>>filling out the rest of the tape. One of my best "driving" tapes!
>
>There is an excellent PBS documentary on the Moody Blues. Starts and ends
>with
>excellent music videos of "Wildest Dreams" and "I Know You're Out There
>Somewhere". They are a favorite of mine from the very beginning, and ya gotta
>love any band that will parody themselves on "The Simpsons".
>
>Most Beatles tunes are so good that they will endure for generations. A few
>days ago I heard "I Will" on the radio after not hearing it for a long time.
>I
>was struck by how both the perfection and the simplicity.
>
>They were overplayed in their time, though.
>>
>No driving tape is complete without at least one Meat Loaf tune ("Paradise By
>The Dashboard Light" is recommended) some old Springsteen ("Jungleland"), and
>of course a little Bob Seger ("Night Moves" and "Long Twin Silver Line" are
>recommended, but Seger tunes all sound so much alike that it's not too
>critical).

>
>Get the book.

Whut book?

73 de Larry, K3LT

5261. Update to IOTA List 03-Oct-2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 01:26:36 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3bba6957$1@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 21505

The free downloadable IOTA List has been updated as of 03-Oct-2001 at 01:10 UTC

It is a 15 k zip file found on The IOTA List page of the site listed below.....

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
100% Electronic QSL's
www.ab7sl.com

5262. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 01:41:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pdqbf$4mr$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21506

CAM wrote:
>
> Virtually anyone can obtain exactly the same privileges as
>
> you, Dick.

Tht's right, and that is exactly the point. No learning, knowledge nor expertise needed. Just download the pools and have a go.

If you want respect, you'll not get it from simply
> possessing a license. Respect must be *earned*.

You already know where you can stuff your "respect". We already know your position on all this. Back in the days when the Extra class ticket stood for something you wre too busy chasing that "one his $hit" to be concerned with much else.

5263. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 01:41:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pdqbp$4qa$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21507

CAM wrote:
>
> Virtually anyone can obtain exactly the same privileges as
>
> you, Dick.

Tht's right, and that is exactly the point. No learning, knowledge nor expertise needed. Just download the pools and have a go.

If you want respect, you'll not get it from simply
> possessing a license. Respect must be *earned*.

You already know where you can stuff your "respect". We already know your position on all this. Back in the days when the Extra class ticket stood for something you wre too busy chasing that "one hit $hit" to be concerned with much else.

5264. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 02:06:29 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011002220629.23155.00000119@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 21508

>Well all I am off to NYC to help with the disaster relief, I was activated
>this morning.
>Take care everyone.
>

No Keith, you take care and thanks for your efforts. This American/New Yorker appreciates it. Stay safe.

Mark

5265. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 02:18:17 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bba76d2_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21509

By others who obviously don't mind him "doing it to them" because they keep allowing it, right?

Kim W5TIT

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3BB9DAEB.F48CEEE9@earthlink.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > > CAM wrote:
> > > >>You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?
> > > >
> > > > I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely
> > > > travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic.
Are
> > > > you sure you want to claim that this violates the Theory of
Relativity?
> > >
> > > There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
> > > When are you going to learn to stop doing that?
> >
> > You mean when am I going to stop presuming there is meaning to the
> > questions you ask? Should I presume your questions are meaningless?
>
> I believe you've reached the proper conclusion, Jim. Cecil sets up
> scenarios in which he plays definition games in order to be the
> "winner". He has on several occasions admitted that what he posts
> cannot be taken seriously. He is simply a guy who chooses to spend his
> large amount of idle time by wasting the free time of others.
>
> Dave K8MN

5266. Re: Code "Quick?" (Was: Re: question regarding exam)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 02:21:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bba77a8_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21510

Yep, you done it again. You tell others they are selfish, self-demanding, etc...yet it is you who demand that everyone get into the Larry mold.

And, true mold it is. Green and yucky.

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20011001214524.03470.00001399@nso-mv.aol.com...
> In article <3bb6f957_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >> Maybe I will, someday. I'm not prejudiced against voice modes, just
> >> the things that happen there each and every single time I try to use
> >> them! I don't have the same problems on CW and digital modes. How
> >> do you explain that?
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >A change in personality may help that, Larry.
> >
>
> Kim:
>
> I absolutely agree. If the people who use phone exclusively *would* change
> their personalities, it would help a great deal!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

5267. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 02:33:54 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bba7a7b$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21511

The chilling thing in this is the last frame "We must dismantle our
democracy in order to save it."

Very sobering...

Kim W5TIT

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0110010737.3e213bf4@posting.google.com...
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:<3bb28d72_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
> >
> > That is why--if we are to "give up personal freedoms" as has been
discussed
> > among people of the United States and our media--we need not be so hasty
in
> > giving those privileges/freedoms up without definitions, guidlines, etc.
>
> Check this out, Kim:
>
> http://www.salon.com/comics/tomo/2001/10/01/tomo/index.html
>
> --
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

5268. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 02:46:35 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBA7C0B.2D83B6B2@uci.edu>
Source record: 21512

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> By others who obviously don't mind him "doing it to them" because they keep
> allowing it, right?

> Kim W5TIT

I'm an eternal optimist, Kim. I always hope it won't turn out to be a
waste of time.
But as Cecil says, I never learn. Hope shines eternal though.

5269. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 03:37:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA87E5.50508@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21513

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> The chilling thing in this is the last frame "We must dismantle our
> democracy in order to save it."
Ben Franklin said something like: People who will trade freedom

for security will wind up with neither.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5270. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 03:39:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA8883.2080407@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21514

Jim Kelley wrote:

> I sure did. Please note the context in which the question was asked. I
> said that faster than light is not necessary a problem for Relativity,
> and I gave an example. To which you responded snidely. My example was
> perfectly valid.
Please forgive me for offending you.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5271. Re: Bush: Lowest IQ of all Presidents of past 50 Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 03:40:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BBA7A96.48B46F48@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21515

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> By others who obviously don't mind him "doing it to them" because they keep
> allowing it, right?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3BB9DAEB.F48CEEE9@earthlink.net...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > >You don't consider the Theory of Relativity problematic?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't consider the fact that faster-than-light particles routinely
> > > > > travel through media which is denser than vacuum to be problematic.
> Are
> > > > > you sure you want to claim that this violates the Theory of
> Relativity?
> > > >
> > > > There you go again, Jim. I ask a question and you jump to conclusions.
> > > > When are you going to learn to stop doing that?
> > >
> > > You mean when am I going to stop presuming there is meaning to the
> > > questions you ask? Should I presume your questions are meaningless?
> >
> > I believe you've reached the proper conclusion, Jim. Cecil sets up
> > scenarios in which he plays definition games in order to be the
> > "winner". He has on several occasions admitted that what he posts
> > cannot be taken seriously. He is simply a guy who chooses to spend his
> > large amount of idle time by wasting the free time of others.

Believe what you like, Kim. You don't appear to have let reason stand
in your way in the past. If you prefer to blame the victims rather than
the perpetrator, go for it.

Dave K8MN

5272. Renewing Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 03:42:37 UTC
From: gizmoplus@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3cfbd5eb.0110021942.72a7dc8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21516

Can I do a change of address online? If not, could someone post a link to the exact web page for this form and let me know what fields need to be filled out. I was given a packet of forms by someone for an address change and this included all types of land and mobile and marine communication, but nothing that specifically mentioned Amateur Radio address change.
Thanks 73
Ben KB9TFD

5273. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 03:43:17 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA8955.3010609@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21517

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Back in the days when the Extra class ticket
> stood for something you were too busy chasing that "one hit $hit" to be
> concerned with much else.

If I send you ten bucks, Dick, will you buy a clue?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5274. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:05:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003000507.27462.00000412@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21521

In article <3bb6f703_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>I am not this way because I don't have the time or patience but, a true
>peace activist (and there are other "names" for it) meets death with peace.

The trouble is, the peace activist dies and the other side keeps on killing.
>
>You see, a true peace activist believes that in its purest form when there
>are no more lives left for a person, group, etc., to cause injury or death
>to, then they (the peace activists) have won, and their ideals were never
>compromised.

Exterminated, yes, but not compromised.
>
>There is no such thing as peaceful resistance--*for you*. There is such
>thing as peaceful resistance for however many people believe in it.
>
I believe in it up to a point. Let's look at a classic case - Ghandi.

Ghandi wanted an independent India. He wanted the British to turn over the government of India to the Indian people. He didn't hate the British at all, he just didn't want them in charge of his homeland. He was actually very "selfish" in that he wanted HIS vision of India's future to become reality.

He organized all sorts of nonviolent resistance acts against the Brits. They eventually realized that they had two options:

1) Leave India to the Indian people
2) Create a totalitarian state that would require large numbers of non-Indian people, harsh laws and harsher enforcement to maintain British rule.

The Brits were simply too decent and too depleted by WW2 to do 2), so they did 1). Ghandi counted on the fact that the Brits were too good at heart to sanction the drastic measures needed for them to continue to rule India. He knew that the Brits didn't hate the Indian people, they just didn't understand them. Ghandi's peaceful resistance won out because he knew how the British saw themselves.

The situation with terrorists is totally different. Their response to peaceful resistance is to simply kill the resisters. Heck, they do public executions in a soccer stadium for crimes like adultery. See

http://www.salon.com

for some examples.

Besides - how does one peacefully resist the kind of terrorism we have already seen?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5275. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:05:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003000508.27462.00000414@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21518

In article <Fzju7.16$0I6.1740@cletus.bright.net>, "Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> writes:

>Well all I am off to NYC to help with the disaster relief, I was activated
>this morning.
>Take care everyone.
>
Keith,

Be careful, and good luck.

Thank you and God bless you.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5276. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:05:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003000508.27462.00000413@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21522

In article <9p68k2ssi0@208.207.71.149>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
>> >the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
>> >and you're dead.
>>
>> Peaceful resistance is the first step. Here are some examples of steps
>already
>> being taken:
>>
>> - Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
>> - Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
>> - Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
>> - Increase border security
>> - Gather information about the terrorists and their supporters
>
>Every one of those steps is merely a small preliminary move on the big
>chessboard.

Of course. But none of them are violent.

>You forgot the full war footing deployment of massive
>amounts of manpower and hardware.

Simply a way of showing that we are serious.

> News accounts have SF units active in
>Afganistan the past couple dfays at least.
>
I wonder why the news would publish such accounts. There are no reports of any
actual fighting.
>>
>> So far, the USA and its anti-terrorist allies haven't done a thing that
>isn't
>> peaceful resistance. That could change at any time, of course.
>
> Ah, that wouldn't be the reading of a former military guy.

I'm not sure what you mean, Dick. What I'm trying to say is that the USA and
its allies are giving the terrorists and those who support them good

opportunities to avoid more violence. If war does come, it will be because the terrorists brought it on themselves.
>
> The Taliban and
>> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
>with
>> President Bush's requests.
>
> That wouldn't end it, I am certain.

Sure it will. As I recall, all President Bush requires is three things:

1) All terrorists to be in custody so they can't do more terrorism.
2) All organizations, training facilities and safe havens for terrorists to be dismantled and shut down.
3) Those responsible for the attacks on the USA brought to justice.

Simple. By all accounts, the organizations responsible are not very large - probably less than the number of innocent people killed already.

>Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.

There must be a way to seize the assets of the terror organizations and use them for cleanup, compensation and rebuilding.

>By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.
>
That's up to them, not us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5277. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:05:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003000511.27462.00000416@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21520

In article <3BBA0156.84224195@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>>
>> > In April 2000, getting a Extra
>> > required four written exams, Jim. The
>> > Technician, General, Advanced, and Extra.
>>
>> What about the Novice written?
>
> You're right, Jim. I'm sorry.

De nada. Thank you for reading my stuff closely enough to detect a possible
error on my part.

> I keep forgetting that I had to take
>the Novice written before the Technician written. Since I did both in
>one session, I keep thinking of them as only one exam - the Technician exam.

Most folks took them that way. Of course, that's all gone now.

Still think the entry requirements weren't lowered?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5278. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:05:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003000511.27462.00000415@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21523

In article <20011001135716.07190.00000941@nso-ck.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

(N2EY wrote):
>
>>I know what I'm talking about, LHA.
>
>...N2EY keeps saying that...and offers no conclusive proof. :-)

Yet it is true. There is obviously no "proof" that would satisfy LHA.
>
>>Nope. You are mistaken, LHA.
>
>Saying that in every post is still no qualifier, N2EY. :-)

Yet it is true. Denying a fact does not make it false.
>
>>I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30 years
>>ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the ARRL
>>Antenna Book.
>
>...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith
>Chart?!?" :-)

Nope. I never claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".

I wrote that I had mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Mastering is no the same thing as being the master of.
>
>>It is clear that one of LHA's "prime directives" is that what professionals
>do
>>and use is THE criteria to be applied to what amateurs do. In other words,
>if
>>the pros use something, it's good, if they don't, it's bad. What amateurs do
>>is of no consequence to LHA.
>
>Really?!? :-)
>
Yep. You should read what you write, sometime.

>What is so wrong about applying professional techniques and
>experience to what is essentially a hobby activity?

That's not the subject under discussion. And amateur radio is more than a "hobby activity".

You apply the practices of "professionals" as the SOLE criteria of judging what amateurs do. What amateurs do has no bearing on the issue, in your judgement. That just doesn't make sense.
>
>>What does it matter WHAT I do professionally, LHA?
>
>It creates some basis, some bona fides for N2EY's claims
>such as being a "master of the Smith Chart."

I never made such a claim. Produce the post where I claim to be "master of the Smith Chart"
>
>>You are using the classic "ad hominem" attack, LHA. You attack the person
>>because you cannot attack the message.
>
>I'm only challenging the claim of N2EY being a "master of
>the Smith Chart." :-)

Then produce the post where I wrote that I was "master of the Smith Chart". Since you used quotes, you are referring to an exact phrase.

All my posts are in google/deja.

>>>He hasn't stated his company's name.
>>
>>I don't own a company.
>
>Why not? With all those credentials plus radio ham experience
>it should be "easy." :-)

Easier to do what one is best at. In my case that's electrical engineering, not managing a company.
>
>Why is N2EY not proud of the company he works for?

I am very proud of the company I work for.
>
>>I feel very good about what I do professionally. But it has no bearing on
>>amateur radio policy. The only person here whose professional activities
>have
>>any bearing on amateur radio policy is Phil Kane, K2ASP.
>
>Is anyone "attacking" N2EY's "professional" activities?

Not yet. But you will, given the chance. I've seen you do it plenty of times.
>
>How can anyone "attack" something that is a mystery,
>an unstated, unverified claim? :-)

What claims have I made that are based on my work experience? C'mon, produce some posts.

It is interesting that LHA does not mention my academic achivements.
>

>>>He hasn't made any technical comments on radio subjects
>>>other than amateur radio history or an electronic T-R switch
>>>supposed to be "featured" in the "Electric Radio" fanzine.
>>>"Electric Radio" is for vacuum tube radio fans.
>>
>>There are many mistakes in the above sentence, LHA. Stay with this message
>>and learn something.
>>
>>I have made many "technical comments" in this and other newsgroups. All
>there
>>in google. Normally, antenna and transmision line discussions are held in
>>rec.radio.amateur.antennas, for example.
>>
>>Here in rrap, I have had several technical discussions. For example, there
>>was
>>one about the necessary bandwidth of OOK Morse vs. Baudot or ASCII FSK at
>the
>>same speed. Also discussions about the compatibility of spread spectrum with
>>"narrowband" modes on the amateur HF bands.
>>
>>I have NOT discussed an electronic T-R switch at all.
>
>No? :-)

Nope. I DID describe the definition of QSK. And I did mention a homebrew station I built more than 25 years ago that had an electronic TR switch. But that station is long gone, and it never appeared in any magazine.

You stated:

(N2EY)

"hasn't made any technical comments on radio subjects other than amateur radio history or an electronic T-R switch supposed to be "featured" in the "Electric Radio" fanzine."

You are wrong on at least two counts in that sentence.

1) I have made technical comments on subjects other than amateur radio history and an electronic TR switch
2) My 1995 station was in the magazine described - and it does not have an electronic TR switch.

>Go back a bit to a very early "discussion" in
>which there is mention of an electronic transmit-receive
>circuit in use in a home-built transmitter-receiver that
>allows the user to hear the other station in between
>transmissions.

Produce the post, then.

>That was claimed to be an article in "Electric Radio" magazine.

Not by me.

Your claim is that I have made no other technical comments. That's simply not true.

>>The homebrew station I used in 1995, and a related story, was described in
>an
>>article published in Electric Radio in that year. I've had other articles
>>published in amateur radio publications, too. The station described is only
>>one of several that I have designed and built.
>>
>>Electric Radio is a publication devoted to several subjects of interest to
>>hams. Among them are amateur radio history, AM, military radio, vacuum
>tubes,
>>restoration of old equipment, and related technical subjects. It is not a
>>"fanzine".
>
>Is "Electric Radio" available on the newsstands? At any
>periodical vendor?

Nope. It's by subscription only. So what?
>
>"Electric Radio" does have a website. A fanzine is a specific
>magazine devoted to fans (enthusiasts) of the magazine's
>central topics. There is nothing wrong about fanzines or their
>existance. Hundreds, perhaps thousands of different fanzines
>are published in this country, covering a variety of subjects.

Like "Proceedings of the IEEE" ;-)

>>What's the matter, LHA? Can't you take a "mild tweak?" ;-)
>
>Yes, but in context...and it should be worded as such rather
>than being enclosed in a body of text that is definitely not
>complementary.

Says who? Don't be so touchy ;-)

>See what follows, verbatim...
>
>>>>> PGMTT - what's that stand for? Professional Geezers Matching Tall Tales?
>>>>;-)
>>>
>>> Professional Group on Microwave Theory and Techniques.
>>
>>Same thing ;-)
>
>That isn't complementary to a professional organization, is it?

Can't they take a "mild tweak"? Didn't you see the ;-)?
>
>Why do you insult the Institute of Electrical and Electronic
>Engineers' professional group involved in microwave work?

No insult. Just a "mild tweak". Why are you so officious and humorless on the subject? ;-)

Why is it OK for you to make fun of other people's names and professions, but a "mild tweak" by someone else is perceived as an insult? ;-)

Looks like a classic case of the double standard. One standard for LHA, another for everybody else. Don't do as LHA does, just do as he says.
>
>>Nonsense. All I've ever claimed is to know a little radio. That seems to
>>bother the heck out of you.
>
>No, but the above is inconsistent with claims in the same message,
>such as "it was easy" (to design and build your own rigs).

It was. I did it, I should know.

>It would
>only be "easy" if you knew a lot of radio, not just a "little."

Saying I know "a lot of radio" would be bragging.

Seems like LHA wants to "mystify" radio design and construction by fostering the idea that a person needs to know "a lot of radio" to build their own equipment.

But I think what REALLY bugs LHA about me is that I not only design, restore, repair, build, align and OPERATE ham gear, but that I've been doing so for a very long time. My very first transmitter was a homemade one, which I built and operated at the age of 13.

In LHA's reply comments to the FCC, he recommended that there be a MINIMUM AGE LIMIT for ALL classes of amateur radio license. He expressed shock and outrage that young children were being licensed, even though there have been young hams for many years, and NO recorded problems due to the youth of the licensees. The MINIMUM AGE LIMIT LHA recommended was 14 years old. Yet he did not give a single example of a case where a young ham caused a problem that was relkated to the age of the licensee. Fortunately, the FCC completely ignored his recommendation.
>
>>I used to do RF design professionally, by the way. Now I do other types of
>>design professionally.
>
>Where and when?
>
Here and now.

>>All of what I wrote is true.
>
>...because you claim it. :-)
>
Nope. Because it happened.
>
>>And the guy who developed it was a ham. Had the call 1ANB. Knew Morse code,

>>too.
>
>That's not mentioned in "Electronic Applications of the Smith
>Chart," by Phillip H. Smith, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1969. Is
>that somehow a "credential" for the remarkable innovation that
>Smith made? The 1969 book carries the description as
>"Member of the Technical Staff, Bell Telephone Laboratories."
>
>>Phil Smith invented and developed many other things. For example, he derived
>>the optimum diameter ratio for coaxial feedlines. Said it was the simplest
>>patent ever granted - just the number 1.65.
>
>That's nice trivia but departing from the subject. The back
>flyleaf (of the dustcover) on my book lists 20 patents granted
>to Smith. Anyone can quote from flyleafs. It is the subject
>between the covers that matters.

I wasn't quoting from any flyleaf.
>
>Speaking of the subject, what else does N2EY offer readers
>as bona fides for his claim as "master of the Smith Chart?"
>
Show us where I claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".

>>> Morse codings on radio now
>>> exist only in amateur radio and a handfull of third world
>>> countries.
>>
>>Nope. Not true. Hint: Think "automatic ID"
>
>...and that is for communications?!? :-)

You wrote:

"Morse codings on radio now exist only in amateur radio and a handfull of
third world countries."

Not "for communications" or any other qualifier. You are simply wrong. But we
all know you won't admit it.
>
>Note the extreme reach to highlight morse code as "needed."
>:-)

Where do I say Morse code is "needed" in that post? C'mon, produce some actual
posts instead of misquoting.
>
>>Nor do they consider Smith Chart knowledge to be very meaningful in granting
>>an amateur radio license.
>
>Not correct. The FCC approves the VEC question pool
>but the VEC QPL included questions on the Smith Chart.

A few questions out of thousands. And a prospective ham could get all of the Smith Chart questions wrong and still pass the test easily. Most ham exams probably don't include any Smith Chart questions at all.

That means they don't think it's very meaningful.

N2EY

5279. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:05:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003000512.27462.00000417@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21519

In article <3BBA058F.6070100@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Knowing that
>someone passed an exam doesn't tell you if he/she is brilliant
>or dumb as a stump.

That all depends on the exam. Some exams can only be passed if the person being tested really knows the tested subject.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5280. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 04:14:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBA90C1.7020807@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21524

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>Knowing that
>>someone passed an exam doesn't tell you if he/she is brilliant
>>or dumb as a stump.
>
> That all depends on the exam. Some exams can only be passed if the person being
> tested really knows the tested subject.
We're talking about ham exams, Jim.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5281. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 05:20:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pe76k$c06$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 21525

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >>Knowing that
> >>someone passed an exam doesn't tell you if he/she is brilliant
> >>or dumb as a stump.
> >
> > That all depends on the exam. Some exams can only be passed if the person being
> > tested really knows the tested subject.

> We're talking about ham exams, Jim.

Oh. Yeah. Nothing here but "trivial".

5282. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 06:12:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003021216.13649.00000091@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21526

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/2/2001 11:05 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011003000511.27462.00000415@nso-cu.aol.com>

>Yet it is true. There is obviously no "proof" that would satisfy LHA.

Facts are irrelevant to him and his own misconduct ignored. No wonder he couldn't keep a job.

>Yep. You should read what you write, sometime.

One does wonder. How can someone with his alleged education spew the lies, misinformation and other antagonist BS he does in the face of reams of facts to the contrary?

Just proves to me that Masochism DOES exist, I guess.

>>What is so wrong about applying professional techniques and
>>experience to what is essentially a hobby activity?
>
>That's not the subject under discussion. And amateur radio is more than a
>"hobby activity".

Yes, it is. If he was a particpating member of it, he might know that, but like the rogue spacecraft "V'ger" In the original Star Trek movie, he self-destroys his ability to receive the facts.

>>It creates some basis, some bona fides for N2EY's claims
>>such as being a "master of the Smith Chart."
>
>I never made such a claim. Produce the post where I claim to be "master of
>the
>Smith Chart"

Lennie loves to put things in quotation marks as if the other party said it, yet was never uttered. To me, that is a lie. Where's Cecil's arguments on attributes now...?!?!?

>>I'm only challenging the claim of N2EY being a "master of
>>the Smith Chart." :-)
>
>Then produce the post where I wrote that I was "master of the Smith Chart".
>Since you used quotes, you are referring to an exact phrase.

And you expect this Putz to answer, Jim...!?!?! Hope you aren't holding your breath on this one.....

>Your claim is that I have made no other technical comments. That's simply not
>true.

The truth is not one of Leonard H. Anderscum's strengths.

>Why is it OK for you to make fun of other people's names and professions, but
>a
>"mild tweak" by someone else is perceived as an insult?

Quoted your comment, Jim, but deleted the "smiley"....Because I AM
insulting him...He makes it so easy to do.....

>Looks like a classic case of the double standard. One standard for LHA,
>another
>for everybody else. Don't do as LHA does, just do as he says.

Hmmmm....who else in this forum has been saying exactly that...?!?!
(Jeopardy 60 second jingle playing........)

>In LHA's reply comments to the FCC, he recommended that there be a MINIMUM
>AGE
>LIMIT for ALL classes of amateur radio license. He expressed shock and
>outrage
>that young children were being licensed, even though there have been young
>hams
>for many years, and NO recorded problems due to the youth of the licensees.

As a matter of fact, the recent spate of serious offenders (Flippo,
Burton) are in Lennie's generation...maybe an UPPER age limit...?!?!

Yeah..THAT'S the ticket! Then the Licenseless One will be off the hook
for getting that "Extra Lite out of the box" he said he would but has cowered
out of.....

>Where do I say Morse code is "needed" in that post? C'mon, produce some
>actual
>posts instead of misquoting.

You are being generous, Jim...That's why you are a far more gracious
gentleman than I....The scumbag is outright lying. No "PC" here....

73

Steve, K4YZ

5283. Re: You call THIS a war?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 06:44:13 UTC
From: Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com>
Message-ID: <3b14fb85ab11a85c3fa415e2fd643440@remailer.havenco.com>
Source record: 21527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <925213011.13417.AA@bimminy.com>
Commie sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu-pidASS Parker) wrote:
>
>
> On Tue, 02 Oct 2001 17:38:36 -0400, radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
wrote:
> : for a few years. Using nuclear weapons on those bastards would clean up
this
> : mess in a hurry. We are getting impatient; in fact, in today's NY Post
there
> : was mention made of the fact that winter has already hit the Khyber Pass.
>
> Well, hell: let's go right ahead and use nuclear weapons. Then nuclear
> winter can hit the U.S. and even New Jersy! Haven't you ever read Carl
> Sagan's writings? Or watched his Nova episodes on nuclear winter?

If the fucking terrorists fly into enough burning skyscrapers, stu-pidass,
you could have the equivalent of a nuclear winter without detonating any
nuclear weapons.

> They ought to be mandatory reading / viewing for neanderthals who jump
> up and down in their animial skins screaming "Nuke! Nuke!" It's a
> damned good way to complete the terrorist's work too.

tu-pidass, you're obviously too chickenshit to serve in the military.

> I guess you're on the terrorist's side.

You're a card-carrying Communist aren't you, Stu-pidass?

73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99

5284. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 09:34:12 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <oYAu7.34151$3d2.1807961@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21528

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011003000512.27462.00000417@nso-cu.aol.com...
>
> That all depends on the exam. Some exams can only be passed if the person
being
> tested really knows the tested subject.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

How to train a mule:

1. Know more than the mule

5285. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 10:07:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBAE350.1BEE1478@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21529

Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> Perhaps no fun, no entertainment, but
> there is no honor in anyone lacking
> grace. I think that honor among men
> is important. When I accepted a
> commission as an officer in service
> to our country I made sure I understood
> the meaning of "an officer and a
> gentleman". To capitalize upon
> another's mistake is truly without honor.
> It is not below me to admit a mistake
> or to apologize for a wrong doing.

Lighten up, Bob. Again, you're taking all this way too seriously.
All of us make mistakes and most of us apologize for them. Didn't you
see where I had to apologize to Jim for a mistake I made last night.
It is no big deal and, other than in jest (did you really eat crow),
nobody beyond a lowlife is ever going to hold it against you. However,
spouting crap about "honor," and "capitalizing upon another's
mistake," over a friendly newsgroup discussion, is certain to reduce
any respect I may have for you. And if a simple jest is enough for you
to declare a person as one "without honor, than I truly believe you
have no concept of what honor really is.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5286. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 10:13:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBAE4B5.60113E6D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21530

CAM wrote:
>
> (snip) Knowing that someone passed an
> exam doesn't tell you if he/she is
> brilliant or dumb as a stump.

And the same applies to exams other than those for ham radio.
Watching some of the kids at the local college is evidence of that. I
see some of the kids pass exams when I'm absolutely certain they
haven't got a clue about what they're being tested on.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5287. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 10:18:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBAE5F3.6D23707E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21531

CAM wrote:
>
> Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> > What I should have said is that
> > "After this process is complete I
> > will gain understanding and
> > comprehension"
>
> With no memory of having done so. :-)

Now wait a minute, Cecil. That is beginning to sound too much like me. My memory is slowly melting, melting, mmmmeeeellllttttiiinnnnggg. At this rate, I'm fairly certain I won't remember my own name in a couple of years (that's why I had to put my name below in the signature block, so I can keep reminding myself who I am). And I'm not that old, so I can't blame it on age yet.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5288. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 10:21:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBAE6AA.6489B63B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21532

N2EY wrote:
>
> Still think the entry requirements
> weren't lowered?

I never said the requirement weren't lowered. I simply said that
didn't necessarily relate to a lowering of "standards." The two are
not the same, nor does one result in the other.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5289. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 11:10:33 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.162502712cc325d998969d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21533

In article <20011003000511.27462.00000415@nso-cu.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
says...
> In article <20011001135716.07190.00000941@nso-ck.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >
> > >...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith
> > >Chart?!?" :-)
>
> Nope. I never claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".

However, LHA is a master of something. He has continually proved is the
master of baiting (as in trolling) a funny play on words ho ho ho he
he he hi hi hi

Still waiting for the LHA description on how 86 trucks became 86
truckloads. Guess that night school EE equivalent certificate didn't
include a course in reading comprehension or math for that reason.

Love ya Len. They say great things about you down at the institution.
They miss you, you lovable knucklehead you

signed 73,

A Nonie Moose Troll

5290. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 11:30:54 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.1625073787e484c298969e@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21534

In article <oYAu7.34151$3d2.1807961@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20011003000512.27462.00000417@nso-cu.aol.com...
> >
> > That all depends on the exam. Some exams can only be passed if the person
> being
> > tested really knows the tested subject.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> How to train a mule:
>
> 1. Know more than the mule

Each month, on the last Sunday, the old prospector, Lennie H Anderson would ride his old mule, CW, into town to pick up supplies. Since both the mule and Lennie were really old, they took their time and this caused a great deal of traffic to develop as they tottered down Main St together. Old Lennie would tie up his mule and go off to buy his monthly supplies, then get on his old mule and totter off back into the hills.

This went on for months. THEN, one Sunday, Old Lennie came out of the store to find that his mule was gone. Well, Old Lennie put up such a yell, "my mule", he ranted, "It be gone. Someone stoled my mule". Old Lennie went and reported it to the police. Lacking any pictures, Old Lennie proceeded to give the police a description of an ordinary old mule. The police asked if the mule had any special features that may identify it as Old Lennie's mule.

"Well", said Old Lennie, "CW has a big penis" "A big penis?", exclaimed the policeman. How do you know that?

Old Lennie replied, "Because every time I come into town, people point at us and yell......

LOOK AT THE FUCKING BIG DICK ON THAT MULE!!!!!!!!

5291. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 12:02:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003080246.07922.00004297@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21537

In article <20011001051452.28940.00001678@mb-da.aol.com>, drpfrench@aol.com (Drpfrench) writes:

>>- Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
>
>Thats been done

Not completely. The banking system needs to be changed so that it cannot be so easily used by terrorists and criminals. And "freeze" should become "freeze and seize".
>
>>- Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
>
>That has also been done
>
>>- Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
>
>In other words, we as americans start giving up our rights, NO THANKS

What sort of rights are you afraid of losing?

What I'm referring to is stuff like making "identity theft" more difficult. And knowing exactly who is here from outside, where they are and what they were doing. And where they and their money came from.
>
>>- Increase border security

>And then you have groups like the ACLU up in arms!

Tough.

> I say, Close the Borders
>to
>outsiders!

Well, not close, but make them secure. Don't let the bad guys into the country, period.
>
>Much easier said than done

It's gotta be done, though.
>
>>The Taliban and
>>others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
>>with
>>President Bush's requests.
>

>We all know this will never happen.
>
Probably not. My point is simply that it is THEY who bring the war upon themselves. They can avoid it by simply complying with Mr. Bush's requests.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5292. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 12:02:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003080247.07922.00004298@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21536

In article <3bba7a7b$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>The chilling thing in this is the last frame "We must dismantle our
>democracy in order to save it."
>
>Very sobering...

Yup. Lots of good commentary on that site.

If the terrorists can turn us into a country of conformists, then they have won, in a way. One of the things they hate the most about the USA is our secular, pluralistic, open society, where almost any idea can be expressed, challenged and discussed. Freedom scares the heck out of them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5293. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 12:02:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003080248.07922.00004300@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21535

In article <3BBA90C1.7020807@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>>Knowing that
>>>someone passed an exam doesn't tell you if he/she is brilliant
>>>or dumb as a stump.
>>
>> That all depends on the exam. Some exams can only be passed if the person
>being
>> tested really knows the tested subject.

>We're talking about ham exams, Jim.

I know. My response applies perfectly.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

5294. Re: PING: Jim N2EY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 12:02:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003080248.07922.00004299@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21538

In article <20011002192133.25777.00002274@nso-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>>The lyrics are quite amusing, actually.
>>
>>Ahhh - that's better.
>
>And, it goes way beyond cakes being left out in the rain. How about,
>"...after all the loves of my life, I'm thinking about you... ... ... and
>wondering -- Why?"

Yeah - WHY???

Actually, forensic research has determined that Billy Jo McAllister actually tossed a cake into the muddy waters near the Tallahatchie Bridge.

>
>>>I dubbed it on a cassette
>>>along with "American Pie" by Don Mc Lean and "Your Wildest
>>>Dreams" by the Moody Blues, with a bunch of Beatles tunes
>>>filling out the rest of the tape. One of my best "driving" tapes!
>>
>>There is an excellent PBS documentary on the Moody Blues. Starts and ends
>>with
>>excellent music videos of "Wildest Dreams" and "I Know You're Out There
>>Somewhere". They are a favorite of mine from the very beginning, and ya
>gotta
>>love any band that will parody themselves on "The Simpsons".
>>
>>Most Beatles tunes are so good that they will endure for generations. A few
>>days ago I heard "I Will" on the radio after not hearing it for a long time.
>>I
>>was struck by both the perfection and the simplicity.
>>
>>They were overplayed in their time, though.
>>>
>>No driving tape is complete without at least one Meat Loaf tune ("Paradise
>By
>>The Dashboard Light" is recommended) some old Springsteen ("Jungleland"),
>and
>>of course a little Bob Seger ("Night Moves" and "Long Twin Silver Line" are
>>recommended, but Seger tunes all sound so much alike that it's not too
>>critical).
>>
>>Get the book.
>

>Whut book?
>
"Dave Barry's Book Of Bad Songs".

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Ain't No Woman Like The One-Eyed Gott"

"Well, since she put me down, there's been owls pukin' in my bed"

"The chair is not my son"

>73 de Larry, K3LT

5295. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 12:26:52 UTC
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Message-ID: <guDu7.18528$Tv6.96309@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 21539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

In rec.radio.amateur.space Beetle Bailey <anon@anon.tcp> wrote:
> Gee..it takes no large amount of genius to program HF memories into your
> FT-847. Trouble is who wants to? You can put 14.313 in memory, but all that
> you will hear is a Ham version of CB. Same for 3.950. Why bother? Most Hams

You're not even a good troll. Don't give up your day job.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

5296. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 14:21:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110030621.32eb63a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21540

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BBAE6AA.6489B63B@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Still think the entry requirements
> > weren't lowered?
>
> I never said the requirement weren't lowered. I simply said that
> didn't necessarily relate to a lowering of "standards." The two are
> not the same, nor does one result in the other.

Ahh - good point! My mistake, equating entry requirements with the
much broader term "standards".

Then let me rephrase:

Were the license test standards lowered? Sure seems like it to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5297. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 14:26:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pf76q$62q$0@208.207.71.173>
Source record: 21541

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > (snip) Knowing that someone passed an
> > exam doesn't tell you if he/she is
> > brilliant or dumb as a stump.
>
> And the same applies to exams other than those for ham radio.
> Watching some of the kids at the local college is evidence of that. I
> see some of the kids pass exams when I'm absolutely certain they
> haven't got a clue about what they're being tested on.

And you, in your oblique way and evidently without even knowing what
you say, therby endorse tests which allow the examinee to pass without
knowing anything about the material being covered.

A very classic example of what is wrong with ham radio, and indeed much
of our society today.

5298. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 14:28:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pf79o$62q$1@208.207.71.173>
Source record: 21542

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Bob Raymond wrote:
> >
> > Perhaps no fun, no entertainment, but
> > there is no honor in anyone lacking
> > grace. I think that honor among men
> > is important. When I accepted a
> > commission as an officer in service
> > to our country I made sure I understood
> > the meaning of "an officer and a
> > gentleman". To capitalize upon
> > another's mistake is truly without honor.
> > It is not below me to admit a mistake
> > or to apologize for a wrong doing.
>

> Lighten up, Bob. Again, you're taking all this way too seriously.

Oh yeah, that's right, Cecil says ham radio is nothning but trivial,
and none of this is serious, anyway.

5299. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 14:29:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pf7bu$62q$2@208.207.71.173>
Source record: 21543

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Still think the entry requirements
> > weren't lowered?
>
> I never said the requirement weren't lowered. I simply said that
> didn't necessarily relate to a lowering of "standards." The two are
> not the same, nor does one result in the other.

HAW! HAW! HAW!

5300. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 15:06:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pf9h0$c2h$0@208.207.71.173>
Source record: 21544

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <9p68k2ssi0@208.207.71.149>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3BB63007.7843CD35@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >There is no such thing as peaceful resistance when dealing with
> >> >the likes of bin Laden and his terrorists. Try peaceful resistance
> >> >and you're dead.
> >>
> >> Peaceful resistance is the first step. Here are some examples of steps
> >already
> >> being taken:
> >>
> >> - Freeze assets of terrorist groups and those who support them
> >> - Cut off all "trade and aid" to countries that host terrorists
> >> - Increase internal security in ways that help stop terrorists
> >> - Increase border security
> >> - Gather information about the terrorists and their supporters
> >>
> >Every one of those steps is merely a small preliminary move on the big
> >chessboard.
>
> Of course. But none of them are violent.
>
> >You forgot the full war footing deployment of massive
> >amounts of manpower and hardware.
>
> Simply a way of showing that we are serious.
>
> > News accounts have SF units active in
> >Afganistan the past couple dfays at least.
> >
> I wonder why the news would publish such accounts. There are no reports of any
> actual fighting.
> >>
> >> So far, the USA and its anti-terrorist allies haven't done a thing that
> >isn't
> >> peaceful resistance. That could change at any time, of course.
> >

> > Ah, that wouldn't be the reading of a former military guy.
>
> I'm not sure what you mean, Dick. What I'm trying to say is that the USA and
> its allies are giving the terrorists and those who support them good
> opportunities to avoid more violence. If war does come, it will be because the
> terrorists brought it on themselves.
> >

Which they are sworn to do, and from their training films which have
been displayed and described on various media, they are well into a
"holy war" with the West, oarticularly the USA and a few other major
nations. An interview with a Mullah in Pakistan he stated that the USA
doesn't desreve to survive, and it is the duty of every Muslim to do his
part to see that it does not survive. That's poerful stuff to a culture
where 70% of males are illiterate and thus depend on their religious
leaders for almost all their direction, and the situation is even worse
for women. They believe they have the duty to die in their quest to
protect Islam from the decadence of the West.

> > The Taliban and
> >> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
> >with
> >> President Bush's requests.

Either you or I have misunderstood his remarks. He said we're at war,
and it is a war on terrorism and terrorists, and it won't be won till
there are none of them remaining. To merely put it on hold yet again, so
that they can restart it all over again once the current flurry settles
down will be only playing into their hands yet again, and that is not
what I got from either the P{redidents nor those of anyone else's
remarks who is in a position of authority. Britishs Prime mInister Tony
Blair was particularly to the point. He essentially said it's either
them or us.

That wouldn't end it, I am certain.

>
> Sure it will.

Jim, don't you keep up with these things? I fear all too many Americans
do not. This is just an escalation of a movement that has been underway
for many years, and is just now getting serious to the point that it is
considered by the majority of us to be anything more than just a nutty
splinte group. it is NOT. There are thousands of them living among us,
unknown to most of us. These opeople are deterrmined to wipe us out, or
be themselves obliterated in the effort. They believe, and are
constantly told by their clerics, that the way to Paradise is to kill
the infidel. That's us, in their minds. And no tall of them are
illiterate, many well educated are centerally located within their
ranks. I think they hate us for our human successes, a sort of "If we
can't have it you can't either" syndrome.

As I recall, all President Bush requires is three things:
>
> 1) All terrorists to be in custody so they can't do more terrorism.

> 2) All organizations, training facilities and safe havens for terrorists to be
> dismantled and shut down.
> 3) Those responsible for the attacks on the USA brought to justice.
>

And how will we know when "all terrorists" are in custody? Answer: we
cannot possibly know. There are many thousdands already trained in camps
across the Middle East and more in training as we speak. TV coiverage
shows women and small children cheering and celebrating at the news of
the WTC collapse. That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemie,
across numerous borders including even our own. You think we can round
up and incarcerate all of them?

> Simple. By all accounts, the organizations responsible are not very large -
> probably less than the number of innocent people killed already.

Not by any stretch of the imagination.

>
> >Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.

> There must be a way to seize the assets of the terror organizations and use
> them for cleanup, compensation and rebuilding.
>
> >By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.

> >
> That's up to them, not us.

Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda they
will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
over again in the (probably near) future.

5301. Re: You call THIS a war?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 15:07:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BBB1AE9.20AE0ECC@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

The terrorist did not fly into burning skyscrapers. The
skyscrapers were not burning until AFTER they flew into them
stu-pidass.

Anonymous User wrote:

>
> If the fucking terrorists fly into enough burning skyscrapers, stu-pidass,
> you could have the equivalent of a nuclear winter without detonating any
> nuclear weapons.

5302. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 15:14:38 UTC
From: radio qrmers league <kissinbuggy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yXFu7.947$Ya5.145543@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21546

"Stu Parker" <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote in message news:078255.07571208@bimminy.com...
>
> Q. How many hams does it take to screw in a lightbulb?
>
> A. One. He simply holds the bulb to the socket, and the
> rest of the world revolves around him.

Your answer above assumes the ham can first
find them on sale someplace for six for $1.50 (60 watts max)
Hams are incredibly cheap you know. Four per a dollar
at the Dollar Express is even too much to pay.
Anything above 60 watts will cost more to operate, he needs the extra
electric to operate his Alpha or SB-220 at full power
so he can talk to his pals on 75 meters who are all within
100 miles of each other....

(you naysayers tell me if i'm wrong....I didn't think so...click.)

5303. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 15:49:44 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003114944.27497.00001353@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21547

>Another piece of cross-posted crap from some yo-yo who thinks his gems
>deserve an audience but won't claim authorship.

He may be the same Elmer that is looking for that crazy wabbit.

5304. Re: Advice for new hams on buying used HF rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 15:55:49 UTC
From: drpfrench@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003115549.27497.00001354@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21548

>But it is interesting to wonder why the manufacturers put so many
>memories into HF radios.

I have often wonder the same thing.

>None of the memories on my FT-847 contain
>HF frequencies;

I also have an FT847, I have a few local repeater and simplex frequencies in mine. I did program the starting points of my HF privlages just to save a little time.

5305. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 17:07:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0110030907.1eb51a05@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21549

"Bob Raymond" <braymond@iea.com> wrote in message
news:<ZP1u7.748$Le.27631@sea-read.news.verio.net>...
>
> I started out as a CBer in the late 50s (KBI2294). For various reasons I did
> not actually
> get my first ham license until 1968, as a Conditional and upgraded to
> Advanced in 1973.

You started out at or near the peak of the "incentive licensing" era.
I remember those days well.

I got my Novice in 1967 (age 13), Tech and Advanced in 1968 and Extra
in 1970. Would've had the Extra much sooner but for that danged 2 year
experience requirement.
> >
> > One thing to remember is that in the past a ham had to have a certain
> > degree of technical know-how to even get on the air. Until about 25 or
> > so years ago, almost all beginners started out on HF CW, with a
> > station consisting of a receiver, a transmitter, an antenna, some sort
> > of TR system, and a bunch of accessories. Just hooking it all up and
> > getting it to radiate a signal took some doing, and things like
> > ready-made coax cable assemblies were all but unknown. Many new hams
> > started out as SWLs, so things like how to tune a receiver and
> > make/put up an HF antenna were not foreign to them.
> >
> > The situation today is totally different. So it's understandable that
> > some of the learning that was required by the circumstances of
> > different technologies no longer happens. Instead, other learning goes
> > on
>
> You make a good point here.

Thanks. There are all sorts of related issues, too. For example, back
then the cost of ham gear in real dollars was much higher than today,
unless one had the time, know how and ingenuity to do a lot of
building and salvaging.

A typical basic '60s Novice station with all accessories cost $100 to
$250, depending on a bunch of factors. One could spend a lot more, of
course, and some of us managed on a lot less, but the typical Novice
spent about that much to get started. Compare that to what the same
money (allowing for 30-40 years of inflation) buys today.

> I guess I have forgotten that all one needs to
> do is plug it in to
> get on the air today.

In many cases, yes. Oddly enough, there are more and more hams who
want to go beyond being "appliance operators".
> >
> > Check out the FCC enforcement letters for an example. (ARRL website is
> > one source). It's a shame such things are needed, but Riley & Co.'s
> > work is welcome and long overdue.
> >
> > > Simplification? OK, then let's get rid of
> > > incentive licensing, that would really simplify things.
> >
> > The restructuring cut the number of "active" (open to new applicant)
> > license classes from 6 to 3, the number of code tests from 3 to 1 and
> > the number of written tests from 5 to 3. It's clear the remaining 5
> > wpm code test will only be retained until the treaty changes.
> >
> > How, exactly, would you "get rid of incentive licensing"? What would
> > happen to holders of existing licenses? What would be required of new
> > licenses?
>
> I would reduce the number of licenses even further to VHF/UHF only and
> those that had all privileges. Those with VHF/UHF licenses would have no
> code requirement and a simpler technical exam. Those with full privileges
> would
> be required to pass a SEND and RECEIVE code test at whatever the
> international
> requirement were at the time. I would impose a written exam that demanded
> comprehension
> of the material rather than mere memorization.

I'm gonna do the "devil's advocate" thing here for a bit.

OK, lets see what that would look like. Let's call the two classes
"Basic" and "Full".

The first question I have is, what privileges would "Basic" licensees
have besides being limited to VHF/UHF?

If they have all privileges, then why is a more involved written test
needed to access HF? Sure, the rules and regs and maybe some
propagation stuff, but why is more theory learning needed to operate
on 14.250 than is required for 145.200?

Second question - what happens if/when the code test goes away? There
is no speed requirement in the treaty, but 5 wpm has been the de facto
standard for half a century. FCC has made it clear that the ONLY
reason we still have a code test is because the treaty requires it.

Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?

> As to how to integrate current licensees into this, that would be difficult.
> I can only state that from my personal perspective I would not be against

> just grandfathering say
> all of those that hold General licenses and above.

I think you mean that all Generals, Advanceds and Extras would simply
get "Full" licenses, and everybody else would get "Basic" licenses.

First problem is that there are at least 130,000 hams with either Tech
Plus or Novice licenses who would lose their HF privs.

Second problem is that about 220,000 Generals and Advanceds would get
free upgrades to "Full", which would not sit well with some of those
who had passed tests to get full privileges.

> I think this would go a long way toward eliminating the current division
> that exists in ham radio.

When the FCC did a similar thing in late 1952, there were a lot of
hard feelings about it. One guy went so far as to call a selective CQ
that specified "no kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators
only".

We don't need more of THAT!

73 de Jim, N2EY

5306. Re: You call THIS a war?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 17:19:18 UTC
From: KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <DTLPXS1L37167.8050694444@frog.gilgamesh.org>
Source record: 21550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <3BBB1AE9.20AE0ECC@mailcity.com>
JizzJunkie <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> The terrorist did not fly into burning skyscrapers. The
> skyscrapers were not burning until AFTER they flew into them
> stu-pidass.

The terrorists weren't burning, either, stu-pidfuck, the ---> planes <---
exploded after they slammed into the skyscrapers, then the skyscrapers
and the people were burning.

Too bad you weren't on the plane.

> Anonymous User wrote:
>
> >
> > If the fucking terrorists fly into enough burning skyscrapers, stu-
pidass,
> > you could have the equivalent of a nuclear winter without detonating any
> > nuclear weapons.

73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99

5307. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 17:39:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBB4D5B.2000209@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21551

Dick Carroll wrote:

> That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemy,
> across numerous borders including even our own.

"The bulk"??? Dick, your paranoia is showing again.
The *bulk* of those cultures are worrying about
everyday things just like we do. How many Moslems
are there? What percentage are terrorists? I don't
mean to minimize our risk but you are acting like
the terrorists and painting with the flip side of
that same broad brush that they are using. It's
like saying the bulk of US Southerners sympathize
with the KKK.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5308. Re: Renewing Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 17:42:08 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110031741300.8014-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21552

On 2 Oct 2001, Ben wrote:
>Can I do a change of address online? If not, could someone post a link
>to the exact web page for this form and let me know what fields need
>to be filled out. I was given a packet of forms by someone for an
>address change and this included all types of land and mobile and
>marine communication, but nothing that specifically mentioned Amateur
>Radio address change.

As far as those forms go, you were given the correct set. Many license types
now use the same set of forms.

5309. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 17:42:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBB4DFE.5050008@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21553

N2EY wrote:

> The banking system needs to be changed so that it cannot be so
> easily used by terrorists and criminals. And "freeze" should become "freeze and
> seize".

And hopefully, they don't make a mistake with your or my account.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5310. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 17:50:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBB4FEC.9090706@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21554

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Oh yeah, that's right, Cecil says ham radio is nothning but trivial,
> and none of this is serious, anyway.
Please produce the posting where I said, "Ham radio is nothing

but trivial". On the contrary, I have said ham radio really
earns its keep during certain emergencies. You continue to suffer
from your mental confusion between ham radio functions (after
licensing) and ham radio testing (before licensing).
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5311. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 19:41:37 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <RRJu7.982$Le.33245@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21555

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0110030907.1eb51a05@posting.google.com...
>>
> I'm gonna do the "devil's advocate" thing here for a bit.
>
> OK, lets see what that would look like. Let's call the two classes
> "Basic" and "Full".
>
> The first question I have is, what privileges would "Basic" licensees
> have besides being limited to VHF/UHF?

They would be essentially what the Tech no-code license is now.

>
> If they have all privileges, then why is a more involved written test
> needed to access HF? Sure, the rules and regs and maybe some
> propagation stuff, but why is more theory learning needed to operate
> on 14.250 than is required for 145.200?

There would be no need for a more involved written test. Only a written
test that was designed to support full privileges, whatever that might be.

>
> Second question - what happens if/when the code test goes away? There
> is no speed requirement in the treaty, but 5 wpm has been the de facto
> standard for half a century. FCC has made it clear that the ONLY
> reason we still have a code test is because the treaty requires it.

When that happens, all the "basic" licensee would need do is take and pass
the "full" licensee written exam.

> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
>
That would require reinstating some of the procedures used years ago..
get rid of the Q&A pools, require demonstration of simple skills, a
performance
or hands-on test, if you will, perhaps drawing a schematic, etc.

> > As to how to integrate current licensees into this, that would be
difficult.
> > I can only state that from my personal perspective I would not be
against
> > just grandfathering say
> > all of those that hold General licenses and above.

>
> I think you mean that all Generals, Advanceds and Extras would simply
> get "Full" licenses, and everybody else would get "Basic" licenses.

That would be correct; however, if the current Tech Plus were to take and
pass the "full" written, then they would get full HF privileges.
Current Novice would only need pass the "full" test.
Current Tech w/o code would remain "basic" licensees, unless they take the
5 WPM
code and "full" written test.
Once the code requirement were eliminated(which it will be)..then the taking
of the "full" written will be all that is required.
A "basic" licensee would then be required to do nothing but pass a "full"
written test.

>
> Second problem is that about 220,000 Generals and Advanceds would get
> free upgrades to "Full", which would not sit well with some of those
> who had passed tests to get full privileges.
>
It's quite likely that sometime in the future the Advanced license will be
abolished and
they will be grandfathered in as Extras. Yep, some will complain.
As to the Generals getting free upgrades, you just can't please everyone.

What I have explained here is the way it works in MOST countries today. It
works
for them, it should work for us.

>
> When the FCC did a similar thing in late 1952, there were a lot of
> hard feelings about it. One guy went so far as to call a selective CQ
> that specified "no kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators
> only".
>
> We don't need more of THAT!

Correct, we don't, but that was a personality disorder. We can't regulate
that :)

W7RJR

5312. Re: You call THIS a war?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 21:29:58 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9pfvug$hd2$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 21556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

If Clinton or Gore were in office, they'd think exactly like you. They'd
mull themselves to death and nothing would be done. Bush seems like a no
nonsense guy whose rhetoric's been excellent. I'm worried that it's all
rhetoric because he will not push the button. Remember that millions of us,
not I, voted for GW because he PROMISED a better military. Millions voted
for him by knowing that Colin Powell would be there. So now that the
military has taken THE dominant role in our society, let's go GW. If Powell
is restraining him, then toss his ass out and put in Norman Schwartzkopf who
is as good a statesman and a better officer.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <925213011.13417.AA@bimminy.com>, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
wrote:

>
> On Tue, 02 Oct 2001 17:38:36 -0400, radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
wrote:
> : for a few years. Using nuclear weapons on those bastards would clean up this
> : mess in a hurry. We are getting impatient; in fact, in today's NY Post there
> : was mention made of the fact that winter has already hit the Khyber Pass.
>
> Well, hell: let's go right ahead and use nuclear weapons. Then nuclear
> winter can hit the U.S. and even New Jersy! Haven't you ever read Carl
> Sagan's writings? Or watched his Nova episodes on nuclear winter?
>
> They ought to be mandatory reading / viewing for neanderthals who jump
> up and down in their animial skins screaming "Nuke! Nuke!" It's a
> damned good way to complete the terrorist's work too.
>
> I guess you're on the terrorist's side.
>
>
>
>
> ***** This was posted with the express permission of *****
> **
> ** HIS HIGHNESS ADAM H KERMAN LORD AND MASTER OF USENET **
> **
> ********** We are simple servants of his will **********

5313. Re: Renewing Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 21:32:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gkn1o91.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21557

On 2 Oct 2001 20:42:37 -0700, Ben wrote:

>Can I do a change of address online? If not, could someone post a link
>to the exact web page for this form and let me know what fields need
>to be filled out. I was given a packet of forms by someone for an
>address change and this included all types of land and mobile and
>marine communication, but nothing that specifically mentioned Amateur
>Radio address change.

If all you want to do is to change the address and the license is
not within 90 days of expiration, log onto the ULS and do an
Administrative Update.

ULS = <www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls>

DO NOT do a Modification - it will only screw up the works.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5314. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 21:38:31 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBB8557.28447EB1@uci.edu>
Source record: 21558

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemy,
> > across numerous borders including even our own.
>
> "The bulk"??? Dick, your paranoia is showing again.
> The *bulk* of those cultures are worrying about
> everyday things just like we do. How many Moslems
> are there? What percentage are terrorists? I don't
> mean to minimize our risk but you are acting like
> the terrorists and painting with the flip side of
> that same broad brush that they are using. It's
> like saying the bulk of US Southerners sympathize
> with the KKK.

I agree. But while it's not true that an attack on the KKK is an attack on all
Southerners, Muslims feel that an attack on any Muslim is an attack on all of Islam. I heard one moderate Pakistani gentleman this morning on the news saying that the life of one Muslim is worth more than the lives of all Americans. Unfortunately, Arab Muslims in general apparently don't think as highly of us as we do of them. I think it may help them get through the day to blame their lot in life on Westerners. But that's just my speculation.

5315. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 21:40:21 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <uGLu7.912$_d5.282061@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 21559

> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
>

There are ways to do this. There was a discussion here earlier about one approach:
Simple example: Rather then list 3 questions on basic Ohm's Law calculations with the numbers already plugged in. Change it to something like:
What is the voltage of a circuit if resistance equals x and current equals y.
Have a long list (say 20) of pairs of x and y.
Do this similarly for questions solving for I and R.

Now, if someone wants to memorize 60 numbers rather then 1 formula, god bless his pea brain.

A similar approach could be used for all of the other theory questions.

Since the band assignments, regs, etc. are nothing more then memorization (with a fair amount of common sense for many of the regs), they can remain unchanged.

5316. Re: You call THIS a war?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 23:17:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003191746.07096.00000291@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21560

>Subject: Re: You call THIS a war?
>From: "radiosigs" radiosigs@mindspring.com
>Date: 10/3/2001 4:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9pfvug$hd2$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>

>So now that the
>military has taken THE dominant role in our society, let's go GW.

Not in THIS country, Amigo. The civil government STILL runs the Armed Forces. As "hawkish" as I am, I would be very, VERY worried if it were the other way around.

>If Powell
>is restraining him, then toss his ass out and put in Norman Schwartzkopf who
>is as good a statesman and a better officer.

I would not care to try and "rate" either on their "statesmanship"...I don't think you know of Powell's "civil" experience, either. Many, MANY yers in the White House as a "White House Fellow".....I DID vote for GW, but CP would have made a great president.

As for "holding anyone back", I think any restraint on CP, GW, or anyone else's part until a DEFINITE target is defined is prudent and wise. We'd look foolish blowing up empty caves or tents.

Powell and Stormin' Norman both deserved a fifth star and a Medal Of Honor

5317. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-03 23:30:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBB9F86.2070608@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21561

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Muslims feel that an attack on any Muslim is an attack on
> all of Islam.

Then why are so many Muslims cooperating in bringing the
terrorists to justice?

> I heard one moderate Pakistani gentleman this morning on
> the news saying that the life of one Muslim is worth more than the lives
> of all Americans.

That doesn't meet the definition of "moderate", Jim. I've
heard "moderate" Nazis say the same thing about WASPs.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5318. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 00:30:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gknfmf5.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21562

On Wed, 3 Oct 2001 16:40:21 -0500, Paul Friedman wrote:

>Since the band assignments, regs, etc. are nothing more then memorization
>(with a fair amount of common sense for many of the regs),

As a ham of 49 years' duration and as a communications attorney who
deals with compliance with FCC Regs, I can dispute that claim....

<ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5319. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 00:33:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBBAE5B.6CAA1ED9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21563

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> And you, in your oblique way and
> evidently without even knowing what
> you say, therby endorse tests which
> allow the examinee to pass without
> knowing anything about the material
> being covered.
>
> A very classic example of what is
> wrong with ham radio, and indeed
> much of our society today.

But the problem is not the tests, Dick. They appear very similar to the tests I took years ago. The difference lies in the students and their desire to learn. It appears today's students don't have the drive, the desire for knowledge, that students had just a couple of decades ago. Happily, I can say today's students do seem to have more drive to learn then students did just a few years ago. So perhaps we're seeing a slow reverse in the widespread aversion to education and knowledge in this country. Sadly, that trend is very subtle and slow (which means that is can be reversed easily by just a few extra, well hyped, movies and television shows directed towards kids featuring morons as the hero).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5320. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 00:56:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBBB39F.B33340F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21564

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Oh yeah, that's right, Cecil says
> ham radio is nothning but trivial,
> and none of this is serious, anyway.

I don't remember Cecil, or myself, ever saying anything of the kind about ham radio, Dick. However, as far as these newsgroup discussions are concerned, the words here are certainly not the Declaration of Independence, Gettysburg Address, or the Emancipation Proclamation. They're simply an exchange of words typed on a computer by a bunch of guys and gals with similar interests. Lets not try to make this anything more than that by demanding satisfaction whenever "honor" is violated.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5321. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 01:21:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBBB9A7.F0BEE0A6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21565

N2EY wrote:
>
> (snip) OK, lets see what that would
> look like. Let's call the two classes
> "Basic" and "Full".
>
> The first question I have is, what
> privileges would "Basic" licensees
> have besides being limited to
> VHF/UHF? (snip)

Why would you insist on calling the VHF/UHF license "Basic?" The Technicians who currently use VHF/UHF have full operating privileges, using all available modes, on every ham band above 50 Mhz (hardly "basic" privileges). And the only reason those frequencies were selected, as opposed to some other group of frequencies, is because an international treaty restricts the use of frequencies below that without knowledge of Morse code. Why would a treaty, or a lack of code, or no use of HF, automatically define a class of operators as "basic?"

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5322. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 01:42:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBBBE5F.4470449F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21566

N2EY wrote:
>
> Were the license test standards
> lowered? Sure seems like it to me.

Lowered from where to what? I just don't see a major change in the
standards. Virtually all of the same material is covered by the exams.
The intent of the exams remains the same (to cover the basics needed
for entry into the various levels of ham radio). The goal of the exams
remains the same (to cover rules, procedures, safety, and basic
skills). And the result of the exams appears to be the same (I've seen
no great change in the people receiving new licenses or upgrading -
most seem fairly decent to me). Where do you see evidence of lowered standards?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5323. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 01:42:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pgeq9$re0$0@208.206.142.107>
Source record: 21567

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemy,
> > > across numerous borders including even our own.
> >
> > "The bulk"??? Dick, your paranoia is showing again.
> > The *bulk* of those cultures are worrying about
> > everyday things just like we do. How many Moslems
> > are there? What percentage are terrorists? I don't
> > mean to minimize our risk but you are acting like
> > the terrorists and painting with the flip side of
> > that same broad brush that they are using. It's
> > like saying the bulk of US Southerners sympathize
> > with the KKK.
>
> I agree. But while it's not true that an attack on the KKK is an attack
> on all
> Southerners, Muslims feel that an attack on any Muslim is an attack on
> all of Islam. I heard one moderate Pakistani gentleman this morning on
> the news saying that the life of one Muslim is worth more than the lives
> of all Americans. Unfortunately, Arab Muslims in general apparently
> don't think as highly of us as we do of them. I think it may help them
> get through the day to blame their lot in life on Westerners. But
> that's just my speculation.

And that is exactly my point. Thanks, Jim, for the accurate
elaboration.

Of course no majority of Muslims are currently terrorists. But just as
certain is the fact that a majority are sympathetic to a Muslim
initiative against a non-Moslem entity. That is a part of their religous
makeup, as you said. I see no way to ignore that without increasing our
exposure.

5324. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 01:47:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pgf3bseb0@208.206.142.107>
Source record: 21568

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > And you, in your oblique way and
> > evidently without even knowing what
> > you say, therby endorse tests which
> > allow the examinee to pass without
> > knowing anything about the material
> > being covered.
> >
> > A very classic example of what is
> > wrong with ham radio, and indeed
> > much of our society today.
>
> But the problem is not the tests, Dick. They appear very similar to
> the tests I took years ago. The difference lies in the students and
> their desire to learn. It appears today's students don't have the
> drive, the desire for knowledge, that students had just a couple of
> decades ago.

It isn't rocket science, Dwight. People respond to demands or challenges. When there was a code test, it wasn't a problem to learn code and take the code test. When there was a test over technical material, and you knew that you had to learn enoughtheroy to figure out what the question was asking and how to correctly answer it, you prepared yourself. Now people respond to the challenges as they exist. Evidently they don't stimulate much in the way of action responses, do they?

5325. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 02:10:10 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbbc7b0_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21569

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9pf9h0$c2h$0@208.207.71.173...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > . . . [SNIP]
> >
> > I'm not sure what you mean, Dick. What I'm trying to say is that the USA
and
> > its allies are giving the terrorists and those who support them good
> > opportunities to avoid more violence. If war does come, it will be
because the
> > terrorists brought it on themselves.
> > >
>
> Which they are sworn to do, and from their training films which have
> been displayed and described on various media, they are well into a
> "holy war" with the West, oarticularly the USA and a few other major
> nations.
>

I hope that is no surprise to anyone. We were taught this when we were in
school in my 'lil 'ol hometown in NY. They've been "well into a holy war"
for many years with the west. Technology allows them extra measures, extra
reach, etc.

>
> An interview with a Mullah in Pakistan he stated that the USA
> doesn't desreve to survive, and it is the duty of every Muslim to do his
> part to see that it does not survive. That's poerful stuff to a culture
> where 70% of males are illiterate and thus depend on their religious
> leaders for almost all their direction, and the situation is even worse
> for women.
>

As your opinions are your own, so are the opinions of the extremist you
quote above. He *says* it is the "duty of every Muslim to do his part to
see that it [America] does not survive." I am sure there are Muslim
extremists who believe him, and I am just as sure there are many more than
that [Muslims] who do not believe him. It does not take education to decide
right from wrong.

>
> They believe they have the duty to die in their quest to
> protect Islam from the decadence of the West.

>

Not to minimize your point, but please keep in mind: just as our soldiers
believe they have the duty to die in the quest for freedom for our nation.

>
> > > The Taliban and
> > >> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply
comply
> > >with
> > >> President Bush's requests.
>
>
> Either you or I have misunderstood his remarks. He said we're at war,
> and it is a war on terrorism and terrorists, and it won't be won till
> there are none of them remaining.
>

And, what *IF* the impact of non-violent measures causes a behavioral
difference that equates to the absence of terrorist acts? Sure, it is
highly unlikely. But, let's not debate from the "highly unlikely" aspect.
Dick, if the terrorists would subside with their activities, or were
rendered incapable of doing violent acts; and if the measures taken could be
sustained longterm; would that satisfy you that there were "no more
terrorists"? It would me.

>
> To merely put it on hold yet again, so
> that they can restart it all over again once the current flurry settles
> down will be only playing into their hands yet again, and that is not
> what I got from either the P{redidents nor those of anyone else's
> remarks who is in a position of authority. Britishs Prime mInister Tony
> Blair was particularly to the point. He essentially said it's either
> them or us.
>

Terrorism is eternal. Love is eternal. Conflict is eternal. Peace is
eternal. It just depends on "what side of the fence" you happen to be born
on. "We" will always have to deal with extremists who act out through
terror in one way or another. It is a fact of life.

>
> That wouldn't end it, I am certain.
>
> >
> > Sure it will.
>
>
> Jim, don't you keep up with these things? I fear all too many Americans
> do not. This is just an escalation of a movement that has been underway
> for many years, and is just now getting serious to the point that it is
> considered by the majority of us to be anything more than just a nutty

> splinte group. it is NOT.
>

I think to the contrary. It is just being realized by many more of us that this is not a "nutty" splinter group. There are many of us who've never believed these types of people were nutty. The point is that I am not willing to give up much freedom, much civil right, much in the way of anything, to comply with the demands of these people. In my opinion, to do so is to admit to them that they won the day they killed thousands at, and destroyed, the World Trade Center in NYC.

>
> There are thousands of them living among us,
> unknown to most of us.
>

That sounds near hysterical, Dick. Once again, I don't mean to minimize a point that we all need to be just a little more aware of our surroundings, etc. BUT, there are thousands of *who* exactly? Muslims? Sure there are. Terrorists? I doubt it--unless you want to count the KKK, the Posse Comatatus (sp?), the Nazi-whatever this-or-that, and on and on. There are thousands of "them" meaning what?

>
> These opeople are deterrmined to wipe us out, or
> be themselves obliterated in the effort. They believe, and are
> constantly told by their clerics, that the way to Paradise is to kill
> the infidel. That's us, in their minds. And no tall of them are
> illiterate, many well educated are centerally located within their
> ranks. I think they hate us for our human successes, a sort of "If we
> can't have it you can't either" syndrome.
>

They "hate" us because those who are able to be convinced want to hate someone, anywhere, anytime--doesn't matter who. The message could just as clearly be to them to hate all space aliens that land in green machines during the month of January. The message they listen to is from a group of people who are able to convince others to think just like them, to act just like them, and to act on behalf of them. You didn't see Usama in a plane himself, did you? His followers, who have been told to hate America because Usama decided sometime along his life that *he* hates America, have simply been brought to the brink of action by a very convincing person.

>
> As I recall, all President Bush requires is three things:
> >
> > 1) All terrorists to be in custody so they can't do more terrorism.
>
> > 2) All organizations, training facilities and safe havens for terrorists
to be
> > dismantled and shut down.
> > 3) Those responsible for the attacks on the USA brought to justice.

> >
>
>
> And how will we know when "all terrorists" are in custody? Answer: we
> cannot possibly know. There are many thousdands already trained in camps
> across the Middle East and more in training as we speak. TV coiverage
> shows women and small children cheering and celebrating at the news of
> the WTC collapse. That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemie,
> across numerous borders including even our own. You think we can round
> up and incarcerate all of them?
>

It scares me that you say the "bulk of a whole culture" is made to be our enemy. Dick, please think about it. Don't you think that if the bulk of a whole culture was our enemy that we'd been in a whole lot more trouble a whole lot longer ago? These folks are the minority, I can nearly assure you. It is just that they are very clever, very resourceful, and very dangerous. They make themselves look like many, but they are few.

>
> > Simple. By all accounts, the organizations responsible are not very
large -
> > probably less than the number of innocent people killed already.
>
>
> Not by any stretch of the imagination.
>

It doesn't take a stretch of the imagination. It just "ain't so" that they are the majority of a population in any country--even their own.

>
> >
> > >Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.
>
> > There must be a way to seize the assets of the terror organizations and
use
> > them for cleanup, compensation and rebuilding.
> >
> > >By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.
>
> > >
> > That's up to them, not us.
>
>
> Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
> prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda they
> will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
> over again in the (probably near) future.
>

In my opinion, with the way "we" are acting in this country, they have already set the agenda. I can't think of a person I know that has not altered their life in some way in response to the actions of these creeps. I will not walk in our underground tunnels in the city I work in, I will not fly, not ride a bus, not ride a train, not travel right now, not go very far from home at all (if I am going to die it is going to be at home). Many, many of us are doing things in reaction to what happened. Many of us are "agreeing to" and practically begging our government to just "do whatever you have to do" to take care of this for us. If that isn't meeting the agenda, I don't know what is.

Kim W5TIT

5326. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 02:15:59 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbbc90b_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21570

Hey, like I said, I do not have the capability to be a pacifist. However, my point is that there are those (EXTREME) pacifists out there who believe that the idea is that, OK, if they all die--then there is no one left but those doing the killing.

I daresay that pacifists are generally also the people that believe in Karma and life after death--leaving them to inherit the peaceful Earth they believe they will return to when it is all over (or maybe that's Heaven). But, you get what I mean.

Kim W5TIT

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20011003000507.27462.00000412@nso-cu.aol.com...
> In article <3bb6f703_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >I am not this way because I don't have the time or patience but, a true
> >peace activist (and there are other "names" for it) meets death with peace.
>
> The trouble is, the peace activist dies and the other side keeps on killing.
> >
> >You see, a true peace activist believes that in its purest form when there
> >are no more lives left for a person, group, etc., to cause injury or death
> >to, then they (the peace activists) have won, and their ideals were never
> >compromised.
>
> Exterminated, yes, but not compromised.
> >
> >There is no such thing as peaceful resistance--*for you*. There is such
> >thing as peaceful resistance for however many people believe in it.
> >
> I believe in it up to a point. Let's look at a classic case - Ghandi.
>
> Ghandi wanted an independent India. He wanted the British to turn over the
> government of India to the Indian people. He didn't hate the British at all, he
> just didn't want them in charge of his homeland. He was actually very "selfish"
> in that he wanted HIS vision of India's future to become reality.
>

> He organized all sorts of nonviolent resistance acts against the Brits.
They
> eventually realized that they had two options:
>
> 1) Leave India to the Indian people
> 2) Create a totalitarian state that would require large numbers of
non-Indian
> people, harsh laws and harsher enforcement to maintain British rule.
>
> The Brits were simply too decent and too depleted by WW2 to do 2), so they
did
> 1). Ghandi counted on the fact that the Brits were too good at heart to
> sanction the drastic measures needed for them to continue to rule India.
He
> knew that the Brits didn't hate the Indian people, they just didn't
understand
> them. Ghandi's peaceful resistance won out because he knew how the British
saw
> themselves.
>
> The situation with terrorists is totally different. Their response to
peaceful
> resistance is to simply kill the resisters. Heck, they do public
executions in
> a soccer stadium for crimes like adultery. See
>
> http://www.salon.com
>
> for some examples.
>
> Besides - how does one peacefully resist the kind of terrorism we have
already
> seen?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

5327. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 02:18:12 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbbc990_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21571

I wish I wasn't so busy at work. I have been that busy at work for many months now...LOL

Maybe someday I will get the real chance to explore that website.

Kim W5TIT

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20011003080247.07922.00004298@nso-cr.aol.com...
> In article <3bba7a7b$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >The chilling thing in this is the last frame "We must dismantle our
> >democracy in order to save it."
> >
> >Very sobering...
>
> Yup. Lots of good commentary on that site.
>
> If the terrorists can turn us into a country of conformists, then they have
> won, in a way. One of the things they hate the most about the USA is our
> secular, pluralistic, open society, where almost any idea can be expressed,
> challenged and discussed. Freedom scares the heck out of them.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

5328. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 02:46:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003224649.07190.00001075@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 21572

In article <3BB8CA2B.94DF48CA@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> You have an interesting debate style.

So have two others in here who haven't learned to play well
with others. :-)

One of them is a split personality, both of it anonymous.

5329. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 02:46:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011003224652.07190.00001076@nso-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 21573

In article <20011003000511.27462.00000415@nso-cu.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20011001135716.07190.00000941@nso-ck.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>(N2EY wrote):
>>
>>>I know what I'm talking about, LHA.
>>
>>...N2EY keeps saying that...and offers no conclusive proof. :-)
>
>Yet it is true. There is obviously no "proof" that would satisfy LHA.
>>
>>>Nope. You are mistaken, LHA.
>>
>>Saying that in every post is still no qualifier, N2EY. :-)
>
>Yet it is true. Denying a fact does not make it false.

It's impossible to prove or disprove a negative. :-)

>>>I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30 years
>>>ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the ARRL
>>>Antenna Book.
>>
>>...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith
>>Chart?!?" :-)
>
>Nope. I never claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".
>
>I wrote that I had mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Mastering is
>no the same thing as being the master of.

By that same "interpretation" of words, N2EY is not a "master
of morse code." :-)

Sorry, but that kind of semantical silliness doesn't prove anything.
Here is what N2EY wrote:

==========

Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Date: 22 Sep 2001 16:03:49 GMT

In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.

There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never to
use it again, either.

Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith
chart.

73 de Jim, N2EY (who hasmastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)

==========

N2EY made a claim. He has not provided any verification
of that claim.

N2EY has not provided a single name-callsign of a single one
of the "thousands" of hams who had mastered the Smith
Chart and "vowed never to use it again."

N2EY has not provided a single program name or source
of the "modern simulation programs" that "eliminate the
need to use a Smith Chart."

N2EY was being fascetious in the beginning, of course,
but continues one of his never-ending stories on trying to
prove he was "right" while others were "wrong." :-)

>>>It is clear that one of LHA's "prime directives" is that what professionals
>>>do and use is THE criteria to be applied to what amateurs do. In other
words,
>>>if the pros use something, it's good, if they don't, it's bad. What amateurs
>>>do is of no consequence to LHA.
>>
>>Really?!? :-)
>>
>Yep. You should read what you write, sometime.

Wrong. To "prove" the above assertion requires demonstrated,
documented proof of telepathic powers...and...

N2EY should read the entire texts of what others are doing,
have done, especially in the modern era.

Please supply the model number and manufacturer of a
professional network analyzer that does NOT use a Smith
Chart format display on its front panel.

>>What is so wrong about applying professional techniques and
>>experience to what is essentially a hobby activity?
>
>That's not the subject under discussion. And amateur radio is more than a
>"hobby activity".

Hmmm? The subject here WAS "mastership of the Smith
Chart." It also seems to be one of the marathon postings of

N2EY trying to disprove things he said in public. :-)

So, amateur radio is "more" than a hobby activity. How much
is "more?" 0.1%? 0.01%? Is the "more" a long discussion
about old "bad" songs? Is the "more" another long discussion
on world politics, US foreign policy, or political ideology?

>You apply the practices of "professionals" as the SOLE criteria of judging
>what amateurs do.

Sorry, that is an out and out LIE. LIE. :-)

>What amateurs do has no bearing on the issue, in your judgement.

The only way N2EY's sentence could be close to "truth"
would be that radio physics of amateur radio are different
than every other radio service. That's obviously untrue.

Radio amateurs use radios and electronic test equipment.
In some cases the more affluent radio amateurs have the
same test equipment as are used by professionals. In
other cases their test equipment is simpler, such as the
"noise bridge" (a wideband-source admittance measuring
bridge circuit) used commonly with transmission lines. A
noise bridge and a pocket calculator can quickly yield the
plot points for a Smith Chart to determine antenna-line
impedance, VSWR, bandwidth, and in what direction to
begin matching a mismatch for maximum power transfer.
Total cost is less than a tenth of professional equipment.
[good articles are found in HR on CD from the ARRL]

>>>What does it matter WHAT I do professionally, LHA?
>>
>>It creates some basis, some bona fides for N2EY's claims
>>such as being a "master of the Smith Chart."
>
>I never made such a claim. Produce the post where I claim to be "master of
>the Smith Chart"

I just did. But...note the misdirection. N2EY avoided a
direct answer to his profession, where he works, what he
does in detail other than a very, very general statement.

>Then produce the post where I wrote that I was "master of the Smith Chart".
>Since you used quotes, you are referring to an exact phrase.

No, I use quote marks to distinguish them from ordinary
words. The newsgroup text doesn't allow full transmission
of italics, bold-face, underlining, or font changes at every
ISP.

I already quoted the first posting of N2EY, verbatim. He will
argue and argue and argue that his words didn't mean what
he wrote...and then make outrageous claims of others'

writings. :-)

>All my posts are in google/deja.

AOL allows storage and retrieval of the last 30 days' messages.
This is 3 Oct 01 and a date of 22 Sep 01 is easily within that
30 day window.

Is N2EY going to make another neverending story about the
AOL storage being "false?" :-)

>Easier to do what one is best at. In my case that's electrical engineering,
>not managing a company.
>>
>>Why is N2EY not proud of the company he works for?
>
>I am very proud of the company I work for.

That's nice. Proud enough to name it? Or are we all on
some kind of "honor system" where N2EY's claim is
"valid" if he says so?

>>Is anyone "attacking" N2EY's "professional" activities?
>
>Not yet. But you will, given the chance. I've seen you do it plenty of times.

Ah, so I "will" do that! Let's add prescience to the telepathic
powers. Please show credentials of fortune telling and
telepathy or license for same... :-)

>What claims have I made that are based on my work experience? C'mon, produce
>some posts.

How? Where does N2EY work? What does N2EY do in detail?
:-)

>It is interesting that LHA does not mention my academic achivements.

Oh? I've acknowledged the grant of a US Amateur Extra class
amateur radio license. Isn't that an academic achievement?
Other amateurs have said such a thing IS an academic
achievement. :-)

How does a BSEE with/without an MSEE equate with an
amateur radio license? Are they equal? In what regard?

A few in here who are big on BS but don't have a BSEE
like to denigrate and depreciate those who have a bachelor
of science degree but no amateur radio license. I don't do
that. Does N2EY?

I won't take up the "T-R" (or break-in) comments made
about three years ago and default on everything but a

few of N2EY's comments. This endless misdirection of
having to go back a year or more to "prove" something
is not about the subject but of the challenger's wounded
ego. Supply your own bandages.

>>Is "Electric Radio" available on the newsstands? At any
>>periodical vendor?
>
>Nope. It's by subscription only. So what?
>>
>>"Electric Radio" does have a website. A fanzine is a specific
>>magazine devoted to fans (enthusiasts) of the magazine's
>>central topics. There is nothing wrong about fanzines or their
>>existance. Hundreds, perhaps thousands of different fanzines
>>are published in this country, covering a variety of subjects.
>
>Like "Proceedings of the IEEE" ;-)

Is N2EY saying that the IEEE publishes fanzines?!?

That's like saying the NEJM or JAMA only carry articles
about first aid.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk.

>It was. I did it, I should know.
>
>>It would
>>only be "easy" if you knew a lot of radio, not just a "little."
>
>Saying I know "a lot of radio" would be bragging.

It is...and you do...without providing any proof.

>Seems like LHA wants to "mystify" radio design and construction by fostering
>the idea that a person needs to know "a lot of radio" to build their own
>equipment.

Nobody needs a "lot of radio [knowledge]" to build their own
electronic equipment. There are many kits of all kinds. All
that is required is asembly skill. With enough ARRL
Handbooks and QST editions, anyone with assembly skills
can MAKE their own amateur radios...designed by others.

DESIGNING one's own equipment, including making it work,
is quite another story.

>But I think what REALLY bugs LHA about me is that I not only design, restore,
>repair, build, align and OPERATE ham gear, but that I've been doing so for a
>very long time. My very first transmitter was a homemade one, which I built
>and operated at the age of 13.

Doesn't bother me a bit. :-)

I converted two ARC-5 sets to 115 VAC primary power in 1947,
got them working. I was 15. (N2EY didn't exist then) Sold
both of them for a fair amount of profit (in those days and as
a teen-ager). Built a number of other things. At age 21 I
was "working" at a military radio station where there were
36 HFand 7 VHF transmitters operating 24/7...later on...well,
you know what will happen if I post a "CV" in here, don't you? :-)

>In LHA's reply comments to the FCC, he recommended that there be a MINIMUM
>AGE LIMIT for ALL classes of amateur radio license.

Still do. Most young teenagers don't develop the responsibility
necessary to operate unattended...in my opinion. Sub-teens
even less so. But...what has THAT got to do with the code-
nocode test subject?!?

>He expressed shock and outrage
>that young children were being licensed, even though there have been young
>hams for many years, and NO recorded problems due to the youth of the
>licensees.

"Shock and outrage?!?" Oh, my, how N2EY does exaggerate!
:-)

Let's hear it for the responsible 4-year-olds who can legally
operate a transmitter! Let's hear it for the VEs who passed
4-year-olds' written test elements! 4-year-olds can read
with full comprehension of the the text material!

>>>I used to do RF design professionally, by the way. Now I do other types of
>>>design professionally.
>>
>>Where and when?
>>
>Here and now.

Heh. NaN! No proof presented, no validation.

>>>All of what I wrote is true.
>>
>>...because you claim it. :-)
>>
>Nope. Because it happened.

Heh. NaN again, this time at minus infinity. Claim only. Fish
story by the sound of it..

>Show us where I claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".

Already did.

>>Not correct. The FCC approves the VEC question pool
>>but the VEC QPL included questions on the Smith Chart.

>
>A few questions out of thousands. And a prospective ham could get all of the
>Smith Chart questions wrong and still pass the test easily. Most ham exams
>probably don't include any Smith Chart questions at all.
>
>That means they don't think it's very meaningful.

All of this isn't about a Smith Chart at all. It is all about N2EY
and his viewpoint of amateur radio testing and why everyone
who doesn't think like him is "wrong." :-)

The VEC QPL make up all the written test questions and
answers. The FCC approves the pool. The FCC grants
licenses. The FCC will determine what is meaningful for
their regulatory task...but the FCC does listen to its
citizens...ALL of them.

So far, the morseodists haven't proved their case well
enough to convince the FCC to retain all those levels of
morse code testing. The FCC hasn't thought that morse
code skill was worthwhile proof towards amateur radio
license granting since 1990. The FCC hasn't changed
their minds. Neither have the morseodists. :-)

5330. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 04:48:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004004856.28579.00000139@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 21574

In article <uGLu7.912$_d5.282061@news.uswest.net>, "Paul Friedman"
<paulfriedman@uswest.net> writes:

>> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
>> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
>> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
>
>There are ways to do this. There was a discussion here earlier about one
>approach:
>Simple example: Rather then list 3 questions on basic Ohm's Law
>calculations with the numbers already plugged in. Change it to something
>like:
> What is the voltage of a circuit if resistance equals x and current
>equals y.
> Have a long list (say 20) of pairs of x and y.
>Do this similarly for questions solving for I and R.
>
>Now, if someone wants to memorize 60 numbers rather then 1 formula, god
>bless his pea brain.

That seems to be a reasonable test format to me. It might
be a problem in whatever grading format is in use by the
VEC. There's nothing that appears to violate any regulations
in doing that.

>A similar approach could be used for all of the other theory questions.
>
>Since the band assignments, regs, etc. are nothing more then memorization
>(with a fair amount of common sense for many of the regs), they can remain
>unchanged.

The FCC regulations presently require a question pool with a
minimum of 10 times the number of required written element
qustions. That's a minimum. There is no maximum limit.
The Question Pool Committee could generate 20 or 30 or 40
times the required number and it would be quite within
regulations. As it is today the QPC can specify the KIND of
questions as well (not so before April 15 last year). There
could be 40 times as many questions on a specific topic
(such as Ohm's Law) and still retain 4 possible answers for
each question. That would not upset any grading grids.

There would be a lot more work for the QPC to generate a
larger question pool but each element's pool is changed
every two years. That's at least 6 months to work out one
pool content plus getting approval from the FCC.

If the pool becomes large enough, the "memorization" effort becomes huge and even the gifted mnemoic-talented test takers would have trouble. It would be much, much easier to learn the topics as a subject rather than a memory exercise...and that seems to be the outward complaint of those long-timers who passed their last tests long ago.

The Question Pool Committee should be open to suggestion. They could be sounded out on improvements.

5331. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 05:10:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pgr0f$3f7$0@208.206.142.107>
Source record: 21575

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
> > prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda they
> > will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
> > over again in the (probably near) future.
> >
>
> In my opinion, with the way "we" are acting in this country, they have
> already set the agenda. I can't think of a person I know that has not
> altered their life in some way in response to the actions of these creeps.
> I will not walk in our underground tunnels in the city I work in, I will not
> fly, not ride a bus, not ride a train, not travel right now, not go very far
> from home at all (if I am going to die it is going to be at home). Many,
> many of us are doing things in reaction to what happened. Many of us are
> "agreeing to" and practically begging our government to just "do whatever
> you have to do" to take care of this for us. If that isn't meeting the
> agenda, I don't know what is.
>

Well, for one who doesn't really believe they amount to much of
anything, they sure didn't expend much effort taking you out, did they?

5332. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 07:23:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011004032359.03806.00001434@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 21576

In article <078255.07571208@bimminy.com>, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
writes:

>
>Q. How many hams does it take to screw in a lightbulb?
>
>A. One. He simply holds the bulb to the socket, and the
>rest of the world revolves around him.

As it happens, I screwed in a lightbulb just this afternoon. However,
I didn't wait for the world to revolve around me, or it would have taken
24 hours to get the job done! Moreover, since I was standing on a
stepstool instead of a revolving platform, in reality, I would have
revolved around with the world, instead of the world revolving around
me. Thus, it still would have been impossible to get the job done.
I had to resort to the old-fashioned method of spinning the bulb into
the socket with my hand, until it was snugly in place.

Now, I'll admit that since I'm a Pre-Restructuring Extra-class ham, it
was only natural for me to choose the correct method for changing this
light bulb. I even made a more enlightened choice of the bulb itself,
one of those high-output, low-energy consumption flourescent jobs,
with the coiled flourescent tube instead of the traditional bulb. So,
now I'm getting more light than a 75-watt bulb, and only burning
20 watts in the process!

So, to answer the question, yes, it does take only one ham to
install a light bulb. But that ham must be a Real Ham in order to
do the job right!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Fiat Lux

5333. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 10:59:38 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbc428d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21577

You're a creep, Dick.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9pgr0f$3f7$0@208.206.142.107...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > > Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
> > > prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda
they
> > > will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
> > > over again in the (probably near) future.
> > >
> >
> > In my opinion, with the way "we" are acting in this country, they have
> > already set the agenda. I can't think of a person I know that has not
> > altered their life in some way in response to the actions of these
creeps.
> > I will not walk in our underground tunnels in the city I work in, I will
not
> > fly, not ride a bus, not ride a train, not travel right now, not go very
far
> > from home at all (if I am going to die it is going to be at home).
Many,
> > many of us are doing things in reaction to what happened. Many of us
are
> > "agreeing to" and practically begging our government to just "do
whatever
> > you have to do" to take care of this for us. If that isn't meeting the
> > agenda, I don't know what is.
> >
>
>
> Well, for one who doesn't really believe they amount to much of
> anything, they sure didn't expend much effort taking you out, did they?

5334. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 11:11:28 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbc454e_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21578

Oh, by the way, I'll also add that their numbers *don't* mount to much of anything, Dick. Their *numbers* don't. Do you happen to know the estimate on the cost it took these few to accomplish this act in NYC? $200,000!!! I don't know if that estimate has changed, but that is one figure I heard relayed from a Pentagon official to one of the reporters.

You're the one out there looking for some huge number of "enemy" to infiltrate and change your life. You're the one out there implying that we must be suspicious of "many thousands" of them who are among us. You're the one who apparently can't recognize that it doesn't take those "thousands" your delusional mind has come up with, to do what happened or to do it again.

If I'm taken out, and you're enlightened? I'll stay with taken out. I at least have a good understanding of the "enemy."

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9pgr0f$3f7$0@208.206.142.107...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > > Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
> > > prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda
they
> > > will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
> > > over again in the (probably near) future.
> > >
> >
> > In my opinion, with the way "we" are acting in this country, they have
> > already set the agenda. I can't think of a person I know that has not
> > altered their life in some way in response to the actions of these
creeps.
> > I will not walk in our underground tunnels in the city I work in, I will
not
> > fly, not ride a bus, not ride a train, not travel right now, not go very
far
> > from home at all (if I am going to die it is going to be at home).
Many,
> > many of us are doing things in reaction to what happened. Many of us
are
> > "agreeing to" and practically begging our government to just "do
whatever

> > you have to do" to take care of this for us. If that isn't meeting the
> > agenda, I don't know what is.
> >
>
>
> Well, for one who doesn't really believe they amount to much of
> anything, they sure didn't expend much effort taking you out, did they?

5335. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 12:03:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004080306.05130.00003823@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21579

In article <3BB90A41.7DBC16B0@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Some orders were referred to as "penguins" because of the appearance of the
>> old-style habits.
>
>Isn't that what the Blues Brothers called their nun - "The Penguin"?

Yep. None of that was an exaggeration, by the way.

"Penguins at two o'clock low!" was a typical warning of my school days.

>Even as adults they had to sit in those tiny desks. I forget the name
>of their church, but I think George Carlin went the Our Lady of
>Perpetual Motion. :-)
>
Garrison Keillor refers to "Our Lady Of Perpetual Responsibility" and it was Cheech and Chong who referred to "Our Lady Of Perpetual Motion" in the same sketch that gave us "Sister Mary Elephant" (derived from Oliphant) and "Sister Rosetta Stone"

Big Daddy Graham does a song about nuns - the line I recall is "They're what the dinosaurs feared".

73 de Jim, N2EY

5336. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 13:16:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004091629.19803.00002178@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21580

>Subject: Re: Extra Class - Not without experience
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/3/2001 11:48 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011004004856.28579.00000139@nso-mg.aol.com>

>The Question Pool Committee should be open to suggestion.

They are.

>They could be sounded out on improvements.

Ditto.

5337. Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 13:17:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004091738.19803.00002179@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21581

>Subject: Re: Volunteerism isn't exclusive to amateurs
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/3/2001 9:46 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011003224649.07190.00001075@nso-ck.aol.com>

>One of them is a split personality, both of it anonymous.

Careful, Lennie...Your Freudian slip is showing.....

5338. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 15:51:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBC859A.4090305@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21582

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Moreover, since I was standing on a
> stepstool instead of a revolving platform, in reality, I would have
> revolved around with the world, instead of the world revolving around
> me. Thus, it still would have been impossible to get the job done.

Larry, you could have used a big pendulum to revolve your platform
because the earth revolves under a big pendulum.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5339. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 16:28:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004122837.12297.00000115@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 21583

In article <3BBC859A.4090305@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> Moreover, since I was standing on a
>> stepstool instead of a revolving platform, in reality, I would have
>> revolved around with the world, instead of the world revolving around
>> me. Thus, it still would have been impossible to get the job done.
>
>Larry, you could have used a big pendulum to revolve your platform
>because the earth revolves under a big pendulum.

...he doesn't have Schuler Tuning. :-)

5340. 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 17:15:16 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110040915.5241c724@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21584

Top Ten Hidden Secrets of r.r.a.p.:

10. K4YZ doesn't understand simple logic. Never has, never will.

9. Lennie wants a pony but, due to a secret deal between Dave Sumner and Riley Hollingsworth, he'll never get it.

8. Phil Kane is our resident legal advisor. W1RFI is our manners cop. Neither have any jurisdiction outside their own imagination.

7. Cecil provides millions of hams with delightful entertainment just like so many of the shows on the QVC and K1MAN networks.

6. W5TIT is rumored not to have any.

5. Ignore W2ILP. All of us do.

4. If you jiggle the handle on the r.r.a.p Executive Washroom you can get in there sometimes. Just be sure and do it after hours because if you get caught sneaking in you'll have to ride next to K3LT on the team bus on the trip to Dayton while he explains the advantages of CW.

3. We're actually all very nice here. We only flame the clueless and/or annoying.

2. OK, so we do flame some other people. But the dump hucks had it coming.

1. RRAP Speed Limit: 5WPM (Farnsworth format 18/5).

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

5341. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 20:13:06 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBCC2D2.74E880D2@uci.edu>
Source record: 21585

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > Muslims feel that an attack on any Muslim is an attack on
> > all of Islam.

> Then why are so many Muslims cooperating in bringing the
> terrorists to justice?

Hadn't heard about it. How many have they caught so far?

> > I heard one moderate Pakistani gentleman this morning on
> > the news saying that the life of one Muslim is worth more than the lives
> > of all Americans.

> That doesn't meet the definition of "moderate", Jim. I've
> heard "moderate" Nazis say the same thing about WASPs.

Your argument isn't with me, Cecil. He's considered by his community to
be moderate in his views. Moderate is a relavtive thing. I'm certainly
not going to argue about the similarity between moderate Nazis and
moderate fundmentalist Muslims.

5342. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 20:42:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110041242.49a5ebb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21586

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BBBBE5F.4470449F@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Were the license test standards
> > lowered? Sure seems like it to me.
>
> Lowered from where to what? I just don't see a major change in the
> standards.

I don't see a MAJOR change, either. Just a change.

> Virtually all of the same material is covered by the exams.

Yup - but by fewer exams and fewer questions.

> The intent of the exams remains the same (to cover the basics needed
> for entry into the various levels of ham radio).

Agreed - but some folks won't even agree that there should be "levels"
of ham radio to begin with.

> The goal of the exams
> remains the same (to cover rules, procedures, safety, and basic
> skills). And the result of the exams appears to be the same (I've seen
> no great change in the people receiving new licenses or upgrading -
> most seem fairly decent to me).

Nor do I.

>Where do you see evidence of lowered standards?
>
The fact that there are fewer tests, with fewer questions.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5343. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 20:54:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110041254.206a5908@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21587

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BBBB9A7.F0BEE0A6@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > (snip) OK, lets see what that would
> > look like. Let's call the two classes
> > "Basic" and "Full".
> >
> > The first question I have is, what
> > privileges would "Basic" licensees
> > have besides being limited to
> > VHF/UHF? (snip)
>
>
> Why would you insist on calling the VHF/UHF license "Basic?"

I don't insist on it at all. We can call it whatever you like.

> The
> Technicians who currently use VHF/UHF have full operating privileges,
> using all available modes, on every ham band above 50 Mhz (hardly
> "basic" privileges). And the only reason those frequencies were
> selected, as opposed to some other group of frequencies, is because an
> international treaty restricts the use of frequencies below that
> without knowledge of Morse code. Why would a treaty, or a lack of
> code, or no use of HF, automatically define a class of operators as "basic?"

Let's back up a second.

In an earlier post, Bob, W7RJR, said we should get rid of Incentive
Licensing. I asked him what sort of license structure we should have
instead. He outlined a two-class structure: a VHF/UHF-only license
with no code test and a simple written test, and an all-privileges
license with both sending and receiving code tests and a more advanced
and detailed written test. That's why the names "Basic" and "Full"
seemed appropriate.

I asked Bob how the existing licenses would transfer into his proposed
structure, and he saw the "Basic" as being the same as the current
Tech. (Correct me if I'm wrong on this, Bob). So his proposed VHF/UHF
licnese would have full privileges above 30 MHz.

The question then becomes - if the VHF/UHF-only licensees can use all
legal modes and full power on all legal VHF/UHF ham freqs, why do they
need another, more detailed written test to operate HF/MF? The only
things that would absolutely have to be on such a test are the

HF/MF-specific rules and regs, plus some HF/MF specific propagation and operating stuff. Yet as I read Bob's idea, it seems that he would put a lot more than that into the "Full" written.

See what I mean?

If you don't like the name, we'll change it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5344. The Pres Sez

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 21:06:04 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBCCF3C.555AD30E@uci.edu>
Source record: 21588

w/re. the terrorists:
"We have seen their kind before. They're the heirs of all the murderous ideologies of the 20th century. By sacrificing human life to serve their radical visions, by abandoning every value except the will to power, they follow in the path of fascism, Nazism and totalitarianism. And they will follow that path all the way to where it ends in history's unmarked grave of discarded lies."

President George W. Bush

5345. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 21:13:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110041313.3a72bf2e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21589

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9pf9h0$c2h$0@208.207.71.173>...

(old stuff removed)
> >
> > I'm not sure what you mean, Dick. What I'm trying to say is that the USA and
> > its allies are giving the terrorists and those who support them good
> > opportunities to avoid more violence. If war does come, it will be because the
> > terrorists brought it on themselves.
> > >
>
> Which they are sworn to do, and from their training films which have
> been displayed and described on various media, they are well into a
> "holy war" with the West, oarticularly the USA and a few other major
> nations.

Then they will get what they are asking for.

> An interview with a Mullah in Pakistan he stated that the USA
> doesn't desreve to survive, and it is the duty of every Muslim to do his
> part to see that it does not survive. That's poerful stuff to a culture
> where 70% of males are illiterate and thus depend on their religious
> leaders for almost all their direction, and the situation is even worse
> for women. They believe they have the duty to die in their quest to
> protect Islam from the decadence of the West.

The radical ones, yes. But Islam is not a monollithic religion. Are
all Christians like those in the KKK? (They burn crosses, the symbol
of Christianity).

And how do we explain the Gulf War, where Christian/Western countries
made an alliance with Muslim Saudi Arabia and other Muslim countries
to free Muslim Kuwait from Muslim Iraq?

What about Muslim Iraq's long war with Muslim Iran?
>
> > > The Taliban and
> > >> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
> with
> > >> President Bush's requests.
>
> Either you or I have misunderstood his remarks. He said we're at war,
> and it is a war on terrorism and terrorists, and it won't be won till
> there are none of them remaining.

A war which THEY started and which THEY can end at any time. Of course
I don't think they will just give up.

What I'm trying to say is that Bush & Co. have not gone off and simply
attacked Afghanistan or some other place. They have not unleashed
retaliation or revenge. Instead, they have set up the goal of ending
terrorism. The Taliban and others can cooperate with that goal or face
the consequences - but it's THEIR choice.

> To merely put it on hold yet again, so
> that they can restart it all over again once the current flurry settles
> down will be only playing into their hands yet again, and that is not
> what I got from either the P{redidents nor those of anyone else's
> remarks who is in a position of authority. Britishs Prime mInister Tony
> Blair was particularly to the point. He essentially said it's either
> them or us.

I agree. I'm not saying it should be put on hold at all. But
preparations have to be made. Remember how long it took to get ready
for the Gulf War?
>
> That wouldn't end it, I am certain.
>
> > Sure it will.
>
> Jim, don't you keep up with these things? I fear all too many Americans
> do not. This is just an escalation of a movement that has been underway
> for many years, and is just now getting serious to the point that it is
> considered by the majority of us to be anything more than just a nutty
> splinte group. it is NOT. There are thousands of them living among us,
> unknown to most of us. These opeople are deterrmined to wipe us out, or
> be themselves obliterated in the effort. They believe, and are
> constantly told by their clerics, that the way to Paradise is to kill
> the infidel. That's us, in their minds. And no tall of them are
> illiterate, many well educated are centerally located within their
> ranks.

They must be rooted out. That's what "internal security" means.

> I think they hate us for our human successes, a sort of "If we
> can't have it you can't either" syndrome.

Yup. There are other reasons, though.
>
> As I recall, all President Bush requires is three things:
> >
> > 1) All terrorists to be in custody so they can't do more terrorism.
>
> > 2) All organizations, training facilities and safe havens for terrorists to be
> > dismantled and shut down.
> > 3) Those responsible for the attacks on the USA brought to justice.

>
> And how will we know when "all terrorists" are in custody? Answer: we
> cannot possibly know. There are many thousdands already trained in camps
> across the Middle East and more in training as we speak.

When Bush & Co. are satisfied that the problem is solved. Not before.

> TV coiverage
> shows women and small children cheering and celebrating at the news of
> the WTC collapse.

Sure.

>That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemie,
> across numerous borders including even our own. You think we can round
> up and incarcerate all of them?

The Japanese and Germans acted the same way.
>
> > Simple. By all accounts, the organizations responsible are not very large -
> > probably less than the number of innocent people killed already.
>
> Not by any stretch of the imagination.

The number I saw was 3,000.
> >
> > >Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.
>
> > There must be a way to seize the assets of the terror organizations and use
> > them for cleanup, compensation and rebuilding.
> >
> > >By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.
> > >
> > That's up to them, not us.
>
> Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
> prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda they
> will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
> over again in the (probably near) future.

All I'm saying is that the president has made it clear what must be
done. The other side can comply or not.

Remember Pearl Harbor - and remember how that story ended.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5346. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 22:36:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004183656.09535.00000979@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 21590

>Subject: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
>From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)
>Date: 10/4/2001 12:15 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7c5262e.0110040915.5241c724@posting.google.com>

>Top Ten Hidden Secrets of r.r.a.p.:

Troll, troll, troll your goat..........

Guess Mrs. Brokeslob is rationing the good times in Minnesota again, and
WE are going to have to suffer HIS rath.....

73 to everyone else

Steve, K4YZ

5347. Re: Dongslurping Heil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-04 22:41:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004184118.09535.00000981@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 21591

>Subject: Dongslurping Heil
>From: Nomen Nescio nobody@dizum.com
>Date: 10/3/2001 12:00 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7f6e5c7ff336ffaa75e43c3037b0b2c3@dizum.com>

The last possible thing I would want to do in the current enviroment that exists in America is give the impression that I was stalking someone...

Like YOU are, Anonymous Creep...And I ESPECIALLY wouldn't be stalking someone in the U. S. Diplomatic Corps that only ahs to pass this e-mail to the FBI who will find out why an officer of the federal government has been so targeted.

You are not as anonymous nor as "secure" as you think you are, Putz.

5348. Re: How many hams does it take?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 00:59:43 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <26nprtc02ctalam2t92smqv4afa83jv50f@4ax.com>
Source record: 21593

On 04 Oct 2001 07:23:59 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <078255.07571208@bimminy.com>, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
>writes:
>
>So, to answer the question, yes, it does take only one ham to
>install a light bulb. But that ham must be a Real Ham in order to
>do the job right!

Whereas millions of people the world over who know nothing at all
about radio routinely change light bulbs properly. Hmmm...

5349. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 01:00:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBD0635.E8C7519@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21592

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> It isn't rocket science, Dwight.
> People respond to demands or
> challenges. When there was a code
> test, it wasn't a problem to learn
> code and take the code test.

However, before the reduction in the code requirement, there was also the added knowledge that code was a necessary radio communications mode as an extra incentive to learn it. That necessity is is much less today, so the incentive to learn code is also much less today.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5350. NY/NJ/PA "Radio" Octoberfest this Saturday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 01:55:37 UTC
From: k3bu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011004215537.08376.00001076@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 21594

Reminder.
NY/NJ/PA "Radio" Octoberfest this Saturday

You are all invited to (Radio) Octoberfest at Verkhovyna Mountainview Resort in Glen Spey, NY (NY,NJ,PA tri-state area) hosted by the Tesla Radioclub and Ukrainian-American Cultural Foundation - UACF on October 6, 2001, starting at noon till ???

Come and have fun meeting other hams, DXers, contesters, while having good time and drinking Octoberfest beer. Enjoy Ukrainian and German food, listen or dance to endless polka's. Wear your badge, hat or shirt with callsign proud and meet other radio aficionados around the "radio" table.

140 acre Verkhovyna resort is the new home to Tesla Radioclub N2EE station. Join the semi-serious discussion of how many towers do we need to put up there. Come, join the club and get a free beer.

Directions:
Take Hwy. 84 to Port Jervis, NY exit 1; follow Rt. 6 through Port Jervis to traffic light with Rt. 97/42 signs, go through and follow Rt. 97 west for 10.8 miles to Pond Eddy (motel on right, bridge on left), turn right onto High Rd. (Rt. 41), go up the steep hill for 1.7 miles, Verkhovyna is on the left. Plenty of parking.
Maps and sattellite view of the resort are at www.UACF.net home page under directions.

See you all there and join us yodeling in Morse code :-)
Nazdorovya, Ein Prosit!

Yuri, K3BU
pres. Tesla Radioclub

5351. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 04:03:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21595

In article <20011003224652.07190.00001076@nso-ck.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30
>years
>>>>ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the ARRL
>>>>Antenna Book.
>>>
>>>...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith
>>>Chart?!?" :-)
>>
>>Nope. I never claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".
>>
>>I wrote that I had mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Mastering
>is
>>no the same thing as being the master of.
>
>By that same "interpretation" of words, N2EY is not a "master
>of morse code." :-)

Yup. I never claimed to be one, either.
>
>Sorry, but that kind of semantical silliness doesn't prove anything.

Then why are you indulging in it?

>Here is what N2EY wrote:
>
>==
>
>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 22 Sep 2001 16:03:49 GMT
>
>In article <3BAB8678.8080704@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>There are thousands of hams who passed a Morse
>>code test and vowed never to use Morse code again.
>
>There are thousands of hams who mastered the Smithc chart and vowed never to
>use it again, either.
>
>Modern simulation programs eliminate the need to use tools like the Smith
>chart.
>

>73 de Jim, N2EY (who hasmastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)
>
>===

Ahhh - so you CAN dig up an old post! Amazing!
>
>N2EY made a claim.

Yep. I claim to have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Not to be "a
master of the Smith Chart".

>He has not provided any verification of that claim.

So?
>
>N2EY has not provided a single name-callsign of a single one
>of the "thousands" of hams who had mastered the Smith
>Chart and "vowed never to use it again."
>
Why should I do that?

>N2EY has not provided a single program name or source
>of the "modern simulation programs" that "eliminate the
>need to use a Smith Chart."

How about EZNEC?
>
>>>>It is clear that one of LHA's "prime directives" is that what
>professionals
>>>>do and use is THE criteria to be applied to what amateurs do. In other
>words,
>>>>if the pros use something, it's good, if they don't, it's bad. What
>amateurs
>>>>do is of no consequence to LHA.
>>>
>>>Really?!? :-)
>>>
>>Yep. You should read what you write, sometime.
>
>Wrong.

It's wrong for you to read what you write?? That explains a lot.

>To "prove" the above assertion requires demonstrated,
>documented proof of telepathic powers...and...

Nope. Just reading your posts tells the whole story.
>
>N2EY should read the entire texts of what others are doing,
>have done, especially in the modern era.

Why? Who are these unnamed "others"?
>

>Please supply the model number and manufacturer of a
>professional network analyzer that does NOT use a Smith
>Chart format display on its front panel.

"Professional network analyzer", huh? See, there you go - it has to be
"professional" for you to consider it. QED
>

>>>What is so wrong about applying professional techniques and
>>>experience to what is essentially a hobby activity?
>>
>>That's not the subject under discussion. And amateur radio is more than a
>>"hobby activity".
>
>Hmmm?

Tsk, tsk. Try to pay attention ;-)

>The subject here WAS "mastership of the Smith
>Chart."

All that I claimed was to have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse Code.

>It also seems to be one of the marathon postings of
>N2EY trying to disprove things he said in public. :-)

Nope.
>
>So, amateur radio is "more" than a hobby activity. How much
>is "more?" 0.1%? 0.01%? Is the "more" a long discussion
>about old "bad" songs? Is the "more" another long discussion
>on world politics, US foreign policy, or political ideology?

Ask the amateur radio "hobbyists" who are volunteering at Ground Zero.
>
>>You apply the practices of "professionals" as the SOLE criteria of judging
>>what amateurs do.
>
>Sorry, that is an out and out LIE. LIE. :-)

Not at all. It's an observation made from reading many of your marathon
postings.
>
>>What amateurs do has no bearing on the issue, in your judgement.
>
>The only way N2EY's sentence could be close to "truth"
>would be that radio physics of amateur radio are different
>than every other radio service. That's obviously untrue.

Translation: I have stated the case, but you do not want to admit I am right.
>
>Radio amateurs use radios and electronic test equipment.

Well, DUH, ;-)

>In some cases the more affluent radio amateurs have the
>same test equipment as are used by professionals.

In most cases, hams don't have anyhting like professional resources.

>In
>other cases their test equipment is simpler, such as the
>"noise bridge" (a wideband-source admittance measuring
>bridge circuit) used commonly with transmission lines. A
>noise bridge and a pocket calculator can quickly yield the
>plot points for a Smith Chart to determine antenna-line
>impedance, VSWR, bandwidth, and in what direction to
>begin matching a mismatch for maximum power transfer.

Yeah, I know. So?

>Total cost is less than a tenth of professional equipment.
>[good articles are found in HR on CD from the ARRL]

So? I know how to do all that. So do many hams.
>
>>>>What does it matter WHAT I do professionally, LHA?
>>>
>>>It creates some basis, some bona fides for N2EY's claims
>>>such as being a "master of the Smith Chart."
>>
>>I never made such a claim. Produce the post where I claim to be "master of
>>the Smith Chart"
>
>I just did.

Nope. You posted that I claimed to have mastered the Smith Chart. Not the same
thing.

> But...note the misdirection.

What misdirection?

>N2EY avoided a
>direct answer to his profession, where he works, what he
>does in detail other than a very, very general statement.
>
So?
>
>>Then produce the post where I wrote that I was "master of the Smith Chart".
>>Since you used quotes, you are referring to an exact phrase.
>
>No, I use quote marks to distinguish them from ordinary
>words. The newsgroup text doesn't allow full transmission
>of italics, bold-face, underlining, or font changes at every
>ISP.

So your quotes are not actual quotes. Uh-huh.
>

>I already quoted the first posting of N2EY, verbatim. He will
>argue and argue and argue that his words didn't mean what
>he wrote...and then make outrageous claims of others'
>writings. :-)

You're projecting what YOU do onto others again.
>
>>All my posts are in google/deja.
>
>AOL allows storage and retrieval of the last 30 days' messages.
>This is 3 Oct 01 and a date of 22 Sep 01 is easily within that
>30 day window.

There is more to the internet than AOL.
>
>Is N2EY going to make another neverending story about the
>AOL storage being "false?" :-)

DOS tip: Surf over to:

http://www.deja.com

and see the google archive of Usenet postings back to 1996 or so. One doesn't
have to be limited to the 30 day AOL timeline.
>
>>Easier to do what one is best at. In my case that's electrical engineering,
>>not managing a company.
>>>
>>>Why is N2EY not proud of the company he works for?
>>
>>I am very proud of the company I work for.
>
>That's nice. Proud enough to name it?

What has pride to do with anything?

>Or are we all on
>some kind of "honor system" where N2EY's claim is
>"valid" if he says so?
>
Why not?

>>>Is anyone "attacking" N2EY's "professional" activities?
>>
>>Not yet. But you will, given the chance. I've seen you do it plenty of
>times.
>
>Ah, so I "will" do that!

Yep. If you can say what I will do, why can't I say what YOU will do?

> Let's add prescience to the telepathic
>powers.

No prescience. Just common sense. For example, you have repeatedly called a highly qualified emergency medical person "Nursie", which was not very complimentary.

I'm smart enough to know that no matter what my qualifications and employment, it would not be satisfactory to you.
>
>>What claims have I made that are based on my work experience? C'mon, produce
>>some posts.
>
>How? Where does N2EY work? What does N2EY do in detail?
>:-)

You cannot answer a question with another question. Your words, not mine.

>>It is interesting that LHA does not mention my academic achivements.
>
>Oh? I've acknowledged the grant of a US Amateur Extra class
>amateur radio license. Isn't that an academic achievement?

Yep. Old stuff - 31 years ago.

>Other amateurs have said such a thing IS an academic
>achievement. :-)

It is.
>
>How does a BSEE with/without an MSEE equate with an
>amateur radio license? Are they equal? In what regard?

They are quite a level above any amateur radio license.
>
>A few in here who are big on BS but don't have a BSEE
>like to denigrate and depreciate those who have a bachelor
>of science degree but no amateur radio license.

Not me.

>I don't do that.

Yep. You only denigrate and deprecate those who have amateur radio licenses.
;-)

>Does N2EY?

Nope. In fact, I cannot.

A few in here who are big on BS but don't have a BSEE or an amateur license like to denigrate and depreciate those who have a bachelor of science degree and an amateur radio license. ;-)

>---------------------------------------
>
>I won't take up the "T-R" (or break-in) comments made
>about three years ago and default on everything but a
>few of N2EY's comments.

Now what does THAT mean? Are you saying you are WRONG? Why not be a man and say so? ;-)

>This endless misdirection of
>having to go back a year or more to "prove" something
>is not about the subject but of the challenger's wounded
>ego. Supply your own bandages.

My ego isn't wounded at all. Yours obviously is, because you are avoiding using simple resources like the google archives.

Afraid of what you might find? ;-)
>
>-----------------------------------
>
>>>Is "Electric Radio" available on the newsstands? At any
>>>periodical vendor?
>>
>>Nope. It's by subscription only. So what?
>>>
>>>"Electric Radio" does have a website. A fanzine is a specific
>>>magazine devoted to fans (enthusiasts) of the magazine's
>>>central topics. There is nothing wrong about fanzines or their
>>>existance. Hundreds, perhaps thousands of different fanzines
>>>are published in this country, covering a variety of subjects.
>>
>>Like "Proceedings of the IEEE" ;-)
>
>Is N2EY saying that the IEEE publishes fanzines?!?

By YOUR definition, that's what they are:

"A fanzine is a specific magazine devoted to fans (enthusiasts) of the magazine's central topics." That's an EXACT quote of YOUR words.

Is "Proceedings" available on the newstands? Not any that I know of.
>
>That's like saying the NEJM or JAMA only carry articles
>about first aid.

How? Nothing in the definition YOU gave specifies the level of the articles.
>
>Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk.

"There is nothing wrong about fanzines or their existance. Hundreds, perhaps thousands of different fanzines are published in this country, covering a variety of subjects." Another EXACT quote of YOUR words.

>>It was. I did it, I should know.
>>
>>>It would
>>>only be "easy" if you knew a lot of radio, not just a "little."
>>
>>Saying I know "a lot of radio" would be bragging.

>
>It is...and you do...without providing any proof.

Where have I said I know a lot of radio?

>>Seems like LHA wants to "mystify" radio design and construction by fostering
>>the idea that a person needs to know "a lot of radio" to build their own
>>equipment.
>
>Nobody needs a "lot of radio [knowledge]" to build their own
>electronic equipment.

I agree 100%.

>There are many kits of all kinds.

Yeah, I've built a few kits. They all worked, too.

> All that is required is asembly skill.

Is that a bad thing? Why reinvent the wheel?

>With enough ARRL
>Handbooks and QST editions, anyone with assembly skills
>can MAKE their own amateur radios...designed by others.

Is that a bad thing?
>
>DESIGNING one's own equipment, including making it work,
>is quite another story.

I know - I've done it. Many times.
>
>>But I think what REALLY bugs LHA about me is that I not only design,
>restore,
>>repair, build, align and OPERATE ham gear, but that I've been doing so for a
>>very long time. My very first transmitter was a homemade one, which I built
>>and operated at the age of 13.
>
>Doesn't bother me a bit. :-)

It sure seems to. You would have denied me, and many other young hams, a
license at that age.
>
>I converted two ARC-5 sets to 115 VAC primary power in 1947,
>got them working. I was 15.

So? Converting ARC-5s was old hat to me by age 15. All one had to do to the
receivers was a little rewiring of the heaters, add a gain pot, headphone jack
and a BFO switch, and connect to a power supply. Doesn't even require "assembly
skills".

The transmitters are a little more work, but not much.

> (N2EY didn't exist then)

So? All that means is that you are older than me. I had more ham radio
operating experience at age 15 than you have now. Infinitely more.

>Sold both of them for a fair amount of profit (in those days and as
>a teen-ager).

Ah yes, the professional angle again. QED.

> Built a number of other things.

Uh huh. Did you DESIGN them?

>At age 21 I
>was "working" at a military radio station where there were
>36 HFand 7 VHF transmitters operating 24/7.

Sure - the Army sent you to radio school, and then you got to stand watches on
equipment designed, built and installed by others. That doesn't even take
"assembly skills". ;-)

>..later on...well,
>you know what will happen if I post a "CV" in here, don't you? :-)
>
You post it so often that nobody reads it anymore.....;-)
>
>>In LHA's reply comments to the FCC, he recommended that there be a MINIMUM
>>AGE LIMIT for ALL classes of amateur radio license.
>
>Still do.

Ageism.

> Most young teenagers don't develop the responsibility
>necessary to operate unattended...in my opinion.

It's an opinion based on prejudice, bigotry and bias. In my opinion, ;-)

>Sub-teens even less so.

It's an opinion based on prejudice, bigotry and bias. In my opinion, ;-)

Name some amateur radio problems caused by licensed hams under the age of 14.
C'mon, if they are so irresponsible, there should be plenty of problems and
enforcement actions to report.

> But...what has THAT got to do with the code-
>nocode test subject?!?

Why are you so stuck in the past? The code test issue is a dead duck, as I have
said many times.
>
>>He expressed shock and outrage
>>that young children were being licensed, even though there have been young
>>hams for many years, and NO recorded problems due to the youth of the
>>licensees.
>

>"Shock and outrage?!?" Oh, my, how N2EY does exaggerate!
> :-)
Not at all. I read all of your "reply comments", some of which were not replies at all. Reply comments are ONLY supposed to be about subjects commented on by others.

>Let's hear it for the responsible 4-year-olds who can legally
>operate a transmitter!

Do you KNOW the 4 year olds in question?

And there is a whole decade of development between 4 and your proposed age limit of 14.

>Let's hear it for the VEs who passed 4-year-olds' written test elements!

Are you saying they broke the rules? That's serious stuff. Where is your evidence? Are YOU a VE?

> 4-year-olds can read with full comprehension of the the text material!

Full comprehension is not required to pass the tests.

Your reading comprehension is certainly not "full" ;-)

The FCC issued the licenses and has no problem with the licensees. You have provided NO evidence of problems caused by licensing young children.

Sounds like you are saying YOU didn't do it, and you don't want others to do it, either.
>
>>>>I used to do RF design professionally, by the way. Now I do other types of
>>>>design professionally.
>>>
>>>Where and when?
>>>
>>Here and now.
>
>Heh. NaN!

What is "NaN" supposed to mean? Not a number?

> No proof presented, no validation.

There is none you would accept.

>>>Not correct. The FCC approves the VEC question pool
>>>but the VEC QPL included questions on the Smith Chart.
>>
>>A few questions out of thousands. And a prospective ham could get all of the
>>Smith Chart questions wrong and still pass the test easily. Most ham exams
>>probably don't include any Smith Chart questions at all.
>>
>>That means they don't think it's very meaningful.
>

>All of this isn't about a Smith Chart at all.

Yes, it is. You just don't want to discuss it because you know I have the facts.

>It is all about N2EY
>and his viewpoint of amateur radio testing and why everyone
>who doesn't think like him is "wrong." :-)

Nope. It is all about LHA and his viewpoint of amateur radio testing and why everyone
who doesn't think like him is wrong.
>
>The VEC QPL make up all the written test questions and
>answers. The FCC approves the pool. The FCC grants
>licenses.

Even to 4 year olds.

>The FCC will determine what is meaningful for
>their regulatory task...but the FCC does listen to its
>citizens...ALL of them.

Good thing they didn't listen to you.

N2EY

5352. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 04:23:11 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110042023.1af46eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21596

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote

> Troll, troll, troll your goat..........

Change Rule 10 to:

10. K4YZ doesn't grasp humor. Never has, never will.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

5353. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 05:56:27 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <fYbv7.1137$Le.35870@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21597

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0110041254.206a5908@posting.google.com...
> In an earlier post, Bob, W7RJR, said we should get rid of Incentive
> Licensing. I asked him what sort of license structure we should have
> instead. He outlined a two-class structure: a VHF/UHF-only license
> with no code test and a simple written test, and an all-privileges
> license with both sending and receiving code tests and a more advanced
> and detailed written test. That's why the names "Basic" and "Full"
> seemed appropriate.
>
> I asked Bob how the existing licenses would transfer into his proposed
> structure, and he saw the "Basic" as being the same as the current
> Tech. (Correct me if I'm wrong on this, Bob). So his proposed VHF/UHF
> licnese would have full privileges above 30 MHz.
>
> The question then becomes - if the VHF/UHF-only licensees can use all
> legal modes and full power on all legal VHF/UHF ham freqs, why do they
> need another, more detailed written test to operate HF/MF? The only
> things that would absolutely have to be on such a test are the
> HF/MF-specific rules and regs, plus some HF/MF specific propagation
> and operating stuff. Yet as I read Bob's idea, it seems that he would
> put a lot more than that into the "Full" written.

That is what I meant. I would not however agree that I intended to put more
into
the full written than what would be required by today's General. I feel that
if you
are qualified to operate on one segment of a band, you should be qualified
to operate
on the "whole" band.

W7RJR

5354. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 08:24:04 UTC
From: NJSniper@nunofyourb.net
Message-ID: <3bbf6d7d.141481430@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21598
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 04:47:10 GMT, "David N7VRZ" <n7vrz@home.com>
wrote:

>That is not war, that is genocide.

So, what is wrong with that???
The only solution for Terrorists is the Final Solution.

Exterminate Them All!!!

God Bless America

The Jersey Sniper

5355. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 12:02:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005080252.07922.00004362@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21601

In article <RRJu7.982$Le.33245@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:
(N2EY woite):
>> I'm gonna do the "devil's advocate" thing here for a bit.
>>
>> OK, lets see what that would look like. Let's call the two classes
>> "Basic" and "Full".
>>
>> The first question I have is, what privileges would "Basic" licensees
>> have besides being limited to VHF/UHF?
>
>They would be essentially what the Tech no-code license is now.

Understood.

>> If they have all privileges, then why is a more involved written test
>> needed to access HF? Sure, the rules and regs and maybe some
>> propagation stuff, but why is more theory learning needed to operate
>> on 14.250 than is required for 145.200?
>
>There would be no need for a more involved written test. Only a written
>test that was designed to support full privileges, whatever that might be.

So the "Full" written would be nothing but stuff specific to HF/MF?
>
>> Second question - what happens if/when the code test goes away? There
>> is no speed requirement in the treaty, but 5 wpm has been the de facto
>> standard for half a century. FCC has made it clear that the ONLY
>> reason we still have a code test is because the treaty requires it.
>
>When that happens, all the "basic" licensee would need do is take and pass
>the "full" licensee written exam.

OK, understood.
>
>> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
>> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
>> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
>>
>That would require reinstating some of the procedures used years ago..
>get rid of the Q&A pools, require demonstration of simple skills, a
>performance
>or hands-on test, if you will, perhaps drawing a schematic, etc.

This is a real problem from a practical standpoint. First, the FCC has been
using only multiple-choice Q&A for about 40 years. They will need to be

convinced that there is some sort of problem with that method of testing.

Public Q&A pools are a necessity of the VEC system. The FCC doesn't want the job of testing and they also don't want the job of making up the Q&A. The current system is 18 years old and it will be a tough go to convince them to go back to an old system.

If we try to make them secret again, another Dick Bash will very probably make them public again.
>
Another problem is possible subjectivity and nonunifiormity of test methods.

>> > As to how to integrate current licensees into this, that would be
>difficult.
>> > I can only state that from my personal perspective I would not be
>against
>> > just grandfathering say
>> > all of those that hold General licenses and above.
>>
>> I think you mean that all Generals, Advanceds and Extras would simply
>> get "Full" licenses, and everybody else would get "Basic" licenses.
>
>That would be correct; however, if the current Tech Plus were to take and
>pass the "full" written, then they would get full HF privileges.
>Current Novice would only need pass the "full" test.
>Current Tech w/o code would remain "basic" licensees, unless they take the
>5 WPM
>code and "full" written test.
>Once the code requirement were eliminated(which it will be)..then the taking
>of the "full" written will be all that is required.
>A "basic" licensee would then be required to do nothing but pass a "full"
>written test.

Some folks would say that such a system would still be an incentive system. They want just one test and one license.

>> Second problem is that about 220,000 Generals and Advanceds would get
>> free upgrades to "Full", which would not sit well with some of those
>> who had passed tests to get full privileges.
>>
>It's quite likely that sometime in the future the Advanced license will be
>abolished and
>they will be grandfathered in as Extras. Yep, some will complain.
>As to the Generals getting free upgrades, you just can't please everyone.

We'll see what the FCC does. The ARRL and others proposed grandfathering all existing Novices and Tech Pluses to General as part of the recent restructuring, but the FCC did not go for it.
>
>What I have explained here is the way it works in MOST countries today. It
>works
>for them, it should work for us.

Most countries do not have large ham populations as a percentage of the total population. And many countries do indeed have classes of license. Look at Japan - four classes of license. Great Britain has five classes.

>>
>> When the FCC did a similar thing in late 1952, there were a lot of
>> hard feelings about it. One guy went so far as to call a selective CQ
>> that specified "no kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators
>> only".
>>
>> We don't need more of THAT!
>
>Correct, we don't, but that was a personality disorder. We can't regulate
>that :)

Agreed!

73 de Jim, N2EY

5356. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 12:02:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005080254.07922.00004363@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21600

In article <uGLu7.912$_d5.282061@news.uswest.net>, "Paul Friedman"
<paulfriedman@uswest.net> writes:

>> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
>> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
>> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
>>
>
>There are ways to do this. There was a discussion here earlier about one
>approach:
>Simple example: Rather then list 3 questions on basic Ohm's Law
>calculations with the numbers already plugged in. Change it to something
>like:
> What is the voltage of a circuit if resistance equals x and current
>equals y.
> Have a long list (say 20) of pairs of x and y.
>Do this similarly for questions solving for I and R.

Yep. This works for simple concepts like Ohm's Law. But for more involved stuff
it's a lot more complex.
>
>Now, if someone wants to memorize 60 numbers rather then 1 formula, god
>bless his pea brain.

For some it may be easier. And why is having a good memory for data a "pea
brain"?
>
>A similar approach could be used for all of the other theory questions.

Even those that involve concepts and not simple formulas?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5357. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 12:02:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005080255.07922.00004364@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21599

In article <fYbv7.1137$Le.35870@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Bob Raymond"
<braymond@iea.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:9f4bfe89.0110041254.206a5908@posting.google.com...
>> In an earlier post, Bob, W7RJR, said we should get rid of Incentive
>> Licensing. I asked him what sort of license structure we should have
>> instead. He outlined a two-class structure: a VHF/UHF-only license
>> with no code test and a simple written test, and an all-privileges
>> license with both sending and receiving code tests and a more advanced
>> and detailed written test. That's why the names "Basic" and "Full"
>> seemed appropriate.
>>
>> I asked Bob how the existing licenses would transfer into his proposed
>> structure, and he saw the "Basic" as being the same as the current
>> Tech. (Correct me if I'm wrong on this, Bob). So his proposed VHF/UHF
>> licnese would have full privileges above 30 MHz.
>>
>> The question then becomes - if the VHF/UHF-only licensees can use all
>> legal modes and full power on all legal VHF/UHF ham freqs, why do they
>> need another, more detailed written test to operate HF/MF? The only
>> things that would absolutely have to be on such a test are the
>> HF/MF-specific rules and regs, plus some HF/MF specific propagation
>> and operating stuff. Yet as I read Bob's idea, it seems that he would
>> put a lot more than that into the "Full" written.
>
>That is what I meant. I would not however agree that I intended to put more
>into
>the full written than what would be required by today's General.

Ah, OK, Bob. Thanks for checking my post.

This means you would lower the requirements for all privileges to the
equivalent of today's General. That's what the FCC did in late 1952.

>I feel that
>if you
>are qualified to operate on one segment of a band, you should be qualified
>to operate
>on the "whole" band.
>
OK - fine. But by the same logic, if you are qualified to operate on one band,
why not on all of them? If a ham has the technical and regulatory smarts to run
full power using any legal mode on 2 meters, why is that ham not qualified to
do the same on 20 meters?

I don't recall if I mentioned it before, but you may enjoy reading "The Past 50 Years of Amateur Radio Licensing" which was posted here back in 1999. Just surf over to:

http://www.deja.com

and search on " Past 50 Years Amateur Radio Licensing N2EY"

and it should pop right up. It's in four parts.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5358. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 13:54:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005095421.09528.00001079@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 21602

>Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
>From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)
>Date: 10/4/2001 11:23 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b7c5262e.0110042023.1af46eb@posting.google.com>

>Change Rule 10 to:....

.....To anything you'd like. You're still trolling, Your Lifelessness

5359. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 15:22:44 UTC
From: K0HB@Fishing_For_Suckers.Net
Message-ID: <9pkj84dpc1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 21604

Steve Robeson K4YZ writes:

>>Change Rule 10 to:....
>
> .....To anything you'd like. You're still trolling....

Perhaps, and look at the size of the guppy I caught! BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5360. How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 15:31:06 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0110050731.5043a5ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21603

Some questions:

1. How much money in revenues and profits does the amateur radio industry earn each year in the United States and around the world?
2. How much in revenues and profits do Yaesu, Icom, and Kenwood earn from amateur radio?
3. How many Yaesu FT-100s and Icom 706s sell each year?
4. What are the sales and profit figures for MFJ Enterprises?
5. What are the sales figures for the most popular MFJ products like the MFJ-259B antenna analyzer, MFJ-941E tuner, and MFJ-949E tuner?
6. What are the sales figures for the most popular antennas (G5RVs, Hamsticks, Hustlers, Screwdrivers, etc.)?

Is there any web page where I can find sales figures on amateur radio companies and products?

5361. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 15:51:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011005115154.12618.00001371@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21605

In article <b7c5262e.0110040915.5241c724@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) writes:

>
>4. If you jiggle the handle on the r.r.a.p Executive Washroom you can
>get in there sometimes. Just be sure and do it after hours because if
>you get caught sneaking in you'll have to ride next to K3LT on the team
>bus on the trip to Dayton while he explains the advantages of CW.

Actually, Dick and I are going to be taking turns driving the bus, and will be providing continuous lectures on the benefits and advantages of CW throughout the course of the trip. The bus will be equipped with an HF transceiver, a "screwdriver" antenna, a Bencher keyer wired in parallel with a J-38, but no microphone! All 2-meter rigs, CB rigs, cell phones, PDA's, and other such communication devices will be confiscated prior to boarding. If the bus encounters any kind of emergency situation on the road, all radio communications will be strictly in CW.

73 de Larry, K3LT

5362. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 16:25:36 UTC
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Message-ID: <9pkmu0$f7v$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 21606

Jason Hsu writes:

>Is there any web page where I can find sales figures on amateur radio
>companies and products?

Probably not. Outside of the "big three", most amateur radio equipment vendors like MFJ, High Sierra, etc., are small privately held companies so are not likely to publicly report their revenues and earnings.

In the case of the "big three", amateur radio sales are a sideline to their larger business. You might be able to find an "annual report" for Icom or Kenwood or whoever, but they probably don't report their amateur radio business as a separate item from their mainstream business lines.

> 1. How much money in revenues and profits does the amateur radio
> industry earn each year in the United States and around the world?

Since the list of vendors consists of many, many scattered small private companies, I doubt you could come up with anything more than a very rough SWAG.

In any case, the number is probably not very impressive. Taking the US for an example, there are around 650,000 licensees. Let's suppose that 50% of them are active in the hobby. That estimate says there are 325,000 US hams spending money on the hobby. Let's further guesstimate that each of these folks spends an average of $500.00 on the hobby each year. That puts yearly revenue for *all* vendors (in the US market) at a measly $16.25 million.

Two or three of your local Burger King franchises combined probably have that much yearly revenue.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5363. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 16:32:00 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <4glv7.1149$Le.36127@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21607

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011005080252.07922.00004362@nso-cr.aol.com...
> >
> >There would be no need for a more involved written test. Only a written
> >test that was designed to support full privileges, whatever that might
be.
>
> So the "Full" written would be nothing but stuff specific to HF/MF?

Pretty much

> >
> >> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
> >> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
> >> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
> >>
> >That would require reinstating some of the procedures used years ago..
> >get rid of the Q&A pools, require demonstration of simple skills, a
> >performance
> >or hands-on test, if you will, perhaps drawing a schematic, etc.
>
> This is a real problem from a practical standpoint. First, the FCC has
been
> using only multiple-choice Q&A for about 40 years. They will need to be
> convinced that there is some sort of problem with that method of testing.
>

Of course, all of this is a matter of opinion, nothing more.

> >> > As to how to integrate current licensees into this, that would be
> >difficult.
,<SNIP>
> Some folks would say that such a system would still be an incentive
system.
> They want just one test and one license.
>

Well, if one test and one license would work, then I would not be opposed to
it,
but again, that is just an opinion.
It would certainly simplify things, end a lot of division and make life
easier for
the VEs?? Then we could concentrate on unity and promoting ham radio instead
of destroying it from within.

>
> We'll see what the FCC does. The ARRL and others proposed grandfathering
all
> existing Novices and Tech Pluses to General as part of the recent
> restructuring, but the FCC did not go for it.

I doubt the FCC will do much of anything without the endorsement of the
ARRL.

> >What I have explained here is the way it works in MOST countries today.
It
> >works
> >for them, it should work for us.
>
> Most countries do not have large ham populations as a percentage of the
total
> population. And many countries do indeed have classes of license. Look at
Japan
> - four classes of license. Great Britain has five classes.

I must admit that I am not familiar with their licensing system. Maybe their
classes
make sense to them. Most of the squabbling I hear seems to come from US
licensees.

W7RJR

5364. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 16:38:14 UTC
From: Bob Raymond <braymond@iea.com>
Message-ID: <WIlv7.1150$Le.36366@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21608

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011005080255.07922.00004364@nso-cr.aol.com...
> OK - fine. But by the same logic, if you are qualified to operate on one
band,
> why not on all of them? If a ham has the technical and regulatory smarts
to run
> full power using any legal mode on 2 meters, why is that ham not qualified
to
> do the same on 20 meters?

Operating on HF/MF requires additional/different requirements. You have to
understand propagation, international
treaties, different operating practices, etc. An earlier post you made that
said all they need do is satisfy the
HF/MF requirements hits the nail on the head.

Thanks Jim

W7RJR

>
> I don't recall if I mentioned it before, but you may enjoy reading "The
Past 50
> Years of Amateur Radio Licensing" which was posted here back in 1999. Just
surf
> over to:
>
> http://www.deja.com
>
> and search on " Past 50 Years Amateur Radio Licensing N2EY"
>
> and it should pop right up. It's in four parts.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

5365. ARNewsline Report 1260 - Oct 5 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 17:13:08 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005131308.24152.00001408@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 21609

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm) REPORT #1260 - OCT. 5, 2001

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1260 with a release date of Friday, October 5th, 2001 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

A big week for ham radio in space as A-O 40 proves G-P-S works in space and P C Sat goes into orbit. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1260 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: GPS EXPERIMENT COULD REVOLUTIONIZE SPACE TRAVEL

AMSAT reports another success with its AO 40 satellite that holds the promise of changing the way men travel into space. This, with word that that the on board Global Positioning System -- or G-P-S experiment has now undergone successful testing. Roy Neal, K6DUE, has the details:

--

ABOUT 20 YEARS AGO THE AIR FORCE PUT A RING OF SATELLITES IN ORBIT CALLED THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM, “G-P-S”. THEY WERE DESIGNED TO SHOW WHERE IN THE WORLD YOU ARE, WITH PINPOINT ACCURACY. THE AIR FORCE USED THEM TO GUIDE MISSILES AND MILITARY AIRCRAFT.

THE SYSTEM WAS MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC USE A FEW YEARS LATER AND HAS BECAME A MAINSTAY FOR NAVIGATING AIRLINERS, SHIPS AT SEA, TRUCKS AND CARS ON THE HIGHWAYS AND EVEN HIKERS . NASA WANTED TO KNOW IF GPS ALSO COULD BE USED TO PROVIDE POSITIONAL DATA OUTSIDE ITS RING OF SATELLITES. THE SPACE AGENCY TURNED TO AMATEUR RADIO’S AMSAT CORPORATION TO HELP WITH AN EXPERIMENT.

NASA PROVIDED TWO G-P-S RECEIVERS WHICH WERE INSTALLED ON THE A0-40 SATELLITE. THE “A” RECEIVER TO TUNE IN AT APOGEE, THE HIGHEST POINT IN ORBIT., THE “B” RECEIVER WOULD LISTEN AT PERIGEE, THE LOW POINT.

JIM WHITE, WD0E, THE AMSAT COORDINATOR AND CHIEF OPERATOR, HAS JUST MADE HIS FIRST REPORT ON THE ACTIVITY. HE SAID ”WE RECEIVED A SIGNAL FROM ABOUT 52 THOUSAND KILOMETERS OUT WITH GOOD SIGNAL LEVELS. FURTHER DATE IS BEING GATHERED AND THOSE DOWNLOADED SO FAR ARE BEING ANALYZED.”

THEY WERE DOWNLINKED THROUGH THE A0-40 S-BAND TRANSMITTER. AMSAT VICE PRESIDENT FRANK BAUER, KA3HDO, WHO WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN SETTING UP THE EXPERIMENT, SAID IT WILL REVOLUTIONIZE THE WAY GPS IS USED IN SPACE. BAUER SAID MANY FUTURE HIGH EARTH ORBITING SATELLITES WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE

ADVANTAGE OF GPS FOR AUTONOMOUS NAVIGATION AND STATIONKEEPING

FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE…ROY NEAL, K6DUE.

--

The bottom line. It now looks as if a ham radio satellite may help change the way men and machines travel to and from space. (AMSAT, K6DUE)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: KODIAK STAR ORBITS PCSAT

The long awaited Kodiak Star launch, carrying the P C SAT ham radio satellite into orbit is a success. According to the AMSAT News Service, the launch took place from Kodiak Alaska at 02:40 UTC on Sunday morning September 30th.

Initial Information indicates that all satellites were successfully deployed, and that the amateur radio satellites have been heard by hams in many countries. One of the first reports came from Derek Studholme ZL1AKJ of AMSAT-New Zealand. Derek said that stations down-under heard good telemetry signals from P C Sat's first two passes over New Zealand

The launch of the Kodiak Star had been delayed several times due to weather conditions and Solar Flares. On board the launch vehicle were the P C Sat, Sapphire and Starshine satellites. P C Sat is a Naval Academy Amateur Radio satellite. The Sapphire satellite also has Naval Academy involvement in a joint venture with Washington University. Starshine was the primary NASA payload. (AMSAT Remailer, VHF Reflector, ZL1AKJ)

**

ATTACK ON AMERICA: TWO MORE HAMS MISSING AT WTC

Amateur radio operations have now wound down but there is more sad news from what's become known as 'Ground Zero' in New York City. It now appears that two more hams may have lost their lives in the terrorist attack on the World Trade Center.

The ARRL Letter says that Rod Coppola, KA2KET, was the transmitter engineer on duty for Public Broadcasting station WNET, channel 13 at the time the attack occurred. Coppola is 46 and lives in New York City.

Also missing is Winston Grant, KA2DRF. Grant is a computer technician with Empire Blue Cross - Blue Shield. He is 59 and lives in West Hempstead, New York. Neither man has been seen since the twin towers collapsed after being hit by hijacked jetliners on September 11th. (ARRL)

**

ATTACK ON AMERICA: FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR MISSING ENGINEERS FAMILIES

In a related development, the Portland Chapter of the Society of Broadcast Engineers and the ARRL both report that a fund has been established to assist the families of broadcast engineers still missing at the collapsed World Trade

Center. Checks can be sent to the Ennes Educational Foundation Trust % The Society of Broadcast Engineers Inc, 9247 N Meridian St., Suite 305, Indianapolis, Indiana. The Zipcode is 46260. Please mark your envelope to the attention of the “Broadcast Engineer Relief Fund.” (ARRL, Portland SBE)

**

AMERICA UNDER ATTACK: INDIANA HAMS SET UP COMMS AT GROUND ZERO

Ham radio operators from Indiana were among those who made the trek to New York City to aid in rescue efforts after the September 11th terrorist attack. Their job was communications. Amateur News Weekly’s Jack Parker, W8ISH, has more:

--

Thirty-six hours after the first airplane crashed into the World Trade Center Indiana's Task Force One was standing at the ground zero. Among the 62 members of this elite Search and Rescue team were two Indianapolis amateur radio operators.

Veteran firefighter Jim Curseaden, KB9ZFF and Ed Elrod, W9PTO made the 14 hour road trip to help with disaster efforts. Both men make up the communications arm of this search and rescue team.

The moment they arrived each man began setting up a UHF communications link from the ground zero to their staging point several miles away at the Javis convention center. According to Jim Curseaden, getting UHF signals through the concrete canyon of New York City was a major challenge.

Ed Elrod said they first installed a repeater on a nearby building and directed a
Yagi antenna at the mountain of rubble. The Javis convention center posed another radio obstacle. Hand held radios could not reach the repeater.

To fix this problem, Elrod wired a up a remote base on the roof of the convention
center. This new link made it possible to communicate with the Indiana search and rescue personnel above and below the world trade center ruins. Ed Elrods most inspirational moment came during his second trip out of the devastation.

All week hundreds of people had been lining the streets, cheering and showing signs of support. When heavy rains began dousing New York the crowds disappeared...He said the contrast was amazing. The streets were nearly empty; except for one lonely figure standing in the rain. It was an elderly woman, holding a sign that read: “Thank You.”

Reporting from Indianapolis, this is Jack Parker, W8ISH

--

The hams from Indianapolis were not the only ones who traveled to New York City to help in relief efforts. We plan to profile others in future Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (ARN, W8ISH)

**

BREAK 1

This is one of many voices from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the Arkansas Radio Emergency Services Net serving Little Rock Arkansas.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ATTACK ON AMERICA: DOD WILL NOT GIVE UP ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM

In the wake of the September 11th terrorist attacks on New York City and the Pentagon, the Defense Department has renewed support for its view that it shouldn't give up its spectrum to make room for consumer wireless products. Congressional auditors say that the proposed changes now could pose a further threat to national security. Amateur Radio Newsline's Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has more

--

Supporters of the mobile communications industry still say that transfer of some current military spectrum to the private sector is essential to fill the high demand for such services as the next generation of mobile phones and wireless Internet access. But Congresses' General Accounting Office says that if the Defense Department makes room for new wireless consumer products before more research is conducted, it could endanger the nation because of potential interference with Pentagon operations.

And it goes even further. Defense Department officials say that besides creating interference, moving all defense systems out of the current spectrum would take until 2017. That, says the DoD, would cost billions of dollars to orbit new satellites and otherwise accommodate military needs.

In the meantime, the Federal Communications Commission announced on September 24th that it will expand the possible uses of the 2500 to 2690 MHz band. That spectrum is currently used for fixed wireless services. New rues may eventually include a mobile component so that the spectrum can be used for 3 G services such as high-speed Internet access or music and video downloads.

The FCC says that it had considered reallocating the 2500 to 2690 band as a primary allocation to new 3 G wireless service. Because of the outcry against taking the spectrum from schools and other incumbent users it instead voted to create a possible shared allocation instead.

The FCC says that the flexible allocation would allow some portable data applications to be offered under existing service rules and could provide flexibility for offering other new advanced fixed and mobile services in the future. But it added that it would have to explore service rules that would apply to allow mobile operations in the band in a separate future rule-making proceeding.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

A September 2002 deadline by Congress for an auction of new spectrum licenses for wireless services might be delayed because of the Pentagon's resistance to any allocation change. (Published news reports, FCC actions)

**

AMERICA UNDER ATTACK: HAM RADIO TRACKS THE RUN FOR AMERICA

They did it to show support to the firefighters, law enforcement agents and all Americans involved in the effort to rescue the victims of the recent act of terrorism. This, as Navy Ensigns Daniel Campos and Christine Fix, coordinated a relay run to carry our national flag from Virginia to New York City.

The goal was to carry the Stars and Stripes from the Pentagon to the World Trade Center. The run began on Friday September 28th and continued overnight until they arrived about 1800 UTC Saturday. Their route was tracked using the Global Positioning System capability of the United States Naval Academy’s Ham Radio club. Computer generated maps were able to show live the progress of the runners along the way. (VHF Reflector)

**

ATTACK ON AMERICA: MOROCCO CQWW CONTEST STATION CANCELS

The September 11th terrorist attack is now having an effect in the world of ham radio contesting. This, as Al Crespo, NH7A, tells the Ohio Penn DX news that his CN2AC CQ World Wide SSB Contest operation from Morocco has been canceled. Al says that he will instead operate from Maui using his NH7A callsign. (OPDX)

**

HAM RADIO HELPING HAND: IHS NEEDS OPERATORS FOR HONDURAS

International Health Services says that it needs ham radio operators to accompany medical and dental teams to villages in Honduras. According to an Internet posting by John Kirckof, KB0UUP, most of these villages I-H-S visits have no electricity or phones. Kickof says that IHS is often are the only medical care these local people will ever see:

--
In-Q: Honduras is the...
Out-Q:...each mission in February.

--

Kirckof, who is the Communications Director for I-H-S says the group will provide High Frequency gear for any operator who does not have his or her own portable rig. Both men an women are welcome to apply. Sign-up is now until about mid October. For some basic information, visit www.ihsofmn.org or contact John by e-mail to jmkkek@runestone.net. (KB0UUP, ARNewsline(tm))

**

SURVEY: CELL PHONE CURBS FAVORED WHILE DRIVING

Three out of four adults in the United States favor laws to curb the use of cellular telephones while driving. This, according to a survey released by a highway safety group. And its a survey that has some ham radio operators concerned as well. Amateur Radio Newsline's Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA filed this report:

--

The poll of 1,001 adults was carried out July 1st to the 19th by the Louis Harris organization for Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety. This is a coalition of consumer, health, safety and insurance groups who say that they are working to make U.S. roads safer. The results are almost startling.

Though nearly two out of three people surveyed report owning a cellular phone, the poll found a lopsided 76 percent backed restricting their use by drivers in motion except in emergencies. In fact, the poll found that mobile cellular phone use in vehicles topped the list of concerns that people wanted addressed. Cell phone use was closely followed by drunk driving and improving safety at intersections.

This poll and others like it are of concern to radio amateurs and operators of other mobile two-way radio gear. Currently, no federal law bars the use of cell phones while driving but several states
prohibit phones being held by hand while driving except in emergencies. Two-way radio users fear that any across the board federal ban on using a cellular phone while driving might also be
applied to other radio services as well.

Meantime, another report from the National Conference of State Legislatures says that laws suggesting bans on drivers using hand-held cell phones have now been proposed in 40 states. As previously reported, a measure in New York is recently won approval and at least a dozen municipalities have also established mobile cellular telephone bans.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline. I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, reporting from New Orleans.

--

The survey also says that all of these enacted and proposed laws appear to have widespread public support. (From media releases and published news reports)

**

SERVICES TO HAM RADIO: LOGBOOK TO THE WORLD UPDATE

Project Manager Wayne Mills, N7NG, says that access to the ARRL's proposed Logbook to the World will be for everyone. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, reports:

--

In a note to Pete Smith, N4ZR, and posted to the VHF reflector, Wayne Mills says that the only charge will be for usage. Mills explains that there will be a charge when utilizing a QSO record for a specific award such as the DXCC, Islands On The Air, Worked All Zones and the like.

Mills says this means that users will only pay for what he calls usable "QSL's. You will not have to pay for attempts to get a QSL as you currently do when you send them via mail -- whether direct or via a bureau. Mills believes should result in a dramatic saving as compared to tradition methods of obtaining QSLs.

Mills also notes that five years is about as far back as Logbook of the World will probably ever go. Initially says Mills, it won't go back even that far. This he says will be an attempt to manage the initial data in-rush.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

In addition to his work on Logbook to the World, Wayne Mills, N7NG, is the Membership Services Manager for the American Radio Relay League. (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio in a free society calling. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website - www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ARNEWSLINE FUND REPORT: THANKING OUR AUGUST SUPPORTERS

Ladies and gentlemen, with the August listener appreciation list, here is Amateur Radio Newsline support fund administrator Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--
In the month of August we heard from:

Mt. Beacon ARC of Wappingers Falls, NY; Benton Bonney of Orlando; Hiram's Hams Amateur Radio Society of Miami.

A BIG thank you to Roy Blanchard, N5YHS of New Orleans; Mike King, W5PY of Slidell, LA; Daryl Stout, N5VLZ of Little Rock, AR; Robert Guidas, KB4ABF of Maynardville, TN; Radio Amateur Club of Knoxville, TN; Richard Wolf, WI8X also of Knoxville.

Monthly contributor Frank Hemko of Harrods Creek KY; Robert Majkrzak, KA8WQL and Cheryl Turner, KB8YKA of Berea, OH and Wally Willhard, W7MVK of Bountiful, UT.

From California, William Walters of San Jose; Daniel Hart, KE6UHG from Bakersfield; Robert Vitzelio, W6AEJ of Downey; our friends at the Pasadena Radio Club, W6KA and our old friend and star of last month's QST Magazine, Dave Bell, W6AQ of Hollywood.

Andy Jarema, N6TCQ. Thank you for your support.

--

More with Andy and the support fund in future newscasts. (ARNewsline Support Fund)

**

INTERNATIONAL - GUATEMALA: A BIG UHF WIN FOR HAM RADIO

A big win for ham radio in Guatemala. The Club de Radioaficionados de Guatemala says that nations spectrum regulators are returning the 430 to 440 MHz band to Amateur Radio use. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reports from Miami.

--

It was five years ago, back in 1996, when the Guatemalan government suddenly banned hams from access that spectrum. Guatemala then auctioned those frequencies to commercial operations.

But reports out of Guatemala soon said that the reallocation was not working they way the government had envisioned. No reasons were given. Now comes word through AMSAT that these new users will be moved elsewhere and the 430 to 440 MHz band will be returned to its former use in Amateur Radio operations.

And in the hope of preventing this kind of an arbitrary reallocation from ever taking place again, the Guatemalan national Amateur Radio society says that it will ask the International Telecommunications Union to add a footnote to its table of allocations at the World Radiocommunication Conference 2003. One that will give the Amateur Radio service primary status from 430 to 440 MHz in that country.

From Miami, I'm Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

The Club de Radioaficionados de Guatemala had petitioned the Guatemalan government to reverse its decision removing ham radio operations from the 70 centimeter band. (ARRL, AMSAT, Q-News, CRG, others)

**

INTERNATIONAL: BIG VK SITE FEES WIN

Hams down-under in V-K land are also celebrating after surviving a threat. This, to their use of several key repeater sites. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details from Melbourne Australia:

--

Rob VK2MT reports on APC News that local clubs were faced with annual site bills of up to $3000. A lot of "e" and snail-mail correspondence was generated plus a couple of visits to Members of Parliament, both at the State & Federal level. What became obvious after about a month, was that the "softly-softly" approach -- while still holding a big stick -- was perhaps the

way to go.

Well, just over 3 months later and the dust has settled. Illawarra have been officially informed that there will be a maximum figure of $300.00 attached to each existing Amateur Club that has equipment at a TransGrid site. Also, they won't be charged per antenna -- as was going to be the case, all existing and documented by TransGrid antennas will be part of the deal.

For Q-News Australia, Im Graham Kemp, VKBB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Clubs will have to satisfy several provisos, such as insurance, priority of commercial users and the like. Overall though it is a satisfactory outcome for amateurs and clubs, who could have faced fees ten times as much. (Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL - JAPAN: WRTC-2002 AT HAM FAIR 2001

According to a recent WRTC press release, Yaesu will be the principle radio equipment supplier for WRTC 2002. This as WRTC representatives Martti Lane, OH2BH and Dennis Motschenbacher, K7BV sign a major sponsoring agreement with Jun Hasegawa, president of Vertex Standard Co.Ltd. Vertex Standard manufactures the Yaesu line of ham radio gear.

Lane and Motschenbacher signed the agreement while in Japan to represent WRTC 2002 at the recent "Ham Fair" in Yokohama. While at Ham Fair they invited Tack Kumagai, JE1CKA to act as the WRTC 2002 representative in Japan. Kumagai has accepted the post. (WRTC-2002 Press Release)

**

DX

In DX, yet another successful super low frequency report across the Pacific. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has more:

--

Low frequency signals have crossed the Pacific for the second time. During the Autumn equinox on the 21st of September, a number of New Zealand and Australian stations sent extremely slow Morse on their 165 to 190kHz LF band. Part of the signal from ZL6QH was received by VE7SL in south-west Canada just before his dawn, fading out some three minutes after sunrise. The path length is estimated to be 11,709 kilometres.

Radiated power was estimated to be 5 watts and the antenna was a long wire at the Quartz Hill club station, which is run by the Wellington Amateur Radio Club. Reception of a complete callsign is the next challenge. The short duration of the opening means that a faster mode must be used, but this is likely to worsen an already marginal signal to noise ratio. Permission is also being sought for ZL6QH to operate in the 136kHz band
--

Because of the extremely slow speed of the contact there is no audio to share with you. In fact, reception requires a computer and a special decoding program. Our thanks to Bob Vernall, ZL2CA, for much of the information on this historic radio event. (ZL2CA, RSGB)

**

THE FINAL ITEM: BANDPLANS ARE IMPORTANT TO THE FCC - PART 2

And finally this week, we take another look at the FCC's position on voluntary bandplans in the Amateur Radio service. According to the Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Riley Hollingsworth there are two kinds of problems the FCC sees. The first is the operator who simply does not know that a specific bandplan exists:

--

"Now, in the first case, not knowing about the bandplan. Thats more understandable than you might think at first. Unless an oprator really keeps up with Amateur publications including League publications, they wont know about the bandplans."

"Now, a lot of operators have a list of frequencies on which they are authorized to operate and they keep it right at the operating desk. I'd recommend having a second sheet with that frequency list that describes the bandplan for each band. In some ways the bandplans are more complex than the frequency list, but in fact they don't really inconvenience anyone and they really do enhance Amateur Radio and make it a lot more interesting -- and make it possible to do a lot more experimenting."

--

But what about that small but vocal group of hams who simply refuse to abide by bandplans by claiming its their right not to. For these people, Hollingsworth has some words as well:

--

"That attitude is very destructive, and they just can't stand it that there just might be an area where they just should not go because of a bandplan."

"Now, they do not stop to think that QRP or PSK-31 could not exist without bandplans. The fact that they have about 3,850 other desecrate frequencies, and don't have to bother the folks in the bandplan set-aside segments -- for example on 160 meters in the CW bandplan recommended segment -- that never occurs to them."

"And of coarse if they were adhering to a bandplan and someone bothered them, they would be the first to knock on the FCC's door."

--

As Hollingsworth stated last week, these are hams who are totally focused on rights and could care less about responsibilities. They do not care about the damage that they do to Amateur Radio and do not have a clue as to how much pressure is on our frequencies from entities that would like to see them taken

away. (RAIN)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying God Bless America, 73, and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is a production of the Amateur Radio Newsline Incorporated. It is copyright 2001 and all rights are reserved.

5366. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 19:45:35 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBE0DE0.E35D7BE7@psu.edu>
Source record: 21611

As someone who is working on their upgrade to Extra right now, Maybe I can add some perspective.

First my background is that of a former electronic technician. I became involved with hamdom after re-finding my love for electronics. So I know a good bit of the material, but am a tad rough on some of the math.

The Question pool.

If a person reviews the question pool, and is of any intelligence, they will retain the needed knowledge.

Memorization is really a non-issue. It would be much more difficult to memorize the question pool letter answers than to actually learn the material. Note: i would issue a caveat in the case of some children in the case of the technician pool questions. But especially for the Extra license, memorization of the specific question, as opposed to "knowing the material" is mostly out. If you can memorize that the answer to question E5A15 is D, you can learn the material. In addition, memorizing the letter answers to several hundred questions in order to answer 50 would be certifiably stupid.

Has anyone done this? Hey, I've been around long enough to see plenty of stupidity, so it's possible.

In the end, when a large question pool is used, the pool becomes no more a "cheat sheet" than does a textbook or a reference manual. The answers are in there too!

Second, the question pool contains relevant questions. If the prospective Extra prepares for the exam, they will be worthy of the extra privileges.

I suggest that a person who believes that the test has been "dumbed down", suggest new questions to the VEC.

Now for the general difficulty of the test.

Is the test too easy?

I had much much more difficulty learning Morse Code than any other element of the tests. That of course doesn't mean much except as individual differences, as other people I know have the opposite problem. But I have sat down with the test pool, reference books and a calculator, and spent some serious time on it.

By the time I am finished, I suspect I'll have spend a couple weeks or so studying for the Extra. It's about what I would expect with my

background. Someone who came in cold would have a lot more studying to do.

I spent well over a year on the Morse code portion, including six months of multi-daily practice sessions. Drove me to distraction, but hey, I did it.

The test is just about right for the extra privileges it confers. I suggest that the critics download the question pools and go through them. Then if there are additions that would be good to make suggest them.

Then lets have some discussion about exactly why a new Extra, which I would be, would be considered an "Extra lite". With real data points, like what knowledge was discarded (with examples please) or just why the antagonism?

- mike -
Bob Raymond wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20011005080255.07922.00004364@nso-cr.aol.com...
> > OK - fine. But by the same logic, if you are qualified to operate on one
> band,
> > why not on all of them? If a ham has the technical and regulatory smarts
> to run
> > full power using any legal mode on 2 meters, why is that ham not qualified
> to
> > do the same on 20 meters?
>
> Operating on HF/MF requires additional/different requirements. You have to
> understand propagation, international
> treaties, different operating practices, etc. An earlier post you made that
> said all they need do is satisfy the
> HF/MF requirements hits the nail on the head.

5367. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 19:50:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005155059.19723.00002130@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21610

>Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
>From: K0HB@Fishing_For_Suckers.Net
>Date: 10/5/2001 10:22 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9pkj84dpc1@news.netmar.com>

>K0HB@Fishing_For_Suckers.Net

I reitierate my assumption that Mrs. Brokeslob has cut off the goodies
again and youa re taking it out on us.

>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

The laughing fool....So glad to see you can be yourself in public,
Hans...Some people would try to keep such deficits in private.

5368. Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 21:22:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005172236.28579.00000187@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 21612

In article <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>No prescience. Just common sense. For example, you have repeatedly called a >highly qualified emergency medical person "Nursie", which was not very >complimentary.

The term "nursie" was in the US military colloquia of the 1950s. At that time nurses were almost always female and almost always commissioned officers. That may have been male chauvinism by today's standards but it existed as a general term of affection then.

"Highly qualified?!?" :-)

Here's an example of what this "highly qulified emergency medical person wrote on 4 October 2001:

" Guess Mrs. Brokeslob is rationing the good times in Minnesota again, and WE are going to have to suffer HIS rath....."

Tsk, tsk, tsk, a "highly qualified" person who can't spell "wrath." :-)

N2EY doesn't think this "highly qualified emergency medical person" is uncomplimentary?!? :-)

Or perhaps is really complementary since it fills out the remainder of morseodism; i.e., the tested ability at high morse code rates carries with it the implicit ability to be sociopathic when the need arises? :-)

Does N2EY need more examples of uncomplimentary behavior from "highly qualified emergency medical persons?" :-)

5369. Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 21:22:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005172238.28579.00000188@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 21615

In article <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20011003224652.07190.00001076@nso-ck.aol.com>, >lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>Why is N2EY not proud of the company he works for?
>>>
>>>I am very proud of the company I work for.
>>
>>That's nice. Proud enough to name it?
>
>What has pride to do with anything?

:-) See some of the following -

>>Or are we all on
>>some kind of "honor system" where N2EY's claim is
>>"valid" if he says so?
>>
>Why not?

Then N2EY is being the hypocrite...or having delusions of
grandeur.

A stated claim of knowledge without anything presented to
show some knowledge can be considered a "fish-story"
(colloquialism for tall tale that may range from exaggeration
to out-and-out lie).

Example: Comparing a Smith Chart to an NEC-based
antenna analysis program as if that shows knowledge of
the Smith Chart or as an example of a program (one of
many claimed) that "replaces a Smith Chart."

N2EY has been asked who his employer is and what he
does there. N2EY replies only in vague generalities on
what he does ("electrical engineering") but has not yet
named his employer. While that isn't necessary, he
should not have to require such specifics of others in order
to back up his claims...or attempt to dimish others'
statements by claiming knowledge of their work.

>>>>Is anyone "attacking" N2EY's "professional" activities?
>>>
>>>Not yet. But you will, given the chance. I've seen you do it plenty of
>>times.

>>
>>Ah, so I "will" do that!
>
>Yep. If you can say what I will do, why can't I say what YOU will do?

I can't possibly "attack" something that isn't known. :-)

>I'm smart enough to know that no matter what my qualifications and
>employment, it would not be satisfactory to you.

...that sure sounds like a claim of prescience to me... :-)

>>DESIGNING one's own equipment, including making it work,
>>is quite another story.
>
>I know - I've done it. Many times.

:-) Of course you have. Let's not let the lack of details mess
up general claims. :-)

>>I converted two ARC-5 sets to 115 VAC primary power in 1947,
>>got them working. I was 15.
>
>So? Converting ARC-5s was old hat to me by age 15.

Of course it would be "old hat." :-) When N2EY was 15 the
WW2 surplus era was already a quarter-century old and the
ham publications had many articles already published on
such conversions.

>All one had to do to the
>receivers was a little rewiring of the heaters, add a gain pot, headphone
>jack and a BFO switch, and connect to a power supply. Doesn't even require
>"assembly skills".
>
>The transmitters are a little more work, but not much.

Heh, all that is easy to claim after a quarter-century period of
published information on the subject. N2EY isn't gracious
enough to acknowledge that the 1947 time-frame knowledge
of conversion consisted of a photocopy of a schematic (extra
cost) and some word-of-mouth advice (some of it erroneous).

I look at my beginnings in radio-electronics as a personal
learning experience. It was fun. Others seem to use it as
some kind of "superiority symbol" as shown following -

>So? All that means is that you are older than me. I had more ham radio
>operating experience at age 15 than you have now. Infinitely more.

Heh. Is this all about possession of an amateur license grant?

I guess so. Professional activities seem to be a pejorative
to N2EY. See -

>>Sold both of them for a fair amount of profit (in those days and as
>>a teen-ager).
>
>Ah yes, the professional angle again. QED.

As a 15 or 16 year old?!? :-) :-) :-)

>> Built a number of other things.
>
>Uh huh. Did you DESIGN them?

Yes, two of them. :-) They would not have brought
applause to either amateurs or professionals at that time
but were done to satisfy myself. No credentials or
certificates needed to wave in the air like a banner...

>Sure - the Army sent you to radio school, and then you got to stand watches
>on equipment designed, built and installed by others. That doesn't even take
>"assembly skills". ;-)

My signal school training was on radar fundamentals and
general radio relay equipment familiarization using multi-
channel equipment that was scheduled for replacement,
scheduling interrupted by other Korean War priorities. The
assignment was to work on/with/maintain fixed station
transmitters and VHF/UHF radio relay equipment that was
NOT part of the (then) MOS 1419 curriculum.

The US Army does not "stand watches." I never saw a
watch stand in the US Army or the USAF between 1952
and 1989. Soldiers wore watches on their wrists or looked
at wall clocks in buildings if they were available.

Field radio training in the US Army of the Korean War era
did NOT include fixed-station equipment so those assigned
from field radio school had to do the same thing as us from
microwave training: Learn on the job, NOW. We did.
Morse code skills weren't needed in fixed-station work,
wasn't used.

Yes, the equipment was indeed designed and built by
commercial companies. It still is. Those companies
include General Dynamics (Fort Lauderdale Division),
Collins Radio Division of Rockwell International, and
Motorola. None of those make amateur radio equipment
now. Back a half century ago the communications
companies manufacturing for the US military included
Western Electric and Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Co. (!)
who both made high-power transmitters.

Sorry, but "assembly skills" are indeed needed to maintain
all of them. That's covered in TMs as much today as it was
a half century ago.

>> No proof presented, no validation.
>
>There is none you would accept.

I cannot accept fish-stories as true. I cannot accept unsubstantiated
claims. No one should.

5370. Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 21:22:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005172240.28579.00000189@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 21614

In article <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20011003224652.07190.00001076@nso-ck.aol.com>, >lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>In LHA's reply comments to the FCC, he recommended that there be a MINIMUM
>>>AGE LIMIT for ALL classes of amateur radio license.
>>
>>Still do.
>
>Ageism.

Then "ageism" is behind all the other laws and regulations that
forbid certain activities by those under a stated age...such as
driving a vehicle, drinking, being able to vote to name three
examples.

>> Most young teenagers don't develop the responsibility
>>necessary to operate unattended...in my opinion.
>
>It's an opinion based on prejudice, bigotry and bias. In my opinion, ;-)
>
>>Sub-teens even less so.
>
>It's an opinion based on prejudice, bigotry and bias. In my opinion, ;-)

In that case the entire adult world (with the sole exception of
US amateur radio) has a group opinion based on prejudice,
bigotry, and bias.

>Name some amateur radio problems caused by licensed hams under the
>age of 14.
>C'mon, if they are so irresponsible, there should be plenty of problems and
>enforcement actions to report.

Responsibility or lack of responsibility due to immaturity have
been in humanity's laws for centuries.

In some regulations of the federal government of the US there is
no requirement to show ages for certain permits and licenses.

N2EY is being idiotic on the assumption that very young
licensees (as young as four years old) are responsible and
can therefore be granted a federal license.

>Why are you so stuck in the past? The code test issue is a dead duck, as I
>have said many times.

Test element 1 passing is still a regulation requirement for a
US amateur radio license grant of extra or general class.

S25.5 is still the same in the ITU-R Recommendations.

The FCC International Bureau's Informal Working Group 6
has NOT yet adopted any draft on Item 1.7 (which includes
ITU-R S25.5). IWG-6 keeps tabling that item.

The code test issue is NOT a "dead duck" except to those
who have long since passed such a test. They have no
worry about ever having to pass such a test again. They
also like to reply to code test issue remarks with the
immature attitude of "I've got mine, nyah, nyah, nyah."

>>Let's hear it for the responsible 4-year-olds who can legally
>>operate a transmitter!
>
>Do you KNOW the 4 year olds in question?

Does N2EY?

The FCC amateur radio regulations do not make special
dispensation for alleged child-geniuses. While the FCC
regulations stress responsibility, there are rather explicit
examples (expecially in rec.radio.amateur.misc) of legal,
licensed radio amateurs who are quite immature,
irresponsible, and cannot play well with others both on-
the-air and off.

The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
given in the amateur radio license database.

>And there is a whole decade of development between 4 and your proposed age
>limit of 14.

Irrelevant. What is at question is the responsibility of
children in operating transmitters that can reach across
the entire world, transmitters that may be the cause of
interference to public safety services.

While all the long-timers are reciting their coming-of-age
in radio as teeners and spreading the myths of glory-
through-saving-mankind (etc.), they seem quite silent on
their own teen behavior. Were they all perfect in
responsibility? Of course they were according to all the
claims!

>>Let's hear it for the VEs who passed 4-year-olds' written test elements!
>

>Are you saying they broke the rules? That's serious stuff. Where is your
>evidence? Are YOU a VE?

Do I have some charges that would stand up in a court of law?

No. I've seen the story in the ARRL letter along with a cute
picture of two four-year-olds along with one of their examiners.

I have a long experience in observing children, their behavior,
their ability to be responsible...plus input from teachers along
with advice from my wife (who has two Masters degrees from
the University of Illinois, one in Education, and has been a
high school history teacher plus teaching kindergarten and
pre-schoolers).

I've been long exposed to parents whose children are supposed
geniuses, can never do anything wrong, are always responsible
even when they've been caught red-handed in some act of
irresponsibility.

Let's just say that I have a lot of skepticsm on the complete
honesty of the Volunteer Examiners who passed those two
children.

>> 4-year-olds can read with full comprehension of the the text material!
>
>Full comprehension is not required to pass the tests.

Approximately 70 percent passing is required for passing
the US amateur radio examination written test elements.

Is N2EY saying that four-year-olds all have such precocious
genius-style reading ability? Only part of all the four-year-olds?
Or are they able to read and understand the questions because
of some metaphysical aura surrounds anything about amateur
radio?

Is N2EY saying that all VEs are honest and true, never make
a mistake, are never influenced by any parent of an examinee?

I don't think so.

>Your reading comprehension is certainly not "full" ;-)

>Yes, it is. You just don't want to discuss it because you know I have the
>facts.

Discussion is difficult with those such as N2EY who are
demonstrated purveyors of unsubstantiated claims.

>>The FCC will determine what is meaningful for
>>their regulatory task...but the FCC does listen to its
>>citizens...ALL of them.
>
>Good thing they didn't listen to you.

N2EY does not know this.

Up to the January 15, 1999, NPRM Comment cut-off date,
nearly 2000 documents had been received on 98-143.
All who responded on or before the cut-off date were listed
in an appendix to Report and Order 99-412.

Whether or not anyone was "listened to" is immaterial to any
discussion. What matters is that citizens are able to
communicate with their government, to make their views
under the guarantee of the First Amendment. I was able to
do this and complied with the requested number of copies
and a disk. There is NO guarantee that anyone's comments
are accepted or rejected, are read or not read.

5371. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 21:22:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 21613

In article <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20011003224652.07190.00001076@nso-ck.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>>>I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30
>>years
>>>>>ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the
>ARRL
>>>>>Antenna Book.
>>>>
>>>>...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith
>>>>Chart?!?" :-)
>>>
>>>Nope. I never claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".
>>>
>>>I wrote that I had mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Mastering
>>is
>>>no the same thing as being the master of.
>>
>>By that same "interpretation" of words, N2EY is not a "master
>>of morse code." :-)
>
>Yup. I never claimed to be one, either.
>>
>>Sorry, but that kind of semantical silliness doesn't prove anything.
>
>Then why are you indulging in it?
>
>>Here is what N2EY wrote:
>>
>>==

>>73 de Jim, N2EY (who hasmastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)
>>
>>===

>Yep. I claim to have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Not to be
>"a master of the Smith Chart".
>
>>He has not provided any verification of that claim.
>
>So?

N2EY's "signature" line says he has mastered the Smith Chart
and morse code. He does not clarify use of the past tense by
the word "has."

Is this "mastership" still present? On both topics or just one?

True mastership is evidenciary by demonstration of knowledge.

Such mastership is NOT demonstrated by fish-story claims.

>>N2EY has not provided a single name-callsign of a single one
>>of the "thousands" of hams who had mastered the Smith
>>Chart and "vowed never to use it again."
>>
>Why should I do that?

To avoid being tagged as a fish-story braggart.

If there are "thousands" of such hams, then it should be a
trivial task to mention just one name. N2EY has not
supplied that information.

>>N2EY has not provided a single program name or source
>>of the "modern simulation programs" that "eliminate the
>>need to use a Smith Chart."
>
>How about EZNEC?

Bad, bad analogy! Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
source code is free to use, not copyrighted)

The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
electromagnetic field patterns.

The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.

The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.

A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or
narrowband performance.

A Smith Chart does NOT show antenna electromagnetic
field characteristics.

N2EY may "reply" by saying "we know that," but attempting
the foolish analogy that he did shows only that he has NOT
demonstrated any knowledge on the subject.

5372. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 21:42:55 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BBE295F.7A67A6C5@uci.edu>
Source record: 21616

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Such mastership is NOT demonstrated by fish-story claims.

You mean like......

ahhh, skip it.

5373. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 22:22:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005182235.02395.00000484@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21617

>Subject: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/5/2001 4:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011005172236.28579.00000187@nso-mg.aol.com>

>The term "nursie" was in the US military colloquia of the 1950s.

So was "Jim Crow". And beer bingers at the NCO Club.

And it was NEVER an "acceptable" professional reference for ANY health care professional, regardless of thier gender.

>"Highly qualified?!?"

Yep.

>Here's an example of what this "highly qulified emergency medical
>person wrote on 4 October 2001:

And that has WHAT to do with my ability to properly interpret a life-threatening arrythmia...?!? To properly initiate ACLS care...?!?!

>Tsk, tsk, tsk, a "highly qualified" person who can't spell "wrath."

We could start catologing YOUR typos and nettiquette faux pas', but that would require an entirely new newsgroup charter.

>N2EY doesn't think this "highly qualified emergency medical person"
>is uncomplimentary?!?

Oh, it's not...but then neither are you nor the person for whom the other comments were directed.

You are a Putz...So is your brother in Minnesota.

>Does N2EY need more examples of uncomplimentary behavior
>from "highly qualified emergency medical persons?"

As usual, Your Twofacedness, you demand that others do what you say, not do what you do...But what you do is ugly and demeaning to everyone.

What I do is ugly and demeaning towards you and your Putzy buddy up north. Mostly because the two of you have demonstrated, conclusively, that neither of you are worthy of civility.

It really is THAT simple.

5374. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 22:31:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005183100.02395.00000485@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21618

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/5/2001 4:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>

>True mastership is evidenciary by demonstration of knowledge

And YOUR demonstration is...?!?!

>Such mastership is NOT demonstrated by fish-story claims.

Jim has done nothing of the kind. Where do you GET such tripe?

>To avoid being tagged as a fish-story braggart.

Like YOU, Oh Licenseless One...?!?!

Trying to redirect everyone away from YOUR misconduct is really pretty foolish. We now see your misdeeds obfuscated by your cheap shots at Jim.

Jimis all the things you REALLY hate about Amateur Radio. He's respected (something YOU will never enjoy), accomplished AND knowlegeable.

>N2EY has not
>supplied that information.

As opposed to all the information YOU have yet to supply...?!? Puh-leeze!

>N2EY may "reply" by saying "we know that," but attempting
>the foolish analogy that he did shows only that he has NOT
>demonstrated any knowledge on the subject.

As opposed to all the knowledge you have YET to show, I think any error Jim might make (which he has yet to do, as far as I can tell, other than to treat you with more civility than you have he....) is pretty miniscule.

Guess Hansie isn't the only Geezer being deprived of matrimonial priviledges these days......

5375. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 23:08:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005190802.02395.00000488@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21619

>Subject: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/5/2001 4:22 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011005172240.28579.00000189@nso-mg.aol.com>

>The code test issue is NOT a "dead duck" except to those
>who have long since passed such a test.

Yes, it is. However you like to keep flogging it...I imagine it's not all
you flog these days...However it's all you ahve to live for, I guess....

>They
>also like to reply to code test issue remarks with the
>immature attitude of "I've got mine, nyah, nyah, nyah."

Please quote the author of those comments, Your Lyingness.

>The FCC amateur radio regulations do not make special
>dispensation for alleged child-geniuses.

Nor do they make dispensations for alleged electronics engineers who seek
licensure simply by saying "I wanna I wanna I wanna", such as yourself.

You won't be happy until there is NO licensure requirement. Then you
won't have to humble yourself by having had to meet the same requirements as
every other citizen in the United States (and many foreign countries too, I
might add....)

>While the FCC
>regulations stress responsibility, there are rather explicit
>examples (expecially in rec.radio.amateur.misc) of legal,
>licensed radio amateurs who are quite immature,
>irresponsible, and cannot play well with others both on-
>the-air and off.

Off the air, you can quote from USENET, however that's NOT Amateur Radio,
now is it?

On the air, you have no way of knowing. You are not licensed, nor do you
participate in any organized Amateur programs or activities. Ergo your
"analogy" is not only inappropriate, but foolish to boot.

>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>given in the amateur radio license database.

Oh...?!?!

Damn, Lennnie...WHY do you insist on demonstrating your COMPLETE ignorance of Amateur Radio..?!?! ESPECIALLY a "database" that anyone with a Commodore 64 could access...?!?!

>Irrelevant. What is at question is the responsibility of
>children in operating transmitters that can reach across
>the entire world, transmitters that may be the cause of
>interference to public safety services.

What's irrelevant is your suggestion that a 14 year old cannot operate that radio appropriately.

They can. They do. YOU can't. You don't have a license.

>.... they seem quite silent on
>their own teen behavior. Were they all perfect in
>responsibility?

Are we still licensed? Can you find any reference to any FCC NAL's, warning letters, etc involving ANY of us in this forum...???

>Of course they were according to all the
>claims!

Quotes, please.

>I have a long experience in observing children,...(SNIP)

No, Lennnie, you have a long history of ACTING like a child.

Evidence Google.

>Let's just say that I have a lot of skepticsm on the complete
>honesty of the Volunteer Examiners who passed those two
>children.

As opposed to the honesty of the VE's who passed YOU...?!?! Ooops! You ahven't TAKEN a test to be graded, have you...?!?!? Of COURSE you are "skeptical"!

>Approximately 70 percent passing is required for passing
>the US amateur radio examination written test elements.

You should try it, Your Lifelessness.

>Discussion is difficult with those such as N2EY who are
>demonstrated purveyors of unsubstantiated claims.

HooBoy! There's another pot/kettle/black scenario courtesy of His Liecenselessness.

>>Good thing they didn't listen to you.
>
>N2EY does not know this.

Sure we do. The FCC elected to NOT unilaterally ignore international law.

>Whether or not anyone was "listened to" is immaterial to any
>discussion.

Especially where you are involved.

>...plus input from teachers along
>with advice from my wife (who has two Masters degrees from
>the University of Illinois, one in Education, and has been a
>high school history teacher plus teaching kindergarten and
>pre-schoolers).

And what of the kids YOU raised, Lennie? How many are Nobel laureates? Had an audience with the Pope? Been recognised in any secondary education honor's program? Have an Amateur license?

Too bad your Wifey wasted that education, Lennie...She obviously was never able to teach YOU anything...

>I have a long experience in observing children, their behavior,
>their ability to be responsible..

Me too....Like watching my seven year old help her father do CPR on her sister. Watching that now 9 year old master Morse Code and the Technician Class Amateur Class examination material...Like watching the 22 cadets in my CAP unit master communications, navigation, extrication and first aid skills normally associated with "professional" rescue squads. Like the Explorer Scouts who work "OJT" with law enforcement, health care and the business sector....

Yeah...real irresponsible kids out there...Guess they all shoulda been drafted into the 1950's Army and sent overseas to work on KW RTTY nets...THAT would have taught them some responsibility!

Oooops..almost forgot...My CAP cadets can operate HF and VHF radio stations, too...something YOU can't do at the moment, Lennie.

>I've been long exposed to parents whose children are supposed
>geniuses, can never do anything wrong, are always responsible
>even when they've been caught red-handed in some act of
>irresponsibility.

And YOU, of course, were without sin....

Lennie, you get MORE foolish by the post!

5376. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-05 23:18:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005191802.19730.00002214@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21620

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 10/5/2001 6:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011005190802.02395.00000488@mb-mj.aol.com>

Lennie (once again) demonstrated his ignorance of Amateur Radio by stating...

>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>given in the amateur radio license database.

From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:

Callsign: K4YZ Class: Extra Codes: HVIE USA
Name: STEVEN J. ROBESON
Addr1: PO BOX 2103
Addr2: DUNLAP, TN 37327
Country: USA
Effective: 04 Dec 1996 Expires: 04 Dec 2006
License ID: L00126881
Lookups: 446
Update / Renew License

QSL Mgr: Please DIR or BURO **NO eQSL**
Previously: KC8M
Coordinates: 35ï¿½ 28' 57'' N, 85ï¿½ 27' 30'' W
County: Sequatchie County
Grid: EM75
Birthday: 18 Sep 1955 <<<<<<<<<<<<Please Note<<<<<<<<<<<<
Email: K4YZ@aol.com
QRZ Updated: 2001-06-05 15:06:23

Another Lennie-Lie put to rest.

73

Steve, K4YZ
LennieBasher Extrodinaire

5377. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 00:06:24 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <hlisrt47otcsja4j0kk4cn61jcsamq1ub2@4ax.com>
Source record: 21621

On 4 Oct 2001 21:23:11 -0700, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) wrote:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote
>
>> Troll, troll, troll your goat..........
>
>Change Rule 10 to:
>
>10. K4YZ doesn't grasp humor. Never has, never will.
>

That's called ocular reticulitis. It's a serious disease that comes
from having one's head up one's butt. Causes you to have a crappy
outlook on life.

73

5378. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 00:11:25 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <csisrtgtoqvglfklgiebbfvdmih7hvbm1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 21622

On 05 Oct 2001 19:50:59 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>So glad to see you can be yourself in public,
>Hans...Some people would try to keep such deficits in private.

Apparently you're not one of 'em.

5379. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 00:13:31 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <ovisrtcnl3bot4h8tqb8vqe5085jropig0@4ax.com>
Source record: 21623

On 05 Oct 2001 15:51:54 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>Actually, Dick and I are going to be taking turns driving the bus,
>and will be providing continuous lectures on the benefits and
>advantages of CW throughout the course of the trip.

Try not to have too many empty seats when you go over a cliff. Such a
waste of space would be a terrible shame.

> If the bus encounters
>any kind of emergency situation on the road, all radio communications
>will be strictly in CW.

Have fun spending all of Dayton weekend on the side of the road with a
flat tire while you beep away in a vain attempt to get help using a
method of communications that's older than the crust on your underwear
and that even fewer people give a hoot about anymore.

5380. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 00:26:58 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <0rjsrtkc8g4d08j4ihnuuhqe46d125dvg1@4ax.com>
Source record: 21624

On 05 Oct 2001 21:22:36 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Here's an example of what this "highly qulified emergency medical
>person wrote on 4 October 2001:
>
>" Guess Mrs. Brokeslob is rationing the good times in Minnesota again, and
>WE are going to have to suffer HIS rath....."
>
>
>Tsk, tsk, tsk, a "highly qualified" person who can't spell "wrath." :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk, the same person who criticizes someone else's spelling,
misspelled the word "qualified" in his own post.

People who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones.

5381. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 00:31:58 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <f5ksrt820aohajc3jb66m1bk0j38ekhmvo@4ax.com>
Source record: 21625

On 05 Oct 2001 23:08:02 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

> Oooops..almost forgot...My CAP cadets can operate HF and VHF radio
>stations, too...something YOU can't do at the moment, Lennie.

Sure he can. A CB set operates on HF. A MURS transceiver operates on
VHF. Neither requires a license.

> Lennie, you get MORE foolish by the post!

Careful...it's contagious, and you seem to have many of the symptoms.

5382. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 00:39:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pljrj$40g$0@208.207.71.130>
Source record: 21626

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Oh, by the way, I'll also add that their numbers *don't* mount to much of
> anything, Dick. Their *numbers* don't. Do you happen to know the estimate
> on the cost it took these few to accomplish this act in NYC? $200,000!!! I
> don't know if that estimate has changed, but that is one figure I heard
> relayed from a Pentagon official to one of the reporters.
>
> You're the one out there looking for some huge number of "enemy" to
> infiltrate and change your life. You're the one out there implying that we
> must be suspicious of "many thousands" of them who are among us. You're the
> one who apparently can't recognize that it doesn't take those "thousands"
> your delusional mind has come up with, to do what happened or to do it
> again.
>
> If I'm taken out, and you're enlightened? I'll stay with taken out. I at
> least have a good understanding of the "enemy."

It's beyond clear that you understand very little. You obviously don't
even watch the news, or at least don't understand what you take in.
Whatever that is.
None of this data is "mine". It all came to me from/through the media.
You could have gotten the same data if you cared enough to pay
attention. Instead, you'll wind up as one of the masses asking "What
happened?????"

5383. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:20:01 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5%sv7.66327$W8.2017059@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21627

Hans, my guess is that you may well be close. When I got into amateur radio (1962), there were a number of amateur radio manufacturers (and a number of local retailers). Yes, Heathkit, Collins, Hallicrafters, Hammerlund ... it might be a nice trip down memory lane for some people to add to that short list. There were DSB transmitters (low end - no carrier, but both sidebands) ... That seemed to have ended in the late 60s and early 70s. Now there are a few retailers (none local to most folk - well, Radio Shack, I suppose, but none other than that). It is probably a real tough business to try and break into for starting a retail business since the pie is pretty small and there are a few big players you probably couldn't touch as far as price. I have heard rumors of markups as small as 3 to 5%, but cannot verify that. Once you take a couple of percent out of that for the credit card, it doesn't leave much left. I remember one store opened here in Rochester, NY, back in the late 70s. They didn't last two years.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

<K0HB@qwest.net> wrote in message news:9pkmu0$f7v$1@news.netmar.com...
> Jason Hsu writes:
>
> >Is there any web page where I can find sales figures on amateur radio
> >companies and products?
>
> Probably not. Outside of the "big three", most amateur radio equipment
> vendors like MFJ, High Sierra, etc., are small privately held companies
> so are not likely to publicly report their revenues and earnings.
>
> In the case of the "big three", amateur radio sales are a sideline to
> their larger business. You might be able to find an "annual report" for
> Icom or Kenwood or whoever, but they probably don't report their amateur
> radio business as a separate item from their mainstream business lines.
>
> > 1. How much money in revenues and profits does the amateur radio
> > industry earn each year in the United States and around the world?
>
> Since the list of vendors consists of many, many scattered small
> private companies, I doubt you could come up with anything more than
> a very rough SWAG.
>
> In any case, the number is probably not very impressive. Taking the US
> for an example, there are around 650,000 licensees. Let's suppose that
> 50% of them are active in the hobby. That estimate says there are 325,000
> US hams spending money on the hobby. Let's further guesstimate that
> each of these folks spends an average of $500.00 on the hobby each year.

> That puts yearly revenue for *all* vendors (in the US market) at a measly
> $16.25 million.
>
> Two or three of your local Burger King franchises combined probably have
> that much yearly revenue.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

5384. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:21:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005212136.20049.00002722@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21628

Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
From: Nonya Bidniz ham@station.com
Date: 10/5/2001 7:13 PM Central Daylight Time
Message-id: <ovisrtcnl3bot4h8tqb8vqe5085jropig0@4ax.com>

Which followed:

>Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
>From: Nonya Bidniz ham@station.com
>Date: 10/5/2001 7:06 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <hlisrt47otcsja4j0kk4cn61jcsamq1ub2@4ax.com>

Looks like Lennie and Hansel are up to no good again!

Youse guys!

5385. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:22:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005212233.20049.00002724@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21629

>Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
>From: Nonya Bidniz ham@station.com
>Date: 10/5/2001 7:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <csisrtgtoqvglfklgiebbfvdmih7hvbm1j@4ax.com>

>Apparently you're not one of 'em.

Back to abusing your wife, Anonymous Troll!

5386. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:24:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <43tv7.66334$W8.2017866@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21630

"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
news:ovisrtcnl3bot4h8tqb8vqe5085jropig0@4ax.com...

An awful lot of unidentified crap.

Nonie, you will find that people who will not admit to an identity have all of effect and staying power on this group as the proverbial fart in church. Nothing secret about that rule.

You have been put in Bozo*Flush category "Don't bother me with this twit again". Byeee

Dick N�BK

5387. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:25:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005212512.20049.00002725@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21631

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: Nonya Bidniz ham@station.com
>Date: 10/5/2001 7:31 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f5ksrt820aohajc3jb66m1bk0j38ekhmvo@4ax.com>

>Careful...it's contagious, and you seem to have many of the symptoms.

Away, Anonymous Coward! Isn't there a grade school playground you are
supposed to be haunting right now..?!?

5388. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:28:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9plmom$b46$0@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 21632

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

They believe they have the duty to die in their quest to
> > protect Islam from the decadence of the West.
>
> The radical ones, yes. But Islam is not a monollithic religion. Are
> all Christians like those in the KKK? (They burn crosses, the symbol
> of Christianity).
>
> And how do we explain the Gulf War, where Christian/Western countries
> made an alliance with Muslim Saudi Arabia and other Muslim countries
> to free Muslim Kuwait from Muslim Iraq?
>

It is very clear that those alliances with the West, as well as those
currently being formed, are shakey and tentative at best, giventhat
large portions of the populace of the Arab countries sympatiize with
Binb Laden & co. The Saudies and Kuwaitis had little choice but to ally
with us, or face our common enemy alone. And their need for help in
protecting their turf extends to today. But there are many commoners in
most Moslem countries who would gleefully take out the current rulers,
and giv it all to the Jihad against the West.

> What about Muslim Iraq's long war with Muslim Iran?

No news there. More strife and infighting within the ranks of the
Faithful. They would gladly team up against us when circumstnces change
to make that a more attractive option.

> >
> > > > The Taliban and
> > > >> others who harbor terrorists can take the path of peace and simply comply
> > with
> > > > >> President Bush's requests.
> >
> > Either you or I have misunderstood his remarks. He said we're at war,
> > and it is a war on terrorism and terrorists, and it won't be won till
> > there are none of them remaining.
>
> A war which THEY started and which THEY can end at any time.

So if a few terrorist leaders surrender, this is all over?? I don't
think so, in fact I'm sure of it.

Of course
> I don't think they will just give up.
>

THose who are so well indoctrinated as to make themselves instruments
of death, and who are eager to die themselves for their cause do not
give up. The Kamikazis of Japan showed that, as have many more recent
models.

> What I'm trying to say is that Bush & Co. have not gone off and simply
> attacked Afghanistan or some other place. They have not unleashed
> retaliation or revenge. Instead, they have set up the goal of ending
> terrorism.

Yes, and I as well as most others applaud their use of intelligence and
restraint as opposed tothe previous administration who concluded that
the proepr response to terrorist bombing was to lob a dozen ccruse
missiles into the empty desert and get on with business as usual. Such
an attidtde results in Sept 11.

> The Taliban and others can cooperate with that goal or face
> the consequences - but it's THEIR choice.

Actually, they don't have a choice under their own doctrine.

>
> > To merely put it on hold yet again, so
> > that they can restart it all over again once the current flurry settles
> > down will be only playing into their hands yet again, and that is not
> > what I got from either the P{redidents nor those of anyone else's
> > remarks who is in a position of authority. Britishs Prime mInister Tony
> > Blair was particularly to the point. He essentially said it's either
> > them or us.
>
> I agree. I'm not saying it should be put on hold at all. But
> preparations have to be made. Remember how long it took to get ready
> for the Gulf War?
> >
> > That wouldn't end it, I am certain.
> >
> > > Sure it will.
> >
> > Jim, don't you keep up with these things? I fear all too many Americans
> > do not. This is just an escalation of a movement that has been underway
> > for many years, and is just now getting serious to the point that it is
> > considered by the majority of us to be anything more than just a nutty
> > splinte group. it is NOT. There are thousands of them living among us,
> > unknown to most of us. These opeople are deterrmined to wipe us out, or
> > be themselves obliterated in the effort. They believe, and are
> > constantly told by their clerics, that the way to Paradise is to kill
> > the infidel. That's us, in their minds. And no tall of them are
> > illiterate, many well educated are centerally located within their
> > ranks.

>
> They must be rooted out. That's what "internal security" means.
>

And which we have NOT had in anything near adequate quantities for many
years.
And you don't quickly correct for decades of ambivalance, especially
when there are so many who still don't seem to understand what this is
all about. Our very survival is at stake, at least our survival as a
free people. And perhaps seven as a national entity. It doesn't always
take a bigger, better equipped army to win a war, you know.

> > I think they hate us for our human successes, a sort of "If we
> > can't have it you can't either" syndrome.
>
> Yup. There are other reasons, though.

Sure. Our support of a free Isreal is the last straw.

> >
> > As I recall, all President Bush requires is three things:
> > >
> > > 1) All terrorists to be in custody so they can't do more terrorism.
> >
> > > 2) All organizations, training facilities and safe havens for terrorists to be
> > > dismantled and shut down.
> > > 3) Those responsible for the attacks on the USA brought to justice.
>
> >
> > And how will we know when "all terrorists" are in custody? Answer: we
> > cannot possibly know. There are many thousdands already trained in camps
> > across the Middle East and more in training as we speak.
>
> When Bush & Co. are satisfied that the problem is solved. Not before.
>
> > TV coverage
> > shows women and small children cheering and celebrating at the news of
> > the WTC collapse.
>
> Sure.
>
> >That makes the bulk of a whole culture our enemie,
> > across numerous borders including even our own. You think we can round
> > up and incarcerate all of them?
>
> The Japanese and Germans acted the same way.

And we went into a protracted all-out war against them.

> >
> > > Simple. By all accounts, the organizations responsible are not very large -
> > > probably less than the number of innocent people killed already.

> >
> > Not by any stretch of the imagination.
>
> The number I saw was 3,000.

There are all sorts of numbers out there, but they run much much higher
than that. Today one ranking officer said there have been upwards of
10,000 terrorists trained by the terrorist training camps in Afghanistan
and other places that we know of. How many of they have emgrated to the
USA over the years we can only speculate. Our leaky borders have
allowed thousands of persons of every stripe in without any effective
resistance for decades. We do know that many who ARE here enjoy
community support within enclaves of Islamic immigrants here. That is
certainly not to say that all Islamics are terrorist supporters, but
there are enough to keep the network alive and very viable, and laying
their patient plans......

> > >
> > > >Someone's got to pay for Sept 11.
> >
> > > There must be a way to seize the assets of the terror organizations and use
> > > them for cleanup, compensation and rebuilding.
> > >
> > > >By all accounts there are many of them ready and willing to do so.
> > > >
> > > That's up to them, not us.
> >
> > Sept 11 was up to them, not us. The aftermath, and what to do to
> > prevent further episodes is up to us. If we let them set the agenda they
> > will be more than glad to do so, and we can have this convesation all
> > over again in the (probably near) future.
>
> All I'm saying is that the president has made it clear what must be
> done. The other side can comply or not.

The danger is that they will appear to 'agree' while merely buying
time for their elements still hidden underground. Then we impatient
Americans can go on with business as usual, thinking the problem has
been solved, while it is not.

>
> Remember Pearl Harbor - and remember how that story ended.

I do, and I'm sure that the terrorist enemy does, too. One can only
wonder if they will learn the right lessons from it, and whether or not
we did, or will. With little more effort the Japanese could have made
that attack far more damaging than it turned out to be. Just a little
better preplanning. Lessons learned by whom?????

Dick

5389. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:37:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4ftv7.66358$W8.2018881@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21633

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5%sv7.66327$W8.2017059@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hans, my guess is that you may well be close. When I got into amateur
radio
> (1962), there were a number of amateur radio manufacturers (and a number
of
> local retailers). Yes, Heathkit, Collins, Hallicrafters, Hammerlund ...
it
> might be a nice trip down memory lane for some people to add to that short
> list. There were DSB transmitters (low end - no carrier, but both
> sidebands) ... That seemed to have ended in the late 60s and early 70s.

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Every time I go by 3915 West Broadway in Council Bluffs IA (across the
Misery from Omaha) I see not the present tenant (the Salvation Army) but
World Radio Labs, home of the Globe King, Globe Scout, Globe Chief and, yes,
several DSB and controlled-carrier AM rigs.

Leo Myerson W&#65533;GFQ made his bux selling crystals to Sam during WW2 and is BTW
still with us -- a fixture at the QCWA I am told. He was licensed when Iowa
was nine-land.

Dick N&#65533;BK

5390. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:38:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9plna4ckl0@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 21634

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <b7c5262e.0110040915.5241c724@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net
> (K0HB) writes:
>
> >
> >4. If you jiggle the handle on the r.r.a.p Executive Washroom you can
> >get in there sometimes. Just be sure and do it after hours because if
> >you get caught sneaking in you'll have to ride next to K3LT on the team
> >bus on the trip to Dayton while he explains the advantages of CW.
>
> Actually, Dick and I are going to be taking turns driving the bus,
> and will be providing continuous lectures on the benefits and
> advantages of CW throughout the course of the trip. The bus will be
> equipped with an HF transceiver, a "screwdriver" antenna, a Bencher
> keyer wired in parallel with a J-38, but no microphone! All 2-meter
> rigs, CB rigs, cell phones, PDA's, and other such communication
> devices will be confiscated prior to boarding. If the bus encounters
> any kind of emergency situation on the road, all radio communications
> will be strictly in CW.
>

I don't think it'll ever work. Cecil will figure out some way to encode
the output of the J38 and the Bencher into digitized speech and foreward
it by cellphone.

5391. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 01:55:40 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbe698d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21635

We all "hear" the same damned media stories, Dick. The translation comes from which color glasses we wear when we listen. Rose colored or other. Then a little bit of the "is the glass half full or half empty" and you've got all the news that's fit to translate.

You run along and have fun being so, well...I guess I'd say paranoid. Ta ta.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9pljrj$40g$0@208.207.71.130...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Oh, by the way, I'll also add that their numbers *don't* mount to much of
> > anything, Dick. Their *numbers* don't. Do you happen to know the estimate
> > on the cost it took these few to accomplish this act in NYC? $200,000!!! I
> > don't know if that estimate has changed, but that is one figure I heard
> > relayed from a Pentagon official to one of the reporters.
> >
> > You're the one out there looking for some huge number of "enemy" to
> > infiltrate and change your life. You're the one out there implying that we
> > must be suspicious of "many thousands" of them who are among us. You're the
> > one who apparently can't recognize that it doesn't take those "thousands"
> > your delusional mind has come up with, to do what happened or to do it
> > again.
> >
> > If I'm taken out, and you're enlightened? I'll stay with taken out. I at
> > least have a good understanding of the "enemy."
>
>
> It's beyond clear that you understand very little. You obviously don't
> even watch the news, or at least don't understand what you take in.
> Whatever that is.
> None of this data is "mine". It all came to me from/through the media.
> You could have gotten the same data if you cared enough to pay

> attention. Instead, you'll wind up as one of the masses asking "What
> happened?????"

5392. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 02:38:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011005223851.19715.00000487@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21636

In article <0rjsrtkc8g4d08j4ihnuuhqe46d125dvg1@4ax.com>, Nonya Bidniz
<ham@station.com> writes:

>Tsk, tsk, tsk, the same person who criticizes someone else's spelling,
>misspelled the word "qualified" in his own post.

So...the word "qualified" isn't spelled that way but should
be spelled "qualified?" Or is "qualified" really spelled
"qualified?"

Which is it? :-)

5393. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 02:47:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9plrc2mlk0@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 21637

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
> >From: Nonya Bidniz ham@station.com
> >Date: 10/5/2001 7:11 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <csisrtgtoqvglfklgiebbfvdmih7hvbm1j@4ax.com>
>
> >Apparently you're not one of 'em.
>
> Back to abusing your wife, Anonymous Troll!

Oh, he's not so anonymous. Brian Burke is backe.

5394. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 02:49:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9plrfamlk1@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 21638

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > It isn't rocket science, Dwight.
> > People respond to demands or
> > challenges. When there was a code
> > test, it wasn't a problem to learn
> > code and take the code test.
>
> However, before the reduction in the code requirement, there was
> also the added knowledge that code was a necessary radio
> communications mode as an extra incentive to learn it. That necessity
> is is much less today, so the incentive to learn code is also much
> less today.

Dwight, you must have a paperwork generating job with the gov'ment. You can write all day and never say a thing.

5395. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 02:55:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9plrqe$oa1$0@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 21639

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> We all "hear" the same damned media stories, Dick.

Yep. Some of us consider the source. Some are very credible, some not.
Some of us are able to discern which is which.

> The translation comes
> from which color glasses we wear when we listen. Rose colored or other.
> Then a little bit of the "is the glass half full or half empty" and you've
> got all the news that's fit to translate.
>

And some of you couldn't grasp reality if it swatted you across the
head with the Book of Koran.

> You run along and have fun being so, well...I guess I'd say paranoid. Ta
> ta.

Have fun while you sit waiting for your dreams to, well, come untrue.
Some of us are willing to be ready. Thankfully, at least we now have
an administration who seems to care, unlike the last one which was every
bit as lost as you.

5396. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 03:02:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pls8epdh0@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 21640

Nonya Bidniz wrote:
>
> On 4 Oct 2001 21:23:11 -0700, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) wrote:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote
> >
> >> Troll, troll, troll your goat.....
> >
> >Change Rule 10 to:
> >
> >10. K4YZ doesn't grasp humor. Never has, never will.
> >
>
> That's called ocular reticulitis. It's a serious disease that comes
> from having one's head up one's butt. Causes you to have a crappy
> outlook on life.

Ah. Another expert on Cranial-Rectal inversion.

5397. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 04:02:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006000251.01328.00000768@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21641

In article <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

(N2EY wrote:)

>>>>>>I first encountered the Smith Chart in the pages of QST, more than 30
>>>years
>>>>>>ago. First learned how to use it from an excellent explanation in the
>>ARRL
>>>>>>Antenna Book.
>>>>>
>>>>>...and from that N2EY has become a "master of the Smith
>>>>>Chart?!?" :-)
>>>>
>>>>Nope. I never claimed to be a "master of the Smith Chart".
>>>>
>>>>I wrote that I had mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Mastering
>>>is
>>>>no the same thing as being the master of.
>>>
>>>By that same "interpretation" of words, N2EY is not a "master
>>>of morse code." :-)
>>
>>Yup. I never claimed to be one, either.
>>>
>>>Sorry, but that kind of semantical silliness doesn't prove anything.
>>
>>Then why are you indulging in it?
>>
>>>Here is what N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>==
>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY (who hasmastered, and uses, both Morse and the Smith chart)
>>>
>>>===
>
>>Yep. I claim to have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code. Not to be
>>"a master of the Smith Chart".
>>
>>>He has not provided any verification of that claim.
>>
>>So?
>
>N2EY's "signature" line says he has mastered the Smith Chart

>and morse code. He does not clarify use of the past tense by
>the word "has."

I have NO idea what you are trying to say there.

>Is this "mastership" still present? On both topics or just one?

I know how to use both. I have mastered both.
>
>True mastership is evidenciary by demonstration of knowledge.
>
Skill, in the case of Morse code.

>Such mastership is NOT demonstrated by fish-story claims.

Yup. That's why I don't indulge in them.

I leave the fish stories to you, LHA ;-)
>
>>>N2EY has not provided a single name-callsign of a single one
>>>of the "thousands" of hams who had mastered the Smith
>>>Chart and "vowed never to use it again."
>>>
>>Why should I do that?
>
>To avoid being tagged as a fish-story braggart.

By whom?
>
>If there are "thousands" of such hams, then it should be a
>trivial task to mention just one name.

Go ahead, then, if it's so trivial.

>N2EY has not supplied that information.

There is no reason for me to do so. You would simply insult, deprecate and denigrate anyone so named. I have seen you do it so many times that it would be irresponsible of me to enable more of that behavior.

In other words, LHA has not demonstrated the newsgroup maturity necessary to handle such information. ;-)

>>>N2EY has not provided a single program name or source
>>>of the "modern simulation programs" that "eliminate the
>>>need to use a Smith Chart."
>>
>>How about EZNEC?
>
>Bad, bad analogy!

It's not an analogy at all. It's an example. You should borrow Cecil's dictionary.

> Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
>is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
>formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
>source code is free to use, not copyrighted)

Yeah - we know, Len.

btw - the author is W7EL, an Extra Class ham)
>
>The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
>electromagnetic field patterns.
>
We KNOW, Len. Various versions have been around for years. The Windows version (EZNEC2000) is pretty slick.

>The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.

We know that, too.

The challenge was to come up with a modern simulation program that eliminates the need to use a Smith Chart. If EZNEC was simply a form of Smith Chart, it would not meet that challenge. So EZNEC is a GOOD example of a modern simulation program that eliminates the need to use a Smith Chart.

(Actually, EZNEC2000 includes a choice of Smith Chart options, but the user doesn't have to use tha feature to design antenna/transmission line systems).
>
>The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
>of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
>Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
>data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.

Ahhh, Len? We know that already.
>
>A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
>solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or
>narrowband performance.

I oughta just set up a macro key.
>
>A Smith Chart does NOT show antenna electromagnetic
>field characteristics.
>
And your point is?

>N2EY may "reply" by saying "we know that," but attempting
>the foolish analogy that he did shows only that he has NOT
>demonstrated any knowledge on the subject.

Oh dear - you really do need to get out more, Len. Visit some other newsgroups and websites. Catch up on what others are doing, and have done, in this field. ;-)

EZNEC2000 is more than antenna pattern simulation software. Yes, the user can define antennas as collections of "wires" and determine the radiation patterns

and feedpoint impedance.

The user can also define sources, loads and lumped components such as loading coils and traps. The effect of "real ground" and other losses can be included.

And the user can define TRANSMISSION LINES (note the plural) as part of the simulation. The matching effect of such lines can be observed over a wide range of frequencies. By suitable choice of line characteristics (velocity factor, length, impedance) a matching system can be designed using the EZNEC2000 software instead of a Smith Chart.

For example, the popular "G5RV" antenna, or a variant of it, can be modeled using EZNEC2000, and its radiation pattern, feedpoint impedance, and SWR determined. Many other antenna/transmission line systems can be designed in a similar fashion.

Or take W5DXP's ingenious idea of matching an HF dipole on different amateur frequencies by switching in various lengths of feedline, so that the end result is transformation to 50 ohms without a lumped-constant matching network.

If a ham wants to use a different dipole length than W5DXP used, a redesign is necessary. This can be done by Smith Chart methods, but with EZNEC2000 it can be done easily with no Smith Chart knowledge at all.

The challenge was to come up with a modern simulation program that eliminates the need to use a Smith Chart. EZNEC2000 is one example.

QED

I have nothing against using the Smith Chart or simulation software. One more tool in the toolbox is always welcome.

N2EY

5398. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 04:46:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBE8C9F.4000604@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21642

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I don't think it'll ever work. Cecil will figure out some way to encode
> the output of the J38 and the Bencher into digitized speech and foreward
> it by cellphone.
Even CW to speech would be no big deal. All the CW prosigns

could be translated to speech by a PC. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5399. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 05:45:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006014514.28464.00000562@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21643

>Subject: Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 10/5/2001 9:47 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9plrc2mlk0@208.206.142.91>

>
> Oh, he's not so anonymous. Brian Burke is backe.

NO!

5400. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 10:00:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBED633.CEF74E9E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21647

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight, you must have a paperwork
> generating job with the gov'ment. You
> can write all day and never say a thing.

I did not too long ago. And I generated a lot of paper (reports). Of course, since I no longer have that job, I'm trying to cut back on that today. But you know how it is - it takes time to get out of bad habits. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5401. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 10:29:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBEDD16.4109156E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21648

K0HB@qwest.net wrote:
>
> In any case, the number is probably
> not very impressive. Taking the US
> for an example, there are around
> 650,000 licensees. Let's suppose that
> 50% of them are active in the hobby.
> That estimate says there are 325,000
> US hams spending money on the hobby.
> Let's further guesstimate that each
> of these folks spends an average of
> $500.00 on the hobby each year. That
> puts yearly revenue for *all* vendors
> (in the US market) at a measly $16.25
> million.

But, from the company's perspective, you would have to look at the global market and at radio in general (not just ham radio). Almost all major manufacturers design their radio equipment for a global market and make minor modifications for localization. For that matter, many design their products for more than one radio service (government, business, research, and so forth - not just ham radio) and again make minor modifications to make the product compatible with a specific radio service. Therefore, it would be very difficult to come up with real figures about ham radio alone. I'm sure the companies have internal reports, but I suspect those numbers rarely ever make it into a public report.

But I do agree that ham radio alone is a small market. However, it is a good sized "additional" market for companies who built radio equipment. Furthermore, since the demands on ham radio equipment is so high (wide bandwidth, multiple modes, etc), it is a good target market to use when designing a radio. In other words, if a radio can be useful for ham radio, that radio would be also useful for a wide range of other markets (with limits added per radio service).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5402. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 11:31:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BBEEB86.D610AB72@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21652

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> N2EY has been asked who his employer
> is and what he does there. N2EY
> replies only in vague generalities on
> what he does ("electrical engineering")
> but has not yet named his employer.
> While that isn't necessary, he should
> not have to require such specifics of
> others in order to back up his claims
> ...or attempt to dimish others'
> statements by claiming knowledge of
> their work.

There is a huge difference between the words written by individuals in this newsgroup and the literary works of outside "experts" cited as proof of a claim. Words written by individuals in this newsgroup can be believed or ignored, based solely on the argument presented here. But it is not at all unreasonable to question the credentials of an outside "expert."

As for N2EY's employer, I don't blame him for not giving more details in this newsgroup. As far as I know, his employer has nothing to do with this newsgroup and would therefore not likely appreciate being dragged into this newsgroup. Or, perhaps like those I've worked for in the past, his employer who would rather maintain a relatively low profile. Whatever the case, information about his employer is not required - he is here himself to argue in defense of any claims he has made.

> The US Army does not "stand watches." I
> never saw a watch stand in the US Army or
> the USAF between 1952 and 1989. Soldiers
> wore watches on their wrists or looked
> at wall clocks in buildings if they were
> available.

You're just playing with words there, Len. Soldiers assigned to fixed radio facilities, or mechanized radio facilities, do indeed work at their jobs in shifts (commonly called "standing watch"). While that phrase ("standing watch") is not commonplace in the Army, it is used occasionally and most are well aware of it's meaning.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5403. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 11:33:46 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110060333.5536556d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21644
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

NJSniper@nunofyourb.net (The Jersey Sniper) wrote in message
news:<3bbf6d7d.141481430@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 04:47:10 GMT, "David N7VRZ" <n7vrz@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >That is not war, that is genocide.
>
> So, what is wrong with that???
> The only solution for Terrorists is the Final Solution.
>
> Exterminate Them All!!!
>
> God Bless America
>
> The Jersey Sniper

You need to email moparholic: he can hook you up with your local racist
organizations. Or, if you live in the Cleveland area, just hire him to
mow your lawn.

5404. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 14:22:37 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbf21ec$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21645

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9plrfamlk1@208.206.142.91...
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > However, before the reduction in the code requirement, there was
> > also the added knowledge that code was a necessary radio
> > communications mode as an extra incentive to learn it. That necessity
> > is is much less today, so the incentive to learn code is also much
> > less today.
>
>
> Dwight, you must have a paperwork generating job with the gov'ment. You
> can write all day and never say a thing.

Yeah, and Dick's the typical taxpayer. The "paperwork generating job with
the gov'ment" person didn't say what Dick wanted to hear. :o

Kim W5TIT

5405. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 15:14:30 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbf21ee_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21646

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9plrqe$oa1$0@208.206.142.91...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > We all "hear" the same damned media stories, Dick.
>
> Yep. Some of us consider the source. Some are very credible, some not.
> Some of us are able to discern which is which.
>

And *all* of us feel that "ours" is the right source. Mine is ABC news and
all the news programs on National Public Radio.

>
> > The translation comes
> > from which color glasses we wear when we listen. Rose colored or other.
> > Then a little bit of the "is the glass half full or half empty" and
you've
> > got all the news that's fit to translate.
> >
>
> And some of you couldn't grasp reality if it swatted you across the
> head with the Book of Koran.
>

Like I implied, you're the one who's on some kind of "I'm right and you're
wrong" crusade.

>
> > You run along and have fun being so, well...I guess I'd say paranoid.
Ta
> > ta.
>
>
> Have fun while you sit waiting for your dreams to, well, come untrue.
> Some of us are willing to be ready. Thankfully, at least we now have
> an administration who seems to care, unlike the last one which was every
> bit as lost as you.
>

And, in what way is my choice to suspend travel and walking in underground
tunnels going to cause a delay in "my dreams," Dick? It comes straight from
the United States Government and the military as recommendations that we all
"make adjustments" to our lives right now and be a little more on guard and

cautious about our activities.

You seem to have completely missed that my only question to your comments was your apparent belief that we should use a broad paintbrush in determining who "we" should be suspicious of. You seem to believe it is every human being who is a card-carrying visitor to this nation and even those who have immigrated legally and become US Citizens. I happen to believe everyone, no matter what race or nationality or whether they are US Citizens, is due an objective nod until they happen to prove otherwise. Once again, even our Government and Military have publicly admonished this kind of reaction to others. So, what exactly is your problem with--and please remember this important small detail--*MY* choice of how I react to this tragedy? I have no problem with how you react to it--other than my wish to help you understand that I don't think you need to be so suspicious of everyone who doesn't look and think just like you do; and I don't there's many that share your surprise that something like this has happened.

I am not a member of the US Military so don't find it compelling to feel as prepared as you think you are to "go into battle." Nor do I wish to go into battle with anyone. I leave those decisions to our military leaders and personnel. Once again, I ask what kind of problem you have with that.

In what way do you feel I am "lost," Dick? I think this administration is doing a fine job of responding to this tragedy and I have no problem with the concept (though I doubt this will happen) that no military response may be required. I don't see how any administration would have responded any differently to something like this. I choose not to make this a "political game" as you seem to desire. I don't like playing those "us against them" kind of games. I think every one of us was affected by this; and I think there are many who are going way overboard with the paranoia of "there's an enemy around every corner."

If the measures being taken succeed in the behavioral change of terrorists to cease violent acts upon others then I am absolutely fine with them "hanging around." I am absolutely fine with that, as long as the policies of attrition are set in place and carried out on a continual basis and as long as there are no changes to civil liberties and freedoms we are accustomed to in this country.

I don't mind taking several hours to get through an airport line to get on a plane; I don't mind being scanned before I go into a stadium for a game; I don't mind making personal choices to refrain from certain activities; I don't mind having cameras on me in malls, the highways, etc. What I do mind is someone listening in on my phone conversations, scanning my email, etc. In other words, if I make the personal choice to be out in public, then I am handshaking with whatever "things" there are about being out in public that I have to contend with. My willingness stops at personal and private matters that NO ONE has the right to see or know about. And, those personal liberties certainly should not be given up without someone FIRST defining the rules.

You (and many, many others here and in every town across America) seem to be off on some tangent about who is more patriotic, who is more willing to make sacrifices, who is..., who is..., who is... If I am wrong, all you have to do is say so. But, if my understanding is correct, all I was doing was offering up an opposition to that way of thinking. I don't think I ever called into question anything about your politics, your rights, your dedication, your patriotism. Yet, you seem to have done all that with me. And, not just with me but with others who don't think just like you. Again, if I am wrong, I apologize. But, somehow I don't think I am.

Kim W5TIT

5406. Re: Post to newsgroups with new professional tool sxryb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 16:11:50 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9pnbdg$ua1$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 21650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"avnhlv" <avnhlv@gmx.de> wrote in message news:3bbf2e2c@nntp01.splitrock.net...
> Features:
>
> * Bulk post to hundreds of groups with a single click
> * Support for multipart messages and all kinds of attachments
> * Advanced support for crossposting
> * Protect you message from restrictions in newsserver policy
>
> Get the program at
> http://195.242.48.70/avns/
>
> qtjebcuzayzpgjiyhnjydgpmfthgkgchvbhlehokrugdroux
>

Thank you kind sir for your crossposted spam. We are VERY interested
in your spammonator program. Thank you for also posting your DNS
number so we can include it in all spams we send out with YOUR software!

DNS = 195.242.48.70

For those who are interested here is the down and dirty info you need:

inetnum: 195.242.48.64 - 195.242.48.127
netname: CORPGUIDENET
descr: Corpguide Systems
descr: Stockholm, Sweden
country: SE
admin-c: JT3004-RIPE
tech-c: JT3004-RIPE
status: ASSIGNED PA
mnt-by: SE-UUNET-MNT
changed: daniell@uk.uu.net 20000221
source: RIPE

route: 195.242.32.0/19
descr: UUNET Sweden AB
origin: AS702
remarks: All kind of abuse from this network
remarks: should be reported to abuse@se.uu.net
remarks: For more info see the object USA1-RIPE
notify: ip@se.uu.net
mnt-by: SE-UUNET-MNT
changed: joche@se.uu.net 20010402
source: RIPE

person: Joakim Thornberg
address: Corpguide Systems AB
address: Norra Stationsgatan 56
address: S-113 33 STOCKHOLM
phone: +46 8 32 77 80
fax-no: +46 8 32 77 82
e-mail: joakim.thornberg@corpguide.se
nic-hdl: JT3004-RIPE
changed: barbro.naslund@worldcom.se 19981023
source: RIPE

--

'73' From KB9TMP

"there is no rule against being stupid"
-Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com
_____

5407. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 16:14:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006121444.05130.00003852@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21649

In article <20011005172238.28579.00000188@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>>Why is N2EY not proud of the company he works for?
>>>>
>>>>I am very proud of the company I work for.
>>>
>>>That's nice. Proud enough to name it?
>>
>>What has pride to do with anything?
>
>:-) See some of the following -
>
>>>Or are we all on
>>>some kind of "honor system" where N2EY's claim is
>>>"valid" if he says so?
>>>
>>Why not?
>
>Then N2EY is being the hypocrite...or having delusions of
>grandeur.

How so?

LHA is obssesing over something that has nothing to do with the discussion, as a diversion from the subject being discussed.
>
>A stated claim of knowledge without anything presented to
>show some knowledge can be considered a "fish-story"
>(colloquialism for tall tale that may range from exaggeration
>to out-and-out lie).

In other words, you are skeptical about my claim of Smith Chart knowledge. But rather than discuss that knowledge, you digress into other areas.

It is interesting that you have not mentioned my academic achivements after I pointed you to them.

Just surf over to

http://www.deja.com

and search on "N2EY MSEE BSEE"

and see what pops up. Then tell me about not presenting any knowledge.
>
>Example: Comparing a Smith Chart to an NEC-based

>antenna analysis program as if that shows knowledge of
>the Smith Chart or as an example of a program (one of
>many claimed) that "replaces a Smith Chart."

As shown in another post, EZNEC2000 allows the user to define TRANSMISSION LINES as part of a simulation. Thus, the user can simulate and design complete antenna/transmisssion line systems using one software package without any Smith Chart knowledge at all.

What has been demonstrated is that LHA is not familiar with EZNEC2000.
>
>N2EY has been asked who his employer is and what he
>does there.

Only by you. Why does it matter?

>N2EY replies only in vague generalities on
>what he does ("electrical engineering") but has not yet
>named his employer.

Why does it matter?

>While that isn't necessary, he
>should not have to require such specifics of others in order
>to back up his claims...or attempt to dimish others'
>statements by claiming knowledge of their work.

Where have I required specifics of others' current employment, even when they preferred not to give it? Where have I used someone's employment as a qualifier or disqualifier of amateur radio knowledge?

Produce some posts, if you can.
>
>>>>>Is anyone "attacking" N2EY's "professional" activities?
>>>>
>>>>Not yet. But you will, given the chance. I've seen you do it plenty of
>>>times.
>>>
>>>Ah, so I "will" do that!
>>
>>Yep. If you can say what I will do, why can't I say what YOU will do?
>
>I can't possibly "attack" something that isn't known. :-)

Now you begin to get the picture. ;-)
>
>>I'm smart enough to know that no matter what my qualifications and
>>employment, it would not be satisfactory to you.
>
>...that sure sounds like a claim of prescience to me... :-)

No. Just common sense. And experience. Your NG behavior is quite predictable, Len.

>
>>>DESIGNING one's own equipment, including making it work,
>>>is quite another story.
>>
>>I know - I've done it. Many times.
>
>:-) Of course you have. Let's not let the lack of details mess
>up general claims. :-)
>
Nobody asked for details.
>
>>>I converted two ARC-5 sets to 115 VAC primary power in 1947,
>>>got them working. I was 15.
>>
>>So? Converting ARC-5s was old hat to me by age 15.
>
>Of course it would be "old hat." :-) When N2EY was 15 the
>WW2 surplus era was already a quarter-century old and the
>ham publications had many articles already published on
>such conversions.

I was 15 in 1969. WW2 surplus was not available until 1946. A quarter century
is 25 years. You do the math.

It was old hat to me because I'd already done it several times before the age
of 15.
>
>>All one had to do to the
>>receivers was a little rewiring of the heaters, add a gain pot, headphone
>>jack and a BFO switch, and connect to a power supply. Doesn't even require
>>"assembly skills".
>>
>>The transmitters are a little more work, but not much.
>
>Heh, all that is easy to claim after a quarter-century period of
>published information on the subject.

All I had was "Understanding Amateur Radio" and a tube manual.

> N2EY isn't gracious
>enough to acknowledge that the 1947 time-frame knowledge
>of conversion consisted of a photocopy of a schematic (extra
>cost) and some word-of-mouth advice (some of it erroneous).

There were conversion articles as early as 1946. And with a schematic, the
conversion is obvious. I didn't have a schematic until I traced out a BC-453,
wire by wire.

>I look at my beginnings in radio-electronics as a personal
>learning experience. It was fun.

Same here. It led me to a career in electrical engineering.

>Others seem to use it as
>some kind of "superiority symbol" as shown following -
>
>>So? All that means is that you are older than me. I had more ham radio
>>operating experience at age 15 than you have now. Infinitely more.

Is stating the truth somehow not allowed if it shows that someone has more
experience or knowledge about something than LHA?

>Heh. Is this all about possession of an amateur license grant?

The only way to get ham radio operating experience is to operate an amateur
radio station, which requires a license.
>
>I guess so. Professional activities seem to be a pejorative
>to N2EY. See -
>
>>>Sold both of them for a fair amount of profit (in those days and as
>>>a teen-ager).
>>
>>Ah yes, the professional angle again. QED.
>
>As a 15 or 16 year old?!? :-) :-) :-)

You're the one who mentioned the profit angle, not me. The discussion was about
how you judge ONLY by what professionals do. What makes someone a professional
is that they do it for money. QED.

>>Sure - the Army sent you to radio school, and then you got to stand watches
>>on equipment designed, built and installed by others. That doesn't even take
>>"assembly skills". ;-)
>
>My signal school training was on radar fundamentals and
>general radio relay equipment familiarization using multi-
>channel equipment that was scheduled for replacement,
>scheduling interrupted by other Korean War priorities.

We've heard this story a few times, LHA

>The
>assignment was to work on/with/maintain fixed station
>transmitters and VHF/UHF radio relay equipment that was
>NOT part of the (then) MOS 1419 curriculum.

So? Did you design, build, or install any of it? Pay for it with your own
money? Did it have all the manuals?
>
>The US Army does not "stand watches." I never saw a
>watch stand in the US Army or the USAF between 1952
>and 1989. Soldiers wore watches on their wrists or looked
>at wall clocks in buildings if they were available.

That's almost funny.
>

>Field radio training in the US Army of the Korean War era
>did NOT include fixed-station equipment so those assigned
>from field radio school had to do the same thing as us from
>microwave training: Learn on the job, NOW. We did.

Learn to keep it on the air after others designed, built, and installed it.
With manuals, tools, test equipment, more experienced staff, etc., etc. All on
the taxpayer's nickel.

>Morse code skills weren't needed in fixed-station work,
>wasn't used.

Nobody asked about that, but you see the need to include it.
>
>Yes, the equipment was indeed designed and built by
>commercial companies. It still is.

That's nice ;-)

>Those companies
>include General Dynamics (Fort Lauderdale Division),
>Collins Radio Division of Rockwell International, and
>Motorola. None of those make amateur radio equipment
>now. Back a half century ago the communications
>companies manufacturing for the US military included
>Western Electric and Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Co. (!)
>who both made high-power transmitters.

And the significance of this is?
>
>Sorry, but "assembly skills" are indeed needed to maintain
>all of them. That's covered in TMs as much today as it was
>a half century ago.

You didn't build them, you just fixed them.

You got "proof" or "validation" of any of the above? ;-)
>
>>> No proof presented, no validation.
>>
>>There is none you would accept.
>
>I cannot accept fish-stories as true.

You don't even accept the truth as true, when it is told by someone you oppose.

>I cannot accept unsubstantiated
>claims. No one should.
>
I gave you the pointer but you won'y use it.

In fact, you won't even sign your posts. I wonder why.

N2EY
>

5408. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 16:50:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pnco6$g32$0@208.207.71.162>
Source record: 21651

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> You seem to have completely missed that my only question to your comments
> was your apparent belief that we should use a broad paintbrush in
> determining who "we" should be suspicious of. You seem to believe it is
> every human being who is a card-carrying visitor to this nation and even
> those who have immigrated legally and become US Citizens.

A "Did you know" comes to mind- Did you know that of the 58 countries
in the world which are Islamic, only *one* (Turkey) has even a partial
Democracy as a government principal? The rest are dictated by various
thugs and pseudo-thugs masquerading as Islamic Clergy. If that doesn't
tell you anything, well, I guess I wouldn't be too surprised.

I happen to
> believe everyone, no matter what race or nationality or whether they are US
> Citizens, is due an objective nod until they happen to prove otherwise.

And how would they do that? A car bomb, perhaps? No one even remotely
suggested that all Arabic people are radical terrorists. I hope,
however, that you can see that their heritage certainly leans in the
direction of totalitarianism in religious beliefs, and fealty toward the
mandates of their clergy, whoever they are and whatever they say. If
some Mullah in the middle east (or in New York for that matter, in the
case of the first WTC bombing in '93) says do it, a certain percentage
of them will be moved to do so. Yes, that includes those living here in
relative peace. If you can't grasp that, well, you can't

> Once again, even our Government and Military have publicly admonished this
> kind of reaction to others.

Read it again, please. What they said is what I said. Draw no
unwarranted conclusions as to any individual. BUT- keep your eyes open.
And report unusual or suspicious occurances. Especially those involving
persons who might be Islamic fundamentalist terrorists. That means
individuals of Arabic extraction. Is that Profiling? what else? You
didn't see WASP's involved in the proceedings on Sept 11, did you?>

So, what exactly is your problem with--and
> please remember this important small detail--*MY* choice of how I react to
> this tragedy?

The simple fact that you can't see this "tragedy" for what it is- the
opening salvo of a war on *all of us* by Islamic fundamentalist
terrorists who wish us ALL dead, and many of whom are willing to forfeit
themselves to that end.

>
> I am not a member of the US Military so don't find it compelling to feel as
> prepared as you think you are to "go into battle."
> Nor do I wish to go into
> battle with anyone. I leave those decisions to our military leaders and
> personnel. Once again, I ask what kind of problem you have with that.

To paraphrase what I have said so often in the Mode Wars, "You don't always get to choose the time, circumstances, nor mode" You don't choose what your exposure to the terrorists is, either, unless you stay home with doors locked and windows shuttered.....So do your peacenik thing, and hope for the best. I would hazard the guess that there are terrorists well within your own region who have plans for some facility nearby.That isn't just a wild guess, either, rather the distillation of lots of official prognostications ingested by me since Sept 11. If you missed it, one plane with several of the terrorists was grounded in StLouis just after the WTC incidents on Sept 11. They had boxcutters and knives, and a destination of Dallas-FT Worth International. The US Govt beleives they had a target in that area fixed firmly in mind, but the quick US shutdown of air traffic headed it off...for now.
Officials these days say that the likelihood of further terrorist activity within the US in the near term is 100%.
Rest well, Kim.

Oh-If you depend on ABC for all your news, no wonder you'r so porrly informed. You gotta do better than that.

5409. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 17:15:02 UTC
From: w2ilp@juno.com
Message-ID: <6fa2d22d.0110060915.7f45665b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21653

jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu) wrote in message
news:<f7d9a152.0110050731.5043a5ea@posting.google.com>...
> Some questions:
>
> 1. How much money in revenues and profits does the amateur radio
> industry earn each year in the United States and around the world?

This would be nice to know but it would never mean much as regards to
the developmental costs of modern communications equipment that is
performed by Japanese engineering.
Amateur Radio equipment is but a small byproduct of commercial,
government, and military communications equipment.

> 2. How much in revenues and profits do Yaesu, Icom, and Kenwood earn
> from amateur radio?

Add to that similar equipment sold to police, maritime users, short
wave listeners, coast guard, trucking and rail-road monitors, taxi
services and on and on..?
All are developed in sync with similar technology, similar methods,
similar components....and the same engineering.

> 3. How many Yaesu FT-100s and Icom 706s sell each year?

Personnally I (w2ilp) could care less...because whatever is sold may
be dumped at cost in the USA for all that it matters. The reality is
that Ham Radio gear is but a test bed for the development of similar
gear whose sales are much more profitable. Ham gear only helps the
manufacturers to increase their mass production slightly....The big
bucks come from commercial, government, and military users.

> 4. What are the sales and profit figures for MFJ Enterprises?

Probably just enough to keep them in business. I dunno for sure.

> 5. What are the sales figures for the most popular MFJ products like
> the MFJ-259B antenna analyzer, MFJ-941E tuner, and MFJ-949E tuner?
> 6. What are the sales figures for the most popular antennas (G5RVs,
> Hamsticks, Hustlers, Screwdrivers, etc.)?

Again I can't believe that any company can survive for very long on
Ham Radio sales unless they also sell other products. Mosley, for
example, could not have survived as long as they have without selling
commercial antennas and getting government contracts. Sure there have
always been small outfits that start on a kitchen table as little more
than a hobby...or as we say "a labor of love".
If Heathkit could not survive after taking a market survey...How can

we expect any large company in the USA to get involved with Ham Radio sales? Collins-Rockwell realized that the market for high end Ham Gear was too small for any expectation of reasonable profit.
Products must have a mass market in order for their prices to be reasonable. The Japanese (and now the Chinese, and Mexicans as well) have been able to mass produce modern communications equipment and components at prices that can not be beaten...but even they must be able to deliver to a relatively large world market to make any profit. Kenwood, Yaesu, and ICOM deliver to a world market. On my recent trip to Australia, I found that most Hams were using their products.

> Is there any web page where I can find sales figures on amateur radio
> companies and products?

I would suggest that you get a hold of the stock prospectuses for the companies that you are interested in. Some are on the Tokyo exchange. Some also are listed on USA exchanges. Some are divisions of larger companies, who may have bought them. You can bet that the remaining smaller unlisted ones are not very profitable. Some are just mom and pop operations.

Hams need MORE license classes and/or official FCC technical endorsements.
Hams have been able to provide a cadre of trained technicians during previous national emergencies...Now hams must again rise to the level of modern communications techniques...for if they can not, Ham Radio will continue to lose its most important basis and purpose. Hams must lean more than it takes to just operate Japanese radios.

73, Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)

5410. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 17:54:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pngg2$1cj$0@208.207.71.162>
Source record: 21654

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
>
> You (and many, many others here and in every town across America) seem to be
> off on some tangent about who is more patriotic, who is more willing to make
> sacrifices, who is..., who is..., who is... If I am wrong, all you have to
> do is say so. But, if my understanding is correct, all I was doing was
> offering up an opposition to that way of thinking. I don't think I ever
> called into question anything about your politics, your rights, your
> dedication, your patriotism. Yet, you seem to have done all that with me.
> And, not just with me but with others who don't think just like you. Again,
> if I am wrong, I apologize. But, somehow I don't think I am.
>

Well, you ARE wrong, at least for the most part. You seem hung up on
all this Nocode generated (within ham radio at least) junk of "better
than thou" nonsense. It simply doesn't apply, neither within ham radio
nor when facing the terrorist threats. Common sense knows no politics.
And by way of showing that, I refer you to a news interview I just now
saw on Fox News Network, where former Senator George Mitchell of Maine,
(Democrat, by the way), was asked if we face further threats from the
terrorists. He said that the Sept 11 activity was not new at all, just
on an unprecedented scale, it has been going on for years (of course we
knew that didn't we?) He further said that a reading of the terrorists
writings clearly shows that they are NOT finished by any means, whatever
we do or do not do about Sept 11.
I think that should help clear up questions on that subject, and also
forclose speculation on what they would or would not do if we do or
don't do whatever (Whew!)

Watch multiple news outlets if you want to find out what is really
happening, and you need to watch a lot of it. ABC is much too one
dimensional as NBC is.
At least Fox News covers it all, and they interview as many or more
liberals as conservatives. For some reason the liberals are eager to go
on Fox to air their viewpoint, and they are allowed to do so. Of course
the alternate views are presented, too, and audience then decides for
themselves.
It's something radically new and refreshingly different in TV news.

5411. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 18:40:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006144059.13346.00000723@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21655

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 10/6/2001 6:31 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BBEEB86.D610AB72@sccoast.net>

>As far as I know, his employer has nothing
>to do with this newsgroup and would therefore not likely appreciate
>being dragged into this newsgroup.

Lenie's great for that, Dwight. When I was in Atlanta he made a big deal
of where I was working and for whom.

Unable to defend his "position", Lennie finds other avenues to explore
that have nothing to do with "issues", yet he LOVES to accuse others of not
being able to debate those "issues"...even dead ones.

I still bet his wife sleeps with a dab of "Ben-Gay" under her nose to help
alleviate the stench at night.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5412. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 19:05:00 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbf5c9c_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21658

If your post wasn't so accusatory, Dick, I would give it half a thought. But, you're so full of yourself being a better citizen than those who may think a little differently than you, that I'll just leave you to your superiorism.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9pnco6$g32$0@208.207.71.162...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > You seem to have completely missed that my only question to your comments
> > was your apparent belief that we should use a broad paintbrush in
> > determining who "we" should be suspicious of. You seem to believe it is
> > every human being who is a card-carrying visitor to this nation and even
> > those who have immigrated legally and become US Citizens.
>
>
> A "Did you know" comes to mind- Did you know that of the 58 countries
> in the world which are Islamic, only *one* (Turkey) has even a partial
> Democracy as a government principal? The rest are dictated by various
> thugs and pseudo-thugs masquerading as Islamic Clergy. If that doesn't
> tell you anything, well, I guess I wouldn't be too surprised.
>
>
> I happen to
> > believe everyone, no matter what race or nationality or whether they are US
> > Citizens, is due an objective nod until they happen to prove otherwise.
>
>
> And how would they do that? A car bomb, perhaps? No one even remotely
> suggested that all Arabic people are radical terrorists. I hope,
> however, that you can see that their heritage certainly leans in the
> direction of totalitarianism in religious beliefs, and fealty toward the
> mandates of their clergy, whoever they are and whatever they say. If
> some Mullah in the middle east (or in New York for that matter, in the
> case of the first WTC bombing in '93) says do it, a certain percentage
> of them will be moved to do so. Yes, that includes those living here in
> relative peace. If you can't grasp that, well, you can't
>
>

>
> > Once again, even our Government and Military have publicly admonished
this
> > kind of reaction to others.
>
>
> Read it again, please. What they said is what I said. Draw no
> unwarranted conclusions as to any individual. BUT- keep your eyes open.
> And report unusual or suspicious occurances. Especially those involving
> persons who might be Islamic fundamentalist terrorists. That means
> individuals of Arabic extraction. Is that Profiling? what else? You
> didn't see WASP's involved in the proceedings on Sept 11, did you?>
>
>
>
> So, what exactly is your problem with--and
> > please remember this important small detail--*MY* choice of how I react
to
> > this tragedy?
>
>
> The simple fact that you can't see this "tragedy" for what it is- the
> opening salvo of a war on *all of us* by Islamic fundamentalist
> terrorists who wish us ALL dead, and many of whom are willing to forfeit
> themselves to that end.
>
>
>
> >
> > I am not a member of the US Military so don't find it compelling to feel
as
> > prepared as you think you are to "go into battle."
> > Nor do I wish to go into
> > battle with anyone. I leave those decisions to our military leaders and
> > personnel. Once again, I ask what kind of problem you have with that.
>
>
> To paraphrase what I have said so often in the Mode Wars, "You don't
> always get to choose the time, circumstances, nor mode" You don't choose
> what your exposure to the terrorists is, either, unless you stay home
> with doors locked and windows shuttered.....So do your peacenik thing,
> and hope for the best. I would hazard the guess that there are
> terrorists well within your own region who have plans for some facility
> nearby.That isn't just a wild guess, either, rather the distillation of
> lots of official prognostications ingested by me since Sept 11. If you
> missed it, one plane with several of the terrorists was grounded in
> StLouis just after the WTC incidents on Sept 11. They had boxcutters and
> knives, and a destination of Dallas-FT Worth International. The US Govt
> beleives they had a target in that area fixed firmly in mind, but the
> quick US shutdown of air traffic headed it off...for now.

> Officials these days say that the likelihood of further terrorist
> activity within the US in the near term is 100%.
> Rest well, Kim.
>
>
> Oh-If you depend on ABC for all your news, no wonder you'r so porrly
> informed. You gotta do better than that.

5413. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 19:18:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006151845.08573.00000413@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 21656

In article <20011005191802.19730.00002214@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>>given in the amateur radio license database.
>
> From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:

<snip>

Incorrect quote. The FCC database information does not contain any data fields relating to age or birthdate of the licensee. That may be verified by viewing the license database information organization files available on the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's pages.

The current amateur radio license database is 55.4 MB in size, hardly something downloadable to a "Commodore 64" as stated in another post.

"QRZ" is a commercial entity in the business of providing a database in "callbook" format with additional information not found in the FCC database records. Their database is not obtained solely from the FCC.

5414. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 19:18:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006151846.08573.00000414@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 21657

In article <3BBEEB86.D610AB72@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:

> There is a huge difference between the words written by individuals
>in this newsgroup and the literary works of outside "experts" cited as
>proof of a claim. Words written by individuals in this newsgroup can
>be believed or ignored, based solely on the argument presented here.
>But it is not at all unreasonable to question the credentials of an
>outside "expert."

Good points, Dwight.

What causes a problem to many is the attachment of
"truth" to a general statement...as in everything one person
says is "true" when it is really only an opinion of theirs.

As an example, let's suppose someone brings up a subject
of quartz crystal oscillators and mentions some trouble with
a specific type of oscillator. A second person enters in
with some discussion about that type which includes some
more detail. A third person enters in and challenges the
second as to their expertise but does not express anything
in regards to the first person's problems. The second one
replies with a challenge to the third on their expertise. The
third one says "I've built lots of them" and offers nothing
more technical (except perhaps the ever-popular reference
to the ARRL Handbook).

Now it may be that the third person has indeed built "lots"
of them (which would be true in that case) but it is more
likely that the third person is playing a kind of message
poker and bluffing...they've probably only read of oscillators
or built one as part of a kit (and it probably worked fine).
The third person may insist and insist that what they say
is "true" but that "truth" is not apparent to any who has
had experience in building-testing-applying crystal
oscillators. It rings hollow as "truth" no matter how often
it is claimed, ever more so when there is no further
mention of any technical details of the third person's
experience.

Of course, the segue is now to all kinds of irrelevancies
that depart from the main subject. That is misdirection
and a part of the message poker being played out so

that the third person can assume the (rather false) label
of an "expert" or "leader" in the subject. Meanwhile,
oscillators don't know about "expertise" or of their
having to work as stated in some amateur publication.
They remain bound by the physics of electronic circuits
and will only work under those rules.

An amateur radio publication may indeed cover all the
technical necessities required to make an oscillator
design work correctly. That is good. However, many
other texts, papers, application notes have been done
for non-amateur applications of crystal oscillators.

The above is just an example. I could go into Smith
Chart use...and have mentioned some ways it may be
used to another regular. A reply is "we know [the
subject]" as if this is intrinsic knowledge of all radio
amateurs. It isn't and that sort of reply is just part of
the message poker game of bluff being tossed about.

> As for N2EY's employer, I don't blame him for not giving more
>details in this newsgroup. As far as I know, his employer has nothing
>to do with this newsgroup and would therefore not likely appreciate
>being dragged into this newsgroup. Or, perhaps like those I've worked
>for in the past, his employer who would rather maintain a relatively
>low profile. Whatever the case, information about his employer is not
>required - he is here himself to argue in defense of any claims he has made.

Not a direct problem to me. If he doesn't want his employer
mentioned, for whatever reason, that's okay. But, as I and
others have mentioned in the past, it is rare for an employee
to not exhibit some pride in what they do or their employer's
organization if the work includes electronics and/or radio.

On the other hand, no mention or just a generalized mention
of work experience is not any automatic guarantee of being
somehow superior in a technical subject. Long-time
association in an activity is not a guarantor of expertise in
all or even part of the scope of activities...or even partial
knowledge. That would be shown by what they say relative
to the subject...and what they say about a communicator's
person. :-)

>> The US Army does not "stand watches." I
>> never saw a watch stand in the US Army or
>> the USAF between 1952 and 1989. Soldiers
>> wore watches on their wrists or looked
>> at wall clocks in buildings if they were
>> available.
>
> You're just playing with words there, Len.

You caught on! :-)

> Soldiers assigned to
>fixed radio facilities, or mechanized radio facilities, do indeed work
>at their jobs in shifts (commonly called "standing watch"). While that
>phrase ("standing watch") is not commonplace in the Army, it is used
>occasionally and most are well aware of it's meaning.

Yes, Dwight, to "reply" as another might do, "we know that."
:-)

At the mall yesterday I did indeed see some watch stands.
Quite a few in one store featuring wrist watches. Nice
display. :-)

I've mentioned US military radio of a half century ago that did
not use OOK CW. Some insist I am doing so to present my
curriculum vitae. It is not so presented but rather to illustrate
that long-distance HF 24/7 communications by the military
had abandoned morse code modes for that purpose a long
time ago. I was a part of that along with thousands of others,
did not implement it, just reported it.

The pride of some radio amateurs, principally the PCTAs,
makes them blind to such facts. They will argue endlessly
that their expertise on one part of amateur communications
is a vital skill needed to be preserved...even when other
radio services never considered it or have given up on it.
Their "facts" are not truths at all, just projections of their
own desires and opinions.

5415. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 19:19:28 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbf5ca3_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21659

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9pngg2$1cj$0@208.207.71.162...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > You (and many, many others here and in every town across America) seem
to be
> > off on some tangent about who is more patriotic, who is more willing to
make
> > sacrifices, who is..., who is..., who is... If I am wrong, all you have
to
> > do is say so. But, if my understanding is correct, all I was doing was
> > offering up an opposition to that way of thinking. I don't think I ever
> > called into question anything about your politics, your rights, your
> > dedication, your patriotism. Yet, you seem to have done all that with
me.
> > And, not just with me but with others who don't think just like you.
Again,
> > if I am wrong, I apologize. But, somehow I don't think I am.
> >
>
> Well, you ARE wrong, at least for the most part. You seem hung up on
> all this Nocode generated (within ham radio at least) junk of "better
> than thou" nonsense.
>

Put the logic where your mouth is Dick. Find me one damned post where I
have ever been involved in the debate you speak of above. The *only* time I
have ever gotten involved in the debate with CW is whether it should be used
for testing for licesning or not. Put up or shut up.

>
> It simply doesn't apply, neither within ham radio
> nor when facing the terrorist threats. Common sense knows no politics.
>

You're right. It's just that you think your common sense is better than
someone else's. My only "argument" (and I wouldn't even call it that
literally) is a disagreement with you about generalizations when it comes to
a populace of people living in this country.

>
> And by way of showing that, I refer you to a news interview I just now

> saw on Fox News Network, where former Senator George Mitchell of Maine,
> (Democrat, by the way), was asked if we face further threats from the
> terrorists. He said that the Sept 11 activity was not new at all, just
> on an unprecedented scale, it has been going on for years (of course we
> knew that didn't we?) He further said that a reading of the terrorists
> writings clearly shows that they are NOT finished by any means, whatever
> we do or do not do about Sept 11.
>

And, so where is the news in that?! For cryin' out loud, what happened in
NYC was inevitable; and it is inevitable that it will happen again.

>
> I think that should help clear up questions on that subject, and also
> forclose speculation on what they would or would not do if we do or
> don't do whatever (Whew!)
>

Where do you get that I have any question that it will happen again? It's
no surprise when it has happened before, not just in this country but all
over the world.

>
> Watch multiple news outlets if you want to find out what is really
> happening, and you need to watch a lot of it. ABC is much too one
> dimensional as NBC is.
>

And, there you go again defining where I must get my information from. I
will get my information from anywhere I damned please. And, if I choose,
I'll get NO information. That is my damned right, Dick, and it's anyone
else's right. You seem to blatantly ignore that.

>
> At least Fox News covers it all, and they interview as many or more
> liberals as conservatives.
>

As I said before, I couldn't care less about who interviews who in terms of
the politics of it all. That seems to be your personal war, not mine.

>
> For some reason the liberals are eager to go
> on Fox to air their viewpoint, and they are allowed to do so.
>

See above. The "liberal vs. conservative" war is yours, not mine.

>
> Of course
> the alternate views are presented, too, and audience then decides for
> themselves.
> It's something radically new and refreshingly different in TV news.

>

And, I'll bet anyone in that "audience who then decides for themselves" that happens to decide differently than yourself is summarily dismissed by you as some kind of moron.

Kim W5TIT

5416. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 19:55:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BBF619A.2060908@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21660

Dick Carroll wrote:

> A "Did you know" comes to mind- Did you know that of the 58 countries
> in the world which are Islamic, only *one* (Turkey) has even a partial
> Democracy as a government principal?

Thank goodness the US is not a democracy, Dick. Ask Socrates how
democracies work.

> The rest are dictated by various
> thugs and pseudo-thugs masquerading as Islamic Clergy. If that doesn't
> tell you anything, well, I guess I wouldn't be too surprised.
Well, for eight years, the US was run by thugs posing as politicians.

They violated the laws, willy nilly, and nothing much happened.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5417. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 20:16:05 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110062014210.1187-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21661

On 6 Oct 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
>In article <20011005191802.19730.00002214@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>>>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>>>given in the amateur radio license database.
>>
>> From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:
>
> <snip>
>
>Incorrect quote. The FCC database information does not
>contain any data fields relating to age or birthdate of the
>licensee. That may be verified by viewing the license
>database information organization files available on the
>FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's pages.

Wrong. The FCC database DID contain birthdate information until 1997. That's
when they stopped collecting it and removed the data from their on-line
database. The FCC has announced plans to start collecting birthdates again.

>The current amateur radio license database is 55.4 MB
>in size, hardly something downloadable to a "Commodore
>64" as stated in another post.
>
>"QRZ" is a commercial entity in the business of providing
>a database in "callbook" format with additional information
>not found in the FCC database records. Their database is
>not obtained solely from the FCC.

5418. Has any group bucked the trend of declining hamfest attendance?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-06 21:13:54 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0110061313.35624251@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21662

I live in northern Virginia, and I keep hearing from people that hamfest attendance has been on the decline for the last few years.

Does anyone know of a hamfest other than Dayton that has done exceptionally well in recent years? Why did the Dayton Hamvention become so wildly successful?

Why has this been the case DESPITE the increased numbers of licensees? Has ANY hamfest out there bucked the trend and managed to show increased attendance? I know that as an individual, I have bucked the trend. Of course, I'm not one of the hardcore hamfest attendees. I wasn't licensed until February 1997, I didn't start attending hamfests until last year, I don't collect boatanchors, and I'm not a big homebrewer.

My theories:
1. It seems to me that traditional hamfest attendee is a boatanchor collector or a homebrewer looking for parts. Much of the merchandise for sale includes boatanchor equipment (vaccuum tubes, radios, etc.) and electronic parts. The newer, less experienced hams (like myself) are less likely to fit these categories. Most boatanchor equipment was made years before I was born. Before I was a ham, I never knew that so many people still use boatanchor equipment today. I (and probably most other new hams) have no interest in boatanchor equipment because it is bulky and has fewer features than solid state equipment. Many boatanchor parts (like certain vaccuum tubes) are hard to obtain, and someone inexperienced like myself is even less well-positioned to find these items. If I want used ham radio equipment, I would rather buy solid state equipment from someone I know (through my club or a local net) than from a stranger at a hamfest, as I am uninformed and don't know what to look out for. I'm not a homebrewer, and even if I were, I would prefer the convenience of a Radio Shack.
2. I have heard a few people suggest that many amateur radio operators have been retiring in recent years and that most of them may have finished cleaning out their basements and thus have no desire to acquire more equipment and no items left to sell.
3. As a group, the amateur radio population has aged to the point that there have been large numbers of silent keys. The older hams more likely to become silent keys are also more likely to be hardcore hamfest attendees.

You will be pleased to know that I do attend a few hamfests a year despite the fact that I am NOT a boatanchor collector and NOT a hardcore homebrewer. However, I wouldn't drive more than about an

hour to attend one. (I attended the Manasses hamfest, Berryville hamfest, and FARfest, but I wouldn't go to Frostfest in Richmond or the Virginia Beach hamfest.) Why do I bother to attend?
1. A hamfest is a great place to socialize. I often meet other SKYWARN spotters, other club members, people I meet at the Northern Virginia QRP lunches, and people who frequent the same net I do. I consider amateur radio to be a VERY social hobby. (What good would amateur radio be without other people to talk to?) In fact, most of my social life arises directly or indirectly as a result of amateur radio.
2. Occasionally, I buy equipment and supplies. The advantage of a hamfest over mail-order or the Internet is that I can see it up close, and I'm not limited to the HRO selection. (I suspect hamfests have more appeal for those who don't live near a major dealer like HRO or AES.) I bought an MFJ-945E tuner at FARfest 2000. I bought coax with an SMA connector at my club's hamfest (Winterfest 2001) so I could connect my Yaesu FT-50R to a better antenna instead of being limited to traditional small HT antennas. I bought RF chokes at this year's Manasses hamfest.

Any ideas on how to increase hamfest attendance?
1. Programs: My club, the Vienna Wireless Society, invites speakers to our annual Winterfest. (The next one is Sunday 3-3-2002 at the Northern Virginia Community College at Annandale.) This year, Riley Hollingsworth was among the speakers.
2. Computers: A few people who came to Winterfest thought we were a computer show, and a few of my fellow club members suggest promoting Winterfest as partly a computer show. The more I think about this, the more apparent it becomes that amateur radio needs to market to computer hobbyists. Think of the similarities: Both like technology, and a significant percentage of both groups are homebrewers. And both hobbies now intersect through the increasingly popular digital modes of amateur radio.
3. Appealing to nonhams: This one is my idea. To promote this year's Winterfest, I posted flyers on bulletin boards at the community college where we held the event. I made sure to emphasize the physics and engineering buildings. A few students did show up, but we didn't track this. (Does anyone have any idea on how to do this? Would asking attendees how they found out about Winterfest on the tickets they submit for prize drawings work, or would people be too hurried to answer?)

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu_802701@@@@@@@@@@@@@@yahoo.com
http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html

5419. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 01:32:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011006213256.10276.00001925@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21663

In article <hlisrt47otcsja4j0kk4cn61jcsamq1ub2@4ax.com>, Nonya Bidniz
<ham@station.com> writes:

>>10. K4YZ doesn't grasp humor. Never has, never will.
>>
>
>That's called ocular reticulitis. It's a serious disease that comes
>from having one's head up one's butt. Causes you to have a crappy
>outlook on life.
>

Axeually, I believe you're the one who needs the Rectal Crainiotomy.

73 de Larry, K3LT

5420. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 01:32:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011006213257.10276.00001926@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21664

In article <ovisrtcnl3bot4h8tqb8vqe5085jropig0@4ax.com>, Nonya Bidniz
<ham@station.com> writes:

>
>> If the bus encounters
>>any kind of emergency situation on the road, all radio communications
>>will be strictly in CW.
>
>Have fun spending all of Dayton weekend on the side of the road with a
>flat tire while you beep away in a vain attempt to get help using a
>method of communications that's older than the crust on your underwear
>and that even fewer people give a hoot about anymore.

Oh, I forgot to mention...the bus will be equipped with a set of six
new Michelin tires, not Firestones!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5421. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 01:45:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9poc43jqr0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21665

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> If your post wasn't so accusatory, Dick, I would give it half a thought.
> But, you're so full of yourself being a better citizen than those who may
> think a little differently than you, that I'll just leave you to your
> superiorism.
>

Actally Kim, I have a problem with anyone who pretends to be halfway
informed being as truly clueless as you.

5422. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 01:48:11 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bbfb5e1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21667

I thought so, and you've just confirmed what I've been talking about. It was useless to even dialogue with you. My bad.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9pocahjqr1@208.206.142.72...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > And, I'll bet anyone in that "audience who then decides for themselves" that
> > happens to decide differently than yourself is summarily dismissed by you as
> > some kind of moron.
>
>
> Only if they clearly are.

5423. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 01:49:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pocahjqr1@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21666

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> And, I'll bet anyone in that "audience who then decides for themselves" that
> happens to decide differently than yourself is summarily dismissed by you as
> some kind of moron.

Only if they clearly are.

5424. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:22:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006222231.15542.00002703@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21668

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/6/2001 2:18 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011006151845.08573.00000413@nso-bh.aol.com>

>
>In article <20011005191802.19730.00002214@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>>>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>>>given in the amateur radio license database.
>>
>> From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:
>
> <snip>
>
>Incorrect quote. The FCC database information does not
>contain any data fields relating to age or birthdate of the
>licensee. That may be verified by viewing the license
>database information organization files available on the
>FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's pages.

Wrong again, Your Putziness...The FCC ahs once again resumed acquiring
age/DOB information.

AND....The DOB information on QRZ came from the FCC.

Once again, you are FACTLESS.

>The current amateur radio license database is 55.4 MB
>in size, hardly something downloadable to a "Commodore
>64" as stated in another post.

If you want to download the ENTIRE db, you'd be correct.

>Their database is
>not obtained solely from the FCC.

The information that you allude to is, Your Stupidness.

Lennie...quit...quit now...you are more idiotic by the minute.

5425. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:23:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <v0Pv7.38618$3d2.2229436@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21669

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20011006213257.10276.00001926@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <ovisrtcnl3bot4h8tqb8vqe5085jropig0@4ax.com>, Nonya Bidniz
> <ham@station.com> writes:
>
> >
> >> If the bus encounters
> >>any kind of emergency situation on the road, all radio communications
> >>will be strictly in CW.
> >
> >Have fun spending all of Dayton weekend on the side of the road with a
> >flat tire while you beep away in a vain attempt to get help using a
> >method of communications that's older than the crust on your underwear
> >and that even fewer people give a hoot about anymore.
>
> Oh, I forgot to mention...the bus will be equipped with a set of six
> new Michelin tires, not Firestones!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Only six? You don't have a tag axle to soften the beating to the old
rhoids? Send them to Omaha and I will give you the tour in a 1979 Chance
minibus with only six tires. How about a rusty school bus for drunk runs?
They only have six tires.

Cheapskate!

Dick BK

5426. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:23:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006222348.15542.00002704@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21670

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 10/6/2001 3:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110062014210.1187-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

>Wrong.

Not wrong. The information is still there.

>The FCC has announced plans to start collecting birthdates again.

They are already doing it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5427. The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:28:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9poek9$s40$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21671

Killing Osama bin Laden will only create a martyr.

Holding him prisoner will only inspire his comrades to take hostages
to
demand his release.

Therefore, we should do neither.

Let the Special Forces, Seals, Green Berets, etc., covertly capture
him, fly
him to an undisclosed hospital and have surgeons quickly perform a
complete
sex change operation.

Then return "her" to Afghanistan to live as a woman under the
Taliban.

5428. Re: The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:31:09 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <N7Pv7.38624$3d2.2230820@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21672

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9poek9$s40$0@208.206.142.72...
> Killing Osama bin Laden will only create a martyr.
>
> Holding him prisoner will only inspire his comrades to take hostages
> to
> demand his release.
>
> Therefore, we should do neither.
>
> Let the Special Forces, Seals, Green Berets, etc., covertly capture
> him, fly
> him to an undisclosed hospital and have surgeons quickly perform a
> complete
> sex change operation.
>
> Then return "her" to Afghanistan to live as a woman under the
> Taliban.

I like it! Beats hell out of the Gestapo technique. Drop his carcass in
the Marianas Trench and deny he ever existed.

5429. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:31:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006223119.15542.00002707@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 21673

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/6/2001 2:18 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011006151846.08573.00000414@nso-bh.aol.com>

>That is misdirection
>and a part of the message poker being played out so
>that the third person can assume the (rather false) label
>of an "expert" or "leader" in the subject.

A game that YOU play so well.

> However, many
>other texts, papers, application notes have been done
>for non-amateur applications of crystal oscillators.

Here we go...Another obfuscation that will, ultimately, lead to another "why I shouldn't have to take a license exam". Since oscillators are addressed in "non" Amateur texts, Lennie thinks he's exempt.

>But, as I and
>others have mentioned in the past, it is rare for an employee
>to not exhibit some pride in what they do or their employer's
>organization if the work includes electronics and/or radio.

And you, Lenie, had many many employers....no doubt about as long as they could stand your arrogant mouth, I have no doubt.

> Some insist I am doing so to present my
>curriculum vitae. It is not so presented but rather to illustrate
>that long-distance HF 24/7 communications by the military
>had abandoned morse code modes for that purpose a long
>time ago.

It's ALL you discuss, because it was your last practical experience with HF radio.

>Their "facts" are not truths at all, just projections of their
>own desires and opinions.

Sounds like you are patting yourself on the back again for a job well done, Lennie. Because "it's you" all over.

5430. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 02:42:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006224255.12297.00000247@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 21674

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110062014210.1187-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>>>>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>>>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>>>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>>>>given in the amateur radio license database.
>>>
>>> From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>Incorrect quote. The FCC database information does not
>>contain any data fields relating to age or birthdate of the
>>licensee. That may be verified by viewing the license
>>database information organization files available on the
>>FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's pages.
>
>Wrong. The FCC database DID contain birthdate information until 1997.
>That's when they stopped collecting it and removed the data from their on-line
>database. The FCC has announced plans to start collecting birthdates again.

:-) Not quite that good a correction. :-)

The FCC license database does NOT NOW contain any
birthdate or age information. Not only that, but the new
database format was changed since 1997. That is verifiable
to anyone who kept the old data field format information.
Few have so another pointless argument can be generated. :-)

>>The current amateur radio license database is 55.4 MB
>>in size, hardly something downloadable to a "Commodore
>>64" as stated in another post.

That is still true although the Applications database file is
only 27.6 MB in size. As far as I know/remember/recollect,
even a rare Commodore 128 couldn't handle that small a
size in downloads. :-)

Yes, I grant you that the old FCC amateur radio license
databases DID contain age-related information. They were
also smaller in size, grouped by ZIP codes, and downloads
did not take forever with an average transfer rate of 45 KBPS.

Yes, I know of an announcement that the FCC will once
again start collecting age-related information...but whether

or not it is included in a future database is uncertain. Only a few gifted souls can foretell the future. I can't. :-)

>>"QRZ" is a commercial entity in the business of providing
>>a database in "callbook" format with additional information
>>not found in the FCC database records. Their database is
>>not obtained solely from the FCC.

That is still true. They have been carrying personal entries and graphics from listed individuals PLUS corrections given by individuals. One of those corrections possible was to remove the old birthdate information from the QRZ database. K0HB and W0EX have both removed theirs, among others. :-)

I have to comment that Hans Brakob's QSL is quite attractive. Esthetically pleasing, it shows a love of nature and his home region.

QRZ seems to be a growing rival for the ARRL website insofar as news and topics.

5431. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 03:15:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pohc4$7is$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21675

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I thought so, and you've just confirmed what I've been talking about. It
> was useless to even dialogue with you. My bad.

You might have been open to learning something, but you were not.

5432. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 03:15:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006231541.20032.00002588@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21676

Subj: Fwd: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
Date: 10/6/2001 9:42:37 PM Central Daylight Time
From: Len Over 21
To: K4YZ

Forwarded Message:
Subj: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
Date: 10/6/2001 9:23:03 PM Central Daylight Time
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
To: lenover21@aol.com

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/6/2001 2:18 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011006151845.08573.00000413@nso-bh.aol.com>

>
>In article <20011005191802.19730.00002214@mb-mj.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
>>>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>>>given in the amateur radio license database.
>>
>> From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:
>
> <snip>
>
>Incorrect quote. The FCC database information does not
>contain any data fields relating to age or birthdate of the
>licensee. That may be verified by viewing the license
>database information organization files available on the
>FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's pages.

Wrong again, Your Putziness...The FCC ahs once again resumed acquiring
age/DOB information.

AND....The DOB information on QRZ came from the FCC.

Once again, you are FACTLESS.

>The current amateur radio license database is 55.4 MB
>in size, hardly something downloadable to a "Commodore
>64" as stated in another post.

If you want to download the ENTIRE db, you'd be correct.

>Their database is
>not obtained solely from the FCC.

The information that you allude to is, Your Stupidness.

Lennie...quit...quit now...you are more idiotic by the minute.

---------- Headers ----------
Return-Path: <k4yz@aol.com>
Received: from rly-xc04.mx.aol.com (rly-xc04.mail.aol.com [172.20.105.137]) by
air-xc04.mail.aol.com (v80.17) with ESMTP id MAILINXC42-1006222303; Sat, 06 Oct
2001 22:23:03 -0400
Received: from imo-r07.mx.aol.com (imo-r07.mx.aol.com [152.163.225.103]) by
rly-xc04.mx.aol.com (v80.21) with ESMTP id MAILRELAYINXC45-1006222246; Sat, 06
Oct 2001 22:22:46 -0400
Received: from ladder07.news.aol.com (ladder07.news.aol.com [172.31.45.165])
by imo-r07.mx.aol.com (8.8.8/8.8.8/AOL-5.0.0)
with ESMTP id WAA13617 for <lenover21@aol.com>;
Sat, 6 Oct 2001 22:22:44 -0400 (EDT)
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
To: lenover21@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Oct 2001 02:22:31 GMT
References: <20011006151845.08573.00000413@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
Message-ID: <20011006222231.15542.00002703@mb-fl.aol.com>

5433. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 03:19:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011006231912.20032.00002589@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21677

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/6/2001 9:42 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011006224255.12297.00000247@nso-fr.aol.com>

>Only
>a few gifted souls can foretell the future. I can't.

Since when?

>QRZ seems to be a growing rival for the ARRL website
>insofar as news and topics.

Hardly "rivals"... Neither is vieing for the "business" of the other since
each represents different objectives.

And while you are at it, dont forget "AC6V.com", "eHam.net" and "QSL.net"

5434. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 04:03:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007000300.27462.00000495@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21678

In article <20011005172240.28579.00000189@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>In LHA's reply comments to the FCC, he recommended that there be a MINIMUM
>>>>AGE LIMIT for ALL classes of amateur radio license.
>>>
>>>Still do.
>>
>>Ageism.
>
>Then "ageism" is behind all the other laws and regulations that
>forbid certain activities by those under a stated age...such as
>driving a vehicle, drinking, being able to vote to name three
>examples.

True in some cases, but not in all cases.

In the case of driving a vehicle, studies have shown that the teenage drivers have more accidents than older drivers. And the younger the teenage driver, the greater the probability of accident. Part of this is due to inexperience behind the wheel but a large part is not. All of this is reflected in auto insurance rates.

In the case of drinking alcohol, research has shown that the harmful effects of ethanol are greater in young people, who are still growing.

Voting age used to be 21 but is now 18.
>
But NONE of this has anything to do with the question of an age limit for a US ham license.

>>> Most young teenagers don't develop the responsibility
>>>necessary to operate unattended...in my opinion.
>>
>>It's an opinion based on prejudice, bigotry and bias. In my opinion, ;-)
>>
>>>Sub-teens even less so.
>>
>>It's an opinion based on prejudice, bigotry and bias. In my opinion, ;-)
>
>In that case the entire adult world (with the sole exception of
>US amateur radio) has a group opinion based on prejudice,
>bigotry, and bias.

Nope. The entire adult world does not think that the FCC should enact an age limit for a license.

>
>>Name some amateur radio problems caused by licensed hams under the
>>age of 14.
>>C'mon, if they are so irresponsible, there should be plenty of problems and
>>enforcement actions to report.
>
>Responsibility or lack of responsibility due to immaturity have
>been in humanity's laws for centuries.

So? That's not an answer to the question.

To my knowledge there has NEVER been an age limit for any US amateur radio license - either by the FCC or its predecessors. If the licensing of young people is a problem, there should be examples of age-related problems in the ARS. Yet LHA cannot produce even ONE example of a problem caused by the licensing of a person under the age of his proposed limit.
>
>In some regulations of the federal government of the US there is
>no requirement to show ages for certain permits and licenses.

But not the amateur radio service. Never has been. FCC obviously does not think there is a problem, and never has.
>
>N2EY is being idiotic on the assumption that very young
>licensees (as young as four years old) are responsible and
>can therefore be granted a federal license.

You can call me names but you cannot produce even ONE example of a problem caused by the licensing of a person below your proposed cutoff that is age-related.
>
>>Why are you so stuck in the past? The code test issue is a dead duck, as I
>>have said many times.
>
>Test element 1 passing is still a regulation requirement for a
>US amateur radio license grant of extra or general class.

Only because S25.5 still requires it. FCC has made that clear.
>
>S25.5 is still the same in the ITU-R Recommendations.
>
And will remain so until it is changed. So what? Nothing can be done about it until 2003 anyway. Does any reasonable person think that S25.5 will survive if/when challenged at a WRC?

>The FCC International Bureau's Informal Working Group 6
>has NOT yet adopted any draft on Item 1.7 (which includes
>ITU-R S25.5). IWG-6 keeps tabling that item.

Then argue with THEM.
>
>The code test issue is NOT a "dead duck" except to those

>who have long since passed such a test. They have no
>worry about ever having to pass such a test again.

The term "dead duck" simply means that the test is as minimal as possible, and that there is practically no chance that it will be increased. It also means there's not much that can be done about it before 2003.

>They
>also like to reply to code test issue remarks with the
>immature attitude of "I've got mine, nyah, nyah, nyah."
>
Not me. I can't control what others do.

>>>Let's hear it for the responsible 4-year-olds who can legally
>>>operate a transmitter!
>>
>>Do you KNOW the 4 year olds in question?
>
>Does N2EY?

You cannot answer a question with another question. Your own words, LHA.

It is clear that you don't know anyhting about the hams inquestion except that they are four years old.

I don't know them either, so I don't assume anything about them.
>
>The FCC amateur radio regulations do not make special
>dispensation for alleged child-geniuses.

The FCC has NEVER had an age limit for an amateur license, either. Even in the days when it was easy to unintentionally transmit out of band, there was no age requirement.

>While the FCC
>regulations stress responsibility, there are rather explicit
>examples (expecially in rec.radio.amateur.misc) of legal,
>licensed radio amateurs who are quite immature,
>irresponsible, and cannot play well with others both on-
>the-air and off.
>
Are any of them under 14 years of age? If not, there's no reason for an age limit.

>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>given in the amateur radio license database.

Not true.

Surf over to

http://www.qrz.com

and check out some callsigns, then click on "detailed information". Many, but not all, licensees birthdates are in the database.

I looked at the ARRL website article on enforcement letters for September 22, 2001. There were nine alleged on-air violators. Of the nine, six had their birthdates in the qrz.com database. Interestingly enough, the oldest alleged violator whose birthdate was in the database was born in 1918 and the youngest was born in 1951.

LHA has not produced a SINGLE example of a problem caused by the licensing of hams under the age of 14, yet he proposes such an age limit and calls those who oppose it "idiotic".
>
>>And there is a whole decade of development between 4 and your proposed age
>>limit of 14.
>
>Irrelevant.

Why is that irrelevant? Is there no difference between a 4 year old and a 14 year old?

>What is at question is the responsibility of
>children in operating transmitters that can reach across
>the entire world, transmitters that may be the cause of
>interference to public safety services.

Agreed. So where are the problems caused by licensed hams under the age of 14?
>
>While all the long-timers are reciting their coming-of-age
>in radio as teeners and spreading the myths of glory-
>through-saving-mankind (etc.), they seem quite silent on
>their own teen behavior.

Some of us don't like to brag.

> Were they all perfect in responsibility?

On the air, yes I was.

>Of course they were according to all the claims!

Do you have any evidence to the contrary?
>
>>>Let's hear it for the VEs who passed 4-year-olds' written test elements!
>>
>>Are you saying they broke the rules? That's serious stuff. Where is your
>>evidence? Are YOU a VE?
>
>Do I have some charges that would stand up in a court of law?
>
>No. I've seen the story in the ARRL letter along with a cute
>picture of two four-year-olds along with one of their examiners.

Then what's the problem?
>

>I have a long experience in observing children, their behavior,
>their ability to be responsible.

Yeah, me too. How much of that experience involves licensed radio amateurs
under the age of 14?

I would not be surprised if my experience in that area far exceeds yours.

>.plus input from teachers along
>with advice from my wife (who has two Masters degrees from
>the University of Illinois, one in Education, and has been a
>high school history teacher plus teaching kindergarten and
>pre-schoolers).

And how many licensed radio amateurs under the age of 14 does she know? Did she
comment to the FCC?
>
>I've been long exposed to parents whose children are supposed
>geniuses, can never do anything wrong, are always responsible
>even when they've been caught red-handed in some act of
>irresponsibility.

Are any of the children licensed radio amateurs under the age of 14?
>
>Let's just say that I have a lot of skepticsm on the complete
>honesty of the Volunteer Examiners who passed those two
>children.

The FCC doesn't. They issued the licenses.

And your proposed limit isn't 4 years old, or 6 years old, or even 8, 10, or
12. It's 14 years old for ANY US amateur radio license. Yet you produce NO
EVIDENCE that licnesing such young people has caused ANY problems.
>
>>> 4-year-olds can read with full comprehension of the the text material!
>>
>>Full comprehension is not required to pass the tests.
>
>Approximately 70 percent passing is required for passing
>the US amateur radio examination written test elements.

So? The written tests are multiple choice. All a prospective ham has to do to
pass them is pick the right answers. No requirement of comprehension is
included.
>
>Is N2EY saying that four-year-olds all have such precocious
>genius-style reading ability?

Some obviously do.

>Is N2EY saying that all VEs are honest and true, never make
>a mistake, are never influenced by any parent of an examinee?

I'm saying that you are questioning their honesty without ANY evidence to the contrary.

>>>The FCC will determine what is meaningful for
>>>their regulatory task...but the FCC does listen to its
>>>citizens...ALL of them.
>>
>>Good thing they didn't listen to you.
>
>N2EY does not know this.

The term "listen to" in this context means to act upon a suggestion. The FCC did not act on your age limit idea. That's a good thing.
>
>Up to the January 15, 1999, NPRM Comment cut-off date,
>nearly 2000 documents had been received on 98-143.
>All who responded on or before the cut-off date were listed
>in an appendix to Report and Order 99-412.

The cutoff date for comments was December 15, 1999. You missed that date. The cutoff date for reply comments was January 15, 2000. You barely made that date.

Reply comments are supposed to ONLY be replies/rebuttals to other comments. Most of your reply comments were just that - replies and rebuttal to other comments. But some of it, including the age-limit idea, were clearly comments and not reply comments.
>
>Whether or not anyone was "listened to" is immaterial to any
>discussion.

Nope.

It's a GOOD THING that there is no age limit for a US ham radio license. Such a limit is totally unnecessary.

You have produced NO (as in ZERO) evidence that the licensing of young hams has caused ANY problems in the ARS.

N2EY

5435. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 04:04:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007000404.03974.00000616@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 21679

In article <20011006222231.15542.00002703@mb-fl.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>>>The FCC Enforcement Bureau notices have many
>>>>>examples of violations of the radio regulations...but the
>>>>>ages of such violators are not given nor is age information
>>>>>given in the amateur radio license database.
>>>
>>> From my own "QRZ" info, quoted DIRECTLY from FCC records:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>Incorrect quote. The FCC database information does not
>>contain any data fields relating to age or birthdate of the
>>licensee. That may be verified by viewing the license
>>database information organization files available on the
>>FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's pages.
>
> Wrong again, Your Putziness...The FCC ahs once again resumed acquiring
>age/DOB information.

From file pa_ddef6.xls on the FCC website is the following description of all US amateur radio license entries:

1. Record type char(2)
2. Unique System ID numeric(9,0)
3. ULS file number char(14)
4. EBF number varchar(30)
5. Callsign char(10)
6. Operator class char(1)
7. Group code char(1)
8. Region code tinyint
9. Trustee callsign char(10)
10 Trustee indicator char(1)
11 Physician certification char(1)
12 VE signature char(1)
13 Systematic callsign change char(1)
14 Vanity callsign change char(1)
15 Vanity relationship char(12)
16 Previous callsign char(10)
17 Previous operator class char(1)
18 Trustee name varchar(50)

All 18 fields in one record are "piped," that is they are separated by one-character pipes or the vertical bar

character (|). Field contents are generally characters
as indicated by "char(10)" which would have 10 ASCII
characters. "Varchar" would have a variable length
field not to exceed the number of characters indicated
between the parens. Only two fields are numeric,
indicated by "numeric" or "tinyint."

There is no detail as to age or birthdate in any of the
other database description files. [pa_ddef6.xls is an
Excel file and free reader-only programs are available]

> AND....The DOB information on QRZ came from the FCC.
>
> Once again, you are FACTLESS.

Incorrect on "factless." Date of birth information is old
data either from the databases over four years old under
former field formats...or it can be supplied by individuals
listed or removed by individuals listed.

>>The current amateur radio license database is 55.4 MB
>>in size, hardly something downloadable to a "Commodore
>>64" as stated in another post.
>
> If you want to download the ENTIRE db, you'd be correct.

The smallest FCC databses are the Applications for a
particular day indicated in the filename (updated on the
previous day). Those who have been granted licenses
are found in the License files and are ZIPped. That file
size precludes use by any PC that does not have at
least 64 MB of RAM. That rules out many older PCs and
MACs not equipped for ZIP format file expansion..

>>Their database is
>>not obtained solely from the FCC.
>
> The information that you allude to is, Your Stupidness.

I do not "allude" to anything other than what information
is given by the FCC or the commercial QRZ organization.
The FCC database descriptions do not list any dates of
birth for any amateur radio licensees. QRZ allows listed
individuals to include considerably more data and graphics
than has ever been available from the FCC public record
information.

> Lennie...quit...quit now...you are more idiotic by the minute.

Anyone can go to the FCC and QRZ sites to research the
information to verify what they contain...or others can
guess at what is contained and come up with erroneous
data which is questionable.

5436. Re: The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 04:36:02 UTC
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <0ffb3e020fc050387ef85accaf6f6505.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21680

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com>

>
> Then return "her" to Afghanistan to live as a woman under the
> Taliban.
>

What Taliban?

A father and his son are walking the Manhattan streets when the father stops at a vacant lot takes a deep breath and tells his son: "To think that at one time here on this very lot stood the Twin Towers."

The son looks at his father and asked: "Dad, what are the Twin Towers?"

Father says: "My dear son, the Twin Towers were two tremendously tall buildings with lots of offices that were the heart of the New York, but approximately 31 years ago, several Arabs destroyed the buildings."

The boy then thought for a minute and then asked his father, "Daddy what are Arabs?"

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas04poolc16.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.7.16]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5437. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 05:12:31 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110070508240.1964-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21681

On 7 Oct 2001, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>>Date: 10/6/2001 3:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110062014210.1187-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
>
>>Wrong.
>
> Not wrong. The information is still there.

NOT in the public database releases.

>>The FCC has announced plans to start collecting birthdates again.
>
> They are already doing it.

If that were true, then all VE testing would have to have a birthdate on the form that is submitted. It CURRENTLY does NOT. Therefore, they aren't actively doing it because they're not collecting it (yet) from new licensees. As a volunteer examiner, I have not (yet) been ordered to make certain that birthdate information is included, nor do our forms ask for it.

[It could be that the FCC is using the SSN to get the birthdate information from the SSA, but that's not the same as the agency collecting the information first-hand, which is what was announced would be happening soon.]

5438. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 05:23:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007012306.20001.00002740@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21682

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/6/2001 11:03 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011007000300.27462.00000495@nso-cu.aol.com>

>Part of this is due to inexperience behind
>the wheel but a large part is not. All of this is reflected in auto insurance
>rates.

I was a Student Pilot at age 17. When my dad's insurance agent found out
we actually got a credit for it...Thier reasoning was that if I was certified
by the FAA to fly, I was a bit more responsible than the average teen on a
mission!

>>In that case the entire adult world (with the sole exception of
>>US amateur radio) has a group opinion based on prejudice,
>>bigotry, and bias.
>
>Nope. The entire adult world does not think that the FCC should enact an age
>limit for a license.

If someone looks for irresponsible teens, they will find them. I have a
great number that I would trust with my life.

>>Responsibility or lack of responsibility due to immaturity have
>>been in humanity's laws for centuries.
>
>So? That's not an answer to the question.

You expected the Unruly One to actually give a straight answer...?!?!

>Yet LHA cannot produce even ONE example of a problem caused by the
>licensing of a person under the age of his proposed limit.

Every licensee under 21 disproves most of Lennie's rants. He HAS to
believe that this is right, otherwise his rants are wrong....

>You can call me names but you cannot produce even ONE example of a problem
>caused by the licensing of a person below your proposed cutoff that is
>age-related.

On the other hand, we can produce evidence of people Lennie's age who ARE
a problem....

>>Test element 1 passing is still a regulation requirement for a
>>US amateur radio license grant of extra or general class.
>
>Only because S25.5 still requires it. FCC has made that clear.

More facts that Lennie cannot avoid, Jim.

>> They also like to reply to code test issue remarks with the
>>immature attitude of "I've got mine, nyah, nyah, nyah."
>>
>Not me. I can't control what others do.

Lennie hears voices like that, Jim...Even though they are not there...

>LHA has not produced a SINGLE example of a problem caused by the licensing of
>hams under the age of 14, yet he proposes such an age limit and calls those
>who
>oppose it "idiotic".

Of course...that's how he "debates" things, Jim...If someone DARES to
suggest that "he" is wrong, they are an "idiot"...

or "elitist"...

or "jackbooted"...

or "thug".....

>Agreed. So where are the problems caused by licensed hams under the age of
>14?

In Lennie's fantasies.

Lennie has yet to regale us with the experiences HE has had raising
children...he tells of his "exposure" to kids, but not of his own...ANOTHER
lack of practical experience.

>You have produced NO (as in ZERO) evidence that the licensing of young hams
>has
>caused ANY problems in the ARS.

Of course not...that would require facts or experience....he has NEITHER.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5439. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 05:32:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007013218.20001.00002741@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21683

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/6/2001 11:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011007000404.03974.00000616@nso-cm.aol.com>

>> Once again, you are FACTLESS.
>
>Incorrect on "factless."

Nope...FACTLESS...PRESENT FCC form 605 collects that information and
failure to provide it lands your application in the WIPS file.

Sorry. You are wrong again.

Perhaps you could write to the FCC and ask them to quit ruining your
anti-Amateur Radio rants?

>Date of birth information is old
>data either from the databases over four years old under
>former field formats...or it can be supplied by individuals
>listed or removed by individuals listed.

Old news, Oh Johnycomelately...It's back..You're behind the times. I can't
believe the FCC didn't consult you first, Lennie...Go figure...

>> The information that you allude to is, Your Stupidness.

>I do not "allude" to anything other than what information
>is given by the FCC or the commercial QRZ organization.

The information you ALLUDE to is provided by the FCC. It is up to the
individual licensee to delete it from QRZ if they so desire. QRZ has no way of
knowing it otherwise.

>Anyone can go to the FCC and QRZ sites to research the
>information to verify what they contain...or others can
>guess at what is contained and come up with erroneous
>data which is questionable.

And YOUR information IS "questionable", Your Cluelessness.....

5440. Re: The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 05:35:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011007013531.10276.00001935@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21684

In article <0ffb3e020fc050387ef85accaf6f6505.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>> Then return "her" to Afghanistan to live as a woman under the
>> Taliban.
>>
>
>What Taliban?

Hansl:

Don't look now, but he was referring to the Taliban which is the militant, Islamic fundamentalist group exerting totalitarian rule over Afganistan, and sponsoring international terrorism.

> A father and his son are walking the Manhattan streets when the father
> stops at a vacant lot takes a deep breath and tells his son: "To think
> that at one time here on this very lot stood the Twin Towers."
>
> The son looks at his father and asked: "Dad, what are the Twin Towers?"
>
> Father says: "My dear son, the Twin Towers were two tremendously tall
> buildings with lots of offices that were the heart of the New York, but
> approximately 31 years ago, several Arabs destroyed the buildings."
>
> The boy then thought for a minute and then asked his father, "Daddy what
> are Arabs?"

Soooo, may I assume that you believe the answer to the 9/11 acts of terror is to totally eliminate all Arabs, everywhere, in a 21st Century Holocaust? Sorry, but leave me out. I have a lot of Arab/Islamic friends here in Delaware who had nothing to do with that action, and came here to be able to live their lives as free Americans, rather than under the oppressive rule of Islamic fundamentalist despots. If you raise a single finger in anger at them, you will have to deal with me!

Let's get the people responsible for these acts of terror, and all who are even remotely associated with them, and make them wish they were never born! But let's get the *right* people; not fellow law-abiding, peace-loving Americans. I am sure you did not mean to imply that genocide of the Arab race was in order.

73 de Larry, K3LT
God Bless America!

5441. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 05:35:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011007013532.10276.00001936@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21685

In article <v0Pv7.38618$3d2.2229436@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>Only six? You don't have a tag axle to soften the beating to the old
>rhoids? Send them to Omaha and I will give you the tour in a 1979 Chance
>minibus with only six tires. How about a rusty school bus for drunk runs?
>They only have six tires.
>
>Cheapskate!
>
>Dick BK

Dick:

OK, OK, already! I make my living driving "cutaway" type Paratransit
busses based on Ford E-350 or E-450 Super Duty chassis, with a
single, dual wheel rear axle, so I guess I was thinking primarily about
the "work" hardware, not a "tour" style bus like we'd use on the trip
to Dayton. Therefore, you're correct -- we'd have to select one with
8 fresh, new Michelin tires of the proper type. We use a similar rig
for our "intercounty" service which runs between Wilmington, Dover,
and points South. However, they're operated by a subcontractor, not
by DART personnel like myself. Kind of a ripoff for those drivers, since
they drive a bigger, heavier, higher pax-count bus, for significantly
less money and few, if any, benefits!

Learn about my company at http://www.dartfirststate.com

73 de Larry, K3LT

5442. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 05:56:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007015602.18586.00001068@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21686

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 10/7/2001 12:12 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110070508240.1964-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

>Therefore, they aren't
>actively doing it because they're not collecting it (yet) from new licensees.

Have you seen the latest FCC Form 605?

>As a volunteer examiner, I have not (yet) been ordered to make certain that
>birthdate information is included, nor do our forms ask for it.

When was the last exam you proctored?

>...which is what was announced would be happening soon.]

IS happening.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5443. Re: What the fuck is wrong with you? - IDIOT script again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 08:35:05 UTC
From: "Paul E. Larson" <whistler@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91322E3C0D588whistlertwcnyrrcom@24.24.0.2>
Source record: 21687
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.sport.billiard,rec.sport.skating.ice.figure,rec.travel.usa-canada
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

BarB wrote in
news:e8bb4729822e2a985b7b08c8f9a40280@nntp.ix.netcom.com:

>
>
>
>
>
>

5444. Re: The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 13:37:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC05A9B.5010003@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21688

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> "Hans K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:
>>What Taliban?
>>
> Don't look now, but he was referring to the Taliban which is the
> militant, Islamic fundamentalist group exerting totalitarian rule over
> Afganistan, and sponsoring international terrorism.
Hans is obviously speaking futuristically.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5445. Re: Has any group bucked the trend of declining hamfest attendance?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 13:49:25 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.162a6c6615b6f2998969f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21689

In article <f7d9a152.0110061313.35624251@posting.google.com>,
jason_hsu@my-deja.com says...
> I live in northern Virginia, and I keep hearing from people that
> hamfest attendance has been on the decline for the last few years.
>

much self servicing drivel deleted. Soooo Mr Jason. You ask many
questions. Will this be like you DX NG diatribe where you ask "newbie"
questions and then proceed to falsify and plagiarize much information?

I suggest you go back and read up, formulate opinions then proceed to
crow your results

Ask LHA how to do that. He's a master debater. He once made pastries in
a previous career. having gone to night school for 15 years to achieve
the title of master baker

5446. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 13:53:06 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.162a6d3e325cfe069896a0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21690

In article <9poc43jqr0@208.206.142.72>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > If your post wasn't so accusatory, Dick, I would give it half a thought.
> > But, you're so full of yourself being a better citizen than those who may
> > think a little differently than you, that I'll just leave you to your
> > superiorism.
> >
>
> Actally Kim, I have a problem with anyone who pretends to be halfway
> informed being as truly clueless as you.
>

less see

a=b
b=c,
so a=c

kim= w 5 TIT's
cows have 5 teats
so,
Kim is a cow????

hmmmmmmm

ands look, no mention of either CW or Lennie De ANderson

5447. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 14:17:07 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC063E3.4090908@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21691

IamwhatIam wrote:

> cows have 5 teats
Lots have 4, some have 6, very few have 5.

My dictionary says a "tit" is a bird.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5448. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 14:30:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007103027.09687.00001441@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 21692

>Wrong again, Your Putziness...The FCC ahs once again resumed acquiring
>age/DOB information.
>

Big Deal who cares anyway.

5449. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 14:49:59 UTC
From: Iamwhatlam <nooneishome@themoment.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.162a7a8ee8cdf4209896a1@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21693

In article <3BC063E3.4090908@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> IamwhatIam wrote:
>
> > cows have 5 teats
> Lots have 4, some have 6, very few have 5.

and some, like those in Texass have 2. Too bad they aren't brains
(Blofeld..Diamonds are Forever)

Some peeples in Calif, like LHA have 1 and he uses it for a brain hence
the term That Lennie ANderson, he's so stupid he has tits for brains

>
> My dictionary says a "tit" is a bird.
>

the correct name would be a Titwillow

5450. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 16:03:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007120310.01328.00000822@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21694

In article <20011005172236.28579.00000187@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>No prescience. Just common sense. For example, you have repeatedly called a
>>highly qualified emergency medical person "Nursie", which was not very
>>complimentary.
>
>The term "nursie" was in the US military colloquia of the 1950s.

I've never heard that one before.

>At that time nurses were almost always female and almost
>always commissioned officers.

I wonder if THEY thought being called "Nursie" was a compliment.

>That may have been male
>chauvinism by today's standards but it existed as a general
>term of affection then.

Maybe.

But the 1950s ended 40+ years ago, and the nurse in question is not female, even though you repeatedly refer to him that way.
>
>"Highly qualified?!?" :-)

I think so. I'd rather have one K4YZ around in a medical emergency than a dozen LHAs.
>
>Here's an example of what this "highly qulified emergency medical
>person wrote on 4 October 2001:

"qulified" ?!?! ;-)
>
>N2EY doesn't think this "highly qualified emergency medical person"
>is uncomplimentary?!? :-)

The discussion is about YOUR behavior, LHA. Pointing at others' behavior is an attempt to redirect attention away from the subject under discussion. It is like the child who says "But Johnny was doing it!" as an excuse for bad behavior.

Let's make it perfectly clear:

You have repeatedly used the profession and employment of others as a way to insult, denigrate and deprecate those who disagree with you. How they respond to such treatment is not an excuse for YOUR behavior.

It is therefore logical that intelligent people would be hesitant to give details of their profession and employment to you.

You can't talk your way out a reputation you behaved your way into, LHA.

N2EY

5451. Looks like President Bush just broke out that can of "Whup-ass!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 17:20:07 UTC
From: kc2hmn@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011007132007.22212.00002036@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 21695

I believe we're fighting/shelling Kabul. (...or it's surrounding areas?) Let's keep our fingers crossed for the safety of the men and women of our armed forces who are "doing the job."

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

5452. Re: The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 17:49:55 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <7485081a798da4ef1da46a9d8da354a1.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21696

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:

> Soooo, may I assume that you believe the answer to the 9/11 acts of
> terror is to totally eliminate all Arabs....

No, Larryl,

No, but you may assume that your humor detector is inoperative again.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
"Be not self-righteous overmuch." Eccl. 7:16

--
Posted from mplsapapnas01poolc211.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.1.211]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5453. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 18:03:05 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110071759410.2878-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21697

On 7 Oct 2001, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>>Date: 10/7/2001 12:12 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110070508240.1964-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
>
>>Therefore, they aren't
>>actively doing it because they're not collecting it (yet) from new licensees.
>
> Have you seen the latest FCC Form 605?
>
>>As a volunteer examiner, I have not (yet) been ordered to make certain that
>>birthdate information is included, nor do our forms ask for it.
>
> When was the last exam you proctored?

October 29, 2001 - 8 days ago - ARRL format. Birthdates are NOT being
collected via the volunteer examination program.

>>...which is what was announced would be happening soon.]
>
> IS happening.

[It's] Not for all persons having "amateur radio business" before the FCC. The
collection of a birthdate, if it is indeed happening now, is not universal
across all types of applications.

5454. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 18:38:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC0A10E.7060007@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21698

IamwhatIam wrote:

> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>>My dictionary says a "tit" is a bird.

> the correct name would be a Titwillow
From Webster's: "tit - TITMOUSE: any of various small

plump often long-tailed birds"
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5455. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 18:48:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007144823.29635.00000450@nso-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 21699

In article <20011007120310.01328.00000822@nso-mb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20011005172236.28579.00000187@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20011005000345.02205.00000407@nso-cc.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>No prescience. Just common sense. For example, you have repeatedly called a
>>>highly qualified emergency medical person "Nursie", which was not very
>>>complimentary.
>>
>>The term "nursie" was in the US military colloquia of the 1950s.
>
>I've never heard that one before.

Heh. Probably because N2EY was not in the military of any
country in the 1950s. Couldn't have been...

>>At that time nurses were almost always female and almost
>>always commissioned officers.
>
>I wonder if THEY thought being called "Nursie" was a compliment.

Some did. Some didn't. Why is N2EY so concerned about
that subject? It does not involve amateur radio, US foreign
policy, or old bad songs.

>The discussion is about YOUR behavior, LHA. Pointing at others' behavior is
>an attempt to redirect attention away from the subject under discussion. It is
>like the child who says "But Johnny was doing it!" as an excuse for bad
>behavior.

Heh heh heh. This all started out on my challenge to N2EY
as being a "master of the Smith Chart."

N2EY now claims not to be a "master" but simply "mastered"
it. That remains just a claim. N2EY hasn't made any
comment on use of the Smith Chart, given any hints on what
it is or how to use it. He claims "we know what it is" as if the
"we" is either the royal "we" or that all radio amateurs know
all about it. Neither is verifiable nor can it be a viable reply.

Smith Charts do not have to be used by any radio amateur.
Radio amateurs aren't required to progress in their theory

and understanding of same beyond their license test
questions. They can spend their entire amateur radio
"career" (loosely speaking) not knowing anything further in
radio other than operating. All have that option.

However, some radio amateurs bluff and bluster about
radio (in general) as if they are "masters" (or nearly so)
of radio and claim all sorts of technical expertise. Those
aren't "experts in radio," just braggarts and bluffers playing
an odd sort of con game to "prove" they are "better." If
they are challenged in theory matters they try to hide
their inexperience or lack of knowledge behind more
bluster or in misdirection away from the subject or in
personal insults against the challenger. Those who have
some experience in theory matters can spot bluffers
immediately.

>Let's make it perfectly clear:

:-)

>You have repeatedly used the profession and employment of others as a way to
>insult, denigrate and deprecate those who disagree with you.

TS. Those who have insulted, denigrated, deprecated me got what
they gave right back at them PLUS coherent arguments on the
subject. That's in the archives.

Long experience in amateur radio, credentials obtained and
waved about, quoted jingoism, etc., is NO qualification for
insults on another's person...especially so when there is a
failure of the long-timer fundamentalist credential-waver to
address a subject properly and with a civil attitude.

Experience and knowledge in any technologically-oriented
field should be able to be carried over from professional
activity to amateur activity where both activities involve the
same technology. Some radio amateurs are quite against
that (apparently) by cites of "but this is AMATEUR radio"
(K3LT) not professional. Specious argument. The physics
of radio and electronics are not separated by some man-
made legislation and regulations on radio services.

> How they respond
>to such treatment is not an excuse for YOUR behavior.

Heh. Defensive misdirection by N2EY. Or sympathy with
the "nursie" character in here, such as on the other-thread
non-discussion over his insistence that the FCC now has
licensee's ages in their license database. Review that
exchange (no need to go into archives) and read the replies
then read the responses from "nursie." I repeated public
information from the FCC website on the license database

fields (no indication of birthdate) and Dieter Stussy replied
with his direct experience as a VE that the FCC does not
now directly collect such age information. N2EY wishes
to defend the "nursie's" remarks as somehow worthy of
respect and a credit to the "service?"

>It is therefore logical that intelligent people would be hesitant to give
>details of their profession and employment to you.

No, not logical at all. It's an emotional matter to the hesitant
and those who may have some insecurity feelings.

No one MUST give their professional activities away but
such does serve as a base of individuals' knowledge on
subjects under discussion.

Does work in the medical profession have a direct bearing
on radio? No. Does bus driving have a direct bearing on
radio? No. Does work in electronics have a direct bearing
on radio? Yes, but must be qualified as to the particular
electronics discipline since some disciplines of electronics
do not involve radio directly. Primary electrical power
distribution would be one such occupation...but even that
needs further definition since such a general title could
encompass work ranging from meter reading to design of
distribution systems.

>You can't talk your way out a reputation you behaved your way into, LHA.

Neither can I talk my way out of being called a "putz" or
other not-nice things. :-) Why try? It is impossible to
prove or disprove a negative. Yet there are a number of
long-time fundamentalist credentialled radio amateurs in
here who have consistently made lies and libelous
associations of my experience and personal character.
That's in the archives, too, but those same individuals
don't want that publicized again. :-)

Everything that is written in these newsgroups is a
reflection on US amateur radio. Anyone with newsgroup
access can look in and judge for themselves. Is N2EY
proud of all of the statements of other radio amateurs
writing in here? Would he present those statements
as proof of the goodness and nobility of US radio
amateurs? Or isn't it just SOME of the statements are
highlighted in an effort to win newsgroup message
points for N2EY's personal satisfaction?

5456. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 19:06:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1J1w7.39560$3d2.2312308@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21700

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20011007013532.10276.00001936@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <v0Pv7.38618$3d2.2229436@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Only six? You don't have a tag axle to soften the beating to the old
> >rhoids? Send them to Omaha and I will give you the tour in a 1979 Chance
> >minibus with only six tires. How about a rusty school bus for drunk
runs?
> >They only have six tires.
> >
> >Cheapskate!
> >
> >Dick BK
>
> Dick:
>
> OK, OK, already! I make my living driving "cutaway" type Paratransit
> busses based on Ford E-350 or E-450 Super Duty chassis, with a
> single, dual wheel rear axle, so I guess I was thinking primarily about
> the "work" hardware, not a "tour" style bus like we'd use on the trip
> to Dayton. Therefore, you're correct -- we'd have to select one with
> *8* fresh, new Michelin tires of the proper type. We use a similar rig
> for our "intercounty" service which runs between Wilmington, Dover,
> and points South. However, they're operated by a subcontractor, not
> by DART personnel like myself. Kind of a ripoff for those drivers, since
> they drive a bigger, heavier, higher pax-count bus, for significantly
> less money and few, if any, benefits!
>
> Learn about my company at http://www.dartfirststate.com
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

We do a campus shuttle over at Nebraska/Omaha (6-0 in Division 2) and
Creighton with old cutaways from ski resorts in Colorado. Twelve are
gassers on E250 chassis, four are diesels with tag axles on 350 frames,
never seen a 450. Then there is the Beast, the Chance minibus I mentioned,
going strong after 1.1 megamiles; the Cat diesel will be there when the body
rusts off.

One of them came with a decal indicating automatic tire chains. They had
been removed unfortunately because a lot of drivers would like to see how
chains can be installed on a dual automatically. We also generally remove

the electric hill retarders.

This morning I did my usual church racket plus Rent-a-Wino, a run for a temp help agency over to an old Western Electric warehouse over in Iowa. Iowa is an accronym by the way; stands for Idiots Out Wandering Around.

You can prove your erudition in crowded spaces by pointing out that 'detour' was a man's name. Seems Captain Detour took the boundary commission after the revolution on a wild goose chase to be sure that Washington Island ended up in the USA. You can find the False Detour Channel on one of the insets of the Rand McNally road atlas.

Bionic Klutz

5457. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 21:15:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007171513.07922.00004479@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21701

In article <1J1w7.39560$3d2.2312308@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>You can prove your erudition in crowded spaces by pointing out that 'detour'
>was a man's name. Seems Captain Detour took the boundary commission after
>the revolution on a wild goose chase to be sure that Washington Island ended
>up in the USA. You can find the False Detour Channel on one of the insets
>of the Rand McNally road atlas.
>
Wasn't he also responsible for the attempted annexation of the Islets of
Langerhans?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5458. Re: Has any group bucked the trend of declining hamfest attendance?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 21:28:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007172804.17805.00000719@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21702

>Subject: Re: Has any group bucked the trend of declining hamfest attendance?
>From: IamwhatIam nooneishome@themoment.org
>Date: 10/7/2001 8:49 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.162a6c6615b6f2998969f@news.earthlink.net>

>Ask LHA how to do that. He's a master debater

Almost...but it SOUNDS the same!

=)

Steve

5459. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 21:29:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007172957.17805.00000721@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21703

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/7/2001 11:03 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011007120310.01328.00000822@nso-mb.aol.com>

>I think so. I'd rather have one K4YZ around in a medical emergency than a
>dozen
>LHAs.

=)

Thanks!

73 de K4YZ

5460. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 22:09:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC0D2A4.86715B00@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21704

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> Lenie's great for that, Dwight.
> When I was in Atlanta he made a big
> deal of where I was working and
> for whom.

Well, I'm not going to take sides, Steve. The only reason I said anything at all is because I once had a similar fight about my employer several years ago. At that time, I could not name my employer or what I was doing (I was in Italy working for DoD, which was gearing up for Kosovo at the time). All of which left me in a real bind during that argument. Because of that, I'm rather sensitive about demands concerning a person's employer.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5461. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 22:12:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC0D342.130847DC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21705

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Good points, Dwight.
>
> What causes a problem (snip)

I repeat the exact same thing I said to Steve. I'm not going to take sides. The only reason I said anything at all is because I once had a similar fight about my employer several years ago. At that time, I could not name my employer or what I was doing (I was in Italy working for DoD, which was gearing up for Kosovo at the time). All of which left me in a real bind during that argument. Because of that, I'm rather sensitive about demands concerning a person's employer.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5462. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 22:30:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011007183035.19723.00002424@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 21706

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 10/7/2001 1:03 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110071759410.2878-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

>[It's] Not for all persons having "amateur radio business" before the FCC.
>The
>collection of a birthdate, if it is indeed happening now, is not universal
>across all types of applications.

It's on FCC 605. That's official enough.

>October 29, 2001 - 8 days ago -

Did you just step thru a wormhole or did you get the month wrong..?!? =)

73

Steve

5463. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 23:31:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WA5w7.69697$W8.2255954@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21707

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011007171513.07922.00004479@nso-cr.aol.com...
> In article <1J1w7.39560$3d2.2312308@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >You can prove your erudition in crowded spaces by pointing out that
'detour'
> >was a man's name. Seems Captain Detour took the boundary commission
after
> >the revolution on a wild goose chase to be sure that Washington Island
ended
> >up in the USA. You can find the False Detour Channel on one of the
insets
> >of the Rand McNally road atlas.
> >
> Wasn't he also responsible for the attempted annexation of the Islets of
> Langerhans?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I hope not for the sake of the sugar industry

5464. Re: Nukeum - and I'm not talking about the video game.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-07 23:54:13 UTC
From: DatMan <edmondo.betti@tiscalinet.it>
Message-ID: <9pqqh1$5nb$1@lacerta.tiscalinet.it>
Source record: 21708
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Jersey Sniper wrote in message
<3bbf6d7d.141481430@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
|On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 04:47:10 GMT, "David N7VRZ" <n7vrz@home.com>
|wrote:
|
|>That is not war, that is genocide.
|
| So, what is wrong with that???
|The only solution for Terrorists is the Final Solution.
|
| Exterminate Them All!!!
|
| God Bless America
|
| The Jersey Sniper
|
|

Exterminating them is not a solution. You're OT !
73 de IK6XOS

5465. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 01:20:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gkuwdg5.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21709

On 07 Oct 2001 04:04:04 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>Anyone can go to the FCC and QRZ sites to research the
>information to verify what they contain...or others can
>guess at what is contained and come up with erroneous
>data which is questionable.

There are two FCC amateur radio databases. The one being kicked
around here is the one reachable by the public and does not have
age/birthdate information. The other is a non-releaseable database
reachable only by FCC workstations on the FCC WAN, and does contain
DOB information.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5466. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 02:53:55 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110080252100.3617-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 21710

On 7 Oct 2001, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>>Date: 10/7/2001 1:03 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110071759410.2878-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
>
>>[It's] Not for all persons having "amateur radio business" before the FCC.
>>The
>>collection of a birthdate, if it is indeed happening now, is not universal
>>across all types of applications.
>
> It's on FCC 605. That's official enough.
>
>>October 29, 2001 - 8 days ago -
>
> Did you just step thru a wormhole or did you get the month wrong..?!? =)

I got the month wrong. I meant September. I must have had something else on
my mind. :-)

I am part of a testing team that offers testing on the last Saturday of every
month, and since 1994, I believe we've only skipped 3 months due to holidays.

5467. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 05:19:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008011948.20972.00000661@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21711

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gkuwdg5.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

>>Anyone can go to the FCC and QRZ sites to research the
>>information to verify what they contain...or others can
>>guess at what is contained and come up with erroneous
>>data which is questionable.
>
> There are two FCC amateur radio databases. The one being kicked
> around here is the one reachable by the public and does not have
> age/birthdate information. The other is a non-releaseable database
> reachable only by FCC workstations on the FCC WAN, and does contain
> DOB information.

Ok, so Phil Kane is able to connect to the FCC wireless area
network? But most of the participants in here cannot?

It's a nice bit of information, Phil, I grant you that, but in public
discussion shouldn't we all concern ourselves with publicly
available information?

Oh, and there are several other amateur callsign databases
about, not just the two mentioned. QRZ may be the biggest?
Haven't seen the Buckmaster CD and haven't tried the ARRL
callsign search (probably can't, not a member).

Does the QRZ callsign database contain OTHER information
not found on the publicly-available FCC databases? Or does
it not? Seems to me it does.

5468. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 05:19:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008011948.20972.00000662@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 21712

In article <3BC0D342.130847DC@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> I repeat the exact same thing I said to Steve. I'm not going to take
>sides. The only reason I said anything at all is because I once had a
>similar fight about my employer several years ago. At that time, I
>could not name my employer or what I was doing (I was in Italy working
>for DoD, which was gearing up for Kosovo at the time). All of which
>left me in a real bind during that argument. Because of that, I'm
>rather sensitive about demands concerning a person's employer.

No problem with me on that, Dwight. I've done "black" (secure)
work too...and at more than one place. No communicating
whatsoever with anyone outside the group and the company.

I don't think that all the participants in here work black. Most
would have rather open-product employers...and not all in here
have to be employed.

5469. List of the rec.radio newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 05:31:01 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9prdml$c99$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 21713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction

In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate
audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases,
a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely
be necessary.

rec.radio.broadcasting (devoted to the broadcast industry; moderated)
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.info (periodic informational bulletins; moderated)
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave
rec.radio.swap (for buying, selling, and trading; not a discussion group)

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-
built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any amateur topic not covered in the above groups)

(At least two other English language radio hierarchies exist, prefaced
with "uk" (United Kingdom), and "aus" (Australia), in place of "rec.")

Again, cross-posting should rarely be necessary. Many times, a long-
running thread results from a single cross-posted article, and its
content drifts further and further away from being "on-topic" in the
various NGs which receive each and every followup.

For more detailed information, see "Guide to the Rec.radio Newsgroups"
posted on the 15th of each month.

Jeffrey Herman, KH6O

Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu
```

5470. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 12:03:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008080316.11688.00000493@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21714

In article <20011007144823.29635.00000450@nso-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

(N2EY wrote:)

>>>>No prescience. Just common sense. For example, you have repeatedly called
>a
>>>>highly qualified emergency medical person "Nursie", which was not very
>>>>complimentary.
>>>
>>>The term "nursie" was in the US military colloquia of the 1950s.
>>
>>I've never heard that one before.
>
>Heh. Probably because N2EY was not in the military of any
>country in the 1950s. Couldn't have been...

So what? The point is still the same: The 1950s ended 40+ years ago and the term and how you used it are not complimentary.

QED.

>
>>>At that time nurses were almost always female and almost
>>>always commissioned officers.
>>
>>I wonder if THEY thought being called "Nursie" was a compliment.
>
>Some did. Some didn't.

Were you a nurse in the 1950s?

> Why is N2EY so concerned about
>that subject? It does not involve amateur radio, US foreign
>policy, or old bad songs.
>
It is proof of how you bechave when given information about the profession and employment of someone who disagrees with you here. Along with things like making fun of people's names, physical characteristics and other ad hominem type attacks. Anyone who reads your stuff long enough knows what I am talking about.

>>The discussion is about YOUR behavior, LHA. Pointing at others' behavior is
>>an attempt to redirect attention away from the subject under discussion. It
>is
>>like the child who says "But Johnny was doing it!" as an excuse for bad
>>behavior.

>
>Heh heh heh. This all started out on my challenge to N2EY
>as being a "master of the Smith Chart."

More attempted redirection.

I did not claim to be a "master of the Smith Chart". I wrote that I had mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code, and use both. Different thing entirely. But you ignore the distinction.

There is a form of propaganda called the "Big Lie" where the propagandists simply repeat a false statement over and over in an attempt to convince people that it is true. Sure looks like you are doing that, LHA.
>
>N2EY now claims not to be a "master" but simply "mastered"
>it.

Nope.

I only claimed to have mastered it - from the very beginning. I NEVER claimed to be "master of the Smith Chart". That's your spin.

I have challenged you to produce the post where I claimed to be "master of the Smith Chart" and all you produced a post where I wrote (in the signature line) that I have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse Code.

There is a form of propaganda that I call the "Big Dummy" or "Big Deaf" where the propagandists simply ignore facts that disprove their propaganda.

>That remains just a claim. N2EY hasn't made any
>comment on use of the Smith Chart, given any hints on what
>it is or how to use it.

So? Is that the criteria? It seems to me that only employment at a job that you approve of will qualify someone as having Smith Chart knowledge. Nothing else seems to matter to you.

> He claims "we know what it is" as if the
>"we" is either the royal "we" or that all radio amateurs know
>all about it. Neither is verifiable nor can it be a viable reply.

And this relates to your behavior in what way?
>
>Smith Charts do not have to be used by any radio amateur.
>Radio amateurs aren't required to progress in their theory
>and understanding of same beyond their license test
>questions. They can spend their entire amateur radio
>"career" (loosely speaking) not knowing anything further in
>radio other than operating. All have that option.
>
Yep, that's true. But it's not what I did. I learned more about radio (theory, practice, skills, regs, safety, etc., etc.) after passing the exams.

They also have the option of using tools like EZNEC2000, which can eliminate the need to use a Smith Chart for transmission line/antenna system matching problems.

>However, some radio amateurs bluff and bluster about
>radio (in general) as if they are "masters" (or nearly so)
>of radio and claim all sorts of technical expertise. Those
>aren't "experts in radio," just braggarts and bluffers playing
>an odd sort of con game to "prove" they are "better."

Yeah, some do that. Some nonamateurs do that, too.

I have never claimed to be an "expert" on anything, or a "master" of anything, here on rrap.

>If
>they are challenged in theory matters they try to hide
>their inexperience or lack of knowledge behind more
>bluster or in misdirection away from the subject or in
>personal insults against the challenger.

You mean like calling someone "Nursie" and referring to that person by the wrong gender?

>Those who have
>some experience in theory matters can spot bluffers
>immediately.

Yep. But some people bluff about their ability to spot bluffers, and claim all sorts of knowledge about people they have never met and know little about.

To be clear: I'm not bluffing.
>
>>Let's make it perfectly clear:
>
>:-)

Finally, LHA gets a cultural reference ;-)
>
>>You have repeatedly used the profession and employment of others as a way to
>>insult, denigrate and deprecate those who disagree with you.
>
>TS.

True Story? Yes it is.

>Those who have insulted, denigrated, deprecated me got what
>they gave right back at them

Translation: "He did it first!"

The fact remains that you do it, and other things like making fun of people's names - even those who do not make fun of your name.

You cannot talk your way out of a situation you behaved your way into.

> PLUS coherent arguments on the subject.

Now THAT'S funny! Your arguments are not always coherent or even correct. For example, the question of EZNEC2000's ability to simulate transmission lines, the FCC database info on dates of birth, division by zero and the need for an age limit for an amateur license are subjects where your "coherent arguments" have been shown to be full of holes.

Is it possible for a person with a post restructuring Technician class amateur radio license to have some amateur radio HF privileges? Yes or no?

> That's in the archives.

Which you apparently do not access.
>
>Long experience in amateur radio, credentials obtained and
>waved about, quoted jingoism, etc., is NO qualification for
>insults on another's person...especially so when there is a
>failure of the long-timer fundamentalist credential-waver to
>address a subject properly and with a civil attitude.

Long experience in nonamateur radio, credentials obtained
and waved about, quoted jingoism, alleged military colloquia,
etc., is NO qualification for insults on another's person, name,
profession, or employment...especially so when there is a
failure of the long-timer fundamentalist professional
credential-waver to address a subject properly and with a civil
attitude, and to admit mistakes, errors and gaps of knowledge..
>
>Experience and knowledge in any technologically-oriented
>field should be able to be carried over from professional
>activity to amateur activity where both activities involve the
>same technology.

And vice versa - but ONLY if they apply to the situation described. Amateurs cannot be judged solely by "professional" criteria because the goals, resources and purposes are not the same.

>Some radio amateurs are quite against
>that (apparently) by cites of "but this is AMATEUR radio"
>(K3LT) not professional.

I am not K3LT. All those who disagree with you are not identical clones.

>Specious argument. The physics
>of radio and electronics are not separated by some man-
>made legislation and regulations on radio services.

Specious argument. The goals, resources and purposes of different radio services are different, so the applicability of techniques may or may not carry over.
>
>> How they respond
>>to such treatment is not an excuse for YOUR behavior.

>
>Heh. Defensive misdirection by N2EY.

Nope. It's a basic concept of civilized behavior. It's something good parents try to teach their children from a very young age.

>Or sympathy with
>the "nursie" character in here, such as on the other-thread
>non-discussion over his insistence that the FCC now has
>licensee's ages in their license database. Review that
>exchange (no need to go into archives) and read the replies
>then read the responses from "nursie." I repeated public
>information from the FCC website on the license database
>fields (no indication of birthdate) and Dieter Stussy replied
>with his direct experience as a VE that the FCC does not
>now directly collect such age information.

And you ignored the fact that the QRZ database does indeed contain such information - which could only have been obtained from the FCC database. That's how they got my borthdate, anyway.

In addition, Phil Kane has stated that the FCC has DOB information, they just don;t put it in the publicly-accessible database.

All of this is simply a misdirection on your part because the point being discussed is whether the licensing of young children as hams has caused on-air problems. You have not given a SINGLE example where a ham below the age of 14 has been cited by the FCC for on-air irresponsibility. Yet you claim that an age requirement is needed. You seek to cure a problem that does not exist.

>N2EY wishes
>to defend the "nursie's" remarks as somehow worthy of
>respect and a credit to the "service?"

More misdirection by LHA. The point being made was YOUR behavior on this NG when you have information of others' profession and employment.

>>It is therefore logical that intelligent people would be hesitant to give
>>details of their profession and employment to you.
>
>No, not logical at all.

It's logical to not enable another person's immature behavior. That's one of the biggest reasons I keep quiet on certain issues. (Others are the fact that they have no relevance).

It is interesting that you have never said that you would not make fun of my job, and that you have never mentioned my education other than to make fun of my Extra class license, deprecating it as an "academic achievement".

>It's an emotional matter to the hesitant
>and those who may have some insecurity feelings.

Well, that leaves me out. I'm neither hesitant nor insecure.
>
>No one MUST give their professional activities away but
>such does serve as a base of individuals' knowledge on
>subjects under discussion.

How?

I have previously stated that while I did RF design professionally in the past, I do other things now. That description was carried over through several posts, yet you obviously ignored it or did not understand it. It's in the archives.

It is clear that you will ONLY accept employment as proof of Smith Chart knowledge.
>
>Does work in the medical profession have a direct bearing
>on radio? No. Does bus driving have a direct bearing on
>radio? No. Does work in electronics have a direct bearing
>on radio? Yes, but must be qualified as to the particular
>electronics discipline since some disciplines of electronics
>do not involve radio directly. Primary electrical power
>distribution would be one such occupation...but even that
>needs further definition since such a general title could
>encompass work ranging from meter reading to design of
>distribution systems.

QED - if someone doesn't meet YOUR exact professional criteria, there's no way they can have mastered the Smith Chart, regardless of amateur experience, education, etc. You have made that perfectly clear. You even ignore academic achievements, despite being repeatedly pointed to them.
>
>>You can't talk your way out a reputation you behaved your way into, LHA.
>
>Neither can I talk my way out of being called a "putz" or
>other not-nice things. :-)

Nor can anyone else. Shall I list some of the things you have called me? Have I ever called anyone a name in rrap?

>Why try? It is impossible to
>prove or disprove a negative. Yet there are a number of
>long-time fundamentalist credentialled radio amateurs in
>here who have consistently made lies and libelous
>associations of my experience and personal character.
>That's in the archives, too, but those same individuals
>don't want that publicized again. :-)

More misdirection. The subject is YOUR behavior, LHA.

>Everything that is written in these newsgroups is a
>reflection on US amateur radio.

That's true to a point. This NG is only a tiny nonrandom sample.

>Anyone with newsgroup
>access can look in and judge for themselves.

Yup. They can see how YOU behave, too, LHA.

>Is N2EY
>proud of all of the statements of other radio amateurs
>writing in here?

How can one be proud of something one has no control over? I cannot control what others post. I don't believe in telling other people to shut up when I don't agree with them. But you have tried to get me to shut up when I persisted in disagreeing with you. (Telling someone to go away and discuss something else is a veiled way of saying "shut up"). It's in the archives.

>Would he present those statements
>as proof of the goodness and nobility of US radio
>amateurs?

Not all of them. Nor would I present your statements and behavior as an example of the kind that amateur radio needs, either.

Are YOU proud of all the statements you have made in rrap, LHA? Would YOU present them as examples of the way professionals should behave?

>Or isn't it just SOME of the statements are
>highlighted in an effort to win newsgroup message
>points for N2EY's personal satisfaction?
>
Nope. Just telling it like it is.

N2EY (who actually signs his posts)
>

5471. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 16:20:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110080820.6f7c806@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21715

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011006224255.12297.00000247@nso-fr.aol.com>...
>
> The FCC license database does NOT NOW contain any
> birthdate or age information.

Phil Kane has shown this to be incorrect.

> Yes, I know of an announcement that the FCC will once
> again start collecting age-related information...

FCC has announced that they will NOT process applications on old versions of Form 605. Not wanting to be out of date, I went to the FCC database and downloaded the zip version of the latest Form 605. It's only 70 kB when zipped and easily fits on a floppy when unzipped.

The latest version has an entry for date of birth, and the instructions note that providing DOB information is REQUIRED for amateur radio operator and certain other license applications.

That's straight from fcc.gov

> >>"QRZ" is a commercial entity in the business of providing
> >>a database in "callbook" format with additional information
> >>not found in the FCC database records. Their database is
> >>not obtained solely from the FCC.

However, in at least some cases it is clear that the FCC database is the source of DOB information.

The QRZ database had date-of-birth information on 6 of the 9 licensees cited for on-air violations in the September 22 listing on the ARRL website. All of them were much older than 14. Indeed, they were all well over 40 years of age.

It is possible to infer minimum age data from other sources. For example, a licensee is at least 4 years older than his/her current license. Knowledge of former calls (if any) and some old Callbooks or Callbook CDROMS can determine a minimum age, as well.

The main point of this discussion is being avoided, however:

Should there be an age requirement for a US amateur radio license? If so, why, and what age should be the minimum?

FCC and its predecessors have NEVER had an age requirement for an FCC license. To my knowledge they have never even proposed an age requirement for an amateur license. But as has been shown, they DO

currently require date of birth information.

LHA thinks that there should be an age requirement of 14 years, but has presented ZERO evidence that the licensing of younger people as hams has caused ANY on-air problems.

I think there is no need for any such requirement.

N2EY

5472. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 18:08:28 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110081008.6e6a0bbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21716

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>
> I have to comment that Hans Brakob's QSL is quite
> attractive. Esthetically pleasing, it shows a love of
> nature and his home region.
>

Thank you.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

5473. Re: Has any group bucked the trend of declining hamfest attendance?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 18:31:09 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <6a97778a9bf7043187aee8a58bb733cc.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21717

"Jason Hsu" <jason_hsu@my-deja.com> wrote
>
> Does anyone know of a hamfest other than Dayton that has done
> exceptionally well in recent years?

Our club (TCFMC) sponsors "Hamfest Minnesota" each fall, and it
has grown every year. See more at our homepage:

http://www.hamfestmn.org/

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas02poolc48.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.3.48]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5474. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 18:49:26 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC1F536.F1490E6E@uci.edu>
Source record: 21718

Len Over 81 wrote:
> Ok, so Phil Kane is able to connect to the FCC wireless area
> network? But most of the participants in here cannot?

Proving that sometimes it's better to be quiet, and be thought a fool
than to speak and remove all doubt.

5475. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 19:03:50 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC1F896.7C1604CE@uci.edu>
Source record: 21719

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
> > Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
> >is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
> >formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
> >source code is free to use, not copyrighted)
>
> Yeah - we know, Len.
>
> btw - the author is W7EL, an Extra Class ham)
> >
> >The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
> >electromagnetic field patterns.
> >
> We KNOW, Len. Various versions have been around for years. The Windows version
> (EZNEC2000) is pretty slick.
>
> >The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.
>
> We know that, too.
> >The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
> >of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
> >Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
> >data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.
>
> Ahhh, Len? We know that already.
> >
> >A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
> >solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or
> >narrowband performance.
>
> I oughta just set up a macro key.

Len was obviously caught off guard when you mentioned EZNEC. He hadn't heard of it. But in the interest of disguising that fact, he used a search engine to find out about it. He then, as he quite often does, typed what he had just learned into a post to the newsgroup in hopes that he would sound like an all-knowing, "master" of radio - rather than the narrow-minded pompous blowhard we all know him to be.

5476. Re: Pride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 19:58:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC20547.7449527E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21720

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> > (snip) At that time, I could not
> > name my employer or what I was
> > doing (I was in Italy working for
> > DoD, which was gearing up for Kosovo
> > at the time). All of which (snip)
>
> No problem with me on that, Dwight.
> I've done "black" (secure) work
> too...and at more than one place.
> No communicating whatsoever with
> anyone outside the group and the
> company.

Well, I was certainly not doing anything to get excited about, but we all had blanket instructions to keep a relatively low profile (no comment, look like a tourist on vacation, etc.). And, since I was using an Italian ISP for my private internet activities, and it was common knowledge that tensions were building up in that area, I thought it wise not to mention anything at all. But this person wasn't willing to accept that (in the end, a made up story satisfied him). Take care, Len.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5477. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 20:59:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21721

>(N2EY wrote:)

>>>>The term "nursie" was in the US military colloquia of the 1950s.
>>>
>>>I've never heard that one before.
>>
>>Heh. Probably because N2EY was not in the military of any
>>country in the 1950s. Couldn't have been...
>
>So what? The point is still the same: The 1950s ended 40+ years ago and the
>term and how you used it are not complimentary.

So...the ground rules are: Licensed radio amateurs can call
others all sorts of names, use profanity about them, insult
their person, etc., with free privileges as fine, noble,
upstanding human beings...yet others, amateur radio
license or not, must submit meekly and accept such
without saying anything in response?!? Of course. :-)

>Were you a nurse in the 1950s?

No. I was in the U.S. Army Signal Corps 1952 to 1960.

>> Why is N2EY so concerned about
>>that subject? It does not involve amateur radio, US foreign
>>policy, or old bad songs.
>>
>It is proof of how you bechave when given information about the profession
>and
>employment of someone who disagrees with you here. Along with things like
>making fun of people's names, physical characteristics and other ad hominem
>type attacks. Anyone who reads your stuff long enough knows what I am talking
>about.

And everyone is getting a dose of "groundrules" stated above.
:-)

I think that N2EY is looking for a battleground in the wrong
newsgroup. Go on over to rec.radio.amateur.misc and just
read the subject titles. PLENTY of material there that is
good for the self-professed radio cop.

>>Heh heh heh. This all started out on my challenge to N2EY
>>as being a "master of the Smith Chart."
>
>More attempted redirection.

Nope. That's how it started out. I began this thread as a
spin-off from one of the endless N2EY postings which have
to argue individual sentences taken out of context. :-)

>I did not claim to be a "master of the Smith Chart". I wrote that I had
>mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code, and use both. Different thing
>entirely. But you ignore the distinction.

Yes, I did, but neither do I see any distinction except that
"mastered" implies a past tense. Having mastered something
is the same as being a master of it at the time. :-)

>There is a form of propaganda called the "Big Lie" where the propagandists
>simply repeat a false statement over and over in an attempt to convince
>people that it is true. Sure looks like you are doing that, LHA.

So...another repeat of "having mastered is not the same as
being a master of" argument is not the "big lie" technique?
Must be so. I need to check those groundrules again. :-)

>I have challenged you to produce the post where I claimed to be "master of
>the Smith Chart" and all you produced a post where I wrote (in the signature
>line) that I have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse Code.

So...there's a difference there? :-)

Okay, so if N2EY mastered the Smith Chart once, then he
can talk about it in some detail? So far all anyone has
heard is a claim that author Phil Smith held an old amateur
license (callsigns no longer use that format) and that he
kniew morse code. Those two gems of knowledge aren't
germane to knowing the Chart sufficient to claim having
mastered it.

>I have never claimed to be an "expert" on anything, or a "master" of
>anything, here on rrap.

Heh, still trying to convince folks that "having mastered"
something is not being a master of it? :-)

>>Those who have
>>some experience in theory matters can spot bluffers
>>immediately.
>
>Yep. But some people bluff about their ability to spot bluffers, and claim
>all sorts of knowledge about people they have never met and know little about.

Heh...so, to prevent being hit by the mirror again, N2EY is
invited to talk in detail of the Smith Chart. He did claim to
have mastered it. :-)

>To be clear: I'm not bluffing.

Yes you are...and being petulant about it. :-)

>Now THAT'S funny! Your arguments are not always coherent or even correct. For
>example, the question of EZNEC2000's ability to simulate transmission lines,
>the FCC database info on dates of birth, division by zero and the need for an
>age limit for an amateur license are subjects where your "coherent arguments"
>have been shown to be full of holes.

No holes. EZNEC is based on the Numerical Electromagnetic
principles of modeling antennas; saying that any NEC program
is really a "simulator of transmission lines" is wrong. While the
FCC may have a database NOT availalbe to the public that
contains dates of birth, that cannot be used as prima facie
evidence that it is applicable to public discussion. The
mathematical division by zero has been shown in here to be
all sorts of special conditions (that was never a real argument
only one posted out of aggravation by N2EY on a remark about
his "equalization" phrase). The "need for an age limit of
amateur licensees" was not a "need" at all but an item of
comment in regards to 98-143 received by the FCC on 13 Jan
99...which had already been "reviewed" by others in here
following the comment cut-off date.

There's a double standard operative there plus plenty of
misdirection to take the readers' minds off the subject of
Smith Charts, mastery or not. :-)

>Long experience in nonamateur radio, credentials obtained
>and waved about, quoted jingoism, alleged military colloquia,
>etc., is NO qualification for insults on another's person, name,
>profession, or employment...especially so when there is a
>failure of the long-timer fundamentalist professional
>credential-waver to address a subject properly and with a civil
>attitude, and to admit mistakes, errors and gaps of knowledge..

>And vice versa - but ONLY if they apply to the situation described. Amateurs
>cannot be judged solely by "professional" criteria because the goals,
>resources and purposes are not the same.

Who was "juding amateurs solely on 'professional' criteria?"
I was not. I simply pointed out that experience and knowledge
in technology could be carried over. I did not say an imperative
"only," just that it should be so. The word-twisting done tries to
make it appear so.

>>Some radio amateurs are quite against
>>that (apparently) by cites of "but this is AMATEUR radio"
>>(K3LT) not professional.
>
>I am not K3LT. All those who disagree with you are not identical clones.

...how about those who agree with you? :-)

>>Specious argument. The physics
>>of radio and electronics are not separated by some man-
>>made legislation and regulations on radio services.

>
>Specious argument. The goals, resources and purposes of different radio
>services are different, so the applicability of techniques may or may not
>carry over.

The "applicability of techniques" is man-made. Radio physics
is the same in all radio services.

>And you ignored the fact that the QRZ database does indeed contain such
>information - which could only have been obtained from the FCC database.
>That's how they got my borthdate, anyway.

Happy borthday. May you have many of them.

>In addition, Phil Kane has stated that the FCC has DOB information, they just
>don;t put it in the publicly-accessible database.

We know. :-)

I'm sure there are many things NOT in a publicly-accessible
database in may areas of information. Is that an argument
about PUBLIC discussion?

>All of this is simply a misdirection on your part because the point being
>discussed is whether the licensing of young children as hams has caused
>on-air problems.

Shouldn't that go under the "Endless Comments on 98-143"
thread? :-)

My Comments on 98-143, specifically in the last page of 14
pages of text, cited RESPONSIBILITY of a license (each
licensee is responsible for operation within regulations). My
claim, whether it is accepted by the masses or not, is that
children are not yet as responsible as adults.

>You have not given a SINGLE example where a ham below the age of 14
>has been cited by the FCC for on-air irresponsibility. Yet you claim that an
>age requirement is needed. You seek to cure a problem that does not exist.

So, by failure to meet the "qualifications" (apparently of
needing to search the entire FCC Enforcement Bureau
records or something similar) you can tell me that ALL
children are as responsible as adults?

How about 4-year-olds? Are they responsible enough to
operate on their own without parental monitoring? Their
licenses gave them that legal ability. There's nothing in
the regulations that say they could not operate unless an
adult was the control operator.

>>N2EY wishes
>>to defend the "nursie's" remarks as somehow worthy of
>>respect and a credit to the "service?"

>
>More misdirection by LHA. The point being made was YOUR behavior on this NG
>when you have information of others' profession and employment.

Hmmm...I was trying to talk about Smith Charts and such
technical tools. :-)

Somehow I was forced into a different direction. Most would
call that "misdirection." :-)

>>>It is therefore logical that intelligent people would be hesitant to give
>>>details of their profession and employment to you.
>>
>>No, not logical at all.
>
>It's logical to not enable another person's immature behavior.

Not at all "logical." Immature behavior is emotional, does not
respond to logic. Anyone venturing into any unmoderated
newsgroup must be prepared for any number of immature
and/or personally-offensive postings made by such
individuals. They cannot be anticipated unless the immature
constantly post.

>It is interesting that you have never said that you would not make fun of my
>job, and that you have never mentioned my education other than to make fun of
>my Extra class license, deprecating it as an "academic achievement".

I've never considered ANY amateur radio license as an
academic achievement. The agency that grants amateur
radio licenses doesn't consider it an academic
achievement. That agency isn't chartered as an educational
institution.

Some radio amateurs consider an amateur radio license
as a degree or educational certificate. That's an emotional
thing with them and they get all upset if everyone doesn't
regard it the same way. That emotional upset is demonstrated
by deprecating commercial radio licenses as insignificant or
nowhere near as "good" as their amateur licenses. :-)

Okay, so N2EY has "mastered morse code" and at high
rates, too. Does that verify his claim of "mastering Smith
Charts" or enough "RF design experience" to be
judgemental on all others? How does "mastering morse
code" tie in with knowing radio theory?

>>It's an emotional matter to the hesitant
>>and those who may have some insecurity feelings.
>
>Well, that leaves me out. I'm neither hesitant nor insecure.

Okay, so what does N2EY know about Smith Charts other
than QST having an article on them? Is he going to cop-out
again by saying "we know" again? :-)

>>No one MUST give their professional activities away but
>>such does serve as a base of individuals' knowledge on
>>subjects under discussion.
>
>How?

See the paragraph on "credentials" following.

>I have previously stated that while I did RF design professionally in the
past,
>I do other things now. That description was carried over through several
>posts, yet you obviously ignored it or did not understand it. It's in the
archives.

Okay, what KIND of RF design did you do professionally?
What part of the EM spectrum was used by this RF design?
Were solid-state devices used or was it all vacuum tubes?
That's not asking for a detailed description, just something
less vague than a very broad, general statement of "doing
RF design."

Okay, I'll accept your "doing RF design professionally in the
past." Now, how does that relate as a credential or
credential surrogate?

>It is clear that you will ONLY accept employment as proof of Smith Chart
>knowledge.

Not at all. Quit trying to twist words to suit your obviously
offended person. [see a following paragraph for "credentialism"]

>QED - if someone doesn't meet YOUR exact professional criteria, there's no
>way they can have mastered the Smith Chart, regardless of amateur experience,
>education, etc. You have made that perfectly clear.

I've never made any sort of claim to "having mastered a
Smith Chart" or anything else in radio-electronics. I'm
competent in some areas, still learning in other areas.
I thought I made that clear, but apparently others want
to twist things around to have another meaning. :-)

>You even ignore academic
>achievements, despite being repeatedly pointed to them.

I don't "ignore" them if I can't find a direct connection to
the subject at hand. How does "academic achievements"
enter into a discussion of Smith Charts? Can one learn,
understand, and use Smith Charts by themselves? Yes.
Are "credentials" necessary to prove such understanding
and use? No. Those who've learned, understood, and
used a tool can recognize others who've learned, under-

stood, and used such tools. Phony claimants are
spotted right away regardless of wavings of "credential
papers."

If the claimants get all upset at not being recognized
(or honored, even revered) for their claimed "achievements,"
then TS. If they can handle a chart tool demonstrating
understanding and use, that's good enough for others who
already understand and use that chart tool. THAT is the
only "credential" needed in either professional or non-
professional activities.

>>>You can't talk your way out a reputation you behaved your way into, LHA.
>>
>>Neither can I talk my way out of being called a "putz" or
>>other not-nice things. :-)
>
>Nor can anyone else. Shall I list some of the things you have called me?

Now, now, you are getting all upset at PERCEIVED direct
personal dishonor. :-)

If you feel this overwhelming need to search archives and
go over and go over and go over what has been said in the
past, you are free to do that. That way you can argue
endlessly that what you did was not wrong and that what
others thought was all wrong. Now, how does that look to
all the other readers in here?

>>Why try? It is impossible to
>>prove or disprove a negative. Yet there are a number of
>>long-time fundamentalist credentialled radio amateurs in
>>here who have consistently made lies and libelous
>>associations of my experience and personal character.
>>That's in the archives, too, but those same individuals
>>don't want that publicized again. :-)
>
>More misdirection. The subject is YOUR behavior, LHA.

Really? :-) I thought it was about Smith Charts... :-)

>>Everything that is written in these newsgroups is a
>>reflection on US amateur radio.
>
>That's true to a point. This NG is only a tiny nonrandom sample.

Seems to me that the fundamentalists of this "tiny
nonrandom sample" are trying very hard to prove
they are some kind of leaders. :-)

>>Anyone with newsgroup
>>access can look in and judge for themselves.
>

>Yup. They can see how YOU behave, too, LHA.

I have no problem with that. :-)

>>Is N2EY
>>proud of all of the statements of other radio amateurs
>>writing in here?
>
>How can one be proud of something one has no control over? I cannot control
>what others post. I don't believe in telling other people to shut up when I
>don't agree with them. But you have tried to get me to shut up when I
>persisted in disagreeing with you. (Telling someone to go away and discuss
>something else is a veiled way of saying "shut up"). It's in the archives.

Oh, oh, very personal angst being displayed! Tsk, tsk.

Interesting definition there: "(telling someone to go away and
discuss something else is a veiled way of saying 'shut up')"

Careful, careful on saying such things...they can boomerang.
:-)

Let's go back in the timeline. I made a comment in a rather
short posting about being a master of the Smith Chart. What
grew out of that was an almost vehement denial of being a
master of the Smith Chart with the excuse/rationale of what
was originally said was "mastered the Smith Chart" which
was "not the same" as being a master of it! So...things that
are the same are not the same? :-)

In a separate post I made some suggestions on the use of a
Smith Chart to another (Richard McCollum). That was done
out of familiarity of use of it, knowing how it can be a good
aid in certain problems. I've never claimed to have "mastered
the Smith Chart" or be any kind of "master." N2EY said that
"we know [all] that" but never once mentioned any particulars
of such use of the Chart, only some background information
on the author of it being a ham (old style call letters given)
and having to know morse code.

In the history of the Smith Chart, it's development came out
of the author's need to have an aid in analysis of landline
telephony transmission lines. It is obvious to anyone who
has worked on transmission lines of all kinds that this sort
of chart-diagram is an excellent tool. That's proven by its
immediate adoption and continued use in the whole of the
world's radio industry. The familiar format has become the
format for direct display on most network analyzers.

But...(here we get some promotional propaganda)...the
author of the Chart was described as a radio amateur who
knew morse code. That's such a tenuous association
that it can only be regarded as "spin."

>>Would he present those statements
>>as proof of the goodness and nobility of US radio
>>amateurs?
>
>Not all of them. Nor would I present your statements and behavior as an
>example of the kind that amateur radio needs, either.

Ah, it becomes clear. N2EY is the judge, the one who knows
what is good for amateur radio! All unbelievers get out! :-)

>Are YOU proud of all the statements you have made in rrap, LHA?

I just make postings in here. None of them involve "pride"
as N2EY puts it. :-)

>Would YOU
>present them as examples of the way professionals should behave?

I've been "behaving" among professionals for a long time
and this is no different. :-)

I've been "behaving" among human beings for a long time,
too, and I've seen lots and lots of folks pretending to be
"professional." :-)

If N2EY thinks that it is honorable and good for others to
use profanity against others, then that is one thing. Among
the rest of the human race such demonstrated profanity is
not considered a good thing. That's a general consensus
that doesn't need any poll to prove...it can be demonstrated
by trial in any "random sampling" of humans. If you wish to
do such a trial, I suggest having your medical insurance up
to date. :-)

>>Or isn't it just SOME of the statements are
>>highlighted in an effort to win newsgroup message
>>points for N2EY's personal satisfaction?
>>
>Nope. Just telling it like it is.

"Just telling it" from N2EY's viewpoint. :-)

>N2EY (who actually signs his posts)

As a radio station?!? A "signature" as it appears on
everyone's screen is just a printed form, not a true
signature that is hand-written. Why write down what is
already in the header information that everyone receives?

When did N2EY become your official surname? :-)

5478. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 21:35:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gkwga34.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21722

On 08 Oct 2001 05:19:48 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>> There are two FCC amateur radio databases. The one being kicked
>> around here is the one reachable by the public and does not have
>> age/birthdate information. The other is a non-releaseable database
>> reachable only by FCC workstations on the FCC WAN, and does contain
>> DOB information.
>
>Ok, so Phil Kane is able to connect to the FCC wireless area
>network? But most of the participants in here cannot?

Phil Kane cannot connect to the FCC WAN. My login ID and password
became invalid on September 1, 1995, six years ago when I retired.
Any active FCC employee who has the proper access level can so
connect when on the FCC WAN, a private network.
>
>It's a nice bit of information, Phil, I grant you that, but in public
>discussion shouldn't we all concern ourselves with publicly
>available information?

"The FCC database" is what was discussed. Up to now no one has
pointed out that the FCC has two amateur radio databases - one
acessible by the public and one not so accessible. It's never been
a big secret. The data being kicked around (DOB/age info) does in
fact live on "the FCC's database" - the internal one.

>Oh, and there are several other amateur callsign databases
>about, not just the two mentioned. QRZ may be the biggest?
>Haven't seen the Buckmaster CD and haven't tried the ARRL
>callsign search (probably can't, not a member).
>
>Does the QRZ callsign database contain OTHER information
>not found on the publicly-available FCC databases? Or does
>it not? Seems to me it does.

That is correct. It contains lots of stuff that does not appear on
both the public and internal FCC database. As was pointed out by
others, QRZ's database DOB info came either from the FCC public
database at the time that the latter did carry the DOB, or from
amateur licensees who requested that such info be published
and provided the info to QRZ.

Where's the beef?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5479. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 21:41:28 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <ll44stctahrosqdnil8b4go5ocdpvfkq66@4ax.com>
Source record: 21723

On 07 Oct 2001 01:32:56 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>Axeually, I believe you're the one who needs the Rectal Crainiotomy.

As if I or anybody else cares what you believe?

5480. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 21:41:30 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <qn44sts1qde1vr4iurr10hsnb6047e63l1@4ax.com>
Source record: 21724

On 07 Oct 2001 01:32:57 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>Oh, I forgot to mention...the bus will be equipped with a set of six
>new Michelin tires, not Firestones!

Okay...may the flat be on one of the inside duals.

5481. Re: List of the rec.radio newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 22:26:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gkwjba7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21725

On 8 Oct 2001 05:31:01 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction
>
>In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate
>audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases,
>a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely
>be necessary.

You left out the regional groups dealing with radio such as
ba.broadcast and the ever-popular alt.radio.pirate and its
arch-rival, alt.pirate.radio.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5482. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 23:05:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008190558.08573.00000492@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 21726

In article <3BC1F896.7C1604CE@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> > Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
>> >is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
>> >formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
>> >source code is free to use, not copyrighted)
>>
>> Yeah - we know, Len.
>>
>> btw - the author is W7EL, an Extra Class ham)
>> >
>> >The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
>> >electromagnetic field patterns.
>> >
>> We KNOW, Len. Various versions have been around for years. The Windows
>version
>> (EZNEC2000) is pretty slick.
>>
>> >The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.
>>
>> We know that, too.
>> >The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
>> >of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
>> >Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
>> >data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.
>>
>> Ahhh, Len? We know that already.
>> >
>> >A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
>> >solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or
>> >narrowband performance.
>>
>> I oughta just set up a macro key.
>
>Len was obviously caught off guard when you mentioned EZNEC. He hadn't
>heard of it.

Untrue. :-) The original NEC has been around for some time
but was not ported to any personal computer until about five (?)
years ago and then in a very limited form. NEC was first

developed using FORTRAN and then translated to C. The
source code is public domain...and fairly large.

>But in the interest of disguising that fact, he used a
>search engine to find out about it.

Not quite. I used a search program to find a source for
the FORTAN versions...but that was over a year and a
half ago. I have it on CD now. While very interesting,
many other good folks have done conversions to
Windows, Roy Lewallen included. I've used EZNEC
a few times on a friend's installation, also a Canadian
company's NEC (on a free trial basis) that looked a
bit easier to use.

A year ago I ended my subscription to Antennex; that
site mentioned by Cecil Moore over two years ago.
Antennex is a good place for anyone to expand their
knowledge of antennas. W4RNL does a good column
in there on antenna modeling using the various NEC-
based programs...something that is not restricted to
just members.

>He then, as he quite often does,
>typed what he had just learned into a post to the newsgroup in hopes
>that he would sound like an all-knowing, "master" of radio - rather than
>the narrow-minded pompous blowhard we all know him to be.

...I just love these amateur telepaths. :-)

5483. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 23:05:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008190559.08573.00000493@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 21727

In article <9f4bfe89.0110080820.6f7c806@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20011006224255.12297.00000247@nso-fr.aol.com>...
>>
>> The FCC license database does NOT NOW contain any
>> birthdate or age information.
>
>Phil Kane has shown this to be incorrect.
>
>> Yes, I know of an announcement that the FCC will once
>> again start collecting age-related information...
>
>FCC has announced that they will NOT process applications on old
>versions of Form 605. Not wanting to be out of date, I went to the FCC
>database and downloaded the zip version of the latest Form 605. It's
>only 70 kB when zipped and easily fits on a floppy when unzipped.
>
>The latest version has an entry for date of birth, and the
>instructions note that providing DOB information is REQUIRED for
>amateur radio operator and certain other license applications.
>
>That's straight from fcc.gov

That's nice to know. So, when is that birthdate information going to be in the public database? You know, the public database that QRZ and the others access to provide their information? Like the PUBLIC information discussed in a publicly-accessible forum... :-)

>> >>"QRZ" is a commercial entity in the business of providing
>> >>a database in "callbook" format with additional information
>> >>not found in the FCC database records. Their database is
>> >>not obtained solely from the FCC.
>
>However, in at least some cases it is clear that the FCC database is
>the source of DOB information.

WAS their source, you mean. :-)

Does QRZ obtain their information solely from the FCC?

Does QRZ accept modification of information of listings, such as eliminating birthdates?

>The QRZ database had date-of-birth information on 6 of the 9 licensees
>cited for on-air violations in the September 22 listing on the ARRL
>website. All of them were much older than 14. Indeed, they were all
>well over 40 years of age.

Chronological age. :-)

>It is possible to infer minimum age data from other sources. For
>example, a licensee is at least 4 years older than his/her current
>license. Knowledge of former calls (if any) and some old Callbooks or
>Callbook CDROMS can determine a minimum age, as well.

Didn't you forget other sources as well? But the whole thread
on age versus QRZ was started on the premise stated by
another that QRZ gets its information from the FCC database
which was alleged to contain birthdate information. However,
the described data fields of that same database does NOT
contain space for birthdate.

>The main point of this discussion is being avoided, however:

Who made that "main point?" Someone who says "we know"
a lot? :-)

>Should there be an age requirement for a US amateur radio license? If
>so, why, and what age should be the minimum?
>
>FCC and its predecessors have NEVER had an age requirement for an FCC
>license. To my knowledge they have never even proposed an age
>requirement for an amateur license. But as has been shown, they DO
>currently require date of birth information.

...and they do NOT have that information in the public database.

>LHA thinks that there should be an age requirement of 14 years, but
>has presented ZERO evidence that the licensing of younger people as
>hams has caused ANY on-air problems.
>
>I think there is no need for any such requirement.

I'm sure you think that four-year-olds can operate reliably
and responsibly without parental monitoring. Sure you do.
Perfectly legal. If they are licensed as radio amateurs
they can never do anything irresponsible, can they?

5484. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 23:18:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011008191847.05613.00000187@nso-de.aol.com>
Source record: 21728

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gkwga34.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

> That is correct. It contains lots of stuff that does not appear on
> both the public and internal FCC database. As was pointed out by
> others, QRZ's database DOB info came either from the FCC public
> database at the time that the latter did carry the DOB, or from
> amateur licensees who requested that such info be published
> and provided the info to QRZ.
>
> Where's the beef?

I have no beef with you, Phil. One can't have a beef with a ham,
can one? :-)

So the FCC has lots of stuff for Internal Use Only. Fine with
me. Is QRZ using that secret database? Is Buckmaster?

Maybe it's for religious purposes..."Top Sacred?" :-)

5485. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-08 23:57:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gkwnj9a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21729

On 08 Oct 2001 23:18:47 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>So the FCC has lots of stuff for Internal Use Only. Fine with
>me. Is QRZ using that secret database? Is Buckmaster?

NTIKO

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5486. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 04:48:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011009004818.17247.00001986@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 21730

In article <qn44sts1qde1vr4iurr10hsnb6047e63l1@4ax.com>, Nonya Bidniz
<ham@station.com> writes:

>
>
>>Oh, I forgot to mention...the bus will be equipped with a set of six
>>new Michelin tires, not Firestones!
>
>Okay...may the flat be on one of the inside duals.

Hey, it happens! A couple of years ago, while I was working as an
airport shuttle operator, I was following a truck crossing the Chesapeake
Bay Bridge at 60 MPH when one of the inside duals on it's trailer threw
a cap. An interesting experience, to say the least! Almost 200 feet in
the air, in the center lane, with nowhere to go on either side! Fortunately
I had adequate following distance to slow down a lot before hitting the
biggest piece of the thrown retread cap...not much damage, but not
an experience I wish to repeat!

73 de Larry, K3LT

5487. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 05:14:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011009011413.07385.00001215@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21731

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/8/2001 3:59 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>

>So...the ground rules are: Licensed radio amateurs can call
>others all sorts of names, use profanity about them...(SNIP)

You opened the door, Your Putziness, now you are complaining about the
draft....

>>Were you a nurse in the 1950s?
>
>No. I was in the U.S. Army Signal Corps 1952 to 1960.

And it took them until Desert Storm to straighten things back out.

>PLENTY of material there that is
>good for the self-professed radio cop.

Then that's where YOU belong, Your Narrowmindedness....

>Nope. That's how it started out. I began this thread as a
>spin-off from one of the endless N2EY postings which have
>to argue individual sentences taken out of context.

Which is different from YOUR threads taken out of context HOW,
Lennie...?!?!

Spin On, Oh Lying One...We know who you are and what you are.....

5488. "IT Seems To Us...We Are Not Alone"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 07:39:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011009033937.09150.00001177@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 21732

It Seems to Us . . . We Are Not Alone

By David Sumner, K1ZZ
ARRL Executive Vice President
October 8, 2001

The day dawned perfectly in the northeastern United States. It was the sort of late-summer morning that heralds the imminent arrival of that most glorious of seasons: autumn, with its iridescent foliage and crispness in the air that makes the drawing of every breath a pure delight. It was a great day to be alive.

At 8:48 AM all that changed. The world changed, probably forever.

There is no need to describe here the horrific events of that second Tuesday morning in September, not that mere words possibly could. The images are burned into our minds and will stay with us as long as we live.

For those who lost loved ones the void can never be filled. For the rest of us, time may take some of the edge off the pain. Passing years may cause us to forget how helpless we felt as we experienced a chain of catastrophes unprecedented in American history, utterly incapable of knowing when or whether they would end. This may happen, but for now it seems impossible. Nothing, it seems, can ever be the same.

The attacks occurred on American soil, but it was not simply a national tragedy. We now know that it was an international tragedy, with people from more than 60 countries and every major faith tradition counted among its victims. But in the first moments of that terrible morning it was natural for Americans to feel isolated from the rest of the world. Our own jetliners, symbols of American technological prowess, had been turned against us. If we could not even trust our own mechanical creations, who and what could we trust? Were we alone in a world that suddenly had turned unimaginably hostile?

The answers soon began to arrive. Here in Newington they came in the form of emailed messages of condolence and support from radio amateurs throughout the world that began to arrive that very same morning, first as a trickle but soon as a flood. They came from our sister societies in countries as diverse as Japan, Germany, Turkey, Egypt, Lebanon, Oman, Pakistan, Syria, Yugoslavia, and dozens of others. They came from individuals in these and many other countries, some of whom shared their stories of why the United States occupied a special place in their hearts -- stories of great sacrifices made by GIs, of aid given when it was most needed after World War II, or simply of kindness shown by an individual American that reflected the best values of our open society. Thanks

to the thoughtfulness of our unique, global Amateur Radio fraternity, before that awful day had ended we were privileged to know what many Americans would not discover until later in the week: We are not alone. The entire civilized world shares our grief and our outrage.

Less than a lifetime ago the United States and its allies were fighting a two-ocean war against ruthless, implacable enemies. Today we count these former enemies among our closest friends. Some of the first messages offering comfort and support came from countries that experienced their own brush with the Apocalypse delivered by American bombers. Their friendship today did not spring from defeat and subjugation. Rather, it sprouted from seeds planted by what Tom Brokaw calls The Greatest Generation--my father's generation, the generation that not only won the war but equally importantly, also won the peace. Will my own generation do as well? Decades from now, will our children and grandchildren live in a world where today's enemies are friends? Or will they be forced to retreat into armed camps, suspicious of differences, unable to know the wondrous diversity the world has to offer?

As the war on terrorism is launched it is difficult to hold such lofty thoughts for long. The wounds are too fresh and too deep. Living one day at a time is challenge enough. Yet, as radio amateurs we can at least do this much. As the terrorists and their few sympathizers look for evidence that the civilized world is tearing itself apart, let them draw no comfort from what they hear on the ham bands. We are communicators. Let us communicate our resolve that the world must remain united in the face of terrorism. Let us communicate our respect for one another across the boundaries of nationality, religion, cultural background, and the other myriad ways society seeks to group us. Let us communicate our desire to increase our understanding of one another.

And let us remember the radio amateurs who died on that terrible Tuesday morning. Even as we look with hope toward the future, we know that our fraternity is the poorer for their loss.

We regret to report that the following Amateur Radio operators are listed among those missing from the World Trade Center and Pentagon Disasters:

ï¿½ Steven A. "Steve" Jacobson, N2SJ, 53, an ARRL member and a WPIX transmitter engineer from New York City.

ï¿½ William V. "Bill" Steckman, WA2ACW, of W Hempstead, New York, a WNBC transmitter engineer.

ï¿½ Michael G. Jacobs, AA1GO, 54, an ARRL member from Danbury, Connecticut.

ï¿½ Robert D. "Bob" Cirri Sr, KA2OTD, 39, an ARRL member from Nutley, New Jersey, and ARRL Hudson County District Emergency Coordinator. A Port Authority Police officer, Cirri was helping to evacuate workers from the World Trade Center when it collapsed.

ï¿½ William R. "Bill" Ruth, W3HRD, 58, of Mt Airy, Maryland, an ARRL member, who died in Pentagon attack. He was a Vietnam and Gulf war veteran and worked in the Pentagon.

ï¿½ Gerard J. "Rod" Coppola, KA2KET, 46, of New York City.

ï¿½ Winston A. Grant, KA2DRF, 59, of W Hempstead, New York.

5489. Re: 10 Secret Rules of RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 09:50:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OLzw7.36906$WW.2445824@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21733

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20011009004818.17247.00001986@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <qn44sts1qde1vr4iurr10hsnb6047e63l1@4ax.com>, Nonya Bidniz
> <ham@station.com> writes:
>
> >
> >
> >>Oh, I forgot to mention...the bus will be equipped with a set of six
> >>new Michelin tires, not Firestones!
> >
> >Okay...may the flat be on one of the inside duals.
>
> Hey, it happens! A couple of years ago, while I was working as an
> airport shuttle operator, I was following a truck crossing the Chesapeake
> Bay Bridge at 60 MPH when one of the inside duals on it's trailer threw
> a cap. An interesting experience, to say the least! Almost 200 feet in
> the air, in the center lane, with nowhere to go on either side!
Fortunately
> I had adequate following distance to slow down a lot before hitting the
> biggest piece of the thrown retread cap...not much damage, but not
> an experience I wish to repeat!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Right up there with having a dump truck eject a rock from between the duals.

You know how to tell a driver don't you, it's the brown stains in his
shorts.

5490. Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 15:22:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9pv4o2$4al$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 21734

That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists using shoulder fired Stinger type missiles, (the last one a few days ago east of Turkey with the loss of over 100 lives) and that the previous Administration knew about, And routinely covered it up.... And that the evidence is overwhelming that is what happened to TWA Flight 800 off LOng Island?

Check this out...

http://www.newsmax.com/articles/?a=1999/4/12/130801

http://www.newsmax.com/hottopics/TWA_800.shtml

5491. Re: Extra Class - Not without experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 15:50:17 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <Z0Fw7.848$s6.202344@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 21735

Jim:

Yes, it is a bit more complex for the formulae more complicated then Ohm's Law, but it is still quite doable, I think.

Sorry about the "pea brain" wisecrack. As a former teacher, I do find it hard to believer that many would find it easier to memorize 60 sets of data and each one's matching answer rather then a fairly simple formula.

At the very least, some of the questions should probably be slightly revised. For example, I think all of the E5H questions have the lowest R and E value combinations as the correct answer.

As for the idea of using lists of values for questions and answers, yes I think it would work for many of the concept questions. Not for all of them, of course, but for some of them.

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011005080254.07922.00004363@nso-cr.aol.com...
> In article <uGLu7.912$_d5.282061@news.uswest.net>, "Paul Friedman"
> <paulfriedman@uswest.net> writes:
>
> >> Third question - how do we design a written exam that demands
> >> comprehension rather than pure rote memorization if we are limited to
> >> the multiple-choice format and wide open Q&A pools?
> >>
> >
> >There are ways to do this. There was a discussion here earlier about one
> >approach:
> >Simple example: Rather then list 3 questions on basic Ohm's Law
> >calculations with the numbers already plugged in. Change it to something
> >like:
> > What is the voltage of a circuit if resistance equals x and current
> >equals y.
> > Have a long list (say 20) of pairs of x and y.
> >Do this similarly for questions solving for I and R.
>
> Yep. This works for simple concepts like Ohm's Law. But for more involved
stuff
> it's a lot more complex.
> >
> >Now, if someone wants to memorize 60 numbers rather then 1 formula, god
> >bless his pea brain.
>
> For some it may be easier. And why is having a good memory for data a "pea
> brain"?

> >
> >A similar approach could be used for all of the other theory questions.
>
> Even those that involve concepts and not simple formulas?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

5492. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-09 16:24:36 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9pv820$6ld$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 21736

I retract my comments.

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011008190558.08573.00000492@nso-bh.aol.com...
> In article <3BC1F896.7C1604CE@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >> > Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
> >> >is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
> >> >formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
> >> >source code is free to use, not copyrighted)
> >>
> >> Yeah - we know, Len.
> >>
> >> btw - the author is W7EL, an Extra Class ham)
> >> >
> >> >The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
> >> >electromagnetic field patterns.
> >> >
> >> We KNOW, Len. Various versions have been around for years. The Windows
> >version
> >> (EZNEC2000) is pretty slick.
> >>
> >> >The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.
> >>
> >> We know that, too.
> >> >The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
> >> >of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
> >> >Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
> >> >data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.
> >>
> >> Ahhh, Len? We know that already.
> >> >
> >> >A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
> >> >solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or
> >> >narrowband performance.
> >>
> >> I oughta just set up a macro key.
> >

> >Len was obviously caught off guard when you mentioned EZNEC. He hadn't
> >heard of it.
>
> Untrue. :-) The original NEC has been around for some time
> but was not ported to any personal computer until about five (?)
> years ago and then in a very limited form. NEC was first
> developed using FORTRAN and then translated to C. The
> source code is public domain...and fairly large.
>
> >But in the interest of disguising that fact, he used a
> >search engine to find out about it.
>
> Not quite. I used a search program to find a source for
> the FORTAN versions...but that was over a year and a
> half ago. I have it on CD now. While very interesting,
> many other good folks have done conversions to
> Windows, Roy Lewallen included. I've used EZNEC
> a few times on a friend's installation, also a Canadian
> company's NEC (on a free trial basis) that looked a
> bit easier to use.
>
> A year ago I ended my subscription to Antennex; that
> site mentioned by Cecil Moore over two years ago.
> Antennex is a good place for anyone to expand their
> knowledge of antennas. W4RNL does a good column
> in there on antenna modeling using the various NEC-
> based programs...something that is not restricted to
> just members.
>
>
> >He then, as he quite often does,
> >typed what he had just learned into a post to the newsgroup in hopes
> >that he would sound like an all-knowing, "master" of radio - rather than
> >the narrow-minded pompous blowhard we all know him to be.
>
> ...I just love these amateur telepaths. :-)

5493. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 00:09:08 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <7cc02a48989d1a1a464ed918fcd260b0.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21737

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9pv4o2$4al$0@208.207.71.155...

> That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists......

It _MUST_ be true because I saw it on the internet at Conspiracy
Central (Newsmax.com)!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

C'mon Dick, you're smarter than that!

73, Hans

--
Posted from mplsapanas09poolb219.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.18.219]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5494. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 00:30:52 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011009203052.15327.00002585@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21738

>
> That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists
>using shoulder fired Stinger type missiles, (the last one a few days ago
>east of Turkey with the loss of over 100 lives) and that the previous
>Administration knew about, And routinely covered it up.... And that the
>evidence is overwhelming that is what happened to TWA Flight 800 off
>LOng Island?
>
>Check this out...
>
>http://www.newsmax.com/articles/?a=1999/4/12/130801
>
>http://www.newsmax.com/hottopics/TWA_800.shtml

Hi Dick,

That's pretty frightening. I did think it was odd that so many people (Including a military officer) said that they saw a streak of flame moving toward the aircraft in the moments preceding the explosion. Then, nobody mentioned it again, strange. Take care and 73.

Mark

5495. Re: The Answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 00:32:55 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011009203255.15327.00002586@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21739

>Killing Osama bin Laden will only create a martyr.
>
> Holding him prisoner will only inspire his comrades to take hostages
>to
>demand his release.
>
> Therefore, we should do neither.
>
> Let the Special Forces, Seals, Green Berets, etc., covertly capture
>him, fly
>him to an undisclosed hospital and have surgeons quickly perform a
>complete
>sex change operation.
>
> Then return "her" to Afghanistan to live as a woman under the
>Taliban.

Great idea!

Mark

5496. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 01:11:41 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hfNw7.56867$3d2.2589663@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21740

If this were to prove true (and remembering Tricky Dicky, nothing would surprise me one way or the other), then every one of them who conspired to cover it up should stand trial for high treason. Come to think of it, why didn't Jane Fonda ever stand trial? It is just about time to really clamp a lid on this thing. If the anthrax is proven to be terrorist related, I'd send all the nukie subs to sea and open the silos. Wouldn't have to say or do a thing. There are a number of countries with satellites and they will be screaming. You just assure them that our allies have nothing to fear. Send one of ours to the hospital, one of yours goes to the morgue. One of ours goes to the morgue, 10 of yours go to the morgue. Who wants some?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9pv4o2$4al$0@208.207.71.155...
> That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists
> using shoulder fired Stinger type missiles, (the last one a few days ago
> east of Turkey with the loss of over 100 lives) and that the previous
> Administration knew about, And routinely covered it up.... And that the
> evidence is overwhelming that is what happened to TWA Flight 800 off
> LOng Island?
>
> Check this out...
>
> http://www.newsmax.com/articles/?a=1999/4/12/130801
>
> http://www.newsmax.com/hottopics/TWA_800.shtml

5497. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 05:02:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q0koirla0@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 21741

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9pv4o2$4al$0@208.207.71.155...
>
> > That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists......
>
> It _MUST_ be true because I saw it on the internet at Conspiracy
> Central (Newsmax.com)!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

Didn't say every claim made there is gospel, but that much smoke =
some fire.

Vince Foster *was* a suicide, too. And Lee Harvey Oswald shot Kennedy
with a Italian Carcano carbine that is well known to be such a piece of
junk that not even an expert shot can hit the broad side of a barn with
one. I threw the one I had away, it was such a piece of junk. Righto.

5498. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 05:03:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q0kr0rla1@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 21742

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> I retract my comments.
>

Then allow me to adopt them as my own.

> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20011008190558.08573.00000492@nso-bh.aol.com...
> > In article <3BC1F896.7C1604CE@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
> >
> > >N2EY wrote:
> > >>
> > >> In article <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> > >lenover21@aol.com
> > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> > >> > Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
> > >> >is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
> > >> >formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
> > >> >source code is free to use, not copyrighted)
> > >>
> > >> Yeah - we know, Len.
> > >>
> > >> btw - the author is W7EL, an Extra Class ham)
> > >> >
> > >> >The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
> > >> >electromagnetic field patterns.
> > >> >
> > >> We KNOW, Len. Various versions have been around for years. The Windows
> > >version
> > >> (EZNEC2000) is pretty slick.
> > >>
> > >> >The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.
> > >>
> > >> We know that, too.
> > >> >The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
> > >> >of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
> > >> >Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
> > >> >data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.
> > >>
> > >> Ahhh, Len? We know that already.
> > >> >
> > >> >A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
> > >> >solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or

> > >> >narrowband performance.
> > >>
> > >> I oughta just set up a macro key.
> > >
> > >Len was obviously caught off guard when you mentioned EZNEC. He hadn't
> > >heard of it.
> >
> > Untrue. :-) The original NEC has been around for some time
> > but was not ported to any personal computer until about five (?)
> > years ago and then in a very limited form. NEC was first
> > developed using FORTRAN and then translated to C. The
> > source code is public domain...and fairly large.
> >
> > >But in the interest of disguising that fact, he used a
> > >search engine to find out about it.
> >
> > Not quite. I used a search program to find a source for
> > the FORTAN versions...but that was over a year and a
> > half ago. I have it on CD now. While very interesting,
> > many other good folks have done conversions to
> > Windows, Roy Lewallen included. I've used EZNEC
> > a few times on a friend's installation, also a Canadian
> > company's NEC (on a free trial basis) that looked a
> > bit easier to use.
> >
> > A year ago I ended my subscription to Antennex; that
> > site mentioned by Cecil Moore over two years ago.
> > Antennex is a good place for anyone to expand their
> > knowledge of antennas. W4RNL does a good column
> > in there on antenna modeling using the various NEC-
> > based programs...something that is not restricted to
> > just members.
> >
> >
> > >He then, as he quite often does,
> > >typed what he had just learned into a post to the newsgroup in hopes
> > >that he would sound like an all-knowing, "master" of radio - rather than
> > >the narrow-minded pompous blowhard we all know him to be.
> >
> > ...I just love these amateur telepaths. :-)

5499. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 12:03:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011010080313.11688.00000567@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21743

In article <9pv4o2$4al$0@208.207.71.155>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists
>using shoulder fired Stinger type missiles,

Some questions:

- Who makes the Stinger-type missiles?
- How do the terrorists get them?
- Who trained the terrorists to use them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5500. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 12:03:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011010080313.11688.00000566@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 21744

In article <20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>(N2EY wrote:)
>>So what? The point is still the same: The 1950s ended 40+ years ago and the
>>term and how you used it are not complimentary.
>
>So...the ground rules are: Licensed radio amateurs can call
>others all sorts of names, use profanity about them, insult
>their person, etc., with free privileges as fine, noble,
>upstanding human beings...yet others, amateur radio
>license or not, must submit meekly and accept such
>without saying anything in response?!? Of course. :-)

Nope.

Anyone can post anything they want on an unmoderated newsgroup - even you, LHA. But then what is posted can be commented on by others. When a poster behaves in a certain way, others can decide to post or not post requested information.

To be specific: LHA repeatedly demanded to know my employment and profession, supposedly so that LHA could judge my Smith Chart knowledge. I refuse to post that information, based on LHA's previous behavior towards others in this NG when LHA had their employment information. "Nursie" is just one example. It's simple common sense not to enable such immature behavior by LHA.

It's clear LHA wants the freedom to post anything he wants but don't like the consequences of his posting style.
>
>I think that N2EY is looking for a battleground in the wrong
>newsgroup. Go on over to rec.radio.amateur.misc and just
>read the subject titles. PLENTY of material there that is
>good for the self-professed radio cop.

In other words, you want me to go away.
>
>>I did not claim to be a "master of the Smith Chart". I wrote that I had
>>mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse code, and use both. Different thing
>>entirely. But you ignore the distinction.
>
>Yes, I did, but neither do I see any distinction except that
>"mastered" implies a past tense. Having mastered something
>is the same as being a master of it at the time. :-)

You are mistaken, but since fine points of English are totally lost on you, let's see what the dictionary says:

From the Random House College Dictionary, revised edition: "master: 1. a person with the power to use, control or dispose of something"

>>There is a form of propaganda called the "Big Lie" where the propagandists
>>simply repeat a false statement over and over in an attempt to convince
>>people that it is true. Sure looks like you are doing that, LHA.
>
>So...another repeat of "having mastered is not the same as
>being a master of" argument is not the "big lie" technique?

No, it's not. It's simply a point of English that you missed.
>
>>I have challenged you to produce the post where I claimed to be "master of
>>the Smith Chart" and all you produced a post where I wrote (in the signature
>>line) that I have mastered both the Smith Chart and Morse Code.
>
>So...there's a difference there? :-)

Yes, but you missed it, so I got the dictionary out.
>
>Okay, so if N2EY mastered the Smith Chart once, then he
>can talk about it in some detail?

Sure.
>
>>Now THAT'S funny! Your arguments are not always coherent or even correct.
>For
>>example, the question of EZNEC2000's ability to simulate transmission lines,
>>the FCC database info on dates of birth, division by zero and the need for
>an
>>age limit for an amateur license are subjects where your "coherent
>arguments"
>>have been shown to be full of holes.
>
>No holes. EZNEC is based on the Numerical Electromagnetic
>principles of modeling antennas; saying that any NEC program
>is really a "simulator of transmission lines" is wrong.

Where did I say that?

EZNEC2000 can simulate transmission lines. That's a fact. Using EZNEC2000 can eliminate the need to use a Smith Chart to design transmission line matching sections.

>While the
>FCC may have a database NOT availalbe to the public that
>contains dates of birth, that cannot be used as prima facie
>evidence that it is applicable to public discussion.

You claimed that the FCC database did not the have the information. That was wrong.

In addition, the DOB information for most hams IS available publicly. Thus, the ages of most licensees cited for enforcement action can be easily obtained.

>The
>mathematical division by zero has been shown in here to be
>all sorts of special conditions

Nope. You're mistaken about that.

>The "need for an age limit of
>amateur licensees" was not a "need" at all but an item of
>comment in regards to 98-143 received by the FCC on 13 Jan
>99

An item of comment in a reply comment, where it did not belong.

Since your attitude on the subject has not changed, and you have no examples of problems caused by the licensing of young people, your argument IS full of holes.

>There's a double standard operative there plus plenty of
>misdirection to take the readers' minds off the subject of
>Smith Charts, mastery or not. :-)

Yep. The double standard is LHA's. He does not want to debate, he wants to be the Final Authority.
>
>>Long experience in nonamateur radio, credentials obtained
>>and waved about, quoted jingoism, alleged military colloquia,
>>etc., is NO qualification for insults on another's person, name,
>>profession, or employment...especially so when there is a
>>failure of the long-timer fundamentalist professional
>>credential-waver to address a subject properly and with a civil
>>attitude, and to admit mistakes, errors and gaps of knowledge..
>
>>And vice versa - but ONLY if they apply to the situation described. Amateurs
>>cannot be judged solely by "professional" criteria because the goals,
>>resources and purposes are not the same.
>
>Who was "juding amateurs solely on 'professional' criteria?"
>I was not.

You always do, LHA. Amateur practices, volunteerism, theory, licensing, and many other things are constantly compared to "what the pros do".
>
>>All of this is simply a misdirection on your part because the point being
>>discussed is whether the licensing of young children as hams has caused
>>on-air problems.
>
>Shouldn't that go under the "Endless Comments on 98-143"
>thread? :-)
>
>My Comments on 98-143, specifically in the last page of 14
>pages of text, cited RESPONSIBILITY of a license (each
>licensee is responsible for operation within regulations). My
>claim, whether it is accepted by the masses or not, is that

>children are not yet as responsible as adults.

In terms of following FCC regulations for the ARS, it seems that licensed children are MORE responsible than some licensed adults. Just look at the enforcement letters.
>
>>You have not given a SINGLE example where a ham below the age of 14
>>has been cited by the FCC for on-air irresponsibility. Yet you claim that an
>>age requirement is needed. You seek to cure a problem that does not exist.
>
>So, by failure to meet the "qualifications" (apparently of
>needing to search the entire FCC Enforcement Bureau
>records or something similar) you can tell me that ALL
>children are as responsible as adults?

Nope. Just the ones licensed as hams.

>How about 4-year-olds? Are they responsible enough to
>operate on their own without parental monitoring? Their
>licenses gave them that legal ability. There's nothing in
>the regulations that say they could not operate unless an
>adult was the control operator.

So? If you cannot cite problems caused by them, why is there the need for an age limit? And why 14 years as the limit?
>
>>>>It is therefore logical that intelligent people would be hesitant to give
>>>>details of their profession and employment to you.
>>>
>>>No, not logical at all.
>>
>>It's logical to not enable another person's immature behavior.
>
>Not at all "logical." Immature behavior is emotional, does not
>respond to logic.

As you have demonstrated repeatedly here.

>Anyone venturing into any unmoderated
>newsgroup must be prepared for any number of immature
>and/or personally-offensive postings made by such
>individuals.

Doesn't mean they are justified in acting that way.

>They cannot be anticipated unless the immature
>constantly post.
>
You post here constantly.
>
>>It is interesting that you have never said that you would not make fun of my
>>job, and that you have never mentioned my education other than to make fun
>of

>>my Extra class license, deprecating it as an "academic achievement".
>
>I've never considered ANY amateur radio license as an
>academic achievement.

I wasn't talking just about licenses.

How about BSEE and MSEE degrees?

>>>It's an emotional matter to the hesitant
>>>and those who may have some insecurity feelings.
>>
>>Well, that leaves me out. I'm neither hesitant nor insecure.
>
>Okay, so what does N2EY know about Smith Charts other
>than QST having an article on them?

How to use them to solve some types of problems, for one thing. And I encountered them in several articles and in the ARRL Antenna Book.

(more to come)

N2EY
>

5501. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 17:03:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110100903.bde0426@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21745

Continuing a response...

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>...
>
(N2EY wrote):
> >I have previously stated that while I did RF design professionally in the
> past,
> >I do other things now. That description was carried over through several
> >posts, yet you obviously ignored it or did not understand it. It's in the
> archives.

Although it is highly probable that LHA will make fun of my work in
this field, I'll answer his questions.
>
> Okay, what KIND of RF design did you do professionally?

I produced prototypes of CATV/MATV devices, mostly amplifiers, to meet
customer specifications. Some were custom designs, others were mass
produced.

> What part of the EM spectrum was used by this RF design?

VHF and some UHF.

> Were solid-state devices used or was it all vacuum tubes?

All solid state.

> That's not asking for a detailed description, just something
> less vague than a very broad, general statement of "doing
> RF design."

You will probably have something negative or belittling to say about
it, based on your behavior in this NG over the past few years ;-).
>
> Okay, I'll accept your "doing RF design professionally in the
> past." Now, how does that relate as a credential or
> credential surrogate?

If it doesn't relate, why ask for it?

The above job was back in the 1970s, when I was in college earning my
BSEE degree. I worked about 35 hours a week as well as carrying a full
engineering course load. My ham radio experience was very useful in
that job.

> >It is clear that you will ONLY accept employment as proof of Smith Chart
> >knowledge.
>
> Not at all.

Then what AMATEUR credentials will you accept?
>
> >QED - if someone doesn't meet YOUR exact professional criteria, there's no
> >way they can have mastered the Smith Chart, regardless of amateur experience,
> >education, etc. You have made that perfectly clear.
>
> I've never made any sort of claim to "having mastered a
> Smith Chart" or anything else in radio-electronics.

Irrelevant.

> I'm
> competent in some areas, still learning in other areas.

No "Extra right out of the box" yet, huh? ;-)

> I thought I made that clear, but apparently others want
> to twist things around to have another meaning. :-)

You have made it clear that "amateurs" have no credentials unless they
are also professionals.
> >>>You can't talk your way out a reputation you behaved your way into, LHA.
> >>
> >>Neither can I talk my way out of being called a "putz" or
> >>other not-nice things. :-)
> >
> >Nor can anyone else. Shall I list some of the things you have called me?
>
> Now, now, you are getting all upset at PERCEIVED direct
> personal dishonor. :-)

I'm not "upset" at all. Just pointing out your double standard, and
your behavior here.
>
> If you feel this overwhelming need to search archives and
> go over and go over and go over what has been said in the
> past, you are free to do that.

Just like YOU are free to call people names and make fun of their
professions.

> That way you can argue
> endlessly that what you did was not wrong and that what
> others thought was all wrong.

Translation: You want to post here but don't want me to point out when
you make an error. You want your posts treated as absolute fact and
revered by all who read them. Doesn't work that way.

> Now, how does that look to
> all the other readers in here?

I don't know. How do you think YOUR comments look? ;-)
>
> >>Anyone with newsgroup
> >>access can look in and judge for themselves.
> >
> >Yup. They can see how YOU behave, too, LHA.
>
> I have no problem with that. :-)

I see. That says a lot.
>
> Interesting definition there: "(telling someone to go away and
> discuss something else is a veiled way of saying 'shut up')"
>
> Careful, careful on saying such things...they can boomerang.
> :-)
>
> Let's go back in the timeline. I made a comment in a rather
> short posting about being a master of the Smith Chart.

You did? You previously said you'd never claimed to be a master of
anything.

>
> >>Would he present those statements
> >>as proof of the goodness and nobility of US radio
> >>amateurs?
> >
> >Not all of them. Nor would I present your statements and behavior as an
> >example of the kind that amateur radio needs, either.
>
> Ah, it becomes clear. N2EY is the judge, the one who knows
> what is good for amateur radio! All unbelievers get out! :-)

LHA wants to be the judge. No one else can have an different opinion.
>
>
> >Are YOU proud of all the statements you have made in rrap, LHA?
>
> I just make postings in here. None of them involve "pride"
> as N2EY puts it. :-)

You asked the question, but you dodge the answer. Typical.
>
> >Would YOU
> >present them as examples of the way professionals should behave?
>
> I've been "behaving" among professionals for a long time
> and this is no different. :-)

I see.
>
> I've been "behaving" among human beings for a long time,
> too, and I've seen lots and lots of folks pretending to be
> "professional." :-)

Yup. That's obvious.
>
> If N2EY thinks that it is honorable and good for others to
> use profanity against others, then that is one thing.

Where have I said that? The subject is YOUR behavior. You keep trying
to point the finger at others.

LHA seems to think that it is honorable and good to make fun of other
people's names and professions.

>
> >>Or isn't it just SOME of the statements are
> >>highlighted in an effort to win newsgroup message
> >>points for N2EY's personal satisfaction?
> >>
> >Nope. Just telling it like it is.
>
> "Just telling it" from N2EY's viewpoint. :-)

Guess that's "wrong" from LHA's viewpoint. No differing opinions, no
analysis of LHA's comments is acceptable.

N2EY

5502. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 17:42:53 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC4889D.769E696@uci.edu>
Source record: 21746

Dick Carroll wrote:
And Lee Harvey Oswald shot Kennedy
> with a Italian Carcano carbine that is well known to be such a piece of
> junk that not even an expert shot can hit the broad side of a barn with
> one. I threw the one I had away, it was such a piece of junk. Righto.

A poor craftsman always blames his tools, Dick. ;-)

From the Warren Commission Report:

"Four experts in the field of firearms identification analyzed the nearly whole bullet, the two largest fragments and the three cartridge cases to determine whether they had been fired from the C2766 Mannlicher-Carcano rifle found on the sixth floor of the Depository. Two of these experts testified before the Commission. One was Robert A. Frazier, a special agent of the FBI assigned to the FBI Laboratory in Washington, DC. Frazier has worked generally in the field of firearms identification for 23 years, examining firearms of various types for the purpose of identifying the caliber and other characteristics of the weapons and making comparisons of bullets and cartridge cases for the purpose of determining whether or not they were fired in a particular weapon. He estimated that he has made "in the neighborhood of 50,000 to 60,000" firearms comparisons and has testified in court on about 400 occasions. The second witness who testified on this subject was Joseph D. Nicol, superintendent of the bureau of criminal identification and investigation for the State of Illinois. Nicol also has had long and substantial experience since 1941 in firearms identification, and estimated that he has made thousands of bullet and cartridge case examinations.

After making independent examinations, both Frazier and Nicol positively identified the nearly whole bullet from the stretcher and the two larger bullet fragments found in the Presidential limousine as having been fired in the C2766 Mannlicher-Carcano rifle found in the Depository to the exclusion of all other weapons."
****

One could argue, I suppose, that the bullet and bullet fragments which were examined had been planted and that the real ones were somehow nafariously disposed of, but I think that's more of a stretch than the more generally accepted story.

73, Jim AC6XG

5503. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 19:47:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q28ki$p5l$0@208.206.142.106>
Source record: 21747

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> And Lee Harvey Oswald shot Kennedy
> > with a Italian Carcano carbine that is well known to be such a piece of
> > junk that not even an expert shot can hit the broad side of a barn with
> > one. I threw the one I had away, it was such a piece of junk. Righto.
>
> A poor craftsman always blames his tools, Dick. ;-)

And some tools are so poor a mere journeyman finds it necessary to
discard them.

>
> From the Warren Commission Report:
>
> "Four experts in the field of firearms identification analyzed the
> nearly whole bullet, the two largest fragments and the three cartridge
> cases to determine whether they had been fired from the C2766
> Mannlicher-Carcano rifle found on the sixth floor of the Depository. Two
> of these experts testified before the Commission. One was Robert A.
> Frazier, a special agent of the FBI assigned to the FBI Laboratory in
> Washington, DC. Frazier has worked generally in the field of firearms
> identification for 23 years, examining firearms of various types for the
> purpose of identifying the caliber and other characteristics of the
> weapons and making comparisons of bullets and cartridge cases for the
> purpose of determining whether or not they were fired in a particular
> weapon. He estimated that he has made "in the neighborhood of 50,000 to
> 60,000" firearms comparisons and has testified in court on about 400
> occasions. The second witness who testified on this subject was Joseph
> D. Nicol, superintendent of the bureau of criminal identification and
> investigation for the State of Illinois. Nicol also has had long and
> substantial experience since 1941 in firearms identification, and
> estimated that he has made thousands of bullet and cartridge case
> examinations.
>

Doesn't address the problem that the bullet in question could never be
identified as the one which killed Kennedy. Tht one was lost, after the
atopsy which was not performed properly nor in accordance with even
normal practice, and certainly not with the care and safeguards needed
in such extreme circumstances. The Warren commission failed to consider
many witnesses, many of whom were never interviewed or whose interviews
were ignored.

I don't know if the FBI experts ever owned or even handled a Carcano carbine, but I did, and I've been known as a fair weapons handler. That thing was nothing but a gross piece of junk, and it was identical to the one said to have been the tool used to shoot President Kennedy.
It was a piece that demanded the bolt be completely closed to fire, as opposed to conventional bolt action weapons which will complete the closure of the bolt before firing in cases where the operator fails to completely close it. If the bolt was even a tiny fraction of an inch above seated position the [oecw simply would not fire until you manally completed the closure. Oswald was able to accurately fire three shots at considerable range with that thing? I don't believe it and I never shall.

> After making independent examinations, both Frazier and Nicol positively
> identified the nearly whole bullet from the stretcher and the two larger
> bullet fragments found in the Presidential limousine as having been
> fired in the C2766 Mannlicher-Carcano rifle found in the Depository to
> the exclusion of all other weapons."
> ********
>
> One could argue, I suppose, that the bullet and bullet fragments which
> were examined had been planted and that the real ones were somehow
> nafariously disposed of, but I think that's more of a stretch than the
> more generally accepted story.
>

Of course you're right. One could do that. I can leave the details to those whose job it is to sort them, with the full knowledge that there always the possibility- or liklihood- of there being more to the outcome than mere fact. But I KNOW about the Carcano carbine, and I'm firmly convinced that it could not have been the weapon used.

You really don't think that officialdom could have ever have allowed the American public to know that some other political entity actually assinated our president, do you? Any more than they could allow us to know that 26 or 27 airliners loaded with passenmgers had been shot down by international terrorists instead of crashing accidently. The World Trade Center was beyond any control, of course.

It's not my position to judge all these issues, but I cannot forclose the possibilities in the face of hard evidence. The Carcano carbine is hard evidence. And the TWA 800 situation was known very early on to have several witnesses who saw what they thought to have been a missile headed toward the plane. So.....

5504. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 19:57:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q2984qme0@208.206.142.106>
Source record: 21748

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <9pv4o2$4al$0@208.207.71.155>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >That 27 civilian aircraft have been shot down worldwide by terrorists
> >using shoulder fired Stinger type missiles,
>
> Some questions:
>
> - Who makes the Stinger-type missiles?

We do, and the Chinese are known to have made and sold a vesion of them
to third world nations, particularily middle eastern nations.

> - How do the terrorists get them?

During the Russian-Afghanistan war we supplied many of them to
Afghanis, and to Usama bin Laden's supporters, with whom we were allied
against the Russian invaders at the time. Bin Laden was at that time our
'friend'. It is known that a number of the missiles remained in Afghani
hands after the end of that war.
Since they are easily transportable and designed to be carried and used
by foot soldiers they can be easily brought across national borders
which are not well guarded.

> - Who trained the terrorists to use them?

Most likely we trained the trainers of current users during that above
mentioned war. Not that much skill is required to use them.

Dick

5505. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-10 21:13:24 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC4B9F4.8621F9B9@uci.edu>
Source record: 21749

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Doesn't address the problem that the bullet in question could never be
> identified as the one which killed Kennedy. Tht one was lost, after the
> atopsy which was not performed properly nor in accordance with even
> normal practice, and certainly not with the care and safeguards needed
> in such extreme circumstances. The Warren commission failed to consider
> many witnesses, many of whom were never interviewed or whose interviews
> were ignored.

There were no other types of bullets found. Only one type. There's no reason to believe there were any other guns. At least not in the Warren report. You have to get really imaginative with the facts in order to believe other explanations. Some people find that an entertaining thing to do to pass the time.

> Oswald was able to accurately fire three shots
> at considerable range with that thing?

Evidently he was - according to the only facts we have available, Dick. You've got a good shooter with a rifle with expended rounds, and you've got one dead guy and another injured guy. Doesn't take a genious to come up with a plausible explanation; the guy with the gun did it.

> I don't believe it and I never
> shall.

"Whatever gets you through the night."

> But I KNOW about the Carcano carbine, and I'm
> firmly convinced that it could not have been the weapon used.

By the way, it was not a standard issue rifle. Again, from the report:

"The bolt-action, clip-fed rifle found on the sixth floor of the Depository, described more fully in appendix X, is inscribed with various markings, including ï¿½MADE ITALY," ï¿½CAL. 6.5," ï¿½1940" and the number C2766. (See Commission Exhibit Nos. 1303, 541(2) and 541(3), pp. 82-83.) These markings have been explained as follows: ï¿½MADE ITALY" refers to its origin; ï¿½CAL. 6.5" refers to the rifle's caliber; ï¿½1940" refers to the year of manufacture; and the number C2766 is the serial number. This rifle is the only one of its type bearing that serial number. After review of standard reference works and the markings on the rifle, it was identified by the FBI as a 6.5-millimeter model 91/38 Mannlicher-Carcano rifle. Experts from the FBI made an independent determination of the caliber by inserting a Mannlicher-Carcano 6.5-millimeter cartridge into the weapon for fit, and by making a sulfur cast of the inside of the weapon's barrel and measuring the cast with a

micrometer. From outward appearance, the weapon would appear to be a 7.35-millimeter rifle, but its mechanism had been re-barreled with a 6.5-millimeter barrel. Constable Deputy Sheriff Weitzman, who only saw the rifle at a glance and did not handle it, thought the weapon looked like a 7.65 Mauser bolt-action rifle. (See chapter V, p. 235.)

The rifle is 40.2 inches long and weighs 8 pounds. The minimum length broken down is 34.8 inches, the length of the wooden stock. (See Commission Exhibit No. 1304, p. 132.) Attached to the weapon is an inexpensive four-power telescopic sight, stamped ï¿½Optics Ordnance Inc. /Hollywood California," and ï¿½Made in Japan." The weapon also bears a sling consisting of two leather straps. The sling is not a standard rifle sling but appears to be a musical instrument strap or a sling from a carrying case or camera bag."

> You really don't think that officialdom could have ever have allowed
> the American public to know that some other political entity actually
> assinated our president, do you?

Anybody could have hired Lee Harvey Oswald. We don't need multiple shooters to prove that it was a hit job.

Again, the report:
"Many people who witnessed the assassination and the killing of Oswald or were present in the area were a major source of diverse and often contradictory information. As is easily understood under such circumstances, all of the witnesses did not see and hear the same thing or interpret what they saw and heard the same way and many changed their stories as they repeated them. Moreover, they were interviewed at different times after the event by different people and often under circumstances which made accurate reporting extremely difficult.

Even the occupants of the cars in the Presidential motorcade were not entirely in agreement in their accounts because they, too, saw and heard what happened from different positions. Moreover, those closest to the assassination were subjected to a physical and emotional strain that tended to affect their recollections of what they thought they saw or heard.

Consequently, the presentation of the news from Dallas included much misinformation. This, to some extent, was unavoidable, but the widespread and repetitive dissemination of every scrap of information about the President's assassination and its aftermath has helped to build up a large number of erroneous conclusions."

> Any more than they could allow us to
> know that 26 or 27 airliners loaded with passenmgers had been shot down
> by international terrorists instead of crashing accidently. The World
> Trade Center was beyond any control, of course.

I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it covered up. That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,

falls to the ground in my view.

73, jim

5506. USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 01:10:31 UTC
From: Kanny the Klown <starklin54@aol.com>
Message-ID: <bk6x7.6118$0Z6.436737@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21750
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm

Click on the link from the BBC above and see the full story - with pictures!

In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other humanitarian
aide to the Afgan civilian population.

The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
programming.

This activity cou;ld possibly be coordinated by the US Army Civil Affairs
and Psychological Operations Command; 4th Psychological Operations
Group (Airborne) out of Ft Bragg.

Some links found on a google.com search about this very interesting
Unit, and their mission of radio / electronic broadcasting of uncensored
news to civil populaces under hostile control appear below... (great reading !!)

http://www.geocities.com/Pentagon/1012/ec130.html

http://www.pipeline.com/~psywarrior/psyop.html

http://www.geocities.com/Pentagon/1012/ec130.html

http://www.qsl.net/yb0rmi/army.htm

5507. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 01:11:25 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0110101711.7a80acc5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21751

K0HB@qwest.net wrote in message news:<9pkmu0$f7v$1@news.netmar.com>...
>
> In any case, the number is probably not very impressive. Taking the US
> for an example, there are around 650,000 licensees. Let's suppose that
> 50% of them are active in the hobby. That estimate says there are 325,000
> US hams spending money on the hobby. Let's further guesstimate that
> each of these folks spends an average of $500.00 on the hobby each year.
> That puts yearly revenue for *all* vendors (in the US market) at a measly
> $16.25 million.
>
Actually, 325,000 spending an average of $500 yields $162.5 million.
This would be equal to about 20-30 Burger Kings.

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu_802701@yahoo.com
http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html

5508. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 02:12:34 UTC
From: Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3BC5002F.5668E5F1@aol.com>
Source record: 21752
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
>
> In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other humanitarian
> aide to the Afgan civilian population.
>
> The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
> programming.

Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station broadcast by the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage that our tax dollars paid for.

later in the article...

But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.

Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make up their OWN minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down their throats.

5509. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 02:36:07 UTC
From: say@sfu.ca
Message-ID: <nMbzevmnKPsl-pn2-vdMTZMNGjGgO@s5-annex8k1.dialin.sfu.ca>
Source record: 21753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.fan.art-bell

, "Kanny the Klown" <starklin54@aol.com> wrote:
> http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> Click on the link from the BBC above and see the full story - with pictures!
> In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other humanitarian
> aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
> programming.
> This activity cou;ld possibly be coordinated by the US Army Civil Affairs
> and Psychological Operations Command; 4th Psychological Operations
> Group (Airborne) out of Ft Bragg.
> Some links found on a google.com search about this very interesting
> Unit, and their mission of radio / electronic broadcasting of uncensored
> news to civil populaces under hostile control appear below... (great reading !!)
> http://www.geocities.com/Pentagon/1012/ec130.html
> http://www.pipeline.com/~psywarrior/psyop.html
> http://www.geocities.com/Pentagon/1012/ec130.html
> http://www.qsl.net/yb0rmi/army.htm
Except that the VoA (Voice of America) in Pashto is
losing out to the BBC in terms of believability.
See:
Linkname: Radio Netherlands Media Network: Media Newsdesk
URL: http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/html/medianews.html
9 October 2001: A difference of emphasis has emerged in the way the
international broadcasting services of the two main allies in the War
on Terrorism - the US and Britain - are handling coverage of the
strikes on Afghanistan. The Voice of America (VOA) expanded its
broadcasts in Afghanistan's two main languages on Monday, for the
second time since the 11 September terrorist attacks. VOA now
broadcasts in Pashtu and Dari for two-and-a-half hours a day each. The
expanded broadcasts allow VOA to include messages aimed at convincing
the Afghan people that the military operation now underway is not
aimed at them, but rather Osama bin Laden. They will also draw
attention to humanitarian food drops that are being conducted
concurrently with the airstrikes, and send the message that the
Taliban are not a proper government, according to a VOA official....

In contrast, the BBC World Service has also extended its Pashto
broadcasts, but is using some of the airtime to air the Taliban point
of view. The Times reports that shortly after the first air strikes on
Sunday night, the Taliban4s chief spokesman in Kandahar, Abdul Hai
Mutmaeen contacted the BBC Pashto service to give a defiant response.

The interview was broadcast live to Afghan listeners, along with translations and analysis of US and British government press conferences. Highlights of a recorded address by Osama bin Laden were also broadcast.

See the link for the full story and links to the English-speaking with forked tongues spokeswoman interview.

|-_,-~-___...__._._._._._._._._._._._.
For real Dxing, see http://www.asahi-net.or.jp/~vz6g-iwt/index.html

5510. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 02:53:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011010225350.19715.00000695@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21755

In article <3BC4B9F4.8621F9B9@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't
>happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it
>covered up. That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,
>falls to the ground in my view.

One of the strongest proponents of the missle theory on the crash of TWA 800 was Pierre Salinger...who was in Paris at the time. [Salinger was Press Secretary to Kennedy during his adminstration] Salinger later retracted his "news" item and hasn't been a feature of TV news since. :-)

After a very long time of recovery and reconstruction, the airframe of TWA 800 was thoroughly inspected by several teams of aircraft experts. Their determination indicated that nothing penetrated the craft and that the explosion leading to breakup in the air was due to internal causes. That is, the explosion was outward, not inward.

This was revealed in a rather boring presentation on one of the documentary TV channels (either C-SPAN or PBS, can't recall which one) which detailed the probable cause (frayed wiring shorting in a fuel tank wire run) and the trajectory of the aircraft and aircraft pieces. The national TV news and newspapers picked up on both items. According to the findings of the NTSB and every-one else, the explosion severed the fuselage with the aft part spewing burning fuel...giving the impression of the "bright streak of light moving rapidly." A comparison of the flight data recorder readings (in forward part) and the impact point of most pieces led to this probability of paths in the air.

A directive from the FAA led to an inspection of Boeing aircraft wiring and more cases of frayed teflon insulation showed up in older aircraft. That was also in the news (national and in aviation trade publications). Having a nephew-in-law working as a wire installation instructor at Boeing confirms this (personal note, apparently the vogue is IN on having "behind the scenes" info to prove things as in the birthdate "now in the [secret] FCC database"). :-)

Conspiracy theories are, as you say, highly entertaining to certain people. They offer quick and simple explanations

on complex subjects, allow the entertained people to vent
their frustrations and other angst by hollering at others and
pointing blame. The conspiratists "know everything" but
they know nothing. Sort of like certain fundamentalists
who demand the status quo in amateur radio licensing.
:-)

5511. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 02:53:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011010225351.19715.00000696@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21754

In article <20011010080313.11688.00000566@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>

>To be specific: LHA repeatedly demanded to know my employment and profession,
>supposedly so that LHA could judge my Smith Chart knowledge.

No demand. What anyone posts is subject for discussion.

N2EY never posted anything relating to "mastery" of a Smith
Chart other than a claim. That claim was challenged. N2EY
still did not reply in anything specific other than "we [hams]
know it" and that it was the subject of an ARRL publication.

> I refuse to post
>that information, based on LHA's previous behavior towards others in this NG
>when LHA had their employment information.

Bullshit. N2EY made a phony claim and can't back it up.

He got called in message poker and can't ante up.

<large snip of more misdirection>

>>Okay, so what does N2EY know about Smith Charts other
>>than QST having an article on them?
>
>How to use them to solve some types of problems, for one thing.

No kidding?!?

For "one thing," why doesn't N2EY state some specific
solutions enabled by a Smith Chart? He hasn't done that yet.

>And I
>encountered them in several articles and in the ARRL Antenna Book.

Wow! Reading about something proves "mastery" of the
subject! What else has N2EY read about that proves his
"mastery" of the subject he read about?!?

Pfui.

Come back when you can demonstrate the "mastery" by
talking about something specific in that "mastery."

5512. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 02:53:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011010225353.19715.00000697@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21756

In article <9f4bfe89.0110100903.bde0426@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>...
>>
>(N2EY wrote):
>> >I have previously stated that while I did RF design professionally in the
>> past,
>> >I do other things now. That description was carried over through several
>> >posts, yet you obviously ignored it or did not understand it. It's in the
>> archives.
>
>Although it is highly probable that LHA will make fun of my work in
>this field, I'll answer his questions.

Tsk, tsk, too sensitive or prescient-sensitive in this case.

>I produced prototypes of CATV/MATV devices, mostly amplifiers, to meet
>customer specifications. Some were custom designs, others were mass
>produced.
>
>> What part of the EM spectrum was used by this RF design?
>
>VHF and some UHF.

We all know the CATV frequencies. :-)

>> Were solid-state devices used or was it all vacuum tubes?
>
>All solid state.

>You will probably have something negative or belittling to say about
>it, based on your behavior in this NG over the past few years ;-).

Keep up the Big Lie technique and keep saying an
opponent will "probably say something bad." Repeat
that often enough and many will believe you.

>> Okay, I'll accept your "doing RF design professionally in the
>> past." Now, how does that relate as a credential or
>> credential surrogate?
>
>If it doesn't relate, why ask for it?

Ill get to that shortly...

>The above job was back in the 1970s, when I was in college earning my
>BSEE degree. I worked about 35 hours a week as well as carrying a full
>engineering course load. My ham radio experience was very useful in
>that job.

Ok, that takes care of the period between 21 to 31 years ago.

Anything since then?

>> >It is clear that you will ONLY accept employment as proof of Smith Chart
>> >knowledge.
>>
>> Not at all.
>
>Then what AMATEUR credentials will you accept?

Sorry, you can't have it all your way on questions...or of
"credentials." You made a statement that I will "ONLY"
accept employment as proof of Smith Chart Knowledge.
That is highly incorrect.

Those who know what a Smith Chart is can talk about it
in detail large or detail small. They can't get by with a
response such as "we all know that." The "we know"
answer is not an answer at all, just an implication that
some group "knows about it."

So far N2EY hasn't stated anything to prove he knows
about it, only that he read of it (in ARRL publications) and
supposedly hams "know about it."

I have given some examples of use in a posting to another,
described briefly what it could do, can talk about it in
detail large or detail small. N2EY hasn't said anything
specific. Ergo, N2EY hasn't really "mastered" anything
except trying to get other folks to believe he "knows"
something.

>> I've never made any sort of claim to "having mastered a
>> Smith Chart" or anything else in radio-electronics.
>
>Irrelevant.

No, it is very relevant...just as N2EY seems to think that
a couple of degrees is very relevant...without stating much
at all (and that old information) about what he has done.
N2EY stated that he had "mastered the Smith Chart."
But N2EY can't talk in detail about it except to say "we
know." Phony.

Hint: CATV has advanced much in the last two to three
decades, both in frequency span and techniques. Of course
all of radio has also expanded since then.

>> I'm
>> competent in some areas, still learning in other areas.
>
>No "Extra right out of the box" yet, huh? ;-)

Tell me why I should bother. Why should anyone bother?

Some amateurs sneer at professionals while being quite
ignorant of the rest of the radio world, will not accept pro
experience as being "relevant" to amateur radio.

>You have made it clear that "amateurs" have no credentials unless they
>are also professionals.

Highly incorrect again. Why do you make up such stories?

I cite professional experience for the simple reason that
professional experience in radio-electronics has been most
of my working life...and that included 24/7 HF communications
trans-Pacific almost a half a century ago. But, according to
some "critics" in here, that "doesn't apply" because it was not
amateur experience...and "no one" can have any "amateur
experience" without the amateur license. One in here
expresses the opinion that those who don't have a license
don't know anything at all about amateur radio...continually.

If "no one can know anything about amateur radio without
a license," how can anyone know enough to even think
about entering? Explain that.

>> Now, now, you are getting all upset at PERCEIVED direct
>> personal dishonor. :-)
>
>I'm not "upset" at all. Just pointing out your double standard, and
>your behavior here.

N2EY is pointing out that he is agrieved and upset over
someone not recognizing his accomplishments...all the
while deprecating others who've had experience in radio
before him. The double standard was already operative
in here before I posted anything.

>Just like YOU are free to call people names and make fun of their
>professions.

Yes, I AM free to do that...but I only do it in response to
others who started it first.

N2EY wants to fault others for replying in kind, which
conveniently overlooks the fact that others are far more
unkind and denigrative than I. But, those unkind, denigrative
persons have amateur radio licenses, therefore they have
some civil permit to justify their behavior?

Of course they have. Sure.

>Translation: You want to post here but don't want me to point out when
>you make an error.

Sorry, but I don't recognize manufactured errors that are
not errors at all...and N2EY has done that on the AH0A
statistics.

>You want your posts treated as absolute fact and
>revered by all who read them.

I state many things. Some of them are opinion. Some
are statements of fact about referencible subjects which
are mentioned. My statements are just statements, not
pronouncements made by the fundamentalist radio
amateurs from some temple of ham radio that was

> Doesn't work that way.

As far as the ham fundamentalists are concerned, that
IS the way.

>I don't know. How do you think YOUR comments look? ;-)

I don't look for love (through statements) or anything else;
statements are debate, discussion, argument about the
subject.

How does N2EY think K4YZ's postings look? How about
the website author of "No SSB International"?

Better yet, what does the FCC think about the postings
of all those fundamentalists that wrote in response to
988-143. N2EY implies being privy to everything the FCC
does...he should be able to answer what the FCC thinks
of the amateur fundamentalists.

>You did? You previously said you'd never claimed to be a master of
>anything.

About N2EY claims of "mastering the Smith Chart."

Try to stay focussed here.

>LHA wants to be the judge. No one else can have an different opinion.

Anyone can have a different opinion on the SUBJECT.

N2EY is misdirecting things towards the person again.

N2EY said he "mastered the Smith Chart" but cannot say
anything definitive about it other than "we know."

>Where have I said that? The subject is YOUR behavior. You keep trying
>to point the finger at others.

Tsk, tsk, all along it was about "mastery of the Smith
Chart" originally stated by N2EY.

>LHA seems to think that it is honorable and good to make fun of other
>people's names and professions.

N2EY isn't a name at all (unless made so by court order).

N2EY indentifies himself solely by callsign in his "signings"
and in AOL mesage identification. Anyone trying to debate
by using a surname "Miccolis" makes him hostile. Why?

N2EY wants to shift the subject to "misbehavior" of another
in order to avoid saying anything definitive about what he
claimed to have "mastered" other than morse code.

>Guess that's "wrong" from LHA's viewpoint. No differing opinions, no
>analysis of LHA's comments is acceptable.

N2EY is using the Big Lie technique again. Tsk, tsk.

N2EY pardons his own behavior by trying to misdirect the
discussion about other persons.

Now, what can N2EY say about the Smith Chart that he
claimed to have "mastered"?

Leonard H. Anderson
(not a radio station)

5513. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 03:24:16 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ah8x7.226$7W.296727@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 21758
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> later in the article...
>
> But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
> not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
>
> Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make up
their OWN
> minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down
their throats.
>
>
> By all means. After all, their government has always been fair, right?

5514. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 03:30:47 UTC
From: webdoc@mailandnews.com
Message-ID: <de9751ca.0110101930.6d3f0250@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21757

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20011003000508.27462.00000414@nso-cu.aol.com>.>
> Be careful, and good luck.
>
> Thank you and God bless you.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
Well all I am alive and doing well in NYC, I am in charge of
transportation for all Red Cross workers and volunteers. I had box
seats for tonights opening game of the playoffs at Yankee Stadium, but
some smart a$$ lifted the tickets on the ride home on the subway
tonight, so since I have tomorrow off, I decided to try this web tv
unit in the hotel room, it sux!

note to self, never buy a web tv unit.

73's Keith Hosman

5515. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 04:43:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC5155F.30BBAE03@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21759

Len Over 21 wrote:

(lengthy lecture on TWA 800 snipped)

> Conspiracy theories are, as you say, highly entertaining
> to certain people. They offer quick and simple explanations
> on complex subjects, allow the entertained people to vent
> their frustrations and other angst by hollering at others and
> pointing blame. The conspiratists "know everything" but
> they know nothing. Sort of like certain fundamentalists
> who demand the status quo in amateur radio licensing.
> :-)

Let's see, Len. You didn't take an exam under the old regs. You haven't taken an exam under the new regs. I'm guessing you won't take an exam under any future regs. The regs have already changed but your litany hasn't.

Dave K8MN

5516. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 04:49:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC516B0.99B75E4E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21760

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20011010080313.11688.00000566@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> > >
>
> >To be specific: LHA repeatedly demanded to know my employment and profession,
> >supposedly so that LHA could judge my Smith Chart knowledge.
>
> No demand. What anyone posts is subject for discussion.
>
> N2EY never posted anything relating to "mastery" of a Smith
> Chart other than a claim. That claim was challenged. N2EY
> still did not reply in anything specific other than "we [hams]
> know it" and that it was the subject of an ARRL publication.

We don't know that you've ever done anything you've claimed either, Len.
Most of us don't care. Why does someone have to provide proof of his
knowledge to you for any purpose whatsoever?

> > I refuse to post
> >that information, based on LHA's previous behavior towards others in this NG
> >when LHA had their employment information.
>
> Bullshit. N2EY made a phony claim and can't back it up.

Schoolyard taunts?

> He got called in message poker and can't ante up.

You've gotten your Walter Mitty up to full steam.

> <large snip of more misdirection>
>
> >>Okay, so what does N2EY know about Smith Charts other
> >>than QST having an article on them?
> >
> >How to use them to solve some types of problems, for one thing.
>
> No kidding?!?
>
> For "one thing," why doesn't N2EY state some specific
> solutions enabled by a Smith Chart? He hasn't done that yet.

What proof does he owe someone such as yourself?

> >And I
> >encountered them in several articles and in the ARRL Antenna Book.
>
> Wow! Reading about something proves "mastery" of the
> subject! What else has N2EY read about that proves his
> "mastery" of the subject he read about?!?
>
> Pfui.
>
> Come back when you can demonstrate the "mastery" by
> talking about something specific in that "mastery."

I just finished a homebrew duoband 12/17m yagi using the para sleeve design. I optimized it using YO. It goes up tomorrow. Need I prove it to you before using it?

Dave K8MN

5517. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 05:11:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC51BEA.7A9A0CF7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21761

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <9f4bfe89.0110100903.bde0426@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20011008165939.19715.00000632@nso-fg.aol.com>...
> >>
> >(N2EY wrote):
> >> >I have previously stated that while I did RF design professionally in the
> >> past,
> >> >I do other things now. That description was carried over through several
> >> >posts, yet you obviously ignored it or did not understand it. It's in the
> >> archives.
> >>
> >Although it is highly probable that LHA will make fun of my work in
> >this field, I'll answer his questions.
>
> Tsk, tsk, too sensitive or prescient-sensitive in this case.
>
> >I produced prototypes of CATV/MATV devices, mostly amplifiers, to meet
> >customer specifications. Some were custom designs, others were mass
> >produced.
> >
> >> What part of the EM spectrum was used by this RF design?
> >
> >VHF and some UHF.
>
> We all know the CATV frequencies. :-)
>
> >> Were solid-state devices used or was it all vacuum tubes?
> >
> >All solid state.
>
> >You will probably have something negative or belittling to say about
> >it, based on your behavior in this NG over the past few years ;-).
>
> Keep up the Big Lie technique and keep saying an
> opponent will "probably say something bad." Repeat
> that often enough and many will believe you.

The fact that your behavior in the past is as N2EY commented gives no credence to your "Big Lie" technique comment. Most who will believe the comment will believe it because of your past actions.

> >> Okay, I'll accept your "doing RF design professionally in the
> >> past." Now, how does that relate as a credential or
> >> credential surrogate?
> >
> >If it doesn't relate, why ask for it?
>
> Ill get to that shortly...
>
> >The above job was back in the 1970s, when I was in college earning my
> >BSEE degree. I worked about 35 hours a week as well as carrying a full
> >engineering course load. My ham radio experience was very useful in
> >that job.
>
> Ok, that takes care of the period between 21 to 31 years ago.
>
> Anything since then?
>
> >> >It is clear that you will ONLY accept employment as proof of Smith Chart
> >> >knowledge.
> >>
> >> Not at all.
> >
> >Then what AMATEUR credentials will you accept?
>
> Sorry, you can't have it all your way on questions...or of
> "credentials." You made a statement that I will "ONLY"
> accept employment as proof of Smith Chart Knowledge.
> That is highly incorrect.
>
> Those who know what a Smith Chart is can talk about it
> in detail large or detail small. They can't get by with a
> response such as "we all know that." The "we know"
> answer is not an answer at all, just an implication that
> some group "knows about it."
>
> So far N2EY hasn't stated anything to prove he knows
> about it, only that he read of it (in ARRL publications) and
> supposedly hams "know about it."
>
> I have given some examples of use in a posting to another,
> described briefly what it could do, can talk about it in
> detail large or detail small. N2EY hasn't said anything
> specific. Ergo, N2EY hasn't really "mastered" anything
> except trying to get other folks to believe he "knows"
> something.

So, if someone hasn't demonstrated his skill directly to you, he doesn't
know anything. It's an interesting concept.

> >> I've never made any sort of claim to "having mastered a
> >> Smith Chart" or anything else in radio-electronics.
> >

> >Irrelevant.
>
> No, it is very relevant...just as N2EY seems to think that
> a couple of degrees is very relevant...without stating much
> at all (and that old information) about what he has done.
> N2EY stated that he had "mastered the Smith Chart."
> But N2EY can't talk in detail about it except to say "we
> know." Phony.

I wish I had some proof about all of those old army tales of yours, Len.
I'll bet you spent your time leaning on a coke machine telling the other
guys how you'd run things if YOU were in charge.

> Hint: CATV has advanced much in the last two to three
> decades, both in frequency span and techniques. Of course
> all of radio has also expanded since then.

Hint: Your hint hasn't the slightest to do with Smith charts.

> >> I'm
> >> competent in some areas, still learning in other areas.
> >
> >No "Extra right out of the box" yet, huh? ;-)
>
> Tell me why I should bother. Why should anyone bother?

Duuuuuh....to obtain an amateur radio license after shooting off one's
lips about getting an "Extra right out of the box"?

> Some amateurs sneer at professionals while being quite
> ignorant of the rest of the radio world, will not accept pro
> experience as being "relevant" to amateur radio.
>
> >You have made it clear that "amateurs" have no credentials unless they
> >are also professionals.
>
> Highly incorrect again. Why do you make up such stories?
>
> I cite professional experience for the simple reason that
> professional experience in radio-electronics has been most
> of my working life...and that included 24/7 HF communications
> trans-Pacific almost a half a century ago. But, according to
> some "critics" in here, that "doesn't apply" because it was not
> amateur experience...and "no one" can have any "amateur
> experience" without the amateur license. One in here
> expresses the opinion that those who don't have a license
> don't know anything at all about amateur radio...continually.

> If "no one can know anything about amateur radio without
> a license," how can anyone know enough to even think
> about entering? Explain that.

The license, as you've often pointed out, is a beginning point. A
newcomer obtains a license and then begins to gather experience.
You could be a newcomer some day.

> >> Now, now, you are getting all upset at PERCEIVED direct
> >> personal dishonor. :-)
> >
> >I'm not "upset" at all. Just pointing out your double standard, and
> >your behavior here.
>
> N2EY is pointing out that he is agrieved and upset over
> someone not recognizing his accomplishments...all the
> while deprecating others who've had experience in radio
> before him. The double standard was already operative
> in here before I posted anything.
>
> >Just like YOU are free to call people names and make fun of their
> >professions.
>
> Yes, I AM free to do that...but I only do it in response to
> others who started it first.
>
> N2EY wants to fault others for replying in kind, which
> conveniently overlooks the fact that others are far more
> unkind and denigrative than I. But, those unkind, denigrative
> persons have amateur radio licenses, therefore they have
> some civil permit to justify their behavior?
>
> Of course they have. Sure.

Now that Carl is gone, you're it, Len. I don't find others to be more
unkind or denigrative than you. It was probably pretty much of coin
toss in your case. You could've been writing endless letters to the
editor of your local paper. Instead, WE got you.

> >Translation: You want to post here but don't want me to point out when
> >you make an error.
>
> Sorry, but I don't recognize manufactured errors that are
> not errors at all...and N2EY has done that on the AH0A
> statistics.
>
> >You want your posts treated as absolute fact and
> >revered by all who read them.
>
> I state many things. Some of them are opinion. Some
> are statements of fact about referencible subjects which
> are mentioned. My statements are just statements, not
> pronouncements made by the fundamentalist radio
> amateurs from some temple of ham radio that was

Many of your "statement" are issued from on high to mere radio amateurs.
One would expect to find a boat load of hams in an amateur radio
newsgroups. Forgive those of us who do not find your edicts
fascinating.

> > Doesn't work that way.
>
> As far as the ham fundamentalists are concerned, that
> IS the way.
>
> >I don't know. How do you think YOUR comments look? ;-)
>
> I don't look for love (through statements) or anything else;
> statements are debate, discussion, argument about the
> subject.

Then you aren't disappointed at the response.

> Leonard H. Anderson
> (not a radio station)

...and therein lies some of your angst.

Dave K8MN
(a guy WITH a radio station--catch my talk show on 20m)

5518. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 05:14:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q39s0pna0@208.206.142.114>
Source record: 21762

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Doesn't address the problem that the bullet in question could never be
> > identified as the one which killed Kennedy. Tht one was lost, after the
> > atopsy which was not performed properly nor in accordance with even
> > normal practice, and certainly not with the care and safeguards needed
> > in such extreme circumstances. The Warren commission failed to consider
> > many witnesses, many of whom were never interviewed or whose interviews
> > were ignored.
>
> There were no other types of bullets found. Only one type. There's no
> reason to believe there were any other guns. At least not in the Warren
> report. You have to get really imaginative with the facts in order to
> believe other explanations. Some people find that an entertaining thing
> to do to pass the time.
>

Others approach toe "official" conclusion with skepticism and find
large holes in it.

> > Oswald was able to accurately fire three shots
> > at considerable range with that thing?
>
> Evidently he was - according to the only facts we have available, Dick.

"Facts" only in that the findings were considered to be facts. That the
commission found them so does not automatically make them truth. There
was a documentary on television about a Briton who did a very thorough
investigation into the incident and reached totally different
conclusions. His reaoning was more believeable than the Warren
Commission's.

> You've got a good shooter with a rifle with expended rounds, and you've
> got one dead guy and another injured guy. Doesn't take a genious to
> come up with a plausible explanation; the guy with the gun did it.

Nor does it take a genius to understand that someone setting up a
"patsy" could easily make it appear that that is exactly how it
happened. Oswald himself might have thought he was the killer, when in
fact another sharpshooter with an accurate weapon actually fired the
fatal shot.

>
> > I don't believe it and I never
> > shall.

>
> "Whatever gets you through the night."
>
> > But I KNOW about the Carcano carbine, and I'm
> > firmly convinced that it could not have been the weapon used.
>
> By the way, it was not a standard issue rifle. Again, from the report:
>
> "The bolt-action, clip-fed rifle found on the sixth floor of the
> Depository, described more fully in appendix X, is inscribed with
> various markings, including ï¿½MADE ITALY," ï¿½CAL. 6.5," ï¿½1940" and the
> number C2766. (See Commission Exhibit Nos. 1303, 541(2) and 541(3), pp.
> 82-83.) These markings have been explained as follows: ï¿½MADE ITALY"
> refers to its origin; ï¿½CAL. 6.5" refers to the rifle's caliber; ï¿½1940"
> refers to the year of manufacture; and the number C2766 is the serial
> number. This rifle is the only one of its type bearing that serial
> number. After review of standard reference works and the markings on the
> rifle, it was identified by the FBI as a 6.5-millimeter model 91/38
> Mannlicher-Carcano rifle. Experts from the FBI made an independent
> determination of the caliber by inserting a Mannlicher-Carcano
> 6.5-millimeter cartridge into the weapon for fit, and by making a sulfur
> cast of the inside of the weapon's barrel and measuring the cast with a
> micrometer. From outward appearance, the weapon would appear to be a
> 7.35-millimeter rifle, but its mechanism had been re-barreled with a
> 6.5-millimeter barrel. Constable Deputy Sheriff Weitzman, who only saw
> the rifle at a glance and did not handle it, thought the weapon looked
> like a 7.65 Mauser bolt-action rifle. (See chapter V, p. 235.)
>

I saw a photo of it, and read that he got it mail order from a place in
CHicago (that was when you could do that). In fact, from the same mail
order house where I got mine! If it wasn't identical to the one I had I
was not able to discern any difference.

> The rifle is 40.2 inches long and weighs 8 pounds. The minimum length
> broken down is 34.8 inches, the length of the wooden stock. (See
> Commission Exhibit No. 1304, p. 132.) Attached to the weapon is an
> inexpensive four-power telescopic sight, stamped ï¿½Optics Ordnance Inc.
> /Hollywood California," and ï¿½Made in Japan." The weapon also bears a
> sling consisting of two leather straps. The sling is not a standard
> rifle sling but appears to be a musical instrument strap or a sling from
> a carrying case or camera bag."
>
> > You really don't think that officialdom could have ever have allowed
> > the American public to know that some other political entity actually
> > assinated our president, do you?
>
> Anybody could have hired Lee Harvey Oswald. We don't need multiple
> shooters to prove that it was a hit job.
>

I cannot be convinced that Oswald could have done it with THAT rifle.
Those things were just not even modifiable into a "good" wuality weapon,
one which could have performed as the murder wapon would have had to.
IMO, of course.

> Again, the report:
> "Many people who witnessed the assassination and the killing of Oswald
> or were present in the area were a major source of diverse and often
> contradictory information. As is easily understood under such
> circumstances, all of the witnesses did not see and hear the same thing
> or interpret what they saw and heard the same way and many changed their
> stories as they repeated them. Moreover, they were interviewed at
> different times after the event by different people and often under
> circumstances which made accurate reporting extremely difficult.
>
> Even the occupants of the cars in the Presidential motorcade were not
> entirely in agreement in their accounts because they, too, saw and heard
> what happened from different positions. Moreover, those closest to the
> assassination were subjected to a physical and emotional strain that
> tended to affect their recollections of what they thought they saw or
> heard.
>
> Consequently, the presentation of the news from Dallas included much
> misinformation. This, to some extent, was unavoidable, but the
> widespread and repetitive dissemination of every scrap of information
> about the President's assassination and its aftermath has helped to
> build up a large number of erroneous conclusions."
>

All that is understandable, but still there are far too many loose ends
that were totally ignored by the Warren Commission, and too many things
which were assumed, such as the bullet "found" with the body in DC at
the time of the autopsy, where none was found earlier. It was assumed
that this must be the correct bullet.

> > Any more than they could allow us to
> > know that 26 or 27 airliners loaded with passenmgers had been shot down
> > by international terrorists instead of crashing accidently. The World
> > Trade Center was beyond any control, of course.
>
> I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't
> happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it
> covered up.

A long string of such incidents, well reported, would have had about
the same effect on the airline industry, and thus a grossly negative
effect on the national economy and psyche, that the WTC incident has
had. Congress really didn't want to dole out the $15 billion to them,
but neither did they wish to see the economy drop into depression. Some
such things just evolve incrementally, and are not really planned. I
hear the airlines are now runnig about 60% capacity. That probably won't
be enough to sustain them.

> That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,
> falls to the ground in my view.

No argument there. But I *know* that the "official" version is not necesarily the truth any more than anyone else's versionrather at times just the more desirable version. That knowledge alone is enough to give one pause when there are serious questions about how they arrived at their conclusion.

5519. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 05:38:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011013812.23617.00000875@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 21763

In article <3BC5155F.30BBAE03@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes well off the original subject:

>Let's see, Len. You didn't take an exam under the old regs. You
>haven't taken an exam under the new regs. I'm guessing you won't take
>an exam under any future regs. The regs have already changed but your
>litany hasn't.

Heil's litany hasn't changed one bit. Still upset about not being
revered and honored about "using CW as an order-wire on an
RTTY circuit [for State]" he chooses to taunt his perceived
enemies. :-)

This particular thread was started by someone who loves
conspiracy theories.

Perhaps Heil thinks that advocacy of eliminating the morse code
test is part of a giant conspiracy theory to humiliate Heil?

Clue: It isn't a theory. There is no conspiracy.

Is amateur radio made attractive by taunts and jeers and insults
from the amateur long-timers against those who have
experience in other radio? Is it made attractive to those who
don't have experience in radio? How is it made attractive by
acting arrogant, bossy and using bullying tactics?

5520. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 05:38:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011013813.23617.00000876@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 21764

In article <3BC516B0.99B75E4E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>We don't know that you've ever done anything you've claimed either, Len.
>Most of us don't care. Why does someone have to provide proof of his
>knowledge to you for any purpose whatsoever?

Nobody HAS to provide proof of anything...they can go ahead
and tell all the fish stories they want.

Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true. It only shows
that they can spout fish stories. Such stories are phony.

Does Heil need lessons in how to use a Smith Chart?

I can show how to use one effectively. Anyone else who
has used Phil's Wonderful Diagram will know that I know.
No problem except to those poor souls who are offended
at someone else knowing how to use a basic tool.

>Schoolyard taunts?

No more than Heil's...:-)

Heil seems concerned only with one other individual. That
certainly looks like "schoolyard bullying," doesn't it?

Oh, not to Heil, to all the other readers who are NOT
amateur radio fundamentalists.

>You've gotten your Walter Mitty up to full steam.

Nope. I just got two "Walter Mittys." One of them is
surnamed Heil.

>What proof does he owe someone such as yourself?

As I said, anyone can spout fish-stories...but that
doesn't mean those stories are true.

Anyone can make a claim. If they do it good enough,
many might believe them. Problem is when they try to
keep on doing it without showing a single bit of evidence
that they know anything about it they look phony.

>I just finished a homebrew duoband 12/17m yagi using the para sleeve
>design. I optimized it using YO. It goes up tomorrow. Need I prove it
>to you before using it?

No. Are you worried it won't work? :-)

This isn't about knowing antennas or how to erect them, it is about erecting strawmen by saying they have "mastered" something without revealing a single item of their being able to use it.

Keep on making the erections, Heil, you seem to like that.

5521. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 05:44:09 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <rkax7.35$dh4.7895@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 21765
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

More words of wisdom (NOT!) from another @home.net twit. If they buy their own radios, they can listen to whoever they want. If the U.S. is buying them (with my tax dollars!), then they can jolly well listen to the U.S. broadcasts.

Mark

"Soon the Moon" <moon@aol.com> wrote in message news:3BC5002F.5668E5F1@aol.com...
> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> >
> > In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> > radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other humanitarian
> > aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> >
> > The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> > across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
> > programming.
>
> Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station broadcast by
> the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage that our tax
> dollars paid for.
>
> later in the article...
>
> But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
> not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
>
> Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make up their OWN
> minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down their throats.
>
>
>
>
>
>

5522. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 06:21:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011022137.08573.00000594@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 21766

In article <3BC51BEA.7A9A0CF7@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>The fact that your behavior in the past is as N2EY commented gives no
>credence to your "Big Lie" technique comment. Most who will believe the
>comment will believe it because of your past actions.

The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
Their problem, not mine.

>So, if someone hasn't demonstrated his skill directly to you, he doesn't
>know anything. It's an interesting concept.

Anyone can claim "mastery" or "mastering" of something.
If they cannot talk in some detail of its use, they really
don't know anything about and cannot claim to have
"mastered it."

Someone who talks in some detail will demonstrate to others
knowing about the subject. MANY others. A Smith Chart is
rather well known to those who have worked in RF matching
of any kind.

Those who don't know anything of the subject can tell fish
stories and try to make fun of those who know the subject.
That seems to be Heil's case.

>I wish I had some proof about all of those old army tales of yours, Len.
>I'll bet you spent your time leaning on a coke machine telling the other
>guys how you'd run things if YOU were in charge.

No. I was in charge of the duties assigned to me.

Heil doesn't seem to like that others might have had more
experience than himself. TS for Heil.

>> Hint: CATV has advanced much in the last two to three
>> decades, both in frequency span and techniques. Of course
>> all of radio has also expanded since then.
>
>Hint: Your hint hasn't the slightest to do with Smith charts.

My message to Richard McCollum briefly explained some
uses of the Smith Chart.

Community Access TeleVision (CATV) has nothing to do
with amateur radio either but I did not bring it up, N2EY did.

Is use of Smith Chart useful to a CATV RF equipment
designer? Yes it is, especially in designing phase
equalizers, amplifiers, networks of all kinds.

Smith Chart use and measurement capability to show
both parts of a complex impedance or admittance would
be very useful to a radio amateur. Such a tool would
enable maximizing signal transfer in any mode, even CW.

>The license, as you've often pointed out, is a beginning point.

No. I've NOT said "often" or even a few times that an
amateur license is a "beginning point."

What I HAVE said is that it is a grant from the federal
government to operate in certain frequency bands using
certain modes under certain regulations.

>A newcomer obtains a license and then begins to gather experience.
>You could be a newcomer some day.

I am not a "newcomer" to radio. Radio physics is the same
for all radio services. Amateur radio fundamentalists (read
"PCTA" into that for it is a fundamental part of fundamentalism)
insist artificially that it is unique.

>Many of your "statement" are issued from on high to mere radio amateurs.
>One would expect to find a boat load of hams in an amateur radio
>newsgroups. Forgive those of us who do not find your edicts
>fascinating.

It is well known in this newsgroup that fundamentalists
abhor negative criticsm of their opinions. Those
fundamentalist viewpoints are not fascinating to any but
the fundamentalists.

>> I don't look for love (through statements) or anything else;
>> statements are debate, discussion, argument about the
>> subject.
>
>Then you aren't disappointed at the response.

The attacks on the person of those opposing fundamentalism
is to be expected. Always has been and shown in here.

Emotional to the core, the fundamentalists take negative
criticsm of a general kind as some kind of call to battle.
They are not armed well so they attempt to shout down the
perceived enemy. They don't really win anything but they
delude themselves into thinking they've "won."

Some engage in gorilla warfare forgetting they are just
bananas.

Some think they are building SNARF missles. Those are
just SuperNatural Amateur Radio Fantasies. Those
missles are unflying identified objects.

>...and therein lies some of your angst.

No "angst." Just some irritation at certain amateur
fundamentalists who can only express anger and resentment
at those who do not accept their divinity.

>Dave K8MN
>(a guy WITH a radio station--catch my talk show on 20m)

Broadcasting talk shows is not allowed in amateur radio.

5523. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 07:37:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q3i8kiff0@208.206.142.95>
Source record: 21767

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Nobody HAS to provide proof of anything...they can go ahead
> and tell all the fish stories they want.
>

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

HAW!HAW!HAW!!!!!

5524. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 07:42:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q3ihjiff1@208.206.142.95>
Source record: 21768

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> (lengthy lecture on TWA 800 snipped)
>
> > Conspiracy theories are, as you say, highly entertaining
> > to certain people. They offer quick and simple explanations
> > on complex subjects, allow the entertained people to vent
> > their frustrations and other angst by hollering at others and
> > pointing blame. The conspiratists "know everything" but
> > they know nothing. Sort of like certain fundamentalists
> > who demand the status quo in amateur radio licensing.
> > :-)
>
> Let's see, Len. You didn't take an exam under the old regs. You
> haven't taken an exam under the new regs. I'm guessing you won't take
> an exam under any future regs. The regs have already changed but your
> litany hasn't.

Loose Lennie is the only soul on the NG who doesn't know that the code is deader than a doornail as far as testing is concerned. Of course, he isn't capable of even 5wpm, so for him it is still the ultimate barrier. OF course that really doesn't matter cuz in the most remote and unlikely event that he should ever qualify even as a Novice he would be the ultimate lid anyway.

5525. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 08:59:26 UTC
From: Black Ops <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3bc55eff@server002.chicago.avenew.com>
Source record: 21769
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Soon the Moon" <moon@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3BC5002F.5668E5F1@aol.com...
> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> >
> > In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> > radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other
humanitarian
> > aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> >
> > The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> > across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
> > programming.
>
> Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station
broadcast by
> the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage
that our tax
> dollars paid for.

Yeah, just like all the bombs we drop are worthless after they have
exploded.... if they accomplish their mission, it's money well spent... and
just so you know, their mission is not to create a new sworm of radio fans.

> later in the article...
>
> But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
> not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
>
> Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make up
their OWN
> minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down
their throats.

Why exactly would we want to do anything to help the enemy? And if this is
just anti-propaganda on your part... what exactly would you call what the
other side is broadcasting? If your answer is propaganda, which it should
be... reread my first question.

5526. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 12:50:59 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.162fa601c5e9beae9896a2@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21770

In article <de9751ca.0110101930.6d3f0250@posting.google.com>,
webdoc@mailandnews.com says...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20011003000508.27462.00000414@nso-cu.aol.com>.>
> > Be careful, and good luck.
> >
> > Thank you and God bless you.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> Well all I am alive and doing well in NYC, I am in charge of
> transportation for all Red Cross workers and volunteers. I had box
> seats for tonights opening game of the playoffs at Yankee Stadium, but
> some smart a$$ lifted the tickets on the ride home on the subway
> tonight,

Was that you?? :-0

so since I have tomorrow off, I decided to try this web tv
> unit in the hotel room, it sux!
>
> note to self, never buy a web tv unit.
>
> 73's Keith Hosman

Where in NYC are you? Kinda strange they'd ask someone from Ohio to
"wagon master" in an area that they'd have no knowledge of the "lay of
the land". With 14 counties within 100 miles of The Pile, there'd be
more than enough volunteers that would at least know how to get from the
UN to mid-town without having to battle traffic or which bridge would be
best to get to the 30th St shelter

Just curious. If you're in Brooklyn at all, let me know (here) and I'll
take you to a great NY deli..the best pastrami and corn beef this side of
heaven.

5527. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 12:55:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011085523.22804.00001432@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21771

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/10/2001 9:53 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011010225351.19715.00000696@nso-fg.aol.com>

>N2EY
>still did not reply in anything specific other than "we [hams]
>know it" and that it was the subject of an ARRL publication.

As usual, an untrue propagandist attempt to undermine Jim's otherwise factual posts.

>Bullshit.

The kind of adjectives that come from the mind of someone who took 15 years to complete a 4 year degree.

>N2EY made a phony claim and can't back it up.

A Leonard H. Anderson lie.

Leonard H. Anderson lies a lot.

>He got called in message poker and can't ante up.

I dare say his is the winning hand. All we have seen from you is jokers, so far.

><large snip of more misdirection>

A rhetorical lie.

>No kidding?!?

No, I'm not kidding. You lied again.

>For "one thing," why doesn't N2EY state some specific
>solutions enabled by a Smith Chart? He hasn't done that yet.

Per your frequent insistance, this is SUPPOSED to be a forum for the discussion of Amateur Radio POLICY, specifically Morse Code examination requirements.

As usual, however, you make it whatever you want to make it as long as you think it makes you look good.

Up till now I can say you look REAL good....for someone wearing egg.....

>Wow! Reading about something proves "mastery" of the
>subject! What else has N2EY read about that proves his

>"mastery" of the subject he read about?!?

Jim has listed other references/experiences at length...You "snip" him here to make it seem unifocal.

When will you understand that you are not being allowed to do that without being "challenged" yourself?

>Come back when you can demonstrate the "mastery" by
>talking about something specific in that "mastery."

YOU come back when you can demonstrate something other than ugliness and manipulation of Clintonian proportion, Your Putziness.....

5528. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 12:57:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011085711.22804.00001433@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21772

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 10/10/2001 11:49 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BC516B0.99B75E4E@earthlink.net>

>I just finished a homebrew duoband 12/17m yagi using the para sleeve
>design. I optimized it using YO. It goes up tomorrow. Need I prove it
>to you before using it?

Maybe he can listen to you on the air with the Yaesu FT-1000 he's going to buy and post realitive SWL signal reports in here, Dave...!

5529. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 13:01:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011090155.22804.00001434@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21773

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/11/2001 12:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011011013813.23617.00000876@nso-mm.aol.com>

>Nobody HAS to provide proof of anything...they can go ahead
>and tell all the fish stories they want

Permission to fish from the fisherman himself...We are SO relieved!

>Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true.

You seem to think it does.

>Such stories are phony.

Dave, Jim, Larry and others can prove thier "stories", Lennie...all we get
from you is antagonistic taunts and harrasment.

>Anyone can make a claim. If they do it good enough,
>many might believe them.

Herein lies the Andersonian Newsgroup Philosophy.

>Problem is when they try to
>keep on doing it without showing a single bit of evidence
>that they know anything about it they look phony.

And this is the part he just doesn't get, yet he accuses others...

>Keep on making the erections, Heil, you seem to like that.

At least he can, Lennie...How's Mrs. Lennie doing...???

5530. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 13:34:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011093419.22804.00001435@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21774

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/11/2001 1:21 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011011022137.08573.00000594@nso-bh.aol.com>

>The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
>Their problem, not mine.

Sorry...THAT one is The Big FACT...Your conduct is everything you decry in others, and more.

>No. I was in charge of the duties assigned to me.

No, you were "responsible" for the duties assigned to you. You MAY have been in charge of personnel assigned to you.

>Heil doesn't seem to like that others might have had more
>experience than himself. TS for Heil.

TS for Anderson...most everyone else HAS had more experience than him...Including some elementary school kids...No wonder he wants to see an age minimum for Amateur licensure....

>My message to Richard McCollum briefly explained some
>uses of the Smith Chart.

Kinda like the stuff you can get from the ARRL handbook, huh...?!?

>No. I've NOT said "often" or even a few times that an
>amateur license is a "beginning point."

Yes, you have. Repeatedly. It's in the archives. Want your nose rubbed in it, or shall I just leave you to look the fool we already know you are...?!?

>What I HAVE said is that it is a grant from the federal
>government to operate in certain frequency bands using
>certain modes under certain regulations.

Yes, you have...in addition to stating that the license is a beginning.

>I am not a "newcomer" to radio.

You are not even a newcomer to Amateur Radio. Youa re less than a neophyte.

>Radio physics is the same
>for all radio services. Amateur radio fundamentalists (read
>"PCTA" into that for it is a fundamental part of fundamentalism)
>insist artificially that it is unique.

No, you lie again.

(Does your wife tolerate this from you?)

What we (yes...WE) have said is that Amateur Radio PRACTICE is not the same.

You refuse to acknowledge this, trying, instead, to interject your "professional" experiences as to what you think this is all about.

You are, as we all know, a fool.

>It is well known in this newsgroup that fundamentalists
>abhor negative criticsm of their opinions.

No...we abhor having someone who obviously doesn't have a clue trying to tell us what is and isn't in the Amateur Service.

That abhorable someone, Lenie the Clueless, is you.

>The attacks on the person of those opposing fundamentalism
>is to be expected. Always has been and shown in here.

No, actually...Many "negative" opinions get shared here...it's when you insist on refering to anyone who object to YOUR opinion as Nazis, elitists, thugs, etc that the real flaming starts.

You asked for it...you must like it!

>They don't really win anything but they
>delude themselves into thinking they've "won."

You are so apt at recognizing your behaviour, except when you are utilizing it "against" others.

>Some think they are building SNARF missles. Those are
>just SuperNatural Amateur Radio Fantasies. Those
>missles are unflying identified objects

(S)ituation (N)ormal, (A)nderson (R)anting (F)anatically...get it right, Lennie.....

>>Dave K8MN
>>(a guy WITH a radio station--catch my talk show on 20m)

>Broadcasting talk shows is not allowed in amateur radio.

Since he has (1) a station (2) a station license and (3) an operator license, he CAN engage in conversations ON a radio...even "talk"ing!

You may, without a license, listen...we'll be talking about YOU, Lennie......You may RSVP here.....

5531. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 13:44:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011094431.22804.00001441@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21775

>Subject: Re: Did you know???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/10/2001 9:53 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011010225350.19715.00000695@nso-fg.aol.com>

>After a very long time of recovery and reconstruction, the
>airframe of TWA 800 was thoroughly inspected by several
>teams of aircraft experts. Their determination indicated
>that nothing penetrated the craft and that the explosion
>leading to breakup in the air was due to internal causes.
>That is, the explosion was outward, not inward.

Of course, we have never experinced a govenmental cover-up that was
perpetrated to "protect" the populace.

You know...like people suddenly having some reason to NOT patronize a
major transportation medium thereby causing a major economic upheaval...

Kinda like the one that hit the airlines after September 11th, 2K1.

>This was revealed in a rather boring presentation on
>one of the documentary TV channels (either C-SPAN or
>PBS, can't recall which one) which detailed the probable
>cause (frayed wiring shorting in a fuel tank wire run) and
>the trajectory of the aircraft and aircraft pieces.

It may very well HAVE happened that way...but does it's portrayal on a TV
show make it right?

>Conspiracy theories are, as you say, highly entertaining
>to certain people.

You, of all people, LOVE "conspiracies"....You have levelled accusations of
them against everyone from (A)RRL to K4Y(Z) in an attempt to lay blame for
any/everything you have a rant about.

That's why your credibility in this forum is zilch-point-squat.

They offer quick and simple explanations
>on complex subjects, allow the entertained people to vent
>their frustrations and other angst by hollering at others and
>pointing blame. The conspiratists "know everything" but
>they know nothing. Sort of like certain fundamentalists
>who demand the status quo in amateur radio licensing.

>Sort of like certain fundamentalists
>who demand the status quo in amateur radio licensing.

There hasn't been "status quo" in Amatuer licensing in 11 years...get over it...your rant died a terrible death before it ever got started.

5532. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 13:50:22 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.162fb3e81fb38d5d9896a3@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21776

In article <20011011093419.22804.00001435@mb-mb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
says...
> >Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 10/11/2001 1:21 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20011011022137.08573.00000594@nso-bh.aol.com>
>
> >The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
> >Their problem, not mine.
>
> Sorry...THAT one is The Big FACT...Your conduct is everything you decry in
> others, and more.
>
> >No. I was in charge of the duties assigned to me.
>
> No, you were "responsible" for the duties assigned to you. You MAY have
> been in charge of personnel assigned to you.
>
> >Heil doesn't seem to like that others might have had more
> >experience than himself. TS for Heil.
>
> TS for Anderson...most everyone else HAS had more experience than
> him...Including some elementary school kids...No wonder he wants to see an age
> minimum for Amateur licensure....
>
> >My message to Richard McCollum briefly explained some
> >uses of the Smith Chart.
>
> Kinda like the stuff you can get from the ARRL handbook, huh...?!?
>
> >No. I've NOT said "often" or even a few times that an
> >amateur license is a "beginning point."
>
> Yes, you have. Repeatedly. It's in the archives. Want your nose rubbed
> in it, or shall I just leave you to look the fool we already know you are...?!?
>
> >What I HAVE said is that it is a grant from the federal
> >government to operate in certain frequency bands using
> >certain modes under certain regulations.
>
> Yes, you have...in addition to stating that the license is a beginning.
>
> >I am not a "newcomer" to radio.
>

> You are not even a newcomer to Amateur Radio. Youa re less than a
> neophyte.
>
> >Radio physics is the same
> >for all radio services. Amateur radio fundamentalists (read
> >"PCTA" into that for it is a fundamental part of fundamentalism)
> >insist artificially that it is unique.
>
> No, you lie again.
>
> (Does your wife tolerate this from you?)

which one? The Jewish one that tolerates LHA referring to and obsessing
with Hitler and the 3rd Reich or the supposed current Mrs LHA who is a
social butterfly, accompanying LHA on his many excursions to all his
amateur radio fiends?

Kinda reminds me of the Edith Bunker syndrome.

Hey, what's the difference between LHA and the Hindenberg??

Give up???

One is a giant nazi gasbag and the other was a dirigible

ha ha ha get it LHA is a giant gasfilled nazi lover

>
> What we (yes...WE) have said is that Amateur Radio PRACTICE is not the
> same.
>
> You refuse to acknowledge this, trying, instead, to interject your
> "professional" experiences as to what you think this is all about.
>
> You are, as we all know, a fool.
>
> >It is well known in this newsgroup that fundamentalists
> >abhor negative criticsm of their opinions.
>
> No...we abhor having someone who obviously doesn't have a clue trying to
> tell us what is and isn't in the Amateur Service.
>
> That abhorable someone, Lenie the Clueless, is you.
>
> >The attacks on the person of those opposing fundamentalism
> >is to be expected. Always has been and shown in here.
>
> No, actually...Many "negative" opinions get shared here...it's when you
> insist on refering to anyone who object to YOUR opinion as Nazis, elitists,
> thugs, etc that the real flaming starts.
>
> You asked for it...you must like it!

>
> >They don't really win anything but they
> >delude themselves into thinking they've "won."
>
> You are so apt at recognizing your behaviour, except when you are
> utilizing it "against" others.
>
> >Some think they are building SNARF missles. Those are
> >just SuperNatural Amateur Radio Fantasies. Those
> >missles are unflying identified objects
>
> (S)ituation (N)ormal, (A)nderson (R)anting (F)anatically...get it right,
> Lennie.....
>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >>(a guy WITH a radio station--catch my talk show on 20m)
>
> >Broadcasting talk shows is not allowed in amateur radio.

Actually, until recently K1MAN had an amateur radio talk show on the air. He was eventually pulled off because he failed to show that a control op was on duty at the times of the transmissions. If not for that, he's still be on...so as far as LHA is concerned HE'S WRONG AGAIN!!!!!

Westlink Radio news is regularly re-broadcast on amateur related frequencies (usually 2 meters though)

Both were/are allowed by the FCC so as far as LHA is concerned HE'S WRONG AGAIN!!!!

Amazing note..that LHA constantly drops back 10 and punts out the "this is an amateur radio related group" macro, yet repeatedly posts things well outside amateur radio. As far as LHA is concerned HE'S WRONG!!!!

>
> Since he has (1) a station (2) a station license and (3) an operator
> license, he CAN engage in conversations ON a radio...even "talk"ing!
>
> You may, without a license, listen...we'll be talking about YOU,
> Lennie......You may RSVP here.....
>
>
>

5533. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 15:16:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011111611.07096.00001605@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21777

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA lennie_is_good@nothing.urp
>Date: 10/11/2001 8:50 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.162fb3e81fb38d5d9896a3@news.earthlink.net>

>One is a giant nazi gasbag and the other was a dirigible

(Choking back SERIOUSLY large guffaw!)

Nyuknyuknyuk.

5534. Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 17:25:38 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gl1pec2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Recently, at the request of the local cable company, I have tried to
get the shielding and attenuation specifications for a RG6-u
coaxial cable prominently marked "Wan Lung" as sold by a local
quite-reputable electronics parts dealer.

Wan Lung Cable, a division of Neotech in Taiwan, has said in two
e-mail messages that their cable specifications are "secret file"
and have refused to supply the above information.

I recommend that all here do not buy or use cables made by Wan Lung
for any application, as they apparently constitute "mystery meat".

Wait 'till I post this to the SBE and SCTE boards........ <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5535. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 17:30:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011133008.07922.00004643@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21779

In article <20011010225353.19715.00000697@nso-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>(N2EY wrote):
>>> >I have previously stated that while I did RF design professionally in the
>>> past,
>>> >I do other things now. That description was carried over through several
>>> >posts, yet you obviously ignored it or did not understand it. It's in the
>>> archives.
>>
>>Although it is highly probable that LHA will make fun of my work in
>>this field, I'll answer his questions.
>
>Tsk, tsk, too sensitive or prescient-sensitive in this case.

Translation: N2EY is right on the money.

>
>>I produced prototypes of CATV/MATV devices, mostly amplifiers, to meet
>>customer specifications. Some were custom designs, others were mass
>>produced.
>>
>>> What part of the EM spectrum was used by this RF design?
>>
>>VHF and some UHF.
>
>We all know the CATV frequencies. :-)

That's good.

>
>>> Were solid-state devices used or was it all vacuum tubes?
>>
>>All solid state.
>
>>You will probably have something negative or belittling to say about
>>it, based on your behavior in this NG over the past few years ;-).
>
>Keep up the Big Lie technique and keep saying an
>opponent will "probably say something bad."

How is it a lie? All I'm saying is what you will probably do, based on your behavior in the past. I have already given examples of your past behavior.

>Repeat that often enough and many will believe you.

All they have to do is look up your posts in the past - and mine. Your reputation is in the archives. Of course, anyone searching has to know that

"lenover21", "lenof21", "averyfine", and "nocwtest" are all the same person
(you).
>
>>The above job was back in the 1970s, when I was in college earning my
>>BSEE degree. I worked about 35 hours a week as well as carrying a full
>>engineering course load. My ham radio experience was very useful in
>>that job.
>
>Ok, that takes care of the period between 21 to 31 years ago.

It was about 25 years ago. I left that job in 1976, when I got the BSEE from
Penn.
>
>Anything since then?

Like I said several times before - I do other things now, professionally.

As far as RF design since then, I designed and built from scratch an HF amateur
radio receiver, two HF amateur radio transmitters, three HF amateur radio
transceivers, two HF antenna couplers, two amateur radio VFOs, power supplies
and control systems for all of the above, some basic test equipment, and
several amateur radio antenna systems, both HF and VHF.

That's the short list. There's also the stuff I restored from basket-case
status, kits assembled, parts recycled from old uses to new, ham radio classes
taught, articles written, and more. Plus the stuff I have to look up because I
don't remember it offhand.

Somewhere in there (1992) I got my MSEE from Drexel University, too, while
working fulltime.

But I guess all of that is not relevant, according to LHA.
>
>>> >It is clear that you will ONLY accept employment as proof of Smith Chart
>>> >knowledge.
>>>
>>> Not at all.
>>
>>Then what AMATEUR credentials will you accept?
>
>Sorry, you can't have it all your way on questions...or of
>"credentials."

I have no idea what that means.

>You made a statement that I will "ONLY"
>accept employment as proof of Smith Chart Knowledge.
>That is highly incorrect.

Then what will you accept other than employment?

I guess BSEE and MSEE are just "misdirection" and don;t count as "academic
achivements", huh? ;-)

>
>Those who know what a Smith Chart is can talk about it
>in detail large or detail small.

Yup.

>They can't get by with a
>response such as "we all know that."

Yup.

>The "we know"
>answer is not an answer at all, just an implication that
>some group "knows about it."
>
Nope. It's an indication of when someone is stating the obvious.

>So far N2EY hasn't stated anything to prove he knows
>about it, only that he read of it (in ARRL publications) and
>supposedly hams "know about it."

I don;t think there's anything I ccould state here that would prove my
knowledge to your satisfaction.
>
>I have given some examples of use in a posting to another,
>described briefly what it could do, can talk about it in
>detail large or detail small.

That's nice.

>N2EY hasn't said anything
>specific.

Nobody asked me to.

>Ergo, N2EY hasn't really "mastered" anything
>except trying to get other folks to believe he "knows"
>something.
>
Faulty logic, LHA.
>
>>> I've never made any sort of claim to "having mastered a
>>> Smith Chart" or anything else in radio-electronics.
>>
>>Irrelevant.
>
>No, it is very relevant..

Why?

>.just as N2EY seems to think that
>a couple of degrees is very relevant...without stating much
>at all (and that old information) about what he has done.

I see. You think BSEE and MSEE aren't "relevant" to a discussion of
"credentials" in radio.

>N2EY stated that he had "mastered the Smith Chart."

Nope. If yer gonna use quotes, get the quote right.

I even posted the dictionary definition for ya.

>But N2EY can't talk in detail about it except to say "we
>know."

Sure I can talk in detail. Nobody asked me to.

>Phony.
>
Not me. I'm the genuine article. WYSIWYG.

>Hint: CATV has advanced much in the last two to three
>decades, both in frequency span and techniques. Of course
>all of radio has also expanded since then.

We know, Len.

Y'see, you just made statements about CATV and radio that are obvious to
anybody who knows anything about the subject. But it's not clear to me what
your point, if any, was inmaking that statement. So it appears you are either
just stating the obvious or trying some sort of insult, as if to say the work I
did 25+ years ago is somehow irrelevant today.
>
>>> I'm
>>> competent in some areas, still learning in other areas.
>>
>>No "Extra right out of the box" yet, huh? ;-)
>
>Tell me why I should bother. Why should anyone bother?

Because for many people, ham radio is fun.

But you seem to have missed that, Len. To you, it's all about arguing and
insulting and calling hams who disagree with you funny names. From what I can
see, anyway. There's no Len Anderson ham radio website, no articles in the ham
radio press, no commentary or help on other newsgroups, nothing but your rants
here.

Really rather odd, come to think of it.

>Some amateurs sneer at professionals while being quite
>ignorant of the rest of the radio world, will not accept pro
>experience as being "relevant" to amateur radio.

There you go again, Len. A statement that has no point to it.
>
>>You have made it clear that "amateurs" have no credentials unless they
>>are also professionals.
>

>Highly incorrect again. Why do you make up such stories?
>
It's not a story, nor is it incorrect. It's an observation I have formed from reading many many of your posts here. Am I not entitled to have an opinion?

>I cite professional experience for the simple reason that
>professional experience in radio-electronics has been most
>of my working life...

But not amateur experience.

>and that included 24/7 HF communications
>trans-Pacific almost a half a century ago.

You were on the air 24 hours a day, 7 days a week back then? All by yourself? Cool.

>But, according to
>some "critics" in here, that "doesn't apply" because it was not
>amateur experience...and "no one" can have any "amateur
>experience" without the amateur license. One in here
>expresses the opinion that those who don't have a license
>don't know anything at all about amateur radio...continually.

Who are these unnamed persons?
>
>If "no one can know anything about amateur radio without
>a license," how can anyone know enough to even think
>about entering? Explain that.
>
Who said:"no one can know anything about amateur radio without a license,"? Not me.

Provide the post where they said it.
>
>>Just like YOU are free to call people names and make fun of their
>>professions.
>
>Yes, I AM free to do that...but I only do it in response to
>others who started it first.

When did I ever call anyone a name, or make fun of someone's name or profession? You have done those things to me without me doing them first.

And using the "he did it first" defense is something good parents don;t accept from a four year old. ;-)
>
>N2EY wants to fault others for replying in kind, which
>conveniently overlooks the fact that others are far more
>unkind and denigrative than I.

Who is most unkind and denigrative is a matter of opinion. You want your opinion on the subject to be one that rules me. Doesn't work that way.

But you have missed the point. I used YOUR past behavior towards others and me as an example of how YOU could be expected to behave towards me in the future. It's clear you don't like that.
>
>>Translation: You want to post here but don't want me to point out when
>>you make an error.
>
>Sorry, but I don't recognize manufactured errors that are
>not errors at all...and N2EY has done that on the AH0A
>statistics.

Nope. The errors were YOURS, Len. Shall we dig up the old posts?

Does a current Technician licensee with a CSCE for Element 1 have any HF amateur privileges? Yes or no, Len?
>
>>You want your posts treated as absolute fact and
>>revered by all who read them.
>
>I state many things. Some of them are opinion. Some
>are statements of fact about referencible subjects which
>are mentioned. My statements are just statements, not
>pronouncements made by the fundamentalist radio
>amateurs from some temple of ham radio that was

Ah - "fundamentalist radio amateurs" - a typical LHA backhanded insult. Nice try but no cookie.
>
>>I don't know. How do you think YOUR comments look? ;-)
>
>I don't look for love (through statements) or anything else;
>statements are debate, discussion, argument about the
>subject.

In your case they include making fun of people's names, appearance, nationality, callsign, and profession, too.
>
>How does N2EY think K4YZ's postings look?

>How about
>the website author of "No SSB International"?

Some of them are almost as bad as your rants, Len. Your spelling is better, though ;-)

But the point is simple - you respond to me as there is no difference between me and others. You lump all who disagree with you into a group ("jackbooted thugs", "fundamentalists", "rabid PCTAs"). That's called bigotry.
>
>Better yet, what does the FCC think about the postings
>of all those fundamentalists that wrote in response to
>988-143.

Do you think the FCC reads rrap? I don't.

>N2EY implies being privy to everything the FCC
>does...

Nope. Not at all. I just express opinions of what FCC actions mean.

>>You did? You previously said you'd never claimed to be a master of
>>anything.
>
>About N2EY claims of "mastering the Smith Chart."
>
>Try to stay focussed here.

You're not my boss or my teacher, Len.
>
>>LHA wants to be the judge. No one else can have an different opinion.
>
>Anyone can have a different opinion on the SUBJECT.

Then why are you so hostile when presented with one?
>
>N2EY is misdirecting things towards the person again.
>
>N2EY said he "mastered the Smith Chart" but cannot say
>anything definitive about it other than "we know."

Sure I can. Nobody has asked me to.
>
>>Where have I said that? The subject is YOUR behavior. You keep trying
>>to point the finger at others.
>
>Tsk, tsk, all along it was about "mastery of the Smith
>Chart" originally stated by N2EY.

Nope. But you don't stay foscused on anyhting that proves you wrong. Just my
opinion ;-)
>
>>LHA seems to think that it is honorable and good to make fun of other
>>people's names and professions.
>
>N2EY isn't a name at all (unless made so by court order).

It's a form of identity.
>
>N2EY indentifies himself solely by callsign in his "signings"
>and in AOL mesage identification.

Nope. I use my first name, too.

> Anyone trying to debate
>by using a surname "Miccolis" makes him hostile.

You're the only person I've encountered who playes the surname game, Len.

>Why?

It's rude, that's why.

>N2EY wants to shift the subject to "misbehavior" of another
>in order to avoid saying anything definitive about what he
>claimed to have "mastered" other than morse code.

Nope. I mention your behavior as one reason why I don't talk about my employer.
You never said you wouldn't insult my job.
>
>Now, what can N2EY say about the Smith Chart that he
>claimed to have "mastered"?

I see. You want me to "prove" that I know how to use the Chart? Now why should I do that? Your approval means nothing to me. And your behavior here has me convinced that nothing I could post here would convince you of my Smith chart knowledge. You'd just find a way to make fun of me or my post. (That's an opinion, btw).

I'll post some Smith Chart stuff anyway, though.

N2EY

5536. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 17:34:49 UTC
From: Eric Weaver <weav@sigma.net>
Message-ID: <3BC5D839.2DFC70EB@sigma.net>
Source record: 21780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Wan Lung Cable, a division of Neotech in Taiwan, has said in two
> e-mail messages that their cable specifications are "secret file"
> and have refused to supply the above information.

Huh?! What a bizarre concept.

Well, can you get 100 feet of it, and a network analyzer?

--
Eric C. Weaver weav@sigma.net
"Wire telegraph is a kind of a very, very long cat... and radio operates
exactly the same way... the only difference is that there is no cat."
-- Albert Einstein

5537. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 17:46:42 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC5DB02.A0F984C6@uci.edu>
Source record: 21781

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> "Facts" only in that the findings were considered to be facts. That the
> commission found them so does not automatically make them truth. There
> was a documentary on television about a Briton who did a very thorough
> investigation into the incident and reached totally different
> conclusions. His reaoning was more believeable than the Warren
> Commission's.

The Warren Commission's report remains the most believed.

> Nor does it take a genius to understand that someone setting up a
> "patsy" could easily make it appear that that is exactly how it
> happened.

Genius, no. Imagination, yes.

> Oswald himself might have thought he was the killer, when in
> fact another sharpshooter with an accurate weapon actually fired the
> fatal shot.

That's right. One cannot even completely rule out the possibility that
a ray gun may have been fired from an invisible UFO.

> > "The bolt-action, clip-fed rifle found on the sixth floor of the
> I cannot be convinced that Oswald could have done it with THAT rifle.
> Those things were just not even modifiable into a "good" wuality weapon,
> one which could have performed as the murder wapon would have had to.
> IMO, of course.

Bullets can be made to come out of the gun, right? Would you volunteer
to be a target for target practice?

> All that is understandable, but still there are far too many loose ends
> that were totally ignored by the Warren Commission, and too many things
> which were assumed, such as the bullet "found" with the body in DC at
> the time of the autopsy, where none was found earlier. It was assumed
> that this must be the correct bullet.

Right. That's because there was no evidence of any other bullets or
guns. Seems reasonable to me. The explanation is also the least
convoluted and the most supported by the evidence. That's why the
Warren Report still stands as the best explanation - even after two
congressional investigations.

> > I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't
> > happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it
> > covered up.

> A long string of such incidents, well reported, would have had about
> the same effect on the airline industry, and thus a grossly negative
> effect on the national economy and psyche, that the WTC incident has
> had.

Quite honestly, I doubt it. 9-11 was the most dramtic event since Pearl Harbor, and more devastating.

> > That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,
> > falls to the ground in my view.

> No argument there. But I *know* that the "official" version is not
> necesarily the truth any more than anyone else's versionrather at times
> just the more desirable version. That knowledge alone is enough to give
> one pause when there are serious questions about how they arrived at
> their conclusion.

Well, I guess I'm just too naive and gullable to believe in all the conspiracies.

73, Jim AC6XG

5538. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 17:56:08 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC5DD38.55C2BB9F@uci.edu>
Source record: 21782

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true. It only shows
> that they can spout fish stories. Such stories are phony.

What do you mean by "fish stories", and who are you acusing of telling
them?
This would seem to be a back-handed way of calling that person a liar.
What proof do you have of this?

5539. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:12:17 UTC
From: markrobt@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <slrn9sbrog.1c2h.markrobt@shell.rawbw.com>
Source record: 21783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam> had written:
|
| Wan Lung Cable, a division of Neotech in Taiwan, has said in two
| e-mail messages that their cable specifications are "secret file"
| and have refused to supply the above information.
|
| I recommend that all here do not buy or use cables made by Wan Lung
| for any application, as they apparently constitute "mystery meat".

Reminds me of my days dealing with Bloomberg Terminals and their
requirement for special "Bloomberg cable". Then they moved to a
UDP-based protocol but still fussed about the cable. What's wrong
with this picture?

--
Mark Roberts | "it appears the days of coastal crud are numbered and we should
Oakland, Cal.| see a lot of sun next week" -- from the National Weather
| Service (Monterey) Technical Forecast Discussion, 10-6-2001

5540. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:28:51 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC5F2F3.F845E9F2@uci.edu>
Source record: 21784

Len Over 21 wrote:
> The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
> Their problem, not mine.

Please quote references (besides yours) to this alleged "big lie".

5541. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:38:17 UTC
From: webdoc@mailandnews.com
Message-ID: <de9751ca.0110111138.15937fe5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21785

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:<MPG.162fa601c5e9beae9896a2@news.earthlink.net>...
> > Well all I am alive and doing well in NYC, I am in charge of
> > transportation for all Red Cross workers and volunteers. I had box
> > seats for tonights opening game of the playoffs at Yankee Stadium, but
> > some smart a$$ lifted the tickets on the ride home on the subway
> > tonight,
>
> Was that you?? :-0
>
>
>
> so since I have tomorrow off, I decided to try this web tv
> > unit in the hotel room, it sux!
> >
> > note to self, never buy a web tv unit.
> >
> > 73's Keith Hosman
>
> Where in NYC are you? Kinda strange they'd ask someone from Ohio to
> "wagon master" in an area that they'd have no knowledge of the "lay of
> the land". With 14 counties within 100 miles of The Pile, there'd be
> more than enough volunteers that would at least know how to get from the
> UN to mid-town without having to battle traffic or which bridge would be
> best to get to the 30th St shelter
>
> Just curious. If you're in Brooklyn at all, let me know (here) and I'll
> take you to a great NY deli..the best pastrami and corn beef this side of
> heaven.

I am staying in Manhattem, but am working at the Red Cross
headquarters in Brooklyn, there is a great deli at the end of my
block, I'm roughly 6 blocks north of times square, Carnegie Hall is on
my block, am going to walk around Times Square tonight, and drop in to
the Harley Davidson Cafe.

I've always loved NYC, but it has been some time since I was here
last, I was amazed at how much they have changed Times Square.

73's
Keith Hosman

5542. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:41:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011154116.17003.00000556@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 21787

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gl1pec2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@no.spam> writes:

> Recently, at the request of the local cable company, I have tried to
> get the shielding and attenuation specifications for a RG6-u
> coaxial cable prominently marked "Wan Lung" as sold by a local
> quite-reputable electronics parts dealer.
>
> Wan Lung Cable, a division of Neotech in Taiwan, has said in two
> e-mail messages that their cable specifications are "secret file"
> and have refused to supply the above information.
>
> I recommend that all here do not buy or use cables made by Wan Lung
> for any application, as they apparently constitute "mystery meat".

Phil, according to you the FCC maintains a "secret file" with
amateur birthdates. Wouldn't that be "mystery meat" too?
<bseg>

5543. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:41:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011154117.17003.00000557@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 21786

In article <3BC5DD38.55C2BB9F@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true. It only shows
>> that they can spout fish stories. Such stories are phony.
>
>What do you mean by "fish stories", and who are you acusing of telling
>them?

Who? Anyone who states something about technology
without talking details of that technology. One example
is "mastery of the Smith Chart." A long ago example is
the "former army colonel" whose son was supposed to be
"behind the lines in the Gulf War sending intelligence
information back using CW." :-)

>This would seem to be a back-handed way of calling that person a liar.
>What proof do you have of this?

Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.

5544. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:46:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011154645.02959.00000795@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 21788

In article <3BC5F2F3.F845E9F2@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
>> Their problem, not mine.
>
>Please quote references (besides yours) to this alleged "big lie".

The biggest is the continual statement that morse code
skill is necessary and vital to demonstrating to the FCC
that examinees should be granted an amateur radio license.

That's a widespread SNARF held by fundamentalists (who
are also "status quo-ists." :-)

5545. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 19:57:51 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC5F9BF.62A44C3@uci.edu>
Source record: 21789

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Phil, according to you the FCC maintains a "secret file" with
> amateur birthdates. Wouldn't that be "mystery meat" too?
> <bseg>

Just can't stand to be wrong, can ya Len. Ever hear of the right to privacy? The FCC doesn't show social security numbers in their public files either, numbnuts.

5546. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:06:36 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16300c0c80c286e69896a4@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21790

In article <de9751ca.0110111138.15937fe5@posting.google.com>,
webdoc@mailandnews.com says...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:<MPG.162fa601c5e9beae9896a2@news.earthlink.net>...
> > > Well all I am alive and doing well in NYC, I am in charge of
> > > transportation for all Red Cross workers and volunteers. I had box
> > > seats for tonights opening game of the playoffs at Yankee Stadium, but
> > > some smart a$$ lifted the tickets on the ride home on the subway
> > > tonight,
> >
> > Was that you?? :-0
> >
> >
> >
> > so since I have tomorrow off, I decided to try this web tv
> > > unit in the hotel room, it sux!
> > >
> > > note to self, never buy a web tv unit.
> > >
> > > 73's Keith Hosman
> >
> > Where in NYC are you? Kinda strange they'd ask someone from Ohio to
> > "wagon master" in an area that they'd have no knowledge of the "lay of
> > the land". With 14 counties within 100 miles of The Pile, there'd be
> > more than enough volunteers that would at least know how to get from the
> > UN to mid-town without having to battle traffic or which bridge would be
> > best to get to the 30th St shelter
> >
> > Just curious. If you're in Brooklyn at all, let me know (here) and I'll
> > take you to a great NY deli..the best pastrami and corn beef this side of
> > heaven.
>
> I am staying in Manhattem, but am working at the Red Cross
> headquarters in Brooklyn, there is a great deli at the end of my
> block, I'm roughly 6 blocks north of times square, Carnegie Hall is on
> my block, am going to walk around Times Square tonight, and drop in to
> the Harley Davidson Cafe.
>
> I've always loved NYC, but it has been some time since I was here
> last, I was amazed at how much they have changed Times Square.
>
> 73's
> Keith Hosman

Oh my, so close to E56th and E57th Street. Forget the Russian Tea Room..Too fancy and highly overpriced. Besides if you're not a "face" they stick you with the tourists upstairs. The Deli you're referring to is the of NY Deli..great in its time but now a tourist place. At the corner of 57th and 5 is Wolf's yummmmm huge sandwiches and well priced. In fact they overstuff them so much you should take them back to the hotel for a late night snack. Some other great places on 56th as well

Disney changed Times Square although just down the street is Tads Steakhouse. Not the best piece of beef you'll eat but good and well priced.

Remember wallets always in the from pockets on the subway. Cellphone never on your belt unless under a jacket. Get a MetroCard, it's cheaper than tokens

and always remember, you can work CW from a NYC hotel (that's the shot at Lennie) and NYC IS the greatest city in the world. A town so nice, they named it twice New York, New York

Oh yes, the 2 dogs and a soda (or pop as you Ohioians call it) for $3 can't be beat.

Go Cleveland...go Brownies

a transplanted Clevelander (from the east side of town)

5547. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:08:27 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16300c7c7d3b7e939896a5@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21791

In article <20011011154116.17003.00000556@nso-fk.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...

> <bseg>
figures coming from the biggest of the shit eaters Remember Lennie
fans, he is what he eats, hence the big grim (no spelling misteak here
sports fans)

5548. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:20:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC5F110.B547606D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21792

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC5155F.30BBAE03@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes well off the original subject:
>
> >Let's see, Len. You didn't take an exam under the old regs. You
> >haven't taken an exam under the new regs. I'm guessing you won't take
> >an exam under any future regs. The regs have already changed but your
> >litany hasn't.
>
> Heil's litany hasn't changed one bit. Still upset about not being
> revered and honored about "using CW as an order-wire on an
> RTTY circuit [for State]" he chooses to taunt his perceived
> enemies. :-)

You are the individual with the seeming fixation (and lack of
understanding) as to why State would use CW as an order wire.
You trot the story out periodically as a reason why I'm not a Leonard H.
Anderson supporter.

> This particular thread was started by someone who loves
> conspiracy theories.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

> Perhaps Heil thinks that advocacy of eliminating the morse code
> test is part of a giant conspiracy theory to humiliate Heil?

I don't feel humiliated, Len.

> Clue: It isn't a theory. There is no conspiracy.

I never thought there was a very organized conspiracy. I'd hardly
consider NCI organized.

> Is amateur radio made attractive by taunts and jeers and insults
> from the amateur long-timers against those who have
> experience in other radio? Is it made attractive to those who
> don't have experience in radio? How is it made attractive by
> acting arrogant, bossy and using bullying tactics?

Since you seem to have mastered (see Webster or Random House for a
definition) arrogance, being bossy and using bullying tactics, perhaps
you'd like a shot at answering your question. Rely on this, Len. You
are not taunted and jeered because you have experience in "other radio".
You are taunted and jeered because of your uniquely unpleasant manner.

Dave K8MN

5549. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:31:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC5F390.DF9EA643@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21793

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC516B0.99B75E4E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >We don't know that you've ever done anything you've claimed either, Len.
> >Most of us don't care. Why does someone have to provide proof of his
> >knowledge to you for any purpose whatsoever?
>
> Nobody HAS to provide proof of anything...they can go ahead
> and tell all the fish stories they want.
>
> Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true. It only shows
> that they can spout fish stories. Such stories are phony.

Such stories are phoney only if they are untrue. I'm satisfied with my professional qualifications and the only amateur radio qualification I need is that I passed the Extra Class exam. I have a license to do what I want to do.

> Does Heil need lessons in how to use a Smith Chart?

No, but thanks for the offer.

> I can show how to use one effectively. Anyone else who
> has used Phil's Wonderful Diagram will know that I know.
> No problem except to those poor souls who are offended
> at someone else knowing how to use a basic tool.

I don't know of anyone who has been offended because you know how to use a Smith chart. It doesn't even raise a blip on my radar since I could care less whether you know it or not. Your demands of N2EY to provide proof that HE knows how to use it are ludicrous.

> >Schoolyard taunts?
>
> No more than Heil's...:-)
>
> Heil seems concerned only with one other individual. That
> certainly looks like "schoolyard bullying," doesn't it?
>
> Oh, not to Heil, to all the other readers who are NOT
> amateur radio fundamentalists.

Naw, Len. In schoolyard bullying, you'd be on the seat of your pants. Here, you just continue to rant.

> >You've gotten your Walter Mitty up to full steam.
>
> Nope. I just got two "Walter Mittys." One of them is
> surnamed Heil.

Sorry, I used the "Walter Mitty" term. You'll have to get some new
writers.

> >What proof does he owe someone such as yourself?
>
> As I said, anyone can spout fish-stories...but that
> doesn't mean those stories are true.

And you can't prove them false. God, how it must gall you.

> Anyone can make a claim. If they do it good enough,
> many might believe them. Problem is when they try to
> keep on doing it without showing a single bit of evidence
> that they know anything about it they look phony.

What is your obsession with this thing, Len?

> >I just finished a homebrew duoband 12/17m yagi using the para sleeve
> >design. I optimized it using YO. It goes up tomorrow. Need I prove it
> >to you before using it?
>
> No. Are you worried it won't work? :-)

It already works but I'm not going to prove it to you. You'll have to
tune your receiver at random on the 12 and 17m bands to find out.

> This isn't about knowing antennas or how to erect them, it is
> about erecting strawmen by saying they have "mastered"
> something without revealing a single item of their being able
> to use it.

I mastered the use of YO and mastered reading. I designed and
fabricated my antenna. I didn't just erect it. You'll have to take my
word for it.

> Keep on making the erections, Heil, you seem to like that.

Yes, I do. Don't you?

Dave K8MN

5550. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:35:15 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC60283.4F22FF8@uci.edu>
Source record: 21795

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Who? Anyone who states something about technology
> without talking details of that technology.

Well, that's certainly not true.

> Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
> talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.

Someone who calls someone else a liar for no good reason is an asshole.

5551. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:40:09 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC603A9.3CFEAFAF@uci.edu>
Source record: 21794

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC5F2F3.F845E9F2@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
> >> Their problem, not mine.
> >>
> >Please quote references (besides yours) to this alleged "big lie".

> The biggest is the continual statement that morse code
> skill is necessary and vital to demonstrating to the FCC
> that examinees should be granted an amateur radio license.

How did we get on that subject again? Back when you were calling Jim a liar, the discussion was about RF and your behavior. Don't you want to talk about that anymore?

5552. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:45:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC5F6FA.E26CC4F6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21796

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC51BEA.7A9A0CF7@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >The fact that your behavior in the past is as N2EY commented gives no
> >credence to your "Big Lie" technique comment. Most who will believe the
> >comment will believe it because of your past actions.
>
> The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
> Their problem, not mine.

Your problem if it galls you. It galls you.

> >So, if someone hasn't demonstrated his skill directly to you, he doesn't
> >know anything. It's an interesting concept.
>
> Anyone can claim "mastery" or "mastering" of something.
> If they cannot talk in some detail of its use, they really
> don't know anything about and cannot claim to have
> "mastered it."

There is a huge difference between "cannot" and "will not".

> Someone who talks in some detail will demonstrate to others
> knowing about the subject. MANY others. A Smith Chart is
> rather well known to those who have worked in RF matching
> of any kind.

For what purpose? It is not unknown that people working in RF matching
have used Smith Charts.

> Those who don't know anything of the subject can tell fish
> stories and try to make fun of those who know the subject.
> That seems to be Heil's case.

It doesn't matter to me whether you know about Smith Charts or not.
It matters to me that you bluster and froth because someone else will
not prove that he also knows about Smith Charts. Get over it.

> >I wish I had some proof about all of those old army tales of yours, Len.
> >I'll bet you spent your time leaning on a coke machine telling the other
> >guys how you'd run things if YOU were in charge.
>
> No. I was in charge of the duties assigned to me.

That I believe.

> Heil doesn't seem to like that others might have had more
> experience than himself. TS for Heil.

It doesn't bother me in the least that others have more experience than
I have. There will always be someone who has more or different
experience. You seem injured that no one seems to treat you with
deference because of your Smith Chart knowledge. Sorry, Len.
Whoop-te-doo.

> >> Hint: CATV has advanced much in the last two to three
> >> decades, both in frequency span and techniques. Of course
> >> all of radio has also expanded since then.
> >
> >Hint: Your hint hasn't the slightest to do with Smith charts.
>
> My message to Richard McCollum briefly explained some
> uses of the Smith Chart.

Was it your opinion that Dick McCollum is deficient in Smith Chart
knowledge?

> Community Access TeleVision (CATV) has nothing to do
> with amateur radio either but I did not bring it up, N2EY did.

You simple goof! You asked him what he did and now you lambast him for
telling you?

> Is use of Smith Chart useful to a CATV RF equipment
> designer? Yes it is, especially in designing phase
> equalizers, amplifiers, networks of all kinds.
>
> Smith Chart use and measurement capability to show
> both parts of a complex impedance or admittance would
> be very useful to a radio amateur. Such a tool would
> enable maximizing signal transfer in any mode, even CW.

Tell us something new, something we don't already know.

> >The license, as you've often pointed out, is a beginning point.
>
> No. I've NOT said "often" or even a few times that an
> amateur license is a "beginning point."
>
> What I HAVE said is that it is a grant from the federal
> government to operate in certain frequency bands using
> certain modes under certain regulations.
>
> >A newcomer obtains a license and then begins to gather experience.
> >You could be a newcomer some day.
>
> I am not a "newcomer" to radio. Radio physics is the same
> for all radio services. Amateur radio fundamentalists (read
> "PCTA" into that for it is a fundamental part of fundamentalism)
> insist artificially that it is unique.

You're not even a newcomer to amateur radio. You'd have to be newly
licensed for that to be. You aren't a good op or a bad op. You're not
any kind of amateur operator.

> >Many of your "statement" are issued from on high to mere radio amateurs.
> >One would expect to find a boat load of hams in an amateur radio
> >newsgroups. Forgive those of us who do not find your edicts
> >fascinating.
>
> It is well known in this newsgroup that fundamentalists
> abhor negative criticsm of their opinions. Those
> fundamentalist viewpoints are not fascinating to any but
> the fundamentalists.

Here, Len. Let me hold this mirror for you.

> >> I don't look for love (through statements) or anything else;
> >> statements are debate, discussion, argument about the
> >> subject.
> >
> >Then you aren't disappointed at the response.
>
> The attacks on the person of those opposing fundamentalism
> is to be expected. Always has been and shown in here.
>
> Emotional to the core, the fundamentalists take negative
> criticsm of a general kind as some kind of call to battle.
> They are not armed well so they attempt to shout down the
> perceived enemy. They don't really win anything but they
> delude themselves into thinking they've "won."

If I tilt it, can you see yourself better? There now. Feel better?

> Some engage in gorilla warfare forgetting they are just
> bananas.
>
> Some think they are building SNARF missles. Those are
> just SuperNatural Amateur Radio Fantasies. Those
> missles are unflying identified objects.
>
> >...and therein lies some of your angst.
>
> No "angst." Just some irritation at certain amateur
> fundamentalists who can only express anger and resentment
> at those who do not accept their divinity.

Call me an amateur fundamentalist if you like, Len. At least I'm a
radio amateur fundamentalist. To me, you are simply a Quixotic SWL.

> >Dave K8MN
> >(a guy WITH a radio station--catch my talk show on 20m)
>
> Broadcasting talk shows is not allowed in amateur radio.

Your reading skills are pathetic. Nowhere did I mention broadcasting.

Dave K8MN

5553. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:55:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BC5F926.55BF3125@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21797

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC5DD38.55C2BB9F@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true. It only shows
> >> that they can spout fish stories. Such stories are phony.
> >>
> >What do you mean by "fish stories", and who are you acusing of telling
> >them?
>
> Who? Anyone who states something about technology
> without talking details of that technology. One example
> is "mastery of the Smith Chart." A long ago example is
> the "former army colonel" whose son was supposed to be
> "behind the lines in the Gulf War sending intelligence
> information back using CW." :-)

...or the person could be a briefer in current military operations.
They don't reveal details of the technology. Maybe it could be a person
who simply doesn't care to prove something to your satisfaction. I
don't know that any of your stories of military service are true or not.
Did I mention that I really don't care?

> >This would seem to be a back-handed way of calling that person a liar.
> >What proof do you have of this?
>
> Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
> talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.

Wowsers! SUSPECT, huh? By George, I think you're on to something,
Anderson.

Dave K8MN

5554. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 20:58:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011165827.20049.00003770@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21798

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/11/2001 12:30 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011011133008.07922.00004643@nso-cr.aol.com>

>Somewhere in there (1992) I got my MSEE from Drexel University, too, while
>working fulltime.
>
>But I guess all of that is not relevant, according to LHA.

Especially since I bet it didn't take you 15 years of classroom work to
get.

>I see. You think BSEE and MSEE aren't "relevant" to a discussion of
>"credentials" in radio.

Were YOU runing a KW RTTY net for the Army in 1950-something, Jim..?!?!

I thought not...there ya go! No relevant experience!!! (Ducking for
cover!)

>>>No "Extra right out of the box" yet, huh? ;-)
>>
>>Tell me why I should bother. Why should anyone bother?

Why should you do ANYTHING you said you would, Len? You have already
proven yourself INCOMPETENT in matters of Amateur Radio practice and policy, so
we really have no expectation that you'd do what you SAID you'd do.

You can't. You won't. You're not capable of doing it in either case.

>>Some amateurs sneer at professionals while being quite
>>ignorant of the rest of the radio world, will not accept pro
>>experience as being "relevant" to amateur radio.

All of the radio hobbyist periodicals out there are authored BY Hams....On
the other hand, I don't know of any radio hobbyist periodicals authored by
ALLEGED "professionals" such as yourself, Lennie.

>>I cite professional experience for the simple reason that
>>professional experience in radio-electronics has been most
>>of my working life...
>
>But not amateur experience.
>
>>and that included 24/7 HF communications
>>trans-Pacific almost a half a century ago.
>

>You were on the air 24 hours a day, 7 days a week back then? All by yourself?
>Cool.

Which would explain the obvious burnout and arrogant attitude at this late stage in life...AND the gross confusion.

>>But, according to
>>some "critics" in here, that "doesn't apply" because it was not
>>amateur experience...and "no one" can have any "amateur
>>experience" without the amateur license. One in here
>>expresses the opinion that those who don't have a license
>>don't know anything at all about amateur radio...continually.
>
>Who are these unnamed persons?

That would be me...because Lennie has DEMONSTRATED his lack of awareness of present-day Amateur practice AND policy. Repeatedly.

>>N2EY wants to fault others for replying in kind, which
>>conveniently overlooks the fact that others are far more
>>unkind and denigrative than I.

I see.....Others (me) take you (Lennie the Licenseless) to task for your lying and snide remarks, which in turn make it OK for you to treat Jim that way...?!?!

Have I got that right...?!?! Because if you haven't noticed, he only refers to you as "Len" or "LHA", both of which are signatures YOU have signed yourself.

Which, of course, leaves you loking ever the fool.

>Does a current Technician licensee with a CSCE for Element 1 have any HF
>amateur privileges? Yes or no, Len?

Don't forget the Extra Class Only Color Burst Crystal Controlled CW Transmitter one, Jim......

>Some of them are almost as bad as your rants, Len. Your spelling is better,
>though

ffooooooie! =)

>>N2EY indentifies himself solely by callsign in his "signings"
>>and in AOL mesage identification.
>
>Nope. I use my first name, too.

This is cute...Lennie chastising Jim for signing his callsign a the end of posts in an Amateur Radio related newsroup...What's wrong with this picture..?!?

Of course we could equally chastise Lennie over "LHA" at the end of his...What's this...?!?! The callsign of a Norwegian LORAN beacon?

Lennie is dying. Slowly...bitterly...ungracefully...Pity.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5555. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 21:01:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011170146.20049.00003771@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21800

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/11/2001 2:41 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011011154117.17003.00000557@nso-fk.aol.com>

>Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
>talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.

You mean like how you insist YOU have "mastered" all that radio theory yet
can't pass a test that many elementary school aged kids do...?!?!?

5556. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 21:02:01 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163019164ddd8ff9896a6@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21799

In article <3BC60283.4F22FF8@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > Who? Anyone who states something about technology
> > without talking details of that technology.
>
> Well, that's certainly not true.
>
> > Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
> > talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.
>
> Someone who calls someone else a liar for no good reason is an asshole.
>

Give Lennie credit where credit is due. He's not just an asshole..he's a
WORLD CLASS Asshole Big difference amongst assholes like Lennie

Who made that man a gunner? He did, sir. What's his name? Asshole,
sir, Major Lennie Hairy Asshole. And who's that? Why, he's an asshole
too, sir.In fact, everyone is an asshole sir. I'm surrounded by assholes
(Col Lennie H Anderson)during the battle on the Ginza - Korea 1953)

Osama Ben Lennie he;s our man
Can he spin a yarn

WELL YES HE CAN

5557. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 21:04:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011170426.20049.00003773@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 21801

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/11/2001 2:46 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011011154645.02959.00000795@nso-fj.aol.com>

>
>That's a widespread SNARF held by fundamentalists (who
>are also "status quo-ists."

(S)ituation
(N)ormal
(A)nderson
(R)anting
(F)rantically

The only "staus quo-ist" here is unlicensed.

That would be...LENNIE!

Steve, K4YZ

5558. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-11 23:33:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011011193334.07922.00004660@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 21802

In article <20011010225351.19715.00000696@nso-fg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

(N2EY wrote:)
>>To be specific: LHA repeatedly demanded to know my employment and
>profession,
>>supposedly so that LHA could judge my Smith Chart knowledge.
>
>No demand. What anyone posts is subject for discussion.

You repeatedly asked about it. Sure sounds like a demand.

>> I refuse to post
>>that information, based on LHA's previous behavior towards others in this NG
>>when LHA had their employment information.
>
>Bullshit.

Nope. The truth.

One reason I won't post about my job is because of your demonstrated behavior here. The fact that you respond with such profanity, when I never use such words here, simply demonstrates your immature behavior when you don't control or intimidate other posters.

Another reason I don't post about my job is that it isn't very "radio related".

> N2EY made a phony claim and can't back it up.

Are you telepathic?
>
>He got called in message poker and can't ante up.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
> <large snip of more misdirection>

I guess my having BSEE and MSEE degrees is just "misdirection" in LHA's world. Guess those sheepskins aren't "academic credentials".
>
>>>Okay, so what does N2EY know about Smith Charts other
>>>than QST having an article on them?
>>
>>How to use them to solve some types of problems, for one thing.
>
>No kidding?!?

No kidding.
>
>For "one thing," why doesn't N2EY state some specific
>solutions enabled by a Smith Chart? He hasn't done that yet.

Nobody has asked me to.
>
>>And I
>>encountered them in several articles and in the ARRL Antenna Book.
>
>Wow! Reading about something proves "mastery" of the
>subject!

Nope. I simply corrected your mistake about where I learned about the Smith
Chart.

> What else has N2EY read about that proves his
>"mastery" of the subject he read about?!?
>
>Pfui.

You sure are getting petulant about all this.
>
>Come back when you can demonstrate the "mastery" by
>talking about something specific in that "mastery."
>
For the record, the exact quote was "who has mastered, and uses, both the Smith
Chart and Morse Code."

It's true for me.

N2EY

5559. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 00:57:52 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc83f81_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21909

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9q39s0pna0@208.206.142.114...
>
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Doesn't address the problem that the bullet in question could never
be
> > > identified as the one which killed Kennedy. Tht one was lost, after
the
> > > atopsy which was not performed properly nor in accordance with even
> > > normal practice, and certainly not with the care and safeguards needed
> > > in such extreme circumstances. The Warren commission failed to
consider
> > > many witnesses, many of whom were never interviewed or whose
interviews
> > > were ignored.
> >
> > There were no other types of bullets found. Only one type. There's no
> > reason to believe there were any other guns. At least not in the Warren
> > report. You have to get really imaginative with the facts in order to
> > believe other explanations. Some people find that an entertaining thing
> > to do to pass the time.
> >
>
> Others approach toe "official" conclusion with skepticism and find
> large holes in it.
>
>
> > > Oswald was able to accurately fire three shots
> > > at considerable range with that thing?
> >
> > Evidently he was - according to the only facts we have available, Dick.
>
>
>
> "Facts" only in that the findings were considered to be facts. That the
> commission found them so does not automatically make them truth. There
> was a documentary on television about a Briton who did a very thorough
> investigation into the incident and reached totally different
> conclusions. His reaoning was more believeable than the Warren
> Commission's.
>

You left a really important part of this whole thing out during your response to most of this, Dick. The words "to me." The Briton's reasoning was more believable *to you* than the Warren Commission. Why don't you allow for other peoples' opinions? Why do you seem to have the opinion that, if someone doesn't necessarily subscribe to the way you think and the sources of information you prefer, any other opinion and source than yours is quite in question?

>
> > You've got a good shooter with a rifle with expended rounds, and you've
> > got one dead guy and another injured guy. Doesn't take a genious to
> > come up with a plausible explanation; the guy with the gun did it.
>
>
> Nor does it take a genius to understand that someone setting up a
> "patsy" could easily make it appear that that is exactly how it
> happened. Oswald himself might have thought he was the killer, when in
> fact another sharpshooter with an accurate weapon actually fired the
> fatal shot.
>
> >
> > > I don't believe it and I never
> > > shall.
> >
> > "Whatever gets you through the night."
> >
> > > But I KNOW about the Carcano carbine, and I'm
> > > firmly convinced that it could not have been the weapon used.
> >
> > By the way, it was not a standard issue rifle. Again, from the report:
> >
> > "The bolt-action, clip-fed rifle found on the sixth floor of the
> > Depository, described more fully in appendix X, is inscribed with
> > various markings, including "MADE ITALY," "CAL. 6.5," "1940" and the
> > number C2766. (See Commission Exhibit Nos. 1303, 541(2) and 541(3), pp.
> > 82-83.) These markings have been explained as follows: "MADE ITALY"
> > refers to its origin; "CAL. 6.5" refers to the rifle's caliber; "1940"
> > refers to the year of manufacture; and the number C2766 is the serial
> > number. This rifle is the only one of its type bearing that serial
> > number. After review of standard reference works and the markings on the
> > rifle, it was identified by the FBI as a 6.5-millimeter model 91/38
> > Mannlicher-Carcano rifle. Experts from the FBI made an independent
> > determination of the caliber by inserting a Mannlicher-Carcano
> > 6.5-millimeter cartridge into the weapon for fit, and by making a sulfur
> > cast of the inside of the weapon's barrel and measuring the cast with a
> > micrometer. From outward appearance, the weapon would appear to be a
> > 7.35-millimeter rifle, but its mechanism had been re-barreled with a
> > 6.5-millimeter barrel. Constable Deputy Sheriff Weitzman, who only saw
> > the rifle at a glance and did not handle it, thought the weapon looked
> > like a 7.65 Mauser bolt-action rifle. (See chapter V, p. 235.)
> >

>
>
> I saw a photo of it, and read that he got it mail order from a place in
> CHicago (that was when you could do that). In fact, from the same mail
> order house where I got mine! If it wasn't identical to the one I had I
> was not able to discern any difference.
>
>
> > The rifle is 40.2 inches long and weighs 8 pounds. The minimum length
> > broken down is 34.8 inches, the length of the wooden stock. (See
> > Commission Exhibit No. 1304, p. 132.) Attached to the weapon is an
> > inexpensive four-power telescopic sight, stamped "Optics Ordnance Inc.
> > /Hollywood California," and "Made in Japan." The weapon also bears a
> > sling consisting of two leather straps. The sling is not a standard
> > rifle sling but appears to be a musical instrument strap or a sling from
> > a carrying case or camera bag."
> >
> > > You really don't think that officialdom could have ever have allowed
> > > the American public to know that some other political entity actually
> > > assinated our president, do you?
> >
> > Anybody could have hired Lee Harvey Oswald. We don't need multiple
> > shooters to prove that it was a hit job.
> >
>
>
> I cannot be convinced that Oswald could have done it with THAT rifle.
> Those things were just not even modifiable into a "good" wuality weapon,
> one which could have performed as the murder wapon would have had to.
> IMO, of course.
>
>
> > Again, the report:
> > "Many people who witnessed the assassination and the killing of Oswald
> > or were present in the area were a major source of diverse and often
> > contradictory information. As is easily understood under such
> > circumstances, all of the witnesses did not see and hear the same thing
> > or interpret what they saw and heard the same way and many changed their
> > stories as they repeated them. Moreover, they were interviewed at
> > different times after the event by different people and often under
> > circumstances which made accurate reporting extremely difficult.
> >
> > Even the occupants of the cars in the Presidential motorcade were not
> > entirely in agreement in their accounts because they, too, saw and heard
> > what happened from different positions. Moreover, those closest to the
> > assassination were subjected to a physical and emotional strain that
> > tended to affect their recollections of what they thought they saw or
> > heard.
> >
> > Consequently, the presentation of the news from Dallas included much

> > misinformation. This, to some extent, was unavoidable, but the
> > widespread and repetitive dissemination of every scrap of information
> > about the President's assassination and its aftermath has helped to
> > build up a large number of erroneous conclusions."
> >
>
>
> All that is understandable, but still there are far too many loose ends
> that were totally ignored by the Warren Commission, and too many things
> which were assumed, such as the bullet "found" with the body in DC at
> the time of the autopsy, where none was found earlier. It was assumed
> that this must be the correct bullet.
>
>
>
> > > Any more than they could allow us to
> > > know that 26 or 27 airliners loaded with passenmgers had been shot
down
> > > by international terrorists instead of crashing accidently. The World
> > > Trade Center was beyond any control, of course.
> >
> > I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't
> > happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it
> > covered up.
>
>
> A long string of such incidents, well reported, would have had about
> the same effect on the airline industry, and thus a grossly negative
> effect on the national economy and psyche, that the WTC incident has
> had. Congress really didn't want to dole out the $15 billion to them,
> but neither did they wish to see the economy drop into depression. Some
> such things just evolve incrementally, and are not really planned. I
> hear the airlines are now runnig about 60% capacity. That probably won't
> be enough to sustain them.
>
>
> > That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,
> > falls to the ground in my view.
>
>
> No argument there. But I *know* that the "official" version is not
> necesarily the truth any more than anyone else's versionrather at times
> just the more desirable version. That knowledge alone is enough to give
> one pause when there are serious questions about how they arrived at
> their conclusion.

5560. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 01:41:27 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tscii7lg3k80a4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21803
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC5002F.5668E5F1@aol.com>, moon@aol.com says...
>
>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
>>
>> In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
>> radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other
humanitarian
>> aide to the Afgan civilian population.
>>
>> The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
>> across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting
anti-Taliban
>> programming.
>
>Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station
broadcast by
>the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage
that our tax
>dollars paid for.

This is a WAR, idiot. We, as the invaders, want the people to turn
against the Taliban. Psyops is an extremely important part of this.
Most Afghans are illiterate; leaflets would have no effect. Afghanistan
is cut off from the outside world; batteries are nearly nonexistent. So
a wind up radio as a means of broadcasting propaganda to the Afghan
people is a great idea.
>
>later in the article...
>
>But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
>not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
>able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
>
>Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make
up their OWN
>minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down
their throats.

Look. In a war, there is no attempt to be fair. We want to win, and we
do this by the best means possible.

5561. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 01:43:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BC63BDB.D94B30FC@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21804

Yea, but the missile theory makes good reading in those grocery
store rag mags and on web sites for those who are gullible enough
to believe them. It give those alarmist something to talk about.

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
> One of the strongest proponents of the missle theory on the
> crash of TWA 800 was Pierre Salinger...who was in Paris
> at the time. [Salinger was Press Secretary to Kennedy
> during his adminstration] Salinger later retracted his "news"
> item and hasn't been a feature of TV news since. :-)
>
> After a very long time of recovery and reconstruction, the
> airframe of TWA 800 was thoroughly inspected by several
> teams of aircraft experts. Their determination indicated
> that nothing penetrated the craft and that the explosion
> leading to breakup in the air was due to internal causes.
> That is, the explosion was outward, not inward.
>
> This was revealed in a rather boring presentation on
> one of the documentary TV channels (either C-SPAN or
> PBS, can't recall which one) which detailed the probable
> cause (frayed wiring shorting in a fuel tank wire run) and
> the trajectory of the aircraft and aircraft pieces. The
> national TV news and newspapers picked up on both
> items. According to the findings of the NTSB and every-
> one else, the explosion severed the fuselage with the
> aft part spewing burning fuel...giving the impression of
> the "bright streak of light moving rapidly." A comparison
> of the flight data recorder readings (in forward part) and
> the impact point of most pieces led to this probability
> of paths in the air.
>

5562. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:03:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q5j1kckk0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 21805

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > Who? Anyone who states something about technology
> > without talking details of that technology.
>
> Well, that's certainly not true.
>
> > Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
> > talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.
>
> Someone who calls someone else a liar for no good reason is an asshole.

Butt we already knew that.

5563. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:08:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q5jb0ckk1@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 21806

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3BC516B0.99B75E4E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >We don't know that you've ever done anything you've claimed either, Len.
> > >Most of us don't care. Why does someone have to provide proof of his
> > >knowledge to you for any purpose whatsoever?
> >
> > Nobody HAS to provide proof of anything...they can go ahead
> > and tell all the fish stories they want.
> >
> > Spouting fish stories doesn't make them true. It only shows
> > that they can spout fish stories. Such stories are phony.
>
> Such stories are phoney only if they are untrue. I'm satisfied with my
> professional qualifications and the only amateur radio qualification I
> need is that I passed the Extra Class exam. I have a license to do what
> I want to do.
>
> > Does Heil need lessons in how to use a Smith Chart?
>
> No, but thanks for the offer.
>
> > I can show how to use one effectively. Anyone else who
> > has used Phil's Wonderful Diagram will know that I know.
> > No problem except to those poor souls who are offended
> > at someone else knowing how to use a basic tool.
>
> I don't know of anyone who has been offended because you know how to use
> a Smith chart. It doesn't even raise a blip on my radar since I could
> care less whether you know it or not.

Doncha just luv it? Loosie Lennie claims mastery of the Smith chart but
has no venue whatever with which to use it....and never will have. What
good is it to sort out antennas and feedlines for an imaginary radio
system for which the sorter has no use whatever???

5564. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:14:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC65216.602AC1C7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21807
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

redrum wrote:
>
> (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
> to be fair.

Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.

> We want to win, and we do this by the best
> means possible.

Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
Pearl Harbor.

Dwight Stewart

5565. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:18:59 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3BC65313.DFBA1EEF@mindless.com>
Source record: 21808
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Soon the Moon wrote:

> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> >
> > In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> > radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other humanitarian
> > aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> >
> > The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> > across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
> > programming.
>
> Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station broadcast by
> the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage that our tax
> dollars paid for.
>
> later in the article...
>
> But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
> not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
>
> Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make up their OWN
> minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down their throats.

You obviously don't understand the purpose of propaganda. It's to influence, not to
create
super-objective world citizens.

I'd be upset if my tax dollars went for general-coverage receivers that gave
the Taleban more listeners. It would be analogous to saving $10 on tires, but
on tires that are far more likely to blow out and flip you over. Some bargain. :)

5566. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:19:59 UTC
From: Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3BC6536D.3E712D10@aol.com>
Source record: 21809
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

redrum wrote:

> In article <3BC5002F.5668E5F1@aol.com>, moon@aol.com says...
> >
> >> http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> >>
> >> In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> >> radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other
> humanitarian
> >> aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> >>
> >> The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> >> across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting
> anti-Taliban
> >> programming.
> >
> >Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station
> broadcast by
> >the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage
> that our tax
> >dollars paid for.
>
> This is a WAR, idiot. We, as the invaders, want the people to turn
> against the Taliban.

Why not let them do what ever the hell they want to? What if some other
country dropped leaflets and radio propaganda to overthrow George Bush?

> >But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
> >not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> >able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
> >
> >Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make
> up their OWN
> >minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down
> their throats.

5567. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:23:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q5k6e$fm9$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 21810

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > Heil doesn't seem to like that others might have had more
> > experience than himself. TS for Heil.
>
> It doesn't bother me in the least that others have more experience than
> I have.

Concha just luve it? Loosie Lennie claims extensive experience and seeks to prove it with reams of information which anyone without any hint of training or experience could glean from the 'net, and yet he has NO means whatever of putting any of all that alleged knowledge and experience to use. What a creep. Most technically inclined individuals who have retired would either get into it as a hobby if they wished to continue a personal invlovemnt, or just forget ant do other retirement things if not. Evidently his only joy in life is in futilly attempting to convince licensed radio amateure that he is more competent and knowledgable than any of them, despite his lack of interest enough to involve himself in any way in their hobby other tnat as a terminal critic of every aspect of it. NOT his hobby, theirs. What a creep.

5568. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 02:49:39 UTC
From: Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3BC65A60.F1221C17@aol.com>
Source record: 21811
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross Archer wrote:

> Soon the Moon wrote:
>
> > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> > >
> > > In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> > > radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other humanitarian
> > > aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> > >
> > > The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> > > across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting anti-Taliban
> > > programming.
> >
> > Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station broadcast by
> > the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage that our tax
> > dollars paid for.
> >
> > later in the article...
> >
> > But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will
> > not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> > able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
> >
> > Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make up their OWN
> > minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down their throats.
>
> You obviously don't understand the purpose of propaganda. It's to influence, not to
> create
> super-objective world citizens.

Oh I understand that, but YOU don't understand how stupid it sounds to have to use Propaganda to tell these people how bad the Taliban are. If they are so bad, I'm sure they would know, right? Perhaps letting them become super-objective world citizens would be a better idea so that they don't turn out like war hungry americans.

> I'd be upset if my tax dollars went for general-coverage receivers that gave
> the Taleban more listeners.

Aha, why are you afraid of people listening to their side of the story? Censoring is usually because you don't want anyone to hear the truth.

You should be outraged that you have to pay tax for ANY radios that go to other countries. Before 1913 there was NO FEDERAL INCOME TAX in the USA. We did fine without it then, and can do fine without it now. If the government followed the constitution, tariffs and

excise taxes would be more than enough to fund the federal government.

5569. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 03:42:11 UTC
From: Pete Stephenson <pete@heypete.com>
Message-ID: <pete-A9488F.20421111102001@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 21813
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC65216.602AC1C7@sccoast.net>,
Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.

Mr. Steward invoked Godwin's rule, thread's over.

--
Pete Stephenson
HeyPete.com

5570. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 03:50:05 UTC
From: "Vanilla Gorilla (Monkey Boy)" <vgorilla@pobox.alaska.net>
Message-ID: <u2qcstkqgj02vogssqmfrhrbmbftau6kl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 21815
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 11 Oct 2001 20:42:11 -0700, Pete Stephenson <pete@heypete.com>
dropped trou in alt.fan.art-bell and left the following steaming pile:

>In article <3BC65216.602AC1C7@sccoast.net>,
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
>> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
>
>Mr. Steward invoked Godwin's rule, thread's over.

It's about time, too.
--
V.G.

"Smoking marijuana and THEN doing something stupid which results in your death is NOt a death attributable to marijuana. It is attributable to poor judgement." - "Stoner logic" takes a leap

5571. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 04:03:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012000320.27462.00000609@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21812

In article <20011011165827.20049.00003770@mb-fy.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

(N2EY wrote:)
>>Somewhere in there (1992) I got my MSEE from Drexel University, too, while
>>working fulltime.

Drexel University gave us W3RV, too. He's got BSME for starters.

>>But I guess all of that is not relevant, according to LHA.
>
> Especially since I bet it didn't take you 15 years of classroom work to
>get.

The BSEE took the usual fulltime 4 years, fall of 1972 to spring of 1976. The MSEE took longer (5 years) because it was part time.
>
>>I see. You think BSEE and MSEE aren't "relevant" to a discussion of
>>"credentials" in radio.

Did I mention I got a Second Class Phone commercial ticket about 1973 or so? Didn't study at all, just went in and passed it first try.
>
> Were YOU runing a KW RTTY net for the Army in 1950-something, Jim..?!?!

Nope. I did run RTTY at the school club station, though. W3ABT, now N3KZ. That was the old 60 wpm Baudot FSK stuff.
PSK31 is much easier, quieter and you save a lot of paper. Miss the hot oil smell, though.

>>>>No "Extra right out of the box" yet, huh? ;-)
>>>
>>>Tell me why I should bother. Why should anyone bother?
>
> Why should you do ANYTHING you said you would, Len? You have already
>proven yourself INCOMPETENT in matters of Amateur Radio practice and policy,
>so
>we really have no expectation that you'd do what you SAID you'd do.

He never said when he would do it, Steve. He's in no hurry. Not a problem.

>>>Some amateurs sneer at professionals while being quite
>>>ignorant of the rest of the radio world, will not accept pro
>>>experience as being "relevant" to amateur radio.
>
> All of the radio hobbyist periodicals out there are authored BY
>Hams....On

>the other hand, I don't know of any radio hobbyist periodicals authored by
>ALLEGED "professionals" such as yourself, Lennie.

Occasionally I see an article in QST or other mags authored by a nonham. None
by LHA, though.

>>>But, according to
>>>some "critics" in here, that "doesn't apply" because it was not
>>>amateur experience...and "no one" can have any "amateur
>>>experience" without the amateur license. One in here
>>>expresses the opinion that those who don't have a license
>>>don't know anything at all about amateur radio...continually.
>>
>>Who are these unnamed persons?
>
> That would be me...because Lennie has DEMONSTRATED his lack of awareness
>of present-day Amateur practice AND policy. Repeatedly.

Ahh - I see.
>
>>Does a current Technician licensee with a CSCE for Element 1 have any HF
>>amateur privileges? Yes or no, Len?
>
> Don't forget the Extra Class Only Color Burst Crystal Controlled CW
>Transmitter one, Jim......

I don't know that one.

I do know that a Tech who passes 5 wpm code gets Novice/Tech Plus HF privs.
>
>>Some of them are almost as bad as your rants, Len. Your spelling is better,
>>though
>
> ffooooooie! =)

Isn't that spelled "phooey"?
>
>>>N2EY indentifies himself solely by callsign in his "signings"
>>>and in AOL mesage identification.
>>
>>Nope. I use my first name, too.
>
> This is cute...Lennie chastising Jim for signing his callsign a the end
>of
>posts in an Amateur Radio related newsroup...What's wrong with this
>picture..?!?

I dunno. I do know that there may be lots of folks with the same name as me,
but there is only one N2EY. And I also know that in ham radio reflectors and
NGs a callsign is the typical way of identifying oneself.

Calling someone by their last name only in a culture of first names and
callsigns implies many things, none of them respectful or friendly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5572. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 04:30:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012003008.28448.00001839@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21814

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/11/2001 11:03 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012000320.27462.00000609@nso-cu.aol.com>

>>Don't forget the Extra Class Only Color Burst Crystal Controlled CW
>>Transmitter one, Jim......
>
>I don't know that one.

Oh yes...classic...He was on one of his usual rants about a non-ham being "knowledgeable without being licensed" rants, and making light of Extras using a colorburst crystalled CW rig for thier exclusive benefit...Problem is a c/b crystal is NOT wholly within the extra CW subband. Again...lack of situational awareness.

>> ffooooooie! =)
>
>Isn't that spelled "phooey"?

Potatoe/Potato/Tomatoe/tomato

ffooooooie/phooey..?!? What do I care..?!?! =)

>Calling someone by their last name only in a culture of first names and
>callsigns implies many things, none of them respectful or friendly.

I concur....but it is LENNIE we are talking about, right...?!?! So where did "respectful and friendly" get in there?

5573. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 04:50:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q5sq2$6lf$0@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 21816
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> redrum wrote:
> >
> > (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
> > to be fair.
>
> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
>
> > We want to win, and we do this by the best
> > means possible.
>
> Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
> Pearl Harbor.

Would you mind describing how any of that applies to the current
sitution?

Dick

5574. Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 05:50:14 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC68494.B21DB629@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

- National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.

- National Guard troops at local shopping malls.

- National Guard troops at my local airport, train station, and bus station.

- National Guard troops patrolling with no known limits on their authority.

- Government urging people to report "suspicious" people and activities.

- Police searching persons and vehicles without warrants, cause, or restraint.

- Congress passes legislation to allow massive expansion of police powers.

- Overt censorship (White House asks news agencies to limit Taliban statements).

- Overt censorship (military urges press to not cover attacks in Afghanistan).

- The President restricts access to information by members of Congress.

- Hate crimes against Arabs widespread (according to CNN).

Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

5575. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 05:56:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC68615.1868A9B4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21818
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > redrum wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
> > > to be fair.
> >
> > Exactly what the Germans must have thought
> > throughout WWII.
> >
> > > We want to win, and we do this by the best
> > > means possible.
> >
> > Exactly what the Japanese must have thought
> > just before they bombed Pearl Harbor.
>
> Would you mind describing how any of that
> applies to the current sitution?

Yes, I would mind. But if you truly cannot understand the
connection, I would be glad to describe how that applies to the
mentality behind the specific sentences quoted and replied to.

Dwight Stewart

5576. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 06:02:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC68775.444DE755@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21819
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Soon the Moon wrote:
>
> (snip) What if some other country
> dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
> to overthrow George Bush?

No need to - we have the Democrats, and our own press, to do that. ;-)

Dwight Stewart

5577. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 06:09:09 UTC
From: SoCal Tom <chupacabra@vampire.boo>
Message-ID: <9Ovx7.99$qj5.108396@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 21820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"Mark Roberts" wrote in message > Reminds me of my days dealing with Bloomberg Terminals and their
> requirement for special "Bloomberg cable". Then they moved to a
> UDP-based protocol but still fussed about the cable. What's wrong
> with this picture?

It's part of advertising brainwashing. I had a customer the other day asking for a battery for her cordless phone. I showed her a battery that was an exact replacement for her phone. She said that it didn't say "Uniden" on the label, and a tag stuck on the phone suggested replacing with a Uniden battery. She wouldn't take any other brand. What's bad is that I'm seeing stuff like this every day. People want Sony batteries for Sony phones, Panasonic batteries for Panasonic phones, etc. (And most of the batteries are made by one or two companies.) It's the advertising, I tell ya, it's the advertising. (And it seems to be working.)
--
SoCal Tom

Irritating people who need to be irritated.

5578. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 06:19:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC68B6C.F52A17C3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21821
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Soon the Moon wrote:
>
> Ross Archer wrote:
> >
> > You obviously don't understand
> > the purpose of propaganda. It's to
> > influence, not to create
> > super-objective world citizens.
>
> (snip) Oh I understand that, but YOU
> don't understand how stupid it sounds
> to have to use Propaganda to tell
> these people how bad the Taliban
> are. If they are so bad, I'm sure
> they would know, right? (snip)
>
> > I'd be upset if my tax dollars went
> > for general-coverage receivers that
> > gave the Taleban more listeners.
>
> Aha, why are you afraid of people
> listening to their side of the story?
> Censoring is usually because you don't
> want anyone to hear the truth.

It always amazes me how convinced Americans are of their own ability
to objectively hear all sides of a conflict, but are always so certain
that others elsewhere in the world cannot. Those same Americans are
equally convinced that we do indeed hear all sides in a conflict -
that the government does not apply that same concept to us, with equal
censorship of our news. Of course, they have no room for doubt during
this conflict - news networks are widely reporting today that the
White House has asked them to censor statements made by the Taliban.
Seems the government has moved us into the same category as others
around the world - like them, we cannot handle a free press.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5579. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 11:22:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012072215.17640.00000792@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21826

In article <20011005172241.28579.00000190@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>N2EY's "signature" line says he has mastered the Smith Chart
>and morse code. He does not clarify use of the past tense by
>the word "has."

The exact phrase was "has mastered, and uses, both the Smith Chart and Morse Code".

Try looking up what "to master" means, as a verb.
>
>Is this "mastership" still present?

I still know how to use both, as well as ever.

>On both topics or just one?
>
Both.

>True mastership is evidenciary by demonstration of knowledge.

I'm on 40 meters quite often. 7005 and 7035 kHz are favorite hangouts. AC6XG, K8MN and others have heard and worked me on that band.
>
>Such mastership is NOT demonstrated by fish-story claims.

I'll put on the 100 watter if you can't hear my K2.

>>>N2EY has not provided a single name-callsign of a single one
>>>of the "thousands" of hams who had mastered the Smith
>>>Chart and "vowed never to use it again."
>>>
>>Why should I do that?
>
>To avoid being tagged as a fish-story braggart.
>
By whom? You? That's a GOOD one!! ;-)

>If there are "thousands" of such hams, then it should be a
>trivial task to mention just one name. N2EY has not
>supplied that information.

You would just insult and denigrate them ;-)
>
>>>N2EY has not provided a single program name or source
>>>of the "modern simulation programs" that "eliminate the
>>>need to use a Smith Chart."

>>
>>How about EZNEC?
>
>Bad, bad analogy! Roy Lewallen is author of EZNEC which
>is based on the "NEC" or Numerical Electromagnetic
>formulas and algorithms developed under USN funding. (the
>source code is free to use, not copyrighted)

So? Does it simulate transmission lines or not?
>
>The NEC-based programs are for analysis of antenna
>electromagnetic field patterns.

I mentioned EZNEC - EZNEC2000 to be specific. It can it simulate transmission
lines, and eliminate the need for Smith Chart use.
>
>The NEC-based programs are NOT Smith Charts in any form.

Nobody said they were. The challenge was to name software that eliminates the
need to use the Chart.
>
>The NEC-based programs derive the impedance/admittance
>of antennas at their feed-point as part of the overall
>Numerical Electromagnetic calculations and present that
>data as part of the analyzed antenna characteristics.

And they simulate transmission lines and lumped-elements, too.

Try a free download of the demo version. It's fun.
>
>A Smith Chart may be used with antenna analysis data to
>solve problems of matching, to gain insight into broad- or
>narrowband performance.

I know. I knew that 30+ years ago.
>
>A Smith Chart does NOT show antenna electromagnetic
>field characteristics.

Nobody said it did. The challenge was to come up with software that eliminated
the need to use the Chart, not to simulate antennas.
>
>N2EY may "reply" by saying "we know that," but attempting
>the foolish analogy that he did shows only that he has NOT
>demonstrated any knowledge on the subject.

Just the opposite, Len. EZNEC2000 can simulate transmission lines, and in so
doing eliminate the need to do Smith Chart calculations.

A British ham has written a number of freeware programs that do similar things.
I like his DIPOLE1 and VARNEY because they analyze the antenna and feedline
simultaneously, and fast. No Chart needed at all.

N2EY

5580. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 11:22:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012072216.17640.00000793@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21823

In article <20011011154645.02959.00000795@nso-fj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>n article <3BC5F2F3.F845E9F2@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>> The Big Lie is kept alive by the fundamentalist amateurs.
>>> Their problem, not mine.
>>
>>Please quote references (besides yours) to this alleged "big lie".
>
>The biggest is the continual statement that morse code
>skill is necessary and vital to demonstrating to the FCC
>that examinees should be granted an amateur radio license.

That's not a lie. It's an opinion.

Calling it a "lie" shows that the person doing so has no tolerance for differences of opinion, and wants them silenced. (Lies are not protected speech, but opinions are). Intolerance of other opinions is a primary feature of fundamentalism.

N2EY

5581. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 11:22:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012072216.17640.00000794@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21825

In article <20011012003008.28448.00001839@mb-da.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>Don't forget the Extra Class Only Color Burst Crystal Controlled CW
>>>Transmitter one, Jim......
>>
>>I don't know that one.
>
> Oh yes...classic...He was on one of his usual rants about a non-ham
>being
>"knowledgeable without being licensed" rants, and making light of Extras
>using
>a colorburst crystalled CW rig for thier exclusive benefit...Problem is a c/b
>crystal is NOT wholly within the extra CW subband. Again...lack of
>situational
>awareness.

Ah. 3579.545 is only 54.545 kHz above the top edge of the Extra subband. I got it.

3579 plus-minus USED to be a common xtal controlled hangout, but now it's preferred by the PSK31 folks.
>
>>Calling someone by their last name only in a culture of first names and
>>callsigns implies many things, none of them respectful or friendly.
>
> I concur....but it is LENNIE we are talking about, right...?!?!

I was talking generally.

>So where did "respectful and friendly" get in there?

We have to set the example, Steve. You ever see me cuss in here, or call people names even when they call me names?

He who angers me controls me. Nobody here angers me. Some try, and they may even think they succeed, but they are mistaken.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>
>

5582. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 11:22:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012072217.17640.00000795@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21822

In article <9q5sq2$6lf$0@208.207.71.158>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> redrum wrote:
>> >
>> > (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
>> > to be fair.
>>
>> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
>>
>> > We want to win, and we do this by the best
>> > means possible.
>>
>> Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
>> Pearl Harbor.
>
>
> Would you mind describing how any of that applies to the current
>situtation?
>
Japanese and German civilians, citizens of many other nations, and many Muslims
were killed in the WTC attack. All of them were innocent victims of terrorism.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5583. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 11:22:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012072217.17640.00000796@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21824

In article <3BC6536D.3E712D10@aol.com>, Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com> writes:

>Why not let them do what ever the hell they want to? What if some other
>country dropped leaflets and radio propaganda to overthrow George Bush?
>
Nobody has to do that. In the USA, almost any opinion can be expressed. Every four years there is an organized attempt to "overthrow the government" - it's called an election. The losers are not killed, imprisoned or exiled, though. (They become consultants).

The other night I went by a group protesting the bombing and President Bush's handling of the situation. The protesters were peaceful, and while unpopular, they were not harassed or thrown in jail. Do you think anti-Taliban protesters in Kabul would be treated the same way? I don't.

The Bay-Gen idea is excellent.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5584. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 11:29:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012072926.27749.00002739@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21827

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/12/2001 6:22 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012072216.17640.00000794@nso-cb.aol.com>

>Ah. 3579.545 is only 54.545 kHz above the top edge of the Extra subband. I
>got
>it.

Yeppers...3525 is the limit...he was asserting that this was Extra Class
only domain.

>We have to set the example, Steve. You ever see me cuss in here, or call
>people
>names even when they call me names?

Nope...you are quite correct. I admire your patience and tolerance of his
indiscretions. I DO allow him to pull me some. Not as much as he could, but he
does. I just don't tolerate bullies and liars.

He's both.

>He who angers me controls me. Nobody here angers me. Some try, and they may
>even think they succeed, but they are mistaken.

I just remember "BRASS". It steadies my aim, even if the "aim" is to just
remain calm witht that punk.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5585. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 12:15:43 UTC
From: Francis Scott Key <KeyFlags@biggovernmentsucks.con>
Message-ID: <b6Bx7.615$OB1.25289@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 21828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Jack Webb made a documentary in the 1950s.
The theme was Liberty requires Eternal Vigilance.
Today's liberal Democrats would have the movie banned
as politically incorrect and prohibit its showing in our
schools.

In the movie, Webb asked the question, "What if we
woke up one morning and were informed by the media that
the federal government has suspended the Bill of Rights and
other Constitutional guarantees? All for the sake of
security, of course. Looking out our window, we would
see national guard troops patrolling our streets. A knock
on the door would be from federal bureaucrats, wanting to
do a "routine" search for "security" reasons.

Look around. We thought Webb was a little wacko at
the time. Do the conservative talk show hosts and conservative
radio shows seem so far out now?

Buy guns, while you can still get them. Look for gun
sales to be suspended soon, of course, for "security reasons."

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC68494.B21DB629@sccoast.net...
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.
>
> - National Guard troops at local shopping malls.
>
> - National Guard troops at my local airport, train station, and bus
station.
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling with no known limits on their
authority.
>
> - Government urging people to report "suspicious" people and activities.
>
> - Police searching persons and vehicles without warrants, cause, or
restraint.
>
> - Congress passes legislation to allow massive expansion of police powers.
>
> - Overt censorship (White House asks news agencies to limit Taliban
statements).

>
> - Overt censorship (military urges press to not cover attacks in
Afghanistan).
>
> - The President restricts access to information by members of Congress.
>
> - Hate crimes against Arabs widespread (according to CNN).
>
>
> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

5586. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 12:54:54 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <yKBx7.10739$0Z6.841090@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21829
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC6536D.3E712D10@aol.com>, Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com> wrote:

>Why not let them do what ever the hell they want to? What if some other
>country dropped leaflets and radio propaganda to overthrow George Bush?
>
What function do you suppose OBL's video releases and other
missives over the years have served?? They have their PsyOps we have ours.

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5587. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 12:54:56 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <AKBx7.10740$0Z6.841090@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21830
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC65A60.F1221C17@aol.com>, Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com> wrote:

>Oh I understand that, but YOU don't understand how stupid it sounds to have to
> use
>Propaganda to tell these people how bad the Taliban are. If they are so bad,
> I'm sure they
>would know, right? Perhaps letting them become super-objective world citizens
> would be a
>better idea so that they don't turn out like war hungry americans.

Well at least he came clean with the REAL reason he doesn't like the idea
of the radios. Took long enuff..

>

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5588. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 13:31:54 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC6F0CA.4070300@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21831

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.
NATO airplanes patrolling the skys of the USA.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5589. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 15:36:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q72me$iv5$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 21832

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > "Facts" only in that the findings were considered to be facts. That the
> > commission found them so does not automatically make them truth. There
> > was a documentary on television about a Briton who did a very thorough
> > investigation into the incident and reached totally different
> > conclusions. His reaoning was more believeable than the Warren
> > Commission's.
>
> The Warren Commission's report remains the most believed.
>
> > Nor does it take a genius to understand that someone setting up a
> > "patsy" could easily make it appear that that is exactly how it
> > happened.
>
> Genius, no. Imagination, yes.
>
> > Oswald himself might have thought he was the killer, when in
> > fact another sharpshooter with an accurate weapon actually fired the
> > fatal shot.
>
> That's right. One cannot even completely rule out the possibility that
> a ray gun may have been fired from an invisible UFO.
>
> > > "The bolt-action, clip-fed rifle found on the sixth floor of the
> > I cannot be convinced that Oswald could have done it with THAT rifle.
> > Those things were just not even modifiable into a "good" wuality weapon,
> > one which could have performed as the murder wapon would have had to.
> > IMO, of course.
>
> Bullets can be made to come out of the gun, right? Would you volunteer
> to be a target for target practice?
>
> > All that is understandable, but still there are far too many loose ends
> > that were totally ignored by the Warren Commission, and too many things
> > which were assumed, such as the bullet "found" with the body in DC at
> > the time of the autopsy, where none was found earlier. It was assumed
> > that this must be the correct bullet.
>
> Right. That's because there was no evidence of any other bullets or
> guns. Seems reasonable to me. The explanation is also the least

> convoluted and the most supported by the evidence. That's why the
> Warren Report still stands as the best explanation - even after two
> congressional investigations.
>
> > > I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't
> > > happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it
> > > covered up.
>
> > A long string of such incidents, well reported, would have had about
> > the same effect on the airline industry, and thus a grossly negative
> > effect on the national economy and psyche, that the WTC incident has
> > had.
>
> Quite honestly, I doubt it. 9-11 was the most dramtic event since Pearl
> Harbor, and more devastating.
>
> > > That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,
> > > falls to the ground in my view.
>
> > No argument there. But I *know* that the "official" version is not
> > necesarily the truth any more than anyone else's versionrather at times
> > just the more desirable version. That knowledge alone is enough to give
> > one pause when there are serious questions about how they arrived at
> > their conclusion.
>
> Well, I guess I'm just too naive and gullable to believe in all the
> conspiracies.

I didn't expect you or anyone else to just automatically adopt my viewpoint because I have done so. It evolved over time as the result of various inputs processed, sorted and analyzed. No one should ever expect everyone else to alter their own viewpoint on the basis of his own experiences.

Dick

5590. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 15:45:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q735u$iv5$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 21833

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <9q5sq2$6lf$0@208.207.71.158>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >>
> >> redrum wrote:
> >> >
> >> > (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
> >> > to be fair.
> >>
> >> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
> >>
> >> > We want to win, and we do this by the best
> >> > means possible.
> >>
> >> Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
> >> Pearl Harbor.
> >>
> >>
> > Would you mind describing how any of that applies to the current
> >situtation?
> >
> Japanese and German civilians, citizens of many other nations, and many Muslims
> were killed in the WTC attack. All of them were innocent victims of terrorism.
>

Yes, and that fact serves to emphasize the lack of any hint of human
consideration exercised by the terrorists, and why they must be
eliminated.

5591. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 15:48:26 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163121216d6071b39896a7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21834

In article <9q72me$iv5$0@208.207.71.171>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > "Facts" only in that the findings were considered to be facts. That the
> > > commission found them so does not automatically make them truth. There
> > > was a documentary on television about a Briton who did a very thorough
> > > investigation into the incident and reached totally different
> > > conclusions. His reaoning was more believeable than the Warren
> > > Commission's.
> > >
> > The Warren Commission's report remains the most believed.
> > >
> > > Nor does it take a genius to understand that someone setting up a
> > > "patsy" could easily make it appear that that is exactly how it
> > > happened.
> > >
> > Genius, no. Imagination, yes.
> > >
> > > Oswald himself might have thought he was the killer, when in
> > > fact another sharpshooter with an accurate weapon actually fired the
> > > fatal shot.
> > >
> > That's right. One cannot even completely rule out the possibility that
> > a ray gun may have been fired from an invisible UFO.
> > >
> > > > "The bolt-action, clip-fed rifle found on the sixth floor of the
> > > I cannot be convinced that Oswald could have done it with THAT rifle.
> > > Those things were just not even modifiable into a "good" wuality weapon,
> > > one which could have performed as the murder wapon would have had to.
> > > IMO, of course.
> > >
> > Bullets can be made to come out of the gun, right? Would you volunteer
> > to be a target for target practice?
> > >
> > > All that is understandable, but still there are far too many loose ends
> > > that were totally ignored by the Warren Commission, and too many things
> > > which were assumed, such as the bullet "found" with the body in DC at
> > > the time of the autopsy, where none was found earlier. It was assumed
> > > that this must be the correct bullet.

> >
> > Right. That's because there was no evidence of any other bullets or
> > guns. Seems reasonable to me. The explanation is also the least
> > convoluted and the most supported by the evidence. That's why the
> > Warren Report still stands as the best explanation - even after two
> > congressional investigations.
> >
> > > > I can believe that a missle was fired at flight 800. I just don't
> > > > happen to believe there was sufficient reason for anyone to want it
> > > > covered up.
> >
> > > > A long string of such incidents, well reported, would have had about
> > > > the same effect on the airline industry, and thus a grossly negative
> > > > effect on the national economy and psyche, that the WTC incident has
> > > > had.
> >
> > Quite honestly, I doubt it. 9-11 was the most dramtic event since Pearl
> > Harbor, and more devastating.
> >
> > > > That's where that story, like most conspiracy theories,
> > > > falls to the ground in my view.
> >
> > > > No argument there. But I *know* that the "official" version is not
> > > > necesarily the truth any more than anyone else's versionrather at times
> > > > just the more desirable version. That knowledge alone is enough to give
> > > > one pause when there are serious questions about how they arrived at
> > > > their conclusion.
> >
> > Well, I guess I'm just too naive and gullable to believe in all the
> > conspiracies.
>
>
> I didn't expect you or anyone else to just automatically adopt my
> viewpoint because I have done so. It evolved over time as the result of
> various inputs processed, sorted and analyzed. No one should ever expect
> everyone else to alter their own viewpoint on the basis of his own
> experiences.
>
> Dick
>

Does that include Sir Lenalot of California

5592. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 15:49:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q73f5$iv5$2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 21835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

SoCal Tom wrote:
>
> "Mark Roberts" wrote in message > Reminds me of my days dealing with
> Bloomberg Terminals and their
> > requirement for special "Bloomberg cable". Then they moved to a
> > UDP-based protocol but still fussed about the cable. What's wrong
> > with this picture?
>
> It's part of advertising brainwashing. I had a customer the other day asking
> for a battery for her cordless phone. I showed her a battery that was an
> exact replacement for her phone. She said that it didn't say "Uniden" on the
> label, and a tag stuck on the phone suggested replacing with a Uniden
> battery. She wouldn't take any other brand. What's bad is that I'm seeing
> stuff like this every day. People want Sony batteries for Sony phones,
> Panasonic batteries for Panasonic phones, etc. (And most of the batteries
> are made by one or two companies.) It's the advertising, I tell ya, it's the
> advertising. (And it seems to be working.)

Which chillingly illustrates the ignorance of the masses of consumers.
You have to wonder what else they will swallow without question.

5593. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 15:53:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q73mi$n6i$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 21837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.
>
> - National Guard troops at local shopping malls.
>
> - National Guard troops at my local airport, train station, and bus station.
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling with no known limits on their authority.
>
> - Government urging people to report "suspicious" people and activities.
>
> - Police searching persons and vehicles without warrants, cause, or restraint.
>
> - Congress passes legislation to allow massive expansion of police powers.
>
> - Overt censorship (White House asks news agencies to limit Taliban statements).
>
> - Overt censorship (military urges press to not cover attacks in Afghanistan).
>
> - The President restricts access to information by members of Congress.
>
> - Hate crimes against Arabs widespread (according to CNN).
>
> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

We *could* jut go back to the way everthing was on Sept 10 and let the screwball have his way with us.
If you feel threatened by all this "protective activity" there are many places in the world where it still isn't found.....

And for your enlightenment, this is not the first time. WW2 saw a lot of it, and somehow we stood back down to 'normal' status afterward. You think we can't do it again? I think we wouldn't have it any other way.

5594. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 15:57:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q73sf$n6i$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 21836

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.
> NATO airplanes patrolling the skys of the USA.

So WORRY, folks... I'm not about to. I spent some real time in the
middle of NATO, and if they aren't our friends no one is.
The worldwide terorist threat is something we can't handle alone, and
you wouldn't want to pay the bill for doing it if we could.

5595. Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 16:06:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110120806.3d3ec63c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21838

The Smith Chart is a specialized form of graph that helps in the solution of many types of electrical design problems involving complex impedances. Radio amateurs find it useful in the design and analysis of transmission line and matching systems. Using the Chart requires only some basic knowledge, copies of the Chart, and some drafting tools. A drawing compass, straightedge and sharp pencils are most useful. Images of the Chart may be seen at various websites by searching Yahoo! on the words "smith chart". Note, however, that the Chart itself is copyrighted and must not be reproduced nor published without permission.

The Chart's apparent complexity comes from the fact that it is a graph made of circles, or parts of circles, rather than straight lines. The Chart itself is circular, and represents a half-wavelength-long transmission line. The Chart is bisected by the resistance axis, which is a straight line. This axis can be thought of as a circle of infinite radius. I learned to use the Chart with the resistance axis oriented vertically, with 0 (dead short) at the top and infinity (open circuit) at the bottom, so all following descriptions will follow that convention. A copy of the Chart is of great help in understanding the following descriptions.

The Chart is printed with two families of circles (actually, parts of circles). The resistance circles are all centered on the resistance axis, and have one side tangent to the bottom of the chart (the open circuit point). Resistance circles are all complete circles. The reactance circles (actually, parts of circles) are to the right and left of the resistance axis. The reactance circles to the right of the resistance axis are for positive (inductive) reactances and the ones to the left are for negative (capacitive) reactances.

The outer circumference of the Chart is calibrated with a wavelength scale and a transmission/reflection coefficient scale. There are also auxiliary linear scales to the side to aid in determining certain quantities such as reflection loss. The exact center of the Chart is referred to as "prime center". Plotting impedances on the Smith Chart is simply a matter of finding the correct intersection of a resistance and reactance circles. Although the Chart represents only a half-wavelength of line, it may be used with lines of any length by simply subtracting an integral number of half waves until the resulting length is less than one-half wavelength.

Most published versions of the Chart are drawn based on a 1 ohm system - that is, a theoretical transmission line system of 1 ohm impedance. Any other system impedance may be accomodated by "normalizing", which

requires simply dividing the actual impedance values by the system impedance at the beginning of the problem and multiplying the answers by the system impedance at the end. Angles, SWR values and wavelengths are unchanged by normalizing. Special versions of the Chart, meant for specific impedance systems, are also available.

Sample problems and solutions to follow.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5596. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 16:09:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q74jo$qh8$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 21839
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Soon the Moon wrote:
> >
> > Ross Archer wrote:
> > >
> > > You obviously don't understand
> > > the purpose of propaganda. It's to
> > > influence, not to create
> > > super-objective world citizens.
> >
> > (snip) Oh I understand that, but YOU
> > don't understand how stupid it sounds
> > to have to use Propaganda to tell
> > these people how bad the Taliban
> > are. If they are so bad, I'm sure
> > they would know, right? (snip)
> >
> > > I'd be upset if my tax dollars went
> > > for general-coverage receivers that
> > > gave the Taleban more listeners.
> >
> > Aha, why are you afraid of people
> > listening to their side of the story?
> > Censoring is usually because you don't
> > want anyone to hear the truth.
>
> It always amazes me how convinced Americans are of their own ability
> to objectively hear all sides of a conflict, but are always so certain
> that others elsewhere in the world cannot. Those same Americans are
> equally convinced that we do indeed hear all sides in a conflict -
> that the government does not apply that same concept to us, with equal
> censorship of our news. Of course, they have no room for doubt during
> this conflict - news networks are widely reporting today that the
> White House has asked them to censor statements made by the Taliban.
> Seems the government has moved us into the same category as others
> around the world - like them, we cannot handle a free press.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Don't get your knickers in a knot, Dwight. The idea is to keep the
terrorists from using our own media as their message forwarding
mechanism, and the several news outlets I've watched regularly seem to

agree that is a wise move, considering that we supplied the cruise missiles used by them on Sept 11. All they need was the pilots.....

Dick

BTW, this *IS* war. You do know about war, don't you???

5597. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 17:06:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC7231C.69573BB4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21840

Dick Carroll wrote:
>So WORRY, folks... I'm not about to. I spent some real time in the
>middle of NATO, and if they aren't our friends no one is.
>The worldwide terorist threat is something we can't handle alone, and
>you wouldn't want to pay the bill for doing it if we could.

If they were UN planes, would that bother you?
--
cheers, Cecil, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5598. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 17:41:57 UTC
From: James <jrapier@dcr.net>
Message-ID: <tseaqepa79ffe0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Some rights are shoved aside in times of a national emergency. eg.
Internment camps for Japanese Americans during WWII. Of course those rights
were restored. The big deal is if they are not restored.

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC68494.B21DB629@sccoast.net...
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.
>
> - National Guard troops at local shopping malls.
>
> - National Guard troops at my local airport, train station, and bus
station.
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling with no known limits on their
authority.
>
> - Government urging people to report "suspicious" people and activities.
>
> - Police searching persons and vehicles without warrants, cause, or
restraint.
>
> - Congress passes legislation to allow massive expansion of police powers.
>
> - Overt censorship (White House asks news agencies to limit Taliban
statements).
>
> - Overt censorship (military urges press to not cover attacks in
Afghanistan).
>
> - The President restricts access to information by members of Congress.
>
> - Hate crimes against Arabs widespread (according to CNN).
>
>
> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

5599. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 18:56:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC73CC4.8859CA23@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21842

James wrote:
> Some rights are shoved aside in times of a national emergency. eg.
> Internment camps for Japanese Americans during WWII. Of course those rights
> were restored. The big deal is if they are not restored.

Lost incomes/jobs/businesses while the Japanese were interned
is certainly not a small deal. Their constitutional rights were
suspended during WWII.
--
cheers, Cecil, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5600. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 19:20:47 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3bc7424d.335116411@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 21843

On 11 Oct 2001 19:46:45 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>The biggest is the continual statement that morse code
>skill is necessary and vital to demonstrating to the FCC
>that examinees should be granted an amateur radio license.
>
>That's a widespread SNARF held by fundamentalists (who
>are also "status quo-ists." :-)

Grandpa Lenny !! You're still here !! Amazing !!

http://www.eden.rutgers.edu/~jionin/arguing-retard.jpg

73, Jim KH2D

5601. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 20:15:15 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC74F53.E0024994@uci.edu>
Source record: 21844

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

Yes, and saying such things is probably quite gratifying to him as well.

5602. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 20:44:34 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9q7kmshnf1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 21845
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

And what would your approach be Dwight? Do it like we did in Nam? No
reason, no goal, no way out.

Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC65216.602AC1C7@sccoast.net...
> redrum wrote:
> >
> > (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
> > to be fair.
>
>
> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
>
>
> > We want to win, and we do this by the best
> > means possible.
>
>
> Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
> Pearl Harbor.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

5603. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 20:49:34 UTC
From: "j. reed" <jr666@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BC7575E.FA578934@hotmail.com>
Source record: 21846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

The Bushies and the Oiligarchs took it (and they're not going to give it
back, either, especially in 2004, when the real coup will occur)

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.
>
> - National Guard troops at local shopping malls.
>
> - National Guard troops at my local airport, train station, and bus station.
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling with no known limits on their authority.
>
> - Government urging people to report "suspicious" people and activities.
>
> - Police searching persons and vehicles without warrants, cause, or restraint.
>
> - Congress passes legislation to allow massive expansion of police powers.
>
> - Overt censorship (White House asks news agencies to limit Taliban statements).
>
> - Overt censorship (military urges press to not cover attacks in Afghanistan).
>
> - The President restricts access to information by members of Congress.
>
> - Hate crimes against Arabs widespread (according to CNN).
>
> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

5604. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 21:12:54 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <sYIx7.33734$xy1.3289487@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 21847
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Some people should constantly check their pulse to see if they are dead yet!
I can't beleive that there are people complaining about the US government
spending money on radios. I think it's a great idea. The Afgans have been
media silenced for years. An uneducated society knows only what they are
told. This is a smart and strategic method of getting other unsurpressed
information to the Afganie people and to educate them as to what the rest of
the world thinks and is doing!

If they were dropping shit instead of radios, there would be those who would
complain that the others are getting their undigested fibre out of it.
It takes all kinds!!! Don't be an idiot!!!!!!

redrum <nada@na.da> wrote in message
news:tscii7lg3k80a4@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <3BC5002F.5668E5F1@aol.com>, moon@aol.com says...
> >
> >> http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1589000/1589318.stm
> >>
> >> In a curious move, the USA Military has been dropping Baygen windup
> >> radios onto many parts of Afganistan along with food and other
> humanitarian
> >> aide to the Afgan civilian population.
> >>
> >> The radios are pre-tuned to US Military Broadcast stations set up
> >> across the border in Pakistan. The station is broadcasting
> anti-Taliban
> >> programming.
> >
> >Yes, one frequency locked radios to only receive the propaganda station
> broadcast by
> >the US government. Afterwards, these radios will be worthless garbage
> that our tax
> >dollars paid for.
>
> This is a WAR, idiot. We, as the invaders, want the people to turn
> against the Taliban. Psyops is an extremely important part of this.
> Most Afghans are illiterate; leaflets would have no effect. Afghanistan
> is cut off from the outside world; batteries are nearly nonexistent. So
> a wind up radio as a means of broadcasting propaganda to the Afghan
> people is a great idea.
> >
> >later in the article...
> >
> >But unlike those dropped by the Americans, these will

> >not be locked on to a single frequency and so will be
> >able to pick up broadcasts from independent media.
> >
> >Now that makes sense. Let them hear OTHER sides too and let them make
> up their OWN
> >minds, rather than shove our propaganda brainwashing broadcasting down
> their throats.
>
> Look. In a war, there is no attempt to be fair. We want to win, and we
> do this by the best means possible.
>

5605. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 21:13:55 UTC
From: Walter Pitman <wpitman@utopia.com>
Message-ID: <3BC75D30.A63D2E1E@utopia.com>
Source record: 21848
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Soon the Moon wrote:
> >
> > (snip) What if some other country
> > dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
> > to overthrow George Bush?
>
> No need to - we have the Democrats, and our own press, to do that. ;-)

Oh, another one who thinks there is a difference between a Republican and a Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have a BUSH or a CLINTON in control, same shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs on other countries, BOTH raise our taxes, BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away yet more of our freedoms.

Last election, there were 48 other political parties, at least 6 or 7 of which were on the ballots. Yet for some bizarre reason, people keep voting for the same Republicats that continue to screw us over.

Pick one of the others for a change, you have nothing to lose! If one of them screws up, pick another one! But DON'T pick a party that we already know is corrupt and screwed us over time and time again!

5606. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 21:23:21 UTC
From: Walter Pitman <wpitman@utopia.com>
Message-ID: <3BC75F67.6A39E5A7@utopia.com>
Source record: 21849
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Soon the Moon wrote:
> > >
> > > Ross Archer wrote:
> > > >
> > > > You obviously don't understand
> > > > the purpose of propaganda. It's to
> > > > influence, not to create
> > > > super-objective world citizens.
> > >
> > > (snip) Oh I understand that, but YOU
> > > don't understand how stupid it sounds
> > > to have to use Propaganda to tell
> > > these people how bad the Taliban
> > > are. If they are so bad, I'm sure
> > > they would know, right? (snip)
> > >
> > > > I'd be upset if my tax dollars went
> > > > for general-coverage receivers that
> > > > gave the Taleban more listeners.
> > >
> > > Aha, why are you afraid of people
> > > listening to their side of the story?
> > > Censoring is usually because you don't
> > > want anyone to hear the truth.
> >
> > It always amazes me how convinced Americans are of their own ability
> > to objectively hear all sides of a conflict, but are always so certain
> > that others elsewhere in the world cannot. Those same Americans are
> > equally convinced that we do indeed hear all sides in a conflict -
> > that the government does not apply that same concept to us, with equal
> > censorship of our news. Of course, they have no room for doubt during
> > this conflict - news networks are widely reporting today that the
> > White House has asked them to censor statements made by the Taliban.
> > Seems the government has moved us into the same category as others
> > around the world - like them, we cannot handle a free press.
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> Don't get your knickers in a knot, Dwight. The idea is to keep the

> terrorists from using our own media as their message forwarding
> mechanism,

You fell for that excuse, huh. They can use their shortwave radios to hear messages from countries that don't censor that side of the news. This is just because the American government doesn't want it's citizens to hear both sides and find out which one has better points and makes more sense. All we see is Bush and Clinton on TV, never Saddam or Osama. The same is true over there, all they see is Saddam and Osama, not Bush or Clinton. Get it?

> and the several news outlets I've watched regularly seem to
> agree that is a wise move,

Of course! The media of any country is controlled by the government! If they refuse, then no license renual or deal to buy more stations from the FCC.

5607. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 21:26:06 UTC
From: Walter Pitman <wpitman@utopia.com>
Message-ID: <3BC7600C.7D61087E@utopia.com>
Source record: 21850
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kurt Ullman wrote:

> In article <3BC65A60.F1221C17@aol.com>, Soon the Moon <moon@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh I understand that, but YOU don't understand how stupid it sounds to have to
> > use
> >Propaganda to tell these people how bad the Taliban are. If they are so bad,
> > I'm sure they
> >would know, right? Perhaps letting them become super-objective world citizens
> > would be a
> >better idea so that they don't turn out like war hungry americans.
>
> Well at least he came clean with the REAL reason he doesn't like the idea
> of the radios. Took long enuff..

I don't think it was a secret. What took you so long to figure it out. For someone on a SW newsgroup,
it doesn't seem like you listen to the news as reported by other countries to get a full objective as
to how things really are, rather than just the one sided view of the biggest terrorist country in the
world.

5608. Re: Cable Specs - The Final Chapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 21:31:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gl3ooj4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 21851
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 12 Oct 2001 04:49:37 GMT, Lou Schneider wrote:

>Unless you only need 100 ft, the test may be worthless. You can't have a
>product that's out of spec, regardless of manufacturing tolerances (or lack of
>same) if you don't publish the specs.

After three rounds of e-mail, the gist of it from my side being "do you want me to publish this on the SBE and SCTE sites so that a hundred thousand engineers know that you won't provide cable specs", WanLung finally sent something that made sense if one thinks like they do.

They claim to be an OEM-only cable manufacturer to customer specs, and to have a non-disclosure arrangement with their customers for all their cable. They hold that it's up to the end-user to contact the retailer who will contact the entity that ordered the cable to get the exact specs of the cable used in that application. In addition, they claim that "renegade" cable manufacturers (i.e. other Taiwanese and Chinese manufacturers) often duplicate their markings. (Uh-huh...)

Anyhow, I used my trusty Xacto knife to circumsize the cable sheath and determined that the cable in question was NOT the quad-shielded RG-6u required for my application.

Goodbye, Wan Lung. ..._._

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5609. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 21:48:55 UTC
From: Walter Pitman <wpitman@utopia.com>
Message-ID: <3BC76561.B79031EB@utopia.com>
Source record: 21852
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> Some people should constantly check their pulse to see if they are dead yet!
> I can't beleive that there are people complaining about the US government
> spending money on radios. I think it's a great idea.

You most likely also think the Federal Income Tax is a good idea too. Hey, why not get a second job so that we can send them TVs and DVD players.

> The Afgans have been
> media silenced for years.

And as your statements show, so have Americans.

> An uneducated society knows only what they are
> told.

Wow, you knew that, but believe you live in the greatest country in the world, right? Our health care is the best in the world too, right? How do you know that, because you have experienced the others, or because that is what the US media keeps telling you over and over again?

> This is a smart and strategic method of getting other unsurpressed
> information to the Afganie people and to educate them as to what the rest of
> the world thinks and is doing!

No, ONLY to what the American broadcasts have to say. That is why the radios are tuned to one frequency as to censor the broadcasts so they CAN'T hear what the rest of the world thinks.

5610. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 22:45:42 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9q7rq1np1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 21853
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I disagree that Clinton and Bush are the same, or would react the same.

I also strongly disagree in your statement about being "war hungry".

In case you didn't notice....we were attacked. On our own soil. The target chosen was non-military, consisting of civilians from all over the world.

This can not go un-punished. If you think otherwise then I call you a traitor, and have no right to claim US citizenship.

Dan/W4NTI

"Walter Pitman" <wpitman@utopia.com> wrote in message news:3BC75D30.A63D2E1E@utopia.com...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Soon the Moon wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) What if some other country
> > > dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
> > > to overthrow George Bush?
> > >
> > No need to - we have the Democrats, and our own press, to do that. ;-)
> >
> Oh, another one who thinks there is a difference between a Republican and a
> Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have a BUSH or a CLINTON in control,
> same shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs on other countries, BOTH
> raise our taxes, BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away yet more of our
> freedoms.
>
> Last election, there were 48 other political parties, at least 6 or 7 of
> which were on the ballots. Yet for some bizarre reason, people keep voting
> for the same Republicats that continue to screw us over.
>
> Pick one of the others for a change, you have nothing to lose! If one of
> them screws up, pick another one! But DON'T pick a party that we already
> know is corrupt and screwed us over time and time again!
>
>

5611. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 22:49:37 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5sKx7.12725$7B1.886592@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21854
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC7600C.7D61087E@utopia.com>, Walter Pitman <wpitman@utopia.com>
wrote:

>I don't think it was a secret. What took you so long to figure it out. For
> someone on a SW newsgroup,
>it doesn't seem like you listen to the news as reported by other countries to
> get a full objective as
>to how things really are, rather than just the one sided view of the biggest
> terrorist country in the
>world.
>
In other words, I don;t only listen to the people YOU approve of and ignore
everyone else.

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5612. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 22:57:44 UTC
From: The T <upyours@scumbag.com>
Message-ID: <metestggo0thkl3o3c33vaqpopvb4b5bma@4ax.com>
Source record: 21855
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Fuck You, Your Mother and your Mother's Mother. Faggot Tree Hugging
Hippie Dick Sucking Fag Motherfucker. It's a fucking war....
survival of the motherfucking fittest. You wanna suck Taliban Dick...
Go to Afghani FUCKING STAN AND GO FUCKING DO IT!!!!!!!!!! YOU FUCKING
PUSSY NOW.... You added to KILLFILE... YOU FUCK!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Thu, 11 Oct 2001 22:14:53 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>redrum wrote:
>>
>> (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
>> to be fair.
>
>
> Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
>
>
>> We want to win, and we do this by the best
>> means possible.
>
>
> Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
>Pearl Harbor.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart

5613. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 23:13:37 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BOKx7.45220$WW.2865724@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21856

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
> The Smith Chart is a specialized form of graph that helps in the
> solution of many types of electrical design problems involving complex
> impedances.......

> Sample problems and solutions to follow.

Nice write-up intro, Jim.

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5614. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-12 23:15:16 UTC
From: Your friend Bill <"See the reply to address and take out NO"@bill.com>
Message-ID: <3BC77984.A1C5C61E@bill.com>
Source record: 21857
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The T wrote:
>
> Fuc...

!!!!!!!!!!!!

Real good, JLWebb/1618/Joe Wadlowski/Walter Pitman.

5615. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202154.10650.00001340@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21862

In article <20011012072215.17640.00000792@nso-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Nobody said it did. The challenge was to come up with software that
>eliminated the need to use the Chart, not to simulate antennas.

Try to stay focussed. The challenge was to prove "mastery" of
the Smith Chart. So far, no proof. :-)

5616. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202154.10650.00001339@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21863

In article <3BC5F9BF.62A44C3@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Just can't stand to be wrong, can ya Len. Ever hear of the right to
>privacy? The FCC doesn't show social security numbers in their public
>files either, numbnuts.

Wow! Profanity! [don't give N2EY a heart attack! :-)]

5617. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202155.10650.00001341@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21858

In article <3bc7424d.335116411@basic.bs.webusenet.com>, kh2d@my-deja.com (Jim) writes:

>Grandpa Lenny !! You're still here !! Amazing !!

Yes...and so is the morse code test...!!! :-)

5618. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202155.10650.00001342@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21865

In article <20011011193334.07922.00004660@nso-cr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>One reason I won't post about my job is because of your demonstrated behavior >here. The fact that you respond with such profanity, when I never use such >words here, simply demonstrates your immature behavior when you don't control >or intimidate other posters.

Nooooo. I'm not even close to some others... :-)

>Another reason I don't post about my job is that it isn't very "radio >related".

Not my problem. Enjoy. :-)

5619. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202156.10650.00001343@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21859

In article <3BC5F926.55BF3125@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Did I mention that I really don't care?

No. Heil must care a lot considering all his postings... :-)

5620. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202156.10650.00001344@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21860

In article <3BC5F6FA.E26CC4F6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>You simple goof! You asked him what he did and now you lambast him for
>telling you?

Heil's reading skills are pathetic... :-)

What has been "told?" He does "other" work now. :-)

5621. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202157.10650.00001345@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21861

In article <3BC60283.4F22FF8@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
>> talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.
>
>Someone who calls someone else a liar for no good reason is an asshole.

Heh...you are addressing the wrong person... :-)

5622. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:21:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011012202157.10650.00001346@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 21864

In article <3BC603A9.3CFEAFAF@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> The biggest is the continual statement that morse code
>> skill is necessary and vital to demonstrating to the FCC
>> that examinees should be granted an amateur radio license.
>
>How did we get on that subject again? Back when you were calling Jim a
>liar, the discussion was about RF and your behavior. Don't you want to
>talk about that anymore?

Everybody else has to talk about behavior...they can't
talk about technical subjects... :-)

5623. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:27:33 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC78A75.C56BEDCE@uci.edu>
Source record: 21866

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC60283.4F22FF8@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >> Someone who claims "mastering" something who cannot
> >> talk details about the the something is SUSPECT.
> > >
> > >Someone who calls someone else a liar for no good reason is an asshole.
> >
> > Heh...you are addressing the wrong person... :-)

It applies to everyone. Don't you agree?

5624. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:35:08 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <lt2fst8pu5th22fo4ip7iv3ttc0p64bvrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 21867

On 13 Oct 2001 00:21:55 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3bc7424d.335116411@basic.bs.webusenet.com>, kh2d@my-deja.com (Jim)
>writes:
>
>>Grandpa Lenny !! You're still here !! Amazing !!
>
>Yes...and so is the morse code test...!!! :-)

Yep...although I didn't have to take one when I got my Technician
class license...only when I upgraded to General. I did have to take
two written tests instead of the current single written test for
Technician, but I won't hold that against you if you get your Tech
under the new rules. ;-)

--->73<---

5625. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:35:13 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC78C41.47276187@uci.edu>
Source record: 21869

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Everybody else has to talk about behavior...they can't
> talk about technical subjects... :-)

There's another lie. Guess it applies to you after all, eh Len? :-)

5626. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 00:40:17 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BC78D71.F2AE1745@uci.edu>
Source record: 21868

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC5F9BF.62A44C3@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Just can't stand to be wrong, can ya Len. Ever hear of the right to
> >privacy? The FCC doesn't show social security numbers in their public
> >files either, numbnuts.

> Wow! Profanity! [don't give N2EY a heart attack! :-)]

Wow. Inanity. (So, what else is new.) :-)

5627. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 01:34:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q85nk$5gh$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21870

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> >
> > I didn't expect you or anyone else to just automatically adopt my
> > viewpoint because I have done so. It evolved over time as the result of
> > various inputs processed, sorted and analyzed. No one should ever expect
> > everyone else to alter their own viewpoint on the basis of his own
> > experiences.
> >
> > Dick
> >
>
> Does that include Sir Lenalot of California

Most eveeryone has a viewpoint except The Geezer. He just sits in the
corner wearing his pointy cap.

5628. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 01:37:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q85s9$5gh$1@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21871

Jim wrote:
>
> On 11 Oct 2001 19:46:45 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >The biggest is the continual statement that morse code
> >skill is necessary and vital to demonstrating to the FCC
> >that examinees should be granted an amateur radio license.
> >
> >That's a widespread SNARF held by fundamentalists (who
> >are also "status quo-ists." :-)
>
> Grandpa Lenny !! You're still here !! Amazing !!

And he still doesn't have ANY ham ticket. That's not!

5629. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 02:02:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q87c4$c6q$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21872

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >So WORRY, folks... I'm not about to. I spent some real time in the
> >middle of NATO, and if they aren't our friends no one is.
> >The worldwide terorist threat is something we can't handle alone, and
> >you wouldn't want to pay the bill for doing it if we could.
>
> If they were UN planes, would that bother you?

Uh, I think if you'll check, you'll find that the UN doesn't own any planes. Not even a black helicopter. And the NATO planes involved are AWACS, airborne listening posts. Not a weapon aboard.

Yeah, I know that UN planes are actually those of member nations, just as NATO's. Big difference in that and NATO member nation planes. All NATO are friendlies, UN maybe not.

5630. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 02:20:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q88cp$g5t$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21873

Stu Parker wrote:
>
> On Fri, 12 Oct 2001 23:13:37 GMT, Jim Bromley, K7JEB wrote:
> : N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
> : > The Smith Chart is a specialized form of graph that helps in the
> : > solution of many types of electrical design problems involving complex
> : > impedances.......
>
> : Nice write-up intro, Jim.
>
> And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
> (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
> impedance calculations (note: impedance implies that a complex number is
> involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant), and you can do it much
> more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
> rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
> either.
>
> If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.
>
> However, the rest of us moved on years ago.

So you never learned the Smith chart. And that is all what Jim said in
an earlier post, while LHA insisted that he "prove" that he (Jim)
actually does know how to use the Smith Chart.
Actually, being able to use the Smith chart is a very useful skill, if
it is one that isn't often necessary today. The fact that there exists
more modern means to work such problems doesn't change that.

Dick

5631. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 02:34:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7A802.87F75569@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21874
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>
> Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.

And how do you think the Russians are going to respond when nukes
start landing next to their border and radioactive fallout starts
killing their citizens? You obviously don't care about living to see tomorrow.

Dwight Stewart

5632. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 02:35:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7A865.AFEFC976@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21875
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The T wrote:
>
> (profanity snipped)

No comment.

Dwight Stewart

5633. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 02:40:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7A991.994B5BAD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21876
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Walter Pitman wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Soon the Moon wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) What if some other country
> > > dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
> > > to overthrow George Bush?
> > >
> > No need to - we have the Democrats,
> > and our own press, to do that. ;-)
>
> Oh, another one who thinks there is a
> difference between a Republican and a
> Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have
> a BUSH or a CLINTON in control, same
> shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs
> on other countries, BOTH raise our taxes,
> BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away
> yet more of our freedoms.

Relax, Walter. It was a joke. Didn't you seen the joking "wink" face
at the end? And I'm not taking sides - if there was a Democrat in the
White House, I would have said Republicans instead. But, since it is a
Republican in the White House, it would have been absurd to use
Republicans in my comment, wouldn't it?

Dwight Stewart

5634. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 02:47:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7AB37.D25B1A06@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21877
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>
> This can not go un-punished. If you
> think otherwise then I call you a
> traitor, and have no right to claim
> US citizenship.

Dan, in Walter's defense, he is not a traitor for having opinions
different than your own, and you have no right whatsoever to decide
who has a right to claim citizenship. And if you think otherwise, then
I could just as easily call you a traitor to the ideals of this country.

Dwight Stewart

5635. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:00:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7AE2A.3027B9D3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21878
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Don't get your knickers in a knot,
> Dwight. The idea is to keep the
> terrorists from using our own media
> as their message forwarding mechanism,
> and the several news outlets I've
> watched regularly seem to agree that
> is a wise move, considering that we
> supplied the cruise missiles used by
> them on Sept 11. All they need was
> the pilots.......

I really don't care what the "idea" is. The only thing I'm concerned about is that my government is openly controlling my access to information. And, as a free citizen in a supposedly free country, I consider that a very frightening thought (something I thought only "those" other countries were supposed to do).

> BTW, this *IS* war. You do know about
> war, don't you???

Yes, Dick, I've seen this country involved in nine of them (wars) in my lifetime (not counting the "cold" war). And, to be perfectly honest, I'm getting darn tired of it.

Dwight Stewart

5636. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:13:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC7B156.70204@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21879

Stu Parker wrote:

> And the Smith chart is badly outdated.
> However, the rest of us moved on years ago.
A lot of the rest of us moved on to computer assisted

Smith Charts - best of both worlds.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5637. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:17:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7B231.5E03311@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > - National Guard troops patrolling
> > the main street of my small town.
>
>
> NATO airplanes patrolling the skys of the USA.

Thanks for adding that to the list, Cecil.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5638. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:30:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7B543.C8D9AF11@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21881

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > - National Guard troops patrolling
> > > the main street of my small town.
> >
> > NATO airplanes patrolling the skys of
> > the USA.
>
> So WORRY, folks... I'm not about to. I
> spent some real time in the middle of
> NATO, and if they aren't our friends no
> one is. The worldwide terorist threat is
> something we can't handle alone, and you
> wouldn't want to pay the bill for doing
> it if we could.

Why use NATO aircraft to patrol the skies of the United States,
Dick? Don't we already have AWACS aircraft for that purpose?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5639. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:42:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7B819.893EA4DE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

James wrote:
>
> Some rights are shoved aside
> in times of a national
> emergency. (snip)

Some rights? Man, we didn't have many rights left to shove aside. So
I do hope you'll pardon me for expressing concern when I see the last
few rights slipping away.

> The big deal is if they are not
> restored.

And when will that be? Some members of government are estimating
this "conflict" could last anywhere between two to ten years.

Dwight Stewart

5640. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:44:03 UTC
From: gariac <fake@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tsfe462lgtkc99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

When battery packs consisted of a few cells plus a Raychem or pepi protector, the floor sweeping battery packs were fine. Now that high end battery packs have chips in them, the second sources really need to be qualified before purchasing. While smart batteries may last longer, reach full charge faster, etc., the real smarts in a smart battery is the difficulty to duplicate them.

A semi-smart battery pack, such as those with a thermistor in them to sense overcharge, can still be a problem for second sources to duplicate. An overseas vendor may get all the right components, but do something wrong like put too much kapton around the thermistor, or mount the thermistor in the wrong place.

Even seemingly simple battery packs that just consist of cells and wire can be copied poorly. A certain battery from China has much higher self discharge than the Japanese brands.

"SoCal Tom" <chupacabra@vampire.boo> wrote in message news:9Ovx7.99$qj5.108396@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> "Mark Roberts" wrote in message > Reminds me of my days dealing with
> Bloomberg Terminals and their
> > requirement for special "Bloomberg cable". Then they moved to a
> > UDP-based protocol but still fussed about the cable. What's wrong
> > with this picture?
>
>
> It's part of advertising brainwashing. I had a customer the other day asking
> for a battery for her cordless phone. I showed her a battery that was an
> exact replacement for her phone. She said that it didn't say "Uniden" on the
> label, and a tag stuck on the phone suggested replacing with a Uniden
> battery. She wouldn't take any other brand. What's bad is that I'm seeing
> stuff like this every day. People want Sony batteries for Sony phones,
> Panasonic batteries for Panasonic phones, etc. (And most of the batteries
> are made by one or two companies.) It's the advertising, I tell ya, it's the
> advertising. (And it seems to be working.)
> --
> SoCal Tom
>
> Irritating people who need to be irritated.
>
>

>

5641. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:47:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7B943.BA704DDE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21884

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Osama Bin Laden should be
> > proud of what he has done to
> > America.
>
> Yes, and saying such things is
> probably quite gratifying to
> him as well.

So what? I couldn't give a darn what Osama Bin Laden thinks. These are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_ care about those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5642. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 03:54:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC7BABA.B412C36C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Francis Scott Key wrote:
>
> Look around. We thought Webb was a
> little wacko at the time. Do the
> conservative talk show hosts and
> conservative radio shows seem so far
> out now?
>
> Buy guns, while you can still get
> them. Look for gun sales to be
> suspended soon, of course, for
> "security reasons."

A few weeks ago, I would have said no possible way that is ever
going to happen. Today, with troops walking the streets of my town,
I'm not so sure anymore.

Dwight Stewart

5643. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:03:01 UTC
From: Hank Kerchiff <blow@nose.com>
Message-ID: <3BC7BD14.6A2164E@nose.com>
Source record: 21886
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Daniel Jeswald wrote:

> I disagree that Clinton and Bush are the same, or would react the same.
>
> I also strongly disagree in your statement about being "war hungry".

You disagreeing doesn't change the fact that they are true.

Example: "I disagree that George W. Bush is President." See? Makes no sense.

> In case you didn't notice....we were attacked. On our own soil.

In case YOU didn't notice, we attacked them first, on their own soil. They retaliated the best and only way they could considering their far inferior military and technology. What did they do to us before we started dropping bombs on Iraq, denied them any food or medicine for over a decade, and won't allow them to fly planes over THEIR OWN COUNTRY AND AIRSPACE? They are tired of the big bully picking on small weak countries and got together and tried to teach the bully a lesson. Too bad you can't see that and instead see this as an attack on us for no reason at all.

> The target
> chosen was non-military, consisting of civilians from all over the world.

They got the Pentagon and were trying for the White House. Again they don't have the military and equipment we do, so they did the best and only thing they could do with their resources. And don't forget the Schools and Hospitals we bombed in Iraq, those were not military, and WE don't have any excuse because we have better technology, weapons and military.

> This can not go un-punished.

It was a punishment for what WE did! Now we would have been even, but now we are attacking them AGAIN, so now we can expect more retaliation.

> If you think otherwise then I call you a
> traitor, and have no right to claim US citizenship.

Call me what you will, you can't even see what is going on here. You seem to think they just did this to us for no reason at all. Why did they pick the USA? Why not China? Could it be because China did not bomb the hell out of them like the USA did?

> > Oh, another one who thinks there is a difference between a Republican and
> a
> > Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have a BUSH or a CLINTON in control,

> > same shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs on other countries, BOTH
> > raise our taxes, BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away yet more of
> our
> > freedoms.
> >
> > Last election, there were 48 other political parties, at least 6 or 7 of
> > which were on the ballots. Yet for some bizarre reason, people keep
> voting
> > for the same Republicats that continue to screw us over.
> >
> > Pick one of the others for a change, you have nothing to lose! If one of
> > them screws up, pick another one! But DON'T pick a party that we already
> > know is corrupt and screwed us over time and time again!

5644. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:04:06 UTC
From: Hank Kerchiff <blow@nose.com>
Message-ID: <3BC7BD54.9E1338D1@nose.com>
Source record: 21887
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Walter Pitman wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Soon the Moon wrote:
> > > >
> > > > (snip) What if some other country
> > > > dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
> > > > to overthrow George Bush?
> > >
> > > No need to - we have the Democrats,
> > > and our own press, to do that. ;-)
> > >
> > Oh, another one who thinks there is a
> > difference between a Republican and a
> > Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have
> > a BUSH or a CLINTON in control, same
> > shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs
> > on other countries, BOTH raise our taxes,
> > BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away
> > yet more of our freedoms.
>
> Relax, Walter. It was a joke. Didn't you seen the joking "wink" face
> at the end? And I'm not taking sides - if there was a Democrat in the
> White House, I would have said Republicans instead. But, since it is a
> Republican in the White House, it would have been absurd to use
> Republicans in my comment, wouldn't it?

Use the word Republicat and there will be no confusion.

5645. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:49:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013004905.28948.00003572@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21888

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/12/2001 7:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012202155.10650.00001341@nso-fa.aol.com>

>Yes...and so is the morse code test...!!!

And what's een MORE amazing is that Lenie is completely oblivious to
current events.

Again.

Steve

5646. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:50:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013005016.28948.00003574@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21889

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/12/2001 7:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012202156.10650.00001344@nso-fa.aol.com>

>Heil's reading skills are pathetic.

Not NEARLY as "pathetic" as yours, Oh Clueless One.

5647. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:50:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013005053.28948.00003575@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21890

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/12/2001 7:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012202157.10650.00001345@nso-fa.aol.com>

>>Someone who calls someone else a liar for no good reason is an asshole.
>
>Heh...you are addressing the wrong person

Nope. He got it right.

5648. Re: A Challenge to the NCTA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:52:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013005240.28948.00003576@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21891

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to the NCTA
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/12/2001 7:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012202154.10650.00001340@nso-fa.aol.com>

>So far, no proof

Hmm....leessee...Jim has both Bachelor's and Masters in Electrical
Engineering.

You, on the otherhand, have 15 dubious years of night school.

Lemmee see who I'd bet on being more "equipped" to use it...?!?!

5649. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 04:56:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013005645.28948.00003577@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 21892

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/12/2001 7:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011012202157.10650.00001346@nso-fa.aol.com>

>Everybody else has to talk about behavior...they can't
>talk about technical subjects

And why not, Your Abusiveness...?!? Everytime someone here TRIES to discuss something even remotely technical, YOU start your CyberTerror campaign to discredit them at any possible level. It's as predictable as the tides.

Which is exactly why we don't need/want scumbags like you in Amateur Radio, regardless of your "professional" skills or knowledge (none of which you have really "proved" here, Lennie...Nothing that couldn't ahve been quoted out of the book.....)

5650. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 05:03:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BC7BC2E.B084E026@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21893

Because they are in use over Afghanistan.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Why use NATO aircraft to patrol the skies of the United States,
> Dick? Don't we already have AWACS aircraft for that purpose?

5651. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 05:06:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BC7BD07.A4C63013@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21894
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

There aren't words bad enough to call you, but shit headed bin
Laden puppet will do for a start.

Hank Kerchiff wrote:
>
> Call me what you will,

5652. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:06:14 UTC
From: SoCal Tom <chupacabra@vampire.boo>
Message-ID: <qRQx7.888$k82.1055583@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 21895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"gariac" <fake@aol.com> wrote in message
news:tsfe462lgtkc99@corp.supernews.com...
> When battery packs consisted of a few cells plus a Raychem or pepi
> protector, the floor sweeping battery packs were fine. Now that high end
> battery packs have chips in them, the second sources really need to be
> qualified before purchasing. While smart batteries may last longer, reach
> full charge faster, etc., the real smarts in a smart battery is the
> difficulty to duplicate them.
>
> A semi-smart battery pack, such as those with a thermistor in them to
sense
> overcharge, can still be a problem for second sources to duplicate. An
> overseas vendor may get all the right components, but do something wrong
> like put too much kapton around the thermistor, or mount the thermistor in
> the wrong place.
>
> Even seemingly simple battery packs that just consist of cells and wire
can
> be copied poorly. A certain battery from China has much higher self
> discharge than the Japanese brands.

I won't argue your points, but my customers gripe when I tell them the phone battery is going to cost them $10. The cordless phone (as opposed to cell phone) batteries I sell are so low tech that they are one step above the usual alkaline cells. They're general purpose NiCads that have been heat shrink wrapped with a label glued on. I split one package apart and found nothing but NiCads and jumper wires. Nothing high tech about it, unless there is something special I'm not aware of with the heat shrink packaging.
--
SoCal Tom

Irritating people who need to be irritated.

5653. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:27:43 UTC
From: LED <lcerura@newyorkled.com>
Message-ID: <z9Rx7.121057$W8.2892849@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

I agree wholely

5654. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:40:26 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3BC7E1DA.B4D15214@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 21903
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Does this sound like a guy too unmotivated to learn even 5wpm coce?

Huh? Where did that come from?

--
Michael Moore

5655. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:43:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q8nqlsml0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21897
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Don't get your knickers in a knot,
> > Dwight. The idea is to keep the
> > terrorists from using our own media
> > as their message forwarding mechanism,
> > and the several news outlets I've
> > watched regularly seem to agree that
> > is a wise move, considering that we
> > supplied the cruise missiles used by
> > them on Sept 11. All they need was
> > the pilots.......
>
> I really don't care what the "idea" is. The only thing I'm concerned
> about is that my government is openly controlling my access to
> information. And, as a free citizen in a supposedly free country, I
> consider that a very frightening thought (something I thought only
> "those" other countries were supposed to do).
>
> > BTW, this *IS* war. You do know about
> > war, don't you???
>
> Yes, Dick, I've seen this country involved in nine of them (wars) in
> my lifetime (not counting the "cold" war). And, to be perfectly
> honest, I'm getting darn tired of it.

Tell ya what, Dwight - You've never seen anything like this one!!! If
you still don't believe it, just stay tuned.

Gee. What a patriot. Tired of resisting the enemies of our freedoms
while insisting on receiving the most detailed, even trivial benefits
deriving therefrom. And I understand you made a career of working for
the military.
What a surprise.

Does this sound like a guy too unmotivated to learn even 5wpm coce?

5656. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:46:32 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3BC7E348.857ED1BE@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 21904
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Hank Kerchiff wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Walter Pitman wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > > Soon the Moon wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > (snip) What if some other country
> > > > > dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
> > > > > to overthrow George Bush?
> > > > >
> > > > No need to - we have the Democrats,
> > > > and our own press, to do that. ;-)
> > > >
> > > Oh, another one who thinks there is a
> > > difference between a Republican and a
> > > Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have
> > > a BUSH or a CLINTON in control, same
> > > shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs
> > > on other countries, BOTH raise our taxes,
> > > BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away
> > > yet more of our freedoms.
> >
> > Relax, Walter. It was a joke. Didn't you seen the joking "wink" face
> > at the end? And I'm not taking sides - if there was a Democrat in the
> > White House, I would have said Republicans instead. But, since it is a
> > Republican in the White House, it would have been absurd to use
> > Republicans in my comment, wouldn't it?
>
> Use the word Republicat and there will be no confusion.

How about "Democran"?

--
Michael Moore
```

5657. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:48:22 UTC
From: LED <lcerura@newyorkled.com>
Message-ID: <WsRx7.121063$W8.2895006@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

I'm wondering where your concern is??? for those interned or the rest of us???.....hell..even the constitution is due for a major overhaul....but I'd rather not join in that debate right nowl........

and for whom are you asking that they be restored??...I would image that yes, they of course be restored but then you'll never konw.....let just wait and see what happens as in the future posiible threats are carried out........and by whom???....college students?....cells???....who???..this may very go down in history at the time when the entiree system was overhauld.....and what was isn't any more......

Period....do I wish this?...haven't played it out in my own mind enough to see it......but it may very well come to pass....I hope to god we never reach that point.....ot maybe this sort of positig will only scare the shit out of many.....

Here's a story..walked maybe 8-10 miles today back and forth.....from 14th street to 96th....what I noticed is that non one's using the public phones...WHAT WHY???????????

--

5658. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:48:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q8o4i$6i$0@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Francis Scott Key wrote:
> >
> > Look around. We thought Webb was a
> > little wacko at the time. Do the
> > conservative talk show hosts and
> > conservative radio shows seem so far
> > out now?
> >
> > Buy guns, while you can still get
> > them. Look for gun sales to be
> > suspended soon, of course, for
> > "security reasons."
>
> A few weeks ago, I would have said no possible way that is ever
> going to happen. Today, with troops walking the streets of my town,
> I'm not so sure anymore.
>

I see on the news that there are at least 80 portable suitcase sized tactical nuclear weapons missing from the former Soviet Union, by some accounts all the 148 that they had are now missing. Where do you suppose they went?

With a little luck maybe one of those troops snooping around your town will catch the nut carrying of one of them before he can set it off.

5659. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:49:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q8o6n$6i$1@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21900

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > > - National Guard troops patrolling
> > > > the main street of my small town.
> > >
> > > NATO airplanes patrolling the skys of
> > > the USA.
> >
> > So WORRY, folks... I'm not about to. I
> > spent some real time in the middle of
> > NATO, and if they aren't our friends no
> > one is. The worldwide terorist threat is
> > something we can't handle alone, and you
> > wouldn't want to pay the bill for doing
> > it if we could.
>
> Why use NATO aircraft to patrol the skies of the United States,
> Dick? Don't we already have AWACS aircraft for that purpose?

Because ours are deployed.
```

5660. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:52:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q8obf$6i$2@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> James wrote:
> >
> > Some rights are shoved aside
> > in times of a national
> > emergency. (snip)
>
> Some rights? Man, we didn't have many rights left to shove aside.

We don't???? What happened to yours? MIne are still intact.

So
> I do hope you'll pardon me for expressing concern when I see the last
> few rights slipping away.
>
> > The big deal is if they are not
> > restored.
>
> And when will that be? Some members of government are estimating
> this "conflict" could last anywhere between two to ten years.

Oh, we could just protest and raise hell until officials cancel all the
protective meassures and let the terrorists have their way with us.
Lotsa luck getting any 'freedomns' from them.

5661. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 06:53:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q8odl$6i$3@208.206.142.108>
Source record: 21902

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Osama Bin Laden should be
> > > proud of what he has done to
> > > America.
> >
> > Yes, and saying such things is
> > probably quite gratifying to
> > him as well.
>
> So what? I couldn't give a darn what Osama Bin Laden thinks. These
> are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_ care about
> those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.

And in the process you help the case working to separate you from them.
YOU are not what counts, Dwight. WE are.

5662. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 11:38:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013073823.17640.00000846@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21905

Problem 1:

A radio amateur has an antenna fed with a length of 50 ohm low-loss coax. The electrical length of the coax is 0.8 wavelengths at the desired operating frequency. The radio amateur uses a home-made Macromatcher (a form of RF impedance bridge) to measure the impedance at the "shack end" of the coax, and determines it to be 30 ohms resistive in series with 32.5 ohms capacitive reactance. In other words, the generator end impedance is 30 - j32.5 ohms at the desired operating frequency. Determine the impedance at the antenna, and the SWR on the line, using the Smith Chart. Neglect line loss in the calculations.

Solution 1:

Since this is a 50 ohm system, normalize the impedances by dividing them by 50. This gives a normalized generator end impedance
of 0.6 - j0.65 ohms. Plot this normalized impedance on the Smith Chart.

Draw a circle, centered on the prime center (exact center point of the chart), that intersects the 0.6 - j0.65 ohms point just plotted.
This is an SWR circle. It crosses the resistance axis at 2.6, which means the line is operating at an SWR of 2.6:1 The drawing compass may be used to verify this SWR reading using the auxiliary SWR scale.

Draw a straight line from the chart's prime center to the wavelength scale, through the 0.6 - j0.65 ohms point just plotted.
It should intersect the wavelength scale at 0.112 on the "towards load" scale (the inner wavelength scale), which is 0.338 on the
"towards generator" scale (the outer wavelength scale).

Since the electrical length of the coax is more than 0.5 wavelength, subtract an integral number of half waves from the coax length.
The result is 0.3 wavelengths of feedline. Add 0.3 to 0.112 to get 0.412 wavelength. On the chart, follow the "towards load" (inner)
wavelength scale counter-clockwise exactly 0.3 wavelengths to 0.412 wavelengths on the inner scale (0.088 on the outer scale)
Mark this point (0.412) on the wavelength scale.

Draw a line from prime center to the point just plotted on the wavelength scale (0.412 wavelengths on the inner scale). Note the point
where this new line crosses the SWR circle - it should be at the intersection of the 0.5 resistance circle and the 0.5 inductive reactance circle. The normalized antenna impedance is thus 0.5 + j0.5 ohms

Multiply the normalized antenna impedance by 50 to get 25 + j25 ohms antenna feedpoint impedance.

Answer: 25 + j25 ohms antenna feedpoint impedance, SWR of 2.6:1

--

N2EY

5663. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 12:54:13 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc83f83_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21910

This thread has already pointed up some of things going on in the United States and, more importantly, the United States government.

The actions taken since the tragedy in NYC are those that we will seek to unravel in the future when many come to their senses and realize what havoc we have allowed our government to create in the name of National Security. We may seek as much as we wish, and we may even scream to our government that we only meant that when the immediacy of the situation seemed to warrant it. But I doubt reversal will be possible. I am sorry to seem so anti-American to many of you--I used to hear that in the 60s when this kind of stuff was fought back then, too. Yeah, the Viet Nam war was the focal point of protest back then. But many couldn't see the forest for the trees--it was more a fight against a government that surveilled its citizens and made illegal many of the things called freedoms that we used to know. (How many of you are aware that to put something on a campus wall at a college or university today, requires the signature approval of the Dean of Students?)

We have a government today that is entirely different than it was just a month and a half ago. Yes, we have a world that was entirely different also. Things have happened rapidly and without measure as to its implications beyond the state of fear or anxiety we all in some way feel about the world around us. I am quite saddened by it all; we've come full circle and I can assure you that US Citizens will become the victims of these new and growing "pollicies" designed to protect us from the harms of terrorism. No one dares to raise question about what we are seeing happen in the United States government. I feel squeamish just to do so myself because I know it will be viewed with angst by those who feel this is a war against liberalism, or political correctness, or conservatism, or whatever your game has been for the past few years. Just as the government will use this tragedy as a veil to implement all kinds of really weird and counter-democratic measures; many people of the United States won't realize that their true passion isn't over what happened in NYC--it is over the many years of politics they haven't liked going on.

Usama bin Laden has won this round. He probably doesn't realize it, because his and their intention is to get rid of the United States. He may have done just that but in a way he never realized would happen.

I don't particularly care if those of you who will scream against me for the way I think, go ahead and scream. I don't particularly care what you think of me for the way I think. I am saddened, but I still wake up every day and pretend that everything will be OK--that we won't have US Citizens brought into courts of law for things they say, write, or do in the future; that we won't have a government listening in on all kinds of sentiments they will

deem as anti-American; that we won't continue on with this patriot war we've got happening in our country and around the world right now; and all kinds of other really crazy stuff we are going to see in the news. I go to work, do my thing, get my paycheck, and go to the movies--once in a great while. With the simple life I lead, there's not much harm that will come to me through policies I so greatly detest. This post is probably the most political thing I will do in the next several months. So, go ahead and sneer, call me and others like me anti-American, and make all kinds of innuendoes and accusations. Mark my words--the goings on of today are not going to be so welcomed a year from now.

I choose not to buy an American flag right now because I never chose to buy one before. I choose not to open my heart completely without suspicion to my government because I never did that before. I choose not to be so willing for war because I would not have done that before. I have actually considered but resist the urge to buy guns and ammunition because I've never considered that before. There are lots of things I choose in my life--and lots of things no one will ever "force" me to choose otherwise. That is the best stand I can take against immoral acts of others, whether they are from a foreign government or my own.

I'll leave you with this thought in this thread. I absolutely detest the thoughts of people like those who would wave the flag of the KKK. However, I also bitterly regard their right to do so in this country as precious to the principles of Democracy and a Democratic way of life. Right now, our government and most of us are angry with the bin Ladens of the world--and there are so very many of them. But, what about when "that part" is settled? Do we really want a government that will *have the capability* to make decisions about what its citizens' intentions are in the beliefs they have and *have the capability* to call it "illegal" under some broad speaking measure that we all meant for them to use only on other countries and people--not ours? There is nothing in the measures and policies that have been debated and passed thusfar in Congress, that keeps the government from turning its eye directly onto organizations such as the KKK, abortion rights activists or anti-abortion rights activists, or whomever else they deem as a threat to the security of the United States. Both of those political issues have brought people to the brink of killing others in this country. Nothing stops our government from deeming those organizations as terrorist organizations. Nothing.

Mark my words...

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC7B943.BA704DDE@sccoast.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Osama Bin Laden should be
> > > proud of what he has done to

> > > America.
> >
> > Yes, and saying such things is
> > probably quite gratifying to
> > him as well.
>
>
> So what? I couldn't give a darn what Osama Bin Laden thinks. These
> are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_ care about
> those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5664. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 12:55:51 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rRWx7.102835$3d2.3012741@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21906

I originally wrote:

> : Nice write-up intro, Jim.

Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote

> And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
> (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
> impedance calculations (note: impedance implies that a complex number is
> involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant), and you can do it much
> more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
> rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
> either.
>
> If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.
>
> However, the rest of us moved on years ago.

Heh, heh. I have my Post Versalog right here in the desk drawer. It has served me well lo these 40 years. I now use it as a prop for my "Back in the Good Old Days" talks to younger engineers.

And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the impedance/admittance transformations that take place through a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than in the precision of the calculations done with it.

While it is necessary to move forward in technological development, I believe it is also necessary for the practitioners to see themselves as having a certain historical continuity with their predecessors (and their successors). One way this continuity is maintained is to understand fully the thought processes involved in developing the tools and artifacts of that era. Thus the interest in the Smith chart, vacuum-tube radios, slide rules and steam engines.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5665. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 12:59:13 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bc8396b.3356975@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 21907
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 12 Oct 2001 22:47:47 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>>
>> This can not go un-punished. If you
>> think otherwise then I call you a
>> traitor, and have no right to claim
>> US citizenship.
>
> Dan, in Walter's defense, he is not a traitor for having opinions
>different than your own,

That's your opinion, Dan is entitled to his.

>and you have no right whatsoever to decide
>who has a right to claim citizenship.

Actually he does, that is simply Dan's opinion to which
he is fully entitled...just as you are to believe otherwise.
In the end, the question of citizenship can only be resolved
through due process.

>And if you think otherwise, then
>I could just as easily call you a traitor to the ideals of this country.

Yes you can.

In the end, I can respect everyone's right to express whatever
opinion they want...however, that does not require me (or anyone
else) to respect that opinion...and, the concept of free speech
fully allows me (and anyone else) to offer opinions as to just
what someone else's beliefs/opinions constitute... from support
for American to treason.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>
>Dwight Stewart

5666. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 13:06:32 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc83f85_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 21911

After my post I just made in the "Where did my America go" thread, I rest my case with the sentiments expressed here by Dick Carroll to Dwight Stewart.

How dare you, Dick Carroll, imply that *ANYONE* in this country is a non-patriot because of the way they believe. You are SCUM and you are equal to the hatred of men such as bin Laden!!!!!!!!!

Anyone who has ever served this country in military wars, *ANYONE*, Dick Carroll, deserves better than your spit on them because of the way they think. And equally, *ANYONE* whom they (the military) has defended deserves better than to see someone like you take on the beliefs of another as though they were anti-patriotic. It is exactly your stupidity against another human being that Dwight is fearing will become the normal in this country. I'll tell you one thing--I can ASSURE you that people did not go to war to defend this country for your beliefs.

You, Dick Carroll, are EQUAL in every way to Usama bin Laden!!!!! I spit in your eye. And, it is not for the way you think--it is for your blatant disregard for another human being.

Dwight, for all our differences, I think you have always at least been human. I cannot believe the sentiments I am beginning to hear in peoples' tones across this country. Within a month, maybe two at most, there will be the original great divide of the 60s and 70s with all of us at each others' throats, measuring who is more patriotic and who deserves to "go live with them." It is the Dick Carrolls of the world that make the concept of the United States ever being a truly democratic and free nation such a damned f***ing joke. And you know I don't generally use that language. It is called for here.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9q8nqlsml0@208.206.142.108...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Don't get your knickers in a knot,
> > > Dwight. The idea is to keep the
> > > terrorists from using our own media
> > > as their message forwarding mechanism,
> > > and the several news outlets I've
> > > watched regularly seem to agree that

> > > is a wise move, considering that we
> > > supplied the cruise missiles used by
> > > them on Sept 11. All they need was
> > > the pilots.......
> >
> > I really don't care what the "idea" is. The only thing I'm concerned
> > about is that my government is openly controlling my access to
> > information. And, as a free citizen in a supposedly free country, I
> > consider that a very frightening thought (something I thought only
> > "those" other countries were supposed to do).
> >
> > > BTW, this *IS* war. You do know about
> > > war, don't you???
> >
> > Yes, Dick, I've seen this country involved in nine of them (wars) in
> > my lifetime (not counting the "cold" war). And, to be perfectly
> > honest, I'm getting darn tired of it.
>
>
> Tell ya what, Dwight - You've never seen anything like this one!!! If
> you still don't believe it, just stay tuned.
>
> Gee. What a patriot. Tired of resisting the enemies of our freedoms
> while insisting on receiving the most detailed, even trivial benefits
> deriving therefrom. And I understand you made a career of working for
> the military.
> What a surprise.
>
> Does this sound like a guy too unmotivated to learn even 5wpm coce?

5667. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 13:15:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013091527.05130.00003992@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 21908

In article <20011012202155.10650.00001342@nso-fa.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20011011193334.07922.00004660@nso-cr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>One reason I won't post about my job is because of your demonstrated
>behavior
>>here. The fact that you respond with such profanity, when I never use such
>>words here, simply demonstrates your immature behavior when you don't
>control
>>or intimidate other posters.
>
>Nooooo.

Yesssssss. That's one of the reasons.

>I'm not even close to some others... :-)

"Everybody else was doing it". Four-year-olds know that's a phonyt excuse. ;-)
>
>>Another reason I don't post about my job is that it isn't very "radio
>>related".
>
>Not my problem.

Who said it was?

N2EY

5668. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 13:40:45 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <xvXx7.13895$0Z6.1123473@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21912
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC7A802.87F75569@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:
>Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>>
>> Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.
>
>
> And how do you think the Russians are going to respond when nukes
>start landing next to their border and radioactive fallout starts
>killing their citizens? You obviously don't care about living to see tomorrow.
>
Depends on what kind of nukes we use and which way the wind blows.
Theatre nukes you can blow a bunch of tanks to smithereens and have
your own troops on the other side of a hill not even feel the breeze.
Although nukes are not an option politically or militarily in real
life, they are fun for us armchair generals (or more appropriately armchair
REMF) to argue about.

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5669. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 13:41:02 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <OvXx7.13897$0Z6.1124895@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21913
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC7A802.87F75569@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:
>Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>>
>> Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.
>
>
> And how do you think the Russians are going to respond when nukes
>start landing next to their border and radioactive fallout starts
>killing their citizens? You obviously don't care about living to see tomorrow.
>
Depends on what kind of nukes we use and which way the wind blows.
Theatre nukes you can blow a bunch of tanks to smithereens and have
your own troops on the other side of a hill not even feel the breeze.
Although nukes are not an option politically or militarily in real
life, they are fun for us armchair generals (or more appropriately armchair
REMF) to argue about.

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5670. Re: Did you know???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 14:58:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q9kq5$nb1$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 21914

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
>
> You left a really important part of this whole thing out during your
> response to most of this, Dick. The words "to me."

Ah, ye. Vy sri abt tt, OG.
I keep forgetting that the super sensitive among us don't realize that
anything posted on any newsgroup is the opinion of the poster.

5671. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 15:02:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013110220.27462.00000675@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 21915

Stu,

Thanks for your post.

In article <Kx7.45220$WW.2865@bimminy.com>, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker)
writes:

>And the Smith chart is badly outdated.

Well, I wouldn't say "outdated", but there are lots of other options today.

>With a programmable calculator
>(or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
>impedance calculations

Agreed! In fact, for ham use there are numerous free software packages that let
a designer simulate both the antenna and transmission line system on a PC with
no fuss or bother.

>(note: impedance implies that a complex number is
>involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant),

Good point! I went back and re-proofread my sample problems to avoid that
cumbersome phrase.

> and you can do it much
>more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
>rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
>either.

Yup. I know a ham who bought a really nice sheet of Chart paper and had it
laminated, then did solutions using wipe-off pen. Now he uses a PC.

In most ham radio work of this type, three significant figures is usually more
than enough. But you probably knew that already.
>
>If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.

HAW!! That's a GOOD one!!
>
>However, the rest of us moved on years ago.
>
You're preaching to the choir. Tell it to our "resident professional" ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

5672. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 15:45:20 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BC90A8B.1DB41C07@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21916

Jim
A nice example. But what method would you use to solve the problem if
the transmission line was not low loss at the frequency of interest?

Too many examples use lossless lines and that can obscure the true
value of a given method. I am a Physicist not an EE - the corresponding
Physics examples are the frictionless puck or the massless spring.
Useful teaching simplifications but not real world.
John

N2EY wrote:
>
> Problem 1:
>
> A radio amateur has an antenna fed with a length of 50 ohm low-loss coax. The
> electrical length of the coax is 0.8 wavelengths at the desired operating
> frequency. The radio amateur uses a home-made Macromatcher (a form of RF
> impedance bridge) to measure the impedance at the "shack end" of the coax, and
> determines it to be 30 ohms resistive in series with 32.5 ohms capacitive
> reactance. In other words, the generator end impedance is 30 - j32.5 ohms at
> the desired operating frequency. Determine the impedance at the antenna, and
> the SWR on the line, using the Smith Chart. Neglect line loss in the
> calculations.
>
>
rest of example snipped

5673. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 15:49:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q9npk$431$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 21917

So many words, so little said. You and Dwight are peas in a pod. One sincerely hopes the pod withered before it created too many of you who fail to understand what it takes to preserve our system of free government. That is, that we all must sacrifice in times of severe external stress, - war. Some of that sacrifice is in how we live and the rules of our society for the duration, for simple reasons. Reasons that help assure our success in fighting off the agressor, whoever he is. This can easily be critical to the outcome.
If you think that the American public sould ever stand still for permanent withdrawal of personal freedom you have a severe misapprehension of determination your countrymen. That was settled at Concord and Lexington.

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> This thread has already pointed up some of things going on in the United
> States and, more importantly, the United States government.
>
> The actions taken since the tragedy in NYC are those that we will seek to
> unravel in the future when many come to their senses and realize what havoc
> we have allowed our government to create in the name of National Security.
> We may seek as much as we wish, and we may even scream to our government
> that we only meant that when the immediacy of the situation seemed to
> warrant it. But I doubt reversal will be possible. I am sorry to seem so
> anti-American to many of you--I used to hear that in the 60s when this kind
> of stuff was fought back then, too. Yeah, the Viet Nam war was the focal
> point of protest back then. But many couldn't see the forest for the
> trees--it was more a fight against a government that surveilled its citizens
> and made illegal many of the things called freedoms that we used to know.
> (How many of you are aware that to put something on a campus wall at a
> college or university today, requires the signature approval of the Dean of
> Students?)
>
> We have a government today that is entirely different than it was just a
> month and a half ago. Yes, we have a world that was entirely different
> also. Things have happened rapidly and without measure as to its
> implications beyond the state of fear or anxiety we all in some way feel
> about the world around us. I am quite saddened by it all; we've come full
> circle and I can assure you that US Citizens will become the victims of
> these new and growing "pollicies" designed to protect us from the harms of
> terrorism. No one dares to raise question about what we are seeing happen
> in the United States government. I feel squeamish just to do so myself
> because I know it will be viewed with angst by those who feel this is a war
> against liberalism, or political correctness, or conservatism, or whatever

> your game has been for the past few years. Just as the government will use
> this tragedy as a veil to implement all kinds of really weird and
> counter-democratic measures; many people of the United States won't realize
> that their true passion isn't over what happened in NYC--it is over the many
> years of politics they haven't liked going on.
>
> Usama bin Laden has won this round. He probably doesn't realize it, because
> his and their intention is to get rid of the United States. He may have
> done just that but in a way he never realized would happen.
>
> I don't particularly care if those of you who will scream against me for the
> way I think, go ahead and scream. I don't particularly care what you think
> of me for the way I think. I am saddened, but I still wake up every day and
> pretend that everything will be OK--that we won't have US Citizens brought
> into courts of law for things they say, write, or do in the future; that we
> won't have a government listening in on all kinds of sentiments they will
> deem as anti-American; that we won't continue on with this patriot war we've
> got happening in our country and around the world right now; and all kinds
> of other really crazy stuff we are going to see in the news. I go to work,
> do my thing, get my paycheck, and go to the movies--once in a great while.
> With the simple life I lead, there's not much harm that will come to me
> through policies I so greatly detest. This post is probably the most
> political thing I will do in the next several months. So, go ahead and
> sneer, call me and others like me anti-American, and make all kinds of
> innuendoes and accusations. Mark my words--the goings on of today are not
> going to be so welcomed a year from now.
>
> I choose not to buy an American flag right now because I never chose to buy
> one before. I choose not to open my heart completely without suspicion to
> my government because I never did that before. I choose not to be so
> willing for war because I would not have done that before. I have actually
> considered but resist the urge to buy guns and ammunition because I've never
> considered that before. There are lots of things I choose in my life--and
> lots of things no one will ever "force" me to choose otherwise. That is the
> best stand I can take against immoral acts of others, whether they are from
> a foreign government or my own.
>
> I'll leave you with this thought in this thread. I absolutely detest the
> thoughts of people like those who would wave the flag of the KKK. However,
> I also bitterly regard their right to do so in this country as precious to
> the principles of Democracy and a Democratic way of life. Right now, our
> government and most of us are angry with the bin Ladens of the world--and
> there are so very many of them. But, what about when "that part" is
> settled? Do we really want a government that will *have the capability* to
> make decisions about what its citizens' intentions are in the beliefs they
> have and *have the capability* to call it "illegal" under some broad
> speaking measure that we all meant for them to use only on other countries
> and people--not ours? There is nothing in the measures and policies that
> have been debated and passed thusfar in Congress, that keeps the government
> from turning its eye directly onto organizations such as the KKK, abortion

> rights activists or anti-abortion rights activists, or whomever else they
> deem as a threat to the security of the United States. Both of those
> political issues have brought people to the brink of killing others in this
> country. Nothing stops our government from deeming those organizations as
> terrorist organizations. Nothing.
>
> Mark my words...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3BC7B943.BA704DDE@sccoast.net...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > > Osama Bin Laden should be
> > > > proud of what he has done to
> > > > America.
> > >
> > > Yes, and saying such things is
> > > probably quite gratifying to
> > > him as well.
> >
> >
> > So what? I couldn't give a darn what Osama Bin Laden thinks. These
> > are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_ care about
> > those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5674. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 16:03:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013120318.17640.00000853@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21918

In article <3BC7BD14.6A2164E@nose.com>, Hank Kerchiff <blow@nose.com> writes:

>> In case you didn't notice....we were attacked. On our own soil.
>
>In case YOU didn't notice, we attacked them first, on their own soil.

When? The Soviets went into Afghanistan in 1979 or so to prop up a friendly puppet government. Our CIA supplied the anti-Soviet rebels, who have now turned against the West.

Maybe the CIA should have let the Soviets have Afghanistan.

>They
>retaliated the best and only way they could considering their far inferior
>military and technology. What did they do to us before we started dropping
>bombs on Iraq, denied them any food or medicine for over a decade, and won't
>allow them to fly planes over THEIR OWN COUNTRY AND AIRSPACE?

I think you've overlooked the invasion and devastation of Kuwait by Iraq a decade ago. The Saudis and exiled Kuwaitis asked for help in pushing back the Iraqi invaders. Should the West have simply sat back and let Iraq continue its conquests?

> They are tired
>of the big bully picking on small weak countries and got together and tried
>to
>teach the bully a lesson.

So what should the USA do now? Pack up and go home? Where do you think that would lead?

>Too bad you can't see that and instead see this as
>an
>attack on us for no reason at all.
>
Oh no - there are reasons. Lots of them. It goes all the way back to the Camp David accord between Israel and Egypt. The same folks who killed Anwar Sadat are kleading the current bunch.

>> The target
>> chosen was non-military, consisting of civilians from all over the world.
>
>They got the Pentagon and were trying for the White House.

They hit the WTC in 1993 but failed. This time they didn't fail.

> Again they don't
>have the military and equipment we do, so they did the best and only thing

>they
>could do with their resources.

They used our own resources against us.

> And don't forget the Schools and Hospitals
>we
>bombed in Iraq, those were not military, and WE don't have any excuse because
>we
>have better technology, weapons and military.

Were the schools and hospitals targeted, or were they hit by mistake? Did this happen before or after Iraq invaded Kuwait?

Remember the SCUD attacks?
>
>> This can not go un-punished.
>
>It was a punishment for what WE did!

We helped our friends in Saudi Arabia and Kuwait. Bad us.

>Now we would have been even, but now we
>are attacking them AGAIN, so now we can expect more retaliation.
>
>> If you think otherwise then I call you a
>> traitor, and have no right to claim US citizenship.
>
>Call me what you will, you can't even see what is going on here. You seem to
>think they just did this to us for no reason at all. Why did they pick the
>USA? Why not China? Could it be because China did not bomb the hell out of
>them like the USA did?

Could it be that China would invade them with a million or more ground troops and simply wipe the place clean?

--

Question:

What would YOU have the USA do now? Where do you think it would lead?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5675. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 16:05:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q9onf$6i5$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 21919

Well Said, Jim.

Dick

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> I originally wrote:
>
> > : Nice write-up intro, Jim.
>
> Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote
>
> > And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
> > (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
> > impedance calculations (note: impedance implies that a complex number is
> > involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant), and you can do it much
> > more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
> > rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
> > either.
> >
> > If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.
> >
> > However, the rest of us moved on years ago.
>
> Heh, heh. I have my Post Versalog right here in the desk
> drawer. It has served me well lo these 40 years. I now
> use it as a prop for my "Back in the Good Old Days" talks
> to younger engineers.
>
> And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith
> chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone
> technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the
> impedance/admittance transformations that take place through
> a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies
> in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than
> in the precision of the calculations done with it.
>
> While it is necessary to move forward in technological
> development, I believe it is also necessary for the
> practitioners to see themselves as having a certain
> historical continuity with their predecessors (and
> their successors). One way this continuity is maintained
> is to understand fully the thought processes involved
> in developing the tools and artifacts of that era.
> Thus the interest in the Smith chart, vacuum-tube radios,

> slide rules and steam engines.
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

5676. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 16:06:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q9oqf$6i5$1@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 21920

Well Said, Jim. None of this stands alone, and understanding of
evolution can be critical to deciding how we handle the future.

Dick

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> I originally wrote:
>
> > : Nice write-up intro, Jim.
>
> Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote
>
> > And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
> > (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
> > impedance calculations (note: impedance implies that a complex number is
> > involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant), and you can do it much
> > more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
> > rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
> > either.
> >
> > If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.
> >
> > However, the rest of us moved on years ago.
>
> Heh, heh. I have my Post Versalog right here in the desk
> drawer. It has served me well lo these 40 years. I now
> use it as a prop for my "Back in the Good Old Days" talks
> to younger engineers.
>
> And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith
> chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone
> technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the
> impedance/admittance transformations that take place through
> a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies
> in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than
> in the precision of the calculations done with it.
>
> While it is necessary to move forward in technological
> development, I believe it is also necessary for the
> practitioners to see themselves as having a certain
> historical continuity with their predecessors (and
> their successors). One way this continuity is maintained
> is to understand fully the thought processes involved
> in developing the tools and artifacts of that era.

> Thus the interest in the Smith chart, vacuum-tube radios,
> slide rules and steam engines.
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

5677. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 16:13:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9q9p7r$6i5$2@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 21921

John Siegel wrote:
>
> Jim
> A nice example. But what method would you use to solve the problem if
> the transmission line was not low loss at the frequency of interest?
>
> Too many examples use lossless lines and that can obscure the true
> value of a given method. I am a Physicist not an EE - the corresponding
> Physics examples are the frictionless puck or the massless spring.
> Useful teaching simplifications but not real world.
> John
>

BUT, the real world demands things that work, not things which cannot
be used unless they are perfect.

5678. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 16:26:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BC85C47.24DE8321@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21922

THE SKY IS FALLING - THE SKY IS FALLING!!!!

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> This thread has already pointed up some of things going on in the United
> States and, more importantly, the United States government.
>
> The actions taken since the tragedy in NYC are those that we will seek to
> unravel in the future when many come to their senses and realize what havoc
> we have allowed our government to create in the name of National Security.
> We may seek as much as we wish, and we may even scream to our government
> that we only meant that when the immediacy of the situation seemed to
> warrant it. But I doubt reversal will be possible. I am sorry to seem so
> anti-American to many of you--I used to hear that in the 60s when this kind
> of stuff was fought back then, too. Yeah, the Viet Nam war was the focal
> point of protest back then. But many couldn't see the forest for the
> trees--it was more a fight against a government that surveilled its citizens
> and made illegal many of the things called freedoms that we used to know.
> (How many of you are aware that to put something on a campus wall at a
> college or university today, requires the signature approval of the Dean of
> Students?)
>
> We have a government today that is entirely different than it was just a
> month and a half ago. Yes, we have a world that was entirely different
> also. Things have happened rapidly and without measure as to its
> implications beyond the state of fear or anxiety we all in some way feel
> about the world around us. I am quite saddened by it all; we've come full
> circle and I can assure you that US Citizens will become the victims of
> these new and growing "pollicies" designed to protect us from the harms of
> terrorism. No one dares to raise question about what we are seeing happen
> in the United States government. I feel squeamish just to do so myself
> because I know it will be viewed with angst by those who feel this is a war
> against liberalism, or political correctness, or conservatism, or whatever
> your game has been for the past few years. Just as the government will use
> this tragedy as a veil to implement all kinds of really weird and
> counter-democratic measures; many people of the United States won't realize
> that their true passion isn't over what happened in NYC--it is over the many
> years of politics they haven't liked going on.
>
> Usama bin Laden has won this round. He probably doesn't realize it, because
> his and their intention is to get rid of the United States. He may have
> done just that but in a way he never realized would happen.
>
> I don't particularly care if those of you who will scream against me for the

> way I think, go ahead and scream. I don't particularly care what you think
> of me for the way I think. I am saddened, but I still wake up every day and
> pretend that everything will be OK--that we won't have US Citizens brought
> into courts of law for things they say, write, or do in the future; that we
> won't have a government listening in on all kinds of sentiments they will
> deem as anti-American; that we won't continue on with this patriot war we've
> got happening in our country and around the world right now; and all kinds
> of other really crazy stuff we are going to see in the news. I go to work,
> do my thing, get my paycheck, and go to the movies--once in a great while.
> With the simple life I lead, there's not much harm that will come to me
> through policies I so greatly detest. This post is probably the most
> political thing I will do in the next several months. So, go ahead and
> sneer, call me and others like me anti-American, and make all kinds of
> innuendoes and accusations. Mark my words--the goings on of today are not
> going to be so welcomed a year from now.
>
> I choose not to buy an American flag right now because I never chose to buy
> one before. I choose not to open my heart completely without suspicion to
> my government because I never did that before. I choose not to be so
> willing for war because I would not have done that before. I have actually
> considered but resist the urge to buy guns and ammunition because I've never
> considered that before. There are lots of things I choose in my life--and
> lots of things no one will ever "force" me to choose otherwise. That is the
> best stand I can take against immoral acts of others, whether they are from
> a foreign government or my own.
>
> I'll leave you with this thought in this thread. I absolutely detest the
> thoughts of people like those who would wave the flag of the KKK. However,
> I also bitterly regard their right to do so in this country as precious to
> the principles of Democracy and a Democratic way of life. Right now, our
> government and most of us are angry with the bin Ladens of the world--and
> there are so very many of them. But, what about when "that part" is
> settled? Do we really want a government that will *have the capability* to
> make decisions about what its citizens' intentions are in the beliefs they
> have and *have the capability* to call it "illegal" under some broad
> speaking measure that we all meant for them to use only on other countries
> and people--not ours? There is nothing in the measures and policies that
> have been debated and passed thusfar in Congress, that keeps the government
> from turning its eye directly onto organizations such as the KKK, abortion
> rights activists or anti-abortion rights activists, or whomever else they
> deem as a threat to the security of the United States. Both of those
> political issues have brought people to the brink of killing others in this
> country. Nothing stops our government from deeming those organizations as
> terrorist organizations. Nothing.
>
> Mark my words...
>
> Kim W5TIT

5679. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 18:17:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013141750.17640.00000860@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 21923

In article <3BC90A8B.1DB41C07@worldnet.att.net>, John Siegel
<JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Jim
> A nice example.

Thanks.

>But what method would you use to solve the problem if
>the transmission line was not low loss at the frequency of interest?

The effect of loss in a transmission line is to make the SWR at the "shack end"
(generator end) lower than at the "antenna end" (load end). If the line loss is
known, we can figure out what the SWR at the antenna end is, and draw a second
SWR circle that shows the SWR at the antenna (load) end. The antenna feedpoint
impedance lies on that second SWR circle. Since we know the line length, we're
in business.

However, figuring out the line loss can be complex. The cable loss numbers in
the cable specs are for new cable operated at 1:1 SWR. The loss goes up with
SWR, and since the SWR changes over the length of a lossy cable, the situation
becomes even more messy. The usual method is to do an iterative average of the
load and generator end SWRs and use that value to figure the loss. And even
then you get an approximation.

You can see why I used the lossless case for a first example. ;-)

"The case with loss is left as an exercise for the student".
>
> Too many examples use lossless lines and that can obscure the true
>value of a given method.

Yup. Like the ideal models used in basic circuit analysis.

A story from EE school:

In electronic circuits class, we had Dr. K, who closely resembled Wally Cox.
Excellent teacher, really knowledgeable and practical.

One day he draws a resistance-coupled FET amplifier on the board, asks what it
will do. Everybody says "amplify the input signal". "Correct", says Prof. K.

Then he redraws it to use tuned LC input and output circuits, both tuned to
about the same frequency. Again he asks what it will do. Everybody but me says
"amplify the input signal if it is near the tuned circuit frequency". I say "it
may amplify, but it will probably oscillate". Rest of class thinks I'm nuts.
Prof. K looks at me, smiles, says "You're a ham radio operator, aren't you?" My
jaw hit the floor - I didn't think he knew what ham radio was!

He went on to explain to the class that if the tuned circuit values were correct and the internal capacitances of the FET were adequate, the circuit would indeed oscillate. 'You have to use the right model" he said "the simplified model that ignored Cgd is not adequate for this analysis". Nobody ever forgot his practical examples.

>I am a Physicist not an EE - the corresponding
>Physics examples are the frictionless puck or the massless spring.
>Useful teaching simplifications but not real world.

Yup. I'm an EE not a physicist ;-)

Thanks for the comments.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5680. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 19:41:09 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qa5bupmv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 21924
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The Russians have their own Islamic problems, and would welcome reducing the problem.

As for fallout. Time it on a good wind day.

Look Dwight, this is a war between East and West. If you think otherwise then Id suggest you get a fallout shelter. Because the next nuke strike will be coming from the East. And it won't be Russian.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BC7A802.87F75569@sccoast.net...
> Daniel Jeswald wrote:
> >
> > Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.
>
>
> And how do you think the Russians are going to respond when nukes
> start landing next to their border and radioactive fallout starts
> killing their citizens? You obviously don't care about living to see tomorrow.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

5681. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 19:43:04 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qa5f8rue1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 21925
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

One think I can't be called and be serious is a traitor. I feel that anyone
that does not support total war against these a-holes is a Jane Fonda in
drag. Traitor.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC7AB37.D25B1A06@sccoast.net...
> Daniel Jeswald wrote:
> >
> > This can not go un-punished. If you
> > think otherwise then I call you a
> > traitor, and have no right to claim
> > US citizenship.
>
>
> Dan, in Walter's defense, he is not a traitor for having opinions
> different than your own, and you have no right whatsoever to decide
> who has a right to claim citizenship. And if you think otherwise, then
> I could just as easily call you a traitor to the ideals of this country.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

5682. ARNewsline 1261 - Oct 12 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:15:25 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013191525.02576.00003056@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 21926

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm) REPORT #1261 - OCT. 12, 2001

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1261 with a release date of Friday, October 12th, 2001 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The FCC says “yes” to S-D-R. This story is first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1261 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: FCC APPROVES SDR

The FCC has taken the first steps in making Software Defined Radio or S-D-R a household word. This, as the regulatory agency adopts rules changes to authorize and begin deployment for a new generation of two way radio gear. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW has more:

--

The Action took place on Friday September 14th at an open meeting in Washington D-C but the announcement was all but lost in the events occurring in New York City and the Pentagon only three days earlier. But what’s important is that this First Report and Order removes many barriers to entry of Software Defined Radio enabled products to the marketplace. And the new rules do allow manufacturers and operators of such devices to reconfigure them after they have been deployed in the field -- including -- possibly -- ham radio gear. This, says the FCC. will speed up the introduction of advanced technology and new services.

The new rules say that software modifications to an S-D-R can be made through what the FCC calls permissive change. The FCC describes this as a totally new form of filing process where the FCC identification number will not have to be changed nor will the equipment have to be relabeled each time its purpose is revised. In fact, the FCC says that it will permit use of an optional electronic label for S-D-R gear in which the FCC identification number could be displayed using a liquid crystal or similar screen. This will allow another party to obtain an equipment approval in its name and take over responsibility for compliance with operating rules that were the domain of the previous licensee.

The Commission has also adopted requirements saying that the license grant holder must take adequate steps to prevent the unauthorized software modification to S-D-R gear. But so far it has not set up any specific security requirements.

In Los Angeles, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

More information on Software Defined Radio is on the web at several locations. The subscription S-D-R forum is the best. Its in cyberspace at www. sdrforum.org (W5YI Report, SDR Website, ARNewsline(tm))

**

AMERICA UNDER ATTACK: SATERN SAYS HAM HELP STILL NEEDED IN NYC

The last federal rescue crew left the World Trade Center disaster scene on Saturday, October 6th leaving New York officials to continue the nearly month-old effort to locate nearly 5,000 bodies buried in the rubble. The Urban Search and Rescue Task Force was the last of 20 Federal Emergency Management Agency teams sent in after the September 11 terrorist attacks that collapsed the twin towers, but their departure does not mean work is winding down. In fact, one volunteer agency says that ham radio operators will still be needed there for some time. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg reports from near the scene.

--

Its the Salvation Army that needs radio operators to support the organization's World Trade Center relief operation in New York City. And even though it has cut one shift of radio operators the relief agency says that it still needs fresh Amateur Radio ham operators on a daily basis until further notice.

In a statement to the ARRL Letter, Jeff Schneller, N2HPO who is coordinating the Salvation Army Team Emergency Response or SATERN Network says that he is still short of people. Schneller says that the midnight to 8 AM shift has been suspended but they still needs six Amateur Radio operators per shift -- a total of 12 per day -- for the indefinite future.

SATERN needs these operators with their own base station radio gear at the Kennedy Airport warehouse set up from about 8 AM until about 9 PM -- possibly broken into shifts. SATERN also needs operators to ride with trucks to provide communication. If you can help, please visit http://www.satern.org for the latest information.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, 15 miles from ‘ground zero’ in West Orange New Jersey.

--

The Salvation Army said it's sending additional personnel from the Midwest to New York City to assist those who have been on duty since September 11th. In the area of ham radio, so far some 40 Amateur Radio operators have turned out to help support the Salvation Army relief effort with some coming from as far away as Missouri, North Carolina, Georgia and Florida. (ARRL, SATERN, published news reports)

**

AMERICA UNDER ATTACK: TERRORISM ATTACK CUES EAS DEBATE

When should the nations Emergency Alert System or E-A-S be activated? According to the trade publication Radio World, experts are debating that question because the E-A-S was not used following the September 11th terrorist attacks on New York's World Trade Center and the Pentagon.

Richard Rudman, W6TIA, is the chairman of the Emergency Alert System National Advisory Committee. He also chairs the Los Angeles County local E-A-S group. Rudman says that since the incidents that the national committee has recommended to the FCC that changes be made if a similar attack takes place again.

--

Richard Rudman W6TIA: "The Emergency Alert System -- at the local level -- ties into local emergency management. What I am suggesting is that we have to look at the elements of the critical infrastructure that might possibly have warnings based on the current threat and make sure that they are tired into local emergency management properly so that a decision can be made to issue an E-A-S warning if it is appropriate."

--

The question of whether an E-A-S civil warning should have been triggered has stirred strong debate among those subscribed to an on-line discussion remailer. Rudman says that the job of the E-A-S is to alert the public before an actual event occurs, rather than after the fact. He says that with the immediate live media coverage of the strikes on the two locations that the need for an E-A-S warning was lessened.

--

Richard Rudman W6TIA: "First of all, there wasn't enough information to give a clear warning of what was going on. You might as well have just put out an E-A-S message with one word -- 'panic.'"

"At the time that you get a warning you really have to have other information. I often say that our job -- quite often -- is to take people from the fear of the unknown to fear of the known. If you don't do that right, all you are doing is confusing people and possibly instilling panic."

--

Not everyone agrees. Radio World reports some speculation that there was enough time between the two plane crashes in New York that issuing an E-A-S alert might have saved additional lives.

The E-A-S was developed in 1994 to replace the then aging Emergency Broadcast System as a tool for the President of the United States and others to warn the public about emergency situations. But President George W. Bush chose instead to go directly to the mass media and did not issue an Emergency Alert Notification on the day of the terrorist attacks. As a result, E-A-S played no role in alerting the public to the emergency situations. (Radio World)

**

AMERICA UNDER ATTACK: REPEAL THE ECPA SO AMATEURS CAN MONITOR FOR TERRORISTS

A Michigan ham says its time for congress to repeal the Electronic Communications Privacy Act and lest SWL's monitor the cellular frequencies foe terrorist activities. Lloyd Ellsworth, NE8I, is circulating a letter to congress on the VHF Reflector that says the events of September 11th warrant giving the public the ability to again monitor cellular communications and report possible threats to the nations security onto authorities.

--

Lloyd Ellsworth NE8I: "If you have an area [of spectrum] -- for example 800 to 900 MHz -- where cellphones operate -- a terrorist could also conveniently operate there and not easily be caught. You would have someone specialized who had that [monitoring] equipment to receive him."

--

As Ellsworth's letter notes, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act forbids Americans from using a radio scanner receiver other device from eavesdropping cell phone and certain other radio communications. It also forbids the importation or sale in the country of equipment capable of interception of these signals. But says Ellsworth, these are tools and skills which any knowledgeable terrorist can easily use against America and Americans. And we all know that terrorists don't play by the rules.

--

Lloyd Ellsworth NE8I: "What I am concerned with is if a terrorist is able to freely operate and stands a very small chance of being caught while conducting some communications in that band -- they will."
--

Ellsworth says that repealing the E-C-P-A will even the playing field by giving law abiding Americans a way to listen for suspicious cellular phone calls or other radio signals that can be reported directly to an appropriate agency. Ellsworth notes that this would allow the average citizen, including police and law enforcement to directly to contribute fighting terrorism. Ellsworth adds that this would also put any terrorist on notice that any radio communications he or she may use is likely to be detected, intercepted and used against them. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

BREAK 1

This is ham radio news coming to you from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the Radio Club of El Cajon serving El Cajon California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: WARNING TO FISHERMEN GETS THEM OFF TWO METERS

It appears as if a warning letter was enough to get at least four ham fishing enthusiasts off of the two meter band. This, according to FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth who says that he has spoken with some of them and those operators are gone:

--
Riley Hollingsworth: “We had warned eight commercial fisherman in Alaska about operating on two meters without a license on the fishing vessel Evack and the fishing vessel Phoenix. Now four of these fishermen have called us or been in touch with us and apparently in addition to Marine equipment they were using amateur 2 meter handie-talkies. They have assured us that they have stopped using the [Amateur] equipment and our independent information indicates that too.”.
--

Hollingsworth says that his office is still waiting to hear from the other remaining radio users. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: DON’T USE HAM GEAR WHILE HUNTING IF YOU ARE NOT A HAM

Fishing vessels are not the only unlicensed users showing up on the ham bands. In many areas it hunting season, and some of those on the prowl using amateur radio are not hams. The FCC’s Hollingsworth says that the agency has now warned four hunters in West Virginia about using unlicensed amateur gear while out hunting. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: VK JAMMER FINED $3000 (AU)

The United States is not the only place bringing radio regulatory violators to justice. Q- News reports that the Australian Communications Authority has successfully prosecuted a man who cost a courier company an estimated $80,000 when he interfered with its two-way radio system.

In an all to familiar scenario here in the United States, the man who is an ex-employee of the company, played music over the two-way channel when the company was trying to pass on information to its drivers. Prosecution came after a long investigation by the Australian Communications Authority which direction finding equipment to track down the offender. He was fined $3000 after pleading guilty in Sydney's Downing Center Local Court to three charges of operating a radio transmitter without a license.

The Australian Communications Authority New South Wales Compliance Team Manager is Tony George. He says that the A-C-A works hard to detect unauthorized operation of radio transmitters. George added that the agency will act quickly to prosecute offenders. (Q-News, ACA)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS CALLED OUT AFTER TEXAS BRIDGE COLLAPSE

Ham radio was called out after disaster struck in South Texas early on the morning of September 15th. This, after a 240-foot section of the Queen Isabella Causeway between South Padre Island and Port Isabel, Texas, collapsed after barges slammed into the bridge supports.

The mishap sent several vehicles plummeting into the water 80 feet below and claimed 11 lives. The Texas Department of Public Safety contacted John Teer, AK5Z, to request Amateur Radio assistance with communications, because all phone lines between South Padre Island and the mainland had been severed.

The ARRL Letter reports that Teer was able to get volunteers to place at the both the South Padre Island and the Port Isabell Emergency Operations Centers. The Department of Public Safety provided boat transportation to the Island for one operator. The hams then provided 2-meter communication for government and Red Cross officials on for two days until landline telephone and cellular service could be restored. Volunteering their services along with Teer were Pat Patterson, N5SLI, Virginia Scarth, KC5SAM, Ed Scarth, W8AHU, and Gregg Sargent, KAZ0ARS. (ARRL)

**

EMERGENCY COMMS: CELL PHONE LOCATOR DELAYED TO DEC 2005

People waiting for the cellular telephone industry to institute automatic emergency locating technology will have to wait some more. This, after the FCC gives some of the nation's largest mobile phone carriers more time to develop technology that allows emergency personnel to quickly locate callers who dial 911 from wireless phones.

ATT Wireleess, Cingular, Nextel, Sprint and Verizon had sought implementation schedule delays based on problems in obtaining the needed equipment from suppliers. The Federal Communications Commission mandated back in 1996 that all cellular phone companies have some location equipment in place and operational by 2002. Its now been pushed back to December 31st 2005. A similar delay was granted to VoiceStream last year. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO RULES: ANOTHER MASS CORES CONVERSION COMING

The ARRL Letter says that before the switchover to the new and mandatory Commission Registration System on December 3rd, the FCC plans to do a mass conversion from its current Universal Licensing System database.

The FCC established the Commission Registration System or CORES last year and has been in the process of implementing it. Once it becomes mandatory, everyone doing business with the FCC -- licensed or not -- must obtain and use a 10-digit F-C-C Registration Number -- or F-R-N.

The FCC calls the move is a first step toward streamlining fee collection and tracking. Many amateurs registered with the current Universal Licensing System were assigned an F-R-N by CORES in a mass conversion last year. Those who got one were notified by mail. (ARRL, FCC)

**

CONVENTIONS: DARA SAYS PLAN EARLY FOR HAMVENTION 2001

The Dayton Amateur Radio Association says that you should plan now to attend the 51st annual Dayton Hamvention. We have this report on the very special reasons why.

--
Dayton Hamvention 2002 is slated for next May 17 to the 19th. And in keeping with President Bush’s call for America to return to business as usual, the planners of the world's largest amateur radio gathering and trade show have sent out an early flyer. A flyer that tells everyone that the show will go on and that it will be bigger and better than ever before.

How do you make the best even better? How about the Hamvention’s new “All You Can Ride Option” advance purchase package. For a $3 additional fee over the price of a normal $17 advance purchase ticket price, show attendees can ride to and from the HARA Arena an unlimited number of times. If you wait till you get to Dayton it will cost you $22 not including bus service. Buying last minute bus rides will cost another $2 a day or $5 for the weekend. So the $3 in advance is quite a deal.

But that’s not all. If you post mark your ticket order before November 10th, you will also receive a limited edition Hamvention 2002 souvenir program. These normally sell an additional $5 at the door. And early reservations also get entered into the new Hamvention Gift Certificate Contest. Here, two ticket holders will be randomly picked to receive a $250 gift certificate redeemable for cash at Hamvention 2002.

For more information write to the Dayton Hamvention, Post Office Box 964, Dayton Ohio 45401. Or you can visit the Hamvention website at www.hamvention.org.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Rick Johnson, KA9VZD.

--

Amateur Radio Newsline is already at work planning the Ham Radio Town Meeting for Hamvention 2002. Details on this in an upcoming newscast. (DARA)

**

CONVENTIONS: ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT EXPO

Don't forget about the annual Electronic Equipment Expo, which has moved to Seattle from Bellevue this year. The dates are October 24th & 25th at the Stadium Exhibition Center in Seattle Washington and admission is free. The event is co-sponsored by Society of Broadcast Engineers Chapter 16. More information and online registration is at www.emexpo.org. (Portland SBE Newsletter)

**

HAM RADIO BUSNESS: THE HAM RADIO MARKETPLACE.COM

The Ham Radio Market located at is an Internet web board focused on radio equipment and "Ham Radio Stuff". According to President and Founder Bob

Hutchinson, N5CNN, it is operated as a public service for the ham radio community by the Wireless Industry Association which does not itself buy or sell anything. For more information visit http://hamradiomarket.com on the word wide web. (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 2

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website www.arnewsline.org

(5 sec pause here)

**

ARNEWSLINE(tm) SUPPORT FUND UPDATE: ARNEWSLINE(tm) IS NOW A 501(C)(3) NOT FOR PROFIT CORPORATION

Ladies and gentlemen, with a long awaited announcement, here is Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund Administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ:

--

Just about a month ago I recorded an announcement similar to this. It was to be aired on newscast number 1257 which went to air on September 14th. After the events of September 11th, I felt it was best to delay a few weeks. As this is good news, I really do not want to hold off any longer.

Over the past two years we have all been working to bring Amateur Radio Newsline out from its old Westlink Radio Network identity and into its own individuality. This meant several things including a subtle name change, branding of our new name and revamping our website. It also meant becoming a self sustaining not for profit corporation. I am delighted to report that last goal has now been achieved.

Earlier this year, Amateur Radio Newsline Inc. was granted status as a California non-profit corporation. Then, in late August the I-R-S granted our petition and the Amateur Radio Newsline, Inc. became a Federal 501 (c)(3) tax exempt not for profit corporation. Yes, that’s right, as of August 20th, Newsline, as the programming arm of the old Westlink Radio Network is officially gone and the Amateur Radio Newsline as an entity unto itself came into being.

All of this was made possible by a generous donation from a Newsline supporter and benefactor who we are not at liberty to name. But it was that person to whom we at the Amateur Radio Newsline owe a deep debt of gratitude. So we pause a moment and say thank you. Thank your for helping make a dream of almost a quarter of a century become a reality.

We also want to thank the wonderful folks at the Holmsburg Amateur Radio Club in Pennsylvania under whose not-for-profit charter we have operated since incorporating as the Westlink Amateur Radio Network in the 1980’s. They are the people who said to us -- here is a place to start. They have been an

ardent supporter of what we do almost since we began our newscasts in the 1970’s. We will always consider them as being an important part of the Amateur Radio Newsline family.

Our final note this week. Just a reminder that the address for the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund still is PO Box 660937, Arcadia, CA 91066. If you missed it, don’t worry. It will be given again at the end of this week's newscast. For the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund report, I’m Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

On behalf of everyone involved in the Amateur Radio Newsline, a big thank you to Andy and to our secret contributor for making it all happen. (ARNewsline(tm) Support Fund)

**

T-HUNTING: THE OWLS ARE BACK

Its fall and that means its migration time for numerous species. That includes that ever elusive Burrowing Owls. Here’s Joe Moell, K0OV, with the latest.

--
The fall 2001 migration period for Burrowing owls is beginning right now. Once again, Amateur Radio operators and VHF monitoring enthusiasts are being asked to help researchers study the patterns of this diminishing species. In addition to owls from the plains of Saskatchewan and Alberta, which hams have listened for since 1998, we're now being asked to monitor for 30 owls that were tagged near Moses Lake in eastern Washington state. Vicki Garcia of the University of Arizona wants our help, because it is uncertain if these birds spend the winter in California, or Baja California, or they go eastward to Nevada, Arizona, New Mexico or even to Texas.

The Canadian owl tags are in the 172 MHz range, while the Washington owl tags are near 150 MHz. If you live in a central state from North Dakota to Texas, or in any state to the west of that line, and you have sensitive monitoring equipment for these frequencies, your help is needed. For all the frequencies and monitoring information, go to my Web site, www.homingin.com. HomingIn is one word.

If you aren't on the Web, send e-mail to owls@homingin.com or postal mail to my callbook address. Again, that website is www.homingin.com

Thanks in advance for your help. From southern California, this is Joe Moell K-zero-Oscar-Victor for Amateur Radio Newsline

--

If you missed any of that, simply take your web browser to www.homingin.com. (K0OV)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: AMSAT-NA ANNOUNCES BOD ELECTION RESULTS

Some names in the news. This as AMSAT North America announces the results of its recent Board of Directors election. Barry Baines, WD4ASW, Dick Daniels, W4PUJ, Robin Haighton, VE3FRH, and Bill Tynan, W3XO, will retain their seats on the Board for the next two years. Bruce Paige, KK5DO is the first alternate and Dick Hambly, W2GPS is the second alternate. Paige and Hambly will serve until the next election. (ARRL, AMSAT-NA, others)

**

NET OPERATIONS: NEW NORTH TEXAS 222 MHZ NET

Dave Duval, K5UGM, in Dallas says that local hams are trying to start a new North Texas 222 MHz Net. They are also looking for station to check-in. The net operates on 222.105 MHz on Friday nights at 8 Central Time. If you think you can make the path, Bill says that the net will be listening for you. (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In D-X, word that the same crew that aired 5U2K, 5U3T and 5U5A in March of 2001 will go back to Niger in January and February of 2002. This for a 2 week DXpedition with a larger crew that will include 3 to 4 new operators from Italy and the United States. At least 3 stations will be put on air with special attention paid to 80 and 160 meters. Overall operations will take place on 160 through 10 meters on CW, SSB, RTTY, PSK 31. Another station on 6 meters will operate CW and SSB. Callsigns will be announced at a later date.

Also, the electronic logbook is now on-line for the August 3rd tro the 5th K3L and National Lighthouse Weekend operation from Annapolis, Maryland. Stations who worked the AM Expedition to Thomas Point Lighthouse in the Chesapeake Bay can now check whether they made it to the official logbook. A photographic QSL certificate will soon be available. The QSL manager and other details are now posted at www.amfone.net/K3L. (Various DX sources)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: HAM RADIO VS. A RUNAWAY BLIMP

And finally this week, what do you do if a blimp gets away? The answer. Call out ham radio. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH has the high flying details.

--

For members of the North Central Missouri Skywarn team…weather spotting means being on the lookout for hail, wall clouds and other severe weather…but the night of September 7th brought a situation they won’t forget anytime soon. Severe
thunderstorms were moving through the Kansas City area that evening, keeping amateur
radio Skywarn groups busy, assisting the National Weather Service. In the town of Trenton,
Glenn Briggs, KB0RPJ, was serving as Net Control for his spotters when heard a

request he didn’t believe at first.

--
IN We were discussing the squall line
OUT find it for them.
--

The blimp belonged to MetLife and had been parked at Kansas City’s Airport. It was scheduled for aerial photography during the following day’s Kansas City Chief’s football game. But mother nature had other plans for this airship as Briggs found out.

--
IN The t’ storms went thru and as it was moored at the downtown airport
OUT flying thru the air
--

For Briggs and his fellow hams, spotting suddenly brought forth a new challenge.

--
IN it was kind of interesting to try to look at the ..
OUT hit by a blimp
--

The blimp was in the air, flying out of control, for at least 45 minutes. The next task was to find it. Briggs says hams started a blimp watch.

--
IN We had begun sending hams out to try to track a NE trajectory
OUT land on a truck
--

A team of amateur radio spotters went to the scene and confirmed that blimp had been found. The crash site was a farm in the community of Chillicothe--that’s about 85 miles northeast of the Kansas City airport. The blimp fell to earth after its self deflation system activated. No one was injured. Members of the Skywarn team assisted with notifying the FAA and other officials in Kansas City. For Glenn Briggs and his fellow North Central Missouri Skywarn team members,
September 7th is a night to remember.

--
IN I would say it’s the most unusual
OUT our spotters will remember for years to come
--

Future weather spotting nets will undoubtedly include the usual…hail, high winds,
maybe even tornadoes. But at least one Skywarn team has the additional experience
of blimp spotting.

From Birmingham, Alabama, I’m David Black, KB4KCH, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm).

--

And what else is there to say? (ARNewsline(tm))

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB, the W5YI Report and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline’s(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

A reminder that Amateur Radio Newsline scripts are now available weekly on a self maintained list at Yahoogroups. To have a free text edition of this newscast delivered each week by e-mail go to www.yahoogroups.com, follow the directions and sign up for the ARNewsline list.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA in New Orleans saying “God Bless America,” 73, and we thank you for listening. Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2001. All rights reserved.

5683. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:23:27 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tshj7ftbsg9m96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21927
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Sheesh, you antigovernment guys are just as indoctrinated by your "free" media outlets as the rest of the American public is by their corporate controlled ones. All you guys do is listen to your extremely biased "free news" outlets (just biased to the right instead of the left like the corporate media) and soon you're sounding just like WWFV where the rant and the noise from the poor transmitter and the background hiss are all one and the same and indistinguishible from each other. Come down from your ideological ivory tower and use your brain (unless it's been atrophied) and listen to all different viewpoints on SW and make your own conclusions. I know it's an absolutely shocking, subversive thing to do, but it tends to make one more well rounded.

In article <3BC76561.B79031EB@utopia.com>, wpitman@utopia.com says...
>
>> Some people should constantly check their pulse to see if they are dead yet!
>> I can't beleive that there are people complaining about the US government
>> spending money on radios. I think it's a great idea.
>
>You most likely also think the Federal Income Tax is a good idea too. Hey, why
>not get a second job so that we can send them TVs and DVD players.

Let's see YOUR alternative to government.
>
>> The Afgans have been
>> media silenced for years.
>
>And as your statements show, so have Americans.

Look in the mirror. Or have you sold your soul to the far right and don't appear in a mirror any more?
>
>> An uneducated society knows only what they are
>> told.
>
>Wow, you knew that, but believe you live in the greatest country in the world,
>right? Our health care is the best in the world too, right? How do you know
>that, because you have experienced the others, or because that is what the US
>media keeps telling you over and over again?

The health care system sucks, and there's other things that need work, but where would you rather live? Afghanistan? Or is it really paradise and the media is lying to us? (Maybe it would be for the far right.)
>
>> This is a smart and strategic method of getting other unsurpressed
>> information to the Afganie people and to educate them as to what the rest of
>> the world thinks and is doing!
>
>No, ONLY to what the American broadcasts have to say. That is why the radios
>are tuned to one frequency as to censor the broadcasts so they CAN'T hear what
>the rest of the world thinks.

You've never fought in a war, or talked to people in the military, I see. War is absolute. One guy wins, the other guy loses. Preferably, you want to be the winning guy. So you do whatever it takes. Turning the other guy's people against him is simply part of the strategy. Or would you rather have Osama bin Laden be Grand Caliph of Everything Everywhere, and be forced to bow towards Mecca five times a day, and be forced to wear long robes on a boiling summer day, and have to grow your beard to the specified length? Oh yeah, and you can add in slow starvation too.

5684. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:26:56 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tshje01ds57k33@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21928
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC75D30.A63D2E1E@utopia.com>, wpitman@utopia.com says...
>
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>> Soon the Moon wrote:
>> >
>> > (snip) What if some other country
>> > dropped leaflets and radio propaganda
>> > to overthrow George Bush?
>>
>> No need to - we have the Democrats, and our own press, to do that.
;-)
>
>Oh, another one who thinks there is a difference between a Republican
and a
>Democrat. It doesn't matter if we have a BUSH or a CLINTON in
control,
>same shit! BOTH are war hungry and drop bombs on other countries,
BOTH
>raise our taxes, BOTH continue to sign new laws taking away yet more of
our
>freedoms.
>
>Last election, there were 48 other political parties, at least 6 or 7
of
>which were on the ballots. Yet for some bizarre reason, people keep
voting
>for the same Republicats that continue to screw us over.
>
>Pick one of the others for a change, you have nothing to lose! If one
of
>them screws up, pick another one! But DON'T pick a party that we
already
>know is corrupt and screwed us over time and time again!

Quick, throw a bucket of ice cold water on Walter's head before he
overheats! Get the SW radio, he needs his WWFV fix immediately! You're
not gonna blow on me... (primarily cause I don't wanna clean up the
mess)

5685. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:31:11 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tshjlvokvqlie8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21929
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <5sKx7.12725$7B1.886592@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
kurtullman@yahoo.com says...
>
>In article <3BC7600C.7D61087E@utopia.com>, Walter Pitman
<wpitman@utopia.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I don't think it was a secret. What took you so long to figure it
out. For
>> someone on a SW newsgroup,
>>it doesn't seem like you listen to the news as reported by other
countries to
>> get a full objective as
>>to how things really are, rather than just the one sided view of the
biggest
>> terrorist country in the
>>world.
>>
> In other words, I don;t only listen to the people YOU approve of and
ignore
>everyone else.

Yep. I like to get my news from Deutsche Welle. The Swiss aren't on SW anymore, and the Netherlanders don't broadcast much to NA, so I tried the Germans, and they're better than the British who are American puppets. Got it? :)

Also, I've been trying to get Kol Israel's international oriented news show in-they only broadcast it during the day, and at night do bulletins from domestic Israel Radio. Also I'd like to hear what Iran and Pakistan have to say, but I can't seem to get them in English. Although I do like the Islamic chanting from Saudi Arabia

BOOM!

oops, Walter's head exploded. Big loss, not.

5686. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:32:12 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tshjns1mbbfg0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21930
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC7A802.87F75569@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>>
>> Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.
>
>
> And how do you think the Russians are going to respond when nukes
>start landing next to their border and radioactive fallout starts
>killing their citizens? You obviously don't care about living to see
tomorrow.

I don't. So byte me.

5687. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:34:29 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tshjs5j41rtf5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 21931
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC7AE2A.3027B9D3@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Don't get your knickers in a knot,
>> Dwight. The idea is to keep the
>> terrorists from using our own media
>> as their message forwarding mechanism,
>> and the several news outlets I've
>> watched regularly seem to agree that
>> is a wise move, considering that we
>> supplied the cruise missiles used by
>> them on Sept 11. All they need was
>> the pilots.......
>
>
> I really don't care what the "idea" is. The only thing I'm concerned
>about is that my government is openly controlling my access to
>information. And, as a free citizen in a supposedly free country, I
>consider that a very frightening thought (something I thought only
>"those" other countries were supposed to do).

Well, there is SW. You DO listen to your shortwave radio, don't you?
>
>
>> BTW, this *IS* war. You do know about
>> war, don't you???
>
>
> Yes, Dick, I've seen this country involved in nine of them (wars) in
>my lifetime (not counting the "cold" war). And, to be perfectly
>honest, I'm getting darn tired of it.

Well, so are we. Unfortunately, the other guys still get a kick out of
it, so we really have no choice.

5688. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-13 23:49:39 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <hkkhsto0t9ni9d9usv2eohp816hemfqb7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 21932
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Oct 2001 23:32:12 -0000, nada@na.da (redrum) wrote:

>In article <3BC7A802.87F75569@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>>
>>Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>>>
>>> Nuke the bastards now, while we still can.
>>
>>
>> And how do you think the Russians are going to respond when nukes
>>start landing next to their border and radioactive fallout starts
>>killing their citizens? You obviously don't care about living to see
>tomorrow.
>
>I don't. So byte me.

Actually for the most part, the Russians are going to be upwind
anyway. It is the Pakistanis, Indians Nepalese, Tibetans and Chinese
are downwind.

5689. Where's KT4TR???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:01:28 UTC
From: haaaynorton@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013210128.08528.00003493@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21933

Where is that Sawed Off, Pot Bellied, Lying, Drunken Asshole???

Not on Amateur Radio.....:-)

"Something Stinks"
Ed Norton Sewer Expert

5690. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:16:19 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8E760.FB8D6820@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21934
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kurt Ullman wrote:
>
> Depends on what kind of nukes we
> use and which way the wind blows.
> Theatre nukes you can blow a bunch
> of tanks to smithereens and have
> your own troops on the other side
> of a hill not even feel the breeze.

I doubt the Russians will wait long enough to find out what type of nukes we're using. Would we if Russian nukes started landing in Mexico?

> Although nukes are not an option
> politically or militarily in real
> life, they are fun for us armchair
> generals (or more appropriately
> armchair REMF) to argue about.

Well, when it comes to that, I hope you'll forgive this armchair general for resigning my commission. I want no part of it (not even in jest). :-)

Dwight Stewart

5691. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:17:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8E7AC.6F722370@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21935
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kurt Ullman wrote:
>
> Depends on what kind of nukes we
> use and which way the wind blows.
> Theatre nukes you can blow a bunch
> of tanks to smithereens and have
> your own troops on the other side
> of a hill not even feel the breeze.

No need to repeat yourself, Kurt. We heard you the first time. ;-)

Dwight Stewart

5692. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:21:51 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8E8AA.E2BEC1B3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21936
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Daniel Jeswald wrote:
>
> As for fallout. Time it on a good
> wind day.

Look at the wind currents - there isn't a good wind day.

> Look Dwight, this is a war between
> East and West. If you think otherwise
> then Id suggest you get a fallout
> shelter.

So if I don't think otherwise, I don't need a fallout shelter?

> Because the next nuke strike will be
> coming from the East. And it won't
> be Russian.

The "next" nuke strike? I must have missed something. Where did the
first one come from?

Dwight Stewart

5693. Re: Where's KT4TR???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:22:09 UTC
From: herbiebabykv4fz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013212209.09255.00001534@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 21937

<< Subject: Where's KT4TR???
From: haaaynorton@aol.com (Ed Norton)
Date: Sat, Oct 13, 2001 8:01 PM
Message-id: <20011013210128.08528.00003493@mb-fg.aol.com>

Where is that Sawed Off, Pot Bellied, Lying, Drunken Asshole???

Not on Amateur Radio.....:-)

"Something Stinks"
Ed Norton Sewer Expert
>>

That thieving bastard stole my legal defense fund money, where is he? I want a piece of his ass!!!!!

Herbie Baby KV4FZ

5694. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:28:16 UTC
From: "Vanilla Gorilla (Monkey Boy)" <vgorilla@pobox.alaska.net>
Message-ID: <2hqhstoj150t8enouhh1gh974c85k7ccok@4ax.com>
Source record: 21945
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Oct 2001 23:23:27 -0000, nada@na.da (redrum) dropped trou
in alt.fan.art-bell and left the following steaming pile:

>
>You've never fought in a war, or talked to people in the military, I
>see. War is absolute. One guy wins, the other guy loses. Preferably, you
>want to be the winning guy. So you do whatever it takes. Turning the
>other guy's people against him is simply part of the strategy. Or would
>you rather have Osama bin Laden be Grand Caliph of Everything
>Everywhere, and be forced to bow towards Mecca five times a day, and be
>forced to wear long robes on a boiling summer day, and have to grow your
>beard to the specified length? Oh yeah, and you can add in slow
>starvation too.

I think we've found a "deal-breaker", right there.
--
V.G.

"I'm not opposed to guitar smashing. Some of them _need_ smashing." - Chet Atkins

5695. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:37:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8EC43.FD470AF5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21938
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> In the end, I can respect everyone's
> right to express whatever opinion
> they want...however, that does not
> require me (or anyone else) to respect
> that opinion...and, the concept of
> free speech fully allows me (and
> anyone else) to offer opinions as to
> just what someone else's beliefs/
> opinions constitute... from support
> for American to treason.

Ever heard of libel or slander, Bill? No person in this country has a "right" to defame another person's character. And, when you start calling someone a traitor, you had better have serious proof to back it up because that is a darn serious accusation. People called that have been killed in this country (and that fact alone makes it far more than just Dan's opinion).

Dwight Stewart

5696. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 01:53:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8F028.69604A8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21939
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Gee. What a patriot. Tired of
> resisting the enemies of our
> freedoms while insisting on
> receiving the most detailed,
> even trivial benefits deriving
> therefrom. And I understand
> you made a career of working for
> the military. What a surprise.

That's right, Dick. I enlisted in the Army in 1970, during the Vietnam War. And I've worked on and off for the military ever since. But that still doesn't change the fact that I'm tired of war. I've lived through too many of them. I've read about too many people dying. I've seen the pictures of too many people suffering. And I've seen way too much destruction. But I don't understand those feelings that would affect my status as a patriot. Does one have to like war to be a patriot? Is there no room for anything else?

Dwight Stewart

5697. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:04:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8F29B.4E924DCD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21940

JJ wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Why use NATO aircraft to patrol
> > the skies of the United States,
> > Dick? Don't we already have AWACS
> > aircraft for that purpose?
>
> Because they are in use over Afghanistan.

We have a number of AWACS aircraft, JJ. And our military is oriented around a two to three engagement strategy. Therefore, we would never send all of any single type of aircraft to a single conflict. In other words, there are AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground right now here in the US. Again, why aren't those aircraft patrolling out skies instead of NATO aircraft?

Dwight Stewart

5698. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:05:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8F2D2.100A2179@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21941

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Why use NATO aircraft to patrol
> > the skies of the United States,
> > Dick? Don't we already have AWACS
> > aircraft for that purpose?
>
> Because ours are deployed.

See my response to JJ.

Dwight Stewart
```

5699. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:07:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011013220743.01328.00001414@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 21942

Let's see what happens if the line is not lossless...

Problem 2:

A radio amateur has an antenna fed with a length of 50 ohm low-loss coax. The electrical length of the coax is 0.8 wavelengths at the desired operating frequency. The radio amateur uses a home-made Macromatcher (a form of RF impedance bridge) to measure the impedance at the "shack end" of the coax, and determines it to be 30 ohms resistive in series with 32.5 ohms capacitive reactance. In other words, the generator end impedance is 30 - j32.5 ohms at the desired operating frequency. Determine the impedance at the antenna, and the SWR on the line, using the Smith Chart. Assume the total line loss in the line from all causes is 2 dB.

Solution 2:

Since this is a 50 ohm system, normalize the impedances by dividing them by 50. This gives a normalized generator end impedance of 0.6 - j0.65 ohms. Plot this normalized impedance on the Smith Chart.

Draw a circle, centered on the prime center, that intersects the 0.6 - j0.65 ohms point just plotted. This SWR circle crosses the resistance axis at 2.6, which means the generator end of the line is operating at an SWR of 2.6:1 Transfer this SWR reading to the auxiliary "Atten dB" scale by placing the point of the compass on the center of the scale, and making a short arc on the "atten dB" scale. Note that this SWR corresponds to 3.5 on the "atten dB" scale.

Since the line loss is 2 dB, reset the drawing compass to 1.5 on the "atten dB" scale. This is in the "toward load" direction on the scale, which is intuitively correct because we know that the SWR at the load (antenna) will be higher than at the generator. Draw a new SWR circle on the Smith chart. This new circle corresponds to a load-end SWR of about 5.6:1

Draw a straight line from the chart's prime center to the wavelength scale, through the 0.6 - j0.65 ohms point previously plotted. It should intersect the wavelength scale at 0.112 on the "towards load" scale (the inner wavelength scale), which is 0.338 on the "towards generator" scale (the outer wavelength scale).

Since the electrical length of the coax is more than 0.5 wavelength, subtract an integral number of half waves from the coax length. The result is 0.3 wavelengths of feedline. Add 0.3 to 0.112 to get 0.412 wavelength. On the chart, follow the "towards load" (inner) wavelength scale counter-clockwise exactly 0.3 wavelengths to 0.412 wavelengths on the inner scale (0.088 on the outer scale)
Mark this point (0.412) on the wavelength scale.

Draw a line from prime center to the point just plotted on the wavelength scale (0.412 wavelengths on the inner scale). Note the point where this new line crosses the second (5.6:1) SWR circle - it should be at the intersection of the 0.25 resistance circle and the 0.6 inductive reactance circle. The normalized antenna impedance is thus 025 + j0.6 ohms

Multiply the normalized antenna impedance by 50 to get 12.5 + j30 ohms antenna feedpoint impedance.

Answer: 12.5 + j30 ohms antenna feedpoint impedance, SWR of 2.6:1 at the generator end and 5.6:1 at the antenna end.

N2EY

5700. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:12:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8F47A.F59A4E91@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Some rights? Man, we didn't
> > have many rights left to shove
> > aside.
>
> We don't???? What happened to
> yours? MIne are still intact.

Dick, if you truly believe that, then you simply don't understand what your rights were.

> Oh, we could just protest and raise
> hell until officials cancel all the
> protective meassures and let the
> terrorists have their way with us.
> Lotsa luck getting any 'freedomns'
> from them.

Dick, I'm all for a reasonable defense. However, I do not see how most of the things I listed are going to increase security for anyone (the terrorists didn't attack a small town shopping mall or bus station). Therefore, I see no legitimate reason for those things.

Dwight Stewart

5701. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:19:10 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8F610.F325A9CB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21944

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Mark my words...

And they are words so well written there is nothing left to say.
Thank you, Kim.

Dwight Stewart

5702. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:30:43 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <nN6y7.15585$0Z6.1276757@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21946
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC8E8AA.E2BEC1B3@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:

> The "next" nuke strike? I must have missed something. Where did the
>first one come from?
>
Technically, the first ones were in Japan a few years ago. Now whether
he was referencing those or not, I don't know.

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5703. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:30:46 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <qN6y7.15586$0Z6.1276757@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21947
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC8E7AC.6F722370@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:
>Kurt Ullman wrote:
>>
>> Depends on what kind of nukes we
>> use and which way the wind blows.
>> Theatre nukes you can blow a bunch
>> of tanks to smithereens and have
>> your own troops on the other side
>> of a hill not even feel the breeze.
>
>
> No need to repeat yourself, Kurt. We heard you the first time. ;-)
>
Hiccup...

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5704. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 02:33:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC8F977.3261DDEA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21948

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> So many words, so little said. You
> and Dwight are peas in a pod. One
> sincerely hopes the pod withered
> before it created too many of you who
> fail to understand what it takes to
> preserve our system of free government.
> That is, that we all must sacrifice
> in times of severe external
> stress, - war.

Who said, Dick? And who decides on what those sacrifices must be? If you say the government, then you truly have no understanding of what this country is supposed to be about - why we are different than those other countries where government controls the citizens.

Besides, I'm all for sacrifice when it serves the legitimate needs of national defense. i just don't see how the loss of my political freedoms in this situation is a national defense need.

> If you think that the American public
> sould ever stand still for permanent
> withdrawal of personal freedom you
> have a severe misapprehension of
> determination your countrymen. That
> was settled at Concord and Lexington.

Lexington and Concord was a long time ago, Dick. And the people today are not the same people as those at Lexington and Concord - the people at Lexington and Concord understood the value of those rights and did not give them up so easily (even at Lexington and Concord).

Dwight Stewart

5705. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 03:11:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC90236.4F9674AB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21949

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Dwight, for all our differences, I
> think you have always at least been
> human. I cannot believe the
> sentiments I am beginning to hear in
> peoples' tones across this country.
> Within a month, maybe two at most,
> there will be the original great
> divide of the 60s and 70s with all
> of us at each others' throats,
> measuring who is more patriotic and
> who deserves to "go live with them."
> It is the Dick Carrolls of the world
> that make the concept of the United
> States ever being a truly democratic
> and free nation such a damned f***ing
> joke. And you know I don't generally
> use that language. It is called for
> here.

I know it is frustrating, Kim. But there will always be people perfectly willing to walk off a cliff. So many in this country think all the bad things we hear about in those other countries (no freedoms, military control, neighbor against neighbor, etc) cannot happen here. They seem to think there is some magic force in place to prevent all that. They cannot understand that the only way this country is going to remain a great, unique, country is for each and every one of us to fight tooth and nail for it to stay that way - we are that magic force that prevents this country from turning into something horrible. Therefore, each of us has a mandate to challenge every instance of a move in that direction. If we don't, trust me when I say there is nothing else out there to prevent this country from turning into a place none of us would want to live in. Sadly, there will always be people who will not understand that. All we can do about that is to reach out to those who can understand and hope there is enough of them to make the difference.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5706. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 03:23:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BC9050F.C38AA1D9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21950
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kurt Ullman wrote:
>
> Technically, the first ones were
> in Japan a few years ago. Now whether
> he was referencing those or not, I
> don't know.

Actually, I was just being intentionally fatuous, Kurt. The way he wrote it made it sound like he was talking solely about strikes on the US (which was probably not his intent).

Dwight Stewart

5707. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 03:39:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb1di$q3d$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 22001

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Why use NATO aircraft to patrol
> > > the skies of the United States,
> > > Dick? Don't we already have AWACS
> > > aircraft for that purpose?
> >
> > Because they are in use over Afghanistan.
>
> We have a number of AWACS aircraft, JJ. And our military is oriented
> around a two to three engagement strategy. Therefore, we would never
> send all of any single type of aircraft to a single conflict. In other
> words, there are AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground right now here
> in the US. Again, why aren't those aircraft patrolling out skies
> instead of NATO aircraft?

That's not what the President says. So do we believe you over the
President?
And just how has that withdrawn any of your freedoms?
You and Kim need to get together and have a good cry.

5708. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 03:44:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb1mi$rc8$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 22002

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > So many words, so little said. You
> > and Dwight are peas in a pod. One
> > sincerely hopes the pod withered
> > before it created too many of you who
> > fail to understand what it takes to
> > preserve our system of free government.
> > That is, that we all must sacrifice
> > in times of severe external
> > stress, - war.
>
> Who said, Dick? And who decides on what those sacrifices must be? If
> you say the government, then you truly have no understanding of what
> this country is supposed to be about - why we are different than those
> other countries where government controls the citizens.
>

And YOU, pal, have no understanding of how we have been able to hold
all this together for well over two centuries.

> Besides, I'm all for sacrifice when it serves the legitimate needs
> of national defense. i just don't see how the loss of my political
> freedoms in this situation is a national defense need.
>
> > If you think that the American public
> > sould ever stand still for permanent
> > withdrawal of personal freedom you
> > have a severe misapprehension of
> > determination your countrymen. That
> > was settled at Concord and Lexington.
>
> Lexington and Concord was a long time ago, Dick. And the people
> today are not the same people as those at Lexington and Concord - the
> people at Lexington and Concord understood the value of those rights
> and did not give them up so easily (even at Lexington and Concord).
>

You and Kim are experts at laying out the verbiage without saying
anything meaningful. There have always been plenty of malcontents who do
all the whining and protesting while the do-ers take care of the problem
at hand.
The difference is recognizing what needs to be done and doing it, as

opposed to all the "woe is me" crap.

5709. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 04:14:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BC9023A.374A5FE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 22003

Really, where are they? You have some inside information that can substantiate that claim?

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> In other
> words, there are AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground right now here
> in the US.

5710. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 04:25:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb44a$5e4$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 22004

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Dwight, for all our differences, I
> > think you have always at least been
> > human. I cannot believe the
> > sentiments I am beginning to hear in
> > peoples' tones across this country.
> > Within a month, maybe two at most,
> > there will be the original great
> > divide of the 60s and 70s with all
> > of us at each others' throats,
> > measuring who is more patriotic and
> > who deserves to "go live with them."
> > It is the Dick Carrolls of the world
> > that make the concept of the United
> > States ever being a truly democratic
> > and free nation such a damned f***ing
> > joke. And you know I don't generally
> > use that language. It is called for
> > here.
>
> I know it is frustrating, Kim. But there will always be people
> perfectly willing to walk off a cliff. So many in this country think
> all the bad things we hear about in those other countries (no
> freedoms, military control, neighbor against neighbor, etc) cannot
> happen here. They seem to think there is some magic force in place to
> prevent all that. They cannot understand that the only way this
> country is going to remain a great, unique, country is for each and
> every one of us to fight tooth and nail for it to stay that way - we
> are that magic force that prevents this country from turning into
> something horrible. Therefore, each of us has a mandate to challenge
> every instance of a move in that direction. If we don't, trust me when
> I say there is nothing else out there to prevent this country from
> turning into a place none of us would want to live in. Sadly, there
> will always be people who will not understand that. All we can do
> about that is to reach out to those who can understand and hope there
> is enough of them to make the difference.
>

Yeah, RIGHT! What we need is a few million professional hand wringers
to keep us free!

RETCH!!!!!

5711. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 04:26:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb44t$5e4$1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 22005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > Some rights? Man, we didn't
> > > have many rights left to shove
> > > aside.
> >
> > We don't???? What happened to
> > yours? MIne are still intact.
>
> Dick, if you truly believe that, then you simply don't understand
> what your rights were.
>

So name some of my rights that gave been withdrawn since Sept 11. Be
specific, now.

> > Oh, we could just protest and raise
> > hell until officials cancel all the
> > protective meassures and let the
> > terrorists have their way with us.
> > Lotsa luck getting any 'freedomns'
> > from them.
>
> Dick, I'm all for a reasonable defense. However, I do not see how
> most of the things I listed are going to increase security for anyone
> (the terrorists didn't attack a small town shopping mall or bus
> station). Therefore, I see no legitimate reason for those things.
>
> Dwight Stewart

5712. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 04:27:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_u8y7.59145$WW.3037153@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22006

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9qb1mi$rc8$0@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > So many words, so little said. You
> > > and Dwight are peas in a pod. One
> > > sincerely hopes the pod withered
> > > before it created too many of you who
> > > fail to understand what it takes to
> > > preserve our system of free government.
> > > That is, that we all must sacrifice
> > > in times of severe external
> > > stress, - war.
> >
> > Who said, Dick? And who decides on what those sacrifices must be? If
> > you say the government, then you truly have no understanding of what
> > this country is supposed to be about - why we are different than those
> > other countries where government controls the citizens.
> >
>
> And YOU, pal, have no understanding of how we have been able to hold
> all this together for well over two centuries.
>
>
> > Besides, I'm all for sacrifice when it serves the legitimate needs
> > of national defense. i just don't see how the loss of my political
> > freedoms in this situation is a national defense need.
> >
> > > If you think that the American public
> > > sould ever stand still for permanent
> > > withdrawal of personal freedom you
> > > have a severe misapprehension of
> > > determination your countrymen. That
> > > was settled at Concord and Lexington.
> >
> > Lexington and Concord was a long time ago, Dick. And the people
> > today are not the same people as those at Lexington and Concord - the
> > people at Lexington and Concord understood the value of those rights
> > and did not give them up so easily (even at Lexington and Concord).
> >

>
> You and Kim are experts at laying out the verbiage without saying
> anything meaningful. There have always been plenty of malcontents who do
> all the whining and protesting while the do-ers take care of the problem
> at hand.
> The difference is recognizing what needs to be done and doing it, as
> opposed to all the "woe is me" crap.

Richard, our age is showing. Being too old to be a boomer, I noticed the shift in outlook in college about 1963. The difference in outlook is striking. This is a generation that was never under the threat of being shot at, never lived thru the unending idocy of HUAC and the 50s in general, and panics at a cheap and incredibly lucky caper. The Boomers are without a doubt the most useless generation this country ever produced and these are their children: appearances are everything, facts are unrelated, and personal responsibility as unknown as the back side of the moon. As Mike Royko put it, $200 haircuts on $1.98 heads.

I am reminded of a bet I made with one such dear lady that she could not get thru a dinner conversation without a collective noun. She couldn't do it; some evil of 'Society' caused an eruption after about 12 minutes.

There is hope. A local high school announced community service for its students with the predictable reaction, "When did I get arrested?" but some smaller groups both hacked the computer system and issued a letter withdrawing it and burglarized the pool the nite before the state tournament putting a load of industrial strength TidyBowl in the pool turning it a nice deep blue. Neither caper resulted in any damage to property. Yes!!! Maximum consternation with minimum property damage, no petitions or torchlite parades, no touchy-feely bullshit, and everyone shut up and graduated. I see a generation coming up that will make the 60s look tame by comparison; I just hope I am around to see it.

Time to dig out my Bobby Dylan tapes!

Dick NBK

5713. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 04:29:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb4ah$5vu$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 22007
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Gee. What a patriot. Tired of
> > resisting the enemies of our
> > freedoms while insisting on
> > receiving the most detailed,
> > even trivial benefits deriving
> > therefrom. And I understand
> > you made a career of working for
> > the military. What a surprise.
>
> That's right, Dick. I enlisted in the Army in 1970, during the
> Vietnam War. And I've worked on and off for the military ever since.
> But that still doesn't change the fact that I'm tired of war. I've
> lived through too many of them.

I guess you can always give it up, if you're so miserable living with
it.

I've read about too many people dying.
> I've seen the pictures of too many people suffering. And I've seen way
> too much destruction. But I don't understand those feelings that would
> affect my status as a patriot. Does one have to like war to be a
> patriot? Is there no room for anything else?

You sure are good at whining about it, whatever it is that has you
feeling inadequate du jour.
>
> Dwight Stewart

5714. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 04:30:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb4d2$5vu$1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 22008
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

redrum wrote:
>
> >
> >> BTW, this *IS* war. You do know about
> >> war, don't you???
> >
> >
> > Yes, Dick, I've seen this country involved in nine of them (wars) in
> >my lifetime (not counting the "cold" war). And, to be perfectly
> >honest, I'm getting darn tired of it.
>
> Well, so are we. Unfortunately, the other guys still get a kick out of
> it, so we really have no choice.

Seems pretty clear to most of us. It's either kick ass or bend over.

5715. Taco Bell: CQ = chicken quesidella

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 05:06:38 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BC91D5E.490E6FA5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22009

:-)

5716. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 05:07:01 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC91D75.9020602@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22010

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So name some of my rights that gave been withdrawn since Sept 11. Be
> specific, now.
If some people have been tossed off airplanes simply because of

the way they look, you have lost some of your rights, Dick,

because you can be tossed off airplanes for the way you look.

Think about it.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5717. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 06:03:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qb9rb$nb6$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 22011

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > So name some of my rights that gave been withdrawn since Sept 11. Be
> > specific, now.
> If some people have been tossed off airplanes simply because of
>
> the way they look, you have lost some of your rights, Dick,
>
> because you can be tossed off airplanes for the way you look.
>
> Think about it.
>

I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of fears that some of them may have been subversives. And some of them may in fact have been. The normal rules of daily life in the USA have to be tightened in wartime, lest we find ourselves defeated by our own demands for more freedom than survive wars. I would have thought that any thinking person who has made any effort whatever to stay abreast of history and current events would be familiar with that. None of us like it but some of us understand it.
You accuse me of being paranoid about the ARS' future. That's small potatoes beside your fears. I know that sacrifices must be made, they always have been in wartime. This is no exception. Just more insidious this time than most earlier wars. I can guarantee that no majority of Americans would ever accept totalitarism, and that is why things will go back to normal as always when this is over, long time that it may be. The alternative is far more unpleasant to contemplate.

5718. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 11:19:55 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1633849d183a2f459896a9@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22012

In article <3BC9023A.374A5FE@mailcity.com>, radiobuff@mailcity.com
says...
> Really, where are they? You have some inside information that can
> substantiate that claim?
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > In other
> > words, there are AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground right now here
> > in the US.

McGuire AFB in southern NJ has about 10 sitting on the tarmac as of this
weekend now. You can see them from the main hiway that passes next to
the base. They also have a pot load of KC10 tankers

Pretty interesting to watch them take off

5719. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 11:56:45 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc97f35_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22013

Except for the fact that so many were killed this time, there is no difference in *anything* about the WTC than any other incident around the world. There's always been terrorism, there always will be. The price we pay for our freedom in this country is *sometimes* things like what happened at the WTC. The price we pay for our freedoms is letting people run around who have outlandish ideas that are allowed to express them. Why have you been so stirred to action (I mean, after all, you have volunteered to re-serve in your country's military haven't you?) this time around. Is it more worth "defending freedom" because 5,000 people died, rather than the 250 who died the last time at the WTC? What government shall we go and conquer to rid this world of this nasty stuff? What government, Dick? And, if not a government that we fight, then who?

A parallel to this whole thing would be why haven't you, or the United States government, or anyone, ever been so stirred by the incessant effects of drugs on the world--an "incident" with a death count 1,000X the death count of that at the WTC. A little more investigation to that whole concept leads to the same arguments. What government shall we go and conquer to rid this world of this nasty stuff? And, if not a government that we fight, then who?

Your thinking is quite convoluted.

Since there's many of us on the "other" side of your thoughts, Dick, and you're having such a wonderful time as labeling us as fanatics or paranoids, why don't you give us an idea of what you're thinking "the alternative" is? What alternative? What conclusions has your racey little mind gotten to? Hmmm? And, the next question would be why is your foregone conclusion so much more valid? Hmmm?

Thought so.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9qb9rb$nb6$0@208.206.142.66...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > So name some of my rights that gave been withdrawn since Sept 11. Be
> > > specific, now.
> > If some people have been tossed off airplanes simply because of
> >

> > the way they look, you have lost some of your rights, Dick,
> >
> > because you can be tossed off airplanes for the way you look.
> >
> > Think about it.
> >
>
> I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this
> IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of
> fears that some of them may have been subversives. And some of them may
> in fact have been. The normal rules of daily life in the USA have to be
> tightened in wartime, lest we find ourselves defeated by our own demands
> for more freedom than survive wars. I would have thought that any
> thinking person who has made any effort whatever to stay abreast of
> history and current events would be familiar with that. None of us like
> it but some of us understand it.
> You accuse me of being paranoid about the ARS' future. That's small
> potatoes beside your fears. I know that sacrifices must be made, they
> always have been in wartime. This is no exception. Just more insidious
> this time than most earlier wars. I can guarantee that no majority of
> Americans would ever accept totalitarism, and that is why things will go
> back to normal as always when this is over, long time that it may be.
> The alternative is far more unpleasant to contemplate.

5720. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 13:34:08 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1633a3e8f09231e19896aa@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22014

In article <3bc97f35_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
Why have you
> been so stirred to action (I mean, after all, you have volunteered to
> re-serve in your country's military haven't you?) this time around. Is it
> more worth "defending freedom" because 5,000 people died, rather than the
> 250 who died the last time at the WTC? \

Still, loss of life is nothing to sneeze at but the number was 9, not
250.

Just wait there Kimmie, till it hits in your backyard. You'll think
different. You remind mew of the pinhead Iowa goober who stated, just
after everything happen, that it was a NY problem and an East Coats
problem and that "they" should have to deal with it. Mark my words,
you'll change your mind when the next round, and there will be a next
round, directly affects YOU.

Why not come to NYC and attend a few memorials and tell the families that
they should not support the "reduction" of a few rights.

Until then, go back to monitoring 2 meters and checking call signs to
make sure that everyone using the repeater is a licensed amateur. Stay
out of discussions that showcase you total ignorance of the issues.

If only they were brains (Ernst Blofeld referring to Jill St John's
Breasts in "Diamonds are Forever"

5721. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 13:46:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qc4v2$710$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 22015

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

> > You and Kim are experts at laying out the verbiage without saying
> > anything meaningful. There have always been plenty of malcontents who do
> > all the whining and protesting while the do-ers take care of the problem
> > at hand.
> > The difference is recognizing what needs to be done and doing it, as
> > opposed to all the "woe is me" crap.
>
> Richard, our age is showing. Being too old to be a boomer, I noticed the
> shift in outlook in college about 1963. The difference in outlook is
> striking. This is a generation that was never under the threat of being
> shot at, never lived thru the unending idocy of HUAC and the 50s in general,
> and panics at a cheap and incredibly lucky caper. The Boomers are without a
> doubt the most useless generation this country ever produced and these are
> their children: appearances are everything, facts are unrelated, and
> personal responsibility as unknown as the back side of the moon. As Mike
> Royko put it, $200 haircuts on $1.98 heads.
>
> I am reminded of a bet I made with one such dear lady that she could not get
> thru a dinner conversation without a collective noun. She couldn't do it;
> some evil of 'Society' caused an eruption after about 12 minutes.
>
> There is hope. A local high school announced community service for its
> students with the predictable reaction, "When did I get arrested?" but some
> smaller groups both hacked the computer system and issued a letter
> withdrawing it and burglarized the pool the nite before the state tournament
> putting a load of industrial strength TidyBowl in the pool turning it a nice
> deep blue. Neither caper resulted in any damage to property. Yes!!!
> Maximum consternation with minimum property damage, no petitions or
> torchlite parades, no touchy-feely bullshit, and everyone shut up and
> graduated. I see a generation coming up that will make the 60s look tame by
> comparison; I just hope I am around to see it.
>
> Time to dig out my Bobby Dylan tapes!

Good analysis, Dick. I sure hope their numbers don't grow any further,
and like you I see hope for the future generation. I have a 14 year old
grandson who has for years been determined to go to the AF academy and
fly F-16's, and I do believe he'll make it if he doesn't stumble over
something unexpected enroute. He has the brains for it. And I told him
the same thing I told his mother and her siblings when they were his age
- "When all the BS and politics settle out, there has to be humans still

standing who are willing and able to do what has to be done. Your mission is to be one of those people."

Dick EX

5722. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 13:53:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qc5dn$867$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 22016

You poor girl, why don't you crawl a little further into your hidey hole while you read the rest of this, and maybe you won't feel quite so threatened?

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Except for the fact that so many were killed this time, there is no
> difference in *anything* about the WTC than any other incident around the
> world. There's always been terrorism, there always will be.

You have no real idea what happened on Sept 11, do you? It flew right past you, to no ones surprise. The terrorists actually had a failing day considering what their total plans for us were. Why do you think the government immediatley grounded every one of the airliners that were then in the air? How many other crashes into facilites or other buildings do you think that helped prevent? Where is your head in all this? Paralyzed by fear? Do you really think that this was "just another terrorist incident", one which we must expect since we are the world's mose envied society? Wow! You and Dwight really should get together and compare more notes.

5723. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 13:56:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qc5hl$867$1@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 22017

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> In article <3BC9023A.374A5FE@mailcity.com>, radiobuff@mailcity.com
> says...
> > Really, where are they? You have some inside information that can
> > substantiate that claim?
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > In other
> > > words, there are AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground right now here
> > > in the US.
>
> McGuire AFB in southern NJ has about 10 sitting on the tarmac as of this
> weekend now. You can see them from the main hiway that passes next to
> the base. They also have a pot load of KC10 tankers
>
> Pretty interesting to watch them take off

What this should tell us is that there are plans afoot. Plans to use them in places other that the area around Mcguire. If I was Saddam Hussien I'd be getting nervous about now.

5724. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 14:02:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC99AF0.2030709@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22018

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this
> IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of
> fears that some of them may have been subversives.

Notice the similarity to the Nazi's attitudes toward the Jews
during WWII. Either all citizens are free or none are free, Dick.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5725. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 14:04:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qc627$9j1$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 22019

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> In article <3bc97f35_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> Why have you
> > been so stirred to action (I mean, after all, you have volunteered to
> > re-serve in your country's military haven't you?) this time around. Is it
> > more worth "defending freedom" because 5,000 people died, rather than the
> > 250 who died the last time at the WTC? \
>
> Still, loss of life is nothing to sneeze at but the number was 9, not
> 250.
>
> Just wait there Kimmie, till it hits in your backyard. You'll think
> different. You remind mew of the pinhead Iowa goober who stated, just
> after everything happen, that it was a NY problem and an East Coats
> problem and that "they" should have to deal with it. Mark my words,
> you'll change your mind when the next round, and there will be a next
> round, directly affects YOU.
>
> Why not come to NYC and attend a few memorials and tell the families that
> they should not support the "reduction" of a few rights.
>
> Until then, go back to monitoring 2 meters and checking call signs to
> make sure that everyone using the repeater is a licensed amateur. Stay
> out of discussions that showcase you total ignorance of the issues.
>
> If only they were brains (Ernst Blofeld referring to Jill St John's
> Breasts in "Diamonds are Forever")

The one thing we do know for sure is that they are not.

Last time I was in New York was shortly before WTC was built. I was
discharged from the army at Fort Hamilton, Brooklyn after getting off
the boat from Europe. Before that I was stationed within commuting
distance at Fort Monmouth for a couple years, and before that on a NIKE
site near Fort Dix/Mcguire. I enjoyed that area.
I imagine things are much changed. And now they've changed again.

5726. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 14:44:48 UTC
From: kurtullman@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <Axhy7.16823$7B1.1319119@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22020
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3BC9050F.C38AA1D9@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:
>Kurt Ullman wrote:
>>
>> Technically, the first ones were
>> in Japan a few years ago. Now whether
>> he was referencing those or not, I
>> don't know.
>
>
> Actually, I was just being intentionally fatuous, Kurt. The way he
>wrote it made it sound like he was talking solely about strikes on the
>US (which was probably not his intent).
>
Me, too.... Is there a smiley for intentionally fatuous??

"He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather than illumination."
Andrew Lang

5727. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 18:09:29 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc9db58_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22021

My sentiment expressed in my posts should not be translated to my thinking that this is "someone else's problem." Far from that--it is all our problem. If you took my comments to have me thinking I don't care, rethink that because I do.

My basic observation is that there is and always will be terrorism and that there is no difference in the act whether it is 1 or a thousand killed, or whether it happens on US "soil" or overseas. It matters not to me whether a terrorist incident happens in Germany, Israel, Afghanistan, Iraq, NYC, Dallas, or Iowa. It is still a horrendous crime against humanity.

I wholeheartedly agree with the military action we are currently taking, and would agree with any military action deemed to be appropriate to battle this thing called terrorism. I even agree with stricter "access" procedures at places like airports, borders, train stations, bus stations, etc., along with public access buildings and my workplace. Those are all places where "we" volunteer to abide by rules we may not agree with because they are public access, or workplace access.

I wholeheartedly *DO NOT* agree with or support *any* agency, government, or individual being able to listen in on conversations I have on the telephone, or through emails, or whatever. I do not agree with or support *any* move the President, military, or any other leader takes to censor what my Congress knows or what the media knows and shows. I do not agree with or support the longer detention of people who are not charged with a crime. I certainly do not agree with rounding up people based on their nationality (as we did with the Japanese and as someone here in this NG seemed to support all Muslims be rounded up and detained). There's more I do not agree with. Will it matter to me if it "comes to my backyard?" It may be you, yourself, who designs opinions and a way of life that suits your particular needs--but I do not. In case you didn't know, NYC is a part of the United States. And, you speak out of ignorance for how do you know that I have not been "personally" affected by the tragedy in NYC?

I will tell anyone, family members of people who died in this tragedy or not, my opinion. As I stated, I don't create and hold opinions because they are easy for me to have--that must be yourself you are confused with. You ignore the comments of a victim's wife who did not wish a military response to this tragedy--and I am sure there are others who are family members of victims who battle internally with what their opinion is about losing personal freedoms.

So, Jack Mehoff, go back to jacking why don't you. You're obviously good at stroking yourself, and only yourself.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Jack Mehoff - W6LHA" <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:MPG.1633a3e8f09231e19896aa@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <3bc97f35_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> Why have you
> > been so stirred to action (I mean, after all, you have volunteered to
> > re-serve in your country's military haven't you?) this time around. Is
it
> > more worth "defending freedom" because 5,000 people died, rather than
the
> > 250 who died the last time at the WTC? \
>
>
> Still, loss of life is nothing to sneeze at but the number was 9, not
> 250.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Just wait there Kimmie, till it hits in your backyard. You'll think
> different. You remind mew of the pinhead Iowa goober who stated, just
> after everything happen, that it was a NY problem and an East Coats
> problem and that "they" should have to deal with it. Mark my words,
> you'll change your mind when the next round, and there will be a next
> round, directly affects YOU.
>
> Why not come to NYC and attend a few memorials and tell the families that
> they should not support the "reduction" of a few rights.
>
> Until then, go back to monitoring 2 meters and checking call signs to
> make sure that everyone using the repeater is a licensed amateur. Stay
> out of discussions that showcase you total ignorance of the issues.
>
>
> If only they were brains (Ernst Blofeld referring to Jill St John's
> Breasts in "Diamonds are Forever"

5728. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 18:12:04 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc9db59_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22022

Thank you, Cecil. Exactly.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BC99AF0.2030709@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this
> > IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of
> > fears that some of them may have been subversives.
>
> Notice the similarity to the Nazi's attitudes toward the Jews
> during WWII. Either all citizens are free or none are free, Dick.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5729. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 18:24:01 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bc9db5a_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22023

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9qc5dn$867$0@208.206.142.72...
> You poor girl, why don't you crawl a little further into your hidey
> hole while you read the rest of this, and maybe you won't feel quite so
> threatened?
>

THREATENED? In what way, exactly, do you think I feel threatened, you
moron?

> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Except for the fact that so many were killed this time, there is no
> > difference in *anything* about the WTC than any other incident around
the
> > world. There's always been terrorism, there always will be.
>
>
> You have no real idea what happened on Sept 11, do you? It flew right
> past you, to no ones surprise. The terrorists actually had a failing day
> considering what their total plans for us were.
>

They had quite a success at the WTC, Dick, and I think they'll count any
deaths they caused as a success. I don't think they are worried about
counting numbers. They are a patient, cunning, and determined enemy.

>
> Why do you think the
> government immediatley grounded every one of the airliners that were
> then in the air? How many other crashes into facilites or other
> buildings do you think that helped prevent?

We know at least one, that of the plane heading for whatever target it was
heading for when it was downed in PA. I applaud that the FAA immediately
grounded all planes, Dick. What exactly are you failing to understand about
what I am saying?

>
> Where is your head in all
> this? Paralyzed by fear? Do you really think that this was "just another
> terrorist incident",
>

Yeah, I do, Dick. It was just another among many. Which one would you like
me to call the most important, the most significant? You obviously have

something in mind. Which one, Dick, is the big one? I'd say the attack on our Marines was pretty big, I'd say the daily terrorist assaults in the Middle East have totaled several thousand deaths when we add them all up. Yeah, this was just another one--among many. I ask you again, Dick, which one would you like me to call the most important?

>
> one which we must expect since we are the world's
> mose envied society?
>

You have a grave misperception if you believe the world thinks "we" are to be envied.

>
> Wow! You and Dwight really should get together and
> compare more notes.
>

I can't speak for Dwight but I am having hysterical laughter over your interpretation of what you believe is good and just--and that you think everyone ought to think just like you while you dare to utter anything that remotely resembles anything to do with the spirit of freedom. As I've implied of you before, Dick, your idea of freedom--which would probably have people like me and Dwight inside the WTC when it went down--is one I can certainly decide to live without.

Fear? Threatened? You are pathetically malnourished in your brain cells.

Kim W5TIT

5730. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 18:55:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011014145549.14725.00000824@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 22024

In article <3BC99AF0.2030709@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this
>> IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of
>> fears that some of them may have been subversives.
>
>Notice the similarity to the Nazi's attitudes toward the Jews
>during WWII.

I note no similarity at all. The Nazi attitude towards Jews and several other groups was that they were to be totally exterminated. There was no such attitude by the US Govt. towards Japanese Americans during WW2.

There's a big difference between an internment camp and an extermination camp.

Oddly enough, the internment of Japanese Americans (most of them citizens) was on the West Coast. There was no internment in Hawaii. And there was not a single documented case of sabotage or espionage by a Japanese American during the entire war.

>Either all citizens are free or none are free, Dick.

No citizen is free in a country that is under siege.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5731. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 19:14:07 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3uly7.108368$3d2.3191779@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Too bad they don't manufacture transceivers. I'd like to see them get their equipment certificated by the FCC with a lot of 'secret' responses to questions. Gee, why does my antenna show a 4 to 1 SWR with their radidio when it is 1.2 to 1 with my other rig? Ahhh ... secrets. Didn't stand up too well to your knife, did they?

73 from Rochester, NY (where the 10 meter port on the megaplex repeater has been really humming lately)

Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gl1pec2.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
>
> Recently, at the request of the local cable company, I have tried to
> get the shielding and attenuation specifications for a RG6-u
> coaxial cable prominently marked "Wan Lung" as sold by a local
> quite-reputable electronics parts dealer.
>
> Wan Lung Cable, a division of Neotech in Taiwan, has said in two
> e-mail messages that their cable specifications are "secret file"
> and have refused to supply the above information.
>
> I recommend that all here do not buy or use cables made by Wan Lung
> for any application, as they apparently constitute "mystery meat".
>
> Wait 'till I post this to the SBE and SCTE boards........ <ggg>
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

5732. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 19:26:31 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qcosg$7qf$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22026
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight....were you a long haired budda bag carrying hippie? If not, you
missed your calling...Hurry, you can go join a peace demonstration.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC8E8AA.E2BEC1B3@sccoast.net...
> Daniel Jeswald wrote:
> >
> > As for fallout. Time it on a good
> > wind day.
>
>
> Look at the wind currents - there isn't a good wind day.
>
>
> > Look Dwight, this is a war between
> > East and West. If you think otherwise
> > then Id suggest you get a fallout
> > shelter.
>
>
> So if I don't think otherwise, I don't need a fallout shelter?
>
>
> > Because the next nuke strike will be
> > coming from the East. And it won't
> > be Russian.
>
>
> The "next" nuke strike? I must have missed something. Where did the
> first one come from?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

5733. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 19:27:14 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qcotq$sc2$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22027
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep, I can see Kurt has a handle on things. Unlike some folks here.

Dan/W4NTI

"Kurt Ullman" <kurtullman@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:nN6y7.15585$0Z6.1276757@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> In article <3BC8E8AA.E2BEC1B3@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:
>
> > The "next" nuke strike? I must have missed something. Where did the
> >first one come from?
> >
> Technically, the first ones were in Japan a few years ago. Now whether
> he was referencing those or not, I don't know.
>
> ----------
> "He uses statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts--for support rather
than illumination."
> Andrew Lang

5734. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 20:07:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BC9F067.5000705@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22028

N2EY wrote:

> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> I note no similarity at all.

The similarity is that both groups lost their freedoms while other
groups did not.

> There's a big difference between an internment camp and an extermination camp.
Personally, I would rather be dead than locked up.
> No citizen is free in a country that is under siege.
I can't recall a time when the US wasn't under siege by someone,

First the Axis Powers, then The Soviet Union, the drug lords,
and now the terrorists. Actually, we have been under siege by
the terrorists for a number of years.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5735. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 20:11:37 UTC
From: gariac <fake@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tsjsbtb9s738ea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

The majority of cordless phone batteries are trickled charged, so there should be no problem with floor sweeping batteries other than the cheaper ones have a tendancy to get dendrites. If you can find a vendor that uses Sanyo batteries, that should not be a problem. Sometimes the junky vendors can't weld to the battery worth a damn, i.e. the tab lift right off.

One of the better battery sources is http://www.batterystore.com/ , which is really TNR technical. They will do custom battery packs if you provide the artwork.

"SoCal Tom" <chupacabra@vampire.boo> wrote in message news:qRQx7.888$k82.1055583@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net...

> I won't argue your points, but my customers gripe when I tell them the phone
> battery is going to cost them $10. The cordless phone (as opposed to cell
> phone) batteries I sell are so low tech that they are one step above the
> usual alkaline cells. They're general purpose NiCads that have been heat
> shrink wrapped with a label glued on. I split one package apart and found
> nothing but NiCads and jumper wires. Nothing high tech about it, unless
> there is something special I'm not aware of with the heat shrink packaging.
> --
> SoCal Tom
>
> Irritating people who need to be irritated.
>
>
>
>
>

5736. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 20:16:43 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1634019b9301327c9896ab@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22030

In article <3bc9db58_1@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says
>
> My basic observation is that there is and always will be terrorism and that
> there is no difference in the act whether it is 1 or a thousand killed, or
> whether it happens on US "soil" or overseas. It matters not to me whether a
> terrorist incident happens in Germany, Israel, Afghanistan, Iraq, NYC,
> Dallas, or Iowa. It is still a horrendous crime against humanity.

Granted. My comment was made because you "distorted" the facts by a
factor of 240+.

>
> I wholeheartedly agree with the military action we are currently taking, and
> would agree with any military action deemed to be appropriate to battle this
> thing called terrorism. I even agree with stricter "access" procedures at
> places like airports, borders, train stations, bus stations, etc., along
> with public access buildings and my workplace. Those are all places where
> "we" volunteer to abide by rules we may not agree with because they are
> public access, or workplace access.
>
> I wholeheartedly *DO NOT* agree with or support *any* agency, government, or
> individual being able to listen in on conversations I have on the telephone,
> or through emails, or whatever.

Sure, only provide a couple of the tools to fight. Why not have the
military shoot blanks. Whas a matter TIT's, got something to hide? If
not, why the fear? These friends of yours love people like you. They
use the Internet, the mail, the phones to co-ordinate and you'd allow
them to continue with no resistance. Clueless girl!!!!

I do not agree with or support *any* move
> the President, military, or any other leader takes to censor what my
> Congress knows or what the media knows and shows.

Gee, then you agree that this knowledge could and might jeopardize
American lives by telegraphing advance happenings. Mighty big of you
TIT's. Perhaps it should extended to say..SPORTS. Let's make sure the
teams have each others play books so there will be no surprise.

In case you didn't know, NYC is a part of
> the United States. And, you speak out of ignorance for how do you know that
> I have not been "personally" affected by the tragedy in NYC?

Of course it did TIT's. I'm sure you proudly display the flag outside
your white trash trailer type home. BTW, remember if you leave it
outside, it should be al;l-waether and it should be lite at night. But

you knew that TIT's because you have to have the givernemnet tell you
everything it does.

And how do I klnow..I read you responeses, they telegraph everything.

>
> I will tell anyone, family members of people who died in this tragedy or
> not, my opinion. As I stated, I don't create and hold opinions because they
> are easy for me to have--that must be yourself you are confused with. You
> ignore the comments of a victim's wife who did not wish a military response
> to this tragedy--and I am sure there are others who are family members of
> victims who battle internally with what their opinion is about losing
> personal freedoms.

And which one was that TIT's. So far, every wife who lost their husband
has supported the fight 100%. There is no report of anyone in this
situation who professes a dove attitude

>
> So, Jack Mehoff, go back to jacking why don't you. You're obviously good at
> stroking yourself, and only yourself.

What a pottymouth. Goes through all this to placate an obvious desire to
see us over-run and then this... tsk tsk tsk

With thoughts of you everynight...ohhhhh TIT's oohhhh TIT's retch retch
retch

UUppp, someone onthe repeater who's callsign I don;t recognize...better
go challange them cause that's the TIT's way

ciao cutie

5737. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 20:18:06 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163401ef76064cb39896ac@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22031

In article <3bc9db58_1@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says
>
> My basic observation is that there is and always will be terrorism and that
> there is no difference in the act whether it is 1 or a thousand killed, or
> whether it happens on US "soil" or overseas. It matters not to me whether a
> terrorist incident happens in Germany, Israel, Afghanistan, Iraq, NYC,
> Dallas, or Iowa. It is still a horrendous crime against humanity.

Granted. My comment was made because you "distorted" the facts by a
factor of 240+.

>
> I wholeheartedly agree with the military action we are currently taking, and
> would agree with any military action deemed to be appropriate to battle this
> thing called terrorism. I even agree with stricter "access" procedures at
> places like airports, borders, train stations, bus stations, etc., along
> with public access buildings and my workplace. Those are all places where
> "we" volunteer to abide by rules we may not agree with because they are
> public access, or workplace access.
>
> I wholeheartedly *DO NOT* agree with or support *any* agency, government, or
> individual being able to listen in on conversations I have on the telephone,
> or through emails, or whatever.

Sure, only provide a couple of the tools to fight. Why not have the
military shoot blanks. Whas a matter TIT's, got something to hide? If
not, why the fear? These friends of yours love people like you. They
use the Internet, the mail, the phones to co-ordinate and you'd allow
them to continue with no resistance. Clueless girl!!!!

I do not agree with or support *any* move
> the President, military, or any other leader takes to censor what my
> Congress knows or what the media knows and shows.

Gee, then you agree that this knowledge could and might jeopardize
American lives by telegraphing advance happenings. Mighty big of you
TIT's. Perhaps it should extended to say..SPORTS. Let's make sure the
teams have each others play books so there will be no surprise.

In case you didn't know, NYC is a part of
> the United States. And, you speak out of ignorance for how do you know that
> I have not been "personally" affected by the tragedy in NYC?

Of course it did TIT's. I'm sure you proudly display the flag outside
your white trash trailer type home. BTW, remember if you leave it
outside, it should be all-weather and it should be lite at night. But

you knew that TIT's because you have to have the government tell you
everything it does. I'd bet Ed's lobster you ain;t doing this

And how do I know..I read you respionages, they telegraph everything.

>
> I will tell anyone, family members of people who died in this tragedy or
> not, my opinion. As I stated, I don't create and hold opinions because they
> are easy for me to have--that must be yourself you are confused with. You
> ignore the comments of a victim's wife who did not wish a military response
> to this tragedy--and I am sure there are others who are family members of
> victims who battle internally with what their opinion is about losing
> personal freedoms.

And which one was that TIT's. So far, every wife who lost their husband
has supported the fight 100%. There is no report of anyone in this
situation who professes a dove attitude

>
> So, Jack Mehoff, go back to jacking why don't you. You're obviously good at
> stroking yourself, and only yourself.

What a potty mouth. Goes through all this to placate an obvious desire
to
see us over-run and then this... tsk tsk tsk

With thoughts of you everynight...ohhhhh TIT's oohhhh TIT's retch retch
retch

UUppp, someone on the repeater who's callsign I don;t recognize...better
go challenge them cause that's the TIT's way

ciao cutie

5738. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 20:34:45 UTC
From: adamsrnospam@voyager.net
Message-ID: <3bc9f848$0$30974$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 22032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Thus Spake Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>:

> I see on the news that there are at least 80 portable suitcase sized
>tactical nuclear weapons missing from the former Soviet Union, by some
>accounts all the 148 that they had are now missing. Where do you suppose
>they went?

Most are undoubtedly still in the hands of International arms
brokers, some in the middle-east for use against other enemies, and, I
have no doubt what-so-ever, some are undoubtedly in the US already.

> With a little luck maybe one of those troops snooping around your town
>will catch the nut carrying of one of them before he can set it off.

Sure. All they have to do is conduct a house by house search
of every home in the US, looking into closets, basements, car trunks,
cabinets, etc. In short, all they have to do is take away any rights
we still have left (and, for those who haven't been following the
news, thanks to the passage of the new Senate bill, we have even less
than a week ago) and turn the US into a complete police state.

I'm sure that KGB, the Gestapo, or the Stazi could have easily
located those nukes in their nations--for that matter, given their
border controls, they would never have got there in the first place.
On the other hand, living in a nation such as those that supported
such organizations simply isn't worth the cost of protection. The
founders of this nation would be disgusted by the way politicians are
taking our rights away--rights THEY risked their lives to obtain for
us so we can freely give them away so we don't have to be afraid of
the boogie man!

By the way, don't feel too secure--remember one of the major
targets of the current anti-terrorism terrorism by our government is
to limit communications by terrorists. Don't be surprised if your
rights as a radio amateur are stripped away some day in the interest
of "protecting you from yourself."

A police state isn't the kind of nation I fought for in
Vietnam--and it isn't the kind of nation that many died for in the
Revolution. A lot of Americans feel the same today--it's a shame that
our government and the media are capable of so manipulating the news
that they don't realize how many rights they are losing in the
interest of being "protected."

Rick --- KE8HH

--

adamsrnospam@voyager.net
remove the "nospam" before replying

". . . and the only measure of your worth
and your deeds will be the love you leave
behind when you're gone." --Fred Small

5739. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 20:43:55 UTC
From: adamsrnospam@voyager.net
Message-ID: <3bc9fa6e$0$30974$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 22033

Thus Spake Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>:

> That's not what the President says. So do we believe you over the
>President?

When that President is George W. Bush?

I'd believe almost ANYONE over him.

Simply because a person is President of the United States, it
doesn't mean he is either honest or ethical--only that he knows how to
manipulate the electorate. I assume you feel that a President will
invariably tell the truth--in which case, how do you justify
Monicagate or Watergate?

Bush would lie to further his personal agenda in a
heartbeat--anyone who doubts that need only look at his actions as
Governor of Texas for confirmation.

> And just how has that withdrawn any of your freedoms?

It hasn't.

To me, the thing that bothers me about NATO AWACS patrolling
our borders is that it makes it pretty clear that our attempts at
de-militarization has gone well beyond any reasonable limits. Simply
put, we no longer have a military capable of adequately meeting the
needs of National defense--i.e., we can't simultaneously pursue a
limited war (against a third-world nation with very limited military
equipment or training) and simultaneously patrol our own borders.
THAT, not the fact that NATO is doing it, is what is scary.

> You and Kim need to get together and have a good cry.

If that cry is over lost rights--I think I'll join them.

Rick -- KE8HH

--

adamsrnospam@voyager.net
remove the "nospam" before replying

". . . and the only measure of your worth
and your deeds will be the love you leave
behind when you're gone." --Fred Small

5740. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 21:30:41 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <eb738aa88b29b2b950a098dc83dfaa1f.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22034

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC68494.B21DB629@sccoast.net...

> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

1) Approach ignorant, liberal person talking about peace and saying
there should be "no retaliation"

2) Have a brief conversation with them and ask if military force is
appropriate.

3) When he says, "No." ask him, "Why not?"

4) When he says, "Because that would just cause more innocent deaths,
which would be awful, and we should not cause more violence."

5) Punch him in the face . . . hard.

6) When he gets up to punch you, point out to him that it would be a
mistake to (and contrary to his values) punch you because he
would be causing more violence.

7) When he agrees with you that he has pledged not to commit
violence, punch him in the face again . . . only harder this time.

8) Repeat steps 5 through 7 until he understands that sometimes it is
necessary to punch back

--
Posted from dialupb149.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.141.149]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5741. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 22:04:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BC9FD18.72F857FF@mailcity.com>
Source record: 22035

Same attitude the radical Islamics have against all non Islamic.
The Taliban leaders are crying about a few civilians being killed
by the US bombing saying that these are innocent people who
haven't done anything to anyone, never mind all the innocent
people killed in the WTC event who never did anything to anyone,
to these guys they simply don't count, they weren't worthy to live
anyway.

N2EY wrote:

>
> I note no similarity at all. The Nazi attitude towards Jews and several other
> groups was that they were to be totally exterminated.

5742. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-14 23:20:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf46f_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22112

"Jack Mehoff - W6LHA" <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:MPG.163401ef76064cb39896ac@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <3bc9db58_1@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says
> >
> > My basic observation is that there is and always will be terrorism and
that
> > there is no difference in the act whether it is 1 or a thousand killed,
or
> > whether it happens on US "soil" or overseas. It matters not to me
whether a
> > terrorist incident happens in Germany, Israel, Afghanistan, Iraq, NYC,
> > Dallas, or Iowa. It is still a horrendous crime against humanity.
>
>
> Granted. My comment was made because you "distorted" the facts by a
> factor of 240+.
>
>
> >
> > I wholeheartedly agree with the military action we are currently taking,
and
> > would agree with any military action deemed to be appropriate to battle
this
> > thing called terrorism. I even agree with stricter "access" procedures
at
> > places like airports, borders, train stations, bus stations, etc., along
> > with public access buildings and my workplace. Those are all places
where
> > "we" volunteer to abide by rules we may not agree with because they are
> > public access, or workplace access.
> >
> > I wholeheartedly *DO NOT* agree with or support *any* agency,
government, or
> > individual being able to listen in on conversations I have on the
telephone,
> > or through emails, or whatever.
>
>
> Sure, only provide a couple of the tools to fight. Why not have the
> military shoot blanks. Whas a matter TIT's, got something to hide? If
> not, why the fear? These friends of yours love people like you. They
> use the Internet, the mail, the phones to co-ordinate and you'd allow
> them to continue with no resistance. Clueless girl!!!!
>

>
> I do not agree with or support *any* move
> > the President, military, or any other leader takes to censor what my
> > Congress knows or what the media knows and shows.
>
>
> Gee, then you agree that this knowledge could and might jeopardize
> American lives by telegraphing advance happenings. Mighty big of you
> TIT's. Perhaps it should extended to say..SPORTS. Let's make sure the
> teams have each others play books so there will be no surprise.
>
>
> In case you didn't know, NYC is a part of
> > the United States. And, you speak out of ignorance for how do you know
that
> > I have not been "personally" affected by the tragedy in NYC?
>
> Of course it did TIT's. I'm sure you proudly display the flag outside
> your white trash trailer type home. BTW, remember if you leave it
> outside, it should be all-weather and it should be lite at night. But
> you knew that TIT's because you have to have the government tell you
> everything it does. I'd bet Ed's lobster you ain;t doing this
>
> And how do I know..I read you respionages, they telegraph everything.
>
>
>
> >
> > I will tell anyone, family members of people who died in this tragedy or
> > not, my opinion. As I stated, I don't create and hold opinions because
they
> > are easy for me to have--that must be yourself you are confused with.
You
> > ignore the comments of a victim's wife who did not wish a military
response
> > to this tragedy--and I am sure there are others who are family members
of
> > victims who battle internally with what their opinion is about losing
> > personal freedoms.
>
> And which one was that TIT's. So far, every wife who lost their husband
> has supported the fight 100%. There is no report of anyone in this
> situation who professes a dove attitude
>
>
>
>
> >
> > So, Jack Mehoff, go back to jacking why don't you. You're obviously
good at

> > stroking yourself, and only yourself.
>
> What a potty mouth. Goes through all this to placate an obvious desire
> to
> see us over-run and then this... tsk tsk tsk
>
> With thoughts of you everynight...ohhhhh TIT's oohhhh TIT's retch retch
> retch
>
>
> UUppp, someone on the repeater who's callsign I don;t recognize...better
> go challenge them cause that's the TIT's way
>
> ciao cutie

You finally, after a long diatribe of nothingness, used the possessive of TIT in the right way (at your last sentence). You *do* have some intellect; however small it may be.

Pssst (the plural of TIT is TITs, not TIT's).

Kim W5TIT

5743. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 01:18:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qddh8lbp0@208.206.142.80>
Source record: 22036

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this
> > IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of
> > fears that some of them may have been subversives.
>
> Notice the similarity to the Nazi's attitudes toward the Jews
> during WWII. Either all citizens are free or none are free, Dick.

So youi don't think we censored anything during WW2? Are you serious?
Our leadership wasn't stupid. You super freedom freaks are really
strange.
You'd take us down to defeat by supplying the enemy with all the
information
he needes to beat us. Common sense is uncommon among you.

5744. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 01:18:51 UTC
From: Les Moreson <Les@sbo.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.163449cd4c3c07f29896ad@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22037

In article <de9751ca.0110111138.15937fe5@posting.google.com>,
webdoc@mailandnews.com says...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:<MPG.162fa601c5e9beae9896a2@news.earthlink.net>...
> > > Well all I am alive and doing well in NYC, I am in charge of
> > > transportation for all Red Cross workers and volunteers. I had box
> > > seats for tonights opening game of the playoffs at Yankee Stadium, but
> > > some smart a$$ lifted the tickets on the ride home on the subway
> > > tonight,

> I am staying in Manhattem, but am working at the Red Cross
> headquarters in Brooklyn, there is a great deli at the end of my
> block, I'm roughly 6 blocks north of times square,

Times Square roughly 40th up to 44th Sts and from 5th to 8th Ave

At best your at, what 50th ST?? No great hotels anywhere near there

Carnegie Hall is on
> my block,

Carnegie Hall is at the corner of 56th and 7th AVe. A good 6 blocks
north of your 6 blocks north.

am going to walk around Times Square tonight, and drop in to
> the Harley Davidson Cafe.

Then you're going in the wrong direction since the HD Cafe is on 56th St.

>
> I've always loved NYC, but it has been some time since I was here
> last, I was amazed at how much they have changed Times Square.

Ya, I hate the fact they've changed all the street. Funny though, you'd
be staying in Manhattan when the RED CROSS building in/on Cadman Plaza in
Brooklyn and the Marriott RIGHT NEXT DOOR is offering rooms to the
volunteers for only $50 a might including meals, as their way of helping
out.

I mean, why stay in NYC when you can stay in a 1st rate hotel within
walking distance.

Seems to me, that an Ohioan in Brooklyn is a far FAR stretch, but that's
just on evolunteers opinion, as I am staying there helping out, and I'm
from Ulster County, about an hour North of Manhattan and haven't seen you
yet

But then again it is 20 stories tall and they have 1000;s of workers, eh Ken??

5745. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 02:05:37 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bca441b.3053480@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 22038
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 13 Oct 2001 21:37:12 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> In the end, I can respect everyone's
>> right to express whatever opinion
>> they want...however, that does not
>> require me (or anyone else) to respect
>> that opinion...and, the concept of
>> free speech fully allows me (and
>> anyone else) to offer opinions as to
>> just what someone else's beliefs/
>> opinions constitute... from support
>> for American to treason.
>
>
> Ever heard of libel or slander, Bill? No person in this country has
>a "right" to defame another person's character. And, when you start
>calling someone a traitor, you had better have serious proof to back
>it up because that is a darn serious accusation.

Easy enough to ahndle. To wt...
In my opinion, Mr X's beliefs, opinions, etc are those of a traitor.
End of libel or slander.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5746. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 04:25:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCA6538.1070300@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22039

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So youi don't think we censored anything during WW2? Are you serious?
> Our leadership wasn't stupid. You super freedom freaks are really
> strange.
How would you have liked for your family to have been locked

up during WWII? Why didn't they lock all the Germans up? My
Mother's name is Fuhlberg. Why didn't they lock her up?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5747. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 04:51:12 UTC
From: adamsrnospam@voyager.net
Message-ID: <3bca6d5a$0$65156$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 22040

Thus Spake Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>:

> Our leadership wasn't stupid. You super freedom freaks are really
>strange.
>You'd take us down to defeat by supplying the enemy with all the
>information
>he needes to beat us. Common sense is uncommon among you.

Obviously, we need to prevent the press from revealing information that could be of use to our enemies--that's just common sense. But there's a great deal of difference between preventing the press from reporting, for example, the distribution of our troops and forbidding them from reporting the OTHER side of the issue. Our government DOES have an interest in keeping the Taliban from knowing exactly what we are planning, and how we intend to implement those plans--but it does NOT have a legitimate interest in preventing us from hearing bin Laden's propaganda, from knowing what HAS been done already (presumably, the Taliban know what we've bombed--it certainly won't provide them any help if US citizens are given the same information) or any of 100 other things.

During Desert Storm the press was prevented from even reporting the weather over Baghdad--did our government REALLY believe that the Iraqi's were too stupid to look out the window--or that their meteorologists weren't capable of interpreting the data at their disposal? During that same war, correspondents were prohibited from reporting what they saw--or even accompanying many of the troop movements into Iraq (and the same thing is expected this time)--that isn't about protecting our troops, it's about spin control for our citizenry! During WWII, Korea, and Vietnam war correspondents accompanied the troops into action. Their reports may have been censored--but ONLY to restrict information useful (and not already available) to our enemies, not to prevent our citizens from knowing what was going on.

Sorry Dick--I'm as much of a patriot as anyone else and, as a Vietnam vet I understand the need for security--but in this case (and that of Desert Storm) the secrecy is strictly for political reasons, not strategic ones--a very different matter.

Rick -- KE8HH

--

adamsrnospam@voyager.net
remove the "nospam" before replying

". . . and the only measure of your worth and your deeds will be the love you leave behind when you're gone." --Fred Small

5748. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 09:11:11 UTC
From: "E.E.Bud Keith" <budk101@home.com>
Message-ID: <PKxy7.62127$My2.33901922@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 22041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC68494.B21DB629@sccoast.net...
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling the main street of my small town.

What town do you live in?? I live in Chicago close to Ohare airport and the
only national guard troops I have seen came into Ohare last week to aid
Chicago police. And I believe they were ordered in by the Governor.
>
> - National Guard troops at local shopping malls.
>
I live near wood field one of the largest malls in the usa and have not seen
guardsman number one.
> - National Guard troops at my local airport, train station, and bus
station.
>
> - National Guard troops patrolling with no known limits on their
authority.

Is that so,when did the President formally declare martial law.
>
> - Government urging people to report "suspicious" people and activities.

Perhaps you think it would be better if a person observed someone puting a
ticking suitcase into and airport,train station, bus station locker he
should keep that information to himself.If people are alert it may prevent
another mass killing.
Sure there may be a few persons incovenienced by mistekes.but then would you
rather suffer some inconveience or be dead.
>
> - Police searching persons and vehicles without warrants, cause, or
restraint.

You made that up of course,can you name just one case were this happened
>
> - Congress passes legislation to allow massive expansion of police powers.

Not so,your are using massive in a pejorative manner to show a limited
amount of legislation, as being out of control.
>
> - Overt censorship (White House asks news agencies to limit Taliban
statements).

Since when is asking someone not to rebroadcast verbatim
telecasts from the terrorists(due to possible hidden messages) overt

censorship.
>
> - Overt censorship (military urges press to not cover attacks in
Afghanistan).

The same was true during the Gul war.How much did that hurt your rights.
>
> - The President restricts access to information by members of Congress.

You forget that congress has the right to demand information from the
executive and can impose severe penalties on those that lie.
>
> - Hate crimes against Arabs widespread (according to CNN).
> CNN has been caught lieing and stretching the truth on more then one
occassion.
>
> Osama Bin Laden should be proud of what he has done to America.

I dont know about you but I was around during world war !!
and there were a number of restrictions on everyday life. As I recall the
day after Pearl Harbor there were national guard troops at airports bus
stations railroad stations and stationed up and down the west coast.
Japanese americans were held in camps for fear of sleeper spies who may had
done considerable damage to the country. A number of folks got really upest
about that forty or fifty years latter,but no one can tell you how many
lives that internment may have saved.
When these new laws were imposed we did not know how long they would
last,the same as now,but we do know
that all this restrictions were lifted after thr war. If you dont understand
why,let me tell you the American people would have it no other way, and not
one politician would have lasted who atempted to do otherwise. So put away
your conspiracy ideas and hatred of capatalism,trilateral comission
nonsince,start thinking we will get these people who caused all these
problems and return to the american way of life.

5749. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 11:07:25 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf470_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22113

A) It's people like you who make it very difficult for others to trust having that much government control, Dick. Did you ever think about that? With people like you around, it's *dangerous* to think of that much government control--enemy or none and war or none.

B) Super freedom freaks? Now it's even unpopular to desire and love freedom??!! Refer to A, above. People like you, who are many in the United States, scare me far more than the Taliban or bin Laden. Far more.

Kim W5TIT
Getting out of this conversation because you scare the Hell out of me. I am also hanging my head in sorrow that someone of the United States would ever refer to the love of freedom as being freaky. You *are* one of them aren't you? You sure your last name doesn't start with "bin"?

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9qddh8lbp0@208.206.142.80...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > I have thought about it. Unfortunate thinga happen in wartime. And this
> > > IS wartime. Things like thouisands of Japanese being interred because of
> > > fears that some of them may have been subversives.
> >
> > Notice the similarity to the Nazi's attitudes toward the Jews
> > during WWII. Either all citizens are free or none are free, Dick.
>
>
> So youi don't think we censored anything during WW2? Are you serious?
> Our leadership wasn't stupid. You super freedom freaks are really
> strange.
> You'd take us down to defeat by supplying the enemy with all the
> information
> he needes to beat us. Common sense is uncommon among you.

5750. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 11:12:26 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf473_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22115

It doesn't seem to me, Rick, like Dick is all that much into patriotism.

Thank you for your service to our country, and thank you for defending my rights also--as well as the rights of other people I disagree with.

Kim W5TIT

<adamsrnospam@voyager.net> wrote in message news:3bca6d5a$0$65156$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net...
> Thus Spake Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>:
>
> > Our leadership wasn't stupid. You super freedom freaks are really
> >strange.
> >You'd take us down to defeat by supplying the enemy with all the
> >information
> >he needes to beat us. Common sense is uncommon among you.
>
> Obviously, we need to prevent the press from revealing
> information that could be of use to our enemies--that's just common
> sense. But there's a great deal of difference between preventing the
> press from reporting, for example, the distribution of our troops and
> forbidding them from reporting the OTHER side of the issue. Our
> government DOES have an interest in keeping the Taliban from knowing
> exactly what we are planning, and how we intend to implement those
> plans--but it does NOT have a legitimate interest in preventing us
> from hearing bin Laden's propaganda, from knowing what HAS been done
> already (presumably, the Taliban know what we've bombed--it certainly
> won't provide them any help if US citizens are given the same
> information) or any of 100 other things.
>
> During Desert Storm the press was prevented from even
> reporting the weather over Baghdad--did our government REALLY believe
> that the Iraqi's were too stupid to look out the window--or that their
> meteorologists weren't capable of interpreting the data at their
> disposal? During that same war, correspondents were prohibited from
> reporting what they saw--or even accompanying many of the troop
> movements into Iraq (and the same thing is expected this time)--that
> isn't about protecting our troops, it's about spin control for our
> citizenry! During WWII, Korea, and Vietnam war correspondents
> accompanied the troops into action. Their reports may have been
> censored--but ONLY to restrict information useful (and not already
> available) to our enemies, not to prevent our citizens from knowing
> what was going on.
>
> Sorry Dick--I'm as much of a patriot as anyone else and, as a

> Vietnam vet I understand the need for security--but in this case (and
> that of Desert Storm) the secrecy is strictly for political reasons,
> not strategic ones--a very different matter.
>
> Rick -- KE8HH
>
> --
>
> adamsrnospam@voyager.net
> remove the "nospam" before replying
>
> ". . . and the only measure of your worth
> and your deeds will be the love you leave
> behind when you're gone." --Fred Small

5751. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 14:49:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qet1kqhu0@208.206.142.76>
Source record: 22042

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > So youi don't think we censored anything during WW2? Are you serious?
> > Our leadership wasn't stupid. You super freedom freaks are really
> > strange.
> How would you have liked for your family to have been locked
>
> up during WWII? Why didn't they lock all the Germans up? My
> Mother's name is Fuhlberg. Why didn't they lock her up?

I'll repeat, common sense is uncommon among you. If the Germans had
attacked New Tork they probably would have.

5752. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 17:48:33 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCB2171.84C8A46@uci.edu>
Source record: 22043

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Osama Bin Laden should be
> > > proud of what he has done to
> > > America.
> >
> > Yes, and saying such things is
> > probably quite gratifying to
> > him as well.
>
> So what? I couldn't give a darn what Osama Bin Laden thinks. These
> are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_ care about
> those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.

How does saying Osama bin Laden should be proud of himself serve to do
anything but advance the cause of Al Quida?

5753. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 19:27:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCB38B6.4010602@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22044

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I'll repeat, common sense is uncommon among you. If the Germans had
> attacked New Tork they probably would have.

How could the Germans attack New Tork? They don't even know
where it is. I don't even know where it is. Where is it?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5754. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-15 20:28:42 UTC
From: webdoc@mailandnews.com
Message-ID: <de9751ca.0110151228.31c427b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22045

Les Moreson <Les@sbo.org> wrote in message
>
> Times Square roughly 40th up to 44th Sts and from 5th to 8th Ave
>
> At best your at, what 50th ST?? No great hotels anywhere near there
>
>
>
> Carnegie Hall is on
> > my block,

ok so I was off a few blocks, I'm staying at Le Parker Meridian

I take the A train to 59th and walk to my hotel.
>
>
> Carnegie Hall is at the corner of 56th and 7th AVe. A good 6 blocks
> north of your 6 blocks north.
>
>
> am going to walk around Times Square tonight, and drop in to
> > the Harley Davidson Cafe.
>
> Then you're going in the wrong direction since the HD Cafe is on 56th St.

the HD Cafe is on the next block on the corner from my hotel. right
across from the Chamboro (not sure of correct spelling) Deli.

>
> >
> > I've always loved NYC, but it has been some time since I was here
> > last, I was amazed at how much they have changed Times Square.
>
> Ya, I hate the fact they've changed all the street. Funny though, you'd
> be staying in Manhattan when the RED CROSS building in/on Cadman Plaza in
> Brooklyn and the Marriott RIGHT NEXT DOOR is offering rooms to the
> volunteers for only $50 a might including meals, as their way of helping
> out.
>
> I mean, why stay in NYC when you can stay in a 1st rate hotel within
> walking distance.

I stay where they book the room for me. It doesn't take long by subway
and I have ridden them many times before.

>
> Seems to me, that an Ohioan in Brooklyn is a far FAR stretch, but that's
> just on evolunteers opinion, as I am staying there helping out, and I'm
> from Ulster County, about an hour North of Manhattan and haven't seen you
> yet

Ohio a far stretch? there are Red Cross volunteers here from all over,
including Hawaii, Guam, and about every state in the US as well as
volunteers from Canada.

>
> But then again it is 20 stories tall and they have 1000;s of workers, eh
> Ken??

I'm working at the brooklyn chapter HQ, on the first floor, I work in
Logistics, my office is in the courier dispatch room drop in sometime
I'll buy you a coffee. or email me direct and I'll give you my cell #
here. My email is in the header.

Keith Hosman

5755. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 00:33:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011015203316.04952.00000037@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 22046

In article <3BC9F067.5000705@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> I note no similarity at all.
>
>The similarity is that both groups lost their freedoms while other
>groups did not.

The Nazi's took everybody's freedoms.

Those who went to the Nazi concentration camps lost all their freedoms.
Millions lost them permanently. Those who were interned lost some freedoms,
temporarily.

BIG difference.
>
>> There's a big difference between an internment camp and an extermination
>camp.

>Personally, I would rather be dead than locked up.

The concentration camps offere the worst of both worlds.

>> No citizen is free in a country that is under siege.

>I can't recall a time when the US wasn't under siege by someone,
>First the Axis Powers, then The Soviet Union, the drug lords,
>and now the terrorists. Actually, we have been under siege by
>the terrorists for a number of years.
>--
This last I can agree with. The vast majority of Americans are just now
beginning to realize it.

The previous attack on the WTC, the bombing of our embassies in Africa and the
attack on the USS Cole were all done by the same folks who gave us Sept 11.
There have been attempts that were foiled by law enforcement.

Ever wonder if Timothy McVeigh might have been one of them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5756. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 01:54:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCB934B.335CB39E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22047
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Easy enough to handle. To wt...
> In my opinion, Mr X's beliefs,
> opinions, etc are those of a
> traitor. End of libel or slander.

I seriously doubt calling it an opinion is going to end the possibility of libel or slander. Just about everything you say is an opinion, including defamation. While I'm not a lawyer, as far as I know, the only real defense in a libel or slander case is the truth - if it is true that "X" is a traitor, you're covered.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5757. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:03:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qg4h4$8in$0@208.206.142.65>
Source record: 22048

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > > Osama Bin Laden should be
> > > > proud of what he has done to
> > > > America.
> > >
> > > Yes, and saying such things is
> > > probably quite gratifying to
> > > him as well.
> >
> > So what? I couldn't give a darn what Osama Bin Laden thinks. These
> > are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_ care about
> > those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.
>
> How does saying Osama bin Laden should be proud of himself serve to do
> anything but advance the cause of Al Quida?

Oh, it allows Dwight to wallow in selfpity.

5758. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:05:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCB95E8.66F673D7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22049

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> That's not what the President says.
> So do we believe you over the President?

You can believe anybody you want, Dick. But if you really believe that we sent our entire collection of AWACS aircraft to handle the small engagement in Afghanistan, then you would believe anything the government says.

> And just how has that withdrawn any of
> your freedoms?

Ever heard of national sovereignty? This is my country, not NATO's. Therefore, I would rather have our own young men flying over my home, not the young men of another nation.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5759. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:10:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCB96F7.CE772F3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22050

JJ wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > In other words, there are AWACS aircraft
> > sitting on the ground right now here
> > in the US.
>
> Really, where are they? You have some inside
> information that can substantiate that claim?

Yes, it is the "inside information," often called simple common sense, that was contained in the first three sentences of the paragraph you quoted (the part you edited out).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5760. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:12:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qg52jafm0@208.206.142.65>
Source record: 21951
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > Easy enough to handle. To wt...
> > In my opinion, Mr X's beliefs,
> > opinions, etc are those of a
> > traitor. End of libel or slander.
>
> I seriously doubt calling it an opinion is going to end the
> possibility of libel or slander. Just about everything you say is an
> opinion, including defamation. While

I'm not a lawyer,\

You certainly are not, that is clear. Libel or slander must be presented as being fact, not opinion. Opinions do not bear the force of fact.

as far as I
> know, the only real defense in a libel or slander case is the truth -
> if it is true that "X" is a traitor, you're covered.

And if, in his opinion, Mr X's behavior is traitorous, that is his opinion and the U S Constitution you were shedding so many alligator tears over a few posts ago, totally supports his right to speak his mind. Mr X is just as free to refute it with fact, if he can. Libel or slander it's NOT.

5761. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:14:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qg56dafm1@208.206.142.65>
Source record: 21952

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > I'll repeat, common sense is uncommon among you. If the Germans had
> > attacked New Tork they probably would have.
>
> How could the Germans attack New Tork? They don't even know
> where it is. I don't even know where it is. Where is it?

The Islamic terrorists had no trouble finding it.

5762. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:17:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qg5c9$b5v$0@208.206.142.65>
Source record: 21953

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > That's not what the President says.
> > So do we believe you over the President?
>
> You can believe anybody you want, Dick. But if you really believe
> that we sent our entire collection of AWACS aircraft to handle the
> small engagement in Afghanistan, then you would believe anything the
> government says.

Well, let's see, we've got foru aircraft carrier battle groups in the area. Small engagement? Only Afghanistan? Just waht did you do for the military, empty wastebaskets? Hey I'd expect the janitor to be more knowledgable.

>
> > And just how has that withdrawn any of
> > your freedoms?
>
> Ever heard of national sovereignty? This is my country, not NATO's.
> Therefore, I would rather have our own young men flying over my home,
> not the young men of another nation.

Feed your selfpity while the action types save your ass yet one more time.

5763. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:20:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCB995D.BE0EC05@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21954

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> radiobuff@mailcity.com says...
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > In other words, there are AWACS aircraft
> > > sitting on the ground right now here
> > > in the US.
> >
> > Really, where are they? You have some inside
> > information that can substantiate that claim?
>
> McGuire AFB in southern NJ has about
> 10 sitting on the tarmac as of this
> weekend now. You can see them from
> the main hiway that passes next to
> the base. They also have a pot load
> of KC10 tankers

Thanks, Jack. I knew the military would never send all of it's AWACS aircraft off to a single conflict - to do so would defy common military defense logic. And I'm sure a quick look around the country would locate a couple dozen more.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5764. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:20:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BCB8A7E.95224FDD@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21955

The "inside information" you made up "indside" you head I suppose.
Just because you thought it up does not substantiate the claim.

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > In other words, there are AWACS aircraft
> > > sitting on the ground right now here
> > > in the US.
> >
> > Really, where are they? You have some inside
> > information that can substantiate that claim?
>
> Yes, it is the "inside information," often called simple common
> sense, that was contained in the first three sentences of the
> paragraph you quoted (the part you edited out).
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5765. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:37:13 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <74db8c136738d0038ca11cacb3ae0942.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21956

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote

....clipped mostly a pack of lies.....

Bin Laden counts on guys like you, Stewart.

Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupb165.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.141.165]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5766. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 02:45:06 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCB9F17.94892F8F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21957

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> I have thought about it. Unfortunate
> thinga happen in wartime. And this
> IS wartime. Things like thouisands of
> Japanese being interred because of
> fears that some of them may have been
> subversives. And some of them may in
> fact have been. The normal rules of
> daily life in the USA have to be
> tightened in wartime, lest we find
> ourselves defeated by our own demands
> for more freedom than survive wars.
> I would have thought that any thinking
> person who has made any effort whatever
> to stay abreast of history and current
> events would be familiar with that.
> None of us like it but some of us
> understand it.

Dick, the problem I'm having is that you seem to be saying, "it is wartime, so whatever the government wants to do is just fine by me. I'm willing to give up my rights without question. I'm willing to "sacrifice" without question. I'm willing to let the government lock up anyone they want without question. I'm willing to do whatever the government wants, again without question."

But that should never be required of a free people in a free country. We should never just sit back and do whatever the government wants without question (even in wartime). If we do, we have truly ceased to be a free people in a free country.

Nobody is saying that we should never sacrifice, never stand up and fight, or never listen to the government (I'm certainly not saying that). But, before doing any of those things, we should seriously ask if it is truly necessary for national defense. If it isn't, then the government has no business asking us to do it and we should darn sure be asking questions (at the very least).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5767. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 03:11:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBA55E.D4842F72@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21958

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> Just wait there Kimmie, till it hits
> in your backyard. You'll think
> different. You remind mew of the
> pinhead Iowa goober who stated, just
> after everything happen, that it was
> a NY problem and an East Coats
> problem and that "they" should have
> to deal with it. Mark my words, you'll
> change your mind when the next round,
> and there will be a next round, directly
> affects YOU.
>
> Why not come to NYC and attend a few
> memorials and tell the families that
> they should not support the "reduction"
> of a few rights.

Your message is exactly the point I've been trying to make (we're losing all perspective). How are troops at my local small town shopping mall going to change anything for the families of the WTC attack? How is press censorship nationwide going to change anything for the families of the WTC attack? How are police searches in my town going to change anything for the families of the WTC attack? How is expanded police powers nationwide going to change anything for the families of the WTC attack? Stop waving the families around like some banner in a war on our rights.

Whether you like the idea or not, the attack on the WTC is a New York problem. It didn't happen here or anywhere else. Now, the rest of the country can certainly "feel" for the victims in New York, and send what assistance we can. And the authorities should do whatever they can to make sure another attack like that never happens again in New York. But to start stripping us of our rights here, when nothing has happened here (and is not likely to happen here), is simply not what our government should be doing. There has been no threat to a shopping mall here, so why are troops in those malls? There has been attacks here, so why are police stepping up their search of vehicles here? There has been no attack here, so why is Congress passing legislation to massively expand police powers here? Such a widespread response to a single incident in a single town is not warranted.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5768. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 03:28:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBA91F.C631DF7A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21959

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > No citizen is free in a country
> > that is under siege.
>
>
> I can't recall a time when the US
> wasn't under siege by someone,
>
> First the Axis Powers, then The
> Soviet Union, the drug lords, and
> now the terrorists. Actually, we
> have been under siege by the
> terrorists for a number of years.

Oh, so well said, Cecil. If we start stripping rights every time there is a "war," there will be no rights remaining because there is always a "war" on something in this country.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5769. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 03:36:58 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1635bb84ce9ff3839896ae@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21960

In article <3BCBA55E.D4842F72@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > Just wait there Kimmie, till it hits
> > in your backyard. You'll think
> > different. You remind mew of the
> > pinhead Iowa goober who stated, just
> > after everything happen, that it was
> > a NY problem and an East Coats
> > problem and that "they" should have
> > to deal with it. Mark my words, you'll
> > change your mind when the next round,
> > and there will be a next round, directly
> > affects YOU.
> >
> > Why not come to NYC and attend a few
> > memorials and tell the families that
> > they should not support the "reduction"
> > of a few rights.
>
>
> Your message is exactly the point I've been trying to make (we're
> losing all perspective). How are troops at my local small town
> shopping mall going to change anything for the families of the WTC
> attack? How is press censorship nationwide going to change anything
> for the families of the WTC attack? How are police searches in my town
> going to change anything for the families of the WTC attack? How is
> expanded police powers nationwide going to change anything for the
> families of the WTC attack? Stop waving the families around like some
> banner in a war on our rights.

If it stops another slaughter, then it';s worth it. Only TIT's is waving
the families around. If it protects out troops by not telegraphing some
piece of information then it';s worth it. Stop being a selfish little
boy. If it catches one of these Islamic madmen before he can crop dust
YOUR town, then it's worth it. Stop acting like you are in pre-school.

Nothing is going to change what has happened, by by gosh, I hope it will
prevent it from happening again.

>
> Whether you like the idea or not, the attack on the WTC is a New
> York problem.

Really doofus? Check the stock market fool. Check the status of the economy AFTER the attack and tell me how NY doesn't affect the rest of the country. Check the number of container ships that AREN'T unloading in NY harbor and then get back to me when you hemorrhoid cream runs out and you can't find any.

Check the prices of things like insurance that will go up and YOU'll be paying the price along with everyone else. With 18 months, the price of homeowners, including your trailer insurance will go up 30%. But NOOOoo, what happen in NY won;t affect you will it Dwight??

You can't be this stupid..then again.....

It didn't happen here or anywhere else. Now, the rest of
> the country can certainly "feel" for the victims in New York, and send
> what assistance we can.

I'm sure you're all busted up, you little self centered shit.

And the authorities should do whatever they
> can to make sure another attack like that never happens again in New
> York.

Lemme see. Florida isn't NY and Washington isn't NY. Chicago isn't NY either yet they're all experiencing something.

But to start stripping us of our rights here, when nothing has
> happened here (and is not likely to happen here)

Things have a way of happening. Sure would be great to have them happen to you and see what little whinny dance you do then.

, is simply not what
> our government should be doing. There has been no threat to a shopping
> mall here, so why are troops in those malls?

Hmmm, seems that the Mall of America was a potential target. Seems that malls attract large numbers of people and that would make a great statement for bomber. Asshole!!!!!

There has been attacks
> here, so why are police stepping up their search of vehicles here?

Why not join the enemy, you seem hellbent on helping them.

> There has been no attack here, so why is Congress passing legislation
> to massively expand police powers here? Such a widespread response to
> a single incident in a single town is not warranted.

What a fucking asshole. I hope something happens, but only to you and your family. Then we'd all feel safe knowing that you "share the NY'ers pain" Go stick your head in the sand, Better yet, why not get together with TIT's and stick your heads up each others asses.

You are rapidly replacing Lennie Anderdick for the title of king fool.

5770. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 03:40:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBAC0B.60F61534@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21961

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> And YOU, pal, have no understanding
> of how we have been able to hold
> all this together for well over two
> centuries.

"We?" Have you been alive for two centuries? Don't give yourself that much credit - your political views are not what has held this country together for two centuries. If fact, if your political views (blind obedience to government, etc) ever became widespread, I doubt this country could stay together for two months.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5771. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 03:59:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBB070.86A018BA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21962

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Richard, our age is showing. Being
> too old to be a boomer, I noticed the
> shift in outlook in college about 1963.
> The difference in outlook is striking.
> This is a generation that was never
> under the threat of being shot at, never
> lived (snip)

Dick, did you miss Vietnam, Panama, Grenada, Iraq, and so forth? You must have if you think your generation is the only one who has ever had to face a conflict. Stop your delusions about your generation versus the other generations (no generation is that superior to the other).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5772. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:01:32 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <c51cd99d9f2dc33517f1ccfc53f17eed.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 21963

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Whether you like the idea or not, the attack on the
> WTC is a New York problem. It didn't happen here or
> anywhere else.

This is the most disgusting and distasteful example of NIMBYism that
I have ever encountered in all my life.

Well done, Stewart. Bin Laden will be proud of you.

Hans

--
Posted from dialupb165.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.141.165]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5773. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:03:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBA35D.F21144E4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21964

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC5F926.55BF3125@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Did I mention that I really don't care?
>
> No. Heil must care a lot considering all his postings... :-)

Irrelevant. Has nothing to do with context.

Dave K8MN

5774. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:05:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBA3F4.8E35B23C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 21966

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC5F6FA.E26CC4F6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >You simple goof! You asked him what he did and now you lambast him for
> >telling you?
>
> Heil's reading skills are pathetic... :-)
>
> What has been "told?" He does "other" work now. :-)

Smiley face discounted. Whose reading skills are pathetic? First I
write the stuff and now you'd like it explained to you.

Dave K8MN

5775. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:06:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBB221.3A99E76F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21965

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> How does saying Osama bin Laden
> should be proud of himself serve
> to do anything but advance the
> cause of Al Quida?

Osama Bin Laden didn't do any of the things on my list - those were done by people right here in this country. And it is those people who gave Osama Bin Laden the credit (they claim those things were done because of him and those like him).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5776. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:07:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BCBA396.96A26855@mailcity.com>
Source record: 21967

But if there is a threat or attact in those malls you will
probably be one of the first to scream, "why weren't there troops
in that mall or why weren't the police searching those vehicles?"

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> There has been no threat to a shopping
> mall here, so why are troops in those malls? There has been attacks
> here, so why are police stepping up their search of vehicles here?
> There has been no attack here, so why is Congress passing legislation
> to massively expand police powers here? Such a widespread response to
> a single incident in a single town is not warranted.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5777. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:13:55 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7uOy7.71054$WW.3276802@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 21968

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BCBB070.86A018BA@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > Richard, our age is showing. Being
> > too old to be a boomer, I noticed the
> > shift in outlook in college about 1963.
> > The difference in outlook is striking.
> > This is a generation that was never
> > under the threat of being shot at, never
> > lived (snip)
>
>
> Dick, did you miss Vietnam, Panama, Grenada, Iraq, and so forth? You
> must have if you think your generation is the only one who has ever
> had to face a conflict. Stop your delusions about your generation
> versus the other generations (no generation is that superior to the
other).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Superiority is an arguable issue but difference is not. There is and has
been a notable difference between those of us born before the end of WW2 and
those born later. It is also not uncommon for a given generation to have
more in common with its grandkids than its own kids.

Miss the Nam? 25-30-43-690. I did not serve there, thank the gods.

I will expect the present jr hi kids to give their parents the enema they so
richly deserve.

5778. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:16:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBB473.6C1CF8E3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21969

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> 1) Approach ignorant, liberal person
> talking about peace and saying there
> should be "no retaliation"
>
> 2) Have a brief conversation with them
> and ask if military force is appropriate.
>
> 3) When he says, "No." ask him, "Why not?"
>
> 4) When he says, "Because that would just
> cause more innocent deaths, which would
> be awful, and we should not cause more
> violence."
>
> 5) Punch him in the face . . . hard.
>
> 6) When he gets up to punch you, point out
> to him that it would be a mistake to (and
> contrary to his values) punch you because
> he would be causing more violence.
>
> 7) When he agrees with you that he has
> pledged not to commit violence, punch him
> in the face again . . . only harder this
> time.

At which point, person mistaken for a liberal pulls out gun and shoots you in the face. And while the blood is slowly draining from your body, person mistaken for a liberal reminds you that war is not about people punching each other - people kill each other in war and dead people have no understanding, no liberal or conservative politics, or anything else.

> 8) Repeat steps 5 through 7 until he
> understands that sometimes it is necessary
> to punch back.

And dead people don't repeat a thing. Isn't war grand, Hans?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5779. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:28:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBB73A.260769C4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21970
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> And if, in his opinion, Mr X's
> behavior is traitorous, that is
> his opinion and the U S
> Constitution you were shedding so
> many alligator tears over a few
> posts ago, totally supports his
> right to speak his mind. Mr X is
> just as free to refute it with
> fact, if he can. Libel or slander
> it's NOT.

Not true, Dick. If you make a specific defamatory accusation against a specific person, it is your responsibility to back it up with fact (not the person defamed). If you cannot do so, it is defamation and defamation is the very definition of legal slander or libel.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5780. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:31:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBB7EB.DE31BA33@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21971

JJ wrote:
>
> The "inside information" you
> made up "indside" you head I
> suppose. Just because you
> thought it up does not
> substantiate the claim.

The information you claim was made up in my head has been backed up
by another message in this very thread (a person said he has
personally seen AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground in this country -
which is exactly what I said).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5781. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:37:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCBB987.20202@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21973

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> You can believe anybody you want, Dick. But if you really believe
> that we sent our entire collection of AWACS aircraft to handle the
> small engagement in Afghanistan, then you would believe anything the
> government says.
I don't know, Dwight. Afghanistan is almost as big as Texas. :-)

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5782. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:38:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBB9A9.F8E33D52@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21972

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
>
> > Whether you like the idea or not,
> > the attack on the WTC is a New
> > York problem. It didn't happen
> > here or anywhere else.
>
> This is the most disgusting and
> distasteful example of NIMBYism that
> I have ever encountered in all my life.

You have a problem with facts, Hans? The last time I checked, the WTC was in New York. If the attack on the WTC didn't happen in New York, where exactly do you think it happened?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5783. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:41:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCBBA64.1060205@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21974

N2EY wrote:

> Ever wonder if Timothy McVeigh might have been one of them?
Guess Dick wants to lock up everyone with a flattop haircut.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5784. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:47:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCBBBEE.30203@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21975

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> I'll repeat, common sense is uncommon among you. If the Germans had
>>>attacked New Tork they probably would have.
>>>
>>How could the Germans attack New Tork? They don't even know
>>where it is. I don't even know where it is. Where is it?
>>
> The Islamic terrorists had no trouble finding it.
So what exactly did the Islamic terrorists do to New Tork
and why hasn't it been reported in any of the papers or
on TV? What state is New Tork in anyway?

BTW, Dick, the federal government apologized to the Japanese-
American citizens who were unfairly interned during WWII. You,
OTOH, don't seem very apologetic.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5785. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 04:51:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCBBCD0.7080808@IEEE.org>
Source record: 21976

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Dick, the problem I'm having is that you seem to be saying, "it is
> wartime, so whatever the government wants to do is just fine by me.
> I'm willing to give up my rights without question. I'm willing to
> "sacrifice" without question.

That's not what he's saying, Dwight. Dick is willing to give up
the rights of any citizen who doesn't look like him, has a
different religion or ethnic background than him, etc.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5786. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:10:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBC113.EFBC9DFE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21977

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> If it stops another slaughter,
> then it's worth it. (snip) If it
> protects out troops by not telegraphing
> some piece of information then it's
> worth it. (snip)

Have you been listening to the news at all, Jack. Nobody, and I repeat NOBODY, is talking about anything having to do with "our troops." We're talking about the recent "request" by the White House for news agencies to censor the comments of the Taliban. And we're talking about the military urging the press not to cover the attacks in Afghanistan (not "our troops").

> If it catches one of these Islamic
> madmen before he can crop dust YOUR
> town, then it's worth it. (snip)

How are either of the things I just listed going to catch anyone? Pay attention, Jack.

> > Whether you like the idea or not,
> > the attack on the WTC is a New York
> > problem.
>
> Really doofus? Check the stock market
> fool. Check the status of the economy
> AFTER the attack and tell me how NY
> doesn't affect the rest of the country.
> Check the number of container ships
> that AREN'T unloading in NY harbor and
> then get back to me when you hemorrhoid
> cream runs out and you can't find any.

Another person who obviously doesn't know the WTC was in New York. Trust me, the WTC was in New York. The WTC was attacked in New York. The WTC was not attacked anywhere else. Are those ideas too hard to understand, Jack. The stock market, economy, and so forth, are the aftermath of the WTC attack. The aftermath of the WTC attack is not the WTC attack, Jack. Got that now, Jack?

> I'm sure you're all busted up, you little
> self centered shit.

Look, I don't have time for your games. You want to twist what I've said and then call me names because my now twisted comments don't fit your own little agenda. Sorry, my friend, I have better things to do.

> Lemme see. Florida isn't NY and Washington
> isn't NY. Chicago isn't NY either yet they're
> all experiencing something.

But are you saying that they experienced the WTC attack? Not the aftermath - the attack itself?

> Things have a way of happening. Sure would
> be great to have them happen to you and see
> what little whinny dance you do then.

Look, why do you hate me so much? What have I said that would cause you to wish harm on me?

> Hmmm, seems that the Mall of America was a
> potential target. Seems that malls attract
> large numbers of people and that would make
> a great statement for bomber. Asshole!!!!!

And, if there is a viable threat to that mall, the authorities should do whatever they can to protect that mall. But censoring the press is not going to protect that mall. And neither is limiting access to information to Congress. And neither will massive expansion of police powers to wiretap.

> What a fucking asshole. I hope something
> happens, but only to you and your family.

Oh, so now it is violence on me and my family. Last message to you, my friend. I only talk to civilized human beings.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5787. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:25:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBC48A.969D539@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21978

JJ wrote:
>
> But if there is a threat or attact in
> those malls you will probably be one
> of the first to scream, "why weren't
> there troops in that mall or why
> weren't the police searching those
> vehicles?"

First of all, the troops are no longer at the local malls (just the airport and bus station). However, if there were a threat to any one of those malls, I would never advocate troops in those malls - we have police to do that type of work (it's not the military's job - they do not have the training to be police officers). And if there was a viable threat to any one of those malls, I would expect the police to do their job.

As for searching vehicles, it is absurd to conduct random searches of vehicles because there MIGHT be a possible threat. If we accept that logic, then we should just throw out the words in the Constitution that say, "the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated.." Searching random vehicles because there MIGHT be possible threat is unreasonable (there is always a possible threat of something, so there would never be a time when a search is not warranted - which is not what our forefathers intended when they wrote those words).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5788. Do I need to learn Morse Code for a ham license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:31:00 UTC
From: kr1b@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <58c72dcf.0110152131.3ae75343@posting.google.com>
Source record: 21979

After listening for many years to amateur radio (and SWL) on HF, this hobby seems like it might be kind of fun to join...

I heard the Morse Code requirement was dropped altogether. But from searching these groups, it looks like there are three licenses (Tech, General, Extra) and is a 5wpm requirement for the top two requiring the 5wpm code proficiency.

Can anyone clear this up? (No the FCC web site seemed useless on this)

How hard are the tests? (I know this is a subjective question, but if someone could characterize the exams that would help, are they mostly about electronics or about the rules, for example)

Thanks

5789. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:43:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBC8CB.9E478109@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21980

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Oh, it allows Dwight to wallow in selfpity.

It's not self pity, Dick. Nothing bad has happened to me, so why would I expect pity? I'm simply expressing a concern for the future of this country - the rights of this country's people. If you were not so self absorbed, you might have more concern for the nation also. Instead, you're satisfied to just sit back and let the government do whatever it wants. No effort at all to do that, is there, Dick? It doesn't take much effort, or bravery, to goose step without question to every whim of a government. Anybody can do that - to be free requires more.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5790. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:47:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBC9C0.94023840@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21981

CAM wrote:
>
> I don't know, Dwight. Afghanistan is
> almost as big as Texas. :-)

That big? No wonder it is so hard to find those people. I stand corrected. It may take all we have. Do we have satellites that can cover that big of an area? :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5791. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:50:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBCA77.AB518787@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21982

CAM wrote:
>
> What state is New Tork in anyway?

He is not going to get it, Cecil. He just doesn't have any antenna
to warn him when someone is pulling his leg.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5792. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 05:57:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBCBEB.A83A6813@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21983

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Dick, the problem I'm having is that
> > you seem to be saying, "it is wartime,
> > so whatever the government wants to do
> > is just fine by me. I'm willing to give
> > up my rights without question. I'm
> > willing to "sacrifice" without question.
>
> That's not what he's saying, Dwight. Dick
> is willing to give up the rights of any
> citizen who doesn't look like him, has a
> different religion or ethnic background
> than him, etc.

Oh, I understand now. As long as it happens to "those" people, it is
okay. Well, that makes all the difference. Why didn't he just say so?
Of course, "those" people don't deserve any rights. It's okay if it
happens to "those" people. Hey, wait a minute, am I one of "those" people?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5793. Re: Do I need to learn Morse Code for a ham license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:13:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBCFCE.3CA17537@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21984

Kris wrote:
>
> After listening for many years to amateur
> radio (and SWL) on HF, this hobby seems
> like it might be kind of fun to join...

Obviously, most of us like ham radio. It is an interesting hobby,
with lots of options to try (which means you won't get bored quickly).

> (snip) But from searching these groups,
> it looks like there are three licenses
> (Tech, General, Extra) and is a 5wpm
> requirement for the top two requiring
> the 5wpm code proficiency.

That is exactly right.

> How hard are the tests? (I know this is
> a subjective question, but if someone
> could characterize the exams that would
> help, are they mostly about electronics
> or about the rules, for example).

They are entrance exams, so they contain basic questions about
operating rules, procedures, radio fundamentals, electronics, and so
forth. You can read more at...

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/how_to/intro.htm

...and about how to get your own license at...

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/how_to/how_to.htm

...and take a few practice exams at...

http://www.hamstuff.net/hamexam/

If you have any more questions, feel free to ask.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5794. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:38:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgkl1hio0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21985
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > And if, in his opinion, Mr X's
> > behavior is traitorous, that is
> > his opinion and the U S
> > Constitution you were shedding so
> > many alligator tears over a few
> > posts ago, totally supports his
> > right to speak his mind. Mr X is
> > just as free to refute it with
> > fact, if he can. Libel or slander
> > it's NOT.
>
> Not true, Dick. If you make a specific defamatory accusation against
> a specific person, it is your responsibility to back it up with fact
> (not the person defamed). If you cannot do so, it is defamation and
> defamation is the very definition of legal slander or libel.

If I say it's my opinion that you're a raving jackass that's all I need
to have to back it up. It's my opinion, not the law of the land, factual
tho it may be.

5795. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:40:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgkomhio1@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21986

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > The "inside information" you
> > made up "indside" you head I
> > suppose. Just because you
> > thought it up does not
> > substantiate the claim.
>
> The information you claim was made up in my head has been backed up
> by another message in this very thread (a person said he has
> personally seen AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground in this country -
> which is exactly what I said).
>

Hey Genius! Did it ever occur that there are plans afoot for the ones now sitting on the ground? One thing the guys in charge these days are good at is planning. I guess you may have missed that, huh?

5796. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:44:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgl05$im0$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21987

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>
> Whether you like the idea or not, the attack on the WTC is a New
> York problem. It didn't happen here or anywhere else. Now, the rest of
> the country can certainly "feel" for the victims in New York, and send
> what assistance we can. And the authorities should do whatever they
> can to make sure another attack like that never happens again in New
> York. But to start stripping us of our rights here, when nothing has
> happened here (and is not likely to happen here), is simply not what
> our government should be doing. There has been no threat to a shopping
> mall here, so why are troops in those malls? There has been attacks
> here, so why are police stepping up their search of vehicles here?
> There has been no attack here, so why is Congress passing legislation
> to massively expand police powers here? Such a widespread response to
> a single incident in a single town is not warranted.
>

Dwight does your mother let you go out alone?

5797. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:45:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgl2a$im0$1@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21988

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>

> You can't be this stupid..then again.....
>

Believe it. He can.

5798. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:47:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgl6l$im0$2@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21989

CAM wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Ever wonder if Timothy McVeigh might have been one of them?
> Guess Dick wants to lock up everyone with a flattop haircut.
>

You DO have one don't you Cecil?

5799. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:53:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgli4$im0$3@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21990

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Dick Carroll wrote:
> >>> I'll repeat, common sense is uncommon among you. If the Germans had
> >>>attacked New Tork they probably would have.
> >>>
> >>How could the Germans attack New Tork? They don't even know
> >>where it is. I don't even know where it is. Where is it?
> >>
> > The Islamic terrorists had no trouble finding it.
> So what exactly did the Islamic terrorists do to New Tork
> and why hasn't it been reported in any of the papers or
> on TV? What state is New Tork in anyway?
>
> BTW, Dick, the federal government apologized to the Japanese-
> American citizens who were unfairly interned during WWII. You,
> OTOH, don't seem very apologetic.
>

Yeah, and there are lots of people around today who would apologize for Hiroshima and Nagasaki, too. Harry Truman was right on. You have no idea how many of those interred may have been subversive. And the government apologized for me, they know I had nothing to do with it. How many slaves have you ever owned? You're responsibile for slavery,150 years ago, you know.

5800. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:58:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qglqf$km2$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21991

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > I have thought about it. Unfortunate
> > thinga happen in wartime. And this
> > IS wartime. Things like thouisands of
> > Japanese being interred because of
> > fears that some of them may have been
> > subversives. And some of them may in
> > fact have been. The normal rules of
> > daily life in the USA have to be
> > tightened in wartime, lest we find
> > ourselves defeated by our own demands
> > for more freedom than survive wars.
> > I would have thought that any thinking
> > person who has made any effort whatever
> > to stay abreast of history and current
> > events would be familiar with that.
> > None of us like it but some of us
> > understand it.
>
> Dick, the problem I'm having is that you seem to be saying, "it is
> wartime, so whatever the government wants to do is just fine by me.
> I'm willing to give up my rights without question. I'm willing to
> "sacrifice" without question. I'm willing to let the government lock
> up anyone they want without question. I'm willing to do whatever the
> government wants, again without question."
>
> But that should never be required of a free people in a free
> country. We should never just sit back and do whatever the government
> wants without question (even in wartime). If we do, we have truly
> ceased to be a free people in a free country.
>
> Nobody is saying that we should never sacrifice, never stand up and
> fight, or never listen to the government (I'm certainly not saying
> that). But, before doing any of those things, we should seriously ask
> if it is truly necessary for national defense. If it isn't, then the
> government has no business asking us to do it and we should darn sure
> be asking questions (at the very least).

Boy you are an absolute master at talking out of both sides of your
mouth. You are perfectly willing to give yp rights for the common good
in wartime, but you don't believe it is ever justified to have to do so.

What a guy! I sure hope there are not many like you around.

All these decisions can't be made by each individual, Dwight. You have
no idea what the President or Sec Def knows about what is truly needed.
So you would reserve the right to overrule them and decide for yourself.
We see. And we are not surprised.

5801. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 06:59:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qglsl$km2$1@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21992

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Dick, the problem I'm having is that you seem to be saying, "it is
> > wartime, so whatever the government wants to do is just fine by me.
> > I'm willing to give up my rights without question. I'm willing to
> > "sacrifice" without question.
>
> That's not what he's saying, Dwight. Dick is willing to give up
> the rights of any citizen who doesn't look like him, has a
> different religion or ethnic background than him, etc.

Cecil for common sense performance your IQ is about 50.

5802. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 07:03:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qgm4e$km2$2@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 21993

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Oh, it allows Dwight to wallow in selfpity.
>
> It's not self pity, Dick. Nothing bad has happened to me, so why
> would I expect pity? I'm simply expressing a concern for the future of
> this country - the rights of this country's people. If you were not so
> self absorbed, you might have more concern for the nation also.
> Instead, you're satisfied to just sit back and let the government do
> whatever it wants. No effort at all to do that, is there, Dick? It
> doesn't take much effort, or bravery, to goose step without question
> to every whim of a government. Anybody can do that - to be free
> requires more.
>

Dwight you're a frigging idiot.

5803. Re: Do I need to learn Morse Code for a ham license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 07:22:01 UTC
From: Paul Friedman <paulfriedman@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <teRy7.842$P26.207763@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 21994

Kris:

You will need to pass the 5 wpm morse code test to get your General or Extra license (or the Tech Plus). Without this (that is, with a Tech license) you will be limited to VHF and above (50 Mhz and higher).

I just sat for the exams on Oct 6. The Tech and General exams were quite easy; the Extra was much tougher -- but,the Extra privileges are rather minor, the big jump is Tech to General.

The code test was easier then I expected. In order to pass you have to do ONE of the following (you get to try both):

1) produce 1 minute (out of the 5 minute test) of clear copy. That's easier then it sounds. If
you get the callsigns of the sending and receiving station, you are more then half way
there for example -- and, the callsigns are sent twice (once at the beginning and once at
the end.)

After copying the code but before turning it in, you are allowed to correct it. So, for
example you have copied: "My r_g is a Kedwoo_" you could change it to "My rig is a
Kenwood"

2) Answer 7 out of 10 correctly in a fill-in-the-blank test on the test transmission.

The written tests are all multiple guess. The questions are a mixture of rules, safety and theory. The safety ones are mostly common sense as are some of the rules. Some simply require memorization (there ain't no such thing as "understanding" that General privileges
on 40-meters include 7025 Khz to 7150 Khz and 7225 Khz to 7300 Khz -- you simply memorize it). The theory questions on the Tech and General tests is mostly basic stuff. With my vast technical expertise (a History major who had to buy a screwdriver to install my antenna) - and the fact that I haven't taken a test of any sort in about 30 years - I managed to pass and so will you with a little study.

Good luck!

Paul Friedman (AB0SI)

"Kris" <kr1b@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:58c72dcf.0110152131.3ae75343@posting.google.com...

> After listening for many years to amateur radio (and SWL) on HF, this
> hobby seems like it might be kind of fun to join...
>
> I heard the Morse Code requirement was dropped altogether. But from
> searching these groups, it looks like there are three licenses (Tech,
> General, Extra) and is a 5wpm requirement for the top two requiring
> the 5wpm code proficiency.
>
> Can anyone clear this up? (No the FCC web site seemed useless on this)
>
> How hard are the tests? (I know this is a subjective question, but if
> someone could characterize the exams that would help, are they mostly
> about electronics or about the rules, for example)
>
> Thanks

5804. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 08:44:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBF362.7D211420@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21995

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Hey Genius! Did it ever occur that
> there are plans afoot for the ones
> now sitting on the ground? One thing
> the guys in charge these days are
> good at is planning. I guess you may
> have missed that, huh?

You're right, there is a plan for them - patrolling the skies over the US. Which brings us back to the question - why NATO AWACS when we have our own?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5805. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 09:30:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCBFE1C.D1AA3D30@sccoast.net>
Source record: 21996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> All these decisions can't be made
> by each individual, Dwight. You have
> no idea what the President or Sec
> Def knows about what is truly needed.
> So you would reserve the right to
> overrule them and decide for yourself.
> We see. And we are not surprised.

That is nonsense, Dick. Read the Bill of Rights. They are supposed to be absolute, not something that can removed at the simple whim of the President (the Secretary of Defense you mention has no authority in this regard whatsoever). Furthermore, if there is a need for limited restraints on those rights, there is a legal process to use (it's called Marshal Law). Short of that, this is a democracy, not a dictatorship, and we have certain rights as outlined in the Constitution. One of those rights insures us of a right to speak out in defense of those rights. When we fail to do so, the only possible result is the complete loss of those rights. And that is exactly what is going to happen if people continue to sit back and allow those rights to disappear without even so much as a whimper.

Dwight Stewart

5806. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 09:32:40 UTC
From: Jim Henson <kg4kcm@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tavnst876n522m8lk0ggh6dnaoco2ee877@4ax.com>
Source record: 21997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 19:00:59 GMT, "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net>
wrote:

>All one had to do is listen to the air waves to know just how irrelevant
>hams are. A bunch of old farts talking the same tired crap, day in and day
>out. This notion that hams could provide a much needed service in times of
>emergency today is fanciful nonsense. Anyone who has a cell phone can do
>what they do and probably better.

Actually, ham radio operators are very much of use here, where
I live. The main repeater in this area is tied into the National
Weather Service and EMA, and are put in alert mode every time there is
severe weather. We have a lot of tornados around here, and phone and
power go out during the worst of them, with ham radio operators
calling in all of the damaged areas to get the work crews back out and
utilities back up as soon as possible, and medical care provided where
needed as soon as possible. Cell phones don't tend to work too well
when there is no power to run their repeaters or their towers are
ripped down in a tornado. I don't know why ham repeaters tend to
survive the weather better, maybe because they tend to be built by
hams that care about their equipment, not by a company that figures
they can just send a truck out to fix a problem sooner or later.

Ham radio operators DO serve a purpose here, and everywhere
else, I imagine.

Jim Henson
KG4KCM

5807. Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 11:00:13 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1636238871a3c77c9896af@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21998

Have you noticed that all the whinny "we're losing our rights" crybabys seem to be from Texas?

Cecil, Dwight and TIT"s all hail from the state that brings you equal opportunity death penalties. HMMMMM

You got Dwight who cries about some guys in Army suits..unarmed guys in army suits walking around his mall. Funny how HIS mall seems to be the only one in the US being patrolled by National Guard though. You'd think that some of the major malls like The Mall of America that WAS targeted by the terror its would have National Guard units patrolling it, but they aren't. You have Dwights little town having National Guard roaming the streets when cities like NY, LA, Atlanta don't even have the happening. They're in the airports, the bus station, the train stations, etc, but they're unarmed and certainly NOT wandering the streets.

Makes me think Dwight is a tad over exaggerating. His "little" town is a scene right out of Red Dawn. Look out Dwight.....Black Helicopters. Look out..here comes Boris and Natasha. Hey Rocky..nothing up up sleeve ROARRRRR must have been the wrong suit

You have TIT's complaining that people are listening to her phone calls and such. Guess that cuts into her 1-900 phone business.

And then you have Cecil who argues for the sake of such. Now out of the blue, his Mexican born mother suddenly sprouts a German surname. Amazing..a story for every occasion. What next?? We find that old Cecil is really Muslim??

And here's the rub, they have yet to mention any specific rights they have lost!!!!! Oh my gosh..generalities and fallacies to date, BUT NOT CLEAR FACTS.

They'd prefer to have some soldier put into danger so that they can play armchair general. They prefer to hide their pointy empty heads in the sand because "it's no my problem. It's NYC and Wash, DC's problem".

He's what we do. Right your Congressman. Tell them that Texas should be declared a rights zone. Put all the whinny crybabies in Texas, cut off all access to the rest of the world. Make Texas total autonomous and totally self sufficient from the rest of the US and the world and then sit back and watch Texas turn into another Afghanistan.

Hooo Boy would that be great or what??

5808. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 11:11:15 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1636262216b1ecca9896b0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 21999

In article <3BCBC48A.969D539@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > But if there is a threat or attact in
> > those malls you will probably be one
> > of the first to scream, "why weren't
> > there troops in that mall or why
> > weren't the police searching those
> > vehicles?"
>
>
> First of all, the troops are no longer at the local malls (just the
> airport and bus station). However, if there were a threat to any one
> of those malls, I would never advocate troops in those malls - we have
> police to do that type of work (it's not the military's job - they do
> not have the training to be police officers). And if there was a
> viable threat to any one of those malls, I would expect the police to
> do their job.

Sure, the police will handle these guys. But you live in a little town Dwight. I picture Sheriff Bufford T. Justice, belly hanging out screaming Ya know there boy. You got to put that Abomb down now ya'here. What we have here is..failure ta communicate

>
> As for searching vehicles, it is absurd to conduct random searches
> of vehicles because there MIGHT be a possible threat. If we accept
> that logic, then we should just throw out the words in the
> Constitution that say, "the right of the people to be secure in their
> persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches
> and seizures, shall not be violated.." Searching random vehicles
> because there MIGHT be possible threat is unreasonable (there is
> always a possible threat of something, so there would never be a time
> when a search is not warranted - which is not what our forefathers
> intended when they wrote those words).

POSSIBLE THREAT. Oh that's right. It's a NYC thing, not s dinkwater backward town like Conway TexASS

Better the next car bomb goes off next to you Dwight. I know I'd feel better seeing that they target you family than mine.

Funny, how did you feel when they randomly stopped the Terrorist in Portland Washington during the 2000 New Year celebration with a carload of explosives headed for LAX? I'm sure you were outraged that this person was randomly prevented from killing innocent people.

The rules change during times of war. deal with it. Better yet, support the ACLU. There's a group you seem to identify with

5809. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 11:14:08 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163626caef643b749896b1@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22000

In article <3BCBB7EB.DE31BA33@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > The "inside information" you
> > made up "indside" you head I
> > suppose. Just because you
> > thought it up does not
> > substantiate the claim.
>
>
> The information you claim was made up in my head has been backed up
> by another message in this very thread (a person said he has
> personally seen AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground in this country -
> which is exactly what I said).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

If I'd had known just what type of an ass you ARE, I'd have never provided that information. I'm ashamed to even consider you a citizen of this country.

They're still there. AS well as some C5 Galaxies, although I think they're there being loaded. Most of them are based near another NG loser The Larry from Dover, Del

5810. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 11:25:23 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1636296e119a78b09896b2@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22051

In article <3BCBC113.EFBC9DFE@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > If it stops another slaughter,
> > then it's worth it. (snip) If it
> > protects out troops by not telegraphing
> > some piece of information then it's
> > worth it. (snip)
>
>
> Have you been listening to the news at all, Jack. Nobody, and I
> repeat NOBODY, is talking about anything having to do with "our
> troops." We're talking about the recent "request" by the White House
> for news agencies to censor the comments of the Taliban. And we're
> talking about the military urging the press not to cover the attacks
> in Afghanistan (not "our troops").

Have you been listening to the news DWIGHT, there ARE troops on the
ground in Afghanistan. The special forces have people inside that Texas
twin country. As to the Tai liban, they military has already stated that
the possibility is very high that there are coded messages within his
broadcasts. Why should we make it easier for terror its to bomb this
country? Oh that's right..it doesn't affect your little town, only NY

>
>
> > If it catches one of these Islamic
> > madmen before he can crop dust YOUR
> > town, then it's worth it. (snip)
>
>
> How are either of the things I just listed going to catch anyone?
> Pay attention, Jack.

You'd rather they have free reign here? Great Dwight. Friend of Osama??

>
>
> > > Whether you like the idea or not,
> > > the attack on the WTC is a New York
> > > problem.
> >
> > Really doofus? Check the stock market
> > fool. Check the status of the economy
> > AFTER the attack and tell me how NY

> > doesn't affect the rest of the country.
> > Check the number of container ships
> > that AREN'T unloading in NY harbor and
> > then get back to me when you hemorrhoid
> > cream runs out and you can't find any.
>
>
> Another person who obviously doesn't know the WTC was in New York.
> Trust me, the WTC was in New York. The WTC was attacked in New York.
> The WTC was not attacked anywhere else. Are those ideas too hard to
> understand, Jack. The stock market, economy, and so forth, are the
> aftermath of the WTC attack. The aftermath of the WTC attack is not
> the WTC attack, Jack. Got that now, Jack?

nope Dwight, they go hand in hand DWIGHT GOT THAT DWIGHT The latter has
a lot to do with the former. But you forgot DWIGHT, it's a Washington DX
problem too. You forgot to slam the dead from the Pentagon attack as
well...DWIGHT Got that DWIGHT

>
>
> > I'm sure you're all busted up, you little
> > self centered shit.
>
>
> Look, I don't have time for your games. You want to twist what I've
> said and then call me names because my now twisted comments don't fit
> your own little agenda. Sorry, my friend, I have better things to do.

You ain;t no friend of mine DWIGHT

>
>
> > Lemme see. Florida isn't NY and Washington
> > isn't NY. Chicago isn't NY either yet they're
> > all experiencing something.
>
>
> But are you saying that they experienced the WTC attack? Not the
> aftermath - the attack itself?

They've all been attacked DWIGHT. See they've all been attacked. Boy
your Cecilian logic ain't up to par with the master

>
>
> > Things have a way of happening. Sure would
> > be great to have them happen to you and see
> > what little whinny dance you do then.
>
>
> Look, why do you hate me so much? What have I said that would cause

> you to wish harm on me?

Just seeing how you like it. You wish harm on so many others. After all it's not YOUR problem. It's someone elses

>
>
> > Hmmm, seems that the Mall of America was a
> > potential target. Seems that malls attract
> > large numbers of people and that would make
> > a great statement for bomber. Asshole!!!!!
>
>
> And, if there is a viable threat to that mall, the authorities
> should do whatever they can to protect that mall. But censoring the
> press is not going to protect that mall. And neither is limiting
> access to information to Congress. And neither will massive expansion
> of police powers to wiretap.

If you've got something to hide then, you're right.

>
>
> > What a fucking asshole. I hope something
> > happens, but only to you and your family.
>
>
> Oh, so now it is violence on me and my family. Last message to you,
> my friend. I only talk to civilized human beings.

But DWIGHT, why would anyone want to both with your little town. It's a NY thing, according to you

Guess that excludes both of us and then again most of us.

Well, better you than me. I feel a tad safer seeing those National Guard people. Just like cockroaches, the terrorists will look for places with less protection. Better they move toward you then hang around near me

5811. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 11:26:04 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1636299d268bc4629896b3@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22052

In article <9qgm4e$km2$2@208.206.142.72>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Oh, it allows Dwight to wallow in selfpity.
> >
> > It's not self pity, Dick. Nothing bad has happened to me, so why
> > would I expect pity? I'm simply expressing a concern for the future of
> > this country - the rights of this country's people. If you were not so
> > self absorbed, you might have more concern for the nation also.
> > Instead, you're satisfied to just sit back and let the government do
> > whatever it wants. No effort at all to do that, is there, Dick? It
> > doesn't take much effort, or bravery, to goose step without question
> > to every whim of a government. Anybody can do that - to be free
> > requires more.
> >
>
> Dwight you're a frigging idiot.

no you did it. DWIGHT only talks to civilized people. But then again
it's not a NY thing

5812. Re: Taco Bell: CQ = chicken quesidella

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 11:56:51 UTC
From: Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <7gVy7.5$7d.903@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 22053

Gee.... ya think the ARRL should have petitioned Taco Bell to have had a radio theme instead of computers as the background theme in the Chicken Quesidella ads???

Just kiddin'

Ryan

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3BC91D5E.490E6FA5@ix.netcom.com...
> :-)
>

5813. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 12:03:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016080345.04952.00000151@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 22054

In article <20011012072926.27749.00002739@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Ah. 3579.545 is only 54.545 kHz above the top edge of the Extra subband. I
>>got
>>it.
>
> Yeppers...3525 is the limit...he was asserting that this was Extra Class
>only domain.

I looked it up in deja. 5/5/99 was the date.
>
>>We have to set the example, Steve. You ever see me cuss in here, or call
>>people
>>names even when they call me names?
>
>Nope...you are quite correct. I admire your patience and tolerance of
>his
>indiscretions.

Tnx - but it treat everyone that way.

> I DO allow him to pull me some. Not as much as he could, but
>he
>does. I just don't tolerate bullies and liars.
>
> He's both.

Maybe. Or perhaps he's just confused and mistaken.
>
>>He who angers me controls me. Nobody here angers me. Some try, and they may
>>even think they succeed, but they are mistaken.
>
--

Submitted for your approval:

Suppose someone really hated Morse code, ham radio and all its traditions, accomplishments and other good stuff. One way for that person to hurt the ARS would be to get hams fighting among themselves and behaving in ways that are less than exemplary. Then the person could say "see how hams act?"

Ever see the Disney movie "Lady and the Tramp"? There's a scene where a visiting aunt brings her Simese cats with her, and they proceed to tear up the house. But they do it in such a way that it looks like the dog (Lady) is the guilty party. It's an old, old trick.

--
The way subversion and terrorism work is to turn a society against itself,
using its own tools and strengths.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5814. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 12:24:16 UTC
From: richard54@email.com
Message-ID: <3da73545.0110160424.3bc1cbc1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22055

I htink it's still here. The same people are in charge:

http://www.powow.com/reviews/valentine.htm

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9qgli4$im0$3@208.206.142.72>...
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > >>Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >>> I'll repeat, common sense is uncommon among you. If the Germans had
> > >>>attacked New Tork they probably would have.
> > >>>
> > >>How could the Germans attack New Tork? They don't even know
> > >>where it is. I don't even know where it is. Where is it?
> > >>
> > > The Islamic terrorists had no trouble finding it.
> > So what exactly did the Islamic terrorists do to New Tork
> > and why hasn't it been reported in any of the papers or
> > on TV? What state is New Tork in anyway?
> >
> > BTW, Dick, the federal government apologized to the Japanese-
> > American citizens who were unfairly interned during WWII. You,
> > OTOH, don't seem very apologetic.
> >
>
> Yeah, and there are lots of people around today who would apologize for
> Hiroshima and Nagasaki, too. Harry Truman was right on. You have no idea
> how many of those interred may have been subversive. And the government
> apologized for me, they know I had nothing to do with it. How many
> slaves have you ever owned? You're responsibile for slavery,150 years
> ago, you know.

5815. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 14:27:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qhg58$46g$0@208.207.71.130>
Source record: 22056

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

Dwight - what - a - sight, mumbled

> > The information you claim was made up in my head has been backed up
> > by another message in this very thread (a person said he has
> > personally seen AWACS aircraft sitting on the ground in this country -
> > which is exactly what I said).
> >
> They're still there. AS well as some C5 Galaxies, although I think
> they're there being loaded. Most of them are based near another NG loser
> The Larry from Dover, Del

Dwight the Cerebral can't imagine that those AWACS might be committed
in plans for something more than checking out the friendly skies over
the USA, and thus are being backed up by NATO.

5816. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 14:38:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qhgpk$5i6$0@208.207.71.130>
Source record: 22057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > All these decisions can't be made
> > by each individual, Dwight. You have
> > no idea what the President or Sec
> > Def knows about what is truly needed.
> > So you would reserve the right to
> > overrule them and decide for yourself.
> > We see. And we are not surprised.
>
> That is nonsense, Dick. Read the Bill of Rights. They are supposed
> to be absolute, not something that can removed at the simple whim of
> the President (the Secretary of Defense you mention has no authority
> in this regard whatsoever). Furthermore, if there is a need for
> limited restraints on those rights, there is a legal process to use
> (it's called Marshal Law). Short of that, this is a democracy, not a
> dictatorship, and we have certain rights as outlined in the
> Constitution. One of those rights insures us of a right to speak out
> in defense of those rights. When we fail to do so, the only possible
> result is the complete loss of those rights. And that is exactly what
> is going to happen if people continue to sit back and allow those
> rights to disappear without even so much as a whimper.

Yeah, you have a right to say anything you wish. And I have a right to
consider you a complete idiot and say so.

5817. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 14:50:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qhhfs$79h$0@208.207.71.130>
Source record: 22058

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

> You got Dwight who cries about some guys in Army suits..unarmed guys in
> army suits walking around his mall. Funny how HIS mall seems to be the
> only one in the US being patrolled by National Guard though.

Most any weekend you will see a few National Guard types coming and going, and stopping by the mall enroute to or from home. That's probably what Dwight is seeing, and we already know how well he understands things.

5818. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 15:24:59 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110160724.276c45de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22059

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote

> Isn't war grand, Hans?

No, Stewart, war really sucks. I've been to a couple of them, punk,
and that's why I'm happy to see my governor call out the National
Guard to help protect my homeland.

Oh, I forgot, it's a New York thing, isn't it. We shouldn't have
to worry. What a pathetic American you turned out to be!

Hans

5819. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 16:10:08 UTC
From: K0HB@conspiracy.net
Message-ID: <9qhm50$47e$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 22060

In article <3BCBF362.7D211420@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> why NATO AWACS when we have our own?

Last time I checked we were a member and the major financier
of NATO, so I guess we're getting the use of some of the assets
we bought for our own protection.

Hans

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5820. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 16:19:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110160819.2904b6c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22061

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:<MPG.1636238871a3c77c9896af@news.earthlink.net>...
> Have you noticed that all the whinny "we're losing our rights" crybabys
> seem to be from Texas?

Not really - see below.
>
> Cecil, Dwight and TIT"s all hail from the state that brings you equal
> opportunity death penalties. HMMMMM

Plus presidents ranging from LBJ (ugh) to both Bushes.

Cecil is the only genuine native Texan in Texas in the bunch. Kim is originally from New York. I dunno about Dwight, but I remember him mentioning moving to the Pacific Northwest a short time ago. He was previously in SC.

Possible reason for the high visibility of some military activity in those areas: They are border states. Just because the USA has upped security and airline safety doesn't mean everybody else has.

Back in '99 an alert border official near Seattle stopped a guy driving in from Canada because he "looked funny". Turned out the car was actually a bomb (literally) and the guy was an Algerian heading for LAX for Y2K. The Algerian is now "in custody" and "cooperating" with federal authorities.

> You got Dwight who cries about some guys in Army suits..unarmed guys in
> army suits walking around his mall. Funny how HIS mall seems to be the
> only one in the US being patrolled by National Guard though. You'd think
> that some of the major malls like The Mall of America that WAS targeted
> by the terror its would have National Guard units patrolling it, but they
> aren't. You have Dwights little town having National Guard roaming the
> streets when cities like NY, LA, Atlanta don't even have the happening.
> They're in the airports, the bus station, the train stations, etc, but
> they're unarmed and certainly NOT wandering the streets.

Much of the rest of the world lives at that level of security or more.
>
> Makes me think Dwight is a tad over exaggerating. His "little" town is a
> scene right out of Red Dawn. Look out Dwight.....Black Helicopters.
> Look out..here comes Boris and Natasha. Hey Rocky..nothing up up sleeve
> ROARRRRR must have been the wrong suit

I gotta get another hat....
>
> You have TIT's complaining that people are listening to her phone calls

> and such. Guess that cuts into her 1-900 phone business.
>
> And then you have Cecil who argues for the sake of such.

Ayup. Some of us try to keep him entertained.

> Now out of the
> blue, his Mexican born mother suddenly sprouts a German surname.
> Amazing..a story for every occasion. What next?? We find that old Cecil
> is really Muslim??

No, no, no. Cecil (or, actually, one of his personalities) is actually
a 300,000 foot tall pandimensional space alien. What you see on the
QRZ website is just his interface to our dimensional reality.
>
> And here's the rub, they have yet to mention any specific rights they
> have lost!!!!! Oh my gosh..generalities and fallacies to date, BUT NOT
> CLEAR FACTS.
>
> They'd prefer to have some soldier put into danger so that they can play
> armchair general. They prefer to hide their pointy empty heads in the
> sand because "it's no my problem. It's NYC and Wash, DC's problem".

Before Sept 11, lots of Americans thought "it can't happen here..."
>
> He's what we do. Right your Congressman. Tell them that Texas should be
> declared a rights zone. Put all the whinny crybabies in Texas, cut off
> all access to the rest of the world. Make Texas total autonomous and
> totally self sufficient from the rest of the US

Y'know, a lot of Texans would go for that....

> and the world and then
> sit back and watch Texas turn into another Afghanistan.

it IS about the same size....
>
> Hooo Boy would that be great or what??

Be careful what you ask for.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

stay safe

5821. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 16:41:24 UTC
From: W6HGR@HOONOSE.ORG
Message-ID: <9qhnvk$4s7$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 22062

In article <MPG.1636262216b1ecca9896b0@news.earthlink.net>, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA

> not s dinkwater backward town like Conway TexASS

Actually I think Dwight is in SC, not Texas, but he's still an idiott.

Hugh G. Reckshun

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5822. Re: Cable Specs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 17:48:00 UTC
From: SoCal Tom <chupacabra@vampire.boo>
Message-ID: <kp_y7.6$lr4.5985@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 22063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"gariac" wrote in message
>
> One of the better battery sources is http://www.batterystore.com/ , which
is
> really TNR technical. They will do custom battery packs if you provide the
> artwork.

Bookmarked. Thanks, gariac.

--
SoCal Tom

5823. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 17:54:50 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCC746A.1090100@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22064

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> As for searching vehicles, it is absurd to conduct random searches
> of vehicles because there MIGHT be a possible threat. If we accept
> that logic, then we should just throw out the words in the
> Constitution that say, "the right of the people to be secure in their
> persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches
> and seizures, shall not be violated...

Not to mention probable cause.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5824. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 17:58:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCC753D.5080006@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22065

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>Ever wonder if Timothy McVeigh might have been one of them?
>>>
>>Guess Dick wants to lock up everyone with a flattop haircut.
>>
> You DO have one don't you Cecil?
I would if I could, Dick. My flattop doesn't have enough

hair to stand up by itself so I just have a buzz. I look
like an aged Texas Aggie freshman.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5825. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 18:10:04 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCC77FC.4050904@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22066

Dick Carroll wrote:

> You have no idea
> how many of those interred may have been subversive.

With that logic, the entire population of the US should
be locked up. But wait, you have no idea how many of
the prison guards might be subversive so they have to
be locked up also. Egads, even the warden might be a
subversive so lock him up. Seems to me, you simply hate
people who are different from you in some way that doesn't
matter. If the constitutional rights of American citizens
can be violated because of the way they look, or the
spelling of their last name, or their religion, then
you and I have NO constitutional rights.

> You're responsibile for slavery,150 years ago, you know.
Dick, I come from a long line of indentured servants.

They didn't even own any land much less own slaves. They
worked alongside the slaves and lived in shacks just like
the slaves. Unlike the slaves, their food was not furnished
by the landowner and they had to grow their own or starve.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5826. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 18:14:34 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCC790A.3080404@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22067

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Yeah, you have a right to say anything you wish. And I have a right to
> consider you a complete idiot and say so.

Actually, if you have your way in what you have espoused here,
you will not have that right for long.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5827. show nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 18:18:49 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16368a4ca42ffb99896b5@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22068

W5NET is a cracker from South Carolina. Guess that explain thats. All they gots down dere are tabaccy farms and chain gangs

Ahhh Conway, SC, where the mens are mens and the livestock is nervous.

You here about the Sc'er who put carpet in his bathroom. Liked it so much he laid carpet all the way up to the house

Conway SC..home to the invention of the toothbrush.

Anywhere else in the US it would have been called a teethbrush.

Just kidding Dwight, you go running around looking for all those bad mans, cause it's a NY thing and when things happen in NY they certainly don;t affect you....

5828. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 18:19:20 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCC7A28.3030908@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22069

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

> Cecil, Dwight and TIT"s all hail from the state that brings you equal
> opportunity death penalties. HMMMMM

As usual, you are wrong. But concerning Texans, remember Texas was
once an independent country so we are particularly attuned to
individual rights and violations thereof.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5829. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 18:20:27 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <9003bfd783cad4af8fc98b159cd0d096.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22070

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net>

> These are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_
> care about those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.

While you "speak out" like some misbegotten refuge from Haight-Ashbury-1960,
our police, firemen, National Guardsmen, and other patriots are putting
their lives on the line to protect those very things.

Not a single one of your rights and damned few of your privileges are
affected by our war on Bin Laden-et.al, yet you give them aid and comfort
like a modern day Jane Fonda.

Hans

--
Posted from mplsapanas03poolc98.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.5.98]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5830. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:02:09 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163694688c643ef29896b6@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22071

In article <3BCC7A28.3030908@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> > Cecil, Dwight and TIT"s all hail from the state that brings you equal
> > opportunity death penalties. HMMMMM
>
> As usual, you are wrong. But concerning Texans, remember Texas was
> once an independent country so we are particularly attuned to
> individual rights and violations thereof.

Independent as in stolen from Mexico, if I remember my history correctly.
A proud statistic in yur book I'm sure

I noticed that in the honor Texas has in executing more people in one
year than anyplace else on the face of the earth. A proud statistic in
your book I'm sure

So tell me Cecil, what fantastic stories can you make up now about
what';s going on. We have a Mexican/German mother.

Oh yes, to answer a question as to why Japanese and not Germans.

1 is the fact of the surprise attack on Pearl Harbor that actually drew
the US into the war.

2 was that as a group the Japanese were easily identifiable vis a vis
racial profiling. It's hard to pick a German sympathiser out of a crowd
of White Anglo Saxon Protestants.

5831. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:05:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BCC760B.EDD13992@mailcity.com>
Source record: 22072

According to this moronic line of thinking, then we should not require security screening of passengers at airports just because there MIGHT be a possible threat. What a moron.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> As for searching vehicles, it is absurd to conduct random searches
> of vehicles because there MIGHT be a possible threat. If we accept
> that logic, then we should just throw out the words in the
> Constitution that say, "the right of the people to be secure in their
> persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches
> and seizures, shall not be violated.." Searching random vehicles
> because there MIGHT be possible threat is unreasonable (there is
> always a possible threat of something, so there would never be a time
> when a search is not warranted - which is not what our forefathers
> intended when they wrote those words).
>

5832. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:34:32 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16369c065803cfa19896b7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22073

In article <3BCC760B.EDD13992@mailcity.com>, radiobuff@mailcity.com
says...
> According to this moronic line of thinking, then we should not
> require security screening of passengers at airports just because
> there MIGHT be a possible threat. What a moron.
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >
> > As for searching vehicles, it is absurd to conduct random searches
> > of vehicles because there MIGHT be a possible threat. If we accept
> > that logic, then we should just throw out the words in the
> > Constitution that say, "the right of the people to be secure in their
> > persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches
> > and seizures, shall not be violated.." Searching random vehicles
> > because there MIGHT be possible threat is unreasonable (there is
> > always a possible threat of something, so there would never be a time
> > when a search is not warranted - which is not what our forefathers
> > intended when they wrote those words).
> >

I wonder if Gud Ol Boy Dwight can paraphrase what the founding fathers
said should happen in the event that madmen commandeering airplanes
decide to crash them into tall buildings.

Perhaps Dwight might read what the Supreme Court had to say about random
searches of vehicles..HEY DWIGHT, they said it was allowable. Random DUI
stops are legal as well. Heckfire DWIGHT, the supreme court just stated
that police no longer need permission to search your car if they have
suspicion. But then again, driving a car is a privilege and not a right
as guaranteed by either the Bill of Rights or the Constitution, so all
the rules need not apply

Besides looking for terrorists with bombs and BIo-toxins IS REASONABLE in
the extent of the beloved constitution. For failure to find them or do
anything about them violates the precepts of life, liberty and the
pursuit of happiness. Can't have any of them of you're dead. ;-)

Check and mate

5833. Re: The Eagle has landed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:54:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016155408.12931.00000042@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 22079

In article <MPG.1575667999d010009896fb@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>Subject: The Eagle has landed
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
>Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 11:14:36 GMT
>
>In article <eXFO6.37345$t12.2970399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>>
>> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> news:9ef946blt2@208.206.142.80...
>> >
>> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> > >
>> > > In article <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>> > >
>> > > >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > what do you think happened to 220 to
>> > > >> 222 Mhz?
>> > > >
>> > > > We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?
>> > >
>> > > You didn't answer the question. I guess that's expected from someone
>> whose
>> > > responses to intellegent dialog are the intellectual equivalent of
>> diarhea.
>> >
>> > Thagt was exactly the correct response to a kook who only thinks his
>> > dialog bears some resemblence to intelligence.
>>
>> When the answer to my question 'What does it mean to 'represent' radio
>> users? To whom and for what?' came back as unknown but it was a good way to
>> raise revenue I started to look for the looking glass I had apparently
>> fallen thru.
>>
>> When an idea we can't define becomes both a good idea and one I am myopic
>> for not recognizing, it is getting beyond suspension of disbelief.
>>
>> "When it's getting too kinky for other folks, it's just about right for me"
>> says Richard Friedman leader of one of Willy Nelson's opener groups, Kinky
>> Friedman and the Texas Jewboys. He can have it.
>>

>> Kind of enjoyed They Ain't Making Jews Like Jesus Anymore tho.
>>
>> Dick BK
>
>AH yes, the I-man's anthem. Yes dear friends, I AM in LA..that's Los
>Angeles or LALA LAnd to those in the nose. I have tried the Len-meister
>twice now..alas..nada. Guess his caller ID shows it's a payphone..but I
>still have a few days. I have some nice pics of the Little Furhers QTH
>.. not bad..not great but not bad.
>
>Ran into some really bad WX both in Dayton and on the trip to Vegas but
>such is life. If you can't go over or around...Set 'er down and ride it
>out.
>
>Today we'll try to establish contact from various stores in the area,
>just to see if the weasel even picks up the phone. More pics of the area
>as we tour the land the Upyours Furher calls da hood
>
>--
>DX is a way of life to some
>A hobby to others
>an obsession to the rest
>5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
>#1 Honor Roll (still)

This is a non-reply to illustrate some of the sociopathic behavior exhibited by the distrubed. It is a form of personalized terrorism that disturbed individuals pursue.

According to Pacific Bell security, there were seven calls from an unidentifiable telephone number (pay phones are not identifiable in this service area). All other calls into our residence telephone were checked out and verified. Perhaps the telephone security folks did a recording? There were hints that they did.

My wife and I were in another state during May and June but this pseudonym-hidden individual did not know this nor of our planning that trip three months prior. The Los Angeles Police were notified of a "stalker" situation and copies of previous newsgroup messages presented to them and reviewed by the special LAPD unit handling stalking messages. Pacific Bell was advised and monitored our residence line. Our neighbors were notified and our residence was observed for any strangers or evidence of criminal activity both inside and out. There was none and that is a good thing...but there is still a record of telephone contact attempts and a file with the LAPD.

This individual, who would later surface as the potty pseudonym of "Jack Mehoff," claimed to have taken "photographs of the QTH" and other close areas. From phone records this person never got any closer than about 34 miles. Calls were all from

the same 714 area code number in Orange County. No neighbors noticed anyone photographing our house. If anyone "needs" such photographs I am able to supply digital images as an attachment to private e-mail. No charge. Ask nice.

Discussion, debate, and argument is one thing. STALKING and threats of harm, or even wishes for harm against another is a totally different thing. It is a form of personalized terrorism for whatever maladjusted reason... hardly different from the motivations of those religious-sect fanatics committing Mass Murder in the USA.

Personal amateur terrorists aren't aware that someone is watching them and know them. They cannot hide.

5834. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:54:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016155409.12931.00000043@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 22077

In article <b7c5262e.0110160724.276c45de@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) writes:

>Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
>
>> Isn't war grand, Hans?
>
>No, Stewart, war really sucks. I've been to a couple of them, punk,
>and that's why I'm happy to see my governor call out the National
>Guard to help protect my homeland.

Perhaps Brakob would like to relate his war stories of heroism in battle against the enemy that justifies such statements of leadership, battle, and hewing-the-longtimer-line?

This morning a couple of Air Guard F-16s and a support aircraft landed at BUR in the eastern San Fernando Valley. Their purpose is to provide Fighter Cover on civil airways, to be ready to shoot down any air carriers determined to be hijacked-as-weapons-of-destruction.

On September 10th the idea of USAF or Guard fighters aloft as Fighter Cover over USA soil was absurd. It is still absurd but in a different way...and that different way is what I read into Dwight's messages.

>Oh, I forgot, it's a New York thing, isn't it. We shouldn't have
>to worry. What a pathetic American you turned out to be!

Perhaps Brakob likes militarism and martial law? Perhaps he likes some home-grown STASI checking "papers" at checkpoints? I don't. But then I'm one of those "punks" you name (in some kind of disgust) as un-American, un-patriotic because I still believe in the Constitution and some amendments to it called the Bill of Rights.

Some time ago I took an oath to defend the US Constitution. That must have been as a "punk" in 1952, wasn't it? I'm sure you will think so, being the mighty career military man who has "been to wars" (plural). I still support that oath, Master Chief Brakob...but your having "been to wars" doesn't give you any sort of rights to call other military veterans names. I've been to only one war as a participant. I didn't like it, still don't, but I volunteered for it.

Now let's hear some talk about morse code being "patriotic"
along with hewing to old-time-traditions-of-ham-radio as a
further expression of being "American." Advocacy of changing
regulations of a hobby radio activity must be considered
"un-American" isn't it? Maybe it's even "punkish?"

Cordially,
ex-RA16408336

5835. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:54:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016155410.12931.00000044@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 22075

In article <3BCBC113.EFBC9DFE@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

This pseudonym is a pornographic pejorative of a personality
that was previously "Funguy-W2FUN" in here. A long time ago
the same personality was identified by his real callsign and
correctly identified as the world's oldest living teen-aged ham..

>> I'm sure you're all busted up, you little
>> self centered shit.
>
> Look, I don't have time for your games. You want to twist what I've
>said and then call me names because my now twisted comments don't fit
>your own little agenda. Sorry, my friend, I have better things to do.

The sociopath known by the potty mouthed handle is not
interested in anything but taking out his own agressions and
frustrations on others.

> Look, why do you hate me so much? What have I said that would cause
>you to wish harm on me?

Dwight, you are a convenient target. Having strong opinions
and not backing down to childish statements makes certain
folks very angry. It's their problem and they need therapy.

Ultimately the maladjusted will try to "close" their idea with
expressions of personal harm, of dying, etc., etc., etc. as if
that sort of "threat" will "end" a discussion or argument
such as -.

>> What a fucking asshole. I hope something
>> happens, but only to you and your family.
>
> Oh, so now it is violence on me and my family. Last message to you,
>my friend. I only talk to civilized human beings.

Sociopaths are far from civilized. I think you've made the
best possible choice with this pseudonymonous amateur
human.

5836. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:54:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016155411.12931.00000045@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 22078

In article <rRWx7.102835$3d2.3012741@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I originally wrote:
>
>> : Nice write-up intro, Jim.
>
>Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote
>
>> And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
>> (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
>> impedance calculations (note: impedance implies that a complex number is
>> involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant), and you can do it much
>> more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
>> rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
>> either.
>>
>> If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.
>>
>> However, the rest of us moved on years ago.
>
>Heh, heh. I have my Post Versalog right here in the desk
>drawer. It has served me well lo these 40 years. I now
>use it as a prop for my "Back in the Good Old Days" talks
>to younger engineers.

The first of the "scientific" pocket calculators, the HP-35,
appeared on the market at the beginning of the 1970s.
That was 30 years ago. The "younger engineers" (who
have not used a slide rule) are now bumping up against
the age of 50! :-)

>And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith
>chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone
>technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the
>impedance/admittance transformations that take place through
>a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies
>in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than
>in the precision of the calculations done with it.

An excellent description. [tip of the hat for that]

That conceptual model provides a visualization and better
relation to complex quantities...and is the reason it is
still being used today. So much so that it appears on
modern network analyzers as the front panel display.

>While it is necessary to move forward in technological
>development, I believe it is also necessary for the
>practitioners to see themselves as having a certain
>historical continuity with their predecessors (and
>their successors). One way this continuity is maintained
>is to understand fully the thought processes involved
>in developing the tools and artifacts of that era.
>Thus the interest in the Smith chart, vacuum-tube radios,
>slide rules and steam engines.

Vacuum tubes, slide rules, and steam engines...along
with morse code skill...are becoming artifacts which are
quaint, amusing relics of a bygone era. The Smith Chart
format still appears as the display on modern network
analyzers and is still being used by today's RF workers.

Er, sorry to mention morse code in there. It's not partiotic
and may be un-American to not love morse code. I've
been told that by real, true-blue Amateur Americans.

Cordially,
ex-RA16408336

5837. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:54:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016155412.12931.00000047@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 22074

In article <3BC78C41.47276187@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> Everybody else has to talk about behavior...they can't
>> talk about technical subjects... :-)
>
>There's another lie. Guess it applies to you after all, eh Len? :-)

...and there goes Jimmie again talking about behavior. QED.

5838. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 19:54:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016155412.12931.00000046@nso-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 22076

In article <20011016080345.04952.00000151@nso-mb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Submitted for your approval:

REJECTED.

>Suppose someone really hated Morse code, ham radio and all its traditions,
>accomplishments and other good stuff. One way for that person to hurt the ARS
>would be to get hams fighting among themselves and behaving in ways that are
>less than exemplary. Then the person could say "see how hams act?"

Advocacy of the elimination of the morse code test...which still
exists for under-30-MHz privileges...is not "terrorism."

What is exhibited here by Jimmie Miccolis and company is
a false equation of:

Old-style-amateur-radio = Patriotism-Honor-Integrity.

FALSE EQUATION

Apparently the old-style-amateur-radio types have their
lifestyles so wrapped up in their hobby that they cannot
debate, discuss, or even argue on anything less than
"all must cherish and honor the things that these old-time
amateurs love and cherish" or be labeled a traitor,
subversive, or an enemy of the people of the USA.

This has been evident in here long before the terrible,
monstrous Attack on America of day 9-11.

>The way subversion and terrorism work is to turn a society against itself,
>using its own tools and strengths.

"Subversion and terrorism practiced by a 'society'"
is to keep forever the regulations, traditions, and
brainwashing of a hobby activity...to forbid any
rational argument about it, to brand all that don't
think like the "community" with all manner of
pejoratives, to accuse them of terrorism in the
name of amateur radio. GET A LIFE.

5839. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 20:00:51 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tl0z7.141777$W8.3318268@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22080

Regarding NATO AWACS aircraft, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> You're right, there is a plan for them - patrolling the skies over
> the US. Which brings us back to the question - why NATO AWACS when we
> have our own?

Probably don't have enough to cover all the high-value,
terrorist target areas in the US _AND_ stay on top of
Afghanistan/the Middle East at the same time. We're
stretched pretty thin right now. And we need AWACS
because the next time the bad guys pull an airplane
trick, it will be down on the deck, out of radar sight
for the ground-based FAA sites.

But, yeah, it gives me the One-World Globalization
willies too.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5840. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 20:11:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qi4ac$2du$0@208.207.71.139>
Source record: 22081

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Yeah, you have a right to say anything you wish. And I have a right to
> > consider you a complete idiot and say so.
>
> Actually, if you have your way in what you have espoused here,
> you will not have that right for long.
>

Well, sorry I can't offer you any black helicopters, I don't think the ragheads have any. But if you want to go live among them instead of, sayanora.

5841. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 20:19:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qi4ol$3jo$0@208.207.71.139>
Source record: 22082

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Regarding NATO AWACS aircraft, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > You're right, there is a plan for them - patrolling the skies over
> > the US. Which brings us back to the question - why NATO AWACS when we
> > have our own?
>
> Probably don't have enough to cover all the high-value,
> terrorist target areas in the US _AND_ stay on top of
> Afghanistan/the Middle East at the same time. We're
> stretched pretty thin right now. And we need AWACS
> because the next time the bad guys pull an airplane
> trick, it will be down on the deck, out of radar sight
> for the ground-based FAA sites.
>
> But, yeah, it gives me the One-World Globalization
> willies too.

But when you think abiut it, there are a whole lot more of them than
us, and if we don't make good alliances, we'llhave to face some good
ones that WILL have us outmanned and outgunned.
That is NOT to say that we should bow to *anything* voted by the UN.
That flawed organization badly needs overhaul but it will never happen.

5842. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 20:51:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016165100.18656.00000021@nso-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 22083

In article <9003bfd783cad4af8fc98b159cd0d096.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net>
>
>> These are my streets, my malls, my airports, and my freedoms. _I_
>> care about those and I'm going to speak out to protect them.
>
>While you "speak out" like some misbegotten refuge from Haight-Ashbury-1960,
>our police, firemen, National Guardsmen, and other patriots are putting
>their lives on the line to protect those very things.

"Police, firemen, guardsmen, and other patriots" were doing that
before September 11, 2001.

How is today different? We cannot exercise our freedom of
speech?

No, apparently we can't. We must obey martial law orders from
self-appointed "patriots" who talk "tough" as if only They know
what is best for the citizenry.

>Not a single one of your rights and damned few of your privileges are
>affected by our war on Bin Laden-et.al, yet you give them aid and comfort
>like a modern day Jane Fonda.

The "modern day" Jane Fonda is into religion after having
married into millions. Perhaps Brakob wants to rule on
what religion to practice in order to be patriotic? !!!

Oh, I see: Suppression of the right of free speech is
PATRIOTIC! Everyone has to be a fundamentalist,
martial-law supporter in order to be "American." Yes!
Silence all who oppose an opposite viewpoint!

Meanwhile, there are two Air Guard F-16s ready to scramble
at Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport...to shoot down civil
airliners if the need arises. Does Brakob find that thought
pleasing? Aren't those fighter pilots bravely fighting to keep
our civil rights?

5843. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 21:44:18 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCCAA32.50909@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22084

Jim Bromley, K7JEB wrote:

> We're stretched pretty thin right now.

That's because Afghanistan is almost as big as Texas. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5844. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 21:48:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCCAB38.3050508@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22085

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> Yeah, you have a right to say anything you wish. And I have a right to
>>>consider you a complete idiot and say so.
>>>
>>Actually, if you have your way in what you have espoused here,
>>you will not have that right for long.
>
> Well, sorry I can't offer you any black helicopters, I don't think the
> ragheads have any. But if you want to go live among them instead of,
> sayanora.

You missed the point as usual. Their societies are repressive. If
they force our society to be just as repressive as theirs, they will
have won the war, even if they do nothing else.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5845. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 21:54:40 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <9qiab0bfq1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 22087

In article <20011016165100.18656.00000021@nso-ma.aol.com>, Len Over 21
<lenover21@aol.com> writes:

>
>"Police, firemen, guardsmen, and other patriots" were doing that
>before September 11, 2001.
>
>How is today different?
>

I was wondering the same thing, but _something_ must be bad about it
now, because Dwight Stewart sure seems disturbed that the police,
firemen, and National Guardsmen are doing their constitutionally
appointed jobs.

Go figure!

Hans

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

5846. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 21:59:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCCADBD.70607@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22086

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

> Independent as in stolen from Mexico, if I remember my history correctly.
> A proud statistic in yur book I'm sure

"Stolen"? Was the US stolen from England? The Texans fought for
their independence just as the American colonists did for theirs.

> 1 is the fact of the surprise attack on Pearl Harbor that actually drew
> the US into the war.

If I remember correctly, that act was performed by citizens of Japan,
not citizens of the US.

> 2 was that as a group the Japanese were easily identifiable vis a vis
> racial profiling. It's hard to pick a German sympathiser out of a crowd
> of White Anglo Saxon Protestants.
My point exactly. How someone looks has nothing to do with what

someone believes. The interring of innocent American citizens during

WWII has gone down in history as an American atrocity.

--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5847. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 22:31:33 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <f84981dfe87ce51e72cd50669a1dfe29.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22088

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

>
> Vacuum tubes, slide rules, and steam engines...along
> with morse code skill...are becoming artifacts which are
> quaint, amusing relics of a bygone era.
>

When I first became involved in electronics, slide rules were the one absolutely necessary calculation tool owned by every engineer and technician. They were simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of rather tedious practice), and delightfully low tech. They were the calculation tool-of-choice for over three centuries.

Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight the $9.95 pocket calculator killed the slide rule. Despite it's ubiquity and utter simplicity the mighty slide rule went extinct in less than a decade! Perhaps somewhere, in a backward company in a backward country without sand from which to make silicon chips, a group of stalwart engineers still treasure their Pickett or K+E slipsticks, and still require a practical examination, down to the third significant digit, of an engineers proficiency, and whether they actually could explain the difference between the CIF and DIF scales.

Perhaps some amateur mathematicians still are proficient on slide rules (after all, they haven't been outlawed!). I bet they even hold speed and accuracy contests at a nostalgic "Slippers" convention each spring in Akron, Ohio. Led by the scratchy but firm voices of their oldest club members, Vince Bentupcursor and Larry Elscale, they close each convention by quoting the 1940's fight song of that bastion of wood-assisted math, Cal Tech:

"E-to-the-x du dx, E-to-the-x dx,
Cotan secant tangent sine,
three point one four one five nine.
Square root, cube root, QED
Slipstick, slide rule, Hooray! CT!"

The next SLIPS newsletter duly reports the resolution of the IEEE BoD to gain legislation to include slide-rule competency testing as a requisite to all engineering degrees, except those seeking 2-year Stickless Technician degrees. Regular Technicians will require 5CPM (Calculation Per Minute) exams, BSEE will require 13CPM, and MSEE will require a 20CPM exam.

On another front, when I first became involved in amateur radio, Morse code was the one absolutely necessary communications mode used by every ham. It was simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of rather tedious practice) and delightfully low tech. It was the communications mode-of-choice for over three generations.

Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight.....

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

~~~
Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.

He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
the hard way.
--Bokonin
~~~

--
Posted from mplsapanas04poolc12.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.7.12]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5848. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 23:16:15 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <2e7f9853c4f6d52cb4b3344613a4c890.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22089

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011016165100.18656.00000021@nso-ma.aol.com...

> We cannot exercise our freedom of speech?

Mr. Stewart are free to say whatever it pleases him to say.

And I am free to vehemently disagree with his ACLU-like drivel.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas04poolc12.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.7.12]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5849. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-16 23:24:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BCCB2B1.C0A82A7E@mailcity.com>
Source record: 22090

You damn right, you commit murder in Texas expect to pay for it,
Texans don't cater to bleeding heart liberals.

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

>
> I noticed that in the honor Texas has in executing more people in one
> year than anyplace else on the face of the earth. A proud statistic in
> your book I'm sure

5850. Re: Story on Col. Steve (UPR 6.900 - criminal insane nutcase)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 00:02:37 UTC
From: Hiram <nattie33@aol.com>
Message-ID: <xU3z7.4755$SU2.509289@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22091
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is the same "Stevie Boy" who got his sorry ass kicked off of 3.950
for being too much of a "loose cannon" (imagine that !)

He also used to check into the Eastern Regional Patriots Militia net
that used to meet on Mon, Tue, Thur nights on 3.860 LSB at 8:00 PM
Eastern time, until he surrendered his ham licence (aa8dp)
to Reily Hollingsworth.....because he believed the FCC was an
"..agent of the (zionist) occupational UN government".

Someone said he also had a webpage that stated this
kooky claims of his, but we can't find it. Oh well no loss.

Looks like we have here yet another real genuine radio militia gun-nut screwball eh folks?

Hopefully the Kentucky State police will put this fruitcake into a pine box six-feet
underground
very soon!

"TMartin831" <tmartin831@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011016191336.01728.00000203@mb-fn.aol.com...
>
> Ex-militia member flees into highland
> Police say man fired on officers
> By Lance Williams and Bill Estep
> HERALD-LEADER STAFF WRITERS
>
> MIDDLESBORO -- Police continued searching last night for a former militia
> member who allegedly shot up a Bell County patrol car Sunday and then escaped
> into the mountains with several weapons, including an assault rifle and perhaps
> grenades.
>
> Officials say Steve H. Anderson, 54, shot at police after a traffic stop for
> having non-working taillights on his pickup. No one was hurt and Anderson
> escaped by driving the truck off-road into the mountains off U.S. 25E just
> north of Middlesboro after a gunbattle with police, according to officers.
>
> Police discovered two pipe bombs in the truck early yesterday, prompting
> federal and state law enforcement officials, including the FBI and ATF, to
> surround Anderson's home near the Elrod community in rural Pulaski County. A
> search of the home is expected today.
>
> As of late last night, Anderson -- who police say apparently has military
> experience and survival training -- remained at large with a considerable
> amount of firepower. ``He appeared to be loaded full tilt,'' said Deputy John

> Hoskins of the Bell County Sheriff's Department.
>
> According to the Bell County Sheriff's Office, the incident began at 8:15 p.m.
> Sunday, when Deputy Scott Elder stopped Anderson's vehicle about the
> taillights.
>
> Elder approached Anderson and asked for his driver's license and explained
> about the lights. Anderson refused to cooperate, and Elder noticed an
> ammunition magazine lying in the truck seat, police said.
>
> The Kentucky State Police said Anderson told Elder he was a member of the
> Kentucky State Militia -- an organization that disavowed him earlier this year
> -- and was on patrol.
>
> When Elder asked Anderson whether he had weapons, Elder said Anderson
> responded, ``I have several,'' and began to get out of the vehicle. Elder then
> ran behind his vehicle as Anderson began firing an assault rifle at the patrol
> car, according to police.
>
> ``He tried to cut it in half,'' Hoskins said.
>
> Anderson then drove off northbound on U.S. 25E, and Elder followed. Anderson
> made a U-turn and began following Elder and shooting at him again, police said.
> Those shots disabled Elder's car, and a Pineville city police officer picked up
> the chase.
>
> Police returned fire, with Elder nearly emptying his clip.
>
> Anderson continued to fire at the officer, police said, and then drove into the
> mountains, eventually finding an unpaved path that the police cruiser couldn't
> follow.
>
> Over the next several hours, members of the state police Special Response Team
> searched for Anderson on the ground, while officers in a helicopter equipped
> with heat-seeking devices and spotlights searched from the air. Police
> evacuated several homes around the search site Sunday night, but most people
> were allowed to return by yesterday morning.
>
> At 5:30 a.m. Monday, police found Anderson's truck about 100 feet over an
> embankment. Police found little of the ammunition Elder had seen in the truck,
> but did find two pipe bombs. The state police bomb squad was called to the
> site.
>
> Police said they thought Anderson's arsenal included grenades. They found no
> blood or other evidence in Anderson's truck that he had been hit.
>
> Anderson has a criminal record. In September 1993, he was charged with two
> counts of first-degree wanton endangerment, court records show. In 1994, one of
> the felony charges was dropped and he pleaded guilty to a reduced charge of
> wanton endangerment second degree. He received a one-year suspended sentence.

> Details of that case weren't available yesterday.
>
> Anderson is better known for a controversial short-wave radio program, The
> Militia Hour, which broadcasts militia-related news and features Anderson's
> views, which range from denouncing gun control to criticizing the U.S.
> government to blasting religious minorities. Anderson identifies himself as a
> follower of the Christian Identity movement, which claims that Jews are the
> offspring of the devil.
>
> Earlier this year, the Federal Communications Commission began an investigation
> of Anderson for broadcasting without a license. FCC officials would not comment
> on the status of that investigation yesterday.
>
> Anderson, who had previously served as a major with the Kentucky State Militia,
> was kicked out of the group in April for several on-air comments regarding
> religious and ethnic minorities.
>
> ``We pretty much disassociated with him completely,'' Patrick Perry of Murray,
> an assistant commander of the group, said yesterday. ``He's a little too
> extreme for us.''
>
> That view was shared among Anderson's neighbors.
>
> Lanta Turner said Anderson sometimes talked to neighbors about his
> anti-government and racist views. ``He's got a lot of opinions,'' Turner said.
> ``Most of them nobody agrees with.''
>
> Those opinions also got Anderson into trouble with the militia, whose former
> commander sent a letter to the FBI in April warning officials about him.
>
> ``His views are pretty extreme,'' said Richard Stephens, a militia commander in
> Paducah. ``He's a racist and a very paranoid fellow. Actually, I'm surprised he
> hasn't been killed yet.''
>
>

5851. Re: show nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 00:22:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <s94z7.10238$n41.211988@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 22092

Then if it's so terrible in Conway, SC, why are there so many out of state
cars thru there during
the summer? Would it have something to do with Myrtle Beach? Snide remarks
about the South
are usually made by people who've never been here, and the ones who DO come
here we seem to
have a hard time getting rid of them. So if you DO come to SC or some other
part of the South of which you speak and know so little, don't let the door
hit you in the butt on the way OUT. Oh, BTW, while you're here, I'd keep
quiet in front of those "mens what are mens" lest ye be given
a good old fashioned Southern a** whuppin' fer a-lettin' yer alligator mouth
overload yer canary
a**! LOL! Y'all take keer, yuh heah! LMFAO!!

Jerry
K4KWH

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:MPG.16368a4ca42ffb99896b5@news.earthlink.net...
> W5NET is a cracker from South Carolina. Guess that explain thats. All
> they gots down dere are tabaccy farms and chain gangs
>
> Ahhh Conway, SC, where the mens are mens and the livestock is nervous.
>
> You here about the Sc'er who put carpet in his bathroom. Liked it so
> much he laid carpet all the way up to the house
>
> Conway SC..home to the invention of the toothbrush.
>
> Anywhere else in the US it would have been called a teethbrush.
>
> Just kidding Dwight, you go running around looking for all those bad
> mans, cause it's a NY thing and when things happen in NY they certainly
> don;t affect you....

5852. War Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 01:21:30 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <f077a289d9c129e5c887451aee54b202.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22093

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message

> Perhaps Brakob would like to relate his war stories ....

Go to http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupd144.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.143.144]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5853. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 01:41:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCE1BC.3F9AAB7E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22094

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Well, let's see, we've got foru
> aircraft carrier battle groups in
> the area. Small engagement? Only
> Afghanistan?

Depends on how you define the "area," Dick. We have a CBG in the Pacific Ocean that normally patrols that area. We have a second CBG that is now engaged with Iraq. We have a third CBG that was moved from the Mediterranean to back up the primary battle group. And we have the main CBG for this engagement that was dispatched from the US. So, yes, we have four CBG's in the area if you want to count the entire Western Pacific Ocean and Indian Ocean (including the group already engaged with Iraq).

And, yes, considering the capability of our military, this is indeed a small engagement against a country with a very limited military capability.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5854. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 01:58:37 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf475_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22114

We've already been told, warned, and warned again that this will be a "very long and protracted war." If this "war" (undeclared mind you) goes on for just 20 years, an entire generation of children will have no idea what it truly means to be free. It will be foreign for them to understand a day when people could be on the phone or email, and not be monitored (if it truly comes to that).

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BCBA91F.C631DF7A@sccoast.net...
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > No citizen is free in a country
> > > that is under siege.
> >
> >
> > I can't recall a time when the US
> > wasn't under siege by someone,
> >
> > First the Axis Powers, then The
> > Soviet Union, the drug lords, and
> > now the terrorists. Actually, we
> > have been under siege by the
> > terrorists for a number of years.
>
>
> Oh, so well said, Cecil. If we start stripping rights every time
> there is a "war," there will be no rights remaining because there is
> always a "war" on something in this country.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5855. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 01:59:59 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCE60E.4D87C082@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22095

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Probably don't have enough to cover
> all the high-value, terrorist target
> areas in the US _AND_ stay on top of
> Afghanistan/the Middle East at the
> same time. We're stretched pretty
> thin right now. And we need AWACS
> because the next time the bad guys
> pull an airplane trick, it will be
> down on the deck, out of radar sight
> for the ground-based FAA sites.

Well, we're getting too side tracked with this relatively minor issue, but just I cannot let it go without any comment. I agree with you that our AWACS capability might be stretched thin at the moment, but our defense of US airspace has never depended on AWACS aircraft in the first place. We have a wide range of military radar systems around the country that can watch anything in the air (including something flying low enough to dim it's headlights for passing vehicles). In addition, we have satellites to back up that radar capability, tactical radar on the jets flying over the intensely protected areas, and radar aboard the ships now patrolling the major ports and coastline. When you add in the FAA civilian radar to that picture, the use of AWACS aircraft is just a "little" redundant.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5856. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:04:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCE739.FC503A38@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22096

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> If I'd had known just what type of
> an ass you ARE, I'd have never
> provided that information. I'm
> ashamed to even consider you a
> citizen of this country.

So you would support misinformation just because you don't like
something I have said. And that makes you a better citizen?

Dwight Stewart

5857. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:06:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCE77E.196FE0FA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22097

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight the Cerebral can't imagine
> that those AWACS might be committed
> in plans for something more than
> checking out the friendly skies over
> the USA, and thus are being backed
> up by NATO.

See my comments to Jim Bromley.

Dwight Stewart

5858. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:13:56 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf475$2_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22116

Now yer gettin' it, Dwight. And "they say" that Republicanism/Conservatism is the "right" (pun intended) way to go....hardeeharhar. These people are scarey in their way of thinking. They would have you and I better drop off the planet, get lost, get jailed--whatever it would take that we disappear.

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BCCE739.FC503A38@sccoast.net...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > If I'd had known just what type of
> > an ass you ARE, I'd have never
> > provided that information. I'm
> > ashamed to even consider you a
> > citizen of this country.
>
>
> So you would support misinformation just because you don't like
> something I have said. And that makes you a better citizen?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

5859. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:16:46 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCE9FA.8D14551F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22098

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> You'd rather they have free reign
> here?

You said that. I didn't.

> They've all been attacked DWIGHT.
> See they've all been attacked.

Florida and Chicago has not been attacked. They have experienced
some of the aftermath of the WTC attack in New York, but neither of
those places have been attacked.

> You wish harm on so many others.

I have not wished harm on others.

> If you've got something to hide
> then, you're right.

So only those with something to hide would support the
Constitution's protections against search and seizure.

Dwight Stewart

5860. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:22:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016222232.01609.00000351@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22099

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/16/2001 2:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011016155412.12931.00000047@nso-ba.aol.com>

>>There's another lie. Guess it applies to you after all, eh Len? :-)
>
>...and there goes Jimmie again talking about behavior. QED.

And there goes Lennie, making disrespectful sleights against people who
have not done the same to him.

Two faced punk, as usual.

5861. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:23:47 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf476$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22117

Just think of those nasty subversive Europeans that settled in this land a long, long time ago. Nasty subversives! ;|

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3BCC77FC.4050904@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > You have no idea
> > how many of those interred may have been subversive.
>
>
> With that logic, the entire population of the US should
> be locked up. But wait, you have no idea how many of
> the prison guards might be subversive so they have to
> be locked up also. Egads, even the warden might be a
> subversive so lock him up. Seems to me, you simply hate
> people who are different from you in some way that doesn't
> matter. If the constitutional rights of American citizens
> can be violated because of the way they look, or the
> spelling of their last name, or their religion, then
> you and I have NO constitutional rights.
>
>
> > You're responsibile for slavery,150 years ago, you know.
> Dick, I come from a long line of indentured servants.
>
> They didn't even own any land much less own slaves. They
> worked alongside the slaves and lived in shacks just like
> the slaves. Unlike the slaves, their food was not furnished
> by the landowner and they had to grow their own or starve.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5862. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:26:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCEC4C.78B8E882@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22100

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> This pseudonym is a pornographic
> pejorative of a personality that
> was previously "Funguy-W2FUN" in
> here. A long time ago the same
> personality was identified by his
> real callsign and correctly
> identified as the world's oldest
> living teen-aged ham.

I just noticed what you mean. I guess I'm a little too trusting. When it comes to situations like the one facing this country now, I tend to trust that others in the discussion have an equal concern for the situation. Obviously, that is not always the case. Thanks for the heads up, Len.

Dwight Stewart

5863. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:29:12 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf477$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22118

"Jack Mehoff - W6LHA" <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:MPG.1636262216b1ecca9896b0@news.earthlink.net...
>
>
> Sure, the police will handle these guys. But you live in a little town
> Dwight. I picture Sheriff Bufford T. Justice, belly hanging out
> screaming Ya know there boy. You got to put that Abomb down now
> ya'here. What we have here is..failure ta communicate
>

My my, you *are* quite experienced at your generalizations and labeling,
aren't you? I bet you didn't even have to practice that! Man, your
intellect is so very, very impressive!

Kim W5TIT

5864. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:33:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016223311.01609.00000354@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22102

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/16/2001 2:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011016155412.12931.00000046@nso-ba.aol.com>

>What is exhibited here by Jimmie Miccolis...(SNIP)

Again...more disrespect.

A point was made, yet Lennie, who himself often "laments" the perceived unwillingness to "debate" such points, resorts to personal sleights, lies and "obfuscation.

>Old-style-amateur-radio = Patriotism-Honor-Integrity.
>
> FALSE EQUATION

Only to you, Your Uselessness, only to you.

>This has been evident in here long before the terrible,
>monstrous Attack on America of day 9-11.

Only you try to use those events to somehow perpetrate a "newsgroup message point".

You are a cowardly piece of work, Anderson.

>"Subversion and terrorism practiced by a 'society'"
>is to keep forever the regulations, traditions, and
>brainwashing of a hobby activity...to forbid any
>rational argument about it, to brand all that don't
>think like the "community" with all manner of
>pejoratives, to accuse them of terrorism in the
>name of amateur radio. GET A LIFE.

Lennie, you really are a low-life scumbag....I am sorry...no other adjective fits.

Do you really believe this? I can't believe that anyone with your alleged education can rationalize your behaviour.

What a Putz.

5865. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:33:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016223354.01609.00000355@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22103

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/16/2001 2:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011016155412.12931.00000046@nso-ba.aol.com>

>>Submitted for your approval:
>
>REJECTED.

But of course.

5866. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:34:59 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCEE3A.1F7477CD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22101

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > As for searching vehicles, it
> > is absurd to conduct random searches
> > of vehicles because there MIGHT be
> > a possible threat. If we accept that
> > logic, then we should just throw out
> > the words in the Constitution that
> > say, "the right of the people to be
> > secure in their persons, houses,
> > papers, and effects, against
> > unreasonable searches and seizures,
> > shall not be violated...
>
>
> Not to mention probable cause.

Isn't this amazing, Cecil? Would you have ever thought that arguing in defense of Constitutional rights would get people this angry (angry enough to wish harm on a person)? When I started this thread, I had no idea that so many would be opposed to it. I guess I now have an answer to the "where did my America go" question.

Dwight Stewart

5867. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:37:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016223739.01609.00000356@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22104

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/16/2001 2:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011016155410.12931.00000044@nso-ba.aol.com>

>The sociopath known by the potty mouthed handle is not
>interested in anything but taking out his own agressions and
>frustrations on others.

And you, you delusional little creep, have NO BUSINESS calling ANYONE ELSE "potty mouthed" or allege taking out aggressions on anyone else.

Your transgressions in this forum are nothing BUT potty mouthed, aggressive AND frustrated.

>Sociopaths are far from civilized. I think you've made the
>best possible choice with this pseudonymonous amateur
>human

I have no doubt it is you doing your best to keep the pot stirred. The pattern fits.

5868. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:40:28 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1636ffc0d9703599896b8@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22105

In article <20011016155410.12931.00000044@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...
> In article <3BCBC113.EFBC9DFE@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> This pseudonym is a pornographic pejorative of a personality
> that was previously "Funguy-W2FUN" in here. A long time ago
> the same personality was identified by his real callsign and
> correctly identified as the world's oldest living teen-aged ham..
>
>

Sorry about that Lennie old bean. If you check carefully, you'd see I am
not the same person you might be referring to. I've been here only a
short time. I reside, work and play in the greatest city in the world
New York. As to your above referral..well..guess you know best.

So thanks again for the reply

5869. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:42:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCEFE0.B16A09C5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Yeah, you have a right to say
> anything you wish. And I have a
> right to consider you a complete
> idiot and say so.

Well, Dick, if you're going to call me an idiot for defending the concepts behind the Bill of Rights, then you're just going to have to continue calling me an idiot because I have no intent to ever stop supporting those concepts.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5870. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:43:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCF03E.52C1DA12@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22107

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight you're a frigging idiot.

And you're a darn fool.

Dwight Stewart

5871. Re: The Eagle has landed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:44:41 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163700c59e83e6f69896b9@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22108

In article <20011016155408.12931.00000042@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...

> My wife and I were in another state during May and June but
> this pseudonym-hidden individual did not know this nor of our
> planning that trip three months prior. The Los Angeles Police
> were notified of a "stalker" situation and copies of previous
> newsgroup messages presented to them and reviewed by the
> special LAPD unit handling stalking messages. Pacific Bell
> was advised and monitored our residence line. Our neighbors
> were notified and our residence was observed for any strangers
> or evidence of criminal activity both inside and out. There was
> none and that is a good thing...but there is still a record of
> telephone contact attempts and a file with the LAPD.
>
> This individual, who would later surface as the potty pseudonym
> of "Jack Mehoff," claimed to have taken "photographs of the
> QTH" and other close areas. From phone records this person
> never got any closer than about 34 miles. Calls were all from
> the same 714 area code number in Orange County. No
> neighbors noticed anyone photographing our house. If anyone
> "needs" such photographs I am able to supply digital images
> as an attachment to private e-mail. No charge. Ask nice.

Sorry again Lennie old boy. Don't fly don;t travel, well at least not to
your side of the country. I take it from your investigative records,
you're not in law enforcement, huh??

Quite frankly, you don;t interest me in the least. Wow, must be great to
think people can enough about you to stalk them A legend in your own
mind?? Precludes the fact you have one..or at least one that functions
on higher levels of life support.

3 tries for a quarter..you still have one left

5872. Re: The Eagle has landed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:49:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011016224900.01609.00000361@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22109

>Subject: Re: The Eagle has landed
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/16/2001 2:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011016155408.12931.00000042@nso-ba.aol.com>

>My wife and I were in another state during May and June ...(SNIP)

You are in another state, alright...

I can only imagine what kind of state a woman would have to be in to be with you.

>The Los Angeles Police
>were notified of a "stalker" situation and copies of previous
>newsgroup messages presented to them and reviewed by the
>special LAPD unit handling stalking messages.

Did you show them any of YOUR messages, Lennie?

Did you tell them that this outpouring of angst is due to your continued insistence on lying, aggitating and otherwise insisting on harrassing people involved in a pursuit that you have clearly demonstrated hatred and animosity towards...???

>Our neighbors
>were notified and our residence was observed for any strangers
>or evidence of criminal activity both inside and out.

Your neighbors can see inside your house? Ooops..that's "strangest"...not "strangers"....

>No charge. Ask nice

Dear Len, We would like to see a picture of yor house. You promised that if we asked nice, you would send one. We are awaiting patiently while you live up to your promise.

Steve

5873. Re: show nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:50:35 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163702201f09914f9896ba@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22110

In article <s94z7.10238$n41.211988@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>,
joxendi@bellsouth.net says...
> Then if it's so terrible in Conway, SC, why are there so many out of state
> cars thru there during
> the summer?

Ah, the key word is thru as in not stopping as continuing on.

Would it have something to do with Myrtle Beach?

If it weren't for the luck that a road passes THRU Conway, this would be
non-seqitar

Snide remarks
> about the South
> are usually made by people who've never been here, and the ones who DO come
> here we seem to
> have a hard time getting rid of them.

Been there , not impressed by it. Can see why the South lost the Civil
War though... too many northerners passing thru

So if you DO come to SC or some other
> part of the South of which you speak and know so little, don't let the door
> hit you in the butt on the way OUT.

How quaint. Been there, doubt I'd be back though. Too hot and humid

Oh, BTW, while you're here, I'd keep
> quiet in front of those "mens what are mens" lest ye be given
> a good old fashioned Southern a** whuppin' fer a-lettin' yer alligator mouth
> overload yer canary
> a**! LOL! Y'all take keer, yuh heah! LMFAO!!

It was a North Carolina good ol boy who told me them jokes. Get a sense
of humor. You can substitute any state. Works the best on those that
get a tad riled. He sang me the NC state anthem Red Neck, White Socks
and Blue Ribbon Beer.

>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>

5874. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 02:56:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCF35A.1FFC9E54@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22111

K0HB wrote:
>
> While you "speak out" like some
> misbegotten refuge from Haight-
> Ashbury-1960, our police, firemen,
> National Guardsmen, and other
> patriots are putting their lives
> on the line to protect those
> very things.

What things, Hans? The things your advocate we should not have today - freedom of speech, freedom from unreasonable search and seizure, and so forth? Those "patriots" are not "putting their lives on the line" so the government can strip away our rights (or so people like you can advocate that). If you don't believe me, ask them.

> (snip) yet you give them aid and
> comfort (snip)

You have no idea what you're talking about. Mere words have never been considered "aid and comfort."

Dwight Stewart

5875. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:00:11 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bccf738_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22120

Why should we be so convinced of where you live, Dork? You lie about who you are, you lie about and assume a fake callsign, and you want some folks in a newsgroup to take you for your word? Man, your intellect gets more impressive with each passing minute!

You're nothing but an armchair coward who probably cringes at the thought of anyone knowing how you really think.

Kim W5TIT

"Jack Mehoff - W6LHA" <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message news:MPG.1636ffc0d9703599896b8@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <20011016155410.12931.00000044@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21 > @aol.com says...
> > In article <3BCBC113.EFBC9DFE@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> > <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
> >
> > >Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > This pseudonym is a pornographic pejorative of a personality
> > that was previously "Funguy-W2FUN" in here. A long time ago
> > the same personality was identified by his real callsign and
> > correctly identified as the world's oldest living teen-aged ham..
> >
> >
>
>
> Sorry about that Lennie old bean. If you check carefully, you'd see I am
> not the same person you might be referring to. I've been here only a
> short time. I reside, work and play in the greatest city in the world
> New York. As to your above referral..well..guess you know best.
>
> So thanks again for the reply
>
>

5876. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:06:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCF58B.54834F24@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22119

k0hb@qwest.net wrote:
>
> I was wondering the same thing, but
> _something_ must be bad about it
> now, because Dwight Stewart sure
> seems disturbed that the police,
> firemen, and National Guardsmen
> are doing their constitutionally
> appointed jobs.

Hans, show me one airport in this country that was patrolled by National Guardsmen prior to the WTC attack. One bus station. One train station. What bill was proposed in Congress to expand police powers to wiretap prior to the WTC attack? Show me an example in recent years where the President has restricted access to information by members of Congress. If you think things have not changed since the WTC attack, then you have simply not been paying attention.

Dwight Stewart

5877. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:19:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCF888.D4C92510@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22121

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> Mr. Stewart are free to say whatever
> it pleases him to say.
>
> And I am free to vehemently disagree
> with his ACLU-like drivel.

Hans, the Bill of Rights is not limited to just the ACLU - many conservatives, such as myself, support it also. And my support is not limited to just the 2nd Amendment - the entire Bill of Rights is equally important to me.

Dwight Stewart

5878. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:35:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCCFC6F.E664ED84@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22122

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote
>
> > Isn't war grand, Hans?
>
> No, Stewart, war really sucks. I've
> been to a couple of them, punk,

Was that wars where you went around punching each other? Is that what you expect the National Guard personnel, untrained in civil law enforcement, to do when something goes wrong? Sorry, but I don't want a member of my family to be mistaken for a terrorist by one of those untrained National Guard personnel? I would rather have trained law enforcement personnel in those situations.

Dwight Stewart

5879. ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:37:05 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16370cf3dfad481f9896bb@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22123

Your right Dwight, I stepped over the line. I don't really wish harm to you or your family, BUT you have certainly wish harm to mine. For want of the ability to see some goat humping billionaire madman on TV, you'd rather put my family in harm's way? I don't follow that at all. What difference does it make to you whether or not they show his ugly face or broadcast his words? If you must hear him, go to the Internet. The Al whatever its called it broadcast on the Internet.

Why do your rights preclude mine? Why should I have to work and work in NY!!!! in fear so that you can drive your pickup to the local mall and shop at Sears? Is it because it's a NY thing as you stated?

Well friend, once NY is gone, they'll be coming after you (figuratively speaking)

You can play ostrich all you want, but if I can take the train to work and see soldiers standing watch, I'll feel a tad safer.

If the authorities want to do random searches, so be it. If they stop 1 person who can hurt my family, so be it

BTW, did you ready about the Portland Me Muslim group that has declared Jihad against the US?? It was in the papers. They have vowed to bring the fight again to various parts of the US. They're US citizens Dwight..what should we do with them? They seem to be hiding behind the very laws you wish to keep in place during this time of war.

What about all the terrorist cells that have been found in small towns throughout the US Dwight. Towns just like yours. What do we do Dwight. According to the Manny Moe and Jack of rights (the Texass boys and gal), they should be allowed free access of the citizens of this country because the Constitution allows it?? I dun think so Lucy

Just wait Dwight. Sooner or later, they'll find your small insignificant town and then they're be no one left to cry in your skittles and beer with you

5880. Terrorits Plot uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:44:28 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16370eb58e5267629896bc@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22124

Reported from the Del Rio Gazette in that Belt Buckle of the Bible Belt...Del Rio Texass

Don't go to the bathroom on October 28th!

CIA intelligence reports that a major plot is planned for that day.

Anyone who takes a crap on the 28th will be bitten on the ass by an alligator.

Reports indicate that organized groups of alligators are planning to rise up into unsuspecting American's toilet bowls and bite them when they are doing their dirty business.

I usually don't send emails like this, but I got this information from a reliable source.

It came from a friend of a friend, whose cousin is dating this girl, whose brother knows this guy, whose wife knows this lady, whose husband buys hotdogs from this guy who knows a shoeshine guy, who shines the shoes of a mailroom worker, who has a friend
who's drug dealer sells drugs to another mailroom worker, who works in the CIA building.

He apparently overheard two guys talking in the bathroom about alligators and came to the conclusion that we are going to be attacked.

So it must be true!

Don't crap on the 28th!

Hold it in!! (shouldn't be hard for Lennie..according to his wife, he's full of crap)

5881. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:44:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qiur7$a3c$0@208.206.142.105>
Source record: 22125

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> >
> >>Dick Carroll wrote:
> >>> Yeah, you have a right to say anything you wish. And I have a right to
> >>>consider you a complete idiot and say so.
> >>>
> >>Actually, if you have your way in what you have espoused here,
> >>you will not have that right for long.
> >
> > Well, sorry I can't offer you any black helicopters, I don't think the
> > ragheads have any. But if you want to go live among them instead of,
> > sayanora.
>
> You missed the point as usual. Their societies are repressive. If
> they force our society to be just as repressive as theirs, they will
> have won the war, even if they do nothing else.

So, the way to prevent that is to capitulate and allow them to use our
very system to destroy us. Right on, genius.

5882. Terrorist Plot uncovered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:46:02 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.16370f11b62525139896bd@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22126

Reported from the Del Rio Gazette in that Belt Buckle of the Bible Belt...Del Rio Texass

Del Rio.. a small insignificant own like certain towns in either North or South Carolina only closer to a border.

Don't go to the bathroom on October 28th!

CIA intelligence reports that a major plot is planned for that day.

Anyone who takes a crap on the 28th will be bitten on the ass by an alligator.

Reports indicate that organized groups of alligators are planning to rise up into unsuspecting American's toilet bowls and bite them when they are doing their dirty business.

I usually don't send emails like this, but I got this information from a reliable source.

It came from a friend of a friend, whose cousin is dating this girl, whose brother knows this guy, whose wife knows this lady, whose husband buys hotdogs from this guy who knows a shoeshine guy, who shines the shoes of a mailroom worker, who has a friend who's drug dealer sells drugs to another mailroom worker, who works in the CIA building.

He apparently overheard two guys talking in the bathroom about alligators and came to the conclusion that we are going to be attacked.

So it must be true!

Don't crap on the 28th!

Hold it in!! (shouldn't be hard for Lennie..according to his wife, he's full of crap)

5883. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 03:58:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCD01C6.AA0EC936@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22127

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> Have you noticed that all the whinny
> "we're losing our rights" crybabys
> seem to be from Texas?
>
> Cecil, Dwight and TIT"s all hail from
> the state that brings you equal
> opportunity death penalties. HMMMMM

Well, Jack Off, as usual you have your facts wrong. Only Cecil and Kim are in Texas. I'm currently on an extended visit to South Carolina from Washington.

> (snip) Funny how HIS mall seems to be
> the only one in the US being patrolled
> by National Guard though. (snip)

That was the case when I wrote my first message to this thread. As I've already said several times, that is no longer the case - only the airport and bus station now have National Guard personnel. Of course, you already know that because one of the times I've said that was in a direct response to one of your messages.

> And here's the rub, they have yet to
> mention any specific rights they have
> lost!!!!!

Of course, we have. You just don't want to listen.

Dwight Stewart

5884. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:14:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCD0563.54E58FDE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22128

N2EY wrote:
>
> Cecil is the only genuine native Texan
> in Texas in the bunch. Kim is originally
> from New York. I dunno about Dwight, but
> I remember him mentioning moving to the
> Pacific Northwest a short time ago. He was
> previously in SC.

The other way around, Jim. I was in Washington and moved to SC. Of course, this is only temporary (if you can call a year and a half temporary). We first came here because of a family crisis. However that stretched out longer then we anticipated, so my wife eventually registered at the local college. Now that the family situation is over, we are still stuck here waiting for my wife to finish her courses. However, that will change in December (we hope), and then we'll either head back to Washington or Florida (finally!!).

> Back in '99 an alert border official near
> Seattle stopped a guy driving in from
> Canada because he "looked funny". Turned
> out the car was actually a bomb (literally)
> and the guy was an Algerian heading for
> LAX for Y2K. The Algerian is now "in custody"
> and "cooperating" with federal authorities.

Sadly, New Years celebrations in Seattle were canceled that year because of that incident.

Dwight Stewart

5885. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:28:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCD08FF.BCE31583@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22129

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So, the way to prevent that is to capitulate and allow them to use our
> very system to destroy us. Right on, genius.

If we have to make our society as repressive as theirs to beat them, we will not have beaten them. They will have beaten us. To quote a great man, "A society that is willing to give up freedom for security will wind up with neither."

Your "solution" is exactly what Osama bin Laden is praying for. If a handful of terrorists can cause American citizens to lose all of their freedoms, I feel sorry for my grandsons.
--
cheers, Cecil, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5886. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:33:53 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCD0A31.F187DD03@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22130

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Isn't this amazing, Cecil? Would you have ever thought that arguing
> in defense of Constitutional rights would get people this angry (angry
> enough to wish harm on a person)? When I started this thread, I had no
> idea that so many would be opposed to it.

Terrorists are opposed to our Constitutional rights. Look which
side that puts those people on.
--
cheers, Cecil, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5887. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:37:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCD0ADA.977E66B6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22131

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> We've already been told, warned, and
> warned again that this will be a "very
> long and protracted war." If this
> "war" (undeclared mind you) goes on for
> just 20 years, an entire generation of
> children will have no idea what it
> truly means to be free. It will be
> foreign for them to understand a day
> when people could be on the phone or
> email, and not be monitored (if it
> truly comes to that).

You're probably right. It is amazing how easily rights slip away.
Most people today don't even remember when it was considered illegal
to search a vehicle, a purse, or a briefcase, without a warrant issued
by a judge. Rights are so hard to ever get (a revolutionary war) and
so easy to lose (one Supreme Court ruling or one bill in Congress).

Dwight Stewart

5888. Re: The Eagle has landed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:42:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BCCFD30.CB44E496@mailcity.com>
Source record: 22132

When are you going to learn to use ' in place of;?

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> Sorry again Lennie old boy. Don't fly don;t travel, well at least not to
> your side of the country. I take it from your investigative records,
> you're not in law enforcement, huh??
>
> Quite frankly, you don;t interest me in the least.

5889. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:55:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCD0F05.28AF8DA3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22133

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> So, the way to prevent that is to
> capitulate and allow them to use our
> very system to destroy us. Right on,
> genius.

Dick, nobody other than you has mentioned anything about capitulation. All of us are aware of the need for national defense, and even a strong national offense when the situation warrants. But that should never extend into turning this country into the very thing we insist we're fighting - a society as repressive as theirs. If that happens, they have succeeded in defeated everything we stand for - the very ideals which makes this country unique.

And, as far as your concept about allowing them to "use of very system to destroy us," if our system is that weak, that venerable, then perhaps you're right - our system should be changed or even eliminated. But, I will never believe that our system of government, our system of citizen's rights, is that weak. Those have stood for two hundred years - lets not let a madman strip that away.

Dwight Stewart

5890. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 04:57:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCD0F75.CAB12C1F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22134

Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>
> (snip)

No comment.

Dwight Stewart

5891. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 05:30:20 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <9B3287935E8F1071.A0FA2BD7B5AB92A4.82A0F444500792AA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22135

On 16 Oct 2001 09:19:18 -0700, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>Possible reason for the high visibility of some military activity in
>those areas: They are border states. Just because the USA has upped
>security and airline safety doesn't mean everybody else has.

We can't stop drugs from sneaking across the border, so how the hell
are we going to stop well trained and funded terrorists?

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

5892. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 05:34:03 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <25F498D9415FCA1B.F9866A096572DD3D.CC96843B7662D721@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22136

On Tue, 16 Oct 2001 19:02:09 GMT, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA
<lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote:

>Independent as in stolen from Mexico, if I remember my history correctly.
>A proud statistic in yur book I'm sure

Won from Mexico, the same way the U.S. was won from England. If
people didn't do that every now and then, we'd be a part of the Roman
Empire.

>I noticed that in the honor Texas has in executing more people in one
>year than anyplace else on the face of the earth. A proud statistic in
>your book I'm sure

Damn right. Sure cuts down on the recidivism rates.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

5893. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 06:20:53 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87d73meqii.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 22137

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> You have a problem with facts, Hans? The last time I checked, the
> WTC was in New York. If the attack on the WTC didn't happen in New
> York, where exactly do you think it happened?

The United States of America.

5894. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 06:25:30 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87adyqeqat.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 22138

sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) writes:

> And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
> (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
> impedance calculations

Yeah, but you can't get the intuition that comes from getting the
visual-pattern-recognition part of your brain engaged. Who can keep
the various conic sections separate without a set of little Cartesian
graphs in your head?

> Smith chart paper used to be
> rather pricey,

But now it's free, since the patent ran out about 65 years ago.

> and you can't read it to very many significant digits
> either.

Since it's hard to make any measurements with more than
two or three significant digits, who cares?

Rich

5895. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:09:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjoto$215$0@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22139

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
> >
> > Probably don't have enough to cover
> > all the high-value, terrorist target
> > areas in the US _AND_ stay on top of
> > Afghanistan/the Middle East at the
> > same time. We're stretched pretty
> > thin right now. And we need AWACS
> > because the next time the bad guys
> > pull an airplane trick, it will be
> > down on the deck, out of radar sight
> > for the ground-based FAA sites.
>
> Well, we're getting too side tracked with this relatively minor
> issue, but just I cannot let it go without any comment. I agree with
> you that our AWACS capability might be stretched thin at the moment,
> but our defense of US airspace has never depended on AWACS aircraft in
> the first place. We have a wide range of military radar systems around
> the country that can watch anything in the air (including something
> flying low enough to dim it's headlights for passing vehicles). In
> addition, we have satellites to back up that radar capability,
> tactical radar on the jets flying over the intensely protected areas,
> and radar aboard the ships now patrolling the major ports and
> coastline. When you add in the FAA civilian radar to that picture, the
> use of AWACS aircraft is just a "little" redundant.

And you think that all AWACS has is radar.... Har Har Har!!!

Mr. Informed!

5896. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:10:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjovm$215$1@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22140

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > If I'd had known just what type of
> > an ass you ARE, I'd have never
> > provided that information. I'm
> > ashamed to even consider you a
> > citizen of this country.
>
> So you would support misinformation just because you don't like
> something I have said. And that makes you a better citizen?

No, because information in the hands of a loose cannon can be
dangerous.

5897. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:12:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjp2q$215$2@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22141

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >
> > You have a problem with facts, Hans? The last time I checked, the
> > WTC was in New York. If the attack on the WTC didn't happen in New
> > York, where exactly do you think it happened?
>
> The United States of America.

Dwight the Fright doesn't believe that an attack onNew York affects him in South Carolina, even considering the DC attack simultaneously. Wonder what he'd think if he had been visiting the WTC or the Pentagon that day.

5898. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:13:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjp5j$215$3@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22142

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > You'd rather they have free reign
> > here?
>
> You said that. I didn't.
>
> > They've all been attacked DWIGHT.
> > See they've all been attacked.
>
> Florida and Chicago has not been attacked. They have experienced
> some of the aftermath of the WTC attack in New York, but neither of
> those places have been attacked.
>

Ah, so. A CBR attack is not an attack. We see.

5899. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:20:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjpha$3bu$0@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22143

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Isn't this amazing, Cecil? Would you have ever thought that arguing
> > in defense of Constitutional rights would get people this angry (angry
> > enough to wish harm on a person)? When I started this thread, I had no
> > idea that so many would be opposed to it.
>
> Terrorists are opposed to our Constitutional rights. Look which
> side that puts those people on.

What you aren't smart enough to understand is that you are playing right into the hands of the terrorists who have already proven conclusively that they can use our free and open society to beat us if we allow it. And ONLY if we allow it.
As in a number of previous instances in wartime, our leadership, recognizing this fact, has moved to forstall it by placing some minor but effective limitations on our usual open and free interchange. If you can't understand the importance of these minor inconveniences I truly feel sorry for you.

But not too sorry- you are helping the enemy. That's aiding and abetting.

5900. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:23:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjpo4$3bu$1@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22144

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So, the way to prevent that is to capitulate and allow them to use our
> > very system to destroy us. Right on, genius.
>
> If we have to make our society as repressive as theirs to beat them, we
> will not have beaten them. They will have beaten us. To quote a great
> man, "A society that is willing to give up freedom for security will
> wind up with neither."
>

So who said we're "givin up" any freedoms? You're dreaming again.
You evidently have no idea just how repressive their society really is,
or you wouldn't make such a uninformed statement.

> Your "solution" is exactly what Osama bin Laden is praying for. If
> a handful of terrorists can cause American citizens to lose all of
> their freedoms, I feel sorry for my grandsons.

I feel sorry for your grandsons, and mine, if we don't stop this
terrorist activity in its tracks. You haven't seen anything yet.

5901. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:30:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjq4k$4km$0@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22145

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > So, the way to prevent that is to
> > capitulate and allow them to use our
> > very system to destroy us. Right on,
> > genius.
>
> Dick, nobody other than you has mentioned anything about
> capitulation. All of us are aware of the need for national defense,
> and even a strong national offense when the situation warrants. But
> that should never extend into turning this country into the very thing
> we insist we're fighting - a society as repressive as theirs. If that
> happens, they have succeeded in defeated everything we stand for - the
> very ideals which makes this country unique.
>
> And, as far as your concept about allowing them to "use of very
> system to destroy us," if our system is that weak, that venerable,
> then perhaps you're right - our system should be changed or even
> eliminated. But, I will never believe that our system of government,
> our system of citizen's rights, is that weak. Those have stood for two
> hundred years - lets not let a madman strip that away.

Precisely- and the way to stop it is to move effectively, by making it possible to catch them. That means several changes and some sacrifices on YOUR part as well as mine. Sorry you can't underestand that.

5902. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:31:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qjq6i$4km$1@208.206.142.85>
Source record: 22146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, you have a right to say
> > anything you wish. And I have a
> > right to consider you a complete
> > idiot and say so.
>
> Well, Dick, if you're going to call me an idiot for defending the
> concepts behind the Bill of Rights, then you're just going to have to
> continue calling me an idiot because I have no intent to ever stop
> supporting those concepts.

Well Dwight it's clear to me that your type of defense is contributing
to the terrorists' offense.

5903. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:50:30 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bcd7363_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22149

"Jack Mehoff - W6LHA" <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote in message
news:MPG.16370cf3dfad481f9896bb@news.earthlink.net...
> Your right Dwight, I stepped over the line. I don't really wish harm to
> you or your family, BUT you have certainly wish harm to mine. For want
> of the ability to see some goat humping billionaire madman on TV, you'd
> rather put my family in harm's way? I don't follow that at all. What
> difference does it make to you whether or not they show his ugly face or
> broadcast his words? If you must hear him, go to the Internet. The Al
> whatever its called it broadcast on the Internet.
>

Why do you insist that Dwight's beliefs *mean* that he desires to put your family in harm's way?! That's not even logical. You sustain an argument that way, because he could then use the argument that your beliefs mean he has to "do something" for you?! Just because someone believes a certain way does not mean they are "with the enemy" you perceive.

>
> Why do your rights preclude mine? Why should I have to work and work in
> NY!!!! in fear so that you can drive your pickup to the local mall and
> shop at Sears? Is it because it's a NY thing as you stated?
>

I don't think Dwight thinks that his rights "preclude" anyone's--yours or otherwise. Why should your rights "preclude" his? Freedom, and life in America especially, is all about compromise. We each compromise in order to facilitate a little of the other--along with those things that become the debates of the century where noone will budge. Not budging is what leads people to despise, hate, and demoralize what this country is all about.

>
> Well friend, once NY is gone, they'll be coming after you (figuratively
> speaking)
>

And, you know that even figuratively that is just hogwash. NY is not going to be gone. A counter example to your comment above is that when the KKK wins their argument with "other races" down here, they'll win all over. It ain't going to happen [them winning their argument]. But, you know what they win in the meantime? I will openly challenge their beliefs, but it truly scares me to think of the vengeance of some of them--they win in that respect because it limits my desire to argue with them.

>
> You can play ostrich all you want, but if I can take the train to work
> and see soldiers standing watch, I'll feel a tad safer.

>

Your decision to ostricize someone because of the way they think is so ugly. And, to many of us, it is more anti-American than you even realize or you wouldn't do it.

>
> If the authorities want to do random searches, so be it. If they stop 1
> person who can hurt my family, so be it
>

Then, you had better start asking for higher taxes, because the common criminal on the street is more harm to your family than anything else. Hell, if you'd seriously sit down and figure out how much the drug culture has actually impacted your and your family's life, you be chewing nails. You choose not to get upset at the invisible challenges we face.

>
> BTW, did you ready about the Portland Me Muslim group that has declared
> Jihad against the US?? It was in the papers. They have vowed to bring
> the fight again to various parts of the US. They're US citizens
> Dwight..what should we do with them? They seem to be hiding behind the
> very laws you wish to keep in place during this time of war.
>

Organizations such as the KKK or any other organization that chooses anti-American sentiment [that of choosing favorably over the rights of one race/religion/people over another] attack this country every day. It's in the papers every day. They have *already* had the fight to the US every day. They're US citizens, whoever you are, what should we do with them? They hide behind the very laws I wish to keep in place during a time of war.

>
> What about all the terrorist cells that have been found in small towns
> throughout the US Dwight. Towns just like yours. What do we do Dwight.
> According to the Manny Moe and Jack of rights (the Texass boys and gal),
> they should be allowed free access of the citizens of this country
> because the Constitution allows it?? I dun think so Lucy
>

Check out the purchases and ownership of one Sun Yung Moon across this country, then check out the man himself--or his "church" and see if ya don't at first get a little concerned. Crap like this goes on every day, whoever you are. One of the things that is so very, very true is when *PEOPLE* all over the world--regular citizens just like you and I--begin to express a common sentiment among all of us: that we are all pawns in a stupid game of chance with our politicians and leaders throwing mudballs at each other!

>
> Just wait Dwight. Sooner or later, they'll find your small insignificant
> town and then they're be no one left to cry in your skittles and beer
> with you
>

Ya know what, whoever you are? You may be willing to give up so much (*if* it comes to that because, after all, all theses changes in our liberties and personal freedoms are still being debated; though there have been some changes already) liberty and freedom just to...well, just to what? We have been warned by our government, by think tanks, by over-reactionaries, etc., that this is going to be a long and protracted event. This country has NEVER before had critical changes across the board to our liberties and freedoms--not that I am aware of anyway. I am not willing to bear under to the pressures of some jackass terrorist anywhere, or my government, or anyone else--just so that a war can be fought. I believe too much in the principles of what I believe this country is about. You believe differently and the biggest difference between the two of us is that you would have me somehow "shut up" to keep you safe, and I choose to lay the cards on the table and let them fall where they may.

My United States, even under war conditions, does not put the people and personal liberties and freedoms at risk. My United States stands proud and lives as normal as we can even in the face of the idiots who would see otherwise. Your United States, even under war conditions, does not sound like one I'd be happy or proud to be a part of. I won't hide in the closet when directly faced with choices--at least I hope I won't. I can't say for sure, because it's never happened yet.

Let's not forget that many of the "things" we debate in this thread have not happened. It is simply at the suggestion of them happening that stirs the emotion. You see how quickly President Bush backed off at his "observation" and suggestion that only a selected few in Congress were going to be allowed to have information. Other things, such as phone taps, etc., have only been "suggested" or hinted at, even mentioned on the floor of our Congressional chambers. You make note of how "the sky is falling" we are--it isn't us who is dreaming up the potential; it is the words out of our government's mouth. And, we have the right as United States citizens to challenge those words. And, I don't hanker to the idea of a time when challenging those words would or *could* in any way be perceived by my government or any other authorities as being a threat to national security. You, whoever you are, have provided a scenario about as close as I wish to get to that.

Kim W5TIT

5904. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 11:52:46 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bcf6bdb_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22322

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BCD08FF.BCE31583@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So, the way to prevent that is to capitulate and allow them to use our
> > very system to destroy us. Right on, genius.
>
> If we have to make our society as repressive as theirs to beat them, we
> will not have beaten them. They will have beaten us. To quote a great
> man, "A society that is willing to give up freedom for security will
> wind up with neither."
>
> Your "solution" is exactly what Osama bin Laden is praying for. If
> a handful of terrorists can cause American citizens to lose all of
> their freedoms, I feel sorry for my grandsons.
> --
> cheers, Cecil, W5DXP
>
>

Hear, hear. Absolutely, Cecil!

Kim W5TIT

5905. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 12:03:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017080336.09124.00000078@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 22147

Problem 3:

A radio amateur has space to put up a dipole antenna approximately 107 feet long. She wishes to operate this antenna on the low end of the 40 meter band (nominally 7 MHz) without a lumped-element matching device, in order to chase CW DX using her homebrew 1500 W PEP transceiver.

Measurements already made by the amateur using a noise bridge indicate that the feedpoint impedance of this antenna at 7 MHz is 540 ohms resistive in series with 1125 ohms inductive reactance (540 + j1125). Design a matching section made of commonly available open wire line so that the impedance at the "shack end" of the matching section will match 50 ohm coax with SWR of less than 2:1, and with as little reactance as possible. Neglect line loss in the calculations.

Solution 3:

Using 300 ohm open wire line:

Normalize the feedpoint impedance by dividing 540 + j1125 by 300. This gives a normalized load end impedance of 1.8 + j3.75 ohms. Plot this normalized impedance on the Smith Chart.

Draw an SWR circle, centered on the prime center, that intersects the 1.8 + j3.75 ohms point just plotted. Note that it crosses the resistance axis at 10, which means the line is operating at an SWR of 10:1

Draw a straight line from the chart's prime center (exact center point of the chart) to the wavelength scale. It should intersect the wavelength scale at 0.216 on the "towards generator" scale (the outer wavelength scale), which is 0.284 on the "towards load" scale (the inner wavelength scale).

Since it is desired to minimize the reactance at the shack (generator) end of the line, plot the points where the SWR circle crosses the resistance axis. There are two of them, one at 0.10 and the other at 10. Since this is a 300 ohm system, the point at 0.10 represents 0.10 times 300, or 30 ohms pure resistance. Draw a straight line from the prime center through this point. The straight line just drawn will cross both wavelength scales at 0.0, which is also 0.5 wavelength.

The electrical length of feedline needed is the distance from the 0.216 point on the "towards generator" scale to the 0.0/0.5 point, traveling in a clockwise direction (because the calculation is from the load [the antenna] towards the generator. The result is 0.284 wavelength.

The point where the SWR circle cross the resistance axis referred to above represents the normalized impedance 0.10 + j0. Multiplying by 300 gives 30 +

j0. This corresponds to an SWR of 1.66:1 in a 50 ohm system.

The 107 foot dipole can therefore be matched to 50 ohms by means of a 300 ohm matching section 0.284 wavelengths long. This is within the specification. However, it is worthwhile to design a system using 450 ohm line to see if any improvement is possible.

Using 450 ohm open wire line:

Normalize the feedpoint impedance by dividing 540 + j1125 by 450. This gives a normalized load end impedance of 1.2 + j2.5 ohms. Plot this normalized impedance on the Smith Chart.

Draw an SWR circle, centered on the prime center, that intersects the 1.2 + j2.5 ohms point just plotted. Note that it crosses the resistance axis at 7, which means the line is operating at an SWR of 7:1

Draw a straight line from the chart's prime center (exact center point of the chart) to the wavelength scale. It should intersect the wavelength scale at 0.200 on the "towards generator" scale (the outer wavelength scale), which is 0.300 on the "towards load" scale (the inner wavelength scale).

Since it is desired to minimize the reactance at the shack (generator) end of the line, plot the points where the SWR circle crosses the resistance axis. There are two of them, one at 0.14 and the other at 7. Since this is a 450 ohm system, the point at 0.14 represents 0.14 times 450, or 63 ohms pure resistance. Draw a straight line from the prime center through this point. The line will cross both wavelength scales at 0.0, which is also 0.5

The electrical length of feedline needed is the distance from the 0.200 point on the "towards generator" scale to the 0.0/0/5 point, traveling in a clockwise direction (because the calculation is from the load [the antenna] towards the generator. The result is 0.300 wavelength.

The point where the SWR circle cross the resistance axis referred to above represents the normalized impedance 0.14 + j0. Multiplying by 450 gives 63 + j0. This corresponds to an SWR of 1.26:1 in a 50 ohm system. This is an improvement over the 1.66:1 SWR of the 300 ohm system.

The 107 foot dipole can therefore be matched to 50 ohms by means of a 450 ohm matching section 0.300 wavelengths long. Note, however, that that any integral number of half-waves of 450 ohm line may be added without disturbing the matching effect at the design frequency. So the 450 ohm section may be 0.3 wavelengths, 0.8 wavelengths, 1.3 wavelengths, or more as needed. Since open wire line is often less expensive and less lossy than good coax, the longer sections may be useful.

Calculations done with 600 ohm line result in an SWR of almost 2:1, inferior to the 300 or 450 ohm cases.

Answer: 0.300 wavelengths of 450 ohm line will provide a match of 1.26:1 at the design frequency. This design is optimum for commonly available open-wire lines.

73 de Jim, N2EY

5906. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 12:03:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017080337.09124.00000079@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 22148

In article <20011016155412.12931.00000046@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20011016080345.04952.00000151@nso-mb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Submitted for your approval:
>
>REJECTED.

You didn't even read it yet, Len, and you're rejecting it.
>
>>Suppose someone really hated Morse code, ham radio and all its traditions,
>>accomplishments and other good stuff. One way for that person to hurt the
>ARS
>>would be to get hams fighting among themselves and behaving in ways that are
>>less than exemplary. Then the person could say "see how hams act?"
>
>Advocacy of the elimination of the morse code test...which still
>exists for under-30-MHz privileges...is not "terrorism."

Nobody said it was, Len. Do you see the words "morse code test" or even the word "test" in this paragraph?:

"Suppose someone really hated Morse code, ham radio and all its traditions, accomplishments and other good stuff. One way for that person to hurt the ARS would be to get hams fighting among themselves and behaving in ways that are less than exemplary. Then the person could say "see how hams act?""

I don't see anything about testing.
>
>What is exhibited here by Jimmie Miccolis and company

Whoa there.

Why the use of a diminutive of my first name and my last name? I don't sign anything that way. And I don't have a company.

>is
>a false equation of:
>
> Old-style-amateur-radio = Patriotism-Honor-Integrity.
>
> FALSE EQUATION
>
How is it false? Are you saying "old-style" hams (whatever that is supposed to

mean) is not patriotic, or honorable, and has no integrity? Where do you get such ideas?

You have previously railed about 'fundamentalist amateurs' whatever that is supposed to mean. Now it's 'old-style' ham radio, with no definitions of either term.

>Apparently the old-style-amateur-radio types have their
>lifestyles so wrapped up in their hobby that they cannot
>debate, discuss, or even argue on anything less than
>"all must cherish and honor the things that these old-time
>amateurs love and cherish" or be labeled a traitor,
>subversive, or an enemy of the people of the USA.

Now where do you get all that? I simply noted how a hypothetical person who hated the ARS might act to hurt it, that's all. No names mentioned. I simply pointed out that getting hams to fight amongst themselves is one way to weaken and possibly destroy the ARS. Is that not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?
>
>>The way subversion and terrorism work is to turn a society against itself,
>>using its own tools and strengths.
>
>"Subversion and terrorism practiced by a 'society'"
>is to keep forever the regulations, traditions, and
>brainwashing of a hobby activity...to forbid any
>rational argument about it, to brand all that don't
>think like the "community" with all manner of
>pejoratives, to accuse them of terrorism in the
>name of amateur radio.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, what an irrational, emotional outburst, Len. First off, by definition, a society cannot practice subversion or terrorism against itself. More important, I did not accuse anyone of "terrorism in the name of amateur radio". In case you didn't notice, there has been a lot of discussion here about the subject. I just tossed out a general observation.

Is my observation not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?

> GET A LIFE.

I have a very full and busy life, Len. This NG is just a tiny part of it. Seems you want to silence me for some reason. I don't understand why you are so worked up over the few true observations I made.

N2EY

5907. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 13:04:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCD81F4.B842D78C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22150

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So who said we're "givin up" any freedoms?

Since you are fond of unreasonable search and seizure and doing away with the concept of probable cause, it can be inferred that you want us to give up the Bill of Rights just like Osama bin Laden wants us to do. Whose side are you on anyway?

> I feel sorry for your grandsons, and mine, if we don't stop this
> terrorist activity in its tracks. You haven't seen anything yet.

We can fight terrorism without overturning the Bill of Rights. If the Bill of Rights is overturned, you haven't seen anything yet. "1984" missed the year but was right on in predicting what would happen. Compared to that, the present terrorist threat is a drop in the bucket.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5908. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 13:14:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCD8422.BF7BB806@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22201

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Precisely- and the way to stop it is to move effectively, by making it
> possible to catch them. That means several changes and some sacrifices
> on YOUR part as well as mine. Sorry you can't underestand that.

Dwight and I are not willing to sacrifice our constitutional rights
which is what defines the US as the nation it is. With freedom comes
responsibility. Sorry you can't understand that.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5909. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 13:19:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCD855D.DCADFDFA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22202

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Isn't this amazing, Cecil? Would you have ever thought that arguing
> > > in defense of Constitutional rights would get people this angry (angry
> > > enough to wish harm on a person)? When I started this thread, I had no
> > > idea that so many would be opposed to it.
> >
> > Terrorists are opposed to our Constitutional rights. Look which
> > side that puts those people on.
>
> What you aren't smart enough to understand is that you are playing
> right into the hands of the terrorists who have already proven
> conclusively that they can use our free and open society to beat us if
> we allow it. And ONLY if we allow it.

Exactly the point we are trying to make, Dick. We do NOT need to throw
The Constitution out the window in order to defeat terrorism. You guys
who advocate repealing the Bill of Rights are the ones who are playing
into the hands of the terrorists. Without The Constitution, sooner or
later, a terrorist would be elected President. Haven't you learned a
thing from history?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5910. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 14:58:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017105821.01697.00000304@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22204

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/17/2001 7:03 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017080337.09124.00000079@nso-fm.aol.com>

>Where do you get such ideas?

One suggestion....it's just before Lenie stands up from the porcelain
throne and takes one last look at his "paper work".

Just an idea.

5911. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 16:02:37 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9qk31m$of2oo$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 22203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Sounds like the original poster was a troll.... I hate to feed trolls, but... If you (original poster, not Jim) think ham's were not relevant, just read the articles in the latest CQ and QST. All the TV stations were off the air due to their antennas all being on top of the WTC. Cell Phones? Forget it, they were overloaded for a week after the event.

Jim was right too about the weather. We get a lot of bad weather here and sometimes ham is the only way to communicate. I read an article that when Hugo hit North Carolina several years ago (can't remember the name of the town it hit), it took out the town's main tower that all the comms were on. Even the Sheriff, Police, and Fire were off the air. Hams were having to ride around with police and fire using HT's as the ONLY communication link.

As to Jim's question, here's a few reasons...

1) Ham's are decentralized. Each ham is his own little entity. We have repeaters, but even those are not centralized but spread out. Plus, in any community there is often more than one repeater on the air, so if one does go out there's others that are useable. Most police/fire etc put all their stuff on one antenna.

2) Ham equipment is versitle. We can quickly change frequencies so we can jump from repeater to repeater. Most commercial police/fire stuff is locked into a set handful of frequencies. It would take a technician to reprogram the things.

3) We can talk to each other. Because of #2, it's real easy for us to talk to each other. Most cities find it hard to communicate with other departments.

4) We are setup for emergencies. Ever hear of field day? It's all about operating under emergency conditions. The contesting is fun, of course, but it's about being able to setup equipment quickly under adverse conditions. Even if our fancy towers were to come down, most hams could quickly setup some sort of temporary antenna and operate of batteries and be on the air in a brief amount of time.

5) I think you (Jim) were very on target when you said "...maybe because they tend to be built by hams that care about their equipment, ...". Most of us do ham out of a passion, and care about the state of our equipment. I'm not knocking the technicians that take care of commercial equipment, but a lot of them are bound by finanical corporate considerations they have no control over. Unbound by such considerations (although a lot of us are probably on a budget) we find a way to get done what we feel needs to be done, either individually or in groups.

I recently read (think it was CQ) that ham radio is 99% hobby and 1%
service, but that the 1% service during times of emergency more than
justifies the other 99% of the time.

73's,

Robert / N4IXT

"Jim Henson" <kg4kcm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tavnst876n522m8lk0ggh6dnaoco2ee877@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 19:00:59 GMT, "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net>
> wrote:
>
> >All one had to do is listen to the air waves to know just how irrelevant
> >hams are. A bunch of old farts talking the same tired crap, day in and
day
> >out. This notion that hams could provide a much needed service in times
of
> >emergency today is fanciful nonsense. Anyone who has a cell phone can do
> >what they do and probably better.
>
> Actually, ham radio operators are very much of use here, where
> I live. The main repeater in this area is tied into the National
> Weather Service and EMA, and are put in alert mode every time there is
> severe weather. We have a lot of tornados around here, and phone and
> power go out during the worst of them, with ham radio operators
> calling in all of the damaged areas to get the work crews back out and
> utilities back up as soon as possible, and medical care provided where
> needed as soon as possible. Cell phones don't tend to work too well
> when there is no power to run their repeaters or their towers are
> ripped down in a tornado. I don't know why ham repeaters tend to
> survive the weather better, maybe because they tend to be built by
> hams that care about their equipment, not by a company that figures
> they can just send a truck out to fix a problem sooner or later.
>
> Ham radio operators DO serve a purpose here, and everywhere
> else, I imagine.
>
> Jim Henson
> KG4KCM
>

5912. Re: Story on Col. Steve (UPR 6.900 - criminal insane nutcase)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 16:11:39 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qkaiv$98d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22205
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What a advanced case of Dumbass this Stevie wonder boy is.

Dan/W4NTI

"Hiram" <nattie33@aol.com> wrote in message
news:xU3z7.4755$SU2.509289@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> This is the same "Stevie Boy" who got his sorry ass kicked off of 3.950
> for being too much of a "loose cannon" (imagine that !)
>
> He also used to check into the Eastern Regional Patriots Militia net
> that used to meet on Mon, Tue, Thur nights on 3.860 LSB at 8:00 PM
> Eastern time, until he surrendered his ham licence (aa8dp)
> to Reily Hollingsworth.....because he believed the FCC was an
> "..agent of the (zionist) occupational UN government".
>
> Someone said he also had a webpage that stated this
> kooky claims of his, but we can't find it. Oh well no loss.
>
> Looks like we have here yet another real genuine radio militia gun-nut
screwball eh folks?
>
> Hopefully the Kentucky State police will put this fruitcake into a pine
box six-feet underground
> very soon!
>
>
> "TMartin831" <tmartin831@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20011016191336.01728.00000203@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >
> > Ex-militia member flees into highland
> > Police say man fired on officers
> > By Lance Williams and Bill Estep
> > HERALD-LEADER STAFF WRITERS
> >
> > MIDDLESBORO -- Police continued searching last night for a former
militia
> > member who allegedly shot up a Bell County patrol car Sunday and then
escaped
> > into the mountains with several weapons, including an assault rifle and
perhaps
> > grenades.
> >
> > Officials say Steve H. Anderson, 54, shot at police after a traffic stop

for
> > having non-working taillights on his pickup. No one was hurt and
Anderson
> > escaped by driving the truck off-road into the mountains off U.S. 25E
just
> > north of Middlesboro after a gunbattle with police, according to
officers.
> >
> > Police discovered two pipe bombs in the truck early yesterday, prompting
> > federal and state law enforcement officials, including the FBI and ATF,
to
> > surround Anderson's home near the Elrod community in rural Pulaski
County. A
> > search of the home is expected today.
> >
> > As of late last night, Anderson -- who police say apparently has
military
> > experience and survival training -- remained at large with a
considerable
> > amount of firepower. ``He appeared to be loaded full tilt,'' said Deputy
John
> > Hoskins of the Bell County Sheriff's Department.
> >
> > According to the Bell County Sheriff's Office, the incident began at
8:15 p.m.
> > Sunday, when Deputy Scott Elder stopped Anderson's vehicle about the
> > taillights.
> >
> > Elder approached Anderson and asked for his driver's license and
explained
> > about the lights. Anderson refused to cooperate, and Elder noticed an
> > ammunition magazine lying in the truck seat, police said.
> >
> > The Kentucky State Police said Anderson told Elder he was a member of
the
> > Kentucky State Militia -- an organization that disavowed him earlier
this year
> > -- and was on patrol.
> >
> > When Elder asked Anderson whether he had weapons, Elder said Anderson
> > responded, ``I have several,'' and began to get out of the vehicle.
Elder then
> > ran behind his vehicle as Anderson began firing an assault rifle at the
patrol
> > car, according to police.
> >
> > ``He tried to cut it in half,'' Hoskins said.
> >
> > Anderson then drove off northbound on U.S. 25E, and Elder followed.
Anderson

> > made a U-turn and began following Elder and shooting at him again,
police said.
> > Those shots disabled Elder's car, and a Pineville city police officer
picked up
> > the chase.
> >
> > Police returned fire, with Elder nearly emptying his clip.
> >
> > Anderson continued to fire at the officer, police said, and then drove
into the
> > mountains, eventually finding an unpaved path that the police cruiser
couldn't
> > follow.
> >
> > Over the next several hours, members of the state police Special
Response Team
> > searched for Anderson on the ground, while officers in a helicopter
equipped
> > with heat-seeking devices and spotlights searched from the air. Police
> > evacuated several homes around the search site Sunday night, but most
people
> > were allowed to return by yesterday morning.
> >
> > At 5:30 a.m. Monday, police found Anderson's truck about 100 feet over
an
> > embankment. Police found little of the ammunition Elder had seen in the
truck,
> > but did find two pipe bombs. The state police bomb squad was called to
the
> > site.
> >
> > Police said they thought Anderson's arsenal included grenades. They
found no
> > blood or other evidence in Anderson's truck that he had been hit.
> >
> > Anderson has a criminal record. In September 1993, he was charged with
two
> > counts of first-degree wanton endangerment, court records show. In 1994,
one of
> > the felony charges was dropped and he pleaded guilty to a reduced charge
of
> > wanton endangerment second degree. He received a one-year suspended
sentence.
> > Details of that case weren't available yesterday.
> >
> > Anderson is better known for a controversial short-wave radio program,
The
> > Militia Hour, which broadcasts militia-related news and features
Anderson's
> > views, which range from denouncing gun control to criticizing the U.S.

> > government to blasting religious minorities. Anderson identifies himself
as a
> > follower of the Christian Identity movement, which claims that Jews are
the
> > offspring of the devil.
> >
> > Earlier this year, the Federal Communications Commission began an
investigation
> > of Anderson for broadcasting without a license. FCC officials would not
comment
> > on the status of that investigation yesterday.
> >
> > Anderson, who had previously served as a major with the Kentucky State
Militia,
> > was kicked out of the group in April for several on-air comments
regarding
> > religious and ethnic minorities.
> >
> > ``We pretty much disassociated with him completely,'' Patrick Perry of
Murray,
> > an assistant commander of the group, said yesterday. ``He's a little too
> > extreme for us.''
> >
> > That view was shared among Anderson's neighbors.
> >
> > Lanta Turner said Anderson sometimes talked to neighbors about his
> > anti-government and racist views. ``He's got a lot of opinions,'' Turner
said.
> > ``Most of them nobody agrees with.''
> >
> > Those opinions also got Anderson into trouble with the militia, whose
former
> > commander sent a letter to the FBI in April warning officials about him.
> >
> > ``His views are pretty extreme,'' said Richard Stephens, a militia
commander in
> > Paducah. ``He's a racist and a very paranoid fellow. Actually, I'm
surprised he
> > hasn't been killed yet.''
> >
> >
>
>

5913. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 17:55:48 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCDC624.D76F18F@uci.edu>
Source record: 22207

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3BC78C41.47276187@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >> Everybody else has to talk about behavior...they can't
> >> talk about technical subjects... :-)
> > >
> > >There's another lie. Guess it applies to you after all, eh Len? :-)
> >
> > ...and there goes Jimmie again talking about behavior. QED.

QED? Take a logic course, old man.

5914. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 17:59:52 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sGjz7.73057$WW.3455064@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22206

I originally wrote:

>> .... And we need AWACS
>> because the next time the bad guys
>> pull an airplane trick, it will be
>> down on the deck, out of radar sight
>> for the ground-based FAA sites.

Dwight Stewart, W5NET replied:

> Well, we're getting too side tracked with this relatively minor
> issue, but just I cannot let it go without any comment. I agree with
> you that our AWACS capability might be stretched thin at the moment,
> but our defense of US airspace has never depended on AWACS aircraft in
> the first place. We have a wide range of military radar systems around
> the country that can watch anything in the air (including something
> flying low enough to dim it's headlights for passing vehicles).

Apparently I have been out of the military radar business a bit too
long. I entirely missed the development and deployment of these
military systems that completely cover the US down to 0 ft AGL.
Care to elaborate?

> In addition, we have satellites to back up that radar capability,
> tactical radar on the jets flying over the intensely protected areas,
> and radar aboard the ships now patrolling the major ports and
> coastline. When you add in the FAA civilian radar to that picture, the
> use of AWACS aircraft is just a "little" redundant.

Actually, my take on the situation is that the FAA radars
comprise the primary air-defense acquisition system for
the continental US airspace. They seem to be the only
system deployed widely enough to cover the area. And they
all digitize their data, so piping it all to NORAD is a
cinch. But they do have their blind spots.

The other options you mention really aren't. Just think for
a moment about the capability a satellite system would have
to have to track aircraft in real time. For starters, it
would have to be RF-radar-based to look through the cloud
cover. The radars on tactical aircraft are strongly oriented
towards weapons delivery and not suitable for surveillance.
That is if those gas-guzzling fireballs could stay in the air
long enough to actually cover an assigned area. Radar picket
ships are a possibility, but pulling Aegis-class missile
cruisers out of the Persian Gulf and other foreign waters

is probably a non-starter.

The truth of the matter is that our air-defense systems are stuck back in the Cold War where the expected attack was by ICBM and the primary air-defense weapon was a retaliatory strike with Minute Man missiles and mutually assured destruction. Now the US government has discovered that it really doesn't control its own airspace and the only way to do that is with airborne radar platforms, AKA AWACS.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5915. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:05:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCDD65C.24A308A0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22208

N2EY wrote:
>
> Problem 3:
>
> A radio amateur has space to put up a dipole antenna approximately 107 feet
> long. She wishes to operate this antenna on the low end of the 40 meter band
> (nominally 7 MHz) without a lumped-element matching device, in order to chase
> CW DX using her homebrew 1500 W PEP transceiver.
>
> Measurements already made by the amateur using a noise bridge indicate that the
> feedpoint impedance of this antenna at 7 MHz is 540 ohms resistive in series
> with 1125 ohms inductive reactance (540 + j1125). Design a matching section
> made of commonly available open wire line so that the impedance at the "shack
> end" of the matching section will match 50 ohm coax with SWR of less than 2:1,
> and with as little reactance as possible. Neglect line loss in the
> calculations.
>
> Answer: 0.300 wavelengths of 450 ohm line will provide a match of 1.26:1 at the
> design frequency. This design is optimum for commonly available open-wire
> lines.

Not only that, Jim, but that process can be repeated for 30m, 20m, 17m,
15m,
12m, and 10m for that same antenna. For most of those bands, there is a
450
ohm feedline length that will result in an SWR less than 2:1. That's the
way
I match my 130ft dipole on all HF bands without needing an antenna
tuner.
And matching in that manner reduces common-mode currents on the
feedline.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5916. Re: The Eagle has landed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152933.25396.00000011@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22209

In article <3BCCFD30.CB44E496@mailcity.com>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
writes:

>When are you going to learn to use ' in place of;?
>
>Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:

When is this kid going to use his real name and real
callsign?

You can't expect the world's oldest living teen-age ham to
spell/type correctly. Lots of teen-agers don't have the
skills to do that. :-)

5917. Re: War Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152934.25396.00000013@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22210

In article <f077a289d9c129e5c887451aee54b202.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>> Perhaps Brakob would like to relate his war stories ....
>
>Go to http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

The next time Brakob uses the word "punk" about another military veteran he can go to -

www.clinteastwood-impersonations.org/bootcamp/index.html

Go ahead, make my day. :-)

5918. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152934.25396.00000012@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22220

In article <MPG.16370cf3dfad481f9896bb@news.earthlink.net>, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA
<lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> writes:

>Your right Dwight, I stepped over the line.

"Jackoff" has stepped over the line so many times she cannot
walk straight.

> I don't really wish harm to
>you or your family, BUT you have certainly wish harm to mine.

Oh? Having those delusion attacks again? Quit sniffing those
lines, kid. You might get confused and inhale some spores.

>For want
>of the ability to see some goat humping billionaire madman on TV, you'd
>rather put my family in harm's way?

Who said that, kid? Someone scare you, threaten to snip your
antenna leads AGAIN?

>I don't follow that at all.

The delusional only "follow" their inner voice...and then make up
cutesy little pseudonyms to HIDE behind.

>Why do your rights preclude mine?

Kid, you are anonymous, don't really exist. The non-existant
don't have any rights.

>Why should I have to work and work in NY!!!!

"Working for a Fortune 500" company still, are you?
McDonalds or Burger King? In the mail room or on
the griddle? :-)

>Well friend, once NY is gone, they'll be coming after you (figuratively
>speaking)

Sounds like a threat, there..."they" are coming after Dwight?

That's like this "fungi" twit who was "coming after me" as
the "eagle." Pfaugh!

>You can play ostrich all you want, but if I can take the train to work
>and see soldiers standing watch, I'll feel a tad safer.

Kid, quit talking about ostriches when you use multiple
pseudonyms. Your ostrich feathers are showing...and they
are dirty.

You've never been a soldier, never stood "watch" and your
"military" experience comes only from watching TV.

>If the authorities want to do random searches, so be it. If they stop 1
>person who can hurt my family, so be it

Live in fear, kid, learn to like it. You want to have everyone
else live in fear of a big, powerful anonymous presence
trying to act the grown-up. Start learning to like what you
try to do to others.

>What about all the terrorist cells that have been found in small towns
>throughout the US Dwight.

What about all the anonymous potty-mouths who talk big and
hide behind pseudonyms, kid?

>Just wait Dwight. Sooner or later, they'll find your small insignificant
>town and then they're be no one left to cry in your skittles and beer
>with you

Just wait, "Jackoff," sooner or later someone will find your
insignificance in NJ. Just another little insignicant terrorist-
wannabe on the Internet. Goodbye feedlines again. :-)

With all kindest anonymous regards,
Leonard H. Anderson
ex-RA16408336

5919. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152935.25396.00000016@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22211

In article <MPG.1636ffc0d9703599896b8@news.earthlink.net>, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA
<lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> writes:

>... If you check carefully, you'd see I am
>not the same person you might be referring to. I've been here only a
>short time. I reside, work and play in the greatest city in the world
>New York. As to your above referral..well..guess you know best.

Give it up. There is no hiding. We all know the Landing place.

5920. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152935.25396.00000014@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22213

In article <9qjovm$215$1@208.206.142.85>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> No, because information in the hands of a loose cannon can be
>dangerous.

The USA is safe...Carroll has no information. :-)

5921. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152935.25396.00000015@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22215

In article <3bccf477$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

<about the world's oldest living teenage ham>

>My my, you *are* quite experienced at your generalizations and labeling,
>aren't you? I bet you didn't even have to practice that! Man, your
>intellect is so very, very impressive!

Outer space is very, very impressive. In this case the "intellect"
is about the same as outer space....empty. Very empty.

What can one expect from such an "intellect" that hides
behind a pseudonym and attacks only women and old men?

:-)

5922. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152936.25396.00000017@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22219

In article <3BCCEE3A.1F7477CD@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> Isn't this amazing, Cecil? Would you have ever thought that arguing
>in defense of Constitutional rights would get people this angry (angry
>enough to wish harm on a person)? When I started this thread, I had no
>idea that so many would be opposed to it. I guess I now have an answer
>to the "where did my America go" question.

Very few of these postings are really about constitutional rights.

The majority of them seem to be "tough talk" statements of
pomposity intended to control and subjugate all who don't
think like they do...to "win message points" in a virtual
"battle" with words. Heh, it's been that way in computer-
modem communications since it started over two decades
ago. "Bravery" is exhibited by those who call others names
because the time-distance separation of the computer medium
isolates them from direct personal confrontation. Thus shielded
from harm they feel they can say anything they like. They like
the power they feel over others.

It is worse when some hide behind pseudonyms...such as this
Jackoff character (alias "Fungi" and the "director of a QSL buro")
or those that say "everything I write is the 'truth.'" Barf.

Meanwhile there are still two F-16s ready to scramble at
the local airport, ready to take off and shoot down civil
air carriers. Some control freaks love that...as long as it
doesn't happen in their precious neighborhood.

Note "neighborhood." All of the control freaks pretend to be
"of and for the USA" but they are really "defending" their
own local territory. They want to have Their territory be the
model for the USA. That way they are free to insult and
demean those who do not live where they live and are not
like them.

Control freaks really hate freedom for others. They only
want freedom for them to do and say what They wish.

5923. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152937.25396.00000018@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22214

In article <3BCCEC4C.78B8E882@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> I just noticed what you mean. I guess I'm a little too trusting.
>When it comes to situations like the one facing this country now, I
>tend to trust that others in the discussion have an equal concern for
>the situation. Obviously, that is not always the case. Thanks for the
>heads up, Len.

A quote from the late dean of modern science-fiction writers,
Robert A. Heinlein:

"Trust everyone but always cut cards..." :-)

5924. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152937.25396.00000019@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22216

In article <2e7f9853c4f6d52cb4b3344613a4c890.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20011016165100.18656.00000021@nso-ma.aol.com...
>
>> We cannot exercise our freedom of speech?
>
>Mr. Stewart are free to say whatever it pleases him to say.

So am I.

>And I am free to vehemently disagree with his ACLU-like drivel.

...and I am free to object and to point out that Brakob's manner and attitude does not exhibit good will about the amateur radio community to others. Nor does the attitude of any "get tough" statements by long-timers who insist on control and subjugation of all who wish to exercise their guarantees of free speech.

What happened to the famous Brakobian "gentlement can disagree without being disagreeable?" You are disagreeable.

With all kind wishes, of course.

5925. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152938.25396.00000020@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22212

In article <20011017080337.09124.00000079@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Is my observation not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?

Delusional. You state personal opinions as if they were TRUTH.

Sorry, your godhood, they are just opinions.

5926. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152938.25396.00000021@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22218

In article <87adyqeqat.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>, Rich McAllister K6RFM
<rfm@pensfa.org> writes:

>sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) writes:
>
>> And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
>> (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
>> impedance calculations
>
>Yeah, but you can't get the intuition that comes from getting the
>visual-pattern-recognition part of your brain engaged. Who can keep
>the various conic sections separate without a set of little Cartesian
>graphs in your head?
>
>> Smith chart paper used to be
>> rather pricey,
>
>But now it's free, since the patent ran out about 65 years ago.

The copyright on the format is still in effect. Copyrights last
for "life plus 50" or fifty years after the author's death.

Just the same, there are many JPG and GIF copies available
over the Internet. Repro is the cost download time plus
paper and ink.

>> and you can't read it to very many significant digits
>> either.
>
>Since it's hard to make any measurements with more than
>two or three significant digits, who cares?

Few use the Chart for accuracy. It is the visualization,
especially with many frequency plot points that can offer
much insight into using whatever accuracy in solution
with a scientific pocket calculator.

Measurement accuracy to obtain plot points depends on
the instrument. That affects BOTH Smith Chart and
computer program solutions.

5927. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:29:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017152939.25396.00000022@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22217

In article <f84981dfe87ce51e72cd50669a1dfe29.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>On another front, when I first became involved in amateur radio, Morse
>code was the one absolutely necessary communications mode used by every
>ham.

In 1914?!?!? In 1930?!? Brakob lied to the FCC on his age, then!

Seems to me the only thing "necessary" about morse code a half century ago was the morse TEST requirement.

According to some highly-degreed Master Historians of Hamming in here, amateurs had all sorts of fancy modes they could use way back then. Which is it?

>Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight.....

Don't worry, big dinosaur, the impact will be quick. You won't feel a thing. Don't let your big asteroid hang out.

With all kind wishes,

ex-RA16408336

5928. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:36:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCDDDB5.BE17D5C5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22221

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Outer space is very, very impressive. In this case the "intellect"
> is about the same as outer space....empty. Very empty.

Actually, it appears that empty space contains something.
There is not nearly enough visible matter to cause the
observed gravitational pull. They call it "dark matter".
I think it may be "transparent matter".
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5929. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 19:41:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCDDEF3.5EB81D6B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22222

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Few use the Chart for accuracy. It is the visualization,
> especially with many frequency plot points that can offer
> much insight into using whatever accuracy in solution
> with a scientific pocket calculator.

Even if one uses the most accurate method humanly possible, it
will still not perfectly agree with reality. The Smith Chart gets
one close enough that "cut and try" then zeros in on the exact
reality-oriented solution.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5930. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 20:40:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCDECAB.B3EEF2E9@uci.edu>
Source record: 22223

Len Over 21 wrote:
> The delusional only "follow" their inner voice...and then make up
> cutesy little pseudonyms to HIDE behind.

Ya know, there might be some truth to that, NoCWTest.

5931. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 21:08:51 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Drmz7.143096$W8.3441507@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22224

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> Measurements already made by the amateur using a
> noise bridge indicate that the feedpoint impedance
> of this antenna at 7 MHz is 540 ohms resistive in series
> with 1125 ohms inductive reactance (540 + j1125).

Another instructive example might be exactly how one obtains
an electrical line-length to 'rotate' a measured
impedance through, given the somewhat limited range of a
typical noise bridge (< 250 ohms resistance and reactance
if I remember correctly) and the vagaries of correlating
physical length with electrical length at a given frequency.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5932. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 22:16:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017181642.12540.00000101@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 22225

In article <3BCDDDB5.BE17D5C5@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Outer space is very, very impressive. In this case the "intellect"
>> is about the same as outer space....empty. Very empty.
>
>Actually, it appears that empty space contains something.
>There is not nearly enough visible matter to cause the
>observed gravitational pull. They call it "dark matter".
>I think it may be "transparent matter".

Those that think they "matter" don't have the "gravity" of the
situation in mind... :-)

However, the "intellect" Kim referred to is transparent, dark
matter since it doesn't ID. Perhaps in another space-time
continuum? :-)

Happy 450 Ohms!
LHA

5933. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 22:16:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011017181643.12540.00000102@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 22226

In article <Drmz7.143096$W8.3441507@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Measurements already made by the amateur using a
>> noise bridge indicate that the feedpoint impedance
>> of this antenna at 7 MHz is 540 ohms resistive in series
>> with 1125 ohms inductive reactance (540 + j1125).
>
>Another instructive example might be exactly how one obtains
>an electrical line-length to 'rotate' a measured
>impedance through, given the somewhat limited range of a
>typical noise bridge (< 250 ohms resistance and reactance
>if I remember correctly) and the vagaries of correlating
>physical length with electrical length at a given frequency.

That's a simple task on the Smith Chart. Plot a point of the impedance. Take a straight-edge and lay it on the plot point and the Chart center. The admittance lies along that straight line at the same radius as the impedance plot point. Do the same for conversion from admittance to impedance.

Or one can take a scientific pocket calculator such as the HP 32SII, enter the admittance susceptance first, then conductance, do the three-key dance for a complex inversion. Impedance resistive part is displayed, do an X<->Y single key to display reactive part. No sweat.

If you know someone who has a collection of the HP Library of calculator programs, get # 2603D, "Transmission Line End Impedance Rotation" (May '78). That will work on HP-67s and HP-97s. Program steps and method are all explained to allow conversion to more modern programmables.

An admittance bridge (such as the so-called Noise Bridge) is based on balancing the bridge's parallel resistance and capacitance with the load. The ranges depend on those variables. I've only built two of them and don't know what a "typical" Bridge has, but a 5K carbon-element pot can work up to 30 MHz (better for a ganged 10K carbon dual pot with the gangs wired in parallel to cut down on the inductance presented by the internal contact arm.

An impedance of 540+j1125 at 7 MHz will appear the same as the parallel connection of 2884 Ohms resistance and 1384 Ohms reactance inductor. [the admittance dual is 346.8 - j 722.4 micromho] The parallel resistance for balance would be too high if the "typical" bridge is 250 Ohms. But, there is a solution through a "range extender" if needed. Read about it in Ham Radio magazine, May 1978. That article has 5 HP-25 programs that work with the Noise Bridge and all of those can be adapted to more modern ones. One program handles the "range extender" fixed-component plug-in.

CQ Communications and the ARRL both sell the CD-ROM set of 3 disks that cover all 22 years of HR's existance. [CQ bought HR entire a decade ago] $150 plus shipping for all three, consult their websites for individual disks.

With kindest regards,
LHA

5934. Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 22:31:16 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0110171431.361fb94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22227

Now that the publicity associated with Amateur Restructuring has worn off, the trend of decreasing numbers of US Amateur Radio Service Operators has returned:

http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html

Told ya.

Unless Hams make a concerted effort to reach out to the younger generation, this trend will soon start to go logarithmic.

I offered a solution a year ago, but it was ahead of its time, and probably still is. But, mark my words, eventually the Amateur Service will be FORCED to implement something similar to what I've suggested. Either that, or the FCC will eventually auction off or open up most of the virtually unused VHF/UHF frequencies(other than a few high-level repeaters, these bands are basically dedicated to a sea of unused closed repeaters), where they can be put to good use.

5935. Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 22:46:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%Snz7.116368$3d2.3580995@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22228

When we get over what was certainly a well-planned caper that had incredible luck we may get around to assessing the long-term lessons of this: much like learning to protect trains from Jesse James and Butch & Sundance. I will submit two:

A. We need to stop the deterioration of Public Health and trauma care in the U.S. Aetna HMOs are not planning to pay for either and encourage their deterioration. Ask your local hospital admin about being able to isolate a case given that the air handling systems are common. Ever wonder why just about every NYC hospital worker there over 90 days will lite up to a tuberculin test???

B. If we are serious about depriving terrorists of finance we need to find a way to take the profit out of the drug trade and that implies some form of legalization and legal supply. Joe Stalin achieved his early position in the Bolsheviks largely thru skill at bank robbery; now it is done by smuggling.

Unless we plan to leave hospital facilities standing around looking stupid it would seem like they might have to earn their keep treating, as examples, drug addicts, everyone between 6 months and 14 years, all trauma cases for the first 72 hours, etc. And of course Republican coronaries at the very ideas of socialized medicine and drug legalization.

One more case of doing the sane thing or being ideologically pure.

Dick NBK

5936. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:19:20 UTC
From: radi0xdj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dcddca99.0110171519.3cf9c549@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22229
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9q5sq2$6lf$0@208.207.71.158>...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > redrum wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) Look. In a war, there is no attempt
> > > to be fair.
> >
> > Exactly what the Germans must have thought throughout WWII.
> >
> > > We want to win, and we do this by the best
> > > means possible.
> >
> > Exactly what the Japanese must have thought just before they bombed
> > Pearl Harbor.
>
>
> Would you mind describing how any of that applies to the current
> sitution?
>
> Dick

IT WOULD BE CHEAPER TO DROP CELL PHONES AND WHEN THE PUSH SEND FOR A
CALL PUT HOWARD STERN ON THE PHONE. BAAA HAAA HAAA HAA

5937. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:20:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE123D.5FF5889B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22230

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Apparently I have been out of the
> military radar business a bit too
> long. I entirely missed the
> development and deployment of these
> military systems that completely
> cover the US down to 0 ft AGL.
> Care to elaborate?

It is not my area of expertise, so I would no idea where to begin to elaborate. However, I seem to remember reading in some defense publication about some type of look down radar on satellites that was specifically designed to eliminate the problem of tracking low flying aircraft. Since you seem to know more, has the military done nothing over the years to routinely track low flying aircraft - something besides having a number of AWACS airborne all the time?

> Actually, my take on the situation
> is that the FAA radars comprise the
> primary air-defense acquisition
> system for the continental US airspace.
> They seem to be the only system
> deployed widely enough to cover the
> area. And they all digitize their
> data, so piping it all to NORAD is a
> cinch. But they do have their blind
> spots.

I agree that the FAA radar systems are a major component of that capability, but it is not the only one. Lets take the Coast Guard as an example. Over much of the country, most reports of suspicious aircraft do first come from FAA facilities. However, in key areas (the Gulf, southern CA, etc), the Coast Guard itself maintains a watch with it's own radar systems. Likewise, the Navy also maintains a radar watch over those same areas. In addition, the Coast Guard maintains it's own fleet of basic AWACS type aircraft in these regions that are detached when something is reported by these other systems. The same with the Navy. So, in these regions, it is usually either Coast Guard or Navy radar systems that first detect suspicious aircraft. When that occurs, AWACS type aircraft are then dispatched to get a wider view of the situation.

Anyway, I suspect it is the same around the rest of the country - layers of radar systems, each with unique capabilities, maintaining

watch. And, just like with the Coast Guard or Navy, when something is noticed using these systems, AWACS type aircraft are then dispatched to get a better overall view of the situation, while other aircraft are sent to intercept the specific threat.

Dwight Stewart

5938. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:24:46 UTC
From: radi0xdj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dcddca99.0110171524.7ecf9600@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22233
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9qb4ah$5vu$0@208.206.142.97>...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Gee. What a patriot. Tired of
> > > resisting the enemies of our
> > > freedoms while insisting on
> > > receiving the most detailed,
> > > even trivial benefits deriving
> > > therefrom. And I understand
> > > you made a career of working for
> > > the military. What a surprise.
> >
> > That's right, Dick. I enlisted in the Army in 1970, during the
> > Vietnam War. And I've worked on and off for the military ever since.
> > But that still doesn't change the fact that I'm tired of war. I've
> > lived through too many of them.
>
WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH BAYGEN RADIOS BEING AIR DROPPED ?
>
> I guess you can always give it up, if you're so miserable living with
> it.
>
>
>
> I've read about too many people dying.
> > I've seen the pictures of too many people suffering. And I've seen way
> > too much destruction. But I don't understand those feelings that would
> > affect my status as a patriot. Does one have to like war to be a
> > patriot? Is there no room for anything else?
>
>
> You sure are good at whining about it, whatever it is that has you
> feeling inadequate du jour.
> >
> > Dwight Stewart

5939. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:24:47 UTC
From: radi0xdj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dcddca99.0110171524.4cf44fe9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22232
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9qb4ah$5vu$0@208.206.142.97>...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Gee. What a patriot. Tired of
> > > resisting the enemies of our
> > > freedoms while insisting on
> > > receiving the most detailed,
> > > even trivial benefits deriving
> > > therefrom. And I understand
> > > you made a career of working for
> > > the military. What a surprise.
> >
> > That's right, Dick. I enlisted in the Army in 1970, during the
> > Vietnam War. And I've worked on and off for the military ever since.
> > But that still doesn't change the fact that I'm tired of war. I've
> > lived through too many of them.
>
WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH BAYGEN RADIOS BEING AIR DROPPED ?
>
> I guess you can always give it up, if you're so miserable living with
> it.
>
>
>
> I've read about too many people dying.
> > I've seen the pictures of too many people suffering. And I've seen way
> > too much destruction. But I don't understand those feelings that would
> > affect my status as a patriot. Does one have to like war to be a
> > patriot? Is there no room for anything else?
>
>
> You sure are good at whining about it, whatever it is that has you
> feeling inadequate du jour.
> >
> > Dwight Stewart

5940. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:24:47 UTC
From: radi0xdj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dcddca99.0110171524.6e9533b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22234
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9qb4ah$5vu$0@208.206.142.97>...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Gee. What a patriot. Tired of
> > > resisting the enemies of our
> > > freedoms while insisting on
> > > receiving the most detailed,
> > > even trivial benefits deriving
> > > therefrom. And I understand
> > > you made a career of working for
> > > the military. What a surprise.
> >
> > That's right, Dick. I enlisted in the Army in 1970, during the
> > Vietnam War. And I've worked on and off for the military ever since.
> > But that still doesn't change the fact that I'm tired of war. I've
> > lived through too many of them.
>
WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH BAYGEN RADIOS BEING AIR DROPPED ?
>
> I guess you can always give it up, if you're so miserable living with
> it.
>
>
>
> I've read about too many people dying.
> > I've seen the pictures of too many people suffering. And I've seen way
> > too much destruction. But I don't understand those feelings that would
> > affect my status as a patriot. Does one have to like war to be a
> > patriot? Is there no room for anything else?
>
>
> You sure are good at whining about it, whatever it is that has you
> feeling inadequate du jour.
> >
> > Dwight Stewart

5941. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:28:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE141A.F1863C4C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22231

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight (snip) doesn't believe
> that an attack on New York affects him
> in South Carolina, even considering
> the DC attack simultaneously.

That is not what I said and you know it, Dick. Since you cannot find anything wrong with what I've actually said, you have to make up stuff. Be careful with that, because two can play that game. For example, a friend in another newsgroup told me that you posted a message there saying that your fully supported the Taliban, and that you were personally planning further attacks on other targets.

Dwight Stewart

5942. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:30:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011017193028.25396.00000026@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22235

In article <3BCCADBD.70607@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> 1 is the fact of the surprise attack on Pearl Harbor that actually drew
>> the US into the war.
>
>
>If I remember correctly, that act was performed by citizens of Japan,
>not citizens of the US.
>
>
>> 2 was that as a group the Japanese were easily identifiable vis a vis
>> racial profiling. It's hard to pick a German sympathiser out of a crowd
>> of White Anglo Saxon Protestants.
>My point exactly. How someone looks has nothing to do with what
>
>someone believes. The interring of innocent American citizens during
>
>WWII has gone down in history as an American atrocity.

Racially "profiling" the Japanese during WWII was unfortunate, but a
necessary thing at the time. In view of what we know now about
the atrocities committed by the Japanese during the war, it certainly
was a small price for Americans of Japanese descent to have their
rights temporarily suspended in the interest of national security.
In view of the events of 9/11, it makes sense to take similar, if much
less drastic precautions. It would be foolhardy *not* to "racially
profile" Arabs or persons with Arab features when boarding
commercial airliners, entering certain public buildings, or accessing
any secure facility which would be a likely target. And I'm not talking
about rounding them up into retention camps or anything like that --
just using reasonable, rational security precautions that are not
intended to, or have the effect of, limiting their rights as American
citizens or legal residents of the United States.

We have all now seen what committed, fanatic terrorists can do, and
we know where this terror comes from. We must now deal with it
the best we can, and this will certainly require that specific people be
more closely examined, based entirely on where they came from and
what they look like. Call it racial profiling, or give it any politically-
correct label that suits your pacifist purposes, but I, as an American
concerned with the security of my country and the safety of ALL
of it's citizens and legal residents, just think it makes simple,
common sense.

73 de Larry, K3LT

5943. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:36:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE160C.17F3C2CB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22236

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Ah, so. A CBR attack is not an attack. We see.

Do you know something that the rest of us don't, Dick. As far as I know, no government agency has said a CBR attack has occurred in either of those places. At this point, those Anthrax incidents could have come from anybody (pranks, angry ex-employees, some other group, someone out to get attention, and so forth).

Dwight Stewart

5944. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:40:34 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W6LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.1638268bf8e6a4429896be@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22237

In article <3BCD0F75.CAB12C1F@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
> >
> > (snip)
>
>
> No comment.

except, according to Cecilian logic, this is a comment, brief, but still a comment.

You didn't disappoint me Dwight. You and your minority would give aid and sanctuary to the enemy all in the name of preserving some rights that won't affect you anyway. You'd just a soon sacrifice citizens of this country by hindering the ability of law enforcement from tracking these camel jockeys down. Allowing them to use the very laws you whine and cry about against us.

Whelp Dwight, I bid you ado, as they have just activated the 1179th Deployment Support Battalion out of Fort Hamilton and as they saying goes, where they go I go.

It's been a slice of heaven. I hope well to all, well, almost all, right Len??

TTFN as Christopher Robin would tell Pooh Bear

5945. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:41:03 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCE170F.C6AA1752@uci.edu>
Source record: 22239

stewart wrote:
>
> Now that the publicity associated with Amateur Restructuring has worn
> off, the trend of decreasing numbers of US Amateur Radio Service
> Operators has returned:
>
> http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>
> Told ya.

Your "trend" represents a percentage change whose total is on the same
order as the mean month-to-month fluctuation in membership.

> Unless Hams make a concerted effort to reach out to the younger
> generation, this trend will soon start to go logarithmic.

Is this based on past trends?

> I offered a solution a year ago, but it was ahead of its time, and
> probably still is. But, mark my words, eventually the Amateur Service
> will be FORCED to implement something similar to what I've suggested.
> Either that, or the FCC will eventually auction off or open up most of
> the virtually unused VHF/UHF frequencies(other than a few high-level
> repeaters, these bands are basically dedicated to a sea of unused
> closed repeaters), where they can be put to good use.

And a year ago you suggested what, exactly? Do you feel the ARS should
be punished for not having immediatly implemented your suggestion?

5946. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:47:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE1893.8B4FEBD0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22238

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> What you aren't smart enough to
> understand is that you are playing
> right into the hands of the
> terrorists who have already proven
> conclusively that they can use our
> free and open society to beat us if
> we allow it. (snip)

So your solution is to get rid of our free and open society? That doesn't make sense, Dick. You're giving the terrorists exactly what they want - proof that our society is wrong, proof that our concepts of liberty and freedoms are wrong. The more we change because of them, the more they have won (and we have lost).

Dwight Stewart

5947. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-17 23:51:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE1985.56DB8D3D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22240

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> So who said we're "givin up" any freedoms?

You have, Dick. I quote a previous message from you, "As in a number of previous instances in wartime, our leadership, recognizing this fact, has moved to forestall it by placing some minor but effective limitations on our usual open and free interchange."

Dwight Stewart

5948. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 00:09:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE1D89.B6505ED9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.usa.republican,alt.politics.democrats,alt.rush-limbaugh

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Well, Dick, if you're going to
> > call me an idiot for defending the
> > concepts behind the Bill of Rights,
> > then you're just going to have to
> > continue calling me an idiot because
> > I have no intent to ever stop
> > supporting those concepts.
>
> Well Dwight it's clear to me that
> your type of defense is contributing
> to the terrorists' offense.

Well, that is the way it will have to be, Dick. Because I'm not about to stop defending my rights because of Osama Bin Laden or any other terrorist. Why are you willing to give up so much for Osama Bin Laden?

Dwight Stewart

5949. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 00:44:04 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <346fecdab8a6ed753cc064bb4827933e.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22242

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote

> As far as I know, no government agency has said a CBR
> attack has occurred in either of those places.

Deliberate spreading of Anthrax is a CBR attack, whether
or not a "government agency" says so. Period.

> At this point, those Anthrax incidents could have come
> from anybody ....

Just "anybody" does not have access to anthrax spores to
willy-nilly start mailing them around, coordinated in time
with other organized terrorist activity. While the government
despartely tries to spin-doctor this so as not to alarm the
citizenry, somebody with money and organization is systematically
targeting our media communications and our government with
the terror of deadly diseases. Their targets are well picked
for maximum public visibility, and these are not isolated cases
of disgruntled previous employees or wild-eyed kooks. This is
a deadly-serious CBR attack. Be afraid, be very afraid!

Hans

--
Posted from mplsapanas07poolb42.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.14.42]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5950. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 00:47:38 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <e8b880651262d04a6fa769154316dacd.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22243

"Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote

> This is a deadly-serious CBR attack. Be afraid, be very afraid!

As a related note, even ham radio is not immune. Here is a message
I just received related to RadioSport contesting.

To all amateurs active in CQ-sponsored contests:

Due to security concerns, CQ Communications Inc. is asking all participants
in CQ-sponsored amateur radio contests to submit their logs electronically.
All logs for the CQ World Wide DX Contest, the CQ WPX Contest, the CQ World
Wide 160-Meter Contest, the CQ World Wide VHF Contest and the CQ/RTTY
Journal RTTY contests should be submitted via e-mail per instructions in
the rules for each contest. In light of recent events regarding hazardous
items sent through the mail, logs received through the mail at the CQ
offices will be held unopened until all potential health risks have been
evaluated. CQ says it cannot guarantee that logs submitted by postal mail
will be opened. Contesters who want to be sure their scores will be
counted must submit by e-mail.

"We regret the need to inconvenience those contesters who do not have
access to internet-connected computers, or who choose to log by hand and
submit those hand-written logs," explained CQ Communications President Dick
Ross, K2MGA. "But our logs come from all over the world. While the risk
that amateurs might be involved in any sort of terroristic activity is
extremely small, mail en route may be subject to tampering. We cannot ask
our staff members or contest committee volunteers to possibly expose
themselves to dangerous packages sent through the mail."

"We are not giving in to terrorism," added CQ magazine Editor Rich Moseson,
W2VU. "We are facing a very real and fast-growing threat and we are taking
reasonable precautions to protect our staff and volunteers."

CQ requests that this information be disseminated widely so as many
contesters as possible will be aware of this rules change before the SSB
weekend of the CQ World Wide DX Contest on October 27-28.

Thank you and I hope to see you in the CQWW this weekend.

73,
Rich W2VU
Editor, CQ

--
Posted from mplsapanas07poolb42.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.14.42]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

5951. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 01:11:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCE2C1C.DE3887A5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22244

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Then, you had better start asking for
> higher taxes, because the common criminal
> on the street is more harm to your family
> than anything else. (snip)

An excellent point, Kim. When it comes to our own personal risk of death or injury, one must remember there are an average of 1,600,000 violent crimes in this country every single year, including 18,000 murders (almost 4 times the number killed in the WTC attack). For that matter, there are many risks in our lives - there are average of 40,000 people killed in automobile accidents, 25,000 killed in home accidents, and 4,000 people killed in property fires, every single year. So, if we're going to be concerned about our own safety in this country, a single instance of terrorism, like the WTC attack, should not be the main focal point of that concern.

Dwight Stewart

5952. Re: Story on Col. Steve (UPR 6.900 - criminal insane nutcase)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 01:13:16 UTC
From: dede@wewe.org
Message-ID: <v8bsstgmjj5n973losakot29qgttru9pcu@4ax.com>
Source record: 22245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.guns

is he still alive?

On Wed, 17 Oct 2001 00:02:37 GMT, "Hiram" <nattie33@aol.com> wrote:

>This is the same "Stevie Boy" who got his sorry ass kicked off of 3.950
>for being too much of a "loose cannon" (imagine that !)
>
>He also used to check into the Eastern Regional Patriots Militia net
>that used to meet on Mon, Tue, Thur nights on 3.860 LSB at 8:00 PM
>Eastern time, until he surrendered his ham licence (aa8dp)
>to Reily Hollingsworth.....because he believed the FCC was an
>"..agent of the (zionist) occupational UN government".
>
>Someone said he also had a webpage that stated this
>kooky claims of his, but we can't find it. Oh well no loss.
>
>Looks like we have here yet another real genuine radio militia gun-nut screwball eh folks?
>
>Hopefully the Kentucky State police will put this fruitcake into a pine box six-feet
underground
>very soon!
>
>
>"TMartin831" <tmartin831@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20011016191336.01728.00000203@mb-fn.aol.com...
>>
>> Ex-militia member flees into highland
>> Police say man fired on officers
>> By Lance Williams and Bill Estep
>> HERALD-LEADER STAFF WRITERS
>>
>> MIDDLESBORO -- Police continued searching last night for a former militia
>> member who allegedly shot up a Bell County patrol car Sunday and then escaped
>> into the mountains with several weapons, including an assault rifle and perhaps
>> grenades.
>>
>> Officials say Steve H. Anderson, 54, shot at police after a traffic stop for
>> having non-working taillights on his pickup. No one was hurt and Anderson
>> escaped by driving the truck off-road into the mountains off U.S. 25E just
>> north of Middlesboro after a gunbattle with police, according to officers.
>>
>> Police discovered two pipe bombs in the truck early yesterday, prompting
>> federal and state law enforcement officials, including the FBI and ATF, to

>> surround Anderson's home near the Elrod community in rural Pulaski County. A
>> search of the home is expected today.
>>
>> As of late last night, Anderson -- who police say apparently has military
>> experience and survival training -- remained at large with a considerable
>> amount of firepower. ``He appeared to be loaded full tilt,'' said Deputy John
>> Hoskins of the Bell County Sheriff's Department.
>>
>> According to the Bell County Sheriff's Office, the incident began at 8:15 p.m.
>> Sunday, when Deputy Scott Elder stopped Anderson's vehicle about the
>> taillights.
>>
>> Elder approached Anderson and asked for his driver's license and explained
>> about the lights. Anderson refused to cooperate, and Elder noticed an
>> ammunition magazine lying in the truck seat, police said.
>>
>> The Kentucky State Police said Anderson told Elder he was a member of the
>> Kentucky State Militia -- an organization that disavowed him earlier this year
>> -- and was on patrol.
>>
>> When Elder asked Anderson whether he had weapons, Elder said Anderson
>> responded, ``I have several,'' and began to get out of the vehicle. Elder then
>> ran behind his vehicle as Anderson began firing an assault rifle at the patrol
>> car, according to police.
>>
>> ``He tried to cut it in half,'' Hoskins said.
>>
>> Anderson then drove off northbound on U.S. 25E, and Elder followed. Anderson
>> made a U-turn and began following Elder and shooting at him again, police said.
>> Those shots disabled Elder's car, and a Pineville city police officer picked up
>> the chase.
>>
>> Police returned fire, with Elder nearly emptying his clip.
>>
>> Anderson continued to fire at the officer, police said, and then drove into the
>> mountains, eventually finding an unpaved path that the police cruiser couldn't
>> follow.
>>
>> Over the next several hours, members of the state police Special Response Team
>> searched for Anderson on the ground, while officers in a helicopter equipped
>> with heat-seeking devices and spotlights searched from the air. Police
>> evacuated several homes around the search site Sunday night, but most people
>> were allowed to return by yesterday morning.
>>
>> At 5:30 a.m. Monday, police found Anderson's truck about 100 feet over an
>> embankment. Police found little of the ammunition Elder had seen in the truck,
>> but did find two pipe bombs. The state police bomb squad was called to the
>> site.
>>
>> Police said they thought Anderson's arsenal included grenades. They found no

>> blood or other evidence in Anderson's truck that he had been hit.
>>
>> Anderson has a criminal record. In September 1993, he was charged with two
>> counts of first-degree wanton endangerment, court records show. In 1994, one of
>> the felony charges was dropped and he pleaded guilty to a reduced charge of
>> wanton endangerment second degree. He received a one-year suspended sentence.
>> Details of that case weren't available yesterday.
>>
>> Anderson is better known for a controversial short-wave radio program, The
>> Militia Hour, which broadcasts militia-related news and features Anderson's
>> views, which range from denouncing gun control to criticizing the U.S.
>> government to blasting religious minorities. Anderson identifies himself as a
>> follower of the Christian Identity movement, which claims that Jews are the
>> offspring of the devil.
>>
>> Earlier this year, the Federal Communications Commission began an investigation
>> of Anderson for broadcasting without a license. FCC officials would not comment
>> on the status of that investigation yesterday.
>>
>> Anderson, who had previously served as a major with the Kentucky State Militia,
>> was kicked out of the group in April for several on-air comments regarding
>> religious and ethnic minorities.
>>
>> ``We pretty much disassociated with him completely,'' Patrick Perry of Murray,
>> an assistant commander of the group, said yesterday. ``He's a little too
>> extreme for us.''
>>
>> That view was shared among Anderson's neighbors.
>>
>> Lanta Turner said Anderson sometimes talked to neighbors about his
>> anti-government and racist views. ``He's got a lot of opinions,'' Turner said.
>> ``Most of them nobody agrees with.''
>>
>> Those opinions also got Anderson into trouble with the militia, whose former
>> commander sent a letter to the FBI in April warning officials about him.
>>
>> ``His views are pretty extreme,'' said Richard Stephens, a militia commander in
>> Paducah. ``He's a racist and a very paranoid fellow. Actually, I'm surprised he
>> hasn't been killed yet.''
>>
>>
>

5953. IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 01:30:39 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bce2fd2.20648208@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 22247

IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement
(Oct 12, 2001) -- Saying that it was "setting aside any previous
relevant decisions," the International Amateur Radio Union
Administrative Council this week resolved
that IARU policy supports "the removal of Morse code testing as an
ITU requirement for an amateur license to operate on frequencies below
30 MHz." The Council further resolved to urge member societies--as an
interim measure--to seek Morse code testing speeds "not exceeding five
words per minute."

In case you missed the full story, go to:
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/10/12/100/?nc=1

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5954. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 01:33:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ijqz7.143451$W8.3467082@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22246

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0110171431.361fb94@posting.google.com...
> Now that the publicity associated with Amateur Restructuring has worn
> off, the trend of decreasing numbers of US Amateur Radio Service
> Operators has returned:
>
> http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>
> Told ya.
>
> Unless Hams make a concerted effort to reach out to the younger
> generation, this trend will soon start to go logarithmic.
>
> I offered a solution a year ago, but it was ahead of its time, and
> probably still is. But, mark my words, eventually the Amateur Service
> will be FORCED to implement something similar to what I've suggested.
> Either that, or the FCC will eventually auction off or open up most of
> the virtually unused VHF/UHF frequencies(other than a few high-level
> repeaters, these bands are basically dedicated to a sea of unused
> closed repeaters), where they can be put to good use.

Whether your idea is a good one or a load of crap it is not borne out by the Sept to Sept counts:

Extra plus Advanced plus General: 322,319 vs 314,290 a year ago.

All that has happened is that the gas from the codeless Tech is being released and will continue to be for a number of years. You didn't need Joe to tell you that; the local repeaters should be evidence enough. While one questions the number of Generals etc with a mailing address of Sunnyvale Acres and advanced who have not upgraded, the fact is that the decline has been in classes comparable to your proposal.

Dick NBK

5955. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 02:06:35 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LOqz7.73572$WW.3496464@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22248

Richard, this might be stupid and it might not work ... but .. I was talking with a couple of friends two nights ago and had an idea. The government pulls a few convicted drug dealers out of prison and offers them an 'out'. Play ball with us and we will give you a new identity and a fresh start. Then they claim these drug dealers (pull them out one at a time over a few weeks - and from fairly widespread cities across America) have been diagnosed with anthrax which was put in their coccaine from the sources overseas. Then they claim these people died. Yeah, I'm not crazy about the government lying, but can you imagine the fallout if drug use dropped 90% inside of a month? Wwwwwwooooooowwwww! Talk about taking money away from the Taliban (isn't Ashcanistan one fairly big source of coccaine?). The Taliban could be credited with doing what the government has not been able to do for years. Probably wouldn't work, but it was a sweet dream (even if only for a few minutes!).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:%Snz7.116368$3d2.3580995@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> When we get over what was certainly a well-planned caper that had incredible
> luck we may get around to assessing the long-term lessons of this: much like
> learning to protect trains from Jesse James and Butch & Sundance. I will
> submit two:
>
> A. We need to stop the deterioration of Public Health and trauma care in the
> U.S. Aetna HMOs are not planning to pay for either and encourage their
> deterioration. Ask your local hospital admin about being able to isolate a
> case given that the air handling systems are common. Ever wonder why just
> about every NYC hospital worker there over 90 days will lite up to a
> tuberculin test???
>
> B. If we are serious about depriving terrorists of finance we need to find a
> way to take the profit out of the drug trade and that implies some form of
> legalization and legal supply. Joe Stalin achieved his early position in
> the Bolsheviks largely thru skill at bank robbery; now it is done by
> smuggling.
>
> Unless we plan to leave hospital facilities standing around looking stupid

> it would seem like they might have to earn their keep treating, as
examples,
> drug addicts, everyone between 6 months and 14 years, all trauma cases for
> the first 72 hours, etc. And of course Republican coronaries at the very
> ideas of socialized medicine and drug legalization.
>
> One more case of doing the sane thing or being ideologically pure.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>
>
>
>
>

5956. National Emergency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 02:07:26 UTC
From: Vic <armstrong_vic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yPqz7.7464$SU2.782583@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22249

I don't personally know anyone into amateur radio, I hope you don't mind if I ask a few questions of your group.

In the event of an emergency (national or local) where would radio operators gather to pass information?

Is there a predetermined band?

What mobile gear would be required to monitor?

Which license is required to transmit?

Thanks very much

5957. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 02:20:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <I%qz7.120778$3d2.3607739@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22250

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:LOqz7.73572$WW.3496464@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Richard, this might be stupid and it might not work ... but .. I was
talking
> with a couple of friends two nights ago and had an idea. The government
> pulls a few convicted drug dealers out of prison and offers them an 'out'.
> Play ball with us and we will give you a new identity and a fresh start.
> Then they claim these drug dealers (pull them out one at a time over a few
> weeks - and from fairly widespread cities across America) have been
> diagnosed with anthrax which was put in their coccaine from the sources
> overseas. Then they claim these people died. Yeah, I'm not crazy about
the
> government lying, but can you imagine the fallout if drug use dropped 90%
> inside of a month? Wwwwwwooooooowwwww! Talk about taking money away from
> the Taliban (isn't Ashcanistan one fairly big source of coccaine?). The
> Taliban could be credited with doing what the government has not been able
> to do for years. Probably wouldn't work, but it was a sweet dream (even
if
> only for a few minutes!).
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Rent Reefer Madness, a previous government attempt at propaganda

5958. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 02:46:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Fmrz7.1224$B3.81986@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 22151

Ditto! I read the rec.radio.cb group and read that stuff about hams there as well. Amateur Radio
is, indeed, quite often in the thick of emergency communications. Could you imagine a group of
"chicken banders" trying to pass traffic for EOC? "!0-4 thar, Mercy me, thar, Gre't day 'live thar,
shore thang thar, we 'uns 'll git that thar mesage right thru thar, shore thang thar, mercy me thar, guaran-told ye thar (ad nauseum)". By the time they get finish 10-4-ing and "guaran-told-ing" each
other, they'd have forgotten what the message was about! ROTFLMAO! What was really funny
about this ham-bashing that was going on on that board was the final comment I read in one of those threads. ".....and while them old fart hams wuz a-moanin' about their 'arthrituss',
us CBers wuz a-standin' by on 27.555 to pass that 'ere ee-mergency traffic." He just didn't understand why they weren't getting any messages to pass! LOL! What he didn't know was that
hams were already at ground zero almost from the start, and his comments came off sounding sooo
,er, dumb! LOL!

Jerry
K4KWH

N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:9qk31m$of2oo$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> Sounds like the original poster was a troll.... I hate to feed trolls,
> but... If you (original poster, not Jim) think ham's were not relevant, just
> read the articles in the latest CQ and QST. All the TV stations were off the
> air due to their antennas all being on top of the WTC. Cell Phones? Forget
> it, they were overloaded for a week after the event.
>
> Jim was right too about the weather. We get a lot of bad weather here and
> sometimes ham is the only way to communicate. I read an article that when
> Hugo hit North Carolina several years ago (can't remember the name of the
> town it hit), it took out the town's main tower that all the comms were on.
> Even the Sheriff, Police, and Fire were off the air. Hams were having to
> ride around with police and fire using HT's as the ONLY communication link.
>
> As to Jim's question, here's a few reasons...
>

> 1) Ham's are decentralized. Each ham is his own little entity. We have
> repeaters, but even those are not centralized but spread out. Plus, in any
> community there is often more than one repeater on the air, so if one does
> go out there's others that are useable. Most police/fire etc put all their
> stuff on one antenna.
>
> 2) Ham equipment is versitle. We can quickly change frequencies so we can
> jump from repeater to repeater. Most commercial police/fire stuff is
locked
> into a set handful of frequencies. It would take a technician to reprogram
> the things.
>
> 3) We can talk to each other. Because of #2, it's real easy for us to talk
> to each other. Most cities find it hard to communicate with other
> departments.
>
> 4) We are setup for emergencies. Ever hear of field day? It's all about
> operating under emergency conditions. The contesting is fun, of course,
but
> it's about being able to setup equipment quickly under adverse conditions.
> Even if our fancy towers were to come down, most hams could quickly setup
> some sort of temporary antenna and operate of batteries and be on the air
in
> a brief amount of time.
>
> 5) I think you (Jim) were very on target when you said "...maybe because
> they tend to be built by hams that care about their equipment, ...". Most
of
> us do ham out of a passion, and care about the state of our equipment. I'm
> not knocking the technicians that take care of commercial equipment, but a
> lot of them are bound by finanical corporate considerations they have no
> control over. Unbound by such considerations (although a lot of us are
> probably on a budget) we find a way to get done what we feel needs to be
> done, either individually or in groups.
>
> I recently read (think it was CQ) that ham radio is 99% hobby and 1%
> service, but that the 1% service during times of emergency more than
> justifies the other 99% of the time.
>
> 73's,
>
> Robert / N4IXT
>
>
> "Jim Henson" <kg4kcm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:tavnst876n522m8lk0ggh6dnaoco2ee877@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 19:00:59 GMT, "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >All one had to do is listen to the air waves to know just how

irrelevant
> > >hams are. A bunch of old farts talking the same tired crap, day in and
> day
> > >out. This notion that hams could provide a much needed service in times
> of
> > >emergency today is fanciful nonsense. Anyone who has a cell phone can
do
> > >what they do and probably better.
> >
> > Actually, ham radio operators are very much of use here, where
> > I live. The main repeater in this area is tied into the National
> > Weather Service and EMA, and are put in alert mode every time there is
> > severe weather. We have a lot of tornados around here, and phone and
> > power go out during the worst of them, with ham radio operators
> > calling in all of the damaged areas to get the work crews back out and
> > utilities back up as soon as possible, and medical care provided where
> > needed as soon as possible. Cell phones don't tend to work too well
> > when there is no power to run their repeaters or their towers are
> > ripped down in a tornado. I don't know why ham repeaters tend to
> > survive the weather better, maybe because they tend to be built by
> > hams that care about their equipment, not by a company that figures
> > they can just send a truck out to fix a problem sooner or later.
> >
> > Ham radio operators DO serve a purpose here, and everywhere
> > else, I imagine.
> >
> > Jim Henson
> > KG4KCM
> >
>
>

5959. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 02:51:18 UTC
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Gsrz7.73669$WW.3503303@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22152

> >"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
> >
> > Apparently I have been out of the
> > military radar business a bit too
> > long. I entirely missed the
> > development and deployment of these
> > military systems that completely
> > cover the US down to 0 ft AGL.
> > Care to elaborate?

> Dwight Stewart, W5NET wrote:
> It is not my area of expertise, so I would no idea where to begin to
> elaborate. However, I seem to remember reading in some defense
> publication about some type of look down radar on satellites that was
> specifically designed to eliminate the problem of tracking low flying
> aircraft. Since you seem to know more, has the military done nothing
> over the years to routinely track low flying aircraft - something
> besides having a number of AWACS airborne all the time?

The USAF and Customs seem to be having fair results with radars suspended from balloons (aerostats) here along the border with Mexico. They fly them at about 10,000 ft AGL and get a 150-mile range. The main drawback seems to be that high winds can shut them down for days at a time.

There are two problems with satellite radars. One is the radar equation's stipulation that received power from a target decreases with the fourth power of range. A 200-mile altitude may not seem like much, but it's a long haul for conventional radars. Geosynchronous orbits are out of the question for this reason and the next. A radar's azimuth and elevation resolution is inversely proportional to the size of the antenna -- the bigger the antenna, the finer the angular resolution. To get the resolution routinely achievable with a terrestrial radar (about 1/2 degree) would involve orbiting a huge structure even in low-Earth orbit. The satellite radars in use at present utilize their orbital speed to form a synthetic aperture thousands of feet long, but that only gives a 'snapshot' of the ground as the satellite passes by.

> > Actually, my take on the situation
> > is that the FAA radars comprise the
> > primary air-defense acquisition
> > system for the continental US airspace.
> > They seem to be the only system
> > deployed widely enough to cover the

> > area. And they all digitize their
> > data, so piping it all to NORAD is a
> > cinch. But they do have their blind
> > spots.
>
>
> I agree that the FAA radar systems are a major component of that
> capability, but it is not the only one. Lets take the Coast Guard as
> an example. Over much of the country, most reports of suspicious
> aircraft do first come from FAA facilities. However, in key areas (the
> Gulf, southern CA, etc), the Coast Guard itself maintains a watch with
> it's own radar systems. Likewise, the Navy also maintains a radar
> watch over those same areas. In addition, the Coast Guard maintains
> it's own fleet of basic AWACS type aircraft in these regions that are
> detached when something is reported by these other systems. The same
> with the Navy. So, in these regions, it is usually either Coast Guard
> or Navy radar systems that first detect suspicious aircraft. When that
> occurs, AWACS type aircraft are then dispatched to get a wider view of
> the situation.

We're back to the basic AWACS problem -- a lot of aircraft in the air
all the time to cover the US or having to live with blind spots in
the screen. My original speculation was that more AWACS-type aircraft
were now needed and that's why the NATO aircraft were called in.

> Anyway, I suspect it is the same around the rest of the country -
> layers of radar systems, each with unique capabilities, maintaining
> watch. And, just like with the Coast Guard or Navy, when something is
> noticed using these systems, AWACS type aircraft are then dispatched
> to get a better overall view of the situation, while other aircraft
> are sent to intercept the specific threat.

The radars are only part of the system. They are ineffectual if not
tied together with a command, control, communication and intelligence
system that can filter and correlate the data into a meaningful whole.
I see that as essentially lacking, save the USAF/FAA liaison.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

5960. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 03:50:23 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110171950.432056d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22153

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

> You are disagreeable.

Thank you. And you're a sanctimonious twit.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

PS: If I've said anything to offend you, believe it.

5961. Re: War Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 03:53:09 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110171953.51d6b63f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22154

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote

> The next time Brakob uses the word "punk" about another
> military veteran he can go to -
>
> www.clinteastwood-impersonations.org/bootcamp/index.html
>
> Go ahead, make my day. :-)

As you wish, punk.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

5962. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 04:04:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCE54B2.5337A1C1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22156

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Racially "profiling" the Japanese during WWII was unfortunate, but a
> necessary thing at the time.

There is absolutely no proof that it was necessary to lock up
American citizens.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5963. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 04:04:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018000438.09124.00000123@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 22155

In article <3BCDD65C.24A308A0@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Problem 3:
>>
>> A radio amateur has space to put up a dipole antenna approximately 107 feet
>> long.

(old stuff removed to save space)
>>
>> Answer: 0.300 wavelengths of 450 ohm line will provide a match of 1.26:1 at
>the
>> design frequency. This design is optimum for commonly available open-wire
>> lines.
>
>Not only that, Jim, but that process can be repeated for 30m, 20m, 17m, 15m,
>12m, and 10m for that same antenna. For most of those bands, there is a
>450 ohm feedline length that will result in an SWR less than 2:1.

Yup. Absolutely.

>That's the way I match my 130ft dipole on all HF bands without needing an
>antenna tuner.

You have an "antenna tuner", Cecil. It's just not a lumped-element tuner. Your matching method was the inspiration for Problem 3. The cost of a legal-limit tuner was avoided by the ham in Problem 3.

I suggest the term "linear tuner" as a name for your method.

>And matching in that manner reduces common-mode currents on the
>feedline.

I don't know about that. If the antenna/transmission line system is balanced to begin with, the only place common mode currents can originate is in an unbalanced lumped-constant tuner or an "unbalanced balun". Of course eliminating the lumped-constant tuner means the balun is the only possible source. But I'm not going to start another balun thread...

Four more points:

1) Manufactured lines typically sold as "450 ohm" and "300 ohm" often measure significantly different impedances than the nominal values.

2) Even if a ham decides to go with a lumped-element tuner, an informed choice of line length can make a big difference in antenna performance.

3) The losses in many types of "open wire line" are not negligible. The common "window line" that is simply big Twin-Lead with rectangular holes punched in the insulation can have significant loss when operated at high SWR, particularly when wet. When you add up the loss in a lumped-element tuner, balun, and "window line" operated at high SWR, it may be as much or more as decent coax operated at reasonable SWR.

4) Many software packages make this sort of design a snap. Both EZNEC2000 and DIPOLE1 let you play with all the parameters much faster and easier than a chart.

Has your vertical beaten that dipole yet?

73 de Jim, N2EY

5964. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 04:12:31 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCE56AF.90F466F3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22157

N2EY wrote:
> Has your vertical beaten that dipole yet?

Nope, I need to add some more radials.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5965. Re: Ham radio irrelevant during terrorist crisis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 04:46:00 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <E52779742EDA40E3.0AD0A765D0F0445E.9DA71A1836D36061@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 17 Oct 2001 09:02:37 -0700, "N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote:

>Cell Phones? Forget
>it, they were overloaded for a week after the event.

Somebody tell that to Worldcom. They keep sending me crap about how
badly I need a cell phone in case disaster strikes again.

>The contesting is fun, of course, but
>it's about being able to setup equipment quickly under adverse conditions.

And of course we hold it at a time of year well known for such adverse
conditions as heat waves and mosquito swarms. ;)

>Unbound by such considerations (although a lot of us are
>probably on a budget)

We don't factor in the salaries of a committee of accountants in our
budgets.

>I recently read (think it was CQ) that ham radio is 99% hobby and 1%
>service, but that the 1% service during times of emergency more than
>justifies the other 99% of the time.

The same way anybody in brushfire country feels about the volunteer
fire departments.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

5966. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:02:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018010218.01718.00000482@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22160

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152938.25396.00000020@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Sorry, your godhood, they are just opinions.

Lennie, you corrupt old fool, perhaps you should be reading what everyone
ELSE is saying.

Youa re obviously NOT paying attention.

Someone here IS delusional, but it is NOT Jim Miccolis.

As a matter of fact, they are not even LICENSED...and THAT would be YOU!

5967. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:03:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018010308.01718.00000483@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22159

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152935.25396.00000014@nso-bd.aol.com>

>The USA is safe...Carroll has no information

Then it's doubly safe as neither do you.

5968. Re: The Eagle has landed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:04:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018010446.01718.00000484@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22161

>Subject: Re: The Eagle has landed
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152933.25396.00000011@nso-bd.aol.com>

>When is this kid going to use his real name and real
>callsign?

Since when does a callsign mean anything to YOU, Licenseless Putz?

5969. Re: War Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:06:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018010633.01718.00000485@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22162

>Subject: Re: War Stories
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152934.25396.00000013@nso-bd.aol.com>

>The next time Brakob uses the word "punk" about another
>military veteran he can go to -

In light of YOUR numerous adjectives for persons who served a helluvalot
longer than YOU did, we have someplace we would like YOU to go........

Licenseless Putz

5970. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:08:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018010802.01718.00000487@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22163

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152937.25396.00000018@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Thanks for the
>>heads up, Len.
>

The ONLY place "Len's" head is up defies description.....

5971. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:10:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018011007.01718.00000488@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22164

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152935.25396.00000015@nso-bd.aol.com>

>What can one expect from such an "intellect" that hides
>behind a pseudonym and attacks only women and old men?

Probably about the same as we can expect from unlicensed persons who stalk Amateur Radio newsgroups, calling fellow veterans various vicious adjectives while all along decrying such conduct in others.....

Kinda like YOU, Your Putziness.

5972. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:11:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018011122.01718.00000489@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22165

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 5:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017181642.12540.00000101@nso-fj.aol.com>

>Those that think they "matter" don't have the "gravity" of the
>situation in mind.

As opposed to your NEGATIVE gravity..???

>However, the "intellect" Kim referred to is transparent, dark
>matter since it doesn't ID.

And YOR "ID" is...?!?!

5973. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:14:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018011430.01718.00000492@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22166

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152936.25396.00000017@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Control freaks really hate freedom for others. They only
>want freedom for them to do and say what They wish.

Yes, Lennie, just like YOU hate the freedom WE have that you don't.

Really eats at you, doesn't it, you cowardly Putz? No need to answer, WE already know the answer. It's in every single post you make.

Easy to throw stones from behind your keyboard, unlikely to have one drop on your head, is it?

5974. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:27:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018012737.01718.00000495@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22167

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/17/2001 2:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011017152934.25396.00000012@nso-bd.aol.com>

>"Jackoff" has stepped over the line so many times she cannot
>walk straight.

For someone who has stepped over that line so many times he should be in a wheelchair, you have no right making such analogies.

>Oh? Having those delusion attacks again? Quit sniffing those
>lines, kid. You might get confused and inhale some spores.

Such as yours?

>Who said that, kid? Someone scare you, threaten to snip your
>antenna leads AGAIN?

At least he probably HAS antenna leads. And a license to use them for more than SWL'ing.....(How's that FT-1000 project coming, Your Licenselessness?)

>Kid, you are anonymous, don't really exist. The non-existant
>don't have any rights.

Only in a state that allows carte blanche abortions.

>Kid, quit talking about ostriches when you use multiple
>pseudonyms. Your ostrich feathers are showing...and they
>are dirty.

Look who's talking about dirty feathers.

>You've never been a soldier, never stood "watch" and your
>"military" experience comes only from watching TV.

While I don't doubt that you stood a "watch", I hardly believe anything YOU did counts as "military" experience, Your Geezerness.

>What about all the anonymous potty-mouths who talk big and
>hide behind pseudonyms, kid?

What about the potty-mouths that change screen names to try and "multiply" thier "numbers", only to screw it up and be discovered, "Avery"...?!?!?

One finds Lennie's present "anti-pseudonym" rants funny since his only real on-line buddy was the anonymous/nameless/licenseless "MegHZ", and now "Stu Parker". No ID...no callsigns...no reality..."

>Just wait, "Jackoff," sooner or later someone will find your
>insignificance in NJ. Just another little insignicant terrorist-
>wannabe on the Internet. Goodbye feedlines again

Or YOUR insignificance in SoCal....Good thing you DON'T have any feedlines
to snip, Lennie...I think I'd have to take advantage of the present fare cuts
just to cut yours!

>With all kindest anonymous regards,
>Leonard H. Anderson
>ex-RA16408336

Your Russian callsign? Because we all KNOW how you hate anyone who dares
to flaunt even the least little prior military service in this forum.

Now you WOULDN'T do the same thing you harrass others over, now would
your, Your Geezerness?

Suuuuuuuure you would!

5975. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 05:33:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018013302.01718.00000497@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22168

>Subject: Amateur Service Withers
>From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart)
>Date: 10/17/2001 5:31 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <5f4fe4c2.0110171431.361fb94@posting.google.com>

>Unless Hams make a concerted effort to reach out to the younger
>generation, this trend will soon start to go logarithmic.

Oh geez....here we go again....

>I offered a solution a year ago, but it was ahead of its time, and
>probably still is. But, mark my words, eventually the Amateur Service
>will be FORCED to implement something similar to what I've suggested

Yeah..yeah...we have heard that SOOOOO often, and it's STILL not true.

The last two exams I have proctored were atleast 50% filled by people
under 21.

Get a life, Stew. Your "Colt" class and other derivitves are NOT viable
options. Period.

5976. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 10:26:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCEAE3A.4F770665@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22169

Keith wrote:
>
> WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO
> WITH BAYGEN RADIOS BEING AIR DROPPED ?

It has more to do with the subject than your question.

Dwight Stewart

5977. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 10:33:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCEAFFE.FE6FFD0D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22170

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> The USAF and Customs seem to be
> having fair results with radars
> suspended from balloons (aerostats)
> here along the border with Mexico.
> They fly them at about 10,000 ft
> AGL and get a 150-mile range. The
> main drawback seems to be that
> high winds can shut them down for
> days at a time.

Well, I'll bow to your expertise on the subject, because I really don't know. However, it does rather surprise me that our government, with it's billions spent on the military each year, has not developed something more effective than balloons, and has to depend on other countries for the defense of this country's airspace.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5978. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 10:50:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCEB3FE.C3533F5B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22171

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Very few of these postings are
> really about constitutional rights.
>
> The majority of them seem to be
> "tough talk" statements of
> pomposity intended to control
> and subjugate all who don't
> think like they do...to "win
> message points" in a virtual
> "battle" with words. Heh, it's
> been that way in computer-modem
> communications since it started
> over two decades ago. "Bravery"
> is exhibited by those who call
> others names because the time-
> distance separation of the
> computer medium isolates them
> from direct personal confrontation.
> Thus shielded from harm they feel
> they can say anything they like.
> They like the power they feel over
> others.

It's certainly not new, Len. You could have seen the same abuse on broadcast radio by some DJ's of the past (less so now that radio, for better or worse, is almost entirely corporate owned). And it is almost commonplace in the CB frequencies. In fact, I'm sure you'll find it anywhere people can run their mouths while hiding their identities.

Dwight Stewart

5979. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 11:45:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCEC0BF.89787422@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22172

Hans Brakob wrote:

> Just "anybody" does not have access
> to anthrax spores to willy-nilly
> start mailing them around, coordinated
> in time with other organized terrorist
> activity. While the government despartely
> tries to spin-doctor this so as not to
> alarm the citizenry, somebody with
> money and organization is systematically
> targeting our media communications and
> our government with the terror of
> deadly diseases. Their targets are well
> picked for maximum public visibility,
> and these (snip)

Well, one of those media communications (CNN) carried a story just a few days ago pointing out that there are an average of 60 Anthrax cases in the US each year. In addition, the disease is relatively commonplace throughout the world.

http://www.cnn.com/2001/HEALTH/conditions/10/16/anthrax.timeline/

Furthermore, anthrax can also be found in farm animals.

http://www.cnn.com/2001/HEALTH/conditions/10/12/anthrax.qanda/

Because of this, veterinarians and livestock workers have been getting the anthrax vaccine for several decades.

http://www.anthrax.osd.mil/Site_Files/qna/THREAT.HTM

Therefore, it is actually relatively easy to obtain anthrax. Furthermore, if there is an organization behind these attacks, they are getting ripped off by their supplier - none of the anthrax found so far is the highly deadly type normally associated with weapons (the stuff found so far is easily treated anthrax like that found in animals).

In other words, there is no evidence so far that any organized anthrax attack is taking place. So, while caution is certainly called for, it is not time to panic yet. Nor is it time to induce panic by spreading unproved rumors.

Dwight Stewart

5980. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 12:03:44 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Ayzz7.1106$_S1.465648@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 22173

> But if there is a threat or attact in those malls you will
> probably be one of the first to scream, "why weren't there troops
> in that mall or why weren't the police searching those vehicles?"
>

The reason that I have heard of why the military "may" be in the malls, is that apparently there was an Arab student at the University Of Michigan who had warned another student that he should not go on any plane on Sept. 11th and not in any malls on October 31st. This was shared from the Arab student to another student who revealed that this information was shared in confidence with him before the event. The student who had this information shared with him revealed it to the authorities after the Sept. 11th event.

If this story is true, too bad the student held in confidence didnt report it. Maybe some lives could have been saved. As far as the mall is concerned, I RARELY go there anyhow.

Ryan

5981. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 12:03:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Byzz7.1107$_S1.465754@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 22174

That is true..... Thank the Republicans for that way of thinking also. They want you to act only a certain way. The want you to speak a certain way. They want to control though. They basically want us all as carbon copies. Nothing unique or "different". Sounds a little like Communism eh? Or Religion?

It is sad to say, that we will NEVER be in the same world we USED to enjoy. Our way of life will never be the same.

Ryan
(no, I don't vote democrat either.)

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3bccf475_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> We've already been told, warned, and warned again that this will be a
"very
> long and protracted war." If this "war" (undeclared mind you) goes on for
> just 20 years, an entire generation of children will have no idea what it
> truly means to be free. It will be foreign for them to understand a day
> when people could be on the phone or email, and not be monitored (if it
> truly comes to that).
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3BCBA91F.C631DF7A@sccoast.net...
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > > No citizen is free in a country
> > > > that is under siege.
> > >
> > >
> > > I can't recall a time when the US
> > > wasn't under siege by someone,
> > >
> > > First the Axis Powers, then The
> > > Soviet Union, the drug lords, and
> > > now the terrorists. Actually, we
> > > have been under siege by the
> > > terrorists for a number of years.
> >
> >

> > Oh, so well said, Cecil. If we start stripping rights every time
> > there is a "war," there will be no rights remaining because there is
> > always a "war" on something in this country.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>

5982. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 12:56:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BCED156.B9C665A1@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22175

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> When we get over what was certainly
> a well-planned caper that had incredible
> luck we may get around to assessing the
> long-term lessons of this: (snip)
>
> B. If we are serious about depriving
> terrorists of finance we need to find
> a way to take the profit out of the
> drug trade and that implies some form
> of legalization and legal supply.
>
> (snip) One more case of doing the sane
> thing or being ideologically pure.

Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in the WTC attack. According to the CDC, there are an average of 425,000 drug related episodes in hospital emergency rooms nationwide each year, resulting in about 9,500 deaths per year. It is absolutely foolish to legalize something that causes far more harm to this country then the threat you're trying to defeat.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

5983. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 12:58:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmjld$hk5$0@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22176

Dwight Stewart wrote:

I agree with
you that our AWACS capability might be stretched thin at the moment,
but our defense of US airspace has never depended on AWACS aircraft in
the first place. We have a wide range of military radar systems around
the country that can watch anything in the air (including something
flying low enough to dim it's headlights for passing vehicles). In
addition, we have satellites to back up that radar capability,
tactical radar on the jets flying over the intensely protected areas,
and radar aboard the ships now patrolling the major ports and
coastline. When you add in the FAA civilian radar to that picture, the
use of AWACS aircraft is just a "little" redundant.

Then.....

>
> "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
> >
> > The USAF and Customs seem to be
> > having fair results with radars
> > suspended from balloons (aerostats)
> > here along the border with Mexico.
> > They fly them at about 10,000 ft
> > AGL and get a 150-mile range. The
> > main drawback seems to be that
> > high winds can shut them down for
> > days at a time.

Then Dwight followed up with....

> Well, I'll bow to your expertise on the subject, because I really
> don't know.

By now that is obvious.

5984. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:01:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmjr4$hk5$1@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22177

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight (snip) doesn't believe
> > that an attack on New York affects him
> > in South Carolina, even considering
> > the DC attack simultaneously.
>
> That is not what I said and you know it, Dick. Since you cannot find
> anything wrong with what I've actually said, you have to make up
> stuff. Be careful with that, because two can play that game. For
> example, a friend in another newsgroup told me that you posted a
> message there saying that your fully supported the Taliban, and that
> you were personally planning further attacks on other targets.
>
> Dwight Stewart

Careful, fellow, you're treading very close to the edge. What you said is there for all to read. What you say I said had better be, also. And of course it is not.

5985. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:07:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmk7fknu0@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22178

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > > Isn't this amazing, Cecil? Would you have ever thought that arguing
> > > > in defense of Constitutional rights would get people this angry (angry
> > > > enough to wish harm on a person)? When I started this thread, I had no
> > > > idea that so many would be opposed to it.
> > >
> > > Terrorists are opposed to our Constitutional rights. Look which
> > > side that puts those people on.
> >
> > What you aren't smart enough to understand is that you are playing
> > right into the hands of the terrorists who have already proven
> > conclusively that they can use our free and open society to beat us if
> > we allow it. And ONLY if we allow it.
>
> Exactly the point we are trying to make, Dick. We do NOT need to throw
> The Constitution out the window in order to defeat terrorism. You guys
> who advocate repealing the Bill of Rights are the ones who are playing
> into the hands of the terrorists. Without The Constitution, sooner or
> later, a terrorist would be elected President. Haven't you learned a
> thing from history?

In your usual idiotic way, you manage to misconstrue completely the
situation and my position at the same time. You are giving Libertarians
a bad name with your inane pronouncements. No one ever even remotely
suggested any change to the constitution or any of its provisions. We
DID suggest that moves made by the government to stop big holes in our
security -TEMPORARY moves that are virtual duplicates of earlier wartime
moves, changes that are completely foreign to you, an alleged history
major,(I guess EE was your minor?)
I don't think anyone needs any further proof of where your head is.

5986. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:14:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmkkblqf0@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22179

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > What you aren't smart enough to
> > understand is that you are playing
> > right into the hands of the
> > terrorists who have already proven
> > conclusively that they can use our
> > free and open society to beat us if
> > we allow it. (snip)
>
> So your solution is to get rid of our free and open society? That
> doesn't make sense, Dick. You're giving the terrorists exactly what
> they want - proof that our society is wrong, proof that our concepts
> of liberty and freedoms are wrong. The more we change because of them,
> the more they have won (and we have lost).

Great analysis, Dwight, Par for your course. How do you think the terrorists were able to dowhat they did on Sept 11? Basically it was because jokers like complain so much that we had virtually NO airport security and they read it like a book. You have no idea how much your type aids them.
Of course I have -nowhere abna at no time remotely suggested getting rid of our free and open society. Only fools like you fail to understand the meaning of free and open. It's all or nothing, and if there are enough misanthropes like you and Cecil, the result will be nothing. "Free and open" must be interpreted to everday life in society. If you two had your way there would be no laws, no regulations of any kind and you could do anything you wanted anytime, anywhere. Tell it to women living under the the Taliban.

5987. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:20:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmkuclqf1@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22180

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Precisely- and the way to stop it is to move effectively, by making it
> > possible to catch them. That means several changes and some sacrifices
> > on YOUR part as well as mine. Sorry you can't underestand that.
>
> Dwight and I are not willing to sacrifice our constitutional rights
> which is what defines the US as the nation it is. With freedom comes
> responsibility. Sorry you can't understand that.

Well, you have no choice. In this country, minority rights but majority rule is the law and that's the way it has to be. You have a right to your opinion, inaccurate as it may be. It isn't my decision of course, rather that of our government which is -correctly, at last- moving to counter the destruction that has already been visited upon us.

So whine away, and protest your lack of rights to violate the law at will, as you always have. Won't change a thing, and it should not.

5988. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:23:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qml4slqf2@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22181

Dwight is misinformed, as usual.

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> > Just "anybody" does not have access
> > to anthrax spores to willy-nilly
> > start mailing them around, coordinated
> > in time with other organized terrorist
> > activity. While the government despartely
> > tries to spin-doctor this so as not to
> > alarm the citizenry, somebody with
> > money and organization is systematically
> > targeting our media communications and
> > our government with the terror of
> > deadly diseases. Their targets are well
> > picked for maximum public visibility,
> > and these (snip)
>
> Well, one of those media communications (CNN) carried a story just a
> few days ago pointing out that there are an average of 60 Anthrax
> cases in the US each year. In addition, the disease is relatively
> commonplace throughout the world.
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2001/HEALTH/conditions/10/16/anthrax.timeline/
>
> Furthermore, anthrax can also be found in farm animals.
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2001/HEALTH/conditions/10/12/anthrax.qanda/
>
> Because of this, veterinarians and livestock workers have been
> getting the anthrax vaccine for several decades.
>
> http://www.anthrax.osd.mil/Site_Files/qna/THREAT.HTM
>
> Therefore, it is actually relatively easy to obtain anthrax.
> Furthermore, if there is an organization behind these attacks, they
> are getting ripped off by their supplier - none of the anthrax found
> so far is the highly deadly type normally associated with weapons (the
> stuff found so far is easily treated anthrax like that found in animals).
>
> In other words, there is no evidence so far that any organized
> anthrax attack is taking place. So, while caution is certainly called

> for, it is not time to panic yet. Nor is it time to induce panic by
> spreading unproved rumors.
>
> Dwight Stewart

5989. Re: Do I need to learn Morse Code for a ham license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:43:08 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0110180543.9f762ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22182

kr1b@hotmail.com (Kris) wrote in message
news:<58c72dcf.0110152131.3ae75343@posting.google.com>...
>
> I heard the Morse Code requirement was dropped altogether. But from
> searching these groups, it looks like there are three licenses (Tech,
> General, Extra) and is a 5wpm requirement for the top two requiring
> the 5wpm code proficiency.
>
You are correct.

Don't be intimidated by 5 wpm. I strongly recommend Code Quick
(www.cq2k.net) for learning Morse Code. Instead of enduring the
drudgery of memorization, you learn the rhythm of Morse Code with
cutesy sound-alikes like "a LIGHT is lit" for L and
"vee-vee-vee-VAAAAAHHHHH!" for V. Code Quick is Morse Code Training
with ATTITUDE! It's like the difference between listening to
Christina Aguilera and listening to Metallica. :)

Of course, you'll also find that everyone who has passed 5 wpm (and
especially those who passed at 13 wpm and 20 wpm earlier) promotes
his/her pet method with religious fervor and thinks all the
alternative methods are lame.

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu_802701@yahoo.com
http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html
(Be sure to check out my ham radio parodies of Britney Spears and
Christina Aguilera songs.)

5990. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:44:52 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEDCD4.AAF51623@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22183

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Dwight and I are not willing to sacrifice our constitutional rights
> > which is what defines the US as the nation it is. With freedom comes
> > responsibility. Sorry you can't understand that.
>
> Well, you have no choice. In this country, minority rights but majority
> rule is the law and that's the way it has to be.

You keep insisting that we live in a democracy and that the tyranny of
the majority is the way to go. Got news for you, Dick. We live in a
republic and every citizen possesses inalienable individual rights.
If 99% of the citizens of the US voted to deport me, it would still
not happen. If we freely give up those rights, we will never get them
back. Some of us are not willing to freely give up the inalienable
individual rights guaranteed to us by The US Constitution.

Question: Are you willing to give up your right to own a gun for the
purpose of self defense? Are you willing to give up your right to
express your opinion? If not, you are a hypocrite.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5991. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:45:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmmdq$pu6$0@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22184

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
I'm not talking
> about rounding them up into retention camps or anything like that --
> just using reasonable, rational security precautions that are not
> intended to, or have the effect of, limiting their rights as American
> citizens or legal residents of the United States.

Forget it, Larry. Those ignoramouses haven't a clue. Dwight sees a few National Guardsmen missing around the mall, a sight you can see on any weekend on most any mall in the country, and he automatically puts two and two together for a sum of six. Just because of the current situation, he is "losinghis rights". Whatta dolt.

5992. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:46:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qmmgj$pu6$1@208.206.142.100>
Source record: 22185

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Racially "profiling" the Japanese during WWII was unfortunate, but a
> > necessary thing at the time.
>
> There is absolutely no proof that it was necessary to lock up
> American citizens.

That could certainly be true- from a perspective the postwar. It is characteristic of you that you fail to undestand that was NOT true at the time it was done.

5993. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:53:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEDED3.173285AC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22186

Dick Carroll wrote:
> If you
> two had your way there would be no laws, no regulations of any kind and
> you could do anything you wanted anytime, anywhere.

That's a lie. I am a strict law-and-order guy and believe in the death
penalty for a number of crimes including murder. What I object to is
your desire to punish the innocent along with the guilty. You are like
the people who want to outlaw the serving of peanuts on airplanes
because someone, somewhere, sometime might be allergic to them. You
are for unreasonable search and seizure of the innocent on the outside
chance that a guilty person might be caught. Consider moving to China
where those conditions you advocate already exist.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5994. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:58:42 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEE012.E44242CC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22187

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> In other words, there is no evidence so far that any organized
> anthrax attack is taking place. So, while caution is certainly called
> for, it is not time to panic yet. Nor is it time to induce panic by
> spreading unproved rumors.

Every few years in Madison County, TX, a few cows have to be killed
and buried because of Anthrax. A number of deer have died from the
decease this year around here. I suspect that plowing a field can
stir up natural Anthrax spores.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5995. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 13:58:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEE01F.3871B9E5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22188

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> In other words, there is no evidence so far that any organized
> anthrax attack is taking place. So, while caution is certainly called
> for, it is not time to panic yet. Nor is it time to induce panic by
> spreading unproved rumors.

Every few years in Madison County, TX, a few cows have to be killed
and buried because of Anthrax. A number of deer have died from the
disease this year around here. I suspect that plowing a field can
stir up natural Anthrax spores.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5996. Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 14:07:06 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0110180607.22b9b028@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22189

Based on the two clubs I have been in, it seems to me that only about 10% of amateur radio operators are members of clubs.

Why aren't the other 90% in clubs?

Ever since I was first licensed in early 1997, I have made it a point to always be a member of the local club. As an amateur radio outsider, I feel it is even more necessary for me to be in a club. Nobody in my family is a ham, and I was unaware of amateur radio until about 5-6 years ago. If I had never joined a club, who would have helped me build a mount for my Screwdriver antenna for my apartment? How would I have had a chance to see the antennas other hams use? How could I meet with dozens of hams (and get to know a few) on a regular basis if I weren't in a club? Yes, I do have USENET and other discussion forums, but there is no substitute for meeting people in person.

What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu_802701@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@yahoo.com
http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html

5997. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 14:10:35 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEE2DB.A3234F73@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22190

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > Racially "profiling" the Japanese during WWII was unfortunate, but a
> > > necessary thing at the time.
> >
> > There is absolutely no proof that it was necessary to lock up
> > American citizens.
>
> That could certainly be true- from a perspective the postwar. It is
> characteristic of you that you fail to undestand that was NOT true at
> the time it was done.

Get real, Dick. If absolutely NO proof has appeared in the last 55
years, then there was absolutely NO proof existing at the time. It
was a willy-nilly violation of the constitutional rights of some
American citizens. Most US citizens are apologetic for that atrocity.
What is wrong with you? Do you still hate all Japanese-Americans?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5998. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 14:16:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEE457.637C384D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22191

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I'm not talking
> > about rounding them up into retention camps or anything like that --
> > just using reasonable, rational security precautions that are not
> > intended to, or have the effect of, limiting their rights as American
> > citizens or legal residents of the United States.
>
> Forget it, Larry. Those ignoramouses haven't a clue.

That's rich coming from someone living half a century in the past.
You and Larry are the only two Americans I know of who have not
apologized to those Japanese-American citizens for the atrocity
that was forced upon them. There was an episode about it on The
History Channel the other day. Many of those people lost their
jobs and their businesses and their lives were ruined. All because
people like you don't trust fellow citizens who are different from
you in some unimportant way.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5999. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 14:21:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCEE569.C53AEFF8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22192

Jason Hsu wrote:
> What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?

Clubs that are worth the time.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6000. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 16:12:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DbDz7.74156$WW.3560711@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22193

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BCED156.B9C665A1@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > When we get over what was certainly
> > a well-planned caper that had incredible
> > luck we may get around to assessing the
> > long-term lessons of this: (snip)
> >
> > B. If we are serious about depriving
> > terrorists of finance we need to find
> > a way to take the profit out of the
> > drug trade and that implies some form
> > of legalization and legal supply.
> >
> > (snip) One more case of doing the sane
> > thing or being ideologically pure.
>
>
> Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> the WTC attack. According to the CDC, there are an average of 425,000
> drug related episodes in hospital emergency rooms nationwide each
> year, resulting in about 9,500 deaths per year. It is absolutely
> foolish to legalize something that causes far more harm to this
> country then the threat you're trying to defeat.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

I would suspect DUI is that bad and we know what happened when we took the
ideologically pure approach to that. One gets us Al Capone, the other ben
Laden.

6001. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 16:14:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110180814.2bc4b52@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22194

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message
news:<9B3287935E8F1071.A0FA2BD7B5AB92A4.82A0F444500792AA@lp.airnews.net>...
> On 16 Oct 2001 09:19:18 -0700, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >Possible reason for the high visibility of some military activity in
> >those areas: They are border states. Just because the USA has upped
> >security and airline safety doesn't mean everybody else has.
>
> We can't stop drugs from sneaking across the border, so how the hell
> are we going to stop well trained and funded terrorists?

Good question! Here are some ideas:

- The reason drugs are sneaked into this country is that there are
lots of people willing to spend lots of money on them.

- The USA has not focused on keeping our borders secure enough. Most
of the terror suspects were in the USA legally. All that is changing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6002. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 16:35:28 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCF04D0.AF005C33@uci.edu>
Source record: 22196

CAM wrote:
> That's rich coming from someone living half a century in the past.
> You and Larry are the only two Americans I know of who have not
> apologized to those Japanese-American citizens for the atrocity
> that was forced upon them. There was an episode about it on The
> History Channel the other day. Many of those people lost their
> jobs and their businesses and their lives were ruined. All because
> people like you don't trust fellow citizens who are different from
> you in some unimportant way.

So I take it that you and your family were all vehemently opposed to
interment at the time, and under those circumstances.

6003. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 16:37:26 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <7e7c54a0719ccfb39631e4503405307f.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22195

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Because of this, veterinarians and livestock workers have been
> getting the anthrax vaccine for several decades.
> Therefore, it is actually relatively easy to obtain anthrax.
> In other words, there is no evidence so far that any organized
> anthrax attack is taking place.

OK, now I get it. You just grab a pair of tweezers and a plastic
baggy and go out in the cow barn and collect some spores and mail
them to Dan Rather. Anybody could do that! What was I thinking
anyhow. Don't need any funding or organization at all to pull that
off. Just an envelope and a 34-cent stamp.

Sorry, Mr. Stewart, but you have been taken in by all the
government spin-doctoring lately, and you're head is so far
into the sand that only a couple ostrich-ass feathers remain
above groud to reveal your position.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas03poolb224.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.4.224]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6004. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 16:42:09 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BDDz7.144206$W8.3534253@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22197

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BCED156.B9C665A1@sccoast.net...
>
> Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> the WTC attack. According to the CDC, there are an average of 425,000
> drug related episodes in hospital emergency rooms nationwide each
> year, resulting in about 9,500 deaths per year. It is absolutely
> foolish to legalize something that causes far more harm to this
> country then the threat you're trying to defeat.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Tell you one thing, if I ran a government spending 34 bil on the DEA alone
and probably close to 100 overall and this is what I had to show for it,
heads would roll.

As far as the War on Drugs, seems like a good time to think about surrender
terms.

Dick NBK

6005. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 16:44:09 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCF06D9.C052E73B@uci.edu>
Source record: 22198

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> the WTC attack.

How many marijuana overdoses were there?

6006. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 17:38:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCF13A0.CBB26858@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22199

Jim Kelley wrote:
> So I take it that you and your family were all vehemently opposed to
> interment at the time, and under those circumstances.

I was three years old when Pearl Harbor was attacked and I
wasn't a Libertarian yet. If it happened again today, I
would be vehemently opposed to interment of otherwise
innocent American citizens. Do you think we should lock
up all the Moslems who are American citizens?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6007. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 17:39:52 UTC
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <f7d9a152.0110180939.18e00203@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22200

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BCEE569.C53AEFF8@IEEE.org>...
> Jason Hsu wrote:
> > What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?
>
> Clubs that are worth the time.

What makes a club worth your time? What makes the others a flop to you?

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu_802701@@@yahoo.com
http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html

6008. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 17:40:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCF13FD.88FD2D94@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22301

Richard McCollum wrote:
> As far as the War on Drugs, seems like a good time to think about surrender
> terms.

How about converting the War on Drugs to the War on Terrorists?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6009. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 17:41:23 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110180941.13a856db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22302

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote

> Brakob lied to the FCC on his age, then!

Since you don't know my age, it seems rather
presumptive-twit-like for you to accuse me
of falsifying an official record. Perhaps
you have some proof to back up your declaration
of my wrongdoing, or are _you_ simply lying,
punk?

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6010. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 17:41:30 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCF144A.71B1D629@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22303

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> > the WTC attack.
>
> How many marijuana overdoses were there?

None, but there were plenty from legal drugs.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6011. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 17:45:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCF151E.700A7539@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22304

Jason Hsu wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BCEE569.C53AEFF8@IEEE.org>...
> > Jason Hsu wrote:
> > > What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?
> >
> > Clubs that are worth the time.
>
> What makes a club worth your time? What makes the others a flop to you?

What makes a club worth the time for me, personally, is a bunch of hams
eager to learn and another bunch of hams capable of and eager to teach.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6012. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 18:07:27 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCF1A5F.DB2BFC20@uci.edu>
Source record: 22305

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > So I take it that you and your family were all vehemently opposed to
> > interment at the time, and under those circumstances.
>
> I was three years old when Pearl Harbor was attacked and I
> wasn't a Libertarian yet. If it happened again today, I
> *would* be vehemently opposed to interment of otherwise
> innocent American citizens. Do you think we should lock
> up all the Moslems who are American citizens?

Nope. Guess you missed the point.

6013. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 18:11:21 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BCF1B49.1AE06C5C@uci.edu>
Source record: 22306

CAM wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > As far as the War on Drugs, seems like a good time to think about surrender
> > terms.
>
> How about converting the War on Drugs to the War on Terrorists?
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

I heard we sent $43M to Afghanistan last year to assist them with our
"war on drugs". Ironic, ain't it?

6014. Re: National Emergency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 18:19:46 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bcf1bbb.3409795@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 22307

On Thu, 18 Oct 2001 02:07:26 GMT, "Vic" <armstrong_vic@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I don't personally know anyone into amateur radio, I hope you don't mind if
>I ask a few questions of your group.

Ask away.

>In the event of an emergency (national or local) where would radio operators
>gather to pass information?

The answer can only be genericaly adressed by stating that it
depends on whatever preliminary agreements/practices/etc
that have been established by local, county and state emergency
groups such as RACES.

>Is there a predetermined band?

No. As above, it will vary acording to prestablished practices.

>What mobile gear would be required to monitor?

Cheapest would be a scanner that covers 30MHz to 500MHz.
There is some, but not much, activity in the HF segments (i.e.
3 to 30MHz) but most activity today is voice (FM) usually at
144 MHz or the 440MHz band segments.

>Which license is required to transmit?

You can participate in such activities with a Technician level
license (visit WWW.ARRL.ORG for details). The tech license
does NOT require any knowledge of morse code.

>Thanks very much

You're welcome.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

6015. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 18:25:25 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bcf1d9e.3892868@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 22308

On 18 Oct 2001 07:07:06 -0700, jason_hsu@my-deja.com (Jason Hsu)
wrote:

>Based on the two clubs I have been in, it seems to me that only about
>10% of amateur radio operators are members of clubs.
>
>Why aren't the other 90% in clubs?

One reason is because ham radio is an activity that really
doesn't require a club support to enjoy. Car clubs abound
because antique car owners look to club activities for
venues and opportunities to use the car. Hams need only
"get on the air" to participate in the hobby.

>Ever since I was first licensed in early 1997, I have made it a point
>to always be a member of the local club. As an amateur radio
>outsider, I feel it is even more necessary for me to be in a club.
>Nobody in my family is a ham, and I was unaware of amateur radio until
>about 5-6 years ago. If I had never joined a club, who would have
>helped me build a mount for my Screwdriver antenna for my apartment?
>How would I have had a chance to see the antennas other hams use? How
>could I meet with dozens of hams (and get to know a few) on a regular
>basis if I weren't in a club? Yes, I do have USENET and other
>discussion forums, but there is no substitute for meeting people in
>person.

All good reasons for you to join a club. They are not, however,
universally applicable to all people or all hams in particular.

>What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?

If you find out, let everyone know as the answer has
always been elusive.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

6016. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 18:28:34 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110181028.315fc1f1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22309

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote

> Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> the WTC attack.

We have a winner in the LWATAOTYC (Lame, Weak, And Tortured Analogy Of
The Year Contest)!!!!!!!

Most (all?) users of illegal drugs voluntarily consume those drugs, knowing
full well the medical risks involved, but only 19 persons volunteered to die
in the 4 aircraft which attacked our nation on 9/11/2001.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6017. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 18:34:33 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9qn7bp02f1t@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22310

In article <3BCED156.B9C665A1@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...

> Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
>the WTC attack. According to the CDC, there are an average of 425,000
>drug related episodes in hospital emergency rooms nationwide each
>year, resulting in about 9,500 deaths per year. It is absolutely
>foolish to legalize something that causes far more harm to this
>country then the threat you're trying to defeat.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

People who OD bring about their own demise. The 6000 that were killed on 9/11
did not.

6018. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 20:07:08 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10110181950150.4831-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 22311

On 18 Oct 2001, Jason Hsu wrote:
>Based on the two clubs I have been in, it seems to me that only about
>10% of amateur radio operators are members of clubs.
>
>Why aren't the other 90% in clubs?
>
>Ever since I was first licensed in early 1997, I have made it a point
>to always be a member of the local club. As an amateur radio
>outsider, I feel it is even more necessary for me to be in a club.
>Nobody in my family is a ham, and I was unaware of amateur radio until
>about 5-6 years ago. If I had never joined a club, who would have
>helped me build a mount for my Screwdriver antenna for my apartment?
>How would I have had a chance to see the antennas other hams use? How
>could I meet with dozens of hams (and get to know a few) on a regular
>basis if I weren't in a club? Yes, I do have USENET and other
>discussion forums, but there is no substitute for meeting people in
>person.
>
>What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?

I can't answer that. However, I do note this:

At the test sessions that I am part of, one of the things we give out to newly licensed amateurs is a short list of some of the local repeaters (usually those that we own, control, or are known to be friendly to newcomers [and all are coordinated as "open" - and do NOT include our local 145.460- or 147.435(odd split) "bad boy" problem boxes]). Out of all that (usually 20 candidates per session with about 50% of them being new licensees), only about 3 in the past two years that I am aware of have popped on the subset of those repeaters on the list which I monitor ON ACCOUNT of the list. One other person came to a club meeting via similar circumstances, but hasn't returned since. I've eliminated those who were specifically invited by a repeater user or club member, etc.... (other reasons besides the list).

I wonder about a similar question: Where are all of these new licensees going and/or what are they doing? My VE group turns out 100+ new amateurs per year (if not more), but we don't see or hear most of them again on a repeater or at a club meeting. Simplex isn't crowded enough to explain this, nor are these people HF-capable (at least, from their license class). Packet, excluding APRS, and ATV haven't seen any significant growth.

Only a very few of these are easily explainable as to why we don't see them. Usually, they're getting a license because another family member or a close friend has one.

We've permitted some local clubs to hand out stuff to newly licensed individuals at our session as well, but that hasn't helped them.

I repeat: Where are these people going or what are they doing after getting their licenses?

6019. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 21:29:11 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qnhj2$1ua$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22312
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You sure make a lot of ASSumptions, don't you Dwight?

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BC68B6C.F52A17C3@sccoast.net...
> Soon the Moon wrote:
> >
> > Ross Archer wrote:
> > >
> > > You obviously don't understand
> > > the purpose of propaganda. It's to
> > > influence, not to create
> > > super-objective world citizens.
> >
> > (snip) Oh I understand that, but YOU
> > don't understand how stupid it sounds
> > to have to use Propaganda to tell
> > these people how bad the Taliban
> > are. If they are so bad, I'm sure
> > they would know, right? (snip)
> >
> > > I'd be upset if my tax dollars went
> > > for general-coverage receivers that
> > > gave the Taleban more listeners.
> >
> > Aha, why are you afraid of people
> > listening to their side of the story?
> > Censoring is usually because you don't
> > want anyone to hear the truth.
>
>
> It always amazes me how convinced Americans are of their own ability
> to objectively hear all sides of a conflict, but are always so certain
> that others elsewhere in the world cannot. Those same Americans are
> equally convinced that we do indeed hear all sides in a conflict -
> that the government does not apply that same concept to us, with equal
> censorship of our news. Of course, they have no room for doubt during
> this conflict - news networks are widely reporting today that the
> White House has asked them to censor statements made by the Taliban.
> Seems the government has moved us into the same category as others
> around the world - like them, we cannot handle a free press.
>
>

> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6020. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 21:35:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018173511.04539.00000052@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22313

In article <20011017152938.25396.00000020@nso-bd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20011017080337.09124.00000079@nso-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Is my observation not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?
>
>Delusional. You state personal opinions as if they were TRUTH.

You just demonstrated a textbook example of the ad hominem fallacy, Len. You attacked the messenger, (me) rather than analyze the message. In fact, you completely snipped the message.

I'm not delusional. I simply posted some observations that you don't like. I think those observations are true - otherwise I wouldn't have posted them.
>
>Sorry, your godhood, they are just opinions.

They are observations. If they are not true, why don't you show why they aren't?

It is interesting that in one post you call me an idiot and a few days later you call me a god. Truth is, I'm neither. But you don't want to deal with the reality of a ham who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.

N2EY

6021. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 21:35:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018173511.04539.00000051@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22314

In article <20011016155411.12931.00000045@nso-ba.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <rRWx7.102835$3d2.3012741@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>"Jim
>Bromley, K7JEB" <j.e.bromley@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>I originally wrote:
>>
>>> : Nice write-up intro, Jim.
>>
>>Stu Parker <sparkr@bimminy.com> wrote
>>
>>> And the Smith chart is badly outdated. With a programmable calculator
>>> (or a computer), you can generate much more accurate answers to
>>> impedance calculations (note: impedance implies that a complex number is
>>> involved, so "complex impedance" is redundant), and you can do it much
>>> more conveniently and inexpensively. Smith chart paper used to be
>>> rather pricey, and you can't read it to very many significant digits
>>> either.
>>>
>>> If you like Smith charts, why then you'll probably like slide rules.
>>>
>>> However, the rest of us moved on years ago.
>>
>>Heh, heh. I have my Post Versalog right here in the desk
>>drawer. It has served me well lo these 40 years. I now
>>use it as a prop for my "Back in the Good Old Days" talks
>>to younger engineers.
>
>The first of the "scientific" pocket calculators, the HP-35,
>appeared on the market at the beginning of the 1970s.

1974-5. It cost almost $400 and ate batteries fast. Classmate of mine had one.

>That was 30 years ago. The "younger engineers" (who
>have not used a slide rule) are now bumping up against
>the age of 50! :-)

Most of us in the engineering classes of 1976 or so used slide rules because
the early calculators were expensive and prone to battery failure at
inopportune times. In a few years things changed to the point where a
calculator cost less than a good slide rule. End of story.
>
>>And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith

>>chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone
>>technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the
>>impedance/admittance transformations that take place through
>>a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies
>>in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than
>>in the precision of the calculations done with it.

Yup. Just like Morse code and ham radio.

>That conceptual model provides a visualization and better
>relation to complex quantities...and is the reason it is
>still being used today. So much so that it appears on
>modern network analyzers as the front panel display.
>
For similar reasons, almost all modern HF/MF amateur radio transceivers feature Morse code transmission and reception. Most include a built in keyer, too.

>>While it is necessary to move forward in technological
>>development, I believe it is also necessary for the
>>practitioners to see themselves as having a certain
>>historical continuity with their predecessors (and
>>their successors). One way this continuity is maintained
>>is to understand fully the thought processes involved
>>in developing the tools and artifacts of that era.
>>Thus the interest in the Smith chart, vacuum-tube radios,
>>slide rules and steam engines.

And Morse code, by amateurs.
>
>Vacuum tubes, slide rules, and steam engines...along
>with morse code skill...are becoming artifacts which are
>quaint, amusing relics of a bygone era.

It is interesting to see how you demean and denigrate them. Says a lot. You want to tell us what we should like and dislike.

Vacuum tubes are still in wide use. Ask anyone with a CRT. Or a high-end stereo.

Most of the electricity generated in the modern world is the result of steam engines (turbines) driving alternators.

>The Smith Chart
>format still appears as the display on modern network
>analyzers and is still being used by today's RF workers.

Morse code still appears as a standard feature in modern HF/MF amateur radio transceivers and is still being used by today's hams.
>
>Er, sorry to mention morse code in there.

Apology accepted.

>It's not partiotic
>and may be un-American to not love morse code.

"Partiotic"?

N2EY

6022. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 21:40:37 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9qnib5$a63$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22315
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Hank Kerchiff" <blow@nose.com> wrote in message
news:3BC7BD14.6A2164E@nose.com...

>
> > In case you didn't notice....we were attacked. On our own soil.
>
> In case YOU didn't notice, we attacked them first, on their own soil.
They
> retaliated the best and only way they could considering their far inferior
> military and technology. What did they do to us before we started
dropping
> bombs on Iraq, denied them any food or medicine for over a decade, and
won't
> allow them to fly planes over THEIR OWN COUNTRY AND AIRSPACE? They are
tired
> of the big bully picking on small weak countries and got together and
tried to
> teach the bully a lesson. Too bad you can't see that and instead see this
as an
> attack on us for no reason at all.

Lets see now....you claim that the attack on the WTC was in retailiation for
what the USA did to THEM?
Ok, lets pursue that a bit. I see you are refering to the situation in
Iraq, and don't forget we have troops
(infidels) in sacred Saudi sands. Sure there may be a point
here....but...Saudi Arabia wanted us there, and apparantly still do. So why
didn't they get attacked?

As for IRAQ and the NO Fly Zone....that was part of the agreement to end the
Gulf War conflict. Agreed to by
Sadam, etc. So now he don't like it....well then he should let the
coolition inspect for those nasty things he
is making...also something he agreed to.

So, let us now... continue back in time.....what did we do to them that made
them kill that old man in the wheel chair, and throw him overboard? That is
my furthest back recollection. So if we did indeed 'start this' then take
me ALL the way back to the FIRST attack on Americans by those bastards, and
show me we asked for it.

Dan/W4NTI

6023. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 22:02:20 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <89kust4e5a9m188gu2oe7e345ofbighbpl@4ax.com>
Source record: 22316

On Tue, 16 Oct 2001 11:00:13 GMT, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA
<lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> wrote:

>You have TIT's complaining that people are listening to her phone calls
>and such. Guess that cuts into her 1-900 phone business.

Huh? What? DAMN, I've been missing out! What's the number???

6024. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 22:07:08 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <kfkust0kqcus79gdbuuts7inhr4pjdpabg@4ax.com>
Source record: 22317

On Wed, 17 Oct 2001 00:30:20 -0500, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:

>We can't stop drugs from sneaking across the border, so how the hell
>are we going to stop well trained and funded terrorists?

Same way we stopped communism - by fighting 'em over there instead of
over here. I guess that's why we're holding that nice big airshow in
Afghanistan right now...you know, the one featuring all those B-1,
B-2, B-52, F-15, F-16, AC-130 and probably other aircraft as well. ;-)

6025. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 22:17:32 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <0pkustgt7f2vshjrvqnb99ihbk0g0n69bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 22318

On Thu, 18 Oct 2001 09:16:55 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>> Forget it, Larry. Those ignoramouses haven't a clue.
>
>That's rich coming from someone living half a century in the past.
>You and Larry are the only two Americans I know of who have not
>apologized to those Japanese-American citizens for the atrocity
>that was forced upon them.

I haven't either, and never will.

>There was an episode about it on The
>History Channel the other day. Many of those people lost their
>jobs and their businesses and their lives were ruined.

What about the people who lost their lives in the sneak attack?
Weren't their lives ruined? I'd say getting killed qualifies as a life
ruin...anyone else agree?

Just as a reminder of what we're talking about here, here's some stats
I saved from a post on FidoNET many years ago. Comments between the
lines of asterisks are from the Fido post and are not my own:

****

December 7, 1941 in an Air Raid that lasted 90 Minutes
The United States of America suffered the following losses

Casualties of War - Losses in The Pearl Harbor Attack of World War II

Personnel Losses

Navy 2,008 Killed 710 Wounded
Army 218 Killed 364 Wounded
Marines 109 Killed 69 Wounded
Civilian 68 Killed 35 Wounded
==========
Totals 2,403 Killed 1178 Wounded

* note the total number of those killed does not include those who
died after
the attack. It reflects those killed in the attack on Pearl
Harbor. This
is military policy to minimize the losses when viewed by people.

Equipment Losses - Vessels

Sunk and Destroyed

2 Battleships; (USS Arizona, USS Oklahoma)
2 Destroyers; (USS Cassin, USS Downes)
1 Target Ship; (USS Utah)

Sunk or Beached but Salvageable to Some Degree

3 Battleships; (USS West Virginia, USS California, USS Neveda)
1 Minelayer; (USS Oglala)

Severely Damaged but Repairable

3 Battleships; (USS Tennessee, USS Maryland, USS Pennsylvania)
3 Cruisers; (USS Helena, USS Honolulu, USS Raleigh)
1 Destroyer; (USS Shaw)
1 Seaplane Tender; (USS Curtiss)
1 Repair Ship; (USS Vestal)

Equipment Losses - Aircraft
---

188 Destroyed; (96 Army, 92 Navy)
159 Badly Damaged; (128 Army, 31 Navy)

In contrast, out of a force of 31 ships and 353 planes, the Japanese
lost only
29 planes. Japan also lost 1 submarine and 5 midget two-man boats
which had
arrive in the Hawaii area earlier. The Japanese lost a total of 64
men plus
an unknown number that were aboard the submarine.

Let us always remember the high price of human casualties that was
paid for
our country.

****

> All because
>people like you don't trust fellow citizens who are different from
>you in some unimportant way.

All because I don't like people who send an ambassador to D.C. to
negotiate peace while their sneak attack fleet is already under
way...or perpetrate atrocities like the Bataan Death March.

6026. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 22:18:57 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <t8lusts6vo7151t870a7bphk3t2bco2rk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 22319

On Thu, 18 Oct 2001 12:38:40 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>I was three years old when Pearl Harbor was attacked and I
>wasn't a Libertarian yet. If it happened again today, I
>*would* be vehemently opposed to interment of otherwise
>innocent American citizens. Do you think we should lock
>up all the Moslems who are American citizens?

Would you rather we just line 'em up against the nearest wall and
start mowing 'em down with machine gun fire?

6027. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 22:35:47 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCF5943.71516CEE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22320

Nonya Bidniz wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Do you think we should lock
> >up all the Moslems who are American citizens?
>
> Would you rather we just line 'em up against the nearest wall and
> start mowing 'em down with machine gun fire?

Nope, I would rather all American citizens be treated the same,
innocent until proven guilty. Guilty until proven innocent
reminds me of Cuba.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6028. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 23:27:14 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bcf6bdc_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22323

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20011017193028.25396.00000026@nso-bd.aol.com...
>
> Racially "profiling" the Japanese during WWII was unfortunate, but a
> necessary thing at the time. In view of what we know now about
> the atrocities committed by the Japanese during the war, it certainly
> was a small price for Americans of Japanese descent to have their
> rights temporarily suspended in the interest of national security.
>

Your money must be awfully cheap.

>
> In view of the events of 9/11, it makes sense to take similar, if much
> less drastic precautions. It would be foolhardy *not* to "racially
> profile" Arabs or persons with Arab features when boarding
> commercial airliners, entering certain public buildings, or accessing
> any secure facility which would be a likely target. And I'm not talking
> about rounding them up into retention camps or anything like that --
> just using reasonable, rational security precautions that are not
> intended to, or have the effect of, limiting their rights as American
> citizens or legal residents of the United States.
>

What about the foreigner or US Citizen (WASP) who looks just like a good guy
and is sympathetic to the cause of a terrorist? How can we pinpoint them?

>
> We have all now seen what committed, fanatic terrorists can do, and
> we know where this terror comes from. We must now deal with it
> the best we can, and this will certainly require that specific people be
> more closely examined, based entirely on where they came from and
> what they look like. Call it racial profiling, or give it any
politically-
> correct label that suits your pacifist purposes, but I, as an American
> concerned with the security of my country and the safety of ALL
> of it's citizens and legal residents, just think it makes simple,
> common sense.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

What about the white folks who might be terrorists? How could we tell them?

Kim W5TIT

6029. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 23:30:49 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bcf6bdd_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22324

Yeah but, see Dwight, no one wants to deal with reality. It's much easier to focus on the WTC incident because "it looks so big" because it was. It was a big event in a very short few minutes.

Criminal acts in this country are a slow process, with one or two hit here, one or two hit there, and one must wait a whole year before the outcome is realized. You know how we Americans are about waiting around. ;)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BCE2C1C.DE3887A5@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Then, you had better start asking for
> > higher taxes, because the common criminal
> > on the street is more harm to your family
> > than anything else. (snip)
>
>
> An excellent point, Kim. When it comes to our own personal risk of
> death or injury, one must remember there are an average of 1,600,000
> violent crimes in this country every single year, including 18,000
> murders (almost 4 times the number killed in the WTC attack). For that
> matter, there are many risks in our lives - there are average of
> 40,000 people killed in automobile accidents, 25,000 killed in home
> accidents, and 4,000 people killed in property fires, every single
> year. So, if we're going to be concerned about our own safety in this
> country, a single instance of terrorism, like the WTC attack, should
> not be the main focal point of that concern.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

6030. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-18 23:54:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qnq2uqmj0@208.207.71.136>
Source record: 22321

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > Racially "profiling" the Japanese during WWII was unfortunate, but a
> > > > necessary thing at the time.
> > >
> > > There is absolutely no proof that it was necessary to lock up
> > > American citizens.
> >
> > That could certainly be true- from a perspective the postwar. It is
> > characteristic of you that you fail to undestand that was NOT true at
> > the time it was done.
>
> Get real, Dick. If absolutely NO proof has appeared in the last 55
> years

What makes you think you know all about what is or is not known about
what was known or not known *at that time*?? You do not.
```

6031. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201218.29362.00000044@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22332

In article <3bce2fd2.20648208@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement
>(Oct 12, 2001) -- Saying that it was "setting aside any previous
>relevant decisions," the International Amateur Radio Union
>Administrative Council this week resolved
> that IARU policy supports "the removal of Morse code testing as an
>ITU requirement for an amateur license to operate on frequencies below
>30 MHz." The Council further resolved to urge member societies--as an
>interim measure--to seek Morse code testing speeds "not exceeding five
>words per minute."
>
>In case you missed the full story, go to:
>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/10/12/100/?nc=1

It can also be seen on the IARU website. :-)

The IARU is only an advisory group. They have NO vote, none, zip, nada, at any WRC. The only ones who can vote at WRCs are the administrations of member nations.

The IARU website also contains a truly fine work of graphics art in their position paper on the 40m band sharing controversy. I'll give them a big round of applause for that one and it should be worth the download time for the PDF of about 624K.

Unfortunately, the 40m band sharing thing has been going around and around since 1979 at that WARC. That's a period of 22 years of little to no resolution by all the previous IARU advice.

Meanwhile, the FCC IB IWG-6 hasn't yet reached any position for a draft on item 1.7 which concerns three items. One is the morse code test of ITU-R S25.5. One is on the 40m band sharing or non-sharing issue.

The Vice Chair of IWG-6 is an ARRL man.

6032. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201219.29362.00000045@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22333

In article <3BCE170F.C6AA1752@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>stewart wrote:
>>
>> Now that the publicity associated with Amateur Restructuring has worn
>> off, the trend of decreasing numbers of US Amateur Radio Service
>> Operators has returned:
>>
>> http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>>
>> Told ya.
>
>Your "trend" represents a percentage change whose total is on the same
>order as the mean month-to-month fluctuation in membership.

"Membership?" ARRL membership remains fairly constant at
about 152 thousand since last year (according to IARU site as
well as ARRL site).

The maximum-ever total US ARS licensing peaked in March, six
months ago. The trend as been a continual, small loss in total
numbers since March.

>> Unless Hams make a concerted effort to reach out to the younger
>> generation, this trend will soon start to go logarithmic.
>
>Is this based on past trends?

Since 1998, the growth in total US ARS licensees has been
due to the no-code-test Technician class, roughly 10:1 more
Techs v. all other classes combined. This can be seen by
the un-spun AH0A tabulations up to ULS changeover.

The "standard argument" that "the numbers aren't changing"
is either wishful thinking or some spin saying "extras grew
the most" (while not demonstrating they are class changes
from so-called "lower" classes).

The AH0A tabulations confuse the issue by combining the
code-tested Tech-Plus with no-code Techs after April 15th
restructuring. Up to that point in time, the separate tabulations
by class showed that growth in ARS licensees was all due to
the no-code-test Techs. The combined Tech tabulations now
indicate a fall-off of the "Tech-Tech" numbers which is mostly
due to Tech-Plusses "upgrading." There are no significant
trends in the non-renewal of no-code Techs despite all the

previous assurances that their numbers will drop.

6033. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201220.29362.00000046@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22330

In article <20011018012737.01718.00000495@mb-cr.aol.com>, ER nurse in
TN@aol.com (Tennessee Taliban Miltary Representative) writes:

>>With all kindest anonymous regards,
>>Leonard H. Anderson
>>ex-RA16408336
>
> Your Russian callsign?

My Army Serial Number (1952-1956). The "RA" prefix stood for
Regular Army denoting voluntary enlistment. A "US" prefix
denoted a draftee and "NG" denoted National Guard. "ER"
prefix stood for Enlisted Reserve and so I had ER16408336
from 1956 to 1960, Honorable Discharge received in April 1960.

Not a Medical Discharge.

>Because we all KNOW how you hate anyone who dares
>to flaunt even the least little prior military service in this forum.

Damn LIE of this idiot...the same person that used to "sign"
some of his messages with "Gunnery Sergeant, USMC" or
"The Gunny."

Temper Fry

6034. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201221.29362.00000047@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22331

In article <MPG.1638268bf8e6a4429896be@news.earthlink.net>, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA
<lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> writes:

>In article <3BCD0F75.CAB12C1F@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>> Jack Mehoff - W6LHA wrote:
>> >
>> > (snip)
>>
>>
>> No comment.
>
>except, according to Cecilian logic, this is a comment, brief, but still
>a comment.
>
>You didn't disappoint me Dwight. You and your minority would give aid
>and sanctuary to the enemy all in the name of preserving some rights that
>won't affect you anyway. You'd just a soon sacrifice citizens of this
>country by hindering the ability of law enforcement from tracking these
>camel jockeys down. Allowing them to use the very laws you whine and cry
>about against us.
>
>Whelp Dwight, I bid you ado, as they have just activated the 1179th
>Deployment Support Battalion out of Fort Hamilton and as they saying
>goes, where they go I go.

Sorry, fake. The 1179th is a BRIGADE, not a Battalion.

Anyone who has been in the US Army knows damn well there
is a difference in size and would NOT confuse "battalion" with
"brigade." Your TO&E fell off the table onto the floor.

Did you want the Soldier's Medal for this bit of nonsense? :-)

All the above means is a slight pause in posting until this idiot
dreams up a new newsgroup name. Problem is, those of us
who get full header information will spot the new handle. You
will be busted again.

ex-RA16408336

6035. Re: War Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201222.29362.00000048@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22325

In article <b7c5262e.0110171953.51d6b63f@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) writes:

>> The next time Brakob uses the word "punk" about another
>> military veteran he can go to -
>>
>> www.clinteastwood-impersonations.org/bootcamp/index.html
>>
>> Go ahead, make my day. :-)
>
>As you wish, punk.
>
>With all kind wishes,

Danke Herr Robust......Gruss gott!

6036. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201223.29362.00000050@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22327

In article <b7c5262e.0110171950.432056d0@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>> You are disagreeable.
>
>Thank you. And you're a sanctimonious twit.

"Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable." -HB

You are still disagreeable.

>PS: If I've said anything to offend you, believe it.

Not at all. Hypocracy is its own reward, Mister Chief,
and you represent the US ARS as one of its out-
standing feldwebels.

6037. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201223.29362.00000049@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22329

In article <20011018011122.01718.00000489@mb-cr.aol.com>, Pottymouf@aol.com (Tennessee Taliban PR Representative) writes:

>>Those that think they "matter" don't have the "gravity" of the
>>situation in mind.
>
> As opposed to your NEGATIVE gravity..???
>
>>However, the "intellect" Kim referred to is transparent, dark
>>matter since it doesn't ID.
>
> And YOR "ID" is...?!?!

I have no "YOR ID" and do not know what it is.

My AOL membership limits the number of letters and also precludes using my full name due to the large number of AOL users who have the same first few letters. At best I could have only the first five letters plus a number suffix, therefore I chose the same handle as I had on US Pompeii BBS eleven years ago.

For those STASI-wannabe radio kops out there, I am not afraid to give my residence address, the same one that has appeared in bylines of three different national publications:

Leonard H. Anderson
10048 Lanark Street
Sun Valley, CA 91352

Temper Fry

6038. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201224.29362.00000052@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22326

In article <b7c5262e.0110180941.13a856db@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote
>
>> Brakob lied to the FCC on his age, then!
>
>Since you don't know my age, it seems rather
>presumptive-twit-like for you to accuse me
>of falsifying an official record. Perhaps
>you have some proof to back up your declaration
>of my wrongdoing, or are _you_ simply lying,
>punk?

It's in the secret FCC internal database, Mister Chief. :-)

Temper Fry

6039. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:12:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018201224.29362.00000051@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22328

In article <3bccf475_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>We've already been told, warned, and warned again that this will be a "very
>long and protracted war." If this "war" (undeclared mind you) goes on for
>just 20 years, an entire generation of children will have no idea what it
>truly means to be free.

A small mention of "undeclared wars" -
June 1950 was the beginning of the Korean War. Technically it
was not a "war" even though some 37 thousand US military lost
their lives fighting in a "police action." That war has not yet
stopped and continues in a sort of permanent truce 51 years
after it started. Military lives have been lost in that "war" after
the start of the truce period in July 1953.

6040. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:33:00 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110181633.7b825bef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22334

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> When it comes to our own personal risk of
> death or injury, one must remember .....

and

> So, if we're going to be concerned about our own safety in this
> country, a single instance of terrorism, like the WTC attack, should
> not be the main focal point of that concern.

More blatant NIMBY'isms. Wake up ostrich, this is not about your
"own personal risk" or "your own safety". This is about a cold
calculated attempt to destroy the way of life of _all Americans_.

We aren't talking about some punk street criminal snuffing out
a competing dope dealer, but individuals who have demonstrated that
they are willing to kill THOUSANDS of unarmed citizens at a time,
and have publicly vowed that they will continue more sneak attacks
with the same result in mind.

That damned sure becomes a "main focal point" in my book, even if
it didn't raise your "own personal risk" down in Conway, SC.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6041. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:44:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qnt0t$50v$0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 22335

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Dwight and I are not willing to sacrifice our constitutional rights
> > > which is what defines the US as the nation it is. With freedom comes
> > > responsibility. Sorry you can't understand that.
> >
> > Well, you have no choice. In this country, minority rights but majority
> > rule is the law and that's the way it has to be.
>
> You keep insisting that we live in a democracy and that the tyranny of
> the majority is the way to go. Got news for you, Dick. We live in a
> republic and every citizen possesses inalienable individual rights.
> If 99% of the citizens of the US voted to deport me, it would still
> not happen. If we freely give up those rights, we will never get them
> back. Some of us are not willing to freely give up the inalienable
> individual rights guaranteed to us by The US Constitution.
>
> Question: Are you willing to give up your right to own a gun for the
> purpose of self defense? Are you willing to give up your right to
> express your opinion? If not, you are a hypocrite.

It bothers me not at all to be considered a hypocrite by an idiotic
fool.

6042. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:45:21 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BIKz7.132310$3d2.3708799@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22336

Jason, you got me thinking on that one. I was a member for a few years of the Rochester Amateur Radio Association (RARA). I was of the mindset the the average age of amateurs is quite old. While the average age of amateurs is certainly older than when I got into amateur radio (in the 60s), I believe something else has happened. It is called the standard of living. Back in the 60s and 70s, one skilled worker (read 'tradesman' and 'not college educated') could support a family nicely on one income. Today, often both spouses must work. Also, in retail and manufacturing, there is demand for shift workers. Back in 1978 when I became a master automation mechanic, the master automation mechanics worked strictly days; however, we were adding a 'C' shift and the 'B' shift production was ramping up rapidly. They decided they needed the skills of a master mechanic on B shift. Guess who got the shaft .... er, shift? Two years later, I became a senior developement technician and started filling in for supervision. Guess when? Today, I work a rotating 12 hour schedule. Supervision has to come in on off days and between 12 hour shifts for meetings. Workers and supervision get called in on their off days. This week I will have worked 3 twelve hour days plus 2 twelve hour nights. I dropped my membership last year because I simply couldn't make most of the meetings! Once folk retire, however, it may make sense for each spouse to have a little time and space of their own. The guys go to the ham meetings and the women may go to bingo. I am guessing, but I'm inclined to think that the requirements made on the workforce are keeping attendance down, especially for those between 20 and 40 years old.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jason Hsu" <jason_hsu@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:f7d9a152.0110180607.22b9b028@posting.google.com...
> Based on the two clubs I have been in, it seems to me that only about
> 10% of amateur radio operators are members of clubs.
>
> Why aren't the other 90% in clubs?
>
> Ever since I was first licensed in early 1997, I have made it a point
> to always be a member of the local club. As an amateur radio
> outsider, I feel it is even more necessary for me to be in a club.
> Nobody in my family is a ham, and I was unaware of amateur radio until
> about 5-6 years ago. If I had never joined a club, who would have
> helped me build a mount for my Screwdriver antenna for my apartment?
> How would I have had a chance to see the antennas other hams use? How
> could I meet with dozens of hams (and get to know a few) on a regular
> basis if I weren't in a club? Yes, I do have USENET and other
> discussion forums, but there is no substitute for meeting people in

> person.
>
> What would it take to induce more licensed hams to join a club?
>
> Jason Hsu, AG4DG
> jason_hsu_802701@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@yahoo.com
> http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html

6043. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 00:54:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qntk9$7p5$0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 22337

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
>
> > Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> > the WTC attack.
>
> We have a winner in the LWATAOTYC (Lame, Weak, And Tortured Analogy Of
> The Year Contest)!!!!!!!
>
> Most (all?) users of illegal drugs voluntarily consume those drugs, knowing
> full well the medical risks involved, but only 19 persons volunteered to die
> in the 4 aircraft which attacked our nation on 9/11/2001.

But that was the minor gaffe in that post. He then said:

>>It is absolutely
>>foolish to legalize something that causes far more harm to this
>>country then the threat you're
>>trying to defeat.

showing that he has virtually no understanding of what happened Sept 11 nor of the current war, NOR the war on drugs. On Sept 11 the terrorists mounted a crudely coordinated attack where they planned to do far more damage than would up being done, severe as it was. And that was just another assault of many in a war in which the "threat we're trying to defeat" is to their intent to eradicate the entire USA along with numerous other "decadent" and "satanic" nations so that the world will be free to practice pure Islam. What a load of nonsense. A pair of loads, from both the Islamic terrorists and from Stewart.

6044. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 01:12:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qnumeald0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 22338

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>

> What about the white folks who might be terrorists? How could we tell them?

I guess you missed it (no surprise). The FBI published a list of
persons wanted in connection with the Sept 11 attacks, along with
photos. Not a single one was a white folk.

6045. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 01:24:07 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163990e9e499288d989680@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22339

In article <20011018201221.29362.00000047@nso-bk.aol.com>, lenover21
@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.1638268bf8e6a4429896be@news.earthlink.net>, Jack Mehoff - W6LHA
> <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp> writes:

> >Whelp Dwight, I bid you ado, as they have just activated the 1179th
> >Deployment Support Battalion out of Fort Hamilton and as they saying
> >goes, where they go I go.
>
> Sorry, fake. The 1179th is a BRIGADE, not a Battalion.

Lennie, you never cease to amaze me with such total lack of mental
aptitude. So I don;t know what I'm taking about?? Let's see what some
experts have to say shall we??

From CNN

http://asia.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/reserve.activations.html

1179th Deployment Support Battalion, Brooklyn, New York -- 38 personnel

From Fox News

493rd Criminal Investigation Division Kansas City, Mo. 11
1179th Deployment Support Battalion Brooklyn, N.Y. 38
323rd Military Intelligence Battalion, Company A, Detachment 1 Odenton,
Md. 8

http://dsc.mtmc.army.mil/AboutDSC/WARTRACED.htm

NEW YORK
*1179th Deployment Support Brigade, Brooklyn
*1174th Transportation Terminal Battalion, Flushing
*1302nd Port Security Company, Orangeburg
873rd CD DET, FT Wadsworth
139th TC DET CD, Flushing

>
> Anyone who has been in the US Army knows damn well there
> is a difference in size and would NOT confuse "battalion" with
> "brigade." Your TO&E fell off the table onto the floor.

Absolutely of course there is a difference, and there certainly is an
ARMY 1179th DSBrigade.

However, the unit that was activated was the ArmyReserve 1179th
DSBrigade. If you bothered to research it you'd know but in leaving out
that 1 little detail, I knew you step in shit and then put that foot in

your mouth.

So again, where they go I go=.

Now don;t you feel foolish AGAIN?? Aren't you tired of proving to the whole world you haven't a clue to anything. Must you show the world that you are indeed the village idjiot

>
> All the above means is a slight pause in posting until this idiot
> dreams up a new newsgroup name.

Newsgroup name. How bet

rec.radio.Letsmake LHA look stupid again
or
rec.radio.lhaproces his ignorancemany times.policy
or
flameawaylha.idiot

feel free to add newsgroup names to the list

Problem is, those of us
> who get full header information will spot the new handle. You
> will be busted again.

No clue as to this rant however,an email from 3 NG members have clued me in. And I have no clue who he am, or for that matter who you are there Len aka NOCWTEST, LENOVER21, LENOF21, AVERY FINE all fine anonymouse calls of Leonard H. Anderson. Interesting it's OK for you but not for anyone else. How inferior you must feel.

I subscribe with EARTHLINK and come in from a NYC..that's NEW YORK CITY entry point. Whom you keep referring to shows, through a Lycos search has a MINDSPRING address and according to a DNS number search engine comes through a Succasunna NJ pop. In fact, in reading these posts, there is Bill Sohl who has a MINDSPRING address and comes through the same dialup. So maybe I'm also Bill Sohl oooooo :-)

Ya Know, Lennie, NYC is 60 miles due west and slightly North of NYC. Mindspring although owned by Earthlink maintains totally separate accounts. So that would mean I post making long distant calls and pay for 2 separate ISP's? For what? To bug you? My my aren't we pretentious. How inferior to think people go out of their way to make you look foolish when you do the job so well yourself.

Tell you what Len. You post all the header info you'd like. All it will show is that everything you know is wrong.

So as to busted. Nahhhh. You haven't a clue there copper. yea seee. top of the world ma, top of the world.

I'll be around till Sunday Lennie, so don't disappointment. Look forward to seeing what misinformation

Leonard H. Anderson. Must really suck to be you

6046. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 03:09:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018230953.12984.00000106@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22340

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/18/2001 7:12 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011018201223.29362.00000050@nso-bk.aol.com>

>You are still disagreeable.

You're two of a kind...only one has a license, the other doesn't. At least I have Hans' QSL card......

>Not at all. Hypocracy is its own reward, Mister Chief,
>and you represent the US ARS as one of its out-
>standing feldwebels.

And you would not BELIEVE what kind of an example YOU have set for "professional" engineers...Not that you ever were......

6047. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 03:16:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018231630.12984.00000108@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22341

>Subject: Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/18/2001 7:12 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011018201223.29362.00000049@nso-bk.aol.com>

>
>My AOL membership limits the number of letters and also
>precludes using my full name due to the large number of
>AOL users who have the same first few letters.

Bravo Sierra...An excuse, and a poor one at that....

The automatic "signature" line of the AOL newsgroup reader can handle a tag-line of up to 200 characters. What kinda callsign has that many characters, Your Liarship?

>At best I
>could have only the first five letters plus a number suffix,
>therefore I chose the same handle as I had on US Pompeii
>BBS eleven years ago.

And AOL screen names now allow up to 16 characters...the new AOL 7 may allow more, but I haven't tried it.

>For those STASI-wannabe radio kops out there, I am not
>afraid to give my residence address, the same one that
>has appeared in bylines of three different national
>publications:

And for those who want to know, mine is on QRZ. Licensed hams have thier addresses there, ya know, Your Licenselessness.....

>Temper Fry

Mine's fine. Too bad you're on the bottom of the dog pile, huh, Your Foolishness?

6048. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 03:21:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011018232153.12984.00000110@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22342

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/18/2001 7:12 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011018201220.29362.00000046@nso-bk.aol.com>

>Regular Army denoting voluntary enlistment.

Too bad your generation required that symbology, Lennie...Everyone in MY generation was a volunteer...And we kicked some fairly serious butt without the draft.

>Not a Medical Discharge.

Congratualtions, Lennie...Guess you "In The Rear With The Gear" types didn't have too worry too much about getting into situations that might precipitate a Medical...RF burns, maybe...?!?!?!

>>Because we all KNOW how you hate anyone who dares
>>to flaunt even the least little prior military service in this forum.
>
>Damn LIE of this idiot...the same person that used to "sign"
>some of his messages with "Gunnery Sergeant, USMC" or
>"The Gunny."

Nope...no lie...Your frequent slurs agianst, Larry, Hans and myself and little cutesy "nick-names" based upon our various ranks or branch of service are clearly indicative of this...

It's in the Google...You can't hide, Lennie.

(L)ying (H)am-baiting (A)ntagonist bites the big one again...and doesn't like the taste......

6049. Re: USA air-dropping Baygen radios on Afganistan (really !!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 03:39:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BCF9180.B63F4E0F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 22343
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Daniel Jeswald wrote:

> As for IRAQ and the NO Fly Zone....that was part of the agreement to end the
> Gulf War conflict. Agreed to by
> Sadam, etc. So now he don't like it....well then he should let the
> coolition inspect for those nasty things he
> is making...also something he agreed to.

The coalition should have never have pull out of the inspections
in Iraq. When Sadam threw them out he should have been forced to
let the inspections continue or face the consequences of the
bombing of Bagdad. We may very well suffer greatly because of
this, I think there is no doubt that he now has biological weapons
and is supplying them to the terrorists. We may well have to wipe
Iraq off the map before all this is over. Bush Sr. should have
take care of him the first time.

6050. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 04:12:03 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCFA813.B660370D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22344

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Get real, Dick. If absolutely NO proof has appeared in the last 55
> > years
>
> What makes you think you know all about what is or is not known about
> what was known or not known *at that time*?? You do not.

I saw the special on the subject on The History Channel. It blew all
your assertions right out of the water.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6051. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 04:20:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCFAA15.4479DA1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22345

Nonya Bidniz wrote:
> What about the people who lost their lives in the sneak attack?
> Weren't their lives ruined? I'd say getting killed qualifies as a life
> ruin...anyone else agree?

We put innocent American citizens in prison who had absolutely nothing
to do with that sneak attack. Are you held responsible for the actions
of your foreign cousins? Get real.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6052. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 04:24:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCFAAEE.FE8531E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22346

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I guess you missed it (no surprise). The FBI published a list of
> persons wanted in connection with the Sept 11 attacks, along with
> photos. Not a single one was a white folk.

What percentage of non-white folk are not terrorists, Dick?
Are you going to condemn hundreds of millions of people
because of those 22 terrorists?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6053. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 04:34:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCFAD5D.EC4FD7D0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22347

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> Yeah but, see Dwight, no one wants to deal with reality. It's much easier
> to focus on the WTC incident because "it looks so big" because it was. It
> was a big event in a very short few minutes.

I just saw on TV where 90,000 people die each year from staph infections.
So which is the biggest threat? Anthrax or Staph?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6054. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 04:37:14 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCFADFA.1D0AA307@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22348

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > As far as the War on Drugs, seems like a good time to think about surrender
> > > terms.
> >
> > How about converting the War on Drugs to the War on Terrorists?
>
> I heard we sent $43M to Afghanistan last year to assist them with our
> "war on drugs". Ironic, ain't it?

The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
the US market will again be flooded.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6055. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 04:38:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BCFAE45.A02A4668@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22349

K0HB wrote:
> Most (all?) users of illegal drugs voluntarily consume those drugs, knowing
> full well the medical risks involved, but only 19 persons volunteered to die
> in the 4 aircraft which attacked our nation on 9/11/2001.

There is some evidence that only the pilots knew they were going to die.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6056. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 06:11:46 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87vghcw44d.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 22350

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> In article <87adyqeqat.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>, Rich McAllister K6RFM
> <rfm@pensfa.org> writes:
>
> >sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) writes:

> >> Smith chart paper used to be
> >> rather pricey,
> >
> >But now it's free, since the patent ran out about 65 years ago.
>
> The copyright on the format is still in effect. Copyrights last
> for "life plus 50" or fifty years after the author's death.

Yeah, but the old "sweat of the brow" theory of copyright
is gone. As long as we can argue weu derived the
graph paper from the theory, we're cool.

Rich (K6RFM)

6057. Re: National Emergency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 06:18:33 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87sncgw3t2.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 22251

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

> On Thu, 18 Oct 2001 02:07:26 GMT, "Vic" <armstrong_vic@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I don't personally know anyone into amateur radio, I hope you don't mind if
> >I ask a few questions of your group.
>
> Ask away.

>
> >Thanks very much
>
> You're welcome.

I am posting only to admire Bill Sohl, who seems to have been scanning
the cesspool of r.r.a.p for years just in case this might happen.

Rich (K6RFM)

6058. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 07:01:41 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <F40182C0194CA96F.BAD0EEFDFDA699BB.AB91F023DA1E912C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22252

On 17 Oct 2001 15:31:16 -0700, horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote:

>But, mark my words, eventually the Amateur Service
>will be FORCED to implement something similar to what I've suggested.
>Either that, or the FCC will eventually auction off or open up most of
>the virtually unused VHF/UHF frequencies(other than a few high-level
>repeaters, these bands are basically dedicated to a sea of unused
>closed repeaters), where they can be put to good use.

You mean like the fact that everybody, their dog, and their goldfish
has at least three 2m repeaters, eight 440 repeaters, and a 220
repeater (apparently so those five guys who actually own 220 rigs can
talk to themselves)?

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6059. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 09:43:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GASz7.75075$WW.3646316@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22253

```
"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BCFADFA.1D0AA307@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > > As far as the War on Drugs, seems like a good time to think about
surrender
> > > > terms.
> > >
> > > How about converting the War on Drugs to the War on Terrorists?
> >
> > I heard we sent $43M to Afghanistan last year to assist them with our
> > "war on drugs". Ironic, ain't it?
>
> The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
> the US market will again be flooded.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>

Most of ours comes from Asia, Cecil.
```

6060. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 11:29:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD00EA0.F76BF537@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22283

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > That is not what I said and you
> > know it, Dick. Since you cannot find
> > anything wrong with what I've
> > actually said, you have to make up
> > stuff. Be careful with that, because
> > two can play that game. For example,
> > a friend in another newsgroup told me
> > that you posted a message there
> > saying that your fully supported the
> > Taliban, and that you were personally
> > planning further attacks on other
> > targets.
>
>
> Careful, fellow, you're treading very
> close to the edge.

When it is obvious, like in the paragraph above, the statement is false, there is no edge to tread close to, Dick. The problem is when it is not obvious.

> What you said is there for all to read.

The fact that you're basing your comment on something I said in the past makes no difference, Dick. Did you provide a reference so people could see what I actually wrote? Do you expect everyone to read back through all my previous messages to see what was actually said versus your version of what was said? If you're going to paraphrase what someone has said, do so accurately or don't do it at all.

Dwight Stewart

6061. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 12:02:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019080227.23833.00000038@nso-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22254

In article <BIKz7.132310$3d2.3708799@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Jason, you got me thinking on that one. I was a member for a few years of
>the Rochester Amateur Radio Association (RARA). I was of the mindset the
>the average age of amateurs is quite old. While the average age of amateurs
>is certainly older than when I got into amateur radio (in the 60s), I
>believe something else has happened. It is called the standard of living.
>Back in the 60s and 70s, one skilled worker (read 'tradesman' and 'not
>college educated') could support a family nicely on one income. Today,
>often both spouses must work. Also, in retail and manufacturing, there is
>demand for shift workers. Back in 1978 when I became a master automation
>mechanic, the master automation mechanics worked strictly days; however, we
>were adding a 'C' shift and the 'B' shift production was ramping up rapidly.
>They decided they needed the skills of a master mechanic on B shift. Guess
>who got the shaft .... er, shift? Two years later, I became a senior
>developement technician and started filling in for supervision. Guess when?
>Today, I work a rotating 12 hour schedule. Supervision has to come in on
>off days and between 12 hour shifts for meetings. Workers and supervision
>get called in on their off days. This week I will have worked 3 twelve hour
>days plus 2 twelve hour nights. I dropped my membership last year because I
>simply couldn't make most of the meetings! Once folk retire, however, it
>may make sense for each spouse to have a little time and space of their own.
>The guys go to the ham meetings and the women may go to bingo. I am
>guessing, but I'm inclined to think that the requirements made on the
>workforce are keeping attendance down, especially for those between 20 and
>40 years old.
>
I agree with everyhting you wrote except the ages quoted above. I would say "20
to 60-65" or even older - just about anybody in a family with both adults
working fulltime. Add children to the mix and it gets even more complicated.
End result is that many hams don't have lots of predictable free time in big
blocks anymore. Instead, their free time is in bits and pieces at odd times of
the day, which kinda cuts into meeeting attendance.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6062. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 12:31:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD01CF2.D9A6E5CA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22290

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> (snip) How do you think the terrorists
> were able to do what they did on Sept 11?
> Basically it was because jokers like
> complain so much that we had virtually
> NO airport security and they read it
> like a book. You have no idea how much
> your type aids them.

So how are National Guard troops at airports, untrained in civil law enforcement, going to stop terrorists from taking plastic knives on airplanes, Dick? As a result of the WTC attack, Congress is now considering several pieces of legislation that will have sweeping implications (including the authority to detain and deport immigrants merely on suspicion, expand the government's authority to monitor online communications, ease grand jury secrecy laws, expand the government's wiretapping authority, and so forth).

http://www.cnn.com/2001/US/09/25/inv.civil.liberties/index.html

These are not temporary measures because of "wartime," Dick. These are new laws that you and I, and other Americans far into the future, will have to live with.

> Tell it to women living under the the
> Taliban.

This is not about the "women living under the Taliban," Dick. Our government does not give a darn about the women of Afghanistan. If they did, they would not be off bombing the young men and woman of that country's military (the children of these women) simply because the government of that country has refused to turn over a suspected terrorist. Those young men and women had no say in that government's decision, any more than a young private in our military has over the international affairs of this country. They simply joined the military to defend their country and are now dying because they wear a uniform. When our military leaves that country, not a thing will have changed for the women of that country (other than the fact that they'll have to bury their now dead sons and daughters).

Dwight Stewart

6063. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 12:44:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD02017.8DA87761@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22291

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> That is true..... Thank the Republicans
> for that way of thinking also. They
> want you to act only a certain way. They
> want you to speak a certain way. They
> want to control though. They basically
> want us all as carbon copies. Nothing
> unique or "different". Sounds a little
> like Communism eh? Or Religion?

This is not about republicans versus democrats, Ryan. Much of the legislation in Congress is being strongly supported by members of both political parties. Instead, this is about members of Congress who feel they must do something (to look good to voters) and are therefore rushing through legislation with little thought about possible future implications.

I also urge you to to learn more about republicans. Most are exactly opposite of your description above.

Dwight Stewart

6064. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 13:10:22 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD0263E.25F35B19@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22255

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
> > the US market will again be flooded.
>
> Most of ours comes from Asia, Cecil.

Afghansitan *is* in Asia.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6065. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 13:18:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qp97h$6vg$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 22256

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Get real, Dick. If absolutely NO proof has appeared in the last 55
> > > years
> >
> > What makes you think you know all about what is or is not known about
> > what was known or not known *at that time*?? You do not.
>
> I saw the special on the subject on The History Channel. It blew all
> your assertions right out of the water.

Oh, so the History Channel knows all about what was and was not known
at the time. We See. Monday morning quarterbacking trumps action in real
time. Just more of your usual drivel.

6066. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 13:23:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qp9ge$7gh$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 22257

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I guess you missed it (no surprise). The FBI published a list of
> > persons wanted in connection with the Sept 11 attacks, along with
> > photos. Not a single one was a white folk.
>
> What percentage of non-white folk are not terrorists, Dick?
> Are you going to condemn hundreds of millions of people
> because of those 22 terrorists?
>

No, I'm not, you fool, but it is sure no surprise that you would say
that.

6067. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 13:32:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qpa0v$9kr$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 22258

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > If you
> > two had your way there would be no laws, no regulations of any kind and
> > you could do anything you wanted anytime, anywhere.
>
> That's a lie. I am a strict law-and-order guy and believe in the death
> penalty for a number of crimes including murder. What I object to is
> your desire to punish the innocent along with the guilty. You are like
> the people who want to outlaw the serving of peanuts on airplanes
> because someone, somewhere, sometime might be allergic to them. You
> are for unreasonable search and seizure of the innocent on the outside
> chance that a guilty person might be caught. Consider moving to China
> where those conditions you advocate already exist.

What's the matter, afraid someone might find some of your "one his
shit" while looking you over? Really its no surprise you'd take that
attitude. But there's noreason for you to slander me as you just did to
assauge your own insecurities. I'm in favor of no such things and have
never indicated so, and you know it. All your *ass*ertions are the
product of your twisted mind.
You a strict law and order guy? In a pig's ass. All anyone has to do
is read through your postings in the archives to find what you are.

Nowhere have I indicated that I support rounding up Arabs nor that I
supported the WW2 rounding up of the Japenese citizens, just that I DID
understand why it was done under the curcumstances, while you in your
stupor can't see past the end of your nose.

6068. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 13:40:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qpage$as9$0@208.206.142.66>
Source record: 22259

"Jack Mehoff (W2LHA)" wrote:
>

> Leonard H. Anderson. Must really suck to be you

It surely does. Can you imagine a withered old geezer with nothing more interesting to do with his life than hang out on a internet forum where he has no involvement nor interest beyond attempting to denigrate a hobby which he has never been even peripherally involved, where he will never, in his lifetime, actually participate but be only a uninformed critic and detractor, just to satisfy his minuscule ego?

6069. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:10:44 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W1LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163a449f86bb360d989681@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22260

In article <9qpage$as9$0@208.206.142.66>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> "Jack Mehoff (W2LHA)" wrote:
> >
>
> > Leonard H. Anderson. Must really suck to be you
>
>
> It surely does. Can you imagine a withered old geezer with nothing more
> interesting to do with his life than hang out on a internet forum where
> he has no involvement nor interest beyond attempting to denigrate a
> hobby which he has never been even peripherally involved, where he will
> never, in his lifetime, actually participate but be only a uninformed
> critic and detractor, just to satisfy his minuscule ego?

everyone has to have a hobby I guess

6070. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:24:13 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD0378D.339F19F4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22262

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Oh, so the History Channel knows all about what was and was not known
> at the time.

They sure as heck know more than you. The History Channel said there was absolutely no evidence (at the time or ever) that American citizens were any threat whatsoever. Their constitutional rights were violated, pure and simple. It will be interesting to see you howl and whine when your constitutional rights are violated in a similar manner.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6071. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:24:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019102425.14413.00000184@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 22261

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: Jack Mehoff (W2LHA) lennie_is_good@nothing.urp
>Date: 10/18/2001 8:24 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.163990e9e499288d989680@news.earthlink.net>

>
>Newsgroup name. How bet
>
>rec.radio.Letsmake LHA look stupid again

The problem is WE can't make Lennie look any more foolish than what he
does himself.

6072. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:27:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD0385A.9F990411@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22264

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Are you going to condemn hundreds of millions of people
> > because of those 22 terrorists?
>
> No, I'm not, you fool, but it is sure no surprise that you would say
> that.

So if you are not condemning all Moslems, exactly what are you
saying? Assuming that you are white, are we to believe that you
are a member of Aryan Nation and the KKK just because most of
those guys are white?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6073. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:32:25 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD03979.49DCF26F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22265

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I'm in favor of no such things and have
> never indicated so, and you know it. All your *ass*ertions are the
> product of your twisted mind.

Dick, a few postings ago, you went on record as favoring giving up
some of our constitutional rights in order to catch more terrorists.
In particular, you said you didn't see anything wrong with
unconstitutional search and seizure and suspension of the
probable cause principle. How you can deny what you said
just a few postings ago is beyond belief.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6074. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:33:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019103301.14429.00000172@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 22263

>Subject: Re: Where is my America going?
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 10/18/2001 11:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BCFAE45.A02A4668@IEEE.org>

>There is some evidence that only the pilots knew they were going to die.

Did ya catch the syndicated cartoon that showed a Rag wrapped in
explosives, holding a button in his hand, obviously about to "instruct" several
"students"........."Now pay attention, I am only going to demonstrate this ONE
time..."

6075. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:34:17 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9qpdl90pnf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22267

In article <3BCFAAEE.FE8531E4@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> I guess you missed it (no surprise). The FBI published a list of
>> persons wanted in connection with the Sept 11 attacks, along with
>> photos. Not a single one was a white folk.
>
>What percentage of non-white folk are not terrorists, Dick?
>Are you going to condemn hundreds of millions of people
>because of those 22 terrorists?
>--
>cheers, CAM, W5DXP

There are times when the most restrained actions are the most dangerous. Enough
is enough. It's time we went berserk over this.

6076. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:37:14 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9qpdqq1pnf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22268

In article <3BCFADFA.1D0AA307@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...

>> I heard we sent $43M to Afghanistan last year to assist them with our
>> "war on drugs". Ironic, ain't it?
>
>The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
>the US market will again be flooded.

Maybe, but they don't seem to be doing a whole lot to stop its export.

6077. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 14:43:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD03BF9.B4B4A591@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22266

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Did ya catch the syndicated cartoon that showed a Rag wrapped in
> explosives, holding a button in his hand, obviously about to "instruct" several
> "students"........."Now pay attention, I am only going to demonstrate this ONE
> time..."

Didn't see that one but I did see one that was two panels. The first
panel had
a sword waving terrorist on a camel charging ahead shouting, "Holy
War!". The
second panel, he was retreating even faster shouting, "Holy $hit!".
There was
an AC-130 after him. Who said battleships were obsolete? :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6078. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 15:34:33 UTC
From: Steven - KF2TI <kf2ti@mindsspring.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.163a5863728c31d2989685@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 22269

In article <9qpdqq1pnf@enews3.newsguy.com>, krell1215@yahoo.com says...
> In article <3BCFADFA.1D0AA307@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
> >> I heard we sent $43M to Afghanistan last year to assist them with our
> >> "war on drugs". Ironic, ain't it?
> >
> >The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
> >the US market will again be flooded.
>
> Maybe, but they don't seem to be doing a whole lot to stop its export.
>
>

Krell, as in Forbidden Planet, Leslie Nielson and Anne Francis. No instrumentalities. Oscar winner for best special effects Introducing Robbie the Robot.

Came out about the same time an Signal Corpseman Leonard H Anderson wasn't using CW on amateur related bands with multi KW amplifiers to send his H&W traffic from a comfy office in Tokyo back to the folks in the US?

That krell?

6079. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 16:25:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019122544.14723.00000153@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22270

>Subject: Re: Where is my America going?
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 10/19/2001 9:43 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD03BF9.B4B4A591@IEEE.org>

>Didn't see that one but I did see one that was two panels. The first
>panel had
>a sword waving terrorist on a camel charging ahead shouting, "Holy
>War!". The
>second panel, he was retreating even faster shouting, "Holy $hit!"

Almost the same thing was one proclaiming..."We will fight the infidels to
the last breath ! ! "

In the next panel he's about 1 1/2 steps ahead of a cruise missle and
panting like crazy!

6080. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 16:26:35 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%uYz7.145548$W8.3640251@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22271

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BD0263E.25F35B19@IEEE.org...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
> > > the US market will again be flooded.
> >
> > Most of ours comes from Asia, Cecil.
>
> Afghansitan *is* in Asia.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>

Correct, of course. But the days of the French Connection thru Corsica and Marsailles are pretty much over. The new stuff comes out of the Golden Triangle in Thailand, Burma, and Laos plus some is being grown in South America. Hot stuff they tell me.

There is an old Phillipine Insurrection song that begins "In this land of dopey dreams, happy peaceful Phillipines" (tune is God Save Ireland or Jesus loves the little children if you like) because the southern Phillipines were the heroin capital of the planet. The arrival of US missionaries led to an international control body plus the 1914 Harrison Act implementing it. We were just booted off the UN body that dates from the period.

Dick

6081. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 16:33:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019123348.14723.00000154@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22272

>Subject: Re: Where is my America going?
>From: krell1215@yahoo.com (Krell)
>Date: 10/19/2001 9:37 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9qpdqq1pnf@enews3.newsguy.com>

>>The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
>>the US market will again be flooded.
>
>Maybe, but they don't seem to be doing a whole lot to stop its export.

Cecil was wrong. Poppy production in Afghanistan has increased under the
Taliban (according to DEA).

Krell was right. And it's only one more example of what happens when
religious rhetoric is used to front for political power. I am sure the Taliban
make great hay over "destroying" poppy fields and opium stores.

Jim and Tammy Faye in rags...substitute a few key words here and there,
and it's all the same.

6082. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 17:04:38 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD05D26.265B77CE@uci.edu>
Source record: 22275

Len Over 21 wrote:
> >Your "trend" represents a percentage change whose total is on the same
> >order as the mean month-to-month fluctuation in membership.
>
> "Membership?" ARRL membership remains fairly constant at
> about 152 thousand since last year (according to IARU site as
> well as ARRL site).

I beg your pardon. Substitute any word you like for "membership" -
presuming you were able to understand the sentence.

6083. ARNewsline 1262 - Oct 19 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 17:06:06 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019130606.14753.00000165@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 22273

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm) REPORT #1262 - OCT. 19, 2001

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1262 with a release date of
Friday, October 19th, 2001 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The International Amateur Radio Union speaks out on Morse and the ham radio community responds. This story is first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1262 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

INTERNATIONAL: IARU MEETS - MORSE ON ITS WAY OUT

The International Amateur Radio Union meets to discuss the future of our worldwide hobby service including the Morse code. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, reports from Nottingham in the U-K:

--

The Administrative Council of the International Amateur Radio Union met between the 6th and 8th of October in Guatemala City. The principal business was to continue preparations for WRC-2003, which has several items of importance to the amateur services on its agenda.

Items discussed he harmonization of amateur and broadcasting allocations near 7 MHz; adequacy of HF broadcasting allocations below 10 MHz; possible revision of Article S25 of the international Radio Regulations; changes to terms and definitions in Article S1 as a result of amendments to Article S25; review of provisions concerning the formation of amateur callsigns in Article S19; additional allocations for 'Little LEO' satellites; study of a possible allocation to the earth exploration-satellite service for synthetic aperture radar near 435 MHz; and possible identification of globally harmonized frequency bands for use by agencies and organizations dealing with public protection and disaster relief. The IARU objectives with regard to these agenda items were affirmed.

The IARU Council adopted a resolution urging member societies to seek, as an interim measure, Morse code testing speeds not exceeding five words per minute. The IARU Council, setting aside previous decisions, resolved that IARU policy is to support the removal of Morse code testing as an ITU requirement for an amateur license to operate on frequencies below 30 MHz.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

The next scheduled meeting of the IARU Council will be in September of 2002, immediately prior to the Region 1 Conference in San Marino. (RSGB)

**

CW: HAMS REACT TO IARU DECISION ON MORSE

The IARU policy decision to support the removal of Morse code testing as an international requirement for an amateur license to operate on frequencies below 30 MHz is again sparking a hot debate. This, between those who favor retaining mandatory Morse testing and others who want to see an end to CW as a requirement to operate the high frequency bands. There is really nothing new in the arguments on either side. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH, has more:

--

Morse code. Most hams love it or hate it.

CW, as it's also known, was developed for land based telegraphy in the early 1800's by Samuel F.B. Morse. Morse code and ham radio have gone hand in hand ever since. But now, CW as a requirement for a ham license may be going away.

The International Amateur Radio Union Administrative Council has taken the first steps. Less than two weeks ago, the group voted to set aside any previous decisions and support removing Morse code testing as an ITU requirement for hams to operate on frequencies below 30 MHz. In effect, that move seems to suggest there's little chance that the IARU will support keeping Morse testing as a requirement for getting a ham radio license.

Long time CW operator Jerry Womble, WA4QIN, of Steele, Alabama, says losing code as a license requirement would not be good for the amateur radio service.

--

Jerry Womble, WA4QIN: “If you remove code from the process of getting' a ham license I think you dilute the talents in the community, and I think it means a little less to the person who actually gets the license that he did not go through a process that most of us have gone through to obtain our license”
--

But that view is not universal.

--
Jim Baker, N4RVH: “It wouldn't bother me if they did remove it”
--

Birmingham resident Jim Baker, N4RVH, says ham radio would continue just fine without Morse code as a license requirement.

--
Jim Baker, N4RVH: “Radio is gonna to continue and its gonna progress beyond that. With what the technology is getting into now it is going to far surpass what the code started out to do and that's to communicate.”

--

These people are the middle grounders. They welcome an open exchange of viewpoints but they also know that they must accept the judgment of the service's political leaders and the world body of telecommunications regulators.

One side or the other will lose, but both sides will eventually have to live with whatever the final ITU decision on Morse code testing turns out to be.

From Birmingham Alabama, I'm David Black, KB4KCH, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

The bottom line. Regardless what’s decided at the next World Radiocommunications conference, not everyone in ham radio is going to be happy. (ARNewsline™)

**

CHANCE FOR A RARE CONTACT: GHANA COMING TO 6 METERS

Its considered one of the rarest of the rare countries on VHF, but now Ghana is coming to 6 meters. This with word that a group of DXers will be operating from the city of Elmina from Friday, October 26th through 1700 UTC on Sunday, November 4th.

Arliss Thompson, W7XU, Richard Hanson, K5A-D and Ed Gray, W0SD are the expedition leaders. Thompson says that the group plans on using the call sign 9G5SIX. And while they will have the capability of operation on 160 through 10 meters they will concentrate mainly on operating the 50 MHz band both C-W and SSB.

As to gear, Thompson says that the station dedicated to 6 meter operation includes a pair of Yaesu FT-100D transceivers, a 3CX800 amplifier and a 7 element yagi on a 27 foot boom. A Icom IC-706 transceiver and loop antenna will be dedicated to monitoring 28.885 MHz on 10 meters. This is the internationally recognized frequency used by 50 MHz operators to plan 6 meter D-X contacts.

And to let everyone know if the signal from Ghana is on the air, Thompson says that they will establish a beacon on 50.106 MHz. If you hear it, there is a better than even chance you can tune up the band and make contact directly with the D-X pedition itself.

As to the High Frequency bands, Thompson says they will use a Yaesu FT-746 with Acom kilowatt amplifier feeding wire, vertical and loop antennas for 160 through 10 meters. That station will be primarily manned by W0SD. QSL information will be released at a later date.

We will have more D-X related information at the end of this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report. (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 1

This is ham radio news coming to you from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the Reading Amateur Radio Club's W3BN Repeater serving Reading Pennsylvania.

(5 sec pause here)

**

AMERICA FIGHTS BACK: A NEW EAS STRUCTURE

As reported last week, the Emergency Alert System or EAS was not activated before or during the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon. Instead, President Bush chose to use the commercial media as a way of informing the public. And shortly after the attacks the FCC suspended the need for regular tests of the EAS system for a short period of time, presumably so as not to cause panic in the general public that another attack was taking place.

Does this mean that the EAS need restructuring or modification? Richard Rudman, W6TIA, is the chairman of the Emergency Alert System National Advisory Committee. He says that its time to look at future technology and how it can be incorporated into the E-A-S system to better serve the American public.

--
Richard Rudman W6TIA: "Where I really hope we can take this is to look at something that my national advisory committee already is studying under the heading of Advanced Warning Systems. Amateur Radio operators are already familiar with them because we have been doing this for years. We have a lot of advanced warning systems."

"We need to look at the capabilities of technology are, what people are going to have for personal communications devices in the future and come up with some intelligent ways to allow people to program their devices so that they can get alerts to the hazards they want to be notified about, in some cases 24 hours a day, 7 days a week wherever they are."
--

From Rudman's comments it appears as if the concepts developed by ham radio operators along with overall advancements in personal communications technology could play a role in the future of the Emergency Alert System. Translated into everyday language, it means saving lives. (ARNewsline™)

**

AMERICA FIGHTS BACK: BAYGEN RADIOS DROPPED ON AFGHANISTAN

Radio has become an important tool in the United States military campaign in Afghanistan. In addition to its on tactical communications, the United States air dropping wind up radios to the Afghan public. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW has the details:

--
For more than two weeks the United States and its coalition allies have not only been dropping bombs and food ration packs into Afghanistan. They have also been air dropping a new secret weapon in their war on terrorism. The world famous Bay-Gen wind-up radio.

According to the British Broadcasting Company on-line service, Americans forces have reportedly also been air-dropping hundreds of the small Bay-Gen Freeplay wind-up radios into Afghanistan as the first step in what promises to be a battle for the hearts and minds of the Afghan people. The radios are thought to be specially designed fixed-frequency models that will automatically tune to information broadcasts issued by the United States military.

The BBC says that Washington is relying on the work of its renowned Commando Solo broadcasting unit to reach Afghans over the airwaves. The six EC-130 planes that make up the Air National Guard's Commando Solo fleet serve as flying radio stations. The unit has a record of operating in U-S conflicts such as those in Panama, Bosnia and Haiti. And in addition to the efforts by the U-S military to air-drop radios, relief agencies are also working to supply commercial wind-up models to refugees, many of whom have fled their homes because of severe food shortages in Afghanistan.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

The BBC on-line article says that the wind-up radios will be used to publicize food drops and the message that "the people of Afghanistan are not the enemy." The article also quotes journalist Nick Grace, of the website Clandestineradio.com who believes the Commando Solo unit is also involved in jamming the Taleban-run station Voice of Shari'ah. (BBC)

**

HAM RADIO AND THE WAR: TERRORIST ATTACKS CAUSE 2 METER BEACON DELAY

The terrorist attacks in New York City and the Pentagon caused a new ham radio beacon to be delayed. This, according to Tim Marek, K7XC, who was getting ready to put one on 144.288 MHz from Northern Nevada when the attacks on the East coast occurred.

Marek is a Radar Tech on the Naval Electronic Warfare Range in Nevada and he says service to the nation came first. In a posting to the VHF Reflector, Marek says the Navy compressed a four week pilot training event into two weeks. As a result he wound up working 18 straight days of 12 hour or longer shifts helping to train US pilots for their missions.

This task completed, Marek, has finished assembling the new beacon and has K7XC slash B running 10 watts into a Ringo Ranger from his home in grid DMZ09. He hopes to find a mountaintop location and a horizontal antenna for it in the near future. A 432 MHz beacon at the same location is in the works as well. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO AND THE WAR: HEALTH CONCERNS CAUSE CQ TO ASK CONTESTERS TO E-MAIL LOGS

This is Rich Moseson, W2VU, Editor of CQ magazine, with a message for anyone planning to participate in next weekend's CQ World Wide DX Contest, or any other CQ-sponsored contest.

Because of security concerns, we are asking everyone who submits a log for our contests to do so by e-mail. The rules for each contest give you the appropriate e-mail address. Logs received through the mail at the CQ offices will be held unopened until all potential health risks have been evaluated. We cannot guarantee that these logs will ever be opened.

We know this will inconvenience a small number of participants in our contests and we regret the need to make this request. But our logs come from all over the world, and even from Trenton, New Jersey. And while the risk that amateurs might be involved in any sort of terrorist activity is very small, mail en route may be subject to tampering. We cannot ask our staff members or contest committee volunteers to possibly expose themselves to dangerous packages sent through the mail when there is an alternative.

We've already received several e-mail messages critical of this request, suggesting that we are giving in to terrorists. We don't see it that way. We are not canceling the contest. But we are taking reasonable precautions to protect our staff from what is, based on this week's news, a very real and fast-growing threat. (CQ Magazine, W2VU)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PART 15 VS HAM RADIO

Interference to a Washington state two meter repeater has been traced to a legal albeit faulty Part 15 device. The Portland, Oregon FCC office recently investigated interference to the Clark County Amateur Radio Club repeater in Vancouver operating on 147.84 MHz. The interference was traced to a Gemini model V C 2000 Part 15 video transmitter located about one mile away. The owner was contacted and voluntarily removed the device from service. Interference gone. Case closed. (Portland SBE Bulletin)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: SATELLITE RADIO VS. RF LIGHTING

A major battle has developed between the deliverers of satellite radio and a company that will soon manufacture R-F lighting. This, as XM Satellite Radio and Sirius Satellite Radio ask the FCC to reduce the level of allowed emissions from a particular new model of outdoor light bulb to reduce potential interference to satellite delivered radio signals.

The trade publication Radio World reports that Sirius and XM have specifically asked the FCC to require that Fusion Lighting lower the bulbs radio frequency emission levels y 99.9% of current levels. According to Radio World, Fusion's R-F lamps are designed to operate in an unlicensed portion of the microwave spectrum. Unfortunately, the lamps hold the possibility of interfere with S-band communications channels used by satellite services.

But Fusion says that granting the request would be undue overegulation and effectively put the company out of business. They say that the microwave powered lamps are still in development and at least a year from commercial sale.

Reportedly, the FCC has already spent several months trying to resolve the dispute. So far, no resolution. (Radio World)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: PAY TO LISTEN TO OLD ART BELL RADIO SHOWS

If you are a fan of Art Bell, W6OBB's, "Coast to Coast A-M" radio program and you miss tuning in one night, its going to cost you money to hear a repeat of the show on the world wide web. This, after Premiere Radio Networks announces that as of Monday October 15th there will be a fee to access old shows.

According to the Premiere announcement the longtime free audio streaming has disappeared and has been replaced with a new $6.95 a month subscription service called Art Bell's StreamLink. With StreamLink you can listen anytime you like to any Art Bell Show from the last 30 days.

Not everyone is happy with the decision to charge including Bell. According to a posting to his website, it was not his decision to change the free Internet access. Rather it was a decision made by Premiere based on cost.

Bell says that there are now millions of people on the Internet and bandwidth costs money. About $1.5 million per year for all the Premiere shows. He says that Premiere hopes to break even.

W6OBB says that he has been receiving many angry e-mails and that he understands the anger at having to pay for what was free. But he also understands the company cannot continue to cover the ever growing cost.

Bell adds there is at least one bright spot. Says W6OBB, "it is still free on the Radio."

More information is on the web at www.artbell.com. (Art Bell Website)

**

SPECIAL EVENTS: JOTA 2001 AND THE ISS

If you are hearing this newscast between 0001 local time on Saturday, October 20th, and 2359 local time on Sunday, October 21st, then as soon as its over get on the air to contact the Boy Scouts Jamboree On The Air 2001. Several hundred thousand Scouts and Scout Leaders and others are expected to be on around the world for this annual event.

Listen for scouts on 3.740 and 3.940 on 75 meters, 7.270 on 40, 14.290 on 20, 21.360 on 15, 28.390 MHz on 10 plus the WARC bands.

And as a special added attraction, International Space Station Commander Frank Culbertson, KD5OPQ has said that he will try to make some general QSO's for the scouting Jamboree. He will operate on the usual ham radio space to ground

frequencies. Because of Culbertson's limited time on the air, non-scouting stations are being asked to give preference to scouts trying for a contact with KD5OPQ on board the ISS. (Q-News, SAREX Remailer, K6DUE, KC6ROL)

**

BREAK 2

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website - www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

OUR HERITAGE: RADIO PIONEER DONALD HINGS

Tom Farley, KD6NSP writing in the CGC Communicator notes that he is always trying to publicize radio pioneers that have not been written about. One of these is Donald Hings whom Farley describes as a Canadian wireless inventor who came up with an early form of the walkie talkie and played a big role in the Canadian and British war effort.

Unrecognized compared to people like the late Al Gross, Hings was recently presented with the Order of Canada by her Excellency, the Right Honorable Adrienne Clarkson, the Governor General of British Columbia.

His granddaughter has built a nice web site to honor his radio accomplishments. Its at http://sitka.triumf.ca/morgan/dlhings. (CGC Communicator)

**

OUR HERITAGE: THE SOUTHERN APPALACHIAN RADIO MUSEUM

According to an Internet posting by John Travis, W4QCF, if you like old radios, there is a new museum just for you. Called the Southern Appalachian Radio Museum, its located in Ashville North Carolina and is described as a dream come true for many years for members of the Quarter Century Wireless Association Smoky Mountain Chapter 145. The sponsoring group also recently received the club call W4AFM, which once belonged to Bill Hayes who was licensed in 1926. You can get a glimpse at what's there by visiting the museum website at www.saradiomuseum.org. The real world museum is open every Wednesday evening and John Travis says that you are invited to come and see it. (W4QCF)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: W6VQP NAMED FELLOW IN THE RADIO CLUB OF AMERICA

The Radio Club of America has announced its list of names to be inducted as Fellows in the organization. Among them is one well known to anyone who remembers the very early days of our news reporting operation.

One of the 20 inductees for the year 2001 is Radio Design Group president James R. Hendershot. Ham radio oprerators better know him as Jim Hendershot WA6VQP, the founder of the Westlink Radio Network. Westlink Radio was the original

name of the Amateur Radio Newsline when we first went on the air way back in 1977. (ARNewsline™)

**

INTERNATIONAL - IRELAND: NEW TELEPHONE TECHNOLOGY COULD CAUSE RFI

New high speed telephone technology in Ireland has been announced by their regulatory agency Eircom. The new system will provide Internet access using ADSL brand named 'I stream' using existing phone lines with subcarriers in the range 100 kHz to 1.1 MHz. This will allow faster speed downloading from the Internet and allow for existing voice calls to be carried on the same phone lines in the range 0 to 4 kHz.

But there is a downside. A large number of reports from United Kingdom trials show that radio receivers placed near the phone lines will have strong interference in the Long Wave and Medium Wave bands. There also may be interference in the High Frequency bands. And the system may be susceptible to interference from High Frequency transmitters as well. More details are available at www.eircom.ie. (IRTS, Q-News)

**

DX

In DX, C98RF in Mozambique has been really active on 30, 12 and 10 meters. Watch 12 meters from 1100-1730 UTC, 30 meters after 2100 UTC and 10 meters very early after 0900 UTC. QSL via DL6DQW. (OPDX)

And ET3VSC in Etheopia has been pleasing many CW operators over the past week with activity on 12 and 10 meters. Look for him between 1830 to 2130 UTC on either band. QSL via K3IRV. (OPDX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: WHO REALLY WAS SAMUEL FB MORSE

And finally, we started this weeks newscast with reference to the Morse Code. There is no need to tell anyone that the Morse code is synonymous with ham radio. For almost a hundred years the “code” has been such an integral part of the Amateur Radio service that for some in the hobby its a way of life. To others it was a dreaded obstacle to upgrading to a higher license class until restructuring capped the test speed at 5 words per minute. Yet without the code there might never have been ham radio because its use preceded voice transmission by many years. Even so, there are probably very few in the hobby who know much about the man behind the dots and dashes. But as Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg K2SSQ tells us, the code is only a small part of the story of the man:

--

Samuel Finley Breese Morse was not a ham radio operator. In fact, radio was more than a century away when he was born on April 27, 1791, in Charlestown, Massachusetts.

His childhood is described as almost mundane. He was the son of Jedidiah Morse, a church pastor who was as well known for his abilities in geography. A close family friend was Noah Webster who was equally known for his dictionaries.

Morse attended college at Yale where he is described as having been an indifferent student. But his interest was aroused by lectures of the then newly-developing subject of electricity. After college, to the his parents dismay, Morse directed his enthusiasm to painting which he studied in England. After settling in New York City in 1825, he became one of the most respected painters of his time.

In 1832, while returning by ship from another period of art study in Europe, Morse heard a conversation about the newly discovered electromagnet and conceived of the idea of an electric telegraph. By 1835 he probably had his first working model in the New York University building where he taught art. Being poor, Morse used such crude materials as an old artist's canvas stretcher to hold it, a home-made battery and an old clock-work to move the paper on which dots and dashes were to be recorded.

In 1837 Morse acquired two partners to help him develop his telegraph. One was Leonard Gale, a quiet professor of science at New York University and Alfred Vail, a young man who made available both his mechanical skills and his family's New Jersey iron works to help construct better telegraph models. With the aid of his new partners, Morse applied for a patent for his new telegraph in 1837, which he described as including a dot and dash code to represent numbers, a dictionary to turn the numbers into words and a set of sawtooth type for sending signals.

By 1838, at an exhibition of his telegraph in New York, Morse transmitted ten words per minute. He had dispensed with his number-word dictionary, using instead the dot-dash code directly for letters. Though changes in detail were to be made later, the Morse code that was to become standard throughout the world had essentially come into being.

During the next few years Morse exhibited his telegraph before savants, businessmen and committees of Congress, hoping to find the funds to give his telegraph a large-scale test. He met considerable skepticism that any message could really be sent from city to city over wire. On his own, in 1843, without significant help from his discouraged partners, Morse finally secured funds from Congress to construct the first telegraph line in the U. S. from Baltimore to Washington D.C. Morse directed the wires to be mounted on poles and by May 1844, the first inter-city electromagnetic telegraph line in the world was ready. Then, from the Capitol building in Washington, Morse sent a Biblical quotation as the first formal message on the line to Baltimore These were four words that changed the world of telecommunications forever. The four simple words: "What Hath God Wrought!" And trhe rest -- as they say -- is history.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

By the way, some of the information in this story came from the Locust Grove Samuel Morse historical site at www.morsehistoricsite.org. If you want to

learn more about the man and his contributions to society, we say its well worth a visit. Its one of the best. (Various Morse related websites)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

A reminder that Amateur Radio Newsline scripts are now available weekly on a self maintained list at Yahoogroups. To have a free text edition of this newscast delivered each week by e-mail go to www.yahoogroups.com, follow the directions and sign up for the ARNewsline list.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm _____ saying God Bless America, 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2001. All rights reserved.

**

RAIN Promo here.

6084. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 17:10:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD05E73.1FC6DAC4@uci.edu>
Source record: 22274

CAM wrote:
> The Taliban frowns upon heroin production.

Frowns? I guess they must have been frowning all the way to the bank.

6085. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 17:16:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110190916.1e3ad270@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22276

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
news:<5f4fe4c2.0110171431.361fb94@posting.google.com>...
> Now that the publicity associated with Amateur Restructuring has worn
> off, the trend of decreasing numbers of US Amateur Radio Service
> Operators has returned:
>
> http://www.ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>
> Told ya.

The numbers grew steadily until March 2001, then started downward. The main cause of this is clear: The oldest of the post-Feb 1991 Tech licenses expired in that month. AH0A's site shows renewals and expirations by class, and Tech is not combined with Tech Plus in those columns.

To attach some numbers to the trend, note that for the 7 months from March to September of 2001, 5225 Technician class licenses expired and 9724 were renewed. Of the expired licenses, 1043 renewed in the grace period, so a more accurate count is 10,767 renewed and 4182 expired wihtout renewal. That's a 72% renewal rate.
>
> Unless Hams make a concerted effort to reach out to the younger
> generation, this trend will soon start to go logarithmic.

Too soon to tell for sure.
>
> I offered a solution a year ago, but it was ahead of its time, and
> probably still is.

Actually, your "Colt" idea was behind its time. The time for it was 1998-1999, when the FCC was asking for ideas and input on its restructuring NPRM. After wading through over 2000 comments and numerous proposals, the FCC wasn't about to start all over again six months later.

The other problem with your idea was that it was way too restrictive. The privileges granted were too limited, and the age restriction is just not a good idea. (Note that some folks (NOT ME) support the idea of a minimum age of 14 for a ham license!)

But the basic concept you promote is worth developing. As I understand it, the idea is a sort of super-simple beginner license that doesn't require testing the wide variety of technical and regulatory stuff that the Technician now requires. Here's one idea:

At some time in the future, it is likely that the code test will disappear entirely. If nothing else is done, we'll wind up with a license structure that is a holdover from an earlier time, for no good reason. The idea of an easy beginner license should be part of a general rethinking of the license structure, looking forward.

One way to structure it would be to have a "Basic" license that gave a set of limited privileges on MF/HF/VHF/UHF. "Basics" could be limited to segments of the bands that are worldwide exclusive amateur, allowed relatively low power so that RF exposure questions are minimized, and perhaps limited as to modes. The idea is that beginners of all ages could get a license that gave them a good set of basic privileges to get started.

There would then be more comprehensive tests for licenses that gave full privileges.

Perhaps the bands could be divided into subbands by mode - CW only, digital only, and analog voice/image only. The Basic would grant limited access to parts of these subbands, and higher class endorseements (say, "Telegraph", "Digital", and "Phone") would grant full privileges on their respective segments. The tests for these endorsements would be specific to those modes.

The main point is for us to begin rethinking the license structure in advance, rather than after the fact.

> But, mark my words, eventually the Amateur Service
> will be FORCED to implement something similar to what I've suggested.

Forced by whom?

> Either that, or the FCC will eventually auction off or open up most of
> the virtually unused VHF/UHF frequencies(other than a few high-level
> repeaters, these bands are basically dedicated to a sea of unused
> closed repeaters), where they can be put to good use.

Around here, most repeaters are open.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6086. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 17:20:37 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD060E5.4F572358@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22277

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > The Taliban frowns upon heroin production.
>
> Frowns? I guess they must have been frowning all the way to the bank.

This is what I heard on CNN, Jim. They are afraid heroine
production will increase with the fall of the Taliban.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6087. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 18:04:04 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9qppuk028u0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22280

In article <MPG.163a5863728c31d2989685@nntp.mindspring.com>,
kf2ti@mindsspring.com says...
>
>In article <9qpdqq1pnf@enews3.newsguy.com>, krell1215@yahoo.com says...
>> In article <3BCFADFA.1D0AA307@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>>
>> >> I heard we sent $43M to Afghanistan last year to assist them with our
>> >> "war on drugs". Ironic, ain't it?
>> >
>> >The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
>> >the US market will again be flooded.
>>
>> Maybe, but they don't seem to be doing a whole lot to stop its export.
>>
>>
>
>Krell, as in Forbidden Planet, Leslie Nielson and Anne Francis. No
>instrumentalities. Oscar winner for best special effects Introducing
>Robbie the Robot.
>
>Came out about the same time an Signal Corpseman Leonard H Anderson
>wasn't using CW on amateur related bands with multi KW amplifiers to send
>his H&W traffic from a comfy office in Tokyo back to the folks in the US?
>
>That krell?

You forgot to mention that the movie was based on Shakespeare's The Tempest.
Thank you for recognizing it.

6088. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 18:08:51 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9qpq7j128u0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22281

In article <20011019122544.14723.00000153@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
>
>>Subject: Re: Where is my America going?
>>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>Date: 10/19/2001 9:43 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <3BD03BF9.B4B4A591@IEEE.org>
>
>>Didn't see that one but I did see one that was two panels. The first
>>panel had
>>a sword waving terrorist on a camel charging ahead shouting, "Holy
>>War!". The
>>second panel, he was retreating even faster shouting, "Holy $hit!"
>
> Almost the same thing was one proclaiming..."We will fight the infidels
to
>the last breath ! ! "
>
> In the next panel he's about 1 1/2 steps ahead of a cruise missle and
>panting like crazy!

My favorite is the one in which a protestor tries to burn an American flag and
sets himself on fire. The caption reads:

One American flag - $25
One can of gas - $2
One lighter - $0.50
Seeing some a$$hole set himself on fire trying to burn the flag - priceless.

6089. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 18:16:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019141645.27550.00000030@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 22278

In article <20011018173511.04539.00000052@nso-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>Is my observation not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?
>>
>>Delusional. You state personal opinions as if they were TRUTH.
>
>You just demonstrated a textbook example of the ad hominem fallacy, Len. You
>attacked the messenger, (me) rather than analyze the message. In fact, you
>completely snipped the message.

The messenger (you) stated an observation as "truth." Then
the messenger (you) asked why not state such a "truth."

It is not a truth just because the messenger (you) says it is
the truth. The delusion is thinking that whatever you think is
the truth.

>I'm not delusional. I simply posted some observations that you don't like. I
>think those observations are true - otherwise I wouldn't have posted them.
>>
>>Sorry, your godhood, they are just opinions.
>
>They are observations. If they are not true, why don't you show why they
>aren't?

The messenger (you) does not seem to understand when
something is proven NOT true. The excuses and misdirections
for this make for extraordinarily long postings. The delusional
cannot be dissuaded from their false path.

>It is interesting that in one post you call me an idiot and a few days later
>you call me a god.

"God" in that case was in sarcasm. The "idiot" part remains.

The delusional behave as idiots, sometimes as egocentric
idiots.

>..... But you don't want to deal with the
>reality of a ham who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
>Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!

Good heavens, NO. I don't have enough time to spend on pointing
out your errors, spin, and presentation of amateur mythology as
"truth" when it isn't anything more than mythology. I won't waste

anymore time answering endless "arguments" and misdirections or claims that knowing basics are "mastery," post-graduate or not. I've been around the industry and have shared information and knowledge both ways with all ranks, including PhDs, all who did not wave credentials as surrogates for "mastery" of "having mastered" something.

Stay rooted in the past and its mythology. That way is fhe safe way where you won't feel intimidated by anything or anybody since it has all happened. You can interpret the past safely and spin endless more spin on "why" it should always be so. Change is your enemy. Looking to a future where things have changed is your enemy. Fight them with all your might. There are others who will enter that future who will do things differently than you, embrace new technologies and accept them. Those of the future will not glorify the past and past technology as religious myths as is common among certain old-timers.

6090. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 18:16:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019141648.27550.00000031@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 22279

In article <20011018173511.04539.00000051@nso-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>The first of the "scientific" pocket calculators, the HP-35,
>>appeared on the market at the beginning of the 1970s.
>
>1974-5. It cost almost $400 and ate batteries fast. Classmate of mine had
>one.

The HP-35 was made and sold from early 1972 to 1975.
Advance word and select look-see was available in late
1971. Initial cost was $395 in single quantities, $225 in
quantities of 100.The HP-45 was better, cost $395, was
made 1973 to 1976. The HP-35 single-unit price was
reduced to $295 at the introduction of the '45.

While at Naval Air Development Center in 1972 I had a chance
to talk to the H-P representative that called on NADC. His
territory included Bell Labs, Holmdel, NJ. He was very happy
on selling 700 HP-35s to Bell Labs for use by their staff. They
would be given out FREE to staff that could use them. 700.

We can now compare the engineering expertise of Bell Labs
against a "classmate" and determine the apparent "faults" of
the HP-35?

RCA EASD arranged for a group purchase for engineering
staff in 1973, $225 each, no profit to the company. The three
of us who already had HP-35s were given a $170 rebate.
That was the least RCA could do since all three of us had to
endure all those nagging borrowers.

But, you will no doubt tell me your "classmate" knew more
than anyone and the slide-rule (3-place maximum accuracy
for a 12") was "better."

I still have my HP-35. It works on AC or my own battery
pack. Some of the faceplate lettering has worn off. I had an
HP-25 for two years, sold it to my Technician at Teledyne
for half price...Gene got copies of my microwave programs
written for it and had a ball doing accurate plottings with it
plus learning the basics of step programming. My HP-67
programmable (replacing the '25) still works except for the
card reader (no replacement parts six years ago), some of
the snap in the snap key switches is gone and the
faceplate lettering is likewise worn from use. There's only

one battery pack left ('35 and '67 took the same kind) and
the NiCad battery technology was never all that good in
early days, "smart chargers" not appearing until the very
late 1990s.

My present-day "slide rule" is the HP 32SII, uses non-
rechargeable coin batteries, has an LCD display, is
programmable and all the memories are "keep-alive" after
turn-off. I store constants like 2pi (for radian frequency
conversion) in it without fear of losing them. It handles
complex quantities of add, subtract, multiply, divide,
invesion and power as if they were scalar as well as the
polar-rectangular conversion existing since the '67. The
original batteries are still in there, it is light and rugged,
having survived two cross-country trips to the midwest
and three coastal trips to Washington and back. It cost
all of $60, a fair-trade price at Fry's, Office Depot, or
Office Max.

>>That was 30 years ago. The "younger engineers" (who
>>have not used a slide rule) are now bumping up against
>>the age of 50! :-)
>
>Most of us in the engineering classes of 1976 or so used slide rules because
>the early calculators were expensive and prone to battery failure at
>inopportune times. In a few years things changed to the point where a
>calculator cost less than a good slide rule. End of story.

NO. NO. NO. Not the end of the story, just the beginning.

The HP-25 (no C suffix), product life 1975 to 1978, was
$110, and featured 49-step programmability. You can see
five HP-25 programs on handling transmission lines in the
May 1978 issue of Ham Radio magazine. If you have a
TI-58 or TI-59 you can see similar programs in the following
article by another Anderson (late editor Jim Fisk's little
joke...:-)

The HP-25C was introduced in 1976 as the '25 with
continuous memory through the innovative (for its time)
use of a large capacitor to keep the CMOS memory
"alive" even when the battery case was opened, the
battery pack replaced. This became a standard feature
with all pocket calculators later. Cost was $125.

What was overlooked by many in 1972 was that all users
had 10 digits of accuracy for ALL functions, transcendental
and logarithms included. Not even a 1-foot cylindrical slide
rule could approach that versatility or accuracy.

Those that bitched about battery life in the early 70s had
to simply follow battery life and charging rules. At the time

the only cheap rechargeable battery was NiCad. Those
that turned on their calculator and forgot to turn it off until
the next morning wound up with bad battery packs. TS.
Each rechargeable-battery calculator had an AC adapter
for either charging or running the calculator, adapter part
of the price. An extra-cost item was a battery pack
holder for charging a second pack while the first was in
use.

Rockwell International had an inter-division cooperative
of shared calculator programs for the '67 to the '41 models
during the 1980s. In the 1970s the PPC or Personal
Programmable Calculator organization had a monthly
newsletter which featured shared programs, care,
explanations of programs, mods, and "secret" program
steps to add "letters" to the numeric display. I had some
contributions to this largest of all private US calculator
user organizations, including an explanation of perspective
plotting trigonometry that was included in the HP
Calculator Library. You will find nine other programs of
mine in that Library...but only a few library collections
exist since the Corvallis, OR, H-P division dropped the
Library over a decade ago.

>>>And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith
>>>chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone
>>>technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the
>>>impedance/admittance transformations that take place through
>>>a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies
>>>in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than
>>>in the precision of the calculations done with it.
>
>Yup. Just like Morse code and ham radio.

<insert at least a half hour of racous laughter at that non-analogue>

Try to explain why the Smith Chart is STILL IN USE IN THE
RF INDUSTRY. Try to explain why the Chart format is
still present in TODAY's network analyzer front panel
displays.

>>Vacuum tubes, slide rules, and steam engines...along
>>with morse code skill...are becoming artifacts which are
>>quaint, amusing relics of a bygone era.
>
>It is interesting to see how you demean and denigrate them. Says a lot. You
>want to tell us what we should like and dislike.

NO, NO, NO. *YOU* and other PCTAs have kept insisting
that everyone wanting to get into amateur radio MUST LIKE
WHAT YOU LIKE. You and other PCTAs think that amateur
radio is "all about morse code." You and other PCTAs think

that lack of skill or desire to use morse code is "dumbing
down." You and other PCTAs insist that morse code skill is
the epitome of amateur radio skill.

You and the PCTAs have been telling everyone else what to
be, what to like for years. You and the PCTAs simply don't
want to give anyone any freedom in modes.

>Vacuum tubes are still in wide use. Ask anyone with a CRT. Or a high-end
>stereo.

Wrong use of "wide" in the entire electronics world. I've
been using an oscilloscope with a flat screen. No CRT.
My 1982 Philips 50 MHz scope is gathering dust. It has
a vacuum CRT...but every other circuit in it is solid-state.

At home we have two "high-end" music systems (civilians
call them "stereo"). Actually three if the monaural one is
counted. All of them are solid-state.

Yes, all three TV sets use vacuum CRTs...which is the
extent of their vacuum tube use. After four decades of
design improvement, including computerized alignment of
the shadow mask and deflection, are relatively cheap.
Everything else in the TVs, the VCRs, the 200+ channel
digital cable decoder box is solid-state. Both high-end
PCs are all solid state except for their CRTs...and now
there are TFT flat screens for under $300 in 15-inch size.
It's kind of a toss-up whether we get TFTs for the
computers' present-day 17 inch monitors or budget for
a MEMS projection TV that is HDTV compatible.

My "old" Icom R-70 is all solid state unless you want to
endlessly repick nits about the gas-discharge numerical
display. It ain't vacuum in any way, sport.

>Most of the electricity generated in the modern world is the result of steam
>engines (turbines) driving alternators.

"Steam engine" in the modern verhacular refers to
RECIPROCATING engines...you know, like the old
locomotives called "choo-choos" by kids. Find me a
steam locomotive on the railways today that carries
freight and/or passengers. Only the rare tourist
attraction or an outdoor museum has them. There's
a railway museum just 4 miles from here in Griffith
Park, quaint and fun for kiddies, and an arboretum
that has a neat little kiddie ride with a miniature
reciprocating steam engine, wow! Two major railways
go through the eastern end of SFV here and neither
one has felt a steam choo-choo for years.

>Morse code still appears as a standard feature in modern HF/MF amateur radio
>transceivers and is still being used by today's hams.

Used by SOME. That is still NOT a reason for the license
test requiring tested manual-aural skill...not by the FCC and
now not considred meaningful by the IARU!

It's a losing argument full of holes that you present. You won't
give up because you don't know how to grow with the times
and your ego prevents you from captitulating in any way.

6091. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 19:15:57 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD07BED.D3DD7BF8@uci.edu>
Source record: 22282

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > The Taliban frowns upon heroin production.
> >
> > Frowns? I guess they must have been frowning all the way to the bank.
>
> This is what I heard on CNN, Jim. They are afraid heroine
> production will increase with the fall of the Taliban.

It might, if capitalism has anything to do with it. To tell you the truth Cecil, I'm not a big fan of the war on drugs either. The best way to stop foreign countries from selling drugs to the US would be for the US to stop buying them.

The point was simply that we have been sending money to folks which have used that money to fund their terrorist activities. Get it?

6092. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 19:35:24 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD0807C.814E560C@uci.edu>
Source record: 22284

October 2001

Taliban supreme leader, Mullah Mohammed Omar: "The situation where we are now, there are two things: either death or victory. To those who are fighting and bombarding us, they should understand the Afghan man is a fighter willing to die fo jihad."

June 1944

General George S. Patton"
"I want you to remember that no bastard ever won a war by dying for his country. He won it by making the other poor dumb bastard die for his country."

6093. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 20:04:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD08756.A8695AA0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22285

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The point was simply that we have been sending money to folks which have
> used that money to fund their terrorist activities. Get it?

Reckon most of the money financing the terrorists came originally from
the US either directly or indirectly involved with oil?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6094. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 20:07:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD0881C.BFCE5A76@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22286

Jim Kelley wrote:
> General George S. Patton"
> "I want you to remember that no bastard ever won a war by dying for his
> country. He won it by making the other poor dumb bastard die for his
> country."

He also said: Give me some West Point officers and I will win some
battles. Give me some Texas Aggie officers and I will win the war. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6095. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 20:16:09 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD08A09.E845B9C0@uci.edu>
Source record: 22287

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The point was simply that we have been sending money to folks which have
> > used that money to fund their terrorist activities. Get it?
>
> Reckon most of the money financing the terrorists came originally from
> the US either directly or indirectly involved with oil?

At least we got something in exchange for the oil money. What did we
get in exchange for the $43M "war on drugs" money - aside from a well
armed and well funded Al Quida network?

6096. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 20:23:33 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD08BC5.E09A5A45@uci.edu>
Source record: 22289

CAM wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > General George S. Patton"
> > "I want you to remember that no bastard ever won a war by dying for his
> > country. He won it by making the other poor dumb bastard die for his
> > country."

> He also said: Give me some West Point officers and I will win some
> battles. Give me some Texas Aggie officers and I will win the war. :-)

What's that smell!?

6097. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-19 20:29:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011019162949.29362.00000095@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 22288

In article <87vghcw44d.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>, Rich McAllister K6RFM
<rfm@pensfa.org> writes:

>> The copyright on the format is still in effect. Copyrights last
>> for "life plus 50" or fifty years after the author's death.
>
>Yeah, but the old "sweat of the brow" theory of copyright
>is gone. As long as we can argue weu derived the
>graph paper from the theory, we're cool.

Well, some don't think copyrights "exist," but the laws on
copyrights are still in effect. As far as I know, Kay Electric
has the copyright on the Smith Chart as a chart although
someone else may have bought it (not sure). Ask Roy
Lewallen on his copyright of EZNEC...he has rightfully
complained of pirated copies of his antenna analysis CD
floating around hamventions.

6098. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 00:09:29 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110191609.7090e773@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22292

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BCFAE45.A02A4668@IEEE.org>...
> K0HB wrote:
> > Most (all?) users of illegal drugs voluntarily consume those drugs, knowing
> > full well the medical risks involved, but only 19 persons volunteered to die
> > in the 4 aircraft which attacked our nation on 9/11/2001.
>
> There is some evidence that only the pilots knew they were going to die.

Thank you for reinforcing my point.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6099. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:11:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011019211115.22806.00000203@nso-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 22293

In article <3bcf6bdc_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>> In view of the events of 9/11, it makes sense to take similar, if much
>> less drastic precautions. It would be foolhardy *not* to "racially
>> profile" Arabs or persons with Arab features when boarding
>> commercial airliners, entering certain public buildings, or accessing
>> any secure facility which would be a likely target. And I'm not talking
>> about rounding them up into retention camps or anything like that --
>> just using reasonable, rational security precautions that are not
>> intended to, or have the effect of, limiting their rights as American
>> citizens or legal residents of the United States.
>>
>
>What about the foreigner or US Citizen (WASP) who looks just like a good guy
>and is sympathetic to the cause of a terrorist? How can we pinpoint them?

Kim:

They have always been among us, and they always will be. I don't think
very many people would have taken a look at Timothy Mc Veigh in a
bar and said, "I think he's going to blow up a federal building with a
Ryder truck full of fertilizer and racing fuel." However, the price of
freedom is eternal vigilance, and now more than ever, we have to keep
our guard up as best we can, while still managing to go about the
normal business of our daily lives.

>> We have all now seen what committed, fanatic terrorists can do, and
>> we know where this terror comes from. We must now deal with it
>> the best we can, and this will certainly require that specific people be
>> more closely examined, based entirely on where they came from and
>> what they look like. Call it racial profiling, or give it any
>politically-
>> correct label that suits your pacifist purposes, but I, as an American
>> concerned with the security of my country and the safety of ALL
>> of it's citizens and legal residents, just think it makes simple,
>> common sense.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>What about the white folks who might be terrorists? How could we tell them?

Asked and answered. However, being "politically correct" with regard to our
security will not help us to ensure our security. We cannot fail to closely
examine the actions of certain individuals just because they look like the
people who committed the terrorist acts of Sept. 11. I know that I, for one,

will never look at a person of Arab descent the same way, but that doesn't mean I'm assuming the worst about them. I'm just going to be more alert to anything they may be doing which seems out of the ordinary. And that applies to non-Arab looking people as well.

73 de Larry, K3LT

6100. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:33:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd0e0ba_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22297

The Doublespeak seems to be working on Dick. Of course, he is not the only one it is working on.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9qntk9$7p5$0@208.207.71.153...
>
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> >
> > > Far more people die from illegal drugs EACH YEAR than were killed in
> > > the WTC attack.
> >
> > We have a winner in the LWATAOTYC (Lame, Weak, And Tortured Analogy Of
> > The Year Contest)!!!!!!!
> >
> > Most (all?) users of illegal drugs voluntarily consume those drugs, knowing
> > full well the medical risks involved, but only 19 persons volunteered to die
> > in the 4 aircraft which attacked our nation on 9/11/2001.
>
>
> But that was the minor gaffe in that post. He then said:
>
> >>It is absolutely
> >>foolish to legalize something that causes far more harm to this
> >>country then the threat you're
> >>trying to defeat.
>
> showing that he has virtually no understanding of what happened Sept 11
> nor of the current war, NOR the war on drugs. On Sept 11 the terrorists
> mounted a crudely coordinated attack where they planned to do far more
> damage than would up being done, severe as it was. And that was just
> another assault of many in a war in which the "threat we're trying to
> defeat" is to their intent to eradicate the entire USA along with
> numerous other "decadent" and "satanic" nations so that the world will
> be free to practice pure Islam. What a load of nonsense. A pair of
> loads, from both the Islamic terrorists and from Stewart.

6101. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:38:33 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd0e0bb$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22298

Dick, Dick, Dick. Do you think that the *only* terrorists there are in this world are bin Laden et al? Do you *really* think that it's going to stop at him? Do you *honestly* think that?

You see, some of us are looking well beyond the noses on our faces. I'll ask you one more time: Is the KKK a terrorist organization? How 'bout the Posse Comatatus(sp)? How 'bout the Nazi Party (and the many derivatives thereof)? How 'bout the Citizens in Solidarity with the People of El Salvador (once labeled a terrorist organization)? Give us *your* list of terrorists once the bin Laden gang is taken care of. You've been told, I've been told, we've all been told that terrorism is going to be stomped out--once and for all. Do we stomp it out just in everyone else's borders, or do we do ours as well?

Just some clarifications I am looking for--if you just happen to have thought beyone the nose on *your* face.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9qnumeald0@208.207.71.153...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
>
>
> > What about the white folks who might be terrorists? How could we tell
them?
>
>
>
> I guess you missed it (no surprise). The FBI published a list of
> persons wanted in connection with the Sept 11 attacks, along with
> photos. Not a single one was a white folk.

6102. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:41:15 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd0e0bd_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22299

Oh goodness! Don't even go there. Anthrax is bad enough--but if it goes beyond that we're all in trouble...big trouble. And, the privacy issue won't even matter at that point...

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3BCFAD5D.EC4FD7D0@IEEE.org...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > Yeah but, see Dwight, no one wants to deal with reality. It's much easier
> > to focus on the WTC incident because "it looks so big" because it was. It
> > was a big event in a very short few minutes.
>
> I just saw on TV where 90,000 people die each year from staph infections.
> So which is the biggest threat? Anthrax or Staph?
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6103. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:43:13 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <87pu7jqe6m.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>
Source record: 22294

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> In article <87vghcw44d.fsf@tellus.pensfa.org>, Rich McAllister K6RFM
> <rfm@pensfa.org> writes:
>
> >> The copyright on the format is still in effect. Copyrights last
> >> for "life plus 50" or fifty years after the author's death.
> > >
> > >Yeah, but the old "sweat of the brow" theory of copyright
> > >is gone. As long as we can argue weu derived the
> > >graph paper from the theory, we're cool.
> >
> > Well, some don't think copyrights "exist," but the laws on
> > copyrights are still in effect.

On the other hand, some seem to think that holding a copyright gives them absolute control over any use of anything even vaguely like their creation; they're also wrong.

> As far as I know, Kay Electric
> has the copyright on the Smith Chart as a chart although
> someone else may have bought it (not sure).

At best they could claim copyright on the exact layout of their particular version of the chart -- where the minor and major ticks are in the scales, the exact words, exactly where the various curves get left off when they get too close together at the right hand side, etc. Basic ideas -- like "plot constant resistance and reactance curves on a polar coordinate system where the radius is the SWR ratio and the angle is the phase delay" aren't copyrightable. They are often patentable, but in this case the patent has expired.

> Ask Roy
> Lewallen on his copyright of EZNEC...he has rightfully
> complained of pirated copies of his antenna analysis CD
> floating around hamventions.

But I'm sure he doesn't think his copyright prevents other people from writing their own antenna programs and selling them, even if they turn out to give the same answers. If it had exactly the same screen displays, input formats, etc. he might have a case even if the actual code was different (this is the "look and feel" copyright issue Apple tried to sue Microsoft over.)

Rich

6104. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:44:03 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <9qqkt3$gd1$0@216.155.32.163>
Source record: 22295

Kim:

What you fail to recognize is the fact that while crime is an ongoing problem in our country, we are also actively engaged in the ongoing response to crime -- through the operation of our criminal justice system. The present focus on the 9/11 incidents is different because this is something relatively unusual in the American experience -- a direct attack on our homeland with a high cost in human lives and economic impact. Every country has it's internal problems, such as crime, and countries that are equipped to deal with them do so through the application of law. The only way to deal with an attack of the 9/11 magnitude is to go to war against the people who caused it, which we have now done. And, as we have an ongoing response to crime in place and operating, we will now have to establish an ongoing response to terrorism as well, through the Office of Homeland Defense created by President Bush, and led by former PA Gov. Tom Ridge.

73 de Larry, K3LT

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Yeah but, see Dwight, no one wants to deal with reality. It's much easier
> to focus on the WTC incident because "it looks so big" because it was. It
> was a big event in a very short few minutes.
>
> Criminal acts in this country are a slow process, with one or two hit here,
> one or two hit there, and one must wait a whole year before the outcome is
> realized. You know how we Americans are about waiting around. ;)
>
> --
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3BCE2C1C.DE3887A5@sccoast.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > Then, you had better start asking for
> > > higher taxes, because the common criminal
> > > on the street is more harm to your family
> > > than anything else. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > An excellent point, Kim. When it comes to our own personal risk of
> > death or injury, one must remember there are an average of 1,600,000
> > violent crimes in this country every single year, including 18,000

> > murders (almost 4 times the number killed in the WTC attack). For that
> > matter, there are many risks in our lives - there are average of
> > 40,000 people killed in automobile accidents, 25,000 killed in home
> > accidents, and 4,000 people killed in property fires, every single
> > year. So, if we're going to be concerned about our own safety in this
> > country, a single instance of terrorism, like the WTC attack, should
> > not be the main focal point of that concern.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart

6105. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 01:45:12 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd0e0bf_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22300

ROFLMAO....!!!!!!

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BD0263E.25F35B19@IEEE.org...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > The Taliban frowns upon heroin production. With them out of the way,
> > > the US market will again be flooded.
> >
> > Most of ours comes from Asia, Cecil.
>
> Afghansitan *is* in Asia.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6106. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 02:00:03 UTC
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <9qqlr3iud0@216.155.32.163>
Source record: 22296

Dick:

I think the answer to the cost of fighting the War on Drugs is a simple one.

1.) Make all presently illegal "recreational" drugs (marijuana, cocaine, heroin, etc.) perfectly legal to possess and use.

2.) Allow companies, such as the present tobacco companies, to manufacture and sell such drugs under strict federal control and levy high taxes on them.

3.) Provide absolutely NO government support for the "victims" of the legal use of such drugs. No medical care, no rehabilitation, no protection from being fired, NOTHING. Create a situation where the users of recreational drugs are free to do so, but must accept 100% of the consequences of their actions every step of the way. The government will use the tax revenue gained from the sale of "legal" recreational drugs to build more Tomahawk missiles, buy more F-22A Raptors, increase pay and benefits for the military, build roads, bridges, and tunnels, and anything except provide a single penny to the "victims" of legal drug use, their families, or anyone connected with them.

4.) Pass laws placing 100% of the negative consequences of "legal" recreational drug use squarely on the shoulders of the users, REGARDLESS of any mitigating circumstances. You get rear-ended by another non-drug using driver, and test positive for any "legal" recreational drug -- YOU are 100% at fault and pay 100% of the damages out of your pocket.

Now, before you think about "surrendering" the war on drugs, think about how much it would cost the average, non-drug using driver to get a basic liability auto insurance policy under the above conditons! Believe me -- it costs far less for us to fight the War on Drugs than it would cost us not to!

73 de Larry, K3LT

Richard McCollum wrote:

> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

> Tell you one thing, if I ran a government spending 34 bil on the DEA alone
> and probably close to 100 overall and this is what I had to show for it,
> heads would roll.
>
> As far as the War on Drugs, seems like a good time to think about surrender
> terms.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

6107. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 02:13:27 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd0e0c0_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22351

What you fail to recognize, Larry, is that this "war on terrorism" was created under extreme measures and is sure to fail--maybe not in terms of snuffing out Al Queda and bi Laden et al but, certainly in terms of snuffing out terrorism.

I don't see how any of this "stuff" that's been going on now for a little over a month can sustain itself, either in interest from the American people, save whatever political arguments/actions there end up being over all of this. Our military will continue on and we'll end up with oh, maybe, once every-other-day-or-two updates from the media on what they did.

I don't think it's any more major than that. I look at *no one* any more differently today than I did, and I really think all this reactionary stuff that's going borders on ridiculous. The first couple of days after the incident I admit I was quite concerned. But, then I just thought about it and decided that there's not a whole hell of a lot I can do about it--and I sure as all hell don't want to spend waking moments on what ifs. The terrorists that struck the WTC (in my opinion) never in a million years thought it would collapse those two buildings. The anthrax "scare" that's going on would surprise me to hear if it is connected in any way to this particular group/incident.

There's just a lot of sickos running around in our world, your world, my world, and the world. It's a part of life, just like the fact that we now deal with fear of killing in our schools, we will learn that terrorism has a lot easier entry as we become a more global world.

By the way, I don't like the idea of the Homeland Defense office. We've got CIA, FBI, BATF, NIS, and a whole bunch of other alphabets running around already. I feel no safer with a Homeland Defense office than I do with any of the others.

Our greatest challenge in this free land, the wonderful world of the United States of America, is to learn that freedom has a price attached to it that we must *all* realize and be willing to confront. Added to that is the challenge that we must realize that the sickos in this world get far more than their 15 seconds of fame; and we need not lend them any more time than they deserve.

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll" <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote in message
news:9qqkt3$gd1$0@216.155.32.163...
> Kim:
>
> What you fail to recognize is the fact that while crime is an ongoing

> problem in our country, we are also actively engaged in the ongoing
> response to crime -- through the operation of our criminal justice
> system. The present focus on the 9/11 incidents is different because
> this is something relatively unusual in the American experience -- a
> direct attack on our homeland with a high cost in human lives and
> economic impact. Every country has it's internal problems, such as
> crime, and countries that are equipped to deal with them do so through
> the application of law. The only way to deal with an attack of the
> 9/11 magnitude is to go to war against the people who caused it, which
> we have now done. And, as we have an ongoing response to crime in
> place and operating, we will now have to establish an ongoing response
> to terrorism as well, through the Office of Homeland Defense created
> by President Bush, and led by former PA Gov. Tom Ridge.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Yeah but, see Dwight, no one wants to deal with reality. It's much
easier
> > to focus on the WTC incident because "it looks so big" because it was.
It
> > was a big event in a very short few minutes.
> >
> > Criminal acts in this country are a slow process, with one or two hit
here,
> > one or two hit there, and one must wait a whole year before the outcome
is
> > realized. You know how we Americans are about waiting around. ;)
> >
> > --
> > 73 de W5TIT - Kim
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> > news:3BCE2C1C.DE3887A5@sccoast.net...
> > > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Then, you had better start asking for
> > > > higher taxes, because the common criminal
> > > > on the street is more harm to your family
> > > > than anything else. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > An excellent point, Kim. When it comes to our own personal risk of
> > > death or injury, one must remember there are an average of 1,600,000
> > > violent crimes in this country every single year, including 18,000
> > > murders (almost 4 times the number killed in the WTC attack). For that
> > > matter, there are many risks in our lives - there are average of
> > > 40,000 people killed in automobile accidents, 25,000 killed in home
> > > accidents, and 4,000 people killed in property fires, every single

> > > year. So, if we're going to be concerned about our own safety in this
> > > country, a single instance of terrorism, like the WTC attack, should
> > > not be the main focal point of that concern.
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart

6108. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 02:50:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SD5A7.146182$W8.3690925@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22352

"Larry Roll" <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote in message
news:9qqlr3iud0@216.155.32.163...
> Dick:
>
> I think the answer to the cost of fighting the War on Drugs is a
> simple one.
>
> 1.) Make all presently illegal "recreational" drugs (marijuana,
> cocaine, heroin, etc.) perfectly legal to possess and use.
>
> 2.) Allow companies, such as the present tobacco companies, to
> manufacture and sell such drugs under strict federal control and
> levy high taxes on them.
>
> 3.) Provide absolutely NO government support for the "victims" of
> the legal use of such drugs. No medical care, no rehabilitation,
> no protection from being fired, NOTHING. Create a situation where
> the users of recreational drugs are free to do so, but must accept
> 100% of the consequences of their actions every step of the way.
> The government will use the tax revenue gained from the sale of
> "legal" recreational drugs to build more Tomahawk missiles, buy
> more F-22A Raptors, increase pay and benefits for the military,
> build roads, bridges, and tunnels, and anything except provide a
> single penny to the "victims" of legal drug use, their families,
> or anyone connected with them.
>
> 4.) Pass laws placing 100% of the negative consequences of "legal"
> recreational drug use squarely on the shoulders of the users,
> REGARDLESS of any mitigating circumstances. You get rear-ended
> by another non-drug using driver, and test positive for any "legal"
> recreational drug -- YOU are 100% at fault and pay 100% of the
> damages out of your pocket.
>
> Now, before you think about "surrendering" the war on drugs, think
> about how much it would cost the average, non-drug using driver to
> get a basic liability auto insurance policy under the above
> conditons! Believe me -- it costs far less for us to fight the
> War on Drugs than it would cost us not to!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

I am not particularly worried about the addicts either; like the poor they will always be with you and they will be with you in general until they face the consequences of their own actions. Having dealt with a few drunks I have no particular urge to enable the practice. There are, however and in contrast to Hillary, limits to the effectiveness of the judicial process. In your state and mine there are about 1% of drivers, all known unto DMV, who can be predicted to be involved in a serious death/maiming within 5 years with an error rate attributable only to the grace of God.

But the Drug War has victims outside of the addict. We have prisons full of low-level dealers who if the studies are correct, never made minimum wage doing it. I am not particularly interested in supporting them at about 30k a pop per year plus the rent on a $100k cell unless there is no alternative. There is, as they say, no cure for stupidity but one questions just who is being stupid.

What has happened though are at least three really bad effects:

1) Drug running supports everyone from FARC to the IRA including the Taliban and more than likely ben Laden. My initial suggestion was to take the profit out of it. This implies some form of government intervention up to and including buying enough to make a pure and known product available at a price that bites but undercuts the present cartels. Taxing is fine but in my lifetime one in three bottles of Canadian Club was actually bootlegged. Until the alcohol tax became farcical by sheer inflation old Snuffy Smith ran his still.

2) It has toppled governments and is in the process of toppling more worldwide. This includes Mexico and Columbia for sure but has been a factor in a number of others. Just as with pirates it is the duty of a country that harbors terrorists and druggies to stop them and deliver them up. When a country won't (as in the present case) or can't it is the privilege of others to repair this lack of sovereign control. Nothing new about that: Afghanistan and the Barbary pirates are one and the same although there is some evidence that the US simply lacked the hard money to buy the pirates off and took up shooting. But I am not sure I want to spend the lives and money to police the world.

3) Just as it did in the 20s prohibition has corrupted every police operation in the US and a good number of related government institutions. There is just too much money involved. Where they are not directly on the take, the gendarmes are looking for something to confiscate to buy new gizwidgets for the department.

Not to mention that a lot of innocents are killed in drug wars, drive bys, etc as gangs fight for turf and a lot of things are stolen to pay for the drugs. Again, money begets violence.

No, I really give rat tits for the addicts so long as we can keep them from threatening public health and safety. But I am really worried about corruption and financing sociopaths.

Dick N&#65533;BK

6109. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 03:13:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BD0DDA9.A04202B5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 22353

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20011018173511.04539.00000052@nso-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>>Is my observation not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?
> >>
> >>Delusional. You state personal opinions as if they were TRUTH.
> >
> >You just demonstrated a textbook example of the ad hominem fallacy, Len. You
> >attacked the messenger, (me) rather than analyze the message. In fact, you
> >completely snipped the message.
>
> The messenger (you) stated an observation as "truth." Then
> the messenger (you) asked why not state such a "truth."
>
> It is not a truth just because the messenger (you) says it is
> the truth. The delusion is thinking that whatever you think is
> the truth.

If an observation happens to coincide with truth and the anti-messenger
(you) disagrees with it, the anti-messenger (still you) can't simply
dismiss the statement out of hand.

> >I'm not delusional. I simply posted some observations that you don't like. I
> >think those observations are true - otherwise I wouldn't have posted them.
> >>
> >>Sorry, your godhood, they are just opinions.
> >
> >They are observations. If they are not true, why don't you show why they
> >aren't?
>
> The messenger (you) does not seem to understand when
> something is proven NOT true. The excuses and misdirections
> for this make for extraordinarily long postings. The delusional
> cannot be dissuaded from their false path.

You mean like some guy with a ham radio wannabe complex who persists in
ranting about removal of morse code testing? That kind of false path
delusional?

> >It is interesting that in one post you call me an idiot and a few days later
> >you call me a god.
>
> "God" in that case was in sarcasm. The "idiot" part remains.

Good old, Mr. Wilson...

> The delusional behave as idiots, sometimes as egocentric
> idiots.

Let me tilt this mirror so you can get a look at yourself, Len.

> >..... But you don't want to deal with the
> >reality of a ham who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
> >Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!
>
> Good heavens, NO. I don't have enough time to spend on pointing
> out your errors, spin, and presentation of amateur mythology as
> "truth" when it isn't anything more than mythology. I won't waste
> anymore time answering endless "arguments" and misdirections
> or claims that knowing basics are "mastery," post-graduate or
> not. I've been around the industry and have shared information
> and knowledge both ways with all ranks, including PhDs, all who
> did not wave credentials as surrogates for "mastery" of "having
> mastered" something.

Judging from the length and number of your posts here, you should have
plenty of time, Len.

> Stay rooted in the past and its mythology. That way is fhe
> safe way where you won't feel intimidated by anything or
> anybody since it has all happened. You can interpret the past
> safely and spin endless more spin on "why" it should always
> be so. Change is your enemy. Looking to a future where
> things have changed is your enemy. Fight them with all your
> might. There are others who will enter that future who will do
> things differently than you, embrace new technologies and
> accept them. Those of the future will not glorify the past and
> past technology as religious myths as is common among
> certain old-timers.

You can look to the future all you like, Len. Change seems to be your
friend. Take your self appointed "advocate for change" role in
attempting to remove morse testing from a radio service in which you are
not remotely involved as an extreme example. You've often accused those
who hold some amateur radio traditions dear of living in the past.
I think you have it screwed up. We licensed hams are living in the
present--enjoying amateur radio daily or weekly. You can continue to
live in the future, where you might possibly become an amateur radio op
some day.

Dave K8MN

6110. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 05:42:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9qr2rb$lr1$0@208.207.71.148>
Source record: 22354

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Oh goodness! Don't even go there. Anthrax is bad enough--but if it goes
> beyond that we're all in trouble...big trouble. And, the privacy issue
> won't even matter at that point...

GAWD! The light finally went on!

6111. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 09:34:12 UTC
From: stewartx@sccoast.net
Message-ID: <6be98d7d.0110200134.2f826960@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22355

Jack Mehoff wrote...
>
> >lenover21 @aol.com says...
> >
> > Jack Mehoff writes:
>
> > > Whelp Dwight, I bid you ado, as they have
> > > just activated the 1179th Deployment Support
> > > Battalion out of Fort Hamilton and as they
> > > saying goes, where they go I go.
> >
> > Sorry, fake. The 1179th is a BRIGADE, not a
> > Battalion.
> >
> > Anyone who has been in the US Army knows damn
> > well there is a difference in size and would
> > NOT confuse "battalion" with "brigade."
>
> Absolutely of course there is a difference, and
> there certainly is an ARMY 1179th DSBrigade.
>
> However, the unit that was activated was the Army
> Reserve 1179th DSBrigade.

If the unit activated is a brigade as you say in the sentence directly above this, why did you mistakenly say "battalion" in your response to me (first paragraph above)? Anyone in the Army would know there is a significant difference between a battalion and a brigrade. And certainly anyone actually in that unit would know it is a brigrade, not a battalion. A mistake like that is certainly enough to cause people to wonder if you're telling the truth.

> (snip) Let's see what some experts
> have to say shall we??
>
> From CNN
>
> (snip)
>
> From Fox News
>
> (snip)

I don't care what the "experts" at CNN and Fox News have to say. When it comes to the military, the military is the expert. And the

Army's web site at...

http://dsc.mtmc.army.mil/AboutDSC/WARTRACED.htm

... said the Army RESERVE unit activated (1179th DSB) is a brigade, not a battalion. Again, you should know that is you're actually in that unit.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6112. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 10:53:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011020065304.12091.00000126@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 22356

In article <20011018201219.29362.00000045@nso-bk.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>The maximum-ever total US ARS licensing peaked in March, six
>months ago. The trend as been a continual, small loss in total
>numbers since March.

Total US ham licenses, from AH0A site:

May 2000: 677,348
March 2001: 684,359 (growth of 7010 from May 2000)
September 2001: 682,933 (drop of 1426 from March 2001)

>Since 1998, the growth in total US ARS licensees has been
>due to the no-code-test Technician class, roughly 10:1 more
>Techs v. all other classes combined. This can be seen by
>the un-spun AH0A tabulations up to ULS changeover.

How do you figure?

Per AH0A:

In January 1998, the number of Techs was 180,665
In July 1999, the last time AH0A shows Techs and Tech Pluses separately, number of Techs was 198,467

But unlike all other classes, none of the Tech licenses back then expired.
>
>The "standard argument" that "the numbers aren't changing"
>is either wishful thinking or some spin saying "extras grew
>the most" (while not demonstrating they are class changes
>from so-called "lower" classes).

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the dates listed. They are NOT from the AH0A site. Note that it is still possible to separate Tech Pluses from Techs:

These numbers do NOT overcount expirations.

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

Total Novice/Tech/Tech Plus: 383,583
Total General/Advanced/Extra: 291,209

As of October 17, 2001:

Novice - 40,893 (decrease of 8,436)
Tech - 231,497 (increase of 26,103)
Tech Plus - 87,716 (decrease of 41,144)
General - 138,164 (increase of 25,487)
Advanced - 86,915 (decrease of 12,867)
Extra - 97,346 (increase of 18,516)
Total - 682,531 (increase of 7,739)

Total Novice/Tech/Tech Plus: 360,106
Total General/Advanced/Extra: 322,425

>The AH0A tabulations confuse the issue by combining the
>code-tested Tech-Plus with no-code Techs after April 15th
>restructuring.

Yup. But there are other sources of the data that keep them separate.

There is also the issue of the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as Techs.

>Up to that point in time, the separate tabulations
>by class showed that growth in ARS licensees was all due to
>the no-code-test Techs.

"All"?? Nope.

Per AH0A, from June 1997 to July 1999, the number of Extras grew from 73,737 to 75,166. That's a growth of 1429, or about 2%. The Sep 2001 number of Extras per AH0A stands at 97,227. The trend in the Extra and General classes has been steadily upwards since April of 2000.

>The combined Tech tabulations now
>indicate a fall-off of the "Tech-Tech" numbers which is mostly
>due to Tech-Plusses "upgrading."

That is partly true. There is also the effect of Technician expirations. For the time period March 2001 to September 2001, AH0A lists 9724 Tech renewals, and 5225 Tech expirations. There were also 1043 Tech renewals in the grace period, so the corrected numbers are 10,767 Tech renewals and 4182 Tech expirations in that time period. That's 72% renewal rate for Techs.

>There are no significant
>trends in the non-renewal of no-code Techs despite all the
>previous assurances that their numbers will drop.
>
72% have renewed so far.

Note that the number of expired Techs is 4182, but the decline in total ARS licenses is only 1426.

N2EY

6113. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 13:05:17 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hEeA7.401428$si5.9560397@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22357
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

SANS is a computer security group. System Administration, Networking, and
Security Institute.

www.SANS.org

Kent, K9EZ

"MercenaryPGP" <fbyvgba-QRYRGR@cnpvsvp-GUVF.arg> wrote in message
news:6j61ttc1f7sau8fucn59fajoe4f11ucn1a@4ax.com...
> N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
> > CNN NETWORKS:
> >
> >Calling all ham radio operators
> >
> >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> >
> >By Dan Verton
> >
> >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> >Networking and Security (SANS)
> >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> >operators
>
> I do not know who SANS is, but there is always ARES (Amateur Radio
> Emergency Services) that a person can join to work emergencies.

6114. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 13:05:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd17b8f_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22360

I assure you it is not your light, Dick.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9qr2rb$lr1$0@208.207.71.148...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Oh goodness! Don't even go there. Anthrax is bad enough--but if it
goes
> > beyond that we're all in trouble...big trouble. And, the privacy issue
> > won't even matter at that point...
>
>
>
> GAWD! The light finally went on!

6115. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 13:07:32 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd17b90_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22361

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:6be98d7d.0110200134.2f826960@posting.google.com...
> Jack Mehoff wrote...
> >
> > >lenover21 @aol.com says...
> > >
> > > Jack Mehoff writes:
> >
> > > > Whelp Dwight, I bid you ado, as they have
> > > > just activated the 1179th Deployment Support
> > > > Battalion out of Fort Hamilton and as they
> > > > saying goes, where they go I go.
> > >
> > > Sorry, fake. The 1179th is a BRIGADE, not a
> > > Battalion.
> > >
> > > Anyone who has been in the US Army knows damn
> > > well there is a difference in size and would
> > > NOT confuse "battalion" with "brigade."
> >
> > Absolutely of course there is a difference, and
> > there certainly is an ARMY 1179th DSBrigade.
> >
> > However, the unit that was activated was the Army
> > Reserve 1179th DSBrigade.
>
>
> If the unit activated is a brigade as you say in the sentence
> directly above this, why did you mistakenly say "battalion" in your
> response to me (first paragraph above)? Anyone in the Army would know
> there is a significant difference between a battalion and a brigrade.
> And certainly anyone actually in that unit would know it is a
> brigrade, not a battalion. A mistake like that is certainly enough to
> cause people to wonder if you're telling the truth.
>

That's kinda funny. I don't know that I've ever paid so much attention as
to notice whether you make mistakes, Dick. But I *always* wonder if you
tell the truth.

Kim W5TIT

>
> > (snip) Let's see what some experts
> > have to say shall we??

> >
> > From CNN
> >
> > (snip)
> >
> > From Fox News
> >
> > (snip)
>
>
> I don't care what the "experts" at CNN and Fox News have to say.
> When it comes to the military, the military is the expert. And the
> Army's web site at...
>
>
> http://dsc.mtmc.army.mil/AboutDSC/WARTRACED.htm
>
>
> ... said the Army RESERVE unit activated (1179th DSB) is a brigade,
> not a battalion. Again, you should know that is you're actually in
> that unit.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6116. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 13:13:57 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd17b91_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22359

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011020065304.12091.00000126@nso-mb.aol.com...
> In article <20011018201219.29362.00000045@nso-bk.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >The maximum-ever total US ARS licensing peaked in March, six
> >months ago. The trend as been a continual, small loss in total
> >numbers since March.
>
> Total US ham licenses, from AH0A site:
>
> May 2000: 677,348
> March 2001: 684,359 (growth of 7010 from May 2000)
> September 2001: 682,933 (drop of 1426 from March 2001)
>

This *might* be just a little tipped. There's two months lacking between May and March 2001 (doubt it would have been enough to tilt it the other way, but still). The other thing is, what was the total in September 2000 to September 2001. That evens out the numbers (probably the same trending though). I don't think it's ever really a surprise that the numbers of new hams goes up through the Fall and Winter months, nor that there's a drop in new hams during the Spring and Summer months. Even here in Texas, Fall and Winter see less participation in "hamming" than in the Spring and Summer.

Another thing I'll throw out (just as a hrrumpphh) is that I think, many times, when folks post things that seem to predict and prove the decline in ham radio--it is to somehow lament and enforce the opinion that restructuring did no good so why have done it? The same argument could be made that since restructuring did no good, it also did not harm then, did it? :)

Kim W5TIT

6117. Steve Anderson (militia net) emulators on ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 13:17:41 UTC
From: K Bayyer <kb40proc@uwisc.madison.edu>
Message-ID: <VPeA7.4509$SP.511836@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Want to hear some Steve Anderson "6.900 UPR"
emulators on the high frequency ham radio bands?
Listen in to the Eastern United Patriots Network on
3.860 mHz at 8:00 PM on Monday Tuesday, Thursday
and Saturday nights. Ham radio Lower Sideband
3.860 mHz. Three people on there stand out as quite unbalanced in
the head. True candidates for the mental health unit.
One guy in Pa in particul;ar sounds just like he needs Prozac
saying all kinds of nasty things about
law enforcement and the "gubumint" and other such stuff.
Hopefully the FCC and the FBI are monitoring this group
like they did Anderson's looney broadcsats too.

6118. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 16:20:31 UTC
From: Jack Mehoff - W0LHA <lennie_is_good@nothing.urp>
Message-ID: <MPG.163bb478fcd72ab5989682@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22362

In article <6be98d7d.0110200134.2f826960@posting.google.com>,
stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> Jack Mehoff wrote...
> >
> > >lenover21 @aol.com says...
> > >
> > > Jack Mehoff writes:
> >
> > > > Whelp Dwight, I bid you ado, as they have
> > > > just activated the 1179th Deployment Support
> > > > Battalion out of Fort Hamilton and as they
> > > > saying goes, where they go I go.
> > >
> > > Sorry, fake. The 1179th is a BRIGADE, not a
> > > Battalion.
> > >
> > > Anyone who has been in the US Army knows damn
> > > well there is a difference in size and would
> > > NOT confuse "battalion" with "brigade."
> >
> > Absolutely of course there is a difference, and
> > there certainly is an ARMY 1179th DSBrigade.
> >
> > However, the unit that was activated was the Army
> > Reserve 1179th DSBrigade.
>
>
> If the unit activated is a brigade as you say in the sentence
> directly above this, why did you mistakenly say "battalion" in your
> response to me (first paragraph above)? Anyone in the Army would know
> there is a significant difference between a battalion and a brigrade.
> And certainly anyone actually in that unit would know it is a
> brigrade, not a battalion. A mistake like that is certainly enough to
> cause people to wonder if you're telling the truth.

Ba cause trying to respond to an Anderson post makes me mess up. It's so
hard to maintain composure when I read the merde this guy dishes up.
Next time I'll try to be p[erfect like you Dwight, although I am sure
it's not a NY type of thing to be perfect like you Dwight.

So just for your edification there Dwight of Conway, South Carolina (home
to a through road to Myrtle Beach)

Main Entry: 1bri&#65533;gade
Pronunciation: bri-'gAd

Function: noun
Etymology: French, from Italian brigata, from brigare
Date: 1637
1 a : a large body of troops b : a tactical and administrative unit composed of a headquarters, one or more units of infantry or armor, and supporting units
2 : a group of people organized for special activity

Main Entry: bat&#65533;tal&#65533;ion
Pronunciation: b&-'tal-y&n
Function: noun
Etymology: Middle French bataillon, from Old Italian battaglione, augmentative of battaglia company of soldiers, battle, from Late Latin battalia combat -- more at BATTLE
Date: 1579
1 : a considerable body of troops organized to act together : ARMY
2 : a military unit composed of a headquarters and two or more companies, batteries , or similar units
3 : a large group

But just think about it Dwight.

Must get lonely on Mt Olympus looking down on everyone. Well you do have TIT's to keep you company but the again she's too busy playing repeater cop

>
> > (snip) Let's see what some experts
> > have to say shall we??
> >
> > From CNN
> >
> > (snip)
> >
> > From Fox News
> >
> > (snip)
>
>
> I don't care what the "experts" at CNN and Fox News have to say.
> When it comes to the military, the military is the expert. And the
> Army's web site at...
>
>
> http://dsc.mtmc.army.mil/AboutDSC/WARTRACED.htm
>
>
> ... said the Army RESERVE unit activated (1179th DSB) is a brigade,
> not a battalion. Again, you should know that is you're actually in
> that unit.

Actually Dwight, the website is wrong. The 1179th DSB is stationed at Fort Hamilton, however it WAS the 1179th battalion of Army Reservists that were activated. Gee, the government making a mistake. That's 2 mistakes and they were not correct, that's 3 mistakes NOMAD carry out your primary function Must analyze Nomad, carry out your primary function EEERRRORRR ERRRORRRR

Better yet,m verify yourself. Anyway, I am now officially out a here. Take care you bunch of wacky people, except for you Lennie. You can take a long walk off a short pier for all anyone cares for you. Only kidding there Anderson....nahh, no I'm not, yes I am.

BTW, Lennie will be out for a few while he gets the Oz treatment. A brain because he hasn't got one. A new heart cause his Grinched up long ago and turned to stone and some courage because as a CRT warrior he's pathetic and as to his repeatedly posted military resume, as a cluck typist, he probably was at the bottom of the waste pile more often than not. Rumor was Lennie would walk along the Ginza at night an the hookers would pay HIM to keep on walking

Just joshing. We love you Len, especially when you take those 6 week vacations back to the home from treatments

Love and Kisses

God bless America and remember we refuse to lose

6119. Re: Steve Anderson (militia net) emulators on ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 18:08:25 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <t4jA7.204087$ME2.27491509@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Why would I want to listen to you errrrrr I mean this???

"K Bayyer" <kb40proc@uwisc.madison.edu> wrote in message
news:VPeA7.4509$SP.511836@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Want to hear some Steve Anderson "6.900 UPR"
> emulators on the high frequency ham radio bands?
> Listen in to the Eastern United Patriots Network on
> 3.860 mHz at 8:00 PM on Monday Tuesday, Thursday
> and Saturday nights. Ham radio Lower Sideband
> 3.860 mHz. Three people on there stand out as quite unbalanced in
> the head. True candidates for the mental health unit.
> One guy in Pa in particul;ar sounds just like he needs Prozac
> saying all kinds of nasty things about
> law enforcement and the "gubumint" and other such stuff.
> Hopefully the FCC and the FBI are monitoring this group
> like they did Anderson's looney broadcsats too.
>
>
>
>
>

6120. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 20:04:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011020160404.24502.00000103@nso-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22364

In article <3bd17b91_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>> Total US ham licenses, from AH0A site:
>>
>> May 2000: 677,348
>> March 2001: 684,359 (growth of 7010 from May 2000)
>> September 2001: 682,933 (drop of 1426 from March 2001)
>>
>
>This *might* be just a little tipped. There's two months lacking between
>May and March 2001 (doubt it would have been enough to tilt it the other
>way, but still).

I don't know what you mean by "two months lacking", Kim. I presume you mean it would be better to look at full years? Please clarify.

>The other thing is, what was the total in September 2000
>to September 2001. That evens out the numbers (probably the same trending
>though).

Got that right here for ya, Kim. In fact, lets look at all the Septembers on the AH0A site:

September 2001: 682,933
September 2000: 680,972
September 1999: 675,941
September 1998: 672,678
September 1997: 676,995

How about that? Since September 1998 the trend has been slowly but steadily upwards.

>I don't think it's ever really a surprise that the numbers of new
>hams goes up through the Fall and Winter months, nor that there's a drop in
>new hams during the Spring and Summer months. Even here in Texas, Fall and
>Winter see less participation in "hamming" than in the Spring and Summer.

Yup. The distribution of VE sessions and their attendance is all over the map. Here in EPa, winter is prime time to get on the air and do homebrew projects, spring and fall are prime time for antenna work and hamfests, and summer is the quietest time. How that correlates with new hams I don't know. But it sure sounds reasonable that there would be seasonal variation.
>
>Another thing I'll throw out (just as a hrrumpphh) is that I think, many
>times, when folks post things that seem to predict and prove the decline in
>ham radio--it is to somehow lament and enforce the opinion that
>restructuring did no good so why have done it? The same argument could be

>made that since restructuring did no good, it also did not harm then, did
>it? :)
>
I say it's way too soon to tell!

Consider this: The fact that restructuring had little observable effect on the numbers shows that it's not the tests that are causing the trends - it's some other factors.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6121. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 20:36:12 UTC
From: billsohl@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3bd1dee1.814010@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 22365

Excerpted from:
Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1262 with a release date of
Friday, October 19th, 2001 .

The International Amateur Radio Union speaks out on Morse and the ham
radio community responds.

**

INTERNATIONAL: IARU MEETS - MORSE ON ITS WAY OUT

The International Amateur Radio Union meets to discuss the future of
our
worldwide hobby service including the Morse code. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH,
reports
from Nottingham in the U-K:

--

The Administrative Council of the International Amateur Radio Union
met between
the 6th and 8th of October in Guatemala City. The principal business
was to
continue preparations for WRC-2003, which has several items of
importance to
the amateur services on its agenda.

Items discussed he harmonization of amateur and broadcasting
allocations near 7
MHz; adequacy of HF broadcasting allocations below 10 MHz; possible
revision of
Article S25 of the international Radio Regulations; changes to terms
and
definitions in Article S1 as a result of amendments to Article S25;
review of
provisions concerning the formation of amateur callsigns in Article
S19;
additional allocations for 'Little LEO' satellites; study of a
possible
allocation to the earth exploration-satellite service for synthetic
aperture
radar near 435 MHz; and possible identification of globally harmonized
frequency bands for use by agencies and organizations dealing with
public
protection and disaster relief. The IARU objectives with regard to
these agenda

items were affirmed.

The IARU Council adopted a resolution urging member societies to seek, as an
interim measure, Morse code testing speeds not exceeding five words per minute.
The IARU Council, setting aside previous decisions, resolved that IARU policy
is to support the removal of Morse code testing as an ITU requirement for an
amateur license to operate on frequencies below 30 MHz.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

The next scheduled meeting of the IARU Council will be in September of 2002,
immediately prior to the Region 1 Conference in San Marino. (RSGB)

**

CW: HAMS REACT TO IARU DECISION ON MORSE

The IARU policy decision to support the removal of Morse code testing as an
international requirement for an amateur license to operate on frequencies
below 30 MHz is again sparking a hot debate. This, between those who favor
retaining mandatory Morse testing and others who want to see an end to CW as a
requirement to operate the high frequency bands. There is really nothing new
in the arguments on either side. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black,
KB4KCH, has more:

--

Morse code. Most hams love it or hate it.

CW, as it's also known, was developed for land based telegraphy in the early
1800's by Samuel F.B. Morse. Morse code and ham radio have gone hand in hand
ever since. But now, CW as a requirement for a ham license may be going away.

The International Amateur Radio Union Administrative Council has taken the
first steps. Less than two weeks ago, the group voted to set aside any previous
decisions and support removing Morse code testing as an ITU

requirement for
hams to operate on frequencies below 30 MHz. In effect, that move seems to
suggest there's little chance that the IARU will support keeping Morse testing
as a requirement for getting a ham radio license.

Long time CW operator Jerry Womble, WA4QIN, of Steele, Alabama, says losing
code as a license requirement would not be good for the amateur radio service.

--

Jerry Womble, WA4QIN: If you remove code from the process of getting' a ham
license I think you dilute the talents in the community, and I think it means a
little less to the person who actually gets the license that he did not go through a process that most of us have gone through to obtain our license
--

But that view is not universal.

--
Jim Baker, N4RVH: It wouldn't bother me if they did remove it.
--
Birmingham resident Jim Baker, N4RVH, says ham radio would continue just fine without Morse code as a license requirement.

--
Jim Baker, N4RVH: Radio is gonna to continue and its gonna progress beyond
that. With what the technology is getting into now it is going to far surpass what the code started out to do and that's to communicate.
--

These people are the middle grounders. They welcome an open exchange of viewpoints but they also know that they must accept the judgment of the service's political leaders and the world body of telecommunications regulators.

One side or the other will lose, but both sides will eventually have to live with whatever the final ITU decision on Morse code testing turns out to be.

From Birmingham Alabama, I'm David Black, KB4KCH, reporting for the Amateur
Radio Newsline.
--
The bottom line. Regardless what’s decided at the next World Radiocommunications conference, not everyone in ham radio is going to

be happy.

6122. ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 20:50:36 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110201250.7456d3ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

concretemannj@aol.com (ConcreteManNJ) wrote in message
news:<20011019205308.16941.00000287@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: dave made 32 pill 4 sale
> >From: "Toll Free" tollfree@bigradios.com
> >Date: 10/19/01 4:30 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <tt13b7ckmksrc8@corp.supernews.com>
> >
> >2SC2879 is rated for 20 amps input at 13.8 / 12 volts.
> >
> >32 of them = 20X32=640.
> >
> >Since when did 640 = 500? Especially with the numbers the battle boxes put
> >out?
> >
> >Your nuts if you run a 32 pill on 2 alternators and expect to not blow stuff
> >/ get peak performance out of it.
> >
> >
> >
> >Toll_Free
>
> Toll, A few points since when do all different style amps draw the same power.A
> class C amp draws less than a Texas Star ab amp. Effiecency of the amp will
> determine what they draw. Leece Nevilles will do over there rated output but
> lets take 250 amp x 20 volts = 5000 watts of power per alt, times that by 2
> alternators 10,000 watts of input power available,a good 32 will hold the bird
> at 6-7 kw going forward. We see about 15 amps per pill draw. I am sure x force
> class c amps see the same.

Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?

Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band with you?

-Aaron-

6123. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 21:34:45 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd23660_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22369

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011020160404.24502.00000103@nso-fy.aol.com...
> In article <3bd17b91_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >> Total US ham licenses, from AH0A site:
> >>
> >> May 2000: 677,348
> >> March 2001: 684,359 (growth of 7010 from May 2000)
> >> September 2001: 682,933 (drop of 1426 from March 2001)
> >>
> >
> >This *might* be just a little tipped. There's two months lacking between
> >May and March 2001 (doubt it would have been enough to tilt it the other
> >way, but still).
>
> I don't know what you mean by "two months lacking", Kim. I presume you
mean it
> would be better to look at full years? Please clarify.
>

Yep. That's what I meant: better to look at full years (even though--as
evidenced below--trending ends up about the same). It's easier to cause
confusion for the naysayers without it, I my opinion.

> >
> >The other thing is, what was the total in September 2000
> >to September 2001. That evens out the numbers (probably the same
trending
> >though).
>
> Got that right here for ya, Kim. In fact, lets look at all the Septembers
on
> the AH0A site:
>
> September 2001: 682,933
> September 2000: 680,972
> September 1999: 675,941
> September 1998: 672,678
> September 1997: 676,995
>
> How about that? Since September 1998 the trend has been slowly but
steadily
> upwards.
>

Yep, looks that way. And, whether it is existing hams about to expire or new hams entering the hobby doesn't matter--at least I don't think so. I "number" is a number, right?

> >
> >I don't think it's ever really a surprise that the numbers of new
> >hams goes up through the Fall and Winter months, nor that there's a drop in
> >new hams during the Spring and Summer months. Even here in Texas, Fall and
> >Winter see less participation in "hamming" than in the Spring and Summer.
>
> Yup. The distribution of VE sessions and their attendance is all over the map.
> Here in EPa, winter is prime time to get on the air and do homebrew projects,
> spring and fall are prime time for antenna work and hamfests, and summer is the
> quietest time. How that correlates with new hams I don't know. But it sure
> sounds reasonable that there would be seasonal variation.

I think the correlation is that in the Fall and Winter months, hams are more likely to "be on the air" or be seen using ham radio, are more likely to bring ham radio into conversation with friends and co-workers, and are certainly out in the public more--what with storm spotting and public service events. There's lots of opportunity for non-hams to "see" ham radio at those times of year.

> >
> >Another thing I'll throw out (just as a hrrumpphh) is that I think, many
> >times, when folks post things that seem to predict and prove the decline in
> >ham radio--it is to somehow lament and enforce the opinion that
> >restructuring did no good so why have done it? The same argument could be
> >made that since restructuring did no good, it also did not harm then, did
> >it? :)
> >
> I say it's way too soon to tell!
>
> Consider this: The fact that restructuring had little observable effect on the
> numbers shows that it's not the tests that are causing the trends - it's some
> other factors.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Yup, I agree.

Kim W5TIT

6124. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-20 23:13:21 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3bd50547.6654497@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
>
>Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band with you?

They don't understand power ratios. They think the more power, the
better. Give me a good antenna and I can compete with them.

6125. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 01:57:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD22B8E.8AFFE3EE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"D. P. Roberts" wrote:
>
> They don't understand power ratios.
> They think the more power, the
> better. Give me a good antenna
> and I can compete with them.

You're fighting a losing battle, DP. When I had a CB years ago, it was one of the best sounding stations in the area. I could hear, and easily talk to, stations most could not. I received endless praise about the sound quality (thanks to a good, clean, microphone). And the station was totally legal (which seemed to surprise everybody).

Regardless of my endless lectures about the quality of the antenna and it's installation (height, location, grounding, etc), almost everyone in the area went with linears instead (and D-104 mics with echo, with radio modulation cranked to the max). Of course, these people could easily be heard, but half could not be understood and the other half could not operate most of the time because of complaints from neighbors. And still few of them could hear (receive) the distant stations in nearby counties I routinely talked to.

In other words, you could draw these people a blueprint of the perfect CB station, and they would still not get it. The lure of the bad boy image surrounding illegal linears is simply too much for many CB'ers to resist. The same with the need to fit in with the crowd (be like everyone else). For most people, both of these will outweigh "the right way" every single time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6126. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 03:47:39 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tt4harp3m30d03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Doubtful

We run better or equal antennas as you do.

So, yes, power IS the deciding factor, when running a stacked set of
mono-band 7 element antennas.

Power IS the deciding factor.

Toll_Free

"D. P. Roberts" <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in message
news:3bd50547.6654497@news.earthlink.net...
> >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
> >
> >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band
with you?
>
> They don't understand power ratios. They think the more power, the
> better. Give me a good antenna and I can compete with them.

6127. NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 04:09:04 UTC
From: CW not CB <arealham@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3BD24A60.D77E6BC6@gte.net>
Source record: 22371

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

CW or CB -- no other choice.

6128. No code? NO HAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 04:12:16 UTC
From: CW not CB <arealham@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3BD24B20.F0A91F63@gte.net>
Source record: 22372

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

Moan CBers moan.

6129. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 05:10:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD249A3.226C0CB9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Typical BS cber thinking.

Toll Free wrote:

>
> Power IS the deciding factor.
>
>

6130. Re: No code? NO HAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 05:57:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <Twistedhed-abuse@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <GttA7.26128$Gd6.549756@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 22374

"CW not CB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message news:3BD24B20.F0A91F63@gte.net...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>

And when the CW required is eliminated you'll have to go find something else to moan about. 8-))

--

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

6131. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 06:24:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD26A2D.5FAEDD4C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free wrote:
>
> "D. P. Roberts" wrote:
>
> > They don't understand power ratios.
> > They think the more power, the better.
> > Give me a good antenna and I can
> > compete with them.
>
>
> Doubtful
>
> We run better or equal antennas as you do.
>
> So, yes, power IS the deciding factor,
> when running a stacked set of mono-band
> 7 element antennas.
>
> Power IS the deciding factor.

No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6132. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 06:27:22 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <eVtA7.52020$8a.33613552@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 22376

When all of the old timers die off and the FCC has reallocated the spectrum
to commercial radio services, there will finally be no more bickering.
Halleluiah!

"CW not CB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3BD24A60.D77E6BC6@gte.net...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>

6133. Re: No code? NO HAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 06:30:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD26B75.9024D510@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22377

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> And when the CW required is eliminated
> you'll have to go find something else
> to moan about. 8-))

Leland, they're going to whine about the demise of CW testing until they're dead - they have nothing else in their life to talk about.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6134. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 06:42:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD26E5E.CB593AF0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22378

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
>
> > If the unit activated is a brigade
> > as you say in the sentence directly
> > above this, why did you (snip)
>
>
> That's kinda funny. I don't know that I've
> ever paid so much attention as to notice
> whether you make mistakes, Dick. But I
> *always* wonder if you tell the truth.

Are you talking to me or Dick there, Kim? I wrote the part you quoted, but you mention Dick in your reply.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6135. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 06:59:52 UTC
From: Elvis <SeeMeHere@anon.anon>
Message-ID: <9qtrm1hol0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 22379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD26A2D.5FAEDD4C@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > "D. P. Roberts" wrote:
> >
> > > They don't understand power ratios.
> > > They think the more power, the better.
> > > Give me a good antenna and I can
> > > compete with them.
> >
> >
> > Doubtful
> >
> > We run better or equal antennas as you do.
> >
> > So, yes, power IS the deciding factor,
> > when running a stacked set of mono-band
> > 7 element antennas.
> >
> > Power IS the deciding factor.
>
>
> No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
> quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
> deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
> resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
Dwight is correct. Good antennas and clean radios speak legions....the old
CB mentality of "might is right" is absurd.
Remember that the next time your neighbors complain that your CB station
causes RFI.
Ten-four?

6136. There IS Such A Thing As A Free Lunch....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 07:21:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011021032149.12693.00000433@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 22380

I picked this up off of the "SGUSA@yahoogroups.com" reflector. I called Golden Corral, and it's legit.

Enjoy: http://www.dav.org/veterans/special_offers.htm

73

Paratus et Vigilans

Steven J Robeson, LPN, K4YZ

6137. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 07:46:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <o3vA7.147689$W8.3833316@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22381

Troll, Troll, Troll your boat. Looking for the large-mouth bass.

"CW not CB" <arealham@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3BD24A60.D77E6BC6@gte.net...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>

6138. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 07:54:26 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110202354.4f111d49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

fgillil@tincan.org (Sparky) wrote:
>igotmadfunds@aol.comast (I GOT MAD FUNDS) wrote in message
>news:<20011020192446.12702.00000334@mb-fh.aol.com>...
> > you wanna put a stock radio on the line with a 32 pill? ill be more then
> >glad to put the title of my truck up.
>
>What kind of truck you got?

Typically the outlaw CBers who "compete" to see who can output the
most outrageous number of watts create a powerplant by loading up the
engine compartment of a 1980's vintage Chevy Suburban with
alternators. The "32 pill" refers to 32 RF power transistors lashed
together Jethro Bodine-style in order to produce upwards of several
thousand watts of overmodulated, unfiltered RF which results in a
broad, spectrally dirty signal that freely propagates harmonics across
many frequency bands. The truck itself is likely festooned with
antennas sporting 1/4" copper coils, more for show than resonant, and
often features some inane slogan (e.g. "World Wide SkullCrusher")
decaled across its side windows.

-Aaron-

6139. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 10:07:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_7xA7.147718$W8.3839132@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22383

Along the line of time to surrender in the War on Drugs, this week's News of the Wierd details a case which the NJ Supreme Court threw out a conviction resulting from a warrantless search.

Seems the polizi claimed an exemption to warrant rules because the man was obviously violent: he lived on Earthquake Street.

Dick N�BK

6140. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 10:55:38 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110210255.21f36bb8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tt4harp3m30d03@corp.supernews.com>...
> Doubtful
>
>
> We run better or equal antennas as you do.
>
> So, yes, power IS the deciding factor, when running a stacked set of
> mono-band 7 element antennas.

Does that come in a mag-mount model?

> Power IS the deciding factor.

I don't think so, toll. You, like many of the other misguided souls in
this newsgroup, have misconstrued the meaning of the word "communication".
It's not about 'power'; it's about control, cooperation, and courtesy.
With nothing more than a stock CB, I can communicate with anyone anywhere
on the planet. I can also win a keydown against the biggest amp you can
find. It's easy. All you have to do is know how to communicate.

BTW, in reference to your recent comments regarding grammer, I can send
you the same book I offered to give to twist -- it will define for you
the 'preposition', and it will explain how it's something you shouldn't
end a sentence with. (pun intended)

6141. Re: Fun With The Smith Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 12:02:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011021080251.03534.00000258@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 22385

In article <20011019141648.27550.00000031@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>The first of the "scientific" pocket calculators, the HP-35,
>>>appeared on the market at the beginning of the 1970s.
>>
>>1974-5. It cost almost $400 and ate batteries fast. Classmate of mine had
>>one.
>
>The HP-35 was made and sold from early 1972 to 1975.
>Advance word and select look-see was available in late
>1971.

Well, I guess I'll have to "default" on those dates. ;-)

>Initial cost was $395 in single quantities, $225 in
>quantities of 100.

$395 is almost $400. Most college students could not afford one, let alone 100.

>The HP-45 was better, cost $395, was
>made 1973 to 1976.

Perhaps I was thinking of the HP-45. ;-)

>While at Naval Air Development Center in 1972 I had a chance
>to talk to the H-P representative that called on NADC. His
>territory included Bell Labs, Holmdel, NJ. He was very happy
>on selling 700 HP-35s to Bell Labs for use by their staff. They
>would be given out FREE to staff that could use them. 700.

Bell Labs spent $157,500 on them and gave them to employees. Colleges and universities didn't.
>
>We can now compare the engineering expertise of Bell Labs
>against a "classmate" and determine the apparent "faults" of
>the HP-35?

Who said anyhting about "faults"? The early calcualtors were expensive and used up batteries fast. Simple facts.
>
>RCA EASD arranged for a group purchase for engineering
>staff in 1973, $225 each, no profit to the company. The three
>of us who already had HP-35s were given a $170 rebate.
>That was the least RCA could do since all three of us had to
>endure all those nagging borrowers.

Poor baby. ;-)
>
>But, you will no doubt tell me your "classmate" knew more
>than anyone and the slide-rule (3-place maximum accuracy
>for a 12") was "better."

Nope. You really missed the point, Len.
>
>I still have my HP-35. It works on AC or my own battery
>pack.

(snip of stuff more appropriate to "rec.calculators.vintage")

> There's only
>one battery pack left ('35 and '67 took the same kind) and
>the NiCad battery technology was never all that good in
>early days, "smart chargers" not appearing until the very
>late 1990s.

As I said, they ate batteries. The AC pack was almost useless in the classroom
because there were few outlets for students to use.

>>>That was 30 years ago. The "younger engineers" (who
>>>have not used a slide rule) are now bumping up against
>>>the age of 50! :-)
>>
>>Most of us in the engineering classes of 1976 or so used slide rules because
>>the early calculators were expensive and prone to battery failure at
>>inopportune times. In a few years things changed to the point where a
>>calculator cost less than a good slide rule. End of story.
>
>NO. NO. NO. Not the end of the story, just the beginning.

YES. YES. YES. YES. You missed the point - again.

When pocket calculators were $400, they were too expensive for almost all
students. Even at $100, they were too expensive for most. (These are 1970s
dollars we're talking about). But when they became price-competitve with a good
slide rule, it was all over - end of story - for slide rules.

>What was overlooked by many in 1972 was that all users
>had 10 digits of accuracy for ALL functions, transcendental
>and logarithms included. Not even a 1-foot cylindrical slide
>rule could approach that versatility or accuracy.

If the measurements are only good to 3 decimal places, 10 digits of calculation
doesn't make them more accurate.
>
>>>>And to a certain extent, that's the function of the Smith
>>>>chart these days. But it's more than just a relic of bygone
>>>>technology. It also provides a conceptual model for the
>>>>impedance/admittance transformations that take place through
>>>>a section of transmission line. As such, its value lies
>>>>in the intuitive insights it provides the user rather than

>>>>in the precision of the calculations done with it.
>>
>>Yup. Just like Morse code and ham radio.
>
> <insert at least a half hour of racous laughter at that non-analogue>

It's a perfect analogy.
>
>Try to explain why the Smith Chart is STILL IN USE IN THE
>RF INDUSTRY.

Because some folks like it. Is there ANY sort of design calculation that can
ONLY be done on the Smith Chart?

>Try to explain why the Chart format is
>still present in TODAY's network analyzer front panel
>displays.

Because some of the folks who buy them like that display. And with the
processor-based nature of those analyzers, it's not that expensive or difficult
to include such a display.

The same is true of Morse code and ham radio. Most HF/MF amateur transceivers
have Morse code capability because many hams USE the mode, and the folks buying
the rigs want that capability.

It's a perfect analogy.

>>>Vacuum tubes, slide rules, and steam engines...along
>>>with morse code skill...are becoming artifacts which are
>>>quaint, amusing relics of a bygone era.
>>
>>It is interesting to see how you demean and denigrate them. Says a lot. You
>>want to tell us what we should like and dislike.
>
>NO, NO, NO.

YES, YES, YES, YES. You refer to those things as "quaint and amusing relics" -
not the tools which built an industral civilization.

>YOU* and other PCTAs have kept insisting
>that everyone wanting to get into amateur radio MUST LIKE
>WHAT YOU LIKE.

Nope. Where did I ever say such a thing? Produce the post.

>You and other PCTAs think that amateur
>radio is "all about morse code."

Where did I ever say such a thing? Produce the post.

>You and other PCTAs think
>that lack of skill or desire to use morse code is "dumbing
>down."

Show where I have said that. Produce the post.

>You and other PCTAs insist that morse code skill is
>the epitome of amateur radio skill.

Show where I have said that. Produce the post.
>
>You and the PCTAs have been telling everyone else what to
>be, what to like for years.

Nonsense. All I have done is support a test for Morse and its use on the ham bands. I think it's a good thing, and I give reasons. Is that wrong? If so, why?

>You and the PCTAs simply don't
>want to give anyone any freedom in modes.

Nonsense. Did anyone propose banning any other modes?

You are really off base on this, Len. You keep attributing stuff to me that I did not write, then when challenged to produce posts backing your claim, you produce NOTHING.
>
>>Vacuum tubes are still in wide use. Ask anyone with a CRT. Or a high-end
>>stereo.
>
>Wrong use of "wide" in the entire electronics world.

I didn't say "in the entire electronics world".

>I've
>been using an oscilloscope with a flat screen. No CRT.

I didn't mention oscilloscopes at all.

>My 1982 Philips 50 MHz scope is gathering dust. It has
>a vacuum CRT...but every other circuit in it is solid-state.
>
>At home we have two "high-end" music systems (civilians
>call them "stereo"). Actually three if the monaural one is
>counted. All of them are solid-state.

They are not high end, then ;-)

>My "old" Icom R-70 is all solid state unless you want to
>endlessly repick nits about the gas-discharge numerical
>display.

Transistor radios for the consumer market appeared in the 1950s.

>It ain't vacuum in any way, sport.

Ah, the typical demeaning appellation.
>
>>Most of the electricity generated in the modern world is the result of steam
>>engines (turbines) driving alternators.

>
>"Steam engine" in the modern verhacular refers to
>RECIPROCATING engines...you know, like the old
>locomotives called "choo-choos" by kids.

"Verhacular"?

If you meant reciprocating steam engines or steam locomotives, then you should have used those words. A turbine is a form of steam engine.

Did you know the Titanic and sister ships had 2 reciprocating steam engines and one turbine? Did you know that there were locomotives that used steam turbines?

>Find me a
>steam locomotive on the railways today that carries
>freight and/or passengers.

They are called "railroads" in North America. There are still some steam locomotives in use today.

>Only the rare tourist
>attraction or an outdoor museum has them.

China and South Africa still use steam locomotives in main-line service.

> There's
>a railway museum just 4 miles from here in Griffith
>Park, quaint and fun for kiddies, and an arboretum
>that has a neat little kiddie ride with a miniature
>reciprocating steam engine, wow!

There are several scenic railroads around the country that use steam locomotives. The Strasburg Railroad near Lancaster is the closest one to me. They have some nice ones. There is nothing miniature about them.

>Two major railways
>go through the eastern end of SFV here and neither
>one has felt a steam choo-choo for years.

The Union Pacific Railroad and the Norfolk Southern Railroad, to name two, still have a few operational steam locomotives that are brought out for special occasions.
>
Steam locomotives were replaced by diesel-electrics in the USA during the 1950s. The transition would have occurred much earlier (1930s) but the Great Depression and WW2 got in the way. Diesel-electrics cost less to operate per ton-mile than steamers, so the "professsional" railroads (those who have to pay their employees and show a profit) replaced their steamers with diesel-electrics. Those railroads don't run trains just so that people can have fun running them and riding them.

But among "amateur" railroads (those which exist for the fun of those running them and riding them) steam locomotives are in wide use.

Your diatribe is just another example of how you apply what "professionals" do as the sole criteria to what amateurs should do. You completely miss the point of an amateur activity.
>
Both steam and diesel electric locomotives were obsolete from a purely theoretical level by 1915, when practical high voltage AC railroad electrification (11 kV, 25 Hz) was in service on the New Haven Railroad. Only the high initial cost of electrification and repressive property taxes prevented more widespread use of electric motive power.

You do not want to get into a debate about railroads with me, Len, you really don't. Believe it.

>>Morse code still appears as a standard feature in modern HF/MF amateur radio
>>transceivers and is still being used by today's hams.
>
>Used by SOME.

That's all it takes for the manufacturers to decide that the mode is worth including in their products.

>That is still NOT a reason for the license
>test requiring tested manual-aural skill...not by the FCC and
>now not considred meaningful by the IARU!

So? Who was talking about a test? I keep telling you that the test issue is a dead duck, done, over, end of story. The IARU thing was no surprise at all.

Point is, ham rigs have Morse code capability for reasons similar to network analyzers having Smith Chart presentations.
>
>It's a losing argument full of holes that you present.

Nope. You just don't like admitting you're mistaken, Len.

>You won't
>give up because you don't know how to grow with the times
>and your ego prevents you from captitulating in any way.

That's not me you've described, Len. Again, you're projecting.

N2EY

6142. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 14:11:48 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bd3637c_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22396

I addressed Dick in the comment.

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD26E5E.CB593AF0@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
> >
> > > If the unit activated is a brigade
> > > as you say in the sentence directly
> > > above this, why did you (snip)
> >
> >
> > That's kinda funny. I don't know that I've
> > ever paid so much attention as to notice
> > whether you make mistakes, Dick. But I
> > *always* wonder if you tell the truth.
>
>
> Are you talking to me or Dick there, Kim? I wrote the part you
> quoted, but you mention Dick in your reply.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6143. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 15:16:46 UTC
From: George Csahanin <georgec@dyb.com>
Message-ID: <yFBA7.1222$J84.566872169@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 22386

There's no way a Burger King is going to average $15,000 a day in
sales...more like $2000/day.

-GC

"Jason Hsu" <jason_hsu@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:f7d9a152.0110101711.7a80acc5@posting.google.com...
> K0HB@qwest.net wrote in message news:<9pkmu0$f7v$1@news.netmar.com>...
> >
> > In any case, the number is probably not very impressive. Taking the US
> > for an example, there are around 650,000 licensees. Let's suppose that
> > 50% of them are active in the hobby. That estimate says there are
325,000
> > US hams spending money on the hobby. Let's further guesstimate that
> > each of these folks spends an average of $500.00 on the hobby each year.
> > That puts yearly revenue for *all* vendors (in the US market) at a
measly
> > $16.25 million.
> >
> Actually, 325,000 spending an average of $500 yields $162.5 million.
> This would be equal to about 20-30 Burger Kings.
>
> Jason Hsu, AG4DG
> jason_hsu_802701@yahoo.com
> http://www.jasonhsu.com/ham_radio.html
>

6144. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 17:17:18 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9quvtp$n6t$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Correct Dwight, but it's not just on CB. Listen to 75/20 phone lately?

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD26A2D.5FAEDD4C@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > "D. P. Roberts" wrote:
> >
> > > They don't understand power ratios.
> > > They think the more power, the better.
> > > Give me a good antenna and I can
> > > compete with them.
> >
> >
> > Doubtful
> >
> > We run better or equal antennas as you do.
> >
> > So, yes, power IS the deciding factor,
> > when running a stacked set of mono-band
> > 7 element antennas.
> >
> > Power IS the deciding factor.
>
>
> No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
> quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
> deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
> resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6145. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 18:50:08 UTC
From: Kim <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <3bd3178b_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22388

Whoops, sorry about that confusion. I think the post I was responding to was actually one between you an the military dreamer, there, not you and Dick.

Oh well...

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BD26E5E.CB593AF0@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
> >
> > > If the unit activated is a brigade
> > > as you say in the sentence directly
> > > above this, why did you (snip)
> >
> >
> > That's kinda funny. I don't know that I've
> > ever paid so much attention as to notice
> > whether you make mistakes, Dick. But I
> > *always* wonder if you tell the truth.
>
>
> Are you talking to me or Dick there, Kim? I wrote the part you
> quoted, but you mention Dick in your reply.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6146. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 20:04:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011021160430.21075.00000125@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22389

In article <20011019141645.27550.00000030@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20011018173511.04539.00000052@nso-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>Is my observation not true? What is wrong with stating the truth?
>>>
>>>Delusional. You state personal opinions as if they were TRUTH.
>>
>>You just demonstrated a textbook example of the ad hominem fallacy, Len. You
>>attacked the messenger, (me) rather than analyze the message. In fact, you
>>completely snipped the message.
>
>The messenger (you) stated an observation as "truth." Then
>the messenger (you) asked why not state such a "truth."

Precisely. Either my observation is true or it is in error. If it is in error,
then others are free to correct it. But you didn;t do that, you just attacked
me with terms like "delusional". That's textbook ad-hominem.
>
>It is not a truth just because the messenger (you) says it is
>the truth.

Of course not. Nor is it false just because you disagree with it.

>The delusion is thinking that whatever you think is the truth.

A very wide friend of mine (W5DXP) gave a definition of truth as "whatever a
person sincerely belives to be true". Go argue with him.
>
>>I'm not delusional. I simply posted some observations that you don't like. I
>>think those observations are true - otherwise I wouldn't have posted them.
>>>
>>>Sorry, your godhood, they are just opinions.
>>
>>They are observations. If they are not true, why don't you show why they
>>aren't?
>
>The messenger (you) does not seem to understand when
>something is proven NOT true.

Sure I do. Post an adequate proof and I will accept it.

>The excuses and misdirections
>for this make for extraordinarily long postings.

Yep, your posts are extraordinarily long.

>The delusional
>cannot be dissuaded from their false path.

I know. I've tried to dissuade some....;-)
>
>>It is interesting that in one post you call me an idiot and a few days later
>>you call me a god.
>
>"God" in that case was in sarcasm. The "idiot" part remains.

There you go again.
>
>The delusional behave as idiots, sometimes as egocentric
>idiots.
>
I've seen them in action....;-)

>>..... But you don't want to deal with the
>>reality of a ham who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
>>Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.
>
>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!

It's the simple truth.
>
>Good heavens, NO.

There. You have finally admitted it. We're making progress.

>I don't have enough time to spend on pointing
>out your errors, spin, and presentation of amateur mythology as
>"truth" when it isn't anything more than mythology.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!

Translation: You know I'm right, so you attack the messenger instead of the
message.

>I won't waste
>anymore time answering endless "arguments" and misdirections
>or claims that knowing basics are "mastery," post-graduate or
>not.

Uh-huh.

You're just confirming that you don't want to deal with the reality of a ham
who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.

> I've been around the industry and have shared information
>and knowledge both ways with all ranks, including PhDs, all who

>did not wave credentials as surrogates for "mastery" of "having
>mastered" something.

I predicted that nothing I could do would convince you.
>
>Stay rooted in the past and its mythology. That way is fhe
>safe way where you won't feel intimidated by anything or
>anybody since it has all happened. You can interpret the past
>safely and spin endless more spin on "why" it should always
>be so.

I have NO idea what this is supposed to mean.

>Change is your enemy. Looking to a future where
>things have changed is your enemy. Fight them with all your
>might. There are others who will enter that future who will do
>things differently than you, embrace new technologies and
>accept them. Those of the future will not glorify the past and
>past technology as religious myths as is common among
>certain old-timers.

Nope. Not at all.

Change just for the sake of change is pointless. Progress involves change, but all change is not progress. You hold up "change" as a sacred mantra that no one must question. Well, I'm questioning it, and showing that the emperor has no new clothes.

You say you're all for "change" but you don't say why or where that change should lead. Anyone who follows such a pied piper deserves what they get.

Was the recent change in the Manhattan skyline a good thing? I don't think so.

Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service that you don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly with those who DO use that service. And when opposed, and your errors revealed and rebutted, you call your opponents names and insult them with snide comments. If you are the future, Len, it's no wonder some folks want the past.

But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.

N2EY

6147. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 23:34:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011021193408.12347.00000530@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22390

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/21/2001 3:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011021160430.21075.00000125@nso-cu.aol.com>

>A very wide friend of mine...

Come on, Jim...! He doesn't look anything of the sort! =)

6148. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 23:34:58 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <7qm6tt0q7bl45h3jkgnpclrok8noeu69oc@4ax.com>
Source record: 22391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 20 Oct 2001 13:50:36 -0700, voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
wrote:

>Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
>
>Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band with you?

No, Aaron, they don't. That's the difference between the ARS and the
license-free radio services like CB. In addition to caring about our
own enjoyment of radio, we hams also care about other people's
enjoyment of radio. They don't.

73

6149. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 23:38:28 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <v0n6tt0501vpi339ms3icvuaes29n4cvc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 22392

On Sat, 20 Oct 2001 22:09:04 -0600, CW not CB <arealham@gte.net>
wrote:

>NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>CW or CB -- no other choice.

You've been posting the above drivel, verbatim, for about two years
now. It's as much complete Bravo Sierra now as it was the first time
you posted it. When, if ever, do you plan on getting your head out of
your rectum?

6150. Re: No code? NO HAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 23:41:10 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <n5n6tt4t0tdc2aj7ub53uq3tvemtb1s7i5@4ax.com>
Source record: 22393

On Sat, 20 Oct 2001 22:12:16 -0600, CW not CB <arealham@gte.net>
wrote:

>NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>Moan CBers moan.

Know something? People like you make me feel ashamed to be part of the
amateur radio community.

6151. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 23:41:29 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <J2JA7.37605$Zb.17755006@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 22394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

As opposed to what type of thinking jj?

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD249A3.226C0CB9@mailcity.net...
> Typical BS cber thinking.
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > > Power IS the deciding factor.
> > >
> > >

6152. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-21 23:49:03 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <u9n6ttcvi8khg1teq38qrhr06t50jnflm6@4ax.com>
Source record: 22395

On Thu, 18 Oct 2001 23:20:37 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>We put innocent American citizens in prison who had absolutely nothing
>to do with that sneak attack. Are you held responsible for the actions
>of your foreign cousins? Get real.

Ever hear of affirmative action? Every time I don't get a job because
it was given to a less-qualified minority member solely for the
purpose of satisfying a minority hiring quota, I'm paying not for the
actions of my ancestors - who didn't arrive in this country until the
1930's - but for the ancestors of others. My ancestors had nothing to
do with it. But as a taxpayer, I'll still be asked to foot part of the
bill if they ever convince the government to pay reparations to the
decendants of former slaves.

As for the sneak attack on Pearl, I already posted the body count for
that one action. What about all the other people, in both the Pacific
and European theatres, who lost their lives or those of loved ones as
a result of the actions of the Axis powers? The mistake wasn't in
rounding 'em u, the mistake was that we didn't repatriate 'em to
Hiroshima and Nagasaki before we dropped the big ones, so they could
fry along with their fellow countrymen.

6153. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 00:11:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011021201100.12347.00000533@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22397

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/21/2001 3:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011021160430.21075.00000125@nso-cu.aol.com>

Lennie starts off....
>>Stay rooted in the past and its mythology. That way is fhe
>>safe way where you won't feel intimidated by anything or
>>anybody since it has all happened. You can interpret the past
>>safely and spin endless more spin on "why" it should always
>>be so.

To which Jim replies.....
>I have NO idea what this is supposed to mean.

What it means, Jim, is that one very lonely, dispicable old man is sitting in front of his computer screen right now wishing he was in front of the controls of his own radio station doing the very things WE can enjoy any time.

But he doesn't....He long ago set himself up for the fall by assuming that his 15 years of night school education made him better than "mere mortals", and should be accepted carte blanche as proof of his "worthiness" for licensure in the Amateur Radio Service. Sitting for the exam would validate his mortality, and he can't stand that.

He's a broom handler, Jim. Always has been, always will. He's been second fiddle because he always THOUGHT he knew better and HE was better than whoever DID "know better".

He was wrong. Unfortunately, the Internet in general and the relative anonymity of newsgroups in particular allows him to express his arrogant vileness with a degree of impunity, and now we are destined to suffer this insufferable creep.

>But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.

Ditto.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6154. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 02:45:46 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r018q$4r6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
> No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
>quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
>deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
>resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

I notice that you were careful to delete rec.radio.cb from
the Newsgroup line before posting the above... :)

Jeff KH6O
--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6155. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 04:02:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022000259.23833.00000086@nso-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22400

In article <20011007012306.20001.00002740@mb-fy.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

(N2EY wrote):

>>Part of this is due to inexperience behind
>>the wheel but a large part is not. All of this is reflected in auto
>insurance
>>rates.
>
> I was a Student Pilot at age 17. When my dad's insurance agent found
>out
>we actually got a credit for it...Thier reasoning was that if I was certified
>by the FAA to fly, I was a bit more responsible than the average teen on a
>mission!

WOW! Never heard of that discount, but it makes sense!
>
>>>In that case the entire adult world (with the sole exception of
>>>US amateur radio) has a group opinion based on prejudice,
>>>bigotry, and bias.
>>
>>Nope. The entire adult world does not think that the FCC should enact an age
>>limit for a license.
>
> If someone looks for irresponsible teens, they will find them.

And if someone looks for responsible teenagers, they will also find them.

> I have a
>great number that I would trust with my life.

Same here.
>
>>>Responsibility or lack of responsibility due to immaturity have
>>>been in humanity's laws for centuries.
>>
>>So? That's not an answer to the question.
>
> You expected the Unruly One to actually give a straight answer...?!?!

The argument for an age limit for a ham license would have more than zero credibility if the arguer could cite even ONE case where a ham's youth was a cause of a rules violation.

The young hams I have met have ALL been very focused on following the rules and being the best possible operators they could be.

>
>>Yet LHA cannot produce even ONE example of a problem caused by the
>>licensing of a person under the age of his proposed limit.
>
> Every licensee under 21 disproves most of Lennie's rants. He HAS to
>believe that this is right, otherwise his rants are wrong....

The proposed age limit is 14. I know of at least three Extras who got that
class of license before that age.
>
>>You can call me names but you cannot produce even ONE example of a problem
>>caused by the licensing of a person below your proposed cutoff that is
>>age-related.
>
> On the other hand, we can produce evidence of people Lennie's age who
>ARE
>a problem....

Exactly. Look at the FCC enforcement letters, then look up the age of the
alleged violators. It sure ain't the teenagers causing the problems.

And the modes of choice for violations are almost all voice modes. Not a
violation by use of Morse code in the nonvoice subbands in the bunch...
>
>>>Test element 1 passing is still a regulation requirement for a
>>>US amateur radio license grant of extra or general class.
>>
>>Only because S25.5 still requires it. FCC has made that clear.
>
> More facts that Lennie cannot avoid, Jim.

Denial of the truth does not make it any less true.

>>LHA has not produced a SINGLE example of a problem caused by the licensing
>of
>>hams under the age of 14, yet he proposes such an age limit and calls those
>>who
>>oppose it "idiotic".
>
> Of course...that's how he "debates" things, Jim...If someone DARES to
>suggest that "he" is wrong, they are an "idiot"...
>
> or "elitist"...
>
> or "jackbooted"...
>
> or "thug".....

It's called "ad hominem". Literally "to the man", meaning to attack the person,
not the argument.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6156. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 04:03:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022000300.23833.00000087@nso-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22399

In article <20011021193408.12347.00000530@mb-cu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>A very wide friend of mine...
>
> Come on, Jim...! He doesn't look anything of the sort! =)
>
OOOPS! Sorry!

Correct that to read:

"A very WISE friend of mine..."

(That never would have happened using Morse)

73 de Jim, N2EY

6157. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 05:13:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022011353.14723.00000576@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22401

>Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/21/2001 11:02 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011022000259.23833.00000086@nso-da.aol.com>

>And if someone looks for responsible teenagers, they will also find them.

>> I have a great number that I would trust with my life.
>
>Same here.

I did too...Civil Air Patrol Ranger Program. One of the finest youth training program I have ever seen.

>The young hams I have met have ALL been very focused on following >the rules and being the best possible operators they could be.

I still get flustered every time I remember the youngster in Kentucky that had his HT confiscated...No wonder some kids don't want to get involved. They DO demonstrate some positive initiative and they STILL get "dinged" for it...and then there are the "Lennie's" of this country...

Bigoted Putz.

And that's my LIBERAL opinion.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6158. Re: There IS Such A Thing As A Free Lunch....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 08:44:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011022044443.23079.00000488@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 22402

In article <20011021032149.12693.00000433@mb-mb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
> I picked this up off of the "SGUSA@yahoogroups.com" reflector. I called
>Golden Corral, and it's legit.
>
> Enjoy: http://www.dav.org/veterans/special_offers.htm
>
>73
>
>Paratus et Vigilans
>
>Steven J Robeson, LPN, K4YZ

Steve:

I Czeched out this URL, and got the good 'ole Error 404 - Document not found message. What was it, anyway?

73 de Larry, K3LT

6159. Re: There IS Such A Thing As A Free Lunch....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 11:18:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022071845.12958.00000534@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22403

>Subject: Re: There IS Such A Thing As A Free Lunch....
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 10/22/2001 3:44 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011022044443.23079.00000488@nso-md.aol.com>

>I Czeched out this URL, and got the good 'ole Error 404 - Document
>not found message. What was it, anyway?

Sorry about that, Larry.....Golden Corral restaraunts, in connection witht
he DAV, is sponsoring a Veteran's Appreication Day. All you ahve to do is ID
yourself as a Vet at the door and you get the buffet free.

QUOTE FROM DAV SITE:

1. Disabled American Veterans - special_offers
MILITARY APPRECIATION NIGHT - November 12, 2001 Golden Corral presents a
National Veterans Day ProgramGolden Corral announces Military Appreciation
Night. In support of Veterans Day, on Monday night, Nov. 12th, every Golden
Corral restaurant across the
http://www.dav.org/veterans/special_offers.html - size 6390 bytes - 10/15/01
3:48:39 PM GMT

2. ticker_content.html
Golden Corral presents.na free dinner buffet for all\nveterans and active
military\nMonday, Nov. 12 from 5-9pm.nClick here for more
info!veterans/special_offers.html ; 14338995 ; 102 ; Arial ; 11; 1 ; 2 DAV
responds to\nterrorist attacks.nClick here
http://www.dav.org/ticker_content.html - size 1094 bytes - 10/18/01 4:18:15 PM
GMT

UNQUOTE

73 es Semper Fi....

The Gunny

6160. Re: Amateur Service Withers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 12:03:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022080304.03534.00000311@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 22404

In article <3bd23660_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20011020160404.24502.00000103@nso-fy.aol.com...
>> In article <3bd17b91_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
>writes:
>>
>> >> Total US ham licenses, from AH0A site:
>> >>
>> >> May 2000: 677,348
>> >> March 2001: 684,359 (growth of 7010 from May 2000)
>> >> September 2001: 682,933 (drop of 1426 from March 2001)
>> >>
>> >
>> >This *might* be just a little tipped. There's two months lacking between
>> >May and March 2001 (doubt it would have been enough to tilt it the other
>> >way, but still).
>>
>> I don't know what you mean by "two months lacking", Kim. I presume you
>mean it
>> would be better to look at full years? Please clarify.
>>
>
>Yep. That's what I meant: better to look at full years (even though--as
>evidenced below--trending ends up about the same). It's easier to cause
>confusion for the naysayers without it, I my opinion.

Thanks for the explanation, Kim. Makes perfect sense that seasonal variations
would even out if we look at full years rather than odd-lot time periods.

>> >The other thing is, what was the total in September 2000
>> >to September 2001. That evens out the numbers (probably the same
>trending
>> >though).
>>
>> Got that right here for ya, Kim. In fact, lets look at all the Septembers
>on
>> the AH0A site:
>>
>> September 2001: 682,933
>> September 2000: 680,972
>> September 1999: 675,941
>> September 1998: 672,678
>> September 1997: 676,995
>>

>> How about that? Since September 1998 the trend has been slowly but
>steadily
>> upwards.
>
>Yep, looks that way. And, whether it is existing hams about to expire or
>new hams entering the hobby doesn't matter--at least I don't think so. I
>"number" is a number, right?

If number of licenses is the concern, yeah. But the important factor isn't number of licenses, it's number of ACTIVE licensees, which is a very different issue.

And note the growth trend:

Sept 1998 to Sept 1999: +3263
Sept 1999 to Sept 2000: +5031
Sept 2000 to Sept 2001: +1961

More growth BEFORE restructuring than after! How about that?
>
>> >I don't think it's ever really a surprise that the numbers of new
>> >hams goes up through the Fall and Winter months, nor that there's a drop
>in
>> >new hams during the Spring and Summer months. Even here in Texas, Fall
>and
>> >Winter see less participation in "hamming" than in the Spring and Summer.
>>
>> Yup. The distribution of VE sessions and their attendance is all over the
>map.
>> Here in EPa, winter is prime time to get on the air and do homebrew
>projects,
>> spring and fall are prime time for antenna work and hamfests, and summer
>is the
>> quietest time. How that correlates with new hams I don't know. But it sure
>> sounds reasonable that there would be seasonal variation.
>
>I think the correlation is that in the Fall and Winter months, hams are more
>likely to "be on the air" or be seen using ham radio, are more likely to
>bring ham radio into conversation with friends and co-workers, and are
>certainly out in the public more--what with storm spotting and public
>service events. There's lots of opportunity for non-hams to "see" ham radio
>at those times of year.

Maybe in TX but not in EPa. But the point is still valid: ham radio is much more visible in some seasons than others.

Here's another point: with a few exceptions, ham radio has historically grown more in bad economic times than in good ones. During the '60s there was no growth at all - the number of US hams was stuck at a quarter million or so from 1960 to about 1970. Then, through the stagflated '70s and early '80s, it grew and grew until there were more than 550,000 in 1984. Maybe the current economic slowdown will translate into more new hams...

73 de Jim, N2EY

6161. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 14:52:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD432C3.CD0A2999@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22405

Nonya Bidniz wrote:
> Ever hear of affirmative action?

Yes, and I am against it.

> As for the sneak attack on Pearl, I already posted the body count for
> that one action. What about all the other people, in both the Pacific
> and European theatres, who lost their lives or those of loved ones as
> a result of the actions of the Axis powers? The mistake wasn't in
> rounding 'em u, the mistake was that we didn't repatriate 'em to
> Hiroshima and Nagasaki before we dropped the big ones, so they could
> fry along with their fellow countrymen.

Since Homo sapiens evolved in Africa, let's send all Homo sapiens
back to Africa.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6162. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 15:11:48 UTC
From: Philip de Cadanet <phil@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8byX2DB0cD17EwLx@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Source record: 22406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

DP,

>They don't understand power ratios. They think the more power, the
>better. Give me a good antenna and I can compete with them.
There's no antenna made with legal power going into it that can compete
with the 'big' amp's that some people use.

Phil / London England
5-ele Hy-Gain Long John up at 90'

6163. Re: WA4SEX Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 15:57:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022115724.12988.00000649@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22407

>Subject: WA4SEX Information
>From: Nomen Nescio nobody@dizum.com
>Date: 10/22/2001 10:00 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9d892512ef9f63ff3f5b5b41e52768f9@dizum.com>

>Anyone with any information bout how WA4SEX
>get her ham lisense needs to send it to Riley.
>
> she don't know no code or electronics.
>
> Many questions how she get licsense need
> answeres.

Personally, I am more concerned about an Anonymous Coward (you) that can make such infammatory allegations, yet can't even spell "license" correctly, let alone foramt a proper sentence.

Do YOU have a callsign, Anonymous Coward? Do you have a name? Who are you to make such allegations without sharing YOUR name, YOUR callsign or YOUR qualifications to decide if this person warants ANY scrutiny.

Until then, stick it in your ear, you creep.

Steven J Robeson, LPN
K4YZ
Winchester, Tennessee

6164. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 16:11:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110220811.597ebc86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22408

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011018201218.29362.00000044@nso-bk.aol.com>...
> In article <3bce2fd2.20648208@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement
> >(Oct 12, 2001) -- Saying that it was "setting aside any previous
> >relevant decisions," the International Amateur Radio Union
> >Administrative Council this week resolved
> > that IARU policy supports "the removal of Morse code testing as an
> >ITU requirement for an amateur license to operate on frequencies below
> >30 MHz." The Council further resolved to urge member societies--as an
> >interim measure--to seek Morse code testing speeds "not exceeding five
> >words per minute."
> >
> >In case you missed the full story, go to:
> >http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/10/12/100/?nc=1

None of this should come as a surprise. The member societies have been
moving towards reduction and elimination of code testing for several
years now, and so have many of their governments. At the last Region 3
IARU meeting, the vote was one short of unanimous to recommend
elimination of mandatory Morse testing. The one vote to keep it was
the ARRL rep, who had to vote that way because he was directed to do
so by ARRL BOD policy of the time. Shortly after that meeting, the
ARRL BOD changed that policy.
>
> It can also be seen on the IARU website. :-)

Membership dollars at work.
>
> The IARU is only an advisory group. They have NO vote, none,
> zip, nada, at any WRC.

So?

> The only ones who can vote at WRCs
> are the administrations of member nations.

We know, Len. ;-)
>
> The IARU website also contains a truly fine work of graphics
> art in their position paper on the 40m band sharing controversy.
> I'll give them a big round of applause for that one and it should
> be worth the download time for the PDF of about 624K.

Membership dollars at work.
>
> Unfortunately, the 40m band sharing thing has been going
> around and around since 1979 at that WARC. That's a period
> of 22 years of little to no resolution by all the previous IARU
> advice.

Nope. It's been going on since the 1938 Cairo conference. Back then, many governments wanted more room for SWBC to push their version of news and hopefully influence world events. The sharing of 7100 - 7300 was a compromise based on politics, not propagation. The problem is simple: shortwave broadcasters don't want to give up any spectrum, or even move their existing transmitters.
>
> Meanwhile, the FCC IB IWG-6 hasn't yet reached any position
> for a draft on item 1.7 which concerns three items. One is the
> morse code test of ITU-R S25.5. One is on the 40m band
> sharing or non-sharing issue.

More than a year to go. Lots of time.
>
> The Vice Chair of IWG-6 is an ARRL man.

Hope springs eternal. But the USA only gets one vote.

N2EY

6165. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 16:44:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022124414.12980.00000750@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22409

>Subject: Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/22/2001 11:11 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0110220811.597ebc86@posting.google.com>

>> >IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement
>> >(Oct 12, 2001) -- Saying that it was "setting aside any previous
>> >relevant decisions,"

This would be, of course, the stagnation and lack of forward motion that
His Gonadlessness would be ranting over.

Of course it's not true, but it makes him feel good to say it.

>None of this should come as a surprise.

For those of us who are ACTIVE Amateur Radio operators, it wasn't, Jim.
For the unlicensed, unknowing, uncaring Putz. it certainly is....

>> Unfortunately, the 40m band sharing thing has been going
>> around and around since 1979 at that WARC. That's a period
>> of 22 years of little to no resolution by all the previous IARU
>> advice.
>
>Nope. It's been going on since the 1938 Cairo conference.

Lennie is behind the power curve again.

>The problem is
>simple: shortwave broadcasters don't want to give up any spectrum, or
>even move their existing transmitters.

Not to mention the utilities that have point-to-point facilities above and
below the Amateur bands.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6166. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 17:06:22 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tt8kgfo77c805e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output power that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?

Typical argumentative "I am holier than you, and my antenna system will whip your linear's butt".

Notice how he only quoted PART of my text, since if the rest was taken into account, it would make his comment fecal matter.

Toll_Free

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD249A3.226C0CB9@mailcity.net...
> Typical BS cber thinking.
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > > Power IS the deciding factor.
> > >
> > >

6167. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 17:10:44 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tt8kond2f3jtf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Sparky" <fgillil@tincan.org> wrote in message
news:e182e02.0110210255.21f36bb8@posting.google.com...
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tt4harp3m30d03@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Doubtful
> >
> >
> > We run better or equal antennas as you do.
> >
> > So, yes, power IS the deciding factor, when running a stacked set of
> > mono-band 7 element antennas.
>
> Does that come in a mag-mount model?

No, that would be on the base station.

On the mobile, it isn't uncommon to find people running 3, 4, 5 even 6
element mobile antennas at a keydown.

>
> > Power IS the deciding factor.
>
> I don't think so, toll.

So, with equal antennas, you believe the guy with a lower power output from
his transmitter or amplifier will have a greater
chance of being heard than someone with twice the output power?

Please explain that.

You, like many of the other misguided souls in
> this newsgroup, have misconstrued the meaning of the word "communication".

We are not talking about comms, we are talking about a shootout competition.

Listen during CQWW and you will find the same things on the amateur bands.

Besides, you can throw anything into an argument, and if it is off topic,
you will
find that you can argue any point.

> It's not about 'power'; it's about control, cooperation, and courtesy.
> With nothing more than a stock CB, I can communicate with anyone anywhere
> on the planet.

And with my 2Kw amplifier, I can do so more often, with more reliability.

If that WASN'T the case, you wouldn't find the proliferation of amplifier manufacturers
in the commercial and amateur arena, would you? I mean, after all, everyone wants to spend
money on unecessary items? Don't you?

I can also win a keydown against the biggest amp you can
> find. It's easy. All you have to do is know how to communicate.

lol.

Your going to win against a mobile to mobile competition using a barefoot radio against someone
with a 80Kw output? All else being equal?

extranneous BS snipped in the interest of brevity.

Toll_Free
The Man your Mommy calls Daddy

6168. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 17:13:16 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tt8ktgt7etsf2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
news:7qm6tt0q7bl45h3jkgnpclrok8noeu69oc@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2001 13:50:36 -0700, voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> wrote:
>
>
> >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
> >
> >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band
with you?
>
> No, Aaron, they don't. That's the difference between the ARS and the
> license-free radio services like CB.

75 meters. Enough said.

2 meters, just in case you didn't get it the first time.

Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder why?

ARS is just as dirty, just as political, and has just as many bad apples as
the CB radio
does in proportion. When the US ARS service has as many people in it as the
CB service does,
then you can compare the two. Otherwise, your comparing apples to oranges.

In addition to caring about our
> own enjoyment of radio, we hams also care about other people's
> enjoyment of radio. They don't.

lol.

Hence the problem with ARS operators coming to the 11 meter band.

Hence the problem with ARS operators getting busted for signal jamming

Hence the problem with ARS operators building illegal output amps.

Hence the problem with ARS operators and them building illegal 11 meter
amps.

Blah Blah Blah.

TollHolio

6169. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 17:56:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Q4ZA7.149329$W8.3983086@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tt8ktgt7etsf2c@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder why?
>
> ARS is just as dirty, just as political, and has just as many bad apples
as
> the CB radio
> does in proportion. When the US ARS service has as many people in it as
the
> CB service does,
> then you can compare the two. Otherwise, your comparing apples to
oranges.
>
>

Hollingsworth is beginning with the ham bands because hams raised enough hell to get him appointed. His title is 'Special Counsel for Amateur Radio' BTW. And if you want to see some the results just go to Rec.Radio.Amateur.Misc where a few idiots that have been Rileyed now find it safer to conduct their stupidities.

Apples and Oranges, all right. The Amateur Service cared enough to begin the resurection of the Enforcement Bureau.

Dick NBK

6170. Re: Where is my America going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 18:14:02 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD461EA.FD89F13@uci.edu>
Source record: 22414

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> ROFLMAO....!!!!!!

I never knew geography was so hilarious.

> > Afghansitan *is* in Asia.
> > --
> > cheers, CAM, W5DXP

6171. Re: WA4SEX Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 18:40:06 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD46806.6AE6368C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22415

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> ... yet can't even spell "license" correctly,
> let alone foramt a proper sentence.

People who live in glass houses ... :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6172. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 19:01:45 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tt8r8u8tci0k29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:tt8ktgt7etsf2c@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder
why?
> >
> > ARS is just as dirty, just as political, and has just as many bad apples
> as
> > the CB radio
> > does in proportion. When the US ARS service has as many people in it as
> the
> > CB service does,
> > then you can compare the two. Otherwise, your comparing apples to
> oranges.
> >
> >
>
> Hollingsworth is beginning with the ham bands because hams raised enough
> hell to get him appointed.

I see it as "the federal government tired of the constant problems that where brought to their attention via letter writing and phone calls, and as such, they got a "cop" to police the problem area (spectrum).

Reading anything else into it is utter gibberish.

His title is 'Special Counsel for Amateur Radio'
> BTW. And if you want to see some the results just go to
> Rec.Radio.Amateur.Misc where a few idiots that have been Rileyed now find
it
> safer to conduct their stupidities.

I am not saying he is doing a bad thing, nor am I against what he does.

Nor do I disagree that the idiots running class C boxes, dirty overmodulated radios (see my problem with Eitner and his advocation of clipping limiters, etc) or trashy equipment need to be run off the air, given stiff fines, etc.

However, the person running a clean station, that has fun on his radio doesn't need to be bothered, either. I can run 3Kw - 3500 watts around my neighborhood and have no problems with any of my neighbors. However, I can also hook up a driver amp, and a CB radio and take out the entire subdivision.

I have ALWAYS been an advocate of running power, but running power CLEANLY.

>
> Apples and Oranges, all right. The Amateur Service cared enough to begin
> the resurection of the Enforcement Bureau.

lol. I doubt that very much. Please cite reference to the claim (not calling you a liar, but I don't think that it was ARS that brought Hollingsworth on, I see it more as the Senators and Reps. getting tired of the constant complaints). However, you may be right, what with the @$$holes that proliferate 75 and 2 meters. I may be wrong, but I doubt it.

>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

Have a good one Dick.

Toll_Free

6173. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 19:37:13 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110221137.953952f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" tollfree@bigradios.com wrote:
>"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
>news:7qm6tt0q7bl45h3jkgnpclrok8noeu69oc@4ax.com...
> > On 20 Oct 2001 13:50:36 -0700, voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> > >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
> > >
> > >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band
> with you?
> >
> > No, Aaron, they don't. That's the difference between the ARS and the
> > license-free radio services like CB.

>75 meters. Enough said.
>
>2 meters, just in case you didn't get it the first time.
>
>Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder
why?

Because hams literally begged him to. The majority of hams are decent
people who do not want anarchy and lawbreaking on their bands.

>ARS is just as dirty, just as political, and has just as many bad
apples as
>the CB radio
>does in proportion. When the US ARS service has as many people in it
as the
>CB service does,
>then you can compare the two. Otherwise, your comparing apples to
oranges.

Uh, how does that justify the use of 8 kilowatts (now in another
thread you guys are talking about 80 KILOWATTS) on CB?????

> > In addition to caring about our
> > own enjoyment of radio, we hams also care about other people's
> > enjoyment of radio. They don't.

>lol.
>
>Hence the problem with ARS operators coming to the 11 meter band.
>

>Hence the problem with ARS operators getting busted for signal
jamming
>
>Hence the problem with ARS operators building illegal output amps.
>
>Hence the problem with ARS operators and them building illegal 11
meter
>amps.
>
>Blah Blah Blah.
>
>
>TollHolio

What's especially disturbing about this is that you are a licensed ham, Shane. Apparently you are one of the ones "gone bad".

-Aaron-

6174. Re: WA4SEX Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 19:59:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022155918.12807.00000801@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22418

>Subject: Re: WA4SEX Information
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 10/22/2001 1:40 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD46806.6AE6368C@IEEE.org>

>People who live in glass houses

Ahhhh yessss....but mine is BULLET PROOF! =)

(And I wasn't the one making the allegation!)

73

(Nice Call, Cecil....)

Steve, K4YZ

6175. Re: WA4SEX and W5TIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 20:08:40 UTC
From: LRod-removethispart-@pobox.com
Message-ID: <3bd46d54.142724235@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 22 Oct 2001 19:46:02 GMT, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) wrote:

>
>Do they have web sites with adult pictures on them? Do the sites have
>free areas for visitors to view sample pics?

Do you mean as in you would have a website about forest preserves
because "park" is part of your name?

Picking some titillating combination of letters out of context from a
complete symbolic set such as a callsign or name to make some
pejorative assertion thereupon is imbecilic.

LRod

6176. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 20:24:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022162419.27550.00000182@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 22420

In article <9f4bfe89.0110220811.597ebc86@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>None of this should come as a surprise. The member societies have been
>moving towards reduction and elimination of code testing for several
>years now, and so have many of their governments. At the last Region 3
>IARU meeting, the vote was one short of unanimous to recommend
>elimination of mandatory Morse testing. The one vote to keep it was
>the ARRL rep, who had to vote that way because he was directed to do
>so by ARRL BOD policy of the time. Shortly after that meeting, the
>ARRL BOD changed that policy.
>>
>> It can also be seen on the IARU website. :-)
>
>Membership dollars at work.

...and that means what? A cynical comment?

>> The IARU is only an advisory group. They have NO vote, none,
>> zip, nada, at any WRC.
>
>So?

It is misdirection to speak of "representation" when all they
are is a special-interest lobbying organization.

>> The only ones who can vote at WRCs
>> are the administrations of member nations.
>
>We know, Len. ;-)

Judging from previous commentary that may have been
forgotten.

>> Unfortunately, the 40m band sharing thing has been going
>> around and around since 1979 at that WARC. That's a period
>> of 22 years of little to no resolution by all the previous IARU
>> advice.
>
>Nope. It's been going on since the 1938 Cairo conference. Back then,
>many governments wanted more room for SWBC to push their version of
>news and hopefully influence world events. The sharing of 7100 - 7300
>was a compromise based on politics, not propagation. The problem is
>simple: shortwave broadcasters don't want to give up any spectrum, or
>even move their existing transmitters.

If the "problem is so simple" then why hasn't there been
any resolution since WARC-79?

22 years is a long time to wait, isn't it?

>> Meanwhile, the FCC IB IWG-6 hasn't yet reached any position
>> for a draft on item 1.7 which concerns three items. One is the
>> morse code test of ITU-R S25.5. One is on the 40m band
>> sharing or non-sharing issue.
>
>More than a year to go. Lots of time.

I'm sure that was said in 1980 waiting for WARC-81.

The NTIA made its decision six months ago. The NTIA is
part of the US administration.

>> The Vice Chair of IWG-6 is an ARRL man.
>
>Hope springs eternal. But the USA only gets one vote.

The ARRL does NOT get a single vote at any WRC.
Neither does the IARU.

"Membership dollars at work?"

6177. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 20:24:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022162421.27550.00000183@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 22421

In article <20011021160430.21075.00000125@nso-cu.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>..... But you don't want to deal with the
>>>reality of a ham who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
>>>Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.
>>
>>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!
>
>It's the simple truth.
>>
>>Good heavens, NO.
>
>There. You have finally admitted it. We're making progress.

Sorry, that was not an "admission," but an answer to "it's
the simple truth."

It is not truth if Miccolis says it over and over again. (he will,
it is his style...)

>>I don't have enough time to spend on pointing
>>out your errors, spin, and presentation of amateur mythology as
>>"truth" when it isn't anything more than mythology.
>
>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!
>
>Translation: You know I'm right, so you attack the messenger instead of the
>message.

Translation: You know I'm right, so you attack the messenger
instead of the message.

>You're just confirming that you don't want to deal with the reality of a ham
>who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
>Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.

Judging from all those claims of "superiority" I doubt there
are many mortals who can meet such a "challenge."

>> I've been around the industry and have shared information
>>and knowledge both ways with all ranks, including PhDs, all who
>>did not wave credentials as surrogates for "mastery" of "having
>>mastered" something.
>
>I predicted that nothing I could do would convince you.

No, you wish to attack the messenger for not admiring
your whatevers in what you SAY you can do. So far, in
regards to "mastery of the Smith Chart" all that anyone
has seen is some homework on "examples" that were
contributed after at least a week.

>Change just for the sake of change is pointless. Progress involves change,
>but
>all change is not progress. You hold up "change" as a sacred mantra that no
>one must question. Well, I'm questioning it, and showing that the emperor has
>no new clothes.

Saying that old things are still with us, as if they were a part
of everyday technology in sizeable numbers, is false.

The rejection of anyone advocating change is seen by Miccolis
to be some sort of "sacred mantra that no one must question?"
Ridiculous. Absurd.

>You say you're all for "change" but you don't say why or where that change
>should lead. Anyone who follows such a pied piper deserves what they get.

Anyone who continues to favor a code test as a pass-fail
criterion for amateur radio licensing seems to think they
are "deserving" of a federal welfare program to yield them
titles, status, and privilege. Anyone who follows such a
pie-eyed piper deserves to remain rooted in antiquity.

>Was the recent change in the Manhattan skyline a good thing? I don't think
>so.

Excuse me? Is advocacy of eliminating the amateur code
test "terrorism?!?!?"

Miccolis must think so considering such an off-the-wall
question.

>Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service that you
>don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly with those who
>DO use that service.

The FCC does not require any part of its staff to USE
amateur radio...nor to be licensed as an amateur.

The FCC allows ANY citizen to comment on its
regulations about any radio service.

Miccolis favors "turf ownership," part of the human Territorial
Imperative which is, in this case, false and misleading. I will
not stress that it is also against the First Amendment since
some amateurs feel that it does not apply to anyone
concerning amateur radio regulations.

>And when opposed, and your errors revealed and rebutted,
>you call your opponents names and insult them with snide

>comments.

So...one cannot call N2EY as N2EY even though that is how
he "signs" his messages? He cannot be called "Miccolis"
because using a surname is a "bad name?"

I do not accept some statements of mine being called
"erroneous" or "in error" for being based on referencible
databases. I do not accept some statements of mine
being called an "error" because they show certain things
that are against the error-caller viewpoints.

> If you are the
>future, Len, it's no wonder some folks want the past.

Ah, so snide comments, indeed borderline insults, are
"acceptible" if done by Miccolis but are "unacceptible"
if done by others against his viewpoints? Yes, a double
standard at work.

>But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.

...and with very old ideas on what constitutes entrance intp
amateur radio...except to do as Miccolis did....

We know that.

6178. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:16:21 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <1i29tt4naug29hpgsum83gt35js50peu1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 22422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 12:06:22 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output power
>that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?

Believe it or not, yes, he would still have a chance of being heard
when you are "keyed up" especially in single sideband mode when you
would be emitting basically no signal at all unless you were speaking.
The second you stop talking, even if your transmitter is still on, he
can be heard regardless.

Furthermore, the propagation path from your location to the receiving
station may not be as good as the path from his location to the
receiving station. If I'm on the east coast and in the greyline along
with a station on the east coast of, say, Australia, and you're in the
midwest...the greyline enhancement on my signal at the receiving
station might be the difference that allows me to (as we used to say
on the CB band back in the 70's) "walk the dog" so to speak.

>Typical argumentative "I am holier than you, and my antenna system will whip
>your linear's butt".

It isn't ONLY power or ONLY the antena, Toll. You key up with 10,000
watts on AM, and if I key up with a "measly" 100 watts P.E.P. on USB,
my signal will ride your carrier right to the receiving station. I
will be heard. Get a shortwave receiver and park it on 10 MHz at night
and eventually you'll hear the proof of this concept in the
Spanish-speaking sideband stations riding WWV's 10kW AM carrier across
most of North America.

>Notice how he only quoted PART of my text, since if the rest was taken into
>account, it would make his comment fecal matter.

I think neither of you took everything into account. I haven't either,
since I'm not here to write a book on basic communications theory.
What we're saying is that there are less expensive and more efficient
ways to get your signal to a desired location - without interfering
with everyone else on the band for 500 khz on either side of you.

73

6179. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:18:30 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <e639tt0t5bbogt1e3iq3rkif9tpm6noj93@4ax.com>
Source record: 22423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 12:10:44 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Your going to win against a mobile to mobile competition using a barefoot
>radio against someone
>with a 80Kw output? All else being equal?

Well, here's the problem: all else is almost never equal.

>extranneous BS snipped in the interest of brevity.

Likewise. :-)

73

6180. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:24:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD47F7C.33B671B0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yep, assuming all conditions equal, your twice my power is only
3db over me, I can still be heard. Typical cb thinking, more
power, more power, splatter, splatter, splatter.

Toll Free wrote:
>
> So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output power
> that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?
>
> Typical argumentative "I am holier than you, and my antenna system will whip
> your linear's butt".
>
> Notice how he only quoted PART of my text, since if the rest was taken into
> account, it would make his comment fecal matter.
>

6181. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:28:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free wrote:
>
> On the mobile, it isn't uncommon to find people running 3, 4, 5 even 6
> element mobile antennas at a keydown.

Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.

6182. Re: WA4SEX and W5TIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:28:01 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <6o39tt47qt9o9qq9htd3ssibvnfclukdko@4ax.com>
Source record: 22425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 22 Oct 2001 19:46:02 GMT, sparkr@bimminy.com (Stu Parker) wrote:

>
>Do they have web sites with adult pictures on them? Do the sites have
>free areas for visitors to view sample pics?

If you find out that they do, kindly post the URL for both.

In the meantime...what about W1SEX, W2SEX, and so on?

73

6183. Re: WA4SEX and W5TIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:29:24 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <hr39tt02a38r97dor767mphlcqcm7h8ggu@4ax.com>
Source record: 22427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 20:08:40 GMT, LRod-removethispart-@pobox.com
(LRod) wrote:

>Picking some titillating combination of letters out of context from a
>complete symbolic set such as a callsign or name to make some
>pejorative assertion thereupon is imbecilic.

Titillating? Come on, admit it OM, you chose that word on purpose.

73

6184. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:31:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD48111.E23C5DAC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And the amateur radio service is much more important that the garbage cb band, so Riley is much more interested in keeping it clean. When he gets it cleaned up, your cb sewer pit of communications will be next.

Toll Free wrote:

>
> Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder why?

6185. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:39:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD48306.61799EED@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Illegal is illegal no matter how clean you try to make it.
Ninenty-nine percent plus of the hams run legal, ninenty-nine
percent plus of cbers don't, something the cbers seem to be proud
which tells you the character of cb radio.

Toll Free wrote:

>
> I have ALWAYS been an advocate of running power, but running power CLEANLY.

6186. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 21:55:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022175519.12314.00000742@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22430

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/22/2001 3:24 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011022162421.27550.00000183@nso-bj.aol.com>

>Sorry, that was not an "admission," but an answer to "it's
>the simple truth."

Oooooh-kay....Lennie says people have to "admit" to things, not "answer" them.

If that's not the delusions of a manipulator, I don't know what is.

>It is not truth if Miccolis says it over and over again. (he will,
>it is his style

And that is another Leonard H. Anderson blatant lie.

Does your wife know what a liar you are? Or perhaps she LIKES being lied to...Helps to make her life in Scumbagville tolerable.

>Translation: You know I'm right, so you attack the messenger
>instead of the message.

Again, you are lying. You canopt answer this maturely. Not that we ever expected you to. You cannot.

>Judging from all those claims of "superiority" I doubt there
>are many mortals who can meet such a "challenge."

Considering the pedestal you have put yourself on, that shouldn't be an issue.

>No, you wish to attack the messenger for not admiring
>your whatevers in what you SAY you can do.

Jim's "problems" employing the Smith Chart have been rather thourough. Guess you're having a problem with that, huh...?!?

>So far, in
>regards to "mastery of the Smith Chart" all that anyone
>has seen is some homework on "examples" that were
>contributed after at least a week.

Which so far is two years ahead of anything you have had to offer.

We are still waiting on the microwave practices thread, Lennie. What's a matter...no time in your busy schedule???

You have been so adamant that "professional engineers" have so much to offer us, yet so far all you offer is lies and antagonism.

>Saying that old things are still with us, as if they were a part
>of everyday technology in sizeable numbers, is false.

It is true. Analog satellites are still in orbit. Analog technology is still a sought after engineering skill...Ergo, it IS true.

>The rejection of anyone advocating change is seen by Miccolis
>to be some sort of "sacred mantra that no one must question?"

It is a mantra that you reject. You refuse to accept that change in the Amateur Radio Service is a daily occurence. THAT is a false assumption on Your Gonadlessness' part.

>Ridiculous. Absurd.

Yes...You are...

>Anyone who continues to favor a code test as a pass-fail
>criterion for amateur radio licensing seems to think they
>are "deserving" of a federal welfare program to yield them
>titles, status, and privilege. Anyone who follows such a
>pie-eyed piper deserves to remain rooted in antiquity.

And here we have it...Anyone who does not support Lennie the Liar is antiquated.

Of course we (yes...WE) know who the antique is...He's dying a slow, lonely death on Lanark Street.

>>Was the recent change in the Manhattan skyline a good thing? I don't think
>>so.
>
>Excuse me? Is advocacy of eliminating the amateur code
>test "terrorism?!?!?"

Why do you ask? It was NOT a part of the quote that you paraphrased Jim from.....

.>Miccolis must think so considering such an off-the-wall
>question.

You must have given a lot of consideration on how to pose a trollfull question in order to further obfuscate your own foolishness. That's the one thing you do well and without fear of contradiction.

>The FCC does not require any part of its staff to USE
>amateur radio...nor to be licensed as an amateur.

Which has nothing to do with the comments at hand...More obfuscation.

>The FCC allows ANY citizen to comment on its
>regulations about any radio service.

Even lying scumbags such as yourself.

>Miccolis favors "turf ownership," part of the human Territorial
>Imperative which is, in this case, false and misleading.

He said nothing of the sort.

>I will
>not stress that it is also against the First Amendment since
>some amateurs feel that it does not apply to anyone
>concerning amateur radio regulations.

The First Amendment pertains to freedom of speech guaranteed to citizens over the government...Not by citizen over citizen. Your only "guarantees" are from the government by the government.

>So...one cannot call N2EY as N2EY even though that is how
>he "signs" his messages? He cannot be called "Miccolis"
>because using a surname is a "bad name?"

What's your problem with calling him "Jim" like he calls you "Len"..???

>I do not accept some statements of mine being called
>"erroneous" or "in error" for being based on referencible
>databases.

Sure...why should you accept ANY responsiblity for ANY of your actions? You never have...you never will.

>I do not accept some statements of mine
>being called an "error" because they show certain things
>that are against the error-caller viewpoints.

They are called that because they ARE in error. You can't stand it that we mere mortals (including some of whom are far more educated and talented than you ever THOUGHT of being in 15 years of night school...) can routinely see through your lies and rhetoric.

>> If you are the
>>future, Len, it's no wonder some folks want the past.
>
>Ah, so snide comments, indeed borderline insults, are
>"acceptible" if done by Miccolis but are "unacceptible"
>if done by others against his viewpoints? Yes, a double
>standard at work.

That's not what he said. But I am sure you wish it was.

>>But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.
>
>...and with very old ideas on what constitutes entrance intp
>amateur radio...except to do as Miccolis did.

That's not what he said either, but again, I am sure you wish he did...It would justify the rants...

>We know that.

>>YOU<< think you know that. WE know better than you.

As always.

6187. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 22:00:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022180001.12314.00000743@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22431

>Subject: Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/22/2001 3:24 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20011022162419.27550.00000182@nso-bj.aol.com>

>It is misdirection to speak of "representation" when all they
>are is a special-interest lobbying organization.

The likelyhood that they provide "representation" is far greater than the
likelyhood that you have anything constructive or innovative to offer.

>Judging from previous commentary that may have been
>forgotten.

Only by you.

>If the "problem is so simple" then why hasn't there been
>any resolution since WARC-79?

If the problem WERE that simple it would have been done that soon.

>22 years is a long time to wait, isn't it?

You've waited this long to get an Amateur Radio license and THAT hasn't
happened yet either, yet is far more simple to rectify. So why haven't you
fixed THAT?

>The NTIA made its decision six months ago. The NTIA is
>part of the US administration.

And is not applicable to CIVIL radio.

6188. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 22:29:28 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <c51B7.149086$5A3.51123070@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What is it called when hams do this ?

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net...

> Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
> get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.

6189. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 22:30:09 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3bd99e24.18496006@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 12:10:44 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>So, with equal antennas, you believe the guy with a lower power output from
>his transmitter or amplifier will have a greater
>chance of being heard than someone with twice the output power?
>
>Please explain that.

Easy. Each doubling of output power gives a 3 dB increase. If I have
a million watts and you have two million watts, your signal isn't
going to be twice as strong.

6190. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 22:35:12 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3bd79d8c.18344337@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 22434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output power
>that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?

Good point. Equal antennas will mean virtually equal signal.
Doubling the output power gives a 3 dB increase. That's less than
half an S-unit. If he's running an S-9, and I have an equal antenna,
that means I'll be slightly over an S-8 1/2. That's not much of a
difference.

6191. Re: WA4SEX and W5TIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 22:39:26 UTC
From: LRod-removethispart-@pobox.com
Message-ID: <3bd49130.151905596@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 21:29:24 GMT, Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 20:08:40 GMT, LRod-removethispart-@pobox.com
>(LRod) wrote:
>
>
>>Picking some titillating combination of letters out of context from a
>>complete symbolic set such as a callsign or name to make some
>>pejorative assertion thereupon is imbecilic.
>
>Titillating? Come on, admit it OM, you chose that word on purpose.

Absolutely. Same with assertion.

LRod

6192. Re: Off to NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 23:10:48 UTC
From: webdoc@mailandnews.com
Message-ID: <de9751ca.0110221510.60e3ac8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> I'm working at the brooklyn chapter HQ, on the first floor, I work in
> Logistics, my office is in the courier dispatch room drop in sometime
> I'll buy you a coffee. or email me direct and I'll give you my cell #
> here. My email is in the header.
>
> Keith Hosman

Well no reply, so either you were trying to stir up trouble or you realize I am here.

I enjoyed my day off, I walked down to the USS Intrepid museum, then walked to the port authority terminal and took the c train to spring street, then walked west to cross verick to the N.Y. Fire Department museum, they have got some great old equiptment in the apparatus room there, reminds me of the pictures of my grandfather on the equiptment at the station back home many many years ago.

hope everyone had a great and a safe weekend.

Keith Hosman

6193. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 23:23:55 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <gia9ttkcf9bm0pcboehikt50u33chqm2tq@4ax.com>
Source record: 22437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 22:29:28 GMT, "Itsallgood"
<itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:

>What is it called when hams do this ?
>
>"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>news:3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net...
>
>> Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
>> get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.
>

When hams do this, it's called rec.radio.amateur.policy

73

6194. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-22 23:38:48 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <c62B7.424887$si5.12298627@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

80 let alone 8 kWs... In their dreams. It is like these guys with the car
stereos saying they have 11bazillion watts of audio.

Whatever.

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0110221137.953952f@posting.google.com...
> "Toll Free" tollfree@bigradios.com wrote:
> >"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
> >news:7qm6tt0q7bl45h3jkgnpclrok8noeu69oc@4ax.com...
> > > On 20 Oct 2001 13:50:36 -0700, voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
> > > >
> > > >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the
band
> > with you?
> > >
> > > No, Aaron, they don't. That's the difference between the ARS and the
> > > license-free radio services like CB.
>
>
> >75 meters. Enough said.
> >
> >2 meters, just in case you didn't get it the first time.
> >
> >Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder
> why?
>
>
>
> Because hams literally begged him to. The majority of hams are decent
> people who do not want anarchy and lawbreaking on their bands.
>
>
>
> >ARS is just as dirty, just as political, and has just as many bad
> apples as
> >the CB radio
> >does in proportion. When the US ARS service has as many people in it
> as the
> >CB service does,

> >then you can compare the two. Otherwise, your comparing apples to
> oranges.
>
>
> Uh, how does that justify the use of 8 kilowatts (now in another
> thread you guys are talking about 80 KILOWATTS) on CB?????
>
>
> > > In addition to caring about our
> > > own enjoyment of radio, we hams also care about other people's
> > > enjoyment of radio. They don't.
>
> >lol.
> >
> >Hence the problem with ARS operators coming to the 11 meter band.
> >
> >Hence the problem with ARS operators getting busted for signal
> jamming
> >
> >Hence the problem with ARS operators building illegal output amps.
> >
> >Hence the problem with ARS operators and them building illegal 11
> meter
> >amps.
> >
> >Blah Blah Blah.
> >
> >
> >TollHolio
>
> What's especially disturbing about this is that you are a licensed
> ham, Shane. Apparently you are one of the ones "gone bad".
>
> -Aaron-

6195. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 00:12:21 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <FB2B7.182$7d.46877@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 22439

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD02017.8DA87761@sccoast.net...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > That is true..... Thank the Republicans
> > for that way of thinking also. They
> > want you to act only a certain way. They
> > want you to speak a certain way. They
> > want to control though. They basically
> > want us all as carbon copies. Nothing
> > unique or "different". Sounds a little
> > like Communism eh? Or Religion?
>
>
> This is not about republicans versus democrats, Ryan. Much of the
> legislation in Congress is being strongly supported by members of both
> political parties. Instead, this is about members of Congress who feel
> they must do something (to look good to voters) and are therefore
> rushing through legislation with little thought about possible future
implications.
>
> I also urge you to to learn more about republicans. Most are exactly
> opposite of your description above.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

I work at a "center point" of Republicanism.... It is a private college in
the midwest which is full of Republicans..... I have seen more than my fair
share.

Ryan

6196. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 00:12:22 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <GB2B7.183$7d.46877@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 22440

>
> What makes a club worth the time for me, personally, is a bunch of hams
> eager to learn and another bunch of hams capable of and eager to teach.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP

Come on..... you really think we will find any of the second group? :) I
am a willing participant in the first.

Ryan

6197. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 00:12:23 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <HB2B7.184$7d.46877@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 22441

A couple reasons why clubs aren't as full as they probably should be.....

Politics-

Any time you assemble a group of people together, you are not going to get an unanimous (sp.?) agreement on ANY topic.

Time-

The structure of a person life, now requires more people to be working more hours to achieve the same amount of income. Working families also have even less time.

Topic or Purpose of Club-

What is the purpose of the club? Is it just a "repeater" club? Is it a club that participates in contests, public service agencies etc? Some people may not be served well by the club in thier area for what they are looking for in the area. I have witnessed some clubs that worked under the "pod" system where they had the main pod being the club in general, with smaller pod cells surrounding the main pod and connected to it. (if you want to mentally visualize that) This way the different pods could serve a particular niche and satisfy that interest.

Promotion-

Does the club promote it self and the hobby? I have developed a plan for agressively putting amateur radio in the forefront. With contact with all radio, tv and print media within a 30 mile radius of our city, we actually have achieved some growth in our numbers. Hopefully more will come in my second year of doing this. I am writing a report for club promotion and will be distributing that in some form or another for interested parties.

Education-

Does your club educate? Offer classes? Offer training or practice sessions? This is a great way to increase membership.

Shyness or personality differences-

Some people are just naturally shy. The may like to speak to people on the air but not in groups. They may like to converse in the coldest, most impersonal form of communication, morse code, but again, may not like being in groups. Some hams are what may be (not properly though) viewed as "goody-two-shoes/anal retentive type of nerds," which is a bad impression of our community. Some people may be turned off by that. I have personally had to contend with that stereotype when trying to promote the hobby. Hams come from a variety of lifestyles, personalities etc.....

I guess the main thing also to remember, clubs seem to operate in cycles. Some years there is record attendance and enrollment. Others it is just the opposite. Also, some clubs always seem to have 20 percent either doing most of the work or participating, and the other 80 percent do not. This may be a turnoff to some club members especially if they are in the 20%.

--
Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX
Public Relations Manager
Midland Amateur Radio Club
Midland, MI

Amateur Radio Is Fun!!! Ask me!
Check out www.qsl.net/w8kea

6198. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 00:12:24 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <IB2B7.185$7d.46877@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 22442

"George Csahanin" <georgec@dyb.com> wrote in message
news:yFBA7.1222$J84.566872169@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> There's no way a Burger King is going to average $15,000 a day in
> sales...more like $2000/day.
>
> -GC

On the contrary..... I personally am aware of some fast food places in my local area (Bay City, Midland, Saginaw Michigan area) that do between $5,000-10,000. Just depends on the location.

Ryan

6199. K3QAM is he TR's Butt Buddy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 00:51:23 UTC
From: herbiebabykv4fz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011022205123.06929.00000866@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 22443

That's all that damn Queenie talks about....TR, TR, blah blah TR etc. etc.

What I want to know, is what TR did with my legal defense fund money?

I never saw a penney of it.

He probably drank it up.

Queenie, call me, I can't afford a call to the mainland anymore and i've run out of codes.

Herbie Baby KV4FZ

6200. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 01:31:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4B96C.E9608281@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
than to run tons of illegal power from a chevy truck with 12
alternators strapped together driving high count transistor
'lenyars' producing splatter over the radio spectrum just so they
can 'out key' some other moron for some stupid bragging rights.

Itsallgood wrote:
>
> What is it called when hams do this ?
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net...
>
> > Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
> > get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.

6201. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 02:14:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4D283.6A86EF8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22445

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> I work at a "center point" of
> Republicanism.... It is a private
> college in the midwest which is
> full of Republicans..... I have
> seen more than my fair share.

Well, if you're going to make such blanket stereotypes, then you obviously haven't seen your fair share. Republicans, nationwide, are as diverse in their political opinions as the Democrats, perhaps even more so. It would be almost impossible to pin a single opinion on ALL Republicans. Indeed that is probably one of the weaknesses of the party at the moment - they have few common goals and opinions (other than the desire to beat the Democrats and their disorganized support for the Bill of Rights). The Democrats, in addition to their common goal to defeat Republicans, also have other relatively common political opinions and goals (environment, social engineering, and so forth).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6202. Re: Have you noticed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 02:16:18 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4D2EE.9342BD42@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22446

CAM wrote:
>
> Since Homo sapiens evolved in Africa,
> let's send all Homo sapiens back to
> Africa.

Please!!! Don't give them any ideas, Cecil. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6203. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 02:23:01 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <9w4B7.149980$5A3.51482391@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yeah, I guess when guys are yelling CQ Contest Cq Contest that is not a form of a keydown. Yes they have better sense, instead they run big power out of there house and not a mobile. The hams braggin rights are qsl cards and the Arrl Contest Plaques braggin rights, getting there name in Qst. Lay down Mud duck!

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD4B96C.E9608281@mailcity.net...
> How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> than to run tons of illegal power from a chevy truck with 12
> alternators strapped together driving high count transistor
> 'lenyars' producing splatter over the radio spectrum just so they
> can 'out key' some other moron for some stupid bragging rights.
>
> Itsallgood wrote:
> >
> > What is it called when hams do this ?
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net...
> >
> > > Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
> > > get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.

6204. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 02:34:59 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4D748.55ECD408@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> So, if your running equal antennas
> as I am, and I run twice the output
> power that you do, you still have
> a chance of being heard when I am
> keyed up?

An experienced operator would not "key up" when you "key up."
Instead of trying to make it a competition about who can shout louder,
he will likely bide his time and wait for the opportunity for his
signal to be heard. He will also have enough experience to know when
and where to look on the radio dial to avoid that type of garbage.
But, even if he did "key down" with half the power, your signal would
not be that much stronger - twice the power does not equal twice as loud.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6205. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 02:44:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4D971.CC9CA7F6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> On the mobile, it isn't uncommon
> to find people running 3, 4, 5
> even 6 element mobile antennas
> at a keydown.

What is a "keydown," Toll? I envision a dozen or so drunken CB'ers sitting around a car wash parking lot transmitting at the same time to see which can be heard over the other.

> We are not talking about comms,
> we are talking about a shootout
> competition.

What is a "shootout competition?" Is this the home version of the car wash "keydown?"

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6206. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 03:46:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4E7F0.3BB9C24E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Hollingsworth went after the ARS
> before CB radio service. You
> wonder why?

Three reasons. Most ham operators invite that level of enforcement,
ham radio contributes more so is more valuable to the country, and
Amateur radio is easier to police because there are less problems to address.

> ARS is just as dirty, just as
> political, and has just as many
> bad apples as the CB radio does
> in proportion. When the US ARS
> service has as many people in it
> as the CB service does, then you
> can compare the two. (snip)

You have got to be kidding. There is routine garbage on the CB
frequencies that is very rare, if not totally unheard of, in the ham
bands (decent women afraid to even open their mouths for fear of
sexual harassment, widespread illegal equipment and operation, threats
of violence, and the list goes on). Ham radio, even on it's worst day,
is not even close to as bad as CB on it's very best day.

> Hence the problem with ARS
> operators getting busted for
> signal jamming

Once or twice a year, while a daily routine on CB.

> Hence the problem with ARS
> operators building illegal output
> amps.

Extremely rare for both radio services. Hams rarely operate illegal
power amplifiers, while most CB'ers (99%) would not even know how to
build an amplifier. However, if you compare the USE of illegal power
amplifiers (including those purchased), this is again extremely rare
for hams, while commonplace for CB'ers.

> Hence the problem with ARS
> operators and them building
> illegal 11 meter amps.

Again, extremely rare for both radio services. Most CB'ers (99%)
would not even know how to build an amplifier, while very few hams
would build illegal equipment for CB'ers.

> Blah Blah Blah.

Got any more of them, Toll? Why don't you talk about the treatment
of women on the CB frequencies? And we're not talking about the truck
stop prostitutes that hang out on channel 19 (even though most of them
are now avoiding CB also).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6207. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 03:58:04 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110221958.3dfaabd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tt8kond2f3jtf4@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Sparky" <fgillil@tincan.org> wrote in message
> news:e182e02.0110210255.21f36bb8@posting.google.com...
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:<tt4harp3m30d03@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Doubtful
> > >
> > >
> > > We run better or equal antennas as you do.
> > >
> > > So, yes, power IS the deciding factor, when running a stacked set of
> > > mono-band 7 element antennas.
> >
> > Does that come in a mag-mount model?
>
>
> No, that would be on the base station.
>
> On the mobile, it isn't uncommon to find people running 3, 4, 5 even 6
> element mobile antennas at a keydown.
>
>
> >
> > > Power IS the deciding factor.
> >
> > I don't think so, toll.
>
>
> So, with equal antennas, you believe the guy with a lower power output from
> his transmitter or amplifier will have a greater
> chance of being heard than someone with twice the output power?
>
> Please explain that.
>
>
>
> You, like many of the other misguided souls in
> > this newsgroup, have misconstrued the meaning of the word "communication".
>
>
> We are not talking about comms, we are talking about a shootout competition.
>

> Listen during CQWW and you will find the same things on the amateur bands.
>
> Besides, you can throw anything into an argument, and if it is off topic,
> you will
> find that you can argue any point.
>
>
>
> > It's not about 'power'; it's about control, cooperation, and courtesy.
> > With nothing more than a stock CB, I can communicate with anyone anywhere
> > on the planet.
>
>
> And with my 2Kw amplifier, I can do so more often, with more reliability.
>
> If that WASN'T the case, you wouldn't find the proliferation of amplifier
> manufacturers
> in the commercial and amateur arena, would you? I mean, after all, everyone
> wants to spend
> money on unecessary items? Don't you?
>
>
> I can also win a keydown against the biggest amp you can
> > find. It's easy. All you have to do is know how to communicate.
>
>
> lol.
>
> Your going to win against a mobile to mobile competition using a barefoot
> radio against someone
> with a 80Kw output? All else being equal?
>
> extranneous BS snipped in the interest of brevity.
>
>
> Toll_Free
> The Man your Mommy calls Daddy

Thanks for clearing that up -- I always thought that the whole purpose
of radio communications was communications. I didn't realize that radio
was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game. All those QRP rigs
are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And what's the point
of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
(which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days, huh?)

BTW, my mommy has been dead for quite a while, so I guess that makes
you a necrophiliac.

6208. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 04:01:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4EB64.7666AC1B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22502

KC8PMX wrote:
>
> On the contrary..... I personally am
> aware of some fast food places in my
> local area (Bay City, Midland, Saginaw
> Michigan area) that do between $5,000-
> 10,000. Just depends on the location.

Perhaps on their best days, but not on the average. There are just so many fast food places, located so close together, with costs so high, it is getting very hard to make decent money in that industry anymore. About the only way to make a good living (forget about making big money) is to own several in different locations (and even that is not certain).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6209. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 04:05:38 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD4EC92.2080B657@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
>
> No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
> quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
> deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
> resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
>

I've talked to Antartica, Argentina, Japan on 28MHz (ham 10 meters)
using a lousy attic dipole and 100W rig (TS440SAT) so you don't
need kilowatts of power when propagation is good.

Get a "general" ham license, only 5 words a minute code test now
and some multiple choice written test.
Look at http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/exam.html for practice
tests.

You can then use a linear
legally on many ham bands, AM, FM, SSB, digital modes, and there
are many HF SW bands with differing long distance DX "skipland"
propagation characteristics. Many work just fine when "skip"
is dead on CB 11 meters, like when the sunspot cycle bottoms out.

Don't feel blown off by a previous bad experience with a ham
club, there's many more out there that you'll like.

6210. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 04:30:26 UTC
From: Train <likeicare@all.com>
Message-ID: <Cn6B7.42011$wS2.5270663@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 22504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tt8r8u8tci0k29@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > news:tt8ktgt7etsf2c@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> I have ALWAYS been an advocate of running power, but running power
CLEANLY.
>
>
Amen Brother!

Train
Steel Wheels gettin' on down the tracks!

6211. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 05:15:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuf@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD4EDE2.560A11CB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Shucks, now you have upset him.

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> twice the power does not equal twice as loud.

6212. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 05:30:35 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110222130.34e46d44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3BD48306.61799EED@mailcity.net>...
> Illegal is illegal no matter how clean you try to make it.
> Ninenty-nine percent plus of the hams run legal, ninenty-nine
> percent plus of cbers don't...

Hey, what happened to Jay's 12 million CBers running amps?

6213. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 05:40:30 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110222140.2029674@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

fgillil@tincan.org (Sparky) wrote:
>JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message >news:<3BD48306.61799EED@mailcity.net>...
> > Illegal is illegal no matter how clean you try to make it.
> > Ninenty-nine percent plus of the hams run legal, ninenty-nine
> > percent plus of cbers don't...
>
>Hey, what happened to Jay's 12 million CBers running amps?

If Toll Free strapped them all together maybe he'd win the keydown :)

-Aaron-

6214. W9TO SK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 09:07:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011023050746.21276.00000126@nso-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 22508

It is my sad duty to report the silencing of truly great key. Got this from a reflector....

Jim Ricks, W9TO, passed away Friday, 20 Oct, at Lake Forest, WA. (Seattle)
He was 86 and had been battling prostate cancer for a couple of years. Jim was well known in CW circles as well as engineering, and was responsible for the monumental sea-change in keyer design, from analog to digital, in 1955, with the innovative astable-bistable multivibrator configuration, leading to perfect code without having to set multiple adjustments. Hallicrafters marketed his design as the "TO" keyer for many years, the tube design followed by the the solid state version.

Jim was also "founder" of the High-speed CW group CFO, (chicken fat operators: dit - dit - dit - - - di-dahhhhh) which he fondly called the "ultimate disorganization," not wanting to take any credit for it or have it attain any artificial importance.

Jim was a widower for nearly 10 years, following the passing of his wife, Pat.

---

73 de Jim, N2EY

6215. Re: IARU Administrative Council Calls for End to Morse Requirement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 12:02:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011023080238.00493.00000132@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 22509

In article <20011022162419.27550.00000182@nso-bj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <9f4bfe89.0110220811.597ebc86@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com >(N2EY) writes:
>
>>None of this should come as a surprise. The member societies have been >>moving towards reduction and elimination of code testing for several >>years now, and so have many of their governments. At the last Region 3 >>IARU meeting, the vote was one short of unanimous to recommend >>elimination of mandatory Morse testing. The one vote to keep it was >>the ARRL rep, who had to vote that way because he was directed to do >>so by ARRL BOD policy of the time. Shortly after that meeting, the >>ARRL BOD changed that policy.
>>>
>>> It can also be seen on the IARU website. :-)
>>
>>Membership dollars at work.
>
>...and that means what?

It is my understanding that IARU is funded by the member societies. So us ARRL members pay (in part) for what the IARU does, including that website stuff.

> A cynical comment?

Nope.

>
>>> The IARU is only an advisory group. They have NO vote, none,
>>> zip, nada, at any WRC.
>>
>>So?
>
>It is misdirection to speak of "representation" when all they
>are is a special-interest lobbying organization.

They represent the interests of amateur radio to the regulatory agenices. Without that representation, amateur radio would have been legislated out of existence long ago.

>
>>> The only ones who can vote at WRCs
>>> are the administrations of member nations.
>>
>>We know, Len. ;-)
>
>Judging from previous commentary that may have been

>forgotten.
>
Only by you ;-)
>
>>> Unfortunately, the 40m band sharing thing has been going
>>> around and around since 1979 at that WARC. That's a period
>>> of 22 years of little to no resolution by all the previous IARU
>>> advice.
>>
>>Nope. It's been going on since the 1938 Cairo conference. Back then,
>>many governments wanted more room for SWBC to push their version of
>>news and hopefully influence world events. The sharing of 7100 - 7300
>>was a compromise based on politics, not propagation. The problem is
>>simple: shortwave broadcasters don't want to give up any spectrum, or
>>even move their existing transmitters.
>
>If the "problem is so simple" then why hasn't there been
>any resolution since WARC-79?

The problem is simple but the solution is not. The SWBC folks don't want to
give up any spectrum and they don't even want to move. Many SWBC stations are
operated by their respective governments, so there is a vested interest in
holding on to their allocations.

>22 years is a long time to wait, isn't it?

Radio conferences that deal with HF don't happen very often. And the sharing of
7100-7300 has been going on for 63 years.
>
>>> Meanwhile, the FCC IB IWG-6 hasn't yet reached any position
>>> for a draft on item 1.7 which concerns three items. One is the
>>> morse code test of ITU-R S25.5. One is on the 40m band
>>> sharing or non-sharing issue.
>>
>>More than a year to go. Lots of time.
>
>I'm sure that was said in 1980 waiting for WARC-81.

I don't recall WARC-81. I'll have to go look it up.
>
>The NTIA made its decision six months ago. The NTIA is
>part of the US administration.

And it's just an advisory group. Does NTIA vote at the conference?
>
>>> The Vice Chair of IWG-6 is an ARRL man.
>>
>>Hope springs eternal. But the USA only gets one vote.
>
>The ARRL does NOT get a single vote at any WRC.
>Neither does the IARU.

Nobody said they did. I wrote "the USA only gets one vote".
>
>"Membership dollars at work?"
>
Yup. Without IARU and ARRL, ham radio probably would not have survived the
1920s.

N2EY
>
>

6216. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 14:36:28 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD5806C.86919895@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22511

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> About the only way to make a good living (forget about making
> big money) is to own several in different locations ...

Or own one Macdonald's in the Ginza district.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6217. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:02:12 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9r3pmr$r6616$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 22510

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10110181950150.4831-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> I repeat: Where are these people going or what are they doing after
getting
> their licenses?

My guess is a lot of 'em are just too shy to get on the air. There seems to be this mental hurdle people have to get over when getting on the air for the first time.

After people take their exam and pass, take them into a radio room, or hand them an HT, and show them how to get on the air. Let them get on the air and talk (allowed using third party rules) so they get a feel for what it's like. (Might want to make sure there's a few folks who know about what time tests get done and will be available to chat on the local repeater).

Also try to keep an ear out for new callsigns out on your repeater. Make an effort to reply to that new sign, and get them talking. Invite them to club meetings, etc.

73s,

Robert / N4IXT

6218. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:27:27 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb330cie71sed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
news:1i29tt4naug29hpgsum83gt35js50peu1m@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 12:06:22 -0500, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> >So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output
power
> >that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?
>
> Believe it or not, yes, he would still have a chance of being heard
> when you are "keyed up" especially in single sideband mode when you
> would be emitting basically no signal at all unless you were speaking.

Ahh yes. But you see, nobody was talking about SSB mode until you
brought it up. We where talking about keydowns, and the amplifiers
we use in them, and the antenna systems. With keydowns, you use
AM, and AM only. I would prefer to use FM, since their is no
heterodyne, etc., and the capture effect of FM would REALLY prove
who won the event. However, FM doesn't have the "loud scratchy" AM
quality, and the people won't use it.

Gets rid of the cheaters, so they don't want it.

> The second you stop talking, even if your transmitter is still on, he
> can be heard regardless.
>

Nobody runs SSB in keydowns, so you are bringing up apples and oranges.

> Furthermore, the propagation path from your location to the receiving
> station may not be as good as the path from his location to the
> receiving station.

You pull up on a line, seperated by about 25 feet. How much different can
the propogation be?

If I'm on the east coast and in the greyline along
> with a station on the east coast of, say, Australia, and you're in the
> midwest...the greyline enhancement on my signal at the receiving
> station might be the difference that allows me to (as we used to say
> on the CB band back in the 70's) "walk the dog" so to speak.

Blah blah blah. He is talking about something irrelevant. We where talking
about mobile to mobile competition, in a controlled environment.

>
> >Typical argumentative "I am holier than you, and my antenna system will
whip
> >your linear's butt".
>
> It isn't ONLY power or ONLY the antena, Toll. You key up with 10,000
> watts on AM, and if I key up with a "measly" 100 watts P.E.P. on USB,
> my signal will ride your carrier right to the receiving station.

Actually, not if I am modulating my carrier. And at 100 watts, you won't do
that. Experiemented with it, tried it, and it doesn't work.

The sideband noise is so large on those massive multi-amplifier setups that
you easily
see 4 or 500 watts of BS alongside the carrier when viewed on a spectrum
analyzer.

Besides, again, we aren't talking about SSB. We where talking about a
controlled
environment shootout.

I
> will be heard. Get a shortwave receiver and park it on 10 MHz at night
> and eventually you'll hear the proof of this concept in the
> Spanish-speaking sideband stations riding WWV's 10kW AM carrier across
> most of North America.

That has more to do with propogation of different regions of the earth, than
it does
with real world applications with CB shootouts, which is what this
conversation was
about until you attempted to change it.

I understand what you are talking about, and have used it to my advantage at
times.
However, your off topic. Which basically invalidates your argument.

>
> >Notice how he only quoted PART of my text, since if the rest was taken
into
> >account, it would make his comment fecal matter.
>
> I think neither of you took everything into account. I haven't either,
> since I'm not here to write a book on basic communications theory.
> What we're saying is that there are less expensive and more efficient
> ways to get your signal to a desired location - without interfering
> with everyone else on the band for 500 khz on either side of you.

I totally agree. FM is best for shootout, since the capture effect will
ONLY
let the strongest station be heard. SSB is best for long range comms (that
the average person has access to.. Spread Spectrum is even better, but
how many of us have a nice Harris sitting in the shack)?

However, the conversation we where having, that you interjected into, was about CB amplifiers and competition with them. Not about what was the best way to talk.

Have a good one bud.

Toll_Free

>
> 73
>

6219. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:28:44 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb35dpvdq0i26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Power doesn't equal splatter. I can kick a speech processor on, and
effectively
get another 3dB, which would be an S unit, with louder modulation, which,
in effect, puts you down in the dirt.

Not typical CB mentality. Its laws of physics here. Please see my other
post
regarding how the shootout competition is held before you begin to tell me I
am
wrong.

Toll_Free

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD47F7C.33B671B0@mailcity.net...
> Yep, assuming all conditions equal, your twice my power is only
> 3db over me, I can still be heard. Typical cb thinking, more
> power, more power, splatter, splatter, splatter.
>
>
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output
power
> > that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?
> >
> > Typical argumentative "I am holier than you, and my antenna system will
whip
> > your linear's butt".
> >
> > Notice how he only quoted PART of my text, since if the rest was taken
into
> > account, it would make his comment fecal matter.
> >

6220. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:29:28 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb36td2fgm673@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, but it does put the edge on the guy running the double power.

3db isn't important? Then why do they make more than one style of beam, ya know?

Toll_Free

"D. P. Roberts" <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in message news:3bd79d8c.18344337@news.earthlink.net...
> >So, if your running equal antennas as I am, and I run twice the output power
> >that you do, you still have a chance of being heard when I am keyed up?
>
> Good point. Equal antennas will mean virtually equal signal.
> Doubling the output power gives a 3 dB increase. That's less than
> half an S-unit. If he's running an S-9, and I have an equal antenna,
> that means I'll be slightly over an S-8 1/2. That's not much of a
> difference.

6221. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:30:51 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb39bqupnvnd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lol

CQWW proves you wrong. And also puts the amateur community in the
same ballpark as the CB radio crowd. Take a look at the amps reflector,
and the people bitching about people taking up too much bw, as well as
when they are questioned about it, they actually ADMIT to splattering
to keep the frequency to themselves.

Hams are no better than CBers when it comes to competition. It's called
human nature, and no license will take the human element out of it.

Toll_Free

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD4D748.55ECD408@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > So, if your running equal antennas
> > as I am, and I run twice the output
> > power that you do, you still have
> > a chance of being heard when I am
> > keyed up?
>
>
> An experienced operator would not "key up" when you "key up."
> Instead of trying to make it a competition about who can shout louder,
> he will likely bide his time and wait for the opportunity for his
> signal to be heard. He will also have enough experience to know when
> and where to look on the radio dial to avoid that type of garbage.
> But, even if he did "key down" with half the power, your signal would
> not be that much stronger - twice the power does not equal twice as loud.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6222. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:32:50 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3d395s1ba6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Actually, on the keydown line, all else is about equal.

It comes down to a fight about who has the largest PEP output, and the most efficient antennas.

Hams argue it is ALL about antennas. CBers argue its all about power.

I argue its all about who spent the most fucking money. :)

Toll_Free

"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message news:e639tt0t5bbogt1e3iq3rkif9tpm6noj93@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 12:10:44 -0500, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> >Your going to win against a mobile to mobile competition using a barefoot
> >radio against someone
> >with a 80Kw output? All else being equal?
>
> Well, here's the problem: all else is almost never equal.
>
> >extranneous BS snipped in the interest of brevity.
>
> Likewise. :-)
>
> 73
>

6223. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:33:10 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3dn5aikv28c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Your post served what purpose to the conversation?

Toll_Free

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > On the mobile, it isn't uncommon to find people running 3, 4, 5 even 6
> > element mobile antennas at a keydown.
>
> Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
> get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.

6224. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:34:12 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3flmuj2d6e8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

God, that should be printed and framed.

dit dit dah dit dah dit dit dah dit dah dit dit dah dit dah
73 de Toll_Free

"Itsallgood" <itsal@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:9w4B7.149980$5A3.51482391@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Yeah, I guess when guys are yelling CQ Contest Cq Contest that is not a
form
> of a keydown. Yes they have better sense, instead they run big power out
of
> there house and not a mobile. The hams braggin rights are qsl cards and
the
> Arrl Contest Plaques braggin rights, getting there name in Qst. Lay down
Mud
> duck!
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3BD4B96C.E9608281@mailcity.net...
> > How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> > than to run tons of illegal power from a chevy truck with 12
> > alternators strapped together driving high count transistor
> > 'lenyars' producing splatter over the radio spectrum just so they
> > can 'out key' some other moron for some stupid bragging rights.
> >
> > Itsallgood wrote:
> > >
> > > What is it called when hams do this ?
> > >
> > > "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3BD48051.CA36D7DD@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > > > Only cbers without a life could come up with this. I guess they
> > > > get a kick out of acting like 12 year olds.
>
>

6225. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:34:48 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3gp25r4561d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the bigger amp
will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.

Thank you.

Toll_Free

"D. P. Roberts" <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in message
news:3bd99e24.18496006@news.earthlink.net...
> On Mon, 22 Oct 2001 12:10:44 -0500, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> >So, with equal antennas, you believe the guy with a lower power output
from
> >his transmitter or amplifier will have a greater
> >chance of being heard than someone with twice the output power?
> >
> >Please explain that.
>
> Easy. Each doubling of output power gives a 3 dB increase. If I have
> a million watts and you have two million watts, your signal isn't
> going to be twice as strong.

6226. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:36:24 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3jp19m2dja1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

www.bigradios.com/shadow
www.bigradios.com also has some avi's of the big stations in action.

Check it out
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD4D971.CC9CA7F6@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > On the mobile, it isn't uncommon
> > to find people running 3, 4, 5
> > even 6 element mobile antennas
> > at a keydown.
>
>
> What is a "keydown," Toll? I envision a dozen or so drunken CB'ers
> sitting around a car wash parking lot transmitting at the same time to
> see which can be heard over the other.

You would be about as wrong as you can get. It usually involves little
drugs
or alcohol, and the kids are all given something to do, and we have a good
time,
see friends that we talk to on a regular basis, etc. etc. ,etc. Sounds like
a field
day to me. Maybe that is something that you can understand.

>
>
> > We are not talking about comms,
> > we are talking about a shootout
> > competition.
>
>
> What is a "shootout competition?" Is this the home version of the
> car wash "keydown?"

Same thing.

Your attempts at anal comments are noted, and put you in the same class
as the people you are trying to mock.

Toll_Free

>
>

> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6227. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:38:47 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3o8i5ivul39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> Thanks for clearing that up -- I always thought that the whole purpose
> of radio communications was communications.

So did I. My first radio competition was CQWW single / single.

Then I discovered the CB radio competition scene. Now I enjoy both.

I didn't realize that radio
> was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game.

Yes, they do. All those stupid fucking contests that destroy the 80-10
meter
spectrum should be made illegal. Gee, I can get a **5***, your 5/9. QSL
via bureau.

Seems I hear a lot of the same on 11 meters. Guess their isn't ANYTHING
that seperates our
testosterone from yours, is their?

All those QRP rigs
> are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And what's the point
> of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days, huh?)
>
> BTW, my mommy has been dead for quite a while, so I guess that makes
> you a necrophiliac.

Why?

I couldn't have had her before she died? English comprehension is a bitch,
huh?

Toll_Free

6228. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:39:16 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3p9eh0h9s50@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I am licensed, and operate both radio services.

Toll_Free

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3BD4EC92.2080B657@ix.netcom.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
> > quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
> > deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
> > resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
> >
>
> I've talked to Antartica, Argentina, Japan on 28MHz (ham 10 meters)
> using a lousy attic dipole and 100W rig (TS440SAT) so you don't
> need kilowatts of power when propagation is good.
>
> Get a "general" ham license, only 5 words a minute code test now
> and some multiple choice written test.
> Look at http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/exam.html for practice
> tests.
>
> You can then use a linear
> legally on many ham bands, AM, FM, SSB, digital modes, and there
> are many HF SW bands with differing long distance DX "skipland"
> propagation characteristics. Many work just fine when "skip"
> is dead on CB 11 meters, like when the sunspot cycle bottoms out.
>
> Don't feel blown off by a previous bad experience with a ham
> club, there's many more out there that you'll like.
>

6229. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:40:02 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb3qop1upl372@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Please post the FCC page or otherwise where you get your numbers.

If the ARS was so clean, then why did Riley Hollingsworth need to be hired.

Remember, he is attacking ARS FIRST AND FOREMOST.

Toll_Free

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BD48306.61799EED@mailcity.net...
> Illegal is illegal no matter how clean you try to make it.
> Ninenty-nine percent plus of the hams run legal, ninenty-nine
> percent plus of cbers don't, something the cbers seem to be proud
> which tells you the character of cb radio.
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
> >
> > I have ALWAYS been an advocate of running power, but running power
CLEANLY.

6230. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:47:29 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb48id3dv70b5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD4E7F0.3BB9C24E@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > Hollingsworth went after the ARS
> > before CB radio service. You
> > wonder why?
>
>
> Three reasons. Most ham operators invite that level of enforcement,
> ham radio contributes more so is more valuable to the country, and
> Amateur radio is easier to police because there are less problems to
address.
>
>
> > ARS is just as dirty, just as
> > political, and has just as many
> > bad apples as the CB radio does
> > in proportion. When the US ARS
> > service has as many people in it
> > as the CB service does, then you
> > can compare the two. (snip)
>
>
> You have got to be kidding. There is routine garbage on the CB
> frequencies that is very rare, if not totally unheard of, in the ham
> bands (decent women afraid to even open their mouths for fear of
> sexual harassment, widespread illegal equipment and operation, threats
> of violence, and the list goes on). Ham radio, even on it's worst day,
> is not even close to as bad as CB on it's very best day.

No, I guess the racism, sexualism, etc. that took place on 75 meters
never happened, did it?

Denial is the worst form of vanity. I agree that CB needs to be cleaned up,
but I don't agree with a bunch of hams attacking CBers when they try
to run clean power, NOR do I agree with amateur operators coming
to rec.radio.cb telling us how fucked up this radio service is, when their
own is coming under scrutiny for the same.

You think the ratio of bad apples to good isn't about the same? How many
ACTIVE
licensed amateurs are on the air? How many CBers?

This would actually be fun to look into, as I KNOW the amount of hams is dwindling.

Take a look at the big 3 ham mags. All they can talk about is how it is a dying hobby.
Sad but true.

CB is growing more and more. Which yes, means you get more idiots.

I also lived in SoCal, and had to fire up the 8877 2 meter box sometimes just to make it
into the repeater. So yes, the ARS also has its problems.

>
>
> > Hence the problem with ARS
> > operators getting busted for
> > signal jamming
>
>
> Once or twice a year, while a daily routine on CB.

lo.,. Hollingsworth has made a career out of it. I suggest you get your facts straight, and get the hell out of lala land.

>
>
> > Hence the problem with ARS
> > operators building illegal output
> > amps.
>
>
> Extremely rare for both radio services.

Bullshit. Take a look at the people on the amps reflector. Most of them talk
of buildling, repairing, and selling "tetrode with handles" amplifiers.

I personally know of 3 in use on the amateur bands here in a 100 mile radius.

My 4CX5KA7 box does 80-10.

Hams rarely operate illegal
> power amplifiers, while most CB'ers (99%) would not even know how to
> build an amplifier.

Oh yes, and the ARS hasn't become a bunch of appliance operators, have they?

Hell, most amp manufacturers offer no-tune tube amps now, just because they got tired of people having tubes sent back, destroyed, because they didn't know the proper way to tune and load, and stripped the grid coating from mistuning.

However, if you compare the USE of illegal power
> amplifiers (including those purchased), this is again extremely rare
> for hams, while commonplace for CB'ers.

Total bullshit. Most people on the amateur radio service run way more power
than is
necessary for comms. 100 watts is what comes out of the rig, thats what
they run.

I know this for a FACT, having WITNESSED it at the peoples stations I
frequent.

No, not all of them are CBers.

>
>
> > Hence the problem with ARS
> > operators and them building
> > illegal 11 meter amps.
>
>
> Again, extremely rare for both radio services. Most CB'ers (99%)
> would not even know how to build an amplifier, while very few hams
> would build illegal equipment for CB'ers.

Total rubbish. Please show me where your numbers come from?

>
>
> > Blah Blah Blah.
>
>
> Got any more of them, Toll? Why don't you talk about the treatment
> of women on the CB frequencies? And we're not talking about the truck
> stop prostitutes that hang out on channel 19 (even though most of them
> are now avoiding CB also).

Dwight, give it up. Your preaching to the choir. The ARS is just as hosed
as
the CB community. When you can boast the same amount of users, in the same
amount of spectrum come back and talk.

Otherwise, meet you on 20.

Toll_Free

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6231. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 15:57:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttb4qdhpuol363@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

1 2290 driving 2 2879s, driving 8 2879s driving 5 8877s off a single 300A
leece neville driving a pair of 4CX15000s, with 5 double stator alternators
for power (on the large amp), and 5 3a 7.5kV transformers.

A0 output.

You honestly think that won't do 80 grand carrier power?

Nobody said anything about linear amplification here, either. The tubes are
biased WAY into cutoff (hence the massive overdrive, to overcome the grid
bias).

Had this argument on the ham amps reflector, and they finally admitted it
WAS and IS possible.

Toll_Free

"Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:c62B7.424887$si5.12298627@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> 80 let alone 8 kWs... In their dreams. It is like these guys with the car
> stereos saying they have 11bazillion watts of audio.
>
> Whatever.
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fbc7a2.0110221137.953952f@posting.google.com...
> > "Toll Free" tollfree@bigradios.com wrote:
> > >"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
> > >news:7qm6tt0q7bl45h3jkgnpclrok8noeu69oc@4ax.com...
> > > > On 20 Oct 2001 13:50:36 -0700, voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
> > > > >
> > > > >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share
the
> band
> > > with you?
> > > >
> > > > No, Aaron, they don't. That's the difference between the ARS and the
> > > > license-free radio services like CB.
> >
> >

> > >75 meters. Enough said.
> > >
> > >2 meters, just in case you didn't get it the first time.
> > >
> > >Hollingsworth went after the ARS before CB radio service. You wonder
> > why?
> >
> >
> >
> > Because hams literally begged him to. The majority of hams are decent
> > people who do not want anarchy and lawbreaking on their bands.
> >
> >
> >
> > >ARS is just as dirty, just as political, and has just as many bad
> > apples as
> > >the CB radio
> > >does in proportion. When the US ARS service has as many people in it
> > as the
> > >CB service does,
> > >then you can compare the two. Otherwise, your comparing apples to
> > oranges.
> >
> >
> > Uh, how does that justify the use of 8 kilowatts (now in another
> > thread you guys are talking about 80 KILOWATTS) on CB?????
> >
> >
> > > > In addition to caring about our
> > > > own enjoyment of radio, we hams also care about other people's
> > > > enjoyment of radio. They don't.
> >
> > >lol.
> > >
> > >Hence the problem with ARS operators coming to the 11 meter band.
> > >
> > >Hence the problem with ARS operators getting busted for signal
> > jamming
> > >
> > >Hence the problem with ARS operators building illegal output amps.
> > >
> > >Hence the problem with ARS operators and them building illegal 11
> > meter
> > >amps.
> > >
> > >Blah Blah Blah.
> > >
> > >
> > >TollHolio
> >

> > What's especially disturbing about this is that you are a licensed
> > ham, Shane. Apparently you are one of the ones "gone bad".
> >
> > -Aaron-
>

6232. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:25:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD59A13.E120CF98@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sparky wrote:

>
>
> Thanks for clearing that up -- I always thought that the whole purpose
> of radio communications was communications. I didn't realize that radio
> was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game. All those QRP rigs
> are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And what's the point
> of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days, huh?)
>

If that switch is suitable for Morse code operation....

6233. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:31:50 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD59B76.B8C7EE58@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free wrote:

>
>
> Hence the problem with ARS operators building illegal output amps.
>

Unless we ham exceed the upper power limit (typically 1KW) we
can legally build linear output amps for use on most ham
bands. Even 10 meters if we build one for our own personal
use.

Why mess with illegal 11 meter CB stuff if you have a ham license,
Toll Free?

6234. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:32:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110230832.7ce5d918@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22528

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011022162421.27550.00000183@nso-bj.aol.com>...
> In article <20011021160430.21075.00000125@nso-cu.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>>..... But you don't want to deal with the
> >>>reality of a ham who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
> >>>Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.
> >>
> >>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!
> >
> >It's the simple truth.
> >>
> >>Good heavens, NO.
> >
> >There. You have finally admitted it. We're making progress.
>
> Sorry, that was not an "admission," but an answer to "it's
> the simple truth."

Yet your behavior and comments here show otherwise. You repeatedly
attack the messenger (me) for having the audacity to disagree with you
and point out errors in your posts.

I think you are angry that the person you described as phony, idiotic
and delusional turns out to have EE degrees and some experience with
both RF design and the Smith Chart.
>
> It is not truth if Miccolis says it over and over again. (he will,
> it is his style...)

Ah, here we go with the surname game again. When LHA starts to get his
feathers ruffled, he calls others by their last name only.
>
> >>I don't have enough time to spend on pointing
> >>out your errors, spin, and presentation of amateur mythology as
> >>"truth" when it isn't anything more than mythology.
> >
> >BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHOOHOOHOOHOOHO!!!!!!
> >
> >Translation: You know I'm right, so you attack the messenger instead of the
> >message.

Truth is, you spend more time posting here than almost anyone.
Shortage of time doesn;t seem to be a problem for you.

>
> Translation: You know I'm right, so you attack the messenger
> instead of the message.

I know you are not right. And where have I attacked you?
>
> >You're just confirming that you don't want to deal with the reality of a ham
> >who is also an EE, has mastered both Morse code and the
> >Smith Chart, and who isn't intimidated by you.
>
> Judging from all those claims of "superiority" I doubt there
> are many mortals who can meet such a "challenge."

What "claims of superiority"?

As Babe Ruth said: "It ain't braggin' if ya really done it."
>
> >> I've been around the industry and have shared information
> >>and knowledge both ways with all ranks, including PhDs, all who
> >>did not wave credentials as surrogates for "mastery" of "having
> >>mastered" something.
> >
> >I predicted that nothing I could do would convince you.
>
> No, you wish to attack the messenger for not admiring
> your whatevers in what you SAY you can do.

Where have I "attacked the messenger"? I predicted that nothing I
could do would convince you.

> So far, in
> regards to "mastery of the Smith Chart" all that anyone
> has seen is some homework on "examples" that were
> contributed after at least a week.

All that YOU have seen.

The posts I made were practical examples of Smith Chart use in HF
amateur radio applications. All of them could also have been done
other ways. And your "deadlines" mean nothing to me.
>
> >Change just for the sake of change is pointless. Progress involves change,
> >but
> >all change is not progress. You hold up "change" as a sacred mantra that no
> >one must question. Well, I'm questioning it, and showing that the emperor has
> >no new clothes.
>
> Saying that old things are still with us, as if they were a part
> of everyday technology in sizeable numbers, is false.

Have I done that? Nope.

> The rejection of anyone advocating change is seen by Miccolis
> to be some sort of "sacred mantra that no one must question?"
> Ridiculous. Absurd.

What is ridiculous and absurd is the idea that all proposed change is somehow automatically "good" and must be accepted by all. What is ridiculous and absurd is the idea that those who oppose change are somehow automatically "bad" because they see the proposed "new order" as inferior to the old.

> >You say you're all for "change" but you don't say why or where that change
> >should lead. Anyone who follows such a pied piper deserves what they get.
>
> Anyone who continues to favor a code test as a pass-fail
> criterion for amateur radio licensing seems to think they
> are "deserving" of a federal welfare program to yield them
> titles, status, and privilege.

That's your distortion of reality, Len.

> Anyone who follows such a
> pie-eyed piper deserves to remain rooted in antiquity.

Translation: "Old" = "bad", "new" = "good"

Ridiculous. Absurd.
>
> >Was the recent change in the Manhattan skyline a good thing? I don't think
> >so.
>
> Excuse me?

What are you apologizing for? I can't forgive you unless you admit your offense.;-)

>Is advocacy of eliminating the amateur code
> test "terrorism?!?!?"

Nope.
>
> Miccolis must think so considering such an off-the-wall
> question.

Then I will explan it to you.

You and others promote "change" as if all change is automatically good and any resistance or opposition to change is automatically bad. I simply gave a clear example of how "change" is not always good.
Simple.
>
> >Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service that you
> >don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly with those who
> >DO use that service.
>
> The FCC does not require any part of its staff to USE

> amateur radio...nor to be licensed as an amateur.

Are you part of the FCC, Len? I don' think so.

FCC is empowered to regulate civilian radio in the USA. You aren't.
>
> The FCC allows ANY citizen to comment on its
> regulations about any radio service.

No one disputes that. Least of all me. That has nothing to do with my statement:

"Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service that you don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly with those who DO use that service"

It's a true observation.

> Miccolis favors "turf ownership," part of the human Territorial
> Imperative which is, in this case, false and misleading.

I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.

> I will
> not stress that it is also against the First Amendment since
> some amateurs feel that it does not apply to anyone
> concerning amateur radio regulations.

WHAT is against the First Amendment? Stating this?:

"Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service that you don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly with those who DO use that service"

ALL are free to comment to the FCC or on this NG. I have never told anyone to shut up or go away. Nor have I said anyone should not comment to the FCC.

> >And when opposed, and your errors revealed and rebutted,
> >you call your opponents names and insult them with snide
> >comments.
>
> So...one cannot call N2EY as N2EY even though that is how
> he "signs" his messages?

Where did you get that idea? Nowhere have I said anything against referring to me or other hams by our callsigns.

But you don't do that very often, Len. Even though almost everyone here signs there posts with a first name and callsign, you call those who disagree with you by their last names, or diminutives of their first names, or nicknames you make up based on their profession, nationality, or other characteristic. I think you just don't like calling hams by their callsigns for some reason.

> He cannot be called "Miccolis"
> because using a surname is a "bad name?"

It's inapproriate in this sort of forum. Why do you do it - not just
to me, but to anyone who disagrees?
>
> I do not accept some statements of mine being called
> "erroneous" or "in error" for being based on referencible
> databases.

I know. Even when your errors are pointed out, you don't accept the
obvious truth.

> I do not accept some statements of mine
> being called an "error" because they show certain things
> that are against the error-caller viewpoints.

You don't accept anything you disagree with here no matter how much
proof is presented. That's obvious, Len.
>
> > If you are the
> >future, Len, it's no wonder some folks want the past.
>
> Ah, so snide comments, indeed borderline insults, are
> "acceptible" if done by Miccolis but are "unacceptible"
> if done by others against his viewpoints?

How is that a "snide comment", Len? Can't you take a "minor tweak"?
;-)

You keep promoting "change" and decrying "the past" and "antiquity"
but you never give a coherent picture of what you see as the future.
Never.

> Yes, a double standard at work.

Not by me.
>

>>But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.
>
> ...and with very old ideas on what constitutes entrance intp
> amateur radio...except to do as Miccolis did....

See? You characterize my ideas as "old" as if that's a bad thing. But
you do not say what YOUR ideas are, other than endlessly repeating
that the code test must go.

And you are mistaken. Nowhere have I said others must do as I did.

N2EY

6235. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:35:41 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD59C5D.1F578284@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:

>
>
> I also lived in SoCal, and had to fire up the 8877 2 meter box sometimes
> just to make it
> into the repeater. So yes, the ARS also has its problems.

You must have had a bad antenna system, operating 147.435MHz
or doing DX. Yes, 147.435 has been a real problem for ham
radio, but Riley is on it now. Most of us hams behave ourselves.

6236. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:38:01 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD59CE9.8D3E62DB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Good for FM or Morse code, but not AM or SSB. And you better
have a good harmonic filter on the output of that setup!

Toll Free wrote:

> 1 2290 driving 2 2879s, driving 8 2879s driving 5 8877s off a single 300A
> leece neville driving a pair of 4CX15000s, with 5 double stator alternators
> for power (on the large amp), and 5 3a 7.5kV transformers.
>
> A0 output.
>
> You honestly think that won't do 80 grand carrier power?
>
> Nobody said anything about linear amplification here, either. The tubes are
> biased WAY into cutoff (hence the massive overdrive, to overcome the grid
> bias).
>
> Had this argument on the ham amps reflector, and they finally admitted it
> WAS and IS possible.
>
> Toll_Free
>

6237. Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:41:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110230841.65a446d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22531

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20011022011353.14723.00000576@mb-cb.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Never-ending Comments on 98-143
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 10/21/2001 11:02 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20011022000259.23833.00000086@nso-da.aol.com>
>
> >And if someone looks for responsible teenagers, they will also find them.
>
> >> I have a great number that I would trust with my life.
> >
> >Same here.
>
> I did too...Civil Air Patrol Ranger Program. One of the finest youth
> training program I have ever seen.

Around here, Scouting is a big thing. But Scouts don't get much
publicity unless there is a controversy.
>
> >The young hams I have met have ALL been very focused on following >the rules
> and being the best possible operators they could be.
>
> I still get flustered every time I remember the youngster in Kentucky that
> had his HT confiscated...No wonder some kids don't want to get involved.

I don't know that story. What happened?

> They
> DO demonstrate some positive initiative and they STILL get "dinged" for
> it...

Yup.

You shoulda seen us in the CW tent on Field Day 2000, Steve. We had a
seventh-grade Extra who could do 25 per at least but who didn't have
much contest savvy yet. So I logged for him and gave him some
pointers. He picked up on the game and was doing really well after a
short while. I wish I was nearly as skilled as him when I was a
seventh-grader.

Yet there are those would deny him ANY amateur license until he was at
least 14.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6238. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:50:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EbhB7.41013$B3.1129421@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 22532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll,
It was because the hams themselves requested it. ARRL and individual hams had become disgusted with the junk that was being carried on and pressured FCC to do something about it.
It was at this time that Mr. Hollingsworth was promoted to Legal Counsel for the Enforcement
Bureau. At the same time, there was another storm brewing out in Wisconsin and in Michigan
from consumers being swamped/receivers paralyzed by illegal CB stations. No need to rehash
the results of that. Anyway, a few out of the larger number of hams were creating much adverse
attention in the international community, so ARS was hit first. Rest assured, it won't stop with
ARS. No need to argue over that, either. Que sera, sera.

73

Jerry
Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttb3qop1upl372@corp.supernews.com...
> Please post the FCC page or otherwise where you get your numbers.
>
> If the ARS was so clean, then why did Riley Hollingsworth need to be hired.
>
> Remember, he is attacking ARS FIRST AND FOREMOST.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3BD48306.61799EED@mailcity.net...
> > Illegal is illegal no matter how clean you try to make it.
> > Ninenty-nine percent plus of the hams run legal, ninenty-nine
> > percent plus of cbers don't, something the cbers seem to be proud
> > which tells you the character of cb radio.
> >
> > Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > >

> > > I have ALWAYS been an advocate of running power, but running power
> CLEANLY.
>
>

6239. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 16:51:44 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD5A020.E3BC161C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22533

I started out in radio with a CB set with a bad mike. Heard
complaints about a "carrier chucker" from a few local
ops when I tried to talk. Got that fixed and was able to
do the CB thing. Then graduated to a ham tech license
back in Oct 76 (hey, I'm about to be a quarter century
ham). First ham contact was in the novice 80M band
using CW, then I got on 2M FM. For a week or so
I carried a Motorola HT220 modified for 2M on my
belt. That got old as my belt sagged. :-)

Anyway, ham clubs would seem to be mainly for
running a repeater or organizing field day events.
Back in olden days, mere mortals couldn't afford
a decent HF station, and so hams clubbed together
to build a good setup, assuming they could find free
real estate to set up in. Today, such clubs probably
still have that now vintage equipment and individuals
each own good modern rigs.

>
>
> My guess is a lot of 'em are just too shy to get on the air. There seems to
> be this mental hurdle people have to get over when getting on the air for
> the first time.
>
> After people take their exam and pass, take them into a radio room, or hand
> them an HT, and show them how to get on the air. Let them get on the air and
> talk (allowed using third party rules) so they get a feel for what it's
> like. (Might want to make sure there's a few folks who know about what time
> tests get done and will be available to chat on the local repeater).
>
> Also try to keep an ear out for new callsigns out on your repeater. Make an
> effort to reply to that new sign, and get them talking. Invite them to club
> meetings, etc.
>
> 73s,
>
> Robert / N4IXT

6240. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 17:06:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ilhB7.5998$cj7.396903@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 22534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll,

Me fears ya just said a mouthful. I admit I run into them all the time.
Like the time I retubed and
cleaned up a Swan 700CX and took it to a hamfest. The rig was perfect in
every way, and the
night before, I talked all over the place on 75. Next day at hamfest, I
quickly found a customer.
Rig had book, all instructions. About an hour later, the two guys that
bought it came back saying
the radio was bad! Yeah right. The stupes didn't know anything about
tuning and loading a
tube rig and burned out a new pair of 8950s @ 90 bucks per pair. Yes, I
gave them their money
back, but not before taking off the cover and showing them what they had
done. I told 'em I
KNEW damned well that radio was fine, and I showed them the burned out tubes
AND the
instruction book--which they never bothered to open. I pointed my finger in
one of the guys'
faces and said, "DON'T YOU EVER COME BACK TO ME FOR ANYTHING AGAIN"!
I mean, if you can't tune and load a rig, ya gots no business with it,
right?

I know I don't know everything to know, but that's the thing that attracts
me to ARS--I'm still
learning. And I can build a mean mobile HF antenna. LOL!

Jerry

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttb48id3dv70b5@corp.supernews.com...

> Oh yes, and the ARS hasn't become a bunch of appliance operators, have
they?
>
> Hell, most amp manufacturers offer no-tune tube amps now, just because
they
> got tired of people having tubes sent back, destroyed, because they didn't
> know the proper way to tune and load, and stripped the grid coating from
> mistuning.
>
>

.
> >

> Otherwise, meet you on 20.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>

6241. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 17:35:30 UTC
From: LRod-removethispart-@pobox.com
Message-ID: <3bd59b6d.220073147@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 10:27:27 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Gets rid of the cheaters, so they don't want it.

Can you spell irony?

LRod

6242. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 19:29:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011023152902.12999.00000815@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22536

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/23/2001 11:32 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0110230832.7ce5d918@posting.google.com>

>> The FCC does not require any part of its staff to USE
>> amateur radio...nor to be licensed as an amateur.
>
>Are you part of the FCC, Len? I don' think so.
>
>FCC is empowered to regulate civilian radio in the USA. You aren't.

He frequently ignores his own counsel, Jim. Makles it easier to lie and
rant.

>> I will
>> not stress that it is also against the First Amendment since
>> some amateurs feel that it does not apply to anyone
>> concerning amateur radio regulations.
>
>WHAT is against the First Amendment? Stating this?:

The first amendment protects citizens from the government. Lenie has yet
to answer how the First Amendment applies here. He never has.

>> He cannot be called "Miccolis"
>> because using a surname is a "bad name?"
>
>It's inapproriate in this sort of forum. Why do you do it - not just
>to me, but to anyone who disagrees?

Because the people who do "agree" with him are the anonymous "MegHz" and
others who have NO last name.

>See? You characterize my ideas as "old" as if that's a bad thing. But
>you do not say what YOUR ideas are, other than endlessly repeating
>that the code test must go.

How can he HAVE any ideas, Jim? He doesn't have a license, ergo cannot
participate in any Amateur activities for the most part. No participation
means a lack of appreication for what the NEEDS of the Amateur Service are.

No experience...again...

6243. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 19:44:23 UTC
From: Philip de Cadanet <phil@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Message-ID: <T0Ks9FAXic17Ew94@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Source record: 22537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Actually, on the keydown line, all else is about equal.
>
>It comes down to a fight about who has the largest PEP output, and the most
>efficient antennas.
>
>Hams argue it is ALL about antennas. CBers argue its all about power.
>
>I argue its all about who spent the most fucking money. :)
>
>
>
>Toll_Free
LOL

Phil / UK

6244. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 19:56:24 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110231156.5e67c515@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim) wrote:

>i hear one every single day on 14.295 usb comming from the west coast

> >How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> >than to run tons of illegal power

Hey Tim, how come you are on 20 meters every single day? This lends a lot of credence to what Leland says - that you are likely bootlegging on ham bands. Since you can't really have a conversation there my guess is that you look around for a "zoo" frequency to play on.

Hope they nail ya.

-Aaron-

6245. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 20:32:45 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <NtkB7.151475$5A3.52262939@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttb3d395s1ba6f@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, on the keydown line, all else is about equal.
>
> It comes down to a fight about who has the largest PEP output, and the
most
> efficient antennas.
>
> Hams argue it is ALL about antennas. CBers argue its all about power.

Its all about Audio and ERP.

6246. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 20:45:15 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <vFkB7.151493$5A3.52273898@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Because the lure of being illegal appeals to his type.

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message >
> Why mess with illegal 11 meter CB stuff if you have a ham license,
> Toll Free?
>

6247. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:01:52 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbmm8f704fo14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

lol

true dat.

Toll_Free

"LRod" <LRod-removethispart-@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:3bd59b6d.220073147@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 10:27:27 -0500, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> >Gets rid of the cheaters, so they don't want it.
>
> Can you spell irony?
>
> LRod
>
>

6248. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:02:27 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbmn7b8j88b26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

ERP has audio beat every time.

Its all about who spent the most money.

Toll_Free

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:NtkB7.151475$5A3.52262939@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttb3d395s1ba6f@corp.supernews.com...
> > Actually, on the keydown line, all else is about equal.
> >
> > It comes down to a fight about who has the largest PEP output, and the
> most
> > efficient antennas.
> >
> > Hams argue it is ALL about antennas. CBers argue its all about power.
>
> Its all about Audio and ERP.
>
>
>
>
>

6249. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:04:21 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbmr44o1gbt72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0110231156.5e67c515@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim) wrote:
>
> >i hear one every single day on 14.295 usb comming from the west coast
>
> > >How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> > >than to run tons of illegal power
>
> Hey Tim, how come you are on 20 meters every single day? This lends a
> lot of credence to what Leland says - that you are likely bootlegging
> on ham bands.

Because he has a Sangean general coverage receiver?

More trollish bullshit from Aaron the liar Voobner.

Since you can't really have a conversation there my
> guess is that you look around for a "zoo" frequency to play on.

Maybe he is spotting to watch the skip as it comes up and down in frequency.

No sense in trying to make 11 meter contacts if 20 is the one jumping off.

Toll_Free

>
> Hope they nail ya.
>
> -Aaron-

6250. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:06:51 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbmvf7bmki5c9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3BD59C5D.1F578284@ix.netcom.com...
>
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > I also lived in SoCal, and had to fire up the 8877 2 meter box sometimes
> > just to make it
> > into the repeater. So yes, the ARS also has its problems.
>
> You must have had a bad antenna system,

KLM 13 element.

operating 147.435MHz
> or doing DX.

Did a little DX, that is, until the TR9230 2mtr all mode was stolen (along
with the car it was installed in) :(

>Yes, 147.435 has been a real problem for ham
> radio, but Riley is on it now. Most of us hams behave ourselves.
>

Agreed. And most SERIOUS CB operators do the same.

Its the same on all fronts. Every group has its bad apples. However since
their is a ratio of 5 to 1 (I am guessing, but
would actually believe in practice, that ratio is low) CBers to licensed
hams, we have 5 times the idiots that
you guys have on your bands.

5 times is a lot. Makes us look bad. That doesn't mean that every CBer is
a bad apple, runs fiendish amounts of
DIRTY power, or talks bad about everyones girlfriends / wives, either.

Toll_Free

6251. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:08:32 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbn2h9h0nek07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lol.

I have a mint Pal 201BDX sitting on my bench now that I am not willing to give back to the original owner, because I just put 200 dollars worth of 8950s in it.

Has the 15 meter coil as well. Its a kick ASS amplifier. I doubt the owner will ever see it again, though. He has went through a couple sets of used tubes (testing 90 percent or better on the Sencore), and said it was the tubes.

So, when he comes up with the cash for 6 8950s, he can have it :)

Toll_Free

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:ilhB7.5998$cj7.396903@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Toll,
>
> Me fears ya just said a mouthful. I admit I run into them all the time.
> Like the time I retubed and
> cleaned up a Swan 700CX and took it to a hamfest. The rig was perfect in
> every way, and the
> night before, I talked all over the place on 75. Next day at hamfest, I
> quickly found a customer.
> Rig had book, all instructions. About an hour later, the two guys that
> bought it came back saying
> the radio was bad! Yeah right. The stupes didn't know anything about
> tuning and loading a
> tube rig and burned out a new pair of 8950s @ 90 bucks per pair. Yes, I
> gave them their money
> back, but not before taking off the cover and showing them what they had
> done. I told 'em I
> KNEW damned well that radio was fine, and I showed them the burned out tubes
> AND the
> instruction book--which they never bothered to open. I pointed my finger in
> one of the guys'
> faces and said, "DON'T YOU EVER COME BACK TO ME FOR ANYTHING AGAIN"!
> I mean, if you can't tune and load a rig, ya gots no business with it,
> right?
>
> I know I don't know everything to know, but that's the thing that attracts

> me to ARS--I'm still
> learning. And I can build a mean mobile HF antenna. LOL!
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
> Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttb48id3dv70b5@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > Oh yes, and the ARS hasn't become a bunch of appliance operators, have
> they?
> >
> > Hell, most amp manufacturers offer no-tune tube amps now, just because
> they
> > got tired of people having tubes sent back, destroyed, because they
didn't
> > know the proper way to tune and load, and stripped the grid coating from
> > mistuning.
> >
> >
>
> .
> > >
>
> > Otherwise, meet you on 20.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> >
> >
>
>
>

6252. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:12:06 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbn9cp21e4v8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3BD59B76.B8C7EE58@ix.netcom.com...
>
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Hence the problem with ARS operators building illegal output amps.
> >
>
> Unless we ham exceed the upper power limit (typically 1KW)

Depending on the band, from 200 to 1500 PEP output, +/- 10 percent.

we
> can legally build linear output amps for use on most ham
> bands. Even 10 meters if we build one for our own personal
> use.

Agreed.

>
> Why mess with illegal 11 meter CB stuff if you have a ham license,
> Toll Free?

I mess with whatever I like to do. I enjoy some of my friends that I have
met on this newsgroup and talking with them on the freeband. They have
no interest in becoming licensed hams (because of posts like yours that I am
responding to right now... But don't take offense. Some people get turned
off by that attitude), but enjoy the hell out of radio.

And with the prices the way they where on multiband HF equipment, I don't
blame some of them for sticking with their 125 dollar modified 148s. Not
all of us can afford a 781A, ya know? Prices are getting better, but this "
HF Radio on a Chip" thing is going to bite us in the ass already. Hams
are now looking to get RID of the DSP and go back to mechanical filters.

Oh well, I enjoy both services, and will continue to operate both. I will
also continue to promote people to use power properly in WHATEVER
SERVICE THEY WANT TO, even if it means they are illegal.

To each his own. But your point is taken, and was made almost 10 years
ago when I got my ticket.

Toll_Free

>

6253. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:14:08 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttbnd1jata8dc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I wasn't talking about spectral purity. And I agree.

However, my point is, that it DOES happen.

Toll_Free

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3BD59CE9.8D3E62DB@ix.netcom.com...
> Good for FM or Morse code, but not AM or SSB. And you better
> have a good harmonic filter on the output of that setup!
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
> > 1 2290 driving 2 2879s, driving 8 2879s driving 5 8877s off a single
300A
> > leece neville driving a pair of 4CX15000s, with 5 double stator
alternators
> > for power (on the large amp), and 5 3a 7.5kV transformers.
> >
> > A0 output.
> >
> > You honestly think that won't do 80 grand carrier power?
> >
> > Nobody said anything about linear amplification here, either. The tubes
are
> > biased WAY into cutoff (hence the massive overdrive, to overcome the
grid
> > bias).
> >
> > Had this argument on the ham amps reflector, and they finally admitted
it
> > WAS and IS possible.
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
>

6254. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:21:49 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110231321.38485b64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Toll Free (tollfree@bigradios.com) wrote:

>Oh well, I enjoy both services, and will continue to operate both.
>I will also continue to promote people to use power properly in
>WHATEVER SERVICE THEY WANT TO, even if it means they are illegal.

Using "illegal" power "properly" is an oxymoron.

-Aaron-

6255. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:55:23 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fHIB7.434196$si5.13385501@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You are such an eloquent writer!

Sooo, just because YOU don't like the contests, that means it should be ILLEGAL???

There are sooo many other places that a person could go to have a QSO. Have you tried 2m SSB?

Perhaps the reason that the bands are so crowded during a contest is that there are a lot of hams ACTUALLY OPERATING AND ENJOYING THE CONTEST???? Why not try it instead of complaining??

I personally don't like traffic nets, but I don't want to make them illegal!

Contesters do a lot for the hobby.... coming up with new communications methods (i.e. PacketCluster), finely honing their operating skills and stations, trying out new antennas and radios. The big thing with some of the contesters I know is running QRP and Fox hunting. It is not so much how much power, but how efficient your operating skills are. And of course every contest I know has Mid-power and low power categories. But again this requires more skill.

I don't expect everyone to like contesting. At least I respect the other person to be able to like this thing they like to do.

Kent, K9EZ

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttb3o8i5ivul39@corp.supernews.com...
> >
Snip....

> I didn't realize that radio
> > was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> > and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game.
>
>
> Yes, they do. All those stupid fucking contests that destroy the 80-10
> meter
> spectrum should be made illegal. Gee, I can get a **5***, your 5/9. QSL
> via bureau.
>
> Seems I hear a lot of the same on 11 meters. Guess their isn't ANYTHING
> that seperates our
> testosterone from yours, is their?
>

>
> All those QRP rigs
> > are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And what's the point
> > of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> > (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days, huh?)

snipped out....

>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>

6256. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 21:56:08 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YHIB7.434197$si5.13385818@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

And which one doe you operate legally?

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttb3p9eh0h9s50@corp.supernews.com...
> I am licensed, and operate both radio services.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3BD4EC92.2080B657@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
> > > quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
> > > deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
> > > resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
> > >
> >
> > I've talked to Antartica, Argentina, Japan on 28MHz (ham 10 meters)
> > using a lousy attic dipole and 100W rig (TS440SAT) so you don't
> > need kilowatts of power when propagation is good.
> >
> > Get a "general" ham license, only 5 words a minute code test now
> > and some multiple choice written test.
> > Look at http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/exam.html for practice
> > tests.
> >
> > You can then use a linear
> > legally on many ham bands, AM, FM, SSB, digital modes, and there
> > are many HF SW bands with differing long distance DX "skipland"
> > propagation characteristics. Many work just fine when "skip"
> > is dead on CB 11 meters, like when the sunspot cycle bottoms out.
> >
> > Don't feel blown off by a previous bad experience with a ham
> > club, there's many more out there that you'll like.

> >
>
>

6257. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 22:12:28 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <gXIB7.151541$5A3.52366843@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim) wrote:
> >
> > >i hear one every single day on 14.295 usb comming from the west coast
> >
> > > >How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> > > >than to run tons of illegal power
> >
> > Hey Tim, how come you are on 20 meters every single day? This lends a
> > lot of credence to what Leland says - that you are likely bootlegging
> > on ham bands.
>
>
> Because he has a Sangean general coverage receiver?

He also has a bootleg Yaseu 840 transciever .50-30 mhz full coverage 4 tube
3/500z amplifer

> Since you can't really have a conversation there my
> > guess is that you look around for a "zoo" frequency to play on.
>
>
> Maybe he is spotting to watch the skip as it comes up and down in
frequency.
>
> No sense in trying to make 11 meter contacts if 20 is the one jumping off.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
> >
> > Hope they nail ya.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
>

6258. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 23:14:05 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD5F9BC.96FD31EE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Kent wrote:

>
>
>
> Perhaps the reason that the bands are so crowded during a contest is that
> there are a lot of hams ACTUALLY OPERATING AND ENJOYING THE CONTEST???? Why
> not try it instead of complaining??
>
> I personally don't like traffic nets, but I don't want to make them illegal!
>
> Contesters do a lot for the hobby.... coming up with new communications
> methods (i.e. PacketCluster), finely honing their operating skills and
> stations, trying out new antennas and radios. The big thing with some of
> the contesters I know is running QRP and Fox hunting. It is not so much how
> much power, but how efficient your operating skills are. And of course
> every contest I know has Mid-power and low power categories. But again this
> requires more skill.
>

I like contests, not because I try to compete for the high score, but it
provides a steady supply of quick contacts when I'm trying out an
antenna or rig. Also, the "WARC" bands (10MHz, 18MHz and
24MHz) usually do not have contest activity when the more traditional
HF bands are hopping with contesters.

I have found HF to be a lot of fun since I got my Extra Lite :-)
Been mostly SSB, but there's a code key around here somewheres...

6259. Okay, how about "No theory, no ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-23 23:22:06 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD5FB9E.E440C473@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22453

A twist on this troll.

6260. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 01:12:50 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110231712.5bde02b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >
> > Using "illegal" power "properly" is an oxymoron.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
>Not a flame here Aaron,have you ever operated HF gear ?

You know what, Moped? I am not licensed to, so I have not. To do so
would be illegal. What about you? Not a flame of course. :)

-Aaron-

6261. The Rant Is Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 01:29:26 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <a2336c1e658ff54859856c6ef885182b.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Following ranting heard on 14.175 MCs

Originally scheduled for return on 1 June 2001, the IARN bulletin service (heard on 14.275 USB, 3.975 LSB,and 3.890 AM for 13 years during 1987 through 1999) and very popular amateur radio talk show came alive again to a shocked amateur radio community. The shock stems from FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth's devious public representations at large ham gatherings for the last two years that he was somehow responsible for K1MAN's suspending the show at midnight on 31 August 1999. Nothing could be further from the truth.

The reason given by licensee Glenn Baxter for this action was the pending $10,000,000 lawsuit for libel against the FCC for its bogus fines against K1MAN for perfectly legal bulletin transmissions which the FCC tried to maliciously and falsely characterize as willful interference (a felony). K1MAN appealed to the full Commission and this entitled appeal was illegally "deep sixed" by the FCC and thus blocking K1MAN from the Court of Appeals in Washington, D.C. where they knew full well that they would lose. A pretty slimy bunch, indeed, who are simply afraid to face K1MAN in open court. The Supreme Court in Washington has inscribed on the building "Equal Justice Under Law." What a sham the corrupt FCC makes of the great United States of America!

K1MAN sued the Commission for libel under the Constitutional Amendment that guarantees the right to petition the government for a redress of grievances. United States District Judge Gene Carter dismissed the suit with prejudice, and this dismissal was upheld by the Court of Appeals in Boston. We have enemies in this country that are just as dangerous as Mr. Bin Laden. These enemies will do away with due process of law as well as the rest of the Unites States Constitution if we allow them to.

Riley Hollingsworth of the FCC swaggers around the amateur radio community and scares hams with his illegal and bullying tactics and covers this with a claim to be "cleaning up" amateur radio. He has done that, for sure, but at the expense of the United States Constitution? And you fools and ARRL are buying this?

This is what the American Amateur Radio Association is all about. We need an amateur radio representative organization with the courage to stand up to this devious and slimy bully (Riley Hollingsworth) whose real agenda is to deliver our valuable HF spectrum to the commercial interests. Make no mistake about this fraud who resides at the FCC

and who gets paid by our hard earned tax money out here in the real workaday world.

Fortunately the United States government has checks and balances to fight corruption, and one of these is called freedom of speech and freedom of the press. This is what the IARN Amateur Radio Talk Show is for all of us loyal Americans at this defining moment in the history of amateur radio.

So, Riley, old pal, the opera isn't over until the fat lady sings, and we challenge you to try and trash our precious (and hard fought for) United States Constitution. You, at the FCC, have won a few rounds by cheating and have fooled many fellow radio amateurs by your clever and cunning trickery, but this football game is far from over.

We at AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, are glad to be back in the game, and we are going to fight hard to preserve our wonderful hobby and valuable public service called amateur radio. You cheap and tricky politicians are going to have to compete for our valuable radio spectrum in the proper manner and through honest methods in the full view of public scrutiny and the sound understanding by both radio amateurs and the public of what is really going on here. 73, and good luck in the contest, Mr. Hollingsworth.

--
Posted from dialupd123.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.143.123]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6262. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 01:50:35 UTC
From: Nonya Bidniz <ham@station.com>
Message-ID: <ra7cttc2gp92mqug2s5b22binpejrkqsel@4ax.com>
Source record: 22456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 10:40:02 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Please post the FCC page or otherwise where you get your numbers.
>
>If the ARS was so clean, then why did Riley Hollingsworth need to be hired.
>
>Remember, he is attacking ARS FIRST AND FOREMOST.

Attacking? No, I disagree. He's not attacking the ARS, he's defending
it...and doing so only after continued insistence by hams that the FCC
step up its enforcement efforts.

73

6263. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 01:51:57 UTC
From: Harry Hurst <wa3ptg@home.com>
Message-ID: <19pB7.151847$5A3.52690202@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

6 to 7 kilowatts?
I communicate just fine with 1 or 2 watts, all over NA and Europe.

hmmm......

6264. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 02:13:53 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BtpB7.434350$si5.13664172@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023212050.283$S9@newsreader.com...
> voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >
> > You know what, Moped?
> Not a flame of course. :)
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Does calling me moped make you feel good ?
>
> I've been around lots of cars,Mopar is where my roots are though.
>
> If you can't respond to me,without calling me the same name Sparky does,
> should I consider you pointless ?
>
> --
> -Moparholic

All this coming from the same person that said......

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023203133.332$BW@newsreader.com...

> >
> Anyone else notice a dumb fuck,top-poster, repeating himself here ?
>
> --
> -Moparholic

Did calling me a dumb f*** make you feel good?

Guess you can dish it out but cant take it???

Have a nice day.

Kent, K9EZ

6265. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 02:14:54 UTC
From: Kent <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yupB7.434351$si5.13667653@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 22459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my real name.

((See below))

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20011023203133.332$BW@newsreader.com...
> "Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote:
> > And which one do you operate legally?
> >
> Anyone else notice a dumb f*** <<<Unnecessary obsenity removed for good taste>>,top-poster, repeating himself here ?
>
> --
> -Moparholic

Lets talk about proper newsgroup proceedure....at least I post with my real name.

There I thought I would post top and bottom to please EVERY one.

Kent, K9EZ

6266. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 02:39:40 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <MRpB7.152197$5A3.52778636@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Kent, Thats the typical mentality you will find in rec.radio.cb its ok for
them to do whatever they want but don't you dare do the exact same thing.
They will get there gang of thugs after you.

"Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BtpB7.434350$si5.13664172@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20011023212050.283$S9@newsreader.com...
> > voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > >
> > > You know what, Moped?
> > Not a flame of course. :)
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> > >
> > Does calling me moped make you feel good ?
> >
> > I've been around lots of cars,Mopar is where my roots are though.
> >
> > If you can't respond to me,without calling me the same name Sparky does,
> > should I consider you pointless ?
> >
> > --
> > -Moparholic
>
>
> All this coming from the same person that said......
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20011023203133.332$BW@newsreader.com...
>
> > >
> > Anyone else notice a dumb fuck,top-poster, repeating himself here ?
> >
> > --
> > -Moparholic
>
> Did calling me a dumb f*** make you feel good?
>
> Guess you can dish it out but cant take it???
>
> Have a nice day.

>
> Kent, K9EZ
>
>

6267. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 02:40:17 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <lSpB7.152204$5A3.52779239@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

yes a CB

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023205728.677$Wu@newsreader.com...
> voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >
> > Using "illegal" power "properly" is an oxymoron.
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Not a flame here Aaron,have you ever operated HF gear ?
>
> --
> -Moparholic

6268. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 03:20:26 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <057FBC57576EC58D.0B45804B6613DD6F.6825573079BCEBB9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 10:32:50 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Hams argue it is ALL about antennas. CBers argue its all about power.
>
>I argue its all about who spent the most fucking money. :)

Exactly the opposite. I'll give the prize anyday to the guy who built
a single-frequency QRP CW rig from junk parts, unwound an old
alternator to make a longwire tuned perfectly for that exact
frequency, and worked the world for $0.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6269. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 03:23:07 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <7A8A38B42A8F16F8.FBE889ED7F1A702E.A6C62138C0CE87C6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 22 Oct 2001 20:58:04 -0700, fgillil@tincan.org (Sparky) wrote:

>And what's the point
>of using a microphone? Just make a big switch,

You mean, like CW? ;)

Boy, that one could really piss off the "If it ain't CW, it's just CB"
corwd, pointing out that a CW pileup is nothing more than a CB
shootout with a lobbying organization.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6270. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 03:39:37 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <ZJqB7.152463$5A3.52887075@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I guess you sticking your foot in your mouth was the start of it.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023225004.277$G8@newsreader.com...
> "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
> > Kent, Thats the typical mentality you will find in rec.radio.cb its ok
> > for them to do whatever they want but don't you dare do the exact same
> > thing. They will get there gang of thugs after you.
> >
> Hey Kent,hope you're still with me in this cross-post.
>
> Anyway,this is the jackass that I spoke of earlier.
>
> It never fails,cross-posts start trouble ;)
>
> --
> -Moparholic

6271. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 03:41:27 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <HLqB7.152468$5A3.52889957@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

What do you Care?

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023224451.932$Pd@newsreader.com...
> "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
> > yes a CB
> >
> So,you speak for Aaron now ?
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20011023205728.677$Wu@newsreader.com...
> > > voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Using "illegal" power "properly" is an oxymoron.
> > > >
> > > > -Aaron-
> > > >
> > > Not a flame here Aaron,have you ever operated HF gear ?
> > >
> > > --
> > > -Moparholic
> >
> > --
> > -Moparholic

6272. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 03:52:55 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r5dun04gh@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <20011023202519.128$Om@newsreader.com>, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com says...
>
>"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
>>
>> He also has a bootleg Yaseu 840 transciever .50-30 mhz full coverage 4
>> tube 3/500z amplifer
>>
>Nice rig.
>
>--
>-Moparholic

...and easily re-programmed for illegal transmissions across its entire frequency range.

6273. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 03:59:31 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r5eb314gh@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <20011023203133.332$BW@newsreader.com>, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com says...
>
>"Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote:
>> And which one doe you operate legally?
>>
>Anyone else notice a dumb fuck,top-poster, repeating himself here ?
>
>--
>-Moparholic

Maybe if he got an answer instead of the runaround, he wouldn't be repeating himself.

6274. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:03:30 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r5eii24gh@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <yupB7.434351$si5.13667653@typhoon.kc.rr.com>, kwinrich@wi.rr.com says...
>
>Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my real
>name.
>
>((See below))
>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20011023203133.332$BW@newsreader.com...
>> "Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote:
>> > And which one do you operate legally?
>> >
>> Anyone else notice a dumb f*** <<<Unnecessary obsenity removed for good
>taste>>,top-poster, repeating himself here ?
>>
>> --
>> -Moparholic
>
>Lets talk about proper newsgroup proceedure....at least I post with my real
>name.
>
>There I thought I would post top and bottom to please EVERY one.
>
>Kent, K9EZ

Try to please everyone, and you'll please no one. I, for one, care nothing about pleasing people who endorse criminal behavior that endangers the life and/or safety of others.

Besides, complaining about whether a post is on top or bottom is pretty lame.

6275. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:05:56 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <E6rB7.152558$5A3.52924490@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Perhaps when you come out of your drunken stupor you will notice i have had
this name for quite sometime as well as the one previous.
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023235140.574$Fz@newsreader.com...
> "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
> > I guess you sticking your foot in your mouth was the start of it.
> >
> You'd know all about starting it,wouldn't you(insert todays screen name
> here) ??
>
> --
> -Moparholic

6276. Re: No code? NO HAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:06:25 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <Twistedhed-abuse@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <57rB7.29976$Gd6.620099@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 22467

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD26B75.9024D510@sccoast.net...
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> >
> > And when the CW required is eliminated
> > you'll have to go find something else
> > to moan about. 8-))
>
>
> Leland, they're going to whine about the demise of CW testing until
> they're dead - they have nothing else in their life to talk about.
>

They don't talk, they tap.

Click...click...click... clickkkk...clickkkk...clickkkk click...click...click...

And nobody will care. ;->>

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

6277. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:17:12 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcg68oaabn6b0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

lol

Judging by the number of amateur "appliances" sold every year, I doubt that
is the masses.

And last time I looked, not too many of the amateur contesters using that
type of equipment.

Next troll, please.

Toll_Free

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message
news:057FBC57576EC58D.0B45804B6613DD6F.6825573079BCEBB9@lp.airnews.net...
> On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 10:32:50 -0500, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> >Hams argue it is ALL about antennas. CBers argue its all about power.
> >
> >I argue its all about who spent the most fucking money. :)
>
> Exactly the opposite. I'll give the prize anyday to the guy who built
> a single-frequency QRP CW rig from junk parts, unwound an old
> alternator to make a longwire tuned perfectly for that exact
> frequency, and worked the world for $0.
>
>
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6278. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:20:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD63262.29D37FB3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The mentality that has made cb what it is today.

Toll Free wrote:
>
> You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the bigger amp
> will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.

6279. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:21:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgdtfufh9g53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> You are such an eloquent writer!

Thank you.

>
> Sooo, just because YOU don't like the contests, that means it should be
> ILLEGAL???

Where did I say that?

>
> There are sooo many other places that a person could go to have a QSO.
Have
> you tried 2m SSB?

Yes, please see other post describing my 2 meter station. TR9230 with 8877
homebrew amp.

Had a small mirage Class AB brick to drive the 8877 with, due to 10 watt
output of Kenwood, though.

It was thoroughly enjoyable. As is my CB DXing, and amateur radio DXing.

I can, and do, enjoy both services.

>
> Perhaps the reason that the bands are so crowded during a contest is that
> there are a lot of hams ACTUALLY OPERATING AND ENJOYING THE CONTEST????
Why
> not try it instead of complaining??

Read my post. English Comprehension 101 should be a requirement of UseNET
readers.

>
> I personally don't like traffic nets, but I don't want to make them
illegal!

And neither do I!

>
> Contesters do a lot for the hobby.... coming up with new communications
> methods (i.e. PacketCluster), finely honing their operating skills and
> stations, trying out new antennas and radios. The big thing with some of
> the contesters I know is running QRP and Fox hunting. It is not so much
how
> much power, but how efficient your operating skills are. And of course

> every contest I know has Mid-power and low power categories. But again
this
> requires more skill.

Of course. Same with the CB shootout contests. They judge the power levels
by
the amount of transistors in the final output amplifier, or the number of
alternators
in the AC output categories.

>
> I don't expect everyone to like contesting. At least I respect the other
> person to be able to like this thing they like to do.

So do I. Thank you for sharing this with us. You have totally agreed.

Toll_Free

>
> Kent, K9EZ
>
>
>
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttb3o8i5ivul39@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> Snip....
>
> > I didn't realize that radio
> > > was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> > > and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game.
> >
> >
> > Yes, they do. All those stupid fucking contests that destroy the 80-10
> > meter
> > spectrum should be made illegal. Gee, I can get a **5***, your 5/9.
QSL
> > via bureau.
> >
> > Seems I hear a lot of the same on 11 meters. Guess their isn't ANYTHING
> > that seperates our
> > testosterone from yours, is their?
> >
> >
> > All those QRP rigs
> > > are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And what's the point
> > > of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> > > (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days,
huh?)
>
> snipped out....

>
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

6280. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:22:19 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgg39883hc82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Depending on the amount of QRM, either, both, or neither.

It was a licensed, ACTIVE amatuer that taught me how to build tetrodes with handles.

I will be forever in debt to him, as well as his 35 Kilowatt 4CX15000 station.

Toll_Free

"Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote in message news:YHlB7.434197$si5.13385818@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> And which one doe you operate legally?
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttb3p9eh0h9s50@corp.supernews.com...
> > I am licensed, and operate both radio services.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:3BD4EC92.2080B657@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > No, operator skill and experience, a well designed antenna setup,
> > > > quality radio equipment, and the ability to use that well, are the
> > > > deciding factors. Sadly, most CB'ers have none of those, so they
> > > > resort to power to help conceal their own limitations.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I've talked to Antartica, Argentina, Japan on 28MHz (ham 10 meters)
> > > using a lousy attic dipole and 100W rig (TS440SAT) so you don't
> > > need kilowatts of power when propagation is good.
> > >
> > > Get a "general" ham license, only 5 words a minute code test now
> > > and some multiple choice written test.

> > > Look at http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/exam.html for practice
> > > tests.
> > >
> > > You can then use a linear
> > > legally on many ham bands, AM, FM, SSB, digital modes, and there
> > > are many HF SW bands with differing long distance DX "skipland"
> > > propagation characteristics. Many work just fine when "skip"
> > > is dead on CB 11 meters, like when the sunspot cycle bottoms out.
> > >
> > > Don't feel blown off by a previous bad experience with a ham
> > > club, there's many more out there that you'll like.
> > >
> >
> >
>

6281. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:22:41 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcggjo0qi208f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:yupB7.434351$si5.13667653@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my real
> name.

Where is that a requirement of UseNET?

Toll_Free

>
> ((See below))
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20011023203133.332$BW@newsreader.com...
> > "Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote:
> > > And which one do you operate legally?
> > >
> > Anyone else notice a dumb f*** <<<Unnecessary obsenity removed for good
> taste>>,top-poster, repeating himself here ?
> >
> > --
> > -Moparholic
>
> Lets talk about proper newsgroup proceedure....at least I post with my
real
> name.
>
> There I thought I would post top and bottom to please EVERY one.
>
> Kent, K9EZ
>
>
>

6282. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:23:33 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgi6namc0jac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

So basically, we have someone who has never tasted anything of what he preaches.

Sounds like a GREAT case of Clintonia to me.

Toll_Free

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:fbc7a2.0110231712.5bde02b@posting.google.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > >
> > > Using "illegal" power "properly" is an oxymoron.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> >Not a flame here Aaron,have you ever operated HF gear ?
>
> You know what, Moped? I am not licensed to, so I have not. To do so
> would be illegal. What about you? Not a flame of course. :)
>
> -Aaron-

6283. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:24:57 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgkqjpfjp4e6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

He doesn't have any amplifier at all at the moment you idiot.

I suggest you get your facts straight before you spew and accuse people of running illegal equipment.

I KNOW for a fact he doesn't, because the one he was talking about is MINE.

Toll_Free

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message news:gXlB7.151541$5A3.52366843@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > > timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim) wrote:
> > >
> > > >i hear one every single day on 14.295 usb comming from the west coast
> > >
> > > > >How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> > > > >than to run tons of illegal power
> > >
> > > Hey Tim, how come you are on 20 meters every single day? This lends a
> > > lot of credence to what Leland says - that you are likely bootlegging
> > > on ham bands.
> >
> >
> > Because he has a Sangean general coverage receiver?
>
> He also has a bootleg Yaseu 840 transciever .50-30 mhz full coverage 4 tube
> 3/500z amplifer
>
>
> > Since you can't really have a conversation there my
> > > guess is that you look around for a "zoo" frequency to play on.
> >
> >
> > Maybe he is spotting to watch the skip as it comes up and down in
> frequency.
> >
> > No sense in trying to make 11 meter contacts if 20 is the one jumping off.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free

> >
> >
> > >
> > > Hope they nail ya.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> >
>
>

6284. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:25:55 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgmk96com0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

As are your BS posts about things you have never done.

Would you believe someone who wanted to operate on you, but had NEVER had
any experience in it?

Doubtful.

Toll_Free

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0110231321.38485b64@posting.google.com...
> Toll Free (tollfree@bigradios.com) wrote:
>
> >Oh well, I enjoy both services, and will continue to operate both.
> >I will also continue to promote people to use power properly in
> >WHATEVER SERVICE THEY WANT TO, even if it means they are illegal.
>
> Using "illegal" power "properly" is an oxymoron.
>
> -Aaron-

6285. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:26:42 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgo2ma79co29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A play on words does nothing but prove you have nothing intelligent to add
to this area of the thread.

Thanks for proving a point, though.

Toll_Free

"Nonya Bidniz" <ham@station.com> wrote in message
news:ra7cttc2gp92mqug2s5b22binpejrkqsel@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 10:40:02 -0500, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> >Please post the FCC page or otherwise where you get your numbers.
> >
> >If the ARS was so clean, then why did Riley Hollingsworth need to be
hired.
> >
> >Remember, he is attacking ARS FIRST AND FOREMOST.
>
> Attacking? No, I disagree. He's not attacking the ARS, he's defending
> it...and doing so only after continued insistence by hams that the FCC
> step up its enforcement efforts.
>
> 73
>

6286. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:27:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD6343C.4F24E3CC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Because the ham bands are infinitely more important than the cb
garbage band and hams had been requesting the FCC to do something
about the bad apples in ham radio for some time. They do not want
ham radio to become anything like the sewer pit of
miscommunications the children's band is, so Hollingsworth was
appointed to clean it up. When he gets done there, cb is next.

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Please post the FCC page or otherwise where you get your numbers.
>
> If the ARS was so clean, then why did Riley Hollingsworth need to be hired.
>
> Remember, he is attacking ARS FIRST AND FOREMOST.

6287. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:30:12 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttcgulj4oq2pb4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Only if your licensed in the USARS and operate CB radio.

Lawrd knows we can't do BOTH at the SAME TIME!

Toll_Free

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011023220436.227$Yi@newsreader.com...
> "Harry Hurst" <wa3ptg@home.com> wrote:
> > 6 to 7 kilowatts?
> > I communicate just fine with 1 or 2 watts, all over NA and Europe.
> >
> > hmmm......
> >
> Cool,I like fly fishing and.... 100 lb test on the down-rigger poles too.
>
> Do I have to choose between them ?
>
> --
> -Moparholic

6288. Re: Why aren't more hams in clubs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:32:41 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <d8792c6cce43147861de2c55b1d3d489.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22482

"Jason Hsu" wrote

> Based on the two clubs I have been in, it seems to me that only about
> 10% of amateur radio operators are members of clubs.
>
> Why aren't the other 90% in clubs?

I think 10% is better than par for "joiners" in hobby clubs.

About 1% of fishermen join clubs.
About 3% of woodworkers join clubs.
About 1% of stamp collectors join clubs.
About 1% of deer hunters join clubs.
About 0% of joggers join clubs.
Etc........

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from dialupd123.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.143.123]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6289. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 04:41:52 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <5323BCC596A1CCB9.5D83C37F585338A8.AE4C6693D4FC4FBA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 23 Oct 2001 23:17:12 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>Judging by the number of amateur "appliances" sold every year, I doubt that
>is the masses.

Nope. Generally, the guys doing that are pretty much unique in that
they don't feel the need to slavishly follow the 'bigger is better'
crowd.

>And last time I looked, not too many of the amateur contesters using that
>type of equipment.

Not many, but they're the ones who know that *they* earned every point
they made, not Kenwood, Yaesu, or Icom.

I have no intent of working only with homebrew QRP stuff, but I do
intend to build some for the real challenge.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6290. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 07:20:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD66BBD.2C9073C4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> > What is a "keydown," Toll? I
> > envision a dozen or so drunken CB'ers
> > sitting around a car wash parking
> > lot transmitting at the same time to
> > see which can be heard over the other.
>
> Your attempts at anal comments are
> noted, and put you in the same class
> as the people you are trying to mock.

I'm not trying to mock anyone, Toll. That (drunken CB'ers sitting around parking lots) is just a very common type of mobile Cb'er seen around here. You can hear them, and see them as you drive around town, every night of the week (drunk as a skunk and talking trash on the CB).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6291. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 08:50:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD680A2.E081D8CC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > (snip)
>
> No, I guess the racism, sexualism, etc.
> that took place on 75 meters never
> happened, did it?

You're talking about a small minority of hams on a limited set of frequencies, while I'm talking about a widespread problem on the CB frequencies. The two issues are not even in the same ballpark (and one has been reduced even further while the other is still commonplace).

> Denial is the worst form of vanity.
> I agree that CB needs to be cleaned up,

I agree. So why do you insist in endlessly downplaying the problems on the CB frequencies? If the CB radio service wants respect, then it has to clean up the problems first. And that means honest CB'ers (those running legal stations and not causing problems) have to start screaming for enforcement and stop empowering those who do cause the problems (socializing with them, ignoring their illegal activities, etc).

> but I don't agree with a bunch of hams
> attacking CBers when they try to run
> clean power,

Those operators are being "attacked," as you call it, because they are operating illegally. I would just as quickly "attack" a fellow ham, or a member of any other radio service, who was operating illegally.

> NOR do I agree with amateur operators
> coming to rec.radio.cb telling us how
> fucked up this radio service is, when their
> own is coming under scrutiny for the same.

First of all, I didn't come to rec.radio.cb. I'm posting from a ham radio newsgroup to a cross posted thread. Second, as long as I have a CB in my desk drawer (a handheld I use in the truck occasionally), I have just as much right to be in rec.radio.cb as you do. And, finally, the problems in ham radio are not the same as those of CB - the problems associated with the CB radio service are much more severe and much more commonplace. And it is the hams themselves who have

requested the increased enforcement of ham radio, while no such
widespread request has come for CB'ers (including yourself).

> You think the ratio of bad apples to
> good isn't about the same? How many
> ACTIVE licensed amateurs are on the
> air? How many CBers?

Sorry, my friend, but that just doesn't cut it with me. I was a
CB'er for over twenty years (in 6 different states) and now a ham for
almost five. In fact, I suppose you could still call me a CB'er if you
count the very rare use of a handheld while traveling. At one time,
there were more good CB'ers than bad. But that is simply not true
today. The overwhelming majority are operating illegally (out of band,
excessive power, etc) or violating the rules in one way or the other
(foul language, causing fights, and so on). The exact opposite is true
for ham radio - the overwhelming majority operate properly and don't
violate the rules.

> This would actually be fun to look
> into, as I KNOW the amount of hams
> is dwindling.

And how would that compare to the slow decline of CB since it's peak
in the seventies?

> Take a look at the big 3 ham mags.
> All they can talk about is how it is a
> dying hobby. Sad but true.

At least there are hams magazines to talk about. Care to name a
single magazine dedicated solely to CB still in existence? Care to
name one with anywhere near the same number of subscribers? Care to
name one with the same level of technical coverage? Or perhaps we
should talk about books instead? Or perhaps you'd like to show me the
CB radio organization with the scope and influence of the ARRL? If
you're going to use talk in a magazine as proof that a hobby is dead,
then it should be very clear to you that CB was dead a long time ago.

> CB is growing more and more. Which
> yes, means you get more idiots.

Care to provide some proof of that? CB is nothing but a shadow of
what it was in the seventies. And, based on what I've seen, it has
been in slow decline ever since (in spite of the occasional peaks of interest).

> > However, if you compare the USE
> > of illegal power amplifiers
> > (including those purchased), this
> > is again extremely rare for hams,
> > while commonplace for CB'ers.
>
> Total bullshit. Most people on the

> amateur radio service run way more power
> than is necessary for comms. 100 watts
> is what comes out of the rig, thats what
> they run.

Well, the last time I checked, it was legal to run 100 watts on the ham bands. But, regardless, your response avoids the subject of illegal power amplifiers (running more power than necessary is not an illegal power amplifier). So how does your comment about running more power than necessary dispute my comment about the use of illegal power amplifiers in the two radio services? For that matter, I noticed your message avoids responding to most of my comments (the treatment of women, for example).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6292. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 09:12:56 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD685F4.53CC577D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> I mess with whatever I like to do. I
> enjoy some of my friends that I have
> met on this newsgroup and talking
> with them on the freeband. (snip)
>
> (snip) Oh well, I enjoy both services,
> and will continue to operate both. (snip)

Both you're not enjoying both services, Toll. You would have to actually be operating within a service to enjoy it - the so called "freeband" is not a radio service, it is a violation of the rules of the CB radio service. So, by your own admission above, you're operating illegally on frequencies outside one service ("freebanding") and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you even licensed to operate in the ham bands? At this point, I sincerely doubt it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6293. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 09:24:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD68897.6A893FAD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22487

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > About the only way to make
> > a good living (forget about
> > making big money) is to own
> > several in different locations ...
>
> Or own one Macdonald's in the
> Ginza district.

While we're on the subject, is there anyone out there that still likes fast food? I've almost gave up completely on fast food joints. I just cannot stand the taste, or lack thereof. What happened to "REAL" food in this country? Even the meat at the grocery store has changed (it is almost impossible to find a decent steak, or decent hamburger for that matter). And the pre-prepared stuff has hit rock bottom - I HATE ARTIFICIAL FAT FREE FOOD.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6294. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 10:32:17 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <068045A5D799DDDF.81E711921C645BC7.03A4F0BAF1CE1AEB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22488

On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 05:24:13 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> While we're on the subject, is there anyone out there that still
>likes fast food? I've almost gave up completely on fast food joints. I
>just cannot stand the taste, or lack thereof. What happened to "REAL"
>food in this country? Even the meat at the grocery store has changed
>(it is almost impossible to find a decent steak, or decent hamburger
>for that matter). And the pre-prepared stuff has hit rock bottom - I
>HATE ARTIFICIAL FAT FREE FOOD.

Luckily, there's a Griff's about 20min away...standard Dairy
Queen-like burger joint, except that the burgers are still actually
meat colored, greasy as hell, and rather large.

Other than that, I pretty much have to head back to my hometown to get
choleserol served right.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6295. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 11:18:18 UTC
From: "Scott (WMC-69)" <upplus@hotmail.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3BD6A367.B06356BF@hotmail.nospam.com>
Source record: 22489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
> > yes a CB
> >
> So,you speak for Aaron now ?

Maybe Aaron = itsallgood = Prozac?

6296. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 11:42:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011024074228.08729.00000002@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22491

>Subject: Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 10/24/2001 2:20 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD66BBD.2C9073C4@sccoast.net>

>> > What is a "keydown," Toll? I
>> > envision a dozen or so drunken CB'ers
>> > sitting around a car wash parking
>> > lot transmitting at the same time to
>> > see which can be heard over the other.
>>
>> Your attempts at anal comments are
>> noted, and put you in the same class
>> as the people you are trying to mock.

Actually, Dwight, you were very close...

A "keydown" (or at least one type) is an event where "operators" meet to compare some monster mobile radio installations.

Have you seen any of those "Penetrator" type antennas that have bonding strap or conduit in place of the wire-wound coils? Chances are, with the exception of the guy who gets one for purely aesthetic purposes, that under the hood are extra alternators, 200w linears used as buffer amps, and "trunk" amplifiers running into the tens-of-thousands of watts.

Other that to do it just for doing it's sake, I don't know what these IDIOTS think they are trying to prove. I met one of these jerks in the parking lot of Crittenden Memorial Hospital in West Memphis a few years back. He was quite happy to show me his "power pack". I asked him what he did with it, and he told me, literally, that it was "...doing the keydown lockdown on any ********* channel I want to. When I key down, NO ONE talks..."

I am sure they don't. But on 11 meters, who CARES...?!?!

Living proof that some folks have more money than common sense.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6297. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 11:43:16 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <oPxB7.152810$5A3.53183059@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Troll Free get your head out of your ass he has said many times he has a few tube amps, when I provide the post of Tim's saying this, Ill expect you to get on your hands and knees and kiss my hairy ass as an apology. Take your pick Troll Free, he admits he uses a amp and owns many. Who are you his guardian angel. Get Lost you moron.

Search Result 7
From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: this newsgroup
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (67 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-10-14 19:35:12 PST

comming from a 1 crystal walkie talkie on channel 14 to my yaesu or cobra 2000
into the texas star667v into the 4 tuber 3-500z heathkit is a nice move in the
right direction if i dont mind saying so.
<--snipped-->

Search Result 4
From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: KLV Base Amps
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (22 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-07-30 14:24:55 PST

because youll never again have to replace that 45 dollar tube if its tuned properly into a good load. it is done to make the amp more bullet proof and not
to increase the power output. i own a 4 tuber 811a amp myself that i am getting
ready to retube with 572b's and replace the power supply to allow them to run
full output.
>
From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: KLV Base Amps
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (22 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-07-30 14:24:55 PST
>hey jarhead, its gonna hurt when i bring the pain to your pip squeek little
>mobile. the only way your going to have a chance at all is if you drop some
>bucks on some ceramic and steel or your riding with one of the big strappin

>boys out of h-town. i've been getting ready to assume my new job as your
>probation officer. i got everything straightened out with the 4 tube sb220
>and i just won a driver for it on ebay last night and i already got a cobra
>2000 to push the blueface 225 to drive the driver with. when i get
everything
>
>right and go up with the antenna your in some trouble but i dont want to
hear
>
>any crying. the ebay name is my moms, i dont have a credit card so i use
her
>account.
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82

WARNING:
No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News Groups.
Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.

From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: Take a look at what Tim is Buying.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View this article only
Date: 2001-07-10 14:26:02 PST

the 811a is going to drive the heathkit just right. this was a letter to
another guy here in houston but if i would have known yall were so
interested i
would have posted it here too.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttcgkqjpfjp4e6@corp.supernews.com...
> He doesn't have any amplifier at all at the moment you idiot.
>
> I suggest you get your facts straight before you spew and accuse people of
> running illegal equipment.
>
> I KNOW for a fact he doesn't, because the one he was talking about is
MINE.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>
>
> "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
> news:gXlB7.151541$5A3.52366843@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...

> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > > > timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim) wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >i hear one every single day on 14.295 usb comming from the west
coast
> > > >
> > > > > >How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better sense
> > > > > >than to run tons of illegal power
> > > >
> > > > Hey Tim, how come you are on 20 meters every single day? This lends
a
> > > > lot of credence to what Leland says - that you are likely
bootlegging
> > > > on ham bands.
> > >
> > >
> > > Because he has a Sangean general coverage receiver?
> >
> > He also has a bootleg Yaseu 840 transciever .50-30 mhz full coverage 4
> tube
> > 3/500z amplifer
> >
> >
> > > Since you can't really have a conversation there my
> > > > guess is that you look around for a "zoo" frequency to play on.
> > >
> > >
> > > Maybe he is spotting to watch the skip as it comes up and down in
> > frequency.
> > >
> > > No sense in trying to make 11 meter contacts if 20 is the one jumping
> off.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll_Free
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Hope they nail ya.
> > > >
> > > > -Aaron-
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6298. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 11:44:05 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <9QxB7.152811$5A3.53183723@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Sssshhh Scott don't tell everyone.

"Scott (WMC-69)" <upplus@hotmail.nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3BD6A367.B06356BF@hotmail.nospam.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
> > > yes a CB
> > >
> > So,you speak for Aaron now ?
>
> Maybe Aaron = itsallgood = Prozac?

6299. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 11:45:42 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <GRxB7.152816$5A3.53184896@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

he is a tech
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD685F4.53CC577D@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > I mess with whatever I like to do. I
> > enjoy some of my friends that I have
> > met on this newsgroup and talking
> > with them on the freeband. (snip)
> >
> > (snip) Oh well, I enjoy both services,
> > and will continue to operate both. (snip)
>
>
> Both you're not enjoying both services, Toll. You would have to
> actually be operating within a service to enjoy it - the so called
> "freeband" is not a radio service, it is a violation of the rules of
> the CB radio service. So, by your own admission above, you're
> operating illegally on frequencies outside one service ("freebanding")
> and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> even licensed to operate in the ham bands? At this point, I sincerely
> doubt it.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6300. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 13:23:58 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110240523.52ddbef3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttb3o8i5ivul39@corp.supernews.com>...
> >
> > Thanks for clearing that up -- I always thought that the whole purpose
> > of radio communications was communications.
>
> So did I. My first radio competition was CQWW single / single.
>
> Then I discovered the CB radio competition scene. Now I enjoy both.

I'm not suprised.

> I didn't realize that radio
> > was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> > and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game.
>
>
> Yes, they do. All those stupid fucking contests that destroy the 80-10
> meter
> spectrum should be made illegal. Gee, I can get a **5***, your 5/9. QSL
> via bureau.
>
> Seems I hear a lot of the same on 11 meters. Guess their isn't ANYTHING
> that seperates our
> testosterone from yours, is their?

Only my heterosexuality.

> > All those QRP rigs are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And what's the point
> > of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> > (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days, huh?)
> >
> > BTW, my mommy has been dead for quite a while, so I guess that makes
> > you a necrophiliac.
>
> Why?
>
> I couldn't have had her before she died? English comprehension is a bitch,
> huh?

It must be if you can't distiguish between past- and present-tense.

6301. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 13:26:30 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110240526.3df38de6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message
news:<7A8A38B42A8F16F8.FBE889ED7F1A702E.A6C62138C0CE87C6@lp.airnews.net>...
> On 22 Oct 2001 20:58:04 -0700, fgillil@tincan.org (Sparky) wrote:
>
> >And what's the point
> >of using a microphone? Just make a big switch,
>
> You mean, like CW? ;)
>
> Boy, that one could really piss off the "If it ain't CW, it's just CB"
> corwd, pointing out that a CW pileup is nothing more than a CB
> shootout with a lobbying organization.
>
>
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

Hey, if it don't use two tin cans and a string, it's just CB!

6302. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 13:53:22 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r6h4i02srs@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3BD63262.29D37FB3@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net says...
>
>The mentality that has made cb what it is today.
>
>Toll Free wrote:
>>
>> You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the bigger amp
>> will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.

It also shows that they know nothing about signal strength. In a QST article about two years ago, tests were independently done on signals with and without an amp. A 100 watt signal produced a reading of s-5. Boosting it to 1000 watts with a linear only produced an increase to s-7.

All that power for a two point increase in strength? I'll take a good antenna any time. Besides, you can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em.

6303. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 13:56:20 UTC
From: SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com
Message-ID: <d9f7b76c.0110240556.42414d49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD685F4.53CC577D@sccoast.net>...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > I mess with whatever I like to do. I
> > enjoy some of my friends that I have
> > met on this newsgroup and talking
> > with them on the freeband. (snip)
> >
> > (snip) Oh well, I enjoy both services,
> > and will continue to operate both. (snip)
>
>
> Both you're not enjoying both services, Toll. You would have to
> actually be operating within a service to enjoy it - the so called
> "freeband" is not a radio service, it is a violation of the rules of
> the CB radio service. So, by your own admission above, you're
> operating illegally on frequencies outside one service ("freebanding")
> and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> even licensed to operate in the ham bands? At this point, I sincerely
> doubt it.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

oh et tu dwight....what's the matter ???? got bored on rec.radio.policy..???
yes he is a ham radio operator...so there mr smarty pants.....

6304. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 14:03:08 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r6hms12srs@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22498

In article <3BD68897.6A893FAD@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...

> While we're on the subject, is there anyone out there that still
>likes fast food? I've almost gave up completely on fast food joints. I
>just cannot stand the taste, or lack thereof. What happened to "REAL"
>food in this country? Even the meat at the grocery store has changed
>(it is almost impossible to find a decent steak, or decent hamburger
>for that matter). And the pre-prepared stuff has hit rock bottom - I
>HATE ARTIFICIAL FAT FREE FOOD.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Blimpy and Subway are about the only fast food places I frequent.

6305. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 15:18:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <IZAB7.1776$_S1.703033@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 22499

> Well, if you're going to make such blanket stereotypes, then you
> obviously haven't seen your fair share. Republicans, nationwide, are
> as diverse in their political opinions as the Democrats, perhaps even
> more so. It would be almost impossible to pin a single opinion on ALL
> Republicans. Indeed that is probably one of the weaknesses of the
> party at the moment - they have few common goals and opinions (other
> than the desire to beat the Democrats and their disorganized support
> for the Bill of Rights). The Democrats, in addition to their common
> goal to defeat Republicans, also have other relatively common
> political opinions and goals (environment, social engineering, and so
forth).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Most of the ones I have dealt with basically could care less about anyone else, but themselves and are the radical religious-right type. The kind that would make Rush Limbaugh as simplistic as Winnie the Pooh. The real irritating type.

I am glad that I do not support either party, nor do I believe that these are the "only" two parties to choose from. Both parties see it as that. Granted, they are the two largest though.

Ryan

6306. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 15:31:08 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdnm16cn5aa68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> >Judging by the number of amateur "appliances" sold every year, I doubt
that
> >is the masses.
>
> Nope. Generally, the guys doing that are pretty much unique in that
> they don't feel the need to slavishly follow the 'bigger is better'
> crowd.

I agree. I spent my time putting together a LOWFer station back in High
School as my
final exam project in electronics class. While everyone else was using 555
or 556 timers to
make stupid little fart noises or beeps, etc., I built a working transmitter
and QSL'ed almost
the 11 western states. It was a blast. Found out interesting things, too,
like black PVC is
about useless at RF, since it has something in the paint that screws up
inductance calculations
pretty bad.

So, I guess I can say Been their, done that. It is fun, though. I just
prefer building high power
amplifiers now. Nothing different, except the power levels.

>
> >And last time I looked, not too many of the amateur contesters using that
> >type of equipment.
>
> Not many, but they're the ones who know that *they* earned every point
> they made, not Kenwood, Yaesu, or Icom.

lol

Truer words rarely spoken :)

>
> I have no intent of working only with homebrew QRP stuff, but I do
> intend to build some for the real challenge.
>

It can be a blast. When you really want to get down to it, build a couple
single or dual tube
transmitters. Pain in the butt, but it is nice to have your filaments light
your logbook rather than

a lightbulb :)

--Toll_Free

>
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6307. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 15:32:29 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdnof84erm794@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Nobody has argued against that.

You guys are so quick to jump the proverbial CBers ass, that you don't realize what
your saying, nor that I have agreed with you.

My statement was, and still is, that if your sitting side by side in a mobile, with the same antenna
systems, the guy with more watts is going to win the competition.

Bottom line.

Toll_Free

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9r6h4i02srs@enews1.newsguy.com...
> In article <3BD63262.29D37FB3@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net says...
> >
> >The mentality that has made cb what it is today.
> >
> >Toll Free wrote:
> >>
> >> You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the bigger amp
> >> will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.
> >
> >
> > It also shows that they know nothing about signal strength. In a QST article
> > about two years ago, tests were independently done on signals with and without
> > an amp. A 100 watt signal produced a reading of s-5. Boosting it to 1000 watts
> > with a linear only produced an increase to s-7.
> >
> > All that power for a two point increase in strength? I'll take a good antenna
> > any time. Besides, you can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em.
> >

6308. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 15:34:44 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdnspbkjc2oda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > > What is a "keydown," Toll? I
> > > envision a dozen or so drunken CB'ers
> > > sitting around a car wash parking
> > > lot transmitting at the same time to
> > > see which can be heard over the other.
> >
> > Your attempts at anal comments are
> > noted, and put you in the same class
> > as the people you are trying to mock.
>
>
> I'm not trying to mock anyone, Toll. That (drunken CB'ers sitting
> around parking lots) is just a very common type of mobile Cb'er seen
> around here.

Well, then someone should smack the shit outta them, and I truly hope
that local law enforcement takes care of their drunken activity. One thing
I can't stand is an alcoholic. They are worst than most other drug abusing
types, since they view their vice as "legal", it can't be as bad as anything
else,
and it makes their attitude worse.

You can hear them, and see them as you drive around town,
> every night of the week (drunk as a skunk and talking trash on the CB).

Hopefully they don't kill anyone.

Of course, in Texas, they will die anyway... We aren't afraid to flip the
switch
on deserving sons-of-bitches.

Toll_Free

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6309. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 15:37:13 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdo1aao1rh622@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> > >
> > > Thanks for clearing that up -- I always thought that the whole purpose
> > > of radio communications was communications.
> >
> > So did I. My first radio competition was CQWW single / single.
> >
> > Then I discovered the CB radio competition scene. Now I enjoy both.
>
> I'm not suprised.

No, you shouldn't be. Just because someone enjoys something you don't
doesn't make them the idiot, though.

Your lack of comprehension in the fact that someone else could actually like
doing something you find no enjoyment makes you one, however.

>
> > I didn't realize that radio
> > > was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> > > and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game.
> >
> >
> > Yes, they do. All those stupid fucking contests that destroy the 80-10
> > meter
> > spectrum should be made illegal. Gee, I can get a **5***, your 5/9.
QSL
> > via bureau.
> >
> > Seems I hear a lot of the same on 11 meters. Guess their isn't ANYTHING
> > that seperates our
> > testosterone from yours, is their?
>
> Only my heterosexuality.

Please provide proof that Competition CBers or amateur operators who
enjoy contesting are homosexuals. Otherwise, your credibility goes quickly
down the drain with stupid snide comments.

>
> > > All those QRP rigs are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And
what's the point
> > > of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> > > (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days,
huh?)
> > >

> > > BTW, my mommy has been dead for quite a while, so I guess that makes
> > > you a necrophiliac.
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > I couldn't have had her before she died? English comprehension is a
bitch,
> > huh?
>
> It must be if you can't distiguish between past- and present-tense.

That comment means nothing taken into context.

Please insert another quarter, and try again.

Toll_Free

6310. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 15:39:49 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdo67jq9u9c6c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

lol

I know Tim personally, have met him, and consider him a friend.

You have never met him, and know him from nothing but a newsgroup.

You believe EVERYTHING you see on the internet.

I also retract my statement about him not owning an amp. I just remembered
he did pick one up while I was away from the newsgroup.

However, Yeah, I do consider myself his guardian angel. Jealous?

Toll_Free

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:oPxB7.152810$5A3.53183059@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Troll Free get your head out of your ass he has said many times he has a
few
> tube amps, when I provide the post of Tim's saying this, Ill expect you to
> get on your hands and knees and kiss my hairy ass as an apology. Take your
> pick Troll Free, he admits he uses a amp and owns many. Who are you his
> guardian angel. Get Lost you moron.
>
>
> Search Result 7
> From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
> Subject: Re: this newsgroup
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> View: Complete Thread (67 articles) | Original Format
> Date: 2001-10-14 19:35:12 PST
>
>
> comming from a 1 crystal walkie talkie on channel 14 to my yaesu or cobra
> 2000
> into the texas star667v into the 4 tuber 3-500z heathkit is a nice move in
> the
> right direction if i dont mind saying so.
> <--snipped-->
>
> Search Result 4
> From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
> Subject: Re: KLV Base Amps
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> View: Complete Thread (22 articles) | Original Format

> Date: 2001-07-30 14:24:55 PST
>
>
> because youll never again have to replace that 45 dollar tube if its tuned
> properly into a good load. it is done to make the amp more bullet proof
and
> not
> to increase the power output. i own a 4 tuber 811a amp myself that i am
> getting
> ready to retube with 572b's and replace the power supply to allow them to
> run
> full output.
> >
> From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
> Subject: Re: KLV Base Amps
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> View: Complete Thread (22 articles) | Original Format
> Date: 2001-07-30 14:24:55 PST
> >hey jarhead, its gonna hurt when i bring the pain to your pip squeek
little
> >mobile. the only way your going to have a chance at all is if you drop
some
> >bucks on some ceramic and steel or your riding with one of the big
strappin
> >boys out of h-town. i've been getting ready to assume my new job as your
> >probation officer. i got everything straightened out with the 4 tube
sb220
> >and i just won a driver for it on ebay last night and i already got a
cobra
> >2000 to push the blueface 225 to drive the driver with. when i get
> everything
> >
> >right and go up with the antenna your in some trouble but i dont want to
> hear
> >
> >any crying. the ebay name is my moms, i dont have a credit card so i use
> her
> >account.
> >
>
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
>
> WARNING:
> No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
> Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News
Groups.
> Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.

>
>
> From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
> Subject: Re: Take a look at what Tim is Buying.
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> View this article only
> Date: 2001-07-10 14:26:02 PST
>
>
> the 811a is going to drive the heathkit just right. this was a letter to
> another guy here in houston but if i would have known yall were so
> interested i
> would have posted it here too.
>
>
>
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttcgkqjpfjp4e6@corp.supernews.com...
> > He doesn't have any amplifier at all at the moment you idiot.
> >
> > I suggest you get your facts straight before you spew and accuse people
of
> > running illegal equipment.
> >
> > I KNOW for a fact he doesn't, because the one he was talking about is
> MINE.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll_Free
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
> > > news:gXlB7.151541$5A3.52366843@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim) wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >i hear one every single day on 14.295 usb comming from the west
> > coast
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >How many ham radio keydowns have you seen? Hams have better
sense
> > > > > > > > > >than to run tons of illegal power
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hey Tim, how come you are on 20 meters every single day? This
lends

> a
> > > > > lot of credence to what Leland says - that you are likely
> bootlegging
> > > > > on ham bands.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Because he has a Sangean general coverage receiver?
> > >
> > > He also has a bootleg Yaseu 840 transciever .50-30 mhz full coverage
4
> > tube
> > > 3/500z amplifer
> > >
> > >
> > > > Since you can't really have a conversation there my
> > > > > guess is that you look around for a "zoo" frequency to play on.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Maybe he is spotting to watch the skip as it comes up and down in
> > > frequency.
> > > >
> > > > No sense in trying to make 11 meter contacts if 20 is the one
jumping
> > off.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Toll_Free
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hope they nail ya.
> > > > > >
> > > > > -Aaron-
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6311. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 16:06:10 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdpnkibisufee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > Dwight Stewart wrote...
> > >
> > > (snip)
> >
> > No, I guess the racism, sexualism, etc.
> > that took place on 75 meters never
> > happened, did it?
>
>
> You're talking about a small minority of hams on a limited set of
> frequencies, while I'm talking about a widespread problem on the CB
> frequencies. The two issues are not even in the same ballpark (and one
> has been reduced even further while the other is still commonplace).

Please figure out the number of active amateurs, and the number of active
CBers.

Then look at the ratio. Its about the same, I would venture to guess.

We have millions upon millions of active CBers throughout the US

Hams have thousands. You do the math.

>
>
> > Denial is the worst form of vanity.
> > I agree that CB needs to be cleaned up,
>
>
> I agree. So why do you insist in endlessly downplaying the problems
> on the CB frequencies?

I'm not downplaying them at all. I am making a point, in that the amateur
community
constantly plays down the amateur problems, and points the finger at CB
radio.

You guys have thousands of operators, we have millions to contend with.

And yes, since their is no licensing structure, and CB is plug and play, any
idiot
can and does get on the radio. However, Cb radio still does, and ALWAYS
will have some decent operators. We escape to the freeband to get away
from the idiots.

Nice thing about Cb radio is you DON'T end up with the class structure that
is so prevelant within the amateur ranks, due inherently to its licensing
structure.

Not saying that is a bad thing, or a good thing. Just pointing it out.
Both services
have their problems and issues.

If the CB radio service wants respect, then it
> has to clean up the problems first. And that means honest CB'ers
> (those running legal stations and not causing problems) have to start
> screaming for enforcement and stop empowering those who do cause the
> problems (socializing with them, ignoring their illegal activities, etc).

They do.

>
>
> > but I don't agree with a bunch of hams
> > attacking CBers when they try to run
> > clean power,
>
>
> Those operators are being "attacked," as you call it, because they
> are operating illegally. I would just as quickly "attack" a fellow
> ham, or a member of any other radio service, who was operating illegally.
>
>
>
> > NOR do I agree with amateur operators
> > coming to rec.radio.cb telling us how
> > fucked up this radio service is, when their
> > own is coming under scrutiny for the same.
>
>
> First of all, I didn't come to rec.radio.cb. I'm posting from a ham
> radio newsgroup to a cross posted thread.

Noted. I don't look to where something is posted to make a reply, as I feel
it shouldn't make a difference. I speak my piece, and will defend it to
anyone.

However, I didn't see this was cross posted until you pointed it out.

Second, as long as I have a
> CB in my desk drawer (a handheld I use in the truck occasionally), I
> have just as much right to be in rec.radio.cb as you do.

I never said you did, and I don't believe a CB radio is a requirement to use
this forum, either.

And, finally,
> the problems in ham radio are not the same as those of CB - the
> problems associated with the CB radio service are much more severe and

> much more commonplace.

Because we have several hundred times more operators than the ARS.

Take a look. Your ranks are falling off. CBs are still sold every day.

Why can't the hams figure that out. Yes, CB is a mess. Yes, Amateur is a mess.

However, all the hams do is trash CB because we have more idiots, and it is more prevelant. We
have millions of operators crammed into a little portion of spectrum. You HONESTLY think
that if ALL of amateur radio was stuck in 75 and 80 meters in the US that the amateur community
wouldn't have the same problems the CB community does?

Pull your head out of the sand!

And it is the hams themselves who have
> requested the increased enforcement of ham radio, while no such
> widespread request has come for CB'ers (including yourself).

No, I'm not going to go crying to someone to please clean my band up.

The idiots come and go. Usually selling their butchered equipment to the next
moron that wants a radio because Jed has one in his truck / car / rv/ whatever
gave him his first taste of radio.

However, the idiots do go. And sometimes their equipment is sold to someone who
will clean it up, and use it respectably. I have quite a collection of piles - of - crap in
my garage that started out as trashy no limiter having tweeked to hell and back.

However, if the case is good, I will put the effort into turning it back into something
that is decent to operate.

But don't tell me that I haven't been a proponent of cleaning up CB. I don't agree
that linears and export radios are the root of all evil, I say run power if you want,
but run CLEAN power. THAT is the difference.

I suggest you research your claims about others before you go offhanded stating things
that you don't know about.... Especially about other people.

>
>

> > You think the ratio of bad apples to
> > good isn't about the same? How many
> > ACTIVE licensed amateurs are on the
> > air? How many CBers?
>
>
> Sorry, my friend, but that just doesn't cut it with me. I was a
> CB'er for over twenty years (in 6 different states) and now a ham for
> almost five. In fact, I suppose you could still call me a CB'er if you
> count the very rare use of a handheld while traveling. At one time,
> there were more good CB'ers than bad. But that is simply not true
> today. The overwhelming majority are operating illegally (out of band,
> excessive power, etc) or violating the rules in one way or the other
> (foul language, causing fights, and so on). The exact opposite is true
> for ham radio - the overwhelming majority operate properly and don't
> violate the rules.

That totally depends on what area you are in.

When I was in Southern California, 2 meters was worse than 11 meters was,
and 11
meters was a MESS. CB shops would sell people 250 watt linears because
without
them they wouldn't be heard AT ALL.

Here in Texas, I find the opposite to be true. Most of the high power
operators are
decent, and have fun, but don't provoke, practice good neighbor policies,
and honestly
try to help people. I am honestly amazed at it, after being subjected to
other areas of
assanine attitudes.

However, I was shunned by the local amateurs during the MS150, when I walked
up,
HT in hand, and asked them what their call in frequency was during the bike
ride. I was
part of the Baker Hughes Bicycle chase team, and felt the need that I should
at least make
an attempt to help with the comms since I am also a LICENSE AMATEUR
operator.

They told me basically to go to hell. They also never knew I was a CBer.
They didn't need
me, nor did they want me.

About 3 hours later, I scanned the band, found their active call frequency,
and called in a DUI that
had run a couple bikes off the road. He was later apprehended, and the
bikers where safe, but shaken.

So don't you come and tell me their is no bad apples in amateur radio. It is one of the most
elitist groups of people to come around since the SS. I know. I am an amateur operator.

(however, I do also believe in not letting the idiots of the group keep me from enjoying my
hobby, so I didn't trash my amateur equipment at the time. I still use it, and still enjoy it.)

>
>
> > This would actually be fun to look
> > into, as I KNOW the amount of hams
> > is dwindling.
>
>
> And how would that compare to the slow decline of CB since it's peak
> in the seventies?

lol.

Take a look at sales. CB had a resurgance in the 80s, and again in the early 90s.

That comes from a CB Scene article in Popular Communications in the early 90s, when
they where saying CB was dead in another magazine.

CB comes and goes. It is probably on its way out, since computers and the internet have
not been flooded into everyones homes. However, I doubt that the hardcore hobbiests will
leave. It is too much fun.

>
>
> > Take a look at the big 3 ham mags.
> > All they can talk about is how it is a
> > dying hobby. Sad but true.
>
>
> At least there are hams magazines to talk about. Care to name a
> single magazine dedicated solely to CB still in existence?

The amount of manufacturers of CB radio has dwindled. That has meant the amount of advertisers has also.

That doesn't mean the service is dead.

Yes, ARS has its place.

However, you STILL CAN'T argue the fact that their are less amateur operators
than their are CBers.... No matter HOW you try and word it. Hell, even the

amateur magazines will admit to that!

Care to
> name one with anywhere near the same number of subscribers? Care to
> name one with the same level of technical coverage?

They can't put technical articles in CB magazines since they are illegal to
modify.

11 meter times and journal proved that point.

Or perhaps we
> should talk about books instead? Or perhaps you'd like to show me the
> CB radio organization with the scope and influence of the ARRL?

lol.

The ARRL is a joke. It has its good moments, but overall it is a joke.

A LOT of the amateur community agrees.

If
> you're going to use talk in a magazine as proof that a hobby is dead,
> then it should be very clear to you that CB was dead a long time ago.

Yup. And the sales of CB radios, amplifiers and antennas proves it, doesn't
it.

As well as the frequency and volume of posts to the CB radio UseNET group.

>
>
> > CB is growing more and more. Which
> > yes, means you get more idiots.
>
>
> Care to provide some proof of that? CB is nothing but a shadow of
> what it was in the seventies. And, based on what I've seen, it has
> been in slow decline ever since (in spite of the occasional peaks of
interest).

lol.

Based on what you see, I would believe you are wearing blinders!

>
>
> > > However, if you compare the USE
> > > of illegal power amplifiers
> > > (including those purchased), this
> > > is again extremely rare for hams,
> > > while commonplace for CB'ers.
> >
> > Total bullshit. Most people on the
> > amateur radio service run way more power

> > than is necessary for comms. 100 watts
> > is what comes out of the rig, thats what
> > they run.
>
>
> Well, the last time I checked, it was legal to run 100 watts on the
> ham bands.

No, not if you are able to maintain communications at a lower power level.

But, regardless, your response avoids the subject of
> illegal power amplifiers (running more power than necessary is not an
> illegal power amplifier). So how does your comment about running more
> power than necessary dispute my comment about the use of illegal power
> amplifiers in the two radio services?

It doesn't, wasn't intended to, and you completely missed the point.

I was bringing up the fact that it is illegal to use more power than
necessary in the
amateur radio service. Amateurs run illegal. CBers run illegal. Fact of
life.

For that matter, I noticed your
> message avoids responding to most of my comments (the treatment of
> women, for example).

I treated it as the jibberish that it is. So basically, screw CB, since
their are people who are
profane, but amateur is OK, since you had to study to get a ticket, and have
to say your
better to make yourself with your elitist attitude LOOK better? At least
their are those
of us in both services that can get along with all, and DON'T have to make
themselves look
better, and OVERLOOK the fact that their OWN bands are dwindling due to lack
of use,
profane and flagrant abuse, etc. Both services have their problems. Your
attitude is "My service
is better, though, since we don't have as many troublemakers"... BULLSHIT.
You don't have
the number of users crammed into the same amount of spectrum, so you can't
even begin to
compare the two!

Most of the amateur community is a good lot, I will agree with that. Some
of the CB community
is a good lot. You probably WON'T agree with that, since your involvement
in CB seems
to be with idiots only.

Well, bud, you know what, you hang with idiots, thats what your going to
find. Sorry your use

of the CB radio was so bad.

Another ?.... If you hate CB so much, and think they are all a bunch of idiots, why did you
stay in it for 20 year?

Toll_Free

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6312. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 16:07:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110240807.2ffe02b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22556

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20011023152902.12999.00000815@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 10/23/2001 11:32 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0110230832.7ce5d918@posting.google.com>
>
> >> The FCC does not require any part of its staff to USE
> >> amateur radio...nor to be licensed as an amateur.
> >
> >Are you part of the FCC, Len? I don' think so.
> >
> >FCC is empowered to regulate civilian radio in the USA. You aren't.
>
> He frequently ignores his own counsel, Jim. Makles it easier to lie and
> rant.

When someone post something here that isn't true, I usually don't know
whether it's a lie or a mistake. So I assume it's a mistake.
>
> >> I will
> >> not stress that it is also against the First Amendment since
> >> some amateurs feel that it does not apply to anyone
> >> concerning amateur radio regulations.
> >
> >WHAT is against the First Amendment? Stating this?:
>
> The first amendment protects citizens from the government. Lenie has yet
> to answer how the First Amendment applies here. He never has.

As I understand it, the First Amendment protects people from the govt.
, and to a certain extent, from each other. But since anyone with
usenet access can post here, I don't see what all the First Amendment
fuss is about.
>
> >See? You characterize my ideas as "old" as if that's a bad thing. But
> >you do not say what YOUR ideas are, other than endlessly repeating
> >that the code test must go.
>
> How can he HAVE any ideas, Jim?

Anyone can have ideas, Steve.

> He doesn't have a license, ergo cannot
> participate in any Amateur activities for the most part.

He can still have ideas.

> No participation
> means a lack of appreication for what the NEEDS of the Amateur Service are.

Maybe. Maybe not.
>
> No experience...again...

Doesn't really matter. Anyone can have ideas about the ARS, and they
are welcome to post them here.

But if you filter out the noise, what is left of Len's postings is
pretty sparse and repetitious. From what I have read, in both his
posts and reply comments to the FCC, his suggestions for the future of
the ARS come down to this: (These are HIS ideas, not mine):

- Get rid of code testing
- Reduce the number of license classes, aiming at a single license
class. (But no details on the test requirements)
- Institute an age limit of 14 for any ham license in the USA.

And that's about it. Interesting.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6313. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 16:08:12 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttdpreci4ef231@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > I mess with whatever I like to do. I
> > enjoy some of my friends that I have
> > met on this newsgroup and talking
> > with them on the freeband. (snip)
> >
> > (snip) Oh well, I enjoy both services,
> > and will continue to operate both. (snip)
>
>
> Both you're not enjoying both services, Toll. You would have to
> actually be operating within a service to enjoy it

I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the freeband.

So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also operate in
the
CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?

You have a messed up outlook on life if that is what you TRULY believe!

- the so called
> "freeband" is not a radio service, it is a violation of the rules of
> the CB radio service. So, by your own admission above, you're
> operating illegally on frequencies outside one service ("freebanding")

At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.

Does that make you feel better?

> and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> even licensed to operate in the ham bands?

Yes.

At this point, I sincerely
> doubt it.

Your opinion means little to nothing to me, so .....

Toll_Free

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6314. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 16:12:17 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r6p91fvo1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>We are not talking about comms, we are talking about a shootout competition.
>Listen during CQWW and you will find the same things on the amateur bands.

That's called a "pile up" and it's a recognized communication tool;
even the shipboard CW maritime operators utilized that technique for
some 80 years in order to pass their time-sensitive weather observations
every six hours. Don't attempt to equate your "shoot outs" with an 80+
year-old highly efficient communication method; that you'd even try
shows you have no understanding of basic single-channel mass comms.

>I can also win a keydown against the biggest amp you can
>find. It's easy. All you have to do is know how to communicate.

"Key-down" and "communication" are contradictory; doing one prohibits
the other from occurring.

I love the way the FCC quietly goes about its business subtly
collecting bits of evidence from here and there, maybe in your
neighborhood, maybe from a distant monitoring station, maybe
from on here, then jumps on the federal criminal with huges
fines and confiscation of communication equipment. From then
on other agencies such as the IRS join the fray and carefully
scrutinize this individual, who will for life have a federal
conviction on his record. Is all this worth it to you? It's so
easy to live a law-abiding life -- why not try it for a change?

Jeff KH6O (on rec.radio.amateur.misc)

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6315. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 16:30:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110240830.2c89f1f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22558

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD68897.6A893FAD@sccoast.net>...
>
> While we're on the subject, is there anyone out there that still
> likes fast food? I've almost gave up completely on fast food joints. I
> just cannot stand the taste, or lack thereof.

Same here, Dwight. The stuff available in most chains is too salty,
too sugary, too bland and too processed.

> What happened to "REAL" food in this country?

Part of the change is the use of cost-effective production methods.
Things like feed and breed choices are based on how many pounds of
finished product can be delivered for a given dollar spent to grow
them. Much of what we eat has been frozen or near-frozen at some time
in its prodction, even if it's not frozen wehn we buy it.

> Even the meat at the grocery store has changed
> (it is almost impossible to find a decent steak, or decent hamburger
> for that matter).

You have to make 'em yourself. Takes time.

>And the pre-prepared stuff has hit rock bottom - I
> HATE ARTIFICIAL FAT FREE FOOD.
>
The latest nutrition info I have read says that "fat free" isn't
always good, and in many cases is bad for you! Here's the theory:

- Sugar (simple carbohydrate) is the worst thing for you. Not just
refined sugar, but sugar in any form. Complex carbs are only slightly
better. The reason they are so bad is that many people's bodies react
to sugar intake by converting it directly to fat, which is then
stored, rather than glyogen, which gives you energy. And sugar does
not make you feel full. This is why many people on lowfat diets have a
hard time losing any weight, feel hungry all the time and are tired
after a meal.

- Fats are not all bad. Some mono- and poly-unsaturated fats are
actually good for you, consumed in the right quantities. If you eat no
fat you're never satisfied. The "best" fats are olive, canola and fish
oils.

- Protein is essential, and is the backbone of a healthy diet.

How does this work out in real life? Consider the following two breakfasts:

Oatmeal with skim milk and raisins, plus orange juice.

Three-egg-white/one egg yolk spinach omelet, plus green tea.

The oatmeal breakfast is mostly carbohydrates and simple sugars. The protein and fat are both low, so many people don't feel full. The sugar may give a burst of energy, but 2-3 hours after such a breakfast many people will be hungry and drowsy.

The omelet breakfast has more protein and fat but far less sugar, and for many people will last a lot longer.

Often a person will lose weight on one diet and gain weight on another, even though the calories are the same.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6316. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 17:32:40 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r6tvo01d9r@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <ttdnof84erm794@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
>
>Nobody has argued against that.
>
>You guys are so quick to jump the proverbial CBers ass, that you don't
>realize what
>your saying, nor that I have agreed with you.
>
>My statement was, and still is, that if your sitting side by side in a
>mobile, with the same antenna
>systems, the guy with more watts is going to win the competition.
>
>Bottom line.
>
>Toll_Free
>
>"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:9r6h4i02srs@enews1.newsguy.com...
>> In article <3BD63262.29D37FB3@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net
>says...
>> >
>> >The mentality that has made cb what it is today.
>> >
>> >Toll Free wrote:
>> >>
>> >> You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the bigger
>amp
>> >> will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.
>>
>>
>> It also shows that they know nothing about signal strength. In a QST
>article
>> about two years ago, tests were independently done on signals with and
>without
>> an amp. A 100 watt signal produced a reading of s-5. Boosting it to 1000
>watts
>> with a linear only produced an increase to s-7.
>>
>> All that power for a two point increase in strength? I'll take a good
>antenna
>> any time. Besides, you can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em.
>>
>

>

6317. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 17:38:24 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r6uag11d9r@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <ttdnof84erm794@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
>
>Nobody has argued against that.
>
>You guys are so quick to jump the proverbial CBers ass, that you don't
>realize what
>your saying, nor that I have agreed with you.
>
>My statement was, and still is, that if your sitting side by side in a
>mobile, with the same antenna
>systems, the guy with more watts is going to win the competition.
>
>Bottom line.
>
>Toll_Free

That would be a contest as to whose receiver front end would be first to get trashed.

BTAIM, other things figure in also. Such as operator skill, mode, which band is being used, and location. I would put my money on a location close to the ocean and 100 watts, against a QTH several hundred miles inland and 1000 watts.

6318. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 17:42:22 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r6uhu21d9r@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ttdpnkibisufee@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...

>Please figure out the number of active amateurs, and the number of active
>CBers.
>
>Then look at the ratio. Its about the same, I would venture to guess.
>
>We have millions upon millions of active CBers throughout the US
>
>Hams have thousands. You do the math.

Millions? Do you have a source for this claim?

6319. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 17:46:09 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r6up131d9r@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ttdpreci4ef231@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...

>I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the freeband.
>
>So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also operate in
>the
>CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
>
>You have a messed up outlook on life if that is what you TRULY believe!

It's called credibilty. Something you are severely lacking.

6320. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 18:26:26 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tte1ut4g0ir4fc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Krell still misses the point.

It is organized between classes that are compared by the amount of transistors in their final amp.

It is in a cordoned off area, with two lanes, similiar to the way a drag strip is arranged, via lanes.

They are both going to the same station, which has a tape recorder going, and the person who is heard over the other advances to the next round.

Their are no other variables, unless one is cheating.

Your entire argument is over something entirely different!

Toll_Free

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9r6uag11d9r@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <ttdnof84erm794@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
> >
> >Nobody has argued against that.
> >
> >You guys are so quick to jump the proverbial CBers ass, that you don't
> >realize what
> >your saying, nor that I have agreed with you.
> >
> >My statement was, and still is, that if your sitting side by side in a
> >mobile, with the same antenna
> >systems, the guy with more watts is going to win the competition.
> >
> >Bottom line.
> >
> >Toll_Free
>
>
> That would be a contest as to whose receiver front end would be first to get
> trashed.
>
> BTAIM, other things figure in also. Such as operator skill, mode, which band is
> being used, and location. I would put my money on a location close to the ocean

> and 100 watts, against a QTH several hundred miles inland and 1000 watts.
>

6321. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 18:35:19 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tte2f9ags5kd8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> >We are not talking about comms, we are talking about a shootout
competition.
> >Listen during CQWW and you will find the same things on the amateur
bands.
>
> That's called a "pile up" and it's a recognized communication tool;

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
Its a recognized communications nightmare today. Just look at the amount of
people
complaining about the contests in magazines, and the problems inherent with
them.

Not many people can get through when "the big guns" are operating.

Most also take up excessive bandwith, and actually USE that as a tool.

Nobody said CBers where any different, but your calling a pile-up a
communications tool
is simply amazing.

> even the shipboard CW maritime operators utilized that technique for
> some 80 years in order to pass their time-sensitive weather observations
> every six hours.

And they still do today? Doubtful.

The pile-up of yesteryear might have been helpful. Today it is a nuisance.
As are contests.

Don't attempt to equate your "shoot outs" with an 80+
> year-old highly efficient

When does the efficiency come into play? When the DX station is responding
and
some idiot is actually KEYING on him while doing so?

At least with CB shootouts our keydown period is on the order of 10 seconds.

communication method; that you'd even try
> shows you have no understanding of basic single-channel mass comms.

On CB shootouts, we aren't using single channel mass comms, and contesting
has
nothing to do with that today.

>
> >I can also win a keydown against the biggest amp you can
> >find. It's easy. All you have to do is know how to communicate.
>
> "Key-down" and "communication" are contradictory; doing one prohibits
> the other from occurring.

Yes, and having a contest going pretty much precludes me from using my
5 watt QRP rig (because I lost the finals in my TS440 while I am at sea
and that is all I can milk her for, while my ship is going down) to get
help.

Not saying it would be impossible, just a HELL of a lot harder.

>
> I love the way the FCC quietly goes about its business subtly
> collecting bits of evidence from here and there, maybe in your
> neighborhood, maybe from a distant monitoring station, maybe
> from on here, then jumps on the federal criminal with huges
> fines and confiscation of communication equipment.

Yes. 75 meters is becoming a joy to operate again.

From then
> on other agencies such as the IRS join the fray and carefully
> scrutinize this individual, who will for life have a federal
> conviction on his record.

Actually, that isn't true. After a statute of limitations, you can apply
for
your rights back, and have that felony removed or "stricken" from your
record.

Nice try, though. Wanna go another round full of half-truths?

Is all this worth it to you? It's so
> easy to live a law-abiding life -- why not try it for a change?

I have Jeff. And for the most part (aside from the occassional traffic
infraction or operating my radio in a way you don't see fit) I still do.

>
> Jeff KH6O (on rec.radio.amateur.misc)
>
> --
> Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
> U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
> jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6322. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 18:37:46 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tte2jtkfpldva8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Early 90s Popular Communications magazine reporting that CB radio is on the upswing.

Sales figures and the reasoning for RCI (which is basically a CB radio company now... They found more sales in the CB category than they did in comm. or amateur market) to go to making legit CB radios, having owned a CB radio shop in a semi-rural area and watching the amount of people and diversity of them using their CB radios.

Not to mention listening to 11 meters during the band openings.

Toll_Free

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9r6uhu21d9r@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <ttdpnkibisufee@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com
says...
>
> >Please figure out the number of active amateurs, and the number of active
> >CBers.
> >
> >Then look at the ratio. Its about the same, I would venture to guess.
> >
> >We have millions upon millions of active CBers throughout the US
> >
> >Hams have thousands. You do the math.
>
> Millions? Do you have a source for this claim?
>

6323. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 18:38:48 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tte2lu4afnc7bb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9r6up131d9r@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <ttdpreci4ef231@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com
says...
>
> >I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the freeband.
> >
> >So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also operate
in
> >the
> >CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
> >
> >You have a messed up outlook on life if that is what you TRULY believe!
>
> It's called credibilty. Something you are severely lacking.

If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually somewhat happy.

In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone sitting aside
from someone else in
two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in different
areas.

That's credibility?

Toll_Free

>

6324. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 19:14:06 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD712FE.C7C56170@uci.edu>
Source record: 22568

N2EY wrote:
> When someone post something here that isn't true, I usually don't know
> whether it's a lie or a mistake. So I assume it's a mistake.

Even after Cecil expended so many words explaining that any incorrect
statement is a lie?

73, ac6xg

6325. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 19:43:23 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r75kr0r6b@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <tte2jtkfpldva8@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
>
>Early 90s Popular Communications magazine reporting that CB radio is on the
>upswing.
>
>Sales figures and the reasoning for RCI (which is basically a CB radio
>company now... They found more sales in the CB category than they did in
>comm. or amateur market) to go to making legit CB radios, having owned a CB
>radio shop in a semi-rural area and watching the amount of people and
>diversity of them using their CB radios.
>
>Not to mention listening to 11 meters during the band openings.
>
>
>Toll_Free

That still doesn't explain where you came up with the "millions upon millions"
claim of active CBers. Did Pop Comm and RCI make this claim? Did they take a
poll? If so, what were the results? I seriously doubt that the number is in the
"millions" as you claim.

6326. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 19:58:21 UTC
From: Philip de Cadanet <phil@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Message-ID: <H5I5eJAd1x17EwPK@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Source record: 22570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight,

> Are you
>even licensed to operate in the ham bands? At this point, I sincerely
>doubt it.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
He surely is Dwight and knowledgeable with it.

I met up with him while In Tyler a year or so back.

Phil G4ZOW

Transmitters 'R' Us
http://www.transmittersrus.com

6327. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 19:58:55 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r76hv0sge@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <tte2lu4afnc7bb@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...

>If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually somewhat happy.

If you're happy engaging in criminal activity, you shouldn't expect anyone to take what you say seriously.

>In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone sitting aside
>from someone else in
>two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in different
>areas.
>
>That's credibility?
>
>
>Toll_Free

I gave you a response based on reality, (something you seem to have a problem with) and personal experience. If you have a problem accepting that, I feel sorry for you.

6328. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 20:05:07 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r76tj1sge@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <tte1ut4g0ir4fc@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
>
>Krell still misses the point.
>
>It is organized between classes that are compared by the amount of
>transistors in their final amp.
>
>It is in a cordoned off area, with two lanes, similiar to the way a drag
>strip is arranged, via lanes.
>
>They are both going to the same station, which has a tape recorder going,
>and the person who is heard over the other advances to the next round.
>
>Their are no other variables, unless one is cheating.

...and you don't consider power that's over the legal limit for CB to be
cheating? My, how you contradict yourself!

>Your entire argument is over something entirely different!
>
>
>
>Toll_Free

That's because I operate entirely different...and legal.

6329. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 20:32:42 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tte9bf50k64q01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> That still doesn't explain where you came up with the "millions upon
millions"
> claim of active CBers. Did Pop Comm and RCI make this claim?

PopComm did, yes.

Did they take a
> poll? If so, what were the results?

I don't have a clue as to how they came up with the information.

Why not ask Tom Kneitel?

I seriously doubt that the number is in the
> "millions" as you claim.

You have your doubts, I have mine.

Toll_Free

>

6330. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 20:33:53 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tte9djr41qs026@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> >If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually somewhat
happy.
>
> If you're happy engaging in criminal activity, you shouldn't expect anyone
to
> take what you say seriously.

Hope you have never been caught speeding. Because your a CRIMINAL if
you are!

>
> >In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone sitting
aside
> >from someone else in
> >two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in different
> >areas.
> >
> >That's credibility?
> >
> >
> >Toll_Free
>
>
> I gave you a response based on reality, (something you seem to have a
problem
> with) and personal experience. If you have a problem accepting that, I
feel
> sorry for you.

Your version of reality, not having anything to do with what the original
thread
was about.

Do you make it a habit to post off-topic on threads?

Toll_Free

>

6331. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 20:40:53 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD72755.F243BF3D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What can you do illegally in the freeband that you can't
do legally (if you have a general ham license) on 10 or
12 meters?

Toll Free wrote:

>
>
> I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the freeband.
>
> So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also operate in
> the
> CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
>
>
> At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.
>
> Does that make you feel better?
>
> > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> > even licensed to operate in the ham bands?
>
> Yes.
>

6332. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 21:27:22 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110241327.111d03d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com (SD SpecialDelivery Jones) wrote in message news:<d9f7b76c.0110240556.42414d49@posting.google.com>...
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:<3BD685F4.53CC577D@sccoast.net>...
> > Toll Free wrote:
> > >
> > > I mess with whatever I like to do. I
> > > enjoy some of my friends that I have
> > > met on this newsgroup and talking
> > > with them on the freeband. (snip)
> > >
> > > (snip) Oh well, I enjoy both services,
> > > and will continue to operate both. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > Both you're not enjoying both services, Toll. You would have to
> > actually be operating within a service to enjoy it - the so called
> > "freeband" is not a radio service, it is a violation of the rules of
> > the CB radio service. So, by your own admission above, you're
> > operating illegally on frequencies outside one service ("freebanding")
> > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> > even licensed to operate in the ham bands? At this point, I sincerely
> > doubt it.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
> oh et tu dwight....what's the matter ???? got bored on rec.radio.policy..???
> yes he is a ham radio operator...so there mr smarty pants.....

So at least he's legal on the ham bands. Now what about the others?

6333. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 21:35:01 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tted07sne559fb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Talk to friends of mine that have no inkling to get an amateur license, but whom might just be as intelligent as
other so called "experts" in the amateur bands.

Seems pretty simple, actually.

Toll_Free

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3BD72755.F243BF3D@ix.netcom.com...
> What can you do illegally in the freeband that you can't
> do legally (if you have a general ham license) on 10 or
> 12 meters?
>
> Toll Free wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the freeband.
> > >
> > > So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also operate in
> > > the
> > > CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
> > >
> > >
> > > At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.
> > >
> > > Does that make you feel better?
> > >
> > > > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> > > > even licensed to operate in the ham bands?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> > >
>

6334. Re: The Rant Is Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 21:41:43 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9r7chpvsb1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That's 14.275

Dan/W4NTI

"Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:a2336c1e658ff54859856c6ef885182b.15732@mygate.mailgate.org...
> Following ranting heard on 14.175 MCs
>
> ---
> Originally scheduled for return on 1 June 2001, the IARN bulletin
> service (heard on 14.275 USB, 3.975 LSB,and 3.890 AM for 13 years
> during 1987 through 1999) and very popular amateur radio talk show
> came alive again to a shocked amateur radio community. The shock
> stems from FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth's devious public
> representations at large ham gatherings for the last two years that he
> was somehow responsible for K1MAN's suspending the show at midnight on
> 31 August 1999. Nothing could be further from the truth.
>
> The reason given by licensee Glenn Baxter for this action was the
> pending $10,000,000 lawsuit for libel against the FCC for its bogus
> fines against K1MAN for perfectly legal bulletin transmissions which
> the FCC tried to maliciously and falsely characterize as willful
> interference (a felony). K1MAN appealed to the full Commission and
> this entitled appeal was illegally "deep sixed" by the FCC and thus
> blocking K1MAN from the Court of Appeals in Washington, D.C. where
> they knew full well that they would lose. A pretty slimy bunch,
> indeed, who are simply afraid to face K1MAN in open court. The
> Supreme Court in Washington has inscribed on the building "Equal
> Justice Under Law." What a sham the corrupt FCC makes of the great
> United States of America!
>
> K1MAN sued the Commission for libel under the Constitutional Amendment
> that guarantees the right to petition the government for a redress of
> grievances. United States District Judge Gene Carter dismissed the
> suit with prejudice, and this dismissal was upheld by the Court of
> Appeals in Boston. We have enemies in this country that are just as
> dangerous as Mr. Bin Laden. These enemies will do away with due
> process of law as well as the rest of the Unites States Constitution
> if we allow them to.
>
> Riley Hollingsworth of the FCC swaggers around the amateur radio
> community and scares hams with his illegal and bullying tactics and
> covers this with a claim to be "cleaning up" amateur radio. He has
> done that, for sure, but at the expense of the United States

> Constitution? And you fools and ARRL are buying this?
>
> This is what the American Amateur Radio Association is all about. We
> need an amateur radio representative organization with the courage to
> stand up to this devious and slimy bully (Riley Hollingsworth) whose
> real agenda is to deliver our valuable HF spectrum to the commercial
> interests. Make no mistake about this fraud who resides at the FCC
> and who gets paid by our hard earned tax money out here in the real
> workaday world.
>
> Fortunately the United States government has checks and balances to
> fight corruption, and one of these is called freedom of speech and
> freedom of the press. This is what the IARN Amateur Radio Talk Show
> is for all of us loyal Americans at this defining moment in the
> history of amateur radio.
>
> So, Riley, old pal, the opera isn't over until the fat lady sings, and
> we challenge you to try and trash our precious (and hard fought for)
> United States Constitution. You, at the FCC, have won a few rounds
> by cheating and have fooled many fellow radio amateurs by your clever
> and cunning trickery, but this football game is far from over.
>
> We at AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association, are glad to be
> back in the game, and we are going to fight hard to preserve our
> wonderful hobby and valuable public service called amateur radio.
> You cheap and tricky politicians are going to have to compete for our
> valuable radio spectrum in the proper manner and through honest
> methods in the full view of public scrutiny and the sound
> understanding by both radio amateurs and the public of what is really
> going on here. 73, and good luck in the contest, Mr. Hollingsworth.
> ---
>
>
> --
> Posted from dialupd123.mpls.uswest.net [207.225.143.123]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6335. New site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 22:03:22 UTC
From: Mary <allstar@libero.it>
Message-ID: <9r7e06cnh1@nreadb.inwind.it>
Source record: 22580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

www.JforJ.com sms, wallpapers, sex.......

6336. Re: The Rant Is Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 22:03:58 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <F306D8E27C085EAE.1632C528E127C24E.4B60FAD80E296FB5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 01:29:26 +0000 (UTC), "Hans Brakob"
<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>This is what the American Amateur Radio Association is all about.

Could've fooled me. Sure looks like it's only about sending out
begging letters. Maybe if something were actually being done, it
could gain a little more credibility.

Interesting to note that even in this post there wasn't a single claim
of specific actions being taken.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6337. Re: The Rant Is Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 22:18:27 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD73E33.4768260C@uci.edu>
Source record: 22581

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 01:29:26 +0000 (UTC), "Hans Brakob"
> <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>
> >This is what the American Amateur Radio Association is all about.
>
> Could've fooled me. Sure looks like it's only about sending out
> begging letters. Maybe if something were actually being done, it
> could gain a little more credibility.
>
> Interesting to note that even in this post there wasn't a single claim
> of specific actions being taken.
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

Apparently K1MAN believes that FCC rules shouldn't apply to him - little
things like having an operator at the control point of his station while
it is broadcasting his recorded programs.

6338. Re: New site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 22:34:01 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3BD741D9.D8A787E3@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 22582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Mary wrote:
>
> www.JforJ.com sms, wallpapers, sex.......

Boy, are you in the wrong groups. Radio amateurs are all born again
virgins; they don't even think about "sex......"

6339. Re: The Rant Is Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 23:43:53 UTC
From: Daniel Jeswald <w4nti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9r7jrq$t47$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does 'smoke and mirrors' ring any bells?

All this goofball K1MAN thinks about is himself. His idea of ham radio is trashing up segments of the HF spectrum with useless promotions of his organization and self aggrandizing his 'accomplishments' or should I have said 'alleged accomplishments'.

I operate 14.275, and have since his 'coincidental absence for the last 2 years. Recently he has decided to reclaim it. Fine, as long as no one else is there, go for it. Otherwise expect some QRM. I'll leave the frequency when I damn well want to. And go ahead and take me to court on 'felony QRM charges'. This guy is in need of a rubber room.

Dan/W4NTI

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message news:F306D8E27C085EAE.1632C528E127C24E.4B60FAD80E296FB5@lp.airnews.net...
> On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 01:29:26 +0000 (UTC), "Hans Brakob"
> <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>
> >This is what the American Amateur Radio Association is all about.
>
> Could've fooled me. Sure looks like it's only about sending out
> begging letters. Maybe if something were actually being done, it
> could gain a little more credibility.
>
> Interesting to note that even in this post there wasn't a single claim
> of specific actions being taken.
>
>
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6340. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-24 23:58:37 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <NAIB7.154104$5A3.53736297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not always, the side of choice makes a difference as well some have a
distinct advantage.
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttdnof84erm794@corp.supernews.com...
> Nobody has argued against that.
>
> You guys are so quick to jump the proverbial CBers ass, that you don't
> realize what
> your saying, nor that I have agreed with you.
>
> My statement was, and still is, that if your sitting side by side in a
> mobile, with the same antenna
> systems, the guy with more watts is going to win the competition.
>
> Bottom line.
>
> Toll_Free
>
> "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9r6h4i02srs@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > In article <3BD63262.29D37FB3@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net
> says...
> > > >
> > > >The mentality that has made cb what it is today.
> > > >
> > > >Toll Free wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the
bigger
> amp
> > > >> will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.
> > >
> > >
> > > It also shows that they know nothing about signal strength. In a QST
> article
> > > about two years ago, tests were independently done on signals with and
> without
> > > an amp. A 100 watt signal produced a reading of s-5. Boosting it to 1000
> watts
> > > with a linear only produced an increase to s-7.
> > >
> > > All that power for a two point increase in strength? I'll take a good
> antenna

> > any time. Besides, you can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em.
> >
>
>

6341. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:11:20 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r7lb8$et5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:
>Exactly the opposite. I'll give the prize anyday to the guy who built
>a single-frequency QRP CW rig from junk parts, unwound an old
>alternator to make a longwire tuned perfectly for that exact
>frequency, and worked the world for $0.

Joe, a lot of my transmitters are such as you describe; I've built
them out of components taken from junked TV sets. There's no greater
thrill than making on-air contacts with gear you've built yourself
from scratch. My 40m VFO uses a variable capacitor taken from a TV
UHF tuner; I can park it on any 40m frequency and it will stay put
all day. Those variables are built like a brick.

73 from Hawaii, Jeff KH6O

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6342. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:12:04 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <oNIB7.154161$5A3.53753144@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tte9bf50k64q01@corp.supernews.com...
> > That still doesn't explain where you came up with the "millions upon
> millions"
> > claim of active CBers. Did Pop Comm and RCI make this claim?
>
> PopComm did, yes.

You said they never said millions and millions you said they said Cb radio
was on the upswing.

> I don't have a clue as to how they came up with the >information.

The not having a clue part I can believe.

> Why not ask Tom Kneitel?
>
>
> I seriously doubt that the number is in the
> > "millions" as you claim.

I as well, it is all conjecture on his part there are no numbers out there
to back up his claim either way.

> You have your doubts, I have mine.
>
> Toll_Free

6343. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:14:07 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <jPIB7.154174$5A3.53754895@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No your not Speeding is not a criminal offense in any state. Nice try tho.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tte9djr41qs026@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > >If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually somewhat
> happy.
> >
> > If you're happy engaging in criminal activity, you shouldn't expect
anyone
> to
> > take what you say seriously.
>
>
> Hope you have never been caught speeding. Because your a CRIMINAL if
> you are!
>
>
> >
> > >In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone sitting
> aside
> > >from someone else in
> > >two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in
different
> > >areas.
> > >
> > >That's credibility?
> > >
> > >
> > >Toll_Free
> >
> >
> > I gave you a response based on reality, (something you seem to have a
> problem
> > with) and personal experience. If you have a problem accepting that, I
> feel
> > sorry for you.
>
> Your version of reality, not having anything to do with what the original
> thread
> was about.
>
> Do you make it a habit to post off-topic on threads?

>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
> >
>
>

6344. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:15:03 UTC
From: "Scott (WMC-69)" <upplus@hotmail.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3BD75972.98DD3CF2@hotmail.nospam.com>
Source record: 22588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Itsallgood wrote:
>
> Sssshhh Scott don't tell everyone.
>
> "Scott (WMC-69)" <upplus@hotmail.nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:3BD6A367.B06356BF@hotmail.nospam.com...
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote:
> > > > yes a CB
> > > >
> > > So,you speak for Aaron now ?
> > >
> > Maybe Aaron = itsallgood = Prozac?

Or is it Aaron = Sparky = Leland?

The writing style seems to match. The use of any particular
name must be linked to the amount of alcohol in him.

You can tell a lot about people by listening to them, or in
this case reading them. If you would just linger and listen
you could also make these determinations.

6345. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:15:49 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <VQIB7.154186$5A3.53757641@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

why not talk to your "smart" friends on the legal 40, better yet call them on the phone. No one said you have to only talk to smart guys on Ham radio there are planty of normal folks out there as well.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:tted07sne559fb@corp.supernews.com...
> Talk to friends of mine that have no inkling to get an amateur license, but
> whom might just be as intelligent as
> other so called "experts" in the amateur bands.
>
> Seems pretty simple, actually.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3BD72755.F243BF3D@ix.netcom.com...
> > What can you do illegally in the freeband that you can't
> > do legally (if you have a general ham license) on 10 or
> > 12 meters?
> >
> > Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the freeband.
> > >
> > > So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also operate
> in
> > > the
> > > CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
> > >
> > >
> > > At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.
> > >
> > > Does that make you feel better?

> > >
> > > > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> > > > even licensed to operate in the ham bands?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> > >
> >
>
>

6346. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:30:59 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r7mg3$g44$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

<Commenting about home-built equipment>

>lol
>Judging by the number of amateur "appliances" sold every year, I doubt that
>is the masses.
>And last time I looked, not too many of the amateur contesters using that
>type of equipment.
>Next troll, please.

No troll, Toll -- a lot of my transmitters were built from scratch
(see previous post). Homebrewing is another branch of amateur radio
just like contesting, DX, moon-bounce, satellites, meteor scatter,
long-delay-echo, ssb, am, fm, digital, cw, spread-spectrum, QRP, et
al. Just as not all 600 kilo-hams are contesters, neither are all
homebrewers. Yet there are contests open only to those utilizing
homebuilt equipment.

Diversity is what makes this hobby so great.

The amateur radio service is the only service permitted to build
its own equipment without having to go through the type-acceptance
process, and we're the only service permitted frequency agility
(non-channelization). Just those alone show the measure of trust
the government has in us.

Jeff KH6O (on rec.radio.amateur.misc)

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6347. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 00:47:56 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r7nfs$h3u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>"Kent" <kwinrich@wi.rr.com> wrote in message

>>Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my real
>>name.

>Where is that a requirement of UseNET?

It's adds credibility and accountability to one's comments.
For example, you not appending your supposed amateur callsign
to the comments you're posting to the two amateur NGs mean,
to us, you have neither of the above.

Jeff KH6O

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6348. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:14:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD7677C.AAA449F7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22592

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> Actually, Dwight, you were very close...

Like I told Toll, it is what is commonly seen around here. But, I'll accept his word that it is something else where he lives.

> (snip) I asked him what he did with it,
> and he told me, literally, that it was
> "...doing the keydown lockdown on any
> ********* channel I want to. When I
> key down, NO ONE talks..."

And I've seen that type also - the one who has to own the channel, even if for no other reason than to irritate others.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6349. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:18:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD7685C.383D1AD8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> tollfree@bigradios.com says...
> >
> > Early 90s Popular Communications
> > magazine reporting that CB radio
> > is on the upswing.

Was that the same CB magazine (published by the publisher of Popular Communications) that went under after just two years because of lack of sales?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6350. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:27:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD76A78.94D7952A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

SD SpecialDelivery Jones wrote:
>
> oh et tu dwight....what's the matter ????
> got bored on rec.radio.policy..???

Yep. Decided to hassle Toll for a while.

> yes he is a ham radio operator...so there
> mr smarty pants.....

How would you know? Anyone can claim anything if proof is not
required. Heck, I can claim that I personally built the space station
as long as I don't have to provide proof of that. Of course, you would
certainly doubt that claim, just like I doubt Toll's claim.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6351. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:35:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD76C66.90FD97D9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> I do operate within the legal CB
> band.

That sentence is not clear, so let me get this straight. You
actually operate legally in the CB band, or you occasionally operate
illegally on the authorized CB frequencies?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6352. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:44:08 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tterjhjasjkn14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> No troll, Toll -- a lot of my transmitters were built from scratch
> (see previous post). Homebrewing is another branch of amateur radio
> just like contesting, DX, moon-bounce, satellites, meteor scatter,
> long-delay-echo, ssb, am, fm, digital, cw, spread-spectrum, QRP, et
> al. Just as not all 600 kilo-hams are contesters, neither are all
> homebrewers. Yet there are contests open only to those utilizing
> homebuilt equipment.

Agreed. And we all know those contest generate as much magazine
space as the CQWW, etc.

My point is only that both services have useless competitions (in
my eyes)... However, they can be fun.

>
> Diversity is what makes this hobby so great.

So, if CBers find someway to diversify, we aren't any good?

>
> The amateur radio service is the only service permitted to build
> its own equipment without having to go through the type-acceptance
> process,

No, your not.

and we're the only service permitted frequency agility
> (non-channelization).

No, your not.

I suggest you look at the 1750 meter license free band.

Just those alone show the measure of trust
> the government has in us.

lol.

Let me guess. You also believe everything you see on CNN, right?

>
> Jeff KH6O (on rec.radio.amateur.misc)
>
>
> --
> Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
> U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System

> jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6353. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:45:52 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttermmmb7eqs46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That is inherent.

Although, I have sat and watched most, and the guy who USUALLY wins (read this as, either
has something good as a rep, or is usually running an 8 pill into a 2 pill) takes the same lanes as
the other guys winning.

When talking about RF, the lane choice isn't as distinct an advantage as it is in drag racing.

Some people just don't understand that, though.

Toll_Free

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:NAIB7.154104$5A3.53736297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Not always, the side of choice makes a difference as well some have a
> distinct advantage.
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttdnof84erm794@corp.supernews.com...
> > Nobody has argued against that.
> >
> > You guys are so quick to jump the proverbial CBers ass, that you don't
> > realize what
> > your saying, nor that I have agreed with you.
> >
> > My statement was, and still is, that if your sitting side by side in a
> > mobile, with the same antenna
> > systems, the guy with more watts is going to win the competition.
> >
> > Bottom line.
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> > "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:9r6h4i02srs@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > > In article <3BD63262.29D37FB3@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net
> > says...
> > > >
> > > >The mentality that has made cb what it is today.
> > > >
> > > >Toll Free wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> You have proven nothing, other than my point. The guy with the

> bigger
> > amp
> > > >> will have a stronger signal, and a better chance of being heard.
> > >
> > >
> > > It also shows that they know nothing about signal strength. In a QST
> > article
> > > about two years ago, tests were independently done on signals with and
> > without
> > > an amp. A 100 watt signal produced a reading of s-5. Boosting it to
1000
> > watts
> > > with a linear only produced an increase to s-7.
> > >
> > > All that power for a two point increase in strength? I'll take a good
> > antenna
> > > any time. Besides, you can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6354. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:48:34 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tterrlls8piv84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

> >>Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my
real
> >>name.
>
> >Where is that a requirement of UseNET?
>
> It's adds credibility and accountability to one's comments.
> For example, you not appending your supposed amateur callsign
> to the comments you're posting to the two amateur NGs mean,
> to us, you have neither of the above.

You miss the point. I don't care about credibility from my "credentials",
I would much rather have the credibility from being able to shred someones
technically incorrect answers and show them the error in their thinking.

Just like someone putting PHD behind their name does nothing for
me to lend credibility to them.

After all, Clinton got to put President in FRONT of his name. Look
at his credibility. If you only choose to look at ones initials / title /
etc
for credibility, you either don't know the subject well enough to formulate
your own opinions, or don't have enough self confidence to do so.

Either way, it's unfortunate.

Besides, the few people that I DO trust DO have my call sign, and have
stepped forward to show people that yes I DO hold a valid amateur
license.

Toll_Free

>
> Jeff KH6O
>
> --
> Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
> U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
> jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6355. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:51:46 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttes1m7fl3e7d5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, that would be PopComm, just as I said.

Toll_Free

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD7685C.383D1AD8@sccoast.net...
> > tollfree@bigradios.com says...
> > >
> > > Early 90s Popular Communications
> > > magazine reporting that CB radio
> > > is on the upswing.
>
>
> Was that the same CB magazine (published by the publisher of Popular
> Communications) that went under after just two years because of lack
> of sales?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6356. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:53:11 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttes49qff2m902@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > oh et tu dwight....what's the matter ????
> > got bored on rec.radio.policy..???
>
>
> Yep. Decided to hassle Toll for a while.

Great. Someone actually admitting they are doing nothing but flaming.

We figured you for a flamer, but flagrantly flaming seems a bit much

ESPECIALLY for a LICENSED AMATEUR.

God knows they don't do THAT!

>
>
> > yes he is a ham radio operator...so there
> > mr smarty pants.....
>
>
> How would you know?

I have proven it to him. He matters, you don't.

Anyone can claim anything if proof is not
> required. Heck, I can claim that I personally built the space station
> as long as I don't have to provide proof of that. Of course, you would
> certainly doubt that claim, just like I doubt Toll's claim.

And your opinion means little, to nothing, to me.

Toll_Free

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6357. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:53:51 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttes5me3cunc15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states is a
felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.

Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.

Toll_Free

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:jPIB7.154174$5A3.53754895@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> No your not Speeding is not a criminal offense in any state. Nice try tho.
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:tte9djr41qs026@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > >If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually somewhat
> > happy.
> > >
> > > If you're happy engaging in criminal activity, you shouldn't expect
> anyone
> > to
> > > take what you say seriously.
> >
> >
> > Hope you have never been caught speeding. Because your a CRIMINAL if
> > you are!
> >
> >
> > >
> > > >In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone sitting
> > aside
> > > >from someone else in
> > > >two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in
> different
> > > >areas.
> > > >
> > > >That's credibility?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Toll_Free
> > >
> > >
> > > I gave you a response based on reality, (something you seem to have a
> > problem
> > > with) and personal experience. If you have a problem accepting that, I

> > feel
> > > sorry for you.
> >
> > Your version of reality, not having anything to do with what the
original
> > thread
> > was about.
> >
> > Do you make it a habit to post off-topic on threads?
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6358. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:54:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD770CA.832C6FB1@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Philip de Cadanet wrote:
>
> > Are you even licensed to operate
> > in the ham bands? At this point,
> > I sincerely doubt it.
>
>
> He surely is Dwight and knowledgeable
> with it.
>
> I met up with him while In Tyler a
> year or so back.
>
> Phil G4ZOW

And I'm supposed to the take the word of a person trying to convince others he is a ham by placing letters similar to a callsign next to his name. Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham radio callsign.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6359. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 01:54:49 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttes7c9c80fr31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:VQIB7.154186$5A3.53757641@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> why not talk to your "smart" friends on the legal 40,

Because they choose not to talk their, to escape the majority.

It is, after all, their prerogative.

better yet call them
> on the phone.

Why do you operate amateur radio? Why don't you call THEM on the phone.

No one said you have to only talk to smart guys on Ham radio
> there are planty of normal folks out there as well.

What brought that on?

Toll_Free

>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:tted07sne559fb@corp.supernews.com...
> > Talk to friends of mine that have no inkling to get an amateur license,
> but
> > whom might just be as intelligent as
> > other so called "experts" in the amateur bands.
> >
> > Seems pretty simple, actually.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:3BD72755.F243BF3D@ix.netcom.com...
> > > What can you do illegally in the freeband that you can't
> > > do legally (if you have a general ham license) on 10 or
> > > 12 meters?
> > >
> > > Toll Free wrote:
> > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the
> freeband.
> > > >
> > > > So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also
> operate
> > in
> > > > the
> > > > CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.
> > > >
> > > > Does that make you feel better?
> > > >
> > > > > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are you
> > > > > even licensed to operate in the ham bands?
> > > >
> > > > Yes.
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6360. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 02:11:51 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD774D3.15D98A85@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22604

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> Luckily, there's a Griff's about
> 20min away...standard Dairy Queen-
> like burger joint, except that
> the burgers are still actually meat
> colored, greasy as hell, and rather
> large.

Sounds good. Do they offer care packages to the "real food challenged" parts of the country? All we have around here are fast food joints and over priced restaurants geared towards tourists.

> Other than that, I pretty much
> have to head back to my hometown
> to get choleserol served right.

I almost moved to Alabama once because of this. I stayed at a hotel with a restaurant during one of my cross country trips and that place served the best pork chops, fried chicken, and barbecued ribs I've tasted in years. Of course, I was darn hungry after several days of eating fast food, so perhaps anything might have tasted good at that point. But I doubt it - the food was good.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6361. Website News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 02:32:24 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3bd779b6@news.bnb-lp.com>
Source record: 22605

The really HUGE news at www.ab7sl.com is Electronic QSL Cards....

Drop by the site to see if _you_ have a beautiful full-color qsl card
waiting for you. The card depicts a beautiful local mountain scene here in
mile-high Prescott, Arizona USA......

Also found at the site listed below ....

1. Official - W9INN Antennas Page -
2. Equipment photos
3. Personal photos
4. Station Description
5. Original 3d desktop wallpaper
6. Prescott,AZ Page with tons of photos
7. Elmer tribute to W7NH
8. Free downloadable IOTA List
9. Ham Radio Links - some unusual
10. AB7SL Biography
11. Software downloads
12. Online DX Logbook
13. Frequently Asked Questions
14. Free music downloads and more stuff.....

--

73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
100% Electronic QSL's
www.ab7sl.com

6362. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 02:46:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD77CF7.DBCEDC37@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22606

N2EY wrote:
>
> Same here, Dwight. The stuff available
> in most chains is too salty, too sugary,
> too bland and too processed.

Oh, I don't mind a little salt, but that stuff they call hamburgers
just isn't.

> Part of the change is the use of cost-
> effective production methods. Things
> like feed and breed choices are based
> on how many pounds of finished product
> can be delivered for a given dollar
> spent to grow them. Much of what we eat
> has been frozen or near-frozen at some
> time in its prodction, even if it's not
> frozen wehn we buy it.

Well, all that is nice to know I guess, but knowing why doesn't put
a decent hamburger on my plate.

> You have to make 'em yourself. Takes
> time.

Okay, now we're getting into solving the problem. I wonder what my
landlord would say about a couple of cows in the backyard. :-)

> The latest nutrition info I have read
> says that "fat free" isn't always good,
> and in many cases is bad for you!
> Here's the theory:
>
> - Sugar (simple carbohydrate) is the
> worst thing for you. Not just refined
> sugar, but sugar in any form. Complex
> carbs are (snip)

Jim. Jim. JIM! All I want is decent tasting food. I don't want to
become an expert on sugar, carbs, or poly-unsaturated fats. My
grandmother is almost a hundred, and I seriously doubt she has any
idea what poly-unsaturated fats are. My step-grandfather died about
five years ago at 87 and his eating habits would drive today's health
food addicts crazy (lots of beef, pork, sausage, sweet deserts, and
everything else on the now nearly banned food list). My very healthy
mother is almost seventy and I doubt she could tell the difference

between a carb in food and a carb in her vehicle. My grandfather on my father's side starts each day with three eggs fried in home-made lard, home-made pork sausage, home-made biscuits raked in butter, rice with pork fat gravy, and a couple of cups of coffee loaded with sugar. And I swear he can still bench press me and he is well into his 80's. And I would like to live to be as old as the rest of my family, and if eating good food (as shown by them) is the way to do it, then I'm all for it. ;-)

> The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> fish oils.

You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6363. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 02:59:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD77FDB.8026A239@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > Yep. Decided to hassle Toll for
> > a while.
>
> Great. Someone actually admitting
> they are doing nothing but flaming.
>
> We figured you for a flamer, but
> flagrantly flaming seems a bit much
>
> ESPECIALLY for a LICENSED AMATEUR.
>
> God knows they don't do THAT!

Oh, drop the victim act, Toll. Nobody is flaming you. We're having a discussion, nothing more and nothing less. Surely you can tell the difference between the two.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6364. W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 04:07:06 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110242007.6e4d2765@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote

> Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham radio callsign.

G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio callsign. Why do you consider
it otherwise?

You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
based on half-vast research.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6365. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 05:38:42 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110242138.68ee80c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttbn9cp21e4v8c@corp.supernews.com>...

> I mess with whatever I like to do. I enjoy some of my friends that I have
> met on this newsgroup and talking with them on the freeband.

So you're a ham who "freebands". Wow, that's gotta make you popular.

> They have
> no interest in becoming licensed hams (because of posts like yours that I am
> responding to right now... But don't take offense. Some people get turned
> off by that attitude),

What attitude? You mean the attitude that FCC rules should be obeyed?
That frequency assignments should be respected? That power limits
should be observed?

>but enjoy the hell out of radio.

Gosh, I wonder if hams care whether or not people who are "turned off"
by rules become hams? My guess is no.

> And with the prices the way they where on multiband HF equipment, I don't
> blame some of them for sticking with their 125 dollar modified 148s. Not
> all of us can afford a 781A, ya know?

But they can afford $200 FT-101's to "freeband" with.

>Prices are getting better, but this "
> HF Radio on a Chip" thing is going to bite us in the ass already. Hams
> are now looking to get RID of the DSP and go back to mechanical filters.
>
> Oh well, I enjoy both services, and will continue to operate both. I will
> also continue to promote people to use power properly in WHATEVER
> SERVICE THEY WANT TO, even if it means they are illegal.

You will continue to promote illegality? What a fine ham you are.

> To each his own. But your point is taken, and was made almost 10 years
> ago when I got my ticket.

Hopefully you won't have it much longer.

> Toll_Free
>

-Aaron-

6366. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 06:08:42 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <F1803E4B13222835.28355B39A158581C.DA75C246F1705E18@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 20:44:08 -0500, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>My point is only that both services have useless competitions (in
>my eyes)... However, they can be fun.

Homebrew, QRP, and other contests serve a purpose for many of the hams involved; practice for those times when emergency communications are needed, and power is hard to come by, or equipment has been damaged and repairs must be made with what is on hand.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6367. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 06:13:06 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <36029B310A16CF79.B6C62DCA950EA8AC.D62D30248B9722A2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 25 Oct 2001 00:11:20 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Joe, a lot of my transmitters are such as you describe; I've built
>them out of components taken from junked TV sets. There's no greater
>thrill than making on-air contacts with gear you've built yourself
>from scratch. My 40m VFO uses a variable capacitor taken from a TV
>UHF tuner; I can park it on any 40m frequency and it will stay put
>all day. Those variables are built like a brick.

Last time the power went out, I decided to have some fun with it, and
built a crystal set from scavenged bits of old radios, and a tuning
cap made from foil covered paper towel tubes. The only part I had to
pull from one of my other sets was the earphone. All done in just a
bit over an hour, facilitated by a butane soldering iron.

Of course, having two clear-channel stations (WBAP and KRLD) within
20mi makes crystal set building a bit more tolerant of inefficiency.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6368. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 06:21:34 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <190F4C61E602B2DD.3B99A041A56A43B7.EED049605F23AB5E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22612

On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 22:11:51 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Sounds good. Do they offer care packages to the "real food
>challenged" parts of the country? All we have around here are fast
>food joints and over priced restaurants geared towards tourists.

When I travel, I just look for Italian restaturants run by *real*
Italians. Enough garlic, olive oil, and tomatoes makes anything good.

Failing that, I just look at the outside of the building; if it looks
like it was once a topless bar or laundromat, or it should have been
condemned years ago, the food's probably good.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6369. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 06:33:37 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <83A36673F080E630.4A45B4191C2F5E1E.9233ABF886CA8ED4@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22613

On Wed, 24 Oct 2001 22:46:45 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>My step-grandfather died about
>five years ago at 87 and his eating habits would drive today's health
>food addicts crazy (lots of beef, pork, sausage, sweet deserts, and
>everything else on the now nearly banned food list).

The docs keep telling me I should watch my diet because of the family history of heart attacks. Sure, great-grandma died of one at 84. Her brother had his second and last at 92, and my paternal grandma at 78, after smoking over a pack a day for most of her life. Meanwhile, my maternal grandma died somewhat younger from pneumonia after years of exercize and watching everything she ate, trying to keep her cholesterol down.

Granddad was doing very well with his high-grease diet until his car wreck last Christmas. Even still, most of the doctors are somewhat impressed with his overall health and recovery ability at 72. If he gets use of his legs back, he'll probably hurt himself again trying to kick the ones responsible for all the health food he's having to eat.

>My grandfather on my
>father's side starts each day with three eggs fried in home-made lard,
>home-made pork sausage, home-made biscuits raked in butter, rice with
>pork fat gravy, and a couple of cups of coffee loaded with sugar. And
>I swear he can still bench press me and he is well into his 80's.

Sounds like he went to my granddad's dietitian :) At 6'3" and 270lbs before the wreck, he was more active than I am at 25.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6370. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 06:37:50 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r8bvu$8aa$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>Its a recognized communications nightmare today. Just look at the amount of
>people
>complaining about the contests in magazines, and the problems inherent with
>them.

Out of 600,000 hams, how many complaints have you counted? 600? That would be 0.1% of all hams -- statistically negligible. Even if 6000 complained, that would only be 1%.

>Not many people can get through when "the big guns" are operating.

Even if there was a contest every weekend, that's only 28% of the week; but note that the WARC bands are always contest-free.

>Most also take up excessive bandwith, and actually USE that as a tool.

Please quantify "most" and "excessive" and tell us how your arrived at those conclusions.

>Nobody said CBers where any different, but your calling a pile-up a
>communications tool is simply amazing.

You say that because you've never participated in one. I have -- the maritime bands had a world-wide pile-up every six hours for some 80+ years as ships passed their time-sensitive weather observations to shore stations. No other communication technique would have worked as well; after just 20 minutes every ship in the world had passed their WX OBS. Again, this was done every six hours for over 80 years, non-stop.

>The pile-up of yesteryear might have been helpful. Today it is a
>nuisance. As are contests.

So we'll group you with the less than 1% of the amateur community who think likewise -- similar to that uncompetitive group who tend not to get very far in life, always being passed up for promotions and pay raises -- too timid to compete with others. But keep in mind that contesters and traffic handlers make the best HF operators during disaster communications.

>Yes, and having a contest going pretty much precludes me from using
>my 5 watt QRP rig

Nonsense -- there are never contests held on the WARC bands. Never. And no matter what the contest, no one band is completely filled band-edge to band-edge. Also you can always find room on 10, 15,

or 160 meters.

Jeff KH6O (on rec.radio.amateur.misc)

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6371. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 06:55:13 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9r8d0h$9df$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll_Free wrote:

>Jeff wrote:
>>The amateur radio service is the only service permitted to build
>>its own equipment without having to go through the type-acceptance
>>process,

> No, your not.

Yes we are. See below.

>>and we're the only service permitted frequency agility
>>(non-channelization).

>No, your not.
>I suggest you look at the 1750 meter license free band.

That's not a "service." 1750m is one of several frequency segments
set aside for Part 5 "intentional emissions" (such as garage door
openers and cordless phones) and "unintentional emissions" (computer
monitors, microwave ovens, et cetera). Hobbiests have utilized the
"intentional emissions" paragraph for comms, but no where in Part 5
will you see any mention of hobby communications; those hobbiests
fall in the same category as garage door openers. Again, Part 5
is not "service," as I specified in my paragraph above.

I suggest you read Title 47 CFR Part 5 -- the CFR collection should
be in any nearby college library.

Jeff KH6O

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6372. Re: New site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 07:26:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011025032655.09752.00000072@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22616

>Subject: Re: New site
>From: Stagger Lee SLee@houston-hunks.com
>Date: 10/24/2001 5:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD741D9.D8A787E3@houston-hunks.com>

>Boy, are you in the wrong groups. Radio amateurs are all born again
>virgins; they don't even think about "sex......"

Wrong-a-mundo, Stagger my man! When I was a virgin that's ALL I thought
about!

=)

6373. Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 07:46:36 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <4AAEFE55113C4042.77AB4311E2D713F1.2014F96271C9FEBB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 25 Oct 2001 00:33:36 -0700, "A. Melon"
<juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote:

>and it pisses you hams off! Too fucking bad!
>
>
> http://www.bigradios.com

Real impressive. Not only are you too cowardly to use your real name,
but you posted a link to a page you haven't figured out how to build
yet.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6374. Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 08:09:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011025040956.09752.00000074@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 22618

>Subject: Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!
>From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
>Date: 10/25/2001 2:46 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id:
><4AAEFE55113C4042.77AB4311E2D713F1.2014F96271C9FEBB@lp.airnews.net>
>
>On Thu, 25 Oct 2001 00:33:36 -0700, "A. Melon"
><juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>
>>and it pisses you hams off! Too ******* bad!

>>http://www.bigradios.com
>
>Real impressive. Not only are you too cowardly to use your real name,
>but you posted a link to a page you haven't figured out how to build
>yet.

No problem, Joe...The bigger the flag they wave under the FCC's nose, the easier it is to spot them.

Let him wave and rant. Riley's prowling.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6375. Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 10:54:16 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <9F46C133310A9FC6.1E342BC3B1A45152.1E35D502E9EB0DC1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22619

On 25 Oct 2001 08:09:56 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!
>>From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
>>Date: 10/25/2001 2:46 AM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id:
>><4AAEFE55113C4042.77AB4311E2D713F1.2014F96271C9FEBB@lp.airnews.net>
>>
>>On Thu, 25 Oct 2001 00:33:36 -0700, "A. Melon"
>><juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>>
>>>and it pisses you hams off! Too ******* bad!
>
>>>http://www.bigradios.com
>>
>>Real impressive. Not only are you too cowardly to use your real name,
>>but you posted a link to a page you haven't figured out how to build
>>yet.
>
> No problem, Joe...The bigger the flag they wave under the FCC's nose, the
>easier it is to spot them.
>
> Let him wave and rant. Riley's prowling.

After a little checking, I'm half tempted to call his neighbors and
remind them of the reporting procedures for TVI problems.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6376. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 11:26:48 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110250326.261f0d8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttdo1aao1rh622@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > >
> > > > Thanks for clearing that up -- I always thought that the whole purpose
> > > > of radio communications was communications.
> > >
> > > So did I. My first radio competition was CQWW single / single.
> > >
> > > Then I discovered the CB radio competition scene. Now I enjoy both.
> >
> > I'm not suprised.
>
>
> No, you shouldn't be. Just because someone enjoys something you don't
> doesn't make them the idiot, though.
>
> Your lack of comprehension in the fact that someone else could actually like
> doing something you find no enjoyment makes you one, however.

I never made that association, you did.

> > > I didn't realize that radio
> > > > was invented and developed for 'shootouts'. With that in mind, the FCC
> > > > and the ARRL need to rethink the rules of the game.
> > >
> > >
> > > Yes, they do. All those stupid fucking contests that destroy the 80-10
> > > meter
> > > spectrum should be made illegal. Gee, I can get a **5***, your 5/9.
> QSL
> > > via bureau.
> > >
> > > Seems I hear a lot of the same on 11 meters. Guess their isn't ANYTHING
> > > that seperates our
> > > testosterone from yours, is their?
> >
> > Only my heterosexuality.
>
>
> Please provide proof that Competition CBers or amateur operators who
> enjoy contesting are homosexuals. Otherwise, your credibility goes quickly
> down the drain with stupid snide comments.

Snide comments? Maybe you should read your own posts once in a while, Toll.

> > > > All those QRP rigs are for people that don't have a life, I guess. And
> what's the point
> > > > of using a microphone? Just make a big switch, the bigger the better
> > > > (which seems to be the mentality of radio engineering these days,
> huh?)
> > > >
> > > > BTW, my mommy has been dead for quite a while, so I guess that makes
> > > > you a necrophiliac.
> > >
> > > Why?
> > >
> > > I couldn't have had her before she died? English comprehension is a
> bitch,
> > > huh?
> >
> > It must be if you can't distiguish between past- and present-tense.
>
>
> That comment means nothing taken into context.

As I said before, you should go back and read your own posts. I believe
there is a difference between 'calls' and 'called', the verb from your
own 'snide' remark which -is- the context of this specific discussion.

6377. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 11:33:08 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110250333.749afc31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

krell1215@yahoo.com (Krell) wrote in message news:<9r75kr0r6b@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> In article <tte2jtkfpldva8@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
> >
> >Early 90s Popular Communications magazine reporting that CB radio is on the
> >upswing.
> >
> >Sales figures and the reasoning for RCI (which is basically a CB radio
> >company now... They found more sales in the CB category than they did in
> >comm. or amateur market) to go to making legit CB radios, having owned a CB
> >radio shop in a semi-rural area and watching the amount of people and
> >diversity of them using their CB radios.
> >
> >Not to mention listening to 11 meters during the band openings.
> >
> >
> >Toll_Free
>
>
> That still doesn't explain where you came up with the "millions upon millions"
> claim of active CBers. Did Pop Comm and RCI make this claim? Did they take a
> poll? If so, what were the results? I seriously doubt that the number is in the
> "millions" as you claim.

He got it from Jay, who claims there are 12 million CBers running amps.
Jay, like Toll, refuses to provide his sources.

6378. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 11:35:10 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110250335.3e55ea72@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttes49qff2m902@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > oh et tu dwight....what's the matter ????
> > > got bored on rec.radio.policy..???
> >
> >
> > Yep. Decided to hassle Toll for a while.
>
>
> Great. Someone actually admitting they are doing nothing but flaming.
>
> We figured you for a flamer, but flagrantly flaming seems a bit much
>
> ESPECIALLY for a LICENSED AMATEUR.
>
> God knows they don't do THAT!
>
>
> >
> >
> > > yes he is a ham radio operator...so there
> > > mr smarty pants.....
> >
> >
> > How would you know?
>
> I have proven it to him. He matters, you don't.
>
>
> Anyone can claim anything if proof is not
> > required. Heck, I can claim that I personally built the space station
> > as long as I don't have to provide proof of that. Of course, you would
> > certainly doubt that claim, just like I doubt Toll's claim.
>
> And your opinion means little, to nothing, to me.
>
> Toll_Free

PKB, Toll.

6379. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 11:40:17 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110250340.79db2833@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttes5me3cunc15@corp.supernews.com>...
> Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states is a
> felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
>
> Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
>
>
> Toll_Free

In what state is it a felony to drive 45mph in a 30mph zone? And are
you saying that everyone (or even most people) do that? Or drive 90mph
in a 60mph zone?

You are reaching again, Toll.

6380. Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 12:06:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011025080614.10796.00000085@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 22624

>Subject: Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!
>From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
>Date: 10/25/2001 5:54 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id:
><9F46C133310A9FC6.1E342BC3B1A45152.1E35D502E9EB0DC1@lp.airnews.net>

>After a little checking, I'm half tempted to call his neighbors and
>remind them of the reporting procedures for TVI problems.

THERE ya go!

6381. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 12:40:19 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <TKTB7.155884$5A3.54445391@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttes7c9c80fr31@corp.supernews.com...
> Because they choose not to talk their, to escape the majority.
>
> It is, after all, their prerogative.

To be what Law breakers?

>
>
> better yet call them
> > on the phone.
>
> Why do you operate amateur radio? Why don't you call THEM on the phone.

Amatuer Radio is a legal means of communication, the Freeband is not. See
the difference. SSB is Phone btw.

>
> No one said you have to only talk to smart guys on Ham radio
> > there are planty of normal folks out there as well.
>
>
> What brought that on?

drugs

>
> Toll_Free
>
>
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > news:tted07sne559fb@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Talk to friends of mine that have no inkling to get an amateur
license,
> > but
> > > whom might just be as intelligent as
> > > other so called "experts" in the amateur bands.
> > >
> > > Seems pretty simple, actually.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll_Free
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3BD72755.F243BF3D@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > What can you do illegally in the freeband that you can't
> > > > do legally (if you have a general ham license) on 10 or
> > > > 12 meters?
> > > >
> > > > Toll Free wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the
> > freeband.
> > > > >
> > > > > So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also
> > operate
> > > in
> > > > > the
> > > > > CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.
> > > > >
> > > > > Does that make you feel better?
> > > > >
> > > > > > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are
you
> > > > > > even licensed to operate in the ham bands?
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6382. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 13:22:47 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttg4hbe1nq6e91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In another post, I did.

Any other smart assed comments?

Toll_Free

"Sparky" <fgillil@tincan.org> wrote in message
news:e182e02.0110250333.749afc31@posting.google.com...
> krell1215@yahoo.com (Krell) wrote in message
news:<9r75kr0r6b@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > In article <tte2jtkfpldva8@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com
says...
> > >
> > >Early 90s Popular Communications magazine reporting that CB radio is on
the
> > >upswing.
> > >
> > >Sales figures and the reasoning for RCI (which is basically a CB radio
> > >company now... They found more sales in the CB category than they did
in
> > >comm. or amateur market) to go to making legit CB radios, having owned
a CB
> > >radio shop in a semi-rural area and watching the amount of people and
> > >diversity of them using their CB radios.
> > >
> > >Not to mention listening to 11 meters during the band openings.
> > >
> > >
> > >Toll_Free
> >
> >
> > That still doesn't explain where you came up with the "millions upon
millions"
> > claim of active CBers. Did Pop Comm and RCI make this claim? Did they
take a
> > poll? If so, what were the results? I seriously doubt that the number is
in the
> > "millions" as you claim.
>
> He got it from Jay, who claims there are 12 million CBers running amps.
> Jay, like Toll, refuses to provide his sources.

6383. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 13:24:38 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttg4koggobgmc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nobody here is claiming to be a victim.

I was simply pointing out that you ADMITTED to flaming someone, or hassling them, and
we all know that a GOOD amateur radio operator WOULDN'T do that, especially in a world-wide forum.

If you can't handle being called out on what you are doing, I suggest you take a break
and find something else to do.

Or, pick up your ARRL manual, and read the Ham Creedo. YOUR certainly not following
it by admitting your hassling someone else!

Toll_Free

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD77FDB.8026A239@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote...
> > >
> > > Yep. Decided to hassle Toll for
> > > a while.
> >
> > Great. Someone actually admitting
> > they are doing nothing but flaming.
> >
> > We figured you for a flamer, but
> > flagrantly flaming seems a bit much
> >
> > ESPECIALLY for a LICENSED AMATEUR.
> >
> > God knows they don't do THAT!
>
>
> Oh, drop the victim act, Toll. Nobody is flaming you. We're having a
> discussion, nothing more and nothing less. Surely you can tell the
> difference between the two.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6384. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 13:25:32 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttg4md69gshje0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

California for one.

Not reaching, just providing you with the information you requested, and not accepting, since it DOES prove you wrong.

Have a nice day.

Toll_Free

"Sparky" <fgillil@tincan.org> wrote in message news:e182e02.0110250340.79db2833@posting.google.com...
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:<ttes5me3cunc15@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states is a
> > felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
> >
> > Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
>
> In what state is it a felony to drive 45mph in a 30mph zone? And are
> you saying that everyone (or even most people) do that? Or drive 90mph
> in a 60mph zone?
>
> You are reaching again, Toll.

6385. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 13:31:24 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttg51gblatlv7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > Because they choose not to talk their, to escape the majority.
> >
> > It is, after all, their prerogative.
>
> To be what Law breakers?

Be that as it may. NOBODY is disputing that.

>
> >
> >
> > better yet call them
> > > on the phone.
> >
> > Why do you operate amateur radio? Why don't you call THEM on the phone.
>
> Amatuer Radio is a legal means of communication, the Freeband is not. See
> the difference. SSB is Phone btw.

Simple case of semantics. The phone is not Phone. Your point, as you wrote
it, was why
didn't I call my friends on THE PHONE, meaning a telephone.

I asked the same of you.

You came off with something nearly unrelated.

>
> >
> > No one said you have to only talk to smart guys on Ham radio
> > > there are planty of normal folks out there as well.
> >
> >
> > What brought that on?
>
> drugs

Great. Your a drug user as well? No wonder half your posts are jibberish.

Toll_Free

>
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >

> > >
> > > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > > news:tted07sne559fb@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Talk to friends of mine that have no inkling to get an amateur
> license,
> > > but
> > > > whom might just be as intelligent as
> > > > other so called "experts" in the amateur bands.
> > > >
> > > > Seems pretty simple, actually.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Toll_Free
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3BD72755.F243BF3D@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > What can you do illegally in the freeband that you can't
> > > > > do legally (if you have a general ham license) on 10 or
> > > > > 12 meters?
> > > > >
> > > > > Toll Free wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I do operate within the legal CB band. I also operate in the
> > > freeband.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So because I operate in the freeband at times means I can't also
> > > operate
> > > > > in
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > CB freeband and NOT have an opinion about the legal band?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > At times, YES! I AM A FREEBANDER.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Does that make you feel better?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > and who knows what you doing with the other radio service. Are
> > you
> > > > > > even licensed to operate in the ham bands?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6386. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 13:32:44 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttg53v8qfpga6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

both.

Is that REALLY so hard for you to understand?

Toll_Free

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD76C66.90FD97D9@sccoast.net...
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > I do operate within the legal CB
> > band.
>
>
> That sentence is not clear, so let me get this straight. You
> actually operate legally in the CB band, or you occasionally operate
> illegally on the authorized CB frequencies?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6387. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 14:53:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110250653.70f9713b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22631

>
>
> > > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > > fish oils.
>
>
> > You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times. ;-)
>
You want me to join this "discussion"?? Bwaaaahaha!
>
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
w3rv

6388. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 16:08:35 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7OWB7.140468$3d2.4501593@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22632

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0110250653.70f9713b@posting.google.com...
> >
> >
> > You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times.
;-)
> >
> You want me to join this "discussion"?? Bwaaaahaha!
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> w3rv

Good to see you back Mr. K

6389. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 16:22:58 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110250822.13f33d65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22633

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Therefore, it is actually relatively easy to obtain anthrax.
> Furthermore, if there is an organization behind these attacks, they
> are getting ripped off by their supplier - none of the anthrax found
> so far is the highly deadly type normally associated with weapons (the
> stuff found so far is easily treated anthrax like that found in animals).

Well, the experts disagree with your opinion. Here are several quotes
from a Washington Post article.

"The anthrax spores that contaminated the air in Senate Majority
Leader Thomas A. Daschle’s office had been treated with a
chemical additive so sophisticated that only three nations are thought
to have been capable of making it."

"The spores were treated with a sophisticated process, meaning the
original source was almost certainly a state-sponsored laboratory."

"The finding strongly suggests that the anthrax spores in the U.S.
mail attacks were not produced in a university or makeshift laboratory
or simply gathered from natural sources."

"The presence of the high-grade additive was confirmed for the first
time yesterday by a government source familiar with the ongoing
studies, which are being conducted by scientists at the Army Medical
Research Institute of Infectious Diseases at Fort Detrick in
Frederick."

"Four other experts in anthrax weapons said they had no doubt that
such an additive was present based on the high dispersal rate from the
letter to Daschle."

"The evidence is patent on its face," said Alan Zelicoff, a senior
scientist at Sandia National Laboratories’ Center for National
Security and Arms Control.

David Franz, formerly of USAMRIID and now at the Southern Research
Institute in Birmingham, said, "In order for a formulation to do what
the one in Daschle’s office appears to have done - be easily
airborne - it would require special treatment."

"That particle size, 1ï¿½ to 3 microns in diameter," said Sen. Bill
Frist (R-Tenn.), "is extremely small - a first requirement for making
weapons grade anthrax spores for warfare or terrorism."

From the above it should be clear, even in South Carolina, that we are
dealing with a sophisticated attack on our homeland, not just some

kook with a basement lab and a grudge.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6390. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 17:34:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110250934.301746f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22634

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD77CF7.DBCEDC37@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Same here, Dwight. The stuff available
> > in most chains is too salty, too sugary,
> > too bland and too processed.
>
> Oh, I don't mind a little salt, but that stuff they call hamburgers
> just isn't.

Ya gotta wonder what it really IS.

And they don't use "a little salt" or "a little sugar" on anything.

> > Part of the change is the use of cost-
> > effective production methods. Things
> > like feed and breed choices are based
> > on how many pounds of finished product
> > can be delivered for a given dollar
> > spent to grow them. Much of what we eat
> > has been frozen or near-frozen at some
> > time in its prodction, even if it's not
> > frozen wehn we buy it.
>
> Well, all that is nice to know I guess, but knowing why doesn't put
> a decent hamburger on my plate.

It means ya gotta go to other sources.
>
> > You have to make 'em yourself. Takes
> > time.
>
> Okay, now we're getting into solving the problem. I wonder what my
> landlord would say about a couple of cows in the backyard. :-)

Dang CC&Rs ruin everybody's fun.
>
> > The latest nutrition info I have read
> > says that "fat free" isn't always good,
> > and in many cases is bad for you!

> Jim. Jim. JIM! All I want is decent tasting food.

Sorry, I got carried away.

> I don't want to
> become an expert on sugar, carbs, or poly-unsaturated fats.

I musta had too much sugar, or somebody gave me black tea instead of
herbal.

> My
> grandmother is almost a hundred, and I seriously doubt she has any
> idea what poly-unsaturated fats are. My step-grandfather died about
> five years ago at 87 and his eating habits would drive today's health
> food addicts crazy (lots of beef, pork, sausage, sweet deserts, and
> everything else on the now nearly banned food list).

What I'm tryin' to tell ya is that a lot of those lists are wrong.

I bet your grandfolks ate stuff that wasn't nearly as processed or
sugar laden as the stuff at fast-food joints.

> My very healthy
> mother is almost seventy and I doubt she could tell the difference
> between a carb in food and a carb in her vehicle. My grandfather on my
> father's side starts each day with three eggs fried in home-made lard,
> home-made pork sausage, home-made biscuits raked in butter, rice with
> pork fat gravy, and a couple of cups of coffee loaded with sugar.

Key word is "home-made". From good ingredients.

> And
> I swear he can still bench press me and he is well into his 80's. And
> I would like to live to be as old as the rest of my family, and if
> eating good food (as shown by them) is the way to do it, then I'm all
> for it. ;-)

Yup.

A lot of it is pure genetics. Everybody has an Uncle Harry that did
everything "wrong" and lived to be 97, etc., etc. Also a Cousin Mabel
who did everything "right" and keeled over at half that age.

I go by the philosophy of George Sheehan, who said each of us is "an
experiment of one". Means ya gotta try all different things and see
what works for you. There's no universal solution that works for
everybody. And if eating "right" (whatever that is) makes you
miserable, what's the point?
>
> > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > fish oils.
>
> You have got to be kidding me.

Nope. Roast chicken with olive oil, garlic, rosemary and black pepper.
Yum.

>No wonder you're so cranky at times. ;-)

Who me? I'm a pussycat. Ask W3RV, who has put up with me on Field Day.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6391. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 17:45:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110250945.5da8f612@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22635

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3BD712FE.C7C56170@uci.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > When someone post something here that isn't true, I usually don't know
> > whether it's a lie or a mistake. So I assume it's a mistake.
>
> Even after Cecil expended so many words explaining that any incorrect
> statement is a lie?

Yup. He gave his definition, but I know better than to take him seriously here. (For serious Cecilposts, see rec.radio.amateur.antennas).

The term "lie", to most people, means a false statement made by a speaker who knows it is false, with intent to deceive. A "mistake" is just a false statement that the speaker thinks is true.

To most people, anyway.

That's why I call the false statements by LHA and others mistakes or errors, rather than lies. I don't know the intent behind them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6392. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 17:47:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110250947.4deb3d1c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22636

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD77CF7.DBCEDC37@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:

> > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > fish oils.
>
> You have got to be kidding me.

Actually, I should have specified whether we're talking about internal
or external use.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6393. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 18:35:58 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD85B8E.3D042405@uci.edu>
Source record: 22637

N2EY wrote:
> Yup. He gave his definition, but I know better than to take him
> seriously here. (For serious Cecilposts, see
> rec.radio.amateur.antennas).
>
> The term "lie", to most people, means a false statement made by a
> speaker who knows it is false, with intent to deceive. A "mistake" is
> just a false statement that the speaker thinks is true.

So where were you when I tried to convince Cecil of that?

6394. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 19:30:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD86842.FC0EC3F7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22638

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > The term "lie", to most people, means a false statement made by a
> > speaker who knows it is false, with intent to deceive. A "mistake" is
> > just a false statement that the speaker thinks is true.
>
> So where were you when I tried to convince Cecil of that?

I don't disagree that applies to "most people". Unfortunately, the
masses are ignorant of a lot of perfectly acceptable definitions
taken straight from the dictionary. Webster's says that the speaker
doesn't have to know it's false for it to be a "lie". Check it out
for yourself.

For instance, when the Taliban says The United States is committing
acts of terrorism, is that a "lie" or a "mistake"?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6395. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 19:53:49 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r9qkd01hok@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <ttermmmb7eqs46@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
>
>That is inherent.
>
>Although, I have sat and watched most, and the guy who USUALLY wins (read
>this as, either
>has something good as a rep, or is usually running an 8 pill into a 2 pill)
>takes the same lanes as
>the other guys winning.
>
>When talking about RF, the lane choice isn't as distinct an advantage as it
>is in drag racing.
>
>Some people just don't understand that, though.
>
>
>Toll_Free

Most of the hams I know don't engage in "drag racing." They're into racing thoroughbreds. :)

6396. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 19:59:30 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r9qv211hok@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22642

In article <oNIB7.154161$5A3.53753144@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>,
itsallgood1@home.comspam says...

>> I seriously doubt that the number is in the
>> > "millions" as you claim.
>
>I as well, it is all conjecture on his part there are no numbers out there
>to back up his claim either way.

True. There is a big difference between something being on the upswing,and
"millions and millions."

rec.radio.cb removed from the header.

6397. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 20:12:56 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9r9ro821hok@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <9r8d0h$9df$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>I suggest you read Title 47 CFR Part 5 -- the CFR collection should
>be in any nearby college library.
>
>Jeff KH6O

Better yet,I suggest we remove rec.radio.cb from the header file to see how anxious Mr. Toll Free is to continue this discussion in the amateur newsgroups. I have no desire to continue a "turf war" (for lack of a better term) with CBers.and

6398. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 20:13:51 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110251213.3e693cec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tterrlls8piv84@corp.supernews.com>...

> I would much rather have the credibility from being able to shred someones
> technically incorrect answers and show them the error in their thinking.

Looks like you're the one getting "shredded" here, Toll.

-Aaron-

6399. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 20:15:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD872CF.1DCA910D@uci.edu>
Source record: 22640

CAM wrote:
> > So where were you when I tried to convince Cecil of that?

> I don't disagree that applies to "most people".

You mean you don't disagree now - at the moment - when sombody ELSE says
it.

> For instance, when the Taliban says The United States is committing
> acts of terrorism, is that a "lie" or a "mistake"?

Its a diversion. You're somewhat familiar with that technique I
believe.

6400. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 20:55:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD87C57.EB270679@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22644

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > > So where were you when I tried to convince Cecil of that?
>
> > I don't disagree that applies to "most people".
>
> You mean you don't disagree now - at the moment - when sombody ELSE says
> it.

I never said my definition of "lie" was exclusive, just that it was
indeed inclusive.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP
```


```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

6401. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 21:17:10 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD88156.1C48D348@uci.edu>
Source record: 22645

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > > So where were you when I tried to convince Cecil of that?
> >
> > > > I don't disagree that applies to "most people".
> >
> > > You mean you don't disagree now - at the moment - when sombody ELSE says
> > > it.
>
> I never said my definition of "lie" was exclusive, just that it was
> indeed inclusive.

My point is that you refused to agree with me when I made the very same point during our discussion on the issue - and THAT fact is NOT a lie by any definition.

6402. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 21:28:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD883F3.5457523D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22646

Jim Kelley wrote:
> My point is that you refused to agree with me when I made the very same
> point during our discussion on the issue ...

I would NEVER refuse to agree that there is more than one possible
definition for most words. As I recall, the argument was over who
gets to choose the definitions for the words *I* use. The answer is
still, "*I* do!".
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6403. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 22:28:53 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD89225.14E1841E@uci.edu>
Source record: 22647

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > My point is that you refused to agree with me when I made the very same
> > point during our discussion on the issue ...

> I would NEVER refuse to agree that there is more than one possible
> definition for most words.

Please post where you ever agreed with anything I said.

> As I recall, the argument was over who
> gets to choose the definitions for the words *I* use. The answer is
> still, "*I* do!".

Incorrect recollection. I never argue about that. How could you
possibly get me confused with Larry and Dick? Harumph.

6404. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 22:56:28 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <wM0C7.156554$5A3.54847911@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttg51gblatlv7e@corp.supernews.com...
> better yet call them on the phone.
> Why do you operate amateur radio? Why don't you call THEM on the >phone.

> Simple case of semantics. The phone is not Phone. Your point, as you
>wrote it, was why didn't I call my friends on THE PHONE, meaning a
telephone.

Thanks for explaining my point to me!

> I asked the same of you.
> You came off with something nearly unrelated.

I chose to talk to them thru legal avenues, I don't break the law to talk to
my friends you on the other hand do because of some lame excuse "Because
they choose not to talk their, to escape the majority." You can do the same
thing on a telephone as the freeband.

>
>
> >
> > >
> > > No one said you have to only talk to smart guys on Ham radio
> > > > there are planty of normal folks out there as well.
> > >
> > >
> > > What brought that on?
> >
> > drugs
>
>
> Great. Your a drug user as well? No wonder half your posts are
jibberish.

Did I say that? No assuming again Toll? Remember you can't believe
everything you read on the internet, you and Timmy taught me that. You seem
to like replying to my jibberish tho.

>
> Toll_Free

6405. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 23:15:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011025191539.13856.00000201@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 22649

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/25/2001 12:45 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9f4bfe89.0110250945.5da8f612@posting.google.com>

>That's why I call the false statements by LHA and others mistakes or
>errors, rather than lies. I don't know the intent behind them.

I, on the otherhand, just call Lennie a liar. He's got the education and
age to know the difference, especially when presented with the facts over and
over.

73

Steve

6406. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-25 23:15:58 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD89D2E.D9BA5275@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22650

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Please post where you ever agreed with anything I said.

I distinctly remember you and I agreeing that smallpox is
a bad thing.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6407. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:03:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011025200313.24208.00000049@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 22652

In article <20011021201100.12347.00000533@mb-cu.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 22 Oct 2001 00:11:00 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 10/21/2001 3:04 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20011021160430.21075.00000125@nso-cu.aol.com>
>
>Lennie starts off....
>>>Stay rooted in the past and its mythology. That way is fhe
>>>safe way where you won't feel intimidated by anything or
>>>anybody since it has all happened. You can interpret the past
>>>safely and spin endless more spin on "why" it should always
>>>be so.
>
>To which Jim replies.....
>>I have NO idea what this is supposed to mean.
>
> What it means, Jim, is that one very lonely, dispicable old man is
>sitting
>in front of his computer screen right now wishing he was in front of the
>controls of his own radio station doing the very things WE can enjoy any
>time.

I don't know if that's true, Steve.

The Technician license, which grants ALL US amateur operating privileges above 30 MHz, has not had a code test for more than a decade, And the written test for it is not very difficult. Yet LHA has never gotten one. The other classes of US amateur license now require only a 5 wpm code test, and for more than a decade it has been possible to get a medical waiver for all higher code test speeds. LHA wrote on January 19, 2000, that he was going for Extra "right out of the box" but has not done so yet. If LHA wanted to have a ham license and a ham station, I think he would have gotten both a long time ago.

All I know about Mr. Anderson is his postings here on rrap. He never posts anywhere else, does not write for any current publication I know of, and of course is not on the ham bands. HE might be a very nice guy away from rrap - who knows?
>
> But he doesn't....He long ago set himself up for the fall by assuming

>that
>his 15 years of night school education made him better than "mere mortals",
>and
>should be accepted carte blanche as proof of his "worthiness" for licensure
>in
>the Amateur Radio Service.

Actually, I never recall him saying that he should get a license without the
test.

>Sitting for the exam would validate his
>mortality,
>and he can't stand that.

What I recall is that he has no regard for the license as any sort of
accomplishment. Also no regard for most things hams consider as accomplishments
in radio, such as code speed, homebrewing equipment, working contests or DX,
passing traffic, etc.
>
> He's a broom handler, Jim. Always has been, always will. He's been
>second
>fiddle because he always THOUGHT he knew better and HE was better than
>whoever
>DID "know better".
>
> He was wrong. Unfortunately, the Internet in general and the relative
>anonymity of newsgroups in particular allows him to express his arrogant
>vileness with a degree of impunity, and now we are destined to suffer this
>insufferable creep.

Maybe. Or maybe his aim is to try to bring out the worst in those who disagree
with him. Notice that he never says what his goal really is (other than ending
the code test) and never gives any sort of coherent vision of where ham radio
should go, other than "no code test, one class of license, no kids under 14".

Fascinating.
>
>>But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.
>
> Ditto.
>
'zactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6408. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:03:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011025200314.24208.00000050@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 22651

In article <3BD85B8E.3D042405@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Yup. He gave his definition, but I know better than to take him
>> seriously here. (For serious Cecilposts, see
>> rec.radio.amateur.antennas).
>>
>> The term "lie", to most people, means a false statement made by a
>> speaker who knows it is false, with intent to deceive. A "mistake" is
>> just a false statement that the speaker thinks is true.
>
>So where were you when I tried to convince Cecil of that?
>
Doing something equally futile, I suppose. Cecil would argue with a fence post
just to hear his head rattle.

If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then he's going
to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6409. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:22:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110251622.7ed2413d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22653

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<9f4bfe89.0110250947.4deb3d1c@posting.google.com>...
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD77CF7.DBCEDC37@sccoast.net>...
> > N2EY wrote:
>
> > > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > > fish oils.
> >
> > You have got to be kidding me.
>
> Actually, I should have specified whether we're talking about internal
> or external use.
>
>+
How much did yer Daddy spend to make you and Ivy League grad and we
get THIS?? No surprise actually, we heard that you Penn guys pee in
the shower.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

6410. Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:32:39 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ha2C7.156491$W8.4344520@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:8251651b533696c78ba25c55ec1f242c@melontraffickers.com...
> and it pisses you hams off! Too fucking bad!
>
>
> http://www.bigradios.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

6411. Re: We do what we want regardless of laws!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:43:36 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Yk2C7.156499$W8.4345667@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sure. So do the drug dealers. For what it is worth, I knew a kid some 15 years ago who was selling drugs. I told him I wasn't the police, but he should think carefully before selling more. He had made a ton of money. Had he gotten smart, he would have had a big head start in life (and I do NOT recommend this to get that start in life); but no, he was greedy. He kept selling drugs until he got busted IN THE COMPANY PARKING LOT where he worked. He sold 20 pounds of marijuana to an undercover cop. Cool. He was engaged to be married; unfortunately, he became engaged to jail. Most of the time was served as in-house-arrest (with the ankle device attached). He lost his job. He lost his girl. He lost his car and house. He is a convicted felon. He can never own any gun (and he loved hunting). I do not condemn the occasional 'experimenter', but if you wish to continue, be my guest. The FCC started fairly recently at ground zero - no enforcement. It is slowly ramping up. Don't expect any more sympathy from me if you get whacked than the guy who lost everything with his drug conviction.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"A. Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:8251651b533696c78ba25c55ec1f242c@melontraffickers.com...
> and it pisses you hams off! Too fucking bad!
>
>
> http://www.bigradios.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

6412. Re: Where Did _MY_ America Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:47:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8B26B.94AF7FB5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22656

> K0HB wrote:
>
> Well, the experts disagree with your
> opinion. Here are several quotes
> from a Washington Post article.

Hans, the problem here is that all the "experts" in that article are just giving their personal opinions without any reference to actual facts. In fact, some of your quotes contain no source at all. Read on and I'll point out what I mean when we come to it.

> "The anthrax spores that contaminated
> the air in Senate Majority Leader
> Thomas A. Daschle's office had been
> treated with a chemical additive so
> sophisticated that only three nations
> are thought to have been capable of
> making it."

No source given for this statement. However, based on a study for the CDC, "Bioterrorism as a Public Health Threat," by Johns Hopkins University, "the number of countries engaged in biological weapons experimentation has grown from 4 in the 1960s to 11 in the 1990s.* Recipes for making biological weapons are now available on the Internet, and even groups with modest finances and basic training in biology and engineering could develop, should they wish, an effective weapon at little cost. What of anthrax, which has been so enthusiastically embraced by both Iraq and the Aum Shinrikyo? The organism is easy to produce in large quantity."

*Now 17 according to a study for the CDC by the American Medical Association.

> "The spores were treated with a
> sophisticated process, meaning the
> original source was almost
> certainly a state-sponsored laboratory."
>
> "The finding strongly suggests that
> the anthrax spores in the U.S. mail
> attacks were not produced in a
> university or makeshift laboratory
> or simply gathered from natural sources."

No source given for these statement. However, according to experts involved in the DoD Anthrax Vaccine Immunization Program...

http://www.anthrax.osd.mil/HTML_interface/default.html

"...anthrax spores can be produced in large quantities using basic knowledge of biology. Spores can be stored for decades without losing potency. Spores can be easily spread in the air by missiles, rockets, artillery, aerial bombs & sprayers."

> "The presence of the high-grade additive
> was confirmed for the first time
> yesterday by a government source familiar
> with the ongoing studies, which are being
> conducted by scientists at the Army Medical
> Research Institute of Infectious Diseases
> at Fort Detrick in Frederick."

Notice that the source was not actually named. No details about the source was given other than he is "familiar with the ongoing studies." The word "familiar" is a loose term that can mean almost anything without details.

> "Four other experts in anthrax weapons said
> they had no doubt that such an additive was
> present based on the high dispersal rate
> from the letter to Daschle."

Notice that these four, unnamed, experts were offering personal opinion - "they had no doubt that such an additive was present based on the high dispersal rate." They were not confirming, based on their own lab studies, that the additive was present. They were simply basing their opinions on the dispersal rate - with no details as to if they themselves had determined that dispersal rate or were simply guessing based on what they saw on CNN.

> "The evidence is patent on its face," said
> Alan Zelicoff, a senior scientist at
> Sandia National Laboratories; Center for
> National Security and Arms Control.

The evidence of what is patent on its face? Is Alan Zelicoff one of the experts mentioned in the previous paragraph confirming that the additive was present? If so, again based on what - that it is patent on its face? Science is not based on what is "patent on its face." And neither is the law. And the same should be true for our National Defense.

> David Franz, formerly of USAMRIID and
> now at the Southern Research Institute
> in Birmingham, said, "In order for a
> formulation to do what the one in
> Daschle's office appears to have done -
> be easily airborne - it would require
> special treatment."

A single word means so much. Notice that he says "appears" to have done. Again, this is not fact, nor is it confirmation of anything.

Also note that he didn't specify what type of "special treatment" was required. Again, no confirmation of the story's premise.

> "That particle size, 1* to 3 microns in
> diameter," said Sen. Bill Frist (R-Tenn.),
> "is extremely small - a first requirement
> for making weapons grade anthrax spores
> for warfare or terrorism."

Senator Bill Frist, who obviously has little education in microbiology, has no idea of what he is talking about. According to the article "Anthrax as a Biological Weapon, by the Journal of the American Medical Association,...

http://jama.ama-assn.org/issues/v281n18/ffull/jst80027.html

"...Bacillus anthracis is an aerobic, gram-positive, spore-forming, nonmotile Bacillus species. The nonflagellated vegetative cell is large (1-8 ï¿½m in length, 1-1.5 ï¿½m in breadth). Spore size is approximately 1 ï¿½m. Spores grow readily on all ordinary laboratory media at 37ï¿½C."

In other words, there is absolutely nothing abnormal about an anthrax spore 1 to 3 microns in diameter - that (1 ï¿½m) is the normal size of an anthrax spore. Furthermore, the size is not the determining factor for weapons grade material anyway (even a first year student of microbiology would know that).

> From the above it should be clear, even
> in South Carolina, that we are dealing
> with a sophisticated attack on our
> homeland, not just some kook with a
> basement lab and a grudge.

Look, Hans, I'm not arguing either way. You may be perfectly right that some group is responsible for these mail incidents. All I'm saying is that we have no proof at this point, so it is absurd to start pointing fingers. Once we have that proof, then we'll all have someone to point at - the person(s) who really did it.

Whatever the case, the press should be ashamed of itself for producing articles like the one you quoted (based on innuendo, supposition, misdirection, misleading words, and non-experts quoted as experts). One wonders why this writer, and this publication, would resort to such sleazy tactics, knowing that it would only inflame the American people.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6413. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 00:55:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8B45A.4BB0D92E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22657

Kim wrote:
>
> Whoops, sorry about that confusion.
> I think the post I was responding to
> was actually one between you an the
> military dreamer, there, not you and
> Dick.

That's alright. With the way these messages fly around, it is easy to get one confused with the other. I find myself doing to same thing occasionally - responding to a message like I would to a message written by another. However, I have so far avoided mentioning a name in these incidents. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6414. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 01:11:46 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8B826.C71BFD91@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > radio callsign.
>
> G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> callsign. Why do you consider it
> otherwise?

Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign search engine, Hans.

> You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> based on half-vast research.

No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by the FCC. That callsign was not.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6415. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 01:23:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8BACA.28BA1355@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:
>
> I was simply pointing out that
> you ADMITTED to flaming someone,

I didn't admit to any such thing. I simply made a sarcastic comment about hassling you. Now, since it is obvious that I'm not hassling you (unless you consider discussion a hassle), the sarcastic nature of that comment should be obvious.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6416. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 01:36:42 UTC
From: here@there.com
Message-ID: <9raena$7ft$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 22660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Just curious. If Power is the 'deciding' factor, just what is it that we are
trying to 'decide'?

In article <tt4harp3m30d03@corp.supernews.com>, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>Doubtful
>
>
>We run better or equal antennas as you do.
>
>So, yes, power IS the deciding factor, when running a stacked set of
>mono-band 7 element antennas.
>
>Power IS the deciding factor.
>
>
>
>Toll_Free
>
>
>
>
>"D. P. Roberts" <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in message
>news:3bd50547.6654497@news.earthlink.net...
>> >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
>> >
>> >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the band
>with you?
>>
>> They don't understand power ratios. They think the more power, the
>> better. Give me a good antenna and I can compete with them.
>
>

6417. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 01:56:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8C2B2.11489971@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22661

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> The docs keep telling me I should
> watch my diet because of the family
> history of heart attacks. Sure,
> great-grandma died of one at 84. Her
> brother had his second and last at 92,
> and my paternal grandma at 78, after
> smoking over a pack a day for most
> of her life.

Heart attacks at 84 and 92? If only we could all be so lucky to live that old. My wife's father died at 48 (heart attack), after endlessly fussing at me for smoking. By the way, since you mentioned smoking, I should point out that Grandpa Fields, the one who died at 87, also smoked most of his life. Even worse, he made his living growing tobacco. My grandma, his wife, the one almost hitting a hundred, also smoked for a few years when she was younger.

> Granddad was doing very well with his
> high-grease diet until his car wreck
> last Christmas. Even still, most of
> the doctors are somewhat impressed
> with his overall health and recovery
> ability at 72. If he gets use of
> his legs back, he'll probably hurt
> himself again trying to kick the
> ones responsible for all the health
> food he's having to eat.

Sorry to hear about his injury. I feel for him (about both the injury and the food). How can food be healthy if it makes a person miserable? How can a person recover well if they're miserable? Actually, I think it is a hospital conspiracy - they make the food terrible so people will have more incentive to leave - hence be reported as healed by the hospital. :-)

Hopefully your grandpa is at home where he can get something a little better occasionally. My grandpa did that once when he injured his leg in an accident. We were all amazed that he repeatedly volunteered to watch the kids whenever the parents went shopping or whatever (something that would normally drive him crazy). We finally figured out he was using the kids to raid the kitchen for him whenever the other adults were out of the house.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6418. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:02:54 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <23f72b7bb3ea996187f238724ff54695.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD8B826.C71BFD91@sccoast.net...

> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >
> > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > otherwise?
>
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC.

There are hundreds of agencies besides the FCC which
are authorized by their governments to issue amateur
radio call signs.

An example of such an agency is:

Radiocommunications Agency,
Wyndham House,
189 Marsh Wall
London E14 9SX
England, UK
Tel: 020 7211 0211

> Check out a callsign search engine, Hans.

I did exactly that, Dwight, and it returned the
following information:

G4ZOW MR P DE CADENET
22 BEECHING CLOSE HARPENDEN
HERTFORDSHIRE, AL5 4LZ
ENGLAND, U.K.

> > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > based on half-vast research.

> No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a

> valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> the FCC. That callsign was not.

It certainly appears on the surface that you did a half-vast job
of checking out the facts.

Good luck on this one now.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas03poolc69.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.5.69]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6419. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:04:45 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1x3C7.156594$W8.4354025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD8B826.C71BFD91@sccoast.net...
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >
> > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > otherwise?
>
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> search engine, Hans.
>
>
> > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > based on half-vast research.
>
>
> No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a
> valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> the FCC. That callsign was not.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

It is highly improbable that the FCC will ever issue a G prefix considering
that it is assigned to Great Britain.

We had been using N and A before the allocations began at the 1927
conference and simply added a dah to them for W and K. We donated half of A
to get Pakistan a non-British prefix in 1947 and it has since been used for
India, Spain, Australia, Argentina, Tonga, Bhutan, Liberia, and a great
shitpot of emirates on the Arabian Peninsula depending on the second
character.

The Brits got dah-dah (M), dah-dah-dit (G), and dah-dah-di-dit(Z) and V. So Z calls are spread over the old empire plus Albania, Brazil, and Paraguay, and recently Macedonia. V calls are spread over hell's half acre as well with Canada and Australia being the best known amateur ones.

Incidentally, the same allocations are used for tail numbers on airplanes, hence the N number on US aircraft.

Before WW2, Germany and Japan had D and J in their totality just as we have W, K, and N. Germany got to donate to recently independent South Korea and the Phillipines while Japan got to donate to Jordan, Norway, Indonesia, and Mongolia. Serves them right for losing, what?

It should be in your trusty Handbook; it is certainly in mine.

So the rest of the world cannot issue valid amateur licenses? Or must they be sure they are in American databases??

Dick NBK

6420. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:06:14 UTC
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
Message-ID: <3BD8C4F0.48C0627C@spam.me.not>
Source record: 22664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Um... Wrong, Dwight...

MR P DE CADENET, G4ZOW
<address removed for privacy's sake>
HERTFORDSHIRE, AL5 4LZ
ENGLAND - U.K.

Sorry, but G4ZOW is a real call, issued in England by their FCC
equivalent. I found it on Buckmaster... you might try checking there
yourself, just to make sure another HAM isn't lying to you.

73 de KC8RKQ

-SSB

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >
> > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > otherwise?
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> search engine, Hans.
>
> > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > based on half-vast research.
>
> No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a
> valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> the FCC. That callsign was not.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6421. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:09:35 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9ragkvhkd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Someone said:
>>>Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my
>>>real name.

Toll asked:
>>>Where is that a requirement of UseNET?

Jeff responded:
>>It's adds credibility and accountability to one's comments.
>>For example, you not appending your supposed amateur callsign
>>to the comments you're posting to the two amateur NGs mean,
>>to us, you have neither of the above.

Toll said:
>You miss the point. I don't care about credibility from my "credentials",
>I would much rather have the credibility from being able to shred someones
>technically incorrect answers and show them the error in their thinking.

You haven't shredded anything yet; all you've been doing is posting
your opinions.

>Just like someone putting PHD behind their name does nothing for
>me to lend credibility to them.

That's an odd thing to say; someone with say a Ph.D. in EE would
certainly be credible in regards to electronics.

Jeff KH6O

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6422. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:13:53 UTC
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
Message-ID: <3BD8C6BB.5517D1FD@spam.me.not>
Source record: 22665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oops... maybe I shouldn't have given my call... he might claim it wasn't issued by the FCC....

-SSB

Sideband wrote:
>
> Um... Wrong, Dwight...
>
> MR P DE CADENET, G4ZOW
> <address removed for privacy's sake>
> HERTFORDSHIRE, AL5 4LZ
> ENGLAND - U.K.
>
> Sorry, but G4ZOW is a real call, issued in England by their FCC
> equivalent. I found it on Buckmaster... you might try checking there
> yourself, just to make sure another HAM isn't lying to you.
>
> 73 de KC8RKQ
>
> -SSB
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > K0HB wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > > radio callsign.
> > >
> > > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > > otherwise?
> >
> > Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> > search engine, Hans.
> >
> > > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > > based on half-vast research.
> >
> > No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> > you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a

> > valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> > the FCC. That callsign was not.
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6423. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:14:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bG3C7.156609$W8.4355467@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD8B826.C71BFD91@sccoast.net...
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >

Depending on where he was located in Britain, he might also sign GM4, GW4, GI4, or GJ4ZOW depending upon whether he was in England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland, or the Channel Islands. I probably missed a few.

6424. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:20:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hM3C7.56563$B3.1615386@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 22668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G4ZOW would be a British call. Haven't you ever worked any European DX?

Jerry
K4KWH
Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BD8B826.C71BFD91@sccoast.net...
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >
> > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > otherwise?
>
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> search engine, Hans.
>
>
> > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > based on half-vast research.
>
>
> No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a
> valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> the FCC. That callsign was not.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6425. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 02:59:56 UTC
From: Landshark <S3Buell@SBCGloboil.Net>
Message-ID: <Mk4C7.4165$Ni5.1411116192@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 22670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Could you all stop cross posting, on the
cb group it doesn't matter where your sign is
from, we are all illegal anyways :^)

Landshark

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:hM3C7.56563$B3.1615386@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> G4ZOW would be a British call. Haven't you ever worked any European DX?
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:3BD8B826.C71BFD91@sccoast.net...
> > K0HB wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > > radio callsign.
> > >
> > > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > > otherwise?
> > >
> > >
> > Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> > search engine, Hans.
> > >
> > >
> > > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > > based on half-vast research.
> > >
> > >
> > No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> > you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a
> > valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> > the FCC. That callsign was not.
> > >
> > >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

>
>
>

6426. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:00:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8D193.861F5E85@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22669

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Oh, I don't mind a little salt,
> > but that stuff they call
> > hamburgers just isn't.
>
> Ya gotta wonder what it really IS.

I don't know. My wife went by MD's on her way home from school the other day and I could not find any meat in the refrigerator that looked like that slab of stuff between those buns. It had the texture of what I would imagine cheap tuna fish in a can (the mushy stuff) might have if fried (not the taste or smell - the texture). Beyond that, I could not relate the rest (taste or smell) to any known living or dead thing. So your guess is as good as mine. Care to speculate?

> And they don't use "a little salt"
> or "a little sugar" on anything.

A "little" is a relative thing, Jim. A whole can of salt is a little if you're standing next to a truck full of salt. I understand what you're trying to say though.

> > Okay, now we're getting into
> > solving the problem. I wonder what
> > my landlord would say about a
> > couple of cows in the backyard. :-)
>
> Dang CC&Rs ruin everybody's fun.

I know. But there is still hope. My CC&R allows for small outdoor pets. Perhaps if I put a dog collar on the cows, and built a dog house to scale with "Spot" over the door, nobody would notice.

> Sorry, I got carried away.

You certainly did. :-)

> What I'm tryin' to tell ya is that
> a lot of those lists are wrong.

That may have been what you were trying to say, but you lost my interest not long after you started on poly-unsaturated fats.

> I bet your grandfolks ate stuff
> that wasn't nearly as processed or
> sugar laden as the stuff at
> fast-food joints.

You're right (getting serious for a moment). Each grandparent grew up eating natural foods, often grown on their own farms. Years ago, the meats were naturally stored (salted pork, canned vegetables, etc). Later each switched to freezing most everything, though each still canned vegetables and some of the meat is still stored naturally (taste preference). My oldest grandma doesn't do much of this herself anymore, but she has no problem getting this stuff from friends (other farmers). The rest of the grandparents still live on farms, and it is rare to find processed foods from a grocery store in their homes.

> A lot of it is pure genetics.
> Everybody has an Uncle Harry
> that did everything "wrong" and
> lived to be 97, etc., etc. Also
> a Cousin Mabel who did
> everything "right" and keeled
> over at half that age.

And I hope some of that genetics has made it's way to me. Most of my family is living to be a ripe old age, and are relatively healthy well into that old age. The ones who didn't make it didn't as result of accidents or whatever. So far, the genetics seems to be working. I rarely get the normal illnesses (colds, flu, or whatever). However, there is a down side. I have noticed that when I do get sick, it tends to hit me a lot harder than most. It's like I have a fairly strong defense to most germs, but the germs that do make it through that defense are especially strong themselves. Thankfully, that is relatively rare (only a few times in my life so far).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6427. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:08:44 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tthl101ufrumc7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Apples to oranges again.

Drag racing depicts cars.

Throroughbreds are usually depicted as horses.

Nice try, though.

Toll_Free

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9r9qkd01hok@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <ttermmmb7eqs46@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com
says...
> >
> >That is inherent.
> >
> >Although, I have sat and watched most, and the guy who USUALLY wins (read
> >this as, either
> >has something good as a rep, or is usually running an 8 pill into a 2
pill)
> >takes the same lanes as
> >the other guys winning.
> >
> >When talking about RF, the lane choice isn't as distinct an advantage as
it
> >is in drag racing.
> >
> >Some people just don't understand that, though.
> >
> >
> >Toll_Free
>
> Most of the hams I know don't engage in "drag racing." They're into racing
> thoroughbreds. :)
>

6428. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:10:23 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tthl10nel4tdc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

lol

So far, most of the hams doing the "shredding" can't figure out that I was talking about
mobile to mobile shootouts, and keep telling me about locations, etc.

So, why not take a stab at it Aaron? Because EVERY time you attempt it, I take you
down like the Red Baron?

Poor poor Aaron. Can't compete on a technical base, so he has to cheerlead while
some hams that can't comprehend normal English take up his fight for him.

Toll_Free

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0110251213.3e693cec@posting.google.com...
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tterrlls8piv84@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > I would much rather have the credibility from being able to shred someones
> > technically incorrect answers and show them the error in their thinking.
>
> Looks like you're the one getting "shredded" here, Toll.
>
> -Aaron-

6429. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:12:07 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tthl4bbgsf05f6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

It matters where it came from.

In a matter of a couple weeks, I can get a PHD from a diploma mill.

Does that classify me as an EE? Not likely.

People who blindly follow those who just have initials are morons.

Those who prove themselves technically will always win, in the end.

> Someone said:
> >>>Lets talk about proper newsgroup procedure....at least I post with my
> >>>real name.
>
> Toll asked:
> >>>Where is that a requirement of UseNET?
>
> Jeff responded:
> >>It's adds credibility and accountability to one's comments.
> >>For example, you not appending your supposed amateur callsign
> >>to the comments you're posting to the two amateur NGs mean,
> >>to us, you have neither of the above.
>
> Toll said:
> >You miss the point. I don't care about credibility from my
"credentials",
> >I would much rather have the credibility from being able to shred
someones
> >technically incorrect answers and show them the error in their thinking.
>
> You haven't shredded anything yet; all you've been doing is posting
> your opinions.

So far, that's all that has been necessary. Take a look at some other
posts in rec.radio.cb

>
> >Just like someone putting PHD behind their name does nothing for
> >me to lend credibility to them.
>
> That's an odd thing to say; someone with say a Ph.D. in EE would
> certainly be credible in regards to electronics.
>

See my intro.

Toll_Free

> Jeff KH6O
>
>
> --
> Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
> U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
> jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6430. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:16:03 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <Tz4C7.157339$5A3.55191935@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Who brings home the trophy.

<here@there.com> wrote in message
news:9raena$7ft$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Just curious. If Power is the 'deciding' factor, just what is it that we
are
> trying to 'decide'?
>
>
>
> In article <tt4harp3m30d03@corp.supernews.com>, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> >Doubtful
> >
> >
> >We run better or equal antennas as you do.
> >
> >So, yes, power IS the deciding factor, when running a stacked set of
> >mono-band 7 element antennas.
> >
> >Power IS the deciding factor.
> >
> >
> >
> >Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >"D. P. Roberts" <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in message
> >news:3bd50547.6654497@news.earthlink.net...
> >> >Why do you need 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?
> >> >
> >> >Don't you care about interference to your fellow CBers who share the
band
> >with you?
> >>
> >> They don't understand power ratios. They think the more power, the
> >> better. Give me a good antenna and I can compete with them.
> >
> >

6431. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:16:11 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tthlbrs4j4pb64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > better yet call them on the phone.
> > Why do you operate amateur radio? Why don't you call THEM on the
>phone.
>
>
> > Simple case of semantics. The phone is not Phone. Your point, as you
> >wrote it, was why didn't I call my friends on THE PHONE, meaning a
> telephone.
>
> Thanks for explaining my point to me!
>
> > I asked the same of you.
> > You came off with something nearly unrelated.
>
> I chose to talk to them thru legal avenues, I don't break the law to talk
to
> my friends you on the other hand do because of some lame excuse "Because
> they choose not to talk their, to escape the majority." You can do the
same
> thing on a telephone as the freeband.

Doesn't change the fact that you where caught above.

>
> >
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > No one said you have to only talk to smart guys on Ham radio
> > > > > there are planty of normal folks out there as well.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > What brought that on?
> > >
> > > drugs
> >
> >
> > Great. Your a drug user as well? No wonder half your posts are
> jibberish.
>
> Did I say that?

You implied it with your comment of "drugs" when I asked "what brought that
on".

>No assuming again Toll?

Huh?

Please put that into legible English, then I will get back to you on it.

Oh wait. Run on sentence. You implied that drugs are what caused your flippant comment.

Remember you can't believe
> everything you read on the internet, you and Timmy taught me that. You seem
> to like replying to my jibberish tho.

Only because you like to make yourself to look like the fool.

Toll_Free

>
>
>
> >
> > Toll_Free
>
>
>

6432. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:19:14 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BD8D632.F24425C2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> search engine, Hans.

But the FCC doesn't issue ham licenses in the UK. Some equivalent
UK government agency does that there.

6433. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:35:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8D9A7.E2C5A9C8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> It is highly improbable that the
> FCC will ever issue a G prefix
> considering that it is assigned
> to Great Britain.

Sorry, I didn't notice the guy's UK email address. I simply went off of his comment that he met Toll in Tyler a few years ago, which suggested he was here in the US. And that callsign is not a valid callsign here. A simple mistake, which I again apologize for.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6434. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:36:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8D9EB.D375F6B8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sideband wrote:
>
> Um... Wrong, Dwight...

See my response to Richard McCollum.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6435. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:37:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8DA29.702E0A05@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> G4ZOW would be a British call. Haven't
> you ever worked any European DX?

See my response to Richard McCollum.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6436. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:43:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8DBB2.C9163ED0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> Philip de Cadanet wrote:
> >
> > I met up with him while In Tyler
> > a year or so back.
>
> And I'm supposed to the take the
> word of a person trying to convince
> others he is a ham by placing letters
> similar to a callsign next to his
> name. Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid
> ham radio callsign.

I owe you an apology for saying that, Phil. As someone pointed out,
I didn't notice your UK email address when responding. I simply went
off your mention of Tyler and assumed you were here in the US. Sorry.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6437. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 03:58:30 UTC
From: Gary McAdams <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Gb5C7.156760$W8.4369153@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >
Hans Replies:

> > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > otherwise?
>
Dwight Replies:
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> search engine, Hans.
>

> No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a
> valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> the FCC. That callsign was not.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

Open mouth, insert foot...

Thanks for the laugh, Dwight.
I guess that Cracker Jack is issuing
amateur tickets, in addition to
drivers licenses.

Haven't gotten a good laugh out of this group
in a while, but that's so sad, it's funny!

Gary, WG7X

6438. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 04:06:32 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110252006.49fd4ce6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:<wM0C7.156554$5A3.54847911@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>...

> I chose to talk to them thru legal avenues, I don't break the law to talk to
> my friends you on the other hand do because of some lame excuse

"Toll Free" (who will not give his name or ham call) doesn't let
"laws" stand in the way of doing what he wants, when he wants,
wherever he wants. Is that about right, Toll?

-Aaron-

6439. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 04:27:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BD8D82A.3B6BBA55@earthlink.net>
Source record: 22683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid ham
> > > radio callsign.
> >
> > G4ZOW is a perfectly valid ham radio
> > callsign. Why do you consider it
> > otherwise?
>
> Because it has not been issued by the FCC. Check out a callsign
> search engine, Hans.
>
> > You sure sound like Leonard H. Anderson
> > sometimes, giving vast pronouncements
> > based on half-vast research.
>
> No, I simply check out the facts before running my mouth, just like
> you should have checked that callsign before jumping on me. To be a
> valid ham radio callsign, it would have to be issued to that person by
> the FCC. That callsign was not.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Holy Smoke, Dwight!

Do you really believe that FCC is the only organization on earth to
issue amateur callsigns? Are you familiar with the prefix blocks used
by Great Britain?

I can't understand how you managed to get both of those brograns in your
yap at the same time.

Dave Heil K8MN

6440. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 04:31:26 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <DA31954E0D874C53.D7CD8E5B4AB45F66.3E74C0798215CD3D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22684

On Thu, 25 Oct 2001 21:56:55 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Hopefully your grandpa is at home where he can get something a
>little better occasionally.

Not yet. They just got him moved to the VA Hospital, though, so at least he'll have more people to talk to. Not sure what the VA's policy is, but all the other places would let us bring him a burger or whatever, as long as it got listed on his intake sheet.

Hopefully, he'll be able to go home in a wheelchair within the next few months. Then we can find out what his psychological condition *really* is when he's not bored half out of his mind.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6441. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 04:50:24 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BD8EFC6.2D3DD93B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22685

CAM wrote:

>, when the Taliban says The United States is committing

> acts of terrorism, is that a "lie" or a "mistake"?

All of the above, and more, all at once, the "more" being propaganda.

Most people who have free access to information recognize the distinction between lies, misinformation and propaganda. Without your references to any dictionary definition. And it should be noted that definitions in dictionaries change to adapt to common usage. All except yours, of course.

6442. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 05:04:48 UTC
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Message-ID: <9raqtg$6l6$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 22686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart writes:

> And that callsign is not a valid callsign here.

Of course it's a valid callsign here.

Taking advantage of the CEPT agreement (and before that reciprocal operating agreements) G4ZOW could operate freely in the US, with the full blessing of the FCC.

And here I thought you'd "checked the facts" before you spoke, chiding me for not having done so. Tsk, tsk!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

6443. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 06:29:08 UTC
From: Train <likeicare@all.com>
Message-ID: <Uo7C7.12555$C7.4303836@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 22687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ran into Scarface in Memphis.....My first thought had nothing to do with his being American.....Thought of asking if he had a spliff though.....Bomb Bomb

Train

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BD8DBB2.C9163ED0@sccoast.net...
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > Philip de Cadanet wrote:
> > >
> > > I met up with him while In Tyler
> > > a year or so back.
> >
> > And I'm supposed to the take the
> > word of a person trying to convince
> > others he is a ham by placing letters
> > similar to a callsign next to his
> > name. Sorry, "G4ZOW" is not a valid
> > ham radio callsign.
>
>
> I owe you an apology for saying that, Phil. As someone pointed out,
> I didn't notice your UK email address when responding. I simply went
> off your mention of Tyler and assumed you were here in the US. Sorry.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6444. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 06:45:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011026024519.07990.00000232@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 22688

>Subject: Re: W5NET
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 10/25/2001 10:35 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD8D9A7.E2C5A9C8@sccoast.net>

>And that callsign is not a valid
>callsign here. A simple mistake, which I again apologize for.

And another one, Dwight, is asserting it's not a valid call here. It is.
It may not be ISSUED hee, but it's still legal to USE here.

73

Steve, K4YZ

6445. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 06:51:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD90781.9FDA46C8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22689

K0HB@qwest.net wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> > And that callsign is not a
> > valid callsign here.
>
> Of course it's a valid callsign
> here.

Look, I've already acknowledge and apologized for my mistake, Hans.
What more do you want?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6446. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 06:53:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD907CC.DF117A98@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> But the FCC doesn't issue ham
> licenses in the UK. Some equivalent
> UK government agency does that there.

See my response to Richard McCollum.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6447. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 07:50:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011026035030.07986.00000216@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 22691

>Subject: Re: W5NET
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 10/26/2001 1:51 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD90781.9FDA46C8@sccoast.net>

>Look, I've already acknowledge and apologized for my mistake, Hans.
>What more do you want?

You have two arms and two legs, don't you? So what do yo need with
TWO..?!?

=)

6448. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 09:56:05 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110260156.5ddb2198@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tthl10nel4tdc8@corp.supernews.com>...
> lol
>
> So far, most of the hams doing the "shredding" can't figure out that I was
> talking about
> mobile to mobile shootouts, and keep telling me about locations, etc.

That's because you can't figure out that radio isn't about "shootouts".

6449. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 10:10:37 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110260210.1baaeef3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttg4md69gshje0@corp.supernews.com>...
> California for one.

I had a license there about 20 years ago, but the laws may have changed since then. Do you have a link?

Now, since you claimed that speeding is a felony, but gave only one example but which had extreme conditions, can you give an example where speeding at any speed is a felony?

The point is, Toll, that if you want to compare the crime you need to compare the punishment as well. And the punishment for speeding is a drop in the bucket compared to illegal CB operation.

6450. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 11:40:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD94BB6.ABFE0A64@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22694

Larry Roll wrote:
>
> (snip) The only way to deal with
> an attack of the 9/11 magnitude
> is to go to war against the people
> who caused it, which we have now
> done. (snip)

Where? I've seen no evidence that the Taliban government, which we're now bombing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I know, the only "sin" they did was to refuse to turn over a citizen of their own country to us. And I've seen no evidence that the young men of their military, which we're now killing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I know, they've done absolutely nothing to us. I'm not trying to defend the Taliban - I'm simply hoping you can provide some facts that I'm not aware of which would dispute the above.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6451. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 11:47:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD94D43.D0256A9C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22695

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> You have two arms and two legs,
> don't you? So what do yo need with
> TWO..?!?

Sorry, my wife has already cost me one each of those a long time ago. Now if he wants my wife, we can probably make a deal. [gee, "wife jokes" are still fun]

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6452. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 12:16:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD95420.A1288E81@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22696

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> When I travel, I just look for
> Italian restaturants run by *real*
> Italians. Enough garlic, olive
> oil, and tomatoes makes anything
> good.

I never developed much of a taste for Italian food (even while there). Now, Bratwurst or Schnitzel (spelling?) will definitely get my attention. But, beyond that, I tend to like basic foods - simple meat recipes, veggies, potatoes, pasta, plain breads, etc. If I have to kill the flavor of something with heavy spices, I probably should not be eating it.

> Failing that, I just look at the
> outside of the building; if it
> looks like it was once a topless
> bar or laundromat, or it should
> have been condemned years ago,
> the food's probably good.

Why only look for places that once were one of those. A restaurant that is also a topless bar and laundromat would even be better. Kill three birds (a meal, entertainment, and some light housekeeping) with one stone. On second thought, too many spices would probably spoil the broth. No way a place is going to get all three of those right, so stick to the dedicated establishment (you're lucky enough just to find a place that can do one of those alone right).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6453. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 12:25:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD95620.14C41606@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22697

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> Not yet. They just got him moved
> to the VA Hospital, though, so at
> least he'll have more people to
> talk to. (snip)

Keep an eye on him at that VA hospital. Most are pretty good, but some VA hospitals tend to get a little sloppy or lazy at times.

> Hopefully, he'll be able to go
> home in a wheelchair within the
> next few months. Then we can
> find out what his psychological
> condition *really* is when he's
> not bored half out of his mind.

LOL. I'd like to say I understand what he is going through, but I cannot even imagine being laid up for months. Like him, I suspect it would drive me right out of my mind. Nurses are not paid enough to deal with that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6454. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 12:34:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BD9582F.B3611596@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22698

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> You want me to join this "discussion"??
> Bwaaaahaha!

Come on in, Brian. Like Jim, I'm not always cranky, so we can occasionally have a peaceful conversation without arguments. We'll just save that for another thread.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6455. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 12:45:40 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rbltk0q7g@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <tthl10nel4tdc8@corp.supernews.com>, tollfree@bigradios.com says...
>
>lol
>
>So far, most of the hams doing the "shredding" can't figure out that I was
>talking about
>mobile to mobile shootouts, and keep telling me about locations, etc.

That's because we engage in *real* communications, and not in activities that
serve no purpose other that to compensate for other shortcomings.

6456. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 12:58:00 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rbmko1q7g@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22751

In article <3BD94BB6.ABFE0A64@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>Larry Roll wrote:
>>
>> (snip) The only way to deal with
>> an attack of the 9/11 magnitude
>> is to go to war against the people
>> who caused it, which we have now
>> done. (snip)
>
>
> Where? I've seen no evidence that the Taliban government, which
>we're now bombing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I know, the only
>"sin" they did was to refuse to turn over a citizen of their own
>country to us. And I've seen no evidence that the young men of their
>military, which we're now killing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I
>know, they've done absolutely nothing to us. I'm not trying to defend
>the Taliban - I'm simply hoping you can provide some facts that I'm
>not aware of which would dispute the above.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Maybe you missed the part in one of President Bush's speeches in which he said that there would be no distinction between the terrorists and the governments that harbor them.

6457. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 13:10:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD960DF.4444EE60@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22700

N2EY wrote:
> If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then he's going
> to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.

That's not the only definition, of course, but it is a valid definition
taken straight from the dictionary. Check it out for yourself.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6458. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:17:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110260617.6fff92da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22752

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0110251622.7ed2413d@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<9f4bfe89.0110250947.4deb3d1c@posting.google.com>...
> > Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD77CF7.DBCEDC37@sccoast.net>...
> > > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > > > fish oils.
> > >
> > > You have got to be kidding me.
> >
> > Actually, I should have specified whether we're talking about internal
> > or external use.

> How much did yer Daddy spend to make you and Ivy League grad and we
> get THIS??

Didn't cost Dad a nickel. Paid my own way. Scholarships and loans
helped, but I hadda pay the loans back meself.

> No surprise actually, we heard that you Penn guys pee in
> the shower.

At least we know what a shower is in the Ivies. I went to Drexel, too,
remember?

You want fries with that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

6459. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:23:19 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD971D7.9DF27379@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22755

Richard Carroll wrote:
> Most people who have free access to information recognize the distinction
> between lies, misinformation and propaganda. Without your references to any
> dictionary definition. And it should be noted that definitions in
> dictionaries change to adapt to common usage. All except yours, of course.

The point is that the Taliban may *truly* believe that we, the infidels,
are engaging in acts of terrorism in Afghanistan. By your definition,
then, it wouldn't be a "lie". By my definition, it is a lie and IMO it
obviously is a lie. So do you think the Taliban is merely "mistaken"?
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6460. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:23:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110260623.7c459e69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22753

"Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:<23f72b7bb3ea996187f238724ff54695.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>...
>
> > Check out a callsign search engine, Hans.
>
> I did exactly that, Dwight, and it returned the
> following information:
>
> G4ZOW MR P

(removed for privacy)

> ENGLAND, U.K.
>
Interesting factoid:

G4ZOW is on Buckmaster, but not on QRZ or WM7D databases. ARRL and FCC are US only.

So depending on where one looks, it could appear to be valid or invalid.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6461. Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:28:45 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BD97753.9705FC3D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22754

http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047

6462. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:30:23 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD9737F.CC5FDEA1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22757

Krell wrote:
> Maybe you missed the part in one of President Bush's speeches in which he said
> that there would be no distinction between the terrorists and the governments
> that harbor them.

Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban may indeed be inseparable
since they fought the Soviets and the other tribes side by side.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6463. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:30:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110260630.7a66650a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22756

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<7OWB7.140468$3d2.4501593@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0110250653.70f9713b@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times.
> ;-)
> > >
> You want me to join this "discussion"?? Bwaaaahaha!
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > w3rv
>
> Good to see you back Mr. K
>
>
Hello Richard, good to see you. I'm not all the way back yet, I'm
still only a part-timer. I'll be popping in only often enough to be an
occasional annoyance. Are they still giving code tests??
>
>
w3rv

6464. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 14:40:09 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BD979FF.8CB0BB4B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22758

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Larry Roll wrote:
> >
> > (snip) The only way to deal with
> > an attack of the 9/11 magnitude
> > is to go to war against the people
> > who caused it, which we have now
> > done. (snip)
>
> Where? I've seen no evidence that the Taliban government, which
> we're now bombing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I know, the only
> "sin" they did was to refuse to turn over a citizen of their own
> country to us. And I've seen no evidence that the young men of their
> military, which we're now killing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I
> know, they've done absolutely nothing to us. I'm not trying to defend
> the Taliban - I'm simply hoping you can provide some facts that I'm
> not aware of which would dispute the above.

"Tis a free country Dwight. Maybed you'd rather believe this version...

http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047

6465. Re: Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 15:06:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011026110630.23239.00000006@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 22759

>Subject: Check this out...
>From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 10/26/2001 9:28 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3BD97753.9705FC3D@townsqr.com>

>http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047

Why do people have to lie like this? Is this guy trying to make himself a hero at home? Do people really believe this crap?

This even further strengthens my "Nuke em all, Let God Sort It Out" opinion.

6466. Re: Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 15:34:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BD9735F.F250C09F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22760

Yep, especially other Muslims, Muslims believe that Muslims would
never lie to other Muslims, even the taliban. gag.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> >http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047
>
> Why do people have to lie like this? Is this guy trying to make himself a
> hero at home? Do people really believe this crap?
>
> This even further strengthens my "Nuke em all, Let God Sort It Out"
> opinion.

6467. Re: Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 16:00:26 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD9889A.37965BFC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22761

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com wrote:
> >http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047
>
> Why do people have to lie like this?

Some say that if he believes it to be true, it isn't a lie. :-)
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6468. ARNewsline(tm) 1263 - Oct 26 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 16:37:35 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011026123735.29761.00000075@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 22762

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm) REPORT #1263 - OCT. 26, 2001

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1263 with a release date of
Friday, October 26th, 2001 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The ARRL says to get ready to fight to protect the 70 centimeter band and the FCC says to stop sending paper to its Washington office. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1263 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

FORCED SPECTRUM SHARING: BATTLE LOOMS OVER PART 15 ACCESS TO 425-435 MHZ

The American Radio Relay League says that a battle is looming with the FCC over the agency’s proposes changes to its Part 15 rules governing unlicensed devices. Changes that would allow operation of advanced radio frequency identification devices between 425 and 435 MHz. And it could spell the word trouble for ham radio as we hear from our South-East Bureau Chief, David Black, KB4KCH.

--
The ARRL Letter explains the League's position. The FCC has decided to grant a request made earlier this year by SAVI Technology Inc. SAVI wants to us the spectrum for radio frequency package identification tags. To help make that happen, the FCC is issuing a Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

In comments on the VHF Reflector, Russ Pillsbury, K2TXB, discusses SAVI. He describes it as a big, multi-national company with significant worldwide funding. Pillsbury also says SAVI has large United States defense department contracts as well.

SAVI Technology sells radiolocation and wireless inventory control products. SAVI says it needs the rules change to meet customer demand for increased radio frequency identification system capabilities. And the FCC says it agrees with SAVI. The Commission says changes
to its Part 15 rules would allow more advanced radio frequency ID systems on the 433 MHz band and would serve the public interest.

The Commission proposes creating a new section to Part 15. It would allow operating radio frequency ID systems between 425 and 435 MHz. Transmissions could be up to two minutes at a time. Maximum field strengths would be at the level now permitted only for extremely short-duration, intermittent control signals. And that's what ham radio operators don't like. They see that as a high potential for interference to Amateur Radio operations nationwide. And

it's why the ARRL fiercely opposes the rules change.

The League takes a strong stand. The ARRL says the FCC has set the stage for another battle between amateur and commercial interests. League Executive Vice President Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, accuses the FCC of not having thought this one through. Sumner normally expresses ARRL Board policy. He says the Part 15 radio frequency identification proposal is: "contrary to the whole philosophy of the Part 15 rules."

Sumner says the radio identification devices SAVI proposes belong on other frequencies--specifically, those authorized for use by devices regulated by FCC Part 18 rules. Those regulations cover Industrial, Scientific and Medical devices. The FCC counters, saying the proposed rules change dealing with the ID systems is to harmonize its own rules with those in other parts of the world.

But Sumner fires back. He says 433.9 MHz is allocated for Industrial, Scientific and Medical devices only in ten European countries but not in the rest of the world. And it is not permitted in North and South America which make up I-T-U Region 2.

From the South East Bureau in Birmingham Alabama, I'm David Black, KB4KCH, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Another portion of the proposed rules change involves the Industrial, Scientific and Medical frequency allocation at 13.56 MHz. Dave Sumner says at first glance that proposal might permit increased emissions in the bottom 10 kHz of the 20-meter amateur band. Sumner adds that the ARRL will take a closer look at this section of the overall proposal before assessing its interference potential. The entire Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order is contained in ET Docket 01-278 is available on the FCC website at www.fcc.gov and the ARRL Website at www.arrl.org. (ARRL, K2TXB, VHF Reflector)

**

FORCED SPECTRUM SHARING: FCC ISSUES 430 MHZ EXPERIMENTAL LICENSE FOR SOCAL

Hams in Southern California are on alert after the FCC issues an Experimental License to Digital Wireless Corporation in Los Angeles to test its systems in the 420 to 430 MHz Amateur Radio band. Digital Wireless Corporation is a manufacturer of secure, all-digital, Internet-linked trunked and non-trunked dispatch radio equipment that provides secure conversations and seamless network coverage for business, government and military users. The license with the call sign W-C-2-X-K-K permits holder Brent Jaybush and Digital wireless to operate within 5 km of their factory in Los Angeles through February 01, 2003. (FCC, ARNewsline(tm))

**
FORCED SPECTRUM SHARING: ARRL - NO COMMERCIAL USERS AT 2390-2400 MHz.

The ARRL says that it urges the FCC -- ‘in the strongest possible terms' -- to make no commercial allocations in the 2390 to 2400 MHz primary Amateur Radio

Service allocation. In a bulletin to its members released on Wednesday, October 24th the League did however tentatively suggest hams might be willing to share the band with compatible government services that are displaced to make room for advanced wireless systems.

In its communication to the regulatory agency, the ARRL tells the FCC that advanced wireless systems are fundamentally incompatible with continued amateur access to the band.' The ARRL says the federal government 'has historically been a compatible sharing partner and that government uses would offer 'the least disruptive opportunities for sharing' in the band. (ARRL Bulletin)

**

RESTRUCTURING: NEW LICENSING REGS IN NEW ZEALAND

It’s a nation that now only has two license classes and a 5 word per minute code test. This as New Zealand joins the worldwide move toward simplifying the entry to ham radio. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Jim Meachen ZL2BHF has more:

--

The introduction of New Zealand’s Radiocommunications Regulations 2001 has brought changes for Amateur Radio Licensing in New Zealand. Forthwith there are only two classes of Amateur Radio Licence available -- The Limited and The General. The Novice and the Novice/Limited licences grades have been removed.

The Limited licensing procedure remains unchanged and the General licensing procedure is changed by the lowering of the Morse Code test to 5 words-per-minute. Novice and Novice/Limited licences will no longer be granted. Existing licences in these categories will remain valid under the new Regulations and with their original licence provisions.

New Zealand’s Licensing Authority the Ministry of Economic Development is encouraging existing Novice and Novice/Limited licensees to review their qualifications to see if they now meet the requirements of the new General Licence.

Amateur who have passed the previous Novice Morse test of 6 wpm and attained a pass of 50% or more in the theory and regulations examination, or 66% or more in the new multiple-choice computer-generated examination now meet the requirement for a General Licence and can immediately submit an application for an upgrade.

The existing ZL callsign grouping that indicates the class of licence of the holder will remain in force. This will require all licensees upgrading to a General licence to obtain a new callsign.

Included in the new Regulations is a long awaited provision for variation to the manner in which an amateur examination is carried out if there is need to vary the examination process for a candidate with a particular disability. Variation will be at the Chief Executive's discretion and the Ministry will deal with each case as it arises.

It is expected that the New Zealand Association of Radio Transmitter Morse Examiners will test at 12 wpm on request for those who may wish to meet this higher operating standard for overseas travel.

From Auckland New Zealand I'm Jim Meachen ZL2BHF for Newsline.

--

With more and more nations turning to 5 words per minute Morse testing, it appears as if it will soon be the standard -- worldwide. (NZART, ZL2BHF)

**

BREAK 1

This is ham radio news coming to you from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the Las Vegas Amateur Radio Club net serving Las Vegas Nevada.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO AND THE WAR: FCC STOPS ACCEPTING FILINGS IN ENVELOPES

If you are planning to write to the FCC in Washinton D-C – the word is – don't. This as the agency issues a public notice saying that filings enclosed in envelopes will not be accepted until further notice.

The reason is the same as the one CQ Magazine stated last week when it said it will no longer accept mailed in paper logs – the possibility of bio contamination. And while the FCC notice makes no direct reference to the anthrax scare, the Broadcasting and Cable newsletter says most view it at as a precautionary.

In a nutshell, heres what the FCC says to do. Document filers and messengers delivering paper communications to the agency will be asked to dispose of envelopes in a receptacle outside of its Washington, D.C., headquarters. Mail and packages delivered to the agency will be opened at an off-site location. If you must send a paper filing to the FCC, the only way it can be done is by clipping the papers together with a rubber band or other fastener with no envelope. Then you either hand carry it or courier it to 9300 East Hampton Drive, in Capitol Heights, Maryland. Those requesting confidential treatment of their documents must have materials placed in commission-provided envelopes. The FCC also says that filers have the option of submitting any document electronically.

And what about filings by U-S Mail to the Gettysburg Licensing facility in Pennslvania? Items like ham radio license renewals and the like? According to the ARRL Letter, the public notice on FCC mail makes no mention of any change in procedures regarding filings in envelopes to Gettysburg, but that could change at any time. (Broadcasting & Cable, ARRL)

**

RADIO AND THE WAR: RADIO SOLO HEARD IN THE USA

Last week we reported that the United States has been air dropping Bay Gen wind up radios into Afghanistan. We also said that the government six EC-130 planes that make up the Air National Guard's Commando Solo fleet serve as flying radio stations. Now we can give you an idea of what the airborn transmission to the Afghan populations sounds like. Take a listen:

--
Audio here
--

That signal was reportedly recorded by a shortwave listener on the U-S East coast on October 18th and posted to the Internet. And while we do not know exactly what's being said, an English translation posted to the Pentagon's website indicates its probably a transmission to Taliban fighters and might well say: "When you decide to surrender, approach United States forces with your hands in the air. Sling your weapon across your back muzzle towards the ground. Remove your magazine and expel any rounds. Doing this is your only chance of survival." (shortwave.com, ARNewsline(tm))

**

RADIO AND THE WAR: A RUN ON RADIOS

One of the hottest selling consumer electronic items since September 11th is the scanner radio. According to several news reports, the people buying them say that it gives them a sense of security knowing what's taking place in the community in light of the terror attacks on New York City and the Pentagon.

One story says that the Radio Shack chain, based in Fort Worth, Texas, has reported a major increase in sales. The same with such dealers as Scanner World USA, a mail-order business based in Albany, N.Y., and Uniden America Corp. of Fort Worth. In fact, some retailers report sales are up 10 percent to 30 percent compared with the same period last year.

That article quotes Norm Schrein, KA8PGJ, who is president of the Bearcat Radio Club in Dayton, Ohio. Schrein says he went to a trade show in Peoria, Illinois, four days after the attack. Business was so brisk that his stock of scanners was all but cleaned out.

But scanners are not the only hot product running off dealer shelves these days. All-band shortwave radios are running a close second. According to one news story the lure of shortwave receivers is that they allow for listening to broadcasts from around the world, including airline, shipping and military communications. Other reports say that shortwave gives the public yet another avenue to get news items not regularly reported by the U-S press. (Various published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: DON'T USE ILLEGAL GEAR FOR BUSINESS

A Kentucky towing company is told to stop using the ham bands to communicate. This after the FCC receives complaints that the company's trucks have been heard on 10 meters. Riley Hollingsworth, is the FCC's Special Counsel for Amateur Radio enforcement matters:

--
Hollingsworth: “We sent a warning notice to STI Towing and Repair in Walton and Florence Kentucky about using 10 meter Amateur equipment in their business operation. Related to that, we sent a warning to an employee licensee of the company [named] Robert McCoy, N4ZTA of Florence Kentucky about the same matter.

Now that employee may have equipped the trucks wth unlicensed equipment. The trucks are tow-trucks number 21, 27, 52, 97, 99 and RR4 operating in the Walton and Florence Kentucky areas.”
--
STI Towing and Repair was given the usual time to respond. (FCC, RAIN)

**
ARRL MEMBERS: THE LEAGUE NEEDS YOU IN THE CC&R EFFORT

If you are an ARRL member, listen up. This one is for you.

Have you ever been denied the ability to put up an antenna, or to operate an Amateur Radio transmitter? Have you had any other restriction on your Amateur Radio activities because you live in a housing development or condominium complex governed by private land use regulations? Well, the ARRL Board of Directors has adopted a goal of trying for legislative action that helps overcome the unreasonable restrictions of C-C-and R’s that prohibit or restrict Amateur Radio antennas. And you can help. Heres how.

According to the ARRL Letter, the league has a sample letter at its website. That’s in cyberspace at www.arrl.org. If you are affected by a CC&R, or know someone in your Congressional district who is, please adapt the sample letter to your own situation and consider sending it to your member of Congress. And if you decide to write please send a copy to the ARRL's Office of Legislative Affairs.

To find your Senator's mailing address check www.senate.gov/contacting/index.cfm. To find your Representative's, check www.house.gov/writerep. (ARRL)

**

AWARDS: DARA OPENS NOMINATIONS FOR HAM OF THE YEAR

The Dayton Amateur Radio Association says its opening the nominating period for the 2002 Dayton Hamvention Awards. There are three awards presented each year. They are the Radio Amateur of the Year, Special Achievement, and Technical Excellence.

The Radio Amateur of the Year is described as the special person who has made a long term commitment to the advancement of amateur radio. We are looking for a well-rounded individual who has contributed to our hobby in some outstanding way. The Technical Excellence award is for the person who has made an outstanding technical advancement in the field of amateur radio while the Special Achievement Award is reserved for the ham who has made an outstanding contribution to the advancement of amateur radio. This latter award is usually given to a respected amateur who spearheaded a single significant project.

All amateurs Novice through Extra are eligible. Awards are decided by the Awards Committee, based partially upon the information received. Magazine articles, newsletters, newspaper clippings, videos and the like are appreciated but cannot be returned. The deadline for nominations is January 31, 2002. Nominations can be submitted by US Mail to Post Office Box 964, Dayton Ohio 45401 or by completing the convenient e-form on the web at www.hamvention.org. (DARA)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: HAP HOLLY KC9RP TO SPEAK IN L.A.

If you live in the Los Angeles area, and have never had the opportunity to meet RAIN Producer Hap Holly, KC9RP, you will have you chance very soon. This as Hap and his wife Stephanie venture west to the City of Angeles for a speaking engagement by KC9RP.

Hap will be the guest of the TRW Amateur Radio Club at its Thanksgiving Awards Banquet the evening of November 10th. There is one caveat. This event is for active TRW Radio Club members and their guests only. More information is on the web at www.w6trw.com under the subject Annual Banquet. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: W4WYR NAMED A “CHAMP”

The ARRL Letter says that Southeastern Division Vice Director Evelyn Gauzens, W4WYR, is the recipient of the 2001 Citizens' Ham Mobile-Marine Patrol or CHAMP Award. Gauzens was selected for her work as co-founder of the famed Miami Tropical Hamboree ham radio convention and as being a prominent community activist. The South Florida FM Association, Citizens' Crime Watch, and the Miami-Dade Police Department established Citizens' Ham Mobile-Marine Patrol in 1994. (ARRL)

**

BREAK 2

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website - www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: HIGH SPEED INTERNET CONNECTIONS GROWING

It won't ever replace ham radio for versatility, but high-speed lines connecting people to the Internet increased by 63% during the second half of year 2000 That’s a total of 7.1 million new connections. Of the 7.1 million high-speed lines, 5.2 million were residential and small business subscribers. The CGC Communicator says that the rate of high-speed growth for the full year of 2000 was 158%. (CGC Communicator)

**

ON THE AIR: CULBERTSON A HIT AT JOTA 2001

Astronaut Frank Culbertson left a global trail of excited Scouts the weekend of October 20 th as he made rapid-fire QSO's during the worldwide Jamboree-on-the-Air. The commander of the Expedition Three crew on board the International Space Station used the NA1SS call sign to rack up contacts in many places including Australia. There on Saturday, the 20th, Tony Hutcheson, VK5ZAI, took a portable station to the Riverland Scout Hall where a crowd of about 60 watched as one of the senior Girl Scouts asked Culbertson a question. Back here in the United States, sixteen Boy Scouts from Troop 48 in New Orleans gathered at the station of K5CFW, to pull off a quick QSO.

On the early afternoon pass over the U-S, KD4SFF, using the phonetics "Scouting for Fun," set up a station in his backyard in Greenville, South Carolina. He had five Scouts from Boy Scout Troop 555 over. Frank told one of them that he had grown up in South Carolina, and that he, too, had been a Scout.

Culbertson has been very active on the 2 meter band from the ISS. He talks to several schools each week as well as numerous open QSO’s worldwide. (AMSAT)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW STATION AT UK NATIONAL SPACE CENTER

A new Amateur Radio Satellite Service ground station has been opened at the United Kingdom’s National Space Center in Leicester. Using the call sign GB2NSC the station will operate at the heart of the center in the 'Space Now' attractions area, That’s the same location where the National Space Center Amateur Radio Society is demonstrating how to contact amateur radio equipment on board the International Space Station. (GB2RS)

**

SCIENCE AND SPACE: NASA’S GOLDIN ANNOUNCES RETIREMENT

Daniel Goldin, who pushed NASA to be leaner and faster and then came under fire for it, says he will retire from the space agency next month. after nearly 10 years in the job. The longest-serving administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Goldin will leave in mid-November with a record of 160 successful space missions international space station that is now permanently occupied. Cost over-runs in the billions of dollars are said to have contributed to his leaving the agency. (Published news reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL: WINLINK ILLEGAL TO OPERATE IN VK

It appears that the very popular WINLINK messaging system is illegal to use in Australia. WINLINK is a program and intertie network that provides full-featured digital E-mail transfer, position reporting and weather service to the global amateur radio community where Internet access is not available. But as Q-News Graham Kemp VK4BB, tells us, the Australian Communications Agency says – “no!”

--

In news services this week we see the WIA's Federal Technical Advisory Committee Chairman John Martin VK3KWA reminding operators it's "just not on" to operate this program "down under". John has had discussion with the ACA on the topic, it's not a simple matter of changing the amateur regulations, rather the issue is tied in with legislation including the Telecommunications Act.

John concludes that in the short term there is no chance whatsoever of any change in the ACA's ruling that Winlink is illegal in Australia. Packet radio bulletin board operators should also be very careful about forwarding any Winlink traffic, and preferably filter it for manual checking before allowing it to go through.

--

Currently there are WINLINK nodes in the United States and nations worldwide. The one major exception is Australia. For the rest of the world where it is legal, more information is on the web at www.winlink.org. (Q-News)

**

ON THE AIR: LONG PATH FRIENDSHIP NET.

In this time of turmoil a group of hams from around the world are going to try to bring some light to the end of a rather dark tunnel. This with a new on-the-air fellowship gathering called the Long Path Friendship Net.

The net will start at 1430 UTC, Sundays on 14.165 MHz with K6HAE and WTZ in California and ZS6AN in South Africa as control stations. The founders say that this is a big planet and there are enough natural disasters to be dealt with as well as some sad man-made ones for which the proficient and friendly radio operator is a valued contributor.

Again, that’s the new Long Path Friendship Net every Sunday at 1430 UTC on 14.165 MHz in the Extra segment of the 20 meter band. (Press release)

**

DX

In DX, the ARRL’s DXCC Desk reports that the ZK1NDK North Cook Island operation now good for DXCC credit. Hams who submitted request for ZK1NDK and were denied credit may contact the Leagues DXCC desk and have their records updated without having to resubmit cards. (OPDX, Daily DX, others)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: REAL SKY HOOKS

And finally this week, you may find this one hard to believe, but its true. It comes under the heading of an honest to goodness sky hook -- and no we are not kidding. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has more:

--

The proposal for these sky hooks comes from ATG industries in southern England. It wants to build reflective airships and have them operate at a height of

65,000 feet.

These craft would be unmanned and would station keep using solar powered electric engines and a lightweight diesel engine. ATG claims that these "skyhook" ships could keep position for up to 5 years. That they could act as a transponders for services such mobile telephones, broadband internet and digital radio.

ATG estimates that nineteen of these sky hook ships could cover most of the U.K. and replace as many as 4000 mobile telephone poles and up to 10,000 towers that are expected to be needed for the new G-3 technology.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

Its not known how long these sky hook ships will take to develop or if they will ever be deployed. (Telecom Daily)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at
www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go, this advisory. The Amateur Radio Newsline Incorporated is not soliciting for affinity credit cards in our name or that of the old Westlink Radio Network. Nor are we telemarketing amateurs to sign up for any affinity credit card.

If someone calls you trying to get you to sign up for a credit card supposely sponsored by the Amateur Radio Newsline, get all the information you can including the full name of the telemarketing representative, information on sponsoring organization and the bank issuing the card. Then say no, hang up and drop us an e-mail with the details. We will take it from there.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE, saying God Bless America, 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2001. All rights reserved.

6469. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 16:52:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110260852.61dd1b08@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22763

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD8D193.861F5E85@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > Oh, I don't mind a little salt,
> > > but that stuff they call
> > > hamburgers just isn't.
> >
> > Ya gotta wonder what it really IS.
>
> I don't know. My wife went by MD's on her way home from school the
> other day and I could not find any meat in the refrigerator that
> looked like that slab of stuff between those buns. It had the texture
> of what I would imagine cheap tuna fish in a can (the mushy stuff)
> might have if fried (not the taste or smell - the texture). Beyond
> that, I could not relate the rest (taste or smell) to any known living
> or dead thing. So your guess is as good as mine. Care to speculate?

Ugh.

Sounds like they take whatever beef they can get, mince it to powder
and mack it out in patties with various binders and other mystery
ingredients. Kinda like the way they make particle board from sawdust.
Same flavor, too.

I'm no authority on burgers (that and steaks is W3RV's expertise). I
do know about meatballs, though. Really good ones start with a mix of
pork, beef and veal, and include stuff like yellow cornmeal and
parsley. Yum.

> > And they don't use "a little salt"
> > or "a little sugar" on anything.
>
> A "little" is a relative thing, Jim. A whole can of salt is a little
> if you're standing next to a truck full of salt. I understand what
> you're trying to say though.

They use salt and sugar in an attempt to disguise the fact that
there's no flavor to begin with.

I understand many fast-food french fries are molded from potato
powder. Yuck.
>
> > > Okay, now we're getting into

> > > solving the problem. I wonder what
> > > my landlord would say about a
> > > couple of cows in the backyard. :-)
> >
> > Dang CC&Rs ruin everybody's fun.
>
> I know. But there is still hope. My CC&R allows for small outdoor
> pets. Perhaps if I put a dog collar on the cows, and built a dog house
> to scale with "Spot" over the door, nobody would notice.

Think about Vietnamese potbelly pigs. Pets you can eat.>
>
> > Sorry, I got carried away.
>
> You certainly did. :-)

Heck, that was just a half-baked rant.
>
> > What I'm tryin' to tell ya is that
> > a lot of those lists are wrong.
>
> That may have been what you were trying to say, but you lost my
> interest not long after you started on poly-unsaturated fats.

Sorry. Main thing is that "low fat" stuff is often full of sugar, has
no fewer calories and is often worse for ya than the real thing.
>
> > I bet your grandfolks ate stuff
> > that wasn't nearly as processed or
> > sugar laden as the stuff at
> > fast-food joints.
>
> You're right (getting serious for a moment). Each grandparent grew
> up eating natural foods, often grown on their own farms. Years ago,
> the meats were naturally stored (salted pork, canned vegetables, etc).
> Later each switched to freezing most everything, though each still
> canned vegetables and some of the meat is still stored naturally
> (taste preference). My oldest grandma doesn't do much of this herself
> anymore, but she has no problem getting this stuff from friends (other
> farmers). The rest of the grandparents still live on farms, and it is
> rare to find processed foods from a grocery store in their homes.

Which explains a LOT about both taste and longevity.

Fun fact:

Couple years ago, some folks developed methods of running diesel
engines on cooking oils. They'd get worn-out cooking oil from diners
and restaurants, filter it and process it, and wind up with a fuel
that was as good or better than #2 diesel, at a lower price (if ya get
the raw used oil for free). Works great (search Yahoo! on
"biodiesel"). But they can't use the stuff from MDs and some other

chains because it has so many additives and other gunk that it gums up
the engines' injection systems. Yet they expect us to eat that stuff.
>
> > A lot of it is pure genetics.
> > Everybody has an Uncle Harry
> > that did everything "wrong" and
> > lived to be 97, etc., etc. Also
> > a Cousin Mabel who did
> > everything "right" and keeled
> > over at half that age.
>
> And I hope some of that genetics has made it's way to me. Most of my
> family is living to be a ripe old age, and are relatively healthy well
> into that old age.

That's good. I bet the unprocessed food had something to do with it,
too.

> The ones who didn't make it didn't as result of
> accidents or whatever.

Genetics has a role there, too, but a different one.

> So far, the genetics seems to be working. I
> rarely get the normal illnesses (colds, flu, or whatever). However,
> there is a down side. I have noticed that when I do get sick, it tends
> to hit me a lot harder than most. It's like I have a fairly strong
> defense to most germs, but the germs that do make it through that
> defense are especially strong themselves. Thankfully, that is
> relatively rare (only a few times in my life so far).
>
Reminds me - I gotta get a flu shot.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6470. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 18:31:12 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QZhC7.142161$3d2.4629847@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22764

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f4bfe89.0110260623.7c459e69@posting.google.com...
> "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:<23f72b7bb3ea996187f238724ff54695.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>...
> >
> > > Check out a callsign search engine, Hans.
> >
> > I did exactly that, Dwight, and it returned the
> > following information:
> >
> > G4ZOW MR P
>
> (removed for privacy)
>
> > ENGLAND, U.K.
> >
> Interesting factoid:
>
> G4ZOW is on Buckmaster, but not on QRZ or WM7D databases. ARRL and FCC are
US only.
>
> So depending on where one looks, it could appear to be valid or invalid.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Having had a ha-ha at Dwight's expense -- fair first because anyone as
typing-active as he is going to do that now and again and second because I
have done it as well -- it does show that lack of HF experience has an
effect. A G call lites up and says Brit real quick to anyone on the air for
more than 29 minutes. Greatest geography lesson a youngster can have.

6471. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 19:11:31 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD9B563.62061BC6@uci.edu>
Source record: 22766

CAM wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Please post where you ever agreed with anything I said.

> I distinctly remember you and I agreeing that smallpox is
> a bad thing.

I must have said, "I agree with you" if that's the case.

6472. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 19:14:18 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD9B60A.F0F22ED0@uci.edu>
Source record: 22765

N2EY wrote:
> If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then he's going
> to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.

That's essentially what happened. I pointed out the more common
definition, and Cecil argued with me about it - just to hear his head
rattle, presumably.

6473. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 21:20:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BD9D38A.5BCCFBBA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22767

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then he's going
> > to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.
>
> That's essentially what happened. I pointed out the more common
> definition, and Cecil argued with me about it - just to hear his head
> rattle, presumably.

Methinks you didn't understand the argument. Webster's defines "lie"
as a false statement so it is entirely proper for me to use that
definition for statements that *I* make. You do not get to choose
the definitions used for *my* statements. You only get to choose
the definitions used for *your* statements. If you use a different
definition from mine, you are expected to define that context change.

If I say I've designed a bus that operates at the speed of light
seems you would argue that a bus cannot even travel at the speed
of sound. Cute, but a violation of the rules of English.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6474. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 21:38:55 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BD9D7EF.116AE7A6@uci.edu>
Source record: 22768

CAM wrote:
> Methinks you didn't understand the argument.

Methinks you are a pedant.

6475. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 22:36:15 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rcogv01uq5@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22770

In article <3BD9B60A.F0F22ED0@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>> If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then he's
going
>> to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.
>
>That's essentially what happened. I pointed out the more common
>definition, and Cecil argued with me about it - just to hear his head
>rattle, presumably.

Is this going to turn into another "forced" argument?

6476. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-26 23:00:01 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <RVIC7.158781$5A3.56010491@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttermmmb7eqs46@corp.supernews.com...
> That is inherent.
>
> Although, I have sat and watched most, and the guy who USUALLY wins (read
> this as, either
> has something good as a rep, or is usually running an 8 pill into a 2
pill)
> takes the same lanes as
> the other guys winning.
>
> When talking about RF, the lane choice isn't as distinct an advantage as
it
> is in drag racing.
>
> Some people just don't understand that, though.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>

Perhaps Peekaboo doesnt!

From: DR.KAVORKIAN (peekaboo@bigradios.com)
Subject: Re: HOT SPRINGS!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (34 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2000/01/25

I know who it is, but I am not telling, but I TRULY believe in the side
factor, let them other ducks think it doesn't matter, just one more trick
up the sleeves of the ones who know better......

6477. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 00:56:18 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda0919$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22771

Hey, <shrugging shoulders here> there's lots of people who "make no distinction" as to race, color, religion, or sex, etc.; then there are lots who do.

'S all nuttin' but opinion...nuttin' but opinion. Oh, and who thinks they have the bigger pants, of course.

Kim W5TIT

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9rbmko1q7g@enews4.newsguy.com...
> In article <3BD94BB6.ABFE0A64@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> >
> >Larry Roll wrote:
> >>
> >> (snip) The only way to deal with
> >> an attack of the 9/11 magnitude
> >> is to go to war against the people
> >> who caused it, which we have now
> >> done. (snip)
> >>
> >>
> > Where? I've seen no evidence that the Taliban government, which
> >we're now bombing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I know, the only
> >"sin" they did was to refuse to turn over a citizen of their own
> >country to us. And I've seen no evidence that the young men of their
> >military, which we're now killing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I
> >know, they've done absolutely nothing to us. I'm not trying to defend
> >the Taliban - I'm simply hoping you can provide some facts that I'm
> >not aware of which would dispute the above.
> >
> >
> >Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>
> Maybe you missed the part in one of President Bush's speeches in which he said
> that there would be no distinction between the terrorists and the governments
> that harbor them.
>

6478. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 01:07:32 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda0bc2_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22772

It ain't a free country any more, Dick. Unless you call the USA Patriot Act your definition of free. I am at least taking the time to read it, read the USC that it references and amends, and it stinks to high heaven so far.

A lot of it is addressed here:

Read here:
http://www.eff.org/Privacy/Surveillance/Terrorism_militias/20011025_hr3162_usa_patriot_bill.html
(this is the Electronic Freedom Frontier's website, and they are probably not unbiased at all) :) However, it's the first spot I came across with the Act.

And here: http://uscode.house.gov/title_18.htm (this is the US HOUSE of REP site). You'll have to do your own homework--because there's lots of other Acts and Titles that are amended; I've been taking a look at all that I can.

Not that it matters--ain't no one's gonna change a damned thing about it.

Kim W5TIT

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BD979FF.8CB0BB4B@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Larry Roll wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) The only way to deal with
> > > an attack of the 9/11 magnitude
> > > is to go to war against the people
> > > who caused it, which we have now
> > > done. (snip)
> >
> > Where? I've seen no evidence that the Taliban government, which
> > we're now bombing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I know, the only
> > "sin" they did was to refuse to turn over a citizen of their own
> > country to us. And I've seen no evidence that the young men of their
> > military, which we're now killing, caused the WTC attack. As far as I
> > know, they've done absolutely nothing to us. I'm not trying to defend
> > the Taliban - I'm simply hoping you can provide some facts that I'm
> > not aware of which would dispute the above.
>
> "Tis a free country Dwight. Maybed you'd rather believe this version...
>

> http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047
>

6479. Re: Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 01:09:40 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda0c3f_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22773

Steve, did someone just happen to make you the judge of that? (the nuking part--and letting God sort it out). You take on the first part of the sorting it all out to make such a statement. Rather godlike of you, don't you think?

Just had to debate that.

Kim W5TIT

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20011026110630.23239.00000006@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >Subject: Check this out...
> >From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Date: 10/26/2001 9:28 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3BD97753.9705FC3D@townsqr.com>
>
> >http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047
>
> Why do people have to lie like this? Is this guy trying to make himself a
> hero at home? Do people really believe this crap?
>
> This even further strengthens my "Nuke em all, Let God Sort It Out"
> opinion.

6480. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 01:13:33 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda0d24_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22774

Remember that propaganda doesn't necessarily imply a lie. The United States uses propaganda with every pamphlet and drop of US Aid to the Afghans--and they've done it many, many times before (I think they "taught" the rest of the world to do it, actually). You aren't saying they are lying, are you?!

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3BD971D7.9DF27379@IEEE.org...
> Richard Carroll wrote:
> > Most people who have free access to information recognize the distinction
> > between lies, misinformation and propaganda. Without your references to any
> > dictionary definition. And it should be noted that definitions in
> > dictionaries change to adapt to common usage. All except yours, of course.
>
> The point is that the Taliban may *truly* believe that we, the infidels,
> are engaging in acts of terrorism in Afghanistan. By your definition,
> then, it wouldn't be a "lie". By my definition, it is a lie and IMO it
> obviously is a lie. So do you think the Taliban is merely "mistaken"?
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6481. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 01:14:55 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda0d77$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22775

Most people are pretty poor at philosophical debate, Cecil. And, many have
a real problem with it! ;)

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BD9D38A.5BCCFBBA@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then
he's going
> > > to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.
> >
> > That's essentially what happened. I pointed out the more common
> > definition, and Cecil argued with me about it - just to hear his head
> > rattle, presumably.
>
> Methinks you didn't understand the argument. Webster's defines "lie"
> as a false statement so it is entirely proper for me to use that
> definition for statements that *I* make. You do not get to choose
> the definitions used for *my* statements. You only get to choose
> the definitions used for *your* statements. If you use a different
> definition from mine, you are expected to define that context change.
>
> If I say I've designed a bus that operates at the speed of light
> seems you would argue that a bus cannot even travel at the speed
> of sound. Cute, but a violation of the rules of English.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6482. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 01:15:04 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda0d7f_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22776

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Anyone who limits her vision to memories of yesterday is
already dead." --Lily Langtry

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BD9D38A.5BCCFBBA@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > If he defines "lie" as "a false statement" with no qualifiers, then
he's going
> > > to stick with that as long as anyone disputes it.
> >
> > That's essentially what happened. I pointed out the more common
> > definition, and Cecil argued with me about it - just to hear his head
> > rattle, presumably.
>
> Methinks you didn't understand the argument. Webster's defines "lie"
> as a false statement so it is entirely proper for me to use that
> definition for statements that *I* make. You do not get to choose
> the definitions used for *my* statements. You only get to choose
> the definitions used for *your* statements. If you use a different
> definition from mine, you are expected to define that context change.
>
> If I say I've designed a bus that operates at the speed of light
> seems you would argue that a bus cannot even travel at the speed
> of sound. Cute, but a violation of the rules of English.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6483. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 04:57:54 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDA4305.736017EF@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22777

CAM wrote:

> Krell wrote:
> > Maybe you missed the part in one of President Bush's speeches in which he said
> > that there would be no distinction between the terrorists and the governments
> > that harbor them.
>
> Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban may indeed be inseparable
> since they fought the Soviets and the other tribes side by side.

Hey, we supported them all in that scrasp.So by your logic we, the Taliban and Bin Laden are all on the same side!

6484. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 04:59:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110262059.f7303a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22778

> > > Actually, I should have specified whether we're talking about internal
> > > or external use.
>
> > How much did yer Daddy spend to make you and Ivy League grad and we
> > get THIS??
>
> Didn't cost Dad a nickel. Paid my own way. Scholarships and loans
> helped, but I hadda pay the loans back meself.
>
>
Loans? The Sun Oil Applied Physics Lab paid my whole tab thru DIT and
I popped out with $5k in the bank and zero debt. You played the game
wrong. Bad habit to get into.
>
>
>
> > No surprise actually, we heard that you Penn guys pee in
> > the shower.
>
> At least we know what a shower is in the Ivies.
>
>
OhGawd All Lordy I could dance all over that!! But it's a mellow
Friday nite so I'll bite my tongue for once.
>
>
> I went to Drexel, too,
> remember?
>
>
Yeah, I know. Drexel went thru a period when they went slack on
admissions requirements, you got lucky.
>
>
> You want fries with that?
>
>
No freaking way! There ain't a purchased french fry out there these
days that isn't a high point within the chemical engineering
profession. "Better Living Through Chemistry". As if. There oughta be
a law . .
>
>
One of these damned days I'm gonna do the classic KP drill and peel

ten pounds of mil-spec spuds and french fry 'em RIGHT like Mom did and
do some Kellyburgers for some of us neighborhood 30wpm types . . .
>
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
.
>
w3rv

6485. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 05:05:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDA4097.E3019C29@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22779

Krell wrote:
>
> Maybe you missed the part in one
> of President Bush's speeches in
> which he said that there would
> be no distinction between the
> terrorists and the governments
> that harbor them.

I don't care what Bush has said. There is a distinction between those two in the law, both international and our laws. A person who harbors a criminal is not guilty of the same crime as the criminal. In fact, a number of governments around the world (Canada, Mexico, UK, etc) have refused to turn over criminals to us without conditions (the death penalty, for example), but I have yet to see us attack any one of those countries.

If Osama Bin Laden was involved in the WTC attack, then I very much want him too. He should be tried, convicted, and I'll gladly volunteer to throw the switch on the electric chair. But, to me, it doesn't seem like we're even going after Osama Bin Laden. All I see is an attempt by this government to overthrow the government of Afghanistan, using the WTC attack as an excuse to do this. And if that is all we're doing, then our attacks on Afghanistan are dead wrong.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6486. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 05:14:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDA42AB.870539E6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22780

CAM wrote:
>
> Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban
> may indeed be inseparable since they
> fought the Soviets and the other tribes
> side by side.

But Osama bin Laden's "forces" have not been charged with a crime in this country, and neither have the forces of the Taliban. We don't kill the employees of Burger King because a supervisor there committed a crime. If Osama bin Laden was behind the WTC attack, the by all means go after Osama bin Laden. If we have to kill some of his "forces" to get to him, then I have no real problem with that. But it is not acceptable to overthrow the government of an entire country to get one man, or even a number of men who might have helped him with this crime.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6487. Re: Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 05:26:27 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bda487d_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22782

An "opinion" doesn't preclude nor negate attitude.

73 to you, too...

Kim W5TIT

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011027012847.16756.00000150@mb-cs.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Check this out...
> >From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
> >Date: 10/26/2001 8:09 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3bda0c3f_1@newsa.ev1.net>
>
> >"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20011026110630.23239.00000006@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >> >Subject: Check this out...
> >> >From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >> >Date: 10/26/2001 9:28 AM Central Daylight Time
> >> >Message-id: <3BD97753.9705FC3D@townsqr.com>
> >>
> >> >http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047
> >>
> >> Why do people have to lie like this? Is this guy trying to make
> >himself a
> >> hero at home? Do people really believe this crap?
> >>
> >> This even further strengthens my "Nuke em all, Let God Sort It Out"
> >> opinion.
>
> >Steve, did someone just happen to make you the judge of that? (the
nuking
> >part--and letting God sort it out). You take on the first part of the
> >sorting it all out to make such a statement. Rather godlike of you,
don't
> >you think?
>
> Please note that the operative word there was "opinion".
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

6488. Re: Check this out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 05:28:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011027012847.16756.00000150@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 22781

>Subject: Re: Check this out...
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 10/26/2001 8:09 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3bda0c3f_1@newsa.ev1.net>

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20011026110630.23239.00000006@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Check this out...
>> >From: Richard Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>> >Date: 10/26/2001 9:28 AM Central Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <3BD97753.9705FC3D@townsqr.com>
>>
>> >http://www.newsmax.com/commentarchive.shtml?a=2001/10/18/201047
>>
>> Why do people have to lie like this? Is this guy trying to make
>himself a
>> hero at home? Do people really believe this crap?
>>
>> This even further strengthens my "Nuke em all, Let God Sort It Out"
>> opinion.

>Steve, did someone just happen to make you the judge of that? (the nuking
>part--and letting God sort it out). You take on the first part of the
>sorting it all out to make such a statement. Rather godlike of you, don't
>you think?

Please note that the operative word there was "opinion".

73

Steve, K4YZ

6489. Re: W5NET (to all)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 05:47:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDA4A61.5DE889F7@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Holy Smoke, Dwight!
>
> Do you really believe that FCC is
> the only organization on earth to
> issue amateur callsigns? Are you
> familiar with the prefix blocks used
> by Great Britain?
>
> I can't understand how you managed
> to get both of those brograns in
> your yap at the same time.

Good grief, Dave. It was a mistake. It happens. It was late. I was in a rush to finish all the messages I was trying to respond to that night. I saw an unfamiliar callsign and, without thinking, quickly checked it out. In my rush, I didn't notice the UK in his address, nor did the callsign register in my mind as a UK callsign (mainly because I was focused on the US because of the town mentioned in the message). But, I realize none of that is a real excuse, so I acknowledged the mistake and apologized for it (to the thread and to the individual). And I apologize again here. It was a mistake and I'm sorry for that.

Now, that is all I can do about this. If you want blood, then you'll have to pay for the UPS shipping. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6490. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 06:24:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDA52EA.AD3E31CD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22784

N2EY wrote:
>
> I'm no authority on burgers (that
> and steaks is W3RV's expertise). I
> do know about meatballs, though.
> Really good ones start with a mix of
> pork, beef and veal, and include
> stuff like yellow cornmeal and
> parsley. Yum.

Now if you want to talk about meatballs, you'll have to see my wife. Anything that is at all related, even vaguely, to spaghetti is right on the top of her food list. Spaghetti with meatballs. Spaghetti without meatballs. Just plain spaghetti. Just plain meatballs. It's all good to her.

> I understand many fast-food
> french fries are molded from
> potato powder. Yuck.

And you can tell it. The fries now are nothing but powered mashed potatoes molded into shape. I'm sure it thrills many of those old Army mess sergeants who served powered foods all the time.

> Think about Vietnamese potbelly
> pigs. Pets you can eat.

Do you know how noisy those things are? That reminds me of the time I was staying in a small town in Germany. Every night I heard pigs squealing at the top of their lungs, but could never figure out where the noise was coming from. I looked around during the daytime and could not see a sign of any pigs anywhere. And I never heard them during the daytime (probably too much traffic noise, etc). Anyway, I was up late one night, heard them, and decided I was going to walk around town to find where the noise was coming from. Anyway, as it turns out, a house down the street had about two dozen pigs in the basement of the house. The basement windows were cracked open and a small light on. And judging from the odor coming from those windows, I would not want to be in that house for any length of time. I guess that does prove there is a way to have farm animals, even in the middle of town.

> Couple years ago, some folks
> developed methods of running diesel
> engines on cooking oils. They'd
> get worn-out cooking oil from diners

> and restaurants, filter it and
> process it, and wind up with a fuel
> that was as good or better than #2
> diesel, at a lower price (if ya get
> the raw used oil for free). Works
> great (search Yahoo! on "biodiesel").
> But they can't use the stuff from
> MDs and some other chains because
> it has so many additives and other
> gunk that it gums up the engines'
> injection systems. Yet they expect
> us to eat that stuff.

Hey, just be glad they haven't yet tried to serve us diesel fuels at
fast food restaurants. Of course, that is not to say it isn't coming.
All that is probably stopping them is the cost of diesel fuel.

> Reminds me - I gotta get a flu shot.

Never had one in my entire life (well, the Army probably gave me one
years ago). And the only time I've caught the flu was when we came
back from Germany. After ten years overseas, we had little resistance
to the local strains here and one hit us hard about two months after
getting back. We were sick for days. But, I'm getting older, so I'm
watching to see if that is going to have an effect. If I start picking
up these things easier, then I'll be getting those shots also (I'm not crazy).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6491. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 14:10:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDAC073.112627CB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22785

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban may indeed be inseparable
> > since they fought the Soviets and the other tribes side by side.
>
> Hey, we supported them all in that scrasp.So by your logic we,
> the Taliban and Bin Laden are all on the same side!

Earth to Dick! We, the US, have *changed sides* or haven't you
noticed? OTOH, Bin Laden's forces and the Taliban seem to be
joined at the hip.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6492. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 14:22:40 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDAC330.F576A384@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22786

Brian Kelly wrote:
> Loans? The Sun Oil Applied Physics Lab paid my whole tab thru DIT and
> I popped out with $5k in the bank and zero debt. You played the game
> wrong. Bad habit to get into.

I made enough working for three months at the Sinclair refinery to
pay my way the other nine months to Texas A&M. Tuition was $25 a
semester. The semester I carried 25 hours, my tuition was one dollar
per credit hour. Used books were about $4 and gasoline was 25 cents
a gallon. Hamburgers ranged from 19 cents to 45 cents for a double-
meat, double-cheese Whataburger.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6493. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 14:30:05 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDAC4ED.ABA15C20@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22787

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> But it
> is not acceptable to overthrow the government of an entire country to
> get one man, ...

It could be argued that we helped overthrow Nazi Germany in order
to get one man. It appears to me that the US has decided that the
Taliban is not a legitimate government and is not appreciably
different from a gang of terrorists occupying a certain land area.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6494. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 16:03:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011027120305.22754.00000042@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 22788

In article <d22b54c3.0110262059.f7303a7@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> > How much did yer Daddy spend to make you and Ivy League grad and we
>> > get THIS??
>>
>> Didn't cost Dad a nickel. Paid my own way. Scholarships and loans
>> helped, but I hadda pay the loans back meself.
>>
>Loans? The Sun Oil Applied Physics Lab paid my whole tab thru DIT and
>I popped out with $5k in the bank and zero debt. You played the game
>wrong. Bad habit to get into.

Different era. Plus I went for four years and you went for five. The student loan I had to pay off back then was at an interest rate of 3 %, and I had ten years to pay it off. This was back when you could tax-deduct all interest, too. It woulda been stupid of me to pay hard-earned student cash when I could get such low interest long term loans, and pay them off with graduate engineer dollars. Plus if ya look up the inflation rate for the ten years following May, 1976, the deal gets even sweeter.

>> > No surprise actually, we heard that you Penn guys pee in
>> > the shower.
>>
>> At least we know what a shower is in the Ivies.
>>
>OhGawd All Lordy I could dance all over that!!

I know. That's why I wrote it.

>But it's a mellow Friday nite so I'll bite my tongue for once.

Thanks.

>> I went to Drexel, too, remember?
>>
>Yeah, I know. Drexel went thru a period when they went slack on
>admissions requirements, you got lucky.

Yeah, right. The place is an education factory, nothing less.

Guess it wouldn't be cool of me to mention that of the 15 courses I took to get the MSEE, I got one B and all the rest As.

>> You want fries with that?

>No freaking way! There ain't a purchased french fry out there these
>days that isn't a high point within the chemical engineering

>profession. "Better Living Through Chemistry". As if. There oughta be
>a law . .

There's an outfit that shows up at the Philly Folk Festival and other events that does 'em half-decent. They have a gizmo that looks like a manual can crusher, only with a gridwork of knifeblades at the bottom. Put in a whole potato, push the lever, raw fries out the bottom. You watch them being made - never more than a few minutes old. Only problem is the line is so long.
>>
>One of these damned days I'm gonna do the classic KP drill and peel
>ten pounds of mil-spec spuds and french fry 'em RIGHT like Mom did and
>do some Kellyburgers for some of us neighborhood 30wpm types . . .

I'll slow down to 30 per to qualify for that! I'll even peel the spuds.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6495. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 16:39:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7rBC7.86406$WW.4617047@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22789

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BDAC4ED.ABA15C20@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > But it
> > is not acceptable to overthrow the government of an entire country to
> > get one man, ...
>
> It could be argued that we helped overthrow Nazi Germany in order
> to get one man. It appears to me that the US has decided that the
> Taliban is not a legitimate government and is not appreciably
> different from a gang of terrorists occupying a certain land area.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>

The precedents are the same as those for piracy. It is the duty of a sovereign government to stop piracy, deliver fugitives, and control its territory. When a government cannot or will not exercise sovereignty it is fair game to do it for them. We did it to Libya in the Jefferson administration, probably because we lacked the hard currency to pay them off but WTH.

Of course by the same logic the Brits would have every right to bomb the Federal Courthouse in Boston after allowing the IRA to wander around loose in the USA.

Dick NBK

6496. looking to buy used

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 17:08:27 UTC
From: super3531@adelphia.net
Message-ID: <reqlttg8omu9chleq2et5e6vbpdvsica1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 22790

hi all

im looking to buy a used

tapr dgps ref station board
and motorola oncore vb board

6497. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 17:33:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110270933.5b3dc676@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22791

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD8D193.861F5E85@sccoast.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> I don't know. My wife went by MD's on her way home from school the
> other day and I could not find any meat in the refrigerator that
> looked like that slab of stuff between those buns. It had the texture
> of what I would imagine cheap tuna fish in a can (the mushy stuff)
> might have if fried (not the taste or smell - the texture). Beyond
> that, I could not relate the rest (taste or smell) to any known living
> or dead thing. So your guess is as good as mine. Care to speculate?
>
>
So anyway it's 1983 and I'm working for a food packaging outfit in
Trevose and I'm in South Chicago on a troubleshooting mission. The
customer's plant made all the various sauces McDonald's uses and was
having trouble with our packages, our aluminized film package seals
were leaking and I got told to go fix the problem.
>
>
Back then McDonald's had a menu item called "McRibs" which I don't
think was sold here on the east coast. The problem was that the
barbeque sauce for the McRibs was eating our seals. The stuff is/was
made from ingredients which came outta 55 gallon drums and tank trucks
which get mixed in huge cauldrons. Some of those drums were from
places like Monsanto. One of the workers slid by and told me not to
get the stuff on my hands . . hookay . .
>
>
I used aluminum basters (the kitchen things with the rubber suction
bulbs) to dip into the vat and draw samples of the crap for testing.
One nite I accidentally left a couple basters half-submerged in the
goo when I went back to my motel for the evening. The next morning I
was back in the place and I pulled the two basters out of the stuff
and lo and behold all the aluminum which was below the surface had
been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
in the goo.
>
>
The net result of that foray into food chemistry is that I haven't
been in a McDonald's since and I don't give a damn how much my
grandchildren whine Pop-pop absolutely will not take them to a
McDonald's.
>

>
w3rv

6498. 11 Meters L.O.T.A. (Lighthouses On The Air)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 17:59:01 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott " <kc8ldo@valkcom.net>
Message-ID: <a144e2aa8043f78a126d20d854607d15.35359@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22792
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Good luck you guys, I'm trying my best to work all of these great 11 meter LOTAS. Leave it to the freebanders to come up with another great idea!

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

"That's easy to explain. As another poster a short time back said about Part 95 rules "I don't care", well you see what happens when that mind set gets applied in a different context. It is very apparent they,=20 the terrorists, didn't care about human life either,=20 including their own. "

Regarding the WTC attack on 9/11/01

>>>>>President's Office : 14 CQ 001 JOHN E-Mail address : cq001john@aol.com Fax : 33 (0)4 74 03 69 80 Sites : http://cqdxgroup.free.fr http://lota.free.fr
CQ CQ CQ::: CALLING ON ALL DXERS
Recently we gave birth to a DX Group of a new kind, born from the (very deep ! !) reflexions of a group of Dxer friends, whose first avocation is innovation and conviviality on the air, quality of the contacts and of their confirmation. Indeed some will answer us that there already is a plethora of DX Groups, so additionally to being a new Group we will try our best efforts to bring something new to our beloved hobby. But what can still be new on 11m, and after asking ourselves this question we came to the answer that all had not yet been done , the best example being the success encountered by the DR Group with their Castles activations..
Seeing the enthousiasm among our cousins radio hams for the Lighthouses activations, and also that this kind of activity had never outside some rare and particular initiatives been practized on 11m, we decided to introduce them to our band as well, there are lists of Lighthouses in many places and we adapted them to our needs. So far they encomprise over 7000 lights over 6 continents and we have still a long way to go to complete them, so there is ground for lots of activations still even as the propagation is in a diminishing cycle ! !
These listes are at the disposal of anyone who asks for them, we are not more owners than neither are we going to do these activations all on our own, and here You all will have Your role to play, be You independent Dxer, affiliated to a Group of whatever size, You even are all welcome to be part of our Group if You wish so, everyone can do such activations, we on our side are founding a QSL Bureau able to take charge of editing QSL cards and

replying to any activations, or the different Groups existing may also manage them by themselves, we sure do not wish to supplant the need for DX Groups ! !
Let us also think for a moment that Lighthouses have for centuries been guides for seamen, some of them are real pieces of architectural art and they need to be protected from destruction in some cases. Also the Lighthouses are most often on IOTAs and some even are inside rare divisions, so there will be enough to satisfy all amateurs of beautiful QSL cards or divisions chasers. So we do send an invitation to all the Radio operators in order to take part , and then we will have done something positive for 27Mhz in general.
Indeed anyone who would like to join us in this venture is most heartily welcome to do so, we are not an elitistic Group boasting of any exclusivity, quite the opposite, we would like to bring something to RADIO ! The adhesion is yearly renewable, costs 25 US$, 25 Euros or 150FF and will give You a CQ call, 20 QSL cards of Your choice, the use of our Po Box and the right to make or take part in Lighthouses activations, get personal QSL cards printed with the use of our LOTA logo. When our financial means will allow for it we will edit a booklet containing tons of info, lighthouses lists, IOTA lists and plenty other useful infos such as QSL Managers and groups addresses lists. The call is made, and the door is open to anyone with a good will ! ! ! We also stand at Your disposal for any complementary informations if needed, don't be shy to ask us Your questions ! !<<<<<

--
Posted from spider-mtc-tj051.proxy.aol.com [64.12.106.41]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6499. ARS Multi-Capacitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 19:21:46 UTC
From: pjfarano@optonline.net
Message-ID: <e5a9735a.0110271121.ee132ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22793

I am trying to locate a distributor for ARS multi-caps for guitar amp power filter applications.

Direct replacement in Marshall amps.

6500. Re: 11 Meters L.O.T.A. (Lighthouses On The Air)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 21:59:18 UTC
From: gmudd <gmudd@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDB2132.F952A9D8@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 22794
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

What is a freebander? Is that a cb operator operating in the illegal (for a cb operator) band?

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> Good luck you guys, I'm trying my best to work all of these great 11 meter
> LOTAS. Leave it to the freebanders to come up with another great idea!
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
>
> "That's easy to explain. As another poster a short time back said about
> Part 95 rules "I don't care", well you see what happens when that mind
> set gets applied in a different context. It is very apparent they,=20
> the terrorists, didn't care about human life either,=20
> including their own. "
>
> Regarding the WTC attack on 9/11/01
>
> >>>>>President's Office : 14 CQ 001 JOHN E-Mail address : cq001john@aol.com
> Fax : 33 (0)4 74 03 69 80 Sites : http://cqdxgroup.free.fr
> http://lota.free.fr
> CQ CQ CQ::: CALLING ON ALL DXERS
> Recently we gave birth to a DX Group of a new kind, born from the (very deep
> ! !) reflexions of a group of Dxer friends, whose first avocation is
> innovation and conviviality on the air, quality of the contacts and of their
> confirmation. Indeed some will answer us that there already is a plethora of
> DX Groups, so additionally to being a new Group we will try our best efforts
> to bring something new to our beloved hobby. But what can still be new on
> 11m, and after asking ourselves this question we came to the answer that all
> had not yet been done , the best example being the success encountered by
> the DR Group with their Castles activations..
> Seeing the enthousiasm among our cousins radio hams for the Lighthouses
> activations, and also that this kind of activity had never outside some rare
> and particular initiatives been practized on 11m, we decided to introduce
> them to our band as well, there are lists of Lighthouses in many places and
> we adapted them to our needs. So far they encomprise over 7000 lights over 6
> continents and we have still a long way to go to complete them, so there is
> ground for lots of activations still even as the propagation is in a
> diminishing cycle ! !

> These listes are at the disposal of anyone who asks for them, we are not
> more owners than neither are we going to do these activations all on our
> own, and here You all will have Your role to play, be You independent Dxer,
> affiliated to a Group of whatever size, You even are all welcome to be part
> of our Group if You wish so, everyone can do such activations, we on our
> side are founding a QSL Bureau able to take charge of editing QSL cards and
> replying to any activations, or the different Groups existing may also
> manage them by themselves, we sure do not wish to supplant the need for DX
> Groups ! !
> Let us also think for a moment that Lighthouses have for centuries been
> guides for seamen, some of them are real pieces of architectural art and
> they need to be protected from destruction in some cases. Also the
> Lighthouses are most often on IOTAs and some even are inside rare divisions,
> so there will be enough to satisfy all amateurs of beautiful QSL cards or
> divisions chasers. So we do send an invitation to all the Radio operators in
> order to take part , and then we will have done something positive for 27Mhz
> in general.
> Indeed anyone who would like to join us in this venture is most heartily
> welcome to do so, we are not an elitistic Group boasting of any exclusivity,
> quite the opposite, we would like to bring something to RADIO ! The adhesion
> is yearly renewable, costs 25 US$, 25 Euros or 150FF and will give You a CQ
> call, 20 QSL cards of Your choice, the use of our Po Box and the right to
> make or take part in Lighthouses activations, get personal QSL cards printed
> with the use of our LOTA logo. When our financial means will allow for it we
> will edit a booklet containing tons of info, lighthouses lists, IOTA lists
> and plenty other useful infos such as QSL Managers and groups addresses
> lists. The call is made, and the door is open to anyone with a good will ! !
> ! We also stand at Your disposal for any complementary informations if
> needed, don't be shy to ask us Your questions ! !<<<<<
>
> --
> Posted from spider-mtc-tj051.proxy.aol.com [64.12.106.41]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6501. Re: ARS Multi-Capacitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 22:42:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eLGC7.143710$3d2.4778861@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22795

"Phillip J. Farano" <pjfarano@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:e5a9735a.0110271121.ee132ed@posting.google.com...
> I am trying to locate a distributor for ARS multi-caps for guitar amp
> power filter applications.
>
> Direct replacement in Marshall amps.

ARS as a company or as a series by another company does not exist on
Faradnet.

Do I sense someone with an antique (by electrolytic capacitor standards)
that is in need of some new filter caps???

As a practical matter:

1) you probably do not even need to remove the old one. A cap of equal or
higher value and rating is usually simply bridged across the old one and is
well out of sight. A bigger one might actually help your bass response. If
it blows fuses that is one thing but the buzz is easy.

2) Some of the early Marshall amps were pretty brute-force devices using one
of two variations on a 6L6 which most OTs on this group are very familiar
with: the EL34 which took the mechanical pounding but tended to get
electrically beaten up (sometimes to neat effects) and a 5881 which is the
industrial version and capable of any non-nuclear shock the klutziest roadie
could dish out.

3) If it is a real antique, install some solid-state diodes (cheap) around
the vacuum tube rectifier as well. Again, these hide underneath and you can
even arrange for the old tube to be a lit up do-nothing.

Be a little careful with this beast: they used a standby switch that can
leave charged caps and a few other unpleasantries about to ambush the
amateur repairman.

Might help if we knew what the problem is and what model, year etc we are
dealing with and any markings on the can like the capacitance and working
voltage.

Dick

6502. Re: ARS Multi-Capacitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 23:44:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuf0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDB3870.4DB57C7F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22796

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>
> As a practical matter:
>
> 1) you probably do not even need to remove the old one. A cap of equal or
> higher value and rating is usually simply bridged across the old one and is
> well out of sight. A bigger one might actually help your bass response. If
> it blows fuses that is one thing but the buzz is easy.

Do not bridge the new filter cap across the old one! Disconnect
the old electrolytic from the circuit. If the old one is bad you
haven't solved the problem. The old one can short out and just
might something else out with it like the power transformer.

6503. Re: ARS Multi-Capacitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-27 23:49:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nKHC7.143773$3d2.4783476@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22797

"JJ" <radiobuf0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BDB3870.4DB57C7F@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> >
> > As a practical matter:
> >
> > 1) you probably do not even need to remove the old one. A cap of equal
or
> > higher value and rating is usually simply bridged across the old one and
is
> > well out of sight. A bigger one might actually help your bass response.
If
> > it blows fuses that is one thing but the buzz is easy.
>
> Do not bridge the new filter cap across the old one! Disconnect
> the old electrolytic from the circuit. If the old one is bad you
> haven't solved the problem. The old one can short out and just
> might something else out with it like the power transformer.

The usual method is to cut the stud off the can and use that as the solder
point. Avoids making more of a mess than is really necessary. There are
lots of ways. You are correct but it was not a point I wished to make at
the time.

6504. Re: 11 Meters L.O.T.A. (Lighthouses On The Air)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 00:35:16 UTC
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Message-ID: <3bdb52c4$0$9813$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 22798
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

In rec.radio.amateur.space gmudd <gmudd@sc.rr.com> wrote:
> What is a freebander? Is that a cb operator operating in the illegal (for a cb
> operator) band?

Yes. It's a term that some CB operators like to use to make themselves
feel good about operating illegally.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

6505. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 01:08:10 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22799

In article <3BDA4097.E3019C29@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...

> I don't care what Bush has said. There is a distinction between
>those two in the law, both international and our laws. A person who
>harbors a criminal is not guilty of the same crime as the criminal. In
>fact, a number of governments around the world (Canada, Mexico, UK,
>etc) have refused to turn over criminals to us without conditions (the
>death penalty, for example), but I have yet to see us attack any one
>of those countries.

Syria, Iraq, Iran, and other countries support and fund these terrorists. We've known this for years, and we ended up getting sucker-punched.

> If Osama Bin Laden was involved in the WTC attack, then I very much
>want him too. He should be tried, convicted, and I'll gladly volunteer
>to throw the switch on the electric chair. But, to me, it doesn't seem
>like we're even going after Osama Bin Laden. All I see is an attempt
>by this government to overthrow the government of Afghanistan, using
>the WTC attack as an excuse to do this. And if that is all we're
>doing, then our attacks on Afghanistan are dead wrong.

What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries I mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once, went berserk, this shit would stop.

6506. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 01:15:41 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rfm7t1bdi@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22800

In article <3BDA42AB.870539E6@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban
>> may indeed be inseparable since they
>> fought the Soviets and the other tribes
>> side by side.
>
>
> But Osama bin Laden's "forces" have not been charged with a crime in
>this country, and neither have the forces of the Taliban. We don't
>kill the employees of Burger King because a supervisor there committed
>a crime. If Osama bin Laden was behind the WTC attack, the by all
>means go after Osama bin Laden. If we have to kill some of his
>"forces" to get to him, then I have no real problem with that. But it
>is not acceptable to overthrow the government of an entire country to
>get one man, or even a number of men who might have helped him with
>this crime.

Yeah, right. And if the employees of Burger King prevent the police from
arresting the supervisor because they want to see evidence, they get arrested
for obstructing justice.

6507. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 03:09:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDB76ED.77B2102D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22801

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Back then McDonald had a menu
> item called "McRibs" which I don't
> think was sold here on the east
> coast. The problem was that the
> barbeque sauce for the McRibs was
> eating our seals. The stuff is/was
> made from ingredients which came
> outta 55 gallon drums and tank trucks
> which get mixed in huge cauldrons.
> Some of those drums were from places
> like Monsanto. One of the workers
> slid by and told me not to get the
> stuff on my hands . . hookay . .

Ummm....yummy stuff, Brian! Now we know where industry is getting rid of it's toxic waste lately - add a little ketchup, a couple of spices, and call it barbecue sauce. And I actually thought about having one of those McRibs once. Now I'm glad I decided to stick with the unknown stuff they call hamburgers (of course, that is probably what they do with the solid waste).

What is really bad is that you cannot escape this stuff anywhere. When I flew through Frankfurt Airport several years ago, I was looking forward to some real German food. Sadly, all of the German restaurants in the airport had been replaced with American fast food joints (McDonald's, Burger King, etc) since my last visit. I flew 2000 miles just to eat a Big Mac (and the darn thing was cold too). I was truly disappointed when I left that airport for the next leg of the trip.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6508. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 03:22:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDB79F9.645815A8@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22802

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > But it is not acceptable to
> > overthrow the government of
> > an entire country to get
> > one man, ...
>
> It could be argued that we helped
> overthrow Nazi Germany in order
> to get one man. (snip)

That would really be stretching it. The people of Germany were heavily involved in the events of WWII. They were well aware of the war, most openly supported it, and most knew the possible consequences. And the soldiers themselves were more than willing participants in Germany's military activities outside their borders. In Afghanistan, on the other hand, I don't think most soldiers are even deeply aware there is anything outside their own little world. They're roaming around the mountains just trying to stay alive. Concepts like international affairs, international law, and so forth, are just not something that is that personal to them.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6509. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 03:39:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDB7DED.7673E5FD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22803

Krell wrote:
>
> Yeah, right. And if the employees
> of Burger King prevent the police
> from arresting the supervisor
> because they want to see evidence,
> they get arrested for obstructing
> justice.

The point I'm trying to make, Krell, is that I seriously doubt most Afghan soldiers or civilians even understand why we're attacking them. Remember these people are living like people a hundred years ago did here. Most don't have anything more than a radio - a television with CNN news is not even a consideration for most Afghans. They're roaming around the mountains totally absorbed in their own little local conflicts, just trying to stay alive. Beyond rumors spread by word of mouth, they probably don't know a darn thing about any bombing of a building in the United States. And you can be darn sure they don't understand why we have blamed them for it - and are killing them for it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6510. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 05:00:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDB8282.EE48FEA4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22804

But you gotta hand it to McDonnell's, they are consistently bad
every where you go.

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> What is really bad is that you cannot escape this stuff anywhere.
> When I flew through Frankfurt Airport several years ago, I was looking
> forward to some real German food. Sadly, all of the German restaurants
> in the airport had been replaced with American fast food joints
> (McDonald's, Burger King, etc) since my last visit. I flew 2000 miles
> just to eat a Big Mac (and the darn thing was cold too). I was truly
> disappointed when I left that airport for the next leg of the trip.
>

6511. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 06:14:15 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDBA670.64611873@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22805

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban
> > may indeed be inseparable since they
> > fought the Soviets and the other tribes
> > side by side.
>
> But Osama bin Laden's "forces" have not been charged with a crime in
> this country, and neither have the forces of the Taliban. We don't
> kill the employees of Burger King because a supervisor there committed
> a crime. If Osama bin Laden was behind the WTC attack, the by all
> means go after Osama bin Laden. If we have to kill some of his
> "forces" to get to him, then I have no real problem with that. But it
> is not acceptable to overthrow the government of an entire country to
> get one man, or even a number of men who might have helped him with
> this crime.

So you'd handle it all just like Bill Clinton - toss a few Cruise missiles into the empty desert and carry on like nothing more would ever be heard from the terrorists. Just because it happened to New York it won't ever impact you. Right, Dwight.
(I'm waiting with baited breath for his next round of BS where he changes his story and position yet again. Those who live on shifting sand never know a foundation).

6512. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 06:18:45 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDBA77D.D63B852A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22806

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > But it is not acceptable to
> > > overthrow the government of
> > > an entire country to get
> > > one man, ...
> >
> > It could be argued that we helped
> > overthrow Nazi Germany in order
> > to get one man. (snip)
>
> That would really be stretching it. The people of Germany were
> heavily involved in the events of WWII. They were well aware of the
> war, most openly supported it, and most knew the possible
> consequences. And the soldiers themselves were more than willing
> participants in Germany's military activities outside their borders.
> In Afghanistan, on the other hand, I don't think most soldiers are
> even deeply aware there is anything outside their own little world.
> They're roaming around the mountains just trying to stay alive.
> Concepts like international affairs, international law, and so forth,
> are just not something that is that personal to them.

So then because they're illiterate and uninformed, we should allow them to continue to recruit, train and provide even more terrorists to attack us, instead of hitting the snake at its head. You're a tactical genius, Dwight.

6513. Background

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 06:26:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <Twistedhed-abuse@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <OyNC7.31733$Gd6.695651@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 22807
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Sad to say but the post that started this thread is a forgery. If you really want to find more background then check out the "rec.radio.cb" news group. This is not the first time that myself, and several other Hams, have had messages posted using our callsigns and or names in an attempt to discredit our points of view in that group by a very persisted, and dirty handed, group of outlaw CB radio operators. The original post starting this thread is just one example of their tactics.

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

6514. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 06:31:38 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDBAA83.AF38DF68@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22808

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Osama bin Laden's forces and the Taliban may indeed be inseparable
> > > since they fought the Soviets and the other tribes side by side.
> >
> > Hey, we supported them all in that scrasp.So by your logic we,
> > the Taliban and Bin Laden are all on the same side!
>
> Earth to Dick! We, the US, have *changed sides* or haven't you
> noticed

Earth to Cecil! I wasn't *us*, the US, that changed sides! *THEY*
attacked us!

6515. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 06:38:30 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDBAC1F.AE8883A0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22809

Dwight Stewart wrote

> > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > fish oils.
>
> You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times. ;-)

Nice to see that Dwight is as up to date on nutrition as he is on international affairs. One would sincerely hope, for his sake, that genetics are working seriously in his favor.

6516. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 13:21:54 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rh0pi0c4m@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22811

In article <3BDB7DED.7673E5FD@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>Krell wrote:
>>
>> Yeah, right. And if the employees
>> of Burger King prevent the police
>> from arresting the supervisor
>> because they want to see evidence,
>> they get arrested for obstructing
>> justice.
>
>
> The point I'm trying to make, Krell, is that I seriously doubt most
>Afghan soldiers or civilians even understand why we're attacking them.
>Remember these people are living like people a hundred years ago did
>here. Most don't have anything more than a radio - a television with
>CNN news is not even a consideration for most Afghans. They're roaming
>around the mountains totally absorbed in their own little local
>conflicts, just trying to stay alive. Beyond rumors spread by word of
>mouth, they probably don't know a darn thing about any bombing of a
>building in the United States. And you can be darn sure they don't
>understand why we have blamed them for it - and are killing them for it.

That's the essence of war. Innocent people are going to be killed. If that's
what it takes to preserve our lives and our way of life, so be it.

6517. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 13:42:10 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDC0B2E.A6216A2C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22810

JJ wrote:
>
> But you gotta hand it to McDonnell's,
> they are consistently bad every
> where you go.

Actually, I think that is exactly why McDonald's (strange spelling) has been so successful over the years. While their food has never been great, it is predictable - which is not always the case with the non-fast food restaurant. With a regular restaurant, you're never quite sure what a hamburger is going to taste like until you try it (not a good thing if you're traveling through a strange city or only have a few minutes to eat lunch). But, with McDonald's, you always know what the burger is going to taste like, even if it is not going to taste that great.

So, in a way, JJ, you have written a good (or, at least, honest) advertising slogan for McDonald's - consistently bad everywhere you go.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6518. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:21:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDC1448.3B556065@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22815

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> > > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > > fish oils.
> >
> > You have got to be kidding me. No
> > wonder you're so cranky at times. ;-)
>
> Nice to see that Dwight is as
> up to date on nutrition as he is
> on international affairs. (snip)

Don't confuse a lack of interest with a lack of knowledge, Richard. Since my wife worked as a hospital dietitian for almost ten years, I have access to all the information I need about nutrition. And I have certainly not seen you come up with any striking revelations about international affairs lately. Have you been holding something back or is trying to belittle others all you have? Of course, you can always blame the latter on olive, canola and fish oils. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6519. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:24:46 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tto59fl10jj13c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22818

<K0HB@qwest.net> wrote in message news:9raqtg$6l6$1@news.netmar.com...
> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> > And that callsign is not a valid callsign here.
>
> Of course it's a valid callsign here.
>
> Taking advantage of the CEPT agreement (and before that reciprocal
operating
> agreements) G4ZOW could operate freely in the US, with the full blessing
of
> the FCC.
>
> And here I thought you'd "checked the facts" before you spoke, chiding me
for
> not having done so. Tsk, tsk!
>

No shit. He misses something as basic as a callsign and goes off half
cocked.

Amazing what the HAMburger helper misses sometimes, isn't it?

Toll_Free

> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email

abuse@newsone.net

6520. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:30:09 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tto5jih164ul96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > California for one.
>
> I had a license there about 20 years ago, but the laws may have changed
> since then. Do you have a link?

It isn't my job to go have to look for you. You asked, I provided.
Unfortunately, I
don't have a California Drivers Manual anylonger (been years since I lived
their), so
I can't scan it and show it. Mebbe we have someone in California that could
do
same?

>
> Now, since you claimed that speeding is a felony, but gave only one
> example but which had extreme conditions, can you give an example where
> speeding at any speed is a felony?

No, because it isn't. I gave an example. Don't try to regulate it into
something
it isn't. Someone said it wasn't a felony. I provided one example where it
is.

My point is made.

>
> The point is, Toll, that if you want to compare the crime you need to
> compare the punishment as well. And the punishment for speeding is a
> drop in the bucket compared to illegal CB operation.

You believe that. I know for a fact that repetitive reckless drivings will
enable you to lose the priviledge of driving a car. You call that a drop in
the bucket? Depending on where you live, that can cost you your family,
your job, your home (can't make the house payment if you can't get to
work!), etc.

It can be screwed for you.

Toll_Free

6521. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:32:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDC1704.68294EF2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22820

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> So then because they're illiterate
> and uninformed, we should allow them
> to continue to recruit, train and
> provide even more terrorists to attack
> us, instead of hitting the snake at
> its head. You're a tactical genius,
> Dwight.

Just exactly who is this "they" and "them" you keep talking about?
Do you really believe the entire Afghan military is training
terrorists? Do you really believe the entire Afghan military attacked
the World Trade Center? You know, I hope the rest of the world does
not adopt the standard we're setting here. If everyone is going to
blame all for the actions of a few (just because they happen to come
from the same country), nobody in this world is ever going to get
along. And it doesn't take a tactical genius to figure that out, Richard.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6522. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:34:04 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tto5qt5pui2kdb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > I chose to talk to them thru legal avenues, I don't break the law to talk to
> > my friends you on the other hand do because of some lame excuse
>
> "Toll Free" (who will not give his name or ham call)

Because it isn't required by law for me to do so on this forum.

When the FCC enacts legislation for me to correctly identify myself on the internet, I will. Until then, oh well for you Aaron.

doesn't let
> "laws" stand in the way of doing what he wants, when he wants,
> wherever he wants. Is that about right, Toll?

If I don't agree with them, no I don't.

Guess that puts me in the same boat as Geo. Washington, Tommy Jefferson, John Hancock and a few other notables, doesn't it? They didn't believe in the
laws, and rallied against them.

Of course, Abe Lincoln also chopped down a tree, and then told everyone he did. Guess some idiot could compare the two, as well.

Have a good one Aaron. Your getting farther and farther away from reality with
every post. Please go back and respond to the posts where you have been PROVEN wrong, but ran from, only to never post to again. We are ALL waiting!

Toll_Free

>
> -Aaron-

6523. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:34:48 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tto5sb9vkqgoec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I know.

Contesting in ANY form is idiotic.

Toll_Free

"Sparky" <fgillil@tincan.org> wrote in message
news:e182e02.0110260156.5ddb2198@posting.google.com...
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<tthl10nel4tdc8@corp.supernews.com>...
> > lol
> >
> > So far, most of the hams doing the "shredding" can't figure out that I
was
> > talking about
> > mobile to mobile shootouts, and keep telling me about locations, etc.
>
> That's because you can't figure out that radio isn't about "shootouts".

6524. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 14:59:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDC1D49.26040A1B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22823

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> So you'd handle it all just like
> Bill Clinton - toss a few Cruise missiles
> into the empty desert and carry on like
> nothing more would ever be heard from
> the terrorists. Just because it happened
> to New York it won't ever impact you.
> Right, Dwight. (I'm waiting with baited
> breath for his next round of BS where he
> changes his story and position yet again.
> Those who live on shifting sand never
> know a foundation).

A change in story is not what is needed here. What is needed is better reading comprehension on your part. Or if you can understand what I've written, then perhaps more honesty from you is what is needed. Show me where I said anything about tossing a few missiles into the desert? Likewise, show me where I ever said what happened in New York didn't impact me?

You know, I don't know what I have said or did to get you so angry at me, but your attempts to twist what I've said reflects far worse on you than it does me. I'm not embarrassed by anything I've written in these newsgroups. I may have been wrong (and I'm sure I have been many times), but I have never been dishonest.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6525. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 15:09:35 UTC
From: Jim Pennino <jimp@mail.specsol.com>
Message-ID: <f37hr9.jrn.ln@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 22826

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BD8D193.861F5E85@sccoast.net>...
>> N2EY wrote:
>>
>> I don't know. My wife went by MD's on her way home from school the
>> other day and I could not find any meat in the refrigerator that
>> looked like that slab of stuff between those buns. It had the texture
>> of what I would imagine cheap tuna fish in a can (the mushy stuff)
>> might have if fried (not the taste or smell - the texture). Beyond
>> that, I could not relate the rest (taste or smell) to any known living
>> or dead thing. So your guess is as good as mine. Care to speculate?
>>
>>
> So anyway it's 1983 and I'm working for a food packaging outfit in
> Trevose and I'm in South Chicago on a troubleshooting mission. The
> customer's plant made all the various sauces McDonald's uses and was
> having trouble with our packages, our aluminized film package seals
> were leaking and I got told to go fix the problem.
>>
>>
> Back then McDonald's had a menu item called "McRibs" which I don't
> think was sold here on the east coast. The problem was that the
> barbeque sauce for the McRibs was eating our seals. The stuff is/was
> made from ingredients which came outta 55 gallon drums and tank trucks
> which get mixed in huge cauldrons. Some of those drums were from
> places like Monsanto. One of the workers slid by and told me not to
> get the stuff on my hands . . hookay . .
>>
>>
> I used aluminum basters (the kitchen things with the rubber suction
> bulbs) to dip into the vat and draw samples of the crap for testing.
> One nite I accidentally left a couple basters half-submerged in the
> goo when I went back to my motel for the evening. The next morning I
> was back in the place and I pulled the two basters out of the stuff
> and lo and behold all the aluminum which was below the surface had
> been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
> in the goo.
>>
>>
> The net result of that foray into food chemistry is that I haven't
> been in a McDonald's since and I don't give a damn how much my
> grandchildren whine Pop-pop absolutely will not take them to a
> McDonald's.

>>
>>
> w3rv

Chemistry 101; aluminum is highly reactive, tomatoe juice is highly acidic.

Most people know not to use aluminum with tomatoe products; you would think a food packaging person would know better.

Kitchen experiment: take a piece of foil and put catsup, BBQ sauce, spaghetti sauce, anything with tomatoe in it, spots on the foil. Wait over night. Observe the nice holes.

You can blame McDonald's for cardboard food, but not basic chemistry.

Jim Pennino

6526. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 15:10:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110280710.1bc0560f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22824

JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3BDB8282.EE48FEA4@mailcity.net>...
> But you gotta hand it to McDonnell's, they are consistently bad
> every where you go.
>
>
Fer certain - and Wall Steet has them listed as one of the "best run"
companies in the country.
>
>
It's every man for himself out there . .
>
>
w3rv

6527. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 15:25:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDC2353.B1374525@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22825

Krell wrote:
>
> Syria, Iraq, Iran, and other countries
> support and fund these terrorists.
> We've known this for years, and we
> ended up getting sucker-punched.

That reminds me of our last rash attack on a country that supported terrorism. Remember our attack on Libya in response to the bombing of a disco in Berlin (the disco where American soldiers were killed)? In the end, when the evidence came together, it turned out that Libya had absolutely nothing at all to do with the incident (the Germans later arrested, and convicted, several Syrians for the bombing). Of course, the Libyans now hate us with a passion, but to most Americans they're just a bunch of crazy Arabs - not someone angry because their country was attacked without cause. When we rush to judgment, without waiting for the evidence, mistakes like this are sure to happen. And we very much rushed to judgment in this incident.

> What we need to do is incinerate a few
> million people in the countries I
> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get
> the message. If we, for once, went
> berserk, this shit would stop.

I'm sure they're thinking the exact same thing about us right about now.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6528. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 17:04:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011028120418.23334.00000056@nso-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22827

In article <d22b54c3.0110270933.5b3dc676@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>So anyway it's 1983 and I'm working for a food packaging outfit in
>Trevose and I'm in South Chicago on a troubleshooting mission. The
>customer's plant made all the various sauces McDonald's uses and was
>having trouble with our packages, our aluminized film package seals
>were leaking and I got told to go fix the problem.

>Back then McDonald's had a menu item called "McRibs" which I don't
>think was sold here on the east coast.

I actually ate some of those things. Explains a lot....

>The problem was that the
>barbeque sauce for the McRibs was eating our seals. The stuff is/was
>made from ingredients which came outta 55 gallon drums and tank trucks
>which get mixed in huge cauldrons. Some of those drums were from
>places like Monsanto. One of the workers slid by and told me not to
>get the stuff on my hands . . hookay . .

uh-oh

>I used aluminum basters (the kitchen things with the rubber suction
>bulbs) to dip into the vat and draw samples of the crap for testing.
>One nite I accidentally left a couple basters half-submerged in the
>goo when I went back to my motel for the evening. The next morning I
>was back in the place and I pulled the two basters out of the stuff
>and lo and behold all the aluminum which was below the surface had
>been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
>in the goo.

oh mama......What were the cauldrons, stainless steel?

>The net result of that foray into food chemistry is that I haven't
>been in a McDonald's since and I don't give a damn how much my
>grandchildren whine Pop-pop absolutely will not take them to a
>McDonald's.

Well, ya sure trumped my biodiesel story. Explains why Ronald McD looks that way, too. No more Mickey D's for me!

But what was the fix for the seals?

If you're out this way, Nudy's is the place for breakfast or lunch. Hanne's in Havertown, on Darby Road just above Eagle, is pretty decent, too.

We all gotta help the diners survive.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6529. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 17:18:01 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rhek902hov@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22828

In article <3bdc12cd_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
>
>"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:9rh0pi0c4m@enews4.newsguy.com...
>>
>> That's the essence of war. Innocent people are going to be killed. If
>that's
>> what it takes to preserve our lives and our way of life, so be it.
>>
>
>That is *EXACTLY* what "they" thought when they struck the United States.
>
>Kim W5TIT

"All great leaders since Moses have known that a feared enemy must be crushed completely. Sometimes they have learned this the hard way. If one ember is alight, no matter how dimly it smolders, a fire will eventually break out. More is lost through stopping halfway than through total annihilation. The enemy will recover and seek revenge. Crush him not only in body, but in spirit"
- Law 15 of the 48 Laws of Power.

This is where terrorists have made their mistake, and where we must not make ours.

6530. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 17:25:40 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rhf2k12hov@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22829

In article <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
>
>"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
>>
>> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries I
>> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once, went
>> berserk, this shit would stop.
>>
>
>It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction against
>them above. Do you wish to be no different?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Like I said in an earlier post: Sometimes the most restrained actions are the most dangerous. A strong message needs to be sent.

6531. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 17:52:19 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rhgkj02khe@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22830

In article <3BDC2353.B1374525@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>Krell wrote:
>>
>> Syria, Iraq, Iran, and other countries
>> support and fund these terrorists.
>> We've known this for years, and we
>> ended up getting sucker-punched.
>
>
> That reminds me of our last rash attack on a country that supported
>terrorism. Remember our attack on Libya in response to the bombing of
>a disco in Berlin (the disco where American soldiers were killed)? In
>the end, when the evidence came together, it turned out that Libya had
>absolutely nothing at all to do with the incident (the Germans later
>arrested, and convicted, several Syrians for the bombing). Of course,
>the Libyans now hate us with a passion, but to most Americans they're
>just a bunch of crazy Arabs - not someone angry because their country
>was attacked without cause. When we rush to judgment, without waiting
>for the evidence, mistakes like this are sure to happen. And we very
>much rushed to judgment in this incident.

The only mistake with Libya is that we didn't drop enough of the right kinds of
bombs and turn the whole country into a parking lot.

>> What we need to do is incinerate a few
>> million people in the countries I
>> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get
>> the message. If we, for once, went
>> berserk, this shit would stop.
>
>
> I'm sure they're thinking the exact same thing about us right about now.

The countries that support terrorism have shown that they don't care about a
peaceful, civilized solution to resolving differences. Since the inception of
Israel as a nation in 1948, the Middle East has shown a disdain for Western
culture. This is what Israel repressents. A wasteland that no one wanted was
turned into one of the most thriving and prosperous economies in the world, and
the rest of the Arab world can't stand it. Instead of embracing these values,
the rest of the Middle East has decided to make war against them. Some
countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect
example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate our
Middle East policies.

6532. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 18:35:28 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDC5427.98899418@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free wrote:

>>gross garbage snippage<

> Toll_Free

But certainly not Troll-free.

6533. Re: W5NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 18:42:49 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDC55E0.8B79B775@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard McCollum wrote:

> It should be in your trusty Handbook; it is certainly in mine.

Dwight owns a handbook? Why? he's a veritable fount of information himself!

6534. Re: Background

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 18:56:04 UTC
From: SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com
Message-ID: <d9f7b76c.0110281056.5566c2ec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22833
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

"Leland C. Scott" <Twistedhed-abuse@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:<OyNC7.31733$Gd6.695651@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>...
> Sad to say but the post that started this thread is a forgery. If you
> really want to find more background then check out the "rec.radio.cb"
> news group. This is not the first time that myself, and several other
> Hams, have had messages posted using our callsigns and or names in an
> attempt to discredit our points of view in that group by a very
> persisted, and dirty handed, group of outlaw CB radio operators. The
> original post starting this thread is just one example of their tactics.
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
>
> "Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
> depends on our willingness to take a
> chance on tomorrow by risking what
> we have today."

there goes lelnad,...cross posting all over hell's half acre,
complaining about those rascally cb radio outlaws.......man what a
deal........

6535. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 19:11:37 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDC5CA1.7BCB1513@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22834

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > So you'd handle it all just like
> > Bill Clinton - toss a few Cruise missiles
> > into the empty desert and carry on like
> > nothing more would ever be heard from
> > the terrorists. Just because it happened
> > to New York it won't ever impact you.
> > Right, Dwight. (I'm waiting with baited
> > breath for his next round of BS where he
> > changes his story and position yet again.
> > Those who live on shifting sand never
> > know a foundation).
>
> A change in story is not what is needed here. What is needed is
> better reading comprehension on your part. Or if you can understand
> what I've written, then perhaps more honesty from you is what is
> needed. Show me where I said anything about tossing a few missiles
> into the desert? Likewise, show me where I ever said what happened in
> New York didn't impact me?
>

That's right, Dwight, you said none of the above. The tone and tenor of
your words had to say it for you.

>
> You know, I don't know what I have said or did to get you so angry
> at me

Disgusted is the appropropriate term, Dwight.

> , but your attempts to twist what I've said reflects far worse on
> you than it does me.

Sorry, Dwight, but your words came out twisted. Nothing more as
necessary nor needed.

> I'm not embarrassed by anything I've written in
> these newsgroups. I may have been wrong (and I'm sure I have been many
> times), but I have never been dishonest.
>

Nope, you've learned the art of dodgeball quite well. Must have been
Cecil's understudy.

6536. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 19:21:38 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDC5EF9.CFCEDDF8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22835

Krell wrote:

> In article <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> >
> >"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
> >>
> >> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries I
> >> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once, went
> >> berserk, this shit would stop.
> >>
> >
> >It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction against
> >them above. Do you wish to be no different?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Like I said in an earlier post: Sometimes the most restrained actions are the
> most dangerous. A strong message needs to be sent.

One that simultaneously takes out the bulk of the threat of their weapons, which were described in limited detail in one of the intercepted e-mails between two of the Sept 11 terrorists that these resident peaceniks despise so well.

The tragis irony of all this is that Kimand Dwight get to experience the fruits of the labors of our military also, while selfrightously posturing.

6537. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 19:59:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011028145945.16755.00000367@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 22836

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: krell1215@yahoo.com (Krell)
>Date: 10/28/2001 11:52 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9rhgkj02khe@enews3.newsguy.com>

>In article <3BDC2353.B1374525@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>>

>> That reminds me of our last rash attack on a country that supported
>>terrorism. Remember our attack on Libya in response to the bombing of
>>a disco in Berlin

>The only mistake with Libya is that we didn't drop enough of the right kinds
>of
>bombs and turn the whole country into a parking lot.

Perhaps...but if you have followed the nature of terrorism in the last 30 years, after we whacked Libya, any silliness with Quadhafy's name on it stopped. Suddenly he wanted to "play nice". The PA103 episode was his last hurrah, and even that is not clarly his doings.

We need to get the business in Afghanistan over with and then move on...If it mean's hairlipping every Arab in the world, I could care less. Every car bomb, hijacking and terroristic event in the last 30 years has had an Arab face with it. OK. Time to teach thm how to play nice.

I believe "Islam" to be a peaceful and tolerant religion, but it is also subverted by some very sick and perverted minds. I could care less how someone prays or to whom/what they pray, but when they USE religion as an excuse to commit mayhem and murder, then it IS my business.

The previous author is right...we have known who/what/when/where for years. A lot of our reluctance to do what we NEED to do has been tied to trade agreements or worry about what's "PC" in world politics. We keep taking the Israeliis and the Palestinians to Camp David, but they keep making us look foolish.

We want to keep Israel happy because they are pro-western and spend a lot of money at Boeing, McDonnell Doulas and others..... We want to do the right thing by the Palestinians because they have some legitimate beefs and it keeps us in the good graces of those who supply our oil. I say nuke both of them and get this sandlot sparring crap out of the way. I am tired of both of them.

I am glad to see the President has taken the gloves off our intellignece and security agencies. Maybe when some of these bastards start disappearing in the middle of the night from thier own homes they'll leave ours alone.

And if that's not enough, then perhaps it >IS< time for the United States to start playing world cop. Better to live in a world where you ahve the right to remain silent than to live in a world where tyrants have the right to make you silent.

And that's my liberal opinion......

Steve

6538. Re: ****Toll Free puts 6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 20:22:02 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110281222.1ddbc735@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22837

>http://www.bigradios.com

Hey Toll, how come suddenly...none of the links work? There's a whole bunch of interested hams who'd like to read your "thoughts" regarding defiance of FCC rules and see your friends showing off their 70,0000 watt CB mobiles.

-Aaron-

6539. Re: Background

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 20:34:05 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <1ZZC7.162898$5A3.58407337@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22838
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Pinhead, Curious Dan, aka Randall Sd Joneses

"SD SpecialDelivery Jones" <SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com> wrote in
message news:d9f7b76c.0110281056.5566c2ec@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <Twistedhed-abuse@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:<OyNC7.31733$Gd6.695651@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>...
> > Sad to say but the post that started this thread is a forgery. If you
> > really want to find more background then check out the "rec.radio.cb"
> > news group. This is not the first time that myself, and several other
> > Hams, have had messages posted using our callsigns and or names in an
> > attempt to discredit our points of view in that group by a very
> > persisted, and dirty handed, group of outlaw CB radio operators. The
> > original post starting this thread is just one example of their tactics.
> >
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> > ARRL Member
> > NCI Member
> >
> > "Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
> > depends on our willingness to take a
> > chance on tomorrow by risking what
> > we have today."
>
>
> there goes lelnad,...cross posting all over hell's half acre,
> complaining about those rascally cb radio outlaws.......man what a
> deal........

6540. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:06:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxf836.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22839

On Sat, 27 Oct 2001 23:09:37 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Ummm....yummy stuff, Brian! Now we know where industry is getting
>rid of it's toxic waste lately - add a little ketchup, a couple of
>spices, and call it barbecue sauce.

Back in the days before EPA, Standard Oil (now Chevron) had a
mini-tanker that ran 25 miles offshore from their Richmond (CA)
refinery to dump "refinery slops" offshore. During one of the
radio inspections aboard that ship, the captain allowed that the
nabobs of the refinery swore that the stuff was safe enough to
drink but the pump operations foreman warned him not to drop any of
it on the steel deck....

>Now I'm glad I decided to stick with
>the unknown stuff they call hamburgers (of course, that is probably
>what they do with the solid waste).

There's no FDA inspection problem with sawdust....

> What is really bad is that you cannot escape this stuff anywhere.
>When I flew through Frankfurt Airport several years ago, I was looking
>forward to some real German food. Sadly, all of the German restaurants
>in the airport had been replaced with American fast food joints
>(McDonald's, Burger King, etc) since my last visit. I flew 2000 miles
>just to eat a Big Mac (and the darn thing was cold too).

The Germans call hamburgers "klops". Was that a McKlop ??

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6541. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:06:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxfdi7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22840

On Sun, 28 Oct 2001 05:00:56 GMT, JJ wrote:

>But you gotta hand it to McDonnell's, they are consistently bad
>every where you go.

McDonnell makes airplanes in St. Louis. They gobbled up Douglas
(for whom I worked for nine months and couldn't take it any more)
and was subsequently gobbled up by Boeing.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6542. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:06:55 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxgp08.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22841

On 28 Oct 2001 17:04:18 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>I used aluminum basters (the kitchen things with the rubber suction
>>bulbs) to dip into the vat and draw samples of the crap for testing.
>>One nite I accidentally left a couple basters half-submerged in the
>>goo when I went back to my motel for the evening. The next morning I
>>was back in the place and I pulled the two basters out of the stuff
>>and lo and behold all the aluminum which was below the surface had
>>been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
>>in the goo.
>
>oh mama......What were the cauldrons, stainless steel?

They had better be....and steam-cleaned as well.

I related the story about the disappearing aluminium utensils to my
wife who has had a side-business as a caterer for several decades
and has worked as a designer of processing lines for the food
industry, and after the big smile her reaction was "everyone learns
that after the first time....".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6543. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:13:27 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <Xx_C7.162932$5A3.58442210@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tto5jih164ul96@corp.supernews.com...

> It isn't my job to go have to look for you. You asked, I provided.

Provided what your opinion?

> Unfortunately, I
> don't have a California Drivers Manual anylonger (been years since I lived
> their), so I can't scan it and show it. Mebbe we have someone in
California that could do same?
> > Now, since you claimed that speeding is a felony, but gave only one
> > example but which had extreme conditions, can you give an example where
> > speeding at any speed is a felony?
>
>
> No, because it isn't. I gave an example. Don't try to regulate it into
> something
> it isn't. Someone said it wasn't a felony. I provided one example where
it
> is.
>
> My point is made.

Your point has been made but not confirmed.

Reckless driving:
Although state laws vary, many states follow the Uniform Vehicle Code. It defines reckless driving as "willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property."

In order to charge someone with reckless driving, the prosecutor must show that a driver did not care about the harm resulting from his or her driving, and that the driver should have realized such driving posed a hazard. When another person is killed as the result of reckless driving, the offending driver may be prosecuted for vehicular homicide, which is punishable by imprisonment and a fine.

> You believe that. I know for a fact that repetitive reckless drivings
will
> enable you to lose the priviledge of driving a car.

So will multiple speeding tickets but this does not make them felony offenses as you claim.

> You call that a drop in
> the bucket? Depending on where you live, that can cost you your family,
> your job, your home (can't make the house payment if you can't get to
> work!), etc.

Hey the keyclowns take this same chance when they manufacture amps ,sell
them, and use them.

>
> It can be screwed for you.
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
>

6544. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:41:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxii69.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22843

On 28 Oct 2001 19:59:45 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>We want to keep Israel happy because they are pro-western and spend a
>lot of money at Boeing, McDonnell Doulas and others..... We want to
>do the right thing by the Palestinians because they have some
>legitimate beefs and it keeps us in the good graces of those who
>supply our oil. I say nuke both of them and get this sandlot sparring
>crap out of the way. I am tired of both of them.

Does that include my brother and sister-in-law who live in Tel Aviv
and my nephew, his wife and kids who live in Jerusalem where he is a
Foreign Service Officer running the European Desk in the Israeli
Ministry of Foreign Affairs?

A pox on you, too.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ex - 4X4 UQ

6545. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:42:33 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3BDC7BC8.E7F71DA7@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 22844

Jim Pennino wrote:

>
> Chemistry 101; aluminum is highly reactive, tomatoe juice is highly
> acidic.
>
> Most people know not to use aluminum with tomatoe products; you would
> think a food packaging person would know better.
>
> Kitchen experiment: take a piece of foil and put catsup, BBQ sauce,
> spaghetti sauce, anything with tomatoe in it, spots on the foil. Wait
> over night. Observe the nice holes.

I've heard aluminum desolved in food might cause Altimers(sp)
(loss of memory).

6546. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:46:19 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <L0%C7.162971$5A3.58467696@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is total Bullshit Posted by Troll Free, check the Uniform Vehicle Code
for clarification. A criminal misdemeanor is not a felony.

TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS
Driving rules and violations are governed by state, county, and local laws
and ordinances. Routine infractions typically result in small fines (e.g.,
up to $50) and rarely count as points against a driver's record. However,
serious moving violations can be considered criminal misdemeanors and may
result in suspension or revocation of a driver's license under the laws of
many states. Monetary fines for reckless driving and other penalties may be
imposed, such as attending driving school/rehabilitation, jail time, or a
combination, for serious offenders.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttes5me3cunc15@corp.supernews.com...
> Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states is
a
> felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
>
> Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
> "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
> news:jPIB7.154174$5A3.53754895@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > No your not Speeding is not a criminal offense in any state. Nice try
tho.
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > news:tte9djr41qs026@corp.supernews.com...
> > > >
> > > > >If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually
somewhat
> > > happy.
> > > >
> > > > If you're happy engaging in criminal activity, you shouldn't expect
> > anyone
> > > to
> > > > take what you say seriously.
> > >
> > >

> > > Hope you have never been caught speeding. Because your a CRIMINAL if
> > > you are!
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone
sitting
> > > aside
> > > > >from someone else in
> > > > >two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in
> > different
> > > > >areas.
> > > > >
> > > > >That's credibility?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >Toll_Free
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > I gave you a response based on reality, (something you seem to have
a
> > > problem
> > > > with) and personal experience. If you have a problem accepting that,
I
> > > feel
> > > > sorry for you.
> > >
> > > Your version of reality, not having anything to do with what the
> original
> > > thread
> > > was about.
> > >
> > > Do you make it a habit to post off-topic on threads?
> > >
> > > Toll_Free
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6547. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 21:59:32 UTC
From: Itsallgood <itsallgood1@home.comspam>
Message-ID: <8d%C7.163020$5A3.58478991@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttes5me3cunc15@corp.supernews.com...
> Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states is
a
> felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
>
> Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
>
>
> Toll_Free

No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct use of the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time a traffic law is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.

Crimes are classified as either felonies or misdemeanors. Felonies are serious crimes (e.g., murder, rape, arson, armed robbery, tax evasion, possession of illegal drugs with the intent to sell or distribute, and forgery and larceny involving property valued in excess of a certain amount) that are punishable by incarceration in jail. Misdemeanors are less serious crimes (e.g., simple assault, disorderly conduct, disturbing the peace, malicious mischief, and possession of a small amount of illegal drugs with the intent merely to use them) that are punishable by little jail time (e.g., less than one year), fines, or probation

6548. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 22:27:35 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9ri0on01kho@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22848

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.glxii69.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, Phil.Kane@no.spam says...
>
>On 28 Oct 2001 19:59:45 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>>We want to keep Israel happy because they are pro-western and spend a
>>lot of money at Boeing, McDonnell Doulas and others..... We want to
>>do the right thing by the Palestinians because they have some
>>legitimate beefs and it keeps us in the good graces of those who
>>supply our oil. I say nuke both of them and get this sandlot sparring
>>crap out of the way. I am tired of both of them.
>
> Does that include my brother and sister-in-law who live in Tel Aviv
> and my nephew, his wife and kids who live in Jerusalem where he is a
> Foreign Service Officer running the European Desk in the Israeli
> Ministry of Foreign Affairs?
>
> A pox on you, too.
>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Some of these goyim don't realize that there are more Jews in the United States than there are in Israel.

6549. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 22:31:02 UTC
From: SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com
Message-ID: <d9f7b76c.0110281431.73410c6a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3BDC5427.98899418@townsqr.com>...
> Toll Free wrote:
>
>
>
> >>gross garbage snippage<
>
>
> > Toll_Free
>
> But certainly not Troll-free.

eghads, can you say seabag lawyer from utah???? i knew you could.....

6550. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 23:34:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110281534.6ba3fd3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22849

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BDAC330.F576A384@IEEE.org>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > Loans? The Sun Oil Applied Physics Lab paid my whole tab thru DIT and
> > I popped out with $5k in the bank and zero debt. You played the game
> > wrong. Bad habit to get into.
>
> I made enough working for three months at the Sinclair refinery to
> pay my way the other nine months to Texas A&M. Tuition was $25 a
> semester. The semester I carried 25 hours, my tuition was one dollar
> per credit hour. Used books were about $4 and gasoline was 25 cents
> a gallon. Hamburgers ranged from 19 cents to 45 cents for a double-
> meat, double-cheese Whataburger.
>
>
When wuzzat, 1912?
>
>
w3rv

6551. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-28 23:45:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110281545.6906e47c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22850

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3bdc126c_2@newsa.ev1.net>...
> "Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3BDBAC1F.AE8883A0@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote
> >
> > > > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > > > fish oils.
> > >
> > > You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times.
> ;-)
> >
> > Nice to see that Dwight is as up to date on nutrition as he is on
> > international affairs. One would sincerely hope, for his sake, that
> genetics
> > are working seriously in his favor.
> >
>
> You offer the criticism, but no constructive evidence to the contrary of
> Dwight's list of "best" fats. I'm curious, faux-expert Dick, what are the
> "best" fats?
>
> No answer? I didn't think so.
>
>
Fats Domino, Minnesota Fats . .
>
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
w3rv

6552. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 01:24:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxqejb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22703

On 28 Oct 2001 22:27:35 GMT, Krell wrote:

>Some of these goyim don't realize that there are more Jews in the
>United States than there are in Israel.

The sad part is that's what's driving some of them. Others just
don't think before they react.

--
Peretz Moshe Kanafi
a.k.a. Phil Kane
K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ

6553. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 01:24:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxq4ya.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 28 Oct 2001 21:59:32 GMT, Itsallgood wrote:

>No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct
>use of the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time
>a traffic law is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.

In California, failure to stop (hit-and-run) with death or serious injury [CVC s. 20001(b(2)] or driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs causing bodily injury [CVC ss. 23153 and 23558] can be charged as felonies.

It's the CALIFORNIA Vehicle Code, not the Uniform Vehicle Code, that governs there.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
A real California attorney

6554. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 01:47:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glxtvvc.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22705

On Mon, 29 Oct 2001 06:16:07 -0600, Kim-W5TIT wrote:

>> This is what Israel repressents. A wasteland that no one wanted was
>> turned into one of the most thriving and prosperous economies in the
>> world, and the rest of the Arab world can't stand it.

>IMHO, the only reason Israel has gotten where it is, is because of the
>support they have received from the rest of the world in doing so.

The only reason is that the people involved worked hard to do it.
It didn't happen by accident. I did my share.

>> Instead of embracing these values,
>> the rest of the Middle East has decided to make war against them. Some
>> countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect
>> example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
>> check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate
>> our\ Middle East policies.

The same Saudi Prince whose uncle, the late King Feisal, snuck into
Israel in disguise via Paris in 1966 to get advanced eye surgery at
Hadassah Hospital in Jerusalem. It was no big secret.

> ...our government, and those of the rest of the world, should at
> least seriously debate. It is already a given that current Middle
> East policies are not, have not, and will not work.

There's plenty of room in the Middle East for both Israel and the
Arab nations.

>Maybe there's something else to be done/tried.

As long as it doesn't imvolve killing Jews or pushing us into the
sea. That's unacceptable.

Never Again.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(ex-4X4UQ)

6555. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 01:48:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDCB56B.C449D6C8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22706

```
Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Earth to Dick! We, the US, have *changed sides* or haven't you
> > noticed
>
> Earth to Cecil! I wasn't *us*, the US, that changed sides! *THEY*
> attacked us!

Yep, and that's the reason we changed sides. You're starting to get it.
To bad they still have some stinger missiles that we gave them.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

6556. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 02:09:50 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22812

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries I
> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once, went
> berserk, this shit would stop.
>

It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction against
them above. Do you wish to be no different?

Kim W5TIT

6557. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 02:12:03 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdc110b$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22813

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9rfm7t1bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> Yeah, right. And if the employees of Burger King prevent the police from
> arresting the supervisor because they want to see evidence, they get
arrested
> for obstructing justice.
>

An incident such as you mention above has nothing to do with international politics or national security. Nevertheless, you are speaking of US Law, above, if you mean that the Burger King in question is in the United States.

Kim W5TIT

6558. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 02:15:20 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdc11d7$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22814

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDBA77D.D63B852A@townsqr.com...
>
> So then because they're illiterate and uninformed, we should allow them
> to continue to recruit, train and provide even more terrorists to attack
> us, instead of hitting the snake at its head. You're a tactical genius,
> Dwight.
>

Coming from someone with such limited intellect, I don't think Dwight will
much consider that a compliment ;)

Kim W5TIT

6559. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 02:17:49 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdc126c_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22816

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDBAC1F.AE8883A0@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote
>
> > > The "best" fats are olive, canola and
> > > fish oils.
> >
> > You have got to be kidding me. No wonder you're so cranky at times.
;-)
>
> Nice to see that Dwight is as up to date on nutrition as he is on
> international affairs. One would sincerely hope, for his sake, that
genetics
> are working seriously in his favor.
>

You offer the criticism, but no constructive evidence to the contrary of Dwight's list of "best" fats. I'm curious, faux-expert Dick, what are the "best" fats?

No answer? I didn't think so.

Kim W5TIT

6560. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 02:19:33 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdc12cd_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22817

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9rh0pi0c4m@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> That's the essence of war. Innocent people are going to be killed. If
that's
> what it takes to preserve our lives and our way of life, so be it.
>

That is *EXACTLY* what "they" thought when they struck the United States.

Kim W5TIT

6561. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 02:20:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011028212023.12427.00000313@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 22707

In article <3bdc126c_2@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>You offer the criticism, but no constructive evidence to the contrary of
>Dwight's list of "best" fats. I'm curious, faux-expert Dick, what are the
>"best" fats?
>
>No answer? I didn't think so.
>
>Kim W5TIT

The "best" fats are those rich in Omega-3 fatty acids. Virtually all of the fat found in fish is "good" fat, rich in the Omega-3's. I eat a lot of salmon, which is probably the one fish richest in these good fats. I also cook with canola oil, which is polyunsaturated and cholesterol-free. I fry with peanut oil, which has the same properties but a much higher temperature-handling capability. I use only Extra-virgin olive oil in my salad dressings and sauces. These are by far the "best" fats. However, if you're trying to lose weight, remember -- they're not called "fats" without a good reason! I don't have a weight problem, so I can consume them in moderation. Anyone watching the bathroom scale should probably avoid them altogether -- except for the fish, which is good for you whatever your dietary concerns.

73 de Larry, K3LT

6562. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 03:11:10 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rihce0itb@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22709

In article <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
>
>"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
>>
>> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries I
>> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once, went
>> berserk, this shit would stop.
>>
>
>It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction against
>them above. Do you wish to be no different?
>
>Kim W5TIT

6000 people were murdered. Why should I even care what happens to "them?"

6563. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 03:24:41 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rii5p1itb@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22710

In article <3bdca010_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
>
>"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:9rhgkj02khe@enews3.newsguy.com...
>>
>> The countries that support terrorism have shown that they don't care about
>a
>> peaceful, civilized solution to resolving differences.
>>
>
>I wonder what peaceful, civilized solution you might come up with to solve
>the "terrorist threat"? Would the solution be any different than dropping
>bombs and more killing? How long do we give a peaceful, civilized solution
>before we decide it isn't working, then get violent ourselves? I am playing
>devil's advocate here to the debate of "how much is too much"? How much is
>too much peace, how much is too much war? Don't both have to be defined
>before we truly understand the circumstances we face...and that we ask the
>rest of the world to face? By the way, the "we" in that scenario could be
>us or them--either way, someone is making the decisions.
>
>I think it's kind of like the vegetarian that says they are a vegetarian
>because they lead a non-violent life; but smushes any bug they might
>see--because "that's different."

I think it's time we gave up the notion that all religions and cultures are
worthy of respect. It's also time to give up on the notion of root causes of
who did what to who. Nothing that the United States has done has justified the
actions of 9/11. Terroists and the governments that harbor and foster them are
unworthy of anything but a total retaliation.

>> Since the inception of
>> Israel as a nation in 1948, the Middle East has shown a disdain for
>Western
>> culture.
>>
>
>There is nothing inherrently wrong with that. As long as their disdain
>doesn't become the justification for actions against people.

Sheesh, Kim! What the hell do you think happened last month!?

>> This is what Israel repressents. A wasteland that no one wanted was
>> turned into one of the most thriving and prosperous economies in the
>world, and
>> the rest of the Arab world can't stand it.

>>
>
>IMHO, the only reason Israel has gotten where it is, is because of the
>support they have received from the rest of the world in doing so.
>
>
>> Instead of embracing these values,
>> the rest of the Middle East has decided to make war against them. Some
>> countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect
>> example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
>> check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate
>our
>> Middle East policies.
>>
>
>I don't think there's anything inherently wrong with that. I would have
>done the same thing with that check--given it back; but the Saudi Prince
>probably has a point to his comments that our government, and those of the
>rest of the world, should at least seriously debate. It is already a given
>that current Middle East policies are not, have not, and will not work.
>Maybe there's something else to be done/tried.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Like supporting the Palestinians in their quest to have their own country? Not
bloody likely.

6564. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 03:34:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110281934.2c036d5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22708

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.glxgp08.pminews@or.news.verio.net>...
> On 28 Oct 2001 17:04:18 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >>I used aluminum basters (the kitchen things with the rubber suction
> >>bulbs) to dip into the vat and draw samples of the crap for testing.
> >>One nite I accidentally left a couple basters half-submerged in the
> >>goo when I went back to my motel for the evening. The next morning I
> >>was back in the place and I pulled the two basters out of the stuff
> >>and lo and behold all the aluminum which was below the surface had
> >>been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
> >>in the goo.
> >
> >oh mama......What were the cauldrons, stainless steel?
>
> They had better be....and steam-cleaned as well.
>
>
Everything was 304SS except the 55 gal. drums which were plastic.
The piping was the quick-knockdown stuff and everything including the
pumps and valve manifolds were hosed and flushed daily with hot water
which they referred to as "steam". Not. The dairy industry exposures I
had indicated that they were much more meticulous than the rest of the
processors. I yanked samples outta the BBQ sauce packaging line for
checking and found a hairpin in one of the packages. I only worked
that gig for 11 months, drove me batty. Some of the old timers in the
biz had tales abt what showed up in food packages that would make yer
hair stand on end.
>
>
> I related the story about the disappearing aluminium utensils to my
> wife who has had a side-business as a caterer for several decades
> and has worked as a designer of processing lines for the food
> industry, and after the big smile her reaction was "everyone learns
> that after the first time....".
>
>
She's right, off to the local supermarket went self, glomed three
plastic basters. Live & learn.
>
>
w3rv

6565. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 05:29:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDCDA65.40AE3CD0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22711

Krell wrote:

>
> Some
> countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect
> example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
> check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate our
> Middle East policies.

The Saudi Prince 'tried' to give Mayor Guilliani a check for $10
million, the Mayor politely told him to stuff it.

6566. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 06:22:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDCF56D.10282E54@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22712

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sun, 28 Oct 2001 05:00:56 GMT, JJ wrote:
>
> > But you gotta hand it to McDonnell's,
> > they are consistently bad every where
> > you go.
>
> McDonnell makes airplanes in
> St. Louis. They gobbled up Douglas
> (for whom I worked for nine months
> and couldn't take it any more) and
> was subsequently gobbled up by Boeing.

In the context of the discussion, I think we all know he was talking about McDonald's, not McDonnell/Douglas the airplane builder. Like I said in a previous message, it is a strange spelling. My spelling checker consistently offers "McDonnell's" every time it comes across "McDonald's."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6567. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 06:43:51 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDCFA88.DD821362@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22713

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> (snip) During one of the radio
> inspections aboard that ship, the
> captain allowed that the nabobs
> of the refinery swore that the
> stuff was safe enough to drink
> but the pump operations foreman
> warned him not to drop any of
> it on the steel deck....

Maybe the nabobs of the refinery had stomachs stronger than steel.
Of course, you can sure bet they didn't drink it, did they?

> The Germans call hamburgers
> "klops". Was that a McKlop ??

LOL. They have slightly changed the names of a few things on the menu, but the menus are still very familiar (mostly in English). Most people would have no problems getting around a McDonald's there. The interiors are laid out almost exactly the same. However, the exterior usually is not. In many downtown areas, they have to fit into existing buildings instead of building a new structure. I have a great picture of a McDonald's in an older stone building in the middle of downtown Heidelberg. If I get a chance, I'll scan it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6568. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 07:27:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD04C4.D82B705D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22714

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> (snip) The dairy industry exposures I
> had indicated that they were much more
> meticulous than the rest of the
> processors. I yanked samples outta the
> BBQ sauce packaging line for checking
> and found a hairpin in one of the
> packages. I only worked that gig for
> 11 months, drove me batty. (snip)

That reminds me of a job I one had. I was hired as a veterinarian (no training whatsoever, but that was my title) to inspect dairy products, meat products, and poultry products, for a large grocery chain. The last straw, and the last day working there, was when they wanted me to sign off on some long expired milk after telling me the date on the package (marked "expires on") was really the date the milk was packaged (which would mean that some milk in the store, that had not yet reached the expiration date on the container, had not even been packaged yet).

Now I was young back then, but I was not that stupid. I knew what they were going to do. They planned to sell that milk by claiming that it had been inspected by a veterinarian. And if anyone got sick, I knew exactly who was going to take the blame. And they were not paying me enough for any of that. I refused to sign and quit. But I'm sure they quickly found someone else to sign that paper.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6569. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 07:33:18 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD060D.900CFAB0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22715

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> An incident such as you mention above
> has nothing to do with international
> politics or national security.
> Nevertheless, you are speaking of US Law,
> above, if you mean that the Burger King
> in question is in the United States.

It was a simple metaphor used to explain the concept of associated guilt.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6570. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 08:03:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD0D1B.21CDF379@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22716

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> That's right, Dwight, you said
> none of the above. The tone and tenor
> of your words had to say it for you.

The "tone and tenor" of my words? Man, if you want to argue with me about something I've said, than more power to you. But don't go after me for what you think I've said based on your concepts of "tone and tenor," because so far you appear to be totally tone deaf.

I'm a very straightforward person. I tend to write exactly what I mean. What I write may not always be perfectly clear, but I'm more than willing to explain when asked. Now, if you see that as "changing his story," than perhaps you should ask yourself if you've ever had to explain something you've said and if that was changing your story or a simple explanation.

Of course, I'm sure you've not had too many people following you around trying to maliciously twist what you say based on their idea of "tone and tenor." That seems to be a sport only practiced by a few in these newsgroups.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6571. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 08:39:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD1588.1DBCFE6B@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22717

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> There's plenty of room in the
> Middle East for both Israel and the
> Arab nations.

But isn't the conflict more about the question of whether there is room in Israel for the Arabs that once lived there and the Jews that live there today? How many Arabs lived in the lands now called Israel in 1940? How many Arabs live there today? What happened to all those Arabs and why are they not still living there? We went to war in Kosovo over concerns of ethnic cleansing there. Why should we have less concern over what has happened in Israel?

This is not a condemnation of Israel, Phil. I simply want to understand the situation better and was hoping you might be able to explain the issues above.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6572. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 09:15:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD1DE5.57254A3A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22718

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> The tragis irony of all this is
> that Kimand Dwight get to experience
> the fruits of the labors of our
> military also, while selfrightously
> posturing.

Man, you are one twisted individual. You insist you support our freedoms, yet you define anyone who exercises freedom as an enemy of this country. Trust me, my friend, that "Kimand" crap you put in front of my name fits you a whole lot better than it fits me. I believe in the freedoms of this country, while you see those very freedoms as a threat.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6573. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 09:32:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD21D5.E7274D6A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22719

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> We need to get the business in Afghanistan
> over with and then move on... (snip)

You're joking, right? We're never going to be able to move on. We have created who know how many more new enemies for the United States with this attack on Afghanistan - thousands who will spend the rest of their lives hating us and wanting revenge. That is the problem with war - it goes on and on. You will be dead of old age long before our involvement in the middle east ends.

> If it mean's hairlipping every Arab in the
> world, I could care less. (snip)

Then you had better start building a whole lot more bombs. There are almost a billion of them to kill off and we don't have enough nukes to kill more than a quarter of that number.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6574. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 09:46:46 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDD2535.EA7330FB@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22720

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Peretz Moshe Kanafi
> a.k.a. Phil Kane
> K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ

While I'm asking questions, here is another good one. Why do so many Jews who live here in the United States change their names? Is it to sound less foreign or is some other motivation behind it? I've only known a few Jews in my life (that I'm aware of), but every one that I've known was using a fake name. I say "that I'm aware of" because it is hard to know for sure if so many are hiding their true identities behind a fake name.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6575. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 11:37:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110290337.3b7c1464@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22721

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20011027120305.22754.00000042@nso-cs.aol.com>...
> >>
> >Loans? The Sun Oil Applied Physics Lab paid my whole tab thru DIT and
> >I popped out with $5k in the bank and zero debt. You played the game
> >wrong. Bad habit to get into.
>
> Different era.
>
Only 13 years, not that different.
>
>Plus I went for four years and you went for five.
>
. . which included acquiring two years of actual engineering
experience and paychecks in industry. No wasted kiddie summer jobs as
lifeguards in Wildwood for us like you weenies did.
>
>The student
> loan I had to pay off back then was at an interest rate of 3 %, and I had ten
> years to pay it off. This was back when you could tax-deduct all interest, too.
> It woulda been stupid of me to pay hard-earned student cash when I could get
> such low interest long term loans, and pay them off with graduate engineer
> dollars. Plus if ya look up the inflation rate for the ten years following May,
> 1976, the deal gets even sweeter.
>
Ya think ya "made money" on tax deductions do ya? Bwaaahaha! Never had
to deal with any of it, never paid a dime's worth of interest, cash &
carry all the way. The last check I wrote was for the rental of my cap
& gown and outta there.
>
>
>
> >> I went to Drexel, too, remember?
> >>
> >Yeah, I know. Drexel went thru a period when they went slack on
> >admissions requirements, you got lucky.
>
> Yeah, right. The place is an education factory, nothing less.
>
>
Damned straight. The place is for adults. You maybe expected a repeat
of the four years you spent in the ossified ivy-covered halls of Penn
so that you could continue to socialize in beautiful West Philly or
what??

>
Or was it the "liberal intellectual environment" you went into debt
for in order to "experience"?
>
>
Water buffalos . . yahoo!
>
>
> Guess it wouldn't be cool of me to mention that of the 15 courses I took to get
> the MSEE, I got one B and all the rest As.
>
Doan matter, nobody cares about yer grades. Zzzzzz. 39 years later not
one employer or customer has ever asked me about my grades.
>
>
> >> You want fries with that?
>
> >No freaking way! There ain't a purchased french fry out there these
> >days that isn't a high point within the chemical engineering
> >profession. "Better Living Through Chemistry". As if. There oughta be
> >a law . .
>
> There's an outfit that shows up at the Philly Folk Festival and other events
> that does 'em half-decent. They have a gizmo that looks like a manual can
> crusher, only with a gridwork of knifeblades at the bottom. Put in a whole
> potato, push the lever, raw fries out the bottom. You watch them being made -
> never more than a few minutes old. Only problem is the line is so long.
>
>
YES! Mom had two of those spud splitters when we were growing up.
Quest = ON. Ya can get those kinda fries at the Boardwalk Fries store
in the food court at the King of Prussia Mall seven days a week. To
kill for and no lines, everything McDonald's isn't.
>
>
>
> >>
> >One of these damned days I'm gonna do the classic KP drill and peel
> >ten pounds of mil-spec spuds and french fry 'em RIGHT like Mom did and
> >do some Kellyburgers for some of us neighborhood 30wpm types . . .
>
> I'll slow down to 30 per to qualify for that! I'll even peel the spuds.
>
>
You ain't gonna be doing NO wpm next Field Day smartass, the ante just
went up. You've bought into peeling 100 pounds of Idaho spuds instead.
Fuhget the radio nonsense, I'll handle the stupid radios while you're
peeling yer buns off.
>
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
w3rv

6576. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 12:16:07 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdca010_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22701

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9rhgkj02khe@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> The countries that support terrorism have shown that they don't care about
a
> peaceful, civilized solution to resolving differences.
>

I wonder what peaceful, civilized solution you might come up with to solve the "terrorist threat"? Would the solution be any different than dropping bombs and more killing? How long do we give a peaceful, civilized solution before we decide it isn't working, then get violent ourselves? I am playing devil's advocate here to the debate of "how much is too much"? How much is too much peace, how much is too much war? Don't both have to be defined before we truly understand the circumstances we face...and that we ask the rest of the world to face? By the way, the "we" in that scenario could be us or them--either way, someone is making the decisions.

I think it's kind of like the vegetarian that says they are a vegetarian because they lead a non-violent life; but smushes any bug they might see--because "that's different."

>
> Since the inception of
> Israel as a nation in 1948, the Middle East has shown a disdain for
Western
> culture.
>

There is nothing inherrently wrong with that. As long as their disdain doesn't become the justification for actions against people.

>
> This is what Israel repressents. A wasteland that no one wanted was
> turned into one of the most thriving and prosperous economies in the
world, and
> the rest of the Arab world can't stand it.
>

IMHO, the only reason Israel has gotten where it is, is because of the support they have received from the rest of the world in doing so.

>
> Instead of embracing these values,
> the rest of the Middle East has decided to make war against them. Some
> countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect

> example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
> check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate
our
> Middle East policies.
>

I don't think there's anything inherently wrong with that. I would have done the same thing with that check--given it back; but the Saudi Prince probably has a point to his comments that our government, and those of the rest of the world, should at least seriously debate. It is already a given that current Middle East policies are not, have not, and will not work. Maybe there's something else to be done/tried.

Kim W5TIT

6577. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 12:20:03 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdca0f6$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22702

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDC5EF9.CFCEDDF8@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Krell wrote:
>
> > In article <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> > >
> > >"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > >>
> > >> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the
countries I
> > >> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once,
went
> > >> berserk, this shit would stop.
> > >>
> > >
> > >It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction
against
> > >them above. Do you wish to be no different?
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Like I said in an earlier post: Sometimes the most restrained actions
are the
> > most dangerous. A strong message needs to be sent.
>
> One that simultaneously takes out the bulk of the threat of their
weapons, which
> were described in limited detail in one of the intercepted e-mails between
two of
> the Sept 11 terrorists that these resident peaceniks despise so well.
>
> The tragis irony of all this is that Kimand Dwight get to experience the
fruits
> of the labors of our military also, while selfrightously posturing.
>

You're so far off your rocker, Dick, it's almost hilarious. You offer
nothing in the way of constructive debate.

Oh, and by the way, even if what you say about "getting to experience the
fruits of the labors of our military"--whatever the hell that's supposed to
mean, I could have sworn that is one my rights as a US Citizen. But, you

don't believe in that much freedom, do you?

Kim W5TIT

6578. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 13:56:46 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rjn6u02c0a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22722

In article <3BDCDA65.40AE3CD0@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net says...
>
>
>
>Krell wrote:
>
>>
>> Some
>> countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect
>> example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
>> check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate our
>> Middle East policies.
>
>The Saudi Prince 'tried' to give Mayor Guilliani a check for $10
>million, the Mayor politely told him to stuff it.

Since you insist on stretching things just to be nitpicking, let's elaborate on that. The Prince did indeed give Guilliani the check. It was later that the prince made the statement about our policies that the good mayor changed his mind.

6579. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 14:03:04 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rjnio12c0a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22723

In article <3BDD1588.1DBCFE6B@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> There's plenty of room in the
>> Middle East for both Israel and the
>> Arab nations.
>
>
> But isn't the conflict more about the question of whether there is
>room in Israel for the Arabs that once lived there and the Jews that
>live there today? How many Arabs lived in the lands now called Israel

In 1940, about 650,000 Arabs lived in Israel. None of which were made to leave
in 1948. Yet they still claim that they were "displaced."

>in 1940? How many Arabs live there today? What happened to all those
>Arabs and why are they not still living there? We went to war in

Today, 5 million, and they are still claiming that they are "displaced."

>Kosovo over concerns of ethnic cleansing there. Why should we have
>less concern over what has happened in Israel?
>
> This is not a condemnation of Israel, Phil. I simply want to
>understand the situation better and was hoping you might be able to
>explain the issues above.

go to www.factsandlogic.org

>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6580. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 14:44:17 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD6F65.7654A45@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22724

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Earth to Dick! We, the US, have *changed sides* or haven't you
> > > noticed
> >
> > Earth to Cecil! I wasn't *us*, the US, that changed sides! *THEY*
> > attacked us!
>
> Yep, and that's the reason we changed sides. You're starting to get it.\

Lessee, here....We helped them fight the Russkies, supplied them with enough hardware to get the job done, then we left the region when that was ended. So a dozen years later, they attack us on our own turf. But it was *US* that changed sides. Yeah, Right! Did we never do anything that suited you?

U'd btr stick to binary code, it's harder to screw that up what with only two possibilities.

6581. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:00:35 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD7337.BA15D394@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22725

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9rh0pi0c4m@enews4.newsguy.com...
> >
> > That's the essence of war. Innocent people are going to be killed. If
> that's
> > what it takes to preserve our lives and our way of life, so be it.
> >
>
> That is *EXACTLY* what "they" thought when they struck the United States.

Through your fog, you finally saw a piece of something clearly. But only a
piece of it.
That's exactly why we must do what we're doing. What is it about WAR that you
find so difficult to understand?
Is it just because you'd like for us not to have to be in one? News Flash--We
all share that! But you and Dwight would rather just let them win so that
you can feel better about yourselves until they do. Retch!!!

6582. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:01:36 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD7376.4B59FB4B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22726

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> "Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3BDBA77D.D63B852A@townsqr.com...
> >
> > So then because they're illiterate and uninformed, we should allow them
> > to continue to recruit, train and provide even more terrorists to attack
> > us, instead of hitting the snake at its head. You're a tactical genius,
> > Dwight.
> >
>
> Coming from someone with such limited intellect, I don't think Dwight will
> much consider that a compliment ;)

Coming from you, I find it very easy to just consider the source and ignore
that.

6583. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:04:06 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD740C.8F762754@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22727

Krell wrote:

> In article <3bdc12cd_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> >
> >"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:9rh0pi0c4m@enews4.newsguy.com...
> >>
> >> That's the essence of war. Innocent people are going to be killed. If
> >that's
> >> what it takes to preserve our lives and our way of life, so be it.
> >>
> >
> >That is *EXACTLY* what "they" thought when they struck the United States.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> "All great leaders since Moses have known that a feared enemy must be crushed
> completely. Sometimes they have learned this the hard way. If one ember is
> alight, no matter how dimly it smolders, a fire will eventually break out. More
> is lost through stopping halfway than through total annihilation. The enemy
> will recover and seek revenge. Crush him not only in body, but in spirit"
> - Law 15 of the 48 Laws of Power.
>
> This is where terrorists have made their mistake, and where we must not make
> ours.

Indeed. But don't expect either Dwight or Kim to understand any part of this.

6584. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:08:43 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD751F.8E7893E8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22728

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > That's right, Dwight, you said
> > none of the above. The tone and tenor
> > of your words had to say it for you.
>
> The "tone and tenor" of my words? Man, if you want to argue with me
> about something I've said, than more power to you. But don't go after
> me for what you think I've said based on your concepts of "tone and
> tenor," because so far you appear to be totally tone deaf.
>
> I'm a very straightforward person. I tend to write exactly what I
> mean. What I write may not always be perfectly clear, but I'm more
> than willing to explain when asked. Now, if you see that as "changing
> his story," than perhaps you should ask yourself if you've ever had to
> explain something you've said and if that was changing your story or a
> simple explanation.
>
> Of course, I'm sure you've not had too many people following you
> around trying to maliciously twist what you say based on their idea of
> "tone and tenor." That seems to be a sport only practiced by a few in
> these newsgroups.

So now you try to weasel out by insisting that you didn't say what you said! I'm pleased to let your postings speak for themselves. Nothing I said here modifies nor changes them in any way. Readers will decide for themselves.

6585. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:12:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110290712.398dfe6f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22729

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0110290337.3b7c1464@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20011027120305.22754.00000042@nso-cs.aol.com>...
> > >>
> > >Loans? The Sun Oil Applied Physics Lab paid my whole tab thru DIT and
> > >I popped out with $5k in the bank and zero debt. You played the game
> > >wrong. Bad habit to get into.
> >
> > Different era.
> >
> Only 13 years, not that different.

One word: inflation. Tuition was $3000/yr in 1972 and $4500/yr in
1975. You do remember the 1970s? I had hair back then....
> >
> >Plus I went for four years and you went for five.
> >
> . . which included acquiring two years of actual engineering
> experience and paychecks in industry.

Same here. Not as big paychecks, though.

> No wasted kiddie summer jobs as
> lifeguards in Wildwood for us like you weenies did.

Not me. Worked right through the school year, fulltime when school was
out, parttime when classes were held. If ya can call 30+ hrs/wk "part
time".
> >
> >The student
> > loan I had to pay off back then was at an interest rate of 3 %, and I had ten
> > years to pay it off. This was back when you could tax-deduct all interest, too.
> > It woulda been stupid of me to pay hard-earned student cash when I could get
> > such low interest long term loans, and pay them off with graduate engineer
> > dollars. Plus if ya look up the inflation rate for the ten years following May,
> > 1976, the deal gets even sweeter.
> >
> Ya think ya "made money" on tax deductions do ya? Bwaaahaha! Never had
> to deal with any of it, never paid a dime's worth of interest, cash &
> carry all the way. The last check I wrote was for the rental of my cap
> & gown and outta there.

We had double-digit inflation and low single-digit interest. No
interest at all until 9 months after graduation. If interest rates
are far enough below inflation and you can get a loan, it's dumb to

pay cash. Econ 101.

Add to this the fact that post-graduation pay was significantly
higher, and I wish I'd borrowed more.

> > >> I went to Drexel, too, remember?
> > >>
> > >Yeah, I know. Drexel went thru a period when they went slack on
> > >admissions requirements, you got lucky.
> >
> > Yeah, right. The place is an education factory, nothing less.
> >
> Damned straight. The place is for adults. You maybe expected a repeat
> of the four years you spent in the ossified ivy-covered halls of Penn
> so that you could continue to socialize in beautiful West Philly or
> what??

HAW! Engineering school sure wasn't like that. Not in the '70s,
anyway. Heck, we needed 20% more courses just to graduate.
> >
> Or was it the "liberal intellectual environment" you went into debt
> for in order to "experience"?

That came later. Much later.
> >
> Water buffalos . . yahoo!

Long after my time. Different era. About 13 years...

> > Guess it wouldn't be cool of me to mention that of the 15 courses I took to get
> > the MSEE, I got one B and all the rest As.
> >
> Doan matter, nobody cares about yer grades. Zzzzzz. 39 years later not
> one employer or customer has ever asked me about my grades.

Sez it all.

> > >> You want fries with that?
>
> > >No freaking way! There ain't a purchased french fry out there these
> > >days that isn't a high point within the chemical engineering
> > >profession. "Better Living Through Chemistry". As if. There oughta be
> > >a law . .
> >
> > There's an outfit that shows up at the Philly Folk Festival and other events
> > that does 'em half-decent. They have a gizmo that looks like a manual can
> > crusher, only with a gridwork of knifeblades at the bottom. Put in a whole
> > potato, push the lever, raw fries out the bottom. You watch them being made -
> > never more than a few minutes old. Only problem is the line is so long.
> >
> YES! Mom had two of those spud splitters when we were growing up.
> Quest = ON. Ya can get those kinda fries at the Boardwalk Fries store
> in the food court at the King of Prussia Mall seven days a week. To

> kill for and no lines, everything McDonald's isn't.

I gotta go there. Wouldn;t be surprised of the FF outfit is just a
mobile version of that place.

> > >One of these damned days I'm gonna do the classic KP drill and peel
> > >ten pounds of mil-spec spuds and french fry 'em RIGHT like Mom did and
> > >do some Kellyburgers for some of us neighborhood 30wpm types . . .
> >
> > I'll slow down to 30 per to qualify for that! I'll even peel the spuds.
> >
> You ain't gonna be doing NO wpm next Field Day smartass, the ante just
> went up.

There's always The Farm with my K2.

>You've bought into peeling 100 pounds of Idaho spuds instead.

How did 10 pounds become 100?

> Fuhget the radio nonsense, I'll handle the stupid radios while you're
> peeling yer buns off.

Bring a paddle that works, this time. I'm working on FD in a shopping
bag.

...lesseee, K2 with autotuner, audio filter and lightweight
headphones, long power cable for the auto battery, bug, small light,
some ground wires. Only question is G5RV vs. trapper dipole vs.
parallel dipole. Tally Ho!

73 de Jim, N2EY

6586. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:20:07 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD77CB.24BAE5@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22730

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > We need to get the business in Afghanistan
> > over with and then move on... (snip)
>
> You're joking, right? We're never going to be able to move on. We
> have created who know how many more new enemies for the United States
> with this attack on Afghanistan - thousands who will spend the rest of
> their lives hating us and wanting revenge

Whar you so studiously ignore (don't you?) is that they've been there for a LONG time, and we've just as studiously ignorted them, to our own grief. We can no longer ignore them, but you'd have us appease and accomodate them yet one more time, so that they can continue on their stated mission of destroying us. In short, you would aid and abet the terrorists in their mission.

Now, I know that isn't what you said. But that matters not at all when that is the end game..

6587. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:22:58 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD7878.E1F03872@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22731

Krell wrote:

> In article <3BDCDA65.40AE3CD0@mailcity.net>, radiobuff@mailcity.net says...
> >
> >
> >
> >Krell wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Some
> >> countries are not as overt as others, but it is war nonetheless. A perfect
> >> example of their attitude was when the Saudi Prince gave Mayor Guilliani a
> >> check for $10 million and made comments about how we should re-evaluate our
> >> Middle East policies.
> >
> >The Saudi Prince 'tried' to give Mayor Guilliani a check for $10
> >million, the Mayor politely told him to stuff it.
>
> Since you insist on stretching things just to be nitpicking, let's elaborate on
> that. The Prince did indeed give Guilliani the check. It was later that the
> prince made the statement about our policies that the good mayor changed his
> mind.

A classic example of trying to 'buy things to be your way'. Most of the time it seems to work. (check Clinton as a prime example) Go Rudy!

6588. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:34:47 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD7B39.78010AF@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22732

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > The tragis irony of all this is
> > that Kimand Dwight get to experience
> > the fruits of the labors of our
> > military also, while selfrightously
> > posturing.
>
> Man, you are one twisted individual. You insist you support our
> freedoms, yet you define anyone who exercises freedom as an enemy of
> this country. Trust me, my friend, that "Kimand" crap you put in front
> of my name fits you a whole lot better than it fits me. I believe in
> the freedoms of this country, while you see those very freedoms as a threat.

Yeah, we know, you've said that repeatedly, only to counter it with your
postings.
As it happens, I also believe that those freedoms were hard won and worth
defending. I see you
paying only lip service to the concept that freedoms, having been hard won, must
be vigorously defended,
especially when the threat is as direct as it now is. You *and KIM* are clearly
of the Neville Chamberlain
school of thought. Read what happened there, it's very revealing and clarifying.
Appease a determined
agressor and pay the price. We have already begun to pay the price for all the
years of neglect. Now we must
defeat the enemy or be defeated ourselves. You *and KIM* are too obtuse to
understand.

6589. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:39:28 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD7C51.D1FEC7C1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22733

Krell wrote:

> In article <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> >
> >"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
> >>
> >> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries I
> >> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once, went
> >> berserk, this shit would stop.
> >>
> >
> >It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction against
> >them above. Do you wish to be no different?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> 6000 people were murdered. Why should I even care what happens to "them?"

Kim and Dwight don't understand that is that you have described their position
exactly,
as demonstrated by their postings, despite their protestations.

6590. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 15:51:22 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD7F21.22303CE0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22734

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> --
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> "Anyone who limits her vision to memories of yesterday is
> already dead." --Lily Langtry

Ah-ha. And anyone who has no knowledge of yesterday will fail miserably at dealing with tomorrow.

6591. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 16:01:09 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDD7D45.954924C3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22735

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Man, you are one twisted individual. You insist you support our
> freedoms, yet you define anyone who exercises freedom as an enemy of
> this country. Trust me, my friend, that "Kimand" crap you put in front
> of my name fits you a whole lot better than it fits me.

Another example of Dick's poor typing ability. That was supposed
to be "Kim and Dwight".
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6592. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 16:04:48 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDD8246.419D7708@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22736

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > So then because they're illiterate
> > and uninformed, we should allow them
> > to continue to recruit, train and
> > provide even more terrorists to attack
> > us, instead of hitting the snake at
> > its head. You're a tactical genius,
> > Dwight.
>
> Just exactly who is this "they" and "them" you keep talking about?
> Do you really believe the entire Afghan military is training
> terrorists?

Do YOU know that they are not? And if not, so what? Not all of our military is attacking them, either.

Pick your nits, Dwight, you clearly must do that to have any position at all. We know without doubt that the Taliban-who by the way runs the Afghan military, or who did until a couple weeks ago, not only aid and harbor Bin Laden and company, but actively participate in the training of terrorist operatives to clandestinely attack US on USA soil and elsewhere.
Given the events of September 11 - not even considering the fact that their plans for that day were met with only very limited success, despite all the carnage that limited success created- our military response, which by the way, is probably very early in its overall length, is much more than justified, it is necessary and even mandatory. WE WERE ATTACKED IN A COORDINATED SERIES OF ACTS OF WAR!!! What more needs to be said? Anyone with even a small piece of a brain should be able to comprehend that.

6593. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 16:14:29 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDD8065.12519B34@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22737

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Yep, and that's the reason we changed sides. You're starting to get it.
>
> Lessee, here....We helped them fight the Russkies, supplied them with
> enough hardware to get the job done, then we left the region when that was
> ended. So a dozen years later, they attack us on our own turf. But it was
> *US* that changed sides.

The Taliban certainly didn't change sides. The US supported
the Taliban against the Soviets. Then the US removed the
support. Then the US attacked the Taliban. Changing from
support to attacking is changing sides, Dick. We once were
for them and now we are against them. They haven't changed.
We knew they were religious radicals when we supported them.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6594. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 16:17:00 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDD80FC.8066D840@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22738

Richard Carroll wrote:
> That's exactly why we must do what we're doing. What is it about WAR that you
> find so difficult to understand?

"War" is a legal term. We are not legally at war. What's hard
to understand is how we can be at war without being at war.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6595. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 17:15:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110290915.4ec95840@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22739

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:<3BDD2535.EA7330FB@sccoast.net>...
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > Peretz Moshe Kanafi
> > a.k.a. Phil Kane
> > K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ
>
> While I'm asking questions, here is another good one. Why do so many
> Jews who live here in the United States change their names? Is it to
> sound less foreign or is some other motivation behind it?

Well, I'm not Jewish but I'll take a shot at this one. Here's what one goy has picked up over time:

First off, it's my understanding that every observant Jew has a Hebrew name, for religious reasons. This name may or may not exactly correspond to that person's "English" name.

Many immigrants, not just Jews, had their names changed at Ellis Island because the clerks were too lazy or too ignorant to deal with "odd sounding" names. Immigrants often wouldn't protest because they were afraid of being sent back. Ever hear of the ship "St. Louis", and what happened to its 900 passengers?

Many immigrants, not just Jews, changed their names to avoid being obvious targets of ridicule, bigotry and persecution. I'm old enough to remember when some places were actually proud of descriptions like "exclusive". And I remember when an "ethnic-sounding" name would mean being rejected for a job, promotion or admission to a school.

> I've only
> known a few Jews in my life (that I'm aware of), but every one that
> I've known was using a fake name. I say "that I'm aware of" because it
> is hard to know for sure if so many are hiding their true identities
> behind a fake name.

You probably know far more Jews than you realize.

What's a "fake name" and what's a "real Jewish name", Dwight?

73 de Jim, N2EY

6596. Field Day 2001 Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 19:15:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110291115.253f3c37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22740

Folks,

The results of Field Day 2001 may be viewed at the "members only" part
of the ARRL website.

Interesting trends:

Participation UP 4.3% from FD 2000
Total QSOs about the same as FD 2000
Phone QSOs DOWN 4% from FD 2000
CW QSOs UP 5.1% from FD 2000
Digital QSOs UP 37.9% from FD 2000 (digital makes up only a small
percentage of FD QSOs, however).

73 de Jim, N2EY

6597. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 19:31:44 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rkar00imj@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22741

In article <3BDD80FC.8066D840@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>Richard Carroll wrote:
>> That's exactly why we must do what we're doing. What is it about WAR that
you
>> find so difficult to understand?
>
>"War" is a legal term. We are not legally at war. What's hard
>to understand is how we can be at war without being at war.
>--
>cheers, CAM, W5DXP

So what would you prefer? A conflict? A police action? It's easier to call it a war and have it done with. Arguing about the semantics of whether the terminology is being used in the proper context will turn into another tiring "forced" debate.

6598. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 19:41:04 UTC
From: krell1215@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9rkbcg1imj@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22742

In article <9f4bfe89.0110290915.4ec95840@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
>
>Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:<3BDD2535.EA7330FB@s
>ccoast.net>...
>> Phil Kane wrote:
>> >
>> > Peretz Moshe Kanafi
>> > a.k.a. Phil Kane
>> > K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ
>>
>> While I'm asking questions, here is another good one. Why do so many
>> Jews who live here in the United States change their names? Is it to
>> sound less foreign or is some other motivation behind it?
>
>Well, I'm not Jewish but I'll take a shot at this one. Here's what one
>goy has picked up over time:
>
>First off, it's my understanding that every observant Jew has a Hebrew
>name, for religious reasons. This name may or may not exactly
>correspond to that person's "English" name.
>
>Many immigrants, not just Jews, had their names changed at Ellis
>Island because the clerks were too lazy or too ignorant to deal with
>"odd sounding" names. Immigrants often wouldn't protest because they
>were afraid of being sent back. Ever hear of the ship "St. Louis", and
>what happened to its 900 passengers?
>
>Many immigrants, not just Jews, changed their names to avoid being
>obvious targets of ridicule, bigotry and persecution. I'm old enough
>to remember when some places were actually proud of descriptions like
>"exclusive". And I remember when an "ethnic-sounding" name would mean
>being rejected for a job, promotion or admission to a school.
>
>> I've only
>> known a few Jews in my life (that I'm aware of), but every one that
>> I've known was using a fake name. I say "that I'm aware of" because it
>> is hard to know for sure if so many are hiding their true identities
>> behind a fake name.
>
>You probably know far more Jews than you realize.
>
>What's a "fake name" and what's a "real Jewish name", Dwight?

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Just as a supplement to what Jim said, if you look through history, Jews have been driven out of many lands through the centuries. The only way they could survive was to assimilate into the culture they found themseleves in, becoming very good practitioners of the "when in Rome" concept. This was the only way they could keep their culture and faith alive.

6599. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 20:28:08 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDDBBD8.52CBDA9B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22743

Krell wrote:
> So what would you prefer? A police action?

That is probably a more accurate description. We are
attempting to capture and place criminals under arrest.

> It's easier to call it a war and have it done with.

IMO, Due Process involving "war" has not been honored since
WWII. It's like introducing someone as your wife when you
have never been legally married.
--
cheers, CAM, W5DXP

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6600. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 21:46:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011029164642.06769.00000165@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22748

In article <9f4bfe89.0110290915.4ec95840@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
>news:<3BDD2535.EA7330FB@sccoast.net>...
>> Phil Kane wrote:
>> >
>> > Peretz Moshe Kanafi
>> > a.k.a. Phil Kane
>> > K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ
>>
>> While I'm asking questions, here is another good one. Why do so many
>> Jews who live here in the United States change their names? Is it to
>> sound less foreign or is some other motivation behind it?
>
>Well, I'm not Jewish but I'll take a shot at this one. Here's what one
>goy has picked up over time:
>
>First off, it's my understanding that every observant Jew has a Hebrew
>name, for religious reasons. This name may or may not exactly
>correspond to that person's "English" name.

Let's separate Church and State as the general phrase goes
in civics lessons ("church" is a general term and could also
be "temple" or whatever, the meaning being a religion).

Oddly enough to the Eastern American thinking, English is
NOT the most common language, either written or spoken,
in the world. Far more humans use syllabic-based
languages than those who use the "western" alphabetized
languages.

While the USA has no official language, it is clear that laws
and regulations, all the records of the USA government is
carried on in the English language. Names and other
pertinent data of immigrants cannot be translated exactly
into English. This is particularly true of syllabic-ideographic
languages of Asia.

>Many immigrants, not just Jews, had their names changed at Ellis
>Island because the clerks were too lazy or too ignorant to deal with
>"odd sounding" names. Immigrants often wouldn't protest because they
>were afraid of being sent back.

I have some relationship to this, both parents being immigrants
(in 1924 and 1928) from Sweden. Scandinavian languages all

use the "western" alphabet, all the letters of English plus a few more alphabetic characters called "umlauts" by some. My mother's family's surname was changed in the first letter from an 'A' with a single dot over it to an 'O' since that was the closest approximation to the 'A-with-single-dot' umlaut. My father and uncle's surname had the two "ss" dropped to a single "s" in what might have been an act by "clerks too lazy or ignorant" but that was convention at the time and not unheard of among immigrants.

My late uncle Herb used to tell of his amazement at Ellis Island when the Judge who had to preside over my mother's family's final immigration entry spoke "perfect" Swedish. That judge was definitely not of Swedish ancestry. Whether or not he could be described as "lazy or ignorant" or a "clerk" is shrugged off as lazy, ignorant commentary by an American "native." :-)

>Many immigrants, not just Jews, changed their names to avoid being
>obvious targets of ridicule, bigotry and persecution.

That may have been the case in the northeastern quadrant of the USA which seems to have unbreakable ties to Europe. It is not a truism of the midwest, mountain, or western regions of the USA. Los Angeles has the largest ethnic grouping of Hindis in the USA. They generally have "two" names, one for legal purposes in English with the other one in keeping with their ethnicity and religion. Can anyone write in English the ideographic-syllabic versions of Asian-ancestry names in anything approaching exactness?

>I'm old enough
>to remember when some places were actually proud of descriptions like
>"exclusive".

I'm old enough to remember some hobby activities which were inordinately proud of "exclusivity" by license class and other items of lore, tradition, and mythology. :-)

>And I remember when an "ethnic-sounding" name would mean
>being rejected for a job, promotion or admission to a school.

And I remember (from a week ago) where an "amateur-ethnic" ethnocentric attitude was an Absolute Requirement to associate in any way by some ethnocentrists in a newsgroup.

It still is.

6601. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 21:46:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011029164643.06769.00000166@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22744

In article <3BDD8065.12519B34@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Richard Carroll wrote:
>>
>> CAM wrote:
>> > Yep, and that's the reason we changed sides. You're starting to get it.
>>
>> Lessee, here....We helped them fight the Russkies, supplied them with
>> enough hardware to get the job done, then we left the region when that was
>> ended. So a dozen years later, they attack us on our own turf. But it was
>> *US* that changed sides.
>
>The Taliban certainly didn't change sides. The US supported
>the Taliban against the Soviets. Then the US removed the
>support. Then the US attacked the Taliban. Changing from
>support to attacking is changing sides, Dick. We once were
>for them and now we are against them. They haven't changed.
>We knew they were religious radicals when we supported them.

Few in here were born before the end of WW2. They don't
understand nor can they appreciate the outpouring of
patriotism that occured here after December 7, 1941, nor
after December 8, 1941, when Germany and Italy formally
declared war on the United States. For nearly five years
those three countries (Japan, Germany, Italy) were enemies
of the USA and were to be conquered as evil.

Japan, Germany, and Italy are now friends of the USA, allies
in a common cause.

Carroll doesn't seem to understand that what was once
"rightful" can be changed by time and circumstances.
That's sort of like his stance on code testing...it's always
been there and Must Always Be There...because that is
what Carroll Believes. Wrapping himself in the flag of the
USA while condemning those who do not Believe as He
does is not an act of patriotism. It is just rationalization.

Indeed, Carroll is just rationalizing His own opinion. Those
who do not believe as he does are all to be piloried as
perverse and "wrong." :-)

6602. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 21:46:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011029164645.06769.00000167@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22746

In article <20011025200313.24208.00000049@nso-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>> What it means, Jim, is that one very lonely, dispicable old man is
>>sitting
>>in front of his computer screen right now wishing he was in front of the
>>controls of his own radio station doing the very things WE can enjoy any
>>time.
>
>I don't know if that's true, Steve.

If that person makes a Pronouncement, then it Must be "true."
:-)

>The Technician license, which grants ALL US amateur operating privileges
>above
>30 MHz, has not had a code test for more than a decade, And the written test
>for it is not very difficult. Yet LHA has never gotten one.

Aha, ethnocentricity enters the picture. One Must have an
amateur radio license in order to discuss policy matters
about entering same! :-)

>... If LHA wanted to have a ham license and a
>ham station, I think he would have gotten both a long time ago.

Impossible. I do not have the ethnocentric attitude to love
and worship morse code or the associated mythology of
amateur radio. :-)

>All I know about Mr. Anderson is his postings here on rrap. He never posts
>anywhere else, does not write for any current publication I know of, and of
>course is not on the ham bands.

"Not on the ham bands?" Presumably only those "ham
bands" are those in the HF part of the spectrum? How
do VHF through microwave amateur radio allocated bands
propagate to the east? :-)

"Does not write for any current publication?" Miccolis should
investigate how many different publications exist in the USA.
There are thousands. Of course, the only qualifier is that such
publications must be those that "he knows." :-)

"Never posts anywhere else?" :-)

>Actually, I never recall him saying that he should get a license without the
>test.

Quite true.

>>Sitting for the exam would validate his
>>mortality, and he can't stand that.
>
>What I recall is that he has no regard for the license as any sort of
>accomplishment.

Conditional truth there. I've not revered, honored, or worshipped
a maximally-upgraded amateur radio license as the equivalent
of an academic PhD, Nobel Prize, or even Presidential Medal
of Freedom. Some seem to insist that others revere and honor
such things along with worship of icons of accomplishment
hanging on the wall.

>Also no regard for most things hams consider as accomplishments
>in radio, such as code speed, homebrewing equipment, working contests or DX,
>passing traffic, etc.

"...most things hams consider as accomplishments?"

Has there been a poll that stated the above? I don't think so.

I've never given any favoritsm to morse code speed, working
contests, etc., as prerequisites for A LICENSE TEST or the
reasons for retaining certain standards of the LICENSE TEST.

I realize that some are unable to separate their own personal
desires, opinions, beliefs from the accomplishment of
passing a license test. Those individuals will not debate or
discuss anything except under their own personal terms.

>Maybe. Or maybe his aim is to try to bring out the worst in those who
>disagree with him.

Untrue. See below...

>Notice that he never says what his goal really is (other than
>ending the code test) and never gives any sort of coherent vision
>of where ham radio should go, other than "no code test, one class
>of license, no kids under 14".

My goal is simply the elimination of the morse code test
for any class of amateur radio license. As simple as that.

Those who revere and honor morse code ability and
associate it so much with amateur radio that they must
keep it forever are the ones suspect. Their only "vision of
the future of amateur radio" is more of the same that they
enjoy doing. That's a purely selfish attitude of theirs.

An amateur radio license is simply a grant from a government
to allow operation according to regulations within specified
bands of the EM spectrum. It is not an academic achievement
of any kind. It is a permission to operate a radio in a particular

radio service.

Some in here think that an amateur radio license is their
single most important accomplishment in life...at least from
how their attitudes are visible to others. It may be so to
them but even if so, they have no right to impose their will
on others and make others do the same.

>Fascinating.

I believe Commander Spock as made a Pronouncement!
:-)

>>>But not me. I'm living in the present, working on the future.
>>
>> Ditto.
>>
>'zactly.

Is "working on the future" comprised of a terrible, almost
religious passion of preservation of the status quo?

6603. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 21:46:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011029164646.06769.00000168@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22747

In article <9f4bfe89.0110230832.7ce5d918@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Yet your behavior and comments here show otherwise. You repeatedly
>attack the messenger (me) for having the audacity to disagree with you
>and point out errors in your posts.

There is no "audacity" in disagreement. There IS audacity in manufacturing "errors" that aren't there...such as in the amateur radio statistics tabulated by AH0A. :-)

>I think you are angry that the person you described as phony, idiotic
>and delusional turns out to have EE degrees and some experience with
>both RF design and the Smith Chart.

Heh heh heh. :-)

As n2ey@aol.com once wrote, "full of yourself, aren't you?"

>Truth is, you spend more time posting here than almost anyone.

"Truth" is not in this case. Any reader can scan past messages and see who "spends more time posting in here." :-)

>Shortage of time doesn;t seem to be a problem for you.

True. Retirement does have certain benefits. :-)

>As Babe Ruth said: "It ain't braggin' if ya really done it."

It IS bragging if all you've done is claim it and cannot provide any proof of accomplishment.

>> So far, in
>> regards to "mastery of the Smith Chart" all that anyone
>> has seen is some homework on "examples" that were
>> contributed after at least a week.
>
>All that YOU have seen.

All that ANYONE in this newsgroup has seen. :-)

>The posts I made were practical examples of Smith Chart use in HF
>amateur radio applications. All of them could also have been done
>other ways. And your "deadlines" mean nothing to me.

They might even have been cribbed from the May 1978 issue of Ham Radio magazine for all I know. :-)

For someone who has an inordinate amount of free time to
spend on amateur radio newsgoop messaging, I'm surprised
that there was no immediate response of a "tutorial" on
Smith Chart use. Anyone who claims to have "mastered the
Smith Chart" should have jumped right in on the subject just
like he jumps in about morse code use. :-)

>What is ridiculous and absurd is the idea that all proposed change is
>somehow automatically "good" and must be accepted by all. What is
>ridiculous and absurd is the idea that those who oppose change are
>somehow automatically "bad" because they see the proposed "new order"
>as inferior to the old.

I've not claimed any "new order," just some reasons for ending
the morse code test for an amateur radio license. I've only
claimed that removing the code test would give more citizens
freedom to enter a hobby activity involving radio.

OTHERS opposed to the elimination of code test seem to think
that such elimination is "bad." Their judgemental viewpoint is
in here, standing out, no ambiguity. Under those circumstances
retention of the code test is "good" while elimination is "bad."
I don't agree with such a personalized viewpoint.

>> Anyone who continues to favor a code test as a pass-fail
>> criterion for amateur radio licensing seems to think they
>> are "deserving" of a federal welfare program to yield them
>> titles, status, and privilege.
>
>That's your distortion of reality, Len.

It is based on what other code-lovers have written in here.
The "distortion" is not mine.

>Translation: "Old" = "bad", "new" = "good"

No. Incorrect. Retention of old regulations with the only
reasons given as personal desires are not good...those
are selfish.

>You and others promote "change" as if all change is automatically good
>and any resistance or opposition to change is automatically bad. I
>simply gave a clear example of how "change" is not always good.
>Simple.

Incorrect in judgement. FREEDOM is not a bad word.

Removal of the amateur code test will remove the strict,
regimented alignment of amateur radio = morse code.

While that may seem revolutionary to some, the FCC does
not consider that morse code skill to be a qualification for
decision on whether or not an examinee is worth the grant
of an amateur radio license

To a limited degree, the IARU now agrees with that.

Freedom from old, antiquated regulations is considered is
considered "bad." Interesting. Maybe even "fascinating."
The new order that was the old order. Status quoism.

6604. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 21:46:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011029164648.06769.00000169@nso-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 22745

In article <9f4bfe89.0110230832.7ce5d918@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>> The FCC does not require any part of its staff to USE
>> amateur radio...nor to be licensed as an amateur.
>
>Are you part of the FCC, Len? I don' think so.

Is James Miccolis part of the FCC? I don't think so.

>FCC is empowered to regulate civilian radio in the USA. You aren't.

James Miccolis is not empowered to regulate civil radio in the USA. :-)

>"Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service
>that you don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly
>with those who DO use that service"

Ah, here we go with good old ethnocentrism again! :-)

Where was there some NEED to "present a coherent future direction for any radio service" as some kind of qualifier for discussion on regulations that pertain to entering a radio service?

>It's a true observation.

No. Miccolis' observation is biased by personal desires.

>> Miccolis favors "turf ownership," part of the human Territorial
>> Imperative which is, in this case, false and misleading.
>
>I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.

Possessing an amateur radio license is the turf qualifier. That license was obtained through morse code ability. Those who argue against any amateur license morse code testing are automatically relegated to some other turf. it's like "squatter's rights." :-)

>But you don't do that very often, Len. Even though almost everyone
>here signs there posts with a first name and callsign, you call those
>who disagree with you by their last names, or diminutives of their
>first names, or nicknames you make up based on their profession,
>nationality, or other characteristic.

Ah, so anyone licensed in the amateur radio service may
show whatever disrespect they care to show to those not
licensed in the amateur radio service? But not the other
way around? Ah, so, SIR! :-)

Double standard! :-)

>> He cannot be called "Miccolis"
>> because using a surname is a "bad name?"
>
>It's inapproriate in this sort of forum. Why do you do it - not just
>to me, but to anyone who disagrees?

Where are the "appropriate" guidelines published for a
very common debate-discussion technique? In QST?
Industry Canada publications?

>I know. Even when your errors are pointed out, you don't accept the
>obvious truth.

I don't accept another's opinion as "truth" or that "errors" have
been made in my interpretation versus another's interpretation.
[AH0A statistics tabulations]

>You don't accept anything you disagree with here no matter how much
>proof is presented. That's obvious, Len.

What "proof?" Show all the proof that Miccolis' opinions are
"good" while all who disagree with him are "bad" and "in
error."

>And you are mistaken. Nowhere have I said others must do as I did.

Then why does Miccolis insist on an amateur radio
license as a "qualification" for discussion of regulations
regarding a first license?

6605. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 22:43:08 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BDDDB7C.26470C00@uci.edu>
Source record: 22899

Kim-W5TIT, speaking for herself wrote:
>
> Most people are pretty poor at philosophical debate, Cecil.

6606. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-29 23:26:03 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BDDE58B.C4D8BEA1@uci.edu>
Source record: 22900

Kim-W5TIT, apparently speaking for herself wrote:

> Most people are pretty poor at philosophical debate, Cecil.

6607. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 00:43:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glz6th3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22750

On 29 Oct 2001 03:24:41 GMT, Krell wrote:

>Like supporting the Palestinians in their quest to have their own
>country? Not bloody likely.

The "Palestinians" already have their own country. It's east of
the Jordan River and the Arava (the valley between the Dead Sea and
the Gulf of Eilat).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6608. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 00:43:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glz7pe4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22749

On Mon, 29 Oct 2001 03:39:35 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> There's plenty of room in the
>> Middle East for both Israel and the
>> Arab nations.
>
>
> But isn't the conflict more about the question of whether there is
>room in Israel for the Arabs that once lived there and the Jews that
>live there today?

There had always been a Jewish presence in Israel from Biblical
times, and up until the British Mandate of 1919 we lived in harmony
with the Arabs, most of whom settled in the land in the 1880s.

>What happened to all those Arabs and why are they not still living there?

During the Mandate, before the War of Independence (1948), the
British did a good job of stirring the "nationalistic" urges of
radical Arab leaders to interfere with the restablishment of an
independent Jewish state in Israel.

Those leaders urged the indigenous Arab population to flee and wait
until their armies threw the Jews into the sea and then they could
return and reclaim Jewish lands, houses, and personal property for
their own. And so the Rufugee Camps in the neighboring Arab
countries filled up.

There never was such a creature as Arab "Palestine", the derogatory
name applied to Israel by the Romans who conquered the lands 2000
years before. The "Palestinian" homeland is east of the Jordan
River in what is today called "Jordan".

>Why should we have
>less concern over what has happened in Israel?

Israel has always welcomed Arab residents who want to be loyal
citizens with all obligations and privileges of Israeli citizens,
including voting and sending delegates to the Knesset (Parliament).

It's "the others" who are the problem.

> This is not a condemnation of Israel, Phil. I simply want to
>understand the situation better and was hoping you might be able to
>explain the issues above.

I try.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6609. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 00:43:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glzagt7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22851

On 28 Oct 2001 19:34:33 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:

>Some of the old timers in the
>biz had tales abt what showed up in food packages that would make yer
>hair stand on end.

Heard most of them - my father (may his soul rest in peace) spent 45
years in the dairy (milk bottling and ice cream manufacturing)
industry in the years before automation.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6610. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 00:43:19 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.glz8gk6.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22852

On Mon, 29 Oct 2001 04:46:46 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> Peretz Moshe Kanafi
>> a.k.a. Phil Kane
>> K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ
>
>
> While I'm asking questions, here is another good one. Why do so many
>Jews who live here in the United States change their names? Is it to
>sound less foreign or is some other motivation behind it? I've only
>known a few Jews in my life (that I'm aware of), but every one that
>I've known was using a fake name. I say "that I'm aware of" because it
>is hard to know for sure if so many are hiding their true identities
>behind a fake name.

Depends what you mean by a "fake" name. My father changed his name
from a Russianized name (Kanefsky) to an "Anglo" name in the
years between the World Wars at a time when it was fashionable for
all immigrants, not only Jewish, to take an Anglo first or last name
to show that they were "real Americans". He adopted the name in the
1920s (his Naturalization papers show "Kanefsky") and changed it
legally after WW-II when I was 10 years old although my birth
certificate shows both my name and his name as "Kane". In this
generation, very few names are "fake" names, most being genuine
names at birth, and some Jewish last names are genuine cultural
names, such as Cohen, Katz, and so forth. Some names were changed
by the bigoted immigration inspectors in Ellis Island who either
couldn't or wouldn't deal with the foreign-sounding names.

Our ancestral name in the Middle East (Babylon/Baghdad) was Kanafi,
forcibly Russianized to Kanefsky when the family settled in the
Ukraine in the 17th Century. Parts of my family have reclaimed use
of the original family name (Kanafi) both here in the 'States and in
Israel. I have not changed it legally here because all of my
professional and personal papers are in my birth name, but I can use
"Kanafi" in Israel if I so choose.

Does that clear anything up?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6611. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 01:07:06 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttrv9rhkuvi645@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:Xx_C7.162932$5A3.58442210@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:tto5jih164ul96@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > It isn't my job to go have to look for you. You asked, I provided.
>
>
> Provided what your opinion?

No, I have provided a fact. I don't have the abilities to go and look
and find the information, since I am over 1500 miles away, so if
you want to disprove me, have at it.

>
> > Unfortunately, I
> > don't have a California Drivers Manual anylonger (been years since I
lived
> > their), so I can't scan it and show it. Mebbe we have someone in
> California that could do same?
> > > Now, since you claimed that speeding is a felony, but gave only one
> > > example but which had extreme conditions, can you give an example
where
> > > speeding at any speed is a felony?
> >
> >
> > No, because it isn't. I gave an example. Don't try to regulate it into
> > something
> > it isn't. Someone said it wasn't a felony. I provided one example
where
> it
> > is.
> >
> > My point is made.
>
> Your point has been made but not confirmed.

My point is made, someone else on the newsgroup confirmed it, and
that is that.

You want to show it isn't true, have at it.

>
> Reckless driving:

> Although state laws vary, many states follow the Uniform Vehicle Code. It
> defines reckless driving as "willful or wanton disregard for the safety of
> persons or property."
>
> In order to charge someone with reckless driving, the prosecutor must show
> that a driver did not care about the harm resulting from his or her
driving,
> and that the driver should have realized such driving posed a hazard. When
> another person is killed as the result of reckless driving, the offending
> driver may be prosecuted for vehicular homicide, which is punishable by
> imprisonment and a fine.
>
>
> > You believe that. I know for a fact that repetitive reckless drivings
> will
> > enable you to lose the priviledge of driving a car.
>
> So will multiple speeding tickets but this does not make them felony
> offenses as you claim.

State of Nevada. 3 (or maybe 5) tickets in a given amount of time
(and I can't remember the exact time) constitute a felony, and
you also lose your license.

Please try again.

>
>
> > You call that a drop in
> > the bucket? Depending on where you live, that can cost you your family,
> > your job, your home (can't make the house payment if you can't get to
> > work!), etc.
>
> Hey the keyclowns take this same chance when they manufacture amps ,sell
> them, and use them.

What is your point now?

Toll_Free

6612. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 01:08:04 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttrvbrgfhhsb5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
news:L0%C7.162971$5A3.58467696@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> This is total Bullshit Posted by Troll Free, check the Uniform Vehicle
Code
> for clarification. A criminal misdemeanor is not a felony.

Please provide a posted website for this information from the State of
California,
since that is where I posted it as fact.

Toll_Free

>
> TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS
> Driving rules and violations are governed by state, county, and local laws
> and ordinances. Routine infractions typically result in small fines
(e.g.,
> up to $50) and rarely count as points against a driver's record. However,
> serious moving violations can be considered criminal misdemeanors and may
> result in suspension or revocation of a driver's license under the laws of
> many states. Monetary fines for reckless driving and other penalties may
be
> imposed, such as attending driving school/rehabilitation, jail time, or a
> combination, for serious offenders.
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:ttes5me3cunc15@corp.supernews.com...
> > Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states
is
> a
> > felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
> >
> > Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> > "Itsallgood" <itsallgood1@home.comspam> wrote in message
> > news:jPIB7.154174$5A3.53754895@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > > No your not Speeding is not a criminal offense in any state. Nice try
> tho.
> > >

> > > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > > news:tte9djr41qs026@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > >If I am lacking credibility in your eyes, then I am actually
> somewhat
> > > > > happy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > If you're happy engaging in criminal activity, you shouldn't
expect
> > > anyone
> > > > to
> > > > > take what you say seriously.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Hope you have never been caught speeding. Because your a CRIMINAL
if
> > > > you are!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >In another thread, you couldn't differentiate between someone
> sitting
> > > > aside
> > > > > >from someone else in
> > > > > >two mobiles having a shootout and two fixed stations sitting in
> > > different
> > > > > >areas.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >That's credibility?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >Toll_Free
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > I gave you a response based on reality, (something you seem to
have
> a
> > > > problem
> > > > > with) and personal experience. If you have a problem accepting
that,
> I
> > > > feel
> > > > > sorry for you.
> > > > >
> > > > Your version of reality, not having anything to do with what the
> > original
> > > > thread
> > > > was about.
> > > > >
> > > > Do you make it a habit to post off-topic on threads?

> > > >
> > > > Toll_Free
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6613. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 01:19:23 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tts00sigi57l65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jesus.

Someone actually gets it :)

The states can and do enact any laws that are MORE stringent than the laws
and guidelines provided by the federal government.

They may NOT enact laws that are less stringent, except as provided by law.

Otherwise... Utter chaos would result. However, this is the primary thing
that got the speed limit raised from 55 to
where it is now.....: The State of Nevada raised their speed limit to
infinitum during a brief period, and it actually
went to Congress about what to do about it. I was in the state at that
time, however, unable to drive (still in High School).

Toll_Free

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.glxq4ya.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Sun, 28 Oct 2001 21:59:32 GMT, Itsallgood wrote:
>
> >No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct
> >use of the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time
> >a traffic law is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.
>
> In California, failure to stop (hit-and-run) with death or serious
> injury [CVC s. 20001(b(2)] or driving under the influence of alcohol
> or drugs causing bodily injury [CVC ss. 23153 and 23558] can be
> charged as felonies.
>
> It's the CALIFORNIA Vehicle Code, not the Uniform Vehicle Code,
> that governs there.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> A real California attorney
>

6614. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 01:20:12 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tts02e79aled77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states
is
> a
> > felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
> >
> > Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
>
> No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct use of
> the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time a traffic
law
> is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.

Please provide the Uniform Vehicle Code for the State of California.

The following was taken from http://www.dmv.ca.gov/pubs/vctop/lov/lovd11.htm

F 21464(c) Signs, signals or markers, damaging, removing or attaching
material to, resulting in injury or death.

M/F 21651(c) Driving the wrong way on a divided highway which causes
injury or death.

F 23110(b) Throwing missile with intent to do serious bodily harm.

F 23153(a) Under influence of alcohol, drug, or combination, causing
injury or death to another on highway or other than a highway.
F 23153(b) Driving with a BAC of .08% or more causing injury or death
to another.
F 23153(d) Commercial Driver, driving with a BAC of .04% or more
causing injury or death to another. (Effective 1/1/92).
M/F 23175(a) Driving under the influence, fourth or subsequent
offense within seven years.
M/F 23175.5 (a) Driving under the influence, within 10 years of prior
felony DUI.

>
> Crimes are classified as either felonies or misdemeanors. Felonies are
> serious crimes (e.g., murder, rape, arson, armed robbery, tax evasion,
> possession of illegal drugs with the intent to sell or distribute, and
> forgery and larceny involving property valued in excess of a certain
amount)
> that are punishable by incarceration in jail. Misdemeanors are less

serious
> crimes (e.g., simple assault, disorderly conduct, disturbing the peace,
> malicious mischief, and possession of a small amount of illegal drugs with
> the intent merely to use them) that are punishable by little jail time
> (e.g., less than one year), fines, or probation

Blah blah blah.

I know what the difference between a felony and a misdemeanor is.

Throwing out jargon is a way of pulling people off the topic.

Toll_Free

>
>
>

6615. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 03:14:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE1B0E.4D98FC6F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22876

N2EY wrote:
>
> One word: inflation. Tuition was
> $3000/yr in 1972 and $4500/yr in
> 1975. You do remember the 1970s?
> I had hair back then....

That was a good one, Jim. I had a lot of hair back then (longer than my wife's hair today). In fact, most who know me today would be surprised if they saw a picture (if they even recognized me). It was another time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6616. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 03:34:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE1FAE.DC01FABA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22877

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> (snip) We know without doubt that
> the Taliban-who by the way runs the
> Afghan military, or who did until a
> couple weeks ago, not only aid and
> harbor Bin Laden and company, but
> actively participate in the training
> of terrorist operatives to
> clandestinely attack US on USA soil
> and elsewhere.

Well, I'm not going to sit here and argue with you endlessly. I've have not seen any EVIDENCE of that (direct aid and training of terrorist by the Taliban) and neither have you since we're both watching the same sources (CNN News, etc). The difference between us is that you're willing to accept what you see on the news, or the claims of some government officials, without question, while I want more before I blindly throw my support behind an effort to kill people.

> (snip) WE WERE ATTACKED IN A COORDINATED
> SERIES OF ACTS OF WAR!!! (snip)

Well, we were attacked. Everybody already knows that, Richard, so why keep repeating it. The question is who attacked us, not whether we were attacked. And I've already said that once we find out that, we should do whatever is necessary to get the attackers. The problem is that I'm not convinced that the Taliban is the attacker, therefore I'm not convinced the attempts to overthrow that government (by killing thousands of Afghan soldiers) is correct. And you've said absolutely nothing to convince me one way or the other. You simply rant on about just killing people, which I'm simply not blood thirsty enough to do.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6617. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 03:45:01 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110291945.766d212a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22869

"Kim-W5TIT" wrote

> At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal
> freedoms/civil liberties? If it those things we
> hold dear here in this country, and it is those
> things we "say" we defend, and it is those things
> we ask our military men and women to go and die
> for--then why not the common citizen be willing
> to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even
> if it means they are under more and increasing threat
> because of it?

First off, none of your personal freedoms have diminished since 09/11/01. None.

Second, "perfect personal freedom" and "perfect personal security" are mutually-incompatible polar-opposites on the extreme ends of a single continuum.

A good society strikes a balance at a point somewhere along that continuum, and the point moves as threats to security arise or diminish.

If you want perfect personal freedom, move somewhere where there are no laws, no police, no national guard, no central government, in a word NO security of any type. But don't come whining to us when someone pisses in your Post Toasties, or sends you an anthrax-laden Halloween card.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

6618. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:11:59 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE2872.15AF2028@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22881

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> So now you try to weasel out by
> insisting that you didn't say what
> you said! I'm pleased to let your
> postings speak for themselves. (snip)

You're not pleased to let my posting speak for themselves - you continue to bring up and twist what I've written in the past. And when nobody agrees with you, you try to rewrite what I've written (based on the "tone and tenor" of my comments). Has it escaped your notice that you're alone in your rant against me? Others might disagree with what I've written (and I'm sure many do), but they don't go around making a campaign out of twisting my words. Heck, I disagree with much of what you write, but you don't see me following you around trying to twist what you say. Anyway, I've had enough of this. Most here know me well enough to realize your garbage is just that, so I'm not going to waste more of their time by endlessly responding to it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6619. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:16:17 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9rl9ihaao1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 22990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>When you really want to get down to it, build a couple
>single or dual tube transmitters. Pain in the butt,

What pain? Any of the following can be used to make a single-tube transmitter which can be tossed together in an hour or two: 117N7GT, 6AV6, 1614, 3A5, 50L5, 6CX8, 6AG7, 6GW8, 6AQ5.

Make it a 2-tube xmtr and the number of circuits available becomes almost endless.

There is a very active email list devoted to home-building with tubes: "Glowbugs."

Jeff KH6O

--
Telecommunication Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard University of Hawaii System
jherman @ d14.uscg.mil jeffreyh @ hawaii.edu

6620. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:30:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE2CD6.34E7A1B6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22882

Krell wrote:
>
> (snip) This is what Israel repressents.
> A wasteland that no one wanted was
> turned into one of the most thriving
> and prosperous economies in the world,
> and the rest of the Arab world can't
> stand it. (snip)

Are you out of your mind? The lands now called Israel was, and is, among the most valuable real estate in the middle east. It is the seat of most Arab religions, contains some of the most fertile farm land in the region, and was the door for most trade with the west for many Arab nations. Thriving cities and cultures existed there long before the "inception of Israel as a nation in 1948," as you put it. Many Arabs believe those lands were stolen from them and that is what they cannot stand.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6621. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:42:36 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDE33E0.9A86ABF1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22870

CAM wrote:

>
> "War" is a legal term. We are not legally at war. What's hard
> to understand is how we can be at war without being at war.

War is a "legal term"???? Gee, I thought it was when you were getting your a$$
kicked,or maybe kicking someone else's. Legalities mean little. Which dickshunary
did you find this one in?

> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6622. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:52:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011029235211.16764.00000576@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 22871

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/29/2001 3:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011029164648.06769.00000169@nso-bd.aol.com>

Well...it was a pleasant few days...Why can't this creep stay lost...?!?!

>James Miccolis is not empowered to regulate civil radio
>in the USA

Sure he is...He and every other citizen who is old enough to vote and
without felony...just like you, Your Putziness.

>>"Rather than present a coherent future direction for a radio service
>>that you don't even use, you sit on the sidelines and argue endlessly
>>with those who DO use that service"
>
>Ah, here we go with good old ethnocentrism again!

Nope...just simple truth...You freely antagonize and instigate yet you
clearly have no vested interest in Amateur Radio at any level.

>Where was there some NEED to "present a coherent future
>direction for any radio service" as some kind of qualifier for
>discussion on regulations that pertain to entering a radio
>service?

Lennie, just when I think that you could not possibly further embarass nor
humiliate yourself, you pull a jem like this out of your bag of tricks.

Thanks. I needed that.

Are you REALLY this stupid, or is it just the limits that wifey will
allow...?!?!

>No. Miccolis' observation is biased by personal desires.

You truly are the king of the Pot/kettle/black syndrome, Your
Intoleranceness.

>>It's inapproriate in this sort of forum. Why do you do it - not just
>>to me, but to anyone who disagrees?
>
>Where are the "appropriate" guidelines published for a
>very common debate-discussion technique? In QST?
>Industry Canada publications?

It's in the "Lennie Rules". You demand certain treatment but then insist on showing that your word is worthless. You did it with me. You insist that "others" draw "debates" into ad hominem tactics, yet it is YOU who is the catalyst for the falmes...no one else. You.

>>I know. Even when your errors are pointed out, you don't accept the
>>obvious truth.
>
>I don't accept another's opinion as "truth" or that "errors" have
>been made in my interpretation versus another's interpretation.

Lennie-to-English: No matter what facts I am presented with, from what source or reference, I will ignore it or dismiss it as irreleveant. Period.

That's alright, Lennie. We already have YOUR number. We know you are a liar, and we know you are here for no other purpose than to antagonize a subset of society that you have no other way to wreak your havoc upon legally.

>Then why does Miccolis insist on an amateur radio
>license as a "qualification" for discussion of regulations
>regarding a first license?

As YOUR conduct and frequent misadventure herein has demonstrated CLEARLY, one cannot fully appreicate the needs, objectives and purpose of the Amateur Radio Service without active participation.

Your understanding of the technical aspects of the inside of the box notwithstanding, you are COMPLETELY ignorant of most on-going Amateur programs and practice.

You ARE ignorant. That is not contested. Just how are we going to get rid of you is. You are too far away for a can of "Raid", which is what I would apply to most roaches.

6623. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:55:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE327D.2A44C87E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22884

Krell wrote:
>
> stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> >
> > How many Arabs lived in the
> > lands now called Israel
>
> In 1940, about 650,000 Arabs lived
> in Israel. (snip)
>
> > How many Arabs live there today?
>
> Today, 5 million, and they are still
> claiming that they are "displaced."

Man, your numbers are totally false. According to the Time's World Almanac (2000 edition), the total population of Israel today is 5,749,760. If you're correct that 5 million Arabs live there today, than we're to believe that only 749,760 Jews live there. Of course, that is total BS since that same Almanac says that Jews represent at least 82% of the population. By the way, the remain 14% is mostly Sunni, the only Muslim group not yet totally "displaced," as you put it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6624. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:55:27 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDE36E4.D2B60291@townsqr.com>
Source record: 22872

K0HB wrote:

> "Kim-W5TIT" wrote
>
> > At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal
> > freedoms/civil liberties? If it those things we
> > hold dear here in this country, and it is those
> > things we "say" we defend, and it is those things
> > we ask our military men and women to go and die
> > for--then why not the common citizen be willing
> > to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even
> > if it means they are under more and increasing threat
> > because of it?
>
> First off, none of your personal freedoms have diminished
> since 09/11/01. None.
>
> Second, "perfect personal freedom" and "perfect personal
> security" are mutually-incompatible polar-opposites on the
> extreme ends of a single continuum.
>
> A good society strikes a balance at a point somewhere along
> that continuum, and the point moves as threats to security
> arise or diminish.
>
> If you want perfect personal freedom, move somewhere where
> there are no laws, no police, no national guard, no central
> government, in a word NO security of any type. But don't
> come whining to us when someone pisses in your Post Toasties,
> or sends you an anthrax-laden Halloween card.

Dang, Hans, if you'd have put that in truck stop Anglish she might
have understood.

6625. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 04:58:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE3350.5722679A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22885

CAM wrote:
>
> Another example of Dick's poor
> typing ability. That was supposed
> to be "Kim and Dwight".

Give him time. He'll make up for the mistake elsewhere.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6626. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 05:03:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030000335.03411.00000111@nso-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22873

In article <20011028212023.12427.00000313@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>The "best" fats are those rich in Omega-3 fatty acids.

Yup - if they are also low in saturated fat and trans-fatty acids.

>Virtually all of
>the fat found in fish is "good" fat, rich in the Omega-3's. I eat a lot of
>salmon, which is probably the one fish richest in these good fats. I
>also cook with canola oil, which is polyunsaturated and cholesterol-
>free. I fry with peanut oil, which has the same properties but a much
>higher temperature-handling capability. I use only Extra-virgin olive
>oil in my salad dressings and sauces.

All good info. Flaxseed oil is also good, but not common in supermarkets.

> These are by far the "best" fats.
>However, if you're trying to lose weight, remember -- they're not called
>"fats" without a good reason! I don't have a weight problem, so I can
>consume them in moderation. Anyone watching the bathroom scale
>should probably avoid them altogether -- except for the fish, which is
>good for you whatever your dietary concerns.

I have to disagree with this last idea, Larry. For many people, eating a lowfat diet leaves them unsatisfied, so they eat more of other things in an unsuccessful attempt to compensate. Often "low fat" and "no fat" foods have no fewer calories than their fatty counterparts, because they are full of sugars. I found that when I went from a low-fat, high carbohydrate diet to a low carbs, low sugar, high protein/good fat diet, I LOST weight! That's just me, of course - each of us is an "experiment of one".

Gotta go. I have a nice big piece of salmon poaching as I write this. Cold salmon for lunch this week!

73 de Jim, N2EY

6627. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 05:26:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030002630.05418.00000183@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 22874

In article <b7c5262e.0110291945.766d212a@posting.google.com>, k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB) writes:

>"Kim-W5TIT" wrote
>
>> At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal
>> freedoms/civil liberties? If it those things we
>> hold dear here in this country, and it is those
>> things we "say" we defend, and it is those things
>> we ask our military men and women to go and die
>> for--then why not the common citizen be willing
>> to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even
>> if it means they are under more and increasing threat
>> because of it?
>
>First off, none of your personal freedoms have diminished
>since 09/11/01. None.

An American terroist tried to restrict some of my freedoms on 01/25/99. [perhaps you've heard of that? :-)]

>Second, "perfect personal freedom" and "perfect personal
>security" are mutually-incompatible polar-opposites on the
>extreme ends of a single continuum.

Was a "perfect" situation so written? I don't think so.

>A good society strikes a balance at a point somewhere along
>that continuum, and the point moves as threats to security
>arise or diminish.

I've always thought a "good society" would accept a discussion on subjects...such as what might have been the case in this newsgroup. I was wrong. Part of the "good society" has been engaged in a small war of its own to keep the status quo in amateur regulations.

>If you want perfect personal freedom, move somewhere where
>there are no laws, no police, no national guard, no central
>government, in a word NO security of any type. But don't
>come whining to us when someone pisses in your Post Toasties,
>or sends you an anthrax-laden Halloween card.

I'm sure no one would dare piss in Brakob's cereal. From the sound of things a biological agent would go scurrying away from Hans in fear of its cellular life. :-)

However, it is clear that no one dare disagree with the testosterone-laden "all kind wishes" broadside.* Maybe that is a bio-terror agent in and of itself? :-)

>With all kind wishes,

LHA

* There's a problem with "crossing the T" and shooting. Despite the bravery-in-words exhibited and attempts to put another down, the rest of the "T" keeps shooting back. Please consider that the last "crossing of the T" was 46 years ago...hasn't worked much since.

6628. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 05:26:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030002632.05418.00000184@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 22875

In article <3bde0ec7_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>Yep. This is never going to be settled, and anyone--*ANYONE* who thinks the
>United States and any allies we can drum up are going to "march in" over
>there and change what hasn't been changed in several hundred centuries is
>delusional.

In that case a lot of historians have recorded a lot of "delusion."
:-)

Let's take a couple of examples of "marching in" that happened
in the middle of the previous century...to Japan and Germany.

Japan had seen many centuries as a feudal warlord type
of rule, honoring of Samurai and the Bushido (code of the
warrior). While they had made some inroads into
"western" government (despite having an emperor), the
warlords had taken over the government in the 1930s and
made aggressive moves into the Asian mainland. They
expanded too far in deciding to attack the USA. The end
result was that US forces marched into Japan in 1945 and
fairly well changed Japan from that time on. I saw a part
of that change, arriving there just after the Occupation
had ended.

Germany went a similar route but used a different
philosophy of aggression. They lost, too, but the
Communist forces had marched in from the east and
for a long time there were two Germanys, complete
with internal conflict along with two new philosophical
views. Eventually Germany was able to unite and
become a single "western" nation and an ally in
NATO as well as an ally in the fight against terrorism.

>All that we have achieved is a probable outcome of far more terrorism
>wreaked upon us than we ever bargained here from behind our borders. That's
>*all* we've managed to do.

The alternative was to do nothing after 9-11. In all
probability the USA would have had more of the same.
The USA was rudely and monstrously given a lose-
lose choice by others on our homeland. The USA will
turn that into a win situation...but not in the
conventional warfare sense of "troops marching in
and taking over."

The USA has always had enemies of one kind or another in its entire existance. In the latter half of the last century the USA's position on Middle Eastern affairs has been to support Israel but not its neighbors. Those neighbors have not forgotten that nor are they likely to do so...except by the USA showing some strength in its retaliation, a willingness to retaliate. To do nothing at all is a sign of weakness and that weakness is an open invitation for all the extremists to enact more terror against the US.

6629. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 05:28:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE3A60.1B518E59@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22886

N2EY wrote:
>
> Well, I'm not Jewish but I'll take a shot
> at this one. Here's what one goy has
> picked up over time:
>
> First off, it's my understanding that
> every observant Jew has a Hebrew name,
> for religious reasons. This name may or
> may not exactly correspond to that
> person's "English" name.

Well, I think Phil Kane is a little more than "not exactly"
corresponding to Peretz Moshe Kanafi. It's like a hundred miles apart.
But, perhaps it is his Hebrew name, but why wouldn't he just openly
use that name in public. This is the first time I've ever heard Phil
even mention it. For that matter, this is the first time I've ever
heard Phil openly admit that he is Jewish (which does shed some light
on some of the previous political positions he has taken in this newsgroup).

> Many immigrants, not just Jews, had their
> names changed at Ellis Island because the
> clerks were too lazy or too ignorant to
> deal with "odd sounding" names. (snip)

I don't think Phil came through Ellis Island.

> Many immigrants, not just Jews, changed
> their names to avoid being obvious targets
> of ridicule, bigotry and persecution. (snip)

And I don't think Phil, a successful lawyer, ex-employee of the FCC,
and so forth, has suffered from too much ridicule, bigotry and
persecution. If so, I'd like to be persecuted like that. And I haven't
seen anyone ridiculing him in this newsgroup since mentioning that he
is Jewish (and you know how these newsgroups are - if there was
someone here that didn't like Jews, they would be all over him by now).

> What's a "fake name" and what's a
> "real Jewish name", Dwight?

Huh? Oh, you're talking about my comment about hiding true
identities behind a fake name. I was talking about the non-Jewish
sounding name used here, not the Jewish name.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6630. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 07:01:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE41AA.D88A1D45@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22878

Kim-W5TIT little tits wrote:

> At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal freedoms/civil
> liberties? If it those things we hold dear here in this country, and it is
> those things we "say" we defend, and it is those things we ask our military
> men and women to go and die for--then why not the common citizen be willing
> to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even if it means they are under
> more and increasing threat because of it?

So just let more Americans die, but make sure all of my freedoms
are safe. Wait until the 'more and increasing threat' effects you
and your family and you will be screaming about how the government
should have done more to protect you.

6631. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 07:05:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE427C.162ED429@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22879

Kim-W5TIT little tits wrote again:

>
> Likewise, to Hell with letting them or anyone else interfere with my
> liberties, civil freedoms, creature comforts, etc. If having all of
> that--without restriction means I am more susceptible to being affected by
> terrorism, then sobeit.

Hah! You say that now, but wait until it hits you and lets hear
you scream.

6632. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 07:10:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE43BD.5AC39DE6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22880

And he is correct, when it all hits the fan, every Muslim will
turn against the non Muslim world. Keep your powder dry.

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> This article from NYTimes.com
>
> We Are All Alone
>
> October 26, 2001
>
> By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN
>
> My fellow Americans, I hate to say this, but except for the
> good old Brits, we're all alone. And at the end of the day,
> it's U.S. and British troops who will have to go in, on the
> ground, and eliminate bin Laden.

6633. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 11:04:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110300304.69118306@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22883

Jim Pennino <jimp@mail.specsol.com> wrote in message
news:<f37hr9.jrn.ln@mail.specsol.com>...
> >>
> > been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
> > in the goo.
> >>
> >>
> > The net result of that foray into food chemistry is that I haven't
> > been in a McDonald's since and I don't give a damn how much my
> > grandchildren whine Pop-pop absolutely will not take them to a
> > McDonald's.
> >>
> >>
> > w3rv
>
> Chemistry 101; aluminum is highly reactive, tomatoe juice is highly
> acidic.
>
> Most people know not to use aluminum with tomatoe products; you would
> think a food packaging person would know better.
>
>
To get you up to date I'm not a "food packaging person", I'm a machine
designer. In that instance a filling machine designer. In other
instances I've done the steam catapults on the USS Nimitz, whatever.
>
>
The goo did not attack my filling machine components, My management
initially sent the wrong talent to South Chicago (me). Once I
identified the problem they sent in the chem guru who also couldn't
fix the problem either at which point they told the customer to go
find another packaging vendor.
>
>
> Kitchen experiment: take a piece of foil and put catsup, BBQ sauce,
> spaghetti sauce, anything with tomatoe in it, spots on the foil. Wait
> over night. Observe the nice holes.
>
Your "challenge" aroused my interest Jimmy. I went to a local
supermarket and equipped myself to validate your "experiment".
Reynold's wrap, a small can of Campbell's tomato juice, I already had
a bottle of Heinz catsup, plus I grabbed a bottle of hyper-toxic
"Sweet Baby Ray's" BBQ sauce. I mixed (tried to: They seem to be sorta
mutually immiscible) a few tablespoons of each in a Reynolds Wrap

sorta bowl I fabbed which I then deposited in a paper bowl in case the holes you know all about came to pass. All of which have been ensconced on top of a hot old iron radiator for a day and a half so far in an attempt to accelerate any reactions and and no holes have come to pass yet sweetums.
>
>
If you have any problems with my experimental results Doctor pass along yer UPS address and I'll ship you the whole smelly experiment and YOU validate your knee-jerk hypothesis.
>
>
> You can blame McDonald's for cardboard food, but not basic chemistry.
>
> Jim Pennino
>
>
w3rv

6634. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 11:34:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE9022.E2FBF47E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22968

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Richard Carroll" wrote...
> >
> > (snip)
>
> Hey, Dwight? (snip)

Hey, Kim. If you going to talk to Dick, then make sure to address
him, not me. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6635. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 11:53:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE94C9.D4B3BEB2@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22969

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> There had always been a Jewish
> presence in Israel from Biblical
> times, and up until the British
> Mandate of 1919 we lived in harmony
> with the Arabs, most of whom
> settled in the land in the 1880s.

Good answers, Phil. So what is being done, or can be done, to get that harmony back? This conflict has been going on for almost fifty years and the divide seems wider today than it ever was. And now the conflict is making it's way to this country. There has to be a solution. You've been there and I haven't, so what is the answer?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6636. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 11:55:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030065548.23438.00000544@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22887

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/29/2001 3:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011029164643.06769.00000166@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Few in here were born before the end of WW2. They don't
>understand nor can they appreciate the outpouring of
>patriotism that occured here after December 7, 1941, nor
>after December 8, 1941, when Germany and Italy formally
>declared war on the United States.

Bull.

>Indeed, Carroll is just rationalizing His own opinion.

And what Anderson does is called.....?!?!?!

6637. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:15:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030071546.23438.00000545@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22889

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/29/2001 3:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011029164646.06769.00000168@nso-bd.aol.com>

>There is no "audacity" in disagreement. There IS audacity in
>manufacturing "errors" that aren't there...such as in the
>amateur radio statistics tabulated by AH0A.

Then why do YOU take such great liberties with the manufacturing process,
Your Lyingness?

>"Truth" is not in this case. Any reader can scan past
>messages and see who "spends more time posting in here."

When Jim posts in here his posts are timely, accurate and considerate.

Yours are not.

And Jim will have to post a lot of stuff here to EVER hope to catch up to
you, Lennie. A LOT.

>True. Retirement does have certain benefits.

Yours is the opportunity to harrass, antagonize and insult. Not a very
honorable way to spend one's waning hours.

>It IS bragging if all you've done is claim it and cannot
>provide any proof of accomplishment.

So what's your point? You do this in almost every post you make. You
have not proved a single thing here, save your foolishness, dishonesty and
cowardice.

>For someone who has an inordinate amount of free time to
>spend on amateur radio newsgoop messaging, I'm surprised
>that there was no immediate response of a "tutorial" on
>Smith Chart use.

We're still waiting on your microwave applications tutorial. Seems you
have a real bad habit of accusing others of "not" doing something, yet doing
nothing of your own.

That IS what we have come to expect from you, Lennie...NOTHING. Well...
let me clarify that...nothing CONSTRUCTIVE.

>Anyone who claims to have "mastered the
>Smith Chart" should have jumped right in on the subject just
>like he jumps in about morse code use

Kinda like someone who claims mastery of microwave technology?!?!

>I've not claimed any "new order," just some reasons for ending
>the morse code test for an amateur radio license.

Lie.

>I've only
>claimed that removing the code test would give more citizens
>freedom to enter a hobby activity involving radio.

That it would, but that is not all you have claimed, ergo ANOTHER lie.

>>You and others promote "change" as if all change is automatically good
>>and any resistance or opposition to change is automatically bad. I
>>simply gave a clear example of how "change" is not always good.
>>Simple.
>
>Incorrect in judgement. FREEDOM is not a bad word.

Lennie opens another package of the "patriotic bunting" that he accuses
others of draping himself in and slings out a catchy snippet that invokes
patriotic furvor.

Of course NO ONE said anything about "freedom" not being good. But Lennie
makes it LOOK like they did, therefore putting himself in position to be
freedom's "defender".

>Removal of the amateur code test will remove the strict,
>regimented alignment of amateur radio = morse code.

No it won't. I hasn't for the last 1 yers, what makes you think it will
now, Your Putziness?

>Freedom from old, antiquated regulations is considered is
>considered "bad." Interesting. Maybe even "fascinating."
>The new order that was the old order. Status quoism.

There is NO "status quoism". The only remaining barrier to the removal of
US Morse Code requirements is deletion of the appropriate paragraph of ITU
regulations. The FCC has sid this...The ARRL has accepted this, and almost
every administration around the world has set thier Code testing requirements
at 5WPM, down from 12 to 20 wpm previously.

Of course Leonard H. Anderson, pathological liar that he is, keeps
insisting that the present remaining code tests are some sort of consipiracy to
keep him and his anti-social engineering buddies out of Amateur Radio. He also
keeps insisting that there is no change in Amateur regulations. Another lie,
easily refuted by anyone who has a few minutes to review the Federal
Register...but he rants on...and on....and on...and.....

6638. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:17:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030071720.24612.00000160@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 22890

In article <d22b54c3.0110281934.2c036d5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> I related the story about the disappearing aluminium utensils to my
>> wife who has had a side-business as a caterer for several decades
>> and has worked as a designer of processing lines for the food
>> industry, and after the big smile her reaction was "everyone learns
>> that after the first time....".
>>
>>
>She's right, off to the local supermarket went self, glomed three
>plastic basters. Live & learn.
>>
I was curious about the corrosion properties of tomato-based sauces, so I did a little experiment.

Took a piece of aluminum foil and put samples of ketchup, spaghetti sauce and barbeque sauce on it -about a tablespoon of each. All were common commerical sauces. Then I let it sit for 24 hours. End result was no observable corrosion of the aluminum foil. Zero, zip, nil.

If it'll take out a turkey baster overnight, I don't want to eat it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6639. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:21:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDE9B44.6706927C@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22970

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Depends what you mean by a "fake" name.

Okay, the word "fake" probably wasn't the best choice, but I couldn't think of a better one to use ("alternative" was the only other word I could think of, but that doesn't really fit either, does it). Anyway, the reason I asked was that I overheard a previous co-worker insisting to another that his Jewish name (whatever it was) was who he really is, which left me with a lot of questions (like why he didn't just use that name then). But I didn't know him well enough to ask and the subject never came up again after that.

> Does that clear anything up?

Well, I didn't need to know your whole family history to clear it up. My question wasn't so much about your name as names in general. But there was enough in all that to answer most of the questions I had.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6640. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:35:48 UTC
From: Train <likeicare@all.com>
Message-ID: <E8xD7.44703$C7.13957476@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 22894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A fast way to tell the difference is this:
Does it carry with it a jail term of 1 year or longer.
Train

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tts02e79aled77@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states
> is
> > a
> > > felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
> > >
> > > Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll_Free
> >
> > No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct use
of
> > the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time a traffic
> law
> > is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.
>
>
> Please provide the Uniform Vehicle Code for the State of California.
>
> The following was taken from
http://www.dmv.ca.gov/pubs/vctop/lov/lovd11.htm
>
>
> F 21464(c) Signs, signals or markers, damaging, removing or
attaching
> material to, resulting in injury or death.
>
> M/F 21651(c) Driving the wrong way on a divided highway which causes
> injury or death.
>
> F 23110(b) Throwing missile with intent to do serious bodily harm.
>
> F 23153(a) Under influence of alcohol, drug, or combination,
causing
> injury or death to another on highway or other than a highway.
> F 23153(b) Driving with a BAC of .08% or more causing injury or
death

> to another.
> F 23153(d) Commercial Driver, driving with a BAC of .04% or more
> causing injury or death to another. (Effective 1/1/92).
> M/F 23175(a) Driving under the influence, fourth or subsequent
> offense within seven years.
> M/F 23175.5 (a) Driving under the influence, within 10 years of
prior
> felony DUI.
>
>
>
> >
> > Crimes are classified as either felonies or misdemeanors. Felonies are
> > serious crimes (e.g., murder, rape, arson, armed robbery, tax evasion,
> > possession of illegal drugs with the intent to sell or distribute, and
> > forgery and larceny involving property valued in excess of a certain
> amount)
> > that are punishable by incarceration in jail. Misdemeanors are less
> serious
> > crimes (e.g., simple assault, disorderly conduct, disturbing the peace,
> > malicious mischief, and possession of a small amount of illegal drugs
with
> > the intent merely to use them) that are punishable by little jail time
> > (e.g., less than one year), fines, or probation
>
>
> Blah blah blah.
>
> I know what the difference between a felony and a misdemeanor is.
>
>
> Throwing out jargon is a way of pulling people off the topic.
>
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
> >
> >
> >
>
>

6641. Re: Complements and Compliments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:38:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030073857.23438.00000546@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22895

>Subject: Re: Complements and Compliments
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/29/2001 3:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011029164645.06769.00000167@nso-bd.aol.com>

>Aha, ethnocentricity enters the picture. One Must have an
>amateur radio license in order to discuss policy matters
>about entering same!

Nope...just more proof that you could have been licensed years ago.

Perhaps if you had, then you MIGHT have acquired some practical experience in matters realting to Amateur Radio practice, putting some real strength in your rants.

You have never availed yourself of that opportunity, so you continue to make rants in here that are not clearly thought out nor substantiated by facts.

You look foolish, by your own hand...which makes you look even MORE foolish.

>Impossible. I do not have the ethnocentric attitude to love
>and worship morse code or the associated mythology of
>amateur radio.

"Ethnocentric"...the latest Lennie adjective. At least he got past "perjorative".

>"Not on the ham bands?" Presumably only those "ham
>bands" are those in the HF part of the spectrum? How
>do VHF through microwave amateur radio allocated bands
>propagate to the east?

If your were an active Amateur Radio licensee, you'd know. And you are not.....

>"Does not write for any current publication?" Miccolis should
>investigate how many different publications exist in the USA.
>There are thousands. Of course, the only qualifier is that such
>publications must be those that "he knows."

A search engine comes up zeros looking for Lennie. Perhaps he'd care to enlighten us as to which publications he has written for since 1980-something?!? Perhaps something that is still publishing?

>"Never posts anywhere else?"

Perhaps "Never posts anywhere else using the same screen names he harrasses RRAP with" would have been more accurate.

Of course if he did that, and someone from another NG started a search for Lenof21, Lenover21, or any other of his rrap-associated nomme-de-guerre's, they'd see what a hate-filled liar he is, and anything he did manage to spew out that was even remotely relevent would be dismissed.

>I realize that some are unable to separate their own personal
>desires, opinions, beliefs from the accomplishment of
>passing a license test.

Sure you have...you've done it with your "now expired" GROL and have tried to insinuate that your night-school/correspondence course EE degree put's you ahead of anyone else. Repeatedly.

>>Maybe. Or maybe his aim is to try to bring out the worst in those who
>>disagree with him.
>
>Untrue. See below...
>
>>Notice that he never says what his goal really is (other than
>>ending the code test) and never gives any sort of coherent vision
>>of where ham radio should go, other than "no code test, one class
>>of license, no kids under 14".
>
>My goal is simply the elimination of the morse code test
>for any class of amateur radio license. As simple as that.

Lennie, I LOVE it when you quote yourself, and then prove yourself a liar. You say that your only "claim" is the elimination of the Morse Code test, yet it WAS you that suggested TO THE FCC that an age limit was necessary for an Amatuer Radio license.

>Those who revere and honor morse code ability and
>associate it so much with amateur radio that they must
>keep it forever are the ones suspect. Their only "vision of
>the future of amateur radio" is more of the same that they
>enjoy doing. That's a purely selfish attitude of theirs.

You keep trying to re-inject the rant about "revere and honor (M)orse code ability", yet are unable to explain to us how you would alternately align the Amateur testing program. You have FURTHER yet to explain to us why you suport a 14 year old age limit, when every FCC warning/violation letter issued in YEARS has been to adults.

>Some in here think that an amateur radio license is their
>single most important accomplishment in life...at least from
>how their attitudes are visible to others.

Only you would draw that conclusion...but considering you have no life beyond acting foolish in here, it's no wonder, really.

You really are QUITE the fool, Lennie. Thanks for the laughs!

6642. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:44:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030074448.23438.00000549@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22896

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 10/30/2001 8:20 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3bde0ec7_1@newsa.ev1.net>

>Yep. This is never going to be settled, and anyone--*ANYONE* who thinks the
>United States and any allies we can drum up are going to "march in" over
>there and change what hasn't been changed in several hundred centuries is
>delusional.

You are almost correct, Kim. We won't "win" anything by a protracted ground war...We enver claimed that we would...What we NEED to do is take all those women there who have been so horribly enslaved by those bastards and start to teach them that they don't have to live like that...That they ARE entitled to be treated with dignity and respect, and have a RIGHT to an education...Not an "education" that tells them that they have no business being a human being.

One of two things will happen....there will be some social changes occuring...Or there will be a rash of really ugly sheep births.....

=) Silly mode off.

6643. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 12:54:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030075407.23438.00000552@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 22897

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/29/2001 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011030002630.05418.00000183@nso-fk.aol.com>

>
>An American terroist tried to restrict some of my freedoms
>on 01/25/99. [perhaps you've heard of that?

Not a terrorist...Just pointed out your dishonest, antagonistic nature,
Your Lyfullness.

>I've always thought a "good society" would accept a
>discussion on subjects...such as what might have been
>the case in this newsgroup. I was wrong.

Perhaps if you could really "discuss" things without resorting to lying,
name-calling and dishonesty, people would want to "play nice" with you more.

Problem is you won't so they don't. It really is THAT simple.

>I'm sure no one would dare piss in Brakob's cereal.

I would, but to what avail...?!?! I'd do yours if I happen to be in
SoCal, but I am not going to spend the airfare jsut for sporting purposes.

6644. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 13:09:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BDEA67B.CD073E17@sccoast.net>
Source record: 22972

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> My company employs people from
> many different lands. In many cases,
> Indians, Laotians, Chinese, Russians,
> etc., have taken on "Americanized"
> names. I don't know exactly why,
> but if you'd like, Dwight, I'll ask
> them.

I don't know them, so no need to ask, Kim. I do know Phil (at least as far as discussions in this newsgroup), so I asked.

>
> It may have a lot to do with having
> to explain pronunciation and spelling
> all the time. (snip)

Makes sense if the name is way out there, but Peretz Moshe Kanafi (Phil's other name) isn't. It doesn't look that hard to pronounce and it certainly isn't any harder to spell than many other names I've seen. Likewise, Phil Kane isn't exactly out there either, so why not just use that (here and in Israel). Anyway, it's none of my business what Phil does. I was just curious about the mentality behind the two names (which he explained).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6645. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 13:09:56 UTC
From: aaronvoobner <aaronvoobner@home.coms>
Message-ID: <EExD7.166114$5A3.60617080@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Toll Free each example you gave there was death or serious bodily harm involved. Otherwise no such felony, last time I looked anytime you kill someone that is a felony.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:tts02e79aled77@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most states
> is
> > a
> > > felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
> > >
> > > Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll_Free
> >
> > No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct use
of
> > the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time a traffic
> law
> > is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.
>
>
> Please provide the Uniform Vehicle Code for the State of California.
>
> The following was taken from
http://www.dmv.ca.gov/pubs/vctop/lov/lovd11.htm
>
>
> F 21464(c) Signs, signals or markers, damaging, removing or
attaching
> material to, resulting in injury or death.
>
> M/F 21651(c) Driving the wrong way on a divided highway which causes
> injury or death.
>
> F 23110(b) Throwing missile with intent to do serious bodily harm.
>
> F 23153(a) Under influence of alcohol, drug, or combination,
causing
> injury or death to another on highway or other than a highway.
> F 23153(b) Driving with a BAC of .08% or more causing injury or
death

> to another.
> F 23153(d) Commercial Driver, driving with a BAC of .04% or more
> causing injury or death to another. (Effective 1/1/92).
> M/F 23175(a) Driving under the influence, fourth or subsequent
> offense within seven years.
> M/F 23175.5 (a) Driving under the influence, within 10 years of
prior
> felony DUI.
>
>
>
> >
> > Crimes are classified as either felonies or misdemeanors. Felonies are
> > serious crimes (e.g., murder, rape, arson, armed robbery, tax evasion,
> > possession of illegal drugs with the intent to sell or distribute, and
> > forgery and larceny involving property valued in excess of a certain
> amount)
> > that are punishable by incarceration in jail. Misdemeanors are less
> serious
> > crimes (e.g., simple assault, disorderly conduct, disturbing the peace,
> > malicious mischief, and possession of a small amount of illegal drugs
with
> > the intent merely to use them) that are punishable by little jail time
> > (e.g., less than one year), fines, or probation
>
>
> Blah blah blah.
>
> I know what the difference between a felony and a misdemeanor is.
>
>
> Throwing out jargon is a way of pulling people off the topic.
>
>
>
> Toll_Free
>
>
>
> >
> >
> >
>
>

6646. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:13:51 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde0d1b_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22857

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9rihce0itb@enews1.newsguy.com...
> In article <3bdc1086_2@newsa.ev1.net>, nospam@none.net says...
> >
> >"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:9rflpq0bdi@enews2.newsguy.com...
> >>
> >> What we need to do is incinerate a few million people in the countries
I
> >> mentioned. Maybe then the rest will get the message. If we, for once,
went
> >> berserk, this shit would stop.
> >>
> >
> >It is "their" hate of us that causes the very actions you sanction
against
> >them above. Do you wish to be no different?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> 6000 people were murdered. Why should I even care what happens to "them?"
>

Again, the very same sentiments you express are "most likely" (can't say for sure because I don't know any of them) the very same sentiments they feel and express. Before anyone goes off half-cocked, think about it. While I don't condone the actions of terrorism, or war for that matter, I also wonder what has to happen to avoid it. What does have to happen? Does the "they" part of the equation have to bear to everything we believe? And, does the "we" part of the equation have to bear to everything they believe? Or, does some real hard work get done on finding common ground?

I view the world much like I would a playground at a school--it's just a bigger stage. One bully punches out a little kid less prone to protecting him/herself. So, a couple of other bullies run over the next day and retaliate against 2-3 of the little brat's friends and him/her. Then, the original perpetrator goes over the next day and wreaks havoc on another 5-10 of those. And back and forth it goes. Pretty soon, there's not many kids left on the playground, and those that are have learned nothing about negotiating through things such as disagreements.

Like I said--to Hell and back with Osama bin Laden, and any other creep. I am not their judge; but can only imagine the evil they will have to contend with upon their death.

Likewise, to Hell with letting them or anyone else interfere with my liberties, civil freedoms, creature comforts, etc. If having all of that--without restriction means I am more susceptible to being affected by terrorism, then sobeit.

For me, it is not about vengeance, it is not about hating them, or hating you or anyone else--it is about not giving in to the threats of anyone regardless of what it may mean to me personally.

War? I don't know what to think of that. I don't feel one way or the other about it--other than cringing every time I hear someone say "why should I care about...." (no matter what side the voice was coming from). Sometime, somewhere, someone has got to start caring--and they've got to start doing it before there's only a couple of kids left on the playground.

Kim W5TIT

6647. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:18:33 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde0e34_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22858

Thaynk yew, Dwight. Couldn't have said it better meself.

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BDD1DE5.57254A3A@sccoast.net...
> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > The tragis irony of all this is
> > that Kimand Dwight get to experience
> > the fruits of the labors of our
> > military also, while selfrightously
> > posturing.
>
>
> Man, you are one twisted individual. You insist you support our
> freedoms, yet you define anyone who exercises freedom as an enemy of
> this country. Trust me, my friend, that "Kimand" crap you put in front
> of my name fits you a whole lot better than it fits me. I believe in
> the freedoms of this country, while you see those very freedoms as a
threat.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6648. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:20:59 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde0ec7_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22859

Yep. This is never going to be settled, and anyone--*ANYONE* who thinks the United States and any allies we can drum up are going to "march in" over there and change what hasn't been changed in several hundred centuries is delusional.

All that we have achieved is a probable outcome of far more terrorism wreaked upon us than we ever bargained here from behind our borders. That's *all* we've managed to do.

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BDD21D5.E7274D6A@sccoast.net...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > We need to get the business in Afghanistan
> > over with and then move on... (snip)
>
>
> You're joking, right? We're never going to be able to move on. We
> have created who know how many more new enemies for the United States
> with this attack on Afghanistan - thousands who will spend the rest of
> their lives hating us and wanting revenge. That is the problem with
> war - it goes on and on. You will be dead of old age long before our
> involvement in the middle east ends.
>
>
> > If it mean's hairlipping every Arab in the
> > world, I could care less. (snip)
>
>
> Then you had better start building a whole lot more bombs. There are
> almost a billion of them to kill off and we don't have enough nukes to
> kill more than a quarter of that number.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6649. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:27:54 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde1069_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22860

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDD7337.BA15D394@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:9rh0pi0c4m@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > >
> > > That's the essence of war. Innocent people are going to be killed. If
> > that's
> > > what it takes to preserve our lives and our way of life, so be it.
> > >
> >
> > That is *EXACTLY* what "they" thought when they struck the United
States.
>
> Through your fog, you finally saw a piece of something clearly. But only
a
> piece of it.
> That's exactly why we must do what we're doing. What is it about WAR that
you
> find so difficult to understand?
> Is it just because you'd like for us not to have to be in one? News
Flash--We
> *all* share that! But you and Dwight would rather just let them win so
that
> you can feel better about yourselves until they do. Retch!!!
>

Hey, Dwight? Take your self-righteous self and bend way over backwards.
See that asshole staring you in the face? It's real dark in there but you
might be able to find more sensibility in there than your brain.

Now, what you might do is light up another cig, get yerself another beer--or
is that cheap wine you're on--and work real hard on reading comprehension.

I have no problem at all with war, other than it plain sucks. But, it
happens, right? No big deal. What is a big deal is figuring out how we got
there--and the part of the "we" that you always seem to want to leave out is
the other side. I guess your answer to this whole thing is to just stay at
war for the next few hundred centuries--yeah, that ought to do it.

Cough was that your raspy, cigarette smoke laden lungs I heard?

Kim W5TIT

6650. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:29:10 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde10b1$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22861

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDD7376.4B59FB4B@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > "Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3BDBA77D.D63B852A@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > > So then because they're illiterate and uninformed, we should allow
them
> > > to continue to recruit, train and provide even more terrorists to
attack
> > > us, instead of hitting the snake at its head. You're a tactical
genius,
> > > Dwight.
> > >
> >
> > Coming from someone with such limited intellect, I don't think Dwight
will
> > much consider that a compliment ;)
>
> Coming from you, I find it very easy to just consider the source and
ignore
> that.
>

Your intellect shone through again, Dick. To ignore would mean that you would not have responded. Know that dark area I was talking about? I don't think you're going to find anything there, either.

Kim W5TIT

6651. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:30:41 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde110c$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22862

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDD751F.8E7893E8@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Richard Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > That's right, Dwight, you said
> > > none of the above. The tone and tenor
> > > of your words had to say it for you.
> >
> > The "tone and tenor" of my words? Man, if you want to argue with me
> > about something I've said, than more power to you. But don't go after
> > me for what you think I've said based on your concepts of "tone and
> > tenor," because so far you appear to be totally tone deaf.
> >
> > I'm a very straightforward person. I tend to write exactly what I
> > mean. What I write may not always be perfectly clear, but I'm more
> > than willing to explain when asked. Now, if you see that as "changing
> > his story," than perhaps you should ask yourself if you've ever had to
> > explain something you've said and if that was changing your story or a
> > simple explanation.
> >
> > Of course, I'm sure you've not had too many people following you
> > around trying to maliciously twist what you say based on their idea of
> > "tone and tenor." That seems to be a sport only practiced by a few in
> > these newsgroups.
>
> So now you try to weasel out by insisting that you didn't say what you
> said! I'm pleased to let your postings speak for themselves. Nothing I
> said here modifies nor changes them in any way. Readers will decide for
> themselves.
>

Ahem. Most "readers" really know how to read; some even know how to debate,
Dick. You're the only one left in the >dark< on that--no pun intended.

Kim W5TIT

6652. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:39:31 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rme19014ka@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22957

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BDE1FAE.DC01FABA@sccoast.net...
> Well, I'm not going to sit here and argue with you endlessly. I've
> have not seen any EVIDENCE of that (direct aid and training of
> terrorist by the Taliban) and neither have you since we're both
> watching the same sources (CNN News, etc). The difference between us
> is that you're willing to accept what you see on the news, or the
> claims of some government officials, without question, while I want
> more before I blindly throw my support behind an effort to kill people.

So what kind of evidence are you waiting for? If some terrorist sneaks a
suitcase nuke into a U.S. city and kills 6 million instead of 6000, are you
still going to want evidence before taking action?

> > (snip) WE WERE ATTACKED IN A COORDINATED
> > SERIES OF ACTS OF WAR!!! (snip)
>
>
> Well, we were attacked. Everybody already knows that, Richard, so
> why keep repeating it. The question is who attacked us, not whether we
> were attacked. And I've already said that once we find out that, we
> should do whatever is necessary to get the attackers. The problem is
> that I'm not convinced that the Taliban is the attacker, therefore I'm
> not convinced the attempts to overthrow that government (by killing
> thousands of Afghan soldiers) is correct. And you've said absolutely
> nothing to convince me one way or the other. You simply rant on about
> just killing people, which I'm simply not blood thirsty enough to do.

That kind of attitude can be fatal.

6653. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:39:57 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde1339_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22863

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDD7B39.78010AF@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Richard Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > The tragis irony of all this is
> > > that Kimand Dwight get to experience
> > > the fruits of the labors of our
> > > military also, while selfrightously
> > > posturing.
> >
> > Man, you are one twisted individual. You insist you support our
> > freedoms, yet you define anyone who exercises freedom as an enemy of
> > this country. Trust me, my friend, that "Kimand" crap you put in front
> > of my name fits you a whole lot better than it fits me. I believe in
> > the freedoms of this country, while you see those very freedoms as a
threat.
>
> Yeah, we know, you've said that repeatedly, only to counter it with
your
> postings.
> As it happens, I also believe that those freedoms were hard won and worth
> defending. I see you
> paying only lip service to the concept that freedoms, having been hard
won, must
> be vigorously defended,
> especially when the threat is as direct as it now is. You *and KIM* are
clearly
> of the Neville Chamberlain
> school of thought. Read what happened there, it's very revealing and
clarifying.
> Appease a determined
> agressor and pay the price. We have already begun to pay the price for all
the
> years of neglect. Now we must
> defeat the enemy or be defeated ourselves. You *and KIM* are too obtuse to
> understand.
>
>

Gawd, you're getting hysterical Dick. OK, I'll go ahead and bite. In what way is not wanting to give up personal freedoms/civil liberties appeasing an aggressor? Please answer that seriously, because I am really trying to understand where you are coming from.

At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal freedoms/civil liberties? If it those things we hold dear here in this country, and it is those things we "say" we defend, and it is those things we ask our military men and women to go and die for--then why not the common citizen be willing to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even if it means they are under more and increasing threat because of it?

You would choose to cower and give away those things we hold sacred? Is that it?

I am much prouder an American than that. It is my belief that neither my government, nor my military, nor any foreign power should have the right to affect that which our Constitution, history, prior wars, and prior deaths have spent so much to keep. Can they? Yep, they just did. Do I think it's right? Hell no, I don't. Do I feel safer? Hell no, I don't. In fact, not only are we all now affected because of terrorist threats from foreigners, our own government is suspending our rights. I'd say, "we the people" are pretty much stuck in the middle is what I'd say.

Kim W5TIT

6654. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:43:36 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDEBC98.67D95A92@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22951

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > "War" is a legal term. We are not legally at war. What's hard
> > to understand is how we can be at war without being at war.
>
> War is a "legal term"????

Of course, you are not old enough to remember when wars were
legally declared by the governments of the world. All you remember
are the "police actions" the US engaged in when we were afraid
to declare war. IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
we should stay out of it.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6655. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:45:33 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDEBD0D.ED07F1B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22952

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Man, your numbers are totally false. According to the Time's World
> Almanac (2000 edition), the total population of Israel today is
> 5,749,760.

Does that include the occupied territories or not?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6656. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:47:38 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rmegh0158p@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22958

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BDE327D.2A44C87E@sccoast.net...
> Man, your numbers are totally false. According to the Time's World
> Almanac (2000 edition), the total population of Israel today is
> 5,749,760. If you're correct that 5 million Arabs live there today,
> than we're to believe that only 749,760 Jews live there. Of course,
> that is total BS since that same Almanac says that Jews represent at
> least 82% of the population. By the way, the remain 14% is mostly
> Sunni, the only Muslim group not yet totally "displaced," as you put it.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

These aren't my numbers. These are Yassir Arafat's numbers. He used this figure in an interview last year when Israeli/Palistinian hostilities broke out.

So now that we agree that Arafat is a lying bastard, what else has he lied about?

6657. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:49:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011030094959.27773.00000537@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 22953

In article <3bde9a92$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>I don't have any powder and won't be getting any. I will choose to die on
>my own terms, without dictation from you--thank you very much.
>
>Maybe you should catch the rest of the article about *WHY* we are alone.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

Those who don't "have any powder" are also unlikely to be able to dictate the terms on which they will die -- or, more importantly, on which they will live -- should we be faced by an enemy intent on doing such dictating for us. Of course, you can depend on those who *do* have dry powder to use it to protect you from the oppression of an enemy. I'm sure you would not appreciate this, and would probably then accuse those who defended you of being "killers," but the point is, you'd still be free to live within your child-like ignorance and espouse peace, love, kindness, and appeasement of the enemies of our way of life. Just don't fly in any more airplanes, or work in any more tall buildings.

73 de Larry, K3LT

6658. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:50:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20011030095000.27773.00000538@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 22954

In article <20011030071720.24612.00000160@nso-cr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>Took a piece of aluminum foil and put samples of ketchup, spaghetti sauce and
>barbeque sauce on it -about a tablespoon of each. All were common commerical
>sauces. Then I let it sit for 24 hours. End result was no observable
>corrosion
>of the aluminum foil. Zero, zip, nil.
>
>If it'll take out a turkey baster overnight, I don't want to eat it.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I've been running the experiment all week, using some canned stewed tomatoes, mild salsa, ketchup, and undiluted tomato paste as the corrosive agents on 6" sq. pieces of Reynold's Wrap aluminum foil. I've also had shards of Reynold's Wrap "chaff" dipped in the salsa. Not a scratch on any of the foil. Not even any discoloration, on either the shiny or dull sides. There had to be something different about the sauce that ate up the turkey baster. Of course, I wasn't able to make a nail dissolve in Coca-Cola in a similar experiment many years ago, either. All I got was a wet, sticky nail!

73 de Larry, K3LT

6659. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:52:38 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDEBEB6.A053845A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22955

JJ wrote:
> And he is correct, when it all hits the fan, every Muslim will
> turn against the non Muslim world.

This is collectivism at its worst. I'll bet you a million
dollars that there will be at least one Muslim who will
not turn against the non Muslim world. One is all it takes
to make your statement absolutely false.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6660. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:55:52 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rmevv015nq@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22961

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BDE2CD6.34E7A1B6@sccoast.net...
> Are you out of your mind? The lands now called Israel was, and is,
> among the most valuable real estate in the middle east. It is the seat
> of most Arab religions, contains some of the most fertile farm land in
> the region, and was the door for most trade with the west for many
> Arab nations. Thriving cities and cultures existed there long before
> the "inception of Israel as a nation in 1948," as you put it. Many
> Arabs believe those lands were stolen from them and that is what they
> cannot stand.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

...and that Arab nation was living in squalor and extreme poverty, just like many other Arab nations that are under iron-fisted Muslim rulers. Israel turned that around. The Arabs who which to stay were free to do so and share in the prosperity. They were free to practice their religious beliefs. Instead, they chose to make war.

6661. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 14:59:21 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDEC049.38AF6922@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

aaronvoobner wrote:
> ... last time I looked anytime you kill someone that is a felony.

Unavoidable Accident? War? Police Action? Self Defense?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6662. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:03:15 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde18b0_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22864

Hey, Dick? Got a question for you. You are all so fired up on this terrorist thing, right? OK--kewl.

Would you say that there are terrorist organizations here in the United States?:

Dick's answer:

Would you say that those terrorist organizations have caused the suffering and even deaths of others in this country (the United States)?

Dick's answer:

Would you say that those organizations have the right to exist inside the border of the United States?

Dick's answer:

If you believe that those organizations have the right to exist inside the border of the United States, then why can't other such organizations exist outside the border of the United States?

Dick's answer:

If you believe that those organizations have the right to exist within the border of the United States, then why is it OK for terrorists to live and act within the United States border, but not outside the United States border?

Dick's answer:

If you do *not* agree that there are terrorist organizations in the United States, why not?

Dick's answer:

If you agree that those organizations have caused the suffering and even deaths of others in this country (the United States), and you do *not* agree that they are terrorist organizations, then how many more would have to suffer or die before you would consider them as terrorists worth of retaliation?

Dick's answer:

If you agree that they are terrorist organizations, and will cause more suffering and even death, and that it has to stop--how should we go about stopping them, Dick?

Dick's answer:

That's all I want to know.

Kim W5TIT

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDD8246.419D7708@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Richard Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > So then because they're illiterate
> > > and uninformed, we should allow them
> > > to continue to recruit, train and
> > > provide even more terrorists to attack
> > > us, instead of hitting the snake at
> > > its head. You're a tactical genius,
> > > Dwight.
> > >
> > Just exactly who is this "they" and "them" you keep talking about?
> > Do you really believe the entire Afghan military is training
> > terrorists?
>
> Do YOU know that they are not? And if not, so what? Not all of our
military
> is attacking them, either.
>
> Pick your nits, Dwight, you clearly must do that to have any position at
> all. We know without doubt that the Taliban-who by the way runs the Afghan
> military, or who did until a couple weeks ago, not only aid and harbor Bin
> Laden and company, but actively participate in the training of terrorist
> operatives to clandestinely attack US on USA soil and elsewhere.
> Given the events of September 11 - not even considering the fact that
their
> plans for that day were met with only very limited success, despite all
the
> carnage that limited success created- our military response, which by the
> way, is probably very early in its overall length, is much more than
> justified, it is necessary and even mandatory. WE WERE ATTACKED IN A
> COORDINATED SERIES OF ACTS OF WAR!!! What more needs to be said? Anyone
with
> even a small piece of a brain should be able to comprehend that.
>

6663. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:05:44 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde194b_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22865

What was that Reagan said of General Somoza in Nicaragua? "We know he's a son-of-a-bitch, but he's *our* son-of-a-bitch." And, of course, Dick Carroll would demand that all the people who were caused to suffer and die at the hands of General Somoza's armies should smile while it was happening to them.

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3BDD8065.12519B34@IEEE.org...
> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Yep, and that's the reason we changed sides. You're starting to get it.
> >
> > Lessee, here....We helped them fight the Russkies, supplied them with
> > enough hardware to get the job done, then we left the region when that was
> > ended. So a dozen years later, they attack us on our own turf. But it was
> > *US* that changed sides.
>
> The Taliban certainly didn't change sides. The US supported
> the Taliban against the Soviets. Then the US removed the
> support. Then the US attacked the Taliban. Changing from
> support to attacking is changing sides, Dick. We once were
> for them and now we are against them. They haven't changed.
> We knew they were religious radicals when we supported them.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6664. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:10:46 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde1a72_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22866

There's more to it than that, too. Our soldiers of war have been left in the "lurch" many times for benefits due only to veterans who served during wartime. I can remember quite a few Viet Nam vets when that "conflict" first ended who were not eligible for benefits because war was never declared. This included medals of merit, health benefits, monetary considerations for injuries, etc.

There's lots of ways our great and wondrous (wondrous all right) military will ask so much of our soldiers and then hide from when they get home.

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BDDBBD8.52CBDA9B@IEEE.org...
> Krell wrote:
> > So what would you prefer? A police action?
>
> That is probably a more accurate description. We are
> attempting to capture and place criminals under arrest.
>
> > It's easier to call it a war and have it done with.
>
> IMO, Due Process involving "war" has not been honored since
> WWII. It's like introducing someone as your wife when you
> have never been legally married.
> --
> cheers, CAM, W5DXP
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6665. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:17:59 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDEC4A7.BEB3CC15@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22959

Krell wrote:
> These aren't my numbers. These are Yassir Arafat's numbers.

Those numbers are probably for the occupied territories, not
Israel per se.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6666. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:18:11 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde1c2f_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22867

My company employs people from many different lands. In many cases, Indians, Laotians, Chinese, Russians, etc., have taken on "Americanized" names. I don't know exactly why, but if you'd like, Dwight, I'll ask them.

It may have a lot to do with having to explain pronunciation and spelling all the time. I wish I could spell out a name for you but here's an example. One guy I know went from a 23 character first and last name to a 7 character first and last name. Not only do we "Americans" have a lot better time pronouncing it, it's so much easier to key!

Kim W5TIT

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.glz8gk6.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Mon, 29 Oct 2001 04:46:46 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >> Peretz Moshe Kanafi
> >> a.k.a. Phil Kane
> >> K2ASP / ex-4X4UQ
> > >
> > >
> > > While I'm asking questions, here is another good one. Why do so many
> > >Jews who live here in the United States change their names? Is it to
> > >sound less foreign or is some other motivation behind it? I've only
> > >known a few Jews in my life (that I'm aware of), but every one that
> > >I've known was using a fake name. I say "that I'm aware of" because it
> > >is hard to know for sure if so many are hiding their true identities
> > >behind a fake name.
> >
> > Depends what you mean by a "fake" name. My father changed his name
> > from a Russianized name (Kanefsky) to an "Anglo" name in the
> > years between the World Wars at a time when it was fashionable for
> > all immigrants, not only Jewish, to take an Anglo first or last name
> > to show that they were "real Americans". He adopted the name in the
> > 1920s (his Naturalization papers show "Kanefsky") and changed it
> > legally after WW-II when I was 10 years old although my birth
> > certificate shows both my name and his name as "Kane". In this
> > generation, very few names are "fake" names, most being genuine
> > names at birth, and some Jewish last names are genuine cultural
> > names, such as Cohen, Katz, and so forth. Some names were changed
> > by the bigoted immigration inspectors in Ellis Island who either
> > couldn't or wouldn't deal with the foreign-sounding names.
> >

> Our ancestral name in the Middle East (Babylon/Baghdad) was Kanafi,
> forcibly Russianized to Kanefsky when the family settled in the
> Ukraine in the 17th Century. Parts of my family have reclaimed use
> of the original family name (Kanafi) both here in the 'States and in
> Israel. I have not changed it legally here because all of my
> professional and personal papers are in my birth name, but I can use
> "Kanafi" in Israel if I so choose.
>
> Does that clear anything up?
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

6667. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:19:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDEC51D.6509A82C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22960

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Of course, I wasn't able to make
> a nail dissolve in Coca-Cola in a similar experiment many years ago,
> either. All I got was a wet, sticky nail!

Try a piece of beef.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6668. Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:25:12 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde1dd6_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22868

This article from NYTimes.com

We Are All Alone

October 26, 2001

By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN

So let me see if I've got this all straight now: Pakistan will allow us to use its bases Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays - provided we bomb only Taliban whose names begin with Omar and who don't have cousins in the Pakistani secret service. India is with us on Tuesdays and Fridays, provided it can shell Pakistani forces around Kashmir all other days. Egypt is with us on Sundays, provided we don't tell anyone and provided we never mention that we give the Egyptians $2 billion a year in aid. Yasir Arafat is with us only after 10 p.m. on weekdays, when Palestinians who have been dancing in the streets over the World Trade Center attack have gone to bed. The Northern Alliance is with us, provided we buy all its troops new sandals and give U.S. passports to the first 1,000 to reach Kabul.

Israel is with us provided we never question the lunacy of 7,000 Israeli colonial settlers living in the middle of a million Palestinians in the Gaza Strip. Kuwait would like to be with us, it really would, since we saved Kuwait from Iraq, but two Islamists in the Kuwaiti Parliament spoke out against the war, so the emir just doesn't want to take any chances. You understand. The Saudis, of course, want to be with us, but Saudis are not into war-fighting. That's for the household help. Don't worry. Prince Alwaleed has promised to rent us some Bangladeshi soldiers through a Saudi temp agency - at only a small markup.

The Saudi ruling family would love to cooperate by handing over its police files on the 15 Saudis involved in the hijackings, but that would be a violation of its sovereignty, and, well, you know how much the Saudis respect sovereignty - like when the Saudi Embassy in Washington rushed all of Osama bin Laden's relatives out of America after Sept. 11 on a private Saudi jet, before they could be properly questioned by the F.B.I.

And then there's my personal favorite: All our Arab-Muslim allies would love us to get bin Laden quickly, but the

Muslim holy month of Ramadan is coming soon and the Muslim "street" will not tolerate fighting during Ramadan. Say, do you remember the 1973 Middle East war, launched by Egypt and Syria against Israel? Remember what that war was called in the Arab world? "The Ramadan war" - because that's when it was started. Oh, well. I guess the Arab world can launch wars on Ramadan, but not receive them.

My fellow Americans, I hate to say this, but except for the good old Brits, we're all alone. And at the end of the day, it's U.S. and British troops who will have to go in, on the ground, and eliminate bin Laden.

Ah, you ask, but why did we have so many allies in the gulf war against Iraq? Because the Saudis and Kuwaitis bought that alliance. They bought the Syrian Army with billions of dollars for Damascus. They bought us and the Europeans with promises of huge reconstruction contracts and by covering all our costs. Indeed, with the money Japan paid, we actually made a profit on the gulf war; Coalitions "R" Us.

This time we'll have to pay our own way, and for others. Unfortunately, killing 5,000 innocent Americans in New York just doesn't get the rest of the world that exercised. In part we're to blame. The unilateralist message the Bush team sent from its first day in office - get rid of the Kyoto climate treaty, forget the biological treaty, forget arms control, and if the world doesn't like it that's tough - has now come back to haunt us.

And who can blame other countries for wanting to shake down U.S. taxpayers when Dick Armey and his greedy band of House Republicans are doing the same thing - pushing a stimulus bill with more tax breaks for the rich, lobbyists and corporations, and virtually nothing for the working Americans who will fight this war?

My advice: Try not to focus on any of this. Focus instead on the firemen who rushed into the trade center towers without asking, "How much?" Focus on the thousands of U.S. reservists who have left their jobs and families to go fight in Afghanistan without asking, "What's in it for me?" Unlike the free-riders in our coalition, these young Americans know that Sept. 11 is our holy day - the first day in a just war to preserve our free, multi-religious, democratic society. And I don't really care if that war coincides with Ramadan, Christmas, Hanukkah or the Buddha's birthday - the most respectful and spiritual thing we can do now is fight it until justice is done.

6669. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:35:01 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9rmikf$rr0$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 22963

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:3bde1dd6_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> This article from NYTimes.com
>
>
> We Are All Alone
>
> October 26, 2001
>
> By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN
>

<SNIP>

> The unilateralist message the Bush
> team sent from its first day in office - get rid of the
> Kyoto climate treaty, forget the biological treaty, forget
> arms control, and if the world doesn't like it that's tough
> - has now come back to haunt us.

<SNIP>

So T.L. Friedman thinks G.W. Bush is at fault for Americans
getting killed. That's the biggest bunch of liberal BS since
Clinton's "I didn't have sex with Monica" statement!!!
--

'73' From KB9TMP

"there is no rule against being stupid"
-Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
A.K.A. Google Groups
http://groups.google.com

6670. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 15:35:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3BDEBA3B.B72A79A3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 22962

Kim-W5TIT little tits wrote:

>
> No, I won't. Ever.

Yeah right.

6671. A Challenge to Leonard H. Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 17:04:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110300904.5425f3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22964

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011029164646.06769.00000168@nso-bd.aol.com>...
>
> As n2ey@aol.com once wrote, "full of yourself, aren't you?"

When did I write that, Len? Produce the post.

More than once, you have quoted me as writing that phrase. You use
quotation marks, too. No smiley, either. But I don't recall ever
writing such words. I don't think I wrote them at all - I think you
are mistaken, or maybe even lying.

Here's the challenge: Produce the a link or other pointer to the post
where I (N2EY) wrote:

"full of yourself, aren't you?"

about another person.

Can you do it? I don't think so.

I think your alleged quote is just another LHA mistake.

N2EY

6672. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 17:06:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030120633.27363.00000113@nso-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 22965

In article <20011030065548.23438.00000544@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Few in here were born before the end of WW2. They don't
>>understand nor can they appreciate the outpouring of
>>patriotism that occured here after December 7, 1941, nor
>>after December 8, 1941, when Germany and Italy formally
>>declared war on the United States.
>
> Bull.

Provide evidence that there was "no outpouring of
patriotism" or that Germany and Italy "did not" declare war
on the USA on December 8, 1941.

6673. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 17:25:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030122548.08658.00000200@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 22966

In article <9f4bfe89.0110300904.5425f3f@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>More than once, you have quoted me as writing that phrase. You use
>quotation marks, too. No smiley, either. But I don't recall ever
>writing such words. I don't think I wrote them at all - I think you
>are mistaken, or maybe even lying.

I might be mistaken on the exact phrasing. It could have been just three words "full of yourself." :-)

Of what use is an exact quote? Why spend all the time looking through countless N2EY messages just to assuage someone who feels slighted (perhaps insulted)?

N2EY's posts in here almost every day, is one of the very regular spending a lot of time communicating by computer...thus establishing his "turf" and some sort of right of being a spokesperson for the entire US ARS.

N2EY does not represent the entire US ARS nor does he speak for same. If he feels so slighted/insulted, he can attempt to avenge his honor by not trying to be a voice of the entire US ARS...and stopping the petulant mis-direction by over-identifying his personal opinions with over a half million other licensees.

This is a forum for policy matters regarding amateur radio. It isn't some playground where some regulars whine and bitch and moan about their personal feelings of persecution by demanding exact quotes to "prove" something that matters little to others.

6674. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 18:36:31 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PqCD7.90086$WW.4985451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 22967

True and also likely to get some whining about it. Nuclear, biological, and chemical warfare are supposed to be a no-no, however we continue to receive 'interesting' mail. Myself, I'd pull the ships and troups back, give them 48 hours to surrender unconditionally, then open up the silos. Guaranteed they will be receiving a lot of messages from other countries. I suspect various spy satellites could detect the silos. After 48 hours, I'd show my goodwill by reducing our nuclear warhead count by a couple. Say a couple of 5 or 10 megaton weapons. It would not be pretty. I suspect that could pretty well remove caves, simply leaving a BIG crater. I also suspect a lot of folk would then lay off the idea of bombing our ships and sending anthrax through our mail system. It is a terrible thought, but after it was over we would have a good 20 years or so of peace - simply because the idea would be planted that we respond in kind. Nuclear, biological, or chemical attacks would be met with the most severe response. Yes, we have bombers that can fly around the world. Heck, an ICBM can reach 'em in 90 minutes flat. Shoot that down if you can.

73 from Rochester (and putting on my asbestos long johns)
Jim AA2QA

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3bde1dd6_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> This article from NYTimes.com
>
>
> We Are All Alone
>
> October 26, 2001
>
> By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN
>
>
> So let me see if I've got this all straight now: Pakistan
> will allow us to use its bases Mondays, Wednesdays and
> Fridays - provided we bomb only Taliban whose names begin
> with Omar and who don't have cousins in the Pakistani
> secret service. India is with us on Tuesdays and Fridays,
> provided it can shell Pakistani forces around Kashmir all
> other days. Egypt is with us on Sundays, provided we don't
> tell anyone and provided we never mention that we give the
> Egyptians $2 billion a year in aid. Yasir Arafat is with us
> only after 10 p.m. on weekdays, when Palestinians who have
> been dancing in the streets over the World Trade Center
> attack have gone to bed. The Northern Alliance is with us,
> provided we buy all its troops new sandals and give U.S.

> passports to the first 1,000 to reach Kabul.
>
> Israel is with us provided we never question the lunacy of
> 7,000 Israeli colonial settlers living in the middle of a
> million Palestinians in the Gaza Strip. Kuwait would like
> to be with us, it really would, since we saved Kuwait from
> Iraq, but two Islamists in the Kuwaiti Parliament spoke out
> against the war, so the emir just doesn't want to take any
> chances. You understand. The Saudis, of course, want to be
> with us, but Saudis are not into war-fighting. That's for
> the household help. Don't worry. Prince Alwaleed has
> promised to rent us some Bangladeshi soldiers through a
> Saudi temp agency - at only a small markup.
>
> The Saudi ruling family would love to cooperate by handing
> over its police files on the 15 Saudis involved in the
> hijackings, but that would be a violation of its
> sovereignty, and, well, you know how much the Saudis
> respect sovereignty - like when the Saudi Embassy in
> Washington rushed all of Osama bin Laden's relatives out of
> America after Sept. 11 on a private Saudi jet, before they
> could be properly questioned by the F.B.I.
>
> And then there's my personal favorite: All our Arab-Muslim
> allies would love us to get bin Laden quickly, but the
> Muslim holy month of Ramadan is coming soon and the Muslim
> "street" will not tolerate fighting during Ramadan. Say, do
> you remember the 1973 Middle East war, launched by Egypt
> and Syria against Israel? Remember what that war was called
> in the Arab world? "The Ramadan war" - because that's when
> it was started. Oh, well. I guess the Arab world can launch
> wars on Ramadan, but not receive them.
>
> My fellow Americans, I hate to say this, but except for the
> good old Brits, we're all alone. And at the end of the day,
> it's U.S. and British troops who will have to go in, on the
> ground, and eliminate bin Laden.
>
> Ah, you ask, but why did we have so many allies in the gulf
> war against Iraq? Because the Saudis and Kuwaitis bought
> that alliance. They bought the Syrian Army with billions of
> dollars for Damascus. They bought us and the Europeans with
> promises of huge reconstruction contracts and by covering
> all our costs. Indeed, with the money Japan paid, we
> actually made a profit on the gulf war; Coalitions "R" Us.
>
> This time we'll have to pay our own way, and for others.
> Unfortunately, killing 5,000 innocent Americans in New York
> just doesn't get the rest of the world that exercised. In
> part we're to blame. The unilateralist message the Bush

> team sent from its first day in office - get rid of the
> Kyoto climate treaty, forget the biological treaty, forget
> arms control, and if the world doesn't like it that's tough
> - has now come back to haunt us.
>
> And who can blame other countries for wanting to shake down
> U.S. taxpayers when Dick Armey and his greedy band of House
> Republicans are doing the same thing - pushing a stimulus
> bill with more tax breaks for the rich, lobbyists and
> corporations, and virtually nothing for the working
> Americans who will fight this war?
>
> My advice: Try not to focus on any of this. Focus instead
> on the firemen who rushed into the trade center towers
> without asking, "How much?" Focus on the thousands of U.S.
> reservists who have left their jobs and families to go
> fight in Afghanistan without asking, "What's in it for me?"
> Unlike the free-riders in our coalition, these young
> Americans know that Sept. 11 is our holy day - the first
> day in a just war to preserve our free, multi-religious,
> democratic society. And I don't really care if that war
> coincides with Ramadan, Christmas, Hanukkah or the Buddha's
> birthday - the most respectful and spiritual thing we can
> do now is fight it until justice is done.
>
>
>

6675. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 19:12:32 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rmu180v3h@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 22971

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20011030120633.27363.00000113@nso-fr.aol.com...
> In article <20011030065548.23438.00000544@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>Few in here were born before the end of WW2. They don't
> >>understand nor can they appreciate the outpouring of
> >>patriotism that occured here after December 7, 1941, nor
> >>after December 8, 1941, when Germany and Italy formally
> >>declared war on the United States.
> >
> > Bull.
>
> Provide evidence that there was "no outpouring of
> patriotism" or that Germany and Italy "did not" declare war
> on the USA on December 8, 1941.

Since everyone likes to pick nits on this group...

Germany and Italy actually declared war on the US a day or two after we
declared war on Japan. I don't know the exact date without looking it up,
but I know it wasn't the 8th.

6676. Lennie Anderson...Cowardly Liar...Cowardly Propagandist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 19:58:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030145854.12199.00000646@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 22973

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/30/2001 11:25 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011030122548.08658.00000200@nso-fz.aol.com>

>
>Of what use is an exact quote?

Yes, Lennie...What is the use of an "exact quote"...?!?!

Why, we can just allow cowardly, lying bastards like you make up what you THINK we said...or create what you WISH we'd say so that all of your rants could look true.

But no problem, Lennie...we never expected you to do something truthful anyway. You are INCAPABLE of it.

>N2EY's posts in here almost every day, is one of the
>very regular spending a lot of time communicating by
>computer...thus establishing his "turf" and some sort of
>right of being a spokesperson for the entire US ARS.
>

As opposed to YOU spending the day communicating by computer and establishing turf as some sort of spokesman for the entire EE community?

>N2EY does not represent the entire US ARS nor does he
>speak for same.

Nor do you speak for us, You Sadistic Putz, yet you would presume to know what's better for the Amateur Radio Service.

>If he feels so slighted/insulted, he can
>attempt to avenge his honor by not trying to be a voice of
>the entire US ARS...and stopping the petulant mis-
>direction by over-identifying his personal opinions with
>over a half million other licensees.

You are, again, lying...or at least attempting to redirect the (accurate) criticism of your inaccurate "quoting" to some other source.

YOU have been called on your misquotes before, and now you are being held accountable for it. Unable to withstand the truth, you again resort to subtrefuge and dishonesty.

Jim has made no such assertion of representation. Unless you'd care to "quote" that one too...?!?

>This is a forum for policy matters regarding amateur
>radio.

Oh...now it's a forum for discussing Amateur Radio amtters...before YOU said it was ONLY for discussing the Morse Code issue.

Once again, nose held to the grind stone, Lennie attempts to redirect attention away from another of his misadventures.

Again he fails.

>It isn't some playground where some regulars
>whine and bitch and moan about their personal feelings
>of persecution by demanding exact quotes to "prove"
>something that matters little to others.

It matters to Jim, and it matters to me. You claim to have some insight as to what's better for Amatuer, yet you seem to have a predisposition to creating quotes of things never said.

Unless done so so for the purpose of creating a fictional piece of literature, that is know as LYING.

Leonard H. Anderson IS a liar...He is NOT truthful, and he does NOT represent in ANY form Amateur Radio.

Period.

6677. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 20:03:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030150309.12199.00000648@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 22974

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/30/2001 11:06 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011030120633.27363.00000113@nso-fr.aol.com>

>Provide evidence that there was "no outpouring of
>patriotism" or that Germany and Italy "did not" declare war
>on the USA on December 8, 1941.

That's not waht the "Bull" was for, your Narrowmindedness.

It was your assertion that no one could understand or appreciate the
"outpouring of patriotism" after Pearl Harbor.

That was a foolish thing to say. I understood it when I first studied it
in grade school and discussed it with my parents and grandparents.

What was not to understand?

Once again you think YOU are the only one to have gone through something
therefore have the only insight.

That is a GROSSLY false assumption...Not unlike MOST of your OTHER
assumption and assertions.....

6678. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 21:16:54 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <b1192126938cfc9b60d8cd6afa96939e.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22975

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:PqCD7.90086$WW.4985451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> True and also likely to get some whining about it. Nuclear, biological, and
> chemical warfare are supposed to be a no-no, however we continue to receive
> 'interesting' mail. Myself, I'd pull the ships and troups back, give them
> 48 hours to surrender unconditionally, then open up the silos.

Second the motion.

(ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½) 73, de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas01poolb93.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.0.93]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6679. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 21:19:02 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3b29784ccdfa0a2c6bfdc5349734f96d.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22976

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BDEBEB6.A053845A@IEEE.org...

> JJ wrote:
> > And he is correct, when it all hits the fan, every Muslim will
> > turn against the non Muslim world.
>
> This is collectivism at its worst.

And this is "picking fly shit out of the pepper pot" at its best.

(ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½) 73, de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from mplsapanas01poolb93.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.0.93]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6680. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 21:26:49 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <179bdb368adb568fd66c11e513ada435.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22977

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

> Just don't fly in any more airplanes, or work in any more tall buildings.

Kimmy is a lot like Mr. Stewart. "It happened in New York -- why
are they bothering me about it?"

(ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½) 73, de Hans, K0HB

--
Posted from [204.31.54.170]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6681. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 21:32:06 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0110301332.271eac00@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22978

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

> Second, how dare you revert to telling me to move somewhere because I want
> freedom to remain as pure and perfect as it can be in the United States?
> How 'bout you move somewhere where it's acceptable to treat fellow citizens
> like that?
>
> Kim W5TIT

The statement was conditional (notice the opening "if statement").
If the foo shits, don't wear moccasins.

(ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½) 73, de Hans, K0HB

> "K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

> > If you want perfect personal freedom, move somewhere where
> > there are no laws, no police, no national guard, no central
> > government, in a word NO security of any type. But don't
> > come whining to us when someone pisses in your Post Toasties,
> > or sends you an anthrax-laden Halloween card.

6682. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 21:49:42 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletelZ.com>
Message-ID: <3BDF2076.6774@mobiletelZ.com>
Source record: 22979

Hans Brakob wrote:
>
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:PqCD7.90086$WW.4985451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> > True and also likely to get some whining about it. Nuclear, biological, and
> > chemical warfare are supposed to be a no-no, however we continue to receive
> > 'interesting' mail. Myself, I'd pull the ships and troups back, give them
> > 48 hours to surrender unconditionally, then open up the silos.
>
> Second the motion.
>
> (ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½) 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> Posted from mplsapanas01poolb93.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.0.93]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
I move for a vote-YES.
Martin N5PZJ

6683. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 22:09:55 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0110301409.5cbca0dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BDEC049.38AF6922@IEEE.org>...
> aaronvoobner wrote:
> > ... last time I looked anytime you kill someone that is a felony.
>
> Unavoidable Accident? War? Police Action? Self Defense?

Hey it's Cecil. Look, the trick with Cecil is that he will defend
ANYTHING, doesn't matter what it is, he just likes the debate. So what
you have to do is post the OPPOSITE of your opinion. Then Cecil will
swoop down on your thread and proceed to defend your ACTUAL opinion.
:)

-Aaron-

6684. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 22:17:59 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9rn8kh$6cf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 22981

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BDEBC98.67D95A92@IEEE.org...
> Of course, you are not old enough to remember when wars were
> legally declared by the governments of the world. All you remember
> are the "police actions" the US engaged in when we were afraid
> to declare war.

A couple weeks ago, you weren't old enough to remember the last legally
declared war either.

> IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> we should stay out of it.

How can we stay out of it? It was brought over here. Remember?

*****
Next time somebody claims we should stay out of Afghanistan, engage them in
a discussion about it.

1. Ask them why we shouldn't fight back.

2. When they answer that retaliation only escalates the violence, and
creates more ill will in the world, punch them in the face.......hard.

3. After they get up to hit you back, remind them of their pledge to
non-violence.

4. When they agree that striking you back would be a violation of their
philosophical ideals, punch them in the face again. Harder this time.

5. Repeat steps 2 through 4 until they have a better understanding of the
concept.

6685. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 22:31:16 UTC
From: Hans Brakob <k0hb@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <dc1927e352d1c8a2dc4d8f1ceea543f5.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 22982

"Len Over 21" wrote:

> Provide evidence that .... Germany ...."did not" declare war
> on the USA on December 8, 1941.

You are off by several days. The declaration was December 11th.

Here is the evidence that you demanded:

December 11, 1941
The President's Message

To the Congress of the United States:

On the morning of Dec. 11 the Government of Germany, pursuing its course of world conquest, declared war against the United States.

The long-known and the long-expected has thus taken place. The forces endeavoring to enslave the entire world now are moving toward this hemisphere.

Never before has there been a greater challenge to life, liberty and civilization.

Delay invites great danger. Rapid and united effort by all of the peoples of the world who are determined to remain free will insure a world victory of the forces of justice and of righteousness over the forces of savagery and of barbarism.

Italy also has declared war against the United States.

I therefore request the Congress to recognize a state of war between the United States and Germany, and between the United States and Italy.

Franklin D. Roosevelt

The War Resolution

Declaring that a state of war exists between the Government of Germany and the government and the people of the United States and making provision to prosecute the same.

Whereas the Government of Germany has formally declared war against the government and the people of the United States

of America:

Therefore, be it Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives
of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that the
state of war between the United States and the Government of
Germany which has thus been thrust upon the United States is hereby
formally declared;

and the President is hereby authorized and
directed to employ the entire naval and military forces of the
government to carry on war against the Government of Germany;

and to bring the conflict to a successful termination, all
of the resources of the country are hereby pledged by the Congress
of the United States of America.

--
Posted from mplsapanas03poolb216.mpls.uswest.net [65.103.4.216]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

6686. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 22:33:02 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDF2A9E.A080C02D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 22983

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> > have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> > we should stay out of it.
>
> How can we stay out of it? It was brought over here. Remember?

You missed the point entirely. I'm not advocating we stay out of
it! I'm advocating we stop pussy-footing around and DECLARE WAR!!!
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6687. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 22:50:37 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <C3FAE92B03720E91.82A2E2F07E1650E8.DBA1E14006D287D8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 22984

On Tue, 30 Oct 2001 09:19:57 -0600, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Of course, I wasn't able to make
>> a nail dissolve in Coca-Cola in a similar experiment many years ago,
>> either. All I got was a wet, sticky nail!
>
>Try a piece of beef.

All that got me was a rotten piece of meat, and a smelly nail.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

6688. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 23:12:06 UTC
From: fgillil@tincan.org
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110301512.faba087@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3BDEC049.38AF6922@IEEE.org>...
> aaronvoobner wrote:
> > ... last time I looked anytime you kill someone that is a felony.
>
> Unavoidable Accident? War? Police Action? Self Defense?

Capital pushishment? Acceptable risk? Statistical anomolies?

6689. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 23:30:39 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gm19cvb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22986

On Mon, 29 Oct 2001 23:30:50 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Krell wrote:
>>
>> (snip) This is what Israel repressents.
>> A wasteland that no one wanted was
>> turned into one of the most thriving
>> and prosperous economies in the world,
>> and the rest of the Arab world can't
>> stand it. (snip)
>
>
> Are you out of your mind? The lands now called Israel was, and is,
>among the most valuable real estate in the middle east.

And it was our people who made it valuable.

>It is the seat of most Arab religions,

Are you confusing it with Saudi Arabia, home of Mecca and Medina.
Contrary to legend, Mohammed never set foot in Jerusalem.

>contains some of the most fertile farm land in the region,

Israel was worthless land of desert and swamps under 2000 years of
Arab occupation until the Jewish Halutizm (pioneer resettlers in
the 1890s) drained the swamps, watered the desert, and planted trees
by hand. The land contains no appreciable quantities of wood, oil,
water, coal, or valuable metals. The "cash crop" was potash until
the citrus groves started to bear fruit.

>and was the door for most trade with the west for many
>Arab nations. Thriving cities and cultures existed there long before
>the "inception of Israel as a nation in 1948," as you put it.

Israel as a nation was founded 2200 years ago. What exists as the
State of Israel today is the third commonwealth.

>Many
>Arabs believe those lands were stolen from them and that is what they
>cannot stand.

And I believe that the moon is made of green cheese.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6690. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 23:30:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gm1cfcc.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22987

On Tue, 30 Oct 2001 00:28:52 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Well, I'm not Jewish but I'll take a shot
>> at this one. Here's what one goy has
>> picked up over time:
>>
>> First off, it's my understanding that
>> every observant Jew has a Hebrew name,
>> for religious reasons. This name may or
>> may not exactly correspond to that
>> person's "English" name.
>
>
> Well, I think Phil Kane is a little more than "not exactly"
>corresponding to Peretz Moshe Kanafi. It's like a hundred miles apart.

Look at it a little more carefully. It's not like it was
corresponding to "Herman L. Brown". Peretz is a common erquivalent
to Philip. Moshe IS my middle name. Kanafi is the original family
name going back many centuries.

>But, perhaps it is his Hebrew name, but why wouldn't he just openly
>use that name in public. This is the first time I've ever heard Phil
>even mention it. For that matter, this is the first time I've ever
>heard Phil openly admit that he is Jewish (which does shed some light
>on some of the previous political positions he has taken in this newsgroup).

I have never - ever - hidden the fact in my personal or public life.
Many times I mentioned that prior to going to the FCC I had a
similar position with the Israeli Ministry of Communications in the
mid 60s and I had to give up dual citizenship to work for the FCC,
and that my brother, sister-in-law, and their kids are all Israelis
and in the case of my brother and nephew, all hams with both US
and Israeli licenses.

>> Many immigrants, not just Jews, had their
>> names changed at Ellis Island because the
>> clerks were too lazy or too ignorant to
>> deal with "odd sounding" names. (snip)
>
>
> I don't think Phil came through Ellis Island.

My father and all four grandparents came through Castle Garden, the foreruner of Ellis Island.

>> Many immigrants, not just Jews, changed
>> their names to avoid being obvious targets
>> of ridicule, bigotry and persecution. (snip)
>
>
> And I don't think Phil, a successful lawyer, ex-employee of the FCC,
>and so forth, has suffered from too much ridicule, bigotry and
>persecution.

I have experienced personal discomfort and in at least one case, promotional loss because of behind-my-back anti-Semitic remarks and attitudes of co-workers. Proving it was another matter.

>If so, I'd like to be persecuted like that.

Believe me, it's no honor.....

>> What's a "fake name" and what's a
>> "real Jewish name", Dwight?
>
>
> Huh? Oh, you're talking about my comment about hiding true
>identities behind a fake name. I was talking about the non-Jewish
>sounding name used here, not the Jewish name.

What's an "ethnic" sounding name? (Plug in your own choice of ethnic).

In a 1968 lawsuit in San Francisco brought by almost 50 white male school administrators who were demoted to lower positions to make way for "affirmative action" appointments, the question came up of what is a "Spanish surname". It was agreed that any such name that appeared more than 100 times in the Mexico City telephone directory would qualify. The plaintiff's lawyer brought that directory into the hearing room the next day and demonstrated that the surname "Cohen" appear4ed over 150 times.....so "Cohen" is now a Spanish surname ?? Said lawyer was the legendary Nathan Cohn, an alternative spelling of Cohen.

And all people named Jew in the San Francisco telephone directory were ethnic Chinese......

If you want an example of my "real" "false" name, for several years I was not permitted to post to BBS or Internet groups under my "real" name because of my then-boss' very skewed interpretation of the requirements for pre-publication clearance for staff members (he just wanted to pull my chain). Until the day I announced my retirement 6+ years ago my "BBS name" was Ploni Almoni, the Hebrew equivalent of "John Doe" (the name appears in that context in Chapter 4 of the biblical Book of Ruth) and in spite of giving my occupation as "Greyhound bus washer", most folks figured out who or

at least what I was from what I said.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6691. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-30 23:44:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gm1desd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 22988

On Tue, 30 Oct 2001 06:53:55 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> There had always been a Jewish
>> presence in Israel from Biblical
>> times, and up until the British
>> Mandate of 1919 we lived in harmony
>> with the Arabs, most of whom
>> settled in the land in the 1880s.
>
>
> Good answers, Phil. So what is being done, or can be done, to get
>that harmony back? This conflict has been going on for almost fifty
>years and the divide seems wider today than it ever was. And now the
>conflict is making it's way to this country. There has to be a
>solution. You've been there and I haven't, so what is the answer?

If I had "the answer" I'd be living in a mansion in suburban Tel
Aviv......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6692. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 00:09:21 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde98ae$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22888

You're not reading the news, Hans.

First, read, thoroughly the "anti-terrorism" bill that has just passed the House of Representatives.

Second, how dare you revert to telling me to move somewhere because I want freedom to remain as pure and perfect as it can be in the United States? How 'bout you move somewhere where it's acceptable to treat fellow citizens like that?

Kim W5TIT

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message news:b7c5262e.0110291945.766d212a@posting.google.com...
> "Kim-W5TIT" wrote
>
> > At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal
> > freedoms/civil liberties? If it those things we
> > hold dear here in this country, and it is those
> > things we "say" we defend, and it is those things
> > we ask our military men and women to go and die
> > for--then why not the common citizen be willing
> > to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even
> > if it means they are under more and increasing threat
> > because of it?
>
> First off, none of your personal freedoms have diminished
> since 09/11/01. None.
>
> Second, "perfect personal freedom" and "perfect personal
> security" are mutually-incompatible polar-opposites on the
> extreme ends of a single continuum.
>
> A good society strikes a balance at a point somewhere along
> that continuum, and the point moves as threats to security
> arise or diminish.
>
> If you want perfect personal freedom, move somewhere where
> there are no laws, no police, no national guard, no central
> government, in a word NO security of any type. But don't
> come whining to us when someone pisses in your Post Toasties,
> or sends you an anthrax-laden Halloween card.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>

> de Hans, K0HB

6693. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 00:15:04 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde9a07_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22891

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BDE41AA.D88A1D45@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT little tits wrote:
>
>
> > At what price do US Citizens suspend their personal freedoms/civil
> > liberties? If it those things we hold dear here in this country, and it
is
> > those things we "say" we defend, and it is those things we ask our
military
> > men and women to go and die for--then why not the common citizen be
willing
> > to stand tall, and walk and act in freedom, even if it means they are
under
> > more and increasing threat because of it?
>
> So just let more Americans die, but make sure all of my freedoms
> are safe. Wait until the 'more and increasing threat' effects you
> and your family and you will be screaming about how the government
> should have done more to protect you.
>

No, I won't. Ever. And, I didn't say to just let more Americans die--in
fact, are you ignoring the fact that some have?

I am not repeating my posts. Go back and read where I have no problem with
having to go to war; I have no problem with stricter security--even
oppressive security in public places and in the workplace; etc. I do have a
problem with things such as are now in the anti-terrorism bill.

Before you get so quick to jump on a band-wagon of hysteria, know from where
I am speaking because you got it wrong.

Kim W5TIT

6694. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 00:15:54 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde9a38_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22892

How wonderful a human to wish that on someone.

And, I don't *care* if it hits me. At least it'll hit me as I really
believe in freedom.

Kim W5TIT

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3BDE427C.162ED429@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT little tits wrote again:
>
> >
> > Likewise, to Hell with letting them or anyone else interfere with my
> > liberties, civil freedoms, creature comforts, etc. If having all of
> > that--without restriction means I am more susceptible to being affected
by
> > terrorism, then sobeit.
>
> Hah! You say that now, but wait until it hits you and lets hear
> you scream.

6695. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 00:17:25 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bde9a92$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22893

I don't have any powder and won't be getting any. I will choose to die on my own terms, without dictation from you--thank you very much.

Maybe you should catch the rest of the article about *WHY* we are alone.

Kim W5TIT

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3BDE43BD.5AC39DE6@mailcity.net...
> And he is correct, when it all hits the fan, every Muslim will
> turn against the non Muslim world. Keep your powder dry.
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > This article from NYTimes.com
> >
> > We Are All Alone
> >
> > October 26, 2001
> >
> > By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN
> >
> > My fellow Americans, I hate to say this, but except for the
> > good old Brits, we're all alone. And at the end of the day,
> > it's U.S. and British troops who will have to go in, on the
> > ground, and eliminate bin Laden.

6696. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 00:33:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110301633.2121e12f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 22992

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011030122548.08658.00000200@nso-fz.aol.com>...
> In article <9f4bfe89.0110300904.5425f3f@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >More than once, you have quoted me as writing that phrase. You use
> >quotation marks, too. No smiley, either. But I don't recall ever
> >writing such words. I don't think I wrote them at all - I think you
> >are mistaken, or maybe even lying.
>
> I might be mistaken on the exact phrasing. It could have
> been just three words "full of yourself." :-)
>
> Of what use is an exact quote? Why spend all the time
> looking through countless N2EY messages just to
> assuage someone who feels slighted (perhaps insulted)?
>
> N2EY's posts in here almost every day, is one of the
> very regular spending a lot of time communicating by
> computer...thus establishing his "turf" and some sort of
> right of being a spokesperson for the entire US ARS.
>
> N2EY does not represent the entire US ARS nor does he
> speak for same. If he feels so slighted/insulted, he can
> attempt to avenge his honor by not trying to be a voice of
> the entire US ARS...and stopping the petulant mis-
> direction by over-identifying his personal opinions with
> over a half million other licensees.
>
> This is a forum for policy matters regarding amateur
> radio. It isn't some playground where some regulars
> whine and bitch and moan about their personal feelings
> of persecution by demanding exact quotes to "prove"
> something that matters little to others.
>
>
Back to basics, here we go again: Hey Lenny - lemmee know when you
have ANY voice within the ARS on any topic outside this utterly
ignorable/pointless NG and/or have a LICENSE to communicate with any
of "us" EXCEPT via yer keyboard.
>
>
Yer Dementia ain't necessarily a bad thing, you'll coast out
painlessly amidst yer visions of grandeur. Nothing wrong with that

Lenny and God bless.
>
>
w3rv

6697. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 00:40:54 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ttui4orlbqanc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 22994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That was one, of about 20, different sections.

If you would like to take the time to research it all, be my guest.

I provided the link more than anything, to show people where to START
looking.

I, myself, have better things to do than educate people here about the finer
points
of motor vehicle laws. However, with the link I provided, it will give you
something
to look for.

Which is something more than I can say for most all of your posts, Aaron.

Toll_Free

"aaronvoobner" <aaronvoobner@home.coms> wrote in message
news:EExD7.166114$5A3.60617080@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Toll Free each example you gave there was death or serious bodily harm
> involved. Otherwise no such felony, last time I looked anytime you kill
> someone that is a felony.
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:tts02e79aled77@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Exceeding the speed limit by 50 percent of posted speed in most
states
> > is
> > > a
> > > > felony, as it classifies you as Reckless Driving.
> > > >
> > > > Nice try, AGAIN, but your wrong.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Toll_Free
> > >
> > > No Sorry Troll Free, You are wrong, you must first know the correct
use
> of
> > > the word felony. Check the Uniform Vehicle Code, the only time a
traffic
> > law
> > > is a felony is vehicular manslaughter.
> >
> >

> > Please provide the Uniform Vehicle Code for the State of California.
> >
> > The following was taken from
> http://www.dmv.ca.gov/pubs/vctop/lov/lovd11.htm
> >
> >
> > F 21464(c) Signs, signals or markers, damaging, removing or
> attaching
> > material to, resulting in injury or death.
> >
> > M/F 21651(c) Driving the wrong way on a divided highway which
causes
> > injury or death.
> >
> > F 23110(b) Throwing missile with intent to do serious bodily
harm.
> >
> > F 23153(a) Under influence of alcohol, drug, or combination,
> causing
> > injury or death to another on highway or other than a highway.
> > F 23153(b) Driving with a BAC of .08% or more causing injury or
> death
> > to another.
> > F 23153(d) Commercial Driver, driving with a BAC of .04% or more
> > causing injury or death to another. (Effective 1/1/92).
> > M/F 23175(a) Driving under the influence, fourth or subsequent
> > offense within seven years.
> > M/F 23175.5 (a) Driving under the influence, within 10 years of
> prior
> > felony DUI.
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > Crimes are classified as either felonies or misdemeanors. Felonies are
> > > serious crimes (e.g., murder, rape, arson, armed robbery, tax evasion,
> > > possession of illegal drugs with the intent to sell or distribute, and
> > > forgery and larceny involving property valued in excess of a certain
> > amount)
> > > that are punishable by incarceration in jail. Misdemeanors are less
> > serious
> > > crimes (e.g., simple assault, disorderly conduct, disturbing the
peace,
> > > malicious mischief, and possession of a small amount of illegal drugs
> with
> > > the intent merely to use them) that are punishable by little jail time
> > > (e.g., less than one year), fines, or probation
> >
> >
> > Blah blah blah.

> >
> > I know what the difference between a felony and a misdemeanor is.
> >
> >
> > Throwing out jargon is a way of pulling people off the topic.
> >
> >
> >
> > Toll_Free
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6698. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 01:14:29 UTC
From: aaronvoobner <aaronvoobner@home.coms>
Message-ID: <VfID7.167222$5A3.61140082@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 22998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:ttui4orlbqanc0@corp.supernews.com...
> That was one, of about 20, different sections.
>
> If you would like to take the time to research it all, be my guest.
>
> I provided the link more than anything, to show people where to START
> looking.

Now everyone else is stupid and can't use a search engine and you have to
lead them by the hand. Oh Great Troll Free!

>
> I, myself, have better things to do than educate people here about the
finer points of motor vehicle laws.

How can you educate someone about something you are ignorant of yourself?

> Which is something more than I can say for most all >of your posts, Aaron.

Go pat yourself on the back some more. Your boring me
>
>
> Toll_Free

6699. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 01:16:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qiID7.723$bZ6.43094@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 22999

While I wasn't born until 1948, I fully understand the patriotism that occurred in the aftermath of
Pearl Harbor. I can prove my understanding of this: just look in my driveway--you will find NO
Hondas, No Mitsubishis, No Toyotas, and/or No Mazdas. While it may fall upon deaf and unap-
preciative ears, that ship sitting at the bottom of Pearl Harbor (USS Arizona) is why I choose this
quiet method of protest, yes, these 60 years hence. I will NEVER drive anything but an American
name automobile or truck. You see, in addition to history lessons in school and the remembrances
of my parents, I watched a show on TV while I was still in grammar school called "The 20th Century" with Walter Cronkite. Even at the age of 8, I watched the footage of the Arizona exploding with clenched fists. There were family friends on that ship and others stationed at Pearl on 7 December 1941. So, to this day, the vehicles you will find in my drive have little "bowties"
on the grille, and the ads for the truck versions say "Like a Rock". Yes, I know that some of them
are assembled in Mexico and Canada--I cannot help that. I just quietly drive my American cars
and I still clench my fists when that 60 year old scene comes on the History Channel. So don't
assume that those of us who are younger don't know or understand the feelings of patriotism or anger at attacks against the USA.

J
Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20011030120633.27363.00000113@nso-fr.aol.com...
> In article <20011030065548.23438.00000544@mb-cb.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >>Few in here were born before the end of WW2. They don't
> >>understand nor can they appreciate the outpouring of
> >>patriotism that occured here after December 7, 1941, nor
> >>after December 8, 1941, when Germany and Italy formally
> >>declared war on the United States.
> >
> > Bull.
>
> Provide evidence that there was "no outpouring of
> patriotism" or that Germany and Italy "did not" declare war

> on the USA on December 8, 1941.

6700. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 01:31:19 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HvID7.90441$WW.5016591@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 23000

Kim, there certainly is no easy answer. Hopefully, once informed that certain things were happening, it would be over before the nuke business began. I admit is not a particularly good idea. Frankly, I'm at a loss as to what is a good idea. Unfortunately, if something isn't done, we can expect more of the same. Didn't England try to appease Hitler just before WWII? In any case, we are all free to disagree - we must, however, be prepared to defend that freedom. The question truely is 'just how far are we prepared to go'? (and that certainly does NOT necessarily mean to 'nuke' them - I just want to make a lasting impression that we are NOT the country to make trouble with.)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3bdf4870_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> Yes, there's a little for everyone in that article, isn't there? You might
> have a point about the nuke. Only thing is, there's lots of innocent people
> over there too--but I do know that I'd be willing to die to allow justice to
> be done. I just wonder if I have the right to expect that of someone else.
> I don't think I do.
>
> I always said if I had been one of the Iranian hostages, I would have
> wanted, and would have been willing to see a nuclear bomb come straight down
> out of the sky.
>
> The hardest part of a philosophy like that in life is I have had it through
> raising two children, loving dearly two husbands, family and friends.
> Asking people to keep me out of their desires for life at all cost is
> hard--for them.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

6701. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 01:50:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030205032.08267.00000173@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 23002

In article <d22b54c3.0110301633.2121e12f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Back to basics, here we go again: Hey Lenny - lemmee know when you
>have ANY voice within the ARS on any topic outside this utterly
>ignorable/pointless NG and/or have a LICENSE to communicate with any
>of "us" EXCEPT via yer keyboard.

Heh heh heh. Ever hear of the telephone or facsimile machine
as a means of "communication?" :-)

When this newsgroup becomes "closed" to registered ARS
licensees and when the FCC refuses to accept citizens'
comments, then I will be quiet.

>Yer Dementia ain't necessarily a bad thing, you'll coast out
>painlessly amidst yer visions of grandeur. Nothing wrong with that
>Lenny and God bless.

"Dimentia?" Who was it that throught that WW2 surplus
radios could work directly from a WW2 jeep 6 V battery? :-)

Who was it that said he had 26 patents when it was only
ONE in the US Patent registry? :-)

Burger Briny, you just keep on with those wunnerful thoughts
of "mil-spec potato peelings" for Field Day. Just where and
how you can afford a mess kitchen potato peeler machine
(as they had them in 1952) will matter, won't it? :-)

6702. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 01:50:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030205033.08267.00000174@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 23003

In article <dc1927e352d1c8a2dc4d8f1ceea543f5.15732@mygate.mailgate.org>, "Hans Brakob" <k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>> Provide evidence that .... Germany ...."did not" declare war
>> on the USA on December 8, 1941.
>
>You are off by several days. The declaration was December 11th.
>
>Here is the evidence that you demanded:
>
>December 11, 1941
>The President's Message

<snip>

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I have two history textbooks printed in the 60s. One states what I wrote. The other one states that the USA declared war on Germany on December 11, 1941. The World Almanac of 2001 states the latter.

First point: The "Bull" crier did not answer the challenge except to write more crap which has nothing to do with the subject, everything to do with his personal obsession.

Second point: Picking flyspecks out of pepper, in this case on real, live dates of the start of a major war period, is rather pointless at this time. It does serve whatever is Brakob's purpose in running off at the keyboard with too much testosterone on a demonstration of "rightness." Is there any question that the USA was NOT in a state of war on December 7, 1941?!?

It's one thing to be patriotic and support the country in its endeavors after September 11, 2001. It's quite another to mouth off about nuking another country or hitting on others who don't share your (apparent) murderous intent. The bad thing about wanting to nuke someone is that there are a number of other countries who have a nuclear arsenal and the means to deliver them. Mouthing manly macho statements of toughness and resolve, posturing until the good conduct medal pops off the ribbon row will NOT stop the shock wave nor shield against radiation. Ain't no "Cipro" defense against that.

ex-RA16408336

6703. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 01:50:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011030205034.08267.00000175@nso-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 23001

In article <9rmu180v3h@enews1.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
writes:

>Since everyone likes to pick nits on this group...
>
>Germany and Italy actually declared war on the US a day or two after we
>declared war on Japan. I don't know the exact date without looking it up,
>but I know it wasn't the 8th.

OK, I have one history book that says Germany and Italy
declared war on December 8, 1941...but Hans Brakob
has a letter from FDR saying that the USA declared
war on Japan December 8, 1941, and declared war on
Germany and Italy on December 11, 1941. I have
another text that says that, too.

As far as I know from being around then, the USA was
very definitely at war on 7 December 1941. Germany
formally surrendered on May 8, 1945, and Japan formally
surrendered on September 2, 1945.

292,161 military personnel of the USA lost their lives in
battle and some middle-aged men with too much
testosterone want to pick flysnit out of pepper about dates
of declarations of war. :-(

6704. Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 02:06:10 UTC
From: nw8n@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5980fe92.0110301806.b86d8cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<ttb4qdhpuol363@corp.supernews.com>...
> 1 2290 driving 2 2879s, driving 8 2879s driving 5 8877s off a single 300A
> leece neville driving a pair of 4CX15000s, with 5 double stator alternators
> for power (on the large amp), and 5 3a 7.5kV transformers.
>
> A0 output.
>
> You honestly think that won't do 80 grand carrier power?
>
> Nobody said anything about linear amplification here, either. The tubes are
> biased WAY into cutoff (hence the massive overdrive, to overcome the grid
> bias).
>
> Had this argument on the ham amps reflector, and they finally admitted it
> WAS and IS possible.
>
>
>
> Toll_Free

Good Lord son! With that kind of power you'd turn my hearing aides
into little atomic bombs in my head. The younger generation has no
respect for us senior citizens. Martha's gonna put me in a nursing
home because she thinks old timer's disease has come over me. Damn
illegals!!! I get so mad at these guys that Martha says I'm gonna have
a another stroke. If I weren't so old I'd take you damn illegals out
in the woodshed and switch your behinds!

I thank the Lord Jesus every day that we have people like Aaron,
Sparky, and Leland to do the Lord's work on this newsgroup.

Bob NW8N

6705. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 02:47:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gm1m1u0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 23006

On Wed, 31 Oct 2001 06:28:41 -0600, Kim-W5TIT wrote:

>Some family friends, Jewish, had the original last name of Levine. They
>dropped the 'e' and became Levin.
>
>This hid the Jewish ancestry of the name, and for reasons such as Phil
>mentioned.

Hid it from whom? We had friends who "modified" it to LeVine.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6706. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 02:49:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BDF6677.DBC419D6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 23007

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <9f4bfe89.0110300904.5425f3f@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >More than once, you have quoted me as writing that phrase. You use
> >quotation marks, too. No smiley, either. But I don't recall ever
> >writing such words. I don't think I wrote them at all - I think you
> >are mistaken, or maybe even lying.
>
> I might be mistaken on the exact phrasing. It could have
> been just three words "full of yourself." :-)
>
> Of what use is an exact quote? Why spend all the time
> looking through countless N2EY messages just to
> assuage someone who feels slighted (perhaps insulted)?

> N2EY's posts in here almost every day, is one of the
> very regular spending a lot of time communicating by
> computer...thus establishing his "turf" and some sort of
> right of being a spokesperson for the entire US ARS.
>
> N2EY does not represent the entire US ARS nor does he
> speak for same. If he feels so slighted/insulted, he can
> attempt to avenge his honor by not trying to be a voice of
> the entire US ARS...and stopping the petulant mis-
> direction by over-identifying his personal opinions with
> over a half million other licensees.

That's funny--I could swear that you are the same Leonard H. Anderson
who has often stated that one of several individuals *does*, by making
certain statements, represent all of U.S. amateur radio. Which way is
it then?

> This is a forum for policy matters regarding amateur
> radio. It isn't some playground where some regulars
> whine and bitch and moan about their personal feelings
> of persecution by demanding exact quotes to "prove"
> something that matters little to others.

"This" is a forum for policy matters (I think we all understood that
before your enlightening mention of it). It would also seem to be a
playground for you to bitch and moan about your personal feelings of
being "insulted and denigrated" by those who don't agree with you.
Which way is it then?

Do we have one standard for you and a different one for the rest of us?

Dave K8MN

6707. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 03:26:43 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TbKD7.165590$W8.4950686@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 23008

Ahhh ... just like the newsgroups?

73,
Jim AA2QA

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3bdf5bf4_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> Indeed, it would be wonderful (though a pipedream) that we'd be the nation
> to look to for agreement and resolution.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:HvID7.90441$WW.5016591@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Kim, there certainly is no easy answer. Hopefully, once informed that
> > certain things were happening, it would be over before the nuke business
> > began. I admit is not a particularly good idea. Frankly, I'm at a loss
> as
> > to what is a good idea. Unfortunately, if something isn't done, we can
> > expect more of the same. Didn't England try to appease Hitler just
before
> > WWII? In any case, we are all free to disagree - we must, however, be
> > prepared to defend that freedom. The question truely is 'just how far
are
> > we prepared to go'? (and that certainly does NOT necessarily mean to
> 'nuke'
> > them - I just want to make a lasting impression that we are NOT the
> country
> > to make trouble with.)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> > "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
> > news:3bdf4870_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> > > Yes, there's a little for everyone in that article, isn't there? You
> > might
> > > have a point about the nuke. Only thing is, there's lots of innocent
> > people
> > > over there too--but I do know that I'd be willing to die to allow
> justice
> > to
> > > be done. I just wonder if I have the right to expect that of someone

> > else.
> > > I don't think I do.
> > >
> > > I always said if I had been one of the Iranian hostages, I would have
> > > wanted, and would have been willing to see a nuclear bomb come
straight
> > down
> > > out of the sky.
> > >
> > > The hardest part of a philosophy like that in life is I have had it
> > through
> > > raising two children, loving dearly two husbands, family and friends.
> > > Asking people to keep me out of their desires for life at all cost is
> > > hard--for them.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

6708. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 03:29:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110301929.a6725f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23009

> > Different era.
> > >
> > Only 13 years, not that different.
>
> One word: inflation. Tuition was $3000/yr in 1972 and $4500/yr in
> 1975. You do remember the 1970s? I had hair back then....
> > >
>
>
Do you remember the 60s? DIT tuition more than doubled during my trip
thru the damned place.
>
> > >Plus I went for four years and you went for five.
> > >
> > . . which included acquiring two years of actual engineering
> > experience and paychecks in industry.
>
> Same here. Not as big paychecks, though.
>
$8k annualized as a smacked-ass sophomore co-op in the late 50s wasn't
a big paycheck??!
>
>
> > No wasted kiddie summer jobs as
> > lifeguards in Wildwood for us like you weenies did.
>
> Not me. Worked right through the school year, fulltime when school was
> out, parttime when classes were held. If ya can call 30+ hrs/wk "part
> time".
>
>
As an apprentice EE in a Fortune 50 corp which showed up on yer
transcript??
>
>
> > >
> > Ya think ya "made money" on tax deductions do ya? Bwaaahaha! Never had
> > to deal with any of it, never paid a dime's worth of interest, cash &
> > carry all the way. The last check I wrote was for the rental of my cap
> > & gown and outta there.
>
> We had double-digit inflation and low single-digit interest. No
> interest at all until 9 months after graduation. If interest rates
> are far enough below inflation and you can get a loan, it's dumb to

> pay cash. Econ 101.
>
>
Yeah, seen that thinking back then. My broker worked that game and the
Sheriff eventually glomed his house.
>
>
> Add to this the fact that post-graduation pay was significantly
> higher, and I wish I'd borrowed more.
>
>
Sheriff.
>
>
> > > >One of these damned days I'm gonna do the classic KP drill and peel
> > > >ten pounds of mil-spec spuds and french fry 'em RIGHT like Mom did and
> > > >do some Kellyburgers for some of us neighborhood 30wpm types . . .
> > >
> > > I'll slow down to 30 per to qualify for that! I'll even peel the spuds.
> > >
> > You ain't gonna be doing NO wpm next Field Day smartass, the ante just
> > went up.
>
> There's always The Farm with my K2.
>
>
Unconditionally surrendered again didja?
>
>
By the way summer is over, where's the vaunted Elecraft 100w amp? As
if. How do ya spell "stranded"?
>
>
>

> >You've bought into peeling 100 pounds of Idaho spuds instead.
>
> How did 10 pounds become 100?
>
>
Because I've decided that you get to do real fries for the whole
Clayton Park FD orgy is howcum. Including for all the usual
non-contributing nocode rubberneckers. Here's some links to the tools
you'll need:
>
>
http://www.tx7.com/fries/docs/recipies.html
http://www.fantes.com/potato.htm#frenchfry
http://www.miraclegrillinc.com/catalog2663.html
http://www.cookscorner.com/shop/product_information-1.asp?number=5558&variation=&back=yes&

dept=99&last=99
>
>
>
>
> Bring a paddle that works, this time.
>
>
Ya mean ya finally learned how to twitch paddles?? I'll believe it
when I see it. You trying to work AC6XG with my paddles, whatta bloddy
hoot . . !
>
>
>I'm working on FD in a shopping
> bag.
>
> ...lesseee, K2 with autotuner, audio filter and lightweight
> headphones, long power cable for the auto battery, bug, small light,
> some ground wires. Only question is G5RV vs. trapper dipole vs.
> parallel dipole. Tally Ho!
>
>
Oh fer chrissake just go beat yer brains out. My idea of QRP is only
one
3-500Z. I think I got a line on a Henry 3K for next FD.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
w3rv

6709. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 03:54:53 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gm1p5k1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 23010

On 30 Oct 2001 19:29:24 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:

>$8k annualized as a smacked-ass sophomore co-op in the late 50s wasn't
>a big paycheck??!

Gee....my starting salary at a high-paying prestige aerospace
"house" as a newly-graduated engineer from a top school in 1957 was
$5200/year !! Ten years later, $12K was a very good salary.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

6710. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 04:19:57 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BDF7BED.AF106C48@IEEE.org>
Source record: 23011

Phil Kane wrote:
> Israel as a nation was founded 2200 years ago. What exists as the
> State of Israel today is the third commonwealth.

Since the world could not end until Israel was re-established,
wonder why it was re-established. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6711. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 05:10:24 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDF8BDD.74BF014A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 23012

CAM wrote:

> Richard Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > "War" is a legal term. We are not legally at war. What's hard
> > > to understand is how we can be at war without being at war.
> >
> > War is a "legal term"????
>
> Of course, you are not old enough to remember when wars were
> legally declared by the governments of the world. All you remember
> are the "police actions" the US engaged in when we were afraid
> to declare war. IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> we should stay out of it.
> --

Cecil, if savvy was dynamite you, Kim and Dwight couldn't blow your
collective noses.

6712. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 05:18:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110302118.5544ecfa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23013

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20011028120418.23334.00000056@nso-da.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0110270933.5b3dc676@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >So anyway it's 1983 and I'm working for a food packaging outfit in
> >Trevose and I'm in South Chicago on a troubleshooting mission. The
> >customer's plant made all the various sauces McDonald's uses and was
> >having trouble with our packages, our aluminized film package seals
> >were leaking and I got told to go fix the problem.
>
> >Back then McDonald's had a menu item called "McRibs" which I don't
> >think was sold here on the east coast.
>
> I actually ate some of those things. Explains a lot....
>
> >The problem was that the
> >barbeque sauce for the McRibs was eating our seals. The stuff is/was
> >made from ingredients which came outta 55 gallon drums and tank trucks
> >which get mixed in huge cauldrons. Some of those drums were from
> >places like Monsanto. One of the workers slid by and told me not to
> >get the stuff on my hands . . hookay . .
>
> uh-oh
>
> >I used aluminum basters (the kitchen things with the rubber suction
> >bulbs) to dip into the vat and draw samples of the crap for testing.
> >One nite I accidentally left a couple basters half-submerged in the
> >goo when I went back to my motel for the evening. The next morning I
> >was back in the place and I pulled the two basters out of the stuff
> >and lo and behold all the aluminum which was below the surface had
> >been completely dissolved by the goo, not a trace of aluminum anywhere
> >in the goo.
>
> oh mama......What were the cauldrons, stainless steel?
>
>
All 304. As was everything else anywhere near that crap. Might have
been some Teflon here and there.
>
>
> >The net result of that foray into food chemistry is that I haven't
> >been in a McDonald's since and I don't give a damn how much my
> >grandchildren whine Pop-pop absolutely will not take them to a

> >McDonald's.
>
> Well, ya sure trumped my biodiesel story. Explains why Ronald McD looks that
> way, too. No more Mickey D's for me!
>
> But what was the fix for the seals?
>
>
Dunno if there ever was one. My company told the sauce shop to find
another package vendor, we din want any more to do with the stuff.
>
>
> If you're out this way, Nudy's is the place for breakfast or lunch. Hanne's in
> Havertown, on Darby Road just above Eagle, is pretty decent, too.
>
> We all gotta help the diners survive.
>
>
The West Chester Diner or Nifty Fifties or fuhgedaboutdit
>
>
Burp.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
w3rv

6713. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 05:31:15 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDF90C1.99028922@townsqr.com>
Source record: 23014

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Most here know me well enough to realize

Yepper, that they do!

6714. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 06:12:44 UTC
From: Richard Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3BDF9A7A.8C91F44F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 23015

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> it is my sincere desire and hope that I'll always be able to accept things
> for what they are.

And most of the rest of us will do our utmost to change that which is diametrically opposed to our freedom loving way of life, so that the likes of you can enjoy its benefits as well.

6715. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 06:41:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011031014121.12142.00000679@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 23016

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/30/2001 7:50 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011030205032.08267.00000173@nso-fg.aol.com>

>...to communicate with any
>>of "us" EXCEPT via yer keyboard.
>
>Heh heh heh. Ever hear of the telephone or facsimile machine
>as a means of "communication?"

Clueless twit not paying attention to what was said...AGAIN. Lenie, you
ARE a fool.

>When this newsgroup becomes "closed" to registered ARS
>licensees and when the FCC refuses to accept citizens'
>comments, then I will be quiet.

Nope...you'll be quiet a lot sooner than that. God has told me so.

And I am holding him to it!

6716. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 06:43:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011031014354.12142.00000680@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 23017

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 10/30/2001 8:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3BDF6677.DBC419D6@earthlink.net>

>Do we have one standard for you and a different one for the rest of us?

Hey..this is Lennie the Two-Faced Liar we are talking about...OF COURSE
there are two sets of rules! =)

6717. Leonard H. Anderson, Pathological Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 06:53:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011031015302.12142.00000681@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 23018

>Subject: Re: ur right dwight
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 10/30/2001 7:50 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011030205033.08267.00000174@nso-fg.aol.com>

>First point: The "Bull" crier did not answer the challenge
>except to write more crap which has nothing to do with
>the subject, everything to do with his personal obsession.

Liar.

Your "challenge" was answered. Of course you have tried to lie your way out of this one too.

You didn't like the answer, especially since it once again proved you foolish.

Doesn't take much, you know.

>Second point: Picking flyspecks out of pepper, in this case
>on real, live dates of the start of a major war period, is rather
>pointless at this time.

At at what point do you consider accurate reporting of historical facts to be appropriate, Your Putziness?

>It does serve whatever is Brakob's
>purpose in running off at the keyboard with too much
>testosterone on a demonstration of "rightness." Is there any
>question that the USA was NOT in a state of war on
>December 7, 1941?!?

Since that was not your original assertion, it does not matter. Yes, we were "technically" at war.

However you once again attempt to redirect the conversation after having been proven (again) to be wrong.

>ex-RA16408336

As meaningless as your expired GROL, Lennie.

6718. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 11:20:52 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdfdeec_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 23019

I am not sure, Phil, if the change had been at their generation level (which would be that of my parents), or earlier than that--like when they first settled here in the US.

As a kid, I remember Jerry speaking of very difficult times getting employment; and I know his kids used to get totally razzed and picked on, etc., in school. These were service friends of the family; Jerry had served under my Dad in the Navy.

I also remember many comments toward nearly all ethnicities (so it wasn't just Jewish people) when I'd run around the streets of NYC as a visiting teen-ager each Summer. My very first experience with bigotry/prejudism when I was just turning 13 (other than having seen "Whites Only" signs in Virginia--but I didn't really know what they were or meant at the time):

I was in a pizza shop enjoying a slice of pizza. I was by myself, as everyone else had left to continue the bike ride we were on to Rocky Point (I think that was the name of it) for swimming. This little black kid, he couldn't have been more than 3 1/2-4 years old, walked in through the door carrying a quarter high up over his head. He was so proud of himself. I took a look outside because I was at first quite surprised that this little kid would be "by himself." His Dad was standing just outside, having just given him the quarter to take to the man to get his slice of pizza. The dad was beaming.

The kid got up to the counter and in his sheepish, childlike voice said, "can I have a slice of pizza?" The man (probably Italian--he looked to be), leaned far over the counter, looked that little kid right in the eyes and said, "We don't serve niggers here." I about fell over in pure pain for that little kid, and could not believe the despicability of this grown man to treat a kid like that--any kid!

I wanted to run up and scream at the guy, but didn't know if the Dad outside would be offended by that or what.

At going on nearly 13 years old, I was personally affected by that bigotry, feel like it happened to me also--though I don't know many people who'd understand that. I don't think I made the "vow" at that time; but make a conscious effort every single day of my life to keep anything that even seems remotely prejudicial in check.

I've always wondered about that little kid.

Kim W5TIT

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gm1m1u0.pminews@or.news.verio.net...

> On Wed, 31 Oct 2001 06:28:41 -0600, Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> >Some family friends, Jewish, had the original last name of Levine. They
> >dropped the 'e' and became Levin.
> >
> >This hid the Jewish ancestry of the name, and for reasons such as Phil
> >mentioned.
>
> Hid it from whom? We had friends who "modified" it to LeVine.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

6719. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 11:23:15 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdfdf77_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 23020

Uh, I don't think it'd be happening in the newsgroups! I used to be a peacenik, now I'm a realist.

Kim W5TIT

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:TbKD7.165590$W8.4950686@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Ahhh ... just like the newsgroups?
>
> 73,
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
> news:3bdf5bf4_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> > Indeed, it would be wonderful (though a pipedream) that we'd be the nation
> > to look to for agreement and resolution.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
> > "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:HvID7.90441$WW.5016591@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Kim, there certainly is no easy answer. Hopefully, once informed that
> > > certain things were happening, it would be over before the nuke business
> > > began. I admit is not a particularly good idea. Frankly, I'm at a loss
> > as
> > > to what is a good idea. Unfortunately, if something isn't done, we can
> > > expect more of the same. Didn't England try to appease Hitler just
> before
> > > WWII? In any case, we are all free to disagree - we must, however, be
> > > prepared to defend that freedom. The question truely is 'just how far
> are
> > > we prepared to go'? (and that certainly does NOT necessarily mean to
> > 'nuke'
> > > them - I just want to make a lasting impression that we are NOT the
> > country
> > > to make trouble with.)
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA

> > >
> > >
> > > "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3bdf4870_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> > > > Yes, there's a little for everyone in that article, isn't there?
You
> > > might
> > > > have a point about the nuke. Only thing is, there's lots of
innocent
> > > people
> > > > over there too--but I do know that I'd be willing to die to allow
> > justice
> > > to
> > > > be done. I just wonder if I have the right to expect that of
someone
> > > else.
> > > > I don't think I do.
> > > >
> > > > I always said if I had been one of the Iranian hostages, I would
have
> > > > wanted, and would have been willing to see a nuclear bomb come
> straight
> > > down
> > > > out of the sky.
> > > >
> > > > The hardest part of a philosophy like that in life is I have had it
> > > through
> > > > raising two children, loving dearly two husbands, family and
friends.
> > > > Asking people to keep me out of their desires for life at all cost
is
> > > > hard--for them.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6720. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 11:25:23 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdfdff6_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 23021

You really need to get some original material, Dick. You know, the kind
that comes from thinking for yourself.

Kim W5TIT

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDF8BDD.74BF014A@townsqr.com...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Richard Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > "War" is a legal term. We are not legally at war. What's hard
> > > > to understand is how we can be at war without being at war.
> > >
> > > War is a "legal term"????
> >
> > Of course, you are not old enough to remember when wars were
> > legally declared by the governments of the world. All you remember
> > are the "police actions" the US engaged in when we were afraid
> > to declare war. IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> > have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> > we should stay out of it.
> > --
>
> Cecil, if savvy was dynamite you, Kim and Dwight couldn't blow your
> collective noses.
>

6721. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 11:28:49 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdfe0c5$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 23022

You mean you are now not so sure about a United States policy such as the anti-terrorism bill, which puts some of our liberties at real risk?

You use the phrase "freedom loving way of life" after you called me a "freedom freak" just a week or two ago?

You say "the likes of you" while you are speaking of a "freedom loving way of life"? You apparently don't realize that a freedom loving way of life includes the likes of me.

You are pathetic.

Kim W5TIT

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:3BDF9A7A.8C91F44F@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > it is my sincere desire and hope that I'll always be able to accept things
> > for what they are.
>
> And most of the rest of us will do our utmost to change that which is
> diametrically opposed to our freedom loving way of life, so that the likes of
> you can enjoy its benefits as well.
>

6722. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 11:37:34 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdfe2d4_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 23023

"Richard Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3BDF9A7A.8C91F44F@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > it is my sincere desire and hope that I'll always be able to accept
things
> > for what they are.
>
> And most of the rest of us will do our utmost to change that which is
> diametrically opposed to our freedom loving way of life, so that the likes
of
> you can enjoy its benefits as well.
>

And, just out of casual curiosity, what was--*EXACTLY* Dick, that you are doing to "change that which is diametrically opposed" to your freedom? I mean, other than waving some kind of freedom flag in here while you are slinging trash and hate toward others who think differently than you.

What is that you are doing? Now, don't go off half-cocked (even that would be an effort for you) and start slinging again. Give me some real things, constructive things that you are doing for the cause of freedom.

What? Got nothing? Not even a letter to your representative? Nothing?

Thought so.

Kim W5TIT

6723. Re: A Challenge to Leonard H. Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 11:46:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011031064647.29905.00000202@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 23024

In article <20011030122548.08658.00000200@nso-fz.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <9f4bfe89.0110300904.5425f3f@posting.google.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>More than once, you have quoted me as writing that phrase. You use
>>quotation marks, too. No smiley, either. But I don't recall ever
>>writing such words. I don't think I wrote them at all - I think you
>>are mistaken, or maybe even lying.
>
>I might be mistaken on the exact phrasing. It could have
>been just three words "full of yourself." :-)

You are mistaken about the whole thing. Again.
>
>Of what use is an exact quote?

The lack of it shows you are not telling the truth. You have claimed that another person wrote something, but when challenged to produce the post, you produce nothing but lame excuses. False attribution compounded by evasion.

You changed the subject line but have not issued any challenge.

> Why spend all the time
>looking through countless N2EY messages just to
>assuage someone who feels slighted (perhaps insulted)?

All it takes is a little deja.com search. And the purpose is to show that you are not lying or making things up. Your failure to produce the post says it all.

It wasn't a demand, it was a challenge for you to back up what you wrote. You obviously can't rise to the challenge.
>
>N2EY's posts in here almost every day, is one of the
>very regular spending a lot of time communicating by
>computer...thus establishing his "turf" and some sort of
>right of being a spokesperson for the entire US ARS.

More errors and mistakes from LHA. I post here most days, sure. Nothing wrong with that. The rest of your sentence is pure rubbish. Not even grammatically correct.
>
>N2EY does not represent the entire US ARS nor does he
>speak for same.

Never said I do. Where do you get such ideas?

Sounds like you are against free speech for those who disagree with you or your ideas. Double standard.

The subject was about your misquote and false attribution. Stay focused.

>If he feels so slighted/insulted, he can
>attempt to avenge his honor by not trying to be a voice of
>the entire US ARS...and stopping the petulant mis-
>direction by over-identifying his personal opinions with
>over a half million other licensees.

More rubbish. Trash day around here is Monday, when is it out your way?
>
>This is a forum for policy matters regarding amateur
>radio.

We know, Len. Why do you post so much that is obvious to a turnip?

>It isn't some playground where some regulars
>whine and bitch and moan about their personal feelings
>of persecution by demanding exact quotes to "prove"
>something that matters little to others.

Then why do you do that so much?

Try not projecting your actions and motives onto others. Much healthier.

Your game is obvious, Len. You know you can't back up your quote, so you attack me rather than admit a mistake. Typical ad hominem attack.

You're obviously angry, petulant and insulted because you've been caught with your hand in the false attribution cookie jar. Little N2EY is again showing that Emperor Anderson has no logical clothes.

Too bad. You can whine, bitch and moan but it doesn't change the fact that you have misquoted and falsely attributed - more than once.

N2EY

6724. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:24:25 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf43a5_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22989

DAMN, ya know what? When I posted that, I *thought* I had done
that...ROFLMAO!!!!

Sorry, Dwight.

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BDE9022.E2FBF47E@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Richard Carroll" wrote...
> > >
> > > (snip)
> >
> > Hey, Dwight? (snip)
>
>
> Hey, Kim. If you going to talk to Dick, then make sure to address
> him, not me. :-)
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6725. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:28:41 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf44a1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22991

Some family friends, Jewish, had the original last name of Levine. They dropped the 'e' and became Levin.

This hid the Jewish ancestry of the name, and for reasons such as Phil mentioned.

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3BDEA67B.CD073E17@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > My company employs people from
> > many different lands. In many cases,
> > Indians, Laotians, Chinese, Russians,
> > etc., have taken on "Americanized"
> > names. I don't know exactly why,
> > but if you'd like, Dwight, I'll ask
> > them.
>
>
> I don't know them, so no need to ask, Kim. I do know Phil (at least
> as far as discussions in this newsgroup), so I asked.
>
> >
> > It may have a lot to do with having
> > to explain pronunciation and spelling
> > all the time. (snip)
>
>
> Makes sense if the name is way out there, but Peretz Moshe Kanafi
> (Phil's other name) isn't. It doesn't look that hard to pronounce and
> it certainly isn't any harder to spell than many other names I've
> seen. Likewise, Phil Kane isn't exactly out there either, so why not
> just use that (here and in Israel). Anyway, it's none of my business
> what Phil does. I was just curious about the mentality behind the two
> names (which he explained).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6726. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:37:29 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf46ae_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22993

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20011030094959.27773.00000537@nso-fo.aol.com...
> In article <3bde9a92$1_1@newsa.ev1.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
writes:
>
> >I don't have any powder and won't be getting any. I will choose to die
on
> >my own terms, without dictation from you--thank you very much.
> >
> >Maybe you should catch the rest of the article about *WHY* we are alone.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> Those who don't "have any powder" are also unlikely to be able to dictate
> the terms on which they will die -- or, more importantly, on which they
will
> live -- should we be faced by an enemy intent on doing such dictating for
> us.
>

It would be way too philosophically over your head for me to explain, but
you are wrong. I live my life on my terms--and I will die on my terms.

>
> Of course, you can depend on those who *do* have dry powder to
> use it to protect you from the oppression of an enemy.
>

I'd rather not. In fact, should you ever have the opportunity to "protect"
me please do not. I would not want that from anyone. I choose to do my
best to affect and have an affect on things I can control and influence.
Things that I cannot control and influence, I let go.

>
> I'm sure you
> would not appreciate this, and would probably then accuse those who
> defended you of being "killers," but the point is, you'd still be free to
> live within your child-like ignorance and espouse peace, love, kindness,
> and appeasement of the enemies of our way of life. Just don't fly in
> any more airplanes, or work in any more tall buildings.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

You speak from inexperience of knowing me. I would never call any soldier called to duty or answering to duty a killer, Larry. Have you?

I work in a tall building every day. I don't fly in airplanes anyway, as travel is generally not in my plans. However, I would fly if flying was required.

I don't think I have a child-like ignorance and I sure don't espouse all that much peace, love, and kindness--you must not know how to read?

If someone walks up to me and has a gun pointed to my head--it is still on my terms that I die, and I hope I'd muster a smile going out. You will just never understand something like that, Larry--life is too important to you.

I simply accept what life offers--at least I've always been able to now. We'll see what the future holds, and I certainly make no guarantees. But, it is my sincere desire and hope that I'll always be able to accept things for what they are.

Kim W5TIT

6727. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:44:55 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf4870_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22995

Yes, there's a little for everyone in that article, isn't there? You might have a point about the nuke. Only thing is, there's lots of innocent people over there too--but I do know that I'd be willing to die to allow justice to be done. I just wonder if I have the right to expect that of someone else. I don't think I do.

I always said if I had been one of the Iranian hostages, I would have wanted, and would have been willing to see a nuclear bomb come straight down out of the sky.

The hardest part of a philosophy like that in life is I have had it through raising two children, loving dearly two husbands, family and friends. Asking people to keep me out of their desires for life at all cost is hard--for them.

Kim W5TIT

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:PqCD7.90086$WW.4985451@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> True and also likely to get some whining about it. Nuclear, biological, and
> chemical warfare are supposed to be a no-no, however we continue to receive
> 'interesting' mail. Myself, I'd pull the ships and troups back, give them
> 48 hours to surrender unconditionally, then open up the silos. Guaranteed
> they will be receiving a lot of messages from other countries. I suspect
> various spy satellites could detect the silos. After 48 hours, I'd show my
> goodwill by reducing our nuclear warhead count by a couple. Say a couple of
> 5 or 10 megaton weapons. It would not be pretty. I suspect that could
> pretty well remove caves, simply leaving a BIG crater. I also suspect a lot
> of folk would then lay off the idea of bombing our ships and sending anthrax
> through our mail system. It is a terrible thought, but after it was over we
> would have a good 20 years or so of peace - simply because the idea would be
> planted that we respond in kind. Nuclear, biological, or chemical attacks
> would be met with the most severe response. Yes, we have bombers that can
> fly around the world. Heck, an ICBM can reach 'em in 90 minutes flat.
> Shoot that down if you can.
>
>

> 73 from Rochester (and putting on my asbestos long johns)
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
> news:3bde1dd6_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> > This article from NYTimes.com
> >
> >
> > We Are All Alone
> >
> > October 26, 2001
> >
> > By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN
> >
> >
> > So let me see if I've got this all straight now: Pakistan
> > will allow us to use its bases Mondays, Wednesdays and
> > Fridays - provided we bomb only Taliban whose names begin
> > with Omar and who don't have cousins in the Pakistani
> > secret service. India is with us on Tuesdays and Fridays,
> > provided it can shell Pakistani forces around Kashmir all
> > other days. Egypt is with us on Sundays, provided we don't
> > tell anyone and provided we never mention that we give the
> > Egyptians $2 billion a year in aid. Yasir Arafat is with us
> > only after 10 p.m. on weekdays, when Palestinians who have
> > been dancing in the streets over the World Trade Center
> > attack have gone to bed. The Northern Alliance is with us,
> > provided we buy all its troops new sandals and give U.S.
> > passports to the first 1,000 to reach Kabul.
> >
> > Israel is with us provided we never question the lunacy of
> > 7,000 Israeli colonial settlers living in the middle of a
> > million Palestinians in the Gaza Strip. Kuwait would like
> > to be with us, it really would, since we saved Kuwait from
> > Iraq, but two Islamists in the Kuwaiti Parliament spoke out
> > against the war, so the emir just doesn't want to take any
> > chances. You understand. The Saudis, of course, want to be
> > with us, but Saudis are not into war-fighting. That's for
> > the household help. Don't worry. Prince Alwaleed has
> > promised to rent us some Bangladeshi soldiers through a
> > Saudi temp agency - at only a small markup.
> >
> > The Saudi ruling family would love to cooperate by handing
> > over its police files on the 15 Saudis involved in the
> > hijackings, but that would be a violation of its
> > sovereignty, and, well, you know how much the Saudis
> > respect sovereignty - like when the Saudi Embassy in
> > Washington rushed all of Osama bin Laden's relatives out of
> > America after Sept. 11 on a private Saudi jet, before they

> > could be properly questioned by the F.B.I.
> >
> > And then there's my personal favorite: All our Arab-Muslim
> > allies would love us to get bin Laden quickly, but the
> > Muslim holy month of Ramadan is coming soon and the Muslim
> > "street" will not tolerate fighting during Ramadan. Say, do
> > you remember the 1973 Middle East war, launched by Egypt
> > and Syria against Israel? Remember what that war was called
> > in the Arab world? "The Ramadan war" - because that's when
> > it was started. Oh, well. I guess the Arab world can launch
> > wars on Ramadan, but not receive them.
> >
> > My fellow Americans, I hate to say this, but except for the
> > good old Brits, we're all alone. And at the end of the day,
> > it's U.S. and British troops who will have to go in, on the
> > ground, and eliminate bin Laden.
> >
> > Ah, you ask, but why did we have so many allies in the gulf
> > war against Iraq? Because the Saudis and Kuwaitis bought
> > that alliance. They bought the Syrian Army with billions of
> > dollars for Damascus. They bought us and the Europeans with
> > promises of huge reconstruction contracts and by covering
> > all our costs. Indeed, with the money Japan paid, we
> > actually made a profit on the gulf war; Coalitions "R" Us.
> >
> > This time we'll have to pay our own way, and for others.
> > Unfortunately, killing 5,000 innocent Americans in New York
> > just doesn't get the rest of the world that exercised. In
> > part we're to blame. The unilateralist message the Bush
> > team sent from its first day in office - get rid of the
> > Kyoto climate treaty, forget the biological treaty, forget
> > arms control, and if the world doesn't like it that's tough
> > - has now come back to haunt us.
> >
> > And who can blame other countries for wanting to shake down
> > U.S. taxpayers when Dick Armey and his greedy band of House
> > Republicans are doing the same thing - pushing a stimulus
> > bill with more tax breaks for the rich, lobbyists and
> > corporations, and virtually nothing for the working
> > Americans who will fight this war?
> >
> > My advice: Try not to focus on any of this. Focus instead
> > on the firemen who rushed into the trade center towers
> > without asking, "How much?" Focus on the thousands of U.S.
> > reservists who have left their jobs and families to go
> > fight in Afghanistan without asking, "What's in it for me?"
> > Unlike the free-riders in our coalition, these young
> > Americans know that Sept. 11 is our holy day - the first
> > day in a just war to preserve our free, multi-religious,
> > democratic society. And I don't really care if that war

> > coincides with Ramadan, Christmas, Hanukkah or the Buddha's
> > birthday - the most respectful and spiritual thing we can
> > do now is fight it until justice is done.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

6728. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:48:02 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf4929_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22996

Like I said, Cecil...debate is a talent completely missed by
some...especially with philosophy mixed in ;)

Kim W5TIT

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BDF2A9E.A080C02D@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> > > have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> > > we should stay out of it.
> >
> > How can we stay out of it? It was brought over here. Remember?
>
> You missed the point entirely. I'm not advocating we stay out of
> it! I'm advocating we stop pussy-footing around and DECLARE WAR!!!
> --
> cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6729. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:52:46 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf4a41_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 22997

I am still astonished pretty regularly at the claims of many that "the Jews" are taking over this country; making and stealing all the money; and are going to build a Jewish state at the cost of "us." I know people who actually live in true belief, and fear, of that being real life--and hate "the Jews" just because of it.

Kim W5TIT

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gm1cfcc.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Tue, 30 Oct 2001 00:28:52 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> Well, I'm not Jewish but I'll take a shot
> >> at this one. Here's what one goy has
> >> picked up over time:
> >>
> >> First off, it's my understanding that
> >> every observant Jew has a Hebrew name,
> >> for religious reasons. This name may or
> >> may not exactly correspond to that
> >> person's "English" name.
> >>
> >>
> > Well, I think Phil Kane is a little more than "not exactly"
> >corresponding to Peretz Moshe Kanafi. It's like a hundred miles apart.
>
> Look at it a little more carefully. It's not like it was
> corresponding to "Herman L. Brown". Peretz is a common erquivalent
> to Philip. Moshe IS my middle name. Kanafi is the original family
> name going back many centuries.
>
> >But, perhaps it is his Hebrew name, but why wouldn't he just openly
> >use that name in public. This is the first time I've ever heard Phil
> >even mention it. For that matter, this is the first time I've ever
> >heard Phil openly admit that he is Jewish (which does shed some light
> >on some of the previous political positions he has taken in this newsgroup).
>
> I have never - ever - hidden the fact in my personal or public life.
> Many times I mentioned that prior to going to the FCC I had a
> similar position with the Israeli Ministry of Communications in the
> mid 60s and I had to give up dual citizenship to work for the FCC,
> and that my brother, sister-in-law, and their kids are all Israelis

> and in the case of my brother and nephew, all hams with both US
> and Israeli licenses.
>
> >> Many immigrants, not just Jews, had their
> >> names changed at Ellis Island because the
> >> clerks were too lazy or too ignorant to
> >> deal with "odd sounding" names. (snip)
> >
> >
> > I don't think Phil came through Ellis Island.
>
> My father and all four grandparents came through Castle Garden, the
> foreruner of Ellis Island.
>
> >> Many immigrants, not just Jews, changed
> >> their names to avoid being obvious targets
> >> of ridicule, bigotry and persecution. (snip)
> >
> >
> > And I don't think Phil, a successful lawyer, ex-employee of the FCC,
> >and so forth, has suffered from too much ridicule, bigotry and
> >persecution.
>
> I have experienced personal discomfort and in at least one case,
> promotional loss because of behind-my-back anti-Semitic remarks
> and attitudes of co-workers. Proving it was another matter.
>
> >If so, I'd like to be persecuted like that.
>
> Believe me, it's no honor.....
>
> >> What's a "fake name" and what's a
> >> "real Jewish name", Dwight?
> >
> >
> > Huh? Oh, you're talking about my comment about hiding true
> >identities behind a fake name. I was talking about the non-Jewish
> >sounding name used here, not the Jewish name.
>
> What's an "ethnic" sounding name? (Plug in your own choice of
> ethnic).
>
> In a 1968 lawsuit in San Francisco brought by almost 50 white male
> school administrators who were demoted to lower positions to make
> way for "affirmative action" appointments, the question came up of
> what is a "Spanish surname". It was agreed that any such name that
> appeared more than 100 times in the Mexico City telephone directory
> would qualify. The plaintiff's lawyer brought that directory into
> the hearing room the next day and demonstrated that the surname
> "Cohen" appear4ed over 150 times......so "Cohen" is now a Spanish

> surname ?? Said lawyer was the legendary Nathan Cohn, an
> alternative spelling of Cohen.
>
> And all people named Jew in the San Francisco telephone directory
> were ethnic Chinese......
>
> If you want an example of my "real" "false" name, for several years
> I was not permitted to post to BBS or Internet groups under my
> "real" name because of my then-boss' very skewed interpretation of
> the requirements for pre-publication clearance for staff members (he
> just wanted to pull my chain). Until the day I announced my
> retirement 6+ years ago my "BBS name" was Ploni Almoni, the Hebrew
> equivalent of "John Doe" (the name appears in that context in
> Chapter 4 of the biblical Book of Ruth) and in spite of giving my
> occupation as "Greyhound bus washer", most folks figured out who or
> at least what I was from what I said.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

6730. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 12:55:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f4bfe89.0110310455.68ea7fb5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23025

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0110301929.a6725f2@posting.google.com>...
> > > Different era.
> > > >
> > > Only 13 years, not that different.
> >
> > One word: inflation. Tuition was $3000/yr in 1972 and $4500/yr in
> > 1975. You do remember the 1970s? I had hair back then....

> Do you remember the 60s? DIT tuition more than doubled during my trip
> thru the damned place.

Twice nothin' is still nothin'.....
> >
> > > >Plus I went for four years and you went for five.
> > > >
> > > . . which included acquiring two years of actual engineering
> > > experience and paychecks in industry.
> >
> > Same here. Not as big paychecks, though.
> >
> $8k annualized as a smacked-ass sophomore co-op in the late 50s wasn't
> a big paycheck??!

MY paychecks weren't as big.

> > > Ya think ya "made money" on tax deductions do ya? Bwaaahaha! Never had
> > > to deal with any of it, never paid a dime's worth of interest, cash &
> > > carry all the way. The last check I wrote was for the rental of my cap
> > > & gown and outta there.
> >
> > We had double-digit inflation and low single-digit interest. No
> > interest at all until 9 months after graduation. If interest rates
> > are far enough below inflation and you can get a loan, it's dumb to
> > pay cash. Econ 101.

> Yeah, seen that thinking back then. My broker worked that game and the
> Sheriff eventually glomed his house.

Guy didn't know his limitations, that's all.
> >
> > Add to this the fact that post-graduation pay was significantly
> > higher, and I wish I'd borrowed more.

> Sheriff.

Don't know the man.

> > > > >One of these damned days I'm gonna do the classic KP drill and peel
> > > > >ten pounds of mil-spec spuds and french fry 'em RIGHT like Mom did and
> > > > >do some Kellyburgers for some of us neighborhood 30wpm types . . .
> > > >
> > > > I'll slow down to 30 per to qualify for that! I'll even peel the spuds.
> > > >
> > > You ain't gonna be doing NO wpm next Field Day smartass, the ante just
> > > went up.
> >
> > There's always The Farm with my K2.

> Unconditionally surrendered again didja?

Nah. Better scenery at The Farm.

Plus I might make more points with my trapper and QRP than all of ya
put together. All it would take is about 350-360 CW QSOs plus some
bonus points.

Speaking of FD, the results are at the FCC website. CW QSOs are UP
from FD 2000, phone QSOs are down. How about that.
>
> By the way summer is over, where's the vaunted Elecraft 100w amp? As
> if.

I dunno. Been too busy with the audio filter and autotuner. Might get
SSB and 160 for Xmas.

From what I've glommed, FCC doesn't know what to do about the amp.
They gotta type-accept it. I might build me an 811A one-holer just to
keep 'em glowing.

>How do ya spell "stranded"?

Resale on a K2 is more than the kit price.

> > >You've bought into peeling 100 pounds of Idaho spuds instead.
> >
> > How did 10 pounds become 100?

> Because I've decided that you get to do real fries for the whole
> Clayton Park FD orgy is howcum.

You gonna be FD chairman for 2002? I'll tell 'ATO.

> Including for all the usual
> non-contributing nocode rubberneckers.

Go for 200 pounds, then.

> Here's some links to the tools
> you'll need:
> >
> http://www.tx7.com/fries/docs/recipies.html
> http://www.fantes.com/potato.htm#frenchfry
> http://www.miraclegrillinc.com/catalog2663.html

> http://www.cookscorner.com/shop/product_information-1.asp?number=5558&variation=&back=yes&dept=99&last=99

Yummy. The folks at The Farm will love it. "Gee, thanks, Uncle Jim....."

> > Bring a paddle that works, this time.

> Ya mean ya finally learned how to twitch paddles??

Nope. The paddles are for you.

I'm up to four Vibroplexes, but I'm selling one of 'em.

> I'll believe it
> when I see it. You trying to work AC6XG with my paddles, whatta bloddy
> hoot . . !

haw...

> >I'm working on FD in a shopping
> > bag.
> >
> > ...lesseee, K2 with autotuner, audio filter and lightweight
> > headphones, long power cable for the auto battery, bug, small light,
> > some ground wires. Only question is G5RV vs. trapper dipole vs.
> > parallel dipole. Tally Ho!

> Oh fer chrissake just go beat yer brains out. My idea of QRP is only
> one
> 3-500Z. I think I got a line on a Henry 3K for next FD.
> >
I did the FD QRP thing twice already, 1974 and 1983 or thereabouts. With setups that were nowhere near as good as the K2/trapper.

73 de Jim, N2EY

6731. Re: How big is the amateur radio industry? (fast food)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 13:29:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110310529.2bec752@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23026

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.gm1p5k1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>...
> On 30 Oct 2001 19:29:24 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >$8k annualized as a smacked-ass sophomore co-op in the late 50s wasn't
> >a big paycheck??!
>
> Gee....my starting salary at a high-paying prestige aerospace
> "house" as a newly-graduated engineer from a top school in 1957 was
> $5200/year !! Ten years later, $12K was a very good salary.
>
Absoluterly Phil, I had it made as a student, thankew big oil
socialism. In fact I took a $500/yr. pay CUT when I went to work for
the Naval Air Engineering Lab/Philly as a GS-7 after graduation. Worse
yet, if I had been a four year grad and didn't have the two years of
co-op experience I would have gone into NAEL as only a GS-5. I don't
remember what the delta between a 5 and a 7 was but it wasn't peanuts.
And us co-op grads who went with the gummint stayed two grades ahead
of the four-year '63 grads for several more years until just abt all
of us had become 11s.
>
>
w3rv

6732. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 14:01:49 UTC
From: Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net>
Message-ID: <3bdf5bf4_1@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 23004

Indeed, it would be wonderful (though a pipedream) that we'd be the nation
to look to for agreement and resolution.

Kim W5TIT

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:HvID7.90441$WW.5016591@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Kim, there certainly is no easy answer. Hopefully, once informed that
> certain things were happening, it would be over before the nuke business
> began. I admit is not a particularly good idea. Frankly, I'm at a loss
as
> to what is a good idea. Unfortunately, if something isn't done, we can
> expect more of the same. Didn't England try to appease Hitler just before
> WWII? In any case, we are all free to disagree - we must, however, be
> prepared to defend that freedom. The question truely is 'just how far are
> we prepared to go'? (and that certainly does NOT necessarily mean to
'nuke'
> them - I just want to make a lasting impression that we are NOT the
country
> to make trouble with.)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
> news:3bdf4870_1@newsa.ev1.net...
> > Yes, there's a little for everyone in that article, isn't there? You
> might
> > have a point about the nuke. Only thing is, there's lots of innocent
> people
> > over there too--but I do know that I'd be willing to die to allow
justice
> to
> > be done. I just wonder if I have the right to expect that of someone
> else.
> > I don't think I do.
> >
> > I always said if I had been one of the Iranian hostages, I would have
> > wanted, and would have been willing to see a nuclear bomb come straight
> down
> > out of the sky.
> >
> > The hardest part of a philosophy like that in life is I have had it
> through

> > raising two children, loving dearly two husbands, family and friends.
> > Asking people to keep me out of their desires for life at all cost is
> > hard--for them.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
>
>
>

6733. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 15:06:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BE01362.EB328260@IEEE.org>
Source record: 23027

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
>
> > Richard Carroll wrote:
> > Of course, you are not old enough to remember when wars were
> > legally declared by the governments of the world. All you remember
> > are the "police actions" the US engaged in when we were afraid
> > to declare war. IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> > have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> > we should stay out of it.
>
> Cecil, if savvy was dynamite you, Kim and Dwight couldn't blow your
> collective noses.

Absolutely no discussion of the issues - just ad hominem stuff, as usual.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6734. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 15:08:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BE013F7.CA4256E1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 23028

Richard Carroll wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > it is my sincere desire and hope that I'll always be able to accept things
> > for what they are.
>
> And most of the rest of us will do our utmost to change that which is
> diametrically opposed to our freedom loving way of life, ...

Last week you were advocating giving up constitutional freedoms,
allowing unreasonable search and seizure, and the aborting of
due process. Would you please make up your mind?
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6735. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 15:13:51 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BE0152F.4BDAC681@IEEE.org>
Source record: 23029

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> You use the phrase "freedom loving way of life" after you called me a
> "freedom freak" just a week or two ago?

Seems to me, Dick wants freedom for himself and everyone else who
thinks exactly like he does while curtailing the freedoms of
all others who disagree with him.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

6736. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 15:31:20 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9rp55v$e0o$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 23031

Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:3bdf4929_2@newsa.ev1.net...
> Like I said, Cecil...debate is a talent completely missed by
> some...especially with philosophy mixed in ;)

> Kim W5TIT

Still looking for your display of such talent. ROFLMAO's notwithstanding.

6737. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 15:33:56 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <9rp5au$e5l$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 23030

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3BDF2A9E.A080C02D@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> > > have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> > > we should stay out of it.
> >
> > How can we stay out of it? It was brought over here. Remember?
>
> You missed the point entirely. I'm not advocating we stay out of
> it! I'm advocating we stop pussy-footing around and DECLARE WAR!!!

Advocating we should stay out of something is a funny way to stop pussy
footing.

6738. Re: A Challenge to James Miccolis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 16:22:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0110310822.21ad2263@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23032

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20011030205032.08267.00000173@nso-fg.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0110301633.2121e12f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >Back to basics, here we go again: Hey Lenny - lemmee know when you
> >have ANY voice within the ARS on any topic outside this utterly
> >ignorable/pointless NG and/or have a LICENSE to communicate with any
> >of "us" EXCEPT via yer keyboard.
>
> Heh heh heh. Ever hear of the telephone or facsimile machine
> as a means of "communication?" :-)
>
But NOT via radio eh Lenny? END of.
>
> When this newsgroup becomes "closed" to registered ARS
> licensees and when the FCC refuses to accept citizens'
> comments, then I will be quiet.
>
>
> >Yer Dementia ain't necessarily a bad thing, you'll coast out
> >painlessly amidst yer visions of grandeur. Nothing wrong with that
> >Lenny and God bless.
>
> "Dimentia?" Who was it that throught that WW2 surplus
> radios could work directly from a WW2 jeep 6 V battery? :-)
>
>
Who is it that is deluded enough to actually believe he has any impact
on the FCC? You're about as effective as a fart in a hurricane. Yeah,
rampant dementia, the usual.
>
>

> Who was it that said he had 26 patents when it was only
> ONE in the US Patent registry? :-)
>
> Burger Briny, you just keep on with those wunnerful thoughts
> of "mil-spec potato peelings" for Field Day. Just where and
> how you can afford a mess kitchen potato peeler machine
> (as they had them in 1952) will matter, won't it? :-)
>
>
Dipshit.

>
>
http://www.cookscorner.com/shop/product_information-1.asp?number=5558&variation=&back=yes&dept=99&last=99
>
>
w3rv

6739. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 17:08:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0110310908.6a3534ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 23033

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message news:<3bde1dd6_1@newsa.ev1.net>...
> This article from NYTimes.com

Thanks for posting this, Kim.

> We Are All Alone
>
> October 26, 2001
>
> By THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN
>
> So let me see if I've got this all straight now: Pakistan
> will allow us to use its bases Mondays, Wednesdays and
> Fridays - provided we bomb only Taliban whose names begin
> with Omar and who don't have cousins in the Pakistani
> secret service. India is with us on Tuesdays and Fridays,
> provided it can shell Pakistani forces around Kashmir all
> other days. Egypt is with us on Sundays, provided we don't
> tell anyone and provided we never mention that we give the
> Egyptians $2 billion a year in aid. Yasir Arafat is with us
> only after 10 p.m. on weekdays, when Palestinians who have
> been dancing in the streets over the World Trade Center
> attack have gone to bed. The Northern Alliance is with us,
> provided we buy all its troops new sandals and give U.S.
> passports to the first 1,000 to reach Kabul.
>
> Israel is with us provided we never question the lunacy of
> 7,000 Israeli colonial settlers living in the middle of a
> million Palestinians in the Gaza Strip. Kuwait would like
> to be with us, it really would, since we saved Kuwait from
> Iraq, but two Islamists in the Kuwaiti Parliament spoke out
> against the war, so the emir just doesn't want to take any
> chances. You understand. The Saudis, of course, want to be
> with us, but Saudis are not into war-fighting. That's for
> the household help. Don't worry. Prince Alwaleed has
> promised to rent us some Bangladeshi soldiers through a
> Saudi temp agency - at only a small markup.
>
> The Saudi ruling family would love to cooperate by handing
> over its police files on the 15 Saudis involved in the
> hijackings, but that would be a violation of its
> sovereignty, and, well, you know how much the Saudis
> respect sovereignty - like when the Saudi Embassy in
> Washington rushed all of Osama bin Laden's relatives out of

> America after Sept. 11 on a private Saudi jet, before they
> could be properly questioned by the F.B.I.

And why does the West put up with all of this? Because of oil.
>
> And then there's my personal favorite: All our Arab-Muslim
> allies would love us to get bin Laden quickly, but the
> Muslim holy month of Ramadan is coming soon and the Muslim
> "street" will not tolerate fighting during Ramadan. Say, do
> you remember the 1973 Middle East war, launched by Egypt
> and Syria against Israel? Remember what that war was called
> in the Arab world? "The Ramadan war" - because that's when
> it was started. Oh, well. I guess the Arab world can launch
> wars on Ramadan, but not receive them.

I remember one called the Yom Kippur War.
>
> My fellow Americans, I hate to say this, but except for the
> good old Brits, we're all alone. And at the end of the day,
> it's U.S. and British troops who will have to go in, on the
> ground, and eliminate bin Laden.

Was it ever any different?

> Ah, you ask, but why did we have so many allies in the gulf
> war against Iraq? Because the Saudis and Kuwaitis bought
> that alliance. They bought the Syrian Army with billions of
> dollars for Damascus. They bought us and the Europeans with
> promises of huge reconstruction contracts and by covering
> all our costs. Indeed, with the money Japan paid, we
> actually made a profit on the gulf war; Coalitions "R" Us.

Then why was Saddam left in power? Think about it.
>
> This time we'll have to pay our own way, and for others.
> Unfortunately, killing 5,000 innocent Americans in New York
> just doesn't get the rest of the world that exercised.

Sure - because they've been dealing with it for decades.

> In part we're to blame.

Yup. But not for the reasons this guy says.

> The unilateralist message the Bush
> team sent from its first day in office - get rid of the
> Kyoto climate treaty, forget the biological treaty, forget
> arms control, and if the world doesn't like it that's tough
> - has now come back to haunt us.

Bull. You think OBL and company care about the Kyoto treaty? Get a
clue!

> And who can blame other countries for wanting to shake down
> U.S. taxpayers when Dick Armey and his greedy band of House
> Republicans are doing the same thing - pushing a stimulus
> bill with more tax breaks for the rich, lobbyists and
> corporations, and virtually nothing for the working
> Americans who will fight this war?

When has it ever been different?
>
> My advice: Try not to focus on any of this. Focus instead
> on the firemen who rushed into the trade center towers
> without asking, "How much?" Focus on the thousands of U.S.
> reservists who have left their jobs and families to go
> fight in Afghanistan without asking, "What's in it for me?"
> Unlike the free-riders in our coalition, these young
> Americans know that Sept. 11 is our holy day - the first
> day in a just war to preserve our free, multi-religious,
> democratic society. And I don't really care if that war
> coincides with Ramadan, Christmas, Hanukkah or the Buddha's
> birthday - the most respectful and spiritual thing we can
> do now is fight it until justice is done.

This guy misses all the root causes.

The Western powers, and specifically the USA, are seen by folks like OBL as corrupting their part of the world. Oil money put folks like the Shah of Iran and Saddam in charge, then they spent the profits on repression and militarism. The Saudis control the world price of oil, so the West has to look the other way at almost anything they do. And the West keeps pouring the money into the region because so many of us "need" SUVs and pickup trucks as single-passenger commuting vehicles. Despite all this money, the Persian Gulf region isn't a paradise for those who actually live there. So the extremists blame the West for their problems.

How much would Americans be willing to change their "lifestyle" to the extent that American dependence on imported oil would disappear?

73 de Jim, N2EY

6740. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 18:19:10 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BE0409E.A207BB05@IEEE.org>
Source record: 23034

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3BDF2A9E.A080C02D@IEEE.org...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > > IMO, undeclared wars are a mistake. If we don't
> > > > have enough intestinal fortitude to actually declare a war, IMO,
> > > > we should stay out of it.
> > >
> > > How can we stay out of it? It was brought over here. Remember?
> >
> > You missed the point entirely. I'm not advocating we stay out of
> > it! I'm advocating we stop pussy-footing around and DECLARE WAR!!!
>
> Advocating we should stay out of something is a funny way to stop pussy
> footing.

I did NOT advocate that "we should stay out of something". You
apparently continue to fail to understand the nature of a
conditional statement. Whether the US has "enough intestinal
fortitude" is the key to that statement. Do we have it or not?
If we do, we should declare war. If we don't, we are not
ready for war.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----
```

6741. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 19:26:29 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3BE05065.6737C213@uci.edu>
Source record: 23035

CAM wrote:
> I did NOT advocate that "we should stay out of something". You
> apparently continue to fail to understand the nature of a
> conditional statement.

Yes. You use such big words, and such abstract concepts that how am I, with such limited vocabulary and intellect, to possibly grasp what you are saying?

You're an insulting lout, Cecil. Can you not make a point without intertwining personal remarks?

> Whether the US has "enough intestinal
> fortitude" is the key to that statement. Do we have it or not?

Do you honestly believe that a lack of intestinal fortitude is the thing preventing a declaration of war in this case? If so, then you are the one with the failure to comprehend.

6742. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 19:43:24 UTC
From: HH&CK BRAKOB <hhbrakob@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <OuyVSRkYBHA.1992@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 23036

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:

> ......now I'm a realist.

There has been no evidence of that in this newsgroup!

(���) 73, de Hans, K0HB

6743. Re: Poignant Piece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 19:54:55 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <#IXHuXkYBHA.1872@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 23037

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

> Indeed, it would be wonderful (though a pipedream) that we'd be the nation
> to look to for agreement and resolution.

Agreement that we are a nation that you *NEVER* piss off.

(���) 73, de Hans, K0HB

6744. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 20:53:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BE064CA.FEEC0BB6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 23038

Krell wrote:
>
> So what kind of evidence are you
> waiting for?

More than I've seen so far, which is just about nothing.

> If some terrorist sneaks a suitcase
> nuke into a U.S. city and kills 6
> million instead of 6000, are you
> still going to want evidence before
> taking action?

So who are you going to kill if that actually happens, Krell?
Without evidence, who are you going to blame? If you say, "whoever we
just don't happen to like at the moment," then you're no different
than the terrorist.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6745. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 21:02:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BE066B5.E2E8C25E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 23039

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Man, your numbers are totally
> > false. According to the Time's
> > World Almanac (2000 edition),
> > the total population of Israel
> > today is 5,749,760.
>
> Does that include the occupied
> territories or not?

As far as I know, the Almanac lists the population of the actual country, not occupied territories (those territories would not be considered "Israel").

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6746. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 21:08:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BE06856.6EDB18A9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 23040

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I am still astonished (snip)
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote in message

I don't see the message from Phil you're responding to, Kim. My news server is still dropping messages. Rather then reply to your message while actually trying to respond to him, I'll just let what he said rest.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6747. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 21:23:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3BE06BC8.CF604676@sccoast.net>
Source record: 23041

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> (snip) Germany went a similar route
> but used a different philosophy of
> aggression. They lost, too, but the
> Communist forces had marched in from
> the east and for a long time there
> were two Germanys, complete with
> internal conflict along with two
> new philosophical views. Eventually
> Germany was able to unite and become
> a single "western" nation and an
> ally in NATO as well as an ally in
> the fight against terrorism.

Interesting (?) account of the events, Len. First, there was very little internal conflict between the two Germanys (the Berlin airlift, decades ago, was the big one). Second, West Germany has been a member of NATO, and an ally, for decades (decades before the reunification of East and West Germany). I realize that is what you were trying to say, but I wanted to clarify that which was unclear.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

6748. Radio Policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 21:30:24 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <#YfEFNlYBHA.1372@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 23042

OK, I'm as guilty as the next guy, but this _is_ rec.RADIO.AMATEUR.policy,
not rec.terrorists.are.ruining.my.life.policy.

Can we move all the bin-laden-has-civil-rights-too crap to a more
appropriate newsgroup?

Thank you.

(���) 73, de Hans, K0HB

6749. Re: A Challenge to Leonard H. Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 22:17:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011031171707.16811.00000916@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 23043

>Subject: Re: A Challenge to Leonard H. Anderson
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 10/31/2001 5:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20011031064647.29905.00000202@nso-mj.aol.com>

>You
>obviously can't rise to the challenge.

I doubt he can rise to an alarm clock, let alone a challenge.

And after Lennie's failure to produce any direct practical experiences vis-a-vis children/adolescents/why-there-should-be-an-age-limit rant, I doubt he's ever been able to get anything else to rise, either.

As if we had any doubts.......

6750. Re: Radio Policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 22:25:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20011031172512.16811.00000918@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 23044

>Subject: Radio Policy
>From: "K0HB" K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 10/31/2001 3:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <#YfEFNlYBHA.1372@cpimsnntpa03>

>Can we move all the bin-laden-has-civil-rights-too crap to a more
>appropriate newsgroup?

OK...And after we do that, what will we discuss here? More
"why-Morse-Code-has-(fill in blank)-my-life"...?!?

(a) ruined (b) fulfilled

No one wants to discuss anything BUT that, and it's a dead issue. AT THE
MOST Morse Code as a testing requirement will be with us four-five
years...maybe less...I doubt more...

Want to discuss MEANINGFUL policy...?!?! The "spectrum protection act" or
it's variants has died in committee or allowed to expire at session end three
times now despite having scores of "co-sponsors".

What can we do to get this through...?!?

Just curious.

73 de K4YZ

Paratus et Vigilans

6751. Re: ur right dwight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 22:59:15 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rpvm5029g7@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 23046

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3BE064CA.FEEC0BB6@sccoast.net...
> > If some terrorist sneaks a suitcase
> > nuke into a U.S. city and kills 6
> > million instead of 6000, are you
> > still going to want evidence before
> > taking action?
>
>
> So who are you going to kill if that actually happens, Krell?
> Without evidence, who are you going to blame? If you say, "whoever we
> just don't happen to like at the moment," then you're no different
> than the terrorist.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

It's certainly what Israel would do. Alledgedly, they have 24 nukes aimed at the most populous cities in the Middle East. If there is a nuclear or biological attack within their borders, they're not going to look for any evidence. They're just going to push the button and let every last missle fly with a harty "Hallelujah!"

6752. Re: Radio Policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 23:04:14 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rpvvg029u2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 23047

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:#YfEFNIYBHA.1372@cpimsnntpa03...
> OK, I'm as guilty as the next guy, but this _is_ rec.RADIO.AMATEUR.policy,
> not rec.terrorists.are.ruining.my.life.policy.
>
> Can we move all the bin-laden-has-civil-rights-too crap to a more
> appropriate newsgroup?
>
> Thank you.
>
> (���) 73, de Hans, K0HB

Agreed, but you have to admit that it's been a relief from the code/no code arguments that have been permeating the group for the past 23,497 eons.

6753. Re: Radio Policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2001-10-31 23:14:22 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <uRfWKHmYBHA.224@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 23045

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Want to discuss MEANINGFUL policy...?!?! The "spectrum protection act"
or
> it's variants has died in committee or allowed to expire at session end
three
> times now despite having scores of "co-sponsors".
>
> What can we do to get this through...?!?

Keep ramming it at them until we get a session which doesn't end
in some "crisis" mode, leaving no time to consider "non-vital"
legislation.

Here are some other "policy" questions for consideration:

-- Should it be the policy of USA to ask for worldwide 40M allocation
at:

a) 7.000-7.300MHz
b) 6.900-7.200MHz
c) 6.800-7.100Mhz

-- If push came to shove, should we accept a worldwide allocation
of 6.900-7.100 amateur-exclusive, in exchange for the current?
(Which is 7.000-7.100 in R1 anr R3, 7.000-7.300 in R2).

-- Should it be FCC policy to permit only traffic, weather, public service,
and other such nets on the new 60-meter band?

-- Should it be FCC policy to permit "talk shows" such as the K1MAN
transmissions on Amateur Radio.

-- Should it be FCC policy to periodically conduct occupancy monitoring
of VHF/UHF amateur bands, and adjust those allocations based on
observed usage?

Surely we can think of more Amateur Radio policy matters that
should be publicly discussed. Maybe we can get some ham radio
back in this ham radio newsgroup.

(���) 73, de Hans, K0HB